Chembio Diagnostics Inc. Form SB-2/A August 04, 2004

Registration No. 333-116219

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO FORM SB-2

REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. (Name of small business issuer in its charter)

Nevada 6282 88-0425691
(State or Jurisdiction of Incorporation or (Primary Standard Industrial Classification (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

(State or Jurisdiction of Incorporation or (Primary Standard Industrial Classification (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number organization)

Code Number)

3661 Horseblock Road
Medford, New York 11763
(631) 924-1135
(Address and telephone number of principal executive offices)

Lawrence A. Siebert
Medford, New York 11763
(631) 924-1135
(Name, address and telephone number of agent for service)

Copy of all communications to:
Alan Talesnick, Esq.
David McLean, Esq.
Patton Boggs LLP
1660 Lincoln Street, Suite 1900
Denver, Colorado 80264
(303) 894-6378

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public: As soon as practicable after this registration statement becomes effective.

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. []

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. []

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. []

If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, other than securities offered only in connection with dividend or interest reinvestment plans, check the following box. [X]

If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant to Rule 434, please check the following box. []

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

Title Of Each Class of Securities To Be Registered	Amount To Be Registered	Proposed Maximum Offering Price Per Unit	Proposed Maximum Aggregate Offering Price	Amount Of Registration Fee
common stock (2)	21,534,808	\$1.55	\$33,378,952	\$4,230

- (1) Estimated solely for purposes of calculating the registration fee in accordance with Rule 457(c) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Act), based on the average of the bid and asked prices for the Registrant s common stock as reported on the NASDAQ OTC Bulletin Board on June 1, 2004.
- (2) Includes (i) up to 6,031,868 shares issuable upon the conversion of 120.63750 shares of the Registrant s 8% series A convertible preferred stock, (ii) up to 9,438,827 shares issuable upon the exercise of outstanding warrants and (iii) up to 1,084,000 shares issuable upon the exercise of outstanding options.
- (3) \$4,090 was already paid pursuant to the initial filing of this registration statement on June 4, 2004.

THE REGISTRANT HEREBY AMENDS THIS REGISTRATION STATEMENT ON SUCH DATE OR DATES AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO DELAY ITS EFFECTIVE DATE UNTIL THE REGISTRANT SHALL FILE A FURTHER AMENDMENT WHICH SPECIFICALLY STATES THAT THIS REGISTRATION STATEMENT SHALL THEREAFTER BECOME EFFECTIVE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 8(A) OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933 OR UNTIL THIS REGISTRATION STATEMENT SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE ON SUCH DATE AS THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, ACTING PURSUANT TO SAID SECTION 8(A), MAY DETERMINE.

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. The selling security holders may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and neither the selling security holders nor we are soliciting offers to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

SUBJECT TO COMPLETION, DATED AUGUST 3, 2004 PROSPECTUS CHEMBIO DIAGNOSTICS, INC. 21.534.808 SHARES OF COMMON STOCK

This prospectus relates to the sale by certain stockholders of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. of up to 21,534,808 shares of our common stock which they own, or which they may at a later date acquire upon the conversion of shares of our 8% series A convertible preferred stock or upon the exercise of warrants and options to purchase shares of our common stock.

Our common stock is quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol CEMI On July 22, 2004 the closing bid and ask prices for one share of our common stock were \$1.33 and \$1.54, respectively, as reported by the OTC Bulletin Board website. These over-the-counter quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

These securities are speculative and involve a high degree of risk. You should consider carefully the Risk factors beginning on Page 2 of this prospectus before making a decision to purchase our stock.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or passed upon the adequacy or accuracy of this prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this prospectus is July 3, 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Prospectus Summary

Risk Factors

Use of Proceeds

Dilution

Selling Security Holders

Plan of Distribution

Legal Proceedings

Directors, Executive Officers and Control Persons

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

Description of Securities

Interest of Named Experts and Counsel

Disclosure of Commission Position of Indemnification for Securities Act Liabilities

Description of Business

Management s Discussion and Analysis and Plan of Operation

Description of Property

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

Executive Compensation

Financial Statements

Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Additional Information

201262v10

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights selected information contained elsewhere in this prospectus. You should read the entire prospectus carefully before making an investment decision.

Overview

Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. was formed in 1985. Since its inception, Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. has been involved in developing, manufacturing, selling and distributing tests, including rapid tests, for a number of diseases and for pregnancy. On May 5, 2004, Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. completed a merger through which it became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Trading Solutions.com, Inc. and through which the management and business of Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. became the management and business of Trading Solutions.com, Inc. changed its name to Chembio Diagnostics, Inc.

Our Business

We are a manufacturer of lateral flow rapid diagnostic tests that detect infectious diseases. Our main products and products under development are as follows:

Existing or Proposed Product	Regulatory Status	Development Status	Partners Involved in the Development or Marketing of the Products
Pak). Rapid Tests for detection of antibodies to HIV 1 and 2 in whole blood.	We currently qualify under aU.S. FDA export regulations to sell, subject to any required approval by the importing country, to customers outside the U.S. To date we have received approval from a number of potential importing countries, although Brazil is the only country in which we have significant sales. In addition, we have commenced clinical trials for Sure Check and HIV Stat Pak in US for FDA approval for sales in the U.S.		Thirteen-year supply and technology transfer agreement with FIOCRUZ-Bio-Manguinhos, a division of the Ministry of Health of Brazil. FIOCRUZ-Bio-Manguinhos will supply product to Brazilian public health market and potentially other markets in the region. Other marketing partners are being actively pursued.
Bovine Spongeiform	FUpon completion of product it will be submitted for US and European regulatory approval which we expect will occur in 2005.	Product under development. We are waiting to complete technology transfer with Prionics.	Prionics AG, Zurich, Switzerland has contracted with Chembio to provide manufacturing services. Prionics will exclusively market product directly and through its designated distributors. Prionics provides certain components to Chembio
	Regulatory submission will be made in 2005 if product development is satisfactorily completed and in accordance with development timetable	-	Ivoclar-Vivadent, AG, Schaan Liechtenstein will exclusively market product and is the exclusive licensee of patented antibodies being incorporated by Chembio in product development
Rapid diagnostic test for detection of antibodies to active pulmonary tuberculosis in human whole blood samples		Product validation completed.	Public Health Research Institute, Newark, NJ provided initial research collaboration on product development, but will not be involved in the marketing of the product.
the detection of antigens	Regulatory submission plan and timetable not possible until further progress on product development is made.	Product under development pursuant to grant from the World Health Organization.	None.

Rapid diagnostic test for Will be submitted for Product validation the detection of regulatory approvals in the US completed.

antibodies to active in 2005.

pulmonary tuberculosis in non-human primate whole blood samples

Private Label Pregnancy Cleared for marketing by FDA. Completed.

Tests

Sequella Corporation, Rockville, Maryland is funding product development and clinical testing costs. Chembio will market this product directly and/or through

distributors.

Independent and regional drug store chains and distributors thereto in select markets.

Our principal executive offices are located at 3661 Horseblock Road, Medford, New York 11763. Our telephone number is (631) 924-1135. Our website address is www.chembio.com.

The Offering

By means of this prospectus, a number of our stockholders are offering to sell up to 4,980,113 shares of common stock which they own, up to 6,031,868 shares of common stock which they may at a later date acquire upon the conversion of our series A preferred stock, and up to 10,522,827 shares of common stock which they may at a later date acquire upon the exercise of warrants and/or options. In this prospectus, we refer to these persons as the selling security holders.

As of June 1, 2004, we had 6,417,908 shares of common stock issued and outstanding, which includes shares offered by this prospectus. The number of outstanding shares of common stock does not give effect to common stock which may be issued pursuant to the conversion of our series A preferred stock and the exercise of options and/or warrants previously issued by Chembio Diagnostics, Inc.

We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of common stock by the selling security holders pursuant to this prospectus.

Summary Financial Data

The following table presents summary pro forma financial information for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 to illustrate the effects of the acquisition of Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc., as if the merger transaction between Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. and Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. had occurred at the beginning of the respective periods presented and therefore assumes that proceeds of the financings were expended in the periods presented, and that costs and expense associated with the merger and associated financings were incurred in the periods presented, all as set forth in the notes to our unaudited pro forma financial statements. The unaudited pro forma financial statements and our audited financial statements are set forth on page F-1 of this prospectus, and you should read this information for a more complete understanding of the presentation of this information.

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2004	Year Ended December 31, 2003
Revenue	585,312	2,818,351
Operating Expenses	520,523	1,605,975
Net Loss	(510,458)	(1,300,911)
Current Assets	3,402,141	n/a
Total Assets	3,830,857	n/a
Current Liabilities	1,459,039	n/a
Total Liabilities	2,200,341	n/a
Stockholders Equity	1,630,516	n/a

RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider each of the following risk factors and all of the other information provided in this prospectus before purchasing our common stock. The risks described below are those we currently believe may materially affect us. An investment in our common stock involves a high degree of risk, and should be considered only by persons who can afford the loss of their entire investment.

Risks related to our industry, business and strategy

Because we may not be able to obtain necessary regulatory approvals for some of our products, we may not generate revenues in the amounts we expect, or in the amounts necessary to continue our business.

All of our proposed and existing products are subject to regulation in the United States by the United States Food and Drug Administration, the United States Department of Agriculture and/or other domestic and international governmental, public health agencies, regulatory bodies or non-governmental organizations. In particular, we are subject to strict governmental controls on the development, manufacture, labeling, distribution and marketing of our products. The process of obtaining required approvals or clearances varies according to the nature of, and uses for, a specific product. These processes can involve lengthy and detailed laboratory testing, human or animal clinical trials, sampling activities, and other costly, time-consuming procedures. The submission of an application to a regulatory authority does not guarantee that the authority will grant an approval or clearance for product. Each authority may impose its own requirements and can delay or refuse to grant approval or clearance, even though a product has been approved in another country.

The time taken to obtain approval or clearance varies depending on the nature of the application and may result in the passage of a significant period of time from the date of submission of the application. Delays in the approval or clearance processes increase the risk that we will not succeed in introducing or selling the subject products as we may determine to devote our resources to different products.

Changes in government regulations could increase our costs and could require us to undergo additional trials or procedures, or could make it impractical or impossible for us to market our products for certain uses, in certain markets, or at all.

Changes in government regulations may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations because we may have to incur additional expenses if we are required to change or implement new manufacturing and control procedures. If we are required to devote resources to develop new procedures, we may not have sufficient resources to devote to research and development, marketing, or other activities which are critical to our business.

For example, the European Union and other jurisdictions have recently established a requirement that diagnostic medical devices used to test human biological specimens must receive regulatory approval known as a CE mark, or be registered under the ISO 13.485 medical device directive. The letters CE are the abbreviation of the French phrase Conforme Européene which means European conformity . ISO (International Organization for Standardization) is the world s largest developer of standards with 148 member countries. As such, export to the European and other jurisdictions without the CE or ISO 13.485 mark is not possible. Although we are not currently selling products to countries requiring CE marking, we expect that we will do so in the near future in order to grow our business. We are in the process of implementing quality and documentary procedures in order to obtain CE and 13.485 registration, and we are not aware of any material reason why such approvals will not be granted. However, if for any reason CE or ISO 13.485 registration is not granted, our ability to export our products could be adversely impacted.

We can manufacture and sell our products only if we comply with regulations of government agencies such as the FDA and USDA. We have implemented a quality system that is intended to comply with applicable regulations. Although FDA approval is not required for the export of our products, there are export regulations promulgated by the FDA that specifically relate to the export of our products. Although we believe that we meet the regulatory standards required for the export of our products, these regulations could change in a manner that could adversely impact our ability to export our products.

Our products may not be able to compete with new diagnostic products or existing products developed by well-established competitors which would negatively affect our business.

The diagnostic industry is focused on the testing of biological specimens in a laboratory or at the point-of-care and is highly competitive and rapidly changing. Our principal competitors often have considerably greater financial, technical and marketing resources than we do. Several companies produce diagnostic tests that compete directly with our testing product line, including but not limited to Abbott Laboratories, Orasure Technologies, Inverness Medical and Trinity Biotech. As new products enter the market, our products may become obsolete or a competitor s products may be more effective or more effectively marketed and sold than ours. If we fail to maintain and enhance our competitive position, our customers may decide to use products developed by competitors which could result in a loss of revenues.

In addition, the point-of-care diagnostics industry is undergoing rapid technological changes, with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. As new technologies become introduced into the point-of-care diagnostic testing market, we may be required to commit considerable additional efforts, time and resources to enhance our current product portfolio or develop new products. We may not have the available time and resources to accomplish this and many of our competitors have substantially greater financial and other resources to invest in technological improvements. We may not be able to effectively implement new technology-driven products and services or be successful in marketing these products and services to our customers, which would materially harm our operating results.

New developments in health treatments or new non-diagnostic products may reduce or eliminate the demand for our products.

The development and commercialization of products outside of the diagnostics industry could adversely affect sales of our product. For example, the development of a safe and effective vaccine to HIV or treatments for other diseases or conditions that our products are designed to detect, could reduce, or eventually eliminate, the demand for our HIV or other diagnostic products and result in a loss of

revenues.

We may not have sufficient resources to effectively introduce and market our products, which could materially harm our operating results

Introducing and achieving market acceptance for our rapid HIV tests and other new products will require substantial marketing efforts and will require us or our contract partners to make significant expenditures. We have no history upon which to base market or customer acceptance of these products. In some instances we will be totally reliant on the marketing efforts and expenditures of our contract partners. If they do not have the expertise and resources to effectively market the products that we manufacture, our operating results will be materially harmed.

If we lose our funding from research and development grants, we may not be able to fund future research and development and implement technological improvements, which would materially harm our operating results.

We received \$275,730 or 9.78% of our revenues in 2003 and \$91,342 or 15.61% of our revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2004 from grant and contract development work in connection with grants from the United States National Institute of Health, as well as from universities and commercial companies related to product development efforts for our tuberculosis, mad cow, and dental bacteria rapid test development work. These revenues have funded some of our personnel and other research and developmental costs and expenses for us. As a result of new grants and development contracts awarded to collaborative partners by the National Institute of Health and to us by the World Health Organization and other entities, revenue from funding grants is anticipated to increase in 2004. However, if these awards are not funded in their entirety or if new grants and contracts are not awarded in the future, our ability to fund future research and development and implement technological improvements would be jeopardized which would negatively impact our ability to compete in our industry.

The success of our business depends on our ability to raise additional capital through the sale of debt or equity or through borrowing, and we may not be able to raise capital or borrow funds in amounts necessary to continue our business, or at all.

We believe that our current cash balances, together with cash generated from operations, will be sufficient to fund operations for the next 12 months. However, this estimate is based on certain assumptions and we may face additional unanticipated expenses. We currently anticipate that we will be required to sell additional equity or debt securities or obtain additional credit facilities within 12 months. Any additional equity financing will result in dilution to existing shareholders. If we are unable to obtain financing on satisfactory terms, we will not be able to effectively carry out our business plan.

Our objective of increasing international sales is critical to our business plan and if we fail to meet this objective, we may not generate revenues in the amounts we expect, or in amounts necessary to continue our business.

We intend to attempt to increase international sales of our products. A number of factors can slow or prevent international sales, or substantially increase the cost of international sales, including:

- regulatory and requirements and customs regulations;
- cultural and political differences;
- foreign exchange rates, currency fluctuations and tariffs;
- dependence on and difficulties in managing international distributors or representatives;
- the creditworthiness of foreign entities;
- difficulties in foreign accounts receivable collection; and
- economic conditions and the absence of available funding sources.

If we are unable to increase our revenues from international sales, our operating results will be materially harmed.

We rely on trade secret laws and agreements with our key employees and other third parties to protect our proprietary rights, and we cannot be sure that these laws or agreements adequately protect our rights.

We believe that factors such as the technological and creative skills of our personnel, strategic relationships, new product developments, frequent product enhancements, and name recognition are essential to our success. All management personnel are bound by non-disclosure agreements. If personnel leave our employment, in some cases we would be required to protect our intellectual property rights pursuant to common law theories which may be less protective than provision of employment, non-competition or non-disclosure agreements.

We seek to protect our proprietary products under trade secret and copyright laws, enter into license agreements for various materials and methods employed in our products, and enter into strategic relationships for distribution of the products. These strategies afford only limited protection. We currently have no U.S. or foreign patents, although we have several license agreements for reagents. Our Sure Check trademark has been registered in the United States.

Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties may attempt to copy aspects of our products or to obtain information that we regard as proprietary. We may be required to expend substantial resources in asserting or protecting our intellectual property rights, or in defending suits related to intellectual property rights. Disputes regarding intellectual property rights could substantially delay product development or commercialization activities because some of our available funds would be diverted away from our business activities. Disputes regarding intellectual property rights might include state, federal or foreign court litigation as well as patent interference, patent reexamination, patent reissue, or trademark opposition proceedings in the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

To facilitate development and commercialization of a proprietary technology base, we may need to obtain additional licenses to patents or other proprietary rights from other parties. Obtaining and maintaining these licenses, which may not be available, may require the payment of up-front fees and royalties. In addition, if we are unable to obtain these types of licenses, our product development and commercialization efforts may be delayed or precluded.

In order to sell our rapid HIV tests and generate expected revenue from these tests, we will need to arrange for a license to patents for detection of the HIV-2 virus, and we may not be able to do so.

Although the current licensor of the peptides used in our HIV tests claims an HIV-2 patent, other companies have also claimed such patents. Even though HIV-2 is a type of the HIV virus estimated to represent only a small fraction of the known HIV cases worldwide, it is still considered to be an important component in the testing regimen for HIV in many markets. HIV-2 patents are in force in most of the countries of North America and Western Europe, as well as in Japan, Korea, South Africa, and Australia. Access to a license for one or more HIV-2 patents may be necessary to sell HIV-2 tests in countries where such patents are in force, or to manufacture in countries where such patents are in force and then sell into non-patent markets. Since HIV-2 patents are in force in the United States, we may be restricted from manufacturing a rapid HIV-2 test in the United States and selling into other countries, even if there were no HIV-2 patents in those other countries. The license agreement that we have in effect for the use and sale of the Adaltis HIV 1 and 2 peptides that are used in our HIV rapid test does not necessarily insulate us from claims by other parties that we need to obtain a license to other HIV-1 and/or HIV-2 patents. Although we have discussed additional HIV-2 licenses that would be advantageous for some markets, if we are unable to successfully continue these discussions our business and operating results would be materially harmed.

Our continued growth depends on retaining our current key employees and attracting additional qualified personnel, and we may not be able to do so.

Our success will depend to a large extent upon the contributions of our executive officers, management, and sales, marketing, operations and scientific staff. We may not be able to attract or retain qualified employees in the future due to the intense competition for qualified personnel among medical products businesses.

If we are not able to attract and retain the necessary personnel to accomplish our business objectives, we may experience constraints that will adversely affect our ability to effectively manufacture, sell and market our products, to meet the demands of our strategic partners in a timely fashion, or to support internal research and development programs. Although we believe we will be successful in attracting and retaining qualified personnel, competition for experienced scientists and other personnel from numerous companies and academic and other research institutions may limit our ability to do so on acceptable terms

We believe our success depends on our ability to participate in large government programs in the United States and worldwide and we may not be able to do so.

We believe it to be in our best interest to meaningfully participate in the Presidential Emergency Plan for Aids Relief Program, UN Global Fund initiatives and other programs funded by large donors. We have initiated several strategies to participate in these programs. Participation in these programs requires alignment with the many other players in these programs including the World Health Organization, U.S. Center for Disease Control, U.S. Agency for International Development, non-governmental organizations, and HIV service organizations. By participating in these programs, we believe we will gain favorable market recognition with industry peers, and increase our chances of participating in these programs. If we are unsuccessful in our efforts to participate in these programs, our operating results could be materially harmed.

We have a history of incurring net losses and we cannot be certain that we will be able to achieve profitability.

Since the inception of Chembio Diagnostics Diagnostics Systems, Inc. in 1985 and through the period ended March 31, 2004, we have incurred net losses. As of March 31, 2004, we have an accumulated deficit of \$7.487 million. We expect to continue to make substantial expenditures for sales and marketing, regulatory submissions, product development and other purposes. Our ability to achieve profitability in the future will primarily depend on our ability to increase sales of our products, reduce production and other costs and successfully introduce new products and enhanced versions of our existing products into the marketplace. If we are unable to increase our revenues at a rate that is sufficient to achieve profitability, our operating results would be materially harmed.

To the extent that we are unable to obtain sufficient product liability insurance or that we incur product liability exposure that is not covered by our product liability insurance, our operating results could be materially harmed.

We may be held liable if any of our products, or any product which is made with the use or incorporation of any of the technologies belonging to us, causes injury of any type or is found otherwise unsuitable during product testing, manufacturing, marketing, sale or usage. Although we have obtained product liability insurance, this insurance may not fully cover our potential liabilities. In addition, as we attempt to bring new products to market, we may need to increase our product liability coverage which would be a significant additional expense that we may not be able to afford. If we are unable to obtain sufficient insurance coverage at an acceptable cost to protect us, we may be forced to abandon efforts to commercialize our products or those of our strategic partners, which would reduce our revenues.

Risks related to our common stock

Our common stock is classified as penny stock and is extremely illiquid, so investors may not be able to sell as much stock as they want at prevailing market prices.

Our common stock is classified as penny stock. Penny stocks generally are equity securities with a price of less than \$5.00 and trade on the over-the-counter market. As a result, an investor may find it more difficult to dispose of or obtain accurate quotations as to the price of the shares of the common stock being registered in this registration statement. In addition, the penny stock rules adopted by the Commission under the Exchange Act subject the sale of the shares of the common stock to regulations which impose sales practice requirements on broker-dealers, causing many broker-dealers to not trade penny stocks or to only offer the stocks to sophisticated investors that meet specified net worth or net income criteria identified by the Commission. These regulations contribute to the lack of liquidity of penny stocks.

The average daily trading volume of our common stock on the over-the-counter market was less than 1,000 shares per day over the three months ended June 30, 2004. If limited trading in our stock continues, it may be difficult for investors to sell their shares in the public market at any given time at prevailing prices. Since the certificate of designation creating our series A preferred stock contains restrictions on our ability to declare and pay dividends on our common stock, the lack of liquidity of our common stock could negatively impact the rate of return on your investment.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock into the public market by the selling stockholders may result in significant downward pressure on the price of our common stock and could affect the ability of our stockholders to realize the current trading price of our common stock.

Although our stock is illiquid, at the time of effectiveness of the registration statement, the number of shares of our common stock eligible to be immediately sold in the market will increase approximately from 180,0000 to 21,715,636. If the selling stockholders sell significant amounts of our stock, our stock price could drop. Even a perception by the market that selling stockholders will sell in large amounts after the registration statement is effective could place significant downward pressure on our stock price.

As of July 1, 2004, 6,237,080 shares of our total outstanding shares are restricted from immediate resale, but may be sold into the market in the near future. This could cause the market price of our common stock to drop significantly, even if our business is doing

5,155,060 shares of common stock, including those underlying our convertible securities, that are not being registered in the registration statement are restricted securities as that term is defined under the Securities Act. Though not currently registered, these restricted securities may be sold in compliance with Rule 144 of the Securities Act or pursuant to a future registration statement. Rule 144 provides that a person holding restricted securities for a period of one year or more may, sell those securities in accordance with the volume limitations and other conditions of the rule. Sales made pursuant to Rule 144 or 144(k), or pursuant to a registration statement filed under the Securities Act, could result in significant downward pressure on the market price for our common stock.

You will experience substantial dilution upon the conversion of the shares of preferred stock and the exercise of warrants that we issued in a private placement and the warrants and options that were assumed in connection with the merger.

On May 5, 2004, we completed three separate private placements in which we issued 151.57984 shares of our series A preferred stock and warrants to acquire 9,904,801 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$.90 per share. The shares of series A preferred stock are convertible into 7,578,985 shares of our common stock. We also issued warrants to purchase 425,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.72 per share and warrants to purchase 510,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.08 per share to designees of our placement agents. We also issued warrants pursuant to an employment agreement with Mark L. Baum, our former president and a current member of our board of directors, to purchase 425,000 shares and 425,000 shares of our common stock, respectively, at exercise prices of \$0.60 and \$0.90 per share respectively. In connection with the acquisition of Chembio Diagnostics Diagnostic Systems, Inc., we assumed warrants to purchase an aggregate of 690,000 shares of our common stock, at exercise prices ranging from \$0.45 to \$4.00 per shares and we adopted the stock option plan of Chembio Diagnostics Diagnostic Systems, Inc. and assumed all outstanding options. As of May 31, 2004, there were 704,000 options issued and outstanding under the stock option plan and

796,000 options available for issuance under the stock option plan. As a result, the conversion of the outstanding preferred stock and the exercise of the outstanding warrants and options will result in substantial dilution to the holders of our common stock.

Our management and larger stockholders exercise significant control over our company and may approve or take actions that may be adverse to your interests.

As of July 1, 2004, our named executive officers, directors and 5% stockholders beneficially own approximately 48.16% of our voting power. For the foreseeable future, to the extent that our current stockholders vote similarly, they will be able to exercise control over many matters requiring approval by the board of directors or our stockholders. As a result, they will be able to:

- control the composition of our board of directors;
- control our management and policies;
- determine the outcome of significant corporate transactions, including changes in control that may be beneficial to stockholders; and
- act in each of their own interests, which may conflict with, or be different from, the interests of each other or the interests of the other stockholders.

USE OF PROCEEDS

We will not receive proceeds from the sale of shares under this prospectus by the selling security holders.

DILUTION

We are not selling any common stock in this offering. The selling security holders are current stockholders of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. As such, there is no dilution resulting from the common stock to be sold in this offering.

SELLING SECURITY HOLDERS

The securities are being offered by the named selling security holders below. The selling security holders may from time to time offer and sell pursuant to this prospectus up to an aggregate of 4,980,113 shares of our common shares now owned by them, 6,031,868 shares issuable to them upon the conversion of series A preferred stock that they hold, 9,438,827 shares issuable to them upon the exercise of warrants that they hold and 1,084,000 shares issuable to them upon the exercise of options that they hold. The selling security holders may, from time to time, offer and sell any or all of the shares that are registered under this prospectus.

Certain of the individuals listed below received the shares offered hereby in connection with the merger described under the caption Prospectus summary Our business. In connection with the merger, we agreed to prepare and file at our expense, as promptly as practical, and in any event, by June 4, 2004, a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission covering the resale of the shares received in the merger by the individuals listed below. The list of selling security holders also includes Mark L. Baum, who acquired, or has the right to acquire, the shares and warrants indicated next to his name pursuant to an employment agreement dated May 5, 2004 with Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. Also named as selling security holders are designees of H.C. Wainwright & Co., Inc. and WellFleet Partners, Inc., each of which received common stock and warrants to purchase the indicated number of shares of common stock in connection with serving as placement agents in connection with our May 5, 2004 private placement of series A preferred stock, and Patton Boggs LLP, which received 37,319 shares as payment for a past obligation of \$27,989, that we owed. Also included are a total of 25,000 shares and options to acquire 225,000 shares that we issued to non-employee third parties for services performed, together with 375,000 options to purchase shares issued to employees and directors.

The remainder of the entities or individuals listed below acquired the shares offered hereby in connection with our May 5, 2004 private placement of series A preferred stock. In connection with that private placement, we agreed to prepare and file at our expense, as promptly as practical, and in any event, by June 4, 2004, a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission covering the resale of the shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the series A preferred stock issued in the private placement and the shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrants issued in the private placement.

The following table sets forth, with respect to the selling security holders:

- the number of shares of common stock beneficially owned as of May 31, 2004 and prior to the offering contemplated hereby,
- the number of shares of common stock eligible for resale and to be offered by each selling security holder pursuant to this prospectus,
- the number of shares owned by each selling security holder after the offering contemplated hereby assuming that all shares eligible for resale pursuant to this prospectus actually are sold; and
- the percentage of shares of common stock beneficially owned by each selling security holder after the offering contemplated hereby.

