

ALEXION PHARMACEUTICALS INC
Form 10-KT
March 07, 2006

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K/T

**FOR ANNUAL AND TRANSITION REPORTS PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 13 OR 15(D) OF
THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Annual report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
or

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from August 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005

Commission file number: 0-27756

ALEXION PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization) 13-3648318
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
352 Knotter Drive, Cheshire Connecticut 06410

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

203-272-2596

Edgar Filing: ALEXION PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-KT

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: **None**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **Common Stock, par value \$0.0001**

Rights to Purchase Junior Participating Cumulative Preferred Stock, par value \$.0001

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. Please see definition of accelerated and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Check One:

Large Accelerated Filer:

Accelerated Filer:

Non-Accelerated Filer:

The aggregate market value of the Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based upon the last sale price of the Common Stock reported on the National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotation (NASDAQ) National Market System on June 30, 2005, was approximately \$635 Million.

The number of shares of Common Stock outstanding as of February 28, 2006 was 31,344,703.

Portions of the registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement to be used in connection with its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on June 7, 2006, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report.

PART I

On December 9, 2005, our Board of Directors unanimously approved a change to our fiscal year end from July 31 to December 31. In view of this change, this Form 10-K/T is a transition report, and includes financial information (i) for the five month transition period from August 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005, which we refer to as the transition period throughout this report, and (ii) for the years ended July 31, 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002. We identify each fiscal year in this transition report according to the calendar year in which such fiscal year ends. For example, we refer to the fiscal year ended July 31, 2004, as fiscal 2004 or 2004.

In connection with a change in our fiscal year end from July 31 to December 31, the 2006 annual meeting of stockholders will be held on June 7, 2006.

Unless the context requires otherwise, references in this report to we, our, us, Company and Alexion refer to Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This transition report on form 10-K/T contains forward-looking statements that have been made pursuant to the provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward looking statements are based on current expectations, estimates and projections about our industry, management's beliefs and certain assumptions made by our management and may include, but are not limited to, statements regarding the status of our ongoing clinical trials and prospects for regulatory approval, timing for completion of our ongoing clinical trials, evaluation of our clinical trial results by regulatory agencies, the need for additional research and testing, the uncertainties involved in the drug development process, the safety and efficacy of our product candidates, our future research and development activities, estimates of the potential markets for our products, assessment of competitors and potential competitors, estimates of the capacity of manufacturing and other facilities to support our products, the sufficiency of our existing capital resources and projected cash needs, sales and marketing plans, assessment of impact of recent accounting pronouncements, including SFAS No. 123(R), as well as assumptions relating to the foregoing. Words such as anticipates, expects, intends, plans, believes, seeks, estimates, variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict; therefore, actual results may differ materially from those expressed or forecasted in any such forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those discussed later in this report under the section entitled Risk Factors. Unless required by law, we undertake no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. However, readers should carefully review the risk factors set forth in other reports or documents we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Item 1. BUSINESS.

Overview

We are engaged in the discovery and development of therapeutic products aimed at treating patients with a wide array of severe disease states, including hematologic diseases, cancer, cardiovascular diseases and autoimmune disorders. Since our incorporation in January 1992, we have devoted substantially all of our resources to drug discovery, research, and product and clinical development. Additionally, through our wholly

owned subsidiary, Alexion Antibody Technologies, Inc., or AAT, we are engaged in the discovery and development of a portfolio of additional antibody therapeutics targeting severe unmet medical needs. In September 2005, we formed a wholly-owned subsidiary, Alexion Europe SAS, as an important step in our strategy to manage late stage development, regulatory and commercial operations throughout Europe.

Our lead clinical stage product candidate, Soliris (eculizumab), is currently undergoing evaluation in a Phase III clinical development program comprised of two Phase III clinical trials for the treatment of a rare blood disorder known as Paroxysmal Nocturnal Hemoglobinuria, or PNH. Under the Special Protocol Assessment, or SPA process, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, has agreed to the design of protocols for these two trials, known as TRIUMPH and SHEPHERD, that could, if successful, serve as the primary basis of review for approval of a licensing application for eculizumab in the PNH indication. TRIUMPH is a placebo-controlled efficacy trial and SHEPHERD is an open-label, non-placebo controlled safety trial with efficacy secondary endpoints. In January 2006, we reported positive results from TRIUMPH. All pre-specified, primary and secondary endpoints in the TRIUMPH trial were achieved with statistical significance. SHEPHERD is a twelve month study with a six month preplanned interim analysis. SHEPHERD completed enrollment in September, 2005. It is expected that data from TRIUMPH and SHEPHERD will serve as the primary basis of review for the approval of a Biologics License Application, or BLA, in the PNH indication, as well as the basis of review for a European Marketing Authorization Application, or MAA.

Our second clinical stage product candidate, pexelizumab, is currently under evaluation in two separate indications: (1) coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery patients undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) and (2) acute myocardial infarction (AMI) patients undergoing primary percutaneous angioplasty. In November 2005, we announced that our Phase III trial of pexelizumab in CABG surgery patients, known as PRIMO-CABG2, did not achieve its primary endpoint. Results from the PRIMO-CABG2 trial of pexelizumab indicate that the trial is unlikely to be sufficient for filing for licensing approval of pexelizumab in the CABG indication. On February 3, 2006, we announced that our Phase III trial of pexelizumab in AMI patients, known as APEX-AMI, will be completed prior to enrolling the originally anticipated number of patients. That announcement stated that enrollment would be capped at approximately 5,000 patients, ending near the beginning of March. We since have been encouraged by leading academic researchers involved in the trial to allow enrollment to proceed beyond those numbers, primarily to allow the trial to have a greater chance of success in achieving its primary endpoint of mortality benefit. Along with our partner, Procter & Gamble Pharmaceuticals or P&G, we recently agreed to support continued enrollment in APEX-AMI for a limited period of time. We expect to update the anticipated timing of completion of APEX-AMI after further discussion with P&G, and after new definitive determinations have been made. Although the APEX-AMI trial is the subject of an SPA, the number of patients actually enrolled may not be sufficient for the FDA to consider the trial compliant with the SPA agreement. In such event, if results of the APEX-AMI trial are successful, we may still seek approval to market pexelizumab in the AMI indication, but the FDA regulatory process may not be subject to any benefits of the SPA process. The pexelizumab trials are conducted in collaboration with Procter & Gamble Pharmaceuticals.

To date, we have studied our two clinical stage antibody product candidates in a variety of clinical development programs enrolling over 10,000 patients in clinical trials. In addition to our Phase III programs, we are developing a global patient registry for PNH patients, have other product candidates in earlier stages of development, and may also pursue additional potential indications for Soliris (eculizumab).

To date, we have not received any revenues from the sale of our products. We have incurred operating losses since our inception. As of December 31, 2005, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$506

million. We expect to incur substantial operating losses for the next several years due to expenses associated with product research and development, pre-clinical studies and clinical testing, regulatory activities, manufacturing development, scale-up and commercial-scale manufacturing, pre-commercialization activities, developing a sales and marketing force, and other infrastructure support costs. We may need to obtain additional financing to cover these costs.

We plan to develop and commercialize on our own those product candidates for which the clinical trials and commercialization requirements can be funded and accomplished by our own resources. For those products which require greater resources, our strategy is to form corporate alliances for product development and commercialization.

In August 2005, we sold 2.5 million shares of our common stock in a registered offering at a price to the public of \$26.75 per share resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$64.5 million, net of underwriting discount, fees and other expenses of approximately \$2.4 million related to the transaction. We intend to use the net proceeds from this offering for general corporate purposes.

The Immune System

The human immune system defends the body from attack or invasion by infectious agents or pathogens. This is accomplished through a complex system of proteins and cells, primarily complement proteins, antibodies and white blood cells, each with a specialized function. Under normal circumstances, complement proteins, together with antibodies and white blood cells, act to protect the body by removing:

harmful micro-organisms;

cells containing foreign proteins known as antigens; and

disease-causing combinations of antigens and antibodies known as immune complexes.

When activated by stimuli, the immune system triggers a series of enzymatic and biochemical reactions called the complement cascade that results in an inflammatory response. This inflammatory response is one of the immune system's weapons against foreign pathogens or otherwise diseased tissue. However, under certain circumstances, the complement cascade may be activated inappropriately to direct an inflammatory response at healthy tissue, which may result in acute and chronic inflammatory conditions.

Hematologic, autoimmune, or inflammatory diseases in which the complement cascade is activated include:

PNH;

transplantation;

myasthenia gravis;

autoimmune hemolytic anemias;

guillain-barre syndrome;

rheumatoid arthritis;

autoimmune kidney disease;

lupus;

inflammatory skin and muscle disorders;

multiple sclerosis; and

asthma

Common heart diseases and procedures in which the complement cascade is activated include:

cardiopulmonary bypass surgery, or CPB;

acute myocardial infarction or heart attack;

unstable angina or painful chest pains associated with an insufficient blood supply to the heart;

angioplasty; and

stroke and other peripheral vascular or blood circulatory diseases.

Product Development Programs

We have focused our product development programs on anti-inflammatory therapeutics for diseases for which we believe current treatments are either non-existent or inadequate. Our two clinical stage product candidates, which are genetically altered antibodies known as C5 complement inhibitors, or C5 Inhibitors, are designed to selectively block the production of inflammation-causing proteins in the complement cascade. We believe that selective suppression of this immune response may provide a significant therapeutic advantage relative to existing therapies. Although we believe our lead product candidates may be useful in the treatment of a variety of diseases and conditions resulting from aberrant complement response, we are currently focusing our efforts on the development of our lead product candidate, Soliris (eculizumab) for the treatment of PNH and our second product candidate, pexelizumab for use in reducing mortality following cardiovascular procedures.

Our clinical stage programs are as follows:

Product Candidate	Indication	Clinical Trial	Status (a)
Soliris (eculizumab)	Paroxysmal Nocturnal Hemoglobinuria (PNH)	TRIUMPH (Phase III)	Statistically significant positive results announced January 2006
		SHEPHERD (Phase III)	Enrollment completed and treatment ongoing
		Phase III Extension study	Enrollment ongoing
Pexelizumab	Renal Transplantation		Pre-clinical research
	Asthma		Pre-clinical research
	Coronary Artery Bypass Graft (CABG) surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB)	PRIMO-CABG2 (Phase III)	Trial completed November 2005. Results in this trial were not statistically significant
	Acute Myocardial		Enrollment ongoing

Edgar Filing: ALEXION PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-KT

Infarction (AMI) with

APEX-AMI

(Phase III)

angioplasty

(a) see discussions of each product candidate below for a description of the results of the trials that have been completed

C5 Inhibitors

Complement proteins are a series of inactive proteins circulating in the blood. When activated by stimuli, including those associated with both acute and chronic inflammatory disorders, these inactive complement proteins are split by enzymes known as convertases into activated byproducts through the complement cascade.

Some of these byproducts, notably C3b, are helpful in fighting infections and inhibiting autoimmune disorders. However, the byproducts generated by the cleavage of C5, known as C5a and C5b-9, generally cause harmful inflammation if inappropriately or over-activated. The inflammatory byproducts of C5 cause:

lysis, or destruction, of red blood cells that are deficient in complement inhibitors;

activation of blood-clotting cells called platelets;

activation and destruction of muscle and other tissue cells;

activation of white blood cells;

attraction of white blood cells;

production of inflammatory chemicals including tumor necrosis factor-alpha;

activation of blood vessel-lining cells called endothelial cells, allowing leakage of white blood cells into tissue;

activation of kidney cells; and

initiation of cell suicide programs in heart cells

The following diagram illustrates the complement cascade:

Because of the generally beneficial effects of the components of the complement cascade prior to C5 and the greater inflammatory, destructive and disease-promoting effects of the cleavage products of C5, we have identified C5 as a potentially effective anti-inflammatory drug target. Our first two C5 Inhibitors specifically and tightly bind to C5 blocking its cleavage into harmful byproducts, which we believe may inhibit subsequent damage from the inflammatory response.

In laboratory and animal models of human disease, we have shown that the administration of C5 Inhibitor, as compared to placebo, has demonstrated the following:

prevention of lysis of red blood cells;

prevention of activation of platelets;

prevention of inflammation during cardiopulmonary bypass;

reduction of heart tissue damage during myocardial infarction;

reduction of brain damage in cerebral ischemia, or reduced blood flow to brain tissue;

enhancement of survival in a model of lupus;

preservation of kidney function in nephritis, or inflammation of kidney tissue;

prevention and amelioration of asthmatic attacks; and

enhancement of survival in organ transplantation models.

In addition, in human clinical trials, we have shown that C5 Inhibitors may be associated with reduction of:

destruction of red blood cells and transfusions in PNH patients;

inflammation during cardiopulmonary bypass surgery;

heart tissue damage during cardiopulmonary bypass surgery;

new cognitive or mental faculty deficits after cardiopulmonary bypass surgery;

an objective measure of disease activity in rheumatoid arthritis patients; and

the incidence of proteinuria in lupus patients.

C5 Inhibitor Immunotherapeutic Product Candidates

Edgar Filing: ALEXION PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-KT

We are developing our lead C5 Inhibitor product candidate, Soliris (eculizumab), for the treatment of inflammation related to chronic hematologic disorders and autoimmune disorders. The initial indication for which we are pursuing clinical development activities for Soliris (eculizumab) is PNH. We have also examined eculizumab in clinical trials for other indications such as membranous nephritis and rheumatoid arthritis. We are developing our other C5 Inhibitor product candidate, pexelizumab, for the treatment of inflammation related to acute cardiovascular diseases and procedures. The initial indications for which we are in clinical development of pexelizumab are coronary artery bypass graft surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass and acute myocardial infarction utilizing percutaneous coronary intervention, or PCI, a procedure that includes balloon angioplasty and usually also coronary artery stent insertion to open up and keep open narrowed or blocked arteries that supply the heart muscle. The selection of these indications is based upon our belief that each represents a clinical condition which is:

closely tied to the production of activated complement byproducts;

characterized by clear development pathways;

inadequately treated by current therapies; and

associated with substantial health care costs.

To date, eculizumab and pexelizumab have been observed to be reasonably well tolerated in completed and ongoing clinical trials in which over 10,000 individuals were treated with either C5 Inhibitor or placebo. In November 2005, we announced that results for the PRIMO-CABG2 trial, our Phase III trial of pexelizumab in CABG patients, did not achieve its pre-specified primary endpoint with statistical significance. In January 2006, we announced that enrollment in our Phase III trial of pexelizumab in AMI patients, known as APEX-AMI, will be completed prior to enrolling the originally anticipated number of patients.

Lead Product Candidate Soliris(eculizumab)

Lead Eculizumab Indication

Eculizumab is a humanized antibody that blocks complement activity for one to two weeks after a single dose at the doses currently tested, and is designed for the chronic treatment of hematologic disorders such as PNH and autoimmune diseases. In laboratory studies with eculizumab, administration of eculizumab halted destruction of red blood cells and activation of platelets caused by complement attack. We have retained full rights to eculizumab worldwide, and eculizumab is not included in the collaboration with P&G.

About Paroxysmal Nocturnal Hemoglobinuria or PNH

We are developing eculizumab for treatment of patients afflicted with the chronic hematologic disorder, Paroxysmal Nocturnal Hemoglobinuria, or PNH. PNH is a rare acquired genetic deficiency disorder characterized by severe anemia and risk of blood clotting, or thrombosis. Patients with PNH have an acquired genetic deficiency in certain protective proteins on the surface of their red blood cells and platelets, allowing their own complement system to attack and destroy these blood cells. Patients with PNH may suffer from chronic hemolysis, or destruction of red blood cells caused by the C5 cleavage product C5b-9. This hemolysis is believed to lead to frequent bouts of hemoglobinuria or release of blood cell hemoglobin into the urine, abdominal pain, painful swallowing, high blood pressure in the lungs, disabling fatigue, and a poor quality of life. In patients with particularly severe hemolysis, the red blood cell destruction may be sufficiently large that recurrent blood transfusions are necessary to support normal red blood cell function. Patients with PNH may suffer from severe, life-threatening blood clots that are believed to be related to the activation and aggregation of platelets, blood cells normally involved in blood clotting, in association with the ongoing red blood cell destruction. The prevalence, or number of affected patients at any one time, has not been definitively determined but can be estimated at approximately 8,000-10,000 patients in North America and Western Europe. Approximately one-half of the patients with PNH die from the disease within 10-15 years of diagnosis. Currently there is no U.S. Food and Drug Administration approved therapy for PNH. In 2003, the FDA and the European Medicines Evaluation Agency, or EMEA, each granted Orphan Drug Status for the development of eculizumab in PNH.

In July 2004, we announced that we received written confirmation from the FDA indicating agreement with the protocol designs for two clinical trials that are expected to constitute the pivotal Phase III program of eculizumab in PNH. The agreement for the Phase III program was reached under the FDA's Special Protocol Assessment, or SPA, process, a procedure by which the FDA provides official evaluation and guidance on proposed protocols for pivotal Phase III clinical trials.

Clinical Trials PNH

In July 2005 we announced that we completed randomization of patients in the pivotal Phase III TRIUMPH efficacy trial of Soliris (eculizumab) in patients with PNH. In accordance with the trial's design, enrolled

patients first entered a screening phase of approximately three months to confirm their eligibility to be included in the trial, and then were randomized to receive either eculizumab or placebo in a six month treatment phase. 87 PNH patients were randomized into the six month treatment phase, which exceeds the patient requirements agreed upon with the FDA as part of the SPA for TRIUMPH. TRIUMPH is a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled multi-center pivotal Phase III trial, examining the effects of eculizumab on the co-primary endpoints of hemoglobin stabilization and blood transfusion requirement in hemolytic, transfusion-dependent PNH patients during six months of therapy. On January 26, 2006, we reported positive results with Soliris (eculizumab) in the TRIUMPH trial in PNH patients. The pre-specified co-primary endpoints in the TRIUMPH trial (median transfusion rate and hemoglobin stabilization) were achieved with statistical significance. The median transfusion rate was reduced from 10 units/patient with placebo to 0 units/patient with eculizumab ($p < 0.000000001$). Hemoglobin stabilization was achieved by 49% of eculizumab patients as compared to 0% for placebo ($p < 0.00000001$). All of the pre-specified secondary endpoints in TRIUMPH were also achieved with statistical significance, including reduction in lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), quality of life as measured by the Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy Fatigue (FACIT-Fatigue) instrument, and transfusion avoidance. Additionally, Soliris (eculizumab) appeared to be well tolerated with an adverse event profile comparable to placebo. The most frequent adverse events with Soliris (eculizumab) were headache, nasopharyngitis (or cold symptoms) and back pain. The study enrolled patients in the U.S., Canada, Europe, and Australia.

The TRIUMPH trial is our second completed study of Soliris (eculizumab) in PNH patients. Results of the first trial, a three-month, open-label study in 11 patients, reported in the February 5, 2004 issue of the *New England Journal of Medicine* were that patients treated with Soliris (eculizumab) experienced a substantial decrease in the destruction of PNH red blood cells, with the mean percentage of these cells increasing from 36.7 percent of the total population found in the body to 59.2 percent ($P = 0.005$), and lactate dehydrogenase levels, a biochemical marker of red blood cell destruction, falling from a mean of 3,111 IU per liter to a mean of 594 IU per liter ($P = 0.002$). This reduction in PNH red blood cell destruction helped reduce the median patient transfusion rates from 1.8 units per patient, per month, to 0.0 units per patient, per month ($P = 0.003$). Episodes of hemoglobinuria were reduced by an average of 96 percent ($P < 0.001$) and quality of life measurements, using EORTC QLQ C-30, a standard questionnaire developed to assess quality of life in cancer patients particularly suffering from severe fatigue and anemia, substantially improved during treatment. In this trial, Soliris (eculizumab) appeared reasonably well tolerated. Adverse events reported for Soliris (eculizumab) or placebo were similar in type and frequency to those reported in other controlled trials of eculizumab. The most common adverse events were headache, upper respiratory infection, muscle/joint aches, and influenza-like symptoms, and the severe adverse events were viral chest infection, dizziness and shivering. In the June 2005 issue of the journal *Blood*, we reported on the safety and sustained effects of Soliris (eculizumab) in a 52-week extension of our pilot open-label PNH trial in 11 patients. In this study, patients who received Soliris (eculizumab) continued to tolerate the drug reasonably well and experienced reduced hemolysis resulting in an increase in PNH red blood cells, a reduction in the need for transfusion, and improvements in multiple quality of life measures. Reported adverse events occurring in three or more patients were flu-like symptoms, sore throat, pain, nausea, bruising, cough, and upper respiratory infection. The adverse event profile for eculizumab-treated patients in this study was similar to that of placebo-treated patients in other patient population trials of eculizumab.

We have also completed enrollment in SHEPHERD, an open-label safety trial which is primarily aimed at generating additional safety data with eculizumab in approximately 95 PNH patients in the United States, Canada, Europe, and Australia, and includes secondary efficacy endpoints. The SHEPHERD protocol includes twelve months of treatment with a six month interim analysis. It is expected that data from TRIUMPH and

SHEPHERD will complete the filing package that will serve as the primary basis of review for the approval of a Biologics License Application, or BLA, for the PNH indication. We also continue to enroll patients that have completed the TRIUMPH and SHEPHERD trials, as well as patients that have completed the initial, open-label clinical trial, in an open-label extension trial to further evaluate safety data in PNH patients treated with Soliris (eculizumab). We retain all rights to eculizumab in all indications worldwide.

Pexelizumab Indications CABG and AMI

Pexelizumab is a humanized, single chain antibody that has been shown to block complement activity for up to 4-10 hours after a single injection at the doses tested, and for approximately 24 hours with a continuous infusion, and is designed for the treatment of acute inflammatory conditions. We have studied pexelizumab in Phase III trials for two indications: patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) and patients undergoing angioplasty, a procedure for opening up narrowed or blocked arteries that supply blood to the heart, following an acute myocardial infarction (AMI), or heart attack. The status of those two programs is described below, see Clinical Trials Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery and Clinical Trials Acute Myocardial Infarction . The pexelizumab trials are conducted in collaboration with Procter & Gamble Pharmaceuticals. Please also read the section entitled Strategic Alliance with Procter & Gamble .

Clinical Trials Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery

On November 23, 2005, we reported that preliminary results from the Phase III PRIMO-CABG2 study of pexelizumab in the CABG indication did not show statistical significance in reducing the study's primary endpoint of the combined incidence of nonfatal myocardial infarction (heart attack) or death through 30 days following CABG surgery. Preliminary results from the PRIMO-CABG2 trial of pexelizumab indicate that the trial is unlikely to be sufficient for filing for licensing approval of pexelizumab in the CABG indication. We intend to complete analysis of the data from the PRIMO-CABG2 study and expects the results to be presented at an upcoming scientific meeting.

We previously reached written agreement with the FDA under the Special Protocol Assessment (SPA) process relating to the protocol design for PRIMO-CABG2, the pivotal Phase III trial of pexelizumab in patients undergoing CABG with CPB. Under the SPA process, the FDA agreed that the design of the PRIMO-CABG2 protocol could, if successful, serve as the primary basis of review for approval of licensing applications for the CABG indication. Preliminary results from the PRIMO-CABG2 trial of pexelizumab indicate that the trial is unlikely to be sufficient for filing for licensing approval of pexelizumab in the CABG indication.

Clinical Trials Acute Myocardial Infarction

We previously reached written agreement with the FDA under the Special Protocol Assessment (SPA) process relating to the protocol design for APEX-AMI, the pivotal Phase III trial of pexelizumab in patients undergoing angioplasty following AMI. Under the SPA process, the FDA agreed that the design of the APEX-AMI protocol could, if successful, serve as the primary basis of review for approval of licensing applications for the AMI indication. In July 2004, we announced that we, along with P&G, commenced enrollment in this pivotal Phase III trial. The primary endpoint of APEX-AMI is a reduction in death at 90 days. APEX-AMI is a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. On February 3, 2006, we announced that our Phase III trial of pexelizumab in AMI patients, known as APEX-AMI, will be completed

prior to enrolling the originally anticipated number of patients. That announcement stated that enrollment would be capped at approximately 5,000 patients, ending near the beginning of March. We since have been encouraged by leading academic researchers involved in the trial to allow enrollment to proceed beyond those numbers, primarily to allow the trial to have a greater chance of success in achieving its primary endpoint of mortality benefit. Along with our partner, P&G, we recently agreed to support continued enrollment in APEX-AMI for a limited period of time. We expect to update the anticipated timing of completion of APEX-AMI after further discussion with P&G, and after new definitive determinations have been made. The number of patients to be enrolled in the AMI trial may not be sufficient for the Special Protocol Assessment previously agreed with the Food and Drug Administration for AMI.

In April 2002, we completed enrollment in a Phase II study known as the COMMA trial, in patients receiving angioplasty, in the midst of a heart attack. We also completed enrollment in a second study, called COMPLY, in January 2002 in patients receiving thrombolytic therapy, a procedure for dissolving clots that block heart vessels, in the midst of a heart attack. Each study enrolled approximately 900 patients. Overall, in the combined COMMA and COMPLY population, pexelizumab appeared to be well-tolerated. The incidence of serious adverse events was similar in placebo and pexelizumab treated patients. Results from these studies were reported at the November 2002 annual meeting of the American Heart Association. In both studies, the primary endpoint of a reduction of myocardial infarction was not reached. However, pexelizumab treatment in the COMMA angioplasty study was associated with a statistically significant, dose-dependent reduction in death.

About Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery and Cardiopulmonary Bypass

Many patients with coronary artery disease, particularly those who have already suffered a myocardial infarction, require therapeutic interventions to relieve the blockages in the heart blood vessels. Coronary artery bypass graft, or CABG, surgery involves using a patient's non-heart blood vessels to surgically detour, or bypass, blood around a blockage in the patient's heart blood vessels so that the downstream heart muscle is provided with an adequate supply of blood, oxygen, and nutrients. In the overwhelming majority of CABG surgeries, in order to isolate the heart during surgery, cardiopulmonary bypass, or CPB, is employed, in which the patient's blood is diverted away from the heart and lungs to a cardiopulmonary, or heart-lung bypass machine in the operating room. During the CPB procedure, the bypass machine supports and pumps oxygenated blood to the rest of the body. However since blood flow is stopped to the heart and lungs, these organs may become ischemic as they do not receive blood, oxygen, and nutrients. Although the goal of CABG surgery, and also other similar types of acute cardiac interventions, is to prevent further destruction of heart muscle due to ischemia, the ischemia during the procedure itself, coupled with the successful reperfusion of the heart muscle through the bypass grafts, frequently causes an unintended diffuse inflammatory reaction in the heart, called ischemia-reperfusion, or I-R, injury. In this setting, the heart may become severely injured by the inflammatory reaction resulting in an acute perioperative myocardial infarction, or pMI, of the heart muscle. The effects of pMI may be quite severe as it has been shown that the severity of this acute pMI is positively correlated with the risk of patient death several months later. In other words, the greater the size of the pMI, the more likely a patient is to die within the several months following the surgery.

We believe that I-R injury inappropriately triggers the complement cascade, a powerful series of inflammatory proteins that then cause direct damage to the heart muscle as well as further amplification of the inflammatory reactions. We believe that the dangerous terminal complement products, C5b-9, or the membrane attack complex, as well as C5a, are important factors that cause the unintended inflammation resulting in pMI following CABG-CPB surgery.

Pexelizumab is designed to rapidly penetrate the patient's tissues and to inhibit complement activation in patients immediately before, during and after CPB in order to reduce potential cardiovascular and brain tissue damage and bleeding complications. We believe inhibition of the inflammatory response during and immediately following CPB may reduce:

the incidence of death;

the incidence of perioperative myocardial infarction;

the incidence of brain tissue damage and learning difficulties;

post-operative complications;

the time spent by patients in the hospital after CABG-CPB;

the scope of required treatments associated with CPB; and

perioperative bleeding resulting in the need for blood transfusions.

Based on data derived from American Heart Association estimates, we believe approximately 400,000 CABG operations were performed in the United States in 2002. Currently, products utilized in patients undergoing CPB are designed to enhance the coagulation of blood to reduce the need for blood transfusions. However, we believe these products have little beneficial effect on the heart and brain inflammatory complications associated with the surgery.

About Acute Myocardial Infarction

Myocardial infarction is an acute cardiovascular disorder in which the coronary arteries, the blood vessels that supply blood, oxygen, and nutrients to the heart muscle, are blocked to such an extent that the starved heart muscle infarcts, or dies. Upon the reduction in blood flow in the coronary artery, a complex cascade of inflammatory events involving complement proteins, platelets and leukocytes and their secreted factors, and endothelial cells, commences within the blood vessel. In patients suffering a myocardial infarction, activated complement byproducts are significantly elevated. This severe inflammatory response targeting the area of insufficient blood flow to cardiac muscle is believed to be associated with immediate death of heart muscle, delayed death of heart muscle, reduced contractility of heart muscle, and activation of a systemic inflammatory response. Restoration of blood flow in the midst of the acute myocardial infarction, with either angioplasty balloon dilatation, with or without coronary stenting, or with dissolution of clots with thrombolytic drugs, is believed to be also associated with an additional inflammatory reaction and an accompanying production of activated complement byproducts. This combined reaction is sometimes called ischemia-reperfusion, or I-R injury. In addition to the high incidence of sudden cardiac death at the onset, severe complications associated with the initial survival of an acute myocardial infarction include congestive heart failure, cardiogenic shock and death. Based on data derived from the American Heart Association, we estimate that approximately 850,000 people presented to hospitals for treatment of a heart attack in the United States in 2002.

We are also developing pexelizumab to inhibit inflammation associated with complement activation in order to reduce the extent of heart damage and other adverse conditions in patients suffering an acute myocardial infarction. In contrast, most drugs currently being developed or on the market to treat acute myocardial infarction are designed to improve blood flow through the heart, rather than treating the damaging effects of inflammation associated with myocardial infarction. We and our scientific collaborators have performed pre-clinical studies in rodents which have demonstrated that administration of a C5 Inhibitor during periods of insufficient supply of

blood to the heart muscle and prior to restoration of normal flow to the heart muscle significantly reduced the extent of subsequent death of heart muscle compared to control animal studies. Additionally, administration of a C5 Inhibitor significantly reduced the extent of cardiac damage associated with reduced heart blood flow without subsequent restoration of blood flow.

Eculizumab in Pre-Clinical Research Programs

Renal Transplantation

Solid-organ transplantation is an effective form of therapy for the management of patients with end-stage kidney, heart, lung, or liver failure. The rejection of the donor organ is usually managed by treatment of the recipient with immunosuppressive drugs that block the action of white blood cells to reject the donor organ. However, some potential transplant recipients have highly sensitized immune systems due to previous transplants, transfusions or pregnancies, or incompatibility with the blood type of the donor. In these presensitized graft recipients, antibody-mediated rejection, or AMR, is a major impediment to successful transplantation.

In collaboration with investigators at the Multi-Organ Transplant Program, London Health Sciences Centre, London, Ontario, Canada, we recently reported in the May 2005 issue of the journal *Transplantation* that inhibition of terminal complement using an anti-C5 complement-blocking antibody successfully prevented AMR in a rodent model of transplantation. Furthermore, addition of anti-C5 antibody to standard anti-cellular therapy resulted in a marked and significant increase in graft survival as compared to graft survival in animals treated with anti-cellular therapy alone. Importantly, AMR was prevented by anti-C5 antibody even in the presence of high levels of circulating anti-donor antibodies.

