

MATERION Corp
Form DEF 14A
March 25, 2019

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

MATERION CORPORATION

(Name of registrant as specified in its charter)

(Name of person(s) filing proxy statement, if other than the registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Materion Corporation
6070 Parkland Boulevard
Mayfield Heights, Ohio 44124
Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders

The annual meeting of shareholders of Materion Corporation will be held at The Westin Milwaukee in Milwaukee, Wisconsin on May 8, 2019 at 8:00 a.m. (CDT) for the following purposes:

- (1) To elect nine directors, each to serve for a term of one year and until a successor is elected and qualified;
- (2) To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for Materion Corporation for the year 2019;
- (3) To approve, by non-binding vote, named executive officer compensation; and
- (4) To transact any other business that may properly come before the meeting.

Shareholders of record as of the close of business on March 11, 2019 are entitled to notice of the meeting and to vote at the meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting.

We are pleased to take advantage of the Securities and Exchange Commission rules allowing us to furnish proxy materials to shareholders on the Internet. We believe that these rules provide you with proxy materials more quickly and reduce the environmental impact of our meeting. Accordingly, we are mailing to shareholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials containing instructions on how to access and review our proxy statement and Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2018, and to vote online or by telephone. If you would like to receive a paper copy of our proxy materials, please follow the instructions for requesting these materials on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials.

Gregory R. Chemnitz
Secretary
March 25, 2019

Important — your proxy is enclosed.

You are requested to cooperate in assuring a quorum by voting online at www.proxyvote.com or, if you received a paper copy of the proxy materials, by filling in, signing and dating the enclosed proxy and promptly mailing it in the return envelope.

MATERION CORPORATION

6070 Parkland Boulevard
Mayfield Heights, Ohio 44124

PROXY STATEMENT

March 25, 2019

GENERAL INFORMATION

Your Board of Directors (Board) is furnishing this proxy statement to you in connection with our solicitation of proxies to be used at our annual meeting of shareholders to be held on May 8, 2019. The proxy statement and other proxy materials are being sent to shareholders on March 25, 2019.

Registered Holders. If your shares are registered in your name, you may vote in person or by proxy. If you decide to vote by proxy, you may do so by telephone, over the Internet or by mail.

By telephone. After reading the proxy materials, you may call the toll-free number, 1-800-690-6903, using a touch-tone telephone. You will be prompted to enter your control number, which is a 16-digit number located in a box on your proxy card that you can also receive in the mail, if requested, then follow the simple instructions that will be given to you to record your vote.

Over the Internet. After reading the proxy materials, you may vote and submit your proxy online at www.proxyvote.com. Even if you request and receive a paper copy of the proxy materials, you may vote online by going to www.proxyvote.com and entering your control number, which is a 16-digit number located in a box on your proxy card that you can also receive in the mail, if requested, then follow the simple instructions that will be given to you to record your vote.

By mail. After reading the proxy materials, you may mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the enclosed prepaid and addressed envelope.

The Internet and telephone voting procedures have been set up for your convenience and have been designed to authenticate your identity, allow you to give voting instructions and confirm that those instructions have been recorded properly. Without affecting any vote previously taken, you may revoke your proxy by delivery to us of a new, later dated proxy with respect to the same shares, or giving written notice to us before or at the annual meeting. Your presence at the annual meeting will not, in and of itself, revoke your proxy.

Participants in the Materion Corporation Retirement Savings Plan and/or the Payroll Stock Ownership Plan (PAYSOP). If you participate in the Retirement Savings Plan and/or the PAYSOP, the independent trustee for each plan, Fidelity Management Trust Company, will vote your plan shares according to your voting directions. You may give your voting directions to the plan trustee in any one of the three ways set forth above. If you do not return your proxy card or do not vote over the Internet or by telephone, the trustee will not vote your plan shares. Each participant who gives the trustee voting directions acts as a named fiduciary for the applicable plan under the provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended.

Nominee Shares. If your shares are held by a bank, broker, trustee or some other nominee, that entity will give you separate voting instructions.

In addition to the solicitation of proxies by mail, we may solicit the return of proxies in person, by telephone, facsimile or e-mail. We will request brokerage houses, banks and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries to forward soliciting material to the beneficial owners of shares and will reimburse them for their expenses. We will bear the cost of the solicitation of proxies.

Voting. At the close of business on March 11, 2019, the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the annual meeting, we had outstanding and entitled to vote 20,317,148 shares of common stock. Each outstanding share of common stock entitles its holder to one vote on each matter brought before the meeting.

With respect to Proposal 1, the nominees receiving the greatest number of votes for their election will be elected as directors of Materion Corporation, subject to the Company's Majority Voting Policy (described below). The approval of each of Proposals 2 and 3 requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast, whether in person or by proxy, on such proposals at the annual meeting.

Abstentions and Broker Non-votes. At the annual meeting, the inspectors of election appointed for the meeting will tabulate the results of shareholder voting. Under Ohio law and our code of regulations, properly signed proxies that are marked “abstain” or are held in “street name” by brokers and not voted on one or more of the items (but otherwise voted on at least one item) before the meeting will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum has been achieved at the annual meeting.

If you do not provide directions to your broker, your broker or other nominee will not be able to vote your shares with respect to the election of directors (Proposal 1) or the non-binding vote to approve named executive officer compensation (Proposal 3).

Abstentions and broker non-votes will not affect the vote on the election of directors.

An abstention or broker non-vote with respect to the non-binding vote to approve named executive officer compensation (Proposal 3) will have no effect on the proposal as the abstention or broker non-vote will not be counted in determining the number of votes cast.

Because the vote to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP (Proposal 2) is considered to be routine, your broker or other nominee will be able to vote your shares with respect to this proposal without your instructions. An abstention will have no effect on this proposal as the abstention will not be counted in determining the number of votes cast.

* * *

We know of no other matters that will be presented at the meeting; however, if other matters do properly come before the meeting, the persons named in the proxy card will vote on these matters in accordance with their best judgment. If you sign, date and return your proxy card but do not specify how you want to vote your shares, your shares will be voted as recommended by the Board as indicated on the proxy card.

PROPOSAL ONE: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our Articles of Incorporation and Code of Regulations establish the number of directors at no fewer than nine and no more than 18. There are currently nine directors on the Board. At the 2019 Annual Meeting, the Shareholders will consider the election of nine directors, each to serve a one-year term. Each of the nominees for election is a current Director, other than Mr. Prevost who was recommended by a third-party search firm.

William B. Lawrence, a current member of our Board, is retiring from the Board at the 2019 Annual Meeting. The Company thanks Mr. Lawrence for his valuable service and guidance provided to the Board.

Nominees for Director.

Vinod M. Khilnani

Age: 66

Director Since: 2009

Mr. Khilnani was appointed our Non-Executive Chairman of the Board in January 2018. Now retired, Mr. Khilnani was the Executive Chairman of CTS Corporation (electronic components and accessories). Mr. Khilnani became Executive Chairman of CTS Corporation in January 2013 and served in that capacity until May 2013. He had served as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of CTS from 2007 until 2013. Prior to that time, he served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since 2001. Mr. Khilnani was appointed to the Board of Gibraltar Industries in October 2014 and to the Board of ESCO Technologies Inc. (filtration and fluid control products, RF shielding and test equipment, technical packaging, and electric utility solutions) in August 2014 and has served on the Board of Directors of 1st Source Corporation since 2013. As the former Executive Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and President of CTS (and its former Chief Financial Officer), Mr. Khilnani offers a wealth of management experience and business knowledge regarding operational, financial and corporate governance issues, as well as extensive international experience with global operations.

Robert J. Phillippy

Age: 58

Mr. Phillippy is an independent consultant, advising technology companies on a range of strategic, operational and organizational issues. From September 2007 until April 2016, he was the President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of Newport Corporation (lasers, optics and photonics technologies). Mr. Phillippy joined Newport in 1996 and served in various executive management positions prior to his appointment as Chief Executive Officer in 2007. In April 2016, Newport was acquired by MKS Instruments (instruments, components, subsystems, and process control solutions for advanced manufacturing applications), and from July 2016 until May 2018, Mr. Phillippy served on the board of directors of MKS Instruments. From April 2016 to September 2016, he also served as Executive Advisor to MKS Instruments. Mr. Phillippy has also served as a director of ESCO Technologies Inc. (filtration and fluid control products, RF shielding and test equipment, technical packaging, and electric utility solutions) since May 2014, and as a director of Kimball Electronics (engineering, manufacturing, and supply chain solutions) since November 2018. Mr. Phillippy's deep understanding of technology-related industries, extensive experience as the former Chief Executive Officer of a global technology company and significant knowledge of matters impactful to public company boards

makes him a valuable contribution to the Board of Directors.

Patrick Prevost

Age: 63

Mr. Prevost served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Cabot Corporation (global specialty chemical and performance materials company) from January 2008 until his retirement in March 2016. Prior to Cabot, Mr. Prevost served as President,

2

Performance Chemicals at BASF AG (international chemical company) from October 2005 to December 2007. Prior to that, he was responsible for BASF Corporation's Chemicals and Plastics business in North America. Mr. Prevost previously held senior management positions with increasing responsibility at BP Plc from 1999 to 2003 and Amoco Chemicals from 1983 until 1999. Mr. Prevost serves on the Board of Directors of Southwestern Energy Company and Cabot Corporation and previously served on the Board of Directors of General Cable Corporation. Mr. Prevost brings to our Board of Directors substantial leadership experience in a variety of complex international businesses, a chemical engineering background with broad experience in material science and chemistry, which are important to our business, extensive experience involving acquisitions and strategic alliances and deep knowledge of international business, strategic planning, manufacturing and financial matters.

N. Mohan Reddy, Ph.D.

Age: 65

Director Since: 2000

Dr. Reddy is B. Charles Ames, Professor of Management at Case Western Reserve University. Dr. Reddy was appointed B. Charles Ames, Professor of Management in February 2014. Prior to that, he had served as the Albert J. Weatherhead III Professor of Management from 2007 until 2012 and as the Dean of the Weatherhead School of Management, Case Western Reserve University from 2006 until 2012. Dr. Reddy had been Associate Professor of Marketing since 1991 and Keithley Professor of Technology Management from 1996 to 2006 at the Weatherhead School of Management, Case Western Reserve University. Dr. Reddy had served on the Board of Directors of Keithley Instruments, Inc. from 2001 until December 2010, when Keithley Instruments was purchased by Danaher Corporation. Dr. Reddy had also served on the Board of Directors of Lubrizol Corporation from February 2011 until October 2011, when Lubrizol was purchased by Berkshire Hathaway Inc. Dr. Reddy also serves as a consultant to firms in the electronics and semiconductor industries, primarily in the areas of product and market development. Dr. Reddy's knowledge of industrial marketing, technology development and extensive global knowledge in the electronics and semiconductor industries provides valuable insight to our Board of Directors.

Craig S. Shular

Age: 66

Director Since: 2008

Mr. Shular is Co-Founder of Global Graphite Group LLC (advanced materials company specializing in graphite products), which he co-founded in November 2017. Mr. Shular is the former Executive Chairman of the Board of GrafTech International Ltd. (electrical industrial apparatus). Mr. Shular was elected Chairman of the Board of GrafTech in 2007 and served in that capacity until December 2014. He had been a director of GrafTech from January 2003 until May 2014. Mr. Shular served as Chief Executive Officer of GrafTech from 2003 and as President from 2002 until he retired from both positions in January 2014. From 2001 until 2002, he served as Executive Vice President of GrafTech's largest business, Graphite Electrodes. Mr. Shular joined GrafTech as its Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in 1999 and assumed the additional duties of Executive Vice President, Electrode Sales and Marketing in 2000 until 2001. As the former Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President and former Chief Financial Officer of GrafTech, Mr. Shular brings a breadth of financial and operational management experience and provides our Board of Directors with a perspective of someone familiar with all facets of a global enterprise.

Darlene J. S. Solomon, Ph.D.

Age: 60

Director Since: 2011

Dr. Solomon is Senior Vice President and Chief Technology Officer of Agilent Technologies, Inc. (life sciences, diagnostics and applied chemical markets). Dr. Solomon has served as Senior Vice President and Chief Technology Officer of Agilent Technologies since 2006. Prior to that time, she served as Vice President and Director of Agilent Laboratories, Agilent's centralized advanced research organization. Dr. Solomon joined Agilent in 1999 and served in a dual capacity as the director of the Life Sciences Technologies Laboratory and as the senior director, research and development/technology for Agilent's Life Sciences and Chemical Analysis business. She is a member of the National Academy of Engineering and serves on multiple academic and government advisory boards focused on science,

technology and innovation. With extensive knowledge and experience in materials measurement and leading innovation in a diversified global technology enterprise, Dr. Solomon brings to our Board of Directors valuable insight on research and development and other operational issues faced by companies focused on innovations in technology.

Robert B. Toth

Age: 58

Director Since: 2013

Mr. Toth has been a Managing Director of CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC (global private equity investment firm) since January 2016. Mr. Toth also served as President, Chief Executive Officer and Director of Polypore International, Inc. (high technology

filtration products) from 2005 until 2015 and as Chairman of the Board from 2011 until 2015. Prior to Polypore, Mr. Toth served as President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director of CP Kelco ApS. Mr. Toth also spent 19 years at Monsanto Company, and its spin-off company, Solutia Inc., where he held a variety of executive and managerial roles. Mr. Toth also serves on the Board of Directors of PQ Corporation (producer of specialty inorganic performance chemicals and catalysts), SPX Corporation (a supplier of highly engineered products and technologies, holding leadership positions in the HVAC, detection and measurement, and engineered solutions markets), and Hayward Industries, Inc. (a leading global manufacturer of residential and commercial pool equipment and industrial flow control products). With extensive experience in leading corporations in the manufacturing and specialty materials sector, including his knowledge and skills in senior management, finance and operations, Mr. Toth brings to our Board of Directors significant insight into the strategic and operational issues facing companies in the advanced materials industry.

Jugal K. Vijayvargiya

Age: 51

Director Since: 2017

Mr. Vijayvargiya is President and Chief Executive Officer, and member of the Board of Materion Corporation. He joined Materion as President and Chief Executive Officer in March 2017. Prior to joining Materion, Mr. Vijayvargiya had an extensive 26-year international career with Delphi Automotive PLC (leading global technology solutions provider to the automotive and transportation sectors). He most recently led Delphi's Automotive Electronics and Safety segment, a \$3 billion global business based in Germany. In this role, Mr. Vijayvargiya served as an officer of Delphi and a member of its Executive Committee. Previously, he attained progressively responsible positions in Europe and North America in product and manufacturing engineering, sales, product line management, acquisition integration and general management. Mr. Vijayvargiya's broad and diverse experience at Delphi provides significant value to our Board of Directors.

Geoffrey Wild

Age: 62

Director Since: 2011

Mr. Wild is currently the Chief Executive Officer of Atotech (specialty plating chemicals, equipment and services company). Mr. Wild was appointed Chief Executive Officer of Atotech on March 13, 2017. Previously, Mr. Wild had served as Chief Executive Officer and a director of AZ Electronic Materials (specialty chemicals and materials) from 2010 until April 2015 which was acquired by Merck KgAa of Germany in May 2014. From 2008 to 2009, Mr. Wild was President and Chief Executive Officer of Cascade Microtech, Inc. (precision electrical measurement products and services). From 2002 to 2007, Mr. Wild served as Chief Executive Officer of Nikon Precision Inc. He was elected to the Board of Directors of Cabot Microelectronics (polishing slurries and pad supplier to the semiconductor industry) in September 2015 and served on the Board of Directors of Axcelis Technologies, Inc. from 2006 until 2011. Mr. Wild's substantial knowledge and management experience in the global semiconductor industry, including the role of a supplier of equipment and materials to international customers, deepens our Board of Directors' insight into the operational issues that global companies face. Additionally, Mr. Wild's role as a chief executive officer exposes him to international financial and accounting issues.

Your Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote for each of Vinod M. Khilnani, Robert J. Phillippy, Patrick Prevost, N. Mohan Reddy, Ph.D., Craig S. Shular, Darlene J. S. Solomon, Ph.D., Robert B. Toth, Jugal K. Vijayvargiya, and Geoffrey Wild.

