HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD Form 10-Q April 30, 2012 Table of Contents

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

# QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

**QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED March 31, 2012** 

Commission File Number 1-34073

# **Huntington Bancshares Incorporated**

Maryland (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 31-0724920 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

41 South High Street, Columbus, Ohio 43287

Registrant s telephone number (614) 480-8300

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. x Yes "No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). x Yes "No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). " Yes x No

There were 864,674,530 shares of Registrant s common stock (\$0.01 par value) outstanding on March 31, 2012.

# <u>HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INCORPORATED</u>

#### **INDEX**

PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, and March 31, 2011	63
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011	64
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011	65
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011	66
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011	67
	Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	68
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	6
	Executive Overview	7
	Discussion of Results of Operations	10
	Risk Management and Capital: Credit Risk Market Risk Liquidity Risk Operational Risk Compliance Risk Capital  Business Segment Discussion	21 36 38 42 43 44
2 1 2 2 2 1	Additional Disclosures	60
Item 3.	Ouantitative and Oualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	131
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	131
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	131
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	131
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	131
Item 6.	Exhibits	131
Signatures		133

#### **Glossary of Acronyms and Terms**

The following listing provides a comprehensive reference of common acronyms and terms used throughout the document:

2011 Form 10-K Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011

ABL Asset Based Lending
ACL Allowance for Credit Losses

AFCRE Automobile Finance and Commercial Real Estate
ALCO Asset & Liability Management Committee
ALLL Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

ARM Adjustable Rate Mortgage

ARRA American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009

ASC Accounting Standards Codification
ASU Accounting Standards Update
ATM Automated Teller Machine

AULC Allowance for Unfunded Loan Commitments

AVM Automated Valuation Methodology

C&I Commercial and Industrial CapPR Capital Plan Review

CCAR Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review CDARS Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service

CDO Collateralized Debt Obligations

CDs Certificates of Deposit

CFPB Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection CMO Collateralized Mortgage Obligations

CPP Capital Purchase Program
CRE Commercial Real Estate
DDA Demand Deposit Account
DIF Deposit Insurance Fund

Dodd-Frank Act Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act

EESA Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008

EPS Earnings Per Share

ERISA Employee Retirement Income Security Act

EVE Economic Value of Equity

FASB Financial Accounting Standards Board FDIC Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

FDICIA Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991

FFIEC Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council

FHA Federal Housing Administration FHFA Federal Housing Finance Agency FHLB Federal Home Loan Bank

FHLMC Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation FICA Federal Insurance Contributions Act

FICO Fair Isaac Corporation

FOMC Federal Open Market Committee
FNMA Federal National Mortgage Association
Franklin Franklin Credit Management Corporation

FRB Federal Reserve Bank
FSP Financial Stability Plan
FTE Fully-Taxable Equivalent
FTP Funds Transfer Pricing

GAAP Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States of America

GSIFI Globally Systemically Important Financial Institution

GSE Government Sponsored Enterprise
HAMP Home Affordable Modification Program
HARP Home Affordable Refinance Program
HASP Homeowner Affordability and Stability Plan

HCER Act Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010

IPO Initial Public Offering
IRS Internal Revenue Service
ISE Interest Sensitive Earnings
LIBOR London Interbank Offered Rate

LGD Loss-Given-Default LTV Loan to Value

MD&A Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

MRC Market Risk Committee
MSA Metropolitan Statistical Area
MSR Mortgage Servicing Rights

NALs Nonaccrual Loans
NAV Net Asset Value
NCO Net Charge-off
NPAs Nonperforming Assets

NSF / OD Nonsufficient Funds and Overdraft
OCC Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
OCI Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
OCR Optimal Customer Relationship
OLEM Other Loans Especially Mentioned

OREO Other Real Estate Owned

OTTI Other-Than-Temporary Impairment

PD Probability-Of-Default

Plan Huntington Bancshares Retirement Plan

Reg E Regulation E of the Electronic Fund Transfer Act

REIT Real Estate Investment Trust
SAD Special Assets Division
SBA Small Business Administration
SEC Securities and Exchange Commission
SERP Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan
SIFIS Systemically Important Financial Institutions

Sky Financial Group, Inc.

SRIP Supplemental Retirement Income Plan
Sky Trust Sky Bank and Sky Trust, National Association
TAGP Transaction Account Guarantee Program

TARP Troubled Asset Relief Program
TARP Capital Series B Preferred Stock

4

#### **Table of Contents**

TCE Tangible Common Equity
TDR Troubled Debt Restructured Loan
TLGP Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program

Treasury U.S. Department of the Treasury UCS Uniform Classification System UPB Unpaid Principal Balance USDA U.S. Department of Agriculture VA U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs

VIE Variable Interest Entity

WGH Wealth Advisors, Government Finance, and Home Lending

5

#### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

When we refer to we, our, and us in this report, we mean Huntington Bancshares Incorporated and our consolidated subsidiaries, unless the context indicates that we refer only to the parent company, Huntington Bancshares Incorporated. When we refer to the Bank in this report, we mean our only bank subsidiary, The Huntington National Bank, and its subsidiaries.

#### Item 2: Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

#### INTRODUCTION

We are a multi-state diversified regional bank holding company organized under Maryland law in 1966 and headquartered in Columbus, Ohio. Through the Bank, we have 145 years of servicing the financial needs of our customers. Through our subsidiaries, we provide full-service commercial and consumer banking services, mortgage banking services, automobile financing, equipment leasing, investment management, trust services, brokerage services, customized insurance service programs, and other financial products and services. Our over 650 banking offices are located in Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia. Selected financial services and other activities are also conducted in various other states. International banking services are available through the headquarters office in Columbus, Ohio and a limited purpose office located in the Cayman Islands and another limited purpose office located in Hong Kong. Our foreign banking activities, in total or with any individual country, are not significant.

This MD&A provides information we believe necessary for understanding our financial condition, changes in financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows. The MD&A included in our 2011 Form 10-K should be read in conjunction with this MD&A as this discussion provides only material updates to the 2011 Form 10-K. This MD&A should also be read in conjunction with the financial statements, notes and other information contained in this report.

Our discussion is divided into key segments:

**Executive Overview** Provides a summary of our current financial performance, and business overview, including our thoughts on the impact of the economy, legislative and regulatory initiatives, and recent industry developments. This section also provides our outlook regarding our expectations for the remainder of 2012.

**Discussion of Results of Operations** Reviews financial performance from a consolidated Company perspective. It also includes a Significant Items section that summarizes key issues helpful for understanding performance trends. Key consolidated average balance sheet and income statement trends are also discussed in this section.

**Risk Management and Capital** Discusses credit, market, liquidity, operational, and compliance risks, including how these are managed, as well as performance trends. It also includes a discussion of liquidity policies, how we obtain funding, and related performance. In addition, there is a discussion of guarantees and / or commitments made for items such as standby letters of credit and commitments to sell loans, and a discussion that reviews the adequacy of capital, including regulatory capital requirements.

**Business Segment Discussion** Provides an overview of financial performance for each of our major business segments and provides additional discussion of trends underlying consolidated financial performance.

Additional Disclosures Provides comments on important matters including forward-looking statements, critical accounting policies and use of significant estimates, recent accounting pronouncements and developments, and acquisitions.

A reading of each section is important to understand fully the nature of our financial performance and prospects.

6

#### **EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW**

#### **Summary of 2012 First Quarter Results**

For the quarter, we reported net income of \$153.3 million, or \$0.17 per common share, compared with \$126.9 million, or \$0.14 per common share, in the prior quarter (see Table 1).

Fully-taxable equivalent net interest income was \$421.1 million for the quarter, up \$2.6 million, or 1%, from the prior quarter. The increase reflected the benefit of a \$0.6 billion, or 1% (5% annualized), increase in average earning assets, and a 2 basis point increase in the fully-taxable equivalent net interest margin to 3.40% from 3.38%. The 2 basis point increase in the net interest margin reflected the benefits from the 7 basis point reduction in the cost of deposit pricing and an increase in low cost funding, partially offset by a 5 basis point decrease from the mix and yield of earning assets.

The provision for credit losses decreased \$10.9 million, or 24%, from the prior quarter. This reflected a larger reduction of the ACL than in the prior quarter due to the continued improvement in credit quality as we gradually migrate toward normal levels.

Noninterest income increased \$56.0 million, or 24%. This included a \$23.9 million increase in gain on loan sales as the current quarter included a \$23.0 million gain associated with the automobile loan securitization. In addition, the first quarter was positively impacted by a \$22.3 million increase in mortgage banking income. This was driven by an \$11.7 million increase in MSR net hedging income and a \$10.1 million increase in origination and secondary marketing income. Other income included an \$11.4 million bargain purchase gain related to the FDIC-assisted acquisition of Dearborn, Michigan-based Fidelity Bank on March 30, 2012. As part of this transaction, we acquired approximately \$520.6 million of loans, \$713.4 million of deposits, and certain other assets and liabilities of Fidelity Bank from the FDIC. All assets and liabilities were recorded at their estimated fair value.

Noninterest expense increased \$32.4 million, or 8%. This reflected a \$23.5 million addition to litigation reserves in other expense and the absence of a \$9.7 million gain on the early extinguishment of debt (trust preferred securities) recorded last quarter. Personnel costs increased \$15.4 million, or 7%, most notably impacted by approximately \$9 million of costs related to the annual payroll tax resets and other benefit expense. These negative impacts were partially offset by an \$11.4 million decrease in outside data processing and other services, reflecting the absence of \$5.0 million of expenses associated with the conversion to a new debit card processor that were incurred last quarter.

The period end ACL as a percentage of total loans and leases decreased to 2.37%, from 2.60%. The ACL as a percentage of period end NALs increased to 206% from 187% at the end of 2011. NALs declined 14% to \$467.6 million, or 1.15% of total loans. Total NCOs for the 2012 first quarter were \$83.0 million, or an annualized 0.85% of average total loans and leases. This was consistent with \$83.9 million, or an annualized 0.85%, in the prior quarter. The continued improvement in credit quality performance reflected the positive results of the actions taken over the last three years to address credit-related issues in our loan portfolio. Many of our credit quality performance metrics remain elevated compared with historical levels, and we expect continued improvement.

Capital levels continued to be strong. Our Tier 1 common risk-based capital ratio at March 31, 2012, was 10.15%, up from 10.00% at December 31, 2011, with our tangible common equity ratio increasing to 8.33% from 8.30% over this same period. The regulatory Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio at March 31, 2012 was 12.22%, up from 12.11%, at year end, while our Total risk based capital ratio declined slightly to 14.76% from 14.77%. This decline reflected an increase in risk-weighted assets due to balance sheet growth.

The Federal Reserve completed its review of our January 2012 capital plan submission and did not object to our proposed capital actions. This allows us to maintain our common dividend through the first quarter of 2013. It also gives us the potential to repurchase up to \$182 million of common stock. Reinvesting excess capital to grow the business organically remains our first priority. Importantly, through dividends and now share repurchases, we have the flexibility, subject to market conditions, to return a meaningful amount of our earnings to our shareholders.

#### **Business Overview**

#### General

Our general business objectives are: (1) grow net interest income and fee income, (2) increase cross-sell and share-of-wallet across all business segments, (3) improve efficiency ratio, and (4) continue to strengthen risk management, including sustained improvement in credit metrics.

Consumer checking account households grew at a 14.2% annualized rate during the quarter and were up 11.7% compared to a year ago. The percent of consumer checking account households with four or more products or services was 1.6 percentage points higher, up to 75.1% from 73.5% last quarter. The percent of commercial relationships with four or more products or services at the end of the quarter was 32.7%, up from 31.4% in the prior quarter. These growth and cross-sell rates are why service charges on deposit accounts increased 11% from a year ago and limited the decline in electronic banking income to \$10 million over a similar timeframe. We have already made up 20% of the electronic banking revenue lost due to the Durbin Amendment of the Dodd-Frank Act. These financial results point to the competitive advantage we are building through our Fair Play consumer strategy that is built on simply doing the right thing for our customers.

#### **Economy**

Some of the encouraging signs seen late last year continued to build throughout the quarter and drove modest economic growth. Parts of the Midwest region are recovering faster than the broader United States, with lower levels of unemployment, resurgence in manufacturing, and budget surpluses for several states for the first time in years. All of our footprint large metropolitan statistical areas (MSA) unemployment rates were below the national average as of February 2012, with the exception of Detroit. However, Michigan s unemployment rate has declined faster than the national average. In addition, our footprint states have continued to be strong export states. For the three-month average ending February 2012, exports from our footprint states were 12.6% greater than the prior period. By comparison, overall U.S. exports were 9.4% higher. However, office vacancy rates in our footprint MSAs were above the national vacancy rate in the prior quarter, with the exception of Pittsburgh. While our footprint has clearly benefitted from certain aspects of this recovery, the United States and global economies continue to experience elevated levels of volatility and uncertainty.

#### Legislative and Regulatory

Regulatory reforms continue to be adopted which impose additional restrictions on current business practices. A recent action affecting us was the Federal Reserve capital plans rule.

Capital Plans Rule / Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review (CCAR) In November 2011, the Federal Reserve issued its final rule requiring top-tier U.S. bank holding companies with total consolidated assets of \$50 billion or more, including us, to submit to an annual capital planning review process. The capital planning review process includes reviews of our internal capital adequacy assessment process and our plans to make capital distributions, such as dividend payments or stock repurchases, as well as a supervisory stress test designed to test our capital adequacy.

During 2011, we participated in the Federal Reserve s Capital Plan Review (CapPR) process and made our capital plan submission in January 2012. On March 14, 2012, we announced that the Federal Reserve had completed its review of our capital plan submission and did not object to our proposed capital actions. During 2012, we will transition into the Federal Reserve s more rigorous CCAR or equivalent process, which had previously been required of only the largest 19 bank holding companies.

The Federal Reserve s objective with CCAR is to ensure that large, systemically important banking institutions have forward-looking, risk tailored capital planning processes that provide reasonable assurance that they will have sufficient capital to remain going concerns in times of economic and financial distress. We are expected to have credible two year pro forma plans that illustrate that we will have sufficient capital to operate as usual, under adverse conditions, while still meeting certain regulatory capital thresholds.

Annually, the Federal Reserve will issue detailed instructions outlining the information they are requiring from us, as well as the required timeframes. The instructions will include the Federal Reserve s adverse stress scenario that is required to be used in this exercise and is designed to represent economic conditions that could occur in a prolonged global economic recession. For additional discussion, please see Updates to Risk Factors within the Additional Disclosures section.

#### **Expectations**

For the remainder of 2012, net interest income is expected to be modestly higher than the first quarter level. The momentum we are seeing in total loan and low-cost deposit growth is expected to continue. These benefits are expected to be mostly offset by downward pressure on the net interest margin later in the year due to the anticipated continued mix shift to lower-rate, higher quality loans and lower securities reinvestment rates given the low absolute level of interest rates and shape of the yield curve. The C&I portfolio is expected to continue to show meaningful growth as our sales pipeline remains robust with much of this reflecting the positive impact from strategic initiatives to expand our commercial lending expertise into areas such as specialty banking, asset based lending, and equipment financing. It also reflects our long-standing continued support of middle market and small business lending. For automobile loans, we will continue to evaluate, subject to market conditions, another automobile loan securitization in the second half of the year. Such securitizations allow us to continue to expand this business while generating strong levels of originations that would otherwise limit on-balance sheet automobile loan concentration. Residential mortgages and home equity loans are expected to show modest growth. CRE loans will likely continue to experience low levels of declines, as the runoff in the noncore portion of the portfolio is partially offset by new core originations.

Excluding potential future automobile loan securitizations, we anticipate the increase in total loans to modestly outpace growth in total deposits. This mix change reflects our heightened focus on our overall cost of funding and the continued shift towards low- and no-cost demand deposits and money market deposit accounts.

Noninterest income is expected to show a modest increase from a normalized 2012 first quarter level, which excludes the impact of the automobile loan securitization gain, the Fidelity Bank related bargain purchase gain, and any net MSR impact. This growth is expected to primarily reflect the benefit of new customers and increased contribution from fee income businesses, including capital markets, treasury management services, and brokerage, as well as the continued positive impact of our cross-sell and product penetration initiatives throughout the company.

8

#### **Table of Contents**

For the full year, we anticipate positive operating leverage and modest improvement in our expense efficiency ratio, resulting primarily from revenue growth more than offsetting expenses. While we continue our focus on expense control throughout the company, additional regulatory costs and strategic actions, including the planned opening of over 40 in-store branches and integration of Fidelity Bank, may offset such improvements.

Nonaccrual loans and net charge-offs are expected to decline from first quarter levels. The level of provision for credit losses is currently at the low end of our long-term expectation, and we expect some quarterly volatility given the absolute low level and the uncertain and uneven nature of the economic recovery.

We anticipate an effective tax rate for 2012 of 24% to 26%, which includes permanent tax benefits primarily related to tax-exempt income, tax-advantaged investments, and general business credits.

9

#### DISCUSSION OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This section provides a review of financial performance from a consolidated perspective. It also includes a Significant Items section that summarizes key issues important for a complete understanding of performance trends. Key unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet and unaudited Condensed Statement of Income trends are discussed. All earnings per share data are reported on a diluted basis. For additional insight on financial performance, please read this section in conjunction with the Business Segment Discussion.

10

Table 1 - Selected Quarterly Income Statement Data (1)

	2012		20	11	
(dollar amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First
Interest income	\$ 479,937	\$ 485,216	\$ 490,996	\$ 492,137	\$ 501,877
Interest expense	62,728	70,191	84,518	88,800	97,547
1	,	ŕ	ŕ	ŕ	,
Net interest income	417,209	415,025	406,478	403,337	404,330
Provision for credit losses	34,406	45,291	43,586	35,797	49,385
	,	,_,	,	,	,
Net interest income after provision for credit losses	382,803	369,734	362,892	367,540	354,945
•					
Service charges on deposit accounts	60,292	63,324	65,184	60,675	54,324
Trust services	30,906	28,775	29,473	30,392	30,742
Electronic banking	18,630	18,282	32,901	31,728	28,786
Mortgage banking income	46,418	24,098	12,791	23,835	22,684
Brokerage income	19,260	18,688	20,349	20,819	20,511
Insurance income	18,875	17,906	17,220	16,399	17,945
Bank owned life insurance income	13,937	14,271	15,644	17,602	14,819
Capital markets fees	9,982	9,811	11,256	8,537	6,936
Gain on sale of loans	26,770	2,884	19,097	2,756	7,207
Automobile operating lease income	3,775	4,727	5,890	7,307	8,847
Securities gains (losses)	(613)	(3,878)	(1,350)	1,507	40
Other income	37,088	30,464	30,104	34,210	24,104
Total noninterest income	285,320	229,352	258,559	255,767	236,945
Personnel costs	243,498	228,101	226,835	218,570	219,028
Outside data processing and other services	42,058	53,422	49,602	43,889	40,282
Net occupancy	29,079	26,841	26,967	26,885	28,436
Equipment	25,545	25,884	22,262	21,921	22,477
Deposit and other insurance expense	20,738	18,481	17,492	23,823	17,896
Marketing	16,776	16,379	22,251	20,102	16,895
Professional services	11,230	16,769	20,281	20,080	13,465
Amortization of intangibles	11,531	13,175	13,387	13,386	13,370
Automobile operating lease expense	2,854	3,362	4,386	5,434	6,836
OREO and foreclosure expense	4,950	5,009	4,668	4,398	3,931
Gain on early extinguishment of debt		(9,697)			
Other expense	54,417	32,548	30,987	29,921	48,083
Total noninterest expense	462,676	430,274	439,118	428,409	430,699
Income before income taxes	205,447	168,812	182,333	194,898	161,191
Provision for income taxes	52,177	41,954	38,942	48,980	34,745
Net income	\$ 153,270	\$ 126,858	\$ 143,391	\$ 145,918	\$ 126,446
Dividends on preferred shares	8,049	7,703	7,703	7,704	7,703
		.,	,	,	,
Net income applicable to common shares	\$ 145,221	\$ 119,155	\$ 135,688	\$ 138,214	\$ 118,743
1.ct income approache to common shares	Ψ 1 10,221	Ψ 117,133	Ψ 155,000	Ψ 130,211	Ψ 110,715
Average common shares basic	864,499	864,136	863,911	863,358	863,359
Average common shares diluted	869,164	868,156	867,633	867,469	867,237
Average common shares unucu	007,104	000,130	007,033	007, <del>4</del> 07	001,231

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Net income per common share basic	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.14
Net income per common share diluted	0.17	0.14	0.16	0.16	0.14
Cash dividends declared per common share	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.01
Return on average total assets	1.13 %	0.92 %	1.05 %	1.11 %	0.96 %
Return on average common shareholders equity	11.4	9.3	10.8	11.6	10.3
Return on average tangible common shareholders equity (2)	13.5	11.2	13.0	13.3	12.7
Net interest margin (3)	3.40	3.38	3.34	3.40	3.42
Efficiency ratio (4)	63.8	64.0	63.5	62.7	64.7
Effective tax rate	25.4	24.9	21.4	25.1	21.6
Revenue FTE					
Net interest income	\$ 417,209	\$ 415,025	\$ 406,478	\$ 403,337	\$ 404,330
FTE adjustment	3,935	3,479	3,658	3,834	3,945
Net interest income (3)	421,144	418,504	410,136	407,171	408,275
Noninterest income	285,320	229,352	258,559	255,767	236,945
	,				
Total revenue (3)	\$ 706,464	\$ 647,856	\$ 668,695	\$ 662,938	\$ 645,220

<sup>(1)</sup> Comparisons for presented periods are impacted by a number of factors. Refer to Significant Items.

#### **Table of Contents**

- (2) Net income excluding expense for amortization of intangibles for the period divided by average tangible common shareholders equity. Average tangible common shareholders equity equals average total common shareholders equity less average intangible assets and goodwill. Expense for amortization of intangibles and average intangible assets are net of deferred tax liability, and calculated assuming a 35% tax rate.
- On a fully-taxable equivalent (FTE) basis assuming a 35% tax rate.
- (4) Noninterest expense less amortization of intangibles and goodwill impairment divided by the sum of FTE net interest income and noninterest income excluding securities gains (losses).

#### **Significant Items**

#### **Definition of Significant Items**

From time-to-time, revenue, expenses, or taxes, are impacted by items judged by us to be outside of ordinary banking activities and / or by items that, while they may be associated with ordinary banking activities, are so unusually large that their outsized impact is believed by us at that time to be infrequent or short-term in nature. We refer to such items as Significant Items. Most often, these Significant Items result from factors originating outside the company; e.g., regulatory actions / assessments, windfall gains, changes in accounting principles, one-time tax assessments / refunds, litigation actions, etc. In other cases, they may result from our decisions associated with significant corporate actions outside of the ordinary course of business; e.g., merger / restructuring charges, recapitalization actions, goodwill impairment, etc.

Even though certain revenue and expense items are naturally subject to more volatility than others due to changes in market and economic environment conditions, as a general rule volatility alone does not define a Significant Item. For example, changes in the provision for credit losses, gains / losses from investment activities, asset valuation writedowns, etc., reflect ordinary banking activities and are, therefore, typically excluded from consideration as a Significant Item.

We believe the disclosure of Significant Items provides a better understanding of our performance and trends to ascertain which of such items, if any, to include or exclude from an analysis of our performance; i.e., within the context of determining how that performance differed from expectations, as well as how, if at all, to adjust estimates of future performance accordingly. To this end, we adopted a practice of listing Significant Items in our external disclosure documents; e.g., earnings press releases, investor presentations, Forms 10-Q and 10-K.

Significant Items for any particular period are not intended to be a complete list of items that may materially impact current or future period performance.

#### Significant Items Influencing Financial Performance Comparisons

Earnings comparisons were impacted by the Significant Items summarized below.

- 1. **Litigation Reserve.** \$23.5 million and \$17.0 million of additions to litigation reserves were recorded as other noninterest expense in the first quarter of 2012 and 2011, respectively. This resulted in a negative impact of \$0.02 per common share in 2012 and \$0.01 per common share in 2011.
- 2. **Bargain Purchase Gain.** During the 2012 first quarter, an \$11.4 million bargain purchase gain associated with the FDIC-assisted Fidelity Bank acquisition was recorded in noninterest income. This resulted in a positive impact of \$0.01 per common share.
- 3. **Early Extinguishment of Debt.** The positive impact relating to the early extinguishment of debt on our reported results was \$9.7 million (\$0.01 per common share) in the 2011 fourth quarter. This amount was recorded as a reduction to noninterest expense.
- 4. **Visa®-related Derivative Loss.** Prior to the Visa® IPO occurring in March 2008, Visa® was owned by its member banks, which included the Bank. As a result of this ownership, we received Class B shares of Visa® stock at the time of the Visa® IPO, and in the 2009 second quarter, we subsequently sold these Visa® stock shares. In the 2011 fourth quarter, a \$6.4 million derivative loss due to an increase in the liability associated with the sale of these shares was recorded to noninterest income.

12

The following table reflects the earnings impact of the above-mentioned Significant Items for periods affected by this Results of Operations discussion:

Table 2 - Significant Items Influencing Earnings Performance Comparison

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 December 31, 2011 March 3				2011	
(dollar amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)	After-tax EPS (2)		After-tax	EPS (2)	After-tax	EPS (2)
Net income - GAAP	\$ 153,270	E1 5 (2)	\$ 126,858	LI S (2)	\$ 126,446	LI S (2)
Earnings per share, after-tax	. ,	\$ 0.17	,	\$ 0.14	,	\$ 0.14
Change from prior quarter - \$		0.03		(0.02)		0.09
Change from prior quarter - %		21%		(13)%		180 %
Change from year-ago - \$		\$ 0.03		\$ 0.09		\$ 0.13
Change from year-ago - %		21%		180 %		1,300 %
Significant Items - favorable (unfavorable) impact:	Earnings (1)	<b>EPS</b> (2)	Earnings (1)	EPS (2)	Earnings (1)	EPS (2)
Bargain purchase gain	\$ 11,409	\$ 0.01	\$	\$	\$	\$
Litigation reserves addition	(23,500)	(0.02)			(17,028)	(0.01)
Gain on early extinguishment of debt			9,697	0.01		
Visa®-related derivative loss			(6,385)			

<sup>(1)</sup> Pretax unless otherwise noted.

#### Net Interest Income / Average Balance Sheet

The following tables detail the change in our average balance sheet and the net interest margin:

<sup>(2)</sup> After-tax.

**Table 3 - Consolidated Quarterly Average Balance Sheets** 

	2012	A			
(dollar amounts in millions)	2012 First	Fourth	20 Third	Second	First
Assets	11150	Tourth	Time	Бесона	11150
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	\$ 100	\$ 107	\$ 164	\$ 131	\$ 130
Trading account securities	50	81	92	112	144
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreement	20	01	,_	21	
Loans held for sale	1,265	316	237	181	420
Available-for-sale and other securities:	_,				1_0
Taxable	8,171	8,065	7,902	8,428	9,108
Tax-exempt	404	409	421	436	445
Total available-for-sale and other securities	8,575	8,474	8,323	8,864	9,553
Held-to-maturity securities taxable	632	650	665	174	
Loans and leases: (1)					
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	14,824	14,219	13,664	13,370	13,121
Commercial real estate:	·				
Construction	598	533	670	554	611
Commercial	5,254	5,425	5,441	5,679	5,913
Commercial real estate	5,852	5,958	6,111	6,233	6,524
	ĺ	·	ŕ	ŕ	·
Total commercial	20,676	20,177	19,775	19,603	19,645
Total Commercial	20,070	20,177	15,775	17,005	15,015
Consumer:					
Automobile	4,576	5,639	6,211	5,954	5,701
Home equity	8,234	8,149	8,002	7,874	7,728
Residential mortgage	5,174	5,043	4,788	4,566	4,465
Other consumer	485	511	521	538	559
Other consumer	402	311	321	330	337
Total consumer	18,469	19,342	19,522	18,932	18,453
Total Consumer	10,409	19,342	19,322	10,932	16,433
Total loans and leases	39,145	39,519	39,297	38,535	38,098
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(961)	(1,014)	(1,066)	(1,128)	(1,231)
Allowance for ioan and lease iosses	(901)	(1,014)	(1,000)	(1,126)	(1,231)
N-41	20 104	20 505	20 221	27.407	26.967
Net loans and leases	38,184	38,505	38,231	37,407	36,867
	40 = <=	40.4.=	40.==0	10.010	40.04.
Total earning assets	49,767	49,147	48,778	48,018	48,345
Cash and due from banks	1,012	1,671	1,700	1,068	1,299
Intangible assets	613	625	639	652	665
All other assets	4,225	4,221	4,142	4,160	4,291
Total assets	\$ 54,656	\$ 54,650	\$ 54,193	\$ 52,770	\$ 53,369
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity					
Deposits:					
Demand deposits - noninterest-bearing	\$ 11,273	\$ 10,716	\$ 8,719	\$ 7,806	\$ 7,333
Demand deposits - interest-bearing	5,646	5,570	5,573	5,565	5,357
Money market deposits	13,141	13,594	13,321	12,879	13,492

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Savings and other domestic deposits	4,817	4,706	4,752	4,778	4,701
Core certificates of deposit	6,510	6,769	7,592	8,079	8,391
Total core deposits	41,387	41,355	39,957	39,107	39,274
Other domestic time deposits of \$250,000 or more	347	405	387	467	606
Brokered deposits and negotiable CDs	1,301	1,410	1,533	1,333	1,410
Deposits in foreign offices	430	434	401	347	374
Total deposits	43,465	43,604	42,278	41,254	41,664
Short-term borrowings	1,512	1,728	2,251	2,112	2,134
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	419	29	285	97	30
Subordinated notes and other long-term debt	2,652	2,866	3,030	3,249	3,525
Total interest-bearing liabilities	36,775	37,511	39,125	38,906	40,020
	22,	,	,	,	,
All other liabilities	1,116	978	1,017	913	994
Shareholders equity	5,492	5,445	5,332	5,145	5,022
	-,	- ,	- ,	- ,	- 7*
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 54,656	\$ 54,650	\$ 54,193	\$ 52,770	\$ 53,369

<sup>(1)</sup> For purposes of this analysis, NALs are reflected in the average balances of loans.

Table 4 - Consolidated Quarterly Net Interest Margin Analysis

Fully tayable equivalent basis (1)	2012	Average Rates (2) 2011			
Fully-taxable equivalent basis (1)	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First
Assets					
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	0.05%	0.06%	0.04%	0.22%	0.11%
Trading account securities	1.65	0.97	1.41	1.59	1.37
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreement				0.09	
Loans held for sale	3.80	3.96	4.46	4.97	4.08
Available-for-sale and other securities:					
Taxable	2.39	2.37	2.43	2.59	2.53
Tax-exempt	4.17	4.22	4.17	4.02	4.70
Total available-for-sale and other securities	2.47	2.46	2.52	2.66	2.63
Held-to-maturity securities taxable	2.98	2.99	3.04	2.96	
Loans and leases: (3)					
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	4.01	4.01	4.13	4.31	4.57
Commercial real estate:	• 0=	4.50	• 0=		2.24
Construction	3.85	4.78	3.87	3.37	3.36
Commercial	3.82	3.91	3.91	3.90	3.93
Commercial real estate	3.82	3.99	3.91	3.84	3.88
Tatal assumential	3.96	4.01	4.06	4.16	4.24
Total commercial	3.90	4.01	4.06	4.16	4.34
Consumer:					
Automobile	4.87	4.80	4.89	5.06	5.22
Home equity	4.30	4.41	4.45	4.49	4.54
Residential mortgage	4.17	4.30	4.47	4.62	4.76
Other consumer	7.47	7.32	7.57	7.76	7.85
Total consumer	4.49	4.57	4.68	4.79	4.90
Total loans and leases	4.21	4.28	4.37	4.47	4.61
Total earning assets	3.91%	3.95%	4.02%	4.14%	4.24%
F 1 1 11 12					
Liabilities Deposits:					
Demand deposits - noninterest-bearing	%	%	%	%	%
Demand deposits - interest-bearing	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.09
Money market deposits	0.26	0.32	0.41	0.40	0.50
Savings and other domestic deposits	0.45	0.52	0.69	0.74	0.81
Core certificates of deposit	1.60	1.69	1.95	2.04	2.07
Total core deposits	0.54	0.61	0.77	0.82	0.89
Other domestic time deposits of \$250,000 or more	0.68	0.78	0.77	1.01	1.08
Brokered deposits and negotiable CDs	0.79	0.78	0.93	0.89	1.11
Deposits in foreign offices	0.18	0.17	0.77	0.89	0.20
2 op contain an action of the contains and the contains a	0,10	0.17	0.20	0.20	0.20
Total deposits	0.55	0.61	0.77	0.82	0.90
Short-term borrowings	0.33	0.01	0.77	0.82	0.90
Short-will boltowings	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10

Federal Home Loan Bank advances	0.21	2.09	0.32	0.88	2.98
Subordinated notes and other long-term debt	2.74	2.56	2.43	2.39	2.34
Total interest-bearing liabilities	0.68%	0.74%	0.86%	0.91%	0.99%
Net interest rate spread	3.15%	3.15%	3.11%	3.19%	3.21%
Impact of noninterest-bearing funds on margin	0.25	0.23	0.22	0.21	0.22
Net interest margin	3.40%	3.38%	3.34%	3.40%	3.42%

<sup>(1)</sup> FTE yields are calculated assuming a 35% tax rate.

<sup>(2)</sup> Loan and lease and deposit average rates include impact of applicable derivatives, non-deferrable fees, and amortized deferred fees.

<sup>(3)</sup> For purposes of this analysis, NALs are reflected in the average balances of loans.

Table 5 - Average Loans/Leases and Deposits

	First Quarter		Fou	Fourth Quarter 1Q12 vs 1		1Q11 1Q12 vs		4Q11
(dollar amounts in millions)	2012	2011		2011	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Loans/Leases								
Commercial and industrial	\$ 14,824	\$ 13,121	\$	14,219	\$ 1,703	13 %	\$ 605	4%
Commercial real estate	5,852	6,524		5,958	(672)	(10)	(106)	(2)
Total commercial	20,676	19,645		20,177	1,031	5	499	2
Automobile	4,576	5,701		5,639	(1,125)	(20)	(1,063)	(19)
Home equity	8,234	7,728		8,149	506	7	85	1
Residential mortgage	5,174	4,465		5,043	709	16	131	3
Other loans	485	559		511	(74)	(13)	(26)	(5)
Total consumer	18,469	18,453		19,342	16		(873)	(5)
		20,100		->,- :-			(0,0)	(-)
Total loans and leases	\$ 39,145	\$ 38,098	\$	39,519	\$ 1.047	3%	\$ (374)	(1)%
Total found and leases	ψ 37,143	Ψ 50,070	Ψ	37,317	Ψ 1,0-7	370	Ψ (3/4)	(1)/0
Deposits								
Demand deposits noninterest-bearing	\$ 11,273	\$ 7,333	\$	10,716	\$ 3,940	54%	\$ 557	5%
Demand deposits interest-bearing	5,646	5,357		5,570	289	5	76	1
Money market deposits	13,141	13,492		13,594	(351)	(3)	(453)	(3)
Savings and other domestic time deposits	4,817	4,701		4,706	116	2	111	2
Core certificates of deposit	6,510	8,391		6,769	(1,881)	(22)	(259)	(4)
Total core deposits	41,387	39,274		41,355	2,113	5	32	
Other deposits	2,078	2,390		2,249	(312)	(13)	(171)	(8)
•	, -	,		,	` /	` /	` ,	` '
Total deposits	\$ 43,465	\$ 41,664	\$	43,604	\$ 1,801	4%	\$ (139)	(0)%
*	. ,	,					. ,	` '

#### 2012 First Quarter versus 2011 First Quarter

Fully-taxable equivalent net interest income increased \$12.9 million, or 3%, from the year-ago quarter. This reflected a \$1.4 billion, or 3%, increase in average total earning assets, partially offset by a 2 basis point decline in the FTE net interest margin. The increase in average earning assets reflected:

1.0 billion, or 3%, increase in average total loans and leases.

\$0.8 billion, or 201%, increase in average loans held for sale.

\$0.6 billion in average held-to-maturity securities compared with none in the year-ago quarter. Partially offset by:

\$1.0 billion, or 10%, decrease in average total available-for-sale and other securities.

The 2 basis point decline in the FTE net interest margin reflected the reduction in derivatives income, lower loan and securities yields, partially offset by the positive impacts of increases in low cost deposits and improved deposit pricing.

The \$1.0 billion, or 3%, increase in average total loans and leases primarily reflected:

\$1.7 billion, or 13%, growth in the average C&I portfolio reflected a combination of factors including the benefits from our strategic initiatives focusing on large corporate and equipment finance. In addition, we continued to see growth in more traditional middle-market, and business banking loans. This growth was evident despite line utilization rates that remained well below historical norms.

\$0.7 billion, or 16%, increase in average residential mortgages that were predominantly 15-year fixed rate loans.

\$0.5 billion, or 7%, increase in average home equity loans with over 70% of new originations in a first lien position.

16

Partially offset by:

\$1.1 billion, or 20%, decrease in the average automobile portfolio. This reflected the securitization and sale of \$1.0 billion of such loans in the 2011 third quarter and the reclassification of automobile loans to loans held for sale related to the securitization and sale in the 2012 first quarter of \$1.3 billion of auto loans.

\$0.7 billion, or 10%, decrease in the average CRE portfolio, reflected the continued execution of our plan to reduce the total CRE exposure, primarily in the noncore CRE portfolio. This reduction is expected to continue, evidenced by the combined impact of amortization, paydowns, refinancing, and restructures.

The \$1.8 billion, or 4%, increase in average total deposits from the year-ago quarter reflected:

\$2.1 billion, or 5%, growth in average total core deposits. The drivers of this change were a \$3.9 billion, or 54%, growth in average noninterest-bearing demand deposits, partially offset by \$1.9 billion, or 22%, decline in average core certificates of deposit, and \$0.4 billion, or 3%, decline in average money market deposits.

Partially offset by:

\$0.3 billion, or 43%, decline in average other domestic deposits of \$250,000 or more, reflecting a strategy of reducing such noncore funding.

#### 2012 First Quarter versus 2011 Fourth Quarter

Fully-taxable equivalent net interest income increased \$2.6 million, or 1%, from the 2011 fourth quarter. This reflected a \$0.6 billion, or 1%, increase in average earning assets and a 2 basis point increase in the FTE net interest margin. While average earning assets increased, average total loans and leases declined \$0.4 billion, or 1%. This reflected the reclassification of automobile loans to loans held for sale related to the securitization and sale in the 2012 first quarter of \$1.3 billion of auto loans. The primary items impacting the increase in the fully-taxable equivalent net interest margin were:

7 basis points positive impact from improved deposit pricing and an increase in low cost funding. Partially offset by:

5 basis points negative impact from lower earning asset yields and a shift to lower-yield, higher quality credits. The \$0.4 billion, or 1% (4% annualized), decrease in average total loans and leases from the 2011 fourth quarter reflected:

\$1.1 billion, or 19% (75% annualized), decline in average automobile loans. Automobile loan origination levels remained strong throughout the quarter. The decline in first quarter average balances reflected the reclassification of \$1.3 billion of auto loans to loans held for sale at the end of the prior quarter, which were subsequently securitized and sold in a transaction on March 8, 2012.

Partially offset by:

\$0.6 billion, or 4% (17% annualized), growth in the average C&I portfolio. The growth in the C&I portfolio reflected increased activity from several business lines including large corporate, dealer floorplan, and equipment finance.

The \$0.1 billion, or less than 1% (1% annualized), decrease in average total deposits from the 2011 fourth quarter reflected:

\$0.5 billion, or 3% (13% annualized), decrease in average money market deposits.

\$0.3 billion, or 4% (15% annualized), decrease in core certificates of deposits. Partially offset by:

\$0.6 billion, or 5% (21% annualized), increase in noninterest-bearing demand deposits.

17

#### **Provision for Credit Losses**

(This section should be read in conjunction with the Credit Risk section.)

The provision for credit losses is the expense necessary to maintain the ALLL and the AULC at levels appropriate to absorb our estimate of inherent credit losses in the loan and lease portfolio and the portfolio of unfunded loan commitments and letters-of-credit.

The provision for credit losses for the 2012 first quarter was \$34.4 million, a decrease of \$10.9 million, or 24%, from the prior quarter, and \$15.0 million, or 30%, from the year-ago quarter. These declines reflected the combination of lower total NCOs, total NPAs, and commercial Criticized loans as a result of improvement in the underlying quality of the loan portfolio. The reduction in commercial Criticized loans reflected the resolution of problem credits for which reserves had been previously established. The current quarter s provision for credit losses was \$48.6 million less than total NCOs. (See Credit Quality discussion).

#### **Noninterest Income**

(This section should be read in conjunction with Significant Items 2 and 4.)

The following table reflects noninterest income for each of the past five quarters:

**Table 6 - Noninterest Income** 

	2012		20	11	1Q12 vs	1Q11	1Q12 vs 4Q11		
(dollar amounts in thousands)	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Service charges on deposit									
accounts	\$ 60,292	\$ 63,324	\$ 65,184	\$ 60,675	\$ 54,324	\$ 5,968	11%	\$ (3,032)	(5)%
Trust services	30,906	28,775	29,473	30,392	30,742	164	1	2,131	7
Electronic banking	18,630	18,282	32,901	31,728	28,786	(10,156)	(35)	348	2
Mortgage banking income	46,418	24,098	12,791	23,835	22,684	23,734	105	22,320	93
Brokerage income	19,260	18,688	20,349	20,819	20,511	(1,251)	(6)	572	3
Insurance income	18,875	17,906	17,220	16,399	17,945	930	5	969	5
Bank owned life insurance									
income	13,937	14,271	15,644	17,602	14,819	(882)	(6)	(334)	(2)
Capital markets fees	9,982	9,811	11,256	8,537	6,936	3,046	44	171	2
Gain on sale of loans	26,770	2,884	19,097	2,756	7,207	19,563	271	23,886	828
Automobile operating lease									
income	3,775	4,727	5,890	7,307	8,847	(5,072)	(57)	(952)	(20)
Securities gains (losses)	(613)	(3,878)	(1,350)	1,507	40	(653)	(1,633)	3,265	(84)
Other income	37,088	30,464	30,104	34,210	24,104	12,984	54	6,624	22
Total noninterest income	\$ 285,320	\$ 229,352	\$ 258,559	\$ 255,767	\$ 236,945	\$ 48,375	20%	\$ 55,968	24%

#### 2012 First Quarter versus 2011 First Quarter

The \$48.4 million, or 20%, increase in total noninterest income from the year-ago quarter reflected:

\$23.7 million, or 105%, increase in mortgage banking income. This primarily reflected an \$11.5 million increase in origination and secondary marketing income, as originations increased 25% from the year-ago period, and an \$11.1 million increase in MSR net hedging income.

\$19.6 million, or 271%, increase in gain on sale of loans, as the current quarter included a \$23.0 million automobile loan securitization gain.

\$13.0 million, or 54%, increase in other income, which reflected the \$11.4 million bargain purchase gain associated with the FDIC-assisted Fidelity Bank acquisition.

6.0 million, or 11%, increase in service charges on deposits, primarily reflecting continued strong customer growth. Partially offset by:

\$10.2 million, or 35%, decrease in electronic banking income, related to implementing the lower debit card interchange fee structure mandated in the Durbin Amendment of the Dodd-Frank Act.

\$5.1 million, or 57%, decline in automobile operating lease income reflecting the impact of a declining portfolio as a result of having exited that business in 2008.

18

#### 2012 First Quarter versus 2011 Fourth Quarter

The \$56.0 million, or 24%, increase in total noninterest income from the prior quarter reflected:

\$23.9 million, or 828%, increase in gain on sale of loans, as the current quarter included a \$23.0 million automobile loan securitization gain.

\$22.3 million, or 93%, increase in mortgage banking income. This primarily reflected a \$10.1 million increase in origination and secondary marketing income and an \$11.7 million increase in net MSR hedging income.

\$6.6 million, or 22%, increase in other income, which reflected the \$11.4 million bargain purchase gain associated with the FDIC-assisted Fidelity Bank acquisition and the absence of a \$6.4 million Visa®-related derivative loss compared to the 2011 fourth quarter, partially offset by a \$7.2 million reduction in mezzanine gains.

#### **Noninterest Expense**

(This section should be read in conjunction with Significant Items 1 and 3.)

The following table reflects noninterest expense for each of the past five quarters:

**Table 7 - Noninterest Expense** 

	2012		20	)11		1Q12 vs 1	Q11	1Q12 vs 4Q11	
(dollar amounts in thousands)	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Personnel costs	\$ 243,498	\$ 228,101	\$ 226,835	\$ 218,570	\$ 219,028	\$ 24,470	11%	\$ 15,397	7%
Outside data processing and									
other services	42,058	53,422	49,602	43,889	40,282	1,776	4	(11,364)	(21)
Net occupancy	29,079	26,841	26,967	26,885	28,436	643	2	2,238	8
Equipment	25,545	25,884	22,262	21,921	22,477	3,068	14	(339)	(1)
Deposit and other insurance									
expense	20,738	18,481	17,492	23,823	17,896	2,842	16	2,257	12
Marketing	16,776	16,379	22,251	20,102	16,895	(119)	(1)	397	2
Professional services	11,230	16,769	20,281	20,080	13,465	(2,235)	(17)	(5,539)	(33)
Amortization of intangibles	11,531	13,175	13,387	13,386	13,370	(1,839)	(14)	(1,644)	(12)
Automobile operating lease									
expense	2,854	3,362	4,386	5,434	6,836	(3,982)	(58)	(508)	(15)
OREO and foreclosure expense	4,950	5,009	4,668	4,398	3,931	1,019	26	(59)	(1)
Gain on early extinguishment of									
debt		(9,697)						9,697	(100)
Other expense	54,417	32,548	30,987	29,921	48,083	6,334	13	21,869	67
Total noninterest expense	\$ 462,676	\$ 430,274	\$ 439,118	\$ 428,409	\$ 430,699	\$ 31,977	7%	\$ 32,402	8%
Number of employees (full-time									
1 3	11,166	11,245	11,473	11,457	11,319	(153)	(1)%	(79)	(1)%
2012 First Quarter versus 2011 F	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	,	,-	( /	. , ,	(11)	
Amortization of intangibles Automobile operating lease expense OREO and foreclosure expense Gain on early extinguishment of debt Other expense  Fotal noninterest expense  Number of employees (full-time equivalent), at period-end	11,531 2,854 4,950 54,417 \$ 462,676	13,175 3,362 5,009 (9,697) 32,548 \$ 430,274 11,245	13,387 4,386 4,668 30,987 \$ 439,118	13,386 5,434 4,398 29,921 \$ 428,409	13,370 6,836 3,931 48,083 \$ 430,699	(1,839) (3,982) 1,019 6,334 \$ 31,977	(14) (58) 26	(1,644) (508) (59) 9,697 21,869 \$ 32,402	(12) (15) (1) (100) 67

The \$32.0 million, or 7%, increase in total noninterest expense from the year-ago quarter reflected:

\$24.5 million, or 11%, increase in personnel costs, which primarily reflected increased salaries and benefits, including an increase in commissions and incentive compensation expense due to improved performance metrics and results.

\$6.3 million, or 13%, increase in other expense, which reflected a \$6.5 million increase in the addition to litigation reserves. 2012 First Quarter versus 2011 Fourth Quarter

The \$32.4 million, or 8%, increase in total noninterest expense from the prior quarter reflected:

\$21.9 million, or 67%, increase in other expense, which reflected the \$23.5 million addition to litigation reserves.

\$15.4 million, or 7%, increase in personnel costs, which was impacted by approximately \$9 million of costs related to the annual payroll tax resets, other benefit expenses, and an increase in incentive compensation due to improved metrics and results.

19

#### **Table of Contents**

\$9.7 million gain on the early extinguishment of debt related to the exchange of certain trust preferred securities in the prior quarter. Partially offset by:

\$11.4 million, or 21%, decrease in outside data processing and other services, which reflected the fourth quarter 2011 completion of the conversion to a new debit card processer.

\$5.5 million, or 33%, decline professional services, which reflected lower legal and consulting related expenses.

#### **Provision for Income Taxes**

The provision for income taxes in the 2012 first quarter was \$52.2 million. This compared with a provision for income taxes of \$42.0 million in the 2011 fourth quarter and \$34.7 million in the 2011 first quarter. All three quarters included the benefits from tax-exempt income, tax-advantaged investments, and general business credits. At March 31, 2012, we had a net deferred tax asset of \$302.4 million. Based on both positive and negative evidence and our level of forecasted future taxable income, there was no impairment to the deferred tax asset at March 31, 2012. As of March 31, 2012, there is no disallowed deferred tax asset for regulatory capital purposes compared to \$39.1 million and \$89.9 million at December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2011, respectively.

We file income tax returns with the IRS and various state, city, and foreign jurisdictions. Federal income tax audits have been completed for tax years through 2007. We have appealed certain proposed adjustments resulting from the IRS examination of our 2006 and 2007 tax returns. We believe our positions related to such proposed adjustments are correct and supported by applicable statutes, regulations, and judicial authority, and intend to vigorously defend them. In 2011, we entered into discussions with the Appeals Division of the IRS. It is possible the ultimate resolution of the proposed adjustments, if unfavorable, may be material to the results of operations in the period it occurs. Nevertheless, although no assurances can be given, we believe that the resolution of these examinations will not, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial position. In the 2011 third quarter, the IRS began its examination of our 2008 and 2009 consolidated federal income tax returns. Various state and other jurisdictions remain open to examination, including Kentucky, Indiana, Michigan, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, and Illinois.

20

#### RISK MANAGEMENT AND CAPITAL

Risk awareness, identification and assessment, reporting, and active management are key elements in overall risk management. We manage risk to an aggregate moderate-to-low risk profile through a control framework and by monitoring and responding to identified potential risks. Controls include, among others, effective segregation of duties, access, authorization and reconciliation procedures, as well as staff education and a disciplined assessment process.

We identify primary risks, and the sources of those risks, within each business unit. We utilize Risk and Control Self-Assessments (RCSA) to identify exposure risks. Through this RCSA process, we continually assess the effectiveness of controls associated with the identified risks, regularly monitor risk profiles and material exposure to losses, and identify stress events and scenarios to which we may be exposed. Our chief risk officer is responsible for ensuring that appropriate systems of controls are in place for managing and monitoring risk across the Company. Potential risk concerns are shared with the Risk Management Committee and the board of directors, as appropriate. Our internal audit department performs on-going independent reviews of the risk management process and ensures the adequacy of documentation. The results of these reviews are reported regularly to the audit committee and board of directors.

We believe that our primary risk exposures are credit, market, liquidity, operational, and compliance oriented. More information on risk can be found in the Risk Factors section included in Item 1A of our 2011 Form 10-K and subsequent filings with the SEC. Additionally, the MD&A included in our 2011 Form 10-K should be read in conjunction with this MD&A as this discussion provides only material updates to the 2011 Form 10-K. Our definition, philosophy, and approach to risk management have not materially changed from the discussion presented in the 2011 Form 10-K.

#### Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of financial loss if a counterparty is not able to meet the agreed upon terms of the financial obligation. The majority of our credit risk is associated with lending activities, as the acceptance and management of credit risk is central to profitable lending. We also have significant credit risk associated with our available-for-sale and other investment and held-to-maturity securities portfolios (*see Note 4 and Note 5 of the Notes to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*). We engage with other financial counterparties for a variety of purposes including investing, asset and liability management, mortgage banking, and for trading activities. While there is credit risk associated with derivative activity, we believe this exposure is minimal. The significant change in the economic conditions and the resulting changes in borrower behavior over the past several years resulted in our continuing focus on the identification, monitoring, and managing of our credit risk. In addition to the traditional credit risk mitigation strategies of credit policies and processes, market risk management activities, and portfolio diversification, we use additional quantitative measurement capabilities utilizing external data sources, enhanced use of modeling technology, and internal stress testing processes. Our portfolio management resources demonstrate our commitment to maintaining an aggregate moderate-to-low risk profile. In our efforts to continue to identify risk mitigation techniques, we have focused on product design features, origination policies, and treatment strategies for delinquent or stressed borrowers.

#### Loan and Lease Credit Exposure Mix

At March 31, 2012, our loans and leases totaled \$40.7 billion, representing a \$1.8 billion, or 5%, increase compared to \$38.9 billion at December 31, 2011, primarily reflecting growth in the C&I portfolio. This C&I loan growth included a \$0.1 billion impact from the FDIC-assisted purchase of Fidelity Bank and a \$0.4 billion impact from the purchase of a portfolio of high quality municipal equipment leases. In addition to these impacts, the C&I loan portfolio reflected a continuation of growth experienced over recent quarters. Also, the automobile portfolio increased \$0.3 billion (see Automobile Portfolio discussion).

At March 31, 2012, commercial loans and leases totaled \$21.9 billion, and represented 53% of our total credit exposure. Our commercial loan portfolio represents a higher percentage of our overall loan and lease portfolio compared to recent quarters as a result of the transactions noted above. Our commercial portfolio is diversified along product type, customer size, and geography within our footprint, and is comprised of the following (*see Commercial Credit discussion*):

C&I loans and leases are made to commercial customers for use in normal business operations to finance working capital needs, equipment purchases, or other projects. The majority of these borrowers are customers doing business within our geographic regions. C&I loans and leases are generally underwritten individually and secured with the assets of the company and/or the personal guarantee of the business owners. The financing of owner occupied facilities is considered a C&I loan even though there is improved real estate as collateral. This treatment is a result of the credit decision process, which focuses on cash flow from operations of the business to repay the debt. The operation, sale, rental, or refinancing of the real estate is not considered the primary repayment source for these types of loans. As we look to grow our C&I portfolio, we have further developed our ABL capabilities by adding experienced ABL professionals to take advantage of market opportunities resulting in

better leveraging of the manufacturing base in our primary markets. Also, our Equipment Finance area is targeting larger equipment financings in the manufacturing sector in addition to our core products. We also expanded our Large Corporate Banking area with sufficient resources to ensure we appropriately recognize and manage the risks associated with this type of lending.

21

#### **Table of Contents**

CRE CRE loans consist of loans for income-producing real estate properties, real estate investment trusts, and real estate developers. We mitigate our risk on these loans by requiring collateral values that exceed the loan amount and underwriting the loan with projected cash flow in excess of the debt service requirement. These loans are made to finance properties such as apartment buildings, office and industrial buildings, and retail shopping centers, and are repaid through cash flows related to the operation, sale, or refinance of the property.

Construction CRE Construction CRE loans are loans to individuals, companies, or developers used for the construction of a commercial or residential property for which repayment will be generated by the sale or permanent financing of the property. Our construction CRE portfolio primarily consists of retail, residential (land, single family, and condominiums), office, and warehouse project types. Generally, these loans are for construction projects that have been presold or preleased, or have secured permanent financing, as well as loans to real estate companies with significant equity invested in each project. These loans are underwritten and managed by a specialized real estate lending group that actively monitors the construction phase and manages the loan disbursements according to the predetermined construction schedule.

Total consumer loans and leases were \$18.8 billion at March 31, 2012, and represented 47% of our total loan and lease credit exposure. The consumer portfolio was primarily diversified among automobile, home equity loans and lines-of-credit, and residential mortgages (see Consumer Credit discussion).

Automobile Automobile loans are primarily comprised of loans made through automotive dealerships and include exposure in selected states outside of our primary banking markets. No state outside of our primary banking markets represented more than 3% of our total automobile portfolio at March 31, 2012. We have successfully implemented a loan securitization strategy to maintain our established portfolio concentration limits.

Home equity Home equity lending includes both home equity loans and lines-of-credit. This type of lending, which is secured by a first-lien or second-lien on the borrower s residence, allows customers to borrow against the equity in their home. Given the current low interest rate environment, many borrowers have utilized the line-of-credit home equity product as the primary source of financing their home versus residential mortgages. As a result, the proportion of the home equity portfolio secured by a first-lien has increased significantly over the past three years, positively impacting the portfolio s risk profile. The portfolio s credit risk profile is substantially reduced when we hold a first-lien position, combined with continued high average FICO scores, significantly reduces the PD associated with these loans. The combination provides a strong base when assessing the expected future performance of this portfolio. Real estate market values at the time of origination directly affect the amount of credit extended and, in the event of default, subsequent changes in these values impact the severity of losses. We actively manage the extension of credit and the amount of credit extended through a combination of criteria including financial position, debt-to-income policies, and LTV policy limits.

Residential mortgage Residential mortgage loans represent loans to consumers for the purchase or refinance of a residence. These loans are generally financed over a 15-year to 30-year term, and in most cases, are extended to borrowers to finance their primary residence. Generally, our practice is to sell a significant portion of our fixed-rate originations in the secondary market. As such, at March 31, 2012, 51% of our total residential mortgage portfolio were ARMs. These ARMs primarily consist of a fixed-rate of interest for the first 3 to 5 years, and then adjust annually. We are subject to repurchase risk associated with residential mortgage loans sold in the secondary market. An appropriate level of accounting reserve for representations and warranties related to residential mortgage loans sold has been established to address the repurchase risk inherent in the portfolio (see Operational Risk section).

Other consumer Primarily consists of consumer loans not secured by real estate, including personal unsecured loans.

The table below provides the composition of our total loan and lease portfolio:

22

**Table 8 - Loan and Lease Portfolio Composition** 

	2012		20				1			
(dollar amounts in millions)	March 31, (1)		Decembe	r 31, September 30,		June 30,		March 31,		
Commercial: <sup>(2)</sup>										
Commercial and industrial	\$ 15,838	39%	\$ 14,699	38%	\$ 13,939	36%	\$ 13,544	34%	\$ 13,299	35%
Commercial real estate:										
Construction	597	1	580	1	520	1	591	2	587	2
Commercial	5,443	13	5,246	13	5,414	14	5,573	14	5,711	15
Total commercial real estate	6,040	14	5,826	14	5,934	15	6,164	16	6,298	17
Total Committee Total Counce	0,010		2,020		0,20.	10	0,10.		0,270	1,
Total commercial	21,878	53	20,525	52	19,873	51	19,708	50	19,597	52
Total commercial	21,070		20,323	32	17,075	31	17,700	30	17,577	32
C										
Consumer:	4 707	10	4 450	1.1	<i>E EE</i> 0	1.4	6 100	1.6	5 900	1.5
Automobile	4,787	12	4,458	11	5,558	14	6,190	16	5,802	15
Home equity	8,261	20	8,215	21	8,079	21	7,952	20	7,784	20
Residential mortgage	5,284	13	5,228	13	4,986	13	4,751	12	4,517	12
Other consumer	469	2	498	3	516	1	525	2	546	1
Total consumer	18,801	47	18,399	48	19,139	49	19,418	50	18,649	48
Total loans and leases	\$ 40,679	100%	\$ 38,924	100%	\$ 39,012	100%	\$ 39,126	100%	\$ 38,246	100%

The table below provides our total loan and lease portfolio segregated by the type of collateral securing the loan or lease:

Table 9 - Loan and Lease Portfolio by Collateral Type

	2012				2011					
(dollar amounts in millions)	March 31, (1)		December 31,		September 30,		June 30,		March 31,	
Secured loans:										
Real estate - commercial	\$ 9,326	24%	\$ 9,557	25%	\$ 9,554	24%	\$ 9,781	25%	\$ 9,931	26%
Real estate - consumer	13,470	34	13,444	35	13,065	33	12,703	32	12,300	32
Vehicles	6,623	16	6,021	16	6,898	18	7,594	19	7,333	19
Receivables/Inventory	4,749	12	4,450	12	4,297	11	4,171	11	3,819	10
Machinery/Equipment	2,536	6	1,994	5	1,864	5	1,784	5	1,787	5
Securities/Deposits	733	2	800	2	805	2	802	2	778	2
Other	983	2	1,018	1	1,103	3	1,095	3	1,139	3
Total secured loans and leases	38,420	96	\$ 37,284	96%	37,586	96	37,930	97	37,087	97
Unsecured loans and leases	1,738	4	1,640	4	1,426	4	1,196	3	1,159	3
	·									
Total loans and leases	\$ 40,158	100%	38,924	100%	\$ 39,012	100%	\$ 39,126	100%	\$ 38,246	100%

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes \$521 million of loans recorded at fair value related to the FDIC-assisted Fidelity Bank acquisition.

<sup>(2)</sup> As defined by regulatory guidance, there were no commercial loans outstanding that would be considered a concentration of lending to a particular industry or group of industries.

(1) Excludes \$521 million of loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted Fidelity Bank acquisition on March 30, 2012. *Commercial Credit* 

The primary factors considered in commercial credit approvals are the financial strength of the borrower, assessment of the borrower s management capabilities, cash flows from operations, industry sector trends, type and sufficiency of collateral, type of exposure, transaction structure, and the general economic outlook. While these are the primary factors considered, there are a number of other factors that may be considered in the decision process. For all loans exceeding \$5.0 million, we utilize a centralized senior loan committee, led by our chief credit officer. For loans less than \$5.0 million, with the exception of small business loans, credit officers who understand each local region and are experienced in the industries and loan structures of the requested credit exposure are involved in all loan decisions and have the primary credit authority. For small business loans, we utilize a centralized loan approval process for standard products and structures. In this centralized decision environment, certain individuals who understand each local region may make credit-extension decisions to preserve our commitment to the communities we operate in. In addition to disciplined and consistent judgmental factors, a sophisticated credit scoring process is used as a primary evaluation tool in the determination of approving a loan within the centralized loan approval process.

In commercial lending, on-going credit management is dependent on the type and nature of the loan. We monitor all significant exposures on an on-going basis. All commercial credit extensions are assigned internal risk ratings reflecting the borrower s PD and LGD (severity of loss). This two-dimensional rating methodology provides granularity in the portfolio management process. The PD is rated and applied at the borrower level. The LGD is rated and applied based on the specific type of credit extension and the quality and lien position associated with the underlying collateral. The internal risk ratings are assessed at origination and updated at each periodic monitoring event. There is also extensive macro portfolio management analysis on an on-going basis. We continually review and adjust our risk-rating criteria based on actual experience, which provides us with the current risk level in the portfolio and is the basis for determining an appropriate ALLL amount for the commercial portfolio. A centralized portfolio management team monitors and reports on the performance of the entire commercial portfolio, including small business loans, to provide consistent oversight.

23

In addition to the initial credit analysis conducted during the approval process, our Credit Review group performs testing to provide an independent review and assessment of the quality and / or risk of new loan originations. This group is part of our Risk Management area, and conducts portfolio reviews on a risk-based cycle to evaluate individual loans, validate risk ratings, as well as test the consistency of credit processes.

Our standardized loan grading system considers many components that directly correlate to loan quality and likelihood of repayment, one of which is guarantor support. On an annual basis, or more frequently if warranted, we consider, among other things, the guarantor s reputation and creditworthiness, along with various key financial metrics such as liquidity and net worth, assuming such information is available. Our assessment of the guarantor s credit strength, or lack thereof, is reflected in our risk ratings for such loans, which is directly tied to, and an integral component of, our ALLL methodology. When a loan goes to impaired status, viable guarantor support is considered in the determination of the recognition of a loan loss.

If our assessment of the guarantor s credit strength yields an inherent capacity to perform, we will seek repayment from the guarantor as part of the collection process and have done so successfully. However, we do not formally track the repayment success from guarantors.

Substantially all loans categorized as Classified (see Note 3 of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements) are managed by our SAD. The SAD is a specialized group of credit professionals that handle the day-to-day management of workouts, commercial recoveries, and problem loan sales. Its responsibilities include developing and implementing action plans, assessing risk ratings, and determining the appropriateness of the allowance, the accrual status, and the ultimate collectability of the Classified loan portfolio.

Our commercial portfolio is diversified by product type, customer size, and geography throughout our footprint. No outstanding commercial loans and leases comprised an industry or geographic concentration of lending. Certain segments of our commercial portfolio are discussed in further detail below.

# C&I PORTFOLIO

The C&I portfolio is comprised of loans to businesses where the source of repayment is associated with the on-going operations of the business. Generally, the loans are secured with the financing of the borrower s assets, such as equipment, accounts receivable, and/or inventory. In many cases, the loans are secured by real estate, although the operation, sale, or refinancing of the real estate is not a primary source of repayment for the loan. For loans secured by real estate, appropriate appraisals are obtained at origination and updated on an as needed basis in compliance with regulatory requirements.

There were no commercial loan segments considered an industry or geographic concentration of lending. Currently, higher-risk segments of the C&I portfolio include loans to borrowers supporting the home building industry, contractors, and transportation. We manage the risks inherent in this portfolio through origination policies, a defined loan concentration policy with established limits, on-going loan level reviews and portfolio level reviews, recourse requirements, and continuous portfolio risk management activities. Our origination policies for this portfolio include loan product-type specific policies such as LTV and debt service coverage ratios, as applicable.

While C&I borrowers have been challenged by the weak economy, problem loans have trended downward, reflecting a combination of proactive risk identification as well as some relative improvement in the economic conditions. Nevertheless, some borrowers may no longer have sufficient capital to withstand the extended stress. As a result, these borrowers may not be able to comply with the original terms of their credit agreements. We continue to focus attention on the portfolio management process to proactively identify borrowers that may be facing financial difficulty to assess all potential solutions. The impact of the economic environment is further evidenced by the level of line-of-credit activity, as borrowers continued to maintain relatively low utilization percentages.

### **CRE PORTFOLIO**

We manage the risks inherent in this portfolio specific to CRE lending, focusing on the quality of the developer, and the specifics associated with each project. Generally, we: (1) limit our loans to 80% of the appraised value of the commercial real estate, (2) require net operating cash flows to be 125% of required interest and principal payments, and (3) if the commercial real estate is nonowner occupied, require that at least 50% of the space of the project be preleased. Additionally, we established a limit to our CRE exposure of no more than the amount of Tier 1 risk-based capital plus the ACL. We have been actively reducing our CRE exposure during the past several years, and our CRE exposure met this established limit at March 31, 2012. We actively monitor both geographic and project-type concentrations and performance metrics of all CRE loan types, with a focus on higher-risk classes. Both macro-level and loan-level stress-test scenarios based on existing and forecast market conditions are part of the on-going portfolio management process for the CRE portfolio.

Each CRE loan is classified as either core or noncore. We believe segregating the noncore CRE from core CRE improves our ability to understand the nature, performance prospects, and problem resolution opportunities of these segments, thus allowing us to continue to deal proactively with any emerging credit issues.

A CRE loan is generally considered core when the borrower is an experienced, well-capitalized developer in our Midwest footprint, and has either an established meaningful relationship with us that generates an acceptable return on capital or demonstrates the prospect of becoming one. The core CRE portfolio was \$3.9 billion at March 31, 2012, representing 70% of total CRE loans. The performance of the core portfolio met our expectations based on the consistency of the asset quality metrics within the portfolio. Based on our extensive project level assessment process, including forward-looking collateral valuations, we continue to believe the credit quality of the core portfolio is stable. Loans are not reclassified between the core and noncore segments based on performance, and as such, we do not anticipate an elevated level of problem loans in the core portfolio.

A CRE loan is generally considered noncore based on the lack of a substantive relationship outside of the loan product, with no immediate prospects for meeting the core relationship criteria. The noncore CRE portfolio declined from \$1.8 billion at December 31, 2011, to \$1.7 billion at March 31, 2012, and represented 30% of total CRE loans. Of the loans in the noncore portfolio at March 31, 2012, 69% were categorized as Pass, 95% had guarantors, nearly 100% were secured, and 89% were located within our geographic footprint. However, it is within the noncore portfolio where most of the credit quality challenges exist. For example, \$0.2 billion, or 11%, of related outstanding balances, are classified as NALs. SAD administered \$0.7 billion, or 40%, of total noncore CRE loans at March 31, 2012. We expect to exit the majority of noncore CRE relationships over time through normal repayments and refinancings, possible sales should economically attractive opportunities arise, or the reclassification to a core CRE relationship if it expands to meet the core criteria.

Credit quality data regarding the ACL and NALs, segregated by core CRE loans and noncore CRE loans, is presented in the following table:

Table 10 - Commercial Real Estate - Core vs. Noncore Portfolios (1)

				M	arch 31, 2012			
	Ending			1714	iicii 31, 2012		Non	accrual
(dollar amounts in millions)	Balance	Prior N	COs	ACL \$	ACL %	Credit Mark (2)	Ι	oans
Total core	\$ 3,947	\$	14	\$ 110	2.79%	3.13%	\$	24
Noncore - SAD (3)	694	2	237	168	24.21	43.50		173
Noncore - Other	1,020		17	67	6.57	8.10		8
Total noncore	1,714	2	254	235	13.71	24.85		181
Total commercial real estate	\$ 5,661	\$ 2	268	\$ 345	6.09%	10.34%	\$	205
				Dece	ember 31, 2011			
Total core	\$ 3,978	\$	25	\$ 125	3.14%	3.75 %	\$	26
Noncore - SAD (3)	735	2	253	182	24.76	44.03		195
Noncore - Other	1,113		17	88	7.91	9.29		9
Total noncore	1,848	2	270	270	14.61	25.50		204
Total commercial real estate	\$ 5,826	\$ 2	295	\$ 395	6.78%	11.27%	\$	230

- (1) Excludes \$378 million of commercial real estate loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted Fidelity Bank acquisition on March 30, 2012.
- (2) Calculated as (Prior NCOs + ACL \$) / (Ending Balance + Prior NCOs).
- (3) Noncore loans managed by SAD, the area responsible for managing loans and relationships designated as Classified Loans.

As shown in the above table, the ending balance of the CRE portfolio at March 31, 2012, declined \$0.2 billion, or 3%, compared with December 31, 2011. Of this decline, 81% occurred in the noncore segment, and was a result of payoffs and NCOs as we actively focus on the noncore portfolio to reduce our overall CRE exposure. This reduction demonstrates our continued commitment to achieving a materially lower risk profile in the CRE portfolio, consistent with our overall objective of maintaining an aggregate moderate-to-low risk profile. The reduction in the core segment is a result of normal portfolio attrition combined with limited origination activity. We will continue to support our core developer customers as appropriate, however, we do not believe that significant additional CRE activity is appropriate given our current exposure in CRE lending and the current economic conditions. We anticipate CRE loans will continue to experience low levels of declines from current levels as the runoff in the noncore portfolio is partially offset by limited new core originations.

Also, as shown above, substantial reserves for the noncore portfolio have been established. At March 31, 2012, the ACL related to the noncore portfolio was 13.71%. The combination of the existing ACL and prior NCOs represents the total credit actions taken on each segment of the portfolio. From this data, we calculate a credit mark that provides a consistent measurement of the cumulative credit actions taken against a specific portfolio segment. The 43.50% credit mark associated with the SAD-managed noncore portfolio is an indicator of the aggressive portfolio management strategy employed for this portfolio.

#### Consumer Credit

Consumer credit approvals are based on, among other factors, the financial strength and payment history of the borrower, type of exposure, and the transaction structure. We make extensive use of portfolio assessment models to continuously monitor the quality of the portfolio, which may result in changes to future origination strategies. The on-going analysis and review process results in a determination of an appropriate allowance for our consumer loan and lease portfolio.

#### **AUTOMOBILE PORTFOLIO**

Our strategy in the automobile portfolio continued to focus on high quality borrowers as measured by both FICO and internal custom scores, combined with appropriate LTVs, terms, and a reasonable level of profitability. Our strategy and operational capabilities allow us to appropriately manage the origination quality across the entire portfolio, including our newer markets. Although increased origination volume and entering new markets can be associated with increased risk levels, we believe our strategy and operational capabilities significantly mitigate these risks.

We have continued to consistently execute our value proposition and take advantage of available market opportunities. Importantly, we have maintained our high credit quality standard while growing the portfolio. We have developed and implemented a loan securitization strategy to ensure we remain within our established portfolio concentration limits.

During the 2012 first quarter, we transferred automobile loans totaling \$1.3 billion to a trust in a securitization transaction. The securitization and resulting sale of all underlying securities qualified for sale accounting. As a result of this transaction, we recognized a \$23.0 million gain on sale which is reflected in other noninterest income and recorded a \$19.9 million servicing asset which is reflected in accrued income and other assets.

# RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE SECURED PORTFOLIOS

The properties securing our residential mortgage and home equity portfolios are primarily located within our geographic footprint. The continued stress on home prices has caused the performance in these portfolios to remain weaker than historical levels. The residential-secured portfolio originations continue to be of high quality, with the majority of the negative credit impact coming from loans originated in 2006 and earlier. We continue to evaluate all of our policies and processes associated with managing these portfolios. Our loss mitigation and foreclosure activities are consolidated in one location under common management. This structure allows us to focus on effectively helping our customers with the appropriate solution for their specific circumstances.

Table 11 - Selected Home Equity and Residential Mortgage Portfolio

#### Data

		Residential	Mortgage			
	Secured by	first-lien	Secured by s	second-lien		
(dollar amounts in millions)	03/31/12	12/31/11	03/31/12	12/31/11	03/31/12	12/31/11
Ending balance <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 3,967	\$ 3,815	\$ 4,281	\$ 4,400	\$ 5,222	\$ 5,228
Portfolio weighted average LTV ratio <sup>(1), (2)</sup>	71%	71%	81%	81%	77%	77%
Portfolio weighted average FICO score <sup>(1), (3)</sup>	749	749	732	734	733	731
		Home l	Equity		Residential M	Iortgage (4)
	Secured by	first-lien				
		Three Months Ended March 3				
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011

Originations	\$ 4	<b>427</b>	\$ 404	\$ 147	\$ 194	\$ 202	\$ 304
Origination weighted average LTV ratio <sup>(2)</sup>		71%	71%	81%	82%	78%	82%
Origination weighted average FICO score <sup>(3)</sup>	7	772	767	757	756	755	755

#### **Table of Contents**

- (1) Excludes \$62 million of residential mortgage loans and \$13 million of home equity loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted Fidelity Bank acquisition on March 30, 2012.
- (2) The LTV ratios for home equity loans and home equity lines-of-credit are cumulative and reflect the balance of any senior loans. LTV ratios reflect collateral values at the time of loan origination.
- (3) Portfolio weighted average FICO scores reflect currently updated customer credit scores whereas origination weighted average FICO scores reflect the customer credit scores at the time of loan origination.
- (4) Represents only owned-portfolio originations.

#### Home Equity Portfolio

Our home equity portfolio (loans and lines-of-credit) consists of both first-lien and second-lien mortgage loans with underwriting criteria based on minimum credit scores, debt-to-income ratios, and LTV ratios. We offer closed-end home equity loans which are generally fixed-rate with principal and interest payments, and variable-rate interest-only home equity lines-of-credit which do not require payment of principal during the 10-year revolving period of the line-of-credit. Applications are underwritten centrally in conjunction with an automated underwriting system.

At March 31, 2012, 48% of our home equity portfolio was secured by first-lien mortgages. The credit risk profile is substantially reduced when we hold a first-lien position. During the first three-month period of 2012, 74% of our home equity portfolio originations were secured by a first-lien mortgage. We focus on high quality borrowers primarily located within our footprint. The majority of our home equity line-of-credit borrowers consistently pay more than the minimum payment required in any given month. Additionally, since we focus on developing complete relationships with our customers, many of our home equity borrowers are utilizing other products and services. The combination of high quality borrowers as measured by financial condition and FICO score, as well as the lien position, provide a high degree of confidence regarding the performance of the 2009-2011 originations.

Within the home equity line-of-credit portfolio, the standard product is a 10-year interest-only draw period with a balloon payment and represents a majority of the line-of-credit portfolio at March 31, 2012. As previously discussed, a significant portion of recent originations are secured by first-liens on the underlying property as high quality borrowers take advantage of the low variable-rates available with a line-of-credit. If the current 30-year fixed-rate declines substantially from its already low level, we would anticipate some portion of these first-lien line-of-credit borrowers to refinance to a more traditional residential mortgage at a fixed-rate.

We believe we have underwritten credit conservatively within this portfolio. We have not originated home equity loans or lines-of-credit with an LTV at origination greater than 100%, except for infrequent situations with high quality borrowers. However, continued declines in housing prices have decreased the value of the collateral for this portfolio and have caused a portion of the portfolio to have an LTV greater than 100%. These higher LTV ratios are directly correlated with borrower payment patterns and are a particular focus of our Loss Mitigation and Home Saver groups.

We obtain a property valuation for every loan or line-of-credit. The type of property valuation obtained is based on a series of credit parameters, and ranges from an AVM to a complete walkthrough appraisal. While we believe an AVM estimate is an appropriate valuation source for a portion of our home equity lending activities, we continue to re-evaluate all of our policies on an on-going basis with the intent of ensuring complete independence in the requesting and reviewing of real estate valuations associated with loan decisions. We update values as appropriate, and in compliance with applicable regulations, for loans identified as higher risk. Loans are identified as higher risk based on performance indicators and the updated values are utilized to facilitate our portfolio management processes, as well as our workout and loss mitigation functions.

We continue to make origination policy adjustments based on our assessment of an appropriate risk profile, as well as industry actions. In addition to origination policy adjustments, we take actions, as necessary, to manage the risk profile of this portfolio. Regulatory guidance published in January 2012 addressed specific risks and required actions within the home equity portfolio associated with second-lien loans. At March 31, 2012, 52% of our home equity portfolio is secured by second-lien mortgages. We believe our Credit Risk Management systems allow for effective portfolio analysis and segmentation to identify the highest risk exposures in the portfolio. Our disclosures regarding lien position, FICO distribution, and geographical distribution are examples of segmentation analysis. The collateral value assessment is an important component of the overall credit risk analysis, although from a practical standpoint, there are very few instances of available equity in second-lien default situations. We have established a 100% LGD for second-lien exposures. We have identified an emerging trend where borrowers are making a purposeful financial decision to stop making required payments on the second-lien, and in some cases, the first-lien. This strategic default scenario is generally associated with borrowers that have very limited or no history of delinquency. These accounts also tend to migrate quickly from a current status to charge-off without the historical stops at each delinquency stage. The resulting increase in the relative speed of the migration from current status to charge-off represents a negative impact to the longer term performance of the portfolio. Effective with the 2012 first quarter, any second-lien loan associated with a nonaccruing first-lien loan is also placed on nonaccrual status. This action resulted in an increase in home equity NALs of \$8.7 million in the 2012 first quarter. Also contained in the regulatory guidance was an item associated with maturing HELOCs. Even in situations where th

be a payment shock to the borrower. This is a risk embedded in the portfolio that we address with proactive contact strategies beginning 180 days prior to maturity. In certain circumstances, our Home Savers team is able to provide payment and structure relief to borrowers experiencing significant financial hardship associated with the payment adjustment.

27

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Residential Mortgage Portfolio

We focus on higher quality borrowers and underwrite all applications centrally. We do not originate residential mortgages that allow negative amortization or allow the borrower multiple payment options.

All residential mortgages are originated based on a completed full appraisal during the credit underwriting process. We update values on a regular basis in compliance with applicable regulations to facilitate our portfolio management, as well as our workout and loss mitigation functions.

At March 31, 2012, 51% of our total residential mortgage loan portfolio had adjustable rates. At March 31, 2012, ARM loans that were expected to have rates reset totaled \$1.8 billion through 2015. These loans scheduled to reset are primarily associated with loans originated subsequent to 2007, and as such, are not subject to the most significant declines in underlying property value. Given the quality of our borrowers, the relatively low current interest rates, and the results of our continued analysis (including possible impacts of changes in interest rates), we believe that we have a relatively limited exposure to ARM reset risk. Nonetheless, we have taken actions to mitigate our risk exposure. We initiate borrower contact at least six months prior to the interest rate resetting, and have been successful in converting many ARMs to fixed-rate loans through this process. Given the relatively low current interest rates, many fixed-rate products currently offer a better interest rate to our ARM borrowers.

Several government programs continued to impact the residential mortgage portfolio, including various refinance programs such as HAMP and HARP, which positively affected the availability of credit for the industry. During the first three-month period of 2012, we closed \$228 million in HARP residential mortgages and \$6 million in HAMP residential mortgages that are either in our residential mortgage portfolio or serviced for others. We utilize these programs to enhance our existing strategies of working closely with our customers. As the majority of these refinancings are associated with residential mortgages that are serviced for others, our exposure to redefault risk is minimal.

#### Credit Quality

We believe the most meaningful way to assess overall credit quality performance is through an analysis of credit quality performance ratios. This approach forms the basis of most of the discussion in the sections immediately following: NPAs and NALs, TDRs, ACL, and NCOs. In addition, we utilize delinquency rates, risk distribution and migration patterns, and product segmentation in the analysis of our credit quality performance.

Credit quality performance in the 2012 first quarter reflected a continued improvement in the overall loan portfolio relating to NCO activity, as well as in key credit quality metrics, including an 11% decline in NPAs and an 8% decline in the level of commercial Criticized loans. Also, our ACL coverage ratios improved compared to the prior quarter. Our ACL as a percentage of NPAs improved to 183% at March 31, 2012 compared with 172% at December 31, 2011.

### NPAs, NALs, AND TDRs

(This section should be read in conjunction with Note 3 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.)

#### NPAs and NALs

NPAs consist of (1) NALs, which represent loans and leases no longer accruing interest, (2) impaired loans held for sale, (3) OREO properties, and (4) other NPAs. Any loan in our portfolio may be placed on nonaccrual status prior to the policies described below when collection of principal or interest is in doubt.

28

#### **Table of Contents**

C&I and CRE loans are placed on nonaccrual status at 90-days past due. With the exception of residential mortgage loans guaranteed by government organizations which continue to accrue interest, residential mortgage loans are placed on nonaccrual status at 150-days past due. First-lien home equity loans are placed on nonaccrual status at 150-days past due. Second-lien home equity loans are placed on nonaccrual status at the earlier of 120-days past due or when the related first-lien loan has been identified as nonaccrual. Automobile and other consumer loans are not placed on nonaccrual status, but are generally charged-off when the loan is 120-days past due. When interest accruals are suspended, accrued interest income is reversed with current year accruals charged to earnings and prior year amounts generally charged-off as a credit loss. When, in our judgment, the borrower s ability to make required interest and principal payments has resumed and collectability is no longer in doubt, the loan or lease is returned to accrual status.

The following table reflects period-end NALs and NPAs detail for each of the last five quarters:

29

Table 12 - Nonaccrual Loans and Leases and Nonperforming Assets

	2012	2011					
(dollar amounts in thousands)	March 31,	December 31,	September 30,	June 30,	March 31,		
Nonaccrual loans and leases:							
Commercial and industrial	\$ 142,492	\$ 201,846	\$ 209,632	\$ 229,327	\$ 260,397		
Commercial real estate	205,105	229,889	257,086	291,500	305,793		
Residential mortgage	74,114	68,658	61,129	59,853	44,812		
Home equity	45,847	40,687	37,156	33,545	25,255		
Total nonaccrual loans and leases <sup>(1)</sup>	467,558	541,080	565,003	614,225	636,257		
Other real estate owned, net							
Residential <sup>(2)</sup>	31,850	20,330	18,588	20,803	28,668		
Commercial	16,897	18,094	19,418	17,909	25,961		
Total other real estate owned, net	48,747	38,424	38,006	38,712	54,629		
Other nonperforming assets <sup>(3)</sup>	10,772	10,772	10,972				
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 527,077	\$ 590,276	\$ 613,981	\$ 652,937	\$ 690,886		
			,		,		
Nonaccrual loans as a % of total loans and leases	1.15 %	1.39 %	1.45 %	1.57 %	1.66 %		
Nonperforming assets ratio <sup>(4)</sup>	1.29	1.51	1.57	1.67	1.80		

<sup>(1)</sup> All loans acquired as part of the FDIC-assisted Fidelity Bank acquisition accrue interest as performing loans or as purchased impaired loans in accordance with ASC 310-30; therefore, none of the acquired loans were reported as nonaccrual at March 31, 2012.

The \$63.2 million, or 11%, decline in NPAs compared with December 31, 2011, primarily reflected:

\$59.4 million, or 29%, decline in C&I NALs, reflecting both NCO activity and problem credit resolutions, including payoffs. The decline was associated with loans throughout our footprint, with no specific industry concentration.

\$24.8 million, or 11%, decline in CRE NALs, reflecting both NCO activity and problem credit resolutions, including borrower payments and payoffs. This activity represents the continuation of an improving trend evident over the past several quarters. We continue to focus on early recognition of risks and targeted actions through our on-going portfolio management processes. Partially offset by:

\$10.3 million, or 27%, increase in OREO, primarily reflecting the impact of the FDIC-assisted acquisition of Fidelity Bank.

\$5.5 million, or 8%, increase in NALs secured by residential mortgages, primarily reflecting the current weak economic conditions and the decline of residential real estate property values. The NAL balances have been written down to net realizable value, less anticipated selling costs, which substantially limits any significant future risk of additional loss on these loans.

<sup>(2)</sup> Nonperforming assets include \$7,986 thousand of residential real estate owned acquired as part of the FDIC-assisted Fidelity Bank acquisition on March 30, 2012.

<sup>(3)</sup> Other nonperforming assets represent an investment security backed by a municipal bond.

<sup>(4)</sup> This ratio is calculated as nonperforming assets divided by the sum of loans and leases, other nonperforming assets, and net other real estate.

\$5.2 million, or 13%, increase in home equity NALs, primarily reflecting our implementation of regulatory guidance issued in the 2012 first quarter (*see ACL section*). This action resulted in an increase in home equity NALs of \$8.7 million in the 2012 first quarter.

As part of our loss mitigation process, we reunderwrite, modify, or restructure loans when borrowers are experiencing payment difficulties, based on the borrower s ability to repay the loan.

### **TDR Loans**

(This section should be read in conjunction with Note 3 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.)

30

TDRs are modified loans in which a concession is provided to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties. TDRs can be classified as either accrual or nonaccrual loans. Nonaccrual TDRs are included in NALs whereas accruing TDRs are excluded from NALs, as it is probable that all contractual principal and interest due under the restructured terms will be collected. TDRs primarily reflect our loss mitigation efforts to proactively work with borrowers having difficulty making their payments.

The table below presents our accruing and nonaccruing TDRs at period-end for each of the past five quarters:

Table 13 - Accruing and Nonaccruing Troubled Debt Restructured Loans (1)

	2012					
(dollar amounts in thousands)	March 31,	December 31,	Sep	tember 30,	June 30,	March 31,
Troubled debt restructured loans - accruing:						
Commercial and industrial	\$ 53,795	\$ 54,007	\$	77,509	\$ 62,272	\$ 65,190
Commercial real estate	231,923	249,968		244,089	177,854	141,272
Automobile	35,521	36,573		37,371	29,059	29,611
Home equity	59,270	52,224		47,712	37,067	39,704
Residential mortgage	294,836	309,678		304,365	313,772	333,492
Other consumer	4,233	6,108		4,513	8,910	9,173
Total troubled debt restructured loans - accruing	679,578	708,558		715,559	628,934	618,442
Troubled debt restructured loans - nonaccruing:						
Commercial and industrial	26,886	48,553		27,410	29,069	19,531
Commercial real estate	39,606	21,968		46,854	48,676	18,327
Home equity	334	369		166	28	14
Residential mortgage	29,549	26,089		20,877	14,378	8,523
Other consumer	113	113		113	112	
Total troubled debt restructured loans - nonaccruing	96,488	97,092		95,420	92,263	46,395
Total troubled debt restructured loans	\$ 776,066	\$ 805,650	\$	810,979	\$ 721,197	\$ 664,837

**Table 14 - TDR Activity** 

	2012		2011				
(dollar amounts in thousands)	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First		
TDRs, beginning of period	\$ 805,650	\$ 810,979	\$ 721,197	\$ 664,838	\$ 666,880		
New TDRs <sup>(1)</sup>	136,237	99,603	170,800	207,090	105,946		
Payments	(40,120)	(67,470)	(25,124)	(25,790)	(20,083)		
Charge-offs	(25,042)	(7,440)	(12,376)	(7,620)	(9,905)		
Sales	(5,036)	(8,089)	(5,310)	(33,855)	(7,461)		
Refinanced to non-TDR			(4,851)	(21,118)	(14,122)		
Transfer to OREO	(1,472)	(2,658)	(1,114)	(426)	(818)		
Restructured TDRs <sup>(2)</sup>	(88,580)	(28,576)	(57,611)	(42,435)	(31,751)		
Other	(5,571)	9,301	25,368	(19,487)	(23,848)		

<sup>(1)</sup> No loans related to the FDIC-assisted Fidelity Bank acquisition were considered troubled debt restructured loans. The following table reflects TDR activity for each of the past five quarters:

TDRs, end of period

**\$776,066** \$805,650 \$810,979 \$721,197 \$664,838

- (1) No loans related to the FDIC-assisted Fidelity Bank acquisition were considered TDRs.
- (2) Represents existing commercial TDRs that were reunderwritten with new terms providing a concession. A corresponding amount is included in the New TDRs amount above.

<u>ACL</u>

(This section should be read in conjunction with Note 3 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.)

31

We maintain two reserves, both of which in our judgment are appropriate to absorb credit losses inherent in our loan and lease portfolio: the ALLL and the AULC. Combined, these reserves comprise the total ACL. Our Credit Administration group is responsible for developing the methodology assumptions and estimates used in the calculation, as well as determining the appropriateness of the ACL. The ALLL represents the estimate of losses inherent in the loan portfolio at the reported date. Additions to the ALLL result from recording provision expense for loan losses or increased risk levels resulting from loan risk-rating downgrades, while reductions reflect charge-offs, recoveries, decreased risk levels resulting from loan risk-rating upgrades, or the sale of loans. The AULC is determined by applying the transaction reserve process to the unfunded portion of the loan exposures adjusted by an applicable funding expectation.

A provision for credit losses is recorded to adjust the ACL to the level we have determined to be appropriate to absorb credit losses inherent in our loan and lease portfolio. The provision for credit losses in the 2012 first quarter was \$34.4 million, compared with \$45.3 million in the prior quarter and \$49.4 million in the year-ago quarter. (See Provision for Credit Losses discussion).

We regularly evaluate the appropriateness of the ACL by performing on-going evaluations of the loan and lease portfolio, including such factors as the differing economic risks associated with each loan category, the financial condition of specific borrowers, the level of delinquent loans, the value of any collateral and, where applicable, the existence of any guarantees or other documented support. We evaluate the impact of changes in interest rates and overall economic conditions on the ability of borrowers to meet their financial obligations when quantifying our exposure to credit losses and assessing the appropriateness of our ACL at each reporting date. In addition to general economic conditions and the other factors described above, we also consider the impact of declining residential real estate values and the diversification of CRE loans.

Our ACL evaluation process includes the on-going assessment of credit quality metrics, and a comparison of certain ACL benchmarks to current performance. While the total ACL balance has declined in recent quarters, all of the relevant benchmarks improved as a result of the asset quality improvement. The coverage ratios of NALs, Criticized, and Classified loans have significantly improved in recent quarters despite the decline in the ACL level. For example, the ACL coverage ratio associated with NALs was 206% at March 31, 2012, compared with 187% at December 31, 2011 and 185% at March 31, 2011.

We have incorporated recent regulatory guidance which focused on home equity loans, specifically second-lien loans when the related first-lien loan is delinquent, into our ACL adequacy analysis processes. As we evaluated this guidance in the context of the continued economic strain on some of our borrowers, we determined it was appropriate to assess borrower risk at a more granular level in order to ensure we had identified the incurred risk embedded within our portfolios secured by residential real estate, particularly the home equity second-lien portfolio. In addition to the updated FICO score for each borrower and the delinquency status of each Huntington loan, our analysis considers any non-delinquent Huntington loan secured by residential real estate when the borrower has a significant delinquency on the most recent credit bureau report.

The table below reflects the allocation of our ACL among our various loan categories during each of the past five quarters:

Table 15 - Allocation of Allowance for Credit Losses (1), (2)

	2012					2	011				
(dollar amounts in thousands)	March 31,		December 31,		September 30	0,		June 30,		March 31,	
Commercial											
Commercial and industrial	\$ 246,026	39%	\$ 275,367	38%	\$ 285,254	369	% \$	281,016	35%	\$ 299,564	35%
Commercial real estate	339,494	14	388,706	14	418,895	15		463,874	16	511,068	17
Total commercial	585,520	53	664,073	52	704,149	51		744,890	51	810,632	52
Consumer											
Automobile	36,552	12	38,282	11	49,402	14		55,428	16	50,862	15
Home equity	168,898	20	143,873	21	139,616	21		146,444	20	149,370	20
Residential mortgage	89,129	13	87,194	13	98,974	13		98,992	12	96,741	12
Other consumer	32,970	2	31,406	3	27,569	1		25,372	1	25,621	1
Total consumer	327,549	47	300,755	48	315,561	49		326,236	49	322,594	48

Edgar Filing: HUNTINGTON BANCSHARES INC/MD - Form 10-Q

Total allowance for loan and lease losses	913,069	100%	964,828	100%	1,019,710	100%	1,071,126	100%	1,133,226	100%
Allowance for unfunded loan commitments	50,934		48,456		38,779		41,060		42,211	
communents	30,734		40,430		30,779		41,000		72,211	
Total allowance for credit										
losses	\$ 964,003		\$ 1,013,284		\$ 1,058,489		\$ 1,112,186		\$ 1,175,437	
Total allowance for loan and										
leases losses as % of: (1)										
Total loans and leases (3)		2.24%		2.48%		2.61%		2.74%		2.96%
Nonaccrual loans and leases										
(4)		195		178		180		174		178
Nonperforming assets (5)		173		163		166		164		164
Total allowance for credit										
losses as % of: (1)										
Total loans and leases (3)		2.37%		2.60%		2.71%		2.84%		3.07%
Nonaccrual loans and leases										
(4)		206		187		187		181		185
Nonperforming assets (5)		183		172		172		170		170

- In accordance with ASC 805, no allowance for credit losses was recorded for the loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted Fidelity Bank acquisition.
- (2) Percentages represent the percentage of each loan and lease category to total loans and leases. Total loans and leases include loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted Fidelity Bank acquisition.
- (3) Total loans and leases include loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted Fidelity Bank acquisition at March 31, 2012.
- (4) None of the loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted Fidelity Bank acquisition were considered nonaccrual at March 31, 2012.
- (5) None of the loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted Fidelity Bank acquisition were considered nonaccrual, however, nonperforming assets included \$8.0 million of other real estate owned related to the FDIC-assisted Fidelity Bank acquisition.

The reduction in the ALLL, compared with December 31, 2011, reflected a decline in the commercial portfolio, partially offset by an increase in the consumer portfolio.

The decline in the commercial-related ALLL reflected NCOs on loans with specific reserves, and an overall reduction in the level of commercial Criticized loans. Commercial Criticized loans are commercial loans rated as OLEM, Substandard, Doubtful, or Loss. As shown in the table below, commercial Criticized loans declined \$169.9 million, or 8%, from December 31, 2011, reflecting significant upgrade and payment activity.

**Table 16 - Criticized Commercial Loan Activity** 

	2012		2011					
(dollar amounts in thousands)	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First			
Criticized commercial loans, beginning of period	\$ 2,146,607	\$ 2,291,086	\$ 2,379,149	\$ 2,660,791	\$ 3,074,481			
New additions / increases (1)	209,672	290,936	357,057	250,422	169,884			
Advances	25,200	41,573	46,148	44,442	61,516			
Upgrades to Pass	(136,771)	(139,029)	(252,388)	(271,698)	(238,518)			
Payments	(216,765)	(279,691)	(180,845)	(231,819)	(294,564)			
Loan losses	(51,238)	(58,268)	(58,035)	(72,989)	(112,008)			
Criticized commercial loans, end of period	\$ 1,976,705	\$ 2,146,607	\$ 2,291,086	\$ 2,379,149	\$ 2,660,791			

(1) Does not include any Criticized commercial loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted Fidelity Bank acquisition.

The increase in the consumer-related ALLL primarily reflected an increase in the home equity-related ALLL as a result of financial difficulties experienced by residential mortgage and home equity second-lien borrowers as previously discussed in this section.

The ACL to total loans declined to 2.37% at March 31, 2012 compared to 2.60% at December 31, 2011. We believe the decline in the ratio is appropriate given the continued improvement in the risk profile of our loan portfolio. Further, we believe that early identification of problem loans and aggressive action plans for these problem loans, combined with originating high quality new loans will contribute to continued improvement in our key credit quality metrics. However, the overall economic conditions improved only slightly in the 2012 first quarter and the residential real estate market remained stressed. The overall economic conditions have shown some recent improvement, but risks to a full recovery remain, including the European economic instability, continued budget issues in local governments, flat domestic economic growth, and the variety of policy proposals regarding job growth, debt management, and domestic tax policy. Continued high unemployment, among other factors, has slowed any significant recovery. In the near-term, we anticipate a continued high unemployment rate and the concern around the U.S. and local government budget issues will continue to negatively impact the financial condition of some of our retail and commercial borrowers.

#### **Table of Contents**

The pronounced downturn in the residential real estate market that began in early 2007 has resulted in significantly lower residential real estate values. We have significant exposure to loans secured by residential real estate and continue to be an active lender in our communities. The impact of the downturn in real estate values has had a significant impact on some of our borrowers as evidenced by the higher delinquencies and NCOs since late 2007. We do not anticipate any meaningful improvement in the near-term. A trend of purposeful delinquencies or strategic defaults has begun impacting both NCO and NAL levels in the residential real estate secured portfolios. These borrower actions drove writedowns and increased NAL levels in the residential mortgage and first-lien home equity portfolio, and NCOs in the second-lien home equity portfolio. Given the combination of these noted factors, we believe that our ACL is appropriate and its coverage level is reflective of the quality of our portfolio and the current operating environment.

#### **NCOs**

Any loan in any portfolio may be charged-off prior to the policies described below if a loss confirming event has occurred. Loss confirming events include, but are not limited to, bankruptcy (unsecured), continued delinquency, foreclosure, or receipt of an asset valuation indicating a collateral deficiency and that asset is the sole source of repayment.

C&I and CRE loans are either charged-off or written down to net realizable value at 90-days past due. Automobile loans and other consumer loans are charged-off at 120-days past due. First-lien and second-lien home equity loans are charged-off to the estimated fair value of the collateral, less anticipated selling costs, at 150-days past due and 120-days past due, respectively. Residential mortgages are charged-off to the estimated fair value of the collateral, less anticipated selling costs, at 150-days past due.

The following table reflects NCO detail for each of the last five quarters:

34

Table 17 - Quarterly Net Charge-off Analysis

	2012		20	11			
(dollar amounts in thousands)	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First		
Net charge-offs by loan and lease type:							
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	\$ 28,495	\$ 10,913	\$ 17,891	\$ 18,704	\$ 42,191		
Commercial real estate:							
Construction	(1,186)	(2,471)	1,450	4,145	28,400		
Commercial	11,692	30,854	22,990	23,450	39,283		
Commercial real estate	10,506	28,383	24,440	27,595	67,683		
	,						
Total commercial	39,001	39,296	42,331	46,299	109,874		
Total Commercial	37,001	37,270	12,331	10,200	107,071		
Consumer:							
Automobile	3,078	4,237	3,863	2,255	4,712		
Home equity	23,729	23,419	26,222	25,441	26,715		
Residential mortgage	10,570	9,732	11,562	16,455	18,932		
Other consumer	6,614	7,233	6,577	7,084	4,850		
	,	,	,	,	,		
Total consumer	43,991	44,621	48,224	51,235	55,209		
Total consumer	43,771	77,021	40,224	31,233	33,207		
Total net charge-offs	\$ 82,992	\$ 83,917	\$ 90,555	\$ 97,534	\$ 165,083		
Total lict charge-ons	\$ 02,772	Φ 65,917	\$ 90,333	ψ <i>91</i> ,33 <del>4</del>	\$ 105,065		
N. d. L. CC L' L							
Net charge-offs annualized percentages:							
Commercial:	0.55.00	0.216	0.50%	0.568	1.200		
Commercial and industrial	0.77%	0.31%	0.52%	0.56%	1.29%		
Commercial real estate:	(0.50)	(1.05)	0.07	2.00	10.50		
Construction	(0.79)	(1.85)	0.87	2.99	18.59		
Commercial	0.89	2.27	1.69	1.65	2.66		
Commercial real estate	0.72	1.91	1.60	1.77	4.15		
Total commercial	0.75	0.78	0.86	0.94	2.24		
Consumer:							
Automobile	0.27	0.30	0.25	0.15	0.33		
Home equity	1.15	1.15	1.31	1.29	1.38		
Residential mortgage	0.82	0.77	0.97	1.44	1.70		
Other consumer	5.45	5.66	5.05	5.27	3.47		
Total consumer	0.95	0.92	0.99	1.08	1.20		
		- U.) <u>-</u>	0.77	2.00	1.23		
Net charge-offs as a % of average loans	0.85%	0.85%	0.92%	1.01%	1.73%		
The charge-ons as a 10 or average loans	0.05 70	0.0570	0.9470	1.0170	1./3%		

In assessing NCO trends, it is helpful to understand the process of how commercial loans are treated as they deteriorate over time. The ALLL established at origination is consistent with the level of risk associated with the original underwriting. As a part of our normal portfolio management process for commercial loans, the loan is periodically reviewed and the ALLL is increased or decreased based on the revised risk rating. In certain cases, the standard ALLL is determined to not be appropriate, and a specific reserve is established based on the projected cash flow and collateral value of the specific loan. Charge-offs, if necessary, are generally recognized in a period after the specific ALLL was established. If the previously established ALLL exceeds that necessary to satisfactorily resolve the problem loan, a reduction in the overall level of the ALLL could be recognized. Consumer loans are treated in much the same manner as commercial loans, although specific reserves are not

identified for consumer loans. In summary, if loan quality deteriorates, the typical credit sequence would be periods of reserve building, followed by periods of higher NCOs as the previously established ALLL is utilized. Additionally, an increase in the ALLL either precedes or is in conjunction with increases in NALs. When a loan is classified as NAL, it is evaluated for specific ALLL or charge-off. As a result, an increase in NALs does not necessarily result in an increase in the ALLL or an expectation of higher future NCOs.

Home equity NCO annualized percentages generally are greater than those of the residential mortgage portfolio as a result of the second-lien loans. The opposite relationship in the 2011 first quarter and 2011 second quarter was the result of portfolio actions in the residential mortgage portfolio, including accelerated loss recognition and portfolio sales activity.

We anticipate a continuation of the pattern established in the most recent three quarters of residential mortgage portfolio NCO annualized percentages being lower than the home equity portfolio NCO annualized percentages. As we have focused on originating high-quality home equity loans, we believe the PD risk is lower in the home equity portfolio. However, the LGD component is significantly higher than the residential mortgage portfolio, which results in our projection for lower NCOs in the residential mortgage portfolio relative to the home equity portfolio in the future. Therefore, we believe the residential mortgage NCO annualized percentage will remain lower compared to the home equity portfolio as a result of the entire first-lien composition of the residential mortgage portfolio, as well as the result of previous credit actions improving the underlying quality of these portfolios.

35

Both the home equity and residential mortgage portfolio NCO levels are anticipated to remain at elevated levels in the near future. The home equity portfolio will continue to be impacted by borrowers that are seeking to refinance, but are in a negative equity position because of the second-lien loan. Right-sizing and debt forgiveness associated with these situations are becoming more frequent as borrowers realize the impact to their credit is minor, and that a default on a second-lien loan is not likely to cause borrowers to lose their home.

From a delinquency standpoint, all residential mortgage loans greater than 150-days past due are charged-down to the estimated value of the collateral, less anticipated selling costs. The remaining balance is in delinquent status until a modification can be completed, or the loan goes through the foreclosure process. For the home equity portfolio, virtually all of the defaults represent full charge-offs as there is no remaining equity, creating a lower delinquency rate but a higher NCO impact.

