

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC
Form 10-K
March 21, 2012

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

✓
ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 or

o
TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission File Number: 1-14100

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

33-0675505
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

19500 Jamboree Road, Irvine, California 92612

(Address of principal executive offices)

(949) 475-3600

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	NYSE Amex
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: none	

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act Yes o No ✓

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes o No ✓

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ✓ No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ✓ No o

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of the Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

**(Do not check if a
smaller reporting
company)**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2) Yes No

As of June 30, 2011, the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$22.8 million, based on the closing sales price of common stock on the NYSE Amex on that date. For purposes of the calculation only, all directors and executive officers of the registrant have been deemed affiliates. There were 7,821,046 shares of common stock outstanding as of March 14, 2012.

Table of Contents

**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC.
2011 FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<u>PART I</u>		
<u>ITEM 1.</u>	<u>BUSINESS</u>	<u>1</u>
	<u>Forward-Looking Statements</u>	<u>1</u>
	<u>Available Information</u>	<u>1</u>
	<u>Recent Business Developments</u>	<u>2</u>
	<u>Market Conditions</u>	<u>2</u>
	<u>Continuing Operations</u>	<u>5</u>
	<u>Discontinued Operations</u>	<u>8</u>
	<u>Regulation</u>	<u>8</u>
	<u>Competition</u>	<u>8</u>
	<u>Employees</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>ITEM 1A.</u>	<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>ITEM 1B.</u>	<u>UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>ITEM 2.</u>	<u>PROPERTIES</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>ITEM 3.</u>	<u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>ITEM 4.</u>	<u>MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>PART II</u>		
<u>ITEM 5.</u>	<u>MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>ITEM 6.</u>	<u>SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>ITEM 7.</u>	<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	<u>28</u>
	<u>Selected Financial Results for 2011</u>	<u>28</u>
	<u>Market Conditions</u>	<u>28</u>

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

<u>Status of Operations</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>Off Balance Sheet Arrangements</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Critical Accounting Policies</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Income Taxes</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	<u>58</u>

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC.
2011 FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PART II</u>	
<u>ITEM 8.</u>	<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>ITEM 9.</u>	<u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u>	<u>59</u>
<u>ITEM 9A.</u>	<u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	<u>59</u>
<u>ITEM 9B.</u>	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	<u>62</u>
	<u>PART III</u>	
<u>ITEM 10.</u>	<u>DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>ITEM 11.</u>	<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>ITEM 12.</u>	<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>ITEM 13.</u>	<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>ITEM 14.</u>	<u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES</u>	<u>62</u>
	<u>PART IV</u>	
<u>ITEM 15.</u>	<u>EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		<u>63</u>

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. (the Company or IMH) is a Maryland corporation incorporated in August 1995 and has the following subsidiaries: Integrated Real Estate Service Corporation (IRES), IMH Assets Corp. (IMH Assets) and Impac Funding Corporation (IFC).

Forward-Looking Statements

This report on Form 10-K contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements, some of which are based on various assumptions and events that are beyond our control, may be identified by reference to a future period or periods or by the use of forward-looking terminology, such as "may," "will," "believe," "expect," "likely," "should," "could," "seem to," "anticipate," or similar terms or variations on those terms or the negative of those terms. The forward-looking statements are based on current management expectations. Actual results may differ materially as a result of several factors, including, but not limited to the following: the ongoing volatility in the mortgage industry; our ability to manage successfully through the current market environment; our compliance with applicable local, state and federal laws and regulations and other general market and economic conditions; our ability to meet liquidity needs from current cash flows or generate new sources of revenue; management's ability to manage successfully and grow the Company's mortgage and real estate business activities including mortgage lending operations; the ability to make interest payments; increases in default rates or loss severities and mortgage related losses; our ability to obtain additional financing and the terms of any financing that we do obtain; inability to effectively liquidate properties to mitigate losses; increase in loan repurchase requests and ability to adequately settle repurchase obligations; decreases in value of our residual interests that differ from our assumptions; the ability of our common stock to continue trading in an active market; the outcome of litigation or regulatory actions pending against us or other legal contingencies.

For a discussion of these and other risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ from those contained in the forward-looking statements, see Item 1A. "Risk Factors" and Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in this report. This document speaks only as of its date and we do not undertake, and specifically disclaim any obligation, to release publicly the results of any revisions that may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events or circumstances after the date of such statements.

The information contained throughout this document is presented on a continuing basis, unless otherwise stated.

Available Information

Our Internet website address is www.impacompanies.com. We make available our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and proxy statements for our annual stockholders' meetings, as well as any amendments to those reports, free of charge through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission, or "SEC." You can learn more about us by reviewing our SEC filings on our website by clicking on "Stockholder Relations" located on our home page and proceeding to "Financial Reports." We also make available on our website, under "Corporate Governance," charters for the audit, compensation, and governance and nominating committees of our board of directors, our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, our Corporate Governance Guidelines

Table of Contents

and other company information, including amendments to such documents and waivers, if any to our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. These documents will also be furnished, free of charge, upon written request to Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc., Attention: Stockholder Relations, 19500 Jamboree Road, Irvine, California 92612. The SEC also maintains a website at www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy statements and other information regarding SEC registrants, including the Company.

Recent Business Developments

During 2011, the Company, through its subsidiary Excel Mortgage Servicing, Inc. ("Excel"), significantly expanded its mortgage lending activities by:

Increasing its origination capabilities through opening regional production offices in the Pacific Northwest and Gulf Coast regions of the United States in March of 2011;

Expanding its warehouse borrowing facilities capacity to \$87.5 million;

Increasing the number of states licensed to originate loans to 31;

Increasing its mortgage origination volumes to \$883.2 million as compared to \$40.8 million in 2010; and

Increasing mortgage servicing portfolio from \$173.9 million to \$605.4 million.

During 2011, the Company sold \$329.1 million in service retained loans to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and issued \$72.6 million in Ginnie Mae securities through its AmeriHome Mortgage Corp indirect subsidiary, and sold \$402.2 million in loans on a service released basis to other investors. The Company is currently focusing on originating loans eligible for sale to Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and government sponsored loans, such as FHA, VA and USDA loans, eligible for Ginnie Mae securities issuance. Management believes that having the ability to both sell loans directly to these agencies and issue Ginnie Mae securities gives the Company an advantage in the overall mortgage origination market with regard to products, pricing, operational efficiencies and overall recruitment of higher quality loan originators. As a result of selling loans with servicing retained, the mortgage servicing portfolio increased to \$605.4 million in unpaid principal balance at December 31, 2011 as compared to \$173.9 million at December 31, 2010. The Company expects to increase the servicing portfolio to a level that achieves profitability with economies of scale while maintaining compliance with government agency requirements. However, the Company may also opportunistically sell servicing on a flow and bulk basis to keep the amount of capital invested in servicing within our current capital constraints.

During the third quarter 2011, the Company received an offer to sell its interest in the title insurance company. After consideration of the increasing competition and lower margins in the title insurance industry along with a decision to focus the Company's efforts on expanding the mortgage lending platform, the Company's Board of Directors determined it was in the Company's best interest to sell its interest in the title insurance company in September 2011. In September and October 2011, the Company sold its interest in Experience 1, Inc., the parent of the title insurance company, for \$3.7 million, recording a total gain of approximately \$1.9 million.

Market Conditions

Weak employment growth and lethargic consumer spending throughout much of 2011 have led to concerns about the prospects for the U.S. economy's growth. However, conditions began to show signs of improvement during the fourth quarter as employment growth began to increase, in part due to seasonal hiring and an increase in consumer spending. These factors, along with other positive data

Table of Contents

from the manufacturing sector in the fourth quarter suggest that the economic recovery is gaining momentum once again after nearly stalling out earlier in the year. However, because the economic recovery continues at a slow rate, Federal Reserve policymakers currently anticipate that economic conditions are likely to warrant exceptionally low levels for the federal funds interest rate at least through late 2014. The financial markets became somewhat volatile once again in the second half of 2011 with stock market averages moving up and down sharply across the globe due to elevated concerns over risk within the markets. This was evidenced by the European sovereign debt crisis triggered by Greece and other countries as well as increased concerns regarding government spending, including the federal budget deficit and the fear of a return to economic recession continued to influence the financial markets including interest rates and spreads.

During 2011, we continued to see home prices decline in many markets as housing prices remain under pressure due to elevated foreclosure levels as evidenced below by the Standard & Poor's Case-Shiller 10-City Composite Home Price Index for December 2011.

Case-Shiller (Composite-10)

Although the pace of new foreclosures has fallen from its peak, in part due to industry-wide compliance issues, further declines in home prices may be necessary before substantial progress in reducing the inventory of homes occurs. Serious threats to economic growth remain however, including continued pressure and uncertainty in the housing market and elevated unemployment levels. A significant number of U.S. residents are no longer looking for work and, therefore, are not reflected in the U.S. unemployment rates. Reported unemployment rates in seven states are at or above 10.0%, including California and Florida. California and Florida represent the states with the highest concentration in our long-term mortgage portfolio.

Mortgage lending industry trends continued to be affected by the following in 2011:

Interest rates on mortgage loans continue at record lows;

Table of Contents

Declines in property values experienced over the last few years as evidenced by the Standard & Poor's Case-Shiller 10-City Composite Home Price Index for December 2011 resulting in many borrowers owing more than the value of their homes (underwater borrower);

Tighter lending standards by mortgage lenders which affect the ability of borrowers to refinance existing mortgage loans;

Mortgage loan originations from 2005 through 2007 continue to perform worse than originations from prior periods;

Overall levels of delinquencies remain elevated;

Government agencies creating conforming loan products allowing underwater borrowers who have continued to make timely payments to refinance into a lower interest rate;

National banks deciding to no longer finance or purchase certain mortgage loans or mortgage loans as a whole; and

Significant changes in mortgage lending compliance requiring additional time and resources for a lender to comply.

Due to the significant slow-down in foreclosure processing, and in some instances, cessation of all foreclosure processing by numerous loan servicers, there has been a reduction in the number of properties being marketed following foreclosure. As servicers begin to increase foreclosure activities and market properties in larger numbers, it is likely to create a significant over-supply of housing inventory. This could lead to a significant increase in overall loss severity on real estate owned (REO) properties in future periods. In addition, certain courts and state legislatures are implementing new rules or statutes relating to foreclosures which may require additional verification of information prior to the foreclosure proceeding. The combination of these factors has led to a significant backlog of foreclosures in the marketplace which will take time to resolve. If these trends continue, there could be additional delays in the processing of foreclosures, which could have an adverse effect upon housing prices which is likely to result in higher loss severities while foreclosures are delayed.

Financial Regulatory Reform

On July 21, 2010, the "Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act" was signed into law. This legislation is a sweeping overhaul of the financial regulatory system.

The legislation provides for new regulation on financial institutions, creates new supervisory and advisory bodies, including the new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, and contains many consumer related provisions including provisions addressing mortgage reform. In the area of mortgage origination, it appears there is an effective elimination of stated income loans and low document loans along with a requirement to apply a net tangible benefit test for all refinancing transactions. There are also numerous revised servicing requirements for mortgage loans.

The legislation will have a significant effect on the operations of many financial institutions in the U.S. As the legislation calls for extensive regulations to be promulgated to interpret and implement the legislation, it is not possible to determine precisely the impact to operations and financial results at this time. The Company will continue to assess the effect of the legislation on the Company's business as the associated regulations are adopted.

Table of Contents

Continuing Operations

The Company's continuing operations include the business activities of IRES that focus on mortgage and real estate services including primarily mortgage lending activities and portfolio loss mitigation and real estate service activities, and the management of the long-term mortgage portfolio (residual interests in securitizations reflected as the net of total trust assets minus total trust liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets).

Mortgage and Real Estate Services

The Company created IRES to provide solutions to the mortgage and real estate markets. IRES performs services for investors, portfolio managers, servicers and individual borrowers, including mortgage lending services, portfolio monitoring and real estate services, surveillance and recovery services. These mortgage and real estate services were developed as part of a centralized platform to operate synergistically to maximize revenues and profits. The platform includes the mortgage lending operations, the portfolio loss mitigation and real estate services and formerly the title and escrow operations.

Mortgage Lending Operations During 2010, the Company began funding conforming residential mortgage loans as it re-entered the mortgage banking market. In 2011, the Company's reemergence into mortgage lending was expanded by the opening of regional production offices in the Pacific Northwest and Gulf Coast regions giving the Company origination capabilities throughout the entire west coast and gulf coast regions. To facilitate the growth of the mortgage lending operations, the Company more than doubled its warehouse borrowing capacity in 2011 to \$87.5 million as of December 31, 2011.

The mortgage lending activities include the origination, funding, selling and servicing of loans. The Company is currently focusing on originating loans eligible for sale to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and government sponsored loans eligible for Ginnie Mae securities issuance as it believes that having this ability makes it competitive in the overall mortgage origination market. In 2010, the Company began to rebuild the mortgage lending platform to ensure that appropriate licenses, approvals and quality control processes were in place to originate, sell, and service conforming agency and government sponsored residential mortgage loans that are eligible for sale to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae securities issuances. In later 2011 and more recently, the Company has primarily sold service retained loans to Fannie Mae and issued Ginnie Mae securities. The Company currently originates and funds mortgages through its wholly owned subsidiary, Excel.

Mortgage Servicing In the ordinary course of business, the Company sells mortgage loans to the secondary market. The Company retains servicing on certain loans sold and earns servicing fees generally between 0.25% and 0.44% per annum of the monthly outstanding principal balance of the loans serviced. The Company has hired a nationally recognized residential sub-servicer to sub-service the servicing portfolio. Although the Company uses a sub-servicer to provide primary servicing and certain default servicing functions, the Company's default management team, experienced in loss mitigation and real estate recovery, monitors and surveys the performance of the mortgage servicing portfolio. Incurring the cost of both a sub-servicer and an internal default management team reduces net servicing income, but it is an important investment used to minimize delinquencies and minimize repurchase risk. Consistent with the Company's strategy, the servicing portfolio increased due to an increase in sales of servicing retained loans to Fannie Mae and increases in Ginnie Mae issuances. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the total unpaid principal balance of mortgage loans serviced was \$605.4 million and \$173.9 million, respectively. The Company expects to increase the servicing portfolio to a level that achieves profitability with economies of scale while maintaining compliance with government agency requirements. However, the Company may also opportunistically sell servicing on a

Table of Contents

flow and bulk basis to keep the amount of capital invested in servicing within our current capital constraints.

Portfolio Loss Mitigation and Real Estate Services The Company provides loss mitigation and recovery services focusing primarily on the performance of our own long-term mortgage portfolio. The Company's portfolio loss mitigation and real estate services operations include the following services:

REO surveillance and disposition services to portfolio managers and servicers to assist them with improving portfolio performance by maximizing liquidation proceeds from managing foreclosed real estate assets, and short sale (where a lender agrees to take less than the balance owed from the borrower) services on pre-foreclosure properties for servicers, investors and institutions with distressed and delinquent residential and multifamily mortgage portfolios including real estate brokerage services.

Default surveillance and loss recovery services for residential and multifamily mortgage portfolios for servicers and investors to assist them with overall portfolio performance and maximizing cash recovery.

Loan modification solutions to individual borrowers by interacting with loan servicers on behalf of the borrowers to assist them in lowering the monthly mortgage payments to an affordable level allowing them to remain in their homes. The Company receives fees paid by the borrower for these services.

Monitoring, reconciling and reporting services for residential and multifamily mortgage portfolios for investors and servicers.

To the extent that opportunities arise, the Company intends to expand its portfolio loss mitigation and real estate services to third parties in the marketplace. Furthermore, as the distressed mortgage and real estate markets remain unstable and uncertain due to the significant number of foreclosure properties that need to be sold, there remains uncertainty about the ongoing need and delivery of these services in the future.

Title and Escrow During the third quarter 2011, the Company received an offer to sell its interest in Experience 1, Inc., the parent of the title insurance company. After consideration of the increasing competition and lower margins in the title insurance industry along with a decision to focus the Company's efforts on expanding the mortgage lending platform, the Company's Board of Directors determined it was in the Company's best interest to sell its interest in the title insurance company in September 2011.

The title insurance company serviced primarily California and selected national markets providing title insurance, escrow and settlement services to residential mortgage lenders, real estate agents, asset managers and REO companies in the residential real estate market.

Long-Term Mortgage Portfolio

The long-term mortgage portfolio consists of the residual interests in securitizations represented on the consolidated balance sheet as the difference between total trust assets and total trust liabilities

The long-term mortgage portfolio includes adjustable rate and, to a lesser extent, fixed rate Alt-A single-family residential mortgages and commercial (primarily multifamily residential loans) mortgages that were acquired and originated primarily by the previously discontinued operations and retained in the long-term portfolio before 2008. Alt-A mortgages are primarily first lien mortgages made to borrowers

Table of Contents

whose credit is generally within typical Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac guidelines but have loan characteristics that make them non-conforming under those guidelines.

Commercial mortgages in the long-term mortgage portfolio are primarily adjustable rate mortgages with initial fixed interest rate periods of two-, three-, five-, seven- and ten-years that subsequently convert to adjustable rate mortgages (hybrid ARMs). Commercial mortgages have provided greater asset diversification on our balance sheet as borrowers of commercial mortgages typically have higher credit scores and commercial mortgages typically have lower LTVs.

Historically, the Company securitized mortgages in the form of collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs), which were consolidated and accounted for as secured borrowings for financial statement purposes. Securitized mortgages in the form of real estate mortgage investment conduits (REMICs) were either consolidated or unconsolidated depending on the design of the securitization structure. The Company consolidates the variable interest entity (VIE) as the primary beneficiary of the sole residual interest in each securitization trust where the Company also performs the master servicing. Amounts consolidated are included in trust assets and liabilities as securitized mortgage collateral, real estate owned, derivative assets, securitized mortgage borrowings and derivative liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. At December 31, 2011, our residual interests in securitizations (represented by the difference between total trust assets and total trust liabilities) increased to \$26.5 million, compared to \$26.4 million at December 31, 2010.

During 2011 and 2010, the Company did not acquire or retain any mortgages in the long-term mortgage portfolio.

For additional information regarding the long-term mortgage portfolio refer to Item 7. "*Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition*," Note 3. "*Securitized Mortgage Collateral*" and Note 8. "*Securitized Mortgage Borrowings*" in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Master Servicing

Until 2007, the Company retained master servicing rights on substantially all of its non-conforming single-family residential and commercial mortgage acquisitions and originations that were retained or sold through securitizations. The function of a master servicer includes collecting loan payments from loan servicers and remitting loan payments, less master servicing fees receivable and other fees, to a trustee or other purchaser for each series of mortgage-backed securities or mortgages master serviced. In addition, as master servicer, the Company monitors compliance with the servicing guidelines and performs, or contracts with third parties to perform all functions not adequately performed by any loan servicer. The master servicer is also required to advance funds, or cause the loan servicers to advance funds, to cover principal and interest payments not received from borrowers depending on the status of their mortgages. Master servicing fees are generally 0.03% per annum on the unpaid principal balance of the mortgages serviced. As a master servicer, the Company also earns income or incurs expense on principal and interest payments received from borrowers until those payments are remitted to the investors of those mortgages. Fees from the master servicing portfolio have declined significantly due to a decrease in principal balances and a decline in interest rates since the end of 2008, which affects the amount the Company earns on balances held in custodial accounts. At December 31, 2011, the Company was the master servicer for approximately 41,000 mortgages with an unpaid principal balance of approximately \$11.4 billion of which \$2.6 billion of those loans were 60 or more days delinquent. At December 31, 2011, the Company was also the master servicer for unconsolidated securitizations totaling approximately \$1.5 billion in unpaid principal balance of which \$0.5 billion of those loans were 60 or more days delinquent.

Table of Contents

Discontinued Operations

Discontinued operations primarily include minimizing or settling the repurchase liability exposure related to the previously discontinued former non-conforming mortgage operations.

In previous years, when the Company's previously discontinued operations sold loans to investors, the Company was required to make normal and customary representations and warranties about the loans previously sold to investors. Whole loan sale agreements generally required the Company to repurchase loans if a representation or warranty given to the loan purchaser is breached. In addition, the Company also could be required to repurchase loans as a result of borrower fraud or if a payment default occurs on a mortgage loan shortly after its sale. The Company continues to attempt to settle outstanding repurchase requests from third-party investors of the previously discontinued non-conforming mortgage operations.

Regulation

The mortgage lending and real estate brokerage operations have established underwriting guidelines that include provisions for inspections and appraisals, required credit reports on prospective borrowers and determined maximum loan amounts. The mortgage lending activities are subject to, among other laws, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, Federal Truth-in-Lending Act, Fair Credit Reporting Act, Fair and Accurate Credit Transaction Act, Fair Housing Act, Gramm-Leach, Bliley Act, Telephone Consumer Protection Act, Can Spam Act, Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, the Secure and Fair Enforcement for Mortgage Licensing Act of 2008, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. These laws and regulations, among other things, prohibit discrimination and require the disclosure of certain basic information to mortgagors concerning credit terms and settlement costs, prohibit the payment of kickbacks for the referral of business incident to a real estate settlement service, limit payment for settlement services to the reasonable value of the services rendered and goods furnished, restrict the marketing practices used to find customers, require the safeguard of non-public information about our customers and require the maintenance, disclosure of information regarding the disposition of mortgage applications based on race, gender, geographical distribution, price and income level and established national minimum standards for mortgage licenses. The mortgage lending and real estate brokerage are also subject to state and local laws and regulations, including state licensing laws, anti-predatory lending laws, and may also be subject to applicable state usury statutes. The mortgage lending operation of the Company is an approved Housing and Urban Development "HUD" lender. As a HUD approved lender, the Company is required to submit annually to Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and HUD, as applicable, audited financial statements, or the equivalent, according to the financial reporting requirements of each regulatory entity for its sellers/ servicers. The company's affairs are also subject to examination by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, HUD and state regulatory agencies at any time to assure compliance with applicable regulations, policies and procedures. Also refer to "Regulatory Risks" under Item 1A. Risk Factors for a further discussion of regulations that may affect our Company.

Competition

The Company operates in a highly competitive industry that could become even more competitive as a result of legislative, regulatory, economic, and technological changes, as well as continued consolidation. The Company's competitors include banks, thrifts, credit unions, real estate brokerage firms and mortgage banking companies. Competition is based on a number of factors including, among others, customer service, quality and range of products and services offered, price, reputation, interest rates, lending limits and customer convenience. To compete effectively, the Company must have a very high level of operational, technological, and managerial expertise, as well as access to capital at a

Table of Contents

competitive cost. As a result of reduced access to capital, general housing trends, rising delinquencies and defaults and other factors, many mortgage and real estate services firms have recently experienced severe financial difficulty, with some exiting the business or filing for bankruptcy protection.

The mortgage and real estate business activities compete with firms that provide similar services, including loan modification companies, real estate asset management and disposition companies and real estate brokerage firms. Our competitors include mega mortgage servicers, established subprime loan servicers, and newer entrants to the specialty servicing and recovery collections business. Efforts to market our ability to provide mortgage and real estate services for others is more difficult than many of our competitors because we have not historically provided such services to unrelated third parties, and we are not a rated primary or special servicer of residential mortgage loans as designated by a rating agency.

Competitive conditions in the mortgage business can be affected by shifts in consumer preference between variable-rate and fixed-rate mortgage loans, depending on the interest rate environment. Many smaller and mid-sized financial institutions may find it difficult to compete in the mortgage industry due to the consolidation in the industry and the need to invest in technology in order to reduce operating costs while maintaining compliance in an increasingly complex regulatory environment. Additionally, more restrictive underwriting standards and the elimination of Alt-A and subprime products has resulted in a more homogenous product offering, which has increased competition for conforming mortgages across the industry. Recently, many large mortgage lenders have slowed or shut down the purchase of loans from third-party correspondents.

Many of our competitors are larger than we are and have access to greater financial resources than we do, which can place us at a competitive disadvantage. In addition, many of our largest competitors are banks or affiliated with banking institutions, the advantages of which include, but are not limited to, the ability to hold new mortgage loan originations in an investment portfolio and having access to financing with more favorable terms than we do, including lower rate bank deposits as a source of liquidity. The businesses in which we engage are complex and heavily regulated, and changes in the regulatory environment affecting our businesses could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Risk factors, as outlined below, provide additional information related to risks associated with competition in the mortgage lending and real estate services industries.

Employees

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had a total of 394 and 376 employees, respectively. Management believes that relations with its employees are good. We are not a party to any collective bargaining agreements.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Some of the following risk factors relate to a discussion of our assets. For additional information on our asset categories refer to Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," as well as the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Risks Related To Our Businesses

Our long-term liquidity is dependent on our ability to realize cash flows from our long term mortgage portfolio and to expand our business volumes and revenues.

The ability to meet our long-term liquidity requirements is subject to several factors, such as realizing cash flows from our long-term mortgage portfolio and increasing revenues from our mortgage and real estate business activities. The mortgage lending operations that primarily offer government sponsored and conforming agency loans has increased its monthly lending origination volumes to be in excess of \$100 million. However, in 2011 the mortgage lending operations operated at a loss. In order for the mortgage operations to generate adequate profitability, we will need to (i) increase origination volumes, (ii) improve lending revenues including loan pricing margins and loan fees and (iii) reduce lending operating costs, or some combination of them.

We believe that current cash balances, short-term investments, cash flows realized from our long-term mortgage portfolio and fees generated from our mortgage and real estate business activities will be adequate to fund our current operations and liabilities. At December 31, 2011, our debt obligations, consisting of our trust preferred securities, junior subordinated notes, line of credit and the note payable related to the obligation limited to and secured by some of our residual interests in certain securitization trusts, was an aggregate of approximately \$76.0 million in outstanding net principal balance. We cannot provide any assurances that we will be able to operate successfully our mortgage and real estate business activities and other business that we may implement in the future. If we are unable to do so, we may be unable to satisfy our future operating costs and liabilities, including repayment of our debt obligations.

Our loss of approvals with or the potential limitation or wind-down of the role Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac play in the residential mortgage-backed security (MBS) market may adversely affect our business, operations and financial condition.

On February 11, 2011, the Treasury issued a White Paper titled "Reforming America's Housing Finance Market" (or the White Paper) that lays out, among other things, proposals to limit or potentially wind down the role that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac play in the mortgage market. Any such proposals, if enacted, may have broad adverse implications for the MBS market and our business, operations and financial condition. We expect such proposals to be the subject of significant discussion and it is not yet possible to determine whether such proposals will be enacted and, if so, when, what form any final legislation or policies might take or how proposals, legislation or policies emanating from the White Paper may impact the MBS market and our business, operations and financial condition. We are evaluating, and will continue to evaluate, the potential impact of the proposals set forth in the White Paper on our business and our financial position and results of operations. Since a substantial portion of our current production is being sold to Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae, if we lost approvals with such agencies our ability to profitably sell the loans could be effected.

During 2011, the Company sold \$329.1 million in service retained loans to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and issued \$72.6 million in Ginnie Mae securities through its AmeriHome Mortgage Corp indirect subsidiary, and sold \$402.2 million in loans on a service released basis. The Company is currently focusing on originating loans eligible for sale to Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and government

Table of Contents

sponsored loans, such as FHA, VA and USDA loans, eligible for Ginnie Mae securities issuance. Management believes that having the ability to both sell loans directly to these agencies and issue Ginnie Mae securities gives the Company an advantage in the overall mortgage origination market.

If we lose our ability to sell to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac or our ability to issue Ginnie Mae securities, our profitability, business, operations and financial condition may be adversely affected.

The Company, through its subsidiaries, has entered into financing facility agreements to fund loans for the mortgage lending operations that contain certain financial covenants.

Our warehouse facilities contain covenants, including requirements to maintain a certain minimum net worth, liquidity, debt ratios, profitability levels and other customary debt covenants. A breach of the covenants can result in an event of default under these facilities and as such allows the lender to pursue certain remedies which may constitute a cross default under other agreements. If we are unable to meet or maintain the necessary covenant requirements or satisfy, or obtain waivers from, the continuing covenants, this could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We may not be able to access financing sources on favorable terms, or at all, which could adversely affect our ability to implement and operate our business as planned.

Future financing sources may include borrowings in the form of bank credit facilities (including term loans and revolving facilities), repurchase agreements, warehouse facilities, structured financing arrangements, public and private equity and debt issuances and derivative instruments, in addition to transactions or asset specific funding arrangements. Our access to sources of financing depends upon a number of factors of which some we have little or no control, including general market conditions, resources and policies of lenders. Under current market conditions, many forms of structured financing arrangements are generally unavailable, which has also limited borrowings under warehouse and repurchase agreements that are intended to be refinanced by such financings. In addition, if regulatory capital requirements imposed on our private lenders change, they may be required to limit, or increase the cost of, financing they provide to us. In general, this could potentially increase our financing costs and reduce our liquidity. Consequently, the expansion of our mortgage lending operations may be dictated by the cost and availability of financing, specifically warehouse facilities. Depending on market conditions at the relevant time, we may have to rely more heavily on additional equity issuances, which may be dilutive to our shareholders, or on less efficient forms of debt financing that require a larger portion of our cash flow from operations, thereby reducing funds available for our operations and future business opportunities. We cannot assure you that we will have access to such equity or debt capital on favorable terms (including, without limitation, cost and term) at the desired times, or at all, which could negatively affect our results of operations.

We may become, and in some cases are a defendant in purported class action lawsuits and may not prevail in these matters.

Class action lawsuits and regulatory actions alleging improper marketing practices, abusive loan terms and fees, disclosure violations, improper yield spread premiums and other matters are risks faced by all mortgage originators. We are a defendant in purported class actions pending in different states and could be named in other matters. Some of the class actions allege generally that the loan originator (whether or not Impac) improperly charged fees in violation of various state lending or consumer protection laws in connection with mortgages that we acquired while others allege that our lending practice was a statutory violation, an unlawful business practice, an unfair business practice or a breach of a contract. They generally seek unspecified compensatory damages, punitive damages, pre- and post-judgment interest, costs and expenses and rescission of the mortgages, as well as a return of any improperly collected fees. We may incur defense costs and other expenses in connection with the class

Table of Contents

action lawsuits, and we cannot assure you that the ultimate outcome of these or other actions will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. In addition to the expense and burden incurred in defending this litigation and any damages that we may suffer, our management's efforts and attention may be diverted from the ordinary business operations in order to address these claims. If the final resolution of this litigation is unfavorable to us, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows might be materially adversely affected. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the actions and intend to defend against them vigorously; however, an adverse judgment in any of these matters could have a material adverse effect on us.

There is recent litigation in the mortgage industry related to securitizations against issuers, sellers, originators, underwriters and other.

As defaults, delinquencies, foreclosures, and losses in the real estate market continue, there have been recent lawsuits by various investors, insurers, underwriters and others against various participants in securitizations, such as sponsors, depositors, underwriters, and loan sellers. Some lawsuits have alleged that the mortgage loans had origination defects, that there were misrepresentations made about the mortgage loans and the parties failed to properly disclose the quality of the mortgage loans or repurchase defective loans or that there were other misrepresentations, lack of representations, or errors in securitization documents. There have been other claims contending errors or misrepresentations in the securitization documents or process itself. Historically, we both securitized and sold mortgage loans to third parties that may have been deposited or included in pools for securitizations. We have discovered discrepancies in our securitization documents and are working with the parties involved, including bondholders, on the issue. The Company has also received notices of claims for indemnification relating to mortgage backed securities bonds issued, originated or sold by the Company from Countrywide and Merrill Lynch. The claims seek indemnification from claims asserted against Countrywide and Merrill Lynch in specified legal actions entitled American International Group Inc. v. Bank of America Corp., et al Case No. 1:11-cv-06212 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York and Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston v. Ally Financial, Inc., et al Case No. 11-cv-10952 in the Superior Court Department of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. The notices each seek indemnification for all losses, liabilities, damages and legal fees and costs incurred in those actions. In connection with these potential claims, we may become subject to litigation related to the securitizations. As a result, we may incur significant legal and other expenses in defending against claims and litigation and we may be required to pay settlement costs, damages, penalties or other charges which could adversely affect our financial results.

On February 19, 2012, the Company entered into a tolling agreement which tolls the further running of the statute of limitations for any claims which the other party may hold. The other party purports to be a bondholder of some of our securities and for other securities for which we deposited loans. We do not know the nature of such claims as of this date.

Second trust deed mortgages in our long term mortgage portfolio expose us to greater credit risks.

The security interest in the property securing second mortgages in our long term mortgage portfolio is subordinated to the interest of the senior lien holder. Typically, the second trust deed mortgage, or junior lien mortgages have a higher combined loan to value (CLTV) ratio than do senior lien mortgages. If the borrower experiences difficulties in making senior lien payments or if the value of the property is equal to or less than the amount needed to repay the borrower's obligation to the senior lien holder upon foreclosure, the second mortgage loan may not be repaid.

Table of Contents

Also, the senior lien mortgages in the long term mortgage portfolio may be affected if there are junior liens on the same properties resulting in a higher CLTV which borrowers may perceive have no equity. This could result in the senior liens defaulting at a higher rate than senior liens without a junior lien.

If the junior lien mortgage loan is not repaid or if a borrower defaults on a senior lien or junior lien mortgage in a securitization trust in the Company's long term mortgage portfolio, the value of the retained residual interest in the securitization could be adversely affected or the cash flows we could otherwise realize from the related residual interest could be reduced.

Deteriorating mortgage market conditions have had and may continue to have a material adverse effect on our earnings and financial condition.

Our results of operations are materially affected by conditions in the mortgage and real estate markets, the financial markets and the economy generally. Beginning in 2007, the mortgage industry and the single-family residential housing markets, and to a lesser extent multifamily residential housing markets, were adversely affected as home prices declined and delinquencies and defaults significantly increased. Borrowers have found it difficult to refinance due to home price depreciation and lenders tightened their underwriting guidelines, which has led to further increases in defaults and credit losses. During 2011, the Company continued to be significantly and negatively affected by the deteriorating real estate market and the weak economic environment. As a result, non-conforming mortgage loans have not performed up to historical expectations, and the fair value of non-conforming mortgage loans has deteriorated. This, in turn, has resulted in declining revenues and increased expenses associated with the long term mortgage portfolio, including significant increases in loan losses and impairment charges, losses sustained in the operation of real estate properties acquired in foreclosure proceedings and foreclosure related professional fees. These factors have led to deterioration in the quality of the Company's long-term mortgage portfolio, as evidenced by the delinquencies, foreclosures and credit losses.

The adverse market conditions have affected our mortgage loan delinquencies and REO in the long term mortgage portfolio. At December 31, 2011, the Company's long-term mortgage portfolio had 21.6% or \$2.1 billion of loans that were 60 days or more delinquent, included in continuing and discontinued operations, compared to 21.3% or \$2.4 billion at December 31, 2010. REO decreased 39% to \$56.5 million at December 31, 2011 as compared to \$92.8 million at December 31, 2010 and we incurred losses from REOs of \$16.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$6.8 million for the previous year. These losses are primarily in the nonrecourse securitization trusts but could result in reduced cash flows from the Company's residual interests in respective securitizations. These conditions, which increase the cost and reduce the availability of debt, may continue or worsen in the future.

The disruption in the capital markets and secondary mortgage markets has also reduced liquidity and investor demand for mortgage loans and mortgage backed securities, while yield requirements for these products have increased. Continuing concerns about the declining real estate market, as well as inflation, energy costs, geopolitical issues and the availability and cost of credit, have contributed to increased volatility and diminished expectations for the economy and markets going forward. The mortgage market has been severely affected by changes in the lending landscape and there is no assurance that these conditions have stabilized or that they will not worsen. These unprecedented disruptions and deterioration of the mortgage market, have had, and may continue to have, an adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

Our performance may be adversely affected by the performance of parties who service or sub-service our mortgage loans.

We sell or contract with third-parties for the servicing of our mortgage loans. Our operations, performance and liabilities are subject to risks associated with inadequate or untimely servicing. Poor performance by a servicer may result in greater than expected delinquencies and losses on our mortgage loans or in our resulting exposure to investors, bond holders, bond insurers or others as we are responsible for the performance of our loan servicers. If we, or our servicers, commit a material breach of our obligations as a servicer or master servicer, we may be subject to termination if the breach is not cured within a specified period of time following notice, causing us to lose master servicing income. In addition, we may be required to indemnify the securitization trustee against losses from any failure by us, as master servicer or on behalf of the servicer, to perform the servicing obligations properly.

Also, a substantial increase in our delinquency or foreclosure rate could adversely affect our ability to access the capital and secondary markets for our financing needs. Also, with respect to mortgage loans subject to a securitization, greater delinquencies would adversely affect the value of our residual interest, if any, we hold in connection with that securitization.

In a securitization, relevant agreements permit us to be terminated as servicer or master servicer under specific conditions described in these agreements. If, as a result of a servicer or sub-servicer's failure to perform adequately, we were terminated as master servicer of a securitization, the value of any master servicing rights held by us could be adversely affected.

New regulatory laws affecting our operations may affect our ability to expand our mortgage lending operations.

The regulatory environments in which we previously operated, and continue to operate, have an effect on all the activities in which we are engaged and may engage in the future. Changes to the laws, regulations or regulatory policies can affect whether and to what extent we may be able to expand our mortgage lending activities. Many states and local governments and the Federal government have enacted, or may enact laws, or regulations that restrict or prohibit some provisions in some programs or businesses that we have previously participated in, currently participate in or plan to participate in the future. As such, we cannot be sure that in the future we will be able to engage in activities that were similar to those we engaged or participated in the past thereby limiting ability to commence new operations. As a result, we might be at a competitive disadvantage which would affect our operations and profitability.

Loans to non-conforming borrowers may expose us to a higher risk of delinquencies, foreclosures and losses.

We were an acquirer and originator of non-conforming single-family and multifamily mortgage loans. These are mortgages that generally may not qualify for purchase by government-sponsored agencies such as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The performance of the long term mortgage portfolio has been negatively affected by the losses from these mortgages. Credit risks associated with these mortgages may be greater than those associated with conforming mortgages. Mortgages made to such borrowers generally entail a higher risk of delinquency and higher losses than mortgages made to borrowers who utilize conventional mortgage sources. Delinquency, foreclosures and losses generally increase during economic slowdowns or recessions. The actual risk of delinquencies, foreclosures and losses on mortgages made to our borrowers are higher under current economic conditions than those in the past. Additionally, the combination of different underwriting criteria and higher rates of interest leads to greater risk, including higher prepayment rates and higher delinquency rates and /or credit losses. The long term mortgage portfolio also contains loans that are interest only. If there is a decline in real estate

Table of Contents

values, as recently seen, borrowers may default on these types of loans since they have not reduced their principal balances, which, therefore, could exceed the value of their property. In addition, a reduction in property values would also cause an increase in the CLTV or LTV ratio for that loan which could have the effect of reducing the value of the property collateralized by that loan, reducing the borrowers' equity in their homes to a level that would increase the risk of default.

The Company's long-term mortgage portfolio contains significant interest rate risks that are not currently hedged by the Company.

The cash flows from residual interests in certain securitization trusts are contingent upon various factors including the interest income collected on the loans in the trusts in excess of the interest expense paid to respective bondholders. These cash flows are distributed to the residual interest holder after the required interest and principal payments are made to the bondholders. Interest rates on the bonds usually adjust monthly with changes primarily in one-month LIBOR. Derivatives instruments (primarily interest rate swap agreements) inside the securitization trusts initially entered into were designed to offset the risk of movements in LIBOR that created the adverse effect of the interest income collected on the loans being less than interest expense paid to the respective bondholders. However, many of these derivatives agreements have maturities less than the maturities of the loans. Therefore, increases in LIBOR rates could significantly reduce the future cash flows we receive from the retained interests in these securitization trusts. The amount of the remaining derivatives instruments is not sufficient to fully protect the residual cash flows from increases in LIBOR. The Company does not have the ability to change the derivatives instruments inside the trusts and does not currently hedge this interest rate risk with derivatives instruments outside the securitization trusts. As a result of not fully hedging interest rate risks, the Company's future residual cash flows could be significantly affected by rising LIBOR rates.

Our hedging strategies recently implemented by our mortgage lending operations may not be successful in mitigating our risks associated with the market movement of interest rates.

We use various derivative financial instruments to provide a level of protection against interest rate risks in our mortgage lending operations, but no hedging strategy can protect us completely. When rates change, we expect to record a gain or loss on derivatives which would be offset by an inverse change in the value of mortgage loans held for sale. We cannot assure you, however, that our use of derivatives will offset the risks related to changes in interest rates. There have been periods, and it is likely that there will be periods in the future, during which we will not have offsetting gains or losses in mortgage loan values after accounting for our derivative financial instruments. The derivative financial instruments we select may not have the effect of reducing our interest rate risk. In addition, the nature and timing of hedging transactions may influence the effectiveness of these strategies. Poorly designed strategies, improperly executed and recorded transactions or inaccurate assumptions could actually increase our risk and losses. In addition, hedging strategies involve transaction and other costs. We cannot assure you that our hedging strategy and the derivatives that we use will adequately offset the risk of interest rate volatility or that our hedging transactions will not result in losses.

Competition in the residential real estate and mortgage services business is intense and may adversely affect our business operations and financial performance; the dominance of a limited number of companies may affect our ability to operate and compete effectively.

Competition in the residential real estate and mortgage services business is intense. Plus, the mortgage business and other businesses in which we have begun operations have recently experienced substantial consolidation. Our competitors include banks, thrifts, credit unions, real estate brokerage firms, title and escrow companies, asset management companies, and mortgage banking companies. Several of our competitors enjoy advantages, including greater financial resources and access to

Table of Contents

capital, a wider geographic presence, more accessible branch office locations, more aggressive marketing campaigns, better brand recognition, the ability to offer a wider array of services or more favorable pricing alternatives, as well as lower origination and operating costs. To compete effectively, we must have a very high level of operational, technological, and managerial expertise, as well as access to capital at a competitive cost. As a result of reduced access to capital, general housing trends, rising delinquencies and defaults and other factors, many mortgage and real estate services firms have recently experienced severe financial difficulty, with some exiting the business or filing for bankruptcy protection, resulting in a consolidation of companies in such industries. The dominance of a limited number of companies has created greater competition and to the extent that we cannot compete effectively, it may adversely affect our business operations and financial performance.

Losses from defaulted loans in the long term mortgage portfolio may be higher than anticipated because we did not obtain mortgage insurance or if the mortgage insurance company is insolvent.

Certain securitization trusts in the long term mortgage portfolio do not have credit enhancements such as mortgage pool or special hazard insurance for all of the mortgages and mortgage investments. Generally, the Company required mortgage insurance on any first mortgage with an LTV ratio greater than 80%. During the time we hold mortgages for investment, we are subject to risks of borrower defaults and bankruptcies and special hazard losses that are not covered by standard hazard insurance. If a borrower defaults on a mortgage that we hold, we bear the risk of loss of principal to the extent there is any deficiency between the value of the related mortgaged property and the amount owing on the mortgage loan and any insurance proceeds available to us through the mortgage insurer. Also, to the extent we have insurance coverage, we may bear the risk of the insurance carriers not being able to make the required payments which will increase losses on foreclosures.

Representations and warranties made by us in our loan sales and securitizations may subject us to liability.

In connection with our loan sales to third parties and our prior securitizations, we transferred mortgages acquired and originated by us to the third parties or into a trust in exchange for cash and, in the case of a securitized mortgage, residual certificates issued by the trust. The trustee, purchaser, bondholder, or other entities involved in the issuance of the securities (which may include bond insurers) may have recourse to us with respect to the breach of the representations, and warranties made by us at the time such mortgages are transferred or when the securities are sold. Those representations and warranties may include, but are not limited to, issues such as the validity of the lien, the absence of liens or delinquent taxes, the validity of the appraisal obtained in conjunction with the loan, the truthfulness of information used in the loan approval process, the loans compliance with all local, state and federal laws, the delivery of all documents required to perfect title to the lien, the loan meeting all underwriting criteria and the selection process used to include the loans in any particular transaction. Also, we previously engaged in bulk whole loan sales pursuant to agreements that generally provide for recourse by the purchaser against us in the event of a breach of one of our representations or warranties, any fraud or misrepresentation during the mortgage origination process, or upon early default on such mortgage. We attempted to limit the potential remedies of such purchasers to the potential remedies we received from those from whom we acquired or originated the mortgages. However, in some cases, the remedies available to a purchaser of mortgages from us may be broader or extend longer than those available to us against others whom have sold mortgage loans to us and should a purchaser enforce its remedies against us, we are not always able to enforce whatever remedies we have against others. Furthermore, if we discover, prior to the sale or transfer of a loan, that there is any fraud or misrepresentation with respect to the mortgage and the originator fails to repurchase the mortgage, then we may not be able to sell the mortgage or we may have to sell the mortgage at a discount.

Table of Contents

A material difference between the assumptions used in the determination of the value of our residual interests and our actual experience could cause us to write down the value of these securities and could harm our liquidity and financial condition.

Investments in residual interests and subordinated securities are much riskier than investments in senior mortgage-backed securities because these subordinated securities bear credit losses prior to the related senior securities. The risk associated with holding residual interests and subordinated securities is greater than holding the underlying mortgage loans directly due to the concentration of losses attributed to the subordinated securities. The value of residual interests represents the present value of future cash flows expected to be received by us from the excess cash flows created in the securitization transaction. In general, future cash flows are estimated by taking the coupon rate of the loans underlying the transaction less the interest rate paid to the bond holders, less contractually specified servicing and trustee fees, and after giving effect to estimated prepayments, credit losses and overcollateralization requirements. We estimate future cash flows from these securities and value them utilizing assumptions based in part on projected interest rates, delinquency, mortgage loan prepayment speeds and credit losses. It is extremely difficult to validate the assumptions we use in valuing our residual interests. Even if the general accuracy of the valuation model is validated, valuations are highly dependent upon the reasonableness of our assumptions and the predictability of the relationships which drive the results of the model. Such assumptions are complex as we must make judgments about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. If our actual experience differs from our assumptions, we could be required to reduce the value of these residual interests and securities. Furthermore, if our actual experience differs materially from these assumptions, our cash flow, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity may be harmed.

The commercial and multifamily securitized mortgages included in the long term mortgage portfolio may expose the Company to increased lending risks.

Commercial and multifamily mortgages typically involved larger mortgage balances to single borrowers or groups of related borrowers compared to one- to four-family residential mortgages. These commercial and multifamily mortgages had an additional layer of risk because repayment of the mortgages often depended on the successful operation and income stream of the borrowers. Additionally, current economic conditions and the resulting tightening of credit markets have limited the opportunities for borrowers seeking to refinance their mortgages prior to scheduled interest rate resets. The inability of commercial and multifamily borrowers to successfully refinance their mortgages prior to scheduled interest rate reset dates could significantly increase delinquencies and losses within our long-term mortgage portfolio. Further, in some of our multifamily securities we have an obligation to advance principal and interest payments, to the extent recoverable, which may affect our liquidity.

The geographic concentration of our mortgages increases our exposure to risks in those areas.

We did not set limitations on the percentage of our long-term mortgage portfolio composed of properties located in any one area (whether by state, zip code or other geographic measure). Concentration in any one area increases our exposure to the economic and natural hazard risks associated with that area. A majority of our mortgage acquisitions and originations, long-term mortgage portfolio and finance receivables were secured by properties in California and, to a lesser extent, Florida. California and Florida have experienced, and may experience in the future, an economic downturn and have also suffered the effects of certain natural hazards. As a result of the economic downturn, real estate values in California and Florida have decreased drastically and may continue to decrease in the future, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Table of Contents

Furthermore, if borrowers are not insured for natural disasters, which are typically not covered by standard hazard insurance policies, then they may not be able to repair the property or may stop paying their mortgages if the property is damaged. This would cause increased foreclosures and decrease our ability to recover losses on properties affected by such disasters. This would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

If we are forced to liquidate, we may have few unpledged assets for distribution to unsecured creditors or equity holders.

In the event we are forced to liquidate, the majority of our assets are either collateral for specific borrowings or pledged as collateral for secured liabilities. We may have few remaining assets available for unsecured creditors and equity holders.

We are subject to risks of operational failure that are beyond our control.

Substantially all of our operations are located in Irvine, California. Our systems and operations are vulnerable to damage and interruption from fire, flood, telecommunications failure, break-ins, earthquake and similar events. Our operations may also be interrupted by power disruptions. Furthermore, our security mechanisms may be inadequate to prevent security breaches to our computer systems, including from computer viruses, electronic break-ins and similar disruptions. Such security breaches or operational failures could expose us to liability, impair our operations, result in losses, and harm our reputation.

Loss of our current executive officers or other key management could significantly harm our business.

We depend on the diligence, skill and experience of our senior executives, including our chief executive officer and president. We believe that our future results will also depend in part upon our attracting and retaining highly skilled and qualified management. We seek to compensate our executive officers, as well as other employees, through competitive salaries, bonuses and other incentive plans, but there can be no assurance that these programs will allow us to retain key management executives or hire new key employees. The loss of our chief executive officer, president, or other senior executive officers and key management could have a material adverse affect on our operations because other officers may not have the experience and expertise to readily replace these individuals. Competition for such personnel is intense, and we cannot assure you that we will be successful in attracting or retaining such personnel. Furthermore, in light of our present financial condition, no assurance can be given that we will retain these and other executive officers and key management personnel. To the extent that one or more of our top executives or other key management personnel are no longer employed by us, our operations and business prospects may be adversely affected. The loss of, and changes in, key personnel and their responsibilities may be disruptive to our business and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If we fail to maintain effective systems of internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures, we may not be able to report our financial results accurately or prevent fraud, which could cause current and potential stockholders to lose confidence in our financial reporting, adversely affect the trading price of our securities or harm our operating results.

Effective internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and effectively prevent fraud and operate successfully as a public company. Any failure to develop or maintain effective internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures could harm our reputation or operating results, or cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations. We cannot be certain that our efforts to

Table of Contents

improve or maintain our internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures will be successful or that we will be able to maintain adequate controls over our financial processes and reporting in the future. Any failure to develop or maintain effective controls or difficulties encountered in their implementation or other effective improvement of our internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures could harm our operating results, or cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations. If we are unable to adequately establish or maintain our internal control over financial reporting, our external auditors will not be able to issue an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. In the past, we have reported, and may discover in the future, material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting.

Ineffective internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures could cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, which could have a negative effect on the trading price of our securities or affect our ability to access the capital markets and could result in regulatory proceedings against us by, among others, the SEC. In addition, a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting, which may lead to deficiencies in the preparation of financial statements, could lead to litigation claims against us. The defense of any such claims may cause the diversion of management's attention and resources, and we may be required to pay damages if any such claims or proceedings are not resolved in our favor. Any litigation, even if resolved in our favor, could cause us to incur significant legal and other expenses or cause delays in our public reporting. Such events could harm our business, affect our ability to raise capital and adversely affect the trading price of our securities.

Our ability to utilize our net operating losses and certain other tax attributes may be limited.

At the end of our 2011 taxable year, we had net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards of approximately \$498.7 million for federal income tax purposes and approximately \$468.2 million for state income tax purposes. During the year ended December 31, 2011, estimated net operating loss carryforwards were reduced as a result of the Company generating taxable income from cancellation of debt for approximately \$39.2 million of securitized mortgage borrowings. Although, under existing tax rules, we are generally allowed to use those NOL carryforwards to offset taxable income in subsequent taxable years, our ability to use those NOL carryforwards to offset income may be severely limited to the extent that we experience an ownership change within the meaning of Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. These provisions could also limit our ability to deduct certain losses (built-in losses) we recognize after an ownership change with respect to assets we own at the time of the ownership change. In general, an ownership change, as defined by Section 382, results from transactions increasing ownership of certain stockholders or public groups in our stock by more than 50 percentage points over a three-year period. In addition, the generation of taxable income from cancellation of debt may further reduce the NOL. Any limitation on our NOL carryforwards that could be used to offset taxable income would adversely affect our liquidity and cash flow, as and when we become profitable. However, we may not generate sufficient taxable income in future periods to be able to realize fully the tax benefits of our NOL carryforwards.

Violation of various federal, state and local laws may result in financial losses.

To the extent we originate and purchase mortgage loan or provide loan modification services, asset management, liquidation and oversight services, and other new businesses in which we may commence, applicable state and local laws generally regulate interest rates and other charges, require certain disclosure, and require applicable licensing. In addition, other state and local laws, public policy and general principles of equity relating to the protection of consumers, unfair and deceptive practices

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

and debt collection practices may apply to the origination, servicing and collection of our loans, and title and escrow services. Our business is also subject to various federal laws, including:

the Federal Truth-in-Lending Act and Regulation Z promulgated there under, which require certain disclosures to the borrowers regarding the terms of the loans and which has recently been interpreted to require substantial changes in compensation that can be paid to brokers and loan originators;

the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and Regulation B promulgated there under, which prohibit discrimination on the basis of age, race, color, sex, religion, marital status, national origin, receipt of public assistance or the exercise of any right under the Consumer Credit Protection Act, in the extension of credit;

the Fair Housing Act, which prohibits discrimination in housing on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, familial status, or handicap, in housing-related transactions;

the Fair Credit Reporting Act, which regulates the use and reporting of information related to the borrower's credit experience;

the Fair and Accurate Credit Transaction Act, which regulates credit reporting and use of credit information in making unsolicited offers of credit;

the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, which imposes requirements on all lenders with respect to their collection and use of nonpublic financial information and requires them to maintain the security of that information;

the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, which requires that consumers receive disclosures at various times and outlaws kickbacks that increase the cost of settlement services;

the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, which requires the reporting of public loan data;

the Telephone Consumer Protection Act and the Can Spam Act, which regulate commercial solicitations via telephone, fax, and the Internet;

the Depository Institutions Deregulation and Monetary Control Act of 1980, which preempts certain state usury laws;

the Alternative Mortgage Transaction Parity Act of 1982, which preempts certain state lending laws which regulate alternative mortgage transactions;

the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act which prohibits unfair debt collection practices;

the Secure and Fair Enforcement for Mortgage Licensing Act of 2008 establishes national minimum standards for mortgage licensees; and

the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, which is a sweeping overhaul of the financial regulatory system. Title XIV of the Dodd-Frank Act contains the Mortgage Reform and Anti-Predatory Lending Act ("Mortgage Act").

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

The Mortgage Act imposes a number of additional requirements on lenders and servicers of residential mortgage loans, including Impac, by amending certain existing provisions and adding new sections to TILA, RESPA, and other federal laws. The penalties for noncompliance with these laws are also significantly increased by the Mortgage Act, which could lead to an increase in lawsuits against mortgage lenders and servicers. Like other parts of the Dodd-Frank Act, the Mortgage Act

Table of Contents

requires that implementing regulations be issued before many of its provisions are effective. Therefore, many of these provisions in the Mortgage Act might not become effective until 2013 or early 2014.

Violations of certain provisions of these federal and state laws may limit our ability to collect all or part of the principal of or interest on the loans and in addition could subject us to damages and could result in the mortgagors rescinding the loans whether held by us or subsequent holders of the loans. In addition, such violations could cause us to be in default under our credit and repurchase lines and could result in the loss of licenses held by us.

Similarly, it is possible borrowers may assert that the historical loan forms we used or acquired, including forms for "interest-only" and "option-ARM" loans for which there is little standardization or uniformity, fail to properly describe the transactions they intended, or that our forms failed to comply with applicable consumer protection statutes or other federal and state laws. This could result in liability for violations of certain provisions of federal and state consumer protection laws and our inability to sell the loans and our obligation to repurchase the loans or indemnify the purchasers.

The Obama Administration recently delivered a report to Congress regarding proposals to reform the housing finance market in the United States. The report, among other things, outlined various potential proposals to wind down Ginnie Mae or Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (the "GSEs") and reduce or eliminate over time the role of the GSEs in guaranteeing mortgages and providing funding for mortgage loans, as well as proposals to implement reforms relating to borrowers, lenders, and investors in the mortgage market, including reducing the maximum size of a loan that the GSEs can guarantee, phasing-in a minimum down payment requirement for borrowers, improving underwriting standards, and increasing accountability and transparency in the securitization process. The extent and timing of any regulatory reform regarding the GSEs and the home mortgage market, as well as any effect on Impac's business and financial results, are uncertain. Any other future legislation and/or regulation, if adopted, also could have a material adverse effect on our business operations, income, and/or competitive position and may have other negative consequences.

Our operations may be adversely affected if we are subject to the Investment Company Act.

We intend to conduct our business at all times so as not to become regulated as an investment company under the Investment Company Act. The Investment Company Act exempts entities that are primarily engaged in the business of purchasing or otherwise acquiring mortgages and other liens on and interests in real estate.

In order to qualify for this exemption we must maintain at least 55% of our assets directly in mortgages, qualifying pass-through certificates and certain other qualifying interests in real estate. Our ownership of certain mortgage assets may be limited by the provisions of the Investment Company Act, should we ever be subject to the Act. If the SEC adopts a contrary interpretation with respect to these securities or otherwise believes we do not satisfy the above exception, we could be required to restructure our activities or sell certain of our assets. To insure that we continue to qualify for the exemption we may be required at times to adopt less efficient methods of financing certain of our mortgage assets and we may be precluded from acquiring certain types of higher-yielding mortgage assets. The net effect of these factors will be to lower our net interest income. If we fail to qualify for exemption from registration as an investment company, our ability to use leverage would be substantially reduced, and we would not be able to conduct our business as described. Our business will be materially and adversely affected if we fail to qualify for this exemption.

Table of Contents

Limitations on acquisition and change in control ownership limit.

Our Charter and bylaws, and Maryland corporate law contain a number of provisions that could delay, defer, or prevent a transaction or a change of control of us that might involve a premium price for holders of our capital stock or otherwise be in their best interests by increasing the associated costs and timeframe necessary to make an acquisition, making the process for acquiring a sufficient number of shares of our capital stock to effectuate or accomplish such a change of control longer and more costly. In addition, investors may refrain from attempting to cause a change in control because of the difficulty associated with such a venture because of the limitations.

Our share prices have been and may continue to be volatile and the trading of our shares may be limited.

The market price of our securities has been volatile. Our common stock has been trading on the NYSE Amex stock exchange since December 2009. We cannot guarantee that a consistently active trading market for our securities will continue. In addition, there can be no assurances that such markets will continue or that any shares which may be purchased may be sold without incurring a loss. Any such market price of our shares may not necessarily bear any relationship to our book value, assets, past operating results, financial condition or any other established criteria of value, and may not be indicative of the market price for the shares in the future. The market price of our securities is likely to continue to be highly volatile and could be significantly affected by factors including:

unanticipated fluctuations in our operating results;

general market and mortgage industry conditions;

mortgage and real estate fees;

delinquencies and defaults on outstanding mortgages;

loss severities on loans and REO;

prepayments on mortgages;

valuations of securitization related assets and liabilities;

mark to market adjustments related to the fair value of loans held-for-sale, mortgage servicing rights, long-term debt and derivatives; and

interest rates.

During 2011, our common stock reached an intra-day high sales price of \$3.99 on April 7th, and an intra-day low sales price of \$1.45 on October 5th. As of March 14, 2012, our stock price closed at \$2.77 per share. In addition, significant price and volume fluctuations in the stock market have particularly affected the market prices for the securities of mortgage companies such as ours. Furthermore, general conditions in the mortgage industry may adversely affect the market price of our securities. These broad market fluctuations have adversely affected and may continue to adversely affect the market price of our securities. If our results of operations fail to meet the expectations of securities analysts or investors in a future quarter, the market price of our securities could also be materially adversely affected and we may experience difficulty in raising capital.

Table of Contents

Issuances of additional shares of our common stock may adversely affect its market price and significantly dilute stockholders.

In order to support our business objectives, we may raise capital through the sale of equity. We may also issue shares of common stock to settle outstanding obligations and liabilities. The issuance or sale, or the proposed sale, of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market could materially adversely affect the market price of our common stock or other outstanding securities. We do not know the actual or perceived effect of these issuances, the timing of any offerings or issuances of securities, the potential dilution of the book value or earnings per share of our securities then outstanding and the effect on the market price of our securities then outstanding.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our primary executive and administrative offices are located at 19500 Jamboree Road, Irvine, California 92612 where we have a premises lease expiring in November 2016. We have two options to extend the term for five-year periods for each option. The premises consist of a seven-story building containing approximately 210,000 square feet with an initial annual rental rate of \$31.80 per square foot, which amount increases every 30 months since commencement of the lease in October 2006. As of December 31, 2011, the Company has subleased approximately 110,000 square feet of our corporate headquarters.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is a defendant in or a party to a number of legal actions or proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business. In some of these actions and proceedings, claims for monetary damages are asserted against the Company. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of such legal actions and proceedings, the Company generally cannot predict what the eventual outcome of the pending matters will be, what the timing of the ultimate resolution of these matters will be, or what the eventual loss related to each pending matter may be, if any.

In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, the Company establishes an accrued liability for litigation when those matters present loss contingencies that are both probable and estimable. In any cases, there may be an exposure to losses in excess of any such amounts whether accrued or not. Any estimated loss is subject to significant judgment and is based upon currently available information, a variety of assumptions, and known and unknown uncertainties. The matters underlying the estimated loss will change from time to time, and actual results may vary significantly from the current estimate. Therefore, an estimate of possible loss represents what the Company believes to be an estimate of possible loss only for certain matters meeting these criteria. It does not represent the Company's maximum loss exposure. At December 31, 2011, the Company does not have an accrued liability recorded for such estimated loss exposure.

Based on the Company's current understanding of these pending legal actions and proceedings, management does not believe that judgments or settlements arising from pending or threatened legal matters, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position, operating results or cash flows of the Company. However, in light of the inherent uncertainties involved in these matters, some of which are beyond the Company's control, and the very large or indeterminate damages sought in some of these matters, an adverse outcome in one or more of these

Table of Contents

matters could be material to the Company's results of operations or cash flows for any particular reporting period.

The legal matters summarized below are ongoing and may have an effect on the Company's business and future financial condition and results of operations:

On December 7, 2011 an action was filed in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City entitled Curtis J. Timm, on behalf of himself and all persons similarly situated v. Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc, et al as Case No. 24-c-11-008391. The complaint alleges on behalf of Preferred B and C shareholders who did not tender their stock previously that Impac failed to achieve the required consent from the Preferred stock classes, the consents to amend the Preferred stock was not effective because it was given on unissued stock (after redemption), it tied the tender offer with a consent requirement which constitutes an improper "vote buying" scheme, and that the tender offer was a breach of a fiduciary duty. The action seeks two quarterly payments of dividends for the Preferred holders, a declaratory judgment to unwind the consents and reinstate the cumulative dividend on the Preferred stock and to set a date for the election of two directors by the Preferred holders. The action also seeks punitive damages and legal expenses.

In October 2011, the Company received notices of claims for indemnification relating to mortgage backed securities bonds issued, originated or sold by Impac Secured Assets Corp., Impac Funding Corporation, IMH Assets Corp. and Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. from Countrywide Securities Corporation (Countrywide) and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated (Merrill Lynch). The claims seek indemnification from claims asserted against Countrywide and Merrill Lynch in specified legal actions entitled American International Group Inc. v. Bank of America Corp., et al Case No. 1:11-cv-06212 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York and Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston v. Ally Financial, Inc., et al Case No. 11-cv-10952 in the Superior Court Department of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. The notices each seek indemnification for all losses, liabilities, damages and legal fees and costs incurred in those actions.

On May 26, 2011, a matter was filed in the United States District Court, Central District of California as Case No. CV11-4514 DSF entitled Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. v. Impac Secured Assets Corp., Impac Funding Corporation and Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. The action alleges a violation of Section 18 and Section 20 of the Securities and Act of 1933 and negligent misrepresentation, all involved in the issuance and sale of bonds from a securitization trust. The plaintiff alleges they relied on certain documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) that were subsequently the subject of an amended filing. The matter seeks unspecified damages, interest, legal fees and litigation expenses.

On May 6, 2011 a case entitled Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company v. Impac Funding Corporation, et al was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts as Case No. 3:11-cv-30127. The complaint names Impac Funding Corporation and Impac Secured Assets Corporation along with two of their officers as defendants. The action alleges violations of the Massachusetts Uniform Securities Act and the plaintiff seeks rescission or damages for their purchase of bonds from two securitization trusts issued by the Defendants. The plaintiff alleges that the loans deposited into the trusts did not meet the representations made in the offering documents when they purchased their bonds. On February 14, 2012 the court entered an order granting the motion of Impac Funding Corporation, Impac Secured Assets Corporation and the two individuals request to dismiss them from the litigation.

On or about April 20, 2011, an action was filed in the Superior Court of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts as case No. B.L.S. 11-1533 entitled Federal Home Loan bank of Boston v. Ally financial Inc., et al. Named as defendants in that action are IMH Assets Corp, Impac Funding

Table of Contents

Corporation, Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. and Impac Secured Assets Corp. The complaint alleges misrepresentations in connection with the materials used to market mortgage backed securities that the plaintiff purchased. The complaint seeks damages and attorney's fees in an amount to be established at time of trial.

Gilmer, et al. v. Preferred Credit Corp., et. al., Case No. 4:10-CV-00189 (Gilmer), currently pending in the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri, is a putative class action against Preferred Credit and others charging violations of Missouri's Second Mortgage Loan Act. In a Sixth Amended Complaint (Complaint), plaintiffs Michael P. and Shellie Gilmer and others bring suit against Preferred Credit, as the originator of various second mortgage loans in Missouri, and against: IMPAC Funding Corporation; IMPAC Mortgage Holdings; IMPAC Secured Assets; IMPAC Secured Assets CMN Trust Series 1998-1 Collateralized Asset-Backed Notes, Series 1998-1; IMH Assets Corp; Impac CMB Trust Series 1999-1; Impac CMB Trust Series 1999-2; Impac CMB Trust Series 2000-1; Impac CMB Trust Series 2000-2; Impac CMB Trust Series 2001-4; Impac CMB Trust Series 2002-1; Impac CMB Trust Series 2003-5, (collectively, the IMPAC Defendants), among numerous others, as alleged holders of notes associated with second mortgage loans originated by Preferred Credit. Plaintiffs complain that at closing Preferred Credit charged them fees and costs in violation of Missouri's Second Mortgage Loan Act. Additionally, Plaintiffs obtained certification of a class of all persons similarly situated. Plaintiffs allege that the IMPAC Defendants are liable to Plaintiffs and members of the putative class as alleged holders of notes associated with second mortgage loans originated by Preferred Credit. Plaintiffs seek on behalf of themselves and the members of the putative class, among other things, disgorgement or restitution of all improperly collected charges, the right to rescind all affected loan transactions, the right to offset any finance charges, closing costs, points or other loan fees paid against the principal amounts due on the loans if rescinded, actual and punitive damages, and attorneys' fees. Plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification, which was granted. On February 26, 2010, U.S. Bank National Association ND and other defendants removed the case to federal court. The case remains pending in federal court. Trial is scheduled to commence on August 13, 2012.

Baker, et al. v. Century Financial Group, et al., Case No. 4:04-CV-W-0201-SOW (Baker), currently pending in the Circuit Court of Clay County, Missouri, is a putative class action against Century Financial and others charging violations of Missouri's Second Mortgage Loan Act. In particular, in a Fourth Amended Complaint (Complaint), Plaintiffs James and Jill Baker and others bring suit against Century Financial, as the originator of various second mortgage loans in Missouri, and against IMPAC Funding Corporation, IMH Assets Corporation, IMPAC Mortgage Holdings, Inc., IMPAC Secured Assets Corporation, and two terminated IMPAC trusts (collectively, the IMPAC Defendants), among others, as alleged holders of notes associated with second mortgage loans originated by Century Financial. The Plaintiffs' allegations are similar to those asserted by the Plaintiffs in the Gilmer action, discussed above. Plaintiffs seek on behalf of themselves and the members of the putative class, among other things, disgorgement or restitution of all allegedly improperly-collected charges, the right to rescind all affected loan transactions, the right to offset any finance charges, closing costs, points or other loan fees paid against the principal amounts due on the loans if rescinded, actual and punitive damages, and attorneys' fees. The case was subsequently removed to federal court and later remanded by the federal court to the Circuit Court of Clay County, Missouri. The IMPAC Defendants filed an Answer on March 7, 2005. Limited discovery has taken place since this date, including additional discovery responses by certain IMPAC Defendants during 2008.

The Gilmer and Baker purported class action lawsuits are similar in nature in that they allege that the mortgage loan originators violated the respective state's statutes by charging excessive fees and costs when making second mortgage loans on residential real estate. The complaints allege that IFC was a purchaser, and is a holder, along with other affiliated entities, of second mortgage loans originated by other lenders. The plaintiffs in the lawsuits are seeking damages that include disgorgement of interest

Table of Contents

paid, restitution, rescission, actual damages, statutory damages, exemplary damages, pre-judgment interest and punitive damages. No specific dollar amount of damages is specified in the complaints.

We are a party to other litigation and claims which are normal in the course of our operations. While the results of such other litigation and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, we believe the final outcome of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to the above claims and intends to defend these claims vigorously and as such the Company believes the final outcome of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations. Nevertheless, litigation is uncertain and the Company may not prevail in the lawsuits and can express no opinion as to their ultimate resolution. An adverse judgment in any of these matters could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Our common stock is currently listed on the NYSE Amex under the symbol "IMH".

The following table summarizes the high, low and closing sales prices for our common stock for the periods indicated:

	2011			2010		
	High	Low	Close	High	Low	Close
First Quarter	3.36	2.59	2.73	6.18	3.16	3.95
Second Quarter	3.99	2.61	2.93	4.60	2.55	2.82
Third Quarter	2.95	1.80	1.95	3.37	2.49	2.77
Fourth Quarter	2.90	1.45	2.01	2.79	2.61	2.79

On March 14, 2012, the last quoted price of our common stock on the NYSE Amex was \$2.77 per share. As of March 14, 2012, there were 391 holders of record, including holders who are nominees for an undetermined number of beneficial owners, of our common stock.

The Board of Directors of the Company authorizes the payment of cash dividends on its common stock, subject to an ongoing review of the Company's profitability, liquidity and future operating cash requirements. The Board of Directors did not declare cash dividends on our common stock during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. We do not expect to declare or pay any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations contain certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Refer to Item 1. "Business Forward-Looking Statements" for a complete description of forward-looking statements. Refer to Item 1. "Business" for information on our businesses and operating segments.

Amounts are presented in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated.

Selected Financial Results for 2011

Continuing Operations

Earnings from continuing operations were \$5.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to \$7.6 million for 2010.

Mortgage and real estate services fees were \$56.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to \$57.2 million for 2010 with the decrease primarily due to the sale of the title company, decrease in portfolio loss mitigation and real estate recovery revenues offset by an increase in mortgage lending net revenues.

In September and October 2011, the Company sold its interest in Experience 1, Inc., the parent of the title insurance company, for \$3.7 million, recording a total gain of approximately \$1.9 million ceasing the Company's involvement in title and escrow activities.

The mortgage lending operations originated \$883.2 million and sold \$803.9 million of loans during 2011 as compared to \$40.8 million of loans originated for 2010. Additionally, the mortgage lending revenues increased to \$14.0 million in 2011 as compared to \$763 thousand in 2010.

Net interest income was \$3.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to \$5.7 million for 2010.

Non-interest income net trust assets were \$9.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to \$4.3 million for 2010.

Discontinued Operations

Loss from discontinued operations (net of tax) was \$3.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to earnings of \$2.2 million for 2010.

Market Conditions

See Item 1. "Business" for discussion of market conditions.

Status of Operations

Mortgage and Real Estate Services

The mortgage and real estate services have been developed as part of a centralized platform to operate synergistically to maximize revenues and profits. The integrated services platform includes the mortgage lending operations and portfolio loss mitigation and real estate services.

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Mortgage Lending Operations During 2011, the Company continued to expand its mortgage lending activities increasing loan originations and loan sales. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company originated \$883.2 million and sold \$803.9 million of loans, respectively, as compared to \$40.8 million and \$17.4 million of loans originated and sold, respectively, in 2010.

The Company is currently focusing on originating Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and government loans as it believes that having the ability to sell loans to Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and issue Ginnie Mae securities makes it more competitive in the overall mortgage origination market with regard to products, pricing, operational efficiencies and overall recruitment of higher quality loan originators. Consistent with the Company's strategy, during 2011, the Company sold \$329.1 million in service retained loans to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and issued \$72.6 million in Ginnie Mae securities through its AmeriHome Mortgage Corp indirect subsidiary, and sold \$402.2 million in loans on a service released basis to other investors. As a result, the mortgage servicing portfolio increased to \$605.4 million in unpaid principal balance at December 31, 2011 as compared to \$173.9 million at December 31, 2010.

The Company has hired a nationally recognized residential mortgage servicer to sub-service the servicing portfolio. Although the Company uses the sub-servicer to provide primary servicing and certain default servicing functions, the Company's default management team, experienced in loss mitigation and real estate recovery, monitors and surveys the performance of the mortgage servicing portfolio. Incurring the cost of both a sub-servicer and an internal default management team reduces net servicing income, but it is an important investment used to minimize delinquencies and minimize repurchase risk.

In March 2011, the Company opened regional production offices in the Pacific Northwest and Gulf Coast regions giving the Company origination capabilities throughout the entire west coast and gulf coast regions. Included in the originations balances stated above, during the year ended December 31, 2011, these new production offices contributed approximately \$452.5 million in originations of primarily agency and government insured residential mortgage loans. To facilitate the growth of the mortgage lending operations the Company increased its warehouse borrowings capacity to \$87.5 million at December 31, 2011 from \$42.0 million at December 31, 2010.

In 2011, the mortgage lending operations has been successful in increasing its monthly lending origination volumes to be in excess of \$100 million. However, although the mortgage lending revenues increased in 2011, expenses associated with the mortgage lending activities significantly increased also. The increase in expenses was primarily due to start-up and expansion costs with opening new offices, hiring staff, purchasing equipment, investing in technology and the supplemental default management team as discussed above. Specifically, as the Company attempts to build a purchase money centric platform with a significant amount of retail originated loans; the related start-up costs for this type of origination platform will be higher than a wholesale refinance focused mortgage operation. In addition, the Company has made small investments in proprietary technologies that will further support our expansion of retail originated purchase money mortgages along with more competitive recruitment of realtor direct loan officers. The Company believes this is the right strategy in the long term as interest rates on mortgage loans are expected to rise in the future, which will greatly reduce the percentage of refinance transactions to more historical percentages. In order for the mortgage operations to achieve profitability, we will need to (i) increase overall origination volumes, (ii) improve lending revenues by originating a higher percentage of retail loans and products with wider margins and greater loan fees and (iii) reduce lending operating costs through increased operational efficiencies, or some combination of them.

Portfolio Loss Mitigation and Real Estate Services The Company provides portfolio loss mitigation and real estate services including REO surveillance and disposition services, default

Table of Contents

surveillance and loss recovery services, short sale and real estate brokerage services, portfolio monitoring and reporting services.

Although the Company seeks to expand its portfolio loss mitigation and real estate services to more third parties in the marketplace, the revenues from these business activities have historically been generated from the Company's long-term mortgage portfolio. Furthermore, as the distressed mortgage and real estate markets remain unstable and uncertain due to the number of foreclosure properties that need to be sold, there remains uncertainty about the ongoing need and delivery of these services in the future.

Title and Escrow During the third quarter 2011, the Company received an offer to sell its interest in the title insurance company. After consideration of the increasing competition and lower margins in the title insurance industry along with a decision to focus the Company's efforts on expanding the mortgage lending platform, the Company's Board of Directors determined it was in the Company's best interest to sell its interest in the title insurance company in September 2011. In September and October 2011, the Company sold its interest in Experience 1, Inc., the parent of the title insurance company, for \$3.7 million, recording a total gain of approximately \$1.9 million.

For the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, mortgage and real estate services fees were as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended December 31,		For the Twelve Months Ended December 31,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Real estate services and recovery fees	\$ 3,086	\$ 5,282	\$ 16,742	\$ 22,064
Mortgage lending	6,478	351	14,016	763
Title and escrow	-	5,569	13,906	16,786
Loss mitigation fees	1,719	2,225	6,766	11,741
Portfolio service fees	1,144	1,572	5,234	5,814
Total mortgage and real estate services fees	\$ 12,427	\$ 14,999	\$ 56,664	\$ 57,168

Long-Term Mortgage Portfolio

Although we have seen some stabilization and improvement in defaults, the portfolio continues to suffer losses and may continue for the foreseeable future until we see a significant decline in the number of foreclosure properties in the market. Existing conditions are unprecedented and result in uncertainty around the long-term performance of the portfolio.

At December 31, 2011, our residual interest in securitizations (represented by the difference between total trust assets and total trust liabilities) increased to \$26.5 million, compared to \$26.4 million at December 31, 2010. The increase in residual fair value in 2011 was primarily due to a reduction in forward LIBOR interest rates partially offset by cash received during the year.

In previous years, the Company securitized mortgage loans by transferring originated residential single-family mortgage loans and multifamily commercial loans (the "transferred assets") into non-recourse bankruptcy remote trusts which in turn issued tranches of bonds to investors supported only by the cash flows of the transferred assets. Because the assets and liabilities in the securitizations are nonrecourse to the Company, the bondholders cannot look to the Company for repayment of their bonds in the event of a shortfall. These securitizations were structured to include interest rate derivatives. The Company retained the residual interest in each trust, and in most cases would perform

Table of Contents

the master servicing. A trustee and servicer, unrelated to us, would be named for each securitization. Cash flows from the loans (the loan payments and liquidation of foreclosed real estate properties) collected by the loan servicer are remitted to the master servicer. The master servicer remits payments to the trustee who remits payments to the bondholders (investors). The servicer collects loan payments and performs loss mitigation activities for defaulted loans. These activities include foreclosing on properties securing defaulted loans, which results in REO.

In accordance with GAAP, the Company is required to consolidate all but one of these trusts (as the Company is not the master servicer) on our statement of financial condition and results of operations. For the one trust the Company did not consolidate, the residual interest is reported as investment securities available-for-sale. For the trusts the Company did consolidate, the loans are included in the statement of financial condition as "securitized mortgage collateral", the foreclosed loans are included in the statement of financial condition as "real estate owned" and the various bond tranches owned by investors are included in the statement of financial condition as "securitized mortgage borrowings." Any interest rate derivatives remaining in the trusts are included in our statement of financial condition as "derivative assets" or "derivative liabilities," respectively. To the extent there is excess overcollateralization (as defined in the securitization agreements) in these securitization trusts, the Company receives cash flows from the excess interest collected monthly from the residual interest the Company owns. Because (i) the Company elected the fair value option on the securitized mortgage collateral, securitized mortgage borrowings, (ii) derivative assets/liabilities are carried at fair value as required by GAAP, and (iii) real estate owned is reflected at net realizable value (NRV), which closely approximates fair market value, the net of the trust assets and trust liabilities represents the estimated fair value of the residual interests the Company owns.

To estimate fair value of the assets and liabilities within the securitization trusts each reporting period, management uses an industry standard valuation and analytical model that is updated monthly with current collateral, real estate, derivative, bond and cost (servicer, trustee, etc.) information for each securitization trust. The Company employs an internal process to validate the accuracy of the model as well as the data within this model. Forecasted assumptions, sometimes referred to as "curves," for defaults, loss severity, interest rates (LIBOR) and prepayments are input into the valuation model for each securitization trust. The Company hires third party experts to provide forecasted curves for the aforementioned assumptions for each of the securitizations. Before inputting this information into the model, management employs a process to qualitatively and quantitatively review the assumption curves for reasonableness using other information gathered from the mortgage and real estate market (*i.e.*, third party home price indices, published industry reports discussing regional mortgage and commercial loan performance and delinquency) as well as actual default and foreclosure information for each trust from the respective trustees.

The Company uses the valuation model to generate the expected cash flows to be collected from the trust assets and the expected required bondholder distribution (trust liabilities). To the extent that the trusts are overcollateralized, the Company may receive the excess interest as the holder of the residual interest. The information above provides us with the future expected cash flows for the securitized mortgage collateral, real estate owned, securitized mortgage borrowings, derivative assets/liabilities, and the residual interests.

To determine the discount rates to apply to these cash flows, the Company gathers information from the bond pricing services and other market participants regarding estimated investor required yields for each bond tranche. Based on that information and the collateral type and vintage, the Company determines an acceptable range of expected yields an investor would require including an appropriate risk premium for each bond tranche. The Company uses the blended yield of the bond tranches together with the residual interests to determine an appropriate yield for the securitized

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

mortgage collateral in each securitization (after taking into consideration any derivatives in the securitization).

The following table presents changes in the Company's trust assets and trust liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2011:

	TRUST ASSETS					TRUST LIABILITIES			Net trust assets and trust liabilities
	Level 3 Recurring Fair Value Measurements					Level 3 Recurring Fair Value Measurements			
	Investment securities available-for-sale	Securitized mortgage collateral	Derivative assets	Real estate owned	Total trust assets	Securitized mortgage borrowings	Derivative liabilities	Total trust liabilities	
Recorded book value at 12/31/2010	645	6,011,675	40	92,708	6,105,068	(6,012,745)	(65,916)	(6,078,661)	26,407
Total Gains/(losses) included in earnings:									
Interest income	136	327,555	-	-	327,691	-	-	-	327,691
Interest expense	-	-	-	-	-	(648,371)	-	(648,371)	(648,371)
Change in FV of net trust assets, excluding REO	88	(98,683)	(3)		(98,598)	(1)	133,234	(8,610)	124,624
Change in FV of long-term debt	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Losses from REO not at FV but at NRV	-	-	-	(16,587)	(16,587)	(1)	-	-	-
Total gains (losses) included in earnings	224	228,872	(3)	(16,587)	212,506	(515,137)	(8,610)	(523,747)	(311,241)
Transfers in and/or out of level 3									
Purchases issuances and settlements	(181)	(791,546)	-	(19,654)	(811,381)	1,072,981	49,740	1,122,721	311,340
	\$ 688	\$5,449,001	\$37	\$ 56,467	\$5,506,193	\$(5,454,901)	\$(24,786)	\$(5,479,687)	\$ 26,506

**Recorded
book value at
12/31/2011**

- (1) Represents non-interest income-net trust assets on the Company's consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2011.

The decrease in fair value of securitized mortgage borrowings resulted in gains of \$133.2 million, offset by losses of \$98.7 million resulting from the decrease in the fair value of securitized mortgage collateral for the year ended December 31, 2011. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the change in the NRV of REO resulted in a loss of \$16.6 million. Inclusive of losses from REO, trust assets reflect a net loss of \$115.2 million as a result of losses from the decrease in fair value of securitized mortgage collateral of \$98.7 million, losses from REO of \$16.6 million and net gains from other trust assets of \$85 thousand. Net gains on trust liabilities were \$124.6 million as a result of \$133.2 million in gains from the decrease in fair value of securitized mortgage borrowings partially offset by losses from derivative liabilities of \$8.6 million. As a result, non-interest income net trust assets increased by \$9.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the condensed components of stockholders' equity were comprised of the following significant assets and liabilities:

	Condensed Components of Stockholders' Equity As of December 31,	
	2011	2010
Cash	\$ 7,665	\$ 11,619
Restricted cash	5,019	1,586
Residual interests in securitizations	26,506	26,407
Loans held-for-sale	61,718	4,283
Warehouse borrowings	(58,691)	(4,057)
Mortgage servicing rights	4,141	1,439
Line of credit	(4,000)	-
Note payable	(5,182)	(6,874)
Long-term debt (\$71,120 par)	(11,561)	(11,728)
Repurchase reserve (1)	(5,816)	(8,169)
Lease liability (2)	(2,131)	(2,226)
Deferred charge	11,974	13,144
Net other assets (liabilities)	1,455	2,273
Stockholders' equity (deficit)	\$ 31,097	\$ 27,697

(1) \$5.2 million and \$8.0 million was within discontinued operations at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(2) Guaranteed by IMH.

At December 31, 2011, cash decreased to \$7.7 million from \$11.6 million at December 31, 2010. The primary sources of cash between periods were \$56.7 million in fees generated from the mortgage and real estate services, \$14.2 million from residual interests in securitizations (net of the \$4.5 million restricted excess cash in the reserve account) and \$8.8 million from the issuance of the note payable. Offsetting the sources of cash were operating expenses totaling \$66.1 million, payments on the notes payable of \$11.5 million and settlements of repurchase requests associated with loans sold by the discontinued non-conforming mortgage operations of approximately \$6.2 million.

Since our consolidated and unconsolidated securitization trusts are nonrecourse to us, we have netted trust assets and liabilities to present the Company's interest in these trusts more simply, which are considered our residual interests in securitizations. For unconsolidated securitizations our residual interests represent the fair value of investment securities available-for-sale. For consolidated securitizations, our residual interests are represented by the fair value of securitized mortgage collateral and real estate owned, offset by the fair value of securitized mortgage borrowings and net derivative liabilities. We receive cash flows from our residual interests in securitizations to the extent they are available after required distributions to bondholders and maintaining specified overcollateralization levels and other specified parameters (such as maximum delinquency and cumulative default) within the trusts. The estimated fair value of the residual interests, represented by the difference in the fair value of total trust assets and total trust liabilities, was \$26.5 million at December 31, 2011, compared to \$26.4 million at December 31, 2010.

At December 31, 2011, the note payable was \$5.2 million as compared to \$6.9 million at December 31, 2010. During 2011, the Company entered into a new \$10.3 million structured debt agreement with the same noteholder as the previous debt agreement and used a portion of the proceeds to pay off the \$4.0 million balance owed on the previous debt agreement. The Company received

Table of Contents

proceeds of \$4.8 million, net of the aforementioned payoff, \$1.4 million discount, and transaction costs of approximately \$50 thousand. As of December 31, 2011, the carrying value of the note was \$5.2 million, net of a \$513 thousand discount. The note's maturity was October 2012.

Subsequent to December 31, 2011, the Company entered into a new \$7.5 million structured debt agreement using eight of the Company's residual interests (net trust assets) as collateral. The Company used a portion of the proceeds to pay off the \$408 thousand balance owed on the previous debt agreement. The Company received proceeds of \$7.0 million, net of the aforementioned payoff and transaction costs of approximately \$50 thousand. It bears interest at a fixed rate of 25% per annum and is amortized in equal principal payments over 18 months.

At December 31, 2011, the balance of deferred charge was \$12.0 million. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company recorded \$1.2 million in income tax expense resulting from deferred charge impairment write-downs based on changes in estimated fair value of securitized mortgage collateral. The deferred charge arose as a result of the deferral of income tax expense on inter-company profits that resulted from the sale of mortgages from taxable subsidiaries to IMH in prior years (when IMH was a REIT.) This balance is recorded as required by GAAP and does not have any realizable cash value.

In previous years when our discontinued operations sold loans to investors, we were required to make normal and customary representations and warranties about the loans we had previously sold to investors. Our whole loan sale agreements generally required us to repurchase loans if we breached a representation or warranty given to the loan purchaser. In addition, we also could be required to repurchase loans as a result of borrower fraud or if a payment default occurs on a mortgage loan shortly after its sale. The repurchase reserve is an estimate of losses from expected repurchases, and is based, in part, on the recent settlement of claims. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company paid approximately \$6.2 million to settle previous repurchase claims received by the discontinued operations. The Company's discontinued operations also continued to receive repurchase requests from Fannie Mae resulting in increases in estimated repurchase obligations. At December 31, 2011, the repurchase reserve within discontinued operations was \$5.2 million as compared to \$8.0 million at December 31, 2010. Additionally, the Company has approximately \$603 thousand in repurchase reserves related to the loans sold by the continuing mortgage lending operations.

In connection with the discontinuation of our non-conforming mortgage, warehouse lending and commercial operations, a significant amount of office space that was previously occupied is no longer being used by the Company. The Company has subleased a significant amount of this office space. At December 31, 2011, the Company had a liability of \$2.1 million included within discontinued operations, representing the present value of the minimum lease payments over the remaining life of the lease, offset by the expected proceeds from sublet revenue related to this office space.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our results of operations and liquidity are materially affected by conditions in the markets for mortgages and mortgage-related assets, as well as the broader financial markets and the general economy. Concerns over economic recession, geopolitical issues, unemployment, the availability and cost of financing, the mortgage market and a declining real estate market contributed to increased volatility and diminished expectations for the economy and markets. As a result of these conditions, the financial and mortgage industries suffered severe losses and several major market participants failed or have been impaired, resulting in a significant contraction in market liquidity. This illiquidity negatively affected both the terms and availability of financing for all mortgage-related assets. Due to this unprecedented volatility in the marketplace, it has become difficult to anticipate market conditions and therefore meet our liquidity objectives. If these conditions persist, institutions from which we seek financing for our investments may tighten their lending standards or become insolvent, which could

Table of Contents

make it more difficult for us to obtain financing on favorable terms or at all. Additionally, our profitability may be adversely affected if we are unable to obtain cost-effective financing.

We believe that current cash balances, cash flows from our mortgage lending operations, mortgage and real estate services fees generated from our long-term mortgage portfolio, and residual interest cash flows from our long-term mortgage portfolio are adequate for our current operating needs. However, we believe the mortgage and real estate services market is volatile, highly competitive and subject to increased regulation. Competition in mortgage lending comes primarily from mortgage bankers, commercial banks, credit unions and other finance companies which have offices in our market area as well as operations throughout the United States. We compete for loans principally on the basis of the interest rates and loan fees we charge, the types of loans we originate and the quality of services we provide to borrowers. Additionally, competition for loss mitigation servicing, loan modification services and other portfolio services has increased due to the unprecedented difficult mortgage environment and severe credit tightening, coupled with the stagnant economy. This is evidenced by elevated levels of delinquencies and defaults, eroding real estate values and government mandated modification programs which have led to an increase in competitors who have recently entered or have established businesses delivering similar services. Our competitors include mega mortgage servicers, established subprime loan servicers, and newer entrants to the specialty servicing and recovery collections business. Efforts to market our ability to provide mortgage and real estate services for others is more difficult than many of our competitors because we have not historically provided such services to unrelated third parties, and we are not a rated primary or special servicer of residential mortgage loans as designated by a rating agency. Additionally, performance of the long-term mortgage portfolio is subject to the continued deterioration in the real estate market and current economic conditions. Cash flows from our residual interests in securitizations are sensitive to delinquencies, defaults and credit losses associated with the securitized loans. Losses in excess of current estimates will reduce the residual interest cash receipts from our long-term mortgage portfolio.

In response to the current market conditions, we have entered into lending relationships to improve our liquidity. In April 2011, we entered into a \$2.0 million working capital line of credit agreement which was subsequently increased to \$4.0 million in November 2011 (See further details below under *Working Capital Line of Credit*). Additionally, in May 2011, we entered into a \$10.3 million structured debt agreement using seven of the Company's residual interests (net trust assets) as collateral (See further details below under *Structured Debt Agreement*).

While we continue to pay our obligations as they become due, the ability to continue to meet our current and long-term obligations is dependent upon many factors, particularly the Company's ability to successfully operate its mortgage and real estate business activities and realizing cash flows from the long-term mortgage portfolio. Our future financial performance and success are dependent in large part upon the ability to expand our mortgage lending platform and grow the mortgage and real estate business activities, including providing services to third parties. There can be no assurance of the Company's ability to do so. In addition, the Company continues to evaluate strategies to improve liquidity including seeking debt and equity capital.

During 2011, our operating businesses were primarily funded as follows:

cash flows from our mortgage and real estate fee-based business activities;

cash flows from mortgage lending operations;

cash flows from our long-term mortgage portfolio (residual interests in securitizations); and

cash flows from financing facilities and other lending relationships.

Table of Contents

Additionally, in September and October 2011, we sold our interest in Experience 1, Inc., the parent of the title insurance company, for consideration totaling \$3.7 million, recording a total gain of approximately \$1.9 million. The sale allowed the Company to utilize approximately \$3.0 million of working capital previously set aside to support Department of Insurance net worth requirements for the title insurance company.

During 2011, our operating businesses used available funds as follows:

origination and funding of mortgages;

financing activities including interest and principal payments on debt;

lease obligations, payroll obligations, operating expenses; and

repurchase loans or settle repurchase claims.

Sources of Liquidity

Fees from our mortgage and real estate service business activities. The Company earns fees from various mortgage and real estate business activities, including loss mitigation, real estate disposition, monitoring and surveillance services, real estate brokerage and lending services. The Company provides services to investors, servicers and individual borrowers primarily by focusing on loss mitigation and performance of our long-term mortgage portfolio.

Cash flows from our mortgage lending operations. We receive loan fees from loan originations. Fee income consists of application and underwriting fees and fees on cancelled loans. These loan fees are offset by the related direct loan origination costs including broker fees. In addition, we generally recognize net interest income on loans held for sale from the date of origination through the date of disposition. In 2011 and continuing into 2012, the borrowing rates on warehouse facilities exceeded loan note rates whereby the Company is experiencing net interest expense from the loans held for sale due to the recent interest rate environment creating a flat yield curve. We sell substantially all of the loans we originate in the secondary mortgage market, with servicing rights released or retained. Loans are sold on a whole loan basis by entering into sales transactions with third party investors in which we receive a premium for the loan and related servicing rights, if applicable. The mortgage lending operations sold \$803.9 million of mortgages through whole loan sales during 2011. Additionally, the mortgage lending operations enters into interest rate lock commitments (IRLCs) and utilizes forward sold Fannie Mae and Ginnie Mae mortgage backed securities (Hedging Instruments) to hedge the fair value changes associated with changes in interest rates relating to its mortgage loan origination operations. We may be subject to pair-off fees associated with these hedging instruments. Since we rely significantly upon loan sales to generate cash proceeds to repay warehouse borrowings and to create credit availability, any disruption in our ability to complete sales may require us to utilize other sources of financing, which, if available at all, may be on less favorable terms. In addition, delays in closing loan sales of our mortgages increase our risk by exposing us to credit and interest rate risk for this extended period of time.

Cash flows from our long-term mortgage portfolio (residual interests in securitizations). We receive residual cash flows on mortgages held as securitized mortgage collateral after distributions are made to investors on securitized mortgage borrowings to the extent required credit enhancements are maintained and performance covenants are complied with for credit ratings on the securitized mortgage borrowings. These cash flows represent the difference between principal and interest payments on the underlying mortgages, affected by the following:

servicing and master servicing fees paid;

Table of Contents

premiums paid to mortgage insurers;

cash payments / receipts on derivatives;

interest paid on securitized mortgage borrowings;

principal payments and prepayments paid on securitized mortgage borrowings;

overcollateralization requirements;

actual losses, net of any gains incurred upon disposition of other real estate owned or acquired in settlement of defaulted mortgages;

unpaid interest shortfall;

basis risk shortfall; and

bond write-downs reinstated.

Certain residuals have been pledged as collateral for the Note Payable. Residual cash flows are used to make principal and interest payments (See further details below under *Structured Debt Agreement*.)

Additionally, the Company acts as the master servicer for mortgages included in our CMO and REMIC securitizations. The master servicing fees we earn are generally 0.03% per annum (3 basis points) on the declining principal balances of these mortgages plus interest income on cash held in custodial accounts until remitted to investors, less any interest shortfall. However, due to the decline in interest rates, the interest income earned on cash held in custodial accounts has declined significantly.

Cash flows from financing facilities and other lending relationships. We primarily fund our mortgage originations through warehouse facilities with third party lenders. We primarily use facilities with regional banks. During 2011 the warehouse facilities borrowing limits amounted to \$87.5 million, of which \$58.7 million was outstanding at December 31, 2011. The warehouse facilities are secured by and used to fund single-family residential mortgage loans. The warehouse facilities have certain covenant tests which we are required to satisfy. At December 31, 2011, we were in compliance with all warehouse covenants. In order to mitigate the liquidity risk associated with warehouse borrowings, we attempt to sell our mortgage loans within 10-15 days from acquisition or origination. In addition to the warehouse facilities, we have also entered into a Line of Credit and a Note Payable as discussed above. The outstanding balance of the Line of Credit and Note Payable was \$4.0 million and \$5.2 million, respectively at December 31, 2011. At December 31, 2011 we were not in compliance with a capital expenditure limitation covenant on the working capital line of credit, however the Company received a waiver.

Our ability to meet liquidity requirements and the financing needs of our customers is subject to the renewal of our warehouse facilities or obtaining other sources of financing, if required, including additional debt or equity from time to time. Any decision our lenders or investors make to provide available financing to us in the future will depend upon a number of factors, including:

our compliance with the terms of existing warehouse lines and credit arrangements, including any financial covenants;

the ability to obtain waivers upon any noncompliance;

our financial performance;

Table of Contents

industry and market trends in our various businesses;

the general availability of, and rates applicable to, financing and investments;

our lenders or investors resources and policies concerning loans and investments; and

the relative attractiveness of alternative investment or lending opportunities.

Uses of Liquidity

Acquisition and origination of mortgage loans. During 2011, the mortgage lending operations originated or acquired \$883.2 million of mortgages. Capital invested in mortgages is outstanding until we sell the loans, which is one of the reasons we attempt to sell within 10-15 days of acquisition or origination. Initial capital invested in mortgage loans includes premiums paid when mortgages are acquired and originated and our capital investment, or "haircut," required upon financing, which is generally determined by the type of collateral provided and the warehouse facility terms. The mortgage lending operations acquired and originated \$883.2 million of residential mortgages, which were financed with warehouse borrowings at a haircut generally between 2% to 10% of the outstanding principal balance of the mortgage loans.

Financing Activities

Structured Debt Agreement (Note Payable). In May 2011, we entered into a \$10.3 million structured debt agreement ("Note Payable") using seven of the Company's residual interests (net trust assets) as collateral with the same note holder as the previous debt agreement. The proceeds were used to pay-off the \$4.0 million outstanding balance of the outstanding Note Payable previously entered in November 2010. The Note Payable bears interest at a fixed rate of 10% per annum and is amortized in equal principal payments over 18 months with all distributions from the underlying residual interests being used to make the monthly payments. To the extent that cumulative cash flows received from the collateralized residual interests are not sufficient to pay the required monthly principal and interest, the Company would be required to pay the difference to avoid the transfer of the residual interests and the rights to the associated future cash flows to the note holder. Any excess cash flows from the residual interests are included in a reserve account, which is available to cover future shortfalls. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company received \$4.5 million in excess cash flows from the residual interests collateralizing the Note Payable. The \$4.5 million in excess cash flows is included in restricted cash on the consolidated balance sheets. If the amount of restricted cash becomes sufficient to satisfy the remaining obligation the Note Payable can be paid off and the residuals listed as security are released. The carrying value of the Note Payable at December 31, 2011 was \$5.2 million, net of a \$513 thousand discount and was current as to principal and interest payments.

Subsequent to December 31, 2011, the Company entered into a new \$7.5 million structured debt agreement using eight of the Company's residual interests (net trust assets) as collateral. The Company used a portion of the proceeds to pay off the \$408 thousand balance owed on the previous debt agreement. The Company received proceeds of \$7.0 million, net of the aforementioned payoff and transaction costs of approximately \$50 thousand. It bears interest at a fixed rate of 25% per annum and is amortized in equal principal payments over 18 months.

Working Capital Line of Credit (Line of Credit). In April 2011, we entered into a \$2.0 million working capital line of credit agreement ("Line of Credit") with a national bank at an interest rate of LIBOR plus 3.5%. We make monthly interest payments based on the unpaid balance of the Line of Credit. In November 2011, the Line of Credit was increased to \$4.0 million. The agreement expires in April 2012 and under the terms of the agreement the Company and its subsidiaries are required to maintain various

Table of Contents

financial and other covenants. There was \$4.0 million outstanding on the Line of Credit as of December 31, 2011, and the Company was not in compliance with the capital expenditure limitation covenant, however the Company received a waiver. The Company expects to renew the Line of Credit upon maturity.

