NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND INC

Form N-14 8C/A August 30, 2012

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 29, 2012

File No. 333-182723

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-14

REGISTRATION STATEMENT

UNDER THE

SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

x Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1

NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

333 West Wacker Drive

Chicago, Illinois 60606

(Address of Principal Executive Offices, Zip Code)

[&]quot;Post-Effective Amendment No.

Registrant s Telephone Number, including Area Code (800) 257-8787

Kevin J. McCarth	Kevin	J.	McCarthy
------------------	-------	----	----------

Vice President and Secretary

Nuveen Investments

333 West Wacker Drive

Chicago, Illinois 60606

(Name and Address of Agent for Service)

Copy to:

Deborah Bielicke Eades Eric F. Fess

Vedder Price P.C. Chapman and Cutler LLP

222 North LaSalle Street 111 West Monroe Street

Chicago, Illinois 60601 Chicago, Illinois 60603

Approximate date of proposed public offering: As soon as practicable after the effective date of this Registration Statement.

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

Title of Securities Being Registered
Amount Being Registered(1)
Proposed Proposed Amount of Registration Fee(3)

Maximum Maximum Aggregate

Offering Price(1)

Offering Price

Per	Uı	nit	(1)

Common Shares, \$0.01 Par Value Per Share 10,287,796 Shares \$16.10⁽²⁾ \$165,633,509 \$18,981.60 MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, 2.30% Series 2015 1,631,300 Shares \$10.00 \$16,313,000 \$1,869.47

- (1) Estimated solely for the purpose of calculating the registration fee.
- (2) Net asset value per share of common shares on August 22, 2012.
- (3) Transmitted prior to filing. A registration fee of \$97.16 was previously paid in connection with the initial filing.

The Registrant hereby amends this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this registration statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until this registration statement shall become effective on such date as the Securities and Exchange Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

IMPORTANT NOTICE TO SHAREHOLDERS OF

NUVEEN MICHIGAN DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND (NZW)

NUVEEN MICHIGAN PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. (NMP)

AND

NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. (NUM)

(EACH, A FUND AND COLLECTIVELY, THE FUNDS)

, 2012

Although we recommend that you read the complete Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus, for your convenience, we have provided a brief overview of the issues to be voted on.

- Q. Why am I receiving this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus?
- **A.** You are receiving this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus in connection with the annual shareholder meetings of the Funds. The following proposals will be considered:

the election of members of each Fund s Board of Directors or Board of Trustees (the Board), as applicable (the list of specific nominees is contained in the enclosed Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus);

the change of domicile of Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (Quality Income or the Acquiring Fund) from a Minnesota corporation to a Massachusetts business trust (the Domicile Change); and

the reorganization of the Funds.

Proposal Regarding the Domicile Change (Quality Income Only)

- Q. What actions has Quality Income s Board approved?
- **A.** The Board of Quality Income has approved the reorganization of the Fund, currently organized as a Minnesota corporation, into a newly created Massachusetts business trust for purposes of changing the Fund s domicile.
- Q. Why has Quality Income s Board recommended the proposal?
- **A.** The Board of Quality Income believes that the proposed Domicile Change will achieve the following advantages:

create savings and operating efficiencies by simplifying the administration and oversight of Quality Income through increased standardization of charter documents among the Nuveen family of funds, most of which are organized as Massachusetts business trusts; and

lower expenses through economies of scale associated with compliance by the Nuveen family of funds with Massachusetts law only, rather than both Minnesota and Massachusetts law.

Q. How will shareholders be impacted by the	Domicile	Change?
---	----------	---------

- A. Upon the closing of the Domicile Change, holders of common and preferred shares of Quality Income will receive common and preferred shares of beneficial interest, respectively, of a newly created Massachusetts business trust equal to the number of shares of Quality Income that they owned immediately prior to the closing.
- Q. Does the Domicile Change constitute a taxable event for Quality Income shareholders?
- A. No. The Domicile Change is intended to qualify as a tax-free reorganization for federal income tax purposes. It is expected that you will recognize no gain or loss for federal income tax purposes as a direct result of the Domicile Change.
- Q. What is the timetable for the Domicile Change?
- **A.** If the shareholder voting and other conditions to closing are satisfied (or waived), the Domicile Change is expected to take effect on such date as Quality Income and the newly created Massachusetts business trust may agree.
- Q. How does the Board recommend that I vote on the Domicile Change?
- A. After careful consideration, the Board of Quality Income has determined that the Domicile Change is in the best interests of Quality Income and recommends that you vote FOR the proposal.

Proposals Regarding the Reorganizations (All Funds)

- Q. What actions has each Fund s Board approved?
- A. Each Fund s Board has approved a series of mergers of single-state municipal closed-end funds, including the reorganization of each of Nuveen Michigan Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Dividend Advantage) and Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (Premium Income) (each, an Acquired Fund and together, the Acquired Funds) into the Acquiring Fund (each, a Reorganization and together, the Reorganizations).
- Q. Why has each Fund s Board recommended these proposals?
- A. Each Fund s Board has determined that the proposed Reorganization would be in the best interests of its respective Fund. The Acquiring Fund and the Acquired Funds have substantially similar investment objectives and policies, have similar portfolio compositions, and are managed by the same portfolio manager. In light of these similarities, the proposed Reorganizations are intended to reduce fund redundancies and create a single, larger state fund. As a result of the larger size of the combined fund, the proposed Reorganizations are intended to result in lower operating expenses per common share (excluding costs of leverage) and to enhance the secondary trading market for common shares of the Funds, as further discussed below.

Q. What are the potential benefits of the Reorganizations to common shareholders?

A. The investment adviser to the Funds and each Fund s Board believe that the proposed Reorganizations are expected to offer the following potential benefits to common shareholders of the Funds:

Lower fees and operating expenses per common share (excluding costs of leverage) from greater economies of scale as the combined fund s size results in a lower effective management fee rate based on managed assets and allows fixed operating expenses to be spread over a larger asset base. Although the anticipated total annual operating expenses per common share of the combined fund is expected to be higher for the Acquiring Fund and Premium Income due to the increased levels of leverage in the combined fund, such leverage may produce higher returns for common shares over time.

Improved secondary market trading for common shares as the combined fund s greater share volume is expected to result in increased market liquidity, which may lead to narrower bid-ask spreads and smaller trade-to-trade price movements. The potential for higher common share net earnings and enhanced total returns over time may increase investor interest in the combined fund and lead to higher common share market prices relative to net asset value.

Increased flexibility in managing the structure and costs of leverage over time.

Q. How will preferred shareholders be impacted by the Reorganizations?

A. Each of the Acquired Funds currently has outstanding shares of either MuniFund Term Preferred Shares (MTP Shares) or Variable MuniFund Term Preferred Shares (VMTP Shares). Upon the closing of the Reorganizations, preferred shareholders of each Acquired Fund will receive one newly issued preferred share of the Acquiring Fund with substantially identical terms, as of the time of exchange, for each preferred share of the Acquired Fund exchanged therefor. Among other terms, each new series of preferred shares of the Acquiring Fund will have the same mandatory redemption term, liquidation preference and fixed dividend rate or variable dividend rate formula as the Acquired Fund preferred shares exchanged therefor. Features of the preferred shares that vary over time, such as the optional redemption premium with respect to MTP Shares, will reflect the terms that are effectively in place as of the closing of the Reorganizations.

As of the date of the Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus, the Acquiring Fund and Acquired Funds had similar levels of preferred shares outstanding as a percentage of managed assets. The Acquiring Fund currently has one series of VMTP Shares outstanding, and such shares will remain outstanding following the Reorganizations. Accordingly, following the Reorganizations, the Acquiring Fund will have three series of preferred shares outstanding two series of VMTP Shares and one series of MTP Shares. There are some differences between MTP Shares and VMTP Shares, which are discussed in the Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus. With respect to matters requiring all preferred shareholders to vote as a single class, following the Reorganizations preferred shareholders will hold a smaller percentage of the combined funds. Preferred shareholders of the Acquiring Fund and Acquired Funds are expected to benefit from the larger size of the combined fund due to the larger combined fund s ability to invest in a more diverse pool of securities.

Q. Will the Reorganizations impact Fund distributions to common shareholders?

A. The Reorganizations are not expected to adversely impact distributions to common shareholders and, with respect to common shareholders of the Acquiring Fund and Dividend Advantage, are projected to result in a higher distribution rate. Common shareholders of the Acquiring Fund are expected to experience a higher distribution rate as shareholders of the combined fund as a result of operating expense savings from the combined fund size while a higher distribution rate for common shareholders of Dividend Advantage, as shareholders of the combined fund, would be a result of increased earnings from lower fees and operating expenses (excluding the costs of leverage).

Q. Do the Funds have similar investment objectives and policies?

A. The Funds have substantially similar investment objectives, policies and risks and are managed by the same portfolio manager. Each Fund invests primarily in municipal securities and other related investments the income from which is exempt from regular federal and Michigan income tax. Each Fund emphasizes investments in investment grade municipal securities. Each Fund is a diversified, closed-end management investment company, and currently engages in leverage through the issuance of preferred shares and through the use of inverse floating rate securities.

Q. What specific proposals will I be asked to vote on in connection with a proposed Reorganization?

A. Generally, shareholders of each Fund will be asked to vote on an Agreement and Plan of Reorganization with common shareholders and preferred shareholders voting as a single class and preferred shareholders voting separately. Shareholders of the Acquiring Fund also will be asked to vote on the issuance of common shares in connection with the Reorganizations, with common and preferred shareholders voting as a single class and common shares voting separately.

Shareholders of the Acquiring Fund will be asked to vote on an amendment to the Acquiring Fund s articles of incorporation (Acquiring Fund Articles of Incorporation) to increase the number of authorized preferred shares that the Acquiring Fund is authorized to issue. If shareholders of the Acquiring Fund approve the proposed Domicile Change and the Domicile Change is effected prior to the Reorganizations, approval of the amendment to the Acquiring Fund Articles of Incorporation will not be required to effect the Reorganizations. If shareholders of the Acquiring Fund do not approve the proposed Domicile Change, approval of the amendment to the Acquiring Fund Articles of Incorporation will be required to effect the Reorganizations.

Q. Will shareholders of the Acquired Funds receive new shares in exchange for their current shares?

A. Yes. Upon the closing of the Reorganizations, each Acquired Fund will transfer substantially all of its assets to the Acquiring Fund in exchange for common and preferred shares (MTP Shares or VMTP Shares, as applicable) of the Acquiring Fund, and the assumption by the Acquiring Fund of substantially all of the liabilities of such Acquired Fund. Each Acquired Fund will then be liquidated, dissolved and terminated in accordance with applicable law.

Acquired Fund shareholders will become shareholders of the Acquiring Fund. Holders of common shares of each Acquired Fund will receive newly issued common shares of the Acquiring Fund, the aggregate net asset value of which will be equal to the aggregate net asset value of the common shares of the Acquired Fund held as of the close of trading on the business day immediately prior to the closing of the Reorganizations (including for this purpose fractional Acquiring Fund common shares to which shareholders would be entitled). Fractional shares will be sold on the open market and shareholders will receive cash in lieu of such fractional shares. Holders of preferred shares of each Acquired Fund will receive on a one-for-one basis newly issued preferred shares of the Acquiring Fund in exchange for preferred shares held immediately prior to the closing of the Reorganization.

If Acquiring Fund shareholders approve the proposed Domicile Change and the Domicile Change is effected prior to the Reorganizations, shareholders of the Acquired Funds will receive shares of beneficial interest of a newly created Massachusetts business trust. If Acquiring Fund shareholders do not approve the proposed Domicile Change, shareholders of the Acquired Funds will receive shares of the Acquiring Fund.

- Q. Do the Reorganizations constitute a taxable event for the Acquired Fund shareholders?
- A. No. Each Reorganization is intended to qualify as a tax-free reorganization for federal income tax purposes. It is expected that you will recognize no gain or loss for federal income tax purposes as a direct result of a Reorganization, except that gain or loss may be recognized with respect to any cash received in lieu of fractional Acquiring Fund common shares. Prior to the closing of the Reorganizations, each Acquired Fund expects to declare a distribution of all of its net investment income and net capital gains, if any. Such a distribution may be taxable to an Acquired Fund shareholders for federal income tax purposes. To the extent that portfolio securities are sold in connection with the Reorganizations, an Acquired Fund may realize capital gains or losses. It is not currently expected that any significant portfolio sales will occur solely in connection with the Reorganizations (less than 5% of the assets of each Acquired Fund).
- Q. What will happen if the required shareholder approvals in connection with a Reorganization are obtained for one Fund but not for the other Funds?
- A. The closing of the Reorganizations is contingent upon certain conditions being satisfied or waived. Principally, shareholders of each Acquired Fund, voting separately, must approve the Reorganization of their Fund into the Acquiring Fund. The Acquiring Fund also must obtain the shareholder approvals described in the enclosed Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus with respect to the Reorganizations in order for the Reorganizations to occur. Because the closing of the Reorganizations is contingent on all of the Acquired Funds and the Acquiring Fund obtaining the requisite shareholder approvals and satisfying their other closing conditions, it is possible that your Fund s Reorganization will not occur, even if shareholders of your Fund approve the Reorganization and your Fund satisfies all of its closing conditions, if one or more of the other Funds do not obtain their requisite shareholder approvals or satisfy their closing conditions. If all the shareholder approvals are not obtained, each Fund s Board may take such actions as it deems in the best interests of its Fund, including conducting additional solicitations with respect to the proposals or continuing to operate the Fund as a stand-alone fund.

Q. Will I have to pay any fees or expenses in connection with the Reorganizations	Q.	Will I have to pay any	fees or expen	ises in connection	with the	Reorganizations
---	----	------------------------	---------------	--------------------	----------	-----------------

A. The costs of the Reorganizations (whether or not consummated) will be allocated among the Funds ratably based on the relative expected benefits of the Reorganizations comprised of forecasted cost savings and distribution increases, if any, to each Fund during the first year following the Reorganizations. Common shareholders will indirectly bear the costs of the Reorganizations. The costs of the Reorganizations are estimated to be \$180,000 for the Acquiring Fund, \$280,000 for Dividend Advantage and \$95,000 for Premium Income. Preferred shareholders are not expected to bear any costs of the Reorganizations. The Reorganizations are expected to result in cost savings (excluding the costs of leverage) over time for each Fund.

Q. What is the timetable for the Reorganizations?

- **A.** If the shareholder voting and other conditions to closing are satisfied (or waived), the Reorganizations are expected to take effect on or about , 2012 or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Q. How does the Board recommend that I vote on the Reorganizations?
- **A.** After careful consideration, the Board has determined that the Reorganizations are in the best interests of each Fund and recommends that you vote FOR your Fund s proposal(s).

General

Q. Who do I call if I have questions?

A. If you need any assistance, or have any questions regarding the proposal or how to vote your shares, please call Computershare Fund Services, your proxy solicitor, at (866) 963-5818 weekdays during its business hours of 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m. and Saturdays 12:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. Eastern time. Please have your proxy materials available when you call.

Q. How do I vote my shares?

A. You may vote by mail, by telephone or over the Internet:

To vote by mail, please mark, sign, date and mail the enclosed proxy card. No postage is required if mailed in the United States.

To vote by telephone, please call the toll-free number located on your proxy card and follow the recorded instructions, using your proxy card as a guide.

To vote over the Internet, go to the Internet address provided on your proxy card and follow the instructions, using your proxy card as a guide.

Q. Will anyone contact me?

A. You may receive a call from Computershare Fund Services, the proxy solicitor hired by your Fund, to verify that you received your proxy materials, to answer any questions you may have about the proposals and to encourage you to vote your proxy.

We recognize the inconvenience of the proxy solicitation process and would not impose on you if we did not believe that the matters being proposed were important. Once your vote has been registered with the proxy solicitor, your name will be removed from the solicitor s follow-up contact list.

Your vote is very important. We encourage you as a shareholder to participate in your Fund s governance by returning your vote as soon as possible. If enough shareholders fail to cast their votes, your Fund may not be able to hold its meeting or the vote on each issue, and will be required to incur additional solicitation costs in order to obtain sufficient shareholder participation.

, 2012

NUVEEN MICHIGAN DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND (NZW) NUVEEN MICHIGAN PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. (NMP) AND NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. (NUM) (EACH, A FUND AND COLLECTIVELY, THE FUNDS)

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

TO BE HELD ON , 2012

To the Shareholders:

Notice is hereby given that the Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the Annual Meeting) of Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (Quality Income or Acquiring Fund), and Nuveen Michigan Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Dividend Advantage) and Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (Premium Income) (each, an Acquired Fund and together, the Acquired Funds), will be held in the offices of Nuveen Investments, Inc. (Nuveen or Nuveen Investments), 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606, on , 2012, at :00 .m., Central time, for the following purposes:

- 1. <u>Election of Board Members</u>.
 - (a) For Quality Income and Premium Income:
 - (i) eight (8) Board members are to be elected by holders of common shares and preferred shares, voting together as a single class. Board members Amboian, Bremner, Evans, Kundert, Stockdale, Stone, Stringer and Toth are nominees for election by all shareholders.
 - (ii) two (2) Board members to be elected by the holders of preferred shares only, voting separately as a single class. Board members Hunter and Schneider are nominees for election by holders of preferred shares.
 - (b) For Dividend Advantage:
 - (i) two (2) Class III Board members are to be elected by holders of common and preferred shares, voting together as a single class. Board members Bremner and Evans are nominees for election by all shareholders.
 - (ii) two (2) Board members are to be elected by holders of preferred shares only, voting separately as a single class. Board members Hunter and Schneider are nominees for election by holders of preferred shares.
- Domicile Change. The shareholders of Quality Income voting as set forth below, for an Agreement and Plan of Reorganization to enable the Fund to change its domicile from a Minnesota corporation to a Massachusetts business trust (the Domicile Change).

(a)

the common and preferred shareholders voting as a single class to approve the Agreement and Plan of Reorganization in connection with the proposed Domicile Change.

- (b) the preferred shareholders voting separately as a single class to approve the Agreement and Plan of Reorganization in connection with the proposed Domicile Change.
- 3. <u>Fund Combination Reorganization</u>. The shareholders of each Fund voting as set forth below, for an Agreement and Plan of Reorganization pursuant to which each Acquired Fund would (i) transfer substantially all of its assets to the Acquiring Fund in exchange solely for common shares and preferred shares of the Acquiring Fund, and the Acquiring Fund s assumption of substantially all of the liabilities of the Acquired Fund, (ii) distribute such shares of the Acquiring Fund to the common shareholders and preferred shareholders of the Acquired Fund (with cash being issued in lieu of fractional common shares), and (iii) liquidate, dissolve and terminate in accordance with applicable law.

For each Fund:

- (a) the common and preferred shareholders voting as a single class to approve the Agreement and Plan of Reorganization.
- (b) the preferred shareholders voting separately as a single class to approve the Agreement and Plan of Reorganization.
- 4. Approval of Issuance of Additional Common Shares by the Acquiring Fund.

For Quality Income:

- (a) the common and preferred shareholders voting as a single class to approve the issuance of additional common shares in connection with each reorganization pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Reorganization.
- (b) the common shareholders voting separately as a single class to approve the issuance of additional common shares in connection with each reorganization pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Reorganization.
- 5. <u>Approval of an Amendment to the Acquiring Fund s Articles of Incorporation.</u>

For Quality Income:

- (a) the common and preferred shareholders voting as a single class to approve an amendment to the Acquiring Fund s articles of incorporation to increase the number of preferred shares that the Fund is authorized to issue.
- (b) the preferred shareholders voting separately as a single class to approve an amendment to the Acquiring Fund s articles of incorporation to increase the number of preferred shares that the Fund is authorized to issue.
- 6. With respect to each Fund, to transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting.

Only shareholders of record as of the close of business on August 13, 2012 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting or adjournments or postponements thereof.

All shareholders are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting. In order to avoid delay and additional expense for the Funds, and to assure that your shares are represented, please vote as promptly as possible, whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting. You may vote by mail, by telephone or over the Internet.

To vote by mail, please mark, sign, date and mail the enclosed proxy card. No postage is required if mailed in the United States.

To vote by telephone, please call the toll-free number located on your proxy card and follow the recorded instructions, using your proxy card as a guide.

To vote over the Internet, go to the Internet address provided on your proxy card and follow the instructions, using your proxy card as a guide.

Kevin J. McCarthy

Vice President and Secretary

The Nuveen Funds

The information contained in this Proxy Statement/Prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This Proxy Statement/Prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities, and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

NUVEEN FUNDS

333 WEST WACKER DRIVE

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60606

(800) 257-8787

Subject to completion, dated , 2012

JOINT PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS

NUVEEN MICHIGAN DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND (NZW)

NUVEEN MICHIGAN PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. (NMP)

AND

NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. (NUM)

(EACH, A FUND AND COLLECTIVELY, THE FUNDS)

, 2012

This Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus is being furnished to the common shareholders of Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (Quality Income or Acquiring Fund), and Nuveen Michigan Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Dividend Advantage) and Nuveen Michigan Premium Income Municipal Fund, Inc. (Premium Income) (each, an Acquired Fund and together, the Acquired Funds), each a closed-end management investment company, and preferred shareholders of Dividend Advantage in connection with the solicitation of proxies by each Fund s Board of Trustees or Board of Directors, as applicable (each, a Board and each Trustee or Director, a Board Member) for use at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of each Fund to be held in the offices of Nuveen Investments, Inc. (Nuveen or Nuveen Investments), 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606, on , at .m., Central time, and at any and all adjournments or postponements thereof (each, an Annual Meeting and collectively, the Annual Meetings) to consider the proposals listed below and discussed in greater detail elsewhere in this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus. Dividend Advantage is organized as a Massachusetts business trust. Quality Income and Premium Income are each organized as a Minnesota corporation. The enclosed proxy and this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus are first being sent to shareholders of the Funds on or about , 2012. Shareholders of record of the Funds as of the close of business on August 13, 2012 are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting and any and all adjournments or postponements thereof.

This Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus explains concisely what you should know before voting on the proposals described in this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus or investing in the Acquiring Fund. Please read it carefully and keep it for future reference.

The securities offered by this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus have not been approved or disapproved by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), nor has the SEC passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

On the matters coming before each Annual Meeting as to which a choice has been specified by shareholders on the accompanying proxy card, the shares will be voted accordingly where such proxy card is properly executed, timely received and not properly revoked (pursuant to the instructions below). If a proxy is returned and no choice is specified, the shares will be voted **FOR** the proposals. Shareholders of a Fund who execute proxies may revoke them at any time before they are voted by

filing with that Fund a written notice of revocation, by delivering a duly executed proxy bearing a later date, or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. Merely attending the Annual Meeting, however, will not revoke any previously submitted proxy.

The Board of each Fund has determined that the use of this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus for the Annual Meeting is in the best interests of each Fund and its shareholders in light of the similar matters being considered and voted on by the shareholders.

The following table indicates the proposals of each Fund for which the votes of shareholders are being solicited and which shareholders are solicited to vote with respect to each matter. Except as otherwise noted below, the common shareholders of a Fund vote together with, for Premium Income and Quality Income, the holders of the Fund s Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred Shares (VMTP Shares), and for Dividend Advantage, the holders of the Fund s MuniTerm Preferred Shares (MTP Shares). (MTP Shares and VMTP Shares are referred to herein together as the preferred shares and the holders of such preferred shares are referred to as preferred shareholders.)

Matter		Common Shares	Preferred Shares
For Shareholder	rs of Quality Income,		
1(a)(i)	the common and preferred shareholders voting as a single class, to elect eight (8) Board Members,	X	X
1(a)(ii)	the preferred shareholders voting separately as a single class, to elect two (2) Board Members,		X
2(a)	the common and preferred shareholders voting as a single class to approve the Agreement and Plan of Reorganization in connection with the proposed domicile change,	X	X
2(b)	the preferred shareholders voting separately as a single class to approve the Agreement and Plan of Reorganization in connection with the proposed domicile change,		X
3(a)	the common and preferred shareholders voting as a single class to approve the Agreement and Plan of Reorganization,	X	X
3(b)	the preferred shareholders voting separately as a single class to approve the Agreement and Plan of Reorganization,		X
4(a)	the common and preferred shareholders voting as a single class, to approve the issuance of additional common shares in connection with the reorganization pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Reorganization,	X	X
4(b)	the common shareholders voting separately as a single class to approve the issuance of additional common shares in connection with the reorganization pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Reorganization,	X	

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND INC - Form N-14 8C/A

Matter		Common Shares	Preferred Shares
5(a)	the common and preferred shareholders voting as a single class to approve an amendment to the Fund s articles of incorporation to increase the number of preferred shares that the Fund is authorized to issue,	X	X
5(b)	the preferred shareholders voting separately as a single class to approve an amendment to the Fund s articles of incorporation to increase the number of preferred shares that the Fund is authorized to issue.		X
For Shareholders of	Dividend Advantage,		
1(b)(i)	the common and preferred shareholders voting as a single class, to elect two (2) Class III Board Members,	X	X
1(b)(ii)	the preferred shareholders voting separately as a single class, to elect two (2) Board Members,		X
3(a)	the common and preferred shareholders voting as a single class, to approve the Agreement and Plan of Reorganization,	X	X
3(b)	the preferred shareholders voting separately as a single class, to approve the Agreement and Plan of Reorganization.		X
For Shareholders of	Premium Income,		
1(a)(i)	the common and preferred shareholders voting as a single class, to elect eight (8) Board Members,	X	X
1(a)(ii)	the preferred shareholders voting separately as a single class, to elect two (2) Board Members,		X
3(a)	the common and preferred shareholders voting as a single class, to approve the Agreement and Plan of Reorganization,	X	X
3(b)	the preferred shareholders voting separately as a single class, to approve the Agreement and Plan of Reorganization.		X

Quality Income and Premium Income are separately soliciting the votes of holders of VMTP Shares on each of the foregoing proposals that require preferred shareholders to vote together with common shareholders as a single class or preferred shareholders to vote separately as a single class through a separate proxy statement and not through this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus.

A quorum of shareholders is required to take action at each Annual Meeting. A majority of the shares entitled to vote at each Annual Meeting, represented in person or by proxy, will constitute a quorum of shareholders at that Annual Meeting, except that for the election of the two Board Member nominees to be elected by holders of preferred shares of each Fund, 33 $^{1}/_{3}\%$ of the preferred shares entitled to vote and represented in person or by proxy will constitute a quorum. Votes cast by proxy or in person at each Annual Meeting will be tabulated by the inspectors of election appointed for that

Annual Meeting. The inspectors of election will determine whether or not a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting. The inspectors of election will treat abstentions and broker non-votes (*i.e.*, shares held by brokers or nominees, typically in street name, as to which: (i) instructions have not been received from the beneficial owners or persons entitled to vote; and (ii) the broker or nominee does not have discretionary voting power on a particular matter) as present for purposes of determining a quorum.

Those persons who were shareholders of record at the close of business on August 13, 2012 will be entitled to one vote for each share held and, with respect to holders of common shares, a proportionate fractional vote for each fractional common share held.

As of August 13, 2012, the shares of the Funds issued and outstanding were as follows:

		MTP	MTP Shares	VMTP
Fund Ticker Symbol*	Common Shares	Shares	Ticker Symbol	Shares
Acquiring Fund, NUM	11,554,253			879
Premium Income, NMP	7,605,648			539
Dividend Advantage, NZW	2,053,086	1,631,300	NZW PrC	

* The common shares of Quality Income and Premium Income are listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE). The common shares and MTP Shares of Dividend Advantage are listed on NYSE MKT (formerly NYSE Amex). VMTP Shares of Quality Income and Premium Income are not listed on any exchange. Upon the closing of the reorganizations, it is expected that the common shares and MTP Shares of the Acquiring Fund to be issued in the Reorganizations will be listed on the NYSE and NYSE MKT, respectively.

Domicile Change. Quality Income is currently organized as a Minnesota corporation. The proposed change in domicile (the Domicile Change) for Quality Income seeks to reorganize the Fund into a newly created Massachusetts business trust (the Successor Fund). The Agreement and Plan of Reorganization for the Domicile Change (the Domicile Agreement) contemplates: (a) the sale, assignment, conveyance, transfer and delivery of all of the assets of Quality Income in exchange for newly issued common shares and newly issued preferred shares of the Successor Fund (corresponding to the then outstanding preferred shares of Quality Income) and the assumption by the Successor Fund of all of the liabilities of Quality Income; and (b) the subsequent distribution of the newly issued common shares and newly issued preferred shares of the Successor Fund to the shareholders of the corresponding class of Quality Income in complete liquidation and termination of Quality Income. As a result of the Domicile Change, the shareholders of Quality Income would become shareholders of the Successor Fund. The Successor Fund will have the same investment objectives and policies as Quality Income. The Domicile Change is required to be approved by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Quality Income s common shares and preferred shares, voting as a single class, and by the affirmative vote of a majority of Quality Income s outstanding preferred shares, voting separately as a single class. The Domicile Change is contingent upon certain conditions being satisfied or waived, including obtaining shareholder approval as set forth above and obtaining certain consents, confirmations and/or waivers from various third parties.

Reorganizations. The proposed reorganizations for the Acquiring Fund and Acquired Funds seek to combine three Funds that have substantially similar investment objectives, policies and risks to achieve certain economies of scale and other operational efficiencies for the Funds (each, a Reorganization and together, the Reorganizations). The Agreement and Plan of Reorganization by and among each Acquired Fund and the Acquiring Fund (the Agreement) provides for: (i) the

Acquiring Fund's acquisition of substantially all of the assets of each Acquired Fund in exchange for newly issued common shares of the Acquiring Fund, par value \$0.01 per share, and, with respect to Premium Income, newly issued VMTP Shares of the Acquiring Fund, with a par value of \$0.01 per share and liquidation preference of \$100,000 per share, and, with respect to Dividend Advantage, newly issued MTP Shares of the Acquiring Fund, with a par value of \$0.01 per share and a liquidation preference of \$10 per share, and the Acquiring Fund s assumption of substantially all of the liabilities of each Acquired Fund; and (ii) the distribution of the Acquiring Fund common shares and Acquiring Fund preferred shares received by each Acquired Fund to its common and preferred shareholders, respectively, as part of the liquidation, dissolution and termination of each Acquired Fund in accordance with applicable law. The aggregate net asset value of Acquiring Fund common shares received by each Acquired Fund in a Reorganization will equal, as of the Valuation Date (as such term is defined on page 58), the aggregate net asset value of Acquired Fund common shares held by shareholders of such Acquired Fund. Prior to the closing of the Reorganizations, the net asset value of each Acquired Fund and Acquiring Fund will be reduced by the costs of the Reorganization borne by such Fund. No fractional Acquiring Fund common shares will be issued to an Acquired Fund s shareholders in connection with the Reorganizations and, in lieu of such fractional shares, an Acquired Fund's shareholders will receive cash in an amount equal to the value received for such shares in the open market, which may be higher or lower than net asset value. Preferred shareholders of each Acquired Fund will receive the same number of Acquiring Fund VMTP or MTP Shares, respectively, having substantially identical terms as the outstanding preferred shares of the Acquired Fund held by such preferred shareholders immediately prior to the closing of the Reorganizations. The aggregate liquidation preference of the preferred shares issued by the Acquiring Fund in the Reorganizations will equal the aggregate liquidation preference of the corresponding Acquired Fund preferred shares held immediately prior to the Reorganizations.

All preferred shares of the Acquiring Fund to be issued in connection with the Reorganizations will have equal priority with each other and with the Acquiring Fund s existing outstanding preferred shares as to the payment of dividends and as to distribution of assets in the event of the Acquiring Fund s liquidation. In addition, the preferred shares of the Acquiring Fund, including preferred shares of the Acquiring Fund to be issued in connection with the Reorganizations, will be senior in priority to the Acquiring Fund s common shares as to payment of dividends and as to distribution of assets in the event of the Acquiring Fund s liquidation. The Acquiring Fund will continue to operate after the Reorganizations as a registered closed-end management investment company with the investment objectives and policies described in this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus. If Acquiring Fund shareholders approve the proposed Domicile Change and the Domicile Change closes prior to the Reorganizations, shareholders of the Acquired Funds will receive shares of beneficial interest of the Successor Fund. If Acquiring Fund shareholders do not approve the proposed Domicile Change, shareholders of the Acquired Funds will receive shares of Quality Income.

With respect to each Reorganization, the Reorganization is required to be approved by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of the Acquired Fund s common shares and preferred shares, voting as a single class, and by the affirmative vote of a majority of the Acquired Fund s outstanding preferred shares, voting separately as a single class. Each Reorganization also is required to be approved by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the Acquiring Fund s outstanding common shares and preferred shares, voting as a single class, and by the affirmative vote of a majority of the Acquiring Fund s outstanding preferred shares, voting separately as a single class. In addition, (i) common and preferred shareholders of the Acquiring Fund voting as a single class, and common shareholders voting separately, are being asked to approve the issuance of

v

additional common shares of the Acquiring Fund in connection with the Reorganizations, and (ii) common and preferred shareholders of the Acquiring Fund voting as a single class, and preferred shareholders voting separately as a single class, are being asked to approve an amendment to the Acquiring Fund s articles of incorporation (the Acquiring Fund Articles of Incorporation) to increase the number of preferred shares the Fund is authorized to issue. If shareholders of the Acquiring Fund approve the proposed Domicile Change and the Domicile Change closes prior to the Reorganizations, approval of the amendment to the Acquiring Fund Articles of Incorporation will not be required to effect the Reorganizations. If shareholders of the Acquiring Fund do not approve the proposed Domicile Change, approval of the amendment to the Acquiring Fund Articles of Incorporation will be required to effect the Reorganizations.

The closing of the Reorganizations is contingent upon certain conditions being satisfied or waived. Principally, shareholders of each Acquired Fund, voting separately, must approve the Reorganization of their Fund into the Acquiring Fund. The Acquiring Fund also must obtain the shareholder approvals described in this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus with respect to the Reorganizations in order for the Reorganizations to occur. Additionally, in order for the Reorganizations to occur, each Fund must obtain certain consents, confirmations and/or waivers from various third parties. Because the closing of the Reorganizations is contingent on all of the Acquired Funds and the Acquiring Fund obtaining the requisite shareholder approvals and satisfying (or obtaining the waiver of) other closing conditions, it is possible that your Fund s Reorganization will not occur, even if shareholders of your Fund approve the Reorganization and your Fund satisfies all of its closing conditions. If the requisite shareholder approvals are not obtained, each Fund s Board may take such actions as it deems in the best interest of its Fund, including conducting additional solicitations with respect to the proposals or continuing to operate the Fund as a stand-alone fund.

This Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus concisely sets forth the information shareholders of the Funds should know before voting on the proposals and constitutes an offering of common shares and MTP Shares, 2.30% Series 2015, of the Acquiring Fund only. Shareholders should read it carefully and retain it for future reference.

The following documents have been filed with the SEC and are incorporated into this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus by reference:

- (i) the Statement of Additional Information relating to the proposed Reorganizations, dated , 2012 (the Reorganization SAI); and
- (ii) the audited financial statements and related independent registered public accounting firm s report for the Acquiring Fund contained in the Fund s Annual Report for the fiscal year ended February 29, 2012; and
- (iii) the audited financial statements and related independent registered public accounting firm s report for each Acquired Fund contained in the Funds Annual Report for the fiscal year ended February 29, 2012.

No other parts of the Funds Annual Reports are incorporated by reference herein.

Copies of the foregoing may be obtained without charge by calling (800) 257-8787 or writing the Funds at 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606. If you wish to request a copy of the Reorganization SAI, please ask for the Reorganization SAI. In addition, the Acquiring Fund will furnish, without charge, a copy of its most recent Annual Report or Semi-Annual Report to a

shareholder upon request. Any such request should be directed to the Acquiring Fund by calling (800) 257-8787 or by writing the Acquiring Fund at 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606.

The Funds are subject to the informational requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the 1940 Act), and the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), and in accordance therewith file reports and other information with the SEC. Reports, proxy statements, registration statements and other information filed by the Funds, including the Registration Statement on Form N-14 relating to the Acquiring Fund of which this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus is a part, may be inspected without charge and copied (for a duplication fee at prescribed rates) at the SEC s public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549 or at the SEC s New York Regional Office (3 World Financial Center, Suite 400, New York, New York 10281) or Chicago Regional Office (175 W. Jackson Boulevard, Suite 900, Chicago, Illinois 60604). You may call the SEC at (202) 551-8090 for information about the operation of the public reference room. You may obtain copies of this information, with payment of a duplication fee, by electronic request at the following e-mail address: publicinfo@sec.gov, or by writing the SEC s Public Reference Branch, Office of Consumer Affairs and Information Services, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. You may also access reports and other information about the Funds on the EDGAR database on the SEC s Internet site at http://www.sec.gov.

The common shares of the Acquiring Fund and Premium Income are listed on the NYSE. The common shares and MTP Shares of Dividend Advantage are listed on NYSE MKT. It is expected that the common shares of the Acquiring Fund to be issued in each Reorganization will be listed on the NYSE; MTP Shares of the Acquiring Fund to be issued in the Reorganization of Dividend Advantage are expected to be listed on NYSE MKT. VMTP Shares of the Acquiring Fund and Premium Income are not listed on any exchange. Reports, proxy statements and other information concerning the Funds can be inspected at the offices of the NYSE and NYSE MKT, 11 Wall Street, New York, New York 10005.

This Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus serves as a prospectus of the Acquiring Fund in connection with the issuance of the Acquiring Fund common shares in each Reorganization and MTP Shares with respect to the Reorganization of Dividend Advantage. No person has been authorized to give any information or make any representation not contained in this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus and, if so given or made, such information or representation must not be relied upon as having been authorized. This Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus does not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities in any jurisdiction in which, or to any person to whom, it is unlawful to make such offer or solicitation.

JOINT PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS

, 2012

NUVEEN MICHIGAN DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND (NZW)

NUVEEN MICHIGAN PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. (NMP)

AND

NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND, INC. (NUM)

$(\textbf{EACH}, \textbf{A} \quad \textbf{FUND} \quad \textbf{AND} \ \textbf{COLLECTIVELY}, \textbf{THE} \quad \textbf{FUNDS} \)$

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PROPOSAL NO. 1 THE ELECTION OF BOARD MEMBERS (SHAREHOLDERS OF EACH FUND)	1
Quality Income and Premium Income	1
<u>Dividend Advantage</u>	1
Board Nominees/Board Members	3
<u>Compensation</u>	10
Board Leadership Structure and Risk Oversight	12
<u>The Officers</u>	20
PROPOSAL NO. 2 DOMICILE CHANGE (QUALITY INCOME SHAREHOLDERS ONLY)	24
General	24
Terms of the Domicile Change	24
Certain Comparative Information about the Successor Fund and Quality Income	26
Comparison of Massachusetts Business Trusts and Minnesota Corporations	27
Material Federal Income Tax Consequences	34
Votes Required	35
PROPOSAL NO. 3 REORGANIZATION OF EACH ACOUIRED FUND INTO THE ACOUIRING FUND (SHAREHOLDERS	
OF EACH FUND)	36
A. SYNOPSIS	36
Background and Reasons for the Reorganizations	36
Material Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Reorganizations	37
Comparison of the Acquiring Fund and Each Acquired Fund	37
Comparative Expense Information	43
Comparative Performance Information	44
B. RISK FACTORS	45
C. INFORMATION ABOUT THE REORGANIZATIONS	55
<u>General</u>	55
Terms of the Reorganizations	56
Reasons for the Reorganizations	59
<u>Capitalization</u>	62
Expenses Associated with the Reorganizations	63
Dissenting Shareholders Rights of Appraisal	63
Material Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Reorganizations	63
Votes Required	66
Description of Common Shares Issued by the Acquiring Fund; Comparison to Acquired Funds	68
Description of the MTP Shares to be Issued by the Acquiring Fund	71
Comparison of Massachusetts Business Trusts and Minnesota Corporations	89

viii

D. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE INVESTMENT POLICIES	Page 93
Comparison of the Investment Objectives and Policies of the Acquiring Fund and the Acquired Funds	93
PROPOSAL NO. 4 APPROVAL OF ISSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL COMMON SHARES OF ACQUIRING FUND (ACQUIRING FUND SHAREHOLDERS ONLY)	104
PROPOSAL NO. 5 APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO ACQUIRING FUND ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION (ACQUIRING FUND SHAREHOLDERS ONLY)	105
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE FUNDS	106
Certain Provisions in the Acquiring Fund Articles of Incorporation	106
Repurchase of Common Shares; Conversion to Open-End Fund	107
Description of Outstanding Acquiring Fund VMTP Shares	108
Custodian, Transfer Agent, Dividend Disbursing Agent and Redemption Agent	110
Federal Income Tax Matters Associated with Investment in the Funds	110
Net Asset Value Legal Opinions	113 113
Experts	113
GENERAL INFORMATION Outstanding Shares of the Acquiring Fund and the Acquired Funds	114 114
Shareholders of the Acquiring Fund and the Acquired Funds	114
Audit Committee Report	115
Appointment of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	116
Audit and Related Fees	116
Section 16(a) Beneficial Interest Reporting Compliance	117
Expenses of Proxy Solicitation	117
Shareholder Proposals	117
Shareholder Communications	118
Fiscal Year	118
Annual Report Delivery	118
Other Information	119
APPENDIX A FORM OF AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF REORGANIZATION DOMICILE CHANGE	A-1
APPENDIX B FORM OF AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF REORGANIZATION	B-1
APPENDIX CFINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS	C-1
APPENDIX D BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP	D-1
APPENDIX E NUMBER OF BOARD AND COMMITTEE MEETINGS HELD DURING EACH FUND S LAST FISCAL YEAR	E-1
APPENDIX F NUVEEN FUND BOARD AUDIT COMMITTEE CHARTER	F-1
APPENDIX G MINNESOTA STATUTES RIGHTS OF DISSENTING SHAREHOLDERS	G-1

PROPOSAL NO. 1 THE ELECTION OF BOARD MEMBERS

(SHAREHOLDERS OF EACH FUND)

Quality Income and Premium Income

At the Annual Meeting of each of Quality Income and Premium Income (each, a Minnesota Fund), Board Members are to be elected to serve until the next annual meeting or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. Under the terms of each Minnesota Fund s organizational documents, under normal circumstances, holders of preferred shares are entitled to elect two (2) Board Members, and the remaining Board Members are to be elected by holders of common shares and preferred shares, voting together as a single class. If shareholders of Quality Income approve the Domicile Change proposal, its Board will be divided into three classes, consistent with the Board structure for Dividend Advantage, a Massachusetts business trust, as discussed below.

a. For each Minnesota Fund:

- (i) eight (8) Board Members are to be elected by holders of common shares and preferred shares, voting together as a single class. Board Members Amboian, Bremner, Evans, Kundert, Stockdale, Stone, Stringer and Toth are nominees for election by all shareholders.
- (ii) two (2) Board Members are to be elected by holders of preferred shares only, voting separately as a single class. Board Members Hunter and Schneider are nominees for election by holders of preferred shares.

Dividend Advantage

Pursuant to the organizational documents of Dividend Advantage (the Massachusetts Fund), the Board is divided into three classes, Class I, Class II and Class III, to be elected by the holders of the outstanding common shares and any outstanding preferred shares, voting together as a single class to serve until the third succeeding annual meeting subsequent to their election or thereafter, in each case until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. For the Massachusetts Fund, under normal circumstances, holders of preferred shares, voting as a separate class, are entitled to elect two (2) Board Members. The Board Members elected by holders of preferred shares will be elected to serve until the next annual meeting or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.

b. For the Massachusetts Fund:

(i) two (2) Board Members are to be elected by holders of common shares and preferred shares, voting together as a single class. Board Members Bremner and Evans have been designated as Class III Board Members and are nominees for election at the Annual Meeting for a term expiring at the 2015 annual meeting of shareholders or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. Board Members Amboian, Kundert, Stockdale, Stone, Stringer and Toth are current and continuing Board Members. Board Members Amboian, Kundert and Toth have been designated as Class II Board Members for a term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2014 or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. Board Members Stockdale, Stone and Stringer have been designated as Class I Board Members for a term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2013 or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.

(ii) two (2) Board Members are to be elected by holders of preferred shares, voting separately as a single class.

Board Members Hunter and Schneider are nominees for election by holders of preferred shares for a term expiring at the next annual meeting or until their successors have been duly elected and qualified.

It is the intention of the persons named in the enclosed proxy to vote the shares represented thereby for the election of the nominees listed in the table below unless the proxy is marked otherwise. Each of the nominees has agreed to serve as a Board Member of each Fund if elected. However, should any nominee become unable or unwilling to accept nomination for election, the proxies will be voted for substitute nominees, if any, designated by that Fund s present Board.

For each Minnesota Fund, each Board Member was last elected to each Fund s Board at the annual meeting of shareholders held on November 15, 2011 and adjourned until December 16, 2011.

For the Massachusetts Fund, Board Members Amboian, Kundert and Toth were last elected to the Fund s Board as Class II Board Members at the annual meeting of shareholders held on November 15, 2011 and adjourned until December 16, 2011. Board Members Stockdale and Stone were last elected to the Fund s Board as Class I Board Members at the annual meeting of shareholders held on November 16, 2010; Board Members Bremner and Evans, each of whom are nominees for election by holders of common and preferred shares, were last elected to the Massachusetts Fund s Board as Class III Board Members at the annual meeting of shareholders held on November 30, 2009 and adjourned to January 12, 2010. For the Massachusetts Fund, Board Members Hunter and Schneider, who are the nominees for election by the preferred shareholders, were last elected to the Fund s Board at the annual meeting of shareholders held on November 15, 2011 and adjourned until December 16, 2011.

On January 1, 2011, Ms. Stringer was appointed as a Board Member for each Fund and designated as a Class I Board Member with respect to the Massachusetts Fund.

Other than Mr. Amboian (for all Funds), all Board Member nominees are not interested persons as defined in the 1940 Act, of the Funds or of Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc. (Nuveen Fund Advisors or the Advisor), the investment adviser to each Fund, and have never been an employee or director of Nuveen Investments, the Advisor s parent company, or any affiliate. Accordingly, such Board Members are deemed Independent Board Members.

For each Fund, the affirmative vote of a plurality of the shares present and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be required to elect the Board Members of that Fund. For purposes of determining the approval of the proposal to elect nominees for each Fund, abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the election of Board Members.

The Board unanimously recommends that shareholders vote FOR the election of the nominees named below.

Board Nominees/Board Members

Name, Address and Birth Date Nominees/Board Members who a	Position(s) Held with Fund ire not interested	Term of Office and Length of Time Served ⁽¹⁾ d persons of the Funds	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Board Member	Other Directorships Held by Board Member During the Past Five Years
Robert P. Bremner	Chairman of the	Term: Annual or Class III Board	Private Investor and Management Consultant;	220	None
c/o Nuveen	Board, Board	Member until 2012	Treasurer and Director, Humanities Council of		
Investments, Inc.	Member	Length of Service: Since 1996; Chairman of the Board since 2008; Lead Independent Director (2005- 2008)	Washington D.C.; Board Member, Independent		
333 West Wacker Drive			Directors Council affiliated with the Investment Company Institute.		
Chicago, IL 60606			instruc.		
(8/22/40)					
Jack B. Evans	Board Member	Term: Annual or Class III Board	President, The Hall-Perrine Foundation, a private	220	Director and
c/o Nuveen		Member until 2012 Length of Service: Since 1999	philanthropic corporation (since 1996); Member of the		Chairman, United
Investments, Inc.			Board of Regents for the State of Iowa University System;		Fire Group, a
333 West Wacker Drive			Director, Source Media Group; Life Trustee of Coe College and Iowa College Foundation;		publicly held company;
Chicago, IL 60606			formerly, Director, Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago;		formerly, Director,
(10/22/48)			formerly, President and Chief Operating Officer, SCI Financial Group, Inc. (a regional financial services firm).		Alliant Energy

Name, Address and Birth Date William C. Hunter	Position(s) Held with Fund Board	Term of Office and Length of Time Served ⁽¹⁾ Term: Annual	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years Dean Emeritus (since June	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Board Member 220	Other Directorships Held by Board Member During the Past Five Years Director of
c/o Nuveen	Member	Board Member until 2012	30, 2012), formerly, Dean (2006-2012), Tippie College of Business, University of		Xerox Corporation (since
Investments, Inc.			Iowa; Director (since 2005) and President (since July 2012), Beta Gamma Sigma,		2004)
333 West Wacker Drive		Length of Service: Since 2004	Inc., The International Business Honor Society;		
Chicago, IL 60606			Director of Wellmark, Inc. (since 2009); formerly,		
(3/6/48)			Oirector (1997-2007), Credit Research Center at Georgetown University; formerly, Dean and Distinguished Professor of Finance, School of Business at the University of Connecticut (2003-2006); previously, Senior Vice President and Director of Research at the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (1995-2003).		

Name, Address and Birth Date David J. Kundert	Position(s) Held with Fund Board	Term of Office and Length of Time Served ⁽¹⁾ Term: Annual or	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years Director, Northwestern	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Board Member 220	Other Directorships Held by Board Member During the Past Five Years None
c/o Nuveen	Member	Class II Board Member until 2014	Mutual Wealth Management Company; retired (since 2004) as Chairman,		
Investments, Inc.			JPMorgan Fleming Asset Management, President and		
333 West Wacker Drive		Length of Service:	CEO, Banc One Investment Advisors Corporation, and President, One Group Mutual		
Chicago, IL 60606		Since 2005	Funds; prior thereto, Executive Vice President,		
(10/28/42)			Bank One Corporation and Chairman and CEO, Banc One Investment Management Group; Member, Board of Regents, Luther College; Member of the Wisconsin Bar Association; Member of Board of Directors, Friends of Boerner Botanical Gardens; Member of Board of Directors and Chair of Investment Committee, Greater Milwaukee Foundation.		

Name, Address and Birth Date William J. Schneider ⁽²⁾ c/o Nuveen Investments, Inc. 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (9/24/44)	Position(s) Held with Fund Board Member	Term of Office and Length of Time Served ⁽¹⁾ Term: Annual Board Member until 2012 Length of Service: Since 1996	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years Chairman of Miller-Valentine Partners Ltd., a real estate investment company; Member, Mid-America Health System Board; Member, University of Dayton Business School Advisory Council; formerly, Senior Partner and Chief Operating Officer (retired, 2004) of Miller-Valentine Group; formerly, Member, Dayton Philharmonic Orchestra Association; formerly, Director, Dayton Development Coalition; formerly, Member, Business Advisory Council, Cleveland	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Board Member 220	Other Directorships Held by Board Member During the Past Five Years None
Judith M. Stockdale c/o Nuveen Investments, Inc.	Board Member	Term: Annual or Class I Board Member until 2013	Executive Director, Gaylord and Dorothy Donnelley Foundation (since 1994); prior thereto, Executive	220	None
333 West Wacker Drive			Director, Great Lakes Protection Fund (from 1990 to 1994).		
Chicago, IL 60606		Length of Service:			
(12/29/47)		Since 1997			
Carole E. Stone	Board Member	Term: Annual or Class I Board Member until 2013 Length of Service:	Director, C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated (since 2009); formerly, Commissioner, New York State Commission on Public Authority Reform (2005-2010); formerly, Chair, New York Racing Association Oversight Board	220	Director,
c/o Nuveen Investments, Inc.					Chicago Board Options
333 West Wacker Drive					Exchange (since 2006)
Chicago, IL 60606					
(6/28/47)		Since 2007	(2005-2007).		

					Other
				Number of	Directorships
				Portfolios	Held by
				in Fund	Board
		Term of		Complex	Member
	Position(s)	Office and	Principal	Overseen	During the
Name, Address	Held with	Length of	Occupation(s) During	by Board	Past Five
and Birth Date	Fund	Time Served(1)	Past 5 Years	Member	Years
Virginia L. Stringer	Board	Term: Annual or	Board Member, Mutual Fund	220	Previously,
0	Member	Class I Board	Directors Forum;		Independent
c/o Nuveen Investments, Inc.		Member until	Governance consultant and		Director
c/o ivaveen investments, inc.		2013	non-profit board member;		(1987-2010)
222 W W I D			former Member, Governing		and Chair
333 West Wacker Drive			Board, Investment Company		First American
			Institute s Independent		Fund
Chicago, IL 60606			Directors Council; former		Complex
		Length of Service:	Owner and President,		(1997-2010)
(8/16/44)		Since 2011	Strategic Management		
			Resources, Inc. a		
			management consulting firm;		
			previously, held several		
			executive positions in		
			general management,		
			marketing and human		
			resources at IBM and The		
			Pillsbury Company.		

Name, Address and Birth Date	Position(s) Held with Fund	Term of Office and Length of Time Served ⁽¹⁾	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Board Member	Other Directorships Held by Board Member During the Past Five Years
Terence J. Toth ⁽³⁾	Board Member	Term: Annual or Class II Board	Director, Legal & General Investment Management	220	None
c/o Nuveen Investments, Inc.	Wichioci	Member until 2014	America, Inc. (since 2008); Managing Partner, Promus		
333 West Wacker Drive			Capital (since 2008); formerly, CEO and President,		
Chicago, IL 60606		Length of Service:	Northern Trust Global Investments (2004-2007);		
(9/29/59)		Since 2008	Executive Vice President, Quantitative Management & Securities Lending (2000-2004); prior thereto, various positions with Northern Trust Company (since 1994); Member: Goodman Theatre Board (since 2004); Chicago Fellowship Board (since 2005), Catalyst Schools of Chicago Board (since 2008) and Mather Foundation Board (since 2012), and a member of its investment committee; formerly, Member: Northern Trust Mutual Funds Board (2005-2007), Northern Trust Global Investments Board (2004-2007), Northern Trust Japan Board (2004-2007), Northern Trust Securities Inc. Board (2003-2007) and Northern Trust Hong Kong Board (1997-2004).	t t	

Name, Address and Birth Date Nominee/Board Member who is a	Position(s) Held with Fund an interested pers	Term of Office and Length of Time Served ⁽¹⁾ son of the Funds	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Board Member	Other Directorships Held by Board Member During the Past Five Years
John P. Amboian ⁽⁴⁾	Board Member	Term: Annual or Class II Board	Chief Executive Officer and Chairman (since 2007) and	220	None
c/o Nuveen Investments, Inc.		Member until 2014 Length of Service: Since 2008	Director (since 1999), formerly, President		
333 West Wacker Drive			(1999-2007) of Nuveen Investments, Inc.; Chief		
Chicago, IL 60606			Executive Officer (since 2007) of Nuveen Investments		
(6/14/61)			Advisors, Inc.; Director (since 1998) formerly, Chief Executive Officer (2007-2010) of Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc.		

- (1) Length of Time Served indicates the year in which the individual became a Board Member of a fund in the Nuveen fund complex.
- (2) Mr. Schneider is one of several owners and managing members in two limited liability companies and a general partner and one member of the governing body of a general partnership, each engaged in real estate ownership activities. In connection with their ordinary course of investment activities, court appointed receivers have been named for certain individual properties owned by such entities. The individual properties for which a receiver has been appointed represent an immaterial portion of the portfolio assets owned by these entities.
- (3) Mr. Toth serves as a director on the Board of Directors of the Mather Foundation (the Foundation) and is a member of its investment committee. The Foundation is the parent of the Mather LifeWays organization, a non-profit charitable organization. Prior to Mr. Toth joining the Board of the Foundation, the Foundation selected Gresham Investment Management (Gresham), an affiliate of Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc., to manage a portion of the Foundation s investment portfolio, and pursuant to this selection, the Foundation has invested that portion of its investment portfolio in a private commodity pool managed by Gresham.
- (4) Interested person as defined in the 1940 Act, by reason of his positions with Nuveen Investments Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries. The dollar range of equity securities beneficially owned by each Board Member in each Fund and all Nuveen funds overseen by the Board Member as of January 31, 2012 is set forth in Appendix D. The number of shares of each Fund beneficially owned by each Board Member and by the Board Members and officers of the Funds as a group as of January 31, 2012 is set forth in Appendix D. As of January 31, 2012, Board Members and executive officers as a group beneficially owned approximately 1,280,000 shares of all funds managed by the Adviser (including shares held by the Board Members through the Deferred Compensation Plan for Independent Board Members and by executive officers in Nuveen s 401(k)/profit sharing plan), and each Board Member s individual beneficial shareholdings of each Fund constituted less than 1% of the outstanding shares of each Fund. As of August 13, 2012, the Board Members and executive officers as a group beneficially owned less than 1% of the outstanding

shares of each Fund. Information regarding beneficial owners of more than 5% of any class of shares of any Fund is provided under General Information Shareholders of the Acquiring Fund and the Acquired Funds .

Compensation

Prior to January 1, 2012, each Independent Board Member received a \$120,000 annual retainer plus: (a) a fee of \$4,500 per day for attendance in person or by telephone at regularly scheduled meetings of the Board; (b) a fee of \$3,000 per meeting for attendance in person or by telephone at special, non-regularly scheduled meetings of the Board where in-person attendance was required and \$2,000 per meeting for attendance by telephone or in person at such meetings where in-person attendance was not required; (c) a fee of \$2,500 per meeting for attendance in person or by telephone at Audit Committee meetings where in-person attendance was required and \$2,000 per meeting for attendance by telephone or in person at such meetings where in-person attendance was not required; (d) a fee of \$2,500 per meeting for attendance in person or by telephone at Compliance, Risk Management and Regulatory Oversight Committee meetings where in-person attendance was required and \$2,000 per meeting for attendance by telephone or in person at such meetings where in-person attendance was not required; (e) a fee of \$1,000 per meeting for attendance in person or by telephone at Dividend Committee meetings; and (f) a fee of \$500 per meeting for attendance in person or by telephone at all other committee meetings (\$1,000 for shareholder meetings) where in-person attendance was required and \$250 per meeting for attendance by telephone or in person at such committee meetings (excluding shareholder meetings) where in-person attendance was not required, and \$100 per meeting when the Executive Committee acts as pricing committee for IPOs, plus, in each case, expenses incurred in attending such meetings, provided that no fees were received for meetings held on days on which regularly scheduled Board meetings were held. In addition to the payments described above, the Independent Chairman of the Board received \$75,000, the chairpersons of the Audit Committee, the Dividend Committee and the Compliance, Risk Management and Regulatory Oversight Committee received \$10,000 each and the chairperson of the Nominating and Governance Committee received \$5,000 as additional retainers. Independent Board Members also received a fee of \$3,000 per day for site visits to entities that provided services to the Nuveen funds on days on which no Board meeting was held. When ad hoc committees were organized, the Nominating and Governance Committee at the time of formation determined compensation to be paid to the members of such committee; however, in general, such fees were \$1,000 per meeting for attendance in person or by telephone at ad hoc committee meetings where in-person attendance was required and \$500 per meeting for attendance by telephone or in person at such meetings where in-person attendance was not required. The annual retainer, fees and expenses were allocated among the Nuveen Funds on the basis of relative net assets, although management might have, in its discretion, established a minimum amount to be allocated to each fund.

Effective January 1, 2012, Independent Board Members receive a \$130,000 annual retainer plus: (a) a fee of \$4,500 per day for attendance in person or by telephone at regularly scheduled meetings of the Board; (b) a fee of \$3,000 per meeting for attendance in person or by telephone at special, non-regularly scheduled meetings of the Board where in-person attendance is required and \$2,000 per meeting for attendance by telephone or in person at such meetings where in-person attendance is not required; (c) a fee of \$2,500 per meeting for attendance in person or by telephone at Audit Committee meetings where in-person attendance is required and \$2,000 per meeting for attendance by telephone or in person at such meetings where in-person attendance is not required; (d) a fee of \$2,500 per meeting for attendance in person or by telephone at Compliance, Risk Management

and Regulatory Oversight Committee meetings where in-person attendance is required and \$2,000 per meeting for attendance by telephone or in person at such meetings where in-person attendance is not required; (e) a fee of \$1,000 per meeting for attendance in person or by telephone at Dividend Committee meetings; (f) a fee of \$500 per meeting for attendance in person or by telephone at all other committee meetings (\$1,000 for shareholder meetings) where in-person attendance is required and \$250 per meeting for attendance by telephone or in person at such committee meetings (excluding shareholder meetings) where in-person attendance is not required, and \$100 per meeting when the Executive Committee acts as pricing committee for IPOs, plus, in each case, expenses incurred in attending such meetings, provided that no fees are received for meetings held on days on which regularly scheduled Board meetings are held; and (g) a fee of \$2,500 per meeting for attendance in person or by telephone at Closed-End Funds Committee meetings where in-person attendance is required and \$2,000 per meeting for attendance by telephone or in person at such meetings where in-person attendance is not required; provided that no fees are received for meetings held on days on which regularly scheduled Board meetings are held. In addition to the payments described above, the Chairman of the Board receives \$75,000, the chairpersons of the Audit Committee, the Dividend Committee, the Compliance, Risk Management and Regulatory Oversight Committee and the Closed-End Funds Committee receive \$12,500 each and the chairperson of the Nominating and Governance Committee receives \$5,000 as additional retainers. Independent Board Members also receive a fee of \$3,000 per day for site visits to entities that provide services to the Nuveen funds on days on which no Board meeting is held. When ad hoc committees are organized, the Nominating and Governance Committee will at the time of formation determine compensation to be paid to the members of such committee; however, in general, such fees will be \$1,000 per meeting for attendance in person or by telephone at ad hoc committee meetings where in-person attendance is required and \$500 per meeting for attendance by telephone or in person at such meetings where in-person attendance is not required. The annual retainer, fees and expenses are allocated among the Nuveen funds on the basis of relative net assets, although management may, in its discretion, establish a minimum amount to be allocated to each fund.

The Funds do not have retirement or pension plans. Certain Nuveen funds (the Participating Funds) participate in a deferred compensation plan (the Deferred Compensation Plan) that permits an Independent Board Member to elect to defer receipt of all or a portion of his or her compensation as an Independent Board Member. The deferred compensation of a participating Independent Board Member is credited to a book reserve account of the Participating Fund when the compensation would otherwise have been paid to such Independent Board Member. The value of the Independent Board Member s deferral account at any time is equal to the value that the account would have had if contributions to the account had been invested and reinvested in shares of one or more of the eligible Nuveen funds. At the time for commencing distributions from an Independent Board Member s deferral account, the Independent Board Member may elect to receive distributions in a lump sum or over a period of five years. The Participating Fund will not be liable for any other fund s obligations to make distributions under the Deferred Compensation Plan.

The Funds have no employees. The officers of the Funds and the Board Member of each Fund who is not an Independent Board Member serve without any compensation from the Funds.

The table below shows, for each Independent Board Member, the aggregate compensation paid by each Fund to each Board Member nominee for its last fiscal year:

		Aggregate Compensation from the Funds ⁽¹⁾																
	Rober	t P.	Jac	k B.	Wil	liam C.	Da	avid J.	Wi	lliam J.	Judi	ith M.	Car	ole E.	Virgi	nia L.	Ter	ence J.
Fund	Bremi	ıer	Ev	ans	H	unter	Κι	ındert	Scl	hneider	Stoc	kdale	St	one	Stri	nger	T	oth
Quality Income	\$ 9	982	\$	712	\$	689	\$	740	\$	724	\$	768	\$	699	\$	648	\$	721
Dividend Advantage		168		129		119		129		131		128		128		119		132
Premium Income		587		446		411		447		459		443		443		411		457
Total Compensation from Nuveen Funds Paid to Board Members/Nominees ⁽²⁾	\$ 329,7	731	\$ 260	0,124	\$ 2	218,576	\$ 2	44,966	\$ 2	259,415	\$ 24	18,033	\$ 24	5,650	\$ 17	5,000	\$ 20	63,891

(1) Includes deferred fees. Pursuant to a deferred compensation agreement with certain of the Funds, deferred amounts are treated as though an equivalent dollar amount has been invested in shares of one or more Participating Funds. Total deferred fees for the Funds (including the return from the assumed investment in the Participating Funds) payable are:

Fund	_	ert P. mner	•	ck B. vans	iam C. ınter	avid J. ndert	William J. Schneider	_	ith M. ckdale	Carole E. Stone	Virginia L. Stringer	Terence J. Toth
Quality Income	\$	151	\$	184	\$ 689	\$ 740	\$	\$	431	\$	\$	\$
Dividend Advantage												
Premium Income												

(2) Based on the total compensation paid, including deferred fees (including the return from the assumed investment in the eligible Nuveen funds), to the Board Members for the calendar year ended December 31, 2011 for services to the Nuveen open-end and closed-end funds advised by the Adviser.

Board Leadership Structure and Risk Oversight

The Board of each Fund oversees the operations and management of the Fund, including the duties performed for the Funds by the Adviser. The Board has adopted a unitary board structure. A unitary board consists of one group of directors who serve on the board of every fund in the complex. In adopting a unitary board structure, the Board Members seek to provide effective governance through establishing a board, the overall composition of which will, as a body, possess the appropriate skills, independence and experience to oversee the Funds business. With this overall framework in mind, when the Board, through its Nominating and Governance Committee discussed below, seeks nominees for the Board, the Board Members consider, not only the candidate s particular background, skills and experience, among other things, but also whether such background, skills and experience enhance the Board s diversity and at the same time complement the Board given its current composition and the mix of skills and experiences of the incumbent Board Members. The Nominating and Governance Committee believes that the Board generally benefits from diversity of background, experience and views among its members, and considers this a factor in evaluating the composition of the Board, but has not adopted any specific policy on diversity or any particular definition of diversity.

The Board believes the unitary board structure enhances good and effective governance, particularly given the nature of the structure of the investment company complex. Funds in the same complex generally are served by the same service providers and personnel and are governed by the same regulatory scheme which raises common issues that must be addressed by the Board Members across the fund complex (such as compliance, valuation, liquidity, brokerage, trade allocation or risk management). The Board believes it is more efficient to have a single board review and oversee common policies and procedures which increases the Board s knowledge and expertise with respect to

the many aspects of fund operations that are complex-wide in nature. The unitary structure also enhances the Board s influence and oversight over the Adviser and other service providers.

In an effort to enhance the independence of the Board, the Board also has a Chairman that is an Independent Board Member. The Board recognizes that a chairman can perform an important role in setting the agenda for the Board, establishing the boardroom culture, establishing a point person on behalf of the Board for Fund management, and reinforcing the Board's focus on the long-term interests of shareholders. The Board recognizes that a chairman may be able to better perform these functions without any conflicts of interests arising from a position with Fund management. Accordingly, the Board Members have elected Robert P. Bremner as the independent Chairman of the Board. Specific responsibilities of the Chairman include: (i) presiding at all meetings of the Board and of the shareholders; (ii) seeing that all orders and resolutions of the Board Members are carried into effect; and (iii) maintaining records of and, whenever necessary, certifying all proceedings of the Board Members and the shareholders.

Although the Board has direct responsibility over various matters (such as advisory contracts, underwriting contracts and Fund performance), the Board also exercises certain of its oversight responsibilities through several committees that it has established and which report back to the full Board. The Board believes that a committee structure is an effective means to permit Board Members to focus on particular operations or issues affecting the Funds, including risk oversight. More specifically, with respect to risk oversight, the Board has delegated matters relating to valuation and compliance to certain committees (as summarized below) as well as certain aspects of investment risk. In addition, the Board believes that the periodic rotation of Board Members among the different committees allows the Board Members to gain additional and different perspectives of a Fund s operations. The Board has established six standing committees: the Executive Committee, the Dividend Committee, the Audit Committee, the Compliance, Risk Management and Regulatory Oversight Committee, the Nominating and Governance Committee and the Closed-End Funds Committee. The Board may also from time to time create ad hoc committees to focus on particular issues as the need arises. The membership and functions of the standing committees are summarized below.

The Executive Committee, which meets between regular meetings of the Board, is authorized to exercise all of the powers of the Board. The members of the Executive Committee are Robert P. Bremner, Chair, Judith M. Stockdale and John P. Amboian. The number of Executive Committee meetings of each Fund held during its last fiscal year is shown in Appendix E.

The Dividend Committee is authorized to declare distributions on each Fund s shares including, but not limited to, regular and special dividends, capital gains and ordinary income distributions. The members of the Dividend Committee are Jack B. Evans, Chair, Judith M. Stockdale and Terence J. Toth. The number of Dividend Committee meetings of each Fund held during its last fiscal year is shown in Appendix E.

The Board has an Audit Committee, in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the 1934 Act, that is composed of Independent Board Members who are also independent as that term is defined in the listing standards pertaining to closed-end funds of the NYSE or NYSE MKT, as applicable. The Audit Committee assists the Board in: the oversight and monitoring of the accounting and reporting policies, processes and practices of the Funds, and the audits of the financial statements of the Funds; the quality and integrity of the financial statements of the Funds; the Funds compliance with legal and

regulatory requirements relating to the Funds financial statements; the independent auditors qualifications, performance and independence; and the pricing procedures of the Funds and the internal valuation group of Nuveen. It is the responsibility of the Audit Committee to select, evaluate and replace any independent auditors (subject only to Board and, if applicable, shareholder ratification) and to determine their compensation. The Audit Committee is also responsible for, among other things, overseeing the valuation of securities comprising the Funds portfolios. Subject to the Board's general supervision of such actions, the Audit Committee addresses any valuation issues, oversees the Funds pricing procedures and actions taken by Nuveen's internal valuation group which provides regular reports to the committee, reviews any issues relating to the valuation of the Funds's securities brought to its attention, and considers the risks to the Funds in assessing the possible resolutions of these matters. The Audit Committee may also consider any financial risk exposures for the Funds in conjunction with performing its functions.

To fulfill its oversight duties, the Audit Committee receives annual and semi-annual reports and has regular meetings with the external auditors for the Funds and the internal audit group at Nuveen. The Audit Committee also may review, in a general manner, the processes the Board or other Board committees have in place with respect to risk assessment and risk management as well as compliance with legal and regulatory matters relating to the Funds—financial statements. The Audit Committee operates under a written Audit Committee Charter (the Charter) adopted and approved by the Board, which Charter conforms to the listing standards of the NYSE or NYSE MKT, as applicable. Members of the Audit Committee are independent (as set forth in the Charter) and free of any relationship that, in the opinion of the Board Members, would interfere with their exercise of independent judgment as an Audit Committee member. The members of the Audit Committee are Robert P. Bremner, David J. Kundert, Chair, William J. Schneider, Carole E. Stone and Terence J. Toth, each of whom is an Independent Board Member of the Funds. A copy of the Charter is attached as Appendix F. The number of Audit Committee meetings of each Fund held during its last fiscal year is shown in Appendix E.

The Compliance, Risk Management and Regulatory Oversight Committee (the Compliance Committee) is responsible for the oversight of compliance issues, risk management and other regulatory matters affecting the Funds that are not otherwise under or within the jurisdiction of the other committees. The Board has adopted and periodically reviews policies and procedures designed to address the Funds—compliance and risk matters. As part of its duties, the Compliance Committee: reviews the policies and procedures relating to compliance matters and recommends modifications thereto as necessary or appropriate to the full Board; develops new policies and procedures as new regulatory matters affecting the Funds arise from time to time; evaluates or considers any comments or reports from examinations from regulatory authorities and responses thereto; and performs any special reviews, investigations or other oversight responsibilities relating to risk management, compliance and/or regulatory matters as requested by the Board.

In addition, the Compliance Committee is responsible for risk oversight, including, but not limited to, the oversight of risks related to investments and operations. Such risks include, among other things, exposures to: particular issuers, market sectors, or types of securities; risks related to product structure elements, such as leverage; and techniques that may be used to address those risks, such as hedging and swaps. In assessing issues brought to the Compliance Committee s attention or in reviewing a particular policy, procedure, investment technique or strategy, the Compliance Committee evaluates the risks to the Funds in adopting a particular approach or resolution compared to the anticipated benefits to the Funds and their shareholders. In fulfilling its obligations, the Compliance Committee meets on a quarterly basis, and at least once a year in person. The Compliance Committee

receives written and oral reports from the Funds Chief Compliance Officer (CCO) and meets privately with the CCO at each of its quarterly meetings. The CCO also provides an annual report to the full Board regarding the operations of the Funds and other service providers compliance programs as well as any recommendations for modifications thereto. The Compliance Committee also receives reports from the investment services group of Nuveen regarding various investment risks. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the full Board also participates in discussions with management regarding certain matters relating to investment risk, such as the use of leverage and hedging. The investment services group therefore also reports to the full Board at its quarterly meetings regarding, among other things, Fund performance and the various drivers of such performance. Accordingly, the Board directly and/or in conjunction with the Compliance Committee oversees matters relating to investment risks. Matters not addressed at the committee level are addressed directly by the full Board. The Compliance Committee operates under a written charter adopted and approved by the Board. The members of the Compliance Committee are Jack B. Evans, William C. Hunter, William J. Schneider, Judith M. Stockdale, Chair, and Virginia L. Stringer. The number of Compliance Committee meetings of each Fund held during its last fiscal year is shown in Appendix E.

The Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for seeking, identifying and recommending to the Board qualified candidates for election or appointment to the Board. In addition, the Nominating and Governance Committee oversees matters of corporate governance, including the evaluation of Board performance and processes, the assignment and rotation of committee members, and the establishment of corporate governance guidelines and procedures, to the extent necessary or desirable, and matters related thereto. Although the unitary and committee structure has been developed over the years and the Nominating and Governance Committee believes the structure has provided efficient and effective governance, the committee recognizes that, as demands on the Board evolve over time (such as through an increase in the number of funds overseen or an increase in the complexity of the issues raised), the committee must continue to evaluate the Board and committee structures and their processes and modify the foregoing as may be necessary or appropriate to continue to provide effective governance. Accordingly, the Nominating and Governance Committee has a separate meeting each year to, among other things, review the Board and committee structures, their performance and functions, and recommend any modifications thereto or alternative structures or processes that would enhance the Board s governance over the Funds business.

In addition, the Nominating and Governance Committee, among other things: makes recommendations concerning the continuing education of Board Members; monitors performance of legal counsel and other service providers; establishes and monitors a process by which security holders are able to communicate in writing with Board Members; and periodically reviews and makes recommendations about any appropriate changes to Board Member compensation. In the event of a vacancy on the Board, the Nominating and Governance Committee receives suggestions from various sources, including shareholders, as to suitable candidates. Suggestions should be sent in writing to Lorna Ferguson, Manager of Fund Board Relations, Nuveen Investments, 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, IL 60606. The Nominating and Governance Committee sets appropriate standards and requirements for nominations for new Board Members and each nominee is evaluated using the same standards. However, the Nominating and Governance Committee reserves the right to interview any and all candidates and to make the final selection of any new Board Members. In considering a candidate s qualifications, each candidate must meet certain basic requirements, including relevant skills and experience, time availability (including the time requirements for due diligence site visits to internal and external sub-advisers and service providers) and, if qualifying as an Independent Board Member candidate, independence from the Adviser, sub-advisers, underwriters or other service

providers, including any affiliates of these entities. These skill and experience requirements may vary depending on the current composition of the Board, since the goal is to ensure an appropriate range of skills, diversity and experience, in the aggregate. Accordingly, the particular factors considered and weight given to these factors will depend on the composition of the Board and the skills and backgrounds of the incumbent Board Member at the time of consideration of the nominees. All candidates, however, must meet high expectations of personal integrity, independence, governance experience and professional competence. All candidates must be willing to be critical within the Board and with management and yet maintain a collegial and collaborative manner toward other Board Members. The Nominating and Governance Committee operates under a written charter adopted and approved by the Board, a copy of which is available on the Funds website at www.nuveen.com/CEF/Info/Shareholder/, and is composed entirely of Independent Board Members, who are also independent as defined by NYSE or NYSE MKT listing standards, as applicable. Accordingly, the members of the Nominating and Governance Committee are Robert P. Bremner, Chair, Jack B. Evans, William C. Hunter, David J. Kundert, William J. Schneider, Judith M. Stockdale, Carole E. Stone, Virginia L. Stringer and Terence J. Toth. The number of Nominating and Governance Committee meetings of each Fund held during its last fiscal year is shown in Appendix E.

Effective January 1, 2012, the Board approved the creation of the Closed-End Funds Committee. The Closed-End Funds Committee is responsible for assisting the Board in the oversight and monitoring of the Nuveen Funds that are registered as closed-end investment companies (Closed-End Funds). The committee may review and evaluate matters related to the formation and the initial presentation to the Board of any new Closed-End Fund and may review and evaluate any matters relating to any existing Closed-End Fund. The committee operates under a written charter adopted and approved by the Board. The members of the Closed-End Funds Committee are Robert P. Bremner, Jack B. Evans, William C. Hunter, William J. Schneider, Chair, and Carole E. Stone.

The number of regular quarterly meetings and special meetings held by the Board of each Fund during the Fund s last fiscal year is shown in Appendix E. During the last fiscal year, each Board Member attended 75% or more of each Fund s Board meetings and the committee meetings (if a member thereof) held during the period for which such Board Member was a Board Member. The policy of the Board relating to attendance by Board Members at annual meetings of the Funds and the number of Board Members who attended the last annual meeting of shareholders of each Fund is posted on the Funds website at www.nuveen.com/CEF/Info/Shareholder/.

Board Diversification and Board Member Qualifications. In determining that a particular Board Member was qualified to serve on the Board, the Board considers each Board Member s background, skills, experience and other attributes in light of the composition of the Board with no particular factor controlling. The Board believes that Board Members need to have the ability to critically review, evaluate, question and discuss information provided to them, and to interact effectively with Fund management, service providers and counsel, in order to exercise effective business judgment in the performance of their duties, and the Board believes each Board Member satisfies this standard. An effective Board Member may achieve this ability through his or her educational background; business, professional training or practice; public service or academic positions; experience from service as a board member or executive of investment funds, public companies or significant private or not-for-profit entities or other organizations; and/or other life experiences. Accordingly, set forth below is a summary of the experiences, qualifications, attributes, and skills that led to the conclusion, as of the date of this document, that each Board Member should serve in that capacity. References to the experiences, qualifications, attributes and skills of Board Members are pursuant to requirements of the SEC, do not constitute holding out the Board or any

Board Member as having any special expertise or experience and shall not impose any greater responsibility or liability on any such person or on the Board by reason thereof.

John P. Amboian

Mr. Amboian, an interested Board Member of the Funds, joined Nuveen Investments in June 1995 and became Chief Executive Officer in July 2007 and Chairman in November 2007. Prior to this, since 1999, he served as President with responsibility for the firm s product, marketing, sales, operations and administrative activities. Mr. Amboian initially served Nuveen Investments as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Prior to joining Nuveen Investments, Mr. Amboian held key management positions with two consumer product firms affiliated with the Phillip Morris Companies. He served as Senior Vice President of Finance, Strategy and Systems at Miller Brewing Company. Mr. Amboian began his career in corporate and international finance at Kraft Foods, Inc., where he eventually served as Treasurer. He received a Bachelor s degree in economics and a Masters of Business Administration (MBA) from the University of Chicago. Mr. Amboian serves on the Board of Directors of Nuveen Investments and is a Board Member or Trustee of the Investment Company Institute Board of Governors, Boys and Girls Clubs of Chicago, Children s Memorial Hospital and Foundation, the Council on the Graduate School of Business (University of Chicago), and the North Shore Country Day School Foundation. He is also a member of the Civic Committee of the Commercial Club of Chicago and the Economic Club of Chicago.

Robert P. Bremner

Mr. Bremner, the Board's Independent Chairman, is a private investor and management consultant in Washington, D.C. His biography of William McChesney Martin, Jr., a former chairman of the Federal Reserve Board, was published by Yale University Press in November 2004. From 1994 to 1997, he was a Senior Vice President at Samuels International Associates, an international consulting firm specializing in governmental policies, where he served in a part-time capacity. Previously, Mr. Bremner was a partner in the LBK Investors Partnership and was chairman and majority stockholder with ITC Investors Inc., both private investment firms. He currently serves on the Board and as Treasurer of the Humanities Council of Washington D.C. and is a Board Member of the Independent Directors Council affiliated with the Investment Company Institute. From 1984 to 1996, Mr. Bremner was an independent Trustee of the Flagship Funds, a group of municipal open-end funds. He began his career at the World Bank in Washington D.C. He graduated with a Bachelor of Science degree from Yale University and received his MBA from Harvard University.

Jack B. Evans

President of the Hall-Perrine Foundation, a private philanthropic corporation, since 1996, Mr. Evans was formerly President and Chief Operating Officer of the SCI Financial Group, Inc., a regional financial services firm headquartered in Cedar Rapids, Iowa. Formerly, he was a member of the Board of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago as well as a Director of Alliant Energy. Mr. Evans is Chairman of the Board of United Fire Group, sits on the Board of the Source Media Group, is a member of the Board of Regents for the State of Iowa University System, and is a Life Trustee of Coe College. He has a Bachelor of Arts degree from Coe College and an MBA from the University of Iowa.

William C. Hunter

Mr. Hunter became Dean Emeritus of the Henry B. Tippie College of Business at the University of Iowa on June 30, 2012. He was appointed Dean of the Henry B. Tippie College of

Business at the University of Iowa on July 1, 2006. He was previously Dean and Distinguished Professor of Finance at the University of Connecticut School of Business since June 2003. From 1995 to 2003, he was the Senior Vice President and Director of Research at the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago. While there he served as the Bank s Chief Economist and was an Associate Economist on the Federal Reserve System s Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC). In addition to serving as a Vice President in charge of financial markets and basic research at the Federal Reserve Bank in Atlanta, he held faculty positions at Emory University, Atlanta University, the University of Georgia and Northwestern University. A past Director of the Credit Research Center at Georgetown University, SS&C Technologies, Inc. (2005) and past President of the Financial Management Association International, he has consulted with numerous foreign central banks and official agencies in Western, Central and Eastern Europe, Asia, Central and South America. From 1990 to 1995, he was a U.S. Treasury Advisor to Central and Eastern Europe. He has been a Director of the Xerox Corporation since 2004 and Wellmark, Inc. since 2009. He is Director and President of Beta Gamma Sigma, Inc., The International Business Honor Society.

David J. Kundert

Mr. Kundert retired in 2004 as Chairman of JPMorgan Fleming Asset Management, as President and CEO of Banc One Investment Advisors Corporation, and as President of One Group Mutual Funds. Prior to the merger between Bank One Corporation and JPMorgan Chase and Co., he was Executive Vice President, Bank One Corporation and, since 1995, the Chairman and CEO, Banc One Investment Management Group. From 1988 to 1992, he was President and CEO of Bank One Wisconsin Trust Company. Currently, Mr. Kundert is a Director of the Northwestern Mutual Wealth Management Company. He started his career as an attorney for Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company. Mr. Kundert has served on the Board of Governors of the Investment Company Institute and is currently a member of the Wisconsin Bar Association. He is on the Board of the Greater Milwaukee Foundation and chairs its Investment Committee. He received his Bachelor of Arts degree from Luther College and his Juris Doctor from Valparaiso University.

William J. Schneider

Mr. Schneider is currently Chairman, formerly Senior Partner and Chief Operating Officer (retired, December 2004) of Miller-Valentine Partners Ltd., a real estate investment company. He was formerly a Director and Past Chair of the Dayton Development Coalition. He was formerly a member of the Community Advisory Board of the National City Bank in Dayton as well as a former member of the Business Advisory Council of the Cleveland Federal Reserve Bank. Mr. Schneider is a member of the Business Advisory Council for the University of Dayton College of Business. Mr. Schneider was an independent Trustee of the Flagship Funds, a group of municipal open-end funds. He also served as Chair of the Miami Valley Hospital and as Chair of the Finance Committee of its parent holding company. Mr. Schneider has a Bachelor of Science in Community Planning from the University of Cincinnati and a Masters of Public Administration degree from the University of Dayton.

Judith M. Stockdale

Ms. Stockdale is currently Executive Director of the Gaylord and Dorothy Donnelley Foundation, a private foundation working in land conservation and artistic vitality in the Chicago region and the Lowcountry of South Carolina. Her previous positions include Executive Director of the Great Lakes Protection Fund, Executive Director of Openlands, and Senior Staff Associate at the Chicago Community Trust. She has served on the Boards of the Land Trust Alliance, the National

Zoological Park, the Governor s Science Advisory Council (Illinois), the Nancy Ryerson Ranney Leadership Grants Program, Friends of Ryerson Woods and the Donors Forum. Ms. Stockdale, a native of the United Kingdom, has a Bachelor of Science degree in geography from the University of Durham (UK) and a Master of Forest Science degree from Yale University.

Carole E. Stone

Ms. Stone retired from the New York State Division of the Budget in 2004, having served as its Director for nearly five years and as Deputy Director from 1995 through 1999. Ms. Stone is currently on the Board of Directors of the Chicago Board Options Exchange, CBOE Holdings, Inc. and C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated. She has also served as the Chair of the New York Racing Association Oversight Board, as Chair of the Public Authorities Control Board, as a Commissioner on the New York State Commission on Public Authority Reform and as a member of the Boards of Directors of several New York State public authorities. Ms. Stone has a Bachelor of Arts in Business Administration from Skidmore College.

Virginia L. Stringer

Ms. Stringer served as the independent chair of the Board of the First American Fund Complex from 1997 to 2010, having joined such Board in 1987. Ms. Stringer serves on the Board of the Mutual Fund Directors Forum. She is a recipient of the Outstanding Corporate Director award from Twin Cities Business Monthly and the Minnesota Chapter of the National Association of Corporate Directors. Ms. Stringer is the past board chair of the Oak Leaf Trust, director of the Saint Paul Riverfront Corporation and also served as President of the Minneapolis Club s Governing Board. She is a director and former board chair of the Minnesota Opera and a Life Trustee and former board member of the Voyageur Outward Bound School. She also served as a trustee of Outward Bound USA. She was appointed by the Governor of Minnesota to the Board on Judicial Standards and also served on a Minnesota Supreme Court Judicial Advisory Committee to reform the state s judicial disciplinary process. She is a member of the International Women s Forum and attended the London Business School as an International Business Fellow. Ms. Stringer also served as board chair of the Human Resource Planning Society, the Minnesota Women s Campaign Fund and the Minnesota Women s Economic Roundtable. Ms. Stringer is the retired founder of Strategic Management Resources, a consulting practice focused on corporate governance, strategy and leadership. She has twenty five years of corporate experience, having held executive positions in general management, marketing and human resources with IBM and the Pillsbury Company.

Terence J. Toth

Mr. Toth has served as a Director of Legal & General Investment Management America, Inc. (since 2008) and as a Managing Partner at Promus Capital (since 2008). From 2004 to 2007, he was Chief Executive Officer and President of Northern Trust Global Investments, and Executive Vice President of Quantitative Management & Securities Lending from 2000 to 2004. He also formerly served on the Board of the Northern Trust Mutual Funds. He joined Northern Trust in 1994 after serving as Managing Director and Head of Global Securities Lending at Bankers Trust (1986 to 1994) and Head of Government Trading and Cash Collateral Investment at Northern Trust from 1982 to 1986. He currently serves on the Boards of the Goodman Theatre, Chicago Fellowship and the Mather Foundation, and is Chairman of the Board of Catalyst Schools of Chicago. Mr. Toth graduated with a Bachelor of Science degree from the University of Illinois, and received his MBA from New York University. In 2005, he graduated from the CEO Perspectives Program at Northwestern University.

Independent Chairman. Robert P. Bremner serves as the independent Chairman of the Board. Specific responsibilities of the Chairman include: (a) presiding at all meetings of the Board and of the shareholders; (b) seeing that all orders and resolutions of the Board Members are carried into effect; and (c) maintaining records of and, whenever necessary, certifying all proceedings of the Board Members and the shareholders.

Board Member Terms. For each Minnesota Fund, currently, all Board Members are elected annually. With respect to Quality Income, if the Domicile Change is approved, the Successor Fund would adopt a staggered board structure and each Board Member would be designated to classes as described for the Massachusetts Fund. For the Massachusetts Fund, shareholders will be asked to elect Board Members as each Board Member s term expires, and with respect to Board Members elected by holders of common shares such Board Member shall be elected for a term expiring at the time of the third succeeding annual meeting subsequent to their election or thereafter in each case when their respective successors are duly elected and qualified. These provisions could delay for up to two years the replacement of a majority of the Board.

The Officers

The following table sets forth information with respect to each officer of the Funds. Officers receive no compensation from the Funds. The officers are elected by the Board on an annual basis to serve until successors are elected and qualified. Unless otherwise noted, the following information is as of August 15, 2012.

Name, Address and Birth Date	Position(s) Held with Fund	Term of Office and Length of Time Served ⁽¹⁾	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Served by Officer
Gifford R. Zimmerman 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (9/9/56)	Chief Administrative Officer	Term: Annual Length of Service: Since 1988	Managing Director (since 2002) and Assistant Secretary of Nuveen Securities, LLC; Managing Director (since 2002), Assistant Secretary (since 1997) and Co-General Counsel (since 2011) of Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc.; Managing Director (since 2004) and Assistant Secretary (since 1994) of Nuveen Investments, Inc.; Managing Director, Assistant Secretary and Associate General Counsel of Nuveen Asset Management, LLC (since 2011); Vice President and Assistant Secretary of NWQ Investment Management Company, LLC and Nuveen Investments Advisers Inc. (since 2002); Managing Director, Associate General Counsel and Assistant Secretary of Symphony Asset Management LLC (since 2003); Vice President and Assistant Secretary of Santa Barbara Asset Management, LLC (since 2006) and of Winslow Capital Management, Inc. (since 2010); Chief Administrative Officer and Chief Compliance Officer (since 2010) of Nuveen Commodities Asset Management, LLC; Chartered Financial Analyst.	220

Name, Address and Birth Date	Position(s) Held with Fund	Term of Office and Length of Time Served ⁽¹⁾	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Served by Officer
William Adams IV 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (6/9/55)	Vice President	Term: Annual Length of Service: Since 2007	Senior Executive Vice President, Global Structured Products, formerly, Executive Vice President (1999-2010) of Nuveen Securities, LLC; Co-President of Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc. (since 2011); President (since 2011), formerly, Managing Director (2010-2011) of Nuveen Commodities Asset Management, LLC.	118
Cedric H. Antosiewicz 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (1/11/62)	Vice President	Term: Annual Length of Service: Since 2007	Managing Director (since 2004) of Nuveen Securities LLC.	118
Margo L. Cook 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (4/11/64)	Vice President	Term: Annual Length of Service: Since 2009	Executive Vice President (since 2008) of Nuveen Investments, Inc. and of Nuveen Fund Advisors (since 2011); Managing Director - Investment Services of Nuveen Commodities Asset Management, LLC (since 2011); previously, Head of Institutional Asset Management (2007-2008) of Bear Stearns Asset Management; Head of Institutional Asset Mgt. (1986-2007) of Bank of NY Mellon; Chartered Financial Analyst.	220
Lorna C. Ferguson 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (10/24/45)	Vice President	Term: Annual Length of Service: Since 1998	Managing Director (since 2004) of Nuveen Securities, LLC; Managing Director (since 2005) of Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc.	220
Stephen D. Foy 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (5/31/54)	Vice President and Controller	Term: Annual Length of Service: Since 1993	Senior Vice President (since 2010); formerly, Vice President (1993-2010) and Funds Controller (since 1998) of Nuveen Securities, LLC; Vice President (2005-2010) of Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc.; Certified Public Accountant.	220

Name, Address and Birth Date	Position(s) Held with Fund	Term of Office and Length of Time Served ⁽¹⁾	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Served by Officer
Scott S. Grace 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (8/20/70)	Vice President and Treasurer	Term: Annual Length of Service: Since 2009	Managing Director, Corporate Finance & Development, Treasurer (since 2009) of Nuveen Securities, LLC; Managing Director and Treasurer of Nuveen Investments Advisers, Inc., Nuveen Investments Holdings, Inc., Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc. and of Nuveen Asset Management, LLC (since 2011); Vice President and Treasurer of NWQ Investment Management Company, LLC, Tradewinds Global Investors, LLC, Symphony Asset Management LLC and Winslow Capital Management, Inc.; Vice President of Santa Barbara Asset Management, LLC; formerly, Treasurer (2006-2009), Senior Vice President (2008-2009), previously, Vice President (2006-2008) of Janus Capital Group, Inc.; formerly, Senior Associate in Morgan Stanley s Global Financial Services Group (2000-2003); Chartered Accountant Designation.	220
Walter M. Kelly 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (2/24/70)	Chief Compliance Officer and Vice President	Term: Annual Length of Service: Since 2003	Senior Vice President (since 2008) of Nuveen Investments Holdings, Inc.; Senior Vice President (since 2008) and Assistant Secretary (since 2003), of Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc.; formerly Senior Vice President (2008-2011) of Nuveen Securities, LLC.	220
Tina M. Lazar 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (8/27/61)	Vice President	Term: Annual Length of Service: Since 2002	Senior Vice President (since 2010), formerly, Vice President (2005-2010) of Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc.	220

Name, Address and Birth Date	Position(s) Held with Fund	Term of Office and Length of Time Served ⁽¹⁾	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years	Number of Portfolios in Fund Complex Served by Officer
Kevin J. McCarthy 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (3/26/66)	Vice President and Secretary	Term: Annual Length of Service: Since 2007	Managing Director and Assistant Secretary (since 2008), formerly, Vice President (2007-2008) of Nuveen Securities, LLC; Managing Director (since 2008), Assistant Secretary (since 2007) and Co-General Counsel (since 2011) of Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc.; Managing Director, Assistant Secretary and Associate General Counsel (since 2011) of Nuveen Asset Management, LLC; Vice President and Assistant Secretary of Nuveen Investment Advisers Inc., NWQ Investment Management Company, LLC, NWQ Holdings, LLC, Symphony Asset Management, LLC and (since 2010) Winslow Capital Management, Inc.; Vice President and Secretary (since 2010) of Nuveen Commodities Asset Management, LLC; prior thereto, Partner, Bell, Boyd & Lloyd LLP (1997-2007).	220
Kathleen L. Prudhomme 901 Marquette Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55402 (3/30/53)	Vice President and Assistant Secretary	Term: Annual Length of Service: Since 2011	Managing Director and Assistant Secretary of Nuveen Securities, LLC (since 2011); Managing Director, Assistant Secretary and Associate General Counsel (since 2011) of Nuveen Fund Advisors, Inc.; Managing Director, Assistant Secretary and Associate General Counsel (since 2011) of Nuveen Asset Management, LLC; formerly, Deputy General Counsel, FAF Advisors, Inc. (2004-2010).	220

⁽¹⁾ Length of Time Served indicates the year the individual became an officer of a fund in the Nuveen fund complex.

PROPOSAL NO. 2 DOMICILE CHANGE

(QUALITY INCOME SHAREHOLDERS ONLY)

General

Quality Income is governed by the 1940 Act as well as by the law of the state of its organization. Quality Income is currently organized as a Minnesota corporation. The proposed Domicile Change for Quality Income seeks to change Quality Income s organization to a Massachusetts business trust, by reorganizing the Fund into the Successor Fund. The Domicile Agreement, in the form attached as Appendix A, sets forth the terms and conditions of the Domicile Change reorganization. Material provisions of the Domicile Agreement are summarized below; however, this summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Domicile Agreement.

If the Domicile Change takes place, Quality Income will adopt a declaration of trust substantially similar to the declarations of trust in effect for other funds in the Nuveen family of funds that are organized as Massachusetts business trusts, including Dividend Advantage. The Board of Quality Income believes that the Domicile Change will achieve savings and operating efficiencies by simplifying the legal administration of the fund through the increased standardization of charter documents among the Nuveen family of funds, most of which are organized as Massachusetts business trusts. Among such potential efficiencies are lower expenses, particularly legal expenses, associated with compliance by the Nuveen family of funds with Massachusetts law only, rather than both Minnesota and Massachusetts law.

As more fully described below, although the Domicile Change is structured as a reorganization, the proposed Domicile Change is not intended to change any investment policies or restrictions, the manner in which the Fund is managed, the portfolio manager of the Fund, the Fund is Board Members or officers or the Fund is service providers.

A Massachusetts business trust is established by trustees (who serve the same role as directors of a Minnesota corporation) under a declaration of trust, which sets forth various provisions relating primarily to the authority of the trust to conduct business and the specific rules governing the trust.

The Board of Quality Income considered all material issues associated with the proposed Domicile Change and determined that the Domicile Change is in the best interests of the Fund and that the interests of the existing shareholders of Quality Income would not be diluted with respect to net asset value as a result of the Domicile Change.

If approved by shareholders, the Domicile Change is expected to take effect on such date as Quality Income and the Successor Fund agree (the Effective Time). If shareholders of Quality Income do not approve the Domicile Change, the Fund will continue to do business as a Minnesota corporation.

Terms of the Domicile Change

If the Domicile Change is approved by shareholders and the other conditions are satisfied or waived, the Successor Fund will, at the Effective Time, acquire all of the assets of Quality Income. In exchange, the Successor Fund would assume all debts, liabilities, obligations and duties of Quality Income, and the Successor Fund would issue common shares of beneficial interest and preferred shares of beneficial interest of the Successor Fund to Quality Income. The number of Successor Fund

common shares to be issued would be equal in number and value to the Quality Income common shares outstanding as of the close of business on the business day immediately preceding the Effective Time. With respect to each series of preferred shares of Quality Income, the number of preferred shares of the corresponding series of the Successor Fund to be issued would be equal in number to the preferred shares of such series of Quality Income outstanding as of the Effective Time.

If and to the extent the Board of Quality Income deems it advisable for federal income tax purposes, the Fund shall make a distribution of net investment income, if any, and net capital gain, if any, immediately prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding the Effective Time.

In connection with the Domicile Change, Quality Income, as the sole initial shareholder of the Successor Fund will take the following actions:

- (1) approve the Investment Management Agreement for the Successor Fund on substantially similar terms as Quality Income s Investment Management Agreement;
- (2) approve the Sub-Advisory Agreement for the Successor Fund on substantially similar terms as Premium Income s Sub-Advisory Agreement;
- (3) approve the assignment and assumption of the Agreement with respect to Premium Income; and
- (4) elect as Board Members of the Successor Fund the same persons who are Board Members of Quality Income prior to the closing of the Domicile Change, such Board Members to be designated as Class I, Class II or Class III Board Members.

A vote in favor of the Domicile Change will be deemed to authorize Quality Income as the initial shareholder of the Successor Fund to approve all of the foregoing items with respect to the Successor Fund.

Prior to the Effective Time, the Successor Fund will repurchase the initial share held by Quality Income. As soon as practicable after the Effective Time, Quality Income will liquidate and distribute to its common shareholders of record the Successor Fund common shares it receives, and to its preferred shareholders of record the corresponding series of Successor Fund preferred shares it receives. Each common shareholder of Quality Income will receive common shares of the Successor Fund equal in number and value to Quality Income common shares held by such common shareholder as of the close of business on the business day immediately preceding the Effective Time, and each preferred shareholder will receive one preferred share of the corresponding series of the Successor Fund for each preferred share of such series of Quality Income held by such preferred shareholder as of the close of business on the business day immediately preceding the Effective Time.

Following the Domicile Change, common shareholders of Quality Income shares would own common shares of the Successor Fund equal in number and value to Quality Income common shares held as of the close of business on the business day immediately preceding the Effective Time. A common shareholder will therefore acquire the same pro rata interest in the Successor Fund as of the Effective Time of the Domicile Change as that common shareholder had in Quality Income immediately prior to the Domicile Change.

Following the Domicile Change, preferred shareholders of Quality Income would own the same number of the corresponding series of preferred shares of the Successor Fund as he or she held of

Quality Income as of the close of business on the business day immediately preceding the Effective Time of the Domicile Change, and the Successor Fund preferred shares would have rights and preferences substantially similar to those of the corresponding series of preferred shares of Quality Income. Following the Domicile Change, holders of Successor Fund preferred shares would be entitled to receive, on the date that, but for the Domicile Change, would have been the next dividend payment date in respect of the Quality Income preferred shares, dividends accumulated and equal to the amount that would have been paid on such date with respect to the Quality Income preferred shares, but for the Domicile Change.

Under the terms of the Domicile Agreement, the closing of the Domicile Change is conditioned upon (a) the requisite approval by Quality Income s shareholders, (b) receipt of an opinion substantially to the effect that the Domicile Change will qualify as a reorganization under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code) and that the preferred shares of the Successor Fund will qualify as equity in the Successor Fund for federal income tax purposes, (c) the absence of legal proceedings challenging the Domicile Change and (d) receipt of certain customary certificates, legal opinions, consents, confirmations and/or waivers from various third parties.

The Domicile Agreement may be terminated by the mutual agreement of the parties and such termination may be effected by such party s Chief Administrative Officer or the Vice President without further action by the Board. In addition, either party may at its option terminate the Domicile Agreement at or before the Effective Time due to (a) a breach by the other party of any representation, warranty, or agreement contained therein to be performed at or before the Effective Time, if not cured within 30 days; (b) a condition precedent to the obligations of the terminating party that has not been met and it reasonably appears it will not or cannot be met; or (c) a determination by its Board that the consummation of the transaction contemplated by the Domicile Agreement is not in the best interests of the party.

Certain Comparative Information about the Successor Fund and Quality Income

As a Massachusetts business trust, the Successor Fund s operations will be governed by its declaration of trust, by-laws and applicable Massachusetts law. As a Minnesota corporation, Quality Income s operations are governed by its articles of incorporation, by-laws and applicable Minnesota law. If the Domicile Change is approved, the operations of the Successor Fund will be subject to the provisions of the 1940 Act and the rules and regulations thereunder and applicable state securities laws. Set forth below is a discussion of the major similarities and differences between the Successor Fund and Quality Income.

Investment objectives, policies and general portfolio characteristics. The investment objectives, policies and general portfolio characteristics of the Successor Fund will not change as a result of the Domicile Change.

Board Members and Officers. The Board Members and officers of Quality Income serving immediately prior to the Domicile Change will serve in the same capacity for the Successor Fund immediately after the Domicile Change. While the Successor Fund will have the same board members, the Successor Fund has a different board structure than Quality Income. All members of the Board of Quality Income stand for election each year. In contrast, pursuant to the Successor Fund s by-laws, the board of trustees is divided into three classes (Class I, Class II and Class III) with staggered multi-year terms, such that only the members of one of the three classes stands for election each year. As a result, it would take three years to elect a new Board of Trustees of the Successor Fund.

Common Shares. Notwithstanding that the Successor Fund is organized as a Massachusetts business trust and Quality Income is organized as a Minnesota corporation, the common shares of the Successor Fund and Quality Income have similar voting rights and equal rights with respect to the payment of dividends and as to distribution of assets upon liquidation and have no preemptive, conversion or exchange rights or rights to cumulative voting. Common shareholders of the Successor Fund and Quality Income do not have dissenters rights of appraisal. The terms of the Successor Fund s Dividend Reinvestment Plan will be identical to the terms of Quality Income s Dividend Reinvestment Plan immediately prior to the Domicile Change.

Preferred Shares. The terms of the Successor Fund preferred shares issued pursuant to the Domicile Change will be substantially similar to the terms of the corresponding preferred shares of Quality Income, except that, under Minnesota law, holders of Quality Income preferred shares also have dissenters—rights of appraisal. Following the Domicile Change, shareholders of Successor Fund preferred shares will not have dissenters—rights of appraisal.

Investment Management and Sub-Advisory Agreements. The Investment Management and Sub-Advisory Agreements for the Successor Fund will be substantially the same as those for Quality Income, except for their dates and initial terms, and with respect to the Investment Management Agreement, references to leverage have been updated to reflect the current forms of leverage being used.

Comparison of Massachusetts Business Trusts and Minnesota Corporations

Set forth below is a discussion of the major similarities and differences between the Successor Fund and Quality Income. The summary is based on relevant provisions of applicable Massachusetts law and the Minnesota Business Corporation Act (the MBCA) and the operative documents of the Successor Fund and Quality Income, and does not purport to be complete.

General

Massachusetts law allows the trustees of a business trust to set the terms of a fund s governance in its declaration of trust or other charter document. All power and authority to manage the fund and its affairs generally reside with the trustees, and shareholder voting and other rights are limited to those provided to the shareholders in the declaration. Because Massachusetts law governing business trusts provides more flexibility compared to typical state corporate statutes, the Massachusetts business trust is a common form of organization for closed-end funds. However, some consider it less desirable than other entities because it relies on the terms of the applicable declaration and judicial interpretations rather than statutory provisions for substantive issues, such as the personal liability of shareholders and trustees, and does not provide the level of certainty that corporate laws like those of Minnesota, or newer statutory trust laws, such as those of Delaware, provide. For a Minnesota corporation, unlike a Massachusetts business trust, the MBCA prescribes many aspects of corporate governance.

Shareholders of a Minnesota corporation generally are shielded from personal liability for the corporation s debts or obligations. Shareholders of a Massachusetts business trust, on the other hand, are not afforded the statutory limitation of personal liability generally afforded to shareholders of a corporation from the trust s liabilities. Instead, the declaration of trust of a fund organized as a Massachusetts business trust typically provides that a shareholder will not be personally liable, and further provides for indemnification to the extent that a shareholder is found personally liable, for the fund s acts or obligations. The declaration of trust of the Successor Fund contains such provisions.

Similarly, the trustees of a Massachusetts business trust are not afforded statutory protection from personal liability for the obligations of the trust. The directors of a Minnesota corporation, on the other hand, generally are shielded from personal liability for the corporation s acts or obligations by the MBCA. Courts in Massachusetts have, however, recognized limitations of a trustee s personal liability in contract actions for the obligations of a trust contained in the trust s declaration, and declarations also may provide that trustees may be indemnified out of the assets of the trust to the extent held personally liable. The declaration of trust of the Successor Fund contains such provisions.

Massachusetts Business Trusts

The declaration of trust of the Successor Fund provides that the business and affairs of the Fund are managed by the trustees and in construing the provisions of the declaration of trust there is a presumption in favor of a grant of power to the trustees. Under the declaration of trust, any determination as to what is in the interests of the Fund made by the trustees in good faith is conclusive and is binding upon the Fund and all shareholders, and shares are issued and sold on the condition and understanding, evidenced by the purchase of shares, that any and all such determinations shall be so binding. The following is a summary of some of the material provisions of the Successor Fund s governing documents.

Shareholder Voting. The declaration of trust of the Successor Fund requires a shareholder vote on a number of matters, including certain amendments to the declaration of trust, the election of trustees, the merger or reorganization of the Successor Fund (under certain circumstances) or sales of assets in certain circumstances and matters required to be voted by the 1940 Act.

Meetings of shareholders may be called by the trustees and by the written request of shareholders owning at least 10% of the outstanding shares entitled to vote. The by-laws of the Successor Fund provide that the holders of a majority of the voting power of the shares of beneficial interest of the Successor Fund entitled to vote at a meeting shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. The declaration of trust contains super-majority voting provisions with respect to a merger, consolidation or dissolution of, or sale of substantially all of the assets by, the Successor Fund, or its conversion to an open-end investment company under certain circumstances. These provisions of the declaration of trust may not be amended without a vote of two-thirds of the Fund s shareholders. A vote is not required, however, by shareholders for any transaction whereby the Fund issues shares in connection with the acquisition of assets from any other investment company or similar entity. The declaration of trust of the Successor Fund provides that the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at a meeting of shareholders at which a quorum is present is required to approve a matter, except in the case of the election of trustees, which only requires a plurality vote, and for events to which other voting provisions apply under the 1940 Act or the declaration of trust and by-laws.

Election and Removal of Trustees. The declaration of trust of the Successor Fund provides that the trustees determine the size of the board, subject to a minimum of two and a maximum of twelve, and set and alter the terms of office of the trustees, and may make their terms of unlimited duration. Subject to the provisions of the 1940 Act, the declaration of trust also provides that vacancies on the board may be filled by the remaining trustees. A trustee may only be removed for cause by action of at least two-thirds of the remaining trustees or by action of at least two-thirds of the outstanding shares of the class or classes that elected such trustee.

Issuance of Shares. Under the declaration of trust of the Successor Fund, the trustees are permitted to issue an unlimited number of shares for such consideration and on such terms as the trustees may determine. Shareholders are not entitled to any preemptive rights or other rights to subscribe to additional shares, except as the trustees may determine. Shares are subject to such other preferences, conversion, exchange or similar rights, as the trustees may determine.

Classes. The declaration of trust of the Successor Fund gives broad authority to the trustees to establish classes or series in addition to those currently established and to determine the rights and preferences, conversion rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations, qualifications or terms or conditions of redemptions of the shares of the classes or series. The trustees are also authorized to terminate a class or series without a vote of shareholders under certain circumstances.

Amendments to Declaration of Trust. Amendments to the declaration of trust generally require the consent of shareholders owning more than 50% of shares entitled to vote, voting in the aggregate. Certain amendments may be made by the trustees without a shareholder vote, and, as noted above, any amendment to the voting requirements contained in the declaration of trust requires the approval of two-thirds of the outstanding common shares and preferred shares, voting in the aggregate and not by class except to the extent that applicable law or the declaration of trust may require voting by class.

Shareholder, Trustee and Officer Liability. The declaration of trust of the Successor Fund provides that shareholders have no personal liability for the acts or obligations of the Successor Fund and require the Successor Fund to indemnify a shareholder from any loss or expense arising solely by reason of his or her being or having been a shareholder and not because of his or her acts or omissions or for some other reasons. In addition, the Successor Fund will assume the defense of any claim against a shareholder for personal liability at the request of the shareholder. Similarly, the declaration of trust provides that any person who is a trustee, officer or employee of the Successor Fund is not personally liable to any person in connection with the affairs of the Successor Fund, other than to the Successor Fund and its shareholders arising from bad faith, willful misfeasance, gross negligence or reckless disregard for his or her duty. The declaration of trust further provides for indemnification of such persons and advancement of the expenses of defending any such actions for which indemnification might be sought. The declaration of trust also provides that the trustees may rely in good faith on expert advice.

Derivative Actions. Massachusetts has what is commonly referred to as a universal demand statute, which requires that a shareholder make a written demand on the board, requesting the board members to bring an action, before the shareholder is entitled to bring or maintain a court action or claim on behalf of the entity.

Minnesota Corporations

A Minnesota corporation is governed by the MBCA, its articles of incorporation and by-laws. Some of the key provisions of the MBCA and the articles of incorporation and by-laws of Quality Income (the Minnesota Fund) are summarized below.

Shareholder Voting. Under the MBCA, a Minnesota corporation generally cannot dissolve, amend its articles of incorporation, sell or otherwise transfer all or substantially all of its property and assets outside the ordinary course of business, or engage in a statutory share exchange, merger or consolidation unless approved by a vote of shareholders. Depending on the circumstances and the

articles of incorporation of the corporation, there may be various exceptions to these votes. Shareholders of Minnesota corporations are generally entitled to one vote per share and fractional votes for fractional shares held. The Minnesota Fund s articles of incorporation contain such provisions regarding fractional shares.

Election and Removal of Directors. Shareholders of a Minnesota corporation generally are entitled to elect and remove directors. Shareholders of the Minnesota Fund may elect directors at any meeting at which a quorum is present. The MBCA and the Minnesota Fund s by-laws provide that directors are elected by a plurality of votes validly cast at such election. The MBCA does not require a corporation to hold an annual meeting unless required by the articles of incorporation or by-laws. The Minnesota Fund s by-laws state that annual meetings of shareholders are not required and that a special meeting of shareholders may be called by shareholders holding 10% or more of the shares entitled to vote on the matters to be presented at the meeting. The articles of incorporation provide that a director may be removed from office only for cause, and then by a vote of the shareholders holding $66^2/_3\%$ of the shares entitled to vote at an election of directors.

Amendments to the Articles of Incorporation. Under the MBCA, shareholders of corporations generally are entitled to vote on amendments to the articles of incorporation.

Issuance of Shares. The board of directors of a Minnesota corporation has the power to authorize the issuance of shares. If so provided in the articles of incorporation (and the articles of incorporation of the Minnesota Fund does so provide), the board of directors may authorize the issuance of shares in more than one class or series, and prior to issuance of shares of each class or series, the board of directors must set the terms, preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends or other distributions, qualifications and terms or conditions of redemption for each class or series.

Shareholder, Director and Officer Liability. Under Minnesota law, shareholders generally are not personally liable for debts or obligations of a corporation. Minnesota law provides that a director s personal liability to the corporation or its shareholders for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty as a director may be eliminated or limited in the articles of incorporation, except for a director s breach of the duty of loyalty, for acts or omissions not in good faith or that involve an intentional or knowing violation of law, or for any transaction from which the director derived an improper personal benefit. The articles of incorporation of the Minnesota Fund provide such a limitation of director liability. Minnesota law provides that, unless prohibited by a corporation s articles of incorporation or by-laws, a corporation must indemnify and advance expenses to its directors for acts and omissions in their official capacity, subject to certain exceptions, and the articles of incorporation of each Minnesota Fund do not prohibit such indemnification or advances. The indemnification provisions and the limitation on liability are both subject to any limitations of the 1940 Act, which generally provides that no director or officer shall be protected from liability to the corporation or its shareholders by reason of willful misfeasance, bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties involved in the conduct of his or her office. The provisions governing the advance of expenses are subject to applicable requirements of the 1940 Act or rules thereunder.

Preemptive Rights. Pursuant to the Minnesota Fund s articles of incorporation, shareholders of the Acquiring Fund have no preemptive rights.

Dissenters Right of Appraisal. Under Minnesota Law, shareholders generally are entitled to assert dissenters rights in connection with certain amendments to the articles of incorporation, asset

sales and reorganizations and obtain payment of the fair value of their shares, provided that they comply with the requirements of Minnesota law. These rights, however, are subject to certain exceptions under the MBCA, including, in the case of asset sales and reorganizations, if the shares to which the dissenters rights relate and the shares, if any, that a shareholder is to receive are traded on an exchange.

Derivative Actions. Under Minnesota law, applicable case law at the time of a particular derivative action will establish any requirements or limitations with respect to shareholder derivative actions.

The foregoing is only a summary of certain rights of shareholders under the governing documents of the Successor Fund and the Minnesota Fund and under applicable state law, and is not a complete description of provisions contained in those sources. Shareholders should refer to the provisions of those documents and state law directly for a more thorough description.

Dissenting Shareholders Rights of Appraisal

Under Minnesota law, common shareholders of Quality Income do not have dissenters—rights of appraisal in connection with the Domicile Change because the Fund—s common shares are listed and trade on an exchange. Holders of VMTP Shares of the Minnesota Fund, however, are entitled to assert dissenters—rights in connection with the Domicile Change and obtain payment of the—fair value—of their shares, provided that they comply with the requirements of Minnesota law. Only holders of VMTP Shares of the Minnesota Fund as of the Record Date are entitled to assert dissenters—rights in connection with the Domicile Change. These dissenters—rights, and the procedures pertaining to them, are set forth in Minnesota Statutes, Sections 302A.471 and 302A.473, copies of which are attached to this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus as Appendix G. The following summary of these rights and procedures is qualified in its entirety by reference to Appendix G. Holders of VMTP Shares of the Minnesota Fund should note that they will lose their dissenters—rights of appraisal if they do not follow the required procedures carefully.

Notice of Dissent

A holder of VMTP Shares of the Minnesota Fund who is entitled to dissent under Minnesota law and who wishes to exercise dissenters—rights with respect to the Domicile Change must file a written notice of intent to demand the fair value with the Minnesota Fund before the Annual Meeting. The shareholder must not vote his or her VMTP Shares in favor of the Domicile Agreement. For this purpose, the—fair value—of the shares means the value of the Minnesota Fund VMTP Shares immediately prior to the Effective Time. A written notice of intent to demand the fair value of the Minnesota Fund VMTP Shares should be submitted to the Minnesota Fund addressed to—Secretary, Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund, Inc., 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606.

This written notice is in addition to and separate from any proxy or vote against the Domicile Agreement. It should specify the shareholder s name and mailing address, the number of Minnesota Fund VMTP Shares owned and that the shareholder intends to demand the fair value, plus interest, of the shareholder s VMTP Shares. Voting against, abstaining from voting or failing to vote on the Domicile Agreement does not constitute a demand for appraisal within the meaning of Minnesota law.

Only holders of Quality Income VMTP Shares of record as of the record date for the Annual Meeting, and beneficial owners as of that date who hold VMTP Shares through those record

shareholders, are entitled to exercise dissenters—rights of appraisal. A shareholder cannot assert dissenters—rights of appraisal as to less than all the VMTP Shares that are registered in that shareholder—s name, except where some of the VMTP Shares are registered in that shareholder—s name but are beneficially owned by one or more other persons. If a record owner, such as a broker, nominee, trustee or custodian, wishes to dissent with respect to Minnesota Fund VMTP Shares that are beneficially owned by another person, the record owner must dissent with respect to all of the VMTP Shares that are beneficially owned by that person and must disclose the name and address of the beneficial owner on whose behalf the dissent is made. A beneficial owner of Minnesota Fund VMTP Shares who is not the record owner of those shares may assert dissenters—rights of appraisal as to the VMTP Shares held on that person—s behalf, provided that the beneficial owner submits a written consent of the record owner to the Minnesota Fund at or before the time dissenters—rights are asserted.

Shareholders who wish to assert dissenters—rights of appraisal must not vote for adoption of the Domicile Agreement. A shareholder—s failure to vote against the Domicile Agreement will not constitute a waiver of dissenters—rights. However, if a shareholder returns a signed proxy but does not specify a vote against the Domicile Agreement or a direction to abstain, the proxy will be voted for approval of the Domicile Agreement, which will have the effect of waiving that shareholder—s dissenters—rights.

Notice of Procedure; Deposit of Shares

If Quality Income s shareholders approve the Domicile Agreement, Quality Income will send a notice (the Notice of Procedure) to all holders of the Fund s VMTP Shares who have provided timely written notice of their intent to demand fair value. The Notice of Procedure will contain the information required by Subdivision 4 of Section 302A.473 of the Minnesota Statutes. In order to receive the fair value of the Minnesota Fund VMTP Shares, a dissenting shareholder must demand payment and deposit certificated shares or comply with any restrictions on transfer of uncertificated shares within 30 days after the Notice of Procedure was given, but the dissenter retains all other rights of a shareholder until the applicable Reorganization takes effect. Quality Income may establish contingent liabilities for any VMTP Shares for which a demand has been, or is anticipated to be, received.

Payment; Return of Shares

After the Effective Time, the Minnesota Fund shall remit to each dissenting holder of VMTP Shares who has complied with the requirements for asserting dissenters—rights the amount the Fund estimates to be the fair value of the shares, plus interest, accompanied by the materials specified by Subdivision 5 of Section 302A.473 of the Minnesota Statutes (the Payment Materials). These payments may be subject to withholding taxes.

Quality Income may withhold this payment from a person who was not a holder of the Fund s VMTP Shares on the date the Domicile Change was first announced to the public or who is dissenting on behalf of a person who was not a beneficial owner on that date. In that case, if the dissenter has complied with the requirements for asserting dissenters—rights, the Minnesota Fund will forward to the dissenter the Payment Materials, a statement of the reason for withholding the payment, and an offer to pay to the dissenter the amount listed in the materials if the dissenter agrees to accept that amount in full satisfaction. The dissenter may decline the offer and demand payment as set forth below. Failure to do so entitles the dissenter only to the amount offered.

If Quality Income fails to remit payment within 60 days of the deposit of certificates or the imposition of transfer restrictions on uncertificated shares, it shall return all deposited certificates and cancel all transfer restrictions. However, the Fund may again give a Notice of Procedure and require deposit or restrict transfer at a later time.

Where Quality Income is required to pay the fair value of its VMTP Shares plus interest, the interest will accrue commencing five days after the Effective Time up to and including the date of payment. The interest rate will be the rate at which interest accrues on verdicts and judgments under Minnesota law.

Supplemental Payment; Demand

If a dissenter believes that the amount paid is less than the fair value of Quality Income VMTP Shares plus interest, the dissenter may give written notice (Dissenter s Notice) to Quality Income of the dissenter s own estimate of the fair value of the VMTP Shares, plus interest, within 30 days after Quality Income mails the payment. The Dissenter s Notice must demand payment of the difference; otherwise, a dissenter is entitled only to the amount remitted by Quality Income.

Petition; Determination

If Quality Income receives a demand based on the dissenter s own estimate of the fair value of the Minnesota Fund VMTP Shares, plus interest, it shall, within 60 days after receiving the demand, either pay to the dissenter the amount demanded by the dissenter, pay an amount agreed to by the dissenter after discussion with Quality Income, or file in court a petition requesting that the court determine the fair value of Quality Income VMTP Shares, plus interest. The petition shall be filed in the county in which the registered office of the Minnesota Fund is located (Hennepin County). The petition shall name as parties all dissenters who have demanded payment and who have not reached agreement with the Minnesota Fund. After filing the petition, Quality Income shall serve all parties with a summons and copy of the petition under Minnesota s Rules of Civil Procedure.

The court may appoint appraisers to receive evidence on and recommend the amount of the fair value of Quality Income VMTP Shares. The court shall determine whether the shareholder or shareholders in question have fully complied with the requirements of Minnesota law. The court shall also determine the fair value of Quality Income VMTP Shares, taking into account any and all factors the court finds relevant. The fair value of the shares as determined by the court is binding on all holders of Quality Income VMTP Shares. A dissenter is entitled to judgment in cash for the amount by which the fair value of the shares as determined by the court, plus interest, exceeds the amount, if any, previously paid to the dissenter with respect to his or her shares. However, a dissenter shall not be liable to Quality Income for the amount, if any, by which the amount, if any, previously paid to the dissenter exceeds the fair value of the Quality Income VMTP Shares as determined by the court, plus interest.

Costs; Fees; Expenses

The court shall determine the costs and expenses of the above proceeding, including the reasonable expenses and compensation of any appraisers appointed by the court, and shall assess those costs and expenses against Quality Income. However, the court may assess part or all of those costs and expenses against a dissenter whose action in demanding payment is found to be arbitrary, vexatious or not in good faith.

If the court finds that Quality Income has failed to comply substantially with Minnesota law, the court may assess all fees and expenses of any experts or attorneys as the court deems equitable. These fees and expenses may also be assessed against a person who has acted arbitrarily, vexatiously, or not in good faith in bringing the proceeding, and may be awarded to a party injured by those actions. The court may also award, in its discretion, fees and expenses to an attorney for the dissenters out of the amount awarded to the dissenters, if any.

Material Federal Income Tax Consequences

As a condition of closing to the Domicile Change, Quality Income and the Successor Fund will receive a tax opinion from Vedder Price P.C. (which opinion will be based on certain factual representations and certain customary assumptions) with respect to the Domicile Change substantially to the effect that, on the basis of the existing provisions of the Code, current administrative rules and court decisions, for federal income tax purposes:

- 1. The transfer of all of the assets of Quality Income to the Successor Fund solely in exchange for shares of the Successor Fund and the assumption by the Successor Fund of all of the liabilities of Quality Income, followed by the distribution to Quality Income s shareholders of all the Successor Fund shares received by Quality Income in complete liquidation of Quality Income as soon as possible thereafter will constitute a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code, and the Successor Fund and Quality Income will each be a party to a reorganization, within the meaning of Section 368(b) of the Code, with respect to the reorganization.
- 2. No gain or loss will be recognized by Quality Income upon the transfer of all of its assets to the Successor Fund solely in exchange for Successor Fund shares and the assumption by the Successor Fund of all of the liabilities of Quality Income or upon the distribution (whether actual or constructive) of all such Successor Fund shares to Quality Income shareholders solely in exchange for such shareholders shares of Quality Income in complete liquidation of Quality Income.
- 3. No gain or loss will be recognized by the Successor Fund upon the receipt of all Quality Income s assets solely in exchange for Successor Fund shares and the assumption by the Successor Fund of all the liabilities of Quality Income.
- 4. No gain or loss will be recognized by Quality Income shareholders upon the exchange, pursuant to the Domicile Agreement, of all their shares of Quality Income solely for Successor Fund shares.
- 5. The aggregate basis of the Successor Fund shares received by each Quality Income shareholder pursuant to the Domicile Change will be the same as the aggregate basis of the Quality Income shares exchanged therefor by such shareholder. The holding period of the Successor Fund shares received by each Quality Income shareholder will include the period during which the Quality Income shares exchanged therefor were held by such shareholder, provided such Quality Income shares are held as capital assets at the Effective Time of the Domicile Change.
- 6. The basis of Quality Income s assets acquired by the Successor Fund will be the same as the basis of such assets to Quality Income immediately before the Effective Time of the

Domicile Change. The holding period of the assets of Quality Income in the hands of the Successor Fund will include the periods during which those assets were held by Quality Income.

In addition, K&L Gates LLP, as special tax counsel to the Successor Fund will deliver an opinion to the Successor Fund, subject to certain representations, assumptions and conditions, to the effect that the Successor Fund preferred shares received in the Domicile Change by the holders of the preferred shares of Quality Income will qualify as equity in the Successor Fund for federal income tax purposes.

No opinion will be expressed as to (1) the federal income tax consequences of payments to Quality Income shareholders who elect dissenters rights, (2) the effect of the Domicile Change on Quality Income or the Successor Fund with respect to any asset as to which any unrealized gain or loss is required to be recognized for federal income tax purposes or the transfer thereof under a mark-to-market system of accounting, or (3) any other federal tax issues (except those set forth above) and all state, local or foreign tax issues of any kind. A shareholder who exercises and perfects dissenters—rights of appraisal generally will recognize gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount of cash received and the shareholder—s basis in the VMTP Shares surrendered. This gain or loss generally will be a capital gain or loss and generally will be long-term capital gain or loss if, as of the Effective Time, the holding period for the shares surrendered is more than one year. The deductibility of capital losses is subject to limitations. If, however, the shareholder owns (or constructively owns under certain attribution rules contained in the Code) other shares of Quality Income that are exchanged for Successor Fund shares in the Domicile Change, the cash received could be treated as having the effect of the distribution of a dividend for federal income tax purposes, in which case the shareholder may have dividend income up to the amount of the cash received. In such cases, shareholders should consult their tax advisers to determine the amount and character of the income recognized in connection with the Domicile Change. Any cash received as a result of the exercise of dissenters—rights may be subject to backup withholding taxes.

Votes Required

The Domicile Change is required to be approved by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority (more than 50%) of the outstanding shares of Quality Income s common shares and VMTP Shares entitled to vote on the matter, voting as a single class, and by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority (more than 50%) of Quality Income s outstanding VMTP Shares entitled to vote on the matter, voting as a separate class.

Abstentions and broker non-votes will have the same effect as a vote against the approval of the Domicile Change. Broker non-votes are shares held by brokers or nominees for which the brokers or nominees have executed proxies as to which (i) the broker or nominee does not have discretionary voting power and (ii) the broker or nominee has not received instructions from the beneficial owner or other person who is entitled to instruct how the shares will be voted.

Holders of Quality Income VMTP Shares are separately being asked to approve the Domicile Agreement as a plan of reorganization under the 1940 Act. Section 18(a)(2)(D) of the 1940 Act provides that the terms of preferred shares issued by a registered closed-end management investment company must contain provisions requiring approval by the vote of a majority of such shares, voting as a class, of any plan of reorganization adversely affecting such shares. The 1940 Act makes no

distinction between a plan of reorganization that has an adverse effect as opposed to a materially adverse effect. While the Board does not believe that Quality Income spreferred shareholders would be materially adversely affected by the Domicile Change, it is possible that there may be insignificant adverse effects (such as any consequences potentially resulting from the change in the Funds corporate structure; see Comparison of Massachusetts Business Trusts and Minnesota Corporations above).

If the requisite shareholder approvals are not obtained, the Board of Quality Income may take such actions as it deems to be in the best interests of the Fund, including conducting additional solicitations with respect to the proposal or continuing to operate the Fund as a Minnesota corporation.

The Board of Quality Income recommends that shareholders of the Fund vote FOR the approval of the Domicile Change.

PROPOSAL NO. 3 REORGANIZATION OF EACH ACQUIRED FUND INTO

THE ACQUIRING FUND (SHAREHOLDERS OF EACH FUND)

A. SYNOPSIS

The following is a summary of certain information contained elsewhere in this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus with respect to the proposed Reorganizations and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the more complete information contained in this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus and in the Reorganization SAI and the appendices thereto. Shareholders should read the entire Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus carefully. Certain capitalized terms used but not defined in this summary are defined elsewhere in this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus or in the Acquiring Fund s Statement Establishing and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of MuniFund Term Preferred Shares (the Acquiring Fund Statement) attached as Appendix A to the Reorganization SAI.

Background and Reasons for the Reorganizations

The Board of Nuveen's municipal closed-end funds has approved a series of mergers of single-state municipal closed-end funds, including the reorganization of each of the Acquired Funds into the Acquiring Fund. Each Board has determined that the Reorganization proposed for its Fund would be in the best interests of each Fund. The Acquiring Fund and the Acquired Funds have substantially similar investment objectives and policies and similar portfolio compositions. The proposed Reorganizations are intended to enhance the secondary trading market for common shares of the Funds and to result in lower operating expenses (excluding the costs of leverage) as a result of the larger size of the combined fund. Although the anticipated total annual operating expenses per common share of the combined fund is expected to be higher for the Acquiring Fund and Premium Income due to the increased levels of leverage in the combined fund, such leverage may produce higher returns for common shares over time. The closing of the Reorganizations is contingent upon certain conditions being satisfied or waived. Shareholders of each Acquired Fund, voting separately, must approve the Reorganization of their Fund into the Acquiring Fund in order for the Reorganizations to occur. The Acquiring Fund also must obtain certain shareholder approvals described in this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus with respect to the Reorganizations in order for the Reorganizations to occur. Additionally, in order for the Reorganization to occur, each Fund must obtain certain consents, confirmations and/or waivers from various third parties. Because the closing of the Reorganizations is contingent on all of the Acquired Funds and the Acquiring Fund satisfying (or obtaining the waiver of) their respective closing conditions, it is possible that your Fund is Reorganization will not occur, even if shareholders

of your Fund approve the Reorganization and your Fund satisfies all of its closing conditions. If the requisite shareholder approvals are not obtained, each Fund s Board may take such actions as it deems in the best interest of the Fund including conducting additional solicitations with respect to the proposals or continuing to operate the Fund as a stand-alone fund. For a fuller discussion of the Boards considerations regarding the approval of the Reorganizations, see Proposal No. 3 Information About the Reorganizations Reasons for the Reorganizations.

Material Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Reorganizations

As a condition to closing, the Funds will receive an opinion of Vedder Price P.C. substantially to the effect that each proposed Reorganization will qualify as a tax-free reorganization under Section 368(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). In addition, K&L Gates LLP, as special tax counsel to the Acquiring Fund, will deliver an opinion to the Acquiring Fund, subject to certain representations, assumptions and conditions, to the effect that the Acquiring Fund preferred shares received in the Reorganizations by holders of the preferred shares of the Acquired Funds will qualify as equity in the Acquiring Fund for federal income tax purposes. Accordingly, it is expected that no Fund will recognize gain or loss for federal income tax purposes as a direct result of the Reorganizations. Prior to the closing of the Reorganizations, each Acquired Fund expects to declare a distribution of all of its net investment income and net capital gains, if any. All or a portion of such distribution may be taxable to an Acquired Fund s shareholders for federal income tax purposes. In addition, to the extent that portfolio securities are sold in connection with the Reorganizations, an Acquired Fund may realize capital gains or losses, which may increase or decrease the net capital gain to be distributed by the Acquired Fund. It is not currently expected that any significant portfolio sales will occur solely in connection with the Reorganizations (less than 5% of the assets of each Acquired Fund). It is expected that shareholders of each Acquired Fund who receive Acquiring Fund common shares or preferred shares pursuant to a Reorganization will recognize no gain or loss for federal income tax purposes, except that gain or loss may be recognized with respect to any cash received in lieu of fractional Acquiring Fund common shares being issued.

Comparison of the Acquiring Fund and Each Acquired Fund

General. Each Fund is a diversified, closed-end management investment company. The common shares of Quality Income and Premium Income are listed on the NYSE. The common shares and MTP Shares of Dividend Advantage are listed on NYSE MKT (formerly NYSE Amex). Upon the closing of the Reorganizations, it is expected that the common shares and MTP Shares of the Acquiring Fund will be listed on the NYSE and NYSE MKT, respectively. Quality Income and Premium Income were organized on July 25, 1991 and August 18, 1992, respectively, as corporations under the laws of the State of Minnesota. Dividend Advantage was organized on June 1, 1999, as a business trust under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. The common shares of each Fund have equal voting rights and equal rights with respect to the payment of dividends and distribution of assets upon liquidation and have no preemptive, conversion or exchange rights or rights to cumulative voting.

The Acquiring Fund currently has outstanding 879 VMTP Shares, par value \$0.01 per share, with a per share liquidation preference of \$100,000 and a total liquidation value of \$87,900,000, which will remain outstanding following the completion of the Reorganizations. Dividend Advantage has MTP Shares outstanding, par value of \$0.01 per share and liquidation preference of \$10 per share. Premium Income has VMTP Shares outstanding, par value \$0.01 per share, with a per share liquidation preference of \$100,000 and a total liquidation value of \$53,900,000. VMTP Shares and MTP Shares are entitled to

one vote per share. Preferred shares issued by the Acquiring Fund in connection with the Reorganizations will have equal priority with each other and with outstanding preferred shares of the Acquiring Fund as to the payment of dividends and the distribution of assets in the event of the Acquiring Fund s liquidation. In addition, preferred shares of the Acquiring Fund will have priority in all respects to the Fund s common shares, as to the payment of dividends and the distribution of assets upon liquidation. The Acquiring Fund MTP Shares to be issued to Dividend Advantage pursuant to its Reorganization will have rights and preferences that are substantially identical, as of the closing of the Reorganization, to those of the outstanding Acquired Fund MTP Shares for which they are exchanged. Among other terms, Acquiring Fund MTP Shares will have the same mandatory redemption term and liquidation preference as the MTP Shares held immediately prior to the Reorganization by preferred shareholders of Dividend Advantage. The MTP Shares to be issued by the Acquiring Fund to Dividend Advantage preferred shareholders will have the same fixed per annum dividend rate as the Dividend Advantage MTP Shares held immediately prior to the Reorganization.

Investment Objectives and Policies. The Funds have substantially similar investment objectives and policies. For each of Quality Income and Premium Income, the primary investment objective is current income exempt from both regular federal income taxes and Michigan individual income taxes, as well as the Michigan intangibles tax. The secondary investment objective for each of these Funds is to enhance portfolio value relative to the Michigan municipal bond market through investments in tax-exempt Michigan municipal obligations that the Adviser believes are underrated or undervalued or that represent municipal market sectors that are undervalued. Dividend Advantage s investment objectives are:

(i) to provide current income exempt from regular federal and Michigan income tax; and (ii) to enhance portfolio value relative to the Michigan municipal bond market by investing in tax-exempt municipal bonds that the Adviser believes are underrated or undervalued or that represent municipal market sectors that are undervalued. Subsequent to the adoption of these objectives, the Michigan intangibles tax was repealed and as a result, the Funds no longer seek income exempt from the intangibles tax.

Under normal circumstances, each Fund invests at least 80% of its net assets, including assets attributable to any principal amount of any borrowings (including the issuance of commercial paper or notes) and any preferred shares outstanding (Managed Assets), in municipal securities and other related investments the income from which is exempt from regular federal and Michigan income taxes.

Under normal circumstances, each Fund invests at least 80% of its Managed Assets in investment grade securities that, at the time of investment, are rated within the four highest grades (Baa or BBB or better) by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating organization (NRSRO) or are unrated but judged to be of comparable quality by the Adviser. Each Fund may invest up to 20% of its Managed Assets in municipal securities that at the time of investment are rated below investment grade or are unrated but judged to be of comparable quality by the Adviser. No more than 10% of each Fund s Managed Assets may be invested in municipal securities rated below B3/B- or that are unrated but judged to be of comparable quality by the Adviser.

If a municipal security satisfies the ratings requirements described above at the time of purchase, a Fund will not be required to dispose of the security upon a downgrade.

Each Fund may enter into derivative instruments to achieve its investment objectives, enhance return, hedge certain risks of its investments in fixed income securities or as a substitute for a position in the underlying asset. Such instruments include financial futures contracts, swap contracts (including credit default swaps and interest rate swaps), options on financial futures, options on swap contracts, or

other derivative instruments. A Fund may not enter into a futures contract or related options or forward contracts if more than 30% of the Fund s net assets would be represented by futures contracts or more than 5% of the Fund s net assets would be committed to initial margin deposits and premiums on future contracts or related options.

Each Fund may invest up to 15% of its net assets in inverse floating rate securities. Inverse floating rate securities represent a leveraged investment in the underlying municipal bond deposited. Inverse floating rate securities offer the opportunity for higher income than the underlying bond, but will subject the Fund to the risk of lower or even no income if short-term interest rates rise sufficiently. By investing in an inverse floating rate security rather than directly in the underlying bond, the Fund will experience a greater increase in its common share net asset value if the underlying municipal bond increases in value, but will also experience a correspondingly larger decline in its common share net asset value if the underlying bond declines in value.

Each Fund may borrow for temporary or emergency purposes, including to pay dividends, repurchase its shares, or settle portfolio transactions.

Credit Quality. A comparison of the credit quality of the respective portfolios of the Acquiring Fund and the Acquired Funds, as of February 29, 2012, is set forth in the table below.

Credit Ratir	Acquiring g Fund	Premium Income	Dividend Advantage	Combined Fund Pro Forma ⁽¹⁾
Aaa/AAA*	23%	12%	19%	19%
Aa/AA	54%	60%	52%	56%
A/A	11%	12%	12%	11%
Baa/BBB	8%	13%	10%	10%
Ba/BB or Lower	3%	3%	3%	3%
Unrated	1%		4%	1%
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%

^{*} Includes securities that are backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient, U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.

(1) Reflects the effect of the Reorganizations.

Leverage. Each Fund may utilize the following forms of leverage: (a) portfolio investments that have the economic effect of leverage, including but not limited to investments in futures, options and inverse floating rate securities, and (b) the issuance of preferred shares. Each Fund currently engages in leverage through the issuance of preferred shares and the use of inverse floaters. Certain important ratios related to each Fund s use of leverage for the last three fiscal years are set forth below:

Acquiring Fund	2012	2011	2010
Asset Coverage Ratio	309.64%	287.66%	295.80%
Regulatory Leverage Ratio ⁽¹⁾	32.30%	34.76%	33.81%
Effective Leverage Ratio ⁽²⁾	35.07%	36.64%	35.66%

Dividend Advantage	2012	2011	2000
Asset Coverage Ratio	291.80%	269.86%	304.04%
Regulatory Leverage Ratio ⁽¹⁾	34.27%	37.06%	32.89%
Effective Leverage Ratio ⁽²⁾	37.47%	38.98%	34.97%
Premium Income	2012	2011	2010
Asset Coverage Ratio	317.36%	297.55%	304.13%
Regulatory Leverage Ratio ⁽¹⁾	31.51%	33.61%	32.88%
Effective Leverage Ratio ⁽²⁾	34.56%	35.54%	34.79%

- (1) Regulatory leverage consists of preferred shares or debt issued by the Fund. Both of these are part of a Fund s capital structure. Regulatory leverage is sometimes referred to as 1940 Act Leverage and is subject to asset coverage limits set forth in the 1940 Act.
- (2) Effective leverage is a Fund s effective economic leverage, and includes both structural leverage and the leverage effects of certain derivative investments in the Fund s portfolio. Currently, the leverage effects of Tender Option Bond (TOB) inverse floater holdings, in addition to any structural leverage, are included in effective leverage ratios.

Board Members and Officers. The Funds have the same Board Members and officers. The management of each Fund, including general supervision of the duties performed by the Adviser under an investment management agreement between the Adviser and each Fund (an Investment Management Agreement), is the responsibility of its Board. Each Fund currently has ten (10) trustees or directors, one (1) of whom is an interested person (as defined in the 1940 Act) and nine (9) of whom are not interested persons. The names and business addresses of the Board Members and officers of the Funds and their principal occupations and other affiliations during the past five years are set forth under Proposal No. 1 Board Nominees/Board Members.

While the Acquiring Fund and Acquired Funds have the same Board Members, the Acquiring Fund and Premium Income (*i.e.*, the Minnesota Funds) have a board structure that is different from the structure for Dividend Advantage (*i.e.*, the Massachusetts Fund). All members of the Board of Directors of each Minnesota Fund stand for election each year. In contrast to the Minnesota Funds board structure, and pursuant to the Massachusetts Fund s by-laws, the Board of Trustees of the Massachusetts Fund is divided into three classes (Class I, Class II and Class III) with staggered multi-year terms, such that only the members of one of the three classes stand for election each year. However, if shareholders of Quality Income approve the Domicile Change set forth under Proposal No. 2 Domicile Change , Quality Income s board structure will be the same as Dividend Advantage, with staggered multi-year terms.

Investment Adviser. The Adviser, Nuveen Fund Advisors, is the investment adviser to each Fund and is responsible for investing each Fund s assets. The Adviser oversees the management of each Fund s portfolio, manages each Fund s business affairs and provides certain clerical, bookkeeping and other administrative services. Nuveen Fund Advisors is located at 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606.

The Adviser, a registered investment adviser, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nuveen Investments Inc. Founded in 1898, Nuveen Investments and its affiliates had approximately \$212 billion of assets under management as of June 30, 2012. On November 13, 2007, Nuveen Investments was acquired by investors led by Madison Dearborn Partners, LLC (the MDP Acquisition).

Nuveen Fund Advisors has selected its affiliate, Nuveen Asset Management, LLC (Nuveen Asset Management or the Sub-Adviser), located at 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, IL 60606, to serve as a sub-adviser to each of the Funds. Nuveen Asset Management, manages the investment of the Funds assets on a discretionary basis, subject to the supervision of Nuveen Fund Advisors. Nuveen Asset Management, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nuveen Fund Advisors and was appointed as Sub-Adviser effective in January 2011 as part of an internal restructuring of the Adviser.

Each Fund is dependent upon services and resources provided by its Adviser, and therefore the Adviser's parent, Nuveen Investments. Nuveen Investments significantly increased its level of debt in connection with the MDP Acquisition. While Nuveen Investments believes that monies generated from operations and cash on hand will be adequate to fund debt service requirements, capital expenditures and working capital requirements for the foreseeable future, there can be no assurance that Nuveen Investments business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations or that future borrowings will be available in an amount sufficient to enable Nuveen Investments to pay its indebtedness (with scheduled maturities beginning in 2014) or to fund its other liquidity needs. Nuveen Investments believes that potential adverse changes to its overall financial position and business operations would not adversely affect its or its affiliate s portfolio management operations and would not otherwise adversely affect its ability to fulfill its obligations to the Funds under the investment management agreements.

Pursuant to each Investment Management Agreement, each Fund s management fee consists of two components a complex-level component, based on the aggregate amount of all eligible fund assets managed by Nuveen Fund Advisors, and a fund-level component, based only on the amount of managed assets within such Fund. The pricing structure enables the Funds shareholders to benefit from growth in assets within each individual fund as well as from growth of complex-wide assets managed by Nuveen Fund Advisors.

The fund-level fee schedule for each Fund is based upon the average daily managed assets of each Fund as follows:

Management Fee Schedule for each of the Acquiring Fund and Premium Income

Average Daily Managed Assets*	Annual Rate
For the first \$125 million	0.4500%
For the next \$125 million	0.4375%
For the next \$250 million	0.4250%
For the next \$500 million	0.4125%
For the next \$1 billion	0.4000%
For the next \$3 billion	0.3875%
For managed assets over \$5 billion	0.3750%

Management Fee Schedule for Dividend Advantage

Average Daily Managed Assets*	Annual Rate
For the first \$125 million	0.4500%
For the next \$125 million	0.4375%
For the next \$250 million	0.4250%
For the next \$500 million	0.4125%
For the next \$1 billion	0.4000%
For managed assets over \$2 billion	0.3750%

The management fee compensates the Adviser for overall investment advisory and administrative services and general office facilities. Each Fund pays all of its other costs and expenses of its operations, including compensation of its Board Members (other than those affiliated with the Adviser), custodian, transfer agency and dividend disbursing expenses, legal fees, expenses of independent auditors, expenses of repurchasing shares, expenses of issuing any preferred shares, expenses of preparing, printing and distributing shareholder reports, notices, proxy statements and reports to governmental agencies, and taxes, if any. For the services provided pursuant to an investment sub-advisory agreement, Nuveen Fund Advisors pays Nuveen Asset Management a fee, payable monthly, equal to 38.4615% of the management fee (net of applicable breakpoints, waivers and reimbursements) paid by the Funds to Nuveen Fund Advisors.

Due to the increased size of the combined fund, the effective fund-level fee rate as a percentage of average daily Managed Assets for the combined fund is expected to be lower than the current effective fund-level fee rate for each of the Acquiring and Acquired Funds. Each Fund also pays a complex-level fee to Nuveen Fund Advisors, which is payable monthly and is in addition to the fund-level fee. The complex-level fee is based on the aggregate daily amount of eligible assets for all Nuveen sponsored funds in the U.S., as stated in the table below. As of February 29, 2012, the complex-level fee rate was 0.1724%.

The complex-level fee rate schedule is as follows:

Complex-Level Fee Rates

Complex-Level Asset Breakpoint Level*	Effective Rate at Breakpoint Level
\$55 billion	0.2000%
\$56 billion	0.1996%
\$57 billion	0.1989%
\$60 billion	0.1961%
\$63 billion	0.1931%
\$66 billion	0.1900%
\$71 billion	0.1851%
\$76 billion	0.1806%
\$80 billion	0.1773%
\$91 billion	0.1691%
\$125 billion	0.1599%
\$200 billion	0.1505%
\$250 billion	0.1469%
\$300 billion	0.1445%

* For the fund-level and complex-level fees, managed assets include closed-end fund assets managed by the Adviser that are attributable to financial leverage. For these purposes, financial leverage includes the funds—use of preferred stock and borrowings and certain investments in the residual interest certificates (also called inverse floating rate securities) in tender option bond (TOB) trusts, including the portion of assets held by a TOB trust that has been effectively financed by the trust—s issuance of floating rate securities, subject to an agreement by the Adviser as to certain funds to limit the amount of such assets for determining managed assets in certain circumstances. The complex-level fee is calculated based upon the aggregate daily managed assets of all Nuveen Funds that constitute—eligible assets. Eligible assets do not include assets attributable to investments in other Nuveen Funds or assets in excess of a determined amount (originally \$2 billion) added to the Nuveen Fund complex in connection with Nuveen Fund Advisors—assumption of the management of the former First American Funds effective January 1, 2011.

A discussion of the basis for the Board s most recent approval of each Fund s Investment Management Agreement and the Sub-Advisory Agreement is included in the Fund s Semi-Annual Report for the period ended August 31, 2011.

Portfolio Management. Subject to the supervision of Nuveen Fund Advisors, Nuveen Asset Management is responsible for execution of specific investment strategies and day-to-day investment operations. Nuveen Asset Management manages the Funds using a team of analysts and a portfolio manager that focuses on a specific group of funds. Daniel J. Close, CFA has served as the portfolio manager of the Acquiring Fund and each Acquired Fund since 2007. Additional information regarding the portfolio manager s compensation, other accounts managed and ownership of securities is contained in the Reorganization SAI.

Mr. Close is a Senior Vice President of Nuveen Investments. He joined Nuveen Investments in 2000 as a member of Nuveen s product management and development team. He then served as a research analyst for Nuveen s municipal investing team, covering corporate-backed, energy, transportation and utility credits. He received his BS in Business from Miami University and his MBA from Northwestern University s Kellogg School of Management. Mr. Close has earned the Chartered Financial Analyst designation. Mr. Close also serves as a portfolio manager for various Nuveen Build America Bond strategies. He manages 28 Nuveen-sponsored closed-end funds with a total of approximately \$4.71 billion under management as of February 29, 2012.

