

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.
Form 10-Q
August 07, 2012
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 28, 2012

Or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 001-33160

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

20-2436320
*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*

3801 South Oliver

Wichita, Kansas 67210

(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

(316) 526-9000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of August 1, 2012, the registrant had outstanding 119,496,016 shares of class A common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, and 24,171,546 shares of class B common stock, \$0.01 par value per share.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | Page |
|----------------|---|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> 3 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> 43 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> 58 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> 59 |

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

| | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> 59 |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> 59 |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> 60 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 61 |

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations****(unaudited)**

| | For the Three Months Ended | | For the Six Months Ended | |
|---|---|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| | June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 | June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 |
| | (\$ in millions, except per share data) | | | |
| Net revenues | \$ 1,341.0 | \$ 1,465.6 | \$ 2,606.8 | \$ 2,515.2 |
| Operating costs and expenses | | | | |
| Cost of sales | 1,156.8 | 1,354.6 | 2,247.9 | 2,282.6 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 40.3 | 41.1 | 85.3 | 80.1 |
| Impact from severe weather event | 54.5 | | 54.5 | |
| Research and development | 6.9 | 6.3 | 14.3 | 19.3 |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 1,258.5 | 1,402.0 | 2,402.0 | 2,382.0 |
| Operating income | 82.5 | 63.6 | 204.8 | 133.2 |
| Interest expense and financing fee amortization | (28.1) | (21.7) | (46.4) | (42.6) |
| Interest income | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Other income (expense), net | (4.2) | 0.1 | (0.7) | 1.6 |
| Income before income taxes and equity in net loss of affiliates | 50.3 | 42.1 | 157.8 | 92.4 |
| Income tax provision | (15.3) | (11.9) | (48.9) | (27.2) |
| Income before equity in net loss of affiliates | 35.0 | 30.2 | 108.9 | 65.2 |
| Equity in net loss of affiliates | (0.1) | (0.1) | (0.4) | (0.5) |
| Net income | \$ 34.9 | \$ 30.1 | \$ 108.5 | \$ 64.7 |
| Earnings per share | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.76 | \$ 0.46 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.24 | \$ 0.21 | \$ 0.76 | \$ 0.45 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited)

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income****(unaudited)**

| | For the Three Months Ended | | For the Six Months Ended | |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| | June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 | June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 |
| | (\$ in millions) | | | |
| Net income | \$ 34.9 | \$ 30.1 | \$ 108.5 | \$ 64.7 |
| Changes in other comprehensive income, net of tax: | | | | |
| Unrealized (loss) on interest rate swaps, net of tax effect of zero and \$0.9 for each of the three months ended and \$0.5, \$1.0 for each of the six months ended, respectively | (0.2) | (1.5) | (0.9) | (1.6) |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for loss realized in net income, net of tax effect of \$0.9, \$1.2 for each of the three months ended and \$1.2, \$2.4 for each of the six months ended, respectively | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 4.0 |
| Net unrealized gain on interest rate swaps | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 2.4 |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on foreign currency hedge contracts, net of tax effect of zero and \$0.1 for each of the three months ended and zero and \$0.3 for each of the six months ended, respectively | (0.1) | 0.2 | | 0.8 |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for loss realized in net income, net of tax effect of \$0.1 and zero for each of the three months ended and zero for each of the six months ended, respectively | | | | 0.1 |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for loss realized in net other assets, net of tax effect of zero for each of the three months ended and zero and \$0.4 for each of the six months ended, respectively | | | | 0.7 |
| Net unrealized gain (loss) on foreign currency hedge contracts | (0.1) | 0.2 | | 1.6 |
| Pension, SERP, and Retiree medical adjustments, net of tax effect of \$0.1, \$0.1 for each of the three months ended and \$0.2, \$0.1 for each of the six months ended, respectively | 0.2 | | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Unrealized foreign exchange gain (loss) on intercompany loan, net of tax effect of \$0.4 and zero for each of the three months ended and zero and \$0.6 for each of the six months ended, respectively | (1.3) | (0.1) | | 1.5 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | (3.6) | (0.3) | | 3.7 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 31.3 | \$ 30.4 | \$ 109.9 | \$ 74.0 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited)

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets****(unaudited)**

| | June 28, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| | (\$ in millions) | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 180.1 | \$ 177.8 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 470.2 | 267.2 |
| Inventory, net | 2,800.8 | 2,630.9 |
| Deferred tax asset - current | 60.5 | 52.2 |
| Other current assets | 40.4 | 27.7 |
| Total current assets | 3,552.0 | 3,155.8 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 1,623.3 | 1,615.7 |
| Pension assets | 131.8 | 118.8 |
| Deferred tax asset - non-current, net | 41.3 | 55.7 |
| Other assets | 93.2 | 96.4 |
| Total assets | \$ 5,441.6 | \$ 5,042.4 |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 600.1 | \$ 559.4 |
| Accrued expenses | 304.1 | 200.8 |
| Profit sharing | 33.0 | 23.5 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 10.4 | 48.9 |
| Advance payments, short-term | 50.4 | 8.8 |
| Deferred revenue, short-term | 11.5 | 28.5 |
| Deferred grant income liability - current | 6.6 | 6.1 |
| Other current liabilities | 6.1 | 37.5 |
| Total current liabilities | 1,022.2 | 913.5 |
| Long-term debt | 1,167.9 | 1,152.0 |
| Advance payments, long-term | 812.2 | 655.9 |
| Pension/OPEB obligation | 87.5 | 84.2 |
| Deferred grant income liability - non-current | 118.6 | 121.8 |
| Deferred revenue and other deferred credits | 32.3 | 34.7 |
| Other liabilities | 116.7 | 115.6 |
| Equity | | |
| Preferred stock, par value \$0.01, 10,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued | | |
| Common stock, Class A par value \$0.01, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 119,493,563 and 118,560,926 shares issued, respectively | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Common stock, Class B par value \$0.01, 150,000,000 shares authorized, 24,173,999 and 24,304,717 shares issued, respectively | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,005.4 | 995.9 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (124.7) | (126.2) |
| Retained earnings | 1,201.6 | 1,093.1 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 2,083.7 | 1,964.2 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Total equity | 2,084.2 | 1,964.7 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 5,441.6 | \$ 5,042.4 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited)

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(unaudited)**

| | For the Six Months Ended June 28, 2012 | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 |
|--|---|---|
| | (\$ in millions) | |
| Operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 108.5 | \$ 64.7 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | | |
| Depreciation expense | 77.4 | 64.4 |
| Amortization expense | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| Amortization of deferred financing fees | 11.9 | 4.6 |
| Accretion of customer supply agreement | 0.1 | |
| Employee stock compensation expense | 8.6 | 5.2 |
| Excess tax benefit of share-based payment arrangements | (1.1) | (1.2) |
| Loss from discontinued hedge accounting on interest rate swaps | 2.2 | |
| (Gain) from the ineffectiveness of hedge contracts | (0.1) | |
| (Gain) from foreign currency transactions | (0.2) | (1.0) |
| Loss on disposition of assets | 3.6 | |
| Deferred taxes | 4.5 | 0.7 |
| Long-term tax provision | 0.8 | 2.2 |
| Pension and other post retirement benefits, net | (4.7) | (5.0) |
| Grant income | (2.5) | (2.6) |
| Equity in net loss of affiliates | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities | | |
| Accounts receivable | (202.1) | (143.6) |
| Inventory, net | (171.7) | 75.6 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 47.1 | (12.4) |
| Profit sharing/deferred compensation | 9.4 | (2.0) |
| Advance payments | 197.9 | (125.9) |
| Income taxes receivable/payable | (46.8) | 28.8 |
| Deferred revenue and other deferred credits | (18.5) | (255.0) |
| Insurance advances for operating purposes (see Note 2) | 105.0 | |
| Other | 0.6 | 57.7 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 132.8 | (241.9) |
| Investing activities | | |
| Purchase of property, plant and equipment | (103.8) | (84.4) |
| Proceeds from sale of assets | 1.3 | 0.4 |
| Other | (0.9) | |
| Net cash (used in) investing activities | (103.4) | (84.0) |
| Financing activities | | |
| Proceeds from revolving credit facility | 170.0 | |
| Payments on revolving credit facility | (170.0) | |
| Proceeds from issuance of debt | 547.3 | |
| Principal payments of debt | (564.3) | (4.1) |
| Excess tax benefit of share-based payment arrangements | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Debt issuance and financing costs | (11.3) | |

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----|--------|----|---------|
| Net cash (used in) financing activities | | (27.2) | | (2.9) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | | 0.1 | | 1.3 |
| Net (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents for the period | | 2.3 | | (327.5) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | | 177.8 | | 481.6 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ | 180.1 | \$ | 154.1 |
| Supplemental information | | | | |
| Non-cash investing and financing activities | | | | |
| Purchase of property, plant and equipment, accrued | \$ | 8.9 | \$ | 4.6 |
| Property acquired through capital leases | \$ | 4.3 | \$ | 0.1 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited)

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

(\$, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

1. Organization and Basis of Interim Presentation

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. (Holdings or the Company) was incorporated in the state of Delaware on February 7, 2005, and commenced operations on June 17, 2005 through the acquisition of The Boeing Company s (Boeing) operations in Wichita, Kansas, Tulsa, Oklahoma and McAlester, Oklahoma (the Boeing Acquisition). Holdings provides manufacturing and design expertise in a wide range of products and services for aircraft original equipment manufacturers and operators through its subsidiary, Spirit AeroSystems, Inc. (Spirit). Onex Corporation (Onex) of Toronto, Canada and certain of its affiliates maintain majority voting power of Holdings. In April 2006, Holdings acquired the aerostructures division of BAE Systems (Operations) Limited (BAE Aerostructures), which builds structural components for Airbus, a division of the European Aeronautic Defense and Space NV (Airbus) and Boeing. Prior to this acquisition, Holdings sold essentially all of its production to Boeing. Since Spirit s incorporation, the Company has expanded its customer base to include Sikorsky, Rolls-Royce, Gulfstream, Bombardier, Mitsubishi Aircraft Corporation, Southwest Airlines, Continental Airlines, and American Airlines. The Company has its headquarters in Wichita, Kansas, with manufacturing facilities in Tulsa and McAlester, Oklahoma; Prestwick, Scotland; Wichita and Chanute, Kansas; Kinston, North Carolina and Subang, Malaysia. The Company also has an assembly plant for the A350 XWB aircraft in Saint-Nazaire, France.

The Company is the majority participant in the Kansas Industrial Energy Supply Company (KIESC), a tenancy-in-common with other Wichita companies established to purchase natural gas.

The Company participates in two joint ventures, Spirit-Progresstech LLC (Spirit-Progresstech) and Taikoo Spirit AeroSystems Composite Co. Ltd. (TSACCL), of which Spirit s ownership interest is 50.0% and 31.5%, respectively. Spirit-Progresstech provides aerospace engineering support services and TSACCL was formed to develop and implement a state-of-the-art composite and metal bond component repair station in the Asia-Pacific region.

The accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements include the Company s financial statements and the financial statements of its majority-owned subsidiaries and have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. The year-end condensed balance sheet was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP. Investments in business entities in which the Company does not have control, but has the ability to exercise influence over operating and financial policies, including Spirit-Progresstech and TSACCL, are accounted for under the equity method. KIESC is fully consolidated as the Company owns 77.8% of the entity s equity. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The Company s U.K. subsidiary uses local currency, the British pound, as its functional currency; the Malaysian subsidiary uses the British pound and our Singapore subsidiary uses the Singapore dollar. All other foreign subsidiaries and branches use the U.S. dollar as their functional currency.

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

As part of the monthly consolidation process, our international entities that have functional currencies other than the U.S. dollar are translated to U.S. dollars using the end-of-month translation rate for balance sheet accounts and average period currency translation rates for revenue and income accounts.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations for the interim periods. The results of operations for the six months ended June 28, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2012. Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year financial statements and notes to conform to the 2012 presentation. In connection with the preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements, the Company evaluated subsequent events through the date the financial statements were issued. The interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto, included in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on February 23, 2012 (the "2011 Form 10-K").

2. Impact from Severe Weather Event

On April 14, 2012, during a severe weather event, the Company's Wichita, Kansas facility, which includes its headquarters and manufacturing facilities for all Boeing models as well as operations for maintenance, repair and overhaul support and services (MRO), was hit by a tornado which caused significant damage to many buildings, disrupted utilities and resulted in complete suspension of production for eight days. The Company's work-in-process and production equipment generally remained intact, and the Company resumed production on April 23, 2012, although some inefficiencies continued thereafter as a result of the damage and repair efforts.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

The Company maintains comprehensive insurance coverage for both property damage and business interruption up to 2.5 billion dollars. While the Company expects the insurance proceeds will be sufficient to cover most of the repair and replacement cost of the damaged facility and business interruption losses, certain deductibles and limitations will apply and no assurance can be made that proceeds will be sufficient to cover the costs of the entire restoration and recovery. The Company has hired consultants to negotiate with its insurance carriers regarding coverage for the losses sustained.

During the second quarter of 2012, the Company recorded a \$54.5 charge against operating income for property damage, clean-up and recovery costs related to the tornado and expenses incurred to make up for the interruption of production and to reduce further disruptions. Future charges will be recorded as incurred, and while the Company believes that most future losses will be offset by insurance recoveries, subject to plan deductibles and limitations, there can be no assurance that complete offsetting will occur and any difference could be material to the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Property Damage- Under its insurance policies, the Company is entitled to the current replacement cost of damaged assets. If the Company does not replace the damaged assets, or expend the insurance proceeds on other unplanned capital expenditures, then it is entitled to the Actual Cash Value (ACV) of the assets not being replaced. The Company, in conjunction with the insurance adjusters, continues to assess property damage and develop a restoration plan at its Wichita, Kansas facility. As information becomes available, the Company may need to record impairment charges in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards for the impairment of or disposal of long-lived assets. Any future charges are expected to be immaterial due to low net book value of the affected assets. To the extent that insurance proceeds ultimately exceed the net book value of the damaged property, a gain will be recorded in the period when all contingencies for each claim have been resolved. For the three months ended June 28, 2012, the Company recognized \$29.1 of clean-up, repair and replacement costs. The Company has submitted and will continue to submit repair and replacement claims to its insurers.

Business Interruption/ Extra Expenses, including work delays & schedule recovery- As a result of the property damage at the Wichita, Kansas facility, the Company completely suspended production for eight days, with production resuming on April 23, 2012. During that time, the Company immediately implemented a schedule-recovery plan to avoid loss of revenue and to catch-up delayed deliveries. As a result of its implemented schedule-recovery plan, to date, the Company has not incurred any loss of revenue due to the tornado. Under its insurance policies, the Company is entitled to recover, subject to plan deductibles and limitations, the additional expenses incurred by the Company to avoid the loss of revenue and/or to maintain normal operations. For the three months ended June 28, 2012, the Company recognized \$25.4 in additional business interruption expenses incurred as a result of the tornado. The Company has submitted and will continue to submit claims related to these expenses to its insurers. Any insurance recoveries related to business interruption will be recognized in the consolidated statements of operations only when an individual claim has been settled. The ultimate collection and timing of these recoveries could materially impact the Company's short-term and long-term financial position and liquidity.

Insurance Recovery- The Company maintains a property damage and business interruption insurance program comprised of multiple policies issued by a number of participating insurers. The policies generally provide coverage for costs incurred by the Company to repair or replace

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

damaged property, inventory, machinery and equipment. The policies also generally provide coverage for losses and expenses incurred by the Company as a result of the interruption of its business, including, but not limited to, lost revenue, as well as additional expenses incurred by the Company to avoid the loss of revenue and/or to maintain normal operations. The Company has submitted and is in the process of compiling and submitting claims to its insurers for property damage and additional expenses incurred by the Company. As of June 28, 2012, the Company had received from certain insurers a total of \$105.0 in partial payments based on estimated losses, which the Company has recorded as accrued expenses. In accordance with its senior secured credit agreement, the Company provided a certificate to its lenders indicating that all net proceeds received in connection with the destruction caused by the April 14, 2012 tornado would be used for property repairs, replacements and restoration at its Wichita facility. The Company currently estimates that the insurance claims associated with this event will total approximately \$400.0 for both property damage and business interruption expenses combined, which will be subject to applicable deductibles. The Company continues to work with its insurers to determine the amount of deductible(s) applicable to its property damage and business interruption expense claims. Additional expenses will be recognized in future periods as they are incurred and offsetting credits will be recognized in future periods as insurance recoveries are confirmed.

The Company's current and future estimates of property damage and business interruption expenses will likely change as the Company continues to evaluate the full impact of the tornado on its facilities and operations. The Company is currently unable to estimate the amount of any insurance recovery or the date by which its insurance claims will be resolved. Accordingly, no such amounts have been recognized by the Company.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

3. New Accounting Pronouncements

As of June 28, 2012, there have been no material changes to our significant accounting policies as described in our 2011 Form 10-K.

In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-12, *Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05* (FASB ASU 2011-12). The amendments in this update defer certain changes in FASB ASU 2011-05 that relate to the presentation of reclassification adjustments of items out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The provisions of FASB ASU 2011-12 were effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of the provisions of FASB ASU 2011-12 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-11, *Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities* (FASB ASU 2011-11). The amendments in this update will require an entity to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. The intention is to enhance required disclosures by improving information about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either offset in accordance with FASB guidance or are subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement; irrespective of whether they are offset in accordance with FASB guidance. The provisions of FASB ASU 2011-11 will be effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The adoption of the provisions of FASB ASU 2011-11 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-04, *Fair Value Measurements (Topic 820) Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs*, (FASB ASU 2011-04). This update will require disclosures regarding transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, disclosures about the sensitivity of a fair value measurement categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, and the categorization by level of the fair value hierarchy for items that are not measured at fair value in the statement of financial position, but for which the fair value of such items is required to be disclosed. The provisions of FASB ASU 2011-04 are effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of the provisions of FASB ASU 2011-04 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

4. Accounts Receivable, net

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Accounts receivable, net consists of the following:

| | June 28, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Trade receivables (1)(2) | \$ 464.4 | \$ 258.0 |
| Other | 10.2 | 10.6 |
| Less: allowance for doubtful accounts | (4.4) | (1.4) |
| Accounts receivable, net | \$ 470.2 | \$ 267.2 |

(1) Includes unbilled receivables of \$34.7 and \$17.4 at June 28, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

(2) Includes \$69.0 and \$39.7 held in retainage by customers at June 28, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Accounts receivable, net includes unbilled receivables on long-term aerospace contracts, comprised principally of revenue recognized on contracts for which amounts were earned but not contractually billable as of the balance sheet date, or amounts earned in which the recovery will occur over the term of the contract, which could exceed one year.

On May 3, 2012, one of our customers, Hawker Beechcraft, filed for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. Subsequent to the bankruptcy filing, the Company reserved the remaining balance of its \$3.3 receivable from Hawker.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

5. Inventory

Inventories are summarized as follows:

| | June 28, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Raw materials | \$ 246.1 | \$ 236.9 |
| Work-in-process | 1,961.4 | 1,800.0 |
| Finished goods | 35.8 | 40.8 |
| Product inventory | 2,243.3 | 2,077.7 |
| Capitalized pre-production | 557.5 | 553.2 |
| Total inventory, net | \$ 2,800.8 | \$ 2,630.9 |

Inventories are summarized by platform as follows:

| | June 28, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| B737 | \$ 290.6 | \$ 297.2 |
| B747-8(1) | 135.4 | 136.9 |
| B767 | 38.4 | 31.5 |
| B777 | 133.8 | 129.0 |
| B787(2) | 994.4 | 971.1 |
| A350 XWB(3) | 284.9 | 174.2 |
| Airbus All other platforms | 95.0 | 95.5 |
| G280(4) | 104.3 | 80.1 |
| G650 | 547.0 | 501.1 |
| Rolls-Royce | 109.9 | 95.6 |
| Sikorsky (5) | 11.6 | 17.5 |
| Aftermarket | 43.3 | 43.1 |
| Other in-process inventory related to long-term contracts and other programs(6) | 12.2 | 58.1 |
| Total inventory, net | \$ 2,800.8 | \$ 2,630.9 |

(1) Net of \$2.7 forward-loss recorded in the first quarter of 2012 and \$18.3 forward-loss recorded in 2011 for the B747-8 program.

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

- (2) Net of settlement adjustments recorded in 2011 related to the B787 memorandum of agreement between Spirit and Boeing.

- (3) Net of \$6.5 forward-loss recorded during the second quarter of 2012 and \$3.0 forward-loss recorded in 2011 for the A350 XWB non-recurring wing contract.

- (4) Net of \$10.7 forward-loss recorded in the first quarter of 2012 and \$81.8 forward-loss recorded in 2011 for the G280 wing program.

- (5) Net of \$29.0 forward-loss recorded in 2011 for the Sikorsky CH-53K helicopter program.

- (6) Includes non-program specific inventory cost accruals and miscellaneous other work-in-process.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Non-recurring production costs include design and engineering costs and test articles.

Non-recurring production costs included in inventory are summarized as follows:

| | June 28, 2012 | December 31, 2011 (4) |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| B737 | \$ 27.7 | \$ 12.8 |
| B747-8(1) | 7.3 | 10.8 |
| B767 | 14.7 | 5.8 |
| B777 | 9.1 | 1.9 |
| B787 | 37.4 | 17.1 |
| A350 XWB(2) | 31.1 | 41.4 |
| Sikorsky(3) | 11.6 | 17.5 |
| Other | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| Total non-recurring production costs in inventory, net | \$ 140.5 | \$ 109.1 |

(1) Net of \$2.7 forward-loss recorded in the first quarter of 2012 for the B747-8 program.

(2) Net of \$6.5 forward-loss recorded during the second quarter of 2012 and \$3.0 forward-loss recorded in 2011 for the A350 XWB non-recurring wing contract.

(3) Net of \$29.0 forward-loss recorded in 2011 for the Sikorsky CH-53K helicopter program.

(4) Net of \$58.0 reclassification of Rolls-Royce non-recurring inventory to pre-production.

Capitalized pre-production costs include certain contract costs, including applicable overhead, incurred before a product is manufactured on a recurring basis. Significant unfunded statement of work changes can also cause pre-production costs to be incurred. These costs are typically recovered over a certain number of ship set deliveries and the Company believes these amounts will be fully recovered.

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The following is a roll forward of the capitalized pre-production costs, net of forward-losses, included in the inventory balance at June 28, 2012:

| | | |
|-------------------------------|----|--------|
| Balance, December 31, 2011(1) | \$ | 553.2 |
| Charges to costs and expenses | | (23.1) |
| Capitalized costs | | 27.4 |
| Balance, June 28, 2012 | \$ | 557.5 |

(1) Net of \$58.0 reclassification of Rolls-Royce non-recurring inventory to pre-production.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Capitalized pre-production costs, net of forward-losses, included in inventory are summarized as follows:

| | June 28, 2012 | December 31, 2011 (1) |
|--|------------------|--------------------------|
| B747-8 | \$ 6.3 | \$ 5.5 |
| B767 | 2.0 | 0.3 |
| B777 | 1.4 | 1.8 |
| B787 | 201.5 | 210.5 |
| A350 XWB | 56.0 | 36.2 |
| G280 | 3.4 | |
| G650 | 230.4 | 240.9 |
| Rolls-Royce | 56.5 | 58.0 |
| Total capitalized pre-production costs | \$ 557.5 | \$ 553.2 |

(1) Net of \$58.0 reclassification of Rolls-Royce non-recurring inventory to pre-production.

Work-in-process inventory includes deferred production costs for the excess of production costs over the estimated average cost per ship set, and credit balances for favorable variances on contracts between actual costs incurred and the estimated average cost per ship set for units delivered under the current production blocks. Recovery of excess-over-average deferred production costs is dependent on the number of ship sets ultimately sold and the ultimate selling prices and lower production costs associated with future production under these contract blocks. The Company believes these amounts will be fully recovered.

Sales significantly under estimates or costs significantly over estimates could result in the realization of losses on these contracts in future periods.

The following is a roll forward of the deferred production costs included in the inventory balances at June 28, 2012:

| | |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | \$ 813.2 |
| Charges to costs and expenses | (113.7) |
| Capitalized costs | 285.8 |
| Exchange rate | (0.7) |
| Balance, June 28, 2012 | \$ 984.6 |

Deferred production costs included in inventory includes claims asserted by our customer or other similar items subject to uncertainty concerning their determination or realization. At June 28, 2012, these items represented less than 1% of the total ending deferred production balance.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Deferred production costs and credit balances included in inventory are summarized as follows:

| | June 28, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| B747-8 | \$ 26.6 | \$ 31.8 |
| B787 | 567.5 | 533.2 |
| A350 XWB | 83.4 | |
| G280 | 55.2 | 37.2 |
| G650 | 232.0 | 167.1 |
| Rolls-Royce | 37.1 | 25.5 |
| Other contracts | 24.3 | 25.7 |
| Credit balances on other contracts | (41.5) | (7.3) |
| Total deferred production costs | \$ 984.6 | \$ 813.2 |

Significant removal of capitalized pre-production and deferred production inventory will occur over the following contract blocks:

| | Contract Block Quantity | Orders(1) |
|----------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| B747-8(2) | 56 | 97 |
| B787(2) | 500 | 858 |
| A350 XWB(2) | 400 | 549 |
| G280(3) | 250 | 40 |
| G650(4) | 350 | 97 |
| Rolls-Royce(5) | 350 | 51 |

(1) Orders are from the published firm-order backlogs of Airbus and Boeing. For all other programs, orders represent purchase orders received from OEM's and are not reflective of OEM sales backlog.

(2) Contract block quantities are projected to fully absorb the balance of capitalized pre-production and deferred inventory.

(3) As of June 28, 2012, 84% of capitalized pre-production and deferred production inventory is recoverable over future anticipated production orders for this program. Should those orders not materialize in future periods, potential impairment charges may be necessary.

(4) As of June 28, 2012, 72% of capitalized pre-production and deferred production inventory is recoverable over future anticipated production orders for this program. Should those orders not materialize in future periods, potential impairment charges may be necessary.

(5) As of June 28, 2012, 85% of capitalized pre-production and deferred production inventory is recoverable over future anticipated production orders for this program. Should those orders not materialize in future periods, potential impairment charges may be necessary.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

6. Property, Plant and Equipment, net

Property, plant and equipment, net consists of the following:

| | June 28, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Land | \$ 17.0 | \$ 17.0 |
| Buildings (including improvements) | 436.0 | 431.5 |
| Machinery and equipment | 866.7 | 849.3 |
| Tooling | 683.8 | 665.0 |
| Capitalized software | 154.7 | 118.7 |
| Construction-in-progress | 212.1 | 204.0 |
| Total | 2,370.3 | 2,285.5 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (747.0) | (669.8) |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | \$ 1,623.3 | \$ 1,615.7 |

Interest costs associated with construction-in-progress are capitalized until the assets are completed and ready for use. Capitalized interest was \$2.0 and \$1.3 for the three months ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively, and \$3.9 and \$3.0 for the six months ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively. Repair and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. The Company recognized repair and maintenance costs, excluding the impact of the severe weather event, of \$22.7 and \$26.8 for the three months ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively, and \$46.6 and \$51.0 for the six months ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively.

We capitalize certain costs, such as software coding, installation and testing, that are incurred to purchase or to create and implement internal use computer software in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance pertaining to capitalization of costs for internal-use software. Depreciation expense related to capitalized software was \$4.4 and \$4.5 for the three months ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively, and \$8.9 and \$9.2 for the six months ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

7. Other Assets

Other assets are summarized as follows:

| | June 28, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Intangible assets | | |
| Patents | \$ 2.0 | \$ 2.0 |
| Favorable leasehold interests | 9.7 | 9.7 |
| Customer relationships | 26.8 | 26.8 |
| Total intangible assets | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| Less: Accumulated amortization-patents | (1.1) | (1.1) |
| Accumulated amortization-favorable leasehold interest | (4.5) | (4.2) |
| Accumulated amortization-customer relationships | (21.0) | (19.3) |
| Intangible assets, net | 11.9 | 13.9 |
| Deferred financing | | |
| Deferred financing costs | 75.2 | 64.0 |
| Less: Accumulated amortization-deferred financing costs | (46.9) | (35.0) |
| Deferred financing costs, net | 28.3 | 29.0 |
| Other | | |
| Fair value of derivative instruments | | 0.6 |
| Goodwill - Europe | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| Equity in net assets of affiliates | 4.7 | 4.5 |
| Customer supply agreement (1) | 39.5 | 39.8 |
| Other | 5.9 | 5.7 |
| Total | \$ 93.2 | \$ 96.4 |

(1) Under an agreement with our customer Airbus, certain payments accounted for as consideration given by the Company to Airbus are being amortized as a reduction to net revenues.

The Company recognized \$1.1 of amortization expense of intangibles for each of the three month periods ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011, and \$2.1 for each of the six month periods ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011.

The following is a roll forward of the carrying amount of goodwill at June 28, 2012:

| | | |
|----------------------------|----|-----|
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | \$ | 2.9 |
| Goodwill acquired | | |
| Exchange rate | | |
| Balance, June 28, 2012 | \$ | 2.9 |

8. Research and Development Milestones

Milestone payments. Milestone payments are recognized as revenue when milestones are deemed to be substantive and are achieved. A substantive milestone is one that is based on successful performance by the Company and not solely contingent upon the passage of time or performance by another party. Milestone payments collected in advance that have significant future performance obligations are presented as advance payments or deferred revenue, and are recognized when the milestone is achieved.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

As part of our ongoing participation in the B787-9 program, we received research and development milestone payments of \$2.4 and \$5.2 for the three month and six month periods ended June 28, 2012, respectively. We expect to receive additional payments related to research and development on this program. These additional payments remain un-negotiated as of June 28, 2012.

9. Advance Payments and Deferred Revenue/Credits

Advance payments. Advance payments are those payments made to Spirit by third parties in contemplation of the future performance of services, receipt of goods, incurrence of expenditures, or for other assets to be provided by Spirit on a contract and are repayable if such obligation is not satisfied. The amount of advance payments to be recovered against units expected to be delivered within a year is classified as a short-term liability, with the balance of the unliquidated advance payments classified as a long-term liability.

In March 2012, we signed a Memorandum of Agreement with Airbus providing for us to receive advance payments in 2012. The advance payments will be offset against the recurring price of A350 XWB ship sets invoiced by Spirit at a rate of \$1.25 per ship set. We received \$200.0 in the six month period ended June 28, 2012.

Deferred revenue/credits. Deferred revenue/credits generally consists of nonrefundable amounts received in advance of revenue being earned for specific contractual deliverables. These payments are classified as deferred revenue/credits when received and recognized as revenue as the production units are delivered.

Advance payments and deferred revenue/credits are summarized by platform as follows:

| | June 28, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| B737 | \$ 22.3 | \$ 23.6 |
| B747-8 | | 0.2 |
| B787 | 630.8 | 629.1 |
| A350 XWB | 202.6 | 22.9 |
| Airbus All other platforms | 6.9 | 7.4 |
| Gulfstream | 33.1 | 35.6 |
| Other | 10.7 | 9.1 |
| Total advance payments and deferred revenue/credits | \$ 906.4 | \$ 727.9 |

10. Government Grants

We received grants in the form of government funding for a portion of the site construction and other specific capital asset cost at our Kinston, North Carolina and Subang, Malaysia sites. Deferred grant income is being amortized as a reduction to production cost. This amortization is based on specific terms associated with the different grants. In North Carolina, the deferred grant income related to the capital investment criteria, which represents half of the grant, is being amortized over the lives of the assets purchased to satisfy the capital investment performance criteria. The other half of the deferred grant income is being amortized over a ten-year period in a manner consistent with the job performance criteria. In Malaysia, the deferred grant income is being amortized based on the lives of the eligible assets constructed with the grant funds as there are no performance criteria. As of June 28, 2012, the value recorded within property, plant and equipment related to the use of grant funds in North Carolina and Malaysia was \$146.1 prior to amortization, including foreign exchange rate changes.

Deferred grant income liability consists of the following:

| | | |
|--|----|-------|
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | \$ | 127.9 |
| Grant income recognized | | (2.8) |
| Exchange rate | | 0.1 |
| Total deferred grant income liability, June 28, 2012 | \$ | 125.2 |

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

The asset related to the deferred grant income consists of the following:

| | | |
|---|----|-------|
| Beginning Balance, December 31, 2011 | \$ | 128.3 |
| Depreciation | | (2.6) |
| Exchange rate | | 0.1 |
| Total asset value related to deferred grant income, June 28, 2012 | \$ | 125.8 |

11. Fair Value Measurements

FASB's authoritative guidance on fair value measurements defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. It also establishes a fair value hierarchy, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The guidance discloses three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 1 assets and liabilities include debt and equity securities and derivative contracts that are traded in an active exchange market.

Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets and liabilities include debt securities with quoted prices that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments and derivative contracts whose value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data. Observable inputs, such as current and forward interest rates and foreign exchange rates, are used in determining the fair value of our interest rate swaps and foreign currency hedge contracts.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of assets and liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| Description | Fair Value Measurements | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | June 28, 2012 | | At June 28, 2012 using | | | |
| | Total Carrying Amount in Balance Sheet | Assets Measured at Fair Value | Liabilities Measured at Fair Value | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| <i>Money Market Fund</i> | \$ 81.5 | \$ 81.5 | \$ | \$ 81.5 | \$ | \$ |
| <i>Interest Rate Swaps</i> | \$ (4.8) | \$ | \$ (4.8) | \$ | \$ (4.8) | \$ |
| <i>Foreign Currency Hedge Contracts</i> | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

(\$, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

| Description | Total Carrying Amount in Balance Sheet | Fair Value Measurements | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| | | December 31, 2011 | | At December 31, 2011 using | | |
| | | Assets Measured at Fair Value | Liabilities Measured at Fair Value | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| <i>Money Market Fund</i> | \$ 75.3 | \$ 75.3 | \$ | \$ 75.3 | \$ | \$ |
| <i>Interest Rate Swaps</i> | \$ (4.8) | \$ | \$ (4.8) | \$ | \$ (4.8) | \$ |
| <i>Foreign Currency Hedge Contracts</i> | \$ (0.4) | \$ 1.2 | \$ (1.6) | \$ | \$ (0.4) | \$ |

The fair value of the interest rate swaps and foreign currency hedge contracts are determined by using mark-to-market reports generated for each derivative and evaluated for counterparty risk. In the case of the interest rate swaps, the Company evaluated its counterparty risk using credit default swaps, historical default rates and credit spreads.

The Company's long-term debt consists of a senior secured term loan, senior unsecured notes, and the Malaysian term loan. The estimated fair value of our debt obligations is based on the quoted market prices for such obligations or the historical default rate for debt with similar credit ratings. The following table presents the carrying amount and estimated fair value of long-term debt in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance on fair value measurements related to disclosures of financial instruments:

| | June 28, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|--|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| Senior secured term loan (including current portion) | \$ 547.3 | \$ 552.1(1) | \$ 561.9 | \$ 560.1(1) |
| Senior unsecured notes due 2017 | 295.2 | 324.8(1) | 294.9 | 325.5(1) |
| Senior unsecured notes due 2020 | 300.0 | 322.5(1) | 300.0 | 317.9(1) |
| Malaysian loan | 14.5 | 12.7(2) | 16.1 | 14.1(2) |
| Total | \$ 1,157.0 | \$ 1,212.1 | \$ 1,172.9 | \$ 1,217.6 |

(1) Level 1 Fair Value hierarchy

(2) Level 2 Fair Value hierarchy

See Note 13, Investments for fair value disclosure on government and corporate debt securities.

12. Derivative and Hedging Activities

The Company enters into interest rate swap agreements to reduce its exposure to the variable rate portion of its long-term debt. The Company also enters into foreign currency hedge contracts to reduce the risks associated with the changes in foreign exchange rates on sales and cost of sales denominated in currencies other than the entities' functional currency. Any gains or losses on the hedges are included in earnings when the underlying transaction that was hedged occurs. The Company does not use these contracts for speculative or trading purposes. On the inception date, the Company designates a derivative contract as either a fair value or cash flow hedge in accordance with FASB guidance on accounting for derivatives and hedges and links the contract to either a specific asset or liability on the balance sheet, or to forecasted commitments or transactions. The Company formally documents the hedging relationship between the hedging instrument and the hedged item, as well as its risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking the hedge, the nature of the risk being hedged, how the hedging instrument's effectiveness in offsetting the hedged risk

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

(\$, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

will be assessed and a description of the method of measuring ineffectiveness. The Company also formally assesses, both at the hedge's inception and on a quarterly basis, whether the derivative item is effective in offsetting changes in fair value or cash flows.

Changes in the fair value of derivative instruments considered to be effective hedges are reported in other comprehensive income, net of tax. In the case of interest rate swaps, amounts are subsequently reclassified into interest expense as a yield adjustment of the hedged interest payments in the same period in which the related interest affects earnings. If the actual interest rate on the fixed rate portion of debt is less than LIBOR, the monies received are recorded as an offset to interest expense. Conversely, if the actual interest rate on the fixed rate portion of debt is greater than LIBOR, then the Company pays the difference, which is recorded to interest expense. Reclassifications of the amounts related to the foreign currency hedge contracts are recorded to earnings in the same period in which the underlying transaction occurs. Any change in the fair value resulting from ineffectiveness is immediately recognized in earnings.

The Company also considers counterparty credit risk and its own credit risk in its determination of all estimated fair values. The Company has applied these valuation techniques as of June 28, 2012 and believes it has obtained the most accurate information available for the types of derivative contracts it holds. The Company attempts to manage exposure to counterparty credit risk by only entering into agreements with major financial institutions which are expected to be able to fully perform under the terms of the agreement.

The Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively when it is determined that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows of the hedged item; the derivative expires or is sold, terminated or exercised; the derivative is no longer designated as a hedging instrument because it is unlikely that a forecasted transaction will occur; or management determines that the designation of the derivative as a hedging instrument is no longer appropriate. When hedge accounting is discontinued, the Company continues to carry the derivative instrument on the balance sheet at its fair value with subsequent changes in fair value included in earnings, and gains and losses that were accumulated in other comprehensive income are recognized immediately in earnings to the extent the forecasted transaction is not expected to occur, or when the underlying transaction settles.

To the extent that derivative instruments do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment, the changes in fair market value of the instruments are reported in the results of operations for the current period. As a result of the senior secured Credit Agreement entered into on April 18, 2012, the interest rate swaps no longer qualify for hedge accounting while LIBOR is below the LIBOR floor of 75 basis points under the Credit Agreement. Amounts in other comprehensive income for interest rate swaps as of April 18, 2012 remain in other comprehensive income and will be amortized over the remaining tenor of the interest rate swaps.