#### 2012 First Quarter versus 2011 Fourth Quarter

C&I NCOs increased \$17.6 million, or 161%, as the prior quarter s NCOs were historically low. Current quarter NCOs were generally associated with smaller relationships.

CRE NCOs decreased \$17.9 million, or 63%. There was no concentration in either geography or project type, and the NCOs were generally associated with small relationships. The performance of the portfolio was consistent with our expectations.

Automobile NCOs decreased \$1.2 million, or 27%. The relatively low levels of NCOs reflected the continued high credit quality of originations and a strong resale market for used vehicles.

Home equity NCOs increased \$0.3 million, or 1%. We continue to manage the default rate through focused delinquency monitoring as essentially all defaults for second-lien home equity loans incur significant losses reflecting the reduction of equity associated with the collateral property.

Residential mortgage NCOs increased \$0.8 million, or 9%, reflecting the continued stress in the residential real estate market.

#### Market risk

Market risk represents the risk of loss due to changes in market values of assets and liabilities. We incur market risk in the normal course of business through exposures to market interest rates, foreign exchange rates, equity prices, credit spreads, and expected lease residual values. We have identified two primary sources of market risk: interest rate risk and price risk.

#### Interest Rate Risk

#### **OVERVIEW**

Interest rate risk is the risk to earnings and value arising from changes in market interest rates. Interest rate risk from timing differences in the repricings and maturities of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities (reprice risk), changes in the expected maturities of assets and liabilities from embedded options, such as borrowers—ability to prepay residential mortgage loans at any time and depositors—ability to redeem certificates of deposit before maturity (option risk), changes in the shape of the yield curve where interest rates increase or decrease in a non-parallel fashion (yield curve risk), and changes in spread relationships between different yield curves, such as U.S. Treasuries and LIBOR (basis risk).

#### INCOME SIMULATION AND ECONOMIC VALUE ANALYSIS

Interest rate risk measurement is performed monthly. Two broad approaches to modeling interest rate risk are employed: income simulation and economic value analysis. An income simulation analysis is used to measure the sensitivity of forecasted ISE to changes in market rates over a one-year time period. Although BOLI, automobile operating lease assets, and excess cash balances held at the Federal Reserve Bank are classified as noninterest-earning assets, and the net revenue from these assets is recorded in noninterest income and noninterest expense, these portfolios are included in the interest sensitivity analysis because they have attributes similar to interest-earning assets. EVE analysis is used to measure the sensitivity of the values of period-end assets and liabilities to changes in market interest rates. EVE analysis serves as a complement to ISE analysis as it provides risk exposure estimates for time periods beyond the one-year simulation period.

The models used for these measurements take into account prepayment speeds on mortgage loans, mortgage-backed securities, and consumer installment loans, as well as cash flows of other assets and liabilities. Balance sheet growth assumptions are also considered in the ISE analysis. The models include the effects of derivatives, such as interest rate swaps, caps, floors, and other types of interest rate options.

The baseline scenario for ISE analysis, with which all other scenarios are compared, is based on market interest rates implied by the prevailing yield curve as of the period-end. Alternative interest rate scenarios are then compared with the baseline scenario. These alternative interest rate scenarios include parallel rate shifts on both a gradual and an immediate basis, movements in interest rates that alter the shape of the yield curve (e.g., flatter or steeper yield curve), and no changes in current interest rates for the entire measurement period. Scenarios are also developed to measure short-term repricing risks, such as the impact of LIBOR-based interest rates rising or falling faster than the prime rate.

The simulations for evaluating ISE exposure are scenarios that model gradual +/-100 and +/-200 basis points parallel shifts in market interest rates over the next one-year period beyond the interest rate change implied by the current yield curve. We assumed market interest rates would not fall below 0% over the next one-year period for the scenarios that used the -100 and -200 basis points parallel shift in market interest rates. The table below shows the results of the scenarios as of March 31, 2012, and December 31, 2011. All of the positions were within the board of directors policy limits as of March 31, 2012.

Table 18 - Interest Sensitive Earnings at Risk

	Interest Sensitive Earnings at Risk (%)							
Basis point change scenario	-200	-100	+100	+200				
Board policy limits	-4.0%	-2.0%	-2.0%	-4.0%				
March 31, 2012	-3.0	-1.9	1.3	2.6				
December 31, 2011	-3.6	-2.3	1.8	3.4				

The ISE at risk reported as of March 31, 2012, for the +200 basis points scenario shows a less asset sensitive interest rate risk position compared with December 31, 2011. The ALCO s strategy is to be near-term asset-sensitive to a rising rate scenario.

The following table shows the income sensitivity of select portfolios to changes in market interest rates. A portfolio with 100% sensitivity would indicate that interest income and expense will change with the same magnitude and direction as interest rates. A portfolio with 0% sensitivity is insensitive to changes in interest rates. For the +200 basis points scenario, total interest-sensitive income is 36.8% sensitive to changes in market interest rates, while total interest-sensitive expense is 40.1% sensitive to changes in market interest rates. Net interest income at risk for the +200 basis points scenario has an asset-sensitive near-term interest rate risk position.

Table 19 - Interest Income/Expense Sensitivity

	Percent of Total Earning Assets (1)	Percent Chang	Change in Int	•	
Basis point change scenario		-200	-100	+100	+200
Total loans	80%	-16.8%	-24.4%	38.5%	39.9%
Total investments and other earning assets	20	-16.6	-20.6	30.7	28.3
Total interest sensitive income		-16.3	-23.1	36.1	36.8
Total interest-bearing deposits	67	-9.1	-13.8	36.2	37.0
Total borrowings	8	-17.6	-32.4	63.8	67.1
Total interest-sensitive expense		-10.0	-15.7	39.1	40.1

#### (1) At March 31, 2012.

The primary simulations for EVE at risk assume immediate +/-100 and +/-200 basis points parallel shifts in market interest rates beyond the interest rate change implied by the current yield curve. The table below outlines the March 31, 2012, results compared with December 31, 2011. All of the positions were within the board of directors policy limits.

Table 20 - Economic Value of Equity at Risk

	Econor	Economic Value of Equity at Risk (%)			
Basis point change scenario	-200	-100	+100	+200	
Board policy limits	-12.0%	-5.0%	-5.0%	-12.0%	
March 31, 2012	-0.9	1.7	-3.4	-7.9	
December 31, 2011	-1.5	0.8	-1.7	-4.6	

The EVE at risk reported as of March 31, 2012, for the +200 basis points scenario shows a higher long-term liability sensitive position compared with December 31, 2011.

The following table shows the economic value sensitivity of select portfolios to changes in market interest rates. The change in economic value for each portfolio is measured as the percent change from the base economic value for that portfolio. For the +200 basis points scenario, total net tangible assets decreased in value -3.7% to changes in market interest rates, while total net tangible liabilities increased in value 2.9% to changes in market interest rates.

**Table 21 - Economic Value Sensitivity** 

	Percent of Total Net Tangible Assets (1)	Percent Change in Economic Value for a Gi Change in Interest Rates Over /(Under) Base Case Parallel Shocks			
Basis point change scenario		-200	-100	+100	+200
Total loans	73%	1.3 %	1.1 %	-1.5%	-3.1%
Total investments and other earning assets	18	3.1	2.6	-3.3	-6.8
Total net tangible assets (2)		1.7	1.4	-1.8	-3.7
Total deposits	81	-2.2	-1.4	1.6	3.1
Total borrowings	7	-1.2	-0.8	0.8	1.5
Total net tangible liabilities (3)		-2.1	-1.3	1.5	2.9

- (1) At March 31, 2012.
- (2) Tangible assets excluding ALLL.
- (3) Tangible liabilities excluding AULC.

### **MSRs**

(This section should be read in conjunction with Note 6 of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.)

At March 31, 2012, we had a total of \$148.3 million of capitalized MSRs representing the right to service \$15.9 billion in mortgage loans. Of this \$148.3 million, \$62.4 million was recorded using the fair value method, and \$85.9 million was recorded using the amortization method.

MSR fair values are very sensitive to movements in interest rates as expected future net servicing income depends on the projected outstanding principal balances of the underlying loans, which can be greatly reduced by prepayments. Prepayments usually increase when mortgage interest rates decline and decrease when mortgage interest rates rise. We have employed strategies to reduce the risk of MSR fair value changes or impairment. In addition, we engage a third party to provide valuation tools and assistance with our strategies with the objective to decrease the volatility from MSR fair value changes. However, volatile changes in interest rates can diminish the effectiveness of these hedges. We typically report MSR fair value adjustments net of hedge-related trading activity in the mortgage banking income category of noninterest income. Changes in fair value between reporting dates are recorded as an increase or a decrease in mortgage banking income.

MSRs recorded using the amortization method generally relate to loans originated with historically low interest rates, resulting in a lower probability of prepayments and, ultimately, impairment. MSR assets are included in other assets in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### Price Risk

Price risk represents the risk of loss arising from adverse movements in the prices of financial instruments that are carried at fair value and are subject to fair value accounting. We have price risk from trading securities, securities owned by our broker-dealer subsidiaries, foreign exchange positions, equity investments, investments in securities backed by mortgage loans, and marketable equity securities held by our insurance subsidiaries. We have established loss limits on the trading portfolio, on the amount of foreign exchange exposure that can be maintained, and on the amount of marketable equity securities that can be held by the insurance subsidiaries.

#### **Liquidity Risk**

Liquidity risk is the risk of loss due to the possibility that funds may not be available to satisfy current or future commitments resulting from external macro market issues, investor and customer perception of financial strength, and events unrelated to us, such as war, terrorism, or financial institution market specific issues. In addition, the mix and maturity structure of Huntington s balance sheet, amount of on-hand cash and unencumbered securities, and the availability of contingent sources of funding, can have an impact on Huntington s ability to satisfy current or future funding commitments. We manage liquidity risk at both the Bank and the parent company.

The overall objective of liquidity risk management is to ensure that we can obtain cost-effective funding to meet current and future obligations, and can maintain sufficient levels of on-hand liquidity, under both normal business as usual and unanticipated stressed circumstances. The ALCO was appointed by our Board Risk Oversight Committee to oversee liquidity risk management and the establishment of liquidity risk policies and limits. Contingency funding plans are in place, which measure forecasted sources and uses of funds under various scenarios in order to prepare for unexpected liquidity shortages. Liquidity risk is reviewed monthly for the Bank and the parent company, as well as its subsidiaries. In addition, liquidity working groups meet regularly to identify and monitor liquidity positions, provide policy guidance, review funding strategies, and oversee the adherence to, and maintenance of, the contingency funding plans.

#### Bank Liquidity and Sources of Liquidity

Our primary sources of funding for the Bank are retail and commercial core deposits. At March 31, 2012, these core deposits funded 77% of total assets (106% of total loans). At March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, total core deposits represented 95% of total deposits.

On March 30, 2012, Huntington acquired the loans, deposits and certain other assets and liabilities of Fidelity Bank located in Dearborn, Michigan from the FDIC. Under the agreement, we acquired approximately \$520.6 million of loans and \$155.4 million of other assets (primarily cash and due from banks and investment securities) and assumed approximately \$713.4 million of deposits and \$46.6 million of other borrowings at fair value. In addition to the assets described above, the FDIC also transferred approximately \$95.9 million in cash to Huntington as part of this transaction, which did not include a loss sharing agreement.

Core deposits are comprised of interest-bearing and noninterest-bearing demand deposits, money market deposits, savings and other domestic deposits, consumer certificates of deposit both over and under \$250,000, and nonconsumer certificates of deposit less than \$250,000. Noncore deposits consist of brokered money market deposits and certificates of deposit, foreign time deposits, and other domestic deposits of \$250,000 or more comprised primarily of public fund certificates of deposit more than \$250,000.

Core deposits may increase our need for liquidity as certificates of deposit mature or are withdrawn before maturity and as nonmaturity deposits, such as checking and savings account balances, are withdrawn. Noninterest-bearing demand deposits increased \$0.6 billion from December 31, 2011, but include certain large commercial deposits that may be more short-term in nature.

Demand deposit overdrafts that have been reclassified as loan balances were \$13.6 million, \$26.2 million, and \$12.0 million at March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, and March 31, 2011, respectively. Other domestic time deposits of \$250,000 or more and brokered deposits and negotiable CDs totaled \$1.6 billion, \$1.7 billion, and \$1.8 billion at March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, and March 31, 2011, respectively.

The following tables reflect deposit composition and short-term borrowings detail for each of the past five quarters:

39

**Table 22 - Deposit Composition** 

(dollar amounts in millions)	2012 March 3	31.	December	r 31.	Septembe	201 er 30.	1 June 3	0.	March 3	31.
( )		-,		,	~	,		-,		,
By Type										
Demand deposits -										
noninterest-bearing	\$ 11,797	26%	\$ 11,158	26%	\$ 9,502	22%	\$ 8,210	20%	\$ 7,597	18%
Demand deposits - interest-bearing	6,126	14	5,722	13	5,763	13	5,642	14	5,532	13
Money market deposits	13,169	29	13,117	30	13,759	32	12,643	31	13,105	32
Savings and other domestic deposits	4,954	11	4,698	11	4,711	11	4,752	11	4,762	12
Core certificates of deposit	6,920	15	6,513	15	7,084	16	7,936	19	8,208	20
Total core deposits	42,966	95	41,208	95	40,819	94	39,183	95	39,204	95
Other domestic deposits of \$250,000	,		,		.,.		,		, .	
or more	325	1	390	1	421	1	436	1	531	1
Brokered deposits and negotiable										
CDs	1,276	3	1,321	3	1,535	4	1,486	4	1,253	3
Deposits in foreign offices	442	1	361	1	445	1	297		378	1
•										
Total deposits	\$ 45,009	100%	\$ 43,280	100%	\$ 43,220	100%	\$41,402	100%	\$41,366	100%
Total deposits	Ψ 12,000	20070	Ψ .ε,2σσ	10070	Ψ .υ,==υ	10070	Ψ .1,	10070	Ψ .1,000	10070
Total core deposits:										
Commercial	\$ 17,101	40%	\$ 16,366	38%	\$ 15,526	38%	\$ 13,541	35%	\$ 12,785	33%
Consumer	25,865	60	24,842	62	25,293	62	25,642	65	26,419	67
Consumor	22,002	00	2 1,0 12	02	23,273	32	23,012	33	20,117	07
Total core deposits	\$ 42,966	100%	\$ 41,208	100%	\$ 40,819	100%	\$ 39,183	100%	\$ 39,204	100%
Total Cole deposits	Ψ,>00	20070	Ψ .1,200	10070	Ψ .0,017	13070	Ψ 0,100	13070	Ψ 22,201	10070

Management expects the FDIC to allow the extended or unlimited coverage for noninterest-bearing accounts to expire on December 31, 2012, as scheduled. We anticipate the expiration of the FDIC coverage will have a minimal impact on our liquidity position. We will continue to monitor this throughout 2012.

Table 23 - Federal Funds Purchased and Repurchase Agreements

	2012	2011	
(dollar amounts in millions)	March 31, December 31, September 30,	June 30,	March 31,
Balance at period-end			

Federal Funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase