

GETTY REALTY CORP /MD/  
Form 10-Q  
November 08, 2011  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D. C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark one)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from            to

Commission file number 001-13777

**GETTY REALTY CORP.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**MARYLAND**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**11-3412575**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**125 Jericho Turnpike, Suite 103**

**Jericho, New York 11753**

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

**(516) 478 - 5400**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See the definitions of larger accelerated filer , accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer  Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer  Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Registrant had outstanding 33,394,175 shares of Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share, as of November 8, 2011.

---

**Table of Contents**

GETTY REALTY CORP.

INDEX

|  | Page Number |
|--|-------------|
| <b><u>Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>  |             |
| Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>  |             |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u>                                      | 3           |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u>           | 4           |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Nine Months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u> | 5           |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u>                     | 6           |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>  | 7           |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>                   | 33          |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>  | 57          |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>   | 58          |
| <b><u>Part II. OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>   |             |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>   | 60          |
| Item 1a. <u>Risk Factors</u>   | 60          |
| Item 4. <u>Other Information</u>   | 67          |
| Item 5. <u>Exhibits</u>  | 68          |
| <u>Signatures</u>  | 69          |

**Table of Contents****Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****GETTY REALTY CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(in thousands, except share data)****(unaudited)**

|  | <b>September 30,<br/>2011</b> | <b>December 31,<br/>2010</b> |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| <b>Assets:</b>   |                               |                              |
| Real Estate:   |                               |                              |
| Land   | \$ 352,592                    | \$ 253,413                   |
| Buildings and improvements   | 249,863                       | 251,174                      |
|  | 602,455                       | 504,587                      |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization   | (148,964)                     | (144,217)                    |
| Real estate, net   | 453,491                       | 360,370                      |
| Net investment in direct financing leases  | 90,965                        | 20,540                       |
| Deferred rent receivable (net of allowance of \$17,908 as of September 30, 2011 and \$8,170 as of December 31, 2010)                               | 16,555                        | 27,385                       |
| Cash and cash equivalents  | 14,009                        | 6,122                        |
| Other receivables, net   | 4,269                         | 4,533                        |
| Notes, mortgages and accounts receivable, net  | 33,178                        | 1,525                        |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets  | 10,965                        | 6,669                        |
| Total assets   | \$ 623,432                    | \$ 427,144                   |
| <b>Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity:</b>   |                               |                              |
| Borrowings under credit line   | \$ 147,700                    | \$ 41,300                    |
| Term loan  | 23,005                        | 23,590                       |
| Environmental remediation costs  | 14,788                        | 14,874                       |
| Dividends payable  | 8,391                         | 14,432                       |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities   | 29,669                        | 18,013                       |
| Total liabilities  | 223,553                       | 112,209                      |
| Commitments and contingencies (notes 2, 3, 5, and 6)   |                               |                              |
| Shareholders' equity:  |                               |                              |
| Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; authorized 50,000,000 shares; issued 33,394,175 at September 30, 2011 and 29,944,155 at December 31, 2010 | 334                           | 299                          |
| Paid-in capital  | 460,524                       | 368,093                      |
| Dividends paid in excess of earnings   | (60,979)                      | (52,304)                     |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss   |                               | (1,153)                      |
| Total shareholders' equity   | 399,879                       | 314,935                      |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity   | \$ 623,432                    | \$ 427,144                   |

Edgar Filing: GETTY REALTY CORP /MD/ - Form 10-Q

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

- 3 -

**Table of Contents****GETTY REALTY CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(in thousands, except per share amounts)****(unaudited)**

|   | <b>Three months ended<br/>September 30,</b> |                  | <b>Nine months ended<br/>September 30,</b> |                  |
|---|---|------------------|--|------------------|
|   | <b>2011</b>                                 | <b>2010</b>      | <b>2011</b>                                | <b>2010</b>      |
| <b>Revenues:</b>                                    |   |                  |  |                  |
| Revenues from rental properties                     | \$ 27,312                                   | \$ 21,950        | \$ 79,391                                  | \$ 65,999        |
| Interest on notes and mortgages receivable          | 755   | 34               | 1,901                                      | 101              |
| <b>Total revenues</b>                               | <b>28,067</b>                               | <b>21,984</b>    | <b>81,292</b>                              | <b>66,100</b>    |
| <b>Operating expenses:</b>                          |   |                  |  |                  |
| Rental property expenses                            | 2,927                                       | 2,151            | 10,115                                     | 7,673            |
| Impairment charges                                  | 587   |                  | 2,844                                      |                  |
| Environmental expenses, net                         | 1,732                                       | 996              | 4,186                                      | 3,880            |
| General and administrative expenses                 | 2,687                                       | 1,806            | 10,308                                     | 5,964            |
| Allowance for deferred rent receivable              | 11,043                                      |                  | 11,043                                     |                  |
| Depreciation and amortization expense               | 2,822                                       | 2,391            | 7,322                                      | 7,157            |
| <b>Total operating expenses</b>                     | <b>21,798</b>                               | <b>7,344</b>     | <b>45,818</b>                              | <b>24,674</b>    |
| Operating income                                    | 6,269                                       | 14,640           | 35,474                                     | 41,426           |
| Other income, net                                   | 122   | 1                | 79   | 108              |
| Interest expense                                    | (1,414)                                     | (1,116)          | (4,079)                                    | (3,932)          |
| Earnings from continuing operations                 | 4,977                                       | 13,525           | 31,474                                     | 37,602           |
| <b>Discontinued operations:</b>                     |   |                  |  |                  |
| Earnings (loss) from operating activities           | 63  | (189)            | (145)                                      | (40)             |
| Gains from dispositions of real estate              | 310   | 15               | 609  | 1,653            |
| <b>Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations</b> | <b>373</b>                                  | <b>(174)</b>     | <b>464</b>                                 | <b>1,613</b>     |
| <b>Net earnings</b>                                 | <b>\$ 5,350</b>                             | <b>\$ 13,351</b> | <b>\$ 31,938</b>                           | <b>\$ 39,215</b> |
| <b>Basic and diluted earnings per common share:</b> |   |                  |  |                  |
| Earnings from continuing operations                 | \$ 0.15                                     | \$ 0.45          | \$ 0.95                                    | \$ 1.38          |
| Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations        | \$ 0.01                                     | \$ (0.01)        | \$ 0.01                                    | \$ 0.06          |
| Net earnings  | \$ 0.16                                     | \$ 0.45          | \$ 0.96                                    | \$ 1.44          |
| <b>Weighted-average shares outstanding:</b>         |   |                  |  |                  |
| Basic   | 33,394                                      | 29,942           | 33,097                                     | 27,286           |
| Stock options and restricted stock units            | 1   | 2                | 1  | 2                |
| <b>Diluted</b>                                      | <b>33,395</b>                               | <b>29,944</b>    | <b>33,098</b>                              | <b>27,288</b>    |

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.*



**Table of Contents**

**GETTY REALTY CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

|                                       | Three months ended<br>September 30, |           | Nine months ended<br>September 30, |           |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
|                                       | 2011                                | 2010      | 2011                               | 2010      |
| Net earnings                          | \$ 5,350                            | \$ 13,351 | \$ 31,938                          | \$ 39,215 |
| Other comprehensive income:           |                                     |           |                                    |           |
| Unrealized gain on interest rate swap |                                     | 414       | 1,153                              | 1,262     |
| Comprehensive income                  | \$ 5,350                            | \$ 13,765 | \$ 33,091                          | \$ 40,477 |

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.*



**Table of Contents****GETTY REALTY CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(in thousands)****(unaudited)**

|   | <b>Nine months ended<br/>September 30,</b> |                 |
|---|--|-----------------|
|   | <b>2011</b>                                | <b>2010</b>     |
| <i>Cash flows from operating activities:</i>  |  |                 |
| Net earnings  | \$ 31,938                                  | \$ 39,215       |
| <i>Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash flow provided by operating activities:</i> |  |                 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense   | 7,354                                      | 7,210           |
| Impairment charges  | 3,094                                      |                 |
| Gains from dispositions of real estate  | (629)                                      | (1,653)         |
| Deferred rental revenue, net of allowance   | (213)                                      | (198)           |
| Allowance for deferred rent receivable  | 11,043                                     |                 |
| Amortization of above-market and below-market leases  | (533)                                      | (506)           |
| Accretion expense   | 469  | 559             |
| Stock-based employee compensation expense   | 480  | 352             |
| <i>Changes in assets and liabilities:</i>   |  |                 |
| Other receivables, net  | 362  | 6               |
| Net investment in direct financing leases   | 334  | (248)           |
| Accounts receivable, net  | (928)                                      | 179             |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets   | 185  | (267)           |
| Environmental remediation costs   | (802)                                      | (393)           |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities  | 540  | (1,351)         |
| <b>Net cash flow provided by operating activities</b>   | <b>52,694</b>                              | <b>42,905</b>   |
| <i>Cash flows from investing activities:</i>  |  |                 |
| Property acquisitions and capital expenditures  | (167,475)                                  | (4,669)         |
| Proceeds from dispositions of real estate   | 2,272                                      | 2,789           |
| Decrease in cash held for property acquisitions   | 149  | 2,183           |
| Issuance of notes and mortgages receivable  | (31,208)                                   |                 |
| Collection of notes and mortgages receivable  | 483  | 112             |
| <b>Net cash flow (used in) provided by investing activities</b>                                 | <b>(195,779)</b>                           | <b>415</b>      |
| <i>Cash flows from financing activities:</i>  |  |                 |
| Borrowings under credit agreement   | 247,253                                    | 33,000          |
| Repayments under credit agreement   | (140,853)                                  | (145,500)       |
| Repayments under term loan agreement  | (585)                                      | (585)           |
| Payments of cash dividends  | (46,654)                                   | (37,903)        |
| Payments of loan origination costs  | (175)                                      |                 |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock  | 91,986                                     | 108,205         |
| <b>Net cash flow provided by (used in) financing activities</b>                                 | <b>150,972</b>                             | <b>(42,783)</b> |
| <b>Net increase in cash and cash equivalents</b>  | <b>7,887</b>                               | <b>537</b>      |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period  | 6,122                                      | 3,050           |

Edgar Filing: GETTY REALTY CORP /MD/ - Form 10-Q

|   |           |          |
|---|-----------|----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period        | \$ 14,009 | \$ 3,587 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information |           |          |
| Interest paid                                     | \$ 4,536  | \$ 3,784 |
| Income taxes paid, net                            | 115       | 281      |

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.*

- 6 -

**Table of Contents**

**GETTY REALTY CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Unaudited)**

**1. GENERAL**

*Basis of Presentation:* The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Getty Realty Corp. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (the Company). The Company is a real estate investment trust (REIT) specializing in the ownership and leasing of retail motor fuel and convenience store properties and petroleum distribution terminals. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The Company manages and evaluates its operations as a single segment. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

*Use of Estimates, Judgments and Assumptions:* The financial statements have been prepared in conformity with GAAP, which requires the Company's management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and revenues and expenses during the period reported. While all available information has been considered, actual results could differ from those estimates, judgments and assumptions. Estimates, judgments and assumptions underlying the accompanying consolidated financial statements include, but are not limited to, deferred rent receivable, net investment in direct financing leases, recoveries from state underground storage tank (UST or USTs) funds, environmental remediation costs, real estate, depreciation and amortization, impairment of long-lived assets, litigation, accrued liabilities, income taxes and the allocation of the purchase price of properties acquired to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

*Discontinued Operations:* The operating results and gains from certain dispositions of real estate sold in 2011 and 2010 are reclassified as discontinued operations. The operating results of such properties for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 has also been reclassified to discontinued operations to conform to the 2011 presentation. Discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 are primarily comprised of gains or losses from property dispositions. The revenue from rental properties and expenses related to these properties are insignificant for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

*Unaudited, Interim Financial Statements:* The consolidated financial statements are unaudited but, in the Company's opinion, reflect all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) necessary for a fair statement of the results for the periods presented. These statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes, which appear in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

*Earnings per Common Share:* Basic earnings per common share gives effect, utilizing the two-class method, to the potential dilution from the issuance of common shares in settlement of restricted stock units (RSUs or RSU) which provide for non-forfeitable dividend equivalents equal to the dividends declared per common share. Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing net earnings less dividend equivalents attributable to RSUs by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding

**Table of Contents**

during the period. Diluted earnings per common share, also gives effect to the potential dilution from the exercise of stock options utilizing the treasury stock method.

| (in thousands)  | Three months ended |                    | Nine months ended  |                    |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|   | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 | September 30, 2011 | September 30, 2010 |
| Earnings from continuing operations   | \$ 4,977           | \$ 13,525          | \$ 31,474          | \$ 37,602          |
| Less dividend equivalents attributable to restricted stock units outstanding  | (43)               | (57)               | (207)              | (169)              |
| Earnings from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders used for basic earnings per share calculation | 4,934              | 13,468             | 31,267             | 37,433             |
| Discontinued operations   | 373                | (174)              | 464                | 1,613              |
| Net earnings attributable to common shareholders used for basic earnings per share calculation                        | \$ 5,307           | \$ 13,294          | \$ 31,731          | \$ 39,046          |
| Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding:   |                    |                    |                    |                    |
| Basic   | 33,394             | 29,942             | 33,097             | 27,286             |
| Stock options   | 1                  | 2                  | 1                  | 2                  |
| Diluted   | 33,395             | 29,944             | 33,098             | 27,288             |
| Restricted stock units outstanding at the end of the period   | 171                | 118                | 171                | 118                |

**2. LEASES**

The Company leases or sublets its properties primarily to distributors and retailers engaged in the sale of gasoline and other motor fuel products, convenience store products and automotive repair services who are responsible for managing the operations conducted at these properties and for the payment of taxes, maintenance, repair, insurance and other operating expenses related to these properties. In those instances where the Company determines that the best use for a property is no longer as a retail motor fuel outlet, the Company will seek an alternative tenant or buyer for the property. The Company leases or subleases approximately twenty of its properties for uses such as fast food restaurants, automobile sales and other retail purposes. The Company's 1,155 properties are located in 21 states across the United States with concentrations in the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic regions.

As of September 30, 2011, Getty Petroleum Marketing Inc. ( Marketing ) leased from the Company 800 properties comprising a unitary premises pursuant to a master lease (the Master Lease ). The Master Lease has an initial term of 15 years commencing December 9, 2000, and provides Marketing with options for three renewal terms of ten years each and a final renewal option of three years and ten months extending to 2049 (or such shorter initial or renewal term as the underlying lease may provide). Marketing is required to notify us of its election to exercise a renewal option one year in advance of the commencement of such renewal term. The Master Lease is a unitary lease and, therefore, Marketing's exercise of any renewal option can only be for all, and not less than all, of the properties then comprising the unitary premises subject of the Master Lease. The Master Lease includes a provision for 2.0% annual rent escalations. (See note 9 for additional information regarding the portion of the Company's financial results that are attributable to Marketing. See notes 3 and 4 for additional information regarding contingencies related to Marketing and the Master Lease.)

The Company estimates that Marketing makes annual real estate tax payments for properties leased under the Master Lease of approximately \$13,000,000. Marketing also makes additional payments for

---

**Table of Contents**

other operating expenses related to these properties, including environmental remediation costs other than those liabilities that were retained by the Company. These costs, which have been assumed by Marketing under the terms of the Master Lease, are not reflected in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Revenues from rental properties included in continuing operations for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were \$27,312,000 and \$79,391,000, respectively, of which \$15,074,000 and \$44,883,000, respectively, were received from Marketing under the Master Lease and \$12,503,000 and \$33,805,000, respectively, were received from other tenants. Revenues from rental properties included in continuing operations for the quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2010 were \$21,950,000 and \$65,999,000, respectively, of which \$15,005,000 and \$45,278,000, respectively, were received from Marketing under the Master Lease and \$6,668,000 and \$19,886,000, respectively, were received from other tenants. Rent received and rental property expenses included \$1,544,000 for the quarter ended September 30, 2011, \$462,000 for the quarter ended September 30, 2010, \$3,144,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and \$1,495,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 for real estate taxes paid by the Company which were reimbursed by tenants. In accordance with GAAP, the Company recognizes rental revenue in amounts which vary from the amount of rent contractually due or received during the periods presented. As a result, revenues from rental properties include non-cash adjustments recorded for deferred rental revenue due to the recognition of rental income on a straight-line (or average) basis over the current lease term, net amortization of above-market and below-market leases and recognition of rental income recorded under direct financing leases using the effective interest method which produces a constant periodic rate of return on the net investment in the leased property (the Revenue Recognition Adjustments). Revenue Recognition Adjustments included in continuing operations decreased rental revenue by \$265,000 for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 and increased rental revenue by \$703,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, \$277,000 for the quarter ended September 30, 2010 and \$835,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

The Company provides reserves for a portion of the recorded deferred rent receivable if circumstances indicate that a property may be disposed of before the end of the current lease term or if it is not reasonable to assume that a tenant will make all of its contractual lease payments during the current lease term. The Company's assessments and assumptions regarding the recoverability of the deferred rent receivable related to the portfolio of properties is reviewed on an ongoing basis and such assessments and assumptions are subject to change. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the net carrying value of the deferred rent receivable attributable to the Master Lease was \$8,788,000 and \$21,221,000, respectively, which was comprised of a gross deferred rent receivable of \$26,696,000 and \$29,391,000, respectively, partially offset by a valuation reserve of \$17,908,000 and \$8,170,000, respectively. (See notes 3 and 4 for additional information regarding contingencies related to Marketing and the Master Lease.)

The components of the \$90,965,000 net investment in direct financing leases as of September 30, 2011, are minimum lease payments receivable of \$213,477,000 plus unguaranteed estimated residual value of \$11,808,000 less unearned income of \$134,320,000.

**Table of Contents**

**3. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

*CREDIT RISK*

In order to minimize the Company's exposure to credit risk associated with financial instruments, the Company places its temporary cash investments, if any, with high credit quality institutions. Temporary cash investments, if any, are currently held in an overnight bank time deposit with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

*MARKETING AND THE MASTER LEASE*

As of September 30, 2011, the Company leased 800, or 69% of its 1,155 properties comprising a unitary premises under the Master Lease, on a long-term triple-net basis to Marketing. (See note 2 for additional information.) The Company's financial results are materially dependent upon the ability of Marketing to meet its rental, environmental and other obligations under the Master Lease. Marketing's financial results depend on retail petroleum marketing margins from the sale of refined petroleum products and rental income from its subtenants. Marketing's subtenants either operate their gas stations, convenience stores, automotive repair services or other businesses at the Company's properties or are petroleum distributors who may operate the Company's properties directly and/or sublet the Company's properties to the operators. Since a substantial portion of the Company's revenues (52% for the three months ended September 30, 2011), are derived from the Master Lease, any factor that adversely affects Marketing's ability to meet its obligations under the Master Lease may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, revenues, operating expenses, results of operations, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price. (See note 9 for additional information regarding the portion of the Company's financial results that are attributable to Marketing.)

The Company's relationship with Marketing has deteriorated over the past several months. The Company is actively pursuing claims related to the non-payment of rent as well as other defaults by Marketing under the Master Lease, including taking legal action to enforce the Company's rights under the Master Lease. The Company cannot provide any assurance regarding the ultimate resolution of these or any subsequent actions, including whether Marketing will comply with its rental, environmental and other obligations under the Master Lease.

In August and September 2011, Marketing failed to pay its monthly fixed rent to the Company when due under the Master Lease. The Company sent default notices to Marketing as required by the Master Lease and in each instance, Marketing ultimately paid the rent with applicable interest. In late August 2011, Marketing sent the Company a notice (the Notice) alleging that the Company was in default of certain of its obligations under the Master Lease by failing to perform certain environmental remediation. The Notice stated that if the Company failed to cure the alleged default, Marketing intended to offset the full amount of its monthly rental payments due to the Company under the Master Lease for October and November 2011. The Company believes that the alleged default is wholly without merit, Marketing has no right to offset rent under the Master Lease based on the allegations they have made and that the Company is in compliance with its environmental obligations under the Master Lease. In compliance with an order issued by the New York State Supreme Court, Marketing paid an amount equal to the full October 2011 fixed rent under the Master Lease of which \$4,003,000 was paid to the Company and the

**Table of Contents**

balance of \$888,000 was paid to the court to be held in escrow. A judicial determination on the merits of Marketing's claim to have a right of offset against fixed rent otherwise due under the Master Lease, and thus a disposition of monies held by the court, remains pending. The Company anticipates that, in connection with Marketing's claim regarding rent offset rights or other claims or allegations that have been or may in the future be made, the Company may continue to experience disruption in rent receipts due from Marketing under the Master Lease. It is also possible that Marketing may assert additional claims against the Company. The Company intends to pursue its current actions against Marketing and, if necessary, bring other actions against Marketing to enforce the Company's rights under the Master Lease.

Despite the litigation and other developments between the Company and Marketing, it is the Company's intent to continue to pursue the sale and simultaneous removal of individual properties from the Master Lease. Any such dispositions require Marketing's cooperation and consent, therefore the Company cannot provide any assurance that any such dispositions will occur.

As a result of the developments with Marketing described above, Marketing's posture related to resolving issues concerning the Master Lease and the Company's assessment of the best way to position the Marketing portfolio to maximize its long-term value to the Company, the Company has concluded that it is probable that the Company will not receive a greater portion of the contractual lease payments when due from Marketing for the entire initial term of the Master Lease than it had previously reserved. Therefore, during the quarter ended September 30, 2011, the Company increased its reserve by recording an additional non-cash allowance for deferred rental revenue of \$11,043,000. This non-cash allowance reduces the Company's net earnings for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, but does not impact the Company's current cash flows from operating activities. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the net carrying value of the deferred rent receivable attributable to the Master Lease was \$8,788,000 and \$21,221,000, respectively, which was comprised of a gross deferred rent receivable of \$26,696,000 and \$29,391,000, respectively, partially offset by a valuation reserve of \$17,908,000 and \$8,170,000, respectively. It is possible that in connection with a restructuring of the portfolio of properties subject of the Master Lease, the Company may consider a deferral or reduction in the rental payments owed to the Company under the Master Lease. It is also possible that as a result of a continued deterioration of Marketing's financial condition, Marketing may file bankruptcy and seek to reorganize or liquidate its business.

Marketing provided the Company with financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010; however, these financial statements were not certified as prepared in accordance with GAAP by either an independent certified public accountant or one of Marketing's executive officers as required by the terms of the Master Lease. For the year ended December 31, 2010 Marketing reported a significant loss, continuing a trend of reporting large losses in recent years. Marketing continued to report significant losses in its preliminary interim financial statements provided to the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Marketing's financial statements provided to the Company for three months ended March 31, 2011 and for the six months ended June 30, 2011 are preliminary and subject to material adjustment. The Company believes that Marketing likely does not have the ability to generate cash flows from its business operations sufficient to meet its rental, environmental and other obligations under the terms of the Master Lease unless Marketing shows significant improvement in its financial results, reduces the number of properties under the Master Lease, receives additional capital or credit support or generates funds elsewhere. There can be no assurance that Marketing will be successful in any of these efforts.

## Table of Contents

In July 2011, an arbitration panel that had been convened to hear a contractual dispute which commenced in 2010 between Marketing and Bionol Clearfield LLC ( Bionol ) issued an award in favor of Bionol for approximately \$230,000,000. Marketing has filed a motion to vacate this award. The contractual dispute relates to a five-year contract under which Marketing agreed to purchase, at formula-based prices, substantially all of the ethanol production from Bionol's ethanol plant in Pennsylvania. The Company is not in a position to evaluate the strength of the positions taken by Marketing with respect to its motion to vacate, and it cannot predict the actions that may be taken by Marketing or Bionol with respect to the award, or the timing of any such actions, including as to settlement or enforcement. Also in July 2011 Bionol announced that it, along with its affiliates, Bioenergy Holdings LLC and Bionol Holdings LLC, filed a voluntary petition for Chapter 7 relief in the United States Bankruptcy Court in Delaware. The Company cannot predict what impact Bionol's Chapter 7 liquidation filing may have on its dispute with Marketing or what actions the Trustee may take to collect on or settle the award or whether the Trustee may pursue other possible remedies. The ultimate resolution of this matter may materially adversely affect Marketing's financial condition and its ability to meet its obligations to the Company as they become due under the terms of the Master Lease.

On February 28, 2011, OAO LUKoil ( Lukoil ), one of the largest integrated Russian oil companies, transferred its ownership interest in Marketing to Cambridge Petroleum Holding Inc. ( Cambridge ). The Company is not privy to the terms and conditions of this transaction between Lukoil and Cambridge and does not know what type or amount of consideration, if any, was paid or is payable by Lukoil or its subsidiaries to Cambridge, or by Cambridge to Lukoil or its subsidiaries in connection with the transfer. The Company did not believe that while Lukoil owned Marketing it would allow Marketing to fail to meet its obligations under the Master Lease. However, there can be no assurance that Cambridge will have the capacity to or will provide capital or financial support to Marketing or that it can or will arrange for the provision of capital investment or financial support to Marketing that Marketing may require to perform its obligations under the Master Lease.

It is possible that the deterioration of Marketing's financial condition may continue. Without financial support, it is possible that Marketing may file for bankruptcy protection and seek to reorganize or liquidate its business.

From time to time when it was owned by Lukoil, the Company held discussions with representatives of Marketing regarding potential modifications to the Master Lease. These discussions did not result in a common understanding with Marketing that would form a basis for modification of the Master Lease. After Lukoil's transfer of its ownership of Marketing to Cambridge, the Company commenced discussions with Marketing's new owners and management. Marketing's new management has indicated a desire to reduce the number of properties comprising the unitary premises it leases from the Company under the Master Lease in an effort to improve Marketing's financial results. As a result of these recent discussions, with Marketing's consent and agreement on a case-by-case basis, the Company started to pursue the sale and simultaneous removal of individual properties from the Master Lease. The Company has focused its initial efforts in this initiative on the sale of terminal properties, and approximately 160 of the Company's retail properties which do not have underground storage tanks and no longer operate as retail motor fuel outlets. Simultaneously with a transaction closing, the Company would, with Marketing's previously received consent and agreement, remove such property from the unitary premises covered by Master Lease and reduce the rent payable by Marketing by an amount calculated based upon a percentage of net proceeds realized upon the sale of such property.



## **Table of Contents**

While the Company has an ongoing process with Marketing allowing for increased activity intended to sell and simultaneously remove such properties from the Master Lease on mutually agreeable terms, there is no master agreement in place for this process. In view of the litigation activity described above, the Company is reevaluating its options related to the removal of properties from the Master Lease. Any modification of the Master Lease that results in the removal of a significant number of properties from the Master Lease would likely significantly reduce the amount of rent the Company receives from Marketing and increase the Company's operating expenses. The Company cannot predict if or when properties will be removed from the Master Lease premises; what composition of properties, if any, may be removed from the Master Lease; or what the terms of any agreement for modification of the Master Lease or agreements for the removal of individual properties from the Master Lease may be. The Company also cannot predict what actions Marketing may take, and what the Company's recourse may be, whether the Master Lease is modified or not. The Company cannot predict if or how Marketing's business strategy, including as it relates to the removal of properties from the Master Lease, may change in the future.

Generally, the Company intends either to re-let or sell properties removed from the Master Lease, whether such removal arises consensually by negotiation or as a result of default by Marketing, and reinvest sales proceeds realized in new properties. With respect to properties that are vacant or have had underground gasoline storage tanks and related equipment removed, it may be more difficult or costly to re-let or sell such properties as gas stations because of capital costs or possible zoning or permitting rights that are required and that may have lapsed during the period since gasoline was last sold at the property. Conversely, it may be easier to re-let or sell properties where underground gasoline storage tanks and related equipment have been removed if the property will not be used as a retail motor fuel outlet or if environmental contamination has been or is being remediated. Although the Company is the fee or leasehold owner of the properties subject of the Master Lease and the owner of the Getty® brand, and has prior experience with tenants who operate their gas stations, convenience stores, automotive repair services or other businesses at the Company's properties, in the event that properties are removed from the Master Lease premises, the Company cannot predict if, when or on what terms such properties could be re-let or sold.

Under the Master Lease, the Company's environmental remediation obligations are limited to incidents at 166 retail sites that have not achieved Closure (as defined below) and are scheduled in the Master Lease. The Company also provides limited environmental indemnification to Marketing, capped at \$4,250,000, for certain pre-existing conditions at six of the terminals which are owned by the Company and leased to Marketing. Under the indemnification agreement, Marketing is required to pay (and has paid) the first \$1,500,000 of costs and expenses incurred in connection with remediating any such pre-existing conditions, Marketing and the Company share equally the next \$8,500,000 of those costs and expenses and Marketing is obligated to pay all additional costs and expenses over \$10,000,000. The Company has accrued \$300,000 as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 in connection with this indemnification agreement.

Under the Master Lease, Marketing is directly responsible to pay for all other environmental liabilities including: (i) remediation of environmental contamination it causes and compliance with various environmental laws and regulations as the operator of the Company's properties, and (ii) known and

## **Table of Contents**

unknown environmental liabilities allocated to Marketing under the terms of the Master Lease and various other agreements with the Company relating to Marketing's business and the properties it leases from the Company (collectively the Marketing Environmental Liabilities). If marketing fails to pay the Marketing Environmental Liabilities, the Company may ultimately be responsible to pay for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities as the property owner.

The Company does not maintain pollution legal liability insurance to protect the Company from potential future claims for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities. The Company will be required to accrue for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities if the Company determines that it is probable that Marketing will not meet its environmental obligations and the Company can reasonably estimate the amount of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities for which the Company will be responsible to pay, or if the Company's assumptions regarding the ultimate allocation methods or share of responsibility that the Company used to allocate environmental liabilities changes. However, as of September 30, 2011, the Company continued to believe that it was not probable that Marketing would not pay for substantially all of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities. Accordingly, the Company did not accrue for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities as of September 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010. Nonetheless, the Company has determined that the aggregate amount of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities (as estimated by the Company) would be material to the Company if it were required to accrue for all of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities since as a result of such accrual, the Company would not be in compliance with the existing financial covenants in the Company's Credit Agreement (defined below) and the Company's Term Loan Agreement (defined below). Such non-compliance would result in an event of default under the Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement which, if not waived, would prohibit the Company from drawing funds against the Credit Agreement and could result in the acceleration of the Company's indebtedness under the Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement. (See note 4 for additional information regarding contingencies related to Marketing and the Master Lease and the Company's Credit Agreement and Term Loan Agreement.)

The Company's estimates, judgments, assumptions and beliefs regarding Marketing and the Master Lease made effective September 30, 2011 that affect the amounts reported in the Company's financial statements are reviewed on an ongoing basis and are subject to possible change. Accordingly, it is possible that during the fourth quarter of 2011 or thereafter, the Company may be required to increase the deferred rent receivable reserve, record impairment charges related to the portfolio of properties subject of the Master Lease or accrue for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities as a result of the potential or actual modification of the Master Lease or as a result of the potential or actual bankruptcy filing by Marketing or other factors including those discussed above, which may result in material adjustments to the amounts recorded for these assets and liabilities, and as a result of which, the Company may not be in compliance with the financial covenants in the Company's Credit Agreement and Term Loan Agreement.

The Company cannot provide any assurance that Marketing will meet its rental, environmental or other obligations under the Master Lease. Marketing's failure to meet its rental, environmental or other obligations to the Company can lead to a protracted and expensive process for retaking control of the Company's properties. The Company anticipates that, in connection with Marketing's claim regarding rent offset rights or other claims or allegations that have been or may in the future be made, the Company may continue to experience disruption in rent receipts due from Marketing under the Master Lease. In addition to the risk of disruption in rent receipts, the Company is subject to the risk of incurring real estate taxes, maintenance, environmental and other expenses at properties subject of the Master Lease. If

## **Table of Contents**

Marketing does not perform its rental, environmental or other obligations under the Master Lease; if the Master Lease is modified significantly or terminated; if the Company determines that it is probable that Marketing will not meet its rental, environmental or other obligations and the Company accrues for certain of such liabilities; if the Company is unable to promptly re-let or sell the properties upon recapture from the Master Lease; or, if the Company changes its assumptions that affect the accounting for rental revenue or the Marketing Environmental Liabilities related to the Master Lease and various other agreements; the Company's business, financial condition, revenues, operating expenses, results of operations, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price may be materially adversely affected.

### *ASSET IMPAIRMENT*

The Company has performed an impairment analysis of the carrying amount of its properties (including the properties subject of the Master Lease) from time to time in accordance with GAAP when indicators of impairment exist. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company reduced the carrying amount to fair value, and recorded in continuing operations and in discontinued operations non-cash impairment charges aggregating \$3,094,000 (of which \$1,544,000 was attributable to certain properties leased to Marketing and \$1,550,000 was attributable to certain properties leased to other tenants) where the carrying amount of the property exceeded the estimated undiscounted cash flows expected to be received during the assumed holding period and the estimated net sales value expected to be received at disposition. The non-cash impairment charges related to the properties leased to Marketing were attributable to reductions in real estate valuations primarily due to the removal or scheduled removal of underground storage tanks by Marketing and the reductions in the assumed holding period used to test for impairment as a result of the Company's assessment of the best way to position the Marketing portfolio to maximize its long-term value to the Company. The non-cash impairment charges related to properties leased to other tenants resulted from reductions in real estate valuations and the reductions in the assumed holding period used to test for impairment. The fair value of real estate is estimated based on the price that would be received to sell the property in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date, net of disposal costs. The valuation techniques that the Company used included discounted cash flow analysis, an income capitalization approach on prevailing or earnings multiples applied to earnings from the property, analysis of recent comparable sales transactions, actual sale negotiations and bona fide purchase offers received from third parties and/or consideration of the amount that currently would be required to replace the asset, as adjusted for obsolescence. In general, the Company considers multiple valuation techniques when measuring the fair value of a property, all of which are based on assumptions that are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

### *LEGAL PROCEEDINGS*

The Company is subject to various legal proceedings and claims which arise in the ordinary course of its business. In addition, the Company has retained responsibility for certain legal proceedings and claims relating to the petroleum marketing business that were identified at the time the Company's petroleum marketing business was spun-off to the Company's shareholders in March 1997. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had accrued \$3,693,000 and \$3,273,000, respectively, for certain of these matters which it believes were appropriate based on information then currently available. It is possible that the Company's assumptions regarding, among other items, the ultimate resolution of and/or the Company's ultimate share of responsibility for these matters may change, which may result in the Company providing or adjusting its accruals for these matters.

---

**Table of Contents**

In September 2003, the Company received a directive (the Directive) from the State of New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (the NJDEP) notifying the Company that it is one of approximately 66 potentially responsible parties for natural resource damages resulting from discharges of hazardous substances into the Lower Passaic River. The Directive calls for an assessment of the natural resources that have been injured by the discharges into the Lower Passaic River and interim compensatory restoration for the injured natural resources. There has been no material activity with respect to the NJDEP Directive since early after its issuance. The responsibility for the alleged damages, the aggregate cost to remediate the Lower Passaic River, the amount of natural resource damages and the method of allocating such amounts among the potentially responsible parties have not been determined. Effective May 2007, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) entered into an Administrative Settlement Agreement and Order on Consent (AOC) with over 70 parties comprising a Cooperating Parties Group (CPG) (many of whom are also named in the Directive) who have collectively agreed to perform a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study (RI/FS) for the Lower Passaic River. The Company is a party to the AOC and is a member of the CPG. The RI/FS is intended to address the investigation and evaluation of alternative remedial actions with respect to alleged damages to the Lower Passaic River, and is scheduled to be completed in or about 2014. The RI/FS does not resolve liability issues for remedial work or restoration of, or compensation for, natural resource damages to the Lower Passaic River, which are not known at this time.

In a related action, in December 2005, the State of New Jersey through various state agencies brought suit against certain companies which the State alleges are responsible for various categories of past and future damages resulting from discharges of hazardous substances to the Passaic River. In February 2009, certain of these defendants filed third party complaints against approximately 300 additional parties, including the Company, seeking contribution for such parties' proportionate share of response costs, cleanup and other damages, based on their relative contribution to pollution of the Passaic River and adjacent bodies of water. The Company believes that ChevronTexaco is contractually obligated to indemnify the Company, pursuant to an indemnification agreement, for most if not all of the conditions at the property identified by the NJDEP and the EPA. Accordingly, the ultimate legal and financial liability of the Company, if any, cannot be estimated with any certainty at this time.

During 2010, the Company was defending against 53 lawsuits brought by or on behalf of private and public water providers and governmental agencies. These cases alleged (and, as described below with respect to one remaining case, continue to allege) various theories of liability due to contamination of groundwater with methyl tertiary butyl ether (a fuel derived from methanol, commonly referred to as MTBE) as the basis for claims seeking compensatory and punitive damages, and name as defendant approximately 50 petroleum refiners, manufacturers, distributors and retailers of MTBE, or gasoline containing MTBE. During the quarter ended March 31, 2010, the Company agreed to, and subsequently paid, \$1,725,000 to settle two plaintiff classes covering 52 of the 53 pending cases. Presently, the Company remains a defendant in one MTBE case involving multiple locations throughout the State of New Jersey brought by various governmental agencies of the State of New Jersey, including the NJDEP.

As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company maintained a litigation reserve relating to the remaining MTBE case in an amount which it believes was appropriate based on information then currently available. However, the Company is unable to estimate with certainty its liability for the case involving the State of New Jersey as there remains uncertainty as to the accuracy of the allegations in this case as they relate to it, the Company's defenses to the claims, its rights to indemnification or contribution from Marketing, and the aggregate possible amount of damages for which the Company may be held liable.

## **Table of Contents**

The ultimate resolution of the matters related to the Lower Passaic River for which no reserve has been provided for and the MTBE litigation discussed above for an amount above that which has been provided for could cause a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price.

### **4. CREDIT AGREEMENT AND TERM LOAN AGREEMENT**

The Company is a party to a \$175,000,000 amended and restated senior unsecured revolving credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with a group of domestic commercial banks led by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (the "Bank Syndicate") which was scheduled to expire in March 2011. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company exercised its option to extend the maturity date by an additional year to March 2012. As of September 30, 2011, borrowings under the Credit Agreement were \$147,700,000, bearing interest at a rate of 1.25% per annum. The Company had \$27,300,000 undrawn under the terms of the Credit Agreement as of September 30, 2011; however, the recent deterioration of the Company's relationship with Marketing, Marketing's recent actions and the risk of additional developments with Marketing may restrict the Company's ability to borrow funds under the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement does not provide for scheduled reductions in the principal balance prior to its maturity. The Credit Agreement permits borrowings at an interest rate equal to the sum of a base rate plus a margin of 0.00% or 0.25% or a LIBOR rate plus a margin of 1.00%, 1.25% or 1.50%. The applicable margin is based on the Company's leverage ratio at the end of the prior calendar quarter, as defined in the Credit Agreement, and is adjusted effective mid-quarter when the Company's quarterly financial results are reported to the Bank Syndicate. Based on the Company's leverage ratio as of September 30, 2011, the applicable margin will remain at 0.00% for base rate borrowings and 1.00% for LIBOR rate borrowings.

The annual commitment fee on the unused Credit Agreement ranges from 0.10% to 0.20% based on the amount of borrowings. The Credit Agreement contains customary terms and conditions, including financial covenants such as those requiring the Company to maintain minimum tangible net worth, leverage ratios and coverage ratios and other covenants which may limit the Company's ability to incur debt or pay dividends. The Credit Agreement contains customary events of default, including change of control, failure to maintain REIT status or a material adverse effect on the Company's business, assets, prospects or condition. Any event of default, if not cured or waived, would increase by 200 basis points (2.00%) the interest rate the Company pays under the Credit Agreement and prohibit the Company from drawing funds against the Credit Agreement and could result in the acceleration of the Company's indebtedness under the Credit Agreement and could also give rise to an event of default and consequent acceleration of the Company's indebtedness under its Term Loan Agreement described below. The Company may be required to enter into alternative loan agreements, sell assets, reduce or eliminate its dividend or issue additional equity at unfavorable terms if it does not have access to funds under its Credit Agreement or as a result of acceleration of its indebtedness under the Credit Agreement and Term Loan Agreement.

The Company is a party to a \$25,000,000 three-year Term Loan Agreement with TD Bank (the "Term Loan Agreement" or "Term Loan") which expires in September 2012. As of September 30, 2011,

---

**Table of Contents**

borrowings under the Term Loan Agreement were \$23,005,000 bearing interest at a rate of 3.50% per annum. The Term Loan Agreement provides for annual reductions of \$780,000 in the principal balance with a \$22,160,000 balloon payment due at maturity. The Term Loan Agreement bears interest at a rate equal to a 30 day LIBOR rate (subject to a floor of 0.40%) plus a margin of 3.10%. The Term Loan Agreement contains customary terms and conditions, including financial covenants such as those requiring the Company to maintain minimum tangible net worth, leverage ratios and coverage ratios and other covenants which may limit the Company's ability to incur debt or pay dividends. The Term Loan Agreement contains customary events of default, including change of control, failure to maintain REIT status or a material adverse effect on the Company's business, assets, prospects or condition. Any event of default, if not cured or waived, would increase by 300 basis points (3.00%) the interest rate the Company pays under the Term Loan Agreement and could result in the acceleration of the Company's indebtedness under the Term Loan Agreement and could also give rise to an event of default and would prohibit the Company from drawing funds against the Credit Agreement and could result in the acceleration of the Company's indebtedness under its Credit Agreement. The Company may be required to enter into alternative loan agreements, sell assets, reduce or eliminate its dividend or issue additional equity at unfavorable terms if it does not have access to funds under its Credit Agreement or as a result of acceleration of its indebtedness under the Credit Agreement and Term Loan Agreement.

The fair value of the borrowings outstanding under the Credit Agreement was \$145,900,000 as of September 30, 2011. The fair value of the borrowings outstanding under the Term Loan Agreement was \$22,933,000 as of September 30, 2011. The fair value of the projected average borrowings outstanding under the Credit Agreement and the borrowings outstanding under the Term Loan Agreement were determined using a discounted cash flow technique that incorporates a market interest yield curve based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company that are observable at commonly quoted intervals and are defined by GAAP as Level 2 inputs in the Fair Value Hierarchy with adjustments for duration, optionality, risk profile and projected average borrowings outstanding or borrowings outstanding, which are based on unobservable Level 3 inputs.

The Company cannot predict how the recent deterioration of the Company's relationship with Marketing, Marketing's recent actions and the risk of additional developments with Marketing may restrict the Company's ability to borrow funds under the Credit Agreement or impact the Company's access to capital and liquidity. It is possible that the Company's business operations or liquidity may be adversely affected by Marketing's actions, including its failure to pay rent to the Company when due, which non-payment or delay in payment could give rise to an event of default under the Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement. Any such event of default, if not waived, would prohibit the Company from drawing funds against the Credit Agreement, could result in an increase in the cost of the Company's borrowings or the acceleration of the Company's indebtedness under the Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement. In order to continue to meet liquidity needs (including the repayment of the balance outstanding under the Credit Agreement when due in March 2012), the Company must extend the maturity of or refinance its existing debt or obtain additional sources of financing. Additional sources of financing may be more expensive or contain more onerous terms than exist under the Company's current Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement, or simply may not be available. The Company may be required to enter into alternative loan agreements, sell assets, reduce or eliminate its dividend or issue additional equity at unfavorable terms if it does not extend the term of the Credit Agreement beyond March 2012. The Company is engaged in discussions with the Bank Syndicate and expects to refinance or amend the Credit Agreement at or prior to maturity. There can be no

## **Table of Contents**

assurance that at or prior to expiration of the Credit Agreement the Company will be able to amend the Credit Agreement or enter into a new revolving credit agreement on favorable terms, if at all. Management believes that subject to the Company obtaining favorable resolution related to the Credit Agreement, its operating cash needs for the next twelve months can be met by cash flows from operations and available cash and cash equivalents. If the Company fails to obtain additional sources of financing or refinance its existing debt, this could have a material adverse affect on the Company's business, financial condition, results of operation, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price.

Under the Master Lease, Marketing is directly responsible to pay for (i) remediation of environmental contamination it causes and compliance with various environmental laws and regulations as the operator of the Company's properties, and (ii) known and unknown environmental liabilities allocated to Marketing under the terms of the Master Lease and various other agreements with the Company relating to Marketing's business and the properties it leases from the Company (collectively the Marketing Environmental Liabilities). If Marketing fails to pay the Marketing Environmental Liabilities, the Company may ultimately be responsible to pay for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities as the property owner.

The Company does not maintain pollution legal liability insurance to protect the Company from potential future claims for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities. The Company will be required to accrue for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities if the Company determines that it is probable that Marketing will not meet its environmental obligations and the Company can reasonably estimate the amount of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities for which the Company will be responsible to pay, or if the Company's assumptions regarding the ultimate allocation methods or share of responsibility that the Company used to allocate environmental liabilities changes. However, as of September 30, 2011, the Company continued to believe that it was not probable that Marketing would not pay for substantially all of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities. Accordingly, the Company did not accrue for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities as of September 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010. Nonetheless, the Company has determined that the aggregate amount of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities (as estimated by the Company) would be material to the Company if it were required to accrue for all of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities since as a result of such accrual, the Company would not be in compliance with the existing financial covenants in the Company's Credit Agreement and the Company's Term Loan Agreement. Such non-compliance would result in an event of default under the Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement which, if not waived, would prohibit the Company from drawing funds against the Credit Agreement and could result in the acceleration of the Company's indebtedness under the Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement. The Company's estimates, judgments, assumptions and beliefs regarding Marketing and the Master Lease made effective September 30, 2011 that affect the amounts reported in the Company's financial statements are reviewed on an ongoing basis and are subject to possible change. It is possible that the Company may change its estimates, judgments, assumptions and beliefs regarding Marketing and the Master Lease, and accordingly, during the fourth quarter of 2011 or thereafter, the Company may be required to accrue for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities.

## **5. INTEREST RATE SWAP AGREEMENT**

The Company was a party to a \$45,000,000 LIBOR based interest rate swap which expired on June 30, 2011 (the Swap Agreement). The Swap Agreement was intended to effectively fix, at 5.44%, a portion of the LIBOR component of the interest rate determined under the Company's LIBOR based loan.

## **Table of Contents**

agreements. The Company entered into the Swap Agreement with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., designated and qualifying as a cash flow hedge, to reduce its exposure to the variability in cash flows attributable to changes in the LIBOR rate. The Company's primary objective when undertaking the hedging transaction and derivative position was to reduce its variable interest rate risk by effectively fixing a portion of the interest rate for existing debt and anticipated refinancing transactions. The Company determined, as of the Swap Agreement's inception and throughout its term, that the derivative used in the hedging transaction was highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows associated with the hedged item and that no gain or loss was required to be recognized in earnings representing the hedge's ineffectiveness. At December 31, 2010, the Company's consolidated balance sheet included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities \$1,153,000 for the fair value of the Swap Agreement which expired on June 30, 2011. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company has recorded, in accumulated other comprehensive loss in the Company's consolidated balance sheets, a gain of \$1,153,000, and \$1,262,000, respectively, from the changes in the fair value of the Swap Agreement obligation related to the effective portion of the interest rate contract.

The fair values of the Swap Agreement obligation were determined using (i) discounted cash flow analyses on the expected cash flows of the Swap Agreement, which were based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company consisting of interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals and are defined by GAAP as Level 2 inputs in the Fair Value Hierarchy, and (ii) credit valuation adjustments, which were based on unobservable Level 3 inputs. The Company classified its valuations of the Swap Agreement entirely within Level 2 of the Fair Value Hierarchy since the credit valuation adjustments were not significant to the overall valuations of the Swap Agreement.

## **6. ENVIRONMENTAL EXPENSES**

The Company is subject to numerous existing federal, state and local laws and regulations, including matters relating to the protection of the environment such as the remediation of known contamination and the retirement and decommissioning or removal of long-lived assets including buildings containing hazardous materials, USTs and other equipment. Environmental expenses are principally attributable to remediation costs which include installing, operating, maintaining and decommissioning remediation systems, monitoring contamination and governmental agency reporting incurred in connection with contaminated properties. The Company seeks reimbursement from state UST remediation funds related to these environmental expenses where available.

The Company enters into leases and various other agreements which allocate responsibility for known and unknown environmental liabilities by establishing the percentage and method of allocating responsibility between the parties. In accordance with the leases with certain tenants, the Company has agreed to bring the leased properties with known environmental contamination to within applicable standards, and to either regulatory or contractual closure (Closure). Generally, upon achieving Closure at each individual property, the Company's environmental liability under the lease for that property will be satisfied and future remediation obligations will be the responsibility of the Company's tenant. Generally the liability for the retirement and decommissioning or removal of USTs and other equipment is the responsibility of the Company's tenants. The Company is contingently liable for these obligations in the event that the tenants do not satisfy their responsibilities. A liability has not been accrued for obligations that are the responsibility of the Company's tenants based on the tenants' history of paying



## **Table of Contents**

such obligations and/or the Company's assessment of their financial ability and intent to pay their share of such costs. However, there can be no assurance that the Company's assessments are correct or that the Company's tenants who have paid their obligations in the past will continue to do so.

Of the 800 properties leased to Marketing as of September 30, 2011, the Company has agreed to pay all costs relating to, and to indemnify Marketing for, certain environmental liabilities and obligations at 166 retail properties that have not achieved Closure and are scheduled in the Master Lease, and provide limited indemnification to Marketing for certain pre-existing conditions at six of the terminals the Company owns and leases to Marketing. The Company will continue to seek reimbursement from state UST remediation funds related to these environmental expenditures where available.

It is possible that the Company's assumptions regarding the ultimate allocation method and share of responsibility that it used to allocate environmental liabilities may change, which may result in material adjustments to the amounts recorded for environmental litigation accruals, environmental remediation liabilities and related assets. The Company is required to accrue for environmental liabilities that the Company believes are allocable to others under various other agreements if the Company determines that it is probable that the counter-party will not meet its environmental obligations. The ultimate resolution of these matters could cause a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price. (See notes 3 and 4 for contingencies related to Marketing and the Master Lease for additional information.)

The estimated future costs for known environmental remediation requirements are accrued when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The environmental remediation liability is estimated based on the level and impact of contamination at each property. The accrued liability is the aggregate of the best estimate of the fair value of cost for each component of the liability. Recoveries of environmental costs from state UST remediation funds, with respect to both past and future environmental spending, are accrued at fair value as an offset to environmental expense, net of allowance for collection risk, based on estimated recovery rates developed from prior experience with the funds when such recoveries are considered probable.

Environmental exposures are difficult to assess and estimate for numerous reasons, including the extent of contamination, alternative treatment methods that may be applied, location of the property which subjects it to differing local laws and regulations and their interpretations, as well as the time it takes to remediate contamination. In developing the Company's liability for probable and reasonably estimable environmental remediation costs on a property by property basis, the Company considers among other things, enacted laws and regulations, assessments of contamination and surrounding geology, quality of information available, currently available technologies for treatment, alternative methods of remediation and prior experience. Environmental accruals are based on estimates which are subject to significant change, and are adjusted as the remediation treatment progresses, as circumstances change and as environmental contingencies become more clearly defined and reasonably estimable. As of September 30, 2011, the Company had regulatory approval for remediation action plans in place for 203 of the 225 properties for which it continues to retain environmental responsibility and the remaining 22 properties remain in the assessment phase. In addition, the Company has nominal post-closure compliance obligations at 33 properties where it has received no further action letters.

---

**Table of Contents**

Environmental remediation liabilities and related assets are measured at fair value based on their expected future cash flows which have been adjusted for inflation and discounted to present value. The estimated environmental remediation cost and accretion expense included in environmental expenses included in continuing operations in the Company's consolidated statements of operations aggregated \$1,957,000 and \$2,442,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, which amounts were net of changes in estimated recoveries from state UST remediation funds. In addition to estimated environmental remediation costs, environmental expenses also include project management fees, legal fees and provisions for environmental litigation loss reserves.

As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company had accrued \$14,788,000, \$14,874,000 and \$16,527,000, respectively, as management's best estimate of the fair value of reasonably estimable environmental remediation costs. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company had also recorded \$4,031,000, \$3,966,000 and \$3,882,000, respectively, as management's best estimate for recoveries from state UST remediation funds, net of allowance, related to environmental obligations and liabilities. The net environmental liabilities of \$10,908,000 and \$12,645,000 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were subsequently accreted for the change in present value due to the passage of time and, accordingly, \$469,000 and \$559,000 of net accretion expense was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, substantially all of which is included in environmental expenses.

The Company cannot predict what environmental legislation or regulations may be enacted in the future or how existing laws or regulations will be administered or interpreted with respect to products or activities to which they have not previously been applied. The Company cannot predict if state UST fund programs will be administered and funded in the future in a manner that is consistent with past practices and if future environmental spending will continue to be eligible for reimbursement at historical recovery rates under these programs. Compliance with more stringent laws or regulations, as well as more vigorous enforcement policies of the regulatory agencies or stricter interpretation of existing laws, which may develop in the future, could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial position, or that of its tenants, and could require substantial additional expenditures for future remediation.

In view of the uncertainties associated with environmental expenditures, contingencies related to Marketing and the Master Lease and contingencies related to other parties, however, the Company believes it is possible that the fair value of future actual net expenditures could be substantially higher than amounts currently recorded by the Company. (See notes 3 and 4 for contingencies related to Marketing and the Master Lease for additional information.) Adjustments to accrued liabilities for environmental remediation costs will be reflected in the Company's financial statements as they become probable and a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. Future environmental expenses could cause a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price.

**7. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY**

A summary of the changes in shareholders' equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 is as follows (in thousands, except share amounts):

**Table of Contents**

|   | COMMON STOCK |        |                    | DIVIDENDS                              | ACCUMULATED<br>OTHER<br>COMPREHENSIVE<br>LOSS | TOTAL      |
|---|--------------|--------|--------------------|--|---|------------|
|   | SHARES       | AMOUNT | PAID-IN<br>CAPITAL | PAID<br>IN<br>EXCESS<br>OF<br>EARNINGS |   |            |
| Balance, December 31, 2010                | 29,944,155   | \$ 299 | \$ 368,093         | \$ (52,304)                            | \$ (1,153)                                    | \$ 314,935 |
| Net earnings                              |              |        |                    | 31,938                                 |   | 31,938     |
| Dividends                                 |              |        |                    | (40,613)                               |   | (40,613)   |
| Stock-based employee compensation expense | 20           |        | 480                |  |   | 480        |
| Issuance of common stock                  | 3,450,000    | 35     | 91,951             |  |   | 91,986     |
| Net unrealized gain on interest rate swap |              |        |                    |  | 1,153   | 1,153      |
| Balance, September 30, 2011               | 33,394,175   | \$ 334 | \$ 460,524         | \$ (60,979)                            | \$  | \$ 399,879 |

The Company is authorized to issue 20,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share, of which none were issued as of September 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010.

In the first quarter of 2011, the Company completed a public stock offering of 3,450,000 shares of the Company's common stock, of which 3,000,000 shares were issued in January 2011 and 450,000 shares, representing the underwriter's over-allotment, were issued in February 2011. Substantially all of the aggregate \$91,986,000 net proceeds from the issuance of common stock (after related transaction costs of \$267,000) was used to repay a portion of the outstanding balance under the Company's Credit Agreement and the remainder was used for general corporate purposes.

**8. PROPERTY ACQUISITIONS***CPD NY SALE/LEASEBACK*

On January 13, 2011, the Company acquired fee or leasehold title to 59 Mobil-branded gasoline station and convenience store properties and also took a security interest in six other Mobil-branded gasoline stations and convenience store properties in a sale/leaseback and loan transaction with CPD NY Energy Corp. (CPD NY), a subsidiary of Chestnut Petroleum Dist. Inc. The Company's total investment in the transaction was \$111,621,000 including acquisition costs, which was financed entirely with borrowings under the Company's Credit Agreement.

The properties were acquired or financed in a simultaneous transaction among ExxonMobil, CPD NY and the Company whereby CPD NY acquired a portfolio of 65 gasoline station and convenience stores from ExxonMobil and simultaneously completed a sale/leaseback of 59 of the acquired properties and leasehold interests with the Company. The lease between the Company, as lessor, and CPD NY, as lessee, governing the properties is a unitary triple-net lease agreement (the CPD Lease), with an initial term of 15 years, and options for up to three successive renewal terms of ten years each. The CPD Lease requires CPD NY to pay a fixed annual rent for the properties (the Rent), plus an amount equal to all rent due to third party landlords pursuant to the terms of third party leases. The Rent is scheduled to increase on the third anniversary of the date of the CPD Lease and on every third anniversary thereafter. As a triple-net lessee, CPD NY is required to pay all amounts pertaining to the properties subject to the CPD Lease, including taxes, assessments, licenses and permit fees, charges for public utilities and all governmental charges. Partial funding to CPD NY for the transaction was also provided by the Company under a secured, self-amortizing loan having a 10-year term (the CPD Loan).

**Table of Contents**

The Company accounted for this transaction as a business combination. The Company adjusted its original purchase price allocation among the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed in this transaction. The resulting adjustments to the assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses were not material to the consolidated balance sheet and consolidated statements of operations. The Company estimated the fair value of acquired tangible assets (consisting of land, buildings and equipment) as if vacant and intangible assets consisting of above-market and below-market leases. Based on these estimates, the Company allocated \$60,610,000 of the purchase price to land, net above-market and below-market leases related to leasehold interests as lessee of \$953,000 which is accounted for as a deferred asset, net above-market and below-market leases related to leasehold interests as lessor of \$2,516,000 which is accounted for as a deferred liability, \$38,752,000 allocated to direct financing lease and capital lease assets, and \$18,400,000 which is accounted for in notes, mortgages and accounts receivable, net. In connection with the acquisition of certain leasehold interests, the Company also recorded capital lease obligations aggregating \$5,768,000. The Company also incurred transaction costs of \$1,190,000 directly related to the acquisition which is included in general and administrative expenses on the consolidated statement of operations. The future contractual minimum annual rent receivable from CPD NY on a calendar year basis is as follows: 2011 \$8,090,000, 2012 \$8,826,000 2013 \$8,826,000, 2014 \$9,090,000, 2015 \$9,090,000, 2016 \$9,090,000 and \$86,820,000 thereafter.

The selected unaudited financial data of CPD NY as of September 30, 2011 and for the quarter and nine months then ended, which has been prepared by CPD NY's management, is provided below:

(in thousands)

**Operating Data (for the quarter ended September 30, 2011):**

|               |            |
|---------------|------------|
| Total revenue | \$ 124,480 |
| Gross profit  | 9,714      |
| Net income    | 3,698      |

**Operating Data (for the nine months ended September 30, 2011):**

|               |            |
|---------------|------------|
| Total revenue | \$ 347,529 |
| Gross profit  | 27,344     |
| Net income    | 7,137      |

**Balance Sheet Data (at September 30, 2011):**

|                        |           |
|------------------------|-----------|
| Current assets         | \$ 11,712 |
| Noncurrent assets      | 19,785    |
| Current liabilities    | 4,533     |
| Noncurrent liabilities | 19,827    |

**NOURIA SALE/LEASEBACK**

On March 31, 2011, the Company acquired fee or leasehold title to 66 Shell-branded gasoline station and convenience store properties in a sale/leaseback transaction with Nouria Energy Ventures I, LLC ( Nouria ), a subsidiary of Nouria Energy Group. The Company's total investment in the transaction was \$87,047,000 including acquisition costs, which was financed entirely with borrowings under the Company's Credit Agreement.

The properties were acquired in a simultaneous transaction among Motiva Enterprises LLC ( Shell ), Nouria and the Company whereby Nouria acquired a portfolio of 66 gasoline station and convenience stores from Shell and simultaneously completed a sale/leaseback of the 66 acquired properties and leasehold interests with the Company. The lease between the Company, as lessor, and Nouria, as lessee, governing the properties is a unitary triple-net lease agreement (the Nouria Lease ), with an initial term of 20 years, and options for up to two successive renewal terms of ten years each followed by one final renewal term of five years. The Nouria Lease requires Nouria to pay a fixed annual rent for the properties (the Rent ), plus an amount equal to all rent due to third party landlords pursuant to the terms of third party leases. The Rent is scheduled to increase on every annual anniversary of the date of the Nouria Lease. As a triple-net lessee, Nouria is required to pay all amounts pertaining to the properties subject to the Nouria Lease, including taxes, assessments, licenses and permit fees, charges for public utilities and all governmental charges.

The Company accounted for this transaction as a business combination. The Company adjusted its original purchase price allocation among the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed in this transaction. The resulting adjustments to the assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses were not material to the consolidated balance sheet and consolidated statements of operations. The Company



**Table of Contents**

estimated the fair value of acquired tangible assets (consisting of land, buildings and equipment) as if vacant and intangible assets consisting of above-market and below-market leases. Based on these estimates, the Company allocated \$39,700,000 of the purchase price to land, net above-market and below-market leases relating to leasehold interests as lessee of \$3,895,000, which is accounted for as a deferred asset, net above-market and below-market leases related to leasehold interests as lessor of \$3,768,000, which is accounted for as a deferred liability, \$35,490,000 allocated to direct financing lease and capital lease assets and \$12,000,000 which is accounted for in notes, mortgages and accounts receivable, net. In connection with the acquisition of certain leasehold interests, the Company also recorded capital lease obligations aggregating \$1,114,000. The Company also incurred transaction costs of \$844,000 directly related to the acquisition which is included in general and administrative expenses on the consolidated statement of operations. The future contractual minimum annual rent receivable from Nouria on a calendar year basis is as follows: 2011 \$6,431,000, 2012 \$8,675,000 2013 \$8,812,000, 2014 \$8,952,000, 2015 \$9,095,000, 2016 \$9,240,000 and \$131,043,000 thereafter.

**UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

The following unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial information has been prepared utilizing the historical financial statements of the Company and the combined effect of additional revenue and expenses from the properties acquired from both CPD NY and Nouria assuming that the acquisitions had occurred as of the beginning of the earliest period presented, after giving effect to certain adjustments including: (a) rental income adjustments resulting from the straight-lining of scheduled rent increases; (b) rental income adjustments resulting from the recognition of revenue under direct financing leases over the lease term using the effective interest rate method which produces a constant periodic rate of return on the net investment in the leased property; (c) rental income adjustments resulting from the amortization of above-market leases with tenants; and (d) rent expense adjustments resulting from the amortization of below-market leases with landlords. The following information also gives effect to the additional interest expense resulting from the assumed increase in borrowings outstanding under the Credit Agreement to fund the acquisitions and the elimination of acquisition costs. The unaudited pro forma condensed financial information is not indicative of the results of operations that would have been achieved had the acquisition from CPD NY and Nouria reflected herein been consummated on the dates indicated or that will be achieved in the future.

| (in thousands, except per share amounts)        | Nine months ended September 30, |           |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------|
|   | 2011                            | 2010      |
| Revenues  | \$ 82,453                       | \$ 82,370 |
| Net earnings                                    | \$ 36,484                       | \$ 52,741 |
| Basic and diluted net earnings per common share | \$ 1.10                         | \$ 1.93   |

**Table of Contents**

**9. SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED COMBINING FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

Condensed combining financial information as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 has been derived from the Company's books and records and is provided below to illustrate, for informational purposes only, the net contribution to the Company's financial results that are realized from the leasing operations of properties leased to Marketing (which represents approximately 69% of the Company's properties as of September 30, 2011) and from properties leased to other tenants. The condensed combining financial information set forth below presents the results of operations, net assets and cash flows of the Company, related to Marketing, the Company's other tenants and the Company's corporate functions necessary to arrive at the information for the Company on a combined basis. The assets, liabilities, lease agreements and other leasing operations attributable to the Master Lease and other tenant leases are not segregated in legal entities. However, the Company generally maintains its books and records in site specific detail and has classified the operating results which are clearly applicable to each owned or leased property as attributable to Marketing or to the Company's other tenants or to non-operating corporate functions. The condensed combining financial information has been prepared by the Company using certain assumptions, judgments and allocations. Each of the Company's properties were classified as attributable to Marketing, other tenants or corporate for all periods presented based on the property's use as of September 30, 2011 or the property's use immediately prior to its disposition or third party lease expiration.

Environmental remediation expenses have been attributed to Marketing or other tenants on a site specific basis and environmental related litigation expenses and professional fees have been attributed to Marketing or other tenants based on the pro rata share of specifically identifiable environmental expenses for the period from January 1, 2007 through September 30, 2011. The Company enters into leases and various other agreements which allocate responsibility for known and unknown environmental liabilities by establishing the percentage and method of allocating responsibility between the parties. In accordance with the leases with certain tenants, the Company has agreed to bring the leased properties with known environmental contamination to within applicable standards, and to either regulatory or contractual closure (Closure). Generally, upon achieving Closure at each individual property, the Company's environmental liability under the lease for that property will be satisfied and future remediation obligations will be the responsibility of the Company's tenant. Of the 800 properties leased to Marketing as of September 30, 2011, the Company has agreed to pay all costs relating to, and to indemnify Marketing for, certain environmental liabilities and obligations at 166 retail properties that have not achieved Closure and are scheduled in the Master Lease, and provide limited indemnification to Marketing for certain pre-existing conditions at six of the terminals the Company owns and leases to Marketing. (See note 6 for additional information.)

The heading Corporate in the statements below includes assets, liabilities, income and expenses attributed to general and administrative functions, financing activities and parent or subsidiary level income taxes, capital taxes or franchise taxes which were not incurred on behalf of the Company's leasing operations and are not reasonably allocable to Marketing or other tenants. With respect to general and administrative expenses, the Company has attributed those expenses clearly applicable to Marketing and other tenants. The Company considered various methods of allocating to Marketing and other tenants amounts included under the heading Corporate and determined that none of the methods resulted in a reasonable allocation of such amounts or an allocation of such amounts that more clearly summarizes the net contribution to the Company's financial results realized from the leasing operations of properties

**Table of Contents**

leased to Marketing and of properties leased to other tenants. Moreover, the Company determined that each of the allocation methods it considered resulted in a presentation of these amounts that would make it more difficult to understand the clearly identifiable results from its leasing operations attributable to Marketing and other tenants. The Company believes that the segregated presentation of assets, liabilities, income and expenses attributed to general and administrative functions, financing activities and parent or subsidiary level income taxes, capital taxes or franchise taxes provides the most meaningful presentation of these amounts since changes in these amounts are not fully correlated to changes in the Company's leasing activities.

While the Company believes these assumptions, judgments and allocations are reasonable, the condensed combining financial information is not intended to reflect what the net results would have been had assets, liabilities, lease agreements and other operations attributable to Marketing or its other tenants had been conducted through stand-alone entities during any of the periods presented.

The condensed combining balance sheet of Getty Realty Corp. as of September 30, 2011 is as follows (in thousands):

|  | Getty<br>Petroleum<br>Marketing | Other<br>Tenants | Corporate    | Consolidated |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| <b>ASSETS:</b>                                 |                                 |                  |              |              |
| Real Estate:                                   |                                 |                  |              |              |
| Land   | \$ 136,135                      | \$ 216,457       | \$           | \$ 352,592   |
| Buildings and improvements                     | 149,916                         | 99,575           | 372          | 249,863      |
|  | 286,051                         | 316,032          | 372          | 602,455      |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | (120,374)                       | (28,367)         | (223)        | (148,964)    |
| Real estate, net                               | 165,677                         | 287,665          | 149          | 453,491      |
| Net investment in direct financing leases      |                                 | 90,965           |              | 90,965       |
| Deferred rent receivable, net                  | 8,788                           | 7,767            |              | 16,555       |
| Cash and cash equivalents                      |                                 |                  | 14,009       | 14,009       |
| Other receivables, net                         | 3,947                           | 175              | 147          | 4,269        |
| Notes, mortgages and accounts receivable, net  | 213                             | 30,987           | 1,978        | 33,178       |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets              |                                 | 7,904            | 3,061        | 10,965       |
| Total assets                                   | 178,625                         | 425,463          | 19,344       | 623,432      |
| <b>LIABILITIES:</b>                            |                                 |                  |              |              |
| Borrowings under credit line                   |                                 |                  | 147,700      | 147,700      |
| Term loan                                      |                                 |                  | 23,005       | 23,005       |
| Environmental remediation costs                | 13,795                          | 993              |              | 14,788       |
| Dividends payable                              |                                 |                  | 8,391        | 8,391        |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities       | 1,035                           | 19,705           | 8,929        | 29,669       |
| Total liabilities                              | 14,830                          | 20,698           | 188,025      | 223,553      |
| Net assets (liabilities)                       | \$ 163,795                      | \$ 404,765       | \$ (168,681) | \$ 399,879   |



**Table of Contents**

The condensed combining balance sheet of Getty Realty Corp. as of December 31, 2010 is as follows (in thousands):

|  | Getty<br>Petroleum<br>Marketing | Other<br>Tenants | Corporate   | Consolidated |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------|
| <b>ASSETS:</b>                                 |                                 |                  |             |              |
| Real Estate:                                   |                                 |                  |             |              |
| Land   | \$ 137,151                      | \$ 116,262       | \$          | \$ 253,413   |
| Buildings and improvements                     | 152,570                         | 98,233           | 371         | 251,174      |
|  | 289,721                         | 214,495          | 371         | 504,587      |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | (118,784)                       | (25,241)         | (192)       | (144,217)    |
| Real estate, net                               | 170,937                         | 189,254          | 179         | 360,370      |
| Net investment in direct financing lease       |                                 | 20,540           |             | 20,540       |
| Deferred rent receivable, net                  | 21,221                          | 6,164            |             | 27,385       |
| Cash and cash equivalents                      |                                 |                  | 6,122       | 6,122        |
| Other receivables, net                         | 3,874                           | 363              | 296         | 4,533        |
| Mortgages and accounts receivable, net         | 13                              | 238              | 1,274       | 1,525        |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets              |                                 | 3,444            | 3,225       | 6,669        |
| Total assets                                   | 196,045                         | 220,003          | 11,096      | 427,144      |
| <b>LIABILITIES:</b>                            |                                 |                  |             |              |
| Borrowings under credit line                   |                                 |                  | 41,300      | 41,300       |
| Term loan                                      |                                 |                  | 23,590      | 23,590       |
| Environmental remediation costs                | 13,841                          | 1,033            |             | 14,874       |
| Dividends payable                              |                                 |                  | 14,432      | 14,432       |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities       | 962                             | 6,953            | 10,098      | 18,013       |
| Total liabilities                              | 14,803                          | 7,986            | 89,420      | 112,209      |
| Net assets (liabilities)                       | \$ 181,242                      | \$ 212,017       | \$ (78,324) | \$ 314,935   |

**Table of Contents**

The condensed combining statement of operations of Getty Realty Corp. for the three months ended September 30, 2011 is as follows (in thousands):

|  | Getty<br>Petroleum<br>Marketing | Other<br>Tenants | Corporate         | Consolidated    |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| <b>Revenues:</b>                           |                                 |                  |                   |                 |
| Revenues from rental properties            | \$ 14,499                       | \$ 12,813        | \$                | \$ 27,312       |
| Interest on notes and mortgages receivable |                                 | 716              | 39                | 755             |
| <b>Total revenues</b>                      | <b>14,499</b>                   | <b>13,529</b>    | <b>39</b>         | <b>28,067</b>   |
| <b>Operating expenses:</b>                 |                                 |                  |                   |                 |
| Rental property expenses                   | 1,357                           | 1,484            | 86                | 2,927           |
| Impairment charges                         | 550                             | 37               |                   | 587             |
| Environmental expenses, net                | 1,692                           | 40               |                   | 1,732           |
| General and administrative expenses        | 12                              | 16               | 2,659             | 2,687           |
| Allowance for deferred rent receivable     | 11,043                          |                  |                   | 11,043          |
| Depreciation and amortization expense      | 1,281                           | 1,531            | 10                | 2,822           |
| <b>Total operating expenses</b>            | <b>15,935</b>                   | <b>3,108</b>     | <b>2,755</b>      | <b>21,798</b>   |
| Operating income (loss)                    | (1,436)                         | 10,421           | (2,716)           | 6,269           |
| Other income (expense), net                |                                 |                  | 122               | 122             |
| Interest expense                           |                                 |                  | (1,414)           | (1,414)         |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations | (1,436)                         | 10,421           | (4,008)           | 4,977           |
| <b>Discontinued operations:</b>            |                                 |                  |                   |                 |
| Earnings from operating activities         | 1                               | 62               |                   | 63              |
| Gains on dispositions of real estate       |                                 | 310              |                   | 310             |
| Earnings from discontinued operations      | 1                               | 372              |                   | 373             |
| <b>Net earnings (loss)</b>                 | <b>\$ (1,435)</b>               | <b>\$ 10,793</b> | <b>\$ (4,008)</b> | <b>\$ 5,350</b> |

The condensed combining statement of operations of Getty Realty Corp. for the three months ended September 30, 2010 is as follows (in thousands):

|  | Getty<br>Petroleum<br>Marketing | Other<br>Tenants | Corporate | Consolidated  |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------|---------------|
| <b>Revenues:</b>                           |                                 |                  |           |               |
| Revenues from rental properties            | \$ 14,535                       | \$ 7,415         | \$        | \$ 21,950     |
| Interest on notes and mortgages receivable |                                 |                  | 34        | 34            |
| <b>Total revenues</b>                      | <b>14,535</b>                   | <b>7,415</b>     | <b>34</b> | <b>21,984</b> |
| <b>Operating expenses:</b>                 |                                 |                  |           |               |
| Rental property expenses                   | 1,473                           | 820              | (142)     | 2,151         |
| Environmental expenses, net                | 968                             | 28               |           | 996           |
| General and administrative expenses        | 19                              | 45               | 1,742     | 1,806         |

Edgar Filing: GETTY REALTY CORP /MD/ - Form 10-Q

|  |           |          |            |           |
|--|-----------|----------|------------|-----------|
| Depreciation and amortization expense        | 1,047     | 1,335    | 9          | 2,391     |
| Total operating expenses                     | 3,507     | 2,228    | 1,609      | 7,344     |
| Operating income (loss)                      | 11,028    | 5,187    | (1,575)    | 14,640    |
| Other income, net                            |           |          | 1          | 1         |
| Interest expense                             |           |          | (1,116)    | (1,116)   |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations   | 11,028    | 5,187    | (2,690)    | 13,525    |
| Discontinued operations:                     |           |          |            |           |
| Earnings (loss) from operating activities    | (193)     | 4        |            | (189)     |
| Gains on dispositions of real estate         | 15        |          |            | 15        |
| Earnings (loss) from discontinued operations | (178)     | 4        |            | (174)     |
| Net earnings (loss)                          | \$ 10,850 | \$ 5,191 | \$ (2,690) | \$ 13,351 |

- 29 -

**Table of Contents**

The condensed combining statement of operations of Getty Realty Corp. for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 is as follows (in thousands):

|   | Getty<br>Petroleum<br>Marketing | Other<br>Tenants | Corporate          | Consolidated     |
|---|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| <b>Revenues:</b>                                  |                                 |                  |                    |                  |
| Revenues from rental properties                   | \$ 43,481                       | \$ 35,910        | \$                 | \$ 79,391        |
| Interest on notes and mortgages receivable        |                                 | 1,800            | 101                | 1,901            |
| <b>Total revenues</b>                             | <b>43,481</b>                   | <b>37,710</b>    | <b>101</b>         | <b>81,292</b>    |
| <b>Operating expenses:</b>                        |                                 |                  |                    |                  |
| Rental property expenses                          | 4,054                           | 5,684            | 377                | 10,115           |
| Impairment charges                                | 1,544                           | 1,300            |                    | 2,844            |
| Environmental expenses, net                       | 4,090                           | 96               |                    | 4,186            |
| General and administrative expenses               | 109                             | 2,240            | 7,959              | 10,308           |
| Allowance for deferred rent receivable            | 11,043                          |                  |                    | 11,043           |
| Depreciation and amortization expense             | 3,242                           | 4,049            | 31                 | 7,322            |
| <b>Total operating expenses</b>                   | <b>24,082</b>                   | <b>13,369</b>    | <b>8,367</b>       | <b>45,818</b>    |
| <b>Operating income (loss)</b>                    | <b>19,399</b>                   | <b>24,341</b>    | <b>(8,266)</b>     | <b>35,474</b>    |
| Other income, net                                 | 20                              |                  | 59                 | 79               |
| Interest expense                                  |                                 |                  | (4,079)            | (4,079)          |
| <b>Earnings (loss) from continuing operations</b> | <b>19,419</b>                   | <b>24,341</b>    | <b>(12,286)</b>    | <b>31,474</b>    |
| <b>Discontinued operations:</b>                   |                                 |                  |                    |                  |
| Earnings (loss) from operating activities         | 26                              | (171)            |                    | (145)            |
| Gains on dispositions of real estate              | 299                             | 310              |                    | 609              |
| <b>Earnings from discontinued operations</b>      | <b>325</b>                      | <b>139</b>       |                    | <b>464</b>       |
| <b>Net earnings (loss)</b>                        | <b>\$ 19,744</b>                | <b>\$ 24,480</b> | <b>\$ (12,286)</b> | <b>\$ 31,938</b> |

The condensed combining statement of operations of Getty Realty Corp. for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 is as follows (in thousands):

|  | Getty<br>Petroleum<br>Marketing | Other<br>Tenants | Corporate  | Consolidated  |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------|------------|---------------|
| <b>Revenues:</b>                           |                                 |                  |            |               |
| Revenues from rental properties            | \$ 44,166                       | \$ 21,833        | \$         | \$ 65,999     |
| Interest on notes and mortgages receivable |                                 |                  | 101        | 101           |
| <b>Total revenues</b>                      | <b>44,166</b>                   | <b>21,833</b>    | <b>101</b> | <b>66,100</b> |
| <b>Operating expenses:</b>                 |                                 |                  |            |               |
| Rental property expenses                   | 4,907                           | 2,500            | 266        | 7,673         |
| Environmental expenses, net                | 3,786                           | 94               |            | 3,880         |
| General and administrative expenses        | 111                             | 103              | 5,750      | 5,964         |

Edgar Filing: GETTY REALTY CORP /MD/ - Form 10-Q

|  |           |           |            |           |
|--|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Depreciation and amortization expense      | 3,149     | 3,982     | 26         | 7,157     |
| Total operating expenses                   | 11,953    | 6,679     | 6,042      | 24,674    |
| Operating income (loss)                    | 32,213    | 15,154    | (5,941)    | 41,426    |
| Other income, net                          |           |           | 108        | 108       |
| Interest expense                           |           |           | (3,932)    | (3,932)   |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations | 32,213    | 15,154    | (9,765)    | 37,602    |
| Discontinued operations:                   |           |           |            |           |
| Earnings (loss) from operating activities  | (160)     | 120       |            | (40)      |
| Gains on dispositions of real estate       | 1,624     | 29        |            | 1,653     |
| Earnings from discontinued operations      | 1,464     | 149       |            | 1,613     |
| Net earnings (loss)                        | \$ 33,677 | \$ 15,303 | \$ (9,765) | \$ 39,215 |

- 30 -

**Table of Contents**

The condensed combining statement of cash flows of Getty Realty Corp. for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 is as follows (in thousands):

|   | Getty<br>Petroleum<br>Marketing | Other<br>Tenants | Corporate   | Consolidated |
|---|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------|
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>  |                                 |                  |             |              |
| Net earnings (loss)   | \$ 19,744                       | \$ 24,480        | \$ (12,286) | \$ 31,938    |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings (loss) to net cash flow provided by (used in) operating activities: |                                 |                  |             |              |
| Depreciation and amortization expense   | 3,244                           | 4,079            | 31          | 7,354        |
| Impairment charges  | 1,544                           | 1,550            |             | 3,094        |
| Gain from dispositions of real estate   | (319)                           | (310)            |             | (629)        |
| Deferred rental revenue   | 1,390                           | (1,603)          |             | (213)        |
| Allowance for deferred rent receivable  | 11,043                          |                  |             | 11,043       |
| Amortization of above-market and below-market leases  |                                 | (533)            |             | (533)        |
| Accretion expense   | 459                             | 10               |             | 469          |
| Stock-based employee compensation expense   |                                 |                  | 480         | 480          |
| Changes in assets and liabilities:  |                                 |                  |             |              |
| Other receivables, net  | 168                             | 194              |             | 362          |
| Net investment in direct financing leases   |                                 | 334              |             | 334          |
| Accounts receivable, net  | (200)                           | (728)            |             | (928)        |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets   |                                 | (154)            | 339         | 185          |
| Environmental remediation costs   | (746)                           | (56)             |             | (802)        |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities  | 74                              | 440              | 26          | 540          |
| Net cash flow provided by (used in) operating activities  | 36,401                          | 27,703           | (11,410)    | 52,694       |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>  |                                 |                  |             |              |
| Property acquisitions and capital expenditures  |                                 | (167,474)        | (1)         | (167,475)    |
| Proceeds from dispositions of real estate   | 784                             | 1,488            |             | 2,272        |
| Decrease in cash held for property acquisitions   |                                 |                  | 149         | 149          |
| Issuance of notes and mortgages receivable  |                                 | (30,400)         | (808)       | (31,208)     |
| Collection of notes and mortgages receivable  |                                 | 379              | 104         | 483          |
| Net cash flow provided by (used in) investing activities  | 784                             | (196,007)        | (556)       | (195,779)    |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>  |                                 |                  |             |              |
| Borrowings under credit agreement   |                                 |                  | 247,253     | 247,253      |
| Repayments under credit agreement   |                                 |                  | (140,853)   | (140,853)    |
| Repayments under term loan agreement  |                                 |                  | (585)       | (585)        |
| Cash dividends paid   |                                 |                  | (46,654)    | (46,654)     |
| Credit agreement origination costs  |                                 |                  | (175)       | (175)        |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock  |                                 |                  | 91,986      | 91,986       |
| Cash consolidation Corporate  | (37,185)                        | 168,304          | (131,119)   |              |
| Net cash flow (used in) provided by financing activities  | (37,185)                        | 168,304          | 19,853      | 150,972      |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents   |                                 |                  | 7,887       | 7,887        |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period  |                                 |                  | 6,122       | 6,122        |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year  | \$                              | \$               | \$ 14,009   | \$ 14,009    |



**Table of Contents**

The condensed combining statement of cash flows of Getty Realty Corp. for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 is as follows (in thousands):

|   | Getty<br>Petroleum<br>Marketing | Other<br>Tenants | Corporate  | Consolidated |
|---|---------------------------------|------------------|------------|--------------|
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>  |                                 |                  |            |              |
| Net earnings (loss)   | \$ 33,677                       | \$ 15,303        | \$ (9,765) | \$ 39,215    |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings (loss) to net cash flow provided by (used in) operating activities: |                                 |                  |            |              |
| Depreciation and amortization expense   | 3,160                           | 4,024            | 26         | 7,210        |
| Gain from dispositions of real estate   | (1,624)                         | (29)             |            | (1,653)      |
| Deferred rental revenue   | 1,081                           | (1,279)          |            | (198)        |
| Amortization of above-market and below-market leases  |                                 | (506)            |            | (506)        |
| Accretion expense   | 546                             | 13               |            | 559          |
| Stock-based employee compensation expense   |                                 |                  | 352        | 352          |
| Changes in assets and liabilities:  |                                 |                  |            |              |
| Other receivables, net  | (11)                            | 17               |            | 6            |
| Net investment in direct financing leases   |                                 | (248)            |            | (248)        |
| Accounts receivable, net  | (25)                            | 204              |            | 179          |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets   |                                 | (111)            | (156)      | (267)        |
| Environmental remediation costs   | (324)                           | (69)             |            | (393)        |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities  | 124                             | (348)            | (1,127)    | (1,351)      |
| Net cash flow provided by (used in) operating activities  | 36,604                          | 16,971           | (10,670)   | 42,905       |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>  |                                 |                  |            |              |
| Property acquisitions and capital expenditures  |                                 | (4,627)          | (42)       | (4,669)      |
| Proceeds from dispositions of real estate   | 2,564                           | 225              |            | 2,789        |
| Increase in cash held for property acquisitions   |                                 |                  | 2,183      | 2,183        |
| Collection of mortgages receivable, net   |                                 |                  | 112        | 112          |
| Net cash flow provided by (used in) investing activities  | 2,564                           | (4,402)          | 2,253      | 415          |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>  |                                 |                  |            |              |
| Borrowings under credit agreement   |                                 |                  | 33,000     | 33,000       |
| Repayments under credit agreement   |                                 |                  | (145,500)  | (145,500)    |
| Repayments under term loan agreement  |                                 |                  | (585)      | (585)        |
| Cash dividends paid   |                                 |                  | (37,903)   | (37,903)     |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock  |                                 |                  | 108,205    | 108,205      |
| Security deposits received  |                                 |                  |            |              |
| Cash consolidation Corporate  | (39,168)                        | (12,569)         | 51,737     |              |
| Net cash flow (used in) provided by financing activities  | (39,168)                        | (12,569)         | 8,954      | (42,783)     |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents   |                                 |                  | 537        | 537          |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period  |                                 |                  | 3,050      | 3,050        |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year  | \$                              | \$               | \$ 3,587   | \$ 3,587     |



---

**Table of Contents**

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The following discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the sections entitled Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors and Part II, Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which appear in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, and Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors which appears in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for each of the quarters ended March 31 and June 30, 2011 filed with the SEC and this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

**GENERAL**

***Recent Developments***

Our relationship with Getty Petroleum Marketing Inc. ( Marketing ) has deteriorated over the past several months. We are actively pursuing claims related to the non-payment of rent as well as other defaults by Marketing under the unitary master lease (the Master Lease ), including taking legal action to enforce our rights under the Master Lease. We cannot provide any assurance regarding the ultimate resolution of these or any subsequent actions, including whether Marketing will comply with its rental, environmental and other obligations under the Master Lease.

In August and September 2011, Marketing failed to pay its monthly fixed rent to us when due under the Master Lease. We sent default notices to Marketing as required by the Master Lease and in each instance, Marketing ultimately paid the rent with applicable interest. In late August 2011, Marketing sent us a notice (the Notice ) alleging that we were in default of certain of our obligations under the Master Lease by failing to perform certain environmental remediation. The Notice stated that if we failed to cure the alleged default, Marketing intended to offset the full amount of its monthly rental payments due to us under the Master Lease for October and November 2011. We believe that the alleged default is wholly without merit, that Marketing has no right to offset rent under the Master Lease based on the allegations they have made and that we are in compliance with our environmental obligations under the Master Lease. In compliance with an order issued by the New York State Supreme Court, Marketing paid an amount equal to the full October 2011 fixed rent under the Master Lease of which approximately \$4.0 million was paid to us and the balance of approximately \$0.9 million was paid to the court to be held in escrow. A judicial determination on the merits of Marketing's claim to have a right of offset against fixed rent otherwise due under the Master Lease, and thus a disposition of monies held by the court, remains pending. We anticipate that, in connection with Marketing's claim regarding rent offset rights or other claims or allegations that have been or may in the future be made, we may continue to experience disruption in rent receipts due from Marketing under the Master Lease. It is also possible that Marketing may assert additional claims against us. We intend to pursue our current actions against Marketing and, if necessary, bring other actions against Marketing to enforce our rights under the Master Lease.

Despite the litigation and other developments between us and Marketing, it is our intent to continue to pursue the sale and simultaneous removal of individual properties from the Master Lease. Any such dispositions require Marketing's cooperation and consent, therefore we cannot provide any assurance that any such dispositions will occur.

---

**Table of Contents**

In accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ), the aggregate minimum rent due over the current term of the Master Lease is recognized on a straight-line (or average) basis rather than when payment contractually is due. We record the cumulative difference between lease revenue recognized under this straight-line accounting method and the lease revenue recognized when payment is due under the contractual payment terms as deferred rent receivable on our consolidated balance sheets. We provide reserves for a portion of the recorded deferred rent receivable if circumstances indicate that a property may be disposed of before the end of the current lease term or if it is not reasonable to assume that a tenant will make all of its contractual lease payments during the current lease term. Our assessments and assumptions regarding the recoverability of the deferred rent receivable related to the portfolio of properties subject of the Master Lease is reviewed on an ongoing basis and such assessments and assumptions are subject to change.

As a result of the developments with Marketing described above and in our other filings with the SEC, Marketing's posture related to resolving issues concerning the Master Lease and our assessment of the best way to position the Marketing portfolio to maximize its long-term value to us, we have concluded that it is probable that we will not receive a greater portion of the contractual lease payments when due from Marketing for the entire initial term of the Master Lease than we had previously reserved. Therefore, during the quarter ended September 30, 2011 we increased our reserve by recording an additional non-cash allowance for deferred rental revenue of \$11.0 million. This non-cash allowance reduces our net earnings and our funds from operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, but does not impact our current cash flows from operating activities or adjusted funds from operations since the impact of the straight-line method of accounting is not included in our determination of adjusted funds from operations. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the net carrying value of the deferred rent receivable attributable to the Master Lease was \$8.8 million and \$21.2 million, respectively, which was comprised of a gross deferred rent receivable of \$26.7 million and \$29.4 million, respectively, partially offset by a valuation reserve of \$17.9 million and \$8.2 million, respectively. It is possible that in connection with a restructuring of the portfolio of properties subject of the Master Lease, we may consider a deferral or reduction in the rental payments owed to us under the Master Lease. It is also possible that as a result of a continued deterioration of Marketing's financial condition, Marketing may file bankruptcy and seek to reorganize or liquidate its business.

(See General Marketing and the Master Lease below for additional information.) (For information regarding factors that could adversely affect us relating to our lessees, including Marketing, see Item 1A. Risk Factors which appears in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, and Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors which appears in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for each of the quarters ended March 31 and June 30, 2011 filed with the SEC and this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.)

***Real Estate Investment Trust***

We are a real estate investment trust ( REIT ) specializing in the ownership, leasing and financing of retail motor fuel and convenience store properties and petroleum distribution terminals. We elected to be treated as a REIT under the federal income tax laws beginning January 1, 2001. As a REIT, we are not subject to federal corporate income tax on the taxable income we distribute to our shareholders. In order to continue to qualify for taxation as a REIT, we are required, among other things, to distribute at least ninety percent of our ordinary taxable income to our shareholders each year.

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Retail Petroleum Marketing Business***

We lease or sublet our properties primarily to distributors and retailers engaged in the sale of gasoline and other motor fuel products, convenience store products and automotive repair services. These tenants are responsible for managing the operations conducted at these properties and for the payment of taxes, maintenance, repair, insurance and other operating expenses relating to our properties. Our tenants financial results are largely dependent on the performance of the petroleum marketing industry, which is highly competitive and subject to volatility. In those instances where we determine that the best use for a property is no longer as a retail motor fuel outlet, we will seek an alternative tenant or buyer for the property. We lease or sublet approximately twenty of our properties for uses such as fast food restaurants, automobile sales and other retail purposes. (For additional information regarding our real estate business and our properties, see Item 1. Business Real Estate Business and Item 2. Properties which appear in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.) (For information regarding factors that could adversely affect us relating to our lessees, including Marketing, see Item 1A. Risk Factors which appears in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors which appears in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for each of the quarters ended March 31 and June 30, 2011 filed with the SEC and this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.)

### ***Marketing and the Master Lease***

As of September 30, 2011, Marketing leased from us 800 properties comprising a unitary premises pursuant to the Master Lease. The Master Lease has an initial term expiring in December 2015, and provides Marketing with three renewal options of ten years each and a final renewal option of three years and ten months extending to 2049. Marketing is required to notify us of its election to exercise a renewal option one year in advance of the commencement of such renewal term. The Master Lease is a unitary lease and, therefore, Marketing's exercise of any renewal option can only be for all, and not less than all, of the properties then comprising the unitary premises subject of the Master Lease. The Master Lease is a triple-net lease, pursuant to which Marketing is responsible for the payment of taxes, maintenance, repair, insurance and other operating expenses.

Our financial results are materially dependent upon the ability of Marketing to meet its rental, environmental and other obligations under the Master Lease. Marketing's financial results depend on retail petroleum marketing margins from the sale of refined petroleum products and rental income from its subtenants. Marketing's subtenants either operate their gas stations, convenience stores, automotive repair services or other businesses at our properties or are petroleum distributors who may operate our properties directly and/or sublet our properties to the operators. Since a substantial portion of our revenues (52% for the three months ended September 30, 2011) are derived from the Master Lease, any factor that adversely affects Marketing's ability to meet its rental, environmental and other obligations under the Master Lease may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, revenues, operating expenses, results of operations, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price. (For additional information regarding the portion of our financial results that are attributable to Marketing, see note 9 in Item 1. Financial Statements Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. ) (For information regarding factors that could adversely affect us relating to our lessees, including our primary tenant, Marketing, see Item 1A. Risk Factors which appears in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors which appears in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for each of the quarters ended March 31 and June 30, 2011 filed with the SEC and this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.)

**Table of Contents**

Marketing provided us with financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010; however, these financial statements were not certified as prepared in accordance with GAAP by either an independent certified public accountant or one of Marketing's executive officers as required by the terms of the Master Lease. For the year ended December 31, 2010, Marketing reported a significant loss, continuing a trend of reporting large losses in recent years. Marketing continued to report significant losses in its preliminary interim financial statements provided to us for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Marketing's financial statements for three months ended March 31, 2011 and for the six months ended June 30, 2011 are preliminary and subject to material adjustment. We believe that Marketing likely does not have the ability to generate cash flows from its business operations sufficient to meet its rental, environmental and other obligations under the terms of the Master Lease unless Marketing shows significant improvement in its financial results, reduces the number of properties under the Master Lease, receives additional capital or credit support or generates funds elsewhere. There can be no assurance that Marketing will be successful in any of these efforts.

In July 2011, an arbitration panel that had been convened to hear a contractual dispute which commenced in 2010 between Marketing and Bionol Clearfield LLC ( Bionol ) issued an award in favor of Bionol for approximately \$230.0 million. Marketing has filed a motion to vacate this award. The contractual dispute relates to a five-year contract under which Marketing agreed to purchase, at formula-based prices, substantially all of the ethanol production from Bionol's ethanol plant in Pennsylvania. We are not in a position to evaluate the strength of the positions taken by Marketing with respect to its motion to vacate, and we cannot predict the actions that may be taken by Marketing or Bionol with respect to the award, or the timing of any such actions, including as to settlement or enforcement. Also in July 2011 Bionol announced that it, along with its affiliates, Bioenergy Holdings LLC and Bionol Holdings LLC, filed a voluntary petition for Chapter 7 relief in the United States Bankruptcy Court in Delaware. We cannot predict what impact Bionol's Chapter 7 liquidation filing may have on its dispute with Marketing or what actions the Trustee may take to collect on or settle the award or whether the Trustee may pursue other possible remedies. The ultimate resolution of this matter may materially adversely affect Marketing's financial condition and its ability to meet its obligations to the Company as they become due under the terms of the Master Lease.

On February 28, 2011, OAO LUKoil ( Lukoil ), one of the largest integrated Russian oil companies, transferred its ownership interest in Marketing to Cambridge Petroleum Holding Inc. ( Cambridge ). We are not privy to the terms and conditions of this transaction between Lukoil and Cambridge and we do not know what type or amount of consideration, if any, was paid or is payable by Lukoil or its subsidiaries to Cambridge, or by Cambridge to Lukoil or its subsidiaries in connection with the transfer. We did not believe that while Lukoil owned Marketing it would allow Marketing to fail to meet its obligations under the Master Lease. However, there can be no assurance that Cambridge will have the capacity to or will provide capital or financial support to Marketing or that it can or will arrange for the provision of capital investment or financial support to Marketing that Marketing may require to perform its obligations under the Master Lease.

## **Table of Contents**

It is possible that the deterioration of Marketing's financial condition may continue. Without financial support, it is possible that Marketing may file for bankruptcy protection and seek to reorganize or liquidate its business.

From time to time when it was owned by Lukoil, we held discussions with representatives of Marketing regarding potential modifications to the Master Lease. These discussions did not result in a common understanding with Marketing that would form a basis for modification of the Master Lease. After Lukoil's transfer of its ownership of Marketing to Cambridge, we commenced discussions with Marketing's new owners and management. Marketing's new management has indicated a desire to reduce the number of properties comprising the unitary premises it leases from us under the Master Lease in an effort to improve Marketing's financial results. As a result of these recent discussions, with Marketing's consent and agreement on a case-by-case basis, we started to pursue the sale and simultaneous removal of individual properties from the Master Lease. We have focused our initial efforts in this initiative on the sale of terminal properties, and approximately 160 of our retail properties which do not have underground storage tanks and no longer operate as retail motor fuel outlets. Simultaneously with a transaction closing, we would, with Marketing's previously received consent and agreement, remove such property from the unitary premises covered by Master Lease and reduce the rent payable by Marketing by an amount calculated based upon a percentage of net proceeds realized upon the sale of such property.

While we have an ongoing process with Marketing allowing for increased activity intended to sell and simultaneously remove properties from the unitary premises covered by the Master Lease, there is no master agreement in place for this process. In view of the litigation activity described above, we are reevaluating our options related to the removal of properties from the unitary premises covered by the Master Lease. Any modification of the Master Lease that results in the removal of a significant number of properties from the Master Lease premises would likely significantly reduce the amount of rent we receive from Marketing and increase our operating expenses. We cannot predict if or when properties will be removed from the Master Lease premises; what composition of properties, if any, may be removed from the Master Lease; or what the terms of any agreement for modification of the Master Lease or agreements for the removal of individual properties from the Master Lease may be. We also cannot predict what actions Marketing may take, and what our recourse may be, whether the Master Lease is modified or not. We cannot predict if or how Marketing's business strategy, including as it relates to the removal of properties from the Master Lease premises, may change in the future.

Generally, we intend either to re-let or sell properties removed from the Master Lease, whether such removal arises consensually by negotiation or as a result of default by Marketing, and reinvest sales proceeds realized in new properties. With respect to properties that are vacant or have had underground gasoline storage tanks and related equipment removed, it may be more difficult or costly to re-let or sell such properties as gas stations because of capital costs or possible zoning or permitting rights that are required and that may have lapsed during the period since gasoline was last sold at the property. Conversely, it may be easier to re-let or sell properties where underground gasoline storage tanks and related equipment have been removed if the property will not be used as a retail motor fuel outlet or if environmental contamination has been or is being remediated. Although we are the fee or leasehold owner of the portfolio of properties subject of the Master Lease and the owner of the Getty® brand, and have prior experience with tenants who operate their gas stations, convenience stores, automotive repair services or other businesses at our properties, in the event that properties are removed from the Master Lease premises, we cannot predict if, when or on what terms such properties could be re-let or sold.

---

**Table of Contents**

Under the Master Lease, our environmental remediation obligations are limited to incidents at 166 retail sites that have not achieved Closure and are scheduled in the Master Lease. We also provide limited environmental indemnification to Marketing, capped at \$4.25 million, for certain pre-existing conditions at six of the terminals we own and lease to Marketing. Under the indemnification agreement, Marketing is required to pay (and has paid) the first \$1.5 million of costs and expenses incurred in connection with remediating any such pre-existing conditions, Marketing shares equally with us the next \$8.5 million of those costs and expenses and Marketing is obligated to pay all additional costs and expenses over \$10.0 million. We have accrued \$0.3 million as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 in connection with this indemnification agreement.

Under the Master Lease, Marketing is directly responsible to pay for all other environmental liabilities including: (i) remediation of environmental contamination it causes and compliance with various environmental laws and regulations as the operator of our properties, and (ii) known and unknown environmental liabilities allocated to Marketing under the terms of the Master Lease and various other agreements with us relating to Marketing's business and the properties it leases from us (collectively the Marketing Environmental Liabilities). We estimate that as of September 30, 2011, the aggregate amount of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities, net of expected recoveries from underground storage tank funds, for which we may ultimately be responsible to pay but have not accrued range between \$13 million and \$20 million, of which between \$10 million to \$15 million relates to that portion of the portfolio subject of the Master Lease that we believe are most likely to be removed from the Master Lease premises. Since we generally do not have access to certain site specific information available to Marketing, which under the Master Lease is the party responsible for paying and managing its environmental remediation expenses at our properties, our estimates were developed in large part by review of the limited publicly available information gathered through electronic databases and freedom of information requests and assumptions we made based on that data and on our own experiences with environmental remediation matters. The actual amounts of the ranges estimated above may be significantly higher than our estimates and we can provide no assurance as to the accuracy of these estimates.

We do not maintain pollution legal liability insurance to protect us from potential future claims for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities. We will be required to accrue for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities if we determine that it is probable that Marketing will not meet its environmental obligations and we can reasonably estimate the amount of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities for which we will be responsible to pay, or if our assumptions regarding the ultimate allocation methods or share of responsibility that we used to allocate environmental liabilities changes. However, as of September 30, 2011, we continued to believe that it was not probable that Marketing would not pay for substantially all of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities. Accordingly, we did not accrue for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities as of September 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010. Nonetheless, we have determined that the aggregate amount of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities (as estimated by us) would be material to us if we were required to accrue for all of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities since as a result of such accrual, we would not be in compliance with the existing financial covenants in our Credit Agreement (defined below) and our Term Loan Agreement (defined below). Such non-compliance would result in an event of default under the Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement which, if not waived, would prohibit us from drawing funds against the Credit Agreement and could result in the acceleration of our indebtedness under the Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement.

---

**Table of Contents**

Our estimates, judgments, assumptions and beliefs regarding Marketing and the Master Lease made effective September 30, 2011 that affect the amounts reported in our financial statements are reviewed on an ongoing basis and are subject to possible change. Accordingly, it is possible that during the fourth quarter of 2011 or thereafter, we may be required to increase the deferred rent receivable reserve, record impairment charges related to the portfolio of properties subject of the Master Lease or accrue for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities as a result of the potential or actual modification of the Master Lease or as a result of the potential or actual bankruptcy filing by Marketing or other factors including those discussed above, which may result in material adjustments to the amounts recorded for these assets and liabilities, and as a result of which, we may not be in compliance with the financial covenants in our Credit Agreement and Term Loan Agreement.

We cannot provide any assurance that Marketing will meet its rental, environmental or other obligations under the Master Lease. Marketing's failure to meet its rental, environmental or other obligations to us can lead to a protracted and expensive process for retaking control of our properties. We anticipate that, in connection with Marketing's claim regarding rent offset rights or other claims or allegations that have been or may in the future be made, we may continue to experience disruption in rent receipts due from Marketing under the Master Lease. In addition to the risk of disruption in rent receipts, we are subject to the risk of incurring real estate taxes, maintenance, environmental and other expenses at properties subject of the Master Lease. If Marketing does not perform its rental, environmental or other obligations under the Master Lease; if the Master Lease is modified significantly or terminated; if we determine that it is probable that Marketing will not meet its rental, environmental or other obligations and we accrue for certain of such liabilities; if we are unable to promptly re-let or sell the properties upon recapture from the Master Lease; or, if we change our assumptions that affect the accounting for rental revenue or the Marketing Environmental Liabilities related to the Master Lease and various other agreements; our business, financial condition, revenues, operating expenses, results of operations, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price may be materially adversely affected.

***Supplemental Non-GAAP Measures***

We manage our business to enhance the value of our real estate portfolio and, as a REIT, place particular emphasis on minimizing risk and generating cash sufficient to make required distributions to shareholders of at least ninety percent of our ordinary taxable income each year. In addition to measurements defined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ), our management also focuses on funds from operations available to common shareholders ( FFO ) and adjusted funds from operations available to common shareholders ( AFFO ) to measure our performance. FFO is generally considered to be an appropriate supplemental non-GAAP measure of the performance of REITs. FFO is defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts as net earnings before depreciation and amortization of real estate assets, gains or losses on dispositions of real estate (including such non-FFO items reported in discontinued operations), extraordinary items and cumulative effect of accounting change. Other REITs may use definitions of FFO and/or AFFO that are different than ours and; accordingly, may not be comparable. Beginning in the first quarter of 2011, we revised our definition of AFFO to exclude direct expensed costs related to property acquisitions.

We believe that FFO and AFFO are helpful to investors in measuring our performance because both FFO and AFFO exclude various items included in GAAP net earnings that do not relate to, or are not indicative of, our fundamental operating performance. FFO excludes various items such as gains or losses

**Table of Contents**

from property dispositions and depreciation and amortization of real estate assets. In our case, however, GAAP net earnings and FFO typically include the impact of the Revenue Recognition Adjustments comprised of deferred rental revenue (straight-line rental revenue), the net amortization of above-market and below-market leases and income recognized from direct financing leases on our recognition of revenues from rental properties, as offset by the impact of related collection reserves. GAAP net earnings and FFO from time to time may also include impairment charges, property acquisition costs or income tax benefits. Deferred rental revenue results primarily from fixed rental increases scheduled under certain leases with our tenants. In accordance with GAAP, the aggregate minimum rent due over the current term of these leases are recognized on a straight-line (or average) basis rather than when payment is contractually due. The present value of the difference between the fair market rent and the contractual rent for in-place leases at the time properties are acquired is amortized into revenue from rental properties over the remaining lives of the in-place leases. Income from direct financing leases is recognized over the lease term using the effective interest method which produces a constant periodic rate of return on the net investment in the leased property. Impairment of long-lived assets represents charges taken to write-down real estate assets to fair value estimated when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the property may not be recoverable. Property acquisition costs are expensed, generally in the period when properties are acquired, and are not reflective of normal operations. In prior periods, income tax benefits have been recognized due to the elimination of, or a net reduction in, amounts accrued for uncertain tax positions related to being taxed as a C-corp., rather than as a REIT, prior to 2001.

Management pays particular attention to AFFO, a supplemental non-GAAP performance measure that we define as FFO less Revenue Recognition Adjustments, impairment charges, property acquisition costs and income tax benefit. In management's view, AFFO provides a more accurate depiction than FFO of our fundamental operating performance related to: (i) the impact of scheduled rent increases from operating leases, net of related collection reserves; (ii) the rental revenue earned from acquired in-place leases; (iii) the impact of rent due from direct financing leases; (iv) our rental operating expenses (exclusive of impairment charges); (v) our operating expenses (exclusive of direct expensed operating property acquisition costs); and (vi) our election to be treated as a REIT under the federal income tax laws beginning in 2001. Neither FFO nor AFFO represent cash generated from operating activities calculated in accordance with GAAP and therefore these measures should not be considered an alternative for GAAP net earnings or as a measure of liquidity.



**Table of Contents**

A reconciliation of net earnings to FFO and AFFO for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 is as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

|   | <i>Three months ended</i> |                      | <i>Nine months ended</i> |                      |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
|   | <i>September 30,</i>      | <i>September 30,</i> | <i>September 30,</i>     | <i>September 30,</i> |
|   | <i>2011</i>               | <i>2010</i>          | <i>2011</i>              | <i>2010</i>          |
| Net earnings  | \$5,350                   | \$13,351             | \$31,938                 | \$39,215             |
| Depreciation and amortization of real estate assets | 2,824                     | 2,406                | 7,354                    | 7,210                |
| Gains from dispositions of real estate              | (310)                     | (15)                 | (629)                    | (1,653)              |
| Funds from operations                               | 7,864                     | 15,742               | 38,663                   | 44,772               |
| Revenue recognition adjustments                     | 210                       | (293)                | (794)                    | (952)                |
| Allowance for deferred rental revenue               | 11,043                    |                      | 11,043                   |                      |
| Impairment charges                                  | 587                       |                      | 3,094                    |                      |
| Property acquisition costs                          |                           |                      | 2,034                    |                      |
| Adjusted funds from operations                      | \$19,704                  | \$15,449             | \$54,040                 | \$43,820             |
| Diluted per share amounts:                          |                           |                      |                          |                      |
| Earnings per share                                  | \$0.16                    | \$0.45               | \$0.96                   | \$1.44               |
| Funds from operations per share                     | \$0.24                    | \$0.53               | \$1.16                   | \$1.64               |
| Adjusted funds from operations per share            | \$0.59                    | \$0.52               | \$1.62                   | \$1.61               |
| Diluted weighted-average shares outstanding         | 33,395                    | 29,944               | 33,098                   | 27,288               |

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS*****Three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010***

Revenues from rental properties included in continuing operations increased by \$5.3 million to \$27.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$22.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. We received approximately \$15.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and \$15.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 from properties leased to Marketing under the Master Lease. We also received rent of \$12.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and \$6.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 from other tenants. The increase in rent received from tenants other than Marketing for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily due to rental income from 59 properties we acquired from, and leased back to, CPD NY Energy ( CPD NY ) in January 2011 and 66 properties we acquired from, and leased back to, Nouria Energy Ventures I LLC ( Nouria ) on March 31, 2011, and, to a lesser extent, due to rent escalations, partially offset by the effect of dispositions of real estate and lease expirations. In accordance with GAAP, we recognize rental revenue in amounts which vary from the amount of rent contractually due or received during the periods presented. As a result, revenues from rental properties include Revenue Recognition Adjustments comprised of non-cash adjustments recorded for deferred rental revenue due to the recognition of rental income on a straight-line basis over the current lease term, net amortization of above-market and below-market leases and recognition of rental income under direct financing leases using the effective interest rate method which produces a constant periodic rate of return on the net investment in the leased property. Revenue Recognition Adjustments included in continuing operations decreased rental revenue by \$0.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and increased rental revenue by \$0.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010.

**Table of Contents**

Interest income from notes and mortgages receivable increased by \$0.8 million to \$0.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010 due to the issuance of \$30.4 million of notes receivable in connection with the acquisitions completed in 2011.

Rental property expenses primarily comprised of rent expense and real estate and other state and local taxes included in continuing operations were \$2.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$2.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in rental property expenses for the quarter ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the prior year period, is principally due to additional real estate tax and rent expenses paid by us and reimbursed by our tenants related to properties and leasehold interests acquired in 2011. The reimbursement of such expenses from our tenants is included in revenues from rental properties in our consolidated statement of operations.

Non-cash impairment charges of \$0.6 million included in continuing operations for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 resulted from reductions in real estate valuations and the reductions in the assumed holding period used to test for impairment. There were no impairment charges recorded in the quarter ended September 30, 2010.

Environmental expenses, net of estimated recoveries from underground storage tank funds included in continuing operations for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was \$1.7 million as compared to \$1.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in net environmental expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was principally due to a higher provision for litigation loss reserves and legal fees which increased by \$0.7 million to \$1.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to \$0.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. Environmental expenses vary from period to period and, accordingly, undue reliance should not be placed on the magnitude or the direction of change in reported environmental expenses for one period as compared to prior periods.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$0.9 million to \$2.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to \$1.8 million recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in general and administrative expenses was principally due to employee related expenses and legal fees recorded in the three months ended September 30, 2011.

As a result of the developments with Marketing described above, Marketing's posture related to resolving issues concerning the Master Lease and our assessment of the best way to position the Marketing portfolio to maximize its long-term value to us, we have concluded that it is probable that we will not receive a greater portion of the contractual lease payments when due from Marketing for the entire initial term of the Master Lease than we had previously reserved. Therefore, we increased our reserve by recording an additional non-cash allowance for deferred rent receivable of \$11.0 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011.

Depreciation and amortization expense included in continuing operations increased by \$0.4 million to \$2.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$2.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily due to additional depreciation related to properties acquired in 2011 partially offset by the effect of certain assets becoming fully depreciated, lease terminations and property dispositions.

---

**Table of Contents**

As a result, total operating expenses increased by \$14.5 million to \$21.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$7.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Interest expense was \$1.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to \$1.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily due to higher borrowings outstanding for the three months ended September 30, 2011 partially offset by lower weighted average effective interest rates during the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010. Average borrowings outstanding for the three months ended September 30, 2011 were impacted by, among other things, \$113.0 million drawn under the Credit Agreement to finance the transaction with CPD NY, \$92.1 million drawn under the Credit Agreement to finance the transaction with Nouria and the repayment of borrowings then outstanding under the Credit Agreement with substantially all of the net proceeds of \$92.0 million received from a 3.45 million share common stock offering. The lower weighted average effective interest rates incurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2011 was caused by changes in the relative amounts of debt outstanding under our Credit Agreement and Term Loan Agreement, (each described in Liquidity and Capital Resources below).

As a result, earnings from continuing operations decreased by \$8.5 million to \$5.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$13.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and net earnings decreased by \$8.0 million to \$5.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$13.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010.

The operating results and gains from certain dispositions of real estate sold in 2011 and 2010 are reclassified as discontinued operations. The operating results of such properties for the three months ended September 30, 2010 has also been reclassified to discontinued operations to conform to the 2011 presentation. The results of discontinued operations, primarily comprised of gains on dispositions of real estate, was income of \$0.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to a loss of \$0.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily due to higher gains on dispositions of real estate. Gains from dispositions of real estate included in discontinued operations was \$0.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and \$15 thousand for the three months ended September 30, 2010. There were four property dispositions in the three months ended September 30, 2011 and one property disposition in the three months ended September 30, 2010. Gains on disposition of real estate vary from period to period and accordingly, undue reliance should not be placed on the magnitude or the directions of change in reported gains for one period as compared to prior periods.

For the three months ended September 30, 2011, FFO decreased by \$7.8 million to \$7.9 million, as compared to \$15.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, and AFFO increased by \$4.3 million to \$19.7 million, as compared to \$15.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The decrease in FFO for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily due to the changes in net earnings but excludes a \$0.4 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense and a \$0.3 million increase in gains on dispositions of real estate. The increase in AFFO for the three months ended September 30, 2011 also excludes the \$11.0 million allowance for deferred rental revenue, a \$0.5 million decrease in Rental Revenue Adjustments which cause our reported revenues from rental properties to vary from the amount of rent payments contractually due or received by us during the periods presented, and \$0.6 million of impairment charges recorded in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 (which are included in net earnings and FFO but are excluded from AFFO).

---

**Table of Contents**

The calculations of net earnings per share, FFO per share and AFFO per share for the three months ended September 30, 2011 were impacted by an increase in the weighted average number of shares outstanding as a result of the issuance of shares of common stock in 2011. The weighted average number of shares outstanding used in our per share calculations increased by 3.5 million shares, or 11.5%, for the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the prior year period. Accordingly, the percentage or direction of the changes in net earnings, FFO and AFFO discussed above may differ from the changes in the related per share amounts. Diluted earnings per share was \$0.16 per share for the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$0.45 per share for the three months ended September 30, 2010. Diluted FFO per share for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was \$0.24 per share, as compared to \$0.53 per share for the three months ended September 30, 2010. Diluted AFFO per share was \$0.59 per share for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to \$0.52 per share for the three months ended September 30, 2010.

***Nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010***

Revenues from rental properties included in continuing operations increased by \$13.4 million to \$79.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$66.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. We received approximately \$44.9 million and \$45.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010, respectively, from properties leased to Marketing under the Master Lease. We also received rent of \$33.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and \$19.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 from other tenants. The increase in rent received from tenants other than Marketing for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, was primarily due to rental income from 59 properties we acquired from, and leased back to, CPD NY in January 2011 and 66 properties we acquired from, and leased back to, Nouria on March 31, 2011 and, to a lesser extent, due to rent escalations, partially offset by the effect of dispositions of real estate and lease expirations. In accordance with GAAP, we recognize rental revenue in amounts which vary from the amount of rent contractually due or received during the periods presented. As a result, revenues from rental properties include Revenue Recognition Adjustments comprised of non-cash adjustments recorded for deferred rental revenue due to the recognition of rental income on a straight-line basis over the current lease term, net amortization of above-market and below-market leases and recognition of rental income under direct financing leases using the effective interest rate method which produces a constant periodic rate of return on the net investment in the leased property. Revenue Recognition Adjustments included in continuing operations increased rental revenue by \$0.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, and by \$0.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Interest income from notes and mortgages receivable increased by \$1.8 million to \$1.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$0.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 due to the issuance of \$30.4 million of notes receivable in connection with the acquisitions completed in 2011.

Rental property expenses primarily comprised of rent expense and real estate and other state and local taxes included in continuing operations were \$10.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$7.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in rental property expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the prior year period, is principally due to additional real estate tax and rent expenses paid by us and reimbursed by our tenants related to properties and leasehold interests acquired in 2011. The reimbursement of such expenses from our tenants is included in revenues from rental properties in our consolidated statement of operations.

**Table of Contents**

Non-cash impairment charges of \$2.8 million included in continuing operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 resulted from reductions in real estate valuations and the reductions in the assumed holding period used to test for impairment. There were no impairment charges recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Environmental expenses, net of estimated recoveries from underground storage tank funds included in continuing operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 increased by \$0.3 million to \$4.2 million, as compared to \$3.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in net environmental expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily due to a higher provision for litigation loss reserves and legal fees which increased by \$0.8 million to \$1.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to \$0.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 partially offset by a lower provision for estimated environmental remediation costs which decreased by \$0.4 million to \$2.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$2.4 million recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Environmental expenses vary from period to period and, accordingly, undue reliance should not be placed on the magnitude or the direction of change in reported environmental expenses for one period as compared to prior periods.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$4.3 million to \$10.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to \$6.0 million recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in general and administrative expenses was principally due to \$2.0 million of property acquisition costs, employee related expenses and legal fees recorded in the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

As a result of the developments with Marketing described above and in our other filings with the SEC, Marketing's posture related to resolving issues concerning the Master Lease and our assessment of the best way to position the Marketing portfolio to maximize its long-term value to us, we have concluded that it is probable that we will not receive a greater portion of the contractual lease payments when due from Marketing for the entire initial term of the Master Lease than we had previously reserved. Therefore, we increased our reserve by recording an additional non-cash allowance for deferred rent receivable of \$11.0 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Depreciation and amortization expense included in continuing operations increased by \$0.1 million to \$7.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$7.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was primarily due to additional depreciation related to properties acquired in 2011 partially offset by the effect of certain assets becoming fully depreciated, lease terminations and property dispositions.

As a result, total operating expenses increased by \$21.1 million to \$45.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$24.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Interest expense increased by \$0.2 million to \$4.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$3.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was due to higher borrowings outstanding partially offset by

---

**Table of Contents**

lower weighted average effective interest rates during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Average borrowings outstanding for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 were impacted by, among other things, the partial repayment of borrowings then outstanding under the Credit Agreement with substantially all of the net proceeds of \$108.2 million received in 2010 from a 5.2 million share common stock offering. In addition, average borrowings outstanding for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were impacted by, among other things, \$113.0 million drawn under the Credit Agreement to finance the transaction with CPD NY, \$92.1 million drawn under the Credit Agreement to finance the transaction with Nouria and the repayment of borrowings then outstanding under the Credit Agreement with substantially all of the net proceeds of \$92.0 million received in 2011 from a 3.45 million share common stock offering. The lower weighted average effective interest rates incurred during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was caused by changes in the relative amounts of debt outstanding under our Credit Agreement and Term Loan Agreement, (each described in Liquidity and Capital Resources below).

As a result, earnings from continuing operations decreased by \$6.1 million to \$31.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$37.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and net earnings decreased by \$7.3 million to \$31.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$39.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

The operating results and gains from certain dispositions of real estate sold in 2011 and 2010 are reclassified as discontinued operations. The operating results of such properties for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 has also been reclassified to discontinued operations to conform to the 2011 presentation. The results of discontinued operations, primarily comprised of gains on dispositions of real estate, was \$0.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$1.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The decrease was primarily due to lower gains on dispositions of real estate. Gains from dispositions of real estate included in discontinued operations was \$0.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and \$1.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, there were seven property dispositions. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, there were five property dispositions. Gains on disposition of real estate vary from period to period and accordingly, undue reliance should not be placed on the magnitude or the directions of change in reported gains for one period as compared to prior periods.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, FFO decreased by \$6.1 million to \$38.7 million, as compared to \$44.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, and AFFO increased by \$10.2 million to \$54.0 million, as compared to \$43.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The decrease in FFO for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily due to the changes in net earnings but excludes a \$0.2 million increase in depreciation and amortization expense and a \$1.1 million decrease in gains on dispositions of real estate. The increase in AFFO for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 also excludes an \$11.0 million allowance for deferred rental revenue, a \$0.2 million decrease in Rental Revenue Adjustments which cause our reported revenues from rental properties to vary from the amount of rent payments contractually due or received by us during the periods presented, \$3.1 million of impairment charges included in continuing operations and discontinued operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and \$2.0 million of property acquisition expenses recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 (which are included in net earnings and FFO but are excluded from AFFO).

## **Table of Contents**

The calculations of net earnings per share, FFO per share and AFFO per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were impacted by an increase in the weighted average number of shares outstanding as a result of the issuance of shares of common stock in 2010 and 2011. The weighted average number of shares outstanding used in our per share calculations increased by 5.8 million shares, or 21.3%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the prior year period. Accordingly, the percentage or direction of the changes in net earnings, FFO and AFFO discussed above may differ from the changes in the related per share amounts. Diluted earnings per share was \$0.96 per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to \$1.44 per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Diluted FFO per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$1.16 per share, as compared to \$1.64 per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Diluted AFFO per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$1.62 per share, as compared to \$1.61 per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

## **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

Our principal sources of liquidity are our cash flows from operations and available cash and cash equivalents. Historically, we have also utilized our Credit Agreement that expires in March 2012 as a source of liquidity. However, as described above, the deterioration of our relationship with Marketing, Marketing's recent actions and the risk of additional developments with Marketing may restrict our ability to borrow funds under our Credit Agreement. As described above under Recent Developments, we are actively pursuing numerous claims against Marketing under the Master Lease. (See Item 1A. Risk Factors which appears in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors which appears in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for each of the quarters ended March 31 and June 30, 2011 filed with the SEC and this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.)

We cannot predict how these developments may impact our access to capital and our liquidity. It is possible that our business operations or liquidity may be adversely affected by Marketing's actions, including its failure to pay rent to us when due, which non-payment or delay in payment could give rise to an event of default under the Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement. Any such event of default, if not waived, would prohibit us from drawing funds against the Credit Agreement, could result in an increase in the cost of our borrowings or the acceleration of our indebtedness under the Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement. In order to continue to meet our liquidity needs (including the repayment of the balance outstanding under the Credit Agreement when due in March 2012), we must extend the maturity of or refinance our existing debt or obtain additional sources of financing. Additional sources of financing may be more expensive or contain more onerous terms than exist under our current Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement, or simply may not be available. As a result, we may be required to enter into alternative loan agreements, sell assets, reduce or eliminate our dividend or issue additional equity at unfavorable terms if we do not extend the term of the Credit Agreement beyond March 2012. We are engaged in discussions with the Bank Syndicate and we expect to refinance or amend the Credit Agreement at or prior to maturity. There can be no assurance that at or prior to expiration of the Credit Agreement we will be able to amend the Credit Agreement or enter into a new revolving credit agreement on favorable terms, if at all. Management believes that subject to the Company obtaining favorable resolution related to the Credit Agreement, our operating cash needs for the next twelve months can be met by cash flows from operations, and available cash and cash equivalents. If we fail to obtain additional sources of financing or refinance our existing debt, this could have a material adverse affect on our business, financial condition, results of operation, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price.

## **Table of Contents**

Net cash flow provided by operating activities reported on our consolidated statement of cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 were \$52.7 million and \$42.9 million, respectively.

### ***Credit Agreement***

We are party to a \$175.0 million amended and restated senior unsecured revolving credit agreement (the *Credit Agreement*) with a group of domestic commercial banks led by JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (the *Bank Syndicate*). The *Credit Agreement* expires in March 2012. As of September 30, 2011, borrowings under the *Credit Agreement* were \$147.7 million bearing interest at a rate of 1.25% per annum. We are engaged in discussions with the *Bank Syndicate* and we expect to refinance or amend the *Credit Agreement* at or prior to maturity. There can be no assurance that at or prior to expiration of the *Credit Agreement* we will be able to amend the *Credit Agreement* or enter into a new revolving credit agreement on favorable terms, if at all.

We cannot predict how periods of illiquidity in the credit markets may impact our access to capital and the costs associated with any additional borrowings. We may not be able to obtain additional financing on favorable terms, or at all. If one or more of the financial institutions that support our *Credit Agreement* fails, we may not be able to find a replacement, which would negatively impact our ability to borrow under our *Credit Agreement*. In addition, we may not be able to refinance our outstanding debt when due, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

The *Credit Agreement* does not provide for scheduled reductions in the principal balance prior to its maturity. The *Credit Agreement* permits borrowings at an interest rate equal to the sum of a base rate plus a margin of 0.00% or 0.25% or a LIBOR rate plus a margin of 1.00%, 1.25% or 1.50%. The applicable margin is based on our leverage ratio at the end of the prior calendar quarter, as defined in the *Credit Agreement*, and is adjusted effective mid-quarter when our quarterly financial results are reported to the *Bank Syndicate*. The annual commitment fee on the unused *Credit Agreement* ranges from 0.10% to 0.20% based on the average amount of borrowings outstanding. The *Credit Agreement* contains customary terms and conditions, including financial covenants such as those requiring us to maintain minimum tangible net worth, leverage ratios and coverage ratios which may limit our ability to incur debt or pay dividends.

The *Credit Agreement* contains customary events of default, including change of control, failure to maintain REIT status and a material adverse effect on our business, assets, prospects or condition. Any event of default, if not cured or waived, would increase by 200 basis points (2.00%) the interest rate we pay under the *Credit Agreement* and prohibit us from drawing funds against the *Credit Agreement* and could result in the acceleration of our indebtedness under our *Credit Agreement*, an inability to draw additional funds from the *Credit Agreement* and could also give rise to an event of default and consequent acceleration of our indebtedness under our *Term Loan Agreement*. The *Credit Agreement* prohibits the payment of dividends during certain events of default. In addition, should our assessments, assumptions and beliefs that affect our accounting prove to be incorrect, or if circumstances change, we may have to materially adjust the amounts recorded in our financial statements for certain assets and liabilities, and as a result of which, we may not be in compliance with the financial covenants in our *Credit Agreement* and *Term Loan Agreement*.



## **Table of Contents**

### ***Term Loan Agreement***

We are party to a \$25.0 million three-year Term Loan Agreement with TD Bank (the *Term Loan Agreement* or *Term Loan* ). The Term Loan Agreement expires in September 2012. As of September 30, 2011, borrowings under the Term Loan Agreement were \$23.0 million bearing interest at a rate of 3.50% per annum. The Term Loan Agreement provides for annual reductions of \$0.8 million in the principal balance with a \$22.2 million balloon payment due at maturity. The Term Loan Agreement bears interest at a rate equal to a 30 day LIBOR rate (subject to a floor of 0.40%) plus a margin of 3.10%. The Term Loan Agreement contains customary terms and conditions, including financial covenants such as those requiring us to maintain minimum tangible net worth, leverage ratios and coverage ratios and other covenants which may limit our ability to incur debt or pay dividends. The Term Loan Agreement contains customary events of default, including change of control, failure to maintain REIT status or a material adverse effect on our business, assets, prospects or condition. Any event of default, if not cured or waived, would increase by 300 basis points (3.00%) the interest rate we pay under the Term Loan Agreement and prohibit us from drawing funds against the Credit Agreement and could result in the acceleration of our indebtedness under the Term Loan Agreement. There can be no assurance that at or prior to the expiration of the Term Loan Agreement we will be able to refinance or amend the existing Term Loan Agreement on favorable terms, if at all.

### ***Swap Agreement***

We were party to a \$45.0 million LIBOR based interest rate Swap Agreement with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as the counter-party (the *Swap Agreement* ), which expired on June 30, 2011. The Swap Agreement was intended to hedge our exposure to market interest rate risk by effectively fixing, at 5.44%, a portion of the LIBOR component of the interest rate determined under our existing LIBOR based loan agreements. We are currently fully exposed to interest rate risk on our aggregate borrowings floating at market rates.

### ***Public Offering***

During the first quarter of 2011, we completed a public stock offering of 3.45 million shares of our common stock. Substantially all of the \$92.0 million net proceeds from the offering were used to repay a portion of the outstanding balance under our Credit Agreement and the remainder was used for general corporate purposes. During the second quarter of 2010, we completed a public stock offering of 5.2 million shares of our common stock. Substantially all of the \$108.2 million net proceeds from the offering were used to repay a portion of the outstanding balance under our Credit Agreement, and the remainder was used for general corporate purposes.

## **Table of Contents**

### *Dividends*

We elected to be treated as a REIT under the federal income tax laws with the year beginning January 1, 2001. As a REIT, we are required, among other things, to distribute in cash or a combination of cash and our stock at least ninety percent of our ordinary taxable income to our shareholders each year. Payment of dividends is subject to market conditions, our financial condition, including but not limited to, our continued compliance with the provisions of the Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement and other factors, and therefore is not assured. In particular, our Credit Agreement and Term Loan Agreement prohibit the payment of dividends during certain events of default. Cash dividends paid to our shareholders aggregated \$46.7 million and \$37.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Due to the developments related to Marketing and the Master Lease discussed above, there can be no assurance that we will be able to continue to pay cash dividends at the rate of \$0.25 per share per quarter, if at all.

### *Capital Expenditures*

Since we generally lease our properties on a triple-net basis, we have not incurred significant capital expenditures other than those related to acquisitions. Our property acquisitions and capital expenditures for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 amounted to \$167.5 million, and \$4.7 million, respectively. To the extent that our sources of liquidity are not sufficient to fund capital expenditures, we will require other sources of capital, which may or may not be available on favorable terms or at all.

## **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES**

The consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in its financial statements. Although we have made estimates, judgments and assumptions regarding future uncertainties relating to the information included in our financial statements, giving due consideration to the accounting policies selected and materiality, actual results could differ from these estimates, judgments and assumptions and such differences could be material.

Estimates, judgments and assumptions underlying the accompanying consolidated financial statements include, but are not limited to, deferred rent receivable, income under direct financing leases, recoveries from state underground storage tank funds, environmental remediation costs, real estate, depreciation and amortization, impairment of long-lived assets, litigation, accrued liabilities, income taxes and allocation of the purchase price of properties acquired to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The information included in our financial statements that is based on estimates, judgments and assumptions is subject to significant change and is adjusted as circumstances change and as the uncertainties become more clearly defined.

Our accounting policies are described in note 1 to the consolidated financial statements that appear in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. We believe that the more critical of our accounting policies relate to revenue recognition and deferred rent receivable and related reserves, impairment of long-lived assets, income taxes, environmental costs and recoveries from state underground

## **Table of Contents**

storage tank funds, allocation of the purchase price of properties acquired to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed and litigation, each of which is discussed in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations- Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 and in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for each of the quarters ended March 31 and June 30, 2011 filed with the SEC and this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

As of September 30, 2011, the net carrying value of the deferred rent receivable attributable to the Master Lease was \$8.8 million and the aggregate amount of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities, net of expected recoveries from underground storage tank funds, for which we may ultimately be responsible to pay but have not accrued range between \$13 million and \$20 million. The actual amount of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities may differ from our estimated range and we can provide no assurance as to the accuracy of our estimate. Our estimates, judgments, assumptions and beliefs regarding Marketing and the Master Lease made effective September 30, 2011 that affect the amounts reported in our financial statements are reviewed on an ongoing basis and are subject to possible change. It is possible that, during the fourth quarter or thereafter, we may be required to increase the deferred rent reserve, record impairment charges related to the portfolio of properties or accrue for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities as a result of changes in our estimates, judgments, assumptions and beliefs regarding Marketing and the Master Lease that affect the amounts reported in our financial statements. It is also possible that as a result of material adjustments to the amounts recorded for certain of our assets and liabilities that we may not be in compliance with the financial covenants in our Credit Agreement or Term Loan Agreement. (See General Marketing and the Master Lease above for additional information.)

## **ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS**

### ***General***

We are subject to numerous existing federal, state and local laws and regulations, including matters relating to the protection of the environment such as the remediation of known contamination and the retirement and decommissioning or removal of long-lived assets including buildings containing hazardous materials, USTs and other equipment. Our tenants are directly responsible for compliance with various environmental laws and regulations as the operators of our properties. Environmental expenses are principally attributable to remediation costs which include installing, operating, maintaining and decommissioning remediation systems, monitoring contamination and governmental agency reporting incurred in connection with contaminated properties. We seek reimbursement from state UST remediation funds related to these environmental expenses where available.

We enter into leases and various other agreements which allocate responsibility for known and unknown environmental liabilities by establishing the percentage and method of allocating responsibility between the parties. In accordance with the leases with certain of our tenants, we have agreed to bring the leased properties with known environmental contamination to within applicable standards, and to either regulatory or contractual closure ( Closure ). Generally, upon achieving Closure at an individual property, our environmental liability under the lease for that property will be satisfied and future remediation obligations will be the responsibility of our tenant. As of September 30, 2011, we have regulatory approval for remediation action plans in place at 203 of the 225 properties at which we continue to retain remediation responsibility and the remaining 22 properties were in the assessment phase. In addition, we have nominal post-closure compliance obligations at 33 properties where we have received no further action letters.

## **Table of Contents**

Our tenants are directly responsible to pay for (i) remediation of environmental contamination they cause and compliance with various environmental laws and regulations as the operators of our properties, and (ii) environmental liabilities allocated to them under the terms of our leases and various other agreements. Generally, the liability for the retirement and decommissioning or removal of USTs and other equipment is the responsibility of our tenants. We are contingently liable for these obligations in the event that our tenants do not satisfy their responsibilities. A liability has not been accrued for obligations that are the responsibility of our tenants based on our tenants' past histories of paying such obligations and/or our assessment of their respective financial abilities and intent to pay their share of such costs. However, there can be no assurance that our assessments are correct or that our tenants who have paid their obligations in the past will continue to do so.

It is possible that our assumptions regarding the ultimate allocation methods or share of responsibility that we used to allocate environmental liabilities may change, which may result in adjustments to the amounts recorded for environmental litigation accruals, environmental remediation liabilities and related assets. We will be required to accrue for environmental liabilities that we believe are allocable to others under various other agreements if we determine that it is probable that the counter-party will not meet its environmental obligations. We may ultimately be responsible to pay for environmental liabilities as the property owner if the counter-party fails to pay them. The ultimate resolution of these matters could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price. (See "General Marketing and the Master Lease" above for additional information.)

Under the Master Lease, our environmental remediation obligations are limited to incidents at 166 retail sites that have not achieved Closure and are scheduled in the Master Lease. We also provide limited environmental indemnification to Marketing, capped at \$4.25 million, for certain pre-existing conditions at six of the terminals we own and lease to Marketing. Under the indemnification agreement, Marketing is required to pay (and has paid) the first \$1.5 million of costs and expenses incurred in connection with remediating any such pre-existing conditions, Marketing shares equally with us the next \$8.5 million of those costs and expenses and Marketing is obligated to pay all additional costs and expenses over \$10.0 million. We have accrued \$0.3 million as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 in connection with this indemnification agreement.

As the operator of our properties under the Master Lease, Marketing is directly responsible to pay for the remediation of environmental contamination it causes and to comply with various environmental laws and regulations. In addition, the Master Lease and various other agreements between Marketing and us allocate responsibility for known and unknown environmental liabilities between Marketing and us relating to the properties subject of the Master Lease. It is possible that our assumptions regarding the ultimate allocation methods or share of responsibility that we used to allocate environmental liabilities may change, which may result in adjustments to the amounts recorded for environmental litigation accruals, environmental remediation liabilities and related assets. If Marketing fails to pay them, we may ultimately be responsible to pay for environmental liabilities as the property owner. We are required to accrue for environmental liabilities that we believe are allocable to Marketing under the Master Lease and various other agreements if we determine that it is probable that Marketing will not pay its environmental obligations and we can reasonably estimate the amount of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities for which we will be responsible to pay.

**Table of Contents**

As of September 30, 2011, we continued to believe that it was not probable that Marketing will not pay for substantially all of the environmental liabilities allocable to it under the Master Lease and various other agreements and, therefore, have not accrued for such environmental liabilities. Our assessments and assumptions that affect the recording of environmental liabilities related to the portfolio of properties subject of the Master Lease are reviewed on an ongoing basis and such assessments and assumptions are subject to change. It is possible that we may change our estimates, judgments, assumptions and beliefs regarding Marketing and the Master Lease, and accordingly, during the fourth quarter of 2011 or thereafter, we may be required to accrue for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities.

We have determined that the aggregate amount of the environmental liabilities attributable to Marketing (as estimated by us based on our assumptions and our analysis of information currently available to us described in more detail above) (the Marketing Environmental Liabilities ) would be material to us if we were required to accrue for all of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities since as a result of such accrual, we would not be in compliance with the existing financial covenants in our Credit Agreement and Term Loan Agreement. Such non-compliance would result in an event of default under the Credit Agreement and Term Loan Agreement which, if not waived, would prohibit us from drawing funds against the Credit Agreement and could result in the acceleration of our indebtedness under the Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement. (See General Marketing and the Master Lease above for additional information.)

The estimated future costs for known environmental remediation requirements are accrued when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. Environmental liabilities and related recoveries are measured based on their expected future cash flows which have been adjusted for inflation and discounted to present value. The environmental remediation liability is estimated based on the level and impact of contamination at each property and other factors described herein. The accrued liability is the aggregate of the best estimate for the fair value of cost for each component of the liability. Recoveries of environmental costs from state UST remediation funds, with respect to both past and future environmental spending, are accrued at fair value as an offset to environmental expense, net of allowance for collection risk, based on estimated recovery rates developed from our experience with the funds when such recoveries are considered probable.

Environmental exposures are difficult to assess and estimate for numerous reasons, including the extent of contamination, alternative treatment methods that may be applied, location of the property which subjects it to differing local laws and regulations and their interpretations, as well as the time it takes to remediate contamination. In developing our liability for probable and reasonably estimable environmental remediation costs on a property by property basis, we consider among other things, enacted laws and regulations, assessments of contamination and surrounding geology, quality of information available, currently available technologies for treatment, alternative methods of remediation and prior experience. Environmental accruals are based on estimates which are subject to significant change, and are adjusted as the remediation treatment progresses, as circumstances change and as environmental contingencies become more clearly defined and reasonably estimable.

---

**Table of Contents**

As of September 30, 2011, we had accrued \$10.8 million as management's best estimate of the net fair value of reasonably estimable environmental remediation costs which was comprised of \$14.8 million of estimated environmental obligations and liabilities offset by \$4.0 million of estimated recoveries from state UST remediation funds, net of allowance. Environmental expenditures, net of recoveries from UST funds, were \$2.1 million and \$2.5 million, respectively, for nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 estimated environmental remediation cost and accretion expense included in environmental expenses in continuing operations in our consolidated statements of operations amounted to \$2.0 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, which amounts were net of probable recoveries from state UST remediation funds.

Environmental liabilities and related assets are currently measured at fair value based on their expected future cash flows which have been adjusted for inflation and discounted to present value. We also use probability weighted alternative cash flow forecasts to determine fair value. We assumed a 50% probability factor that the actual environmental expenses will exceed engineering estimates for an amount assumed to equal one year of gross expenses aggregating \$5.4 million before recoveries from UST funds. Accordingly, the environmental accrual as of September 30, 2011 was increased by \$2.1 million, net of assumed recoveries and before inflation and present value discount adjustments. The resulting net environmental accrual as of September 30, 2011 was then further increased by \$0.7 million for the assumed impact of inflation using an inflation rate of 2.75%. Assuming a credit-adjusted risk-free discount rate of 7.00%, we then reduced the net environmental accrual, as previously adjusted, by a \$1.5 million discount to present value. Had we assumed an inflation rate that was 0.50% higher and a discount rate that was 0.50% lower, net environmental liabilities accrued as of September 30, 2011 would have increased by an aggregate of \$0.2 million. However, the aggregate net change in environmental estimates expense recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 would not have changed significantly if these changes in the assumptions were made effective December 31, 2010.

We cannot predict what environmental legislation or regulations may be enacted in the future or how existing laws or regulations will be administered or interpreted with respect to products or activities to which they have not previously been applied. We cannot predict if state UST fund programs will be administered and funded in the future in a manner that is consistent with past practices and if future environmental spending will continue to be eligible for reimbursement at historical recovery rates under these programs. Compliance with more stringent laws or regulations, as well as more vigorous enforcement policies of the regulatory agencies or stricter interpretation of existing laws, which may develop in the future, could have an adverse effect on our financial position, or that of our tenants, and could require substantial additional expenditures for future remediation.

In view of the uncertainties associated with environmental expenditures, contingencies concerning Marketing and the Master Lease and contingencies related to other parties, however, we believe it is possible that the fair value of future actual net expenditures could be substantially higher than these estimates. (See General Marketing and the Master Lease above for additional information.) Adjustments to accrued liabilities for environmental remediation costs will be reflected in our financial statements as they become probable and a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. Future environmental costs could cause a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price.

---

**Table of Contents*****Environmental Litigation***

We are subject to various legal proceedings and claims which arise in the ordinary course of our business. In addition, we have retained responsibility for certain legal proceedings and claims relating to the petroleum marketing business that were identified at the time the Company's petroleum marketing business was spun-off to our shareholders in March 1997. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had accrued \$3.7 million and \$3.3 million, respectively, for certain of these matters which we believe were appropriate based on information then currently available. It is possible that our assumptions regarding the ultimate allocation method and share of responsibility that we used to allocate environmental liabilities may change, which may result in our providing an accrual, or adjustments to the amounts recorded, for environmental litigation accruals. Matters related to the Lower Passaic River and certain MTBE multi-district litigation cases, in particular, could cause a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price. (See Item 3. Legal Proceedings which appears in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for additional information with respect to these and other pending environmental lawsuits and claims.)

**Forward-Looking Statements**

Certain statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. When we use the words believes, expects, plans, projects, estimates, anticipates, predicts and expressions, we intend to identify forward-looking statements. Examples of forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements regarding: our primary tenant, Marketing, and the Master Lease included in Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Recent Developments and Marketing and the Master Lease and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q; the impact of any modification or termination of the Master Lease on our business and ability to pay dividends or our stock price; the impact of Lukoil's transfer of its ownership interest in Marketing on Marketing's ability or willingness to perform its rental, environmental and other obligations under the Master Lease and other agreements between us; the reasonableness of and assumptions regarding our accounting estimates, judgments, assumptions and beliefs; our beliefs regarding Marketing and its operations, including our belief that it is not probable that Marketing will not pay for substantially all of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities; our intentions to sell and simultaneously remove properties from the Master Lease pursuant to individual lease modification agreements executed on a property-by-property basis; our ability to predict if, or when, properties will be removed from the Master Lease premises, what composition of properties, if any, may be removed from the Master Lease premises; what the terms of any agreement for modification of the Master Lease or agreements for the removal of individual properties from the Master Lease may be or what our recourse will be if the Master Lease is modified or terminated; our beliefs regarding the resolution of the Bionol arbitration panel's award against Marketing; our belief that it is probable that we will not collect all the rent due from Marketing during the initial term of the Master Lease; our exposure and liability due to and our estimates and assumptions regarding our environmental liabilities and remediation costs; our estimates and assumptions regarding the Marketing Environmental Liabilities and other environmental remediation costs; our belief that our accruals for environmental and litigation matters were appropriate; compliance with federal, state and local provisions enacted or adopted pertaining to environmental matters; the probable outcome of litigation or regulatory actions and its impact on us; our expected recoveries from underground storage tank funds; our

## **Table of Contents**

expectations regarding the indemnification obligations of the Company and others; future acquisitions and financing opportunities and their impact on our financial performance; our ability to renew expired leases; the adequacy of our current and anticipated cash flows from operations, borrowings under our Credit Agreement and available cash and cash equivalents; our expectations regarding refinancing or entering into an amendment of our Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement or entering into a new credit agreement; our expectation as to our continued compliance with the financial covenants in our Credit Agreement and Term Loan Agreement; our ability to re-let properties at market rents or sell properties and our ability to maintain our federal tax status as a real estate investment trust ( REIT ).

These forward-looking statements are based on our current beliefs and assumptions and information currently available to us, and involve known and unknown risks (including the risks described herein, those described in Marketing and the Master Lease herein, and other risks that we describe from time to time in this and our other filings with the SEC), uncertainties and other factors which may cause our actual results, performance and achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements.

These risks include, but are not limited to risks associated with: material dependence on Marketing as a tenant, Marketing's recent actions and the deterioration of our relationship with Marketing; the transfer of Lukoil's ownership interest in Marketing; Marketing's non-performance of its rental, environmental or other obligations under the Master Lease; the possibility that Marketing may file for bankruptcy protection and seek to reorganize or liquidate its business; the impact the arbitration panel's \$230 million award issued against Marketing will have on Marketing's ability or willingness to perform its rental, environmental and other obligations under the Master Lease and on Marketing's business; the modification or termination of the Master Lease; our inability to provide access to financial information about Marketing; the uncertainty of our estimates, judgments and assumptions associated with our accounting policies and methods; costs of completing environmental remediation and of compliance with environmental legislation and regulations; our ability to acquire or develop new properties; potential future acquisitions; owning and leasing real estate generally; adverse developments in general business, economic or political conditions; performance of our tenants of their lease obligations, tenant non-renewal and our ability to re-let or sell vacant properties; our dependence on external sources of capital; generalized credit market dislocations and contraction of available credit; our business operations generating sufficient cash for distributions or debt service; changes in interest rates and our ability to manage or mitigate this risk effectively; expenses not covered by insurance; potential exposure related to pending lawsuits and claims; owning real estate primarily concentrated in the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic regions of the United States; substantially all of our tenants depending on the same industry for their revenues; the impact of our electing to be treated as a REIT under the federal income tax laws, including subsequent failure to qualify as a REIT; our ability to pay dividends; changes in market conditions; adverse effect of inflation; the loss of a member or members of our management team; and terrorist attacks and other acts of violence and war.

As a result of these and other factors, we may experience material fluctuations in future operating results on a quarterly or annual basis, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results, ability to pay dividends or stock price. An investment in our stock involves various risks, including those mentioned above and elsewhere in this report and those that are described from time to time in our other filings with the SEC.



---

**Table of Contents**

You should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which reflect our view only as of the date hereof. We undertake no obligation to publicly release revisions to these forward-looking statements that reflect future events or circumstances or reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

Prior to April 2006, when we entered into the swap agreement with JPMorgan Chase, N.A. (the Swap Agreement), we had not used derivative financial or commodity instruments for trading, speculative or any other purpose, and had not entered into any instruments to hedge our exposure to interest rate risk. The Swap Agreement expired on June 30, 2011 and we currently do not intend to enter into another swap agreement. We do not have any foreign operations, and are therefore not exposed to foreign currency exchange rate.

We are exposed to interest rate risk, primarily as a result of our \$175.0 million Credit Agreement and our \$25.0 million Term Loan Agreement. We use borrowings under the Credit Agreement to finance acquisitions and for general corporate purposes. We used borrowings under the Term Loan Agreement to partially finance an acquisition in September 2009. Total borrowings outstanding as of September 30, 2011 under the Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement were \$147.7 million and \$23.0 million, respectively, bearing interest at a weighted-average rate of 1.60% per annum. The weighted-average effective rate is based on (i) \$147.7 million of LIBOR rate borrowings outstanding under the Credit Agreement floating at market rates plus a margin of 1.00%, and (ii) \$23.0 million of LIBOR based borrowings outstanding under the Term Loan Agreement floating at market rates (subject to a 30 day LIBOR floor of 0.40%) plus a margin of 3.10%. Our Credit Agreement, which expires in March 2012, permits borrowings at an interest rate equal to the sum of a base rate plus a margin of 0.00% or 0.25% or a LIBOR rate plus a margin of 1.00%, 1.25% or 1.50%. The applicable margin is based on our leverage ratio at the end of the prior calendar quarter, as defined in the Credit Agreement, and is adjusted effective mid-quarter when our quarterly financial results are reported to the Bank Syndicate. Based on our leverage ratio as of September 30, 2011, the applicable margin will remain at 0.00% for base rate borrowings and 1.00% for LIBOR rate borrowings. It is possible that our business operations or liquidity may be adversely affected by Marketing as discussed in General Marketing and the Master Lease above and as a result we may be in default of our Credit Agreement or Term Loan Agreement which if such default was not cured or waived would prohibit us from drawing funds against the Credit Agreement. An event of default if not cured or waived would increase by 2.00% the interest rate we pay under our Credit Agreement and would increase by 300 basis points (3.00%) the interest rate we pay under the Term Loan Agreement. We may be required to enter into alternative loan agreements, sell assets, reduce or eliminate our dividend or issue additional equity at unfavorable terms if we do not have access to funds under our Credit Agreement.

We manage our exposure to interest rate risk by minimizing, to the extent feasible, our overall borrowing and monitoring available financing alternatives. Our interest rate risk as of September 30, 2011 has increased significantly, as compared to December 31, 2010 primarily as a result of \$113.0 million drawn under the Credit Agreement to finance the transaction with CPD NY and \$92.1 million drawn under the Credit Agreement to finance the transaction with Nouria, partially offset by the repayment of approximately \$92.0 million of the borrowings then outstanding under the Credit Agreement with funds primarily received from the proceeds of a 3.45 million share common stock offering. Our interest rate risk may materially change in the future if we increase our borrowings under the Credit Agreement, amend our Credit Agreement or our Term Loan Agreement, seek other sources of debt or equity capital or refinance our outstanding debt.

## **Table of Contents**

We entered into a \$45.0 million LIBOR based interest rate Swap Agreement, which expired on June 30, 2011, to manage a portion of our interest rate risk. The Swap Agreement was intended to hedge \$45.0 million of our exposure to variable interest rate risk by effectively fixing, at 5.44%, the LIBOR component of the interest rate determined under our loan agreements. As a result, we were exposed to interest rate risk to the extent that our aggregate borrowings floating at market rates exceeded the \$45.0 million notional amount of the Swap Agreement. We have not determined if or when we will enter into other swap agreements in the future. It is possible that we may significantly change how we manage our interest rate risk in the near future due to, among other factors, the acquisition of properties or seeking other sources of capital.

Based on our aggregate average outstanding borrowings under the Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement projected at \$170.6 million for 2011, an increase in market interest rates of 0.50% for the remainder of 2011 would decrease our 2011 net income and cash flows by \$0.2 million. This amount was determined by calculating the effect of a hypothetical interest rate change on our aggregate borrowings floating at market rates, and assumes that the \$147.7 million outstanding borrowings under the Credit Agreement as of September 30, 2011 plus the \$22.9 million average scheduled outstanding borrowings for the remainder of 2011 under the Term Loan Agreement is indicative of our future average borrowings for 2011 before considering additional borrowings required for future acquisitions or repayment of outstanding borrowings from proceeds of future equity offerings. The calculation also assumes that there are no other changes in our financial structure or the terms of our borrowings. Our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates will increase or decrease in the future with increases or decreases in the outstanding amount under our Credit Agreement, with decreases in the outstanding amount under our Term Loan Agreement and with increases or decreases in amounts outstanding under borrowing agreements entered into with interest rates floating at market rates.

In order to minimize our exposure to credit risk associated with financial instruments, we place our temporary cash investments with high-credit-quality institutions. Temporary cash investments, if any, are currently held in an overnight bank time deposit with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports filed or furnished pursuant to the Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As required by the Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(b), the Company has carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the Company's Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and

**Table of Contents**

operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on the foregoing, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2011.

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the latest fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

---

**Table of Contents**

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

Please refer to Item 3. Legal Proceedings of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, and to note 3 to our accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements which appears in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, for additional information.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

There have not been any material changes to the information previously disclosed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors which appears in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 except as disclosed in Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors which appear in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 and June 30, 2011 filed with the SEC and as follows:

*Our financial results are materially dependent on the performance of Marketing. Marketing's financial condition has deteriorated in recent years. Our relationship with Marketing has deteriorated over the past several months and the parties are currently in litigation. Any factor that adversely affects Marketing's ability to meet or perform its rental, environmental or other obligations under the Master Lease could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, revenues, operating expenses, results of operations, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price.*

Our financial results are materially dependent upon the ability of Marketing to meet its rental, environmental and other obligations under the Master Lease. A substantial portion of our revenues (52% for the three months ended September 30, 2011) are derived from the Master Lease. Our relationship with Marketing has deteriorated over the past several months. We are actively pursuing claims related to the non-payment of rent as well as other defaults by Marketing under the Master Lease, including taking legal action to enforce our rights under the Master Lease. We cannot provide any assurance regarding the ultimate resolution of these and any subsequent actions, including whether Marketing will comply with its rental, environmental and other obligations under the Master Lease. Accordingly, any factor that adversely affects Marketing's ability to meet its rental, environmental and other obligations under the Master Lease may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, revenues, operating expenses, results of operations, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price. (For additional information regarding the portion of our financial results that are attributable to Marketing, see note 9 in Item 1. Financial Statements Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. )

In late August 2011, Marketing sent us a notice (the Notice ) alleging that we were in default of certain of our obligations under the Master Lease by failing to perform certain environmental remediation. The Notice stated that if we failed to cure the alleged default, Marketing intended to offset the full amount of its monthly rental payments due to us under the Master Lease for October and November 2011. We believe that the alleged default is wholly without merit, that Marketing has no right to offset rent under the Master Lease based on the allegations they have made and that we are in compliance with our environmental obligations under the Master Lease. In compliance with an order issued by the New York State Supreme Court, Marketing paid an amount equal to the full October 2011 fixed rent under the Master Lease of which approximately \$4.0 million was paid to us and the balance of approximately \$0.9 million was paid to the court to be held in escrow. A judicial determination on the merits of Marketing's

## Table of Contents

claim to have a right of offset against fixed rent otherwise due under the Master Lease, and thus a disposition of monies held by the court, remains pending. We anticipate that, in connection with Marketing's claim regarding rent offset rights or other claims or allegations that have been or may in the future be made, we may continue to experience disruption in rent receipts due from Marketing under the Master Lease. It is also possible that Marketing may assert additional claims against us. We intend to pursue our current actions against Marketing and, if necessary, bring other actions against Marketing to enforce our rights under the Master Lease.

Marketing provided us with financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010; however, these financial statements were not certified as prepared in accordance with GAAP by either an independent certified public accountant or one of Marketing's executive officers as required by the terms of the Master Lease. For the year ended December 31, 2010, Marketing reported a significant loss, continuing a trend of reporting large losses in recent years. Marketing continued to report significant losses in its preliminary interim financial statements provided to us for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Marketing's financial statements provided to us for three months ended March 31, 2011 and for the six months ended June 30, 2011 are preliminary and subject to material adjustment. We believe that Marketing likely does not have the ability to generate cash flows from its business operations sufficient to meet its rental, environmental and other obligations under the terms of the Master Lease unless Marketing shows significant improvement in its financial results, reduces the number of properties under the Master Lease, receives additional capital or credit support or generates funds elsewhere. There can be no assurance that Marketing will be successful in any of these efforts. It is possible that in connection with a restructuring of the portfolio of properties subject of the Master Lease, we may consider a deferral or reduction in the rental payments owed to us under the Master Lease. It is also possible that as a result of a continued deterioration of Marketing's financial condition, Marketing may file bankruptcy and seek to reorganize or liquidate its business.

As of September 30, 2011, the net carrying value of the deferred rent receivable attributable to the Master Lease was \$8.8 million and the aggregate amount of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities, net of expected recoveries from underground storage tank funds, for which we may ultimately be responsible to pay but have not accrued range between \$13 million and \$20 million. Since we generally do not have access to certain site specific information available to Marketing, which under the Master Lease is the party responsible for paying and managing its environmental remediation expenses at certain of our properties, our estimates were developed in large part by review of the limited publicly available information gathered through electronic databases and freedom of information requests and assumptions we made based on that data and on our own experiences with environmental remediation matters. The actual amounts of the ranges estimated above may be significantly higher than our estimates and we can provide no assurance as to the accuracy of these estimates.

Our estimates, judgments, assumptions and beliefs regarding Marketing and the Master Lease made effective September 30, 2011 that affect the amounts reported in our financial statements are reviewed on an ongoing basis and are subject to possible change. Accordingly, it is possible that during the fourth quarter of 2011 or thereafter, we may be required to increase the deferred rent receivable reserve, record impairment charges related to the portfolio of properties subject of the Master Lease or accrue for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities as a result of the potential or actual modification of the Master Lease or as a result of the potential or actual bankruptcy filing by Marketing or other factors including those discussed above, which may result in material adjustments to the amounts recorded for these assets and

## Table of Contents

liabilities, and as a result of which, we may not be in compliance with the financial covenants in our Credit Agreement and our Term Loan Agreement.

If Marketing does not meet its rental, environmental and other obligations under the Master Lease, our business, financial condition, revenues, operating expenses, results of operations, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price may be materially adversely affected.

***If it becomes probable that Marketing will not pay its environmental obligations, or if we change our assumptions for environmental liabilities related to the Master Lease we would be in default of our Credit Agreement or Term Loan Agreement, and our business, financial condition, revenues, operating expenses, results of operations, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price could be materially adversely affected.***

Marketing is directly responsible to pay for (i) remediation of environmental contamination it causes and compliance with various environmental laws and regulations as the operator of our properties, and (ii) known and unknown environmental liabilities allocated to Marketing under the terms of the Master Lease relating to Marketing's business and the properties it leases from us (collectively, the Marketing Environmental Liabilities). Under the Master Lease, our environmental remediation obligations are limited to incidents at 166 retail sites that have not achieved Closure and are scheduled in the Master Lease and we provide limited indemnification to Marketing for certain pre-existing conditions at six of the terminals we own and lease to Marketing. If Marketing fails to pay the Marketing Environmental Liabilities, we may ultimately be responsible to pay for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities as the property owner. We do not maintain pollution legal liability insurance to protect us from potential future claims for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities. We will be required to accrue for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities if we determine that it is probable that Marketing will not meet its environmental obligations and we can reasonably estimate the amount of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities for which we will be responsible to pay, or if our assumptions regarding the ultimate allocation methods or share of responsibility that we used to allocate environmental liabilities changes. However, we continue to believe that it is not probable that Marketing will not pay for substantially all of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities. Accordingly, we have not accrued for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities.

Nonetheless, we have determined that the aggregate amount of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities (as estimated by us) would be material to us if we were required to accrue for all of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities since as a result of such accrual, we would not be in compliance with the existing financial covenants in our Credit Agreement and our Term Loan Agreement. Such non-compliance would result in an event of default under the Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement which, if not waived, would prohibit us from drawing funds against the Credit Agreement and could result in the acceleration of our indebtedness under the Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement. It is possible that we may change our estimates, judgments, assumptions and beliefs regarding Marketing and the Master Lease, and accordingly, during the fourth quarter of 2011 or thereafter, we may be required to accrue for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities. If we determine that it is probable that Marketing will not meet the Marketing Environmental Liabilities and we accrue for such liabilities, our business, financial condition, revenues, operating expenses, results of operations, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price may be materially adversely affected.

## Table of Contents

We estimate that as of September 30, 2011, the aggregate amount of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities, net of expected recoveries from underground storage tank funds, for which we may ultimately be responsible to pay but have not accrued range between \$13 million and \$20 million. Since we generally do not have access to certain site specific information available to Marketing, which under the Master Lease is the party responsible for paying and managing its environmental remediation expenses at certain of our properties, our estimates were developed in large part by review of the limited publicly available information gathered through electronic databases and freedom of information requests and assumptions we made based on that data and on our own experiences with environmental remediation matters. The actual amounts of the ranges estimated above may be significantly higher than our estimates and we can provide no assurance as to the accuracy of these estimates.

In view of the uncertainties associated with environmental expenditures, contingencies concerning Marketing and the Master Lease and contingencies related to other parties, however, we believe it is possible that the fair value of future actual net expenditures could be substantially higher than these estimates. (See Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations General Marketing and the Master Lease above for additional information.) Adjustments to accrued liabilities for environmental remediation costs will be reflected in our financial statements as they become probable and a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. Future environmental costs could cause a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price.

***If the Master Lease is modified significantly or terminated, our business, financial condition, revenues, operating expenses, results of operations, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price could be materially adversely affected.***

From time to time when it was owned by Lukoil, we held discussions with representatives of Marketing regarding potential modifications to the Master Lease. These discussions did not result in a common understanding with Marketing that would form a basis for modification of the Master Lease. After Lukoil's transfer of its ownership of Marketing to Cambridge, we commenced discussions with Marketing's new owners and management. Marketing's new management has indicated a desire to reduce the number of properties comprising the unitary premises it leases from us under the Master Lease in an effort to improve Marketing's financial results. As a result of these recent discussions, with Marketing's consent and agreement, we started to pursue the sale and simultaneous removal of individual properties from the Master Lease. We have focused our initial efforts in this initiative on the sale of terminal properties, and approximately 160 of our retail properties which do not have underground storage tanks and no longer operate as retail motor fuel outlets. Simultaneously with a transaction closing, we would, with Marketing's previously received consent and agreement, remove such property from the unitary premises covered by Master Lease and reduce the rent payable by Marketing by an amount calculated based upon a percentage of net proceeds realized upon the sale of such property.

While we have an ongoing process with Marketing allowing for increased activity intended to sell and simultaneously remove properties from the unitary premises covered by the Master Lease, there is no master agreement in place for this process. In view of the litigation activity described above, we are reevaluating our options related to the removal of properties from the unitary premises covered by the Master Lease. Any modification of the Master Lease that results in the removal of a significant number of properties from the Master Lease premises would likely significantly reduce the amount of rent we

## **Table of Contents**

receive from Marketing and increase our operating expenses. We cannot predict if or when properties will be removed from the Master Lease premises; what composition of properties, if any, may be removed from the Master Lease premises; or what the terms of any agreement for modification of the Master Lease or agreements for the removal of individual properties from the Master Lease may be. We also cannot predict what actions Marketing may take, and what our recourse may be, whether the Master Lease is modified or not. We cannot predict if or how Marketing's business strategy, including as it relates to the removal of properties from the Master Lease premises, may change in the future.

During the fourth quarter of 2011 or thereafter, we may be required to increase the deferred rent receivable reserve, record impairment charges related to the portfolio of properties subject of the Master Lease or accrue for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities as a result of the potential or actual modification of the Master Lease or as a result of the potential or actual bankruptcy filing by Marketing or other factors including those discussed above, which may result in material adjustments to the amounts recorded for these assets and liabilities.

As of September 30, 2011, Marketing was not operating eight of the nine terminals it leases from us and had removed, or has scheduled removal of, the underground gasoline storage tanks and related equipment at approximately 160 of our retail properties. We intend either to re-let or sell properties that are removed from the Master Lease premises, whether such removal arises consensually by negotiation or as a result of default by Marketing, and reinvest sales proceeds realized in new properties. With respect to properties that are vacant or have had underground gasoline storage tanks and related equipment removed, it may be more difficult or costly to re-let or sell such properties as gas stations because of capital costs or possible zoning or permitting rights that are required and that may have lapsed during the period since gasoline was last sold at the property. Conversely, it may be easier to re-let or sell properties where underground gasoline storage tanks and related equipment have been removed if the property will not be used as a retail motor fuel outlet or if environmental contamination has been or is being remediated. Although we are the fee or leasehold owner of the properties subject of the Master Lease and the owner of the Getty® brand, and have prior experience with tenants who operate their gas stations, convenience stores, automotive repair services or other businesses at our properties, in the event that properties are removed from the Master Lease premises, we cannot predict if, when or on what terms such properties could be re-let or sold.

*We are dependent on external sources of capital which may not be available on favorable terms, or at all.*

We are dependent on external sources of capital to maintain our status as a REIT and must distribute to our shareholders each year at least ninety percent of our net taxable income, excluding any net capital gain. Because of these distribution requirements, it is not likely that we will be able to fund all future capital needs, including acquisitions, from income from operations. Therefore, we will have to continue to rely on third party sources of capital, which may or may not be available on favorable terms, or at all. As part of our overall growth strategy we regularly review opportunities to acquire additional properties and we expect to continue to pursue acquisitions that we believe will benefit our financial performance. To the extent that our current sources of liquidity are not sufficient to fund such acquisitions we will require other sources of capital, which may or may not be available on favorable terms or at all. Other sources of capital may significantly increase our interest rate risk or adversely impact how we manage our interest rate risk. We cannot accurately predict how periods of illiquidity in the credit markets, or developments or contingencies related to Marketing and the Master Lease, will impact our access to or cost of capital. In



---

**Table of Contents**

addition, additional equity offerings may result in substantial dilution of shareholder's interests, and additional debt financing may substantially increase our leverage. Our access to third party sources of capital depends upon a number of factors including general market conditions, the market's perception of our growth potential, our current and potential future earnings and cash distributions, covenants and limitations imposed under our Credit Agreement and our Term Loan Agreement and the market price of our common stock.

Our principal sources of liquidity are our cash flows from operations and available cash and cash equivalents. Historically, we have also utilized our Credit Agreement that expires in March 2012 as a source of liquidity. However, as described above in the "Recent Developments" section, the deterioration of our relationship with Marketing, Marketing's recent actions and the risk of additional developments with Marketing may restrict our ability to borrow funds under our Credit Agreement. It is possible that our business operations or liquidity may be adversely affected by Marketing's actions, including its failure to pay rent to us when due, which non-payment or delay in payment could give rise to an event of default under the Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement. Any such event of default, if not waived, would prohibit us from drawing funds against the Credit Agreement, could result in an increase in the cost of our borrowings or the acceleration of our indebtedness under the Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement. In order to continue to meet our liquidity needs (including the repayment of the balance outstanding under the Credit Agreement when due in March 2012), we must extend the maturity of or refinance our existing debt or obtain additional sources of financing. Additional sources of financing may be more expensive or contain more onerous terms than exist under our current Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement, or simply may not be available. As a result, we may be required to enter into alternative loan agreements, sell assets, reduce or eliminate our dividend or issue additional equity at unfavorable terms if we do not extend the term of the Credit Agreement beyond March 2012. There can be no assurance that at or prior to expiration of the Credit Agreement we will be able to amend the Credit Agreement or enter into a new revolving credit agreement on favorable terms, if at all.

Our ability to meet the financial and other covenants relating to our Credit Agreement and our Term Loan Agreement is dependent on the performance of our tenants, including Marketing. Should our assessments, assumptions and beliefs that affect our accounting prove to be incorrect, or if circumstances change, we may have to materially adjust the amounts recorded in our financial statements for certain assets and liabilities, and as a result of which, we may not be in compliance with the financial covenants in our Credit Agreement and our Term Loan Agreement. We have determined that the aggregate amount of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities (as estimated by us, based on our assumptions and analysis of information currently available to us described in more detail above) would be material to us if we were required to accrue for all of the Marketing Environmental Liabilities since as a result of such accrual, we would not be in compliance with the existing financial covenants in our Credit Agreement and our Term Loan Agreement. (For additional information with respect to the Marketing Environmental Liabilities, see Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - General Marketing and the Master Lease. )

If we are not in compliance with one or more of our covenants which if not complied with could result in an event of default under our Credit Agreement or our Term Loan Agreement, there can be no assurance that our lenders would waive such non-compliance. In such an event, we would be prohibited from drawing funds against the Credit Agreement and our indebtedness under the Credit Agreement and the Term Loan Agreement could be accelerated. An event of default if not cured or waived would increase by 2.00% the interest rate we pay under our Credit Agreement. We may be unable to fulfill commitments

## **Table of Contents**

relating to completion of future acquisitions, if any, and incur monetary losses or damage our reputation if we cannot draw sufficient funds against the Credit Agreement. This could have a material adverse affect on our business, financial condition, results of operation, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price.

Our access to third party sources of capital depends upon a number of factors including general market conditions, the market's perception of our growth potential, our current and potential future earnings and cash distributions, covenants and limitations imposed under our Credit Agreement and our Term Loan Agreement and the market price of our common stock. If one or more of the financial institutions that support our Credit Agreement fails, we may not be able to find a replacement, which would negatively impact our ability to borrow under our Credit Agreement.

It is possible that we may change our estimates, judgments, assumptions and beliefs regarding Marketing and the Master Lease, and accordingly, during the fourth quarter of 2011 or thereafter, we may be required to accrue for the Marketing Environmental Liabilities. If we determine that it is probable that Marketing will not meet the Marketing Environmental Liabilities and we accrue for such liabilities, our business, financial condition, revenues, operating expenses, results of operations, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or stock price may be materially adversely affected.

***We are exposed to interest rate risk and there can be no assurances that we will manage or mitigate this risk effectively.***

We are exposed to interest rate risk, primarily as a result of our Credit Agreement which expires in March 2012 and our Term Loan Agreement which is due in September 2012. Borrowings under our Credit Agreement and our Term Loan Agreement bear interest at a floating rate. Accordingly, an increase in interest rates will increase the amount of interest we must pay under our Credit Agreement and our Term Loan Agreement. Our interest rate risk may materially change in the future if we increase our borrowings under the Credit Agreement, amend our Credit Agreement or our Term Loan Agreement, seek other sources of debt or equity capital or refinance our outstanding debt. A significant increase in interest rates could also make it more difficult to find alternative financing on desirable terms. (For additional information with respect to interest rate risk, see Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risks .)

***We may be unable to pay dividends.***

Under the Maryland General Corporation Law, our ability to pay dividends would be restricted if, after payment of the dividend, (1) we would not be able to pay indebtedness as it becomes due in the usual course of business or (2) our total assets would be less than the sum of our liabilities plus the amount that would be needed, if we were to be dissolved, to satisfy the rights of any shareholders with liquidation preferences. There currently are no shareholders with liquidation preferences.

The decision to declare and pay dividends on our common stock in the future, as well as the timing, amount and composition of any such future dividends, will be at the sole discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on such factors as the Board of Directors deems relevant. In addition, our Credit Agreement and our Term Loan Agreement prohibit the payments of dividends during certain events of default. Our estimates, judgments, assumptions and beliefs regarding Marketing and the Master Lease made effective September 30, 2011 that affect the amounts reported in our financial statements are reviewed on an ongoing basis and are subject to possible change as a result of the developments described above in Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Recent Developments. (For additional information regarding Marketing and the Master

**Table of Contents**

Lease, see Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - General Marketing and the Master Lease.) No assurance can be given that our financial performance in the future will permit our payment of any dividends at the level historically paid, if at all.

To qualify for taxation as a REIT, the Company, among other requirements, must distribute annually to its stockholders at least 90% of its REIT taxable income, including taxable income that is accrued by the Company without a corresponding receipt of cash. A recent Internal Revenue Service (IRS) revenue procedure allows us to satisfy the REIT income distribution requirement by distributing up to 90% of our dividends on our common stock in shares of our common stock in lieu of paying dividends entirely in cash. In the event that we pay a portion of a dividend in shares of our common stock, taxable U.S. shareholders would be required to pay tax on the entire amount of the dividend, including the portion paid in shares of common stock, in which case such shareholders might have to pay the tax using cash from other sources. If a U.S. shareholder sells the stock it receives as a dividend in order to pay this tax, the sales proceeds may be less than the amount included in income with respect to the dividend, depending on the market price of our common stock at the time of the sale. Furthermore, with respect to non-U.S. shareholders, we may be required to withhold U.S. tax with respect to such dividend, including in respect of all or a portion of such dividend that is payable in stock. In addition, if a significant number of our shareholders sell shares of our common stock in order to pay taxes owed on dividends, such sales would put downward pressure on the market price of our common stock.

As a result of the factors described above, we may experience material fluctuations in future operating results on a quarterly or annual basis, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, revenues, operating expenses, results of operations, liquidity, ability to pay dividends or our stock price.

**Item 4. Other Information**

None.

**Table of Contents**

**Item 5. Exhibits**

| <b>Exhibit No.</b> | <b>Description of Exhibit</b>  |
|--------------------|--|
| 31(i).1            | Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer                    |
| 31(i).2            | Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer                    |
| 32.1               | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350 (a)  |
| 32.2               | Certifications of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350 (a) |
| 101.INS            | XBRL Instance Document (b)   |
| 101.SCH            | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema (b)   |
| 101.CAL            | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase (b)                           |
| 101.LAB            | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase (b)                                 |
| 101.PRE            | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase (b)                          |

- (a) These certifications are being furnished solely to accompany the Report pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, and are not being filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and are not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of the Company, whether made before or after the date hereof, regardless of any general incorporation language in such filing.
- (b) Filed herewith. XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

Table of Contents

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Getty Realty Corp.

(Registrant)

BY: /s/ Thomas J. Stirnweis  
(Signature)

THOMAS J. STIRNWEIS  
Vice President, Treasurer and

Chief Financial Officer

November 8, 2011

BY: /s/ David B. Driscoll  
(Signature)

DAVID B. DRISCOLL  
President and Chief

Executive Officer

November 8, 2011