The Company enters into master netting arrangements for its derivatives to mitigate the credit risk of financial instruments.

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The Company's hedge agreements do not include provisions requiring collateral. The Company has certain derivative instruments covered by master netting arrangements whereby, in the event of a default as defined by the senior secured credit facility or termination event, the non-defaulting party has the right to offset any amounts payable against any obligation of the defaulting party under the same counterparty agreement.

The entire asset classes of the Company, including hedges, are pledged as collateral for both the term loan and the revolving credit facility under the Company's senior secured credit facility (see Note 14, Debt).

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

(\$, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Interest Rate Swaps

We enter into floating-to-fixed interest rate swap agreements periodically. As of June 28, 2012, the interest rate swap agreements had notional amounts totaling \$325.0.

| Notional Amount | | Expires | Variable Rate | Fixed Rate (1) | Effective Fixed Rate(2) | Fair Value, June 28, 2012 |
|-----------------|-----|------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| \$ | 50 | March 2013 | 1 Month LIBOR | 0.72% | N/A | \$ (0.2) |
| \$ | 50 | June 2013 | 1 Month LIBOR | 0.84% | N/A | \$ (0.2) |
| \$ | 225 | July 2014 | 1 Month LIBOR | 1.37% | N/A | \$ (4.4) |
| | | | | | Total | \$ (4.8) |

(1) The fixed rate represents the rate at which interest is paid by the Company pursuant to the terms of its interest rate swap agreements.

(2) As of June 28, 2012 the interest rate swaps are no longer effective and therefore the effective fixed rate is not applicable.

The purpose of entering into these swaps was to reduce the Company's exposure to variable interest rates. The interest rate swaps settle on a monthly basis when interest payments are made. These settlements occur through the maturity date. The interest rate swaps are being accounted for as cash flow hedges in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance. The fair value of the interest rate swaps was a liability (unrealized loss) of (\$4.8) at June 28, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Foreign Currency Forward Contracts

Spirit's wholly-owned subsidiary Spirit AeroSystems (Europe) Limited (Spirit Europe) has certain sales, expenses, assets and liabilities that are denominated in British pounds sterling. However, certain sales of Spirit Europe's products and some procurement costs are denominated in U.S. dollars and Euros. As a consequence, movements in exchange rates could cause net sales and our expenses to fluctuate, affecting our profitability and cash flows. In addition, even when revenues and expenses are matched, we must translate British pound sterling denominated results of operations, assets and liabilities for our foreign subsidiaries to U.S. dollars in our consolidated financial statements. Consequently, increases and decreases in the value of the U.S. dollar as compared to the British pound sterling will affect our reported results of operations and the value of our assets and liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet, even if our results of operations or the value of those assets and

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

liabilities has not changed in its original currency. These transactions could significantly affect the comparability of our results between financial periods and/or result in significant changes to the carrying value of our assets, liabilities and shareholders' equity.

We use foreign currency hedge contracts to reduce our exposure to currency exchange rate fluctuations, which include hedging contracts to hedge U.S. dollar revenue from certain customers. The objective of these contracts is to minimize the impact of currency exchange rate movements on our operating results. The hedges are being accounted for as cash flow hedges in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance. Gains and losses from these cash flow hedges are recorded to other comprehensive income until the underlying transaction for which the hedge was placed occurs and then the value in other comprehensive income is reclassified to earnings. The exception to the aforementioned treatment of realized gains/losses involves certain cash payments to Airbus, payable in British pounds sterling which were hedged, and this amount in other comprehensive income was reclassified into other assets when the underlying transaction occurred and will be amortized over the first A350 XWB contract block. The fair value of the forward contracts was a net asset of less than \$0.1 as of June 28, 2012.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

(\$, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Notional Amount

| Year | June 28, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | USD Buy/(Sell) | Foreign Buy/(Sell) | USD Buy/(Sell) | Foreign Buy/(Sell) |
| 2012 | \$ (2.8) | £ 1.8 | \$ (9.0) | £ 5.6 |
| 2013 | | | | (0.1) |
| | \$ (2.8) | £ 1.8 | \$ (9.0) | £ 5.5 |

The following table summarizes the Company's fair value of outstanding derivatives at June 28, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

| | Fair Values of Derivative Instruments | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| | Other Asset Derivatives | | Other Liability Derivatives | |
| | June 28, 2012 | December 31, 2011 | June 28, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | | | | |
| Current | \$ | \$ | \$ 2.8 | \$ 2.4 |
| Non-current | | | 2.0 | 2.4 |
| Foreign currency hedge contracts | | | | |
| Current | | | | 0.2 |
| Non-current | | | | |
| Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | | 4.8 | 5.0 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | | | | |
| Foreign currency hedge contracts | | | | |
| Current | | 0.6 | | 0.7 |
| Non-current | | 0.6 | | 0.7 |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | | 1.2 | | 1.4 |
| Total derivatives | \$ | \$ | \$ 4.8 | \$ 6.4 |

The impact on other comprehensive income (OCI) and earnings from cash flow hedges for the three and for the six months ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011 was as follows:

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships | Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in OCI, net of tax, on Derivative | | Location of (Gain) or Loss | | Amount of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income | | Location of (Gain) or Loss Recognized in Income | | Amount of Loss Recognized in Income | |
|--|---|-----------------|--|-----------------|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | (Effective Portion) | Accumulated OCI | (Effective Portion) | Accumulated OCI | (Effective Portion) | Accumulated OCI | (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) | Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing | (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) | Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing |
| | For the Three Months Ended June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 | For the Three Months Ended June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 | For the Three Months Ended June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 | For the Three Months Ended June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 | For the Three Months Ended June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 |
| Interest rate swaps | \$ (0.2) | \$ (1.5) | Interest expense | \$ 2.4 | \$ 3.2 | Other (income)/ expense | \$ | \$ | | |
| Foreign currency hedge contracts | (0.1) | 0.2 | Sales/ Revenue | | | Other (income)/expense | | | | |
| Total | \$ (0.3) | \$ (1.3) | | \$ 2.4 | \$ 3.2 | | \$ | \$ | | |

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

| Cash Flow Relationships | Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in OCI, net of tax, on Derivative | | Location of (Gain) or Loss | | Amount of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income | | Location of (Gain) or Loss Recognized in Income | | Amount of Loss Recognized in Income | |
|----------------------------------|---|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------|---|---|--|---------------|
| | For the Six Months Ended June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 | Accumulated OCI (Effective Portion) | into Income (Effective Portion) | For the Six Months Ended June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 | and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing | Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) | For the Six Months Ended June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 |
| Interest rate swaps | \$ (0.9) | \$ (1.6) | Interest expense | | \$ 3.1 | \$ 6.4 | Other (income)/expense | | \$ | \$ |
| Foreign currency hedge contracts | | 0.8 | Sales/ Revenue | | 0.1 | 0.1 | Other (income)/expense | | | |
| Total | \$ (0.9) | \$ (0.8) | | | \$ 3.2 | \$ 6.5 | | | \$ | \$ |

The impact on earnings from interest rate swaps that are no longer effective was \$0.3 loss for the six months ended June 28, 2012 and zero for six months ended June 30, 2011.

The impact on earnings from foreign currency hedge contracts that do not qualify as cash flow hedges was \$0.2 income for the six months ended June 28, 2012 and less than (\$0.1) loss for six months ended June 30, 2011.

Gains and losses accumulated in OCI for interest rate swaps are reclassified into earnings as each interest rate period is reset. During the next twelve months, the Company estimates that a loss of (\$0.7) will be reclassified from OCI, net of tax, as a charge to earnings from interest rate swaps. Interest rate swaps are placed for a period of time not to exceed the maturity of the Company's senior secured term loan. None of the gains or losses reclassified to earnings were attributable to the discontinuance of cash flow hedges.

Gains and losses accumulated in OCI for foreign currency hedge contracts are reclassified into earnings as the underlying transactions for which the contracts were entered into are realized. During the next twelve months, the Company estimates that a gain of less than \$0.1 will be reclassified from OCI, net of tax. None of the gains or losses reclassified to earnings are attributable to the discontinuance of cash flow hedges.

13. Investments

The amortized cost and approximate fair value of held-to-maturity securities are as follows:

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | June 28, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|---|---------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Current | Noncurrent | Current | Noncurrent |
| Government and Corporate Debt Securities | | | | |
| Amortized cost | \$ 0.5 | \$ 3.0 | \$ 0.4 | \$ 3.1 |
| Unrealized gains | | | | |
| Unrealized losses | | | | (0.1) |
| Fair value | \$ 0.5 | \$ 3.0 | \$ 0.4 | \$ 3.0 |

Maturities of held-to-maturity securities at June 28, 2012 are as follows:

| | Amortized Cost | Approximate Fair Value |
|-------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Within One Year | \$ 0.5 | \$ 0.5 |
| One to Five Years | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Five to Ten Years | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| After Ten Years | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Total | \$ 3.5 | \$ 3.5 |

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

At June 28, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the fair value of certain investments in debt and marketable securities are less than their historical cost. Total fair value of these investments was \$1.8 for each of the periods ended, which is approximately 54% and 53% of the Company's held-to-maturity investment portfolio. These declines primarily resulted from decreases in market interest rates and failure of certain investments to maintain consistent credit quality ratings or meet projected earnings targets.

Based on evaluation of available evidence, including changes in market interest rates, credit rating information and information obtained from regulatory filings, management believes the declines in fair value for these securities are temporary.

Should the impairment of any of these securities become other-than-temporary, the cost basis of the investment will be reduced and the resulting loss recognized in net income in the period the permanent impairment is identified.

14. Debt

Total debt shown on the balance sheet is comprised of the following:

| | | | | |
|--|----|---------|----|---------|
| Senior secured term loan (short and long-term) | \$ | 547.3 | \$ | 561.9 |
| Senior notes (due 2017 and 2020) | | 595.2 | | 594.9 |
| Malaysian term loan | | 14.5 | | 16.1 |
| Present value of capital lease obligations | | 13.9 | | 15.2 |
| Other | | 7.4 | | 12.8 |
| Total | \$ | 1,178.3 | \$ | 1,200.9 |

Senior Secured Term Loan

On April 18, 2012, Spirit entered into a 1.2 billion dollar senior secured Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") consisting of a \$650.0 revolving credit facility and a \$550.0 term loan B facility. The Credit Agreement refinances and replaces the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of November 27, 2006, as amended. Proceeds of the new term loan were used to pay off outstanding amounts under the prior credit agreement. The revolving credit facility matures April 18, 2017 and bears interest, at Spirit's option, at either LIBOR, or a

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

defined base rate plus an applicable margin based on Spirit's debt-to-EBITDA ratio (see table below). The term loan matures April 18, 2019 and bears interest, at Spirit's option, at LIBOR plus 3.00% with a LIBOR floor of 0.75% or base rate plus 2.00%, subject to a step down to LIBOR plus 2.75% or base rate plus 1.75%, as applicable, in the event Spirit's secured debt-to-EBITDA ratio is below 1:1 at any time after 2012. Substantially all of Spirit's assets, including inventory and property, plant and equipment, were pledged as collateral for both the term loan and the revolving credit facility. As of June 28, 2012, the outstanding balance of the term loan was \$550.0. As of December 31, 2011, the outstanding balance of the old term loan, which was repaid upon closing of the new credit facilities, was \$561.9. As of June 28, 2012 the carrying amount of the term loan was \$547.3. The amount outstanding under the revolving credit facility was zero at June 28, 2012. The amount outstanding under the old revolving credit facility was zero at December 31, 2011. As of June 28, 2012, there were \$19.9 of letters of credit outstanding under the revolving credit facility. The Company recorded a charge of \$9.5 in the second quarter of 2012 for unamortized deferred financing fees as a result of extinguishment of the debt under the prior credit agreement.

In addition to paying interest on outstanding principal under the Credit Agreement, Spirit is required to pay an unused line fee on the unused portion of the commitments under the revolving credit facility based on Spirit's debt-to-EBITDA ratio (see table below). Spirit is required to pay letter of credit fees equal to the applicable margin for LIBOR rate revolving credit borrowings with respect to letters of credit issued under the revolving credit facility (see table below). Spirit is also required to pay to the issuing banks that issue any letters of credit, letter of credit fronting fees in respect of letters of credit equal to 20 basis points per year, and to the administrative agent thereunder customary administrative fees.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

(\$, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

| Pricing Tier | Debt-to-EBITDA Ratio | Commitment Fee | Letter of Credit Fee | Eurodollar Rate Loans | Base Rate Loans |
|--------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 1 | ≥ 3.0:1 | 0.450% | 2.50% | 2.50% | 1.50% |
| 2 | < 3.0:1 but ≥ 2.25:1 | 0.375% | 2.25% | 2.25% | 1.25% |
| 3 | < 2.25:1 but ≥ 1.75:1 | 0.300% | 2.00% | 2.00% | 1.00% |
| 4 | < 1.75:1 | 0.250% | 1.75% | 1.75% | 0.75% |

At June 28, 2012, the Company's total leverage ratio was 2.03:1.0, resulting in applicable margins under the revolving credit facility of 2.00% and 1.00% on Eurodollar and base rate loans, respectively. In addition, as of June 28, 2012, commitment fees on the undrawn portion of the revolving credit facility and letter of credit fees were 0.30% and 2.00% respectively.

The Credit Agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, including restrictions on indebtedness, liens, type of business, acquisitions, investments, sales or transfers of assets, payments of dividends, transactions with affiliates, change in control and other matters customarily restricted in such agreements. The Credit Agreement also contained the following financial covenants (as defined in the Credit Agreement) through the final maturity date of the Credit Agreement:

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Senior Secured Leverage Ratio | Shall not exceed 2.75:1.0 |
| Interest Coverage Ratio | Shall not be less than 4.0:1.0 |
| Total Leverage Ratio | Shall not exceed 4.0:1.0 |

The Financial Covenant ratios are calculated as of the last day of each fiscal quarter. Failure to meet these financial covenants would be an event of default under the Credit Agreement. As of June 28, 2012, we were and expected to remain, for the foreseeable future, in full compliance with all covenants contained within our Credit Agreement.

Senior Notes

On November 18, 2010, we issued \$300.0 aggregate of 6.75% Senior Notes due December 15, 2020 (the 2020 Notes), with interest payable, in cash in arrears, on June 15 and December 15 of each year, beginning June 15, 2011. The 2020 Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis by the Company and Spirit's existing and future domestic subsidiaries that guarantee Spirit's obligations under Spirit's senior secured credit facility. The carrying value of the 2020 Notes was \$300.0 as of June 28, 2012.

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

On September 30, 2009, we issued \$300.0 of 7.50% Senior Notes due October 1, 2017 (the 2017 Notes), with interest payable, in cash in arrears, on April 1 and October 1 of each year, beginning April 1, 2010. The 2017 Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis by the Company and Spirit s existing and future domestic subsidiaries that guarantee Spirit s obligations under Spirit s senior secured credit facility. The carrying value of the 2017 Notes was \$295.2 as of June 28, 2012.

As of June 28, 2012, we were and expect to remain in full compliance with all covenants contained in the indentures governing the 2020 Notes and the 2017 Notes for the foreseeable future.

Malaysian Term Loan

On June 2, 2008, the Company s wholly-owned subsidiary, Spirit AeroSystems Malaysia SDN BHD entered into a Facility Agreement for a term loan facility for Ringgit Malaysia (RM) 69.2 (approximately USD \$20.0 equivalent) (the Malaysia Facility), with the Malaysian Export-Import Bank. The Malaysia Facility requires quarterly principal repayments of RM 3.3 (USD \$1.0) from September 2011 through May 2017 and quarterly interest payments payable at a fixed interest rate of 3.50% per annum. The Malaysia Facility loan balance as of June 28, 2012 was \$14.5.

France Factory

On July 17, 2009, the Company s indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, Spirit AeroSystems France SARL entered into a capital lease agreement for 9.0 (approximately USD \$13.1 equivalent) with a subsidiary of BNP Paribas Bank to be used towards the construction

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

(\$, €, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

of an aerospace-related component assembly plant in Saint-Nazaire, France. Lease payments are variable, subject to the three-month Euribor rate plus 2.20%. Lease payments are due quarterly through April 2025. As of June 28, 2012, the Saint-Nazaire capital lease balance was \$10.6.

Lease Commitment

In September 2011, the Company entered into a lease agreement for a building in Kinston, North Carolina. As part of the lease agreement, the Company's landlord agreed to certain renovations to the facility to convert the space from a warehouse to a manufacturing facility. The Company may be responsible for a portion of the construction costs and has been deemed as the owner of the building during the construction period under the FASB authoritative guidance on accounting for lessee involvement in asset construction. A total of \$5.6 has been capitalized to record the facility on the Company's books with an offsetting credit to the lease financing liability.

The initial renovations were completed during the first quarter of 2012, and the lease was reviewed for potential sale-leaseback treatment in accordance with the FASB authoritative guidance on accounting for lessee involvement in asset construction. The lease qualified for sale-leaseback treatment and the \$5.6 that had been capitalized along with the offsetting credit to the lease financing liability was reversed.

15. Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefits

| Components of Net Periodic Pension Income | Defined Benefit Plans | | | |
|---|----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| | For the Three Months Ended | | For the Six Months Ended | |
| | June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 | June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 |
| Service cost | \$ 1.7 | \$ 1.4 | \$ 3.3 | \$ 2.8 |
| Interest cost | 11.3 | 11.0 | 22.6 | 22.2 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (18.7) | (17.0) | (37.4) | (33.6) |
| Amortization of net (gain) | 1.0 | (0.5) | 2.8 | (0.1) |
| Net periodic pension income | \$ (4.7) | \$ (5.1) | \$ (8.7) | \$ (8.7) |

| Components of Other Benefit Expense | Other Benefits | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| | For the Three Months Ended | | For the Six Months Ended | |
| | June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 | June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 |
| Service cost | \$ 0.9 | \$ 0.7 | \$ 1.7 | \$ 1.5 |

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|
| Interest cost | | 0.8 | | 0.9 | | 1.7 | | 1.9 |
| Amortization of net loss | | 0.5 | | | | 0.6 | | 0.3 |
| Net periodic other benefit expense | \$ | 2.2 | \$ | 1.6 | \$ | 4.0 | \$ | 3.7 |

Employer Contributions

We expect to contribute zero dollars to the U.S. qualified pension plan and less than \$0.7 to both the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) and post-retirement medical plans in 2012. Our projected contributions to the U.K. pension plan for 2012 are \$8.8, of which \$4.1 was contributed by the end of the second quarter of 2012. We anticipate contributing the additional \$4.7 to the U.K. pension plan during the remainder of 2012. The entire amount contributed and the projected contributions can vary based on exchange rate fluctuations.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

16. Stock Compensation

Holdings has established various stock compensation plans which include restricted share grants and stock purchase plans. Compensation values are based on the value of Holdings' common stock at the grant date. The common stock value is added to equity and charged to period expense or included in inventory and cost of sales.

For the three months ended June 28, 2012, Holdings recognized a net total of \$4.7 of stock compensation expense, which is net of stock forfeitures, as compared to \$3.0 of stock compensation expense, net of forfeitures, for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Of the total \$4.7 of stock compensation expense recorded for the three months ended June 28, 2012, \$2.5 was recorded as selling, general and administrative expense and the remaining \$2.2 was charged directly to cost of sales in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance. The entire \$3.0 of stock compensation expense recorded for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was recorded as selling, general and administrative expense.

For the six months ended June 28, 2012, the Company recognized a total of \$8.6 of stock compensation expense, net of forfeitures, as compared to \$5.2 of stock compensation expense, net of forfeitures, recognized for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Of the total \$8.6 of stock compensation expense recorded for the six months ended June 28, 2012, in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance, \$2.2 was charged directly to cost of sales and \$6.4 was recorded as selling, general and administrative expense, which includes \$0.9 of accelerated vesting expense for participants meeting the conditions for Qualifying Retirement under the Short-Term Incentive Plan or STIP as set out in the Proxy Statement for our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders. Of the \$5.2 of stock compensation expense recorded for the six months ended June 30, 2011, less than \$0.1 was charged directly to cost of sales, \$5.1 was recorded as selling, general and administrative expense, and the remaining \$0.1 was capitalized in inventory and is recognized through cost of sales in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance.

In February 2012, 104,405 shares of Class A common stock with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$2.5 were granted under the Company's Short-Term Incentive Plan and such shares will vest on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. Additionally, 169,391 shares of Class A common stock with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$4.3 granted under the Company's Short-Term Incentive Plan vested during the quarter ended March 29, 2012.

In May 2012, 618,804 shares of Class A common stock with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$15.3 were granted under the Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan and such shares will vest annually in three equal installments beginning on the two-year anniversary of the grant date. Under the Company's Board of Director's Stock Plan 29,271 shares of Class A common stock with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$0.7 were granted during the quarter, and such shares will vest on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. Additionally, 421,088 shares of Class A common stock with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$7.8 awarded under the Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan and 27,063 shares of Class A common stock with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$0.6 awarded under the Board of Director's Stock Plan vested during the quarter ended June 28, 2012.

On June 22, 2012, 92,250 shares of Class A common stock with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$2.2 were granted to members of the UAW union pursuant to performance improvements provided for in the 2010 ten-year labor contract. The shares vested immediately upon issuance.

17. Income Taxes

The process for calculating our income tax expense involves estimating actual current taxes due plus assessing temporary differences arising from differing treatment for tax and accounting purposes that are recorded as deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets are periodically evaluated to determine their recoverability. The total net deferred tax assets at June 28, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were \$96.6 and \$102.1, respectively.

We file income tax returns in all jurisdictions in which we operate. We established reserves to provide for additional income taxes that may be due in future years as these previously filed tax returns are audited. These reserves have been established based on management's assessment as to the potential exposure attributable to permanent differences and associated interest. All tax reserves are analyzed quarterly and adjustments made as events occur that warrant modification.

In general, the Company records income tax expense each quarter based on its best estimate as to the full year's effective tax rate. Certain items, however, are given discrete period treatment and the tax effects for such items are therefore reported in the quarter that

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

(\$, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

an event arises. Events or items that give rise to discrete recognition include finalizing amounts in income tax returns filed, finalizing audit examinations for open tax years, and an expiring statute of limitations.

We continue to operate under a tax holiday in Malaysia effective through September 2024. Management is maintaining a reserve for potential uncertainty in meeting the tax holiday's conditional employment and investment thresholds.

The 31.0% effective tax rate for the six months ended June 28, 2012 differs from the 29.4% effective tax rate for the same period in 2011 primarily due to maintaining a reserve this year for the Malaysia tax holiday benefit and expiration of the U.S. Research Credit on December 31, 2011.

We are participating in the Internal Revenue Service's Compliance Assurance Process (CAP) program for our 2011 and 2012 tax years. The CAP program's objective is to resolve issues in a timely, contemporaneous manner and eliminate the need for a lengthy post-filing examination. HM Revenue & Customs is currently examining our 2009 U.K. income tax return. While a change could result from the ongoing examinations, the Company expects no material change in its recorded unrecognized tax benefit liability in the next 12 months.

18. Equity

Earnings per Share Calculation

Basic earnings per share represents the income available to common shareholders divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the measurement period. Diluted earnings per share represents the income available to common shareholders divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the measurement period while also giving effect to all potentially dilutive common shares that were outstanding during the period.

Subject to preferences that may apply to shares of preferred stock outstanding at the time, holders of the Company's outstanding common stock are entitled to any dividend declared by the Board of Directors out of funds legally available for this purpose. No dividend may be declared on the class A or class B common stock unless at the same time an equal dividend is paid on every share of class A and class B common stock. Dividends paid in shares of the Company's common stock must be paid, with respect to a particular class of common stock, in shares of that

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

class. The Company does not intend to pay cash dividends on its common stock. In addition, the terms of the Company's current financing agreements preclude it from paying any cash dividends on its common stock.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

| | June 28, 2012 | | For the Three Months Ended | | June 30, 2011 | | Per Share Amount |
|--|---------------|--------|----------------------------|---------|---------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Income | Shares | Per Share Amount | Income | Shares | Per Share Amount | |
| Basic EPS | | | | | | | |
| Income available to common shareholders | \$ 34.3 | 139.9 | \$ 0.25 | \$ 29.5 | 139.2 | \$ 0.21 | |
| Income allocated to participating securities | 0.6 | 2.3 | | 0.6 | 2.4 | | |
| Net Income | \$ 34.9 | | | \$ 30.1 | | | |
| Diluted potential common shares | | 0.5 | | | 0.7 | | |
| Diluted EPS | | | | | | | |
| Net Income | \$ 34.9 | 142.7 | \$ 0.24 | \$ 30.1 | 142.3 | \$ 0.21 | |

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

| | June 28, 2012 | | For the Six Months Ended | | June 30, 2011 | |
|--|---------------|--------|--------------------------|---------|---------------|------------------|
| | Income | Shares | Per Share Amount | Income | Shares | Per Share Amount |
| Basic EPS | | | | | | |
| Income available to common shareholders | \$ 106.7 | 139.7 | \$ 0.76 | \$ 63.5 | 138.9 | \$ 0.46 |
| Income allocated to participating securities | 1.8 | 2.3 | | 1.2 | 2.5 | |
| Net Income | \$ 108.5 | | | \$ 64.7 | | |
| Diluted potential common shares | | 0.6 | | | 1.0 | |
| Diluted EPS | | | | | | |
| Net Income | \$ 108.5 | 142.6 | \$ 0.76 | \$ 64.7 | 142.4 | \$ 0.45 |

The balance of outstanding common shares presented in the consolidated statement of shareholders' equity was 143.7 million and 142.8 million at June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively. Included in the outstanding common shares at each of June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011 were 2.7 million issued but unvested shares which are excluded from the basic EPS calculation.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss is summarized by component as follows:

| | June 28, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--|---------------|-------------------|
| Interest rate swaps | \$ (1.8) | \$ (2.8) |
| Foreign currency hedges | | (0.1) |
| Pension | (91.6) | (91.5) |
| SERP/ Retiree medical | (12.2) | (12.7) |
| Foreign currency impact on long term intercompany loan | (5.7) | (5.7) |
| Currency translation adjustment | (13.4) | (13.4) |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive loss | \$ (124.7) | \$ (126.2) |

Noncontrolling Interest

Noncontrolling interest at June 28, 2012 remained unchanged from the prior year at \$0.5.

19. Related Party Transactions

On March 26, 2007, Hawker Beechcraft, Inc. (Hawker), of which Onex Partners II LP (an affiliate of Onex) owns approximately a 49% interest, acquired Raytheon Aircraft Acquisition Company and substantially all of the assets of Raytheon Aircraft Services Limited. The Company's Prestwick facility provides wing components for the Hawker 800 Series manufactured by Hawker. For the three months ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011, sales to Hawker were zero and \$2.3, respectively, and for the six months ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011 sales to Hawker were \$1.2 and \$4.5, respectively. Receivables due from Hawker were \$3.3 as of June 28, 2012, net of a \$0.3 receivable write-off.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

On May 3, 2012, Hawker filed for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. Subsequent to the bankruptcy filing, the Company reserved the remaining balance of Spirit's \$3.3 receivable from Hawker.

The Company paid \$0.2 to a subsidiary of Onex for services rendered for each of the three month periods ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011 and \$0.2 for each of the six months ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011. Management believes the amounts charged were reasonable in relation to the services provided.

The spouse of one of the Company's executives who retired in 2011 is a special counsel at a law firm utilized by the Company and at which the executive was previously employed. The Company paid fees of \$0.7 and \$0.9 to the firm for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

On April 20, 2011, an executive of the Company was elected to the Board of Directors of Rockwell Collins, Inc., a supplier of manufacturing parts to the Company. Under the commercial terms of the arrangement with the supplier, Spirit paid less than \$0.1 for each of the three and six month periods ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011. The amounts owed to Rockwell Collins and recorded as accrued liabilities were less than \$0.1 as of June 28, 2012.

An executive of the Company is a member of the Board of Directors of a Wichita, Kansas bank that provides banking services to Spirit. In connection with the banking services provided to Spirit, the Company pays fees consistent with commercial terms that would be available to unrelated third parties. Such fees are not material to the Company.

20. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees

Litigation

From time to time we are subject to, and are presently involved in, litigation or other legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. While the final outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, considering, among other things, the meritorious legal defenses available, it is the opinion of the Company that none of these items, when finally resolved, will have a material adverse effect on the Company's long-term financial position or liquidity. Consistent with the requirements of authoritative guidance on accounting for contingencies, we had no

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

accruals at June 28, 2012 or December 31, 2011 for loss contingencies. However, an unexpected adverse resolution of one or more of these items could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations in a particular quarter or fiscal year.

From time to time, in the ordinary course of business and like others in the industry, we receive requests for information from government agencies in connection with their regulatory or investigational authority. Such requests can include subpoenas or demand letters for documents to assist the government in audits or investigations. We review such requests and notices and take appropriate action. We have been subject to certain requests for information and investigations in the past and could be subject to such requests for information and investigations in the future. Additionally, we are subject to federal and state requirements for protection of the environment, including those for disposal of hazardous waste and remediation of contaminated sites. As a result, we are required to participate in certain government investigations regarding environmental remediation actions.

In December 2005, a lawsuit was filed against Spirit, Onex and Boeing alleging age discrimination in the hiring of employees by Spirit when Boeing sold its Wichita commercial division to Onex. The complaint was filed in U.S. District Court in Wichita, Kansas and seeks class-action status, an unspecified amount of compensatory damages and more than 1.5 billion dollars in punitive damages. The asset purchase agreement from the Boeing Acquisition requires Spirit to indemnify Boeing for damages resulting from the employment decisions that were made by us with respect to former employees of Boeing Wichita, which relate or allegedly relate to the involvement of, or consultation with, employees of Boeing in such employment decisions. On June 30, 2010, the U.S. District Court granted defendants' dispositive motions, finding that the case should not be allowed to proceed as a class action. The matter is now on appeal to the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals, which could reverse the District Court's June 30, 2010 ruling. The Company intends to continue to vigorously defend itself in this matter. Management believes the resolution of this matter will not materially affect the Company's financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

In December 2005, a federal grand jury sitting in Topeka, Kansas issued subpoenas regarding the vapor degreasing equipment at our Wichita, Kansas facility. The government's investigation appeared to focus on whether the degreasers were operating within permit parameters and whether chemical wastes from the degreasers were disposed of properly. The subpoenas covered a time period both before and after our purchase of the Wichita, Kansas facility. Subpoenas were issued to Boeing, Spirit and individuals who were

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

employed by Boeing prior to the Boeing Acquisition, but are now employed by us. We responded to the subpoena and provided additional information to the government as requested. On March 25, 2008, the U.S. Attorney's Office informed the Company that it was closing its criminal file on the investigation. A civil investigation into this matter is ongoing. Management believes the resolution of this matter will not materially affect the Company's financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

On February 16, 2007, an action entitled Harkness et al. v. The Boeing Company et al. was filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas. The defendants were served in early July 2007. The defendants include Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc., Spirit AeroSystems, Inc., the Spirit AeroSystems Holdings Inc. Retirement Plan for the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW), Wichita Engineering Unit (SPEEA WEU) and Wichita Technical and Professional Unit (SPEEA WTPU) Employees, and the Spirit AeroSystems Retirement Plan for International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers (IAM) Employees, along with Boeing and Boeing retirement and health plan entities. The named plaintiffs are twelve former Boeing employees, eight of whom were or are employees of Spirit. The plaintiffs assert several claims under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act and general contract law and brought the case as a class action on behalf of similarly situated individuals. The putative class consists of approximately 2,500 current or former employees of Spirit. The parties agreed to class certification. The sub-class members who have asserted claims against the Spirit entities are those individuals who, as of June 2005, were employed by Boeing in Wichita, Kansas, were participants in the Boeing pension plan, had at least 10 years of vesting service in the Boeing plan, were in jobs represented by a union, were between the ages of 49 and 55, and who went to work for Spirit on or about June 17, 2005. Although there are many claims in the suit, the plaintiffs' claims against the Spirit entities, asserted under various theories, are (1) that the Spirit plans wrongfully failed to determine that certain plaintiffs are entitled to early retirement bridging rights to pension and retiree medical benefits that were allegedly triggered by their separation from employment by Boeing and (2) that the plaintiffs' pension benefits were unlawfully transferred from Boeing to Spirit in that their claimed early retirement bridging rights are not being afforded these individuals as a result of their separation from Boeing, thereby decreasing their benefits. The plaintiffs initially sought a declaration that they are entitled to the early retirement pension benefits and retiree medical benefits, an injunction ordering that the defendants provide the benefits, damages pursuant to breach of contract claims and attorney fees. Following the completion of discovery, however, plaintiffs' counsel informed Spirit counsel that plaintiffs' counsel had determined that all claims against the Spirit entities were not viable, and plaintiffs' counsel agreed to work with the Spirit entities to seek the voluntary dismissal with prejudice of all claims against the Spirit entities. Plaintiffs' claims against Boeing entities remain pending in the litigation. Boeing has notified Spirit that it believes it is entitled to indemnification from Spirit for any indemnifiable damages it may incur in the Harkness litigation, under the terms of the asset purchase agreement from the Boeing Acquisition between Boeing and Spirit. Spirit disputes Boeing's position on indemnity. Management believes the resolution of this matter will not materially affect the Company's financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

On July 21, 2005, the International Union, Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America (UAW) filed a grievance against Boeing on behalf of certain former Boeing employees in Tulsa and McAlester, Oklahoma, regarding issues that parallel those asserted in Harkness et al. v. The Boeing Company et al. Boeing denied the grievance, and the UAW subsequently filed suit to compel arbitration, which the parties eventually agreed to pursue. The arbitration was conducted in January 2008. In July 2008, the arbitrator issued an opinion and award in favor of the UAW. The arbitrator directed Boeing to reinstate the seniority of the employees and afford them the benefits appurtenant thereto. On March 5, 2009, the arbitrator entered an Opinion and Supplemental Award that directed Boeing to award certain benefits to UAW members upon whose behalf the grievance was brought, notwithstanding the prior denial of such benefits by the Boeing Plan Administrator. On April 10, 2009, Boeing filed a Complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, seeking a ruling that the arbitrator exceeded his authority in granting the Supplemental Award. On September 16, 2009, the District Court entered an order affirming the arbitrator's Supplemental Award. Boeing appealed the District Court's decision to the U.S. Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals, which affirmed the District

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Court's decision. Boeing previously notified Spirit of its intent to seek indemnification from Spirit for any indemnifiable damages it may incur in the UAW matter, pursuant to the terms of the asset purchase agreement from the Boeing Acquisition. Spirit disputes Boeing's position on indemnity. Management believes the resolution of this matter will not materially affect the Company's financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

On May 11, 2009, Spirit filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas against SPS Technologies LLC (SPS) and Precision Castparts Corp. Spirit's claims are based on the sale by SPS of certain non-conforming nut plate fasteners to Spirit between August 2007 and August 2008. Many of the fasteners were used on assemblies that Spirit sold to a customer. In the fall of 2008, Spirit discovered the non-conformity and notified the customer of the discrepancy. Subsequently, Spirit and the customer removed and replaced nut plates on various in-process aircraft assemblies and subsequently agreed to an appropriate cost related to those efforts. Spirit's lawsuit seeks damages, including damages related to these efforts, under various theories, including breach of contract and breach of implied warranty.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

(\$, £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Guarantees

Contingent liabilities in the form of letters of credit, letters of guarantee and performance bonds have been provided by the Company. These letters of credit reduce the amount of borrowings available under the revolving credit facility. As of both June 28, 2012 and December 31, 2011, outstanding letters of credit were \$19.9. Outstanding guarantees were \$25.9 and \$26.6 at June 28, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Indemnification

The Company has entered into customary indemnification agreements with each of its Directors, and some of its executive employment agreements include indemnification provisions. Under those agreements, the Company agrees to indemnify each of these individuals against claims arising out of events or occurrences related to that individual's service as the Company's agent or the agent of any of its subsidiaries to the fullest extent legally permitted.

Service and Product Warranties and Extraordinary Rework

The Company provides service and warranty policies on its products. Liability under service and warranty policies is based upon specific claims and a review of historical warranty and service claim experience. Adjustments are made to accruals as claim data and historical experience change. In addition, the Company incurs discretionary costs to service its products in connection with product performance or quality issues.

The following is a roll forward of the service warranty and extraordinary rework balance at June 28, 2012:

| | | |
|-------------------------------|----|------|
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | \$ | 19.6 |
| Charges to costs and expenses | | 5.0 |
| Balance, June 28, 2012 | \$ | 24.6 |

21. Other Income (Expense), Net

Other income (expense), net is summarized as follows:

| | For the Three Months Ended | | | | For the Six Months Ended | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|------------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | June 28, 2012 | | June 30, 2011 | | June 28, 2012 | | June 30, 2011 | |
| KDFA bond | \$ | 1.2 | \$ | 1.0 | \$ | 2.4 | \$ | 2.1 |
| Rental and miscellaneous (expense) | | (3.2) | | 0.2 | | (3.3) | | 0.2 |
| Foreign currency gains (loss) | | (2.2) | | (1.1) | | 0.2 | | (0.7) |
| Total | \$ | (4.2) | \$ | 0.1 | \$ | (0.7) | \$ | 1.6 |

Foreign currency gains (loss) are due to the impact of movement in foreign currency exchange rates on trade and intercompany receivables/payables and other long-term contractual rights/obligations denominated in a currency other than the entity's functional currency.

22. Segment Information

The Company operates in three principal segments: Fuselage Systems, Propulsion Systems and Wing Systems. Substantially all revenues in the three principal segments are from Boeing, with the exception of Wing Systems, which includes revenues from Airbus and other customers. Approximately 92% of the Company's net revenues for the six months ended June 28, 2012 came from our two largest customers, Boeing and Airbus. All other activities fall within the All Other segment, principally made up of sundry sales of miscellaneous services, tooling contracts, and sales of natural gas through a tenancy-in-common with other companies that have operations in Wichita, Kansas. The Company's primary profitability measure to review a segment's operating performance is segment

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

operating income before unallocated corporate selling, general and administrative expenses, unallocated impact of severe weather event, unallocated research and development and unallocated cost of sales. Unallocated corporate selling, general and administrative expenses include centralized functions such as accounting, treasury and human resources that are not specifically related to our operating segments and are not allocated in measuring the operating segments' profitability and performance and operating margins. Unallocated impact of severe weather event includes property repairs, clean up and recovery costs related to the April 14, 2012 tornado at the Company's Wichita facility. Unallocated research and development includes research and development efforts that benefit the Company as a whole and are not unique to a specific segment. Unallocated cost of sales includes general costs not directly attributable to segment operations, such as early retirement and other incentives. All of these unallocated items are not specifically related to our operating segments and are not allocated in measuring the operating segments' profitability and performance and operating margins.

The Company's Fuselage Systems segment includes development, production and marketing of forward, mid and rear fuselage sections and systems, primarily to aircraft OEMs (OEM refers to aircraft original equipment manufacturer), as well as related spares and maintenance, repairs and overhaul (MRO). The Fuselage Systems segment manufactures products at our facilities in Wichita, Kansas and Kinston, North Carolina. The Fuselage Systems segment also includes an assembly plant for the A350 XWB aircraft in Saint-Nazaire, France.

The Company's Propulsion Systems segment includes development, production and marketing of struts/pylons, nacelles (including thrust reversers) and related engine structural components primarily to aircraft or engine OEMs, as well as related spares and MRO services. The Propulsion Systems segment manufactures products at our facilities in Wichita and Chanute, Kansas.

The Company's Wing Systems segment includes development, production and marketing of wings and wing components (including flight control surfaces) as well as other miscellaneous structural parts primarily to aircraft OEMs, as well as related spares and MRO services. These activities take place at the Company's facilities in Tulsa and McAlester, Oklahoma; Kinston, North Carolina; Prestwick, Scotland; and Subang, Malaysia.

The Company's segments are consistent with the organization and responsibilities of management reporting to the chief operating decision-maker for the purpose of assessing performance. The Company's definition of segment operating income differs from operating income as presented in its primary financial statements and a reconciliation of the segment and consolidated results is provided in the table set forth below. Most selling, general and administrative expenses, and all interest expense or income, related financing costs and income tax amounts, are not allocated to the operating segments.

While some working capital accounts are maintained on a segment basis, much of the Company's assets are not managed or maintained on a segment basis. Property, plant and equipment, including tooling, is used in the design and production of products for each of the segments and, therefore, is not allocated to any individual segment. In addition, cash, prepaid expenses, other assets and deferred taxes are managed and

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

maintained on a consolidated basis and generally do not pertain to any particular segment. Raw materials and certain component parts are used in the production of aerostructures across all segments. Work-in-process inventory is identifiable by segment, but is managed and evaluated at the program level. As there is no segmentation of the Company's productive assets, depreciation expense (included in fixed manufacturing costs and selling, general and administrative expenses) and capital expenditures, no allocation of these amounts has been made solely for purposes of segment disclosure requirements.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

The following table shows segment revenues and operating income for the three and six months ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 | June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 |
| Segment Revenues | | | | |
| Fuselage Systems (1) | \$ 627.4 | \$ 773.1 | \$ 1,250.0 | \$ 1,301.1 |
| Propulsion Systems | 351.2 | 317.7 | 695.2 | 590.7 |
| Wing Systems (1) | 358.6 | 372.5 | 655.2 | 617.4 |
| All Other | 3.8 | 2.3 | 6.4 | 6.0 |
| | \$ 1,341.0 | \$ 1,465.6 | \$ 2,606.8 | \$ 2,515.2 |
| Segment Operating Income | | | | |
| Fuselage Systems (1)(2) | \$ 94.1 | \$ 95.1 | \$ 181.0 | \$ 142.1 |
| Propulsion Systems | 56.1 | 48.2 | 113.7 | 89.0 |
| Wing Systems (1)(3) | 27.6 | (31.2) | 48.0 | (13.8) |
| All Other | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| | 178.6 | 112.6 | 343.7 | 217.8 |
| Unallocated corporate SG&A | (33.3) | (37.6) | (74.0) | (72.7) |
| Unallocated impact of severe weather event | (54.5) | | (54.5) | |
| Unallocated research and development | (1.3) | (0.5) | (2.4) | (1.0) |
| Unallocated cost of sales(4) | (7.0) | (10.9) | (8.0) | (10.9) |
| Total operating income | \$ 82.5 | \$ 63.6 | \$ 204.8 | \$ 133.2 |

(1) Includes recognition of deferred revenue associated with the amendment to the B787 supply agreement entered into with Boeing in May 2011 (the B787 Amendment) in the second quarter of 2011.

(2) Net of \$28.2 forward-loss recorded on our Sikorsky CH-53K helicopter program in the first quarter of 2011.

(3) Net of \$6.5 forward-loss charges recorded in the second quarter of 2012 for the A350 XWB non-recurring wing contract, \$2.7 and \$10.7 forward-loss charges recorded in the first quarter of 2012 for the B747-8 and G280 wing programs, respectively, and \$53.3 forward-loss charge recorded on our G280 wing program in the second quarter of 2011.

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

(4) Includes charges in the second quarter of 2012 of \$3.6 related to asset impairments, \$2.2 related to stock incentives for certain UAW represented employees and \$1.1 in early retirement incentives to eligible employees, charges in the first quarter of 2012 of \$1.0 related to early retirement incentives to eligible employees, and charges in the second quarter of 2011 of \$9.0 due to a change in estimate to increase warranty and extraordinary rework reserves and \$1.8 in early retirement incentives elected by eligible UAW-represented employees.

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

23. Condensed Consolidating Financial Information

On November 18, 2010, Spirit completed an offering of \$300.0 aggregate principal amount of its 2020 Notes. On September 30, 2009, Spirit completed an offering of \$300.0 aggregate principal amount of its 2017 Notes. Both the 2017 Notes and the 2020 Notes were sold to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), and outside the United States only to non-U.S. persons pursuant to Regulation S promulgated under the Securities Act.

In connection with the initial sales of the 2017 Notes and the 2020 Notes, the Company entered into Registration Rights Agreements with the initial purchasers of the 2017 Notes and the 2020 Notes, respectively, pursuant to which the Company, Spirit and the Subsidiary Guarantors (as defined below) agreed to file (x) a registration statement with respect to an offer to exchange original 2017 Notes for a new issue of substantially identical notes registered under the Securities Act (the 2017 Notes Exchange Offer) and (y) a registration statement with respect to an offer to exchange the original 2020 Notes for a new issue of substantially identical notes registered under the Securities Act (the 2020 Notes Exchange Offer). The 2017 Notes Exchange Offer was consummated on May 26, 2010. The 2020 Notes Exchange Offer was consummated on January 31, 2011. The 2017 Notes and 2020 Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several senior unsecured basis by the Company and its 100% owned domestic subsidiaries, other than Spirit (the Subsidiary Guarantors).

The following condensed consolidating financial information, which has been prepared in accordance with the requirements for presentation of Rule 3-10(d) of Regulation S-X promulgated under the Securities Act, presents the condensed consolidating financial information separately for:

- (i) Holdings, as the parent company;
- (ii) Spirit, as the subsidiary issuer of the 2017 Notes and the 2020 Notes;
- (iii) The Subsidiary Guarantors, on a combined basis, as guarantors of the 2017 Notes and the 2020 Notes;
- (iv) The Company's subsidiaries, other than the Subsidiary Guarantors, which are not guarantors of the 2017 Notes and the 2020 Notes (the Subsidiary Non-Guarantors), on a combined basis;

(v) Consolidating entries and eliminations representing adjustments to (a) eliminate intercompany transactions between or among Holdings, the Subsidiary Guarantors and the Subsidiary Non-Guarantors, (b) eliminate the investments in the Company's subsidiaries and (c) record consolidating entries; and

(vi) Holdings and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis.

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations**For the Three Months Ended June 28, 2012**

| | Holdings | Spirit | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Total |
|---|----------|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| Net Revenues | \$ | \$ 1,224.4 | \$ 6.1 | \$ 136.6 | \$ (26.1) | \$ 1,341.0 |
| Operating costs and expenses | | | | | | |
| Cost of sales | | 1,052.7 | 5.1 | 125.1 | (26.1) | 1,156.8 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 1.0 | 33.4 | 0.5 | 5.4 | | 40.3 |
| Impact from severe weather event | | 54.5 | | | | 54.5 |
| Research and development | | 6.8 | | 0.1 | | 6.9 |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 1.0 | 1,147.4 | 5.6 | 130.6 | (26.1) | 1,258.5 |
| Operating income (loss) | (1.0) | 77.0 | 0.5 | 6.0 | | 82.5 |
| Interest expense and financing fee amortization | | (27.8) | | (2.5) | 2.2 | (28.1) |
| Interest income | | 2.3 | | | (2.2) | 0.1 |
| Other income (expense), net | | 0.9 | | (5.1) | | (4.2) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes and equity in net income (loss) of affiliates and subsidiaries | (1.0) | 52.4 | 0.5 | (1.6) | | 50.3 |
| Income tax benefit (provision) | 0.4 | (15.8) | (0.2) | 0.3 | | (15.3) |
| Income (loss) before equity in net income (loss) of affiliates and subsidiaries | (0.6) | 36.6 | 0.3 | (1.3) | | 35.0 |
| Equity in net income (loss) of affiliates | (0.1) | (0.2) | | 0.1 | 0.1 | (0.1) |
| Equity in net income of subsidiaries | 35.6 | (1.0) | | | (34.6) | |
| Net income (loss) | 34.9 | 35.4 | 0.3 | (1.2) | (34.5) | 34.9 |
| Other comprehensive income | (3.6) | 1.5 | | (5.1) | 3.6 | (3.6) |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 31.3 | \$ 36.9 | \$ 0.3 | \$ (6.3) | \$ (30.9) | \$ 31.3 |

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations**For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011**

| | Holdings | Spirit | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Total |
|--|----------|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| Net Revenues | \$ | \$ 1,362.4 | \$ 11.4 | \$ 118.7 | \$ (26.9) | \$ 1,465.6 |
| Operating costs and expenses | | | | | | |
| Cost of sales | | 1,264.3 | 9.6 | 107.6 | (26.9) | 1,354.6 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 1.1 | 34.8 | 1.0 | 4.2 | | 41.1 |
| Research and development | | 6.0 | | 0.3 | | 6.3 |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 1.1 | 1,305.1 | 10.6 | 112.1 | (26.9) | 1,402.0 |
| Operating income (loss) | (1.1) | 57.3 | 0.8 | 6.6 | | 63.6 |
| Interest expense and financing fee amortization | | (21.4) | | (1.7) | 1.4 | (21.7) |
| Interest income | | 1.5 | | | (1.4) | 0.1 |
| Other income (expense), net | | 1.1 | | (1.0) | | 0.1 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes and equity in net income (loss) of affiliates and subsidiaries | (1.1) | 38.5 | 0.8 | 3.9 | | 42.1 |
| Income tax benefit (provision) | 0.4 | (12.1) | (0.3) | 0.1 | | (11.9) |
| Income (loss) before equity in net income (loss) of affiliates and subsidiaries | (0.7) | 26.4 | 0.5 | 4.0 | | 30.2 |
| Equity in net income (loss) of affiliates | (0.1) | (0.1) | | | 0.1 | (0.1) |
| Equity in net income of subsidiaries | 30.9 | (2.4) | | | (28.5) | |
| Net income (loss) | 30.1 | 23.9 | 0.5 | 4.0 | (28.4) | 30.1 |
| Other comprehensive income | 0.3 | 0.6 | | (0.3) | (0.3) | 0.3 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 30.4 | \$ 24.5 | \$ 0.5 | \$ 3.7 | \$ (28.7) | \$ 30.4 |

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations**For the Six Months Ended June 28, 2012**

| | Holdings | Spirit | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Total |
|--|----------|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| Net Revenues | \$ | \$ 2,373.4 | \$ 61.5 | \$ 273.2 | \$ (101.3) | \$ 2,606.8 |
| Operating costs and expenses | | | | | | |
| Cost of sales | | 2,049.0 | 54.4 | 245.8 | (101.3) | 2,247.9 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 2.1 | 72.2 | 1.0 | 10.0 | | 85.3 |
| Impact from severe weather event | | 54.5 | | | | 54.5 |
| Research and development | | 13.8 | | 0.5 | | 14.3 |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 2.1 | 2,189.5 | 55.4 | 256.3 | (101.3) | 2,402.0 |
| Operating income (loss) | (2.1) | 183.9 | 6.1 | 16.9 | | 204.8 |
| Interest expense and financing fee amortization | | (45.8) | | (4.7) | 4.1 | (46.4) |
| Interest income | | 4.2 | | | (4.1) | 0.1 |
| Other income (expense), net | | 2.1 | | (2.8) | | (0.7) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes and equity in net income (loss) of affiliates and subsidiaries | (2.1) | 144.4 | 6.1 | 9.4 | | 157.8 |
| Income tax benefit (provision) | 0.8 | (46.0) | (2.3) | (1.4) | | (48.9) |
| Income (loss) before equity in net income (loss) of affiliates and subsidiaries | (1.3) | 98.4 | 3.8 | 8.0 | | 108.9 |
| Equity in net income (loss) of affiliates | (0.4) | (0.6) | | 0.2 | 0.4 | (0.4) |
| Equity in net income of subsidiaries | 110.2 | 11.8 | | | (122.0) | |
| Net income (loss) | 108.5 | 109.6 | 3.8 | 8.2 | (121.6) | 108.5 |
| Other comprehensive income | 1.4 | 1.4 | | | (1.4) | 1.4 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 109.9 | \$ 111.0 | \$ 3.8 | \$ 8.2 | \$ (123.0) | \$ 109.9 |

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations**For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011**

| | Holdings | Spirit | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Total |
|--|----------|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| Net Revenues | \$ | \$ 2,305.7 | \$ 11.9 | \$ 246.3 | \$ (48.7) | \$ 2,515.2 |
| Operating costs and expenses | | | | | | |
| Cost of sales | | 2,094.3 | 9.5 | 227.5 | (48.7) | 2,282.6 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 2.2 | 67.0 | 1.4 | 9.5 | | 80.1 |
| Research and development | | 19.0 | | 0.3 | | 19.3 |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 2.2 | 2,180.3 | 10.9 | 237.3 | (48.7) | 2,382.0 |
| Operating income (loss) | (2.2) | 125.4 | 1.0 | 9.0 | | 133.2 |
| Interest expense and financing fee amortization | | (42.0) | | (3.1) | 2.5 | (42.6) |
| Interest income | | 2.7 | | | (2.5) | 0.2 |
| Other income (expense), net | | 2.1 | | (0.5) | | 1.6 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes and equity in net income (loss) of affiliates and subsidiaries | (2.2) | 88.2 | 1.0 | 5.4 | | 92.4 |
| Income tax benefit (provision) | 0.8 | (28.5) | (0.4) | 0.9 | | (27.2) |
| Income (loss) before equity in net income (loss) of affiliates and subsidiaries | (1.4) | 59.7 | 0.6 | 6.3 | | 65.2 |
| Equity in net income (loss) of affiliates | (0.5) | (0.1) | | (0.4) | 0.5 | (0.5) |
| Equity in net income of subsidiaries | 66.6 | | | | (66.6) | |
| Net income (loss) | 64.7 | 59.6 | 0.6 | 5.9 | (66.1) | 64.7 |
| Other comprehensive income | 9.3 | 3.3 | | 6.0 | (9.3) | 9.3 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 74.0 | \$ 62.9 | \$ 0.6 | \$ 11.9 | \$ (75.4) | \$ 74.0 |

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet

June 28, 2012

| | Holdings | Spirit | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Total |
|--|------------|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| Current assets | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | \$ 140.3 | \$ | \$ 39.8 | \$ | \$ 180.1 |
| Accounts receivable, net | | 520.7 | 3.3 | 141.1 | (194.9) | 470.2 |
| Inventory, net | | 2,459.1 | 140.4 | 201.3 | | 2,800.8 |
| Deferred tax asset-current | | 60.5 | | | | 60.5 |
| Other current assets | | 38.5 | | 1.9 | | 40.4 |
| Total current assets | | 3,219.1 | 143.7 | 384.1 | (194.9) | 3,552.0 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | | 1,149.9 | 297.6 | 175.8 | | 1,623.3 |
| Pension assets | | 130.1 | | 1.7 | | 131.8 |
| Investment in subsidiary | 1,006.9 | 279.8 | | | (1,286.7) | |
| Equity in net assets of subsidiaries | 1,077.3 | 25.7 | | | (1,103.0) | |
| Deferred tax asset- non-current, net | | 40.9 | | 0.4 | | 41.3 |
| Other assets | | 356.1 | 80.0 | 28.1 | (371.0) | 93.2 |
| Total assets | \$ 2,084.2 | \$ 5,201.6 | \$ 521.3 | \$ 590.1 | \$ (2,955.6) | \$ 5,441.6 |
| Current liabilities | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | \$ 523.4 | \$ 131.9 | \$ 139.6 | \$ (194.8) | \$ 600.1 |
| Accrued expenses | | 275.0 | 1.0 | 28.1 | | 304.1 |
| Profit sharing | | 31.5 | | 1.5 | | 33.0 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | | 6.9 | | 3.5 | | 10.4 |
| Advance payments, short-term | | 50.4 | | | | 50.4 |
| Deferred revenue, short-term | | 9.9 | | 1.6 | | 11.5 |
| Deferred grant income liability - current | | | 5.4 | 1.2 | | 6.6 |
| Other current liabilities | | 2.9 | | 3.2 | | 6.1 |
| Total current liabilities | | 900.0 | 138.3 | 178.7 | (194.8) | 1,022.2 |
| Long-term debt | | 1,144.4 | 80.0 | 234.5 | (291.0) | 1,167.9 |
| Advance payments, long-term | | 812.2 | | | | 812.2 |
| Pension/OPEB obligation | | 87.5 | | | | 87.5 |
| Deferred grant income liability - non-current | | | 86.3 | 32.3 | | 118.6 |
| Deferred revenue and other deferred credits | | 22.3 | | 10.0 | | 32.3 |
| Other liabilities | | 179.9 | | 16.8 | (80.0) | 116.7 |
| Total equity | 2,084.2 | 2,055.3 | 216.7 | 117.8 | (2,389.8) | 2,084.2 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 2,084.2 | \$ 5,201.6 | \$ 521.3 | \$ 590.1 | \$ (2,955.6) | \$ 5,441.6 |

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet**December 31, 2011**

| | Holdings | Spirit | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Total |
|--|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Current assets | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | \$ 106.7 | \$ | \$ 71.1 | \$ | \$ 177.8 |
| Accounts receivable, net | | 318.8 | 8.9 | 130.7 | (191.2) | 267.2 |
| Inventory, net | | 2,354.8 | 113.8 | 162.3 | | 2,630.9 |
| Deferred tax asset-current | | 52.2 | | | | 52.2 |
| Other current assets | | 25.5 | | 2.2 | | 27.7 |
| Total current assets | | 2,858.0 | 122.7 | 366.3 | (191.2) | 3,155.8 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | | 1,118.3 | 319.2 | 178.2 | | 1,615.7 |
| Pension assets | | 118.3 | | 0.5 | | 118.8 |
| Investment in subsidiary | 997.3 | 279.9 | | | (1,277.2) | |
| Equity in net assets of subsidiaries | 967.4 | 12.5 | | | (979.9) | |
| Deferred tax asset- non-current, net | | 55.0 | | 0.7 | | 55.7 |
| Other assets | | 333.6 | 80.0 | 30.3 | (347.5) | 96.4 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,964.7 | \$ 4,775.6 | \$ 521.9 | \$ 576.0 | \$ (2,795.8) | \$ 5,042.4 |
| Current liabilities | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | \$ 477.0 | \$ 129.3 | \$ 144.3 | \$ (191.2) | \$ 559.4 |
| Accrued expenses | | 167.5 | 0.3 | 33.0 | | 200.8 |
| Profit sharing | | 21.6 | | 1.9 | | 23.5 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | | 39.8 | 5.6 | 3.5 | | 48.9 |
| Advance payments, short-term | | 8.8 | | | | 8.8 |
| Deferred revenue, short-term | | 27.2 | | 1.3 | | 28.5 |
| Deferred grant income liability - current | | | 4.9 | 1.2 | | 6.1 |
| Other current liabilities | | 32.9 | | 4.6 | | 37.5 |
| Total current liabilities | | 774.8 | 140.1 | 189.8 | (191.2) | 913.5 |
| Long-term debt | | 1,126.2 | 80.0 | 213.3 | (267.5) | 1,152.0 |
| Advance payments, long-term | | 655.9 | | | | 655.9 |
| Pension/OPEB obligation | | 84.2 | | | | 84.2 |
| Deferred grant income liability - non-current | | | 88.9 | 32.9 | | 121.8 |
| Deferred revenue and other deferred credits | | 24.0 | | 10.7 | | 34.7 |
| Other liabilities | | 175.9 | | 19.7 | (80.0) | 115.6 |
| Total equity | 1,964.7 | 1,934.6 | 212.9 | 109.6 | (2,257.1) | 1,964.7 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 1,964.7 | \$ 4,775.6 | \$ 521.9 | \$ 576.0 | \$ (2,795.8) | \$ 5,042.4 |

Table of Contents**Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.****Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)**

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows**For the Six Months Ended June 28, 2012**

| | Holdings | Spirit | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Total |
|---|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Operating activities | | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 108.5 | \$ 169.8 | \$ 1.7 | \$ (38.7) | \$ (108.5) | \$ 132.8 |
| Investing activities | | | | | | |
| Purchase of property, plant and equipment | | (86.7) | (1.7) | (15.4) | | (103.8) |
| Proceeds from sale of assets | | 0.1 | | 1.2 | | 1.3 |
| Equity in net assets of subsidiaries | (108.5) | | | | 108.5 | |
| Other | | (0.7) | | (0.2) | | (0.9) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (108.5) | (87.3) | (1.7) | (14.4) | 108.5 | (103.4) |
| Financing activities | | | | | | |
| Proceeds from revolving credit facility | | 170.0 | | | | 170.0 |
| Payments on revolving credit facility | | (170.0) | | | | (170.0) |
| Proceeds from issuance of debt | | 547.3 | | | | 547.3 |
| Principal payments of debt | | (562.5) | | (1.8) | | (564.3) |
| Collection on (repayment of) intercompany debt | | (23.5) | | 23.5 | | |
| Debt issuance and financing costs | | (11.3) | | | | (11.3) |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements | | 1.1 | | | | 1.1 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | | (48.9) | | 21.7 | | (27.2) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | | | | 0.1 | | 0.1 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents for the period | | 33.6 | | (31.3) | | 2.3 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | | 106.7 | | 71.1 | | 177.8 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ | \$ 140.3 | \$ | \$ 39.8 | \$ | \$ 180.1 |

Table of Contents

Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

(\$, , £, and RM in millions other than per share amounts)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows

For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

| | Holdings | Spirit | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidating Adjustments | Total |
|--|----------|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| Operating activities | | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 64.7 | \$ (209.8) | \$ 19.0 | \$ (51.1) | \$ (64.7) | \$ (241.9) |
| Investing activities | | | | | | |
| Purchase of property, plant and equipment | | (54.1) | (19.0) | (11.3) | | (84.4) |
| Proceeds from the sale of assets | | 0.2 | | 0.2 | | 0.4 |
| Equity in net assets of subsidiaries | (64.7) | | | | 64.7 | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (64.7) | (53.9) | (19.0) | (11.1) | 64.7 | (84.0) |
| Financing activities | | | | | | |
| Principal payments of debt | | (3.8) | | (0.3) | | (4.1) |
| Collection on (repayment of) intercompany debt | | (24.2) | | 24.2 | | |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements | | 1.2 | | | | 1.2 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | | (26.8) | | 23.9 | | (2.9) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | | | | 1.3 | | 1.3 |
| Net (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents for the period | | (290.5) | | (37.0) | | (327.5) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | | 416.1 | | 65.5 | | 481.6 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ | \$ 125.6 | \$ | \$ 28.5 | \$ | \$ 154.1 |

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

You should read the following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (this Quarterly Report). The following section may include forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements generally can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as may, will, should, expect, anticipate, intend, believe, project, continue, plan, forecast, or other similar words. These statements reflect management's current views with respect to future events and are subject to risks and uncertainties, both known and unknown, including, but not limited to, those described in the Risk Factors sections of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 (our 2011 Form 10-K), filed with the SEC on February 23, 2012 and our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 29, 2012 (our Q1 2012 Form 10-Q), filed with the SEC on May 4, 2012. See also Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements. Our actual results may vary materially from those anticipated in forward-looking statements. We caution investors not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements.

Recent Events

On July 27, 2012, Airbus announced that it will delay the entry into service for the A350 XWB by three months to the second half of 2014. The delay is the result of additional time needed for Airbus to implement an automated drilling process for the aircraft's wings. The impact to Spirit deliveries to Airbus has not yet been determined.

Overview

We are one of the largest independent non-OEM (original equipment manufacturer) aircraft parts designers and manufacturers of commercial aerostructures in the world, based on annual revenues, as well as the largest independent supplier of aerostructures to Boeing. In addition, we are one of the largest independent suppliers of aerostructures to Airbus. Boeing and Airbus are the two largest aircraft OEMs in the world. Aerostructures are structural components, such as fuselages, propulsion systems and wing systems for commercial and military aircraft. For the three months ended June 28, 2012, we generated net revenues of \$1,341.0 million and net income of \$34.9 million, and for the six months ended June 28, 2012, we generated net revenues of \$2,606.8 million and net income of \$108.5 million.

We are organized into three principal reporting segments: (1) Fuselage Systems, which includes forward, mid and rear fuselage sections, (2) Propulsion Systems, which includes nacelles, struts/pylons and engine structural components, and (3) Wing Systems, which includes wings, wing components, flight control surfaces and other miscellaneous structural parts. All other activities fall within the All Other segment, principally made up of sundry sales of miscellaneous services, tooling contracts, and sales of natural gas through a tenancy-in-common with other companies that have operations in Wichita, Kansas. The Fuselage Systems segment manufactures products at our facilities in Wichita, Kansas and Kinston, North Carolina, with an assembly plant in Saint-Nazaire, France for the A350 XWB program. The Propulsion Systems segment manufactures products at our facilities in Wichita and Chanute, Kansas. The Wing Systems segment manufactures products at our facilities in Tulsa and McAlester, Oklahoma; Prestwick, Scotland; Subang, Malaysia; and Kinston, North Carolina. Fuselage Systems, Propulsion Systems, Wing Systems and All Other represented approximately 47%, 26%, 27% and less than 1%, respectively, of our net revenues for the three months ended June 28, 2012. Fuselage Systems, Propulsion Systems, Wing Systems and All Other represented approximately 48%, 27%, 25% and less than 1%, respectively, of our net revenues for the six months ended June 28, 2012.

New Program Performance

We are currently performing work on several new programs, which are in various stages of development. Several of these programs entered flight testing in 2011, including the Gulfstream G280 and Gulfstream G650, which includes the Rolls-Royce BR725, and are expected to receive FAA and CAAI certification later this year. The Boeing B787-8 and Boeing B747-8 have each received FAA and JAA certifications, as well as EASA certification for entry into service, and each program has made aircraft deliveries to the final customer. We are delivering revenue-generating production units on all of these programs. We have delivered five revenue-generating test articles on the Sikorsky CH-53K helicopter program and we expect to deliver the remaining two test articles by the end of the first quarter of 2013.

These new programs continue to pose a risk of additional charges and/or forward-loss given the low margins that are currently forecasted due to cost pressures. We are working closely with our manufacturing and assembly teams to implement plans to mitigate further losses, including the decision to move certain manufacturing of wing components on the G280 and G650 programs to Kinston, North Carolina. In the first quarter of 2012, we recorded an additional \$10.7 million forward-loss charge on the G280 wing program as a result of increased supply chain costs. In the second quarter of 2012, we lowered our estimated margin on the G650 program to zero,

Table of Contents

as that program has experienced cost growth as it moves towards FAA certification. Our estimated margin on the initial Rolls-Royce BR725 contract is expected to remain at zero until we are able to realize important cost reduction opportunities.

The B787 contract profitability will depend upon our ability to achieve cost reduction opportunities as we increase production levels in the coming months and years. Contract estimates are expected to remain at break-even margins until we are able to realize these important cost reduction opportunities. If we are unable to realize these expected cost savings, future forward-losses on this contract may be recognized.

In the first quarter of 2012, we recorded a \$2.7 million additional forward-loss charge on the B747-8 wing contract, as that program has experienced manufacturing cost growth in the early production stage.

We continue to support the development of the A350 XWB program through a wing contract and a fuselage contract both of which are segmented into a nonrecurring design engineering phase and recurring production phase.

In the second quarter of 2012, we recorded a \$6.5 million forward-loss charge on the non-recurring portion under our A350 XWB wing contract as that program continues to experience increasing engineering change costs. Unexpected delays in the completion of the design engineering, significant changes to the design as a result of test or other requirements changes, or delays in delivery schedule could result in additional forward-losses on this segment of the program.

Maintaining profit projections on the recurring phase of these contracts is largely dependent upon the customer program schedule and our ability to manage costs directly associated with this program.

The next twelve months will be a critical time for most of these development programs as we manufacture the initial units and establish baseline performance for the recurring cost structure. Recognition of forward-losses in future periods continues to be a significant risk and will depend upon several factors including our market forecast, our ability to successfully perform under revised design and manufacturing plans, achievement of forecasted cost reductions as we enter into production and our ability to successfully resolve claims and assertions with our customers and supply chain partners.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain of our operating data:

| | Three Months Ended June 28, 2012 | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | Percentage Change to Prior Year | Six Months Ended June 28, 2012 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | Percentage Change to Prior Year |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| (\$ in millions) | | | | | | |
| Net revenues (1) | \$ 1,341.0 | \$ 1,465.6 | (9)% | \$ 2,606.8 | \$ 2,515.2 | 4% |
| Operating costs and expenses | | | | | | |
| Cost of sales (2) | 1,156.8 | 1,354.6 | (15)% | 2,247.9 | 2,282.6 | (2)% |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 40.3 | 41.1 | (2)% | 85.3 | 80.1 | 6% |
| Severe weather event | 54.5 | | NA | 54.5 | | NA |
| Research and development | 6.9 | 6.3 | 10% | 14.3 | 19.3 | (26)% |
| Operating income | 82.5 | 63.6 | 30% | 204.8 | 133.2 | 54% |
| Interest expense and financing fee amortization | (28.1) | (21.7) | 29% | (46.4) | (42.6) | 9% |
| Interest income | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0% | 0.1 | 0.2 | (50)% |
| Other income (expense), net | (4.2) | 0.1 | NA | (0.7) | 1.6 | (144)% |
| Income before income taxes and equity in net loss of affiliate | 50.3 | 42.1 | 19% | 157.8 | 92.4 | 71% |
| Income tax benefit provision | (15.3) | (11.9) | 29% | (48.9) | (27.2) | 80% |
| Income before equity in net loss of affiliate | 35.0 | 30.2 | 16% | 108.9 | 65.2 | 67% |
| Equity in net loss of affiliate | (0.1) | (0.1) | 0% | (0.4) | (0.5) | (20)% |
| Net income | \$ 34.9 | \$ 30.1 | 16% | \$ 108.5 | \$ 64.7 | 68% |

(1) Includes recognition of revenue previously deferred associated with the amendment to the B787 supply agreement entered into with Boeing in May 2011 (the B787 Amendment) in the second quarter of 2011.

(2) Second quarter of 2012 is net of \$6.5 million forward-loss charge related to the A350 XWB non-recurring wing program and \$3.6 million for asset impairment. First quarter of 2012 is net of \$2.7 million forward-loss charge recorded for the B747-8 wing program and \$10.7 million forward-loss charge for the G280 wing program. Second quarter of 2011 is net of \$53.3 million forward-loss charge on the G280 wing program. First quarter of 2011 is net of \$28.2 million forward-loss charge recorded on the Sikorsky CH-53K helicopter program. Includes charges in the second quarter of 2012 of \$2.2 million related to stock incentives for certain UAW represented employees and \$1.1 million in early retirement incentives to eligible employees, and charges in the first quarter of 2012 of \$1.0 million related to early retirement incentives to eligible employees. Second quarter of 2011 includes charges of \$9.0 million due to a change in estimate to increase warranty and extraordinary rework reserves and \$1.8 million in early retirement incentives elected by eligible UAW-represented employees.

Table of Contents

Comparative ship set deliveries by model are as follows:

| Model | Three Months Ended | Three Months Ended | Six Months Ended | Six Months Ended |
|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 | June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 |
| B737 | 105 | 97 | 210 | 190 |
| B747 | 6 | 3 | 11 | 7 |
| B767 | 6 | 6 | 13 | 11 |
| B777 | 21 | 22 | 42 | 38 |
| B787 | 11 | 7 | 19 | 13 |
| Total Boeing | 149 | 135 | 295 | 259 |
| A320 Family | 109 | 91 | 221 | 194 |
| A330/340 | 24 | 26 | 49 | 44 |
| A350 | | | 1 | |
| A380 | 6 | 5 | 13 | 11 |
| Total Airbus | 139 | 122 | 284 | 249 |
| Business/Regional Jets | 19 | 13 | 31 | 23 |
| Total | 307 | 270 | 610 | 531 |

For purposes of measuring production or ship set deliveries for Boeing aircraft in a given period, the term "ship set" refers to sets of structural fuselage components produced or delivered for one aircraft in such period. For purposes of measuring production or ship set deliveries for Airbus and Business/Regional Jet aircraft in a given period, the term "ship set" refers to all structural aircraft components produced or delivered for one aircraft in such period. Other components which are part of the same aircraft ship sets could be produced or shipped in earlier or later accounting periods than the components used to measure production or ship set deliveries, which may result in slight variations in production or delivery quantities of the various ship set components in any given period.

Net revenues by prime customer are as follows:

| Prime Customer | Three Months Ended | Three Months Ended | Six Months Ended | Six Months Ended |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 | June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 |
| | (\$ in millions) | | | |
| Boeing | \$ 1,123.2 | \$ 1,311.2 | 2,179.9 | \$ 2,192.6 |
| Airbus | 104.8 | 108.7 | 226.2 | 224.7 |
| Gulfstream | 53.8 | 5.0 | 77.1 | 16.6 |
| Sikorsky | 5.9 | 3.4 | 15.8 | 6.8 |
| Other(1) | 53.3 | 37.3 | 107.8 | 74.5 |
| Total net revenues | \$ 1,341.0 | \$ 1,465.6 | 2,606.8 | \$ 2,515.2 |

(1) Includes aftermarket sales

Three Months ended June 28, 2012 as Compared to Three Months ended June 30, 2011

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net Revenues. Net revenues for the three months ended June 28, 2012 were \$1,341.0 million, a decrease of \$124.6 million, or 9%, compared with net revenues of \$1,465.6 million for the same period in the prior year. The decrease in net revenues related to programs in production was \$119.4 million primarily due to the recognition of \$236.2 million in deferred revenue associated with the B787 Amendment signed in May 2011, offset by production volume increases in the second quarter of 2012 on several Boeing and business jet programs and increased customer demand for the A320. Non-recurring net revenue, which includes design and development efforts, continued to decrease in the second quarter of 2012 as we transitioned to full production on multiple platforms. Aftermarket volume increased slightly as demand for propulsion spares continue to grow in 2012. Ship set deliveries to Boeing increased by 10% quarter-over-quarter to support production rate increases across several Boeing models. Ship set deliveries to Airbus increased by 14% quarter-over-quarter to support customer delivery schedules. In total, ship set deliveries increased 14% to 307 ship sets in the second quarter of 2012 compared to 270 ship sets for the same period in the prior year. Approximately 92% of Spirit's net revenues for the second quarter of 2012 came from our two largest customers, Boeing and Airbus.

Table of Contents

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales as a percentage of net revenues was 86% for the three months ended June 28, 2012, compared to 92% for the same period in the prior year. The decrease in cost of sales as a percentage of net revenues is primarily due to the recognition in the second quarter of 2011 of deferred revenue associated with the B787 Amendment signed in May 2011. In addition, higher production volume during the second quarter of 2012 drove efficiencies in our manufacturing process, which also contributed to the decrease in cost of sales. Offsetting charges included in cost of sales for the three months ended June 28, 2012 is a forward-loss charge of \$6.5 million recorded on our A350 XWB non-recurring wing contract, a net \$6.3 million favorable cumulative catch-up adjustment, a \$3.6 million asset impairment charge, \$2.2 million in UAW share grant awards in accordance with our labor agreement, and \$1.1 million in early retirement incentives for eligible employees. In comparison, during the same period in the prior year, we recorded a \$53.3 million forward-loss charge on our G280 program, a \$9.0 million increase in warranty and extraordinary rework reserves, and \$1.8 million in early retirement incentives for eligible UAW-represented employees, partially offset by a favorable cumulative catch-up adjustment of \$6.3 million primarily driven by productivity and efficiency improvements. We continue to record either zero or nearly break-even margins on several of our new programs including the B787, BR725 and G650 programs, each of which increased deliveries in the second quarter of 2012.

SG&A, Research and Development. Combined SG&A and Research and Development costs as a percentage of net revenues was 4% for the three months ended June 28, 2012, compared to 3% for the same period in the prior year. SG&A and research and development expenses slightly decreased by a net \$0.2 million as SG&A cost savings initiatives continue to be implemented, partially offset by a slight increase in research and development expense.

Impact of Severe Weather Event. During the second quarter of 2012, the Company recorded a \$54.5 million charge against operating income related to the tornado for property damage, clean up and recovery costs, and expenses incurred to make up for the interruption of production and to reduce further disruptions at the Company's Wichita facility.

Operating Income. Operating income for the three months ended June 28, 2012 was \$82.5 million, an increase of \$18.9 million, compared to operating income of \$63.6 million for the same period in the prior year. The increase in operating income during the second quarter of 2012 was favorably impacted by higher overall production and aftermarket volume and fewer forward-loss charges recorded in the second quarter of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 as discussed above. This was partially offset by a charge against operating income related to the tornado for property damage, clean up and recovery expenses and extra expenses incurred to recover from delivery schedule delays. The break-even margins recorded for new programs negatively impacted operating margins as deliveries increased in the second quarter of 2012.

Interest Expense and Financing Fee Amortization. Interest expense and financing fee amortization for the three months ended June 28, 2012 includes \$17.7 million of interest and fees paid or accrued in connection with long-term debt and \$10.4 million in amortization of deferred financing costs, as compared to \$19.4 million of interest and fees paid or accrued in connection with long-term debt and \$2.3 million in amortization of deferred financing costs for the same period in the prior year. The decrease in interest expense associated with long-term debt in the second quarter of 2012 was primarily driven by lower interest cost from new swaps entered into in the third quarter of 2011, partially offset by interest on the drawn portion of the revolver during the second quarter of 2012. The increase in deferred financing costs was the result of a \$9.5 million write down of deferred financing fees due to debt extinguishment as a result of the April 18, 2012 term loan refinancing.

Interest Income. Interest income for each of the three month periods ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011 was \$0.1 million.

Other Income (Expense), net. Other income (expense), net for the three months ended June 28, 2012 amounted to a net loss of (\$4.2) million primarily due to a \$3.0 million write-off of the Hawker Beechcraft receivable along with losses on foreign exchange rates on intercompany

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

activity and borrowings as compared to a net income of \$0.1 million for the same period in the prior year. Quarter-over-quarter, foreign exchange rates on debt denominated in currencies other than the borrowing entities' functional currency had a negative impact.

Provision for Income Taxes. Our reported tax rate includes two principal components: an expected annual tax rate and discrete items resulting in additional provisions or benefits that are recorded in the quarter that an event arises. Events or items that give rise to discrete recognition could include finalizing amounts in income tax returns filed, finalizing audit examinations for open tax years, and an expiring statute of limitations.

The income tax provision for the three months ended June 28, 2012 includes \$15.8 million for federal taxes, \$(0.3) million for state taxes and \$(0.2) million for foreign taxes. The income tax provision for the three months ended June 30, 2011 includes \$10.4 million for federal taxes, \$1.6 million for state taxes and \$(0.1) million for foreign taxes. The 2012 effective tax rate was 30.4% as compared to 28.3% for 2011. The difference in the effective tax rate recorded for 2012 as compared to 2011 relates primarily to maintaining a reserve this year for the Malaysia tax holiday benefit and expiration of the U.S. Research Credit on December 31, 2011. The decrease from the U.S. statutory tax rate is primarily due to the qualified domestic production activities deduction and state income tax credits.

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

Segments. The following table shows segment revenues and operating income for the three months ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011:

| | Three Months Ended June 28, 2012 | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | |
|--|--|---------|--|---------|
| | (\$ in millions) | | | |
| Segment Revenues | | | | |
| Fuselage Systems (1) | \$ | 627.4 | \$ | 773.1 |
| Propulsion Systems | | 351.2 | | 317.7 |
| Wing Systems (1) | | 358.6 | | 372.5 |
| All Other | | 3.8 | | 2.3 |
| | \$ | 1,341.0 | \$ | 1,465.6 |
| Segment Operating Income | | | | |
| Fuselage Systems (1) | \$ | 94.1 | \$ | 95.1 |
| Propulsion Systems | | 56.1 | | 48.2 |
| Wing Systems (1)(2) | | 27.6 | | (31.2) |
| All Other | | 0.8 | | 0.5 |
| | | 178.6 | | 112.6 |
| Unallocated corporate SG&A | | (33.3) | | (37.6) |
| Unallocated impact from severe weather event | | (54.5) | | |
| Unallocated research and development | | (1.3) | | (0.5) |
| Unallocated cost of sales(3) | | (7.0) | | (10.9) |
| Total operating income | \$ | 82.5 | \$ | 63.6 |

(1) Includes recognition of deferred revenue associated with the B787 Amendment in the second quarter of 2011.

(2) Second quarter of 2012 includes \$6.5 forward-loss charge recorded on our A350 XWB non-recurring wing contract. Second quarter of 2011 includes \$53.3 million forward-loss recorded on our G280 program.

(3) Second quarter of 2012 includes charges of \$3.6 million for asset impairment, \$2.2 million related to stock incentives for certain UAW-represented employees and \$1.1 million in early retirement incentives to eligible employees. Second quarter of 2011 includes charges of \$9.0 million due to a change in estimate to increase warranty and extraordinary rework reserves and \$1.8 million in early retirement incentives elected by eligible UAW-represented employees.

Fuselage Systems, Propulsion Systems, Wing Systems and All Other represented approximately 47%, 26%, 27% and less than 1%, respectively, of our net revenues for the three months ended June 28, 2012. Fuselage Systems, Propulsion Systems, Wing Systems and All Other represented approximately 53%, 31%, 15% and 1%, respectively, of our operating income before unallocated corporate expenses for the three months ended June 28, 2012.

Fuselage Systems. Fuselage Systems segment net revenues for the three months ended June 28, 2012 were \$627.4 million, a decrease of \$145.7 million, or 19%, compared to the same period in the prior year. The decrease in net revenues was primarily due to the recognition of deferred revenue in the second quarter of 2011 associated with the B787 Amendment settlement, offset by production volume increases in the second

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

quarter of 2012 on several Boeing programs. In addition, non-recurring net revenue, which includes design and development efforts, continued to decrease in the second quarter of 2012 as we transitioned to full production on multiple platforms. Fuselage Systems posted segment operating margins of 15% for the three months ended June 28, 2012, up from 12% segment operating margins for the same period in the prior year. Improved segment operating margins were primarily driven by higher production volume due to rate increases on several Boeing programs. In addition, the segment recognized a \$0.9 million favorable cumulative catch-up adjustment driven by productivity and efficiency performance on our B737 program, compared to a \$5.6 million favorable cumulative catch-up adjustment recorded in the same period of the prior year.

Propulsion Systems. Propulsion Systems segment net revenues for the three months ended June 28, 2012 were \$351.2 million, an increase of \$33.5 million, or 11%, compared to the same period in the prior year. The increase in net revenues was primarily driven

Table of Contents

by higher production volume across all Boeing models and increased aftermarket volume. Propulsion Systems posted segment operating margins of 16% for the three months ended June 28, 2012, up from 15% segment operating margins for the same period in the prior year. Improved segment operating margins were primarily driven by higher production and aftermarket volume. In addition, the segment recognized a \$2.2 million favorable cumulative catch-up adjustment driven by productivity and efficiency improvements on mature programs, compared to a \$4.2 million favorable cumulative catch-up adjustment recorded in the same period of the prior year.

Wing Systems. Wing Systems segment net revenues for the three months ended June 28, 2012 were \$358.6 million, a decrease of (\$13.9) million, or (4%), compared to the same period in the prior year. The decrease in net revenues was primarily driven by recognition of deferred revenue in the second quarter of 2011 associated with the B787 Amendment settlement, partially offset by higher production on several Boeing models and increased deliveries on our Gulfstream and A320 programs. Wing Systems posted segment operating margins of 8% for the three months ended June 28, 2012, up from (8%) segment operating margins for the same period in the prior year. In the second quarter of 2012, the segment recorded a forward-loss charge of \$6.5 million on its A350 XWB non-recurring wing program due to engineering cost growth, partially offset by a favorable cumulative catch-up adjustment of \$3.2 million driven by production efficiencies. In comparison, during the second quarter of 2011, the segment realized a \$53.3 million forward-loss on our G280 wing and tooling contracts, zero margin adjustments associated with the B787 Amendment negatively impacting segment operating margins as a percentage of net revenues, and an unfavorable cumulative catch-up adjustment of \$3.5 million, primarily driven by an adjustment of \$2.2 million on our A350 XWB wing contract in Spirit Europe principally related to additional engineering costs, as well as an adjustment of \$4.8 million on our G650 program.

All Other. All Other segment net revenues consist of sundry sales of miscellaneous services, tooling contracts, and revenues from KIESC. In the three months ended June 28, 2012, All Other segment net revenues were \$3.8 million, an increase of \$1.5 million, compared to the same period in the prior year. The increase in net revenues was primarily driven by additional sundry sales. The All Other segment operating margins were 21% for each of the three months ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011.

Six Months Ended June 28, 2012 as Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

Net Revenues. Net revenues for the six months ended June 28, 2012 were \$2,606.8 million, an increase of \$91.6 million, or 4%, compared with net revenues of \$2,515.2 million for the same period in the prior year. The increase in net revenues was primarily driven by \$76.7 million in production volume increases in the first half of 2012 on several Boeing and business jet programs, increased customer demand for the A320 and a \$24.2 million increase in aftermarket volume primarily driven by growing demand for propulsion spares. The net revenue increase was partially offset by the recognition of \$236.2 million in deferred revenue associated with the B787 Amendment signed in May 2011. During the first half of 2012, we delivered our fifth test article to Sikorsky. Non-recurring net revenue, which includes design and development efforts, continued to decrease in the second quarter of 2012 as we transitioned to full production on multiple platforms. Ship set deliveries to Boeing increased by 14% year-over-year to support production rate increases across several Boeing models. Ship set deliveries to Airbus increased by 14% year-over-year to support customer delivery schedules. During the first half of 2012, we delivered our first A350 XWB fuselage to Airbus. In total, ship set deliveries increased by 15% to 610 ship sets during the six months ended June 28, 2012, compared to 531 ship sets delivered in the same period of the prior year. Approximately 92% of Spirit's net revenues for the six months ended June 28, 2012 came from our two largest customers, Boeing and Airbus.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales as a percentage of net revenues was 86% for the six months ended June 28, 2012, compared to 91% for the same period in the prior year. Included in cost of sales for the six months ended June 28, 2012 are forward-loss charges of \$10.7 million, \$2.7 million and \$6.5 million recorded on our G280, B747-8 and A350 XWB non-recurring contracts, respectively, \$3.6 million related to asset impairment, \$2.2 million in UAW share grant awards in accordance with our labor agreement, and \$2.1 million in early retirement incentives to eligible employees. Included in cost of sales for the six months ended June 30, 2011 are forward-charges of \$53.3 million and \$28.2 million recorded on our G280 program and Sikorsky CH-53K helicopter contract, respectively, a \$9.0 million increase in warranty and extraordinary rework

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

reserves, and \$1.8 million in early retirement incentives for eligible UAW-represented employees. In the first half of 2012, we updated our contract estimates and recorded a favorable \$4.6 million cumulative catch-up adjustment related to periods prior to 2012 driven by productivity improvements on our mature programs. The cumulative catch-up adjustment related to periods prior to 2011 was immaterial for the first half of 2011. In addition, we continue to record zero margins on the B787 program, as the adjustments related to the B787 Amendment negatively impacted operating margins for the first half of 2012.

SG&A, Research and Development. Combined SG&A and Research and Development costs as a percentage of net revenues were 4% for each of the six month periods ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively. SG&A and research and development expenses slightly increased by a net \$0.2 million as SG&A cost savings initiatives continue to be implemented, partially offset by a slight increase in research and development expense.

Table of Contents

Impact of Severe Weather Event. During the first half of 2012, the Company recorded a \$54.5 million charge against operating income related to the tornado for property damage, clean up and recovery costs, and expenses incurred to make up for the interruption of production and to reduce further disruptions at the Company's Wichita facility.

Operating Income. Operating income for the six months ended June 28, 2012 was \$204.8 million, an increase of \$71.6 million, or 54%, compared to operating income of \$133.2 million for the same period in the prior year. The increase in operating income during the first half of 2012 was favorably impacted by higher overall production and aftermarket volume and fewer forward-loss charges recorded in the first half of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 as discussed above. This was partially offset by a charge against operating income related to the tornado for property damage, clean up and recovery expenses and extra expenses incurred to recover from delivery schedule delays. The break-even margins recorded for new programs negatively impacted operating margins as deliveries increased in the second half of 2012.

Interest Expense and Financing Fee Amortization. Interest expense and financing fee amortization for the six months ended June 28, 2012 includes \$34.4 million of interest and fees paid or accrued in connection with our long-term debt and \$12.0 million in amortization of deferred financing costs, compared to \$38.0 million of interest and fees paid or accrued in connection with our long-term debt and \$4.6 million in amortization of deferred financing costs for the same period in the prior year. The decrease in interest expense associated with long-term debt was primarily driven by lower interest cost from new swaps entered into in the third quarter of 2011, partially offset by interest on the drawn portion of the revolver during the first half of 2012. The increase in deferred financing costs was the result of a \$9.5 million write down of deferred financing fees due to debt extinguishment as a result of the April 18, 2012 term loan refinancing, partially offset by an adjustment recorded in 2011 to reflect the maturity dates of our term loan and revolving credit facilities over which deferred financing is amortized.

Interest Income. Interest income for the six months ended June 28, 2012 was \$0.1 million compared to \$0.2 million for the same period in the prior year.

Other Income (Expense), net. Other income (expense), net for the six months ended June 28, 2012 was a net loss of (\$0.7) million, primarily due to a \$3.0 million write-off of the Hawker Beechcraft receivable, partially offset by gains on foreign exchange rates on intercompany activity and borrowings, compared to income of \$1.6 million for the same period in the prior year.

Provision for Income Taxes. The income tax provision for the six months ended June 28, 2012 includes \$46.9 million for federal taxes, \$0.6 million for state taxes and \$1.4 million for foreign taxes. The income tax provision for the six months ended June 30, 2011 includes \$26.5 million for federal taxes, \$1.6 million for state taxes and (\$0.9) million for foreign taxes. The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 28, 2012 was 31.0% as compared to 29.4% for the same period in 2011. The increase in the effective tax rate recorded for the six months ended June 28, 2012 is related primarily to maintaining a reserve this year for the Malaysia tax holiday benefit and expiration of the U.S. Research Credit on December 31, 2011. The decrease from the U.S. statutory tax rate is primarily due to the qualified domestic production activities deduction and state income tax credits.

Table of Contents

Segments. The following table shows segment revenues for the six months ended June 28, 2012, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011:

| | Six Months Ended June 28, 2012 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| | (\$ in millions) | | | |
| Segment Revenues | | | | |
| Fuselage Systems (1) | \$ | 1,250.0 | \$ | 1,301.1 |
| Propulsion Systems | | 695.2 | | 590.7 |
| Wing Systems (1) | | 655.2 | | 617.4 |
| All Other | | 6.4 | | 6.0 |
| | \$ | 2,606.8 | \$ | 2,515.2 |
| Segment Operating Income | | | | |
| Fuselage Systems (1)(2) | \$ | 181.0 | \$ | 142.1 |
| Propulsion Systems | | 113.7 | | 89.0 |
| Wing Systems (1)(3) | | 48.0 | | (13.8) |
| All Other | | 1.0 | | 0.5 |
| | | 343.7 | | 217.8 |
| Unallocated corporate SG&A | | (74.0) | | (72.7) |
| Unallocated impact from severe weather event | | (54.5) | | |
| Unallocated research and development | | (2.4) | | (1.0) |
| Unallocated cost of sales(4) | | (8.0) | | (10.9) |
| Total operating income | \$ | 204.8 | \$ | 133.2 |

(1) Includes recognition of deferred revenue associated with the B787 Amendment in the first half of 2011.

(2) First half of 2011 includes a \$28.2 forward-loss recorded on our Sikorsky CH-53K helicopter program.

(3) First half of 2012 includes \$10.7 million, \$2.7 million and \$6.5 million forward-loss charges recorded on our G280, B747-8 and A350 non-recurring contracts, respectively. First half of 2011 includes a \$53.3 million forward-loss charge recorded on our G280 program.

(4) First half of 2012 includes \$3.6 million for asset impairment, \$2.2 million in UAW share grant awards in accordance with our labor agreement and \$2.1 million in early retirement incentives to eligible employees. First half of 2011 includes a \$9.0 million increase in warranty and extraordinary rework reserves, and \$1.8 million in early retirement incentives for eligible UAW-represented employees.

Fuselage Systems, Propulsion Systems, Wing Systems and All Other represented approximately 48%, 27%, 25% and less than 1%, respectively, of our net revenues for the six months ended June 28, 2012. Fuselage Systems, Propulsion Systems, Wing Systems and All Other represented approximately 53%, 33%, 14% and less than 1%, respectively, of our operating income before unallocated corporate expenses for the six months ended June 28, 2012.

Fuselage Systems. Fuselage Systems segment net revenues for the six months ended June 28, 2012 were \$1,250.0 million, a decrease of (\$51.1) million, or (4%), compared to the same period in the prior year. The decrease in net revenues was due to the recognition of deferred revenue in the second quarter of 2011 associated with the B787 Amendment settlement, partially offset by production volume increases in the second quarter of 2012 on several Boeing programs. In addition, non-recurring net revenue, which includes design and development efforts, continued to decrease in the second quarter of 2012 as we transitioned to full production on multiple platforms. Fuselage Systems posted segment operating margins of 15% for the six months ended June 28, 2012, up from 11% segment operating margins for the same period in the prior year. Improved segment operating margins were primarily driven by higher production volume due to rate increases on several Boeing programs and improved productivity and efficiency performance on our B737 program, partially offset by an unfavorable cumulative catch-up adjustment related to periods prior to 2012 of \$5.8 million due to modest cost growth as we close out blocks. In comparison, in the second quarter of 2011, we recognized a negligible cumulative catch-up adjustment.

Propulsion Systems. Propulsion Systems segment net revenues for the six months ended June 28, 2012 were \$695.2 million, an increase of \$104.5 million, or 18%, compared to the same period in the prior year. The increase in net revenues was primarily driven

Table of Contents

by higher production volume across all Boeing models and increased aftermarket volume. Propulsion Systems posted segment operating margins of 16% for the six months ended June 28, 2012, up from 15% segment operating margins for the same period in the prior year. Improved segment operating margins were due to higher production and aftermarket volume and a favorable cumulative catch-up adjustment related to periods prior to 2012 of \$5.8 million, driven by productivity and efficiency improvements on mature programs. In comparison, in the same period of 2011, the segment recognized a \$4.2 million favorable cumulative catch-up adjustment.

Wing Systems. Wing Systems segment net revenues for the six months ended June 28, 2012 were \$655.2 million, an increase of \$37.8 million, or 6%, compared to the same period in the prior year. The increase in net revenues was primarily driven by higher production on several Boeing models and increased deliveries on our Gulfstream and A320 programs. Wing Systems posted segment operating margins of 7% for the six months ended June 28, 2012, up from (2%) segment operating margins for the same period in the prior year. In the first half of 2012, the segment recorded forward-loss charges of \$10.7 million, \$2.7 million and \$6.5 million on its G280, B747-8 and A350 XWB non-recurring contracts, respectively, partially offset by a favorable cumulative catch-up adjustment related to periods prior to 2012 of \$4.6 million driven by productivity and efficiency improvements on mature programs. In comparison, during the first half of 2011, we recorded a \$53.3 million forward-loss charge on our G280 wing contract.

All Other. All Other segment net revenues consist of sundry sales of miscellaneous services, tooling contracts, and revenues from KIESC. In the six months ended June 28, 2012, All Other segment net revenues were \$6.4 million, a slight increase of \$0.4 million, as compared to the same period in the prior year. The All Other segment recorded 16% operating margins for the six months ended June 28, 2012, up from segment operating margins of 9% for the same period in the prior year driven by additional sundry sales.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The primary sources of our liquidity include cash on hand, cash flow from operations, which include advance payments and receivables from customers, borrowings available under our revolving credit facility and payments from insurers as part of the tornado claim process. Our liquidity requirements are driven by our long-cycle business model. Our business model is comprised of four to six year non-recurring investment periods, which include design and development efforts, followed by ten to twenty years of recurring production. The non-recurring investment periods require significant outflows of cash as we design the product, build tooling, purchase equipment and build initial production inventories. These activities are typically funded partially through customer advances and milestone payments, which are offset against revenue as production units are delivered in the case of customer advances, or recognized as revenue as milestones are achieved in the case of milestone payments. The remaining funds needed to support non-recurring programs come from predictable cash inflows from our mature programs that are in the recurring phase of the production cycle. Occasionally, we have utilized borrowings and other sources of cash to fund non-recurring investments during periods where cash received from our customers is not adequate to fund our purchase commitments. The non-recurring investment period typically ends concurrently with initial deliveries of completed aircraft by our customers, which indicates that a program has entered into the recurring production phase. When a program reaches steady recurring production, it typically results in long-term generation of cash from operations. As part of our business model, we continuously add new non-recurring programs, which are supported by mature programs that are in the steady recurring phase of the production cycle to promote growth.

In the first half of 2012, we had multiple new programs complete their non-recurring phase and enter into the recurring production phase.

As of June 28, 2012, we had \$180.1 million of cash and cash equivalents on the balance sheet and \$630.1 million of available borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facility, which is net of \$19.9 million in letters of credit. We had no outstanding balances under our revolving credit facility at the end of the second quarter of 2012. During the first half of 2012, we drew down \$170.0 million from our revolving

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

credit facility to fund short-term working capital needs, which was repaid in full by the end of the second quarter. Historically, cyclical cash flows dictate lower cash balances during the first half of the year. Based on our planned levels of operations and our strong liquidity position, we currently expect that our cash on hand, cash flow from operations and borrowings available under our revolving credit facility will be sufficient to fund our operations, inventory growth, planned capital investments, research and development expenditures and scheduled debt service payments for at least the next twelve months.

Table of Contents**Cash Flows**

The following table provides a summary of our cash flow for the six months ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011:

| | For the Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------------|---------------|
| | June 28, 2012 | June 30, 2011 |
| | (\$ in millions) | |
| Net income | \$ 108.5 | \$ 64.7 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income | 103.4 | 70.2 |
| Changes in working capital | (79.1) | (376.8) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 132.8 | (241.9) |
| Net cash (used in) investing activities | (103.4) | (84.0) |
| Net cash (used in) financing activities | (27.2) | (2.9) |
| Effect of exchange rate change on cash and cash equivalents | 0.1 | 1.3 |
| Net (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents for the period | 2.3 | (327.5) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 177.8 | 481.6 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 180.1 | \$ 154.1 |

Six Months Ended June 28, 2012 as Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

Operating Activities. For the six months ended June 28, 2012, we had a net cash inflow of \$132.8 million from operating activities, an increase in inflow of \$374.7 million, compared to a net cash outflow of \$241.9 million for the same period in the prior year. During the first half of 2012, net cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to the receipt of a \$200.0 million advance from Airbus associated with an agreement on the A350 XWB fuselage program, a \$105.0 million insurance advance, partially offset by payments for repairs and recovery costs, and by timing of vendor payments and receivables from customers. Also during the first half of 2012, we made federal tax payments of \$90.5 million, which are net of an IRS audit settlement for the 2008 & 2009 tax years and \$62.0 million in estimated taxes for the 2012 tax year. During the first half of 2011, we received payment of \$20.0 million in deferred revenue from Airbus and a \$25.0 million federal tax refund. In addition, during the first half of 2011, we made a federal tax payment of \$18.0 million.

We continue to invest in inventory for production start-up on new programs and additional production costs for ramp-up activities in support of increasing build rates on several Boeing programs. During the first half of 2012, inventory purchases for new programs, including the B787, A350 XWB and Gulfstream programs, was \$567.6 million, an increase of \$125.1 million, compared to the same period in the prior year. During the first half of 2012, inventory purchases for mature Boeing and Airbus programs, including costs associated with announced increasing build rates on several Boeing programs, was approximately \$1,766.8 million, an increase of \$143.9 million, compared to the same period in the prior year. These activities were funded through cash flows from operations, including receivables from customers and customer advances.

Investing Activities. For the six months ended June 28, 2012, we had a net cash outflow of \$103.4 million from investing activities, an increase in outflow of \$19.4 million compared to a net cash outflow of \$84.0 million for the same period in the prior year. In the first half of 2012, capital expenditures were \$103.8 million and consisted of purchases of tooling and machinery and equipment to prepare for the manufacturing of our developmental programs and to support increasing production rates on several Boeing programs. In comparison, in the first half of 2011, capital expenditures were \$84.4 million.

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Financing Activities. For the six months ended June 28, 2012, we had a net cash outflow of \$27.2 million from financing activities, an increase in outflow of \$24.3 million, compared to a net cash outflow of \$2.9 million for the same period in the prior year. The increase in outflow was primarily due to the refinancing of our senior secured credit facilities of \$559.0 million with new senior secured credit facilities of \$550.0 million resulting in a prepayment of principal of \$9.0 million. In addition to the prepayment of principal, financing fees of \$11.3 million and original issue discount of \$2.7 million were also incurred. Payments on debt other than the refinancing activity were \$5.3 million, compared to \$4.1 million for the same period in the prior year. During the first half of 2012, we drew down and repaid \$170.0 million from our revolver.

Future Cash Needs and Capital Spending

Our primary future cash needs will consist of working capital, tornado related repair and recovery expenses, debt service, research and development and capital expenditures. We expend significant capital as we undertake new programs, which begin in the non-recurring investment phase of our business model. In addition, we expend significant capital to meet increased production rates on certain mature programs, including the B737.

Table of Contents

We also require capital to develop new technologies for the next generation of aircraft and are evaluating various plans to relieve capacity constraints for the announced customer production rate increases. Capital expenditures totaled approximately \$103.8 million and \$84.4 million for the six months ended June 28, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively. Excluding the impact of the tornado mentioned under Recent Events, we expect capital expenditures for the full year 2012 to be approximately \$250.0 million as we prepare for anticipated production rate increases. While the Company is still assessing the likely impact of the tornado damage, we anticipate that tornado-related capital spending will be limited to insurance proceeds recovered. We plan to fund future capital expenditures and cash requirements from cash on hand, cash generated by operations, customer cash advances, insurance proceeds and borrowings available under our revolving credit facility.

Insurance Recovery. As of June 28, 2012 the Company had received a total of \$105.0 million in partial insurance payments based on estimated losses incurred as a result of the April 14, 2012 tornado. In accordance with its credit agreement, the Company provided a certificate to its lenders indicating that all net proceeds received in connection with the destruction caused by the April 14th tornado would be used for repair, replacement or restoration at the Wichita facility. The Company currently estimates that the insurance claim associated with this event will total approximately \$400.0 million for both property damage and business interruption expenses combined, which will be subject to applicable deductibles. While the Company expects the insurance proceeds will be sufficient to cover most of the repair and replacement cost of the damaged facility and business interruption losses, certain deductibles and limitations will apply and no assurance can be made that proceeds will be sufficient to cover the costs of the entire restoration and recovery. Additional expenses will be recognized in future periods as they are incurred and offsetting credits will be recognized in future periods as insurance recoveries are confirmed.

Pension and Other Post Retirement Benefit Obligations

Our U.S. pension plan remained fully funded at June 28, 2012 and we anticipate non-cash pension income for 2012 to remain at the same level as 2011. Our plan investments are broadly diversified and we do not anticipate a near-term requirement to make cash contributions to our U.S. pension plan. We continue to make contributions to our U.K. pension plan. Our projected contributions to the U.K. pension plan for 2012 are \$8.8 million.

Debt and Other Financing Arrangements

Senior Secured Credit Facilities. On April 18, 2012, Spirit entered into a \$1.2 billion senior secured Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") consisting of a \$650.0 million revolving credit facility and a \$550.0 million term loan B facility. The Credit Agreement refinances and replaces the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of November 27, 2006, as amended. Proceeds of the new term loan were used to pay off outstanding amounts under the prior credit agreement. The revolving credit facility matures April 18, 2017 and bears interest, at Spirit's option, at either LIBOR, or a defined base rate plus an applicable margin based on Spirit's debt-to-EBITDA ratio (see table below). The term loan matures April 18, 2019 and bears interest, at Spirit's option, at LIBOR plus 3.00% with a LIBOR floor of 0.75% or base rate plus 2.00%, subject to a step down to LIBOR plus 2.75% or base rate plus 1.75%, as applicable, in the event Spirit's secured debt-to-EBITDA ratio is below 1:1 at any time after 2012. Substantially all of Spirit's assets, including inventory and property, plant and equipment, were pledged as collateral for both the term loan and the revolving credit facility. As of June 28, 2012, the outstanding balance of the term loan was \$550.0 million. As of December 31, 2011, the outstanding balance of the old term loan, which was repaid upon closing of the new credit facilities, was \$561.9 million. As of June 28, 2012 the carrying amount of the term loan was \$547.3 million. The amount outstanding under the revolving credit facility was zero at June 28, 2012. The amount outstanding under the old revolving credit facility was zero at December 31, 2011. As of June 28, 2012, there were \$19.9 million of letters of credit outstanding under the revolving credit facility. The Company recorded a charge of \$9.5 million in the second quarter of 2012 for unamortized deferred financing fees as a result of extinguishment of the debt under the prior credit agreement.

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

In addition to paying interest on outstanding principal under the Credit Agreement, Spirit is required to pay an unused line fee on the unused portion of the commitments under the revolving credit facility based on Spirit's debt-to-EBITDA ratio (see table below). Spirit is required to pay letter of credit fees equal to the applicable margin for LIBOR rate revolving credit borrowings with respect to letters of credit issued under the revolving credit facility (see table below). Spirit is also required to pay to the issuing banks that issue any letters of credit, letter of credit fronting fees in respect of letters of credit equal to 20 basis points per year, and to the administrative agent thereunder customary administrative fees.

| Pricing Tier | Debt-to-EBITDA Ratio | Commitment Fee | Letter of Credit Fee | Eurodollar Rate Loans | Base Rate Loans |
|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | ≥ 3.0:1.0 | 0.450% | 2.50% | 2.50% | 1.50% |
| 2 | < 3.0:1 but ≥ 2.25:1 | 0.375% | 2.25% | 2.25% | 1.25% |
| 3 | < 2.25:1 but ≥ 1.75:1 | 0.300% | 2.00% | 2.00% | 1.00% |
| 4 | < 1.75:1 | 0.250% | 1.75% | 1.75% | 0.75% |

Table of Contents

At June 28, 2012, the Company's total leverage ratio was 2.03:1.0, resulting in applicable margins under the revolving credit facility of 2.00% and 1.00% on Eurodollar and base rate loans, respectively. In addition, as of June 28, 2012, commitment fees on the undrawn portion of the revolving credit facility and letter of credit fees were 0.30% and 2.00% respectively.

Senior Notes. On November 18, 2010, we issued \$300.0 million aggregate of 6 3/4% Senior Notes due 2020 (the "2020 Notes"), with interest payable on June 15 and December 15 of each year, beginning June 15, 2011. The 2020 Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis by the Company and Spirit's existing and future domestic subsidiaries that guarantee Spirit's obligations under Spirit's senior secured credit facility. The carrying value of the 2020 Notes was \$300.0 million as of June 28, 2012.

On September 30, 2009, we issued \$300.0 million of 7 1/2% Senior Notes due October 1, 2017 (the "2017 Notes"), with interest payable on April 1 and October 1 of each year, beginning April 1, 2010. The 2017 Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis by the Company and Spirit's existing and future domestic subsidiaries that guarantee Spirit's obligations under Spirit's senior secured credit facility. The carrying value of the 2017 Notes was \$295.2 million as of June 28, 2012.

As of June 28, 2012, we were and expect to continue to be in full compliance with all covenants contained in the indentures governing the 2020 Notes and the 2017 Notes for the foreseeable future.

Advances and Deferred Revenue on the B787 Program. On May 12, 2011, Spirit and Boeing entered into the B787 Amendment which, among other things, established a new repayment schedule for advances made by Boeing to Spirit, to be repaid against the purchase price of the first 1,000 B787 ship sets delivered to Boeing. In the event Boeing does not take delivery of 1,000 ship sets prior to the termination of the B787 program or the supply agreement for the B787 (the "B787 Supply Agreement"), any advances not then repaid will be applied against any outstanding payments then due by Boeing to us, and any remaining balance will be repaid in annual installments of \$42.0 million due on December 15th of each year until the advance payments have been fully recovered by Boeing. The B787 Amendment also changed the treatment of advances paid by Boeing for certain non-recurring work into a nonrefundable payment in full for such work. As of June 28, 2012, the amount of advance payments and deferred revenue received by us from Boeing under the B787 Supply Agreement and not yet repaid or recognized as revenue was approximately \$630.8 million.

Advances on the A350 Fuselage Program. In March 2012, we signed a Memorandum of Agreement with Airbus providing for us to receive advance payments in 2012. The advance payments will be offset against the recurring price of A350 XWB ship sets invoiced by Spirit, at a rate of \$1.25 million per ship set. We received \$200.0 million in advance payments for the six months ended June 28, 2012.

Malaysian Facility Agreement. On June 2, 2008, Spirit Malaysia entered into a Facility Agreement for a term loan facility for Ringgit Malaysia RM69.2 million (approximately USD \$20.0 million equivalent) (the "Malaysia Facility"), with the Malaysian Export-Import Bank. The Malaysia Facility requires quarterly principal repayments of RM3.3 million (USD \$1.0 million equivalent) from September 2011 through May 2017 and quarterly interest payments payable at a fixed interest rate of 3.5% per annum. The Malaysia Facility loan balance as of June 28, 2012 was \$14.5 million.

French Factory Capital Lease Agreement. On July 17, 2011, the Company's indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, Spirit AeroSystems France SARL entered into a capital lease agreement for 9.0 million (approximately USD \$13.1 million equivalent) with BNP Paribas Bank ("BNP") to be

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

used towards the construction of an assembly plant in Saint-Nazaire, France. Lease payments are variable, subject to the six-month Euribor rate plus 2.2%. Lease payments under the agreement are due quarterly through April 2025. As of June 28, 2012, the Company has \$10.6 million in debt attributable to the capital lease transaction. We currently assemble center fuselage frame sections and wings for the new Airbus A350 XWB aircraft at the Saint-Nazaire facility.

Credit Ratings

Our corporate credit ratings at Standard & Poor's Rating Services and Moody's Investor Service are BB with positive outlook and Ba2 with positive outlook, respectively.

Our credit ratings are reviewed periodically by the rating agencies listed above.

Table of Contents

The credit rating agencies consider many factors when assigning their ratings, such as the global economic environment and its possible impact on our financial performance, including certain financial metrics used by the rating agencies in determining our credit ratings. Accordingly, it is possible the rating agencies could downgrade our credit ratings from their current levels. This could significantly influence the interest rate of any future debt financings.

A debt security credit rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold a security. Each rating is subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating organization. Each rating agency has its own methodology for assigning ratings. Accordingly, each rating should be considered independent of other ratings.

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report contains certain forward-looking statements that may involve many risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements reflect our current expectations or forecasts of future events. Forward-looking statements generally can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as may, will, should, expect, anticipate, intend, estimate, believe, project, continue, plan, similar words, or the negative thereof, unless the context requires otherwise. These statements reflect management's current views with respect to future events and are subject to risks and uncertainties, both known and unknown. Our actual results may vary materially from those anticipated in forward-looking statements. We caution investors not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements.

Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those reflected in such forward-looking statements and that should be considered in evaluating our outlook include, but are not limited to, the following:

- our ability to continue to grow our business and execute our growth strategy, including the timing, execution, and profitability of new programs;
- our ability to perform our obligations and manage costs related to our new commercial and business aircraft development programs and the related recurring production;
- margin pressures and the potential for additional forward-losses on aircraft development programs;
- our ability to accommodate, and the cost of accommodating, announced increases in the build rates of certain aircraft, including, but not limited to, the Boeing B737, B747, B767 and B777 programs, and the Airbus A320 and A380 programs;
- the effect on business and commercial aircraft demand and build rates of the following factors: continuing weakness in the global economy and economic challenges facing commercial airlines, a lack of business and consumer confidence, and the impact of continuing instability in global financial and credit markets, including, but not limited to, any failure to avert a sovereign debt crisis in Europe;
- customer cancellations or deferrals as a result of global economic uncertainty;
- the success and timely execution of key milestones such as deliveries of Boeing's B787 and first flight, certification and first delivery of Airbus A350 XWB aircraft program, including receipt of necessary regulatory approvals and customer adherence to their announced schedules;

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

- our ability to enter into profitable supply arrangements with additional customers and the ability of all parties to satisfy their performance requirements under existing supply contracts with Boeing and Airbus, our two major customers, and other customers and the risk of nonpayment by such customers;
- any adverse impact on Boeing's and Airbus' production of aircraft resulting from cancellations, deferrals or reduced orders by their customers or from labor disputes or acts of terrorism;
- any adverse impact on the demand for air travel or our operations from the outbreak of diseases or epidemic or pandemic outbreaks;
- returns on pension plan assets and the impact of future discount rate changes on pension obligations;
- our ability to borrow additional funds or refinance debt;
- competition from original equipment manufacturers and other aerostructures suppliers;
- the effect of governmental laws, such as U.S. export control laws and U.S. and foreign anti-bribery laws such as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the United Kingdom Bribery Act, and environmental laws and agency regulations, both in the U.S. and abroad;
- the cost and availability of raw materials and purchased components;
- our ability to successfully extend or renegotiate our primary collective bargaining contracts with our labor unions;

Table of Contents

- our ability to recruit and retain highly-skilled employees and our relationships with the unions representing many of our employees;
- spending by the U.S. and other governments on defense;
- the possibility that our cash flows and borrowing facilities may not be adequate for our additional capital needs or for payment of interest on and principal of our indebtedness;
- our exposure under our existing senior secured revolving credit facility to higher interest payments should interest rates increase substantially;
- the effectiveness of our interest rate and foreign currency hedging programs;
- the outcome or impact of ongoing or future litigation, claims and regulatory actions;
- our exposure to potential product liability and warranty claims; and
- the accuracy and completeness of our initial assessment of the damage from the tornado that hit our Wichita, Kansas facility on April 14, 2012 and availability of insurance to cover expected losses.

These factors are not exhaustive and it is not possible for us to predict all factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those reflected in our forward-looking statements. These factors speak only as of the date hereof, and new factors may emerge or changes to the foregoing factors may occur that could impact our business. As with any projection or forecast, these statements are inherently susceptible to uncertainty and changes in circumstances. Except to the extent required by law, we undertake no obligation to, and expressly disclaim any obligation to, publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. You should review carefully the sections captioned "Risk Factors" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our 2011 Form 10-K and in our Q1 2012 Form 10-Q for a more complete discussion of these and other factors that may affect our business.

Item 3. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*

Edgar Filing: Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

As a result of our operating and financing activities, we are exposed to various market risks that may affect our consolidated results of operations and financial position. These market risks include fluctuations in interest rates, which impact the amount of interest we must pay on our variable rate debt. In addition to other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, in our 2011 Form 10-K which could materially affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. There have been no material changes to our market risk since the filing of our 2011 Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our President and Chief Executive Officer and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated our disclosure controls as of June 28, 2012 and have concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the SEC rules and forms. These disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit is accumulated and communicated to management, including the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the second quarter of 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Part II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Information regarding any recent material development relating to our legal proceedings since the filing of our 2011 Form 10-K is included in Note 20 to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in Part I of this Quarterly Report and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to other information set forth in this Quarterly Report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors, in our 2011 Form 10-K, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. Other than the modifications to the risk factors noted in our Q1 2012 Form 10-Q, filed on May 4, 2012, there have been no material changes to the Company's risk factors previously disclosed in our 2011 Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

Article I.

Exhibit

Number

Section 1.01 Exhibit

| | |
|------------|--|
| 10.1 * | Credit Agreement dated as of April 18, 2012 among Spirit AeroSystems, Inc., Spirit AeroSystems Holdings, Inc., the other guarantors party thereto, Bank of America, N.A. and the other agents and lenders party thereto. |
| 31.1 * | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 * | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 ** | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 ** | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.INS@** | XBRL Instance Document. |
| 101.SCH@** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document. |
| 101.CAL@** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document. |
| 101.DEF@** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document. |
| 101.LAB@** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document. |
| 101.PRE@** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document. |

* Filed herewith

** Furnished herewith

Table of Contents

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SPIRIT AEROSYSTEMS HOLDINGS, INC.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|--|--|----------------|
| /s/ Philip D. Anderson Philip D. Anderson | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) | August 7, 2012 |