

NEW JERSEY MINING CO
Form 10KSB
March 28, 2007

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-KSB

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period _____ to _____

Commission file number 000-28837

NEW JERSEY MINING COMPANY

(Name of small business issuer in its charter)

Idaho

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

82-0490295

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

89 Appleberg Road, Kellogg, Idaho

(Address of principal executive offices)

83837

(Zip code)

Issuer's telephone number, including area code: (208) 783-1032

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act: None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:
Common Stock, No par value per share

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B contained in this form and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes

No]

The registrant's revenues for its most recent fiscal year were \$339,077.

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on the average of the bid and ask prices on March 1, 2006, as reported by the Over the Counter Bulletin Board was \$14,960,000.

At March 1, 2006, the registrant had 30,219,319 outstanding shares of no par value common stock.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (Check One): Yes No]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----------|
| <u>Glossary of Significant Mining Terms</u> | <u>3</u> |
|---|----------|

PART I

| | |
|--|-----------|
| <u>Item 1.</u> <u>Description of Business</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> <u>Description of Property</u> | <u>9</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>21</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | <u>21</u> |

PART II

| | |
|---|-----------|
| <u>Item 5.</u> <u>Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | <u>21</u> |
| <u>Item 6.</u> <u>Management's Discussion, Analysis and Plan of Operations</u> | <u>23</u> |
| <u>Item 7.</u> <u>Financial Statements</u> | <u>27</u> |
| <u>Item 8.</u> <u>Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | <u>49</u> |
| <u>Item 8A.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>49</u> |
| <u>Item 8B.</u> <u>Other Information</u> | <u>49</u> |

PART III

| | |
|--|-----------|
| <u>Item 9.</u> <u>Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters, Control Persons and Corporate Governance;</u> <u>Compliance With Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act</u> | <u>50</u> |
| <u>Item 10.</u> <u>Executive Compensation</u> | <u>52</u> |
| <u>Item 11.</u> <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder</u> <u>Matters</u> | <u>53</u> |
| <u>Item 12.</u> <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | <u>54</u> |
| <u>Item 13.</u> <u>Exhibits</u> | <u>55</u> |
| <u>Item 14.</u> <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | <u>56</u> |
| <u>Signatures</u> | <u>57</u> |

GLOSSARY OF SIGNIFICANT MINING TERMS

Ag-Silver.

Au-Gold.

Alluvial-Adjectivally used to identify minerals deposited over time by moving water.

Argillites-Metamorphic rock containing clay minerals.

Arsenopyrite-An iron-arsenic sulfide. Common constituent of gold mineralization.

Ball Mill-A large rotating cylinder usually filled to about 45% of its total volume with steel grinding balls. The mill rotates and crushed rock is fed into one end and discharged through the other. The rock is pulverized into small particles by the cascading and grinding action of the balls.

Bedrock-Solid rock underlying overburden.

Cu-Copper.

CIL-A standard gold recovery process involving the leaching with cyanide in agitated tanks with activated carbon. CIL means "carbon-in-leach."

Crosscut-A nominally horizontal tunnel, generally driven at right angles to the strike of a vein.

Dip-Angle made by an inclined surface with the horizontal, measured perpendicular to strike.

Deposit-A mineral deposit is a mineralized body which has been intersected by sufficient closely-spaced drill holes or underground sampling to support sufficient tonnage and average grade(s) of metal(s) to warrant further exploration or development activities.

Development Stage-As defined by the SEC- includes all issuers engaged in the preparation of an established commercially mineable deposit (reserves) for its extraction which are not in the production stage.

Drift-A horizontal mine opening driven on the vein. Driving is a term used to describe the excavation of a tunnel.

Exploration Stage-As defined by the SEC-includes all issuers engaged in the search for mineral deposits (reserves) which are not in either the development or production stage.

Fault-A fracture in the earth's crust accompanied by a displacement of one side of the fracture with respect to the other and in a direction parallel to the fracture.

Flotation-A physiochemical process for the separation of finely divided solids from one another. Separation of these (dissimilar) discrete solids from each other is affected by the selective attachment of the particle surface to gas bubble.

GPT-grams per metric tonne.

Galena-A lead sulfide mineral. The most important lead mineral in the Coeur d'Alene Mining District.

Grade-A term used to assign the concentration of metals per unit weight of ore. An example-ounces of gold per ton of ore (opt). One troy ounce per short ton is 34.28 parts per million or 34.28 grams per metric tonne.

Mill-A general term used to denote a mineral processing plant.

Mineralization-The presence of minerals in a specific area or geologic formation.

Ore-A mineral or aggregate of minerals which can be mined and treated at a profit. A large quantity of ore which is surrounded by waste or sub-ore material is called an orebody.

Production Stage-As defined by the SEC-includes all issuers engaged in the exploitation of a mineral deposit (reserve).

Pyrite-An iron sulfide. A common mineral associated with gold mineralization. Quartz-Crystalline silica (SiO₂). An important rock-forming and gangue material in gold veins.

Quartzites-Metamorphic rock containing quartz.

Raise-An underground opening driven upward, generally on the vein.

Ramp-An underground opening usually driven downward, but not always, to provide access to an orebody for rubber-tired equipment such as loaders and trucks. Typically ramps are inclined at about a 15% grade.

Reserves-That part of a mineral deposit which could be economically and legally extracted or produced at the time of the reserve determination. Reserves are subcategorized as either proven (measured) reserves, for which (a) quantity is computed from dimensions revealed in outcrops, trenches, workings, or drill holes, and grade and/or quality are computed from the results of detailed sampling, and (b) the sites for inspection, sampling, and measurement are spaced so closely and geologic character is so well defined that size, shape, depth, and mineral content are well-established; or probable (indicated) reserves, for which quantity and grade and/or quality are computed from information similar to that used for proven (measured) reserves, yet the sites for inspection, sampling and measurement are farther apart.

Stope-An underground void created by the mining of ore.

Strike-The bearing or azimuth of the line created by the intersection of a horizontal plane with an inclined rock strata, vein or body.

Tetrahedrite-Sulfosalt mineral containing copper, antimony, and silver.

Vein-A zone or body of mineralized rock lying within boundaries separating it from neighboring wallrock. A mineralized zone having a more or less regular development in length, width and depth to give it a tabular form and commonly inclined at a considerable angle to the horizontal.

Wallrock-Barren rock surrounding a vein.

PART I

ITEM 1.

DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

With the exception of historical matters, the matters discussed in this report are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from projections or estimates contained herein. Such forward-looking statements include statements regarding planned levels of exploration and other expenditures, anticipated mine lives, timing of production and schedules for development and permitting. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially include, among others, metals price volatility, permitting delays, and the Company's ability to secure funding. Most of these factors are beyond the Company's ability to predict or control. The Company disclaims any obligation to update any forward-looking statement made herein. Readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

Form and Year of Organization

New Jersey Mining Company (the Company or NJMC) is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Idaho on July 18, 1996. The Company was dormant until December 31, 1996, when all of the assets and liabilities of the New Jersey Joint Venture (a partnership) were transferred to the Company in exchange for 10,000,000 shares of common stock. The New Jersey Joint Venture, a partnership, was formed in 1994 to develop the New Jersey mine. The partnership consisted of Mine Systems Design, Inc. [75%], Plainview Mining Company [13%], Silver Trend Mining Company [10%], Mark C. Brackebusch [1%], and Mascot Silver-Lead Mines, Inc. [1%].

Any Bankruptcy, Receivership or Similar Proceedings

There have been no bankruptcy, receivership or similar proceedings.

Any Material Reclassification, Merger, Consolidation, or Purchase or Sale of a Significant Amount of Assets Not in the Ordinary Course of Business.

There have been no material reclassifications, mergers, consolidations, purchases, or sales for the past three years.

BUSINESS OF THE COMPANY

General Description of the Business

The Company is involved in exploring for and developing gold, silver and base metal ore resources in the Pacific Northwest of the USA. The Company has a portfolio of mineral properties including: the Niagara copper silver-deposit, the New Jersey mine, the Silver Strand mine, the Golden Chest mine and several other exploration prospects. The Company operates a small (100 tonnes per day) mineral processing facility (mill) in Kellogg, Idaho.

The Company is executing a strategy of mineral exploration that is focused on the Belt Basin area of northern Idaho and western Montana. See Location Map. Our mineral processing plant has been processing ore and other mineralized material from the Golden Chest, New Jersey and Silver Strand properties for the past two years. Our strategy is to produce some income from these properties while we explore them at depth by driving ramps or drifts to and along the mineralized structures. We can then

mine and process any economic material to help offset our exploration costs. We also conduct exploratory diamond drilling (surface and underground), and grassroots exploration in the area. We view our mill as another exploration tool that not only helps to provide a source of cash flow, but also allows us to better determine the grade and metallurgical characteristics of our properties. Feed for the mill in 2006 was primarily sourced from the Golden Chest. Currently, the mill is not fully utilized as it is operated four 10-hour days per week.

Our mining operations do not provide enough income to fund our exploration and development activities and corporate overhead so it is necessary for the Company to raise funds through the sale of common stock in private placements to qualified investors. Therefore, our exploration plans and resulting schedules are dependent on our ability to raise sufficient funding. If we cannot raise funds through sales of common stock, our exploration programs may be deferred or delayed.

Competitive Business Conditions

The Company competes on several different fronts within the minerals exploration industry. Currently, the minerals exploration business is very active due to the attractive price levels of metals such as gold, silver, and copper. As a result, we compete with other junior exploration companies for investment capital. There are many junior mining companies competing to attract qualified investors to participate in their equity offerings which may make it more difficult for us to raise capital. Also, we compete with other companies for exploration properties. To date, we have not seen significant activity near our exploration properties, but this may change as metal prices continue to move up. There has been resurgence in exploration activity by junior mining companies in the Silver Belt of the Coeur d'Alene Mining District.

We also compete with other exploration and mining companies within our area for qualified employees. The long bear market in the mining industry caused many young people to find employment in other industries and this has led to a shortage of qualified workers such as miners, drillers and geologists. The tight market for labor has led to increased wages within the industry which has led to higher exploration, development, and mining costs. We pay our key employees a competitive wage so that we can retain them.

We are also subject to the risks inherent to the mineral industry. The primary risk is the low probability of finding a major deposit of ore. We attempt to mitigate this risk by focusing our efforts in an area already known to host ore deposits, and also by acquiring properties we believe have the geologic and technical merits to host potentially economic mineralization. Another significant risk is the price of metals such as copper, gold and silver. If the prices of these metals were to fall substantially, it would most likely lead to a loss of investor interest in the mineral exploration sector which would make it more difficult to raise the capital necessary to move our exploration and development plans forward.

Availability of Service Providers (Diamond Drill Contractors)

The current boom in minerals exploration has led to a shortage of diamond drill (core drill) rigs within the industry. This shortage has led to the delay of our exploration drilling programs for up to one year. During the past two years, our diamond drilling costs have increased by 50% on a per-foot-basis. Given the shortage in diamond drill rigs and increased costs, management decided to purchase a drill-rig so that the exploration of our properties can proceed in a timely and efficient manner.

Effect of Existing or Probable Governmental Regulations on the Business

All operating and exploration plans have been made in consideration of existing governmental regulations. Regulations that would most affect operations are related to water quality. A plan of operation is usually required before exploration or mining activities can be conducted on public land that is administered by the Bureau of Land Management or US Forest Service.

The New Jersey mine, the Silver Strand mine and the Golden Chest properties are part of the expanded Bunker Hill Superfund Site. Current plans for expanded cleanup do not include any of our mines. There is no known evidence that previous operations at the New Jersey mine prior to 1910 caused any ground water or stream pollution or discharged any tailings into the South Fork of the Coeur d'Alene River; however, such evidence could be uncovered. The nature of the risk would probably be to clean up or cover old mine tailings that may have washed downstream from upstream mining operations. No mineral processing operations were ever conducted at the Silver Strand mine and water sampling data has not indicated any pollution. There are no mineral processing tailings deposits at the Golden Chest mine. However, at least two old adits have small water discharges. The Company could conceivably be required to conduct cleanup operations at its own expense, however the EPA's Record of Decision for the Bunker Hill Mining and Metallurgical Complex Operating Unit 3 does not include any cleanup activities at the Company's mines. New Jersey Mining Company has not received any notifications that it could be liable for any environmental cleanup.

Estimate of the Amount Spent on Exploration for the Last Two Years

During the years ending December 31, 2006 and 2005, we have spent \$319,954 and \$132,242, respectively, on exploration activities.

Costs and Effects of Compliance with Environmental Laws (Federal, State and Local)

No major Federal permits are required for the New Jersey mine because most operations are on private land and there are no process discharges to streams. Any exploration program conducted by the Company on unpatented mining claims, usually administered by the U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM) or U.S. Forest Service (USFS), requires a Plan of Operation to be submitted. Our exploration programs can be delayed for significant periods of time (one to two years) because of the slow NEPA permitting process applied by the USFS. We believe the USFS permitting delays are caused by insufficient manpower, complicated regulations, misplaced priorities and sympathy for environmental groups who oppose any mining project.

The Company submitted a Plan of Operation to the USFS in April 2003 for a seasonal, underground mining operation at the Silver Strand mine. The USFS conducted an Environmental Assessment (EA) of the plan and requested public comments on the EA in September 2004. In June 2005, the USFS issued a final Decision Notice and Finding of No Significant Impact which essentially approved the Company's Plan of Operations, but with 26 stipulations. The stipulations cover various aspects of the plan including but not limited to the operating season, public access through the site, water quality monitoring, development rock monitoring, slope stability monitoring, and reclamation standards. In August 2006, both the USFS and the Company signed the final operating plan or Decision Notice. Before the Company can begin operations at the Silver Strand, it must post a reclamation bond of \$119,725. An additional bond of \$32,075 is due prior to the second operating season which gives a total bond of \$151,800 for the planned project. Permit compliance activities and water monitoring at the Silver Strand are expected to cost about \$5,000 per year.

The Company is also subject to the rules of the U.S. Department of Labor, Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) for the New Jersey mine and Golden Chest mine operations. When a mine is

operating, MSHA performs a series of regular inspections to verify compliance with mine safety laws, and can assess financial penalties for unsafe practices or conditions.

The New Jersey mine has two important State of Idaho permits. The first is an Idaho Cyanidation Permit and the second is a reclamation plan for surface mining operations. No permit is required for the current flotation process as there is no discharge of water to surface waters and the tailings impoundments are less than 30 feet high from toe to crest. An Idaho cyanidation permit was granted October 10, 1995 [No. CN-000027]. Completion of the Concentrate Leach Plant, which utilizes cyanide leaching, is expected in 2007. The Cyanidation permit requires quarterly surface and groundwater monitoring prior to startup of the plant and monthly sampling once operations commence. The current water monitoring program costs the Company about \$2,000 on an annual basis. The estimated annual cost for sampling once leaching operations begin is about \$25,000.

A surface mining reclamation plan for the New Jersey mine was approved by the Idaho State Department of Lands in 1993. The plan calls for grading of steep fill slopes and planting of vegetation on the area disturbed by the open pit mine. An annual reclamation fee of \$133 is paid to the Idaho Department of Lands for surface disturbance associated with the New Jersey mine open pit. The Company has estimated its costs to reclaim the New Jersey mine site to be \$18,000.

When the Company plans an exploration drilling program on public lands, it must submit a Plan of Operations to either the BLM or US Forest Service. Compilation of the plan can take several days of professional time and a reclamation bond is usually required to start drilling once the plan is approved. Bond costs vary directly with surface disturbance area, but a small, single set-up drilling program usually requires a bond amount of about \$2,500. On completion of the reclamation and approval by the managing agency, the bond amount is returned to the Company.

The Company complies with local building codes and ordinances as required by law.

Number of Total Employees and Number of Full Time Employees

The Company's total number of employees is twelve including President Fred Brackebusch, Vice President Grant Brackebusch and Secretary Tina Brackebusch. Tina C. Brackebusch works part-time for the Company

REPORTS TO SECURITY HOLDERS

The Company is not required to deliver an annual report to shareholders, however, it plans to deliver an annual report to shareholders in 2007. The annual report will contain audited financial statements. The Company may also rely on the Internet in the future to deliver annual reports to shareholders.

The Company filed a Form 10-SB with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 11, 2000. The filing became effective on January 27, 2000. The Company has filed the required annual 10-KSB reports, quarterly 10-QSB reports, and occasional 8-K reports since that time.

The public may read a copy of any materials the Company files with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site (<http://www.sec.gov>) that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC.

The Company maintains a website where recent press releases and other information can be found. A link to the Company's filings with the SEC is provided on the Company's website-www.newjerseymining.com.

ITEM 2.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTIES

NEW JERSEY MINE

Location

The New Jersey mine is located in the Gold Run Gulch area, two miles east of Kellogg, Idaho in the Coeur d'Alene Mining District. The property includes the gold bearing Coleman vein system, a base metal Sullivan-type prospect known as the Enterprise and another gold prospect called the Scotch Thistle. The mine is adjacent to U.S. Interstate 90 and is easily accessed by local roads throughout the entire year. Three phase electrical power is supplied to the New Jersey mill by Avista Utilities. The area is underlain by argillites and quartzites of the Prichard formation [member of Belt Supergroup], which commonly hosts gold mineralization.

Mineral Property

The Company owns 62 acres of patented mining claims, mineral rights to 108 acres of fee land, and approximately 130 acres of unpatented mining claims. The unpatented claims are on federal land administered by the U.S. Bureau of Land Management. The Coleman pit is located on the patented mining claims.

Mineral Leases

A mineral lease from William Zanetti in the New Jersey mill area contains about 60 acres. The lease provides for the Company's exploration, development and mining of minerals on fee land through October 2008 and thereafter as long as mining operations are deemed continuous. The lessor may terminate the lease upon the Company's failure to perform under the terms of the lease. The lease provides for royalties of 5% of net sales of ores or concentrates less transportation also known as a Net Smelter Return. Additional royalties of 1% to 5% are due if the gold price exceeds \$670 per ounce as of December 31, 2006. This additional royalty gold price is indexed to the Consumer Price Index with the December 1988 CPI as the base. Also, annual advance royalties totaling \$500 per year are required under the lease. The advance royalties are accumulated and will be credited against the royalty obligations.

A second mineral lease, known as the Grenfel lease, was acquired from Mine Systems Design, Inc. (MSD) in 2001 in exchange for 1,000,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock. The lease covers the mineral rights to 68 acres located north of the New Jersey mine area. The lease has a fifteen year term and thereafter so long as mining operations are deemed continuous. The lessors may terminate the leases upon the Company's failure to perform under the terms of the lease. A 3% Net Smelter Return (NSR) royalty will be paid to the lessors if production is achieved. However, the NSR shall not exceed 10% of the net proceeds, except the NSR shall not be less than 1%. No advance royalties or other advance payments are required by this lease.

History

There are at least 14 gold prospects in or near the New Jersey mine area. In the late 1800 s and early 1900 s more than 2,500 feet of development workings including drifts, crosscuts, shafts, and raises, were driven by the New Jersey Mining and Milling Company (an unrelated company) to develop the Coleman vein and the northwest branch of the Coleman vein. A 10 stamp gravity mill was built and operated for a short period. The amount of money spent from 1899 to 1910 appears to have been \$500,000 to \$1,000,000 in 1996 dollars. The operation was discontinued because of the difficulty in recovering fine gold without cyanidation technology, because of the lower price of gold relative to mining costs, and because of inadequate drilling and mining technology.

Present Condition and Work Completed on the Property

A 100 tonne per day flotation mill has been built and commissioned on the New Jersey mine property. A ball mill is used to grind the crushed ore and is the limiting factor for throughput. Currently, hourly throughput is limited to about 4.0 tonnes per hour. The crushing plant and grinding circuit were built in 1996, and the flotation circuit was built in 2004. Construction of a concentrate leach plant was started in mid-2006, and completion is expected in 2007. The leach plant uses cyanidation and direct electro-winning to produce a gold-silver dore from gold-bearing pyrite concentrates.

During 2001, the Company drilled two holes to explore a geophysical anomaly detected in the autumn of 2000. One hole intercepted a broad zone of arsenopyrite mineralization, named the Grenfel zone, which contained two separate zones of anomalous gold content (0.70 grams per tonne gold over two separate 10 meter intervals). In 2002, 1,317 meters of diamond drilling was completed amongst 11 holes at the New Jersey mine. The drilling confirmed the continuity of the Coleman vein system as nine of the holes intercepted the vein system. Reserves were not increased as the drilling was too widely spaced. The best intercept was in DDH02-02 which assayed 2.76 gpt gold over 12.5 meters including 2.5 meters of 6.80 gpt gold. Drilling was conducted at the Scotch Thistle prospect, Enterprise prospect and northern Coleman vein in 2003. Silver, lead and zinc mineralization was discovered at the Enterprise and a new zone of gold mineralization was found at the Scotch Thistle. In 2004, two drillholes were drilled at the Enterprise where base metal mineralization (lead, zinc & silver) was intercepted. Also in 2004, a single hole was drilled at the Scotch Thistle prospect and intercepted a gold zone that assayed 2.56 gpt over 6.6 meters extending the zone discovered a year earlier.

In late 2006, the Company began preparations to drive an exploration crosscut to the Coleman vein on the 740 Level. At least two months were spent on ground support activities to make the 740 drift safe for passage. Also, electrical cable, compressed air and water lines were installed in the 740 drift. Additionally, surface support facilities such as a dry and tool shed were placed near the 740 portal and electrical power was installed to the portal and underground. A new drill road was constructed to provide drill access to the Enterprise prospect. Finally, 567 tonnes of ore were mined from the Coleman pit at a grade of 3.25 gpt gold.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had a capital cost of \$973,442 associated with the mineral processing plant and a capitalized development plus investment cost of \$598,451 associated with the mine.

Exploration Plans

The Company plans to commence exploration drifting on the Coleman vein once the crosscut intersects the vein which is expected to occur in March 2007. The Company has purchased a diamond drill rig and plans to do exploratory core drilling at the Enterprise and Scotch Thistle prospects. The drill rig is due for delivery in April 2007.

Geology and Reserves

The description of the geology of the New Jersey mine and the calculation of mineral resources have been completed by the Company. The description of the geology of the area can be verified from third party published reports by the U.S. Geological Survey and unpublished reports by Oscar Hershey, former Coeur d'Alene District geologist. The Company is solely responsible for the reserve calculations.

Geology

The Prichard formation, which is 25,000 feet in thickness, underlies the New Jersey mine area which is adjacent to and north of the major Osburn fault. The Osburn fault is in the center of a Proterozoic rifting basin. The Prichard formation is divided into nine rock units of alternating argillites and quartzites, and

the units exposed in the New Jersey mine area appear to belong to the lower members. A broad domal structure with a series of tighter folds near the Osburn fault typifies the structure of the area. South of the Osburn fault, the Wallace formation is exposed on the north flank of the Big Creek anticline. A fault was discovered by the 2001 drilling program. The fault appears to be a fairly large east-west striking structure that may separate the Coleman veins from the Grenfel zone. However, more information is required to confirm that hypothesis.

Gold mineralization is associated with sulfide-bearing quartz veins which cut the bedding in Prichard argillite and quartzite. Associated sulfides are pyrite, arsenopyrite, chalcopyrite, low-silver tennatite, galena, and sphalerite. Most commonly in the Coleman vein of the New Jersey mine visible gold is associated with the tennatite. Gold prospects are concentrated in the New Jersey mine area possibly because of the presence of lower Prichard stratigraphic members, an anticlinal structure, and/or the existence of a gold source. Gold is associated with arsenic, copper, and antimony. Igneous dikes are relatively rare. Some wallrock alteration has been observed, and the Coleman vein shows a characteristic brecciation.

Reserves

The reserves at the New Jersey mine as of this date are those contained within the open pit on the Coleman vein. Open pit reserves are from the planned pit which extends from the south portal north to the terminus of the Coleman vein. The vertical extent of the pit is from the surface outcrop down to the Keyhole Tunnel level. Grade estimation for the blocks in the pit reserve is based upon calculated head grades from 5,000 short tons of gravity-mill production. Other sample sources include channel samples from the outcrop and also from the Keyhole Tunnel.

Open Pit Reserve (Proven & Probable)

| Ore Blocks | Metric Tonnes | Gold Grade (grams per tonne) | Ounces (gold) |
|--------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| Coleman (17+00 to 21+00) | 56,250 | 4.59 | 8,303 |
| Coleman Split (21+00 to 23+00) | 20,753 | 3.53 | 2,355 |
| North Vein (21+00) | 2,990 | 8.57 | 824 |
| Total | 79,993 | 4.46 | 11,482 |

The open pit reserve tonnages are diluted. That is, the expected dilution from open pit mining is accounted for in the grade and tonnage of the reserve blocks. The ounces stated in the above table are contained ounces. The cutoff grade used was 3.0 grams/tonne gold. The cutoff grade is based on historical costs of a 100 tonne/day open pit operation with a flotation processing plant recovering 95% of the gold. Gold prices used were those on December 31, 2006 or \$20.32/gram (\$632.00/troy ounce).

SILVER STRAND MINE

Location

The Silver Strand mine is located in Kootenai County, Idaho about 12 miles east-northeast of Coeur d'Alene, Idaho. It is situated on Lone Cabin Creek, a tributary of Burnt Cabin Creek and of the Little North Fork Coeur d'Alene River. Primary access is from Coeur d'Alene via paved and dirt roads from Fernan Lake to Lone Cabin Creek.

Mineral Property

The Company's Silver Strand mine consists of fifteen unpatented lode claims on public lands administered by the U.S. Forest Service. The claims were acquired from Trend Mining Company pursuant to a purchase agreement dated July 14, 2000. Mine Systems Design, Inc. assumed Trend's

royalty on the Silver Strand claims in July 2001. The royalty is a 1.5% Net Smelter Return (NSR) capped at \$50,000 after which the NSR decreases to 0.5% .

History

The Silver Strand deposit was discovered during nearby logging activity during the 1960's and mined during the 1970's and 1980's for siliceous smelter flux. Production was 13,752 tons grading 0.093 ounces per ton gold, 9.6 ounces per ton silver and 87.1% silica. The mining operation was shut down when the ASARCO Tacoma smelter closed in the early 1980's. Previous owner/operators include Silver Strand Mining Company, Silver Trend Mining Company, and Trend Mining Company. Mine Systems Design, Inc. (MSD) had an exploration agreement with Silver Trend Mining Company that was terminated in 1997. During the term of that lease, MSD made an agreement with U.S. Bureau of Mines, Spokane Research Center to conduct a mining research product at the Silver Strand mine. The USBM monitored water quality and flows from the mine, maintained the underground openings, and conducted some diamond drilling.

Present Condition and Work Completed on the Property

The Silver Strand mine is an underground mine that was mined during the 1970's and early 1980's. No mining has taken place at the property since that time. Since mining was suspended only limited exploration has taken place at the Silver Strand including geochemical sampling and diamond drilling. In 1997, Silver Trend Mining Company completed a four-hole surface diamond drilling program which totaled 795 meters. The mine is accessed by three horizontal openings called levels: the No. 2 Level, the No. 225 Level and the No. 3 Level which is the lowest level and is located at the creek bottom adjacent to forest road No. 411. All three levels are accessible from the surface via a network of dirt roads. The USBM installed a large culvert at the portal of the No. 3 Level in order to stabilize that entrance. No surface infrastructure presently exists at the Silver Strand. There is no energy available at the site. Any electrical energy requirements would have to be satisfied with an on-site generator.

During 2002, an exploration drilling program was completed at the Silver Strand. Also, rehabilitation of the 225 Level portal was started but not completed in 2002. The drilling was successful in extending the ore shoot below the No. 3 Level. In April 2003, the Company submitted a Plan of Operations (POO) to the USFS for a seasonal underground mining operation with a planned production rate of 1,000 tonnes per month. A geophysical exploration program was completed at the Silver Strand in 2004. Several anomalies were discovered and the largest merits exploration drilling.

In June 2005, the USFS affirmed their Finding of No Significant Impact with respect to the Company's Plan of Operations after an environmental group appealed their earlier decision. In August 2006, both the USFS and the Company signed the final operating plan or Decision Notice. Before the Company can begin operations at the Silver Strand, it must post a reclamation bond of \$119,725. An additional bond of \$32,075 is due prior to the second operating season which gives a total bond of \$151,800 for the planned project. Preparatory work completed in 2006 included the installation of road gates, the clearing of roads, the fabrication of settling tanks and road surveying.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had a capitalized development plus investment cost of \$133,004 associated with the Silver Strand mine.

Exploration and Development Plans

We plan to start preparations of our 1,000 tonne per month seasonal mining operation in 2007. Initial efforts will focus on the completion of a new No. 3 portal, the construction of roads, and the installation of a sediment collection system. Several months of work is required before any ore can be shipped to the

mill. The typical operating season will be from May through October. It is estimated by the Company that \$150,000 will be required for startup capital.

A surface diamond drilling program is also planned to investigate geophysical anomalies that were discovered several years ago.

Geology and Reserves

Company geologists have completed the description of the geology of the Silver Strand mine. Reserve calculations were completed by the Company's geologists and engineers. Verification of the area's geology can be found from third party published reports by Alfred L. Anderson of the Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology (Pamphlet 53).

Geology

The upper part of the Revett Formation outcrops at the mine. The upper Revett member contains alternating sequences of quartzite and siltite-argillite. Beds dip shallowly to moderately northerly (30 to 50 degrees). Alfred L. Anderson of the Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology mapped the geology and discussed the mineral resources of Kootenai County in 1940 (Pamphlet 53). Anderson combined the Burke and Revett formations and estimated the combined thickness to be from 1,000 to 3,000 feet. There are no major intrusive rocks near the Silver Strand mine. A major diabase dike has intruded the Silver Strand mineralized zone. The Burnt Cabin fault is the major geologic structure near the Silver Strand mine.

The Silver Strand orebody consists of a nearly-vertical, silicified (quartz) replacement zone which cuts the flat to moderately dipping Revett beds. The zone is not a fissure-filling vein. The boundaries and shape of the silicified zone were determined to some extent by the 1997 diamond drilling program. The sulfide ore mined to date appears to be enclosed within the quartz zone. The ore is black and very fine-grained. Sulfide minerals are not easy to identify because of the fine-grained texture. Occasional euhedral crystals of pyrite can be observed, and tetrahedrite is visible in the higher grade ore. Minerals observed by microscopic study during metallurgical tests include: pyrite, tetrahedrite, tennantite, sphalerite, arsenopyrite and stibnite.

Reserves

Ore grades and dimensions of the reserve blocks are based on chip sampling of the vein underground and diamond drilling. Reserves were calculated using a gold equivalent cutoff grade of 5 grams per tonne gold and a minimum mining width of 1.5 meters. The cutoff grade is based on historical and estimated costs of a 1,000 tonne/month underground mining operation, hauling ore to the Company's mineral processing plant about 40 miles distant, and processing with flotation and recovering 75% of the silver and gold. Silver and gold prices used were those on December 31, 2006 or \$0.416/gram (\$12.95/troy ounce) and \$20.32/gram (\$632.00/troy ounce), respectively.

| Classification | Metric Tonnes | Gold Grade | | Silver Grade | |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | | Grams Per Tonne | Ounces Per Ton | Grams Per Tonne | Ounces Per Ton |
| Proven & Probable | 6,903 | 5.43 | 0.158 | 361 | 10.5 |

The reserve tonnages are diluted. That is, the expected dilution from underground mining is accounted for in the grade and tonnage of the reserve blocks.

GOLDEN CHEST**Location**

The Golden Chest project is located in Reeder Gulch about 1.2 miles east of Murray, Idaho along Forest Highway 9. The property consists of two mining leases and unpatented claims covering approximately 500 acres. The site is accessible by an improved dirt road. A 30 ft by 20 ft steel-clad pole building is present near the ramp portal and is used as a shop and a dry. Single phase electrical power supplied by Avista Utilities has been installed to the portal site in Reeder Gulch.

Mineral Lease

On January 3, 2005, the Company signed a mining lease on the Golden Chest with Metaline Contact Mines (MTLI) and J.W. Beasley Interests, LLC (JWBI) that covers about 270 acres. The Company completed a pre-feasibility study on an open pit resource drilled by Newmont Exploration Limited and issued 50,000 shares of its restricted common stock to both MTLI and JWBI to exercise the mining lease. The term of the lease is fifteen years and as long thereafter as Leased Substances are mined, processed or marketed from the Leased Premises. A Net Smelter Royalty (NSR) of 3% is payable to the Lessors. An additional NSR up to a maximum 3% is payable based on a sliding scale of increasing gold prices adjusted by the Consumer Price Index (CPI) using June 2003 (CPI = 183.7) as the base. See table below.

Sliding Scale for Additional NSR Royalty

| Price of Gold, \$ / Troy Ounce (using December 2006 CPI-U) | Additional NSR Royalty |
|---|------------------------|
| < \$439 | None |
| \$439 to \$494 | 1.0% |
| \$494 to \$549 | 1.5% |
| \$549 to \$604 | 2.0% |
| > \$604 | 3.0% |

Finally, the Company will issue 50,000 shares of restricted common stock for each increment of 10,000 troy ounces of gold production.

On January 3, 2005, the Company signed a mining lease with Prichard Creek Resource Partners, LLC that covers about 41 acres of unpatented lode claims. Upon exercising the lease the Company issued 30,000 shares of restricted common stock to Prichard Creek Resource Partners. The term of the lease is fifteen years and as long thereafter as Leased Substances are mined, processed or marketed from the Leased Premises. A NSR of 3% is payable to the Lessors. An additional NSR is based on the same sliding scale, presented in the table above, is also payable to Prichard Creek Resource Partners. Finally, if commercial production is commenced from these claims a one-time payment of 30,000 shares of the Company's common stock is payable to Prichard Creek Resource Partners.

The Company also holds an additional 195 acres at the Golden Chest property through unpatented claims wholly owned by the Company. The portion of these claims within Sections 4 and 5 of Township 49N, Range 5E, BM are subject to a 1% Net Profits Royalty payable to MTLI.

History

The Golden Chest was the largest lode producer in the Murray district, producing 65,000 ounces of gold from narrow high grade veins primarily in the late 1800's. Newmont Exploration Limited (NEL) spent over \$500,000 on an exploration program at the Golden Chest in the late 1980s, which consisted of soil and rock sampling, surface and underground mapping, and 11,133 feet of drilling. Newmont's work

identified a potential open pit gold resource. Newmont dropped the property in 1990, apparently because it did not meet their criterion of a one million ounce open-pit resource. New Jersey Mining Company signed a mining lease for the property in January 2005.

Present Condition and Work Completed on the Property

During 2004, the Company completed an exploration ramp 152 meters long that intercepted the Katie vein, and the vein was drifted on for 40 meters to the northeast before intercepting an old stope. Also during 2004, a deep core drilling program comprised of 4 holes and 1,142 meters was completed at the Golden Chest. The deepest hole, DDH04-06 intercepted 17.5 meters that assayed 4.83 gpt gold including a higher grade section of 5.8 meters that assayed 10.13 gpt gold.

The Company completed studies in 2004 on the potentially open pitable resource drilled by Newmont. Handbook and scaled costs were used in conjunction with current gold prices and gold prices substantially higher than current prices or three-year average prices. It was concluded that the resource would not be feasible as a stand-alone project and does not meet the SEC Guide 7 requirements for reserves. Therefore, exploration at the Golden Chest will be directed toward developing resources on the Idaho vein for a larger scale underground mine.

During 2005, the Company commenced a small-scale underground mining operation at the Golden Chest from the 965 level on an oreshoot of the Katie vein. The limits of the oreshoot on the 965 level were reached and stoping was completed in mid 2006. In the second quarter of 2006, a decline ramp was driven from the 965 level to the 955 for a total of 70 meters, and 60 meters of development was completed on the 955 level. A raise was completed from the 955 to the 965 level and ore was mined from this area in late 2006. A total of 3,520 tonnes of ore were mined in 2006 at a grade of 6.0 grams per tonne gold. The ore is shipped to the New Jersey mill in Kellogg, a trip of about 40 miles one-way. A bulk sulfide flotation concentrate was made at the New Jersey mill and sold to either Barrick Goldstrike in Nevada or Penoles in Mexico.

In 2005 and 2006, 685 meters and 1,125 meters, respectively, of exploratory diamond drilling were completed. Drilling has primarily been focused on an area of the Idaho vein which is south of and deeper than the current mining area. The drilling was successful in delineating a higher grade section of the Idaho vein which was converted to ore reserves as of December 31, 2006.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had a capitalized development plus investment cost of \$110,718 associated with the Golden Chest mine.

Exploration and Development Plans

It became evident in late 2006 that the Katie vein oreshoot was declining in gold grade from the grade found on the upper level. So in early 2007, we made the decision to suspend mining of the Katie oreshoot and focus our efforts on driving the decline ramp to the reserves outlined on the Idaho vein. A design has been completed and mining of the new ramp has started. The plan calls for 600 meters of ramp to be driven at a -15% grade to intercept the Idaho vein at the 865 level. Included in the Idaho ramp plan is the development of a secondary escape through the historical Idaho No. 3 level which is expected to be intercepted by the new ramp. It is expected that the Idaho ramp project will take at least 18 months to reach the target 865 level.

Geology and Reserves

Company geologists have completed the description of the geology of the Golden Chest mine. Reserve calculations were completed by the Company's geologist and engineer. Verification of the area's

geology can be found from third party published reports by Philip J. Shenon (Idaho Bureau of Mines Pamphlet No. 47) and unpublished reports by Newmont Mining Corporation.

Geology

Gold mineralization occurs in veins associated with a thrust fault that has exploited the top of a quartzite unit on the east limb of a north-trending synclinal fold. The mineralization occurs in two types of quartz veins which are generally conformable to bedding of the Prichard formation of Proterozoic age. Thin banded veins, occurring in argillite, contain visible gold, pyrite, arsenopyrite, galena, and sphalerite. Thicker, massive veins occur in quartzite and contain pyrite, sphalerite, galena, chalcopyrite, scheelite and rare visible gold. Gold mineralization is of Mesozoic age and related to granitic intrusive rocks.

Reserves

Ore grades and dimensions of the reserve block are based on nine diamond drillholes through the Idaho vein with an average spacing of 40 meters. Samples are collected by sawing the selected mineralized section of core in half and submitting one-half to the assay lab and retaining the other half in the Company's secure storage facility. Reserves were calculated by the Company's geologist and engineer using a polygonal method with a cutoff grade of 4 gpt gold, and a minimum mining width of 2 meters.

The reserves were calculated using actual 2006 operating costs of a 400 tonne per month operation which includes the following costs: underground mining costs, haulage costs for hauling ore to the Company's mineral processing plant about 40 miles distant, and processing costs with a flotation mineral processing plant recovering 94% of the gold. Gold prices used were those on December 31, 2006 or \$20.32/gram (\$632.00/troy ounce).

| Classification | Metric Tonnes | Gold Grade (grams per tonne) | Ounces of Gold |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| Proven & Probable | 158,958 | 5.52 | 28,214 |

The reserve tonnages are diluted. That is, the expected dilution from underground mining is accounted for in the grade and tonnage of the reserve blocks.

NIAGARA PROJECT

Location

The Niagara copper-silver deposit is located near the forks of Eagle Creek about seven kilometers north of the Company's Golden Chest operation. The property is without known ore reserves. Nine unpatented claims cover the deposit which is about 180 acres. Access to the site is maintained through the use of a US Forest Service road which is closed to the general public. No electrical energy is present at the site.

Mineral Lease

The Company signed an Exploration Agreement with Revett Metals Associates (RMA) in December 2006. The Exploration Agreement has a term of five years, beginning on December 2, 2006, and is for nine unpatented claims that cover the deposit. In addition, the Exploration Agreement covers an Area of Mutual Interest within ½ mile of the property excluding property valued primarily for its gold mineralization. Upon signing the Agreement, the Company issued 30,000 shares of restricted common stock to RMA and paid \$4,500. At each anniversary of the signing, the Company has agreed to pay \$3,000 and issue 30,000 shares of restricted common stock to RMA. Any time prior to the expiration of the Exploration Agreement, the Company can exercise an option to convert the Exploration Agreement to a Mining Agreement. If exercised, the Mining Agreement would have a term of 25 years, and the Company would pay a NSR to RMA of 3.0% on ores or concentrates mined on the property. The

Company is granted the option to purchase 90% of the NSR from RMA for \$2,500,000 which would leave a remaining royalty of 0.3% .

History

An exploration program completed by Earth Resources Company on the Niagara property in the 1970's identified a large volume of copper-silver mineralization within the Revett formation. Their exploration program included eight drill holes and six trenches on the outcrop of the mineralized strata. Earth Resources also completed metallurgical testwork that indicated conventional flotation will achieve recoveries of 94% for copper and 90% for silver. Earth Resources also completed preliminary economic studies on the deposit. Kennecott owned the claims that cover the Niagara deposit for a period time after Earth Resources. Revett Metals Associates re-staked the property in 2004 after Kennecott dropped the claims.

Exploration and Development Plans

Very little exploration or development work has taken place on the property since Earth Resources work in the 1970 s. A network of roads was constructed on the site to provide drill stations and allow logging of the site. Some clearing of brush and minimal dozer work will be required to re-open these roads for more drilling. Revett Metals Associates submitted a Plan of Operations (POO) to the USFS for a drilling program in September 2006 which has been assumed by NJMC.

Once the POO is approved by the USFS, we plan to conduct a diamond drilling program on the Niagara deposit with the primary goal to perform in-fill drilling to upgrade the quality of the resource estimate to the level sufficient for a feasibility study. The feasibility study would evaluate the economics of an open pit and/or underground mining operation with a mill processing in the range of 5,000 tonnes per day. A secondary goal of the drilling program will be to drill deeper holes that will probe into the lower Revett formation to explore for a deeper copper-silver deposit

As of December 31, 2006, the Company had an investment cost of \$19,500 associated with the Niagara project.

Geology

The Niagara deposit occurs in a section of mineralized upper Revett formation near the axis of a north-south striking syncline. The western limb of the syncline has been truncated by the north-south striking Murray Peak fault, a steep, west dipping reverse fault. Other faults offset the mineralized zone slightly. In the Niagara deposit, the mineralization occurs in the upper Revett Formation, which here is a light gray, massive quartzite with thin siltite interbeds. The mineralized horizon crops out along the East Fork Eagle Creek and is approximately 30m below the contact with the overlying St. Regis Formation. Copper minerals include bornite, chalcopyrite, chalcocite and some copper oxide minerals. Silver minerals include stromeyerite and jalpaite. Pyrite and galena also occur in trace amounts.

GOLD BUTTE PROSPECT

Location

The Gold Butte is an exploration prospect without known ore reserves. It is located near the head end of Butte Gulch near Murray, Idaho, and is about seven kilometers northeast of the Golden Chest mine. The property is accessible via the State Line dirt road near the Jack Waite mine which is maintained by the USFS. The road is typically accessible from May through November. No electrical energy is available at the site.

Mineral Property

In 2006, we staked six unpatented lode claims that cover about 124 acres. The claims are wholly owned by the Company.

History

Historic workings on the property consist of a network of roads and trails accessing a series of five portals spaced vertically on the hillside, along with some bulldozer trenches and miscellaneous prospect pits. Two old drillhole collars also were also found. From historic records, it appears that most of this work was done by a local company named Idaho Goldfields.

Present Condition and Work Completed

The roads constructed by the historic operator are still present at the site, but will require a minor amount of brush clearing and dozer work to make them usable for our planned drilling program. From our interpretation of the mineralized zone, it appears the historic drillholes were oriented incorrectly. During the 2006 season, we completed a soil sampling, and a geophysical survey program which both suggest the mineralized zone may extend 400 meters to the north.

Exploration Plan

We submitted a POO to the USFS in late 2006 for a planned diamond drill program consisting of 1,200 meters. This project is our highest priority exploration drilling project, and the timing of the drilling is dependent on the USFS completing biological surveys this spring. Preliminary indications from the USFS indicate that the permitting may be completed by late summer 2007.

Geology

Preliminary geologic interpretation of the prospect indicates the presence of a zone of pyritic, silicified breccia parallel to the axial plane of a small fold in the Prichard Formation, on the east limb of the north-trending Trout Creek Anticline. The mineralized zone outcrops over a strike length of at least 200 meters and has a vertical extent of 120 meters. Soil sampling indicates the zone may continue north for at least another 400 meters, with a width of 75 to 90 meters. Geochemical analysis of the soil samples shows anomalous concentrations of molybdenum, tellurium and antimony.

CA-TOBOGGAN AREA PROSPECT

Summary

The CA-Toboggan area prospect is an exploration property without known ore reserves. In 2006, the Company staked fourteen claims covering an area of about 290 acres in the East Fork of Eagle Creek drainage. These claims are wholly owned by the Company. The claims can be accessed from May through November using a USFS dirt road. No electrical energy is available at the site. Prior geophysical exploration work by Cominco-American in this area in the mid 1980's found a large CSAMT geophysical anomaly, roughly two square kilometers in area. In 1987, Cominco American drilled a hole 500 meters in depth in the center of the anomaly but did not intersect any significant mineralization nor any obvious reasons for the large anomaly. It is possible that the exploration hole was not deep enough. Our exploration efforts in the summer of 2006 revealed that the area around the CA prospect has a geochemical signature which may be indicative of gold mineralization. NJMC filed an operational plan in December 2006 with the US Forest Service to drill at least 2,000 meters at the CA prospect from three planned drill sites which are located on existing roads.

More study of the geophysical anomaly will be done before drilling is started, including a surveying of the area with sensitive VLF instruments. NJMC intends to continue exploration in the area because of the promising indications of geochemistry and geophysics.

LOST EAGLE PROSPECT

Summary

The Lost Eagle is an exploration prospect without known ore reserves. It is located in the West Fork of Eagle Creek near Murray, Idaho. The outcrop of the Lost Eagle vein is located on the hillside above a USFS road. Access to the outcrop is by foot only. Electrical power is not available at the site. The Company's five lode claims cover 103 acres and are on public land administered by the USFS. The project is a gold and silver exploration project. The Lost Eagle vein is a quartz vein carrying sulfides that outcrops in the Revett formation. The sulfide minerals found in the vein are galena, pyrite, hessite and petzite. Hessite is a silver telluride mineral and petzite is a gold-silver telluride mineral. The presence of tellurides is thought to be significant as tellurides are usually associated with high-grade gold deposits near alkaline-igneous bodies. However, no known igneous bodies are mapped in the area. Historical workings included two short adits, about 5 meters long, located along the strike of a quartz vein system. An upper adit established by the old-timers exposes the vein where it is mineralized. Work completed by the Company includes re-opening of the adit, channel sampling the vein, geochemical soil sampling, and a geophysical survey. As of December 31, 2006, the Company had an investment cost of \$5,000 associated with this property.

In 2003, the Company completed two diamond drillholes each about 185 meters in length. Both holes intercepted abundant alteration including carbonate enrichment, potassic alteration, and a halo of specular hematite and pyrite with occasional galena. Gold and silver values were only weakly anomalous. Anomalous levels of molybdenum were also discovered by the 2003 drilling. No exploration work has been completed on the property since 2003. Exploration plans call for additional diamond drilling but the holes will be collared closer to the outcrop by using a helicopter to place the drill.

WISCONSIN-TEDDY PROSPECT

Summary

The Wisconsin-Teddy is an exploration project without known ore reserves. The project area lies north of the New Jersey mine and is accessed by a local frontage road. Electrical power is available adjacent to the site. The Company's claims cover 83 acres. The claims are unpatented and are on public land administered by the U.S. Bureau of Land Management. The project is a base metal exploration project in the Prichard formation. Several tunnels with an aggregate length of 2,000 feet were driven on the property prior to 1930. This development was related to two veins systems - a copper-gold vein and a zinc-lead-silver vein. Work completed by the Company included the opening of the Teddy underground workings, sampling on the surface and underground, and geologic mapping. Two exploration holes were drilled in the summer of 2003 and anomalous base metal mineralization was found. No exploration work has been completed since 2003 and there are no plans for additional exploration work in 2007.

SILVER BUTTON/ROUGHWATER PROSPECT

Summary

The Silver Button is an exploration project without known ore reserves and covers an area of 20 acres and is located in the Clark Fork mining district of northern Idaho. Clark Fork is about 60 miles north of Kellogg, Idaho. The property was staked by the Company in 2004 and is located in the Lightning Creek drainage. Float collected from over a 100 m length of a vein subcrop on a talus slope contained silver minerals as identified by microscopic analyses and by chemical analyses. Access to the site is via foot trail and no electrical power is available at the site. Only preliminary field sampling and claim staking have taken place at the prospect. A Plan of Operations for a helicopter-mobilized core-drilling program has been submitted to the US Forest Service (USFS). Modifications to the Plan of Operations were made

after meeting with the USFS in June of 2005. A site visit was made with USFS personnel in 2006, but the USFS has yet to indicate if or when the Plan of Operations will be approved. Exploration drilling will be dependent on the Company's ability to raise sufficient funds and the timely receipt of a permit from the USFS. As of December 31, 2006, the Company had an investment cost of \$25,500 associated with this property.

ITEM 3.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is not currently involved in any legal proceedings and is not aware of any pending or potential legal actions.

ITEM 4.

SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of shareholders during the fourth quarter of 2006.

PART II

ITEM 5.

MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Market Information

The Company's stock trades on the NASD's OTCBB under the symbol "NJMC". The Company began trading on the OTCBB on January 28, 1998 following its merger with Plainview Mining Company, Inc.

The following table sets forth, for the respective periods indicated, the prices for the Company's Common Stock in the over-the-counter market according to the NASD's OTC Bulletin Board. These prices represent inter-dealer quotations, without adjustments for retail markups, markdowns or commissions and may not necessarily represent actual transactions. All prices in the following table have been rounded to the nearest whole cent.

| Year Ending December 31, 2006 | High Bid | Low Bid |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| First Quarter | \$0.80 | \$0.32 |
| Second Quarter | \$1.25 | \$0.64 |
| Third Quarter | \$0.74 | \$0.40 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$0.68 | \$0.41 |
| Year Ending December 31, 2005 | High Bid | Low Bid |
| First Quarter | \$0.60 | \$0.45 |
| Second Quarter | \$0.52 | \$0.37 |
| Third Quarter | \$0.45 | \$0.38 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$0.45 | \$0.22 |

Shareholders

As of March 5, 2007 there were 1,203 shareholders of record of the Company's Common Stock. As of March 1, 2006 the Company had issued and outstanding 30,219,319 shares of Common Stock.

Dividend Policy

The Company has not declared or paid cash dividends or made distributions in the past and the Company does not anticipate that it will pay cash dividends or make distributions in the foreseeable future. The Company currently intends to retain and reinvest future earnings, if any, to finance its operations.

Transfer Agent

The transfer agent for the Company's Common Stock is Columbia Stock Transfer Company, 1602 E. Seltice Way #303, Post Falls, Idaho 83854.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The Company has not adopted an equity compensation plan for the award of options, warrants or rights to employees or non-employees. However, on April 27, 2006, the Board of Directors approved a compensation plan for our President, Fred W. Brackebusch, that states that any time over 25 hours per week is compensated with restricted common stock at a rate of \$125 per hour. The number of shares is calculated quarterly using the average bid price for the quarter as quoted by the OTC Bulletin Board. During the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company issued 116,480 and 274,275 shares, respectively, of its restricted common stock valued at \$61,063 and \$111,125, respectively, to Fred Brackebusch for management services.

Occasionally, we pay for goods and services with restricted common stock. Our policy is to determine the fair value of the goods or services, and then issue the number of corresponding shares using the bid price for our common stock as quoted by the OTC Bulletin Board.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

On February 12th 2007 the company completed a non brokered (i.e. no commissions were paid to an underwriter or broker) sale of 2,684,585 units at a price of \$0.40 per unit generating \$1,073,834 in net proceeds. Each unit consisted of one share of restricted common stock plus one half warrant whereby each whole warrant could purchase one share of the Company's restricted common stock at \$0.55 per share until December 31, 2008. The sale was strictly limited to persons in the United States who met certain minimum financial (accredited investors) or sophistication requirements. The offering was made in reliance on exemptions from registration provided by Section 4(2) and Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On April 13, 2006 the Company completed a non brokered (i.e. no commissions were paid to an underwriter or broker) sale of 4,400,000 units at \$.030 per unit generating \$1,320,000 in net proceeds. Each unit consisted of one share of the Company's restricted common stock plus one warrant, whereby each warrant could purchase one share of the company's restricted common stock at \$0.50 per share until March 1, 2008. The sale was strictly limited to persons in the United States who met certain minimum financial (accredited investors) or sophistication requirements. The offering was made in reliance on exemptions from registration provided by Section 4(2) and Rule 506 of Regulation D of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

For the year ending December 31, 2006, the Company issued 462,740 shares of restricted common stock for management and director's fees, equipment, services, exploration and a mining lease payment. A value of \$216,150 (for an average value of \$0.47 per share) was assigned to these fees, services, and equipment. See the statement of shareholder's equity for a detailed list. The transactions were strictly limited to persons in the United States who met certain minimum financial (accredited investors) or sophistication requirements. In management's opinion, the securities were issued pursuant to exemption from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

ITEM 6.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION, ANALYSIS, AND PLAN OF OPERATIONS

Plan of Operation

The Company is executing its strategy to conduct mining and mineral processing operations on higher grade ore reserves it has located on its exploration properties. The financial strategy is to generate cash from these operations to pay for corporate expenses and to provide additional funds for exploration, thus reducing the need to raise funds through financing activities including sale of common stock. The Company plans to continue exploration for gold, silver and base metal deposits in the greater Coeur d'Alene Mining District of northern Idaho. The strategy includes finding and developing ore reserves in order to increase production of gold, silver, and base metals.

The Company has four properties at which most exploration is being conducted; the Niagara, the Golden Chest, the Silver Strand, and the Coleman. The Niagara copper-silver deposit was acquired during the year. The Niagara deposit was drilled in the 1970's, and although more drilling is needed, the Company will conduct economic studies to determine if the deposit can be mined profitably. Production of gold ore occurred during the year at the Golden Chest mine, but production has been suspended while a ramp is being driven to access a block of reserves discovered by drilling from surface. Permitting has been completed and production of silver-gold ore is planned at the Silver Strand mine in 2007. An exploration crosscut is being driven at the Coleman underground mine where drilling has indicated gold-silver mineralization. Production from lower grade reserves at the Coleman open pit mine may be conducted to fill up mill capacity.

The Company completed six more drillholes into the Idaho vein at the Golden Chest mine during the year. With a total of 10 drillholes penetrating the Idaho vein, sufficient information is available to designate a block of ore reserves of 159,000 tonnes grading 5.52 grams/tonne gold.

Mining operations on the Katie Dora vein at the Golden Chest mine were conducted in 2006. By year end most of the Katie Dora reserves had been mined.

At the Silver Strand mine, operating permits have been received for mining and exploration. Mining plans are to develop infrastructure, drive a new adit, and mine a reserve block above the main adit level in 2007. Drilling plans are to test geophysical anomalies which were found in 2004.

Management also thinks exploration in the New Jersey mine area has promising potential. Previous drilling has indicated higher grade mineralization in the North Coleman vein area and at the Scotch Thistle prospect, and interesting silver-base metal mineralization has been drilled at the Enterprise prospect. A crosscut at the lowest adit level, the 740 level, is being driven to test the North Coleman area, and further drilling may be conducted at the Scotch Thistle and Enterprise prospects.

In 2006, a concentrate leach circuit at the New Jersey mineral processing plant was designed and was 70% completed. It is planned to complete the leach circuit in 2007 and to commission the process. Concentrate will be leached and a gold-silver dore produced for sale. The reason for adding the concentrate leach circuit was to obtain more revenue compared to selling concentrates by increasing recovery and eliminating concentrate freight as well as to avoid any potential difficulties in marketing concentrates. In 2006, the Company's customer for pyrite concentrates quit buying concentrates. Two shipments were made to another customer, but with the availability of the concentrate leach circuit, no further concentrate shipments are planned.

In 2006, an exploration program was conducted in the Murray area where the Golden Chest mine is located. The program was successful in finding new drilling targets. The most promising target is called the Gold Butte prospect where a 50 m wide breccia zone with gold mineralization occurs. The Niagara deposit was also acquired as part of the 2006 exploration effort. The Company has ordered a core drilling rig and plans to conduct its own drilling operations in 2007. Operating permits have been submitted to the U.S. Forest Service for exploration drilling in the Murray area. The Company's long term objective in the Murray area is to develop enough ore reserves to justify construction of a larger mineral processing plant in the area.

Financial Condition-The Company maintains an adequate cash balance by increasing or decreasing its exploration expenditures as limited by availability of cash from operations or from financing activities. The cash balance at the end of 2006 was \$276,821 (including certificates of deposit), and Figure 1 shows the corresponding balances for previous accounting periods.

Results of Operations-Revenue for the year 2006 was \$339,077 compared to \$261,293 in 2005. Figure 2 shows the net loss for the fourth quarter of 2006 of \$190,812 and the net losses in previous accounting periods. The net loss for 2006 was \$991,602 compared to a net loss of \$590,485 in 2005. The net loss increased in 2006 because of higher exploration and management costs.

Gold production in pyrite concentrates and bullion was 228 ounces in the fourth quarter and 679 ounces for the year 2006 as compared to 337 and 824 ounces for the respective 2005 periods. Gold production was lower in 2006 due to lower grade ore than 2005. Gold production is expected to be approximately 100 ounces per quarter during the next two quarters and then to increase, along with silver production, when Silver Strand ore processing starts.

Mining operations at the Golden Chest mine are expected to be suspended for approximately one year while the access ramp is being extended to the Idaho vein reserves. Once the Idaho vein ramp development is completed there will be enough reserves for many years of mining at the current rate of 4,000 tonnes/year.

Mining and mineral processing operations have continued year around through the winter months even though occasional storms delay operations. Mineral processing equipment is cleaned out in the winter period to supplement production in the cold months. Experience is that about 20% of gold production comes from cleanout.

Mining operations are planned to start at the Silver Strand mine in 2007, however a capital expenditure of approximately \$300,000 will be required to bring the mine into production, although not all that amount will have to be spent in 2007. Operating results at the Silver Strand mine will depend upon the price of silver as well as gold. Present silver and gold prices are sufficient in management's estimation to generate a gross profit at the Silver Strand mine based on the operating plan which was part of the permitting process.

No additional capital expenditures are required for the mineral processing plant in 2007, however the concentrate leaching plant will be completed and commissioned in 2007. Mill feed will come from the Coleman open pit and the Silver Strand mine in 2007.