Alan Perlmutter	Selling Security holders		Number of Shares To Be Offered (2)	Number of Shares Owned After Offering	Percentage of Shares of Common Stock Owned After
Alchemy, LLC 40,471 0.00% Alex Shapiro 112,412 112,412 0.00% Ami Dabush 494,694 494,694 0.00% Anne Ross 63,236 63,236 0.00% Ari Fuchs 49,058 49,058 0.00% Ari Fuchs 49,058 49,058 0.00% Bill Ledowitz 7,118 7,118 0.00% Bill Ledowitz 7,118 7,118 0.00% Biro-Equity Partners, Inc. 175,000 175,000 0.00% Bruce J. Ide 496,539 496,539 0.00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 183,333 183,333 0.00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 183,333 183,333 0.00% Claudio Beller 143,063 143,063 0.00% Claudio Beller 143,063 143,063 0.00% Claudio Beller 143,063 143,063 0.00% Clair Lawrence 7,115 7,115 0.00% Clair Lew Levia 142,501 0.00%		60.000	60.000		U
Alex Shapiro 112,412 0.00% Ami Dabush 494,694 494,694 0.00% Andrew Merz Hanson 117,530 117,530 0.00% Anne Ross 63,236 63,236 0.00% Avi Pelossof 570,282 570,282 0.00% Avi Pelossof 570,282 570,282 0.00% Bill Ledowitz 7,118 7,118 0.00% Bic-Equity Partners, Inc. 175,000 175,000 0.00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 183,333 183,333 0.00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 183,333 183,333 0.00% Claudio Beller 143,063 143,063 0.00% Clain Lawrence 7,115 7,115 0.00% Colin Lowence 7,115 7,115 0.00% Colin Lowence 7,115 7,115 0.00% Colin Lowence 7,115 7,115 0.00% David Hunt 10,000 100,000 0.00% Ellor Schwartz 10,000 125,000					
Ami Dabush 494,694 0.00% Andrew Merz Hanson 117,530 10,00% Anne Ross 63,236 63,236 0.00% Ari Fuchs 49,058 0.00% Avi Pelossof 570,282 570,282 0.00% Bill Ledowitz 7,118 7,118 0.00% Birce Li Ide 496,539 496,539 0.00% Brice J. Ide 496,539 496,539 0.00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 183,333 183,333 0.00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 143,063 143,063 0.00% Claudio Beller 143,063 143,063 0.00% Cliaudio Beller 143,063 143,063 0.00% Colin Lawrence 7,115 7,115 0.00% Colin Lawrence 7,115 7,115 0.00% Colin Lawrence 7,115 7,115 0.00% Elior Pelossof 83,148 83,148 0.00% Elior Pelossof 83,148 83,148 0.00% Edwin					
Andrew Merz Hanson	-				
Anne Ross 63,236 0,00% Ari Fuchs 49,058 49,058 0,00% Avi Pelossof 570,282 570,282 0,00% Bill Ledowitz 7,118 7,118 0,00% Bio-Equity Partmers, Inc. 175,000 175,000 0,00% Bruce J. Ide 496,539 496,539 0,00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 183,333 183,333 0,00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 143,063 140,071 0,00% Claudio Beller 143,063 143,063 0,00% Colin Lawrence 7,115 7,115 0,00% Colin Poole 138,579 138,579 0,00% David Hunt 100,000 100,000 0,00% Eduardo Haim 7,115 7,115 0,00% Edwardo Haim 7,115 7,115 0,00% Edward Casty 125,000 125,000 0,00% Ellen Siebert Best 42,936 42,936 0,00% Feirica Lew 31,250 31,250 <td< td=""><td></td><td>*</td><td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td><td></td><td></td></td<>		*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Ari Fuchs 49,088 49,088 0,00% Avi Pelossof 570,282 570,282 0,00% Bill Ledowitz 7,118 7,118 0,00% 0,00% Bill Ledowitz 7,118 7,118 0,00% 0,00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 183,333 183,333 0,00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 183,333 183,333 0,00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 143,063 143,063 0,00% Colin Lawrence 7,115 7,115 0,00% Colin Lawrence 7,115 7,115 0,00% Colin Lawrence 7,115 7,115 0,00% Colin Lawrence 47,155 7,115 0,00% Colin Poole 138,579 138,579 0,00% Colin Pelossof 83,148 83,148 0,00% Edward Hunt 100,000 10,000 0,00% Elior Pelossof 83,148 83,148 0,00% Edward Haim 7,115 7,115 0,00% Edward McGusty 125,000 125,000 0,00% Elior Eckset 42,936 42,936 0,00% Eric Schwartz 5,496 5,496 0,00% Eric Schwartz 5,496 5,496 0,00% Eric Schwartz 5,496 5,496 0,00% Eric Schwartz 5,496 13,250 31,250 0,00% Frank J. Guzikowski 178,114 178,114 0,00% Gilbert Rakes 83,148 83,148 0,00% Ericker Rakes 83,148 83,148 0,00% Ericker Rakes 83,148 83,148 0,00% Gilbert Rakes 83,148 83,148 0		,			
Avi Pelossof \$70.282 \$70.282 0.00% Bill Ledowitz 7,118 7,118 0.00% Bio-Equity Partners, Inc. 175,000 175,000 0.00% Bruce J. Ide 496,539 496,539 0.00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 183,333 183,333 0.00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 183,333 183,333 0.00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 183,333 183,333 0.00% Chin Lawrence 7,115 7,115 0.00% Colin Lawrence 7,115 7,115 0.00% Colin Lawrence 7,115 7,115 0.00% Colin Lawrence 472,501 472,501 0.00% David Hunt 100,000 100,000 0.00% Elior Pelossof 83,148 83,148 0.00% Edwardo Haim 7,115 7,115 0.00% Edwardo Haim 7,115 7,115 0.00% Edwardo Haim 7,1242 17,242 0.00% Elinic Klaus 17,242 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Bill Ledowitz 7,118 7,118 0.00% Bio-Equity Partners, Inc. 175,000 175,000 0.00% Bruce J. Ide 496,539 496,539 0.00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 183,333 183,333 0.00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 143,063 143,063 0.00% Claudio Beller 143,063 143,063 0.00% Colin Poole 138,579 138,579 0.00% David Hunt 100,000 100,000 0.00% David Hunt 100,000 100,000 0.00% Elduardo Haim 7,115 0.00% 0.00% Edwin McGusty 125,000 125,000 120,000 0.00% Ellen Siebert Best 42,936 42,936 40,936 0.00% Eric Schwartz 5,496 5,496 0.00% 0.00% Fricia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% 0.00% Fricia Schwartz 3,48 83,148 80,00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% <			*		
Bio-Equity Partners, Inc. 175,000 175,000 0.00% Bruce J. Ide 496,539 496,539 0.00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 183,333 183,333 0.00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 183,333 183,333 0.00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 143,063 0.00% Colin Poele 143,063 143,063 0.00% Colin Poole 138,579 138,579 0.00% Daniel Gressel 472,501 472,501 0.00% David Hunt 100,000 100,000 0.00% Edior Pelossof 83,148 83,148 0.00% Edwardo Haim 7,115 7,115 0.00% Edwardo Haim 7,115 7,115 0.00% Elaine Klaus 17,242 17,242 10,00% Ellen Siebert Best 42,936 42,936 0.00% Felicia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Felicia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Frank J. Guzikowski 178,114		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,		
Bruce J. Ide 496,539 496,539 0.00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 183,333 183,333 0.00% Christopher & Lynn Eckert 183,633 143,063 0.00% Claudio Beller 143,063 143,063 0.00% Colin Lawrence 7,115 7,115 0.00% Colin Poole 138,579 138,579 0.00% David Hunt 100,000 100,000 0.00% Elduardo Haim 7,115 7,115 0.00% Edward McGusty 125,000 125,000 0.00% Ellen Siebert Best 42,936 42,936 0.00% Eric Schwartz 5,496 5,496 0.00% Eric Schwartz 5,496 5,496 0.00% Frelicia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Frank J. Guzikowski 178,114 178,114 0.00% Frank J. Guzikowski 178,114 178,114 0.00% Frank J. Guzikowski 178,114 178,114 0.00% Harka Lew 31,25		,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Christopher & Lynn Eckert 183,333 183,333 0.00% Chris Phillips 40,471 40,471 0.00% Colin Beller 143,063 143,063 0.00% Colin Poole 138,579 138,579 0.00% Daniel Gressel 472,501 472,501 0.00% David Hunt 100,000 100,000 0.00% Elior Pelossof 83,148 83,148 0.00% Edwardo Haim 7,115 7,115 0.00% Edwin McGusty 125,000 125,000 0.00% Ellen Siebert Best 42,936 42,936 0.00% Ellen Siebert Best 42,936 42,936 0.00% Felicia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Felicia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Frank J. Guzikowski 178,114 178,114 0.00% Gülbert Raker 83,148 83,148 0.00% Gülbert Raker 83,148 83,148 0.00% Frank J. Guzikowski 178,114		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	*		
Chris Phillips 40,471 40,471 0.00% Claudio Beller 143,063 143,063 0.00% Colin Lawrence 7,115 7,115 0.00% Colin Poole 138,579 138,579 0.00% Daniel Gressel 472,501 0.00% David Hunt 100,000 100,000 0.00% Elior Pelossof 83,148 83,148 0.00% Elior Pelossof 83,148 83,148 0.00% Edward McGusty 125,000 125,000 0.00% Edward McGusty 125,000 125,000 0.00% Eliane Klaus 17,242 17,242 0.00% Eric Schwartz 5,496 5,496 0.00% Frelicia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Frank J. Guzikowski 178,114 178,114 0.00% Gilbert Rake 83,148 83,148 0.00% Gunther Weiss 28,334 28,334 0.00% Hac.C. Wainwright & Co., Inc. 390,867 30,00 0		,			
Claudio Beller 143,063 143,063 0.00% Colin Lawrence 7,115 7,115 0.00% Colin Poole 138,579 138,579 0.00% Daniel Gressel 472,501 472,501 0.00% David Hunt 100,000 100,000 0.00% Elior Pelossof 83,148 83,148 0.00% Edwardo Haim 7,115 7,115 0.00% Edwin McGusty 125,000 125,000 0.00% Ellen Siebert Best 42,936 42,936 0.00% Ellen Siebert Best 42,936 42,936 0.00% Felicia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Felicia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Glibert Raker 83,148 83,148 0.00% Gunther Weiss 28,334 28,334 0.00% H.C. Wainwright & Co., Inc. 390,867 390,867 0.00% J.G. Poole 68,365 68,365 0.00% J. S. Sandler 41,250 0.00% <td></td> <td>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td>		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,		
Colin Lawrence 7,115 7,115 0,00% Colin Poole 138,579 138,579 0,00% David Fressel 472,501 0,00% David Hunt 100,000 100,000 Elior Pelossof 83,148 83,148 Eduardo Haim 7,115 7,115 Edwin McGusty 125,000 125,000 Elaine Klaus 17,242 17,242 Ellen Siebert Best 42,936 42,936 Eric Schwartz 5,496 5,496 Felicia Lew 31,250 31,250 Frank J. Guzikowski 178,114 178,114 Gunther Weiss 28,334 83,148 Gunther Weiss 28,334 28,334 Hacka Lew 31,250 31,250 H.C. Wainwright & Co., Inc. 390,867 390,867 J.G. Poole 68,365 68,365 J.G. Poole 68,365 68,365 J.P. Turner 41,250 41,250 Jear Paul Calamaro 304,542 304,542	-	*	*		
Colin Poole 138,579 138,579 0.00% Daniel Gressel 472,501 472,501 0.00% David Hunt 100,000 100,000 0.00% Elior Pelossof 83,148 83,148 0.00% Edwardo Haim 7,115 7,115 0.00% Edwardo Haim 7,115 7,115 0.00% Edwardo Haim 7,242 17,242 0.00% Ellan Siebert Best 42,936 42,936 0.00% Ellen Siebert Best 42,936 42,936 0.00% Felicia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Felicia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Felicia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Gunther Weiss 28,334 28,334 83,148 0.00% Gunther Weiss 38,314 83,148 0.00% H.C. Wainwright & Co., Inc. 390,867 390,867 0.00% J. & S Sandler 8,287 8,287 0.00% J. & S Sander 8,287		<i>'</i>	,		
Daniel Gressel 472,501 472,501 0.00% David Hunt 100,000 100,000 0.00% Elior Pelossof 83,148 83,148 0.00% Eduardo Haim 7,115 7,115 0.00% Edwin McGusty 125,000 125,000 0.00% Ellane Klaus 17,242 17,242 0.00% Ellane Siebert Best 42,936 42,936 0.00% Ellen Siebert Best 42,936 42,936 0.00% Ellen Siebert Best 42,936 42,936 0.00% Felicia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Felicia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Gilbert Raker 83,148 83,148 0.00% Gunther Weiss 28,334 28,334 28,334 0.00% Hacka Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% H.C. Wainwright & Co., Inc. 390,867 300,867 0.00% J.g. Poole 68,365 68,365 0.365 0.00% J.P. Turner			*		
David Hunt 100,000 100,000 0.00% Elior Pelossof 83,148 83,148 0.00% Edward Haim 7,115 7,115 0.00% Edwin McGusty 125,000 125,000 0.00% Elaine Klaus 17,242 17,242 0.00% Elien Siebert Best 42,936 42,936 0.00% Eric Schwartz 5,496 5,496 0.00% Felicia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Felicia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Gilbert Raker 83,148 83,148 0.00% Gunther Weiss 28,334 28,334 0.00% Guther Weiss 28,334 28,334 0.00% H.C. Wainwright & Co., Inc. 390,867 390,867 0.00% J.G. Poole 68,365 68,365 0.00% J.G. Poole 68,365 68,365 0.00% Jean-Paul Calamaro 304,542 304,542 0.00% Jeff Dashefsky 12,500 12,500					
Elior Pelossof		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	*		
Eduardo Haim 7,115 7,115 0.00% Edwin McGusty 125,000 125,000 0.00% Elaine Klaus 17,242 17,242 0.00% Ellen Siebert Best 42,936 42,936 0.00% Eric Schwartz 5,496 5,496 0.00% Felicia Lew 31,250 0.00% Frank J. Guzikowski 178,114 178,114 0.00% Gilbert Raker 83,148 83,148 0.00% Gunther Weiss 28,334 28,334 0.00% Hanka Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% H.C. Wainwright & Co., Inc. 390,867 390,867 0.00% J. & S Sandler 8,287 8,287 0.00% J. & S Sandler 8,287 8,287 0.00% J. & S Sandler 41,250 41,250 0.00% J. P. Turner 41,250 41,250 0.00% Jeff Dashefsky 12,500 12,500 0.00% Jeffrug Goldberg 52,875 52,875 0.00%		,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Edwin McGusty 125,000 125,000 0.00% Elaine Klaus 17,242 17,242 0.00% Ellen Siebert Best 42,936 42,936 0.00% Eric Schwartz 5,496 5,496 0.00% Felicia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Fileria Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Gilbert Raker 83,148 83,148 0.00% Gunther Weiss 28,334 28,334 0.00% Hanka Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% H.C. Wainwright & Co., Inc. 390,867 390,867 0.00% J. & S Sandler 8,287 8,287 0.00% J. G. Poole 68,365 68,365 0.00% J. G. Poole 68,365 68,365 0.00% Jen-Paul Calamaro 304,542 304,542 0.00% Jear-Paul Calamaro 304,542 304,542 0.00% Jeff Dashefsky 12,500 0.00% 0.00% Jeffrey Goldberg 52,875 52,875 <td></td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td>*</td> <td></td> <td></td>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*		
Elaine Klaus 17,242 17,242 0.00% Ellen Siebert Best 42,936 42,936 0.00% Eric Schwartz 5,496 5,496 0.00% Felicia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Fernat J. Guzikowski 178,114 178,114 0.00% Gübert Raker 83,148 83,148 0.00% Gunther Weiss 28,334 28,334 0.00% Hanka Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% H.C. Wainwright & Co., Inc. 390,867 390,867 0.00% J. Sandler 8,287 8,287 0.00% J. Sandler 8,287 8,287 0.00% J. P. Turner 41,250 41,250 0.00% J. P. Turner 41,250 41,250 0.00% Javan Esfandiari 167,080 167,080 0.00% Jean-Paul Calamaro 304,542 304,542 0.00% Jeffrey Goldberg 52,875 52,875 0.00% John Tyson 30,000 158,400			*		
Ellen Siebert Best 42,936 42,936 0.00% Eric Schwartz 5,496 5,496 0.00% Felicia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Frank J. Guzikowski 178,114 178,114 0.00% Gilbert Raker 83,148 83,148 0.00% Gunther Weiss 28,334 28,334 0.00% Hanka Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% H.C. Wainwright & Co., Inc. 390,867 390,867 0.00% J.G. Poole 68,365 68,365 0.00% J.C. Poole 68,365 68,365 0.00% J.P. Turner 41,250 41,250 0.00% Jern Eyfandiari 167,080 167,080 0.00% Jerf Dashefsky 12,500 12,500 0.00% Jeff Dashefsky 12,500 12,500 0.00% John R. Clarke 158,400 158,400 0.00% John Tyson 30,000 30,000 0.00% Karae Kolstad Jr. 50,589 0.00% <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Eric Schwartz 5,496 5,496 0.00% Felicia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Frank J. Guzikowski 178,114 178,114 0.00% Gilbert Raker 83,148 83,148 0.00% Gunther Weiss 28,334 28,334 0.00% Hanka Lew 31,250 0.00% H.C. Wainwright & Co., Inc. 390,867 390,867 0.00% J & S Sandler 8,287 8,287 0.00% J.G. Poole 68,365 68,365 0.00% J.P. Turner 41,250 41,250 0.00% Javan Esfandiari 167,080 167,080 0.00% Jean-Paul Calamaro 304,542 304,542 0.00% Jeff Dashefsky 12,500 12,500 0.00% Jeffrey Goldberg 52,875 0.00% John R. Clarke 158,400 158,400 0.00% John R. Shatifitz 133,037 133,037 0.00% Kaare Kolstad Jr. 50,589 50,589 0.00% <td>Ellen Siebert Best</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.00%</td>	Ellen Siebert Best				0.00%
Felicia Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% Frank J. Guzikowski 178,114 178,114 0.00% Gilbert Raker 83,148 83,148 0.00% Gunther Weiss 28,334 28,334 0.00% Hanka Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% H.C. Wainwright & Co., Inc. 390,867 390,867 0.00% J. S. Sandler 8,287 8,287 0.00% J. G. Poole 68,365 68,365 0.00% J.P. Turner 41,250 41,250 0.00% Javan Esfandiari 167,080 167,080 0.00% Jean-Paul Calamaro 304,542 304,542 0.00% Jeffrey Goldberg 52,875 52,875 0.00% John R. Clarke 158,400 158,400 0.00% John Tyson 30,000 30,000 0.00% John Tyson 30,000 30,000 0.00% Kaare Kolstad Jr. 50,589 50,589 0.00% Karen Keskinen 31,579 31,	Eric Schwartz				0.00%
Gilbert Raker 83,148 83,148 0.00% Gunther Weiss 28,334 28,334 0.00% Hanka Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% H.C. Wainwright & Co., Inc. 390,867 390,867 0.00% J & S Sandler 8,287 8,287 0.00% J.G. Poole 68,365 68,365 0.00% J.P. Turner 41,250 41,250 0.00% Javan Esfandiari 167,080 167,080 0.00% Jean-Paul Calamaro 304,542 304,542 0.00% Jeffrey Goldberg 52,875 52,875 0.00% John R. Clarke 158,400 158,400 0.00% John Tyson 30,000 30,000 0.00% John Lifshitz 133,037 133,037 0.00% Kaare Kolstad Jr. 50,589 50,589 0.00% Karen Keskinen 31,579 31,579 0.00% KNB Communications LLC 45,000 45,000 0.00% Kurt Haendler 250,955	Felicia Lew				0.00%
Gunther Weiss 28,334 28,334 0.00% Hanka Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% H.C. Wainwright & Co., Inc. 390,867 390,867 0.00% J. S. Sandler 8,287 8,287 0.00% J.G. Poole 68,365 68,365 0.00% J.P. Turner 41,250 41,250 0.00% Javan Esfandiari 167,080 167,080 0.00% Jean-Paul Calamaro 304,542 304,542 0.00% Jeff Dashefsky 12,500 12,500 0.00% Jeffrey Goldberg 52,875 52,875 0.00% John R. Clarke 158,400 158,400 0.00% John Tyson 30,000 30,000 0.00% John Lifshitz 133,037 133,037 0.00% Karen Keskinen 31,579 31,579 0.00% Karen Keskinen 31,579 31,579 0.00% Konstantin Lyashchenko 10,500 0.00% Kurt Haendler 250,955 250,955	Frank J. Guzikowski	178,114	178,114		0.00%
Hanka Lew 31,250 31,250 0.00% H.C. Wainwright & Co., Inc. 390,867 390,867 0.00% J & S Sandler 8,287 8,287 0.00% J.G. Poole 68,365 68,365 0.00% J.P. Turner 41,250 41,250 0.00% Javan Esfandiari 167,080 167,080 0.00% Jean-Paul Calamaro 304,542 304,542 0.00% Jeff Dashefsky 12,500 12,500 0.00% Jeffrey Goldberg 52,875 52,875 0.00% John R. Clarke 158,400 158,400 0.00% John Tyson 30,000 30,000 0.00% Joshua Lifshitz 133,037 133,037 0.00% Kaare Kolstad Jr. 50,589 50,589 0.00% Karen Keskinen 31,579 31,579 0.00% KNB Communications LLC 45,000 45,000 0.00% Konstantin Lyashchenko 10,500 10,500 0.00% Kurzman Partners, LP 7	Gilbert Raker	83,148	83,148		0.00%
H.C. Wainwright & Co., Inc. 390,867 390,867 0.00% J & S Sandler 8,287 8,287 0.00% J.G. Poole 68,365 68,365 0.00% J.P. Turner 41,250 41,250 0.00% Javan Esfandiari 167,080 167,080 0.00% Jean-Paul Calamaro 304,542 304,542 0.00% Jeff Dashefsky 12,500 12,500 0.00% Jeffry Goldberg 52,875 52,875 0.00% John R. Clarke 158,400 158,400 0.00% John Tyson 30,000 30,000 0.00% John Lifshitz 133,037 133,037 0.00% Kaare Kolstad Jr. 50,589 50,589 0.00% Karen Keskinen 31,579 31,579 0.00% KNB Communications LLC 45,000 45,000 0.00% Konstantin Lyashchenko 10,500 10,500 0.00% Kurt Haendler 250,955 250,955 0.00% Kurt Haendler 250,955 250,955 0.00% Lawrence Siebert 5,305,060	Gunther Weiss	28,334	28,334		0.00%
J & S Sandler 8,287 8,287 0.00% J.G. Poole 68,365 68,365 0.00% J.P. Turner 41,250 41,250 0.00% Javan Esfandiari 167,080 167,080 0.00% Jean-Paul Calamaro 304,542 304,542 0.00% Jeff Dashefsky 12,500 12,500 0.00% Jeffrey Goldberg 52,875 52,875 0.00% John R. Clarke 158,400 158,400 0.00% John Tyson 30,000 30,000 0.00% Joshua Lifshitz 133,037 133,037 0.00% Kaare Kolstad Jr. 50,589 50,589 0.00% Karen Keskinen 31,579 31,579 0.00% KNB Communications LLC 45,000 45,000 0.00% KNB Communications LLC 45,000 45,000 0.00% Kurzman Partners, LP 73,333 73,333 0.00% Kurt Haendler 250,955 250,955 0.00% Lawrence Siebert 5,305,06	Hanka Lew	31,250	31,250		0.00%
J.G. Poole 68,365 68,365 0.00% J.P. Turner 41,250 41,250 0.00% Javan Esfandiari 167,080 167,080 0.00% Jean-Paul Calamaro 304,542 304,542 0.00% Jeff Dashefsky 12,500 12,500 0.00% Jeffrey Goldberg 52,875 52,875 0.00% John R. Clarke 158,400 158,400 0.00% John Tyson 30,000 30,000 0.00% John Tyson 30,000 30,000 0.00% John Tyson 30,000 30,000 0.00% Kaare Kolstad Jr. 50,589 50,589 0.00% Karen Keskinen 31,579 31,579 0.00% KNB Communications LLC 45,000 45,000 0.00% Konstantin Lyashchenko 10,500 10,500 0.00% Kurzman Partners, LP 73,333 73,333 0.00% Kurt Haendler 250,955 250,955 0.00% Lawrence Siebert 5,305,060 500,000 4,805,060 46.58% Alpha Capital AG	H.C. Wainwright & Co., Inc.	390,867	390,867		0.00%
J.P. Turner 41,250 41,250 0.00% Javan Esfandiari 167,080 167,080 0.00% Jean-Paul Calamaro 304,542 304,542 0.00% Jeff Dashefsky 12,500 12,500 0.00% Jeffrey Goldberg 52,875 52,875 0.00% John R. Clarke 158,400 158,400 0.00% John Tyson 30,000 30,000 0.00% Joshua Lifshitz 133,037 133,037 0.00% Kaare Kolstad Jr. 50,589 50,589 0.00% Karen Keskinen 31,579 31,579 0.00% KNB Communications LLC 45,000 45,000 0.00% Konstantin Lyashchenko 10,500 10,500 0.00% Kurzman Partners, LP 73,333 73,333 0.00% Kurt Haendler 250,955 250,955 0.00% Lawrence Siebert 5,305,060 500,000 4,805,060 46.58% Alpha Capital AG 1,210,000 1,210,000 0.00% Marc Glass 20,708 20,708 0.00% Mark			8,287		
Javan Esfandiari 167,080 167,080 0.00% Jean-Paul Calamaro 304,542 304,542 0.00% Jeff Dashefsky 12,500 12,500 0.00% Jeffrey Goldberg 52,875 52,875 0.00% John R. Clarke 158,400 158,400 0.00% John Tyson 30,000 30,000 0.00% Joshua Lifshitz 133,037 133,037 0.00% Kaare Kolstad Jr. 50,589 50,589 0.00% Karen Keskinen 31,579 31,579 0.00% KNB Communications LLC 45,000 45,000 0.00% Konstantin Lyashchenko 10,500 10,500 0.00% Kurzman Partners, LP 73,333 73,333 0.00% Kurt Haendler 250,955 250,955 0.00% Lawrence Siebert 5,305,060 500,000 4,805,060 46.58% Alpha Capital AG 1,210,000 1,210,000 0.00% Lon E. Bell 277,159 277,159 0.00%	J.G. Poole				
Jean-Paul Calamaro 304,542 304,542 0.00% Jeff Dashefsky 12,500 12,500 0.00% Jeffrey Goldberg 52,875 52,875 0.00% John R. Clarke 158,400 158,400 0.00% John Tyson 30,000 30,000 0.00% Joshua Lifshitz 133,037 133,037 0.00% Kaare Kolstad Jr. 50,589 50,589 0.00% Karen Keskinen 31,579 31,579 0.00% KNB Communications LLC 45,000 45,000 0.00% Konstantin Lyashchenko 10,500 10,500 0.00% Kurzman Partners, LP 73,333 73,333 0.00% Kurt Haendler 250,955 250,955 0.00% Lawrence Siebert 5,305,060 500,000 4,805,060 46.58% Alpha Capital AG 1,210,000 1,210,000 0.00% Lon E. Bell 277,159 277,159 0.00% Mark Baum 1,788,333 1,438,333 350,000 4.66%					
Jeff Dashefsky 12,500 12,500 0.00% Jeffrey Goldberg 52,875 52,875 0.00% John R. Clarke 158,400 158,400 0.00% John Tyson 30,000 30,000 0.00% John Tyson 30,000 30,000 0.00% Johu Lifshitz 133,037 133,037 0.00% Kaare Kolstad Jr. 50,589 50,589 0.00% Karen Keskinen 31,579 31,579 0.00% KNB Communications LLC 45,000 45,000 0.00% Konstantin Lyashchenko 10,500 10,500 0.00% Kurzman Partners, LP 73,333 73,333 0.00% Kurt Haendler 250,955 250,955 0.00% Lawrence Siebert 5,305,060 500,000 4,805,060 46.58% Alpha Capital AG 1,210,000 1,210,000 0.00% Marc Glass 20,708 20,708 0.00% Mark Baum 1,788,333 1,438,333 350,000 4.66% <		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Jeffrey Goldberg 52,875 52,875 0.00% John R. Clarke 158,400 158,400 0.00% John Tyson 30,000 30,000 0.00% Joshua Lifshitz 133,037 133,037 0.00% Kaare Kolstad Jr. 50,589 50,589 0.00% Karen Keskinen 31,579 31,579 0.00% KNB Communications LLC 45,000 45,000 0.00% Konstantin Lyashchenko 10,500 10,500 0.00% Kurzman Partners, LP 73,333 73,333 0.00% Kurt Haendler 250,955 250,955 0.00% Lawrence Siebert 5,305,060 500,000 4,805,060 46.58% Alpha Capital AG 1,210,000 1,210,000 0.00% Lon E. Bell 277,159 277,159 0.00% Marc Glass 20,708 20,708 0.00% Mark Baum 1,788,333 1,438,333 350,000 4.66% Mark Wachs 27,716 27,716 0.00%		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	*		
John R. Clarke 158,400 158,400 0.00% John Tyson 30,000 30,000 0.00% Joshua Lifshitz 133,037 133,037 0.00% Kaare Kolstad Jr. 50,589 50,589 0.00% Karen Keskinen 31,579 31,579 0.00% KNB Communications LLC 45,000 45,000 0.00% Konstantin Lyashchenko 10,500 10,500 0.00% Kurzman Partners, LP 73,333 73,333 0.00% Kurt Haendler 250,955 250,955 0.00% Lawrence Siebert 5,305,060 500,000 4,805,060 46.58% Alpha Capital AG 1,210,000 1,210,000 0.00% Lon E. Bell 277,159 277,159 0.00% Marc Glass 20,708 20,708 0.00% Mark Baum 1,788,333 1,438,333 350,000 4.66% Mark Wachs 27,716 27,716 0.00% Total M.I.S., Inc. 550,000 550,000 0.00%	•				
John Tyson 30,000 30,000 0.00% Joshua Lifshitz 133,037 133,037 0.00% Kaare Kolstad Jr. 50,589 50,589 0.00% Karen Keskinen 31,579 31,579 0.00% KNB Communications LLC 45,000 45,000 0.00% Konstantin Lyashchenko 10,500 10,500 0.00% Kurzman Partners, LP 73,333 73,333 0.00% Kurt Haendler 250,955 250,955 0.00% Lawrence Siebert 5,305,060 500,000 4,805,060 46.58% Alpha Capital AG 1,210,000 1,210,000 0.00% Lon E. Bell 277,159 277,159 0.00% Marc Glass 20,708 20,708 0.00% Mark Baum 1,788,333 1,438,333 350,000 4.66% Mark Wachs 27,716 27,716 0.00% Total M.I.S., Inc. 550,000 550,000 0.00% Metasequoia LLC 36,666 36,666 0.00%					
Joshua Lifshitz 133,037 133,037 0.00% Kaare Kolstad Jr. 50,589 50,589 0.00% Karen Keskinen 31,579 31,579 0.00% KNB Communications LLC 45,000 45,000 0.00% Konstantin Lyashchenko 10,500 10,500 0.00% Kurzman Partners, LP 73,333 73,333 0.00% Kurt Haendler 250,955 250,955 0.00% Lawrence Siebert 5,305,060 500,000 4,805,060 46.58% Alpha Capital AG 1,210,000 1,210,000 0.00% Lon E. Bell 277,159 277,159 0.00% Marc Glass 20,708 20,708 0.00% Mark Baum 1,788,333 1,438,333 350,000 4.66% Mark Wachs 27,716 27,716 0.00% Total M.I.S., Inc. 550,000 550,000 0.00% Metasequoia LLC 36,666 36,666 0.00% Michael McCarthy 4,145 4,145 0.00%					
Kaare Kolstad Jr. 50,589 50,589 0.00% Karen Keskinen 31,579 31,579 0.00% KNB Communications LLC 45,000 45,000 0.00% Konstantin Lyashchenko 10,500 10,500 0.00% Kurzman Partners, LP 73,333 73,333 0.00% Kurt Haendler 250,955 250,955 0.00% Lawrence Siebert 5,305,060 500,000 4,805,060 46.58% Alpha Capital AG 1,210,000 1,210,000 0.00% Lon E. Bell 277,159 277,159 0.00% Marc Glass 20,708 20,708 0.00% Mark Baum 1,788,333 1,438,333 350,000 4.66% Mark Wachs 27,716 27,716 0.00% Total M.I.S., Inc. 550,000 550,000 0.00% Metasequoia LLC 36,666 36,666 0.00% Michael McCarthy 4,145 4,145 0.00%					
Karen Keskinen 31,579 31,579 0.00% KNB Communications LLC 45,000 45,000 0.00% Konstantin Lyashchenko 10,500 10,500 0.00% Kurzman Partners, LP 73,333 73,333 0.00% Kurt Haendler 250,955 250,955 0.00% Lawrence Siebert 5,305,060 500,000 4,805,060 46.58% Alpha Capital AG 1,210,000 1,210,000 0.00% Lon E. Bell 277,159 277,159 0.00% Marc Glass 20,708 20,708 0.00% Mark Baum 1,788,333 1,438,333 350,000 4.66% Mark Wachs 27,716 27,716 0.00% Total M.I.S., Inc. 550,000 550,000 0.00% Metasequoia LLC 36,666 36,666 0.00% Michael McCarthy 4,145 4,145 0.00%					
KNB Communications LLC 45,000 45,000 0.00% Konstantin Lyashchenko 10,500 10,500 0.00% Kurzman Partners, LP 73,333 73,333 0.00% Kurt Haendler 250,955 250,955 0.00% Lawrence Siebert 5,305,060 500,000 4,805,060 46.58% Alpha Capital AG 1,210,000 1,210,000 0.00% Lon E. Bell 277,159 277,159 0.00% Marc Glass 20,708 20,708 0.00% Mark Baum 1,788,333 1,438,333 350,000 4.66% Mark Wachs 27,716 27,716 0.00% Total M.I.S., Inc. 550,000 550,000 0.00% Metasequoia LLC 36,666 36,666 0.00% Michael McCarthy 4,145 4,145 0.00%					
Konstantin Lyashchenko 10,500 10,500 0.00% Kurzman Partners, LP 73,333 73,333 0.00% Kurt Haendler 250,955 250,955 0.00% Lawrence Siebert 5,305,060 500,000 4,805,060 46.58% Alpha Capital AG 1,210,000 1,210,000 0.00% Lon E. Bell 277,159 277,159 0.00% Marc Glass 20,708 20,708 0.00% Mark Baum 1,788,333 1,438,333 350,000 4.66% Mark Wachs 27,716 27,716 0.00% Total M.I.S., Inc. 550,000 550,000 0.00% Metasequoia LLC 36,666 36,666 0.00% Michael McCarthy 4,145 4,145 0.00%					
Kurzman Partners, LP 73,333 73,333 0.00% Kurt Haendler 250,955 250,955 0.00% Lawrence Siebert 5,305,060 500,000 4,805,060 46.58% Alpha Capital AG 1,210,000 1,210,000 0.00% Lon E. Bell 277,159 277,159 0.00% Marc Glass 20,708 20,708 0.00% Mark Baum 1,788,333 1,438,333 350,000 4.66% Mark & Lori Sandler 183,333 183,333 0.00% Mark Wachs 27,716 27,716 0.00% Total M.I.S., Inc. 550,000 550,000 0.00% Metasequoia LLC 36,666 36,666 0.00% Michael McCarthy 4,145 4,145 0.00%		*			
Kurt Haendler 250,955 250,955 0.00% Lawrence Siebert 5,305,060 500,000 4,805,060 46.58% Alpha Capital AG 1,210,000 1,210,000 0.00% Lon E. Bell 277,159 277,159 0.00% Marc Glass 20,708 20,708 0.00% Mark Baum 1,788,333 1,438,333 350,000 4.66% Mark & Lori Sandler 183,333 183,333 0.00% Mark Wachs 27,716 27,716 0.00% Total M.I.S., Inc. 550,000 550,000 0.00% Metasequoia LLC 36,666 36,666 0.00% Michael McCarthy 4,145 4,145 0.00%					
Lawrence Siebert 5,305,060 500,000 4,805,060 46.58% Alpha Capital AG 1,210,000 1,210,000 0.00% Lon E. Bell 277,159 277,159 0.00% Marc Glass 20,708 20,708 0.00% Mark Baum 1,788,333 1,438,333 350,000 4.66% Mark & Lori Sandler 183,333 183,333 0.00% Mark Wachs 27,716 27,716 0.00% Total M.I.S., Inc. 550,000 550,000 0.00% Metasequoia LLC 36,666 36,666 0.00% Michael McCarthy 4,145 4,145 0.00%					
Alpha Capital AG 1,210,000 1,210,000 0.00% Lon E. Bell 277,159 277,159 0.00% Marc Glass 20,708 20,708 0.00% Mark Baum 1,788,333 1,438,333 350,000 4.66% Mark & Lori Sandler 183,333 183,333 0.00% Mark Wachs 27,716 27,716 0.00% Total M.I.S., Inc. 550,000 550,000 0.00% Metasequoia LLC 36,666 36,666 0.00% Michael McCarthy 4,145 4,145 0.00%					
Lon E. Bell 277,159 277,159 0.00% Marc Glass 20,708 20,708 0.00% Mark Baum 1,788,333 1,438,333 350,000 4.66% Mark & Lori Sandler 183,333 183,333 0.00% Mark Wachs 27,716 27,716 0.00% Total M.I.S., Inc. 550,000 550,000 0.00% Metasequoia LLC 36,666 36,666 0.00% Michael McCarthy 4,145 4,145 0.00%					
Marc Glass 20,708 20,708 0.00% Mark Baum 1,788,333 1,438,333 350,000 4.66% Mark & Lori Sandler 183,333 183,333 0.00% Mark Wachs 27,716 27,716 0.00% Total M.I.S., Inc. 550,000 550,000 0.00% Metasequoia LLC 36,666 36,666 0.00% Michael McCarthy 4,145 4,145 0.00%					
Mark Baum 1,788,333 1,438,333 350,000 4.66% Mark & Lori Sandler 183,333 183,333 0.00% Mark Wachs 27,716 27,716 0.00% Total M.I.S., Inc. 550,000 550,000 0.00% Metasequoia LLC 36,666 36,666 0.00% Michael McCarthy 4,145 4,145 0.00%		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Mark & Lori Sandler 183,333 183,333 0.00% Mark Wachs 27,716 27,716 0.00% Total M.I.S., Inc. 550,000 550,000 0.00% Metasequoia LLC 36,666 36,666 0.00% Michael McCarthy 4,145 4,145 0.00%		*	*		
Mark Wachs 27,716 27,716 0.00% Total M.I.S., Inc. 550,000 550,000 0.00% Metasequoia LLC 36,666 36,666 0.00% Michael McCarthy 4,145 4,145 0.00%					
Total M.I.S., Inc. 550,000 550,000 0.00% Metasequoia LLC 36,666 36,666 0.00% Michael McCarthy 4,145 4,145 0.00%					
Metasequoia LLC 36,666 36,666 0.00% Michael McCarthy 4,145 4,145 0.00%					
Michael McCarthy 4,145 4,145 0.00%		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,		

Edgar Filing: Chembio Diagnostics Inc. - Form SB-2/A

Mike Mayer-Wolf	18,379	18,379		0.00%
MSAS Trust	733,333	733,333		0.00%
Patton Boggs LLP	37,319	37,319		0.00%
Paul & Ellen Knasin	149,788	149,788		0.00%
Phil Greenblatt	10,347	10,347		0.00%
R. Edward Spilka	309,805	309,805		0.00%
R. Lankenau	102,835	102,835		0.00%
R. Siderowf	85,874	85,874		0.00%
Renata Haendler	44,829	44,829		0.00%
Richard A. Jacoby	462,675	462,675		0.00%
Richard Bruce	75,500	75,500		0.00%
Richard Larkin	108,182	108,182		0.00%
Robin Smith	119,883	119,883		0.00%
Russ Colby	12,500	12,500		0.00%
Sam Engel	4,118	4,118		0.00%
Sam Jacob	10,000	10,000		0.00%
Sandy Speer	65,468	65,468		0.00%
Scott F. Koch	158,400	158,400		0.00%
Scott W. Phillips	50,589	50,589		0.00%
Victus Capital	5,500,000	5,500,000		0.00%
Sive Paget & Reisel	2,055	2,055		0.00%
Spencer Reibman	18,780	18,780		0.00%
Stanley Seren	8,287	8,287		0.00%
Starobin Partners	110,000	110,000		0.00%
Stephen Feldman	2,055	2,055		0.00%
Steve Chrust	127,656	127,656		0.00%
Steve Schnipper	199,554	199,554		0.00%
Little Gem Life Sciences Fund LLC	91,666	91,666		0.00%
Straightline Capital Opp. Fund, LLC	737,117	737,117		0.00%
Ted Breitbart	18,208	18,208		0.00%
Alan Talesnick	238,194	238,194		0.00%
Thunderbird Global Corporation	1,011,672	1,011,672		0.00%
Tomas Haendler	698,933	698,933		0.00%
Truman Bassett	42,526	42,526		0.00%
Wendy Joffe	36,847	36,847		0.00%
Westbury Diagnostics	141,905	141,905		0.00%
Zilma Rojas	5,500	5,500		0.00%
TOTALS	26,689,868	21,534,808	5,155,060	

- (1) Includes shares underlying series A preferred stock into which the series A preferred stock is convertible, and shares underlying warrants and/or options held by the selling security holder that are covered by this prospectus, including any convertible securities that, due to contractual restrictions, may not be exercisable within 60 days of the date of this prospectus.
- (2) The number of shares of common stock to be sold assumes that the selling security holder elects to sell all of the shares of common stock held by the selling security holder that are covered by this prospectus.

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

The selling security holders and any of their pledgees, assignees and successors-in-interest may, from time to time, sell any or all of their shares of common stock on any stock exchange, market or trading facility on which the shares are traded or in private transactions. These sales may be at fixed or negotiated prices.

The selling security holders also may sell shares under Rule 144 under the Securities Act, if available, rather than under this prospectus. The selling security holders may engage in short sales against the box, puts and calls and other transactions in our securities or derivatives of our securities, and may sell or deliver shares in connection with these trades. The selling security holders may pledge their shares to their brokers under the margin provisions of customer agreements. If a selling security holder defaults on a margin loan, the broker may, from time to time, offer and sell the pledged shares.

Broker-dealers engaged by the selling security holders may arrange for other broker-dealers to participate in sales. Broker-dealers may receive commissions or discounts from either the selling security holders or, if any broker-dealer acts as agent for the purchaser of shares, from the purchaser, in amounts to be negotiated. The selling security holders do not expect these commissions and discounts to exceed what is customary in the types of transactions involved.

The selling security holders and any broker-dealers or agents that are involved in selling the shares may be deemed to be underwriters within the meaning of the Securities Act in connection with those sales. In that event, any commissions received by such broker-dealers or agents and any profit on the resale of the shares purchased by them may be deemed to be underwriting commissions or discounts under the Securities Act.

We are required to pay all fees and expenses incident to the registration of the shares being registered herein, including fees and disbursements of counsel to the selling security holders up to a maximum of \$7,500. We are not required to pay commissions and other selling expenses. We have agreed to indemnify the selling security holders against losses, claims, damages and liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act arising out of or based upon any untrue or alleged untrue statement of a material fact contained in the registration statement, any prospectus or any form of prospectus or in any amendment or supplement thereto or in any preliminary prospectus, or arising out of or based upon any omission or alleged omission of a material fact necessary to make the statements therein not misleading.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, we may be involved in litigation relating to claims arising out of our operations in the normal course of business. Please refer to the section of this prospectus entitled Description of business Our business following the merger Certain legal and intellectual property issues for a discussion of some of the legal issues we face. Other than as set forth below, we know of no material, existing or pending legal proceedings against us, nor are we involved as a plaintiff in any material proceeding or pending litigation. There are no proceedings in which any of our directors, officers or affiliates, or any registered or beneficial shareholder, is an adverse party or has a material interest to our interest. The outcome of the open unresolved legal proceeding set forth below is presently indeterminable. We do not believe the potential outcome from this legal proceeding will significantly impact our financial position, operations or cash flows.

Saliva Diagnostic Systems Dispute. An integral part of our business plan is the manufacture and sale of our Sure Check HIV rapid test product which incorporates a sample collection method that provides conveniences in terms of ease of use and safety. Until May 2003, Sure Check was known as Hema Strip . Hema Strip was manufactured by Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. pursuant to a manufacturing agreement between Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. and Saliva Diagnostic Systems, Inc. The contract with Saliva Diagnostic was based upon, among other things, a patent that Saliva Diagnostic owns that was represented by Saliva Diagnostic to cover the sample collection method employed by the Hema Strip and which patent Saliva Diagnostic also represented to be valid and enforceable. After Saliva Diagnostic unilaterally terminated the manufacturing agreement and alleged patent infringement by Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc., Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. determined that the aforementioned patent did not cover the sample collection method used by the Hema Strip, and that in any case each claim of the Saliva Diagnostic patent was not valid due to the existence of previously uncited prior art.

On March 17, 2004, Saliva Diagnostic made further allegations of patent infringement against Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. In connection with the foregoing, Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. filed a complaint against Saliva Diagnostic in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York on March 18, 2004 (Civil Action No. 04-1149-JS-ETB). The complaint asks the court for declaratory and other relief that our Sure Check HIV test does not infringe the Saliva Diagnostic patent, that the Saliva Diagnostic patent is invalid, and that the Saliva Diagnostic patent is unenforceable due to inequitable procurement. On April 8, 2004, Saliva Diagnostic filed its answer and counterclaim, alleging that we were infringing on the Saliva Diagnostic Patent. We filed our Reply to Counterclaim on May 3, 2004, denying the allegation of infringement of the Saliva Diagnostic Patent. A pretrial scheduled conference has been set for August 13, 2004.

DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CONTROL PERSONS

Lawrence A. Siebert (47), President and Director. Mr. Siebert was appointed President of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. and a member of our board of directors upon consummation of the merger. Mr. Siebert has been Chairman of Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. for approximately 12 years and its President since May 2002. Mr. Siebert s background is in private equity and venture capital investing. From 1982 to 1991, Mr. Siebert was associated with Stanwich Partners, Inc, which during that period invested in middle market manufacturing and distribution companies. From 1992 to 1999, Mr. Siebert was an investment consultant and business broker with Siebert Capital Corp. and Siebert Associates LLC, and was a principal investor in a privately held test and measurement company which was sold in 2002. Mr. Siebert received a JD from Case Western Reserve University School of Law in 1981 and a BA with Distinction in Economics from the University of Connecticut in 1978.

Richard J. Larkin (47), Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Larkin was appointed as Chief Financial Officer of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. upon consummation of the merger. Mr. Larkin oversees our financial activities and information systems. Mr. Larkin has been the Chief Financial Officer of Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. since September 2003. Prior to joining Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc., Mr. Larkin served as CFO at Visual Technology Group from May 2000 to September 2003, and also led their consultancy program that provided hands-on expertise in all aspects of financial service, including the initial assessment of client financial reporting requirements within an Enterprise Resource Planning (Manufacturing) environment through training and implementation. Prior to joining VTG, he served as CFO at Protex International Corporation from May 1987 to January 2000. Mr. Larkin holds a BBA in Accounting from

Dowling College and is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

Avi Pelossof (41), Vice President Sales, Marketing and Business Development. Mr. Pelossof joined Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. in 1996 and has been responsible for developing Chembio Diagnostic System s marketing strategy and collaborations. From 1991 to 1996, he was Managing Director and co-founder of The IMS Group, Inc., which provided strategic marketing advisory services to companies involved in Latin American markets including Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. Prior to IMS he was a Citibank Vice President in the International Corporate Finance Group focused on Latin America. Mr. Pelossof received his MBA in finance and international business from New York University in 1986 and a BA with Distinction in economics from the University of Michigan in 1984.

Javan Esfandiari (39), Director of Research & Development in 1993. Mr. Esfandiari co-founded, and became a co-owner of Sinovus Biotech AB where he served as Director of Research and Development concerning lateral flow technology until Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. acquired Sinovus Biotech AB in 2000. From 1993 to 1997, Mr. Esfandiari was Director of Research and Development with On-Site Biotech/National Veterinary Institute, Uppsala, Sweden, which was working in collaboration with Sinovus Biotech AB on development of veterinary lateral flow technology. Mr. Esfandiari received his B.Sc. in Clinical Chemistry and his M. Sc. in Molecular Biology from Lund University, Sweden. He has published articles in various veterinary journals and has co-authored articles on tuberculosis serology with Dr. Lyashchenko.

Rick Bruce (50), Director of Operations. Mr. Bruce has been Director of Operations since April 2000. In this capacity, he directs our production, maintenance, inventory, shipping and receiving, and warehouse operations. Prior to joining Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. he held director level positions at American Home Products from 1984 to 1993. From 1998 to 2000, he held a management position at V.I. Technologies. From 1993 to 1998, he held various management positions at Biomerieux. Mr. Bruce has over 25 years of operations management experience with Fortune 500 companies in the field of in-vitro diagnostics and blood fractionation. Mr. Bruce received his BS in Management from National Louis University in 1997.

Mark L. Baum (31), Director. Mr. Baum was elected to our Board of Directors on December 11, 2003. Mr. Baum has more than 10 years experience in creating, financing and growing development stage enterprises in a variety of industries. Mr. Baum has participated in numerous public spin-offs, venture fundings, private-to-public mergers, and various asset acquisitions and divestitures. Mr. Baum is a licensed attorney in the State of California and the principal attorney for The Baum Law Firm. Mr. Baum s law practice focuses on securities laws and related issues for small-cap and micro-cap publicly reporting companies.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock by each person or entity known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of common stock, each of our directors and each of our named executive officers and all of our directors and executive officers as a group as of June 1, 2004.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Percent of Class
Lawrence Siebert (1)	1,801,4022	6.44%
75 Shady Knoll Drive		
Stamford, CT 06903		
Mark Baum (2)	1,550,0002	1.33%
249 Highway 101, Suite 432		
Solana Beach, CA 92075		
Avi Pelossof (3)	398,1096	.04%
51A Edgewood Road		
Port Washington, NY 11050		
Richard Bruce (4)	40,5000	.63%
17 Amalia Lane		
Comack, NY 11725		
All officers and directors as a group ⁽⁵⁾	3,790,0114	8.16%
-		
Tomas Haendler (6)	521,1547	.97%
31 Cogswell Lane		
Stamford, CT 06902		
Thunderbird Global Corporation (7)	457,3537	.13%
c/o The Baum Law Firm		
580 Second Street, Suite 102		
Encinitas, CA 92024		
Daniel Gressel (8)	467,5017	.23%
460 E. 79 th		
Street, Apt. 17B		

New York, NY 10021 H.C. Wainwright & Co., Inc. (9) 245 Park Avenue, 44th Floor New York, NY 10167

390.8675.74%

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the Rule 13d-3(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Except as subject to community property laws, where applicable, the person named above has sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of our common stock shown as beneficially owned by him.

The term named executive officer refers to our chief executive officer and each of our other executive officers who received at least \$100,000 of compensation in 2003.

This table does not include convertible securities which, due to contractual restrictions, are not exercisable within 60 days of the date of this prospectus. Specifically, a holder of series A preferred stock may not convert greater than twenty percent (20%) of its shares of series A preferred stock until the earlier of six (6) months following the effective date of this registration statement or March 4, 2005. Additionally, at no time may a holder of shares of series A preferred stock convert shares of the series A preferred stock if the number of shares of common stock to be issued pursuant to such conversion would exceed, when aggregated with all other shares of common stock owned by such holder at such time, the number of shares of common stock which would result in such holder beneficially owning (as determined in accordance with Section 13(d) of the Securities Exchange Act in excess of either 4.999% or 9.999% of the then issued and outstanding shares of common stock outstanding at such time, unless the holder has provided us with sixty-one (61) days notice that the holder would like to waive this restriction.

- (1) Includes 120,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days and 274,435 warrants. Does not include 100,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options that are not exercisable within the next 60 days, 1,547,117 shares issuable upon conversion of series A preferred stock and 1,856,541 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants because at no time may a holder of shares of series A preferred stock or a holder of warrants issued in connection with the series A preferred stock convert the shares of series A preferred stock or exercise the warrants if the number of shares to be issued pursuant to the conversion or exercise would exceed, when aggregated with all other shares of common stock of that holder at that time, the number of shares of common stock would result in the holder beneficially owning in excess of 4.99% of the then issued and outstanding shares of common stock outstanding at that time, unless the holder waives this restriction upon 61 days notice to the Company.
- (2) Includes 850,000 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants. Does not include 108,333 shares issuable upon conversion of series A preferred stock and 130,000 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants because at no time may a holder of shares of series A preferred stock or a holder of warrants issued in connection with the series A preferred stock convert the shares of series A preferred stock or exercise the warrants if the number of shares to be issued pursuant to the conversion or exercise would exceed, when aggregated with all other shares of common stock of that holder at that time, the number of shares of common stock would result in the holder beneficially owning in excess of 4.99% of the then issued and outstanding shares of common stock outstanding at that time, unless the holder waives this restriction upon 61 days notice to the Company.
- (3) Includes 150,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days and 22,555 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants. Does not include 150,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options that are not exercisable within the next 60 days, 10,078 shares issuable upon conversion of series A preferred stock and 12,095 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants because at no time may a holder of shares of series A preferred stock or a holder of warrants issued in connection with the series A preferred stock convert the shares of series A preferred stock or exercise the warrants if the number of shares to be issued pursuant to the conversion or exercise would exceed, when aggregated with all other shares of common stock of that holder at that time, the number of shares of common stock would result in the holder beneficially owning in excess of 4.99% of the then issued and outstanding shares of common stock outstanding at that time, unless the holder waives this restriction upon 61 days notice to the Company.
- (4) Includes 35,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days and 500 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants. Does not include 35,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options that are not exercisable within the next 60 days.
- (5) Includes footnotes (1)-(4).
- (6) Includes 80,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days and 38,197 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants. Does not include 80,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options that are not exercisable within the next 60 days, 44,450 shares issuable upon conversion of series A preferred stock and 53,334 shares issuable upon the exercise of warrants because at no time may a holder of shares of series A preferred stock or a holder of warrants issued in connection with the series A preferred stock convert the shares of series A preferred stock or exercise the warrants if the number of shares to be issued pursuant to the conversion or exercise would exceed, when aggregated with all other shares of common stock of that holder at that time, the number of shares of common stock would result in the holder beneficially owning in excess of 4.99% of the then issued and outstanding shares of common stock outstanding at that time, unless the holder waives this restriction upon 61 days notice to the Company.

- (7) Does not include 251,963 shares issuable upon conversion of series A preferred stock and 302,356 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants because at no time may a holder of shares of series A preferred stock or a holder of warrants issued in connection with the series A preferred stock convert the shares of series A preferred stock or exercise the warrants if the number of shares to be issued pursuant to the conversion or exercise would exceed, when aggregated with all other shares of common stock of that holder at that time, the number of shares of common stock would result in the holder beneficially owning in excess of 4.99% of the then issued and outstanding shares of common stock outstanding at that time, unless the holder waives this restriction upon 61 days notice to the Company. Gustavo Montilla may be deemed to have voting or investment control over the shares held by Thunderbird Global Corporation.
- (8) Includes 5,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days and 42,065 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants. Does not include 5,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options that are not exercisable within the next 60 days.
- (9) Includes 390,867 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants. ZGNY Investments Limited Partnership may be deemed to have voting or investment control over the shares held by H.C. Wainwright & Co., Inc. Bryan Zwan may be deemed to have voting or investment control over ZGNY Investments Limited Partnership.

DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES

Pursuant to our articles of incorporation, as amended, we are authorized to issue 50,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share. Below is a description of our common stock, shares of which are being offered in this prospectus and a description of our preferred stock.

Common stock

Holders of the common stock are entitled to one vote for each share held by them of record on our books in all matters to be voted on by the stockholders. Holders of common stock are entitled to receive dividends as may be legally declared from time to time by the board of directors, and in the event of our liquidation, dissolution or winding up, to share ratably in all assets remaining after payment of liabilities. Declaration of dividends on common stock is subject to the discretion of the board of directors and will depend upon a number of factors, including our future earnings, capital requirements and financial condition. We have not declared dividends on our common stock in the past and we currently anticipate that retained earnings, if any, in the future will be applied to our expansion and development rather than the payment of dividends. Additionally, pursuant to the certificate of designation authorizing and creating the series A preferred stock, we are restricted from paying dividends on the common stock without the approval of holders of at least three-fourths of the then outstanding shares of our series A preferred stock.

The holders of common stock have no preemptive or conversion rights and are not subject to further calls or assessments. There are no redemption or sinking fund provisions applicable to the common stock. Our articles of incorporation require the approval of the holders of a majority of our outstanding common stock for the election of directors and for other fundamental corporate actions, such as mergers and sales of substantial assets, or for an amendment to our articles of incorporation. There exists no provision in our articles of incorporation or our bylaws that would delay, defer or prevent a change in control of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc.

Action Stock Transfer acts as our transfer agent and registrar

Preferred Stock

Dividends. Holders of series A preferred stock are entitled to an 8% per annum dividend per share. The dividend accrues and is payable semi-annually at our option either in cash, in shares of series A preferred stock or in shares of common stock. Accrued but unpaid dividends are also payable upon the conversion or redemption of the shares of series A preferred stock and upon our liquidation, dissolution or winding up.

Voting Rights. As long as any shares of series A preferred stock are outstanding, we cannot take any of the following actions without the separate class vote or written consent of at least three-fourths of the then outstanding shares of our series A preferred stock:

- amend, alter or repeal the provisions of the series A preferred stock so as to adversely affect any right, preference, privilege or voting power of the series A preferred stock;
- repurchase, redeem or pay dividends on shares of common stock or any other shares of our equity securities that by their terms do not rank senior to the series A preferred stock, other than de minimus repurchases from our employees in certain circumstances:
- amend our articles of incorporation or bylaws so as to affect materially and adversely any right, preference, privilege or voting power of the series A preferred stock;
- effect any distribution with respect to any equity securities that by their terms do not rank senior to the series A preferred stock;

- reclassify our outstanding securities;
- voluntarily file for bankruptcy, liquidate our assets or make an assignment for the benefit of our creditors; or
- change the nature of our business.

In addition, as long as at least \$1,000,000 of series A preferred stock is outstanding, we cannot, without the affirmative vote or consent of the holders of at least three-fourths of the shares of the series A preferred stock outstanding at the time, authorize, create, issue or increase the authorized or issued amount of any class or series of stock, except for the issuance of shares of series A preferred stock with respect to the payment of dividends on the outstanding shares of series A preferred stock.

Except with respect to items set forth above upon which the series A preferred stock shall be entitled to vote separately as a class and except as otherwise required by Nevada law, the series A preferred stock does not have any voting rights. The common stock into which the series A preferred stock is convertible will have, upon issuance, all the same voting rights as other issued and outstanding shares of our common stock.

Conversion. The series A preferred stock is convertible, at the option of the holders, into shares of common stock at an initial conversion price of \$.60 per share. Based on its original purchase price of \$30,000.00 per share, each share of series A preferred stock is initially convertible into 50,000 shares of common stock. The series A preferred stock is issuable in fractional shares. The series A preferred stock contains adjustment provisions upon the occurrence of stock splits, stock dividends, combinations, reclassifications or similar events of our capital stock.

A holder of series A preferred stock cannot convert more than twenty percent (20%) of the shares of series A preferred stock that the holder owns into shares of common stock until the earlier to occur of six (6) months following the effective date of this registration statement or March 5, 2005.

Each share of the series A preferred stock will automatically convert into common stock on the date that the closing bid price for the common stock exceeds \$1.50 for a period of ten (10) consecutive trading days, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- such date is at least one hundred eighty (180) days following the effective date of this registration statement, and
- this registration statement has been effective, without lapse or suspension of any kind, for a period of sixty (60) days (or the common stock into which the series A preferred stock is convertible can be freely traded pursuant to Rule 144(k) under the Securities Act).

Redemption. In the event of:

- a consolidation, merger, or other business combination involving Chembio Diagnostics, Inc.,
- the sale of more than 50% of our assets, or
- the closing of a purchase,

tender or exchange offer made to holders of more than 50% of our outstanding shares of common stock, each holder of series A preferred stock has the right to require us to redeem all or a portion of such holder s shares of series A preferred stock at a price per share of series A preferred stock equal to 100% of the then current liquidation preference amount for the series A preferred stock, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends; provided that we will have the sole option to pay the redemption price in cash or shares of common stock. If we elect to pay the redemption price in shares of common stock, the price per share will be based upon the lesser of the conversion price for the series A preferred stock or the closing bid price for the common stock, in each case measured on the day preceding the date of delivery of the notice of redemption by such holder. In the event we elect to pay the redemption price in shares of common stock, demand registration rights will be granted on those additional shares.

Upon the occurrence of any of the following events:

- the lapse or unavailability of the registration statement,
- the suspension from listing of the common stock for a period of seven (7) consecutive days,
- our failure or inability to comply with a conversion request from a holder of series A preferred stock, or
- our material breach of any of its representations or warranties contained in the series A preferred stock documentation that continues uncured for a period of ten (10) days,

each holder of series A preferred stock has the right to require us to redeem all or a portion of that holder s shares of series A preferred stock at a price per share of series A preferred stock equal to 120% of the then current liquidation preference amount for the series A preferred stock, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends; provided that with respect to some of the triggering events referenced above, we will have the sole option to pay the redemption price in cash or shares of common stock. If we elect to pay the redemption price in shares of common stock, the price per share will be based upon the lesser of the conversion price for the series A preferred stock and the closing bid price for the common stock, in each case measured on the day preceding the date of delivery of the notice of redemption by

such holder. In the event we elect to pay the redemption price in shares of common stock, demand registration rights will be granted on those additional shares.

Rank; Liquidation Preference. The holders of our series A preferred stock rank prior to the holders of our common stock and, unless otherwise consented to by the holders of series A preferred stock, prior to all other classes of capital stock that we may establish, with respect to the distribution of its assets upon a bankruptcy, liquidation or other similar event. The liquidation preference for the series A preferred stock is an amount equal to \$30,000.00 per share plus any accrued and unpaid dividends.

INTEREST OF NAMED EXPERTS AND COUNSEL

Lazar, Levine & Felix LLP, independent auditors, have audited our financial statements of as of and for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, as set forth in their report. The financial statements are included in reliance on such reports given upon the authority of Lazar, Levine & Felix LLP as experts in accounting and auditing. Lazar, Levine & Felix LLP does not have any ownership interest in us.

The validity of the issuance of the shares of common stock offered hereby and other legal matters in connection herewith have been passed upon for us by Patton Boggs LLP. A partner of Patton Boggs LLP owns 69,787 shares of common stock, 1.447 shares of series A preferred stock (which are convertible into 72,350 shares of common stock) and a warrant to purchase 96,023 shares of our common stock, the sale of the common stock, and the shares of common stock into which the preferred stock and the warrants are convertible, are being registered as part of this registration statement. Patton Boggs LLP owns 37,319 shares of common stock, the sale of which is being registered as part of this registration statement.

DISCLOSURE OF COMMISSION POSITION OF INDEMNIFICATIONFOR SECURITIES ACT LIABILITIES

Our directors and officers are indemnified by our bylaws against amounts actually and necessarily incurred by them in connection with the defense of any action, suit or proceeding in which they are a party by reason of being or having been directors or officers of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. or of our subsidiary. Our articles of incorporation provide that none of our directors or officers shall be personally liable for damages for breach of any fiduciary duty as a director or officer involving any act or omission of any such director or officer. Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, may be permitted to such directors, officers and controlling persons pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, we have been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and is, therefore, unenforceable.

In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities, other than the payment by Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. of expenses incurred or paid by such director, officer or controlling person in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding, is asserted by such director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, we will, unless in the opinion of counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Our business prior to the merger

We were incorporated on May 14, 1999 in the state of Nevada under the name Trading Solutions.com, Inc. . We were originally organized to develop a trading school designed to educate people interested in online investing. We offered courses for beginners as well as experienced traders, consisting of theory sessions linked closely with practical hands-on training. We offered individual training, small group sessions and seminars focusing on online trading and various computer-related subjects.

We were not successful with our online trading school and on August 18, 2001, we entered into an exchange agreement with Springland Beverages, Inc., an Ontario, Canada corporation. Pursuant to the agreement, we exchanged 15,542,500 shares of common stock for all the issued and outstanding shares of Springland Beverages, Inc., making Springland our wholly-owned subsidiary. Concurrent with the agreement, there was a change in control and we changed our business plan to focus on developing and marketing soft drinks. Springland Beverages, Inc. was not able to implement its business plan and failed to achieve profitable operations. On March 28, 2003, we sold the subsidiary back to its president, leaving us with no immediate potential revenue sources.

Since the formation of Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. in 1985, it has been involved in developing, manufacturing, selling and distributing tests, including rapid tests, for a number of diseases and for pregnancy.

The Merger

On May 5, 2004, Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. completed the merger through which it became our wholly-owned subsidiary, and through which the management and business of Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. became our management and business. As part of this transaction, we changed our name to Chembio Diagnostics, Inc.

Our business following the merger

General

We are a developer and manufacturer of lateral flow rapid diagnostic tests that detect infectious diseases. Our products are sold through private distributors as well as public health and non-governmental organizations. The main products that we actively market and that are commercially available today are our two HIV Rapid Tests (Sure Check HIV and HIV 1/2 Stat Pak).

HIV Rapid Tests	Regulatory Status	Partners Involved in the Product
Commercially Available		
HIV Rapid Tests (Sure	We currently qualify under U.S. FDA export	Thirteen-year supply and technology
Check HIV; HIV 1/2 Sta	ategulations to sell, subject to any required	transfer agreement with
Pak). Rapid Tests for	approval by the importing country, to	FIOCRUZ-Bio-Manguinhos, a division
detection of antibodies to	customers outside the U.S. To date we have	of the Ministry of Health of Brazil.
HIV 1 and 2 in	received approval from a number of potential	FIOCRUZ-Bio-Manguinhos will supply
finger-stick whole blood,	importing countries, although Brazil is the only	product to Brazilian public health
venous whole blood,	country in which we have significant sales. In	market and potentially other markets in
serum and plasma	addition, we have commenced clinical trials for	the region. Other marketing partners are
	Sure Check and HIV Stat Pak in US for FDA	being actively pursued.
	approval for sales in the U.S.	

A majority of our revenues historically and in 2004 have been from the contract manufacture of private label pregnancy tests for regional pharmacies, drug stores and mass merchants in the United States, Europe, Canada, and Central America. However, as a result of pricing pressures, regulatory changes and potential patent litigation in this field, we are endeavoring to transfer this product line to a third party manufacturer and maintain a profit share derived from these products by the third party. We believe that this will result in a substantial reduction of our revenues from these products during the balance of 2004 and beyond. The timing of this transfer and the extent to which we will derive a benefit from it are difficult to estimate because of uncertainties in regulatory changes, product pricing and manufacturing cost changes, and patent litigation.

As described below, we also have other commercially available products, such as rapid tests for lyme disease and parvo virus, the aggregate of whose revenues are not material to us.

We also are involved, as described below under Research and Development, in the development of new products.

HIV RAPID TESTS: We believe that our growth will initially come from sales of our rapid HIV tests. Rapid HIV tests help address the problem that a large percentage of individuals tested in public health settings do not return or call back for test results from laboratory tests as they can take at least several days to process. We believe that this group comprises a significant amount of all new infections. We are pursuing FDA approval for these products. We have been manufacturing and selling these products since 2001, pursuant to FDA export regulations, to customers in several countries outside the United States. Subject primarily to satisfactory completion of clinical trials and our manufacturing facility inspection in accordance with FDA requirements, we believe that FDA approval can be achieved in 2005.

Our Sure Check HIV rapid test eliminates the need for a separate sample collection system when used to collect finger-stick whole blood samples. We believe this improves ease of use and safety. Our HIV 1/2 Stat-Pak, like other competitive rapid HIV tests, requires that the finger-stick whole blood sample first be transferred to the test device. However, HIV 1/2 Stat Pak is value priced and more flexible than Sure Check for samples of venous whole blood, plasma and serum. Both of our HIV tests use a standardized test strip which we developed by using patented materials licensed non-exclusively to us from third parties as well as our own proprietary know-how and trade secrets.

Lateral Flow Technology

All our products employ lateral flow technology, which refers to the process of a sample flowing from the point of application on a test strip to provide a test result on a portion of the strip downstream from the point of application. Lateral flow technology is well established and widely applied in the development of rapid diagnostic tests. The functionality of our lateral flow tests is based on the ability of an antibody to bind with a specific antigen (or vice versa) and for the binding to become visible through the use of the colloidal gold and/or colored latex that we use in our products. The colloidal gold or the colored latex produces a colored line if the binding has occurred (the test line), in which case it means there has been a reactive or positive result. In any case, a separate line (the control line) will appear to confirm that the test has been validly run in accordance with the instructions for use.

Our lateral flow technology allows the development of easy-to-perform, single-use diagnostic tests for rapid, visual detection of specific antigen-antibody complexes on a test strip. This format provides a test that is simple (requires neither electricity nor expensive equipment for test execution or reading, nor skilled personnel for test interpretation), rapid (turnaround time approximately 20 minutes), safe (minimizes handling of specimens potentially infected), non-invasive (requires 5-20 microliters of serum or whole blood easily obtained with a finger prick), stable (18 months at room temperature storage in the case of our HIV tests), and highly reproducible.

We can develop and produce lateral flow tests that are qualitative (reactive/non-reactive), as in the case of our HIV tests, and we can develop semi-quantitative tests, reflecting different concentrations of the target marker(s) using different colored latex test lines for each concentration, as is the objective in our dental bacteria test for bacterial levels under development. We can also develop tests for multiple conditions, using different colored lines as is the case in our prototype HIV/tuberculosis test. We have developed proprietary techniques that enable us to achieve high levels of sensitivity and specificity in our diagnostic tests using our proprietary latex conjugate and buffer systems. These techniques include the methods we employ in manufacturing and fusing the reagents with the colored latex, or colloidal gold, blocking procedures used to reduce false positives, and methods used in treating the materials used in our tests to obtain maximum stability and resulting longer shelf life. We also have extensive experience with a variety of lateral flow devices, including the sample collection device used in our Sure Check—HIV rapid test which we believe is easier to use than other finger-stick whole blood rapid tests. Sure Check—eliminates the need for transferring finger-stick whole blood samples from the finger-tip onto a test device, because the collection of the sample is performed within a tubular test chamber, which contains the lateral flow test strip. The whole blood sample is absorbed directly onto the test strip through a small opening in one end of the test chamber and an absorbent pad positioned just inside this same end of the test chamber.

Please refer to the section of this prospectus entitled Legal Proceedings for a discussion of the legal issues we face with regard to Sure Check.

Target Market

HIV Rapid Tests. Market growth in the demand for rapid testing for HIV and tuberculosis in affected developing countries is largely dictated by the availability of donor funds such as those funds administered and distributed pursuant to the United States Presidential Emergency Plan for Aids Relief, the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS, and other governmental and non-governmental programs that fund testing for HIV and tuberculosis. According to the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS 2004 Report on the Global AIDS Epidemic, knowledge of HIV status is the gateway to AIDS treatment. The Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS report further states that a routine offer of HIV testing by health care providers should be made to all patients in sexually transmitted infection clinics, maternal and child health clinics, and health care settings where HIV is prevalent. Last year the World Health Organization and the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS announced the Three by Five initiative, with the goal of treating three million people living with HIV/AIDS by the end of 2005. According to the Global Business Coalition on HIV/AIDS, to achieve having 3 million people on treatment by 2005, each day 5,000 people need to be brought onto treatment and kept on it. In order to achieve this, the Global Business Coalition on HIV/AIDS states that each day about 500,000 people will need to be tested. This estimate assumes that in high prevalence countries about 50,000 people would test positive and that 10% of those, approximately 5,000 people, will require immediate access to life-saving medications.

Tuberculosis Rapid Tests. Also according to the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS 2004 Report on the Global AIDS Epidemic, in many countries where AIDS has hit hardest, tuberculosis is the leading cause of death in people living with HIV. In HIV positive patients, the reliability of existing diagnostic methods used where AIDS prevalence is high is reduced. The Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS report states that intensifying tuberculosis case-finding in HIV testing and counseling centers and in other HIV service outlets is essential. Detection of antibodies to active pulmonary tuberculosis in blood samples has never been achieved to a level of accuracy for this diagnostic method to be used effectively in countries with prevalence of this disease. Our efforts are focused on establishing clinical data that show that our test can detect a statistically meaningful number of patients that are not detected from the standard sputum smear method.

Other Products Under Development. Our products under development with partners in the areas of mad cow disease, dental bacteria and non-human primate tuberculosis reflect our business strategy of leveraging our core competency, which is in the development and manufacture of lateral flow rapid diagnostic tests, and diversifying our markets beyond the HIV and human tuberculosis markets, which are primarily donor-funded markets. We do not have an expertise in assessing the markets in each of these new product undertakings, and in each case we are relying on the market knowledge and position that our chosen partners have in these fields.

Distribution Channels

We seek to establish product development, exclusive manufacturing and/or technology transfer collaborations with organizations that are well positioned to access the markets for these products.

In February of this year we signed an agreement with FIOCRUZ-Bio-Manguinhos, an affiliated entity of the Brazilian Ministry of Health. This agreement provides for a three year period during which Chembio will transfer its know-how for the production and

assembly of its HIV $\frac{1}{2}$ Stat Pak and during which period Bio-Manguinhos will purchase a minimum of approximately 1 million tests from us. The know-how transfer process has begun. The tests that will be purchased will initially be fully completed and assembled at Chembio, but will increasingly during this three year period have components assembled and manufactured by Bio-Manguinhos in Brazil. Chembio will receive a royalty of 5% on net sales for ten years following completion of the technology transfer. Approximately 150,000 tests have been purchased through June 30, 2004, and we anticipate receiving orders for an additional 300,000 units in 2004.

We are seeking to leverage the experience we have in Brazil by establishing other local assembly, and technology transfer collaborations for our HIV tests where local demand and labor conditions justify such ventures. We are also seeking to have our HIV tests evaluated and used in programs for voluntary counseling and testing and prevention of mother to child transmission testing. The programs we are pursuing are overseen and/or led by the United States Centers for Disease Control Global Aids Program, the United States Agency for International Development, United Nations-affiliated programs including the World Health Organization, the health ministries and national AIDS control organizations in the host countries, and many other local and multi-national non-governmental and private organizations. Our efforts to have our tests evaluated and used in these programs were recently facilitated through our attendance and exhibition at the World Aids Conference in Bangkok, Thailand from July 11-15, 2004.

Our distribution and marketing strategy for our existing HIV rapid tests and for our human tuberculosis rapid tests under development will include seeking direct purchases by governmental and non-governmental organizations, commercial relationships with distributors, and/or partnering for local production and assembly in key markets.

The market for the non-human primate tuberculosis test that we have developed, and for which we will begin clinical testing by the first quarter of 2005, primarily consists of pharmaceutical research facilities and zoos. This market represents a small number of total customers. Accordingly, we are considering a direct marketing strategy as well as considering working with a distributor of products to this customer base.

In the case of our mad cow and dental bacteria products that are still under development (see Research & Development), if we are successful in completing those products in collaboration with others, and if the products receive the requisite regulatory clearances, then we will have the right to manufacture them and the collaborating entities will have marketing and distribution rights.

Competition

The diagnostics industry is a multi-billion dollar international industry and is intensely competitive. Many of our competitors are substantially larger and have greater financial, research, manufacturing, and marketing resources.

Industry competition in general is based on the following:

- Scientific and technological capability
- Proprietary know-how
- The ability to develop and market products and processes;
- The ability to obtain FDA or other required regulatory approvals;
- The ability to manufacture products that meet applicable FDA requirements, (i.e. FDA s Quality System Regulations) See Governmental Regulation section;
- Access to adequate capital;
- The ability to attract and retain qualified personnel; and
- The availability of patent protection.
- We believe our scientific and technological capabilities and our proprietary know-how relating to lateral flow rapid tests, particularly for HIV and tuberculosis, are very strong.
- Our ability to develop and market other products is in large measure dependent on our having additional resources and/or collaborative relationships, particularly where we can have our product development efforts funded on a project or milestone basis. We believe that our proprietary know-how in lateral flow technology has been instrumental in our obtaining the collaborations we have developed in mad cow disease and dental bacteria.
- We have limited experience with regard to obtaining FDA or other required regulatory approvals, and no experience with obtaining pre-marketing approval of a biologic product such as HIV. See Governmental Regulation for definition of pre-marketing approval. For this reason, we have hired employees and consultants that collectively have that experience with other companies. We believe this will be very helpful in our obtaining these approvals and in ensuring that we manufacture our products in accordance with FDA and other regulatory requirements.
- Our access to capital is much less than that of several of our competitors, and this is a competitive disadvantage. We believe however that our access to capital will increase as we get closer to FDA approval of our rapid HIV tests and/or as we complete the development of, and the requisite regulatory approvals related to, our other products, including those that we have under development.

To date, we believe we have been competitive in the industry in attracting and retaining qualified personnel. Because of the greater financial resources of many of our competitors, we may not be able to complete effectively for the same individuals to the extent that a

competitor uses its substantial resources to attract any such individuals. With respect to the availability of patent protection, we do not have our own portfolio of patents or the financial resources to develop and/or acquire a portfolio of patents similar to those of our larger competitors. We have been able to obtain patent protection by entering into licensing arrangements.

Competitive factors specifically related to our HIV tests are product quality, price and ease of use. Product quality for an HIV rapid test primarily means accuracy (sensitivity and specificity), detection of early cases, time to reading result, and product shelf life. We believe that our HIV ½ Stat Pak and SureCheck HIV rapid tests are very competitive with the best products in the market on the basis of these competitive factors.

Significant direct competitors for our Sure Check and HIV Stat Pak rapid HIV tests are Abbott Diagnostics, Orasure Technologies, Inc. and Trinity Biotech Plc. Orasure and Trinity have HIV rapid tests that are FDA approved. In addition there are a number of other companies that have HIV rapid tests, including others based in the US that are seeking FDA approval.

We believe that Chembio is in a leadership position as it relates to our rapid tuberculosis test even though the product is still under evaluation and not ready for marketing. We are not aware of any rapid whole blood test that has the sensitivity and specificity levels necessary to replace or complement the current sputum smear microscopy method being employed in the high burden tuberculosis countries; and this is what we believe our rapid tuberculosis test, when fully developed and evaluated, will be able to do. We are also not aware of any rapid whole blood test to detect active pulmonary tuberculosis in non-human primates and/or other animals for which Chembio is developing rapid tuberculosis tests.

Research and Development

Our research and development activities have been in four areas, all related to lateral flow rapid diagnostic product development: Bovine Spongeiform Encephalopathy, which is also known as mad cow disease, dental bacteria, tuberculosis, and HIV.

We have collaborated with Prionics AG, Zurich, Switzerland since late 2002 to develop and produce certain components of a rapid test for mad cow disease to be marketed by Prionics and/or their distributors under their name. In March we signed a contract to be one of two contract manufacturers of this product following their transfer of the completed product know-how to us and approval of the product in Europe. These steps are in process but have not been completed. The contract is for three years, which begins when the product approval is granted in Europe. Although we expect that the technology transfer and European regulatory approval can be completed this year, and that initial sales will occur in 2005, we cannot estimate the timing and extent of these events as there are many factors that are beyond our control that could delay this timetable, including delays or changes in regulatory requirements, delays in the technology transfer or changes to the product specifications. Moreover, even once the product is approved in Europe, we do not control the marketing of the product, and we will have limited information about the marketing and distribution strategy of Prionics AG, including competitive products, market size and Prionics existing market share, although we do expect to receive supply requirements forecasts from Prionics if and when the technology transfer is complete and the product is approved.

In the dental bacteria test, we have a contract with Ivoclar-Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein to develop a rapid test that can detect different levels of bacteria found in saliva samples that have been found to be associated with tooth decay. The test employs intellectual property developed at University of California Los Angeles Dental School for which Ivoclar-Vivadent is the exclusive licensee. Our contract with Ivoclar-Vivadent provides for a three phase development program for which we are being compensated a total of \$180,000. We are now in the second phase. If the development program results in a completed product in accordance with Ivoclar-Vivadent s specifications, then we will be the exclusive manufacturer and Ivoclar-Vivadent will have exclusive marketing and distribution rights. The contract is for five years and may be renewed by Ivoclar-Vivadent for an indefinite number of two-year renewals. Although our contract with Ivoclar-Vivadent contemplated that product development will be completed this year, and that regulatory approvals and products launch will be in 2005, there are factors beyond our control that make it impossible to predict the timing, nature and extent of revenues from this product, if any.

Our tuberculosis rapid tests for humans are being designed to significantly increase the accuracy of existing tuberculosis screening methods. Our initial tuberculosis test was developed pursuant to a Phase I and II Small Business Innovative Research grant from the National Institute of Health with Public Health Research Institute, Newark, New Jersey that was in place from 1998 until 2002, and our test was completed in 2003. In 1998 we entered into a license agreement with Public Health Research Institute which provides for us to pay a royalty on sales of our antibody detection tuberculosis tests that incorporate any of the antigens covered by the agreement. A study of our serological test for active pulmonary tuberculosis in humans by Sumitomo Seiyaku Biomedical of Japan has shown that sensitivity can increase from 45% to 82% when used in combination with the sputum smear method (the current standard in high incidence settings), and from 45% to 91% when used with the two- step confirmatory combination of sputum smear and culture testing. However, we know that serological testing for tuberculosis is very complex and challenging, and we therefore believe that much further testing in a variety of geographic settings will be needed in order to confirm the performance of this test across diverse populations. Our test is now involved in one evaluation in Uganda, and we are discussing several other evaluations, some of which we believe could take place in 2004. However, the timing and results of these evaluations cannot be predicted and therefore the timing and extent of any sales that would be derived from this product can also not be estimated at this time.

We have also begun work on a \$100,000 grant we received beginning in March, 2004 from the World Health Organization to develop a simple and rapid lateral flow test for antigen detection in tuberculosis. We also have developed a prototype of a combination lateral flow rapid test for detecting antibodies to HIV and active pulmonary tuberculosis using separate test lines of different colors on a single test strip. Given the developmental stage of this research, there is no expectation of revenues from this product in the foreseeable future.

We have also expended efforts related to the detection of active pulmonary tuberculosis in animals and are currently seeking a collaboration partner. We do not anticipate any sales from this product line in 2004 and most of 2005.

Our HIV development efforts are on a next generation rapid test that can detect cases even earlier than all currently marketed rapid tests do without compromising the specificity of the test. A prototype has been developed and needs to undergo substantial revision and optimization. No reagent license agreements are in place with regard to the materials used in this prototype at this time. We do not anticipate any sales from this product line in 2004 and most of 2005.

The foregoing research and development efforts are summarized below:

Existing or Proposed Product	Regulatory Status	Development Status	s Partners involved in the development or marketing of the products
Rapid test for detection of Bovine	Not yet submitted	Under development	Prionics AG, Zurich,
Spongeiform Encephalopathy, also known as mad cow disease, in cattle	for approval		Switzerland
Dental Bacteria Test	Not yet submitted	Phase 2	Ivoclar-Vivadent, AG,
	for approval	(Optimization of Test)	Schaan Liechtenstein
Tuberculosis Stat Pak II- rapid diagnostic test	•	Product validation	Public Health Research
for detection of antibodies to active pulmonary tuberculosis in human whole blood samples	y for approval	completed	Institute and Satens Serum Institute
TBD rapid diagnostic test for the detection of	•	Product under	World Health Organization-
antigens for active pulmonary tuberculosis in sputum	for approval	development pursuant to grant	Special Program for Research and Training in Tropical
		from the World Health Organization	Diseases
TBD Non-Human Primate Rapid Tuberculosis	s Not yet submitted	Product validation	Sequella Corporation,
Test for the detection of antibodies to active pulmonary tuberculosis in non-human primate whole blood samples	* *	completed	Rockville, Maryland
Combination HIV/Tuberculosis Rapid Test fo the detection of antibodies to active pulmonar tuberculosis and HIV in human whole blood	•	Initial Prototype	None
samples using different color latex test lines New Generation HIV Test	Not yet submitted for approval	Initial Prototype	None

During 2003 and 2002, approximately \$313,891 and \$378,089 respectively was spent on research and development activities. A significant portion of these expenditures have been on our human and non-human primate tuberculosis product development efforts.

Research & Development Expenditures					
	2002	2003			
Human Tuberculosis	\$265,118	\$59,491			
Veterinary Tuberculosis	39,169	116,239			
HIV, Dental, Mad Cow	20,000	100,000			
Other	53,802	48,161			
Totals	\$378,089	\$313,891			

Employees

At May 31, 2004, we employed 51 employees, including 48 full-time employees. At the time of closing of the merger, we entered into employment agreements with Lawrence Siebert, President and Chairman, Avi Pelossof, VP Sales, Marketing and Business Development, and Javan Esfandiari, Director of research and development. We also entered into an employment agreement with

Mark L. Baum, a member of our board of directors, to provide advice and guidance with respect to management, marketing, strategic planning, corporate structure, business operations, expansion of services, acquisitions and business opportunities, matters related to our public reporting obligations, and our overall needs.

Governmental Regulation

All of Chembio s existing and proposed diagnostic products are regulated by the FDA, U.S. Department of Agriculture, certain state and local agencies, and/or comparable regulatory bodies in other countries. This regulation governs almost all aspects of development, production, and marketing, including product testing, authorizations to market, labeling, promotion, manufacturing, and record keeping. All of Chembio s FDA and U.S. Department of Agriculture regulated products require some form of action by that agency before they can be marketed in the United States, and, after approval or clearance, Chembio must continue to comply with other FDA requirements applicable to marketed products. Both before and after approval or clearance, failure to comply with the FDA s requirements can lead to significant penalties.

Most of Chembio s diagnostic products are regulated as medical devices, and some are regulated as biologics. There are two review procedures by which medical devices can receive FDA clearance or approval. Some products may qualify for clearance under Section 510(k) of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, in which the manufacturer provides a pre-market notification that it intends to begin marketing the product, and shows that the product is substantially equivalent to another legally marketed product (i.e., that it has the same intended use and is as safe and effective as a legally marketed device and does not raise different questions of safety and effectiveness). In some cases, the submission must include data from human clinical studies. Marketing may commence when the FDA issues a clearance letter finding such substantial equivalence. An applicant must submit a 510(k) application at least 90 days before marketing of the affected product commences. Although FDA clearance may be granted within that 90-day period, in some cases as much as a year or more may be required before clearance is obtained, if at all.

If the medical device does not qualify for the 510(k) procedure (either because it is not substantially equivalent to a legally marketed device or because it is required by statute and the FDA s implementing regulations to have an approved application), the FDA must approve a pre-market approval application before marketing can begin. Pre-market approvals must demonstrate, among other matters, that the medical device provides a reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness. A pre-market approval is typically a complex submission, including the results of preclinical and clinical studies. Preparing a pre-market approval is a detailed and time-consuming process. Once a pre-market approval has been submitted, the FDA is required to review the submission within a statutory period of time. However, the FDA s review may, and often is, much longer, often requiring one year or more, and may include requests for additional data.

Biologic products must be the subject of an approved biologics license application before they can be marketed. The FDA approval process for a biologic product is similar to the pre-market approval process, involving a demonstration of the product s safety and effectiveness based in part on both preclinical and clinical studies.

Chembio s HIV rapid tests are considered by FDA to be a biologic and will therefore be submitted to the biologics division of FDA, the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research.

Every company that manufactures biologic products or medical devices distributed in the United States must comply with the FDA s Quality System Regulations. These regulations govern the manufacturing process, including design, manufacture, testing, release, packaging, distribution, documentation, and purchasing. Compliance with the Quality System Regulations is required before the FDA will approve an application, and these requirements also apply to marketed products. Companies are also subject to other post-market and general requirements, including compliance with restrictions imposed on marketed products, compliance with promotional standards, record keeping, and reporting of certain adverse reactions or events. The FDA regularly inspects companies to determine compliance with the Quality System Regulations and other post-approval requirements. Failure to comply with statutory requirements and the FDA s regulations can lead to substantial penalties, including monetary penalties, injunctions, product recalls, seizure of products, and criminal prosecution.

The Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act of 1988 prohibits laboratories from performing in vitro tests for the purpose of providing information for the diagnosis, prevention or treatment of any disease or impairment of, or the assessment of, the health of human beings unless there is in effect for such laboratories a certificate issued by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services applicable to the category of examination or procedure performed. Although a certificate is not required for Chembio, Chembio considers the applicability of the requirements of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act in the design and development of its products. A Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act waiver will remove certain quality control and other requirements that must be met for certain customers to use Chembio s products, and this is in fact critical to the marketability of a product into the point of care diagnostics market.

In addition, the FDA regulates the export of medical devices that have not been approved for marketing in the United States. The Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act contains general requirements for any medical device that may not be sold in the United States and is intended for export. Specifically, a medical device intended for export is not deemed to be adulterated or misbranded if the

product: (1) accords to the specifications of the foreign purchaser; (2) is not in conflict with the laws of the county to which it is intended for export; (3) is labeled on the outside of the shipping package that it is intended for export; and (4) is not sold or offered for sale in the United States. Some medical devices face additional statutory requirements before they can be exported. If an unapproved device does not comply with an applicable performance standard or premarket approval requirement, is exempt from either such requirement because it is an investigational device, or is a banned device, the device may be deemed to be adulterated or misbranded unless the FDA has determined that exportation of the device is not contrary to the public health and safety and has the approval of the country to which it is intended for export. However, the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act does permit the export of devices to any country in the world, if the device complies with the laws of the importing country and has valid marketing authorization in one of several listed countries under the theory that these listed countries have sophisticated mechanisms for the review of medical devices for safety and effectiveness.

Chembio is also subject to regulations in foreign countries governing products, human clinical trials and marketing, and may need to obtain approval or evaluations by international public health agencies, such as the World Health Organization, in order to sell products in certain countries. Approval processes vary from country to country, and the length of time required for approval or to obtain other clearances may in some cases be longer than that required for U.S. governmental approvals. The extent of potentially adverse governmental regulation affecting Chembio that might arise from future legislative or administrative action cannot be predicted.

Chembio s HIV rapid tests have been evaluated and approved for marketing in several foreign jurisdictions, including Mexico, India, and other nations in the developing world. Chembio has received an FDA Investigational Device Exemption to begin clinical trials for the Sure Check HIV and HIV Stat Pak rapid tests and is currently beginning clinical trials as the initial step toward FDA approval of these products.

Environmental Laws

To date, we have not encountered any costs relating to the compliance with any environmental laws.

Intellectual Property

Intellectual Property Strategy

Subject to our available financial resources, our intellectual property strategy is: (1) to pursue licenses, trade secrets, and know-how within the area of lateral flow technology, and (2) to develop and acquire proprietary positions to reagents and new hardware platforms for the development and manufacture of rapid diagnostic tests.

Trade Secrets and Know-How

We believe that we have developed a substantial body of trade secrets and know-how relating to the development of lateral flow diagnostic tests, including but not limited to the sourcing and optimization of materials for such tests, and how to maximize sensitivity, speed-to-result, specificity, stability and reproducibility.

Lateral Flow Technology and Reagent Licenses

Although own no patents covering lateral flow technology, we have obtained a license from Abbott Laboratories to a portfolio of its lateral flow patents. The issue of potential patent challenges is ongoing for us as well as for our competitors, and we continue to monitor the situation, consult with patent counsel, and seek licenses and/or redesigns of products that we believe to be in the best interests of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. and our stockholders. Because of the costs and other negative consequences of time-consuming litigation regardless of whether we would ultimately prevail, if we foresee a significant possibility of patent infringement litigation, our first priority will be to attempt to obtain a license on reasonable terms. Nevertheless there is no assurance that Abbott s lateral flow patents may not be challenged or that licenses will be available on reasonable terms, if any.

In the event that it is determined that a license is required and it is not possible to negotiate a license agreement under a necessary patent, we may be able to modify our HIV rapid test products such that a license would not be necessary. However, this alternative could delay or limit our ability to sell these products in the United States and other markets, which would adversely affect our results of operations, cash flows and business.

The peptides used in our HIV rapid tests are patented by Adaltis Inc. and are licensed to us under a 10-year license agreement dated August 30, 2002. We also have licensed the antigens used in our tuberculosis tests.

Legal Issues

FTC Matter

On February 27th, 2001, a Stipulated Final Order for Permanent Injunction and Other Equitable Relief was signed and entered by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York. The stipulation is a settlement agreement between Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. and the United States Federal Trade Commission arising out of certain events that occurred in 1999. The events resulted in allegations by the FTC that Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. misrepresented performance claims relating to a previous generation of its HIV test kits. Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. denied these allegations. Nevertheless, due to the nature of the product and other circumstances, this matter consumed a very substantial amount of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. s resources from mid-1999 through the beginning of 2001. Because an even greater expense would have had to be incurred in litigating this matter against an agency with virtually unlimited resources and because Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. was able to negotiate a settlement that it deemed acceptable and in Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. s best interest, the settlement was concluded. The stipulation requires Chembio Diagnostics, Inc., among other things, to not misrepresent product performance claims, to not make any claims without competent and reliable scientific evidence as substantiation for such claims and to also comply with mandated record keeping, notification, and monitoring provisions. The settlement agreement further provides that Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. must provide all of its principals, officers, directors, managers and all other employees of Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. having responsibilities related to Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. s business with a copy of the settlement agreement and must have them acknowledge the receipt of the settlement agreement. The settlement specifically states that Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. does not admit that it made any statements or took any other action that was a violation of law. 201262v10

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS AND PLAN OF OPERATION

OVERVIEW

The following management discussion and analysis relates to the business of Chembio Diagnostic Systems, Inc., our 100% wholly-owned subsidiary. Prior to our merger with Chembio Diagnostics Systems, Inc., we had no assets or liabilities and no operations. As a result of the merger, we added the assets, liabilities and business and operations of Chembio Diagnostics Systems, Inc. We are now de-emphasizing the manufacturing of private label pregnancy tests and focusing on developing products and then obtaining applicable clearances or approvals in the areas of rapid tests for HIV, tuberculosis, mad cow disease and dental disease. We either have or are pursuing collaborative agreements that may include distribution arrangements in each of these areas. We believe that our research and development, manufacturing overhead, selling, marketing and general and administrative costs will increase as we create the necessary infrastructure to focus in these new areas.

The de-emphases of the private label pregnancy tests will not impair any assets of Chembio Diagnostic Systems, Inc. This is primarily due to the gradual nature of this move. Chembio Diagnostic Systems, Inc. will continue to produce component parts, while transferring technology to another manufacturer.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004 AS COMPARED WITH THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2003

Revenues were \$585,312 for the three months ended March 31, 2004 as compared with \$720,077 for the three months ended March 31, 2003, representing a decrease of \$134,765, or 19%. The decrease in sales is primarily attributable to reduced pregnancy test kit sales and reduced sales of one of our veterinary rapid tests offset by approximately \$90,000 in grant-related income. A substantial portion of the grant-related income will recur for the balance of 2004 and in 2005.

Cost of goods sold for the three months ended March 31, 2004 was \$445,924, or 76.2% of revenues, as compared to \$616,766, or 85.7% of revenues, for the three months ended March 31, 2003. The increase in gross margin is primarily attributable to approximately \$90,000 of contract and grant income received during the three months ended March 2004 as compared with no such income during the three-month period ended March 31, 2003, together with income associated with the technology transfer and supply agreement with Bio-Manguinhos that commenced during this period. Gross margin in the three-month period ended March 31, 2003 was negatively impacted by a combination of a lower margin product sales mix and production losses.

Selling, general and administrative expense increased \$97,074 to \$401,436 in the first three months of 2004 compared with the same period in 2003. Driving this increase in expense was primarily compensation expense related to stock awards granted to key employees as well as increased commissions resulting from the commencement of the Bio-Manguinhos program.

Research and development expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2004 were \$112,095, or 19.2% of revenues, compared with \$85,262, or 11.8% of revenues, for the three months ended March 31, 2003. The increase in expense and associated percentage of revenues is due primarily to increased salaries and wages and related costs of each of the members of the R&D group since the March 31, 2003 period as new grants and development contracts were awarded and also due to the addition of an R&D Technician hired in late 2003 for the purpose of fulfilling obligations under grants from the National Institute of Health and World Health Organization as well as other product development contracts.

The status of each of our major research and development projects is as follows:

D	D III (6 M IG DI
Project	Rapid Test for Mad Cow Disease
Current status	We are waiting for technology transfer from Prionics AG in order to begin production scale-up, validation and regulatory submission
	•
Nature, timing and estimated costs	The timing of production scale-up and validation is anticipated to be approximately
of the efforts necessary to complete	three to six months from the date of the completion of the technology transfer. Thereafter, we will incur costs to establish the production capacity required for this product, which we presently anticipate to be approximately \$100,000.
Anticipated completion date	Not Known
	- 111 -
Risks and uncertainties associated with completing development on schedule, and the consequences to operations, financial position and liquidity if not completed timely	We are relying on technology developed by Prionics and so there is a risk that the product validation will encounter difficulties that at present are not known or foreseeable. The risks associated with the product involve regulatory and technology risks.
Timing of commencement of expected material net cash inflows	It is not known or estimable when net cash inflows from this project will commence due to the uncertainties associated with the completion of the product, regulatory submissions, and the nature and timing of Prionics distribution network

Dental Bacteria Test

Project

Current status	During the balance of 2004, we expect to complete Phase 2 of the Project Plan		
Nature, timing and estimated costs of the efforts necessary to complete	(Optimization of Test) and move into Phase 3 (Scale Up of Production and validation). In April 2004, Chembio received 80% of the Phase 2 project cost of \$65,000, or \$52,000 and this reflects the estimate of the costs anticipated to be incurred to complete Phase 2 during a three to five month period. We expect to complete Phase 2 in September. Upon completion of Phase 2 we will provide a report to Ivoclar-Vivadent. If the report is acceptable, we will receive the \$13,000 balance from Phase 2 and 80% of the Phase III project cost, also \$65,000. Phase 3 is also estimated to take three to five months to complete		
Anticipated completion date	Assuming the project plan is achieved, the anticipated completion date of the product is first quarter 2005. It is not known at this time how long it will take to obtain regulatory approvals in the US, Europe, Japan and other potential markets		
Risks and uncertainties associated with completing development on schedule, and the consequences to operations, financial position and liquidity if not completed timely	Technical challenges remain that must be overcome in order for this product to meet the performance specifications that Ivoclar Vivadent has set forth in the Agreement. If we do not achieve the performance specifications, the product will not be completed.		
Timing of commencement of expected material net cash inflows	It is not known or estimable when net cash inflows from this project will commence due to the uncertainties associated with the completion of the product, regulatory submissions, and the nature and timing of Ivoclar-Vivadent s distribution network an strategy.		
Project	Rapid Test for the detection of antibodies to active		
· ·	pulmonary tuberculosis in non-human primate whole blood samples		
Current status	Product validation completed		
Nature, timing and estimated costs of	•		
efforts necessary to complete	of the 1vot known		
Anticipated completion date	Not known		
Risks and uncertainties associated w			
	le, and can not be known at this time, and this information poses substantial risk and		
the consequences to operations, fina	-		
position and liquidity if not complet timely			
Timing of commencement of expect			
material net cash inflows	commence due to the uncertainties associated with the completion of the		

The other tuberculosis products that are under development, as well as the combination HIV/tuberculosis rapid test and the New Generation Rapid HIV Test, are either at an early stage of research and development, have a limited amount of resources being applied, and/or involve a substantial amount of uncertainty as to the completion of the product. There is no expectation of material revenues in 2004 and 2005 from any of these products.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003 AS COMPARED WITH THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2002

Revenues were \$2.818 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 as compared with \$3.135 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002, representing a decrease of \$316,788 or 10.1%. The decrease in sales is attributable to HIV unit pricing decreases of approximately \$58,000 and to reduced sales of our midstream pregnancy tests of approximately \$77,000 to our distributor in Japan and approximately \$182,000 in other reduced unit sales. Unit pricing decreases were necessary in order to maintain competitive pricing of HIV tests in certain developing country markets. Reduced sales of pregnancy tests occurred due to correspondence the Japanese distributor received from a representative of Unipath regarding the alleged infringement by the distributor of the patent Unipath had been issued in Japan and our eventual decision to not pursue or contest the claim of infringement due to the relatively low volume of the business and, more importantly, our plan of de-emphasizing the pregnancy test business.

Cost of goods sold for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 was \$2.153 million, or 76.4% of revenues, as compared with \$2.458 million, or 78.4% of revenues, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002. Although costs of raw materials, labor and overhead associated with manufacturing remained level during the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, improved material usage due to the implementation of an inventory purchasing and production control (known as Material Requirements Planning or MRP) system in January 2003, as well as other production and quality controls implemented during 2003, began to show an effect in 2003.

Research and development expenses for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 were \$313,891, or 11.1% of revenues, compared with \$378,089, or 12.1% of revenues, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002. The decrease is due primarily to sub-contractor grant expense in 2002 that did not recur in 2003 and certain pre-clinical evaluations in 2002 that did not recur in 2003.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased 4.1% to \$1.202 million, which was 42.7% of revenues, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 compared to \$1.155 million, or 36.8% of revenues, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002. A decrease in officer salaries of \$(64,198), attributable to the consolidation of the Chairman and President position during the second half of 2002, was offset by increased insurance, bank, legal and accounting charges.

Interest expense increased 57.2% to \$208,525, or 7.4% of revenues, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 compared to \$132,626, or 4.2% of revenues, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002. The increase is due to increased amounts outstanding under a 12% line of credit.

Net Loss increased 7.2% to \$1,060,000 from \$989,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2002.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We began to improve our liquidity and capital resources position during the first quarter of 2004 as a result of the completion of the \$1,000,000 convertible note offering in March. As a result of the completion of the series A financing, \$328,000 of the \$1,000,000 of convertible notes was converted into 826,741 shares of common stock at \$.40 per share, and the balance of \$672,000 was converted into 33.83682 shares of the series A preferred stock. Simultaneous to that conversion, 73.33330 shares of series A preferred stock were issued for \$2,200,000 in cash, and an additional \$1,332,292 of debt to our note holders was converted into 44.40972 additional shares of the series A preferred stock. Together, before accounting for costs and expenses associated with these transactions, these events resulted in new equity capital of approximately \$4,532,292 since December 31, 2003. However, the March 31, 2004 unaudited balance sheet reflects only the convertible note offering which had been completed during the month of March 2004.

During the three months ended March 31, 2004, we used \$452,854 cash in operations, \$13,900 to acquire fixed assets, \$18,512 to fund capital lease payments, and \$67,434 to fund the bank overdraft existing as of December 31, 2003. The cash was funded primarily from the \$1,000,000 of convertible notes issued during March, the accrual of interest on all debt due for both term debt and convertible debt, and the funding of \$64,229 of compensation expense by the issuance of common stock to some of our key employees. All the convertible notes and the existing debt, which has since been converted into capital as noted above, is reflected on the March 31, 2004 balance sheet as long term debt, because the conditions to closing of the merger and the series A financing had not been met as of the March 31, 2004 balance sheet date.

Accordingly, we had a working capital deficiency of \$(730,738) at December 31, 2003 and a working capital deficiency of \$(183,999) at March 31, 2004. This decrease in the deficiency is due to the completion of the convertible note offering. Our current assets increased 65.3% to \$1.277 million at March 31, 2004 from \$772,680 at December 31, 2003. This increase is also primarily attributable to the completion of the convertible note offering in March.

Compared with corresponding balances at December 31, 2003, current liabilities as of March 31, 2004 decreased 2.8% to \$1.461 million, long term liabilities increased 50.6% to \$3.074 million, and total liabilities increased 28% to \$4.535 million. The increase in long term liabilities is attributable to the completion of the \$1,000,000 convertible note offering as well as to the accrual of approximately \$50,711 of accrued interest during the period.

The following table lists the future payments required on our debt and any other contractual obligations as of March 31, 2004:

OBLIGATIONS	Total	Less than 1 Year		1-3 Years	4-5 Years	Greater than 5 Years
Long Term Debt(1)	\$2,693,851	-	-	-		\$2,693,851
Capital Leases (2)	\$151,162	\$61,162	\$63,252	\$2	26,748	-
Operating Leases	\$97,688	\$86,688	\$11,000	-		-
Other Long Term Obligations(3)	\$48,000	\$12,000	\$36,000	-		-
Total Obligations	\$2,924,701	\$147,850	\$74,252	\$2	26,748	\$2,693,851

- (1) This represents convertible as well as non-convertible debt. Subsequent to March 31, 2004, \$2,332,292 of this debt was converted into either series A preferred stock or common stock. The balance, if not paid by the end of 2004, will be converted into series A preferred stock.
- (2) This represents capital leases used to purchase capital equipment.
- (3) This represents contractual obligations for licenses.

CHEMBIO S PLAN OF OPERATIONS FOR THE NEXT TWELVE MONTHS

Clinical trials for our HIV rapid tests have begun, and we believe that they will be completed during the fourth quarter. The trials will be used to support a pre-marketing approval application to the FDA. Simultaneous with this regulatory approval process, we are actively involved in increasing distribution of our HIV rapid tests through a variety of distribution channels and partners. We have engaged Bio-Equity Partners, a company that specializes in helping small biotech firms in the HIV field, to assist in these efforts. Several other marketing and business development efforts are ongoing that are aimed toward participating in the various initiatives publicly announced for the implementation of voluntary counseling and testing (VCT), pre-natal testing for mother to child transmission, and other programs that are taking root globally. A significant portion of the capital currently available to us is being used to obtain US regulatory approval of our HIV rapid tests and to provide the marketing and business development resources to achieve wider distribution of our products in the global market.

We also are working on completing the development of the mad cow, dental bacteria and tuberculosis rapid tests that are under product development agreements and/or research grants. We believe that these products will begin to produce revenues in 2005.

Our cash requirements depend on numerous factors, including product development activities, penetration of the direct sales market, market acceptance of new products, and effective management of inventory levels in response to sales forecasts. We expect to devote capital resources to continue our product development, expand manufacturing capacity and continue research and development activities. We will examine other growth opportunities, including strategic alliances, and we expect any such activities will be funded from existing cash and cash equivalents, as well as issuance of additional equity or additional borrowings, subject to market and other conditions. We believe that our current cash balances, and cash generated from future operations, will be sufficient to fund operations for the next twelve months. If cash generated from operations is not sufficient to satisfy our working capital and capital expenditure requirements, we may be required to sell additional equity or obtain additional credit facilities. We cannot be certain that this financing will be available or that we will be able to complete financing on satisfactory terms, if at all.

Notwithstanding the numerous factors that our cash requirements depend on, and the uncertainties associated with each of the major revenue opportunities that we have, we believe that our plan of operation can build long term value if we are able to demonstrate clear progress toward our objectives, particularly FDA approval of our HIV rapid tests. We expect to complete the clinical testing portion related to our HIV rapid test FDA submission in the fourth quarter of this year, and we believe that if the results of these tests are at the level required for FDA approval, these results will provide strong evidence of our progress. We also have other important international evaluations pending of our HIV rapid tests which, if favorable, would result in additional independent proof of the quality of our products and the accretion of long term value to our shareholders. We believe that our international sales efforts for our HIV tests will succeed based upon the market need, the performance of our products, their competitive pricing, the distribution and marketing channels we are pursuing, and the quality of our professional staff. Based upon our agreement with Bio-Manguinhos alone, we expect to receive orders for our HIV rapid tests that will more than offset the net cash flow that we will no longer have from the private label manufacturing of pregnancy tests.

Our attendance at the XVth World AIDS Conference recently in Bangkok, Thailand has generated potential new revenue opportunities for our HIV rapid tests.

Progress in our other major product groups, particularly those for the mad cow disease and dental bacteria test, as well as the non-human primate tuberculosis test, are also likely to lend credibility to our plan to become profitable. In this regard, we have hired a director of regulatory affairs who will be directing the regulatory activities related to the veterinary products (e.g., mad cow and non-human primate tuberculosis) as well as the dental bacteria test, provided that each of the projects progresses to the point where a regulatory submission is appropriate. This individual will eventually absorb some of the responsibilities that have been performed by our outside regulatory consultant. We have also added one person to our solutions manufacturing group and have hired an assembly supervisor. These three positions will add at least \$250,000 in annual costs. We have not decided at this juncture whether to add to our research and development team, though it is under consideration. If such a position is added, the annual cost would be at least \$100,000.

If we are not successful in obtaining additional financing, then we would not be able to pursue our current plan of operation.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of our financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our financial statements and

accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

We believe that there are several accounting policies that are critical to understanding our historical and future performance, as these policies affect the reported amounts of revenue and the more significant areas involving management s judgments and estimates. These significant accounting policies relate to revenue recognition, research and development costs, valuation of inventory, valuation of long-lived assets and income taxes. These policies, and our procedures related to these policies, are described in detail below.

Revenue Recognition

We sell our products directly through our sales force and through distributors. Revenue from direct sales of our product is recognized upon shipment to the customer. We recognize income from research grants when earned. Grants are invoiced after expenses are incurred. Some grants are funded up front; these funds are then deferred until earned.

Research & Development Costs

Research and development activities consist primarily of new product development and continuing engineering for existing products. Costs related to research and development efforts on existing or potential products are expensed as incurred.

Valuation of Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, using the first-in, first-out method (FIFO) to determine cost. Our policy is to periodically evaluate the market value of the inventory and the stage of product life cycle, and record a reserve for any inventory considered slow moving or obsolete.

Valuation of Lon-Lived Assets

We assess the realizable value of long-lived assets for potential impairment at least annually or when events and circumstances warrant such a review. The carrying value of along-lived asset is considered impaired when the anticipated fair value is less than its carrying value. In assessing the recoverability of our long-lived assets, we must make assumptions regarding estimated future cash flows and other factors to determine the fair value of the respective assets. In addition, we must make assumptions regarding the useful lives of these assets. As of December 31, 2003, we evaluated our long-lived assets for potential impairment. Based on our evaluation, no impairment charge was recognized.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes under SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes . SFAS No. 109 requires the asset and liability method of accounting for deferred income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets or liabilities at the end of each period are determined using the tax rate expected to be in effect when taxes are actually paid or recovered.

SFAS 109 also requires that a valuation allowance be established when it is more likely than not that all or a portion of a deferred tax asset will not be realized. A review of all available positive and negative evidence needs to be considered, including a company s current and past performance, the market environment in which the company operates, length of carryback and carryforward periods and existing contracts that will result in future profits.

Forming a conclusion that a valuation allowance is not needed is difficult when there is negative objective evidence such as cumulative losses in recent years. Cumulative losses weigh heavily in the overall assessment. As a result, we determined that it was appropriate to establish a valuation

The above listing is not intended to be a comprehensive list of all of our accounting policies. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by accounting principles, generally accepted in the United States of America, with no need for management s judgment in their application. There are also areas in which management s judgment in selecting any viable alternative would not produce a materially different result. See our audited financial statements and notes thereto which contain accounting policies and other disclosures required by accounting principles, generally accepted in the United States of America.

DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

Our administrative offices and research facilities are located in Medford, New York. We lease approximately 14,000 square feet of industrial space for approximately \$7,224 per month. The space is utilized for R&D (approximately 1,500 square feet), offices (approximately 2,700 square feet) and production (approximately 9,800 square feet). The lease term expires on April 30, 2005. We believe the space is adequate for our immediate needs. Additional space may be required as we expand our research and development activities. We do not foresee any significant difficulties in obtaining any required additional facilities.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Mark L. Baum, our former president prior to the merger and a current director of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc., entered into a nine-month employment agreement with Chembio Diagnostics, Inc., effective upon the closing of the merger, pursuant to which Mr. Baum received 400,000 shares of our common stock as well as a warrant to acquire 425,000 shares of common stock at \$.60 per share and a warrant to acquire an additional 425,000 shares of common stock at \$.90 per share. The warrants expire five years after the date of grant. Pursuant to the employment agreement, Mr. Baum will advise Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. concerning management, marketing, strategic planning, corporate structure, business operations, expansion of services, acquisitions and business opportunities, matters related to our public reporting obligations, and our overall needs. Mr. Baum also invested \$65,000 in the private placement of series A preferred stock, pursuant to which he received 2.167 shares of series A preferred stock convertible into 108,350 shares of common stock, and a warrant to purchase 130,020 shares of common stock. Mr. Baum also owns 300,000 shares of our common stock in addition to the stock and warrants described above. Prior to the merger, Mr. Baum was the sole director and officer of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc.

Lawrence A. Siebert, the president and chairman of the board of directors of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. beginning at the time of and after the merger, and the president and chairman of Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. since May 2002, holds two promissory notes issued by Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. One note was issued on August 1, 1999 in the original principal amount of \$338,125, bearing interest at a rate of 11% per annum. The other was issued on April 25, 2001 in the original principal amount of \$795,937, bearing interest at a rate of 12% per annum. Mr. Siebert converted the entire outstanding principal amount of the 11% note and \$561,875 principal amount of the 12% note into 30 shares of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. s series A preferred stock, together with warrants to acquire 1,800,000 shares of common stock at \$.90 per share, pursuant to Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. s private placement of its series A preferred stock on May 5, 2004. The shares of series A preferred stock held by Mr. Siebert are convertible into 1,547,100 shares of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. s common stock. Approximately \$234,062 of the debt held by Mr. Siebert was not so exchanged and continues to accrue interest. Approximately \$214,241 of accrued interest on the converted and unconverted portions of the debt is also due to Mr. Siebert, but is not accruing interest. The debt and accrued interest are required to be repaid by Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. on or before December 31, 2004 or, at the option of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc., converted into shares of its series A preferred stock as of December 31, 2004.

Mr. Siebert also invested \$18,700 in Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. pursuant to a private placement of convertible notes on March 22, 2004. Mr. Siebert converted the entire principal amount of the note that he received, together with accrued interest thereon, into .942 shares of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. s series A preferred stock, together with warrants to acquire 56,520 shares of common stock at \$.90 per share, pursuant to Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. s private placement of its series A preferred stock on May 5, 2004.

Richard J. Larkin, the Chief Financial Officer of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc., invested \$10,000 in Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. pursuant to the March 22, 2004 private placement of convertible notes. Mr. Larkin converted the entire principal amount of the note that he received, together with accrued interest thereon, into .504 shares of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. s series A preferred stock, together with warrants to acquire 30,240 shares of common stock at \$.90 per share, pursuant to Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. s private placement of its series A preferred stock on May 5, 2004.

Avi Pelossof, the vice president of sales and marketing of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc., invested \$4,000 in Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. pursuant to the March 22, 2004 private placement of convertible notes. Mr. Pelossof converted the entire principal amount of the note that he received, together with accrued interest thereon, into .202 shares of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. s series A preferred stock, together with warrants to acquire 22,555 shares of common stock at \$.90 per share, pursuant to Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. s private placement of its series A preferred stock on May 5, 2004. 201262v10

MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Market Information

Our common stock is quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol CEMI. Prior to May 14, 2004, our common stock was traded on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol TSUN . For the periods indicated, the following table sets forth the high and low bid prices per share of common stock. These prices represent inter-dealer quotations without retail markup, markdown, or commission and may not necessarily represent actual transactions. We completed a 1 for 17 reverse stock split on March 12, 2004, and all of the series in this table have been adjusted to reflect this split.

Fiscal Year 2004	High Bid	Low Bid
Second Quarter	\$2.00	\$1.00
First Quarter	\$3.00	\$0.34
Fiscal Year 2003	High Bid	Low Bid
First Quarter	\$0.34	\$0.17
Second Quarter	\$0.51	\$0.17
Third Quarter	\$0.34	\$0.17
Fourth Quarter	\$1.36	\$0.17
Fiscal Year 2002	High Bid	Low Bid
First Quarter	\$5.10	\$0.16
Second Quarter	\$2.72	\$0.02
Third Quarter	\$2.04	\$0.17
Fourth Quarter	\$0.17	\$0.17

Trades of our common stock are subject to Rule 15g-9 of the Securities and Exchange Commission, known as the Penny Stock Rule. This rule imposes requirements on broker/dealers who sell securities subject to the rule to persons other than established customers and accredited investors. For transactions covered by the rule, brokers/dealers must make a special suitability determination for purchasers of the securities and receive the purchaser s written agreement to the transaction prior to sale. The Securities and Exchange Commission also has rules that regulate broker/dealer practices in connection with transactions in penny stocks. Penny stocks generally are equity securities with a price of less than \$5.00 (other than securities registered on certain national securities exchanges or quoted on the NASDAQ system, provided that current price and volume information with respect to transactions in that security is provided by the exchange or system). The Penny Stock Rules requires a broker/dealer, prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from the rules, to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document prepared by the Commission that provides information about penny stocks and the nature and level of risks in the penny stock market. The broker/dealer also must provide the customer with current bid and offer quotations for the penny stock, the compensation of the broker/dealer and its salesperson in the transaction, and monthly account statements showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer s account. The bid and offer quotations, and the broker/dealer and salesperson compensation information, must be given to the customer orally or in writing prior to effecting the transaction and must be given to the customer in writing before or with the customer s confirmation. These disclosure requirements have the effect of reducing the level of trading activity in the secondary market for our common stock. As a result of these rules, investors may find it difficult to sell their shares.

Holders

As of May 31, 2004, there were approximately 97 record owners of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. s common stock.

Dividends

We have never paid cash dividends and have no plans to do so in the foreseeable future. Our future dividend policy will be determined by our board of directors and will depend upon a number of factors, including our financial condition and performance, our cash needs and expansion plans, income tax consequences, and the restrictions that applicable laws, our current preferred stock instruments, and our future credit arrangements may then impose.

Currently under Nevada law, a dividend may not be made by a corporation if, after giving it effect:

- the corporation would not be able to pay its debts as they become due in the usual course of business; or
- except as otherwise specifically allowed by the corporation s articles of incorporation, the corporation s total assets would be less than the sum of its total liabilities plus the amount that would be needed, if the corporation were to be dissolved at the time of distribution, to satisfy the preferential rights upon dissolution of stockholders whose preferential rights are superior

to those receiving the distribution.

• The certificate of designation authorizing our series A preferred stock also prohibits us from making any distribution with respect to any equity securities that by their terms do not rank senior to the series A preferred stock.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table summarizes the annual compensation paid to Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. s named executive officers for the two years ended December 31, 2003, 2002 and 2001:

Long-Term Compensation Awards Securities

Annual Comp

			Underlying
Name and Position	Year	Salary	Stock Options
Lawrence A. Siebert, President, CEO, Chairman of Board of Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. (1)	2003 2002 2001	\$103,846 63,000 50,462	10,000
Rick Bruce, Vice President of Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. (2)	2003 2002 2001	110,326 106,240 101,500	15,000
Mark L. Baum, President, Secretary and Director of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. (3)	2003 2002		

- (1) Mr. Siebert currently is a director, the President and Chief Executive Officer of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc., and the President of Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. The compensation information represents compensation earned while employed by Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc.
- (2) Mr. Bruce currently is a vice president of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. and Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. The compensation information represents compensation earned while employed by Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc.
- (3) Mr. Baum currently is a director and the Secretary of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. The compensation information represents compensation earned while employed by Chembio Diagnostics, Inc.

There were no option grants to the named executive officers, and no options were exercised by the named executive officers in the last fiscal year.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

See the Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on page F-1, Index to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

On June 1, 2004, our Board of Directors voted to replace Madsen & Associates, CPA s, Inc., certified public accountants and to retain Lazar, Levine & Felix LLP as our principal accountant. Lazar, Levine & Felix LLP had been the principal accountant of Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. since 2000. There were no disagreements between us and Madsen, whether resolved or not resolved, on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure or auditing, scope or procedure which, if not resolved, would have caused them to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreement in connection with their reports. During its tenure, Madsen s audit opinion on our financial statements did not contain an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion, nor was it modified as to audit scope or accounting principles. Madsen s reports did include an explanatory paragraph where they expressed substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Prior to retaining Lazar, Levine & Felix, LLP, management did not consult Lazar, Levine & Felix LLP regarding the application of accounting principles to a specific completed or contemplated transaction or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered, nor concerning any matter that was the subject of any disagreement or event.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

We have filed with the SEC a registration statement on Form SB-2 under the Securities Act for the common stock offered by this prospectus. This prospectus, which is a part of the registration statement, does not contain all of the information in the registration statement and the exhibits filed with it, portions of which have been omitted as permitted by SEC rules and regulations. For further information concerning us and the securities offered by this prospectus, please refer to the registration statement and to the exhibits filed with it. Statements contained in this prospectus as to the content of any contract or other document referred to are not necessarily complete. In each instance, we refer you to the copy of the contracts and/or other documents filed as exhibits to the registration statement and these statements are qualified in their entirety by reference to the contract or document.

The registration statement, including all exhibits, may be inspected without charge at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549, and at the SEC s regional offices located at the Woolworth Building, 233 Broadway, New York, New York 10279 and Citicorp Center, 500 West Madison Street, Suite 1400, Chicago, Illinois 60661. Copies of these materials may also be obtained from the SEC s Public Reference at 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Room 1024, Washington D.C. 20549, upon the payment of prescribed fees. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The registration statement, including all exhibits and schedules and amendments, has been filed with the SEC through the Electronic Data Gathering, Analysis and Retrieval system, and is publicly available through the SEC s Website located at http://www.sec.gov.

201262v10

CHEMBIO DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEMS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY Index to Consolidated Financial Statements.

INDEX

Report of Independent Accountants	F-2	Page(s)
	1 2	
Financial Statements:		
Consolidated Balance Sheets March 31, 2004 (unaudited) and December 31, 2003	F-3	
Consolidated Statements of Operations Three months ended March 31, 2004 (unaudited) and 2003 (unaudit The years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002	F-4 F-5	
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders Equity	F-6	
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Three months ended March 31, 2004 (unaudited) and 2003 (unaudited) The years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002	ted) F-7 F-8	
Notes to Financial Statements	F-9 F-19	
Unaudited Pro forma Financial Statements:		
Introduction	F-20	
Condensed Consolidated pro forma Balance Sheet As of March 31, 2004	F-21	
Condensed Consolidated Pro Forma Statements Of Operations Three months ended March 31, 2004 The year ended December 31, 2003	F-22 F-23	
Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial S 201262v10	Statements F-24 F-25 Page F-	

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS REPORT

To The Board of Directors Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. and Subsidiary Medford, New York

We have audited the consolidated balance sheet of Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. and Subsidiary (the Company) as of December 31, 2003 and the consolidated statements of operations, stockholders equity and cash flows for the two years in the period ended December 31, 2003. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. and Subsidiary as of December 31, 2003, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the two years in the period ended December 31, 2003 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Lazar Levine & Felix LLP LAZAR LEVINE & FELIX LLP

New York, New York February 27, 2004, except for Note 12, the date of which is March 19, 2004

Page F-

CHEMBIO DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEMS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AS OF:

ASSETS (Note 5)

ASS	SETS (Note 5)	
	Mar. 31, 2004	Dec. 31, 2003
CURRENT ASSETS:	(unaudited)	
Cash	\$ 447,300	\$
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts	,	
of \$17,034 and \$15,231 for March 31, 2004 and December		
31, 2003, respectively (Note 11)	278,205	282,734
Inventories (Note 3)	499,820	466,498
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	52,125	23,448
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	1,277,450	772,680
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS		
FIXED ASSETS (Notes 4 and 6)	244,997	249,247
OTHER ASSETS:	77. 200	55 500
Deposits Other posets	55,290 124,206	55,723
Other assets	134,206	9,095
	\$ 1,711,943	\$ 1,086,745
LIABILITIES AND STOCK	HOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICI	ENCY)
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Bank overdraft	\$	\$ 67,434
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities (Note 2(p) and		
Note11)	1,387,639	1,361,547
Current portion of obligations under capital leases (Note 6)	61,162	61,789
Other current liabilities	12,648	12,648
POTAL CUIDDENT LIADULITIES	1,461,449	1 502 419
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	1,401,449	1,503,418
OTHER LIABILITIES: Notes payable net of current portion (Note 5 and 12)	2,693,851	1,693,851
Obligations under capital leases net of current portion (Note 6)	90,000	107,885
Accrued interest (Note 5)	289,743	239,032
FOTAL LIABILITIES	4,535,043	3,544,186
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (NOTES 2(n) ANI	D 11)	
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIENCY) (NOTES 9 AN	D 10):	
Common stock \$.001 par value; 55,000 shares authorized:		
40,000 and 38,395 shares issued and outstanding as of March		
31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively	40	39
	4 ((4 100	4,599,962
Additional paid-in capital	4,664,190	
	(7,487,330)	(7,057,442)

	\$	1,711,943	\$ 1,086,745
	Page F-		
201262v10			

CHEMBIO DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEMS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004 AND 2003 (UNAUDITED)

		2004	2003
REVENUES:			
Net sales (Notes 2(n) and 11)	\$	493,970	\$ 720,077
Research grants and development income (Note 7)	·	91,342	ĺ
		585,312	720,077
Cost of sales (Note 11)		445,923	616,766
GROSS PROFIT		139,389	103,311
OVERHEAD COSTS:			
Research and development expenses		112,095	85,262
Selling, general and administrative expenses		401,436	304,362
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS		(374,142)	(286,313)
LOSS PROM OF EXALIONS		(374,142)	(200,313)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSES):			
Interest income (expense) net of interest income of \$97 and \$0 for the three months ended			
3/31/04 and 3/31/03 respectively		(55,746)	(47,223)
LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES		(429,888)	(333,536)
		(123,000)	(000,000)
Income taxes (Note 8)			
NET LOSS	\$	(429,888)	\$ (333,536)
Pro forma basic and diluted loss per share (Note 13)		(11.04)	(8.69)
Weighted number of shares outstanding (Note 13)		38,930	38,395
Weighted number of shares outstanding (Note 13)		20,220	20,252
Page F-			
201262v10			

CHEMBIO DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEMS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003 AND 2002

		2003		2002
REVENUES:				
Net sales (Notes 2(n) and 11)	\$	2,542,621	\$	2,810,852
Research grants and development income(Note 7)	Ψ	275,730	Ψ	324,287
		2,818,351		3,135,139
Cost of sales (Note 11)		2,153,454		2,458,596
GROSS PROFIT		664,897		676,543
OVERHEAD COSTS:				
Research and development expenses		313,891		378,089
Selling, general and administrative expenses		1,202,185		1,154,799
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS		(851,179)		(856,345)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSES):				
Interest income (expense) net of interest income of \$7 and \$175 for years ended 12/31/03 and 12/31/02 respectively	3	(208,525)		(132,626)
LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES		(1,059,704)		(988,971)
Income taxes (Note 8)		-		-
NET LOSS	\$	(1,059,704)	\$	(988,971)
Pro forma basic and diluted loss per share (Note 13)		(27.60)		(29.45)
Weighted number of shares outstanding (Note 13)		38,395		33,581
Page F- 201262v10				

CHEMBIO DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEMS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIENCY) FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004 (Unaudited) AND THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003 AND 2002

	Commo	n stock	Additional paid-in — capital	Treasur	y stock	Accumulated Deficit	Total
	Shares	Amount	Shares		Amount		
Balance at January 1, 2001	28,766	\$29	\$4,296,971	(2,221)	\$(232,000)	\$(5,008,767)	\$(943,767)
Common stock issued	178	-	100,000	-	-	-	100,000
Common stock issued as a result of a private placement	11,672	12	434,989	-	-	-	435,001
Retirement of treasury stock	(2,221)	(2)	(231,998)	2,221	232,000	-	-
Net loss	-	-		-		(988,971)	(988,971)
-							
Balance at December 31, 2002	38,395	39	4,599,962	-	-	(5,997,738)	(1,397,737)
Net loss	-	-		-		(1,059,704)	(1,059,704)
Balance at December 31, 2003	38,395	39	4,599,962	-	-	(7,057,442)	(2,457,441)
Common stock issued	1,605	1	64,228	-	-	-	64,229
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(429,888)	(429,888)
Balance at March 31, 2004 (Unaudited)	40,000	\$40	\$4,664,190-	\$	-	\$(7,487,330)	\$(2,823,100)
	40,000	540	\$4,004,190-	Þ	-	Φ(7,467,330)	\$(2,823,100)

Page F-

CHEMBIO DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEMS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004 AND 2003 (UNAUDITED)

		2004		2003
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				
Net loss	\$	(429,888)	\$	(333,536)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization		18,150		19,087
Provision for doubtful accounts		1,803		4,053
Stock issued as compensation		64,229		-
Changes in:				
Accounts receivable		2,726		(112,234)
Inventories		(33,322)		42,502
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		(28,677)		(1,784)
Other assets and deposits		(124,678)		(5,373)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		76,803		192,508
Grant and other current liabilities		-		648
Net cash used in operating activities		(452,854)		(194,129)
CARLEL ONG VICED IN DIVERSING A CONVENIE				
CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		(12.000)		
Acquisition of fixed assets		(13,900)		-
Net cash used in investing activities		(13,900)		-
				_
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Bank overdraft		(67,434)		43,728
Repayment of capital lease obligation		(18,512)		(2,770)
Proceeds from loans		1,000,000		125,000
Net cash provided by financing activities		914,054		165,958
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH		447,300		(28,171)
Cash beginning of the period		-		28,171
CASH end of the period	\$	447,300	\$	-
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:	_		Φ.	
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$	-	\$	-
Supplemental disalogues for non-cosh investing and financing activities				
Supplemental disclosures for non-cash investing and financing activities: Fixed assets acquired under capital leases	\$	-	\$	28,897

CHEMBIO DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEMS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003 AND 2002

		2003		2002
				_
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				
Net loss	\$	(1,059,704)	\$	(988,971)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization		134,357		80,475
Provision for doubtful accounts		20,953		25,440
Changes in:				
Accounts receivable		(150,988)		187,259
Inventories		127,441		95,238
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		(17,318)		12,817
Other assets and deposits		(2,905)		(30,625)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		523,668		(44,199)
Grant and other current liabilities		549		(142,628)
Not and an all the second transfer and transfer a		(422.045)		(905 104)
Net cash used in operating activities		(423,947)		(805,194)
CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Acquisition of fixed assets		-		(60,527)
Net cash used in investing activities				(60,527)
				(,)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Net proceeds from sale of common stock				535,125
Bank overdraft		67,434		333,123
				(27.924)
Repayment of capital lease obligation Proceeds from shareholder loans		(36,931)		(37,834)
Proceeds from snareholder loans		365,273		385,603
Net cash provided by financing activities		395,776		882,894
NET DECREASE IN CASH		(28,171)		17,173
Cash beginning of the year		28,171		10,998
CASH end of the year	\$	-	\$	28,171
	Ψ		Ψ	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			_	
Cash paid during the year for interest	\$		\$	63,491
Supplemental disclosures for non cash investing and financing activities:				
	\$	107,020	\$	90,455
Fixed assets acquired under capital leases	J	10/.040		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Page F-

CHEMBIO DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEMS INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS (INFORMATION AS OF AND FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004 AND 2003 IS UNAUDITED

NOTE 1 DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS/OPERATIONS:

The Company, which was originally incorporated in New York on December 15, 1985 and re-incorporated in Delaware on November 5, 1991, develops, manufactures, and markets rapid point of care medical diagnostic tests. These tests are ultimately sold in the U.S. and/or internationally to medical laboratories and hospitals, governmental and public health entities, non-governmental organizations, medical professionals and/or retail establishments. Sales are primarily through distributors and are made under Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. s and/or the private labels of its distributors or their customers. The products aid in the diagnosis of infectious diseases and other conditions in humans and animals.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which contemplate continuation of the Company as a going concern. The Company has sustained significant operating losses in past years and at December 31, 2003 has a negative shareholders equity of \$2,457,441. The Company has completed a reverse merger with a public shell and has entered into other bridge financing transactions (see Note 12).

NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

(a)Principles of Consolidation:

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary, Sinovus Biotech, Inc. All material intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

(b)Inventories:

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined on the first-in, first-out method.

(c)Fixed Assets:

Fixed assets are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the double declining balance method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets, which range from three to seven years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the useful life of the asset or the lease term, whichever is shorter.

(d)Use of Estimates:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

(e)Income Taxes:

The Company accounts for income taxes under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes (SFAS 109). Under SFAS 109, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which the differences are expected to reverse.

(f)Research and Development:

Research and development costs are charged to expense as incurred.

(g)Stock Based Compensation:

The Company accounts for stock-based employee compensation under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. The Company has adopted the disclosure-only provisions of SFAS No. 123, as amended, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation.

(h)Statement of Cash Flows:

For purposes of the statements of cash flows the Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

(i)Revenue Recognition:

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, Revenue Recognition (SAB 101). Under SAB 101, revenue is recognized when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, delivery has occurred or Services have been rendered, the sales price is determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. Revenue typically is recognized at time of shipment. Sales are recorded net of discounts, rebates and returns.

The company recognizes income from research grants when earned. Grants are invoiced after expenses are incurred. Some grants are funded up front; these funds are then deferred until earned.

(j)Comprehensive Income:

In 1998, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Boards No. 130 Reporting Comprehensive Income , which prescribes standards for reporting other comprehensive income and its components. The Company currently does not have any items of other comprehensive income and accordingly no separate statements are required.

(k)Concentrations of Credit Risk:

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of temporary cash investments and trade receivables. The Company places its temporary cash instruments with quality financial institutions and, at times, may maintain balances in excess of the \$100,000 FDIC Insurance limit. The Company monitors the credit ratings of its financial institutions to mitigate this risk. Concentrations of credit risk with respect to trade receivables are principally mitigated by the Company s large customer base and their customers national and international locations.

(l)Fair Value:

Fair values of cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and notes payable reflected in these financial statements approximate carrying value.

(m)Recent Accounting Pronouncements:

On May 1, 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 145, Rescission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44, and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections. The Company anticipates no impact from this standard on the Company s financial statements.

On July 30, 2002, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities: (SFAS 146), that is applicable to exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002. This standard requires companies to recognize costs associated with exit or disposal activities when they are incurred rather than at the date of a commitment to an exit or disposal plan.

On December 31, 2002, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure (SFAS 148), that is applicable to financial statements issued for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002. In addition, interim disclosure provisions are applicable for financial statements issued for interim periods beginning after December 15, 2002. This standard amends SFAS 123 and provides guidance to companies electing to voluntarily change to the fair value method of accounting for stock-based compensation. In addition, this standard amends SFAS 123 to require more prominent and more frequent disclosures in financial statements regarding the effects of stock-based compensation.

In January 2003, FASB Interpretation No. 46 (FIN No. 46), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, was issued. In general, a variable interest entity is a corporation, partnership, trust, or any other legal structure used for business purposes that either (a) does not have equity investors with voting rights or (b) has equity investors that do not provide sufficient financial resources for the entity to support its activities. FIN No. 46 requires a variable interest entity to be consolidated by a company if that company is subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the variable interest entity s activities or is entitled to receive a majority of the entity s residual returns or both. Currently this standard has not had an impact on Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. s consolidated financial statements.

In April 2003, FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 149, Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS 149). SFAS 149 amends and clarifies financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts and for hedging activities under FASB Statement No. 133 Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities . SFAS 149 is generally effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003. Currently this standard has not had an impact on Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. s consolidated financial statements.

In May 2003, FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 150 Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity (SFAS 150). SFAS 150 establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. SFAS 150 is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003. Currently this standard has not had an impact on Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. s consolidated financial statements.

(n)Geographic Information:

In June 1997, FASB issued SFAS No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information . SFAS 131 establishes standards for the way that business enterprises report information about operating segments in annual financial statements and requires that those enterprises report selected information. It also establishes standards for related disclosures about product and services, geographic areas, and major customers. SFAS 131 was effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1997.

SFAS 131 further states that enterprises report Information about Products and Service . Chembio Diagnostic Systems, Inc, produces only one group of similar products known collectively as rapid medical tests . We do not produce any further breakdown in our general-purpose statements and it would be impracticable for us to do so.

Chembio Diagnostics Systems, Inc. believes that they operate in a single business segment, however, attributes revenues to different geographic areas on the basis of the location of the customer. Net sales by geographic area are as follows:

	•	Three Months	Ended	d March 31,	Y	ear Er	nded December 31,
		2004		2003	2003		2002
USA	\$	152,472	\$	199,761	\$ 655,964	\$	832,341
Brazil		120,000		-	3,930		16,846
Costa Rica		39,220		36,950	126,063		95,653
Canada		32,677		81,680	445,412		383,109
Saudi Arabia		23,076		5,950	50,577		56,978
Japan		15,000		26,649	116,111		277,637
Venezuela		12,000		-	55,424		147,552
France		10,865		6,612	50,166		38,420
Australia		10,375		8,130	25,195		21,773
Austria		1,163		9,453	72,684		82,634
India		10,252		56,711	79,052		84,692
Italy		-		37,586	294,676		138,981
Mexico		-		115,000	186,130		1,887
Korea		4,212		30,469	104,434		111,453
Others		62,658		105,126	276,803		520,896
	\$	493,970	\$	720,077	\$ 2,542,621	\$	2,810,852

(o)Interim Financial data:

The interim financial data is unaudited. However, in the opinion of management, the interim data includes all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals or adjustments only) necessary to present fairly the results of the interim periods. The results for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be obtained for the entire year.

(p)Accounts payable and accrued liabilities

The following tables detail the component parts of Accounts payable and accrued liabilities:

		as of
	March 31, 200	4 December 31, 2003
Accounts Payable Suppliers	1,054,892	1,027,252
Accrued Payroll	131,852	119,236
Accrued Commissions and Roya	alties96,779	80,927
Accrued Payroll and other taxes	47,040	41,737
Accrued Legal and Accounting	45,997	81,315

TOTAL		1,387,639	1,361,547
Accrued Expenses	other	11,079	11,079

NOTE 3 INVENTORY:

Inventory consists of the following at:

	Mar. 31, 2004	Dec. 31, 2003
Raw materials	\$408,669	\$379,079
Work-in-progress	67,543	73,319
Finished goods	23,608	14,100
		\$166.100
	\$499,820	\$466,498

NOTE 4 FIXED ASSETS:

Fixed assets consist of the following at:

	Mar. 31, 2004	Dec. 31, 2003
Machinery and equipment	\$651,869	\$637,969
Furniture and fixtures	53,329	53,329
Computer and telephone equipment	81,678	81,678
Leasehold improvements	34,566	34,566
Tooling	41,900	41,900
-		
	863,342	849,442
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(618,345)	(600,195)
	\$244,997	\$249,247

Included in the above fixed assets are \$308,615 of assets under capital leases for March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively.

NOTE 5 LONG-TERM DEBT:

Long-term debt is comprised of the following:

\$707,914 of Senior Notes bearing interest at 11% were issued in 1999 in connection with a debt restructuring. The Senior Notes are collateralized by a first lien on all of the assets of the Company. Holders of these Notes were also granted warrants to purchase an aggregate of 1,410 shares of common stock at \$180 per share. The aggregate fair value of the warrants was \$10,000, of which \$7,000 was related to the debt refinancing and is being amortized over the term of the loan. \$3,000 of the fair value of the warrants are related to the conversion of debt to equity. As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, the outstanding principal balance of the Senior Notes was \$707,914 with accrued unpaid interest of \$92,379 and \$14,508, respectively.

Per a waiver agreement dated July 10, 2002, the senior note holders agreed to extend the Company's required first principal payment until July 31, 2003 provided that the Company pay the balance of accrued and unpaid interest on or before August 31, 2002 and remain current on interest payments due during the period from September 1, 2002 through July 31, 2003. Current interest payments were not maintained nor was the first principal payment made when it became due on July 31, 2003. However, no acceleration or event of default has been claimed on these Notes and, as described in Note 12, this debt will be converted to equity unless the Board of Directors chooses to refinance or otherwise retire this debt. Accordingly the entire amount of this debt has been classified as Long Term.

Per a line of credit agreement dated April 2001, a major shareholder agreed to advance the Company up to a maximum principal amount of \$350,000. This amount was later increased to \$1,200,000. The line of credit is collateralized by a subordinated security

interest in all of the assets of the Company. In consideration for the above, the Company agreed to repay such borrowed funds on a quarterly basis with accrued interest at 12% per annum, starting September 30, 2003, with a final payment due March 31, 2005, at a maximum quarterly payment of \$43,750. As of December 31, 2003 and 2002, the principal amount of the advance was \$985,937 and \$620,663, respectively with additional accrued interest of \$146,653 and \$44,434, respectively. Current payments were not being made however, no acceleration or event of default has been claimed on these Notes and as described above and in Note 12, the entire amount of this debt has been classified as long term.

NOTE 6 OBLIGATIONS UNDER CAPITAL LEASES:

The Company is obligated under capitalized leases for certain computer and telephone equipment.

Future minimum lease payments under these capitalized lease obligations, including interest as of December 31, 2003 were as follows:

Year ending December 31,	
2004	\$79,431
2005	58,093
2006	38,272
2007	32,984
2008	4,470
	213,250
Less: imputed interest	43,576
	1
Present value of future minimum lease payments	169,674
Less: current maturities	61,789
	\$107,885

These leases have interest rates ranging from 7% - 21%.

NOTE 7 RESEARCH GRANTS AND DEVELOPMENT CONTRACTS:

- In 2002 and 2003 the Company received funding from third parties in connection with research and development activities as follows:
- In 2002, \$215,118 was received from the US National Institute of Health and \$50,000 was received from a diversified Japanese health care company, both in connection with efforts to develop a rapid test for the detection of antibodies to tuberculosis in human whole blood, serum and plasma. Also in 2002, \$20,000 was received from a major university dental school to conduct a feasibility study on certain reagents related to dental bacteria in order to evaluate a possible future test. Additional amounts received in 2002 for various grant projects totaled \$39,170.
- In 2003 the Company received the following new research and development grants and contracts that are still ongoing for additional amounts in 2004.
 - ♦ \$40,000 from a leading multinational dental products company in connection with additional product development efforts begun through the above-mentioned university partner in 2002.
 - ♦ \$60,000 from a leading multinational company in the field of bovine spongeiform encephalitis (BSE or mad cow disease) for development of a rapid test for BSE.
 - ♦ \$50,000 for additional development work from the above-mentioned diversified Japanese health care company for further development work on the tuberculosis product for the Japanese market.
 - ◆ \$89,000 from a research foundation focused on tuberculosis vaccines and diagnostics in connection with the commencement of a Phase II National Institute of Health grant sub-contract awarded in September 2003 for development of a whole blood rapid test for detection of tuberculosis in monkeys primarily for use in pharmaceutical research facilities.
 - Approximately \$36,000 in various other funded development for the rapid detection of tuberculosis in humans and animals.
- Additionally, in November 2003, the Company received notice of a \$100,000 grant award from the World Health Organization to develop a tuberculosis antigen detection test. However no funds were received for this award in 2003.

NOTE 8 INCOME TAXES:

At March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003, the Company has net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$7,000,000 and \$6,800,000 available to offset future federal taxable income, which expires at various dates through 2024 and a research and development credit carryforward of approximately \$214,000, which have created net deferred tax assets. A full valuation allowance, which increased by \$79,800 during the first quarter of 2004 and \$490,600 during 2003, has been provided due to management s uncertainty as to the reliability of these deferred tax assets.

Deferred tax assets consist of the following at:

	Mar. 31, 2004 D	ec. 31, 2003
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$2,870,000	\$2,791,000
Research and development credit	214,000	214,000
Bad debt reserve	7,000	6,200
Gross deferred tax assets	3,091,000	3,011,200
Valuation allowance	(3,091,000)	(3,011,200)
Net deferred tax assets	\$	\$

NOTE 9 STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:

As of March 1, 2004 the Company issued approximately 1,605 shares of common stock of the Company to employees as compensation prior to the completion of the merger (see note 12) at a price of \$40 per share.

On March 13, 2004 the Company issued 240 options as part of a consulting agreement. The exercise price for these options is \$60 per share.

At March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 and 2002, the Company had 1,400 warrants outstanding at an exercise price of \$180 per share, which were issued in connection with the restructuring of debt (see Note 5).

During 2002, the Company sold 11,850 shares of common stock at an average price of \$45.14 per share and raised approximately \$535,000. \$435,000 of this amount was in a rights offering to shareholders of record as of June 30, 2002 in which shares were sold at a price of \$37.27 per share.

During 2002, the Company retired 2,221 shares of common stock that had been previously repurchased for \$232,000.

NOTE 10 EMPLOYEE STOCK OPTION PLAN:

In November 1999, the Company s Board of Directors and stockholders adopted the 1999 Stock Option Plan (the Plan). Under the terms of this plan, the Option Committee is authorized to grant Incentive Options to Key Employees and to grant Non-Qualified Options to Key Employees and Key Individuals. The Option Committee has been authorized to grant options to purchase up to 2,500 shares of common stock, exercisable at no amount less than fair market value on the date of grant. The options become exercisable at such times and under such conditions as determined by the Option Committee. On April 18, 2002, the Plan was amended to increase to a maximum of 5,000 options to be granted under the Plan.

The Company has elected to account for its stock-based compensation plans using APB 25.

The fair value of option grants to date was estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model with weighted average assumptions for the years ended December 31, 2003: risk free interest rate of 3.23% volatility of 0.01%; and expected life of 3½ years, respectively. No options were issued during the year ended December 31, 2002.

Proforma information for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002 is not presented since compensation expenses calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model are immaterial.

Stock incentive plan activity is summarized as follows:

	Number of shares		d Average se Price
Options outstanding at December 31, 2002	3,	150	\$312
Granted		500	45
Canceled			
Exercised			
Options outstanding at December 31, 2003	3.0	650	275
Granted		240	60
Canceled			
Exercised			
Options outstanding at March 31, 2004	3,	890	\$262
Options exercisable at December 31, 2003	1.9	975	

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding at 12/31/03	Weighted Average Remaining Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Options Exercisable at 12/31/03	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$217 300	1,925	2.8	\$281	1,925	\$275
\$300 400	1,225	3.6	\$349	50	\$400
\$45	500	6.9	\$45	j	

Of the 3,890 options outstanding at March 31, 2004 pursuant to the 1999 stock option plan, 3,450 are exercisable three years from the grant date and all have a seven-year life.

NOTE 11 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES:

Obligations Under Operating Leases:

The Company leases office space at three locations in buildings located at 3661 Horseback Road, Medford, New York. The following is a schedule of future minimum rental commitments as of December 31, 2003:

Year ending December 31,	
2004	\$ 89,792
2005	28,896
	\$ 118,688

Rent expense associated with these leases for the following periods:

	Three Months E	inded March 31,	Year Ended	December 31,
	2004	2003	2003	2002
Rent	\$21,000	\$22,732	\$90,693	\$85,949

Economic Dependency:

The Company had sales to one customer in excess of 10% of total sales in the three months ended March 31, 2004. Sales to this customer aggregated approximately \$120,000. Accounts receivable from this customer at March 31, 2004 was \$0.

The Company had sales to one customer in excess of 10% of total sales in the three months ended March 31, 2003. Sales to this customer aggregated approximately \$115,000. Accounts receivable from this customer at March 31, 2003 was \$0.

The Company had sales to two customers in excess of 10% of total sales in the year ended December 31, 2003. Sales to these customers aggregated approximately \$397,000 and \$292,000, respectively. Accounts receivable from these customers were \$38,334 and \$13,101, respectively at December 31, 2003.

The Company had sales to one customer in excess of 10% of total sales in the year ended December 31, 2002. Sales to this customer aggregated approximately \$305,000. Accounts receivable from this customer at December 31, 2002 was \$0.

The Company had purchases from four vendors in excess of 10% of total purchases for the three months ended March 31, 2004. Purchases from these vendors aggregated approximately \$21,753, \$20,730, \$20,140 and \$18,801. The corresponding accounts payable at March 31, 2004 to these vendors was \$2,123, \$0, \$8,491 and \$20.

The Company had no purchases from any vendor in excess of 10% of total purchases for the three months ended March 31, 2003.

The Company had purchases from one vendor in excess of 10% of total purchases for the year ended December 31, 2003. Purchases from this vendor aggregated approximately \$91,000. The corresponding accounts payable at December 31, 2003 to this vendor was \$5,890.

The Company had purchases from one vendor in excess of 10% of total purchases for the year ended December 31, 2002. Purchases from this vendor aggregated approximately \$200,000. The corresponding accounts payable at December 31, 2002 to this vendor was \$11,700.

NOTE 12 OTHER EVENTS:

Merger:

On March 3, 2004, the Company entered into a merger agreement with Trading Solutions.com (TSCO) a fully reporting non operating entity under SEC regulations. TSLU is traded on the OTC Bulletin Board. As conditions to the closing, the Company must complete a Convertible Notes financing of \$1.0 million, complete a Convertible Preferred Stock financing for at least \$1.5 million, complete its audited financial statements for the two years ended December 31, 2003, and have converted at least \$1.3 million of its secured debt into the same securities as are being issued in the aforementioned Convertible Preferred Stock financing that is being finalized. As a result of the contemplated transaction, the Company shareholders will own a minimum of 50.6% of the public company (including the conversion of at least \$1.3 million of existing secured debt into the Convertible Preferred Stock on an as-converted basis). This percentage would increase to the extent existing Company shareholders participate in either of the two financings mentioned above and as a result of the conversion of at least \$1.3 million of debt.

Convertible Notes Financing:

A \$1.0 million Convertible Notes financing was completed as of March 19, 2004. The investors paid \$800 per debenture for a convertible note which matures in twelve months and accrues interest at the rate of 10% per annum. Upon the closing of the reverse merger summarized above, the notes will automatically convert into either: (1) such number of shares of common stock equal to the outstanding principal amount of the Convertible Notes (plus, at the holders option, all accrued and unpaid interest) divided by the conversion price which was set at \$0.40; or (2) 150% of the amount of securities that the outstanding principal amount of the Convertible Notes (plus, at the holders option, all accrued and unpaid interest) would purchase in the \$1.5 million Convertible Preferred Stock financing that is being finalized and that is the principal remaining condition to the closing of the merger. The Convertible Notes are unsecured. Holders of the Convertible Notes have a right of first refusal to participate in any equity or equity linked private financing consummated within 12 months of the closing of the Convertible note Financing.

As a result of the completion of the Convertible Notes financing and the completion of the audited financial statements for 2002 and 2003, the only remaining conditions to the closing of the merger are the (1) completion of at least an additional \$1.5 million of financing; and, (2) existing note holders representing at least \$1.3 million of the approximately \$2.0 million of outstanding secured obligations (at December 31, 2003) must have agreed to convert their debt into the Convertible Preferred Stock being issued in connection with the \$1.5 million financing. Since the Company has now completed the Convertible Note Financing and the Company and an investor has executed a term sheet for the \$1.5 million Convertible Preferred stock financing, this \$1.3 million of debt was classified as long-term on the accompanying balance sheet. Since the remaining \$700,000 of secured debt is to be converted on the same basis if it is not retired by December 31, 2004, it has also been reflected as long-term (see Note 5).

Placement Agent Agreement:

On February 9, 2004 and then amended on February 27, 2004, the Company engaged a placement agent for the period through April 30, 2004 in connection with the \$1.5 million financing to be completed as a condition to the merger agreement detailed above. If the placement agent is successful in the \$1.5 million financing the Company agrees to enter into an exclusive six month agreement whereby the placement agent will participate in an additional private placement for up to \$4.0 million in securities. The placement agent will receive as fees for the initial private placement: (a) 8% of the amount of cash received by the Investors introduced to the Company by the placement agent. (b) a non accountable 2% cash allowance of the amount of cash received by the Company from Investors introduced by the placement agent. (c) Warrants to purchase such a number of shares of common stock of the Company equal to 12.5% of the aggregate number of fully diluted and/or converted shares as are purchased by the Investors in the \$1.5 million dollar offering. The warrants will have a five year life and be exercisable at 120% of the effective share price paid by the Investors in the offering. The placement fees for the \$4.0 million dollar offering would be the same as described above.

Amendment of Articles of Incorporation:

On February 19, 2004, the Board of Directors of the Company voted to amend its articles of incorporation to increase the authorized shares to 55,000. In addition, the Board also authorized an increase in the amount of shares authorized for issuance under the 1999 stock option plan to 15,000. Shareholder approval was obtained for each of the above effective February 19, 2004.

Litigation:

The Company filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York against Saliva Diagnostic Systems, Inc. Saliva Diagnostic is the assignee of patent #5,935,864 (the 864 patent) that describes a method for collecting samples. The complaint asks the court for declaratory and other relief that the Company s Sure Check HIV test does not infringe the 864 patent, that the 864 patent is invalid, and that the 864 patent is unenforceable due to inequitable procurement. In 2001 and 2002, pursuant to various agreements it had entered into with Saliva Diagnostic, the Company developed, manufactured and sold an HIV rapid test that Saliva Diagnostic had represented incorporates the sample collection method described in the 864 patent. Saliva Diagnostic also represented that the 864 patent is valid. During 2001-2003, the Company paid royalties to Saliva Diagnostic and took several other actions based upon Saliva Diagnostic s representations. In 2003, Saliva Diagnostic sought to abrogate the agreements between the companies and alleged that the Company was infringing the 864 patent. The Company has received opinions from its patent counsel that the product manufactured by the Company is in fact not covered by this patent, that the patent is invalid, and that the patent was obtained through inequitable procurement. On March 17, 2004, allegations of patent infringement were made against the company with which the Company has signed a merger agreement, Trading Solutions.com. The Company filed the complaint on March 18, 2004.

NOTE 13 LOSS PER SHARE

Computation of pro forma per share loss

Basic loss per share is computed by dividing net loss attributable to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted loss per share reflects the potential dilution from the exercise or conversion of other securities into common stock, but only if dilutive. Diluted loss per share for 2003 and 2002 is the same as basic loss per share, since the effects of the calculation were anti-dilutive. The following securities, presented on a common share equivalent basis, have been excluded from the per share computations:

Three Months Ended March 31, Year Ended December 31,

	2004	2003	2003	2002
Stock Options Warrants Convertible Debt	3,890 1,400 25,000,000	3,150 1,400	3,650 1,400	3,150 1,400

Page F-

CHEMBIO DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEMS INC. AND SUBSIDIARYNOTES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS (INFORMATION AS OF AND FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004 AND 2003 IS UNAUDITED

CHEMBIO DIAGNOSTICS, INC. (f/k/a Trading Solutions.com) INTRODUCTION TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED PROFORMA FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

The following unaudited pro forma consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2004 and the unaudited pro forma consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and the twelve months ended December 31, 2003 are based on the historical financial statements of Trading Solutions.Com, Inc. (TSLU) and Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. and Subsidiary (Systems) after giving effect to the merger of Systems into a subsidiary of TSLU formed exclusively for the merger. The result of the combination will have Systems as the continuing operating entity in a reverse merger transaction. See notes to unaudited pro forma financial statements for a detailed description of the events as a result of this reverse merger.

The unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements should be read with the accompanying unaudited pro forma footnotes as well as the historical financial statements and accompanying notes of Systems included in this registration statement as well as the historical financial statements and accompanying footnotes of TSLU as filed with the Securities & Exchange Commission. The unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements are not intended to represent or be indicative of the consolidated results of operations or financial condition that would have been reported had the merger been completed as of the dates presented and should not be taken as representative of future consolidated results of operations and financial condition of the merged entity.

Page F-

CHEMBIO DIAGNOSTICS, INC.

(formerly Trading Solutions.com)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED PRO FORMA BALANCE SHEET AS OF MARCH 31, 2004

(Unaudited)

	HISTO	ORICAL PRO	FORMA ADJUSTMENTS		
	Trading Solutions.Com, Inc.	Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc.	, Debit	Credit	Consolidated Proforma
CURRENT ASSETS:	_				
Cash	\$	\$447,300	\$2,200,000 (b)	300,000 (c) 1,976 (d)	\$2,345,324
Accounts receivable		278,20		1,5 7 0 (0)	278,205
Inventories Prepaid expenses and other current assets		499,82 52,12			499,820 278,792
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS		1,277,45	50		3,402,141
FIXED ASSETS		244,99	7		244,997
OTHER ASSETS		189,49		119,110 (d)	183,719
	\$	\$1,711,943			\$3,830,857
CURRENT LIABILITIES.					
CURRENT LIABILITIES: Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		1,387,63	39	5	61,387,639
Current portion of obligations under capital leases		61,10	52		61,162
Other current liabilities		12,64	11,781 (d)	9,371 (d)	10,238
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES		1,461,4	19		1,459,039
OTHER LIABILITIES:					
Notes payable		2,693,85	1,332,292 (e) 1,000,000 (d)		361,559
Accrued interest		289,74			289,743
Obligations under capital leases net of current portion		90,00	_	_	90,000
TOTAL LIABILITIES		4,535,04	13		2,200,341
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIENCY):					
Series A Preferred Stock				2,200,000 (b)	4,211,399
				679,107 (d) 1,332,292 (e)	
Common stock	10,632	2	40 (a)	40,000 (a)	63,556
				657 (d) 8,267 (e)	
				0,207 (e)	

Edgar Filing: Chembio Diagnostics Inc. - Form SB-2/A

					4,000 (g)	
Additional paid-in capital	3'	78,980	4,664,190	39,960 (a)	322,431 (d)	5,012,262
				300,657 (c)	156,000 (g)	
				119,110 (d)	340,000 (h)	
				389,612 (f)		
Accumulated deficit	(38	9,612)	(7,487,330)	160,000 (g)	389,612 (f)	(7,656,701)
				9,371 (d)		
			_			
Total Equity (Deficit)			(2,823,100)			1,630,516
	\$	\$1,711,943		5,902,823	5,902,823\$3	830 857
	Ψ	ψ1,·11,› 10		2,502,020	2,502,02040	,050,057
			Page F-			
201262v10			r uge r			

CHEMBIO DIAGNOSTICS, INC.

(formerly Trading Solutions.com)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED PRO FORMA STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2004 (Unaudited)

	HISTO	PRICAL	PROFORMA ADJ	USTMENTS	
	Trading Solutions.Com, Inc.	Chembio Diagnostic Systems, Inc.	Debit	Credit	Consolidated Pro forma
REVENUES:					
Net sales	\$	\$493,970			\$493,970
Grant income	•	91,342			91,342
		585,312			585,312
Cost of sales		445,924	-		445,924
GROSS PROFIT		139,388	} -		139,388
OVERHEAD COSTS:					
Research and development expenses		112,095			112,095
Clinical Trials					
Cimical Titals					
Selling, general and					
administrative expenses	19,92	0401,436	56,667 (h) 42,500 (k)		520,523
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	(19,920)(374,143)	-		(493,230)
OTHER INCOME					
(EXPENSES): Gain from debt settlement					
Gain from debt settlement		_			
Interest(expense)		(55,743)		38,518 (i)	(17,228)
LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	(19,920	0)(429,889)			(510,458)
Income taxes			<u>-</u>		
NET LOSS	\$(19,920)	\$(429,889)			\$(510,458)
PRO FORMA DIVIDEND PAYABLE			(90,948)(j)		\$(90,948)

NET LOSS AVAILABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS		\$(601,406)
Basic and Diluted Loss per share (Shares used for calculation 6,417,908)		\$(.09)
201262v10	Page F-	

CHEMBIO DIAGNOSTICS, INC.

(formerly Trading Solutions.com)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED PRO FORMA STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003 (Unaudited)

	HISTORICAL		PROFORMA ADJUSTMENTS		
	Trading Solutions.Com, Inc.	Chembio Diagnostic Systems, Inc.	Debit	Credit	Consolidated Pro forma
REVENUES:					
Net sales	\$	\$2,542,621			\$2,542,621
Grant income		275,730			275,730
Cost of sales		2,153,454			2,818,351 2,153,454
GROSS PROFIT		664,897			664,897
OVERHEAD COSTS:					
Research and development expenses		313,891			313,891
Clinical Trials					
Selling, general and					
administrative expenses					
			226,667 (h)		
	7,123	1,202,185	170,000 (k)		1,605,975
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	(7,123)	(851,179)			(1,254,969)
LOSS I ROM OF EXMITTENS	(7,123)	(001,177)			(1,231,505)
OTHER INCOME (EVRENCES).					
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSES): Gain from debt settlement	8,513				8,513
Interest(expense)	0,515	(208,525)		154,070 (
((===,===)		,,,,,,,	
LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	1,390	(1,059,704)			(1,300,911)
Income taxes					
		_			
NET LOSS	\$1,390	\$(1,059,704)			\$(1,300,911)
PRO FORMA DIVIDEND PAYABLE			(363,792) (j)		\$(363,792)

NET LOSS AVAILABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS		\$(1,664,703)
Basic and Diluted Loss per share (Shares used for calculation 6,417,908)		\$ (.26)
201262v10	Page F-	

CHEMBIO DIAGNOSTICS, INC.

(formerly Trading Solutions.com)
NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2004 AND DECEMBER 31, 2003
(Unaudited)

On May 5, 2004, TSLU and Systems closed on a merger agreement, which will result in Systems being a wholly owned subsidiary of TSLU, with Systems as the operating Company. The pro forma Balance Sheet assumes the transaction occurred on the balance sheet and the pro forma Statement of Operations assumes the transaction occurred as of the first day of the earliest period presented. The pro forma adjustments reflecting this transaction are described below:

- 1. Share exchange of 100 shares of TSLU common stock for each share of issued and outstanding stock of Systems. (4,000,000 shares issued in TSLU in exchange for 40,000 shares of Systems). Existing shareholders of Systems also received an
- 2. Receipt of \$2,200,000 as a result of the sale of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock with warrants. This Preferred Stock has a \$30,000 per share stated value and an 8% dividend per annum, payable semi-annually in cash, common stock or in kind at the option of the Company. The Preferred Stock shall be convertible at \$0.60 per share and has a mandatory conversion if beginning 180 days after closing, the closing bid price of the Company s common stock exceeds \$1.50 for a period of 10 consecutive trading days. The associated warrants (60,000 for each share of Preferred Stock) have a five-year term and an exercise price of \$0.90. The agreement includes several other provisions regarding lock-up periods, registration etc.
- 3. Investment banking and legal fees associated with the Preferred Stock A offering are anticipated at \$300,000. Also, 65,667 shares of Common Stock were issued as fees associated with the Preferred Stock A offering. In addition warrants were issued to the investment bankers totaling 12.5% of the fully diluted and/or converted shares as purchased in the preferred stock transaction.
- 4. Reflects the conversion of \$672,000 of the convertible debt along with \$7,107 of accrued unpaid interest into Series A Convertible Preferred stock. The debt would be convertible into 33.83682 shares of Preferred Stock. The remaining \$328,000 of the convertible debt along with \$2,698 of accrued unpaid interest was converted into Common stock. This remaining debt was converted into 826,741 shares of Common Stock. The total accrued and unpaid interest on the convertible debt was \$11,781, of which \$9,371 was not accrued as of March 31, 2004. The balance of the interest (\$1,976) that was not converted to Common or Preferred Stock was paid in cash.
- 5. Reflects the conversion of \$1,332,292 of pre-merger debt into Series A Convertible Preferred Stock. The conversion results in an additional 44.40972 shares of Series A Preferred Stock being issued and outstanding.
- 6. Elimination of TSLU accumulated deficit.
- 7. Issuance of 400,000 shares of common stock, with restrictions as payment of salary to a former Officer of TSLU. This is reflected on the balance sheet as it has a continuing impact on the equity section; however it is not reflected in the statements of operation as it has no continuing impact on future operations.
- 8. Issuances of warrants to purchase 850,000 shares of Common Stock were issued to the individual in (m) above, with restrictions as payment for future services. The total value of the warrants is \$340,000. The contract is for eighteen months therefore 3 months or \$56,667 and 12 months or \$226,667 was reflected in the March 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003 pro forma Statement of Operations respectively. In both the pro forma Balance Sheets the \$226,667 is reflected as other current assets and the balance (\$113,333) is reflected in Other Assets.
- 9. Elimination of historical interest expense on converted debt reflected in note (k) above \$38,518 for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and \$154,070 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003.
- 10. The preferred stock pays an 8% dividend. The total number of outstanding shares of preferred stock is 151.580 shares at \$30,000 per share. Dividends therefore would be \$90,948 for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and \$363,792 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003.
- 11. In connection with the closing of the merger employment agreements were entered into. The expected additional salary expense is \$42,500 for the three months ended March 31, 2004 and \$170,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2003.

Page	F.

PART II

Information Not Required in Prospectus

Item 24. Indemnification of Directors and Officers

The articles of incorporation of Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. (the Registrant) provide for the indemnification of the directors, officers, employees and agents of the Registrant to the fullest extent permitted by the laws of the State of Nevada. Section 78.7502 of the Nevada General Corporation Law permits a corporation to indemnify any of its directors, officers, employees or agents against expenses actually and reasonably incurred by such person in connection with any threatened, pending or completed action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative (except for an action by or in right of the corporation) by reason of the fact that such person is or was a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation, provided that it is determined that such person acted in good faith and in a manner which he reasonably believed to be in, or not opposed to, the best interests of the corporation and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe his conduct was unlawful.

Section 78.751 of the Nevada General Corporation Law requires that the determination that indemnification is proper in a specific case must be made by (a) the stockholders, (b) the board of directors by majority vote of a quorum consisting of directors who were not parties to the action, suit or proceeding or (c) independent legal counsel in a written opinion (i) if a majority vote of a quorum consisting of disinterested directors is not possible or (ii) if such an opinion is requested by a quorum consisting of disinterested directors.

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 (the Act) may be permitted to directors, officers and controlling persons of the registrant pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, the registrant has been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Act and is, therefore, unenforceable.

Item 25. Other Expenses of Issuance and Distribution

We will pay all expenses in connection with the registration and sale of our common stock. The estimated expenses of issuance and distribution are set forth below.

Type of Expense	Amount
Registration Fees	\$4,230
Transfer Agent Fees	\$5,000
Costs of Printing and Engraving	\$2,000
Legal Fees	\$25,000
Accounting Fees	\$10,000
Total	\$46,230

Item 26. Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

There have been no sales of unregistered securities within the last three years, which would be required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 701 of Regulation S-B, except for the following:

On May 5, 2004, pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement), dated as of March 3, 2004, as amended as of May 3, by and among privately held Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. (Chembio Diagnostic Systems), a Delaware corporation, Chembio Diagnostics, Inc. (formerly, Trading Solutions.com, Inc.), a publicly traded Nevada corporation (the Company) and New Trading Solutions, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company (Merger Sub), the Merger Sub merged with and into Chembio Diagnostic Systems, with Chembio Diagnostic Systems remaining as the surviving corporation (the Merger). Pursuant to the Merger, the Company issued 4,000,000 shares of its restricted common stock, 704,000 options and warrants to purchase 690,000 shares of its common stock to the stockholders of Chembio Diagnostic Systems in exchange for 100% of their issued and outstanding common stock, options and warrants to purchase Chembio Diagnostic Systems common stock. The Company relied on Regulation D promulgated under Section 4(2) of the Act and on Section 4(2) of the Act as the basis for its exemption from registration of this offering. 44 accredited and only 3 non-accredited investors received securities of the Company in the Merger. All of the stockholders of Chembio Diagnostic Systems, including the non-accredited investors, were provided with an information statement meeting the informational requirements of Rule 502 (b)(2) of the Securities Act.

On May 5, 2004 the Company issued warrants to designees of H.C. Wainright & Co., Inc. and Wellfleet Partners, Inc., our placement agents in the series A preferred stock private placement, to purchase 751,667 shares and 183,333 shares of our common stock at exercise prices of \$0.72 and \$1.08. In addition, designees of Wellfleet Partners received 59,000 shares of common stock and an individual finder received 6,667 shares of common stock.

At or about the time of the Merger, the Company consummated three private placements of its 8% Series A Convertible Preferred Stock as follows: (i) shares of series A preferred and warrants were sold for cash (the Cash Offering); (ii) shares of series A preferred and warrants were exchanged, as described herein, for conversion of the Bridge Notes (the Bridge Conversion Offering), and (iii) shares of series A Preferred and warrants were exchanged, as described herein, for conversion of the Existing Debt (as defined below) of Chembio Diagnostic Systems (the Existing Debt Exchange Offering). These placements are described below:

- 1. The Cash Offering. A total of 73.33330 shares of series A preferred stock and warrants to acquire 4,400,000 shares of common stock at \$.90 per share were issued pursuant to the Cash Offering in May 2005 for total consideration of \$2,200,000. The Company relied on Regulation D promulgated under Section 4(2) of the Act and on Section 4(2) of the Act as the basis for its exemption from registration of this offering. Nine accredited and zero non-accredited investors received securities of the Company in the offering. All of the investors, including the non-accredited investors, were provided with an information statement meeting the informational requirements of Rule 502 (b)(2) of the Securities Act.
- 2. The Bridge Conversion Offering. On March 22, 2004, Chembio Diagnostic Systems completed a private placement (the Bridge Financing) of \$1,000,000 in face amount of Convertible Notes (the Bridge Notes). The Bridge Financing provided for the Bridge Note holders to elect whether to convert the Bridge Notes into shares of the Company's series A preferred stock (together with warrants to acquire shares of the Company's common stock) or into shares of the Company's common stock at the effective time of the Merger. As a result, \$672,000 in principal amount of the Bridge Notes, together with accrued and unpaid interest, was converted into 33.83632 shares of the Company's series A preferred stock (together with warrants to acquire an additional 2,030,217 shares of the Company's common stock at \$.90 per share). The balance of the Bridge Financing, or \$328,000, was converted into 826,741 shares of the Company's common stock. The Company relied on Regulation D promulgated under Section 4(2) of the Act and on Section 4(2) of the Act as the basis for its exemption from registration of this offering. 33 accredited and zero non-accredited investors received securities of the Company in the offering. All of the investors, including the non-accredited investors, were provided with an information statement meeting the informational requirements of Rule 502 (b)(2) of the Securities Act.
- 3. The Existing Debt Exchange Offering. Pursuant to the Existing Debt Exchange Offering, which was consummated at the effective time of the Merger, the Company issued 44.40972 shares of series A preferred stock and warrants to acquire 2,664,584 shares of common stock at \$.90 per share in exchange for the conversion of \$1,332,292 of Chembio Diagnostic Systems debt existing on its balance sheet as of December 31, 2003. The Company relied on Regulation D promulgated under Section 4(2) of the Act and on Section 4(2) of the Act as the basis for its exemption from registration of this offering. 11 accredited and zero non-accredited investors received securities of the Company in the offering. All of the investors, including the non-accredited investors, were provided with an information statement meeting the informational requirements of Rule 502 (b)(2) of the Securities Act.

In May 2004, the Company issued options to acquire 100,000 shares of common stock to Lawrence Siebert, of which 50,000 options vest in one year with an exercise price of \$1.20 per share and of which 50,000 options vest in two years with an exercise price of \$1.50 per share. In May 2004, the Company issued options to acquire 200,000 shares of common stock to Avi Pelossof, of which 100,000 options are immediately exercisable with an exercise price of \$0.60 per share, of which 50,000 options vest in one year with an exercise price of \$0.90 per share, and of which 50,000 options vest in two years with an exercise price of \$1.35 per share. The Company also issued options to acquire 75,000 shares of common stock to an employee, one-third of which vests in one year with an exercise price of \$0.90 per share, one-third of which vests in two years with an exercise price of \$1.20 per share, and one-third of which vests in three years with an exercise price of \$1.50 per share.

Also in May, 2004, the Company issued 25,000 shares of common stock and options to acquire 75,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$0.60 per share to a consultant for services performed. One-quarter of these options vested on July 1, 2004, and an additional one-quarter vests every six months until January 1, 2006. The Company also issued options to acquire 30,000 shares to a second consultant for services performed, of which 2,500 options vest each month beginning June 15, 2004 with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share.

In June 2004, the Company issued options to acquire 20,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share to a consultant for services performed. The Company issued to this same consultant options to acquire 20,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.50 and options to acquire 5,000 shares of common stock at \$2.00 per share, all of which vest in one year.

In early June 2004, the Company agreed with Patton Boggs LLP, a law firm providing legal services to the Company, that the Company would pay for \$27,989 of its outstanding bill for previously provided legal services with 37,319 shares of the Company s restricted common stock. The Company relied on Regulation D promulgated under Section 4(2) of the Act and on Section 4(2) of the Act as the basis of its exemption from registration for this transaction. The firm receiving the shares is an accredited investor. Resale of the shares will be registered by this registration statement.

EXHIBITS

- 2.1(2) Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as March 3, 2004 (the Merger Agreement), by and among the Registrant, New Trading Solutions, Inc. (Merger Sub) and Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc.
- 2.2(1) Amendment No. 1 to the Merger Agreement dated as May 1, 2004, by and among the Registrant, Merger Sub and Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc.
- 3.1(2) Articles of Incorporation.
- 3.2(2) Certificate of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation.
- 3.3(2) Bylaws.
- 3.4(1) Amendment No. 1 to Bylaws dated May 3, 2004.
- 4.2(1) Certificate of Designation of the Relative Rights and Preferences of the series A convertible preferred stock of the Registrant.
- 4.3(1) Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of May 5, 2004, by and among the Registrant and the Purchasers listed therein.
- 4.4(1) Lock-Up Agreement, dated as of May 5, 2004, by and among the Registrant and the shareholders of the Registrant listed therein.
- 4.5(1) Form of Common Stock Warrant issued pursuant to the Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement.
- 4.6(1) Form of \$.90 Warrant issued to Mark L. Baum pursuant to the Consulting Agreement dated as of May 5, 2004 between the Registrant and Mark L. Baum.
- 4.7(1) Form of \$.60 Warrant issued to Mark L. Baum pursuant to the Consulting Agreement dated as of May 5, 2004 between the Registrant and Mark L. Baum.
- 4.8 Form of Warrant issued to Placement Agents pursuant to the Series A Convertible Stock Private Placement
- 5.1(3) Opinion and Consent of Patton Boggs LLP.
- 10.1(1) Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Mark L. Baum dated as of May 5, 2004.
- 10,2(3) Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Lawrence A. Siebert dated as of May 5, 2004.
- 10.3(3) Employment Agreement between the Registrant and with Avi Pelossof dated as of May 5, 2004.
- 10.4(3) Employment Agreement between the Registrant and with Javan Esfandiari dated as of May 5, 2004.
- 10.5(1) Series A Convertible Preferred Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement (the Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement), dated as of May 5, 2004, by and among the Registrant and the Purchasers listed therein.
- 10.6(3) License and Supply Agreement dated as of August 30, 2002 by and between Chembio Diagnostic Systems Inc. and Adaltis Inc.
- 10.8 Contract for Transfer of Technology and Materials with Bio-Manguinhos.
- 10.9(4) Agreement with Abbott Laboratories.
- 21(1) List of Subsidiaries.
- 23.1 Consent of Lazar, Levine & Felix LLP, Independent Accountants.
- 23.25 Consent of Patton Boggs LLP (Included in Exhibit 5.1).
- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on May 14, 2004.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s registration statement on Form SB-2 filed with the Commission on August 23, 1999.
- (3) Previously filed with the Registrant s registration statement on Form SB-2 filed with the Commission on June 7, 2004.
- (4) To be filed by amendment.

II-

UNDERTAKINGS

The undersigned registrant hereby undertakes:

- 1. To file, during any period in which offers or sales are being made, a post-effective amendment to this registration statement to:
 - 1. Include any prospectus required by section 10(a)(3) of the Securities Act of 1933;
 - 2. Reflect in the prospectus any facts or events which, individually or together, represent a fundamental change in the information in the registration statement;
 - 3. Include any additional or changed material information on the plan of distribution.
- 2. For determining liability under the Securities Act of 1933, treat each post-effective amendment as a new registration statement relating to the securities offered therein, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial bona fide offering thereof.
- 3. File a post-effective amendment to remove from registration any of the securities that remain unsold at the end of offering.
- 4. Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 (the Act) may be permitted to directors, officers and controlling persons of the registrant pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, the registrant has been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is against public policy .as expressed in the Act and is, therefore, unenforceable.
- 5. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities (other than the payment by the registrant of expenses incurred or paid by a director, officer or controlling person of the registrant in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding) is asserted by such director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, the registrant will, unless in the opinion of its counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

II-

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, the registrant certifies that it has reasonable grounds to believe that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form SB-2 and authorized this Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, in the City of Medford, State of New York, on August 3, 2004.

Chembio Diagnostics, Inc.,

Nevada corporation

By:

/s/ Lawrence A. Siebert

Lawrence A. Siebert

Its:

£ 64.2

President, Chief Executive Officer

and Chairman of the Board

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, this Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

August 3, 2004 /s/ Lawrence A. Siebert Lawrence A. Siebert President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board (Principal Executive Officer) By: August 3, 2004 Richard J. Larkin Secretary, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) By: August 3, 2004 Mark L. Baum Director *Bv: August 3, 2004 /s/ Lawrence A. Siebert Lawrence A. Siebert Attorney-in-Fact II-201262v10 ottom;border-bottom:3px double #000000;padding-left:2px;padding-top:2px;padding-bottom:2px;background-color:#cceeff;">

Weighted Average Pound/Dollar Exchange Rate 1.305
1.312
1.351
1.277
Operating Ratios (% of Premium Income):
Benefit Ratio

74.2 %

74.9 % 74.3 % 74.0 % Other Expense Ratio 18.9 % 19.7 % 19.3 % 20.4 % Adjusted Operating Income Ratio 18.9 % 20.1 % 20.0 % 21.6 %

Persistency:	
Group Long-term Disability	
87.5	
87.3 %	
87.1 % Group Life	
85.5 %	
83.5 % Supplemental	

92.9 %
89.5 %
N.M. = not a meaningful percentage
Premium income increased in the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 compared to the same periods of 2017 driven by higher persistency, rate increases in our group long-term disability product line, and growth in the in-force block.
Net investment income was lower in the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 relative to the same prior year periods due primarily to lower yield on our fixed-rate bonds and lower investment income from inflation index-linked bonds, which we invest in to support the claim reserves associated with certain of our group policies that provide for inflation-linked increases in benefits. The decrease in net investment income attributable to these index-linked bonds was offset by a decrease in the reserves

for future claims payments related to the inflation index-linked group long-term disability and group life policies. Partially offsetting the decrease in net investment income was additional income related to growth in the level of invested assets.

Benefits experience was favorable in the third quarter of 2018 relative to the same prior year period due primarily to favorable claim resolutions in our group long-term disability product line and the impact of lower inflation-linked increases in benefits, partially offset by unfavorable claims activity in our group life and supplemental product lines. Benefits experience for the first nine months of 2018 was less favorable relative to the same prior year period due to unfavorable claims activity in our group life and supplemental product lines, partially offset by favorable claims recoveries in our group long-term disability product line and the impact of lower inflation-linked increases in benefits.

Commissions and deferral of acquisition costs were generally consistent in the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 relative to the same prior year periods. The amortization of acquisition costs during the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 was lower than the same prior year periods primarily due to a decrease in the level of the deferred asset. The other expense ratio was lower for the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 relative to the prior year periods due to the increase in premium income and our continued focus on expense management and operating efficiencies.

Sales	
(in millions of dollars and	pounds)

(in initions of donars and pounds)								
	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended				
		nber 30			September 30			
	2018	% Cha	ange	2017	2018	% Ch	ange	2017
Sales by Product								
Group Long-term Disability	\$9.1	40.0	%	\$6.5	\$32.5	3.2	%	\$31.5
Group Life	5.6	(28.2)	7.8	15.8	(10.7)	17.7
Supplemental	2.2			2.2	14.0	14.8		12.2
Total Sales	\$16.9	2.4		\$16.5	\$62.3	1.5		\$61.4
Sales by Market Sector								
Group Long-term Disability and Group Life								
Core Market (< 500 employees)	\$7.8	27.9	%	\$6.1	\$26.3	21.8	%	\$21.6
Large Case Market	6.9	(15.9)	8.2	22.0	(20.3)	27.6
Subtotal	14.7	2.8		14.3	48.3	(1.8)	49.2
Supplemental	2.2			2.2	14.0	14.8		12.2
Total Sales	\$16.9	2.4		\$16.5	\$62.3	1.5		\$61.4
Sales by Product								
Group Long-term Disability	£7.0	40.0	%	£5.0	£24.0	(3.6)%	£24.9
Group Life	4.3	(29.5)	6.1	11.7	(15.8)	13.9
Supplemental	1.7	6.3		1.6	10.2	6.3		9.6
Total Sales	£13.0	2.4		£12.7	£45.9	(5.2)	£48.4
Sales by Market Sector								
Group Long-term Disability and Group Life								
Core Market (< 500 employees)	£6.1	27.1	%	£4.8	£19.5	14.7	%	£17.0
Large Case Market	5.2	(17.5)	6.3	16.2	(25.7)	21.8
Subtotal	11.3	1.8		11.1	35.7	(8.0))	38.8
Supplemental	1.7	6.3		1.6	10.2	6.3		9.6

Total Sales £13.0 2.4 £12.7 £45.9 (5.2) £48.4

The increase in group long-term disability sales for the third quarter of 2018 relative to the same period of 2017 was driven by higher sales to new and existing customers in both the core market, which we define as employee groups with fewer than 500 employees, and the large case market. For the first nine months of 2018, group long-term disability sales decreased relative to the same period of 2017, primarily driven by lower sales to new customers in our core and large case markets, partially offset by higher sales to existing customers in our core and large case markets. The decrease in group life sales during the third quarter of 2018 relative to the same period in 2017 was driven primarily by a decrease in sales to new customers in the large case market, partially offset by an increase in group life sales during the first nine months of 2018 compared to the same period of 2017, was driven primarily by a decrease in sales to new and existing customers in the large case market, partially offset by an increase in sales to new and existing customers in the large case market, partially offset by an increase in sales to new and existing customers in the core market.

Sales in the supplemental line of business were higher during the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 relative to the same prior year periods, driven primarily by sales in the group critical illness product line.

Segment Outlook

We remain committed to driving growth in the U.K. market, and during the remainder of 2018, we will continue to build on those capabilities that we believe will generate growth and profitability in our businesses. Expanding our group long-term disability market position remains a significant opportunity and priority. Our key priorities in 2018 include the continuing implementation of price increases across interest sensitive product lines while maintaining solid persistency results and continuing to follow a disciplined approach to new sales activity in the competitive pricing environment. We intend to build upon the strong sales momentum we have seen in our group critical illness and dental products through increased participation rates as well as accelerate growth in our group life line of business. We will expand our distribution and build marketing and digital capabilities which we believe will drive sustainable growth. We have simplified our processes and operations to deliver efficiencies and further improvements to customer service and remain focused on risk discipline.

We expect to continue to see some near-term dampening of growth in Unum UK due to the current disruption and uncertainty in the U.K. economy as a result of the U.K.'s formal notice to withdraw from the EU. We anticipate that lower economic growth, wage inflation, and the interest rate outlook in the U.K. will present challenges in the short to medium term, but we will continue to monitor and adapt our plans accordingly to respond to these challenges. The magnitude and longevity of potential negative economic impacts on our growth will depend on the agreements reached by the U.K. and EU as a result of exit negotiations and the resulting response of the U.K. marketplace, but we believe we are well positioned to capitalize on future growth opportunities as these negotiations are resolved and the operating environment improves.

We expect the current environment to continue to have a negative impact on our growth expectations in the near-term and may also lead to a higher rate of claim incidence, lower levels of claim recoveries, or lower claim discount rates. As part of our continued pricing discipline and our reserving strategy, we continuously monitor emerging interest rate experience and adjust our pricing and reserve discount rates, as appropriate. We will likely continue to experience volatility in net investment income and our benefit ratio due to fluctuations in the level of inflation in the U.K., however, we do not expect this to have a significant impact on adjusted operating income. There are no indications currently that capital requirements for our U.K. operations will change, but economic conditions may in the near term cause volatility in our solvency ratios. We continuously monitor key indicators to assess our risks and attempt to adjust our business plans accordingly.

Colonial Life Segment

The Colonial Life segment includes insurance for accident, sickness, and disability products, which includes our expanded dental and vision products, life products, and cancer and critical illness products issued primarily by Colonial Life & Accident Insurance Company and marketed to employees, on both a group and an individual basis, at the workplace through an independent contractor agency sales force and brokers.

Operating Results

90

Shown below are financial results and key performance indicators for the Colonial Life segment. (in millions of dollars, except ratios)

				Nine Months Ended Septem 30			nber					
	2018		% Ch	ange	2017		2018		% Ch	ange	2017	
Adjusted Operating Revenue												
Premium Income												
Accident, Sickness, and Disability	\$231.9		4.3	%	\$222.3	3	\$691.	8	4.6	%	\$661.	5
Life	81.4		9.1		74.6		243.9		9.0		223.8	
Cancer and Critical Illness	86.7		6.0		81.8		258.0		5.7		244.0	
Total Premium Income	400.0		5.6		378.7		1,193.	7	5.7		1,129	.3
Net Investment Income	36.7		1.9		36.0		114.2		5.9		107.8	
Other Income	0.4		33.3		0.3		1.0		25.0		0.8	
Total	437.1		5.3		415.0		1,308.	9	5.7		1,237	.9
Benefits and Expenses												
Benefits and Change in Reserves for Future Benefits	206.1		5.1		196.1		613.4		5.9		579.2	
Commissions	92.4		6.9		86.4		273.7		6.6		256.8	
Deferral of Acquisition Costs	(80.8))	7.7		(75.0)	(237.4	-)	7.6		(220.7)	7)
Amortization of Deferred Acquisition Costs	61.2		8.9		56.2		181.0		7.5		168.4	
Other Expenses	74.0		6.3		69.6		228.4		9.6		208.3	
Total	352.9		5.9		333.3		1,059.	1	6.8		992.0	
Adjusted Operating Income	\$84.2		3.1		\$81.7		\$249.	8	1.6		\$245.	9
Operating Ratios (% of Premium Income):												
Benefit Ratio	51.5	%			51.8	%	51.4	%			51.3	%
Other Expense Ratio	18.5	%			18.4	%	19.1	%			18.4	%
Adjusted Operating Income Ratio	21.1	%			21.6	%	20.9	%			21.8	%
Persistency:												
Accident, Sickness, and Disability							73.8	%			75.4	%
Life							83.7	%			84.5	%
Cancer and Critical Illness							82.4	%			82.6	%

Premium income increased in the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 relative to the same periods of 2017 driven primarily by sales growth. Net investment income was higher in the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 compared to the same periods of 2017 due to an increase in the level of invested assets and higher miscellaneous investment income, partially offset by a decline in yield on invested assets.

Benefits experience in the third quarter of 2018 was favorable compared to the same period of 2017 driven primarily by favorable experience in our life line of business. Benefits experience in the first nine months of 2018 was generally consistent with the same period of 2017.

Commissions and the deferral of acquisition costs were higher in the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 relative to the same periods of 2017 due to sales growth. The amortization of deferred acquisition costs increased during the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 relative to the same periods of 2017 due primarily to growth in the level of the deferred asset. The other expense ratio was higher in the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 due to costs related to our territory expansion initiatives, investments in our business, and the roll-out of our dental and vision products.

Sales

Certain prior year amounts below were reclassified to conform to current year presentation (in millions of dollars)

	Three Months Ended				Nine Months Ended			
	Septem	ber 30			September 30			
	2018	% Cha	nge	2017	2018	% Cha	nge	2017
Sales by Product								
Accident, Sickness, and Disability	\$77.3	16.2	%	\$66.5	\$227.3	12.7	%	\$201.6
Life	24.2	7.1		22.6	73.1	6.9		68.4
Cancer and Critical Illness	19.3	8.4		17.8	56.5	13.2		49.9
Total Sales	\$120.8	13.0		\$106.9	\$356.9	11.6		\$319.9
Sales by Market Sector								
Commercial								
Core Market (< 1,000 employees)	\$76.2	18.1	%	\$64.5	\$230.0	14.3	%	\$201.2
Large Case Market	16.7	36.9		12.2	52.6	13.9		46.2
Subtotal	92.9	21.1		76.7	282.6	14.2		247.4
Public Sector	27.9	(7.6)	30.2	74.3	2.5		72.5
Total Sales	\$120.8	13.0		\$106.9	\$356.9	11.6		\$319.9

Sales in aggregate were higher in the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 relative to the same periods of 2017 due to growth in both new and existing customer account sales and the expansion of our dental and vision products, primarily in our core market, which we define as accounts with fewer than 1,000 employees. Commercial market sales increased in the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 as compared to the same periods of 2017 due to higher sales to both new and existing customers in both the core and large case markets. Public sector market sales were lower in the third quarter of 2018 due to a decrease in both new and existing customers sales. Public sector market sales were higher in the first nine months of 2018 compared to the same prior year period due primarily to an increase in sales to existing customers, partially offset by lower sales to new customers. The number of new accounts increased 17.6 percent and 12.2 percent, respectively, in the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 relative to the same periods of 2017. The average new case size for the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 was generally consistent with the same periods of 2017.

Segment Outlook

We remain committed to providing employees and their families with simple, modern, and personal benefit solutions. During the remainder of 2018, we intend to continue to focus on expanding our distribution, introducing new products and services, enhancing the customer experience, and investing in new solutions and digital capabilities to further improve productivity. We believe there is significant opportunity for growth in our core market, particularly those employers with fewer than 100 employees. This market is currently underserved, and we believe having a large national distribution system is critical to reaching those markets. We will continue to focus on accelerating growth during the remainder of 2018 through territory expansion, territory growth, persistency investments, and increased participation rates. We believe our distribution system, enrollment capabilities, public sector expertise, the introduction of our new individual dental and vision products, and ability to serve all market sizes position us well for future growth.

We expect to see continued favorable sales and premium growth trends during the remainder of 2018 and a consistent level of adjusted operating earnings growth as a result of accelerating investments in our future growth. The lower interest rate environment will continue to have an unfavorable impact on our profit margins, and volatility in miscellaneous investment income is likely to continue. We expect our annual benefit ratio for 2018 to be generally consistent with the level of 2017. While we believe our underlying profitability will remain strong, current economic conditions and increasing competition in the voluntary workplace market are seen as external risks to achievement of our business plans. We continuously monitor key indicators to assess our risks and attempt to adjust our business plans accordingly.

Closed Block Segment

The Closed Block segment consists of individual disability, group and individual long-term care, and other insurance products no longer actively marketed. Individual disability in this segment generally consists of policies we sold prior to the mid-1990s and entirely discontinued selling in 2004, other than update features contractually allowable on existing policies. We discontinued offering individual long-term care in 2009 and group long-term care in 2012. Other insurance products include group pension, individual life and corporate-owned life insurance, reinsurance pools and management operations, and other miscellaneous product lines.

Operating Results

Shown below are financial results and key performance indicators for the Closed Block segment. (in millions of dollars, except ratios)

	Three Months Ended				Nine Months Ended September				
	September 30			30					
	2018	% Chan	nge	2017	2018	% Ch	ange	2017	
Adjusted Operating Revenue									
Premium Income									
Individual Disability	\$102.7	. ,	%	\$117.9	\$319.0	(10.8)	-	\$357.7	7
Long-term Care	163.0	0.4		162.4	485.8	(0.1)	486.4	
All Other	1.9	(13.6))	2.2	6.5	(3.0))	6.7	
Total Premium Income	267.6	(5.3))	282.5	811.3	(4.6)	850.8	
Net Investment Income	348.0	3.2		337.2	1,031.3	1.9		1,012.	5
Other Income	18.9			18.9	56.8	(5.2)	59.9	
Total	634.5	(0.6))	638.6	1,899.4	(1.2)	1,923.2	2
Benefits and Expenses									
Benefits and Change in Reserves for Future Benefits	1,294.5	135.2		550.4	2,382.1	44.7		1,646.	7
Commissions	20.9	(7.9))	22.7	63.3	(7.0)	68.1	
Interest and Debt Expense	1.8	5.9		1.7	5.2	2.0		5.1	
Other Expenses	35.9	(3.5))	37.2	108.9	(3.2))	112.5	
Total	1,353.1	121.1		612.0	2,559.5	39.7		1,832.4	4
Income (Loss) Before Income Tax and Net Realized	(710.6.)	N. N. C.		26.6	(660.1.)	N1 N 6		00.0	
Investment Gains and Losses	(718.6)	N.M.		26.6	(660.1)	N.M.		90.8	
Long-term Care Reserve Increase	750.8	N.M.		_	750.8	N.M.			
Adjusted Operating Income	\$32.2	21.1		\$26.6	\$90.7	(0.1)	\$90.8	
Interest Adjusted Loss Ratios:									
Individual Disability	80.5 %			82.4 %	80.1 %			82.8	%
Long-term Care	548.2 %				248.2 %			90.5	%
Long-term Care Excluding Reserve Increase	87.5 %				93.7 %				
Operating Ratios (% of Premium Income):									
Other Expense Ratio	13.4 %			13.2 %	13.4 %			13.2	%
Income (Loss) Ratio	(268.5)%			9.4 %	(81.4)%			10.7	%
Adjusted Operating Income Ratio	12.0 %				11.2 %				

Persistency:

Individual Disability	88.7	%	89.8	%
Long-term Care	95.6	%	95.5	%

N.M. = not a meaningful percentage

Premium income for individual disability decreased in the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 compared to the same periods of 2017 due to policy terminations and maturities. Premium income for long-term care in the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 was generally consistent with the same prior year periods with rate increases offsetting policy terminations. We continue to file requests with various state insurance departments for premium rate increases on certain of our individual and group long-term care policies which reflect assumptions as of the date of filings. In states for which a rate increase is submitted and approved, we routinely provide customers options for coverage changes or other approaches that might fit their current financial and insurance needs.

Net investment income was higher in the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 relative to the same periods of 2017 due to an increase in the level of invested assets and higher miscellaneous investment income, partially offset by a decline in yield on invested assets. Other income, which includes the underlying results and associated net investment income of certain blocks of individual disability reinsured business, continues to decline due to expected terminations and maturities.

Individual disability benefits experience was favorable in the third quarter of 2018 compared to the same period of 2017 primarily driven by improved mortality experience. During the first nine months of 2018, individual disability benefits experience was favorable relative to the same prior year period due primarily to lower incidence and a lower average size of new claims, partially offset by unfavorable claim recovery experience.

During the third quarter and first nine months of 2018, the long-term care interest-adjusted loss ratio, excluding the reserve increase, was not comparable to the same periods of 2017 due to the update in our assumptions during the third quarter of 2018. The interest-adjusted loss ratio, excluding the reserve increase, for the third quarter of 2018 was consistent with our expectations.

The other expense ratio was slightly higher in the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 compared to the same periods of 2017 due to the expected decline in premium income for individual disability, partially offset by our continued focus on expense management and operating efficiencies.

Segment Outlook

During the remainder of 2018 we will continue to execute on our well-defined strategy of implementing long-term care premium rate increases, efficient capital management, improved financial analysis, and operational effectiveness. Despite continued anticipated premium rate increases in our long-term care business, we expect overall premium income and adjusted operating revenue to decline over time as these closed blocks of business wind down. We will likely experience volatility in net investment income due to fluctuations of miscellaneous investment income and the continued increase in our allocation towards high yield and alternative assets in the long-term care product line. We continuously monitor key indicators to assess our risks and attempt to adjust our business plans accordingly.

Profitability of our long-tailed products is affected by claims experience related to mortality and morbidity, resolutions, investment returns, premium rate increases, and persistency. We believe that the interest adjusted loss ratios for the individual disability and long-term care lines of business will be relatively flat over the long term, but these product lines may continue to experience quarterly volatility, particularly in the near term for our long-term care product lines as our claim block matures and as we continue the implementation of premium rate increases. Specific to our long-term care line of business, which is in loss recognition and should report levels of benefits plus operating expenses that equal the gross premium reported, we expect the long term interest-adjusted loss ratio to be in the 85 to 90 percent range with some quarterly volatility. Claim resolution rates, which measure the resolution of claims from recovery, deaths, settlements, and benefit expirations, are very sensitive to operational and external factors and can be volatile. Our claim resolution rate assumption used in determining reserves is our expectation of the resolution rate we will experience over the life of the block of business and will vary from actual experience in any one period. It is

possible that variability in any of our reserve assumptions, including, but not limited to, interest rates, mortality, morbidity, resolutions, premium rate increases, benefit change elections, and persistency, could result in a material impact on the adequacy of our reserves, including adjustments to reserves established under loss recognition.

Corporate Segment

The Corporate segment includes investment income on corporate assets not specifically allocated to a line of business, interest expense on corporate debt other than non-recourse debt, and certain other corporate income and expenses not allocated to a line of business.

Operating Results (in millions of dollars)

	Three N	Months End	ed	Nine Months Ended			
	Septem	ber 30		September 30			
	2018	% Change	2017	2018	% Change	2017	
Adjusted Operating Revenue							
Net Investment Income	\$7.8	23.8 %	\$6.3	\$22.5	58.5 %	\$14.2	
Other Income	0.1	(75.0)	0.4	1.6	6.7	1.5	
Total	7.9	17.9	6.7	24.1	53.5	15.7	
Interest and Other Expenses	55.0	28.2	42.9	147.0	(1.9)	149.9	
Loss Before Income Tax and Net Realized Investment Gains and Losses	(47.1)	(30.1)	(36.2)	(122.9)	8.4	(134.2)	
Loss from Guaranty Fund Assessment Adjusted Operating Loss		—) (30.1)	- \$(36.2)	— \$(122.9)	N.M. (8.2)	20.6 \$(113.6)	

N.M. = not a meaningful percentage

Net investment income was higher in the third quarter and first nine months of 2018 relative to the same periods of 2017 due to a higher yield on invested assets and higher levels of invested assets.

Interest and other expenses were higher in the third quarter of 2018 compared to the same period of 2017 due to a higher level of outstanding debt, a higher overall rate of interest, and higher expenses due to acquisition expenses and certain restructuring costs. Interest and other expenses were lower in the first nine months of 2018 relative to the same period of 2017 due primarily to a \$20.6 million loss incurred in the first quarter of 2017 from a guaranty fund assessment related to an unaffiliated insurer that was declared insolvent. Excluding this loss, interest and other expenses were higher than the prior year due primarily to a higher level of outstanding debt, a higher overall rate of interest, acquisition expenses, and certain restructuring costs.

Segment Outlook

As a result of tax reform, we expect our insurance subsidiaries to generate stronger statutory earnings and long-term cash generation. While we intend to maintain aggregate capital levels in our statutory entities consistent with current levels, our year-end 2018 RBC ratios will decline primarily as a result of the higher RBC factors adopted by the NAIC in response to the lower U.S. statutory income tax rate.

Investments Overview

Investment activities are an integral part of our business, and profitability is significantly affected by investment results. We segment our invested assets into portfolios that support our various product lines. Generally, our investment strategy for our portfolios is to match the effective asset cash flows and durations with related expected liability cash flows and durations to consistently meet the liability funding requirements of our businesses. We seek to earn investment income while assuming credit risk in a prudent and selective manner, subject to constraints of quality, liquidity, diversification, and regulatory considerations. Our overall investment philosophy is to invest in a portfolio of high quality assets that provide investment returns consistent with that assumed in the pricing of our insurance products. Assets are invested predominately in fixed maturity securities. Changes in interest rates may affect the amount and timing of cash flows.

We actively manage our asset and liability cash flow match and our asset and liability duration match to limit interest rate risk. We may redistribute investments among our different lines of business, when necessary, to adjust the cash flow and/or duration of the asset portfolios to better match the cash flow and duration of the liability portfolios. Asset and liability portfolio modeling is updated on a quarterly basis and is used as part of the overall interest rate risk management strategy. Cash flows from the in-force asset and liability portfolios are projected at current interest rate levels and also at levels reflecting an increase and a decrease in interest rates to obtain a range of projected cash flows under the different interest rate scenarios. These results enable us to assess the impact of projected changes in cash flows and duration resulting from potential changes in interest rates. Testing the asset and liability portfolios under various interest rate scenarios enables us to choose what we believe to be the most appropriate investment strategy, as well as to limit the risk of disadvantageous outcomes. Although we test the asset and liability portfolios under various interest rate scenarios as part of our modeling, the majority of our liabilities related to insurance contracts are not interest rate sensitive, and we therefore have minimal exposure to policy withdrawal risk. Our determination of investment strategy relies on long-term measures such as reserve adequacy analysis and the relationship between the portfolio yields supporting our various product lines and the aggregate discount rate assumptions embedded in the reserves. We also use this analysis in determining hedging strategies and utilizing derivative financial instruments for managing interest rate risk and the risk related to matching duration for our assets and liabilities. We do not use derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes.

Our investment portfolio is well diversified by type of investment and industry sector. We have established an investment strategy that we believe will provide for adequate cash flows from operations and allow us to hold our securities through periods where significant decreases in fair value occur. We believe our emphasis on risk management in our investment portfolio, including credit and interest rate management, has positioned us well and generally reduced the volatility in our results.

Fixed Maturity Securities

The fair values and associated unrealized gains and losses of our fixed maturity securities portfolio, by industry classification, are as follows:

Fixed Maturity Securities - By Industry Classification As of September 30, 2018

(in millions of dollars)

Classification	Fair Value	Net Unrealized Gain	Fair Value of Fixed Maturity Securities with Gross Unrealized Loss	Gross Unrealized Loss	Fair Value of Fixed Maturity Securities with Gross Unrealized Gain	Gross Unrealized Gain
Basic Industry	\$2,852.3	\$ 131.9	\$1,070.2	\$ 43.7	\$1,782.1	\$ 175.6
Capital Goods	4,073.7	267.2	1,091.4	55.8	2,982.3	323.0
Communications	2,854.1	263.6	713.1	32.3	2,141.0	295.9
Consumer Cyclical	1,355.6	70.0	467.7	12.3	887.9	82.3
Consumer Non-Cyclical	6,596.8	338.3	2,498.8	132.7	4,098.0	471.0
Energy	4,661.8	410.4	930.2	37.3	3,731.6	447.7
Financial Institutions	3,227.6	141.5	1,069.2	34.2	2,158.4	175.7
Mortgage/Asset-Backed	1,627.0	36.0	728.3	21.1	898.7	57.1
Sovereigns	798.4	154.3	46.7	1.7	751.7	156.0
Technology	1,541.5	37.2	548.7	14.8	992.8	52.0
Transportation	1,993.1	151.4	625.3	22.0	1,367.8	173.4
U.S. Government Agencies and Municipalities	4,099.5	358.6	965.3	35.3	3,134.2	393.9
Public Utilities	7,389.9	797.7	861.8	53.4	6,528.1	851.1
Total	\$43,071.3	\$ 3,158.1	\$11,616.7	\$ 496.6	\$31,454.6	\$ 3,654.7

The following two tables show the length of time our investment-grade and below-investment-grade fixed maturity securities had been in a gross unrealized loss position as of September 30, 2018 and at the end of the prior four quarters. The relationships of the current fair value to amortized cost are not necessarily indicative of the fair value to amortized cost relationships for the securities throughout the entire time that the securities have been in an unrealized loss position nor are they necessarily indicative of the relationships after September 30, 2018. The increase in the unrealized loss on fixed maturity securities during the third quarter of 2018 was due to an increase in U.S. treasury rates partially offset by a decline in credit spreads.

Unrealized Loss on Investment-Grade Fixed Maturity Securities Length of Time in Unrealized Loss Position

(in millions of dollars)

2018			2017						
Septembleme		March	Decen	n Sep tember					
30	30	31	31	30					
Fair Value $< 100\% >= 70\%$ of									
				.					
				\$ 12.4					
61.7	149.1	40.5	9.5	2.1					
158.2	40.3	30.5		1.8					
43.9	38.8		1.2	24.5					
95.7	51.3	44.8	32.1	9.2					
9.7	2.0	2.9	1.7	2.7					
1.9	1.2	0.2	_						
397.0	338.7	198.7	65.3	52.7					
10% of									
			1.0						
			1.2	_					
	13.9			_					
14.2			_						
14.2	13.9	_	1.2	_					
	\$25.9 61.7 158.2 43.9 95.7 9.7 1.9 397.0	\$eptemblame 30 30 70% of \$25.9 \$56.0 61.7 149.1 158.2 40.3 43.9 38.8 95.7 51.3 9.7 2.0 1.9 1.2 397.0 338.7 40% of	Septemblame March 30 30 31 \$25.9 \$56.0 \$79.8 61.7 149.1 40.5 158.2 40.3 30.5 43.9 38.8 — 95.7 51.3 44.8 9.7 2.0 2.9 1.9 1.2 0.2 397.0 338.7 198.7 40% of — — - 13.9 — 14.2 — —	Septemblame March Decendance 30 30 31 31 70% of 31 31 31 \$25.9 \$56.0 \$79.8 \$20.8 61.7 149.1 40.5 9.5 158.2 40.3 30.5 — 43.9 38.8 — 1.2 95.7 51.3 44.8 32.1 9.7 2.0 2.9 1.7 1.9 1.2 0.2 — 397.0 338.7 198.7 65.3 40% of — — 1.2 - — — — 14.2 — — —					

\$411.2 \$352.6 \$198.7 \$66.5 \$ 52.7

98

Total

Unrealized Loss on Below-Investment-Grade Fixed Maturity Securities Length of Time in Unrealized Loss Position

(in	mil	lions	of	dol	lars))
١				01	C C I		,

(III IIIIIIIIIIIII)									
	2018		2017						
	Septembere March		March	Decen	n S eptember				
	30	30	31	31	30				
Fair Value $< 100\% >= 70\%$ of									
Amortized Cost									
<= 90 days	\$2.3	\$6.4	\$19.8	\$4.7	\$ 0.7				
$> 90 \le 180 \text{ days}$	6.9	29.3	13.6	1.5	0.3				
$> 180 \le 270 \text{ days}$	19.5	14.8	2.9	0.4	1.2				
> 270 days <= 1 year	11.5	4.7		0.7	_				
> 1 year <= 2 years	13.8	10.3	10.5	2.7	3.2				
> 2 years <= 3 years	1.9	9.5	13.1	13.1	18.2				
> 3 years	24.4	22.5	26.6	19.6	14.4				
Sub-total	80.3	97.5	86.5	42.7	38.0				
Fair Value < 70% >= 4	10% of								
Amortized Cost									
> 2 years <= 3 years		5.0	7.9	7.3	10.6				
> 3 years	5.1			7.0	9.3				
Sub-total	5.1	5.0	7.9	14.3	19.9				
Total	\$85.4	\$102.5	\$94.4	\$57.0	\$ 57.9				

At September 30, 2018, we held two investment grade fixed maturity securities with a gross unrealized loss greater than \$10.0 million. The securities are related to U.S. government agencies and had a combined fair value of \$560.8 million and a gross unrealized loss of \$24.2 million.

We had no individual realized investment losses of \$10.0 million or greater from the sale of fixed maturity securities during the third quarters or first nine months of 2018 and 2017, nor did we have individual realized investment losses of \$10.0 million or greater from other-than-temporary impairments.

At September 30, 2018, our mortgage/asset-backed securities had an average life of 6.52 years, effective duration of 5.15 years, and a weighted average credit rating of Aaa. The mortgage/asset-backed securities are valued on a monthly basis using valuations supplied by the brokerage firms that are dealers in these securities as well as independent pricing services. One of the risks involved in investing in mortgage/asset-backed securities is the uncertainty of the timing of cash flows from the underlying loans due to prepayment of principal with the possibility of reinvesting the funds in a lower interest rate environment. We use models which incorporate economic variables and possible future interest rate scenarios to predict future prepayment rates. The timing of prepayment cash flows may also cause volatility in our recognition of investment income. We recognize investment income on these securities using a constant effective yield based on projected prepayments of the underlying loans and the estimated economic life of the securities. Actual prepayment experience is reviewed periodically, and effective yields are recalculated when differences arise between prepayments originally projected and the actual prepayments received and currently projected. The effective yield is recalculated on a retrospective basis, and the adjustment is reflected in net investment income.

We have no exposure to subprime mortgages, "Alt-A" loans, or collateralized debt obligations in our investment portfolios. We have not invested in mortgage-backed derivatives, such as interest-only, principal-only, or residuals, where market values can be highly volatile relative to changes in interest rates. The credit quality of our mortgage-backed securities portfolio has not been negatively impacted by the issues in the market concerning subprime mortgage loans. The change in value of our mortgage-backed securities portfolio has moved in line with that of prime agency-backed mortgage-backed securities.

As of September 30, 2018, the amortized cost and fair value of our below-investment-grade fixed maturity securities was \$3,239.8 million and \$3,231.4 million, respectively. Below-investment-grade securities are inherently riskier than investment-grade securities since the risk of default by the issuer, by definition and as exhibited by bond rating, is higher. Also, the

secondary market for certain below-investment-grade issues can be highly illiquid. Additional downgrades may occur, but we do not anticipate any liquidity problems resulting from our investments in below-investment-grade securities, nor do we expect these investments to adversely affect our ability to hold our other investments to maturity.

Fixed Maturity Securities - Foreign Exposure

Our investments in issuers in foreign countries are chosen for specific portfolio management purposes, including asset and liability management and portfolio diversification across geographic lines and sectors to minimize non-market risks. In our approach to investing in fixed maturity securities, specific investments within approved countries and industry sectors are evaluated for their market position and specific strengths and potential weaknesses. For each security, we consider the political, legal, and financial environment of the sovereign entity in which an issuer is domiciled and operates. The country of domicile is based on consideration of the issuer's headquarters, in addition to location of the assets and the country in which the majority of sales and earnings are derived. We do not have exposure to foreign currency risk, as the cash flows from these investments are either denominated in currencies or hedged into currencies to match the related liabilities. We continually evaluate our foreign investment risk exposure.

Our monitoring is heightened for investments in certain countries due to our concerns over the current economic and political environments, and we believe these investments are more vulnerable to potential credit problems. At September 30, 2018, we had minimal exposure in those countries and had no direct exposure to financial institutions of those countries.

Mortgage Loans

Our mortgage loan portfolio was \$2,222.0 million and \$2,213.2 million on an amortized cost basis at September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. Our mortgage loan portfolio is comprised entirely of commercial mortgage loans. We believe our mortgage loan portfolio is well diversified geographically and among property types. The incidence of problem mortgage loans and foreclosure activity continues to be low. Due to conservative underwriting, we expect the level of problem loans to remain low relative to the industry. We held one impaired mortgage loan at September 30, 2018 with net realizable value of \$3.4 million, net of a valuation allowance of \$0.2 million. We held no impaired mortgage loans at December 31, 2017.

Derivative Financial Instruments

We use derivative financial instruments primarily to manage reinvestment, duration, foreign currency, and credit risks. Historically, we have utilized current and forward interest rate swaps and options on forward interest rate swaps and U.S. Treasury rates, current and forward currency swaps, forward treasury locks, currency forward contracts, forward contracts on specific fixed income securities, and credit default swaps. Credit exposure on derivatives is limited to the value of those contracts in a net gain position, including accrued interest receivable less collateral held. At September 30, 2018, we had no credit exposure on derivatives. We held \$16.6 million of cash collateral from our counterparties at September 30, 2018. The carrying value of fixed maturity securities posted as collateral to our counterparties was \$43.6 million at September 30, 2018. We had no cash collateral posted to our counterparties at September 30, 2018. We believe that our credit risk is mitigated by our use of multiple counterparties, all of which have an investment-grade credit rating, and by our use of cross-collateralization agreements. Other

Our exposure to non-current investments, defined as foreclosed real estate and invested assets which are delinquent as to interest and/or principal payments, totaled \$35.8 million and \$32.9 million on a fair value basis at September 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

For further information see "Investments" in Part I, Item 1 and "Critical Accounting Estimates" and "Investments" in Part II, Item 7 of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017, and Notes 4 and 5 of the "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" contained herein in Item 1.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

Our liquidity requirements are met primarily by cash flows provided from operations, principally in our insurance subsidiaries. Premium and investment income, as well as maturities and sales of invested assets, provide the primary sources of cash. Debt and/or securities offerings provide additional sources of liquidity. Cash is applied to the payment of policy benefits, costs of acquiring new business (principally commissions), operating expenses, and taxes, as well as purchases of new investments.

We have established an investment strategy that we believe will provide for adequate cash flows from operations. We attempt to match our asset cash flows and durations with expected liability cash flows and durations to meet the funding requirements of our business. However, deterioration in the credit market may delay our ability to sell our positions in certain of our fixed maturity securities in a timely manner and adversely impact the price we receive for such securities, which may negatively impact our cash flows. Furthermore, if we experience defaults on securities held in the investment portfolios of our insurance subsidiaries, this will negatively impact statutory capital, which could reduce our insurance subsidiaries' capacity to pay dividends to our holding companies. A reduction in dividends to our holding companies could force us to seek external financing to avoid impairing our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders or meet our debt and other payment obligations.

Our policy benefits are primarily in the form of claim payments, and we have minimal exposure to the policy withdrawal risk associated with deposit products such as individual life policies or annuities. A decrease in demand for our insurance products or an increase in the incidence of new claims or the duration of existing claims could negatively impact our cash flows from operations. However, our historical pattern of benefits paid to revenues is generally consistent, even during cycles of economic downturns, which serves to minimize liquidity risk.

The liquidity requirements of the holding company Unum Group include common stock dividends, interest and debt service, acquisitions, and ongoing investments in our businesses. Unum Group's liquidity requirements are met by assets held by Unum Group and our intermediate holding companies, dividends from primarily our insurance subsidiaries, and issuance of common stock, debt, or other capital securities and borrowings from existing credit facilities, as needed. As of September 30, 2018, Unum Group and our intermediate holding companies held fixed maturity securities, short-term investments, and cash of \$973 million. Fixed maturity securities consisted primarily of corporate bonds with an average maturity date of 6.5 years. Short-term investments consisted primarily of commercial paper. No significant restrictions exist on our ability to use or access funds in any of our U.S. or U.K intermediate holding companies. As a result of the TCJA, future amounts repatriated from our foreign subsidiaries in the U.K. are eligible for a 100 percent exemption from U.S. income tax but may be subject to tax on foreign currency gain or loss.

As part of our capital deployment strategy, we have in recent years repurchased shares of Unum Group's common stock, as authorized by our board of directors. Our current share repurchase program was approved by our board of directors in May 2018 and authorizes the repurchase of up to \$750 million of common stock through November 2019, with the pace of repurchase activity to depend upon various factors such as the level of available cash, alternative uses for cash, and our stock price. During the first nine months of 2018, we repurchased 4.4 million shares at a cost of approximately \$200 million. The dollar value of shares remaining under the current repurchase program was approximately \$650 million at September 30, 2018. We did not repurchase shares during the third quarter of 2018 due to our ongoing long-term care reserve review, however, we expect to resume share repurchases beginning in the fourth quarter of 2018. See Note 10 of the "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" contained herein in Item 1 and "Executive Summary" contained herein in this Item 2 for further information.

Cash Available from Subsidiaries

Unum Group and certain of its intermediate holding company subsidiaries depend on payments from subsidiaries to pay dividends to stockholders, to pay debt obligations, and/or to pay expenses. These payments by our insurance and non-insurance subsidiaries may take the form of dividends, operating and investment management fees, and/or interest payments on loans from the parent to a subsidiary.

Restrictions under applicable state insurance laws limit the amount of dividends that can be paid to a parent company from its insurance subsidiaries in any 12-month period without prior approval by regulatory authorities. For life insurance companies domiciled in the U.S., that limitation generally equals, depending on the state of domicile, either ten percent of an insurer's statutory surplus with respect to policyholders as of the preceding year end or the statutory net gain from operations, excluding realized investment gains and losses, of the preceding year. The payment of dividends to a parent company from a life insurance subsidiary is generally further limited to the amount of unassigned funds.

Certain of our domestic insurance subsidiaries cede blocks of business to Northwind Reinsurance Company (Northwind Re) and Fairwind Insurance Company (Fairwind), both of which are affiliated captive reinsurance subsidiaries domiciled in the United States with Unum Group as the ultimate parent. The ability of Northwind Re and Fairwind to pay dividends to their respective parent companies will depend on their satisfaction of applicable regulatory requirements and on the performance of the business reinsured by Northwind Re and Fairwind.

The ability of Unum Group and certain of its intermediate holding company subsidiaries to continue to receive dividends from their insurance subsidiaries also depends on additional factors such as RBC ratios and capital adequacy and/or solvency requirements, funding growth objectives at an affiliate level, and maintaining appropriate capital adequacy ratios to support desired ratings. The impacts of the TCJA, in particular the reduction of our admitted deferred tax assets due to the decrease in the U.S. corporate tax rate, have generally reduced our RBC ratios; however, at September 30, 2018, the capital adequacy individual RBC ratios for each of our U.S. insurance subsidiaries, including our captive reinsurers, is above the range that would require state regulatory action. While we intend to maintain aggregate capital levels in our statutory entities consistent with current levels, our year-end 2018 RBC ratios will decline primarily as a result of the higher RBC factors adopted by the NAIC in response to the lower U.S. statutory income tax rate.

Unum Group and/or certain of its intermediate holding company subsidiaries may also receive dividends from our U.K. subsidiaries, the payment of which may be subject to applicable insurance company regulations and capital guidance in the U.K. Unum Limited is subject to the requirements of Solvency II, a European Union (EU) directive, which prescribes capital requirements and risk management standards for the European insurance industry. Our European holding company is also subject to the Solvency II requirements relevant to insurance holding companies, while its subsidiaries (the Unum European Economic Area (EEA) Group), which includes Unum Limited, are subject to group supervision under Solvency II. The Unum EEA Group received approval from the U.K. Prudential Regulation Authority to use its own internal model for calculating regulatory capital and also received approval for certain associated regulatory permissions including transitional relief as the Solvency II capital regime continues to be implemented. There are currently no indications that capital requirements for the Unum EEA Group will change as a result of the U.K. formally commencing the process to leave the EU, but economic conditions may in the near term cause volatility in our solvency ratios.

The payment of dividends to the parent company from our subsidiaries also requires the approval of the individual subsidiary's board of directors.

During 2018, we intend to maintain a level of capital in our U.S. and U.K. insurance subsidiaries above the applicable capital adequacy requirements and minimum solvency margins.

Insurance regulatory restrictions do not limit the amount of dividends available for distribution from non-insurance subsidiaries except where the non-insurance subsidiaries are held directly or indirectly by an insurance subsidiary and only indirectly by Unum Group.

Funding for Employee Benefit Plans

During the first nine months of 2018, we made contributions of \$53.1 million and £2.3 million to our U.S. and U.K. defined contribution plans, respectively, and expect to make additional contributions of approximately \$17 million and £1 million during the remainder of 2018. We made a de minimis amount of contributions to our U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plan and no contribution to our U.K. defined benefit pension plan during the first nine months of 2018. We do not expect to

make additional contributions to our U.S. or U.K. qualified defined benefit pension plans during the remainder of 2018. We have met all minimum pension funding requirements set forth by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act. We have estimated our future funding requirements under the Pension Protection Act of 2006 and under applicable U.K. law and do not believe that any future funding requirements will cause a material adverse effect on our liquidity.

Debt

Our long-term debt balance at September 30, 2018 was \$2,983.5 million, net of deferred debt issuance costs of \$31.5 million, and consisted primarily of secured and unsecured senior notes and junior subordinated debt securities.

In July 2018, our \$200.0 million 7.00% senior unsecured notes matured.

In May 2018, we issued \$300.0 million of 6.25% junior subordinated notes due 2058. The notes are redeemable at or above par on or after June 15, 2023 and rank equally in the right of payment with our other junior subordinated debt securities.

Northwind Holdings made principal payments on its floating rate, senior secured non-recourse notes of \$45.0 million in the first nine months of 2018.

At September 30, 2018, letters of credit totaling \$2.1 million had been issued from the credit facility, but there were no borrowed amounts outstanding.

There are no significant financial covenants associated with any of our outstanding debt obligations. We continually monitor our compliance with our debt covenants and remain in compliance. We have not observed any current trends that would cause a breach of any debt covenants. See Note 12 of the "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" contained herein in Item 1 and "Debt" and Note 8 of the "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" contained in Part II, Items 7 and 8, respectively, of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017 for further discussion.

Commitments

At September 30, 2018, we had unfunded unconditional commitments of \$3.7 million to fund tax credit partnership investments, and \$16.9 million to fund the purchase of transferable state tax credits. These commitments are recognized as liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets, with a corresponding recognition of other long-term investments and other assets, respectively. In addition, we had commitments of \$115.1 million to fund certain investments in private placement fixed maturity securities, \$351.6 million to fund certain private equity partnerships, and \$47.3 million to fund certain commercial mortgage loans, which may or may not be funded.

With respect to our commitments and off-balance sheet arrangements, see the discussion under "Commitments" in Part II, Item 7 of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017. During the first nine months of 2018, there were no substantive changes in our commitments, contractual obligations, or other off-balance sheet arrangements other than the changes noted herein.

Transfers of Financial Assets

Our investment policy permits us to lend fixed maturity securities to unaffiliated financial institutions in short-term securities lending agreements, which increases our investment income with minimal risk. We account for all of our securities lending agreements and repurchase agreements as secured borrowings. We had \$2.1 million of securities lending agreements outstanding at September 30, 2018 which were collateralized by cash and reported as payables for

collateral on investments in our consolidated balance sheets. The cash received as collateral was reinvested in short-term investments. The average balance during the first nine months of 2018 was \$16.2 million, and the maximum amount outstanding at any month end was \$29.9 million. In addition, at September 30, 2018, we had \$187.6 million of off-balance sheet securities lending agreements which were collateralized by securities that we were neither permitted to sell nor control. The average balance of these off-balance sheet transactions during the first nine months of 2018 was \$127.6 million, and the maximum amount outstanding at any month end was \$209.9 million.

We had no repurchase agreements outstanding at September 30, 2018, nor did we utilize any repurchase agreements during the first nine months of 2018. Our use of repurchase agreements and securities lending agreements can fluctuate during any given period and will depend on our liquidity position, the availability of long-term investments that meet our purchasing criteria, and our general business needs.

Certain of our U.S. insurance subsidiaries are members of regional Federal Home Loan Banks (FHLB). As of September 30, 2018, we owned \$32.1 million of FHLB common stock and had obtained \$219.5 million in advances from the regional FHLBs for the purpose of purchasing fixed maturity securities.

See Note 4 of the "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" contained herein in Item 1 for further information.

NT: .. . N. / . .. 41. .

Consolidated Cash Flows (in millions of dollars)

	Ended September	
	30	
	2018 2017	
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$1,083.5 \$876.6	
Net Cash Used by Investing Activities	(587.4) (388.5)	
Net Cash Used by Financing Activities	(359.3) (518.4)	
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Bank Deposits	\$136.8 \$(30.3)	
Operating Cash Flows		

Operating cash flows are primarily attributable to the receipt of premium and investment income, offset by payments of claims, commissions, expenses, and income taxes. Premium income growth is dependent not only on new sales, but on policy renewals and growth of existing business, renewal price increases, and persistency. Investment income growth is dependent on the growth in the underlying assets supporting our insurance reserves and capital and on the earned yield. The level of commissions and operating expenses is attributable to the level of sales and the first year acquisition expenses associated with new business as well as the maintenance of existing business. The level of paid claims is affected partially by the growth and aging of the block of business and also by the general economy, as previously discussed in the operating results by segment.

The variance in the change in insurance reserves and liabilities to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities as reported in our consolidated statements of cash flows for the first nine months of 2018 compared to the same prior year period was due primarily to the third quarter of 2018 reserve increase for our long-term care line of business.

Investing Cash Flows

Investing cash inflows consist primarily of the proceeds from the sales and maturities of investments. Investing cash outflows consist primarily of payments for purchases of investments. Our investment strategy is to match the cash flows and durations of our liabilities to meet the funding requirements of our business. When market opportunities arise, we may sell selected securities and reinvest the proceeds to improve the yield and credit quality of our portfolio. We may at times also sell selected securities and reinvest the proceeds to improve the duration matching of our assets and liabilities and/or re-balance our portfolio. As a result, sales before maturity may vary from period to period. The sale and purchase of short-term investments is influenced by proceeds received from issuance of debt, our securities lending program, and by the amount of cash which is at times held in short-term investments to facilitate the availability of cash to fund the purchase of appropriate long-term investments, repay maturing debt, fund acquisitions, and/or to fund our capital deployment program.

See Note 4 of the "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" contained herein in Item 1 for further information.

Financing Cash Flows

Financing cash flows consist primarily of borrowings and repayments of debt, issuance or repurchase of common stock, and dividends paid to stockholders.

In July 2018, our \$200.0 million 7.00% senior unsecured notes matured.

In May 2018, we issued \$300.0 million of 6.25% junior subordinated notes due 2058 and received total proceeds of \$290.7 million.

During each of the first nine months of 2018 and 2017, we made principal payments of \$45.0 million on our senior secured non-recourse notes issued by Northwind Holdings.

During the second quarter of 2017, we purchased and retired the remaining \$3.4 million of principal on our senior secured floating rate notes acquired through our purchase of Starmount.

Cash used to repurchase shares of Unum Group's common stock during the first nine months of 2018 and 2017 was \$205.8 million and \$307.2 million, respectively, with a portion of the cash used related to the settlement of amounts due on shares purchased in the fourth quarters of 2017 and 2016, respectively. During the first nine months of 2018 and 2017, we paid dividends of \$160.2 million and \$144.1 million, respectively, to holders of Unum Group's common stock.

See Notes 10 and 12 of the "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" contained herein in Item 1 and "Debt" contained in this Item 2 for further information.

Ratings

AM Best, Fitch Ratings (Fitch), Moody's Investors Service (Moody's), and Standard & Poor's Rating Services (S&P) are among the third parties that assign issuer credit ratings to Unum Group and financial strength ratings to our insurance subsidiaries. Issuer credit ratings reflect an agency's opinion of the overall financial capacity of a company to meet its senior debt obligations. Financial strength ratings are specific to each individual insurance subsidiary and reflect each rating agency's view of the overall financial strength (capital levels, earnings, growth, investments, business mix, operating performance, and market position) of the insuring entity and its ability to meet its obligations to policyholders. Both the issuer credit ratings and financial strength ratings incorporate quantitative and qualitative analyses by rating agencies and are routinely reviewed and updated on an ongoing basis.

We compete based in part on the financial strength ratings provided by rating agencies. A downgrade of our financial strength ratings can be expected to adversely affect us and could potentially, among other things, adversely affect our relationships with distributors of our products and services and retention of our sales force, negatively impact persistency and new sales, particularly large case group sales and individual sales, and generally adversely affect our ability to compete. A downgrade in the issuer credit rating assigned to Unum Group can be expected to adversely affect our cost of capital or our ability to raise additional capital.

The table below reflects the outlook as well as the issuer credit ratings for Unum Group and the financial strength ratings for each of our traditional insurance subsidiaries as of the date of this filing.

	AM Best	Fitch	Moody's	S&P
Outlook	Stable	Negative	Stable	Stable
Issuer Credit Ratings	bbb	BBB	Baa2	BBB
Financial Strength Ratings				
Provident Life and Accident Insurance Company	A	A	A2	A
Provident Life and Casualty Insurance Company	A	A	NR	NR
Unum Life Insurance Company of America	A	A	A2	A
First Unum Life Insurance Company	A	A	A2	A
Colonial Life & Accident Insurance Company	A	A	A2	A
The Paul Revere Life Insurance Company	A	A	A2	A
Starmount Life Insurance Company	A-	NR	NR	NR
Unum Insurance Company	A-	A	A2	NR
Unum Limited	NR	NR	NR	A-
NR = not rated				

We maintain an ongoing dialogue with the four rating agencies that evaluate us in order to inform them of progress we are making regarding our strategic objectives and financial plans as well as other pertinent issues. A significant component of our communications involves our annual review meeting with each of the four agencies. We hold other meetings throughout the year regarding our business, including, but not limited to, quarterly updates.

On August 3, 2018, Fitch affirmed its A rating of our domestic insurance subsidiaries and affirmed the BBB issuer credit rating for Unum Group. Fitch also revised their outlook to negative from stable, citing the adverse reserve development in our long-term care business. There were no changes in any of the other rating agencies' outlook statements or ratings during 2018 prior to the date of this filing.

Agency ratings are not directed toward the holders of our securities and are not recommendations to buy, sell, or hold our securities. Each rating is subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the assigning rating organization, and each rating should be regarded as an independent assessment, not conditional on any other rating. Given the dynamic nature of the ratings process, changes by these or other rating agencies may or may not occur in the near-term. Although the outlook for capital requirements has become more clear, there remains some uncertainty in the industry on how rating agencies will incorporate recently announced NAIC RBC formula changes in response to tax reform. We continue to work closely with the rating agencies on these changes. In the event that we are unable to meet the rating agency specific guideline values to maintain our current ratings, including but not limited to maintenance of our capital management metrics at the threshold values stated and maintenance of our financial flexibility and operational consistency, we could be placed on a negative credit watch, with a potential for a downgrade to both our issuer credit ratings and our financial strength ratings.

See our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017 for further information regarding our debt and financial strength ratings and the risks associated with rating changes.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are subject to various market risk exposures including interest rate risk and foreign exchange rate risk. With respect to our exposure to market risk, see the discussion under "Investments" in Item 2 of this Form 10-Q and in Part II, Item 7A of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017. During the first nine months of 2018, there was no substantive change to our market risk or the management of this risk.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. We assessed those controls based on criteria established in the 2013 Internal Control - Integrated Framework from the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on that evaluation, these officers concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2018.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, during the quarter ended September 30, 2018 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Refer to Part I, Item 1, Note 11 of the "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" for information on legal proceedings.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

In May 2018, our board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$750 million of Unum Group's common stock through November 24, 2019. No shares were purchased during the third quarter of 2018. At September 30, 2018, the approximate dollar value of shares that may yet be purchased under the program was \$650.0 million.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Index to Exhibits

<u>Exhibit</u> 10.1	Annual Incentive Plan of Unum Group, as amended effective January 1, 2018.
Exhibit 31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit 31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
<u>Exhibit</u> 32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit 32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit 101	The following financial statements from Unum Group's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2018, filed on October 25, 2018, formatted in XBRL: (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iv) Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity, (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Unum Group (Registrant)

Date: October 25, 2018 By:/s/ John F. McGarry

John F. McGarry

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date: October 25, 2018 By:/s/ Daniel J. Waxenberg

Daniel J. Waxenberg

Senior Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer