

MICROSOFT CORP
Form 10-K
July 30, 2009
Table of Contents

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM _____ TO _____

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 0-14278

MICROSOFT CORPORATION

WASHINGTON
(STATE OF INCORPORATION)

91-1144442
(I.R.S. ID)

ONE MICROSOFT WAY, REDMOND, WASHINGTON 98052-6399

(425) 882-8080

www.microsoft.com/msft

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

COMMON STOCK

NASDAQ

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

NONE

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller
reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of December 31, 2008, the aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$149,769,380,603 based on the closing sale price as reported on the NASDAQ National Market System. As of July 27, 2009, there were 8,910,673,817 shares of common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the definitive Proxy Statement to be delivered to shareholders in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on November 19, 2009 are incorporated by reference into Part III.

Table of Contents

Microsoft Corporation

FORM 10-K

For The Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

INDEX

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| PART I | | |
| Item 1. | <u>Business</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Executive Officers of the Registrant</u> | 11 |
| Item 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 13 |
| Item 1B. | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 18 |
| Item 2. | <u>Properties</u> | 18 |
| Item 3. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 18 |
| Item 4. | <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 18 |
| PART II | | |
| Item 5. | <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 19 |
| Item 6. | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 20 |
| Item 7. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 21 |
| Item 7A. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 42 |
| Item 8. | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 43 |
| Item 9. | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 82 |
| Item 9A. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 82 |
| | <u>Report of Management on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</u> | 82 |
| | <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 83 |
| Item 9B. | <u>Other Information</u> | 84 |
| PART III | | |
| Item 10. | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 84 |
| Item 11. | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 84 |
| Item 12. | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 84 |
| Item 13. | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 84 |
| Item 14. | <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | 84 |

PART IV
Item 15.

Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules
Signatures

85
88

PAGE 2

Table of Contents

Part I

Item 1

Note About Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements in this report, including estimates, projections, statements relating to our business plans, objectives and expected operating results, and the assumptions upon which those statements are based, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements may appear throughout this report, including without limitation, the following sections: Business, Management's Discussion and Analysis, and Risk Factors. These forward-looking statements generally are identified by the words believe, project, expect, anticipate, estimate, intend, strategy, future, opportunity, should, will, would, will be, will continue, will likely result, and similar expressions. Forward-looking statements are based on expectations and assumptions that are subject to risks and uncertainties which may cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. A detailed discussion of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results and events to differ materially from such forward-looking statements is included in the section entitled Risk Factors (refer to Part I, Item 1A). We undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL

Our mission is to enable people and businesses throughout the world to realize their full potential. Since the company was founded in 1975, we have worked to achieve this mission by creating technology that transforms the way people work, play, and communicate. We develop and market software, services, hardware, and solutions that we believe deliver new opportunities, greater convenience, and enhanced value to people's lives. We do business throughout the world and have offices in more than 100 countries.

We generate revenue by developing, manufacturing, licensing, and supporting a wide range of software products and services for many different types of computing devices. Our software products and services include operating systems for servers, personal computers, and intelligent devices; server applications for distributed computing environments; information worker productivity applications; business solutions applications; high-performance computing applications; software development tools; and video games. We provide consulting and product and solution support services, and we train and certify computer system integrators and developers. We also design and sell hardware including the Xbox 360 video game console, the Zune digital music and entertainment device, and peripherals. Online offerings and information are delivered through Bing, Windows Live, Office Live, our MSN portals and channels, and the Microsoft Online Services platform which includes offerings for businesses such as Microsoft Dynamics CRM Online, Exchange Hosted Services, Exchange Online, and SharePoint Online. We enable the delivery of online advertising across our broad range of digital media properties and on Bing through our proprietary adCenter platform.

We also conduct research and develop advanced technologies for future software products and services. We believe that delivering breakthrough innovation and high-value solutions through our integrated software platform is the key to meeting our customers' needs and to our future growth. We believe that we will continue to lay the foundation for long-term growth by delivering new products and services, creating new opportunities for partners, improving customer satisfaction, and improving our internal processes. Our focus is to build on this foundation through ongoing innovation in our integrated software platforms; by delivering compelling value propositions to customers; by responding effectively to customer and partner needs; and by continuing to emphasize the importance of product excellence, business efficacy, and accountability.

OPERATING SEGMENTS

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

We have five operating segments: Client, Server and Tools, Online Services Business, Microsoft Business Division, and Entertainment and Devices Division. Our segments provide management with a comprehensive financial view of our key businesses. The segments enable the alignment of strategies and objectives across the development, sales, marketing, and services organizations, and they provide a framework for timely and rational allocation of development, sales, marketing, and services resources within businesses.

PAGE

3

Table of Contents

Part I

Item 1

Due to our integrated business structure, operating costs included in one segment may benefit other segments. Therefore, these segments are not designed to measure operating income or loss that is directly related to the products and services included in each segment. Inter-segment cost commissions are estimated by management and used to compensate or charge each segment for such shared costs and to motivate shared effort. Segments should not be viewed as discrete or easily separable businesses.

Client

Client has overall responsibility for technical architecture, engineering, and delivery of our Windows product family and is responsible for our relationships with personal computer manufacturers, including multinational and regional original equipment manufacturers (OEMs). Client revenue growth is directly impacted by growth of PC purchases from OEMs that pre-install versions of Windows operating systems because the OEM channel accounts for over 80% of total Client revenue. The differences between unit growth rates and revenue growth rates from year to year are affected primarily by changes in the mix of OEM Windows premium edition operating systems licensed as a percentage of total OEM Windows operating systems licensed (OEM premium mix). Additional differences in growth rates result from the impact from lower cost netbook PCs, which are sold with a lower cost version of Windows, changes in geographic mix, and changes in the channel mix of products sold by large, multi-national OEMs versus those sold by local and regional system builders.

The majority of revenue in fiscal year 2009 came from sales of Windows Vista, which was released in fiscal year 2007. Windows XP operating systems reached end-of-life for most editions and sales channels (Windows XP Home Edition will continue to be available on netbooks and other Windows XP editions will continue to be available in China). Windows 7, the latest version of Windows, was released to manufacturing in July 2009 and is expected to be generally available on October 22, 2009.

Client offerings consist of premium and standard edition Windows operating systems. Premium editions are those that include additional functionality and are sold at a price above our standard editions.

Products: Windows Vista, including Home Basic, Home Premium, Ultimate, Business, Enterprise, and Starter Edition; Windows XP, including Professional, Home, Media Center, and Tablet PC Edition; and other standard Windows operating systems.

Competition

Client faces strong competition from well-established companies with differing approaches to the PC market. Competing commercial software products, including variants of Unix, are supplied by competitors such as Apple, Canonical, and Red Hat. Apple takes an integrated approach to the PC experience and has made inroads in share, particularly in the U.S. and in the consumer segment. The Linux operating system, which is also derived from Unix and is available without payment under a General Public License, has gained some acceptance, especially in emerging markets, as competitive pressures lead OEMs to reduce costs and new, lower-price PC form-factors gain adoption. Partners such as Hewlett-Packard and Intel have been actively working with alternative Linux-based operating systems.

The Windows operating system also faces competition from alternative platforms and new devices that may reduce consumer demand for traditional PCs. Competitors such as Apple, Google, Mozilla, and Opera Software Company offer software that competes with the Internet Explorer Web browsing capabilities of Windows products. User and usage volumes on mobile devices are increasing around the world relative to the PC. OEMs have been working to make the Google Android mobile operating system more compatible with small form-factor PCs or netbooks.

Our operating system products compete effectively by delivering innovative software, giving customers choice and flexibility, a familiar, easy-to-use interface, compatibility with a broad range of hardware and software applications, and the largest support network for any operating system.

Server and Tools

Server and Tools develops and markets software server products, software developer tools, services, and solutions. Windows Server-based products are integrated server infrastructure and middleware software designed to support software applications built on the Windows Server operating system. Windows Server-based products include the server platform including targeted segment solutions, database, storage, management and operations, service-oriented

PAGE 4

Table of Contents

Part I

Item 1

architecture platform, and security and identity software. The segment also builds standalone and software development lifecycle tools for software architects, developers, testers, and project managers. Server products can be run on-site, in a partner-hosted environment, or in a Microsoft-hosted environment.

We offer a broad range of consulting services and provide product support services that assist customers in developing, deploying, and managing Microsoft server and desktop solutions. We also provide training and certification to developers and information technology professionals about our Server and Tools, Microsoft Business Division, and Client platform products.

Approximately 50% of Server and Tools revenue comes from multi-year licensing agreements, approximately 20% is purchased through fully packaged product and transactional volume licensing programs, and approximately 10% comes from licenses sold to OEMs. The remainder of Server and Tools revenue comes from consulting and product and solution support services.

Windows Server 2008 R2, the latest version of the Windows Server operating system was released to manufacturing in July 2009 and is expected to be generally available in September 2009.

Products and Services: Windows Server operating system; Microsoft SQL Server; Visual Studio; Silverlight; System Center products; Forefront security products; Biz Talk Server; Microsoft Consulting Services; Premier product support services; and other products and services.

Competition

Our server operating system products face intense competition from a wide variety of server operating systems and server applications, offered by companies with a variety of market approaches. Vertically integrated computer manufacturers such as Hewlett-Packard, IBM, and Sun Microsystems offer their own versions of the Unix operating system preinstalled on server hardware. Nearly all computer manufacturers offer server hardware for the Linux operating system and many contribute to Linux operating system development. The competitive position of Linux has also benefited from the large number of compatible applications now produced by many leading commercial and non-commercial software developers. A number of companies supply versions of Linux, including Novell and Red Hat.

We have entered into business and technical collaboration agreements with Novell and other Linux providers to build, market, and support a series of solutions to enhance the interoperability of our products with their virtualization, management, and network security solutions, and to provide each other's customers with patent coverage for their respective products.

We compete to provide enterprise-wide computing solutions with several companies that offer solutions and middleware technology platforms. IBM, Oracle, and Sun Microsystems lead a group of companies focused on the Java 2 Platform Enterprise Edition (J2EE). Commercial software developers that provide competing server applications for PC-based distributed client/server environments include CA, Inc., IBM, and Oracle. Our Web application platform software competes with open source software such as Apache, Linux, MySQL, and PHP, and we compete against Java middleware such as Geronimo, JBoss, and Spring Framework.

Numerous commercial software vendors offer competing software applications for connectivity (both Internet and intranet), security, hosting, and e-business servers. System Center competes with server management and server virtualization platform providers, such as BMC, CA, Inc., Hewlett-Packard, IBM, and VMWare in the management of information technology infrastructures. Forefront security products compete with McAfee, Symantec, and Trend Micro in protecting both client and server applications. Our products for software developers compete against offerings from Adobe, Borland, IBM, Oracle, Sun Microsystems, other companies, and open-source projects. Open source projects include Eclipse (sponsored by CA, IBM, Oracle, and SAP), PHP, and Ruby on Rails, among others. We believe that our server products provide customers with advantages in innovation, performance, total costs of ownership, and productivity by delivering superior applications, development tools, and compatibility with a broad base of hardware and software applications, security, and manageability.

Online Services Business

The Online Services Business (OSB) consists of an online advertising platform with offerings for both publishers and advertisers, online information offerings such as Bing, MSN Portals and channels, and personal communications services such as email and instant messaging around the world. We earn revenue primarily from online advertising, including search, display, and email and messaging services. Revenue is also generated through subscriptions and transactions generated from online paid services, from advertiser and publisher tools, and digital marketing and advertising agency services. We continue to launch updated and new online offerings and expect to continue to do so in the future. During fiscal year 2009, we launched new releases of our proprietary advertising platforms, adCenter

Table of Contents

Part I

Item 1

and adExpert, and launched a new release of our search engine named Bing. We also updated behavioral targeting tools, launched new releases of MSN properties globally, and added applications and services to our existing Windows Live suite.

Products and Services: Bing; Microsoft adCenter/adExpert; Microsoft Media Network (MMN); MSN portals, channels, and mobile services; Windows Live suite of applications and mobile services; Atlas online tools for advertisers and publishers; MSN Premium Web Services (consisting of MSN Internet Software Subscription, MSN Hotmail Plus, and MSN Software Services); and Razorfish media agency services.

Competition

OSB competes with AOL, Google, Yahoo!, and a wide array of Web sites and portals that provide content and online offerings of all types to end users. We compete with these organizations to provide advertising opportunities for merchants. The Internet advertising industry has grown significantly over the past several years, and we anticipate that this trend will continue long-term. Competitors are aggressively developing Internet offerings that seek to provide more effective ways of connecting advertisers with audiences through enhanced functionality in information services such as Internet search, improvements in communication services, and improved advertising infrastructure and support services. We believe our search engine, Bing, helps users make faster, more informed decisions by providing more relevant search results, expanded search services, and a broader selection of content. We have also enhanced the user interface to bring a richer search experience, which we believe will differentiate us from our competitors. To support the growth of our advertising business, we also are investing in improving the scale of our advertising platform, seamless integration of content and offerings to the mobile platform, rich and relevant content for wider consumer reach, enhanced communication services, technology, and operations, along with sustained sales efforts. We will continue to introduce new products and services that are aimed at attracting additional users through improvements in the user online experience. We believe that we can compete effectively across the breadth of our Internet services by providing users with software innovation in the form of information and communication services that help them find and use the information and experiences they want online and by providing merchants with effective advertising results through improved systems and sales support.

Microsoft Business Division

Microsoft Business Division (MBD) offerings consist of the Microsoft Office system and Microsoft Dynamics business solutions. Microsoft Office system products are designed to increase personal, team, and organization productivity through a range of programs, services, and software solutions. Growth of revenue from the Microsoft Office system offerings, which generate over 90% of MBD revenue, depends on our ability to add value to the core Office product set and to continue to expand our product offerings in other information worker areas such as content management, enterprise search, collaboration, unified communications, and business intelligence. Microsoft Dynamics products provide business solutions for financial management, customer relationship management, supply chain management, and analytics applications for small and mid-size businesses, large organizations, and divisions of global enterprises.

We evaluate MBD results based upon the nature of the end user in two primary parts: business revenue, which includes Microsoft Office system revenue generated through volume licensing agreements and Microsoft Dynamics revenue; and consumer revenue, which includes revenue from retail packaged product sales and OEM revenue. Approximately 80% of MBD revenue is generated from sales to businesses. Revenue from this category generally depends upon the number of information workers in a licensed enterprise and is therefore relatively independent of the number of PCs sold in a given year. Approximately 20% of MBD revenue is derived from sales to consumers. Most of this revenue is generated from new licenses acquired through fully packaged products and licenses sold through OEMs for new PCs and is generally affected by the level of PC shipments and product launches.

Products: Microsoft Office; Microsoft Office Project; Microsoft Office Visio; Microsoft Office SharePoint Server; FAST ESP; Microsoft Exchange Server; Microsoft Exchange Hosted Services; Microsoft Office Live Meeting; Microsoft Office Communications Server; Microsoft Office Communicator; Microsoft Tellme Service; Microsoft Dynamics ERP products including AX, NAV, GP, SL,

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

Retail Management System, and Point of Sale; Microsoft Dynamics CRM; and Microsoft Dynamics CRM Online.

PAGE 6

Table of Contents

Part I

Item 1

Competition

Competitors to the Microsoft Office system include many software application vendors such as Adobe, Apple, Corel, Google, IBM, Novell, Oracle, Red Hat, Zoho, and local application developers in Asia and Europe. Apple may distribute certain versions of its application software products with various models of its PCs and through its mobile devices. Corel (WordPerfect Suite) and IBM (Smartsuite) have measurable installed bases with their office productivity products. Corel's suites, and many local software suites around the world, are aggressively priced for OEMs to preinstall them on low-priced PCs. Google provides Google Apps, a hosted messaging and productivity suite that competes with Microsoft Office, Microsoft Exchange, and Microsoft SharePoint Server, and also provides an enterprise search offering that competes with Microsoft Search Server. IBM competes with Office system products with its Notes and Workplace offerings. The OpenOffice.org project provides a freely downloadable cross-platform application that also has been adapted by various commercial software vendors to sell under their brands, including IBM, Novell, Red Hat, and Sun Microsystems. Web-based offerings such as 37Signals, Adobe, AjaxWrite, gOffice, ShareOffice, Socialtext, ThinkFree, Zoho, or other small projects competing with individual applications, can also provide an alternative to Microsoft Office system products. Our Microsoft Dynamics products compete with well-known vendors such as Intuit and Sage in the market focused on providing solutions for small and mid-sized businesses. The market for large organizations and divisions of global enterprises is intensely competitive with a small number of primary vendors including Oracle and SAP. Additionally, Salesforce.com's on-demand customer relationship management offerings compete directly with Microsoft Dynamics CRM Online and Microsoft Dynamic CRM's on-premise offerings.

As we continue to respond to market demand for additional functionality and products, we will compete with additional vendors, most notably in content management and enterprise search, collaboration tools, unified communications, and business intelligence. These competitors include Autonomy, Cisco, Endeca, Google, IBM, Oracle, and SAP. We believe our products compete effectively with all of these vendors based on our strategy of providing flexible, easy to use solutions that work well with technologies our customers already have.

Entertainment and Devices Division

The Entertainment and Devices Division (EDD) is responsible for developing, producing, and marketing the Xbox video game system, including consoles and accessories, third-party games, games published under the Microsoft brand, and Xbox Live operations, as well as research, sales, and support of those products. In addition to Xbox, EDD offers the Zune digital music and entertainment device and accessories; PC software games; online games; Mediaroom, our Internet protocol television software; the Microsoft Surface computing platform; and mobile and embedded device platforms. EDD also leads the development efforts of our line of consumer software and hardware products including application software for Macintosh computers and Microsoft PC hardware products, and is responsible for all retail sales and marketing for Microsoft Office and the Windows operating systems.

Products: Xbox 360 console and games; Xbox Live; Zune; Mediaroom; numerous consumer software and hardware products (such as mice and keyboards); Windows Mobile software and services platform; Windows Embedded device operating system; Windows Automotive; and the Microsoft Surface computing platform.

Competition

Entertainment and devices businesses are highly competitive, characterized by rapid product life cycles, frequent introductions of new products and titles, and the development of new technologies. The markets for our products are characterized by significant price competition. We anticipate continued pricing pressure from our competitors. From time to time, we have responded to this pressure by reducing prices on certain products. Our competitors vary in size from very small companies with limited resources to very large, diversified corporations with substantial financial and marketing resources. We compete primarily on the basis of product innovation, quality and variety, timing of product releases, and effectiveness of distribution and marketing.

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

Our Xbox hardware business competes with console platforms from Nintendo and Sony, both of which have a large, established base of customers. The lifecycle for video game consoles averages five to 10 years. We released Xbox 360, our second generation console, in November 2005. Nintendo and Sony released new versions of their game consoles in late 2006. We believe the success of video game consoles is determined by the availability of games for the console, providing exclusive game content that gamers seek, the computational power and reliability of the console, and the ability to create new experiences via online services, downloadable content, and peripherals. We

PAGE

7

Table of Contents

Part I

Item 1

think the Xbox 360 is positioned well against competitive console products based on significant innovation in hardware architecture, new developer tools, online gaming services, and continued strong exclusive content from our own game franchises.

In addition to competing against software published for non-Xbox platforms, our games business also competes with numerous companies that we have licensed to develop and publish software for the Xbox consoles. Zune competes with Apple and other manufacturers of digital music and entertainment devices. Our PC hardware products face aggressive competition from computer and other hardware manufacturers, many of which are also current or potential partners. Mediaroom faces competition primarily from a variety of competitors that provide elements of an Internet protocol television delivery platform, but that do not provide end-to-end solutions for the network operator. Windows Mobile software and services faces substantial competition from Apple, Google, Nokia, Openwave Systems, Palm, QUALCOMM, Research In Motion, and Symbian. The embedded operating system business is highly fragmented with many competitive offerings. Key competitors include IBM, Intel, and versions of embeddable Linux from commercial Linux vendors such as Metrowerks and MontaVista Software.

OPERATIONS

To serve the needs of customers around the world and to improve the quality and usability of products in international markets, we localize many of our products to reflect local languages and conventions. Localizing a product may require modifying the user interface, altering dialog boxes, and translating text.

Our operational centers support all operations in their regions, including customer contract and order processing, credit and collections, information processing, and vendor management and logistics. The regional center in Ireland supports the European, Middle Eastern, and African region; the center in Singapore supports the Japan, Greater China and Asia-Pacific region; and the centers in Fargo, North Dakota, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, Puerto Rico, Redmond, Washington, and Reno, Nevada support Latin America and North America. In addition to the operational centers, we also operate data centers throughout the United States and in Europe.

We contract most of our manufacturing activities for Xbox 360 and related games, Zune, various retail software packaged products, and Microsoft hardware to third parties. Our products may include some components that are available from only one or limited sources. Our Xbox 360 console includes certain key components that are supplied by a single source. The central processing unit is purchased from IBM and the graphics chips and embedded dynamic random access memory chips for the graphics processing unit are purchased from Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company. Although we have chosen to initially source these key Xbox 360 components from a single supplier, we are under no obligation to exclusively source components from these vendors in the future. Beyond the exceptions noted, we generally have the ability to use other custom manufacturers if the current vendor becomes unavailable. We generally have multiple sources for raw materials, supplies, and components, and are often able to acquire component parts and materials on a volume discount basis.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

During fiscal years 2009, 2008, and 2007, research and development expense was \$9.0 billion, \$8.2 billion, and \$7.1 billion, respectively. These amounts represented 15%, 14%, and 14%, respectively, of revenue in each of those years. We plan to continue to make significant investments in a broad range of research and product development efforts.

While most of our software products are developed internally, we also purchase technology, license intellectual property rights, and oversee third-party development and localization of certain products. We believe we are not materially dependent upon licenses and other agreements with third parties relating to the development of our products. Internal development allows us to maintain closer technical control over our products. It also gives us the freedom to decide which modifications and enhancements are most important and when they should be implemented. Generally, we also create product documentation internally. We strive to obtain information as early as possible about changing usage patterns and hardware advances that may affect software design. Before

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

releasing new software platforms, we provide application vendors with a range of resources and guidelines for development, training, and testing.

PAGE 8

Table of Contents

Part I

Item 1

Investing in Business and Product Development

Innovation is the foundation for Microsoft's success. Our model for growth is based on our ability to initiate and embrace disruptive technology trends, to enter new markets, both in terms of geographies and product areas, and to drive broad adoption of the products and services we develop and market. We maintain our long-term commitment to research and development across a wide spectrum of technologies, tools, and platforms spanning communication and collaboration; information access and organization; entertainment; business and e-commerce; advertising; and devices.

Increasingly, we are taking a global approach to innovation. While our main research and development facilities are located in the United States, in Redmond, Washington, we also operate research facilities in other parts of the United States and around the world, including Canada, China, Denmark, England, India, Ireland, and Israel. This global approach will help us remain competitive in local markets and enables us to continue to attract top talent from across the world.

We invest in innovation by focusing on the emerging technology trends and breakthroughs that we believe offer the greatest opportunity to deliver value to our customers and growth for the company. Microsoft Research is one of the world's largest computer science research organizations, and works in close collaboration with top universities around the world to advance the state-of-the-art in computer science, providing us a unique perspective on future technology trends.

Based on our assessment of key technology trends and our broad focus on long-term research and development of new products and services, areas where we see significant opportunities to drive future growth include:

Cloud computing and software plus services

The ability to combine the power of desktop and server software with the reach of the Internet is creating important opportunities for growth in almost every one of our businesses. Accordingly, we are focused on innovation and broadening our platform to develop a cloud computing ecosystem that positions us for success in areas including virtualization, management, and security identity. We are also focused on delivering end-to-end experiences that connect users to information, communications, entertainment, and people in new ways across their lives at home, at work, and the broadest possible range of mobile scenarios through investments in datacenters; new versions of Windows and Office that are designed to support a wide range of connected scenarios; solutions for businesses that can be deployed by a customer, by a service provider like Microsoft, or by a Microsoft partner; tools for developers and Web designers; and consumer products including Xbox 360 and Zune.

Natural user interfaces

The next few years will also see dramatic changes in the way people interact with technology as touch, gestures, handwriting, and speech recognition become a normal part of how we control devices. This will make technology more accessible and simpler to use and will create opportunities to reach new markets and deliver new kinds of computing experiences. Our long-term investments in natural user interfaces can be seen in products like Windows 7, the Microsoft Auto software platform, and Microsoft Surface.

New scenario innovation

Continuing improvement in the power of computers and devices and the speed and ubiquity of networks is creating opportunities to deliver innovation that will transform a number of key industries and address significant global issues including healthcare, environmental sustainability, and education. In healthcare, for example, computing will connect personal health information to medical research and help make healthcare more preventive, personalized, and cost-effective. Today, Microsoft products such as HealthVault and Amalga help individuals manage their personal health and enable healthcare professionals to integrate research and health information so they can deliver more effective care. We also believe that we are entering a period where personal computers will play an increasingly important role in virtually every field of scientific research and discovery.

Table of Contents

Part I

Item 1

Intelligent computing

As computing power increases, our ability to build software that has the intelligence to understand a user's preferences based on the tools and information they have accessed in the past and anticipate their future needs is rapidly improving. This development will enable us to deliver a new generation of software solutions that make people more productive by enabling them to focus more on what they want to accomplish and less on the steps needed to use technology.

DISTRIBUTION, SALES, AND MARKETING

We distribute our products primarily through the following channels: OEM; distributors and resellers; and online.

OEM

We license our software to OEMs for distribution as pre-installed software on new PCs. The most significant part of the OEM business for us is licensing of the Windows operating system. We also license certain server operating systems, desktop applications such as our Office productivity suite, and consumer software products and we market hardware devices, and software as services including our Windows Live Essentials suite to OEMs. We have OEM agreements covering one or more of our products with virtually all of the major PC OEMs, including Acer, ASUSTek, Dell, Fujitsu, Hewlett-Packard, Lenovo, NEC, Samsung, Sony, and Toshiba. A substantial amount of OEM business is also conducted with system builders, which are low-volume, customized PC vendors operating in local markets.

Distributors and Resellers

We license software to organizations under arrangements that allow the end-user customer to acquire multiple licenses of products. Organizations license our products primarily through large account resellers (LARs) and value-added resellers (VARs). Many organizations that license products through enterprise agreements transact directly with us, with sales support from our Enterprise Software Advisor channel partners. These Enterprise Software Advisors typically are also authorized as LARs and operate as resellers for our other licensing programs. Although each type of reselling partner reaches organizations of all sizes, LARs are primarily engaged with large organizations and VARs typically reach the small- and medium-sized organizations. Some of our distributors include Ingram Micro and Tech Data, and some of our largest resellers include CDW, Dell, Software House International, and Insight Enterprises. Our Microsoft Dynamics software offerings are licensed to enterprises through a global network of channel partners providing vertical solutions and specialized services. We distribute our finished goods products primarily through independent non-exclusive distributors, authorized replicators, resellers, and retail outlets. Individual consumers obtain our products primarily through retail outlets, including Best Buy, Target, and Wal-Mart. We have a network of field sales representatives and field support personnel that solicits orders from distributors and resellers and provides product training and sales support.

Our arrangements for organizations to acquire multiple licenses of products are designed to provide them with a means of doing so without having to acquire separate packaged product through retail channels. In delivering organizational licensing arrangements to the market, we use different programs designed to provide flexibility for organizations of various sizes. While these programs may differ in various parts of the world, generally they include:

Open licensing

Designed primarily for small-to-medium organizations (5 to over 250 licenses), these programs allow customers to acquire perpetual or subscription licenses and, at the customer's election, rights to future versions of software products over a specified time period (two or three years depending on the Open program used). The offering that conveys rights to future versions of certain software products over the contract period is called software assurance. Software assurance also provides support, tools, and

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

training to help customers deploy and use software efficiently. Under the Open program, customers can acquire licenses only, or licenses with software assurance. They can also renew software assurance upon the expiration of existing volume licensing agreements.

PAGE 10

Table of Contents

Part I

Item 1

Select licensing

Designed primarily for medium-to-large organizations (greater than 250 licenses), this program allows customers to acquire perpetual licenses and, at the customer's election, software assurance over a specified time period (generally three years or less to align to the end of the agreement term). Similar to the Open program, the Select program allows customers to acquire licenses only, acquire licenses with software assurance, or renew software assurance upon the expiration of existing volume licensing agreements.

Enterprise agreement licensing

Enterprise agreements are targeted at medium and large organizations (greater than 250 licenses) that want to acquire licenses to software products, along with software assurance, for all or substantial parts of their enterprise. Enterprises can elect to either acquire perpetual licenses or, under the Enterprise Subscription program, can acquire non-perpetual, subscription agreements for a specified time period (generally three years).

Online

We have an expanding portfolio of products, services, and solutions that we distribute online. We provide online content and services through Bing, Windows Live, Office Live, our MSN portals and channels, and the Microsoft Online Services platform, which includes offerings for businesses such as cloud-hosted Exchange, SharePoint, and Office Communications. OSB provides various premium services to consumers and businesses, such as email and messaging communication services and tools such as online search, advertising, and premium content. EDD offers the Xbox Live service which allows customers to participate in the gaming experience online with other subscribers. We also offer the Microsoft Small Business Center portal which provides tools for small-business owners to build, market, and manage their businesses online. Other services delivered online include Microsoft Developer Networks subscription content and updates, periodic product updates, and online technical and practice readiness resources to support our partners in developing and selling our products and solutions.

CUSTOMERS

Our customers include individual consumers, small and medium-sized organizations, enterprises, governmental institutions, educational institutions, Internet service providers, application developers, and OEMs. Consumers and small- and medium-sized organizations obtain our products primarily through resellers and OEMs. No sales to an individual customer accounted for more than 10% of fiscal year 2009, 2008, or 2007 revenue. Our practice is to ship our products promptly upon receipt of purchase orders from customers; consequently, backlog is not significant.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Our executive officers as of July 29, 2009 were as follows:

| Name | Age | Position with the Company |
|-------------------------|------------|---|
| Steven A. Ballmer | 53 | Chief Executive Officer |
| Robert J. (Robbie) Bach | 47 | President, Entertainment and Devices Division |
| Lisa E. Brummel | 49 | Senior Vice President, Human Resources |
| Stephen A. Elop | 45 | President, Microsoft Business Division |
| Christopher P. Liddell | 51 | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|-------------------|----|---|
| Qi Lu, Ph.D. | 47 | President, Online Services Division |
| Robert L. Muglia | 49 | President, Server and Tools Business |
| Craig J. Mundie | 60 | Chief Research and Strategy Officer |
| Raymond E. Ozzie | 53 | Chief Software Architect |
| Steven Sinofsky | 43 | President, Windows Division |
| Bradford L. Smith | 50 | Senior Vice President; General Counsel; Secretary |
| B. Kevin Turner | 44 | Chief Operating Officer |

PAGE

11

Table of Contents

Part I

Item 1

Mr. Ballmer was appointed Chief Executive Officer in January 2000. He served as President from July 1998 to February 2001. Previously, he had served as Executive Vice President, Sales and Support since February 1992. Mr. Ballmer joined Microsoft in 1980.

Mr. Bach was named President, Entertainment and Devices Division in September 2005. He had been Senior Vice President, Home and Entertainment since March 2000. Before holding that position, he had been Vice President, Home and Retail since March 1999, Vice President, Learning, Entertainment and Productivity since 1997, and Vice President, Desktop Applications Marketing since 1996. Mr. Bach joined Microsoft in 1988.

Ms. Brummel was named Senior Vice President, Human Resources in December 2005. She had been Corporate Vice President, Human Resources since May 2005. From May 2000 to May 2005, she had been Corporate Vice President of the Home & Retail Division. Since joining Microsoft in 1989, Ms. Brummel has held a number of management positions at Microsoft, including general manager of Consumer Productivity business, product unit manager of the Kids business, and product unit manager of Desktop and Decision reference products.

Mr. Elop was named President, Microsoft Business Division in January 2008. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Elop served as Chief Operating Officer of Juniper Networks, Inc. from January 2007 to January 2008. From December 2005 to December 2006, he served as President of Worldwide Field Operations at Adobe Systems Inc. Mr. Elop joined Adobe following the 2005 acquisition of Macromedia Inc., where he was President and Chief Executive Officer from January 2005 to December 2005. During his almost eight-year tenure at Macromedia, Mr. Elop held many senior positions, including Chief Operating Officer, Executive Vice President of Worldwide Field Operations and General Manager of Macromedia's eBusiness division.

Mr. Liddell was named Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company in May 2005. Mr. Liddell served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of International Paper Company from March 2003 through April 2005, and prior to becoming Chief Financial Officer, he held the positions of Vice President-Finance and Controller. Mr. Liddell served as Chief Executive Officer of Carter Holt Harvey Limited, an affiliate of International Paper, from 1999 to 2002 and Chief Financial Officer from 1995 to 1998.

Dr. Lu joined Microsoft in January 2009 as President, Online Services Division. Prior to joining the Company, Dr. Lu was a senior executive at Yahoo!, Inc. for 10 years. His roles included serving as Executive Vice President of Engineering for Yahoo!'s Search and Advertising Technology Group and Vice President of Engineering.

Mr. Muglia was named President, Server and Tools Business in January 2009. He had been Senior Vice President, Server and Tools Business since October 2005. Before holding that position, he had a number of leadership positions at Microsoft, including Senior Vice President, Enterprise Storage Division since November 2001, Group Vice President, Personal Services Group since August 2000, Group Vice President, Business Productivity since December 1999, Senior Vice President, Business Productivity since March 1999, Senior Vice President, Applications and Tools since February 1998, and Corporate Vice President, Server Applications since 1997. Mr. Muglia joined Microsoft in 1988.

Mr. Mundie was named Chief Research and Strategy Officer in June 2006. He had been Senior Vice President and Chief Technical Officer, Advanced Strategies and Policy since August 2001. He was named Senior Vice President, Consumer Platforms in February 1996. Mr. Mundie joined Microsoft in 1992.

Mr. Ozzie was named Chief Software Architect in June 2006. He had been Chief Technical Officer from April 2005 to June 2006. He assumed that role in April 2005 after Microsoft acquired Groove Networks, a collaboration software company he formed in 1997.

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

Mr. Sinofsky was named President, Windows Division in July 2009. He served as Senior Vice President of the Windows and Windows Live Engineering Group since December 2006 and Senior Vice President, Office from December 1999 to December 2006. He had been Vice President, Office since December 1998. Mr. Sinofsky joined the Office team in 1994, increasing his responsibility with each subsequent release of the desktop suite. Mr. Sinofsky joined Microsoft in 1989.

Mr. Smith was named Senior Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary in November 2001. Mr. Smith was also named Chief Compliance Officer effective July 2002. He had been Deputy General Counsel for Worldwide Sales and previously was responsible for managing the European Law and Corporate Affairs Group, based in Paris. Mr. Smith joined Microsoft in 1993.

Mr. Turner was named Chief Operating Officer in September 2005. Before joining Microsoft, he was Executive Vice President of Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and President and Chief Executive Officer of the Sam's Club division. From September 2001 to August 2002, he served as Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer of Wal-Mart's Information Systems Division. From March 2000 to September 2001, he served as its Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer of the Information Systems Division.

PAGE 12

Table of Contents

Part I

Item 1, 1A

EMPLOYEES

As of June 30, 2009, we employed approximately 93,000 people on a full-time basis, 56,000 in the United States and 37,000 internationally. Of the total, 36,000 were in product research and development, 26,000 in sales and marketing, 17,000 in product support and consulting services, 5,000 in manufacturing and distribution, and 9,000 in general and administration. Our success is highly dependent on our ability to attract and retain qualified employees. None of our employees are subject to collective bargaining agreements.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Our Company Internet address is www.microsoft.com. At our Investor Relations Web site, www.microsoft.com/msft, we make available free of charge a variety of information for investors. Our goal is to maintain the Investor Relations Web site as a portal through which investors can easily find or navigate to pertinent information about us, including:

Our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file that material with or furnish it to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

Our Investor Central site, an interactive and easily navigable source of information including our business strategies, financial results, and key performance indicators.

Announcements of investor conferences, speeches, and events at which our executives talk about our product, service, and competitive strategies. Archives of these events are also available.

Press releases on quarterly earnings, product and service announcements, legal developments, and international news.

Corporate governance information including our articles, bylaws, governance guidelines, committee charters, codes of conduct and ethics, and other governance-related policies.

Other news and announcements that we may post from time to time that investors might find useful or interesting.

Opportunities to sign up for email alerts and RSS feeds to have information pushed in real time.
The information found on our Web site is not part of this or any other report we file with or furnish to the SEC.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our operations and financial results are subject to various risks and uncertainties, including those described below, that could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and the trading price of our common stock.

Challenges to our business model may reduce our revenues and operating margins. Our business model has been based upon customers paying a fee to license software that we develop and distribute. Under this license-based software model, software developers bear the costs of converting original ideas into software products through investments in research and development, offsetting these costs with the revenue received from the distribution of their products. Certain open source software business models challenge our license-based software model. Open source commonly refers to software whose source code is subject to a license allowing it to be modified, combined with other software and redistributed, subject to restrictions set forth in the license. A number of commercial firms compete with us using an open source business model by modifying and then distributing open source software to end users at nominal cost and earning revenue on complementary services and products. These firms do not bear the full costs of research and development for the software. Some of these firms may build upon Microsoft ideas that we provide to them free or at low royalties in connection with our interoperability initiatives. To the extent open source software gains increasing market acceptance, our sales, revenue, and operating margins may decline.

Another development is the business model under which companies provide content, and software in the form of applications, data, and related services, over the Internet in exchange for revenues primarily from advertising or subscriptions. An example of an advertising-funded business model is Internet search, where providing a robust

Table of Contents

Part I

Item 1A

alternative is particularly important and challenging due to the scale effects enjoyed by a single market dominant competitor. Advances in computing and communications technologies have made this model viable and enabled the rapid growth of some of our competitors. We are devoting significant resources toward developing our own competing software plus services strategies including the Windows Azure Platform, our hosted computing platform designed to facilitate the rapid, flexible and scalable development of cloud-based services. It is uncertain whether these strategies will be successful.

An important element of our business model has been to create platform-based ecosystems on which many participants can build diverse solutions. A competing vertically-integrated model, in which a single firm controls both the software and hardware elements of a product, has been successful with certain consumer products such as personal computers, mobile phones, and digital music players. We also offer vertically-integrated hardware and software products; however, efforts to compete with the vertically integrated model may increase our cost of sales and reduce our operating margins.

We face intense competition. We continue to experience intense competition across all markets for our products and services. Our competitors range in size from Fortune 100 companies to small, specialized single-product businesses and open source community-based projects. Although we believe the breadth of our businesses and product portfolio is a competitive advantage, our competitors that are focused on narrower product lines may be more effective in devoting technical, marketing, and financial resources to compete with us. In addition, barriers to entry in our businesses generally are low and products, once developed, can be distributed broadly and quickly at relatively low cost. Open source software vendors are devoting considerable efforts to developing software that mimics the features and functionality of our products, in some cases on the basis of technical specifications for Microsoft technologies that we make available at little or no cost. In response to competition, we are developing versions of our products with basic functionality that are sold at lower prices than the standard versions. These competitive pressures may result in decreased sales volumes, price reductions, and/or increased operating costs, such as for marketing and sales incentives, resulting in lower revenue, gross margins, and operating income.

We may not be able to adequately protect our intellectual property rights. Protecting our global intellectual property rights and combating unlicensed copying and use of software and other intellectual property is difficult. While piracy adversely affects U.S. revenue, the impact on revenue from outside the U.S. is more significant, particularly in countries where laws are less protective of intellectual property rights. Similarly, the absence of harmonized patent laws makes it more difficult to ensure consistent respect for patent rights. Throughout the world, we actively educate consumers about the benefits of licensing genuine products and obtaining indemnification benefits for intellectual property risks, and we educate lawmakers about the advantages of a business climate where intellectual property rights are protected. However, continued educational and enforcement efforts may fail to enhance revenue. Reductions in the legal protection for software intellectual property rights could adversely affect revenue.

Third parties may claim we infringe their intellectual property rights. From time to time we receive notices from others claiming we infringe their intellectual property rights. The number of these claims may grow. To resolve these claims we may enter into royalty and licensing agreements on less favorable terms, stop selling or redesign affected products, or pay damages to satisfy indemnification commitments with our customers. Such agreements may cause operating margins to decline. We have made and expect to continue making significant expenditures to settle claims related to the use of technology and intellectual property rights as part of our strategy to manage this risk.

We may not be able to protect our source code from copying if there is an unauthorized disclosure of source code. Source code, the detailed program commands for our operating systems and other software programs, is critical to our business. Although we license portions of our application and operating system source code to a number of licensees, we take significant measures to protect the secrecy of large portions of our source code. If an unauthorized disclosure of a significant portion of our source code occurs, we could potentially lose future trade secret protection for that source code. This could make it easier for third parties to compete with our products by copying functionality, which could adversely affect our revenue and operating margins. Unauthorized disclosure of source code also could increase the security risks described in the next paragraph.

Security vulnerabilities in our products could lead to reduced revenues or to liability claims. Maintaining the security of computers and computer networks is a critical issue for us and our customers. Hackers develop and deploy viruses, worms, and other malicious software programs that attack our products. Although this is an industry-wide problem that affects computers across all platforms, it affects our products in particular because hackers tend

PAGE 14

Table of Contents

Part I

Item 1A

to focus their efforts on the most popular operating systems and programs and we expect them to continue to do so. We devote significant resources to address security vulnerabilities through:

engineering more secure products;

enhancing security and reliability features in our products;

helping our customers make the best use of our products and services to protect against computer viruses and other attacks;

improving the deployment of software updates to address security vulnerabilities;

investing in mitigation technologies that help to secure customers from attacks even when such software updates are not deployed; and

providing customers online automated security tools, published security guidance, and security software such as firewalls and anti-virus software.

The cost of these steps could reduce our operating margins. Despite these efforts, actual or perceived security vulnerabilities in our products could lead some customers to seek to return products, to reduce or delay future purchases, or to use competing products. Customers may also increase their expenditures on protecting their existing computer systems from attack, which could delay adoption of new technologies. Any of these actions by customers could adversely affect our revenue. In addition, actual or perceived vulnerabilities may lead to claims against us. Although our license agreements typically contain provisions that eliminate or limit our exposure to such liability, there is no assurance these provisions will withstand all legal challenges.

We are subject to government litigation and regulatory activity that affects how we design and market our products. As a leading global software maker, we receive close scrutiny from government agencies under U.S. and foreign competition laws. Some jurisdictions also provide private rights of action for competitors or consumers to assert claims of anti-competitive conduct. For example, we have been involved in the following actions.

Lawsuits brought by the U.S. Department of Justice, 18 states, and the District of Columbia in two separate actions were resolved through a Consent Decree that took effect in 2001 and a Final Judgment entered in 2002. These proceedings imposed various constraints on our Windows operating system businesses. These constraints include limits on certain contracting practices, mandated disclosure of certain software program interfaces and protocols, and rights for computer manufacturers to limit the visibility of certain Windows features in new PCs. We believe we are in full compliance with these rules. However, if we fail to comply with them, additional restrictions could be imposed on us that would adversely affect our business.

The European Commission closely scrutinizes the design of high-volume Microsoft products and the terms on which we make certain technologies used in these products, such as file formats, programming interfaces, and protocols, available to other companies. In 2004, the Commission ordered us to create new versions of Windows that do not include certain multimedia technologies and to provide our competitors with specifications for how to implement certain proprietary Windows communications

protocols in their own products. The Commission's impact on product design may limit our ability to innovate in Windows or other products in the future, diminish the developer appeal of the Windows platform, and increase our product development costs. The availability of licenses related to protocols and file formats may enable competitors to develop software products that better mimic the functionality of our own products which could result in decreased sales of our products.

Government regulatory actions and court decisions such as these may hinder our ability to provide the benefits of our software to consumers and businesses, thereby reducing the attractiveness of our products and the revenues that come from them. New actions could be initiated at any time, either by these or other governments or private claimants, including with respect to new versions of Windows or other Microsoft products. The outcome of such actions, or steps taken to avoid them, could adversely affect us in a variety of ways, including:

We may have to choose between withdrawing products from certain geographies to avoid fines or designing and developing alternative versions of those products to comply with government rulings, which may entail a delay in a product release and removing functionality that customers want or on which developers rely.

We may be required to make available licenses to our proprietary technologies on terms that do not reflect their fair market value or do not protect our associated intellectual property.

The rulings described above may be cited as a precedent in other competition law proceedings.

Table of Contents

Part I

Item 1A

Our software and services online offerings are subject to government regulation of the Internet domestically and internationally in many areas, including user privacy, telecommunications, data protection, and online content. The application of these laws and regulations to our business is often unclear and sometimes may conflict. Compliance with these regulations may involve significant costs or require changes in business practices that result in reduced revenue. Noncompliance could result in penalties being imposed on us or orders that we stop doing the alleged noncompliant activity.

Our business depends on our ability to attract and retain talented employees. Our business is based on successfully attracting and retaining talented employees. The market for highly skilled workers and leaders in our industry is extremely competitive. We are limited in our ability to recruit internationally by restrictive domestic immigration laws. If we are less successful in our recruiting efforts, or if we are unable to retain key employees, our ability to develop and deliver successful products and services may be adversely affected. Effective succession planning is also important to our long-term success. Failure to ensure effective transfer of knowledge and smooth transitions involving key employees could hinder our strategic planning and execution.

Delays in product development schedules may adversely affect our revenues. The development of software products is a complex and time-consuming process. New products and enhancements to existing products can require long development and testing periods. Our increasing focus on software plus services also presents new and complex development issues. Significant delays in new product or service releases or significant problems in creating new products or services could adversely affect our revenue.

We make significant investments in new products and services that may not be profitable. Our growth depends on our ability to innovate by offering new, and adding value to our existing, software and service offerings. We will continue to make significant investments in research, development, and marketing for new products, services, and technologies, including the Windows PC operating system, the Microsoft Office system, Xbox 360, Live Search, Windows Server, Zune, Windows Live, the Windows Azure Services platform, and other software plus services offerings. Investments in new technology are speculative. Commercial success depends on many factors, including innovativeness, developer support, and effective distribution and marketing. If customers do not perceive our latest offerings as providing significant new functionality or other value, they may reduce their purchases of new software products or upgrades, unfavorably impacting revenue. We may not achieve significant revenue from new product and service investments for a number of years, if at all. Moreover, new products and services may not be profitable, and even if they are profitable, operating margins for new products and businesses may not be as high as the margins we have experienced historically.

Adverse economic conditions may harm our business. Unfavorable changes in economic conditions, including inflation, recession, or other changes in economic conditions, may result in lower information technology spending and adversely affect our revenue. If demand for PCs, servers, and other computing devices declines, or consumer or business spending for those products declines, our revenue will be adversely affected. Our product distribution system also relies on an extensive partner network. The impact of economic conditions on our partners, such as the bankruptcy of a major distributor, could result in sales channel disruption. Challenging economic conditions also may impair the ability of our customers to pay for products and services they have purchased. As a result, reserves for doubtful accounts and write-offs of accounts receivable may increase. We maintain an investment portfolio of various holdings, types, and maturities. These investments are subject to general credit, liquidity, market, and interest rate risks, which may be exacerbated by unusual events that have affected global financial markets. If global credit and equity markets experience prolonged periods of decline, our investment portfolio may be adversely impacted and we could determine that more of our investments have experienced an other-than-temporary decline in fair value, requiring impairment charges that could adversely impact our financial results.

We have claims and lawsuits against us that may result in adverse outcomes. We are subject to a variety of claims and lawsuits. Adverse outcomes in some or all of these claims may result in significant monetary damages or injunctive relief that could adversely affect our ability to conduct our business. Although management currently believes resolving all of these matters, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows, the litigation and other claims are subject to inherent uncertainties and management's view of these matters may change in

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

the future. A material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows also could occur for the period in which the effect of an unfavorable final outcome becomes probable and reasonably estimable.

PAGE 16

Table of Contents

Part I

Item 1A

We may have additional tax liabilities. We are subject to income taxes in the United States and many foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgment is required in determining our worldwide provision for income taxes. In the ordinary course of our business, there are many transactions and calculations where the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. We regularly are under audit by tax authorities. Although we believe our tax estimates are reasonable, the final determination of tax audits and any related litigation could be materially different from our historical income tax provisions and accruals. The results of an audit or litigation could have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows in the period or periods for which that determination is made. In addition, there have been proposals to reform U.S. tax laws that would significantly impact how U.S. multinational corporations are taxed on foreign earnings. We earn a substantial portion of our income in foreign countries. Although we cannot predict whether or in what form this proposed legislation will pass, if enacted it could have a material adverse impact on our tax expense and cash flow.

Our vertically-integrated hardware and software products may experience quality or supply problems. Our hardware products such as the Xbox 360 console are highly complex and can have defects in design, manufacture, or associated software. We could incur significant expenses, lost revenue, and reputational harm if we fail to detect or effectively address such issues through design, testing, or warranty repairs. We obtain some components of our hardware devices from sole suppliers. If a component delivery from a sole-source supplier is delayed or becomes unavailable or industry shortages occur, we may be unable to obtain timely replacement supplies, resulting in reduced sales. Either component shortages or excess or obsolete inventory may require us to record charges to cost of revenue. Xbox 360 consoles are assembled in Asia; disruptions in the supply chain may result in console shortages that would affect our revenues and operating margins. These same risks would apply to any other vertically-integrated hardware and software products we may offer.

If our goodwill or amortizable intangible assets become impaired we may be required to record a significant charge to earnings. Under generally accepted accounting principles, we review our amortizable intangible assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. Goodwill is tested for impairment at least annually. Factors that may be considered a change in circumstances, indicating that the carrying value of our goodwill or amortizable intangible assets may not be recoverable, include a decline in stock price and market capitalization, reduced future cash flow estimates, and slower growth rates in our industry. We may be required to record a significant charge in our financial statements during the period in which any impairment of our goodwill or amortizable intangible assets is determined, negatively impacting our results of operations.

We operate a global business that exposes us to additional risks. We operate in over 100 countries and a significant part of our revenue comes from international sales. Pressure to make our pricing structure uniform might require that we reduce the sales price of our software in the United States and other countries. Operations outside the United States may be affected by changes in trade protection laws, policies and measures, and other regulatory requirements affecting trade and investment, including the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and local laws prohibiting corrupt payments; and changes in regulatory requirements for software. Emerging markets are a significant focus of our international growth strategy. The developing nature of these markets presents a number of risks. Deterioration of social, political, labor, or economic conditions in a specific country or region and difficulties in staffing and managing foreign operations may also adversely affect our operations or financial results. Although we hedge a portion of our international currency exposure, significant fluctuations in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and foreign currencies may adversely affect our net revenues.

Catastrophic events or geo-political conditions may disrupt our business. A disruption or failure of our systems or operations in the event of a major earthquake, weather event, cyber-attack, terrorist attack, or other catastrophic event could cause delays in completing sales, providing services, or performing other mission-critical functions. Our corporate headquarters, a significant portion of our research and development activities, and certain other critical business operations are located in the Seattle, Washington area, and we have other business operations in the Silicon Valley area of California, both of which are near major earthquake faults. A catastrophic event that results in the destruction or disruption of any of our critical business or information technology systems could harm our ability to conduct normal business operations and our operating results. Abrupt political change, terrorist activity, and armed conflict pose a risk of general economic disruption in affected countries, which may

increase our operating costs. These conditions also may add uncertainty to the timing and budget for technology investment decisions by our customers.

Table of Contents

Part I

Item 1A, 1B, 2, 3, 4

Acquisitions and joint ventures may have an adverse effect on our business. We expect to continue making acquisitions or entering into joint ventures as part of our long-term business strategy. These transactions involve significant challenges and risks including that the transaction does not advance our business strategy, that we don't realize a satisfactory return on our investment, or that we experience difficulty in the integration of new employees, business systems, and technology, or diversion of management's attention from our other businesses. These events could harm our operating results or financial condition.

Improper disclosure of personal data could result in liability and harm our reputation. We store and process large amounts of personally identifiable information. It is possible that our security controls over personal data, our training of employees and vendors on data security, and other practices we follow may not prevent the improper disclosure of personally identifiable information. Such disclosure could harm our reputation and subject us to liability under laws that protect personal data, resulting in increased costs or loss of revenue. Our software products and services also enable our customers to store and process personal data. Perceptions that our products or services do not adequately protect the privacy of personal information could inhibit sales of our products.

We may experience outages and disruptions of our online services if we fail to maintain an adequate operations infrastructure. Our increasing user traffic and complexity of our products and services demand more computing power. We have spent and expect to continue to spend substantial amounts to purchase or lease data centers and equipment and to upgrade our technology and network infrastructure to handle increased traffic on our Web sites and to introduce new products and services and support existing services such as Xbox Live, Windows Live, and Office Live. This expansion is expensive, complex, and could result in inefficiencies or operational failures, which could diminish the quality of our products, services, and user experience, resulting in damage to our reputation and loss of current and potential users, subscribers, and advertisers, harming our operating results and financial condition.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

We have received no written comments regarding our periodic or current reports from the staff of the SEC that were issued 180 days or more preceding the end of our fiscal year 2009 that remain unresolved.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our corporate offices consist of approximately 15 million square feet of office space located in King County, Washington: ten million square feet of owned space situated on approximately 500 acres of land we own at our corporate campus in Redmond, Washington and approximately five million square feet of space we lease. We own approximately two million square feet of office and datacenter space domestically (outside of the Puget Sound corporate campus) and lease many sites domestically totaling approximately four million square feet of office and datacenter space. We occupy many sites internationally, totaling approximately two million square feet that is owned and approximately nine million square feet that is leased. Facilities that we own include our European Operations Center in Dublin, Ireland; the India Development Center in Hyderabad, India; and a facility in Reading, UK. The largest leased office spaces include the following locations: Beijing and Shanghai, China; Bangalore, India; Dublin, Ireland; Tokyo, Japan; Mississauga, Canada; Taipei, Taiwan; Seoul, Korea; Sydney, Australia; and Milan, Italy. In addition to the above locations, we have a disk duplication and digital distribution facility in Humacao, Puerto Rico, a facility in Singapore for our Asia Pacific Operations Center and Regional headquarters, and various product development facilities, both domestically and internationally, as described in the Research and Development section above.

Our facilities are fully used for current operations of all segments, and suitable additional spaces are available to accommodate expansion needs. We have a development agreement with the City of Redmond under which we may currently develop approximately 1.6 million square feet of additional facilities at our corporate campus in Redmond, Washington. In addition, we own 63 acres of undeveloped land in Issaquah, Washington, that can accommodate approximately one million square feet of office space.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See Note 17 Contingencies of the Notes to Financial Statements (Part II, Item 8) for information regarding legal proceedings in which we are involved.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009.

PAGE 18

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 5

PART II

**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS,
AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Stock Market under the symbol MSFT. On July 27, 2009, there were 142,468 registered holders of record of our common stock. The high and low common stock sales prices per share were as follows:

| Quarter Ended | Sep. 30 | Dec. 31 | Mar. 31 | June 30 | Year |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Fiscal year 2009 | | | | | |
| Common stock price per share: | | | | | |
| High | \$ 28.50 | \$ 27.47 | \$ 21.00 | \$ 24.34 | \$ 28.50 |
| Low | \$ 23.50 | \$ 17.50 | \$ 14.87 | \$ 18.18 | \$ 14.87 |
| Fiscal year 2008 | | | | | |
| Common stock price per share: | | | | | |
| High | \$ 31.84 | \$ 37.50 | \$ 35.96 | \$ 32.10 | \$ 37.50 |
| Low | \$ 27.51 | \$ 29.29 | \$ 26.87 | \$ 27.11 | \$ 26.87 |
| <u>DIVIDENDS AND SHARE REPURCHASES</u> | | | | | |

See Note 18 - Stockholders' Equity of the Notes to Financial Statements (Part II, Item 8) for information regarding dividends and share repurchases.

PAGE

19

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 6

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

(In millions, except per share data)

| Fiscal Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Revenue | \$ 58,437 | \$ 60,420 | \$ 51,122 | \$ 44,282 | \$ 39,788 |
| Operating income | \$ 20,363 | \$ 22,271 | \$ 18,438 | \$ 16,380 | \$ 14,576 |
| Net income | \$ 14,569 | \$ 17,681 | \$ 14,065 | \$ 12,599 | \$ 12,254 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 1.62 | \$ 1.87 | \$ 1.42 | \$ 1.20 | \$ 1.12 |
| Cash dividends declared per share | \$ 0.52 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.40 | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.34 |
| Cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments | \$ 31,447 | \$ 23,662 | \$ 23,411 | \$ 34,161 | \$ 37,751 |
| Total assets | \$ 77,888 | \$ 72,793 | \$ 63,171 | \$ 69,597 | \$ 70,815 |
| Long-term obligations | \$ 11,296 ^(a) | \$ 6,621 | \$ 8,320 | \$ 7,051 | \$ 5,823 |
| Stockholders' equity | \$ 39,558 | \$ 36,286 | \$ 31,097 | \$ 40,104 | \$ 48,115 |

(a) Includes \$3.75 billion of debt securities issued in May 2009. See Note 12 Debt of the Notes to Financial Statements (Part II, Item 8).