The amount of money to be spent on exploration at the Company's mines and prospects will depend

upon the amount of gross profit generated by operations and the amount of money raised by financing activities. Basically, management expects to be able to continue the present operating scenario with its three active mines and mineral processing plant indefinitely, but expanded exploration or production activities depend upon the results of financing activities.

[The balance of this page has been intentionally left blank.]

ITEM 7.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors
New Jersey Mining Company

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of New Jersey Mining Company (*A Development Stage Company*) (the Company) as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related statements of operations, changes in stockholders equity and accumulated comprehensive income, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audits, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of New Jersey Mining Company as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DeCoria, Maichel & Teague P.S.
/s/ DeCoria, Maichel & Teague P.S.

Spokane, Washington
February 28, 2007

New Jersey Mining Company
(A Development Stage Company)
Table of Contents

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---|--------------|
| <u>Balance Sheets, December 31, 2006 and 2005</u> | <u>29</u> |
| <u>Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 and from the date of inception on July 18, 1996 through December 31, 2006 (Unaudited)</u> | <u>30</u> |
| <u>Statement of Changes in Stockholders Equity and Accumulated Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 and for the period from inception on July 18, 1996 through December 31, 2006 (Unaudited)</u> | <u>31-33</u> |
| <u>Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 and from the date of inception on July 18, 1996 through December 31, 2006 (Unaudited)</u> | <u>34</u> |
| <u>Notes to Financial Statements</u> | <u>35-48</u> |

[The balance of this page has been intentionally left blank.]

New Jersey Mining Company
(A Development Stage Company)

Balance Sheets

December 31, 2006 and 2005

ASSETS

| | <u>2006</u> | <u>2005</u> |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 76,821 | \$ 16,863 |
| Certificates of deposit | 200,000 | |
| Investment in marketable equity security at market (cost-\$7,500) | 918,750 | |
| Accounts receivable | 13,628 | |
| Inventory | 132,086 | 68,810 |
| Total current assets | 1,341,285 | 85,673 |
| Building and equipment, net of depreciation | 1,061,064 | 660,225 |
| Mineral properties, net of amortization | 810,970 | 834,807 |
| Other assets | 2,500 | |
| Total assets | \$ 3,215,819 | \$ 1,580,705 |

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

| | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 112,633 | \$ 97,271 |
| Accrued payroll and related payroll expenses | 31,521 | 9,001 |
| Obligations under capital lease-current portion | 35,472 | 19,395 |
| Equipment notes payable-current portion | 12,615 | |
| Total current liabilities | 192,241 | 125,667 |
| Accrued reclamation costs | 18,000 | 12,500 |
| Obligation under capital lease-non-current | 83,896 | 53,432 |
| Equipment notes payable-non-current | 28,278 | |
| Total liabilities | 322,415 | 191,599 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 8) | | |
| Stockholders equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, no par value, 1,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding | | |
| Common stock no par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized; 2006-27,586,485 and 2005-22,602,495 shares issued and outstanding | 5,027,317 | 3,442,667 |
| Deficit accumulated during the development stage | (3,045,163) | (2,053,561) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | | |
| Unrealized gain in marketable equity security | 911,250 | |
| Total stockholders equity | 2,893,404 | 1,389,106 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 3,215,819 | \$ 1,580,705 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

New Jersey Mining Company
(A Development Stage Company)

Statements of Operations

For the Years Ended December 31, 2006 and 2005,

And from Inception (July 18, 1996) through December 31, 2006

| | December 31, | | From Inception (July 18, 1996) Through December 31, 2006 (Unaudited) |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| | 2006 | 2005 | |
| Revenue: | | | |
| Sales of gold | \$ 40,429 | \$ 9,280 | \$ 49,709 |
| Sales of concentrate | 298,648 | 252,013 | 550,661 |
| Total revenue | 339,077 | 261,293 | 600,370 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | |
| Production costs | 333,549 | 242,482 | 576,032 |
| Management | 284,454 | 227,586 | 648,508 |
| Exploration | 319,954 | 132,242 | 1,090,586 |
| Gain on sale of mineral property | (90,000) | | (90,000) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 122,786 | 57,790 | 180,576 |
| General and administrative expenses | 342,780 | 226,466 | 1,185,435 |
| Total operating expenses | 1,313,523 | 886,566 | 3,591,137 |
| Other (income) expense: | | | |
| Timber sales (net) | 800 | (51,695) | (50,902) |
| Net royalties and other income | 11,186 | 9,391 | (41,239) |
| Interest expense (net) | 5,170 | 7,516 | 25,587 |
| Write-off of goodwill | | - | 30,950 |
| Write-off of investment | | - | 90,000 |
| Total other (income) expense | 17,156 | (34,788) | 54,396 |
| Net loss | \$ 991,602 | \$ 590,485 | \$ 3,045,163 |
| Net loss per common share-basic | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.19 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding-basic | 26,298,167 | 22,114,878 | 15,791,857 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

New Jersey Mining Company*(A Development Stage Company)***Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Accumulated Comprehensive Income
For the Years Ended December 31, 2005, and 2006, and for the Period
From Inception (July 18, 1996) Through December 31, 2006 (unaudited)**

| | Common Stock Shares | Common Stock Amount | Accumulated Deficit | Accum. Other Comprehensive Income | Treasury Stock | Total Stockholders' Equity |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Issuance of common stock for: | | | | | | |
| Assets and liabilities of New Jersey Joint Venture | 10,000,000 | \$ 207,968 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 207,968 |
| Acquisition of Plainview Mining Company | 1,487,748 | 148,000 | | | | 148,000 |
| Cash from sales | 228,816 | 110,115 | | | | 110,115 |
| Services | 14,000 | - | | | | |
| Net loss | | | (44,174) | | | (44,174) |
| Balance, December 31, 1997 | 11,730,564 | 466,083 | (44,174) | | | 421,909 |
| Issuance of common stock for: | | | | | | |
| Acquisition of Plainview Mining Company | 1,512,252 | 152,000 | | | | 152,000 |
| Cash from sales | 117,218 | 29,753 | | | | 29,753 |
| Services | 18,000 | - | | | | - |
| Treasury stock acquired with Plainview acquisition | | | | | (136,300) | (136,300) |
| Net loss | | | (30,705) | | | (30,705) |
| Balance, December 31, 1998 | 13,378,034 | 647,836 | (74,879) | | (136,300) | 436,657 |
| Issuance of common stock for services | 79,300 | - | | | | |

Edgar Filing: NEW JERSEY MINING CO - Form 10KSB

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Net loss | | | (23,738) | | (23,738) |
| Balance, December 31, 1999 | 13,457,334 | 647,836 | (98,617) | (136,300) | 412,919 |
| Issuance of common stock for: | | | | | |
| Silver Strand property | 50,000 | 68,750 | | | 68,750 |
| Services | 62,100 | 4,313 | | | 4,313 |
| Net loss | | | (20,492) | | (20,492) |
| Balance, December 31, 2000 | 13,569,434 | 720,899 | (119,109) | (136,300) | 465,490 |
| Issuance of common stock for: | | | | | |
| Grenfel lease | 1,000,000 | 100,000 | | | 100,000 |
| Lost Eagle property | 50,000 | 5,000 | | | 5,000 |
| Roughwater property | 255,000 | 25,500 | | | 25,500 |
| Services | 68,400 | 6,840 | | | 6,840 |
| Net loss | | | (6,448) | | (6,448) |
| Balance, December 31, 2001 | 14,942,834 | 858,239 | (125,557) | (136,300) | 596,382 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

New Jersey Mining Company*(A Development Stage Company)***Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income, continued:
For the Years Ended December 31, 2005, and 2006, and for the Period
From Inception (July 18, 1996) Through December 31, 2006 (unaudited)**

| | Common Stock | | Accumulated | Accum. Other | Treasury | Total |
|--|--------------|------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Deficit | Comprehensive | Stock | Stockholders' |
| | | | | Income | | Equity |
| Balance, December 31, 2001 | 14,942,834 | \$ 858,239 | \$ (125,557) | \$ | \$ (136,300) | \$ 596,382 |
| Issuance of common stock for: | | | | | | |
| Cash | 1,700,000 | 255,000 | | | | 255,000 |
| Services | 9,835 | 1,475 | | | | 1,475 |
| Directors fees | 15,000 | 2,250 | | | | 2,250 |
| Acquisition of Gold Run Gulch Mining Company | 1,916,250 | 273,954 | | | | 273,954 |
| Net loss, as previously reported | | | (51,307) | | | (51,307) |
| Balance, December 31, 2002, as previously reported | 18,583,919 | 1,390,918 | (176,864) | | (136,300) | 1,077,754 |
| Change in accounting for exploration costs | | | (9,883) | | | (9,883) |
| Correction of error in accounting for stock issuance costs | (25,500) | 25,500 | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2002, restated | 18,583,919 | 1,365,418 | (161,247) | | (136,300) | 1,067,871 |
| Issuance of common stock for: | | | | | | |
| Exercise of stock purchase warrants | 810,000 | 200,750 | | | | 200,750 |

Edgar Filing: NEW JERSEY MINING CO - Form 10KSB

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| Cash, net of issuance costs | 795,000 | 318,000 | | 318,000 |
| | 381,200 | 144,326 | | 144,326 |
| Management and directors fees | | | | |
| Equipment | 5,000 | 3,000 | | 3,000 |
| Services | 21,915 | 7,262 | | 7,262 |
| Exploration lease | 20,000 | 8,000 | | 8,000 |
| Treasury stock cancelled | (1,947,144) | (136,300) | 136,300 | |
| Net loss | | | (379,274) | (379,274) |
| Balance, December 31, 2003 | 18,669,890 | 1,910,456 | (540,521) | - |
| | | | | 1,369,935 |
| Issuance of common stock for: | | | | |
| Exercise of stock purchase warrants | 1,437,500 | 398,750 | | 398,750 |
| Cash | 1,184,550 | 511,440 | | 511,440 |
| | 153,460 | 102,273 | | 102,273 |
| Management and directors fees | | | | |
| Equipment | 28,650 | 16,476 | | 16,476 |
| Services | 26,750 | 14,550 | | 14,550 |
| Exploration lease | 20,000 | 12,000 | | 12,000 |
| Net loss | | | (922,555) | (922,555) |
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | 21,520,800 | 2,965,945 | (1,463,076) | - |
| | | | | 1,502,869 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

New Jersey Mining Company*(A Development Stage Company)***Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Accumulated Comprehensive Income, continued:
For the Years Ended December 31, 2005, and 2006, and for the Period
From Inception (July 18, 1996) Through December 31, 2006 (unaudited)**

| | Common Stock Shares | Common Stock Amount | Accumulated Deficit | Accum. Other Comprehensive Income | Treasury Stock | Total Stockholders' Equity |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | 21,520,800 | \$ 2,965,945 | \$ (1,463,076) | \$ | | \$ 1,502,869 |
| Issuance of common stock for: | | | | | | |
| Cash | 309,100 | 125,000 | | | | 125,000 |
| Exercise of stock purchase warrants | 195,250 | 78,100 | | | | 78,100 |
| Management and directors fees | 334,275 | 132,725 | | | | 132,725 |
| Services | 82,170 | 37,826 | | | | 37,826 |
| Exploration and lease | 149,400 | 74,321 | | | | 74,321 |
| Equipment | 11,500 | 4,700 | | | | 4,700 |
| Value of shares issued in prior years | | 24,050 | | | | 24,050 |
| Net loss | | | (590,485) | | | (590,485) |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | 22,602,495 | 3,442,667 | \$ (2,053,561) | | | \$ 1,389,106 |
| Issuance of common stock for: | | | | | | |
| Cash | 4,521,250 | 1,368,500 | | | | 1,368,500 |
| Management and directors fees | 236,480 | 127,063 | | | | 127,063 |
| Services | 162,860 | 56,137 | | | | 56,137 |
| Exploration | 10,000 | 5,750 | | | | 5,750 |
| Lease | 30,000 | 15,000 | | | | 15,000 |
| Equipment | 23,400 | 12,200 | | | | 12,200 |
| | | | | \$ 911,250 | | 911,250 |

Unrealized
gain in
marketable
equity
security

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|----|-----------|-----------|-------------|----|---------|-----------|---|----|-----------|
| Net loss | | | | (991,602) | | | | (991,602) | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2006 | 27,586,485 | \$ | 5,027,317 | \$ | (3,045,163) | \$ | 911,250 | \$ | 0 | \$ | 2,893,404 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

New Jersey Mining Company*(A Development Stage Company)***Statements of Cash Flows****For the Years Ended December 31, 2006 and 2005,****And from Inception (July 18, 1996) through December 31, 2006**

| | Years Ended December 31, | | From Inception (July 18, 1996) through December 31, 2006 (Unaudited) |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------|--|
| | <u>2006</u> | <u>2005</u> | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (991,602) | \$ (590,485) | \$ (3,045,163) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 122,785 | 57,790 | 180,575 |
| Write-off of equipment | | 11,272 | 11,272 |
| Write-off of goodwill and investment | | | 120,950 |
| Gain on sale of mineral property | (90,000) | | (90,000) |
| Stock issued for: | | | |
| Management and directors fees | 127,063 | 148,625 | 524,537 |
| Services and other | 61,887 | 37,826 | 134,154 |
| Exploration | | 16,321 | 36,321 |
| Change in: | | | |
| Inventories | (63,276) | (68,810) | (132,086) |
| Accounts receivable | (13,628) | | (13,628) |
| Other assets | (2,500) | 2,346 | (778) |
| Accounts payable | 15,362 | 89,160 | 109,663 |
| Accrued payroll and related payroll expense | 22,520 | 3,286 | 31,521 |
| Accounts payable to related party | | | |
| Accrued reclamation costs | 5,500 | | 18,000 |
| Net cash used by operating activities | (805,889) | (292,669) | (2,114,662) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Purchases of buildings and equipment | (384,972) | (30,600) | (784,440) |
| Purchase of mineral property | (4,500) | | (10,404) |
| Proceeds from sale of mineral property | 120,000 | | 120,000 |
| Purchase of certificates of deposits | (200,000) | | (200,000) |
| Purchase of marketable equity security | (7,500) | | (7,500) |
| Cash of acquired companies | | | 38,269 |
| Deferral of development costs | | | (225,535) |
| Net cash used by investing activities | (476,972) | (30,600) | (1,069,610) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Exercise of stock purchase warrants | | 78,100 | 677,600 |
| Sales of common stock, net of issuance costs | 1,368,500 | 125,000 | 2,692,307 |
| Principal payments on capital lease | (21,286) | (14,732) | (84,419) |
| Payments on notes payable to bank | (4,395) | | (24,395) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 1,342,819 | 188,368 | 3,261,093 |

Edgar Filing: NEW JERSEY MINING CO - Form 10KSB

| | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net change in cash | 59,958 | (134,901) | 76,821 |
| Cash, beginning of period | 16,863 | 151,764 | 0 |
| Cash, end of period | \$ 76,821 | \$ 16,863 | \$ 76,821 |
| Interest paid in cash | \$ 9,766 | \$ 7,516 | \$ 20,324 |

Non-cash investing and financing activities:

Common stock issued for:

| | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Equipment | \$ 12,200 | \$ 5,850 | \$ 34,526 |
| Mineral properties | \$ 15,000 | 65,000 | \$ 279,300 |
| Acquisitions of companies, excluding cash | | | \$ 743,653 |
| Capital lease obligation for equipment acquired | \$ 67,828 | \$ 17,485 | \$ 178,588 |
| Notes payable for equipment acquired | \$ 45,287 | | \$ 45,287 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

New Jersey Mining Company
(A Development Stage Company)
Notes to Financial Statements

1. Description of Business

New Jersey Mining Company (the Company) was incorporated as an Idaho corporation on July 18, 1996. The Company's primary business is exploring for and developing gold, silver and base metal mineral resources in the Greater Coeur d Alene Mining District of North Idaho and extending into Western Montana.

The Company has started minor production from high grade reserves located near the surface with the strategy to generate cash to be used for additional exploration to discover major mineral resources on its properties. The Company has not yet developed sufficient reserves to justify investment in a major mine, thus it remains in the development stage.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Development Stage Enterprise

The Company's financial statements are prepared pursuant to the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 7, Accounting for Development Stage Enterprises, as it devotes substantially all of its efforts to acquiring and developing mining interests that will eventually provide sufficient net profits to sustain the Company's existence. Until such interests are engaged in major commercial production, the Company will continue to prepare its financial statements and related disclosures in accordance with entities in the development stage.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized when title and risk of ownership of metals or metal bearing concentrate have passed and collection is reasonably assured. Revenue from the sale of metals may be subject to adjustment upon final settlement of estimated metal prices, weights and assays, and are recorded as adjustments to revenue in the period of final settlement of prices, weights and assays; such adjustments are typically not material in relation to the initial invoice amounts. The majority of the Company's gold concentrate sales have been to Barrick Goldstrike Mines of Carlin, Nevada. Recent concentrate shipments have been made through H & H Metals Corp. of White Plains, New York to a smelter in Mexico.

Inventory

Dore' and concentrate inventories are stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value determined by using a weighted average method.

New Jersey Mining Company
(A Development Stage Company)
Notes to Financial Statements

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued:

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the liability method. Under this method deferred income tax liabilities or assets at the end of each period are determined using the tax rate expected to be in effect when the taxes are expected to be paid or recovered. A valuation allowance is recorded to reduce the deferred tax assets, if there is uncertainty regarding their realization.

Fair Values of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of financial instruments including cash and cash equivalents, receivables, investment in marketable equity security, accounts payable, obligations under capital lease and notes payable are approximated at their fair values as of December 31, 2006 and 2005.

Investment in Marketable Equity Security

In compliance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities* (SFAS 115), marketable equity securities are classified as available for sale and are valued at the market rate, including securities for which sale is restricted for a period less than one year. Realized gains and losses on the sale of securities are recognized on a specific identification basis. Unrealized gains and losses are included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), unless a permanent impairment in value has occurred, which would then be charged to current period net income (loss).

Net Loss Per Share

Net loss per share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted net loss per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur from common shares issuable through stock options, warrants, and other convertible securities. For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the effect of the Company's potential issuance of shares from the exercise of warrants would have been anti-dilutive. Accordingly, only basic net loss per share has been presented.

Cash Equivalents

The Company considers cash in banks and other deposits with an original maturity of three months or less, that can be liquidated without prior notice or penalty, to be cash and cash equivalents.

Buildings and Equipment

Buildings and equipment are stated at the lower of cost or estimated net realizable value. Depreciation and amortization is based on the estimated useful lives of the assets and is computed using straight-line and units-of-production methods. When assets are retired or sold, the costs and related allowances for depreciation and amortization are eliminated from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is reflected in operations.

New Jersey Mining Company
(A Development Stage Company)
Notes to Financial Statements

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued:

Mineral Properties

Significant payments related to the acquisition of mineral properties, mineral rights, and mineral leases are capitalized.

If a commercially mineable ore body is discovered, such costs are amortized when production begins using the units-of-production method based on proven and probable reserves. If no commercially mineable ore body is discovered, or such rights are otherwise determined to have no value, such costs are expensed in the period in which it is determined the property has no future economic value.

Mine Exploration and Development Costs

The Company records exploration costs as such in the period they occur. Mine development costs are capitalized after proven and probable reserves have been identified. Amortization is calculated using the units-of-production method over the expected life of the operation based on the estimated recoverable mineral ounces.

Property Evaluations

Annually, or more frequently as circumstances require, the Company evaluates the carrying amounts of its mineral properties, including deferred development costs, to assess whether such amounts are recoverable. Estimated undiscounted future net cash flows from each mineral property are calculated using estimated future production, existing metals prices, operating capital and costs, and reclamation costs. All costs used in the carrying value analyses are un-escalated. Additionally in 2006, an operational certainty factor (OCF) calculation and comparative sales evaluation were introduced. The OCF considers risk factors that may be encountered in bringing a mineral property into production. The risk factors include the probability of: acquiring necessary permits, certainty of tonnage and grade, and technical and operating problems. The comparative sales analysis estimates future value based upon a comparison of known reserves and sales prices for comparable properties. An impairment loss is recognized when the estimated future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest) expected to result from the use of an asset are less than the carrying amount of the asset. The Company's estimates of future cash flows are subject to risks and uncertainties. It is reasonably possible that changes in estimates could occur which may affect the expected recoverability of the Company's investments in mineral properties.

Reclamation and Remediation

The Company's mineral properties have been, and are subject to, standards for mine reclamation that have been established by various governmental agencies. The Company records a liability for the present value of estimated environmental remediation costs and the related asset created with it. The liability will be accreted and the asset will be depreciated over the life of the related assets. Adjustments for changes resulting from the passage of time and changes to either the timing or amount of the original present value estimate underlying the obligation will be made. If there is a current impairment of an asset's carrying value and a decision is made to permanently close the property, changes to the liability will be recognized currently and charged to the provision for closed operations and environmental matters.

New Jersey Mining Company
(A Development Stage Company)
Notes to Financial Statements

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued:

Reclamation and Remediation, continued:

For non-operating properties, the Company accrues costs associated with environmental remediation obligations when it is probable that such costs will be incurred and they are reasonably estimable. Accruals for estimated losses from environmental remediation obligations have historically been recognized no later than completion of the remedial feasibility study for such facility and are charged to provision for closed operations and environmental matters. Costs of future expenditures for environmental remediation are not discounted to their present value unless subject to a contractually obligated fixed payment schedule. Such costs are based on management's estimate of amounts expected to be incurred when the remediation work is to be performed within current laws and regulations. Recoveries of environmental remediation costs from other parties are recorded as assets when their receipt is deemed probable. It is reasonably possible that, due to uncertainties associated with defining the nature and extent of environmental contamination and the application of laws and regulations by regulatory authorities and changes in reclamation or remediation technology, the ultimate cost of reclamation and remediation could change in the future. The Company periodically reviews accrued liabilities for such reclamation and remediation costs as evidence becomes available indicating that its liabilities have potentially changed.

Common Stock Issued Other than for Cash

All transactions in which goods or services are received for the issuance of shares of the Company's common stock are accounted for based on the fair value of the consideration received or the fair value of the common stock issued, whichever is more reliably measurable.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment to be implemented by small business issuers at the beginning of the first interim or annual period beginning after December 15, 2005. This Statement is a revision to SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees under which guidance the intrinsic value method was prescribed for awards to employees for services. SFAS No. 123(R) requires the measurement of the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. The cost will be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award. No compensation cost is recognized for equity instruments for which employees do not render service.

The Company adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective transition method, which requires the application of the accounting standard as of January 1, 2006. There was no impact on the financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006 as a result of the adoption of SFAS 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective transition method, the financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of SFAS 123(R).

New Jersey Mining Company
(A Development Stage Company)
Notes to Financial Statements

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued:

Recent Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157 Fair Value Measurements, which is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and for interim periods within those years. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands the related disclosure requirements. We are currently evaluating the potential impact of this statement on our financial statements and at this time we do not anticipate a material effect.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155 Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments, which amends SFAS No. 133 Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities and SFAS No. 140 Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities. SFAS No. 155 resolves issues addressed in Statement 133 Implementation Issue No. D1 Application of Statement 133 to Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets, and:

- Permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation;
- Clarifies which interest-only strips are not subject to the requirements of SFAS No. 133;
- Establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation;
- Clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives; and
- Amends SFAS No. 140 to eliminate the prohibition on a qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument.

SFAS No. 155 is effective for all financial instruments acquired, issued or subject to a remeasurement event occurring after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. Currently, the adoption of SFAS No. 155 is not expected to have a material effect on our financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities which establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between companies that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. The standard requires companies to provide additional information that will help investors and other users of financial statements to more easily understand the effect of the company's choice to use fair value on its earnings. It also requires entities to display the fair value of those assets and liabilities for which the company has chosen to use fair value on the face of the balance sheet. This Statement is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of this statement on the financial statements and at this time does not anticipate a material effect.

New Jersey Mining Company
(A Development Stage Company)
Notes to Financial Statements

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued:

Recent Pronouncements, continued:

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN No. 48) Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, which will become effective for us beginning January 2007. FIN No. 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in accordance with SFAS No. 109 Accounting for Income Taxes, prescribing a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. We are currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of FIN No. 48 will have on our results of operations, financial condition and disclosures.

3. Buildings and Equipment

Buildings and equipment at December 31, 2006 and 2005, consisted of the following:

| | <u>2006</u> | <u>2005</u> |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Mill building at cost | \$ 128,566 | \$ 55,689 |
| Milling equipment at cost | 844,877 | 549,093 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (46,959) | (13,837) |
| Total mill | \$ 926,484 | \$ 590,945 |
| Building and equipment at cost | 186,992 | 95,766 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (52,412) | (26,486) |
| Total building and equipment | 134,580 | 69,280 |
| Total | \$ 1,061,064 | \$ 660,225 |

At December 31, 2006 and 2005, milling and other equipment included assets under capital lease amounting to \$150,254 and \$82,427, respectively. The leases have been amortized over the terms of the respective lease. Accumulated amortization at December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$36,573 and \$3,900, respectively. At December 31, 2006, the estimated future minimum lease payments under capital leases were as follows:

| Year | |
|---|-----------|
| 2007 | \$ 47,861 |
| 2008 | 44,922 |
| 2009 | 30,532 |
| 2010 | 11,812 |
| 2011 | 11,048 |
| Total | 146,175 |
| Less: Amounts representing interest costs | (26,807) |
| Net present values | 119,368 |
| Less: Capital lease obligations-current portion | 35,472 |
| Long-term capital lease obligations | \$ 83,896 |

New Jersey Mining Company
(A Development Stage Company)
Notes to Financial Statements

4. Equipment Notes Payable

At December 31, 2006, equipment notes payable are as follows.

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Kubota RTV 36 month note payable, 0.00% interest rate; collateralized by equipment with net carrying value of \$10,864; monthly payments of \$494 | \$ 11,366 |
| Dodge Pick Up 60 month note payable, 0.00% interest rate; collateralized by pick-up with net carrying value of \$29,953; monthly payments of \$557 | 29,527 |
| Total equipment notes payable | 40,893 |
| Due within one year | 12,615 |
| Due after one year | \$ 28,278 |

Maturities of long term debt outstanding at December 31, 2006 are as follows: \$12,615 in 2007, \$12,121 in 2008, \$6,685 in 2009, \$6,685 in 2010, and \$2,787 in 2011.

5. Mineral Properties

Mineral properties and deferred development costs are as follows:

| | December 31, 2006 | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| | <u>Properties</u> | <u>Deferred Costs</u> | <u>Capitalized Development</u> | <u>Total</u> |
| New Jersey Mine | | | | |
| Grenfel/Coleman | \$ 365,000 | \$ 228,770 | \$ 4,681 | \$ 598,451 |
| Golden Chest | 65,000 | | 45,718 | 110,718 |
| Silver Strand | 74,704 | 58,300 | | 133,004 |
| Roughwater | 25,500 | | | 25,500 |
| Lost Eagle | 5,000 | | | 5,000 |
| Revett Niagara | 19,500 | | | 19,500 |
| Less Accumulated Amortization | (47,467) | (1,764) | (31,972) | (81,203) |
| Total | \$ 507,237 | \$ 285,306 | \$ 18,427 | \$ 810,970 |

New Jersey Mining Company
(A Development Stage Company)
Notes to Financial Statements

5. Mineral Properties, continued:

| | December 31, 2005 | | |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| | <u>Properties</u> | <u>Deferred</u> | <u>Total</u> |
| | | <u>Costs</u> | |
| New Jersey Mine | | | |
| Grenfel | \$ 100,000 | \$ 7,324 | \$ 107,324 |
| Coleman | 265,000 | 221,446 | 486,446 |
| Golden Chest | 65,000 | | 65,000 |
| Silver Strand | 74,704 | 58,300 | 133,004 |
| CAMP | 30,000 | | 30,000 |
| Roughwater | 25,500 | | 25,500 |
| Lost Eagle | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| Less Accumulated | | | |
| Amortization | (17,467) | | (17,467) |
| Total | \$ 547,737 | \$ 287,070 | \$ 834,807 |

Grenfel

The Company's Grenfel property is a leasehold interest covering the mineral rights of 68 acres located at the New Jersey Mine Area of Interest. The lease was acquired from Mine Systems Design ("MSD") in 2001 in exchange for 1,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The 1,000,000 shares were valued at \$0.10 per share, which approximated the market price for the restricted common stock on the date of the lease. MSD is also a major shareholder of the Company and is owned by Fred Brackebusch and Grant Brackebusch, officers and directors of the Company. The lease has a fifteen year term, and includes a 3% Net Smelter Return ("NSR") royalty that will be paid to MSD on any production achieved from the property.

Coleman

The Coleman property is located at the New Jersey Mine Area of Interest and consists of 62 acres of patented mining claims, mineral rights to 108 acres of fee land, and approximately 130 acres of unpatented mining claims. The Coleman property was acquired in October 2002, with the acquisition of Gold Run Gulch Mining Company.

Silver Strand

The Silver Strand mine consists of 15 unpatented claims and was acquired from Trend Mining Company (Trend) in 2000. The property was purchased in exchange for 50,000 shares of the Company's common stock and a 1.5% NSR royalty initially capped at \$50,000 and then decreasing to 0.5% . In July of 2001, MSD assumed Trend's position in the agreement, and retained the NSR royalty interest. The Company valued the property at \$74,704 which represented the estimated market value of the property at the date of acquisition.

Niagara Project

The Company signed an Exploration Agreement with Revett Metals Associates (RMA) in December 2006. The Exploration Agreement has a term of five years, beginning on December 2, 2006, and is for nine unpatented claims that cover the deposit. In addition, the Exploration

New Jersey Mining Company
(A Development Stage Company)
Notes to Financial Statements

5. Mineral Properties, continued:

Niagara Project continued:

Agreement covers an Area of Mutual Interest within ½ mile of the property excluding property valued primarily for its gold mineralization. Upon signing the Agreement, the Company issued 30,000 shares of restricted common stock valued at \$.050 to RMA and paid \$4,500. At each anniversary of the signing, the Company has agreed to pay \$3,000 and issue 30,000 shares of restricted common stock to RMA. Any time prior to the expiration of the Exploration Agreement, the Company can exercise an option to convert the Exploration Agreement to a Mining Agreement. If exercised, the Mining Agreement would have a term of 25 years, and the Company would pay a NSR to RMA of 3.0% on ores or concentrates mined on the property. The Company is granted the option to purchase 90% of the NSR from RMA for \$2,500,000 which would leave a remaining royalty of 0.3% .

Roughwater/Silver Button

The Silver Button claim is the remaining property of the ten claims acquired from Roughwater Mining Company. During 2005, the other nine Roughwater unpatented claims were dropped. In 2001, the Company purchased the property through the issuance of 255,000 shares of its common stock to Roughwater Mining Company. The shares were valued at \$0.10 per share, for a total acquisition cost of \$25,500.

Lost Eagle

Lost Eagle is a gold and silver exploration project consisting of five claims covering 100 acres of federal land administered by the U.S. Forest Service. In 2001, the Company issued 50,000 shares of stock to an individual to acquire the property. The shares were valued at \$0.10 per share for a total acquisition cost of \$5,000.

Wisconsin Teddy

The Wisconsin Teddy is an exploration project that lies north of the New Jersey Mine and covers 83 acres of unpatented claims on federal land administered by the U.S. Bureau of Land Management. The project has no carrying value.

Zanetti Mining Lease

The Company has been assigned a mining lease with William Zanetti. The lease provides for the Company's exploration, development and mining of minerals on fee land through October 2008 and thereafter, as long as mining operations are deemed continuous. The lease provides for production royalties of 5% of net sales of ores or concentrates. Additional production royalties of 1% to 5% are due if gold exceeds a certain price per troy ounce as adjusted annually by the Consumer Price Index. At December 31, 2006, the gold price that would cause additional production royalties to be payable was \$670 per troy ounce. Also, advance royalties of \$500 are required annually under the lease. These advance royalties are charged to expense as incurred, but are still accumulated and will be credited against production royalty obligations if and when production ensues. The lessors may terminate the lease upon the Company's failure to perform under the terms of the lease; and the Company has the right to terminate the lease at any time.

New Jersey Mining Company
(A Development Stage Company)
Notes to Financial Statements

5. Mineral Properties, continued

Golden Chest Mining Leases

On September 5, 2003, the Company entered into an exploration agreement and lease option with Paymaster Resources, Inc. (Paymaster) to explore the Golden Chest property. The exploration agreement, with option to lease, was subsequently assigned to Metaline Contact Mines (Metaline) in February 2004. The term of the exploration agreement was 2½ years, commencing June 13, 2003. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, the Company conducted an economic study of the Golden Chest open pit resource. As consideration for the agreement, the Company issued Paymaster (Metaline) 10,000 shares of its common stock during every six-month period of the exploration agreement.

Under this exploration agreement, 20,000 shares were issued in both 2004 and 2003. During 2004 and 2003, the Company recorded \$12,000 and \$8,000, respectively of exploration expense in connection with these issuances based upon its estimate of the fair value of the shares of common stock issued.

On November 7, 2003, the Company signed an exploration agreement and lease option with Prichard Creek Resource Partners LLC (Prichard) to explore Prichard 's property, which is adjacent to the Golden Chest. The term of the exploration agreement was 2½ years commencing June 13, 2003. On January 3, 2005, the Company exercised the Option to Lease the Golden Chest mine in accordance with the exploration agreements with Metaline and Prichard, thus terminating the exploration agreements. The terms of both lease agreements are 15 years. The Company issued 130,000 shares of restricted common stock upon the signing of these leases. Each lease requires the Company to pay either Metaline or Prichard, depending on which claims the production came from, a royalty of 3% of net smelter returns. Both leases also have an additional NSR of up to another 3% tied to a sliding scale gold price indexed to the June 2003 Consumer Price Index (CPI). Using the December 2006 CPI, the gold trigger prices for additional net smelter royalties were as follows: less than \$439=no additional royalty, \$439 to \$494=1.0%, \$494 to \$549=1.5%, \$549 to \$604=2.0%, and greater than \$604=3.0% . Thus, the maximum NSR that can be paid is 6%. For the year ending December 31, 2006, 672 ounces of gold were sold and \$15,985 in royalties were paid to Metaline.

In addition to the royalty, the Company is obligated to pay 50,000 shares of its common stock to Metaline after each successive increment of 10,000 troy ounces of gold sold from the property and a one-time payment of 30,000 shares to Prichard upon commencement of commercial production.

New Jersey Mining Company
(A Development Stage Company)
Notes to Financial Statements

6. Income Taxes

The Company did not record an income tax provision for the years ended December 31, 2006 or 2005, as it had no taxable income. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company had federal net operating loss carry forwards available for income tax purposes of approximately \$2,990,000 and \$2,000,000, respectively, which will expire through 2026, and associated deferred tax assets of approximately \$1,016,600 and \$680,000, respectively. The deferred tax assets were calculated assuming a 34% marginal tax rate, and have been fully reserved as management believes it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be utilized.

The Company's net operating loss carry forwards expire as follows:

| <u>Year</u> | <u>Carry</u> |
|--------------|----------------|
| | <u>Forward</u> |
| 2017 | \$ 33,000 |
| 2018 | 27,000 |
| 2021 | 4,000 |
| 2022 | 36,000 |
| 2023 | 380,000 |
| 2024 | 930,000 |
| 2025 | 590,000 |
| 2026 | 990,000 |
| <u>Total</u> | \$ 2,990,000 |

7. Equity

The Company has authorized 50,000,000 shares of no par common stock. In addition, the Company has authorized 1,000,000 shares of no par preferred stock, none of which had been issued at December 31, 2006 or 2005.

Private Placements

On April 13, 2006, the Company completed an offering of units consisting of its common stock and common stock purchase warrants, in a non-brokered private placement, to certain investors pursuant to Regulation D, Rule 506. The Company sold 4,400,000 units at \$0.30 per unit and generated \$1,320,000 in net proceeds. Each unit consisted of one share of the Company's restricted common stock plus one warrant, whereby each warrant could purchase one share of the company's restricted common stock at \$0.50 per share until March 1, 2008.

Exercise of Warrants

During 2005 common stock purchase warrants were exercised by warrant holders that had purchased units of common stock and common stock purchase warrants during the Company's previous private placement offerings. During 2005, the Company issued 200,250 shares of its restricted common stock at prices ranging from \$0.70 to \$0.85 per share, generating net proceeds of \$150,525 pursuant to the exercise of these warrants. No warrants were exercised in 2006.

New Jersey Mining Company
(A Development Stage Company)
Notes to Financial Statements

7. Equity, continued:

Stock Purchase Warrants Outstanding

Transactions in common stock purchase warrants for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, are as follows:

| | Number of <u>Warrants</u> | Exercise <u>Prices</u> |
|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2004 | 1,505,225 | \$ 0.60-0.85 |
| Issued in connection with warrants exercised ⁽¹⁾ | 200,250 | 0.60 |
| Issued in connection with private placement | 309,550 | 0.60-0.85 |
| Exercised | (200,250) | 0.40 |
| Expired | (750,625) | 0.60-0.70 |
| Balance, December 31, 2005 | 1,064,150 | 0.60-0.85 |
| Issued in connection with private placements | 4,593,625 | 0.50-0.55 |
| Expired | (568,900) | 0.70-0.85 |
| Balance, December 31, 2006 | 5,088,875 ⁽²⁾ | 0.50-0.60 |

(1) During 2005, the Company issued 200,250 stock purchase warrants to investors as an inducement to exercise warrants previously granted.

(2) These warrants expire as follows:

| Shares | Price | Expiration Date |
|-----------|--------|-------------------|
| 200,000 | \$0.60 | April 1, 2007 |
| 4,533,000 | \$0.50 | March 1, 2008 |
| 60,625 | \$0.55 | December 31, 2008 |
| 295,250 | \$0.60 | June 1, 2010 |
| 5,088,875 | | |

Common Stock Issued for Buildings and Equipment

During 2006 and 2005, the Company issued 23,400 and 11,500 shares, respectively, of its restricted common stock for Buildings and Equipment purchased. The Company recorded \$12,200 and \$4,700, respectively, during 2006 and 2005, based upon the value of the equipment purchased and shares issued.

Common Stock Issued for Services

During 2006 and 2005, the Company issued 162,860 and 82,170 shares, respectively, of its restricted common stock for services rendered the Company. The Company recorded \$56,138 and \$37,826, respectively, based upon the value of the services rendered and the shares issued.

New Jersey Mining Company
(A Development Stage Company)
Notes to Financial Statements

8. Related Party Transactions

Fred Brackebusch is President, Treasurer, and a Director of the Company. Grant Brackebusch, Fred Brackebusch's son, is the Vice-President and a Director of the Company. Grant Brackebusch's wife, Tina Brackebusch, is the Company's Corporate Secretary. Fred Brackebusch and Grant Brackebusch own 89.6% and 10.4%, respectively of Mine Systems Design, Inc. ("MSD"), a firm that has various related party transactions with the Company.

In addition to the related party transactions described in Note 4, the Company had the following transactions with related parties:

- During the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company issued 116,480 and 274,275 shares, respectively, of its restricted common stock valued at \$61,063 and \$111,125, respectively, to Fred Brackebusch for management services. During 2006 and 2005 the Company issued 20,000 and 10,000 shares respectively, of its restricted stock valued at \$11,000 and \$3,600, respectively, to Tina Brackebusch for services as the Corporate Secretary.
- During the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company issued 100,000 and 50,000 shares, respectively, of its restricted common stock to members of the Board of Directors for their services as directors. Fred and Grant Brackebusch each received 20,000 shares in 2006 and 10,000 shares in 2005 as Directors of the Company. These stock awards were recorded as directors' fees of \$55,000 and \$18,000, respectively, based upon the estimated value of the shares issued and services rendered.
- During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company paid \$6,000 to MSD for office rent. During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company issued 11,700 shares of its restricted common stock valued at \$4,500 to Mine Systems Design, Inc. (MSD) for office rent, and also issued 6,650 shares of its restricted common stock valued at \$2,658 to MSD for equipment. The Company paid \$1,500 to MSD for office rent in the first quarter of 2005.

9. Commitments and Contingencies

At December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company had accrued \$18,000 and \$12,500 respectively relating to a reclamation liability at the New Jersey Mine site based on management's estimate of the disturbed area existing at the property and the related costs to reclaim the area. It is likely that in the near-term the Company will become responsible for additional reclamation liabilities as it continues in the development of its properties and eventually begins commercial production.

The Company owns or leases several mineral properties located in the Coeur d'Alene River Basin. In recent years, certain other companies involved in mining activities on property interests upland of the Coeur d'Alene River Basin have been identified as potentially responsible parties under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), and have entered into consent decrees with the Environmental Protection Agency and the state of Idaho, concerning environmental remediation obligations and damages to or loss

New Jersey Mining Company
(A Development Stage Company)
Notes to Financial Statements

9. Commitments and Contingencies continued:

of natural resources in the Coeur d' Alene River Basin. The Company has not received any notification of a pending action or proceeding against the Company relating to environmental claims or assessments. It is possible, however, that the Company's obligation could change in the near or longer term, and the resultant liability or claim for damages could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

10. Investment in Marketable Security

In August of 2006, the Company purchased 1,875,000 shares of Niagara Mining and Development Inc. (Niagara) common stock for \$7,500. Niagara was a private company created in 2005. Also in August 2006, Niagara was acquired by Silver Crest Resources, Inc. (Silver Crest). One share of Niagara was exchanged for one share of Silver Crest. Silver Crest subsequently changed its name to Gold Crest Mines, Inc. (Gold Crest). The shares were issued with a restriction that prohibits sale of the shares on the open market for one year from the date of issuance. The Company plans to sell these shares during 2007 after the restriction has expired.

At December 31, 2006, the market price for Gold Crest as listed on the Pink Sheet Quotation Service was \$0.49 for a total market value of the Company's 1,875,000 shares of \$918,750. The excess market over the \$7,500 original cost is \$911,250 and is recognized as accumulated other comprehensive income in the Equity section of the balance sheet.

11. Subsequent Events

As of December 31, 2006 the Company sold 121,250 units of common stock for \$48,500 in connection with a non-brokered private placement of stock. This private placement was for units at a price of \$0.40 per unit with each unit consisting of one share of restricted common stock plus one half warrant whereby each whole warrant could purchase one share of the Company's restricted common stock at \$0.55 per share until December 31, 2008. On February 12, 2007, the Company completed this offering with the sale of an additional 2,563,335 units for \$1,025,334. The offering was made in a non-brokered private placement to certain investors pursuant to Regulation D, Rule 506.

[The balance of this page has been intentionally left blank.]

ITEM 8

**CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON
ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

None

ITEM 8A.

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our president and principal accounting officer conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of December 31, 2006.

Based upon this evaluation, it was determined that there were material weaknesses affecting our internal control over financial reporting and, as a result of those weaknesses, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of December 31, 2006. These material weaknesses are as follows:

- The Company lacks proper segregation of duties. As with any company the size of this Company, this lack of segregation of duties is due to limited resources.
- The Company lacks accounting personnel with sufficient skills and experience to ensure proper accounting for complex, non-routine transactions.

Management's Remediation Initiatives

We are aware of these material weaknesses and have procedures in place to ensure that independent review of material transactions is performed. In addition, we plan to consult with independent experts when complex transactions are entered into.

Changes in internal control over financial reporting.

Except as noted above, there have been no changes during the quarter ended December 31, 2006 in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, internal controls over financial reporting.

ITEM 8B

OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III**ITEM 9.****DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS, CONTROL PERSONS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE; COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(a) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT**

| Name & Address | Age | Position | Date First Elected |
|---|------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Fred W. Brackebusch P.O. Box 1019 Kellogg, Idaho 83837 | 62 | President, Director & Treasurer | 7/18/1996 |
| Grant A. Brackebusch P.O. Box 131 Silverton, ID 83867 | 37 | Vice President & Director | 7/18/1996 |
| Ivan R. Linscott 7150 Burke Road Wallace, ID 83873 | 64 | Director | 9/21/2004 |
| William C. Rust (1) P.O. Box 648 Wallace, ID 83873 | 60 | Director | 9/21/2004 |
| M. Kathleen Sims (1) 2745 Seltice Way Coeur d Alene, ID 83814 | 62 | Director | 9/25/2003 |
| Tina C. Brackebusch P.O. Box 131 Silverton, ID 83867 | 37 | Secretary | 1/1/1997 |

(1) Member of the Audit Committee

Directors are elected by shareholders at each annual shareholders meeting to hold office until the next annual meeting of shareholders or until their respective successors are elected and qualified.

Fred W. Brackebusch, P.E. is the President and a Director of the Company. He has a B.S. and an M.S. in Geological Engineering both from the University of Idaho. He is a consulting engineer with extensive experience in mine development, mine backfill, mine management, permitting, process control and mine feasibility studies. He has over 35 years of experience in the Coeur d'Alene Mining District about half of which was with Hecla Mining Co. He has been the principal owner of Mine Systems Design, Inc., a mining consulting business, since 1987.

Grant A. Brackebusch, P.E. is the Vice President and a Director of the Company. He holds a B.S. in Mining Engineering from the University of Idaho. He worked for Newmont Gold Co. on the Carlin Trend in open pit mine planning and pit supervision for three years. He also has worked with Mine Systems Design, Inc. performing various engineering and geotechnical tasks. He has worked for New Jersey Mining Company since 1996; he supervises the daily operations of the various mining operations, mill operations, and also performs various engineering tasks. He is also responsible for the Company's environmental monitoring and permitting.

Ivan R. Linscott, PhD is a director of the company. He is a physicist at Stanford University. He is a Senior Research Associate for radioscience spacecraft instrument development and is Co-Investigator

and Science Team Member for the New Horizons Mission to encounter the planet Pluto. Dr. Linscott has a strong interest in doing research on exploration techniques in the Coeur d'Alene Mining District. He has made significant contributions to the Company's exploration program through the innovative use of geophysical techniques.

William C. Rust is a director of the company. He is a metallurgical engineer with extensive experience in the Silver Valley. He worked for Asarco as Chief Metallurgist. Later he worked for CoCa mines at Grouse Creek in Central Idaho and for McCulley, Frick, and Gilman, an environmental consulting firm. He was with Getchell Gold Inc. in Nevada where he was Mill Manager and Senior Metallurgist for a 3,200 ton/day gold plant. Currently, Mr. Rust is self-employed as a metallurgical engineering consultant. Mr. Rust is a member of the Audit Committee.

M. Kathleen Sims is a director of the Company. She is a successful businesswoman who is majority owner of a car dealership. She is a former State Senator in the Idaho Legislature. She is a former member of the State of Idaho Human Rights Commission and is active in the Idaho Republican Party. She has extensive experience in starting a business with all the necessary experience in financing, business plans and management. Ms. Sims is a member of the Audit Committee.

Tina C. Brackebusch is Secretary of the Company. She has served as Office Manager for the Company. She holds a B.S. in Secondary Education from the University of Idaho.

Family Relationships

Fred W. Brackebusch is the father of Grant A. Brackebusch. Tina C. Brackebusch is the wife of Grant A. Brackebusch.

Legal Proceedings

No Director or Officer has been involved in any legal action involving the Company for the past five years.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Based solely upon a review of forms 3 and 4 and amendments thereto furnished to the Registrant pursuant to Section 240.16a -3 during the most recent fiscal year, and Form 5 and amendments thereto furnished to the Registrant with respect to the most recent fiscal year, no person who at any time during the fiscal year was a director, officer, or beneficial owner of more than ten percent of any class of equity securities of the Registrant registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act, or any other person subject to Section 16 of the Exchange Act with respect to the Registrant because of the requirements of Section 30 of the Investment Company Act or Section 17 of the Public Utility Holding Company Act (A reporting person) failed to file on a timely basis, as disclosed in the above Forms, reports required by Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act during the most recent fiscal year.

Code of Ethics

The Company adopted a Code of Ethics at a Board of Directors meeting on December 9, 2003, that applies to the Company's executive officers. It can be found at the Company's website www.newjerseymining.com

Board Committee

At a Board of Directors meeting on September 21, 2004, the Directors approved an audit committee comprised of William C. Rust and M. Kathleen Sims. Each member of the audit committee is deemed to be an independent director as that term is defined in Rule 4200(a)(14) of the NASD's listing standards. M. Kathleen Sims is the Audit Committee Financial Expert as defined by Section 407 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. The Board adopted an audit committee pre-approval policy. The audit committee is required to pre-approve the audit and non-audit services performed by the independent auditor in order to assure that the provision of such services do not impair the auditor's independence.

ITEM 10.**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION****SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

| Name & Principal Position | Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$) | Other Annual Comp. (\$) | Restricted Stock Awards (\$) | Securities Underlying Options (#) | LTIP Payouts (\$) | All Other Compensation (\$) |
|----------------------------------|------|-------------|------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Fred Brackebusch President | 2004 | 36,000 | 0 | 0 | 41,754 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2005 | 36,000 | 0 | 0 | 114,725 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2006 | 66,000 | 0 | 0 | 61,063 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grant Brackebusch Vice Pres. | 2004 | 72,000 | 0 | 0 | 7,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2005 | 72,000 | 0 | 0 | 3,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2006 | 84,000 | 0 | 0 | 11,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ivan R. Linscott Director | 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| William C. Rust Director | 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| M. Kathleen Sims Director | 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tina C. Brackebusch Secretary | 2004 | 2,070 | 0 | 0 | 7,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

At a Board of Directors meeting on April 27, 2006, the Directors approved an increase in compensation for President Fred W. Brackebusch, to \$5,500 per month for management for 25 hours per week. Any time over 25 hours per week is compensated with restricted stock at a rate of \$125 per hour. The number of shares is calculated quarterly using the average bid price for the quarter as quoted by the OTC Bulletin Board. Also, at the Board of Directors meeting on April 27, 2006, the Directors approved a fulltime salary for Grant A. Brackebusch of \$7,000 per month for management duties.

At a Board of Directors meeting on September 8, 2006, the Directors approved an increase in compensation for the Board of Directors. It was approved to increase the Directors' annual compensation to 20,000 common shares of restricted stock, commencing in 2006.

Officers and Directors were paid for their services with 10,000 shares of restricted common stock in 2004 and 2005 and 20,000 shares of restricted common stock in 2006. A value of \$0.70 per share was ascribed to the shares in 2004, \$0.36 per share in 2005, and \$0.55 in 2006.

ITEM 11.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table sets forth information on the ownership of the Company's voting securities by Officers, Directors and major shareholders as those who own beneficially more than five percent of the Company's common stock through the most current date-March 1, 2006.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

| Title of Class | Name and Address Of Beneficial Owner | Amount and Nature of Beneficial Owner | Percent of Class (1) |
|----------------|---|--|----------------------|
| Common | Fred W. Brackebusch P.O. Box 1019 Kellogg, Idaho 83837 | 7,915,757 indirect (a) 713,555 direct | 28.56% |
| Common | Grant A. Brackebusch P.O. Box 131 Silverton, ID 83837 | 918,793 indirect (b) 279,136 direct | 3.96% |
| Common | Terry & Marguerite Tyson County Road U Lipscomb, TX 79056 | 1,650,000 direct(c) 901,900 indirect | 8.44% |
| Common | Ivan R. Linscott, Director 7150 Burke Road Wallace, ID 83873 | 70,500 | 0.23% |
| Common | Constance Meisel 105 East Atlantic Avenue Delray Beach, FL 33444 | 2,000,000 (d) | 6.62% |
| Common | William C. Rust, Director P.O. Box 648 Wallace, ID 83873 | 40,000 | 0.13% |
| Common | M. Kathleen Sims, Director 2745 Seltice Way Coeur d Alene, ID 83814 | 43,000 | 0.14% |
| Common | Tina C. Brackebusch, Secretary P.O. Box 131 Silverton, ID 83867 | 58,000 | 0.19% |
| Common | All Directors and Officers as a group (6 individuals) | 10,038,741 | 33.22% |

(1)Based upon 30,219,319 outstanding shares of common stock at March 1, 2006.

(a) Fred Brackebusch owns 89.6% of Mine Systems Design, Inc. (MSD) which is an S corporation that owns 8,834,550 common shares of the Company. Neither MSD nor Fred Brackebusch have the right to acquire any securities pursuant to options, warrants, conversion privileges or other rights.

(b) Grant Brackebusch owns 10.4% of Mine Systems Design, Inc. (MSD) which is an S corporation that owns 8,834,550 common shares of the Company. Neither MSD nor Grant Brackebusch have the right to acquire any securities pursuant to options, warrants, conversion privileges or other rights.

(c) Terry and Marguerite Tyson hold 300,000 warrants exercisable at a price of \$0.55 until December 31, 2008.

(d) Constance Miesel holds 1,666,667 warrants exercisable at prices ranging from \$0.50 to \$0.55 and expiration dates ranging from March 31, 2008 to December 31, 2008.

None of the Directors or Officers have the right to acquire any securities pursuant to options, warrants, conversion privileges or other rights.

ITEM 12.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

During the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company issued 120,000 and 60,000 shares, respectively, of its restricted common stock to members of the Board of Directors and Officers for their services. These stock awards were recorded as directors' fees of \$55,000 and \$18,000, respectively, for directors and \$11,000 and \$3,600, respectively, for management based upon the estimated value of the shares issued and services rendered. Fred, Grant, and Tina Brackebusch each received 20,000 shares in 2006 and 10,000 shares in 2005 as Directors or Officers in each respective year.

During the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company issued 116,480 and 274,275 shares, respectively, of its restricted common stock valued at \$61,063 and \$111,125, respectively, to Fred Brackebusch for management services.

During the year ended December 31, 2006 the Company paid \$6,000 to MSD for office rent. During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company issued 11,700 shares of its restricted common stock valued at \$4,500 to Mine Systems Design, Inc. (MSD) for office rent, and also issued 6,650 shares of its restricted common stock valued at \$2,658 to MSD for equipment. The Company paid \$1,500 to MSD for office rent in the first quarter of 2005.

Director Independence

Directors Ivan R. Linscott, William C. Rust and M. Kathleen Sims are deemed to be independent directors as that term is defined in Rule 4200(a)(14) of the NASD's listing standards. These three directors comprise a majority of the Board of Directors.

The Board of Directors does not have separately designated nominating or compensation committees. The entire Board performs these functions. At a Board of Directors meeting on September 21, 2004, the Directors approved an audit committee comprised of William C. Rust and M. Kathleen Sims. Each member of the audit committee is deemed to be an independent director as that term is defined in Rule 4200(a)(14) of the NASD's listing standards. M. Kathleen Sims is the Audit Committee Financial Expert as defined by Section 407 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

ITEM 13.

EXHIBITS

| | |
|---------|--|
| (3)(i) | Articles of Incorporation-Filed as an exhibit to the registrant's registration statement on Form 10-SB (Commission File No. 000-28837) and incorporated by reference herein. |
| (3)(ii) | Bylaws-Filed as an exhibit to the registrant's registration statement on Form 10-SB (Commission File No. 000-28837) and incorporated by reference herein. |
| (10)(1) | Lease Agreement with William Zanetti-Filed as an exhibit to the registrant's registration statement on Form 10-SB (Commission File No. 000-28837) and incorporated by reference herein. |
| (10)(2) | Articles of Merger For Plainview Mining Company Inc. and New Jersey Mining Co.-Filed as an exhibit to the registrant's registration statement on Form 10-SB (Commission File No. 000-28837) and incorporated by reference herein. |
| (10)(3) | Lease Agreement with Mine Systems Design, Inc.-Filed as an exhibit to the registrant's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2001 and incorporated by reference herein. |
| (10)(4) | Articles of Merger for Gold Run Gulch Mining Company and New Jersey Mining Co.- Filed as an exhibit to the registrant's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2002 and incorporated by reference herein. |
| (10)(5) | Exploration Agreement and Option to Lease between Paymaster Resources, Inc. and New Jersey Mining Company with the approval of J.W. Beasley Interests LLC.-Filed as an exhibit to the registrant's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2003 and incorporated by reference herein. |
| (10)(6) | Exploration Agreement and Option to Lease between Prichard Creek Resource Partners LLC and New Jersey Mining Company.-Filed as an exhibit to the registrant's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2003 and incorporated by reference herein. |
| (10)(7) | <u>Exploration Agreement and Option to Convert to Mining Agreement between Revett Metals Associates and New Jersey Mining Company. Filed as an exhibit to the registrant's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2006.</u> |
| (14) | Code of Ethics.-Filed as an exhibit to the registrant's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2003, and incorporated by reference herein. |
| (16) | Letter on Change in Certifying Accountant.-Filed as an 8-K report on December 10, 2003 and later filed as an 8-K/A on February 2, 2004, and incorporated by reference herein. |
| (31) | <u>Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications</u> |
| (31)(i) | <u>Certification of Fred W. Brackebusch</u> |
| (32) | <u>Section 1350 Certifications</u> |
| (32)(i) | <u>Certification of Fred W. Brackebusch</u> |
| (99)(i) | Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies.-Filed as an exhibit to the registrant's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2003 and incorporated by reference herein. |

ITEM 14

PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The Board of Directors has adopted an audit committee pre-approval policy. The audit committee is required to pre-approve the audit and non-audit services performed by the independent auditor in order to assure that the provision of such services do not impair the auditor's independence.

Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the Company's principal accountant for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 and the review for the financial statements included in the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-QSB during those fiscal years were \$50,055 and \$17,755 respectively.

Audit Related Fees

The Company incurred no fees during the last two fiscal years for assurance and related services by the Company's principal accountant that were reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements, and not reported under "Audit Fees" above.

Tax Fees

The Company incurred no fees during the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered by the Company's principal accountant for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.

All Other Fees

The Company incurred no other fees during the last two fiscal years for products and services rendered by the Company's principal accountant.

[The balance of this page has been intentionally left blank.]

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

New Jersey Mining Company

Date: March 26, 2007 By /s/ FRED W. BRACKEBUSCH
Fred W. Brackebusch, President, Treasurer & Director

Date: March 26, 2007 By /s/ GRANT A. BRACKEBUSCH
Grant A. Brackebusch, Vice President & Director

Date: March, 26, 2007 By /s/ IVAN R. LINSCOTT
Ivan R. Linscott, Director

Date: March 26, 2007 By /s/ WILLIAM C. RUST
William C. Rust, Director

Date: March 26, 2007 By /s/ M. KATHLEEN SIMS
M. Kathleen Sims, Director

[The balance of this page has been intentionally left blank.]