If any of these nominees becomes unavailable, it is intended that the proxies will be voted as the Board of Directors determines. We have no reason to believe that any of the nominees will be unavailable. The nominees receiving the greatest number of votes for their election will be elected as directors of Materion Corporation. However, our Board of Directors has adopted a Majority Voting Policy whereby, in an uncontested election, any nominee for director who receives a greater number of votes "withheld" from his or her election than votes "for" his or her election is expected to tender his or her resignation following certification of the shareholder vote, subject to a 90-day review process by our Governance and Organization Committee and Board of Directors to consider whether the tendered resignation should

be accepted. An abstention or broker non-vote is not treated as a vote “withheld” under our Majority Voting Policy. For additional details on the Majority Voting Policy, see page 9 of this proxy statement.

GOVERNANCE; COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

We have adopted a Policy Statement on Significant Corporate Governance Issues and a Code of Conduct Policy in compliance with the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) and Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) requirements. These materials, along with the charters of the Audit, Compensation and Governance and Organization Committees of our Board, which also comply with applicable requirements, are available on our website at <https://materion.com>, or upon request by any shareholder to: Secretary, Materion Corporation, 6070 Parkland Boulevard, Mayfield Heights, Ohio 44124. We also make our reports on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K available on our website, free of charge, as soon as reasonably practicable after these reports are filed with the SEC. Any amendments or waivers to our Code of Conduct Policy, Committee Charters and Policy Statement on Significant Corporate Governance Issues will also be made available on our website. The information on our website is not incorporated by reference into this proxy statement or any of our periodic reports.

Director Independence

The NYSE listing standards require that all listed companies have a majority of independent directors. For a director to be “independent” under the NYSE listing standards, the board of directors of a listed company must affirmatively determine that the director has no material relationship with the Company, or its subsidiaries or affiliates, either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company, or its subsidiaries or affiliates. Our Board has adopted the following standards, which are identical to those of the NYSE listing standards, to assist in its determination of director independence. A director will be determined not to be independent under the following circumstances:

the director is, or has been within the last three years, an employee of the Company, or an immediate family member is, or has been within the last three years, an executive officer of the Company;

the director has received, or has an immediate family member who has received, during any 12-month period within the last three years, more than \$120,000 in direct compensation from the Company, other than director and committee fees and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service (provided such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service);

the director (a) is a current partner or employee of a firm that is the Company’s internal or external auditor; (b) has an immediate family member who is a current partner of such a firm; (c) has an immediate family member who is a current employee of such a firm and personally works on the Company’s audit; or (d) was or has an immediate family member who was within the last three years a partner or employee of such a firm and personally worked on the Company’s audit within that time;

the director or an immediate family member is, or has been within the last three years, employed as an executive officer of another company where any of the Company’s present executive officers at the same time serve or served on that company’s compensation committee; or

the director is a current employee, or an immediate family member is a current executive officer, of a company that has made payments to, or received payments from, the Company for property or services in an amount which, in any of the last three fiscal years, exceeds the greater of \$1,000,000 or two percent of such other company’s consolidated gross revenues.

Additionally, for purposes of determining whether a director has a material relationship with the Company apart from his or her service as a director, our Board has deemed the following relationships as categorically immaterial:

the director, or an immediate family member, is a current employee, director or trustee of a tax-exempt organization and the Company’s contributions to the organization (excluding Company matching of employee contributions) in any fiscal year are less than \$120,000; or

the director is a director of a company that has made payments to, or received payments or deposits from, the Company for property, goods or services in the ordinary course of business in an amount which, in any fiscal year, is less than the greater of \$1,000,000, or two percent of such other company’s consolidated gross revenues.

Our Board has affirmatively determined that each of our current directors, and director nominees, other than Mr. Vijayvargiya, are “independent” within the meaning of that term as defined in the NYSE listing standards; a “non-employee director” within the meaning of that term as defined in Rule 16b-3(b)(3) promulgated under the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act); and an “outside director” within the meaning of that term as defined in the regulations promulgated under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (Code). Additionally, Joseph P. Keithley, who served as a director during 2018, was "independent" as defined in the NYSE listing standards.

Charitable Contributions

Within the preceding three years, we have not made a contribution to any charitable organization in which any of our directors serves as a director, trustee, or executive officer.

Non-management Directors and Non-Executive Chairman

Our Policy Statement on Significant Corporate Governance Issues provides that the non-management members of the Board will meet during each regularly scheduled meeting of the Board of Directors in executive session. Additional executive sessions may be scheduled by the Non-Executive Chairman or other non-management directors. The Non-Executive Chairman will chair these sessions. Mr. Khilnani was appointed our Non-Executive Chairman in January 2018.

The non-management directors have access to our management as they deem necessary or appropriate. In addition, the Chair of each of the Audit Committee, Governance and Organization Committee and Compensation Committee meets periodically with members of senior management.

In addition to the other duties of a director under our Policy Statement on Significant Corporate Governance Issues, the Non-Executive Chairman, in collaboration with the other independent directors, is responsible for coordinating the activities of the independent directors and in that role will:

- chair the executive sessions of the independent directors at each regularly scheduled meeting;
- determine the timing and structuring of Board meetings;
- establish the agenda for Board meetings, including allocation of time as well as subject matter;
- determine the quality, quantity and timeliness of the flow of information from management to the Board;
- serve as the independent point of contact for shareholders wishing to communicate with the Board other than through management;
- interview all Board candidates and provide the Governance and Organization Committee with recommendations on each candidate;
- maintain close contact with the Chairman of each standing committee and assist in ensuring communications between each committee and the Board;
- lead the Chief Executive Officer annual evaluation process; and
- be the ombudsman for the Chief Executive Officer to provide two-way communication with the Board.

Board Communications

Shareholders or other interested parties may communicate with the Board as a whole, the non-executive chairman or the non-management directors as a group, by forwarding relevant information in writing to: Non-Executive Chairman, c/o Secretary, Materion Corporation, 6070 Parkland Boulevard, Mayfield Heights, Ohio 44124. Any other communication to individual directors or committees of the Board of Directors may be similarly addressed to the appropriate recipients, c/o Secretary, Materion Corporation, 6070 Parkland Boulevard, Mayfield Heights, Ohio 44124.

Board Leadership

The Board does not have a policy as to whether the role of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board should be separate or combined, or whether the Chairman should be a management or non-management director. Mr. Khilnani was appointed Non-Executive Chairman of the Board effective January 2018, eliminating the need for a Lead Director. During 2018, Mr. Vijayvargiya was the only member of our Board who was not independent. Unless the Chairman of the Board is an independent director, our Lead Director is elected solely by the independent members of our Board of Directors. The Lead Director works with the Chairman of the Board and other Board members to provide strong, independent oversight of the Company's management and affairs as described above under "Non-management Directors and Non-Executive Chairman".

Risk Oversight

Our Board oversees an enterprise-wide approach to risk management, designed to support the achievement of organizational objectives, including strategic objectives, to improve long-term organizational performance and enhance shareholder value. A fundamental part of risk management is not only understanding the risks a company faces and what steps management is taking to manage those risks, but also understanding what level of risk is appropriate for the Company. The involvement of the full Board in setting the Company's business strategy is a key part of its assessment of management's appetite for risk and also a determination of what constitutes an appropriate level of risk for the Company.

While the Board has the ultimate oversight responsibility for the risk management process, various committees of the Board also have responsibility for risk management. In particular, the Audit Committee focuses on financial risk, including internal controls, and receives an annual risk assessment report from the Company's internal auditors. In addition, management provides a risk management report, including a financial risk assessment and enterprise risk management update and information technology contingency plan to the Audit Committee. In setting compensation, the Compensation Committee strives to create incentives that encourage a level of risk-taking consistent with the Company's business strategy. Finally, the Company's Governance and Organization Committee conducts an annual assessment of the Board for compliance with corporate governance and risk

management best practices. The Company believes that the Board's role in risk oversight is consistent with the Company's leadership structure, with management having day-to-day responsibility for assessing and managing the Company's risk exposure and the Board and its committees providing oversight in connection with those efforts, with particular focus on the most significant risks facing the Company.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee held six meetings in 2018. The Audit Committee membership consists of Mr. Wild, as Chairman, and Messrs. Phillippy and Shular and Dr. Reddy. Under the Audit Committee charter, the Audit Committee's principal functions include assisting our Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to:

- the integrity of our financial statements and our financial reporting process;
- compliance with ethics policies and legal and other regulatory requirements;
- our independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence;
- our systems of internal accounting and financial controls; and
- the performance of our independent registered public accounting firm and of our internal audit functions.

No member of our Audit Committee serves on the audit committee of three or more public companies in addition to ours unless the Board determines that such services would not impair the member's ability to serve on our Audit Committee. The Audit Committee also prepared the Audit Committee report included under the heading "Audit Committee Report" in this proxy statement.

Audit Committee Expert, Financial Literacy and Independence

Our Board has determined that Messrs. Phillippy and Shular are Audit Committee financial experts, as defined by the SEC. Each member of the Audit Committee is financially literate and satisfies the independence requirements as set forth in the NYSE listing standards.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee held six meetings in 2018. Its membership consists of Dr. Solomon, as Chairman, and Messrs. Khilnani, Lawrence and Toth. Each member of the Compensation Committee has been determined by the Board to be independent in accordance with NYSE listing standards. The Compensation Committee may, at its discretion, delegate all or a portion of its duties and responsibilities to a subcommittee, provided that such subcommittee has a published charter in accordance with NYSE rules. The Compensation Committee's principal functions include:

- reviewing and approving executive compensation, including severance payments;
 - overseeing and recommending equity and non-equity incentive plans;
 - overseeing regulatory compliance with respect to compensation matters;
 - advising on senior management compensation; and
 - reviewing and discussing the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) and Compensation Committee Report.
- For additional information regarding the operation of the Compensation Committee, see the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" in this proxy statement.

Governance and Organization Committee

The Governance and Organization Committee held three meetings in 2018. The Governance and Organization Committee membership consists of Mr. Khilnani, as Chairman, and Messrs. Lawrence, Phillippy, Shular, Toth and Wild and Drs. Reddy and Solomon. All of the members are independent in accordance with the NYSE listing requirements. The Governance and Organization Committee's principal functions include:

- evaluating candidates for Board membership, including any nominations of qualified candidates submitted in writing by shareholders to our Secretary;
- making recommendations to the full Board regarding director compensation;
- making recommendations to the full Board regarding governance matters;
- overseeing the evaluation of the Board and management of the Company;
- evaluating potential successors to the Chief Executive Officer for recommendation to the Board and assisting in management succession planning; and
- reviewing related party transactions.

As noted above, the Governance and Organization Committee is involved in determining compensation for our directors. The Governance and Organization Committee administers our equity incentive plans with respect to our directors, including approval of grants of stock options and other equity or equity-based awards, and makes recommendations to the Board with respect to incentive compensation plans and equity-based plans for directors. The Governance and Organization Committee periodically reviews director compensation in relation to comparable companies and other relevant factors. Any change in director compensation must be approved by the Board. No executive officer other than the Chief Executive Officer in his capacity as director participates

in setting director compensation. From time to time, the Governance and Organization Committee or the Board may engage the services of a compensation consultant to provide information regarding director compensation at comparable companies.

Annual Board Self-assessments

The Board has instituted annual self-assessments of the Board, as well as of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Governance and Organization Committee, to assist in determining whether the Board and its committees are functioning effectively. Annually, each of the members of the Board completes a detailed survey regarding the Board and its committees that provides for quantitative ratings in key areas and seeks subjective comments. The results of the survey are compiled and discussed at the Board level and in each committee. Any matters requiring follow-up are identified by the Governance and Organization Committee, which is responsible for any action items. Each of the committees also reviews its charter on an annual basis for any changes.

Also annually, each member of the Board completes a confidential evaluation of each other director that, among other things, seeks subjective comments in certain key areas. The responses to the evaluation are collected by a third party and a summary of the responses are conveyed to the Non-Executive Chairman. The Non-Executive Chairman uses the results of the evaluation as part of the process the Governance and Organization Committee undertakes in determining whether to recommend that those directors be nominated for re-election.

Nomination of Director Candidates

The Governance and Organization Committee will consider candidates recommended by shareholders for nomination as directors of Materion Corporation. Any shareholder desiring to submit a candidate for consideration by the Governance and Organization Committee should send the name of the proposed candidate, together with biographical data and background information concerning the candidate, to the Governance and Organization Committee, c/o Secretary, Materion Corporation, 6070 Parkland Boulevard, Mayfield Heights, Ohio 44124.

In recommending candidates to the Board for nomination as directors, the Governance and Organization Committee's charter requires it to consider such factors as it deems appropriate, consistent with our Policy Statement on Significant Corporate Governance Issues. These factors are as follows:

- broad-based business, governmental, non-profit, or professional skills and experiences that indicate whether the candidate will be able to make a significant and immediate contribution to the Board's discussion and decision-making in the array of complex issues facing the Company;
- exhibited behavior that indicates he or she is committed to the highest ethical standards and the values of the Company;
- special skills, expertise and background that add to and complement the range of skills, expertise and background of the existing directors;
- whether the candidate will effectively, consistently and appropriately take into account and balance the legitimate interests and concerns of all our shareholders and other stakeholders in reaching decisions;
- a global business and social perspective, personal integrity and sound judgment; and
- time available to devote to Board activities and to enhance their knowledge of the Company.

Although the Company does not have a formal policy regarding diversity, as part of the analysis of the foregoing factors, the Governance and Organization Committee considers whether the candidate enhances the diversity of the Board. Such diversity includes professional background and capabilities, knowledge of specific industries and geographic experience, as well as the more traditional diversity concepts of race, gender and national origin.

The Governance and Organization Committee's evaluation of candidates recommended by shareholders does not differ materially from its evaluation of candidates recommended from other sources.

The Governance and Organization Committee utilizes a variety of methods for identifying and evaluating director candidates. The Governance and Organization Committee regularly reviews the appropriate size of the Board and whether any vacancies on the Board are expected due to retirement or otherwise. In the event that vacancies are anticipated, or otherwise arise, the Governance and Organization Committee considers various potential candidates for director. Candidates may come to the attention of the Governance and Organization Committee through current Board members, professional search firms, shareholders or other persons. Additionally, from time to time, the Governance and Organization Committee has used the services of an executive search firm to help identify potential director

candidates who possess the characteristics described above. In such instance, the search firm has prepared a biography of each candidate, conducted reference checks and screened candidates.

A shareholder of record entitled to vote in an election of directors who timely complies with the procedures set forth in our code of regulations and with all applicable requirements of the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations thereunder, may also directly nominate individuals for election as directors at a shareholders' meeting. Copies of our code of regulations are available by a request addressed to Materion Corporation, c/o Secretary, 6070 Parkland Boulevard, Mayfield Heights, Ohio 44124.

To be timely, notice of a shareholder nomination for an annual meeting must be received at our principal executive offices not fewer than 60 nor more than 90 days prior to the date of the annual meeting. However, if the date of the meeting is more than one week before or after the first anniversary of the previous year's meeting and we do not give notice of the meeting at least 75 days in advance, nominations must be received within ten days from the date of our notice.

Majority Voting Policy

Our Board adopted a Majority Voting Policy whereby, in an uncontested election, any nominee for director who receives a greater number of votes "withheld" from his or her election than votes "for" election, which we refer to as a Majority Withheld Vote, is expected to tender his or her resignation following certification of the shareholder vote. In such an event, the Governance and Organization Committee will consider the tendered resignation and make a recommendation to the Board. The Board will act on the Governance and Organization Committee's recommendation within 90 days following certification of the shareholder vote. Any director who tenders his or her resignation pursuant to this policy will not participate in the Governance and Organization Committee's recommendation or Board's action regarding whether to accept or reject the tendered resignation.

However, if each member of the Governance and Organization Committee received a Majority Withheld Vote in the same election, then the Board would appoint a committee comprised solely of independent directors who did not receive a Majority Withheld Vote at that election to consider each tendered resignation offer and recommend to the Board of Directors whether to accept or reject each resignation. Further, if all of the director nominees received a Majority Withheld Vote in the same election, the Board would appoint a committee comprised solely of independent directors to consider each tendered resignation offer and recommend to the Board of Directors whether to accept or reject each resignation.

Director Attendance

Our Board held six meetings in 2018. All of the current directors who were directors in 2018 attended at least 75% of the Board and assigned committee meetings during 2018. Our policy is that directors are expected to attend all meetings, including the annual meeting of shareholders. All of our directors attended last year's annual meeting of shareholders.

Use of Blank Check Preferred Stock

Our Board has adopted a resolution that it will not, without prior shareholder approval, authorize the issuance of any series of preferred stock for any defensive or anti-takeover purpose, for the purpose of implementing any shareholder rights plan or with features specifically intended to make any attempted acquisition of the Company more difficult or costly; provided that, within the limits described above, the Board may authorize the issuance of preferred stock for capital raising transactions, acquisitions, joint ventures or other corporate purposes.

Position Statement on Shareholder Rights Plans

Our Board has adopted a Position Statement on Shareholder Rights Plans. The Position Statement provides that, if the Board adopts a shareholder rights plan, it will do so by action of the majority of its independent directors after careful deliberation and in the exercise of its fiduciary duties, and the Board will seek prior shareholder approval of the plan unless, due to time constraints or other considerations, the majority of the independent directors determine that it would be in the best interest of the Company and its shareholders to adopt the rights plan without first obtaining shareholder approval. The Position Statement also provides that if the Board adopts a rights plan without prior shareholder approval, the plan will expire on the first anniversary of its effective date unless prior to such time the plan has been ratified by a vote of the Company's shareholders, which vote may exclude shares held by any potential acquiring shareholders.

Opt Out of the Ohio Control Shareholder Act

At our annual meeting of shareholders held in May 2014, our shareholders approved a management-sponsored proposal to amend our Amended and Restated Code of Regulations to opt out of Section 1701.831 of the Ohio Revised Code, which is commonly referred to as the Ohio Control Share Acquisition Act. The Ohio Control Share Acquisition Act generally applies to Ohio public corporations unless a corporation specifically opts out of the statute's application. The Ohio Control Share Acquisition Act generally requires that any "control share acquisition" of an Ohio public corporation can only be made with the prior authorization of shareholders. "Control share acquisitions" are

defined to be acquisitions of shares entitling a person to exercise or direct the voting power in the election of directors within any of three separate ranges: (1) one-fifth or more but less than one-third of such voting power, (2) one-third or more but less than a majority of such voting power, or (3) a majority or more of such voting power. A person desiring to make a control share acquisition must first deliver notice to the corporation and provide certain information about the acquirer and the proposed acquisition, and the corporation's board of directors must call a special meeting of shareholders to vote on the proposed acquisition. Because of the amendment to our Amended and Restated Code of Regulations approved by our shareholders, the Ohio Control Share Acquisition Act no longer applies to us.

2018 Compensation of Non-Employee Directors

Total compensation of our non-employee directors for the year ended December 31, 2018, was as follows:

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Total (\$)
Joseph P. Keithley ⁽²⁾	35,000	—	35,000
Vinod M. Khilnani	138,333	94,996	233,329
William B. Lawrence	70,000	94,996	164,996
Robert J. Phillippy ^{(3),(4)}	52,452	169,970	222,422
N. Mohan Reddy	70,000	94,996	164,996
Craig S. Shular ⁽⁵⁾	69,869	94,996	164,865
Darlene J. S. Solomon	78,333	94,996	173,329
Robert B. Toth	70,000	94,996	164,996
Geoffrey Wild	83,333	94,996	178,329

(1) The amounts in this column reflect the grant date fair value of time-based restricted stock unit (RSU) awards as computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification (FASB ASC) Topic 718.

(2) Mr. Keithley did not stand for re-election at the 2018 annual meeting and is no longer a member of our Board.

(3) Mr. Phillippy's stock award includes 1,453 shares of common stock, with a grant date fair value of \$51.60 per share, granted upon appointment to the Board of Directors on May 3, 2018, as described below under Equity Compensation.

(4) Mr. Phillippy elected to defer 100% of his compensation in the form of deferred stock units beginning in the third quarter of 2018, as described below under Deferred Compensation. Mr. Phillippy received his second quarter 2018 compensation in the form of cash.

(5) Mr. Shular elected to defer 100% of his compensation in the form of deferred stock units in 2018, as described below under Deferred Compensation.

The following table presents the RSU awards granted to non-employee directors in 2018. Awards were made on May 3, 2018 and valued based on the preceding day's closing price of \$51.60. These awards in general will vest May 3, 2019, if the individual remains as a director until that date. As of December 31, 2018, no other stock or option awards were outstanding for our non-employee directors.

Name	Restricted Stock Units
Vinod M. Khilnani	1,841
William B. Lawrence	1,841
Robert J. Phillippy	1,841
N. Mohan Reddy	1,841
Craig S. Shular	1,841
Darlene J. S. Solomon	1,841
Robert B. Toth	1,841
Geoffrey Wild	1,841

Annual Retainer Fees

In 2018, non-employee directors received an annual retainer fee in the amount of \$65,000. Non-employee directors received an additional \$5,000 for being a member of a committee, with the exception of the Chairman of the Compensation Committee (Dr. Solomon), who received an additional \$10,000, and the Chairman of the Audit Committee (Mr. Wild), who received an additional \$15,000. The Non-Executive Chairman (Mr. Khilnani) received an additional \$70,000.

Equity Compensation

Under the 2006 Non-Employee Director Equity Plan (Director Equity Plan), non-employee directors who continued to serve as directors following the 2018 annual meeting of shareholders received \$95,000 worth of RSUs (subject to rounding) which will generally be paid out in common stock at the end of a one-year restriction period. These RSUs were granted on the day following the annual meeting. The number of RSUs granted is equal to \$95,000 divided by the closing price of our common stock on the day of the annual meeting (subject to rounding).

In the event a new director is elected or appointed, common stock may be granted, at the Board's discretion, usually on the first business day following the election or appointment to the Board of Directors. This grant of common stock has typically been equal

to \$100,000 divided by the closing price of our common stock on the day the director is elected or appointed to the Board of Directors. The grant is expected to be prorated by multiplying such number of shares of common stock by a fraction (in no case greater than one), (1) the numerator of which is one plus the number of full quarters remaining in the calendar year in which such election or appointment occurs after the date such election or appointment occurs, and (2) the denominator of which is four. The Company does not issue any fractional shares.

Deferred Compensation

Non-employee directors may defer all or a part of their annual retainer fees in the form of deferred stock units under the Director Equity Plan until ceasing to be a member of the Board of Directors or a date specified by the participant. A director may also elect to have RSUs or other stock awards granted under the Director Equity Plan deferred in the form of deferred stock units.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners

The following information is set forth with respect to persons known to management to be the beneficial owners of more than 5% of Materion's common shares as of December 31, 2018.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership ⁽¹⁾	Percent of Class
BlackRock, Inc. 55 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10055	3,091,415	(2) 15.3 %
The Vanguard Group 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	2,166,772	(3) 10.7 %
Dimensional Fund Advisors LP 6300 Bee Cave Road, Building One Austin, TX 78746	1,673,063	(4) 8.3 %
GAMCO Investors, Inc. One Corporate Center Rye, NY 10580	1,140,600	(5) 5.6 %

(1) The information contained in this table, including related footnotes, is based on the Schedule 13G and Schedule 13D filings made by the beneficial owners identified herein.

(2) BlackRock, Inc. has sole investment power over 3,091,415 shares and sole voting power over 3,007,538 shares.

(3) The Vanguard Group has sole voting power over 19,614 shares, shared voting power of 1,800 shares, sole dispositive power over 2,147,358 shares and shared dispositive power over 19,414 shares. The amount beneficially owned totals 2,166,772 shares.

(4) Dimensional Fund Advisors LP has sole investment power over 1,673,063 shares and sole voting power over 1,612,612 shares.

(5) A Schedule 13D/A filed with the SEC on May 7, 2018 indicates that, as of May 4, 2018: (a) Gabelli Funds, LLC had sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 326,300 shares; (b) GAMCO Asset Management Inc. had sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 591,000 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 643,500 shares; and (c) Teton Advisors, Inc. had sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 170,800 shares. The Schedule 13D/A further indicates that it was being filed by Mario J. Gabelli and various entities which he directly or indirectly controls or for which he acts as chief investment officer and that he, GSI and certain other entities named therein may be deemed to have beneficial ownership of the shares owned beneficially by each of the foregoing entities as well as certain other persons or entities named therein.

Security Ownership of Directors and Named Executive Officers

The following table sets forth information with respect to the beneficial ownership of Materion Corporation's common stock by each director and director nominee for election as a director of Materion, each of the named executive officers and all directors and executive officers as a group, as of January 31, 2019, unless otherwise indicated. The shareholders listed in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to shares beneficially owned by them, unless otherwise indicated. Shares that are subject to stock appreciation rights (SARs) that may be exercised within 60 days of January 31, 2019 are reflected in the number of shares shown and in computing the percentage of Materion's common stock beneficially owned by the person who owns those SARs.

Name	Number of Shares	Percent of Class
Gregory R. Chemnitz	29,559	(2) *
Joseph P. Kelley	20,822	(2) *
Vinod M. Khilnani	32,528	(1) *
William B. Lawrence	38,871	(1) *
Robert J. Phillippy	2,430	(1) *
Patrick Prevost	—	*
N. Mohan Reddy	34,372	(1) *
Craig S. Shular	45,697	(1) *
Darlene J. S. Solomon	18,208	*
Robert B. Toth	16,617	*
Geoffrey Wild	21,279	(1) *
Jugal K. Vijayvargiya	32,015	(2) *
All Directors, Director Nominees and Executive Officers as a group (including the Named Executive Officers (12 persons))	292,398	(3) 1.4%

*Less than 1% of Materion's outstanding common stock

(1) Includes deferred shares under the Director Plan as follows: Mr. Khilnani 16,062, Mr. Lawrence 32,826, Mr. Phillippy 977, Dr. Reddy 34,372, Mr. Shular 41,570 and Mr. Wild 21,279.

(2) Includes shares covered by SARs exercisable within 60 days of January 31, 2019 as follows: Mr. Vijayvargiya 29,479, Mr. Kelley 17,378 and Mr. Chemnitz 15,916.

(3) Includes an aggregate of 62,773 shares subject to SARs held by executive officers exercisable within 60 days of January 31, 2019 and an aggregate of 147,086 deferred shares held by directors.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our directors, officers and persons who own more than 10% of our common stock to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership on Forms 3, 4 and 5 with the SEC. Directors, officers and greater than 10% shareholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Forms 3, 4 and 5 they file.

Based solely on our review of copies of forms that we have received, and written representations by our directors, officers and greater than 10% shareholders, all of our directors, officers and greater than 10% shareholders complied with all filing requirements applicable to them with respect to transactions in our equity securities during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

We recognize that transactions between any of our directors or executive officers and us can present potential or actual conflicts of interest and create the appearance that our decisions are based on considerations other than the best interests of our shareholders. Pursuant to its charter, the Governance and Organization Committee considers and makes recommendations to the Board with regard to possible conflicts of interest of Board members or management. The Board then makes a determination as to whether to approve the transaction.

The Governance and Organization Committee reviews all relationships and transactions in which Materion Corporation and its directors and executive officers or their immediate family members are participants to determine whether such persons have a direct or indirect material interest. Our Secretary is primarily responsible for the development and implementation of processes and controls to obtain information from the directors and executive officers with respect to related person transactions in order to enable the Governance and Organization Committee to determine, based on the facts and circumstances, whether Materion or a related person has a direct or indirect material interest in the transaction. As set forth in the Governance and Organization Committee's charter, in the course of the review of a potentially material-related person transaction, the Governance and Organization Committee considers:

- the nature of the related person's interest in the transaction;
- the material terms of the transaction, including, without limitation, the amount and type of transaction;
- the importance of the transaction to the related person;
- the importance of the transaction to Materion;
- whether the transaction would impair the judgment of a director or executive officer to act in the best interest of Materion; and
- any other matters the Governance and Organization Committee deems appropriate.

Based on this review, the Governance and Organization Committee will determine whether to approve or ratify any transaction which is directly or indirectly material to Materion or a related person.

Any member of the Governance and Organization Committee who is a related person with respect to a transaction under review may not participate in the deliberations or vote with respect to the approval or ratification of the transaction; however, such director may be counted in determining the presence of a quorum at a meeting of the Governance and Organization Committee that considers the transaction.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee oversees the Company's financial reporting process on behalf of the Board of Directors. Management has the primary responsibility for the financial statements and the reporting process including the Company's systems of internal controls. In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the Audit Committee reviewed the audited financial statements in the annual report with management, and discussed the quality, not just the acceptability, of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments and the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements.

The Audit Committee has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by the statement of Auditing Standard 1301: Communications with Audit Committees, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent registered public accounting firm's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence and has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm such firm's independence.

The Audit Committee discussed with the Company's internal auditors and the independent registered public accounting firm the overall scope and plans for the respective audits. The Audit Committee meets with the internal auditors and the independent registered public accounting firm, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their examinations, their evaluations of the Company's internal controls, and the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting. The Audit Committee held six meetings during 2018.

In reliance on these reviews and discussions, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors (and the Board has approved) that the audited financial statements be included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2018 for filing with the SEC.

The current Audit Committee charter is available on our website at <https://materion.com>.

Geoffrey Wild (Chairman)

Robert J. Phillippy

N. Mohan Reddy

Craig S. Shular

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) provides an overview of our executive compensation program and 2018 pay determinations for our named executive officers (NEOs), as shown below:

Named Executive Officers

Jugal K. Vijayvargiya, President and Chief Executive Officer

Joseph P. Kelley, Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer

Gregory R. Chemnitz, Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

This CD&A consists of the following three sections:

Section I: Executive Summary - 2018 in Review

Section II: Executive Compensation Program Overview

Section III: Details and Analysis of the 2018 Executive Compensation Program

Section I: Executive Summary - 2018 in Review

Materion Corporation has a long-standing and strong commitment toward pay-for-performance in its executive compensation programs. We maintain this orientation throughout economic cycles that may cause fluctuation in our operating results.

We believe the decisions regarding our NEO compensation program in 2018 described in the CD&A below reflect our ongoing commitment to sustaining our pay-for-performance philosophy.

2018 Company Performance Overview

The Company delivered strong sales and profit growth in 2018 primarily led by performance improvements in our Performance Alloys and Composites and Precision Coatings segments. Net sales of \$1,207.8 million in 2018 increased 6% compared to \$1,139.4 million in 2017. Value-added sales, an important measure to the company, (reconciled with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP)⁽¹⁾ in Appendix A), was \$739.0 million in 2018, an increase of 9%, versus \$677.7 million in 2017. Excluding value-added sales related to the acquisition of Heraeus' high-performance target materials business (HTB) which was acquired in February 2017, the base business grew at a robust rate of 8% compared to 2017 due to improvements in commercial execution and strong end market demand.

The Company reported operating profit of \$61.5 million in 2018 compared to \$40.0 million in 2017. Excluding special items related to cost reduction initiatives, legacy legal and environmental costs, and other items, adjusted operating profit⁽¹⁾ totaled \$61.8 million in 2018, an increase of 30% compared to \$47.4 million in 2017. Commercial and operational improvements drove the year-over-year increase in operating profit.

The Company also generated strong cash flow from operations of \$76.4 million in 2018 and ended the year with \$70.6 million of cash and only \$3.0 million of total debt.

(1) See Appendix A for a definition of value-added sales and a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial measures.

Key Financial and Strategic Highlights for 2018

Value-added sales of \$739.0 million in 2018 an increase of 9% compared to \$677.7 million in 2017
 Adjusted operating profit was an all-time record of \$61.8 million, up 30% from the prior year
 Adjusted net income for 2018 was an all-time record of \$49.0 million, or \$2.38 per share, diluted, as compared to \$35.2 million, or \$1.72 per share, for the prior year
 Strong operating cash flow of \$76.4 million for 2018 and ended the year with \$70.6 million in cash and only \$3.0 million in total debt
 Increased quarterly dividend for a sixth consecutive year to \$0.42 per share on an annual basis and returned \$8.8 million to shareholders in the form of dividends and common share repurchases

Summary NEO Compensation Decisions and Actions in 2018

Factors Guiding NEO Compensation Decisions	<p>Market compensation rates, including within Materion's compensation peer group, for each position</p> <p>Company's performance against pre-established goals</p> <p>Experience, skills and expected future contributions and leadership</p> <p>Contributions and performance of each individual</p>
2018 NEO Compensation Decisions (see below for details)	<p>Target Total Direct Compensation: The target total direct compensation for Messrs. Vijayvargiya, Chemnitz, and Kelley in 2018 was managed within 20% of the market median.</p> <p>Base Pay: NEO salary increases were 3.57% for Mr. Vijayvargiya, 0% for Mr. Kelley and 0% for Mr. Chemnitz.</p> <p>Management Incentive Plan (MIP): Payout under the MIP was based on Company adjusted operating profit, value-added sales growth, and simplified free cash flow performance versus goals. The Company achieved 121% of its adjusted operating profit target, 220% of its value-added sales growth target and 150% of its simplified free cash flow target, resulting in MIP awards at 200% of target for our NEOs.</p> <p>Long-term Incentives (LTI): The Committee determined 2018 equity grants after carefully considering (1) the Company's 2017 performance, (2) comparative market pay practices and (3) our performance-driven compensation philosophy. In 2018, performance-based grants represented about 75% of the overall target equity opportunities for Mr. Vijayvargiya, and 60% of the overall target equity opportunities for each of Messrs. Kelley and Chemnitz. The target equity opportunity (as a percent of base salary) for Mr. Chemnitz was increased by 12% and for Mr. Kelley by 26%.</p>
2018 NEO Compensation Program Design Changes	<p>We introduced a simplified free cash flow (SFCF) metric in addition to the existing operating profit and value-added sales growth metrics to the annual MIP to provide more focus on continually improving the Company's return on invested capital. SFCF is the amount equal to operating profit plus depreciation and amortization minus the change in working capital and capital investments.</p> <p>To align with market and peer company practices, vesting of Stock Appreciation Rights (SARs) was changed from 100% "cliff" vesting three years from the date of grant to ratably vesting one-third on each anniversary of the grant date.</p> <p>Also, to align with market competitive best practices, any earned payout under the Company's Performance Restricted Stock Unit (PRSU) plans are 100% payable in shares versus our former practice of PRSUs being paid in shares for payouts up to target performance and in cash for payouts above target.</p>
Shareholder Advisory Vote Consideration	<p>At our 2018 annual meeting of shareholders, we received approximately 97% approval from our shareholders, based on the total votes counted, for our annual advisory "Say-on-Pay" proposal to approve the compensation of our NEOs. The Committee considered these voting results at its meetings after the vote, and while it believes the voting results demonstrate</p>

significant support for our overall executive compensation program, the Committee remains dedicated to continuously improving the existing executive compensation program and the governance environment surrounding the overall program.

Other Changes in Prior Years

In addition to the above compensation program design changes made in 2018, the Committee has made a number of executive pay and related corporate governance changes over the past several years to further align our executive compensation program with market competitive best practices. Specifically, the Committee:

Compensation Program Design	<p>Established stock ownership and retention guidelines for the NEOs and non-employee directors to further promote long-term equity ownership.</p> <p>Introduced a value-added sales metric (defined as sales less the cost of gold, silver, platinum, palladium and copper), in addition to the existing operating profit measure, within our annual MIP to allow for a more meaningful assessment of our performance.</p> <p>Put more stock and compensation at risk by increasing the weighting on the PRSUs to between 40% and 50% (from 33% in 2012) of the total target LTI award mix for our NEOs. The LTI program for 2018 had four components, comprised of stock appreciation rights (SARs), PRSUs tied to our Relative Total Shareholder Return (RTSR) (RTSR PRSUs), PRSUs tied to our absolute Return On Invested Capital (ROIC) (ROIC PRSUs) and time-based restricted stock units (RSUs). Including all PRSUs and SARs, 60% or 75% of the total target LTI award mix for our NEOs is “at risk.”</p>
Corporate Governance	<p>Eliminated all executive perquisite programs, other than periodic executive physicals, for the NEOs. Moved timing of annual base salary increase reviews for NEOs from January 1 to late March to align the Company’s annual merit review process for all other U.S.-based employees.</p> <p>Eliminated the "modified single trigger" provision from all future severance agreements with new executives.</p> <p>Allowed the excise tax gross-up provisions in existing severance agreements to expire in 2012 and exclude gross-up provisions from any new agreements.</p> <p>Implemented a "double trigger" change in control vesting provision for all new equity grants beginning in 2011, which provides that outstanding equity grants will vest on an accelerated basis either if the awards are not continued, assumed or replaced upon the occurrence of a change in control or if the executive experiences a subsequent qualifying termination of employment. The change in control beneficial ownership percentage trigger was also increased to 30%.</p> <p>Implemented a formal clawback policy that goes beyond the existing provisions contained in our equity award agreements and mandates of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. If and when final regulations for clawbacks are promulgated by the SEC and the NYSE under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act), we will modify our policy accordingly to ensure compliance with such new regulations.</p>

Section II: Executive Compensation Program Overview

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

Our long-standing compensation philosophy has three key objectives:

- Attract, motivate and help retain key executives with the ability to profitably grow our business portfolio;
- Build a pay-for-performance environment with total pay levels targeted at the competitive market median; and
- Provide opportunities for share ownership to align the interests of our executives with our shareholders.

Primary Components of the NEO Compensation Program for 2018

To achieve these objectives, our NEO compensation program includes the following primary components:

Component	Purpose / Objective	Performance Linkage	Form of Payout
Base Salaries	Provide a fixed, competitive level of pay based on responsibility, qualifications, experience and performance	Moderate: merit increases are based on individual performance	Cash
Short-term Cash Incentives (MIP)	Align variable pay with short-term performance in support of our annual business plan and strategic objectives	Strong: awards are tied to pre-established financial goals	Cash
Long-term Incentives (LTI) including: SARs, PRSUs and RSUs	Align variable pay with longer term, sustained performance and shareholder value creation; enhance executive retention and provide an equity stake to further align with shareholder interests	Strong: PRSUs represent about 40% - 50% of the total target award opportunity, and, including SARs (the value of which is tied to stock price appreciation), about 60 - 75% of total target LTI is "at risk"	SARs, RSUs and PRSUs are payable in shares
Health, Welfare and Retirement Benefits	Provide for competitive health, welfare and retirement needs and enhance executive retention. NEOs are also eligible for periodic executive physicals, but no other perquisites are provided	None	Retirement benefits are payable in cash following qualifying separation from service

Target Total Pay Mix

Due to our pay-for-performance philosophy, the Committee has set base salaries as a relatively small part of target total pay for the NEOs and has provided a significant portion of target total pay for the NEOs in the form of equity-based LTI, consisting of grants of SARs, PRSUs and RSUs that align NEOs' interests with those of our shareholders. In 2018, performance-based LTI grants represented approximately 75% of the total target equity opportunity offered to Mr. Vijayvargiya and approximately 60% for Messrs. Chemnitz and Kelley.

The following charts summarize the target total pay mix for our CEO and the average target total pay mix for our other NEOs:

As shown above, the majority of the target total pay mix is tied to variable, performance-based incentives, with considerable emphasis on equity-based LTI. Overall, the charts illustrate the following:

• Long-term incentives represent 55% of the target total pay mix for our CEO, with 45% of the target total pay mix provided in the form of cash-based, short-term pay (the combination of salary and target MIP);

• Long-term incentives represent 43% of the average target total pay mix for our other two NEOs, with the remaining 57% provided in the form of cash-based short-term pay; and

Performance-based pay (the combination of target MIP, SARs and PRSUs) is approximately 62% of target total pay for our CEO and averages 48% of target total pay for our other two NEOs, versus fixed pay (salary and time-based vesting RSUs) of about 38% and 52%, respectively.

Our Commitment to Sound Corporate Governance

The Committee works to ensure that our executive compensation program adheres to sound corporate governance and market competitive best practices. The following table highlights our shareholder-friendly corporate governance practices:

What We DO

Target pay mix places primary emphasis on variable incentives to align pay with performance.

Incentives are tied to pre-established, objective goals, with no payouts for below-threshold performance.

Majority of LTI awards are “at risk”, with 40% to 50% based on PRSUs tied to three-year performance goals.

NEOs are subject to mandatory stock ownership guidelines along with stock holding requirements.

Incentive awards to NEOs are subject to a formal clawback policy.

NEO pay is initially targeted in the median range of our peer group and third-party general industry surveys for all elements of compensation, including base salary, target MIP opportunities and target LTI awards.

What We DON'T DO

No single trigger acceleration provisions in the event of a change in control for cash severance or equity awards.

No excessive benefits or NEO perquisites, other than periodic executive physicals.

No excise or other tax gross-ups in current or future NEO employment or severance agreements.

No repricing of SARs or stock options without prior shareholder approval.

No multi-year guarantees for salary increases, bonuses, incentives, or equity grants.

No dividend equivalents or dividends paid on unearned PRSUs.

No share hedging or pledging activities.

The Compensation Committee and its Role in Determining NEO Pay

The Committee is responsible for the design and oversight of our executive compensation programs covering NEOs, including the CEO. All of the members of the Committee are independent, non-employee directors as defined by the rules of the NYSE. The Committee makes policy and strategic recommendations to the Board of Directors (Board) and has authority delegated from the Board to, among other things:

- Implement executive pay decisions;
- Design the base pay, incentive pay and benefit programs for the NEOs;
- Assess and address any inherent risks in executive and employee compensation programs;
- Oversee the equity incentive plans; and
- Oversee the administration of our stock ownership guidelines.

The Committee met six times in 2018 and all meetings included an executive session during which management was not present. Most compensation decisions are finalized in the first quarter of each fiscal year. The Committee charter, which sets forth the Committee's responsibilities on a more comprehensive basis, is available under the "Corporate Governance" tab at <http://materion.com> and is reviewed on an annual basis to ensure it continues to satisfy changing corporate governance requirements and expectations.

The Committee considers market information and advice provided by an independent compensation consultant (FW Cook) and other advisors. It also reviews business documents such as budgets, financial statements and management reports of our business activities, as well as individual performance assessments, in making its decisions. Additionally, it considers other factors, such as the experience, skill sets and contributions of each NEO toward our overall success. The Committee receives input from the CEO with respect to salaries, incentives and total pay for the other NEOs, and input from the other NEOs for other executives who are part of the Committee's responsibility. However, all compensation decisions for these individuals are ultimately made by the Committee and all compensation decisions for the CEO are made by the Committee. In addition, the Committee reviews compensation element values and totals, primarily to identify any competitive issues, gain an understanding of the relative dollar values of each compensation element and to understand the magnitude of total compensation.

The Role of Management in Providing Input on Executive Pay to the Committee

Management provides periodic updates to the Committee regarding business performance and forecasts. Management also provides input on incentive compensation plan performance goals, based on the annual business plan approved by the Board. As noted above, NEOs also provide individual performance assessments and base salary recommendations for their direct reports whose pay is subject to Committee oversight.

The Role of the Independent Compensation Consultant and Other Independent Advisers to the Committee

In determining compensation elements and performance goals for the NEOs, the Committee relies on several resources, including the services of an independent compensation consultant as well as other periodically retained independent advisers. In 2018, the Committee again engaged FW Cook to serve as its independent compensation consultant.

FW Cook works directly for the Committee (and not on behalf of management) and assists the Committee in evaluating our executive compensation program, including peer group composition, competitive benchmarking, program design and staying abreast of market practices and trends.

For 2018, the Committee assessed the independence of FW Cook, as required under NYSE listing rules. The Committee also considered and assessed all relevant factors, including but not limited to those set forth in Rule 10C-1(b)(4)(i) through (vi) under the Exchange Act, that could give rise to a potential conflict of interest with respect

to FW Cook's work. Based on the review, we are not aware of any conflict of interest that has been raised by the work performed by FW Cook.

How Pay is Set: Peer Group Companies

For 2018 compensation determinations and assessments were made primarily against a comparison group of 21 public companies in the steel/metals & mining, specialty/commodity chemicals, and semiconductor/electronics industries as selected by FW Cook and approved by the Committee. FW Cook reviews and updates the comparison group for continued appropriateness based on industry and company size, utilizing companies with annual revenues greater than \$300 million and within a reasonable size range in metrics including operating income, total assets, total equity, total employees and market capitalization. The comparison group selection criteria are also based on companies that have similar business characteristics. The comparison group is the same peer group used for determining long-term incentive award PRSU TSR payouts. The following companies were included in the 2017 comparison group used to assist with setting target compensation:

Cabot Corporation	Innophos Holdings
Calgon Carbon Corporation	Integrated Device Technology, Inc.
Coherent Inc.	II-VI Incorporated
Entegris, Inc.	KEMET Corp.
PolyOne Corporation	Kraton Performance Polymers Inc.
Rayonier Advanced Materials	Minerals Technologies Inc.
Schweiter-Mauduit Int'l.	Olympic Steel Inc.
Carpenter Technology Corp.	Quaker Chemical Corporation
CTS Corporation	Rogers Corporation
Ferro Corporation	Suncoke Energy, Inc.
Haynes International, Inc.	

Calgon Carbon Corporation was removed from the peer group following its acquisition by Kuraray Holdings in March 2018. Based on FW Cook's September 2017 report, the Company ranked near the median range of comparison companies, on average, in terms of company size, profitability, growth, and shareholder return. This competitive ranking indicates that the comparison group is a reasonable competitive benchmark and that the median range is an appropriate and fair range to target total direct compensation opportunities for the Named Executive Officers, with actual pay delivered dependent on Company and individual performance.

Given the strong correlation between revenue and executive pay, FW Cook size-adjusts the competitive market by using the median pay of the comparison group, where the Company is positioned near the median of the group in terms of company size, profitability, growth, and shareholder return. The peer group data is blended with median third-party survey data, regressed and adjusted based on the Company's corporate and business unit revenue scope. The third-party survey data used is from the 2016 Towers Watson Executive Compensation Database and the 2017 Aon Hewitt Total Compensation Measurement Survey. The Towers Watson survey includes over 450 organizations ranging in size from approximately \$100 million to over \$100 billion in annual revenue. The Aon Hewitt survey includes over 500 organizations ranging in size from approximately \$100 million to over \$200 billion in annual revenue. Data selected from these surveys is scoped based on Company revenue. The compensation data subjected to analysis, and not the identity of the individual companies participating in these surveys, was the significant factor considered by the Committee with respect to its 2018 executive compensation decisions for our NEOs.

The median comparison group data and the size-adjusted third-party survey data is used to set a targeted range for the Company's pay elements, which is referred to as the median market range. These targeted ranges are within 10% of median for base salaries, within 15% of median for annual cash incentive targets, and within 20% of median for both long-term incentive targets and for target total direct compensation. In making compensation decisions, the Committee reviews these target ranges; however, individual named executive officers' total direct compensation, or its elements, may vary above or below the market median range due to the executive's skills, experience in current role, tenure with the Company and individual performance.

Based on the 2017 comparison group and third-party survey data described above, targeted total direct compensation for 2018 for our named executive officers at the time of the Committee's compensation review was within the market median range for target total direct compensation. FW Cook reported that the average mix of base salary, annual cash incentive and annual long-term incentive opportunity for our NEOs, was representative of competitive practices. The Company's practice of using a portfolio of grant types is consistent with the majority of comparative company practices. FW Cook also reported that the Company's equity compensation grant practices for 2018 ranked between the 25th and 75th percentiles of the comparison group in terms of equity compensation cost, share usage run rate, and potential dilution overhang.

In looking ahead, the Committee reviewed the peer group of comparison companies that would be used to assist in setting 2019 target compensation. The Committee decided no further actions to the makeup of the peer group, as mentioned above, were required

given the Company's current financial position. The Company maintains its ranking near the median of the comparison companies in terms of size, profitability, growth, and shareholder return.

Section III: Details and Analysis of the 2018 Executive Compensation Program

The following is an explanation and analysis of the 2018 pay elements:

Base Salary

The Committee approved a base salary increase of 3.6%, effective February 8, 2018, for Mr. Vijayvargiya to improve his alignment with the competitive market and recognize his past performance and future expected contributions. No increases were approved for Mr. Kelley because he received two base salary increases in 2017 or for Mr. Chemnitz because he is currently positioned at the high end of the market range.

	2017	2018	
	Base Salary	Base Salary	% Increase
Jugal K. Vijayvargiya	\$700,000	\$725,000	3.57%
Joseph P. Kelley	421,800	421,800	0.0%
Gregory R. Chemnitz	407,700	407,700	0.0%

2018 Management Incentive Plan (MIP)

Early in the year, the Board approved an annual operating plan that reflected our expectations for our performance during 2018. The annual operating plan called for an 11% increase in adjusted operating profit and a 4.1% increase in value-added sales (VAS) compared to 2017.

The Committee used the 2018 annual operating plan as the basis for setting our 2018 MIP goals of adjusted operating profit (OP), VAS growth, and simplified free cash flow (SFCF). VAS is the amount equal to the Company's sales minus the aggregate cost to the Company of gold, silver, platinum, palladium and copper. SFCF is the amount equal to OP plus depreciation and amortization minus the change in working capital (accounts receivable, accounts payable and inventory) and capital investments. The adjusted OP, VAS growth, and SFCF goals accounted for 70%, 15%, and 15%, respectively, of each NEO's total target annual incentive opportunity. The Committee determined that meeting these goals would require significant effort and achievement on the part of the executive team and all Company employees in the continued execution of our growth strategy.

The 2018 target annual incentives, as a percentage of salaries, for all NEOs were within the market range and remained the same as 2017 for Messrs. Vijayvargiya and Chemnitz at 90% and 56% respectively. Mr. Kelley's target increased to 70% for 2018, compared to 65% in 2017, to bring his target more in line with the market range for his role.

2018 MIP Performance Measures and Target Payout as a % of Salary

Name	Adjusted OP (70%)	VAS Growth (15%)	SFCF Growth (15%)	Total MIP Target
Jugal K. Vijayvargiya	63.0%	13.5%	13.5%	90%
Joseph P. Kelley	49.0%	10.5%	10.5%	70%
Gregory R. Chemnitz	39.2%	8.4%	8.4%	56%

Actual payouts can range from 0% of target awards for below-threshold results up to 200% of target awards at maximum levels and are determined on the basis of interpolation. Additionally, MIP payouts are subject to recoupment under our clawback policy.

The table below shows the threshold, target and maximum performance goals for 2018 as well as actual results:

Performance Metric	2018 MIP Performance Goals and Results				2018 Actual Performance	Results % of Target Award Earned
	Weighting	Threshold (Funds 25%)	Target (Funds 100%)	Maximum (Funds 200%)		

Edgar Filing: MATERION Corp - Form DEF 14A

Adjusted OP ⁽¹⁾	70.0%	\$42.0	\$51.0	\$60.0	\$61.8	200.0%
VAS Growth	15.0%	2.0%	4.1%	6.1%	9.0%	200.0%
SFCF	15.0%	\$41.0	\$48.2	\$55.4	\$72.2	200.0%

⁽¹⁾ Actual 2018 adjusted OP for incentive compensation excludes the impact of one-time non-recurring items. See Appendix A for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial measures.

The Company's adjusted OP was \$61.8 million in 2018, which exceeded the maximum performance goal of \$60.0 million, resulting in an earned payout of 200% of target for that portion of the award opportunity. VAS growth was 9.0%, which exceeded the maximum of 6.1%, resulting in an earned payout of 200% (maximum) for that portion of the award opportunity. SFCF was \$72.14 million, which exceeded the maximum of \$55.4 million, resulting in an earned payout of 200%.

Overall, total MIP awards for all NEOs were earned at the 200% maximum levels in 2018 given the strong financial performance, up from approximately 182.5% of target in 2017. The table below shows the total 2018 MIP awards earned as a result of the 2018 adjusted OP, VAS growth, and SFCF performance compared to goals:

Name	Payouts by Performance Measure					Total MIP Payout
	MIP Target %	MIP Target \$	Adjusted OP ⁽¹⁾	VAS Growth	SFCF	
Jugal K. Vijayvargiya	90%	\$652,500	\$913,500	\$195,750	\$195,750	\$ 1,305,000
Joseph P. Kelley	70%	295,260	413,364	88,578	88,578	590,520
Gregory R. Chemnitz	56%	228,312	319,637	68,494	68,494	456,625

⁽¹⁾ Actual 2018 adjusted OP for incentive compensation excludes the impact of one-time non-recurring items. See Appendix A for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial measures.

Long-term Incentive Equity-based Awards

General

Target LTI award values are determined based on consideration of the market median range, as well as the experience, responsibilities and performance of each executive. The outstanding equity grants currently held by each NEO are not taken into consideration in making new grants to that NEO.

LTI Award Vehicles and Grants Made in 2018

The LTI program for 2018 had four components and included:

Stock Appreciation Rights (SARs), which are granted at fair market value and appreciate in value based on increases in our share price and, consequently, the capital appreciation achieved for shareholders. The SARs generally vest in thirds on each of the first three anniversary dates measured from the grant date, subject to the NEO's continued service with us on such date. The SARs have a term of seven years during which they can be exercised if vested and are settled (when exercised) in shares.

Restricted Stock Units (RSUs), which are designed for retention purposes and are earned by our NEOs based on the passage of time and continued employment. The RSUs generally vest three years after the grant date, subject to the NEO's continued service with us on such date, and are settled in shares. Mr. Vijayvargiya's 2018 RSU grant had the same features as those provided to the other NEOs with the exception of vesting one-third on each of the first three anniversary dates measured from March 1, 2018.

Performance-based Restricted Stock Units (RTSR PRSUs), which are tied to our Total Shareholder Return (TSR) over three years versus the TSR of our peer group (identified above under "Peer Group Companies"). These awards are intended to align executive pay with long-term shareholder value creation and RTSR performance. RTSR PRSUs generally vest at the end of the performance period, contingent on the NEO still being employed. Any earned RTSR PRSU awards are settled in shares. Award funding can range from 0% to 200% of target levels, based on our three-year TSR positioning relative to peers as shown in the table below:

Performance Level Three-Year RTSR vs. Peers % of Target RTSR PRSUs Earned

Edgar Filing: MATERION Corp - Form DEF 14A

Below Threshold	Below 25th Percentile	0%
Threshold	25th Percentile	50%
Target	50th Percentile	100%
Maximum	80th Percentile	200%

24

Performance-based Restricted Stock Units (ROIC PRSUs), are tied to our average ROIC for 2018, 2019 and 2020. These ROIC PRSU awards are intended to further align executive pay with Company performance over a multi-year period, as measured by ROIC, which we believe correlates with long-term shareholder value creation. ROIC PRSUs generally vest at the end of the performance period, contingent on the NEO still being employed. Any earned ROIC PRSUs for grants made in 2018 are settled in stock. Award funding can range from 0% to 200% of target levels, as shown in the table below:

Performance Level	ROIC	% of Target ROIC PRSUs Earned
Below Threshold	Below 8.0%	0%
Threshold	At 8.0%	50%
Target	At 8.5%	100%
Maximum	10.0% or greater	200%

For both RTSR PRSU and ROIC PRSU awards, funding levels for results in between designated performance levels will be determined using interpolation. The actual value of these awards will be based on the number of shares earned, if any, and our corresponding stock price at the time of settlement. No dividends will be paid on any unearned PRSUs.

The table below shows the various equity grants in 2018 and their associated grant date fair values for the NEOs:

Name	2018 Equity Grants (# of shares)				2018 Equity Grants (Grant Date Fair Values)			
	SARs	RTSR PRSUs	ROIC PRSUs	RSUs	SARs	RTSR PRSUs	ROIC PRSUs	RSUs
Jugal K. Vijayvargiya	24,791	7,769	7,769	7,769	\$389,962	\$578,930	\$391,169	\$391,169
Joseph P. Kelley	7,584	4,806	4,806	9,610	119,296	358,134	241,982	483,864
Gregory R. Chemnitz	5,358	1,679	1,679	3,359	84,281	125,116	84,538	169,126
Totals	37,733	14,254	14,254	20,738	\$593,539	\$1,062,180	\$717,689	\$1,044,159

Grant date fair values shown above for SARs are based on the Company's fair value assumptions, as calculated using the Black-Scholes pricing model, which is used for accounting expense recognition purposes.

The Committee is solely responsible for granting equity awards. The awards traditionally are granted in late February or early March after the Company's annual earnings have been announced. Equity grants for 2018 were made to Messrs. Vijayvargiya, Kelley, and Chemnitz on March 1, 2018. Mr. Kelley's 2018 RTSR PRSUs, ROIC PRSUs and RSUs included a one-time special increase in the size of the awards, in an aggregate grant date value of approximately \$550,000 for retention purposes in connection with the CEO succession transition in 2017.

In 2007, the Committee adopted Stock Award Administrative Procedure Guidelines related to the various forms of equity grants designed to formalize the process of establishing the date of grant, grant prices at fair market value, and other administrative practices appropriate for equity grants to executives. To minimize the impact of daily stock price volatility, equity grant calculations are based on our average closing stock price for the last full month ending at least ten business days prior to the grant date. Equity grant levels shown above were based on our average closing stock price in January 2018 of \$51.47.

Under the terms of the LTI awards, our NEOs are required to forfeit outstanding awards and pay back any amounts realized from equity grants if they engage in activity deemed to be detrimental to the Company, as defined in the applicable equity award agreements. Any gains on equity grants are also subject to our clawback policy.

Payout of PRSUs - Grants Made in 2016

Our LTI program for 2016 had the same four components, each weighted equally in terms of target award value, including: (1) SARs that generally vested three years after the grant date, subject to continued service on such date; (2) time-based RSUs that generally vested three years after the grant date, subject to continued service on such date;

(3) three-year performance-based PRSUs tied to RTSR; and (4) three-year performance-based PRSUs tied to average ROIC for 2016, 2017 and 2018.

The vesting periods for the SARs and time-based RSUs have been completed. The performance period for the PRSUs (RTSR and ROIC) ended on December 31, 2018. Award funding for RTSR PRSUs was based on our three-year TSR positioning relative to a peer group as follows: performance below the 25th percentile would fund 0% of the target award; performance at the 25th percentile would fund 50% of the target award; performance at the 50th percentile would fund 100% of the target award; and performance at or above the 80th percentile would fund 200% of the target award. Funding levels for results between the designated performance levels were determined using interpolation. Our three-year TSR positioning relative to our peer group for the 2016 RTSR PRSUs

was at the 67th percentile of the peer group, resulting in an award payout equal to 157% of target award opportunity as determined by using interpolation. Award funding for ROIC PRSUs ranged from 0% to 200% of target as follows: performance of 6.0% ROIC would fund 25% of the target award; performance of 7.5% ROIC would fund 100% of the target award; and performance at or above 9.0% ROIC would fund 200% of the target award. No PRSUs would be earned for performance below the threshold level of 6.0% ROIC. Funding levels for results in between designated performance levels were determined using interpolation. Our ROIC as measured by our average ROIC for 2016, 2017 and 2018 was 8.1% and between target and maximum, resulting in an award payout equal to 139% for the 2016 ROIC PRSUs using interpolation. Mr. Vijayvargiya commenced his employment in March 2017, and therefore, did not participate in or receive any payout for grants made in 2016.

The Committee approved and recommended to the Board a sign-on bonus of \$1,400,000 to Mr. Vijayvargiya in conjunction with his total compensation package effective March 3, 2017 (employment date), as an inducement to join the company. The sign-on bonus vested one-third on the first anniversary of Mr. Vijayvargiya's employment date (March 3, 2018) and the remaining two-thirds vested on the second anniversary of his employment date (March 3, 2019).

Other Policies, Practices and Guidelines

Severance Agreements

Mr. Vijayvargiya is party to a Severance Agreement that provides 18 months of severance benefits in the event of an involuntary termination of employment by the Company, other than for cause or due to death or disability (or due to certain resignations as described in the Severance Agreement). Messrs. Kelley and Chemnitz are also parties to Severance Agreements that provide for severance benefits in other specified circumstances, as described below. These Severance Agreements were adopted to help retain top level executives.

The Severance Agreements provide Messrs. Vijayvargiya, Kelley and Chemnitz with benefits upon certain qualifying terminations of employment following a change in control. The triggering events for a change in control are described in the section entitled "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" below and were designed to be competitive and reasonable based primarily on advice from legal counsel as well as the experience of our directors. If Messrs. Vijayvargiya and/or Kelley resign for "good reason" (as described in the Severance Agreement), or their employment is terminated by the Company for reasons other than for cause during the two-year period following a change in control (or due to death or disability), they will generally receive two years of severance benefits. Under the same circumstances, Mr. Chemnitz will receive essentially three years of severance benefits. The severance benefits for Messrs. Vijayvargiya, Kelley and Chemnitz are described below under "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control".

None of the Severance Agreements provides for any excise tax "gross-up" provisions for the "parachute tax" under Code Section 280G. The Committee confirmed its intent not to enter into any new Severance Agreements that included such a provision.

The Committee believes the Severance Agreements are an important part of the competitive executive compensation package because they help ensure the continuity and stability of executive management and provide protection to the NEOs. The Committee also believes the Severance Agreements reduce the NEOs' interest in working against a potential change in control and help to minimize interruptions in business operations by reducing any concerns they have of being terminated prematurely and without cause during an ownership transition. The Company benefits from these agreements in that in exchange for the protections offered, each NEO agrees to:

- Refrain from competing while employed and for two years after a termination of employment;
- Refrain from soliciting any employees, agents or consultants to terminate their relationship with us;
- Protect our confidential information; and

Assign to the Company any intellectual property rights to any discoveries, inventions or improvements made while employed by us and within two years (one year for Mr. Chemnitz) after employment terminates.

Retirement Benefits

We provide retirement and deferred compensation benefits to our NEOs under certain Company plans and arrangements, including the:

- ♣Materion Corporation Pension Plan (Pension Plan);
- ♣Materion Corporation Supplemental Retirement Benefit Plan (SRBP);
- ♣Materion Corporation Retirement Savings Plan (401(k) Plan); and
- ♣Materion Corporation Restoration & Deferred Compensation Plan (RDCP).

Prior to 2011, we provided special awards under a plan (further described below in connection with the SRBP) that was designed to supplement the retirement benefits provided under the Pension Plan for participating NEOs. These special awards were eliminated at the end of 2010, with the SRBP assuming the same role beginning in 2011. The Committee believes each of these programs is necessary from a competitive viewpoint (because many companies with whom we compete for talent offer similar retirement benefits) and for retention purposes.

Pension Plan

The Pension Plan is a tax-qualified defined benefit pension plan that provides retirement compensation to approximately 46% of our U.S. employees. All of the NEOs participate in the Pension Plan, with the exception of Mr. Vijayvargiya, which was closed to new employees hired after May 25, 2012. Before June 1, 2005, the benefit formula under the Pension Plan was 50% of the final average earnings over the highest five consecutive years minus 50% of the annual Social Security benefit, with the result prorated for service of less than 35 years. Effective as of May 31, 2005, we froze the benefit under the prior formula for all employees. None of the participating NEOs earned a benefit under this formula.

Beginning June 1, 2005, the Pension Plan formula was reduced for all participants to 1% of each year's compensation, as defined in the Pension Plan. The retirement benefit for these individuals will be equal to the sum of the benefit earned as of May 31, 2005 and the benefit earned under the new formula for service after May 31, 2005. Because the amount of compensation that may be included in the formula for calculating pension benefits and the amount of benefit that may be accumulated in the Pension Plan are limited by the Code, the participating NEOs will not receive a Pension Plan benefit equal to 1% of their total pay.

In 2015, the Board amended the Pension Plan effective January 1, 2016, to allow participants to elect a lump sum payment, limited to \$100,000, following termination in lieu of a future annuity.

The Code limitations associated with the Pension Plan are taken into account by the Committee in determining amounts intended to supplement retirement income for the participating NEOs, such as the SRBP and the RDCP described below. The benefit accumulated under the Pension Plan does not affect any other element of compensation for the participating NEOs, except to the extent it is included in the calculation of payments that may be paid upon a change in control or other potential severance payments, as described below in "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control".

SRBP

The Committee and the Board approved the SRBP and it became effective in September 2011. The SRBP is an unfunded, non-qualified deferred compensation plan that provides retirement benefits for a select group of management or highly compensated employees to supplement the pension benefits paid to them from the Pension Plan. As noted above, the Pension Plan is the primary vehicle for providing retirement compensation to the majority of our employees, including the participating NEOs.

Through 2010, the Committee made special awards to participating NEOs to provide supplemental retirement compensation because of the limitations imposed under the Code, which place caps on the amount of eligible compensation used for purposes of determining benefit amounts under the Pension Plan. Special awards were current, taxable annual payments made to participating NEOs to take the place of a traditional supplemental executive retirement plan. The Committee elected to replace the special awards with the SRBP because the circumstances that gave rise to the special awards concept have changed and become more favorable to the use of a traditional supplemental executive retirement plan. 2018 participants in the SRBP include Mr. Chemnitz as well as other members of senior management who were participants in the SRBP before the Pension Plan was closed to new hires on May 25, 2012. Mr. Chemnitz was named as a participant in the SRBP in 2012, with all service included since his hire date in September 2007. Since Mr. Chemnitz did not receive any special awards, his Offset Amount (as explained

below) is zero. Messrs. Vijayvargiya and Kelley do not participate in the SRBP but receive retirement benefits due to Code limitations through the RDCP as described below.

Mr. Chemnitz's benefit under the SRBP will be the amount of his "Prevented Benefits" (as described below), reduced by his designated "Offset Amount" (in other words, the total amount that was paid to him in prior years as special award payments), as set forth in the SRBP. Mr. Chemnitz's interest in benefits payable under the SRBP will be vested and non-forfeitable to the same extent and in the same manner as benefits are vested and non-forfeitable under the Pension Plan. The benefits payable under the SRBP will be paid to Mr. Chemnitz in a single sum payment on or about the first day of the third month next following the date of his separation from service, or in certain cases as necessitated by Section 409A of the Code, the first business day of the month that is at least six months after his separation from service.

"Prevented Benefits" for purposes of the SRBP means the difference, expressed as a single sum, between the regular pension benefits payable to Mr. Chemnitz under the Pension Plan and the regular pension benefits that would be so payable to him under the Pension Plan if such benefits were determined based on the inclusion of any compensation that was deferred on an elective basis under any non-qualified deferred compensation plan or agreement with an employer and without regard to limitations on covered compensation

and benefit amounts imposed by the Code and taking into account any special calculation provisions for him as set forth on Schedule I to the SRBP.

401(k) Plan

The 401(k) Plan is a tax-qualified defined contribution plan. All of the NEOs participate in this plan, which we offer as part of a competitive total compensation package. The 401(k) Plan provides the NEOs and all other eligible employees with the opportunity to defer eligible compensation (on a pre-tax basis) up to specified limits imposed by the Code. In addition, we make a matching contribution to each participant of \$0.50 for each dollar contributed up to 2% and \$0.25 for each dollar contributed between 3% and 6% (up to a total match of 2%) of compensation deferred by the participant, subject to an annual Code limitation and a Company contribution, also subject to an annual Code limitation, based on total cash compensation and the participant's age and years of service if the employee does not participate in the Pension Plan.

RDCP

The RDCP, which is described below in the section entitled “2018 Non-qualified Deferred Compensation,” provides an opportunity for the NEOs to defer a portion of their compensation and represents an element of what we consider a competitive total compensation package for the NEOs. In addition, for key executives compensated over the Code pay limit, including Messrs. Vijayvargiya and Kelley, the RDCP provides retirement benefits due to Code limitations for non-SRBP participants.

Health and Welfare Benefits

The NEOs participate in group life, health and disability programs on the same terms as provided to all salaried employees.

Perquisites

Except for periodic executive physicals, which the Committee views as an element of a competitive total compensation package for the NEOs, no perquisites or personal benefits are provided to the NEOs.

Accounting and Tax Effects

Section 162(m) of the Code generally disallows a federal income tax deduction to public companies like Materion for compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to certain executive officers (and, beginning in 2018, certain former executive officers). Historically, compensation that qualifies as “performance-based compensation” under Section 162(m) of the Code could be excluded from this \$1 million limit, but this exception has now been repealed, effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017, unless certain transition relief for certain compensation arrangements in place as of November 2, 2017 is available. In making its compensation decisions, the Committee retains the flexibility to award compensation that is consistent with our objectives and philosophy even if it does not qualify for a tax deduction. The Committee believes that the tax deduction limitation should not be permitted to compromise our ability to design and maintain executive compensation arrangements that will attract and retain the executive talent to compete successfully. Accordingly, achieving the desired flexibility in the design and delivery of compensation may result in compensation that in certain cases is not deductible for federal income tax purposes. Moreover, even if the Committee intended in the past to grant compensation that could qualify as “performance-based compensation” for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code, we cannot guarantee that such compensation will so qualify or ultimately is or will be deductible.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

In 2014, the Committee implemented mandatory stock ownership guidelines, which replaced our former share retention guidelines, for executive officers, including our NEOs. The stock ownership guidelines require our NEOs to own qualifying shares with targeted values equal to five times base salary for Mr. Vijayvargiya, three times base salary for Mr. Kelley, and one times base salary for Mr. Chemnitz. The Committee also implemented stock ownership

guidelines for all non-employee directors, requiring them to own qualifying shares with targeted values equal to four times their cash compensation. These guidelines were established by the Committee to promote long-term stock ownership and further align executive and shareholder interests. Executives, including NEOs, and non-employee directors, have five years, from the time of first being subject to these guidelines, to achieve targeted ownership levels. The stock ownership guidelines for executive officers and non-employee directors are available under the "Corporate Governance" tab at <http://materion.com>.

Until guidelines are met, executive officers, including our NEOs and non-employee directors are subject to holding requirements as outlined below:

Position	Retention Ratio
Chief Executive Officer and Non-employee Directors	75% of net shares acquired under equity awards will be held until the applicable guideline has been achieved.
Other NEOs	50% of net shares acquired under equity awards will be held until the applicable guideline has been achieved.

Shares that count towards ownership requirements include common shares held directly or indirectly, shares in employee benefit plans, the after-tax value of unvested time-based RSUs, and the after-tax “in the money” value of vested but unexercised SARs. Unvested PRSUs and unvested SARs do not count toward ownership requirements. Qualifying shares are valued based on our average closing stock price for the last twenty trading days of each year. Once the required ownership level is met as of any annual measurement date, an executive is deemed to be in ongoing compliance with the guidelines as long as he or she continues to own at least the same number of qualifying shares as when the guideline was originally achieved. Ownership guidelines apply until the executive resigns or retires, except that the target ownership requirement is reduced by 10% per year over the five-year period starting upon the attainment of age 60, to allow for portfolio diversification. If an executive fails to achieve the guidelines within the designated five-year compliance period, the Committee has the discretion to take any action deemed appropriate. As of December 31, 2018, all NEOs met the ownership guidelines, all non-employee directors who have been directors for five years or more met the ownership guidelines and all non-employee directors who have been directors for at least one year own Company stock.

Anti-hedging/Pledging

Under our Insider Trading Policy, we prohibit insiders from purchasing any financial instrument or engaging in any other transaction, such as a prepaid variable forward contract, equity swap, collar or exchange fund, which is designed to hedge or offset any decrease in the market value of Company securities. The policy also prohibits insiders from holding Company securities in a margin account or pledging Company securities as collateral for a loan.

Clawback Policy

The Committee also elected to implement a formal clawback policy for the NEOs in advance of final regulations from the SEC or NYSE under the Dodd-Frank Act. This policy is in addition to the clawback provisions contained in our equity award agreements that require NEOs to forfeit outstanding awards and pay back any amounts from equity grants if they engage in activity deemed to be detrimental to the Company. The Committee elected to implement aspects of this policy because it believes a clawback policy represents an important protection for shareholders and is viewed favorably from a corporate governance standpoint. The clawback policy covers annual incentive awards, performance-based equity awards and any other incentive-based compensation paid to our executive officers, officers subject to Section 16 of the Exchange Act and our employees in salary grades A, B and C. In general, under this clawback policy, if we are required to prepare an accounting restatement due to material noncompliance with financial reporting requirements under federal securities laws, we will use all reasonable efforts to recover, from persons currently or formerly covered by the policy, excess incentive-based compensation to the extent that such persons, in our determination, willfully committed an act of fraud, dishonesty or recklessness that contributed to the noncompliance. For these purposes, excess incentive-based compensation means any incentive-based compensation paid or granted by us to such persons after 2010 in excess of what they should have been paid or granted had our financial statements been correct in the first place.

Compensation Policies and Practices - Risk Management

In setting compensation, the Committee considers the risks to Materion's shareholders and to the achievement of our goals that may be inherent in the compensation program. Although a significant portion of our executives' compensation is performance-based and “at-risk,” we believe our executive and employee compensation plans are

appropriately structured and are not reasonably likely to result in a material adverse effect to the Company.

In its review, the Committee noted that:

Incentive programs provide for balance in that performance measures and goals are tied to the Company's strategic objectives, achievable financial performance centered on the Company's expectations, relative performance against a peer group of companies and specific individual goals;

A significant portion of variable compensation is delivered in equity (SARs, RSUs and PRSUs) with multi-year vesting. The Company believes that equity compensation helps reduce compensation risk by balancing financial or strategic goals against any other factors management may take into consideration to promote long-term shareholder value;

Limited upside opportunity on incentive awards further ensures that management does not have any incentive to pursue short-term financial performance at the expense of long-term shareholder value;

The Company adopted stock ownership guidelines, along with share retention requirements until guidelines are met, which guidelines replaced previous share retention guidelines, to encourage a focus on long-term growth rather than short-term gains; and

The Company extended the scope of our clawback policy to recoup from culpable NEOs any gains that are later found to be based on erroneous financial statements.

In addition, during 2018, the Company, under the direction of outside advisors conducted a comprehensive incentive plan risk assessment. The results of this evaluation as reviewed by the Compensation Committee indicated that from a compensation risk perspective, there were no significant risk areas. The two incentive plans in which the NEOs participate (in other words, the MIP and LTIP) were considered "low risk" and well-aligned with sound compensation design principles that provide a balanced approach for delivering incentives at various levels of performance.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

We have reviewed and discussed with management the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis. Based on our review and discussion with management, we have recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2018.

The foregoing report has been furnished by the Compensation Committee of the Board.

Darlene J. S. Solomon (Chairman)

Vinod M. Khilnani

William B. Lawrence

Robert B. Toth

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary as set forth in any of our previous filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that incorporate future filings, including this proxy statement, in whole or in part, the foregoing Compensation Committee Report shall not be incorporated by reference into any such filings other than our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.

2018 SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer and our other NEOs who served during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018:

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)(1)	Bonus (\$)(2)	Stock Awards (\$)(3)	Option Awards (\$)(4)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(5)	Change in Pension Value and Non-qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)(6)	All Other Compensation (\$)(7)	Total (\$)
Jugal K. Vijayvargiya President and Chief Executive Officer	2018	718,269	466,667	1,361,268	389,962	1,305,000	—	17,769	4,258,935
	2017	544,615	—	1,024,623	350,678	1,149,687	—	60,562	3,130,165
	2016	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Joseph P. Kelley Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer	2018	421,800	—	1,083,980	119,296	590,520	—	28,125	2,243,721
	2017	406,615	—	295,568	72,225	485,743	30,587	11,095	1,301,833
	2016	385,096	—	320,786	119,851	200,823	25,422	10,470	1,062,448
Gregory R. Chemnitz Vice President, General	2018	407,700	—	378,780	84,281	456,625	76,715	15,838	1,419,939
	2017	404,765	—	248,406	60,702	416,647	145,981	4,648	1,281,149

Edgar Filing: MATERION Corp - Form DEF 14A

Counsel and Secretary	2016	394,188	—	305,227	114,040	176,033	92,059	4,920	1,086,467
-----------------------	------	---------	---	---------	---------	---------	--------	-------	-----------

(1) For 2018, "Salary" includes deferred compensation under the 401(k) Plan in the amount of \$24,500 for Mr. Chemnitz, \$18,500 for Mr. Kelley, and \$19,997 for Mr. Vijayvargiya.

(2) The amount reported in this column reflects one third of a sign-on bonus granted to Mr. Vijayvargiya at the time of his hire as an inducement to join the company. The sign-on bonus vested one-third in 2018 on the anniversary of Mr. Vijayvargiya's hire.

30

The amounts reported in this column for 2018 reflect the aggregate grant date fair value as computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 for RSUs granted during 2018 to each NEO and, based on probable outcome, for the RTSR and ROIC PRSUs granted during 2018, that are within the scope of FASB ASC Topic 718. Assuming the highest level of achievement of the performance conditions to which the PRSUs are subject, the grant date fair value of the PRSUs paid in common stock would be: Mr. Vijayvargiya \$1,564,677, Mr. Kelley \$967,928 and Mr. Chemnitz \$338,151. The 2018 award amount for Mr. Kelley includes a one-time increase in the size of the awards valued at approximately \$550,000 for retention purposes in connection with the CEO succession transition in 2017. See the "2018 Grants of Plan-based Awards" table in this proxy statement for more information on awards made in 2018.

The amounts reported in this column for 2018 reflect the aggregate grant date fair value as computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 for SARs granted to each NEO during 2018. See Note Q to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2018 for the assumptions used in calculating the fair value. See the "2018 Grants of Plan-based Awards" table in this proxy statement for more information on awards made in 2018.

(5) The amounts in this column for 2018 represent the payments made to the NEOs under the MIP.

The amounts in this column for 2018 represent the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of the accumulated benefit under the Pension Plan and SRBP as otherwise discussed in this proxy statement. There were no preferential or above market earnings during 2018 under the RDCP plan. The amounts for the change in the pension and SRBP values are as follows:

Name	Pension Plan	SRBP	Total
Jugal K. Vijayvargiya	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Joseph P. Kelley	(2,054)	—	(2,054)
Gregory R. Chemnitz	9,910	66,805	76,715

For Mr. Vijayvargiya, "All Other Compensation" for 2018 includes Company match in the 401(k) Plan, group life insurance premiums and dividend equivalents on equity awards. For Mr. Chemnitz, "All Other Compensation" for 2018 consists of group life insurance premiums, the Company match in the 401(k) Plan, the Company contribution to the Health Savings Account and dividend equivalents on equity awards. For Mr. Kelley, "All Other Compensation" for 2018 consists of group life insurance premiums, the Company match in the 401(k) Plan, the Company contribution to the Health Savings Account, an employer contribution to the RDCP and dividend equivalents on equity awards.

2018 GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

Name	Type of Grant	Grant Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares or Units (#) (2)	All Other Option Awards: Exercise Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh) (3)	Grant Date or Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$ (4)
			Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)			
Jugal K. Vijayvargiya	MIP		24,469	652,500	1,305,000	—	—	—	—	—	
	PRSU	3/1/2018	—	—	—	3,885,769	15,538	—	—	578,930	
	PRSU	3/1/2018	—	—	—	3,885,769	15,538	—	—	391,169	
	RSUs	3/1/2018	—	—	—	—	—	7,769	—	391,169	
	SARs	3/1/2018	—	—	—	—	—	—	24,791	50.35	389,962

Edgar Filing: MATERION Corp - Form DEF 14A

Joseph P. Kelley	MIP		11,072	295,260	590,520	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	PRSU	3/1/2018	—	—	—	2,403	4,806	9,612	—	—	—	358,134
	PRSU	3/1/2018	—	—	—	2,403	4,806	9,612	—	—	—	241,982
	RSUs	3/1/2018	—	—	—	—	—	—	9,610	—	—	483,864
Gregory R. Chemnitz	SARs	3/1/2018	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	7,584	50.35	119,296
	MIP		8,562	228,312	456,624	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	PRSU	3/1/2018	—	—	—	840	1,679	3,358	—	—	—	125,116
	PRSU	3/1/2018	—	—	—	840	1,679	3,358	—	—	—	84,538
	RSUs	3/1/2018	—	—	—	—	—	—	3,359	—	—	169,126
	SARs	3/1/2018	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5,358	50.35	84,281

These columns show the RTSR and ROIC PRSUs that were granted in 2018. The first referenced award of PRSUs (1) will be earned based on the degree of achievement of RTSR goals during the 2018-2020 performance period and the second

referenced award of PRSUs will be earned based on the degree of achievement of ROIC goals during the 2018-2020 performance period. Any earned awards will be settled in common shares and will generally vest at the end of the 2018-2020 performance period provided these executives are continuously employed throughout the performance period.

(2) This column shows the time-based RSUs that were granted in 2018. These RSUs will generally vest three years from the date of grant, provided these executives are continuously employed three years from the date of grant.

This column shows the SARs that were granted in 2018. These SARs generally vest and become exercisable in (3) one-third amounts on each of the first three anniversary dates measured from March 1, 2018 provided these executives are continuously employed three years from the date of grant.

The amounts reported in this column reflect the aggregate grant date fair value as computed in accordance with (4) FASB ASC Topic 718 for SARs and RSUs, and the fair value is based on the probable outcome for PRSUs. See Note Q to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2018 for the assumptions used in calculating fair values.

Executive Employment Arrangements

None of the NEOs have an employment agreement. However, each NEO has a Severance Agreement that provides the executive with essentially two or three year severance benefits upon termination, or a significant change in the duties of the executive as a result of a change in control as defined in the agreement, and, for Mr. Vijayvargiya, essentially 18 months of severance benefits in the event of certain involuntary terminations in the absence of a change in control. Discussion of the payouts provided for various termination situations is set forth in the section "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" below.

Salaries and Non-equity Incentive Plan Compensation

For 2018, base salaries and annual incentives (including amounts deferred into the 401(k) Plan) as a percentage of total compensation shown in the "2018 Summary Compensation Table" were 48% for Mr. Vijayvargiya, 45% for Mr. Kelley and 61% for Mr. Chemnitz.

Stock and Option Awards

Stock and option awards under the 2006 Plan were made during 2018 in the form of SARs, RSUs and PRSUs. Descriptions of and the reason for these types of grants are included in the CD&A.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT 2018 FISCAL YEAR-END

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards			Equity Incentive Plan Awards:	Equity Incentive Plan Awards:
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) (1)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) (2)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(3)	Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#) (4)	Market Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)(3)	
Jugal K. Vijayvargiya	10,607	21,215	35.50	3/3/2024	—	—	—	—	
	—	24,791	50.35	3/1/2025	—	—	—	—	
	—	—	—	—	14,863	668,686	—	—	
	—	—	—	—	—	—	36,820	1,656,532	
Joseph P. Kelley	10,607	46,006	—	—	—	—	—	—	
	—	14,850	25.19	2/22/2023	—	—	—	—	
	—	6,669	35.15	2/23/2024	—	—	—	—	
	—	7,584	50.35	3/1/2025	—	—	—	—	
	—	—	—	—	18,667	323,294	—	—	
	—	—	—	—	—	—	14,134	635,889	
Gregory R. Chemnitz	—	29,103	—	—	—	—	—	—	
	—	14,130	25.19	2/22/2023	—	—	—	—	
	—	5,605	35.15	2/23/2024	—	—	—	—	
	—	5,358	50.35	3/1/2025	—	—	—	—	
	—	—	—	—	11,475	516,260	—	—	
—	—	—	—	—	—	7,158	322,038		
—	25,093	—	—	—	—	—	—		

These amounts represent the SARs that were granted on March 3, 2017 and March 1, 2018 for Mr. Vijayvargiya (1) and February 22, 2016, February 23, 2017, and March 1, 2018 respectively, for Messrs. Kelley and Chemnitz. The SARs were granted seven years prior to their expiration date.

Time-based RSUs generally vest three years from the date of grant for Messrs. Kelley and Chemnitz and are subject to forfeiture if these executives are not continuously employed for a three-year period from the date of grant. Mr. Vijayvargiya's RSU grants generally vest one-third on each anniversary of the grant date. Time-based RSUs were granted to Messrs. Vijayvargiya, Kelley and Chemnitz in 2016, 2017 and 2018 as follows:

Name	2/22/16	2/23/17	3/3/17	3/1/18
	Grant (#)	Grant (#)	Grant (#)	Grant (#)
Jugal K. Vijayvargiya	—	—	10,641	7,769

Joseph P. Kelley	4,536	4,521	—	9,610
Gregory R. Chemnitz	4,316	3,800	—	3,359

- (3) The market value of shares shown above were based on the December 31, 2018 closing stock price of \$44.99. PRSUs were granted to Mr. Vijayvargiya on March 3, 2017 and March 1, 2018 and Messrs. Kelley and Chemnitz on February 22, 2016, February 23, 2017, and March 1, 2018, respectively. The RTSR PRSUs will be earned based on our RTSR performance over three years versus industry peers and the ROIC PRSUs will be earned based on our
- (4) ROIC performance over three years. The threshold to target levels of PRSUs granted prior to 2018 will be earned for threshold to target performance and settled in shares after December 31, 2018 and 2019, respectively. Above target to maximum performance for grants made prior to 2018 will be settled in cash after December 31, 2018 and 2019, respectively. PRSU grants made in 2018 will be entirely settled in shares after December 31, 2020.

2018 OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Jugal K. Vijayvargiya	—	—	3,547	179,833
Joseph P. Kelley	15,648	372,292	11,681	540,426
Gregory R. Chemnitz	8,485	148,827	11,690	543,394

2018 PENSION BENEFITS

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
Jugal K. Vijayvargiya	Materion Corporation Pension Plan	—	—	—
	Materion Corporation Supplemental Retirement Benefit Plan	—	—	—
Joseph P. Kelley	Materion Corporation Pension Plan	7	110,175	—
	Materion Corporation Supplemental Retirement Benefit Plan	—	—	—
Gregory R. Chemnitz	Materion Corporation Pension Plan	11	317,232	—
	Materion Corporation Supplemental Retirement Benefit Plan	11	401,264	—

Assumptions:

♣Measurement Date: December 31, 2018

♣Interest Rate for Present Value: 4.43% for Pension Plan and 4.27% for Supplemental Retirement Benefit Plan (SRBP)

♣Mortality (Pre-commencement): None

♣Mortality Pension Plan (Post-commencement): RP-2014 Annuitant Mortality Table for males projected generationally using Scale MP-2018 starting from 2006 (the base year of the RP-2014 study)

♣Mortality SRBP (Post-commencement): The table prescribed by the IRS for plan years beginning in 2019, projected to future years by a modified scale MP-2017, adjusted for consistency with the IRS static projection

♣Withdrawal and disability rates: None

♣Retirement rates: None prior to age 65

♣Normal Retirement Age: Age 65

♣Accumulated benefit is calculated based on credited service at the end of 2018

♣All results shown are estimates only; actual benefits will be based on data, pay and service at time of retirement

The Materion Corporation Pension Plan (qualified pension plan) is a defined benefit plan under which Messrs. Kelley and Chemnitz are currently accruing benefits.

Beginning June 1, 2005, the qualified pension plan formula was changed to 1% of each year's earnings. Messrs. Kelley and Chemnitz were hired on December 29, 2011 and September 17, 2007, respectively. Their retirement benefits will be equal to 1% of each year's earnings. Effective as of the close of business on May 25, 2012, the qualified pension plan was closed to new entrants. Mr. Vijayvargiya does not participate in the qualified pension plan.

The “2018 Pension Benefits” table shows for Messrs. Kelley and Chemnitz the number of years of credited service, present value of accumulated benefit and payments during the last fiscal year under the qualified pension plan. We do not sponsor any other qualified defined benefit plan that provides benefits to Messrs. Kelley or Chemnitz. We also sponsor a non-qualified defined benefit plan that provides benefits to Mr. Chemnitz. See the section entitled “Supplemental Retirement Benefit Plan (SRBP)” for more information. Messrs. Kelley and Vijayvargiya do not participate in the SRBP.

The “Present Value of Accumulated Benefit” is the lump-sum value as of December 31, 2018 of the annual pension benefit that was earned as of December 31, 2018 that would be payable under the qualified pension plan for Messrs. Kelley and Chemnitz for life beginning at their normal retirement age. The normal retirement age is defined as age 65 in the qualified pension plan. Certain assumptions were used to determine the lump-sum value and to determine the annual pension that is payable beginning at normal retirement age. Those assumptions are described immediately following the “2018 Pension Benefits” table.

If the participant terminates employment before completing ten years of service, the annuity may not commence prior to age 65. If the participant terminates employment after completing ten years of service, the annuity may commence as early as age 55 and is reduced 6.67% per year between ages 60 and 65 and 3.33% per year between ages 55 and 60 based on the participant's age at commencement, if the benefit commences prior to normal retirement age. An unreduced benefit is available commencing at age 62 for those participants who terminate after age 55 with at least 30 years of service. At year-end 2018, Mr. Chemnitz had attained early retirement eligibility but Mr. Kelley had not. Neither of the participating NEOs may become eligible to commence their benefit on an unreduced basis prior to age 65.

Benefits provided under the qualified pension plan are based on compensation up to a compensation limit under the Code (which was \$275,000 in 2018). In addition, benefits provided under the qualified pension plan may not exceed a benefit limit under the Code (which was \$220,000 payable as a single life annuity beginning at normal retirement age in 2018).

Compensation is generally equal to the total amount that is included in income (such as regular base salary, incentive compensation under any form of incentive compensation plan, sales commissions and performance-restricted shares of stock at the time these shares are included in the participant's gross income for Federal income tax purposes), plus salary reduction amounts under sections 125 and 401(k) of the Code. The annual salary and bonus for the current year for Messrs. Kelley and Chemnitz is indicated in the "2018 Summary Compensation Table." Each year's compensation for the qualified pension plan is limited by the compensation limits under the Code.

A participant's years of credited service are based on the years an employee participates in the qualified pension plan. The years of credited service for Messrs. Kelley and Chemnitz are based on their service while eligible for participation in the qualified pension plan.

Messrs. Kelley and Chemnitz are eligible only to have their benefits payable in the form of an annuity with monthly benefit payments.

The qualified pension plan was designed to provide tax-qualified pension benefits for some of our employees. Benefits under the qualified pension plan are funded by an irrevocable tax-exempt trust. An executive's benefits under the qualified pension plan are payable from the assets held by the tax-exempt trust.
Supplemental Retirement Benefit Plan (SRBP)

Adopted effective September 13, 2011, the SRBP is an unfunded, non-qualified deferred compensation plan that provides benefits for a select group of management or highly compensated employees named in the SRBP document in order to supplement the pension benefits paid to them from the Materion Corporation Pension Plan.

Messrs. Kelley and Vijayvargiya do not participate in the SRBP. Mr. Chemnitz's benefit under the SRBP will be the amount of his "Prevented Benefits" (as described below) as set forth in the SRBP. Mr. Chemnitz was named as a participant in the SRBP effective December 2012 with all service included since his hire date in September 2007. A participant's interest in benefits payable under the SRBP will be vested and non-forfeitable to the same extent and in the same manner as benefits are vested and non-forfeitable under the Pension Plan. The benefits payable under the SRBP will be paid to a participant in a single sum payment on or about the first day of the third month (or, in certain cases as necessitated by tax law provisions, the sixth month) following the date of his separation from service.

"Prevented Benefits" for purposes of the SRBP means the difference, expressed as a single sum, between the regular pension benefits payable to a participant under the Pension Plan and the regular pension benefits that would be so payable to the participant under the Pension Plan if such benefits were determined including any compensation that

was deferred on an elective basis under any non-qualified deferred compensation plan or agreement with a participant and without regard to limitations on covered compensation and benefit amounts imposed by the Code.

We are under no obligation to set aside funds specifically designated to pay these supplemental amounts and are not presently maintaining any kind of trust for this purpose.

2018 NON-QUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION

We maintain one non-qualified deferred compensation arrangement for executives, the Materion Corporation Restoration & Deferred Compensation Plan (RDCP). The primary purpose of this plan is to provide benefits in the event a participant's compensation exceeds the amount of compensation that may be taken into account for deferring income and matching contributions

under the 401(k) Plan, and in the case of employees not in the Pension Plan, a Company contribution based on annual compensation over the Code limit and the participant's age and service.

Materion Corporation Restoration & Deferred Compensation Plan

The RDCP provides executives an opportunity to make deferral elections generally not permitted under the 401(k) Plan. Code Section 401(a)(17) limits the amount of compensation that may be taken into account for deferrals under the 401(k) Plan. For 2018, that limit was \$275,000. As of the effective date, selected executives may elect each year to defer all or any portion of the sum of his or her MIP payouts, payable in cash for that year, plus up to 50% of his or her base salary.

The compensation deferrals credited to each executive are credited with earnings at a rate equal to the return on hypothetical investments selected by the executive from a list of mutual funds identified by the Compensation Committee. Investment selection is intended to be the same or similar to that offered under the 401(k) Plan, but this is not required. The executive's investment selection is used only to determine earnings credits on the compensation deferrals under the RDCP. We are not obligated to invest any funds in the mutual funds selected by the executive. Earnings returns will change from year to year.

In addition, the RDCP provides retirement benefits of the 401(k) Plan that are limited under the Code for selected executives, including Messrs. Vijayvargiya and Kelley, based on the total cash compensation and the participant's age and years of service. The RDCP is unfunded. Deferred compensation credits and related earnings credits for each executive are maintained in a book reserve account. We are under no obligation to set aside funds specifically designated to pay these deferred income amounts. However, we maintain a trust, as part of the general assets of the Company, intended to pay these deferred income amounts, unless we become insolvent. In that case, the assets in the trust would be available to satisfy creditors of the Company, just as any other general assets of the Company, before the deferred income amounts would be paid. In other words, each executive participating in the RDCP is an unsecured general creditor of the Company with respect to the payment of his or her RDCP benefits.

The table below shows 2018 activity in the NEOs' RDCP accounts. Activity includes deferrals to the RDCP of executive contributions, earnings credited to the RDCP and the aggregate balance of the NEOs' RDCP accounts, if applicable, as of December 31, 2018.

Name	Plan	Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$ (1))	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (\$ (2))	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$ (3))
Jugal K. Vijayvargiya	RDCP	50,279	—	(4,650)	—	45,629
Joseph P. Kelley	RDCP	—	11,810	(1,824)	—	25,800
Gregory R. Chemnitz	RDCP	74,281	—	(21,251)	—	386,139

(1) The amount in this column is also included in the "Salary" column of the "2018 Summary Compensation Table".

(2) The amount in this column is also included in the "All Other Compensation" column of the "2018 Summary Compensation Table".

(3) Of these amounts, \$11,871 for Mr. Kelley and \$188,917 for Mr. Chemnitz were reported in prior year Summary Compensation Tables.

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL

We have entered into Severance Agreements with the NEOs to help ensure the continuity and stability of our senior management. The other incentive arrangements we maintain also provide for payments to be made to the NEOs upon

certain terminations of employment.

36

Severance Agreements

Basic Severance Benefits. The Severance Agreement with Mr. Vijayvargiya provides that if his employment is terminated by the Company or one of its affiliates, except for cause (or death or disability), or if he resigns as a result of good reason (as described in the Severance Agreement), he will be entitled to severance benefits. Severance benefits include rights to:

- a lump-sum payment of 150% of his highest annual salary and his three-year average annual cash incentive compensation (but if the termination occurs prior to the end of the third fiscal year following the date of the Severance Agreement, at the target level);
- the continuation of medical and life insurance benefits for up to 18 months; and
- reasonable fees for outplacement services, up to a maximum of \$20,000.

In addition, all RSUs vest at 100% levels, all PRSUs vest on a pro-rated basis depending on actual performance, and all SARs become fully exercisable, if the severance benefits are applicable. Messrs. Kelley and Chemnitz do not participate in these basic severance benefits.

Change in Control Severance Benefits. In the event of a “change in control” of the Company, as defined in these Severance Agreements, and if the executive’s employment is terminated by us or one of our affiliates except for cause (or death or disability), or (in the case of Mr. Chemnitz) he resigns within one month after the first anniversary of the change in control for any reason, or he resigns within two years (three years for Mr. Chemnitz) of the change in control for good reason (as described in the Severance Agreement) Messrs. Vijayvargiya and Kelley are entitled to receive similar severance benefits based on a two-year period with the annual incentive amount based on the higher of (1) the target for the year of termination or (2) the average for the three prior years. Mr. Chemnitz is entitled to severance benefits, based on a three-year period rather than a two-year period, with the annual incentive amount based on the higher of (1) the target for the year in which the change in control occurs or (2) the highest amount earned after the change in control or in the three years preceding the year of the change in control. The Severance Agreements also provide that, in the event of a change in control, the Company will pay the executive a lump sum amount equal to the pro-rata target value of any MIP award for the performance period in which the change in control occurs, disregarding applicable vesting requirements. The acceleration of outstanding long-term equity and equity-based awards will be subject under the terms of the applicable award agreements to "double trigger" vesting. A termination or demotion following the commencement of discussions with a third party which ultimately results in a change in control will also activate the change in control benefits. Payment of the change in control benefits under the Severance Agreements is subject to a reduction in order to avoid the application of the excise tax on “excess parachute payments” under the Code, but only if the reduction would increase the net after tax amount received by the executive. In addition, we must secure payment of the change in control benefits under the Severance Agreements through a trust that is to be funded upon the change in control, and, for Mr. Chemnitz, amounts due but not timely paid earn interest at the prime rate plus 4%. The prime rate is defined as the prime interest rate from The Wall Street Journal. We must pay attorneys’ fees and expenses incurred by an executive in enforcing his right to change in control benefits under his Severance Agreement.

Nonsolicitation and Noncompetition Provisions. Under the Severance Agreements, each NEO generally agrees not to solicit any of our employees, agents or consultants to terminate their relationship with us, to protect our confidential business information and not to compete with us during employment and generally for a period of (1) two years (one year for Mr. Chemnitz) following termination of the NEO’s employment by us or one of our affiliates, or (2) one year following a termination of employment for any other reason. Each executive also assigns to us any intellectual property rights he may otherwise have to any discoveries, inventions or improvements made while in our employ or within two years (one year for Mr. Chemnitz) thereafter.

Amounts Payable Under Severance Agreements. The following table sets forth the amounts payable under the Severance Agreements. Note that this table does not include any benefits payable to the NEOs under our retirement plan(s), or any payout to the NEOs under the RDCP. For more information about these benefits, see the "2018 Pension Benefits" and the "2018 Non-qualified Deferred Compensation" table and related narratives above. Additional information about the amounts payable to the NEO in the event of retirement, death or permanent disability is presented separately after the table.

	Jugal K. Vijayvargiya	Joseph P. Kelley		Gregory R. Chemnitz	
	Involuntary Not For Cause Termination Qualifying after a Resignation (\$)	Involuntary or Good Reason Termination Change in Control (\$)	Involuntary Not For Cause Termination (\$)	Involuntary or Good Reason Termination Change in Control (\$)	Involuntary or Good Reason Termination Change in Control (\$)
Base Salary/Annual Bonus	2,066,250	2,755,000	N/A	1,434,120	1,908,036
Welfare Benefits	25,246	33,661	N/A	33,661	36,780
Additional Benefits Under Retirement Plans	N/A	N/A	N/A	—	101,535
Outplacement Services	20,000	20,000	N/A	20,000	20,000
Annual MIP (1)	N/A	652,500	N/A	295,260	228,312
SARs Accelerated Vesting	199,418	199,418	N/A	355,382	331,189
RSUs/PRSUs Accelerated Vesting (2)	2,355,383	2,355,383	N/A	1,211,084	850,812
Total	4,666,297	6,015,962	N/A	3,349,507	3,476,664

(1) The amount reported assumes that the Severance Agreements would provide each of the NEOs with an amount equal to the applicable target level without pro-ration, regardless of actual performance.

(2) The amount reported assumes that (a) the 2016-2018 PRSUs have already been earned as of the termination date and (b) the amounts reported for the NEOs for accelerated vesting of RSUs and PRSUs for terminations in connection with a change in control reflect double trigger acceleration amounts and target performance for the 2017-2019 and 2018-2020 PRSUs.

Benefits Payable Upon Retirement, Death or Disability Under Incentive Plans

Annual Cash Incentive Plan

Management Incentive Plan (MIP). The NEOs are participants in our MIP, which provides for annual, lump-sum cash payments that are based on achieving pre-established financial objectives and qualitative performance factors. Generally, an executive must be employed on the day of payment in order to receive an award under the MIP. However, if an executive dies while employed by us or any subsidiary, or retires under one of our retirement plans during a plan year, the executive will receive an award pro-rated to the beginning of the month following the executive's termination date. In no event will a prorated MIP award be earned where the proration percent is one-third or less. Assuming that the MIP payouts would not be pro-rated in the event of a termination due to death or retirement occurring on December 31, 2018, the payout of 2018 MIP awards on such a termination (as applicable) would have been \$1,305,000, \$590,520 and \$456,625 for Messrs. Vijayvargiya, Kelley and Chemnitz, respectively.

2006 Stock Incentive Plan (As Amended and Restated as of May 3, 2017)

The Materion Corporation 2006 Stock Incentive Plan was Amended and Restated as of May 3, 2017 (2006 Plan). The 2006 Plan authorizes the Compensation Committee to provide equity-based compensation in the form of Performance Restricted Stock, Performance Shares, Performance Units, Restricted Stock, Option Rights, SARs, RSUs and PRSUs for the purpose of providing incentives and rewards for superior performance.

Restricted Stock Units (RSUs). Each of the NEOs has received grants of RSUs under the 2006 Plan. The RSU award agreements provide that all outstanding RSUs will immediately vest if the NEO dies or becomes permanently disabled

while employed by the Company or any subsidiary during the applicable vesting period. RSU award agreements provide that the grants are forfeited if the NEO is not employed on the date of vesting, even if the NEO retires, unless otherwise determined by the Committee.

Assuming a termination of employment due to death, or permanent disability on December 31, 2018, the value of accelerated vesting of the RSUs would have been \$678,741, \$568,651 and \$524,761 for Messrs. Vijayvargiya, Kelley and Chemnitz, respectively.

Stock Appreciation Rights (SARs). Each of the NEOs has received grants of SARs under the 2006 Plan. The award agreements generally provide that SARs terminate 190 days after termination of employment, and vested SARs can be exercised during that period. However, the award agreements also provide that all SARs will immediately vest if the executive dies or becomes permanently disabled during the applicable vesting period while employed by the Company or any subsidiary; the vested SARs would then terminate one year after the termination of employment due to the NEO's death or disability (or until the expiration of the term of the SARs, if earlier). If the NEO retires (as described in the award agreement) during the applicable vesting period,

then the SARs will be forfeited if the executive is not employed on the date of vesting, pursuant to the award agreement, unless otherwise determined by the Committee.

Assuming a termination of employment due to death or permanent disability on December 31, 2018, the value of any accelerated or continued vesting of the SARs would have been \$199,418, \$355,382 and \$331,189 for each of Messrs. Vijayvargiya, Kelley and Chemnitz, respectively, at the closing price on December 31, 2018 of \$44.99.

Performance-based Restricted Stock Units (PRSUs). Under the 2006 Plan, Messrs. Kelley, and Chemnitz received grants of PRSUs in 2016, 2017 and 2018. Mr. Vijayvargiya received grants of PRSUs in 2017 and 2018. Generally, all or a percentage of the PRSUs become non-forfeitable and payable only if certain performance goals are met. However, the award agreements provide that 100% of the PRSUs will immediately become non-forfeitable and payable if the executive dies or becomes permanently disabled while employed by the Company or any subsidiary during the performance period. If the NEO retires (as described above) during the applicable performance period, then the PRSUs will continue to be eligible to become non-forfeitable and payable as if the NEO continued to be employed during the performance period. Assuming a termination of employment due to death or permanent disability on December 31, 2018, the value of the accelerated vesting of the PRSUs would have been \$1,676,642, \$642,433 and \$326,051 for Messrs. Vijayvargiya, Kelley, and Chemnitz, respectively. Assuming a termination of employment due to retirement on December 31, 2018, the value of continued non-forfeitability of the PRSUs would have been \$326,051 for Mr. Chemnitz.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The table below sets forth information as of December 31, 2018:

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Weighted-average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights ⁽³⁾	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans ⁽⁴⁾
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders:			
2006 Stock Incentive Plan ⁽¹⁾	710,765	\$ 33.01	1,317,283
2006 Non-employee Director Equity Plan ⁽²⁾	14,728	NA	121,596
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders:			
None	—	—	—
Total	725,493	NA	1,438,879

NA = Not applicable because restricted stock unit awards do not have an exercise price.

(1) Consists of stock appreciation rights, restricted stock units, and performance-based restricted stock units awarded under our 2006 Stock Incentive Plan.

(2) Consists of restricted stock units awarded under our 2006 Non-employee Director Equity Plan.

(3) Represents the weighted-average exercise price of outstanding stock appreciation rights.

(4) Represents the number of shares of common stock available to be awarded as of December 31, 2018.

CEO Pay Ratio Disclosure

For 2018, the ratio of the annual total compensation of Mr. Vijayvargiya, our Chief Executive Officer (CEO Compensation), to the median of the annual total compensation of all of our employees and those of our consolidated subsidiaries (other than approximately 110 employees that were part of the acquisition of Heraeus' high-performance

target materials business (HTB) that closed on February 28, 2017) (Median Annual Compensation) was 67 to 1. We note that, due to our permitted use of reasonable estimates and assumptions in preparing this pay ratio disclosure, the disclosure may involve a degree of imprecision, and thus this pay ratio disclosure is a reasonable estimate calculated in a manner consistent with Item 402(u) of Regulation S-K using the data and assumptions described below. In this summary, we refer to the employee who received the Median Annual Compensation as the “Median Employee.” For purposes of this disclosure, the date used to identify the Median Employee was December 31, 2017 (the Determination Date). Because there have been no changes to our employee population or employee compensation arrangements that we reasonable believe would significantly affect our pay ratio disclosure, the same Median Employee was used for 2017 and 2018 in accordance with the guidelines.

For purposes of this pay ratio disclosure, CEO Compensation was \$4,258,935. CEO Compensation for purposes of this disclosure represents the total compensation reported for Mr. Vijayvargiya under “2018 Summary Compensation Table” for the 2018 fiscal year.

For purposes of this pay ratio disclosure, Median Annual Compensation was \$63,164, and was calculated by totaling for our Median Employee all applicable elements of compensation for the 2018 fiscal year in accordance with Item 402(c)(2)(x) of Regulation S-K. To identify the Median Employee, we measured the annualized compensation as of December 31, 2017 for 2,545 employees, representing all full-time, part-time, seasonal and temporary employees of us and our consolidated subsidiaries as of the Determination Date. This number does not include any independent contractors or “leased” workers, as permitted by the applicable SEC rules. This number also does not exclude any non-U.S. employees (although such exclusion may have been permitted under applicable SEC rules) and does not include any employees of businesses acquired by us or combined with us in 2017 as noted above. This compensation measurement was calculated by totaling, for each employee, taxable earnings for 2017. Specifically excluded from the calculation were relocation expenses and hiring bonuses. Further, we did not utilize any statistical sampling or cost-of-living adjustments for purposes of this pay ratio disclosure. A portion of our employee workforce (full-time and part-time) identified above worked for less than the full fiscal year due to commencing employment after January 1, 2017. In determining the Median Employee, we annualized the total compensation for such individuals (but avoided creating full-time equivalencies) based on reasonable assumptions and estimates relating to our employee compensation program, including incentive compensation plans.

2. RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee has appointed Ernst & Young LLP (EY) as the independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2019 and presents this selection to the shareholders for ratification. EY will audit our consolidated financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2019 and perform other permissible, pre-approved services. Representatives of EY are expected to be present at the 2019 annual meeting. These representatives will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and will respond to appropriate questions.

Pre-approval Policy for External Auditing Services

The Audit Committee has established a policy regarding pre-approval of all audit and non-audit services expected to be performed by our independent registered public accounting firm, including the scope of and estimated fees for such services. Our independent registered public accounting firm, after consultation with management, will submit a budget, based on guidelines set forth in the policy, for the Audit Committee’s approval for its annual audit and associated quarterly reviews and procedures. Management, after consultation with our independent registered public accounting firm, will submit a budget, based on guidelines set forth in the policy, for the Audit Committee’s approval for audit-related, tax and other services to be provided by our independent registered public accounting firm for the upcoming fiscal year. The policy prohibits our independent registered public accounting firm from providing certain services described in the policy as prohibited services.

Fees for professional services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm in each of the last two fiscal years, in each of the following categories are as follows:

	2018	2017
Audit Fees	\$2,132,500	\$2,041,100
Audit-Related Fees	7,500	—
Tax Fees	568,000	446,000
All Other Fees	—	60,000
Total	\$2,708,000	\$2,547,100

Audit Fees

Audit fees consist of fees billed for professional services rendered for the integrated audit of our consolidated financial statements and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting and review of the interim consolidated

financial statements included in quarterly reports and audits in connection with statutory requirements.

Audit-related Fees

Audit-related services principally include work related to the audit of our employee savings plan during 2018.

Tax Fees

Tax fees include corporate tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.

40

All Other Fees

The All Other Fees for 2017 related to work performed for an acquired business.

The approval of Proposal 2 requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast, in person or by proxy, on such proposal at the 2019 annual meeting.

The Board of Directors of Materion Corporation unanimously recommends a vote FOR Proposal 2 to ratify Ernst & Young LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm for the year 2019.

3. ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION

In this Proposal 3, pursuant to Section 14A of the Exchange Act, we are providing our shareholders the opportunity to cast an advisory (non-binding) vote on the compensation paid to the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" and "Executive Compensation" above, pursuant to the compensation rules of the SEC. While this vote is advisory, and not binding on the Company, the Board values the opinions of our shareholders and the Compensation Committee expects to review the results of the vote and take them into consideration when making future decisions regarding executive compensation. Currently, advisory "Say-on-Pay" votes are scheduled to be held once every year, with the next "Say-on-Pay" vote to occur at our 2020 annual meeting of shareholders.

We are asking our shareholders to indicate their support for the compensation of our named executive officers as described in this proxy statement. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officers and the executive compensation program and practices described in this proxy statement. Please read the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and the executive compensation tables and narrative disclosure for a detailed explanation of our executive compensation program and practices. Accordingly, we are asking our shareholders to vote "FOR" the following resolution:

"RESOLVED, that the compensation of the named executive officers of the Company as disclosed pursuant to the compensation rules of the SEC, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables and any related material disclosed in this proxy statement, is hereby APPROVED."

The approval of Proposal 3 requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast, in person or by proxy, on such proposal at the 2019 annual meeting.

The Board of Directors of Materion Corporation unanimously recommends a vote FOR Proposal 3 relating to the advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

We must receive by November 26, 2019 any proposal of a shareholder intended to be presented at the 2020 annual meeting of Materion Corporation's shareholders and to be included in our proxy, notice of meeting and proxy statement related to the 2020 annual meeting pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act. These proposals should be submitted by certified mail, return receipt requested. Proposals of shareholders submitted outside the processes of Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act in connection with the 2020 annual meeting must be received by us on or before the date determined in accordance with our code of regulations or they will be considered untimely under Rule 14a-4(c) of the Exchange Act. Under our code of regulations, proposals generally must be received by us no fewer than 60 and no more than 90 days before an annual meeting. However, if the date of a meeting is more than ten days from the anniversary of the previous year's meeting and we do not give notice of the meeting at least 75 days in advance, proposals must be received within ten days from the date of our notice. Our proxy related to the 2020 annual meeting of Materion Corporation's shareholders will give discretionary authority to the proxy holders to vote with respect to all proposals submitted outside the processes of Rule 14a-8 received by us after the date determined in accordance with our code of regulations.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 8, 2019

This proxy statement, along with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 and our 2018 Annual Report, are available free of charge at <http://investor.shareholder.com/materion/annuals.cfm>.

OTHER MATTERS

We do not know of any matters to be brought before the meeting except as indicated in the notice. However, if any other matters properly come before the meeting for action of which we did not have notice prior to March 9, 2019 or that applicable laws otherwise permit proxies to vote on a discretionary basis, it is intended that the person authorized under solicited proxies may vote or act thereon in accordance with his or her own judgment.

By order of the Board of Directors,
MATERION CORPORATION
Gregory R. Chemnitz
Secretary

Mayfield Heights, Ohio
March 25, 2019

Appendix A

Materion Corporation
 Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures - Profitability
 (Unaudited)

(millions)

	2018	2017
Net Sales - GAAP	\$1,207.8	\$1,139.4
Less: pass-through metal costs	468.8	461.7
Value-added sales	\$739.0	\$677.7

Non-GAAP Financial Measures - Adjusted Profitability

Operating profit - GAAP	\$61.5	\$40.0
-------------------------	--------	--------

Incentive Compensation Special Items

Cost reduction initiatives	—	0.7
Legacy legal & environmental costs	0.8	0.5
CEO transition	—	4.1
Acquisition costs	—	3.5
Other	(0.5)	(1.4)
Operating profit - adjusted	\$61.8	\$47.4

The cost of gold, silver, platinum, palladium, and copper is passed through to customers and, therefore, the trends and comparisons of net sales are affected by movements in the market price of these metals. Internally, management also reviews net sales on a value-added basis. Value-added sales is a non-GAAP (generally accepted accounting principles) financial measure that deducts the value of the pass-through metals sold from net sales. Value-added sales allows management to assess the impact of differences in net sales between periods or segments and analyze the resulting margins and profitability without the distortion of the movements in pass-through metal prices. The dollar amount of gross margin and operating profit is not affected by the value-added sales calculation. The Company sells other metals and materials that are not considered direct pass throughs, and these costs are not deducted from net sales to calculate value-added sales.

The Company's pricing policy is to pass the cost of these metals on to customers in order to mitigate the impact of price volatility on the Company's results from operations. Value-added information is being presented since changes in metal prices may not directly impact profitability. It is the Company's intent to allow users of the financial statements to review sales with and without the impact of the pass-through metals.

The Company also presents adjusted operating profit, which is a non-GAAP financial measure. As detailed in the above reconciliation, we have adjusted the results for certain special items such as CEO transition costs, cost reduction initiatives (i.e., asset impairment charges and severance), legacy environmental costs, and merger and acquisition costs. Internally, management reviews the results of operations without the impact of these costs in order to assess the profitability from ongoing activities. We believe that this information, when viewed in conjunction with the GAAP results, provides a more comprehensive understanding of the factors and trends affecting our operations.

A-2

A-3