

TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LTD

Form 6-K

August 01, 2008

[Table of Contents](#)

FORM 6-K

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Report of Foreign Private Issuer

Pursuant to Rule 13a-16 or 15d-16

under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the month of August 2008

Commission File Number 0-16174

TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED

(Translation of registrant's name into English)

5 Basel Street, P.O. Box 3190

Petach Tikva 49131 Israel

(Address of principal executive offices)

Edgar Filing: TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LTD - Form 6-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover of Form 20-F or Form 40-F:

Form 20-F Form 40-F

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1):

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7):

Indicate by check mark whether by furnishing the information contained in this Form, the registrant is also hereby furnishing the information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes No

If Yes is marked, indicate below the file number assigned to the registrant in connection with Rule 12g(3)-2(b): 82-

Table of Contents

TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED

INDEX

	Page
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	3
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	4
<u>Operating and Financial Review and Prospects</u>	16
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	30
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	30
<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	30

Table of Contents**TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

(U.S. dollars in millions, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Net sales	\$ 2,823	\$ 2,386	\$ 5,395	\$ 4,466
Cost of sales	1,318	1,143	2,518	2,186
Gross profit	1,505	1,243	2,877	2,280
Research and development expenses	198	137	377	272
Selling, general and administrative expenses	669	469	1,183	925
Acquisition of research and development in process			382	
Operating income	638	637	935	1,083
Financial expenses - net	28	8	85	36
Income before income taxes	610	629	850	1,047
Provision for income taxes	68	113	161	188
	542	516	689	859
Share in loss of associated companies - net	1		*	
Minority interests in profits of subsidiaries - net	2	1	3	2
Net income	\$ 539	\$ 515	\$ 686	\$ 857
Earnings per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.69	\$ 0.67	\$ 0.88	\$ 1.12
Diluted	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.83	\$ 1.05
Weighted average number of shares (in millions):				
Basic	778	766	777	765
Diluted	836	828	836	827
Dividends per share	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.19

* Represents an amount of less than \$1 million.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents**TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(U.S. dollars in millions)

	June 30, 2008 Unaudited	December 31, 2007 Audited
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,484	\$ 1,488
Short-term investments	803	1,387
Accounts receivable	3,784	3,546
Inventories	2,907	2,440
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	845	998
Total current assets	10,823	9,859
Long-term investments and receivables	577	632
Property, plant and equipment, net	2,737	2,515
Identifiable intangible assets, net	1,917	1,919
Goodwill	8,670	8,407
Other assets, deferred taxes and deferred charges	296	80
Total assets	\$ 25,020	\$ 23,412
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Short-term debt	\$ 1,429	\$ 1,841
Sales reserves and allowances	2,077	1,733
Accounts payable	1,505	1,383
Other current liabilities	446	414
Total current liabilities	5,457	5,371
Long-term liabilities:		
Deferred income taxes	580	459
Other taxes payables	379	326
Employee related obligations	167	149
Senior notes and loans	1,888	1,914
Convertible senior debentures	1,433	1,433
Total long-term liabilities	4,447	4,281
Commitments and contingencies		
Total liabilities	9,904	9,652
Minority interests	41	36
Shareholders equity:		
Ordinary shares of NIS 0.10 par value; June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007: authorized - 1,500 million shares; issued and outstanding 812 million shares and 808 million shares, respectively	46	46
Additional paid-in capital	8,372	8,254
Retained earnings	5,526	5,041

Edgar Filing: TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LTD - Form 6-K

Accumulated other comprehensive income		2,055	1,365
Treasury shares June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 38 million and 40 million ordinary shares, respectively		(924)	(982)
Total shareholders equity		15,075	13,724
Total liabilities and shareholders equity		\$ 25,020	\$ 23,412

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents**TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(U.S. dollars in millions)

(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007
Operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 686	\$ 857
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided from operations:		
Depreciation and amortization	248	267
Deferred income taxes - net	(153)	(8)
Acquisition of research and development in process	382	
Impairment of assets	82	
Stock-based compensation	29	35
Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable	467	(107)
Increase in inventories	(348)	(234)
Increase in sales reserves and allowances, accounts payable and other current liabilities	113	113
Other items - net	46	13
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,552	936
Investing activities:		
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	(322)	(266)
Acquisition of subsidiaries, net of cash acquired	(414)	
Purchase of investments and other assets	(1,353)	(3,367)
Proceeds from realization of investments	1,890	2,960
Other items - net	72	(26)
Net cash used in investing activities	(127)	(699)
Financing activities:		
Proceeds from exercise of options by employees	45	124
Purchase of treasury shares		(152)
Excess tax benefit on options exercised	12	41
Proceeds from long-term loans and other long-term liabilities received	3	35
Discharge of long-term loans and other long-term liabilities	(111)	(6)
Net increase (decrease) in short-term credit	(128)	142
Dividends paid	(201)	(147)
Redemption of convertible senior notes	(141)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(521)	37
Translation differences on cash balances of certain subsidiaries	92	12
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	996	286
Balance of cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	1,488	1,332
Balance of cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 2,484	\$ 1,618

Supplementary disclosure of non-cash financing activities: During the second quarter of 2008, \$89 million principal amount of senior convertible notes were converted into approximately 2.0 million Teva shares.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

NOTE 1 Basis of presentation:

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the annual consolidated financial statements and, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments, which include only normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Limited (Teva or the Company). These consolidated financial statements and notes thereto are unaudited and should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2007, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The results of operations for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2008 are not necessarily indicative of results that could be expected for the entire fiscal year.

NOTE 2 Subsequent event:

On July 17, 2008, the Company and Barr Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Barr) signed a definitive agreement under which Teva agreed to acquire Barr. Barr, the fourth largest generic drug company worldwide, is a global pharmaceutical company that operates in more than 30 countries worldwide and is engaged in the development, manufacture and marketing of generic and proprietary pharmaceuticals, biopharmaceuticals and active pharmaceutical ingredients. Under the terms of the agreement, each share of Barr common stock will be converted into \$39.90 in cash and 0.6272 Teva shares. The total consideration for the acquisition is approximately \$7.5 billion (comprised of approximately \$4.5 billion in cash and approximately \$3.0 billion in Teva shares) plus the assumption of net debt of approximately \$1.5 billion. This acquisition is expected to further enhance Teva's leadership position in the U.S. and to significantly strengthen its position in key European Union and Central and Eastern European markets.

The closing of the transaction is subject to approval by the stockholders of Barr, antitrust notification and clearance statutes in North America and Europe and certain other countries, as well as other customary conditions. The transaction is expected to close in late 2008.

NOTE 3 Fair value measurement:

As stated in Note 10. Recently adopted accounting pronouncements, on January 1, 2008, the Company adopted the methods of fair value as described in SFAS No. 157 to value its financial assets and liabilities. As defined in SFAS No. 157, fair value is based on the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In order to increase consistency and comparability in fair value measurements, SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs used to measure fair value into three broad levels, which are described below:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for assets or liabilities. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to Level 1 inputs.

Level 2: Observable prices that are based on inputs not quoted on active markets, but corroborated by market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs are used when little or no market data is available. The fair value hierarchy gives the lowest priority to Level 3 inputs.

In determining fair value, the Company utilizes valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs to the extent possible as well as considers counterparty credit risk in its assessment of fair value.

Table of Contents**TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED**

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Financial items carried at fair value as of June 30, 2008 are classified in the table below in one of the three categories described above:

	June 30, 2008			Total
	U.S. \$ in millions			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,484	\$	\$	\$ 2,484
Marketable securities*	811	57	274	1,142
Derivatives net**		130		130
Total	\$ 3,295	\$ 187	\$ 274	\$ 3,756

* Marketable securities consist mainly of debt securities classified as available-for-sale and are recorded at fair value. The fair value of quoted securities is based on current market value (Level 1 input) or observable prices (Level 2 input). When securities do not have an active market nor observable prices, fair value is determined using a valuation model (Level 3 input). This model is based on reference to other instruments with similar characteristics, or a discounted cash flow analysis, or other pricing models making use of market inputs and relying as little as possible on entity-specific inputs. Changes in fair value, net of taxes, are reflected in other comprehensive income. Unrealized losses considered to be temporary are reflected in other comprehensive income; unrealized losses that are considered to be other-than-temporary are charged to income as an impairment charge.

** Derivatives primarily represent foreign currency and option contracts and interest rate swaps which are valued primarily based on observable inputs including interest rate curves and both forward and spot prices for currencies.

The following table summarizes the activity for those financial assets where fair value measurements are estimated utilizing Level 3 inputs.

	June 30, 2008
	U.S. \$ in millions
Carrying value as of January 1, 2008	\$ 331
Change from Level 1 to Level 3	58
Net change to fair value	(115)
Carrying value as of June 30, 2008	\$ 274

NOTE 4 Earnings per share:

Basic earnings per share are computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of ordinary shares (including special shares exchangeable into ordinary shares) outstanding during the period, net of treasury shares.

In computing diluted earnings per share for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2008 and the three months and six months ended June 30, 2007, basic earnings per share were adjusted to take into account the potential dilution that could occur upon: (1) the conversion of the convertible senior debentures and subordinated notes, using the if-converted method, by adding to net income interest expense on these debentures and subordinated notes, and amortization of issuance costs, net of tax benefits, and by adding to the number of shares the weighted average number of shares issuable upon assumed conversion of these debentures and subordinated notes; and (2) the exercise of options and restricted stock units (RSUs) granted under employee stock compensation plans, using the treasury stock method.

NOTE 5 Certain transactions:

a. Acquisitions:

1) Acquisition of CoGenesys, Inc.

On February 21, 2008, Teva acquired the total shareholdings and control of CoGenesys, Inc., a privately held biopharmaceutical company with a broad-based biotechnology platform and focused on the development of peptide- and protein-based medicines across broad therapeutic categories. CoGenesys was established in 2005 as a division within Human Genome Sciences Inc. to focus on early drug development and was spun off as an independent company in June 2006. Under the terms of the agreement, Teva paid a cash purchase price of \$412 million, including acquisition expenses, funded from its internal resources.

This transaction was accounted for by the purchase method. The consideration for the acquisition was attributed to net assets on the basis of the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of February 21, 2008, based on an appraisal performed by management, which included a number of factors, including the assistance of independent appraisers. The Company has not finalized the allocation of the purchase price to the net assets acquired in this acquisition.

Table of Contents

TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

The results of operations of CoGenesys have been included in the consolidated statements of income commencing March 1, 2008. An amount of \$382 million was allocated to research and development in process, representing an estimate of the fair value of purchased in-process technology for research projects that, as of the closing date of the merger, have not reached technological feasibility and have no alternative future use. This amount was charged to operating expenses upon acquisition, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Research and development in process related to five research and development projects that had passed the feasibility stage. These drug development projects are still in clinical trials and were valued using the Income Approach, specifically the Multi-Period Excess Earnings Method.

2) Acquisition of Bentley Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

On March 31, 2008, Teva announced a definitive agreement to acquire Bentley Pharmaceuticals, Inc (Bentley), a publicly traded New York Stock Exchange listed company with operations principally in Spain.

On July 22, 2008, Teva completed its acquisition of Bentley. At closing, Bentley consisted solely of its generic pharmaceutical operations. The aggregate purchase price paid by Teva was approximately \$360 million in cash, or approximately \$14.82 per Bentley share.

Bentley manufactures and markets a portfolio of approximately 130 pharmaceutical products in various dosages and strengths, as both branded and generic products, to physicians, pharmacists and hospitals. Bentley markets its products primarily in Spain, but also sells generic pharmaceuticals in other parts of the European Union.

b. Termination of agreements:

Under agreements entered into by Teva and Sanofi-Aventis, the sale and distribution, in North America, Europe and certain other countries, of Copaxone[®], an innovative product of the Company for the treatment of multiple sclerosis, have been carried out by Sanofi-Aventis. Under the agreements, certain sales and marketing costs incurred by Teva were reimbursed by Sanofi-Aventis. Such reimbursements were recorded as a reduction of selling, general and administrative expenses.

Marketing of Copaxone[®] in the U.S. and Canada is done by Teva under the name Teva Neuroscience. In the core European countries, Copaxone[®] is jointly marketed by Teva and Sanofi-Aventis.

In April 2008, Teva took over the U.S. and Canadian distribution of Copaxone[®]. Under the terms of the agreements, Sanofi-Aventis is entitled to payment by Teva of previously agreed-upon termination consideration of 25% of the in-market sales of Copaxone[®] in the U.S. and Canada for an additional two-year period.

Commencing in 2010, but mainly by February 2012, Teva expects to take over the distribution of Copaxone[®] in Europe and other territories covered under these agreements, at which time Sanofi-Aventis will be entitled to pre-agreed termination payments for a period of two years, after which these agreements with Sanofi-Aventis will terminate.

NOTE 6 Inventories:

Inventories consisted of the following:

Edgar Filing: TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LTD - Form 6-K

	June 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
	U.S. \$ in millions	
	Unaudited	Audited
Raw and packaging materials	\$ 759	\$ 663
Products in process	427	330
Finished products	1,674	1,417
	2,860	2,410
Materials in transit and payments on account	47	30
	\$ 2,907	\$ 2,440

Table of Contents**TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED**

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

NOTE 7 Revenue recognition:

Revenue is recognized when title and risk and rewards for the products are transferred to the customer, with provisions for estimated chargebacks, returns, customer volume rebates, discounts and shelf stock adjustments established concurrently with the recognition of revenue, and deducted from sales.

Provisions for chargebacks, returns, rebates and other promotional items are included in sales reserves and allowances under current liabilities. Provision for doubtful debts and prompt payment discounts are netted against Accounts receivable.

The calculation is based on historical experience and the specific terms in the individual agreements. Chargebacks are the largest component of sales reserves and allowances. Provisions for estimating chargebacks are determined using historical chargeback experience, or expected chargeback levels and wholesaler sales information for new products, which are compared to externally obtained distribution channel reports for reasonableness. Shelf stock adjustments are granted to customers based on the existing inventory of a customer following actual or anticipated decreases in the invoice or contract price of the related product. Where there is a historical experience of Teva's agreeing to customer returns, Teva records a reserve for estimated sales returns by applying historical experience of customer returns to the amounts invoiced and the amount of returned products to be destroyed versus products that can be placed back in inventory for resale.

NOTE 8 Comprehensive income:

Comprehensive income is as follows:

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	U.S. \$ in millions			
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Net income	\$ 539	\$ 515	\$ 686	\$ 857
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:				
Unrealized gain (loss) from available-for-sale securities, net of tax	(54)	9	(128)	16
Reclassification adjustment on available-for-sale securities, net of tax*	36		82	
Currency translation adjustment, net of tax	145	111	736	154
	\$ 666	\$ 635	\$ 1,376	\$ 1,027

* Represents mainly the unrealized loss on marketable securities valued using Level 3 inputs, which was considered other than temporary and charged to the statement of income.

Table of Contents**TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED**

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

NOTE 9 Financial information by business segment:

a. Financial data relating to reportable operating segments:

	Pharmaceutical	API*	Total
	U.S. \$ in millions		
Three months ended June 30, 2008:			
Net sales:			
To unaffiliated customers	\$ 2,667	\$ 156	\$ 2,823
Intersegment		296	296
Total net sales	\$ 2,667	\$ 452	\$ 3,119
Operating income	\$ 503	\$ 208	\$ 711
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 91	\$ 28	\$ 119
Three months ended June 30, 2007:			
Net sales:			
To unaffiliated customers	\$ 2,243	\$ 143	\$ 2,386
Intersegment		**	191
Total net sales	\$ 2,243	\$ 334	\$ 2,577
Operating income	\$ 616	\$ 123	\$ 739
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 105	\$ 22	\$ 127
Six months ended June 30, 2008:			
Net sales:			
To unaffiliated customers	\$ 5,086	\$ 309	\$ 5,395
Intersegment		653	653
Total net sales	\$ 5,086	\$ 962	\$ 6,048
Operating income***	\$ 596	\$ 469	\$ 1,065
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 187	\$ 53	\$ 240
Six months ended June 30, 2007:			
Net sales:			
To unaffiliated customers	\$ 4,175	\$ 291	\$ 4,466

Edgar Filing: TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LTD - Form 6-K

Intersegment	**	380	380
Total net sales	\$ 4,175	\$ 671	\$ 4,846
Operating income	\$ 971	\$ 248	\$ 1,219
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 215	\$ 44	\$ 259

* Active pharmaceutical ingredients.

** Represents an amount of less than \$1 million.

*** Operating income for the six months ended June 30, 2008 of the pharmaceutical segment included \$382 million for the acquisition of research and development in process.

Table of Contents**TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Unaudited)

b. Following is a reconciliation of operating income and assets of the reportable segments to the data included in the condensed consolidated financial statements:

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	U.S. \$ in millions			
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Total operating income:				
Reportable segments	\$ 711	\$ 739	\$ 1,065	\$ 1,219
Amounts not allocated to segments:				
Profits not yet realized	(47)	(49)	(61)	(47)
General and administration expenses	(7)	(49)	(36)	(82)
Other expenses	(19)	(4)	(33)	(7)
Financial expenses - net	(28)	(8)	(85)	(36)
Consolidated income before income taxes	\$ 610	\$ 629	\$ 850	\$ 1,047

NOTE 10 Recently adopted accounting pronouncements:

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 07-3, Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services Received for Use in Future Research and Development Activities. Nonrefundable advance payments for goods or services that will be used or rendered for future research and development activities should be deferred and capitalized. Such amounts should be recognized as an expense as the related goods are delivered or the services are performed, or when the goods or services are no longer expected to be provided. The Company's adoption of EITF No. 07-3 did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, which permits an entity to measure certain financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. The Company chose not to elect the fair value option for its financial assets and liabilities existing at January 1, 2008, and did not elect the fair value option on financial assets and liabilities transacted in the six months ended June 30, 2008. Therefore, the adoption of SFAS No. 159 had no impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, for financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value. This pronouncement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. On November 14, 2007, the FASB agreed to a one-year deferral for the implementation of SFAS No. 157 for non-financial assets and liabilities. The Company's adoption of SFAS No. 157 did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements for financial assets and liabilities and any other assets and liabilities carried at fair value. (Refer to note 3.) The Company is currently assessing the impact of SFAS No. 157 for non-financial assets and liabilities on its consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 11 Recently issued accounting pronouncements:

In May 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position No. APB 14-1, Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement) (the FSP), which clarifies the accounting for convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash (including partial cash settlement) upon conversion. The FSP requires issuers to account separately for the liability and equity components of certain convertible debt instruments in a manner that reflects the issuer's nonconvertible debt (unsecured debt) borrowing rate when interest

Edgar Filing: TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LTD - Form 6-K

cost is recognized. The FSP requires bifurcation of a component of the debt, classification of that component in equity and the accretion of the resulting discount on the debt to be recognized as part of interest expense in our consolidated statement of operations. The FSP requires retroactive application to the terms of instruments as they existed for all periods presented. The FSP is effective for us as of January 1, 2009 and early adoption is not permitted. The adoption of this FSP will primarily affect the accounting for our 0.25% Senior Convertible Debentures due 2026 and 1.75% Senior Convertible Debentures due 2026 and will result in increased interest expense of approximately \$28

Table of Contents

TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

million in 2009, and a negligible effect on diluted earnings per share. The retroactive application of this FSP to years 2006 through 2008 will result in increased annual interest expense of approximately \$47 million, \$54 million and \$30 million in years 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP 142-3, *Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets*, (FSP 142-3). FSP 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions on legal and contractual provisions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. FSP 142-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently assessing the impact of FSP 142-3 on its consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as an amendment to SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. SFAS No. 161 requires that objectives for using derivative instruments be disclosed in terms of underlying risk and accounting designation. The fair value of derivative instruments and their gains and losses will need to be presented in tabular format in order to present a more complete picture of the effects of using derivative instruments. SFAS No. 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this pronouncement.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007) (FAS 141R), *Business Combinations*. FAS 141R provides revised guidance on how acquirers recognize and measure the consideration, identifiable assets acquired, liabilities assumed, contingencies, non-controlling interests and goodwill acquired in a business combination, and expands disclosure requirements surrounding the nature and financial effects of business combinations. Key changes include: acquired in-process research and development will no longer be expensed on acquisition, but capitalized and amortized over its useful life and assessed for impairment where relevant; acquisition costs will be expensed as incurred; restructuring costs will generally be expensed in periods after the acquisition date; the consideration in shares would be valued at closing date. Early adoption is not permitted. As applicable to Teva, this statement will be effective, on a prospective basis, as of the year beginning January 1, 2009. The Company believes that the adoption of FAS 141R will not have an impact on its consolidated financial statements; however, if the Company consummates business combinations after the adoption of SFAS No. 141(R), this could significantly impact the consolidated financial statements as compared to recent acquisitions, accounted for under existing GAAP requirements, due to the changes described above.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements* an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin 51 (FAS 160), which establishes accounting and reporting standards for non-controlling interests in a subsidiary and deconsolidation of a subsidiary. Early adoption is not permitted. As applicable to Teva, this statement will be effective as of the year beginning January 1, 2009. Teva believes that the adoption of FAS 160 will not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 12 Commitments and contingencies:

General

From time to time, Teva and its subsidiaries are subject to legal claims for damages and/or equitable relief arising in the ordinary course of business. In addition, as described below, in large part as a result of the nature of its business, Teva is frequently subject to patent litigation. Teva believes it has meritorious defenses to the actions to which it is a party and expects to pursue vigorously the defense of each of the ongoing actions, including those described below. Based upon the status of these cases, the advice of counsel, management's assessment of such cases and potential exposure involved relative to insurance coverage, except as otherwise noted below, no provision has been made in Teva's financial statements for any of such actions. Teva believes that none of the proceedings described below will have a material adverse effect on its financial condition; however, if one or more of such proceedings were to result in judgments against Teva, such judgments could be material to its results of operations in a given period.

Edgar Filing: TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LTD - Form 6-K

From time to time, Teva seeks to develop generic products for sale prior to patent expiration in various territories. In the United States, to obtain approval for most generic products prior to the expiration of the originator's patent(s), Teva must challenge the patent(s) under the procedures set forth in the Hatch-Waxman Act of 1984, as amended by the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003. To the extent that it seeks to utilize such patent challenge procedures, Teva is and expects to be involved in patent litigation regarding the validity, enforceability or infringement of the originator's patent(s). Teva may also be involved in patent litigation involving the extent to which alternate manufacturing process

Table of Contents

TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

techniques may infringe originator or third-party process patents. Additionally, depending upon a complex analysis of a variety of legal and commercial factors, Teva may, in certain circumstances, elect to market a generic product even though litigation is still pending. This could be before any court decision is rendered or while an appeal of a lower court decision is pending. To the extent Teva elects to proceed in this manner, it could face substantial liability for patent infringement if the final court decision is adverse to Teva. Although the underlying generic industry legislation, as well as the patent law, is different in other countries where Teva does business, from time to time Teva is also involved in litigation regarding corresponding patents in those countries. Except as described below, Teva does not have a reasonable basis to estimate the loss, or range of loss, that is reasonably possible with respect to such patent infringement cases. However, if Teva were to be required to pay damages in any such case, courts would generally calculate the amount of any such damages based on a reasonable royalty or lost profits of the patentee. If damages were determined based on lost profits, the amount would be related to the sales of the branded product. In addition, the launch of an authorized generic and other generic competition may be relevant to the damages estimation.

Teva's business inherently exposes it to potential product liability claims. Teva believes that it maintains product liability insurance coverage in amounts and with provisions that are reasonable and prudent in light of its business and related risks. However, Teva sells, and will continue to sell, pharmaceutical products that are not covered by insurance and accordingly may be subject to claims that are not covered by insurance as well as claims that exceed its policy limits. Product liability coverage for pharmaceutical companies is becoming more expensive and increasingly difficult to obtain. As a result, Teva may not be able to obtain the type and amount of coverage it desires.

In connection with third-party agreements, Teva may under certain circumstances be required to indemnify, and may be indemnified by, in unspecified amounts, the parties to such agreements against third-party claims.

Intellectual Property Proceedings

In May 2003, Teva commenced sales of its 7.5 mg and 15 mg moexipril hydrochloride tablets, which are AB-rated to Schwarz Pharma's Univas[®] tablets. Univas[®] had annual sales of approximately \$57 million for the twelve months ended March 2003, based on IMS data. Teva had previously obtained summary judgment of non-infringement as to one patent, but that decision was later vacated on appeal. Following Schwarz Pharma's filing of a motion for preliminary injunction, Teva entered into an agreement with Schwarz in September 2004 whereby Teva agreed to suspend all manufacturing and selling of its moexipril hydrochloride tablets pending the outcome of litigation between the two companies in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, patent expiration or a court order. In January 2005, the District Court granted Schwarz Pharma summary judgment of infringement of all claims, and in January 2006, the Court granted Teva's motion to vacate that summary judgment decision with respect to certain of the asserted claims. Trial is scheduled to commence on September 29, 2008. In Teva's related quinapril case, the District Court upheld the validity of the patent on November 29, 2007, and on July 1, 2008, Teva's appeal of that decision was dismissed. The patent at issue expired on February 24, 2007, and Teva has resumed sales of its moexipril hydrochloride tablets. Were Schwarz Pharma ultimately to be successful in its allegation of patent infringement, Teva could be required to pay damages. A provision for this matter has been included in the financial statements. Also, in January 2005, Pfizer sued both Ranbaxy and Teva on the same patent at issue in the above-noted litigations in relation to Ranbaxy's quinapril product, which Teva distributed for Ranbaxy pursuant to an agreement between the parties. On June 23, 2008, the quinapril litigation was dismissed pursuant to the terms of a settlement agreement.

In October 2004, Alparma and Teva launched their 100 mg, 300 mg and 400 mg gabapentin capsule products and, in December 2004, Alparma and Teva launched their 600 mg and 800 mg gabapentin tablet products. Gabapentin capsules and tablets are the AB-rated generic versions of Pfizer's anticonvulsant Neurontin[®] capsules and tablets, which had annual sales of approximately \$2.7 billion for the twelve months ended September 2004, based on IMS data. Teva's subsidiary Ivax also launched its non-AB rated tablets in August 2004 and its AB-rated capsules and tablets in March and April 2005, respectively. In August 2005, the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey granted summary judgment in favor of Teva, Alparma and Ivax. On September 21, 2007, the Federal Circuit reversed the summary judgment decision and remanded the case for further proceedings. A trial has not been scheduled. The patent at issue expires in 2017. Were Pfizer ultimately to be successful in its allegation of patent infringement, Teva could be required to pay damages and be enjoined from selling its gabapentin products. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement with Alparma, were Pfizer to be successful in its allegation of patent infringement against Alparma, Teva may also be required to pay damages related to a portion of the sales of Alparma's gabapentin products.

Edgar Filing: TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LTD - Form 6-K

In September and November 2004, Teva commenced sales of Impax Laboratories' 20 mg and 10 mg omeprazole delayed release capsules, respectively, which are AB-rated to AstraZeneca's Prilosec® capsules. Prilosec® had sales for the 10 mg capsule

Table of Contents**TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED**

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

of \$30 million and 20 mg capsule sales of approximately \$532 million, both for the twelve months ended June 2004, based on IMS data. As provided for in a strategic alliance agreement between Impax and Teva, the parties agreed to certain risk-sharing arrangements relating to the omeprazole launch. Trial in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York of AstraZeneca's patent infringement litigation against Impax relating to its omeprazole capsules concluded in June 2006. Following the expiration of the patent on April 20, 2007, the District Court issued a trial opinion on May 31, 2007 in which it found that Impax's omeprazole capsules infringed two formulation patents and that those patents were valid. Oral argument on Impax's appeal of the District Court's decision was heard on May 6, 2008. A separate litigation against Teva with respect to the launch of omeprazole capsules was stayed. Were AstraZeneca ultimately to be successful in its allegation of patent infringement, Teva and Impax could be required to pay damages related to a portion of the sales of Impax's omeprazole capsules.

In September 2005, pursuant to an agreement with Barr Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Teva launched its fexofenadine hydrochloride 30 mg, 60 mg and 180 mg tablet products, which are AB-rated to Aventis Pharmaceuticals' Allegra[®] tablets. Allegra[®] tablets had annual sales of approximately \$1.4 billion for the twelve months ended June 2005, based on IMS data. Aventis has brought patent infringement actions against Teva and its API supplier in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. There are three formulation patents, three use patents, two API patents and one polymorph patent at issue in the litigation. The latest of these patents expires in 2017. Teva has obtained summary judgment as to each of the formulation patents. In November 2006, the Federal Circuit affirmed the District Court's denial of Aventis' motion for a preliminary injunction against Teva and its API supplier on the three use patents, finding those patents likely to be invalid, and on one of the API patents, finding that patent likely to be not infringed. A trial has not been scheduled. Teva and/or its API supplier are also involved in patent litigation in Canada, Italy and Israel with respect to this product. Were Aventis ultimately to be successful in its allegation of patent infringement, Teva and Barr could be required to pay damages related to a portion of the sales of Teva's fexofenadine tablets and be enjoined from selling those products.

In May 2007, Teva commenced sales of its 300 mg cefdinir capsule product and 125 mg/5 ml and 250 mg/5 ml cefdinir powder for oral suspension products. Cefdinir capsules and cefdinir for oral suspension are the AB-rated generic versions of Abbott's antibiotic Omnicef[®], which had annual sales of approximately \$860 million for the twelve months ended December 2006, based on IMS data. Teva is in litigation with Abbott in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois with respect to a polymorph patent that expires in 2011. On May 3, 2007, the Court denied Abbott's motion for a preliminary injunction, finding that Abbott was not likely to prevail on the merits as to Teva's noninfringement defense, based on the record before the Court. Oral argument on Abbott's appeal of the denial of the preliminary injunction was heard on May 7, 2008. Were Abbott ultimately to be successful in its allegation of patent infringement, Teva could be required to pay damages relating to sales of its cefdinir products and be enjoined from selling those products.

In May 2007, Teva commenced sales of its amlodipine besylate/benazepril capsules, 2.5mg/10mg, 5mg/10mg, 5mg/20mg, and 10mg/20mg. Amlodipine besylate/benazepril capsules are the AB-rated generic versions of Novartis' Lotrel[®], which had annual sales of approximately \$1.4 billion for the twelve months ended March 2007, based on IMS data. On June 11, 2007, the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey denied Novartis' motion for a preliminary injunction, finding that Novartis was not likely to succeed on its allegations of infringement. The patent at issue expires in 2017. A trial date has not been scheduled. Were Novartis ultimately to be successful in its allegation of patent infringement, Teva could be required to pay damages related to sales of its amlodipine besylate/benazepril capsules and be enjoined from selling those products.

In September 2007, Teva commenced sales of its famciclovir tablets, 125 mg, 250 mg and 500 mg. Famciclovir tablets are the AB-rated generic versions of Novartis' Famvir[®], which had annual sales of approximately \$200 million for the twelve months ended June 2007. On September 5, 2007, the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey denied Novartis' motion for a preliminary injunction, finding that Novartis was not likely to prevail on the merits as to Teva's invalidity and inequitable conduct defenses, based on the record before the Court. On June 9, 2008, the Federal Circuit denied Novartis' appeal of the denial of the preliminary injunction. A trial date has not been scheduled. Were Novartis ultimately to be successful in its allegation of patent infringement, Teva could be required to pay damages relating to the sale of its famciclovir tablets and be enjoined from selling those products.

In December 2007, Teva commenced sales of its pantoprazole sodium tablets, 20 mg and 40 mg. Pantoprazole sodium tablets are the AB-rated generic versions of Wyeth's Protonix[®], which had annual sales of approximately \$2.5 billion for the twelve months ended September 2007, based on IMS data. On September 6, 2007, the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey denied Wyeth/Altana's motion for a

Edgar Filing: TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LTD - Form 6-K

preliminary injunction, finding that Wyeth/Altana was not likely to prevail on the merits as to Teva's invalidity defense, based on the record before the Court. Oral argument on Wyeth/Altana's appeal of the denial of the preliminary injunction was heard on June 3, 2008. The patent at issue expires in 2010. A trial date has not been scheduled. Were Wyeth/Altana ultimately to be successful in its allegation of patent infringement, Teva could be required to pay damages relating to the sale of its pantoprazole sodium tablets and be enjoined from further selling those products.

Table of Contents

TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

On July 11, 2008, Teva learned that Sandoz Inc., the U.S. generic drug division of Novartis AG, in conjunction with Momenta Pharmaceuticals, Inc., had filed an ANDA with the FDA for a generic version of Copaxone® (glatiramer acetate) containing Paragraph IV certifications to each of the patents that Teva has listed in the FDA's Orange Book for the product. The challenged patents, which expire on May 24, 2014, cover the chemical composition of Copaxone®, pharmaceutical compositions containing it, and methods of using it. Teva is committed to vigorously defending its intellectual property rights against infringement wherever they are challenged. Teva intends to file a lawsuit for patent infringement against Sandoz within the 45-day period provided under the Hatch-Waxman legislation. The lawsuit will trigger a stay of any FDA approval of the Sandoz ANDA until the earlier of the expiration of a period of 30 months or a district court decision in Sandoz's favor.

Commercial Matters

In April 2004, Rhodes Technologies and Napp Technologies (Rhodes/Napp) filed a complaint in Massachusetts Superior Court, seeking an equal share of the value to Teva of the settlement of certain claims between GlaxoSmithKline and Teva relating to Teva's nabumetone products. The allegations are based upon the termination of a nabumetone API supply agreement between Teva and Rhodes/Napp. Teva originally assessed the value of the product rights received in connection with the settlement at \$100 million and subsequently recorded impairment charges of \$52 million in the aggregate relating to this product. Oral argument on the parties' cross-motions for summary judgment was held in April 2006. On April 5, 2007, the Court granted Teva's motion for summary judgment, dismissing Rhodes/Napp's claims against Teva. Rhodes/Napp has filed its Notice of Appeal.

Environmental Matters

Teva's subsidiaries, including those in the United States and its territories, are party to a number of proceedings brought under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, commonly known as the Superfund law, or other national, federal, provincial or similar state and local laws imposing liability for the investigation and remediation of releases of hazardous substances and for natural resource damages. These proceedings seek to require the generators of hazardous wastes disposed of at a third-party site, or the party responsible for a release of hazardous substances into the environment that impacted a site, to investigate and clean up the sites or to pay for such activities and any related damages to natural resources. Teva has been made a party to these proceedings, along with other potentially responsible parties, as an alleged generator of wastes that were disposed of or treated at third-party waste disposal sites, or as a result of an alleged release from one of Teva's facilities or former facilities that may have adversely impacted a site. In each case, the government or private litigants allege that the responsible parties are jointly and severally liable for the investigation and cleanup costs. Although the liability among the responsible parties may be joint and several, these proceedings are frequently resolved so that the allocation of cleanup costs among the parties reflects the relative contributions of the parties to the site conditions and takes into account other equitable factors. Teva's potential liability varies greatly at each of the sites in the proceedings; for some sites the costs of the investigation and cleanup have not yet been determined, and for others Teva's allocable share of liability has not been determined. At other sites, Teva has been paying its share, but the amounts have not been, and are not expected to be, material. Teva has taken an active role in identifying these costs, which do not include reductions for potential recoveries of cleanup costs from insurers, former site owners or operators. While it is not feasible to predict the outcome of many of these proceedings, Teva believes that they should not ultimately result in any liability that would have a material adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations or liquidity and capital resources.

Competition, Pricing and Regulatory Matters

In April 2006, Teva was sued, along with Cephalon, Inc., Barr Laboratories, Inc., Mylan Laboratories, Inc., Ranbaxy Laboratories Ltd. and Ranbaxy Pharmaceuticals, Inc., in a class action lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The case alleges generally that the settlement agreements entered into between the different generic pharmaceutical companies and Cephalon, in their respective patent infringement cases involving finished modafinil products (the generic version of Provigil®), were unlawful because the settlement agreements resulted in the exclusion of generic competition. The case seeks unspecified monetary damages, attorneys' fees and costs. The case was brought by King Drug Company of Florence, Inc. on behalf of itself and as a proposed class action on behalf of any other person or entity that purchased Provigil® directly from Cephalon from January 2006 until the alleged unlawful conduct ceases. Similar allegations have been made in a number of additional complaints, including those filed on behalf of proposed classes of direct and indirect purchasers of

Table of Contents

TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

the product, by an individual indirect purchaser of the product and by Apotex, Inc. The cases seek various forms of injunctive and monetary relief, including treble damages and attorneys' fees and costs. On February 13, 2008, following an investigation of these matters, the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) sued Cephalon, alleging that Cephalon violated Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in the marketplace, by unlawfully maintaining a monopoly in the sale of Provigil® and improperly excluding generic competition. The FTC's complaint does not name Teva as a defendant.

Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. (Teva USA) is a defendant, along with Biovail Corp. and Elan Corporation, plc, in several civil actions currently pending in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. The cases allege generally that arrangements between Biovail and Elan relating to sales of nifedipine cc extended release tablets, in connection with which Teva USA acted as a distributor for Biovail, were unlawful under the federal antitrust laws. The challenged arrangements were previously the subject of a consent decree entered into by the FTC with Biovail and Elan, to which Teva USA was not a party. The complaints seek unspecified monetary damages, attorneys' fees and costs. Four of the cases were brought on behalf of alleged classes of persons who allegedly purchased nifedipine cc extended release tablets made by Elan or Biovail in the United States directly from Teva USA; two of the cases were brought individually by alleged direct purchasers.

In February 2003, two motions requesting permission to institute a class action were filed on behalf of all Quebec citizens in the Superior Court for the Province of Quebec against all major Canadian generic drug manufacturers, including Novopharm, Teva's Canadian subsidiary. The claimants seek damages based on alleged marketing practices of generic drug manufacturers in the Province of Quebec. In January 2006, the Court denied the motions to authorize the class action and dismissed the matters. The claimants' appeal of that ruling was denied in May 2008 by the Quebec Court of Appeal. The claimants have until August 22, 2008 to file an appeal with the Supreme Court of Canada.

Together with many other pharmaceutical manufacturers, Teva and/or its subsidiaries in the United States, including Teva USA, Sicom Inc. (Sicom) and Ivax (collectively, the Teva parties), are defendants in a number of cases pending in state and federal courts throughout the country that relate generally to drug price reporting by manufacturers. Such price reporting is alleged to have caused governments and others to pay inflated reimbursements for covered drugs.

Class actions and other cases have been filed against over two dozen pharmaceutical manufacturers, including Sicom, regarding allegedly inflated reimbursements or payments under Medicare or certain insurance plans. These cases were consolidated under the federal multi-district litigation procedures and are currently pending in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts (the MDL). On March 7, 2008, the Track 2 defendants in the MDL, including Sicom, entered into a settlement agreement to resolve the MDL. The court granted preliminary approval of the amended MDL settlement on July 3, 2008, and a final fairness hearing is scheduled for December 16, 2008. Separately, a purported class action is pending in Arizona. Sicom is also a defendant in an action brought under the federal False Claims Act, but has not yet been served with the complaint. This matter is under seal and includes many of the same defendants as the MDL. A provision for these matters, including Sicom's share of the MDL settlement payment, has been included in the financial statements.

A number of state attorneys general, approximately 47 counties in New York and the City of New York have also filed various actions relating to drug price reporting. The Teva parties (either collectively or individually) are currently involved in one or more actions relating to reimbursements under Medicaid or other programs in the following 17 states: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Florida, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Mississippi, Missouri, New York, South Carolina, Texas, Utah and Wisconsin. In addition to its action relating to its Medicaid program, the State of South Carolina has brought an action in the South Carolina state courts on behalf of its state health plan. In May 2008, the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts unsealed a drug pricing action against several generic pharmaceutical companies, including various Teva parties. The action was filed by a private party pursuant to the federal False Claims Act, and it alleges, on behalf of the federal government, drug pricing claims arising from the federal government's contributions to the various state Medicaid programs. According to the complaint, the federal government declined to intervene in the litigation. The foregoing drug pricing cases, which seek unspecified amounts in money damages, civil penalties, treble damages, attorneys' fees, and/or administrative, injunctive, equitable or other relief, are at various stages of litigation, and the Teva parties continue to defend them vigorously.

IVAX Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (IPI) has entered into an agreement with the Office of the United States Attorney for the District of Massachusetts (the U.S. Attorney or the Office) to further toll the criminal and civil statute of limitations while that Office and the Civil Division of the

Edgar Filing: TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LTD - Form 6-K

Department of Justice pursue an investigation of allegations that IPI caused others to file false or tainted claims for Medicare and/or Medicaid reimbursement, in violation of law, by directly or indirectly offering or paying remuneration to customers, including but not limited to Omnicare, Inc., to induce such parties to recommend, prescribe or purchase IPI's products. IPI is cooperating in the investigation. On April 10, 2008, the U.S. Attorney advised IPI's counsel that

Table of Contents

TEVA PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

criminal charges would not be brought against IPI at that time and that the Criminal Division of the Office is no longer investigating the Company. The Civil Divisions of the Office and the Department of Justice are, however, continuing their investigation into potential violations of the False Claims Act. Teva is unable to assess at this time whether IPI has any liability in connection with the potential civil claims. If IPI were found liable for any such claims, a court could impose substantial fines, treble damages, penalties and/or injunctive or administrative remedies.

Table of Contents

OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS

The following discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements which express the beliefs and expectations of management. Such statements are based on management's current beliefs and expectations and involve a number of known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause our future results, performance or achievements to differ significantly from the results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include risks relating to: Teva's ability to successfully develop and commercialize additional pharmaceutical products, the introduction of competing generic equivalents, the extent to which Teva may obtain U.S. market exclusivity for certain of its new generic products and regulatory changes that may prevent Teva from utilizing exclusivity periods, competition from brand-name companies that are under increased pressure to counter generic products, or competitors that seek to delay the introduction of generic products, the impact of consolidation of our distributors and customers, potential liability for sales of generic products prior to a final resolution of outstanding patent litigation, including that relating to the generic versions of Allegra®, Neurontin®, Lotrel® and Protonix®, the effects of competition on our innovative products, especially Copaxone® sales, the impact of pharmaceutical industry regulation and pending legislation that could affect the pharmaceutical industry, the difficulty of predicting U.S. Food and Drug Administration, European Medicines Agency and other regulatory authority approvals, the regulatory environment and changes in the health policies and structures of various countries, our ability to achieve expected results through our innovative R&D efforts, Teva's ability to successfully identify, consummate and integrate acquisitions, including the integration of CoGenesys, Inc. and Bentley Pharmaceuticals Inc. and the consummation of the pending acquisition of Barr Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and the achievement of expected synergies and other benefits of the transaction, potential exposure to product liability claims to the extent not covered by insurance, dependence on the effectiveness of our patents and other protections for innovative products, significant operations worldwide that may be adversely affected by terrorism, political or economical instability or major hostilities, supply interruptions or delays that could result from the complex manufacturing of our products and our global supply chain, environmental risks, fluctuations in currency, exchange and interest rates, and other factors that are discussed in this report and in our other filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which they are made, and we undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements or other information contained in this report, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. You are advised, however, to consult any additional disclosures we make in our reports to the SEC on Form 6-K. Also note that we provide a cautionary discussion of risks and uncertainties under "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2007. These are factors that we believe could cause our actual results to differ materially from expected results. Other factors besides those listed could also adversely affect us. This discussion is provided as permitted by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Results of Operations

Comparison of Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 to Three Months Ended June 30, 2007

General

Teva's net sales for the second quarter of 2008 reached \$2.8 billion, an increase of 18% over the comparable quart