These data are supported by other studies that demonstrate an important role for terminal complement in antibody-mediated transplant rejection and suggest that complement blockade at C5 may be an effective therapy in patients who are either presensitized or who have received a blood type mismatched transplant organ. We are currently in pre-clinical studies to evaluate solid-transplantation as an indication for eculizumab.

Asthma

Asthma is a chronic respiratory disease that results in bronchial inflammation and airway constriction that prompts asthma's hallmark symptoms—shortness of breath, chest tightness and wheezing.

In May 2005 we announced the results of a new animal model study that showed that treatment with an anti-C5 complement blocking antibody significantly reduced bronchial inflammation and airway constriction. The study, conducted by our researchers, the Yale University School of Medicine, and the Brigham and Women's Hospital, was published in the June 2005 issue of the *Journal of Clinical Investigation*.

The study suggested that both C5a and C5b-9 contribute to the initiation of airway inflammation and in immediate and sustained airway hyperreactivity. Importantly, the researchers found that animals given an anti-C5 blocking antibody—either systemically or when inhaled through a nebulizer (a common asthma inhalation device)—showed substantial reductions in airway reactivity even in the face of airway challenges with methacholine, a drug administered to confirm an asthma diagnosis.

The anti-C5 blocking antibody, unlike existing asthma therapies—high-dose inhaled and oral corticosteroids—blocked a wide range of inflammatory mediators known to contribute to the severity and

persistence of asthma, including white blood cells and inflammatory mediators from eosinophils and neutrophils. These data suggest a direct role for complement-mediated inflammation in the pathogenesis of severe asthma. We are currently in pre-clinical studies to evaluate asthma as an indication for eculizumab.

Antibody Discovery Technology Platform

Combinatorial Human Antibody Library Technologies

In order to expand our pipeline of potential antibody therapeutics, in September 2000, we acquired Prolifaron, Inc., a privately held biopharmaceutical company, through a merger with our newly organized, wholly owned subsidiary, Alexion Antibody Technologies, Inc., or AAT. AAT possesses extensive research expertise and technologies that we call Combinatorial Human Antibody Library Technologies or CoALT, in the area of creating fully human antibodies from libraries containing billions of human antibody genes.

Our goal, through utilizing AAT, is to develop new fully human therapeutic antibodies addressing multiple disease areas, including autoimmune and inflammatory disorders, cancer and infectious disease. AAT's technologies involve, in part, the generation of diverse libraries of human antibodies derived from patients' blood samples, and the screening of these libraries against a wide array of potential drug targets. We believe that these technologies may be optimally suited to the rapid generation of novel, fully human and humanized, therapeutic antibodies directed at validated clinical targets. To date, we have focused on identifying antibodies that may be therapeutically effective in different cancers, autoimmune or inflammatory disorders, and infectious diseases. In addition, we believe that these technologies could permit the pre-clinical validation of new gene targets that are coming out of the international effort to sequence the human genome. We also believe that these technologies might identify therapeutic antibodies when the libraries are screened against certain of these new gene targets.

Pre-Clinical Programs

Anti-CD200 Antibody

We are developing an antibody for the treatment of B-Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (B-CLL), an incurable chronic cancer that results from expansion of B-lymphocytes. Our antibody binds to CD200, a molecule that is upregulated on the surface of B-CLL cells. CD200 normally acts as a potent immunosuppressant by interacting with the CD200 Receptor on macrophages and thereby sending an inhibitory signal to the macrophage. We believe upregulation of CD200 on the CLL cell surface allows the tumor to inhibit the body's immune response to the tumor. Our antibody targets CLL cells and blocks the interaction of CD200 with the CD200 Receptor with the objective of enhancing the body's immune response to the tumor. Our anti-CD200 antibody drug candidates may have therapeutic application in patients suffering from B-CLL and other solid tumors with elevated CD200 expression.

Dendritic Cell Antibodies

We are developing humanized antibodies to a newly discovered cell surface proteins, DC-SIGN, found exclusively on human dendritic cells, a type of human immune cell, and a related receptor, L-SIGN. Under the exclusive worldwide license agreement and research alliance with the University Medical Center of Nijmegen, The Netherlands, we received rights related to these molecules and any associated therapeutic product candidates, including already identified monoclonal antibodies. These products may have broad therapeutic application in several clinical settings including different cancers and infectious diseases, and also in certain inflammatory disorders. This alliance broadens our interest in immune system modulation to also include human dendritic cells.

Dendritic cells have recently come to be appreciated as critical controllers of the immune system. In order for an immune response against foreign antigens to occur, these antigens must be displayed by so-called antigen-presenting cells. While dendritic cells are an extremely rare immune cell type, they are the most potent of all the antigen presenting cells. Dendritic cells capture antigens in the peripheral tissues, process and display the antigen fragments on their cell surface, and then migrate from the periphery to the T-cell areas of the lymphoid organs. There they attract resting T-cells and present their antigen load, thus activating the T-cells to begin an immune response. This process appears to be controlled in part by the newly identified molecule DC-SIGN.

Anti-MBL Antibody

We are developing an antibody that blocks complement activation via the Lectin Pathway. This inflammatory pathway is initiated by the binding of a specific protein, known as MBL, to targets on the surface of activated endothelial cells and may represent a major cause of inflammation and heart damage. Under a license agreement with The Brigham and Women's Hospital, Inc., we received exclusive worldwide rights to novel anti-inflammatory technologies and to associated therapeutic products, including a potent monoclonal antibody against MBL. The anti-MBL approach may have broad therapeutic application in patients suffering from various vascular disorders as well as some chronic inflammatory conditions.

The CuraGen Corporation Agreement for Target Discovery

We completed a drug target discovery and validation program with CuraGen Corporation focused on oncology, the study of tumors and/or cancers. This agreement enabled us and CuraGen to leverage the other's respective expertise to discover and validate novel biologic and small molecule targets for use in developing pharmaceutical products.

Under the agreement, CuraGen applied its integrated functional genomic technologies to identify potential drug targets derived from our supplied research materials, and will retain the rights to potential non-antibody protein therapeutics across all disease areas. We are using our CoALT antibody discovery platform, developed by us through AAT, to determine the therapeutic utility of the targets. We own preferential rights to develop and commercialize some antibody and small molecule therapeutics against drug targets across all disease areas. CuraGen is eligible to receive licensing fees, development milestone payments and sales royalties from pharmaceutical products stemming from this alliance. CuraGen retains the right to develop or out license some candidates from the program.

Biodefense Program

We have developed proprietary human antibody libraries that are employed to isolate custom human antibodies. In the area of biodefense, the libraries were generated from blood and bone marrow of donors who had recently been vaccinated against anthrax, botulism toxin, small pox and/or other toxic agents of bioterrorism. The CoALT libraries developed by us through AAT use proprietary methods of construction and proprietary vectors and each has a size of approximately 10 billion antibody members. These antibodies generally display very high binding affinity to these toxic agents. We have exploited this technology to generate high binding affinity human antibodies against anthrax toxins. These antibodies have been shown to be capable of neutralizing anthrax toxin in animal models when pretreated before toxin exposure. As of December 2005, we have decided to terminate the research for this program.

Other Pre-Clinical Programs

Anti-TPO Receptor Antibody

In December 2003, we and XOMA entered into a collaborative agreement for the development and commercialization of a rationally designed human c-MPL agonist antibody to treat chemotherapy-induced thrombocytopenia. Thrombocytopenia is an abnormal blood condition in which the number of platelets is reduced, potentially leading to bleeding complications. In November 2004, we and XOMA determined that the lead molecule in this c-MPL agonist antibody collaboration did not meet the criteria established in the program for continued development. We and XOMA agreed not to continue with this joint development program and terminated the collaboration in April 2005. Under the terms of the agreement, we received a \$1.5 million upfront non-refundable payment upon initiation of the collaboration. We recorded the payment as a deferred research and development payment. During the quarter ended April 30, 2005, we recognized the remaining balance of approximately \$1.3 million of the deferred payment as a reduction of research and development expense.

UniGraft Xenotransplantation Technologies Program

Through our subsidiary, Columbus Farming Corporation, or CFC, we studied and developed a portfolio of UniGraft anti-rejection technologies designed to permit the therapeutic transplantation of cells from other species, known as xenografts, or xenotransplantation, without rejection. We were awarded various grants by agencies of the U.S. government to fund specific research projects related to our UniGraft xenotransplantation technologies program. As of July 31, 2003, we had no additional funding available under these grants. We concluded that further investment in the UniGraft program by us did not meet sufficient criteria for continued development with our own resources, as compared to other internal programs; consequently, we terminated this program.

In February 1999, CFC purchased substantially all of the assets of the UniGraft xenotransplantation program, including principally, land, buildings and laboratory equipment, from its then partner in the program, U.S. Surgical Corporation, now a division of Tyco International, Ltd., or Tyco. The purchase was financed through the issuance by CFC of a \$3.9 million note payable to Tyco. Upon CFC's failure to make its quarterly interest payment due to Tyco in August 2003, CFC defaulted on the note.

In the quarter ended October 31, 2003, in conjunction with the event of default, we notified Tyco that the UniGraft xenotransplantation program and CFC activities had been terminated. In the quarter ended January 31, 2004 we and Tyco initiated a plan to sell or liquidate CFC's assets in their present condition. In the quarter ended October 31, 2004 an offer of \$450,000 was accepted by Tyco for CFC's assets. Tyco retained the proceeds from the sale of CFC's assets and extinguished the note and unpaid interest. We have transferred the assets to Tyco as of October 31, 2004. Since CFC's assets, consisting of property, plant and equipment, were insufficient to satisfy the \$3.9 million note, unpaid interest of \$0.3 million, and other obligations of CFC, Tyco formally discharged CFC of any further obligations. As a result, we extinguished the \$3.9 million note and unpaid interest of \$0.3 million offset by the transfer of CFC's assets of \$450,000 to Tyco. As a result, we recorded the resulting gain of \$3.8 million as other income on a consolidated basis in the first quarter of fiscal year 2005.

Strategic Alliance with Procter & Gamble

In January 1999, we entered into collaboration with P&G with respect to the joint development of pexelizumab. In December 2001, we and P&G entered into a binding memorandum of understanding, or MOU,

pursuant to which we and P&G revised our January 1999 collaboration. Under the revised structure per the MOU, we and P&G share decision-making and responsibility for all U.S. development and commercialization costs for pexelizumab, including clinical, manufacturing, marketing, and sales efforts. Prior to December 2001, P&G was generally funding all clinical development and manufacturing costs for pexelizumab. The revised collaboration per the MOU provides that we and P&G each incur approximately 50% of all Phase III clinical trial, product development and manufacturing, and commercialization costs necessary for the potential approval and marketing of pexelizumab in the U.S. and that we will receive approximately 50% of the gross margin on U.S. sales, if any. Under the MOU, P&G agreed to retain responsibility for future development and commercialization costs outside the U.S. and we will receive royalties on sales outside the U.S., if any. We are responsible for paying royalties and licensing fees on certain third party intellectual property worldwide, if such intellectual property is necessary in order to commercialize pexelizumab. Additionally, as part of the MOU, we will receive milestone payments for achieving specified development steps, regulatory filings and approvals, but not for previously agreed sales milestones and we will generally forego further research and development support payments from P&G.

Reimbursements received by us from P&G in connection with P&G's share of our services and related personnel are recorded as a reduction of research and development and market research expense. As part of the revised collaboration per the MOU, P&G funded 100% of the costs for the two acute myocardial infarction, or AMI, Phase II clinical trials. We and P&G agreed, as per the MOU, that we share concurrently 50% of the ongoing U.S. pre-production and development manufacturing costs for pexelizumab as well as any future AMI or CABG Phase III clinical trial costs.

P&G has the right to terminate the collaboration or sublicense its collaboration rights at any time. If P&G terminates the collaboration, P&G is required to contribute its share of agreed to obligations and costs incurred prior to termination, but may not be required to contribute towards costs incurred after termination. In the event that P&G were to terminate the collaboration, all rights and the exclusive license to our intellectual property related to pexelizumab would revert back to us. The MOU does not contemplate any payments to P&G in the event P&G were to terminate the collaboration; however, P&G might seek to negotiate such a payment or might seek to sublicense its collaboration rights rather than terminate the collaboration. Under terms of our MOU, we may be obligated to reimburse P&G for 50% of cancellation costs under P&G's third-party pexelizumab manufacturing contract. Our portion of those cancellation costs could amount to as much as \$8.0 million.

Manufacturing

We obtain drug product to meet our requirements for clinical studies using both internal and third-party contract manufacturing capabilities. We have a pilot manufacturing plant suitable for the production and purification of certain of our product candidates for clinical studies. We have also secured the production of clinical supplies of certain other product candidates through third-party manufacturers. In each case, we have contracted product finishing, vial filling, and packaging through third parties.

We do not currently operate our own commercial manufacturing facility. In the longer term, we may contract for the manufacture of our products for commercial sale or may develop large-scale manufacturing capabilities for the commercialization of some of our products.

Our most significant agreement with a third party manufacturer is the Large-Scale Product Supply Agreement, or the Lonza Agreement, dated December 18, 2002 with Lonza Biologics PLC, or Lonza, relating to

the manufacture of our product candidate eculizumab. The Lonza Agreement was amended, or the Lonza Amendment, on April 9, 2004. Per the Lonza Agreement, we have remitted cash advances aggregating \$13.5 million through December 31, 2005.

Under the Lonza Amendment, if we terminate the Lonza Agreement on or prior to September 30, 2006, we may be required to pay different amounts, depending on when the Lonza Agreement is terminated, which are between zero and approximately \$10 million and, if we terminate the Lonza Agreement after September 30, 2006, we may be required to pay for batches of product scheduled for manufacture up to 12 months following termination.

The amounts paid to Lonza in consideration of the Lonza Agreement are accounted for as prepaid manufacturing costs within the accompanying balance sheet and are recognized as additional manufacturing costs as the batches are manufactured. On a fiscal quarterly basis, we evaluate our plans to proceed with production under the Lonza Agreement which depends upon our clinical development programs' progress as well as commercialization plans. In addition, we evaluate the prepaid manufacturing costs against estimated net realizable value, or NRV. If estimated NRV is not positive, then all or a portion of the prepaid manufacturing cost may be recognized as an expense.

Sales and Marketing

We currently have established core marketing capabilities and have begun to establish sales and distribution capabilities. We will need to continue developing or contract these capabilities to commercialize successfully any of our drug candidates. We may promote our products in collaboration with marketing partners or rely on relationships with one or more companies with established distribution systems and direct sales forces. Under our revised collaboration agreement, P&G is obligated to sell, market, and distribute pexelizumab for all approved indications outside the U.S. We share with P&G co-marketing and co-promotion rights for pexelizumab in the U.S. For other future drug products, as well as for pexelizumab in the U.S., we may elect to establish our own specialized sales force and marketing organization to market our products.

Patents and Proprietary Rights

Patents and other proprietary rights are important to our business. Our policy is to file patent applications to protect technology, inventions and improvements to our technologies that are considered important to the development of our business. We also rely upon our trade secrets, know-how, and continuing technological innovations to develop and maintain our competitive position, as well as patents that we have licensed or may license from other parties.

We have filed several U.S. patent applications and international counterparts of certain of these applications. In addition, we have in-licensed several additional U.S. and international patents and patent applications. As of February 3, 2006, of our owned or in-licensed U.S. patents and U.S. patent applications, 23 relate to technologies or products in the C5 Inhibitor program, 2 relate to high throughput screening, 3 relate to the TPO program, 3 relate to vectors, 11 relate to cancer, 3 relate to the MBL program, 25 relate to recombinant antibodies, 3 relate to biodefense, 12 relate to the dendritic cell program, and 47 relate to other technologies. There are 55 issued foreign patents and 166 pending foreign patent applications corresponding to the above U.S. patents and patent applications. We will owe royalties and other fees to the licensors of some of those patents and patent applications in connection with any future commercial manufacture and sale of our product candidates, including pexelizumab and eculizumab.

Our success will depend in part on our ability to obtain and maintain U.S. and international patent protection for our products and development programs, to preserve our trade secrets and proprietary rights, and to operate without infringing on the proprietary rights of third parties or having third parties circumvent our rights. Because of the length of time and expense associated with bringing new products through development and regulatory approval to the marketplace, the health care industry has traditionally placed considerable importance on obtaining patent and trade secret protection for significant new technologies, products and processes. Significant legal issues remain to be resolved as to the extent and scope of patent protection for biotechnology products and processes in the United States and other important markets outside of the United States. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that patent applications owned or licensed by us will issue as patents, or that any issued patents will afford meaningful protection against competitors. Moreover, once issued, patents are subject to challenge through both administrative and judicial proceedings in the United States and in foreign jurisdictions. Such proceedings include interference proceedings before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and opposition proceedings before the European Patent Office. Litigation may be required to enforce our intellectual property rights. Any litigation or administrative proceeding may result in a significant commitment of our resources and, depending on outcome, may adversely affect the validity and scope of certain of our patent or other proprietary rights.

We are aware of broad patents owned by third parties relating to the manufacture, use, and sale of recombinant humanized antibodies, recombinant humanized single-chain antibodies, recombinant human antibodies and recombinant human single-chain antibodies. Many of our product candidates are genetically engineered antibodies, including recombinant humanized antibodies, recombinant humanized single chain antibodies, recombinant human antibodies, and recombinant human single chain antibodies. We have received notices from the owners of some of these patents in which the owners claim that some of these patents may be infringed by the development and commercialization of some of our drug candidates, including pexelizumab and eculizumab. We are also aware of other patents owned by third parties that might be claimed to be infringed by the development and commercialization of some of our drug candidates, including pexelizumab and eculizumab. We have acquired licenses to certain of these patents which we believe are relevant for the expeditious development and commercialization of certain of our products as currently contemplated. With regard to certain other patents, we have either determined in our judgment that the patents are invalid, that our products do not infringe the patents, or that we can license such patents on commercially reasonable terms, or we have identified and are testing various approaches which we believe should not infringe the patents and which should permit commercialization of our products. If our judgment is incorrect, and we are unable to acquire a license to a necessary patent on commercially reasonable terms, our ability to commercialize our products could be significantly adversely affected or could be prevented.

It is our policy to require our employees, consultants, members of our scientific advisory board, and parties to collaborative agreements to execute confidentiality agreements upon the commencement of employment or consulting relationships or collaborations with us. These agreements generally provide that all confidential information developed or made known during the course of the relationship with us is to be kept confidential and not to be disclosed to third parties except in specific circumstances. In the case of employees, the agreements provide that all inventions resulting from work performed for us, utilizing our property or relating to our business and conceived or completed by the individual during employment shall be our exclusive property to the extent permitted by applicable law.

Government Regulation

The pre-clinical studies and clinical testing, manufacture, labeling, storage, record keeping, advertising, promotion, export, and marketing, among other things, of our proposed products are subject to extensive regulation by governmental authorities in the U.S. and other countries. In the U.S., pharmaceutical products are regulated by the FDA under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and other laws, including, in the case of biologics, the Public Health Service Act. We believe that our currently anticipated products will be regulated by the FDA as biologics. Biologics require the submission of a Biologics License Application, or BLA, and approval by FDA prior to being marketed in the United States. Manufacturers of biologics may also be subject to state regulation. Failure to comply with FDA requirements, both before and after product approval, may subject us and/or our partners, contract manufacturers, and suppliers to administrative or judicial sanctions, including FDA refusal to approve pending applications, warning letters, product recalls, product seizures, total or partial suspension of production or distribution, fines and/or criminal prosecution.

The steps required before a biologic may be approved for marketing in the U.S. generally include:

- (1) pre-clinical laboratory tests and animal tests;
- (2) submission to the FDA of an Investigational New Drug Application for human clinical testing, which must become effective before human clinical trials may commence;
- (3) adequate and well-controlled human clinical trials to establish the safety and efficacy of the product;
- (4) submission to the FDA of a BLA;
- (5) FDA pre-approval inspection of product manufacturers; and
- (6) FDA review and approval of BLA.

The testing and approval process requires substantial time, effort and financial resources, and we cannot assure you that any approval will be granted on a timely basis or at all.

Pre-clinical studies include laboratory evaluation, as well as animal studies to assess the potential safety and efficacy of the product candidate. Pre-clinical safety tests must be conducted in compliance with FDA regulations regarding good laboratory practices. The results of the pre-clinical tests, together with manufacturing information and analytical data, are submitted to the FDA as part of an Investigational New Drug Application, or IND, which must become effective before human clinical trials may be commenced. The IND will automatically become effective 30 days after receipt by the FDA, unless the FDA before that time raises concerns about the drug candidate or the conduct of the trials as outlined in the IND. The IND sponsor and the FDA must resolve any outstanding concerns before clinical trials can proceed. We cannot assure you that submission of an IND will result in FDA authorization to commence clinical trials or that once commenced, other concerns will not arise.

Clinical trials involve the administration of the investigational product to healthy volunteers or to patients, under the supervision of qualified principal investigators. Each clinical study at each clinical site must be reviewed and approved by an independent institutional review board, prior to the recruitment of subjects.

Clinical trials typically are conducted in three sequential phases, but the phases may overlap and different trials may be initiated with the same drug candidate within the same phase of development in similar or differing patient populations. Phase I studies are closely monitored and may be conducted in a limited number of patients,

but are usually conducted in healthy volunteer subjects. The drug is usually tested for safety and, as appropriate, for absorption, metabolism, distribution, excretion, pharmaco-dynamics and pharmaco-kinetics.

Phase II usually involves studies in a larger, but still limited patient population to evaluate preliminarily the efficacy of the drug candidate for specific, targeted indications; to determine dosage tolerance and optimal dosage; and to identify possible short-term adverse effects and safety risks.

Phase III trials are undertaken to further evaluate clinical efficacy of a specific endpoint and to test further for safety within an expanded patient population at geographically dispersed clinical study sites. Phase I, Phase II or Phase III testing may not be completed successfully within any specific time period, if at all, with respect to any of our product candidates. Results from one trial are not necessarily predictive of results from later trials. Furthermore, the FDA may suspend clinical trials at any time on various grounds, including a finding that the subjects or patients are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk.

Under the Special Protocol Assessment procedure, a sponsor may seek the FDA's agreement on the design and size of a clinical trial intended to form the primary basis of an effectiveness claim. If the FDA agrees in writing, its agreement may not be changed after the trial begins, except in limited circumstances. If the outcome of the trial is successful, the sponsor will ordinarily be able to rely on it as the primary basis for approval with respect to effectiveness. There can be no assurance that the FDA will agree to the design and size of future clinical trials, and there can be no assurance that any trial will have a successful outcome.

The results of the pre-clinical studies and clinical trials, together with other detailed information, including information on the manufacture and composition of the product, are submitted to the FDA as part of a BLA requesting approval to market the product candidate. Under the Prescription Drug User Fee Act, as amended, the fees payable to FDA for reviewing a BLA, as well as annual fees for commercial manufacturing establishments and for approved products, can be substantial. The BLA review fee alone can exceed \$500,000, subject to certain limited deferrals, waivers and reductions that may be available. Each BLA submitted to FDA for approval is typically reviewed for administrative completeness and reviewability within 45 to 60 days following submission of the application. If found complete, the FDA will file the BLA, thus triggering substantive review of the application. The FDA may refuse to file any BLA that it deems incomplete or not properly reviewable. If the FDA refuses to file an application, the FDA will retain 25% percent of the user fee as a penalty. The FDA's established goals for the review of BLAs is six months for priority applications and 10 months for regular applications. However, the FDA is not legally obligated to complete its review within these periods and its review goals are subject to change from time to time. Further, the outcome of the review, even if generally favorable, typically is not an actual approval but an action letter that describes additional work that must be done before the application can be approved. Before approving a BLA, the FDA may inspect the facilities at which the product is manufactured and will not approve the product unless current Good Manufacturing Practices, or cGMP, compliance is satisfactory. The FDA may deny approval of a BLA if applicable statutory or regulatory criteria are not satisfied, or may require additional testing or information, which can delay the approval process. FDA approval of any application may include many delays or never be granted. If a product is approved, the approval will impose limitations on the indicated uses for which the product may be marketed, require that warning statements be included in the product labeling, require that additional studies be conducted following approval as a condition of the approval, impose restrictions and conditions on product distribution, prescribing or dispensing in the form of a risk management plan, or otherwise limit the scope of any approval. To market for other indicated uses, or to make certain manufacturing or other changes requires FDA review and approval. Further post-marketing testing and surveillance to monitor the safety or efficacy of a product may be

required. Also, product approvals may be withdrawn if compliance with regulatory standards is not maintained or if safety or manufacturing problems occur following initial marketing. Finally, new government requirements may be established that could delay or prevent regulatory approval of our products under development.

The U.S. Congress and regulatory authorities, including the FDA, are considering whether an abbreviated approval process for so-called generic or follow-on biological products should be adopted. An abbreviated approval process is currently available for generic versions of conventional chemical drug compounds, sometimes referred to as small molecule compounds, but not for biological products approved under the Public Health Service Act through a BLA. Currently, an applicant for a generic version of a small molecule compound only has to reference in its application an approved product for which full clinical data demonstrating safety and effectiveness exist for the approved conditions of use; demonstrate that its product has the same active ingredients, dosage form, strength, route of administration and conditions of use and is absorbed in the body at the same rate and to the same extent as the referenced approved drug; include certifications to patents listed with the FDA for the referenced approved drug; and await the expiration of any non-patent exclusivity. Various proposals have been made to establish an abbreviated approval process to permit approval of generic or follow-on versions of biological products. It is unclear as to when, or if, any such proposals may be adopted but any such abbreviated approval process could have a material impact on our business as follow-on products would be significantly less costly to bring to market and may be priced significantly lower than our products would be.

Orphan Drug Designation

Under the Orphan Drug Act, the FDA may grant orphan drug designation to drugs intended to treat a rare disease or condition, which generally is a disease or condition that affects fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States. Orphan drug designation must be requested before submitting a BLA. After the FDA grants orphan drug designation, the generic identity of the therapeutic agent and its potential orphan use are publicly disclosed by the FDA. Orphan drug designation does not convey any advantage in, or shorten the duration of, the regulatory review and approval process. If a product which has an orphan drug designation subsequently receives the first FDA approval for the indication for which it has such designation, the product is entitled to orphan exclusivity, i.e., the FDA may not approve any other applications to market the same drug for the same indication for a period of seven years, except in limited circumstances. Both before and after the FDA approves a product, the manufacturer and the holder or holders of the BLA for the product are subject to comprehensive regulatory oversight. For example, quality control and manufacturing procedures must conform to cGMP requirements after approval, and the FDA periodically inspects manufacturing facilities to assess compliance with cGMP. Accordingly, manufacturers must continue to spend time, monies, and effort to maintain cGMP compliance.

Fast Track Designation

Fast track products are those which are intended for the treatment of a serious or life-threatening condition and which demonstrate the potential to address unmet medical needs for such conditions. Fast track products are eligible for expedited product development, and accelerated review for BLAs. There can be no assurance that any product will receive designation as a fast track product, and even if a product is designated as a fast track product, there can be no assurance that it will be reviewed or approved more expeditiously than would otherwise have been the case.

Foreign Regulation

In addition to regulations in the United States, we are subject to a variety of foreign regulatory requirements governing human clinical trials and marketing approval for drugs. The foreign regulatory approval process

includes all of the risks associated with FDA approval set forth above as well as country-specific regulations. Whether or not we obtain FDA approval for a product, we must obtain approval of a product by the comparable regulatory authorities of foreign countries before we can commence clinical trials or marketing of the product in those countries. The approval process varies from country to country, and the time may be longer or shorter than that required for FDA approval. The requirements governing the conduct of clinical trials, product licensing, pricing and reimbursement vary greatly from country to country.

For example, under European Union regulatory systems, we may submit marketing authorizations either under a centralized or decentralized procedure. The centralized procedure provides for the grant of a single marketing authorization that is valid for all European Union member states. The decentralized procedure provides for mutual recognition of national approval decisions. Under this procedure, the holder of a national marketing authorization may submit an application to the remaining member states. Within 90 days of receiving the applications and assessment report, each member state must decide whether to recognize approval.

Reimbursement

Sales of pharmaceutical products depend in significant part on the availability of coverage and the amount of reimbursement from government programs, including Medicare and Medicaid in the United States, and other third-party payors. These health insurance programs may restrict coverage of some products. Many third-party payors use formularies, under which only selected drugs are covered, variable co-payments that make drugs that are not preferred by the payor more expensive for patients, and utilization management controls, such as requirements for prior authorization or failure on another type of treatment, before the payer will cover a particular drug. Payors may especially impose these obstacles to coverage for higher-priced drugs, as our product candidates are likely to be.

In addition, in some foreign countries, the proposed pricing for a drug must be approved before it may be lawfully marketed. The requirements governing drug pricing vary widely from country to country. For example, the European Union provides options for its member states to restrict the range of medicinal products for which their national health insurance systems provide reimbursement and to control the prices of medicinal products for human use. A member state may approve a specific price for the medicinal product or it may instead adopt a system of direct or indirect controls on the profitability of the company placing the medicinal product on the market.

Since our products will likely be too expensive for most patients to afford without health insurance coverage, adequate coverage and reimbursement by third-party payors is essential to our ability to successfully commercialize our product candidates.

Competition

Currently, many companies, including major pharmaceutical and chemical companies as well as specialized biotechnology companies, are engaged in activities similar to our activities. Universities, governmental agencies and other public and private research organizations also conduct research and may market commercial products on their own or through joint ventures. These companies and organizations are in the U.S., Europe and elsewhere. Many of these entities may have:

substantially greater financial and other resources;

larger research and development staffs;

lower labor costs; and/or

more extensive marketing and manufacturing organizations.

Many of these companies and organizations have significant experience in pre-clinical testing, human clinical trials, product manufacturing, marketing and distribution and other regulatory approval procedures. They may also have a greater number of significant patents and greater legal resources to seek remedies for cases of alleged infringement of their patents by us to block, delay, or compromise our own drug development process.

We compete with large pharmaceutical companies that produce and market synthetic compounds and with specialized biotechnology firms in the U.S., Europe and elsewhere, as well as a growing number of large pharmaceutical companies that are applying biotechnology to their operations. Many biotechnology companies have focused their developmental efforts in the human therapeutics area, and many major pharmaceutical companies have developed or acquired internal biotechnology capabilities or have made commercial arrangements with other biotechnology companies. A number of biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies are developing new products for the treatment of the same diseases being targeted by us; in some instances these products have already entered clinical trials or are already being marketed. Other companies are engaged in research and development based on complement proteins.

Each of Abbott Laboratories Inc., Adprotech Ltd., Avant Immunotherapeutics, Inc., Baxter International Inc., Millennium Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Neurogen Corporation, Tanox, Inc., XOMA Ltd., and Archemix Corporation has publicly announced intentions to develop complement inhibitors to treat diseases related to trauma, inflammation or certain brain or nervous system disorders. We are also aware that GlaxoSmithKline plc, Merck & Co., Inc. and Pfizer, Inc. have had programs to develop complement inhibitor therapies. We believe that our potential C5 Inhibitors differ substantially from those of our competitors due to our compounds' demonstrated ability to specifically intervene in the complement cascade, for potentially prolonged periods of time, at what we believe to be the optimal point so that the disease-causing actions of complement proteins generally are inhibited while the normal disease-preventing functions of complement proteins generally remain intact as do other aspects of immune function.

We further believe that, under conditions of inflammation, a complement inhibitor compound which only indirectly addresses the harmful activity of complement may be bypassed by pathologic mechanisms present in the inflamed tissue. Each of Amgen Inc. (which acquired Immunex Corp.), Bayer AG, and Pfizer, Inc. sells a product which is used clinically to reduce surgical bleeding during CPB, but has little proven beneficial effect on other significant inflammatory morbidities associated with CPB. Further, Dyax Corporation has conducted clinical trials in patients undergoing CABG-CPB with an enzyme inhibitor and with the objective of reducing blood loss in these patients. We believe that each of these drugs does not significantly prevent complement activation and subsequent inflammation that lead to organ damage and blood loss during CPB, but instead each drug attempts to reduce blood loss by shifting the normal blood thinning/blood clotting balance in the blood towards enhanced blood clotting. Additionally, Aventis has conducted clinical trials aimed at reducing heart damage in patients undergoing CPB with a drug called Cariporide that blocks ion transport but failed to achieve key endpoints. Aventis has publicly announced termination of their program in CABG-CPB.

Each of Cambridge Antibody Technology Group plc, Dyax Corporation, and MorphoSys AG has publicly announced intentions to develop therapeutic genetically altered human antibodies from libraries of human antibody genes. Additionally, each of Abgenix, Inc. and Medarex, Inc. has publicly announced intentions to develop therapeutic genetically altered human antibodies from mice that have been bred to include some human antibody genes.

Employees

As of January 31, 2006, we had 241 full-time employees, of which 159 were engaged in research, development, manufacturing, and clinical development, and 82 in administration, commercial and business development and finance. Doctorates are held by 68 of our employees. Each of our employees is required to sign a confidentiality agreement. Our employees are not represented by any collective bargaining unit, and we regard the relationships with our employees as satisfactory.

Available Information

Our Web site address is www.alexionpharm.com. On our Web site, we make available, free of charge, our annual and transition reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports, as soon as reasonably practical after we electronically file such material with or furnish it to the SEC. The information found on our Web site is not part of this or any other report we file with or furnish to the SEC.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS.

You should carefully consider the following risk factors before you decide to invest in our Company and our business because these risk factors may have a significant impact on our business, operating results, financial condition, and cash flows. The risk and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our business operations. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

If we continue to incur operating losses, we may be unable to continue our operations.

We have incurred losses since we started our company in January 1992. As of December 31, 2005, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$506 million. If we continue to incur operating losses and fail to become a profitable company, we may be unable to continue our operations. Since we began our business, we have focused on research and development of product candidates. We have no products that are available for sale and do not know when we will have products available for sale, if ever. We expect to continue to operate at a net loss for at least the next several years as we continue our research and development efforts, continue to conduct clinical trials and develop manufacturing, sales, marketing and distribution capabilities. Our future profitability depends on our receiving regulatory approval of our product candidates and our ability to successfully manufacture and market approved drugs. The extent and the timing of our future losses and our profitability, if we are ever profitable, are highly uncertain.

We are subject to extensive government regulation; if we do not obtain regulatory approval for our drug products, we will not be able to sell our drug products.

We and our partners cannot sell or market our products without regulatory approval. If we or our partners do not obtain and maintain regulatory approval for our products, the value of our company and our results of operations will be harmed. In the United States, we or our partners must obtain and maintain approval from the FDA for each indication for each drug that we intend to sell and for each facility where such drug is manufactured. Obtaining FDA approval is typically a lengthy and expensive process, and approval is highly uncertain. Foreign governments also regulate drugs distributed outside the United States and facilities outside the United States where such drugs are manufactured, and obtaining their approvals can also be lengthy, expensive and highly uncertain. The approval process varies from country to country and the requirements governing the conduct of clinical trials, product manufacturing, product licensing, pricing and reimbursement vary greatly from country to country. In certain foreign jurisdictions we would be required to obtain pricing approvals prior to marketing our products. None of our product candidates has received regulatory approval to be marketed and sold in the United States or any other country. We may not receive regulatory approval for any of our product candidates for at least the next several years, if ever.

We and our partners, contract manufacturers and suppliers are subject to rigorous and extensive regulation by the FDA, other federal and state agencies, and governmental authorities in other countries. These regulations apply both before and after approval of our product candidates, if our product candidates are ever approved, and cover, among other things, testing, manufacturing, quality control, labeling, advertising, promotion, and export of biologics. As a condition of approval for marketing our product, FDA, or governmental authorities in other countries may require us to conduct additional clinical trials. Our manufacturing and other facilities and those of any third parties manufacturing our products will be subject to inspection prior to grant of marketing approval and subject to continued review and periodic inspections by the regulatory authorities. Any third party we would

use to manufacture our products for sale must also be licensed by applicable regulatory authorities. Failure to comply with the laws, including statutes and regulations, administered by the FDA or other agencies could result in administrative and judicial sanctions, including, warning letters; fines and other civil penalties; delay in approving or refusal to approve a product candidate; withdrawal of a previously granted approval; product recall or seizure; interruption of production; operating restrictions; injunctions; and criminal prosecution.

We may be unable to obtain necessary regulatory approvals in the United States and foreign countries on a timely basis, if at all, for any of our product candidates or maintain such approvals if obtained. Any delays in obtaining necessary regulatory approvals or failure to maintain them could prevent us from marketing our products.

The FDA has granted fast track status for pexelizumab for use during CPB and for treatment of AMI, and for eculizumab in treatment of membranous nephritis. Although fast track status may expedite development and FDA review of an application, fast track status does not modify the substantive requirements of safety and efficacy necessary for the FDA to approve marketing of a drug; nor can there be any assurance that a drug granted fast track status would be reviewed more expeditiously for their fast-track indications than would otherwise have been the case or would be approved promptly, or at all. Further, the FDA could revoke fast track status for pexelizumab or eculizumab.

The FDA has granted orphan drug designation for eculizumab in the treatment of PNH and membranous nephritis. Orphan drug designation does not convey any advantage in, or shorten the duration of, the FDA review and approval process. If a product which has an orphan drug designation is the first drug of its type to receive FDA approval for the indication for which it has such designation, the product is entitled to orphan exclusivity, i.e., the FDA may not approve any other applications to market the same drug for the same indication for a period of seven years, except in limited circumstances.

We depend heavily on the success of our lead product candidates, Soliris (eculizumab) and pexelizumab, which are still under development. If we do not obtain FDA approval of our lead product candidates, or if FDA delays approval or narrows the indications for which we may market these product candidates, our business will be materially harmed.

We anticipate that in the near term our ability to generate revenues will depend on the successful development and commercialization of Soliris (eculizumab) and/or pexelizumab. The commercial success of our lead product candidates will depend on several factors, including the following: successful completion of our ongoing Phase III clinical trials for these product candidates; receipt of marketing approvals from the FDA and similar foreign regulatory authorities; establishing commercial manufacturing capabilities ourselves or through third party manufacturers; successfully launching commercial sales of the products; and acceptance of the products in the medical community and by third party payers.

If the data from our ongoing Phase III pivotal clinical trials for our product candidates are not satisfactory, we may not proceed with the filing of a biological license application, or BLA, for one or both of our lead product candidates or we may be forced to delay the filing. Preliminary results from the PRIMO-CABG2 study indicate that the trial is unlikely to be sufficient for filing for licensing approval of pexelizumab in the CABG indication. Even if the results of the other pivotal trials appear satisfactory and we file a BLA, the FDA and similar foreign regulatory agencies may not accept our filing, may request additional information from us, including data from additional clinical trials, and, ultimately, may not grant marketing approval. The FDA and other regulatory agencies may have varying interpretations of our clinical trial data, which could delay, limit, or

prevent regulatory approval or clearance. Further, before a product candidate is approved for marketing, we, or any third party manufacturing our product, are subject to inspection of the manufacturing facilities and the FDA will not approve the product for marketing if we or our third party manufacturers are not in compliance with current good manufacturing practices. Even if the FDA and similar foreign regulatory authorities do grant marketing approval for one or both of our product candidates, they may narrow the indications for which we are permitted to market one or both products, may pose other restrictions on the use or marketing of the product, or may require us to conduct additional post-marketing trials. A narrowed indication or other restrictions may limit the market potential for the affected product and obligation to conduct additional clinical trials would result in increased expenditures and lower revenues. If we are not successful in commercializing one or both of our lead product candidates, or are significantly delayed or limited in doing so, our business will be materially harmed and we may need to curtail or cease operations.

If our drug trials are delayed or achieve unfavorable results, we will have to delay or may be unable to obtain regulatory approval for our products.

We must conduct extensive testing of our product candidates before we can obtain regulatory approval for our products. We need to conduct both preclinical animal testing and clinical human trials. These tests and trials may not achieve favorable results. The FDA typically requires two well controlled clinical trials that demonstrate efficacy in order to obtain FDA approval to market a product candidate. The SPA for each of our ongoing Phase III clinical programs for Soliris (eculizumab) and pexelizumab provides for only a single efficacy trial and the FDA has indicated that the trials should provide compelling evidence of clinically meaningful benefit in order to warrant consideration for marketing approval of the product candidate. The FDA has noted that a study that is merely statistically positive may not provide the evidence necessary to support filing or approval of a product candidate. Our clinical programs may not demonstrate statistically significant results or show that such results are adequate to support approval for commercialization of Soliris (eculizumab) or pexelizumab. Inconclusive or negative final data from our Phase III clinical programs would have a significant negative impact on our prospects. If the results in our clinical programs are not positive, the potential commercialization of our top product candidates would be at risk, which would likely have a materially negative impact on our ability to generate revenue and our ability to secure additional funding. Preliminary results from the PRIMO-CABG2 study indicate that the trial is unlikely to be sufficient for filing for licensing approval of pexelizumab in the CABG indication. In addition, the FDA may require additional safety information before granting marketing approval. We would need to reevaluate any drug that did not test favorably and either alter the study, the drug or the dose and perform additional or repeat tests, or abandon the drug development project. In those circumstances, we would not be able to obtain regulatory approval on a timely basis, if ever. Even if approval is granted, the approval may require limitations on the indicated uses for which the drug may be marketed. In addition to the FDA and other regulatory agency regulations in the United States, we are subject to a variety of foreign regulatory requirements governing human clinical trials, marketing and approval for drugs, and commercial sales and distribution of drugs in foreign countries. The foreign regulatory approval process includes all of the risks associated with FDA approval as well as country-specific regulations. Whether or not we obtain FDA approval for a product, we must obtain approval of a product by the comparable regulatory authorities of foreign countries before we can commence clinical trials or marketing of the product in those countries.

Certain clinical trials completed to date have not achieved their primary endpoints.

In September 2000, we announced the completion of enrollment in a Phase IIb trial of pexelizumab for the treatment of complications in patients after CABG with CPB including the reduction of the frequency and

severity of myocardial infarctions and frequency of death. The primary therapeutic pre-set goal of the trial, referred to as the primary endpoint, was not achieved. However, in the pre-specified population that included approximately 90% of the patient population, (i.e. the 800 patients who had CABG surgery without valve surgery), those that received pexelizumab at the highest dose level experienced a statistically significant reduction in larger post-surgical heart attacks. Based on these results, in January 2002, we commenced enrollment of a Phase III clinical trial of pexelizumab in patients undergoing CABG with CPB. We completed the target patient enrollment of approximately 3,000 patients in February 2003. In August 2003, we disclosed preliminary results that indicated that the primary endpoint was not achieved with statistical significance. The primary endpoint in this PRIMO-CABG Phase III trial was a composite of the incidence of death or myocardial infarction, measured at 30 days post-procedure, in patients undergoing CABG without simultaneous valve surgery. In August 2005, we completed enrollment of approximately 4,250 patients in a confirmatory, pivotal Phase III PRIMO-CABG2 trial in multiple risk CABG patients. The primary endpoint of PRIMO-CABG2 was the combined incidence of nonfatal myocardial infarction or death through 30 days following CABG surgery in moderate-to-high risk patients. In November 2005, we announced that pexelizumab reduced the primary endpoint, but did not meet the pre-specified threshold for statistical significance.

We have concluded two Phase II studies with pexelizumab in AMI: one study in patients receiving angioplasty, a procedure for opening up narrowed or blocked arteries that supply blood to the heart, and the other in patients receiving thrombolytic therapy, a procedure for dissolving clots that block heart vessels. The angioplasty study, called COMMA, and the thrombolytic study, called COMPLY, completed patient enrollment in April 2002 and January 2002, respectively. Results from both studies were reported at the November 2002 annual meeting of the American Heart Association. In both studies, the primary endpoint of a reduction of myocardial infarction was not reached; however in the COMMA study, pexelizumab treatment was associated with a statistically significant, dose-dependent reduction in death.

Completion of these and other trials does not guarantee that we will initiate additional trials for our product candidates, that if the trials are initiated what the scope and phase of the trial will be or that they will be completed, or that if the trials are completed, that the results will provide a sufficient basis to proceed with further trials or to apply for or receive regulatory approvals or to commercialize products. Results of trials could be inconclusive, requiring additional or repeat trials. If the results achieved in our clinical trials are insufficient to proceed to further trials or to regulatory approval of our product candidates our company could be materially adversely affected. Failure of a trial to achieve its pre-specified primary endpoint generally increases the likelihood that additional studies will be required if we determine to continue development of the product candidate, reduces the likelihood of timely development of and regulatory approval to market the product candidate, and may decrease the chances for successfully achieving the primary endpoint in scientifically similar indications.

There are many reasons why drug testing could be delayed or terminated.

For human trials, patients must be recruited and each product candidate must be tested at various doses and formulations for each clinical indication. Also, to ensure safety and effectiveness, the effect of drugs often must be studied over a long period of time, especially for the chronic diseases that we are studying. Unfavorable results or insufficient patient enrollment in our clinical trials could delay or cause us to abandon a product development program. We may decide to abandon development of a product candidate at any time, or we may have to spend considerable resources repeating clinical trials or conducting additional trials, either of which would increase costs and delay any revenue from those product candidates, if any.

Additional factors that can cause delay, impairment or termination of our clinical trials or our product development efforts include:

slow patient enrollment;

long treatment time required to demonstrate effectiveness;

lack of sufficient supplies of the product candidate;

disruption of operations at the clinical trial sites;

adverse medical events or side effects in treated patients;

the failure of patients taking the placebo to continue to participate in our clinical trials;

insufficient clinical trial data to support effectiveness of the product candidates;

lack of effectiveness of the product candidate being tested;

lack of sufficient funds;

inability to manufacture sufficient quantities of the product candidate for development or commercialization activities in a timely and cost-efficient manner; or

failure to obtain the necessary regulatory approvals for the product candidate or the approvals for the facilities in which such product candidate is manufactured.

We may expand our business through new acquisitions that could disrupt our business and harm our financial condition.

Our business strategy includes expanding our products and capabilities, and we may seek acquisitions to do so. Acquisitions involve numerous risks, including:

substantial cash expenditures;

potentially dilutive issuance of equity securities;

incurrence of debt and contingent liabilities, some of which may be difficult or impossible to identify at the time of acquisition;

difficulties in assimilating the operations of the acquired companies;

diverting our management's attention away from other business concerns;

risks of entering markets in which we have limited or no direct experience; and

the potential loss of our key employees or key employees of the acquired companies.

We cannot assure you that any acquisition will result in short-term or long-term benefits to us. We may incorrectly judge the value or worth of an acquired company or business. In addition, our future success would depend in part on our ability to manage the rapid growth associated with some of these acquisitions. We cannot assure you that we will be able to make the combination of our business with that of acquired businesses or companies work or be successful. Furthermore, the development or expansion of our business or any acquired business or companies may require a substantial capital investment by us. We may not have these necessary funds or they might not be available to us on acceptable terms or at all. We may also seek to raise funds by

selling shares of our capital stock, which could dilute current stockholders' ownership interest in our company, or securities convertible into our capital stock, which could dilute current stockholders' ownership interest in our company upon conversion.

If we fail to obtain the capital necessary to fund our operations, we will be unable to continue or complete our product development.

We believe we have sufficient capital to fund our operations and product development for at least eighteen months. We may need to raise additional capital before or after that time to complete the development and commercialization of our product candidates. We are currently conducting or initiating several clinical trials. Funding needs may shift between programs and potentially accelerate and increase if we initiate new pivotal trials for our product candidates. We rely heavily on P&G to fund development of pexelizumab. If P&G were to terminate the pexelizumab collaboration, we could have to raise additional capital or find new collaboration partners in order to continue the development of pexelizumab.

Additional financing could take the form of public or private debt or equity offerings, equity line facilities, bank loans, collaborative research and development arrangements with corporate partners and/or the sale or licensing of some of our property. The amount of capital we may need depends on many factors, including:

the existence, terms and status of collaborative arrangements and strategic partnerships, such as our collaboration with P&G;

the progress, timing and scope of our research and development programs;

the progress, timing and scope of our preclinical studies and clinical trials;

the time and cost necessary to obtain regulatory approvals;

the time and cost necessary to further develop manufacturing processes, arrange for contract manufacturing or build manufacturing facilities and obtain the necessary regulatory approvals for those facilities;

the time and cost necessary to develop sales, marketing and distribution capabilities;

the cost necessary to sell, market and distribute our products, if any are approved;

changes in applicable governmental regulatory policies; and

any new collaborative, licensing and other commercial relationships that we may establish.

We may not get funding when we need it or funding may only be available on unfavorable terms. If we cannot raise adequate funds to satisfy our capital requirements, we may have to delay, scale-back or eliminate our research and development activities or future operations. We might have to license our technology to others. This could result in sharing revenues that we might otherwise retain for ourselves. Any of these actions would harm our business.

We are significantly leveraged.

On December 31, 2005, we had outstanding \$150 million principal amount of 1.375% convertible senior notes. These notes remain outstanding, and the degree to which we are leveraged could, among other things:

make it difficult for us to make payments on our notes;

make it difficult for us to obtain financing for working capital acquisitions or other purposes on favorable terms, if at all;

make us more vulnerable to industry downturns and competitive pressures; and

limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to changes in, our business.

Our ability to meet our debt service obligations will depend upon our future performance, which will be subject to financial, business and other factors affecting our operations, many of which are beyond our control.

If our collaboration with P&G is terminated or P&G reduces its commitment to our collaboration, our ability to develop and commercialize pexelizumab in the time expected, or at all, and our business would be harmed.

We rely heavily on P&G to perform development, obtain commercial manufacturing, and provide sales and marketing for pexelizumab. While we cannot assure you that pexelizumab will ever be successfully developed and commercialized, if P&G does not perform its obligations in a timely manner, or at all, our ability to commercialize pexelizumab will be significantly adversely affected. We rely on P&G to provide funding and additional resources for the development and commercialization of pexelizumab. These include funds and resources for:

clinical development and clinical and commercial manufacturing;

obtaining regulatory approvals; and

sales, marketing and distribution efforts worldwide.

P&G has the right to terminate the collaboration or sublicense its collaboration rights at any time. Termination of our agreement with P&G would cause significant delays in the development of pexelizumab and could result in significant additional development costs to us if we were to continue developing pexelizumab. If we were to continue development of pexelizumab following termination by P&G, we would need to fund the development and commercialization of pexelizumab on our own or identify a new development partner. We would need to develop or acquire replacement expertise in many areas necessary for the development and potential commercialization of pexelizumab, or enter into agreements with other companies with respect to those matters. We do not have the resources to replace some of the functions provided or funded by P&G. Accordingly, we might have to stop the development of pexelizumab or shift resources from other product development programs until alternative resources were obtained. Sublicense by P&G also could cause significant delays in the development of pexelizumab and result in substantial additional development costs to us. We might also have to repeat testing already completed with P&G. In addition, sublicense would introduce a new collaboration partner which could create new and additional risks to the development of pexelizumab that cannot be identified at this time.

We cannot guarantee that P&G will devote the resources necessary to successfully develop and commercialize pexelizumab in a timely manner, if at all. Furthermore, P&G may devote the necessary resources, but we may still not successfully develop and commercialize pexelizumab.

If we are unable to engage and retain third-party collaborators, our research and development efforts may be delayed.

We depend upon third-party collaborators to assist us in the development of our product candidates. If any of our existing collaborators breaches or terminates its agreement with us or does not perform its development

work under an agreement in a timely manner, or at all, we would experience significant delays in the development or commercialization of our product candidates. We would also experience significant delays if we could not engage additional collaborators when required. In either event, we would be required to devote additional funds or other resources to these activities or to terminate them. This would divert funds or other resources from other parts of our business.

We cannot assure you that:

our current collaboration arrangement will continue in its current form;

we will be able to negotiate acceptable collaborative agreements to develop or commercialize our product candidates;

any arrangements with third parties will be successful; or

current or potential collaborators will not pursue treatments for other diseases or seek other ways of developing treatments for our disease targets.

If the trading price of our common stock continues to fluctuate in a wide range, our stockholders will suffer considerable uncertainty with respect to an investment in our common stock.

The trading price of our common stock has been volatile and may continue to be volatile in the future. Factors such as announcements of fluctuations in our or our competitors' operating results or clinical or scientific results, fluctuations in the trading prices or business prospects of our competitors and collaborators, including, but not limited to P&G, changes in our prospects, and market conditions for biotechnology stocks in general could have a significant impact on the future trading prices of our common stock and our convertible senior notes. In particular, the trading price of the common stock of many biotechnology companies, including ours, has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations, which have at times been unrelated to the operating performance of the companies whose stocks were affected. This is due to several factors, including general market conditions, the announcement of the results of our clinical trials or product development and the results of our attempts to obtain FDA approval for our products. In particular, since August 1, 1999, the sales price of our common stock has ranged from a low of \$9.05 per share to a high of \$119.88 per share. While we cannot predict our future performance, if our stock price continues to fluctuate in a wide range, an investment in our common stock may result in considerable uncertainty for an investor.

If we cannot protect the confidentiality and proprietary nature of our trade secrets, our business and competitive position will be harmed.

Our business requires using sensitive technology, techniques and proprietary compounds that we protect as trade secrets. However, since we are a small company, we also rely heavily on collaboration with suppliers, outside scientists and other drug companies. Collaboration presents a strong risk of exposing our trade secrets. If our trade secrets were exposed, it would help our competitors and adversely affect our business prospects.

In order to protect our drugs and technology more effectively, we need to obtain and maintain patents covering the drugs and technologies we develop. We may obtain patents through ownership or license. Our drugs are expensive and time-consuming to test and develop. Without patent protection, competitors may copy our methods, or the chemical structure or other aspects of our drugs. Even if we obtain and maintain patents, the patents may not be broad enough to protect our drugs from copycat products.

If we are found to be infringing on patents owned by others, we may be forced to pay damages to the patent owner and obtain a license to continue the manufacture, sale or development of our drugs and/or pay damages. If we cannot obtain a license, we may be prevented from the manufacture, sale or development of our drugs.

Parts of our technology, techniques and proprietary compounds and potential drug candidates, including those which are in-licensed, may be found to infringe patents owned by or granted to others. If we cannot resolve these conflicts, we may be liable for damages, be required to obtain costly licenses or be stopped from manufacturing, using or selling our products or conducting other activities. For example, we are aware of broad patents owned by others relating to the manufacture, use and sale of recombinant humanized antibodies, recombinant humanized single chain antibodies, recombinant human antibodies, and recombinant human single chain antibodies. Many of our product candidates, including our two leading product candidates, eculizumab and pexelizumab, are either genetically engineered antibodies, including recombinant humanized antibodies, recombinant humanized single chain antibodies, recombinant human antibodies, or recombinant human single chain antibodies.

We have received notices from the owners of some of these patents claiming that their patents may be infringed by the development, manufacture or sale of some of our drug candidates, including eculizumab and pexelizumab. We are also aware of other patents owned by third parties that might be claimed to be infringed by the development and commercialization of some of our drug candidates, including eculizumab and pexelizumab. In respect to some of these patents, we have obtained licenses, or expect to obtain licenses. However, with regard to other patents, we have either determined in our judgment that:

our products do not infringe the patents; or

we do not believe the patents are valid; or

we have identified and are testing various modifications that we believe should not infringe the patents and which should permit commercialization of our product candidates.

Any holder of these patents or other patents covering similar technology could sue us for damages and seek to prevent us from manufacturing, selling or developing our drugs. Legal disputes can be costly and time consuming to defend. If any patent holder successfully challenges our judgment that our products do not infringe their patents or that their patents are invalid, we could be required to pay costly damages or to obtain a license to sell or develop our drugs. A required license may be costly or may not be available on acceptable terms, if at all. A costly license, or inability to obtain a necessary license, could have a material adverse effect on our business.

There can be no assurance that we would prevail in a patent infringement action; will be able to obtain a license to any third party patent on commercially reasonable terms; successfully develop non-infringing alternatives on a timely basis; or license alternative non-infringing technology, if any exists, on commercially reasonable terms. Any impediment to our ability to manufacture or sell approved forms of our product candidates could have a material adverse effect on our business and prospects.

If the testing or use of our products harms people, or is perceived to harm patients even when such harm is unrelated to our products, our clinical trials may be adversely affected, our regulatory approval process could be delayed, negatively impacted or abandoned, and we could be subject to costly and damaging product liability claims.

The testing, manufacturing, marketing and sale of drugs for use in humans exposes us to product liability risks. Side effects and other problems from using our products could give rise to product liability claims against us. We might have to recall our products, if any, from the marketplace. Some of these risks are unknown at this time.

We may be sued by people who participate in our trials. A number of patients who participate in such trials are already very ill when they enter the trial. Any informed consents or waivers obtained from people who sign up for our trials may not protect us from liability or litigation. Our product liability insurance may not cover all potential liabilities or may not completely cover any covered liabilities. Moreover, we may not be able to maintain our insurance on acceptable terms. In addition, negative publicity relating to a product liability claim may make it more difficult, or impossible, for us to recruit patients for our clinical trials or to market and sell our products. As a result of these factors, a product liability claim, even if successfully defended, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our clinical trials are often conducted with patients who have severe and advanced stages of disease when they enter our trials. Patients involved in clinical trials such as ours often have known as well as unknown significant pre-existing health risks. During the course of a trial patients may suffer adverse events, including death, for reasons that may or may not be related to our products. Such events can subject us to costly litigation, and may delay, negatively impact, or end our opportunity to receive regulatory approval to market our products. Even where we do not believe that an adverse event was related to our product, the investigation into the circumstance may be time consuming or may be inconclusive. These investigations may delay our regulatory approval process, impact and limit the type of regulatory approvals our products receive, or end our opportunity to receive regulatory approval. We are aware that one patient in a PNH trial died after ending his study-specified treatments. The patient had health risks prior to entering the trial that were significant, frequently recurrent and potentially life-threatening; and his physician determined it was unlikely that cessation of our product caused the event that caused the patient's death, although it could not be ruled out. Use of C5 Inhibitors, such as pexelizumab and eculizumab, is associated with an increased risk for infection with *Neisseria* bacteria. One patient in our trials of eculizumab for the treatment of membranous nephritis became infected with *Neisseria* bacteria. Serious cases of *Neisseria* infection can result in brain damage, loss of limbs or parts of limbs, kidney failure, or death.

We are subject to environmental laws and potential exposure to environmental liabilities.

We are subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations that govern our operations, including the handling and disposal of non-hazardous and hazardous wastes, including medical and biological wastes, and emissions and discharges into the environment, including air, soils and water sources. Failure to comply with such laws and regulations could result in costs for corrective action, penalties or the imposition of other liabilities. We also are subject to laws and regulations that impose liability and clean-up responsibility for releases of hazardous substances into the environment. Under certain of these laws and regulations, a current or previous owner or operator of property may be liable for the costs of remediating its property or locations to which wastes were sent from its facilities, without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or necessarily caused, the contamination. Such obligations and liabilities, which to date have not been material, could have a material impact on our business and financial condition.

Inability to contract with third-party manufacturers on commercially reasonable terms, or failure or delay by us or our third-party manufacturers, if any, in manufacturing our drug products for testing, and later for potential sale in the market in the volumes and quality required, would have a material adverse effect on our business.

For our drug trials, we need to produce sufficient amounts of product for testing. Our small manufacturing plant cannot manufacture enough of our product candidates for later stage clinical development or commercial supply. In addition, we do not have the capacity to produce more than one product candidate at a time. We depend on a few outside suppliers for manufacturing. If we experience interruptions in the manufacture of our

products, our drug development and commercialization efforts will be delayed. If any of our outside manufacturers stops manufacturing our products or reduces the amount manufactured, or is otherwise unable to manufacture our required amounts at our required quality, we will need to find other alternatives, which is likely to be expensive and time consuming. If we are unable to find an acceptable outside manufacturer on reasonable terms, we will have to divert our own resources to manufacturing, which may not be sufficient to produce the necessary quantity or quality of product. As a result, our ability to conduct testing and drug trials and our plans for commercialization would be materially adversely affected. Submission of products and new development programs for regulatory approval, as well as our plans for commercialization, would be delayed. Our competitive position and our prospects for achieving profitability would be materially and adversely affected.

We have no experience or capacity for manufacturing drug products in volumes that would be necessary to support commercial sales and we can provide no assurance that we will be able to do so successfully. If either eculizumab or pexelizumab is approved for sale, we expect we would be required to manufacture substantially more than we have been required to manufacture for clinical and preclinical trials. We may experience higher manufacturing failure rates than we have in the past if and when we attempt to substantially increase production volume.

Manufacture of drug products, including the need to develop and utilize manufacturing processes that consistently produce our drug products to their required quality specifications, is highly regulated by the FDA and other domestic and foreign authorities. Regulatory authorities must approve the facilities in which our products are manufactured prior to granting market approval for any product candidate. Manufacturing facilities are also subject to ongoing inspections and minor changes in manufacturing processes may require additional regulatory approvals. We cannot assure you that we or our third-party collaborators will successfully comply with all of those requirements and regulations, which failure would have a materially adverse effect on our business.

Manufacture of our drug products is highly technical and only a few third-parties have the ability and capacity to manufacture our drug products for our development and commercialization needs. We can not assure you that these potential third-party collaborators will agree to manufacture our products on our behalf on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. If we do achieve agreement from one or more third parties to manufacture our drug products, we can not assure you that they will be able or willing to honor the terms of the agreements, including any obligations to manufacture the drug products in accordance with regulatory requirements and to our quality specifications and volume requirements. Due to the highly technical requirements of manufacturing our drug products, our third-party collaborators and we may be unable to manufacture our drug products despite their and our efforts.

Currently, we are relying on P&G to retain appropriate commercial-scale manufacturing for pexelizumab through one or more third-party manufacturers. P&G has contracted with Chiron Corporation, or Chiron, for the commercial-scale manufacture of pexelizumab. The failure of P&G to obtain and maintain appropriate commercial-scale manufacturing for pexelizumab in accordance with all regulatory requirements on a timely basis, or at all, may prevent or impede the commercialization of pexelizumab. We have executed a commercial-scale product supply agreement with Lonza Biologics, plc, or Lonza, for the long-term manufacture of eculizumab. The failure of Lonza to manufacture appropriate supplies of eculizumab on a timely basis, or at all, may prevent or impede the commercialization of Soliris (eculizumab). Prior to granting an approval for marketing of pexelizumab or eculizumab, Chiron's facilities with respect to manufacturing of pexelizumab and Lonza's facilities with respect manufacturing of eculizumab will be subject to inspection by the FDA in the

United States and by regulatory agencies from foreign countries. Due to the nature of the current market for third-party commercial manufacturing arrangements, many arrangements require substantial penalty payments by the customer for failure to use the manufacturing capacity contracted for. We could owe substantial penalty payments to Lonza if we were not to use the manufacturing capacity we contracted for, and we could be required to share with P&G, on up to a 50-50 basis, substantial penalty payments owed by P&G for its failure to utilize the manufacturing capacity it contracted for with third-party manufacturers for the supply of pexelizumab. The payment of a substantial penalty would harm our financial condition.

If we are unable to establish sales, marketing and distribution capabilities, or to enter into agreements with third parties to do so, we will be unable to successfully market and sell future drug products.

We have no sales or distribution personnel or capabilities. We have only recently established core pre-commercial marketing capabilities. If we are unable to continue developing those capabilities, either by developing our own capabilities or entering into agreements with others, we will not be able to successfully sell our future drug products. In that event, we will not be able to generate significant revenues. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to hire the qualified sales and marketing personnel we need. We may not be able to enter into any marketing or distribution agreements with third-party providers on acceptable terms, if at all. Currently, we are relying on P&G for sales, marketing and distribution of pexelizumab. P&G, or any future third-party collaborators, may not succeed at selling, marketing, or distributing any of our future drug products.

If we are unable to obtain reimbursement for our future products from government health administration authorities, private health insurers and other organizations, our products may be too costly for regular use and our ability to generate revenues would be harmed.

Our products, if commercialized, may be significantly more expensive than traditional drug treatments. Our future revenues and profitability will be adversely affected if we cannot depend on governmental, private third-party payers and other third-party payers, including Medicare and Medicaid, to defray the cost of our products to the consumer. If these entities refuse to provide coverage and reimbursement with respect to our products or determine to provide an insufficient level of coverage and reimbursement, our products may be too costly for general use, and physicians may not prescribe them. Many third-party payers cover only selected drugs, making drugs that are not preferred by such payer more expensive for patients, and require prior authorization or failure on another type of treatment before covering a particular drug. Payers may especially impose these obstacles to coverage for higher-priced drugs, as our product candidates are likely to be.

In addition to potential restrictions on coverage, the amount of reimbursement for our products may also reduce our profitability and worsen our financial condition. In the United States, there have been and we expect will continue to be actions and proposals to control and reduce healthcare costs. Government and other third-party payers are challenging the prices charged for healthcare products and increasingly limiting and attempting to limit both coverage and level of reimbursement for prescription drugs.

Since our products will likely be too expensive for most patients to afford without health insurance coverage, if our products are unable to obtain adequate coverage and reimbursement by third-party payers our ability to successfully commercialize our product candidates may be adversely impacted. Any limitation on the use of our products or any decrease in the price of our products will have a material adverse effect on our ability to achieve profitability.

In certain foreign countries, pricing, coverage and level of reimbursement of prescription drugs are subject to governmental control and we may be unable to negotiate coverage, pricing, and reimbursement on terms that are favorable to us. In some foreign countries, the proposed pricing for a drug must be approved before it may be lawfully marketed. The requirements governing drug pricing vary widely from country to country. For example, the European Union provides options for its member states to restrict the range of medicinal products for which their national health insurance systems provide reimbursement and to control the prices of medicinal products for human use. A member state may approve a specific price for the medicinal product or it may instead adopt a system of direct or indirect controls on the profitability of the company placing the medicinal product on the market. Our results of operation may suffer if we are unable to market our products in foreign countries or if coverage and reimbursement for our products in foreign countries is limited.

If our competitors get to the marketplace before we do with better or cheaper drugs, our drugs may not be profitable to sell or to continue to develop.

Each of Abbott Laboratories Inc., Adprotech Ltd., Avant Immunotherapeutics, Inc., Baxter International, Inc., Millennium Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Neurogen Corporation, Tanox, Inc., XOMA, Ltd., and Archemix Corporation have publicly announced their intentions to develop drugs which target the inflammatory effects of complement in the immune system. We are also aware that GlaxoSmithKline, plc, Merck & Co., Inc., and Pfizer, Inc. have had programs develop complement inhibitor therapies. Each of Cambridge Antibody Technology Group, plc, MorphoSys AG and Dyax Corporation has publicly announced intentions to develop therapeutic human antibodies from libraries of human antibody genes. Additionally, each of Abgenix, Inc. and Medarex, Inc. has publicly announced intentions to develop therapeutic human antibodies from mice that have been bred to include some human antibody genes. These and other pharmaceutical companies, many of which have significantly greater resources than we, may develop, manufacture, and market better or cheaper drugs than our product candidates. They may establish themselves in the marketplace before we are able even to finish our clinical trials. Other pharmaceutical companies also compete with us to attract academic research institutions as drug development partners, including for licensing these institutions' proprietary technology. If our competitors successfully enter into such arrangements with academic institutions, we will be precluded from pursuing those unique opportunities and may not be able to find equivalent opportunities elsewhere.

If we fail to recruit and retain personnel, our research and product development programs may be delayed.

We are highly dependent upon the efforts of our senior management and scientific personnel, particularly Dr. Leonard Bell, M.D., our Chief Executive Officer and a member of our Board of Directors, David W. Keiser, our President, Chief Operating Officer and a member of our Board of Directors, and Stephen P. Squinto, Ph.D., our Executive Vice President and Head of Research. There is intense competition in the biotechnology industry for qualified scientific and technical personnel. Since our business is very science-oriented and specialized, we need to continue to attract and retain such people. We may not be able to continue to attract and retain the qualified personnel necessary for developing our business. We have employment agreements with Dr. Bell, Mr. Keiser, and Dr. Squinto. None of our key personnel is nearing retirement age or to our knowledge, planning to retire. To our knowledge, there is no tension between any of our key personnel and the Board of Directors. If we lose the services of our management and scientific personnel and fail to recruit other scientific and technical personnel, our research and product development programs will be materially and adversely affected.

In particular, we highly value the services of Dr. Bell, our Chief Executive Officer. The loss of his services could materially and adversely affect our ability to achieve our development objectives.

Our ability to use net operating loss carry forwards to reduce future tax payments may be limited if there is a change in ownership of Alexion.

As of December 31, 2005, we had approximately \$493 million of net operating loss carry forwards, or NOLs, available to reduce taxable income in future years. We believe that some of these NOLs are currently subject to an annual limitation under section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

Our ability to utilize our NOLs may be further limited if we undergo an ownership change, as defined in section 382, as a result of subsequent changes in the ownership of our outstanding stock. We would undergo an ownership change if, among other things, the stockholders, or group of stockholders, who own or have owned, directly or indirectly, 5% or more of the value of our stock, or are otherwise treated as 5% stockholders under section 382 and the regulations promulgated there under, increase their aggregate percentage ownership of our stock by more than 50 percentage points over the lowest percentage of our stock owned by these stockholders at any time during the testing period, which is generally the three-year period preceding the potential ownership change. In the event of an ownership change, section 382 imposes an annual limitation on the amount of post-ownership change taxable income a corporation may offset with pre-ownership change NOLs. The limitation imposed by section 382 for any post-change year would be determined by multiplying the value of our stock immediately before the ownership change (subject to certain adjustments) by the applicable long-term tax-exempt rate. Any unused limitation may be carried over to later years, and the limitation may under certain circumstances be increased by built-in gains which may be present with respect to assets held by us at the time of the ownership change that are recognized in the five-year period after the ownership change. Our use of NOLs arising after the date of an ownership change would not be affected.

Based upon our review of the aggregate change in percentage ownership during the current testing period, we do not believe that we experienced a change in ownership within the meaning of section 382 as a result of the offering of our common stock in August 2005. However, such a determination is complex and there can be no assurance that the Internal Revenue Service could not successfully challenge our conclusion. Even if the offering of our common stock did not cause an ownership change to occur immediately, the issuance, directly or indirectly, of a relatively large number of shares in that offering may mean that we may not be able to engage in transactions involving the issuance or deemed issuance of stock within the subsequent three-year period without triggering an ownership change within the meaning of section 382. In addition, there are circumstances beyond our control, such as market purchases of our stock by investors who are existing 5% shareholders, or become 5% shareholders as a result of such purchases, which could result in an ownership change with respect to our stock. Thus, there can be no assurance that our future actions, or future actions by our stockholders, will not result in the occurrence of an ownership change, which may limit our use of the NOLs and negatively affect future cash flows.

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

[None.]

Item 2. PROPERTIES.

Facilities

We lease our headquarters and research and development facilities in Cheshire, Connecticut. The lease has an initial term of ten years and six months, expiring in December 2010. At this site, we lease a total of approximately 89,000 square feet of space. Our pilot manufacturing plant, which may be used for producing

compounds for some of our current and anticipated clinical trials, is expected to remain in New Haven, Connecticut encompassing approximately 33,000 square feet of labs and offices. The lease for our facility in New Haven has an initial term of approximately 5 years, expiring in October 2007 with three renewal options to extend for periods of one year each. We believe our research and development facilities and our pilot manufacturing facility, together with third party manufacturing facilities, will be adequate for our on-going current clinical activities. Alexion Antibody Technologies, Inc. leases approximately 25,000 square feet of labs, office and unimproved storage space in San Diego, California. The lease has an initial term of ten years, expiring in August 2012. Alexion Europe SAS rents office space in Paris, France. The agreement has a term of six months with automatic renewal features built in until the agreement is terminated by either party.

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

We are not a party to any material legal proceeding.

Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

At our 2005 Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on December 9, 2005 for the old fiscal year ended July 31, 2005, the stockholders voted to elect the following directors by the votes indicated:

	For	Against or Withheld	Abstaining
Leonard Bell, M.D.	26,174,498	77,265	
David W. Keiser	26,077,594	174,169	
Max Link, Ph.D.	22,939,221	3,312,542	
Joseph A. Madri, Ph. D., M.D.	25,230,663	1,021,100	
Larry L. Mathis	26,179,684	72,079	
R. Douglas Norby	26,164,582	87,181	
Alvin S. Parven	25,482,722	769,041	
Ruedi E. Waeger, Ph.D.	26,180,584	71,179	

Additionally, the stockholders voted to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm. The votes were:

Ratification of appointment of independent registered public accounting firm: 26,246,156 for, 4,676 against, 931 abstain.

In connection with a change in our fiscal year end from July 31 to December 31, the 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be held on June 7, 2006.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND KEY EMPLOYEES OF THE COMPANY

The executive officers and key employees of the Company and their respective ages and positions with the Company as of March 1, 2006 are as follows:

Name	Age	Position with Alexion
* Leonard Bell, M.D.	47	Chief Executive Officer, Secretary, Treasurer, Director
* David W. Keiser	54	President and Chief Operating Officer
* Stephen P. Squinto, Ph.D.	49	Executive Vice President and Head of Research
Katherine S. Bowdish, Ph.D.	48	Senior Vice President, Antibody Discovery, and President, Alexion Antibody Technologies
* Patrice Coissac	57	Senior Vice President General Manager and President of Alexion Europe SAS
* Thomas I.H. Dubin, J.D.	43	Senior Vice President and General Counsel
* Christopher F. Mojcik, M.D., Ph.D.	46	Senior Vice President, Clinical Development
Nancy C. Motola, Ph.D.	53	Senior Vice President, Regulatory Affairs and Quality
Scott A. Rollins, Ph.D.	42	Senior Vice President, Drug Development and Project Management
Russell P. Rother, Ph. D.	45	Senior Vice President, Research
* Vikas Sinha, M.B.A., C.A.	42	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Paul W. Finnegan M.D, M.B.A.	45	Vice President, Commercial Operations and Development
Barry P. Luke, M.B.A.	47	Vice President, Finance, Assistant Secretary
Daniel N. Caron	42	Executive Director, Operations and Engineering
M. Stacy Hooks, Ph.D.	38	Executive Director, Manufacturing and Technical Services

* These employees are officers for purposes of Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Leonard Bell, M.D. is the principal founder of Alexion, and has been a director of Alexion since February 1992 and the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, Secretary and Treasurer from January 1992. In April 2002, the title of President was transferred to David Keiser. From 1991 to 1992, Dr. Bell was an Assistant Professor of Medicine and Pathology and co-Director of the program in Vascular Biology at the Yale University School of Medicine. From 1990 to 1992, Dr. Bell was an attending physician at the Yale-New Haven Hospital and an Assistant Professor in the Department of Internal Medicine at the Yale University School of Medicine. Dr. Bell was a recipient of the Physician Scientist Award from the National Institutes of Health and Grant-in-Aid from the American Heart Association as well as various honors and awards from academic and professional organizations. His work has resulted in more than 20 scientific publications and three patent applications. Dr. Bell was also a director of The Medicines Company from May 2000 until April 2005. He also served as a director of the Biotechnology Research and Development Corporation from 1993 to 1997. Dr. Bell received his

A.B. from Brown University and M.D. from Yale University School of Medicine. Dr. Bell is currently an Adjunct Assistant Professor of Medicine and Pathology at Yale University School of Medicine.

David W. Keiser became President in addition to Chief Operating Officer, and joined the board as a director in April 2002. From July 1992 to April 2002, Mr. Keiser was Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Alexion. From 1990 to 1992, Mr. Keiser was Senior Director of Asia Pacific Operations for G.D. Searle & Company Limited, a manufacturer of pharmaceutical products. From 1986 to 1990, Mr. Keiser was successively Licensing Manager, Director of Product Licensing and Senior Director of Product Licensing for Searle. From 1984 to 1985, Mr. Keiser was New Business Opportunities Manager for Mundipharma AG, a manufacturer of pharmaceutical products, in Basel, Switzerland where he headed pharmaceutical licensing and business development activities in Europe and the Far East. From 1978 to 1983, he was Area Manager for F. Hoffmann La Roche Ltd., a manufacturer of pharmaceutical products, in Basel, Switzerland. Mr. Keiser received his B.A. from Gettysburg College.

Stephen P. Squinto, Ph.D. is a founder of Alexion and has been Executive Vice President and Head of Research since August 2000. He held the positions of Senior Vice President and Chief Technical Officer from March 1998 to July 2000, Vice President of Research, Molecular Sciences, from August 1994 to March 1998, Senior Director of Molecular Sciences from July 1993 to July 1994, and Director of Molecular Development from 1992 to July 1993. From 1989 to 1992, Dr. Squinto held various positions at Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. most recently serving as Senior Scientist and Assistant Head of the Discovery Group. From 1986 to 1989, Dr. Squinto was an Assistant Professor of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology at Louisiana State University Medical Center. Dr. Squinto's work has led to over 70 scientific papers in the fields of gene regulation, growth factor biology and gene transfer. Dr. Squinto's work is primarily in the fields of regulation of eukaryotic gene expression, mammalian gene expression systems and growth receptor and signal transduction biology. Dr. Squinto served as a Director of the Biotechnology Research and Development Corporation, a biotechnology consortium, from 1997 to 2003. Dr. Squinto received his B.A. in Chemistry and Ph.D. in Biochemistry and Biophysics from Loyola University of Chicago.

Katherine S. Bowdish, Ph.D. has been Senior Vice President, Antibody Discovery since August 2001 and was Vice President of Antibody Discovery from September 2000 upon joining the Company. Dr. Bowdish has also been President of Alexion Antibody Technologies, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, since September 2000. From May 1997 to January 1999, Dr. Bowdish was a co-founder and Chief Scientific Officer and Executive Vice President of Prolifaron, Inc. and the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Scientific Officer of Prolifaron from January 1999 to September 2000. Prolifaron, a San Diego, California based antibody engineering company was merged into Alexion Antibody Technologies, Inc. in September 2000. Dr. Bowdish previously held positions at The Scripps Research Institute, Monsanto, and Rockefeller University and was a Postdoctoral Research Fellow in the Department of Biological Chemistry at UCLA. Dr. Bowdish is an internationally recognized expert in the field of antibody engineering and has 19 years of experience in biotechnology research. Dr. Bowdish received her B.S. degree in biology from the College of William and Mary, M.A. degree in cell biology from Columbia University, and Ph.D. degree in genetics from Columbia University.

Patrice Coissac, joined Alexion as Senior Vice President and General Manager and President of Alexion Europe SAS in November 2005. Mr. Coissac has a broad international background in the pharmaceutical industry. Most recently, since mid 2003, he headed BioPharmaConsult, an international pharmaceutical consulting firm. Previously he was President of Pharmacia SAS in France, a position he held from 1999 to April, 2003 when Pharmacia was acquired by Pfizer. While at Pharmacia, Mr. Coissac was responsible for the

integration of Monsanto (Searle) with Pharmacia & Upjohn in France. During his tenure, sales grew almost three fold to 615 million in 2002. Prior to joining Pharmacia, Mr. Coissac held several managerial positions at leading pharmaceutical companies including Head of Operations for Novartis, Belgium; and President of Boehringer Mannheim Therapeutics in France. He also served as Senior Vice President, Marketing for global pharmaceutical operations at Corange International and held several global marketing positions at Sandoz world headquarters in Switzerland and in Tokyo where he was posted during several years.

Thomas I.H. Dubin, J.D. has been Senior Vice President and General Counsel since August 2005. He was Vice President and General Counsel from January 2001 to July 2005. From February 1999 to September 2000 he served as Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary for ChiRex Inc., a NASDAQ-traded international corporation providing advanced process development services and specialty manufacturing to the pharmaceutical industry, which in September 2000 was acquired by and merged into Rhodia. From 1992 to 1999, Mr. Dubin held various positions with Warner-Lambert Company, including Assistant General Counsel, Pharmaceuticals. Prior to his tenure with Warner-Lambert, Mr. Dubin was a corporate attorney for five years with Cravath, Swaine & Moore in New York. Mr. Dubin received his J.D. from New York University and his B.A., cum laude, from Amherst College.

Christopher F. Mojcik, M.D., Ph.D. has been Senior Vice President, Clinical Development since February 2004. Dr. Mojcik was Vice President, Clinical Development from August 2000 to January 2004. From the time he joined Alexion in July 1998, until July 2000, Dr. Mojcik was Senior Director of Clinical Development. From 1996 until July 1998, he was an Associate Director in the Metabolics/Rheumatics Department at Bayer Corporation's Pharmaceuticals Division. Dr. Mojcik was responsible for Phase II and III development of certain arthritis programs and certain Phase IV programs in cardiopulmonary bypass. From 1993 to 1996, he was a Senior Staff Fellow in the Cellular Immunology Section of the Laboratory of Immunology in the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases at the National Institutes of Health. From 1991 to 1993, he completed his Fellowship in Rheumatology in the National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases at the NIH. He received his B.A. from Washington University in St. Louis, Missouri, and his M.D. and Ph.D. from the University of Connecticut.

Nancy C. Motola, Ph.D., RAC has been the Senior Vice President, Regulatory Affairs and Quality since February 2004. Dr. Motola was Vice President, Regulatory and Quality from 1998 to January 2004. From 1991 to 1998, she served as Assistant, Associate and then Deputy Director, Regulatory Affairs for the Bayer Corporation Pharmaceuticals Division where she was responsible for regulatory aspects of product development and U.S. life-cycle management programs for cardiovascular, neuroscience, metabolic and oncology drugs. These programs included drugs targeting arthritis, cardiac disorders, stroke and cognitive dysfunction. Prior to Bayer, Dr. Motola held regulatory affairs positions of increasing responsibility at Abbott Laboratories from 1989 to 1991 and at E.R. Squibb and Sons, Inc. from 1987 to 1989. From 1983 to 1987 she was Research Investigator, Chemical Process Technologies at Squibb. Dr. Motola has been responsible for the filing of numerous Investigational New Drug Applications (INDs) and has filed New and Supplemental Drug Applications for marketing approval, resulting in marketed drugs. She also served as Chairperson of the Regulatory Sciences Section of the American Association of Pharmaceutical Scientists (AAPS). Dr. Motola is Regulatory Affairs (RAC) certified and received her B.A. in Chemistry from Central Connecticut State University and M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in medicinal chemistry from the University of Rhode Island, College of Pharmacy.

Scott A. Rollins, Ph.D. is a co-founder of Alexion and has been Senior Vice President, Drug Development and Project Management since September 2002. From August 2000 to September 2002, Dr. Rollins was Vice President, Drug Development and Project Management. Dr. Rollins was Senior Director of Project Management

and Drug Development from August 1999 to July 2000, Senior Director of Complement Biology from 1997 to 1999, Director of Complement Biology from 1996 to 1997, Principal Scientist from 1994 to 1996, and Staff Scientist from 1992 to 1994. Since 1992, Dr. Rollins has been responsible for the pre-clinical development of our anti-inflammatory compound pexelizumab. Since 1999, Dr. Rollins has been additionally responsible for the project management functions of pexelizumab, currently under joint development with Procter & Gamble Pharmaceuticals. Prior to 1992, Dr. Rollins was a postdoctoral research fellow in the Department of Immunobiology at Yale University School of Medicine. Dr. Rollins' work has led to over 50 scientific papers and patents in the fields of complement biology. He received his B.S. in Cytotechnology and Ph.D. in Microbiology and Immunology from the University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center.

Russell P. Rother, Ph.D. has been Senior Vice President, Research since August 2005, Vice President, Discovery Research from 2001 to 2005, Senior Director of Discovery Research from 1999 to 2001, Director of Gene Technologies from 1996 to 1999, Senior Staff Scientist from 1994 to 1996 and Staff Scientist from 1992 to 1994. As one of the original scientists at Alexion, Dr. Rother has played a critical role in the engineering and development of Alexion's current antibody therapeutics and continues to lead discovery efforts in the identification of new indications and targets. Prior to 1992, Dr. Rother was a Postdoctoral Research Fellow in the Department of Immunobiology at Yale University School of Medicine. Dr. Rother's work has led to over 40 scientific papers and patents in the fields of hematology, complement biology, autoimmunity, and gene therapy. Dr. Rother received a B.S. in Biology from Southwestern Oklahoma State University and a Ph.D. in Microbiology and Immunology from the University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center in conjunction with the Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation.

Vikas Sinha, M.B.A., C.A. joined Alexion as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in September 2005. From June, 1994 to August 2005, Mr. Sinha held various positions with Bayer AG in the United States, Japan, Germany, and Canada, most recently serving since February 2001 as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Bayer Pharmaceuticals Corporation, USA. Mr. Sinha has been responsible for financial and business risk management, strategic planning, contracting, customer services, information systems, and supply chain and site administration in North America. Mr. Sinha was also a member of the Pharmaceutical Management Committee for North America. Prior to his appointment in the United States, Mr. Sinha was Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Bayer Yakuhin Ltd., in Japan and Manager, Mergers and Acquisitions with Bayer AG in Germany. He began his career at Bayer in Toronto as part of an executive development program in the healthcare division. Prior to Bayer, Mr. Sinha held several positions of increasing responsibilities with ANZ Bank and Citibank in South Asia. Mr. Sinha holds a Masters of Business Administration from the Asian Institute of Management which included an exchange program with the University of Western Ontario (Richard Ivey School of Business). He is also a qualified Chartered Accountant from the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India.

Paul W. Finnegan, M.D., M.B.A. has been Vice President, Commercial Operations and Development since February 2002, responsible for marketing, sales, business development, external relations, pharmaco-economics, strategic planning and corporate development. He joined Alexion in April 2001 as Executive Director of Commercial Operations. From 1999 to 2000, Dr. Finnegan was Senior Director, Global Medical Marketing at Pharmacia Corporation, formerly Searle. He joined Searle, a Monsanto company, as Director, Global Medical Marketing in 1998. At Searle, he was responsible for various pre-launch and launch initiatives in Japan, Asia-Pacific, Latin America and Canada for all therapeutic areas as well as contributing to the scale up of international operations and partnership management. From 1993 to 1997, Dr. Finnegan was Director and Partner of Toronto East General & Orthopedic Radiology Associates, LLC. Dr. Finnegan earned his M.B.A. with Honors, in Finance and Strategy, from the University of Chicago, Graduate School of Business. He also holds the degree of M.D., C.M. from McGill University in Montreal and is a Fellow of the Royal College of Physicians, Canada.

Barry P. Luke, M.B.A. has been Vice President, Finance and Administration since September 1998 and Senior Director of Finance and Administration of Alexion from August 1995 to September 1998. Prior thereto he was Director of Finance and Accounting of the Company from May 1993 to August 1995. From 1989 to 1993, Mr. Luke was Chief Financial Officer, Secretary and Vice President-Finance and Administration at Comtex Scientific Corporation, a publicly held distributor of electronic news and business information. From 1985 to 1989, he was Controller and Treasurer of Softstrip, Inc., a manufacturer of computer peripherals and software. From 1980 to 1985, Mr. Luke was employed by General Electric Company where he held positions at GE's Corporate Audit Staff after completing GE's Financial Management Program. Mr. Luke is a director of Gaylord Hospital in Wallingford, CT. Mr. Luke received a B.A. in Economics from Yale University and an M.B.A. in management and marketing from the University of Connecticut.

Daniel N. Caron has been Executive Director, Operations and Engineering since August 2004. After joining the Company in 1992, Mr. Caron was Operations Manager from 1992 to 1993, Senior Operations Manager from 1993 to 1996, Director of Operations from 1996 to 1998, and Senior Director, Operations and Engineering from 1998 to 2004. Mr. Caron has been responsible for managing the engineering, build-out, validation and operations of all of the Company's research, manufacturing, and administrative facilities. Prior to 1992, Mr. Caron was a research scientist at Imclone Systems, Inc., a biopharmaceutical firm. Mr. Caron received his B.A. in Biology from Adelphi University and M.S. in Biomedical Engineering from Polytechnic University of New York.

M. Stacy Hooks, Ph.D. has been Executive Director, Manufacturing and Technical Services since August 2004 and Senior Director, Manufacturing and Technical Services from January 2004 to August 2004. After joining the Company in 2002, Dr. Hooks was Director of Quality Control from 2002 until 2004. Dr. Hooks has been responsible for managing the development, manufacturing, process validation, and testing of products. From 2001 to 2002, Dr. Hooks was a Director of Quality Assurance at Pharmacia, Inc. From 2000 to 2001, Dr. Hooks was the Director of Quality at QIAGEN, Inc., a multinational life sciences company. From 1996 to 2000 Dr. Hooks was employed at MedImmune, Inc., a biopharmaceutical firm, in increasing roles of responsibility, most recently as the Associate Director of Quality Control. Dr. Hooks received his B.S. in Chemistry from Murray State University and a Ph.D. in Chemistry from Emory University.

PART II

Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS, AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

Our common stock is quoted on The Nasdaq National Market under the symbol ALXN. The following table sets forth the range of high and low sales prices for our common stock on The Nasdaq National Market for the periods indicated since August 1, 2003.

	High	Low
Fiscal 2004		
First Quarter		
(August 1, 2003 to October 31, 2003)	\$ 21.64	\$ 12.03
Second Quarter		
(November 1, 2003 to January 31, 2004)	\$ 20.82	\$ 16.47
Third Quarter		
(February 1, 2004 to April 30, 2004)	\$ 26.14	\$ 18.11
Fourth Quarter		
(May 1, 2004 to July 31, 2004)	\$ 23.25	\$ 14.60
Fiscal 2005		
First Quarter		
(August 1, 2004 to October 31, 2004)	\$ 19.20	\$ 13.30
Second Quarter		
(November 1, 2004 to January 31, 2005)	\$ 26.03	\$ 17.27
Third Quarter		
(February 1, 2005 to April 30, 2005)	\$ 26.96	\$ 19.79
Fourth Quarter		
(May 1, 2005 to July 31, 2005)	\$ 26.93	\$ 20.28
December 31, 2005		
First Quarter		
(August 1, 2005 to October 31, 2005)	\$ 30.00	\$ 24.40

During the period from November 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005, the high and low sales prices of our stock were \$29.91 and \$18.37, respectively.

As of February 28, 2006, we had 163 stockholders of record of our common stock and an estimated 5,000 beneficial owners. The closing sale price of our common stock on February 28, 2006 was \$37.58 per share.

In August 2005, we sold 2.5 million shares of our common stock in a registered offering at a price to the public of \$26.75 per share resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$64.5 million, net of underwriting discount, fees and other expenses of approximately \$2.4 million related to the transaction. We intend to use the net proceeds from this offering for general corporate purposes.

In January 2005 we sold \$150 million principal amount of 1.375% Convertible Senior Notes due February 1, 2012, or the 1.375% Notes, in a private placement to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The interest rate on the notes is 1.375% per annum on the

principal amount from January 25, 2005, payable semi-annually in arrears in cash on February 1 and August 1 of each year, beginning August 1, 2005. The 1.375% Notes is convertible into our common stock at an initial conversion rate of 31.7914 shares of common stock (equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$31.46 per share) per \$1,000 principal amount of the 1.375% Notes, subject to adjustment, at any time prior to the close of business on the final maturity date of the notes. We do not have the right to redeem any of the 1.375% Notes prior to maturity.

If a holder elects to convert its 1.375% Notes upon the occurrence of a transaction or event such as a liquidation, tender offer, consolidation, merger, recapitalization, or otherwise, in connection with which 50% or more of our common stock is exchanged for consideration which is not at least 90% common stock that is listed on a U.S. national exchange or market (such as NASDAQ), the holder will be entitled to receive an additional number of shares of common stock on the conversion date. These additional shares are intended to compensate the holders for the loss of the time value of the conversion option, are set according to a table within the offering document, and are capped (in no event will the shares issuable upon conversion of a note exceed 42.9100 shares per \$1,000 principal amount). We incurred deferred financing costs related to this offering of approximately \$4.8 million, which are recorded in the condensed consolidated balance sheet and are being amortized as a component of interest expense over the seven year term of the notes.

In March 2000, we completed a \$120 million private placement of our 5.75% Convertible Subordinated Notes, or 5.75% Notes, due March 15, 2007. We incurred issuance costs related to this offering of approximately \$4.0 million, including discounts to J.P. Morgan & Co., U.S. Bancorp Piper Jaffray, Chase H&Q and Warburg Dillon Read LLC, the initial purchasers of the notes. The costs were being amortized into interest expense over the seven-year term of the notes.

The net proceeds of approximately \$145.2 million from the sale of the 1.375% Notes were used to redeem our entire outstanding \$120 million principal amount of 5.75% Notes and for general corporate purposes. On March 15, 2005, we redeemed all of the 5.75% Notes outstanding at the redemption price of 101.643% for each \$1,000 principal amount of 5.75% Notes. We paid a redemption premium related to these notes of approximately \$2.0 million. The remaining balance of deferred financing costs related to the 5.75% Notes was approximately \$1.2 million at the redemption date. The difference between the amount paid, including the redemption premium, and the carrying value of the notes, including the remaining deferred financing costs, was recognized as a \$3.2 million loss from early extinguishment of convertible notes.

Except as provided below, we did not make any repurchases of common stock during the five month period ended December 31, 2005:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Program	Maximum Number of Shares that may yet be Purchased Under the Program
August 1 to August 31	4,227	26.24		
September 1 to September 30	7,500	28.57		
October 1 to October 31	1,986	28.03		
Total	13,713	27.61		

The Company currently does not have a stock repurchase plan. All shares exchanged during the five month period ended December 31, 2005 were made through open-market transactions.

DIVIDEND POLICY

We have never paid cash dividends. We do not expect to declare or pay any dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. We intend to retain all earnings, if any, to invest in our operations. The payment of future dividends is within the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon our future earnings, if any, our capital requirements, financial condition and other relevant factors.

Item 6. *SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA.*

The following selected financial data is qualified by reference to, and should be read in conjunction with, the financial statements, including the notes thereto, and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included elsewhere in this Report. (amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005		2005	Year Ended July 31, 2004		2002
	2005	2004 (Unaudited)	2005	2004	2003	2002
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:						
Contract research revenues	\$ 664	\$ 245	\$ 1,064	\$ 4,609	\$ 877	\$ 6,536
Operating expenses:						
Research and development	48,238	31,914	91,388	59,840	71,042	60,005
General and administrative	12,763	6,160	18,951	14,459	10,869	7,993
Impairment of fixed assets				760	2,560	
Total operating expenses	61,001	38,074	110,339	75,059	84,471	67,998
Operating loss	(60,337)	(37,829)	(109,275)	(70,450)	(83,594)	(61,462)
Other income (expense)	1,931	2,407	(240)	(4,336)	(1,885)	4,220
State tax benefit	450	61	765	691	1,012	700
Net Loss	\$ (57,956)	\$ (35,361)	\$ (108,750)	\$ (74,095)	\$ (84,467)	\$ (56,542)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$ (1.90)	\$ (1.28)	\$ (3.90)	\$ (3.43)	\$ (4.64)	\$ (3.12)
Shares used in computing net loss per common share	30,523	27,685	27,852	21,622	18,209	18,146

	As of December 31, 2005		2005	As of July 31, 2004		2002
	2005	2004 (Unaudited)	2005	2004	2003	2002
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:						
Cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities	\$ 212,456	\$ 232,498	\$ 195,404	\$ 266,501	\$ 215,410	\$ 308,584
Total current assets	217,551	235,883	201,162	276,333	220,910	310,784
Total assets	262,711	281,221	248,122	319,575	267,227	354,069
Notes payable				3,920	3,920	3,920
Convertible subordinated notes	150,000	120,000	150,000	120,000	120,000	120,000
Total stockholders' equity	81,890	138,505	67,671	172,522	120,286	205,478

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

This report contains forward-looking statements which involve risks and uncertainties. Such statements are subject to certain factors which may cause our plans and results to differ significantly from plans and results discussed in forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in the section entitled item 1A Risk Factors .

Overview

We are engaged in the discovery and development of therapeutic products aimed at treating patients with a wide array of severe disease states including hematologic diseases, cancer, cardiovascular disease and autoimmune disorders. Since our incorporation in January 1992, we have devoted substantially all of our resources to drug discovery, research, and product and clinical development. Additionally, through our wholly owned subsidiary, Alexion Antibody Technologies, Inc., or AAT, we are engaged in the discovery and development of a portfolio of additional antibody therapeutics targeting severe unmet medical needs. In September 2005, we formed a wholly-owned subsidiary, Alexion Europe SAS, as an important step in our strategy to manage late stage development, regulatory and commercial operations throughout Europe.

Our lead clinical product candidate, Soliris (eculizumab), is currently undergoing evaluation in a Phase III clinical development program comprised of two Phase III clinical trials for the treatment of a rare blood disorder known as Paroxysmal Nocturnal Hemoglobinuria, or PNH. Under the Special Protocol Assessment, or SPA process, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, has agreed to the design of protocols for these two trials, known as TRIUMPH and SHEPHERD, which could, if successful, serve as the primary basis of review for approval of a licensing application for eculizumab in the PNH indication. TRIUMPH is a placebo-controlled efficacy trial and SHEPHERD is an open-label, non-placebo controlled safety trial with efficacy secondary endpoints. In January 2006, we reported positive results from TRIUMPH. All pre-specified, primary and secondary endpoints in the TRIUMPH trial were achieved with statistical significance. SHEPHERD is a twelve month study with a six month preplanned interim analysis. SHEPHERD completed enrollment in September, 2005. It is expected that data from TRIUMPH and SHEPHERD will serve as the primary basis of review for the approval of a Biologics License Application, or BLA, in the PNH indication, as well as the basis of review for a European Marketing Authorization Application, or MAA.

Our second clinical stage product candidate, pexelizumab, is currently under evaluation in two separate indications: (1) coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery patients undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) and (2) acute myocardial infarction (AMI) patients undergoing primary percutaneous angioplasty. In November 2005, we announced that our Phase III trial of pexelizumab in CABG surgery patients, known as PRIMO-CABG2, did not to achieve its primary endpoint. Results from the PRIMO-CABG2 trial of pexelizumab indicate that the trial is unlikely to be sufficient for filing for licensing approval of pexelizumab in the CABG indication. On February 3, 2006, we announced that our Phase III trial of pexelizumab in AMI patients, known as APEX-AMI, will be completed prior to enrolling the originally anticipated number of patients. That announcement stated that enrollment would be capped at approximately 5,000 patients, ending near the beginning of March. We since have been encouraged by leading academic researchers involved in the trial to allow enrollment to proceed beyond those numbers, primarily to allow the trial to have a greater chance of success in achieving its primary endpoint of mortality benefit. Along with our partner, Procter & Gamble Pharmaceuticals or P&G, we recently agreed to support continued enrollment in APEX-AMI for a limited period of time. We expect to update the anticipated timing of completion of APEX-AMI after further discussion with

P&G, and after new definitive determinations have been made. Although the APEX-AMI trial is the subject of an SPA, the number of patients actually enrolled may not be sufficient for the FDA to consider the trial compliant with the SPA agreement. In such event, if results of the APEX-AMI trial are successful, we may still seek approval to market pexelizumab in the AMI indication, but the FDA regulatory process may not be subject to any benefits of the SPA process. The pexelizumab trials are conducted in collaboration with Procter & Gamble Pharmaceuticals.

Currently, none of our drug product candidates is available for commercial sale. All of our potential products are in clinical or pre-clinical development and the status of each of our lead product candidates is set forth, by indication, in Item 1 of this Report under the heading Product Development Programs.

Successful completion of development of a product candidate is contingent on numerous risks, uncertainties and other factors which are described in detail in the section entitled Risk Factors . These factors include:

completion of pre-clinical and clinical trials of the product candidate with scientific results that support further development and/or regulatory approval

receipt of necessary regulatory approvals

obtaining adequate supplies of product candidates on commercially reasonable terms

obtaining capital necessary to fund our operations, including our research and development efforts, manufacturing requirements and clinical trials

performance of third-party collaborators, particularly Procter & Gamble Pharmaceuticals, on whom we rely heavily for the co-development and commercialization of one of our lead product candidates

performance of third-party manufacturers, particularly Lonza Biologics, on whom we rely heavily for the manufacture of one of our lead product candidates

obtaining manufacturing, sales and marketing capabilities for which we presently have limited resources.

As a result of the amount and nature of these factors, many of which are outside of our control, the success, timing of completion, and ultimate cost, of development of any of our product candidates is highly uncertain and cannot be estimated with any degree of certainty. The timing and cost to complete drug trials alone may be impacted by, among other things,

slow patient enrollment;

long treatment time required to demonstrate effectiveness;

lack of sufficient supplies of the product candidate;

disruption of operations at the clinical trial sites;

adverse medical events or side effects in treated patients;

the failure of patients taking the placebo to continue to participate in our clinical trials;

lack of effectiveness of the product candidate being tested; and

lack of sufficient funds.

If we do not successfully complete clinical trials, we will not receive regulatory approval to market our drug products. If we do not obtain and maintain regulatory approval for our products, we will not generate any revenues from the sale of our products and the value of our company and our financial condition and results of operations will be substantially harmed.

To date, we have not received any revenues from the sale of products. We have incurred operating losses since our inception. As of December 31, 2005, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$506 million. We expect to incur substantial and increasing operating losses for the next several years due to expenses associated with product research and development, pre-clinical studies and clinical testing, regulatory activities, manufacturing development, scale-up and commercial-scale manufacturing, pre-commercialization activities, developing a sales and marketing force, and increasing administrative personnel and professional services to support growth of our operations, and we may need to obtain additional financing to cover these costs.

We plan to develop and commercialize Soliris (eculizumab) ourselves along with those product candidates for which the clinical trials and commercialization requirements can be funded and accomplished by our own resources. For those products which require greater resources, such as pexelizumab, our plan is to develop and commercialize the drugs through corporate partnerships.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements and do not guarantee the obligations of any other entity. We do indemnify certain third parties against liabilities they may incur in connection with the manufacturing, development, or sale of our drug candidates.

In January 2005 we sold \$150,000 principal amount of 1.375% Notes in a private placement to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. If the holder elects to convert its 1.375% Notes upon the occurrence of a designated event, the holder will be entitled to receive an additional number of shares of common stock on the conversion date. These additional shares are intended to compensate the holders for the loss of the time value of the conversion option, are set according to a table within the offering document, and are capped (in no event will the shares issuable upon conversion of a note exceed 42.9100 per \$1,000 principal amount).

Change in Accounting Principle

Prior to our change in fiscal year, we would test goodwill for impairment annually in March and whenever events or changes in circumstances would indicate the carrying amount of goodwill might not be recoverable. This is more fully described in Goodwill under our significant accounting policies. In connection with the change in fiscal year to December 31, we changed the timing of our annual impairment test. For the five month period ended December 31, 2005, our impairment test was performed in November 2005. We will continue to perform our impairment test in November going forward. We believe the change from an annual impairment test in our third quarter (March) under our previous fiscal year to our fourth quarter (November) in our new fiscal year, supports consistency in the application of this accounting principle. This change had no effect on net income or earnings per share.

Critical Accounting Policies and the Use of Estimates

In our preparation of consolidated financial statements, we use certain estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts and disclosures. Our estimates are often based on judgments, probabilities and assumptions that we believe are reasonable, but that are inherently uncertain and unpredictable. All of these judgments and estimates can materially impact our result of operations.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

Marketable Securities We invest in marketable debt securities of highly rated financial institutions and investment-grade debt instruments and limit the amount of credit exposure with any one entity. Unrealized gains or losses are included in accumulated other comprehensive loss as a component of stockholders' equity. We believe that our conservative investment policy ensures reasonable assurance against impairment of marketable securities held, and also enables us to avoid incurring realized losses that could occur if securities were not held to maturity.

Long-Lived Assets We assess the potential impairment of long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors that we consider important, and which could trigger an impairment review, include, among others, the following:

a significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which a long-lived asset is being used;

a significant adverse change in the business climate that could affect the value of a long-lived asset; and

a significant decrease in market value of assets.

If we determine that the carrying value of long-lived assets may not be recoverable, based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment, we will compare the carrying value of the asset group to the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the group. If the carrying value exceeds the undiscounted cash flows, we will then compare the carrying value of the asset group to its fair value to determine whether an impairment charge is required. If the fair value is less than the carrying value, such amount is recognized as an impairment charge.

Goodwill Goodwill represents the difference between the purchase price of acquired businesses and the fair value of their net assets, and is not amortized. We test goodwill for impairment at least annually and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of goodwill might not be recoverable. No impairment charge resulted upon the adoption of this standard or as a result of our annual impairment assessment. The first step of the annual review is to compare the fair market capitalization of Alexion on that date to our net stockholders' equity. If fair market capitalization is greater than net stockholders' equity, then no impairment charges are necessary. The analysis is impacted by the price of the stock on the date of the test. Impairment charges, if any, will be recorded as a component of operating expenses in the period in which the impairment is determined.

Prepaid Manufacturing Costs Cash advances paid by us to secure future long term manufacturing production at third-party contract manufacturers are recorded as prepaid manufacturing costs. These costs are recognized over the period of manufacturing production on a unit of production method. The cash advances are subject to refund if the manufacturing facility is unavailable as scheduled or forfeiture if we terminate the scheduled production.

We evaluate the prepaid manufacturing costs against estimated net realizable value, or NRV. If estimated NRV were to be negative, all or a portion of the prepaid manufacturing cost may have to be recognized as an expense. Our calculation of NRV involves estimates of expected sales volume, sales price and market penetration of the product in question.

Revenue Recognition We record contract research revenues from research and development support payments, license fees and milestone payments under collaborations with third parties, and amounts received from various government grants. We evaluate all deliverables in our collaborative agreement to determine whether it represents separate units of accounting. Deliverables qualify for separate accounting treatment if they have standalone value to the customer and if there is objective evidence of fair value for the undelivered items.

Up-front, non-refundable license fees received in connection with a collaboration agreement are deferred and amortized into revenue over the life of the agreement or underlying technologies.

Revenues derived from the achievement of milestones are recognized when the milestone is achieved, provided that the milestone is substantive and a culmination of the earnings process has occurred. Revenues derived from the achievement of milestones or recognition of related work when performed under terms of a contract may cause our operating results to vary considerably from period to period. Research and development support revenues are recognized as the related work is performed and expenses are incurred under the terms of the contracts for development activities.

Deferred revenue results from cash received or amounts receivable in advance of revenue recognition under research and development contracts.

Research and Development Expenses Research and development expenses are comprised of costs incurred in performing research and development activities including salaries and benefits, pre-clinical, clinical trial and related clinical manufacturing costs, manufacturing development and scale-up costs, contract services and other outside contractor costs, research license fees, depreciation and amortization of lab facilities, and lab supplies. These costs are expensed when incurred.

We have entered into a collaboration research agreement with P&G in which we share costs. We record these costs as research and development expenses as incurred. A portion of these costs are reimbursed by our collaborator and are recorded as a reduction of research and development expense.

Accrued research and development expenses are comprised of amounts owed to suppliers for research and development work performed on our behalf. At the end of each period, we evaluate the accrued expense balance related to these activities based upon information received from the supplier and estimated progress toward completion of the research or development objectives to ensure that the balance is appropriately stated. Such estimates are subject to change as additional information becomes available.

Stock Based Compensation We adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R), effective August 1, 2005. SFAS 123R requires the recognition of the fair value of stock-based compensation in net earnings. We have elected to utilize the modified prospective transition method for adopting SFAS 123R. Under this method, the provisions of SFAS 123R apply to all awards granted or modified after the date of adoption. In addition, the unrecognized expense of awards not yet vested at the date of adoption, determined under the original provisions of SFAS 123, shall be recognized in the periods after the date of adoption. Due to our net loss position, a windfall tax benefit was not realized during the period. As of December 31, 2005, there was \$25,488 of total unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Plan. The expense is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2 years.

Prior to August 1, 2005, we accounted for stock options and restricted stock utilizing the intrinsic value method in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion, or APB, No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and accordingly, recognize no compensation expense for the options when the option grants have an exercise price equal to the fair market value at the date of grant.

Our estimates of employee stock option values rely on estimates of factors we input into the Black-Scholes model. The key factors involve an estimate of future uncertain events.

Significant assumptions include the use of historical volatility to determine the expected stock price volatility. Also, of significance, is our expected term until exercise. We currently use historical exercise patterns as our best estimate of future exercise patterns. Once employee stock option values are determined, they may not be changed.

We continually seek to refine and improve our approach to measure the value of employee stock options.

Foreign Currency Translation For our foreign subsidiary with a functional currency different from U.S. dollars, we translate its financial statements into U.S. dollars using the current exchange rate at each balance sheet date for assets and liabilities the average exchange rate prevailing during each period for revenues and expenses, and the historical exchange rate for our investments in our foreign subsidiary. Adjustments from translating these financial statements into U.S. dollars are included in Accumulated other comprehensive loss .

Results of Operations

On December 9, 2005, our Board of Directors approved a change of our fiscal year end from July 31 to December 31. The five months results now being reported by us relate to the transition period ended December 31, 2005.

Edgar Filing: ALEXION PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-KT

The following table sets forth consolidated statements of operations data for the periods indicated. This information has been derived from the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this transition report. (amounts in thousands, except per share data)

	Five Month Period Ended				
	December 31,		Year Ended July 31,		
	2005	2004 (Unaudited)	2005	2004	2003
Contract research revenues:					
P&G	\$ 245	\$ 245	\$ 588	\$ 4,588	\$ 673
U.S. government grants	419		476	21	204
Total revenues	664	245	1,064	4,609	877
Research and development expenses:					
Clinical development	21,966	12,810	43,314	20,398	25,122
Manufacturing and manufacturing development	10,714	8,119	20,835	14,027	17,414
Product development	32,680	20,929	64,149	34,425	42,536
Payroll and benefits	10,481	6,662	17,397	14,749	13,613
Discovery research	1,620	1,479	2,431	3,592	8,241
Operating, occupancy, depreciation, and amortization	3,457	2,844	7,411	7,074	6,652
Total research and development expenses	48,238	31,914	91,388	59,840	71,042
General and administrative	12,763	6,160	18,951	14,459	10,869
Impairment of fixed assets				760	2,560
Total operating expenses	61,001	38,074	110,339	75,059	84,471
Operating loss	(60,337)	(37,829)	(109,275)	(70,450)	(83,594)
Other income (expense):					
Investment income	3,123	1,756	5,266	3,373	5,809
Interest expense	(1,192)	(3,153)	(6,125)	(7,709)	(7,694)
Gain from extinguishment of note payable		3,804	3,804		
Loss on early extinguishment of debt			(3,185)		
Total other income (expense)	1,931	2,407	(240)	(4,336)	(1,885)
State tax benefit	450	61	765	691	1,012
Net loss	\$ (57,956)	\$ (35,361)	\$ (108,750)	\$ (74,095)	\$ (84,467)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$ (1.90)	\$ (1.28)	\$ (3.90)	\$ (3.43)	\$ (4.64)

Comparison of the Five Months Ended December 31, 2005 to the Five Months Ended

December 31, 2004

(amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)

We earned contract research revenues of \$664 and \$245 for the five months ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Of the revenue earned for the five months ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, \$245 is a non-cash item representing the amortization of deferred revenue from a \$10,000 upfront fee paid to us by P&G in

February 1999. Revenue from U.S. government grants totaled \$419 and \$0 for the five months ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The increase in revenues associated with U.S. government grants obtained in the transition period ended December 31, 2005 resulted primarily from research under the anti-anthrax bio-defense program.

During the five months ended December 31, 2005, we incurred research and development expenses of \$48,238 compared to the five months ended December 31, 2004 where we incurred research and development expenses of \$31,914. We report our research and development costs by the category in which they are incurred rather than by project. Our research and development costs consist primarily of payroll and benefits costs, product development costs, discovery research costs, depreciation and amortization expense, and occupancy related facility operating costs. Product development costs consist of pre-clinical costs, clinical trial costs and other clinical-related development costs, manufacturing development and manufacturing costs.

The \$16,324 increase in research and development expenses resulted primarily from greater product development costs of \$11,751 from higher clinical development and higher manufacturing expenses costs related to the current Phase III clinical trials of our lead drug candidates, Soliris (eculizumab) and pexelizumab, for TRIUMPH, SHEPHERD, and the PNH extension trials and PRIMO-CABG2 and APEX-AMI trials, respectively. Payroll and benefits costs were impacted by the adoption of SFAS 123R and the resulting expensing of employee stock options grants as well as increased headcount to support our research and drug development activities.

Our collaboration with P&G resulted in pexelizumab-related product development costs, excluding payroll-related costs, of \$17,805 for the five months ended December 31, 2005 compared to \$11,121 for the five months ended December 31, 2004. This represented 54% and 53%, respectively, of our product development costs. The remaining balance of our product development costs was primarily for Soliris and other pre-clinical product candidates.

We expect that expenses for research and development will remain at a significant level in 2006 as critical and substantive clinical trials near their completion and as we may initiate development of other promising candidates.

Our general and administrative expenses were \$12,763 for the period, compared with \$6,160 for the same period last year. The increase in general and administrative expenses of \$6,603 from 2004 to 2005 was principally from expensing of employee stock options, increased headcount dedicated to commercial development activities and higher professional fees principally for patent and compliance activities. The impact on payroll and benefits expenses from the adoption of SFAS 123R was material, but the overall increase in expenses was predominantly driven by our ongoing development of a commercial organization that will ultimately support sales and marketing of product candidates, if approved by regulatory agencies.

We believe general and administrative costs will increase in fiscal 2006 as we continue to put in place the commercial organization and infrastructure required to bring Soliris to market.

Total operating expenses were \$61,001 and \$38,074 for the five months ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Investment income was \$3,123 for the five months ended December 31, 2005 compared to \$1,756 for the same period in 2004, reflecting higher market interest rates and a higher principal balance. The higher principal balance is a result of the August 2005 issuance of 2,500,000 shares of common stock in a public offering at

\$26.75 per share, resulting in net proceeds from the sale of \$64,530, as well as an increase in convertible debt due to the sale of \$150,000 principal amount of 1.375% convertible senior notes (1.375% Notes) in January 2005, which was partially offset by the redemption of our \$120,000 principal amount of 5.75% convertible subordinated notes (5.75% Notes) in March 2005. Interest expense decreased to \$1,192 from \$3,153, impacted by the lower coupon rate of the 1.375% Notes.

A state tax benefit of \$450 and \$61 was recognized for the five months ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, resulting from our estimated exchange of our December 31, 2005 and 2004 incremental research and development tax credits.

As a result of the above factors, we incurred net losses of \$57,956 and \$35,361 or \$1.90 and \$1.28 basic and diluted net loss per share for the five months ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Comparison of the Fiscal Years Ended July 31, 2005 and 2004

(amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)

We earned contract research revenues of \$1,064 and \$4,609 for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. In the fourth quarter of 2004, we recognized a \$4,000 milestone payment from P&G concurrent with the dosing of our first patient in the APEX-AMI trial. Substantially all of the other revenue in fiscal years 2005 and 2004 is a non-cash item representing the amortization of deferred revenue from the \$10,000 upfront fee paid to us by P&G in February 1999. Revenue from U.S. government grants totaled \$476 in fiscal 2005 and \$21 in fiscal 2004. The \$455 increase in revenues associated with U.S. government grants obtained in fiscal 2005 resulted from research under the anti-anthrax bio-defense program.

During fiscal year 2005, we incurred research and development expenses of \$91,388 compared to fiscal year 2004 when we incurred research and development expenses of \$59,840. We report research and development costs by category incurred rather than by project. Our research and development costs consist primarily of payroll and benefits costs, pre-clinical costs, clinical trial costs and other clinical-related development costs, manufacturing development and manufacturing costs, discovery research costs, depreciation and amortization expense, and occupancy related facility operating costs.

The \$31,548 increase in research and development expenses from 2004 to 2005 resulted primarily from greater product development costs of \$29,724 from higher clinical development and higher manufacturing expenses for the cost of conducting our two Phase III clinical trials PRIMO-CABG2 and APEX-AMI in pexelizumab and the increased production of material used in our clinical trials involving Soliris and pexelizumab. The increase in payroll and benefits from 2004 to 2005 is primarily attributable to the increase in staff involved in clinical and manufacturing development as well as regulatory and quality assurance activities. The decrease in discovery research is due principally to recognition of the approximately \$1,300 balance of the non-refundable payment received from XOMA. In 2003, XOMA paid an upfront non-refundable fee of approximately \$1,500 pursuant to a collaborative agreement. We recorded the payment as a deferred research and development payment and amortized the payment as a reduction of research and development expense. Upon cancellation of the XOMA collaborative agreement in 2005, the remaining balance of \$1,300 was recognized as a reduction of research and development expenses.

Our collaboration with P&G resulted in pexelizumab-related product development costs, excluding payroll-related costs, of \$36,358 for the 2005 compared to \$15,902 for 2004 representing 57% and 46%, respectively, of our product development costs. The remaining balance of our product development costs was primarily for Soliris and other pre-clinical product candidates.

Our general and administrative expenses were \$18,951 and \$14,459 for fiscal years 2005 and 2004, respectively. The increase in general and administrative expenses of \$4,492 from 2004 to 2005 was due principally to increased pre-commercial activities associated with our two lead product candidates, as well as increased headcount in support of our operations.

Total operating expenses were \$110,339 and \$75,059 for the years ended July 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Investment income was \$5,266 for the year ended July 31, 2005 compared to \$3,373 for the year ended July 31, 2004. The increase in investment income of \$1,893 in 2005 resulted primarily from higher interest rates and higher principal amounts. Interest expense was \$6,125 for the year ended July 31, 2005 compared to \$7,709 for the year ended July 31, 2004. The decrease in interest expense in fiscal 2005 is attributable to the lower interest rate for the 1.375% Notes issued in January 2005 which replaced the previous outstanding notes with a rate of 5.75%. We recorded a \$3,185 loss from early extinguishment of the 5.75% Notes, which consisted of the write-off of the remaining balance of non-refundable deferred financing costs of approximately \$1,200 and the redemption premium of approximately \$2,000.

During the first fiscal quarter of 2005 we recorded a net gain to other income of \$3,804 to complete the termination of the Unigraft xenotransplantation program at Columbus Farming Corporation, or CFC. This consisted of the extinguishment of the \$3,900 note payable used to purchase the xenotransplantation assets and the extinguishment of the accrued interest of \$300 on the note, partially offset by the transfer to Tyco International, Ltd., or Tyco, of the remaining assets of \$450 used to secure the note. (See section entitled Business Other Preclinical Programs Unigraft Xenotransplantation Technologies Program).

A state tax benefit of \$765 and \$691 was recognized for the year ended July 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, resulting from our estimated exchange of our July 31, 2005 and actual exchange of our July 31, 2004 incremental research and development tax credits.

As a result of the above factors, we incurred net losses of \$108,750 and \$74,095 or \$3.90 and \$3.43 basic and diluted net loss per share for the years ended July 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Comparison of the Fiscal Years Ended July 31, 2004 and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)

We earned contract research revenues of \$4,609 and \$877 for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively. In the fourth quarter of 2004, we recognized a \$4,000 milestone payment from P&G concurrent with the dosing of our first patient in the APEX-AMI trial. Substantially all of the other revenue in fiscal years 2004 and 2003 is a non-cash item representing the amortization of deferred revenue from the \$10,000 upfront fee paid to us by P&G in February 1999. Revenue from U.S. government grants totaled \$21 in fiscal 2004 and \$204 in fiscal 2003.

During fiscal year 2004, we incurred research and development expenses of \$59,840 compared to fiscal year 2003 where we incurred research and development expenses of \$71,042. We report research and development costs by category incurred rather than by project.

The \$11,202 decrease in research and development expenses in fiscal 2004 from fiscal 2003 resulted principally from lower product development costs due to the completion of the pexelizumab Phase III

PRIMO-CABG clinical trial and lower manufacturing development and manufacturing activities resulting from the amended manufacturing agreement with Lonza and the timing related to the manufacture of pexelizumab. We also incurred lower costs for discovery research due to lower external research and license fees and the suspension of the UniGraft program at CFC. These lower expenses were partially offset by increased payroll and benefits costs and increased occupancy and depreciation costs.

Our collaboration with P&G resulted in pexelizumab-related product development costs, excluding payroll-related costs, of \$15,902 for 2004 compared to \$25,016 for the 2003 representing 46% and 59%, respectively, of our product development costs. The remaining balance of our product development costs was primarily for Soliris (eculizumab) and other pre-clinical product candidates.

The increase in general and administrative expenses of \$3,590 in fiscal year 2004 as compared to 2003 was due principally to increased pre-commercial and business development activities in support of our PNH clinical trials as well as continued growth of our operations.

Total operating expenses were \$75,059 and \$84,471 for the years ended July 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Investment income was \$3,373 for the year ended July 31, 2004 compared to \$5,809 for the year ended July 31, 2003. The decrease in investment income of \$2,436 in 2004 resulted primarily from lower interest rates and lower principal amounts. Interest expense was \$7,709 for the year ended July 31, 2004 compared to \$7,694 for the year ended July 31, 2003.

A state tax benefit of \$691 and \$1,012 was recognized for the year ended July 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively, resulting from our estimated exchange of our July 31, 2004 and actual exchange of our July 31, 2003 incremental research and development tax credits.

As a result of the above factors, we incurred net losses of \$74,095 and \$84,467 or \$3.43 and \$4.64 basic and diluted net loss per share for the years ended July 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

(amounts in thousands, except shares and per share amounts)

Since our inception in 1992, our primary source of cash is through public offerings of our common stock and the sale of convertible notes. Other sources include debt financing, payments received under corporate collaborations and grants, and equipment and leasehold improvements financing. Our primary use of cash includes business development activities and research and development.

As of December 31, 2005, cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities were \$212,456 compared with \$195,404 at July 31, 2005. The increase was primarily due to the issuance of 2,500,000 shares of common stock in a public offering at \$26.75 per share, resulting in gross proceeds from the sale of \$66,875. We incurred underwriting discounts and commissions of \$2,145, or \$0.86 per share as well as other expenses, resulting in net proceeds of \$64,517, which were partially offset by cash used to fund operating activities.

Operating Activities

Net cash used in operating activities for the five month period ended December 31, 2005 was \$50,365. The increase compared to the prior five month period ended December 31, 2004 is primarily due to increased Research and Development spending in the current period.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities for the five month period ended December 31, 2005 was \$20,559. This included \$20,115 of purchases of marketable securities, net of proceeds from the maturity or sale of marketable securities, and \$444 of property, plant and equipment additions.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities for the five month period ended December 31, 2005 was \$67,610, consisting of proceeds from the sale of common stock of \$64,517, the exercise of stock options of \$3,474, offset by the exchange of 13,713 treasury shares at a cost of \$381.

Sufficiency of Cash Resources

We anticipate that our existing capital resources as of December 31, 2005, as well as the addition of our interest and investment income earned on available cash and marketable securities should provide us with adequate resources to fund our operating expenses and capital requirements as currently expected for the next eighteen months. We may pursue additional stock offerings, debt or other sources of funding to finance our operations.

Contractual Obligations

Our contractual obligations include our \$150,000 1.375% Convertible Senior Notes due February 2012, or 1.375% Notes, our annual payments of approximately \$2,300 for operating and capital leases, principally for facilities and equipment, and an open letter of credit of \$200 which serves as a security deposit on our facility in Cheshire, Connecticut.

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations at December 31, 2005 and the effect such obligations and commercial commitments are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flow in future fiscal years. These do not include milestones and assume non-termination of agreements. These obligations, commitments and supporting arrangements represent payments based on current operating forecasts, which are subject to change:

	(in millions)				
Total	Less than 1 Year	1 3 Years	3 5 Years	More than 5 Years	
Contractual obligations:					
Convertible notes payable	\$ 150.0	\$	\$	\$	\$ 150.0
Interest expense	13.6	2.1	4.2	6.3	1.0
Capital and operating leases	12.3	2.5	4.4	4.9	0.5
Total contractual obligations	\$ 175.9	\$ 4.6	\$ 8.6	\$ 11.2	\$ 151.5
Commercial commitments:					
Clinical and manufacturing development	\$ 55.2	\$ 18.8	\$ 36.4	\$	\$
Clinical and manufacturing development related to collaboration with P&G	20.0	20.0			
Total clinical and manufacturing development	75.2	38.8	36.4		
Licenses	3.6	0.4	1.3	1.4	0.5
Research and development	0.4	0.2	0.2		
Total commercial commitments	\$ 79.2	\$ 39.4	\$ 37.9	\$ 1.4	\$ 0.5

Convertible Senior Notes

In January 2005 we sold \$150,000 principal amount of 1.375% Notes in a private placement to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The interest rate on the notes is 1.375% per annum on the principal amount from January 25, 2005, payable semi-annually in arrears in cash on February 1 and August 1 of each year, beginning August 1, 2005. The 1.375% Notes is convertible into our common stock at an initial conversion rate of 31.7914 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of 1.375% Notes, subject to adjustment (equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$31.46 per share). We do not have the right to redeem any of the 1.375% Notes prior to maturity.

We do not have financial covenants related to our 1.375% Notes. However, there are certain designated events which could occur such as a liquidation, tender offer, consolidation, merger, recapitalization, or otherwise, in connection with which 50% or more of our common stock is exchanged for, converted into, acquired for or constitutes solely the right to receive, consideration which is not at least 90% common stock that is listed on a U.S. national exchange or market. If the holder elects to convert its 1.375% Notes upon the occurrence of a designated event, the holder will be entitled to receive an additional number of shares of common stock on the conversion date. These additional shares are intended to compensate the holders for the loss of the time value of the conversion option, are set according to a table within the offering document, and are capped (in no event will the shares issuable upon conversion of a note exceed 42.9100 shares per \$1,000 principal amount).

We incurred deferred financing costs related to this offering of the 1.375% Notes of approximately \$4,800, which are recorded in the consolidated balance sheet and are being amortized as a component of interest expense over the seven-year term of the notes.

Capital Leases

We currently lease office equipment under capital lease agreements expiring in 2007. The assets and liabilities under capital lease are recorded at the lower of the present value of the minimum lease payments or the fair value of the asset. The assets are amortized over the lower of their related lease terms or their estimated useful lives. The interest rate on the above capital lease is 5.625% and is imputed based on the lower of our incremental borrowing rate at the inception of each lease. Amortization and interest expense for the five month period ended December 31, 2005 and the years ended July 31, 2005 and 2004 was \$57, \$55, and \$0, respectively.

Operating Leases

Our operating leases are principally for facilities and equipment. We lease our headquarters and research and development facility in Cheshire, Connecticut. The lease has an initial term expiring in December 2010. At this site, we lease a total of 89,000 square feet of space. We pay a pro rata percentage of real estate taxes and operating expenses. Our pilot manufacturing plant, which may be used for producing compounds for some of our current and anticipated clinical trials, is expected to remain in New Haven, Connecticut and encompasses approximately 33,000 square feet of labs and offices. The lease in New Haven has an initial term ending in October 2007 with three options to extend for one year each. Alexion Antibody Technologies, Inc., our wholly-owned subsidiary, leases approximately 25,000 square feet of labs, office space and unimproved storage in San Diego, California. The lease expires in August 2012. We believe our research and development facilities and pilot manufacturing facility, together with third party manufacturing facilities, will be adequate for our current ongoing activities.

Commercial Commitments

Our commercial commitments consist of cancelable research and development, licenses, operations, clinical development including clinical trials, and manufacturing cost commitments along with anticipated supporting arrangements, subject to certain limitations and cancellation clauses. The timing and level of our commercial scale manufacturing costs (assuming we utilize our long-term commercial scale product manufacturing capacity), which may or may not be realized, are contingent upon our clinical development programs' progress as well as our commercialization plans. Our commercial commitments are represented principally by our agreement with Lonza Biologics, PLC and our collaboration with P&G Pharmaceuticals.

Lonza Agreement

The Large-Scale Product Supply Agreement dated December 18, 2002, or the Lonza Agreement, between Lonza Biologics PLC, or Lonza, and us, relating to the manufacture of our product candidate Soliris, was amended, or the Lonza Amendment, in April 2004. Under the Lonza Amendment, the facility in which Lonza will manufacture Soliris is changed; the manufacturing capacity we are required to purchase is reduced; and future potential payments of \$10,000 by us to Lonza relating to achievement of Soliris sales milestones and of up to \$15,000 payable by us relating to manufacturing yields achieved by Lonza are eliminated. In August 2004 we paid Lonza an additional \$3,500 as a non-refundable advance under the Lonza Amendment. In addition, the amounts we would be required to pay in connection with a voluntary termination of the Lonza Agreement by us have been changed. Under the Lonza Agreement, as amended by the Lonza Amendment, if we terminate the Lonza Agreement on or prior to September 30, 2006, we may be required to pay different amounts, depending on when the Lonza Agreement is terminated, which are between zero and approximately \$10,000 and, if we terminate the Lonza Agreement after September 30, 2006, we may be required to pay for batches of product scheduled for manufacture up to 12 months following termination.

P&G Pharmaceuticals Collaboration

In December 2001, we and P&G entered into a binding memorandum of understanding, or MOU, pursuant to which the January 1999 collaboration was revised. Under the revised structure per the MOU, we and P&G share decision-making and responsibility for all future U.S. development and commercialization costs for pexelizumab, including clinical, manufacturing, marketing, and sales efforts. The revised collaboration per the MOU provides that we and P&G each incur approximately 50% of all Phase III clinical trial, product development and manufacturing, and commercialization costs necessary for the potential approval and marketing of pexelizumab in the U.S. and that we will receive approximately 50% of the gross margin on U.S. sales, if any. P&G agreed to retain responsibility for future development and commercialization costs outside the U.S., with us receiving a royalty on sales outside the U.S., if any. We are responsible for royalties on certain third party intellectual property worldwide, if such intellectual property is necessary. Additionally, as part of the MOU, we will receive milestone payments for achieving specified development steps, regulatory filings and approvals.

We and P&G have agreed, as per the MOU, that we share concurrently 50% of the ongoing U.S. pre-production and development manufacturing costs for pexelizumab as well as any AMI or CABG Phase III clinical trial costs. Our net share of total commercial commitments related to the collaboration, in 2006, is expected to be approximately \$20,000 and will primarily be related to completion of clinical trials.

P&G has the right to terminate the collaboration or sublicense its rights at any time. If P&G terminates the collaboration, as per the MOU, P&G is required to contribute its share of agreed to obligations and costs incurred

prior to the termination, but may not be required to contribute towards obligations incurred after termination. In such circumstance all rights and the exclusive license to our intellectual property related to pexelizumab would revert back to us and we would be entitled to all future pexelizumab revenues, if any, without any sharing of revenues, if any, with P&G. If P&G were to sublicense its rights, the sub-licensee would be required to assume all of P&G's obligations under the collaboration.

We rely on P&G for the development, manufacture and potential commercialization of pexelizumab. Termination of our agreement by P&G or sublicense of its collaboration rights could cause significant delays in the development, manufacture and potential commercialization of pexelizumab and result in significant additional costs to us. Under terms of our MOU we may be obligated to reimburse P&G for 50% of cancellation costs under P&G's third-party pexelizumab manufacturing contract. Our portion of those cancellation costs could amount to as much as \$8,000.

Additional Payments

Additional payments, aggregating up to approximately \$23,000, would be required if we elect to continue development under our current pre-clinical development programs and if specified development milestones are reached (including achievement of commercialization). Approximately \$150 of these costs may be incurred in the next three years.

Taxes

For tax reporting purposes, as of December 31, 2005, we have available for federal tax reporting purposes, net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$493,312 which expire through 2026 (of which approximately \$29,895 resulted from the exercise of nonqualified stock options). We also have federal and state research and development credit carry forwards of approximately \$17,806 which begin to expire commencing in 2008. The Tax Reform Act of 1986 contains certain provisions that limit our ability to utilize net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards in any given year resulting from cumulative changes in ownership interests in excess of 50 percent over a three-year period.

We have determined that these limitation provisions were triggered in 1995 however, the limitation to us has been eliminated as of December 31, 2005. For the years ended July 31 2005 and 2004, the limitation is approximately \$1,402 annually. There is no future limitation as a result of this change in ownership.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*, which replaces APB Opinion 20, *Accounting Changes* and SFAS 3, *Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements* and changes the requirements of the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle. SFAS 154 applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle. It also applies to changes required by an accounting pronouncement in the unusual instance that the pronouncement does not include specific transition provisions. When a pronouncement includes specific transition provisions, those provisions should be followed. This statement is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005.

In March 2004, the EITF reached a consensus on Issue No. 03-1, *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments*. EITF 03-1 provides guidance on other-than-temporary

impairment models for marketable debt and equity securities accounted for under SFAS 115 and non-marketable equity securities accounted for under the cost method. The EITF developed a basic three-step model to evaluate whether an investment is other-than-temporarily impaired. In November 2005, the FASB approved the issuance of FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS No. 115-1 and FAS 124-1, *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments*. The FSP addresses when an investment is considered impaired, whether the impairment is other-than-temporary and the measurement of an impairment loss. The FSP also includes accounting considerations subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-temporary. The FSP is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2005 with earlier application permitted. For Alexion, the effective date will be the first quarter of fiscal 2006. The adoption of this accounting principle is not expected to have a significant impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Item 7A. *QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.*

(amounts in thousands, except per share data)

Currently, we maintain approximately 17% of our cash and investments in financial instruments with original maturity dates of three months or less, 37% in financial instruments with original maturity dates of greater than three months and less than one year, and the remaining 46% in financial instruments with original maturity dates of equal to or greater than one year and less than two years. These financial instruments are subject to interest rate risk and will decline in value if interest rates increase. We estimate that a change of 100 basis points in interest rates would result in a \$698 decrease or increase in the fair value of our cash and investments, which had a weighted average duration of approximately 4 months at December 31, 2005.

Our outstanding long-term liabilities as of December 31, 2005 consisted of \$150,000 of our 1.375% Convertible Senior Notes due February 1, 2012. As the notes bear interest at a fixed rate, our results of operations would not be affected by interest rate changes. Although future borrowings may bear interest at a floating rate, and would therefore be affected by interest rate changes, we cannot reasonably estimate the effect and therefore do not believe that a change of 100 basis points in interest rates would have a material effect on our financial condition.

Accordingly, we do not believe that there is any material market risk exposure with respect to derivative or other financial instruments that would require disclosure under this item.

As of December 31, 2005, the market value of our \$150,000 1.375% convertible senior notes due February 1, 2012, based on quoted market prices, was estimated at \$129,750.

Item 8. *FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.*

The consolidated financial statements and supplementary data of the Company required in this item are set forth beginning on page F-1.

Item 9. *CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE*

None.

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act,) as of December 31, 2005. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of December 31, 2005, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure, and ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

Management of Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management utilized the criteria set forth in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, or COSO, to conduct an assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005. Based on the assessment, management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2005, our internal control over financial reporting is effective.

Management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report, which is included herein.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

We have expended significant resources in achieving compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Through internal resources and the assistance of outside consultants, we developed and executed a plan to evaluate, document, test and improve, where necessary, our internal control over financial reporting.

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our five months ended December 31, 2005 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None

PART III
Item 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT.

Portions of the responses required by this item will be set forth in our definitive Proxy Statement under the caption "Election of Directors", to be filed within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Transition Report on Form 10-K/T, and are incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement.

Set forth below is certain information regarding our executive officers, directors and key employees:

Name	Age	Position with Alexion
Max Link, Ph.D. ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	65	Chairman of the Board of Directors
Leonard Bell, M.D. ⁽⁵⁾	47	Chief Executive Officer, Secretary, Treasurer, Director
David W. Keiser ⁽⁵⁾	54	President and Chief Operating Officer, Director
Stephen P. Squinto, Ph.D. ⁽⁵⁾	49	Executive Vice President and Head of Research
Katherine S. Bowdish, Ph.D.	48	Senior Vice President, Antibody Discovery, and President, Alexion Antibody Technologies
Patrice Coissac ⁽⁵⁾	57	Senior Vice President, General Manager and President of Alexion Europe SAS
Thomas I.H. Dubin, J.D. ⁽⁵⁾	43	Senior Vice President and General Counsel
Christopher F. Mojcik, M.D., Ph.D. ⁽⁵⁾	46	Senior Vice President, Clinical Development
Nancy C. Motola, Ph.D.	53	Senior Vice President, Regulatory Affairs and Quality
Scott A. Rollins, Ph.D.	42	Senior Vice President, Drug Development and Project Management
Russell P. Rother, Ph.D.	45	Senior Vice President, Research
Vikas Sinha, M.B.A., C.A. ⁽⁵⁾	42	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Paul W. Finnegan M.D., M.B.A.	45	Vice President, Commercial Operations and Development
Barry P. Luke, M.B.A.	47	Vice President, Finance, Assistant Secretary
Daniel N. Caron	42	Executive Director, Operations and Engineering
M. Stacy Hooks, Ph.D.	38	Executive Director, Manufacturing and Technical Services
Joseph A. Madri, Ph.D., M.D. ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	59	Director
Larry L. Mathis ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	62	Director
R. Douglas Norby ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	70	Director
Alvin S. Parven ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	65	Director
Ruedi E. Waeger, Ph.D. ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	62	Director

(1) Member of our Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

- (2) Member of our Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors.
- (3) Member of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors.
- (4) Member of our Compliance and Quality Committee of the Board of Directors
- (5) Officer, for purposes of Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Each director will hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until his or her successor is elected and qualified or until his or her earlier resignation or removal. Each officer serves at the discretion of the board of directors. Dr. Bell, Mr. Keiser, Dr. Squinto, Mr. Sinha, Mr. Coissac, Dr. Mojcik, and Mr. Dubin are each a party to an employment agreement with us.

Biographical details of the following persons are incorporated by reference herein to the section of this Report in Part I under the heading EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND KEY EMPLOYEES OF THE COMPANY : Leonard Bell, M.D., David W. Keiser, Stephen P. Squinto, Ph.D., Katherine S. Bowdish, Ph.D., Patrice Coissac, Thomas I.H. Dubin, J.D., Christopher F. Mojcik, M.D., Ph.D., Nancy C. Motola, Ph.D., Scott A. Rollins, Ph.D., Russell P. Rother, Ph.D., Vikas Sinha, M.B.A., C.A., Paul W. Finnegan, M.D., M.B.A., Barry P. Luke, M.B.A., Daniel N. Caron and M. Stacy Hooks, Ph.D.

Max Link, Ph.D. has been the Chairman of our board of directors since December 2002 and a director of Alexion since April 1992. From March 2001 to September 2003, Dr. Link was Chairman of the Board and CEO of Centerpulse AG, a medical implant company. From May 1993 to June 1994, Dr. Link was Chief Executive Officer of Corange (Bermuda), the parent company of Boehringer Mannheim Therapeutics, Boehringer Mannheim Diagnostics and DePuy Orthopedics. From 1992 to 1993, Dr. Link was Chairman of the Board of Sandoz Pharma, Ltd., a manufacturer of pharmaceutical products. From 1987 to 1992, Dr. Link was the Chief Executive Officer of Sandoz Pharma and a member of the Executive Board of Sandoz, Ltd., Basel. Prior to 1987, Dr. Link served in various capacities with the United States operations of Sandoz, including as President and Chief Executive Officer. Dr. Link is the chairman of the board of directors of Protein Design Labs, Inc., CytRx Corporation, and Celsion Corporation, and is also a director of Access Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Discovery Labs, Inc., and Human Genome Sciences, Inc., each a publicly held pharmaceutical and/or life-science company. Dr. Link holds a Ph.D. in economics from University of St. Gallen (Switzerland).

Joseph A. Madri, Ph.D., M.D. is a founder of Alexion and has been a director of Alexion since February 1992. Since 1980, Dr. Madri has been on the faculty of the Yale University School of Medicine and is currently a Professor of Pathology. Dr. Madri serves on the editorial boards of numerous scientific journals and he is the author of over 210 scientific publications. Dr. Madri works in the areas of regulation of angiogenesis, vascular cell-matrix interactions, cell-cell interactions, lymphocyte-endothelial cell interactions and endothelial and smooth muscle cell biology and has been awarded a Merit award from the National Institutes of Health. Dr. Madri received his B.S. and M.S. in Biology from St. John's University and M.D. and Ph.D. in Biological Chemistry from Indiana University.

Larry L. Mathis has been a director of Alexion since March 2004. Since 1998, Mr. Mathis has served as an executive consultant with D. Petersen & Associates providing counsel to select clients on leadership strategies, integrated systems and governance. For the 27 years prior to joining D. Petersen & Associates, Mr. Mathis served in various capacities within The Methodist Hospital System, in Houston, Texas an organization comprising 16 corporations and 37 hospital affiliates in the U.S. and abroad. From 1997 to 1998, Mr. Mathis served as a

consultant to the Chairman of the Board of The Methodist Hospital System. Prior to that, he was President and Chief Executive Officer, as well as a member of the Board of Directors, from 1983 to 1997. Mr. Mathis received a Master's degree in Health Administration from Washington University in St. Louis, and a Bachelor of Arts in Social Sciences from Pittsburg State University in Kansas.

R. Douglas Norby has been a director of Alexion since September 1999. Since July 2003 and until January 31, 2006, Mr. Norby has been Sr. Vice-President and Chief Financial Officer of Tessera, Inc., a provider of intellectual property for advanced semiconductor packaging. From March 2002 to February 2003, Mr. Norby served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Zambell, Inc., a data storage systems company. From December 2000 to March 2002, Mr. Norby served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Novalux, Inc., a manufacturer of lasers for optical networks. From 1996 until December 2000, Mr. Norby served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of LSI Logic Corporation, a semiconductor company, and he has also served as a director of LSI Logic Corporation since 1993. From July 1993 until November 1996, he served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Mentor Graphics Corporation, a software company. Mr. Norby served as President of Pharmetrix Corporation, a drug delivery company, from July 1992 to September 1993, and from 1985 to 1992, he was President and Chief Operating Officer of Lucasfilm, Ltd., an entertainment company. From 1979 to 1985, Mr. Norby was Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Syntex Corporation, a pharmaceutical company. Mr. Norby is a director of LSI Corporation, STATS Chip PAC, Ltd, a semi-conductor company, Jazz Semiconductor, Inc., and Neterion, Inc., a communications device company. Mr. Norby received a B.A. in Economics from Harvard University and an M.B.A. from Harvard Business School.

Alvin S. Parven has been a director of Alexion since May 1999. Since 1997, Mr. Parven has been President of ASP Associates, a management and strategic consulting firm. From 1994 to 1997, Mr. Parven was Vice President at Aetna Business Consulting, reporting to the Office of the Chairman of Aetna. From 1987 to 1994, Mr. Parven was Vice President, Operations at Aetna Health Plans. Prior to 1987, he served in various capacities at Aetna including Vice President, Pension Services from 1983 to 1987. Mr. Parven received his B.A. from Northeastern University.

Ruedi E. Waeger, Ph.D. has been a director of Alexion since March 2005. Dr. Waeger has spent the past 30 years in the pharmaceutical and therapeutic protein industry. Most recently, he was President and Chief Executive Officer of Aventis Behring L.L.C., a global plasma therapeutics product business which was acquired by CSL Ltd last year to form ZLB Behring. While at Aventis Behring, Dr. Waeger played a key role in guiding the company as it refined its product pipeline and extensive manufacturing facilities. Dr. Waeger became the head of Aventis Behring following the merger of the owners of Centeon L.L.C., a leader in plasma proteins, where Dr. Waeger was Chief Executive Officer. Prior thereto, Dr. Waeger was President and Chief Executive Officer of ZLB Central Laboratories, Blood Transfusion Service of Swiss Red Cross and before that spent more than 20 years at Sandoz Ltd., where he had consecutive worldwide responsibilities for Strategic Research and Development Planning, Human Resource Management, and Marketing, including responsibility for three global product launches. Dr. Waeger currently sits on the Boards of Guidant Corporation, Talecris Biotherapeutics, Inc. and Eximas Pharmaceutical Corporation. He earned a Ph.D. in Biochemistry from the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

The information concerning our directors regarding compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 required by this Item will be set forth in our definitive Proxy Statement under the caption Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance, to be filed within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this transition report on Form 10-K, and is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement.

AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT

Our board of directors has a separate audit committee which was established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Currently, the members of the audit committee are Max Link, Larry L. Mathis and R. Douglas Norby. Our board of directors has determined that Mr. Norby is an audit committee financial expert. Each of Dr. Link, Mr. Mathis and Mr. Norby is an independent director, as that term is used in Item 7(d)(3)(iv) of Schedule 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Mr. Norby is Chairman of the Audit Committee.

CODE OF ETHICS

We have adopted a Code of Ethics, or our Code of Ethics, that applies to directors, officers and employees and complies with the requirements of Item 406 of Regulation S-K and the listing standards of the Nasdaq National Market. Our Code of Ethics is located on our website (www.alexionpharm.com). Any amendments or waivers to our Code of Ethics will be promptly disclosed on our website as required by applicable laws, rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and Nasdaq.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

The information required by this Item will be set forth in our definitive Proxy Statement, to be filed within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Transition Report on Form 10-K/T, and is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement.

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of March 1, 2006, except as otherwise noted in the footnotes: (1) each person known by us to own beneficially more than 5% percent of our outstanding common stock; (2) each director and each named executive officer; and (3) all directors and Section 16 officers of Alexion as a group.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner (1)	Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned (2)	Percentage of Outstanding Shares of Common Stock
Fidelity Management & Research Company 82 Devonshire Street Boston, MA 02109 ⁽³⁾	3,282,290	10.5%
Janus Capital Management LLC 100 Fillmore Street Suite 400 Denver, CO 80206-4928 ⁽³⁾	3,014,663	9.6%

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner (1)	Number of Common Stock Beneficially Owned (2)	Percentage of Outstanding Shares of Common Stock
Sectoral Asset Management, Inc. 1000 Sherbrooke St Montréal, Canada ⁽³⁾	2,624,552	8.4%
Westfield Capital Management Co. LLC 1 Financial Center 23rd floor Boston, MA 02111-2621 ⁽³⁾	1,868,550	6.0%
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. 100 E. Pratt St. Baltimore, MD 21202 ⁽³⁾	1,824,150	5.8%
Ziff Brothers Investments, LLC 55 Railroad Ave. Greenwich, CT ⁽⁴⁾	1,677,773	5.4%
Pictet & Cie. Europe SA 1, Boulevard Royal Luxembourg 2016 LU ⁽⁵⁾	1,634,919	5.2%
Leonard Bell, M.D. ⁽⁶⁾	843,280	2.7%
David W. Keiser ⁽⁷⁾	277,064	*
Stephen P. Squinto, Ph.D. ⁽⁸⁾	151,625	*
Thomas I.H. Dubin, J.D. ⁽⁹⁾	124,250	*
Christopher F. Mojcik, M.D., Ph.D. ⁽¹⁰⁾	119,500	*
Joseph Madri, Ph.D., M.D. ⁽¹¹⁾	113,875	*
Max Link, Ph.D. ⁽¹²⁾	109,221	*
R. Douglas Norby ⁽¹³⁾	62,375	*
Alvin S. Parven ⁽¹⁴⁾	62,324	*
Vikas Sinha, M.B.A., C.A. ⁽¹⁵⁾	24,625	*
Larry L. Mathis ⁽¹⁶⁾	22,375	*
Patrice Coissac ⁽¹⁷⁾	13,500	*
Ruedi E. Waeger, Ph. D. ⁽¹⁸⁾	7,875	*
All directors and Section 16 officers as a group (13 persons) ⁽¹⁸⁾	1,931,889	6.2%

* Less than one percent.

(1) Unless otherwise indicated, the address of all persons is 352 Knotter Drive, Cheshire, Connecticut 06410.

(2) To our knowledge, except as set forth below, the persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them, subject to community property laws where applicable and the information contained in the footnotes in this table.

(3) These figures are based upon information set forth in Schedule 13F dated December 31, 2005.

(4) This figure is based upon information set forth in Form 10-K dated October 1, 2005.

(5) This figure is based upon information set forth in Schedule 13G dated November 23, 2005.

- (6) Includes 531,469 shares of common stock that may be acquired upon the exercise of options within 60 days of March 1, 2006 and 300 shares, in aggregate, held in the names of Dr. Bell's three children. Excludes 96,293 shares obtainable through the exercise of options, granted to Dr. Bell, which are not exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006 and 90,000 shares held in trust for Dr. Bell's children. Dr. Bell disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held in the names of his children.
- (7) Includes 185,755 shares of common stock which may be acquired upon the exercise of options within 60 days of March 1, 2006 and 300 shares, in aggregate, held in the names of Mr. Keiser's three children. Excludes 52,250 shares obtainable through the exercise of options, granted to Mr. Keiser, which are not exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006. Mr. Keiser disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held in the names of his minor children.
- (8) Includes 143,625 shares of common stock which may be acquired upon the exercise of options within 60 days of March 1, 2006. Excludes 50,375 shares obtainable through the exercise of options, granted to Dr. Squinto, which are not exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006.
- (9) Includes 106,250 shares of common stock which may be acquired on the exercise of options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006. Excludes 50,750 shares obtainable through the exercise of options granted to Mr. Dubin, which are not exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006.
- (10) Includes 111,500 shares of common stock, which may be acquired upon the exercise of options within 60 days of March 1, 2006. Excludes 44,500 shares obtainable through the exercise of options granted to Dr. Mojciak, which are not exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006.
- (11) Includes 56,875 shares of common stock which may be acquired on the exercise of options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006. Excludes 10,125 shares obtainable through the exercise of options granted to Dr. Madri, which are not exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006.
- (12) Includes 36,541 shares of common stock which may be acquired upon the exercise of options within 60 days of March 1, 2006. Excludes 10,125 shares obtainable through the exercise of options granted to Dr. Link, which are not exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006.
- (13) Includes 60,375 shares of common stock which may be acquired on the exercise of options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006. Excludes 10,125 shares obtainable through the exercise of options granted to Mr. Norby, which are not exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006.
- (14) Includes 59,275 shares of common stock which may be acquired on the exercise of options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006. Excludes 10,125 shares obtainable through the exercise of options granted to Mr. Parven, which are not exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006.
- (15) Includes 625 shares of common stock which may be acquired on the exercise of options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006. Excludes 118,375 shares obtainable through the exercise of options granted to Mr. Sinha, which are not exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006.
- (16) Includes 17,375 shares of common stock which may be acquired on the exercise of options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006. Excludes 11,625 shares obtainable through the exercise of options granted to Mr. Mathis, which are not exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006.
- (17) Includes 500 shares of common stock which may be acquired on the exercise of options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006. Excludes 40,500 shares obtainable through the exercise of options granted to Mr. Coissac, which are not exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006.
- (18) Includes 5,875 shares of common stock which may be acquired on the exercise of options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006. Excludes 15,625 shares obtainable through the exercise of options granted to Dr. Waeger, which are not exercisable within 60 days of March 1, 2006.
- (19) Consists of shares beneficially owned by Drs. Bell, Link, Madri, Mojciak, Squinto, and Waeger and Messrs. Keiser, Dubin, Norby, Parven, Sinha, Mathis and Coissac. Includes 1,316,040 shares of common stock, which may be acquired upon the exercise of options within 60 days of March 1, 2006.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table provides information about shares of our common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of options and rights under all of our existing equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2005.

Plan Category	Number of shares of common stock to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options (2)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options	Number of shares of common stock remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders ⁽¹⁾	5,056,874	\$ 24.16	1,798,967
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders			

(1) Reflects aggregate options outstanding and available for issuance under our 2004 Incentive Plan.

(2) Does not include 35,211 shares of common stock to be issued upon exercise of options granted under Prolifaron Inc. 1999 Long Term Incentive and Stock Option Plan with a weighted vested average exercise price of \$45.45 per share. The stock options granted under this plan were converted into options to acquire shares of our common stock in connection with our acquisition of Prolifaron in September 2000. No subsequent grants of options will be made under this plan.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS.

None

PART IV

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

The information required by this Item will be set forth in our definitive Proxy Statement under the caption Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm , to be filed within 120 days after the end of the five months ended December 31, 2005 covered by this Transition Report on Form 10-K/T, and is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement.

Item 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

(1) Financial Statements

The financial statements required by this item are submitted in a separate section beginning on page F-1 of this report.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

Schedules have been omitted because of the absence of conditions under which they are required or because the required information is included in the financial statements or notes thereto beginning on page F-1 of this report.

(3) Exhibits:

- 2.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc., PI Acquisition Company, Inc., and Prolifaron, Inc., dated September 22, 2000. (1)
- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation, as amended. (13)
- 3.2 Bylaws, as amended. (12)
- 4.1 Specimen Common Stock Certificate. (2)
- 4.2 Form of Amended and Restated Senior Debt Indenture dated as of May 7, 2004 between Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee. (16)
- 4.3 Form of Amended and Restated Subordinated Debt Indenture dated as of May 7, 2004 between Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee. (16)
- 4.4 Rights Agreement between Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company, Rights Agent, dated as of February 14, 1997. (17)
- 4.5 Amendment No. 1 to Rights Agreement, dated as of September 18, 2000, between Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Continental Stock Transfer and Trust Company. (18)
- 4.6 Amendment No. 2 to Rights Agreement, dated as of December 12, 2001, between Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Continental Stock Transfer and Trust Company, which includes as Exhibit B the form of Right Certificate. (19)

- 4.7 Amendment No. 3 to Rights Agreement, dated as of November 16, 2004, between Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Continental Stock Transfer and Trust Company. (20)
- 4.8 Indenture between Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association relating to Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. s 1.375% Convertible Senior Notes due 2012. (21)
- 4.9 Registration Rights Agreement between Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc., SG Cowen & Co., LLC and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. (21)
- 10.1 Employment Agreement, dated as of February 14, 2006, between the Company and Dr. Leonard Bell. (10)
- 10.2 Employment Agreement, dated as of February 14, 2006, between the Company and David W. Keiser. (10)
- 10.3 Employment Agreement, dated as of February 14, 2006, between the Company and Dr. Stephen P. Squinto. (10)
- 10.4 Employment Agreement, dated as of February 14, 2006, between the Company and Vikas Sinha. (10)
- 10.5 Employment Agreement, dated November 7, 2005, between the Company and Patrice Coissac. (24)
- 10.6 Form of Employment Agreement (Senior Vice Presidents). (10)
- 10.7 Severance Letter Agreement, dated as of November 7, 2005, by and between Alexion Europe SAS and Patrice Coissac. (24)
- 10.8 Administrative, Research and Development Facility Lease, dated May 9, 2000, between the Company and WE Knotter L.L.C. (3)
- 10.9 Company s 1992 Stock Option Plan, as amended. (9)
- 10.10 Company s 2000 Stock Option Plan, as amended. (12)
- 10.11 Company s 1992 Outside Directors Stock Option Plan, as amended. (5)
- 10.12 Company s 2004 Incentive Plan. (14)
- 10.13 Exclusive License Agreement dated as of June 19, 1992 among the Company, Yale University and Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation. (2)
- 10.14 License Agreement dated as of September 30, 1992 between the Company and Yale University, as amended July 2, 1993. (2)+
- 10.15 Exclusive Patent License Agreement dated April 21, 1994 between the Company and the National Institutes of Health (2)
- 10.16 License Agreement dated as of January 10, 1995 between the Company and Yale University. (2)
- 10.17 License Agreement dated as of May 27, 1992 between the Company and Yale University, as amended September 23, 1992. (2)+
- 10.18 License Agreement dated March 27, 1996 between the Company and Medical Research Council. (5)+
- 10.19 License Agreement dated May 8, 1996 between the Company and Enzon, Inc. (5)+

-
- 10.20 Asset Purchase Agreement date as of February 9, 1999 between the Company and United States Surgical Corporation. (6)
- 10.21 Collaboration Agreement dated January 25, 1999 between the Company and the Procter & Gamble Company, as amended. (6)+
- 10.22 Binding Memorandum of Understanding dated December 11, 2001 between the Company and the Procter & Gamble Company. (7)+
- 10.23 Research and Development Facility lease, dated February 1, 2002, between the Company and PMSI SRF L.L.C. (8)
- 10.24 Large-Scale Product Supply Agreement, dated December 18, 2002, between the Company and Lonza Biologics plc., as amended. (13)+
- 10.25 Industrial Real Estate lease, dated January 1, 2003, between the Company and SP-K Development, LLC. (9)
- 10.26 Co-Development and Co-Commercialization Agreement between the Company and XOMA (US) LLC, dated December 17, 2003. (11)+
- 10.27 Form of Stock Option Agreement for Directors. (14)
- 10.28 Form of Stock Option Agreement for Executive Officers (Form A). (22)
- 10.29 Form of Stock Option Agreement for Executive Officers (Form B). (22)
- 10.30 Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement for Executive Officers (Form A). (23)
- 10.31 Form of a Stock Option Agreement for named executive officer(s) of Alexion Europe SAS. (24)
- 10.32 Form of a Restricted Stock Agreement for named executive officer(s) of Alexion Europe SAS. (24)
- 12.1 Statement Regarding Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges. (13)
- 18.1 Letter re Change in Accounting Principles from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP dated as of March 6, 2006.
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
- 23.1 Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.
- 31.1 Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act.
- 32.2 Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act.

(1) Incorporated by reference to our report on Form 8-K, filed on October 3, 2000.

(2) Incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Reg. No. 333-00202).

- (3) Incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Reg. No. 333-36738) filed on May 10, 2000.
 - (4) Incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Reg. No. 333-71985) filed on February 8, 1999.
 - (5) Incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 1996.
 - (6) Incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 1999.
 - (7) Incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2002.
 - (8) Incorporated by reference to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 31, 2002.
 - (9) Incorporated by reference to our quarterly report on form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 31, 2003
 - (10) Incorporated by reference to our Report on Form 8-K filed on February 16, 2006.
 - (11) Incorporated by reference to our report on Form 8-K/A, filed on March 22, 2004.
 - (12) Incorporated by reference to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 31, 2004.
 - (13) Incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Reg. No. 333-128085), filed on September 2, 2005.
 - (14) Incorporated by reference to our report on Form 8-K, filed on December 16, 2004.
 - (15) Incorporated by reference to our report on Form 8-K, filed on September 2, 2005.
 - (16) Incorporated by reference to Amendment No. 1 to Form S-3 (Reg. No. 333-114449), filed on May 10, 2004.
 - (17) Incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement on Form 8-A (Reg. No. 000-27756), filed on February 21, 1997.
 - (18) Incorporated by reference to Amendment No. 1 to our Registration Statement on Form 8-A (Reg. No. 000-27756), filed on October 6, 2000.
 - (19) Incorporated by reference to Amendment No. 2 to our Registration Statement on Form 8-A (Reg. No. 000-27756), filed on February 12, 2002.
 - (20) Incorporated by reference to Amendment No. 3 to our Registration Statement on Form 8-A (Reg. No. 000-27756), filed on November 17, 2004.
 - (21) Incorporated by reference to our report on Form 8-K (Reg. No. 000-27756), filed on January 25, 2005.
 - (22) Incorporated by reference to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 31, 2005.
 - (23) Incorporated by reference to our report on Form 8-K (Reg. No. 000-27756), filed on March 14, 2005.
 - (24) Incorporated by reference to our report on Form 8-K (Reg. No. 000-27756), filed on November 14, 2005.
- + Confidential treatment was granted for portions of such document.

Item 15(b) Exhibits

See (a) (3) above.

Item 15(c) Financial Statement Schedules

See (a) (2) above.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ALEXION PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

By: /s/ LEONARD BELL
Leonard Bell, M.D.
*Chief Executive Officer,
 Secretary and Treasurer*
 Dated: March 7, 2006

By: /s/ DAVID W. KEISER
David W. Keiser
President and Chief Operating Officer
 Dated: March 7, 2006

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<p>/s/ LEONARD BELL</p> <p>Leonard Bell, M.D.</p>	<p>Chief Executive Officer, Secretary, Treasurer and Director (principal executive officer)</p>	<p>March 7, 2006</p>
<p>/s/ DAVID W. KEISER</p> <p>David W. Keiser</p>	<p>President, Chief Operating Officer and Director</p>	<p>March 7, 2006</p>
<p>/s/ VIKAS SINHA</p> <p>Vikas Sinha, M.B.A., C.A.</p>	<p>Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial and accounting officer)</p>	<p>March 7, 2006</p>
<p>/s/ MAX LINK</p> <p>Max Link, Ph.D.</p>	<p>Chairman of the Board of Directors</p>	<p>March 7, 2006</p>
<p>/s/ LARRY L. MATHIS</p> <p>Larry L. Mathis</p>	<p>Director</p>	<p>March 7, 2006</p>
<p>/s/ JOSEPH A. MADRI</p> <p>Joseph A. Madri, Ph.D., M.D.</p>	<p>Director</p>	<p>March 7, 2006</p>
<p>/s/ R. DOUGLAS NORBY</p> <p>R. Douglas Norby</p>	<p>Director</p>	<p>March 7, 2006</p>

/s/ ALVIN S. PARVEN

Director

March 7, 2006

Alvin S. Parven

/s/ RUEDI E. WAEGER

Director

March 7, 2006

Ruedi E. Waeger, Ph.D.

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Contents

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and the Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

	Page(s)
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
Consolidated Financial Statements	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Loss</u>	F-6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	F-7
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-8 to F-33

F-1

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders

of Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.:

We have completed integrated audits of Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.'s five-month period ended December 31, 2005 and year ended July 31, 2005 consolidated financial statements and of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, and audits of its July 31, 2004 and July 31, 2003 consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Our opinions, based on our audits, are presented below.

Consolidated financial statements

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2005, July 31, 2005 and July 31, 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the five month period ended December 31, 2005 and each of the three years in the period ended July 31, 2005 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit of financial statements includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, during the transition period ended December 31, 2005, the Company changed the period in which it performs its annual goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles impairment test from March to the November. As discussed in Notes 1 and 11 to the financial statements, effective August 1, 2005, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment.

Internal control over financial reporting

Also, in our opinion, management's assessment, included in Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A, that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on those criteria. Furthermore, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the COSO. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on management's assessment and on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We conducted our audit of internal control over

financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. An audit of internal control over financial reporting includes obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Hartford, Connecticut

March 6, 2006

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(amounts in thousands)

	December 31, 2005	2005	July 31, 2004
ASSETS			
CURRENT ASSETS			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 43,629	\$ 46,951	\$ 113,224
Marketable securities	168,827	148,453	153,277
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	5,095	5,758	9,832
Total current assets	217,551	201,162	276,333
Property, plant and equipment, net	10,631	11,546	11,336
Property, plant and equipment held for sale			450
Goodwill, net	19,954	19,954	19,954
Prepaid manufacturing costs	10,000	10,600	9,500
Other assets	4,575	4,860	2,002
Total Assets	\$ 262,711	\$ 248,122	\$ 319,575
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY			
CURRENT LIABILITIES			
Notes payable	\$	\$	\$ 3,920
Accounts payable	3,865	7,455	3,973
Accrued expenses	20,629	16,364	11,004
Deferred revenue	767	820	588
Deferred research and development costs			188
Current portion of obligations under capital lease	129	75	
Total current liabilities	25,390	24,714	19,673
Obligations under capital lease	88	149	
Deferred revenue, less current portion	5,343	5,588	6,177
Deferred research and development costs, less current portion			1,203
Convertible notes	150,000	150,000	120,000
Total Liabilities	180,821	180,451	147,053
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES			
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY			
Preferred stock, \$.0001 par value; 5,000 shares authorized, no shares issued or outstanding			
Common stock, \$.0001 par value; 145,000 shares authorized; 30,980, 28,227 and 27,557 shares issued at December 31, 2005, July 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively	3	3	3
Additional paid-in capital	589,250	518,883	512,827
Treasury stock, at cost, 50 shares at December 31, 2005 and 37 shares July 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively	(981)	(600)	(600)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(315)	(566)	(347)
Deferred stock-based compensation expense		(1,938)	
Accumulated deficit	(506,067)	(448,111)	(339,361)
Total Stockholders Equity	81,890	67,671	172,522
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$ 262,711	\$ 248,122	\$ 319,575

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-4

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Five Month Period Ended December 31,		Year Ended July 31,		
	2005	2004 (unaudited)	2005	2004	2003
CONTRACT RESEARCH REVENUES	\$ 664	\$ 245	\$ 1,064	\$ 4,609	\$ 877
OPERATING EXPENSES					
Research and development	48,238	31,914	91,388	59,840	71,042
General and administrative	12,763	6,160	18,951	14,459	10,869
Impairment of fixed assets				760	2,560
Total operating expenses	61,001	38,074	110,339	75,059	84,471
Operating loss	(60,337)	(37,829)	(109,275)	(70,450)	(83,594)
OTHER INCOME AND EXPENSE					
Investment income	3,123	1,756	5,266	3,373	5,809
Interest expense	(1,192)	(3,153)	(6,125)	(7,709)	(7,694)
Gain from extinguishment of note payable		3,804	3,804		
Loss on early extinguishment of debt			(3,185)		
Loss before state tax benefit	(58,406)	(35,422)	(109,515)	(74,786)	(85,479)
STATE TAX BENEFIT	450	61	765	691	1,012
Net Loss	\$ (57,956)	\$ (35,361)	\$ (108,750)	\$ (74,095)	\$ (84,467)
BASIC AND DILUTED LOSS PER SHARE DATA					
Net loss per common share	\$ (1.90)	\$ (1.28)	\$ (3.90)	\$ (3.43)	\$ (4.64)
SHARES USED IN COMPUTING BASIC AND DILUTED NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE	30,523	27,685	27,852	21,622	18,209

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Loss

(amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Treasury Stock at Cost		Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Deferred Stock-Based Compensation	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholders Equity
	Shares	Amount		Shares	Amount				
Balances, July 31, 2002	18,241	\$ 2	\$ 385,197	37	\$ (600)	\$ 1,678	\$	\$ (180,799)	\$ 205,478
Net loss								(84,467)	(84,467)
Net change in unrealized gains on marketable securities						(1,026)			(1,026)
Comprehensive loss									(85,493)
Issuance of common stock from exercise of options	16		155						155
Noncash compensation expense related to grant of stock options			146						146
Balances, July 31, 2003	18,257	2	385,498	37	(600)	652		(265,266)	120,286
Net loss								(74,095)	(74,095)
Net change in unrealized gains on marketable securities						(999)			(999)
Comprehensive loss									(75,094)
Issuance of common stock from exercise of options	200		2,503						2,503
Noncash compensation expense related to grant of stock options			106						106
Issuance of common stock, net of issuance costs of \$7,301	9,100	1	124,720						124,721
Balances, July 31, 2004	27,557	3	512,827	37	(600)	(347)		(339,361)	172,522
Net loss								(108,750)	(108,750)
Net change in unrealized gains on marketable securities						(219)			(219)
Comprehensive loss									(108,969)
Issuance of common stock from exercise of options	563		3,743						3,743
Issuance of restricted common stock	107		2,150				(2,150)		
Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation							212		212
Noncash compensation expense related to grant of stock options			163						163
Balances, July 31, 2005	28,227	3	518,883	37	(600)	(566)	(1,938)	(448,111)	67,671
Net loss								(57,956)	(57,956)
Foreign currency translation						(8)			(8)
Net change in unrealized gains on marketable securities						259			259
Comprehensive loss									(57,705)
Issuance of common stock, net of issuance costs of \$2,145	2,500		64,517						64,517
Issuance of common stock from exercise of options	233		3,474						3,474
Issuance of restricted common stock	20								
Exchange of common shares for treasury				13	(381)				(381)
			(1,938)				1,938		

Edgar Filing: ALEXION PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-KT

Reversal of deferred stock-based compensation														
Share-based compensation expense				4,314					4,314					
Balances, December 31, 2005	30,980	\$	3	\$	589,250	50	\$	(981)	\$	(315)	\$	(506,067)	\$	81,890

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow

(amounts in thousands)

	Five Month Period Ended December 31,		Year Ended July 31 ,		
	2005	2004 (unaudited)	2005	2004	2003
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Net loss	\$ (57,956)	\$ (35,361)	\$ (108,750)	\$ (74,095)	\$ (84,467)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used by operating activities:					
Impairment of fixed assets				760	2,560
Gain from extinguishment of note payable		(3,804)	(3,804)		
Depreciation and amortization	1,636	1,349	3,682	3,593	3,726
Share-based compensation expense	4,314	7	375	106	146
Write off of deferred financing costs			1,212		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:					
Prepaid expenses and other assets	663	6,446	4,075	(2,969)	(2,261)
Prepaid manufacturing costs	600	(3,000)	(1,100)	500	(7,250)
Accounts payable	(3,589)	(3,651)	3,482	(412)	(1,133)
Accrued expenses	4,265	3,476	5,693	(279)	28
Deferred revenue	(298)	168	(357)	(588)	(545)
Deferred research and development costs		(78)	(1,391)	1,391	
Net cash used by operating activities	(50,365)	(34,448)	(96,883)	(71,993)	(89,196)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Purchase of marketable securities	(419,086)	(115,549)	(508,818)	(168,952)	(114,116)
Proceeds from maturity or sale of marketable securities	398,971	72,023	513,423	205,242	183,534
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	(444)	(894)	(2,980)	(3,135)	(3,070)
Purchase of patents and license technology				(5)	(37)
Net cash (used) provided by investing activities	(20,559)	(44,420)	1,625	33,150	66,311
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Proceeds from convertible debt offering			150,000		
Convertible debt issuance costs			(4,758)		
Redemption of convertible notes			(120,000)		
Exchange of 13,713 common shares during the five month period ended December 31, 2005	(381)				
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock	67,991	1,548	3,743	127,223	155
Net cash provided by financing activities	67,610	1,548	28,985	127,223	155
Effect of exchange rate changes	(8)				
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(3,322)	(77,320)	(66,273)	88,380	(22,730)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	46,951	113,224	113,224	24,844	47,574
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 43,629	\$ 35,904	\$ 46,951	\$ 113,224	\$ 24,844

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Business

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Alexion) was incorporated in 1992 and is engaged in the development of biologic therapeutic products for the treatment of severe diseases.

We have incurred operating losses since inception and have had no product sales to date. We will continue to seek financing to fund operating losses, and if deemed appropriate, establish manufacturing, sales, marketing, and distribution capabilities for our product candidates. We expect to incur substantial expenditures in the foreseeable future for the research, development and commercialization of our product candidates. We will seek to raise necessary funds through public or private equity or debt financings, bank loans, collaborative or other arrangements with corporate sources, or through other sources of financing.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Alexion Antibody Technologies (AAT), Alexion Europe SAS (AE), and Columbus Farming Corporation (CFC). Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Change in Fiscal Year

On December 9, 2005, our Board of Directors approved a change to our fiscal year from July 31 to December 31 commencing in 2005. The five month results now being reported relate to the transitional period ended December 31, 2005. Unaudited comparative information for the five month period ended December 31, 2004 is included in the Statement of Operations and the Statement of Cash Flow.

Change in Accounting Principle

Prior to our change in fiscal year, we would test goodwill for impairment annually in March and whenever events or changes in circumstances would indicate the carrying amount of goodwill might not be recoverable. This is more fully described in Goodwill under our significant accounting policies. In connection with the change in fiscal year to December 31, we changed the timing of our annual impairment test. For the five month period ended December 31, 2005, our impairment test was performed in November 2005. We will continue to perform our impairment test in November going forward. We believe the change from an annual impairment test in our third quarter (March) under our previous fiscal year to our fourth quarter (November) in our new fiscal year, supports consistency in the application of this accounting principle. This change had no effect on net income or earnings per share.

Foreign Currency Translation

For foreign subsidiaries with a functional currency different from U.S. dollars, we translate their financial statements into U.S. dollars using the current exchange rate at each balance sheet date for assets and liabilities,

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

the average exchange rate prevailing during each period for revenues and expenses; and the historical exchange rate for our investments in our foreign subsidiaries. Adjustments from translating these financial statements into U.S. dollars are included in accumulated other comprehensive loss.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents are stated at fair value, which approximates market, and include short-term highly liquid investments with original maturities of less than 90 days.

Marketable Securities

We invest in marketable debt securities of highly rated financial institutions and investment-grade debt instruments and limit the amount of credit exposure with any one entity. We have classified our marketable securities as available for sale and, accordingly, carry such securities at aggregate fair value. Unrealized gains or losses are included in accumulated other comprehensive loss as a separate component of stockholders equity.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant, and equipment are recorded at original cost. Depreciation and amortization on plant and equipment is computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the assets.

Long-Lived Assets

We assess the potential impairment of long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors that we consider important, and which could trigger an impairment review, include, among others, the following:

a significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which a long-lived asset is being used;

a significant adverse change in the business climate that could affect the value of a long-lived asset; and

a significant decrease in market value of assets.

If we determine that the carrying value of long-lived assets may not be recoverable, based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment, we will compare the carrying value of the asset group to the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the group. If the carrying value exceeds the undiscounted cash flows, we will then compare the carrying value of the asset group to its fair value to determine whether an impairment charge is required. If the fair value is less than the carrying value, such amount is recognized as an impairment charge.

Intangible Assets

Identifiable intangible assets are recorded at original cost. Intangible assets with finite lives are amortized evenly over their estimated useful lives. Intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized.

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the difference between the purchase price of acquired businesses and the fair value of their net assets, and is not amortized. We test goodwill for impairment at least annually and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of goodwill might not be recoverable. No impairment charges have occurred as a result of our annual impairment assessment.

Prepaid Manufacturing Costs

Cash advances paid by us to secure future long-term manufacturing production at third-party contract manufacturers are recorded as prepaid manufacturing costs. These costs are recognized over the period of manufacturing production on a unit of production method. The cash advances are subject to refund if the manufacturing facility is unavailable as scheduled or forfeiture if we terminate the scheduled production. (See Note 10)

Revenue Recognition

We record contract research revenues from research and development support payments, license fees and milestone payments under collaboration with third parties, and amounts received from various government grants. We evaluate all deliverables in our collaborative agreement to determine whether they represent separate units of accounting. Deliverables qualify for separate accounting treatment if they have standalone value to the customer and if there is objective evidence of fair value of the undelivered item.

Up-front, non-refundable license fees received in connection with collaboration are deferred and amortized as revenue over the life of the agreement or underlying technologies.

Revenues derived from the achievement of milestones are recognized when the milestone is achieved, provided that the milestone is substantive and a culmination of the earnings process has occurred. Revenues derived from the achievement of milestones or recognition of related work when performed under terms of a contract may cause our operating results to vary considerably from period to period. Research and development support revenues are recognized as the related work is performed and expenses are incurred under the terms of the contracts for development activities.

Deferred revenue results from cash received or amounts receivable in advance of revenue recognition under research and development contracts.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses are comprised of costs incurred in performing research and development activities including salaries and benefits, pre-clinical, clinical trial and related clinical

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

manufacturing costs, manufacturing development and scale-up costs, contract services and other outside contractor costs, research license fees, depreciation and amortization of lab facilities, and lab supplies. These costs are expensed when incurred.

We have entered into a research agreement in which we share costs with our collaborator. We record these costs as research and development expenses as incurred. A portion of these costs are reimbursed by our collaborator and are recorded as a reduction of research and development expense.

Stock-Based Compensation

We adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R), effective August 1, 2005. SFAS 123R requires the recognition of the fair value of stock-based compensation in net earnings. We have one stock-based compensation plan known as the 2004 Incentive Plan. Under this plan, restricted stock, stock options and other stock-related awards may be granted to our directors, officers, employees and consultants or advisors of the Company or any subsidiary. To date, stock-based compensation issued under the plan consists of incentive and non-qualified stock options and restricted stock. Stock options are granted to employees at exercise prices equal to the fair market value of our stock at the dates of grant. Generally, stock options and restricted stock granted to employees fully vest four years from the grant date. Stock options have a term of 10 years. We recognize stock-based compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the individual grants, generally the service period equals the vesting period.

On March 29, 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 (SAB 107), which expresses views of the SEC staff regarding the interaction between SFAS 123R and certain SEC rules and regulations and provides the SEC staff's views regarding the valuation of share-based payment arrangements for public companies. In particular, SAB 107 provides guidance related to share-based payment transactions with non-employees, the transition from non-public to public entity status, valuation methods (including assumptions such as expected volatility and expected term), the accounting for certain redeemable financial instruments issued under share-based payment arrangements, the classification of compensation expense, non-GAAP financial measures, first-time adoption of SFAS 123R in an interim period, capitalization of compensation cost related to share-based payment arrangements, the accounting for income tax effects of share-based payment arrangements upon adoption of SFAS 123R, the modification of employee share options prior to adoption of SFAS 123R and disclosures in Management's Discussion and Analysis subsequent to adoption of SFAS 123R.

On November 10, 2005, the FASB staff issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 123R-3, Transition Election Related to Accounting for the Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards (FSP 123R-3). FSP 123R-3 provides a transition election related to the accounting for the income tax effects of stock-based-compensation awards upon an entity's adoption of SFAS No. 123R. FSP 123R-3 gives entities an election to select an alternative transition method (the short-cut method) for the calculation of the pool of windfall tax benefits as of

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

the adoption date of SFAS No.123R. We elected to adopt the short-cut method upon adoption of SFAS No.123R and accordingly our pool of windfall tax benefits was zero on the adoption date because we have had net operating losses since inception.

Prior to August 1, 2005, we accounted for the 2004 Incentive Plan and preceding plans under the intrinsic value method described in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, (APB No. 25) and related Interpretations as permitted by Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. (SFAS 123). When applying the intrinsic value method, we generally did not record stock-based compensation cost because the exercise price of our stock options equalled the market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant. We have elected to utilize the modified prospective transition method for adopting SFAS 123R. Under this method, the provisions of SFAS 123R apply to all awards granted or modified after the date of adoption. In addition, the unrecognized expense of awards not yet vested at the date of adoption, determined under the original provisions of SFAS 123, shall be recognized in the periods after the date of adoption.

SFAS 123R requires us to present pro forma information for periods prior to the adoption as if we had accounted for all stock-based compensation under the fair value method of SFAS 123. For purposes of pro forma disclosure, the estimated fair value of the options at the date of grant is amortized to expense over the requisite service period, which generally equals the vesting period. The following table illustrates the effect on net loss and loss per share as if we had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 to our stock-based employee compensation.

	Year Ended July 31,		
	2005	2004	2003
Net loss, as reported	\$ (108,750)	\$ (74,095)	\$ (84,467)
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net loss	217	67	96
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards	(10,276)	(14,552)	(15,433)
Pro forma net loss	\$ (118,809)	\$ (88,580)	\$ (99,804)
Basic and diluted as reported	\$ (3.90)	\$ (3.43)	\$ (4.64)
Basic and diluted pro forma	\$ (4.27)	\$ (4.10)	\$ (5.48)

The stock-based compensation for grants of stock options as presented above does not include restricted stock expense, which was reported as part of the net loss.

Upon adoption of SFAS 123R, we recognized the compensation expense associated with awards granted after August 1, 2005, and the unvested portion of previously granted awards that remain outstanding as of August 1, 2005. During the five month period ended December 31, 2005, we recognized total compensation expense of \$4,054 for stock options and \$260 for restricted stock. Due to our net loss position, a windfall tax

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

benefit was not realized during the period. The balance of deferred stock-based compensation at July 31, 2005 related to the restricted stock grants noted above was approximately \$1,938 at July 31, 2005. Upon the adoption of SFAS 123R, we eliminated the deferred stock-based compensation account of \$1,938 through corresponding adjustments to additional paid-in-capital. Compensation expense related to the restricted stock will be recognized in our Statement of Operations over its vesting period.

For the period ended December 31, 2005, the adoption of SFAS 123R had the following effect on reported amounts that would have been reported using the intrinsic value method under APB No. 25:

	Five Month Period Ended		
	December 31, 2005		
	Using		
	APB No. 25 Accounting	SFAS 123R Adjustments	As Reported
Operating loss	\$ (56,283)	\$ (4,054)	\$ (60,337)
Loss before income tax benefit	(54,352)	\$ (4,054)	(58,406)
Net loss	(53,902)	\$ (4,054)	(57,956)
Basic and diluted earnings per share	(1.77)	(0.13)	(1.90)

The adoption of SFAS 123R had no effect on the statement of cash flows due to our current loss position.

Earnings (Loss) per Share (EPS)

Basic EPS is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if options or other contracts to issue Common Stock were exercised or converted into Common Stock. Due to our net loss, convertible debt, unvested restricted stock, and stock options granted under the stock option plan but not yet exercised are antidilutive and therefore not considered for the diluted EPS calculations. The convertible debt, unvested restricted stock, and stock options entitled holders to acquire 9,994,295, 9,604,003, 5,669,764, and 5,148,365 shares of common stock for the five month period ended December 31, 2005 and the years ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively. There is no difference in basic and diluted net loss per common share as the effect of other potential common share equivalents is anti-dilutive for all periods presented.

Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes are provided for differences between the income tax and the financial reporting bases of assets and liabilities at the statutory tax rates that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance for deferred tax assets is recorded to the extent we cannot determine that the ultimate realization of net deferred tax assets is more likely than not. In making such determination, we may consider estimated future reversals of existing temporary differences, estimated future earnings and available tax planning strategies. To the extent that the estimates of these items are reduced or not realized, the amount of the deferred tax assets considered realizable could be adversely affected.

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Segment Reporting

SFAS No. 131, *Disclosure about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, establishes annual and interim reporting standards for an enterprise's operating segments and related disclosures about its products, services, geographic areas and major customers. We have determined that we operate in only one segment. In addition, all revenues are generated from United States entities, and all long-lived assets are maintained in the United States.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2005, the FASB issued FASB 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*. The Statement replaces APB Opinion No. 20, *Accounting Changes*, and FASB Statement No. 3, *Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements*, and changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle. The Statement applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle. It also applies to changes required by an accounting pronouncement in the unusual instance that the pronouncement does not include specific transition provisions. When a pronouncement includes specific transition provisions, those provisions should be followed. This statement is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005.

In March 2004, the EITF reached a consensus on Issue No. 03-1, *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments*. EITF 03-1 provides guidance on other-than-temporary impairment models for marketable debt and equity securities accounted for under SFAS 115 and non-marketable equity securities accounted for under the cost method. The EITF developed a basic three-step model to evaluate whether an investment is other-than-temporarily impaired. In November 2005, the FASB approved the issuance of FASB Staff Position FAS No. 115-1 and FAS 124-1, *The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments*. The FSP addresses when an investment is considered impaired, whether the impairment is other-than-temporary and the measurement of an impairment loss. The FSP also includes accounting considerations subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-temporary. The FSP is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2005 with earlier application permitted. For Alexion, the effective date will be the first quarter of fiscal 2006. The adoption of this accounting principle is not expected to have a significant impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

Collaboration and License Agreements

Procter & Gamble Pharmaceuticals Collaboration

In January 1999, we and Procter & Gamble Pharmaceuticals (P&G) entered into an exclusive collaboration to develop and commercialize pexelizumab. We granted P&G an exclusive license to our intellectual property related to pexelizumab, with the right to sublicense.

In December 2001, we and P&G entered into a binding memorandum of understanding, or MOU, pursuant to which the January 1999 collaboration was revised. Under the revised structure per the MOU, we and P&G share decision-making and responsibility for all future U.S. development and commercialization costs for pexelizumab, including clinical, manufacturing, marketing, and sales efforts. The revised collaboration per the MOU provides that we and P&G each incur approximately 50% of all Phase III clinical trial, product development and manufacturing, and commercialization costs necessary for the potential approval and marketing of pexelizumab in the U.S. and that we will receive approximately 50% of the gross margin on U.S. sales, if any. P&G agreed to retain responsibility for future development and commercialization costs outside the U.S., with us receiving a royalty on sales outside the U.S., if any. We are responsible for royalties on certain third party intellectual property worldwide, if such intellectual property is necessary. Additionally, as part of the MOU, we will receive milestone payments for achieving specified development steps, regulatory filings and approvals.

We and P&G have agreed, as per the MOU, that we will share concurrently 50% of the ongoing U.S. pre-production and development manufacturing costs for pexelizumab as well as any AMI or CABG Phase III clinical trial costs.

P&G has the right to terminate the collaboration or sublicense its rights at any time. If P&G terminates the collaboration, as per the MOU, P&G is required to contribute its share of agreed to obligations and costs incurred prior to the termination, but may not be required to contribute towards obligations incurred after termination. In such circumstance all rights and the exclusive license to our intellectual property related to pexelizumab would revert back to us and we would be entitled to all future pexelizumab revenues, if any, without any sharing of revenues, if any, with P&G. If P&G were to sublicense its rights, the sub-licensee would be required to assume all of P&G's obligations under the collaboration.

We are recognizing a non-refundable up-front license fee of \$10,000 related to the P&G collaboration as revenue over 17 years representing the average of the remaining patent lives of the underlying technologies at the time the payment was received in fiscal 1999. We recorded this payment as deferred revenue. We recorded revenue related to this upfront payment for the five month period ended December 31, 2005 and the years ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003 of \$245, \$588, \$588 and \$673, respectively. Additionally, we recognized a milestone payment of \$4,000 during the year ended July 31, 2004.

Our net share of total expense related to the collaboration was \$17,805, \$36,358, \$15,902, and \$25,016, for the five month period ended December 31, 2005 and the years ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively. The majority of costs incurred under the collaboration were paid by P&G, which in turn obtained reimbursement

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

from us based on the cost sharing arrangement noted above. For the costs we incurred under the collaboration, we received reimbursements from P&G in the amounts of \$269, \$1,470, \$1,551, and \$2,971 for the five month period ended December 31, 2005 and the years ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, respectively.

We rely on P&G for the development, manufacture and potential commercialization of pexelizumab. Termination of our agreement by P&G or sublicense of its collaboration rights could cause significant delays in the development, manufacture and potential commercialization of pexelizumab and result in significant additional costs to us. Under terms of our MOU we may be obligated to reimburse P&G for 50% of cancellation costs under P&G's third-party pexelizumab manufacturing contract. Our portion of those cancellation costs could amount to as much as \$8,000.

License and Research and Development Agreements

We have entered into a number of license and research and development agreements since our inception. These agreements have been made with various research institutions, universities, contractors, collaborators, and government agencies in order to advance and obtain technologies and necessary services management believes important to our overall business strategy.

License agreements generally provide for an initial fee followed by annual minimum royalty payments. Additionally, certain agreements call for future payments upon the attainment of agreed to milestones, such as, but not limited to, Investigational New Drug (IND) application or approval of Biologics License Application (BLA). These agreements require minimum royalty payments based upon sales developed from the applicable technologies, if any.

Research and development agreements generally provide for us to fund future research projects. Based upon these agreements, we may obtain exclusive and non-exclusive rights and options to the applicable technologies developed as a result of the applicable research.

Clinical and manufacturing development agreements generally provide for us to fund manufacturing development and on-going clinical trials. Clinical trial and development agreements include contract services and outside contractor services including contracted clinical site services related to patient enrolment for our clinical trials. Manufacturing development agreements include clinical manufacturing and manufacturing development and scale-up. We have executed a large-scale product supply agreement with Lonza Biologics, plc for the long-term commercial scale manufacture of Soliris (see Note 10).

In order to maintain our rights under these agreements, we may be required to provide a minimum level of funding or support. We may elect to terminate these arrangements. Accordingly, we recognize the expense and related obligation related to these arrangements over the period of performance.

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

The minimum fixed payments (assuming non-termination of the above agreements) as of December 31, 2005, for each of the next five years are as follows:

Years Ending December 31	License Agreements	Research and Development Agreements	Clinical and Manufacturing Development Agreements
2006	\$ 463	\$ 150	\$ 38,770
2007	943	150	19,530
2008	443		16,900
2009	443		
2010	468		

Should we achieve certain milestones related to product development and product license applications and approvals, additional payments would be required. In addition to the payments above, as of December 31, 2005, these agreements contain milestone payment provisions aggregating approximately \$23,018. The agreements also require us to fund certain future costs associated with the filing of patent applications.

2. Marketable Securities

The following table summarizes our marketable securities:

	Amortized Cost Basis	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Aggregate Fair Value
December 31, 2005				
Federal agency obligations	\$ 122,652	\$ 5	\$ (199)	\$ 122,458
Corporate bonds	37,809	4	(84)	37,729
Certificates of deposit	8,669		(29)	8,640
Total	\$ 169,130	\$ 9	\$ (312)	\$ 168,827
July 31, 2005				
Federal agency obligations	\$ 81,171	\$	\$ (336)	\$ 80,835
Corporate bonds	47,506	3	(198)	47,311
Certificates of deposit	10,806		(21)	10,785
Commercial paper	9,533		(11)	9,522
Total	\$ 149,016	\$ 3	\$ (566)	\$ 148,453
July 31, 2004				
Federal agency obligations	\$ 96,896	\$ 5	\$ (303)	\$ 96,598

Edgar Filing: ALEXION PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-KT

Corporate bonds	32,306	8	(74)	32,240
Certificates of deposit	24,361	1	(34)	24,328
Other	61	50		111
Total	\$ 153,624	\$ 64	\$ (411)	\$ 153,277

F-17

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

Unrealized losses of \$4 and \$3 related to holdings of cash equivalents are included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2005 and July 31, 2005, respectively.

Realized gains of approximately \$101 were recorded during the year ended July 31, 2005. No realized gains were recorded for the five month period ended December 31, 2005 and the years ended July 31, 2004 and 2003 and no realized losses were recorded for the five month period ended December 31, 2005 and the years ended July 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. We utilize the specific identification method in computing realized gains and losses. At December 31, 2005, our marketable securities had a maximum maturity of less than 2 years with an average of approximately 4 months. The weighted average interest rate associated with marketable debt securities was 4.4 percent, 3.7 percent and 1.9 percent at December 31, 2005 and July 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The following table summarizes the investment maturities at December 31, 2005:

	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Less than one year	\$ 156,360	\$ 156,085
Matures in one to five years	12,770	12,742
	\$ 169,130	\$ 168,827

We periodically review for impairment those investment securities that have unrealized losses for more than six months to determine if such unrealized losses are other than temporary. Gross unrealized losses from all individual investment securities aggregated to \$312, \$566 and \$411 at December 31, 2005 and July 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. We intend to hold these related investment securities to maturity and have the ability to do so. As a result, we consider these unrealized losses to be temporary and have not recorded a loss in our consolidated statements of operations.

The following tables shows the gross unrealized losses and fair value of our investments with unrealized losses that are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at:

December 31, 2005

Description of Securities	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Federal agency obligations	\$ 77,493	\$ (165)	\$ 24,200	\$ (34)	\$ 101,693	\$ (199)
Corporate bonds	11,332	(63)	12,395	(21)	23,727	(84)
Certificates of deposit	8,640	(29)			8,640	(29)
	\$ 97,465	\$ (257)	\$ 36,595	\$ (55)	\$ 134,060	\$ (312)

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

July 31, 2005

Description of Securities	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Federal agency obligations	\$ 57,406	\$ (257)	\$ 23,178	\$ (79)	\$ 80,584	\$ (336)
Corporate bonds	20,803	(143)	13,544	(55)	34,347	(198)
Certificates of deposit	10,785	(21)			10,785	(21)
Commercial paper	9,521	(11)			9,521	(11)
	\$ 98,515	\$ (432)	\$ 36,722	\$ (134)	\$ 135,237	\$ (566)

July 31, 2004

Description of Securities	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Federal agency obligations	\$ 15,424	\$ (30)	\$ 57,005	\$ (273)	\$ 72,429	\$ (303)
Corporate bonds	1,613	(11)	10,802	(58)	12,415	(69)
Certificates of deposit	17,961	(30)	5,102	(3)	23,063	(33)
Commercial paper	11,344	(6)			11,344	(6)
	\$ 46,342	\$ (77)	\$ 72,909	\$ (334)	\$ 119,251	\$ (411)

For the investments in all categories shown in the above table, the unrealized losses were caused primarily by interest rate increases.

3. Other Assets

Prepaid expenses and other current assets consist of the following:

	December 31, 2005	July 31, 2005	July 31, 2004
Prepaid expenses	\$ 2,918	\$ 4,303	\$ 3,513
State tax receivable	1,766	1,316	1,493
Reimbursable contract costs	411	139	826
Milestone receivable			4,000
	\$ 5,095	\$ 5,758	\$ 9,832

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

Other non-current assets consist of the following:

	December 31, 2005	July 31, 2005	July 31, 2004
Deferred financing costs , net	\$ 4,123	\$ 4,404	\$ 1,547
Deposits and other assets	452	456	455
	\$ 4,575	\$ 4,860	\$ 2,002

4. Property, Plant and Equipment

A summary of property, plant and equipment is as follows:

Asset	Estimated Useful Lives (years)	December 31, 2005	July 31, 2005	July 31, 2004
Land		\$	\$	\$ 364
Buildings and improvements	5 15	9,798	9,721	9,897
Laboratory equipment	5 7	10,798	10,566	12,906
Furniture and office equipment	3 5	3,702	3,638	4,177
		24,298	23,925	27,344
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization		(13,667)	(12,379)	(15,558)
		\$ 10,631	\$ 11,546	\$ 11,786

Leasehold improvements are amortized over the term of the lease or the estimated useful life of the asset, whichever is shorter. Depreciation and amortization of fixed assets was approximately \$1,359 for the five month period ended December 31, 2005 and \$2,996, \$2,865 and \$3,108 for the years ended July 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

During the year ended July 31, 2005 we, utilizing the services of third party appraisers, performed an inventory of all fixed assets. Performance of the inventory found that assets with a cost of approximately \$4,600 and accumulated depreciation of approximately \$4,500 included in our accounting records at the time were no longer in service and held by us. Consequently, we recorded a loss on disposal of assets of approximately \$100 for the year ended July 31, 2005.

We have classified the property, plant and equipment of CFC as property, plant and equipment held for sale as of July 31, 2004 under SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets (see Note 6).

During the year ended July 31, 2003, we concluded that further investment in the UniGraft program did not meet sufficient criteria for continued development. The termination of the UniGraft program resulted in an

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

impairment to CFC's UniGraft manufacturing assets, causing a write down of approximately \$760 and \$2,560 of those assets for years ended July 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

5. Note Payable

In February 1999, CFC purchased substantially all of the assets of the UniGraft xenotransplantation program, including principally, land, buildings and laboratory equipment, from its then partner in the program, U.S. Surgical Corporation, now a division of Tyco International, Ltd., or Tyco. The purchase was financed through the issuance by CFC of a \$3,900 note payable to Tyco. Upon CFC's failure to make its quarterly interest payment due Tyco in August 2003, CFC defaulted on the note.

In the quarter ended October 31, 2003, in conjunction with the event of default, we notified Tyco that the UniGraft xenotransplantation program and CFC activities had been terminated. In the quarter ended October 31, 2004 an offer of \$450 from a third-party was accepted by Tyco for CFC's assets. Tyco retained the proceeds from the sale of CFC's assets and extinguished the note and unpaid interest. We transferred the assets to Tyco as of October 31, 2004. Since CFC's assets, consisting of property, plant and equipment, were insufficient to satisfy the \$3,900 note, unpaid interest of \$300, and other obligations of CFC, Tyco formally discharged CFC of any further obligations. As a result, we extinguished the \$3,900 note and unpaid interest of \$300 offset by the transfer of CFC's assets of \$450 to Tyco. Consequently, we recorded the resulting gain of \$3,804 as gain from extinguishment of note payable in August 2004.

6. Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consist of the following:

	December 31,	July 31,	
	2005	2005	2004
Clinical expense	\$ 10,412	\$ 9,459	\$ 3,613
Pre-commercial expenses	4,538	585	712
Deferred rent and other	2,203	2,079	1,833
Payroll and employee benefits	2,062	3,652	1,689
Interest expense	865	5	2,881
Research and development expenses	549	584	276
	\$ 20,629	\$ 16,364	\$ 11,004

7. Convertible Notes

In January 2005 we sold \$150,000 principal amount of 1.375% Convertible Senior Notes due February 1, 2012 (the 1.375% Notes) in a private placement to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The interest rate on the notes is 1.375% per annum on the principal

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

amount from January 25, 2005, payable semi-annually in arrears in cash on February 1 and August 1 of each year, beginning August 1, 2005. The 1.375% Notes is convertible into our common stock at an initial conversion rate of 31.7914 shares of common stock (equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$31.46 per share) per \$1 principal amount of the 1.375% Notes, subject to adjustment, at any time prior to the close of business on the final maturity date of the notes. We do not have the right to redeem any of the 1.375% Notes prior to maturity.

We do not have financial covenants related to debt. However, there are certain designated events which could occur such as a liquidation, tender offer, consolidation, merger, recapitalization, or otherwise, in connection with which 50% or more of our common stock is exchanged for, converted into, acquired for or constitutes solely the right to receive, consideration which is not at least 90% common stock that is listed on a U.S. national exchange or market. If the holder elects to convert its 1.375% Notes upon the occurrence of a designated event, the holder will be entitled to receive an additional number of shares of common stock on the conversion date. These additional shares are intended to compensate the holders for the loss of the time value of the conversion option, are set according to a table within the offering document, and are capped (in no event will the shares issuable upon conversion of a note exceed 42.9100 per \$1,000 principal amount).

We incurred deferred financing costs related to this offering of approximately \$4,800 which are recorded in the consolidated balance sheet and are being amortized as a component of interest expense over the seven-year term of the notes.

A shelf registration statement covering the resale of the notes and the common stock issuable upon conversion of these notes was declared effective by the SEC on May 25, 2005.

The net proceeds of approximately \$145,200 from this offering were used to redeem our entire outstanding \$120,000 principal amount of 5.75% Convertible Subordinated Notes due March 2007 (5.75% Notes) and for general corporate purposes. On March 15, 2005, we redeemed all of the 5.75% Notes outstanding at the redemption price of 101.643% for each \$1 principal amount of 5.75% Notes. We paid a redemption premium related to these notes of approximately \$2,000 during the year ended July 31, 2005. We incurred deferred financing costs related to this offering of approximately \$4,000, which was amortized as a component of interest expense over the term of these notes. The remaining balance of deferred financing costs was approximately \$1,200 at the redemption date. The difference between the amount paid, including the redemption premium, and the carrying value of the notes, including the remaining deferred financing costs, was recognized as a \$3,185 loss from early extinguishment of convertible notes.

Amortization expense associated with deferred financing costs for the five month period ended December 31, 2005 and the years ended July 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 was approximately \$282, \$686, \$573 and \$573, respectively.

Cash paid for interest expense for the five month period ended December 31, 2005 and the years ended July 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 was approximately \$0, \$7,966, \$6,901 and \$7,135, respectively.

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

8. Leases**Capital Leases**

We lease office equipment under capital lease agreements expiring in 2007. The assets and liabilities under capital lease are recorded at the lower of the present value of the minimum lease payments or the fair value of the asset. The assets are amortized over the lower of their related lease terms or their estimated useful lives. Amortization of assets under capital lease is included in depreciation expense. As of December 31, 2005, the cost of equipment under capital lease is \$418 and the net book value is \$177.

Minimum future lease payments under capital lease as of December 31, 2005 are:

Year	
2006	\$ 138
2007	90
	228
Less : Amount representing interest	(11)
Present value of minimum lease payments	\$ 217

The interest rate on the above capital lease is 5.625% and is imputed based on our incremental borrowing rate at the inception of each lease.

Operating Leases

As of December 31, 2005, we lease our headquarters and primary research and development facilities in Cheshire, Connecticut. The lease commenced in August 2000 and has a term of ten years and six months. We are required to pay a pro rata percentage of real estate taxes and operating expenses. Monthly fixed rent started at approximately \$80, increasing to approximately \$104 over the term of this lease. We have issued a \$200 open letter of credit to secure the lease.

In January 2003, we entered into a lease agreement for our pilot manufacturing plant and associated labs and offices in New Haven, Connecticut. The pilot plant is used for producing compounds for clinical trials. Monthly fixed rent started at approximately \$36, increasing to approximately \$50 over the term of the lease, which expires in 2007. We have the option to extend the lease for an additional three years.

Also, we lease additional research space in San Diego, California, starting at a monthly fixed rent of approximately \$35 increasing to approximately \$90 as the facility is expanded. This lease expires in 2012.

Furthermore, we rent office space in Paris, France, at a monthly fixed rent of approximately \$28. The rental agreement term is six months, with automatic renewal unless terminated by either party, with a minimum of three months prior notice.

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

Aggregate lease expense for our facilities was \$1,094, \$2,296, \$2,176 and \$1,998 for the five month period ended December 31, 2005 and the years ended July 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. Lease expense is being recorded on a straight-line basis over the applicable lease terms.

Aggregate future minimum annual rental payments for the next five years and thereafter under non-cancellable operating leases (including facilities and equipment) as of December 31, 2005 are:

2006	\$ 2,360
2007	2,390
2008	1,920
2009	1,970
2010	2,040
Thereafter	1,410

9. Commitments and Contingencies**Purchase Commitments**

The Large-Scale Product Supply Agreement dated December 18, 2002, or the Lonza Agreement, between Lonza Biologics PLC, or Lonza, and us, relating to the manufacture of our product candidate Soliris, was amended, or the Lonza Amendment, in April 2004. Under the Lonza Amendment, the facility in which Lonza will manufacture Soliris is changed; the manufacturing capacity we are required to purchase is reduced; and future potential payments of \$10,000 by us to Lonza relating to achievement of Soliris sales milestones and of up to \$15,000 payable by us relating to manufacturing yields achieved by Lonza are eliminated. In August 2004 we paid Lonza an additional \$3,500 as a non-refundable advance under the Lonza Amendment. In addition, the amounts we would be required to pay in connection with a voluntary termination of the Lonza Agreement by us have been changed. Under the Lonza Agreement, as amended by the Lonza Amendment, if we terminate the Lonza Agreement on or prior to September 30, 2006, we may be required to pay different amounts, depending on when the Lonza Agreement is terminated, which are between zero and approximately \$10,000 and, if we terminate the Lonza Agreement after September 30, 2006, we may be required to pay for batches of product scheduled for manufacture up to 12 months following termination.

The amounts paid to Lonza in consideration of the Lonza Agreement are reflected as prepaid manufacturing costs within the accompanying balance sheet and are recognized as additional manufacturing costs as the batches are manufactured. On a quarterly basis, we evaluate our plans to proceed with production under the agreement which depends upon our clinical development programs progress as well as commercialization plans. In addition, we evaluate the prepaid manufacturing costs against estimated net realizable value (NRV). If estimated NRV is not positive, then all or a portion of the prepaid manufacturing cost may have to be recognized as an expense.

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

Indemnifications

We enter into indemnification provisions under our agreements with other companies in our ordinary course of business, typically with business partners, clinical sites, and suppliers. Pursuant to these agreements, we generally indemnify, hold harmless, and agree to reimburse the indemnified parties for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified parties in connection with any U.S. patent or any copyright or other intellectual property infringement claim by any third party with respect to our products, or use or testing of our product candidates. The term of these indemnification agreements is generally perpetual. The potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited. We have not incurred material costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements. As a result, the estimated fair value of these agreements is minimal. Accordingly, we have no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of December 31, 2005.

10. Income Taxes

At December 31, 2005, we have available for federal tax reporting purposes, net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$493,312 which expire through 2026 (of which approximately \$29,895 resulted from the exercise of nonqualified stock options as discussed below). We also have federal and state research and development credit carry forwards of approximately \$17,806 which begin to expire commencing in fiscal 2008. The Tax Reform Act of 1986 contains certain provisions that limit our ability to utilize net operating loss and tax credit carry forwards in any given year resulting from cumulative changes in ownership interests in excess of 50 percent over a three-year period. We have determined that these limiting provisions have been triggered, however, the limitation to us has been eliminated as of December 31, 2005. For the years ended July 31 2005 and 2004, the limitation is approximately \$1,402 annually.

The State of Connecticut provides companies with the opportunity to exchange certain research and development tax credit carry forwards for cash in exchange for foregoing the carry forward of the research and development credits. The program provides for such exchange of the research and development credits at a rate of 65 percent of the annual incremental and non-incremental research and development credits, as defined. For the five month period ended December 31, 2005, we plan to file claims to exchange research and tax development credits and, therefore, recognized a state tax benefit of \$450. The state tax benefit excludes our estimated capital-based state taxes of \$100 which was recorded as an operating expense.

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

The components of deferred income tax assets are as follows:

	December 31,	Year Ended July 31,	
	2005	2005	2004
Deferred income tax assets:			
Operating loss carryforwards	\$ 187,924	\$ 167,172	\$ 121,938
Tax credit carryforwards	17,850	16,127	12,236
Deferred revenues	2,396	2,496	2,635
Other	2,889	781	2,096
Total deferred tax assets	211,059	186,576	138,905
Less : valuation allowance	(211,059)	(186,576)	(138,905)
	\$	\$	\$

We have not yet achieved profitable operations. Accordingly, management believes the tax benefits as of December 31, 2005 do not satisfy the realization criteria and have recorded a valuation allowance for the total deferred tax asset.

The exercise of nonqualified stock options gives rise to compensation which is included in the taxable income of the applicable employees and deducted by us for federal and state income tax purposes. As a result of the exercise of nonqualified stock options, we have related net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$29,895 which can be used to offset future taxable income, if any. If and when realized, the related tax benefits of these net operating losses carry forwards will be credited directly to paid-in capital.

The reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax rate to our effective income tax rate is as follows:

	Five Month Period Ended December 31,	Year Ended July 31,		
	2005	2005	2004	2003
Federal statutory rate	-34%	-34%	-34%	-34%
State tax benefit, net of federal tax effect	-5%	-5%	-5%	-5%
Research & development credits	4%	-5%	-3%	-2%
Increase in deferred tax valuation allowance	34%	43%	41%	40%
Effective rate	-1%	-1%	-1%	-1%

11. Stock Options and Restricted Stock

Stock Options

Edgar Filing: ALEXION PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-KT

As of the five month period ended December 31, 2005, we have one stock option plan, the 2004 Incentive Plan (2004 Plan). Both the 2000 Stock Option Plan (2000 Plan) and the 1992 Stock Option Plan for Outside

F-26

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

Directors (1992 Outside Directors Plan) was terminated in December 2004 with the adoption of the 2004 Plan. Under the 2004 Plan, Common Stock as well as incentive and nonqualified stock options may be granted for up to a maximum of 3,093,519 shares of Common Stock to our directors, officers, key employees and consultants. The amount of shares authorized for granting includes 593,519 shares transferred from the 2000 Plan. Stock options granted under all Plans have a maximum term of ten years from the date of grant, have an exercise price not less than the fair value of the stock on the grant date and generally vest over four years.

The purpose of the 2004 Plan is to aid us in attracting, retaining, motivating and rewarding employees, non-employee directors and consultants of us or our subsidiaries or affiliates, to provide for equitable and competitive compensation opportunities, to recognize individual contributions and reward achievement of our goals, and promote the creation of long-term value for stockholders by closely aligning the interests of Participants with those of stockholders. The Plan authorizes stock-based and cash-based incentives for Participants.

During the year ended July 31, 2001, options to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock were granted to an employee at exercise prices which were less than fair value at the date of the grant. Accordingly, we recorded compensation expense based upon the difference between exercise price and fair value over the vesting period associated with these options. Compensation expense associated with these options is \$5, \$67 and \$65 for the years ended July 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. No compensation expense was recorded for the five month period ended December 31, 2005 because the options were fully vested. The weighted average exercise price of these options was \$75.51 per share. The weighted average fair value of these options at the date of grant was \$92.27 per option.

We also record compensation expense on certain options to purchase common stock granted prior to July 31, 2001 to employees and consultants. Compensation expense associated with these options was \$17 and \$78 for the years ended July 31, 2004 and 2003.

Compensation expense related to options issued to consultants was \$3, \$132, \$22 and \$4 for the five month period ended December 31, 2005 and the years ended July 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

The weighted average fair value at the date of grant for options granted during the five month period ended December 31, 2005 and the years ended July 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 is \$17.21, \$14.27, \$13.25, and \$8.58 per option, respectively.

Options exercisable at December 31, 2005 had an aggregate intrinsic value of \$0 and a weighted average remaining contractual life of 5 years. The intrinsic value of options exercised during the five month period ended December 31, 2005 was \$2,500. The fair market value of options vested during the five month period ended December 31, 2005 was \$3,382.

As of December 31, 2005, there was \$22,430 of total unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Plan. The expense is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2 years.

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

A summary of the status of our stock option plans at December 31, 2005, July 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 and changes during the periods then ended is presented in the table and narrative below:

	Five Month Period Ended December 31,		Year Ended July 31,	
	2005	2005	2004	2003
Options outstanding at the beginning of the period	4,729,793	4,542,210	4,020,810	3,557,605
Options granted	649,300	996,600	972,000	662,500
Options cancelled	(61,880)	(245,417)	(251,043)	(182,645)
Options exercised	(225,128)	(563,600)	(199,557)	(16,650)
Options outstanding at the end of the period	5,092,085	4,729,793	4,542,210	4,020,810
Options exercisable at the end of period	3,293,837	3,196,601	3,100,091	2,732,900
Common stock available for future issuances at the end of the period	1,798,967	2,441,828	1,259,129	671,836

Weighted average exercise price of options:

granted	\$ 26.28	\$ 18.78	\$ 19.88	\$ 11.68
cancelled	20.40	24.30	27.94	31.38
exercised	15.44	6.64	12.52	9.29
outstanding	24.16	23.40	22.38	22.84
exercisable	25.81	25.82	23.98	22.94

The following table presents weighted average price and life information about significant option groups outstanding at December 31, 2005:

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Number Outstanding	Weighted- Average Contractual Life (Yrs)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
\$2.37 to 9.00	235,575	2.7	\$ 8.88	235,575	\$ 8.88
\$9.01 to 20.99	2,411,280	6.6	14.82	1,401,402	12.95
\$21.00 to 24.50	1,212,552	6.6	21.91	930,395	21.60
\$24.51 to 54.00	678,511	8.7	29.85	172,298	36.43
\$54.01 to 87.00	524,167	4.3	67.06	524,167	67.06
\$87.01 to 108.00	30,000	0.0	107.88	30,000	107.88
	5,092,085	6.4	\$ 24.16	3,293,837	\$ 25.81

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

The fair value of options at the date of grant was estimated using the Black-Scholes model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	Five Month Period Ended December 31,		Year Ended July 31,	
	2005	2005	2004	2003
Expected Life in Years	6.25	7.5	5	5
Interest Rate	4.30%	4.10%	4.30%	3.70%
Volatility	68%	78%	82%	92%
Dividend Yield				

The expected stock price volatility rates are based on historical volatilities of our common stock. The risk free interest rates are based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant for periods corresponding with the expected life of the option. The average expected life represents the weighted average period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding giving consideration to vesting schedules and our historical exercise patterns. For the five month period ended December 31, 2005, the average expected life was determined using the simplified approach as permitted by SAB 107. As previously noted, we adopted SFAS 123R on August 1, 2005 and estimated the expected term and the related period over which expected volatility is calculated, in accordance with SAB 107.

Restricted Stock

A summary of the status of our non-vested restricted stock and changes during the periods then ended are:

	Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005	Year Ended July 31, 2005
Nonvested restricted stock at the beginning of the period	105,500	
Shares issued	30,000	109,800
Shares cancelled	(2,000)	(3,000)
Shares vested		(1,300)
Nonvested restricted stock at the end of the period	133,500	105,500
Restricted stock vested at period end	1,300	1,300
Weighted average grant date fair value	\$ 27.58	\$ 20.38

Restricted stock that generally vest over four years from grant date, has been issued to certain key employees and consultants. Compensation expense related to restricted stock for the five month period ended December 31, 2005 and the year ended July 31, 2005 was approximately \$260 and \$238, respectively. Prior to the year ended July 31, 2005, restricted stock was not issued. Upon the adoption of SFAS 123R, we had an immaterial cumulative effect on restricted stock.

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

12. Common and Preferred Stock

Common Stock

In August 2005, we sold 2,500,000 shares of common stock in a public offering at \$26.75 per share, resulting in gross proceeds from the sale of \$66,875. We incurred underwriting discounts and commissions of \$2,145, or \$0.86 per share as well as other expenses, resulting in net proceeds of \$64,530.

During the five month period ended December 31, 2005, we increased our holdings of common stock in treasury by 13,713 shares through stock-based exercises of employee options. The shares were exchanged at fair market value for \$381 in total.

In July 2004, we sold 5,500,000 shares of our Common Stock at a price of \$15.50 per share resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$80,900, net of underwriting discounts, fees and other expenses of approximately \$4,400 related to the transaction.

In September 2003, we sold 3,600,000 shares of our Common Stock at a price of \$13.00 per share resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$43,900, net of underwriting discounts, fees and other expenses of approximately \$2,900 related to the transaction.

Preferred Stock

In February 1997, our Board of Directors declared a dividend of one preferred stock purchase right for each outstanding share of Common Stock (including all future issuances of Common Stock). Under certain conditions, each right may be exercised to purchase one one-hundredth of a share of a new series of preferred stock at an exercise price of \$75.00 (see below), subject to adjustment. The rights may be exercised only after a public announcement that a party acquired 20 percent or more of our Common Stock or after commencement or public announcement to make a tender offer for 20 percent or more of our Common Stock. The rights, which do not have voting rights, expire on March 6, 2007, and may be redeemed by us at a price of \$0.01 per right at any time prior to their expiration or the acquisition of 20 percent or more of our stock. The preferred stock purchasable upon exercise of the rights will have a minimum preferential dividend of \$10.00 per year, but will be entitled to receive, in the aggregate, a dividend of 100 times the dividend declared on a share of Common Stock. In the event of liquidation, the holders of the shares of preferred stock will be entitled to receive a minimum liquidation payment of \$100 per share, but will be entitled to receive an aggregate liquidation payment equal to 100 times the payment to be made per share of Common Stock.

On September 18, 2000, our Board of Directors amended the purchase price under the preferred stock purchase rights. Such purchase price, for each one one-hundredth of a share of preferred stock to be issued upon the exercise of each preferred stock purchase right was increased from \$75.00 to \$725.00. Except for the increase in the purchase price, the terms and conditions of the rights remain unchanged.

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

In the event that we are acquired in a merger, other business combination transaction, or 50 percent or more of our assets, cash flow, or earning power are sold, proper provision shall be made so that each holder of a right shall have the right to receive, upon exercise thereof at the then current exercise price, that number of shares of Common Stock of the surviving company which at the time of such transaction would have a market value of two times the exercise price of the right.

13. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The components of accumulated other comprehensive losses are:

	Cummulative Translation Adjustment	Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Marketable Securities	Total
Balances, July 31, 2003	\$	\$ 652	\$ 652
Change in Unrealized gains (losses) on marketable securities		(999)	(999)
Translation Adjustment			
Balance at July 31, 2004	\$	\$ (347)	\$ (347)
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on marketable securities		(320)	(320)
Reclassification of realized gains included in net loss		101	101
Translation adjustment			
Balances, July 31, 2005		(566)	(566)
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on marketable securities		259	259
Translation adjustment	(8)		(8)
Balances, December 31, 2005	\$ (8)	\$ (307)	\$ (315)

14. 401(k) Plan

We have a qualified 401(k) plan covering all eligible employees. Under the plan, employees may contribute up to the statutory allowable amount for any calendar year. We make matching contributions at a rate of \$0.50 for each dollar deferred up to the first 6 percent of compensation. We made matching contributions of approximately \$202, \$390, \$330 and \$291 for the five month period ended December 31, 2005 and the years ended July 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

15. Revenues

We have been awarded various grants by agencies of the U.S. government to fund specific research projects. In July 2004, we received approval for a grant amounting to approximately \$700 from the National Institutes of Health to fund a specific research project. In November 2004, the Department of Defense awarded us a grant for approximately \$700 to fund additional specific research. In August 2005, the Department of Health and Human Services awarded us a grant for approximately \$297 to fund additional specific research. Grant research revenues

F-31

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

for the five month period ended December 31, 2005 and the years ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, was approximately \$419, \$476, \$21 and \$204, respectively.

16. Financial Instruments

The following methods and assumptions were used by us in estimating the fair value disclosures for financial instruments:

Cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities are carried at approximate fair value.

Milestone receivable, reimbursable contract costs, accounts payable, and notes payable are carried at cost which we believe approximate their fair value because of their short term maturity period.

The fair market value of convertible notes is determined based upon trading values reported at December 31, 2005.

	December 31, 2005		July 31, 2005		July 31, 2004	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 43,629	\$ 43,629	\$ 46,951	\$ 46,951	\$ 113,224	\$ 113,224
Marketable securities	168,827	168,827	148,453	148,453	153,277	153,277
Milestone receivable					4,000	4,000
Reimbursable contract costs			139	139	826	826
Notes payable					3,920	3,920
Accounts payable	3,865	3,865	7,455	7,455	3,973	3,973
Convertible debt	150,000	129,750	150,000	153,000	120,000	120,000

17. Quarterly Financial Information (unaudited)

The following is condensed quarterly financial information for the years ended July 31, 2005 and 2004:

	October 31, 2004	January 31, 2005	April 30, 2005	July 31, 2005
Revenue	\$ 147	\$ 563	\$ 151	\$ 203
Operating expenses	22,342	24,368	29,798	33,831
Operating loss	(22,195)	(23,805)	(29,647)	(33,628)
Net loss applicable to common shareholders	(19,188)	(24,470)	(32,450)	(32,642)
Net loss per common share, basic and diluted	(0.70)	(0.88)	(1.16)	(1.16)

Edgar Filing: ALEXION PHARMACEUTICALS INC - Form 10-KT

	October 31, 2003	January 31, 2004	April 30, 2004	July 31, 2004
Revenue	\$ 147	\$ 147	\$ 168	\$ 4,147
Operating expenses	19,502	17,824	14,361	23,372
Operating loss	(19,355)	(17,677)	(14,193)	(19,225)
Net loss applicable to common shareholders	(20,212)	(18,547)	(15,213)	(20,123)
Net loss per common share, basic and diluted	(1.01)	(0.85)	(0.69)	(0.88)

F-32

Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the Five Month Period Ended December 31, 2005 and Years Ended July 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003

(amounts in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

The following is condensed quarterly financial information for the three month period ended:

	October 31, 2005
Revenue	\$ 460
Operating expenses	36,758
Operating loss	(36,298)
Net loss applicable to common shareholders	(35,074)
Net loss per common share, basic and diluted	(1.16)

The following table outlines the condensed financial information for the two month period ended:

	December 31,	
	2005	2004
Revenue	\$ 204	\$ 98
Operating expenses	24,243	15,733
Operating loss	(24,039)	(15,635)
Net loss applicable to common shareholders	(22,882)	(16,173)
Net loss per common share, basic and diluted	(0.75)	(0.58)

Significant increases in operating expenses incurred in the 2 months ending December 31, 2005 compared to the same period in 2004 are primarily caused by clinical development activities, labor expenses and manufacturing development activities. The increased level of activity reflects the progress of our core development programs for Soliris and pexelizumab.

18. Subsequent Events

On January 27, 2006, we reported positive results from TRIUMPH, our pivotal Phase III placebo-controlled randomized efficacy trial using eculizumab in Paroxysmal Nocturnal Hemoglobinuria (PNH) patients. All pre-specified primary and secondary end points in the international trial were achieved with statistical significance.

On February 3, 2006, we announced that our Phase III trial of pexelizumab in AMI patients, known as APEX-AMI, will be completed prior to enrolling the originally anticipated number of patients. That announcement stated that enrollment would be capped at approximately 5,000 patients, ending near the beginning of March. We since have been encouraged by leading academic researchers involved in the trial to allow enrolment to proceed beyond those numbers, primarily to allow the trial to have a greater chance of success in achieving its primary endpoint of mortality benefit. Along with our partner P&G, we recently agreed to support continued enrolment in APEX-AMI for a limited period of time. We expect to update the anticipated timing of completion of APEX-AMI after further discussion with P&G, and after new definitive determinations have been made.