

Neuralstem, Inc.
Form 10-Q
August 14, 2008

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark one)

Quarterly Report Under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2008

Or

Transition Report Under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number 000-1357459

Neuralstem, Inc.

(Name of small business issuer in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

52-2007292
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

9700 Great Seneca Highway,
Rockville, Maryland
(Address of principal executive offices)

20850
(Zip Code)

Issuer's telephone number: (301) 366-4841

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a small reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: Neuralstem, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes No

As of August 5, 2008 there were 32,151,300 shares of common stock, \$.01 par value, issued and outstanding.

Neuralstem, Inc.

Table of Contents

	Page
PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION	3
Item 1. Financial Statements	3
Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2008 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2007	3
Statements of Operations (Unaudited) Three and Six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007	4
Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited) For the period from January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008	5
Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) Six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007	6
Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited)	7
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition, Results of Operations and Plan of Operation	12
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	17
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	17
PART II - OTHER INFORMATION	18
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	18
Item 1A. Risk Factors	18
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	25
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	26
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.	26
Item 5. Other Information	26
Item 6. Exhibits	27

PART I
FINANCIAL INFORMATION

NEURALSTEM, INC.
BALANCE SHEETS

	Jun. 30, 2008 (Unaudited)	Dec. 31, 2007
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash	\$ 6,966,587	\$ 7,403,737
Prepaid expenses	80,702	130,719
Total current assets	7,047,289	7,534,456
Property and equipment, net	175,238	136,920
Other assets	49,272	43,271
Intangible assets, net	127,228	111,406
Total assets	\$ 7,399,027	\$ 7,826,053
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 936,110	\$\$ 1,016,699
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Preferred Stock, 7,000,000 shares authorized, zero issued and outstanding	—	—
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 150 million shares authorized, 32,151,300 and 31,410,566 shares outstanding in 2008 and 2007	321,513	314,016
Additional paid-in capital	57,029,525	52,151,245
Accumulated deficit	(50,888,121)	(45,655,997)
Total stockholders' equity	6,462,917	6,809,354
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 7,399,027	\$ 7,826,053

See accompanying notes to Financial Statements.

NEURALSTEM, INC.
STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Revenues	\$ -	\$ 78,499	\$ -	\$ 260,324
Operating expenses				
Research and development costs	1,633,729	744,149	2,832,572	1,626,639
General, selling and administrative expenses	1,318,708	1,178,015	2,401,877	1,432,167
Depreciation and amortization	15,780	12,981	29,537	25,962
Total operating expenses	2,968,217	1,935,145	5,263,986	3,084,768
Operating loss	(2,968,217)	(1,856,646)	(5,263,986)	(2,824,444)
Non-operating income (expense)				
Interest income	10,545	58,058	31,862	76,961
Interest expense	-	(323)	-	(670)
Total non-operating income	10,545	57,735	31,862	76,291
Net loss	\$ (2,957,672)	\$ (1,798,911)	\$ (5,232,124)	\$ (2,748,153)
Net loss per share, basic and diluted	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.16)	\$ (0.10)
Average number of shares of common stock outstanding	32,109,858	29,024,012	31,936,365	27,811,517

See accompanying notes to Financial Statements.

NEURALSTEM, INC.
STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
For the period from January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008
(Unaudited)

	Preferred Stock Shares	Amount	Common Stock Shares	Amount	Additional Paid-In Capital	Accum. Deficit	Total
Balance at January 1, 2008	-	\$ -	31,410,566	\$ 314,106	\$ 52,151,245	\$ (45,655,997)	\$ 6,809,354
Exercise of Warrants to purchase Common Stock (\$1.50 - \$2.00 per share), net of offering costs of \$0.15 per share or \$7,313.	-	-	125,425	1,254	223,533	-	224,787
Issuance of common stock through Private Placement (\$4.06 per share).	-	-	615,309	6,153	2,493,847	-	2,500,000
Share Based Payment - Employee Compensation	-	-	-	-	2,160,900	-	2,160,900
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(5,232,124)	(5,232,124)
Balance at June 30, 2008	-	\$ -	32,151,300	\$ 321,513	\$ 57,029,525	\$ (50,888,121)	\$ 6,462,917

See accompanying notes to financial statements

NEURALSTEM, INC.
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (5,232,124)	\$ (2,748,153)
Adjustment to reconcile net loss to cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	29,537	25,962
Share based compensation	2,160,900	474,393
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	-	(4,359)
Prepaid expenses	50,017	23,343
Other assets	(6,001)	(5,624)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(80,589)	189,820
Net cash used in operating activities	(3,078,260)	(2,044,618)
Cash flow from investing activities:		
Capital outlay for intangible assets	(22,456)	(5,191)
Purchase of property and equipment	(61,221)	(75,020)
Net cash used in investing activities	(83,677)	(80,211)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Issuance of common stock	2,724,787	6,020,300
Payments on notes payable	-	(3,859)
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,724,787	6,016,441
Net (decrease) increase in cash	(437,150)	3,891,612
Cash, beginning of period	7,403,737	1,807,041
Cash, ending of period	\$ 6,966,587	\$ 5,698,653

See Accompanying Notes to Financial Statements

NEURALSTEM, INC.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited financial statements of Neuralstem, Inc. (the "Company") have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States and the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), for interim financial information. Therefore, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements and should be read in conjunction with Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2007.

The interim financial statements are unaudited, but in the opinion of management all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring accruals, considered necessary to present fairly the results of these interim periods have been included. The results of the Company's operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the full year.

Note 2. Significant Accounting Policies and Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Because of the use of estimates inherent in the financial reporting process, actual results could differ significantly from those estimates.

The Company's business currently generates limited amounts of cash which will not be sufficient to meet its future capital requirements. The Company's management does not know when this will change. The Company has expended and will continue to expend substantial funds in the research, development and clinical and pre-clinical testing of the Company's stem cell technologies and products with the goal of ultimately obtaining approval from the United States Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") to market and sell our products. We believe our long-term cash position is inadequate to fund all of the costs associated with the full range of testing and clinical trials required by the FDA for our core products. Based on our current operating levels, we believe that we have sufficient levels of cash, short-term investments and access to funds that we will not require additional debt or equity financing during 2008.

No assurance can be given that (i) we will be able to expand our operations prior to FDA approval of our products, or (ii) that FDA approval will ever be granted for our products.

Revenue Recognition

Our revenue recognition policies are in accordance with the SEC's Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, *Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements* as amended by SAB 104. Our revenue is derived primarily from providing treated samples for gene expression data from stem cell experiments, from providing services under various grant programs and through the licensing of the use of our intellectual property. Revenue is recognized when there is persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists, delivery of goods and services has occurred, the price is fixed and determinable, and collection is reasonably assured.

Research and Development

Research and development expenses consist primarily of costs associated with basic and pre-clinical research, exclusively in the field of human neural stem cell therapies and regenerative medicine, related to our clinical cell therapy candidates. These expenses represent both pre-clinical development costs and costs associated with non-clinical support activities such as quality control and regulatory processes. Research and development costs are expensed as they are incurred.

Loss per Common Share

Basic loss per common share is calculated by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted loss per common share adjusts basic loss per share for the potentially dilutive effects of shares issuable under our stock option plan, using the treasury stock method. Common equivalent shares from the exercise of stock options and warrants are excluded from the computation of diluted loss per share as their effect is antidilutive.

Share Based Payments

We have granted stock-based compensation awards to employees and board members. Awards may consist of common stock, warrants, or stock options. Our stock options and warrants have up to a ten year life. The stock options or warrants vest either upon the grant date or over varying periods of time. The stock options we grant provide for option exercise prices equal to or greater than the fair market value of the common stock at the date of the grant.

During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we granted 5,370,000 options and in the similar period ended June 30, 2007, we granted 270,000 options. We recorded related compensation expenses as our options vest in accordance with the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*. We recognized \$1,066,362 and \$398,911 in share-based compensation expense during the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, from the vesting of stock options or warrants. We recognized \$2,160,900 and \$474,393 in share-based compensation expense during the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, from the vesting of stock options or warrants.

A summary of stock option activity during the six months ended June 30, 2008 and related information is included in the table below:

	Number of Options	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2008	3,200,659	\$ 1.19	6.8	\$ -
Granted	5,370,000	3.46	9.6	-
Exercised	-			
Forfeited	-			
Outstanding at June 30, 2008	8,570,659	\$ 2.59	8.6	\$ 2,415,580
Exercisable at June 30, 2008	1,691,326	\$ 1.26	7.1	\$ 1,233,580

Share-based compensation included in the statements of operations for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 was as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007
Research and development costs	\$ 696,661	\$ 37,741
General, selling and administrative expenses	369,701	361,170
Total	\$ 1,066,362	\$ 398,911

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007
Research and development costs	\$ 1,448,675	\$ 75,482
General, selling and administrative expenses	712,225	398,911
Total	\$ 2,160,900	\$ 474,393

Options to purchase common stock were issued to certain officers, directors, stockholders and consultants.

