

(203) 937-6137

(Company's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Company (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Company was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Company has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Company was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Company is a larger accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one)

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Company is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of the Company's Common Stock as of February 24, 2015 was approximately:
56,564,190

NanoViricides, Inc.

FORM 10-Q

INDEX

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Balance Sheets at December 31, 2014 (Unaudited) and June 30, 2014 3

Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 (Unaudited) 4

Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the period June 30, 2014 through December 31, 2014 5

Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 (Unaudited) 6

Notes to the Financial Statements (Unaudited) 7

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations 41

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk 47

Item 4. Controls and Procedures 47

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings 48

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds 49

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities 49

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures 49

Item 5. Other Information 49

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K 49

Signatures 50

Certifications

Nanoviricides, Inc.

Balance Sheets

	December 31, 2014 (Unaudited)	June 30, 2014 As Restated
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 35,258,618	\$36,696,892
Prepaid expenses	235,110	108,089
Other current assets	-	150,000
Total Current Assets	35,493,728	36,954,981
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT		
Property and equipment	12,050,068	6,736,742
Accumulated depreciation	(1,342,650)	(1,239,986)
Property and equipment, net	10,707,418	5,496,756
TRADEMARK		
Trademark and Patents	458,954	458,954
Accumulated amortization	(55,082)	(50,696)
Trademark, net	403,872	408,258
SECURITY DEPOSIT	1,000,000	1,000,000
Total Assets	\$ 47,605,018	\$43,859,995
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	\$ 501,957	\$376,446
Accounts payable – related parties	208,074	49,455
Accrued expenses	161,779	91,838
Deferred interest payable	250,000	-
Total Current Liabilities	1,121,810	517,739
LONG TERM LIABILITIES:		
Deposit for debenture	-	5,000,000
Debentures payable - Series C, net of discount	2,209,835	-
Debentures payable - Series B, net of discount	4,359,186	4,037,568

Edgar Filing: NANOIRICIDES, INC. - Form 10-Q

Derivative liability -Series B debentures	3,274,961	5,699,703
Derivative liability - Series C debentures	1,206,532	-
Derivative liability - warrants	4,924,378	5,235,682
Total Long Term Liabilities	15,974,892	19,972,953
Total Liabilities	17,096,702	20,490,692

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:

Series A Convertible Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 4,000,000 shares designated, 3,398,369 and 3,193,079 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	3,400	3,194
Series B Convertible Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 2,857,143 shares designated, none issued and outstanding	-	-
Series C Convertible Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 10,000,000 shares designated, none issued and outstanding	-	-
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 85,714,285 shares authorized; 56,564,190 and 54,620,993 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	56,564	54,621
Additional paid-in capital	83,379,197	75,212,888
Accumulated deficit	(52,930,845) (51,901,400)
Total Stockholders' Equity	30,508,316	23,369,303
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 47,605,018	\$43,859,995

See accompanying notes to the financial statements

Nanoviricides, Inc.

Statements of Operations

(Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2014	For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2013 As Restated	For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2014	For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2013 As Restated
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Research and development	\$ 916,739	\$ 1,130,478	\$ 1,727,846	\$ 2,304,699
General and administrative	899,916	620,934	1,775,942	1,335,495
Total operating expenses	1,816,655	1,751,412	3,503,788	3,640,194
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	(1,816,655)	(1,751,412)	(3,503,788)	(3,640,194)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):				
Interest income	81,702	14,501	121,025	24,061
Interest expense	(247,444)	(125,514)	(492,444)	(246,500)
Discount on convertible debentures	(289,960)	(140,773)	(563,178)	(276,254)
Change in fair market value of derivatives	391,216	639,703	3,408,941	(3,786,130)
Other income (expense), net	(64,486)	387,917	2,474,344	(4,284,823)
LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAX PROVISION	(1,881,141)	(1,363,495)	(1,029,444)	(7,925,017)
INCOME TAX PROVISION	-	-	-	-
NET LOSS	\$ (1,881,141)	\$ (1,363,495)	\$ (1,029,444)	(7,925,017)
NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE				
- Basic	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.02)	(0.16)
- Diluted	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.16)

Edgar Filing: NANOIRICIDES, INC. - Form 10-Q

Weighted average common shares
outstanding

- Basic	56,557,352	50,031,363	56,066,776	48,851,696
- Diluted	59,224,019	50,031,363	58,733,443	48,851,696

See accompanying notes to the financial statements

NanoViricides, Inc.

Directors 0630

Statement of Stockholders' Equity

For the period from June 30, 2014 through December 31, 2014

	Series A Preferred Stock: Par \$0.001		Series B Preferred Stock: Par \$0.001	Series C Preferred Stock: Par \$0.001	Common Stock: Par \$0.001	Additional Paid-in Capital	Stock Reclassification	Deficit Accumulated During the Period	Total Stockholders' Equity
	Number of Shares	Amount	Number of Shares	Number of Shares	Number of Shares	Amount	Single	Development	Equity
Balance, June 30, 2014	3,193,079	3,194	-	-	54,620,993	54,621	-	(51,901,400)	23,369,303
Series A Preferred Shares issued for Debenture interest July 2, 2014	187,000	187	-	-	-	1,152,110	-	-	1,152,297
Series A Preferred Shares issued for employee stock compensation July 31, 2014	2,572	2	-	-	-	23,632	-	-	23,634
Shares issued for consulting and legal services rendered on	-	-	-	-	543	9,000	-	-	9,000

July 31, 2014

Shares issued
for consulting
and legal
services
rendered on
August 31,
2014

590 1 8,999 9,000

Series A
Preferred
Shares issued
for employee
stock
compensation
at August 31,
2014

2,572 3 - - 25,329 25,332

Warrants
issued to
Scientific
Advisory
Board on
August 15,
2014

- - 22,292 22,292

Common
Shares issued
in connection
with warrant
conversion,
August
15,2014

1,909,227 1,909 6,680,388 6,682,297

Shares issued
for consulting
and legal
services
rendered on
September 30,
2014

926 1 8,999 9,000

Series A
Preferred
Shares issued
for employee
stock
compensation
at September
30, 2014

2,572 3 - - 24,011 24,014

Shares issued for Directors fees at \$3.94 per share on September 30, 2014	2,856	3	11,247	11,250
Common Shares issued in connection with warrant conversion, October 1, 2014	17,429	17	60,983	61,000
Series A Preferred Shares issued for employee stock compensation October 31, 2014	2,572	2	-	18,765
Shares issued for consulting and legal services rendered on October 31, 2014	2,500	3	8,997	9,000
Warrants issued to Scientific Advisory Board November 15, 2014	-	-	16,977	16,977
Shares issued for consulting and legal services rendered on November 30, 2014	2,624	3	8,997	9,000
Series A Preferred	2,858	3	24,471	24,474

Shares issued for consulting and legal services rendered on November 30, 2014								
Series A Preferred Shares issued for employee stock compensation at November 30, 2014	2,572	3	-	-	22,022			22,025
Shares issued for consulting and legal services rendered on December 31, 2014			3,103	3	8,997			9,000
Series A Preferred Shares issued for employee stock compensation at December 30, 2014	2,572	3	-	-	18,846			18,849
Shares issued for Directors fees on December 31, 2014			3,399	3	11,247			11,250
Net loss				-			(1,029,444)	(1,029,444)
	3,398,369	3,400						
Balance, December 31, 2014			-	-	56,564,190	56,564	83,379,197	- (52,930,845) 30,508,316

Nanoviricides, Inc.

Statements of Cash Flows

	For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2014 (Unaudited)	For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2013 (Unaudited) As Restated
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net loss	\$ (1,029,444) \$ (7,925,017)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities		
Preferred shares issued as compensation	157,094	63,000
Common shares and warrants issued for services	76,503	64,500
Warrants granted to scientific advisory board	39,269	137,602
Amortization of deferred compensation	-	-
Depreciation	102,664	106,072
Amortization	4,386	4,387
Change in fair value of derivative liability	(3,408,941) 3,786,129
Discount convertible debentures	563,178	276,254
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Prepaid expenses	(127,022) (208,011)
Other current assets	150,000	-
Deferred expenses	250,000	-
Accounts payable - trade	125,511	(18,978)
Accounts payable - related parties	158,619	176,025
Accrued expenses	69,940	20,531
NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES	(2,868,243) (3,517,506)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Security deposit	-	(1,000,000)
Purchase of property and equipment	(5,313,326) (2,357,983)
NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(5,313,326) (3,357,983)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock and warrants in connection with private placements of common stock, net of issuance costs	-	9,690,450
Proceeds from exercise of warrants	6,743,295	210,626

Edgar Filing: NANOVIRICIDES, INC. - Form 10-Q

NET CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	6,743,295	9,901,076
NET CHANGE IN CASH	(1,438,274)	3,025,587
Cash at beginning of period	36,696,892	13,923,245
Cash at end of period	\$ 35,258,618	\$ 16,948,832
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOWS INFORMATION:		
Interest paid	\$ -	\$ -
Income tax paid	\$ -	\$ -
NON CASH FINANCING AND INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Series A Preferred stock issued as discount on Debentures	1,152,297	-

See accompanying notes to the financial statements

NANOIRICIDES, INC.

December 31, 2014 AND 2013

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 1 - Organization and Nature of Business

NanoViricides, Inc. was incorporated under the laws of the State of Colorado on July 25, 2000 as Edot-com.com, Inc. which was organized for the purpose of conducting internet retail sales. On April 1, 2005, Edot-com.com, Inc. was incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada for the purpose of re-domiciling as a Nevada corporation. On May 12, 2005, the corporations were merged and Edot-com.com, Inc., the Nevada corporation, became the surviving entity.

On June 1, 2005, Edot-com.com, Inc. ("ECMM") acquired Nanoviricide, Inc., a privately owned Florida corporation ("NVI"), pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Share Exchange (the "Exchange"). Nanoviricide, Inc. was incorporated under the laws of the State of Florida on May 12, 2005.

Pursuant to the terms of the Exchange, ECMM acquired NVI in exchange for an aggregate of 80,000,000 newly issued shares of ECMM common stock resulting in an aggregate of 100,000,000 shares of ECMM common stock issued and outstanding. NVI then became a wholly-owned subsidiary of ECMM. The ECMM shares were issued to the NVI shareholders on a pro rata basis, on the basis of 4,000 shares of the Company's common stock for each share of NVI common stock held by such NVI shareholder at the time of the Exchange.

As a result of the Exchange transaction, the former NVI stockholders held approximately 80% of the voting capital stock of the Company immediately after the Exchange. For financial accounting purposes, this acquisition was a reverse acquisition of the Company by NVI, under the purchase method of accounting, and was treated as a recapitalization with NVI as the acquirer. Accordingly, the financial statements have been prepared to give retroactive effect to May 12, 2005 (date of inception), of the reverse acquisition completed on June 1, 2005, and represent the operations of NVI.

On June 28, 2005, NVI was merged into its parent ECMM and the separate corporate existence of NVI ceased. Effective on the same date, Edot-com.com, Inc. changed its name to NanoViricides, Inc. and its stock symbol to "NNVC", respectively.

NanoViricides, Inc. (the “Company”), is a nano-biopharmaceutical company whose business goals are to discover, develop and commercialize therapeutics to advance the care of patients suffering from life-threatening viral infections. We are a company with several drugs in various stages of early development. Our drugs are based on several patents, patent applications, provisional patent applications, and other proprietary intellectual property held by TheraCour Pharma, Inc. (“TheraCour”), to which we have the necessary exclusive licenses in perpetuity. The first agreement we executed with TheraCour Pharma on September 1, 2005, gave us an exclusive, worldwide license for the treatment of the following human viral diseases: Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV/AIDS), Hepatitis B Virus (HBV), Hepatitis C Virus (HCV), Herpes Simplex Virus (HSV), Influenza and Asian Bird Flu Virus.

On February 15, 2010 the Company executed an Additional License Agreement with TheraCour Pharma, Inc. (“TheraCour”). Pursuant to the Additional License Agreement, the Company was granted exclusive licenses, in perpetuity, for technologies, developed by TheraCour, for the development of drug candidates for the treatment of Dengue viruses, Ebola/Marburg viruses, Japanese Encephalitis, viruses causing viral Conjunctivitis (a disease of the eye) and Ocular Herpes. As consideration for obtaining these exclusive licenses, we agreed to pay a onetime licensing fee equal to 2,000,000 shares (adjusted for the 3.5 to 1 reverse split) of the Company’s Series A Convertible Preferred Stock (the “Series A Preferred Stock”). The Series A Preferred Stock is convertible, only upon sale or merger of the company, or the sale of or license of substantially all of the Company’s intellectual property, into shares of the Company’s common stock at the rate of 3.5 shares of common stock for each share of Series A Preferred Stock. The Series A Preferred Stock has a preferred voting preference at the rate of nine votes per share. The Preferred Series A do not contain any rights to dividends, have no liquidation preference, and are not to be amended without the holder’s approval. The 2,000,000 shares were valued at the par value of \$2,000 (adjusted for the reverse split).

Note 2- Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements

In connection with the preparation of our unaudited financial statements for the quarter ended December 31, 2014, we determined that in preparing our audited financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2014, we inadvertently overlooked the anti-dilution provisions in certain warrants issued in connection with the company's private placements of securities. Specifically, the warrants issued contained certain anti-dilution ratchet provisions that provided for an adjustment to the exercise price of the warrants if the company issued any stock equivalent securities at a lower price in the future while the option was still outstanding. Adjustments to settlement amounts by future equity offerings or contractual terms of other equity-linked financial instruments issued in a subsequent period are not inputs to the fair value of a fixed-for-fixed option on equity shares. Accordingly, the warrants are not considered indexed to its own stock and thus must be accounted for as derivative liabilities which require initial measurement at fair value and adjustment to fair value in subsequent periods. The Company determined that the error caused a material understatement of its derivative liability for the year ended June 30, 2014. As a result of this error, we filed the Form 10-K/A to restate our audited financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 on February 23, 2015 and the Form 10-Q/A to restate the unaudited financial statements for the three month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. The three and six month periods ended December 31, 2013 contained herein have also been restated to reflect the correction of the forementioned error.

For the three and six months ended December 31, 2013, the Company determined that its warrants issued in units with its common stock on September 10, 2013 should be accounted for as a liability which resulted in the reclassification of \$3,154,975 from stockholder's equity to Derivative Liability – Warrants. Additionally, for the three and six months ended December 31, 2013, the Derivative Liability was reduced by \$328,887 and \$40,145 respectively. The net adjustments reduced the Company's reported loss for the three and six months ended December 31, 2013 by \$328,887 and \$40,145, respectively.

Also in connection with the preparation of our unaudited financial statements for the quarter ended December 31, 2014 we determined that in preparing our unaudited financial statements for the quarter ended September 30, 2014, we inadvertently did not recognize a single compound embedded derivative included with the issuer's redemption rights in the Series C Convertible Debenture and the holders conversion right to receive coupon interest in common stock of the issuer. The Series C Convertible Debentures were issued on July 2, 2014. The Company has restated its financial statements for the three month period ended September 30, 2014 in the Form 10-Q/A filed on February 23, 2015 for the quarter ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. The net adjustment resulting from the recognition of the embedded derivative is an increase of \$1,879,428 to derivative liability and corresponding reductions in the Series C convertible Debenture liability account for \$1,453,122 and a reduction in additional paid in capital of \$426,306. Further information is available in our quarterly report Form 10-Q/A filed for the period ended September 30, 2014.

The combined impacts of the above adjustments to the line items in our unaudited financial statements for the three and six months ended December 31, 2013 are summarized in the tables below:

Balance Sheet Data

	December 31, 2013 (Unaudited) As Originally Reported	Net Adjustments	December 31, 2013 (Unaudited) As Restated
LONG TERM LIABILITIES:			
Debentures payable - Series B	3,744,327	-	3,744,327
Derivative liability -Series B	7,577,919	-	7,577,919
Derivative liability -Warrants	-	3,114,830	3,114,830
Total Long Term Liabilities	11,322,246	3,114,830	14,437,076
 Total Liabilities	 12,678,008	 3,114,830	 15,792,838
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:			
Additional paid-in capital	56,422,575	(3,154,975)	53,267,600
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(46,264,946)	40,145	(46,224,801)
 Total Stockholders' Equity	 10,210,668	 (3,114,830)	 7,095,838

Statements of Operations

(Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2013 As Originally Reported	Net Adjustments	For the Three Months Ended December 31,2013 As Restated	For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2013 As Originally Reported	Net Adjustments	For the Six Months Ended December 31,2013 As Restated
OPERATING EXPENSES						
Research and development	\$ 1,130,478	-	1,130,478	\$ 2,304,699	-	\$ 2,304,699
Refund credit research and development costs	-	-	-	-	-	-
General and administrative	620,934	-	620,934	1,335,495	-	1,335,495
Total operating expenses	1,751,412	-	1,751,412	3,640,194	-	3,640,194
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	(1,751,412)	-	(1,751,412)	(3,640,194)	-	(3,640,194)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):						
Interest income	14,501	-	14,501	24,061	-	24,061
Interest expense	(125,514)	-	(125,514)	(246,500)	-	(246,500)
Discount on convertible debentures	(140,773)	-	(140,773)	(276,254)	-	(276,254)
Beneficial conversion feature of convertible debentures	-	-	-	-	-	-
Change in fair market value of derivatives	310,816	328,887	639,703	(3,826,275)	40,145	(3,786,130)
Other income (expense), net	59,030	328,887	387,917	(4,324,968)	40,145	(4,284,823)
	(1,692,382)	328,887	(1,363,495)	(7,965,162)	40,145	(7,925,017)

LOSS BEFORE
INCOME TAX
PROVISION

INCOME TAX
PROVISION

NET LOSS	\$ (1,692,382)	\$ 328,887	\$ (1,363,495)	\$ (7,965,162)	\$ -	\$ (7,925,017)
----------	-----------------	------------	-----------------	-----------------	------	-----------------

Note 3 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation – Interim Financial Information

The accompanying unaudited interim financial statements and related notes have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 8 of Regulation S-X of the Securities and Exchange Commission for Interim Reporting. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. The unaudited interim financial statements furnished reflect all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) which are, in the opinion of management, considered necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods presented. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of the results for the full year. The accompanying financial statements and the information included under the heading “Management’s Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation” should be read in conjunction with our company’s audited financial statements and related notes included in our company’s form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 filed with the SEC on February 23, 2015.

Reclassifications

Certain accounts in the June 30, 2014 financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

For a summary of significant accounting policies, see the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K/ Amendment 2 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014.

Net Income (Loss) per Common Share

Net income (loss) per common share is computed pursuant to section 260-10-45 of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification. Basic net income (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted net income (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of shares of common stock and potentially outstanding shares of common stock during the period to reflect the potential dilution that could occur from common shares issuable through stock options and warrants.

The following table shows the number of potentially outstanding dilutive common shares excluded from the diluted net income (loss) per common share calculation as they were anti-dilutive:

10

	Potentially Outstanding Dilutive Common Shares For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2014	For the Six Months Ended December 31, 2013
Stock options		
Stock options issued on September 23, 2005 to the founders of the Company upon formation with an exercise price of \$0.35 per share expiring ten (10) years from the date of issuance	535,715	535,715
Sub-total: stock options	535,715	535,715
Warrants		
Warrants issued from June 15, 2006 to October 1, 2007 to investors in connection with the Company's equity financing with an exercise price of \$3.50 per share expiring August 15, 2014	-	520,286
Warrants issued on August 22, 2008 to investors in connection with the Company's equity financing with an exercise price of \$3.50 per share expiring August 15, 2014	-	466,486
Warrants issued from June 15, 2006 through May 15, 2010 to SAB for services with an exercise price from \$2.45 to \$9.38 per share expiring February 28, 2015	211,429	211,429
Warrants issued on June 30, 2009 to investors with an exercise price of \$3.50 per share expiring August 15, 2014	-	561,628
Warrants issued on September 30, 2009 to investors with an exercise price of \$3.50 per share expiring August 15, 2014	-	1,437,871
Warrants issued from August 16, 2010 to May 15, 2011 to SAB for services with an exercise price ranging from \$5.15 to \$6.34 per share expiring fiscal year ending June 30, 2015	65,714	65,714
Warrants issued from August 16, 2011 to May 15, 2012 to SAB for services with an exercise price ranging from \$2.80 to \$4.94 per share expiring fiscal year ending June 30, 2016	68,571	68,571
Warrants issued from August 16, 2012 to September 30, 2013 to SAB for services with an exercise price of \$5.17 per share expiring fiscal year ending June 30, 2017	68,571	68,571
Warrants issued on September 10, 2013 to investors with an exercise price of \$5.25 per share expiring September 10, 2018 less Warrants	2,810,071	2,910,071

exercised through December 31, 2014

Warrants issued on August 15, 2013 to SAB for services with an exercise price of \$5.17 per share expiring on August 15, 2017	17,143	17,143
Warrants issued on September 10, 2013 to Placement Agents as commissions with an exercise price of \$5.25 per share expiring September 10, 2018	58,910	58,910
Warrants issued on November 15, 2013 to SAB for services with an exercise price of \$6.56 per share expiring on November 15, 2017	17,143	17,143
Warrants issued on January 24, 2014 to investors with an exercise price of \$6.05 per share expiring January 24, 2019	2,479,935	-
Warrants issued on January 24, 2014 to investors with an exercise price of \$5.25 per share expiring January 24, 2019	76,306	-
Warrants issued on February 14, 2014 to SAB for services with an exercise price of \$3.98 per share expiring on February 14, 2018	17,143	-
Warrants issued on May 15, 2014 to SAB for services with an exercise price of \$4.11 per share expiring on May 15, 2018	17,148	-
Warrants issued on August 15, 2014 to SAB for services with an exercise price of \$5.02 per share expiring on August 15, 2018	17,148	-
Warrants issued on November 15, 2014 to SAB for services with an exercise price of \$3.86 per share expiring on November 15, 2018	17,148	-
Sub-total: warrants	5,942,379	6,403,823
Total potentially outstanding dilutive common shares	6,478,094	6,939,538

In addition the Company has issued Convertible Debentures, to investors. A portion of the interest required to be paid on the debentures is payable in restricted shares of the Company's \$0.001 par value common stock or in warrants, according to the terms of the Debenture. The Company will need to issue 571,428 shares on January 15, 2015 and 571,428 shares of common stock at on January 15, 2015 and 571,428 warrants on January 15, 2016 relating to the interest to be paid on the debentures. Deferred interest payable related to the Series B and C Debentures can be payable in shares of Common Stock at the average of the open and close value on the date such interest payment is due at the option of the Holder.

The Company has also issued 3,398,369 of \$0.001 par value Convertible Preferred A shares to investors and others. Only in the event of a "change of control" of the Company, each Series A preferred share is convertible to 3.5 shares of its new common stock. A "change of control" is defined as an event in which the Company's shareholders become 60% or less owners of a new entity as a result of a change of ownership, merger or acquisition. In the absence of a change of control event, the Series A stock is not convertible into Common Stock, and does not carry any dividend rights or any other financial effects. At December 31, 2014, the estimated number of potentially dilutive shares of the

Company's common stock into which these Series A Preferred shares can be converted into, is 11,894,292.

The following represents a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted per share calculations for income from continuing operations:

	For the three months ended December 31, 2014		For the six months ended December 31, 2013	
Calculation of basic loss per share of common stock:				
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$(1,881,141)	\$(1,363,495)	\$(1,029,444)	\$(7,925,017)
Denominator for basic weighted average shares of common stock	56,557,352	50,031,363	56,066,776	48,851,696
Basic loss per share of common stock	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.16)
Calculation of diluted loss per share of common stock:				
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$(1,881,141)	\$(1,363,495)	\$(1,029,444)	\$(7,925,017)
Add: Income impact of assumed conversion of Debentures	(222,053)	-	(2,044,459)	-
Net loss attributable to common stockholders plus assumed conversions	\$(2,103,194)	\$(1,363,495)	\$(3,073,903)	\$(7,925,017)
Denominator for basic weighted average shares of common stock	56,557,352	50,031,363	56,066,776	48,851,696
Incremental shares from assumed conversions of Debentures payable	2,666,667	-	2,666,667	-
Denominator for diluted weighted average shares of common stock	59,224,019	50,031,363	58,733,443	48,851,696
Diluted loss per share of common stock	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.16)

Series B Debentures were excluded from the loss per share calculation for the three and six months ended December 31, 2013 because the impact is anti-dilutive.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, "Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period." This ASU requires a reporting entity to treat a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period as a performance condition, and apply existing guidance under the Stock Compensation Topic of the ASC as it relates to awards with performance conditions that affect vesting to account for such awards. The provisions of this ASU are effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this ASU.

In August 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2014-15, "Presentation of Financial Statements - Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40): Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern" ("ASU 2014-15"). ASU 2014-15 is intended to define management's responsibility to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures. Specifically, ASU 2014-15 provides a definition of the term substantial doubt and requires an assessment for a period of one year after the date that the financial statements are issued (or available to be issued). It also requires certain disclosures when substantial doubt is alleviated as a result of consideration of management's plans and requires an express statement and other disclosures when substantial doubt is not alleviated. The new standard will be effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, with early adoption permitted. Management is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of ASU 2014-14 on the Company's financial statements and disclosures.

Note 4 - Financial Condition

The Company's financial statements for the interim period ended December 31, 2014 have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and settlement of liabilities and commitments in the normal course of business. The Company has a deficit accumulated from inception. In addition, the Company has not generated any revenues and no revenues are anticipated in the short-term. Since May 2005, the Company has been engaged exclusively in research and development activities focused on developing targeted antiviral drugs. The Company has not yet commenced any product commercialization. Such losses are expected to continue for the foreseeable future and until such time, if ever, as the Company is able to attain sales levels sufficient to support its operations. There can be no assurance that the Company will achieve or maintain profitability in the future. As of December 31, 2014 the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$35,258,618. The Company has sufficient capital to continue its business, at least, through December 31, 2016, at the current rate of expenditure. The Company therefore would not be considered to have risks relative to its ability to continue as a going concern within the applicable guidelines.

While the Company continues to incur significant operating losses with significant capital requirements, the Company has been able to finance its business through sale of its securities.

On September 5, 2014, NanoViricides, Inc. (the “Company”) accepted notices to exercise old warrants (*See Note 9*, below.) for the purchase of an aggregate of 2,136,655 shares of the Company’s common stock at the exercise price of \$3.50 per share. Actual proceeds received were \$6,682,291. On July 17, 2014, the Company filed a registration statement on Form S-3 (the “Form S-3”) registering an aggregate of 3,071,986 shares of common stock underlying warrants previously issued by the Company in various private placement offerings between 2005 and September 2009 (the “Old Warrants”), as described more fully in the Form S-3 (the “Registered Warrants”). The Form S-3 was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 1, 2014. As of August 15, 2014, any Registered Warrants as specified above and not previously exercised have expired.

Since May 2005, the Company has been engaged exclusively in research and development activities focused on developing targeted antiviral nanomedicines. The Company has not yet commenced any product commercialization. The Company has incurred significant losses from operations since its inception, resulting in an accumulated deficit of \$(52,930,845) at December 31, 2014 and expects recurring losses from operations to continue for the foreseeable future and until such time, if ever, as the Company is able to attain sales levels sufficient to support its operations. There can be no assurance that the Company will achieve or maintain profitability in the future. Despite the Company’s financings in 2014 and 2013 and a cash and cash equivalent balance of \$35,258,618 at December 31, 2014, substantial additional financing will be required in future periods. The Company may require additional capital to finance planned and currently unplanned capital costs, and additional staffing requirements during the next twenty four months. The Company has, in the past, adjusted its priorities and goals in line with the cash on hand and capital availability. The Company believes it can adjust its priorities of drug development and its Plan of Operations as necessary, if it is unable to raise such additional funds.

Note 5 - Related Party TransactionsRelated Parties

Related parties with whom the Company had transactions are:

Related Parties	Relationship
Anil R. Diwan	Chairman, President, significant stockholder and director
Eugene Seymour	CEO, significant shareholder, director
TheraCour Pharma, Inc.	An entity owned and controlled by significant stockholder
InnoHaven, LLC	An entity owned and controlled by significant stockholder
Milton Boniuk, MD	Director and significant stockholder

Fixed Assets

	December 31, 2014	June 30, 2014
During the reporting period, InnoHaven, LLC, Transferred title to 1 Controls Drive Shelton Ct to the Company for consideration of the costs incurred by InnoHaven, LLC in its acquisition and renovation of the facility	\$ 4,222,549	\$ -
During the reporting period, InnoHaven, LLC, acquired fixed assets on behalf of the Company from third party vendors and transferred such fixed assets to the Company	\$ -	\$ 4,500,000
During the reporting period, TheraCour Pharma, Inc. acquired fixed assets on behalf of the Company from third party vendors and transferred such fixed assets to the Company	\$ 188,889	\$ 528,000

Account Payable – Related Party

For the Six Months
 Ended
 December 31, 2014 June 30, 2014

Pursuant to an Exclusive License Agreement and an Additional License Agreement we entered into with TheraCour Pharma, Inc., (TheraCour), the Company was granted exclusive licenses in perpetuity for technologies developed by TheraCour for the virus types: HIV, HCV, Herpes, Asian (bird) flu, Influenza and rabies, and others. In consideration for obtaining these exclusive licenses, we agreed: (1) that TheraCour can charge its costs (direct and indirect) plus no more than 30% of direct costs as a Development Fee and such development fees shall be due and payable in periodic installments as billed. (2) we will pay \$25,000 per month for usage of lab supplies and chemicals from existing stock held by TheraCour, (3) we will pay \$2,000 or actual costs, whichever is higher for other general and administrative expenses incurred by TheraCour on our behalf. Accounts payable due TheraCour Pharma Inc. (including a security advance):

\$208,074 \$ 49,455

Research and Development Costs Paid to Related Parties

	December 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
Development and other costs charged by and paid to TheraCour Pharma, Inc. pursuant to exclusive License Agreements between TheraCour and the Company for the development of the Company's drug pipeline. No royalties are due TheraCour from the Company at December 31, 2014 and 2013.	\$ 1,290,140	\$ 924,125

Long Term Debenture Payable Directors

	December 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
Series B Convertible Debentures:		
Milton Boniuk	\$4,000,000	\$ 4,000,000
Series C Convertible Debentures:		
Milton Boniuk	\$5,000,000	-
Total Long Term Debentures Payable Directors	\$9,000,000	\$ 4,000,000

Note 6 - Concentrations

Vendor purchase concentrations for December 31, 2014 and 2013 are as follows:

	Net Purchases				Accounts Payable			
	For the six months ended December 31, 2014		2013		As of December 31, 2014		2013	
TheraCour Pharma, Inc.-related party	1,290,140	45.6 %	1,827,425	50.2 %	1,374,835	68 %	886,592	78 %
Kard Scientific, Inc.	-	0 %	314,155	8.6 %	123,570	25.4 %	123,570	10.9 %
Total Purchases	1,687,133	100 %	3,640,194	100 %	487,426	100 %	1,130,871	100 %

Note 7 - Property and Equipment

Property and equipment, stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation consisted of the following:

	December 31, 2014	June 30, 2014
Building GMP Facility	\$ 8,224,215	\$3,099,780
Office Equipment	30,048	30,048
Furniture and Fixtures	1,400	1,400
Lab Equipment	3,794,405	3,605,514
Total Property and Equipment	12,050,068	6,736,742
Less Accumulated Depreciation	(1,342,650)	(1,239,986)
Property and Equipment, Net	\$ 10,707,418	\$5,496,756

Depreciation expense for the six months ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$102,664 and \$106,072, respectively.

Note 8 - Trademark and Patents

Trademark and patents, stated at cost, less accumulated amortization consisted of the following:

	December 31, 2014	June 30, 2014
Trademarks and Patents	\$ 458,954	\$458,954
Less Accumulated Amortization	(55,082)	(50,696)
Trademarks and Patents, Net	\$ 403,872	\$408,258

Amortization expense amounted to \$4,386 and 4,387 for the six months ended December 31, 2014, and 2013, respectively.

Note 9 – Convertible Debentures

On February 1, 2013, the Company raised gross proceeds of \$6,000,000 which includes \$4,000,000 from a family investment office and a charitable foundation controlled by Dr. Milton Boniuk, a member of the Company’s board of directors, through the issuance of our Series B Debentures. The investors purchased unsecured convertible debentures with a 4-year term. The debentures bear an interest rate of 8% p.a., plus additional interest payable in restricted common stock of 0.33, 0.33, and 0.34 pre reverse split shares in year 1, 2, and 3 respectively, and additional interest of 0.33 pre reverse split warrants to be issued in the fourth year, per \$1 of principal. The Holder at its option may elect to receive such coupon interest payment in shares of common stock and calculated on the average of the open and close value on the date such interest payment is due. The warrants are priced at \$1 on a pre-reverse-split basis. Post-reverse-split (Sept 2013), both the number and price of the warrants and restricted shares are adjusted for even effect. The post-reverse-split exercise price of warrants is \$3.50 per warrant and the number of warrants issuable is (0.33/3.50) per \$1 of principal, for even effect and will be valid for 3 years after issuance. The investors can convert the principal and any accrued interest into common stock at a fixed price of \$3.50 per share. The Company can prepay the debentures, in which case the base interest rate shall increase by a 7% prepayment penalty. The Company agreed to use its best efforts to register the interest shares and the shares issuable from the interest warrants under a “shelf” registration statement provided same is available, in accordance with the provisions of the Securities Act.

The following represents the balance of the Debenture payable – Series B, net of discount at December 31, 2014 and June 30, 2014:

	December 31, 2014	June 30, 2014
Proceeds	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000
Debt discount for bifurcated derivative	(2,735,310)	(2,735,310)
	3,264,690	3,264,690
Amortization of debt discount	1,094,496	772,878
Debenture payable - Series B, net	\$4,359,186	\$4,037,568

On July 2, 2014, (the “Closing Date”), the Company accepted a subscription in the amount of \$5,000,000 for a 10% Coupon Series C Convertible Debenture (the “Debenture”) from Dr. Milton Boniuk, a member of the Company’s Board of Directors (the “Holder”). The \$5,000,000 funding of the Debenture had been received by the Company prior to June 30, 2014, the year end reporting period and the Company has reported the said Debenture in the financial statements at June 30, 2014 under long term liabilities. The Debenture is due on June 30, 2018 (the “Maturity Date”) and is convertible, at the sole option of the Holder, into restricted shares of the Company’s common stock, par value \$0.001 per share (the “Common Stock”) at the conversion price of \$5.25 per share of Common Stock. The Debenture bears interest at the coupon rate of ten percent (10%) per annum, computed on an annual basis of a 365 day year, payable in

quarterly installments on March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31 of each calendar year until the Maturity Date. The Holder at its option may choose to receive such coupon interest payment in shares of Common Stock and calculate on the average of the open and close value on the date such interest payment is due. The Company has the right, but not the obligation, to repay the Debenture prior to the Maturity Date (the “Redemption Payment”). If the closing bid price of the Common Stock is in excess of \$5.25 when the Company notifies the Holder it has elected to prepay the Debenture (the “Redemption Date”), the Company must redeem the Debenture by delivering to the Holder 951,381 shares of Common Stock and any unpaid coupon interest in lieu of a cash Redemption Payment. If the Holder elects to receive the Redemption Payment in cash, or if the closing bid price of the Common Stock is less than \$5.25, the Company shall pay to the Holder a Redemption Payment in cash equal to the principal amount of the Debenture, plus any accrued coupon interest, plus additional interest of 7% per annum for the period from the Closing Date to the Redemption Date and warrants to purchase 619,048 shares of Common Stock which shall expire in three years from the date of issuance at the exercise price of \$6.05 per share of Common Stock. The Company cannot conclude that it has sufficient authorized and unissued shares to settle the contract after considering all other commitments that may require the issuance of stock during the maximum period the derivative instrument could remain outstanding. This is due to the fact that the interest payments are payable in stock of the Company, at the option of the Holder, based on the current market price of the common stock on the date such payments are due. Therefore, the number of shares due as interest payments is essentially indeterminate and the Company cannot conclude that it has sufficient authorized and unissued shares to settle the conversion feature. Accordingly, the Company bifurcated the embedded features from the host contract and recorded them as a derivative liability at fair value. A debt discount was recognized for a derivative liability associated with embedded features bifurcated from the Series C Convertible Debenture. For the three and six months period ended December 31, 2014 the Company recognized amortization of this discount as an additional interest charge to “Discount on convertible debentures” in the amounts of \$126,070 and \$241,560 respectively.

On July 2, 2014, in conjunction with the issuance of the Company’s Series C Convertible Debentures, the Company issued 187,000 Shares of its Series A Convertible Preferred stock (the “Series A”) to Dr. Milton Boniuk, pursuant to the terms of the Debenture. Proceeds received in a financing transaction are allocated to the instruments issued prior to evaluating hybrid contracts for bifurcation of embedded derivatives. Since the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock is classified as equity, the proceeds allocated to the Preferred Stock is recorded at relative fair value. The fair value of the Series A was \$1,645,606 at issuance and the relative fair value was calculated as \$1,152,297. The remaining amount of the proceeds was allocated to the Debenture and a debt discount of \$1,152,297 was recorded to offset the amount of the proceeds allocated to the Series A. Then, the embedded derivative is bifurcated at its fair value of \$1,879,428 with the remaining balance allocated to the host instrument (Debenture). The total debt discount will be amortized over the term of the Debenture using the effective interest method.

The following represents the balance of the Debenture payable – Series C, net of discount at December 31, 2014:

Proceeds	\$5,000,000
Debt discount	
Series A Preferred	(1,152,297)
Embedded derivative	(1,879,428)
	1,968,275
Amortization of debt discount for the six months ended December 31, 2014	241,560

Balance at December 31, 2014

\$2,209,835

Note 10 - Equity Transactions

On September 5, 2014, NanoViricides, Inc. (the "Company") accepted notices to exercise old warrants for the purchase of an aggregate of 2,136,655 shares of the Company's common stock at the exercise price of \$3.50 per share. Actual proceeds relating to the exercise of the old warrants was \$6,682,291 for the six months ended December 31, 2014. On July 17, 2014, the Company filed a registration statement on Form S-3 (the "Form S-3") registering an aggregate of 3,071,986 shares of common stock underlying warrants previously issued by the Company in various private placement offerings between 2005 and September 2009, ("old warrants") as described more fully in the Form S-3 (the "Registered Warrants"). The Form S-3 was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 1, 2014. As of August 15, 2014, any Registered Warrants as specified above and not previously exercised have expired.

Unregistered Securities

As discussed in Note 9, on July 2, 2014, in conjunction with the issuance of the Company's Series C Convertible Debentures, the Company issued 187,000 Shares of its Series A Convertible Preferred stock to Dr. Milton Boniuk, pursuant to the terms of the Debenture. The Company allocated the proceeds received between the Debenture and the Preferred Stock on a relative fair value basis. The amount allocated to the Preferred stock was \$1,152,297.

In November, 2014, the Scientific Advisory Board (SAB) was granted warrants to purchase 17,148 shares of common stock at \$3.86 per share expiring in November, 2018. These warrants were valued at \$16,977 and recorded as consulting expense.

For the three months ended December 31, 2014, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 10,574 shares of its Series A Convertible Preferred stock for employee compensation. The Company recorded an expense of \$84,105.

For the three months ended December 31, 2014, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 8,227 shares of its common stock with a restrictive legend for consulting services. The Company recorded an expense of \$27,000.

For the three months ended December 31, 2014, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 3,399 shares of its common stock with a restrictive legend for Director services. The Company recorded an expense of \$11,250.

For the three months ended December 31, 2014, the Company estimated the relative fair value of the warrants granted quarterly to the Scientific Advisory Board on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes Option-Pricing Model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	2014
Expected life (year)	4
Expected volatility	45.19%
Expected annual rate of quarterly dividends	0.00 %
Risk-free rate(s)	1.29 %

There is currently no market for the shares of Series A Preferred Stock and they can only be converted into shares of common stock upon a change of control of the Company as more fully described in the Certificate of Designation. The Company, therefore, estimated the relative fair value of the Preferred A shares granted to various Employees and others on the date of grant. The Preferred Series A shares fair value is based on the greater of i) the converted value to common at a ratio of 1:3.5; ii) the value of the voting rights since the holder would lose the voting rights upon conversion. The conversion of the shares is triggered by a Change of Control. The valuations of the Series A Preferred Stock as of December 31, 2014 used the following inputs:

- a. The common stock price (post-reverse split) was in the range \$3.14 to \$2.72;
- b. 56,450,600 to 58,321,521 shares outstanding and Series A Preferred shares with 2,572 (post-split 9/10/13) issued monthly ;
- c. A 5.36% premium over the common shares for the voting preferences;
- d. 67,511,039 to 69,428,256 total voting shares and the monthly shares representing voting rights of 4.892% to 4.823% of the total;
- e. The conversion value is based on an assumption for calculation purposes only of a Change of Control in 4 years from 3/1/13 and a remaining restricted term of 2.33 to 2.17 years;
- f. 35.53% to 31.95% restricted stock discount (based on a restricted stock analysis and call-put analysis curve: 84.68% to 94.41% volatility, 0.10% to 0.13% risk free rate) applied to the converted common.

Note 11 – Stock Options and Warrants

The following table presents the combined activity of stock options issued for the reporting periods ended December 31, 2014 as follows:

Stock Options	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price per share (\$)	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$)
Outstanding at June 30, 2014	535,715	0.35	1.23	2,094,643
Granted	-	-	-	-
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Expired	-	-	-	-
Canceled	-	-	-	-
Outstanding at December 31, 2014	535,715	0.35	0.73	1,264,280

As of December 31, 2014 there was no unrecognized compensation cost.

Stock Warrants

Stock Warrants	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price per share (\$)	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$)
Outstanding at June 30, 2014	8,894,355	5.01	2.78	2,278,458
Granted	34,296	4.18	3.88	-
Exercised	1,926,656	3.50	-	-
Expired	1,059,616	-	-	-
Canceled	-	-	-	-
Outstanding at December 31, 2014	5,942,379	5.15	3.59	85,114

Of the above warrants, 277,149 expire in fiscal year ending June 30, 2015; and 68,572 expire in fiscal year ending June 30, 2016; 68,572 expire in fiscal year ending June 30, 2017; 68,568 in fiscal year ending June 30, 2018; 5,459,518 in fiscal year ending June 30, 2019.

Note 12 – Fair Value Measurement

Fair value measurements

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining the fair value for applicable assets and liabilities, we consider the principal or most advantageous market in which we would transact and we consider assumptions market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability, such as inherent risk, transfer restrictions, and risk of nonperformance. This guidance also establishes a fair value hierarchy to prioritize inputs used in measuring fair value as follows:

Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets;

Level 2: Inputs, other than quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly; and

Level 3: Unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which require the reporting entity to develop its own assumptions.

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the fair value of derivative liabilities is estimated using option pricing models that are based on the individual characteristics of our warrants, preferred and common stock, the derivative liability on the valuation date as well as assumptions for volatility, remaining expected life, risk-free interest rate and, in some cases, credit spread. The derivative liabilities are the only Level 3 fair value measures.

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the estimated fair values of the liabilities measured on a recurring basis are as follows:

	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2014:		
	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Derivative liability – Series B debentures	\$-	-	\$3,274,961
Derivative liability – Series C debentures	-	-	1,206,532

Derivative liability – warrants	-	-	4,924,378
Total derivatives	\$-	\$ -	\$9,405,871

Fair Value
Measurements at
June 30, 2014:

	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Derivative liability – Series B debentures	\$-	\$ -	5,699,703
Derivative liability - warrants	-	-	5,235,682
Total derivatives	\$-	\$ -	\$10,935,385

The following tables present the activity for liabilities measured at estimated fair value using unobservable inputs for the six months ended December 31, 2014:

	Fair Value Measurement Using Significant Unobservable Inputs		
	Derivative liability – Series B	Derivative liability – Series C	Derivative liability - warrant
Beginning balance at July 1, 2014	\$5,699,703	\$-	5,235,682
Additions during the year	-	1,879,428	-
Change in fair value	(2,424,742)	(672,896)	(311,304)
Transfer in and/or out of Level 3	-	-	-
Ending balance at December 31, 2014	\$3,274,961	\$1,206,532	\$4,924,378

Note 13 - Commitments and Contingencies

Operating Lease

The Company's principal executive offices are located at 135 Wood Street, West Haven, Connecticut, and include approximately 7,000 square feet of office and laboratory space at a base monthly rent of \$8,695. The term of lease expired on February 28, 2011 and is now on a month-by-month basis.

Total rent expense at 135 Wood Street, West Haven, Connecticut amounted to \$52,170 and \$52,170 for the six months ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

On December 31, 2014, the Company entered into and consummated an Agreement for the Purchase and Sale of a cGMP-compliant pilot manufacturing and lab facility at 1 Controls Drive, Shelton, Connecticut. The purchase price of the facility was comprised solely of the repayment of the direct costs of the seller, Inno-Haven, LLC ("Inno-Haven") incurred in acquiring and renovating the property and the facility plus Inno-Haven's closing costs in connection with the sale. The purchase price consisted of the repayment of Inno-Haven's acquisition and renovation expenses of \$4,222,458 and closing costs of \$77,480.

In addition to the costs incurred by Inno-Haven, the Company undertook and paid for the costs of design and engineering to support its stringent specifications. The Company also paid for certain additional equipment and fixtures, required for its specialized use of the facility. These additional costs directly paid for by the Company amount to \$5,145,329, as previously reported by the Company in prior filings, and are not part of the purchase price reported above.

Legal Proceedings

On or about January 18, 2012, the Nevada Agency and Transfer Company, as agent for service of process for the Company in Nevada, was served with a Summons and Complaint in the case entitled Yidam, Ltd. v. Eugene Seymour, Anil Diwan, and NanoViricides, Inc. (Case No. A-12-654437-B) answerable in the Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada – Clark County ("Court"). The Complaint sought to compel inspection of the Company's books and records. The Complaint further sought unspecified "injunctive relief" in furtherance of the demand for inspection to which it is not entitled. The Complaint by a holder of less than 1 percent of the common stock of the Company sought, inter alia, inspect documents and records of the company to which it is not entitled and in a form and manner the Company argues is not authorized by statute. Management believed that this lawsuit had no merit or basis

Monetary damages had not been claimed and as a result no accrual had been made in relation to this litigation. This action was dismissed by the court or withdrawn several times in a series of repetitive complaints

The Company has vigorously defended this lawsuit. Following cross-motions for summary judgment and certain discovery as limited by the court, the parties engaged in a settlement conference in March 2014, at which time an agreement was reached resolving the parties' disputes in this matter and an identical repetitive complaint the same Plaintiff had filed with the United States District Court for the District of Colorado. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the Company deposited \$150,000 with its attorney to be released to reimburse the Plaintiff for a portion of its litigation expenses. However, disagreements later arose regarding the negotiated resolution, precipitating, among other things, the Company's application for court intervention and repeated status hearings. Final settlement documents were fully exchanged on September 11, 2014. Upon the Plaintiff's compliance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Company authorized counsel to release the reimbursement amount to the Plaintiff. An order dismissing the foregoing lawsuit was entered on September 16, 2014.

There are no other legal proceedings against the Company to the best of the Company's knowledge as of the date hereof and to the Company's knowledge, no action, suit or proceeding has been threatened against the Company.

Note 14 - Subsequent Events

Management has evaluated all events that occurred after the balance sheet date through the date when these financial statements were issued to determine if they must be reported. The Management of the Company has determined that the following reportable subsequent event is required to be disclosed:

On January 23, 2015, NanoViricides, Inc. (the "Company") held its 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Meeting"). Of the 58,321,521 shares of common stock entitled to vote at the Meeting, 48,992,605 shares of common stock were present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote and 3,313,218 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock ("Series A Preferred Shares") were present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote, representing approximately 92% of the Company's outstanding voting capital stock.

At the Meeting, the Company's stockholders: (i) re-elected Stanley Glick, as director of Class III for a two-year term expiring at the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders and until his successor is duly elected and qualified or until his earlier resignation or removal; (ii) approved an amendment to the Company's Articles of Incorporation to increase the Company's authorized capital stock to 150,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001 per share and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.001 per share; and (iii) ratified the appointment of EisnerAmper LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015.

PART I

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the information contained in the financial statements of the Company and the notes thereto appearing elsewhere herein and in conjunction with the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations set forth in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2014. Readers should carefully review the risk factors disclosed in this Form 10-K and other documents filed by the Company with the SEC.

As used in this report, the terms "Company", "we", "our", "us" and "NNVC" refer to NanoViricides, Inc., a Nevada corporation.

SPECIAL NOTE ON FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The information in this report contains forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical fact made in this report are forward looking. In particular, the statements herein regarding industry prospects and future results of operations or financial position are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "believes," "estimates," "could," "possibly," "probably," "anticipates," "projects," "expects," "may," "will," or "should," or other variations or similar words. No assurances can be given that the future results anticipated by the forward-looking statements will be achieved. Forward-looking statements reflect management's current expectations and are inherently uncertain. Our actual results may differ significantly from management's expectations.

Although these forward-looking statements reflect the good faith judgment of our management, such statements can only be based upon facts and factors currently known to us. Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control. As a result, our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including those set forth below under the caption "Risk Factors." For these statements, we claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You should not unduly rely on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date on which they were made. They give our expectations regarding the future but are not guarantees. We undertake no obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, unless required by law.

ITEM I: BUSINESS

Organization and Nature of Business

NanoViricides, Inc. is a leading company in the application of novel nanomedicine technologies to the complex issues of viral diseases.

The nanoviricide® technology enables direct attacks at multiple points on a virus particle. It is believed that such attacks would lead to the virus particle becoming ineffective at infecting host cells. Antibodies in contrast attack a virus particle at only a maximum of two attachment points per antibody.

The Company develops its drugs, that we call a nanoviricide®, using unique platform technology. Our approach enables rapid development of new drugs against a number of different viruses. A nanoviricide is a “biomimetic” - it is designed to “look like” the cell surface to the virus. To accomplish this, we have developed a polymeric micelle structure composed of PEG and fatty acids, that is designed to create a surface like the cell membrane, with the fatty acids going inside of the micelle. On this surface, we chemically attach, at regular intervals, virus-binding ligands. The virus is believed to be attracted to the nanomicelle by these ligands, and thereby binds to the nanoviricide using the same glycoproteins that it uses for binding to a host cell. Upon such binding, a “lipid mixing” interaction between the lipid envelope of the virus and the nanomicelle is thought to take place, leading to the virus attempting to enter the nanomicelle. Many different kinds of viruses are likely to get destroyed in the process.

We engineer the ligands to “mimic” the same site on the cell surface protein to which the virus binds. These sites do not change no matter how much a given virus mutates. Thus we believe that if a virus so mutates that it is not attacked by our nanoviricide, then it also would not bind to the human host cell receptor effectively and therefore would be substantially reduced in its pathogenicity. Our success at developing broad-spectrum nanoviricides depends upon how successfully we can design decoys of the cell surface receptor as ligands, among other factors.

The Company currently has six drugs in development with very large commercial markets. These include (i) Injectable FluCide™ for hospitalized patients with severe influenza, (ii) Oral FluCide™ for out-patients, (iii) DengueCide™, a broad spectrum nanoviricide designed to attack all types of dengue viruses and expected to be effective in the Severe Dengue Disease syndromes including Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever (DHS) and Dengue Shock Syndrome (DSS), (iv) HIVCide™ for HIV/AIDS, (v) HerpeCide™ for cold sores and genital sores caused by HSV, and (vi) Broad-spectrum Anti-Viral Eye drops for adenoviral and herpesviral infections of the external eye. In addition, the Company has research programs to develop drugs against Ebola and Marburg viruses, including the Ebola virus that is the cause of the recent epidemic in West Africa, Rabies virus, as well as the recent MERS Coronavirus (Middle-East Respiratory Syndrome). The Company also has a technology that we call “ADIF” or “Accurate-Drug-In-Field” technology with which an effective drug can be developed against a novel virus right in the field using stockpiled nanoviricides® precursors. The estimated market size for the current drug candidates is well in excess of \$40 billion worldwide.

On January 23, 2015, subsequent to the reporting period, the Company conducted its Annual Meeting of Shareholders at the Hampton Inn, Stamford, CT. Mr. Stanley Glick was reappointed as a Director, Class 3, to serve an additional 2-year term. Proposal of an amendment to our Articles of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock to 150,000,000 shares and the preferred stock to 10,000,000 shares was approved. The Company has no immediate plans for seeking any additional funding. However, the Company had determined upon discussion with counsel and other stakeholders, that an expansion in the authorized capital was necessary in order to improve the Company’s fiscal robustness and in order to avoid future potential risks in financing. With our recent financings and after accounting for purchase of the Shelton facility, as of December 31, 2014, the Company has current assets including security deposits and prepaid expenses of approximately \$35.6 million. The Company continues to be frugal in its expenditures, and has successfully held the rate of operational cash expenditures at approximately \$2.9 million this quarter. We believe we have sufficient funds in hand for more than two years of operations at the current rate of expenditure, and including the projected expenditures. In addition, the selection of EisnerAmper LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the 2015 fiscal year was ratified and approved. EisnerAmper is our independent auditing firm as of this quarterly report.

In response to questions from shareholders, the Company said that it now has sufficient production capacity for initial market entry of our first Injectable FluCide drug candidate when approved, and that it also has sufficient capacity to fulfill all the manufacturing needs of responding to the current Ebola epidemic, should any of our new anti-Ebola drug candidates move to further field application. These initial revenue opportunities supportable entirely by our current production capacity at the Shelton plant could be in the range of \$100 million to \$500 million, according to some estimates. The Company also stated that it is engaging into looking at additional capacity expansion at the Shelton site, as well as looking into initial requirements analysis for a future full scale manufacturing plant. As with our prior efforts, the Company intends to perform a country-wide site search for location of its full scale manufacturing facility. The search will be based on various parameters including local, state and federal grants, financing, tax abatement, and

other available concessions, as well as attractiveness of the location for talented professionals that we will need to hire at such a facility. At present, the Company has no plans for raising any financing that would be necessary for such a full-scale manufacturing plant. The Company believes that as it gets closer to initial revenues, it would be able to finance a full scale manufacturing facility using traditional non-dilutive or low-dilutive options. If the Company's Ebola drug candidate is successful, the Company believes that it would be able to attract manufacturing support from government grants and contracts.

An Ebola virus epidemic began in western Africa with an index case in December 2013. The epidemic was identified only in March/April 2014, as it began to spread into multiple countries. It has continued to expand rapidly geographically, and also to grow exponentially in spite of the serious efforts by the international community to contain it. As of February 3, 2015, the World Health Organization (WHO) and respective governments have reported a total of 22,560 suspected cases and 9,019 deaths, though the WHO believes that this substantially understates the magnitude of the outbreak ("Ebola data and statistics - Latest available situation summary, 6 February 2015". World Health Organization. 6 February 2015. Retrieved 6 February 2015.). Sporadic cases have occurred elsewhere in the world, including the United States, which were due to persons infected with Ebola virus traveling to other countries. Secondary infections from such patients mainly to health care workers have also occurred. However, these local outbreaks outside of West Africa have been successfully controlled so far with strong case management protocols as well as public health measures, including quarantine.

The Company identified that anti-Ebola drug development provided an opportunity for us to validate our rapid drug development platform, as well as potentially to enter first-in-human studies quickly. Our strategy was based on the lethal nature of Ebola virus infection, and based on the complete lack of effective treatments despite billions of dollars that have been spent in development. We therefore re-engaged our anti-Ebola drug development program. Along the course, an anti-Ebola drug has also become a viable business proposition, due to several legislative efforts and other changes. For example, Ebola has been added to the list of diseases that enable a Priority Review Voucher ("PRV") (see further details on PRV elsewhere in this report). In addition, substantial government and non-profit funding has become available for anti-Ebola drug development recently, in response to the current epidemic in West Africa.

In August 2014, we announced that we restarted our anti-Ebola drug development program. In September 2014, we announced that we had completed the design of anti-Ebola small chemical ligands that are designed to mimic the putative binding site on the Niemann-Pick C1 (NPC1) protein in host cells, to which Ebola virus glycoprotein binds prior to gaining access to the cellular machinery for making its own copies. In late October, 2014, we reported that the Company had executed a CRADA (Collaborative Research and Development Agreement for Material Transfer) with the United States Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases (USAMRIID), for the evaluation of our novel anti-Ebola nanomedicine drug by USAMRIID scientists in their BSL-4 facilities for activity against the deadly Ebola virus. In late January 2015, subsequent to the reporting period, we announced that we have shipped several anti-Ebola nanoviricide® drug candidates to a hi-security bio-containment facility in the US that is capable of performing evaluation of these drug candidates in the required biological safety level 4 (BSL-4) environment. We now await testing of these drug candidates.

The Company has designed these novel anti-Ebola broad-spectrum drug candidates such that they are expected to continue to work in spite of mutations in the field.

Using NanoViricides's rapid design platform, it took only 4 months from design to synthesis of the multiple drug candidates. These candidates are designed to attack the Ebola virus at the site on the virus that does not change in spite of mutations because the virus uses this site to bind to its cognate receptor in human cells, namely NPC1. Some of the candidates are designed to attack another conserved site on the Ebola virus that is thought to be involved in the fusion process of the virus required for it to successfully enter the cell.

The Company already has the capability of producing sufficient quantities of a successful anti-Ebola drug in its new cGMP-capable facility in Shelton, CT, for combating the current Ebola epidemic. This pilot scale manufacturing facility will be able to supply the successful nanoviricides drug candidate in quantities needed for the treatment of patients in West Africa infected with the Ebola virus. NanoViricides, Inc. is one of the very few companies that has the ability produce its drug candidates at this full-response scale.

The Company had previously developed anti-Ebola drug candidates that demonstrated the validity and potential of the Company's approach, based on cell culture and animal testing conducted at USAMRIID in 2008-2009. At that time, the cognate receptor of the Ebola virus was still unknown and the Company used certain heuristic approaches to design potential drug candidates for the purpose of validating our approach. The Company had to de-prioritize this development in order to focus on the development of its lead drug candidate, Injectable FluCide™, for treatment of hospitalized patients with influenza. The current outbreak in Africa has unequivocally demonstrated the need for an effective, broad-spectrum, anti-Ebola therapeutic. NanoViricides engaged into the anti-Ebola drug development with the highest priority in order to respond to this challenge.

The site at which the Ebola virus binds to its cognate cellular receptor, namely NPC1, does not change, in spite of mutations. Thus the Company believes that its new drug candidates would continue to work in spite of field mutations

in the virus. This is unlike vaccines, antibodies, siRNA, antisense, and several other therapeutic modes, which a virus can readily overcome due to mutations it acquires in the field. In late January, 2015, the Company announced that it had sent several novel anti-Ebola drug candidates to a BSL-4 Hi-Security Biocontainment Laboratory in the USA for testing. The Company reported that, in addition to attacking the NPC1 site, some of the candidates were designed to attack another conserved site on the Ebola virus that is thought to be involved in the fusion process of the virus, which is essential for it to successfully enter the cell.

Currently there are neither any drugs nor vaccines against Ebola, although some vaccines and some drug candidates have advanced into clinical trials. Recently, Chimerix Inc. announced that it has stopped the field clinical trial of its anti-herpes drug candidate, namely brincidofovir, against Ebola after treating approximately ten patients. In addition, in a new publication in the journal *mBio* (Kugelman, *et al.* *mBio* 2015;6(1):e02227-14), scientists at USAMRIID, Harvard University, and Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) studied genetic changes in the Ebola virus (EBOV) circulating in West Africa, and concluded that genomic drift of the EBOV over time may be sufficient to block the action of otherwise potential therapies that target EBOV genetic sequences. The types of potential drugs at risk include monoclonal antibodies and small-interfering RNA (siRNA) that are scheduled to be evaluated during the current outbreak. This casts doubt as to how effective, if at all, zMAPP (MAPP Bio, an antibody cocktail), TKM-Ebola drug candidate from TEKIRA (an siRNA cocktail), and the Sarepta drug candidate (a modified oligonucleotide cocktail), would be expected to be in the current outbreak. Hemispherx recently announced that its two drugs, Alferon®-N (a mixed natural interferon alpha injection), and Ampligen® (a double-stranded RNA), were found to be effective in cell cultures and that Ampligen protected 100% of ebola infected mice in a study conducted by USAMRIID scientists. However, both of these drugs act by exciting the human immune response, and therefore, their effectiveness in severely ill Ebola patients can only be assessed in the field. BioCryst is developing a broad-spectrum viral RNA Polymerase inhibitor against Ebola and other viruses. This drug, BCX-4430, is in Phase I human clinical trials. A related drug, Avigan® (favipravir, or T-705), (being developed now by FujiFilm), has shown promising results in Ebola infected patients in the field. Of 69 patients treated, only in a subset, i.e. those patients who had limited viral load, Avigan reduced mortality to 15% from the historical control value of 30% mortality in the same two hospital sites treating the patients, according to a recent news release (http://www.nytimes.com/2015/02/05/science/ebola-drug-has-encouraging-early-results-and-questions-follow.html?_r=1). The effect of the superior case management protocols employed in connection with the treatment need to be removed from the analysis to evaluate the actual effect of the drug in such weak but promising signals. Nevertheless, the trial has been expanded and favipravir may be made more widely available to patients. In contrast, a clinical trial of one of the Ebola vaccine candidates was stopped in Phase I, another ebola vaccine candidate is in clinical trial at present, but statisticians have raised doubts about these clinical trials in terms of producing statistically definitive results. Nevertheless, a vaccine would require vaccination of thousands of times the number of people actually affected by the disease, and given the EBOV mutation effects, vaccines of this cycle can no longer be expected to be effective to provide immunity against a future epidemic strain, similar to what is widely known in the case of influenza.

Thus development of a highly effective therapeutic against Ebola and related viruses such as Marburg remains an important and urgent unmet medical need. We believe that NanoViricides is well poised to re-enter this field and should be able to develop an effective drug rapidly, possibly in a timeline responsive to the current epidemic.

The current epidemic Ebola virus, a Zaire Ebola virus variant, has been shown by to mutate in the field frequently. This raises significant doubts regarding the success of standard antiviral approaches including vaccines, antibodies, antisense technologies, and siRNA approaches. In spite of the mutations, however, the cognate receptor of the virus on the cells and how the virus binds to it does not change. Fortunately, this receptor has now been identified for Ebola virus, as the Niemann-Pick C1 protein (NPC1), a cholesterol transporter whose function is essential for health. An approach of blocking the cellular protein, NPC1 in analogy to the development of maraviroc to block CCR5 and thereby affect HIV/AIDS, is unlikely to be successful, because NPC1 function is essential to human health. In contrast, the nanoviricides approach of attacking the virus itself by presenting to the virus a biomimetic surface like a cell membrane studded with the same binding sites which the virus binds to, namely its site on NPC1, shows significant promise. Sufficient structural information has been elucidated recently about Ebola virus-NPC1 interaction

that it has become possible for us to develop novel drug candidates against Ebola that the virus is unlikely to escape. In addition, since the NPC1 interaction is essential for EBOV to cause disease, such a nanoviricide candidate is expected to have strong efficacy in the field, and would be expected to remain effective in spite of mutations in the virus

In October 2014, the Company announced that it had signed a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement-Material Transfer Agreement (CRADA-MTA) with US Army Medical Research Institute for Infectious Diseases (USAMRIID). USAMRIID will be able to perform cell culture as well as animal testing of the Company's new anti-Ebola drug candidates under this Agreement. Should a successful candidate be identified, further development towards an Investigational New Drug (IND) Application and clinical studies as well as usage in the field in the current epidemic in West Africa is possible. The World Health Organization (WHO) has recently established guidelines for use of un-licensed novel anti-Ebola drug candidates in the field in an effort to help control the current epidemic. Additionally, design and conduct of clinical trials in an active theater of a raging lethal epidemic has also become relatively clear in recent months. Funding for manufacturing, supply of drugs, conduct of clinical trials, and other efforts, has become available from a number of governmental and charitable organizations. We are currently awaiting results of in vitro studies of our anti-Ebola drug candidates at USAMRIID.

The Company has the ability to produce requisite large quantities of such a drug candidate in its new cGMP-capable manufacturing facility in Shelton, CT. We may be able to manufacture thousands of doses in a single batch. For a highly effective drug, it has been estimated that as few as 30 patients would provide statistically significant efficacy data on drug efficacy, because of the known high mortality rate in untreated patients.

We continue to achieve very strong performance in the testing of our other drug candidates as well. All of our biological testing is conducted by third parties.

As part of the advanced IND-enabling development of our Injectable FluCide drug candidate, we have continued to scale up our production processes for both the backbone polymer and the ligands. We are now progressing to a 1Kg scale of production. We are working on implementing in-process measurement and control instrumentation, in order to rapidly implement quality control of the processes. This includes implementing some novel instruments that we believe are valuable in the process control for our nanomedicine polymeric materials. We have been able to make up to 200g batches in our existing facility in West Haven. We believe that we will be able to make as much as a few kilograms in a single batch in the new cGMP-capable facility in Shelton, CT.

We need to make approximately 2.5Kg of our FluCide drug candidate for further Tox Package studies, because of the excellent safety demonstrated by this drug candidate in safety and toxicology studies in both mouse and rat animal models.

In contrast, if the course of treatment of a successful Ebola drug candidate is assumed to be a gram or less, we would be able to make thousands of courses of treatment in a single batch. Our production capacity could thus be responsive to the current requirements for the containment of the Ebola epidemic in West Africa.

Much of our R&D work, until undertaking the Ebola program on a “war footing”, was focused on the IND-enabling development of our anti-influenza drug candidate, Injectable FluCide. We have been actively studying different chemical processes and routes of synthesis of the backbone polymer, the ligand, and the nanoviricide drug itself, which is a chemical conjugate of the two. The objective of these studies is to develop pathways that will allow industrial manufacturing scale production of a well-defined drug substance, so that multiple batches will produce consistent product. Our studies also involve the development of methods of chemical and physical characterization of the materials at various stages in the entire production process. These studies also include performing the syntheses at different scales, and at least sufficiently characterizing the products at different stages to enable decision-making regarding different possible process variations. We are also continuing to develop additional tests that are needed for analyses of samples from animals that will be generated during the safety/toxicology studies, and later in the human clinical trials. Such tests are needed for estimating a drug’s distribution pattern in the body as well as the time profile of the distribution. Such tests are also needed to decipher the metabolic fate of the drug. Since a nanoviricide drug is not a simple small chemical or an antibody, development of these tests is relatively complex, and is taking a significant amount of time. The work on FluCide continues, albeit at a reduced pace, due to the urgency of the anti-Ebola drug development program.

The work on production of the polymeric micelle backbone material is common to FluCide and the current anti-Ebola drug candidates, thereby saving both time and money in these drug development processes.

We have been able to produce the Injectable FluCide drug candidate in a batch as large as 200g in our existing facilities at present. We began initial safety/toxicology studies with this batch of material in October, 2014. We have engaged BASi Toxicology Services of West Lafayette, IN, to perform the IND-enabling safety/toxicology study for our Injectable FluCide drug candidate.

In late January 2015, subsequent to the reporting period, we reported that an optimized FluCide® drug candidate was found to possess a good safety profile in a GLP-like toxicology study in rats. These results are extremely important since they indicate that FluCide continues to look very promising as one of the most advanced candidates in the Company's drug development pipeline. The study was conducted at BASi (Bioanalytical Systems, Inc., NASDAQ: BASI) in Evansville, Indiana. The study was performed in a cGLP-like fashion, compliant with BASi Evansville standard operating procedures. BASi has over 40 years of experience providing contract research services and niche instrumentation to the life sciences, primarily drug research and development.

No direct adverse clinical effects were found upon administration of the FluCide candidate intravenously at doses of up to 300mg/kg/day for 14 days (a total of 4,200mg/kg) in rats. Organs were examined for gross histological observations. Microscopic histological tissue analysis was also performed. There were no adverse histological findings in gross organ level histological examination, nor were there any adverse findings in microscopic histological analysis. Equally importantly, there were no meaningful effects observed on animal weight gain, food consumption, hematology, or clinical chemistry at the end of the 14 day dosing period.

These results are in agreement with the previously reported results of a non-GLP toxicology study in mice. The current study results also support the Company's positive findings in animal models of infection with different influenza A virus strains in which no safety or toxicology concerns were observed. The Company has previously reported that many of its FluCide candidates demonstrated extremely high anti-influenza activity in those models.

This study was developed in collaboration with BASi and conducted by BASi in a c-GLP-like fashion in order to understand the safety parameters of FluCide intravenous dosing.

The next phase of the toxicology package studies will involve larger animals, and will require much larger quantities of the drug candidate. The Company is in the process of commissioning operations at the new facility at 1 Controls Drive, Shelton, CT, in order to perform the scale up studies needed for making the large quantities of materials in a controlled manner. These upcoming studies will be performed in cGLP compliant manner to provide safety and toxicology data that are required for an IND submission to regulatory agencies.

Injectable FluCide is our most advanced candidate. This drug candidate has shown extremely high effectiveness in a lethal influenza infection mouse model against two different types of influenza A virus, namely H1N1 and H3N2. The Company believes that this drug should be effective against most if not all influenza A subtypes, and strains, including the novel H7N9 strain. The Company held a pre-IND Meeting with the US FDA for its clinical drug candidate NV-INF-1 (i.e. Injectable FluCide) in the FluCide program in March 2012. The Company obtained valuable advice and is developing this candidate towards an investigational drug application (“IND”) to the US FDA as well as for similar applications to other international regulatory agencies. The Company recently performed a short preliminary non-GLP study designed to guide the planned GLP Safety and Toxicology studies (“Tox Package”) that are required for an IND filing. Previously, on October 7, 2013, the Company announced that in this small animal non-GLP safety/toxicology study of NV-INF-1 drug candidate, even at maximum feasible dosage, the drug was well tolerated and that no adverse events were found at study completion. . On December 2, 2013, the Company reported that detailed laboratory analyses of samples from this non-GLP safety and toxicology study showed no overall systemic effects and no direct effects on the primary organs. This includes liver and kidney tissues as well as liver and kidney function. This is important as the liver and kidneys are major organs involved in drug toxicity. In addition, FluCide showed no adverse effects on the lungs from the treated animals. This is very important because the respiratory system is a primary site of influenza virus infection and tissue damage. These strong safety findings were seen at all doses tested, even at the maximum feasible dose (MFD). MFD was much higher than the therapeutic dose range used to treat influenza virus infections in our animal model efficacy studies. FluCide was administered intravenously by tail-vein injections or by infusion in this study. This non-GLP safety/toxicology study was conducted at KARD Scientific in Massachusetts. Our recent safety and toxicology studies in rats have further corroborated the safety of FluCide.

These results support the Company’s positive findings in animals that were infected with different influenza A virus strains. In those studies, no safety or toxicology concerns were observed. The Company has previously reported that its FluCide candidate demonstrated extremely high anti-influenza activity in lethal infection animal models using multiple influenza A subtypes. The extremely high anti-influenza activity coupled with the strong safety data were the basis for the selection of this FluCide candidate for further drug development. As previously reported, the results of this study will provide both the basis and focus for the GLP safety and toxicology studies of FluCide that are required for the IND submission to the U.S. FDA. These GLP studies will be performed on both large and small animals at the BASi facility in Indiana. The Company has started certain initial safety/toxicology studies at BASi with the current product in hand. We need to perform further scale up and produce a much larger batch of the drug substance in order to perform the full suite of the safety/toxicology studies. The quantity required for these studies was estimated to be as much 2.5kg or more, because of the strong safety observed in preliminary studies. This scale-up will be performed at the Company’s new cGMP-capable manufacturing facilities in Shelton, CT.

The Company believes that these strong safety data bode well for our other drug programs as well. This is because a nanoviricide is built of two parts – (1) a virus specific ligand, that is chemically attached to (2) a “nanomicelle” or polymeric micelle based on our specific chemistries. It is reasonable to believe that the nanomicelle structures of our other drug candidates should also be safe. In addition, we believe that we have chosen antiviral ligands for our other drug candidates in a very conservative, safety-biased fashion.

The Company is currently performing process development and scale up studies on its FluCide drug candidate in its existing facilities. This activity is comprised of three parts: (a) Scale-up and characterization studies of the selected broad-spectrum anti-influenza ligand in FluCide; (b) Scale-up and characterization of the nanomicelle-forming polymer in FluCide; and (c) Scale-up and characterization of the FluCide resulting from chemical conjugation of the ligand with the nanomicelle. The scale-up studies were necessitated to be performed at this early stage of our drug development because of the extremely high safety of FluCide that resulted in a very large quantity requirement for the GLP Safety/Toxicology studies. The limitations of the current laboratory facilities impose that we produce these materials in multiple batches at present, resulting in extended production and characterization time periods. We were able to perform production of 200g batch in our current facilities. This quantity allowed us to initiate certain safety studies.

The Company has previously announced that its anti-dengue drug candidate in the DengueCide™ program achieved an unprecedented 50% survival rate in a special mouse model that mimics the most severe dengue disease in humans. This study was performed by Professor Eva Harris at the University of California, Berkeley.

On August 12, 2013, the Company announced that this anti-dengue drug candidate has been awarded an orphan drug designation by the US FDA. On November 11, 2013, we announced that this anti-dengue drug candidate was also awarded an orphan drug designation by the European Medicines Agency (EMA). These orphan drug designations provide the Company with several financial and other benefits that have now enabled the Company to give a high priority to the development of this drug.

In addition, the Company has developed a flexible, multi-product, pilot manufacturing facility capable of manufacturing any of its drug candidates in c-GMP compliant manner. Construction of this facility was completed in July, 2014. It is now undergoing cleaning, validation and fit-out for specialized equipment. We have started working in this facility, albeit to a very limited extent. This facility will be able to provide the cGMP-compliant clinical drug substances for its future human clinical studies. (“c-GMP”= current Good Manufacturing Practices, a set of guidelines developed by the US FDA that the manufacture of a drug must adhere to for human clinical trials and future sales. Internationally, there are similar guidelines promoted by local regulatory agencies, and ICH harmonization guidelines promoted by the WHO). A group of private financiers that includes our founder Dr. Anil Diwan acquired an 18,000 sqft building on 4 acres with possibilities for expansion, in Shelton, CT, via Inno-Haven, LLC, a company formed specifically for the purpose of acquiring the lab. This building was completely renovated to facilitate setting up a modern cGMP drug substance manufacturing facility with injectable drugs capability, as well as supporting analytical and chemistry laboratory facilities.

We assembled a marquee team of experts to help with the design, engineering, architecture, and construction of this facility. Mr. Andrew Hahn continues to provide overall stewardship for this project. He was formerly Senior Director of Engineering, Pharmaceutical Facilities, Global Engineering, at the Bristol-Myers-Squibb Company Worldwide Medicines Group (BMS). He has almost 30 years of experience in architecture, design and project management in the creation of new and refurbished facilities at Bristol-Myers Squibb Company. Mr. Phil Mader and his firm, MPH Engineering, LLC (“MPH”), continue to help with the overall project management and design engineering of the laboratory and cGMP pilot production facility. Prior to founding MPH, from 2000 to 2007, Phil Mader served as the Senior Capital Project Manager at Bristol-Myers Squibb Company in Wallingford, CT (“BMS”). He was involved in the design, implementation, and commissioning of various biology and chemistry laboratory projects within budget and in a timely manner. Ms. Kathyann Cowles of ID3A, LLC, serves as the Principal Architect. Ms. Cowles, co-founder of Id3A, has over thirty years of experience as a licensed Architect and Senior Project Manager for diverse and complex design and construction projects in the academic, science, technology, corporate and research sectors. This team worked with the expert advice and guidance of the Company’s Scientific Advisor, Dr. Harmon Aronson. Dr. Aronson is a well-known cGMP consultant in the pharmaceutical industry, and was formerly Vice President of Quality Management at Biocraft Laboratories, a company that was acquired by Teva Pharmaceuticals.

We evaluated the lease versus purchase option regarding this facility. We commissioned an independent consultant report to help us with this decision. Based on this report, the Board of Directors authorized the purchase of this facility. The purchase was completed in December 31, 2014

Due to our strong financial position resulting from these recent financings, the Company has purchased the state of the art 18,000sqft c-GMP-capable Drug Manufacturing and R&D lab facility in Shelton, CT, instead of leasing it, from Inno-Haven, LLC. .

The Company purchased the facility solely by repaying for the costs borne by Inno-Haven, LLC, in acquiring and renovating the property. NanoViricides’ Board of Directors had approved the purchase of the facility on these terms in July 2014.

NanoViricides now intends to move its operations to the new facility in a phased manner in order to minimize any potential impact on our ongoing projects. The Company expects to manufacture its drug candidates for human clinical trials at the new facility. The Company further reports that all of its drug development programs are progressing satisfactorily.

NanoViricides believes that its cash in hand enables this purchase without impacting any of its drug development programs. Further, the Company believes that this purchase represents a net positive cash flow impact as compared to the leasing option, over the long term.

All of the infrastructure systems needed for production of the nanoviricides® drug candidates are now operational at the new facility, and have either been validated by outside experts, or are in the process of such validation.

The 18,000 sqft building at 1 Controls Drive, Shelton, CT, was completely renovated in order to build a modern, state-of-the-art c-GMP-compliant manufacturing facility for the production of clinical scale quantities of a wide variety of injectable nanomedicines drug substances and drug products, modern nanomedicines research and development facilities, as well as an on-site state-of-the-art nanomedicines analysis and characterization facility. The new facility comprises a small office space, R&D Chemistry Labs, R&D Biology Labs, R&D Analytical Lab and cGMP supporting Analytical Lab, cGMP-compliant raw materials handling and dispensing area, and c-GMP compliant Clean Room Suites for nanomedicines production and product packaging processes. All of the R&D labs employ class 100,000 or cleaner air systems. The clean room suites comprise class 10,000 air quality areas as well as class 1,000 and the ultra-clean class 100 air quality areas (the class specifies the number of particles per 1,000 liters of air). This allows production and handling of nanomedicines with minimal risk of entraining foreign particles. All of the R&D labs employ deionized water. For critical processes, WFI-quality water is also produced on site in quantities needed to support the production scale (WFI = water for injection, comprises a specification of water quality that specifies minimal endotoxins and maximum sterility).

The Company reported previously that it had reached an agreement with Inno-Haven to purchase the building outright, rather than to lease it from Inno-Haven. Since the decision to purchase the facility, NanoViricides has been conducting extensive due diligence. NanoViricides CEO, Dr. Seymour and Kane-Kessler firm attorneys representing NanoViricides, helped by external consultants, have been performing due diligence regarding this purchase with Inno-Haven executive Dr. Diwan. The extensive due diligence involved, a study of the original floor plans and drawings, the final floor plans, engineering drawings, as well as installed equipment and lab furniture, as well as a number of additional aspects of the purchase. The due diligence process also involved inspection of the accounts of Inno-Haven and detailed accounting of actual costs involved in this project. The due diligence process further involved a study of the facility description documents submitted by the construction management firm of MPH Engineering, LLC. In addition, the due diligence process also involved a study of the reports on (a) the Lease vs. Purchase options for buying this facility, and (b) price of the facility in comparison to other like facilities. These reports were commissioned from Nanotech Plus, LLC, a specialized consultancy firm, by NanoViricides. These reports determined that the facility had a replacement value substantially in excess of \$15 Million.

Dr. Diwan recused himself from the NanoViricides's processes pertaining to the lease or purchase of the facility including the discussions with consultants, commissioning and study of reports, as well as the Board of Directors meetings and discussions.

Dr. Diwan originally purchased the existing building and land at this location in August, 2011, using his savings, funds he had raised from a sale of his founders stock under a 10b-5 plan, and certain private loans from other private parties. Inno-Haven, LLC was formed as a special purpose limited liability company, with Dr. Diwan as its controlling member, to execute this project. The facility was intended to be a stand alone contract manufacturing operation supporting multiple clients, including NanoViricides, Inc. Later, Inno-Haven obtained additional non-bank commercial loans to further modify the facility as per the stringent specifications of NanoViricides, Inc. At the time that Dr. Diwan engaged into this project, NanoViricides Inc. had limited finances available, and was unable to raise funding to engage into this critical project on its own. NanoViricides common stock (NNVC) was trading on OTC bulletin board, with limited trading volume. The Company had already identified in 2006 that cGMP manufacturing capability was going to be critical for advancing its drug candidates towards human clinical trials and licensure. The Company diligently investigated contract manufacturing as well as leasing of existing cGMP facilities to satisfy its needs. However, as a result of the novelty of its technology, it became apparent that external parties did not have the necessary expertise. Dr. Diwan therefore took an extreme personal risk in order to advance the Company's goals, and engaged into this facility development project.

Subsequently, NanoViricides and Inno-Haven executed a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) in January, 2013, in which NanoViricides agreed to lease the facility from Inno-Haven upon completion, with a right to purchase. NanoViricides, Inc. also commissioned external consultants for the purposes of determining (a) fair lease ranges, and (b) the pros and cons of leasing as opposed to purchasing the facility. Based on these reports, the Company determined that it was in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders to purchase the facility rather than to lease it. Dr. Diwan recused himself from this entire process, including hiring of external consultants, their reports, and the determination of the appropriate strategy.

Since February 2013, NanoViricides, Inc. has raised more than approximately \$48 million dollars. Therefore, the Company is now in a strong financial position and is capable of purchasing the facility outright without adversely impacting its R&D programs. The Company also determined that purchasing is the low cost option in the long term.

We will need to set up new equipment at this facility and ensure that its performance is adequate. Thereafter we will need to conduct several validation studies and also establish our new laboratories in the new facility. In addition, we will need to set up cGMP compliant systems for working in this new facility. We will need to establish the scaled up manufacturing processes of our drug candidates under cGMP guidelines in this facility. Only after that, the Company will be able to make cGMP-like material using the same processes as c-GMP material but prior to undergoing the FDA registration process. Such c-GMP-like product can be used for clinical batches for human clinical studies in several countries around the world. The Company is currently investigating all such options in order to expedite the timeline to entering human clinical trials. The Company intends to contract out clinical batch fulfillments to outside established contract manufacturers.

The Company is now being recognized as a leader in nanomedicines, and particularly, in nanomedicines against viruses. Our CEO, Eugene Seymour, MD, MPH, was invited to discuss the current Ebola outbreak “The Independents”, a show on the Fox Business News Channel (FBN) on September 16th, 2014, at 9PM EDT. He discussed some of the difficulties that could be encountered in attempts to bring the Ebola epidemic under control. Dr. Seymour has extensive field experience in the area of infectious diseases. He has previously worked on HIV/AIDS in Africa, Eastern Europe and Asia. Dr. Seymour was interviewed on the same show on FBN again on October 7th, 2014, when he discussed the Company’s progress on a novel experimental Ebola drug. On August 12th, 2014, we rang “The Opening Bell” ® at the New York Stock Exchange.

We are very happy to report that NanoViricides won the prestigious “IAIR Award” in 2014 as the “Best North American Company for Leadership in the Nanomedicine Sector”. These awards are given by the IAIR Group. IAIR (International Alternative Investment Review) is a publication of EDITRICE LE FONTI® SRL, Milan, Italy. In addition, Anil R. Diwan, Ph.D., President, Chairman, and co-Founder of the Company was recognized as the “2014 Researcher of the Year” by BusinessNewHaven, a business journal, and the New Haven magazine, that serve the State of Connecticut. We are pleased with these recognitions and awards that attest to our leadership position in the nanomedicines sector.

In August 2012, we announced that we were successful in developing an anti-influenza drug candidate that was orally effective. We believe this may be the very first targeted nanomedicine that is available via the oral route. Oral

availability of FluCide would open up a much larger market than the injectable version. The Company intends to continue to develop the injectable version for hospitalized patients first. For severe, hospitalized cases of influenza, we are developing a concentrated solution that is administered by “piggy-back” incorporation into the standard IV fluid supplement system that is commonly used in hospitalized patients. In addition, we now plan to develop an oral version for out-patients and later also for pediatric patient populations. This oral version will then replace the injectable drug that we were developing for out-patients.

In September 2012, we announced that the oral version of FluCide was also highly effective against a different strain of influenza A, namely H3N2, in addition to the influenza strain of H1N1 that we had been using for development, in the same lethal animal challenge model. This is an important indication that our drug candidates against influenza are indeed broad-spectrum, i.e. capable of combating most if not all influenza viruses. We will need to perform animal studies against a few additional strains of influenza viruses in order to substantiate that this drug is indeed a broad-spectrum drug candidate. Additional studies in cell cultures against different strains of influenza are also planned. All of these studies are necessary for filing an IND application.

Nanoviricide technology is built on the TheraCour® polymeric micelle platform technology. The design of these materials is like building blocks. We can select components to achieve desired effects. This tailor-made customizability has many implications. It allows us to (1) rapidly create a new drug against a different virus; (2) rapidly develop a drug with desired length of time for which its effect should persist; and (3) quickly develop new drugs with different routes of administration; among many other benefits.

We had always suspected that the polymeric nature of nanoviricides would enable a long drug effectiveness time frame, thus enabling infrequent dosing. We have indications now that this is very likely true, from both FluCide™ and HIVCide™ programs. We have observed sustained antiviral effects for a long time after last drug administration in various animal model studies.

Infrequent dosing would translate into ease of patient compliance. Patient compliance is a major issue for all antiviral drug therapies, and particularly for HIV/AIDS.

We have been able to develop drugs using many different routes of administration with very little development time and effort.

Initially we focused on developing only injectable formulations since these afford the maximum bioavailability of the drug inside the body. We have also developed eye drop solutions against EKC in a very short time frame.

A skin cream appears to be the right formulation for the treatment of oral and genital warts caused by HSV-1 and HSV-2. Last year we had already observed that our drug candidates, in the solution form, were effective in cell cultures against at least two different strains of HSV-1 in two different laboratories. We needed to make skin creams for conducting animal studies and selected different building blocks for our backbone polymer, and built new drugs against HSV this year. The skin cream drug candidates against HSV were developed within a matter of weeks. The formulation development itself took only a few days. In contrast, many drug development companies spend years in formulations development.

We have successfully developed what may be the first ever orally available targeted nanomedicine, in our Flucide program.

We demonstrated that we can rapidly develop different formulations because of the inherent strength of the nanoviricide platform technology. The technology also enables us to develop nasal sprays and bronchial aerosols. We plan to develop the appropriate formulations as necessary.

We have limited our expenditures on socially conscious projects such as “Neglected Tropical Diseases” (NTD’s), and “Bio-defense” projects to the extent that participatory funding from third parties is available. To this end, we attempt to obtain grants and contracts financing from government and non-government sources. We will continue to work on these programs as time and resources permit. In addition, we continue to develop novel technologies such as ADIF™ (“Accurate-Drug-In-Field™”) which may possibly represent one of the best scientific approaches against manmade and natural novel disease agents. Outbreaks of natural, novel viral diseases, such as Ebola, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, H7N9 Influenza, and others, will continue to occur. At present, there is no feasible therapeutic intervention for outbreaks of novel viruses, such as the new Ebola virus epidemic, and the MERS coronavirus outbreak reported recently.

In order to enhance our corporate governance and oversight, we added two marquee independent board members to our Board of Directors in May/June, 2013. Dr. Milton Boniuk is the Caroline F. Elles Chair Professor of Ophthalmology, in the Alkek Eye Center at the Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, a practicing ophthalmic surgeon, an astute businessperson, a renowned humanitarian, and a strong investor in and supporter of the Company. Dr. Mukund S. Kulkarni, MBA, PhD, is currently the Chancellor of Penn State University, and continues to be Professor of Finance. Together with Mr. Stanley Glick, Practicing CPA and Chair of our Audit Committee, we now have a majority of independent board members.

We have continued to successfully raise financing. We had previously completed a \$6 million convertible debentures placement with our prior investors with long positions in February, 2013. In addition, we completed a registered direct offering of approximately \$10 million on September 9, 2013, after reverse-split of our common stock by a factor of 3.5 old common shares for 1 new common share. With the newly established stock price, subsequently, we met the eligibility criteria for both NASDAQ and NYSE MKT. On September, 25, 2013, the Company's common stock began trading on the NYSE MKT exchange under the symbol NNVC. This uplisting was a major milestone for the Company and an important advance in the Company's corporate lifecycle. Subsequent to the uplisting, we raised approximately \$20 million in January 2014, in a Registered Direct Offering. Later, in July, 2014, we sold convertible debentures worth \$5 million. In addition, in September 2014, we accepted exercises of our old warrants at \$3.50 per share, for a total of approximately \$6.74 million.

On July 2, 2014, the Company reported that its common stock, NNVC, was added as a member of the U.S. small-cap Russell 2000 Index after the equity markets closed on June 27, when Russell Investments reconstituted its comprehensive family of global indexes. Membership in the Russell 2000, which remains in place for one year, is based on membership in the broad-market Russell 3000 Index. The stock was also added systematically to the appropriate growth and value indexes.

With these recent financings and after accounting for purchase of the Shelton facility, as of December 31, 2014, the Company has current assets including security deposits and prepaid expenses of approximately \$35.6 million. The Company continues to be frugal in its expenditures, and has successfully held the rate of operational cash expenditures at approximately \$2.9 million this quarter. We believe we have sufficient funds in hand for more than two years of operations at the current rate of expenditure, and including the projected expenditures.

We believe we have sufficient funds in hand to complete Phase I and Phase IIa human clinical studies for at least one of our drug candidates, and advance, at least, one additional drug candidate into human clinical studies. Our estimate is based on a number of assumptions and cost estimates provided by outside parties. The Company itself does not have the expertise in taking a drug through human clinical trials and as such depends upon outside experts to generate such estimates as well as to help the Company formulate and conduct its drug development programs. As such. Thus, these estimates may be grossly in error and there may also be hidden costs that we are not aware of.

Our strategy is to minimize capital expenditure. We therefore rely on third party collaborations for the testing of our drug candidates. We continue to engage with our previous collaborators. We also seek to engage with additional collaborators, as necessitated for the progress of our programs.

In November 2013, we renewed our contract with the Professor Eva Harris lab at the University of California at Berkeley for evaluation and development of our Denguecide drug candidate. With cases in Florida, Texas and recently in New York, in addition to 25,000 suspected cases reported in Puerto Rico this past summer, dengue virus is clearly becoming an important pathogen of concern in the United States.

We have engaged Biologics Consulting Group, Inc., to help us with the US FDA regulatory submissions. We are also engaged with Australian Biologics Pty, Ltd to help us with clinical trials and regulatory approvals in Australia. We believe that cGMP-like manufactured product is acceptable for entering human clinical trials in Australia.

In addition, we signed a Master Services Agreement with Public Health England (PHE), UK. We have also signed a new CRADA-Materials Transfer Agreement with USAMRIID for the evaluation of our anti-Ebola nanoviricide drug candidates. We anticipate completing master services agreements, after performing our due diligence, with additional parties in furtherance of our anti-viral drug development programs.

We have continued to achieve significant milestones in our drug development activities. All of our drug development programs are presently at pre-clinical stage. We believe we are advancing these programs at a faster pace than industry peers. We continue to test several drug candidates under each program even though we may achieve extremely strong results with some of the candidates.

The Company reports summaries of its studies as the data becomes available to the Company, after analyzing and verifying the same, in its press releases.

In July-August 2011, we reported on the anti-HIV studies that were designed to discriminate the comparative effectiveness of different ligands. We reported that our lead anti-HIV candidate achieved anti-HIV efficacy equivalent to a HAART (highly active anti-retroviral therapy) triple drug cocktail in this recently completed animal study. Treatment with this lead anti-HIV nanoviricide reduced HIV levels and protected the human T cells (CD4+/CD8+) to the same extent as treatment with the HAART cocktail. The three drug HAART cocktail used for comparison in this study is one of the combination therapies recommended for initial therapy in humans. No evidence of drug toxicity was observed in the case of nanoviricide drug candidates. We later reported that this lead anti-HIV drug candidate achieved a long term anti-HIV effect with a much shorter dosing regimen and a markedly lower total drug dose than the HAART drug cocktail therapy in a recent animal study. The antiviral effect of the anti-HIV nanoviricide (“HIVCide™”) continued throughout the 48 days of study even though HIVCide dosing was discontinued after only 20 days. The clinical benefit of HIVCide was found to be sustained for at least four weeks after the last drug dose. Treatment with the lead anti-HIV nanoviricide both (1) reduced the HIV viral load and (2) also protected the human T cells (CD4+,CD8+), equally well as compared to treatment with the three-drug HAART cocktail, at 24-days as well as at 48-days, even though the HIVCide treatment was stopped at 20 days. The lead candidate is now undergoing further optimization.

In September 2013, we announced that we had further improved the HIVCide drug candidates, based on results of cell culture studies conducted by Southern Research Institute, Frederick, MD. A broad-spectrum anti-HIV-I activity was demonstrated in that HIV-1 Ba-L, a CCR5-using strain as well as HIV-1 IIIB, a CXCR4-using strain, were both inhibited equally well by two different nanoviricide drug candidates in the standard MAGI HIV Antiviral Assay

A long and sustained effect of HIVCide would lead to improved patient compliance, which is a sought after goal in HIV therapy. With this new study, we believe that we are close to a “Functional Cure” of HIV wherein the patient can take treatment until the viral load is undetectable and then stop treatment until an episode of virus reawakening occurs. Anti-HIV drug development is very expensive and therefore the Company continues to keep this program at a lower

priority than our other drug development programs.

In September 2011, we announced that we have selected a clinical candidate, now designated NV-INF-1, for FDA submission in our highly successful FluCide™ anti-influenza therapeutics program. The Company is now developing certain additional information on NV-INF-1, with input from its FDA consultants, for the pre-IND application to the FDA. The Company is planning on two separate indications for NV-INF-1: High strength dosage form for hospitalized patients with severe influenza, and a single course therapy for the out-patients with less severe influenza. We are currently working on putting together the FluCide information in a pre-IND application to the US FDA.

In July 2011, we retained the Biologics Consulting Group to help us with our regulatory filings. This led to our pre-IND meeting request to the US FDA in December, 2011, and a pre-IND meeting with the US FDA in March, 2012.

In July 2012, we retained Australian Biologics Pty. Ltd., a regulatory affairs consulting firm, to coordinate the regulatory review and approval to conduct the first human trials in Australia for Flucide™, the Company's broad-spectrum anti-influenza drug. Australian Biologics will also facilitate clinical trial site(s) selection and development of the clinical trials agreements. Dr. Jim Ackland, the Manager of Australian Biologics Pty, Ltd, has extensive experience in this field. Prior to becoming managing director of this company, he was Vice-President, West Coast and Asia Pacific operations for the Biologics Consulting Group, the Company's US FDA regulatory affairs consulting group. In the 1990's, he was the Head of Regulatory Affairs, Vaccines, for the CSL Group in Australia. The CSL Group is a global, specialty biopharmaceutical company that researches, develops, manufactures and markets products to treat and prevent serious human medical conditions.

In August 2012, we reported that oral effectiveness of anti-influenza FluCide drug was demonstrated in a lethal animal model. Certain anti-influenza drug candidates under our FluCide™ program, when given orally, were nearly as effective as when administered as IV injections. Two different anti-influenza drug candidates were tested in Oral vs. IV comparison, and both of them showed similar results that indicated strong oral effectiveness. The results clearly demonstrated that oral administration of both of these FluCide drug candidates resulted in substantially superior animal protection compared to oseltamivir (Tamiflu®), a standard of care for influenza at present. The studies involved the same highly lethal animal model the Company has continued to use for its influenza drug development program.

One of the FluCide drug candidates, when administered orally, enabled the animals to survive as long as 347.4 ± 4.6 hrs. (14.5 days), and when given as an injectable, it allowed the animals to combat the lethal influenza infection for 376.8 ± 7.5 hrs. (15.7 days). Another drug candidate (with a different anti-viral ligand), when given orally, resulted in the animals surviving for as long as 301.3 ± 5.2 hrs. (12.6 days), and when given as a tail-vein injection, for 349.0 ± 3.9 hrs. (14.5 days). For comparison, untreated control animals died in 119.5 ± 1 hrs. (5 days), and oseltamivir (Tamiflu®) treated animals died within just 181.7 ± 4.6 hrs. (7.6 days).

The survival data clearly showed that oral as well as IV administration of FluCide drug candidates was substantially superior to oseltamivir. In addition, they showed that FluCide drug candidates when given orally had substantial efficacy, almost matching the effectiveness of the injectable form given at 0.3X of the oral dosage level.

One of the FluCide drug candidates, when administered orally, resulted in 1.30 log reduction (or 20X reduction) in lung viral load and matched the viral load reduction on the same drug candidate given as an IV injection. Another drug candidate resulted in 1.23 log viral load reduction when given orally and 1.31 log viral load reduction when given as an injectable. In contrast, oseltamivir (Tamiflu®, given orally at 40mg/kg/d) resulted in only 0.6 log viral load reduction (or only 4X reduction) compared to negative controls. These were the results of lung viral load measured at 108 hours post-infection (hpi). Further, at 180 hpi, the lung viral load remained controlled at about the same level as at 108 hpi with the nanoviricide® drug candidates. In contrast, lung viral load in the oseltamivir treated mice increased to the same level as the negative control (infected untreated) animals prior to their death and the oseltamivir group exhibited a survival of only 182 ± 4 hours.

The number of lung plaques and plaque areas (resulting from the influenza virus infection) also were consistent with the data from the lung viral load, and were minimal in the case of the nanoviricide drug candidates whether given as IV or orally. Oseltamivir treatment did not protect the lungs of infected animals anywhere close to the protection afforded by the FluCide drug candidates.

These data clearly demonstrated that both oral and IV treatment with nanoviricide drug candidates protected the lungs of the mice infected with influenza virus equally well. It is also clear that this lung protection was the result of the substantial decrease in the lung viral load. In addition, they show that FluCide drug candidates when given orally had

substantial efficacy, almost matching the effectiveness of the injectable form given at 0.3X of the oral dosage level.

In addition to the antiviral effects, the oral FluCide drug candidates also led to generation of a strong antiviral antibody response. Two different anti-influenza drug candidates were tested in Oral vs. IV comparison. One of the FluCide drug candidates, when administered orally, resulted in 1866 ± 90 micro-g/ml-plasma of anti-influenza antibody, and 1258 ± 59 when administered as IV injections. Another FluCide candidate, when given orally, resulted in 1491 ± 37 ug/ml plasma of anti-influenza antibody, and 1151 ± 53 when administered as IV injections. The untreated infected animals had 190 ± 22 ug/ml antibody response, which was the weakest of all, as expected. Of significance, oseltamivir (Tamiflu) resulted in only 950 ± 64 ug/ml level of antibody response, which was far less than the two oral FluCide groups (p-value <0.0003), and also substantially less than the two IV FluCide groups (p-value <0.04). These p-values were determined for a comparison of FluCide groups against the oseltamivir group using the most stringent parameters, viz. two-tailed, paired, t-test. A smaller p-value indicates a greater confidence that the difference in observations cannot be a result of pure chance. These data also indicated that the antibody response was stronger when FluCide was given orally rather than as IV injection.

The generation of a strong antibody response is important. We believe that the strong reduction in viral load caused by FluCide treatment allows the immune system to function normally and generate appropriate antibodies. A strong antibody response implies that the FluCide drug candidates may also be useful as prophylactic therapy of uninfected health care workers and close associates of a patient in addition to treatment of infected patients.

All of these data also clearly demonstrated that both injectable and oral FluCide™ candidates were superior to oral oseltamivir (Tamiflu®, Roche), a current standard of care for influenza, in all parameters evaluated.

No adverse effects were found, indicating that the FluCide dose could be increased further to achieve much greater levels of effectiveness.

The oral FluCide candidate development was the result of chemistry optimization program that the Company has been working on.

In September 2012, we announced that the oral FluCide™ drug candidates demonstrated dramatically improved survival in animals administered a lethal dose of the H3N2 influenza A virus. Animals treated with the oral anti-influenza nanoviricide drug candidates survived for much longer as compared to Tamiflu® treated animals.

In this H3N2 infection study, animals treated with the best of the oral FluCide™ nanoviricide drug candidates survived 15.6 days while the animals treated with oral Tamiflu survived only 9.6 days. The control animals died within 5 days. The Company has previously reported that animals treated with these same oral anti-influenza nanoviricides protected mice infected with the H1N1 influenza A virus and were similarly substantially superior to oral oseltamivir (Tamiflu).

This is the first demonstration of efficacy of the Company's FluCide drug candidates against a completely unrelated type of influenza A virus (viz. H3N2) in contrast to the H1N1 Influenza A virus that the Company has used for its recent development work leading to its pre-IND application with the US FDA. H3N2 influenza virus is one of the multiple sub-types of influenza A that cause seasonal epidemics. According to the CDC, influenza causes approximately 36,000 deaths every year in the U.S. alone. The Hong Kong Flu pandemic of 1968-1969, which killed an estimated one million people worldwide, was caused by a variant strain of H3N2. The Company believes an orally administered nanoviricide that protect against multiple influenza virus sub-types would be effective in season after season of influenza epidemics. Such a highly effective, broad-spectrum anti-influenza drug is widely anticipated to be highly successful.

The Company believes that the anti-influenza drug candidates it has developed are broad-spectrum, i.e. they should work against most if not all of influenza viruses. This is because, in spite of mutations and antigenic drift, all influenza viruses bind to the same cell surface receptor called sialic acid, and the Company has developed small chemical ligands that mimic this receptor, to attack the influenza viruses. These ligands are chemically attached to the Company's polymeric micelle backbones that mimic the cell membrane, to create the nanoviricides. The Company has previously shown effectiveness of its very early anti-influenza drug candidates against two different strains of H5N1 Bird Flu virus in cell culture studies. The Company has since then improved the ligands as well as the chemistries as reported from time to time.

The Company intends to develop data about effectiveness of its drug candidates against certain unrelated influenza A viruses using both cell culture studies and animal models in a reasonable manner. These data will be needed as part of the IND application that the Company is working on. An IND application will be required for the Company to enter into human clinical trials.

Previously, in June 2010, the Company reported successful studies in two different cell culture models of dengue virus type 2 infection. These studies were conducted at the Prof. Eva Harris lab at the UC Berkeley. Our results were later confirmed and extended to animal studies.

The Company reported that its anti-Dengue drug candidates demonstrated significant protection in the initial animal survival studies of Dengue virus infection, in an animal study protocol modeled to simulate the ADE syndrome. The best nanoviricide drug candidates demonstrated 50% animal survival in this uniformly lethal mouse model. The studies were performed in the laboratory of Dr. Eva Harris, Professor of Infectious Diseases at the University of California, Berkeley (UC Berkeley).

Based on this data, the Company believes that it is feasible to develop a single nanoviricide drug against all types of dengue viruses that circumvents the primary issue of antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) of dengue virus infection. ADE is thought to result in severe dengue disease syndromes such as dengue shock syndrome (DSS) and dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF).

In June, 2010, we also reported that our anti-HIV drug candidates demonstrated efficacy in the recently completed cell culture studies using two distinctly different HIV-1 isolates. These studies were performed in the laboratory of Carol Lackman-Smith at the Southern Research Institute, Frederick, Maryland. These results corroborated our previous findings in Animal Studies. The Company had reported that its best nanoviricide drug candidate against HIV was more than 25 times superior to a three drug combo anti-HIV cocktail based on biomarker test response in all parameters tested. The parameters included improvement in human T cell populations in the animal model and reduction in HIV viral load. The Company has since performed additional studies to optimize the HIV binding ligand and has found ligands that are superior to the one that yielded these strong results. The Company now plans to deploy this new anti-HIV ligand connected to the full strength polymeric micelle that we have also optimized as a new anti-HIV nanoviricide drug candidate. We plan to test this optimized anti-HIV drug candidate in animal studies. Anti-HIV studies are extremely expensive. As such, the Company's HIVCide program has been slowed down with the current slow financial markets.

In August 2010, we reported that our anti-HSV drug candidates exhibited almost complete inhibition of herpes simplex virus HSV-1 in cell culture studies conducted in Professor Ken Rosenthal lab at the Northeastern Ohio Universities Colleges of Medicine and Pharmacy. These studies employed the H129 strain of herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1). H129 is an encephalitic strain that closely resembles a clinical isolate; it is known to be more virulent than classic HSV-1 laboratory strains.

In March through May 2011, the Company reported that further chemistry optimization led to dramatically improved antiviral efficacy with its optimized FluCide™ drug candidates in its most recent animal study. In the influenza mouse lethal infection model, animals treated with one of the optimized FluCide™ nanoviricide drug candidates survived beyond the stated full duration of study (21 days), and those treated with two additional drug candidates survived almost the full duration of the study. Animals in these three groups survived significantly longer (20.2 to 22.2 days) as compared to the animals treated with Oseltamivir (Tamiflu®) only 8.3 days. In addition, the post-infection treatment with these optimized FluCide™ drug candidates resulted in dramatic reduction in the number of lung lesions that are caused by a lethal influenza virus infection. Four days post virus infection, animals treated with three of the optimized FluCide™ nanoviricide drug candidates exhibited greater than 95% reduction in the number of lung lesions as compared to the infected yet untreated control animals (p-values < 0.001). In contrast, animals treated with Oseltamivir

(Tamiflu®, Roche) showed only a 50% reduction. In another significant finding, no increase in the number or size of the lung lesions was observed over the entire duration of the study in the FluCide™-treated animals. This was not the case for the Oseltamivir-treated animals. This demonstrated that treatment with FluCide drug candidates provided clear and strong protection against lung damage caused by the severe influenza infection. In addition, in this study, these optimized FluCide™ drug candidates achieved 1,000-fold reduction in the levels of infectious virus in the lungs of animals with a lethal level of influenza virus infection. The amount of infectious virus in the lungs of the infected animals treated with three of the optimized FluCide™ nanoviricide drug candidates was reduced by greater than 1000-fold as compared to the infected untreated control animals (p-values < 0.001), four days after virus infection. In contrast, animals treated with Oseltamivir (Tamiflu®, Roche) showed less than a 2-fold reduction in lung viral load at the same time point. This indicated a 500-fold greater reduction in viral load by FluCide drug candidates over Oseltamivir. Of great clinical significance is the fact that 2 of the optimized FluCide™ drug candidates maintained this greatly reduced lung viral load at 7, 13 and 19 days after virus infection in this 21 day study. Thus, treatment with the optimized FluCide drug candidates appeared to protect against the complete cycle of infection, virus expansion and spread of infection in the lungs that follows the initial virus infection. This was not the case for the Oseltamivir-treated animals. Animals treated with Oseltamivir (Tamiflu®, Roche) showed less than a 2-fold reduction in lung viral load at 4 days and the viral load was increased at 7 days to the same level as that found in the infected, untreated control animals shortly before their death.

In September 2011, we announced that we have selected a clinical candidate, designated NV-INF-1, for FDA submission in our highly successful FluCide™ anti-influenza therapeutics program. The Company submitted a pre-IND application to the FDA for this clinical candidate and held a pre-IND meeting with the US FDA in March, 2012. In addition, the Company is planning a high strength “piggy-back infusion” dosage form for hospitalized patients with severe influenza. The Company will continue the development of these two drug candidates towards an IND, based on the guidance it received in the first pre-IND meeting.

The studies of biological testing of materials provide information that is relatively easy to understand and therefore readily reported. In addition, we continue to engage in substantial work that is needed for the optimization of synthesis routes and for the chemical characterization of the nanoviricide drug candidates. We also continue to work on improving the drug candidates and the virus binding ligands where necessary. We continue to work on creating the information needed for the development of controlled chemical synthesis procedures that is vital for developing c-GMP manufacturing processes.

A fundamental PCT patent application, on which the nanoviricides® technology is based, has resulted in additional issued patents in Europe and Korea since our last update in March 2014. As with issuances in other countries including the USA, these patents have been allowed with a very broad range of claims to a large number of families of chemical structure compositions, pharmaceutical compositions, methods of making the same, and uses of the same. The corresponding original “pi-polymer” international application, namely, PCT/US06/01820, was filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) system in 2006. Several other patents have already been granted previously in this patent family in various countries and regions, including Australia, ARIPO, Canada, China, HongKong, Indonesia, Israel, Japan, Mexico, New Zealand, OAPI, Phillipines, Singapore, Vietnam and South Africa, and the USA. Prosecution in several other countries continues. In May 2012, the US Patent (No. 8,173,764) was granted for “Solubilization and Targeted Delivery of Drugs with Self-Assembling Amphiphilic Polymers.” The US patent term is expected to last through October 1, 2028, including anticipated extensions in compensation for time spent in clinical trials. This US Patent has been allowed with a very broad range of claims to a large number of families of chemical structure compositions, pharmaceutical compositions, methods of making the same, and uses of the same. The disclosed structures enable self-assembling, biomimetic nanomedicines. Estimated expiry dates for these patents range nominally from 2026 to 2028 with various extensions accounting for delays in clinical trials. Additional issuances are expected in Europe, and in several other countries around the world.

In addition to this basic PCT application that covers the “pi-polymer” structure itself, another PCT application, PCT/US2007/001607, that discloses making antiviral agents from the TheraCour family of polymers and such structures is in various stages of prosecution in several countries, and has already issued in at least seven countries and regions. The counterparts of the international PCT application have issued as a granted patent in Australia, Japan, China, ARIPO, Mexico, New Zealand, OAPI, Pakistan, and, South Africa to date. Additional issuances are expected in Europe, USA, and in several other countries around the world. This patent application teaches antivirals based on the TheraCour polymeric micelle technologies, their broad structures and compositions of matter, pharmaceutical compositions, methods of making the same, and their uses. The nominal expiry dates are expected to range from 2027 to 2029.

The patents are being issued to the inventors Anil R. Diwan, PhD, Jayant G. Tatake, PhD, and Ann L. Onton, all of who are among the founders of NanoViricides, Inc. The patents have been assigned to AllExcel, Inc., the Company at which the ground-breaking work was performed. AllExcel, Inc. has contractually transferred this intellectual property to TheraCour Pharma, Inc.

NanoViricides, Inc. holds exclusive, worldwide, perpetual, licenses from TheraCour Pharma, Inc. to these technologies and patents for a broad range of antiviral applications and diseases that include all Influenzas including Asian Bird Flu Virus, Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV/AIDS), Hepatitis B Virus (HBV), Hepatitis C Virus (HCV), Herpes Simplex Virus (HSV), Dengue viruses, Rabies virus, Ebola/Marburg viruses, Japanese Encephalitis virus, as well as viruses causing viral Conjunctivitis (a disease of the eye) and ocular herpes. NanoViricides currently holds two licenses in perpetuity to develop and sell drugs for the treatment of these viral diseases. These licenses are provided for all the intellectual property held by TheraCour Pharma, Inc. that relates to our antiviral licensed products. These licenses are not limited to underlying patents, but also include the know-how, trade secrets, and other important knowledge-base that is utilized for developing the drugs and making them successful. In addition, these extremely broad licenses are not limited to some specific chemical structures, but comprise all possible structures that we could deploy against the particular virus, based on these technologies. In addition, the licenses are held in perpetuity by NanoViricides for world-wide use. The licenses are also exclusively provided only to NanoViricides for the licensed products so NanoViricides is the only party that can further sublicense the resulting drugs to another party, if it so desires. TheraCour cannot further license anything in our licensed products areas because of the breadth of the license. The licenses can revert only in the case of a default by NanoViricides. The terms of default are such that effectively TheraCour would be able to take the licenses back only in the event that NanoViricides files bankruptcy or otherwise declares insolvency and inability to conduct its business. This structure is standard in the licensing world as it saves the IP from being blocked from commercialization in lengthy and potentially fragmentary bankruptcy proceedings.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the information contained in the consolidated financial statements of the Company and the notes thereto appearing elsewhere herein and in conjunction with the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations set forth in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended June 30, 2014. Readers should carefully review the risk factors disclosed in this Form 10-K/A and other documents filed by the Company with the SEC.

As used in this report, the terms "Company", "we", "our", "us" and "NNVC" refer to NanoViricides, Inc., a Nevada corporation.

PRELIMINARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. These include statements about our expectations, beliefs, intentions or strategies for the future, which we indicate by words or phrases such as "anticipate," "expect," "intend," "plan," "will," "we believe," "NNVC believes," "management believes" and similar language. The forward-looking statements are based on the current expectations of NNVC and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including those set forth in the discussion under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in this report. Actual results may differ materially from

results anticipated in these forward-looking statements. We base the forward-looking statements on information currently available to us, and we assume no obligation to update them.

Investors are also advised to refer to the information in our previous filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), especially on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K, in which we discuss in more detail various important factors that could cause actual results to differ from expected or historic results. It is not possible to foresee or identify all such factors. As such, investors should not consider any list of such factors to be an exhaustive statement of all risks and uncertainties or potentially inaccurate assumptions

The nanomedicine technologies developed by TheraCour Pharma, Inc. serve as the foundation for our intellectual property. The Company holds a worldwide exclusive perpetual license to this technology for several drugs with specific targeting mechanisms in perpetuity for the treatment of the following human viral diseases: Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV/AIDS), Hepatitis B Virus (HBV), Hepatitis C Virus (HCV), Rabies, Herpes Simplex Virus (HSV), Influenza and Asian Bird Flu Virus. The Company has entered into an Additional License Agreement with TheraCour granting the Company the exclusive licenses in perpetuity for technologies developed by TheraCour for the additional virus types: Dengue viruses, Japanese Encephalitis virus, West Nile Virus, Viruses causing viral Conjunctivitis (a disease of the eye) and Ocular Herpes, and Ebola/Marburg viruses. The Company may want to add further virus types to its drug pipeline. The Company would then need to negotiate with TheraCour an amendment to the Licensing Agreement to include those of such additional viruses that the Company determines it wants to follow for further development. We are seeking to add to our existing portfolio of products through our internal discovery pre-clinical development programs and through an in-licensing strategy.

The Company intends to perform the regulatory filings and own all the regulatory licenses for the drugs it is currently developing. The Company will develop these drugs in part via subcontracts to TheraCour Pharma, Inc., the exclusive source for these nanomaterials. The Company may manufacture these drugs itself, or under subcontract arrangements with external manufacturers that carry the appropriate regulatory licenses and have appropriate capabilities. The Company intends to distribute these drugs via subcontracts with distributor companies or in partnership arrangements. The Company plans to market these drugs either on its own or in conjunction with marketing partners. The Company also plans to actively pursue co-development, as well as other licensing agreements with other Pharmaceutical companies. Such agreements may entail up-front payments, milestone payments, royalties, and/or cost sharing, profit sharing and many other instruments that may bring early revenues to the Company. Such licensing and/or co-development agreements may shape the manufacturing and development options that the company may pursue. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to enter into co-development or other licensing agreements.

To date, we have engaged in organizational activities; developing and sourcing compounds and preparing nano-materials; and experimentation involving preclinical studies using cell cultures and animals. Several of the Company's drug candidates have shown excellent levels of efficacy and preliminary safety in animal studies in many different animal models against many different viruses. The Company determined that its anti-Influenza program, "FluCide™", was the most advanced and obtained and held a pre-IND meeting with the US FDA for the same on March 29, 2012. The Company believes it has gained valuable guidance from the FDA that enables us to develop and execute a product development plan for our anti-influenza drug candidate with the goal of filing an Investigational New Drug (IND) application to the US FDA, and similar applications in other countries in the world.

As the Company's drug candidates progress towards human clinical studies, it has become necessary to enable that they can be produced under "current Good Manufacturing Practices" (cGMP) guidelines of the US FDA, and other applicable international guidelines (such as WHO and ICH guidelines, as well as other country-specific and region-specific guidelines). In the US, the US FDA requires that at least two validated and consistent batches of the drug be produced under cGMP conditions before any human clinical trials can be allowed. Some other countries may allow research product materials for certain phases of human clinical trials. The Company's management has studied the possibilities of contract manufacturing of its drug candidates over the last several years and has concluded that building a small pilot scale manufacturing facility where the special needs of the manufacture of its nanomedicines can be met is the most appropriate solution. This approach provides the highest level of control over the quality of the materials and also keeps the intellectual property of the Company well protected. However, the Company lacked the capital and financial resources to engage into such an expensive project. In 2011, Anil R. Diwan, the Company's co-founder, created a separate entity, Inno-Haven, LLC ("Inno-Haven"), and independently began the development of a nanomedicines manufacturing facility, to serve the interests of a number of pharmaceutical start-up clients as a contract-manufacturing organization (CMO), including NanoViricides, Inc. Inno-Haven purchased an 18,000 sq. ft. light manufacturing building on a 4.2 acre land lot in Shelton, Connecticut in August, 2011. The purchase and related costs were financed by Dr. Diwan. Dr. Diwan had also agreed to provide personal guarantees for potential loans and mortgages which could be drawn for the purpose of financing the building and construction costs.

No lease agreement had been perfected with Inno-Haven. The Company engaged external consultants to help it determine whether it would be more economically beneficial to the Company to either lease this facility or to purchase it outright from Inno-Haven, or to seek a different facility. Subsequently, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the acquisition of 1 Controls Drive from InnoHaven, LLC for the total costs and capital invested by Inno-Haven in acquiring and fitting out 1 Controls Drive. Total rent expense paid to Inno-Haven during this period amounted to \$0- for the three month periods ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. On December 31, 2014, the Company closed on the purchase of the property located in Shelton, Connecticut. The purchase price of this 18,000 sq. ft, cGMP compliant laboratory and clean room facility consisted of the repayment of Inno-Haven's acquisition and renovation expenses of \$4,222,458.54 and its closing costs of \$77,480.22.

The Company does not currently have any revenue. All of the Company's products are in development stage and require successful development through regulatory processes before commercialization. We have generated funding through the issuances of debt and private placement of common stock and also the sale of our registered securities. The Company does not currently have any long term debt, other than convertible debentures as disclosed earlier. We have not generated any revenues and we may not be able to generate revenues in the near future. We may not be successful in developing our drugs and start selling our products when planned, or we may not become profitable in the future. We have incurred net losses in each fiscal period since inception of our operations.

The Company's Drug Pipeline

We currently have, in early, active development, (1) an Injectable FluCide™ for hospitalized patients with severe influenza; (2) Oral FluCide™ for outpatient – both of these drug candidates are expected to be active against Epidemic Influenzas including the current novel H1N1/2009 "Swine flu" virus, H5N1 and other Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenzas (H5N, H7N, H9N HPAI, Bird Flu), as well as common seasonal human Influenzas; (3) HIVCide, a potential "Functional Cure that is active against both the R5 and X4 strains of HIV, (4) Eye drops against viral diseases of the eye such as Epidemic Kerato-Conjunctivitis (EKC) and Herpes Keratitis, (5) HerpeCide against Herpes virus cold sores and genital Herpes, and (6) DengueCide against Dengue viruses.

In addition, the Company restarted its anti-Ebola nanoviricide drug program in response to the current epidemic. We hope that this program may lead to an effective drug against Ebola virus. Drug candidates based on the mechanisms by which the Ebola virus binds to and enters the cell have been synthesized and have been sent for testing to an external laboratory in late January, 2015, subsequent to the reporting period. We await the results of these tests.

Currently there are no drugs or vaccines against Ebola. However, several drug candidates are being explored and some have entered field clinical trials. Recently, Chimerix Inc. announced that it has stopped the field clinical trial of its anti-herpes drug candidate, namely brincidofovir, against Ebola after treating approximately ten patients. In addition, in a new publication in the journal mBio (Kugelman, et al. mBio 2015;6(1):e02227-14), scientists at USAMRIID,

Harvard University, and Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) studied genetic changes in the Ebola virus (EBOV) circulating in West Africa, and concluded that genomic drift of the EBOV over time may be sufficient to block the action of otherwise potential therapies that target EBOV genetic sequences. The types of potential drugs at risk include monoclonal antibodies and small-interfering RNA (siRNA) that are scheduled to be evaluated during the current outbreak. This casts doubt as to how effective, if at all, zMAPP (MAPP Bio, an antibody cocktail), TKM-Ebola drug candidate from TEKIRA (an siRNA cocktail), and the Sarepta drug candidate (a modified oligonucleotide cocktail), would be expected to be in the current outbreak. Hemispherx recently announced that its two drugs, Alferon®-N (a mixed natural interferon alpha injection), and Ampligen® (a double-stranded RNA), were found to be effective in cell cultures and that Ampligen protected 100% of ebola infected mice in a study conducted by USAMRIID scientists. However, both of these drugs act by exciting the human immune response, and therefore, their effectiveness in severely ill Ebola patients can only be assessed in the field. BioCryst is developing a broad-spectrum viral RNA Polymerase inhibitor against Ebola and other viruses. This drug, BCX-4430, is in Phase I human clinical trials. A related drug, Avigan® (favipravir, or T-705), (being developed now by FujiFilm), has shown promising results in Ebola infected patients in the field. Of 69 patients treated, only in a subset, i.e. those patients who had limited viral load, Avigan reduced mortality to 15% from the historical control value of 30% mortality in the same two hospital sites treating the patients, according to a recent news release (http://www.nytimes.com/2015/02/05/science/ebola-drug-has-encouraging-early-results-and-questions-follow.html?_r=1). The effect of the superior case management protocols employed in connection with the treatment need to be removed from the analysis to evaluate the actual effect of the drug in such weak but promising signals. Nevertheless, the trial has been expanded and favipravir may be made more widely available to patients. In contrast, a clinical trial of one of the Ebola vaccine candidates was stopped in Phase I, another ebola vaccine candidate is in clinical trial at present, but statisticians have raised doubts about these clinical trials in terms of producing statistically definitive results. Nevertheless, a vaccine would require vaccination of thousands of times the number of people actually affected by the disease, and given the EBOV mutation effects, vaccines of this cycle can no longer be expected to be effective to provide immunity against a future epidemic strain, similar to what is widely known in the case of influenza.

Thus development of a highly effective therapeutic against Ebola and related viruses such as Marburg remains an important and urgent unmet medical need. We believe that NanoViricides is well poised to re-enter this field and should be able to develop an effective drug rapidly, possibly in a timeline responsive to the current epidemic.

The epidemic and pandemic potential as well as the constantly changing nature of influenza viruses is well known. The HIV/AIDS worldwide epidemic and the “curse of slow death” nature of HIV viral infection is also well known. Adenoviral Epidemic Kerato-Conjunctivitis (EKC) is a severe pink eye disease that may lead to blurry vision in certain patients after recovery. Herpes simplex viral infections cause keratitis of the eye, and severe cases of infection may sometimes necessitate corneal transplants. Oral and genital herpes is also a well known disease. Dengue viral infection is also known as “break-bone fever”. What is worse, that when a patient is infected with a dengue virus a second time, if the virus is a different serotype, then it can cause a severe dengue disease, or dengue hemorrhagic syndrome, with very high morbidity and a high rate of fatality. This is because, the patient’s immune system mounts an attack, but the antibodies that it generates, directed at the previous infecting virus, are not effective against the new infection, and instead the new infecting virus uses them to hitch a ride into host cells that it infects more severely. This phenomenon is called “Antibody-Dependent Enhancement” or “ADE” for short.

In addition, we initiated a research program for the development of a nanoviricide against the MERS Coronavirus (“Middle East Respiratory Syndrome”). MERS is a new coronavirus similar to the SARS virus. It first appeared in 2012 in the Middle East. Since then, about 400 cases have been reported to the World Health Organization; about a third have been fatal. While it has not spread easily between humans, there have been outbreaks within families and in hospitals, where patients have infected paramedics, nurses and doctors, reported the New York Times (http://www.nytimes.com/2014/05/03/health/mers-virus-found-in-united-states-for-first-time.html?_r=0). The high fatality rate implies that if the virus changes such that its human-to-human transmission is more successful, then this virus can cause significant public health problem, including a potential epidemic. There are no known drugs or vaccines against the MERS coronavirus. No small animal models for testing MERS therapeutics were available until recently. Perlman and collaborators have recently reported a mouse model (<http://www.pnas.org/content/early/2014/03/05/1323279111.abstract>). In this model, mice were infected with adenovirus carrying the DPP-IV gene to make them susceptible to the MERS virus.

We were able to create potentially useful drug candidates against MERS-CoV in a very rapid time frame, of less than two months, which included synthesis scale-up to multi-gram quantities. This demonstrates how rapidly drugs can be developed using nanoviricides technology. The MERS epidemic did not expand further and therefore we have put this program on the back burner.

Both the safety and effectiveness of any drug has to be determined experimentally. The safety of a nanoviricide drug is expected to depend upon the safety of the nanomicelle portion as well as the safety of the antiviral ligand. If one of drug candidates results in a viable clinical candidate, this may be the fastest timeframe in which an antiviral drug has been designed, and advanced to clinical candidate level.

Given the high fatality rate associated with MERS infections, and small numbers of cases, the regulatory pathway for approval processes is uncertain. However, progress has been made with fatal diseases such as Ebola in defining clinical pathways, and we anticipate similar development model to be applied for MERS, if we restart this R&D program in the future.

We also have research programs against Rabies virus, Ebola/Marburg family of viruses, as well as other Viral hemorrhagic fevers. We also have a research program called ADIF^(TM) “Accurate-Drug-In-Field”, that we believe is the only way to combat a novel viral threat right in the field before it becomes an epidemic like SARS, bird flu H5N1, Ebola, or other viral outbreak. The Company’s ability to achieve progress in the drugs in development is dependent upon available financing and upon the Company’s ability to raise capital. The Company will negotiate with TheraCour to obtain licenses for additional viral diseases as necessary. However, there can be no assurance that TheraCour will agree to license these materials to the Company, or to do so on terms that are favorable to the Company.

Research and Development Costs

The Company does not maintain separate accounting line items for each project in development. The Company maintains aggregate expense records for all research and development conducted. Because at this time all of the Company's projects share a common core material, the Company allocates expenses across all projects at each period-end for purposes of providing accounting basis for each project. Project costs are allocated based upon labor hours performed for each project.

The Company has signed several cooperative research and development agreements with different agencies and institutions. The Company expects to enter into additional cooperative agreements with other governmental and non-governmental, academic, or commercial, agencies, institutions, and companies. There can be no assurance that a final agreement may be achieved and that the Company will execute any of these agreements. However, should any of these agreements materialize, the Company will implement a system to track these costs by project and account for these projects as customer-sponsored activities and show these project costs separately.

Requirement for Additional Capital

As of December 31, 2014, we have current assets plus security deposits of approximately \$39.6 million that is more than sufficient to fund our operations through more than two years or December 31, 2016, at the Company's current rate of expenditure, and including the projected expenditure for certain human clinical trials.

With our current funds we believe that we have sufficient funding available to perform Toxicology Package studies, and additional animal efficacy studies, to move at least one of our drug candidates into an Investigational New Drug Application ("IND") with the US FDA or a similar application with an international regulatory agency, and to conduct Phase I and Phase IIa human clinical trials of at least one of our drug candidates. In order to file an IND application, we also need to enable manufacturing of the drug under US FDA guidelines called cGMP. This was the underlying basis for the purchase of the cGMP manufacturing and R&D facility in Shelton, CT. This facility will enable cGMP manufacture of all of our drug substances. .

We believe that we may also have sufficient additional funding in hand to take at least one more drug candidate into an IND application stage. These estimates are based on various preliminary discussions and "soft" quotes from contract research organizations that provide pre-clinical and clinical studies support. The estimates are also based on certain time estimates for achievement of various objectives. If we miss these time estimates or if the actual costs of the development are greater than the early estimates we have at present, our drug development cost estimates may be substantially greater than anticipated now. In that case, we may have to re-prioritize our programs and/or seek additional funding. Also, additional funding, if available, will allow us to move our other drug candidates towards IND filings. These additional funds will be needed to pay for additional personnel, increased subcontract costs related to the expansion and further development of our drug pipeline, and for additional capital and operational expenditures required to file IND applications. We will accelerate our business plans provided that we can obtain such additional funding. We believe that we currently have adequate financing for our current business plan of operations.

The Company does not have direct experience in taking a drug through human clinical trials. In addition, we depend upon external collaborators, service providers and consultants for much of our drug development work. As such our projections and estimates may be significantly off from actual future results both in terms of timeline and in terms of cost budgets.

We anticipate that we will incur the following additional expenses over the next 24 months:

1. Research and Development of \$12,000,000: Planned costs for in-vivo and in-vitro studies for pan-influenza FluCide, Eye nanoviricide, HIVCide, HerpeCide, Dengue, MERS-CoV, and Ebola/Marburg and Rabies programs.
2. Corporate overhead of \$4,000,000: This amount includes budgeted office salaries, legal, accounting, investor relations, public relations, and other costs expected to be incurred by being a public reporting company.

3. Capital costs of \$2,000,000: This is the estimated cost for equipment and laboratory improvements.

4. Staffing costs of \$4,000,000: This is the estimated cost of hiring additional scientific staff and consulting firms to assist with FDA compliance, material characterization, pharmaco-kinetic, pharmaco-dynamic and toxicology studies, and other items related to FDA compliance, as required for development of necessary data for filing an Investigational New Drug with the United States Food and Drug Administration.

5. If and when we initiate human clinical trials for Injectable FluCide, we anticipate approximately \$2 million in total costs for the Phase I clinical trials, and approximately \$5 million for the Phase IIa (virus challenge human efficacy study) clinical trials. In a subsequent year, if Phase I and Phase IIa are successful, we anticipate approximately \$10 million for Phase IIb human clinical trials. These estimates are based on rough quotes from potential investigators, and assumptions relative to additional costs. These estimates assume that FluCide is highly effective and therefore would require relatively few patients in each arm of the each trial in order to establish statistically significant results.

We therefore believe that we have sufficient funds in hand to take Injectable FluCide through the initial human clinical trials.

Due to its current cash position, in addition to certain clinical trials for FluCide and another drug candidate, the Company anticipates that it will also be able to expedite development of its other drug candidates, namely, DengueCide, Oral FluCide, HerpeCide™, HIVCide™, and EKCCide™ into the FDA approval process. In addition, the Company was also able to undertake R&D for a nanoviricide against the Ebola virus. We do not anticipate advancing an anti-Ebola drug candidate, if successful, into traditional regulatory approval pathway at present. We believe that the current emergency and urgency of the Ebola epidemic in West Africa has opened emergency use avenues for first-in-human application and studies of anti-Ebola drugs in West Africa, as announced by the WHO, and based on recent advancement of certain drug candidates into human use against Ebola. We believe that, if successful, we will then be able to attract funding from non-dilutive sources such as government grants and contracts, as well as from charitable organizations, for advancing a potential anti-Ebola nanoviricide into further drug development into the normal drug development pathway for a lethal disease, which follows the US FDA Animal Rule model.

The Company anticipates it will have sufficient access to capital even if it decides to develop FluCide through Phase III on its own. The Company believes it will continue to be able to successfully raise financing as needed. If we are unable to obtain additional financing, our business plan will be significantly delayed.

The Company has limited experience with pharmaceutical drug development. Thus, our budget estimates are not based on experience, but rather based on advice given by our associates and consultants. As such these budget estimates may not be accurate. In addition, the actual work to be performed is not known at this time, other than a

broad outline, as is normal with any scientific work. As further work is performed, additional work may become necessary or change in plans or workload may occur. Such changes may have an adverse impact on our estimated budget. Such changes may also have an adverse impact on our projected timeline of drug development.

We believe that this coming year's work-plan will lead us to obtain certain information about the safety and efficacy of some of the drugs under development in animal models. If our studies are not successful, we will have to develop additional drug candidates and perform further studies. If our studies are successful, then we expect to be able to undertake further studies in animal models to obtain necessary data regarding the pharmaco-kinetic and pharmaco-dynamic profiles of our drug candidates. We believe these data will then enable us to file an Investigational New Drug Application, towards the goal of obtaining FDA approval for testing the drugs in human patients.

Most pharmaceutical companies expect 4 to 10 years of study to be required before a drug candidate reaches the IND stage. We believe that because we are working in the infectious agents area, our studies will have objective response end points, and most of our studies will be of relatively short durations. Our business plan is based on these assumptions. If we find that we have underestimated the time duration of our studies, or we have to undertake additional studies, due to various reasons within or outside of our control, this will grossly and adversely impact both our timelines and our financing requirements.

Ability to manufacture our novel nanomedicines under cGMP conditions has been a limiting factor for us in advancing our drug candidates towards clinical trials. Once the Shelton facility is fully going and once we have produced several batches of at least one of our drug candidates at this facility under cGMP conditions, we will also have the ability to quickly develop cGMP manufacture of our other drug candidates. We believe that this will then enable us to move our drug candidates into clinical trials in rapid succession, limited only by the funding available and the personnel constraints that arise in small start-up pharma companies such as ours.

Management intends to use capital and debt financing, as required, to fund the Company's operations. Management also intends to pursue non-diluting funding sources such as government grants and contracts as well as licensing agreements with other pharmaceutical companies. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain the additional capital resources necessary to fund its anticipated obligations beyond December 31, 2016. The Company currently has no long term debt other than the convertible debentures as disclosed.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and commodity prices. We currently have no foreign operations and are not exposed to foreign currency fluctuations. Our primary exposure to market risk is interest rate risk associated with our short term cash equivalent investments, which the Company deems to be non-material. The Company does not have any financial instruments held for trading or other speculative purposes and does not invest in derivative financial instruments, interest rate swaps or other investments that alter interest rate exposure. The Company does not have any credit facilities with variable interest rates.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive and chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Management has designed our disclosure controls and procedures to provide reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives.

As required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(b), we have carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2014.

(a) Based upon an evaluation of the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures, our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") originally concluded that as of the end of the period covered by the Annual Report on Form 10-K our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the rules and forms of the SEC and is accumulated and communicated to management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. However, in connection with the preparation of our unaudited financial statements for the quarter ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, we determined that in preparing our audited financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2014, we inadvertently overlooked the

anti-dilution provisions in certain warrants issued in connection with the company's private placements of securities. Specifically, the warrants issued contained certain anti-dilution ratchet provisions that provided for an adjustment to the exercise price of the warrants if the company issued any stock equivalent securities at a lower price in the future while the option was still outstanding.

Also in connection with the preparation of our unaudited financial statements for the quarter ended December 31, 2014 we determined that in preparing our unaudited financial statements for the quarter ended September 30, 2014, we inadvertently did not recognize a single compound embedded derivative included with the issuer's redemption rights in the Series C Convertible Debenture and the holders conversion right to receive coupon interest in common stock of the issuer. The Series C Convertible Debentures were issued on July 2, 2014. The Company has restated its financial statements for the three month period ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

(b) Changes in internal control over financial reporting. The Company has established an independent Board of Directors comprising three independent members. Under this Board the Company has established an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee, a Nomination Committee, and an Executive Committee. The Company has met or exceeded corporate governance standards of the NYSE MKT, a national exchange. On September 25, 2013, the Company's common stock was listed and began trading on the NYSE MKT.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a- 15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("GAAP"). We recognize that because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

Management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2014. To evaluate the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, management used the criteria described in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the “COSO Framework”). Based on its evaluation under the *Internal Control - Evaluation Framework*, management originally concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of June 30, 2014.

In connection with the restatement discussed in Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements included in this Form 10-Q/A, management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, reassessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2014. Based on this reassessment, using the COSO criteria, management has concluded that we did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2014 due to a deficiency in the controls over the review of accounting for complex debt and equity transactions. Management concluded that this deficiency was a material weakness as defined in the Securities and Exchange Commission regulations. This control deficiency resulted in the misstatement of the Company's derivative liability and the related financial disclosures for the year ended June 30, 2014 and the restatement of the unaudited financial information for the quarter ended September 30, 2014. The Company has restated the Company's financial statements for the three and six months ended December 31, 2013. The results of which are reported in this Form 10Q at Note 2 to the financial statements.

Remediation Plan

We are in the process of remediating this material weakness by, among other things, implementing a process of enhanced review of all financial transactions including engagement of outside specialists to evaluate our financial transactions as they arise. The actions that we will be taking are subject to ongoing senior management review and Audit Committee oversight. Management believes the foregoing efforts when fully implemented will effectively remediate the material weakness recognized while preparing our unaudited financial statements for the fourth quarter of 2014. As we continue to evaluate and work to improve our internal control over financial reporting, management may execute additional measures to address potential control deficiencies or modify the remediation plan described above and will continue to review and make necessary changes to the overall design of our internal controls.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no material changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a- 15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred as of December 31, 2014, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, we may be a party to legal proceedings in the ordinary course of our business in addition to those described below. We do not, however, expect such other legal proceedings to have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

On or about January 18, 2012, the Nevada Agency and Transfer Company, as agent for service of process for the Company in Nevada, was served with a Summons and Complaint in the case entitled Yidam, Ltd. v. Eugene Seymour, Anil Diwan, and NanoViricides, Inc. (Case No. A-12-654437-B) answerable in the Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada – Clark County (“Court”). The Complaint sought to compel inspection of the Company’s books and records. The Complaint further sought unspecified “injunctive relief” in furtherance of the demand for inspection to which it is not entitled. The Complaint by a holder of less than 1 percent of the common stock of the Company sought, inter alia, inspect documents and records of the company to which it is not entitled and in a form and manner the Company argues is not authorized by statute. Management believed that this lawsuit had no merit or basis. Monetary damages had not been claimed and as a result no accrual had been made in relation to this litigation. This action was dismissed by the court or withdrawn several times in a series of repetitive complaints.

The Company has vigorously defended this lawsuit. Following cross-motions for summary judgment and certain discovery as limited by the court, the parties engaged in a settlement conference in March 2014, at which time an agreement was reached resolving the parties’ disputes in this matter and an identical repetitive complaint the same Plaintiff had filed with the United States District Court for the District of Colorado. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the Company deposited \$150,000 with its attorney to be released to reimburse the Plaintiff for a portion of its litigation expenses. However, disagreements later arose regarding the negotiated resolution, precipitating, among other things, the Company’s application for court intervention and repeated status hearings. Final settlement documents were fully exchanged on September 11, 2014. Upon the Plaintiff’s compliance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Company authorized counsel to release the reimbursement amount to the Plaintiff. An order dismissing the foregoing lawsuit was entered on September 16, 2014.

There are no other legal proceedings against the Company to the best of the Company’s knowledge as of the date hereof and to the Company’s knowledge, no action, suit or proceeding has been threatened against the Company.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

On September 5, 2014, NanoViricides, Inc. (the “Company”) accepted notices to exercise old warrants for the purchase of an aggregate of 2,136,655 shares of the Company’s common stock at the exercise price of \$3.50 per share for aggregate proceeds of \$7,478,292.50. On July 17, 2014, the Company filed a registration statement on Form S-3 (the “Form S-3”) registering an aggregate of 3,071,986 shares of common stock underlying warrants previously issued by the Company in various private placement offerings between 2005 and September 2009, (“old warrants”) as described more fully in the Form S-3 (the “Registered Warrants”). The Form S-3 was declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 1, 2014. As of August 15, 2014, any Registered Warrants as specified above and not previously exercised have expired.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
------------------------	--------------------

31.1	Rule 13(a)-14(a)/15(d)-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
------	---

31.2 Rule 13(a)-14(a)/15(d)-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer

32.1 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer

32.2 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

49

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NANOIRICIDES, INC.

/s/ Eugene Seymour, MD

Dated: February 23, 2015 Name: Eugene Seymour, M.D.
Title: Chief Executive Officer and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Meeta Vyas

Dated: February 23, 2015 Name: Meeta Vyas
Title: Chief Financial Officer
(Chief Financial Officer)