

General Moly, Inc  
Form 10-Q  
November 01, 2011  
[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

**x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011**

**o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from        to**

**Commission File Number: 001-32986**

**General Moly, Inc.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**DELAWARE**  
(State or other jurisdiction)

**91-0232000**  
(I.R.S. Employer)

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

of incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

**1726 Cole Blvd., Suite 115  
Lakewood, CO 80401  
Telephone: (303) 928-8599**

(Address and telephone number of principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. **YES x NO o**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). **YES x NO o**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o

Accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer o

Smaller reporting company o

(Do not check if smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). **YES o NO x**

The number of shares outstanding of issuer's common stock as of October 27, 2011, was 90,792,673.

Table of Contents

		<b>Page</b>
	<b><u>Part I</u></b>	
<u>ITEM 1.</u>	<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	3
<u>ITEM 2.</u>	<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	22
<u>ITEM 3.</u>	<u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	31
<u>ITEM 4.</u>	<u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	33
	<b><u>Part II</u></b>	
<u>ITEM 1.</u>	<u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	33
<u>ITEM 1A.</u>	<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	34
<u>ITEM 2.</u>	<u>UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	35
<u>ITEM 3.</u>	<u>DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES</u>	35
<u>ITEM 4.</u>	<u>REMOVED AND RESERVED</u>	35
<u>ITEM 5.</u>	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	35
<u>ITEM 6.</u>	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	35

Table of Contents**PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**GENERAL MOLY, INC.**  
**(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)**  
**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(In thousands, except par value and share amounts)

	September 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2010
<b>ASSETS:</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 54,360	\$ 53,571
Deposits, prepaid expenses and other current assets	461	148
Total Current Assets	54,821	53,719
Mining properties, land and water rights Note 4	140,517	133,093
Deposits on project property, plant and equipment	64,783	68,363
Restricted cash held for electricity transmission	12,005	12,005
Restricted cash held for reclamation bonds	1,133	1,133
Non-mining property and equipment, net	877	1,045
Debt issuance costs	2,682	887
Other assets	2,994	2,994
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 279,812</b>	<b>\$ 273,239</b>
<b>LIABILITIES, CONTINGENTLY REDEEMABLE NONCONTROLLING INTEREST AND EQUITY:</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 1,985	\$ 4,138
Accrued advance royalties	8,950	9,500
Current portion of long term debt	10,562	194
Total Current Liabilities	21,497	13,832
Provision for post closure reclamation and remediation costs	562	571
Deferred gain	900	215
Accrued advance royalties	8,950	8,950
Accrued payments to Agricultural Sustainability Trust	4,000	4,000
Long term debt, net of current portion	151	10,481
Total Liabilities	36,060	38,049
<b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES Note 10</b>		
CONTINGENTLY REDEEMABLE NONCONTROLLING INTEREST	98,073	98,753
<b>EQUITY</b>		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 200,000,000 shares authorized, 90,792,673 and 85,353,473 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	91	85
Additional paid-in capital	255,647	234,517

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

Accumulated deficit before exploration stage	(213)	(213)
Accumulated deficit during exploration and development stage	(109,846)	(97,952)
Total Equity	145,679	136,437
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES, CONTINGENTLY REDEEMABLE NONCONTROLLING INTEREST AND EQUITY</b>	<b>\$ 279,812</b>	<b>\$ 273,239</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

**GENERAL MOLY, INC.**  
**(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(Unaudited In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended		January 1, 2002
	September	September	September	September	(Inception of
	30, 2011	30, 2010	30, 2011	30, 2010	Exploration
					Stage) to
					September 30,
					2011
REVENUES	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
OPERATING EXPENSES:					
Exploration and evaluation	793	191	1,249	499	39,382
Write downs of development costs and deposits		5,038	3,403	5,038	8,819
General and administrative expenses	1,526	2,037	7,754	7,741	67,281
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	2,319	7,266	12,406	13,278	115,482
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	(2,319)	(7,266)	(12,406)	(13,278)	(115,482)
OTHER INCOME / (EXPENSE)					
Interest and dividend income		3	19	9	4,060
Interest expense	(61)	(63)	(187)	(104)	(351)
TOTAL OTHER (EXPENSE) / INCOME					
, NET	(61)	(60)	(168)	(95)	3,709
LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	(2,380)	(7,326)	(12,574)	(13,373)	(111,773)
Income Taxes					
CONSOLIDATED NET LOSS	\$ (2,380)	\$ (7,326)	\$ (12,574)	\$ (13,373)	\$ (111,773)
Less: Net loss attributable to contingently redeemable noncontrolling interest		1,007	680	1,007	1,927
NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO GENERAL MOLY, INC.	\$ (2,380)	\$ (6,319)	\$ (11,894)	\$ (12,366)	\$ (109,846)
Basic and diluted net loss attributable to General Moly, Inc. per share of common stock	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.17)	
Weighted average number of shares outstanding basic and diluted	90,778	72,571	90,518	72,562	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

**GENERAL MOLY, INC.**  
**(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(Unaudited In thousands)

	Nine Months Ended		January 1, 2002
	September 30,	September 30,	(Inception of
	2011	2010	Exploration
			Stage) to
			September 30,
			2011
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Net Loss	\$ (12,574)	\$ (13,373)	\$ (111,773)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	306	273	1,538
Interest expense	187	104	351
Equity compensation for employees and directors	1,556	940	16,655
(Increase) decrease in deposits, prepaid expenses and other	(313)	107	(369)
(Decrease) increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(2,703)	424	755
(Decrease) increase in post closure reclamation and remediation costs	(9)	(25)	353
Write downs of development costs and deposits	3,403	5,038	8,819
Services and expenses paid with common stock			1,990
Repricing of warrants		585	965
(Increase) in restricted cash held for electricity transmission			(12,005)
Net cash used by operating activities	(10,147)	(5,927)	(92,721)
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Payments for the purchase of equipment			(1,548)
Purchase and development of mining properties, land and water rights	(7,297)	(10,534)	(116,004)
Deposits on property, plant and equipment, net of refunds	177	(24,905)	(67,907)
Proceeds from option to purchase agreements	585	100	800
Purchase of securities			(137)
Increase in restricted cash held for reclamation bonds			(642)
Cash provided by sale of marketable securities			246
Net cash used by investing activities	(6,535)	(35,339)	(185,192)
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Proceeds from issuance of stock, net of issuance costs	19,415	56	227,722
Net (decrease) increase in leased assets	(149)	(129)	181
Payments for debt issuance costs	(1,795)		(2,682)
Proceeds from debt		10,000	10,000
Cash proceeds from POS-Minerals Corporation			100,000
Cash paid to POS-Minerals Corporation for purchase price adjustment			(2,994)
Net cash provided by financing activities	17,471	9,927	332,227
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	789	(31,339)	54,314
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	53,571	48,614	46
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 54,360	\$ 17,275	\$ 54,360
<b>NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Equity compensation capitalized as development	\$ 165	\$ 714	\$ 6,365
Restricted cash held for reclamation bond acquired in an acquisition			491
Post closure reclamation and remediation costs and accounts payable assumed in an acquisition			263
Common stock and warrants issued for property and equipment			1,586

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

Accrued portion of deposits on property, plant and equipment		183
Receivable portion of deferred gain	100	100
Accrued portion of advance royalties		17,900
Accrued portion of payments to the Agricultural Sustainability Trust		4,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



Table of Contents

**GENERAL MOLY, INC.**  
**(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**NOTE 1 DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS**

General Moly, Inc. ( we , us , our , Company , or General Moly ) is a Delaware corporation originally incorporated as General Mines Corporation on November 23, 1925. We have gone through several name changes and on October 5, 2007, we reincorporated in the State of Delaware ( Reincorporation ) through a merger involving Idaho General Mines, Inc. and General Moly, Inc., a Delaware corporation that was a wholly owned subsidiary of Idaho General Mines, Inc. The Reincorporation was effected by merging Idaho General Mines, Inc. with and into General Moly, with General Moly being the surviving entity. For purposes of the Company 's reporting status with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ), General Moly is deemed a successor to Idaho General Mines, Inc.

We were in the exploration stage until October 4, 2007, when our Board of Directors ( Board ) approved the development of the Mt. Hope molybdenum property ( Mt. Hope Project ) in Eureka County, Nevada. The Company is now in the development stage and is currently proceeding with the development of the Mt. Hope Project. We are also conducting exploration and evaluation activities on our Liberty molybdenum property ( Liberty Property, formerly referred to as the Hall-Tonopah Property) in Nye County, Nevada.

**The Mt. Hope Project.** From October 2005 to January 2008, we owned the rights to 100% of the Mt. Hope Project. Effective as of January 1, 2008, we contributed all of our interest in the assets related to the Mt. Hope Project, including our lease of the Mt. Hope Project into a newly formed entity, Eureka Moly, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ( LLC ), and in February 2008 ( Closing Date ) entered into an agreement ( LLC Agreement ) for the development and operation of the Mt. Hope Project with POS-Minerals Corporation ( POS-Minerals ) an affiliate of POSCO, a large Korean steel company. Under the LLC Agreement, POS-Minerals owns a 20% interest in the LLC and General Moly, through a wholly-owned subsidiary, owns an 80% interest. The ownership interests and/or required contributions under the LLC Agreement can change as discussed below.

Pursuant to the terms of the LLC Agreement, POS-Minerals made its first and second cash contributions to the LLC totaling \$100.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2008 ( Initial Contributions ). Additional amounts will be due from POS-Minerals within 15 days after the date ( ROD Contribution Date ) that specified conditions ( ROD Contribution Conditions ) have been satisfied. The ROD Contribution Conditions are (i) the receipt of major operating permits for the Mt. Hope Project, (ii) confirmation that the Record of Decision ( ROD ) from the United States Bureau of Land Management ( BLM ) for the Mt. Hope Project has become effective, and (iii) any administrative or judicial appeals with respect thereto are final. We are currently targeting the effectiveness of the ROD and the satisfaction of the other ROD Contribution Conditions to occur six to nine months after publication of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement ( DEIS ) in the Federal Register, which we expect to occur one to three months following release of the DEIS for publication. The BLM approved the DEIS for printing on October 21, 2011. Once the DEIS is printed, a Notice of Availability ( NOA ) will be submitted to the Washington, DC office of the BLM to authorize publication of the NOA in the Federal Register. The DEIS is anticipated to be published in the Federal Register in the fourth quarter. However, circumstances beyond our control, including reviewing agency delays or requests for additional information or studies, and requests for review or appeals of the BLM decision, could cause the effectiveness of the ROD and/or the satisfaction of the other ROD Contribution Conditions to be delayed. Once the ROD is effective and financing is in place, we expect that production will begin approximately 20 months following initiation of project construction.

## Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

To maintain its 20% interest in the LLC, POS-Minerals will be required to make an additional \$56.0 million contribution plus its 20% share of all Mt. Hope Project costs incurred from the Closing Date to the ROD Contribution Date within 15 days after the ROD Contribution Date. If POS-Minerals does not make its additional \$56.0 million contribution when due after the ROD Contribution Date, its interest will be reduced to 10%.

In addition, as commercial production at the Mt. Hope Project will not be achieved by December 31, 2011, the LLC may be required to return to POS-Minerals \$36.0 million of its contributions to the LLC, with no

Table of Contents

corresponding reduction in POS-Minerals' ownership percentage. Based on our current plan and assuming POS-Minerals has made its additional \$56.0 million contribution, a payment to POS-Minerals of \$36.0 million will be due 20 days after the commencement of commercial production, as defined in the LLC Agreement. We currently anticipate such payment being required during 2014. If POS-Minerals does not make its additional \$56.0 million contribution when due, no return of contributions is required by us. Our wholly-owned subsidiary and 80% owner of the LLC, Nevada Moly, LLC ( "Nevada Moly" ), is obligated under the terms of the LLC Agreement to make capital contributions to fund the return of contributions to POS-Minerals, if required. If Nevada Moly does not make these capital contributions, POS-Minerals has an election to either make a secured loan to the LLC to fund the return of contributions, or receive an additional interest in the LLC of approximately 5%. In the latter case, our interest in the LLC is subject to dilution by a percentage equal to the ratio of 1.5 times the amount of the unpaid contributions over the aggregate amount of deemed capital contributions (as determined under the LLC Agreement) of both parties to the LLC ( "Dilution Formula" ). At December 31, 2010, the aggregate amount of deemed capital contributions of both parties was \$880.0 million.

Furthermore, the LLC Agreement permits POS-Minerals to put its interest in the LLC to Nevada Moly after a change of control of Nevada Moly or the Company, as defined in the LLC Agreement, followed by a failure to use standard mining industry practice in connection with development and operation of the Mt. Hope Project as contemplated by the parties for a period of twelve consecutive months. If POS-Minerals puts its interest, Nevada Moly or its transferee or surviving entity would be required to purchase the interest for 120% of POS-Minerals' contributions to the LLC plus 10% interest per annum.

The Initial Contributions of \$100.0 million that were made by POS-Minerals during 2008 were expended by the second quarter of 2009 in accordance with the program and budget requirements of the Mt. Hope Project. Nevada Moly is required, pursuant to the terms of the LLC Agreement, to advance funds required to pay costs for the development of the Mt. Hope Project that exceed the Initial Contributions until the ROD Contribution Date, at which point the contributions described above to be made by POS-Minerals will be applied to reimburse us for POS-Minerals' share of such development costs. All costs incurred after the ROD Contribution Date will be allocated and funded pro rata based on each party's ownership interest. POS-Minerals' share of such development costs amounted to approximately \$35.1 million as of September 30, 2011. The interest of a party in the LLC that does not make its pro rata capital contributions to fund costs incurred after the ROD Contribution Date is subject to dilution based on the Dilution Formula.

**NOTE 2 LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS**

Our consolidated cash balance at September 30, 2011, was \$54.4 million compared to \$53.6 million at December 31, 2010. The cash needs for the development of the Mt. Hope Project require that we or the LLC finalize the financing described below in addition to the capital contributions to be received from POS-Minerals.

The anticipated sources of financing described below, combined with funds anticipated to be received from POS-Minerals in order to retain its 20% share, provide substantially all of our currently planned funding required for constructing and placing the Mt. Hope Project into commercial production. Funding requirements for working capital needs beyond the capital costs of the Mt. Hope Project will require additional resources. There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in raising additional financing in the future on terms acceptable to the Company or at all.

**Securities Purchase Agreement with Hanlong (USA) Mining Investment Inc.**

## Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

On March 4, 2010, we signed a Securities Purchase Agreement (the "Purchase Agreement") with Hanlong (USA) Mining Investment, Inc. ("Hanlong"), an affiliate of Sichuan Hanlong Group, a large privately held Chinese company. The Purchase Agreement and the related agreements described below form the basis of a significant investment by Hanlong in the Company that is intended to provide the Company with adequate capital to develop the Mt. Hope Project. The Purchase Agreement provides for the sale to Hanlong of shares of our common stock in two tranches that will aggregate 25% of our outstanding stock on a fully diluted basis. The average price per share, based on the anticipated number of shares to be issued and the market price of the shares at the time the agreement was enacted, is \$2.88 for an aggregate price of \$80.0 million. The share issuance is part of a larger transaction that includes the commitment by Hanlong to use its commercially reasonable efforts to procure a \$665.0 million bank

Table of Contents

loan for the Company (the Term Loan ) from a prime Chinese bank that will be guaranteed by an affiliate of Hanlong, a \$20.0 million bridge loan (the Bridge Loan ) from Hanlong to the Company, \$10.0 million of which has been drawn by the Company as of September 30, 2011, and a long-term molybdenum supply off-take agreement pursuant to which a Hanlong affiliate will agree to purchase a substantial part of the molybdenum production from the Mt. Hope Project at specified prices. The supply off-take agreement provides for a floor price, along with a discount for spot prices above the floor price, for twenty-five percent of the production Hanlong receives. The floor price currently ranges from \$14.25 to \$15.25 and is subject to periodic adjustment. The remaining seventy-five percent of the production Hanlong receives will be sold with reference to spot molybdenum prices less a small discount.

***The Purchase Agreement***

Stock Purchase. The Purchase Agreement provides, subject to its terms and conditions, for the purchase by Hanlong for an aggregate price of \$80.0 million of approximately 27.6 million shares of our common stock, which will equal 25% of our outstanding common stock on a fully-diluted basis following the purchase, or approximately 38.3% of our outstanding common stock at the time the transaction was announced. Fully diluted is defined as all of our outstanding common stock plus all outstanding options and warrants, whether or not currently exercisable.

On July 30, 2010, the Company and Hanlong executed an amendment to the Purchase Agreement extending the deadline for obtaining Chinese government approvals by two months to October 13, 2010, as well as extending the Company's deadline for publishing its DEIS and receiving its ROD to February 28, 2011, and November 30, 2011, respectively. Hanlong received Chinese government approvals for equity investment from the National Development and Reform Commission and the Ministry of Commerce ( MOFCOM ) on October 8, 2010, and October 12, 2010, respectively. Hanlong filed the MOFCOM approval with the State Administration of Foreign Exchange on October 12, 2010, fulfilling Hanlong's Chinese government approval obligations.

On October 26, 2010, the Company and Hanlong executed an amendment to the Purchase Agreement setting the closing of Hanlong's purchase of the first tranche of equity in the Company on December 20, 2010. The parties agreed that the publication of the Mt. Hope Project's DEIS was no longer a condition precedent to Hanlong's first tranche equity investment.

On December 20, 2010, Hanlong completed the purchase of 12.5% of our fully-diluted shares, or approximately 11.8 million shares ( Tranche 1 ) for \$40.0 million, or approximately \$3.38 per share, following satisfaction of certain conditions, including receipt of stockholder approval of the equity issuances in connection with the transaction, receipt of necessary Chinese government approvals for certain portions of the transaction, assurances from Hanlong as to the availability of the Term Loan, approval of the shares for listing on the NYSE Amex and absence of certain defaults.

The second tranche ( Tranche 2 ), which is anticipated to involve the purchase of approximately 15.8 million additional shares, will be for a purchase price of an additional \$40.0 million, or approximately \$2.53 per share. The actual number of shares and price per share will be adjusted for any change in the number of fully diluted shares before the closing of Tranche 2. Significant conditions to the closing of Tranche 2 include issuance of the ROD for the Mt. Hope Project by the BLM, approval of the plan of operations for the Mt. Hope Project (the POO ) by the BLM, and the completion of documentation for and satisfaction of conditions precedent to lending under the Term Loan, described below.

## Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

On July 7, 2011, the Company and Hanlong executed an amendment to the Purchase Agreement eliminating the deadline for publication of the DEIS and extending the ROD deadline from November 30, 2011, to the earlier of nine months following DEIS publication or September 30, 2012. The ROD deadline remains extendable by up to three months to December 31, 2012, as discussed in *Break Fees* below. The Purchase Agreement may be terminated by either party (provided the terminating party is not in default) if the closing of Tranche 2 has not occurred on or before the earlier of September 30, 2012 (unless the parties have agreed to the ROD Condition Extension date of December 31, 2012) or twelve months after the issuance of the ROD.

Hanlong will have the right to purchase a portion of any additional shares of common stock that we issue so that it can maintain its percentage ownership unless its ownership is at the time below 5% at the earlier of the

Table of Contents

closing of Tranche 2 or closing of the Term Loan. It may also acquire additional shares so that it maintains a 20% indirect interest in the Mt. Hope Project if our interest in the LLC is reduced below 80%. If we issue shares to fund the Mt. Hope Project under certain circumstances, and on or before the date of commercial production, and Hanlong exercises its rights to maintain its percentage interest, we will be obligated to refund to Hanlong the cost of such shares over a three-year period up to an aggregate of \$9.0 million.

**Break Fees.** A break fee is payable by both the Company and Hanlong if the Purchase Agreement terminates because of the failure of certain conditions. A break fee of \$10.0 million is payable to the Company if the Purchase Agreement is terminated because Hanlong fails to obtain necessary Chinese government approvals or to give its assurances about the availability of the Term Loan, which may be offset against any balances owed by the Company under the Bridge Loan. The Company agreed to pay \$5.0 million to Hanlong if the conditions concerning our stockholder approval or the ROD are not timely satisfied or waived and the Purchase Agreement is terminated. The Company break fees may be increased by \$5.0 million if the Purchase Agreement is terminated and the Company has violated the no-shop provisions of the Purchase Agreement. The break fees may also be increased in other circumstances not to exceed an additional \$2.0 million if the Company requests and Hanlong grants certain extensions concerning the ROD. Further to the break fees, the Company must pay a \$2.0 million fee to Hanlong at the granting of an extension concerning the ROD, and such fee will be credited against the arrangement fee described below. The break fee payable by the Company to Hanlong may be paid in cash, or, in certain circumstances, in shares of our common stock at our option. If paid in shares, the price would be the volume weighted average of our common stock on the NYSE Amex for the five days ending six days after the announcement of the termination.

**Chinese Bank Term Loan.** Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, Hanlong is obligated to use its commercially reasonable efforts to procure the Term Loan in an amount of at least \$665.0 million with a term of at least 14 years after commercial production begins at the Mt. Hope Project. The Term Loan will bear interest at a rate tied to the London Interbank Offered Rate ( LIBOR ) plus a spread of between 2% and 4% per annum. The Purchase Agreement provides that the Term Loan will have customary covenants and conditions; however, the terms of the Term Loan have not yet been negotiated with the lender and we have no assurance as to the final terms of the Term Loan. Hanlong or an affiliate is obligated to guarantee the Term Loan. When funds can be drawn by the Company under the Term Loan, the Company will pay a \$15.0 million arrangement fee to Hanlong who will pay fees and expenses associated with the Term Loan before the Term Loan Closing, including those charged by the Chinese bank.

On July 7, 2011, the Company and Hanlong executed an amendment to the Purchase Agreement extending Hanlong's commitment to make available the Term Loan from two months following the ROD to nine months following the ROD.

**Bridge Loan**

Hanlong agreed to provide a \$20.0 million Bridge Loan to the Company, which has been made available in two equal \$10.0 million tranches. On April 28, 2010, we drew down the first tranche in the amount of \$10.0 million. The second loan tranche became available five business days after receipt of stockholder approval and is subject to the satisfaction of customary conditions. The first tranche of the Bridge Loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 2% per annum. The second tranche of the Bridge Loan will bear interest at 10% per annum and remains undrawn by the Company as of September 30, 2011. The Bridge Loan will be repaid from the proceeds of the Term Loan. If Hanlong agrees, the second tranche may also be repaid at the Company's election, in shares of the Company's common stock. If paid in shares, the price would be the volume weighted average of the Company's shares on the NYSE Amex for a five-day period after public announcement of the event that required repayment. The Company may offset its right to receive the break fee against its obligations to repay borrowings under the Bridge Loan. On July 7, 2011, the Company and Hanlong executed an amendment to the Bridge Loan Agreement extending the maturity date to the earlier of (i) 270 days after the issuance of the ROD, (ii) the date on which the Purchase Agreement terminates, and (iii) the earlier of December 31, 2012 and the availability of the Term Loan. The outstanding balance of the Bridge Loan and related accrued interest are recorded as a current liability as of September 30, 2011 as the Company anticipates the Term Loan will become available within the next twelve months. The Bridge Loan and

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

our obligation to pay a break fee to Hanlong under the Purchase Agreement are secured by a pledge by us of a 10% interest in the LLC.



Table of Contents

***Stockholder Agreement***

In connection with the Tranche 1 closing, Hanlong signed a Stockholder Agreement with the Company that limits Hanlong's future acquisitions of our common stock, provides for designation of up to two directors to our Board, and places some restrictions on Hanlong's voting and disposition of our shares.

After the Tranche 1 closing, Hanlong became entitled to nominate one director to our Board, which right will remain in place so long as it maintains at least a 10% fully diluted interest in the Company or its guarantee of the Term Loan is effective. Hanlong nominated Hui (Steven) Xiao to serve on our Board, and Mr. Xiao was appointed as a director in February 2011. Additionally, Hanlong became entitled to nominate a member to replace one of Nevada Moly's members on the LLC management committee. Hanlong nominated Nelson Chen, and he was appointed to the management committee in December, replacing David Chaput, of Nevada Moly. The Hanlong nominee may serve on the LLC committee until the earlier of the expiration or termination of the Hanlong guaranty, following the closing of the Term Loan, or rejection of the Term Loan. After the Tranche 2 closing, and so long as Hanlong retains fully-diluted stock ownership of at least 20%, Hanlong will be entitled to nominate a second director. The Company has agreed to include and recommend the election of each Hanlong nominee in the Board's slate of nominees submitted to our stockholders, subject to the Board's fiduciary obligations and compliance by the nominee with applicable law and Company requirements concerning disclosure of information. The Hanlong nominees may also serve on committees for which they are eligible.

On September 12, 2011, upon notice to the Company, Mr. Xiao elected to resign from the Board, and on behalf of Hanlong indicated that Hanlong had chosen Mr. Nelson Chen to replace him on the Board as the Hanlong representative. The resignation of Mr. Xiao was accepted by the Governance and Nominating Committee, and the appointment of Mr. Chen was reviewed and approved by the Governance and Nominating Committee for recommendation to the Board on September 14, 2011. The Board approved the nomination and appointment of Mr. Chen to the Board on September 14, 2011.

Hanlong has also agreed not to purchase additional shares, except as permitted by the Purchase Agreement, without the Company's prior consent following the Tranche 1 closing, and has agreed that it will not solicit proxies, join a group with respect to our equity securities, solicit or encourage an offer from another person for the Company, call a meeting of the Company's stockholders or make a proposal to the Company's stockholders, except to the Board. If our Board receives an offer for the Company, for its assets or a merger that the Board determines is in the best interests of the Company's stockholders, Hanlong is required to vote in favor of such a transaction or tender its shares unless it proposes an alternative transaction that our Board determines is more favorable to our stockholders than the offer received.

Under the Stockholder Agreement, Hanlong may not, without the prior written consent of the Board, transfer ownership in the securities if the recipient would acquire beneficial ownership of more than 5% of our common stock as of the date of such transfer. The restrictions on Hanlong's share ownership, voting, disposition and drag-along rights will terminate on the earlier of the time that Hanlong owns less than 10% of our Common Stock, the date that is 6 months after the date that commercial production begins at the Mt. Hope Project, and June 30, 2014.

The equity issuance of the Company's common stock to Hanlong was subject to stockholder approval and was voted on and approved in connection with the Company's annual meeting of stockholders in May 2010.

**Cash Conservation Plan**

## Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

The Company continues to operate under a cash conservation plan implemented in March 2009 designed to reduce expenditures and conserve cash in order to maximize financial flexibility. Engineering efforts related to the Mt. Hope Project, approximately 60% complete, have been largely suspended pending the completion of financing and approval of the DEIS.

As of March 2009, the Company had purchase orders for mining and milling process equipment. Some orders for mining equipment were cancelled, while orders for electric shovels and haul trucks were modified to

Table of Contents

become cancellable or non-binding. Most equipment orders for the custom-built grinding and other milling process equipment are being completed by the manufacturers and the equipment is being stored. The grinding and milling process equipment required the longest lead times and maintaining these orders was critical to the Company's ability to rapidly restart the Mt. Hope Project development. The Company completed negotiations with other equipment manufacturers to suspend or terminate fabrication of other milling equipment. As funding becomes available and equipment procurement is restarted, agreements that were suspended or terminated will be renegotiated under new market terms and conditions, as necessary.

Based on our current plan, expected timetable, and the results of such negotiations, we expect to make additional payments on milling process equipment orders of approximately \$12.6 million in 2012 and \$2.8 million in 2013. Based on payments made in 2009, 2010, and 2011 and the final payments to be made in 2012 and early 2013 for the gyratory crusher, SAG and ball mills and related electric mill drives, and some other long-lead equipment, we will own this equipment. This strategy should allow for a rapid restart of the Mt. Hope Project development.

The cash conservation plan has reduced our total cash utilization for general administrative and overhead expenses to approximately \$1 million per month, inclusive of maintenance costs at the Liberty Property. Such ongoing costs, combined with the \$12.6 million in process equipment commitments noted above and the \$17.9 million in construction royalty advances described in Note 10, comprise the spending requirements the Company has in place through the end of 2012 without restarting the project. Based on our current cash on hand and this ongoing cash conservation plan, the Company expects it will have adequate liquidity through the restart of the project and execution of the financing plan. We plan to restart engineering and procurement efforts during the first quarter of 2012 as key milestones in the permitting process are reached.

**NOTE 3 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The interim consolidated financial statements ( interim statements ) of the Company are unaudited. In the opinion of management, all adjustments and disclosures necessary for a fair presentation of these interim statements have been included. All such adjustments are, in the opinion of management, of a normal recurring nature except for the adoption of the new accounting standards discussed below. The results reported in these interim statements are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be reported for the entire year. These interim statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) on March 2, 2011.

This summary of significant accounting policies is presented to assist in understanding the financial statements. The financial statements and notes are representations of the Company's management, which is responsible for their integrity and objectivity. These accounting policies conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ) and have been consistently applied in the preparation of the financial statements.

**Accounting Method**

Our financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with GAAP. With the exception of the LLC, all of our subsidiaries are wholly owned. In February 2008, we entered into the agreement which established our ownership interest in the LLC at 80%. At September 30, 2011, the consolidated financial statements include all of our wholly owned subsidiaries and the LLC. The POS-Minerals contributions attributable to their 20% interest are shown as Contingently Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest on the Consolidated Balance

## Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

Sheet. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the LLC had net operating expenses of \$3.4 million and therefore the Consolidated Statement of Operations reflects a net loss attributable to contingently redeemable noncontrolling interest for that period of \$0.7 million.

### **Contingently Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest ( CRNCI )**

On January 1, 2009, we adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) authoritative guidance related to Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, the provisions of which, among others, require the recognition of a noncontrolling interest (previously referred to as minority interest), as a component of

Table of Contents

equity in the consolidated financial statements and separate from the parent's equity for all periods presented. In addition, the amount of net income or loss attributable to the noncontrolling interest is included in net income or loss on the face of the consolidated statement of operations. Under GAAP, certain noncontrolling interests in consolidated entities meet the definition of mandatorily redeemable financial instruments if the ability to redeem the interest is outside of the control of the consolidating entity. As described in Note 1, the LLC Agreement permits POS-Minerals the option to put its interest in the LLC to Nevada Moly upon a change of control, as defined in the LLC Agreement, followed by a failure to use standard mining industry practice in connection with development and operation of the Mt. Hope Project as contemplated by the parties for a period of twelve consecutive months. As such, the CRNCI has continued to be shown as a separate caption between liabilities and equity (mezzanine section). The carrying value of the CRNCI reflects the investment of the noncontrolling interest, less losses attributable to the interest.

**Estimates**

The process of preparing consolidated financial statements requires the use of estimates and assumptions regarding certain types of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses. Such estimates primarily relate to unsettled transactions and events as of the date of the financial statements. Accordingly, upon settlement, actual results may differ from estimated amounts.

**Cash and Cash Equivalents**

We consider all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company's cash equivalent instruments are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy established by FASB guidance for Fair Value Measurements because they are valued based on quoted market prices in active markets.

**Exploration and Development Stage Activities**

We were in the exploration stage from January 2002 until October 4, 2007. On October 4, 2007, our Board approved the development of the Mt. Hope Project as contemplated in the Bankable Feasibility Study ( BFS ) and we then entered into the development stage. We have not realized any revenue from operations. We will be primarily engaged in development of the Mt. Hope Project and exploration and evaluation of the Liberty Property until we enter the production stage of the Mt. Hope Project.

**Basic and Diluted Net Loss Per Share**

Net loss per share was computed by dividing the net loss attributable to General Moly, Inc. by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. The weighted average number of shares was calculated by taking the number of shares outstanding and weighting them by the amount of time that they were outstanding. Outstanding warrants to purchase 1,000,000 and 7,455,434 shares of common stock, options to purchase 2,484,990 and 2,718,323 shares of common stock, unvested stock awards totaling 393,033 and 275,001 and shares under Stock Appreciation Rights ( SARs ) totaling 797,605 and 614,719 at September 30, 2011, and 2010, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted loss per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, and 2010, respectively, because to do so would

have been anti-dilutive. Therefore, basic loss per share is the same as diluted loss per share.

#### **Mineral Exploration and Development Costs**

All exploration expenditures are expensed as incurred. Significant property acquisition payments for active exploration properties are capitalized. If no economic ore body is discovered, previously capitalized costs are expensed in the period the property is abandoned. Expenditures to develop new mines, to define further mineralization in existing ore bodies, and to expand the capacity of operating mines, are capitalized and amortized on a units-of-production basis over proven and probable reserves.

Should a property be abandoned, its capitalized costs are charged to operations. The Company charges to the consolidated statement of operations the allocable portion of capitalized costs attributable to properties sold.

Table of Contents

Capitalized costs are allocated to properties sold based on the proportion of claims sold to the claims remaining within the project area.

**Mining Properties, Land and Water Rights**

Costs of acquiring and developing mining properties, land and water rights are capitalized as appropriate by project area. Exploration and related costs and costs to maintain mining properties, land and water rights are expensed as incurred while the property is in the exploration and evaluation stage. Development and related costs and costs to maintain mining properties, land and water rights are capitalized as incurred while the property is in the development stage. When a property reaches the production stage, the related capitalized costs are amortized using the units-of-production basis over proven and probable reserves. Mining properties, land and water rights are periodically assessed for impairment of value, and any subsequent losses are charged to operations at the time of impairment. If a property is abandoned or sold, a gain or loss is recognized and included in the consolidated statement of operations.

**Depreciation and Amortization**

Property and equipment are recorded at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Property and equipment are depreciated using the following estimated useful lives: field equipment four to ten years; office furniture, fixtures; and equipment five to seven years; vehicles three to five years; leasehold improvements term of the lease or three years; residential trailers ten to twenty years; and buildings and improvements ten to twenty seven and one-half years. At September 30, 2011 and 2010, accumulated depreciation and amortization was \$1.3 and \$0.9 million, respectively, of which \$1.0 and \$0.7 million, respectively, were capitalized.

**Provision for Taxes**

Income taxes are provided based upon the liability method of accounting. Under this approach, deferred income taxes are recorded to reflect the tax consequences in future years of differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts at each year-end. In accordance with authoritative guidance for *Income Taxes*, a valuation allowance is recorded against the deferred tax asset if management does not believe the Company has met the more likely than not standard to allow recognition of such an asset.

**Reclamation and Remediation**

Expenditures for ongoing compliance with environmental regulations that relate to current operations are expensed or capitalized as appropriate. Expenditures resulting from the remediation of existing conditions caused by past operations that do not contribute to future revenue generations are expensed. Liabilities are recognized when environmental assessments indicate that remediation efforts are probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated.

## Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

Estimates of such liabilities are based upon currently available facts, existing technology and presently enacted laws and regulations taking into consideration the likely effects of inflation and other societal and economic factors, and include estimates of associated legal costs. These amounts also reflect prior experience in remediation of contaminated sites, other companies' clean-up experience and data released by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ( EPA ) or other organizations. Such estimates are by their nature imprecise and can be expected to be revised over time because of changes in government regulations, operations, technology and inflation. Recoveries of any costs incurred are evaluated separately from the liability. When recovery is assured, the Company records and reports an asset separately from the associated liability.

### **Stock-based Compensation**

Stock-based compensation represents the fair value related to stock-based awards granted to members of the Board, officers and employees. The Company uses the Black-Scholes model to determine the fair value of stock-based awards under authoritative guidance for *Stock-Based Compensation*. For stock based compensation that



Table of Contents

is earned upon the satisfaction of a service condition, the cost is recognized on a straight-line basis (net of estimated forfeitures) over the requisite vesting period (up to three years). Awards expire five years from the date of vesting. Further information regarding stock-based compensation can be found in Note 7 Equity Incentives.

**Debt Issuance Costs**

The Company has capitalized certain costs in the amount of \$2.7 million incurred in direct pursuit of the Term Loan. These costs will be amortized over the life of the Term Loan using the effective interest method once the Term Loan has been made available.

**Comprehensive Loss**

For the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011, and 2010, the Company's comprehensive loss was equal to the respective net loss for each of the periods presented.

**Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements**

***Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS***

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS. The Update amends fair value measurements and disclosures to (1) clarify the board's intent in respect of existing measurement guidance, (2) revise certain measurement guidance that changes or modifies a principle, and (3) add disclosure requirements concerning the measurement uncertainty of level 3 measurements. This guidance is effective for the Company for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The Company does not anticipate this guidance having a material effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operation, or cash flows.

***Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income***

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2011-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. Under the amendments, an entity has the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. This guidance is effective for the Company for the fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company does not anticipate this guidance having a material effect on the financial condition, results of operation, or cash flows.

**NOTE 4 MINING PROPERTIES, LAND AND WATER RIGHTS**

We currently have interests in two mining properties that are the primary focus of our operations. The Mt. Hope Project is currently in the development stage and the Liberty Property is in the exploration and evaluation stage. The following is a summary of mining properties, land and water rights at September 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	At September 30, 2011	At December 31, 2010
Mt. Hope Project:		
Development costs	\$ 97,038	\$ 89,602
Mineral, land and water rights	10,253	10,253
Advance Royalties	22,600	22,600
Total Mt. Hope Project	129,891	122,445
Total Liberty Property	9,737	9,749
Other Properties	889	889
Total	\$ 140,517	\$ 133,093

Table of Contents

On June 26, 2009, the Company and Josephine Mining Corp. ( JMC ), a privately-owned Canadian company whose president is a related party to one of the Company's Board members, entered into an Option to Purchase Agreement for the Company's non-core Turner Gold property, a multi-metallic property located in Josephine County, Oregon. The Company acquired the property in 2004. JMC paid \$0.1 million upon entering into the agreement and an additional \$0.3 million in January 2011. Once made, each option payment is non-refundable. The \$0.4 million has been recorded as a deferred gain pending completion of the purchase. On September 30, 2011, the Company and JMC executed an amendment to the original agreement indicating that JMC had acquired certain properties within a two mile radius of the Turner Gold property. The Company and JMC executed an additional amendment on the same date allowing JMC to extend the payment date for the third and final installment payment by making a payment of \$300,000 on or before December 26, 2011. Under the terms of the amendment, \$250,000 of the third payment shall apply to the purchase price of \$2.0 million dollars with the remaining \$50,000 considered a deferral fee. The remaining payment of \$1,350,000 shall be due at the earlier of September 30, 2012 or upon receipt by JMC of all permits and approvals necessary to commence mining operations plus three months. The periodic payments allow JMC certain exploratory rights. If JMC makes all three of the installment payments, ownership of the Turner Gold property will transfer to JMC upon the final payment. The Company will also retain a production royalty of 1.5% of all net smelter returns on future production from the property, should JMC acquire the property. The book value of the Company's investment in the Turner Gold property is approximately \$800,000.

On March 8, 2010, the Company and Ascot USA, Inc. ( Ascot ), a Washington corporation, entered into an Option to Purchase Agreement for the Company's non-core Margaret property, an undivided 50% interest in the reserved mineral rights and all of the Company's interest in the 105 unpatented mining claims comprising the Red Bonanza Property, situated in the St. Helens Mining District, Skamania County, Washington. The Company acquired the property in 2004. Ascot paid \$0.1 million upon entering into the agreement and an additional \$0.3 million in May 2011. Once made, each option payment is non-refundable. The \$0.4 million has been recorded as a deferred gain pending completion of the purchase. If Ascot proceeds, the final installment payment of \$1.6 million is due on or before June 8, 2012. The periodic payments allow Ascot certain exploratory rights. If Ascot makes all three of the installment payments, ownership of the Margaret property will transfer to Ascot upon the final payment. The Company will also retain a production royalty of 1.5% of all net smelter returns on future production from the property, should Ascot acquire the property. The Company carries no book value in the project.

On September 30, 2011, the Company and Russell Mining & Minerals, Inc. ( RMMI ), a privately-owned company whose president is a related party to one of the Company's Board members, entered into an Option to Purchase Agreement for the Company's non-core Detroit Copper property, a multi-metallic property located in Marion County, Oregon. RMMI paid \$0.1 million upon entering into the agreement. Once made, each option payment is non-refundable. The \$0.1 million has been recorded as a deferred gain pending completion of the purchase. If RMMI proceeds, an additional \$0.3 million installment payment is due March 31, 2013, and the final installment payment of \$1.6 million is due on or before September 30, 2014. The final option payment may be extended by RMMI by up to two years by making non-refundable installment payments of \$160,000 in each year to be extended. These extension payments are not to be applied to the purchase price of the property. The periodic payments allow RMMI certain exploratory rights. If RMMI makes all three of the installment payments, ownership of the Detroit Copper property will transfer to RMMI upon the final payment. The Company has also retained a Production Royalty of 1.5% of all net smelter returns on future production from the property. The Company currently carries no book value in the project.

**Development costs and Deposits on project property, plant and equipment**

Development costs of \$97.0 million include hydrology and drilling costs, expenditures to further the permitting process, capitalized salaries, project engineering costs, and other expenditures required to fully develop the Mt. Hope Project. Deposits on project property, plant and equipment of \$64.8 million represent ongoing progress payments on equipment orders for the custom-built grinding and milling equipment, related electric mill drives, and other processing equipment that require the longest lead times. The Company has written off approximately \$3.4 million in deposits related to a contract for the purchase of electric shovels with the passage of a June 30, 2011 deadline for a firm purchase order. The contract remains in place and the remaining \$3.4 million deposit requires a firm purchase order by June 30, 2012, along with additional payments due at the time of order.



Table of Contents

**Restricted Cash held for Electricity Transmission**

The LLC has paid \$12.0 million into an escrow arrangement for electricity transmission services. The amount represents security on a transmission contract that will provide power to the Mt. Hope Project, and is accounted for as restricted cash. All amounts escrowed are to be returned to the Company as electricity is delivered or on December 1, 2015, in the event that electricity transmission at the Mt. Hope Project has not commenced or at the time the agreement is cancelled by the Company.

**NOTE 5 COMMON STOCK UNITS, COMMON STOCK AND COMMON STOCK WARRANTS**

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, we issued 17,760 and 353,376 shares of common stock, respectively, pursuant to stock awards under the Company's equity incentive plans.

At September 30, 2011, we had warrants outstanding totaling 1,000,000, which are exercisable at \$5.00 per share once General Moly has received financing necessary for the commencement of commercial production at the Mt. Hope Project and will expire one year afterwards.

Pursuant to our Certificate of Incorporation, we are authorized to issue 200,000,000 shares of \$0.001 par value common stock. All shares have equal voting rights, are non-assessable and have one vote per share. Voting rights are not cumulative and therefore, the holders of more than 50% of the common stock could, if they choose to do so, elect all of the directors of the Company.

**NOTE 6 PREFERRED STOCK**

Pursuant to our Certificate of Incorporation we are authorized to issue 10,000,000 shares of \$0.001 per share par value preferred stock. The authorized but unissued shares of preferred stock may be issued in designated series from time to time by one or more resolutions adopted by the Board. The Board has the authority to determine the preferences, limitations and relative rights of each series of preferred stock. At September 30, 2011, and 2010, no shares of preferred stock were issued or outstanding. On March 5, 2010, the Board adopted a stockholder rights plan. Under the plan, each common stockholder of the Company at the close of business on March 5, 2010 received a dividend of one right for each share of the Company's common stock held of record on that date. Each right entitles the holder to purchase from the Company, in certain circumstances, one one-thousandth of a share of newly-created Series A junior participating preferred stock of the Company for an initial purchase price of \$15.00 per share.

Subject to certain exceptions, if any person becomes the beneficial owner of 20% or more of the Company's common stock, each right will entitle the holder, other than the acquiring person, to purchase Company common stock or common stock of the acquiring person having a value of twice the exercise price. In addition, if there is a business combination between the Company and the acquiring person, or in certain other circumstances, each right that is not previously exercised will entitle the owner (other than the acquiring person) to purchase shares of common stock (or an equivalent equity interest) of the acquiring person at one-half the market price of those shares.

**NOTE 7 EQUITY INCENTIVES**

In 2006, the Board and shareholders of the Company approved the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan ( 2006 Plan ) that replaced the 2003 Equity Incentive Plan ( 2003 Plan ). In May 2010, our shareholders approved an amendment to the 2006 Plan increasing the number of shares that may be issued under the plan by 4,500,000 shares to 9,600,000 shares. The 2006 Plan authorizes the Board, or a committee of the Board, to issue or transfer up to an aggregate of 9,600,000 shares of common stock, of which 4,116,892 remain available for issuance as of September 30, 2011. Awards under the 2006 Plan may include incentive stock options, non-statutory stock options, restricted stock units, restricted stock awards, and stock appreciation rights ( SARs ). At the option of the Board, SARs may be settled with cash, shares, or a combination of cash and shares. The Company settles the exercise of other stock-based compensation with newly issued common shares.

Table of Contents

Stock-based compensation cost is estimated at the grant date based on the award's fair value as calculated by the Black-Scholes option pricing model and is recognized as compensation ratably on a straight-line basis over the requisite vesting/service period. As of September 30, 2011, there was \$1.7 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to share-based compensation arrangements, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.4 years.

**Stock Options and Stock Appreciation Rights**

All stock options and SARs are approved prior to or on the date of grant. Stock options and SARs are granted at an exercise price equal to or greater than the Company's closing stock price on the date of grant. Both award types vest over a period of zero to three years, or upon achievement of performance measures, with a contractual term of five years after vesting. The Company estimates the fair value of stock options and SARs using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Key inputs and assumptions used to estimate the fair value of stock options and SARs include the grant price of the award, expected option term, probability of performance, volatility of the Company's stock, the risk-free interest rate and the Company's dividend yield. The following table presents the weighted-average assumptions used in the valuation and the resulting weighted-average fair value per option or SAR granted:

**Stock Option and SAR Valuation Assumptions on Nonvested Awards**

Expected Life *	3.5 to 5.5 years
Interest Rate	0.67 3.49% ***
Volatility **	86 - 96% ***
Dividend Yields	
Weighted Average Fair Value of Stock Options Granted During the Three Months Ending September 30, 2011	None
Weighted Average Fair Value of SARs Granted During the Three Months Ended September 30, 2011	None

\* The expected life is the number of years that the Company estimates, based upon history, that options or SARs will be outstanding prior to exercise or forfeiture.

\*\* The Company's estimates of expected volatility are principally based on the historic volatility of the Company's common stock over the most recent period commensurate with the estimated expected life of the Company's stock options and other relevant factors.

\*\*\* The interest rate and volatility used by the Company in calculating stock compensation expense represent the values in effect at the date of grant for all awards.

At September 30, 2011, the aggregate intrinsic value of outstanding and exercisable (fully vested) options and SARs was \$0.7 million and had a weighted-average remaining contractual term of 1.9 years. The total intrinsic value of options and SARs exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$0.6 million.

**Restricted Stock Units and Stock Awards**

Grants of restricted stock units and stock awards ( Stock Awards ) have been made to Board members, officers, and employees. Stock Awards have been granted as performance based, earned over a required service period, or to Board members and the Company Secretary without any service requirement. Time based grants for officers and employees generally vest and stock is received without restriction to the extent of one-third of the granted stock for each year following the date of grant. Also, time based grants were offered to certain employees in connection with the cash conservation plan and vested over a period of approximately 19 months. Performance based grants are recognized as compensation based on the probable outcome of achieving the performance condition. Past compensation for Stock Awards issued to members of the Board that vested over time were recognized over the vesting period of one to two years. Stock Awards issued to Board members and the Company Secretary that are fully vested at the time of issuance are recognized as compensation upon grant of the award.



Table of Contents

The compensation expense recognized by the Company for Stock Awards is based on the closing market price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the weighted average grant-date fair value of Stock Awards was \$0.2 million.

*Summary of Equity Incentive Awards*

The following table summarizes activity under the Plan during the nine months ended September 30, 2011:

	Stock Options		SARs		Stock Awards	
	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares Under Option	Weighted Average Strike Price	Number of Shares Under Option	Weighted Average Grant Price	Number of Shares
Balance at January 1, 2011	\$ 5.38	2,658,323	\$ 3.09	912,461	\$ 4.24	800,392
Awards Granted					5.75	179,768
Awards Exercised or Earned	2.69	(133,333)	1.80	(79,809)	3.52	(546,015)
Awards Forfeited	8.67	(26,667)	4.34	(35,047)	3.55	(36,667)
Awards Expired	11.45	(13,333)				
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$ 5.46	2,484,990	\$ 3.17	797,605	\$ 5.92	397,478
Exercisable at September 30, 2011	\$ 5.40	2,329,990	\$ 1.78	331,983		

A summary of the status of the nonvested awards as of September 30, 2011, and changes during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, is presented below.

	Stock Options		SARs		Stock Awards	
	Weighted Average Fair Value	Number of Shares Under Option	Weighted Average Fair Value	Number of Shares Under Option	Weighted Average Fair Value	Number of Shares
Balance at January 1, 2011	\$ 4.40	285,002	\$ 4.06	707,941	\$ 4.24	800,392
Awards Granted					5.75	179,768
Awards Vested or Earned	8.34	(103,335)	1.63	(207,272)	3.52	(546,015)
Awards Forfeited	8.67	(26,667)	4.34	(35,047)	8.67	(36,667)
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$ 6.25	155,000	\$ 4.16	465,622	\$ 5.92	397,478

*Compensation Cost Recognized and Capitalized Related to Equity Incentives*

Summary of Compensation Cost Recognized and Capitalized related to Equity Incentives for the Nine	2011	2010
---	------	------

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

Months Ended September 30 (in thousands):

Stock Options*	\$	(49)	\$	91
SARs				591
Performance based		127		
Vesting over time		486		
Stock Awards:				
Performance based*		(58)		
Vesting over time		405		582
Board and Company Secretary		810		390
Total	\$	1,721	\$	1,654
Included in:				
Capitalized as Development		165		714
Expensed		1,556		940
	\$	1,721	\$	1,654

Table of Contents

\* The Company recorded significant forfeitures during the first and third quarter of 2011 related to unvested options of terminated employees and performance-based restricted shares forfeited as a result of the failure to achieve certain associated milestones required for vesting.

**Taxes**

A portion of the Company's granted options are intended to qualify as incentive stock options ( ISO ) for income tax purposes. As such, a tax benefit is not recorded at the time the compensation cost related to the options is recorded for book purposes due to the fact that an ISO does not ordinarily result in a tax benefit unless there is a disqualifying disposition. Stock option grants of non-qualified options result in the creation of a deferred tax asset, which is a temporary difference, until the time that the option is exercised.

**NOTE 8 CHANGES IN CONTINGENTLY REDEEMABLE NONCONTROLLING INTEREST AND EQUITY**

Changes in Contingently Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest (Dollars in thousands)	Activity for Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010
Total Contingently Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest		
December 31, 2010, & 2009, respectively	\$ 98,753	\$ 99,761
Less: Net Loss Attributable to Contingently Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest	(680)	(1,007)
Total Contingently Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest		
September 30, 2011 & 2010, respectively	\$ 98,073	\$ 98,754

Changes in Equity	Activity for Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010
Common stock:		
At beginning of period	\$ 85	\$ 72
Stock Awards	6	1
At end of period	91	73
Additional paid-in capital:		
At beginning of period	234,517	187,290
Exercised options	356	55
Exercised warrants	19,053	
Warrant Repricing		585
Stock based compensation	1,721	1,402
At end of period	255,647	189,332
Accumulated deficit:		
At beginning of period	(98,165)	(82,442)
Consolidated net loss	(11,894)	(12,366)
At end of period	(110,059)	(94,808)
Total Equity September 30, 2011, & 2010, respectively	\$ 145,679	\$ 94,597



Table of Contents**NOTE 9 INCOME TAXES**

At September 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, we had deferred tax assets principally arising from the net operating loss carry forwards for income tax purposes multiplied by an expected rate of 35%. As management of the Company cannot determine that it is more likely than not that we will realize the benefit of the deferred tax assets, a valuation allowance equal to the net deferred tax asset has been established at September 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010. The significant components of the deferred tax asset at September 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, were as follows (in thousands):

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Operating loss carry forward	\$ 131,141	\$ 110,306
Unamortized exploration expense	11,654	11,572
Fixed asset depreciation	(13)	(37)
Deductible stock based compensation	3,513	2,275
Other	\$ 257	\$ 0
Deductible temporary difference	\$ 146,552	\$ 124,116
Taxable temporary difference Investment in Eureka Moly, LLC	\$ (55,221)	\$ (41,917)
Net deductible temporary difference	\$ 91,331	\$ 82,199
Deferred tax asset	\$ 31,966	\$ 28,770
Deferred tax asset valuation allowance	\$ (31,966)	\$ (28,770)
Net deferred tax asset	\$	\$

At September 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, we had net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$131.1 million and \$110.3 million, respectively, which expire in the years 2017 through 2031. The change in the allowance account from December 31, 2010, to September 30, 2011, was \$3.2 million.

**NOTE 10 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES****Mt. Hope Project**

The Mt. Hope Lease is for a period of 30 years from October 19, 2005 and for so long thereafter as operations are being conducted on the property. The lease may be terminated earlier at the election of the LLC, or upon a material breach of the agreement and failure to cure such breach. If the LLC terminates the lease, termination is effective 30 days after receipt by Mt. Hope Mines Inc. ( MHMI ) of written notice to terminate the Mt. Hope Lease and no further payments would be due to MHMI. In order to maintain the lease, the LLC must pay certain deferral fees and advance royalties as discussed below.

The Mt. Hope Lease Agreement requires a royalty advance ( Construction Royalty Advance ) of 3% of certain construction capital costs, as defined in the Mt. Hope Lease. The LLC is obligated to pay a portion of the Construction Royalty Advance each time capital is raised for the Mt. Hope Project based on 3% of the expected capital to be used for those certain construction capital costs defined in the lease. Through September 30, 2011, we have paid \$4.7 million of the total Construction Royalty Advance, inclusive of \$0.6 million in February of 2011 as a result of the exercise of outstanding warrants. Based on our Mt. Hope Project capital budget we estimate that \$17.9 million remains unpaid

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

related to the Construction Royalty Advance. In accordance with the Mt. Hope Lease

Table of Contents

Agreement, 50%, or \$9.0 million, of the LLC's remaining Construction Royalty Advance was paid on October 19, 2011. The remaining 50% must be paid on or before October 19, 2012.

Once the Construction Royalty Advance has been paid in full, the LLC is obligated to pay an advance royalty ( Annual Advance Royalty ) each October 19 thereafter in the amount of \$0.5 million per year. The Construction Royalty Advance and the Annual Advance Royalty are collectively referred to as the Advance Royalties. All Advance Royalties are credited against the MHMI production royalties once the mine has achieved commercial production. After the mine begins production, the LLC estimates that the Production Royalties will be in excess of the Annual Advance Royalties for the life of the Mt. Hope Project and, further, that the Construction Royalty Advance will be fully recovered (credited against MHMI production royalties) by the end of the third year of commercial production.

**Deposits on project property, plant and equipment**

At September 30, 2011, the LLC has a contract to purchase two electric shovels that is cancellable and has no firm schedule of payments. We also have a non-binding letter of agreement on 24 haul trucks that establishes our priority for delivery. Both agreements provide for the then current pricing using market indices upon initiation of an order. We have active orders with varying stages of fabrication on milling process equipment comprised of two 230kV primary transformers and substation, a primary crusher, a semi-autogenous mill, two ball mills, and various motors for the mills. We have suspended fabrication on 16 flotation cells, lime slaking equipment, hydrocyclones, and other smaller milling process equipment with the ability to re-initiate fabrication at any time. The LLC terminated the fabrication of two multi-hearth molybdenum roasters and have received finished goods of the partially completed order. The LLC plans to re-establish a new purchase order with this manufacturer as financing becomes available and equipment procurement is restarted under then current market terms and conditions.

The following table sets forth the LLC's cash commitments under mining and milling equipment contracts (collectively, Purchase Contracts ) at September 30, 2011 (in millions):

Year	As of September 30, 2011
2011 Remainder	\$
2012	12.6
2013 and thereafter	2.8
Total	\$ 15.4

**Obligations under capital and operating leases**

We have contractual obligations under capital and operating leases that will require a total of \$0.4 million in payments over the next three years. Assets under capital lease relate to light vehicles leased by the Company for use in operations. Operating leases consist primarily of rents on office facilities and office equipment. Our expected payments are \$0.1 million, \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively.

**Creation of Agricultural Sustainability Trust**

On August 19, 2010, the LLC entered into an agreement with the Eureka Producers Cooperative (the EPC ) whereby the LLC will fund a Sustainability Trust (the Trust ) in exchange for the cooperation of the EPC with respect to the LLC s water rights and permitting of the Mt. Hope Project. The Trust will be tasked with developing and implementing programs that will serve to enhance the sustainability and well-being of the agricultural economy in the Diamond Valley Hydrographic Basin through reduced water consumption, which may include the Trust purchasing and relinquishing water rights in Diamond Valley to help bring the Diamond Valley



Table of Contents

basin into a more sustainable water balance. The Trust's activities will be governed by a five member board including one LLC representative.

The Trust may be funded by the LLC in the amount of \$4.0 million, contributed to the Trust over several years, contingent on the achievement of certain milestones. The achievement of these milestones is considered to be probable, and as such the \$4.0 million is accrued in the Company's September 30, 2011, financial statements and is included in development. At least 50% of the contributions would be provided upon receipt of all permits, full financing and the Board's decision to proceed with construction. The remaining payments would be split evenly with one payment due no later than 150 days from the commencement of commercial production at the Mt. Hope Project and the remaining payment due one year thereafter.

**Permitting Considerations**

In the ordinary course of business, mining companies are required to seek governmental permits for expansion of existing operations or for the commencement of new operations. The LLC will be required to obtain a ROD from the BLM authorizing implementation of the Mt. Hope Project POO. This approval can be obtained only after successful completion of the National Environmental Policy Act process of environmental evaluation, which incorporates substantial public comment. The LLC will also need to obtain various state and federal permits including water protection, air quality, water rights and reclamation permits. In addition to requiring permits for the development of the Mt. Hope Project, we will need to obtain and modify various mining and environmental permits during the life of the Mt. Hope Project. Obtaining, modifying, and renewing the necessary governmental permits is a complex and time-consuming process involving numerous jurisdictions and often involving public hearings and substantial expenditures. The duration and success of the LLC's efforts to obtain, modify or renew permits will be contingent upon many variables, some of which are not within the LLC's control. Increased costs or delays could occur, depending on the nature of the activity to be permitted and the interpretation of applicable requirements implemented by the permitting authority. All necessary permits may not be obtained and, if obtained, may not be renewed, or the costs involved in each case may exceed those that we previously estimated. It is possible that the costs and delays associated with compliance with such standards and regulations could become such that the LLC would not proceed with the development or operation of the Mt Hope Project.

**Environmental Considerations**

Our mineral property holdings in Shoshone County, Idaho include lands contained in mining districts that have been designated as Superfund sites pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act. This Superfund Site was established to investigate and remediate primarily the Bunker Hill properties of Smelterville, Idaho, a small portion of Shoshone County where a large smelter was located. However, because of the extent of environmental impact caused by the historical mining in the mining district, the Superfund Site covers the majority of Shoshone County including our Chicago-London and Little Pine Creek properties (which are distant from the original smelter location) as well as many small towns located in Northern Idaho. We have conducted a property environmental investigation of these properties which revealed no evidence of material adverse environmental effects at either property. We are unaware of any pending action or proceeding relating to any regulatory matters that would affect our financial position due to these inactive mining claims in Shoshone County.

**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

## Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

References made in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to we, our, us, or the Company, refer to General Moly, Inc.

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations constitutes management's review of the factors that affected our financial and operating performance for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, and 2010. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained elsewhere in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, which was filed on March 2, 2011.

Table of Contents

We routinely post important information about us on our Company website. Our website address is [www.generalmoly.com](http://www.generalmoly.com).

**Overview**

We are a development stage company and began the development of the Mt. Hope Project on October 4, 2007. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we also completed work on a pre-feasibility study of our Liberty Property, which we plan to update later this year using funds received from the exercise of outstanding warrants.

The development of the Mt. Hope Project has a project capital estimate of \$1,153.9 million including development costs of approximately \$1,039.3 million (in 2008 dollars, then revalidated in 2010) and \$114.6 million in cash financial assurance requirements and pre-payments. These capital estimates will be updated after the restart of the Project, as we achieve permitting milestones and complete financing. These amounts do not include financing costs or amounts necessary to fund operating working capital. Through the quarter ended September 30, 2011, we have spent approximately \$175.6 million and have \$54.4 million remaining cash on hand for use in the development of the Mt. Hope Project and other cash requirements.

The Company continues to operate under a cash conservation plan implemented in March 2009 designed to reduce expenditures and conserve cash in order to maximize financial flexibility. In addition to conserving cash, the plan seeks to retain critical employees and the ability to start construction at the Mt. Hope Project pending the availability of the Hanlong financing.

Once we have received the major operating permits and the Record of Decision ( ROD ) from the United States Bureau of Land Management ( BLM ) and loan procurement efforts are complete, it is expected that Mt. Hope can be constructed and in production within approximately 20 months. In the interim, permitting efforts are continuing full-time. Eureka Moly, LLC ( the LLC ) has maintained its orders for grinding, milling, and other specialty long lead equipment, although other engineering, administrative and third-party work has been slowed or suspended.

The worldwide molybdenum price fluctuated between \$5.33 per pound in 2003 to over \$40.00 per pound in 2005 and traded in the mid-\$30s per pound prior to October 2008, when prices fell from approximately \$33.50 per pound to \$7.70 per pound in April 2009 as a result of the global economic collapse. Subsequent to April 2009, prices slowly rose and finished 2009 at \$12.00 per pound and continued to increase in 2010, finishing the year at \$16.40 per pound. During the third quarter of 2011, prices traded in a narrow range between \$14.10 and \$14.90 per pound, finishing the quarter at \$14.10 per pound, according to *Ryan's Notes*, a ferro-alloy industry news and pricing publication. Since quarter end, prices have traded slightly lower and are currently trading at \$12.65 per pound, a low for the year. Although the Company had anticipated seasonal steel production trends to buoy prices during the fall, macroeconomic headwinds, including the ongoing debt crisis in Europe, are causing steel producers to act cautiously with respect to raw material purchases, which have led to lower commodity prices, including prices for molybdenum.

**Restructuring and Suspension of Project Development**

## Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

As discussed above, in March 2009, we implemented a cash conservation plan to reduce expenditures and conserve cash in order to maximize financial flexibility. Engineering efforts related to the Mt. Hope Project, approximately 60% complete, have been largely suspended pending the completion of financing and approval of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement ( DEIS ).

As of March 2009, the LLC had purchase orders for mining and milling process equipment. Some orders for mining equipment were cancelled, while orders for electric shovels and haul trucks were modified to become cancellable or non-binding. Most equipment orders for the custom-built grinding and other milling process equipment are being completed by the manufacturers and stored. The grinding and milling process equipment require the longest lead times and maintaining these orders is critical to the Company's ability to rapidly restart the Mt. Hope Project development. The LLC completed negotiations with other equipment manufacturers to suspend or terminate fabrication of other milling equipment. As funding becomes available and equipment procurement is

Table of Contents

restarted, agreements that were suspended or terminated will be renegotiated under new market terms and conditions, as necessary.

Based on our current plan, expected timetable, and the results of such negotiations, we expect to make additional payments on milling process equipment orders of approximately \$12.6 million in 2012 and \$2.8 million in 2013. For the gyratory crusher, SAG and ball mills and related electric mill drives, and some other long-lead equipment, we will own the equipment upon final payments that have occurred in 2009, 2010, and 2011, and are forecasted to occur in 2012 and early 2013. This strategy should allow for a rapid restart of the Mt. Hope Project development upon BLM approval for publication of the DEIS, which will initiate the restart of engineering.

The cash conservation plan has reduced our total cash utilization for general administrative and overhead expenses to approximately \$1 million per month, inclusive of maintenance costs at the Liberty Property. Based on our current cash on hand and our cash conservation plan, the Company expects it will have adequate liquidity through the restart of the project and execution of the financing plan. We plan to restart engineering and procurement efforts during the first quarter of 2012 as key milestones in the permitting process are reached.

**Permitting Update**

The Mt. Hope Project will require both federal and state permits before it can commence construction and operations. Major permits required for the Mt. Hope Project include the ROD, water appropriation permits from the Nevada Division of Water Resources, the Water Pollution Control ( WPC ) permit and reclamation permit from the Nevada Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Mining Regulations and Reclamation ( NDEP BMRR ), and an air quality permit from the Bureau of Air Pollution Control ( BAPC ) within NDEP. Applications for other time-critical state permits have been submitted for agency review and approval. The LLC continues to develop and evolve the information supporting these permits based on agency review and feedback. We believe these other major operating permits will be received on or prior to the effective date of the ROD.

From November 2004 through August 2007, we conducted numerous exploration, drilling and evaluation studies, culminating in the Bankable Feasibility Study for the Mt. Hope Project. In 2005, we initiated the baseline studies necessary for development of an Environmental Impact Statement ( EIS ). We completed an initial plan of operations for the Mt. Hope Project ( POO ), which the BLM accepted in September 2006. In December 2006, the BLM selected an environmental firm to complete the EIS for the Mt. Hope Project. Since that time, the BLM has been working with the environmental firm to complete the EIS. The BLM approved the DEIS for printing on October 21, 2011. Once the DEIS is printed, a Notice of Availability ( NOA ) will be submitted to the Washington, DC office of the BLM to authorize publication of the NOA in the Federal Register. The DEIS is anticipated to be published in the Federal Register in the fourth quarter. The current schedule for the development of the Mt. Hope Project estimates that the release will be followed by publication in the Federal Register one to three months later, and receipt of the ROD six to nine months after publication in the Federal Register.

Although we currently are targeting the effectiveness of the ROD and the receipt of all major operating permits to occur six to nine months after publication of the DEIS in the Federal Register, circumstances beyond our control, including reviewing agency delays or requests for additional information or studies, and appeals of the BLM decision, could cause the effectiveness of the ROD to be delayed. The occurrence of any or a combination of these adverse circumstances may increase the estimated costs of development, require us to obtain additional interim financing, and / or delay our ability to consummate project financing or other significant financing. A delay in the ROD or the receipt of major operating permits also affects the satisfaction of the ROD Contribution Conditions as well as the conditions to closing Tranche 2 of Hanlong s investment in our common stock, and receipt of the Term Loan.

**Water Rights Update**

In addition to working to complete the EIS, we are working to finalize the transfer of water rights to mining use. In October of 2008, we completed a water rights hearing in Carson City, Nevada and in March 2009 were granted our water applications in a ruling by the State Engineer. An appeal of that ruling was granted in April 2010 by a Nevada District Court, overturning the original ruling and remanding the matter for another hearing by the State

Table of Contents

Engineer. In December 2010, we completed a second water rights hearing in Carson City, Nevada, followed by a one-day continuation hearing in May 2011.

On July 15, 2011, the State Engineer issued a Ruling granting the Company's water right applications. With the water right applications granted by the Ruling, associated permits are anticipated to be issued following payment of statutory fees, and, following the State Engineer's approval of a Monitoring, Management, and Mitigation Plan (3M Plan), the water will be available for use at the Mt. Hope Project. In August 2011, Eureka County and two other parties comprised of three individual water rights holders in Diamond Valley and one in Kobeh Valley, filed Petitions with the Nevada State District Court appealing the Ruling of the State Engineer. We continue to anticipate a favorable District Court decision, upholding the State Engineer's Ruling; however, the date for any appeal hearings will be set by the District Court Judge and the Company has no control in the timing of these hearings. The appeal process could take twelve months from the original filing of the Petitions in August to a final ruling by the District Court. The appeal does not prevent the State Engineer from issuing the permits and upon issuance of the permits and approval of the 3M Plan, the Company will have the right to use the subject water for construction and operations at the Mt. Hope Project.

On August 19, 2010, the LLC entered into an agreement with the Eureka Producers Cooperative (the EPC) whereby the LLC will fund a Sustainability Trust (the Trust) in exchange for the cooperation of the EPC with respect to the LLC's water rights and permitting of the Mt. Hope Project. The Trust will be tasked with developing and implementing programs that will serve to enhance the sustainability and well-being of the agricultural economy in the Diamond Valley Hydrographic Basin through reduced water consumption, which may include the Trust purchasing and relinquishing water rights in Diamond Valley to help bring the Diamond Valley basin into a more sustainable water balance. The Trust's activities will be governed by a five member Board including one LLC representative.

The Trust may be funded by the LLC in the amount of \$4.0 million, contributed to the Trust over several years, contingent on the achievement of certain milestones. The achievement of these milestones is considered to be probable, and as such the \$4.0 million is accrued in the Company's September, 2011, financial statements and is included in development. At least 50% of the contributions would be provided upon receipt of all permits, full financing and the Board's decision to proceed with construction. The remaining payments would be split evenly with one payment due no later than 150 days from the commencement of commercial production at the Mt. Hope Project and the remaining payment due one year thereafter.

**The Mt. Hope Project**

Effective as of January 1, 2008, we contributed all of our interest in the assets related to the Mt. Hope Project, including our lease of the Mt. Hope Project into a newly formed entity, the LLC, and in February 2008 (Closing Date) entered into an agreement (LLC Agreement) for the development and operation of the Mt. Hope Project with POS-Minerals Corporation (POS-Minerals) an affiliate of POSCO, a large Korean steel company. Under the LLC Agreement, POS-Minerals owns a 20% interest in the LLC and General Moly, through a wholly-owned subsidiary, owns an 80% interest. These ownership interests and/or required contributions under the LLC Agreement can change as discussed below.

Pursuant to the terms of the LLC Agreement, POS-Minerals made its first and second cash contributions to the LLC totaling \$100.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2008 (Initial Contributions). Additional amounts will be due from POS-Minerals within 15 days after the date (ROD Contribution Date) that specified conditions (ROD Contribution Conditions) have been satisfied. The ROD Contribution Conditions are (i) the receipt of major operating permits for the Mt. Hope Project, (ii) confirmation that the Record of Decision (ROD) from the United States Bureau of Land Management (BLM) for the Project has become effective, and (iii) any administrative or judicial appeals with respect thereto are final. We are currently targeting the effectiveness of the ROD and the satisfaction of the other ROD Contribution Conditions to occur six to nine months after publication of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) in the Federal Register, which we expect to

## Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

occur one to three months following release of the DEIS for publication. The BLM approved the DEIS for printing on October 21, 2011. Once the DEIS is printed, a Notice of Availability ( NOA ) will be submitted to the Washington, DC office of the BLM to authorize publication of the NOA in the Federal Register. The DEIS is anticipated to be published in the Federal Register in the fourth quarter. However, circumstances beyond our control, including reviewing



Table of Contents

agency delays or requests for additional information or studies, and requests for review or appeals of the BLM decision, could cause the effectiveness of the ROD and/or the satisfaction of the other ROD Contribution Conditions to be delayed. Once the ROD is effective and financing is in place, we expect that production will begin approximately 20 months following initiation of project construction.

To maintain its 20% interest in the LLC, POS-Minerals will be required to make an additional \$56.0 million contribution plus its 20% share of all Mt. Hope Project costs incurred from the Closing Date to the ROD Contribution Date within 15 days after the ROD Contribution Date. If POS-Minerals does not make its additional \$56.0 million contribution when due after the ROD Contribution Date, its interest will be reduced to 10%.

In addition, as commercial production at the Mt. Hope Project will not be achieved by December 31, 2011, the LLC may be required to return to POS-Minerals \$36.0 million of its contributions to the LLC, with no corresponding reduction in POS-Minerals' ownership percentage. Based on our current plan and assuming POS-Minerals has made its additional \$56.0 million contribution, a payment to POS-Minerals of \$36.0 million will be due 20 days after the commencement of commercial production, as defined in the LLC Agreement. We currently anticipate such payment being required during 2014. If POS-Minerals does not make its additional \$56.0 million contribution when due, no return of contributions is required by us. Our wholly-owned subsidiary and 80% owner of the LLC, Nevada Moly, LLC (Nevada Moly), is obligated under the terms of the LLC Agreement to make capital contributions to fund the return of contributions to POS-Minerals, if required. If Nevada Moly does not make these capital contributions, POS-Minerals has an election to either make a secured loan to the LLC to fund the return of contributions, or receive an additional interest in the LLC of approximately 5%. In the latter case, our interest in the LLC is subject to dilution by a percentage equal to the ratio of 1.5 times the amount of the unpaid contributions over the aggregate amount of deemed capital contributions (as determined under the LLC Agreement) of both parties to the LLC (Dilution Formula). At December 31, 2010, the aggregate amount of deemed capital contributions of both parties was \$880.0 million.

Furthermore, the LLC Agreement permits POS-Minerals to put its interest in the LLC to Nevada Moly after a change of control of Nevada Moly or the Company, as defined in the LLC Agreement, followed by a failure to use standard mining industry practice in connection with development and operation of the Mt. Hope Project as contemplated by the parties for a period of twelve consecutive months. If POS-Minerals puts its interest, Nevada Moly or its transferee or surviving entity would be required to purchase the interest for 120% of POS-Minerals' contributions to the LLC plus 10% interest per annum.

The Initial Contributions of \$100.0 million that were made by POS-Minerals during 2008 were expended by the second quarter of 2009 in accordance with the program and budget requirements of the Mt. Hope Project. Nevada Moly is required, pursuant to the terms of the LLC Agreement, to advance funds required to pay costs for the development of the Mt. Hope Project that exceed the Initial Contributions until the ROD Contribution Date, at which point the contributions described above to be made by POS-Minerals will be applied to reimburse us for POS-Minerals' share of such development costs. All costs incurred after the ROD Contribution Date will be allocated and funded pro rata based on each party's ownership interest. POS-Minerals' share of such development costs amounted to approximately \$35.1 million as of September 30, 2011. The interest of a party in the LLC that does not make its pro rata capital contributions to fund costs incurred after the ROD Contribution Date is subject to dilution based on the Dilution Formula.

**Liquidity, Capital Resources and Capital Requirements**

*For the period from December 31, 2010, to September 30, 2011*

## Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

Our total consolidated cash balance at September 30, 2011, was \$54.4 million compared to \$53.6 million at December 31, 2010. The increase in our consolidated cash balances for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, was due primarily to warrant and option exercise proceeds received of \$19.4 million, offset by development and debt issuance costs incurred of \$9.1 million and cash used for operating activities of \$10.1 million. Deposits on property, plant and equipment of \$64.8 million relate primarily to scheduled payments for long lead time equipment for the Mt. Hope Project. See Contractual Obligations below.

Table of Contents

Under our cash conservation plan, our non-equipment related cash requirements have declined to approximately \$1 million per month. Based on our current plan and expected timetable, we expect to make additional payments on milling process equipment orders of approximately \$12.6 million in 2012 and \$2.8 million in 2013. In addition, we made an advance royalty payment to Mt. Hope Mines, Inc. of approximately \$9.0 million in October 2011 and expect to make an additional payment of the same amount in October 2012. As the Hanlong financing becomes available and equipment procurement is restarted, agreements that were suspended or terminated will be renegotiated under current market terms and conditions, as necessary. Accordingly, based on our current cash on hand and our cash conservation plan, the Company expects it will have adequate liquidity for operations the restart of the project and execution of the financing plan.

The anticipated sources of financing described below, combined with funds anticipated to be received from POS-Minerals in order to retain its 20% share, provide substantially all of our currently planned funding required for constructing and placing the Mt. Hope Project into commercial production. Funding requirements for working capital needs beyond the capital costs of the Mt. Hope Project will require additional resources. There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in raising additional financing in the future on terms acceptable to the Company or at all.

**Securities Purchase Agreement with Hanlong (USA) Mining Investment Inc.**

On March 4, 2010, we signed a Securities Purchase Agreement (the *Purchase Agreement*) with Hanlong (USA) Mining Investment, Inc. ( *Hanlong* ), an affiliate of Sichuan Hanlong Group, a large privately held Chinese company. The Purchase Agreement and the related agreements described below form the basis of a significant investment by Hanlong in the Company that is intended to provide the Company with adequate capital to develop the Mt. Hope Project. The Purchase Agreement provides for the sale to Hanlong of shares of our common stock in two tranches that will aggregate 25% of our outstanding stock on a fully diluted basis. The average price per share, based on the anticipated number of shares to be issued and the market price of the shares at the time the agreement was enacted, is \$2.88 for an aggregate price of \$80.0 million. The share issuance is part of a larger transaction that includes the commitment by Hanlong to use its commercially reasonable efforts to procure a \$665.0 million bank loan for the Company (the *Term Loan*) from a prime Chinese bank that will be guaranteed by an affiliate of Hanlong, a \$20.0 million bridge loan (the *Bridge Loan*) from Hanlong to the Company, \$10.0 million of which has been drawn by the Company as of September 30, 2011, and a long-term molybdenum supply off-take agreement pursuant to which a Hanlong affiliate will agree to purchase a substantial part of the molybdenum production from the Mt. Hope Project at specified prices. The supply off-take agreement provides for a floor price, along with a discount for spot prices above the floor price, for twenty-five percent of the production Hanlong receives. The floor price currently ranges from \$14.25 to \$15.25, and is subject to periodic adjustment. The remaining seventy-five percent of the production Hanlong receives will be sold with reference to spot molybdenum prices less a small discount.

***The Purchase Agreement***

*Stock Purchase.* The Purchase Agreement provides, subject to its terms and conditions, for the purchase by Hanlong for an aggregate price of \$80.0 million of approximately 27.6 million shares of our common stock, which will equal 25% of our outstanding common stock on a fully-diluted basis following the purchase, or approximately 38.3% of our outstanding common stock at the time the transaction was announced. Fully diluted is defined as all of our outstanding common stock plus all outstanding options and warrants, whether or not currently exercisable.

On July 30, 2010, the Company and Hanlong executed an amendment to the Purchase Agreement extending the deadline for obtaining Chinese government approvals by two months to October 13, 2010, as well as extending the Company's deadline for publishing its DEIS and receiving its ROD to February 28, 2011, and November 30, 2011, respectively. Hanlong received Chinese government approvals for equity investment from the National Development and Reform Commission and the Ministry of Commerce ( *MOFCOM* ) on October 8, 2010, and October 12, 2010,

## Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

respectively. Hanlong filed the MOFCOM approval with the State Administration of Foreign Exchange on October 12, 2010, fulfilling Hanlong's Chinese government approval obligations.

On October 26, 2010, the Company and Hanlong executed an amendment to the Purchase Agreement setting the closing of Hanlong's purchase of the first tranche of equity in the Company on December 20, 2010. The

Table of Contents

parties agreed that the publication of the Mt. Hope Project's DEIS was no longer a condition precedent to Hanlong's first tranche equity investment.

On December 20, 2010, Hanlong completed the purchase of 12.5% of our fully-diluted shares, or approximately 11.8 million shares (Tranche 1) for \$40.0 million, or approximately \$3.38 per share, following satisfaction of certain conditions, including receipt of stockholder approval of the equity issuances in connection with the transaction, receipt of necessary Chinese government approvals for certain portions of the transaction, assurances from Hanlong as to the availability of the Term Loan, approval of the shares for listing on the NYSE Amex and absence of certain defaults.

The second tranche (Tranche 2), which is anticipated to involve the purchase of approximately 15.8 million additional shares, will be for a purchase price of an additional \$40.0 million, or approximately \$2.53 per share. The actual number of shares and price per share will be adjusted for any change in the number of fully diluted shares before the closing of Tranche 2. Significant conditions to the closing of Tranche 2 include issuance of the ROD for the Mt. Hope Project by the BLM, approval of the plan of operations for the Mt. Hope Project (the POO) by the BLM, and the completion of documentation for and satisfaction of conditions precedent to lending under the Term Loan, described below.

On July 7, 2011, the Company and Hanlong executed an amendment to the Purchase Agreement eliminating the deadline for publication of the DEIS and extending the ROD deadline from November 30, 2011, to the earlier of nine months following DEIS publication or September 30, 2012. The ROD deadline remains extendable by up to three months to December 31, 2012, as discussed in *Break Fees* below. The Purchase Agreement may be terminated by either party (provided the terminating party is not in default) if the closing of Tranche 2 has not occurred on or before the earlier of September 30, 2012 (unless the parties have agreed to the ROD Condition Extension date of December 31, 2012) or twelve months after the issuance of the ROD.

Hanlong will have the right to purchase a portion of any additional shares of common stock that we issue so that it can maintain its percentage ownership unless its ownership is at the time below 5% at the earlier of the

closing of Tranche 2 or closing of the Term Loan. It may also acquire additional shares so that it maintains a 20% indirect interest in the Mt. Hope Project if our interest in the LLC is reduced below 80%. If we issue shares to fund the Mt. Hope Project under certain circumstances, and on or before the date of commercial production, and Hanlong exercises its rights to maintain its percentage interest, we will be obligated to refund to Hanlong the cost of such shares over a three-year period up to an aggregate of \$9.0 million.

***Break Fees.*** A break fee is payable by both the Company and Hanlong if the Purchase Agreement terminates because of the failure of certain conditions. A break fee of \$10.0 million is payable to the Company if the Purchase Agreement is terminated because Hanlong fails to obtain necessary Chinese government approvals or to give its assurances about the availability of the Term Loan, which may be offset against any balances owed by the Company under the Bridge Loan. The Company agreed to pay \$5.0 million to Hanlong if the conditions concerning our stockholder approval or the ROD are not timely satisfied or waived and the Purchase Agreement is terminated. The Company break fees may be increased by \$5.0 million if the Purchase Agreement is terminated and the Company has violated the no-shop provisions of the Purchase Agreement. The break fees may also be increased in other circumstances not to exceed an additional \$2.0 million if the Company requests and Hanlong grants certain extensions concerning the ROD. Further to the break fees, the Company must pay a \$2.0 million fee to Hanlong at the granting of an extension concerning the ROD, and such fee will be credited against the arrangement fee described below. The break fee payable by the Company to Hanlong may be paid in cash, or, in certain circumstances, in shares of our common stock at our option. If paid in shares, the price would be the volume weighted average of our common stock on the NYSE Amex for the five days ending six days after the announcement of the termination.

## Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

Chinese Bank Term Loan. Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, Hanlong is obligated to use its commercially reasonable efforts to procure the Term Loan in an amount of at least \$665.0 million with a term of at least 14 years after commercial production begins at the Mt. Hope Project. The Term Loan will bear interest at a rate tied to the London Interbank Offered Rate ( LIBOR ) plus a spread of between 2% and 4% per annum. The Purchase Agreement provides that the Term Loan will have customary covenants and conditions; however, the terms of the Term Loan have not yet been negotiated with the lender and we have no assurance as to the final terms

Table of Contents

of the Term Loan. Hanlong or an affiliate is obligated to guarantee the Term Loan. When funds can be drawn by the Company under the Term Loan, the Company will pay a \$15.0 million arrangement fee to Hanlong who will pay fees and expenses associated with the Term Loan before the Term Loan Closing, including those charged by the Chinese bank.

On July 7, 2011, the Company and Hanlong executed an amendment to the Purchase Agreement extending Hanlong's commitment to make available the Term Loan from two months following the ROD to nine months following the ROD.

**Bridge Loan**

Hanlong agreed to provide a \$20.0 million Bridge Loan to the Company, which has been made available in two equal \$10.0 million tranches. On April 28, 2010, we drew down the first tranche in the amount of \$10.0 million. The second loan tranche became available five business days after receipt of stockholder approval and is subject to the satisfaction of customary conditions. The first tranche of the Bridge Loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 2% per annum. The second tranche of the Bridge Loan will bear interest at 10% per annum and remains undrawn by the Company as of September 30, 2011. The Bridge Loan will be repaid from the proceeds of the Term Loan. If Hanlong agrees, the second tranche may also be repaid at the Company's election, in shares of the Company's common stock. If paid in shares, the price would be the volume weighted average of the Company's shares on the NYSE Amex for a five-day period after public announcement of the event that required repayment. The Company may offset its right to receive the break fee against its obligations to repay borrowings under the Bridge Loan. On July 7, 2011, the Company and Hanlong executed an amendment to the Bridge Loan Agreement extending the maturity date to the earlier of (i) 270 days after the issuance of the ROD, (ii) the date on which the Purchase Agreement terminates, and (iii) the earlier of December 31, 2012 and the availability of the Term Loan. The outstanding balance of the Bridge Loan and related accrued interest are recorded as a current liability as of September 30, 2011 as the Company anticipates the Term Loan will become available within the next twelve months. The Bridge Loan and our obligation to pay a break fee to Hanlong under the Purchase Agreement are secured by a pledge by us of a 10% interest in the LLC.

**Other Capital Requirements**

We also require additional capital to maintain our mining claims and other rights related to the Liberty Property, as well as continue payment of ongoing general and administrative costs associated with supporting our planned operations.

**Results of Operations**

*Three months ended September 30, 2011, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010*

For the three months ended September 30, 2011, we had a consolidated net loss of \$2.4 million compared with a consolidated net loss of \$7.3 million in the same period for 2010.

## Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

For the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, exploration and evaluation expenses were \$0.8 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. The increase in costs compared to the previous year relates to work performed at the Liberty Project to update the pre-feasibility study.

For the three months ended September 30, 2011, and 2010, write downs of development and deposits were nil and \$5.0 million, due to the relinquishment of the annex lease to Eureka County during the third quarter of 2010.

For the three months ended September 30, 2011, and 2010, general and administrative expenses were \$1.5 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. For the three months ended September 30, 2011, the decrease in costs compared to the previous year relates primarily to lower costs associated with outside legal counsel.

Interest income was nil for the three months ended September 30, 2011, and 2010, as a result of substantially lower interest rates and lower consolidated cash balances in 2011 and 2010. Interest expense was \$0.1



## Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

### Table of Contents

million and \$0.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, and 2010 as a result of interest accrued on the bridge loan.

#### *Nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to nine months ended September 30, 2010*

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we had a consolidated net loss of \$12.6 million compared with a consolidated net loss of \$13.4 million in the same period for 2010.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, and 2010, exploration and evaluation expenses were \$1.3 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. The increase in costs compared to the previous year relates to work performed at the Liberty Project to update the pre-feasibility study.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, and 2010, write downs of development and deposits were \$3.4 million and \$5.0 million, due to forfeited long-term deposits on mining equipment with the passage of a June 30, 2011 deadline for a firm purchase order, and due to the relinquishment of the annex lease to Eureka County during the third quarter of 2010.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, and 2010, general and administrative expenses were \$7.8 million and \$7.7 million, respectively, essentially unchanged.

Interest income rounded to nil for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, and 2010, as a result of substantially lower interest rates and lower consolidated cash balances in 2011 and 2010. Interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, as a result of interest accrued on the bridge loan.

### **Contractual Obligations**

Our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2011, were as follows:

Contractual obligations	Total	Payments due by period			
		2011	(in millions)		
			2012 - 2014	2015 - 2016	2017 & Beyond
Long-Term Debt (Capital Lease) Obligations	\$ 0.1	\$	\$ 0.1	\$	\$
Operating Lease Obligations	0.3	0.1	0.2		
Purchase Equipment Contracts	15.4		15.4		
Advance Royalties	17.9	9.0	8.9		

Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

Provision for post closure reclamation and remediation			0.6						0.6
<b>Total</b>	\$	34.3	\$	9.1	\$	24.6	\$		\$ 0.6

At September 30, 2011, the LLC has a contract to purchase two electric shovels that is cancellable and has no firm schedule of payments. The Company has written off approximately \$3.4 million in deposits related to such contract with the passage of a June 30, 2011 deadline for a firm purchase order. The contract remains in place and the remaining \$3.4 million deposit requires a firm purchase order by June 30, 2012, along with additional payments due at the time of order. We also have a non-binding letter of agreement on 24 haul trucks that establishes our priority for delivery. Both agreements provide for the then current pricing using market indices upon initiation of an order. We have active orders with varying stages of fabrication on milling process equipment

Table of Contents

comprised of two 230kV primary transformers and substation, a primary crusher, a semi-autogenous mill, two ball mills, and various motors for the mills. We have suspended fabrication on 16 flotation cells, lime slaking equipment, hydrocyclones, and other smaller milling process equipment with the ability to re-initiate fabrication at any time. The LLC has terminated the fabrication of two multi-hearth molybdenum roasters and has received finished goods of the partially completed order. The LLC plans to re-establish a new purchase order with this manufacturer as financing becomes available and equipment procurement is restarted under then current market terms and conditions.

The following table sets forth the LLC's remaining cash commitments under milling process equipment purchase contracts at September 30, 2011, resulting from the re-negotiation and cancellation of Purchase Contracts as discussed above (in millions).

<b>Period</b>	<b>Cash Commitments Under Equipment Purchase Contracts as of September 30, 2011</b>	
2011	\$	
2012		12.6
2013 and thereafter		2.8
Total	\$	15.4

As financing becomes available and equipment procurement is restarted, agreements that were suspended or terminated will be renegotiated under the then current market terms and conditions, as necessary.

If the LLC does not make payments required under the purchase contracts, it could be subject to claims for breach of contract or to cancellation of the purchase contract. In addition, the LLC may proceed to selectively suspend, cancel or attempt to renegotiate additional purchase contracts if we are forced to further conserve cash. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources" above. If the LLC cancels or breaches any contracts, we will take all appropriate action to minimize any losses, but could be subject to claims or penalties under the contracts or applicable law. The cancellation of certain key contracts would cause a delay in the commencement of operations, have ramifications under the LLC Agreement with POS-Minerals and would add to the cost to develop our interest in the Mt. Hope Project.

### **ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

#### **Commodity Price Risk**

We are a development stage company in the business of the exploration, development and mining of properties primarily containing molybdenum. As a result, upon commencement of production, our financial performance could be materially affected by fluctuations in the market price of molybdenum and other metals we may mine. The market prices of metals can fluctuate widely due to a number of factors. These factors include fluctuations with respect to the rate of inflation, the exchange rates of the U.S. dollar and other currencies, interest rates, global or regional political and economic conditions, banking environment, global and regional demand, production costs, and investor sentiment.

## Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

In order to better manage commodity price risk and to seek to reduce the negative impact of fluctuations in prices, we will seek to enter into long term supply contracts for our portion of the Mt. Hope production. On December 28, 2007, we entered into a molybdenum supply agreement with ArcelorMittal S.A. ( ArcelorMittal ), the world's largest steel company, that provides for ArcelorMittal to purchase 6.5 million pounds of molybdenum per year, plus or minus 10%, once the Mt. Hope Project commences commercial operations at minimum specified levels. The supply agreement provides for a floor price along with a discount for spot prices above the floor price and expires five years after the commencement of commercial production at the Mt. Hope Project. Both the floor and threshold levels at which the percentage discounts change are indexed to a producer price index. On April 16, 2010, ArcelorMittal and the Company entered into an extension molybdenum supply agreement, providing ArcelorMittal with a five-year option to make effective an agreement to purchase from the Company 3.0 million

Table of Contents

pounds of molybdenum per year for 10 years following the expiration of the initial supply agreement. The additional optional off-take will be priced in alignment with the Company's existing supply agreements. In order for ArcelorMittal to exercise this option and make the extension agreement effective, ArcelorMittal must have beneficial ownership of more than 11.1 million shares of Company common stock on or prior to April 15, 2015. According to public filings, on January 25, 2011, the boards of directors of ArcelorMittal S.A. and APERAM each approved the transfer of the assets comprising ArcelorMittal's stainless and specialty steels businesses from its carbon steel and mining businesses to APERAM, a separate entity incorporated in the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg. This transfer included the off-take agreement the Company had in place with ArcelorMittal and the shares of the Company's common stock previously owned by ArcelorMittal.

Additionally, on May 14, 2008, we entered into a molybdenum supply agreement with SeAH Besteel Corporation (SeAH Besteel), Korea's largest manufacturer of specialty steels, which provides for SeAH Besteel to purchase 4.0 million pounds of molybdenum per year, plus or minus 10%, once the Mt. Hope Project commences commercial operations at minimum specified levels. Like the ArcelorMittal supply agreement, the supply agreement with SeAH Besteel provides for a floor price along with staged discounts for spot prices above the floor price and expires five years from the date of first supply under the agreement. Both the floor and threshold levels at which the percentage discounts change are indexed to a producer price index.

On August 8, 2008, the Company entered into a molybdenum supply agreement (Sojitz Agreement) with Sojitz Corporation. The Sojitz Agreement provides for the supply of 5.0 million pounds per year of molybdenum for five years, beginning once the Mt. Hope Project reaches certain minimum commercial production levels. One million annual pounds sold under the Sojitz Agreement will be subject to a per-pound molybdenum floor price and is offset by a flat discount to spot molybdenum prices above the floor. The remaining 4.0 million annual pounds sold under the Sojitz Agreement will be sold with reference to spot molybdenum prices without regard to a floor price. The Sojitz Agreement includes a provision that allows Sojitz the option to cancel in the event that supply from the Mt. Hope Project has not begun by January 1, 2013. Based on our current development timeline, Sojitz will have the option to cancel its contract or participate in the molybdenum supply agreement as described above. If Sojitz elects to cancel its contract with us, the supply of 5.0 million pounds per year of molybdenum for five years will be assumed by Hanlong, pursuant to the terms of the Hanlong molybdenum supply agreement described below.

On March 4, 2010, the Company entered into a molybdenum supply agreement (Hanlong Agreement) with Hanlong. The Hanlong Agreement requires Hanlong to purchase all the Company's share of the Mt. Hope molybdenum production above that necessary for the Company to meet its existing supply commitments until the expiration of those commitments. After the expiration of the existing supply agreements, until the original schedule maturity date of the Term Loan, or if the Company elects not to enter into the Term Loan, 14 years after commencement of commercial production, Hanlong must annually purchase the greater of 16 million pounds or 70% of the Company's share of Mt. Hope production. Following the original scheduled maturity date of the Term Loan, or if the Company elects not to enter into the Term Loan, 14 years after commencement of commercial production from the Mt. Hope Mine, Hanlong must purchase a percentage of the Company's share of Mt. Hope production equal to 2.5 times Hanlong's fully diluted percentage ownership of our common stock. Subject to certain exceptions, the Hanlong Agreement will terminate once Hanlong's fully-diluted ownership percentage falls below 5%. As long as Hanlong continues to guarantee the Term Loan, the Hanlong Agreement will not terminate even if Hanlong's ownership falls below 5%. If the cause of Hanlong's ownership falling below 5% is a change of control of the Company or a dilutive transaction in which Hanlong does not have the right to participate, the Hanlong Agreement will not terminate and Hanlong will be obligated to continue to purchase a percentage of the Company's share of Mt. Hope production equal to 2.5 times Hanlong's fully-diluted percentage ownership of the Company as it existed immediately prior to such change of control or dilutive transaction. If the Company elects not to enter into the Term Loan, and the second loan tranche does not close, Hanlong's obligation to purchase the Company's share of Mt. Hope production in each of the periods described above will be half of the obligations described above. The supply off-take agreement provides for a floor price, along with a discount for spot prices above the floor price, for twenty-five percent of the production Hanlong receives. The floor price currently ranges from \$14.25 to \$15.25, and is subject to periodic adjustment. The remaining 75% of the production Hanlong receives will be sold with reference to spot molybdenum prices less a small discount.

All four long term supply agreements provide for supply only after commercial production levels are achieved, and no provisions require the Company to deliver product or make any payments if commercial



Table of Contents

production is never achieved or declines, in later periods and have floor prices ranging from \$14.25 to \$15.25 per pound and incremental discounts above the floor price. The agreements require that monthly shortfalls be made up only if the Company's portion of Mt. Hope production is available for delivery, after POS-Minerals has taken its share. In no event do these requirements to make up monthly shortfalls become obligations of the Company if production does not meet targeted levels.

Furthermore, each of the agreements have take-or-pay provisions that require the buyers to either take delivery of product made available by the Company, or to pay as though they had taken delivery pursuant to the term of the agreements.

While we have not used derivative financial instruments in the past, we may elect to enter into derivative financial instruments to manage commodity price risk. We have not entered into any market risk sensitive instruments for trading or speculative purposes and do not expect to enter into derivative or other financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

**Interest Rate Risk**

As of September 30, 2011, we had a balance of cash and cash equivalents of \$54.4 million. Interest rates on short term, highly liquid investments have not changed materially since December 31, 2010, and continue to be 1% or less on an annualized basis. Our debt agreements have interest rates of LIBOR plus a percentage. Any significant rise in the LIBOR rate during the course of our debt agreements may affect our ability to service the debt.

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ( Exchange Act )) as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on the foregoing, our management concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms and such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2011, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II - OTHER INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

The Mt. Hope Project is primarily centered between two water basins: the Kobeh Valley Basin and the Diamond Valley Basin. Operation of the Mt. Hope Project is expected to require 7,000 gpm of fresh water that will be sourced from wells located in Kobeh Valley, west of the Mt. Hope Project. The Company has purchased from existing water rights holders essentially all available water rights in the Kobeh Valley Basin, totaling more than 16,000 acre feet annually. The Company believes it has sufficient water rights for its planned mining and milling operations.

On March 26, 2009, the Nevada State Engineer approved the Company's water applications that requested mining and milling use of 11,300 acre feet annually of water to be drawn from a well field near the Mt. Hope Project. Two appeals of the ruling were filed with the Seventh Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada challenging the State Engineer's decision. On April 21, 2010, the District Court entered an order remanding the matter for another hearing by the State Engineer. The Court ruled that the petitioners' due process rights to a full



Table of Contents

and fair hearing were violated when the State Engineer considered and relied upon a version of the Company's hydrology model that had not been presented to the petitioners before the hearing.

In June 2010, the Company filed change applications with the State Engineer's office requesting permits to withdraw water at well locations matching those incorporated in the Company's final hydrology models now approved by the BLM. The applications previously granted by the State Engineer's office contained proposed well locations that the Company no longer intends to utilize based on additional groundwater modelling and exploration. In December of 2010, the Nevada State Engineer conducted a four-day hearing where protests to all of the Company's water applications were heard. A one-day continuation hearing was held in May 2011.

On July 15, 2011, the State Engineer issued a Ruling granting the Company's water right applications. With the water right applications granted by the Ruling, associated permits are anticipated to be issued following payment of statutory fees, and, following the State Engineer's approval of a Monitoring, Management, and Mitigation Plan (3M Plan), the water will be available for use at the Mt. Hope Project. In August 2011, Eureka County and two other parties comprised of three individual water rights holders in Diamond Valley and one in Kobeh Valley, filed Petitions with the Nevada State District Court appealing the Ruling of the State Engineer. We continue to anticipate a favorable District Court decision upholding the State Engineer's Ruling; however, the date for any appeal hearings will be set by the District Court Judge and the Company has no control in the timing of these hearings. The appeal process could take twelve months to complete from the original filing of the Petitions in August to a final ruling by the District Court. The appeal does not prevent the State Engineer from issuing the permits and upon issuance of the permits and approval of the 3M Plan, the Company will have the right to use the subject water for construction and operations at the Mt. Hope Project.

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.**

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, including the discussion under the heading "Risk Factors" therein, and this report describe risks that may materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and this report are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operations.

**Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

Certain statements in this report may constitute forward-looking statements, which involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which may cause actual results, performance or achievements of our Company, the Mt. Hope Project, Liberty Property and our other projects, or industry results, to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. We use the words may, will, believe, expect, anticipate, intend, future, plan, estimate, potential and other similar expressions to identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and assumptions that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward looking statements. Such risks, uncertainties and assumptions are described in the "Risk Factors" section included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, and this report, and include, among other things:

- our dependence on the success of the Mt. Hope Project;

## Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

- the ability to obtain all required permits and approvals for the Mt. Hope Project and the Liberty Property;
- remand and litigation of appeal of State Engineer's July 15, 2011 Ruling granting water appropriation rights;
- issues related to the management of the Mt. Hope Project pursuant to the LLC Agreement;
- investments by Hanlong and a loan from a Chinese bank are subject to significant consents, approvals and conditions precedent which may not be obtained or met;
- negotiation of acceptable loan terms with a Chinese bank in connection with the Hanlong transaction;
- risks related to the failure of POS-Minerals to make contributions pursuant to the LLC Agreement;
- fluctuations in the market price of, and demand for, molybdenum and other metals;
- the estimation and realization of mineral reserves and production estimates, if any;
- the timing of exploration, development and production activities and estimated future production, if any;

Table of Contents

- estimates related to costs of production, capital, operating and exploration expenditures;
- requirements for additional capital and the possible sources of such capital;
- government regulation of mining operations, environmental conditions and risks, reclamation and rehabilitation expenses;
- title disputes or claims;
- limitations of insurance coverage;
- our investors may lose their entire investment in our securities; disruptions in the overall economy and financial markets may adversely affect our business;
- counter party risks;
- inherent operating hazards of mining;
- climate change and climate change legislation for planned future operations;
- compliance/non-compliance with the Mt. Hope lease;
- losing key personnel or the inability to attract and retain additional personnel;
- reliance on independent contractors, experts, technical and operational service providers over whom we have limited control;
- increased costs can affect our profitability;
- shortages of critical parts, equipment, and skilled labor may adversely affect our development costs;
- legislation may make it difficult to retain or attract officers and directors and can increase costs of doing business;
- adverse results of internal control evaluations could result in a loss of investor confidence and have an adverse effect on the price of the common stock;
- our common stock has a limited public market which may adversely affect the market price of our shares and may make it difficult for our shareholders to sell their shares;
- we do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future; and
- provisions of Delaware law and our charter and bylaws may delay or prevent transactions that would benefit shareholders.

You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report. These forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including those set forth above. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, our actual results could differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements, and any events anticipated in the forward-looking statements may not actually occur. Except as required by law, we undertake no duty to update any forward-looking statements after the date of this report to conform those

## Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

statements to actual results or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. We qualify all forward-looking statements contained in this report by the foregoing cautionary statements.

### **ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

None.

### **ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

None.

### **ITEM 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED**

### **ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

None.

### **ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description of Exhibit</b>
10.1	Amendment No. 4 to Securities Purchase Agreement dated July 7, 2011, by and between General Moly, Inc. and Hanlong (USA) Mining Investment, Inc. (Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July

# Edgar Filing: General Moly, Inc - Form 10-Q

## Table of Contents

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Description of Exhibit</b>
	13, 2011)
10.2	Amendment No. 2 to Bridge Loan Agreement dated July 7, 2011, by and between General Moly, Inc. and Hanlong (USA) Mining Investment, Inc. (Filed as Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 13, 2011)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101	The following XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) materials are filed herewith: (i) XBRL Instance, (ii) XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema, (iii) XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation, (iv) XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels, (v) XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation, and (vi) XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition. In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the information in these exhibits is furnished and deemed not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise not subject to liability under these sections and shall not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, except as expressly set forth by the specific reference in such filing.

---

Previously filed as indicated and incorporated herein by reference.

## **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: November 1, 2011

GENERAL MOLY, INC.

By:

/s/ David A. Chaput  
David A. Chaput  
Chief Financial Officer and  
Duly Authorized Officer