	Number of Warrants	Weighted- Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at January 1, 2008	11,208,515	\$ 2.44

Edgar Filing: Neuralstem, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Issued		—	
Exercised		125,425	1.79
Forfeited		—	
Outstanding at June 30, 2008		11,083,090	\$ 2.45
Exercisable at June 30, 2008		8,083,090	\$ 2.24

8

In the first half of 2008 holders of warrants to purchase 125,425 shares of our common stock for between \$1.50 and \$2.00 exercised them for \$224,787 net of offering costs of \$7,313.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, "*Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes—an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*" ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an entity's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, "*Accounting for Income Taxes*," and prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Additionally, FIN 48 provides guidance on subsequent derecognition of tax positions, financial statement classification, recognition of interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, and disclosure and transition requirements. The Company adopted the provisions of FIN 48 on January 1, 2007.

The Company recognizes accrued interest related to unrecognized tax benefits in interest expense and penalties in operating expense. No amounts were accrued for the payment of interest and penalties at January 1, 2008 or June 30, 2008. The Company's adoption of FIN 48 did not have a material effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "*Fair Value Measurements*." This Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. It clarifies that fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the market in which the reporting entity transacts. This Statement does not require any new fair value measurements, but rather, it provides enhanced guidance to other pronouncements that require or permit assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. This Statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with earlier adoption permitted. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ("FSP") No. FAS 157-2, "*Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157*." This FSP defers the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually), to years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of this Statement did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows. As of June 30, 2008, Cash was our only financial asset and was valued using Level I observable inputs. We had no financial liabilities as of June 30, 2008.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 159, "*The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities — Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*" (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 provides companies with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. SFAS 159's objectives are to reduce both complexity in accounting for financial instruments and the volatility in earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently. SFAS 159 is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2007. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition, result of operations or cash flows.

In June 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ratified a consensus opinion reached by the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) on EITF Issue 07-3, "*Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services Received for Use in Future Research and Development Activities*." The guidance in EITF Issue 07-3 requires the Company to defer and capitalize nonrefundable advance payments made for goods or services to be used in research and development activities until the goods have been delivered or the related services have been performed. If the goods are no longer expected to be delivered nor the services expected to be performed, the Company would be required to expense the related capitalized advance payments. The consensus in EITF Issue 07-3 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2007 and is to be applied prospectively to new contracts entered into on or after December 15, 2007. Early adoption is not permitted.

Retrospective application of EITF Issue 07-3 is also not permitted. The Company adopted EITF Issue 07-3 effective January 1, 2008. The impact of applying this consensus will depend on the terms of the Company's future research and development contractual arrangements entered into on or after December 15, 2007 and has not had a material impact upon the Company's operations.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, "*Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities - an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133* ." This Statement amends and expands the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 133, "*Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* ." The Statement requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of and gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company does not expect that the adoption of this Statement will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, "*The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Principles* ." This statement identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") in the United States. The Statement is directed to entities rather than auditors because entities are responsible for the selection of accounting principles for financial statements that are presented in conformity with GAAP. This Statement is effective 60 days following the SEC's approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board amendments to AU Section 411, "*The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* ." The Company does not expect that the adoption of this Statement will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Note 3. Stockholders' Equity

In the first half of 2008, holders of warrants to purchase 125,425 shares of our common stock for between \$1.50 and \$2.00 exercised them for \$224,787 net of offering costs of \$7,313.

The Company also completed a private placement of 615,309 common shares at \$4.06 per share increasing equity by \$2,500,000 in February 2008.

During the first half of 2008, the Company granted 5,370,000 options on shares of common stock to the Company's Chief Scientific Officer and Chairman of the Board; the CEO, the CFO and the company's outside directors as an incentive for these officers' continued employment. The options vest in periods between one and three years six months.. The Company valued these options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following assumptions: exercise price of between \$1.32 and \$3.73, term between two and five and a half years, volatility rate between 51% and 77% and discount rate between 1.77 and 3.28%. The total value of these options will be expensed over the vesting period. The Company began to record the expense related to these options in the first quarter of 2008.

Note 4. Subsequent Events

In August 2008, Dr. Thomas Hazel will be rejoining the company as Executive Vice President, Research. He will be working with Dr. Karl Johe, Neuralstem's Chairman and Chief Science Officer, to manage the company's upcoming clinical trial for ALS (Amytrophic Lateral Sclerosis, or Lou Gehrig's disease), and complete development of Neuralstem's small molecule neurogenesis compound targeted to treat depression.

In July 2008, the Company notified CJ Cheiljedang Corp. (C.J.) that Neuralstem will exercise its right to commence negotiations with C.J. concerning the potential addition of China to the list of Asian countries for which C.J. has purchased an option to negotiate for the exclusive license to Neuralstem's stem cell-products and technology. In February 2008, C.J. purchased options to negotiate exclusive licenses for: Korea, Indonesia, Philippines, Malaysia, Singapore and Vietnam. CJ CheilJedang Corporation is a subsidiary company of CJ Group whose core businesses consists of Food & Food Services, Bio Pharma, Entertainment Media, and Home Shopping & Logistics.

NEURALSTEM, INC.

ADVISEMENT

Unless the context requires otherwise, “*Neuralstem*,” “*the Company*,” “*we*,” “*us*,” “*our*” and similar terms refer to Neuralstem Inc. Our common stock, par value \$.01 per share is commonly referred to in this quarterly report as our “*common shares*.” The information contained herein is current as of the date of this quarterly report (June 30, 2008), unless another date is specified.

We prepare our interim financial statements in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles. Our financial condition and results of operations for the three and six-month interim period ended June 30, 2008 are not necessarily indicative of our prospective financial condition and results of operations for the pending full fiscal year ending December 31, 2008. The interim financial statements presented in this quarterly report as well as other information relating to our company contained in this quarterly report should be read in conjunction and together with any reports, statements and information filed by us with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”).

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

In this quarterly report we make a number of statements, referred to as “forward-looking statements,” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”), which are intended to convey our expectations or predictions regarding the occurrence of possible future events or the existence of trends and factors that may impact our future plans and operating results. These forward-looking statements are derived, in part, from various assumptions and analyses we have made in the context of our current business plan and information currently available to use and in light of our experience and perceptions of historical trends, current conditions and expected future developments and other factors we believe are appropriate in the circumstances. You can generally identify forward looking statements through words and phrases such as “*believe*,” “*expect*,” “*seek*,” “*estimate*,” “*anticipate*,” “*intend*,” “*plan*,” “*budget*,” “*project*,” “*may likely result*,” “*may be*,” “*may continue*” and other similar expressions.

When reading any forward-looking statement you should remain mindful that actual results or developments may vary substantially from those expected as expressed in or implied by such statement for a number of reasons or factors, including but not limited to:

- the success of our research and development activities, the development of a viable commercial production model, and the speed with which regulatory authorizations and product launches may be achieved;
- whether or not a market for our product develops and, if a market develops, the rate at which it develops;
- our ability to successfully sell our products if a market develops;
- our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel to implement our growth strategies;
- our ability to develop sales, marketing, and distribution capabilities;
- our ability to obtain reimbursement from third party payers for the products that we sell;
- the accuracy of our estimates and projections;

- our ability to fund our short-term and long-term financing needs;
- changes in our business plan and corporate strategies; and
- other risks and uncertainties discussed in greater detail in the section captioned “Risk Factors”

Each forward-looking statement should be read in context with and in understanding of the various other disclosures concerning our company and our business made elsewhere in this report as well as our public filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. You should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statement as a prediction of actual results or developments. We are not obligated to update or revise any forward-looking statements contained in this report or any other filing to reflect new events or circumstances unless and to the extent required by applicable law.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION, RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND PLAN OF OPERATION

Recent Developments

In July 2008 the Company notified CJ Cheiljedang Corp. (C.J.) that Neuralstem will exercise its right to commence negotiations with C.J. concerning the potential addition of China to the list of Asian countries for which C.J. has purchased an option to negotiate for the exclusive license to Neuralstem's stem cell-products and technology. In February 2008, C.J. purchased options to negotiate exclusive licenses for: Korea, Indonesia, Philippines, Malaysia, Singapore and Vietnam. CJ CheilJedang Corporation is a subsidiary company of CJ Group whose core businesses consists of Food & Food Services, Bio Pharma, Entertainment Media, and Home Shopping & Logistics.

General

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with (1) our unaudited interim financial statements and their explanatory notes included as part of this quarterly report, (2) our quarterly report for the period ended March 31, 2008, and (3) our audited annual financial statements and explanatory notes for the year ended December 31, 2007 as filed with the SEC, and as may be amended.

This quarterly report contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. See "Risk Factors" set forth on page [] of this report for a more complete discussion of these factors. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date that they are made. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Overview

Neuralstem is focused on the development and commercialization of treatments based on transplanting human neural stem cells.

We have developed and maintain a portfolio of patents and patent applications that form the proprietary base for our research and development efforts in the area of neural stem cell research. We own or exclusively license four (4) issued patents and thirteen (13) patent pending applications in the field of regenerative medicine and related technologies. We believe our technology base, in combination with our know-how, and collaborative projects with major research institutions provides a competitive advantage and will facilitate the successful development and commercialization of products for use in the treatment of a wide array of neurodegenerative conditions and in regenerative repair of acute disease.

This is a young and emerging field. There can be no assurances that our intellectual property portfolio will ultimately produce viable commercialized products and processes. Even if we are able to produce a commercially viable product, there are strong competitors in this field and our product may not be able to successfully compete against them.

All of our research efforts to date are at the level of basic research or in the pre-clinical stage of development. We are focused on leveraging our key assets, including our intellectual property, our scientific team, our facilities and our capital, to accelerate the advancement of our stem cell technologies. In addition, we are pursuing strategic collaborations with members of academia. We are headquartered in Rockville, Maryland.

In addition to our core tissue based technology we have begun developing a Small-Molecule compound. We have performed preliminary *in vitro* and *in vivo* tests on the compound with regard to neurogenesis. Based on the results of these tests we have applied for a U.S. patent on the compound.

Technology

Our technology is the ability to isolate human neural stem cells from most areas of the developing human brain and spinal cord and our technology includes the ability to grow them into physiologically relevant human neurons of all types. Our two issued core patents entitled: (i) *Isolation, Propagation, and Directed Differentiation of Stem Cell from Embryonic and Adult Central Nervous System of Mammals* ; and (ii) *In Vitro Generation of Differentiated Neurons from Cultures of Mammalian Multi-potential CNS Stem Cell* contain claims which cover the process of deriving the cells and the cells created from such process.

What differentiates our stem cell technology from others is that our patented processes do not require us to “push” the cells towards a certain fate by adding specific growth factors. Our cells actually “become” the type of cell they are fated to be. We believe this process and the resulting cells create a technology platform that allows for the efficient isolation and ability to produce, in commercially reasonable quantities, neural stem cells from the human brain and spinal cord.

Our technology allows for cells to grow in cultured dishes, also known as *in vitro* growth, without mutations or other adverse events that would compromise their usefulness.

Research

We have devoted substantial resources to our research programs in order to isolate and develop a series of neural stem cell banks that we believe can serve as a basis for therapeutic products. Our efforts to date have been directed at methods to identify, isolate and culture large varieties of stem cells of the human nervous system, and to develop therapies utilizing these stem cells. This research is conducted both internally and through the use of third party laboratory consulting companies under our direct supervision.

As of June 30, 2008, we had 7 full-time employees. Of these employees, 3 are directly involved in research and development activities and 4 are engaged in business development and administration. We also use the services of numerous outside consultants in business and scientific matters. We believe that we have good relations with our employees and consultants.

Trends & Outlook

Revenue: Our revenue in 2007 was from grant reimbursements and licensing fees. As our focus is now on pre-clinical work in anticipation of entering clinical trials in 2008, we are not concentrated on increasing revenue. We have completed work on previously awarded grants.

Long-term, we anticipate that grant revenue as a percentage of overall revenue will decrease and our revenue will be derived primarily from licensing fees and the sale of our cell therapy products. At present, we are in our pre-clinical stage of development and as a result, we can not accurately predict when or if we will be able to produce a product for commercialization. Accordingly, we cannot accurately estimate when such a change in revenue composition will occur or if it will ever occur.

Research and Development Expenses: Our research and development expenses consist primarily of costs associated with basic and pre-clinical research, exclusively in the field of human neural stem cell therapies and regenerative medicine, related to our clinical cell therapy candidates. These expenses represent both pre-clinical development costs and costs associated with non-clinical support activities such as quality control and regulatory processes. The cost of our research and development personnel is the most significant category of expense. However, we also incur expenses with third parties, including license agreements, third-party contract services, sponsored research programs and consulting expenses.

We do not segregate research and development costs by project because our research is focused exclusively on human stem cell therapies as a unitary field of study. Although we have different areas of focus for our research, these areas are completely intertwined and have not yet matured to the point where they are separate and distinct projects. The intellectual property, scientists and other resources dedicated to these efforts are not separately allocated to individual projects, but rather are conducting our research on an integrated basis.

We expect that research and development expenses will continue to increase in the foreseeable future as we add personnel, expand our pre-clinical research (animal surgeries, manufacturing of cells, and *in vitro* characterization of cells which includes testing and cell quality control), begin clinical trial activities, increase our regulatory compliance capabilities, and ultimately begin manufacturing.

In 2006 we retained Quintiles, Inc. to assist with regulatory compliance, preparation of our first Investigative New Drug (“IND”) application, and patient enrollment for our first human trial. While recruitment for the trial cannot commence until we have received an FDA approved protocol, much of the infrastructure required must be developed

and in place well in advance. For instance, we can begin to identify, contact, and educate prospective patients as well as the treatment community prior to commencing these trials.

Although we feel the above increase in personnel will be sufficient for our short term needs, the cost of increased personnel and expenses as we move from pre-clinical to clinical state is difficult to predict due to the uncertainty inherent in the timing and extent of progress in our research programs, and initiation of clinical trials. In addition, the results from our basic research and pre-clinical trials, as well as the results of trials of similar therapeutics under development by others, will influence the number, size and duration of planned and unplanned trials. As our research efforts mature, we will continue to review the direction of our research based on an assessment of the value of possible commercial applications emerging from these efforts. Based on this continuing review, we expect to establish discrete research programs and evaluate the cost and potential for cash inflows from commercializing products, partnering with others in the biotechnology industry, or licensing the technologies associated with these programs to third parties.

We believe that it is not possible at this stage to provide a meaningful estimate of the total cost to complete our ongoing projects and bring any proposed products to market. The use of human stem cells as a therapy is an emerging area of medicine, and it is not known what clinical trials will be required by the FDA in order to gain marketing approval. The costs to complete such clinical trials could vary substantially depending upon the projects selected for development, the number of clinical trials required and the number of patients needed for each study. At a minimum, we estimate that a trial for an individual indication such as Ischemic Spastic Paraplegia will require at least 10 to 12 patients at an estimated cost of \$100,000 to \$150,000 per patient. It is possible that the completion of these studies could be delayed for a variety of reasons, including difficulties in enrolling patients, delays in manufacturing, incomplete or inconsistent data from the pre-clinical or clinical trials, and difficulties evaluating the trial results. Any delay in completion of a trial would increase the cost of that trial, which would harm our operating results. Due to these uncertainties, we cannot reasonably estimate the size, nature, nor timing of the costs to complete, or the amount or timing of the net cash inflows from our current activities. Until we obtain further relevant pre-clinical and clinical data, we will not be able to estimate our future expenses related to these programs or when, if ever, and to what extent, we will receive cash inflows from resulting products.

General and Administrative Expenses: Our general and administrative expenses consist of the general costs, expenses and salaries for the operation and maintenance of our business as well as professional service fees (legal, accounting, audit) relating thereto. We anticipate that general and administrative expenses will increase as we progress from pre-clinical to a clinical phase.

In May of 2008 we initiated litigation against StemCells, Inc. We believe in response to such litigation, StemCells, Inc. initiated an action against us. The litigation is in its initial stages and it is hard to estimate what the actual costs stemming there from will be. We have currently budgeted an additional \$50,000 per month but this amount could significantly increase. For a further description of the litigation, refer to the section of this report entitled “*Legal Proceedings.*”

Notwithstanding, we anticipate that General and Administrative Expense related to our core business will increase at a slower rate than that of similar companies making such transition due in large part to our outsourcing model.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”). The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements describes certain significant accounting policies used in the preparation of the financial statements. Certain of these significant accounting policies are considered to be critical accounting policies, as defined below.

A critical accounting policy is defined as one that is both material to the presentation of our financial statements and requires management to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments that could have a material effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Specifically, critical accounting estimates have the following attributes: (1) we are required to make assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time of the estimate; and (2) different estimates we could reasonably have used, or changes in the estimate that are reasonably likely to occur, would have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Estimates and assumptions about future events and their effects cannot be determined with certainty. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions believed to be applicable and reasonable under the circumstances. These estimates may change as new events occur, as additional information is obtained and as our operating environment changes. These changes have historically been minor and have been included in the consolidated financial statements as soon as they became known.