PAGE 20

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 7

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR FISCAL YEARS 2009, 2008, AND 2007

Overview

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) is intended to help the reader understand the results of operations and financial condition of Microsoft Corporation. MD&A is provided as a supplement to, and should be read in conjunction with, our financial statements and the accompanying notes to the financial statements (Notes).

We generate revenue by developing, manufacturing, licensing, and supporting a wide range of software products and services for many different types of computing devices. Our software products and services include operating systems for personal computers, servers, and intelligent devices; server applications for distributed computing environments; information worker productivity applications; business solutions applications; high-performance computing applications; software development tools; and video games. We provide consulting and product support services, and we train and certify computer system integrators and developers. We also design and sell hardware, including the Xbox 360 video game console, the Zune digital music and entertainment device, and peripherals. Online offerings and information are delivered through Bing, Windows Live, Office Live, our MSN portals and channels, and the Microsoft Online Services platform, which includes offerings for businesses, such as Microsoft Dynamics CRM Online, Exchange Hosted Services, Exchange Online, and SharePoint Online. We enable the delivery of online advertising across our broad range of digital media properties and on Bing through our proprietary adCenter platform.

Our revenue historically has fluctuated quarterly and has generally been the highest in the second quarter of our fiscal year due to corporate calendar year-end spending trends in our major markets and holiday season spending by consumers. Our Entertainment and Devices Division is particularly seasonal as its products are aimed at the consumer market and are in highest demand during the holiday shopping season. Typically, the Entertainment and Devices Division has generated approximately 40% of its annual segment revenues in our second fiscal quarter. In fiscal year 2007, our revenue was highest in the third quarter due to the recognition of \$1.7 billion of revenue previously deferred from the Express Upgrade to Windows Vista and Microsoft Office Technology Guarantee programs and pre-shipments of Windows Vista and the 2007 Microsoft Office system. The technology guarantee programs provided customers who purchased current products with free or discounted rights to Windows Vista and the 2007 Microsoft Office system when those products became available to consumers.

The unfavorable global economic environment adversely affected our business in fiscal year 2009 as consumers and businesses cut back on spending, which reduced PC shipments and IT investments. But because we offer a wide range of products that enable companies to improve productivity and reduce costs, and because we have a strong pipeline of products, including new versions of Windows and Office planned for release in fiscal year 2010, we believe that Microsoft is well-positioned to weather the economic downturn. As the global economy improves, this will create new opportunities to increase revenue. To further help weather the economic downturn, in fiscal year 2009 we made important adjustments to our cost structure and streamlined internal business processes.

Technological innovation is the foundation of our long-term growth and we intend to maintain our commitment to investment in research and development, engineering excellence, and delivering high-quality products and services to customers and partners. Recognizing that one of our primary business objectives is to help accelerate worldwide PC adoption and software upgrades, we continue to advance the functionality, security, and value of Windows operating systems. We remain focused on selling our products in emerging markets and reducing the amount of unlicensed software used in those markets.

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

We also continue to develop innovative software applications and solutions that we believe will enhance information worker productivity, improve communication and collaboration in work groups, aid business intelligence, and streamline processes for small and mid-sized businesses. To sustain growth in the face of competition from other vendors of proprietary and open source software, our goal is to deliver products that provide the best platform for network computing software that is easiest to deploy and manage, and that is most secure with the lowest total cost of ownership.

In addition, we continue to invest in research and development in existing and new lines of business, including cloud computing, search, online solutions, business solutions, mobile computing, communication, entertainment,

PAGE

21

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 7

and other areas that we believe may contribute to our long-term growth. We also invest in research and development of advanced technologies for future software products. We believe that delivering innovative and high-value solutions through our integrated platform is the key to meeting customer needs and to our future growth.

This long-term focus on investment in research and development has enabled us to lay a foundation for future growth by delivering innovative products, creating opportunities for partners, and improving customer satisfaction. Our focus in fiscal year 2010 is to build on this foundation and to continue to execute well in key areas through ongoing innovation on our integrated software platform, by responding effectively to customer and partner needs, and by focusing internally on product excellence, business efficacy, and accountability across the company.

Summary of Results for Fiscal Years 2009, 2008, and 2007

| (In millions, except percentages and per share amounts) | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | Percentage Change 2009 Versus 2008 | Percentage Change 2008 Versus 2007 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| Revenue | \$ 58,437 | \$ 60,420 | \$ 51,122 | (3)% | 18% |
| Operating income | \$ 20,363 | \$ 22,271 | \$ 18,438 | (9)% | 21% |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 1.62 | \$ 1.87 | \$ 1.42 | (13)% | 32% |

Fiscal year 2009 compared with fiscal year 2008

Revenue declined across most segments primarily driven by weakness in the global PC market and the unfavorable economic environment. Foreign currency exchange rates accounted for a \$486 million or one percentage point increase in revenue. Primary factors contributing to the decline include the following:

Revenue from Windows operating systems declined reflecting PC market weakness, especially PCs sold to businesses, and a decline in the OEM premium mix.

Revenue from our Entertainment and Devices Division decreased across most lines of business including Xbox 360 platform and PC game revenue which declined primarily as a result of decreased revenue per console due to price reductions during the past 12 months, partially offset by increased console sales and Xbox Live revenue.

The above declines were partially offset by increased server and server application revenue, reflecting recognition of deferred revenue from previously signed agreements and continued adoption of the Windows Server Platform and applications through SQL Server, Enterprise CAL Suites, and System Center products.

Operating income decreased primarily reflecting decreased revenue. Operating expenses were flat with decreased general and administrative and sales and marketing expenses offset by increased headcount-related expenses, cost of revenue, and employee severance charges.

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

General and administrative expenses decreased \$1.4 billion or 28%, primarily due to decreased costs for legal settlements and contingencies. We incurred \$283 million of legal charges during the twelve months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to \$1.8 billion during the twelve months ended June 30, 2008. The prior year costs were primarily related to the European Commission fine of \$1.4 billion (899 million).

Sales and marketing expenses decreased \$381 million or 3%, primarily driven by the resource management program. As part of that program, we reduced marketing and advertising expenses.

Headcount-related expenses, excluding \$330 million of employee severance charges, increased 7%, driven by a 2% increase in headcount during the past 12 months and an increase in salaries and benefits for existing headcount.

Cost of revenue increased \$557 million or 5%, primarily reflecting increased online costs, including online traffic acquisition, data center and equipment, and headcount-related costs, partially offset by decreased Xbox 360 platform costs. In January 2009, we announced and implemented a resource management program to reduce discretionary operating expenses, employee headcount, and capital expenditures. As part of this program, we announced the

PAGE 22

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 7

elimination of up to 5,000 positions in research and development, marketing, sales, finance, legal, human resources, and information technology by June 30, 2010. During the twelve months ended June 30, 2009, we recorded employee severance charges of \$330 million for the expected reduction in employee headcount.

Diluted earnings per share declined primarily reflecting decreased net income, partially offset by share repurchases during the past 12 months. We repurchased 318 million shares during the twelve months ended June 30, 2009.

Fiscal year 2008 compared with fiscal year 2007

Revenue growth was driven primarily by increased licensing of the 2007 Microsoft Office system, increased Xbox 360 platform sales, increased revenue associated with Windows Server and SQL Server, and increased licensing of Windows Vista. Foreign currency exchange rates accounted for a \$1.6 billion or three percentage point increase in revenue during fiscal year 2008.

Operating income increased primarily reflecting increased revenue, partially offset by increased headcount-related expenses, increased costs for legal settlements and legal contingencies, and increased cost of revenue. Headcount-related expenses increased 12%, reflecting an increase in headcount during fiscal year 2008. We incurred \$1.8 billion of legal charges during fiscal year 2008 primarily related to the European Commission fine of \$1.4 billion (899 million) as compared with \$511 million of legal charges during fiscal year 2007. Cost of revenue increased \$905 million or 8%, reflecting increased data center and equipment costs, online content expenses, and increased costs associated with the growth in our consulting services, partially offset by decreased Xbox 360 costs. The decreased Xbox 360 costs reflect the \$1.1 billion charge in fiscal year 2007 related to the expansion of our Xbox 360 warranty, partially offset by increased Xbox 360 product costs reflecting growth in unit console sales.

The diluted earnings per share growth was impacted by the \$1.1 billion Xbox 360 charge in fiscal year 2007 and share repurchases during fiscal year 2008.

Fiscal Year 2010 Outlook

Global macroeconomic factors have a strong correlation to demand for our software, services, hardware, and online offerings. While we see the potential for improvement in calendar year 2010, we are unable to predict the actual timing. In the meantime, we are positive about our relative market position and our product delivery plans. In addition, we remain focused on executing in the areas we can control by continuing to provide high value products at the lowest total cost of ownership while managing our expenses.

SEGMENT PRODUCT REVENUE/OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)

The revenue and operating income (loss) amounts in this section are presented on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP) and include certain reconciling items attributable to each of the segments. Segment information appearing in Note 22 Segment Information and Geographic Data of the Notes to Financial Statements (Part II, Item 8) is presented on a basis consistent with our current internal management reporting, in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*. Certain corporate-level activity has been excluded from segment operating results and is analyzed separately. Prior period amounts have been recast to conform to the way we internally managed and monitored performance at the segment level during the current period.

Client

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| (In millions, except percentages) | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | Percentage Change 2009 Versus 2008 | Percentage Change 2008 Versus 2007 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| Revenue | \$ 14,712 | \$ 16,865 | \$ 14,911 | (13)% | 13% |
| Operating income | \$ 10,856 | \$ 13,105 | \$ 11,424 | (17)% | 15% |

Client offerings consist of premium and standard edition Windows operating systems. Premium editions are those that include additional functionality and are sold at a price above our standard editions. Premium editions include Windows Vista Business, Windows Vista Home Premium, Windows Vista Ultimate, Windows Vista Enterprise,

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 7

Windows XP Professional, Windows XP Media Center, and Windows XP Tablet PC. Standard editions include Windows Vista Home Basic and Windows XP Home. Client revenue growth is directly impacted by growth of PC purchases from original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) that pre-install versions of Windows operating systems because the OEM channel accounts for over 80% of total Client revenue. The differences between unit growth rates and revenue growth rates from year to year are affected primarily by changes in the mix of OEM Windows premium edition operating systems licensed as a percentage of total OEM Windows operating systems licensed (OEM premium mix). Additional differences in growth rates result from the impact from lower cost netbook PCs, which are sold with a lower cost version of Windows, changes in geographic mix, and changes in the channel mix of products sold by large, multi-national OEMs versus those sold by local and regional system builders.

Fiscal year 2009 compared with fiscal year 2008

Client revenue decreased primarily as a result of PC market weakness, especially PCs sold to businesses. OEM revenue decreased \$2.3 billion or 16% while OEM license units declined 2%. The decline in OEM revenue reflects a 10 percentage point decline in the OEM premium mix to 64%. Based on our estimates, total worldwide PC shipments from all sources experienced a decline of approximately 1% to growth of approximately 2%, driven by changes in demand in emerging and developed markets.

Client operating income decreased primarily reflecting decreased revenue and increased sales and marketing expenses. Sales and marketing expenses increased \$122 million or 7%, primarily reflecting increased advertising and marketing.

Fiscal year 2008 compared with fiscal year 2007

Client revenue increased reflecting growth in licensing of Windows Vista. By the end of fiscal year 2008, more than 180 million Windows Vista licenses had been sold (approximately 130 million were sold during fiscal year 2008) and millions of enterprise seats had been deployed. OEM revenue increased \$1.8 billion or 14%, driven by 16% growth in OEM license units. Revenue from commercial and retail licensing of Windows operating systems increased \$202 million or 9%, primarily from Enterprise Agreements and anti-piracy efforts in emerging markets. During fiscal year 2008, the OEM premium mix increased seven percentage points to 74%, reflecting strong demand for Windows Vista Home Premium. We estimate total worldwide PC shipments from all sources grew approximately 12% to 14%, driven by demand in both emerging and mature markets.

Client operating income increased reflecting increased revenue, partially offset by increased sales and marketing expenses and cost of revenue. Sales and marketing expenses increased \$106 million or 7%, primarily reflecting increased expenses associated with our corporate sales force. Cost of revenue increased \$116 million or 14%, primarily driven by Windows Vista product costs.

Other

The segment information discussed above is presented the way we internally managed and monitored performance at the business group level in fiscal years 2009, 2008, and 2007. Effective July 1, 2009, we reorganized the Windows Live operations from Online Services Business to Client to better align our strategies and focus in those areas.

Server and Tools

| (In millions, except percentages) | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | Percentage Change 2009 Versus 2008 | Percentage Change 2008 Versus 2007 |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|--|--|
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|--|--|

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----|-----|
| Revenue | \$ 14,126 | \$ 13,102 | \$ 11,104 | 8% | 18% |
| Operating income | \$ 5,327 | \$ 4,539 | \$ 3,571 | 17% | 27% |

Server and Tools licenses products, applications, tools, content, and services that are designed to make information technology professionals and developers more productive and efficient. Server and Tools offerings consist of server software licenses and client access licenses (CAL) for Windows Server, Microsoft SQL Server, and other server products. We also offer developer tools, training, certification, Microsoft Press, Premier product support services, and Microsoft Consulting Services. Server products can be run on-site, in a partner-hosted environment, or in a Microsoft-

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 7

hosted environment. We use multiple channels for licensing, including pre-installed OEM versions, licenses through partners, and licenses directly to end customers. We sell licenses both as one-time licenses and as multi-year volume licenses.

Fiscal year 2009 compared with fiscal year 2008

Server and Tools revenue increased reflecting growth in both product and services revenue. Server and server application revenue (including CAL) and developer tools revenue increased \$809 million or 8%, primarily driven by growth in SQL Server, Enterprise CAL Suites, and System Center revenue. This growth reflects recognition of deferred revenue from previously signed agreements and continued adoption of the Windows Server Platform and applications. Consulting and Premier product support services revenue increased \$215 million or 8%, primarily due to revenue from annuity support agreements. Foreign currency exchange rates accounted for a \$140 million or one percentage point increase in revenue.

Server and Tools operating income increased primarily due to growth in product revenue, partially offset by increased research and development expenses and cost of revenue. Research and development expenses increased \$168 million or 9%, primarily driven by increased headcount-related expenses. Cost of revenue increased \$84 million or 3%, reflecting the growth in support, online, and consulting services.

Fiscal year 2008 compared with fiscal year 2007

Server and Tools revenue increased reflecting growth in product and services revenue and included a favorable impact from foreign currency exchange rates of \$464 million or four percentage points. Server and server application revenue (including CAL revenue) and developer tools revenue increased \$1.4 billion or 16%, primarily driven by growth in volume licensing of Windows Server and SQL Server products. This growth reflects broad adoption of the Windows Platform and applications with the releases of Windows Server 2008 and Visual Studio 2008 during fiscal year 2008. Consulting and Premier product support services revenue increased \$593 million or 29%, primarily due to higher demand for consulting and support services by corporate enterprises.

Server and Tools operating income increased primarily due to growth in product revenue, partially offset by increased sales and marketing expenses, cost of revenue, and research and development expenses. Sales and marketing expenses increased \$458 million or 13%, due to higher expenses associated with our corporate sales force. Cost of revenue increased \$404 million or 19%, reflecting the growth in Consulting and Premier product support services. Research and development expenses increased \$177 million or 10%, primarily driven by increased headcount-related expenses. Headcount-related expenses increased 6%, driven by an increase in headcount from the prior year-end.

Online Services Business

| (In millions, except percentages) | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | Percentage Change 2009 Versus 2008 | Percentage Change 2008 Versus 2007 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|----------|--|--|
| Revenue | \$ 3,088 | \$ 3,214 | \$ 2,434 | (4)% | 32% |
| Operating loss | \$ (2,253) | \$ (1,222) | \$ (604) | (84)% | (102)% |

Online Services Business (OSB) consists of an online advertising platform with offerings for both publishers and advertisers, personal communications services, such as email and instant messaging, online information offerings, such as Bing, and the MSN portals and channels around the world. We earn revenue primarily from online advertising, including search, display, email, messaging services, and advertiser and publisher tools. Revenue is also generated through subscriptions and transactions generated from online paid services digital marketing and advertising agency services, and from MSN narrowband Internet access

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

subscribers (Access). During the first quarter of fiscal year 2008, we completed our acquisition of aQuantive, Inc. (aQuantive), a digital marketing business. aQuantive was consolidated into our results of operations starting August 10, 2007, the acquisition date.

PAGE 25

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 7

Fiscal year 2009 compared with fiscal year 2008

OSB revenue decreased primarily as a result of decreased online advertising and access revenue. Online advertising revenue decreased \$73 million or 3%, to \$2.3 billion, reflecting a decrease in display advertising, partially offset by an increase in search advertising. Access revenue decreased \$72 million or 28%, reflecting continued migration of subscribers to broadband or other competitively-priced service providers. Foreign currency exchange rates accounted for a \$28 million or one percentage point decrease in revenue.

OSB operating loss increased due to increased cost of revenue and research and development expenses, and decreased revenue. Cost of revenue increased \$692 million or 36%, primarily driven by increased online traffic acquisition, data center and equipment, and headcount-related costs. Research and development expenses increased \$149 million or 13%, primarily due to increased headcount-related expenses.

Fiscal year 2008 compared with fiscal year 2007

OSB revenue increased driven by increased online advertising revenue and the inclusion of aQuantive revenue, partially offset by decreased access revenue. Online advertising revenue increased \$550 million or 31%, to \$2.3 billion. This increase reflects growth in our existing online advertising business and includes aQuantive online advertising revenue of \$161 million. Agency revenue, which is solely derived from aQuantive, was \$345 million during fiscal year 2008. Access revenue decreased \$98 million or 28%, to \$256 million, reflecting migration of subscribers to broadband or other competitively-priced service providers.

OSB operating loss increased driven by increased cost of revenue and other operating expenses, partially offset by increased revenue. Cost of revenue increased \$796 million or 71%, primarily driven by increased data center and equipment costs, online content expenses, and aQuantive-related expenses. Sales and marketing expenses increased \$311 million or 37%, primarily due to increased amortization of customer-related intangible assets of \$94 million, increased headcount-related expenses, and increased marketing costs. Research and development expenses increased \$177 million or 17%, and general and administrative expenses increased \$114 million or 178%, primarily reflecting increased headcount-related expenses and merger and acquisition-related expenses. Headcount-related expenses increased 24%, driven by an increase in headcount from the prior year-end.

Other

The segment information discussed above is presented the way we internally managed and monitored performance at the business group level in fiscal years 2009, 2008, and 2007. Effective July 1, 2009, we reorganized the Windows Live operations from OSB to Client to better align our strategies and focus in those areas.

On July 29, 2009, we announced that we entered into a 10-year agreement with Yahoo! Inc. (Yahoo). Under terms of the agreement, Microsoft will provide the exclusive algorithmic and paid search platform for Yahoo websites. We believe this agreement will allow us over time to improve the effectiveness and increase the value of our search offering through greater scale in search queries and an expanded and more competitive search and advertising marketplace. The transaction is subject to regulatory review. Both parties anticipate entering into more detailed definitive agreements prior to closing the transaction which is expected in calendar year 2010. See Note 24 Subsequent Event of the Notes to Financial Statements (Part II, Item 8).

Microsoft Business Division

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| (In millions, except percentages) | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | Percentage Change 2009 Versus 2008 | Percentage Change 2008 Versus 2007 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| Revenue | \$ 18,894 | \$ 18,929 | \$ 16,476 | % | 15% |
| Operating income | \$ 12,141 | \$ 12,369 | \$ 10,838 | (2)% | 14% |

Microsoft Business Division (MBD) offerings consist of the Microsoft Office system and Microsoft Dynamics business solutions. Microsoft Office system products are designed to increase personal, team, and organization productivity through a range of programs, services, and software solutions. Growth of revenue from the Microsoft Office system

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 7

offerings, which generate over 90% of MBD revenue, depends on our ability to add value to the core Office product set and to continue to expand our product offerings in other information worker areas such as content management, enterprise search, collaboration, unified communications, and business intelligence. Microsoft Dynamics products provide business solutions for financial management, customer relationship management, supply chain management, and analytics applications for small and mid-size businesses, large organizations, and divisions of global enterprises. We evaluate our results based upon the nature of the end user in two primary parts: business revenue, which includes Microsoft Office system revenue generated through volume licensing agreements and Microsoft Dynamics revenue; and consumer revenue, which includes revenue from retail packaged product sales and OEM revenue.

Fiscal year 2009 compared with fiscal year 2008

MBD revenue was flat reflecting decreased consumer revenue offset by increased business revenue, and included a favorable impact from foreign currency exchange rates of \$378 million or two percentage points. Consumer revenue decreased \$525 million or 14%, primarily as a result of PC market weakness, a shift to lower-priced products, and pricing promotions on the 2007 Microsoft Office system. Business revenue increased \$490 million or 3%, primarily reflecting growth in volume licensing agreement revenue and included a 7% decrease in Microsoft Dynamics customer billings. The growth in volume licensing agreement revenue primarily reflects recognition of deferred revenue from previously signed agreements.

MBD operating income decreased reflecting increased cost of revenue and research and development expenses, partially offset by decreased sales and marketing expenses. Cost of revenue increased \$135 million or 14% primarily driven by expenses associated with Fast Search & Transfer ASA (FAST) which we acquired in April 2008, as well as online services infrastructure costs. Research and development expenses increased \$119 million or 8%, primarily driven by an increase in headcount-related expenses associated with FAST. Sales and marketing expenses decreased \$90 million or 2%, primarily driven by a decrease in corporate marketing activities and headcount-related costs associated with our corporate sales force.

Fiscal year 2008 compared with fiscal year 2007

MBD revenue increased reflecting growth in licensing of the 2007 Microsoft Office system and included a favorable impact from foreign currency exchange rates of \$724 million or four percentage points. Business revenue increased \$2.6 billion or 21%, primarily as a result of growth in volume licensing agreement revenue and strong transactional license sales to businesses. The increase in business revenue also included a 21% increase in Microsoft Dynamics customer billings. Consumer revenue decreased \$131 million or 3%, reflecting the consumer launch of the 2007 Microsoft Office system in fiscal year 2007.

MBD operating income increased reflecting growth in revenue, partially offset by increased sales and marketing expenses, research and development expenses, and cost of revenue. Sales and marketing expenses increased \$446 million or 13%, reflecting increased expenses associated with our corporate sales force. Research and development expenses increased \$229 million or 18%, primarily driven by an increase in headcount-related expenses and a \$35 million in-process research and development expense related to the acquisition of FAST. Cost of revenue increased \$214 million or 27%, primarily driven by an increase in online services infrastructure costs and product costs related to retail packaged product sales. Headcount-related expenses increased 10%, driven by an increase in headcount from the prior year-end.

Entertainment and Devices Division

| (In millions, except percentages) | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | Percentage Change 2009 Versus 2008 | Percentage Change 2008 Versus 2007 |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|--|--|
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|--|--|

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|-------|-----|
| Revenue | \$ 7,753 | \$ 8,206 | \$ 6,139 | (6)% | 34% |
| Operating income (loss) | \$ 169 | \$ 497 | \$(1,898) | (66)% | * |

* *Not meaningful*

Entertainment and Devices Division (EDD) offerings include the Xbox 360 platform (which includes the Microsoft Xbox 360 video game console system, Xbox 360 video games, Xbox Live, and Xbox 360 accessories), the Zune

PAGE

27

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 7

digital music and entertainment platform, PC software games, online games and services, Mediaroom (our Internet protocol television software), the Microsoft Surface computing platform, mobile and embedded device platforms, and other devices. EDD leads the development efforts for our line of consumer software and hardware products including application software for Apple's Macintosh computers and Microsoft PC hardware products, and is responsible for all retail sales and marketing for Microsoft Office and Windows operating systems.

Fiscal year 2009 compared with fiscal year 2008

EDD revenue decreased across most lines of business. Revenue from our non-gaming business decreased \$292 million or 12%, primarily reflecting decreased Zune and PC hardware product revenue. Xbox 360 platform and PC game revenue decreased \$161 million or 3%, primarily as a result of decreased revenue per Xbox 360 console due to price reductions during the past 12 months, partially offset by increased Xbox 360 console sales and increased Xbox Live revenue. We shipped 11.2 million Xbox 360 consoles during fiscal year 2009, compared with 8.7 million Xbox 360 consoles during fiscal year 2008. Foreign currency exchange rates accounted for a \$74 million or one percentage point decrease in revenue.

EDD operating income decreased primarily due to decreased revenue and increased research and development expenses, partially offset by decreased cost of revenue. Research and development expenses increased \$252 million or 16%, primarily reflecting increased headcount-related expenses associated with the Windows Mobile device platform, driven by recent acquisitions. Cost of revenue decreased \$326 million or 7%, primarily due to decreased Xbox 360 platform costs.

Fiscal year 2008 compared with fiscal year 2007

EDD revenue increased primarily due to increased Xbox 360 platform sales. Xbox 360 platform and PC game revenue increased \$1.7 billion or 41% as a result of increased Xbox 360 console sales, video game sales led by Halo 3, Xbox Live revenue, and Xbox 360 accessory sales. We shipped 8.7 million Xbox 360 consoles during fiscal year 2008, compared with 6.6 million Xbox 360 consoles during fiscal year 2007.

EDD operating income increased primarily due to increased revenue and decreased cost of revenue, partially offset by increased research and development expenses and sales and marketing expenses. Cost of revenue decreased \$684 million or 13%, reflecting the impact of the \$1.1 billion Xbox 360 charge in fiscal year 2007 (which primarily related to the warranty expansion), partially offset by increased Xbox 360 product costs related to increased unit console sales. Research and development expenses increased \$242 million or 18%, primarily reflecting increased headcount-related expenses and costs related to the acquisition of Danger, including a \$24 million in-process research and development expense. Sales and marketing expenses increased \$89 million or 7%, primarily reflecting increased headcount-related expenses and increased bad debt expense. Headcount-related expenses increased 22%, driven by an increase in headcount from the prior year-end.

Corporate-Level Activity

| (In millions, except percentages) | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | Percentage Change 2009 Versus 2008 | Percentage Change 2008 Versus 2007 |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|
| Corporate-level activity | \$ (5,877) | \$ (7,017) | \$ (4,893) | 16% | (43)% |

Certain corporate-level activity is not allocated to our segments. Those results include expenses such as broad-based sales and marketing, product support services, human resources, legal, finance, information technology, corporate development and procurement activities, research and development and other costs, legal settlements and contingencies, and employee severance.

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

Fiscal year 2009 compared with fiscal year 2008

Corporate-level expenses decreased during the twelve months ended June 30, 2009, primarily reflecting decreased general and administrative and sales and marketing expenses, partially offset by employee severance charges of \$330 million. General and administrative expenses decreased \$1.4 billion or 28%, primarily due to decreased costs for legal settlements and contingencies. We incurred \$283 million of legal charges during the twelve months ended

PAGE 28

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 7

June 30, 2009 as compared to \$1.8 billion during the twelve months ended June 30, 2008. The prior year costs were primarily related to the European Commission fine of \$1.4 billion (899 million). Sales and marketing expenses decreased \$412 million or 30%, reflecting the resource management program implemented in January 2009.

Fiscal year 2008 compared with fiscal year 2007

Corporate-level expenses increased, reflecting increased costs for legal settlements and legal contingencies and a 13% increase in headcount-related expenses. We incurred \$1.8 billion of legal charges during fiscal year 2008 primarily related to the European Commission fine of \$1.4 billion (899 million) as compared with \$511 million of legal charges during fiscal year 2007. The increase in headcount-related expenses reflects an increase in headcount from the prior year-end.

OPERATING EXPENSES**Cost of Revenue**

| (In millions, except percentages) | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | Percentage Change 2009 Versus 2008 | Percentage Change 2008 Versus 2007 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| Cost of revenue | \$ 12,155 | \$ 11,598 | \$ 10,693 | 5% | 8% |
| As a percent of revenue | 21% | 19% | 21% | 2ppt | (2)ppt |

Cost of revenue includes manufacturing and distribution costs for products sold and programs licensed, operating costs related to product support service centers and product distribution centers, costs incurred to drive traffic to our website and/or acquire online advertising space (traffic acquisition costs), costs incurred to support and maintain Internet-based products and services, warranty costs, inventory valuation adjustments, costs associated with the delivery of consulting services, and the amortization of capitalized research and development costs associated with software products that have reached technological feasibility.

Fiscal year 2009 compared with fiscal year 2008

Cost of revenue increased during the twelve months ended June 30, 2009, primarily reflecting increased online costs, including traffic acquisition, data center and equipment, and headcount costs, partially offset by decreased Xbox 360 platform costs.

Fiscal year 2008 compared with fiscal year 2007

Cost of revenue increased reflecting increased data center and equipment costs, online content expenses, and increased costs associated with the growth in our consulting services, partially offset by decreased Xbox 360 costs. Xbox 360 costs decreased because of the \$1.1 billion charge in fiscal year 2007 (which primarily related to the expansion of our Xbox 360 warranty coverage), partially offset by increased Xbox 360 product costs, reflecting growth in unit console sales.

Research and Development

| (In millions, except percentages) | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | Percentage Change 2009 | Percentage Change 2008 |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|---------------------------|---------------------------|

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | Versus 2008 | Versus 2007 |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Research and development | \$ 9,010 | \$ 8,164 | \$ 7,121 | 10% | 15% |
| As a percent of revenue | 15% | 14% | 14% | 1ppt | ppt |

Research and development expenses include payroll, employee benefits, stock-based compensation expense, and other headcount-related expenses associated with product development. Research and development expenses also include third-party development and programming costs, localization costs incurred to translate software for international markets, the amortization of purchased software code and services content, and in-process research and development.

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 7

Fiscal year 2009 compared with fiscal year 2008

Research and development expenses increased during the twelve months ended June 30, 2009, primarily reflecting a 13% increase in headcount-related costs.

Fiscal year 2008 compared with fiscal year 2007

Research and development expenses increased reflecting increased headcount-related expenses, increased product development costs, and in-process research and development expenses related to acquisitions during fiscal year 2008. Headcount-related expenses increased 12%, reflecting an increase in headcount from the prior year-end.

Sales and Marketing

| (In millions, except percentages) | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | Percentage Change 2009 Versus 2008 | Percentage Change 2008 Versus 2007 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| Sales and marketing | \$ 12,879 | \$ 13,260 | \$ 11,541 | (3)% | 15% |
| As a percent of revenue | 22% | 22% | 23% | ppt | (1)ppt |

Sales and marketing expenses include payroll, employee benefits, stock-based compensation expense, and other headcount-related expenses associated with sales and marketing personnel and advertising, promotions, trade shows, seminars, and other programs.

Effective July 1, 2008, we began presenting gains and losses resulting from foreign currency remeasurements as a component of other income (expense). Prior to July 1, 2008, we included gains and losses resulting from foreign currency remeasurements as a component of sales and marketing expense. We changed our presentation because this better reflects how we manage these foreign currency exposures, as such gains and losses arising from the remeasurement of foreign currency transactions are incidental to our operations. For the twelve months ended June 30, 2009, \$509 million of losses were reported as other income (expense). For the twelve months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, \$221 million and \$86 million of gains, respectively, were previously recorded as a component of sales and marketing expense and have been recast as other income (expense).

Fiscal year 2009 compared with fiscal year 2008

Sales and marketing expenses decreased, primarily driven by the resource management program implemented in January 2009.

Fiscal year 2008 compared with fiscal year 2007

Sales and marketing expenses increased, primarily reflecting increased headcount-related expenses and increased corporate marketing and advertising campaigns. Headcount-related expenses increased 14%, driven by an increase in headcount from the prior year-end.

General and Administrative

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| (In millions, except percentages) | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | Percentage Change 2009 Versus 2008 | Percentage Change 2008 Versus 2007 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|--|--|
| General and administrative | \$ 3,700 | \$ 5,127 | \$ 3,329 | (28)% | 54% |
| As a percent of revenue | 6% | 8% | 7% | (2)ppt | 1ppt |

General and administrative costs include payroll, employee benefits, stock-based compensation expense and other headcount-related expenses associated with finance, legal, facilities, certain human resources and other administrative headcount, and legal and other administrative fees.

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 7

Fiscal year 2009 compared with fiscal year 2008

General and administrative expenses decreased primarily reflecting decreased costs for legal settlements and legal contingencies. We incurred legal charges of \$283 million in current year, as compared with \$1.8 billion during fiscal year 2008. The fiscal year 2008 legal costs were primarily related to the European Commission fine of \$1.4 billion (899 million).

Fiscal year 2008 compared with fiscal year 2007

General and administrative expenses increased reflecting increased costs for legal settlements and legal contingencies, increased consulting and professional fees, and increased headcount-related expenses. We incurred \$1.8 billion of legal charges during fiscal year 2008, primarily related to the European Commission fine, as compared with \$511 million of legal charges during fiscal year 2007. Headcount-related expenses increased 7%, reflecting an increase in headcount from the prior year-end.

Employee Severance

In January 2009, we announced and implemented a resource management program to reduce discretionary operating expenses, employee headcount, and capital expenditures. As part of this program, we announced the elimination of up to 5,000 positions in research and development, marketing, sales, finance, legal, human resources, and information technology by June 30, 2010. During the current year, we recorded employee severance charges of \$330 million for the expected reduction in employee headcount.

Other Income (Expense)

The components of other income (expense) were as follows:

| (In millions, except percentages) | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | Percentage Change 2009 Versus 2008 | Percentage Change 2008 Versus 2007 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|--|--|
| Dividends and interest | \$ 706 | \$ 888 | \$ 1,319 | | |
| Net recognized gains (losses) on investments | (125) | 346 | 650 | | |
| Net gains (losses) on derivatives | (558) | 226 | (358) | | |
| Net gains (losses) on foreign currency remeasurements | (509) | 226 | 56 | | |
| Other | (56) | (143) | (4) | | |
| Total | \$ (542) | \$ 1,543 | \$ 1,663 | (135)% | (7)% |

Effective July 1, 2008, we began presenting gains and losses resulting from foreign currency remeasurements as a component of other income (expense). Prior to July 1, 2008, we included gains and losses resulting from foreign currency remeasurements as a component of sales and marketing expense. We changed our presentation because this better reflects how we manage these foreign currency exposures, as such gains and losses arising from the remeasurement of foreign currency transactions are incidental to our operations. For the twelve months ended June 30, 2009, \$509 million of losses were reported as other income (expense). For the twelve months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, \$221 million and \$86 million of gains, respectively, were previously recorded as a component of sales and marketing expense and have been recast as other income (expense).

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

Investments are considered to be impaired when a decline in fair value is judged to be other-than-temporary. We employ a systematic methodology that considers available evidence in evaluating potential impairment of our investments, including market declines subsequent to the period end. If the cost of an investment exceeds its fair value, among other factors, we evaluate general market conditions, credit quality of debt instrument issuers, the duration and extent to which the fair value is less than cost, and for equity securities, our intent and ability to hold, or plans to sell, the investment. For fixed income securities, we also evaluate whether we have plans to sell the security or it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery. We also consider specific adverse conditions related to the financial health of and business outlook for the investee, including industry and

PAGE

31

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 7

sector performance, changes in technology, and operational and financing cash flow factors. Once a decline in fair value is determined to be other-than-temporary, an impairment charge is recorded to other income (expense) and a new cost basis in the investment is established.

We lend certain fixed-income and equity securities to increase investment returns. The loaned securities continue to be carried as investments on our balance sheet. Collateral and/or security interest is determined based upon the underlying security and the creditworthiness of the borrower. Cash collateral is recorded as an asset with a corresponding liability.

We use derivative instruments to manage risks related to foreign currencies, equity prices, interest rates, and credit; to enhance investment returns; and to facilitate portfolio diversification. Gains and losses from changes in fair values of derivatives that are not designated as hedges are recognized in other income (expense). These are generally offset by unrealized gains and losses in the underlying securities in the investment portfolio and are recorded as a component of other comprehensive income.

Fiscal year 2009 compared with fiscal year 2008

Dividends and interest income decreased primarily reflecting lower interest rates on our fixed-income investments. Net recognized losses on investments increased primarily due to higher other-than-temporary impairments that were partially offset by gains on sales of certain equity investments held in our strategic investments portfolio. Other-than-temporary impairments were \$862 million during the twelve months ended June 30, 2009, as compared with \$312 million during the twelve months ended June 30, 2008 and increased primarily due to declines in equity values as a result of deterioration in equity markets. Net losses on derivatives increased primarily due to losses on equity, commodity, and interest rate derivatives in the current period as compared with gains in the prior period. Net losses on foreign currency remeasurements increased due to the strengthening of the U.S. dollar, particularly in the first half of the current fiscal year.

Fiscal year 2008 compared with fiscal year 2007

Dividends and interest income decreased reflecting lower interest rates on our fixed-income investments and a reduction in the average balance of interest-bearing investments owned. Net recognized gains on investments, which include other-than-temporary impairments of \$312 million during fiscal year 2008 and \$25 million during fiscal year 2007, decreased primarily due to declines in equity values as a result of the recent stock market decline. Net gains on derivatives increased primarily due to higher net gains on equity, commodity, and interest rate derivatives.

Income Taxes

Fiscal year 2009 compared with fiscal year 2008

Our effective tax rates in fiscal years 2009 and 2008 were 27% and 26%, respectively. While the fiscal year 2009 rate reflects a higher mix of foreign earnings taxed at lower rates, the rate increased from the prior year because the fiscal year 2008 rate reflects the resolution of tax positions relating to our agreement with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) settling the 2000-2003 examination, partially offset by the European Commission fine which was not tax deductible. As a result of the settlement and the impact on subsequent years, we paid the IRS approximately \$4.1 billion during fiscal year 2009.

Fiscal year 2008 compared with fiscal year 2007

Our effective tax rates in fiscal year 2008 and 2007 were 26% and 30%, respectively. The fiscal year 2008 rate was lower due to the items noted above.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments totaled \$31.4 billion as of June 30, 2009, compared with \$23.7 billion as of June 30, 2008. Equity and other investments were \$4.9 billion as of June 30, 2009, compared with \$6.6 billion as of June 30, 2008. Our investments consist primarily of fixed-income securities, diversified among industries and individual issuers. Our investments are generally liquid and investment grade. The portfolio is invested predominantly in U.S. dollar-denominated securities, but also includes foreign-denominated securities in order to diversify risk. We invest primarily in short-term securities to facilitate liquidity and for capital preservation. As a result of the special dividend paid in the second quarter of fiscal year 2005 and shares repurchased, our retained deficit, including accumulated other comprehensive income, was \$22.8 billion at June 30, 2009. Our retained deficit is not expected to affect our future ability to operate, pay dividends, or repay our debt given our continuing profitability and strong cash and financial position.

PAGE 32

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 7

In general, and where applicable, we use quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities to determine fair value. This pricing methodology applies to our Level 1 investments, such as exchange-traded mutual funds, domestic and international equities, U.S. treasuries, and agency securities. If quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities are not available to determine fair value, then we use quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities or inputs other than the quoted prices that are observable either directly or indirectly. This pricing methodology applies to our Level 2 investments such as corporate notes and bonds, foreign government bonds, mortgage-backed securities, and certain agency securities. Level 3 investments are valued using internally developed models with unobservable inputs. Assets and liabilities measured using unobservable inputs are an immaterial portion of our portfolio.

A majority of our investments are priced by pricing vendors and are generally Level 1 or Level 2 investments as these vendors either provide a quoted market price in an active market or use observable inputs for their pricing without applying significant adjustments. Broker pricing is used mainly when a quoted price is not available, the investment is not priced by our pricing vendors, or when a broker price is more reflective of fair values in the market in which the investment trades. Our broker-priced investments are generally labeled as Level 2 investments because the broker prices these investments based on similar assets without applying significant adjustments. In addition, all of our broker-priced investments have a sufficient level of trading volume to demonstrate that the fair values used are appropriate for these investments. Our fair value processes include controls that are designed to ensure appropriate fair values are recorded. Such controls include model validation, review of key model inputs, analysis of period-over-period fluctuations, and independent recalculation of prices where appropriate.

While we own certain mortgage- and asset-backed fixed-income securities, our portfolio as of June 30, 2009 does not contain direct exposure to subprime mortgages or structured vehicles that derive their value from subprime collateral. The majority of the mortgage-backed securities are collateralized by prime residential mortgages and carry a 100% principal and interest guarantee, primarily from Federal National Mortgage Association, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, and Government National Mortgage Association.

Debt

Short-term Debt

In September 2008, our Board of Directors authorized debt financings of up to \$6.0 billion. Pursuant to the authorization, we established a commercial paper program providing for the issuance and sale of up to \$2.0 billion in short-term commercial paper. As of June 30, 2009, \$2.0 billion of the commercial paper was issued and outstanding with a weighted average interest rate, including issuance costs, of 0.20% and maturities of 22 to 119 days.

In September 2008, we also entered into a \$2.0 billion six-month senior unsecured credit facility, principally to support the commercial paper program. In November 2008, we replaced the six-month credit facility with a \$2.0 billion 364-day credit facility. This credit facility expires on November 6, 2009. In March 2009, we entered into an additional credit facility. This \$1.0 billion 364-day credit facility expires on March 12, 2010. As of June 30, 2009, we were in compliance with the only financial covenant in both credit agreements, which requires us to maintain a coverage ratio of at least three times earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization to interest expense. No amounts were drawn against these credit facilities during the year ended June 30, 2009.

Long-term Debt

In November 2008, we filed a shelf registration statement with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission that allows us to issue debt securities from time to time pursuant to the September 2008 authorization for debt financings of up to \$6.0 billion. In May 2009, we issued \$3.75 billion of debt securities under that registration statement as follows: \$2.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 2.95% notes due 2014, \$1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of 4.20% notes due 2019, and \$750 million aggregate principal

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

amount of 5.20% notes due 2039 (collectively the Notes). Interest on the Notes will be payable semi-annually on June 1 and December 1 of each year, commencing on December 1, 2009, to holders of record on the preceding May 15 and November 15. The Notes are senior unsecured obligations and will rank equally with our other unsecured and unsubordinated debt outstanding.

We intend to use the net proceeds from sales of the debt securities for general corporate purposes, which may include funding for working capital, capital expenditures, repurchases of our capital stock, and acquisitions.

PAGE

33

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 7

Unearned Revenue

Unearned revenue is comprised of the following items:

Volume Licensing Programs

Represents customer billings for multi-year licensing arrangements, paid either upfront or annually at the beginning of each billing coverage period, which are accounted for as subscriptions with revenue recognized ratably over the billing coverage period.

Undelivered Elements

Represents the right to receive unspecified upgrades/enhancements of Microsoft Internet Explorer on a when-and-if-available basis and free post-delivery telephone support. This revenue deferral is applicable for Windows XP and prior versions shipped as retail packaged products, products licensed to OEMs, and perpetual licenses for current products under our Open and Select volume licensing programs. The amount recorded as unearned is based on the sales price of those elements when sold separately and is recognized ratably on a straight-line basis over the related product's life cycle. Product life cycles are currently estimated at three and one-half years for Windows operating systems. Undelivered elements include \$276 million of deferred revenue related to the Windows 7 Upgrade Option program. The program, which started June 26, 2009, allows customers who purchase PCs from participating computer makers or retailers with certain versions of Windows Vista to receive an upgrade to the corresponding version of Windows 7 at minimal or no cost. In addition, purchasers of retail packaged Windows Vista may also qualify for a free or discounted upgrade to the equivalent Windows 7 product with participating retailers in participating markets when the product becomes generally available.

Other

Represents payments for post-delivery support and consulting services to be performed in the future, online advertising for which the advertisement has yet to be displayed, Microsoft Dynamics business solutions products, Xbox Live subscriptions, Mediaroom, and other offerings for which we have been paid upfront and earn the revenue when we provide the service or software, or otherwise meet the revenue recognition criteria.

The following table outlines the expected recognition of unearned revenue as of June 30, 2009:

| (In millions) | Recognition of Unearned Revenue |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| Three months ended: | |
| September 30, 2009 | \$ 4,740 |
| December 31, 2009 | 4,120 |
| March 31, 2010 | 2,743 |
| June 30, 2010 | 1,400 |
| Thereafter | 1,281 |
| Total | \$14,284 |

Cash Flows

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

Fiscal year 2009 compared with fiscal year 2008

Cash flow from operations decreased \$2.6 billion due to payment of approximately \$4.1 billion to the IRS in connection with our settlement of the 2000-2003 audit examination. This impact was partially offset by the fiscal year 2008 payment of the \$1.4 billion (899 million) European Commission fine. Cash used for financing decreased \$5.5 billion primarily due to \$5.7 billion of net cash proceeds from issuance of short-term and long-term debt in fiscal

PAGE 34

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 7

year 2009. Financing activities also included a \$3.2 billion decrease in common stock repurchased, which was offset by a \$2.9 billion decline in common stock issued. Cash used for investing increased \$11.2 billion due to a \$15.9 billion rise in purchases of investments along with a \$1.7 billion decrease in cash from investment sales and maturities. These impacts were partially offset by a \$7.2 billion decrease in cash paid for acquisition of companies, including the purchase of aQuantive in fiscal year 2008.

Fiscal year 2008 compared with fiscal year 2007

Cash flow from operations increased \$3.8 billion due to an increase in cash received from customers driven by 18% revenue growth, partially offset by the \$1.4 billion (899 million) payment of the European Commission fine. Cash used for financing decreased \$11.6 billion primarily due to a \$15.0 billion decrease in common stock repurchases, partially offset by a \$3.3 billion decrease in cash proceeds from the issuance of common stock. Cash used for investing was \$4.6 billion for fiscal year 2008 as compared with cash provided of \$6.1 billion for fiscal year 2007. This decrease was primarily due to a \$6.9 billion increase in cash paid for acquisition of companies, reflecting the purchase of aQuantive in the first quarter of fiscal year 2008, a \$918 million increase in purchases of property and equipment, and a \$3.1 billion decrease in cash from combined investment purchases, sales, and maturities.

Stockholders' equity at June 30, 2009, was \$39.6 billion. We will continue to invest in sales, marketing, product support infrastructure, and existing and advanced areas of technology. Additions to property and equipment will continue, including new facilities, data centers, and computer systems for research and development, sales and marketing, support, and administrative staff. Commitments for constructing new buildings were \$621 million on June 30, 2009. We have operating leases for most U.S. and international sales and support offices and certain equipment under which we incurred rental expense totaling \$475 million, \$398 million, and \$325 million, in fiscal years 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. We have not engaged in any related party transactions or arrangements with unconsolidated entities or other persons that are reasonably likely to materially affect liquidity or the availability of capital resources.

Share Repurchases

On September 22, 2008, we announced the completion of the two repurchase programs approved by our Board of Directors during the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 to buy back up to \$40.0 billion of Microsoft common stock. On September 22, 2008, we also announced that our Board of Directors approved a new share repurchase program authorizing up to \$40.0 billion in share repurchases with an expiration date of September 30, 2013. We repurchased 318 million shares for \$8.2 billion during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009; 101 million shares were repurchased for \$2.7 billion under the repurchase program approved by our Board of Directors during the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 and 217 million shares were repurchased for \$5.5 billion under the repurchase program approved by our Board of Directors during the first quarter of fiscal year 2009. As of June 30, 2009, approximately \$34.5 billion remained of the \$40.0 billion approved repurchase amount. All repurchases were made using cash resources. The repurchase program may be suspended or discontinued at any time without notice.

Dividends

During fiscal years 2009 and 2008, our Board of Directors declared the following dividends:

| Declaration Date | Per Share Dividend | Record Date | Total Amount (in millions) | Payment Date |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| (Fiscal year 2009) | | | | |
| September 19, 2008 | \$0.13 | November 20, 2008 | \$1,157 | December 11, 2008 |
| December 10, 2008 | \$0.13 | February 19, 2009 | \$1,155 | March 12, 2009 |

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------|-------------------|---------|--------------------|
| March 9, 2009 | \$0.13 | May 21, 2009 | \$1,158 | June 18, 2009 |
| June 10, 2009 | \$0.13 | August 20, 2009 | \$1,158 | September 10, 2009 |
| (Fiscal year 2008) | | | | |
| September 12, 2007 | \$0.11 | November 15, 2007 | \$1,034 | December 13, 2007 |
| December 19, 2007 | \$0.11 | February 21, 2008 | \$1,023 | March 13, 2008 |
| March 17, 2008 | \$0.11 | May 15, 2008 | \$1,020 | June 12, 2008 |
| June 11, 2008 | \$0.11 | August 21, 2008 | \$ 998 | September 11, 2008 |

PAGE

35

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 7

We believe existing cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments, together with funds generated from operations, should be sufficient to meet operating requirements, regular quarterly dividends, debt repayment schedules, and share repurchases. Our philosophy regarding the maintenance of a balance sheet with a large component of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, and equity and other investments, reflects our views on potential future capital requirements relating to research and development, creation and expansion of sales distribution channels, investments and acquisitions, share dilution management, legal risks, and challenges to our business model. We regularly assess our investment management approach in view of our current and potential future needs.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We provide indemnifications of varying scope and size to certain customers against claims of intellectual property infringement made by third parties arising from the use of our products and certain other matters. We evaluate estimated losses for these indemnifications under SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, as interpreted by Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation (FIN) No. 45, *Guarantor s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others*. We consider such factors as the degree of probability of an unfavorable outcome and the ability to make a reasonable estimate of the amount of loss. To date, we have not encountered significant costs as a result of these obligations and have not accrued any liabilities related to these indemnifications in our financial statements.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our outstanding contractual obligations as of June 30, 2009. We expect to fund these commitments with existing cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and cash flows from operations.

(In millions)

| Fiscal Years | Payments Due by Period | | | | Total |
|--|------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2011-2013 | 2014-2016 | 2017 and Thereafter | |
| Long-term debt: ^(a) | | | | | |
| Principal payments | \$ | \$ | \$2,000 | \$1,750 | \$ 3,750 |
| Interest payments | 145 | 420 | 302 | 1,023 | 1,890 |
| Construction commitments ^(b) | 621 | | | | 621 |
| Lease obligations: | | | | | |
| Capital leases | 3 | 9 | 1 | | 13 |
| Operating leases ^(c) | 457 | 931 | 520 | 477 | 2,385 |
| Purchase commitments ^(d) | 3,289 | 382 | 1 | | 3,672 |
| Other long-term liabilities ^(e) | | 110 | 4 | 2 | 116 |
| Total contractual obligations | \$4,515 | \$1,852 | \$2,828 | \$3,252 | \$12,447 |

(a) See Note 12 Debt of the Notes to Financial Statements (Part II, Item 8)

(b) These amounts represent commitments for the construction of buildings.

(c) These amounts represent undiscounted future minimum rental commitments under noncancellable leases.

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

- (d) These amounts represent purchase commitments, including all open purchase orders and all contracts that are take-or-pay contracts that are not presented as construction commitments above.
- (e) We have excluded long-term tax contingencies and other tax liabilities of \$5.5 billion and other long-term contingent liabilities of \$407 million (related to the antitrust and unfair competition class action lawsuits) from the amounts presented, as the amounts that will be settled in cash are not known and the timing of any payments is uncertain. We have also excluded unearned revenue of \$1.3 billion and non-cash items of \$226 million.

PAGE 36

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 7

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

On April 1, 2009, we adopted the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Staff Positions (FSP) FAS 157-4, FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, and FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1. These FSPs are intended to provide additional application guidance and enhance disclosures about fair value measurements and impairments of securities. FSP FAS 157-4 clarifies the objective and method of fair value measurement even when there has been a significant decrease in market activity for the asset being measured. FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 establishes a new model for measuring other-than-temporary impairments for debt securities, including establishing criteria for when to recognize a write-down through earnings versus other comprehensive income. FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 expands the fair value disclosures required for all financial instruments within the scope of SFAS No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, to interim periods. Adoption of these FSPs did not have a significant impact on our accounting for financial instruments but did expand our associated disclosures.

On January 1, 2009, we adopted SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133*. SFAS No. 161 requires additional disclosures about the Company's objectives in using derivative instruments and hedging activities, the method of accounting for such instruments under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and its related interpretations, and tabular disclosures of the effects of such instruments and related hedged items on our financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. See Note 5 Derivatives of the Notes to Financial Statements (Part II, Item 8).

On July 1, 2008, we adopted SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, for all financial assets and liabilities and nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This statement does not require any new fair value measurements, but provides guidance on how to measure fair value by providing a fair value hierarchy used to classify the source of the information. See Note 4 Investments of the Notes to Financial Statements (Part II, Item 8).

SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities-Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*, became effective for us on July 1, 2008. SFAS No. 159 gives us the irrevocable option to elect fair value for the initial and subsequent measurement for certain financial assets and liabilities on a contract-by-contract basis with the difference between the carrying value before election of the fair value option and the fair value recorded upon election as an adjustment to beginning retained deficit. As of June 30, 2009, we had not elected the fair value option for any eligible financial asset or liability.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)*, which is effective for us beginning July 1, 2010. This Statement amends FIN 46(R), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an interpretation of ARB No. 51*, to require revised evaluations of whether entities represent variable interest entities, ongoing assessments of control over such entities, and additional disclosures for variable interests. We believe the adoption of this pronouncement will not have a material impact on our financial statements.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157*, which delays the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for us to July 1, 2009, for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). We believe the adoption of the delayed items of SFAS No. 157 will not have a material impact on our financial statements.

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations*, which replaces SFAS No. 141. The statement retains the fundamental requirements in SFAS No. 141 that the acquisition method of accounting (previously referred to as the purchase method of accounting) be used for all business combinations, but requires a number of changes, including changes in the way assets and liabilities are recognized as a result of business combinations. It also requires the capitalization of in-process research and development at fair value and requires the expensing of acquisition-related costs as incurred. In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 141(R)-1 which amends SFAS No. 141(R) by establishing a model to account for certain pre-acquisition contingencies. Under the FSP, an

PAGE

37

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 7

acquirer is required to recognize at fair value an asset acquired or a liability assumed in a business combination that arises from a contingency if the acquisition-date fair value of that asset or liability can be determined during the measurement period. If the acquisition-date fair value cannot be determined, then the acquirer should follow the recognition criteria in SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, and FASB Interpretation No. 14, *Reasonable Estimation of the Amount of a Loss* an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 5. SFAS No. 141(R) and FSP FAS 141(R)-1 are effective for us beginning July 1, 2009, and will apply prospectively to business combinations completed on or after that date. The impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 141(R) and FSP FAS 141(R)-1 will depend on the nature of acquisitions completed after the date of adoption.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements* an amendment of ARB No. 51, which changes the accounting and reporting for minority interests. Minority interests will be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and will be reported as a component of equity separate from the parent's equity, and purchases or sales of equity interests that do not result in a change in control will be accounted for as equity transactions. In addition, net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest will be included in net income and, upon a loss of control, the interest sold, as well as any interest retained, will be recorded at fair value with any gain or loss recognized in net income. SFAS No. 160 is effective for us beginning July 1, 2009, and will apply prospectively, except for the presentation and disclosure requirements, which will apply retrospectively. We believe the adoption of SFAS No. 160 will not have a material impact on our financial statements.

APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our financial statements and accompanying notes are prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Preparing financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, and expenses. These estimates and assumptions are affected by management's application of accounting policies. Critical accounting policies for us include revenue recognition, impairment of investment securities, impairment of goodwill, accounting for research and development costs, accounting for contingencies, accounting for income taxes, accounting for stock-based compensation, and accounting for product warranties.

Revenue Recognition

We account for the licensing of software in accordance with American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Statement of Position (SOP) 97-2, *Software Revenue Recognition*. The application of SOP 97-2 requires judgment, including whether a software arrangement includes multiple elements, and if so, whether vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE) of fair value exists for those elements.

A portion of the revenue related to Windows XP is recorded as unearned due to undelivered elements including, in some cases, free post-delivery telephone support and the right to receive unspecified upgrades/enhancements of Microsoft Internet Explorer on a when-and-if-available basis. The amount of revenue allocated to undelivered elements is based on the VSOE of fair value for those elements using the residual method or relative fair value method. Unearned revenue due to undelivered elements is recognized ratably on a straight-line basis over the related products' life cycles. Revenue related to Windows Vista is not subject to a similar deferral because there are no significant undelivered elements. However, Windows Vista revenue is subject to deferral as a result of the Windows 7 Upgrade Option program which started June 26, 2009. The program allows customers who purchase PCs from participating computer makers or retailers with certain versions of Windows Vista to receive an upgrade to the corresponding version of Windows 7 at minimal or no cost. In addition, purchasers of retail packaged Windows Vista may also qualify for a free or discounted upgrade to the equivalent Windows 7 product with participating retailers in participating markets when the product becomes generally available. Accordingly, estimated revenue related to the undelivered Windows 7 product is deferred until the product is delivered.

Changes to the elements in a software arrangement, the ability to identify VSOE for those elements, the fair value of the respective elements, and changes to a product's estimated life cycle could materially impact the amount of earned and unearned revenue.

Judgment is also required to assess whether future releases of certain software represent new products or upgrades and enhancements to existing products.

Impairment of Investment Securities

SFAS No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*, Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 111, and FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*, provide guidance

PAGE 38

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 7

on determining when an investment is other-than-temporarily impaired. Investments are reviewed quarterly for indicators of other-than-temporary impairment. This determination requires significant judgment. In making this judgment, we employ a systematic methodology quarterly that considers available quantitative and qualitative evidence in evaluating potential impairment of our investments. If the cost of an investment exceeds its fair value, we evaluate, among other factors, general market conditions, credit quality of debt instrument issuers, the duration and extent to which the fair value is less than cost, and for equity securities, our intent and ability to hold, or plans to sell, the investment. For fixed income securities, we also evaluate whether we have plans to sell the security or it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery. We also consider specific adverse conditions related to the financial health of and business outlook for the investee, including industry and sector performance, changes in technology, and operational and financing cash flow factors. Once a decline in fair value is determined to be other than temporary, an impairment charge is recorded to other income (expense) and a new cost basis in the investment is established. If market, industry, and/or investee conditions deteriorate, we may incur future impairments.

Goodwill

SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, requires that goodwill be tested for impairment at the reporting unit level (operating segment or one level below an operating segment) on an annual basis and between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value. These events or circumstances could include a significant change in the business climate, legal factors, operating performance indicators, competition, or sale or disposition of a significant portion of a reporting unit. Application of the goodwill impairment test requires judgment, including the identification of reporting units, assignment of assets and liabilities to reporting units, assignment of goodwill to reporting units, and determination of the fair value of each reporting unit. The fair value of each reporting unit is estimated using a discounted cash flow methodology. This analysis requires significant judgments, including estimation of future cash flows, which is dependent on internal forecasts, estimation of the long-term rate of growth for our business, estimation of the useful life over which cash flows will occur, and determination of our weighted average cost of capital. Changes in these estimates and assumptions could materially affect the determination of fair value and goodwill impairment for each reporting unit. We allocate goodwill to reporting units based on the reporting unit expected to benefit from the combination. We evaluate our reporting units on an annual basis and, if necessary, reassign goodwill using a relative fair value allocation approach.

During the second quarter of fiscal year 2009, we changed the date of our annual impairment test from July 1 to May 1. The change was made to more closely align the impairment testing date with our long-range planning and forecasting process. We believe the change in accounting principle related to changing our annual impairment testing date did not delay, accelerate, or avoid an impairment charge. We have determined that this change in accounting principle is preferable under the circumstances and does not result in adjustments to our financial statements when applied retrospectively. During fiscal year 2009, the annual impairment test was performed as of July 1, 2008 and was performed again as of May 1, 2009.

Research and Development Costs

We account for research and development costs in accordance with applicable accounting pronouncements, including SFAS No. 2, *Accounting for Research and Development Costs*, and SFAS No. 86, *Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to be Sold, Leased, or Otherwise Marketed*. SFAS No. 86 specifies that costs incurred internally in researching and developing a computer software product should be charged to expense until technological feasibility has been established for the product. Once technological feasibility is established, all software costs should be capitalized until the product is available for general release to customers. Judgment is required in determining when technological feasibility of a product is established. We have determined that technological feasibility for our software products is reached after all high-risk development issues have been resolved through coding and testing. Generally, this occurs shortly before the products are released to manufacturing. The amortization of these costs is included in cost of revenue over the estimated life of the products.

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 7

Legal and Other Contingencies

The outcomes of legal proceedings and claims brought against us are subject to significant uncertainty. SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, requires that an estimated loss from a loss contingency such as a legal proceeding or claim should be accrued by a charge to income if it is probable that an asset has been impaired or a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Disclosure of a contingency is required if there is at least a reasonable possibility that a loss has been incurred. In determining whether a loss should be accrued we evaluate, among other factors, the degree of probability of an unfavorable outcome and the ability to make a reasonable estimate of the amount of loss. Changes in these factors could materially impact our results of operations, financial position, or our cash flows.

Income Taxes

SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, establishes financial accounting and reporting standards for the effect of income taxes. The objectives of accounting for income taxes are to recognize the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in an entity's financial statements or tax returns. Accruals for uncertain tax positions are provided for in accordance with the requirements of FIN No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - An interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*. Under FIN No. 48, we may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position should be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. FIN No. 48 also provides guidance on derecognition of income tax assets and liabilities, classification of current and deferred income tax assets and liabilities, accounting for interest and penalties associated with tax positions, and income tax disclosures. Judgment is required in assessing the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax returns. Variations in the actual outcome of these future tax consequences could materially impact our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Stock-Based Compensation

We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*. Under the fair value recognition provisions of this statement, stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period. Determining the fair value of stock-based awards at the grant date requires judgment, including estimating expected dividends. In addition, judgment is also required in estimating the amount of stock-based awards that are expected to be forfeited. If actual results differ significantly from these estimates, stock-based compensation expense and our results of operations could be impacted.

Product Warranties

We account for product warranties in accordance with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*. We provide for the estimated costs of hardware and software warranties at the time the related revenue is recognized. For hardware warranty, we estimate the costs based on historical and projected product failure rates, historical and projected repair costs, and knowledge of specific product failures (if any). The specific hardware warranty terms and conditions vary depending upon the product sold and country in which we do business, but generally include parts and labor over a period generally ranging from 90 days to three years. For software warranty, we estimate the costs to provide bug fixes, such as security patches, over the life of the software. We regularly reevaluate our estimates to assess the adequacy of the recorded warranty liabilities and adjust the amounts as necessary.

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 7

Statement of Management's Responsibility for Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation of the consolidated financial statements and related information that are presented in this report. The consolidated financial statements, which include amounts based on management's estimates and judgments, have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The Company designs and maintains accounting and internal control systems to provide reasonable assurance at reasonable cost that assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition, and that the financial records are reliable for preparing financial statements and maintaining accountability for assets. These systems are augmented by written policies, an organizational structure providing division of responsibilities, careful selection and training of qualified personnel, and a program of internal audits.

The Company engaged Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, to audit and render an opinion on the consolidated financial statements and internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States).

The Board of Directors, through its Audit Committee, consisting solely of independent directors of the Company, meets periodically with management, internal auditors, and our independent registered public accounting firm to ensure that each is meeting its responsibilities and to discuss matters concerning internal controls and financial reporting. Deloitte & Touche LLP and the internal auditors each have full and free access to the Audit Committee.

Steven A. Ballmer

Chief Executive Officer

Christopher P. Liddell

Senior Vice President, Finance and Administration;
Chief Financial Officer

Frank H. Brod

Corporate Vice President, Finance and
Administration; Chief Accounting Officer

PAGE

41

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 7A

ITEM 7A. QUANTATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

RISKS

We are exposed to economic risk from foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates, credit risk, equity prices, and commodity prices. A portion of these risks is hedged, but they may impact results of operations cash flows and financial condition.

Foreign Currency. Certain forecasted transactions, assets, and liabilities are exposed to foreign currency risk. We monitor our foreign currency exposures daily and use hedges where practicable to offset the risks and maximize the economic effectiveness of our foreign currency positions. Principal currencies hedged include the euro, Japanese yen, British pound, and Canadian dollar.

Interest Rate. Our fixed-income portfolio is diversified across credit sectors and maturities, consisting primarily of investment-grade securities. The credit risk and average maturity of the fixed-income portfolio is managed to achieve economic returns that correlate to certain global and domestic fixed-income indices. In addition, we use To Be Announced forward purchase commitments of mortgage-backed assets to gain exposure to agency and mortgage-backed securities.

Equity. Our equity portfolio consists of global, developed, and emerging market securities that are subject to market price risk. We manage the securities relative to certain global and domestic indices and expect their economic risk and return to correlate with these indices.

Commodity. We use broad-based commodity exposures to enhance portfolio returns and facilitate portfolio diversification. Our investment portfolio has exposure to a variety of commodities, including precious metals, energy, and grain. We manage these exposures relative to global commodity indices and expect their economic risk and return to correlate with these indices.

VALUE-AT-RISK

We use a value-at-risk (VaR) model to estimate and quantify our market risks. VaR is the expected loss, for a given confidence level, in fair value of our portfolio due to adverse market movements over a defined time horizon. The VaR model is not intended to represent actual losses in fair value, including determinations of other-than-temporary losses in fair value in accordance with U.S. GAAP, but is used as a risk estimation and management tool. The distribution of the potential changes in total market value of all holdings is computed based on the historical volatilities and correlations among foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates, equity prices, and commodity prices, assuming normal market conditions.

The VaR is calculated as the total loss that will not be exceeded at the 97.5 percentile confidence level or, alternatively stated, the losses could exceed the VaR in 25 out of 1,000 cases. Several risk factors are not captured in the model, including liquidity risk, operational risk, and legal risk.

The following table sets forth the one-day VaR for substantially all of our positions as of June 30, 2009 and 2008 and for the year ended June 30, 2009:

(In millions)

| Risk Categories | June 30, 2009 | June 30, 2008 | Year Ended June 30, 2009 | | |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|-------|------|
| | | | Average | High | Low |
| Foreign currency | \$ 68 | \$100 | \$53 | \$ 99 | \$20 |

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---------------|------------|----|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Interest rate | 42 | 34 | 28 | 43 | 17 |
| Equity | 157 | 45 | 98 | 158 | 45 |
| Commodity | 16 | 7 | 10 | 16 | 6 |

Total one-day VaR for the combined risk categories was \$211 million at June 30, 2009 and \$123 million at June 30, 2008. The total VaR is 25% less at June 30, 2009, and 34% less at June 30, 2008, than the sum of the separate risk categories in the above table due to the diversification benefit of the overall portfolio.

PAGE 42

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

INCOME STATEMENTS

(In millions, except per share amounts)

| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Revenue | \$ 58,437 | \$ 60,420 | \$ 51,122 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Cost of revenue | 12,155 | 11,598 | 10,693 |
| Research and development | 9,010 | 8,164 | 7,121 |
| Sales and marketing | 12,879 | 13,260 | 11,541 |
| General and administrative | 3,700 | 5,127 | 3,329 |
| Employee severance | 330 | | |
| Total operating expenses | 38,074 | 38,149 | 32,684 |
| Operating income | 20,363 | 22,271 | 18,438 |
| Other income (expense) | (542) | 1,543 | 1,663 |
| Income before income taxes | 19,821 | 23,814 | 20,101 |
| Provision for income taxes | 5,252 | 6,133 | 6,036 |
| Net income | \$ 14,569 | \$ 17,681 | \$ 14,065 |
| Earnings per share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 1.63 | \$ 1.90 | \$ 1.44 |
| Diluted | \$ 1.62 | \$ 1.87 | \$ 1.42 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 8,945 | 9,328 | 9,742 |
| Diluted | 8,996 | 9,470 | 9,886 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.52 | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.40 |
| See accompanying notes. | | | |

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

BALANCE SHEETS

(In millions)

| June 30, | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|------------------|-----------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 6,076 | \$ 10,339 |
| Short-term investments (including securities pledged as collateral of \$1,540 and \$2,491) | 25,371 | 13,323 |
| Total cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments | 31,447 | 23,662 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$451 and \$153 | 11,192 | 13,589 |
| Inventories | 717 | 985 |
| Deferred income taxes | 2,213 | 2,017 |
| Other | 3,711 | 2,989 |
| Total current assets | 49,280 | 43,242 |
| Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$7,547 and \$6,302 | 7,535 | 6,242 |
| Equity and other investments | 4,933 | 6,588 |
| Goodwill | 12,503 | 12,108 |
| Intangible assets, net | 1,759 | 1,973 |
| Deferred income taxes | 279 | 949 |
| Other long-term assets | 1,599 | 1,691 |
| Total assets | \$ 77,888 | \$ 72,793 |
| Liabilities and stockholders' equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 3,324 | \$ 4,034 |
| Short-term debt | 2,000 | |
| Accrued compensation | 3,156 | 2,934 |
| Income taxes | 725 | 3,248 |
| Short-term unearned revenue | 13,003 | 13,397 |
| Securities lending payable | 1,684 | 2,614 |
| Other | 3,142 | 3,659 |
| Total current liabilities | 27,034 | 29,886 |
| Long-term debt | 3,746 | |
| Long-term unearned revenue | 1,281 | 1,900 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 6,269 | 4,721 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock and paid-in capital (shares authorized 24,000; outstanding 8,908 and 9,151) | 62,382 | 62,849 |
| Retained deficit, including accumulated other comprehensive income of \$969 and \$1,140 | (22,824) | (26,563) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 39,558 | 36,286 |

| | | |
|--|------------------|-----------|
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 77,888 | \$ 72,793 |
|--|------------------|-----------|

See accompanying notes.

PAGE 44

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

CASH FLOWS STATEMENTS

(In millions)

| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Operations | | | |
| Net income | \$ 14,569 | \$ 17,681 | \$ 14,065 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operations: | | | |
| Depreciation, amortization, and other noncash items | 2,562 | 2,056 | 1,440 |
| Stock-based compensation | 1,708 | 1,479 | 1,550 |
| Net recognized losses (gains) on investments and derivatives | 683 | (572) | (292) |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation | (52) | (120) | (77) |
| Deferred income taxes | 762 | 935 | 421 |
| Deferral of unearned revenue | 24,409 | 24,532 | 21,032 |
| Recognition of unearned revenue | (25,426) | (21,944) | (19,382) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 2,215 | (1,569) | (1,764) |
| Other current assets | (422) | 153 | 232 |
| Other long-term assets | (273) | (98) | (435) |
| Other current liabilities | (3,371) | (748) | (552) |
| Other long-term liabilities | 1,673 | (173) | 1,558 |
| Net cash from operations | 19,037 | 21,612 | 17,796 |
| Financing | | | |
| Short-term borrowings, maturities of 90 days or less, net | 1,178 | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of debt, maturities longer than 90 days | 4,796 | | |
| Repayments of debt, maturities longer than 90 days | (228) | | |
| Common stock issued | 579 | 3,494 | 6,782 |
| Common stock repurchased | (9,353) | (12,533) | (27,575) |
| Common stock cash dividends | (4,468) | (4,015) | (3,805) |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation | 52 | 120 | 77 |
| Other | (19) | | (23) |
| Net cash used in financing | (7,463) | (12,934) | (24,544) |
| Investing | | | |
| Additions to property and equipment | (3,119) | (3,182) | (2,264) |
| Acquisition of companies, net of cash acquired | (868) | (8,053) | (1,150) |
| Purchases of investments | (36,850) | (20,954) | (36,308) |
| Maturities of investments | 6,191 | 2,597 | 4,736 |
| Sales of investments | 19,806 | 25,132 | 41,451 |
| Securities lending payable | (930) | (127) | (376) |
| Net cash from (used in) investing | (15,770) | (4,587) | 6,089 |

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|----------|
| Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents | (67) | 137 | 56 |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | (4,263) | 4,228 | (603) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 10,339 | 6,111 | 6,714 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 6,076 | \$ 10,339 | \$ 6,111 |

See accompanying notes.

PAGE 45

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY STATEMENTS

(In millions)

| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Common stock and paid-in capital | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 62,849 | \$ 60,557 | \$ 59,005 |
| Common stock issued | 567 | 3,504 | 6,783 |
| Common stock repurchased | (2,611) | (3,022) | (6,162) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 1,708 | 1,479 | 1,550 |
| Stock-based compensation income tax benefits (deficiencies) | (128) | 253 | (661) |
| Other, net | (3) | 78 | 42 |
| Balance, end of period | 62,382 | 62,849 | 60,557 |
| Retained deficit | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | (26,563) | (29,460) | (18,901) |
| Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle adoption of FIN 48 | | (395) | |
| Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle adoption of EITF 06-2 | | (17) | |
| Net income | 14,569 | 17,681 | 14,065 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | |
| Net unrealized gains on derivatives | 302 | 18 | 14 |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments | (233) | (653) | 326 |
| Translation adjustments and other | (240) | 121 | 85 |
| Comprehensive income | 14,398 | 17,167 | 14,490 |
| Common stock cash dividends | (4,620) | (4,084) | (3,837) |
| Common stock repurchased | (6,039) | (9,774) | (21,212) |
| Balance, end of period | (22,824) | (26,563) | (29,460) |
| Total stockholders equity | \$ 39,558 | \$ 36,286 | \$ 31,097 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 8

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Accounting Principles

The financial statements and accompanying notes are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Principles of Consolidation

The financial statements include the accounts of Microsoft Corporation and its subsidiaries. Intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated. Equity investments in which we exercise significant influence but do not control and are not the primary beneficiary are accounted for using the equity method. Investments in which we are not able to exercise significant influence over the investee and which do not have readily determinable fair values are accounted for under the cost method.

Estimates and Assumptions

Preparing financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, and expenses. Examples include estimates of loss contingencies, product warranties, product life cycles, product returns, and stock-based compensation forfeiture rates; assumptions such as the elements comprising a software arrangement, including the distinction between upgrades/enhancements and new products; when technological feasibility is achieved for our products; the potential outcome of future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax returns; estimating the fair value and/or goodwill impairment for our reporting units; and determining when investment impairments are other-than-temporary. Actual results and outcomes may differ from management's estimates and assumptions.

Foreign Currencies

Assets and liabilities recorded in foreign currencies are translated at the exchange rate on the balance sheet date. Revenue and expenses are translated at average rates of exchange prevailing during the year. Translation adjustments resulting from this process are recorded to Other Comprehensive Income (OCI).

Effective July 1, 2008, we began presenting gains and losses resulting from foreign currency remeasurements as a component of other income (expense). Prior to July 1, 2008, we included gains and losses resulting from foreign currency remeasurements as a component of sales and marketing expense. We changed our presentation because this better reflects how we manage these foreign currency exposures, as such gains and losses arising from the remeasurement of foreign currency transactions are incidental to our operations. Prior period amounts have been recast to conform to the current period presentation. See Note 3 Other Income (Expense).

Revenue Recognition

Revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the fee is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is probable. We enter into certain arrangements where we are obligated to deliver multiple products and/or services (multiple elements). In these arrangements, we generally allocate the total revenue among the elements based on the sales price of each element when sold separately (vendor-specific objective evidence).

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

Revenue for retail packaged products, products licensed to original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), and perpetual licenses for current products under our Open and Select volume licensing programs generally is recognized as products are shipped. A portion of the revenue related to Windows XP is recorded as unearned due to undelivered elements including, in some cases, free post-delivery telephone support and the right to receive unspecified upgrades/enhancements of Microsoft Internet Explorer on a when-and-if-available basis. The amount of revenue allocated to undelivered elements is based on the vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value for those elements using the residual method or relative fair value method. Unearned revenue due to undelivered elements is recognized

PAGE

47

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 8

ratably on a straight-line basis over the related products' life cycles. Revenue related to Windows Vista is not subject to a similar deferral because there are no significant undelivered elements. However, Windows Vista revenue is subject to deferral as a result of the Windows 7 Upgrade Option program which started June 26, 2009. The program allows customers who purchase PCs from participating computer makers or retailers with certain versions of Windows Vista to receive an upgrade to the corresponding version of Windows 7 at minimal or no cost. In addition, purchasers of retail packaged Windows Vista may also qualify for a free or discounted upgrade to the equivalent Windows 7 product with participating retailers in participating markets when the product becomes generally available. Accordingly, estimated revenue related to the undelivered Windows 7 product is deferred until the product is delivered.

Revenue from multi-year licensing arrangements are accounted for as subscriptions, with billings recorded as unearned revenue and recognized as revenue ratably over the billing coverage period. Certain multi-year licensing arrangements include rights to receive future versions of software product on a when-and-if-available basis under Open and Select volume licensing programs (software assurance). In addition, other multi-year licensing arrangements include a perpetual license for current products combined with rights to receive future versions of software products on a when-and-if-available basis under Open, Select, and Enterprise Agreement volume licensing programs. Premier support services agreements, MSN Internet Access subscriptions, Xbox Live, and Microsoft Developer Network subscriptions are also accounted for as subscriptions.

Revenue related to our Xbox 360 game console, games published by us, and other hardware components is generally recognized when ownership is transferred to the retailers. Revenue related to games published by third parties for use on the Xbox 360 platform is recognized when games are manufactured by the game publishers. Display advertising revenue is recognized as advertisements are displayed. Search advertising revenue is recognized when the ad appears in the search results or when the action necessary to earn the revenue has been completed. Consulting services revenue is recognized as services are rendered, generally based on the negotiated hourly rate in the consulting arrangement and the number of hours worked during the period. Consulting revenue for fixed-price services arrangements is recognized as services are provided.

Revenue generally is recognized net of any taxes collected from customers and subsequently remitted to governmental authorities.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue includes manufacturing and distribution costs for products sold and programs licensed, operating costs related to product support service centers and product distribution centers, costs incurred to drive traffic to our website and/or acquire online advertising space (traffic acquisitions costs), costs incurred to support and maintain Internet-based products and services, warranty costs, inventory valuation adjustments, costs associated with the delivery of consulting services, and the amortization of capitalized research and development costs associated with software products that have reached technological feasibility. Capitalized research and development costs are amortized over the estimated lives of the products.

Product Warranty

We provide for the estimated costs of fulfilling our obligations under hardware and software warranties at the time the related revenue is recognized. For hardware warranties, we estimate the costs based on historical and projected product failure rates, historical and projected repair costs, and knowledge of specific product failures (if any). The specific hardware warranty terms and conditions vary depending upon the product sold and the country in which we do business, but generally include parts and labor over a period generally ranging from 90 days to three years. For software warranties, we estimate the costs to provide bug fixes, such as security patches, over the estimated life of the software.

Research and Development

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

Research and development expenses include payroll, employee benefits, stock-based compensation, and other headcount-related expenses associated with product development. Research and development expenses also include third-party development and programming costs, localization costs incurred to translate software for international markets, the amortization of purchased software code and services content, and in-process research and development. Such costs related to software development are included in research and development expense until

PAGE 48

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 8

the point that technological feasibility is reached, which for our software products, is generally shortly before the products are released to manufacturing. Once technological feasibility is reached, such costs are capitalized and amortized to cost of revenue over the estimated lives of the products.

Sales and Marketing

Sales and marketing expenses include payroll, employee benefits, stock-based compensation, and other headcount-related expenses associated with sales and marketing personnel, and the costs of advertising, promotions, tradeshow, seminars, and other programs. Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising expense was \$1.4 billion, \$1.2 billion, and \$1.3 billion in fiscal years 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively.

Employee Severance

We record employee severance when a specific plan has been approved by management, the plan has been communicated to employees, and it is unlikely that significant changes will be made to the plan.

Stock-Based Compensation

We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*. Under the fair value recognition provisions of this statement, stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the applicable vesting period of the stock award (generally four to five years) using the straight-line method.

Income Taxes

Income tax expense includes U.S. and international income taxes, plus the provision for U.S. taxes on undistributed earnings of international subsidiaries not deemed to be permanently invested. Certain income and expenses are not reported in tax returns and financial statements in the same year. The tax effect of such temporary differences is reported as deferred income taxes.

Financial Instruments

We consider all highly liquid interest-earning investments with a maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. The fair value of these investments approximates their carrying value. In general, investments with original maturities of greater than three months and remaining maturities of less than one year are classified as short-term investments. Investments with maturities beyond one year may be classified as short-term based on their highly liquid nature and because such marketable securities represent the investment of cash that is available for current operations. All cash equivalents and short-term investments are classified as available-for-sale and realized gains and losses are recorded using the specific identification method. Changes in market value, excluding other-than-temporary impairments, are reflected in OCI.

Equity and other investments classified as long-term include both debt and equity instruments. Debt and publicly-traded equity securities are classified as available-for-sale and realized gains and losses are recorded using the specific identification method. Changes in market value, excluding other-than-temporary impairments, are reflected in OCI. Common and preferred stock and other investments that are restricted for more than one year or are not publicly traded are recorded at cost or using the equity method.

We lend certain fixed-income and equity securities to enhance investment income. The loaned securities continue to be carried as investments on our balance sheet. Collateral and/or security interests received (securities pledged as collateral) are determined

based upon the underlying security lent and the creditworthiness of the borrower. Cash collateral is recorded as an asset with a corresponding liability.

Investments are considered to be impaired when a decline in fair value is judged to be other-than-temporary. We employ a systematic methodology on a quarterly basis that considers available quantitative and qualitative evidence in evaluating potential impairment of our investments. If the cost of an investment exceeds its fair value, we evaluate, among other factors, general market conditions, credit quality of debt instrument issuers, the duration and extent to which the fair value is less than cost, and for equity securities, our intent and ability to hold, or plans to

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

sell, the investment. For fixed income securities, we also evaluate whether we have plans to sell the security or it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery. We also consider specific adverse conditions related to the financial health of and business outlook for the investee, including industry and sector performance, changes in technology, and operational and financing cash flow factors. Once a decline in fair value is determined to be other-than-temporary, an impairment charge is recorded to other income (expense) and a new cost basis in the investment is established.

Derivative instruments are recognized as either assets or liabilities and are measured at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation. See Note 5 Derivatives.

Our current financial liabilities, including our short-term debt, have fair values that approximate their carrying values. Our long-term financial liabilities consist of long-term debt which is recorded on the balance sheet at issuance price less unamortized discount.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The allowance for doubtful accounts reflects our best estimate of probable losses inherent in the accounts receivable balance. We determine the allowance based on known troubled accounts, historical experience, and other currently available evidence. Activity in the allowance for doubtful accounts was as follows:

| (In millions) | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Year Ended June 30, | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 153 | \$ 117 | \$ 142 |
| Charged to costs and other | 360 | 88 | 64 |
| Write-offs | (62) | (52) | (89) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 451 | \$ 153 | \$ 117 |

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, using the average cost method. Cost includes materials, labor, and manufacturing overhead related to the purchase and production of inventories. We regularly review inventory quantities on hand, future purchase commitments with our suppliers, and the estimated utility of our inventory. If our review indicates a reduction in utility below carrying value, we reduce our inventory to a new cost basis through a charge to cost of revenue.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over the shorter of the estimated life of the asset or the lease term, ranging from one to 15 years. Computer software developed or obtained for internal use is depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the software, generally three years.

Goodwill

Goodwill is tested for impairment on an annual basis and between annual tests if indicators of potential impairment exist, using a fair-value-based approach. During the second quarter of fiscal year 2009, we changed the date of our annual impairment test from

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

July 1 to May 1. The change was made to more closely align the impairment testing date with our long-range planning and forecasting process. We believe the change in our annual impairment testing date did not delay, accelerate, or avoid an impairment charge. We have determined that this change in accounting principle is preferable under the circumstances and does not result in adjustments to our financial statements when applied retrospectively. See Note 10 Goodwill.

PAGE 50

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 8

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated period of benefit, ranging from one to 10 years. We evaluate the recoverability of intangible assets periodically by taking into account events or circumstances that may warrant revised estimates of useful lives or that may indicate the asset may be impaired. All of our intangible assets are subject to amortization. No material impairments of intangible assets have been identified during any of the periods presented.

Subsequent Events

We evaluated events occurring between the end of our most recent fiscal year and July 29, 2009, the date the financial statements were issued.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

On April 1, 2009, we adopted the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Staff Positions (FSP) FAS 157-4, FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, and FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1. These FSPs are intended to provide additional application guidance and enhance disclosures about fair value measurements and impairments of securities. FSP FAS 157-4 clarifies the objective and method of fair value measurement even when there has been a significant decrease in market activity for the asset being measured. FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 establishes a new model for measuring other-than-temporary impairments for debt securities, including establishing criteria for when to recognize a write-down through earnings versus other comprehensive income. FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 expands the fair value disclosures required for all financial instruments within the scope of SFAS No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, to interim periods. Adoption of these FSPs did not have a significant impact on our accounting for financial instruments but did expand our associated disclosures.

On January 1, 2009, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133*. SFAS No. 161 requires additional disclosures about the Company's objectives in using derivative instruments and hedging activities, the method of accounting for such instruments under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and its related interpretations, and tabular disclosures of the effects of such instruments and related hedged items on our financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. See Note 5 Derivatives.

On July 1, 2008, we adopted SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, for all financial assets and liabilities and nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This statement does not require any new fair value measurements, but provides guidance on how to measure fair value by providing a fair value hierarchy used to classify the source of the information. See Note 4 Investments.

SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities-Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*, became effective for us on July 1, 2008. SFAS No. 159 gives us the irrevocable option to elect fair value for the initial and subsequent measurement for certain financial assets and liabilities on a contract-by-contract basis with the difference between the carrying value before election of the fair value option and the fair value recorded upon election as an adjustment to beginning retained deficit. As of June 30, 2009, we had not elected the fair value option for any eligible financial asset or liability.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)*, which is effective for us beginning July 1, 2010. This Statement amends Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation (FIN) No. 46(R), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an interpretation of ARB No. 51*, to require revised evaluations of whether entities represent variable interest entities, ongoing assessments of control over such entities, and additional disclosures for variable interests. We believe the adoption of this pronouncement will not have a material impact on our financial statements.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157*, which delays the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for us to July 1, 2009, for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except

PAGE

51

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). We believe the adoption of the delayed items of SFAS No. 157 will not have a material impact on our financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations*, which replaces SFAS No. 141. The statement retains the fundamental requirements in SFAS No. 141 that the acquisition method of accounting (previously referred to as the purchase method of accounting) be used for all business combinations, but requires a number of changes, including changes in the way assets and liabilities are recognized as a result of business combinations. It also requires the capitalization of in-process research and development at fair value and requires the expensing of acquisition-related costs as incurred. In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 141(R)-1 which amends SFAS No. 141(R) by establishing a model to account for certain pre-acquisition contingencies. Under the FSP, an acquirer is required to recognize at fair value an asset acquired or a liability assumed in a business combination that arises from a contingency if the acquisition-date fair value of that asset or liability can be determined during the measurement period. If the acquisition-date fair value cannot be determined, then the acquirer should follow the recognition criteria in SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, and FASB Interpretation No. 14, *Reasonable Estimation of the Amount of a Loss* an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 5. SFAS No. 141(R) and FSP FAS 141(R)-1 are effective for us beginning July 1, 2009, and will apply prospectively to business combinations completed on or after that date. The impact of the adoption of SFAS No. 141(R) and FSP FAS 141(R)-1 will depend on the nature of acquisitions completed after the date of adoption.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements* an amendment of *ARB No. 51*, which changes the accounting and reporting for minority interests. Minority interests will be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and will be reported as a component of equity separate from the parent's equity, and purchases or sales of equity interests that do not result in a change in control will be accounted for as equity transactions. In addition, net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest will be included in net income and, upon a loss of control, the interest sold, as well as any interest retained, will be recorded at fair value with any gain or loss recognized in net income. SFAS No. 160 is effective for us beginning July 1, 2009, and will apply prospectively, except for the presentation and disclosure requirements, which will apply retrospectively. We believe the adoption of SFAS No. 160 will not have a material impact on our financial statements.

NOTE 2 EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share is computed on the basis of the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed on the basis of the weighted average number of shares of common stock plus the effect of dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period using the treasury stock method. Dilutive potential common shares include outstanding stock options, stock awards, and shared performance stock awards. The components of basic and diluted earnings per share are as follows:

(In millions, except earnings per share)

| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net income available for common shareholders (A) | \$ 14,569 | \$ 17,681 | \$ 14,065 |
| Weighted average outstanding shares of common stock (B) | 8,945 | 9,328 | 9,742 |
| Dilutive effect of stock-based awards | 51 | 142 | 144 |
| Common stock and common stock equivalents (C) | 8,996 | 9,470 | 9,886 |

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Earnings per share: | | | |
| Basic (A/B) | \$ 1.63 | \$ 1.90 | \$ 1.44 |
| Diluted (A/C) | \$ 1.62 | \$ 1.87 | \$ 1.42 |

For the years ended June 30, 2009, 2008, and 2007, 342 million, 91 million, and 199 million shares, respectively, were attributable to outstanding stock-based awards and were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive.

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

NOTE 3 OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)

The components of other income (expense) were as follows:

(In millions)

| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Dividends and interest | \$ 706 | \$ 888 | \$ 1,319 |
| Net recognized gains (losses) on investments | (125) | 346 | 650 |
| Net gains (losses) on derivatives | (558) | 226 | (358) |
| Net gains (losses) on foreign currency remeasurements | (509) | 226 | 56 |
| Other | (56) | (143) | (4) |
| Total | \$ (542) | \$ 1,543 | \$ 1,663 |

Effective July 1, 2008, we began presenting gains and losses resulting from foreign currency remeasurements as a component of other income (expense). Prior to July 1, 2008, we included gains and losses resulting from foreign currency remeasurements as a component of sales and marketing expense. We changed our presentation because this better reflects how we manage these foreign currency exposures, as such gains and losses arising from the remeasurement of foreign currency transactions are incidental to our operations. For fiscal year 2009, \$509 million of losses were reported as other income (expense). For fiscal years 2008 and 2007, \$221 million and \$86 million of gains, respectively, were previously recorded as a component of sales and marketing expense and have been recast as other income (expense).

Net recognized gains (losses) on investments included other-than-temporary impairments of \$862 million, \$312 million, and \$25 million in fiscal years 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Realized gains and losses from sales of available-for-sale securities (excluding other-than-temporary impairments) were \$1.6 billion and \$897 million, respectively, in fiscal year 2009, \$751 million and \$93 million, respectively, in fiscal year 2008, and \$851 million and \$176 million, respectively, in fiscal year 2007.

NOTE 4 INVESTMENTS**Investment Components, Including Associated Derivatives**

| (In millions) | Cost Basis | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Recorded Basis | Cash | Short-term Investments | Equity |
|-------------------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | | and Cash Equivalents | | and Other Investments |
| June 30, 2009 | | | | | | | |
| Cash | \$ 2,064 | \$ | \$ | \$2,064 | \$ 2,064 | \$ | \$ |
| Mutual funds | 1,007 | | (25) | 982 | 900 | 82 | |
| Commercial paper | 2,601 | | | 2,601 | 400 | 2,201 | |
| Certificates of deposit | 555 | | | 555 | 275 | 280 | |

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| U.S. Government and Agency securities | 13,450 | 21 | (5) | 13,466 | 2,369 | 11,097 | |
| Foreign government bonds | 3,450 | 71 | (4) | 3,517 | | 3,517 | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 3,353 | 81 | (16) | 3,418 | | 3,418 | |
| Corporate notes and bonds | 4,361 | 287 | (52) | 4,596 | | 4,596 | |
| Municipal securities | 255 | 2 | (1) | 256 | 68 | 188 | |
| Common and preferred stock | 4,015 | 627 | (182) | 4,460 | | | 4,460 |
| Other investments | 465 | | | 465 | | (8) | 473 |
| Total | \$35,576 | \$1,089 | \$(285) | \$36,380 | \$6,076 | \$25,371 | \$4,933 |

PAGE

53

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

| (In millions) | Cost Basis | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | Recorded Basis | Cash and Cash Equivalents | Short-term Investments | Equity and Other Investments |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| June 30, 2008 | | | | | | | |
| Cash | \$ 3,274 | \$ | \$ | \$ 3,274 | \$ 3,274 | \$ | \$ |
| Mutual funds | 1,044 | 15 | (8) | 1,051 | 835 | 136 | 80 |
| Commercial paper | 787 | | | 787 | 787 | | |
| Certificates of deposit | 1,580 | | | 1,580 | 1,373 | 207 | |
| U.S. Government and Agency securities | 4,200 | 37 | (4) | 4,233 | 1,839 | 2,318 | 76 |
| Foreign government bonds | 3,466 | 15 | (62) | 3,419 | | 3,419 | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 3,628 | 31 | (25) | 3,634 | | 3,634 | |
| Corporate notes and bonds | 5,013 | 91 | (39) | 5,065 | 2,122 | 2,943 | |
| Municipal securities | 761 | 4 | (4) | 761 | 109 | 652 | |
| Common and preferred stock | 4,815 | 1,224 | (113) | 5,926 | | | 5,926 |
| Other investments | 520 | | | 520 | | 14 | 506 |
| Total | \$ 29,088 | \$ 1,417 | \$ (255) | \$ 30,250 | \$ 10,339 | \$ 13,323 | \$ 6,588 |

Unrealized Losses on Investments

Investments with continuous unrealized losses for less than 12 months and 12 months or greater and their related fair values were as follows:

| (In millions) | Fair Value | Less than 12 Months Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | 12 Months or Greater Unrealized Losses | Total Fair Value | Total Unrealized Losses |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|--|------------------|-------------------------|
| June 30, 2009 | | | | | | |
| Mutual funds | \$ 3 | \$ (1) | \$ 77 | \$ (24) | \$ 80 | \$ (25) |
| U.S. Government and Agency securities | 4,033 | (5) | 669 | (1) | 4,033 | (5) |
| Foreign government bonds | 1,444 | (3) | 669 | (1) | 2,113 | (4) |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 503 | (16) | 504 | (42) | 503 | (16) |
| Corporate notes and bonds | 713 | (10) | 504 | (42) | 1,217 | (52) |
| Municipal securities | 16 | (1) | 120 | (47) | 16 | (1) |
| Common and preferred stock | 1,154 | (135) | 120 | (47) | 1,274 | (182) |
| Total | \$ 7,866 | \$ (171) | \$ 1,370 | \$ (114) | \$ 9,236 | \$ (285) |

| (In millions) | Fair Value | Less than 12 Months Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | 12 Months or Greater Unrealized Losses | Total Fair Value | Total Unrealized Losses |
|---------------|------------|---------------------------------------|------------|--|------------------|-------------------------|
|---------------|------------|---------------------------------------|------------|--|------------------|-------------------------|

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

June 30, 2008

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|
| Mutual funds | \$ 123 | \$ (7) | \$ 12 | \$ (1) | \$ 135 | \$ (8) |
| U.S. Government and Agency securities | 342 | (4) | | | 342 | (4) |
| Foreign government bonds | 2,241 | (62) | | | 2,241 | (62) |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 1,078 | (25) | | | 1,078 | (25) |
| Corporate notes and bonds | 807 | (26) | 925 | (13) | 1,732 | (39) |
| Municipal securities | 176 | (3) | 193 | (1) | 369 | (4) |
| Common and preferred stock | 598 | (106) | 28 | (7) | 626 | (113) |
| Total | \$ 5,365 | \$ (233) | \$ 1,158 | \$ (22) | \$ 6,523 | \$ (255) |

PAGE 54

Table of Contents**Part II**

Item 8

At June 30, 2009, unrealized losses of \$285 million consisted of: \$79 million related to investment grade fixed-income securities, \$24 million related to investments in high yield and emerging market fixed-income securities, \$110 million related to domestic equity securities, and \$72 million related to international equity securities. At June 30, 2008, unrealized losses of \$255 million consisted of: \$121 million related to investment grade fixed-income securities, \$21 million related to investments in high yield and emerging market fixed-income securities, \$99 million related to domestic equity securities, and \$14 million related to international equity securities. Unrealized losses from fixed-income securities are primarily attributable to changes in interest rates. Unrealized losses from domestic and international equities are due to market price movements. Management does not believe any unrealized losses represent other-than-temporary impairments based on our evaluation of available evidence as of June 30, 2009.

At June 30, 2009, the recorded basis and estimated fair value of common and preferred stock and other investments that are restricted for more than one year or are not publicly traded was \$204 million. At June 30, 2008, the recorded basis and estimated fair value of these investments was \$289 million. The estimate of fair value is based on publicly available market information or other estimates determined by management.

Debt Investment Maturities

| (In millions) | Cost Basis | Estimated Fair Value |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|
| Due in one year or less | \$ 8,487 | \$ 6,750 |
| Due after one year through five years | 9,796 | 10,071 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 1,212 | 1,248 |
| Due after ten years | 2,759 | 2,819 |
| Total | \$22,254 | \$20,888 |

NOTE 5 DERIVATIVES

We use derivative instruments to manage risks related to foreign currencies, equity prices, interest rates, and credit; to enhance investment returns; and to facilitate portfolio diversification. Our objectives for holding derivatives include reducing, eliminating, and efficiently managing the economic impact of these exposures as effectively as possible. Our derivative programs include strategies that both qualify and do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*.

Foreign Currency

Certain forecasted transactions, assets, and liabilities are exposed to foreign currency risk. We monitor our foreign currency exposures daily to maximize the economic effectiveness of our foreign currency hedge positions. Options and forward contracts are used to hedge a portion of forecasted international revenue for up to three years in the future and are designated as cash-flow hedging instruments. Principal currencies hedged include the euro, Japanese yen, British pound, and Canadian dollar. As of June 30, 2009, the total notional amount of such foreign exchange contracts was \$7.2 billion. Foreign currency risks related to certain non-U.S. dollar denominated securities are hedged using foreign exchange forward contracts that are designated as fair-value hedging instruments. As of June 30, 2009, the total notional amount of these foreign exchange contracts sold was \$3.5 billion. Certain options and forwards not designated as hedging instruments are also used to manage the variability in exchange rates on accounts receivable, cash, and intercompany positions, and to manage other foreign currency exposures. As of June 30, 2009, the total notional amounts of these foreign exchange contracts purchased and sold were \$3.2 billion and \$3.6 billion,

respectively.

Equity

Securities held in our equity and other investments portfolio are subject to market price risk. Market price risk is managed relative to broad-based global and domestic equity indices using certain convertible preferred investments, options, futures, and swap contracts not designated as hedging instruments. From time to time, to hedge our price

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 8

risk, we may use and designate equity derivatives as hedging instruments, including puts, calls, swaps, and forwards. As of June 30, 2009, the total notional amounts of designated and non-designated equity contracts purchased and sold were immaterial.

Interest Rate

Securities held in our fixed-income portfolio are subject to different interest rate risks based on their various maturities. The average maturity of the fixed-income portfolio is managed to achieve economic returns which correlate to certain broad-based fixed-income indices using exchange-traded option and futures contracts and over-the-counter swap and option contracts, none of which are designated as hedging instruments. As of June 30, 2009, the total notional amount of fixed-interest rate contracts purchased and sold were \$2.7 billion and \$456 million, respectively. In addition, we use To Be Announced forward purchase commitments of mortgage-backed assets to gain exposure to agency and mortgage-backed securities. These meet the definition of a derivative instrument under SFAS No. 133 in cases where physical delivery of the assets is not taken at the earliest available delivery date. As of June 30, 2009, the total notional derivative amount of mortgage contracts purchased was \$1.3 billion.

Credit

Our fixed-income portfolio is diversified and consists primarily of investment-grade securities. We use credit default swap contracts, not designated as hedging instruments, to manage credit exposures relative to broad-based indices and facilitate portfolio diversification. We use credit default swaps as they are a low cost way of managing exposure to individual credit risks or groups of credit risks while continuing to improve liquidity. As of June 30, 2009, the total notional amounts of credit contracts purchased and sold were immaterial.

Commodity

We use broad-based commodity exposures to enhance portfolio returns and facilitate portfolio diversification. We use swap and futures contracts, not designated as hedging instruments, to generate and manage exposures to broad-based commodity indices. We use derivatives on commodities as they are low-cost alternatives to the purchase and storage of a variety of commodities, including, but not limited to, precious metals, energy, and grain. As of June 30, 2009, the total notional amounts of commodity contracts purchased and sold were \$543 million and \$33 million, respectively.

Credit-Risk-Related Contingent Features

Certain of our counterparty agreements for derivative instruments contain provisions that require our issued and outstanding long-term unsecured debt to maintain an investment grade credit rating and require us to maintain a minimum liquidity of \$1.0 billion. To the extent we fail to meet these requirements, collateral will be required for posting, similar to the standard convention related to over-the-counter derivatives. As of June 30, 2009, our long-term unsecured debt rating was AAA, and cash investments were in excess of \$1.0 billion. As a result, no collateral is required to be posted.

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

Gross Fair Values of Derivative Instruments (Excluding FIN No. 39^(a) Netting)

| (In millions) | June 30, 2009 | | | | | Total Derivatives |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| | Foreign Exchange Contracts | Equity Contracts | Interest Rate Contracts | Credit Contracts | Commodity Contracts | |
| Assets | | | | | | |
| <i>Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments</i> | | | | | | |
| Short-term investments | \$ 9 | \$78 | \$ 44 | \$ 21 | \$ 2 | \$ 154 |
| Other current assets | 48 | | | | | 48 |
| Total | \$ 57 | \$78 | \$ 44 | \$ 21 | \$ 2 | \$ 202 |
| <i>Derivatives designated as hedging instruments</i> | | | | | | |
| Short-term investments | \$ 12 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 12 |
| Other current assets | 417 | | | | | 417 |
| Equity and other investments | | 2 | | | | 2 |
| Total | \$ 429 | \$ 2 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 431 |
| Total assets^(b) | \$ 486 | \$80 | \$ 44 | \$ 21 | \$ 2 | \$ 633 |
| Liabilities | | | | | | |
| <i>Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments</i> | | | | | | |
| Other current liabilities | \$(183) | \$ (3) | \$(20) | \$(62) | \$(6) | \$(274) |
| <i>Derivatives designated as hedging instruments</i> | | | | | | |
| Other current liabilities | \$ (75) | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ (75) |
| Total liabilities^(b) | \$(258) | \$ (3) | \$(20) | \$(62) | \$(6) | \$(349) |

(a) FIN No. 39, *Offsetting of Amounts Related to Certain Contracts* an interpretation of APB No. 10 and FASB Statement No. 105, permits the netting of derivative assets and derivative liabilities when a legally enforceable master netting agreement exists. These amounts include fair value adjustments related to our own credit risk and counterparty credit risk.

(b) See Note 6 Fair Value Measurements.

Fair-Value Hedges

For a derivative instrument designated as a fair-value hedge, the gain (loss) is recognized in earnings in the period of change together with the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item attributed to the risk being hedged. For options designated as fair-value

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

hedges, changes in the time value are excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness and are recognized in earnings.

During fiscal year 2009, we recognized in other income (expense) the following gains (losses) on fair value hedged derivatives and their related hedged items:

| (In millions) | Foreign Exchange Contracts | Equity Contracts |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Derivatives | \$121 | \$191 |
| Hedged items | (120) | (211) |
| Total | \$ 1 | \$ (20) |

PAGE

57

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

Cash-Flow Hedges

For a derivative instrument designated as a cash-flow hedge, the effective portion of the derivative's gain (loss) is initially reported as a component of other comprehensive income (OCI) and is subsequently recognized in earnings when the hedged exposure is recognized in earnings. For options designated as cash-flow hedges, changes in the time value are excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness and are recognized in earnings. Gains (losses) on derivatives representing either hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness or hedge ineffectiveness are recognized in earnings. During fiscal year 2009, we recognized the following gains (losses) related to foreign exchange contracts:

(In millions)

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Effective portion: | |
| Gain recognized in OCI, net of tax effect of \$472 | \$ 876 |
| Gain reclassified from accumulated OCI into revenue | \$ 884 |
| Amount excluded from effectiveness assessment and ineffective portion: | |
| Loss recognized in other income (expense) | \$ (314) |

We estimate that \$528 million of net derivative gains included in OCI will be reclassified into earnings within the next 12 months. No significant amounts of gains (losses) were reclassified from OCI into earnings as a result of forecasted transactions that failed to occur during fiscal year 2009.

Non-Designated Derivatives

Gains (losses) from changes in fair values of derivatives that are not designated as hedges are recognized in other income (expense). Other than those derivatives entered into for investment purposes, such as commodity contracts, the gains (losses) below are generally economically offset by unrealized gains (losses) in the underlying securities and are recorded as a component of OCI. The amounts recognized during fiscal year 2009 were as follows:

(In millions)

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Foreign exchange contracts | \$ (234) |
| Equity contracts | (131) |
| Interest-rate contracts | 5 |
| Credit contracts | (18) |
| Commodity contracts | (126) |
| Total | \$ (504) |

Gains (losses) for foreign exchange, equity, interest rate, credit, and commodity contracts presented in other income statement line items were immaterial for fiscal year 2009 and have been excluded from the table above.

NOTE 6 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

SFAS No. 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received upon sale of an asset or paid upon transfer of a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date and in the principal or most advantageous market for that asset or liability. The fair value should be calculated based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, not on assumptions specific to the entity. In addition, the fair value of liabilities should include consideration of non-performance risk, including our own credit risk.

In addition to defining fair value, SFAS No. 157 expands the disclosure requirements around fair value and establishes a fair value hierarchy for valuation inputs. The hierarchy prioritizes the inputs into three levels based on the extent to which inputs used in measuring fair value are observable in the market. Each fair value measurement is

PAGE 58

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 8

reported in one of the three levels, which is determined by the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. These levels are:

Level 1 inputs are based upon unadjusted quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.

Level 2 inputs are based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 inputs are generally unobservable and typically reflect management's estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. The fair values are therefore determined using model-based techniques that include option pricing models, discounted cash flow models, and similar techniques. The following section describes the valuation methodologies we use to measure financial assets and liabilities at fair value.

Investments Other Than Derivatives

Investments other than derivatives primarily include U.S. Government and Agency securities, foreign government bonds, mortgage-backed securities, commercial paper, corporate notes and bonds, and common and preferred stock.

In general, and where applicable, we use quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities to determine fair value. This pricing methodology applies to our Level 1 investments, such as domestic and international equities, U.S. treasuries, exchange-traded mutual funds, and agency securities. If quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities are not available to determine fair value, then we use quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities or inputs other than the quoted prices that are observable either directly or indirectly. These investments are included in Level 2 and consist primarily of corporate notes and bonds, foreign government bonds, mortgage-backed securities, commercial paper, and certain agency securities. Our Level 3 assets primarily include investments in certain corporate bonds. We value the Level 3 corporate bonds using internally developed valuation models, inputs to which include interest rate curves, credit spreads, stock prices, and volatilities. Unobservable inputs used in these models are significant to the fair values of the investments.

Derivatives

In general, and where applicable, we use quoted prices in an active market for identical derivative assets and liabilities that are traded on exchanges. These derivative assets and liabilities are included in Level 1. The fair values for the derivative assets and liabilities included in Level 2 are estimated using industry standard valuation models, such as the Black-Scholes model. Where applicable, these models project future cash flows and discount the future amounts to a present value using market-based observable inputs including interest rate curves, foreign exchange rates, and forward and spot prices for currencies and commodities. Level 2 derivative assets and liabilities primarily include certain over-the-counter options, futures, and swap contracts. In certain cases, market-based observable inputs are not available and we use management judgment to develop assumptions to determine fair value. These derivative assets and liabilities are included in Level 3 and primarily represent derivatives for foreign equities.

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following table presents our assets and liabilities at June 30, 2009, which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

(In millions)

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Gross Fair Value | FIN No. 39 Netting ^(a) | Net Fair Value |
|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|---------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Assets | | | | | | |
| Mutual funds | \$ 982 | \$ | \$ | \$ 982 | \$ | \$ 982 |
| Commercial paper | | 2,601 | | 2,601 | | 2,601 |
| Certificates of deposit | | 555 | | 555 | | 555 |
| U.S. Government and Agency securities | 7,134 | 6,105 | | 13,239 | | 13,239 |
| Foreign government bonds | 501 | 3,022 | | 3,523 | | 3,523 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | | 3,593 | | 3,593 | | 3,593 |
| Corporate notes and bonds | | 4,073 | 253 | 4,326 | | 4,326 |
| Municipal securities | | 256 | | 256 | | 256 |
| Common and preferred stock | 4,218 | 28 | 5 | 4,251 | | 4,251 |
| Derivatives | 5 | 623 | 5 | 633 | (235) | 398 |
| Total | \$12,840 | \$20,856 | \$263 | \$33,959 | \$(235) | \$33,724 |
| Liabilities | | | | | | |
| Derivatives | \$ 5 | \$ 344 | \$ | \$ 349 | \$(231) | \$ 118 |

(a) FIN No. 39, *Offsetting of Amounts Related to Certain Contracts* an interpretation of APB No. 10 and FASB Statement No. 105, permits the netting of derivative assets and derivative liabilities when a legally enforceable master netting agreement exists. These amounts include fair value adjustments related to our own credit risk and counterparty credit risk.

Changes in Level 3 Instruments Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The majority of our Level 3 instruments consist of investment securities classified as available-for-sale with changes in fair value included in other comprehensive income. The following table presents the changes in Level 3 instruments measured on a recurring basis for the year ended June 30, 2009:

(In millions)

| | Corporate Notes and Bonds | Common and Preferred Stock | Derivative Assets | Total |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------|
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------|

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|-------|--------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$138 | \$ 8 | \$ 71 | \$217 |
| Total realized and unrealized gains (losses): | | | | |
| Included in other income (expense) | (6) | (6) | 51 | 39 |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 111 | | | 111 |
| Purchases, issuances, and settlements | | 5 | (119) | (114) |
| Transfers in (out) | 10 | (2) | 2 | 10 |
| Balance, end of period | \$253 | \$ 5 | \$ 5 | \$263 |
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) included in other income (expense) related to assets held as of June 30, 2009 | \$ (7) | \$ (5) | \$ 4 | \$ (8) |

PAGE 60

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

We measure certain assets, including our cost and equity method investments, at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These assets are recognized at fair value when they are deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired. At June 30, 2009, the fair value of the common and preferred stock that we held that was required to be measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis was \$164 million. This fair value was determined using models with significant unobservable inputs.

In accordance with the provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 18, *The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock*, we review the carrying values of our investments when events and circumstances warrant, and we consider all available evidence in evaluating when declines in fair value are other than temporary. The fair values of our investments are determined based on valuation techniques using the best information available, and may include quoted market prices, market comparables, and discounted cash flow projections. An impairment charge is recorded when the cost of the investment exceeds its fair value and this condition is determined to be other than temporary. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, impairment charges of \$86 million were recognized for certain investments measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as the decline in their respective fair values below their cost was determined to be other than temporary in all instances.

NOTE 7 INVENTORIES

The components of inventories were as follows:

(In millions)

| June 30, | 2009 | 2008 |
|-----------------|-------|-------|
| Raw materials | \$170 | \$417 |
| Work in process | 45 | 31 |
| Finished goods | 502 | 537 |
| Total | \$717 | \$985 |

NOTE 8 PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

The components of property and equipment were as follows:

(In millions)

| June 30, | 2009 | 2008 |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|
| Land | \$ 526 | \$ 518 |
| Buildings and improvements | 5,886 | 4,302 |

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Leasehold improvements | 1,938 | 1,728 |
| Computer equipment and software | 4,989 | 4,475 |
| Furniture and equipment | 1,743 | 1,521 |
| Total, at cost | 15,082 | 12,544 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (7,547) | (6,302) |
| Total, net | \$ 7,535 | \$ 6,242 |

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed principally on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The useful lives for buildings range from five to 15 years, leasehold improvements generally range from two to 10 years (representing the applicable lease terms plus reasonably assured extensions), computer equipment and software range from two to three years, and furniture and equipment range from one to five years. Land is not depreciated.

PAGE

61

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

During fiscal years 2009, 2008, and 2007, depreciation expense was \$1.7 billion, \$1.4 billion, and \$1.2 billion, respectively. The majority of depreciation expense in all years related to computer equipment.

NOTE 9 ACQUISITIONS

We acquired nine entities during fiscal year 2009 for total consideration of \$925 million, substantially all of which was paid in cash. All of the entities have been consolidated into our results of operations since their respective acquisition dates. The purchase price allocations for these acquisitions are preliminary for up to 12 months after the acquisition dates and are subject to revision as more detailed analyses are completed and additional information about the fair values of assets and liabilities becomes available. Any change in the estimated fair value of the net assets of the acquired companies within this timeframe will change the amount of the purchase price allocable to goodwill. Pro forma results of operations have not been presented because the effects of these acquisitions, individually and in the aggregate, were not material to our consolidated results of operations.

NOTE 10 GOODWILL

Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for fiscal years 2009 and 2008 by segment were as follows:

(In millions)

| | Balance as of June 30, 2007 | Acquisitions | Purchase Accounting Adjustments and Other | Balance as of June 30, 2008 | Acquisitions | Purchase Accounting Adjustments and Other | Balance as of June 30, 2009 |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| Client | \$ 77 | \$ 77 | \$ (1) | \$ 153 | \$ 1 | \$ (77) | \$ 77 |
| Server and Tools | 580 | 90 | 68 | 738 | 233 | 67 | 1,038 |
| Online Services | | | | | | | |
| Business | 552 | 5,775 | (53) | 6,274 | 447 | (64) | 6,657 |
| Microsoft Business | | | | | | | |
| Division | 3,132 | 1,073 | (14) | 4,191 | — | (264) | 3,927 |
| Entertainment and | | | | | | | |
| Devices Division | 419 | 354 | (21) | 752 | 58 | (6) | 804 |
| Total | \$4,760 | \$7,369 | \$(21) | \$12,108 | \$739 | \$(344) | \$12,503 |

None of the amounts recorded as goodwill are expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The purchase price allocations for all of the acquisitions are preliminary for up to 12 months after the acquisition date and are subject to revision as more detailed analyses are completed and additional information about fair value of the assets and liabilities become available. Any change in the fair value of the net assets of the acquired company within this timeframe will change the amount of the purchase price allocable to goodwill. Changes in goodwill amounts resulting from foreign currency translations are included in purchase accounting adjustments and other in the above table.

We test goodwill for impairment annually at the reporting unit level using a fair value approach, in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. During the second quarter of fiscal year 2009, we changed the date of our annual impairment test from July 1 to May 1. The change was made to more closely align the impairment testing date with our long-range planning and forecasting process. We believe the change in our annual impairment testing date did not delay, accelerate, or avoid an impairment charge. We have determined that this change in accounting principle is preferable under the

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

circumstances and does not result in adjustments to our financial statements when applied retrospectively. During fiscal year 2009, the annual impairment test was performed as of July 1, 2008 and was performed again as of May 1, 2009.

PAGE 62

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

NOTE 11 INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The components of intangible assets, all of which are finite-lived, were as follows:

(In millions)

| June 30, | 2009 | | | 2008 | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount |
| Contract-based | \$1,087 | \$ (855) | \$ 232 | \$1,074 | \$ (796) | \$ 278 |
| Technology-based | 2,033 | (1,090) | 943 | 1,677 | (672) | 1,005 |
| Marketing-related | 188 | (97) | 91 | 171 | (65) | 106 |
| Customer-related | 732 | (239) | 493 | 708 | (124) | 584 |
| Total | \$4,040 | \$(2,281) | \$1,759 | \$3,630 | \$(1,657) | \$1,973 |

During fiscal year 2009 and 2008, we recorded additions to intangible assets of \$354 million and \$1.6 billion, respectively. We estimate that we have no significant residual value related to our intangible assets.

The components of intangible assets acquired during fiscal years 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

(In millions)

| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | | 2008 | |
|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| | Amount | Weighted Average Life | Amount | Weighted Average Life |
| Contract-based | \$ 26 | 4 years | \$ 91 | 6 years |
| Technology-based | 293 | 4 years | 787 | 4 years |
| Marketing-related | 7 | 5 years | 116 | 5 years |
| Customer-related | 28 | 2 years | 589 | 6 years |
| Total | \$354 | | \$1,583 | |

Acquired intangibles generally are amortized on a straight-line basis over their weighted average lives. Intangible assets amortization expense was \$591 million for fiscal year 2009, \$472 million for fiscal year 2008, and \$236 million for fiscal year 2007. The following table outlines the estimated future amortization expense related to intangible assets as of June 30, 2009:

(In millions)

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| Year Ended June 30, | Amount |
|----------------------------|---------------|
| 2010 | \$ 562 |
| 2011 | 511 |
| 2012 | 455 |
| 2013 | 191 |
| 2014 and thereafter | 40 |
| Total | \$1,759 |

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

NOTE 12 DEBT**Short-term Debt**

In September 2008, our Board of Directors authorized debt financings of up to \$6.0 billion. Pursuant to the authorization, we established a commercial paper program providing for the issuance and sale of up to \$2.0 billion in short-term commercial paper. As of June 30, 2009, \$2.0 billion of the commercial paper was issued and outstanding with a weighted average interest rate, including issuance costs, of 0.20% and maturities of 22 to 119 days. The estimated fair value of this commercial paper approximates its carrying value.

In September 2008, we also entered into a \$2.0 billion six-month senior unsecured credit facility, principally to support the commercial paper program. In November 2008, we replaced the six-month credit facility with a \$2.0 billion 364-day credit facility. This credit facility expires on November 6, 2009. In March 2009, we entered into an additional credit facility. This \$1.0 billion 364-day credit facility expires on March 12, 2010. As of June 30, 2009, we were in compliance with the only financial covenant in both credit agreements, which requires us to maintain a coverage ratio of at least three times earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization to interest expense. No amounts were drawn against these credit facilities during the year ended June 30, 2009.

Long-term Debt

In November 2008, we filed a shelf registration statement with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission that allows us to issue debt securities from time to time pursuant to the September 2008 authorization for debt financings of up to \$6.0 billion. In May 2009, we issued \$3.75 billion of debt securities under that registration statement (Notes). Interest on the Notes will be payable semi-annually on June 1 and December 1 of each year, commencing on December 1, 2009, to holders of record on the preceding May 15 and November 15. The Notes are senior unsecured obligations and will rank equally with our other unsecured and unsubordinated debt outstanding.

The components of long-term debt as of June 30, 2009 were as follows:

(In millions)

| | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| 2.95% Notes due on June 1, 2014 | \$ 2,000 |
| 4.20% Notes due on June 1, 2019 | 1,000 |
| 5.20% Notes due on June 1, 2039 | 750 |
| Unamortized debt discount | (4) |
| Total | \$ 3,746 |

Maturities of long-term debt for the next five years are as follows:

(In millions)

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| Year Ended June 30, | Amount |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| 2010 | \$ |
| 2011 | |
| 2012 | |
| 2013 | |
| 2014 | 2,000 |
| Thereafter | 1,750 |
| Total | \$ 3,750 |

As of June 30, 2009, the total carrying value and estimated fair value of our long-term debt were \$3.75 billion and \$3.74 billion, respectively. The estimate of fair value is based on quoted prices for our publicly-traded debt as of June 30, 2009. The effective interest yields of the Notes due in 2014, 2019, and 2039 were 3.00%, 4.29%, and 5.22%, respectively, at June 30, 2009.

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

NOTE 13 INCOME TAXES

The components of the provision for income taxes were as follows:

(In millions)

| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Current taxes: | | | |
| U.S. Federal | \$3,159 | \$4,357 | \$4,593 |
| U.S. State and Local | 192 | 256 | 154 |
| International | 1,139 | 1,007 | 957 |
| Current taxes | 4,490 | 5,620 | 5,704 |
| Deferred taxes | 762 | 513 | 332 |
| Provision for income taxes | \$5,252 | \$6,133 | \$6,036 |

U.S. and international components of income before income taxes were as follows:

(In millions)

| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| U.S. | \$ 5,529 | \$12,682 | \$12,902 |
| International | 14,292 | 11,132 | 7,199 |
| Income before income taxes | \$19,821 | \$23,814 | \$20,101 |

The items accounting for the difference between income taxes computed at the federal statutory rate and the provision for income taxes were as follows:

| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Federal statutory rate | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| Effect of: | | | |
| Foreign earnings taxed at lower rates | (9.3)% | (7.0)% | (5.1)% |

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Internal Revenue Service settlement | % | (5.8)% | % |
| European Commission fine | % | 2.1% | % |
| Other reconciling items, net | 0.8% | 1.5% | 0.1% |
| Effective rate | | 26.5% | 25.8% |
| | | | 30.0% |

In general, other reconciling items consist of interest, U.S. state income taxes, domestic production deductions, and research credits. In fiscal years 2009 and 2008, there were no individually significant other reconciling items. Other reconciling items in fiscal year 2007 included the impact of a \$195 million reduction resulting from various changes in tax positions taken in prior periods, related primarily to favorable developments in an IRS position and multiple foreign audit assessments.

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

The components of the deferred income tax assets and liabilities were as follows:

(In millions)

| June 30, | 2009 | 2008 |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Deferred income tax assets: | | |
| Stock-based compensation expense | \$ 2,004 | \$ 2,225 |
| Other expense items | 1,595 | 1,933 |
| Unearned revenue | 743 | 928 |
| Impaired investments | 236 | 331 |
| Other revenue items | 120 | 91 |
| Deferred income tax assets | \$ 4,698 | \$ 5,508 |
| Deferred income tax liabilities: | | |
| International earnings | \$ (1,191) | \$ (1,300) |
| Unrealized gain on investments | (516) | (513) |
| Other | (499) | (729) |
| Deferred income tax liabilities | (2,206) | (2,542) |
| Net deferred income tax assets | \$ 2,492 | \$ 2,966 |
| Reported as: | | |
| Current deferred income tax assets | \$ 2,213 | \$ 2,017 |
| Long-term deferred income tax assets | 279 | 949 |
| Net deferred income tax assets | \$ 2,492 | \$ 2,966 |

Deferred income tax balances reflect the effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax bases and are stated at enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when the taxes are actually paid or recovered.

We have not provided deferred U.S. income taxes or foreign withholding taxes on temporary differences of approximately \$18.0 billion resulting from earnings for certain non-U.S. subsidiaries which are permanently reinvested outside the United States. The unrecognized deferred tax liability associated with these temporary differences is approximately \$5.4 billion.

Income taxes paid were \$6.6 billion in fiscal year 2009, \$5.4 billion in fiscal year 2008, and \$5.2 billion in fiscal year 2007.

Uncertain Tax Positions

As of June 30, 2009, we had \$5.4 billion of unrecognized tax benefits of which \$4.4 billion, if recognized, would affect our effective tax rate. As of June 30, 2008, we had \$3.2 billion of unrecognized tax benefits of which \$2.3 billion, if recognized, would affect our effective tax rate.

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

Interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits are included in income tax expense. Such interest totaled \$230 million in fiscal year 2009 and \$121 million in fiscal year 2008. As of June 30, 2009 and 2008, we had accrued interest related to uncertain tax positions of \$554 million and \$324 million, respectively, net of federal income tax benefits, on our balance sheets.

PAGE 66

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

The aggregate changes in the balance of unrecognized tax benefits were as follows:

(In millions)

| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|----------|----------|
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 3,195 | \$ 7,076 |
| Decreases related to settlements | (82) | (4,787) |
| Increases for tax positions related to the current year | 2,203 | 934 |
| Increases for tax positions related to prior years | 239 | 66 |
| Decreases for tax positions related to prior years | (132) | (80) |
| Reductions due to lapsed statute of limitations | (20) | (14) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 5,403 | \$ 3,195 |

During fiscal year 2008, we reached a settlement with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) on its 2000-2003 examination. As a result, we reduced our unrecognized tax benefits by \$4.8 billion and recognized a tax provision reduction of \$1.2 billion. As a result of the 2000-2003 settlement and the related impact on subsequent years, we paid the IRS approximately \$4.1 billion during fiscal year 2009.

We are under audit by the IRS for the tax years 2004-2006. We do not believe it is reasonably possible that the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase or decrease within the next 12 months as we do not believe the examination will be concluded within the next 12 months.

We are subject to income tax in many jurisdictions outside the United States, none of which are individually material to our financial position, cash flows, or results of operations.

NOTE 14 UNEARNED REVENUE

Unearned revenue is comprised of the following items:

Volume licensing programs

Represents customer billings for multi-year licensing arrangements, paid either upfront or annually at the beginning of each billing coverage period, which are accounted for as subscriptions with revenue recognized ratably over the billing coverage period.

Undelivered elements

Represents the right to receive unspecified upgrades/enhancements of Microsoft Internet Explorer on a when-and-if-available basis and free post-delivery telephone support. This revenue deferral is applicable for Windows XP and prior versions shipped as retail packaged products, products licensed to OEMs, and perpetual licenses for current products under our Open and Select volume licensing programs. The amount recorded as unearned is based on the sales price of those elements when sold separately and is recognized ratably on a straight-line basis over the related product's life cycle. Product life cycles are currently estimated at three and one-half years for Windows operating systems. Undelivered elements include \$276 million of deferred revenue related to the Windows 7 Upgrade Option program.

Other

Represents payments for post-delivery support and consulting services to be performed in the future, online advertising for which the advertisement has yet to be displayed, Microsoft Dynamics business solutions products, Xbox Live subscriptions, Mediaroom, and other offerings for which we have been paid upfront and earn the revenue when we provide the service or software, or otherwise meet the revenue recognition criteria.

PAGE

67

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

The components of unearned revenue were as follows:

(In millions)

| June 30, | 2009 | 2008 |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Volume licensing programs | \$ 11,350 | \$ 12,232 |
| Undelivered elements | 1,083 | 1,396 |
| Other | 1,851 | 1,669 |
| Total | \$ 14,284 | \$ 15,297 |

Unearned revenue by segment was as follows:

(In millions)

| June 30, | 2009 | 2008 |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Client | \$ 2,345 | \$ 2,738 |
| Server and Tools | 4,732 | 5,007 |
| Microsoft Business Division | 6,508 | 7,101 |
| Other segments | 699 | 451 |
| Total | \$ 14,284 | \$ 15,297 |

NOTE 15 OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

(In millions)

| June 30, | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|----------|----------|
| Tax contingencies and other tax liabilities | \$ 5,515 | \$ 3,812 |
| Legal contingencies | 407 | 530 |
| Product warranty | 132 | 278 |
| Other | 215 | 101 |
| Total | \$ 6,269 | \$ 4,721 |

NOTE 16 COMMITMENTS AND GUARANTEES

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

We have committed \$621 million for constructing new buildings as of June 30, 2009.

We have operating leases for most U.S. and international sales and support offices and certain equipment. Rental expense for operating leases was \$475 million, \$398 million, and \$325 million, in fiscal years 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Future minimum rental commitments under noncancellable operating leases in place as of June 30, 2009 are as follows:

(In millions)

| Year Ended June 30, | Amount |
|---------------------|----------|
| 2010 | \$ 457 |
| 2011 | 370 |
| 2012 | 309 |
| 2013 | 252 |
| 2014 and thereafter | 997 |
| | \$ 2,385 |

PAGE 68

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

We provide indemnifications of varying scope and size to certain customers against claims of intellectual property infringement made by third parties arising from the use of our products and certain other matters. We evaluate estimated losses for these indemnifications under SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*, as interpreted by FIN No. 45, *Guarantor s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others*. We consider such factors as the degree of probability of an unfavorable outcome and the ability to make a reasonable estimate of the amount of loss. To date, we have not encountered significant costs as a result of these obligations and have not accrued any liabilities related to these indemnifications in our financial statements.

Product Warranty

The changes in our aggregate product warranty liabilities, which are included in other current liabilities and other long term-liabilities on our balance sheets, were as follows:

(In millions)

| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|--------|--------|
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 692 | \$ 850 |
| Accruals for warranties issued | 161 | 365 |
| Adjustments to pre-existing warranties | | 36 |
| Settlements of warranty claims | (511) | (559) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 342 | \$ 692 |

NOTE 17 CONTINGENCIES**Government Competition Law Matters**

In March 2004, the European Commission issued a competition law decision that, among other things, ordered us to license certain Windows server protocol technology to our competitors. In March 2007, the European Commission issued a statement of objections claiming that the pricing terms we proposed for licensing the technology as required by the March 2004 decision were not reasonable. Following additional steps we took to address these concerns, the Commission announced on October 22, 2007 that we were in compliance with the March 2004 decision and that no further penalty should accrue after that date. On February 27, 2008, the Commission issued a fine of \$1.4 billion (899 million) relating to the period prior to October 22, 2007. In May 2008, we filed an application with the European Court of First Instance to annul the February 2008 fine. We paid the \$1.4 billion (899 million) fine in June 2008.

In January 2008, the Commission opened a competition law investigation relating to the inclusion of various capabilities in our Windows operating system software, including Web browsing software. The investigation was precipitated by a complaint filed with the Commission by Opera Software ASA, a firm that offers Web browsing software. On January 15, 2009, the European Commission issued a statement of objections expressing the Commission s preliminary view that the inclusion of Internet Explorer in Windows since 1996 has violated European competition law. According to the statement of objections, other browsers are foreclosed from competing because Windows includes Internet Explorer. We filed our written response to the statement of objections in late April 2009. The European Commission will not make a final determination until after it assesses our response and considers submissions from others, a process that is now underway. The statement of objections seeks to impose a remedy that is different than the remedy imposed in the earlier proceeding concerning Windows Media Player. While computer users and OEMs

are already free to run any Web browsing software on Windows, the Commission is considering ordering other changes to further promote the prospects of competing browser software. This may include ordering creation of a ballot screen from which computer users could choose from among a variety of browsers. The statement of objections also seeks to impose a significant fine based on worldwide sales of Windows operating systems. In January 2008, the Commission opened an additional competition law investigation that relates primarily to interoperability with respect to our Microsoft Office family of products. This investigation resulted from complaints filed with the Commission by a trade association of Microsoft's competitors. On July 24, 2009 we submitted a proposal to the Commission to resolve the investigation concerning Internet Explorer. Under this proposal, European consumers who use Internet Explorer as their default browser would be shown a ballot screen from which they could, if they wished, easily install competing browsers from the Web. We also submitted a proposal regarding means

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 8

of promoting greater interoperability between non-Microsoft products and our Windows and Office families of products. We made this proposal following extensive discussions with the Commission. In a statement issued on July 24, 2009, the Commission stated it welcomes our proposals. We understand the Commission will now consider them, which will likely entail seeking input from a range of industry participants.

We are subject to a Consent Decree and Final Judgment (Final Judgments) that resolved lawsuits brought by the U.S. Department of Justice, 18 states, and the District of Columbia in two separate actions. The Final Judgments imposed various constraints on our Windows operating system businesses. Originally, the Final Judgments were scheduled to expire in November 2007. In 2006, we voluntarily agreed to extend certain elements of the Final Judgments to November 2009. The U.S. Department of Justice and other states advised the Court that they would not seek any extension of the Final Judgments to which they are party. In January 2008, the court issued a decision granting the states motion to extend these additional provisions of the Final Judgments until November 2009. On April 16, 2009, we agreed with the Department of Justice and the states, respectively, to extend the Final Judgments to May 2011, and submitted to the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia joint motions for this extension. In April 2009, the Court entered an order approving the extension.

In other ongoing investigations, various foreign governments and several state attorneys general have requested information from us concerning competition, privacy, and security issues.

Antitrust, Unfair Competition, and Overcharge Class Actions

A large number of antitrust and unfair competition class action lawsuits have been filed against us in various state, federal, and Canadian courts on behalf of various classes of direct and indirect purchasers of our PC operating system and certain other software products. We obtained dismissals of damages claims of indirect purchasers under federal law and in 15 states. Courts refused to certify classes in two additional states. We have reached agreements to settle all claims that have been made to date in 19 states and the District of Columbia.

Under the settlements, generally class members can obtain vouchers that entitle them to be reimbursed for purchases of a wide variety of platform-neutral computer hardware and software. The total value of vouchers that we may issue varies by state. We will make available to certain schools a percentage of those vouchers that are not issued or claimed (one-half to two-thirds depending on the state). The total value of vouchers we ultimately issue will depend on the number of class members who make claims and are issued vouchers. The maximum value of vouchers to be issued is approximately \$2.7 billion. The actual costs of these settlements will be less than that maximum amount, depending on the number of class members and schools that are issued and redeem vouchers.

The settlements in all states except Arizona have received final court approval. Cases in Canada have not been settled. We estimate the total cost to resolve all of the overcharge class action cases will range between \$1.8 billion and \$2.0 billion. The actual cost depends on factors such as the claim rate, the quantity and mix of products for which claims are made, the number of eligible class members who ultimately use the vouchers, the nature of hardware and software that is acquired using the vouchers, and the cost of administering the claims. At June 30, 2009, we have recorded a liability related to these claims of approximately \$800 million, which reflects our estimated exposure of \$1.8 billion less payments made to date of approximately \$1.0 billion mostly for vouchers, legal fees, and administrative expenses.

Other Antitrust Litigation and Claims

In November 2004, Novell, Inc. filed a complaint in U.S. District Court, asserting antitrust and unfair competition claims against us related to Novell's ownership of WordPerfect and other productivity applications during the period between June 1994 and March 1996. This case was transferred to Maryland. In June 2005, the trial court granted our motion to dismiss four of nine claims of the complaint. Both parties appealed, and in October 2007, the court of appeals affirmed the decision of the trial court, and remanded

the case to that court for further proceedings. Fact discovery has closed and summary judgment motions are expected to be filed in the fall.

Patent and Intellectual Property Claims

In 2003 we filed an action in U.S. District Court in California seeking a declaratory judgment that we do not infringe certain Alcatel-Lucent patents (although this action began before the merger of Alcatel and Lucent in 2006, for simplicity we refer to the post-merger entity of Alcatel-Lucent). In April 2008, a jury returned a verdict in Alcatel-Lucent's favor in a trial on a consolidated group of one video and three user interface patents. The jury concluded that we had infringed two user interface patents and awarded \$367 million in damages. In June 2008, the trial judge increased the amount of damages to \$512 million to include \$145 million of interest. We have appealed that award to the Federal Circuit. In December 2008, we entered into a settlement agreement resolving all other litigation

PAGE 70

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 8

pending between Microsoft and Alcatel-Lucent, leaving approximately \$500 million remaining in dispute. In April 2009, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, after a reexamination of the remaining patent in dispute, determined that the patent was invalid and Alcatel-Lucent has appealed that ruling.

In October 2003, Uniloc USA Inc., a subsidiary of a Singapore-based security technology company, filed a patent infringement suit in U.S. District Court in Rhode Island, claiming that product activation technology in Windows XP and certain other Microsoft programs violated a Uniloc patent. After we obtained a favorable summary judgment that we did not infringe any of the claims of this patent, the court of appeals vacated the trial court decision and remanded the case for trial. In April 2009, the jury returned a \$388 million verdict against us, including a finding of willful infringement. We are seeking to overturn this verdict via post-trial motions and, if necessary, will appeal, based on evidence that our product activation technology does not infringe the patent, that the patent is invalid, and that the damages were unsupported. With pre-judgment interest, approximately \$500 million is in dispute.

In March 2007, i4i Limited Partnership, based in Canada, sued Microsoft in U.S. District Court in the Eastern District of Texas, claiming that certain custom XML technology in Word 2003 and 2007 infringed i4i's patent. In May 2009, a jury returned a verdict against us, finding damages of \$200 million and that we willfully infringed the patent. Our defense of inequitable conduct has not yet been ruled upon, and we are also seeking to overturn the verdict via post-trial motions and, if necessary, via appeal. With pre-judgment interest, approximately \$240 million is in dispute.

There are over 50 other patent infringement cases pending against Microsoft, 10 of which are set for trial in fiscal year 2010.

Other

We also are subject to a variety of other claims and suits that arise from time to time in the ordinary course of our business. Although management currently believes that resolving claims against us, individually or in aggregate, will not have a material adverse impact on our financial position, our results of operations, or our cash flows, these matters are subject to inherent uncertainties and management's view of these matters may change in the future.

As of June 30, 2009, we had accrued aggregate liabilities of approximately \$800 million in other current liabilities and approximately \$400 million in other long-term liabilities for all of the contingent matters described in this note. While we intend to vigorously defend these matters, there exists the possibility of adverse outcomes that we estimate could be up to \$2.2 billion in aggregate beyond recorded amounts. The foregoing amount does not include the January 15, 2009 European Commission statement of objections, the outcome and range of which is not reasonably estimable. Were unfavorable final outcomes to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows for the period in which the effects become reasonably estimable.

NOTE 18 STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

Shares Outstanding

Shares of common stock outstanding were as follows:

(In millions)

| | | | |
|---------------------|------|------|------|
| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|---------------------|------|------|------|

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------|-------|--------|
| Balance, beginning of year | 9,151 | 9,380 | 10,062 |
| Issued | 75 | 173 | 289 |
| Repurchased | (318) | (402) | (971) |
| Balance, end of year | 8,908 | 9,151 | 9,380 |

Share Repurchases

On September 22, 2008, we announced the completion of the two repurchase programs approved by our Board of Directors during the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 to buy back up to \$40.0 billion of Microsoft common stock. On September 22, 2008, we also announced that our Board of Directors approved a new share repurchase program

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

authorizing up to \$40.0 billion in share repurchases with an expiration date of September 30, 2013. As of June 30, 2009, approximately \$34.5 billion remained of the \$40.0 billion approved repurchase amount. All repurchases were made using cash resources. The repurchase program may be suspended or discontinued at any time without prior notice.

We repurchased the following shares of common stock under the above-described repurchase plans:

(In millions)

| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 ^(a) | | 2008 ^(b) | | 2007 ^(c) | |
|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount |
| First quarter | 223 | \$5,966 | 81 | \$ 2,348 | 285 | \$ 6,965 |
| Second quarter | 95 | 2,234 | 120 | 4,081 | 205 | 6,037 |
| Third quarter | | | 30 | 1,020 | 238 | 6,744 |
| Fourth quarter | | | 171 | 4,975 | 243 | 7,367 |
| Total | 318 | \$8,200 | 402 | \$12,424 | 971 | \$27,113 |

- (a) Of the 318 million shares of common stock repurchased in fiscal year 2009, 101 million shares were repurchased for \$2.7 billion under the repurchase plan approved by our Board of Directors during the first quarter of fiscal year 2007. The remaining shares were repurchased under the repurchase plan approved by our Board of Directors on September 22, 2008.
- (b) All shares repurchased in fiscal year 2008 were repurchased under the repurchase plan approved by our Board of Directors on July 20, 2006.
- (c) Of the 971 million shares of common stock repurchased in fiscal year 2007, 155 million shares were repurchased for \$3.8 billion under our tender offer in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007. The remaining shares were repurchased under the repurchase plan approved by our Board of Directors on July 20, 2006.

Dividends

In fiscal year 2009, our Board of Directors declared the following dividends:

| Declaration Date | Dividend Per Share | Record Date | Total Amount (in millions) | Payment Date |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| September 19, 2008 | \$0.13 | November 20, 2008 | \$1,157 | December 11, 2008 |
| December 10, 2008 | \$0.13 | February 19, 2009 | \$1,155 | March 12, 2009 |
| March 9, 2009 | \$0.13 | May 21, 2009 | \$1,158 | June 18, 2009 |
| June 10, 2009 | \$0.13 | August 20, 2009 | \$1,158 ^(a) | September 10, 2009 |

- (a) The dividend declared on June 10, 2009 will be paid after the filing date of this report on Form 10-K and was included in other current liabilities as of June 30, 2009.

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

In fiscal year 2008, our Board of Directors declared the following dividends:

| Declaration Date | Dividend Per Share | Record Date | Total Amount (in millions) | Payment Date |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| September 12, 2007 | \$0.11 | November 15, 2007 | \$1,034 | December 13, 2007 |
| December 19, 2007 | \$0.11 | February 21, 2008 | \$1,023 | March 13, 2008 |
| March 17, 2008 | \$0.11 | May 15, 2008 | \$1,020 | June 12, 2008 |
| June 11, 2008 | \$0.11 | August 21, 2008 | \$ 998 ^(a) | September 11, 2008 |

(a) The dividend declared on June 11, 2008 was included in other current liabilities as of June 30, 2008.

Other

On July 1, 2007, we adopted the provisions of FIN No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, which provides a financial statement recognition threshold and

PAGE 72

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

measurement attribute for a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Upon adoption, we recognized a \$395 million charge to our beginning retained deficit as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle.

On July 1, 2007, we adopted Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 06-2 (EITF 06-2), *Accounting for Sabbatical Leave and Other Similar Benefits Pursuant to FASB Statement No. 43*. EITF 06-2 requires companies to accrue the costs of compensated absences under a sabbatical or similar benefit arrangement over the requisite service period. Upon adoption, we recognized a \$17 million charge to our beginning retained deficit as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle.

NOTE 19 OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The activity in other comprehensive income and related income tax effects were as follows:

(In millions)

| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|----------------|---------|-------|
| Net unrealized gains on derivatives: | | | |
| Unrealized gains, net of tax effects of \$472 , \$46, and \$66 | \$ 876 | \$ 86 | \$123 |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income, net of tax effects of \$(309) , \$(36), and \$(59) | (574) | (68) | (109) |
| Net unrealized gains on derivatives | 302 | 18 | 14 |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments: | | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses), net of tax effects of \$(142) , \$(234), and \$393 | (263) | (435) | 730 |
| Reclassification adjustment for losses (gains) included in net income, net of tax effects of \$16 , \$(117), and \$(217) | 30 | (218) | (404) |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments | (233) | (653) | 326 |
| Translation adjustments and other | (240) | 121 | 85 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | \$(171) | \$(514) | \$425 |

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income were as follows:

(In millions)

| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|
| Net unrealized gains on derivatives | \$437 | \$ 135 | \$ 117 |
| Net unrealized gains on investments | 502 | 735 | 1,388 |

| | | | |
|--|--------------|---------|---------|
| Translation adjustments and other | 30 | 270 | 149 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | \$969 | \$1,140 | \$1,654 |

NOTE 20 EMPLOYEE STOCK AND SAVINGS PLANS

Stock-based compensation expense and related income tax benefits were as follows:

| (In millions) | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|----------------|---------|---------|
| Year Ended June 30, | | | |
| Total stock-based compensation expense | \$1,708 | \$1,479 | \$1,550 |
| Income tax benefits related to stock-based compensation | \$ 598 | \$ 518 | \$ 542 |

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

We have an employee stock purchase plan for all eligible employees. Compensation expense for the employee stock purchase plan is recognized in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R). Shares of our common stock may be purchased by employees at three-month intervals at 90% of the fair market value on the last day of each three-month period. Employees may purchase shares having a value not exceeding 15% of their gross compensation during an offering period. Employees purchased the following shares:

| (Shares in millions) | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|----------------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| Year Ended June 30, | | | |
| Shares purchased | 24 | 18 | 17 |
| Average price per share | \$ 20.13 | \$ 26.78 | \$ 25.36 |

At June 30, 2009, 83 million shares were reserved for future issuance.

Savings Plan

We have a savings plan in the United States that qualifies under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, and a number of savings plans in international locations. Participating U.S. employees may contribute up to 50% of their salary, but not more than statutory limits. We contribute fifty cents for each dollar a participant contributes in this plan, with a maximum contribution of 3% of a participant's earnings. Matching contributions for all plans were \$262 million, \$238 million, and \$218 million in fiscal years 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively, and were expensed as contributed. Matching contributions are invested proportionate to each participant's voluntary contributions in the investment options provided under the plan. Investment options in the U.S. plan include Microsoft common stock, but neither participant nor our matching contributions are required to be invested in Microsoft common stock.

Stock Plans

We have stock plans for directors and for officers, employees, consultants, and advisors. At June 30, 2009, an aggregate of 714 million shares were authorized for future grant under our stock plans, which cover stock options, stock awards, and shared performance stock awards. Awards that expire or are canceled without delivery of shares generally become available for issuance under the plans. We issue new shares to satisfy stock option exercises.

Stock Awards

Stock awards (SAs) are grants that entitle the holder to shares of Microsoft common stock as the award vests. Our SAs generally vest over a five-year period.

Shared Performance Stock Awards

Shared performance stock awards (SPSAs) are a form of SA in which the number of shares ultimately received depends on our business performance against specified performance targets.

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

The Company granted SPSAs for fiscal years 2009, 2008, and 2007 with performance periods of July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009, July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008, and July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007, respectively. At the end of each performance period, the number of shares of stock subject to the award is determined by multiplying the target award by a percentage ranging from 0% to 150%. The percentage is based on performance metrics for the performance period, as determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors in its sole discretion. An additional number of shares, approximately 12.2% of the total target SPSAs, are available as additional awards to participants based on individual performance. One-quarter of the shares of stock subject to each award vest following the end of the performance period, and an additional one-quarter of the shares vest on each of the following three anniversaries of the grant date. Following the end of the fiscal year 2008 and 2007 performance periods, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors determined that the number of shares of SPSAs to be issued were 18 million and 11 million respectively, based on the actual performance against metrics established for the performance period. The number of shares of SPSAs to be issued for the fiscal year 2009 performance period will be determined in the first quarter of fiscal year 2010.

PAGE 74

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

Executive Officer Incentive Plan

In fiscal year 2009, the Compensation Committee approved a new Executive Officer Incentive Plan (EOIP) for executive officers of the Company. The EOIP replaced the annual cash bonus opportunity and equity award plans for executive officers. Under the EOIP, the Compensation Committee makes awards of performance-based compensation for specified performance periods. For fiscal year 2009, executive officers were eligible to receive annual awards comprised of cash and SAs from an incentive pool funded based on the achievement of operating income targets. Following approval of the awards for fiscal year 2009, 20% of the award will be paid to the executive officers in cash, and the remaining 80% will be converted into an SA for shares of Microsoft common stock. The SA portion of the award will vest one-quarter immediately after the award is approved following fiscal year 2009, and one-quarter on August 31 of each of the following three years.

The Company will grant awards to the executive officers in September 2009 based on the performance period of July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009, from an incentive pool equal to 0.35% of the Company's fiscal year 2009 operating income. Each executive officer will receive a fixed percentage of the pool ranging between 0 and 150% of a target based on an assessment of the executive officer's performance during fiscal year 2009. The number of shares subject to the SA portion of the award will be determined by dividing the value of the award by the closing price of Microsoft common stock on August 31, 2009.

Activity for All Stock Plans

We measure the fair value of SAs and SPSAs based upon the market price of the underlying common stock as of the date of grant, reduced by the present value of estimated future dividends. SAs and SPSAs EOIP are amortized over their applicable vesting period (generally four to five years) using the straight-line method. The fair value of each award grant is estimated on the date of grant using the following assumptions:

| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Year Ended June 30, | | | |
| Dividends per share (quarterly amounts) | \$ 0.11 - \$0.13 | \$ 0.10 - \$0.11 | \$ 0.09 - \$0.10 |
| Interest rates range | 1.4% - 3.6% | 2.5% - 4.9% | 4.3% -5.3% |

During fiscal year 2009, the following activity occurred under our existing plans:

| | Shares (In millions) | Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| Stock awards: | | |
| Nonvested balance, beginning of year | 153 | \$ 26.12 |
| Granted | 91 | \$ 24.95 |
| Vested | (43) | \$ 25.56 |
| Forfeited | (10) | \$ 26.08 |

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|-------------|-----------------|
| Nonvested balance, end of year | 191 | \$ 25.69 |
| Shared performance stock awards: | | |
| Nonvested balance, beginning of year | 36 | \$ 26.14 |
| Granted | 10 | \$ 25.93 |
| Vested | (18) | \$ 25.07 |
| Forfeited | | |
| Nonvested balance, end of year | 28 | \$ 26.79 |

As of June 30, 2009, there was \$3.8 billion and \$551 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to SAs and SPSAs, respectively. These costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.5 years and 2.5 years, respectively.

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

During fiscal year 2008 and 2007, the following activity occurred under our plans:

| (In millions, except fair values) | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|----------|----------|
| Stock awards granted | 71 | 57 |
| Weighted average grant-date fair value | \$ 27.83 | \$ 25.15 |
| Shared performance stock awards granted | 19 | 11 |
| Weighted average grant-date fair value | \$ 27.82 | \$ 25.18 |

Stock Options

In fiscal year 2004, we began granting employees SAs rather than stock options as part of our equity compensation plans. Since then, stock options issued to employees have been issued primarily in conjunction with business acquisitions. Nonqualified stock options were granted to our directors under our non-employee director stock plan until 2004 when we began granting directors SAs. Nonqualified and incentive stock options were granted to certain officers and employees under our employee stock plans. Options granted between 1995 and 2001 generally vest over four and one-half years and expire seven years from the date of grant, while certain options vest either over four and one-half years or over seven and one-half years and expire 10 years from the date of grant. Options granted after 2001 vest over four and one-half years and expire 10 years from the date of grant. We granted one million, 10 million, and two million stock options, respectively, in conjunction with business acquisitions during fiscal years 2009, 2008, and 2007.

Employee stock options outstanding were as follows:

| | Shares (In millions) | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In millions) |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Balance, July 1, 2008 | 364 | \$28.12 | | |
| Granted | 1 | \$ 2.14 | | |
| Exercised | (6) | \$22.44 | | |
| Canceled | (28) | \$30.31 | | |
| Forfeited | (1) | \$10.50 | | |
| Balance, June 30, 2009 | 330 | \$27.99 | 1.99 | \$318 |
| Exercisable, June 30, 2009 | 327 | \$27.99 | 1.98 | \$271 |

Options outstanding as of June 30, 2009 include approximately eight million options that were granted in conjunction with business acquisitions. While these options are included in the options outstanding balance, they are excluded from the weighted average exercise price. These options have an exercise price range of \$0.01 to \$150.93 and a weighted average exercise price of \$9.50.

During fiscal years 2009, 2008, and 2007, the following activity occurred under our plans:

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| (In millions) | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------|----------|--------|
| Total intrinsic value of stock options exercised | \$ 48 | \$ 1,042 | \$ 818 |
| Total fair value of stock awards vested | \$ 1,126 | \$ 804 | \$ 566 |
| Total fair value of shared performance stock awards vested | \$ 450 | \$ 336 | \$ 292 |

Cash received and income tax benefits from stock option exercises were \$88 million and \$12 million, respectively, for fiscal year 2009.

PAGE 76

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

NOTE 21 EMPLOYEE SEVERANCE

In January 2009, we announced and implemented a resource management program to reduce discretionary operating expenses, employee headcount, and capital expenditures. As part of this program, we announced the elimination of up to 5,000 positions in research and development, marketing, sales, finance, legal, human resources, and information technology by June 30, 2010.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, we recorded charges of \$330 million for the expected reduction in employee headcount which was recorded as corporate-level activity. During the year we had a net reduction of approximately 4,400 positions under the resource management program.

The changes in our employee severance liabilities were as follows:

(In millions)

Year Ended June 30, 2009

| | |
|------------------------------|---------------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ |
| Employee severance charges | 330 |
| Cash payments | (203) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 127 |

NOTE 22 SEGMENT INFORMATION AND GEOGRAPHIC DATA

Segment revenue and operating income (loss) was as follows:

(In millions)

| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Revenue: | | | |
| Client | \$ 14,414 | \$ 16,472 | \$ 14,779 |
| Server and Tools | 14,135 | 13,121 | 11,117 |
| Online Services Business | 3,088 | 3,190 | 2,434 |
| Microsoft Business Division | 18,902 | 18,935 | 16,478 |
| Entertainment and Devices Division | 7,753 | 8,213 | 6,136 |
| Unallocated and other | 145 | 489 | 178 |
| Consolidated | \$ 58,437 | \$ 60,420 | \$ 51,122 |

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

(In millions)

| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Operating Income (Loss): | | | |
| Client | \$ 10,435 | \$ 12,566 | \$ 11,295 |
| Server and Tools | 5,047 | 4,170 | 3,520 |
| Online Services Business | (2,391) | (1,304) | (617) |
| Microsoft Business Division | 11,940 | 12,169 | 10,757 |
| Entertainment and Devices Division | 5 | 325 | (1,945) |
| Reconciling amounts | (4,673) | (5,655) | (4,572) |
| Consolidated | \$ 20,363 | \$ 22,271 | \$ 18,438 |

PAGE

77

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 8

SFAS No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, establishes standards for reporting information about operating segments. This standard requires segmentation based on our internal organization and reporting of revenue and operating income (loss) based upon internal accounting methods. Our financial reporting systems present various data for management to operate the business, including internal profit and loss statements prepared on a basis not consistent with U.S. GAAP. The segments are designed to allocate resources internally and provide a framework to determine management responsibility. Amounts for prior periods have been recast to conform to the current management view. Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. Our chief operating decision maker is our Chief Executive Officer. Our five segments are Client; Server and Tools; Online Services Business; Microsoft Business Division; and Entertainment and Devices Division.

The types of products and services provided by each segment are summarized below:

Client Windows Vista, including Home Basic, Home Premium, Ultimate, Business, Enterprise and Starter Edition; Windows XP, including Professional, Home, Media Center, and Tablet PC Edition; and other standard Windows operating systems.

Server and Tools Windows Server operating system; Microsoft SQL Server; Visual Studio; Silverlight; System Center products; Forefront security products; Biz Talk Server; Microsoft Consulting Services; Premier product support services; and other products and services.

Online Services Business Bing; Microsoft adCenter/adExpert; Microsoft Media Network (MMN); MSN portals, channels, and mobile services; Windows Live suite of applications and mobile services; Atlas online tools for advertisers and publishers; MSN Premium Web Services (consisting of MSN Internet Software Subscription, MSN Hotmail Plus, and MSN Software Services); and Razorfish media agency services.

Microsoft Business Division Microsoft Office; Microsoft Office Project; Microsoft Office Visio; Microsoft Office SharePoint Server; FAST ESP; Microsoft Exchange Server; Microsoft Exchange Hosted Services; Microsoft Office Live Meeting; Microsoft Office Communications Server; Microsoft Office Communicator; Microsoft Tellme Service; Microsoft Dynamics ERP products including AX, NAV, GP, SL, Retail Management System, and Point of Sale; Microsoft Dynamics CRM; and Microsoft Dynamics CRM Online.

Entertainment and Devices Division Xbox 360 console and games; Xbox Live; Zune; Mediaroom; numerous consumer software and hardware products (such as mice and keyboards); Windows Mobile software and services platform; Windows Embedded device operating system; Windows Automotive; and the Microsoft Surface computing platform.

Because of our integrated business structure, operating costs included in one segment may benefit other segments, and therefore these segments are not designed to measure operating income or loss directly related to the products included in each segment. Inter-segment cost commissions are estimated by management and used to compensate or charge each segment for such shared costs and to incent shared efforts. Management will continually evaluate the alignment of product development organizations, sales organizations, and inter-segment commissions for segment reporting purposes, which may result in changes to segment allocations in future periods.

Assets are not allocated to segments for internal reporting presentations. A portion of amortization and depreciation is included with various other costs in an overhead allocation to each segment and it is impracticable for us to separately identify the amount of amortization and depreciation by segment that is included in the measure of segment profit or loss.

Reconciling amounts include adjustments to conform with U.S. GAAP and corporate-level activity not specifically attributed to a segment. Significant internal accounting policies that differ from U.S. GAAP relate to revenue recognition, income statement classification, and accelerated amortization for depreciation, stock awards, and performance-based stock awards. In addition,

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

certain revenue and expenses are excluded from segments or included in corporate-level activity including certain legal settlements and accruals for legal contingencies.

PAGE 78

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

Significant reconciling items were as follows:

(In millions)

| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Summary of reconciling amounts: | | | |
| Corporate-level activity ^(a) | \$(5,877) | \$(7,017) | \$(4,893) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 936 | 950 | 123 |
| Revenue reconciling amounts | 280 | 385 | 120 |
| Other | (12) | 27 | 78 |
| Total | \$(4,673) | \$(5,655) | \$(4,572) |

(a) Corporate-level activity excludes stock-based compensation expense and revenue reconciling amounts presented separately in those line items.

No sales to an individual customer accounted for more than 10% of fiscal year 2009, 2008, or 2007 revenue.

Revenue, classified by the major geographic areas in which our customers are located, was as follows:

(In millions)

| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| United States ^(a) | \$33,052 | \$35,928 | \$31,346 |
| Other countries | 25,385 | 24,492 | 19,776 |
| Total | \$58,437 | \$60,420 | \$51,122 |

(a) Includes shipments to customers in the United States and licensing to certain OEMs and multinational organizations.

Long-lived assets, excluding financial instruments and deferred taxes, classified by the location of the controlling statutory company, were as follows:

(In millions)

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | 2008 |
|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| United States | \$19,362 | \$19,129 |
| Other countries | 2,435 | 1,194 |
| Total | \$21,797 | \$20,323 |

Table of ContentsPart II

Item 8

NOTE 23 QUARTERLY INFORMATION (Unaudited)

(In millions, except per share amounts)

| Quarter Ended | Sep. 30 | Dec. 31 | Mar. 31 | June 30 | Total |
|----------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Fiscal year 2009 | | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 15,061 | \$ 16,629 | \$ 13,648 | \$ 13,099 ^(a) | \$ 58,437 |
| Gross profit | 12,213 | 12,722 | 10,834 | 10,513 | 46,282 |
| Net income | 4,373 | 4,174 | 2,977 ^(b) | 3,045 ^(b) | 14,569 |
| Basic earnings per share | 0.48 | 0.47 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 1.63 |
| Diluted earnings per share | 0.48 | 0.47 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 1.62 |
| Fiscal year 2008 | | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 13,762 | \$ 16,367 | \$ 14,454 | \$ 15,837 | \$ 60,420 |
| Gross profit | 11,087 | 12,824 | 11,940 | 12,971 | 48,822 |
| Net income | 4,289 | 4,707 ^(c) | 4,388 ^(d) | 4,297 | 17,681 |
| Basic earnings per share | 0.46 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 1.90 |
| Diluted earnings per share | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 1.87 |
| Fiscal year 2007 | | | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 10,811 | \$ 12,542 ^(e) | \$ 14,398 ^(f) | \$ 13,371 | \$ 51,122 |
| Gross profit | 9,115 | 8,922 | 12,258 | 10,134 ^(h) | 40,429 |
| Net income | 3,478 | 2,626 | 4,926 ^(g) | 3,035 | 14,065 |
| Basic earnings per share | 0.35 | 0.27 | 0.51 | 0.32 | 1.44 |
| Diluted earnings per share | 0.35 | 0.26 | 0.50 | 0.31 | 1.42 |

(a) Reflects \$276 million of revenue deferred to future periods relating to the Windows 7 Upgrade Option program.

(b) Includes employee severance of \$290 million and \$40 million (pre-tax) in the third and fourth quarters of the year ended June 30, 2009, respectively.

(c) Includes charges of \$237 million (pre-tax) related to various legal matters.

(d) Includes charge of \$1.4 billion (\$899 million) related to the fine imposed by the European Commission in February 2008.

(e) Reflects \$1.6 billion of revenue deferred to the third quarter of fiscal year 2007 for the Express Upgrade to Windows Vista and Microsoft Office Technology guarantee programs and pre-shipments of Windows Vista and the 2007 Microsoft Office system.

(f) Includes \$1.6 billion of revenue discussed above.

(g) Includes charges of \$296 million (pre-tax) related to various legal matters.

(h) Includes \$1.1 billion (pre-tax) charge related to the Xbox 360 warranty policy, inventory write-downs, and product returns.

NOTE 24 SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On July 29, 2009, Microsoft and Yahoo! announced a 10-year agreement under which Microsoft will provide the exclusive algorithmic and paid search platform for Yahoo! Web sites. As part of the transaction, Microsoft will compensate Yahoo! through a revenue sharing agreement on traffic generated on the Yahoo! network owned and operated sites, and a guarantee of search revenue in certain countries. Additionally, Yahoo! will become the exclusive worldwide relationship sales force for both companies premium search advertisers. Self-serve advertising for both companies will be fulfilled by Microsoft's adCenter platform, and prices for all search ads will continue to be set by adCenter's automated auction process. Microsoft will also acquire an exclusive 10-year

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

license to Yahoo! s core search technology and will have the ability to integrate Yahoo! search technology into its existing Web search platform.

The agreement does not cover either company s Web properties and products, email, instant messaging, display advertising, or any other aspect of the companies businesses, and the companies will continue to compete in those areas. The transaction will be subject to regulatory review. The agreement entered into on July 29 anticipates that the parties will enter into more detailed definitive agreements prior to closing the transaction. The companies are hopeful that closing can occur in early calendar year 2010.

PAGE 80

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 8

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Microsoft Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Microsoft Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) as of June 30, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of income, cash flows, and stockholders' equity for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2009. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Microsoft Corporation and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2009 and 2008, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 18 to the financial statements, on July 1, 2007 the Company adopted the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*, and Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 06-2, *Accounting for Sabbatical Leave and Other Similar Benefits Pursuant to FASB Statement No. 43*.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2009, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated July 29, 2009, expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Seattle, Washington

July 29, 2009

PAGE

81

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 9, 9A

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(b) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

REPORT OF MANAGEMENT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the company. Internal control over financial reporting is a process to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Internal control over financial reporting includes maintaining records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect our transactions; providing reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary for preparation of our financial statements; providing reasonable assurance that receipts and expenditures of company assets are made in accordance with management authorization; and providing reasonable assurance that unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of company assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements would be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting is not intended to provide absolute assurance that a misstatement of our financial statements would be prevented or detected.

Management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that the company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of June 30, 2009. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Deloitte & Touche LLP has audited our internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2009; their report is included in Item 9A.

Table of Contents

Part II

Item 9A

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Microsoft Corporation:

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Microsoft Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) as of June 30, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Report of Management on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2009, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended June 30, 2009, of the Company and our report dated July 29, 2009, expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Seattle, Washington

July 29, 2009

PAGE

83

Table of Contents

Part II, III

Item 9B, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

A list of our executive officers and biographical information appears in Part I, Item 1 of this report. Information about our directors may be found under the caption "Nominees" in our Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held November 19, 2009 (the "Proxy Statement"). Information about our Audit Committee may be found under the caption "Board Committees" in the Proxy Statement. That information is incorporated herein by reference.

The information in the Proxy Statement set forth under the caption "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" is incorporated herein by reference.

We have adopted the Microsoft Finance Code of Professional Conduct (the "finance code of ethics"), a code of ethics that applies to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Accounting Officer and Corporate Controller, and other finance organization employees. The finance code of ethics is publicly available on our Website at www.microsoft.com/about/companyinformation/corporategovernance/financecode.msp. If we make any substantive amendments to the finance code of ethics or grant any waiver, including any implicit waiver, from a provision of the code to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, or Chief Accounting Officer and Corporate Controller, we will disclose the nature of the amendment or waiver on that Web site or in a report on Form 8-K.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information in the Proxy Statement set forth under the captions "Director Compensation," "Named Executive Officer Compensation," "Compensation Committee Report," and "Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation" is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information in the Proxy Statement set forth under the captions "Information Regarding Beneficial Ownership of Principal Shareholders, Directors, and Management" and "Equity Compensation Plan Information" is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information set forth in the Proxy Statement under the captions "Director Independence" and "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

Information concerning principal accountant fees and services appears in the Proxy Statement under the headings Fees Billed by Deloitte & Touche and Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Auditor and is incorporated herein by reference.

PAGE 84

Table of ContentsPart IV

Item 15

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) Financial Statements and Schedules

The financial statements are set forth under Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, as indexed below. Financial statement schedules have been omitted since they either are not required, not applicable, or the information is otherwise included.

| Index to Financial Statements | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>Income Statements</u> | 43 |
| <u>Balance Sheets</u> | 44 |
| <u>Cash Flows Statements</u> | 45 |
| <u>Stockholders' Equity Statements</u> | 46 |
| <u>Notes to Financial Statements</u> | 47 |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 81 |

(b) Exhibit Listing

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Filed Herewith | Incorporated by Reference | | Filing Date | |
|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | | | Form | Period Ending | | Exhibit |
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Microsoft Corporation | | 10-Q | 12/31/02 | 3.1 | 1/31/03 |
| 3.2 | Bylaws of Microsoft Corporation | | 8-K | | 3.2 | 9/25/08 |
| 4.1 | Form of Indenture between Microsoft Corporation and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as Trustee (Base Indenture) | | 3-ASR | | 4.1 | 11/20/08 |
| 4.2 | Form of First Supplemental Indenture for 2.95% Notes due 2014, 4.20% Notes due 2019, and 5.20% Notes due 2039, dated as of May 18, 2009, between Microsoft Corporation and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as Trustee, to the Base Indenture | | 8-K | | 4.2 | 5/15/09 |
| 10.1* | Microsoft Corporation 2001 Stock Plan | | 8-K | | 99.2 | 7/20/06 |

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|-------|--|------|---------|------|----------|
| 10.2* | Microsoft Corporation 1991 Stock Option Plan | 8-K | | 99.1 | 7/20/06 |
| 10.3* | Microsoft Corporation 1999 Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors | 8-K | | 10.3 | 11/15/04 |
| 10.4* | Microsoft Corporation 2003 Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 10-K | 6/30/04 | 10.6 | 9/1/04 |

Table of ContentsPart IV

Item 15

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Filed Herewith | Incorporated by Reference | | | Filing Date |
|----------------|--|----------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------|-------------|
| | | | Form | Period Ending | Exhibit | |
| 10.5* | Microsoft Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan | | S-8 | | 99.1 | 2/28/06 |
| 10.6* | Form of Stock Award Agreement under the Microsoft Corporation 2001 Stock Plan | | 10-K | | 10.8 | 8/25/06 |
| 10.7* | Form of Stock Award Agreement for Non-Employee Directors under the Microsoft Corporation 1999 Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors | | 10-K | 6/30/04 | 10.9 | 9/1/04 |
| 10.8* | Form of Shared Performance Stock Award Agreement under the Microsoft Corporation 2001 Stock Plan for the January 1, 2004 to June 30, 2006 performance period | | 10-K | 6/30/04 | 10.10 | 9/1/04 |
| 10.9* | Form of Shared Performance Stock Award Agreement under the Microsoft Corporation 2001 Stock Plan for the July 1, 2003 to June 30, 2006 performance period | | 10-K | 6/30/04 | 10.11 | 9/1/04 |
| 10.10* | Form of Stock Option Agreement under the Microsoft Corporation 2001 Stock Plan | | 10-K | 6/30/04 | 10.12 | 9/1/04 |
| 10.11* | Form of Stock Option Agreement for Non-Employee Directors under the 1999 Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors | | 10-K | 6/30/04 | 10.13 | 9/1/04 |
| 10.12 | Trust Agreement dated June 1, 1993 between Microsoft Corporation and BNY Western Trust Company as trustee (formerly with First Interstate Bank of Washington as trustee) | | 10-K | 6/30/02 | 10.8 | 9/6/02 |
| 10.13 | Trust Agreement dated June 30, 2003 between Microsoft Corporation and BNY Western Trust Company as trustee | | 10-K | 6/30/03 | 10.8 | 9/5/03 |
| 10.14* | Microsoft Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors | | S-8 | | 99.2 | 2/28/06 |
| 10.15* | Form of Shared Performance Stock Award Agreement under the Microsoft Corporation 2001 Stock Plan for the fiscal year 2007 performance period | | 10-K | 6/30/07 | 10.17 | 8/3/07 |
| 10.16* | Form of Shared Performance Stock Award Agreement under the Microsoft Corporation 2001 Stock Plan for the fiscal year 2008 performance period | | 10-Q | 12/31/07 | 10.18 | 1/24/08 |

Table of ContentsPart IV

Item 15

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Filed Herewith | Incorporated by Reference | | |
|----------------|--|----------------|---------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| | | | Form | Period Ending | Filing Date |
| 10.17* | Executive Officer Incentive Plan | | 10-Q | 9/30/08 | 10/23/08 |
| 10.18* | Form of Executive Officer Incentive Plan Stock Award Agreement under the Microsoft Corporation 2001 Stock Plan | | 10-Q | 9/30/08 | 10/23/08 |
| 10.19* | Annual Performance Bonus Plan for Executive Officers | | 10-Q | 12/31/08 | 1/22/09 |
| 12 | Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges | X | | | |
| 21 | Subsidiaries of Registrant | X | | | |
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | X | | | |
| 31.1 | Certifications of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | X | | | |
| 31.2 | Certifications of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | X | | | |
| 32.1 | Certifications of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | X | | | |
| 32.2 | Certifications of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | X | | | |

* Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned; thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Redmond, State of Washington, on July 29, 2009.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION

By: */s/* FRANK H. BROD
 Frank H. Brod
 Corporate Vice President, Finance and
 Administration; Chief Accounting Officer
 (Principal Accounting Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of Registrant and in the capacities indicated on July 29, 2009.

| Signature | Title |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| <i>/s/</i> WILLIAM H. GATES III William H. Gates III | Chairman |
| <i>/s/</i> STEVEN A. BALLMER Steven A. Ballmer | Director and Chief Executive Officer |
| <i>/s/</i> JAMES I. CASH, JR. James I. Cash, Jr. | Director |
| <i>/s/</i> DINA DUBLON Dina Dublon | Director |
| <i>/s/</i> RAYMOND V. GILMARTIN Raymond V. Gilmartin | Director |
| <i>/s/</i> REED HASTINGS Reed Hastings | Director |
| <i>/s/</i> MARIA KLAWE Maria Klawe | Director |
| <i>/s/</i> DAVID F. MARQUARDT | Director |

Edgar Filing: MICROSOFT CORP - Form 10-K

David F. Marquardt

/s/ CHARLES H. NOSKI

Director

Charles H. Noski

/s/ HELMUT PANKE

Director

Helmut Panke

/s/ CHRISTOPHER P. LIDDELL

Senior Vice President;

Christopher P. Liddell

Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

/s/ FRANK H. BROD

Corporate Vice President, Finance and Administration;
Chief Accounting Officer

Frank H. Brod

(Principal Accounting Officer)

PAGE

88