

ENTERPRISE BANCORP INC /MA/

Form 10-Q

November 08, 2013

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013

Commission File Number: 001-33912

Enterprise Bancorp, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Massachusetts

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

04-3308902

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

222 Merrimack Street, Lowell, Massachusetts

(Address of principal executive offices)

(978) 459-9000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

01852

(Zip code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files) Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition for "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of November 4, 2013, there were 9,966,167 shares of the issuer's common stock outstanding- Par Value \$0.01 per share

Table of Contents

ENTERPRISE BANCORP, INC.
INDEX

	Page Number
<u>Cover Page</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Index</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1 Financial Statements</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets – September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income -Three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income -Three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders’ Equity - Nine months ended September 30, 2013</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>Item 2 Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>59</u>
<u>Item 4 Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>60</u>
<u>PART II - OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1 Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>Item 1A Risk Factors</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>Item 4 Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>Item 5 Other Information</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>Item 6 Exhibits</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>Signature page</u>	<u>63</u>

Table of Contents

PART I-FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1 - Financial Statements

ENTERPRISE BANCORP, INC.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2012
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Cash and due from banks	\$48,960	\$38,007
Interest-earning deposits	33,944	12,218
Fed funds sold	26,003	2,510
Total cash and cash equivalents	108,907	52,735
Investment securities at fair value	195,418	184,464
Federal Home Loan Bank Stock	4,324	4,260
Loans held for sale	1,061	8,557
Loans, less allowance for loan losses of \$25,999 at September 30, 2013 and \$24,254 at December 31, 2012, respectively	1,446,697	1,335,401
Premises and equipment	28,860	27,206
Accrued interest receivable	5,835	5,828
Deferred income taxes, net	13,489	12,548
Bank-owned life insurance	15,789	15,443
Prepaid income taxes	736	174
Prepaid expenses and other assets	5,777	13,454
Goodwill	5,656	5,656
Total assets	\$ 1,832,549	\$ 1,665,726
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Liabilities		
Deposits	\$ 1,653,947	\$ 1,475,027
Borrowed funds	1,880	26,540
Junior subordinated debentures	10,825	10,825
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	17,393	13,182
Accrued interest payable	267	603
Total liabilities	\$ 1,684,312	\$ 1,526,177
Commitments and Contingencies		
Stockholders' Equity		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share; 1,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued	—	—
Common stock, \$0.01 par value per share; 20,000,000 shares authorized; 9,964,730 issued and outstanding at September 30, 2013 (including 169,693 shares of unvested participating restricted awards) and 9,676,477 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012 (including 154,186 shares of unvested participating restricted awards)	100	97
Additional paid-in-capital	52,092	48,194
Retained earnings	93,815	87,159
Accumulated other comprehensive income	2,230	4,099
Total stockholders' equity	\$ 148,237	\$ 139,549
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 1,832,549	\$ 1,665,726

See the accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

3

Table of Contents

ENTERPRISE BANCORP, INC.
 Consolidated Statements of Income
 (Unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Interest and dividend income:				
Loans and loans held for sale	\$17,104	\$16,324	\$50,130	\$48,510
Investment securities	823	833	2,427	2,467
Other interest-earning assets	19	25	40	68
Total interest and dividend income	17,946	17,182	52,597	51,045
Interest expense:				
Deposits	1,013	1,228	3,043	4,133
Borrowed funds	6	12	92	41
Junior subordinated debentures	294	294	883	883
Total interest expense	1,313	1,534	4,018	5,057
Net interest income	16,633	15,648	48,579	45,988
Provision for loan losses	583	800	1,900	2,150
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	16,050	14,848	46,679	43,838
Non-interest income:				
Investment advisory fees	1,102	925	3,163	2,880
Deposit and interchange fees	1,229	1,153	3,518	3,281
Income on bank-owned life insurance, net	111	122	346	384
Net gains on sales of investment securities	83	38	1,031	197
Gains on sales of loans	171	211	728	669
Other income	472	536	1,574	1,500
Total non-interest income	3,168	2,985	10,360	8,911
Non-interest expense:				
Salaries and employee benefits	8,548	8,190	25,247	23,534
Occupancy and equipment expenses	1,449	1,400	4,508	4,244
Technology and telecommunications expenses	1,085	1,122	3,441	3,198
Advertising and public relations expenses	481	408	2,047	1,694
Audit, legal and other professional fees	439	336	1,264	1,306
Deposit insurance premiums	287	283	820	843
Supplies and postage expenses	232	232	719	659
Investment advisory and custodial expenses	134	110	394	319
Other operating expenses	1,126	929	3,256	3,003
Total non-interest expense	13,781	13,010	41,696	38,800
Income before income taxes	5,437	4,823	15,343	13,949
Provision for income taxes	1,904	1,760	5,298	4,808
Net income	\$3,533	\$3,063	\$10,045	\$9,141
Basic earnings per share	\$0.36	\$0.32	\$1.02	\$0.96
Diluted earnings per share	\$0.35	\$0.32	\$1.01	\$0.95
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	9,932,060	9,613,386	9,824,984	9,567,294
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	10,026,588	9,692,290	9,909,019	9,639,122

See the accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENTERPRISE BANCORP, INC.
 Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
 (Unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
Net income	\$3,533	\$3,063	\$10,045	\$9,141	
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of taxes:					
Gross unrealized holding (losses) gains on investments arising during the period	431	861	(1,955) 1,677	
Income tax benefit (expense)	(136) (307) 748	(594)
Net unrealized holding (losses) gains, net of tax	295	554	(1,207) 1,083	
Less: Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income					
Net realized gains on sales of securities during the period	83	38	1,031	197	
Income tax expense	(30) (12) (369) (68)
Reclassification adjustment for gains realized, net of tax	53	26	662	129	
Total other comprehensive (loss) income	242	528	(1,869) 954	
Comprehensive income	\$3,775	\$3,591	\$8,176	\$10,095	

See the accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

ENTERPRISE BANCORP, INC.

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

(Unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands)	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total Stockholders' Equity
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$97	\$48,194	\$87,159	\$ 4,099	\$139,549
Net income			10,045		10,045
Other comprehensive loss, net				(1,869)	(1,869)
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options		24			24
Common stock dividend paid (\$0.345 per share)			(3,389)		(3,389)
Common stock issued under dividend reinvestment plan	1	947			948
Stock-based compensation	1	1,273			1,274
Stock options exercised, net	1	1,654			1,655
Balance at September 30, 2013	\$100	\$52,092	\$93,815	\$ 2,230	\$148,237

See the accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENTERPRISE BANCORP, INC.
 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
 (Unaudited)

	Nine months ended September 30,	
(Dollars in thousands)	2013	2012
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$10,045	\$9,141
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	1,900	2,150
Depreciation and amortization	3,686	3,291
Stock-based compensation expense	1,239	956
Mortgage loans originated for sale	(31,751) (35,041
Proceeds from mortgage loans sold	39,975	35,085
Net gains on sales of loans	(728) (669
Net gains on sales of OREO	(121) (45
Net gains on sales of investments	(1,031) (197
Income on bank-owned life insurance, net	(346) (384
OREO fair value adjustment	23	—
Changes in:		
Accrued interest receivable	(7) (9
Prepaid expenses and other assets	6,686	(1,807
Deferred income taxes	176	(245
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	3,599	(1,568
Accrued interest payable	(336) (428
Net cash provided by operating activities	33,009	10,230
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Proceeds from sales of investment securities available for sale	8,293	3,240
Net proceeds (purchase) from FHLB capital stock	(64) 480
Proceeds from maturities, calls and pay-downs of investment securities	13,730	22,332
Purchase of investment securities	(35,301) (70,115
Net increase in loans	(113,364) (54,719
Additions to premises and equipment, net	(4,281) (2,730
Proceeds from OREO sales and payments	652	885
Purchase of OREO	—	(245
Net cash used in investing activities	(130,335) (100,872
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net increase in deposits	178,920	137,268
Net decrease in borrowed funds	(24,660) (1,500
Cash dividends paid	(3,389) (3,155
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	948	956
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	1,655	211
Tax benefit from the exercise of stock options	24	1
Net cash provided by financing activities	153,498	133,781
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	56,172	43,139
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	52,735	39,131
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$108,907	\$82,270

Supplemental financial data:

Cash Paid For: Interest	\$4,354	\$5,485
Cash Paid For: Income Taxes	5,634	5,485

Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing activity:

Purchase of investment securities not yet settled	400	5,548
Transfer from loans to other real estate owned	168	400
Capital expenditures incurred not yet paid	247	—

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENTERPRISE BANCORP, INC.

Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

(1) Organization of Holding Company and Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements and these notes should be read in conjunction with the December 31, 2012 audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in the 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K of Enterprise Bancorp, Inc. (the "Company" or "Enterprise"), a Massachusetts corporation, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on March 15, 2013. The Company has not changed its reporting policies from those disclosed in its 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated interim financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") for interim financial information and the instructions for Form 10-Q through the rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under federal securities law. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated interim financial statements reflect all necessary adjustments consisting of normal recurring accruals for a fair presentation. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in the accompanying unaudited consolidated interim financial statements. Certain previous year amounts in the unaudited consolidated interim financial statements and accompanying footnotes have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the entire year.

The Company's consolidated unaudited interim financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiary, Enterprise Bank and Trust Company (the "Bank"). The Bank is a Massachusetts trust company organized in 1989. Substantially all of the Company's operations are conducted through the Bank.

The Bank's subsidiaries include Enterprise Insurance Services, LLC and Enterprise Investment Services, LLC, organized under the laws of the State of Delaware for the purposes of engaging in insurance sales activities and offering non-deposit investment products and services, respectively. In addition, the Bank has the following subsidiaries that are incorporated in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and classified as security corporations in accordance with applicable Massachusetts General Laws: Enterprise Security Corporation; Enterprise Security Corporation II; and Enterprise Security Corporation III, which hold various types of qualifying securities. The security corporations are limited to conducting securities investment activities that the Bank itself would be allowed to conduct under applicable laws.

Pursuant to the Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 810 "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities," issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") (originally issued as Financial Interpretation No. 46R) in December 2003, the Company carries Junior Subordinated Debentures as a liability on its consolidated financial statements, along with the related interest expense. The debentures were issued by a statutory business trust (the "Trust") created by the Company in March 2000 under the laws of the State of Delaware, and the trust preferred securities issued by the Trust, and the related non-interest expense, are excluded from the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Comprehensive income is defined as all changes to equity except investments by and distributions to stockholders. Net income is one component of comprehensive income, with other components referred to in the aggregate as other comprehensive income. The Company's only other comprehensive income component is the net unrealized holding gains or losses on investments available-for-sale. Pursuant to Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, the Company initially excludes these unrealized holding gains and losses from net income; however, they are later reported as reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income into net income when the securities

are sold. When securities are sold, the reclassification of realized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are included on the Consolidated Statements of Income under the "non-interest income" subheading on the line item "net gains on sales of investment securities" and the related income tax expense is included in the line item "provision for income taxes," both of which are also detailed on the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income under the subheading "reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income."

The Company has 21 full service branches serving the Merrimack Valley and North Central regions of Massachusetts and Southern New Hampshire. The Company is currently constructing a branch office in Nashua, NH with an anticipated opening in the fourth quarter of 2013. Through the Bank and its subsidiaries, the Company offers a range of commercial and consumer loan products, deposit and cash management products, investment advisory and wealth management, and insurance services. The services offered through the Bank and subsidiaries are managed as one strategic unit and represent the Company's only reportable operating segment.

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC") and the Massachusetts Commissioner of Banks (the "Commissioner") have regulatory authority over the Bank. The Bank is also subject to certain regulatory requirements of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve Board") and, with respect to its New Hampshire branch operations, the New Hampshire Banking Department. The business and operations of the Company are subject to the regulatory oversight of the Federal Reserve Board. The Commissioner also retains supervisory jurisdiction over the Company.

(2)Critical Accounting Estimates

As discussed in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, the three most significant areas in which management applies critical assumptions and estimates that are particularly susceptible to change relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, impairment review of investment securities and the impairment review of goodwill. Refer to Note 1, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," to the Company's consolidated financial statements included in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K for significant accounting policies. The Company has not changed its significant accounting policies from those disclosed in its 2012 Annual Report filed on Form 10-K.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP, management is required to exercise judgment in determining many of the methodologies, assumptions and estimates to be utilized. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported values of assets and liabilities as of the balance sheet date and income and expenses for the period then ended. As future events and their effects cannot be determined with precision, actual results could differ significantly from these estimates should the assumptions and estimates used change over time due to changes in circumstances. Changes in those estimates resulting from continuing change in the economic environment and other factors will be reflected in the financial statements and results of operations in future periods.

(3)Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. The new amendment requires an organization to present the effects on the income statement of significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income or cross-reference to other disclosures currently required under GAAP for certain items. The new amended standard is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2012, and interim periods within those annual periods. As this ASU addresses only disclosure requirements, the adoption in the first quarter of 2013 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements. See Note 1, "Organization of Holding Company and Basis of Presentation," for the disclosure of the effects of amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income.

(4) Investments

The amortized cost and carrying values of investment securities at the dates specified are summarized as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2013			Fair Value
	Amortized cost	Unrealized gains	Unrealized losses	
Federal agency obligations ⁽¹⁾	\$63,043	\$174	\$33	\$63,184
Federal agency mortgage backed securities (MBS) ⁽¹⁾	56,772	568	1,329	56,011
Municipal securities	57,442	1,781	327	58,896
Corporate bonds	4,763	23	55	4,731
Certificates of deposit	693	—	6	687
Total fixed income securities	182,713	2,546	1,750	183,509
Equity investments	9,324	2,605	20	11,909
Total available for sale securities, at fair value	\$192,037	\$5,151	\$1,770	\$195,418

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2012			Fair Value
	Amortized cost	Unrealized gains	Unrealized losses	
Federal agency obligations ⁽¹⁾	\$65,247	\$438	\$—	\$65,685
Federal agency mortgage backed securities (MBS) ⁽¹⁾	48,429	1,287	42	49,674
Municipal securities	53,437	3,103	17	56,523
Corporate bonds	1,905	15	6	1,914
Total fixed income securities	169,018	4,843	65	173,796
Equity investments	9,080	1,622	34	10,668
Total available for sale securities, at fair value	\$178,098	\$6,465	\$99	\$184,464

These categories may include investments issued or guaranteed by government sponsored enterprises such as Fannie Mae (FNMA), Freddie Mac (FHLMC), Ginnie Mae (GNMA), Federal Farm Credit Bank, or one of several Federal Home Loan Banks. All agency MBS/Collateralized Mortgage Obligations ("CMOs") investments owned by the Company are backed by residential mortgages.

Included in the carrying amount of the federal agency MBS category were CMOs totaling \$18.9 million and \$23.6 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Certificates of Deposit (CDs) represent term deposits issued by banks that are subject to FDIC insurance and purchased on the open market. The Company utilizes these CDs as an alternative investment option to holding short-term excess cash in lower yielding overnight deposit accounts. These securities have maturities of less than a year and the market value approximates the carrying value.

At September 30, 2013, the equity portfolio consisted primarily of investments in a diversified group of mutual funds, with a small portion of the portfolio (approximately 14%) invested in exchange traded funds or individual common stock of entities in the financial services industry.

The net unrealized gain or loss in the Company's fixed income portfolio fluctuates as market interest rates rise and fall. Due to the fixed rate nature of this portfolio, as market rates fall, the value of the portfolio rises, and as market

rates rise, the value of the portfolio declines. The unrealized gains or losses on fixed income investments will also decline as the securities approach maturity, or if the issuer is credit impaired. Unrealized gains or losses will be recognized in the statements of

10

income if the securities are sold. However, if an unrealized loss on the fixed income portfolio is deemed to be other than temporary, the credit loss portion is charged to earnings and the noncredit portion is recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income. Net unrealized appreciation and depreciation on investments available for sale, net of applicable income taxes, are reflected as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income.

The net unrealized gain or loss on equity securities will fluctuate based on changes in the market value of the mutual funds and individual securities held in the portfolio. Unrealized gains or losses will be recognized in the statements of income if the securities are sold. However, if an unrealized loss on an equity security is deemed to be other than temporary prior to a sale, the loss is charged to earnings.

Management regularly reviews the portfolio for securities with unrealized losses that are other-than-temporarily impaired. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the Company did not record any fair value impairment charges on its investments. As of September 30, 2013, there were a total of 66 investments (fixed income and equity, excluding CDs), with a fair market value of \$57.6 million, in an unrealized loss position totaling \$1.8 million. As of September 30, 2013, these unrealized loss positions were less than twelve months in duration. Management attributes these unrealized losses to increases in current market yields compared to the yields at the time the investments were purchased by the Company, and management does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at September 30, 2013 because the decline in market value is not attributable to credit quality for fixed income securities, or a fundamental deterioration in the equity fund or issuers, and because the Company does not intend to, and it is more likely than not that it will not be required to, sell those investments prior to a market price recovery or maturity.

In assessing MBS investments and Federal Agency obligations, the contractual cash flows of these investments are guaranteed by an agency of the U.S. Government, and the agency that issued these securities is sponsored by the U.S. Government. Accordingly, it is expected that the securities would not be settled at a price less than the par value of the Company's investments. Management's assessment of other fixed income investments within the portfolio includes reviews of market pricing, ongoing credit quality evaluations, assessment of the investment's materiality, and duration of the unrealized loss position. In addition, the Company utilizes an outside registered investment adviser to manage the corporate and municipal bond portfolios, within prescribed guidelines set by management. At September 30, 2013, the Company's corporate and municipal bond portfolios did not contain any securities below investment grade, as reported by major credit rating agencies. For equities and funds, management's assessment includes the severity of the declines, whether it is unlikely that the security or fund will completely recover its unrealized loss within a reasonable time period and if the equity security or fund exhibits fundamental deterioration.

The small portion of the portfolio invested in short-term CDs was also in an unrealized loss position at September 30, 2013 due to market rates. The unrealized loss was not considered to be material and the securities are expected to be settled at par value at maturity.

The contractual maturity distribution at September 30, 2013 of total fixed income investments, excluding CDs which mature in less than one year, is as follows:

	Within One Year		After One, But Within Five Years		After Five, But within Ten Years		After Ten Years	
	Amortized Cost	Yields	Amortized Cost	Yields	Amortized Cost	Yields	Amortized Cost	Yields
At amortized cost:								
Federal agency obligations	\$15,507	0.79 %	\$47,536	0.90 %	\$—	— %	\$—	— %
MBS	—	— %	37	1.51 %	11,978	2.85 %	44,757	2.32 %
Municipal securities	2,836	2.12 %	17,558	2.90 %	30,692	4.43 %	6,356	6.24 %
Corporate bonds	—	— %	3,288	2.61 %	1,475	4.62 %	—	— %

Edgar Filing: ENTERPRISE BANCORP INC /MA/ - Form 10-Q

Total fixed income securities	\$18,343	1.00	%	\$68,419	1.50	%	\$44,145	4.01	%	\$51,113	2.81	%
At fair value:												
Total fixed income securities	\$18,407			\$68,906			\$45,206			\$50,303		

Scheduled contractual maturities may not reflect the actual maturities of the investments. MBS/CMOs are shown at their final maturity. However, due to prepayments and amortization the actual MBS/CMO cash flows may be faster than presented above. Similarly, included in the carrying value of fixed income investments above are callable securities, comprised of municipal securities, federal agency obligations and corporate bonds totaling \$47.0 million, which can be redeemed by the issuer prior to the maturity presented above. Management considers these factors when evaluating the net interest margin in the Company's asset-liability management program.

See Note 12, "Fair Value Measurements" below for further information regarding the Company's fair value measurements for available-for-sale securities.

From time to time, the Company may pledge securities as collateral against deposit account balances of municipal deposit customers, for Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston ("FHLB") borrowing capacity and as collateral for borrowing from the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston ("FRB"). The fair value of securities pledged as collateral for these purposes was \$182.8 million at September 30, 2013.

(5) Restricted Investments

As a member of the FHLB, the Company is required to purchase certain levels of FHLB capital stock in association with the Company's borrowing relationship from the FHLB. This stock is classified as a restricted investment and carried at cost, which management believes approximates fair value. FHLB stock represents the only restricted investment held by the Company.

Based on management's ongoing review, the Company has not recorded any other-than-temporary impairment charges on this investment to date. However, if negative events or deterioration in the FHLB financial condition or capital levels occurs the Company's investment in FHLB capital stock may become other-than-temporarily impaired to some degree.

(6) Loans

Major classifications of loans at the periods indicated, are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Real estate:		
Commercial real estate	\$803,069	\$710,265
Commercial construction	115,254	121,367
Residential mortgages	129,422	120,278
Total real estate	1,047,745	951,910
Commercial and industrial	346,888	328,579
Home equity	72,832	75,648
Consumer	6,706	4,911
Gross loans	1,474,171	1,361,048
Deferred loan origination fees, net	(1,475)	(1,393)
Total loans	1,472,696	1,359,655
Allowance for loan losses	(25,999)	(24,254)
Net loans	\$1,446,697	\$1,335,401

The Company specializes in lending to business entities, non-profit organizations, professionals and individuals. The Company's primary lending focus is on the development of high quality commercial relationships achieved through active business development efforts, long-term relationships with established commercial developers, strong

community involvement and focused marketing strategies. Loans made to businesses include commercial mortgage loans, construction and land development loans, secured and unsecured commercial loans and lines of credit, and standby letters of credit. The Company also originates equipment lease financing for businesses. Loans made to individuals include conventional residential mortgage loans, home equity loans and lines, residential construction loans on primary and secondary residences, and secured and

unsecured personal loans and lines of credit (see Note 7, "Allowance for Loan Losses," for additional information on the Company's credit risk management).

Loan Categories

- Commercial loans:

Commercial real estate loans include loans secured by both owner-use and non-owner occupied real estate. These loans are typically secured by a variety of commercial and industrial property types including one-to-four and multi-family apartment buildings, office or mixed-use facilities, strip shopping centers, or other commercial property and are generally guaranteed by the principals of the borrower. Commercial real estate loans generally have repayment periods of approximately fifteen to twenty-five years. Variable interest rate loans have a variety of adjustment terms and indices, and are generally fixed for an initial period before periodic rate adjustments begin.

Commercial and industrial loans include seasonal revolving lines of credit, working capital loans, equipment financing (including equipment leases), and term loans. Also included in commercial and industrial loans are loans partially guaranteed by the Small Business Administration (SBA), loans under various programs issued in conjunction with the Massachusetts Development Finance Agency and other agencies. Commercial and industrial credits may be unsecured loans and lines to financially strong borrowers, secured in whole or in part by real estate unrelated to the principal purpose of the loan or secured by inventories, equipment, or receivables, and are generally guaranteed by the principals of the borrower. Variable rate loans and lines in this portfolio have interest rates that are periodically adjusted, with loans generally having fixed initial periods. Commercial and industrial loans have average repayment periods of one to seven years.

Commercial construction loans include the development of residential housing and condominium projects, the development of commercial and industrial use property, and loans for the purchase and improvement of raw land. These loans are secured in whole or in part by the underlying real estate collateral and are generally guaranteed by the principals of the borrowers. The Company limits the amount of financing provided to any single developer for the construction of properties built on a speculative basis. Funds for construction projects are disbursed as pre-specified stages of construction are completed. Regular site inspections are performed, either by experienced construction lenders on staff or by independent outside inspection companies, at each construction phase, prior to advancing additional funds. Commercial construction loans generally are variable rate loans and lines with interest rates that are periodically adjusted and generally have terms of one to three years.

From time to time, the Company participates with other banks in the financing of certain commercial projects. In some cases, the Company may act as the lead lender, originating and servicing the loans, but participating out a portion of the funding to other banks. In other cases, the Company may participate in loans originated by other institutions. In each case, the participating bank funds a percentage of the loan commitment and takes on the related risk. In each case in which the Company participates in a loan, the rights and obligations of each participating bank are divided proportionately among the participating banks in an amount equal to their share of ownership and with equal priority among all banks. The balances participated out to other institutions are not carried as assets on the Company's financial statements. Loans originated by other banks in which the Company is the participating institution are carried in the loan portfolio at the Company's pro rata share of ownership. The Company performs an independent credit analysis of each commitment and a review of the participating institution prior to participation in the loan. Loans originated by other banks in which the Company is the participating institution amounted to \$35.0 million at September 30, 2013 and \$28.6 million at December 31, 2012.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the financial obligation or performance by a customer to a third party. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. If the letter of credit is drawn upon, a loan is created for the

customer, generally a commercial loan, with the same criteria associated with similar commercial loans.

- Residential loans:

Enterprise originates conventional mortgage loans on one-to-four family residential properties. These properties may serve as the borrower's primary residence, vacation homes, or investment properties. Loan to value limits vary, generally from 80% for adjustable rate and multi-family, owner occupied properties, up to 97% for fixed rate loans on single family, owner occupied properties, with mortgage insurance coverage required for loan-to-value ratios greater than 80% based on program parameters. In addition, financing is provided for the construction of owner occupied primary and secondary residences. Residential

mortgage loans may have terms of up to 30 years at either fixed or adjustable rates of interest. Fixed and adjustable rate residential mortgage loans are generally originated using secondary market underwriting and documentation standards.

Depending on the current interest rate environment, management projections of future interest rates and the overall asset-liability management program of the Company, management may elect to sell those fixed and adjustable rate residential mortgage loans which are eligible for sale in the secondary market, or hold some or all of this residential loan production for the Company's portfolio. Mortgage loans are generally not pooled for sale, but instead sold on an individual basis. The Company may retain or sell the servicing when selling the loans. Loans sold are subject to standard secondary market underwriting and eligibility representations and warranties over the life of the loan and are subject to an early payment default period covering the first four payments for certain loan sales. Loans classified as held for sale are carried as a separate line item on the balance sheet.

- Home equity loans and lines of credit:

Home equity term loans are originated for one-to-four family residential properties with maximum original loan to value ratios generally up to 80% of the assessed or appraised value of the property securing the loan. Home equity loan payments consist of monthly principal and interest based on amortization ranging from three to fifteen years. The rates may also be fixed for three to fifteen years, before periodic rate adjustments begin.

The Company originates home equity revolving lines of credit for one-to-four family residential properties with maximum original loan to value ratios generally up to 80% of the appraised value of the property securing the loan. Home equity lines generally have interest rates that adjust monthly based on changes in the Prime Rate, although minimum rates may be applicable. Some home equity line rates may be fixed for a period of time and then adjusted monthly thereafter. The payment schedule for home equity lines requires interest only payments for the first ten years of the lines. Generally at the end of ten years, the line may be frozen to future advances, and principal plus interest payments are collected over a fifteen-year amortization schedule or, for eligible borrowers meeting certain requirements, the line availability may be extended for an additional interest only period.

- Consumer loans:

Consumer loans primarily consist of secured or unsecured personal loans and overdraft protection lines on checking accounts extended to individual customers. The aggregate amount of overdrawn deposit accounts are reclassified as loan balances.

Loans serviced for others

At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company was servicing residential mortgage loans owned by investors amounting to \$20.7 million and \$22.2 million, respectively. Additionally, the Company was servicing commercial loans participated out to various other institutions amounting to \$52.9 million and \$53.6 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. See the discussion above for further information regarding commercial participations.

Loans serving as collateral

Loans designated as qualified collateral and pledged to the FHLB for borrowing capacity are summarized below:

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Commercial real estate	\$329,363	\$216,080

Residential mortgages	95,923	94,552
Home equity	17,763	18,907
Total loans pledged to FHLB	\$443,049	\$329,539

During the quarter ended September 30, 2013, as part of its regular liquidity management reviews, the Company pledged additional commercial real estate loans to the FHLB as collateral to provide additional borrowing capacity.

(7) Allowance for Loan Loss

The Company manages its loan portfolio to avoid concentration by industry and loan size to minimize its credit risk exposure. In addition, the Company does not have a “sub-prime” mortgage program. However, inherent in the lending process is the risk of loss due to customer non-payment, or “credit risk.” While the Company endeavors to minimize this risk through the credit risk management function, management recognizes that loan losses will occur and that the amount of these losses will fluctuate depending on the risk characteristics of the loan portfolio and economic conditions.

Credit Quality Indicators

The level of adversely classified loans, and delinquent and non-performing assets is largely a function of economic conditions, the overall banking environment, the Company's underwriting, and credit risk management standards. The Company's commercial lending focus may entail significant additional risks compared to long term financing on existing, owner-occupied residential real estate. The Company endeavors to minimize this risk through sound underwriting practices and the risk management function. The credit risk management function focuses on a wide variety of factors, including, among others, current and expected economic conditions, the real estate market, the financial condition of borrowers, the ability of borrowers to adapt to changing conditions or circumstances affecting their business and the continuity of borrowers' management teams. Early detection of credit issues is critical to minimize credit losses. Accordingly, management regularly monitors these factors, among others, through ongoing credit reviews by the Credit Department, an external loan review service, reviews by members of senior management and the Loan Committee of the Board of Directors. This review includes the assessment of internal credit quality indicators such as the risk classification of individual loans, adversely classified loans, past due and non-accrual loans, impaired and restructured loans, and the level of foreclosure activity. However, despite prudent loan underwriting and ongoing credit risk management, adverse changes within the Company's market area or deterioration in the local, regional or national economic conditions could negatively impact the portfolio's credit risk profile and the Company's asset quality in the future.

The loan portfolio continued to show improving statistics related to migration of adversely classified, non-accrual, impaired loans and the level of Other Real Estate Owned ("OREO") properties held during the quarter ended September 30, 2013. However, management believes that the credit profile of the portfolio will continue to be affected by lagging effects that the economic environment has had on the regional and local commercial markets.

- Adversely Classified Loans

The Company's loan risk rating system classifies loans depending on risk of loss characteristics. The classifications range from “substantially risk free” for the highest quality loans and loans that are secured by cash collateral, to the more severe adverse classifications of “substandard,” “doubtful” and “loss” based on criteria established under banking regulations.

Loans classified as substandard include those loans characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. These loans are inadequately protected by the sound net worth and paying capacity of the borrower; repayment has become increasingly reliant on collateral liquidation or reliance on guaranties; credit weaknesses are well-defined; borrower cash flow is insufficient to meet required debt service specified in loan terms and to meet other obligations, such as trade debt and tax payments.

Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in a substandard rated loan with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or full payment from liquidation, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable. The probability of loss is extremely high, but because of certain important and reasonably specific pending factors which may work to the advantage and strengthening of the

loan, its classification as an estimated loss is deferred until more exact status may be determined.

Loans classified as loss are generally considered uncollectible at present, although long term recovery of part or all of loan proceeds may be possible. These “loss” loans would require a specific loss reserve or charge-off.

Adversely classified loans may be accruing or in non-accrual status and may be additionally designated as impaired or restructured, or some combination thereof. Loans which are evaluated to be of weaker credit quality are reviewed on a more frequent basis by management.

The following tables present the credit risk profile by internally assigned risk rating category at the periods indicated.

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2013			September 30, 2013	
	Adversely Classified			Not Adversely Classified	
	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Gross Loans	Gross Loans
Commercial real estate	\$12,900	\$1,285	\$—	\$788,884	\$803,069
Commercial and industrial	5,614	498	—	340,776	346,888
Commercial construction	4,193	—	—	111,061	115,254
Residential	1,030	—	—	128,392	129,422
Home equity	506	—	—	72,326	72,832
Consumer	38	—	—	6,668	6,706
Total gross loans	\$24,281	\$1,783	\$—	\$1,448,107	\$1,474,171

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2012	
	Adversely Classified			Not Adversely Classified	
	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Gross Loans	Gross Loans
Commercial real estate	\$21,999	\$—	\$—	\$688,266	\$710,265
Commercial and industrial	5,993	1,460	48	321,078	328,579
Commercial construction	2,986	—	—	118,381	121,367
Residential	1,254	—	—	119,024	120,278
Home equity	661	—	—	74,987	75,648
Consumer	34	—	—	4,877	4,911
Total gross loans	\$32,927	\$1,460	\$48	\$1,326,613	\$1,361,048

The decrease in adversely classified loans since the prior period was due primarily to paydowns on several commercial relationships, and upgraded commercial loans, in particular one larger commercial real estate relationship of approximately \$6.0 million classified as an accruing TDR at December 31, 2012 which was upgraded during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, due to the borrower's improved financial condition and sustained performance over time, partially offset by additional credit downgrades during the period.

- Past Due and Non-Accrual Loans

Loans on which the accrual of interest has been discontinued are designated as non-accrual loans. Accrual of interest on loans is generally discontinued when a loan becomes contractually past due, with respect to interest or principal, by 90 days, or when reasonable doubt exists as to the full and timely collection of interest or principal. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, all interest previously accrued but not collected is reversed against current period interest income. Interest accruals are resumed on such loans only when payments are brought current and have remained current for a period of 180 days and when, in the judgment of management, the collectability of both principal and interest is reasonably assured. Interest payments received on loans in a non-accrual status are generally applied to principal on the books of the Company. Additionally, deposit accounts overdrawn for 90 or more days are included in the consumer non-accrual numbers below.

The following tables present age analysis of past due loans as of the dates indicated.
Balance at September 30, 2013

(Dollars in thousands)	Loans 30-59 Days Past Due	Loans 60-89 Days Past Due	Loans 90 or More Days Past Due (non- accrual)	Total Past Due Loans	Current Loans	Gross Loans
Commercial real estate	\$2,040	\$187	\$11,276	\$13,503	\$789,566	\$803,069
Commercial and industrial	739	214	5,722	6,675	340,213	346,888
Commercial construction	198	—	1,950	2,148	113,106	115,254
Residential	128	122	648	898	128,524	129,422
Home equity	209	89	287	585	72,247	72,832
Consumer	37	—	15	52	6,654	6,706
Total gross loans	\$3,351	\$612	\$19,898	\$23,861	\$1,450,310	\$1,474,171

Balance at December 31, 2012

(Dollars in thousands)	Loans 30-59 Days Past Due	Loans 60-89 Days Past Due	Loans 90 or More Days Past Due (non- accrual)	Total Past Due Loans	Current Loans	Gross Loans
Commercial real estate	\$1,560	\$551	\$12,608	\$14,719	\$695,546	\$710,265
Commercial and industrial	472	55	6,993	7,520	321,059	328,579
Commercial construction	—	—	743	743	120,624	121,367
Residential	42	558	862	1,462	118,816	120,278
Home equity	73	9	390	472	75,176	75,648
Consumer	42	11	5	58	4,853	4,911
Total gross loans	\$2,189	\$1,184	\$21,601	\$24,974	\$1,336,074	\$1,361,048

At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, all loans 90 days or more past due were carried as non-accruing. Included in the consumer non-accrual numbers in the table above were \$11 thousand and \$5 thousand of overdraft deposit account balances 90 days or more past due at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Total non-performing loans amounted to \$19.9 million and \$21.6 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Non-accrual loans which were not adversely classified amounted to \$1.0 million at September 30, 2013 and \$1.2 million at December 31, 2012. These balances primarily represented the guaranteed portions of non-performing SBA loans. The majority of the non-accrual loan balances were also carried as impaired loans during the periods noted, and are discussed further below. The increase in loans 30-59 days past due occurred primarily within the commercial real estate, commercial and industrial and construction portfolios, with the majority of these loans just 30 days overdue at September 30, 2013, and had subsequent payments made in October.

The ratio of non-accrual loans to total loans amounted to 1.35% at September 30, 2013, 1.59% at December 31, 2012, and 1.80% at September 30, 2012.

At September 30, 2013, additional funding commitments for loans on non-accrual status totaled \$700 thousand. The Company's obligation to fulfill the additional funding commitments on non-accrual loans is generally contingent on the borrower's compliance with the terms of the credit agreement. If the borrower is not in compliance, additional funding commitments may be made at the Company's discretion.

- Impaired Loans

Impaired loans are individually significant loans for which management considers it probable that not all amounts due (principal and interest) in accordance with the original contractual terms will be collected. The majority of impaired loans are included within the non-accrual balances; however, not every loan in non-accrual status has been designated as impaired.

Impaired loans include TDR loans. Impaired loans exclude large groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans, such as residential mortgage loans and consumer loans, which are collectively evaluated for impairment and loans that are measured at fair value, unless the loan is amended in a TDR.

Management does not set any minimum delay of payments as a factor in reviewing for impaired classification. Management considers the individual payment status, net worth and earnings potential of the borrower, and the value and cash flow of the collateral as factors to determine if a loan will be paid in accordance with its contractual terms.

Impaired loans are individually evaluated for credit loss and a specific reserve is assigned for the amount of the estimated credit loss. Refer to heading "Allowance for probable loan losses methodology" contained in Note 5 "Allowance For Loan Losses," to the Company's consolidated financial statements contained in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K for further discussion of management's methodology used to estimate specific reserves for impaired loans.

Total impaired loans amounted to \$28.6 million and \$37.4 million, at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Total accruing impaired loans amounted to \$9.1 million and \$16.6 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, while non-accrual impaired loans amounted to \$19.5 million and \$20.8 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The decrease was primarily due to the changes discussed above under the heading "Adversely Classified Loans."

The following tables set forth the recorded investment in impaired loans and the related specific allowance allocated as of the dated indicated.

Balance at September 30, 2013

(Dollars in thousands)	Unpaid contractual principal balance	Total recorded investment in impaired loans	Recorded investment with no allowance	Recorded investment with allowance	Related allowance
Commercial real estate	\$ 17,001	\$ 14,702	\$ 11,630	\$ 3,072	\$ 544
Commercial and industrial	10,215	8,942	3,934	5,008	2,602
Commercial construction	4,319	4,193	2,232	1,961	875
Residential	677	628	373	255	68
Home equity	110	108	—	108	34
Consumer	18	18	—	18	18
Total	\$ 32,340	\$ 28,591	\$ 18,169	\$ 10,422	\$ 4,141

Balance at December 31, 2012

(Dollars in thousands)	Unpaid contractual principal balance	Total recorded investment in impaired loans	Recorded investment with no allowance	Recorded investment with allowance	Related allowance
Commercial real estate	\$ 24,760	\$ 22,859	\$ 18,735	\$ 4,124	\$ 1,041
Commercial and industrial	12,184	10,831	6,016	4,815	2,186
Commercial construction	3,091	2,932	995	1,937	753
Residential	687	648	390	258	65
Home equity	110	109	—	109	87
Consumer	14	14	—	14	14
Total	\$ 40,846	\$ 37,393	\$ 26,136	\$ 11,257	\$ 4,146

The following table presents the average recorded investment in impaired loans and the related interest recognized during the three month periods indicated.

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		Three Months Ended September 30, 2012	
	Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized	Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized
Commercial real estate	\$15,405	\$ 42	\$22,952	\$127
Commercial and industrial	9,081	23	9,613	19
Commercial construction	3,540	17	2,438	24
Residential	741	3	836	3
Home equity	109	—	50	—
Consumer	18	1	15	—
Total	\$28,894	\$ 86	\$35,904	\$173

The following table presents the average recorded investment in impaired loans and the related interest recognized during the nine month periods indicated.

(Dollars in thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012	
	Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized	Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized
Commercial real estate	\$18,572	\$191	\$23,853	\$437
Commercial and industrial	9,312	77	10,028	105
Commercial construction	3,114	50	2,195	41
Residential	785	7	758	8
Home equity	119	—	50	—
Consumer	17	1	17	1
Total	\$31,919	\$326	\$36,901	\$592

At September 30, 2013, additional funding commitments for impaired loans totaled \$700 thousand. The Company's obligation to fulfill the additional funding commitments on impaired loans is generally contingent on the borrower's compliance with the terms of the credit agreement. If the borrower is not in compliance, additional funding commitments may be made at the Company's discretion.

- Troubled Debt Restructures

Loans are designated as a TDR when, as part of an agreement to modify the original contractual terms of the loan, the Bank grants the borrower a concession on the terms, that would not otherwise be considered, as a result of financial difficulties of the borrower. Typically, such concessions may consist of a reduction in interest rate to a below market rate, taking into account the credit quality of the note, or a deferment or reduction of payments (principal or interest) which materially alters the Bank's position or significantly extends the note's maturity date, such that the present value of cash flows to be received is materially less than those contractually established at the loan's origination. All loans that are modified are reviewed by the Company to identify if a TDR has occurred. TDR loans are included in the impaired loan category and, as such, these loans are individually evaluated and a specific reserve is assigned for the amount of the estimated credit loss.

Total TDR loans, included in the impaired loan figures above, as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, were \$19.4 million and \$26.6 million, respectively.

TDR loans on accrual status amounted to \$8.6 million and \$16.0 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. During the current quarter, an accruing TDR commercial real estate relationship of approximately \$6.0 million

19

was upgraded and removed from impaired/TDR status, due to the borrower's improved financial condition and sustained performance over time. TDR loans included in non-performing loans amounted to \$10.8 million and \$10.5 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The Company continues to work with commercial relationships and enters into loan modifications to the extent deemed to be necessary or appropriate while attempting to achieve the best mutual outcome given the current economic environment.

Troubled debt restructure agreements entered into during the three month period ended September 30, 2013 are detailed below.

(Dollars in thousands)	Three months ended September 30, 2013		
	Number of restructurings	Pre-modification outstanding recorded investment	Post-modification outstanding recorded investment
Commercial real estate	1	\$ 342	\$ 291
Commercial and industrial	1	89	42
Commercial construction	1	769	769
Residential	—	—	—
Home equity	—	—	—
Consumer	—	—	—
Total	3	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,102

There were no loans modified as troubled debt restructuring within the previous twelve months for which there was a subsequent payment default during the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Troubled debt restructure agreements entered into during the nine month period ended September 30, 2013 are detailed below.

(Dollars in thousands)	Nine months ended September 30, 2013		
	Number of restructurings	Pre-modification outstanding recorded investment	Post-modification outstanding recorded investment
Commercial real estate	1	\$ 342	\$ 291
Commercial and industrial	2	189	104
Commercial construction	1	769	769
Residential	—	—	—
Home equity	—	—	—
Consumer	—	—	—
Total	4	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,164

Loans modified as troubled debt restructuring within the previous twelve months for which there was a subsequent payment default during the period noted are detailed below.

(Dollars in thousands)	Nine months ended September 30, 2013	
	Number of TDRs that defaulted	Post-modification outstanding recorded investment
Commercial real estate	1	\$ 137
Commercial and industrial	1	62
Commercial construction	1	31
Residential	—	—
Home equity	—	—
Consumer	—	—
Total	3	\$ 230

There were \$38 thousand in charge-offs associated with the TDRs, noted in the table above, during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Included in the table above were two TDRs modified during the previous twelve months which defaulted and were subsequently transferred to OREO during the current year-to-date period with a total post modification outstanding balance of \$168 thousand. At September 30, 2013, specific reserves allocated to the TDRs entered into during the 2013 period amounted to \$80 thousand, as management considered it likely the principal would ultimately be collected.

Troubled debt restructure agreements entered into during the three month period ended September 30, 2012 are detailed below.

(Dollars in thousands)	Three months ended September 30, 2012		
	Number of restructurings	Pre-modification outstanding recorded investment	Post-modification outstanding recorded investment
Commercial real estate	—	\$ —	\$ —
Commercial and industrial	—	—	—
Commercial construction	2	716	716
Residential	1	259	259
Home equity	—	—	—
Consumer	—	—	—
Total	3	\$ 975	\$ 975

There were no loans modified as troubled debt restructuring within the previous twelve months for which there was a subsequent payment default during the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Troubled debt restructure agreements entered into during the nine month period ended September 30, 2012 are detailed below.

(Dollars in thousands)	Nine months ended September 30, 2012		
	Number of restructurings	Pre-modification outstanding recorded investment	Post-modification outstanding recorded investment
Commercial real estate	—	\$ —	\$ —
Commercial and industrial	5	115	113
Commercial construction	2	716	716
Residential	2	388	386
Home equity	—	—	—
Consumer	—	—	—
Total	9	\$ 1,219	\$ 1,215

Loans modified as troubled debt restructuring within the previous twelve months for which there was a subsequent payment default during the period noted are detailed below.

(Dollars in thousands)	Nine months ended September 30, 2012	
	Number of TDR's that defaulted	Post-modification outstanding recorded investment
Commercial real estate	—	\$—
Commercial and industrial	3	14
Commercial construction	—	—
Residential	1	126
Home Equity	—	—
Consumer	—	—
Total	4	\$140

There were no charge-offs associated with the TDRs noted in the tables above during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. At September 30, 2012, specific reserves allocated to the TDRs entered into during the 2012 period amounted to \$92 thousand, as management considered it likely the principal would ultimately be collected. Interest payments received on non-accruing new 2012 TDR loans which were applied to principal and not recognized as interest income amounted to \$4 thousand for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Other Real Estate Owned

Real estate acquired by the Company through foreclosure proceedings or the acceptance of a deed in lieu of foreclosure is classified as OREO. When property is acquired, it is generally recorded at the lesser of the loan's remaining principal balance, net of any unamortized deferred fees, or the estimated fair value of the property acquired, less estimated costs to sell. Any loan balance in excess of the estimated realizable fair value on the date of transfer is charged to the allowance for loan losses on that date. All costs incurred thereafter in maintaining the property, as well as subsequent declines in fair value are charged to non-interest expense.

The carrying value of OREO at September 30, 2013 was \$114 thousand and consisted of 1 property, compared to \$500 thousand comprised of 1 property at December 31, 2012. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, 2 properties were added to OREO and 2 properties were sold, 1 of which was held at December 31, 2012. There was \$121 thousand of gains realized on the sale of OREO in 2013. There were \$45 thousand of gains realized on OREO sales during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. During the current year, a write down of \$23 thousand was booked on the remaining property to reflect current expected realizable value base on pending sales agreement.

Allowance for probable loan losses methodology

On a quarterly basis, management prepares an estimate of the allowance necessary to cover estimated credit losses. The Company maintains the allowance at a level that it deems adequate to absorb all reasonably anticipated losses from specifically known and other credit risks associated with the portfolio. The Company uses a systematic methodology to measure the amount of estimated loan loss exposure inherent in the portfolio for purposes of establishing a sufficient allowance for loan losses. The methodology makes use of specific reserves, for loans individually evaluated and deemed impaired and general reserves, for larger groups of homogeneous loans, which rely on a combination of qualitative and quantitative factors that could have an impact on the credit quality of the portfolio.

There have been no material changes in the Company's underwriting practices, credit risk management system, or to the allowance assessment methodology used to estimate loan loss exposure as reported in the Company's most recent

Annual Report on Form 10-K. Refer to heading “Allowance for probable loan losses methodology” contained in Note 5 “Allowance For Loan Losses,” to the Company’s consolidated financial statements contained in the Company’s 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K for further discussion of management’s methodology used to estimate the loan loss exposure inherent in the portfolio for purposes of establishing a sufficient allowance.

Allowance for loan loss activity

The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses, a direct charge to earnings. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes that the collectability of the loan principal is unlikely. Recoveries on loans previously charged-off are credited to the allowance.

The allowance for loan losses amounted to \$26.0 million at September 30, 2013 compared to \$24.3 million at December 31, 2012. The allowance for loan losses to total loans ratio was 1.77% at September 30, 2013 and 1.78% at December 31, 2012 compared to 1.84% at September 30, 2012.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company purchased a group of residential mortgage loans with a September 30, 2013 carrying value of \$17.2 million. These purchased loans were initially booked at fair market value and, in accordance with accounting guidance, do not carry an initial allowance for loan losses. Management will continue to closely monitor this portfolio of non classified loans for estimated credit loss under general loss allocations taking into account the loss experience as well as the quantitative and qualitative factors identified above.

Based on management's judgment as to the existing credit risks inherent in the loan portfolio, as discussed above under the heading "Credit Quality Indicators," management believes that the Company's allowance for loan losses is adequate to absorb probable losses from specifically known and other credit risks associated with the portfolio as of September 30, 2013.

Changes in the allowance for loan losses by segment for the three months ended September 30, 2013, are presented below:

(Dollars in thousands)	Cmml Real Estate	Cmml and Industrial	Cmml Constr	Resid. Mortgage	Home Equity	Consumer	Total
Beginning Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 13,020	\$ 7,633	\$ 3,154	\$ 1,033	\$ 682	\$ 149	\$ 25,671
Provision	162	422	28	(24)	(34)	29	583
Recoveries	34	15	—	—	—	3	52
Less: Charge offs	192	70	—	36	—	9	307
Ending Balance at September 30, 2013	\$ 13,024	\$ 8,000	\$ 3,182	\$ 973	\$ 648	\$ 172	\$ 25,999

Changes in the allowance for loan losses by segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, are presented below:

(Dollars in thousands)	Cmml Real Estate	Cmml and Industrial	Cmml Constr	Resid. Mortgage	Home Equity	Consumer	Total
Beginning Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 11,793	\$ 7,297	\$ 3,456	\$ 854	\$ 728	\$ 126	\$ 24,254
Provision	1,342	850	(324)	27	(57)	62	1,900
Recoveries	95	56	50	128	21	9	359
Less: Charge offs	206	203	—	36	44	25	514
Ending Balance at September 30, 2013	\$ 13,024	\$ 8,000	\$ 3,182	\$ 973	\$ 648	\$ 172	\$ 25,999
Ending allowance balance:							
Allotted to loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 544	\$ 2,602	\$ 875	\$ 68	\$ 34	\$ 18	\$ 4,141
	\$ 12,480	\$ 5,398	\$ 2,307	\$ 905	\$ 614	\$ 154	\$ 21,858

Allotted to loans collectively
evaluated for impairment

24

Changes in the allowance for loan losses by segment for the three months ended September 30, 2012, are presented below:

(Dollars in thousands)	Cmml Real Estate	Cmml and Industrial	Cmml Constr	Resid. Mortgage	Home Equity	Consumer	Total
Beginning Balance at June 30, 2012	\$ 10,997	\$ 7,205	\$ 3,329	\$ 774	\$ 721	\$ 100	\$ 23,126
Provision	224	423	12	17	104	20	800
Recoveries	15	35	—	—	—	4	54
Less: Charge offs	8	33	—	—	—	9	50
Ending Balance at September 30, 2012	\$ 11,228	\$ 7,630	\$ 3,341	\$ 791	\$ 825	\$ 115	\$ 23,930

Changes in the allowance for loan losses by segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, are presented below:

(Dollars in thousands)	Cmml Real Estate	Cmml and Industrial	Cmml Constr	Resid. Mortgage	Home Equity	Consumer	Total
Beginning Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 10,855	\$ 7,568	\$ 3,013	\$ 995	\$ 615	\$ 114	\$ 23,160
Provision	589	933	426	(22)	209	15	2,150
Recoveries	15	227	2	—	1	7	252
Less: Charge offs	231	1,098	100	182	—	21	1,632
Ending Balance at September 30, 2012	\$ 11,228	\$ 7,630	\$ 3,341	\$ 791	\$ 825	\$ 115	\$ 23,930
Ending allowance balance:							
Allotted to loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 817	\$ 2,613	\$ 728	\$ 93	\$ 50	\$ 15	\$ 4,316
Allotted to loans collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 10,411	\$ 5,017	\$ 2,613	\$ 698	\$ 775	\$ 100	\$ 19,614

The balances of loans as of September 30, 2013 by segment and evaluation method are summarized as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Loans individually evaluated for impairment	Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	Total Loans
Commercial real estate	\$ 14,702	\$ 788,367	\$ 803,069
Commercial and industrial	8,942	337,946	346,888
Commercial construction	4,193	111,061	115,254
Residential	628	128,794	129,422
Home equity	108	72,724	72,832
Consumer	18	6,688	6,706
Deferred Fees	—	(1,475)	(1,475)
Total loans	\$ 28,591	\$ 1,444,105	\$ 1,472,696

The balances of loans as of December 31, 2012 by segment and evaluation method are summarized as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Loans individually		Loans collectively Total Loans
	evaluated for impairment	evaluated for impairment	
Commercial real estate	\$ 22,859	\$ 687,406	\$ 710,265
Commercial and industrial	10,831	317,748	328,579
Commercial construction	2,932	118,435	121,367
Residential	648	119,630	120,278
Home equity	109	75,539	75,648
Consumer	14	4,897	4,911
Deferred Fees	—	(1,393) (1,393
Total loans	\$ 37,393	\$ 1,322,262	\$ 1,359,655

(8) Supplemental Retirement Plan and Other Postretirement Benefit Obligations

Supplemental Retirement Plan (SERPs)

The Company has salary continuation agreements with two of its active executive officers, and one former executive officer who currently works on a part time basis. These agreements provide for predetermined fixed-cash supplemental retirement benefits to be provided for a period of 20 years after each individual reaches a defined “benefit age.” The Company has not recognized service cost in the current or prior year as each officer had previously attained their individually defined benefit age and was fully vested under the plan.

This non-qualified plan represents a direct liability of the Company, and as such has no specific assets set aside to settle the benefit obligation. The funded status is the aggregate amount accrued, or the “Accumulated Benefit Obligation,” which is equal to the present value of the benefits to be provided to the employee or any beneficiary in exchange for the employee’s service rendered to that date. Because the Company’s benefit obligations provide for predetermined fixed-cash payments, the Company does not have any unrecognized costs to be included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income.

The total net periodic benefit cost, which was comprised of interest cost only, was \$33 thousand for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$38 thousand for the three months ended September 30, 2012, and \$100 thousand for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$114 thousand for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Benefits paid amounted to \$69 thousand and \$207 thousand for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively. The Company anticipates accruing an additional \$33 thousand to the plan during the remainder of 2013.

Supplemental Life Insurance

For certain senior and executive officers on whom the Bank owns bank owned life insurance (“BOLI”), the Company has provided supplemental life insurance which provides a death benefit to the officer’s designated beneficiaries.

The Company has recognized a liability for future benefits associated with an endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangement that provides a benefit to an employee that extends to postretirement periods.

This non-qualified plan represents a direct liability of the Company, and as such has no specific assets set aside to settle the benefit obligation. The funded status is the aggregate amount accrued, or the “Accumulated Postretirement Benefit Obligation,” which is the present value of the post retirement benefits associated with this arrangement.

The following table illustrates the net periodic post retirement benefit cost for the supplemental life insurance plans for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Service Cost	\$—	\$3	\$1	\$6
Interest Cost	18	17	53	51
Net periodic post retirement benefit cost	\$18	\$20	\$54	\$57

(9) Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has individual stock incentive plans and has not changed the general terms and conditions of these plans from those disclosed in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The Company's stock-based compensation expense includes stock option awards and restricted stock awards to officers, other employees and directors, and stock compensation in lieu of cash fees to directors. Total stock-based compensation expense was \$380 thousand and \$1.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to \$304 thousand and \$956 thousand for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

Stock Option Awards

The Company recognized stock-based compensation expense related to stock option awards of \$75 thousand and \$344 thousand for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to \$65 thousand and \$190 thousand for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

There were a total of 44,475 and 67,750 stock option awards granted to employees during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Options granted in 2013 will vest 50% in year two and 50% in year four, on the anniversary date of the awards. Options granted in 2012 generally vest equally over four years, on the anniversary date of the award. Vested options are only exercisable while the employee remains employed with the Bank and for a limited time thereafter. The 2013 options expire ten years from the date of grant and the 2012 options expire seven years from the date of grant.

If a grantee's employment or other service relationship, such as service as a director, is terminated for any reason, then any stock options granted that have not vested as of the time of such termination generally must be forfeited, unless the Compensation Committee or the Board of Directors, as the case may be, waives such forfeiture requirement. In the case of retirement, under current Company guidelines, unvested stock options may be accelerated if the employee meets certain retirement criteria.

The Company utilizes the Black-Scholes option valuation model in order to determine the per share grant date fair value of option grants.

The table below provides a summary of the options granted, fair value, the fair value as a percentage of the market value of the stock at the date of grant and the average assumptions used in the model for the options granted in 2013 and 2012.

	Nine months ended September 30,		
	2013	2012	
Options granted	44,475	67,750	
Average assumptions used in the model:			
Expected volatility	48	% 50	%
Expected dividend yield	2.99	% 2.88	%
Expected life in years	7.0	5.5	
Risk-free interest rate	1.30	% 1.38	%
Market price on date of grant	\$16.46	\$16.25	
Per share weighted average fair value	\$6.58	\$6.33	
Fair value as a percentage of market value at grant date	40	% 39	%

Refer to Note 12 “Stock-Based Compensation Plans” in the Company’s 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a further description of the assumptions used in the valuation model.

Restricted Stock Awards

Stock-based compensation expense recognized in association with restricted stock awards amounted to \$265 thousand and \$746 thousand for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to \$207 thousand and \$623 thousand for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company granted 79,206 shares of common stock in the form of restricted stock awards. The awards were comprised of 25,325 shares awarded to employees generally vesting over four years in equal portions beginning on or about the first anniversary date of the award, 47,735 shares awarded to certain individuals that are performance based awards which vest upon the Company achieving certain predefined performance objectives and 6,146 shares awarded to directors vesting over two years in equal portions beginning on or about the first anniversary date of the award. The weighted average grant date fair value of the restricted stock awarded was \$16.45 per share, the weighted average market value of the common stock on the grant dates.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company granted 71,376 shares of common stock in the form of restricted stock awards comprised of 62,160 shares awarded to employees, generally vesting over four years, 3,000 shares awarded to an executive officer vesting immediately and 6,216 shares awarded to directors vesting over two years. The weighted average grant date fair value of the restricted stock awarded was \$16.25 per share, which reflects the weighted average market value of the common stock on the grant dates. The unvested 2012 awards generally vest, in each case, in equal portions beginning on or about the first anniversary date of the award.

The restricted stock awards allow for the receipt of dividends, and the voting of all shares, whether or not vested, throughout the vesting periods at the same proportional level as common shares outstanding.

If a grantee’s employment or other service relationship, such as service as a director, is terminated for any reason, then any shares of restricted stock granted that have not vested as of the time of such termination generally must be forfeited, unless the Compensation Committee or the Board of Directors, as the case may be, waives such forfeiture requirement. In the case of retirement, under current Company guidelines, a portion of the unvested restricted shares may be accelerated if the employee meets certain retirement criteria.

Stock in Lieu of Directors’ Fees

In addition to restricted stock awards discussed above, the members of the Company's Board of Directors may opt to receive newly issued shares of the Company's common stock in lieu of cash compensation for attendance at Board and Board Committee meetings. Stock-based compensation expense related to directors' election to receive shares of common stock in lieu of cash fees for attendance at Board and Board Committees meetings amounted to \$40 thousand and \$149 thousand for the

28

three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to \$32 thousand and \$143 thousand for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. In January 2013, directors were issued 12,592 shares of common stock in lieu of cash fees related to the 2012 annual directors' stock-based compensation expense of \$184 thousand and a market value price of \$14.63 per share, the market value of the common stock on the opt-in measurement date, January 3, 2012.

(10) Income Taxes

The Company uses the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax basis of assets and liabilities. The deferred tax assets and liabilities are reflected at currently enacted income tax rates applicable to the period in which the deferred tax assets or liabilities are expected to be realized or settled. As changes in tax laws or rates are enacted, deferred tax assets and liabilities will be adjusted accordingly through the provision for income taxes.

The Company's policy is to classify interest resulting from underpayment of income taxes as income tax expense in the first period the interest would begin accruing according to the provisions of the relevant tax law. The Company classifies penalties resulting from underpayment of income taxes as income tax expense in the period for which the Company claims or expects to claim an uncertain tax position or in the period in which the Company's judgment changes regarding an uncertain tax position.

The income tax provisions will differ from the expense that would result from applying the federal statutory rate to income before taxes, primarily due to the impact of tax exempt interest from certain investment securities, loans and bank owned life insurance.

The Company did not have any unrecognized tax benefits accrued as income tax liabilities or receivables or as deferred tax items at September 30, 2013. The Company is subject to U.S. federal and state income tax examinations by taxing authorities for the 2007 through 2012 tax years.

(11) Earnings per share

Basic earnings per share are calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding (including participating securities) during the year. The Company's only participating securities are unvested restricted stock awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends. Diluted earnings per share reflects the effect on weighted average shares outstanding of the number of additional shares outstanding if dilutive stock options were converted into common stock using the treasury stock method.

The table below presents the increase in average shares outstanding, using the treasury stock method, for the diluted earnings per share calculation for the periods indicated:

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	9,932,060	9,613,386	9,824,984	9,567,294
Dilutive shares	94,528	78,904	84,035	71,828
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	10,026,588	9,692,290	9,909,019	9,639,122

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, there were an additional 86 average stock options outstanding, which were excluded from the year-to-date calculation of diluted earnings per share due to the exercise price of these options exceeding the average market price of the Company's common stock for the period. These options, which were not dilutive at that date, may potentially dilute earnings per share in the future.

(12) Fair Value Measurements

The FASB defines the fair value of an asset or liability to be the price which a seller would receive in an orderly transaction between market participants (an exit price) and also establishes a fair value hierarchy segregating fair value measurements using three levels of inputs: (Level 1) quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities; (Level 2) significant other observable inputs, including quoted prices for similar items in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar items in markets that are not active, inputs such as interest rates and yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, credit risks and default rates which provide a reasonable basis for fair value determination or inputs derived principally from observed market data; (Level 3) significant unobservable inputs for situations in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. Unobservable inputs must reflect reasonable assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, which are developed on the basis of the best information available under the circumstances.

The following tables summarize significant assets and liabilities carried at fair value and placement in the fair value hierarchy at the dates specified:

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30,	Fair Value Measurements using:		
	2013	(level 1)	(level 2)	(level 3)
	Fair Value			
Assets measured on a recurring basis:				
Fixed income securities	\$183,509	\$—	\$183,509	\$—
Equity securities	11,909	11,909	—	—
FHLB Stock	4,324	—	—	4,324
Assets measured on a non-recurring basis:				
Impaired loans (collateral dependent)	6,210	—	—	6,210
Other real estate owned	114	—	—	114
	December 31,	Fair Value Measurements using:		
	2012	(level 1)	(level 2)	(level 3)
(Dollars in thousands)	Fair Value			
Assets measured on a recurring basis:				
Fixed income securities	\$173,796	\$—	\$173,796	\$—
Equity securities	10,668	10,668	—	—
FHLB Stock	4,260	—	—	4,260
Assets measured on a non-recurring basis:				
Impaired loans (collateral dependent)	7,110	—	—	7,110
Other real estate owned	500	—	—	500

The Company did not have cause to transfer any assets between the fair value measurement levels during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 or the year ended December 31, 2012. There were no liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring or non-recurring basis as of September 30, 2013 or December 31, 2012. There were no gains and \$23 thousand of losses due to changes in fair value, recorded in earnings for level 3 assets for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, and no gains or losses for the year ended December 31, 2012.

All of the Company's fixed income investments and equity securities that are considered "available for sale" are carried at fair value. The fixed income category above includes federal agency obligations, federal agency MBS, municipal securities, corporate bonds and certificates of deposits as held at those dates. Certificates of deposit are investment securities issued by financial institutions. They are subject to FDIC insurance and trade in the open market. The Company utilizes third-party pricing vendors to provide valuations on its fixed income securities. Fair values

provided by the vendors were generally determined based upon pricing matrices utilizing observable market data inputs for similar or benchmark securities in active markets and/or based on a matrix pricing methodology which employs The Bond Market Association's standard calculations for cash flow and price/yield analysis, live benchmark bond pricing and terms/condition data available from major pricing sources. Therefore,

30

management regards the inputs and methods used by third party pricing vendors to be “Level 2 inputs and methods” as defined in the “fair value hierarchy.” The Company periodically obtains a second price from an impartial third party on fixed income securities to assess the reasonableness of prices provided by the primary independent pricing vendor.

The Company’s equity portfolio fair value is measured based on quoted market prices for the shares; therefore, these securities are categorized as Level 1 within the fair value hierarchy.

Net unrealized appreciation and depreciation on investments available for sale, net of applicable income taxes, are reflected as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income.

The Bank is required to purchase FHLB stock at par value in association with advances from the FHLB; this stock is classified as a restricted investment and carried at cost which management believes approximates fair value; therefore, these securities are categorized as Level 3 measures.

Impaired loan balances in the table above represent those collateral dependent impaired commercial loans where management has estimated the credit loss by comparing the loan’s carrying value against the expected realizable fair value of the collateral (appraised value or internal analysis less estimated cost to sell, adjusted as necessary for changes in relevant valuation factors subsequent to the measurement date). Certain inputs used in these assessments, and possible subsequent adjustments, are not always observable, and therefore, collateral dependent impaired loans are categorized as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy. A specific allowance is assigned to the collateral dependent impaired loan for the amount of management’s estimated credit loss. The specific allowances assigned to the collateral dependent impaired loans at September 30, 2013 amounted to \$2.6 million compared to \$3.3 million at December 31, 2012, a net decrease of \$727 thousand.

Real estate acquired by the Company through foreclosure proceedings or the acceptance of a deed in lieu of foreclosure is classified as OREO. When property is acquired, it is generally recorded at the lesser of the loan’s remaining principal balance, net of unamortized deferred fees, or the estimated fair value of the property acquired, less estimated costs to sell. The estimated fair value is based on market appraisals and the Company’s internal analysis. Certain inputs used in appraisals or the Company’s internal analysis, are not always observable, and therefore, OREO may be categorized as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy. The carrying values of OREO at both September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 consisted of one property, respectively, at the end of each period. Two properties were added and two properties were sold during 2013; net gains realized on the sale of the OREO properties were \$121 thousand. During the current year a write down of \$23 thousand was booked on the remaining property to reflect current expected realizable value base on pending sales agreement.

The following table presents additional quantitative information about assets measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis for which the Company utilized Level 3 inputs (significant unobservable inputs for situations in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability) to determine fair value as of September 30, 2013.

(Dollars in thousands)	Fair Value	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Unobservable Input Value or Range
Assets measured on a recurring basis:				
FHLB Stock	\$4,324	FHLB Stated Par Value	N/A	N/A
Assets measured on a non-recurring basis:				
Impaired loans (collateral dependent)	\$6,210	Appraisal of collateral	Appraisal adjustments ⁽¹⁾	5% - 50%
Other real estate owned	\$114	Appraisal of collateral	Appraisal adjustments ⁽¹⁾	0% - 30%

- (1) Appraisals may be adjusted by management for qualitative factors such as economic conditions and estimated liquidation expenses.

Other Guarantees and Commitments

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance by a customer to a third party. The fair value of these commitments was estimated to be the fees charged to enter into similar agreements, and accordingly these fair value measures are deemed to be FASB Level 2 measurements. In accordance with the FASB, the estimated fair values of these commitments are carried on the balance sheet as a liability and amortized to income over the life of

the letters of credit, which are typically one year. The estimated fair value of these commitments carried on the balance sheet at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were deemed immaterial.

Interest rate lock commitments related to the origination of mortgage loans that will be sold are considered derivative instruments. The Company estimates the fair value of these derivatives using the difference between the guaranteed interest rate in the commitment and the current market interest rate. To reduce the net interest rate exposure arising from its loan sale activity, the Company enters into the commitment to sell these loans at essentially the same time that the interest rate lock commitment is quoted on the origination of the loan. The commitments to sell loans are also considered derivative instruments, with estimated fair values based on changes in current market rates. These commitments represent the Company's only derivative instruments and are accounted for in accordance with FASB guidance. The fair values of the Company's derivative instruments are deemed to be FASB Level 2 measurements. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the estimated fair value of the Company's derivative instruments was considered to be immaterial.

Estimated Fair Values of Assets and Liabilities

In addition to disclosures regarding the measurement of assets and liabilities carried at fair value on the balance sheet, the Company is also required to disclose fair value information about financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value, whether or not recognized on the balance sheet. In cases where quoted fair values are not available, fair values are based upon estimates using various valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating fair values of its financial instruments:

Loans held for sale: Loans held for sale are recorded at the lower of aggregate amortized cost or market value. The fair value is based on comparable market prices for loans with similar rates and terms.

Loans: The fair value of loans was determined using discounted cash flow analysis, using interest rates currently being offered by the Company. The incremental credit risk for non-accrual loans was considered in the determination of the fair value of the loans. This method of estimating fair value does not incorporate the exit price concept of fair value.

Commitments: The fair values of the unused portion of lines of credit and letters of credit were estimated to be the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements. Commitments to originate non-mortgage loans were short-term and were at current market rates and estimated to have no significant change in fair value.

Financial liabilities: The fair values of certificates of deposit and borrowings were estimated using discounted cash flow analysis using rates offered by the Bank, or advance rates offered by the FHLB on September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 for similar instruments. The fair value of junior subordinated debentures was estimated using discounted cash flow analysis using a market rate of interest at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Limitations: The estimates of fair value of financial instruments were based on information available at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and are not indicative of the fair market value of those instruments as of the date of this report. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. The fair value of the Company's time deposit liabilities do not take into consideration the value of the Company's long-term relationships with depositors, which may have significant value.

Because no active market exists for a portion of the Company's financial instruments, fair value estimates were based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could

significantly affect the estimates.

Fair value estimates were based on existing on- and off-balance sheet financial instruments without an attempt to estimate the value of anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments.

In addition, the tax ramifications related to the realization of the unrealized appreciation and depreciation can have a significant effect on fair value estimates and have not been considered in any of the estimates. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented do not represent the underlying value of the Company.

32

The carrying values, estimated fair values and placement in the fair value hierarchy of the Company's financial instruments⁽¹⁾ for which fair value is only disclosed but not recognized on the balance sheet at the dates indicated are summarized as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2013		Fair value measurement		
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Level 1 inputs	Level 2 Inputs	Level 3 Inputs
Financial assets:					
Loans held for sale	\$1,061	\$1,069	\$—	\$1,069	—
Loans, net	1,446,697	1,482,608	—	—	1,482,608
Financial liabilities:					
Certificates of deposit (including brokered)	259,330	258,054	—	258,054	—
Borrowed funds	1,880	1,883	—	1,883	—
Junior subordinated debentures	10,825	11,583	—	—	11,583
(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2012		Fair value measurement		
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Level 1 inputs	Level 2 Inputs	Level 3 Inputs
Financial assets:					
Loans held for sale	\$8,557	\$8,603	\$—	\$8,603	—
Loans, net	1,335,401	1,355,893	—	—	1,355,893
Financial liabilities:					
Certificates of deposit (including brokered)	231,198	231,687	—	231,687	—
Borrowed funds	26,540	26,553	—	26,553	—
Junior subordinated debentures	10,825	12,597	—	—	12,597

Excluded from this table are certain financial instruments that approximated their fair value, as they were short-term in nature or payable on demand. These include cash and cash equivalents, accrued interest receivable, non-term deposit accounts, and accrued interest payable. The respective carrying values of these instruments would all be considered to be classified within Level 1 of their fair value hierarchy.

Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Management's discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Company's (also referred to herein as "Enterprise," "us," "we" or "our") consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in this report and the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Accounting Policies/Critical Accounting Estimates

As discussed in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, the three most significant areas in which management applies critical assumptions and estimates that are particularly susceptible to change relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, impairment review of investment securities and the impairment review of goodwill. The Company has not changed its significant accounting and reporting policies from those disclosed in its 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains certain "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, including statements concerning plans, objectives, future events or performance and assumptions and other statements that are other than statements of historical fact. Forward-looking statements may be identified by reference to a future period or periods or by use of forward-looking terminology such as "anticipates," "believes," "expects," "intends," "may," "plans," "pursue," "views" and similar terms or expressions. Various statements contained in Item 2 - "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and Item 3 - "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk," including, but not limited to, statements related to management's views on the banking environment and the economy, competition and market expansion opportunities, the interest rate environment, credit risk and the level of future non-performing assets and charge-offs, potential asset and deposit growth, future non-interest expenditures and non-interest income growth, and borrowing capacity are forward-looking statements. The Company wishes to caution readers that such forward-looking statements reflect numerous assumptions and involve a number of risks and uncertainties that may adversely affect the Company's future results. The forward-looking statements in this report are based on information available to the Company as of the date of this report and the Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or otherwise revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. The following important factors, among others, could cause the Company's results for subsequent periods to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statement made herein: (i) changes in interest rates could negatively impact net interest income; (ii) changes in the business cycle and downturns in the local, regional or national economies, including deterioration in the local real estate market, could negatively impact credit and/or asset quality and result in credit losses and increases in the Company's allowance for loan losses; (iii) changes in consumer spending could negatively impact the Company's credit quality and financial results; (iv) increasing competition from larger regional and out-of-state banking organizations as well as non-bank providers of various financial services could adversely affect the Company's competitive position within its market area and reduce demand for the Company's products and services; (v) deterioration of securities markets could adversely affect the value or credit quality of the Company's assets and the availability of funding sources necessary to meet the Company's liquidity needs; (vi) changes in technology could adversely impact the Company's operations and increase technology-related expenditures; (vii) increases in employee compensation and benefit expenses could adversely affect the Company's financial results; (viii) changes in laws and regulations that apply to the Company's business and operations, including without limitation, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act (the "JOBS Act"), the Basel III rules adopted by the federal banking regulators and the additional regulations that will be forthcoming as a result thereof, could adversely affect the Company's business environment, operations and financial results; (ix) changes in accounting standards, policies and practices, as may be adopted or established by the regulatory agencies, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") or the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board could negatively impact the Company's financial results; (x) our ability to enter new markets

successfully and capitalize on growth opportunities; (xi) future regulatory compliance costs, including any increase caused by new regulations imposed by the Consumer Finance Protection Bureau; (xii) changes to the regulatory capital requirements mandated under rulemaking pursuant to Basel III; and (xiii) some or all of the risks and uncertainties described in Item 1A of the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K could be realized, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operation. Therefore, the Company cautions readers not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking information and statements.

Table of Contents

Overview

Executive Summary

The Company continued to focus on organic growth and market expansion while management remains committed to strategic investments in technology, our communities, and our family of Enterprise employees. In April 2013, the Company opened a branch office in Lawrence, MA. We continue to build for the Company's future by strengthening our franchise in Massachusetts and Southern New Hampshire, with the pending completion of our 22nd full service branch office, located in Nashua, NH, which is scheduled to open in the fourth quarter of 2013.

Through the first nine months of 2013, total assets increased \$166.8 million, or 10.0%, compared to December 31, 2012, amounting to \$1.83 billion at September 30, 2013. Loans outstanding and deposits, excluding brokered deposits, have increased \$113.0 million, or 8%, and \$130.4 million, or 9%, respectively, in the first nine months of 2013. On an annualized basis, total assets, loans and deposits, excluding brokered deposits, have grown 13%, 11% and 12%, respectively, in 2013.

Net income for the three months ended September 30, 2013 amounted to \$3.5 million, representing an increase of \$470 thousand, or 15%, compared to the same three month period in 2012. Diluted earnings per share were \$0.35 for the three months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of 9%, compared to the same period in the prior year. Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 amounted to \$10.0 million, representing an increase of \$904 thousand, or 10%, compared to the same nine month period in 2012. Diluted earnings per share were \$1.01 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of 6%, compared to the same period in the prior year.

The Company's earnings are largely dependent on its net interest income, which is the difference between interest earned on loans and investments and the cost of funding (primarily deposits and borrowings). Net interest income expressed as a percentage of average interest earning assets is referred to as net interest margin. The Company reports net interest margin on a tax equivalent basis ("margin"). Consistent with industry trends, during the first nine months of 2013, the Company's margin continued to trend downward, as the yield on interest-earning assets declined faster than the cost of funding, as funding rates have reached a level leaving little room for significant reductions. The Company's success in growing the commercial loan portfolio and its low cost deposit base has helped to offset the impact of this margin compression.

The Company's growth contributed to increases in net interest income, non-interest income and the level of operating expenses for the current year compared to the same 2012 periods. Additionally, the September 30, 2013 financial results benefited from a lower loan loss provision compared to the same periods in 2012. Non-interest income also increased as a result of higher gains on securities sales in the current year.

Composition of Earnings

Net interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2013 amounted to \$16.6 million, an increase of \$985 thousand, or 6%, compared to the same period in 2012. Net interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 amounted to \$48.6 million, an increase of \$2.6 million, or 6%, compared to the same period in 2012. This increase in net interest income was due primarily to revenue generated from loan growth, partially offset by a decrease in tax equivalent net interest margin ("margin"). Average loan balances (including loans held for sale) increased \$166.5 million and \$156.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2012. Net interest margin was 4.02% for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, compared to 4.20% for the quarter ended September 30, 2012. Year-to-date margins were 4.09% and 4.29% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The provision for loan losses amounted to \$583 thousand for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to \$800 thousand for the same period in 2012. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the provision for loan losses amounted to \$1.9 million and \$2.2 million, respectively. In determining the provision to the allowance for loan losses, management takes into consideration the level of loan growth and an estimate of credit risk, which includes such items as adversely classified and non-performing loans, the estimated specific reserves needed for impaired loans, the level of net charge-offs, and the estimated impact of current economic conditions on credit quality. The level of loan growth for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$113.0 million, compared to \$52.9 million during the same period in 2012. Total non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets were 1.09% at September 30, 2013, compared to 1.50% at September 30, 2012. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recorded net charge-offs of \$155 thousand compared to \$1.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Management continues to closely monitor the non-performing assets, charge-offs and necessary allowance levels, including specific reserves. The allowance for loan losses to total loans ratio was 1.77% at September 30, 2013, 1.78% at December 31, 2012 and 1.84% at September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

For further information regarding loan quality statistics and the allowance for loan losses, see the sections below under the heading "Financial Condition" titled "Asset Quality" and "Allowance for Loan Losses."

Non-interest income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 amounted to \$3.2 million and \$10.4 million, respectively. This represents increases of \$183 thousand, or 6%, and \$1.4 million, or 16%, compared to the same three and nine month periods, respectively, in 2012. Current year non-interest income benefited primarily from gains on securities sales, with the majority of sales occurring in the first six months of 2013. The quarter and year-to-date increases also included increases in investment advisory fee income and deposit and interchange fees.

Non-interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2013 amounted to \$13.8 million, an increase of \$771 thousand, or 6%, compared to the third quarter of 2012. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, non-interest expense amounted to \$41.7 million, an increase of \$2.9 million, or 7%, compared to the same period in the prior year. Increased expenses related to salaries and benefits over the comparable periods in the prior year were primarily due to the Company's strategic growth initiatives, including branch and market expansion. This growth also resulted in increased occupancy and technology expenses in the current year-to-date period compared to the same period in 2012. Also impacting the current year-to-date period were increases in advertising, primarily due to the timing of corporate community events, and "other expenses" primarily due to deposit program and loan servicing costs.

Sources and Uses of Funds

The Company's primary sources of funds are deposits, Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") borrowings, current earnings and proceeds from the sales, maturities and pay-downs on loans and investment securities. The Company may also, from time to time, utilize brokered deposits and overnight borrowings from correspondent banks to provide additional funding sources and to aid in the company's asset liability and interest rate risk positioning. These funds are used to originate loans, purchase investment securities, conduct operations, expand the branch network, and pay dividends to shareholders.

The investment portfolio is primarily used to provide liquidity, manage the Company's asset-liability position and to invest excess funds. Total investments, one of the key components of earning assets, amounted to \$195.4 million at September 30, 2013, and comprised 11% of total assets at both September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Enterprise's main asset strategy is to grow loans, the largest component of earning assets, primarily high quality commercial loans. Total loans increased \$113.0 million, or 8%, since December 31, 2012, primarily in commercial real estate, and amounted to \$1.47 billion, or 80% of total assets, at September 30, 2013. Total commercial loans amounted to \$1.27 billion, or 86% of gross loans, which was consistent with the composition at December 31, 2012.

Management's preferred strategy for funding asset growth is to grow low cost deposits (comprised of demand deposit accounts, interest and business checking accounts and traditional savings accounts). Asset growth in excess of low cost deposits is typically funded through higher cost deposits (comprised of money market accounts, commercial tiered rate or "investment savings" accounts and term certificates of deposit) and wholesale funding (brokered deposits and borrowed funds).

At September 30, 2013, deposits, excluding brokered deposits, amounted to \$1.60 billion, an increase of \$130.4 million, or 9%, from December 31, 2012 balances. This increase since December 31, 2012 was primarily due to increases in checking account balances of \$71.0 million, or 12%, and savings and money market account balances of \$79.7 million, or 12%, partially offset by a decrease of \$20.4 million, or 9%, in CD balances.

Wholesale funding amounted to \$53.4 million at September 30, 2013, compared to \$29.6 million at December 31, 2012. As part of the Company's asset-liability management strategy to protect against rising rates, in the second quarter of 2013, wholesale funding balances were shifted from overnight FHLB borrowings to brokered CDs with an average life of approximately five years.

Wholesale funding included FHLB advances of \$1.9 million and \$26.5 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and brokered deposits of \$51.5 million and \$3.0 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

Opportunities and Risks

The Company's ability to achieve its long-term strategic growth and market share objectives will depend in part upon the Company's continued success in differentiating itself in the market place and its ability to strengthen its competitive position by capitalizing on market opportunities created by the current challenging banking landscape.

Enterprise faces robust competition to retain and attract customers within existing and neighboring geographic markets. National and larger regional banks have a local presence in the Company's market area. These larger banks have certain competitive advantages, including greater financial resources and the ability to make larger loans to a single borrower. Numerous local savings banks, commercial banks, cooperative banks and credit unions also compete in the Company's market area. The expanded commercial lending capabilities of credit unions and the shift to commercial lending by traditional savings banks means that both of these types of traditionally consumer-orientated institutions now compete for the Company's targeted commercial customers. In addition, the non-taxable status of credit unions allows them certain advantages as compared to taxable institutions such as Enterprise. Competition for loans, deposits and cash management services, investment advisory assets, and insurance business also comes from other businesses that provide financial services, including consumer finance companies, mortgage brokers and lenders, private lenders, insurance companies, securities brokerage firms, institutional mutual funds, registered investment advisors, internet based banks and non-bank payment channels. Advances in, and the increased use of technology, such as internet and mobile banking, electronic transaction processing and information security, are expected to have a significant impact on the future competitive landscape confronting financial institutions.

Management believes the Company's community service culture, business model, full range of diversified financial products and services, and state-of-the-art delivery channels position the Company to be a leading provider of banking and investment advisory and wealth management services in its growing market area. The Company has differentiated itself by building a solid reputation within the local market for delivering consistently superior customer service and taking an active role in support of the communities we serve. The Company's banking professionals have developed in-depth knowledge of our markets and are committed to upholding the Company's core values, including significant community involvement, which has led to a strong referral network with local business and community leaders.

The Company seeks to increase deposit share through continuous reviews of deposit product offerings, ancillary services and delivery channels, targeted to both business' and consumers' needs. These products and services are delivered by experienced local banking professionals who possess strong technical skills, and function as trusted advisors to clients. In addition, Enterprise carefully plans deposit expansion through new branch development. The Company has opened two branch offices in the last year, and another office in Nashua, NH is scheduled to open by the end of the year, our fifth southern NH location. The Company believes that each of these offices is strategically located to complement existing locations while expanding the Company's geographic market footprint. This branch expansion is aimed at achieving not only deposit market share growth, but also contributes to loan originations and generates referrals for investment advisory and wealth management and insurance services.

Management believes that Enterprise is also well equipped to capitalize on market potential to grow both the commercial and residential loan portfolios through strong business development efforts, while utilizing a disciplined and consistent lending approach and credit review practices, which has served to provide quality asset growth over varying economic cycles during the Company's twenty-four year history. The Company has a skilled lending sales force with a broad breadth of business knowledge and depth of lending experience to draw upon, supported by a highly qualified and experienced commercial credit review function.

Management continues to undertake significant strategic initiatives, including investments in employee training and development, marketing and public relations, technology and electronic delivery methods, branch expansion and ongoing improvements and renovations of existing branches and operations facilities. Industry consolidation also

provides management the opportunity to recruit experienced banking professionals with market knowledge who complement the Enterprise sales and service culture. While management recognizes that such investments increase expenses in the short-term, Enterprise believes that such initiatives are a necessary investment in the long-term growth and earnings of the Company and are reflective of the opportunities in the current marketplace for community banks such as Enterprise. However, lower than expected return on these investments, such as slower than anticipated loan and deposit growth in new branches and/or lower than expected fee or other income generated from new technology or initiatives, could decrease anticipated revenues and net income on such investments in the future.

Any prolonged deterioration of the current economic environment could weaken the local New England economy and have adverse repercussions on local industries, leading to increased unemployment and mortgage foreclosures, further deterioration of local commercial real estate values, or other unforeseen consequences, which could have a severe negative impact on the

Table of Contents

Company's financial condition, capital position, liquidity, and performance. In addition, the loan portfolio consists primarily of commercial real estate, commercial and industrial, and commercial construction loans. These types of loans are typically larger and are generally viewed as having more risk of default than owner occupied residential real estate loans or consumer loans. Any significant deterioration in the credit quality of the commercial loan portfolio or underlying collateral values due to a continuation or worsening of the current economic environment could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations. The risk of loss due to customers' non-payment of loans or lines of credit is called "credit risk." Credit risk management is reviewed below in this Item 2 under the headings "Credit Risk," "Asset Quality" and "Allowance for Loan Losses."

The value of the investment portfolio as a whole, or individual securities held, including restricted FHLB capital stock, could be negatively impacted by any sustained volatility in the financial markets or in credit markets, or fundamental deterioration of credit quality of the individual security, fund or issuer, which could possibly result in the recognition of additional other-than-temporary-impairment ("OTTI") charges in the future.

In addition, a sustained low interest rate environment caused by the current economic cycle, or other factors, could negatively impact the Company's net interest income and results of operation. The re-pricing frequency of interest earning assets and liabilities are not identical, and, therefore, subject the Company to the risk of adverse changes in interest rates. This is often referred to as "interest rate risk" and is reviewed in more detail under the heading Item 3, "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk," below.

Liquidity management is the coordination of activities so that cash needs are anticipated and met, readily and efficiently. Liquidity management is reviewed further below in this Item 2 under the heading "Liquidity."

Federal banking agencies require the Company and the Bank to meet minimum capital requirements. For information regarding the current capital requirements applicable to the Company and the Bank and their respective capital levels at September 30, 2013, and the recently adopted changes to the regulatory capital framework, see the section entitled "Capital Resources" contained in this Item 2 below. At September 30, 2013, both the Company and the Bank were categorized as "well capitalized;" however, future unanticipated charges against capital, or changes in regulatory requirements such as Basel III discussed below, could impact those regulatory capital designations.

In addition, any further changes in government regulation or oversight, including the implementation by the federal regulatory agencies of the various requirements contained in the Dodd-Frank Act and rules under Basel III, could affect the Company in substantial and unpredictable ways, including, but not limited to, subjecting the Company to additional operating, governance and compliance costs, or potential loss of revenue due to the impact of an enhanced regulatory structure on the banking industry, and require all U.S. banking organizations, including community banks, such as Enterprise Bank, to hold higher amounts of capital, especially common equity, against their risk-weighted assets. Although several significant aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act and the Basel III rule expressly apply only to larger, "systemically significant" institutions, they may have the potential to influence the Company's business decisions, while other parts of the legislation apply either directly, or potentially indirectly, to activities of community banks, such as Enterprise.

Many aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act are subject to rulemaking by various regulatory agencies and will take effect over several years, making it difficult at this time to anticipate the overall financial impact of this expansive legislation on the Company, its customers or the financial industry generally. Likewise, any new consumer financial protection laws enacted by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau would apply to all banks and thrifts, and may increase the Company's compliance and operational costs in the future.

Compliance risk includes the threat of fines, civil money penalties, lawsuits and restricted growth opportunities resulting from violations and/or non-conformance with laws, rules, regulations, prescribed practices, internal policies

and procedures, or ethical standards. The Company maintains a Compliance Management Program (CMP) designed to meet regulatory and legislative requirements. The CMP provides for tracking and implementing regulatory changes, monitoring the effectiveness of policies and procedures, conducting compliance risk assessments, and educating employees in matters relating to regulatory compliance. The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors oversees the effectiveness of the CMP.

Operational risk includes the threat of loss from inadequate or failed internal processes, people, systems or external events, due to, among other things: fraud or error; the inability to deliver products or services; failure to maintain a competitive position; lack of information or physical security; or violations of ethical standards. Controls to manage operational risk include, but are not limited to, technology administration, information security, vendor management and business continuity planning.

Table of Contents

The Company's technology administration includes policies and guidelines for the design, procurement, installation, management and acceptable use of hardware, software and network devices. The Company has implemented layered security approaches for all delivery channels and technology project standards that are designed to provide risk based oversight, coordinate and communicate ideas, and to prioritize and manage project implementation in a manner consistent with corporate objectives.

Management utilizes a combination of third party information security assessments, key technologies and ongoing internal evaluations to provide a level of protection of non-public personal information and to continually monitor and attempt to safeguard information on its operating systems and those of third party service providers. The Company also utilizes firewall technology and a combination of software and third-party monitoring to detect intrusion, guard against unauthorized access, and continuously scan for computer viruses on the Company's information systems.

The Company has a risk-based, third-party vendor management program designed to provide a mechanism to enable management to determine what risk, if any, a particular vendor exposes the Company to, to rate those risks, and to mitigate that risk by properly performing initial and ongoing due diligence when selecting or maintaining relationships with significant third-party providers.

The Company's Business Continuity Plan consists of the information and procedures required to enable a rapid recovery from an occurrence that would disable the Company's operations for an extended period, due to such circumstances as: loss of personnel; loss of information and/or loss of access to information under various scenarios; and loss of access to, or the physical destruction or damage of, facilities, infrastructure or systems. The plan, which is reviewed annually, establishes responsibility for assessing a disruption of business, contains alternative strategies for the continuance of critical business functions during an emergency situation, assigns responsibility for restoring services, and sets priorities by which critical services will be restored. A bank-owned and maintained secondary data center location provides the Company auxiliary network processing capabilities and flexibility.

Any system of controls or contingency plan, however well designed and operated, is based in part on certain assumptions and can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurances that the objectives of the controls and procedures will be met.

This Opportunities and Risks discussion should be read in conjunction with Item 1A "Risk Factors," and the section titled "Opportunities and Risk" contained in Item 7 "Management's discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations" included in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, which address numerous other factors and details that could adversely affect the Company's reputation, its future results of operations and financial condition.

Financial Condition

Total assets increased \$166.8 million, or 10%, since December 31, 2012, to \$1.83 billion at September 30, 2013. The balance sheet composition and changes since December 31, 2012 are discussed below.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents is comprised of cash on hand and cash items due from banks, interest-earning deposits (deposit accounts, money market, and money market mutual funds accounts) and fed funds sold. Cash and cash equivalents amounted to 6% of total assets at September 30, 2013, compared to 3% at December 31, 2012.

Investments

At September 30, 2013, the carrying value of the investment portfolio amounted to \$195.4 million, an increase of \$11.0 million, or 6%, compared to December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes investments at the dates indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2013		December 31, 2012		September 30, 2012			
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent		
Federal agency obligations ⁽¹⁾	\$63,184	32.3	% \$65,685	35.6	% \$75,739	39.5	%	
Federal agency mortgage backed securities (MBS) ⁽¹⁾	56,011	28.7	% 49,674	26.9	% 48,700	25.4	%	
Municipal securities	58,896	30.1	% 56,523	30.7	% 56,551	29.5	%	
Corporate bonds	4,731	2.4	% 1,914	1.0	% 656	0.3	%	
Certificates of deposits	687	0.4	% —	—	% 500	0.3	%	
Total fixed income securities	183,509	93.9	% 173,796	94.2	% 182,146	95.0	%	
Equity investments	11,909	6.1	% 10,668	5.8	% 9,550	5.0	%	
Total available for sale investments at fair value	\$195,418	100.0	% \$184,464	100.0	% \$191,696	100.0	%	

These categories may include investments issued or guaranteed by government sponsored enterprises such as Fannie Mae (FNMA), Freddie Mac (FHLMC), Ginnie Mae (GNMA), Federal Farm Credit Bank, or one of several Federal Home Loan Banks. All agency MBS/CMO investments owned by the Company are backed by residential mortgages.

Included in the federal agency MBS categories above were CMOs totaling \$18.9 million, \$23.6 million, and \$25.8 million at September 30, 2013, December 31, 2012, and September 30, 2012, respectively.

Certificates of Deposits ("CDs") represent term deposits issued by banks, that are subject to FDIC insurance, and purchased on the open market. The Company utilizes these CDs as an alternative investment option to holding short-term excess cash in lower yielding overnight deposit accounts. These securities have maturities of less than a year and the market value approximates the carrying value.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the total principal pay downs, calls and maturities amounted to \$13.7 million. During the period, the Company purchased \$35.7 million in securities. In addition, management sold investment securities with an amortized cost of approximately \$7.3 million realizing net gains on sales of \$1.0 million, primarily on equity investments in the first half of 2013 in order to capitalize on market opportunities.

Net unrealized gains on the investment portfolio amounted to \$3.4 million at September 30, 2013 compared to \$6.4 million at December 31, 2012 and \$6.5 million at September 30, 2012. The Company attributes the decrease in net unrealized gains in the current period primarily to the impact of increases in current market yields on the Company's fixed income securities. Unrealized gains or losses will only be recognized in the statements of income if the investments are sold. However, should an investment be deemed "other than temporarily impaired," the Company is required to write-down the fair value of the investment. See Note 4, "Investment Securities," and Note 12, "Fair Value Measurements," to the Company's unaudited consolidated financial statements contained in Item 1 above for further information regarding the Company's unrealized gains and losses on debt and equity securities, including information about investments in an unrealized loss position for which an other-than-temporary impairment has or has not been recognized, and investments pledged as collateral, as well as the Company's fair value measurements for available-for-sale securities.

Federal Home Loan Bank Stock

The Company is required to purchase stock of the FHLB in association with advances from the FHLB; this stock is classified as a restricted investment and carried at cost, which management believes approximates fair value. The carrying amount of FHLB stock was \$4.3 million for each of the periods ended September 30, 2013, December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012. See Note 5, "Restricted Investments," to the Company's unaudited consolidated financial statements contained in Item 1 above for further information regarding the Company's investment in FHLB stock.

Table of Contents

Loans

Total loans represented 80% of total assets as of September 30, 2013, compared to 82% at December 31, 2012. Total loans increased \$113.0 million, or 8%, compared to December 31, 2012, and \$174.3 million, or 13%, since September 30, 2012. The mix of loans within the portfolio remained relatively unchanged with commercial loans amounting to approximately 86% of gross loans, reflecting a continued focus on commercial loan growth.

The following table sets forth the loan balances by certain loan categories at the dates indicated and the percentage of each category to gross loans.

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2013		December 31, 2012		September 30, 2012			
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent		
Commercial real estate	\$803,069	54.5	% \$710,265	52.2	% \$687,920	52.9	%	
Commercial and industrial	346,888	23.5	% 328,579	24.1	% 320,278	24.7	%	
Commercial construction	115,254	7.8	% 121,367	8.9	% 120,684	9.3	%	
Total commercial loans	1,265,211	85.8	% 1,160,211	85.2	% 1,128,882	86.9	%	
Residential mortgages	129,422	8.8	% 120,278	8.8	% 92,967	7.1	%	
Home equity loans and lines	72,832	4.9	% 75,648	5.6	% 73,517	5.7	%	
Consumer	6,706	0.5	% 4,911	0.4	% 4,380	0.3	%	
Total retail loans	208,960	14.2	% 200,837	14.8	% 170,864	13.1	%	
Gross loans	1,474,171	100.0	% 1,361,048	100.0	% 1,299,746	100.0	%	
Deferred fees, net	(1,475)		(1,393)		(1,379)			
Total loans	1,472,696		1,359,655		1,298,367			
Allowance for loan losses	(25,999)		(24,254)		(23,930)			
Net loans	\$1,446,697		\$1,335,401		\$1,274,437			

Commercial real estate loans increased \$92.8 million, or 13%, as of September 30, 2013, compared to December 31, 2012, and increased 17% compared to September 30, 2012. Commercial real estate loans are typically secured by one-to-four and multi-family apartment buildings, office or mixed-use facilities, strip shopping centers or other commercial or industrial property.

Commercial and industrial loans increased by \$18.3 million, or 6%, since December 31, 2012, and increased 8% as compared to September 30, 2012. These loans include seasonal revolving lines of credit, working capital loans, equipment financing (including equipment leases), and term loans. Also included in commercial and industrial loans are loans under various U.S. Small Business Administration programs.

Commercial construction loans decreased by \$6.1 million, or 5%, since December 31, 2012, and also decreased 4% as compared to September 30, 2012. Commercial construction loans include the development of residential housing and condominium projects, the development of commercial and industrial use property and loans for the purchase and improvement of raw land.

Retail loans increased by \$8.1 million, or 4%, since December 31, 2012 and increased by \$38.1 million, or 22%, since September 30, 2012. The increase since the prior year was primarily within the residential mortgage portfolio, partially offset by a slight decrease in home equity loans and lines. In the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company purchased a group of residential mortgage loans with a balance at September 30, 2013 of \$17.2 million.

At September 30, 2013, commercial loan balances participated out to various banks amounted to \$52.9 million, compared to \$53.6 million at December 31, 2012, and \$51.4 million at September 30, 2012. These balances

participated out to other institutions are not carried as assets on the Company's financial statements. Loans originated by other banks in which the Company is the participating institution are carried at the pro-rata share of ownership and amounted to \$35.0 million, \$28.6 million and \$25.8 million at September 30, 2013, December 31, 2012, and September 30, 2012, respectively. In each case, the participating bank funds a percentage of the loan commitment and takes on the related risk. In each case in which the Company participates in a loan, the rights and obligations of each participating bank are divided proportionately among the participating banks in an amount equal to their share of ownership and with equal priority among all banks.

Table of Contents

See Note 6, “Loans,” to the Company’s unaudited consolidated financial statements contained in Item 1 for information on loans serviced for others and loans pledged as collateral.

Credit Risk

The Company manages its loan portfolio to avoid concentration by industry and loan size to minimize its credit risk exposure. In addition, the Company does not have a “sub-prime” mortgage program. However, inherent in the lending process is the risk of loss due to customer non-payment, or “credit risk.” The Company’s commercial lending focus may entail significant additional risks compared to long term financing on existing, owner-occupied residential real estate. These types of loans are typically larger and are generally viewed as having more risk of default than owner-occupied residential real estate loans or consumer loans. The underlying commercial real estate values, customer cash flow and payment expectations and, in the case of commercial construction loans, the actual costs necessary to complete a construction project, can be more easily influenced by adverse conditions in the local or national economy, the real estate market, or the related industries. As such, an extended downturn in the national or local economy or real estate markets, among other factors, could have a material impact on the borrowers’ ability to repay outstanding loans and on the value of the collateral securing these loans. While the Company endeavors to minimize this risk through sound underwriting practices and the credit risk management function, management recognizes that loan losses will occur and that the amount of these losses will fluctuate depending on the risk characteristics of the loan portfolio and economic conditions.

The credit risk management function focuses on a wide variety of factors, including, among others, current and expected economic conditions, the real estate market, the financial condition of borrowers, the ability of borrowers to adapt to changing conditions or circumstances affecting their business and the continuity of borrowers’ management teams. Early detection of credit issues is critical to minimize credit losses. Accordingly, management regularly monitors these factors, among others, through ongoing credit reviews by the Credit Department, an external loan review service, reviews by members of senior management and the Loan Committee of the Board of Directors. This review includes the assessment of internal credit quality indicators such as the risk classification of loans, adversely classified loans, past due and non-accrual loans, impaired and restructured loans, and the level of foreclosure activity.

The Company’s loan risk rating system classifies loans depending on risk of loss characteristics. The classifications range from “substantially risk free” for the highest quality loans and loans that are secured by cash collateral, to the more severe adverse classifications of “substandard,” “doubtful” and “loss” based on criteria established under banking regulations. Loans classified as “substandard” include those characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Loans classified as “doubtful” have all the weaknesses inherent in a substandard rated loan with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or full payment from liquidation, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable. Loans classified as “loss” are generally considered uncollectible at present, although long term recovery of part or all of loan proceeds may be possible. These “loss” loans would require a specific loss reserve or charge-off. Adversely classified loans may be accruing or in non-accrual status and may be additionally designated as restructured and/or impaired, or some combination thereof. Loans which are evaluated to be of weaker credit quality are reviewed on a more frequent basis by management.

Loans on which the accrual of interest has been discontinued are designated as non-performing or non-accrual loans. Accrual of interest on loans is generally discontinued when a loan becomes contractually past due, with respect to interest or principal, by 90 days, or when reasonable doubt exists as to the full and timely collection of interest or principal. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, all interest previously accrued but not collected is reversed against current period interest income. Interest accruals are resumed on such loans only when payments are brought current and have remained current for a period of 180 days or when, in the judgment of management, the collectability of both principal and interest is reasonably assured. Interest payments received on loans in a non-accrual status are

generally applied to principal on the books of the Company.

Impaired loans are individually significant loans for which management considers it probable that not all amounts due (principal and interest) in accordance with original contractual terms will be collected. The majority of impaired loans are included within the non-accrual balances; however, not every loan in non-accrual status has been designated as impaired. Impaired loans include loans that have been modified in a troubled debt restructure ("TDR"). Impaired loans exclude large groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans, such as residential mortgage loans and consumer loans, which are collectively evaluated for impairment and loans that are measured at fair value, unless the loan is amended in a TDR.

Management does not set any minimum delay of payments as a factor in reviewing for impaired classification. Management considers the payment status, net worth and earnings potential of the borrower, and the value and cash flow of the collateral as factors to determine if a loan will be paid in accordance with its contractual terms.

Table of Contents

When a loan is deemed to be impaired, management estimates the credit loss by comparing the loan's carrying value against either 1) the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate; 2) the loan's observable market price; or 3) the expected realizable fair value of the collateral, in the case of collateral dependent loans. A specific allowance is assigned to the impaired loan for the amount of estimated credit loss. Impaired loans are charged off, in whole or in part, when management believes that the recorded investment in the loan is uncollectible.

Loans are designated as a TDR when, as part of an agreement to modify the original contractual terms of the loan, the Bank grants a concession on the terms, that would not otherwise be considered, as a result of financial difficulties of the borrower. Typically, such concessions consist of a reduction in interest rate to a below market rate, taking into account the credit quality of the note, or a deferment or reduction of payments (principal or interest), which materially alters the Bank's position or significantly extends the note's maturity date, such that the present value of cash flows to be received is materially less than those contractually established at the loan's origination. All loans that are modified are reviewed by the Company to identify if a TDR has occurred. TDR loans are included in the impaired loan category and as such, these loans are individually reviewed and evaluated, and a specific reserve is assigned for the amount of the estimated credit loss.

Real estate acquired by the Company through foreclosure proceedings or the acceptance of a deed in lieu of foreclosure is classified as Other Real Estate Owned ("OREO"). When property is acquired, it is generally recorded at the lesser of the loan's remaining principal balance, net of any unamortized deferred fees, or the estimated fair value of the property acquired, less estimated costs to sell. Any loan balance in excess of the estimated realizable fair value on the date of transfer is charged to the allowance for loan losses on that date. All costs incurred thereafter in maintaining the property, as well as subsequent declines in fair value are charged to non-interest expense.

Non-performing assets are comprised of non-accrual loans, deposit account overdrafts that are more than 90 days past due and OREO. The designation of a loan or other asset as non-performing does not necessarily indicate that loan principal and interest will ultimately be uncollectible. However, management recognizes the greater risk characteristics of these assets and therefore considers the potential risk of loss on assets included in this category in evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Despite prudent loan underwriting, adverse changes within the Company's market area, or deterioration in local, regional or national economic conditions, could negatively impact the Company's level of non-performing assets in the future.

Asset Quality

At September 30, 2013, the Company had adversely classified loans (loans carrying "substandard," "doubtful" or "loss" classifications) amounting to \$26.1 million, compared to \$34.4 million at December 31, 2012. The decrease in adversely classified loans since the prior period was due primarily to paydowns on several commercial relationships, and upgraded commercial loans, in particular one larger commercial real estate relationship of approximately \$6.0 million classified as an accruing TDR at December 31, 2012 which was upgraded during the period, due to the borrower's improved financial condition and sustained performance over time, partially offset by additional credit downgrades during the period.

Adversely classified loans which were performing but possessed potential weaknesses and, as a result, could ultimately become non-performing loans amounted to \$7.2 million at September 30, 2013 and \$14.0 million at December 31, 2012. The remaining balances of adversely classified loans were non-accrual loans, amounting to \$18.9 million and \$20.4 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Non-accrual loans which were not adversely classified amounted to \$1.0 million and \$1.2 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and primarily represented the guaranteed portions of non-performing Small Business Administration loans.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information regarding non-performing assets, TDR loans and delinquent loans 60-89 days past due as to interest or principal, held by the Company at the dates indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012	
Commercial real estate	\$11,276	\$12,608	\$12,655	
Commercial and industrial	5,722	6,993	8,378	
Commercial construction	1,950	743	809	
Residential	648	862	1,119	
Home equity	287	390	348	
Consumer	4	—	2	
Total non-accrual loans	19,887	21,596	23,311	
Overdrafts > 90 days past due	11	5	—	
Total non-performing loans	19,898	21,601	23,311	
Other real estate owned (“OREO”)	114	500	1,250	
Total non-performing assets	\$20,012	\$22,101	\$24,561	
Total Loans	\$1,472,696	\$1,359,655	\$1,298,367	
Accruing TDR loans not included above	\$8,613	\$16,039	\$13,206	
Delinquent loans 60-89 day past due	\$612	\$1,184	\$1,796	
Non-performing loans to total loans	1.35	% 1.59	% 1.80	%
Non-performing assets to total assets	1.09	% 1.33	% 1.50	%
Loans 60-89 days past due to total loans	0.04	% 0.09	% 0.14	%
Adversely classified loans to total loans	1.77	% 2.53	% 2.76	%
Allowance for loan losses	\$25,999	\$24,254	\$23,930	
Allowance for loan losses: Non-performing loans	130.66	% 112.28	% 102.66	%
Allowance for loan losses: Total loans	1.77	% 1.78	% 1.84	%

Total impaired loans amounted to \$28.6 million and \$37.4 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Total accruing impaired loans amounted to \$9.1 million and \$16.6 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, while non-accrual impaired loans amounted to \$19.5 million and \$20.8 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The overall decrease in impaired loans was primarily due to paydowns on several commercial relationships and upgraded commercial loans, as discussed above, partially offset by additional credit downgrades during the period.

In management’s opinion, the majority of impaired loan balances at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were supported by expected future cash flows or, for those collateral dependent loans, the net realizable value of the underlying collateral. Based on management’s assessment at September 30, 2013, impaired loans totaling \$18.2 million required no specific reserves and impaired loans totaling \$10.4 million required specific reserve allocations of \$4.1 million. At December 31, 2012, impaired loans totaling \$26.1 million required no specific reserves and impaired loans totaling \$11.3 million required specific reserve allocations of \$4.1 million. Management closely monitors these relationships for collateral or credit deterioration.

Total TDR loans, included in the impaired loan figures above as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were \$19.4 million and \$26.6 million, respectively. TDR loans on accrual status amounted to \$8.6 million and \$16.0 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. During the current quarter, a larger accruing TDR commercial real estate relationship was upgraded and removed from impaired/TDR status, as noted above. TDR loans included in non-performing loans amounted to \$10.8 million and \$10.5 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The Company continues to work with commercial relationships and enters into loan

modifications to the extent deemed to be necessary or appropriate while attempting to achieve the best mutual outcome given the current economic environment.

The carrying value of OREO at September 30, 2013 was \$114 thousand and consisted of one property; two properties were added and two properties were sold during the 2013 period; there were \$121 thousand in net gains realized on the sale of these

Table of Contents

OREO properties. During the current year, a write down of \$23 thousand was booked on the remaining property to reflect current expected realizable value based on pending sales agreement. The carrying value of OREO at December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012 was \$500 thousand and \$1.3 million respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, two properties were sold; there were gains of \$45 thousand realized on these OREO sales.

Management believes that the loan portfolio has experienced a level of modest credit stabilization compared to the 2012 periods, as indicated by the improving statistics related to migration of adversely classified, non-accrual and impaired loans and the level of OREO properties held as of September 30, 2013. Given the size and commercial mix of the Company's loan portfolio, management considers the current statistics to be reflective of the lagging effect that the regional economic environment has had on the local commercial markets and its impact on the credit profile of such a portfolio.

Allowance for Loan Losses

On a quarterly basis, management prepares an estimate of the allowance necessary to cover estimated credit losses. The allowance for loan losses is an estimate of probable credit risk inherent in the loan portfolio as of the specified balance sheet dates. The Company maintains the allowance at a level that it deems adequate to absorb all reasonably anticipated losses from specifically known and other credit risks associated with the portfolio.

In making its assessment on the adequacy of the allowance, management considers several quantitative and qualitative factors that could have an effect on the credit quality of the portfolio including individual assessment of larger and high risk credits, delinquency trends and the level of non-performing loans, net charge-offs, the growth and composition of the loan portfolio, expansion in geographic market area, the experience level of lenders and changes in underwriting criteria, and the strength of the local and national economy, among other factors. Except for loans specifically identified as impaired, as discussed above, the estimate is a two-tiered approach that allocates loan loss reserves to "adversely classified" loans by credit rating and to non-classified loans by credit type. The general loss allocations take into account the quantitative historic loss experience as well as the qualitative factors identified above. The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses, which is a direct charge to earnings. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes that the collectability of the loan principal is unlikely. Recoveries on loans previously charged off are credited to the allowance.

In the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company purchased a group of residential mortgage loans with a current carrying value of \$17.2 million. These purchased loans conform to the Company's own underwriting standards and are generally consistent with the originated residential mortgage loan production in terms of individual loan size, credit quality and geographic region. These purchased residential loans were initially booked at fair market value and, in accordance with accounting guidance, do not carry an initial allowance for loan losses. Management will continue to closely monitor this portfolio of non classified loans for estimated credit loss under general loss allocations taking into account the loss experience as well as the quantitative and qualitative factors identified above.

Management closely monitors the credit quality of individual delinquent and non-performing relationships, industry concentrations, the local and regional real estate market and current economic conditions. The level of delinquent and non-performing assets is largely a function of economic conditions and the overall banking environment. Despite prudent loan underwriting, adverse changes within the Company's market area, or further deterioration in the local, regional or national economic conditions could negatively impact the Company's level of non-performing assets in the future.

The allowance for loan losses to total loans ratio was 1.77% at September 30, 2013 and 1.78% at December 31, 2012. Based on management's judgment as to the existing credit risks inherent in the loan portfolio, as discussed above under

the headings "Credit Risk" and "Asset Quality," management believes that the Company's allowance for loan losses is deemed adequate to absorb probable losses from specifically known and other credit risks associated with the portfolio as of September 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the activity in the allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	Nine months ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
Balance at beginning of year	\$24,254	\$23,160
Provision charged to operations	1,900	2,150
Recoveries on charged-off loans:		
Commercial real estate	95	15
Commercial and industrial	56	227
Commercial construction	50	2
Residential	128	—
Home equity	21	1
Consumer	9	7
Total recoveries	359	252
Charged-off loans		
Commercial real estate	206	231
Commercial and industrial	203	1,098
Commercial construction	—	100
Residential	36	182
Home equity	44	—
Consumer	25	21
Total Charged off	514	1,632
Net loans recovered / (charged-off)	(155)	(1,380)
Ending Balance	\$25,999	\$23,930
Annualized net loans recovered / (charged-off): Average loans outstanding	(0.01)%	(0.15)%

The allowance reflects management's estimate of loan loss reserves necessary to support the level of credit risk inherent in the portfolio during the period. Refer to "Credit Risk," "Asset Quality" and "Allowance for Loan Losses" contained in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," included in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding the Company's credit risk management process and allowance for loan losses.

Table of Contents

Deposits

The following table sets forth the deposit balances by certain categories at the dates indicated and the percentage of each category to total deposits.

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2013		December 31, 2012		September 30, 2012			
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent		
Non-interest bearing demand deposits	\$440,931	26.7	% \$386,643	26.2	% \$375,924	25.6	%	
Interest bearing checking	227,319	13.7	% 210,564	14.3	% 189,963	12.9	%	
Total checking	668,250	40.4	% 597,207	40.5	% 565,887	38.5	%	
Savings	176,638	10.7	% 154,680	10.5	% 166,874	11.3	%	
Money markets	549,729	33.2	% 491,942	33.3	% 490,655	33.4	%	
Total savings/money markets	726,367	43.9	% 646,622	43.8	% 657,529	44.7	%	
Certificates of deposit	207,782	12.6	% 228,166	15.5	% 243,978	16.6	%	
Total non-brokered deposits	\$1,602,399	96.9	% \$1,471,995	99.8	% \$1,467,394	99.8	%	
Brokered deposits	51,548	3.1	% 3,032	0.2	% 3,032	0.2	%	
Total deposits	\$1,653,947	100.0	% \$1,475,027	100.0	% \$1,470,426	100.0	%	

Total deposits increased \$178.9 million, or 12%, compared to December 31, 2012, and increased \$183.5 million, or 12%, since September 30, 2012. This increase since December 31, 2012 was primarily comprised of increases of \$71.0 million, or 12%, in checking account balances and \$79.7 million, or 12%, in savings and money market account balances, as well as increases in brokered deposits of \$48.5 million, partially offset by a decrease of \$20.4 million in CDs. The increases in non-brokered deposits were attributed to sales and marketing efforts and general inflow of funds into the deposit marketplace.

From time to time, management utilizes brokered deposits as cost effective wholesale funding sources to support continued loan growth. As part of the Company's asset-liability management strategy, during the current year, wholesale funding balances were shifted from overnight FHLB borrowings to brokered CDs with an average life of approximately five years.

Borrowed Funds

Borrowed funds consisted of FHLB borrowings of \$1.9 million at September 30, 2013, compared to \$26.5 million at December 31, 2012 and \$3.0 million September 30, 2012, respectively. As noted above, borrowed fund balances have declined since year end as the Company has utilized brokered CDs as a strategic funding source.

At September 30, 2013, the Bank had the capacity to borrow additional funds from the FHLB of up to approximately \$321.4 million and capacity to borrow from the FRB Discount window of approximately \$59.4 million.

The Company also had \$10.8 million of outstanding junior subordinated debentures at September 30, 2013, December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012, respectively, in addition to the borrowed funds noted above.

Liquidity

Liquidity is the ability to meet cash needs arising from, among other things, fluctuations in loans, investments, deposits and borrowings. Liquidity management is the coordination of activities so that cash needs are anticipated and met readily and efficiently. The Company's liquidity policies are set and monitored by the Company's Asset-Liability Committee of the Board of Directors. The Company's asset-liability objectives are to engage in sound balance sheet management strategies, maintain liquidity, provide and enhance access to a diverse and stable source of funds, provide competitively priced and attractive products to customers and conduct funding at a low cost relative to current market conditions. Funds gathered are used to support current commitments, to fund earning asset growth, and to take advantage of selected leverage opportunities.

Table of Contents

The Company's liquidity is maintained by projecting cash needs, balancing maturing assets with maturing liabilities, monitoring various liquidity ratios, monitoring deposit flows, maintaining cash flow within the investment portfolio, and maintaining wholesale funding resources.

At September 30, 2013, the Company's wholesale funding sources included borrowing capacity at the FHLB and brokered deposits. In addition, the Company maintains fed fund purchase arrangements with correspondent banks and access to the FRB Discount Window. Brokered deposits may be comprised of overnight money market deposits and selected term CDs gathered from nationwide bank networks in increments that are covered by FDIC insurance, or term deposits brokered from large money center banks.

Management believes that the Company has adequate liquidity to meet its obligations. However, if, as a result of general economic conditions, or other events, these sources of external funding become restricted or are eliminated, the Company may not be able to raise adequate funds or may incur substantially higher funding costs or operating restrictions in order to raise the necessary funds to support the Company's operations and growth.

The Company has in the past also issued junior subordinated debentures and offered shares of the Company's common stock for sale to the general public, as most recently with the December 2009 offerings, in order to increase its liquidity.

Capital Resources

The Company believes its current capital is adequate to support ongoing operations. As of September 30, 2013, both the Company and the Bank qualify as "well capitalized" under applicable regulations of the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC.

The current regulatory requirements and the Company's actual capital amounts and ratios are presented as of September 30, 2013 in the table below. The Bank's capital amounts and ratios do not differ materially from the amounts and ratios presented for the Company.

(Dollars in thousands)	Actual			Minimum Capital for Capital Adequacy Purposes			Minimum Capital To Be Well Capitalized		
	Amount	Ratio		Amount	Ratio		Amount	Ratio	
Total Capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 170,168	11.41	%	\$ 119,332	8.00	%	\$ 149,165	10.00	%
Tier 1 Capital (to risk weighted assets)	\$ 150,271	10.07	%	\$ 59,666	4.00	%	\$ 89,499	6.00	%
Tier 1 Capital (to average assets) or Leverage ratio	\$ 150,271	8.55	%	\$ 70,326	4.00	%	\$ 87,908	5.00	%*

* This requirement is reflected in the table merely for informational purposes with respect to the Bank, and does not apply to the Company.

Although the Company believes its current capital is adequate to support ongoing operations, on July 18, 2013, the Company filed a shelf registration of common stock, rights or preferred stock with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the flexibility to raise, over a three year period, up to \$40 million in capital to position the Company to take advantage of future growth and market share opportunities.

The Company maintains a dividend reinvestment plan (the "DRP"). The DRP enables stockholders, at their discretion, to elect to reinvest cash dividends paid on their shares of the Company's common stock by purchasing additional shares of common stock from the Company at a purchase price equal to fair market value. Shareholders utilized the DRP to invest \$948 thousand of the \$3.4 million in cash dividends paid through September 30, 2013, into

55,111 shares of the Company's common stock.

As previously announced on October 15, 2013, the Company declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.115 per share to be paid on December 2, 2013 to shareholders of record as of November 11, 2013. The quarterly dividend represents a 4.5% increase over the 2012 dividend rate.

On July 2, 2013, the Federal Reserve, and on July 9, 2013, the FDIC and OCC, adopted a final rule that implements the Basel III changes to the international regulatory capital framework and revises the U.S. risk-based and leverage capital requirements for U.S. banking organizations to strengthen identified areas of weakness in the capital rules and to address relevant provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act.

Table of Contents

The final rule establishes a stricter regulatory capital framework that requires banking organizations to hold more and higher-quality capital to act as a financial cushion to absorb losses and help banking organizations better withstand periods of financial stress. The final rule emphasizes common equity tier 1 (“CET1”) capital and implements strict eligibility criteria for regulatory capital instruments while also modifying the methodology for calculating risk-weighted assets to enhance risk sensitivity. The final rule also increases capital ratios for all banking organizations and introduces a “capital conservation buffer” which is in addition to each capital ratio. If a banking organization dips into its capital conservation buffer it may be restricted in its ability to pay dividends and discretionary bonus payments to its executive officers. Although community banks must generally begin complying with the final rules on January 1, 2015, management believes the Company would satisfy the higher capital ratios imposed by Basel III as of September 30, 2013.

The above BASEL III capital ratio requirements as applicable to the Bank after the full phase-in period are summarized in the table below.⁽¹⁾

	BASEL III Minimum for Capital Adequacy Purposes	BASEL III Additional Capital Conservation Buffer	BASEL III Ratio with Capital Conservation Buffer
Total Capital (to risk weighted assets)	8.0%	2.5%	10.5%
Tier 1 Capital (to risk weighted assets)	6.0%	2.5%	8.5%
Tier 1 Capital (to average assets) or Leverage ratio	4.0%	—%	4.0%
Common Equity Tier 1 (to risk weighted assets)	4.5%	2.5%	7.0%

(1) Because the BASEL III final rules modify the methodology for calculating risk-weighted assets and the deduction and adjustment to capital, the ratios above may not be comparable to the current applicable regulatory requirements, or the Company's actual capital ratios as of September 30, 2013.

Investment Assets Under Management

The Company provides a wide range of investment advisory and wealth management services, including brokerage, trust, and investment management (together, “investment advisory services”). The market values of the related assets managed are affected by fluctuations in the financial markets.

Also included in the investment assets under management total are customers’ commercial sweep arrangements that are invested in third party money market mutual funds.

The following table sets forth the fair market value of investment assets under management by certain categories at the dates indicated.

(Dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012
Investment advisory and management services	\$516,430	\$480,216	\$465,415
Brokerage and management services	118,022	108,679	108,744
Total investment advisory assets	634,452	588,895	574,159
Commercial sweep accounts	3,707	3,460	4,759
Investment assets under management	\$638,159	\$592,355	\$578,918

Investment assets under management increased \$45.8 million, or 8%, since December 31, 2012 and \$59.2 million, or 10%, since September 30, 2012. The increase is primarily attributable to asset growth from both new business and market value appreciation.

Total assets under management, which includes total assets, investment assets under management, and loans serviced for others amounted to \$2.54 billion at September 30, 2013, \$2.33 billion at December 31, 2012, and \$2.29 billion at September 30, 2012. Investment assets under management and loans serviced for others are not carried as assets on the Company's balance sheet.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 vs. Three Months Ended September 30, 2012

Unless otherwise indicated, the reported results are for the three months ended September 30, 2013 with the “comparable period,” and “prior period” being the three months ended September 30, 2012. Average yields are presented on a tax equivalent basis.

The Company’s third quarter 2013 net income amounted to \$3.5 million compared to \$3.1 million for the same period in 2012, an increase of 15%. Diluted earnings per common share were \$0.35 and \$0.32 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively, an increase of 9%.

The Company's growth contributed to increases in net interest income, non-interest income and the level of operating expenses for the current year compared to the same 2012 periods. Additionally, the September 30, 2013 results benefited from a lower loan loss provision compared to the same periods in 2012.

Net Interest Income

The Company’s net interest income for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 amounted to \$16.6 million, compared to \$15.6 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, an increase of \$985 thousand, or 6%. The increase in net interest income over the comparable period was primarily due to revenue generated from loan growth partially offset by a decrease in tax equivalent net interest margin (“margin”).

Net Interest Margin

The Company’s margin was 4.02% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to 4.08% and 4.21% for the quarters ended June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. For the quarter ended September 30, 2012, margin was 4.20%. Consistent with industry trends, the 2013 margin continued to trend downward, as the yield on interest earning assets has declined faster than the cost of funding, as funding rates have reached a level leaving little room for significant reductions. Interest earning asset yields declined 28 basis points compared to the prior year, while the cost of funding declined by 10 basis points over the same period.

Table of Contents

Rate / Volume Analysis

The following table sets forth the extent to which changes in interest rates and changes in the average balances of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities have affected interest income and expense during the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. For each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, information is provided on changes attributable to: (1) volume (change in average portfolio balance multiplied by prior period average rate); (2) interest rate (change in average interest rate multiplied by prior period average balance); and (3) rate and volume (the remaining difference).

(Dollars in thousands)	Net Change	Increase (decrease) due to		
		Volume	Rate	Rate/ Volume
Interest Income				
Loans and loans held for sale	\$780	\$2,171	\$(1,252)	\$(139)
Investment securities	(10)) 97	(74)) (33)
Other interest earning assets (1)	(6)) (7)) —	1
Total interest earnings assets	764	2,261	(1,326)) (171)
Interest Expense				
Interest checking, savings and money market	(148)) 63	(188)) (23)
Certificates of deposit	(200)) (82)) (145)) 27
Brokered deposits	133	113	1	19
Borrowed funds	(6)) 12	(9)) (9)
Junior subordinated debentures	—	—	—	—
Total interest-bearing deposits, borrowed funds and debentures	(221)) 106	(341)) 14
Change in net interest income	\$985	\$2,155	\$(985)	\$(185)

(1) Other interest earning assets includes dividends on FHLB stock and income on short-term investments.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the Company's average balance sheet, net interest income and average rates for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

AVERAGE BALANCES, INTEREST AND AVERAGE YIELDS

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013			Three Months Ended September 30, 2012			Average Yield(1)	
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield(1)	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield(1)		
Assets:								
Loans (2)	\$1,458,268	\$17,104	4.70	% \$1,291,818	\$16,324	5.08	%	
Investments (3)	188,204	823	2.31	% 172,577	833	2.48	%	
Other Interest Earning Assets (4)	40,788	19	0.18	% 56,715	25	0.18	%	
Total interest earnings assets	1,687,260	17,946	4.33	% 1,521,110	17,182	4.61	%	
Other assets	84,364			88,129				
Total assets	\$1,771,624			\$1,609,239				
Liabilities and stockholders' equity:								
Int chkg, savings and money market	\$907,237	525	0.23	% \$828,263	673	0.32	%	
Certificates of deposit	212,302	350	0.65	% 249,340	550	0.88	%	
Brokered deposits	52,101	138	1.05	% 2,241	5	0.90	%	
Borrowed funds	5,684	6	0.41	% 2,964	12	1.69	%	
Junior subordinated debentures	10,825	294	10.88	% 10,825	294	10.88	%	
Total interest-bearing funding	1,188,149	1,313	0.44	% 1,093,633	1,534	0.56	%	
Net interest rate spread			3.89	%		4.05	%	
Demand deposits	425,269	—		370,647	—			
Total deposits, borrowed funds and debentures	1,613,418	1,313	0.32	% 1,464,280	1,534	0.42	%	
Other liabilities	12,510			9,885				
Total liabilities	1,625,928			1,474,165				
Stockholders' equity	145,696			135,074				
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$1,771,624			\$1,609,239				
Net interest income		\$16,633			\$15,648			
Net interest margin (tax equivalent)			4.02	%		4.20	%	

Average yields are presented on a tax equivalent basis. The tax equivalent effect associated with loans and (1) investments, which was not included in the interest amount above, was \$434 thousand and \$414 thousand for the quarters ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, respectively.

(2) Average loans include non-accrual loans and are net of average deferred loan fees.

(3) Average investments are presented at amortized cost and include investment securities, interest-earning deposits, fed funds sold and FHLB stock.

(4) Average other interest earning assets include interest earning deposits, fed funds sold and FHLB stock.

Table of Contents

Interest and Dividend Income

For the third quarter of 2013, total interest and dividend income amounted to \$17.9 million, an increase of \$764 thousand, or 4%, compared to the prior period. The increase resulted primarily from an increase of \$166.2 million, or 11%, in the average balance of interest earning assets for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 compared to the third quarter of 2012, primarily in loans, partially offset by a 28 basis point decline in the yield on interest earning assets due primarily to the lower interest rate environment during the period.

Interest income on loans and loans held for sale, which accounts for the majority of interest income, amounted to \$17.1 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, an increase of \$780 thousand, or 5%, over the comparable period, due primarily to loan growth, partially offset by a decline in loan yields. The average balances of loans and loans held for sale increased \$166.5 million, or 13%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012, while the average yield on these assets decline 38 basis points compared to the prior period and amounted to 4.70% for the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Income on investment securities amounted to \$823 thousand, a decrease of \$10 thousand, or 1% compared to the same period in 2012. This decrease primarily resulted from a decline in investment yields of 17 basis points, partially offset by an increase of \$15.6 million, or 9%, in the average balance of investment securities.

Income on other interest earning assets has decreased \$6 thousand compared to the same three month period in 2012 primarily resulting from a decrease in the average balance of these investments, as funds were utilized to purchase longer term investment securities and fund loan growth.

Interest Expense

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, total interest expense amounted to \$1.3 million, a decrease of \$221 thousand, or 14%, compared to the prior period. The decrease resulted primarily from a 10 basis point decrease in the average cost of funding, due primarily to the reduction in deposit market interest rates over the period, partially offset by an increase in wholesale funding.

Interest expense on interest checking, savings and money market accounts amounted to \$525 thousand for the quarter ended September 30, 2013, a decrease of \$148 thousand, or 22%, compared to the same quarter in the prior period. The decrease primarily resulted from a decrease of 9 basis points in the average cost of these accounts, to 0.23%, partially offset by an increase in average balances of \$79.0 million, or 10%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012.

Interest expense on CDs amounted to \$350 thousand, a decrease of \$200 thousand, or 36%, over the comparable period. The average cost of CDs decreased 23 basis points, to 0.65%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and the average balances decreased \$37.0 million, or 15%, compared to the same prior period in 2012.

Interest expense on brokered deposits amounted to \$138 thousand, an increase of \$133 thousand over the comparable period. The average cost of brokered deposits amounted to 1.05%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and the average balances increased by \$49.9 million compared to the 2012 period.

Interest expense on borrowed funds, consisting primarily of FHLB borrowings, amounted to \$6 thousand for the third quarter of 2013, an decrease of \$6 thousand over the same period last year. The decrease was primarily attributed to a 128 basis point decline in average costs of these borrowings, partially offset by an increase in average balances of approximately \$2.7 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012.

The interest expense and average rate on junior subordinated debentures remained the same at \$294 thousand and 10.88% for both the three months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, the average balance of non-interest bearing demand deposits increased \$54.6 million, or 15%, as compared to the same period in 2012. Non-interest bearing demand deposits are an important component of the Company's core funding strategy. This non-interest bearing funding source represented 27% and 26% of total average deposit balances for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

Provision for Loan Loss

The provision for loan losses amounted to \$583 thousand for three months ended September 30, 2013 a decrease of \$217 thousand compared to the same period last year. In determining the provision to the allowance for loan losses, management takes into consideration the level of loan growth and an estimate of credit risk, which includes such items as adversely classified and non-performing loans, the estimated specific reserves needed for impaired loans, the level of net charge-offs, and the estimated impact of current economic conditions on credit quality. For further discussion regarding the provision for loan losses and management's assessment of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses see "Credit Risk," "Asset Quality" and "Allowance for Loan Losses" under "Financial Condition" in this Item 2 above and "Credit Risk," "Asset Quality" and "Allowance for Loan Losses" in the Financial Condition section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

There have been no material changes to the Company's underwriting practices or to the allowance for loan loss methodology used to estimate loan loss exposure as reported in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The provision for loan losses is a significant factor in the Company's operating results.

Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2013 amounted to \$3.2 million, an increase of \$183 thousand, or 6%, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The significant changes are discussed below.

Investment advisory income increased \$177 thousand, or 19%, compared to the same quarter in the prior period primarily due to net asset growth from new business and changes in market values due to fluctuations in the investment markets.

Deposit and interchange fees increased \$76 thousand, or 7%, compared to the same period in 2012, primarily due to electronic transaction fee income.

Non-Interest Expense

Non-interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2013 amounted to \$13.8 million, an increase of \$771 thousand, or 6%, compared to the same period in 2012. The significant changes are discussed below.

Salaries and employee benefits increased \$358 thousand, or 4%. The increase is primarily due to increased personnel costs necessary to support the Company's strategic growth and market expansion initiatives, including annual salary adjustments, since the prior period, partially offset by a reduction in expenses for incentives in the current quarter.

Advertising and public relations increased \$73 thousand, or 18%, primarily due to costs which supported the Company's expansion and business development efforts.

Audit, legal and other professional increased \$103 thousand, or 31%, primarily due to increases in other professional and consulting costs in the current quarter.

Other operating expenses increased \$197 thousand, or 21%, and included increases in deposit program costs and REO and workout expenses.

Results of Operations

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 vs. Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

Unless otherwise indicated, the reported results are for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 with the “comparable period,” “prior year” and “prior period” being the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Average yields are presented on a tax equivalent basis.

The Company’s year-to-date 2013 net income amounted to \$10.0 million compared to \$9.1 million for the same period in 2012, an increase of \$904 thousand, or 10%. Diluted earnings per common share were \$1.01 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$0.95 for the comparable 2012 period, an increase of 6%.

The Company's growth contributed to increases in net interest income, non-interest income and the level of operating expenses for the current year-to-date period compared to the same 2012 period. Additionally, the September 30, 2013 results benefited

Table of Contents

from a lower loan loss provision compared to the same period in 2012. Non-interest income also increased as a result of higher gains on securities sales in the current year.

Net Interest Income

The Company's net interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$48.6 million compared to \$46.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, an increase of \$2.6 million, or 6%. The increase in net interest income over the comparable year period was primarily due to revenue generated from loan growth, partially offset by a decrease in tax equivalent net interest margin. Interest earning asset yields declined 33 basis points compared to the prior year, while the cost of funding decline by 14 basis points over the same period.

Net Interest Margin

The Company's margin was 4.09% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to 4.29% in the comparable 2012 period. Consistent with the industry, the margin continued to trend downward, as the yield on interest-earning assets declined faster than the cost of funding, as funding rates have reached a level leaving little room for significant reductions.

Rate / Volume Analysis

The following table sets forth the extent to which changes in interest rates and changes in the average balances of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities have affected interest income and expense during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. For each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, information is provided on changes attributable to: (1) volume (change in average portfolio balance multiplied by prior period average rate); (2) interest rate (change in average interest rate multiplied by prior period average balance); and (3) rate and volume (the remaining difference).

(Dollars in thousands)	Net Change	Increase (decrease) due to		
		Volume	Rate	Rate/ Volume
Interest Income				
Loans and loans held for sale	\$1,620	\$6,100	\$(3,907)	\$(573)
Investment Securities	(40)) 547	(431)) (156)
Other Interest Earning Assets (1)	(28)) (33)) 7	(2)
Total interest earnings assets	1,552	6,614	(4,331)	(731)
Interest Expense				
Interest checking, savings and money market	(547)) 204	(718)) (33)
Certificates of deposit	(761)) (297)) (543)) 79
Brokered deposits	218	187	1	30
Borrowed funds	51	343	(31)) (261)
Junior subordinated debentures	—	—	—	—
Total interest-bearing deposits, borrowed funds and debentures	(1,039)) 437	(1,291)) (185)
Change in net interest income	\$2,591	\$6,177	\$(3,040)) \$(546)

(1) Other interest earning assets includes dividends on FHLB stock and income on short-term investments.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the Company's average balance sheet, net interest income and average rates for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

AVERAGE BALANCES, INTEREST AND AVERAGE YIELDS

(Dollars in thousands)	Nine months ended September 30, 2013			Nine months ended September 30, 2012			
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield(1)	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield(1)	
Assets:							
Loans and loans held for sale (2)	\$1,423,889	\$50,130	4.75 %	\$1,267,935	\$48,510	5.17 %	
Investments (3)	182,220	2,427	2.34 %	155,276	2,467	2.71 %	
Other Interest Earning Assets(4)	24,586	40	0.22 %	46,998	68	0.20 %	
Total interest earnings assets	1,630,695	52,597	4.42 %	1,470,209	51,045	4.75 %	
Other assets	86,318			86,956			
Total assets	\$1,717,013			\$1,557,165			
Liabilities and stockholders' equity:							
Int chkg, savings and money market	\$873,525	1,657	0.25 %	\$799,681	2,204	0.37 %	
Certificates of deposit	219,188	1,163	0.71 %	259,353	1,924	0.99 %	
Brokered deposits	28,516	223	1.05 %	752	5	0.90 %	
Borrowed funds	31,521	92	0.39 %	3,382	41	1.63 %	
Junior subordinated debentures	10,825	883	10.88 %	10,825	883	10.88 %	
Total interest-bearing funding	1,163,575	4,018	0.46 %	1,073,993	5,057	0.63 %	
Net interest rate spread			3.96 %			4.12 %	
Demand deposits	398,107	—		340,999	—		
Total deposits, borrowed funds and debentures	1,561,682	4,018	0.34 %	1,414,992	5,057	0.48 %	
Other liabilities	11,875			10,108			
Total liabilities	1,573,557			1,425,100			
Stockholders' equity	143,456			132,065			
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$1,717,013			\$1,557,165			
Net interest income		\$48,579			\$45,988		
Net interest margin (tax equivalent)			4.09 %			4.29 %	

Average yields are presented on a tax equivalent basis. The tax equivalent effect associated with loans and (1) investments, which was not included in the interest amount above, was \$1.3 million and \$1.2 million for the periods ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012 respectively.

(2) Average loans and loans held for sale include non-accrual loans and are net of average deferred loan fees.

(3) Average investment balances are presented at average amortized cost.

(4) Average other interest earning assets includes interest-earning deposits, fed funds sold, and FHLB stock.

Table of Contents

Interest and Dividend Income

Total interest and dividend income amounted to \$52.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, an increase of \$1.6 million, or 3%, compared to the prior period. The increase resulted primarily from an increase of \$160.5 million, or 11%, in the average balance of interest earning assets, partially offset by a 33 basis point decline in the yield on interest earning assets due to the lower interest rate environment during the period.

Interest income on loans and loans held for sale, which accounts for the majority of interest income, amounted to \$50.1 million, an increase of \$1.6 million, or 3%, over the comparable period, due primarily to loan growth, partially offset by a decline in

loan yields. The average loans and loans held for sale balances increased \$156.0 million, or 12%, compared to the prior period, while the average yield on loans and loans held for sale had a decline of 42 basis points since the same period and amounted to 4.75% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Income on investment securities amounted to \$2.4 million, a decrease of \$40 thousand, or 2%, compared to the same period in 2012. This decrease primarily resulted from a decline in investment yields of 37 basis points, partially offset by an increase of \$26.9 million, or 17%, in the average balance of investment securities.

Income on other interest earning assets has decreased \$28 thousand, or 41%, compared to the same nine month period in 2012 primarily resulting from a decrease in the average balance of these investments, as funds were utilized to purchase longer term investment securities and fund loan growth.

Interest Expense

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, total interest expense amounted to \$4.0 million, a decrease of \$1.0 million, or 21%, compared to the prior period. The decrease resulted primarily from a decline of 14 basis points in the average cost of funding due to the reduction in deposit market interest rates over the period, partially offset by an increase in wholesale funding.

Interest expense on interest checking, savings and money market accounts amounted to \$1.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, a decrease of \$547 thousand, or 25%, over the same period in the prior year, resulting primarily from a decline in the average cost of these accounts, partially offset by an increase in average balances. The average cost of these accounts had a decline of 12 basis points to 0.25%, while the average balances had an increase of \$73.8 million, or 9%, compared to the prior period.

Interest expense on CDs amounted to \$1.2 million, a decrease of \$761 thousand, or 40%, over the comparable period. The decrease was primarily due to a decline in rates over the comparable period and to a lesser extent, decreased average balances. The average cost of CDs decreased 28 basis points, to 0.71%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 while the average balances decreased \$40.2 million, or 15%, compared to the same prior period in 2012.

Interest expense on brokered deposits amounted to \$223 thousand, an increase of \$218 thousand over the comparable period, primarily due to increased average balances. The average balances increased by \$27.8 million compared to the 2012 period and the average cost of brokered deposits amounted to 1.05%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Interest expense on borrowed funds, consisting primarily of FHLB borrowings, amounted to \$92 thousand, an increase of \$51 thousand compared to the same period last year. The increase was primarily attributed to the increase

in average balances of approximately \$28.1 million, partially offset by a decline of 124 basis points in the average costs of these borrowings.

The interest expense and average rate on junior subordinated debentures remained the same at \$883 thousand and 10.88% for both the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the average balance of non-interest bearing demand deposits, increased \$57.1 million, or 17%, as compared to the same period in 2012. Non-interest bearing demand deposits are an important component of the Company's core funding strategy. This non-interest bearing funding represented 26% and 24% of total average deposit balances for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

Provision for Loan Loss

The provision for loan losses amounted to \$1.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, a decrease of \$250 thousand compared to the same period last year. In determining the provision to the allowance for loan losses, management takes into consideration the level of loan growth and an estimate of credit risk, which includes such items as adversely classified and non-performing loans, the estimated specific reserves needed for impaired loans, the level of net charge-offs, and the estimated impact of current economic conditions on credit quality. For further discussion regarding the provision for loan losses and management's assessment of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses see "Credit Risk," "Asset Quality" and "Allowance for Loan Losses" under "Financial Condition" in this Item 2 above and "Credit Risk," "Asset Quality" and "Allowance for Loan Losses" in the Financial Condition section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

There have been no material changes to the Company's underwriting practices or to the allowance for loan loss methodology used to estimate loan loss exposure as reported in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The provision for loan losses is a significant factor in the Company's operating results.

Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 amounted to \$10.4 million, an increase of \$1.4 million, or 16%, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The significant changes are discussed below.

Investment advisory income increased \$283 thousand, or 10%, compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily due to net asset growth from new business and changes in market values due to fluctuations in the investment markets.

Deposit and interchange fees increased \$237 thousand, or 7%. Deposit and interchange fees primarily includes fees received on customer deposit accounts, ATM interchange income and the cost of our deposit rewards program.

Net gains on security sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 increased \$834 thousand compared to the prior year, primarily in the first half of 2013. Investment sales are typically driven by market or strategic opportunities.

Non-Interest Expense

Non-interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 amounted to \$41.7 million, an increase of \$2.9 million, or 7%, compared to the same period in 2012. The significant changes are discussed below.

Salaries and employee benefits increased by \$1.7 million, or 7%. The increase was primarily due to the personnel and benefit costs necessary to support the Company's strategic growth and market expansion initiatives, including annual salary adjustments, since the prior period.

Occupancy and equipment expenses increased \$264 thousand, or 6%, mainly in the first quarter, primarily due to branch expansion and investments in maintaining our facilities.

Technology and telecommunications expense increased \$243 thousand, or 8%, primarily as a result of investments to support our strategic growth, improve our service capabilities, and enhance business continuity and network

infrastructure, mainly in the first half of 2013.

- Advertising and public relations increased \$353 thousand, or 21%, primarily as a result of our biennial community recognition event held in May 2013.

Other non-interest expense increased \$253 thousand, or 8%, due to increased deposit program and loan servicing costs in the current year-to-date period compared with the same period in 2012.

Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company's primary market risk is interest rate risk. Oversight of interest rate risk management is centered on the Asset-Liability Committee ("ALCO"). Annually, ALCO reviews and approves the Company's asset-liability management policy, which provides management with guidelines for controlling interest rate risk, as measured through net interest income sensitivity to changes in interest rates, within certain tolerance levels. ALCO also establishes and monitors guidelines for the Company's liquidity and capital ratios.

The Company's asset-liability management strategies and guidelines are reviewed on a periodic basis by management and presented and discussed with ALCO on at least a quarterly basis. These strategies and guidelines are revised based on changes in interest rate levels, general economic conditions, competition in the marketplace, the current interest rate risk position of the Company, anticipated growth and other factors.

One of the principal factors in maintaining planned levels of net interest income is the ability to design effective strategies to manage the impact of interest rate changes on future net interest income. Quarterly, management completes a net interest income sensitivity analysis, which is presented to the committee. This analysis includes a simulation of the Company's net interest income under various interest rate scenarios. Variations in the interest rate environment affect numerous factors, including prepayment speeds, reinvestment rates, maturities of investments (due to call provisions), and interest rates on various asset and liability accounts.

The Company can be subject to margin compression depending on the economic environment and the shape of the yield curve. Under the Company's current balance sheet position, the Company's margin generally performs slightly better over time in a rising rate environment, while it generally decreases in a declining rate environment and when the yield curve is flattening or inverted.

Under a flattening yield curve scenario, margin compression occurs as the spread between the cost of funding and the yield on interest earning assets narrows. Under this scenario the degree of margin compression is highly dependent on the Company's ability to fund asset growth through lower cost deposits. However, if the curve is flattening, while short-term rates are rising, the adverse impact on margin may be somewhat delayed, as increases in the Prime Rate will initially result in the Company's asset yields re-pricing more quickly than funding costs.

Under an inverted yield curve situation, shorter-term rates exceed longer-term rates, and the impact on margin is similar but more adverse than the flat curve scenario. Again, however, the extent of the impact on margin is highly dependent on the Company's balance sheet mix.

In a declining rate environment, margin compression will eventually occur as the yield on interest earning assets decreases more rapidly than decreases in funding costs. The primary causes would be the impact of interest rate decreases (including decreases in the Prime Rate) on adjustable rate loans and the fact that decreases in deposit rates may be limited or lag decreases in the Prime Rate.

Net interest margin in 2013 continued to trend downward as the yield on interest earning assets has declined faster than the rate on cost of funds, which is approaching a floor. Additional margin compression may occur if loans continue to re-price downward while the cost of deposits remains at the same level.

There have been no material changes in the results of the Company's net interest income sensitivity analysis as reported in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. At September 30, 2013, management continues to consider the Company's primary interest rate risk exposure to be margin compression that may result from changes in interest rates and/or changes in the mix of the Company's balance sheet components. This would include the mix of fixed versus variable rate loans and investments on the asset side, and higher cost versus lower cost deposits and overnight borrowings versus term borrowings and certificates of deposit on the liability side.

Item 4 - Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains a set of disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed in reports that it files or submits to the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

The Company carried out an evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this report under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(b). Based upon that evaluation, the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of September 30, 2013.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that has occurred during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter (i.e., the three months ended September 30, 2013) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, such internal controls.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1 - Legal Proceedings

There are no material pending legal proceedings to which the Company or its subsidiaries are a party or to which any of its property is subject, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to the business of the Company. After review with legal counsel, management does not believe resolution of any present litigation will have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition or results of operations of the Company.

Item 1A - Risk Factors

Management believes that there have been no material changes in the Company's risk factors as reported in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Item 2 - Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The Company has not sold any equity securities that were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, during the three months ended September 30, 2013. Neither the Company nor any "affiliated purchaser" (as defined in the SEC's Rule 10b-18(a)(3)) has repurchased any of the Company's outstanding shares, nor caused any such shares to be repurchased on its behalf, during the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Item 3 - Defaults upon Senior Securities

Not Applicable

Item 4 - Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable

Item 5 - Other Information

Not Applicable

Item 6 - Exhibits

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No. Description

31.1* Certification of Principal Executive Officer under Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)

31.2* Certification of Principal Financial Officer under Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)

32* Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer under 18 U.S.C. § 1350 Furnished Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b)

101* The following materials from Enterprise Bancorp, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 were formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 and (vi) Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

*Filed herewith

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ENTERPRISE BANCORP, INC.

DATE: November 8, 2013

By: /s/ James A. Marcotte
James A. Marcotte
Executive Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
(Principal Financial Officer)