Long-term Debt (Trust Preferred Securities and Junior Subordinated Notes). Trust Preferred Securities had an outstanding principal balance of \$8.5 million at December 31, 2011 with a stated maturity of March 2034. The Trust Preferred Securities requires quarterly distributions initially at a fixed rate of 2.00% per annum through December 2013 with increases of 1.00% per year through 2017. Starting in 2018, the interest rates become variable at 3-month LIBOR plus 3.75% per annum. Junior Subordinated Notes had an outstanding principal balance of \$62.0 million at December 31, 2011 with a stated maturity of July 30, 2035. The Junior Subordinated Notes are redeemable at par at any time after July 30, 2010 and requires quarterly distributions at a variable rate of three-month LIBOR plus 3.75% per annum. At December 31, 2011, the interest rate was 4.18%. The Company is current on all interest payments.

Repurchase Reserve. When we sell loans through whole loan sales we are required to make normal and customary representations and warranties about the loans to the purchaser. Our whole loan sale agreements generally require us to repurchase loans if we breach a representation or warranty given to the loan purchaser. In addition, we may be required to repurchase loans as a result of borrower fraud or if a payment default occurs on a mortgage loan shortly after its sale.

Investors have requested the Company to repurchase loans or to indemnify them against losses on certain loans which the investors believe either do not comply with applicable representations or warranties or defaulted shortly after its purchase. The Company records an estimated reserve for these losses at the time the loan is sold, and adjusts the reserve to reflect the estimated loss.

The repurchase reserve associated with the mortgage lending operations within continuing operations totaled approximately \$603 thousand at December 31, 2011, compared to \$78 thousand at December 31, 2010. In determining the adequacy of the reserve for mortgage repurchases, management considers such factors as specific requests for repurchase, known problem loans, underlying collateral values, recent sales activity of similar loans, historical experience, current market conditions and other appropriate information. During 2011, the Company recorded a provision for repurchase losses of \$525 thousand and is included in mortgage and real estate service fees in the consolidated statements of operations.

In addition, the repurchase reserve associated with the discontinued operations totaled approximately \$5.2 million at December 31, 2011, compared to \$8.0 million at December 31, 2010. In determining the adequacy of the reserve for mortgage repurchases, management considers such factors as specific requests for repurchase, known problem loans, underlying collateral values, recent sales activity of similar loans, historical experience, recent settlement experience, current settlement negotiations, current market conditions and other appropriate information. During 2011, the Company recorded a provision for repurchase losses of \$3.4 million included in the loss from discontinued operations, net of tax. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company paid approximately \$6.2 million to settle previous repurchase claims.

Operating activities. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$173.6 million for 2011 as compared to \$271.6 million for 2010. During 2011, the primary sources of cash in operating activities were cash received from fees generated by our mortgage and real estate service business activities, cash received from mortgage lending and excess cash flows from our residual interests in securitizations. During 2010, the primary sources of cash in operating activities were cash received from

Table of Contents

fees generated by our mortgage and real estate service business activities and cash received from excess cash flows from our residual interests in securitizations.

Investing activities. Net cash provided by investing activities was \$838.5 million for 2011 as compared to \$943.7 million for 2010. For 2011 and 2010, the primary source of cash from investing activities was provided by principal repayments on our securitized mortgage collateral and proceeds from the liquidation of REO.

Financing activities. Net cash used in financing activities was \$1.0 billion for 2011 as compared to \$1.2 billion for 2010. For 2011 and 2010, net cash used in financing activities was primarily for principal repayments on securitized mortgage borrowings and principal repayments of notes payable, partially offset by net borrowings under warehouse agreements and issuance of the Note Payable.

Inflation. The consolidated financial statements and corresponding notes to the consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP, which require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering the changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. The impact of inflation is reflected in the increased costs of our operations during 2011 and 2010. Unlike industrial companies, nearly all of our assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a greater effect on our performance than do the effects of general levels of inflation. Inflation affects our operations primarily through its effect on interest rates, since interest rates normally increase during periods of high inflation and decrease during periods of low inflation.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

When we sell or broker loans through whole-loan sales, we are required to make normal and customary representations and warranties to the loan originators or purchasers, including guarantees against early payment defaults typically 90 days, and fraudulent misrepresentations by the borrowers. Our agreements generally require us to repurchase loans if we breach a representation or warranty given to the loan purchaser. In addition, we may be required to repurchase loans as a result of borrower fraud or if a payment default occurs on a mortgage loan shortly after its sale. Because the loans are no longer on our balance sheet, the representations and warranties are considered a guarantee. During 2011, we sold \$803.9 million and brokered \$23.9 million of loans subject to representations and warranties compared to \$17.4 million and \$20.1 million in 2010. We maintained a \$5.8 million reserve related to these and other guarantees as of December 31, 2011 compared to a reserve of \$8.2 million as December 31, 2010. During 2011 we paid \$6.2 million to settle repurchase demands on loans previously sold to third parties as compared to \$5.7 million to settle or repurchase loans during 2010.

See disclosures in the notes to the consolidated financial statements under "Commitments and Contingencies" for other arrangements that qualify as off balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies

We define critical accounting policies as those that are important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations. Our critical accounting policies require management to make difficult and complex judgments that rely on estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain due to the affect of changing market conditions and/or consumer behavior. In determining which accounting policies meet this definition, we considered our policies with respect to the valuation of our assets and liabilities and estimates and assumptions used in determining those valuations. We believe the most critical accounting issues that require the most complex and difficult judgments and

Table of Contents

that are particularly susceptible to significant change to our financial condition and results of operations include the following:

fair value of financial instruments;

variable interest entities and transfers of financial assets and liabilities;

net realizable value of REO;

mortgage banking;

repurchase reserve; and

interest income and interest expense.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification FASB ASC 820-10-35 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and outlines a fair value hierarchy based on the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (also referred to as an exit price). Fair value measurements are categorized into a three-level hierarchy based on the extent to which the measurement relies on observable market inputs in measuring fair value. Level 1, which is the highest priority in the fair value hierarchy, is based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 is based on observable market-based inputs, other than quoted prices, in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 3, which is the lowest priority in the fair value hierarchy, is based on unobservable inputs. Assets and liabilities are classified within this hierarchy in their entirety based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The use of fair value to measure our financial instruments is fundamental to our financial statements and is a critical accounting estimate because a substantial portion of our assets and liabilities are recorded at estimated fair value. Financial instruments classified as Level 3 are generally based on unobservable inputs, and the process to determine fair value is generally more subjective and involves a high degree of management judgment and assumptions. These assumptions may have a significant effect on our estimates of fair value, and the use of different assumptions, as well as changes in market conditions and interest rates, could have a material effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

As a result of the lack of observable market data resulting from inactive markets, the Company has classified its investment securities available-for-sale, securitized mortgage collateral and borrowings, net derivative liabilities, long-term debt, mortgage servicing rights, and call and put options as Level 3 fair value measurements. Level 3 assets and liabilities were 99% and 100%, respectively, of total assets and total liabilities measured at estimated fair value at December 31, 2011 and 2010. For a detailed discussion of the determination of fair value for individual financial instruments, see Note 2. *Fair Value of Financial Instruments* in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Variable Interest Entities and Transfers of Financial Assets and Liabilities

Historically, the Company securitized mortgages in the form of collateralized mortgage obligations (CMO), which were consolidated and accounted for as secured borrowings for financial statement purposes. The Company also securitized mortgages in the form of real estate mortgage investment conduits (REMICs), which were either consolidated or unconsolidated depending on the

Table of Contents

design of the securitization structure. CMO and certain REMIC securitizations were designed so that the transferee (securitization trust) was not a qualifying special purpose entity (QSPE), and therefore the Company consolidated the variable interest entity (VIE) as it was the primary beneficiary of the sole residual interest in each securitization trust. Generally, this was achieved by including terms in the securitization agreements that gave the Company the ability to unilaterally cause the securitization trust to return specific mortgages, other than through a clean-up call. Amounts consolidated are included in trust assets and liabilities as securitized mortgage collateral, real estate owned, derivative assets, securitized mortgage borrowings and derivative liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Our estimate of the fair value of our net retained residual interests in unconsolidated securitizations, which are included in investment securities available-for-sale in the consolidated balance sheets, requires us to exercise significant judgment as to the timing and amount of future cash flows from the residual interests. We are exposed to credit risk from the underlying mortgage loans in unconsolidated securitizations to the extent we retain subordinated interests. Changes in expected cash flows resulting from changes in expected net credit losses will impact the value of our subordinated retained interests and those changes are recorded as a component of change in fair value of net trust assets

In contrast, for securitizations that are structured as secured borrowing, we recognize interest income over the life of the securitized mortgage collateral and interest expense incurred for the securitized mortgage borrowings. We refer to these transactions as consolidated securitizations. The mortgage loans collateralizing the debt securities for these financings are included in securitized mortgage collateral and the debt securities payable to investors in these securitizations are included in securitized mortgage borrowings in our consolidated balance sheet.

Whether a securitization is consolidated or unconsolidated, investors in the securities issued by the securitization trust have no recourse to our non-securitized assets or to us and have no ability to require us to provide additional assets, but rather have recourse only to the assets transferred to the trust. Whereas the accounting differences are significant, the underlying economic impact to us, over time, will be the same regardless of whether the securitization trust is consolidated or unconsolidated.

Effective January 1, 2010, former QSPEs are evaluated for consolidation based on the provisions of FASB ASC 810-10-25, which eliminates the concept of a QSPE and changes the approach to determining a securitization trust's primary beneficiary. Refer to Note 1. *Financial Statement Presentation* in the notes to the consolidated financial statements for a discussion of the impact the new rules had on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

Net Realizable Value of REO

The Company considers the NRV of its REO properties in evaluating REO losses. When real estate is acquired in settlement of loans, or other real estate owned, the mortgage is written-down to a percentage of the property's appraised value, broker's price opinion or list price less estimated selling costs and including mortgage insurance proceeds expected to be received. Subsequent changes in the NRV of the REO is reflected as a write-down of REO and results in additional losses.

Mortgage Banking

During 2009, the Company established a residential mortgage lending operation after discontinuing its former residential and commercial lending operations in 2007 (see Note 22. *Discontinued Operations*). Mortgage loans held-for-sale (LHFS) originated under the new lending operation are accounted for using the fair value option, with changes in fair value recorded in noninterest

Table of Contents

income. In accordance with FASB ASC 825, *Financial Instruments*, loan origination fees and expenses are recognized in earnings as incurred and not deferred. LHFS remaining from the Company's discontinued residential and commercial lending operations are recorded at the lower of cost or market and are included in assets of discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Repurchase Reserve

When we sell loans through whole loan sales we are required to make normal and customary representations and warranties about the loans to the purchaser. Our whole loan sale agreements generally require us to repurchase loans if we breach a representation or warranty given to the loan purchaser. In addition, we may be required to repurchase loans as a result of borrower fraud or if a payment default occurs on a mortgage loan shortly after its sale.

Investors may request the Company to repurchase loans or to indemnify them against losses on certain loans which the investors believe either do not comply with applicable representations or warranties or defaulted shortly after its purchase. Upon completion of its own investigation regarding the investor claims, the Company repurchases or provides indemnification on certain loans, as appropriate. The Company maintains a liability for expected losses on dispositions of loans expected to be repurchased or on which indemnification is expected to be provided and regularly evaluates the adequacy of this repurchase liability based on trends in repurchase and indemnification requests, actual loss experience, settlement negotiations, and other relevant factors including economic conditions.

The Company estimates the repurchase reserve based on the estimated trailing whole loan sales that still have outstanding early payment and misrepresentation warranties. The calculation of the trailing whole loan sales subject to request is based upon historical analysis of the timing of requests in relation to their sale date. The Company also calculates the rate at which our whole loan sales will develop into early payment default or misrepresentation claims. Based on historical experience, management will determine what percentage of the claims may incur a loss. The Company applies a historical loss rate, adjusted for current market conditions based on the type of loan (first lien or to a lesser extent second lien) to the loans we expect to incur loss on in the future to derive the repurchase reserve. The reserve includes the Company's estimate of losses in the fair value of loans the Company expects it will repurchase, plus any premiums that will be refunded to the investor. The loss in fair value is predominately determined based on several factors including recent settlements and status of current settlement negotiations.

Interest Income and Interest Expense

Interest income on securitized mortgage collateral and interest expense on securitized mortgage borrowings are recorded using the effective yield for the period based on the previous quarter-end's estimated fair value.

Income Taxes

The Company is subject to federal income taxes as a regular (Subchapter C) corporation and files a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return.

We have significant NOL carryforwards from prior years. We do not expect to be able to generate sufficient taxable income in future years to utilize these losses and have recognized a full valuation allowance against these NOL carryforwards in our consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents**Financial Condition and Results of Operations****Financial Condition**

As of December 31, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010

	December 31,		Increase	%
	2011	2010	(Decrease)	Change
Securitized mortgage collateral	\$ 5,449,001	\$ 6,011,675	\$ (562,674)	(9)%
Other trust assets	57,192	93,393	(36,201)	(39)
Total trust assets	5,506,193	6,105,068	(598,875)	(10)
Mortgage loans held-for-sale	61,718	4,283	57,435	1,341
Assets of discontinued operations	264	373	(109)	(29)
Other assets	43,865	44,215	(350)	(1)
Total assets	\$ 5,612,040	\$ 6,153,939	\$ (541,899)	(9)%
Securitized mortgage borrowings	\$ 5,454,901	\$ 6,012,745	\$ (557,844)	(9)%
Other trust liabilities	24,786	65,916	(41,130)	(62)
Total trust liabilities	5,479,687	6,078,661	(598,974)	(10)
Warehouse borrowings	58,691	4,057	54,634	1,347
Liabilities of discontinued operations	9,932	13,053	(3,121)	(24)
Other liabilities	32,633	30,471	2,162	7
Total liabilities	5,580,943	6,126,242	(545,299)	(9)
Total IMH stockholders' equity	29,968	26,396	3,572	14
Noncontrolling interest	1,129	1,301	(172)	(13)
Total equity	31,097	27,697	3,400	12
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 5,612,040	\$ 6,153,939	\$ (541,899)	(9)%

Total assets and total liabilities were \$5.6 billion at December 31, 2011 as compared to \$6.2 billion and \$6.1 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2010. The changes in total assets and liabilities are primarily attributable to decreases in the Company's trust assets and trust liabilities as summarized below.

Securitized mortgage collateral declined \$562.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2011, primarily due to reductions in principal from borrower payments, transfers of loans to REO and an increase in net loss assumptions for single-family collateral. Additionally the \$36.2 million reduction in other trust assets during the year ended December 31, 2011 was primarily due to REO liquidations of \$117.8 million and additional impairment write-downs of \$16.5 million. Partially offsetting the decrease from liquidations were increases in REO from foreclosures of \$98.1 million.

Securitized mortgage borrowings declined \$557.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2011, primarily due to reductions in principal balances from principal payments during the period and an increase in net loss assumptions for single-family collateral. The \$41.1 million dollar reduction in other trust liabilities during the year ended December 31, 2011 was primarily due to \$49.7 million in derivative cash payments from the securitization trusts, partially offset by an \$8.6 million increase in derivative fair value resulting from changes in forward LIBOR interest rates.

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Book value per common share was \$(2.71) as of December 31, 2011, as compared to \$(3.16) as of December 31, 2010 (inclusive of the remaining \$52.3 million of liquidation preference on the Company's preferred stock).

Since our consolidated and unconsolidated securitization trusts are nonrecourse to the Company, our economic risk is limited to our residual interests in these securitization trusts. Therefore, in the following table we have netted trust assets and trust liabilities to present these residual interests more simply. Our residual interests in securitizations are segregated between our single-family (SF) residential and multifamily (MF) residential portfolios and are represented by the difference between trust assets and trust liabilities.

The following tables present the estimated fair value of our residual interests, including investment securities available for sale, by securitization vintage year and other related assumptions used to derive these values at December 31, 2011:

Origination Year	Estimated Fair Value of Residual Interests by Vintage Year			
	SF	MF	Total	
2002-2003 (1)	\$ 13,845	\$ 4,963	\$ 18,808	
2004	3,415	3,505	6,920	
2005 (2)	-	778	778	
2006 (2)	-	-	-	
2007 (2)	-	-	-	
Total	\$ 17,260	\$ 9,246	\$ 26,506	
Weighted avg. prepayment rate	3%	6%	3%	
Weighted avg. discount rate	30%	21%	27%	

- (1) 2002-2003 vintage year includes CMO 2007-A, since the majority of the mortgages collateralized in this securitization were originated during this period.
- (2) The estimated fair values of residual interests in vintage years 2005 through 2007 is reflective of higher estimated future losses and investor yield requirements compared to earlier vintage years.

The Company utilizes a number of assumptions to value securitized mortgage collateral, securitized mortgage borrowings and residual interests. These assumptions include estimated collateral default rates and loss severities (credit losses), collateral prepayment rates, forward interest rates and investor yields (discount rates). The Company uses the same collateral assumptions for securitized mortgage collateral and securitized mortgage borrowings as the collateral assumptions determine collateral cash flows which are used to pay interest and principal for securitized mortgage borrowings and excess spread, if any, to the residual interests. However, the Company uses different investor yield (discount rate) assumptions for securitized mortgage collateral and securitized mortgage borrowings and the discount rate used for residual interests based on underlying collateral characteristics, vintage year, assumed risk and market participant assumptions. The table below reflects the estimated future

Table of Contents

credit losses and investor yield requirements for trust assets by product (SF and MF) and securitization vintage:

	Estimated Future Losses (1)		Investor Yield Requirement (2)	
	SF	MF	SF	MF
2002-2003	7%	0%	8%	10%
2004	14%	3%	9%	8%
2005	30%	7%	9%	8%
2006	46%	15%	13%	13%
2007	31%	8%	14%	6%

(1) Estimated future losses derived by dividing future projected losses by unpaid principal balances at December 31, 2011.

(2) Investor yield requirements represent the Company's estimate of the yield third-party market participants would require to price our trust assets and liabilities given our prepayment, credit loss and forward interest rate assumptions.

As illustrated in S&P's Case Shiller 10-City Composite Home Price Index, from 2002 through 2006, home price appreciation escalated to historic levels. During 2005 through 2007, the Company originated or acquired mortgages supported by these elevated real estate values. Beginning in 2007, deterioration in the economy resulting in high unemployment and a dramatic drop in home prices resulted in significant negative equity for borrowers. These factors have led to significant increases in loss severities resulting from deterioration in the credit quality of borrowers, as well as strategic defaults, whereby borrowers with the ability to pay are defaulting on their mortgages based on the belief that home prices will not recover in a reasonable amount of time. Home prices have deteriorated back to December 2003 levels which has significantly reduced or eliminated equity for loans originated after 2003. Future loss estimates are significantly higher for mortgage loans included in securitization vintages after 2004 which reflect severe home price deterioration and defaults experienced with mortgages originated during these periods.

The mortgage lending operations are affected by the following market and operational risks:

interest rate risk; and

liquidity risk.

Interest Rate Risk Mortgage Lending. The Company is exposed to interest rate risks relating to its ongoing mortgage lending operations. The Company uses derivative instruments to manage some of its interest rate risk. However, the Company does not attempt to hedge interest rate risk completely. The Company enters into interest rate lock commitments and commitments to sell mortgages to help mitigate some of the exposure to the effect of changing interest rates on mortgage lending cash flows.

Interest rate lock commitments expose the Company to interest rate risk. The mortgage lending operations currently utilizes forward sold Fannie Mae and Ginnie Mae mortgage backed securities to hedge the fair value changes associated with changes in interest rates relating to its mortgage loan origination operations.

Liquidity risk. The Company is exposed to liquidity risks relating to its ongoing mortgage lending operations. We primarily fund our mortgage lending originations through warehouse facilities with third party lenders. We primarily use facilities with regional banks. The warehouse facilities are secured by and used to fund single-family residential mortgage loans. In order to mitigate the liquidity risk associated

Table of Contents

with warehouse borrowings, we attempt to sell our mortgage loans within 10-15 days from acquisition or origination.

Cash flows from the Company's long-term mortgage portfolio are affected by the following market and operational risks:

interest rate risk;

credit risk; and

prepayment risk.

Interest Rate Risk Securitized Trusts, Long-term Debt. The Company's earnings from the long-term mortgage portfolio depend largely on our interest rate spread, represented by the relationship between the yield on our interest-earning assets (primarily investment securities available-for-sale and securitized mortgage collateral) and the cost of our interest-bearing liabilities (primarily securitized mortgage borrowings and long-term debt). Our interest rate spread is impacted by several factors, including general economic factors, forward interest rates and the credit quality of mortgage loans in the long-term mortgage portfolio.

The residual interests in our long-term mortgage portfolio are sensitive to changes in interest rates on securitized mortgage collateral and the related securitized mortgage borrowings. Changes in interest rates can significantly affect the cash flows and fair values of the Company's assets and liabilities, as well as our earnings and stockholders' equity.

The Company uses derivative instruments to manage some of its interest rate risk in its long-term mortgage portfolio. However, the Company does not attempt to hedge interest rate risk completely. To help mitigate some of the exposure to the effect of changing interest rates on cash flows on securitized mortgage borrowings, the Company utilized derivative instruments primarily in the form of interest rate swap agreements (swaps) and, to a lesser extent, interest rate cap agreements (caps) and interest rate floor agreements (floors). These derivative instruments are recorded at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets. For non-exchange traded contracts, fair value is based on the amounts that would be required to settle the positions with the related counterparties as of the valuation date. Valuations of derivative assets and liabilities are based on observable market inputs, if available. To the extent observable market inputs are not available, fair values measurements include the Company's judgments about future cash flows, forward interest rates and certain other factors, including counterparty risk. Additionally, these values also take into account the Company's own credit standing, to the extent applicable; thus, the valuation of the derivative instrument includes the estimated value of the net credit differential between the counterparties to the derivative contract.

At December 31, 2011, derivative liabilities, net were \$24.7 million and reflect the securitization trust's liability to pay third-party counterparties based on the estimated value to settle the derivative instruments. Cash payments on these derivative instruments are based on notional amounts that are decreasing over time. Excluding the effects of other factors such as portfolio delinquency and loss severities within the securitization trusts, as the notional amount of these derivative instruments decrease over time, payments to counterparties in the current interest rate environment are reduced, thereby potentially increasing cash flows on our residual interests in securitizations. Conversely, increases in interest rates from current levels could potentially reduce overall cash flows on our residual interests in securitizations. Since our consolidated and unconsolidated securitization trusts are nonrecourse to the Company, our economic risk is limited to our residual interests in these securitization trusts.

Table of Contents

The Company is also subject to interest rate risk on its long-term debt (consisting of trust preferred securities and junior subordinated notes). These interest bearing liabilities include adjustable rate periods based on three-month LIBOR (trust preferred securities and junior subordinated notes). The Company does not currently hedge its exposure to the effect of changing interest rates related to these interest-bearing liabilities. Significant fluctuations in interest rates could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Credit risk-Securitized Trusts. We manage credit risk by actively managing delinquencies and defaults through our servicers. Starting with the second half of 2007 we have not retained any additional Alt-A mortgages in our long-term mortgage portfolio. Our securitized mortgage collateral primarily consists of Alt-A mortgages which when originated were generally within typical Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac guidelines but had loan characteristics, which may have included higher loan balances, higher loan-to-value ratios or lower documentation requirements (including stated-income loans), that made them non-conforming under those guidelines.

Using historical losses, current portfolio statistics and market conditions and available market data, the Company has estimated future loan losses on the long-term mortgage portfolio, which are included in the fair value adjustment to our securitized mortgage collateral. While the credit performance for the loans has been clearly far worse than the Company's initial expectations when the loans were originated, the ultimate level of realized losses will largely be influenced by events that will likely unfold over the next several years, including the severity of housing price declines and overall strength of the economy. If market conditions continue to deteriorate in excess of our expectations, the Company may need to recognize additional fair value reductions to our securitized mortgage collateral, which may also affect the value of the related securitized mortgage borrowings and residual interests.

We monitor our servicers to attempt to ensure that they perform loss mitigation, foreclosure and collection functions according to their servicing practices and each securitization trust's pooling and servicing agreement. We have met with the management of our servicers to assess our borrowers' current ability to pay their mortgages and to make arrangements with selected delinquent borrowers which will result in the best interest of the trust and borrower, in an effort to minimize the number of mortgages which become seriously delinquent. When resolving delinquent mortgages, servicers are required to take timely action. The servicer is required to determine payment collection under various circumstances, which will result in the maximum financial benefit. This is accomplished by either working with the borrower to bring the mortgage current by modifying the loan with terms that will maximize the recovery or by foreclosing and liquidating the property. At a foreclosure sale, the trusts consolidated on our balance sheet generally acquire title to the property.

We use the Mortgage Bankers Association (MBA) method to define delinquency as a contractually required payment being 30 or more days past due. We measure delinquencies from the date of the last payment due date in which a payment was received. Delinquencies for loans 60 days late or greater, foreclosures and delinquent bankruptcies were \$2.1 billion or 21.6% of the long-term mortgage portfolio as of December 31, 2011.

The following table summarizes the unpaid principal balances of loans in our mortgage portfolio, included in securitized mortgage collateral, mortgage loans held-for-investment and mortgage loans

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

held-for-sale for continuing and discontinued operations combined, that were 60 or more days delinquent (utilizing the MBA method) as of the periods indicated:

	December 31, 2011	Total Collateral %	December 31, 2010	Total Collateral %
<u>Mortgage loans held-for-sale and investment</u>				
60 - 89 days delinquent	\$ -	*	\$ -	*
90 or more days delinquent	529	*	1,121	*
Foreclosures (1)	1,127	*	1,020	*
Total 60+ days delinquent mortgage loans held-for-sale and investment (2)	1,656	*	2,141	*
<u>Securitized mortgage collateral</u>				
60 - 89 days delinquent	\$ 209,963	2.1%	\$ 260,106	2.3%
90 or more days delinquent	711,716	7.2%	734,459	6.5%
Foreclosures (1)	829,817	8.4%	1,062,362	9.4%
Delinquent bankruptcies (3)	380,133	3.8%	337,976	3.0%
Total 60+ days delinquent long-term mortgage portfolio	2,131,629	21.5%	2,394,903	21.3%
Total 60 or more days delinquent	\$ 2,133,285	21.6%	\$ 2,397,044	21.3%
Total collateral	9,893,205	100%	11,256,312	100%

*

Less than 0.1%

- (1) Represents properties in the process of foreclosure.
- (2) Represents legacy mortgage loans held-for-sale included in discontinued operations in the consolidated balance sheets.
- (3) Represents bankruptcies that are 30 days or more delinquent.

The following table summarizes securitized mortgage collateral, loans held-for-investment, loans held-for-sale and real estate owned, that were non-performing for continuing and discontinued operations combined as of the dates indicated (excludes 60-89 days delinquent):

	December 31, 2011	Total Collateral %	December 31, 2010	Total Collateral %
90 or more days delinquent, foreclosures and delinquent bankruptcies	\$ 1,923,322	19.4%	\$ 2,136,938	19.0%
Real estate owned	56,467	0.6%	92,780	0.8%
Total non-performing assets	\$ 1,979,789	20.0%	\$ 2,229,718	19.8%

Non-performing assets consist of non-performing loans (mortgages that are 90 or more days delinquent, including loans in foreclosure and delinquent bankruptcies) plus REO. It is our policy to place a mortgage on non-accrual status when it becomes 90 days delinquent and to reverse from revenue any accrued interest, except for interest income on securitized mortgage collateral when the scheduled payment is received from the servicer. The servicers are required to advance principal and interest on loans within the securitization trusts to the extent the advances are considered recoverable. We, as master servicer, may be required to advance funds, or in most cases cause our loan servicers to advance

Table of Contents

funds, to cover principal and interest payments not received from borrowers depending on the status of their mortgages. As of December 31, 2011, non-performing assets (unpaid principal balance of loans 90 or more days delinquent, foreclosures and delinquent bankruptcies plus REO) as a percentage of the total collateral was 20.0%. At December 31, 2010, non-performing assets to total collateral was 19.8%. As of December 31, 2011, the estimated fair value of non-performing assets (representing the fair value of loans 90 or more days delinquent, foreclosures and delinquent bankruptcies plus REO) was \$528.0 million or 9.4% of total assets. At December 31, 2010, the estimated fair value of non-performing assets was \$657.5 million or 10.7% of total assets.

REO, which consists of residential real estate acquired in satisfaction of loans, is carried at the lower of cost or net realizable value less estimated selling costs. Adjustments to the loan carrying value required at the time of foreclosure are included in the change in the fair value of net trust assets. Changes in the Company's estimates of net realizable value subsequent to the time of foreclosure and through the time of ultimate disposition are recorded as gains or losses from real estate owned in the consolidated statements of operations. REO, for continuing and discontinued operations, at December 31, 2011 decreased \$36.3 million or 39% from December 31, 2010, as a result of liquidations and a decrease in foreclosures associated with foreclosure delays.

We realized losses on the sale of real estate owned in the amount \$80 thousand for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to gains of \$1.1 million for 2010. Additionally, for 2011, the Company recorded write-downs of the net realizable value of the REO in the amount of \$16.7 million compared to write-downs of \$7.9 million for 2010. These write-downs of the net realizable value reflect declines in value of the REO subsequent to foreclosure date.

The following table presents the balances of the REO for continuing operations:

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
REO	\$ 75,418	\$ 122,279
Impairment (1)	(18,951)	(29,499)
Ending balance	\$ 56,467	\$ 92,780
REO inside trusts	\$ 56,467	\$ 92,708
REO outside trusts (2)	-	72
Total	\$ 56,467	\$ 92,780

(1) Impairment represents the cumulative write-downs of net realizable value subsequent to foreclosure.

(2) Amount represents REO related to former on-balance sheet securitizations, which were collapsed as the result of the Company exercising its clean-up call options. This REO is included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

In calculating the cash flows to assess the fair value of the securitized mortgage collateral, the Company estimates the future losses embedded in our loan portfolio. In evaluating the adequacy of these losses, management takes many factors into consideration. For instance, a detailed analysis of historical loan performance data is accumulated and reviewed. This data is analyzed for loss performance and prepayment performance by product type, origination year and securitization issuance. The data is also broken down by collection status. Our estimate of losses for these loans is developed by estimating both the rate of default of the loans and the amount of loss severity in the event of default. The rate of default is assigned to the loans based on their attributes (*e.g.*, original loan-to-value, borrower credit score, documentation type, geographic location, etc.) and collection status. The rate of default is based on analysis of migration of loans from each aging category. The loss

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

severity is determined by estimating the net proceeds from the ultimate sale of the foreclosed property. The results of that analysis are then applied to the current mortgage portfolio and an estimate is created. We believe that pooling of mortgages with similar characteristics is an appropriate methodology in which to evaluate the future loan losses.

Management recognizes that there are qualitative factors that must be taken into consideration when evaluating and measuring losses in the loan portfolios. These items include, but are not limited to, economic indicators that may affect the borrower's ability to pay, changes in value of collateral, political factors, employment and market conditions, competitor's performance, market perception, historical losses, and industry statistics. The assessment for losses, is based on delinquency trends and prior loss experience and management's judgment and assumptions regarding various matters, including general economic conditions and loan portfolio composition. Management continually evaluates these assumptions and various relevant factors affecting credit quality and inherent losses.

Prepayment Risk. The Company historically used prepayment penalties as a method of partially mitigating prepayment risk for those borrowers that have the ability to refinance. The recent economic downturn, lack of available credit and declines in property values have limited borrowers' ability to refinance. These factors have significantly reduced prepayment risk within our long-term mortgage portfolio. With the seasoning of the long-term mortgage portfolio, a significant portion of prepayment penalties terms have expired, thereby further reducing prepayment penalty income.

Results of Operations

Condensed Statements of Operations Data

	For the year ended December 31,			
	2011	2010	Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Interest income	\$ 737,449	\$ 985,150	\$ (247,701)	(25)%
Interest expense	733,872	979,440	(245,568)	(25)
Net interest income	3,577	5,710	(2,133)	(37)
Total non-interest income	69,493	63,464	6,029	9
Total non-interest expense	(66,147)	(61,370)	(4,777)	(8)
Income tax expense	(1,194)	(205)	(989)	(482)
Net earnings from continuing operations	5,729	7,599	(1,870)	(25)
(Loss) earnings from discontinued operations, net	(3,078)	2,238	(5,316)	(238)
Net earnings	2,651	9,837	(7,186)	(73)
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest (1)	573	457	116	25
Net earnings attributable to IMH	\$ 3,224	\$ 10,294	\$ (7,070)	(69)
Earnings per share available to common stockholders basic	\$ 0.41	\$ 1.33	\$ (0.93)	(70)%
Earnings per share available to common stockholders diluted	\$ 0.39	\$ 1.24	\$ (0.86)	(70)%

(1) Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest represents the portion of the losses of Experience 1, Inc. and AmeriHome Mortgage Corporation (both subsidiaries of IRES) that the Company does not wholly own. In September and October 2011, the Company sold its interest in Experience 1, Inc.

Table of Contents*Net Interest Income*

We earn net interest income primarily from mortgage assets which include securitized mortgage collateral, loans held-for-sale and investment securities available-for-sale, or collectively, "mortgage assets," and, to a lesser extent, interest income earned on cash and cash equivalents. Interest expense is primarily interest paid on borrowings secured by mortgage assets, which include securitized mortgage borrowings and to a lesser extent, interest expense paid on long-term debt and notes payable, warehouse borrowings and line of credit. Interest income and interest expense during the period primarily represents the effective yield, based on the fair value of the trust assets and liabilities.

The following tables summarize average balance, interest and weighted average yield on mortgage assets and borrowings, included within continuing operations, for the periods indicated. Cash receipts and payments on derivative instruments hedging interest rate risk related to our securitized mortgage borrowings are not included in the results below. These cash receipts and payments are included as a component of the change in fair value of net trust assets.

	For the year ended December 31,					
	2011			2010		
	Average Balance	Interest	Yield	Average Balance	Interest	Yield
ASSETS						
Securitized mortgage collateral	5,719,598	735,702	12.86%	6,090,074	985,037	16.17%
Loans held-for-sale	35,389	1,594	4.50%	1,938	47	2.43%
Other	4,548	153	3.36%	10,191	66	0.65%
Total interest-earning assets	\$ 5,759,535	\$ 737,449	12.80%	\$ 6,102,203	\$ 985,150	16.14%
LIABILITIES						
Securitized mortgage borrowings	\$ 5,724,419	\$ 726,219	12.69%	\$ 6,079,342	\$ 974,058	16.02%
Long-term debt	11,760	3,753	31.91%	10,882	4,480	41.17%
Note payable	6,527	2,296	35.18%	15,353	856	5.58%
Warehouse borrowings	32,583	1,604	4.92%	1,834	46	2.51%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$ 5,775,289	\$ 733,872	12.71%	\$ 6,107,411	\$ 979,440	16.04%
Net Interest Spread (1)		\$ 3,577	0.09%		\$ 5,710	0.10%
Net Interest Margin (2)			0.06%			0.09%

(1) Net interest spread is calculated by subtracting the weighted average yield on interest-bearing liabilities from the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets.

(2) Net interest margin is calculated by dividing net interest spread by total average interest-earning assets.

For the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010

Net interest income spread decreased \$2.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 primarily attributable to an increase in interest expense on notes payable and a decrease in net interest spread on the long-term portfolio due to increases in pricing and the corresponding reduction in investor yield requirements between periods on securitized mortgage collateral and securitized mortgage borrowings, partially offset by a decrease in interest expense on long-term debt due to a reduction in interest rates related to a decline in LIBOR for the year ended December 31, 2011. As a result, net

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

interest margin decreased from 0.09% for the year ended December 31, 2010 to 0.06% for the year ended December 31, 2011.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the yield on interest-earning assets decreased to 12.80% from 16.14% in the comparable 2010 period. The yield on interest-bearing liabilities decreased to 12.71% for the year ended December 31, 2011 from 16.04% for the comparable 2010 period. In connection with the fair value accounting for investment securities available-for-sale and securitized mortgage collateral and borrowings, interest income and interest expense is recognized using effective yields based on estimated fair values for these instruments. The decrease in yield for securitized mortgage collateral and securitized mortgage borrowings is primarily related to increased prices on mortgage-backed bonds which resulted in a decrease in yield. Bond prices received from pricing services and other market participants have increased during the year as investor's demand for mortgage-backed securities has increased. This has resulted in an increase in fair value for both securitized mortgage collateral and securitized mortgage borrowings. These increases in fair value have decreased the effective yields used for purposes of recognizing interest income and interest expense on these instruments.

Non-Interest Income

For the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010

	For the year ended December 31,				%
	2011	2010	Increase (Decrease)	Change	
Change in fair value of net trust assets, excluding REO	\$ 26,026	\$ 11,110	\$ 14,916		134%
Losses from REO	(16,587)	(6,798)	(9,789)		(144)
Non-interest income net trust assets	9,439	4,312	5,127		119
Mortgage and real estate services fees	56,664	57,168	(504)		(1)
Change in fair value of long-term debt	2,318	689	1,629		236
Gain on sale of Experience 1, Inc.	1,940	-	1,940		N/A
Other	(868)	1,295	(2,163)		(167)
Total non-interest income	\$ 69,493	\$ 63,464	\$ 6,029		9%

Non-interest income net trust assets. Since our consolidated and unconsolidated securitization trusts are nonrecourse to the Company, our economic risk is limited to our residual interests in these securitization trusts. To understand the economics on our residual interests in securitizations better, it is necessary to consider the net effect of changes in fair value of net trust assets and losses from REO. All estimated future losses are included in the estimate of the fair value of securitized mortgage collateral and REO. Losses on REO are reported separately in the consolidated statement of operations as REO is a nonfinancial asset which is the only component of trust assets and liabilities that is not recorded at fair value. Therefore, REO value at the time of sale or losses from further write-downs are recorded separately in the Company's consolidated statement of operations. The net effect of changes in value related to our investment in all trust assets and liabilities is shown as non-interest income net trust assets, which includes losses from REO. Non-interest income related to our net trust assets (residual interests in securitizations) was \$9.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to \$4.3 million in the comparable 2010 period. The individual components of the non-interest income from net trust assets are discussed below:

Change in fair value of net trust assets, excluding REO. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company recognized a \$26.0 million gain from the change in fair value of net trust assets, excluding

Table of Contents

REO. The net gain recognized during the period was comprised of gains resulting from the decrease in fair value of securitized mortgage borrowings of \$133.2 million. Offsetting these gains were losses resulting from decreases in the fair value of securitized mortgage collateral and an increase in fair value of investment securities available-for-sale and net derivative liabilities of \$98.7 million, \$88 thousand and \$8.6 million, respectively.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company recognized an \$11.1 million gain from the change in fair value of net trust assets, excluding REO. The net gain recognized during the period was comprised of gains resulting from the increase in fair value of investment securities-for-sale and securitized mortgage collateral of \$69 thousand and \$621.0 million, respectively. Offsetting these gains were losses resulting from increases in the fair value of securitized mortgage borrowings and net derivative liabilities of \$571.7 million and \$38.3 million, respectively.

Losses from REO. Losses from REO were \$16.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. This loss was comprised of \$16.5 million in additional impairment write-downs during the period and \$122 thousand loss on sale of REO. During the year ended December 31, 2011, additional impairment write-downs were attributable to higher expected loss severities on properties held during the period which resulted in a decrease to NRV.

Losses from REO were \$6.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. This loss was comprised of \$7.9 million in additional impairment write-downs during the period partially offset by \$1.1 million in gain on sale of REO. During the year ended December 31, 2010, additional impairment write-downs were attributable to higher expected loss severities on properties held during the period which resulted in a decrease to NRV. The gain is attributable to mortgage insurance recovery collected in the period as a result of our increased loss mitigation efforts of our portfolio.

Mortgage and real estate services fees. Revenues generated from these businesses are primarily from the Company's long-term mortgage portfolio. For the year ended December 31, 2011, mortgage and real estate services fees were \$56.7 million compared to \$57.2 million in fees in the comparable 2010 period. The mortgage and real estate services fees of \$56.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, was primarily comprised of \$16.7 million in real estate services and recovery fees, \$14.0 million in mortgage lending, \$13.9 million in title and escrow fees, \$6.8 million in loss mitigation fees and \$5.2 million in portfolio service fees. The \$504 thousand decrease in mortgage and real estate services fees was comprised of decreases in real estate services and recovery fees, loss mitigation fees, title and escrow fees and portfolio service fees of approximately \$5.3 million, \$5.0 million, \$2.9 million and \$580 thousand, respectively. Offsetting these decreases was an increase in mortgage lending of approximately \$13.3 million. Additionally, the sale of our interest in Experience 1, Inc., the parent of the title insurance company, during the third quarter of 2011 may result in future reductions in title and escrow fees.

Change in the fair value of long-term debt. Change in the fair value of long-term debt was a gain of \$2.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to a gain of \$689 thousand for the comparable 2010 period as a result of the reduction in the estimated fair value of long-term debt. The reduction in the estimated fair value of long-term debt was the result of a reduction in forward LIBOR interest rates. Long-term debt (consisting of trust preferred securities and junior subordinated notes) is measured based upon an analysis prepared by the Company, which considers the Company's own credit risk, including consideration of settlements with trust preferred debt holders and discounted cash flow analyses.

Gain on sale of Experience 1, Inc. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the \$1.9 million gain is the result of the sale of the title insurance company. In September 2011, the Company sold 7,000 of its 8,000 shares of common stock of its majority-owned subsidiary Experience 1, Inc., for

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

\$3.36 million, recording a gain of \$1.78 million and subsequently sold the remaining 1,000 shares in October 2011 for \$360 thousand recording a gain of \$160 thousand in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Non-Interest Expense

For the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010

	For the year ended December 31,				
	2011	2010	Increase (Decrease)	% Change	
Personnel expense	\$ 46,362	\$ 42,272	\$ 4,090	10%	
General, administrative and other	9,583	10,406	(823)	(8)	
Occupancy expense	4,643	4,155	488	12	
Legal and professional expense	2,894	2,291	603	26	
Data processing expense	2,665	2,246	419	19	
Total non-interest expense	\$ 66,147	\$ 61,370	\$ 4,777	8%	

Total non-interest expense was \$66.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to \$61.4 million for the comparable period of 2010. The increase in non-interest expense was primarily attributable to a \$4.1 million increase in personnel expense over the previous period as a result of an increase in personnel and related costs associated with the expansion of the Company's mortgage lending platform as well as the personnel and related costs associated with the title and escrow business which was sold during September and October of 2011.

Income Taxes

In accordance with FASB ASC 810-10-45-8, the Company records a deferred charge representing the deferral of income tax expense on inter-company profits that resulted from the sale of mortgages from taxable subsidiaries to IMH in prior years. The deferred charge is included in other assets in the consolidated balance sheets and is amortized as a component of income tax expense in the consolidated statements of operations over the estimated life of the mortgages retained in the securitized mortgage collateral. The Company recorded a tax provision of \$1.2 million and \$205 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the net provision includes both the amount of the deferred charge amortized and/or impaired resulting from credit losses, which does not result in any tax liability to be paid and state income tax expense. In the previous year, the provision is primarily state income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations by Business Segment***Mortgage and Real Estate Services*

For the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010

Condensed Statements of Operations Data

	For the year ended December 31,		Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	2011	2010		
Net interest income	\$ (5)	\$ 19	\$ (24)	(126)%
Mortgage and real estate services fees	56,664	57,168	(504)	(1)
Gain on sale of Experience 1, Inc.	1,940	-	1,940	N/A
Other non-interest income	(521)	127	(648)	(510)
Total non-interest income	58,083	57,295	788	1
Personnel expense	(40,834)	(35,258)	(5,576)	(16)
Non-interest expense and income taxes	(9,431)	(8,650)	(781)	(9)
Net earnings	\$ 7,813	\$ 13,406	\$ (5,593)	(42)%

For the year ended December 31, 2011, mortgage and real estate services fees were \$56.7 million compared to \$57.2 million in fees in the comparable 2010 period. The mortgage and real estate services fees of \$56.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, was primarily comprised of \$16.7 million in real estate services and recovery fees, \$14.0 million in mortgage lending, \$13.9 million in title and escrow fees, \$6.8 million in loss mitigation fees and \$5.2 million in portfolio service fees. The \$504 thousand decrease in mortgage and real estate services fees was comprised of decreases in real estate services and recovery fees, loss mitigation fees, title and escrow fees and portfolio service fees of approximately \$5.3 million, \$5.0 million, \$2.9 million and \$580 thousand, respectively. Offsetting these decreases was an increase in mortgage lending of approximately \$13.3 million. Additionally, the sale of our interest in Experience 1, Inc., the parent of the title insurance company, during the third quarter of 2011 may result in future reductions in title and escrow fees.

The \$13.3 million increase in mortgage lending during the year ended December 31, 2011 was primarily the result of an increase in net gain on sale of loans slightly offset by an increase in provision for repurchases as compared to the same period in 2010. For the year ended December 31, 2011, net gain on sale of loans was \$14.2 million as compared to \$763 thousand for the same period in 2010, offset by an increase in provision for repurchases to \$525 thousand for the year ended December 31, 2011 as compared to \$78 thousand for the same period in 2010. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the increase in net gain on sale of loans and provision for repurchase was the result of \$803.9 million in loan sales during the period as compared to \$17.4 million in loans sold for the comparable 2010 period.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, personnel expense increased \$5.6 million to \$40.8 million as a result of an increase in personnel and related costs associated with the expansion of the Company's mortgage lending platform as well as the personnel and related costs associated with the title and escrow business which was sold during September and October of 2011.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, non-interest expense and income taxes increased \$781 thousand to \$9.4 million. The increase is primarily related to startup costs the Company invested in its mortgage lending operation infrastructure as well as an increase in occupancy, data processing and legal and professional fees associated with the continued expansion of the Company's mortgage lending platform.

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Refer to Note 16. "Segment Reporting" in the notes to consolidated financial statements for financial results of the continuing operating segments and see Note 1. "Summary of Market Conditions and Liquidity, Business and Financial Statement Presentation including Significant Accounting Policies" for additional information regarding the operating structure.

Long-Term Portfolio

For the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010

	For the year ended December 31,		Increase	%
	2011	2010	(Decrease)	Change
Net interest income	\$ 3,582	\$ 5,691	\$ (2,109)	(37)%
Change in fair value of net trust assets, excluding REO	26,026	11,110	14,916	134
Losses from real estate owned	(16,587)	(6,798)	(9,789)	(144)
Non-interest income net trust assets	9,439	4,312	5,127	119
Change in fair value of long-term debt	2,318	689	1,629	236
Other non-interest income	(347)	1,168	(1,515)	130
Total non-interest income	11,410	6,169	5,241	85
Personnel expense	(5,528)	(7,015)	1,487	21
Non-interest expense and income taxes	(11,548)	(10,652)	(896)	(8)
Net loss	\$ (2,084)	\$ (5,807)	\$ 3,723	64%

Net interest income spread decreased \$2.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 to \$3.6 million from \$5.7 million for the comparable 2010 period. The decrease in net interest income was primarily attributable to overall increases in pricing and the corresponding reduction in investor yield requirements between periods on securitized mortgage collateral and securitized mortgage borrowings coupled with a decrease in outstanding principal balance and an increase in interest expense on notes payable, partially offset by a decrease in interest expense on long-term debt for the year ended December 31, 2011.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company recognized a \$26.0 million gain from the change in fair value of net trust assets, excluding REO. The net gain recognized during the period was comprised of gains resulting from the decrease in fair value of securitized mortgage borrowings of \$133.2 million. Offsetting these gains were losses resulting from decreases in the fair value of securitized mortgage collateral and an increase in fair value of investment securities available-for-sale and net derivative liabilities of \$98.7 million, \$88 thousand and \$8.6 million, respectively. Losses from REO were \$16.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. This loss was comprised of \$16.5 million in additional impairment write-downs during the period and \$122 thousand loss on sale of REO. During the year ended December 31, 2011, additional impairment write-downs were attributable to higher expected loss severities on properties held during the period as compared to previously reserved.

As the long term mortgage portfolio has declined due to principal payments, payoffs and defaults, the amount of personnel and personnel related expenses associated with the long-term portfolio segment has declined as well.

Non-interest expense and income taxes increased \$896 thousand during the year ended December 31, 2011 primarily related to \$1.2 million in income tax expense resulting from deferred

Table of Contents

charge impairment write-downs based on changes in estimated fair value of securitized mortgage collateral.

Discontinued Operations

For the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010

Condensed Statements of Operations Data

			For the year ended December 31,	
	2011	2010	Increase (Decrease)	% Change
Net interest income	\$ -	\$ 61	\$ (61)	(100)%
Provision for repurchases	(3,387)	(2,685)	(702)	(26)
Other non-interest income	1,604	3,389	(1,785)	(53)
Total non-interest income	(1,783)	704	(2,487)	353
Personnel expense	-	939	(939)	(100)
Non-interest expense and income taxes	(1,295)	534	(1,829)	(343)
Net (loss) earnings	\$ (3,078)	\$ 2,238	\$ (5,316)	(238)%

Provision for repurchases increased \$702 thousand as compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 as a result of increases in estimated repurchase obligations during the year.

The \$1.8 million decrease in other non-interest income is primarily the result of gains of \$2.3 million in 2010 on sales of REO properties not in trusts and recovery of REO write-downs during the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to \$421 thousand in 2011. Additionally during the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company received a \$775 thousand settlement on loans previously purchased by our discontinued mortgage operations.

In 2010, non-interest expense and income taxes was a positive net balance due to a gain in the second quarter of 2010 related to lease impairment adjustments as a result of changes in our expected minimum future lease payments. In 2011, non-interest expense and income taxes was a net expense balance primarily due to occupancy, legal and professional fees.

Refer to Note 22. "Discontinued Operations" in the notes to consolidated financial statements for financial results of the discontinued operating segments and see Note 1. "Summary of Market Conditions and Liquidity, Business and Financial Statement Presentation including Significant Accounting Policies" for additional information regarding the operating structure.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

As a smaller reporting company, we are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The information required by this Item 8 is incorporated by reference to Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc.'s Consolidated Financial Statements and Independent Auditors' Report beginning at page F-1 of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e)) designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

The Company's management, with the participation of its chief executive officer (CEO) and its chief financial officer (CFO), evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2011. Based on that evaluation, the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, as of that date, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective at a reasonable assurance level.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Section 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act). Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company's CEO and CFO to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Company's financial statements for reporting purposes in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and include those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

As of December 31, 2011, management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on the framework established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on the criteria established by COSO, management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2011.

Our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent or detect all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control

Table of Contents

systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by improper management override of the controls. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with associated policies or procedures. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, there is a risk that material misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and will not be detected on a timely basis.

Squar, Milner, Peterson, Miranda & Williamson, LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, has issued an attestation report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting, a copy of which is included herein.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During the quarter ended December 31, 2011, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders
Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc.

We have audited Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc.'s (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended, and our report dated March 21, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ SQUAR, MILNER, PETERSON, MIRANDA & WILLIAMSON, LLP

Newport Beach, California
March 21, 2012

Table of Contents

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this Item 10 is hereby incorporated by reference to Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc.'s definitive proxy statement, to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc.'s fiscal year.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item 11 is hereby incorporated by reference to Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc.'s definitive proxy statement, to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc.'s fiscal year.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this Item 12 including Equity Compensation Plan Information is hereby incorporated by reference to Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc.'s definitive proxy statement, to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc.'s fiscal year.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item 13 is hereby incorporated by reference to Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc.'s definitive proxy statement, to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc.'s fiscal year.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item 14 is hereby incorporated by reference to Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc.'s definitive proxy statement, to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc.'s fiscal year.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)(3) Exhibits

The exhibits listed on the accompanying Exhibit Index are incorporated by reference into this Item 15 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Irvine, State of California, on the 21st day of March 2012.

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC.

by /s/ JOSEPH R. TOMKINSON

Joseph R. Tomkinson
*Chairman of the Board
and Chief Executive Officer*

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
<u>/s/ JOSEPH R. TOMKINSON</u> Joseph R. Tomkinson	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	March 21, 2012
<u>/s/ WILLIAM S. ASHMORE</u> William S. Ashmore	President and Director	March 21, 2012
<u>/s/ TODD R. TAYLOR</u> Todd R. Taylor	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	March 21, 2012
<u>/s/ JAMES WALSH</u> James Walsh	Director	March 21, 2012
<u>/s/ FRANK P. FILIPPS</u> Frank P. Filippis	Director	March 21, 2012
<u>/s/ STEPHAN R. PEERS</u> Stephan R. Peers	Director	March 21, 2012
<u>/s/ LEIGH J. ABRAMS</u> Leigh J. Abrams	Director	March 21, 2012

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1	Charter of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to the corresponding exhibit number to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11, as amended (File No. 33-96670), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 8, 1995).
3.1(a)	Certificate of Correction of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to exhibit 3.1(a) of the Registrant's 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 1998).
3.1(b)	Articles of Amendment of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to exhibit 3.1(b) of the Registrant's 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 1998).
3.1(c)	Articles of Amendment for change of name to Charter of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to exhibit number 3.1(a) of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A Amendment No. 1, filed February 12, 1998).
3.1(d)	Articles Supplementary and Certificate of Correction for Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to exhibit 3.1(d) of the Registrant's 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 1998).
3.1(e)	Articles of Amendment, filed with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland on July 16, 2002, increasing authorized shares of Common Stock of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10 of the Registrant's Form 8-A/A, Amendment No. 2, filed July 30, 2002).
3.1(f)	Articles of Amendment, filed with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland on June 22, 2004, amending and restating Article VII of the Registrant's Charter (incorporated by reference to exhibit 7 of the Registrant's Form 8-A/A, Amendment No. 1, filed June 30, 2004).
3.1(g)	Articles Supplementary designating the Company's 9.375 percent Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, liquidation preference \$25.00 per share, par value \$0.01 per share, filed with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland on May 26, 2004 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 3.8 of the Registrant's Form 8-A/A, Amendment No. 1, filed June 30, 2004).
3.1(h)	Articles Supplementary designating the Company's 9.125 percent Series C Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, liquidation preference \$25.00 per share, par value \$0.01 per share, filed with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland on November 18, 2004 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 3.10 of the Registrant's Form 8-A filed November 19, 2004).
3.1(i)	Articles of Amendment of the Company, effective as of December 30, 2008, effecting 1-for-10 reverse stock split (incorporated by reference to exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 30, 2008).
3.1(j)	Articles of Amendment of the Company, effective as of December 30, 2008, amending par value (incorporated by reference to exhibit 3.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 30, 2008).

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1(k)	Articles of Amendment of Series B Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 30, 2009).
3.1(l)	Articles of Amendment of Series C Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to exhibit 3.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 30, 2009).
3.2	Bylaws, as amended and restated (incorporated by reference to the corresponding exhibit number of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ending March 31, 1998).
3.2(a)	Amendment to Bylaws (incorporated by reference to exhibit 3.2(a) of the Registrant's Registration Statement of Form S-3 (File No. 333-111517) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 23, 2003).
3.2(b)	Second Amendment to Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2(b) of the Registrant's Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 1, 2005).
3.2(c)	Third Amendment to Bylaws of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2(c) of the Registrant's Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 29, 2006).
3.2(d)	Fourth Amendment to Bylaws of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 20, 2007).
3.2(e)	Fifth Amendment to Bylaws of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2(e) of the Registrant's Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 13, 2008).
3.2(f)	Amendment No. 6 to Bylaws of the Company (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 5, 2008).
4.1	Form of Stock Certificate of the Company (incorporated by reference to the corresponding exhibit number to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11, as amended (File No. 33-96670), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 7, 1995).
4.2	Indenture between Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee, dated October 18, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005).
4.2(a)	First Supplemental Indenture dated as of July 14, 2009 between Wilmington Trust Company and Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. to Indenture dated October 18, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2009).
4.3	Junior Subordinated Indenture dated May 8, 2009 between Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, National Association, as trustee, related to Junior Subordinated Note due 2034 in the principal amount of \$30,244,000 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.3 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2009).

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
4.4	Junior Subordinated Indenture dated May 8, 2009 between Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, National Association, as trustee, related to Junior Subordinated Note due 2034 in the principal amount of \$31,756,000 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.4 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2009).
4.5	Indenture dated November 26, 2010 between LVII 2010-R1 and Deutsche National Trust Company, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).
4.5(a)	Supplemental Indenture No. 1 dated May 17, 2011 between LVII 2010-R1 and Deutsche Bank National Trust Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the year period June 30, 2011).
10.1(a)	Form of 2002 Indemnification Agreement between the Registrant and its Directors and Officers (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.1(a) of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2004).
10.1(b)	Schedule of each officer and director that is a party to an Indemnification Agreement (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.2(b) of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 2007).
10.2	Form of Loan Purchase and Administrative Services Agreement between the Registrant and Impac Funding Corporation (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.9 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11, as amended (File No. 33-96670), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 7, 1995).
10.3	Servicing Agreement effective November 11, 1995 between the Registrant and Impac Funding Corporation (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.14 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-11, as amended (File No. 333-04011), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 17, 1996).
10.4	Lease dated March 4, 2005 regarding 19500 Jamboree Road, Newport Beach California (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.8 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 2004).
10.5*	Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 21, 2010).
10.5(a)*	Form of Stock Option Agreement for 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to exhibit 99.6 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 10, 2010).
10.5(b)*	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to exhibit 99.7 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 10, 2010).
10.5(c)*	Form of Stock Option Agreement for 2001 Stock Option, Deferred Stock and Restricted Stock Plan (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2004).

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
10.5(d)*	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for 2001 Stock Option, Deferred Stock and Restricted Stock Plan (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 2, 2005).
10.6*	Non-Employee Director Deferred Stock Unit Award Program (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).
10.6(a)*	Form of Notice of Grant Under Non-Employee Director Deferred Stock Unit Award Program (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6a of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).
10.7*	Executive Employment Agreement effective as of July 1, 2009 between Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. and Joseph R. Tomkinson (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 8, 2011).
10.8*	Executive Employment Agreement made as of July 1, 2009 between Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. and William S. Ashmore (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 8, 2011).
10.9	Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust among Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc., Wilmington Trust Company, as Delaware and Institutional Trustee, and the Administrative Trustees named therein, dated October 18, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005).
10.9(a)	Amendment No. 1 dated as of July 14, 2009 among Wilmington Trust Company, Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. and holders of Capital Securities to Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust dated October 18, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2009).
10.10	Amended and Restated Trust Agreement dated November 26, 2010 among IMH Assets Corp., Christiana Bank & Trust Company, as owner trustee, and Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as registrar and paying agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).
10.11	Exchange Agreement dated May 8, 2009 between Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc., Taberna Preferred Funding I, Ltd., and Taberna Preferred Funding II, Ltd. (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2009).
10.12	Credit Agreement dated as of October 30, 2009 among Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc., Impac Funding Corporation, Impac Warehouse Lending Group, Inc., Integrated Real Estate Service Corp. and UBS Real Estate Securities, Inc. (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.17 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009).
10.12(a)	Tranche A Term Note dated October 30, 2009 for \$23,850,000 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.17(a) of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009).

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
10.12(b)	Tranche B Term Note dated October 30, 2009 for \$10,000,000 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.17(b) of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009).
10.13	Settlement Agreement dated October 30, 2009 among Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc., Impac Funding Corporation, Impac Warehouse Lending Group, Inc. and UBS Real Estate Securities, Inc. (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.18 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009).
10.14	Master Repurchase Agreement dated as of December 3, 2010 between New Century Bank, Excel Mortgage Servicing and AmeriHome Mortgage Corporation. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).
10.14(a)	Guaranty and Suretyship Agreement dated as of December 3, 2010 made by the Registrant. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15(a) of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).
10.14(b)	Guaranty and Suretyship Agreement dated as of December 3, 2010 made by Integrated Real Estate Service Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15(b) of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).
10.14(c)	Amendment dated April 4, 2011 to Master Repurchase Agreement with New Century Bank (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the year period June 30, 2011).
10.14(d)	Amendment dated June 30, 2011 to Master Repurchase Agreement with New Century Bank (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the year period June 30, 2011).
10.15	Master Repurchase Agreement between Excel Mortgage Servicing, Inc. and Alliance Bank of Arizona dated March 30, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the year period March 31, 2011).
10.16	Amendment dated September 22, 2011 to Master Repurchase Agreement with Alliance Bank (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the year period September 30, 2011).
10.17	Line of Credit Agreement dated April 1, 2011 among Excel Mortgage Servicing, Inc. and Wells Fargo (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the year period June 30, 2011).
10.17(a)	First Modification to Promissory Note and First Modification Credit Agreement, each dated November 7, 2011, between Excel Mortgage Servicing, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank.
10.18	Master Repurchase Agreement dated as of August 31, 2011 between MetLife Bank, Excel Mortgage Servicing and AmeriHome Mortgage Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the year period September 30, 2011).
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
23.1	Consent of Squar, Milner, Peterson, Miranda & Williamson, LLP

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit

Number	Description
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Item 601(b)(31) of Regulation S-K, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Item 601(b)(31) of Regulation S-K, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1**	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101**	The following financial information from our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (1) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (2) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, (3) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity, (4) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (5) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.

*

Denotes a management or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit pursuant to Item 601 of Regulation S-K

**

This exhibit shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, nor shall it be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any filings.

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

INDEX

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>F-2</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	<u>F-3</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	<u>F-4</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	<u>F-5</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	<u>F-6</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>F-8</u>

F-1

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 21, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ SQUAR, MILNER, PETERSON, MIRANDA & WILLIAMSON, LLP

Newport Beach, California
March 21, 2012

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share data)

	At December 31,	
	2011	2010
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,653	\$ 11,507
Restricted cash	5,019	1,495
Trust assets		
Investment securities available-for-sale	688	645
Securitized mortgage collateral	5,449,001	6,011,675
Derivative assets	37	40
Real estate owned	56,467	92,708
Total trust assets	5,506,193	6,105,068
Mortgage loans held-for-sale	61,718	4,283
Assets of discontinued operations	264	373
Other assets	31,193	31,213
Total assets	\$ 5,612,040	\$ 6,153,939
LIABILITIES		
Trust liabilities		
Securitized mortgage borrowings	\$ 5,454,901	\$ 6,012,745
Derivative liabilities	24,786	65,916
Total trust liabilities	5,479,687	6,078,661
Warehouse borrowings	58,691	4,057
Long-term debt	11,561	11,728
Notes payable	5,182	6,874
Liabilities of discontinued operations	9,932	13,053
Other liabilities	15,890	11,869
Total liabilities	5,580,943	6,126,242
Commitments and contingencies		
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Series A junior participating preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 2,500,000 shares authorized; none issued or outstanding	-	-
Series B 9.375% redeemable preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; liquidation value \$16,904; 2,000,000 shares authorized, 665,592 noncumulative shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	7	7
Series C 9.125% redeemable preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; liquidation value \$35,389; 5,500,000 shares authorized; 1,405,086 noncumulative shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	14	14
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 200,000,000 shares authorized; 7,814,946 and 7,787,546 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	78	78
Additional paid-in capital	1,076,723	1,076,375
Net accumulated deficit:	-	-
Cumulative dividends declared	(822,520)	(822,520)
Retained deficit	(224,334)	(227,558)

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Net accumulated deficit	(1,046,854)	(1,050,078)
Total Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. stockholders' equity	29,968	26,396
Noncontrolling interests	1,129	1,301
Total equity	31,097	27,697
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 5,612,040	\$ 6,153,939

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-3

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except per share data)

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2011	2010
INTEREST INCOME	\$ 737,449	\$ 985,150
INTEREST EXPENSE	733,872	979,440
Net interest income	3,577	5,710
NON-INTEREST INCOME:		
Change in fair value of net trust assets, excluding REO	26,026	11,110
Losses from REO	(16,587)	(6,798)
Non-interest income net trust assets	9,439	4,312
Mortgage and real estate services fees	56,664	57,168
Change in fair value of long-term debt	2,318	689
Gain on sale of Experience 1, Inc.	1,940	-
Other	(868)	1,295
Total non-interest income	69,493	63,464
NON-INTEREST EXPENSE:		
Personnel expense	46,362	42,272
General, administrative and other	9,583	10,406
Occupancy expense	4,643	4,155
Legal and professional expense	2,894	2,291
Data processing expense	2,665	2,246
Total non-interest expense	66,147	61,370
Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes	6,923	7,804
Income tax expense from continuing operations	1,194	205
Earnings from continuing operations	5,729	7,599
(Loss) earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax	(3,078)	2,238
Net earnings	2,651	9,837
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	573	457
Net earnings attributable to IMH	\$ 3,224	\$ 10,294
Earnings (loss) per common share basic:		
Earnings from continuing operations attributable to IMH	\$ 0.81	\$ 1.04
(Loss) earnings from discontinued operations	(0.40)	0.29
Net earnings per share available to common stockholders	\$ 0.41	\$ 1.33
Earnings (loss) per common share diluted:		
Earnings from continuing operations attributable to IMH	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.97
(Loss) earnings from discontinued operations	(0.37)	0.27

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Net earnings per share available to common stockholders \$ 0.39 \$ 1.24

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

F-4

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(in thousands, except share amounts)

	Preferred Shares Outstanding	Preferred Stock	Common Shares Outstanding	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Cumulative Dividends Declared	Retained Deficit	Total IMH Stockholders' Equity	Noncontrolling Interests	Total Stockholders' Equity
Balance, December 31, 2009	2,070,678	\$ 21	7,698,146	\$ 77	\$ 1,075,707	\$(822,520)	\$(237,852)	\$ 15,433	\$ 60	\$ 15,493
Proceeds and tax benefit from exercise of stock options	-	-	59,400	1	30	-	-	31	-	31
Common stock issued pursuant to marketing service agreement	-	-	30,000	-	129	-	-	129	-	129
Stock based compensation	-	-	-	-	509	-	-	509	-	509
Contribution from noncontrolling interest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	561	561
Noncontrolling interest from acquisition	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,137	1,137
Net earnings (loss)	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,294	10,294	(457)	9,837
Balance, December 31, 2010	2,070,678	21	7,787,546	78	1,076,375	(822,520)	(227,558)	26,396	1,301	27,697
Proceeds and tax benefit from exercise of stock options	-	-	27,400	-	14	-	-	14	-	14
Stock based compensation	-	-	-	-	334	-	-	334	-	334
Contribution from noncontrolling interest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	401	401
Net earnings (loss)	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,224	3,224	(573)	2,651

Balance, December 31, 2011	2,070,678	\$ 21	7,814,946	\$ 78	\$ 1,076,723	\$(822,520)	\$(224,334)	\$ 29,968	\$ 1,129	\$ 31,097
----------------------------------	-----------	-------	-----------	-------	--------------	-------------	-------------	-----------	----------	-----------

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-5

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2011	2010
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net earnings	\$ 2,651	\$ 9,837
Losses from REO	16,587	6,798
Extinguishment of debt	338	(1,299)
Change in fair value of mortgage servicing rights	128	(118)
Gain on sale of loans	(11,452)	(646)
Change in fair value of mortgage loans held-for-sale	(2,702)	(58)
Provision for repurchases	525	78
Origination of mortgage loans held-for-sale	(860,228)	(20,739)
Sale and principal reduction on mortgage loans held-for-sale	814,117	17,979
Change in fair value of net trust assets, excluding REO	(77,010)	(120,282)
Change in fair value of long-term debt	(2,318)	(689)
Accretion of interest income and expense	322,831	396,714
Change in REO impairment reserve	(27,055)	(12,462)
Stock-based compensation	334	509
Impairment of deferred charge	1,170	-
Gain on sale of Experience 1, Inc.	(1,940)	-
Net change in restricted cash	(3,524)	(242)
Amortization of discount on note payable	1,299	-
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities of discontinued operations	(3,113)	(4,425)
Net change in other assets and liabilities	2,939	662
Net cash provided by operating activities	173,577	271,617
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Net change in securitized mortgage collateral	693,427	729,477
Net change in mortgages held-for-investment	(54)	198
Sale of Experience 1, Inc.	512	-
Maturity (purchase) of short-term investments	-	5,000
Purchase of premises and equipment	(483)	(1,773)
Net principal change on investment securities available-for-sale	181	185
Acquisition of AmeriHome, net of cash	-	(365)
Proceeds from the sale of real estate owned	144,899	208,585
Net cash provided by investing activities of discontinued operations	-	2,374
Net cash provided by investing activities	838,482	943,681
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Repayment of warehouse borrowings	(793,409)	(13,709)
Borrowings under warehouse agreement	848,043	16,787
Repayment of line of credit	(3,850)	-
Borrowings under line of credit	7,850	-
Repayment of securitized mortgage borrowings	(1,071,736)	(1,209,516)
Issuance of note payable	8,815	7,360
Principal payments on notes payable	(11,455)	(31,040)
Contributions from noncontrolling interest	-	560
Principal payments on capital lease	(286)	-
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	14	30
Net cash used in financing activities	(1,016,014)	(1,229,528)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(3,955)	(14,230)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	11,620	25,850
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year continuing operations	7,653	11,507
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year discontinued operations	12	113
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 7,665	\$ 11,620

F-6

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS - (continued)**

(in thousands)

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2011	2010
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (Continuing and Discontinued Operations):		
Interest paid	\$ 86,680	\$ 99,857
Taxes paid	33	149
NON-CASH TRANSACTIONS (Continuing and Discontinued Operations):		
Common stock issued pursuant to marketing service agreement	\$ -	\$ 129
Transfer of securitized mortgage collateral to real estate owned	98,118	149,959
Acquisition of equipment purchased through capital leases	635	-
Notes received upon disposition of Experience 1, Inc.	560	-
Net effect of consolidation of net trust assets from adoption of accounting principle	-	119,631
Net effect of consolidation of net trust liabilities from adoption of accounting principle	-	(119,631)
INVESTING ACTIVITIES FROM ACQUISITIONS:		
Fair value of assets acquired	\$ -	\$ 4,200
Fair value of liabilities assumed	-	(1,963)
Noncontrolling interest	-	(1,137)
Cash paid for acquisition	-	1,100

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

Note 1. Summary of Market Conditions and Liquidity, Business and Financial Statement Presentation including Significant Accounting Policies

Business Summary

Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. (the Company or IMH) is a Maryland corporation incorporated in August 1995 and has the following subsidiaries: Integrated Real Estate Service Corporation (IRES), IMH Assets Corp. (IMH Assets) and Impac Funding Corporation (IFC).