Based on a critical assessment of our accounting policies and the underlying judgments and uncertainties affecting the application of those policies, management believes that our financial statements are fairly stated in accordance with GAAP, and present a meaningful presentation of our financial condition and results of operations. We believe the following critical accounting policies reflect our more significant estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of our financial statements:

Use of Estimates—These financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP, accordingly, they require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Specifically, our management has estimated the expected economic life and value of our licensed technology, our net operating loss for tax purposes and our stock, option and warrant expenses related to compensation to employees and directors, consultants and investment banks. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition—Our revenue, to date, has been derived primarily from providing treated samples for gene expression data from stem cell experiments and from providing services as a subcontractor under federal grant programs. Revenue is recognized when there is persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists, delivery of goods and services has occurred, the price is fixed and determinable, and collection is reasonably assured.

Intangible and Long-Lived Assets—We follow SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," which established a "primary asset" approach to determine the cash flow estimation period for a group of assets and liabilities that represents the unit of accounting for a long lived asset to be held and used. Long-lived assets to be held and used are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. The carrying amount of a long-lived asset is not recoverable if it exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. Long-lived assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. During the six month periods ended June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2007 no impairment losses were recognized.

Research and Development Costs—Research and development costs consist of expenditures for the research and development of patents and technology, which are not capitalizable and charged to operations when incurred. Our research and development costs consist mainly of payroll and payroll related expenses, research supplies and costs incurred in connection with specific research grants.

Share Based Compensation—Beginning in 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123R “*Share Based Payment*” which superseded APB Opinion No. 25. SFAS No. 123R requires compensation costs related to share-based payment transactions to be recognized in the financial statements.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Summary Income Statement for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 & 2007

	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Revenues	\$ -	\$ 78,499	\$ -	\$ 260,324
Operating Expenses	2,968,217	1,935,145	5,263,986	3,084,768
Operating Loss	(2,968,217)	(1,856,646)	(5,263,986)	(2,824,444)
Non-operating income	10,545	57,735	31,862	76,291
Net Loss	\$ (2,957,672)	\$ (1,798,911)	\$ (5,232,124)	\$ (2,748,153)

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

For the second quarter of 2008, the Company reported a net loss of \$2,957,672, or \$(0.09) per share, compared to a net loss of \$1,798,911 or \$(0.06) per share, for the comparable 2007 period. Net loss attributable to common stockholders for the first six months of 2008 was \$5,232,124 or \$(0.16) per share, compared to \$2,748,153, or \$(0.10) per share, for the comparable period in 2007. The increase in net loss year to year was due to an increase in non cash stock-based compensation expense, salaries, and legal fees.

Result of Operations for the Three Months ending June 30, 2008 and 2007

Revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 were \$0 and \$78,499 respectively as 2007 included funding from a grant which has ended.

Research and development expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 were \$1,633,729 and \$744,149, respectively. The increase in expenses in current period consists mainly of payroll and payroll related expenses, stock-based compensation expense, research supplies and costs incurred in connection with specific research grants.

General and administrative expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 were \$1,318,708, and \$1,178,015, respectively. The principal increase in expenses in 2008 versus 2007 is a result of increased stock-based compensation expenses, payroll and legal (both patent and corporate).

Other income for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 were \$10,545, and \$58,058, respectively. The decrease in 2008 relates to a reduction in short term interest rates which drives income derived from our cash balance.

Net loss for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 was \$2,957,672 and \$1,798,911, respectively.

Results of Operations for the Six Months ending June 30, 2008 and 2007

There were no revenues for the six month period ending June 30, 2008. In the same period in the prior year we had \$260,324 from a licensing agreement, sales of tissue products, and the substantial completion of a discontinued

National Institute of Health grant.

Research and development expenses for the six month periods ending June 30, 2008 and 2007 were \$2,832,572 and \$1,626,639, respectively. The increase in expenses in current period consists mainly of payroll and payroll related expenses, stock based compensation expense, research supplies and costs incurred in connection with our current effort to produce preclinical data which results in animal surgeries, manufacturing of cells, and in vitro characterization of cells which includes testing and cell quality control.

General and administrative expenses for the six month periods ending June 30, 2008 and 2007 were \$2,401,877 and \$1,432,167, respectively. The principal increase in expenses in the current period versus the same period last year is a result of increases in professional fees and expenses related to accountants, legal and business advisors, stock based compensation expense and the introduction of an incentive bonus plan.

Non-operating income, net for the six month period ending June 30, 2008 and 2007 were \$31,862, and \$76,291, respectively. The largest factor influencing the reduction in 2008 is the drop in short term interest rates on cash deposits.

Net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 was \$5,232,124 and \$2,748,153, respectively.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, "*Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes—an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*" ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an entity's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, "*Accounting for Income Taxes*," and prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Additionally, FIN 48 provides guidance on subsequent derecognition of tax positions, financial statement classification, recognition of interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, and disclosure and transition requirements. The Company adopted the provisions of FIN 48 on January 1, 2007.

The Company recognizes accrued interest related to unrecognized tax benefits in interest expense and penalties in operating expense. No amounts were accrued for the payment of interest and penalties at January 1, 2007. The Company's adoption of FIN 48 did not have a material effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "*Fair Value Measurements*." This Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. It clarifies that fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in the market in which the reporting entity transacts. This Statement does not require any new fair value measurements, but rather, it provides enhanced guidance to other pronouncements that require or permit assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. This Statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with earlier adoption permitted. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ("FSP") No. FAS 157-2, "*Effective Date of FASB Statement No.157*." This FSP defers the effective date of SFAS No.157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually), to years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of this Statement did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 159, "*The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities — Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*" (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 provides companies with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. SFAS 159's objectives are to reduce both complexity in accounting for financial instruments and the volatility in earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently. SFAS 159 is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2007. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition, result of operations or cash flows.

In June 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ratified a consensus opinion reached by the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) on EITF Issue 07-3, "*Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services Received for Use in Future Research and Development Activities*." The guidance in EITF Issue 07-3 requires the Company to defer and capitalize nonrefundable advance payments made for goods or services to be used in research and development activities until the goods have been delivered or the related services have been performed. If the goods are no longer expected to be delivered nor the services expected to be performed, the Company would be required to expense the related capitalized advance payments. The consensus in EITF Issue 07-3 is effective for fiscal

years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2007 and is to be applied prospectively to new contracts entered into on or after December 15, 2007. Early adoption is not permitted. Retrospective application of EITF Issue 07-3 is also not permitted. The Company adopted EITF Issue 07-3 effective January 1, 2008. The impact of applying this consensus will depend on the terms of the Company's future research and development contractual arrangements entered into on or after December 15, 2007 and has not had a material impact upon the Company's operations.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, "*Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities - an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133* ." This Statement amends and expands the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 133, "*Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* ." The Statement requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of and gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company does not expect that the adoption of this Statement will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, "*The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Principles* ." This statement identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") in the United States. The Statement is directed to entities rather than auditors because entities are responsible for the selection of accounting principles for financial statements that are presented in conformity with GAAP. This Statement is effective 60 days following the SEC's approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board amendments to AU Section 411, "*The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* ." The Company does not expect that the adoption of this Statement will have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We are financing our operations primarily with the proceeds from the private placement of our securities and the exercise of investor warrants. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we raised \$2,500,000, through the private placement of our securities. In addition, we raised an additional \$224,787 as a result of warrant exercises from our current investors. To a substantially lesser degree, financing of our operations is provided through grant funding, payments received under license agreements, and interest earned on cash. We received no payments from our grants, cell sales and licensing agreements for the six months ended June 30, 2008. Interest earned on cash and cash equivalents equaled \$31,862.

We have incurred substantial net losses each year since inception as a result of research and development and general and administrative expenses in support of our operations. We anticipate incurring substantial net losses in the future.

Cash at June 30, 2008 was \$6,966,587. Cash at December 31, 2007 was \$7,403,737. The decrease in the current period is the result of the above described factors, net of amounts spent for payment of notes and accounts payable, increased legal and accounting fees, fees paid to the placement agent, and increases in other research and development and general and administrative expenses.

Our cash is limited. We will require substantial additional funding. Our future cash requirements will depend on many factors, including the pace and scope of our research and development programs, the costs involved in filing, prosecuting, maintaining and enforcing patents and other costs associated with commercializing our potential products. We intend to seek additional funding primarily through public or private financing transactions, and, to a lesser degree, new licensing or scientific collaborations, grants from governmental or other institutions, and other related transactions. If we are unable to raise additional funds, we will be forced to either scale back our business efforts or curtail our business activities entirely. Our currently monthly cash burn rate is \$500,000. We anticipate that our available cash and expected income will be sufficient to finance most of our current activities for at least the next 12 months from June 30, 2008, although certain of these activities and related personnel may need to be reduced.

Additionally, in the event we are able to file a successful Investigative New Drug Application (“IND”) with the FDA, we anticipate we will enter clinical trials in the last half of 2008. In the event of such trials, we would incur additional expenses associated with such trials which are estimated to exceed \$1,000,000. Assuming our current monthly cash burn rate of \$500,000, the increased expense from regulatory compliance and personnel required for the pre-trial and clinical trial work, as well as the estimated cost of the trial, our cash on hand is sufficient to finance our current operations, pre-clinical and clinical work for at least twelve months from June 30, 2008. We cannot assure you that public or private financing or grants will be available on acceptable terms, if at all. Several factors will affect our ability to raise additional funding, including, but not limited to, the volatility of our common shares.

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are not required to provide the information required by this items as we are considered a smaller reporting company, as defined by Rule 229.10(f)(1).

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the quarterly reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time period specified in the SEC’s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports filed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial

Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, in consultation with our other members of management and advisors as appropriate, carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report pursuant to Rule 15d-15(b) promulgated under the Exchange Act. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them in a timely fashion to all material information required to be included in our periodic filings with the SEC.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The term internal control over financial reporting is defined as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with our evaluation of these controls as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report that could have significantly affected those controls.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

As of the date of this quarterly report, there are no material pending legal or governmental proceedings relating to our company or properties to which we are a party, and to our knowledge there are no material proceedings to which any of our directors, executive officers or affiliates are a party adverse to us or which have a material interest adverse to us, other than the following:

- On May 7, 2008, we filed suit against StemCells, Inc., StemCells California, Inc. and Neurospheres Holding Ltd., (collectively "StemCells") in U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland, alleging that U.S. Patent No. 7,361,505 (the "505 patent"), allegedly exclusively licensed to StemCells, Inc., is invalid, not infringed, and unenforceable. See Civil Action No. 08-1173. On May 13, we filed an Amended Complaint seeking declaratory judgment that U.S. Patent No. 7,155,418 (the "418 patent") is invalid and not infringed and that certain statements made by Neuralstem's CEO are not trade libel or do not constitute unfair competition as alleged by StemCells. On July 15, 2008, StemCells filed a Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction, Lack of Personal Jurisdiction, and Improper Venue or in the Alternative to Transfer to the Northern District of California. Briefing on that motion is ongoing. It is not known when nor on what basis this matter will be concluded.
- On May 7, 2008, StemCells filed suit against us, I. Richard Garr and Karl K. Johe (collectively "Defendants") in U.S. District Court in Northern District of California, alleging that we have been infringing, contributing to the infringement of, and or inducing the infringement of two patents owned by or exclusively licensed to StemCells relating to stem cell culture compositions. See Civil Action No. 08-02364. On May 9, 2008, StemCells filed an Amended Complaint and added claims against Neuralstem for trade libel and unfair competition under California state law. This case involves the same claims as the Declaratory Judgment Action initiated by Neuralstem on May 7, 2008 pending in the District of Maryland. See Civil Action No. 08-1173. Defendants' filed a Motion to Dismiss based on the first-to-file rule. On July 1, 2008, the Court in California issued an Order agreeing that the first-to-file rule applies and "defer[red] to the District of Maryland to decide the appropriate forum and whether an exception to the first-to-file rule is applicable." If the District in Maryland action does not move forward our Answer is due on September 24, 2008. It is not known when nor on what basis will this matter be concluded.

RISK FACTORS

We have described below a number of uncertainties and risks which, in addition to uncertainties and risks presented elsewhere in this quarterly report, may adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition. The uncertainties and risks enumerated below as well as those presented elsewhere in this quarterly report should be considered carefully in evaluating our company and our business and the value of our securities.

Risks Relating to the Company's Stage of Development

Since the Company has a limited operating history and has significantly shifted its operations and strategies since inception, you cannot rely upon the Company's limited historical performance to make an investment decision.

Since inception in 1996 and through June 30, 2008, the Company has raised in aggregate, approximately \$57,351,038 capital and recorded accumulated losses totaling \$50,888,121. On June 30, 2008, the Company had a working capital surplus of \$7,047,290 and stockholders' equity of \$6,462,917. Our net losses for the two most recent fiscal years have been \$7,063,272 and \$3,147,488 for 2007 and 2006 respectively. Our net loss for the six month period ended June 30, 2008 was \$5,232,124. We had no revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2008.

The Company's ability to generate revenues and achieve profitability depends upon its ability to complete the development of its stem cell products, obtain the required regulatory approvals, manufacture, and market and sell its products. In part because of the Company's past operating results, no assurances can be given that the Company will be able to accomplish all or any these goals.

Although the Company has generated some revenue to date, the Company has not generated any revenue from the commercial sale of its proposed stem cell products. Since inception, the Company has engaged in several related lines of business and has discontinued operations in certain areas. For example, in 2002, the Company lost a material contract with the Department of Defense and was forced to close its principal facility and lay off almost all of its employees in an attempt to focus the Company's strategy on its stem cell technology. This limited and changing history may not be adequate to enable you to fully assess the Company's current ability to develop and commercialize its technologies and proposed products, obtain approval from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration ("FDA"), achieve market acceptance of its proposed products and respond to competition. No assurances can be given as to exactly when, if at all, the Company will be able to fully develop, commercialize market, sell and derive material revenues from its proposed products in development.

The Company will need to raise additional capital to continue operations, and failure to do so will impair the Company's ability to fund operations, develop its technologies or promote its products.

The Company has relied almost entirely on external financing to fund operations. Such financing has historically come primarily from the sale of common and preferred stock and convertible debt to third parties, the exercise of investor warrants and to a lesser degree from grants, loans and revenue from license and royalty fees. The Company anticipates, based on current proposed plans and assumptions relating to its operations (including the timetable of, and costs associated with, new product development) and financings the Company has undertaken prior to the date of this quarterly report, that its current working capital will be sufficient to satisfy contemplated cash requirements for approximately nine months, assuming that the Company does not engage in an extraordinary transaction or otherwise face unexpected events or contingencies, any of which could effect cash requirements. As of June 30, 2008, the Company had cash and cash equivalents on hand of \$6,966,587. Presently, the Company has a monthly cash burn rate of approximately \$500,000. Accordingly, the Company will need to raise additional capital to fund anticipated operating expenses and future expansion after such period. Among other things, external financing will be required to cover the further development of the Company's technologies and products and other operating costs. The Company cannot assure you that financing whether from external sources or related parties will be available if needed or on favorable terms. If additional financing is not available when required or is not available on acceptable terms, the Company may be unable to fund operations and planned growth, develop or enhance its technologies, take advantage of business opportunities or respond to competitive market pressures. Any negative impact on the Company's operations may make capital raising more difficult and may also resulting a lower price for the Company's securities.

The Company may have difficulty raising needed capital in the future as a result of, among other factors, the Company's limited operating history and business risks associated with the Company.

The Company's business currently generates limited amounts of cash which will not be sufficient to meet its future capital requirements. The Company's management does not know when this will change. The Company has expended and will continue to expend substantial funds in the research, development and clinical and pre-clinical testing of the Company's stem cell technologies and products with the goal of ultimately obtaining FDA approval. The Company will require additional funds to conduct research and development, establish and conduct clinical and pre-clinical trials, commercial-scale manufacturing arrangements and to provide for the marketing and distribution. Additional funds may not be available on acceptable terms, if at all. If adequate funds are unavailable from any available source, the Company may have to delay, reduce the scope of or eliminate one or more of its research, development or commercialization programs or product launches or marketing efforts which may materially harm the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Company's long term capital requirements are expected to depend on many factors, including:

- continued progress and cost of its research and development programs;

- progress with pre-clinical studies and clinical trials;
- time and costs involved in obtaining regulatory clearance;
- costs involved in preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining and enforcing patent claims;
- costs of developing sales, marketing and distribution channels and its ability to sell the Company's stem cell products;
- costs involved in establishing manufacturing capabilities for commercial quantities of its products;
- competing technological and market developments;
- market acceptance of its stem cell products;

- costs for recruiting and retaining employees and consultants; and
- Costs for educating and training physicians about its stem cell products.

The Company may consume available resources more rapidly than currently anticipated, resulting in the need for additional funding. The Company may seek to raise any necessary additional funds through the exercising of warrants, options, equity or debt financings, collaborative arrangements with corporate partners or other sources, which may be dilutive to existing stockholders or otherwise have a material effect on the Company's current or future business prospects. If adequate funds are not available, the Company may be required to significantly reduce or refocus its development and commercialization efforts.

The Company relies on stem cell technologies that it may not be able to commercially develop, which will prevent the Company from generating revenues, operating profitably or providing investors any return on their investment.

The Company has concentrated its research on its stem cell technologies, and the Company's ability to generate revenue and operate profitably will depend on it being able to develop these technologies for human applications. These are emerging technologies with, as yet, limited human applications. The Company cannot guarantee that it will be able to develop its stem cell technologies or that such development will result in products or services with any significant commercial utility. The Company anticipates that the commercial sale of such products or services, and royalty/licensing fees related to its technology, will be the Company's primary sources of revenues. If the Company is unable to develop its technologies, investors will likely lose their entire investment.

Inability to complete pre-clinical and clinical testing and trials will impair the viability of the Company.

The Company is in its development stage and has not yet applied for approval by the FDA to conduct clinical trials. Even if the Company successfully files an IND and receives approval from the FDA to commence trials, the outcome of pre-clinical, clinical and product testing of the Company's products is uncertain, and if the Company is unable to satisfactorily complete such testing, or if such testing yields unsatisfactory results, the Company will be unable to commercially produce its proposed products. Before obtaining regulatory approvals for the commercial sale of any potential human products, the Company's products will be subjected to extensive pre-clinical and clinical testing to demonstrate their safety and efficacy in humans. No assurances can be given that the clinical trials of the Company's products, or those of licensees or collaborators, will demonstrate the safety and efficacy of such products at all, or to the extent necessary to obtain appropriate regulatory approvals, or that the testing of such products will be completed in a timely manner, if at all, or without significant increases in costs, program delays or both, all of which could harm the Company's ability to generate revenues. In addition, the Company's proposed products may not prove to be more effective for treating disease or injury than current therapies. Accordingly, the Company may have to delay or abandon efforts to research, develop or obtain regulatory approval to market its proposed products. Many companies involved in biotechnology research and development have suffered significant setbacks in advanced clinical trials, even after promising results in earlier trials. The failure to adequately demonstrate the safety and efficacy of a therapeutic product under development could delay or prevent regulatory approval of the product and could harm the Company's ability to generate revenues, operate profitably or produce any return on an investment in the Company.

The Company's additional financing requirements could result in dilution to existing stockholders.

At present, the Company is not able to finance its operations because it does not sell any products. Accordingly, the Company will be required to secure additional financing. If the Company is able to obtain such additional financings such financing may be dilutive to current shareholders. The Company has the authority to issue additional shares of common stock and preferred stock, as well as additional classes or series of ownership interests or debt obligations which may be convertible into any one or more classes or series of ownership interests. The Company is authorized to

issue 150,000,000 shares of common stock and 7,000,000 shares of preferred stock. Such securities may be issued without the approval or other consent of the Company's stockholders.

Risks Relating to Intellectual Property and Government Regulation

The Company may not be able to withstand challenges to its intellectual property rights, such as patents, should contests be initiated in court or at the U.S Patent and Trademark Office.

The Company relies on its intellectual property, including its issued and applied for patents, as the foundation of its business. The intellectual property rights of the Company may come under challenge, and no assurances can be given that, even though issued, the Company's current and potential future patents will survive claims commencing in the court system alleging invalidity or infringement on other patents. For example, in 2005, the Company's neural stem cell technology was challenged in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office by a competitor. Although the Company prevailed in this particular matter upon re-examination by the patent office, these cases are complex, lengthy and expensive, and could potentially be adjudicated adversely to the Company, removing the protection afforded by an issued patent. The viability of the Company's business would suffer if such patent protection were limited or eliminated. Moreover, the costs associated with defending or settling intellectual property claims would likely have a material adverse effect on the Company. At present, the litigation is in its initial stages and any likely outcome is difficult to predict. It is not known when nor on what basis this matter will be concluded.

The Company may not be able to adequately protect against piracy of intellectual property in foreign jurisdictions.

Considerable research in the area of stem cell therapies is being performed in countries outside of the United States, and a number of the Company's competitors are located in those countries. The laws protecting intellectual property in some of those countries may not provide protection for the Company's trade secrets and intellectual property adequate to prevent its competitors from misappropriating the Company's trade secrets or intellectual property. If the Company's trade secrets or intellectual property are misappropriated in those countries, the Company may be without adequate remedies to address the issue.

The Company's products may not receive FDA approval, which would prevent the Company from commercially marketing its products and producing revenues.

The FDA and comparable government agencies in foreign countries impose substantial regulations on the manufacture and marketing of pharmaceutical products through lengthy and detailed laboratory, pre-clinical and clinical testing procedures, sampling activities and other costly and time-consuming procedures. Satisfaction of these regulations typically takes several years or more and varies substantially based upon the type, complexity and novelty of the proposed product. The Company cannot yet accurately predict when it might first submit any Investigational New Drug, or IND, application to the FDA, or whether any such IND application would be granted on a timely basis, if at all, nor can the Company assure you that it will successfully complete any clinical trials in connection with any such IND application. Further, the Company cannot yet accurately predict when it might first submit any product license application for FDA approval or whether any such product license application would be granted on a timely basis, if at all. As a result, the Company cannot assure you that FDA approvals for any products developed by it will be granted on a timely basis, if at all. Any such delay in obtaining, or failure to obtain, such approvals could have a material adverse effect on the marketing of the Company's products and its ability to generate product revenue.

Because the Company or its collaborators must obtain regulatory approval to market its products in the United States and other countries, the Company cannot predict whether or when it will be permitted to commercialize its products.

Federal, state and local governments and agencies in the United States (including the FDA) and governments in other countries have significant regulations in place that govern many of the Company's activities. The Company is or may become subject to various federal, state and local laws, regulations and recommendations relating to safe working conditions, laboratory and manufacturing practices, the experimental use of animals and the use and disposal of hazardous or potentially hazardous substances used in connection with its research and development work. The preclinical testing and clinical trials of the products that the Company or its collaborators develop are subject to extensive government regulation that may prevent the Company from creating commercially viable products from its discoveries. In addition, the sale by the Company or its collaborators of any commercially viable product will be subject to government regulation from several standpoints, including manufacturing, advertising and promoting, selling and marketing, labeling, and distributing. If, and to the extent that, the Company is unable to comply with these regulations, its ability to earn revenues will be materially and negatively impacted.

Risks Relating to Competition

The Company's competition includes both public and private organizations and collaborations among academic institutions and large pharmaceutical companies, most of which have significantly greater experience and financial resources than the Company does.

The biotechnology industry is characterized by intense competition. The Company competes against numerous companies, many of which have substantially greater financial and other resources than it has. Several such enterprises have initiated cell therapy research programs and/or efforts to treat the same diseases targeted by the Company.

Although not necessarily direct competitors, companies such as Geron Corporation, Genzyme Corporation, StemCells, Inc., Advanced Cell Technology, Inc., Aastrom Biosciences, Inc. and Viacell, Inc., as well as others, have substantially greater resources and experience in the Company's fields than it does. Of course, any of the world's largest pharmaceutical companies represent a significant actual or potential competitor with vastly greater resources than the Company's.

Risks Relating to the Company's Reliance on Third Parties

The Company's outsource model depends on collaborators, non-employee consultants, research institutions, and scientific contractors to help it develop and test its proposed products. Our ability to develop such relationships could impair or delay our ability to develop products.

The Company's strategy for the development, clinical testing and commercialization of its proposed products is based on an outsource model. This model requires that the Company enter into collaborations with corporate partners, research institutions, scientific contractors and licensors, licensees and others in order to further develop its technology and develop products. In the event the Company is not able to enter into such relationships in the future, our ability to develop products may be seriously hindered; or we would be required to expend considerable money and research to bring such research and development functions in house. Either outcome could result in our inability to develop a commercially feasible product or in the need for substantially more working capital to complete the research in-house. Also, we are currently dependent on collaborators for a substantial portion of our research and development. Although our collaborative agreements do not impose any duties or obligations on us other than the licensing of our technology, the failure of any of these collaborations may hinder our ability to develop products in a timely fashion. By way of example, our collaboration with John Hopkins University, School of Medicine yielded findings that contributed to our patent application entitled Transplantation of Human Cells for Treatment of Neurological Disorder. Had the collaboration not have existed, our ability to apply for such patent would have been greatly hindered. We currently have 4 key collaborations. They are with:

- The University of California, San Diego;
- University of Central Florida; and
- John Hopkins University.
- University of Michigan

As we are under no financial obligation to provide additional funding under any of these collaborations, our primary risk is that no results are derived from their research.

We intend to rely upon the third-party FDA-approved manufacturers for our stem cells. Should these manufacturers fail to perform as expected, we will need to develop or procure other manufacturing sources, which would cause delays or interruptions in our product supply and result in the loss of significant sales and customers.

We currently have no internal manufacturing capability, and will rely extensively on FDA-approved licensees, strategic partners or third party contract manufacturers or suppliers. We current have an agreement with Charles River Laboratories for the manufacturing and storage of our cells. In the event Charles River Laboratories fails to provide suitable cells, we would be forced to either manufacture the cells ourselves or seek other third party vendors. Should we be forced to manufacture our stem cells, we cannot give you any assurance that we will be able to develop an internal manufacturing capability or procure third party suppliers. Moreover, we cannot give you any assurance that any contract manufacturers or suppliers we procure will be able to supply our product in a timely or cost effective manner or in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements or our specifications

General Risks Relating to the Company's Business

The Company may be subject to litigation that will be costly to defend or pursue and uncertain in its outcome.

The Company's business may bring it into conflict with its licensees, licensors, or others with whom it has contractual or other business relationships or with its competitors or others whose interests differ from the Company's. If the Company is unable to resolve those conflicts on terms that are satisfactory to all parties, the Company may become involved in litigation brought by or against it. That litigation is likely to be expensive and may require a significant amount of management's time and attention, at the expense of other aspects of the Company's business. The outcome of litigation is always uncertain, and in some cases could include judgments against us that require the Company to pay damages, enjoin it from certain activities, or otherwise affect its legal or contractual rights, which could have a significant adverse effect on its business. By way of example, in May of 2008, we filed a complaint against StemCells Inc., alleging that U.S. Patent No. 7,361,505 (the "505 patent"), allegedly exclusively licensed to StemCells, Inc., is invalid, not infringed, and unenforceable. On the same day, StemCells, Inc. filed a complaint alleging that we had infringed, contributed to the infringement of, and or induced the infringement of two patents owned by or exclusively licensed to StemCells relating to stem cell culture compositions. At present, the litigation is in its initial stages and any likely outcome is difficult to predict.

The Company may not be able to obtain third-party patient reimbursement or favorable product pricing, which would reduce its ability to operate profitably.

The Company's ability to successfully commercialize certain of its proposed products in the human therapeutic field may depend to a significant degree on patient reimbursement of the costs of such products and related treatments at acceptable levels from government authorities, private health insurers and other organizations, such as health maintenance organizations. The Company cannot assure you that reimbursement in the United States or foreign countries will be available for any products it may develop or, if available, will not be decreased in the future, or that

reimbursement amounts will not reduce the demand for, or the price of, its products with a consequent harm to the Company's business. The Company cannot predict what additional regulation or legislation relating to the health care industry or third-party coverage and reimbursement may be enacted in the future or what effect such regulation or legislation may have on the Company's business. If additional regulations are overly onerous or expensive or if health care related legislation makes its business more expensive or burdensome than originally anticipated, the Company may be forced to significantly downsize its business plans or completely abandon its business model.

The Company's products may be expensive to manufacture, and they may not be profitable if the Company is unable to control the costs to manufacture them.

The Company's products may be significantly more expensive to manufacture than most other drugs currently on the market today due to a fewer number of potential manufacturers, greater level of needed expertise, and other general market conditions affecting manufacturers of stem cell based products. The Company would hope to substantially reduce manufacturing costs through process improvements, development of new science, increases in manufacturing scale and outsourcing to experienced manufacturers. If the Company is not able to make these, or other improvements, and depending on the pricing of the product, its profit margins may be significantly less than that of most drugs on the market today. In addition, the Company may not be able to charge a high enough price for any cell therapy product it develops, even if they are safe and effective, to make a profit. If the Company is unable to realize significant profits from its potential product candidates, its business would be materially harmed.

In order to secure market share and generate revenues, the Company's proposed products must be accepted by the health care community, which can be very slow to adopt or unreceptive to new technologies and products.

The Company's proposed products and those developed by its collaborative partners, if approved for marketing, may not achieve market acceptance since hospitals, physicians, patients or the medical community in general may decide not to accept and utilize these products. The products that the Company is attempting to develop represents substantial departures from established treatment methods and will compete with a number of more conventional drugs and therapies manufactured and marketed by major pharmaceutical companies. The degree of market acceptance of any of the Company's developed products will depend on a number of factors, including:

- the Company's establishment and demonstration to the medical community of the clinical efficacy and safety of its proposed products;
- the Company's ability to create products that are superior to alternatives currently on the market;
- the Company's ability to establish in the medical community the potential advantage of its treatments over alternative treatment methods; and
- Reimbursement policies of government and third-party payors.

If the health care community does not accept the Company's products for any of the foregoing reasons, or for any other reason, the Company's business would be materially harmed.

We depend on two key employees for our continued operations and future success. A loss of either employee could significantly hinder our ability to move forward with our business plan.

The loss of either of our key executive officers, Richard Garr and Karl Johe, would be significantly detrimental to us.

- We currently do not maintain "key person" life insurance on the life of Mr. Garr. As a result, the Company will not receive any compensation upon the death or incapacity of this key individuals;
- We currently do maintain "key person" line insurance on the life of Mr. Johe. As a result, the Company will receive approximately \$1,000,000 in the event of his death or incapacity.

In addition, the Company's anticipated growth and expansion into areas and activities requiring additional expertise, such as clinical testing, regulatory compliance, manufacturing and marketing, will require the addition of new management personnel and the development of additional expertise by existing management personnel. There is intense competition for qualified personnel in the areas of the Company's present and planned activities, and there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to continue to attract and retain the qualified personnel necessary for the development of its business. The failure to attract and retain such personnel or to develop such expertise would adversely affect the Company's business.

The Company has entered into long-term contracts with key personnel and stockholders, with significant anti-termination provisions, which could make future changes in management difficult or expensive.

Messrs. Garr and Johe have entered into employment agreements with the Company which expire on November 1, 2012 and which include termination provisions stating that if either employee is terminated for any reason other than a voluntary resignation, then all compensation due to such employee under the terms of the respective agreement shall become due and payable immediately. These provisions will make the replacement of either of these employees very costly to the Company, and could cause difficulty in effecting a change in control of the Company. Termination prior to full term on the contracts would cost the Company as much as \$1,800,000 per contract, and immediate vesting of all outstanding options held by Messrs Garr and Johe.

The Company has no product liability insurance, which may leave it vulnerable to future claims that the Company will be unable to satisfy.

The testing, manufacturing, marketing and sale of human therapeutic products entails an inherent risk of product liability claims, and the Company cannot assure you that substantial product liability claims will not be asserted against it. The Company has no product liability insurance. In the event the Company is forced to expend significant funds on defending product liability actions, and in the event those funds come from operating capital, the Company will be required to reduce its business activities, which could lead to significant losses.

The Company cannot assure you that adequate insurance coverage will be available in the future on acceptable terms, if at all, or that, if available, the Company will be able to maintain any such insurance at sufficient levels of coverage or that any such insurance will provide adequate protection against potential liabilities.

The Company has limited director and officer insurance and commercial insurance policies. Any significant claim would have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition and results of operations. Insurance availability, coverage terms and pricing continue to vary with market conditions. The Company endeavors to obtain appropriate insurance coverage for insurable risks that it identifies, however, the Company may fail to correctly anticipate or quantify insurable risks, may not be able to obtain appropriate insurance coverage, and insurers may not respond as the Company intends to cover insurable events that may occur. The Company has observed rapidly changing conditions in the insurance markets relating to nearly all areas of traditional corporate insurance. Such conditions may result in higher premium costs, higher policy deductibles, and lower coverage limits. For some risks, the Company may not have or maintain insurance coverage because of cost or availability.

Risks Relating to the Company's Common Stock

Our common shares are sporadically or “thinly” traded, so you may be unable to sell at or near ask prices or at all if you need to sell your shares to raise money or otherwise desire to liquidate your shares

Our common shares have historically been sporadically or “thinly” traded, meaning that the number of persons interested in purchasing our common shares at or near ask prices at any given time may be relatively small or non-existent. This situation is attributable to a number of factors, including the fact that we are a small company which is relatively unknown to stock analysts, stock brokers, institutional investors and others in the investment community that generate or influence sales volume, and that even if we came to the attention of such persons, they tend to be risk-averse and would be reluctant to follow an unproven development stage company such as ours or purchase or recommend the purchase of our shares until such time as we became more seasoned and viable. As a consequence, there may be periods of several days or more when trading activity in our shares is minimal or non-existent, as compared to a seasoned issuer which has a large and steady volume of trading activity that will generally support continuous sales without a material reduction in share price. We cannot give you any assurance that a broader or more active public trading market for our common shares will develop or be sustained, or that current trading levels will be sustained. Due to these conditions, we can give you no assurance that you will be able to sell your shares at or near ask prices or at all if you need money or otherwise desire to liquidate your shares.

The market price for our common shares is particularly volatile given our status as a relatively unknown company with a small and thinly-traded public float, limited operating history and lack of revenues or profits to date could lead to wide fluctuations in our share price. The price at which you purchase our common shares may not be indicative of the price that will prevail in the trading market. You may be unable to sell your common shares at or above your purchase price, which may result in substantial losses to you. The volatility in our common share price may subject us to securities litigation.

The market for our common shares is characterized by significant price volatility when compared to seasoned issuers, and we expect that our share price will continue to be more volatile than a seasoned issuer. The volatility in our share price is attributable to a number of factors. First, as noted above, our common shares are sporadically or thinly traded. As a consequence of this lack of liquidity, the trading of relatively small quantities of shares by our shareholders may disproportionately influence the price of those shares in either direction. The price for our shares could, for example, decline precipitously in the event that a large number of our common shares are sold on the market without commensurate demand, as compared to a seasoned issuer which could better absorb those sales without a material reduction in share price. Secondly, we are a speculative or “risky” investment due to our limited operating history and lack of significant revenues to date, and uncertainty of future market acceptance for our products if successfully developed. As a consequence of this enhanced risk, more risk-adverse investors may, under the fear of losing all or most of their investment in the event of negative news or lack of progress, be more inclined to sell their shares on the market more quickly and at greater discounts than would be the case with the stock of a seasoned issuer. Additionally, in the past, plaintiffs have often initiated securities class action litigation against a company following periods of volatility in the market price of its securities. We may in the future be the target of similar litigation. Securities litigation could result in substantial costs and liabilities and could divert management’s attention and resources.

The following factors may add to the volatility in the price of our common shares: actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly or annual operating results; government regulations, announcements of significant acquisitions, strategic partnerships or joint ventures; our capital commitments; and additions or departures of our key personnel. Many of these factors are beyond our control and may decrease the market price of our common shares, regardless of our operating performance. We cannot make any predictions or projections as to what the prevailing market price for our common shares will be at any time, including as to whether our common shares will sustain their current market prices, or as to what effect that the sale of shares or the availability of common shares for sale at any time will have on the prevailing market price.

The Company faces risks related to compliance with corporate governance laws and financial reporting standards.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as well as related new rules and regulations implemented by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, require changes in the corporate governance practices and financial reporting standards for public companies. These new laws, rules and regulations, including compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 relating to internal control over financial reporting (“Section 404”), will materially increase the Company's legal and financial compliance costs and made some activities more time-consuming and more burdensome. Starting in 2007, Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 will require that the Company's management assess the Company's internal control over financial reporting annually and include a report on its assessment in its filings with the SEC.

The Company does not intend to pay cash dividends on its common stock in the foreseeable future.

Any payment of cash dividends will depend upon the Company's financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements and other factors and will be at the discretion of the Board of Directors. The Company does not anticipate paying cash dividends on its common stock in the foreseeable future. Furthermore, the Company may incur additional indebtedness that may severely restrict or prohibit the payment of dividends.

Our issuance of additional common shares or preferred shares, or options or warrants to purchase those shares, could dilute your proportionate ownership and voting rights and negatively impact the value of your investment in our common shares as the result of preferential voting rights or veto powers, dividend rights, disproportionate rights to appoint directors to our board, conversion rights, redemption rights and liquidation provisions granted to the preferred shareholders, including the grant of rights that could discourage or prevent the distribution of dividends to you, or prevent the sale of our assets or a potential takeover of our company.

We are entitled under our amended and restated certificate of incorporation to issue up to 150,000,000 common and 7,000,000 “blank check” preferred shares. As of June 30, 2008, we have issued and outstanding 32,151,300 common shares, 19,653,749 common shares reserved for issuance upon the exercise of current outstanding options and warrants, 950,000 common shares reserved for issuances of additional grants under our 2005 incentive stock plan, and 630,341 shares reserved for issuance of grants under our 2007 stock plan. Accordingly, we will be entitled to issue up to 96,614,610 additional common shares and 7,000,000 additional preferred shares. Our board may generally issue those common and preferred shares, or options or warrants to purchase those shares, without further approval by our shareholders based upon such factors as our board of directors may deem relevant at that time. Any preferred shares we may issue shall have such rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions as may be designated from time-to-time by our board, including preferential dividend rights, voting rights, conversion rights, redemption rights and liquidation provisions. It is likely that we will be required to issue a large amount of additional securities to raise capital to further our development and marketing plans. It is also likely that we will be required to issue a large amount of additional securities to directors, officers, employees and consultants as compensatory grants in connection with their services, both in the form of stand-alone grants or under our various stock plans. We cannot give you any assurance that we will not issue additional common or preferred shares, or options or warrants to purchase those shares, under circumstances we may deem appropriate at the time.

**UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES
AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

We incorporate by reference the information pertaining to unregistered sales of equity securities as disclosed in our annual report file on Form 10-KSB for the period ended December 31, 2007.

· On January 21, 2008, we issued the following:

Karl Johe, Chairman and Chief Science Officer – options to purchase 2.1 million common shares at a price of \$3.66 per share. The options vest over 3.5 years with the vesting period commencing on January 1, 2008 with 700,000 options vesting on each of February 28, 2009, April 30, 2010, and June 30, 2011. The options expire on January 1, 2018. Additionally, the options will become immediately exercisable upon an event which would result in an acceleration of Mr. Johe's stock options granted under his employment agreement.

Richard Garr, Chief Executive Officer and General Council – options to purchase 2.1 million common shares at a price of \$3.66 per share. The options vest over 3.5 years with the vesting period commencing on January 1, 2008 with 700,000 options vesting on each of February 28, 2009, April 30, 2010, and June 30, 2011 . The options expire on January 1, 2018. Additionally, the options will become immediately exercisable upon an event which would result in an acceleration of Mr. Johe's stock options granted under his employment agreement.

- On February 19, 2008, we entered into an agreement with CJ CheilJedang Corporation (KSE: CJ CheilJedang) for the sale of \$2.5 million of common shares at \$4.063 per share. Pursuant to the transaction, we issued an aggregate of 615,309 common shares to CJ CheilJedang. Please refer to our Current Report filed on form 8-K on February 25, 2008 for a further description of the transaction.
- On April 1, 1998, we granted John Conron compensatory options to purchase an aggregate of 1,050,000 common shares at an exercise price of \$2.60. The options vest as follows: (i) 50,000 vest immediately; and (ii) 1,000,000 vest annually over the next three years so that 100% of the options will be vested on April 1, 2011. The options were issued pursuant to our two stock plans as follows: (x) the option to purchase 1,000,000 common shares was issued pursuant to our 2007 Stock Plan; and (y) the option to purchase 50,000 common shares was issued pursuant to our 2005 Stock Plan.
- On May 28, 2008, we granted Scott Ogilvie options to purchase an aggregate of 60,000 common shares at an exercise price of \$1.32. The grant was made pursuant to our 2007 Stock Plan and in compliance with our non-executive compensation arrangement. The grant consists of: (i) an option purchase 45,000 common shares as compensation for serving on the board of directors; (ii) an option to purchase 5,000 common shares as compensation for serving on our Audit Committee; (iii) an option to purchase 5,000 common shares as compensation for serving on our Compensation Committee; and (iv) an option to purchase 5,000 common shares as compensation for serving on our Governance and Nominating Committee. The options vest quarterly over the grant year and expire 7 years from the date of grant.
- On May 28, 2008, we granted William Oldaker options to purchase an aggregate of 60,000 common shares at an exercise price of \$1.32. The grant was made pursuant to our 2007 Stock Plan and in compliance with our non-executive compensation arrangement. The grant consists of: (i) an option purchase 45,000 common shares as compensation for serving on the board of directors; (ii) an option to purchase 5,000 common shares as compensation for serving on our Audit Committee; (iii) an option to purchase 5,000 common shares as compensation for serving on our Compensation Committee; and (iv) an option to purchase 5,000 common shares as compensation for serving on our Governance and Nominating Committee. The options vest quarterly over the grant year and expire 7 years from the date of grant.

DEFAULT UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

We held our 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders on May 28, 2008. At the meeting, stockholders present or by proxy voted on the following matters:

1. Election of 4 directors to the following classes of our Board of Directors:

a. Election as two Class III Directors to serve until our 2011 annual meeting.

	Votes For	Votes Abstained
I. Richard Garr	26,244,750	52,289
Karl Johe	26,243,777	53,262

b. Election of one Class II Director to serve until our 2010 annual meeting.

	Votes For	Votes Abstained
--	-----------	-----------------

Edgar Filing: Neuralstem, Inc. - Form 10-Q

William Oldaker	25,379,508	917,531
-----------------	------------	---------

c. Election of one Class I Director to serve until our 2009 annual meeting

	Votes For	Votes Abstained
Scott Ogilvie	25,377,635	919,404

26

2. Ratification of the appointment of Stegman & Company as the company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2008.

Votes For	Votes Against	Votes Abstained	Broker No Votes
26,222,801	34,290	39,948	0

3. Approval of an amendment to the company's certificate of incorporation to increase the number of shares of common stock which the company is authorized to issue from 75,000,000 shares to 150,000,000 shares.

Votes For	Votes Against	Votes Abstained	Broker No Votes
25,756,534	512,153	28,348	0

EXHIBITS.

The following exhibits are hereby filed as part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or incorporated by reference.

Exhibit Number:	Description
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has caused this report to be signed by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

NEURALSTEM, INC.

Date: August 14, 2008

/s/ I. Richard Garr
Chief Executive Officer

/s/ John Conron
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Accounting Officer)