The Company's continuing operations include the mortgage and real estate fee-based business activities conducted by IRES and the long-term mortgage portfolio (residual interests in securitizations reflected as net trust assets and liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.) The discontinued operations include the former non-conforming mortgage and retail operations conducted by IFC and subsidiaries.

The information set forth in these notes is presented on a continuing operations basis, unless otherwise stated.

Market Conditions and Liquidity

Weak employment growth and lethargic consumer spending throughout much of 2011 have led to concerns about the prospects for the U.S. economy's growth. However, conditions began to show signs of improvement during the fourth quarter as employment growth began to increase, in part due to seasonal hiring and an increase in consumer spending. These factors, along with other positive data from the manufacturing sector in the fourth quarter suggest that the economic recovery is gaining momentum once again after nearly stalling out earlier in the year. However, because the economic recovery continues at a slow rate, Federal Reserve policymakers currently anticipate that economic conditions are likely to warrant exceptionally low levels for the federal funds interest rate at least through late 2014. The financial markets became somewhat volatile once again in the second half of 2011, with stock market averages moving up and down sharply across the globe due to elevated concerns over risk within the markets. This was evidenced by the European sovereign debt crisis triggered by Greece and other countries as well as increased concerns regarding government spending, including the federal budget deficit and the fear of a return to economic recession continued to influence the financial markets including interest rates and spreads.

During 2011, the Company continued to see home prices decline in many markets as housing prices remain under pressure due to elevated foreclosure. Although the pace of new foreclosures has fallen from its peak, in part due to industry-wide compliance issues, further declines in home prices may be necessary before substantial progress in reducing the inventory of homes occurs. Serious threats to economic growth remain however, including continued pressure and uncertainty in the housing market and elevated unemployment levels. While the economy continued to add jobs in 2011, the pace of new job creation continues to be slower than needed to meaningfully reduce unemployment. As a result, there continues to be uncertainty as to how pronounced the economic recovery will be and whether it can be sustained.

Due to the significant slow-down in foreclosure processing, and in some instances, cessation of all foreclosure processing by numerous loan servicers, there has been a reduction in the number of properties being marketed following foreclosure. As servicers begin to increase foreclosure activities

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

and market properties in larger numbers, it is likely to create a significant over-supply of housing inventory. This could lead to a significant increase in overall loss severity on real estate owned (REO) properties in future periods. In addition, certain courts and state legislatures are implementing new rules or statutes relating to foreclosures which may require additional verification of information prior to the foreclosure proceeding. The combination of these factors has led to a significant backlog of foreclosures in the marketplace which will take time to resolve. If these trends continue, there could be additional delays in the processing of foreclosures, which could have an adverse effect upon housing prices which is likely to result in higher loss severities while foreclosures are delayed.

While the Company continues to pay its obligations as they become due, the ability to continue to meet current and long-term obligations is dependent upon many factors, particularly the Company's ability to successfully operate its mortgage and real estate business activities, including its mortgage lending business and realizing cash flows from the long-term mortgage portfolio. The Company's future financial performance and success are dependent in large part upon the ability to expand its mortgage lending platform and expand its business volumes and revenues, including providing services to third parties. In response to the current market conditions, the Company entered into lending relationships to improve its liquidity. In April 2011, the Company entered into a \$2.0 million working capital line of credit agreement which was subsequently increased to \$4.0 million in November 2011. Refer to Note 12. *Line of Credit Agreement*, for additional information regarding the working capital line of credit. Additionally, in May 2011, the Company entered into a \$10.3 million structured debt agreement using seven of the Company's residual interests (net trust assets) as collateral. Refer to Note 11. *Note Payable* and Note 23. *Subsequent Events*, for additional information regarding the structured debt agreement.

The Company believes that current cash balances, cash flows from its mortgage lending operations, mortgage and real estate services fees generated from the long-term mortgage portfolio, and residual interest cash flows from the long-term mortgage portfolio are adequate for current operating needs. However, the Company believes the mortgage and real estate services market is volatile, highly competitive and subject to increased regulation. Competition in mortgage lending comes primarily from mortgage bankers, commercial banks, credit unions and other finance companies which have offices in the Company's market area as well as operations throughout the United States. The Company competes for loans principally on the basis of the interest rates and loan fees charged, the types of loans originated and the quality of services provided to borrowers. Additionally, competition for loss mitigation servicing, loan modification services and other portfolio services has increased due to the unprecedented difficult mortgage environment and severe credit tightening, coupled with the stagnant economy. This is evidenced by elevated levels of delinquencies and defaults, eroding real estate values and government mandated modification programs which have led to an increase in competitors who have recently entered or have established businesses delivering similar services. The Company's competitors include mega mortgage servicers, established subprime loan servicers, and newer entrants to the specialty servicing and recovery collections business. Efforts to market the Company's ability to provide mortgage and real estate services for others is more difficult than many of its competitors because the Company has not historically provided such services to unrelated third parties, and the Company is not a rated primary or special servicer of residential mortgage loans as designated by a rating agency. Additionally, performance of the long-term mortgage portfolio is subject to the continued deterioration in the real estate market and current economic conditions. Cash flows from the residual interests in securitizations are sensitive to delinquencies, defaults and credit losses associated with the securitized loans. Losses in excess of current estimates will reduce the residual interest cash receipts from the long-term mortgage portfolio.

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

Financial Statement Presentation

Basis of Presentation

The financial condition, results of operations and cash flows have been presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2011 and include the financial results of IMH, IRES and IMH Assets within continuing operations and IWLG and IFC within discontinued operations. In addition, IRES consolidates one subsidiary, which, as of December 31, 2011, is 51% owned (see Note 21. *Business Combinations*).

All significant inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. In addition, certain amounts in the prior periods' consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include accounts of IMH and other entities in which the Company has a controlling financial interest. The usual condition for a controlling financial interest is ownership of a majority of the voting interests of an entity. However, a controlling financial interest may also exist in entities, such as special purpose entities (SPEs), through arrangements that do not involve voting interests.

Prior to January 1, 2010, there were two different accounting frameworks applicable to SPEs, depending on the nature of the entity and the Company's relation to that entity; the qualifying special purpose entity (QSPE) framework and the variable interest entity (VIE) framework.

The QSPE framework applied when an entity transfers (sells) financial assets to an SPE meeting certain criteria. These criteria were designed to ensure that the activities of the SPE are essentially predetermined in their entirety at the inception of the vehicle and that the transferor cannot exercise control over the entity, its assets or activities. Entities meeting these criteria were not consolidated by the Company.

When the SPE did not meet the QSPE criteria, consolidation was assessed pursuant to the VIE framework. A VIE is defined as an entity that (1) lacks enough equity investment at risk to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties, (2) has equity owners who are unable to make decisions and/or (3) has equity owners that do not absorb or receive the entity's losses and returns. QSPEs were previously excluded from the scope of the VIE framework.

The VIE framework requires a variable interest holder (counterparty to a VIE) to consolidate the VIE if that party will absorb a majority of the expected losses of the VIE, receive a majority of the residual returns of the VIE, or both. This party is considered the primary beneficiary of the entity. The determination of whether the Company meets the criteria to be considered the primary beneficiary of a VIE requires an evaluation of all transactions (such as investments, liquidity commitments, derivatives and fee arrangements) with the entity.

Effective January 1, 2010, QSPE's are no longer excluded from the consolidation provisions of the VIE framework.

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Subsidiaries

The Company follows the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 810-10-65-1, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements* which requires a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary to be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements with sufficient disclosure provided to identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interest of the noncontrolling owners. During 2011 and 2010, the Company reported the portion of Experience 1, Inc. (parent of title insurance company) and AmeriHome Mortgage Corporation (AmeriHome) (both subsidiaries of IRES) that it does not own as noncontrolling interests. During 2011 and 2010, both Experience 1, Inc. and AmeriHome incurred net losses. For Experience 1, Inc. and AmeriHome, the noncontrolling interest funded their portion of the net loss, which has been reflected as Contribution from noncontrolling interest in the accompanying consolidated statement of changes in stockholders' equity. At December 31, 2011, the noncontrolling interest in the consolidated balance sheet only represents AmeriHome due to the sale of Experience 1, Inc. during the third and fourth quarters of 2011.

Use of Estimates and Assumptions

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of IMH and its subsidiaries have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Management has made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods to prepare these consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Significant Accounting Policies

Fair Value Option

The fair value option provides an option to elect fair value as an alternative measurement for selected financial assets, financial liabilities, unrecognized firm commitments, and written loan commitments not previously carried at fair value. The Company has elected the fair value option on investment securities available-for-sale, securitized mortgage collateral, mortgage servicing rights, (included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets), mortgage loans held-for-sale within continuing operations, securitized mortgage borrowings and long-term debt. Elections were made to mitigate income statement volatility caused by differences in the measurement basis of elected instruments (for example, securitized mortgage collateral was previously accounted for at cost adjusted for net deferred origination costs and allowance for loan losses for credit losses inherent in the portfolio, where securitized mortgage borrowings was previously accounted for at amortized cost net of deferred financing costs).

Cash and Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash and highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less at the date of acquisition. The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value.

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

Cash balances that have restrictions as to the Company's ability to withdraw funds are considered restricted cash. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, restricted cash totaled \$5.0 million and \$1.5 million, respectively.

The increase in restricted cash during 2011 was the result of the terms of the Company's structured debt agreement. In accordance with the terms of the agreement, any excess cash flows are included in a reserve account, which is included in restricted cash in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets (see Note 11. *Note Payable*).

Investment Securities Available-for-Sale

Investment securities classified as available-for-sale are reported at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses are recognized in earnings as changes in fair value of net trust assets. Gains and losses realized on the sale of investment securities available-for-sale and declines in value considered to be other-than-temporary are based on the specific identification method and reported in current earnings.

Interest income from investment securities available-for-sale is recognized based on current market yields. Investment securities available-for-sale may be subject to credit, interest rate and/or prepayment risk.

Securitized Mortgage Collateral

The Company's long-term investment portfolio primarily includes adjustable rate and, to a lesser extent, fixed rate non-conforming mortgages and commercial mortgages that were acquired and originated by our mortgage and commercial operations prior to 2008.

Non-conforming mortgages may not have certain documentation or verifications that are required by government sponsored entities and, therefore, in making our credit decisions, we were more reliant upon the borrower's credit score and the adequacy of the underlying collateral.

Historically, the Company securitized mortgages in the form of collateralized mortgage obligations (CMO), which were consolidated and accounted for as secured borrowings for financial statement purposes. Securitized mortgages in the form of real estate mortgage investment conduits (REMICs), were either consolidated or unconsolidated depending on the design of the securitization structure. CMO and certain REMIC securitizations were designed so that the transferee (securitization trust) was not a QSPE, and therefore the Company consolidated the VIE as it was the primary beneficiary of the sole residual interest in each securitization trust. Generally, this was achieved by including terms in the securitization agreements that gave the Company the ability to unilaterally cause the securitization trust to return specific mortgages, other than through a clean-up call. Amounts consolidated are included in trust assets and liabilities as securitized mortgage collateral, real estate owned, derivative assets, securitized mortgage borrowings and derivative liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Effective January 1, 2010, former QSPEs are evaluated for consolidation based on the provisions of FASB ASC 810-10-25, which eliminates the concept of a QSPE and changes the approach to determining a securitization trust's primary beneficiary.

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

In connection with the adoption of this standard on January 1, 2010, the Company consolidated \$253.7 million of trust assets and trust liabilities at fair value. Additionally, the Company deconsolidated \$134.1 million of trust assets and liabilities at fair value. The following is a summary of the impact of adopting the new consolidation provisions of FASB ASC 810.

	(prior to adoption) December 31, 2009	Variable Interest Entities		(after adoption) January 1, 2010
		Consolidated	Deconsolidated	
Investment securities available-for-sale	\$ 813	\$ (298)	\$ -	\$ 515
Securitized mortgage collateral	5,666,122	249,523	(132,615)	5,783,030
REO	142,364	4,499	(1,478)	145,385
Securitized mortgage borrowings	(5,649,865)	(244,683)	134,065	(5,760,483)
Derivative liabilities, net	(126,457)	(9,041)	28	(135,470)
Net trust assets	\$ 32,977	-	-	\$ 32,977

There was no overall impact on stockholders' equity as a result of the consolidation and deconsolidation of these trust assets and liabilities on January 1, 2010.

Securitized mortgage collateral is generally not placed on nonaccrual status as the servicer remits the interest payments to the trust regardless of the delinquency status of the underlying mortgage loan.

The Company accounts for securitized mortgage collateral at fair value, with changes in fair value during the period reflected in earnings. Fair value measurements are based on the Company's estimated cash flow models, which incorporate assumptions, inputs of other market participants and quoted prices for the underlying bonds. The Company's assumptions include its expectations of inputs that other market participants would use. These assumptions include judgments about the underlying collateral, prepayment speeds, credit losses, forward interest rates and certain other factors.

Real Estate Owned

Real estate owned (REO), which consists of residential real estate acquired in satisfaction of loans, is carried at net realizable value, which includes the estimated fair value of the residential real estate less estimated selling and holding costs, offset by expected contractual mortgage insurance proceeds to be received, if any. Adjustments to the loan carrying value required at the time of foreclosure affect the carrying amount of securitized mortgage collateral. Subsequent write-downs in the net realizable value of REO are included in losses from REO in the consolidated statements of operations.

Securitized Mortgage Borrowings

The Company records securitized mortgage borrowings in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets for the consolidated CMO and REMIC securitized trusts. The debt from each issuance of a securitized mortgage borrowing is payable from the principal and interest payments on the underlying mortgages collateralizing such debt, as well as the proceeds from liquidations of REO. If the principal and interest payments are insufficient to repay the debt, the shortfall is allocated first to the residual interest holders (generally owned by the Company) then, if necessary, to the certificate holders (e.g. third party investors in the securitized mortgage borrowings) in accordance with the specific terms of the various respective indentures. Securitized mortgage borrowings typically are structured as one-month

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

LIBOR "floaters" and fixed rate securities with interest payable to certificate holders monthly. The maturity of each class of securitized mortgage borrowing is directly affected by the amount of net interest spread, overcollateralization and the rate of principal prepayments and defaults on the related securitized mortgage collateral. The actual maturity of any class of a securitized mortgage borrowing can occur later than the stated maturities of the underlying mortgages.

When the Company issued securitized mortgage borrowings, the Company generally sought an investment grade rating for the Company's securitized mortgages by nationally recognized rating agencies. To secure such ratings, it was often necessary to incorporate certain structural features that provide for credit enhancement. This generally included the pledge of collateral in excess of the principal amount of the securities to be issued, a bond guaranty insurance policy for some or all of the issued securities, or additional forms of mortgage insurance. The Company's total loss exposure is limited to the Company's initial net economic investment in each trust, which is referred to as a residual interest.

The Company accounts for securitized mortgage borrowings at fair value, with changes in fair value during the period reflected in earnings. Fair value measurements are based on the Company's estimated cash flow models, which incorporate assumptions, inputs of other market participants and quoted prices for the underlying bonds. The Company's assumptions include its expectations of inputs that other market participants would use. These assumptions include judgments about the underlying collateral, prepayment speeds, credit losses, forward interest rates and certain other factors.

Financial Guaranty Insurance Company (FGIC) provides bond guaranty insurance for three of the Company's consolidated securitizations. In determining the fair value of securitized mortgage borrowings, the Company excludes consideration of bond guaranty insurance payments in accordance with FASB ASC 820-10-35-18A. In November 2009, the Company was notified that FGIC had been ordered by the New York Insurance Department to suspend paying any and all claims based on its financial condition. As the related securitization trusts are nonrecourse to the Company, it is not required to replace or otherwise settle bond guaranty insurance within the consolidated trusts. However, other insurance companies have issued bond guaranty insurance policies for certain securities within the Company's securitized mortgage borrowings. Additional suspensions on the payment of claims may arise, which could materially affect industry-wide market prices for collateralized mortgage bonds.

Derivative Instruments

In accordance with FASB ASC 815-10 *Derivatives and Hedging Overview*, the Company records all derivative instruments at fair value. The Company has accounted for all its derivatives as non-designated hedge instruments or free-standing derivatives.

Interest Rate Swaps, Caps and Floors

The Company's interest rate risk management objective was to limit the exposure to the variability in future cash flows attributable to the variability of one-month LIBOR, which is the underlying index of adjustable rate securitized mortgage borrowings. The Company's interest rate risk management policies were formulated with the intent to offset the potential adverse effects of changing interest rates on securitized mortgage borrowings.

To mitigate exposure to the effect of changing interest rates on cash flows on securitized mortgage borrowings and reverse repurchase borrowings, the Company purchased derivative

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

instruments primarily in the form of interest rate swap agreements (swaps) and, to a lesser extent, interest rate cap agreements (caps) and interest rate floor agreements (floors). The Company has \$24.7 million in net derivative liabilities outstanding as of December 31, 2011 all of which are in the securitized trusts and included in trust assets and trust liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.

The fair value of the Company's swaps, caps, floors and other derivative instruments is generally based on market prices provided by dealers and market-makers, or estimates of future cash flows from these financial instruments.

Lending

The mortgage lending operations enters into interest rate lock commitments (IRLCs) and utilizes forward sold Fannie Mae and Ginnie Mae mortgage backed securities (Hedging Instruments) to hedge the fair value changes associated with changes in interest rates relating to its mortgage loan origination operations. The fair value of IRLCs and Hedging Instruments are represented as derivative assets and liabilities, lending in Note 2. *Fair Value of Financial Instruments*.

Options

The Company has issued call and put options in connection with the acquisition of AmeriHome (See Note 21). Options are derivative instruments and recorded at fair value with changes in fair value reported in earnings.

Mortgage Loans Held-for-Sale

During 2009, the Company established a residential mortgage lending operation after discontinuing its former residential and commercial lending operations in 2007 (see Note 22. *Discontinued Operations*). Mortgage loans held-for-sale (LHFS) originated under the new lending operation are accounted for using the fair value option, with changes in fair value recorded in noninterest income. In accordance with FASB ASC 825, *Financial Instruments*, loan origination fees and expenses are recognized in earnings as incurred and not deferred. LHFS remaining from the Company's discontinued residential and commercial lending operations are recorded at the lower of cost or market and are included in assets of discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Revenue derived from the Company's mortgage lending activities includes loan fees collected at the time of origination and gain or loss from the sale of LHFS. Loan fees consist of fee income earned on all loan originations, including loans closed and held for sale and consists of amounts earned related to application and underwriting fees, fees on cancelled loans, and are recognized as earned. The related direct loan origination costs are recognized when incurred. Gain or loss from the sale of LHFS includes both realized and unrealized gains and losses and are included in mortgage and real estate services fees in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The valuation of LHFS approximates a whole-loan price, which includes the value of the related mortgage servicing rights.

The Company principally sells its LHFS to investors and to government-sponsored entities. The Company evaluates its loan sales for sales treatment. To the extent the transfer of loans qualifies as a sale, the Company derecognizes the loans and records the gain or loss on the sale date. In the event the Company determines that the transfer of loans does not qualify as a sale, the transfer would be treated

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

as a secured borrowing. Interest received from loans is recorded as income when collected. LHFS are placed on nonaccrual status when any portion of the principal or interest is 90 days past due or earlier if factors indicate that the ultimate collectability of the principal or interest is not probable. Interest received from loans on nonaccrual status is recorded as income when collected. Loans return to accrual status when the principal and interest become current and it is probable that the amounts are fully collectible.

Mortgage Servicing Rights

The Company accounts for mortgage loan sales in accordance with ASC 860, *Transfers and Servicing*. Upon sale, the receivables are removed from the balance sheet, mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) are recorded as an asset for servicing rights retained, and a gain on sale, if applicable, is recognized for the difference between the carrying value of the receivables and the sales proceeds, net of origination costs and market subservicing fees. Additionally, the Company acquired MSRs as part of its acquisition of AmeriHome in October 2010 as more fully discussed in Note 20. *Business Combinations*. The Company elected to measure MSRs at fair value as prescribed by FASB ASC 860-50-35, and as such, servicing assets or liabilities are valued using discounted cash flow modeling techniques using assumptions regarding future net servicing cash flow, including prepayment rates, discount rates, servicing cost and other factors. Mortgage servicing rights are included within other assets in the consolidated balance sheets. Changes in estimated fair value are reported in the accompanying statement of operations within non-interest income.

Long-term Debt

Long-term debt (consisting of trust preferred securities and junior subordinated notes) is reported at fair value. These securities are measured based upon an analysis prepared by management, which considers the Company's own credit risk, including settlements with trust preferred debt holders and discounted cash flow analysis. Unrealized gains and losses are recognized in earnings as changes in fair value of long-term debt.

The Company does not consolidate trust preferred entities (which are sometimes hereinafter referred to as capital trusts) since the Company does not have a significant variable interest in the trust. Instead, the Company records its investment in the trust preferred entities (included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets) and accounts for such under the equity method of accounting and reflects a liability for the issuance of the notes to the trust preferred entities.

Interest Income and Interest Expense

Interest income on securitized mortgage collateral and interest expense on securitized mortgage borrowings are recorded quarterly using the effective yield for the period based on the previous quarter-end's estimated fair value.

Revenue Recognition for Fee Based Businesses

The Company follows SAB No. 104 *Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements*, which provides guidance on the application of GAAP to selected revenue recognition issues.

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

Real Estate Services and Recovery Fees

The Company provides real estate services and loss recovery services to servicers, portfolio managers and investors to assist them in maximizing loss mitigation performance in managing distressed mortgage portfolios and foreclosed real estate assets, and the disposition of such assets. In addition, the Company performs default surveillance services for residential and multifamily mortgage portfolios for servicers and investors to assist them with overall portfolio performance. These fees are recognized in income in the period when services are rendered and collectability is reasonably certain.

Modification Fees

The Company provides loan modification services to mortgage borrowers for a fee. Company representatives will negotiate with lenders on behalf of the borrower to modify their existing mortgage loan to reduce their interest rate, loan principal, forgiveness of past delinquencies, and/or other terms that are beneficial to the borrower. The modification fees are earned and recognized when a modified loan agreement is executed and collectability of such fees is reasonably assured.

Portfolio Service Fees

The Company acts as a service provider to an affiliate of the Parent, who is the master servicer on various mortgage and multifamily loan pools for loans in the long-term portfolio of the Parent. The Company earns portfolio service fees by performing various services such as collection of interest and principal payments, remittance of those payments to investors, reconciling payment discrepancies, and handling credit issues such as borrower defaults. The fees charged to the Parent are at market rates and recognized in income in the period the services are rendered.

Title and Escrow Fees

In September and October 2011, the Company sold its interest in Experience 1, Inc. Prior to September 2011, Experience 1, Inc. provided title insurance, escrow and settlement services to residential mortgage lenders, real estate agents, asset managers and other companies in the residential market sector of the real estate industry. Title fees were recognized as income in the period the deed was recorded. The Company provided for estimated future losses on policies issued and recorded in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations. Escrow fees and other trustee fees were recognized as income when an escrow or other trust was closed.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company maintains a stock-based incentive compensation plan, the terms of which are governed by the 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the 2010 Incentive Plan). The 2010 Incentive Plan provides for the grant of stock appreciation rights, restricted stock units, performance shares and other stock- and cash-based incentive awards. Employees, directors, consultants or other person providing services to the Company or its affiliates are eligible to receive awards pursuant to the 2010 Incentive Plan. In connection with the adoption of the 2010 Incentive Plan, the Company's 2001 Stock Plan, which was scheduled to expire in March 2011, was frozen. Further, all outstanding awards under the 2001 Stock Plan, as well as the Company's previous 1995 Stock Option, Deferred Stock and Restricted Stock Plan (together with the 2001 Stock Plan, the "Prior Plans"), were assumed by the 2010 Incentive Plan. As of December 31, 2011, the aggregate number of shares reserved under the 2010 Incentive Plan is

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

1,347,377 shares (including all outstanding awards assumed from Prior Plans), and there were 81,569 shares available for grant as stock options, restricted stock and deferred stock awards. The Company issues new shares of common stock to satisfy stock option exercises.

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with FASB ASC 718 *Compensation - Stock Compensation*. Accordingly, the Company measures the cost of stock-based awards using the grant-date fair value of the award and recognizes that cost over the requisite service period.

The fair value of each stock option granted under the Company's stock-based compensation plan is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model and the assumptions noted below. Given the declines in the Company stock price and the resulting decreased exercise activity by option holders, there is a lack of historical exercise experience and therefore the expected term of options granted is derived using the simplified method as permitted under FASB ASC 718-10-S99-1. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury rate with a term equal to the expected term of the option grants on the date of grant.

FASB ASC 718 requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and prospectively revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from initial estimates. Stock-based compensation expense is recorded net of estimated forfeitures for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, such that expense was recorded only for those stock-based awards that were expected to vest.

The fair value of options granted, which is amortized to expense over the option vesting period, is estimated on the date of grant with the following weighted average assumptions:

	For the year ended December 31, 2010
Risk-free interest rate	1.55% - 1.92%
Expected lives (in years)	6.00
Expected volatility (1)	250.42% - 250.47%
Expected dividend yield	0.00%
Fair value per share	\$2.72 - \$2.79

(1) Expected volatilities are based on both the implied and historical volatility of the Company's stock over the expected option life.

There were no options granted during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

The following table summarizes activity, pricing and other information for the Company's stock options for the years presented below:

	For the year ended December 31,			
	2011		2010	
	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price
Options outstanding at beginning of year	1,476,704	\$ 6.28	1,294,585	\$ 13.47
Options granted	-	-	399,984	2.76
Options exercised	(27,400)	0.53	(59,400)	0.53
Options forfeited / cancelled	(207,496)	22.83	(158,465)	58.30
Options outstanding at end of year	1,241,808	\$ 3.64	1,476,704	\$ 6.28
Options exercisable at end of year	1,012,435	\$ 3.84	1,080,784	\$ 7.57

	As of December 31,			
	2011		2010	
	Weighted- Average Remaining Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)	Weighted- Average Remaining Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Options outstanding at end of year	6.97	\$ 1,071	7.19	\$ 1,714
Options exercisable at end of year	6.54	\$ 1,071	6.38	\$ 1,702

The aggregate intrinsic value in the preceding table represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value, based on the Company's closing stock price of \$2.01 and \$2.79 per common share as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, which would have been received by the option holders, had all option holders exercised their options as of that date. As of December 31, 2011, there was approximately \$602 thousand of total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock option compensation arrangements granted under the plan, net of estimated forfeitures. That cost is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted average period of 1.88 years.

For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, the aggregate grant-date fair value of stock options granted was approximately none and \$1.1 million, respectively.

For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, total stock-based compensation expense was \$335 thousand and \$510 thousand, respectively.

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

Additional information regarding stock options outstanding as of December 31, 2011 is as follows:

Exercise Price Range	Stock Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable		
	Number Outstanding	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life in Years	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	
\$ 0 - 0.53	723,500	7.44	\$ 0.53	723,500	\$ 0.53	
0.54 - 2.73	180,000	8.93	2.73	60,000	2.73	
2.74 - 2.80	164,431	8.81	2.80	55,058	2.80	
2.81 - 217.7	173,877	1.25	18.32	173,877	18.32	
\$0.53 - 217.7	1,241,808	6.97	3.64	1,012,435	3.84	

In addition to the options granted, the Company has granted restricted stock units (RSU's), which vest over a three year period. The fair value of each RSU was measured on the date of grant using the grant date price of the Company's stock. No RSU's were granted during the year ended December 31, 2011.

The following table summarizes activity, pricing and other information for the Company's RSU's for the years presented below:

	For the year ended December 31,			
	2011		2010	
	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
RSU's outstanding at beginning of year	24,000	\$ 2.73	-	\$ -
RSU's granted	-	-	24,000	2.73
RSU's exercised	-	-	-	-
RSU's forfeited / cancelled	-	-	-	-
RSU's outstanding at end of year	24,000	\$ 2.73	24,000	\$ 2.73

As of December 31, 2011, there was approximately \$42 thousand of total unrecognized compensation cost related to the RSU compensation arrangements granted under the plan. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.93 years.

Income Taxes

In accordance with ASC 740, the Company records income tax expense as well as deferred tax assets and liabilities. Current income tax expense approximates taxes to be paid or refunded for the current period and includes income tax expense related to uncertain tax positions and amortization/impairment of our deferred charge. The Company determines deferred income taxes using the balance sheet method. Under this method, the net deferred tax asset or liability is based on the tax effects of the differences between the book and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and recognizes enacted changes in tax rates and laws in the period in which they occur. Deferred income tax expense results from changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities between periods. Deferred tax assets are recognized subject to

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

management's judgment that realization is "more likely than not." Uncertain tax positions that meet the more likely than not recognition threshold are measured to determine the amount of benefit to recognize. An uncertain tax position is measured at the largest amount of benefit that management believes has a greater than 50% likelihood of realization upon settlement.

The Company is subject to federal income taxes as a regular (Subchapter C) corporation and files a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return.

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal and various state jurisdictions. The Company is subject to routine income tax audits in the various jurisdictions. A subsidiary of the Company recently concluded an examination by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) for tax year 2008. As a result of net operating loss (NOL) carrybacks and the examinations, the Company recorded federal income taxes receivable in the amount of \$162 thousand within discontinued operations. The Company classifies interest and penalties on taxes as provision for income taxes. As of December 31, 2011, the Company has no material uncertain tax positions.

In prior periods when the Company was taxed as a real estate investment trust (REIT), it recorded a deferred charge to eliminate the expense recognition of income taxes paid on inter-Company profits that result from the sale of mortgage loans from the taxable REIT subsidiaries to IMH. The deferred charge is included in other assets in the consolidated balance sheets and is amortized as a component of income tax expense in the consolidated statements of operations over the estimated life of the mortgages retained in the securitized mortgage collateral.

Earnings per Common Share

Basic earnings per common share is computed on the basis of the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the year divided into earnings for the year. Diluted earnings per common share is computed on the basis of the weighted average number of shares and dilutive common equivalent shares outstanding for the year divided by earnings for the year, unless anti-dilutive. Refer to Note 14. *Reconciliation of Earnings Per Share.*

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, *Fair Value Measurement: Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS*. This ASU converges fair value measurement and disclosure guidance in U.S. GAAP with the guidance concurrently issued by the International Accounting Standards Board. While the amendments in ASU 2011-04 do not modify the requirements for when fair value measurements apply, they do generally represent clarifications on how to measure and disclose fair value under ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurement*. This ASU is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and should be applied prospectively. Early adoption is not permitted. ASU 2011-04 may increase our disclosures related to fair value measurements, but will not have an effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Note 2. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The use of fair value to measure the Company's financial instruments is fundamental to its consolidated financial statements and is a critical accounting estimate because a substantial portion of its assets and liabilities are recorded at estimated fair value.

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

FASB ASC 825 requires disclosure of the estimated fair value of certain financial instruments and the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate such fair values. The following table presents the estimated fair value of financial instruments included in the consolidated financial statements as of the dates indicated:

	December 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,653	\$ 7,653	\$ 11,507	\$ 11,507
Restricted cash	5,019	5,019	1,495	1,495
Investment securities available-for-sale	688	688	645	645
Securitized mortgage collateral	5,449,001	5,449,001	6,011,675	6,011,675
Derivative assets, securitized trusts	37	37	40	40
Derivative assets, lending	1,179	1,179	-	-
Mortgage servicing rights	4,141	4,141	1,439	1,439
Mortgage loans held-for-sale	61,718	61,718	4,283	4,283
Call option	253	253	706	706
Liabilities				
Securitized mortgage borrowings	5,454,901	5,454,901	6,012,745	6,012,745
Derivative liabilities, securitized trusts	24,786	24,786	65,916	65,916
Derivative liabilities, lending	624	624	-	-
Long-term debt	11,561	11,561	11,728	11,728
Warehouse borrowings	58,691	58,691	4,057	4,057
Notes payable	5,182	5,941	6,874	6,818
Line of credit	4,000	4,000	-	-
Put option	-	-	61	61

The fair value amounts above have been estimated by management using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. Considerable judgment is required to interpret market data to develop the estimates of fair value in both inactive and orderly markets. Accordingly, the estimates presented are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

For securitized mortgage collateral and securitized mortgage borrowings, the underlying Alt-A residential and commercial loans and mortgage-backed securities market have experienced significant declines in market activity, along with a lack of orderly transactions. The Company's methodology to estimate fair value of these assets and liabilities include the use of internal pricing techniques such as the net present value of future expected cash flows (with observable market participant assumptions, where available) discounted at a rate of return based on the Company's estimates of market participant requirements. The significant assumptions utilized in these internal pricing techniques, which are based

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

on the characteristics of the underlying collateral, include estimated credit losses, estimated prepayment speeds and appropriate discount rates.

Refer to *Recurring Fair Value Measurements* below for a description of the valuation methods used to determine the fair value of investment securities available for sale, securitized mortgage collateral and borrowings, derivative assets and liabilities, long-term debt, mortgage servicing rights, loans held-for-sale, and call and put options.

The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash approximates fair value.

Warehouse borrowings fair value approximates carrying amounts due to the short-term nature of the liabilities and do not present unanticipated interest rate or credit concerns.

Line of credit fair value approximates carrying amount due to the short-term nature of the liability and does not present unanticipated interest rate or credit concerns.

Notes payable includes notes with maturities ranging from less than a year to three years. Notes payable is recorded at amortized cost, net of any discounts. The estimated fair value is determined using a discounted cash flow model using estimated market rates.

Fair Value Hierarchy

The application of fair value measurements may be on a recurring or nonrecurring basis depending on the accounting principles applicable to the specific asset or liability or whether management has elected to carry the item at its estimated fair value.

FASB ASC 820-10-35 specifies a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on whether the inputs to those techniques are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect the Company's market assumptions. These two types of inputs create the following fair value hierarchy:

Level 1 Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical instruments or liabilities that an entity has the ability to assess at measurement date.

Level 2 Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for an asset or liability, including interest rates and yield curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates; and market-corroborated inputs.

Level 3 Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or significant value drivers are unobservable.

This hierarchy requires the Company to use observable market data, when available, and to minimize the use of unobservable inputs when estimating fair value.

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

As a result of the lack of observable market data resulting from inactive markets, the Company has classified its investment securities available-for-sale, mortgage servicing rights, call and put options, securitized mortgage collateral and borrowings, derivative liabilities and long-term debt as Level 3 fair value measurements. Level 3 assets and liabilities were approximately 99% and 100%, respectively, of total assets and total liabilities measured at estimated fair value at December 31, 2011 and 2010.

Recurring Fair Value Measurements

We assess our financial instruments on a quarterly basis to determine the appropriate classification within the fair value hierarchy, as defined by ASC Topic 810. Transfers between fair value classifications occur when there are changes in pricing observability levels. Transfers of financial instruments among the levels occur at the beginning of the reporting period. There were no material transfers between our Level 1 and Level 2 classified instruments during the year ended December 31, 2011.

The following tables present the Company's assets and liabilities that are measured at estimated fair value on a recurring basis, including financial instruments for which the Company has elected the fair value option at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, based on the fair value hierarchy:

	Recurring Fair Value Measurements					
	December 31, 2011			December 31, 2010		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
<u>Assets</u>						
Investment securities available-for-sale	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 688	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 645
Mortgage loans held-for-sale	-	61,718	-	-	4,283	-
Derivative assets, net, lending (1)	-	555	-	-	-	-
Mortgage servicing rights (2)	-	-	4,141	-	-	1,439
Call option (2)	-	-	253	-	-	706
Securitized mortgage collateral	-	-	5,449,001	-	-	6,011,675
Total assets at fair value	\$ -	\$ 62,273	\$ 5,454,083	\$ -	\$ 4,283	\$ 6,014,465
<u>Liabilities</u>						
Securitized mortgage borrowings	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,454,901	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,012,745
Derivative liabilities, net, securitized trusts (3)	-	-	24,749	-	-	65,876
Long-term debt	-	-	11,561	-	-	11,728
Put option (4)	-	-	-	-	-	61
Total liabilities at fair value	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,491,211	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,090,410

- (1) At December 31, 2011, derivative assets, net lending, included \$1.2 million in IRLCs and \$624 thousand in Hedging Instruments, respectively, associated with the Company's mortgage lending operations, and is included in other assets and other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.
- (2) Included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.
- (3) At December 31, 2011, derivative liabilities, net securitized trusts, included \$37 thousand in derivative assets and \$24.8 million in derivative liabilities, included within trust assets and trust liabilities, respectively.

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

At December 31, 2010, derivative liabilities, net securitized trusts, included \$40 thousand in derivative assets and \$65.9 million in derivative liabilities, included within trust assets and trust liabilities, respectively.

(4)

Included in other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

The following tables present a reconciliation for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

Level 3 Recurring Fair Value Measurements
For the year ended December 31, 2011

	Investment securities available- for-sale	Securitized mortgage collateral	Securitized mortgage borrowings	Derivative liabilities, net	Mortgage servicing rights	Call option	Put option	Long- term debt
Fair value, December 31, 2010	\$ 645	\$ 6,011,675	\$(6,012,745)	\$(65,876)	\$ 1,439	\$ 706	\$ (61)	\$(11,728)
Total gains (losses) included in earnings:								
Interest income (1)	136	327,555	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interest expense (1)	-	-	(648,371)	-	-	-	-	(2,151)
Change in fair value	88	(98,683)	133,234	(8,613)	(128)	(453)	61	2,318
Total (losses) gains included in earnings	224	228,872	(515,137)	(8,613)	(128)	(453)	61	167
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Purchases, issuances and settlements								
Purchases	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Issuances	-	-	-	-	2,830	-	-	-
Settlements	(181)	(791,546)	1,072,981	49,740	-	-	-	-
Fair value, December 31, 2011	\$ 688	\$ 5,449,001	\$(5,454,901)	\$(24,749)	\$ 4,141	\$ 253	\$ -	\$(11,561)
Unrealized gains (losses) still held (2)	\$ 530	\$(4,054,073)	\$ 6,039,343	\$(24,089)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 59,202

(1)

Amounts primarily represent accretion to recognize interest income and interest expense using effective yields based on estimated fair values for trust assets and trust liabilities. The total net interest income, including cash received and paid, was \$3.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, as reflected in the accompanying

consolidated statement of operations. The difference between accretion of interest income and expense and the amounts of interest income and expense recognized in the consolidated statements of operations is primarily from contractual interest on the securitized mortgage collateral and borrowings.

F-25

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

- (2) Represents the amount of unrealized gains (losses) relating to assets and liabilities classified as Level 3 that are still held and reflected in the fair values at December 31, 2011.

Level 3 Recurring Fair Value Measurements
For the year ended December 31, 2010

	Investment securities available-for-sale	Securitized mortgage collateral	Securitized mortgage borrowings	Derivative liabilities, net	Mortgage servicing rights	Call option	Put option	Long-term debt
Fair value, December 31, 2009	\$ 813	\$ 5,666,122	\$ (5,659,865)	\$ (126,457)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (9,773)
Total gains (losses) included in earnings:								
Interest income (1)	246	487,039	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interest expense (1)	-	-	(881,355)	-	-	-	-	(2,644)
Change in fair value	69	621,043	(571,688)	(38,314)	118	6	(3)	689
Total (losses) gains included in earnings	315	1,108,082	(1,453,043)	(38,314)	118	6	(3)	(1,955)
Adoption of ASU 2009-17 (2)	(298)	116,907	(110,618)	(9,013)	-	-	-	-
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Purchases, issuances and settlements								
Purchases	-	-	-	-	1,321	700	64	-
Issuances	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Settlements	(185)	(879,436)	1,210,781	107,908	-	-	-	-
Fair value, December 31, 2010	\$ 645	\$ 6,011,675	\$ (6,012,745)	\$ (65,876)	\$ 1,439	\$ 706	\$ 61	\$ (11,728)
Unrealized gains (losses) still held (3)	\$ 401	\$ (4,699,699)	\$ 6,553,235	\$ (66,461)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 59,035

- (1) Amounts primarily represent accretion to recognize interest income and interest expense using effective yields based on estimated fair values for trust assets and trust liabilities. The total net interest income, including cash received and paid, was \$5.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, as reflected in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

- (2)

Amounts represent the consolidation and deconsolidation of trust assets and liabilities as a result of the adoption of ASU 2009-17 on January 1, 2010.

(3)

Represents the amount of unrealized gains (losses) relating to assets and liabilities classified as Level 3 that are still held at December 31, 2010.

F-26

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

The following tables present the changes in recurring fair value measurements included in net earnings for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

Recurring Fair Value Measurements
Changes in Fair Value Included in Net Earnings
For the year ended December 31, 2011
Change in Fair
Value of

	Interest Income (1)	Interest Expense (1)	Net Trust Assets	Long-term Debt	Other Non-interests Income	Mortgage and real estate services fees	Total
Investment securities available-for-sale	\$ 136	\$ -	\$ 88	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 224
Securitized mortgage collateral	327,555	-	(98,683)	-	-	-	228,872
Securitized mortgage borrowings	-	(648,371)	133,234	-	-	-	(515,137)
Mortgage servicing rights	-	-	-	-	(128)	-	(128)
Call option	-	-	-	-	(453)	-	(453)
Put option	-	-	-	-	61	-	61
Derivative liabilities, net	-	-	(8,613)	-	-	-	(8,613)
Long-term debt	-	(2,151)	-	2,318	-	-	167
Mortgage loans held-for-sale	-	-	-	-	-	2,702	2,702
Derivative assets IRLCs	-	-	-	-	-	1,179	1,179
Derivative liabilities Hedging Instruments	-	-	-	-	-	(620)	(620)
Total	\$ 327,691	\$ (650,522)	\$ 26,026	\$ 2,318	\$ (520)	\$ 3,261	\$(291,746)

(1) Amounts primarily represent accretion to recognize interest income and interest expense using effective yields based on estimated fair values for trust assets and trust liabilities.

(2) Included in this amount is \$42.4 million in changes in the fair value of derivative instruments, offset by \$51.0 million in cash payments from the securitization trusts for the year ended December 31, 2011.

(3)

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

For the year ended December 31, 2011, change in the fair value of trust assets, excluding REO was \$26.0 million. Excluded from the \$77.0 million change in fair value of net trust assets, excluding REO, in the accompanying consolidated statement

F-27

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

of cash flows is \$51.0 million in cash payments from the securitization trusts related to the Company's net derivative liabilities.

Recurring Fair Value Measurements
Changes in Fair Value Included in Net Earnings
For the year ended December 31, 2010
Change in Fair Value
of

	Interest Income (1)	Interest Expense (1)	Net Trust Assets	Long-term Debt	Other Non-interest Income	Total	
Investment securities available-for-sale	\$ 246	\$ -	\$ 69	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 315	
Securitized mortgage collateral	487,039	-	621,043	-	-	1,108,082	
Securitized mortgage borrowings	-	(881,355)	(571,688)	-	-	(1,453,043)	
Mortgage servicing rights	-	-	-	-	118	118	
Call option	-	-	-	-	6	6	
Put option	-	-	-	-	3	3	
)				
Derivative liabilities, net	-	-	(38,314)	(2)	-	(38,314)	
Long-term debt	-	(2,644)	-	689	-	(1,955)	
Total	\$ 487,285	\$ (883,999)	\$ 11,110	(3)	\$ 689	\$ 127	\$ (384,788)

- (1) Amounts primarily represent accretion to recognize interest income and interest expense using effective yields based on estimated fair values for trust assets and trust liabilities.
- (2) Included in this amount is \$70.9 million in changes in the fair value of derivative instruments, offset by \$109.2 million in cash payments from the securitization trusts for the year ended December 31, 2010.
- (3) For the year ended December 31, 2010, change in the fair value of trust assets, excluding REO was \$11.1 million. Excluded from the \$120.3 million change in fair value of net trust assets, excluding REO, in the accompanying consolidated statement of cash flows is \$109.2 million in cash payments from the securitization trusts related to the Company's net derivative liabilities.

The following is a description of the measurement techniques for items recorded at estimated fair value on a recurring basis.

Investment securities available-for-sale Investment securities available-for-sale are carried at fair value. The investment securities consist primarily of non-investment grade mortgage-backed securities. The fair value of the investment securities is measured based upon the

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

Company's expectation of inputs that other market participants would use. Such assumptions include judgments about the underlying collateral, prepayment speeds, future credit losses, forward interest rates and certain other factors. Given the market disruption and lack of observable market data as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the estimated fair value of the investment securities available-for-sale was measured using significant internal expectations of market participants' assumptions. Investment securities available-for-sale are considered a Level 3 measurement at December 31, 2011.

Mortgage servicing rights The Company elected to carry all of its mortgage servicing rights arising from its mortgage loan origination operation at fair value. The fair value of mortgage servicing rights is based upon an internal discounted cash flow model. The valuation model incorporates assumptions that market participants would use in estimating the fair value of servicing. These assumptions include estimates of prepayment speeds, discount rate, cost to service, escrow account earnings, contractual servicing fee income, prepayment and late fees, among other considerations. Mortgage servicing rights are considered a Level 3 measurement at December 31, 2011.

F-28

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

Mortgage loans held-for-sale The Company elected to carry its mortgage loans held-for-sale originated from its mortgage loan origination operation at fair value. Fair value is based on quoted market prices, where available, prices for other traded mortgage loans with similar characteristics, and purchase commitments and bid information received from market participants. Given the meaningful level of secondary market activity for conforming mortgage loans, active pricing is available for similar assets and accordingly, the Company classifies its mortgage loans held-for-sale as a Level 2 measurement at December 31, 2011.

Call option As part of the acquisition of AmeriHome as more fully discussed in Note 21. *Business Combinations*, the purchase agreement included a call option to purchase an additional 39% of AmeriHome. The estimated fair value is based on a multinomial model incorporating various assumptions including expected future book value of AmeriHome, the probability of the option being exercised, volatility, expected term and certain other factors. The call option is considered a Level 3 measurement at December 31, 2011.

Put option As part of the acquisition of AmeriHome, a put option which allows the noncontrolling interest holder to sell his remaining 49% of AmeriHome to the Company in the event the Company does not exercise the call option discussed above. The estimated fair value is based on a multinomial model incorporating various assumptions including expected future book value of AmeriHome, the probability of the option being exercised, volatility, expected term and certain other factors. The put option is considered a Level 3 measurement at December 31, 2011.

Securitized mortgage collateral The Company elected to carry all of its securitized mortgage collateral at fair value. These assets consist primarily of non-conforming mortgage loans securitized between 2002 and 2007. Fair value measurements are based on the Company's internal models used to compute the net present value of future expected cash flows, with observable market participant assumptions, where available. The Company's assumptions include its expectations of inputs that other market participants would use in pricing these assets. These assumptions include judgments about the underlying collateral, prepayment speeds, estimated future credit losses, forward interest rates, investor yield requirements and certain other factors. As of December 31, 2011, securitized mortgage collateral had an unpaid principal balance of \$9.5 billion, compared to an estimated fair value of \$5.4 billion. The aggregate unpaid principal balance exceeds the fair value by \$4.1 billion at December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2011, the unpaid principal balance of loans 90 days or more past due was \$1.7 billion compared to an estimated fair value of \$0.5 billion. The aggregate unpaid principal balances of loans 90 days or more past due exceed the fair value by \$1.2 billion at December 31, 2011. Securitized mortgage collateral is considered a Level 3 measurement at December 31, 2011.

Securitized mortgage borrowings The Company elected to carry all of its securitized mortgage borrowings at fair value. These borrowings consist of individual tranches of bonds issued by securitization trusts and are primarily backed by non-conforming mortgage loans. Fair value measurements include the Company's judgments about the underlying collateral and assumptions such as prepayment speeds, estimated future credit losses, forward interest rates, investor yield requirements and certain other factors. As of December 31, 2011, securitized mortgage borrowings had an outstanding principal balance of \$9.6 billion compared to an estimated fair value of \$5.5 billion. The aggregate outstanding principal balance exceeds the fair value by \$4.1 billion at December 31, 2011. Securitized mortgage borrowings is considered a Level 3 measurement at December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

Long-term debt The Company elected to carry all of its long-term debt (consisting of trust preferred securities and junior subordinated notes) at fair value. These securities are measured based upon an analysis prepared by management, which considered the Company's own credit risk, including settlements with trust preferred debt holders and discounted cash flow analysis. As of December 31, 2011, long-term debt had an unpaid principal balance of \$70.5 million compared to an estimated fair value of \$11.6 million. The aggregate unpaid principal balance exceeds the fair value by \$58.9 million at December 31, 2011. The long-term debt is considered a Level 3 measurement at December 31, 2011.

Derivative assets and liabilities, Securitized trusts For non-exchange traded contracts, fair value is based on the amounts that would be required to settle the positions with the related counterparties as of the valuation date. Valuations of derivative assets and liabilities are based on observable market inputs, if available. To the extent observable market inputs are not available, fair values measurements include the Company's judgments about future cash flows, forward interest rates and certain other factors, including counterparty risk. Additionally, these values also take into account the Company's own credit standing, to the extent applicable; thus, the valuation of the derivative instrument includes the estimated value of the net credit differential between the counterparties to the derivative contract. As of December 31, 2011, the notional balance of derivative assets and liabilities, securitized trusts was \$1.5 billion. These derivatives are included in the consolidated securitization trusts, which are nonrecourse to the Company, and thus the economic risk from these derivatives is limited to the Company's residual interests in the securitization trusts. Derivative assets and liabilities, securitized trusts are considered a Level 3 measurement at December 31, 2011.

Derivative assets and liabilities, Lending The Company's derivative assets and liabilities are carried at fair value as required by GAAP and are accounted for as free standing derivatives. The derivative assets are IRLCs with prospective residential mortgage borrowers whereby the interest rate on the loan is determined prior to funding and the borrowers have locked in that interest rate. These commitments are determined to be derivative instruments. The derivative liabilities are Hedging Instruments used to hedge the fair value changes associated with changes in interest rates relating to its mortgage loan origination operations. The Company hedges the period from the interest rate lock (assuming a fall-out factor) to the date of the loan sale. The estimated fair value is based on current market prices for similar instruments. Given the meaningful level of secondary market activity for derivative contracts, active pricing is available for similar assets and accordingly, the Company classifies its derivative assets and liabilities, lending as a Level 2 measurement at December 31, 2011.

Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements

The Company is required to measure certain assets and liabilities at estimated fair value from time to time. These fair value measurements typically result from the application of specific accounting pronouncements under GAAP. The fair value measurements are considered nonrecurring fair value measurements under FASB ASC 820-10.

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

The following tables present financial and non-financial assets and liabilities measured using nonrecurring fair value measurements at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively:

	Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements December 31, 2011			Total Gains (Losses) For the Year Ended December 31, 2011 (5)
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
REO (1)	\$ -	\$ 9,985	\$ -	\$ (16,680)
Investment in limited partnership	-	-	3,580	-
Lease liability (2)	-	-	(2,131)	(566)
Deferred charge (3)	-	-	11,974	(1,170)
Intangible asset (4)	-	-	479	-

- (1) Balance represents REO at December 31, 2011 which have been impaired subsequent to foreclosure. Amounts are included in continuing operations. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the \$16.7 million loss represents additional impairment write-downs during the period.
- (2) Amounts are included in discontinued operations. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company recorded \$566 thousand in losses resulting from changes in lease liabilities as a result of changes in our expected minimum future lease payments.
- (3) Amounts are included in continuing operations. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company recorded \$1.2 million in income tax expense resulting from impairment write-downs based on changes in estimated cash flows and lives of the related mortgages retained in the securitized mortgage collateral.
- (4) Amount is included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.
- (5) Total losses reflect losses from all nonrecurring measurements during the period.

	Non-recurring Fair Value Measurements December 31, 2010			Total Gains (Losses) For the Year Ended December 31, 2010 (6)
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Mortgage loans held-for-sale (1)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (218)
REO (2)	-	68,830	-	(7,526)
Investment in limited partnership	-	-	4,259	-
Lease liability (3)	-	-	(2,226)	284
Deferred charge (4)	-	-	13,144	-
Intangible asset (5)	-	-	1,000	-

- (1) Relates to Alt-A loans held-for-sale included in assets of discontinued operations.
- (2)

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the \$7.5 million loss during the period included \$7.9 million of additional impairment write-downs and \$355 thousand in recoveries within continuing and discontinued operations, respectively.

F-31

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

- (3) Amounts are included in discontinued operations. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company recorded \$284 thousand in recoveries resulting from changes in lease liabilities as a result of changes in our expected minimum future lease payments, respectively.
- (4) Amounts are included in continuing operations. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company recorded zero income tax expense resulting from impairment write-downs based on changes in estimated cash flows and lives of the related mortgages retained in the securitized mortgage collateral.
- (5) Amount is included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.
- (6) Total gains (losses) reflect gains and losses from all nonrecurring measurements during the period.

Loans held-for-sale Loans held-for-sale (included in assets of discontinued operations) for which the fair value option was not elected are carried at the lower of cost or market (LOCOM). When available, such measurements are based upon what secondary markets offer for portfolios with similar characteristics, and are considered Level 2 measurements. If market pricing is not available, such measurements are significantly impacted by the Company's expectations of other market participants' assumptions, and are considered Level 3 measurements. The Company utilizes internal pricing processes to estimate the fair value of these loans, which is based on recent loan sales and estimates of the fair value of the underlying collateral. Loans held-for-sale from the discontinued non-conforming lending division is considered Level 3 fair value measurements at December 31, 2011.

Real estate owned REO consists of residential real estate acquired in satisfaction of loans. Upon foreclosure, REO is adjusted to the estimated fair value of the residential real estate less estimated selling and holding costs, offset by expected contractual mortgage insurance proceeds to be received, if any. Subsequently, REO is recorded at the lower of carrying value or estimated fair value less costs to sell. REO balance representing REOs which have been impaired subsequent to foreclosure are subject to nonrecurring fair value measurement and included in the nonrecurring fair value measurements tables. Fair values of REO are generally based on observable market inputs, and considered Level 2 measurements at December 31, 2011.

Investment in limited partnership The investment in limited partnership represents an investment the Company made in a non-affiliated limited partnership fund that invests primarily in mortgage and mortgage-related financial institutions. The investment is accounted for using the equity method of accounting. The Company records its share of the profits or losses based upon its relative ownership percentage. The carrying value of the investment in limited partnership is based upon information received from the fund manager and approximates fair value. Investment in limited partnership is considered a Level 3 measurement at December 31, 2011.

Lease liability In connection with the discontinuation of our non-conforming mortgage, retail mortgage, warehouse lending and commercial operations, a significant amount of office space that was previously occupied is no longer being used by the Company. The Company has subleased a significant amount of this office space. The Company has recorded a liability, included within discontinued operations, representing the present value of the minimum lease payments over the remaining life of the lease, offset by the expected proceeds from sublet revenue related to this office space. This liability is based on present value techniques that incorporate the Company's judgments about estimated sublet revenue and discount rates. Therefore, this liability is considered a Level 3 measurement at December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

Deferred charge Deferred charge represents the deferral of income tax expense on inter-company profits that resulted from the sale of mortgages from taxable subsidiaries to IMH in prior years. The deferred charge is amortized as a component of income tax expense over the estimated life of the mortgages retained in the securitized mortgage collateral. The Company evaluates the deferred charge for impairment quarterly using internal estimates of estimated cash flows and lives of the related mortgages retained in the securitized mortgage collateral. If the deferred charge is determined to be impaired, it is amortized as a component of income tax expense. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company recorded \$1.2 million in income tax expense resulting from deferred charge impairment write-downs based on changes in estimated fair value of securitized mortgage collateral. Deferred charge is considered a Level 3 measurement at December 31, 2011.

Intangible asset Intangible assets deemed to have an indefinite life are tested annually for impairment, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. Impairment losses are recognized if carrying amount of an intangible asset exceeds its estimated fair value. Intangible asset, which is included in other assets of continuing operations, is considered a Level 3 measurement at December 31, 2011.

Note 3. Securitized Mortgage Collateral

Securitized mortgage collateral consisted of the following:

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Mortgages secured by residential real estate	\$ 8,233,567	\$ 9,178,409
Mortgages secured by commercial real estate	1,269,507	1,532,965
Fair value adjustment	(4,054,073)	(4,699,699)
 Total securitized mortgage collateral	 \$ 5,449,001	 \$ 6,011,675

The Company had troubled debt restructurings during 2011 and 2010, which are included in change in fair value of net trust assets.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company was a master servicer of mortgages for others of approximately \$1.5 billion that were primarily collateralizing REMIC securitizations, compared to \$1.8 billion at December 31, 2010. Related fiduciary funds are held in trust for investors in non-interest bearing accounts and therefore not included in the Company's consolidated balance sheets. The Company may also be required to advance funds or cause loan servicers to advance funds to cover principal and interest payments not received from borrowers depending on the status of their mortgages. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, advances totaled \$539 thousand and \$396 thousand, respectively.

Note 4. Derivative Instruments*Derivative Assets and Liabilities, Securitization Trusts*

As of December 31, 2011, the net derivative liability included in the securitization trusts was \$24.7 million, as compared to \$65.9 million at December 31, 2010. As of December 31, 2011, the

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

notional balance of derivative assets and liabilities, securitized trusts was \$1.5 billion. The derivative values are based on the net present value of cash receipts or payments expected to be received or paid by the bankruptcy remote trusts. The fair value of the derivatives fluctuates with changes in the future expectation of cash receipts or payments based on notional balances and estimated LIBOR rates.

On September 15, 2008, Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. (LBHI) filed a petition for protection under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. As of that date, LBHI, through affiliated companies, was an interest rate swap counterparty to several of the Company's CMO and REMIC securitizations. At December 31, 2011, the estimated fair value of derivatives with LBHI, through its affiliated companies was \$2.5 million as compared to \$15.7 million at December 31, 2010, and is included in derivative liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. As the related securitization trusts are non-recourse to the Company, the Company is not required to replace or otherwise settle any derivative positions affected by counterparty default within the consolidated trusts.

Derivative Assets and Liabilities, Lending

The mortgage lending operations enters into IRLC and Hedging Instruments to hedge the fair value changes associated with changes in interest rates relating to its mortgage loan origination operations. The fair value of IRLCs and Hedging Instruments are included in other assets and other liabilities, respectively, in the consolidated balance sheets. As of December 31, 2011, the net derivative asset associated with mortgage lending was \$555 thousand.

The following table includes information for the derivative assets and liabilities, lending for the periods presented:

		Notional Balance		Total Gains (Losses)
		December 31, 2011		For the Year Ended
				December 31, 2011 (1)
Derivative assets	IRLC's	\$	73,411	\$ 1,179
Derivative liabilities	TBA/FNMA's		67,973	(6,629)

(1) Amounts included in mortgage and real estate services fees within the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Other Derivatives

As part of the acquisition of AmeriHome, as more fully discussed in Note 21. *Business Combinations*, the purchase agreement included a call and put option. The call option allows the Company to purchase an additional 39% of AmeriHome anytime between January 1, 2011 and December 31, 2013. Insofar that the Company does not exercise the call option, the Company has written a put option to the founder of AmeriHome that provides the founder with the right to require the Company to acquire the remaining 49% of AmeriHome. These options are considered derivative instruments and recorded at fair value using a multinomial option pricing model. The fair value of the options are included in other assets and other liabilities, in the consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)**Note 5. Real Estate Owned (REO)**

The Company's REO consisted of the following:

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
REO	\$ 75,418	\$ 122,279
Impairment (1)	(18,951)	(29,499)
Ending balance	\$ 56,467	\$ 92,780
REO inside trusts	\$ 56,467	\$ 92,708
REO outside trusts (2)	-	72
Total	\$ 56,467	\$ 92,780

(1) Impairment represents the cumulative write-downs of net realizable value subsequent to foreclosure.

(2) Amount represents REO related to former on-balance sheet securitizations, which were collapsed as the result of the Company exercising its clean-up call options. This REO is included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Note 6. Mortgage Loans held-for-Sale

A summary of the unpaid principal balance of mortgage loans held-for-sale by type is presented below:

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Government	\$ 30,917	\$ 1,943
Conventional	26,487	2,255
Jumbo	1,532	-
Fair value adjustment	2,782	85
Total mortgage loans held-for-sale	\$ 61,718	\$ 4,283

The Company does not have any delinquent or nonaccrual loans as of December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

Gain on LHFS (included in mortgage and real estate services fees in the consolidated statements of operations) is comprised of the following for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Gain on sale of mortgage loans	\$ 23,053	\$ 236
Premium from servicing retained loan sales	2,830	-
Unrealized gains (losses) from derivative financial instruments	559	-
Realized gains (losses) from derivative financial instruments	(6,010)	-
Mark to market gain on LHFS	2,702	58
Direct origination (expenses) fees, net	(8,421)	547
Provision for repurchases	(525)	(78)
Total gain on LHFS	\$ 14,188	\$ 763

The activity related to the continuing operations repurchase reserve for previously sold loans for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

	For the year ended	
	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Beginning balance	\$ 78	\$ -
Provision for repurchases	525	78
Settlements	-	-
Total repurchase reserve	\$ 603	\$ 78

Note 7. Other Assets*Other Assets*

Other assets consisted of the following:

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Deferred charge (See Note 1)	\$ 11,974	\$ 13,144
Mortgage servicing rights	4,141	1,439
Investment in limited partnership	3,580	4,259
Accounts receivable	3,096	2,550
Premises and equipment, net	2,958	3,227
Prepaid expenses	1,493	2,834
Other	3,951	3,760
Total other assets	\$ 31,193	\$ 31,213

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)***Mortgage Servicing Rights*

The Company recognizes as assets the rights to service mortgage loans based on the estimated fair value of the mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) when the loans are sold and the associated servicing rights are retained. MSRs are derived from the net positive cash flows associated with the servicing contracts. The Company receives servicing fees, less subservicing costs, on the unpaid principal balances (UPB) of the loans. The servicing fees are collected from the monthly payments made by the mortgagors or when the underlying real estate is foreclosed upon and liquidated. The Company generally receives other remuneration from rights to various mortgagor-contracted fees such as late charges, collateral reconveyance charges, nonsufficient fund fees and the Company is generally entitled to retain the interest earned on funds held pending remittance (or float) related to its collection of mortgagor principal, interest, tax and insurance payments.

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company serviced approximately \$605.4 million and \$173.9 million, respectively, in UPB of loans with the following characteristics:

	Outstanding Principal Balance	
	2011	2010
Government	\$ 102,869	\$ 45,161
Conventional	435,103	128,742
Other	67,449	-
 Total loans serviced	 \$ 605,421	 \$ 173,903

Investment in Limited Partnership

The investment in limited partnership represents an investment the Company made in a non-affiliated limited partnership fund that invests primarily in mortgage and mortgage-related financial institutions. The investment is accounted for using the equity method of accounting. The Company records its share of the profits and losses based upon its relative ownership percentage. The carrying value of the investment in limited partnership is based upon information received from the fund manager and approximates fair value. The limited partnership agreement has a term of five years. The general partner has the option to extend the term for two consecutive one-year periods. The second year of the extended term ends in May 2013. As of December 31, 2011, there are no outstanding commitments to fund the investment.

Premises and Equipment, net

Premises and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation or amortization. Depreciation on premises and equipment is recorded using the straight-line method over the estimated

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

useful lives of individual assets, typically three to twenty years. Premises and equipment and accumulated depreciation were as follows as of the dates indicated:

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Premises and equipment	\$ 12,732	\$ 11,938
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(9,774)	(8,711)
Total premises and equipment, net	\$ 2,958	\$ 3,227

Note 8. Securitized Mortgage Borrowings

Selected information on securitized mortgage borrowings for the periods indicated consisted of the following (dollars in millions):

Year of Issuance	Original Issuance Amount	Securitized mortgage borrowings outstanding as of December 31,		Range of Interest Rates:		
		2011	2010	Fixed Interest Rates	Interest Rate Margins over One-Month LIBOR (1)	Interest Rate Margins after Contractual Call Date (2)
2002	\$ 3,876.1	\$ 21.5	\$ 24.1	5.25 - 12.00	0.27 - 2.75	0.54 - 3.68
2003	5,966.1	181.2	222.5	4.34 - 12.75	0.27 - 3.00	0.54 - 4.50
2004	17,710.7	1,363.4	1,529.5	3.58 - 5.56	0.25 - 2.50	0.50 - 3.75
2005	13,387.7	3,568.6	3,883.3	-	0.24 - 2.90	0.48 - 4.35
2006	5,971.4	3,895.9	4,219.1	6.25	0.10 - 2.75	0.20 - 4.13
2007	3,860.5	2,463.6	2,687.4	-	0.06 - 2.00	0.12 - 3.00
Subtotal securitized mortgage borrowings		11,494.2	12,565.9			
Fair value adjustment		(6,039.3)	(6,553.2)			
Total securitized mortgage borrowings		\$ 5,454.9	\$ 6,012.7			

(1) One-month LIBOR was 0.27% as of December 31, 2011.

(2) Interest rate margins are generally adjusted when the unpaid principal balance is reduced to less than 10-20% of the original issuance amount, or if certain other triggers are met.

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

As of December 31, 2011, expected principal reductions of the securitized mortgage borrowings, which is based on contractual principal payments and expected prepayment and loss assumptions for securitized mortgage collateral, was as follows (dollars in millions):

	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		Less Than One Year	One to Three Years	Three to Five Years	More Than Five Years
Securitized mortgage borrowings	\$ 11,494.2	\$ 981.5	\$ 1,545.8	\$ 1,166.6	\$ 7,800.3

Note 9. Warehouse Borrowings

The Company, through IRES and its subsidiaries, enters into Master Repurchase Agreements with lenders providing warehouse facilities. The warehouse facilities are used to fund, and are secured by, residential mortgage loans that are held for sale.

At December 31, 2011, the Company was in compliance with all financial covenants.

The following table presents certain information on warehouse borrowings for the periods indicated:

	Maximum Borrowing Capacity	Allowable Advance Rates (%)	Balance Outstanding At December 31,		Maturity Date
			2011	2010	
Short-term borrowings:					
Repurchase agreement 1 (1)	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ 477	June 30, 2012
Repurchase agreement 2 (2)	-	-	-	1,800	May 25, 2011
Repurchase agreement 3 (3)	32,500	98	20,163	1,780	June 29, 2012
Repurchase agreement 4 (4)	30,000	95-98	24,769	-	September 30, 2012
Repurchase agreement 5 (5)	25,000	98	13,759	-	August 29, 2012
Total short-term borrowings	\$ 87,500		\$ 58,691	\$ 4,057	

-
- (1) In September 2011, the Company elected to terminate the agreement.
- (2) In May 2011, the agreement terminated. The Company elected not to renew the agreement.
- (3) Rate range is one-month LIBOR plus 3.5%.
- (4) Rate range is prime plus 1.5%.
- (5) Rate range is one-month LIBOR plus 3.25%, with a floor of 4%.

Note 10. Long-term Debt

Trust Preferred Securities

During 2005, the Company formed four wholly-owned trust subsidiaries (Trusts) for the purpose of issuing an aggregate of \$99.2 million of trust preferred securities (the Trust Preferred Securities). All proceeds from the sale of the Trust Preferred Securities and the common securities issued by the Trusts were originally invested in \$96.3 million of junior subordinated debentures (subordinated debentures),

F-39

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

which became the sole assets of the Trusts. The Trusts pay dividends on the Trust Preferred Securities at the same rate as paid by the Company on the debentures held by the Trusts.

The Company carries its Trust Preferred Securities at estimated fair value as more fully described in Note 2. *Fair Value of Financial Instruments*. The following table shows the remaining principal balance and fair value of Trust Preferred Securities issued as of December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Trust preferred securities (1)	\$ 8,500	\$ 8,500
Common securities	263	263
Fair value adjustment	(6,712)	(6,345)
Total	\$ 2,051	\$ 2,418

(1)

Stated maturity of July 30, 2035. Redeemable at par at any time after July 30, 2010. Requires quarterly distributions initially at a fixed rate of 8.55% per annum through July 30, 2010 and thereafter at a variable rate of three-month LIBOR plus 3.75% per annum. At December 31, 2011, the interest rate was 4.18%.

If an event of default occurs (such as a payment default that is outstanding for 30 days, a default in performance, a breach of any covenant or representation, bankruptcy or insolvency of the Company or liquidation or dissolution of the Trust), either the trustee of the Notes or the holders of at least 25% of the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding Notes may declare the principal amount of, and all accrued interest on, all the Notes to be due and payable immediately, or if the holders of the Notes fail to make such declaration, the holders of at least 25% in aggregate liquidation amount of the Trust Preferred Securities outstanding shall have a right to make such declaration.

Junior Subordinated Notes

The Company carries its Junior Subordinated Debt at estimated fair value as more fully described in Note 2. *Fair Value of Financial Instruments*. The following table shows the remaining principal balance and fair value of junior subordinated notes issued as of December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Junior subordinated notes	\$ 62,000	\$ 62,000
Fair value adjustment	(52,490)	(52,690)
Total	\$ 9,510	\$ 9,310

(1)

Stated maturity of March, 2034. Requires quarterly distributions initially at a fixed rate of 2.00% per annum through December, 2013 with increases of 1.00% per year through 2017. Starting in 2018, the interest rates become variable at 3-month LIBOR plus 3.75% per annum.

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

Note 11. Note Payable

Note payable Debt Agreement

In May 2011, the Company entered into a \$10.3 million structured debt agreement using seven of the Company's residual interests (net trust assets) as collateral with the same note holder as the previous debt agreement. The Company used a portion of the proceeds to pay off the \$4.0 million balance owed on the previous debt agreement. The Company received proceeds of \$4.8 million, net of the aforementioned payoff, \$1.4 million discount and transaction costs of approximately \$50 thousand.

The structured debt agreement is evidenced by an Indenture with Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as trustee. It bears interest at a fixed rate of 10% per annum with an effective yield of approximately 28% and is amortized in equal principal payments over 18 months with all distributions from the underlying residual interests being used to make the monthly payments, and was recorded as a note payable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. If the cumulative cash flows received from the collateralized residual interests are not sufficient to pay the required monthly principal and interest the Company would be required to pay the difference to avoid the transfer of the residual interests and the rights to the associated future cash flows to the note holder. Any excess cash flows from the residual interests are included in a reserve account, which is available to cover future shortfalls. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company received \$4.5 million in excess cash flows from the residual interests collateralizing the note payable. The \$4.5 million in excess cash flows is included in restricted cash on the consolidated balance sheets. If the amount of restricted cash becomes sufficient to satisfy the remaining obligation the note payable can be paid off and the residuals listed as security are released. The carrying value of the debt agreement at December 31, 2011 was \$5.2 million, net of a \$513 thousand discount, and was current as to principal and interest payments.

Subsequent to December 31, 2011, the Company entered into a new \$7.5 million structured debt agreement using eight of the Company's residual interests (net trust assets) as collateral (see Note 23. *Subsequent Events*).

Note 12. Line of Credit Agreement

In April 2011, the Company, through its subsidiaries, entered into a \$2.0 million working capital line of credit agreement with a national bank at an interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 3.5%. At December 31, 2011, the interest rate was 3.77%. In November 2011, the working capital line of credit was increased to \$4.0 million. The agreement expires in April 2012 and under the terms of the agreement the Company and its subsidiaries are required to maintain various financial and other covenants. There was \$4.0 million outstanding on the working capital line of credit as of December 31, 2011, and the Company was not in compliance with a capital expenditure limitation on the working capital line of credit, however the Company received a waiver. The working capital line of credit is included in other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.

Note 13. Redeemable Preferred Stock

At December 31, 2011, the Company has outstanding \$52.3 million liquidation preference of Series B and Series C Preferred Stock. The holders of each series of Preferred Stock retain the right to a \$25.00/share liquidation preference in the event of a liquidation of the Company and the right to receive dividends on the Preferred Stock if any such dividends are declared.

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)**Note 14. Reconciliation of Earnings Per Share**

The following table presents the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share, including the dilutive effect of stock options and cumulative redeemable preferred stock outstanding for the periods indicated:

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2011	2010
Numerator for basic earnings (loss) per share:		
Earnings from continuing operations	\$ 5,729	\$ 7,599
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	573	457
Earnings from continuing operations attributable to IMH	6,302	8,056
(Loss) earnings from discontinued operations	(3,078)	2,238
Earnings per share available to common stockholders	\$ 3,224	\$ 10,294
Denominator for basic earnings (loss) per share (1):		
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding during the year	7,802	7,739
Denominator for diluted earnings (loss) per share (1):		
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding during the year	7,802	7,739
Net effect of dilutive stock options and RSU's	545	585
Diluted weighted average common shares	8,347	8,324
Earnings (loss) per common share basic:		
Earnings from continuing operations attributable to IMH	\$ 0.81	\$ 1.04
(Loss) earnings from discontinued operations	(0.40)	0.29
Net earnings per share available to common stockholders	\$ 0.41	\$ 1.33
Earnings (loss) per common share diluted:		
Earnings from continuing operations attributable to IMH	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.97
(Loss) earnings from discontinued operations	(0.37)	0.27
Net earnings per share available to common stockholders	\$ 0.39	\$ 1.24

(1) Share amounts presented in thousands.

The anti-dilutive stock options outstanding for the years ending December 31, 2011 and 2010 were 518 thousand and 130 thousand shares, respectively.

Note 15. Income Taxes

Edgar Filing: IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-K

The Company is subject to federal income taxes as a regular (Subchapter C) corporation and files a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return.

F-42

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)**

Income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were as follows:

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2011	2010
Current income taxes:		
Federal	\$ 1,170	\$ -
State	24	205
Total current income taxes	1,194	205
Deferred income taxes:		
Federal	-	-
State	-	-
Total deferred income taxes	-	-
Total income tax expense	\$ 1,194	\$ 205

The Company recorded income tax expense of \$1.2 million and \$205 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The income tax expense for 2011 is primarily the result of the amount of the deferred charge amortized and/or impaired resulting from credit losses, which does not result in any tax liability required to be paid. The deferred charge represents the deferral of income tax expense on inter-company profits that resulted from the sale of mortgages from taxable subsidiaries to IMH prior to 2008. The deferred charge is included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and is amortized as a component of income tax expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations over the estimated life of the mortgages retained in the securitized mortgage collateral. Additionally, the Company recorded federal income taxes receivable in the amount of \$162 thousand within discontinued operations.

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

Deferred tax assets are comprised of the following temporary differences between the financial statement carrying value and the tax basis of assets:

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2011	2010
Deferred tax assets:		
Fair value and REMIC transactions (1)	\$ 417,928	\$ 407,328
Federal and state net operating losses	95,527	117,752
Derivative liabilities	6,605	24,162
Real estate owned	10,380	20,718
Depreciation and amortization	1,200	714
Other	1,916	1,952
Total gross deferred tax assets	533,556	572,626
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Non-accrual loans	(2,529)	(2,529)
Valuation allowance	(531,027)	(570,097)
Total net deferred tax asset	\$ -	\$ -

(1) Includes (i) Change in fair value of net trust assets and (ii) Gain from REMIC transactions tax versus book difference.

The following is a reconciliation of income taxes to the expected statutory federal corporate income tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2011	2010
Expected income tax	\$ 2,624	\$ 2,891
State tax, net of federal benefit	16	133
Change in valuation allowance	(2,643)	(2,826)
Deferred charge	1,170	-
Other	27	7
Total income tax expense	\$ 1,194	\$ 205

As of December 31, 2011, the Company had estimated federal and California net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$498.7 million and \$468.2 million, respectively, of which approximately \$280.0 million (federal) relate to discontinued operations. During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, estimated net operating loss carryforwards were reduced as a result of the Company generating taxable income from cancellation of debt in the amount of approximately \$39.2 million and \$426.2 million, respectively, of securitized mortgage borrowings. Federal and state net operating loss carryforwards begin to expire in 2020 and 2017, respectively. The use of NOL carryforwards in California have been suspended.

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

The Company has recorded a full valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets as management believes that as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be recoverable.

At December 31, 2011 discontinued operations had gross deferred tax assets of \$118.0 million which had a full valuation allowance.

The Company files numerous tax returns in various jurisdictions. While the Company is subject to examination by various taxing authorities, the Company believes there are no unresolved issues or claims likely to be material to its financial position. A subsidiary of the Company has been examined by the IRS for tax years 2006 and 2008. The subsidiary filed a consent to extend the statute of limitations for year 2008 until December 31, 2013. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company has no material uncertain tax positions.

Note 16. Segment Reporting

The Company has three reporting segments, consisting of the long-term mortgage portfolio, mortgage and real estate services and discontinued operations. The following table presents the selected balance sheet data by reporting segment as of the dates indicated:

Balance Sheet Items as of December 31, 2011:	Mortgage and Real Estate Services Discontinued Operations				Reclassifications (1)	Consolidated
	Long-term Portfolio	Real Estate Services	Discontinued Operations			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ -	\$ 7,685	\$ 12	\$ (44)	\$	7,653
Restricted cash	4,450	569	-	-		5,019
Trust assets	5,506,193	-	-	-		5,506,193
Mortgage loans held-for-sale	-	61,718	-	-		61,718
Other assets	18,824	12,369	252	12		31,457
Total assets	5,529,467	82,341	264	(32)		5,612,040
Total liabilities	5,502,175	68,868	9,932	(32)		5,580,943
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	27,292	13,473	(9,668)	-		31,097
Balance Sheet Items as of December 31, 2010:						
Cash and cash equivalents	-	12,259	113	(865)		11,507
Restricted cash	-	1,495	91	(91)		1,495
Trust assets	6,105,068	-	-	-		6,105,068
Mortgage loans held-for-sale	-	4,283	-	-		4,283
Other assets	18,526	12,687	169	204		31,586
Total assets	6,123,594	30,724	373	(752)		6,153,939
Total liabilities	6,101,157	12,784	13,053	(752)		6,126,242
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	22,437	17,940	(12,680)	-		27,697

(1)

Amounts represent reclassifications of balances within the discontinued operations segment to reflect balances within continuing operations as presented in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

The following table presents selected statement of operations information by reporting segment for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

Statement of Operations Items for the year ended December 31, 2011:	Long-term Portfolio	Mortgage and Real Estate Services	Discontinued Operations	Reclassifications (1)	Consolidated
Net interest income	\$ 3,582	\$ (5)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,577
Non-interest income net trust assets	9,439	-	-	-	9,439
Change in fair value of long-term debt	2,318	-	-	-	2,318
Mortgage and real estate services fees	-	56,664	-	-	56,664
Other non-interest (expense) income	(347)	1,419	(1,783)	1,783	1,072
Non-interest expense and income taxes	(17,076)	(50,265)	(1,295)	1,295	(67,341)
(Loss) earnings from continuing operations	\$ (2,084)	\$ 7,813			5,729
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax			\$ (3,078)		(3,078)
Net earnings					\$ 2,651
Statement of Operations Items for the year ended December 31, 2010:					
Net interest income	\$ 5,691	\$ 19	\$ 61	\$ (61)	\$ 5,710
Non-interest income net trust assets	4,312	-	-	-	4,312
Change in fair value of long-term debt	689	-	-	-	689
Mortgage and real estate services fees	-	57,168	-	-	57,168
Other non-interest income (expense)	1,168	127	704	(704)	1,295
Non-interest expense and income taxes	(17,667)	(43,908)	1,473	(1,473)	(61,575)
(Loss) earnings from continuing operations	\$ (5,807)	\$ 13,406			7,599
Earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax			\$ 2,238		2,238
Net earnings					\$ 9,837

- (1) Amounts represent reclassifications of balances within the discontinued operations segment to reflect balances within continuing operations as presented in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

Note 17. Commitments and Contingencies (Continuing and Discontinued Operations)

Legal Proceedings

The Company is a defendant in or a party to a number of legal actions or proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business. In some of these actions and proceedings, claims for monetary damages are asserted against the Company. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of such legal actions and proceedings, the Company generally cannot predict what the eventual outcome of the pending matters will be, what the timing of the ultimate resolution of these matters will be, or what the eventual loss related to each pending matter may be, if any.

In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, the Company establishes an accrued liability for litigation when those matters present loss contingencies that are both probable and estimable. In any cases, there may be an exposure to losses in excess of any such amounts whether accrued or not. Any estimated loss is subject to significant judgment and is based upon currently available information, a variety of assumptions, and known and unknown uncertainties. The matters underlying the estimated loss will change from time to time, and actual results may vary significantly from the current estimate. Therefore, an estimate of possible loss represents what the Company believes to be an estimate of possible loss only for certain matters meeting these criteria. It does not represent the Company's maximum loss exposure. At December 31, 2011, the Company does not have an accrued liability recorded for such estimated loss exposure.

Based on the Company's current understanding of these pending legal actions and proceedings, management does not believe that judgments or settlements arising from pending or threatened legal matters, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position, operating results or cash flows of the Company. However, in light of the inherent uncertainties involved in these matters, some of which are beyond the Company's control, and the very large or indeterminate damages sought in some of these matters, an adverse outcome in one or more of these matters could be material to the Company's results of operations or cash flows for any particular reporting period.

The legal matters summarized below are ongoing and may have an effect on the Company's business and future financial condition and results of operations:

On December 7, 2011 an action was filed in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City entitled Curtis J. Timm, on behalf of himself and all persons similarly situated v. Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc, et al as Case No. 24-c-11-008391. The complaint alleges on behalf of Preferred B and C shareholders who did not tender their stock previously that Impac failed to achieve the required consent of the Preferred stock classes, the consents to amend the Preferred stock was not effective because it was given on unissued stock (after redemption), it tied the tender offer with a consent requirement which constitutes an improper "vote buying" scheme, and that the tender offer was a breach of a fiduciary duty. The action seeks two quarterly payments of dividends for the Preferred holders, a declaratory judgment to unwind the consents and reinstate the cumulative dividend on the Preferred stock and to set a date for the election of two directors by the Preferred holders. The action also seeks punitive damages and legal expenses.

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

In October 2011, the Company received notices of claims for indemnification relating to mortgage backed securities bonds issued, originated or sold by Impac Secured Assets Corp., Impac Funding Corporation, IMH Assets Corp. and Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. from Countrywide Securities Corporation (Countrywide) and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated (Merrill Lynch). The claims seek indemnification from claims asserted against Countrywide and Merrill Lynch in specified legal actions entitled American International Group Inc. v. Bank of America Corp., et al Case No. 1:11-cv-06212 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York and Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston v. Ally Financial, Inc., et al Case No. 11-cv-10952 in the Superior Court Department of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. The notices each seek indemnification for all losses, liabilities, damages and legal fees and costs incurred in those actions.

On May 26, 2011, a matter was filed in the United States District Court, Central District of California as Case No. CV11-4514 DSF entitled Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. v. Impac Secured Assets Corp., Impac Funding Corporation and Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. The action alleges a violation of Section 18 and Section 20 of the Securities and Act of 1933 and negligent misrepresentation, all involved in the issuance and sale of bonds from a securitization trust. The plaintiff alleges they relied on certain documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) that were subsequently the subject of an amended filing. The matter seeks unspecified damages, interest, legal fees and litigation expenses.

On May 6, 2011 a case entitled Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company v. Impac Funding Corporation, et al was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts as Case No. 3:11-cv-30127. The complaint names Impac Funding Corporation and Impac Secured Assets Corporation along with two of their officers as defendants. The action alleges violations of the Massachusetts Uniform Securities Act and the plaintiff seeks rescission or damages for their purchase of bonds from two securitization trusts issued by the Defendants. The plaintiff alleges that the loans deposited into the trusts did not meet the representations made in the offering documents when they purchased their bonds. On February 14, 2012 the court entered an order granting the motion of Impac Funding Corporation, Impac Secured Assets Corporation and the two individuals request to dismiss them from the litigation.

On or about April 20, 2011, an action was filed in the Superior Court of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts as case No. B.L.S. 11-1533 entitled Federal Home Loan bank of Boston v. Ally financial Inc., et al. Named as defendants in that action are IMH Assets Corp, Impac Funding Corporation, Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc. and Impac Secured Assets Corp. The complaint alleges misrepresentations in connection with the materials used to market mortgage backed securities that the plaintiff purchased. The complaint seeks damages and attorney's fees in an amount to be established at time of trial.

Gilmor, et al. v. Preferred Credit Corp., et. al., Case No. 4:10-CV-00189 (Gilmor), currently pending in the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri, is a putative class action against Preferred Credit and others charging violations of Missouri's Second Mortgage Loan Act. In a Sixth Amended Complaint ("Complaint"), plaintiffs Michael P. and Shellie Gilmor and others bring suit against Preferred Credit, as the originator of various second mortgage loans in Missouri, and against: IMPAC Funding Corporation; IMPAC Mortgage Holdings; IMPAC Secured Assets; IMPAC Secured Assets CMN Trust Series 1998-1 Collateralized Asset-Backed Notes, Series 1998-1; IMH Assets Corp; Impac CMB Trust Series 1999-1; Impac CMB Trust Series 1999-2; Impac CMB Trust Series 2000-1; Impac CMB Trust

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

Series 2000-2; Impac CMB Trust Series 2001-4; Impac CMB Trust Series 2002-1; Impac CMB Trust Series 2003-5, (collectively, the "IMPAC Defendants"), among numerous others, as alleged holders of notes associated with second mortgage loans originated by Preferred Credit. Plaintiffs complain that at closing Preferred Credit charged them fees and costs in violation of Missouri's Second Mortgage Loan Act. Additionally, Plaintiffs obtained certification of a class of all persons similarly situated. Plaintiffs allege that the IMPAC Defendants are liable to Plaintiffs and members of the putative class as alleged holders of notes associated with second mortgage loans originated by Preferred Credit. Plaintiffs seek on behalf of themselves and the members of the putative class, among other things, disgorgement or restitution of all improperly collected charges, the right to rescind all affected loan transactions, the right to offset any finance charges, closing costs, points or other loan fees paid against the principal amounts due on the loans if rescinded, actual and punitive damages, and attorneys' fees. Plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification, which was granted. On February 26, 2010, U.S. Bank National Association ND and other defendants removed the case to federal court. The case remains pending in federal court. Trial is scheduled to commence on August 13, 2012.

Baker, et al. v. Century Financial Group, et al., Case No. 4:04-CV-W-0201-SOW (Baker), currently pending in the Circuit Court of Clay County, Missouri, is a putative class action against Century Financial and others charging violations of Missouri's Second Mortgage Loan Act. In particular, in a Fourth Amended Complaint ("Complaint"), Plaintiffs James and Jill Baker and others bring suit against Century Financial, as the originator of various second mortgage loans in Missouri, and against IMPAC Funding Corporation, IMH Assets Corporation, IMPAC Mortgage Holdings, Inc., IMPAC Secured Assets Corporation, and two terminated IMPAC trusts (collectively, the "IMPAC Defendants"), among others, as alleged holders of notes associated with second mortgage loans originated by Century Financial. The Plaintiffs' allegations are similar to those asserted by the Plaintiffs in the Gilmore action, discussed above. Plaintiffs seek on behalf of themselves and the members of the putative class, among other things, disgorgement or restitution of all allegedly improperly-collected charges, the right to rescind all affected loan transactions, the right to offset any finance charges, closing costs, points or other loan fees paid against the principal amounts due on the loans if rescinded, actual and punitive damages, and attorneys' fees. The case was subsequently removed to federal court and later remanded by the federal court to the Circuit Court of Clay County, Missouri. The IMPAC Defendants filed an Answer on March 7, 2005. Limited discovery has taken place since this date, including additional discovery responses by certain IMPAC Defendants during 2008.

The Gilmore and Baker purported class action lawsuits are similar in nature in that they allege that the mortgage loan originators violated the respective state's statutes by charging excessive fees and costs when making second mortgage loans on residential real estate. The complaints allege that IFC was a purchaser, and is a holder, along with other affiliated entities, of second mortgage loans originated by other lenders. The plaintiffs in the lawsuits are seeking damages that include disgorgement of interest paid, restitution, rescission, actual damages, statutory damages, exemplary damages, pre-judgment interest and punitive damages. No specific dollar amount of damages is specified in the complaints.

We are a party to other litigation and claims which are normal in the course of our operations. While the results of such other litigation and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, we believe the final outcome of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)**

The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to the above claims and intends to defend these claims vigorously and as such the Company believes the final outcome of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations. Nevertheless, litigation is uncertain and the Company may not prevail in the lawsuits and can express no opinion as to their ultimate resolution. An adverse judgment in any of these matters could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

Lease Commitments

The Company leases office space and certain office equipment under long-term leases expiring at various dates through 2017. Future minimum commitments under non-cancelable leases are as follows:

	Operating Leases	Capital Leases	Total
Year 2012	\$ 8,008	\$ 398	\$ 8,406
Year 2013	7,961	410	8,371
Year 2014	8,206	127	8,333
Year 2015	8,285	12	8,297
Year 2016	7,962	-	7,962
Year 2017 and thereafter	-	-	-
Subtotal	40,422	947	41,369
Sublet income	(15,258)	-	(15,258)
Total lease commitments	\$ 25,164	\$ 947	\$ 26,111

Total rental expense for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$5.0 million and \$3.7 million, respectively. During 2011 and 2010, approximately \$4.4 million and \$4.0 million, respectively, were charged to continuing operations, and is included in occupancy expense in the consolidated statements of operations. Included in rent expense for 2011 and 2010, is an increase of \$566 thousand and a reduction of \$284 thousand, respectively, related to changes in estimated lease liabilities as a result of changes in our expected minimum future lease payments.

Repurchase Reserve Discontinued Operations

When the Company sold loans as part of its discontinued non-conforming loan origination business, it was required to make normal and customary representations and warranties about the loans to the purchaser. The Company's whole loan sale agreements generally required it to repurchase loans if the Company breached a representation or warranty given to the loan purchaser.

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)**

The activity related to the discontinued operations repurchase reserve for previously sold loans for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

	For the year ended December 31,			
		2011		2010
Beginning balance	\$	7,987	\$	10,967
Provision for repurchases		3,387		2,685
Settlements		(6,161)		(5,665)
Total repurchase reserve	\$	5,213	\$	7,987

Concentration of Risk

The aggregate unpaid principal balance of loans in the Company's long-term mortgage portfolio secured by properties in California and Florida was \$5.0 billion and \$1.1 billion, or 52% and 11%, respectively, at December 31, 2011.

The Company does not have a significant concentration of risk to any individual client except for the U.S. government and its agencies relating to its concentration of loan sales.

Note 18. Employee Benefit Plans*401(k) Plan*

After meeting certain employment requirements, employees can participate in the Company's 401(k) plan. Under the 401(k) plan, employees may contribute up to 25% of their salaries, pursuant to certain restrictions. The Company matches 50% of the first 4% of employee contributions. Additional contributions may be made at the discretion of the board of directors. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company recorded approximately \$375 thousand for basic matching contributions. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company recorded approximately \$335 thousand for basic matching contributions. There were no discretionary matching contributions recorded during the years ended December 31, 2011 or 2010.

Note 19. Related Party Transactions

Historically, mortgage loans have been extended to officers and directors of the Company. All such loans were made at the prevailing market rates and conditions existing at the time. During 2011 and 2010, no mortgage loans were extended to officers or directors.

The Company earns mortgage and real estate service fees by providing such services to its long-term mortgage portfolio.

Note 20. Sale of Experience 1, Inc.

In September 2011, the Company sold 7,000 of its 8,000 shares of common stock of its majority-owned subsidiary Experience 1, Inc., the parent of a title insurance company, for total consideration of \$3.36 million, recording a gain of approximately \$1.78 million. The Company received proceeds in the

Table of Contents

IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

form of cash and a secured promissory note in the amount of \$60 thousand, which bears interest at 4% and has a term of 24 months.

In October 2011, the Company sold its remaining 1,000 shares for \$360 thousand, recording a gain of approximately \$160 thousand. The Company accepted two secured promissory notes in the amount of \$180 thousand each, which bear interest at 4% and have terms of 24 months.

In a separate transaction associated with the sale, the Company agreed to sell fixed assets and intellectual property to Experience 1, Inc. for \$140 thousand. The Company accepted a promissory note of \$140 thousand, which bears interest at 8% and has a term of 12 months.

As part of the sales agreement, the Company provided operational and administrative services including, accounting, human resources, and information technology at a predetermined price through October 31, 2011, and may continue to do so thereafter at an agreed upon price.

Note 21. Business Combinations

On October 1, 2010, Excel Mortgage Servicing, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of IRES, completed the acquisition of 51% of AmeriHome whereby the Company made a \$1.1 million cash payment to AmeriHome and entered into a note payable for \$720,000. As part of the transaction, the Company was granted an option to purchase an additional 39% of AmeriHome beginning January 1, 2011 for 1.5 times 39% of the lesser of \$5 million or Issuer's Book Value (IBV) of AmeriHome plus \$550,000 in cash (see call option in Note 2. *Fair Value of Financial Instruments*). This option has a three-year term. In addition the founder of AmeriHome has a put option to sell his remaining 49% ownership beginning January 1, 2014 to the Company for the lesser of \$5 million or IBV (see put option in Note 2. *Fair Value of Financial Instruments*). The IBV of AmeriHome was approximately \$2.3 million at the time the Company purchased its 51% ownership interest.

The transaction has been accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting which requires among other things, the assets and liabilities assumed to be recognized at their fair values as of

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

the acquisition date. The table below summarizes the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as part of the AmeriHome acquisition:

ASSETS:	
Cash	\$ 734
Mortgage servicing rights	1,321
Loans held-for-sale	819
Other assets	626
Total assets	\$ 3,500
LIABILITIES:	
Warehouse note	\$ 979
Other liabilities	200
Total liabilities	1,179
Net assets of AmeriHome	\$ 2,321
Less attributable to noncontrolling interest	(1,137)
Call option asset	700
Put option liability	(64)
Total purchase price	\$ 1,820
Note payable	(720)
Cash paid for acquisition	\$ 1,100

No goodwill was recorded in connection with the acquisition of AmeriHome.

Acquisition costs were expensed as incurred and is included in general and administrative expenses for the Company.

The acquisition was completed in October 2010 and accordingly results of operations from such date have been included in the Company's consolidated statement of operations. For the three months ended December 31, 2010, the AmeriHome acquisition resulted in an additional \$355 thousand of consolidated revenue and \$77 thousand of consolidated loss before income taxes.

Note 22. Discontinued Operations

During 2007, the Company announced plans to exit substantially all of its non-conforming mortgage, commercial, retail, and warehouse lending operations. Consequently, the amounts related to these operations are presented as discontinued operations in the Company's consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss and its consolidated statements of cash flows, and the asset groups exited are reported as assets and liabilities of discontinued operations in its consolidated balance sheets for the periods presented.

Table of Contents**IMPAC MORTGAGE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
(dollars in thousands, except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

The following table presents the discontinued operations' condensed balance sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	At December 31,			
	2011		2010	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	12	\$	113
Restricted cash		-		91
Other assets		252		169
Total assets	\$	264	\$	373
Total liabilities	\$	9,932	\$	13,053
Total stockholders' deficit	\$	(9,668)	\$	(12,680)

The following table presents discontinued operations' condensed statement of operations for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

	For the years ended December 31,			
	2011		2010	
Net interest income	\$	-	\$	61
Provision for Repurchases		(3,387)		(2,686)
Other non-interest income		1,604		3,390
Non-interest expense and income taxes		(1,295)		1,473
Net (loss) earnings	\$	(3,078)	\$	2,238

Note 23. Subsequent Events

The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the date this financial statement was issued.

In February 2012, the Company entered into a new \$7.5 million structured debt agreement using eight of the Company's residual interests (net trust assets) as collateral. The Company used a portion of the proceeds to pay off the \$408 thousand balance owed on the previous debt agreement. The Company received proceeds of \$7.0 million, net of the aforementioned payoff and transaction costs of approximately \$50 thousand. It bears interest at a fixed rate of 25% per annum and is amortized in equal principal payments over 18 months.