Comparative Expense Information

The purpose of the comparative fee table is to assist you in understanding the various costs and expenses of investing in shares of the Funds. The information in the table reflects the fees and expenses for each Fund s fiscal year ended February 29, 2012, as adjusted as described in footnote 1 below, and the pro-forma expenses for the 12 months ended February 29, 2012, for the combined fund. The figures in the Example are not necessarily indicative of past or future expenses, and actual expenses may be greater or less than those shown. The Funds actual rates of return may be greater or less than the hypothetical 5% annual return shown in the Example.

Comparative Fee Table⁽¹⁾

	Acquiring Fund	Premium Income	Dividend Advantage	Combined Fund Pro Forma ⁽²⁾
Annual Expenses (as a percentage of net assets attributable				
to common shares)				
Management Fees	0.95%	0.94%	0.99%	0.93%
Interest and Related Expenses from Inverse Floaters and				
Preferred Shares ⁽³⁾	0.71%	0.64%	1.69%	0.78%
Other Expenses	0.12%	0.15%	0.39%	0.12%
-				
Total Annual Expenses	1.78%	1.73%	3.07%	1.83%

(1) Annual Expenses (as a percentage of net assets applicable to common shares) are based on the expenses of the Acquiring Fund and Acquired Funds for the 12 months ended February 29, 2012, subject to the following adjustments. For the Acquiring Fund and Premium Income, Interest and Related Expenses from Inverse Floaters and Preferred Shares reflects annualized interest and related expenses for preferred shares that were outstanding for less than the 12-month period. For the Acquiring Fund and Premium Income,

Other Expenses excludes expenses incurred during the 12-month period for auction fees and/or dividend disbursing agent fees associated with auction rate preferred shares that are no longer outstanding. For Dividend Advantage, fee and expense reimbursements that expired during the prior period are not reflected. It is important for you to understand that a decline in a Fund s average net assets applicable to common shares during the current fiscal year due to recent market volatility or other factors could cause each Fund s expense ratios for that Fund s current fiscal year to be higher than the expense information presented.

- (2) The Combined Fund Pro Forma figures assume the consummation of the Reorganizations on February 29, 2012, and reflect average net assets applicable to common shares for the Acquiring Fund and Acquired Funds for the 12-month period ended February 29, 2012. Combined Fund Pro Forma expenses do not include the expenses to be borne by the Funds in connection with the Reorganizations, which are estimated to be \$180,000 (0.10%) for the Acquiring Fund, \$95,000 (0.09%) for Premium Income and \$280,000 (0.95%) for Dividend Advantage.
- (3) Interest and Related Expenses from Inverse Floaters arises because accounting rules require the Funds to treat interest paid by trusts issuing certain inverse floating rate investments held by the Funds as having been paid (indirectly) by the Funds. Because the Funds also recognize corresponding amounts of interest income (also indirectly), each Fund s common share net asset value, net investment income and total return are not affected by this accounting treatment. The actual Interest and Related Expenses from Inverse Floaters incurred in the future may be higher or lower. Dividends paid on each Fund s currently outstanding preferred shares are recognized as interest expense for financial reporting purposes.

Example: The following examples illustrate the expenses that a shareholder would pay on a \$1,000 investment that is held for the time periods provided in the table. The examples assume that all dividends and other distributions are reinvested and that Total Annual Expenses remain the same. The examples also assume a 5% annual return.

	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	10 Years
Acquiring Fund	\$ 18	\$ 56	\$ 96	\$ 209
Premium Income	\$ 18	\$ 54	\$ 94	\$ 204
Dividend Advantage	\$ 31	\$ 95	\$ 161	\$ 338
Combined Fund Pro Forma	\$ 19	\$ 58	\$ 99	\$ 215

Comparative Performance Information

Comparative total return performance for the Funds for periods ended February 29, 2012:

	Average Annual Total Return on Net Asset Value			Average Annual Total Return on Market Value		
	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Acquiring Fund	19.11%	6.04%	6.37%	28.44%	7.30%	6.07%
Premium Income	17.00%	5.83%	6.01%	25.65%	6.76%	6.47%
Dividend Advantage	19.38%	5.53%	6.45%	25.34%	5.01%	5.77%

Average Annual Total Return on Net Asset Value is the combination of changes in common share net asset value, reinvested dividend income at net asset value and reinvested capital gains distributions at net asset value, if any. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending net asset value. The actual reinvestment price for the last dividend declared in the period may often be based on the Fund s market price (and not its net asset value), and therefore may be different from the price used in the calculation. Average Annual Total Return on Market Value is the combination of changes in the market price per share and the effect of reinvested dividend income and reinvested capital gains

distributions, if any, at the average price paid per share at the time of reinvestment. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending market price. The actual reinvestment for the last dividend declared in the period may take place over several days, and in some instances it may not be based on the market price, so the actual reinvestment price may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized. Past performance information is not necessarily indicative of future results.

B. RISK FACTORS

Investment in the Acquiring Fund may not be appropriate for all investors. The Acquiring Fund is not intended to be a complete investment program and, due to the uncertainty inherent in all investments, there can be no assurance that the Fund will achieve its investment objectives. Investors should consider their long-term investment goals and financial needs when making an investment decision with respect to the Acquiring Fund. An investment in the Acquiring Fund is intended to be a long-term investment, and you should not view the Fund as a trading vehicle. Your shares at any point in time may be worth less than your original investment, even after taking into account the reinvestment of Fund dividends and distributions, if applicable.

Because the Funds have substantially similar investment strategies, the principal risks of each Fund are substantially similar. The principal risks of investing in the Acquiring Fund and the Acquired Funds are described below. The risks and special considerations listed below should be considered by shareholders of each Fund in their evaluation of the Reorganizations.

Investment and Market Risk. An investment in the Funds—shares is subject to investment risk, including the possible loss of the entire amount that you invest. Your investment in common shares represents an indirect investment in the municipal securities owned by a Fund, which generally trade in the over-the-counter markets. Your shares at any point in time may be worth less than your original investment, even after taking into account the reinvestment of Fund dividends and distributions, if applicable. In addition, the ability of municipalities to collect revenue and service their obligations could be materially and adversely affected by an economic downturn or prolonged recession.

Current Economic Conditions Credit Crisis Liquidity and Volatility Risk. Markets for credit instruments, including municipal securities, have experienced periods of extreme illiquidity and volatility since the latter half of 2007. General market uncertainty and consequent repricing risk have led to market imbalances of sellers and buyers, which in turn have resulted in significant valuation uncertainties in a variety of debt securities, including municipal securities. These conditions resulted, and in many cases continue to result, in greater volatility, less liquidity, widening credit spreads and a lack of price transparency, with many debt securities remaining illiquid and of uncertain value. These market conditions may make valuation of some of the Funds municipal securities uncertain and/or result in sudden and significant valuation increases or declines in its holdings. A significant decline in the value of your Funds portfolio would likely result in a significant decline in the value of your common shares. In addition, illiquidity and volatility in the credit markets may directly and adversely affect distributions on the common shares and preferred shares and/or the liquidity of the Term Redemption Liquidity Account (see Description of MTP Shares). This volatility may also impact the liquidity of inverse floating rate securities in your Funds portfolio. See Risk Factors Inverse Floating Rate Securities Risk.

In response to the current national economic condition, governmental cost burdens may be reallocated among federal, state and local governments. In addition, laws enacted in the future by

Congress or state legislatures or referenda could extend the time for payment of principal and/or interest, or impose other constraints on enforcement of such obligations, or on the ability of municipalities to levy taxes. Issuers of municipal securities have and may seek protection under the bankruptcy laws. See Risk Factors Municipal Securities Market Risk.

Market Discount from Net Asset Value. Shares of closed-end investment companies may fluctuate and during certain periods trade at prices lower than net asset value. The Funds cannot predict whether their common shares will trade at, above or below net asset value. This characteristic is a risk separate and distinct from the risk that a Fund s net asset value could decrease as a result of investment activities. Investors bear a risk of loss to the extent that the price at which they sell their shares is lower in relation to their Fund s net asset value than at the time of purchase, assuming a stable net asset value. The common shares are designed primarily for long-term investors, and you should not view the Funds as a vehicle for trading purposes.

Credit and Below-Investment Grade Risk. Credit risk is the risk that one or more municipal securities in a Fund s portfolio will decline in price, or the issuer thereof will fail to pay interest or principal when due, because the issuer experiences a decline in its financial status. Credit risk is increased when a portfolio security is downgraded or the perceived creditworthiness of the issuer deteriorates. If a downgrade occurs, the Adviser will consider what action, including the sale of the security, is in the best interests of a Fund. Municipal securities of below-investment-grade quality are regarded as having predominantly speculative characteristics with respect to the issuer s capacity to pay interest and repay principal when due, and they are more susceptible to default or decline in market value due to adverse economic and business developments than investment-grade municipal securities. Also, to the extent that the rating assigned to a municipal security in a Fund s portfolio is downgraded by any NRSRO, the market price and liquidity of such security may be adversely affected. The market values for municipal securities of below-investment-grade quality tend to be volatile, and these securities are less liquid than investment-grade municipal securities. For these reasons, an investment in a Fund, compared with a portfolio consisting solely of investment-grade securities, may experience the following:

increased price sensitivity resulting from a deteriorating economic environment and changing interest rates;

greater risk of loss due to default or declining credit quality;

adverse issuer-specific events that are more likely to render the issuer unable to make interest and/or principal payments; and

the possibility that a negative perception of the below-investment-grade market develops, resulting in the price and liquidity of below-investment-grade securities becoming depressed, and this negative perception could last for a significant period of time.

Municipal Securities Market Risk. Investing in the municipal securities market involves certain risks. The municipal securities market is one in which dealer firms make markets in bonds on a principal basis using their proprietary capital, and during the recent market turmoil these firms capital became severely constrained. As a result, some firms were unwilling to commit their capital to purchase and to serve as a dealer for municipal securities. The amount of public information available about the municipal securities in each Fund s portfolio is generally less than that for corporate equities or bonds, and the Funds investment performance may therefore be more dependent on the Adviser s

analytical abilities than if the Funds were to invest in stocks or taxable bonds. As noted above, the secondary market for municipal securities also tends to be less well developed or liquid than many other securities markets, which may adversely affect a Fund s ability to sell its municipal securities at attractive prices or at prices approximating those at which each Fund currently values them. Municipal securities may contain redemption provisions, which may allow the securities to be called or redeemed prior to their stated maturity, potentially resulting in the distribution of principal and a reduction in subsequent interest distributions.

The ability of municipal issuers to make timely payments of interest and principal may be diminished during general economic downturns and as governmental cost burdens are reallocated among federal, state and local governments. If the current national economic recession continues, the ability of municipalities to collect revenue and service their obligations could be materially and adversely affected. The taxing power of any government entity may be limited by provisions of state constitutions or laws, and an entity s credit will depend on many factors, including the entity s tax base, the extent to which the entity relies on federal or state aid, and other factors which are beyond the entity s control. In addition, laws enacted in the future by Congress or state legislatures or referenda could extend the time for payment of principal and/or interest, or impose other constraints on enforcement of such obligations, or on the ability of municipalities to levy taxes. Issuers of municipal securities might seek protection under the bankruptcy laws. In the event of bankruptcy of such an issuer, a Fund could experience delays in collecting principal and interest and a Fund may not, in all circumstances, be able to collect all principal and interest to which it is entitled. To enforce its rights in the event of a default in the payment of interest or repayment of principal, or both, a Fund may take possession of and manage the assets securing the issuer s obligations on such securities, which may increase a Fund s operating expenses. Any income derived from a Fund s ownership or operation of such assets may not be tax-exempt and may not be of the type that would allow a Fund to continue to qualify as a regulated investment company.

Revenue bonds issued by state or local agencies to finance the development of low-income, multi-family housing involve special risks in addition to those associated with municipal securities generally, including that the underlying properties may not generate sufficient income to pay expenses and interest costs. These bonds are generally non-recourse against the property owner, may be junior to the rights of others with an interest in the properties, may pay interest that changes based in part on the financial performance of the property, may be prepayable without penalty and may be used to finance the construction of housing developments which, until completed and rented, do not generate income to pay interest. Additionally, unusually high rates of default on the underlying mortgage loans may reduce revenues available for the payment of principal or interest on such mortgage revenue bonds.

Interest Rate Risk. Generally, when market interest rates rise, bond prices fall, and vice versa. Interest rate risk is the risk that the municipal securities in a Fund s portfolio will decline in value because of increases in market interest rates. In typical market interest rate environments, the prices of longer-term municipal securities generally fluctuate more than prices of shorter-term municipal securities as interest rates change.

Single State Risk. Each Fund invests its net assets in a portfolio of municipal securities that are exempt from regular federal and Michigan income taxes. Each Fund is therefore more susceptible to adverse political, economic or regulatory events affecting issuers of such securities. The information set forth below is derived from sources that are generally available to investors. The accuracy and completeness of those sources have not been independently verified. There may be significant changes

in circumstances altering the economic and budget information since the time the publication of those sources or this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus. The information is intended to give a recent historical description and is not intended to indicate future or continuing trends in the financial or other positions of the State of Michigan (Michigan, the State or the State of Michigan). It should be noted that the creditworthiness of obligations issued by local Michigan issuers may be unrelated to the creditworthiness of obligations issued by the State of Michigan and that there is no obligation on the part of the State of Michigan to make payment on such local obligations in the event of default.

The Michigan economy and its general fiscal condition affect the ability of the State and local governments to raise and redistribute revenues to assist issuers of municipal securities to make timely payments on their obligations. The following three paragraphs are a brief summary of current employment, housing market and personal income statistics in Michigan that are set forth in the Administration Estimates Michigan Economic and Revenue Outlook, dated May 16, 2012, prepared by the Michigan Department of Treasury in connection with the May 16, 2012 Consensus Revenue Estimating Conference.

Employment. Michigan's economy relies heavily on the performance of the manufacturing sector in general and the auto industry specifically. Most of the past decade has been marked by weak manufacturing employment performance, declining vehicle production, continued declines in the market share of General Motors Company, Ford Motor Company and Chrysler Group LLC and continued supply rationalization among vehicle suppliers. As a result, Michigan s employment performance has been below the national average. However, recently, Michigan s labor market has improved somewhat. In 2009 Michigan s unemployment rate rose to 13.4%, but over the past two years the State s unemployment rate has fallen a combined 3.1% with the majority of the decline (2.4%) occurring in 2011. Michigan s 2011 unemployment rate stood at 10.3%. As of July 2012, Michigan s unemployment rate was 9.0%.

Housing Market. Despite not being one of the major participants in the housing boom, Michigan was hit disproportionately hard from the housing bust due to sharply declining employment. Nevertheless, the State's housing market has recently seen some signs of improvement. In February 2012, according to Case-Shiller house price measures, the Detroit MSA recorded a 1.5% year-over-year house price increase, compared to a 3.4% average decline for the twenty U.S. metro areas surveyed for the measure. However, the February 2012 Detroit price measure was 45.6% below Detroit s peak measure (March 2006). In comparison, the 20-city reading was 33.9% below its peak reading (April 2006). As of the first quarter of 2012, Michigan had the seventh worth foreclosure sales rate among the states with one foreclosure for every 162 households.

Personal Income. After dropping 8.2% in 2009 (the largest decline since 1945), wages and salaries increased 1.7% in 2010 and rose 5.2% in 2011. Michigan personal income fell 5.4% in 2009, marking the first annual Michigan drop since 1958. Michigan personal income increased 3.3% in 2010 and 5.2% in 2011. Increases have slowed over the last three quarters of 2011 reporting 4.3% growth slightly lower than national income (4.6%) and ranking 31st among the 50 states.

Although significant expenditure cuts and one-time revenues were necessary to balance the Michigan state budget for fiscal 2011, the fiscal year ended with a general fund surplus of \$370 million, as revenues came in above expectations. Modest surpluses have been used to help replenish the state s depleted rainy day fund. For fiscal 2012, Michigan implemented \$1.6 billion in expenditure cuts broadly across state programs including health and human services, school funding and local

government revenue sharing. In January 2012, the state eliminated its existing business tax system and implemented a flat 6% corporate income tax in its stead. As of May 2012, Moody s and S&P rated Michigan general obligation (GO) debt at Aa2 and AA-, respectively, with stable outlooks and Fitch Ratings rated Michigan general obligation (GO) debt at AA- with a positive outlook.

General obligation bonds issued by Michigan municipalities are payable primarily from ad valorem taxes on property located in the municipality. A municipality is property tax base is subject to many factors outside the control of the municipality, including the decline in Michigan is manufacturing industry and housing market. Certain Michigan municipalities have experienced severe fiscal difficulties and have reported operating and accumulated deficits. In addition to general obligation bonds backed by the full faith and credit of the municipality, certain municipal authorities finance projects by issuing bonds that are not considered to be debts of the municipality. Such bonds may be repaid only from revenues of the financed project, the revenue from which may be insufficient to service the related debt obligations. Regional economic difficulties, reductions in revenues, and increases in expenses could lead to further fiscal problems for the State, its political subdivisions, and its or their authorities and agencies.

The foregoing information constitutes only a brief summary of some of the general factors that may impact certain issuers of municipal securities and does not purport to be a complete or exhaustive description of all adverse conditions to which the issuers of municipal securities held by the Funds are subject. Additionally, many factors, including national economic, social and environmental policies and conditions, which are not within the control of the issuers of the municipal securities, could affect or could have an adverse impact on the financial condition of the issuers. The Funds are unable to predict whether or to what extent such factors or other factors may affect the issuers of the municipal securities, the market value or marketability of the municipal securities or the ability of the respective issuers of the municipal securities acquired by each Fund to pay interest on or principal of the municipal securities. This information has not been independently verified.

Inverse Floating Rate Securities Risk. Each Fund can have substantial exposure to municipal inverse floating rate securities, which are securities whose interest rates bear an inverse relationship to the interest rate on another security or the value of an index, and which represent a leveraged investment in underlying municipal bonds. Typically, an inverse floating rate security represents a residual beneficial interest in a special purpose trust into which a third-party sponsor has deposited municipal bonds, and which issues floating rate securities to short-term investors and inverse floating rate securities to long-term investors such as the Funds. Income on typical inverse floating rate securities will decrease when short-term interest rates increase and increase when short-term interest rates decrease, so investments in inverse floating rate securities offer the opportunity for higher income than the underlying bond, but will subject a Fund to the risk of lower or even no income if short-term interest rates rise sufficiently. Inverse floating rate securities represent a leveraged investment in the underlying municipal bond deposited. The value of an inverse floating rate security will increase or decrease in value by a multiple of the increase or decrease of the market value of its underlying bond due to changes in market interest rates or the bond s creditworthiness. That multiple is dependent on the ratio of the special purpose trust s floating rate securities to its inverse floating rate securities, and can exceed three times for more highly leveraged trusts. Thus, when investing in an inverse floating rate security rather than directly in the underlying bond, a Fund will experience a greater increase in its common net asset value if the underlying municipal bond increases in value, but will also experience a correspondingly larger decline in its common net asset value if the underlying bond declines in value, which will make a Fund s net asset value more volatile.

Each Fund may invest in inverse floating rate securities issued by special purpose trusts whose sponsors have recourse to the Fund pursuant to a separate shortfall and forbearance agreement. Such an agreement would require a Fund to reimburse the third-party sponsor of the trust, upon termination of the trust issuing the inverse floater, for the difference between the liquidation value of the bonds held in the trust and the principal amount due to the holders of floating rate securities issued by the trust. A Fund will enter into such a recourse agreement (i) when the liquidity provider with respect to the floating rate securities issued by the special purpose trust requires such a recourse agreement because the level of leverage in the special purpose trust exceeds the level that the liquidity provider is willing to support absent such an agreement; and/or (ii) to seek to prevent the liquidity provider from collapsing the special purpose trust in the event that the municipal obligation held in the trust has declined in value. In an instance where a Fund has entered such a recourse agreement, the Fund may suffer a loss that exceeds the amount of its original investment in the inverse floating rate securities; such loss could be as great as that original investment amount plus the face amount of the floating rate securities issued by the trust.

Inverse floating rate securities have varying degrees of liquidity or illiquidity (liquidity being the ability to raise cash by selling the investment in a timely manner at an attractive price) based in large part upon the liquidity of the underlying bonds deposited in a special purpose trust. The leverage attributable to such inverse floating rate securities may be called away on relatively short notice and therefore may be less permanent than more traditional forms of leverage. In such circumstances, a Fund may be required to sell securities at inopportune times or prices. Each Fund may be required to sell its inverse floating rate securities or its underlying municipal bonds at less than favorable prices, or liquidate other Fund portfolio holdings in certain circumstances, including, but not limited to, the following:

If a Fund has a need for cash and the bonds in a special purpose trust are not actively trading due to adverse market conditions;

If special purpose trust sponsors (as a collective group or individually) experience financial hardship and consequently seek to terminate their respective outstanding trusts; and

If the value of an underlying bond declines significantly (to a level below the notional value of the floating rate securities issued by the trust) and if additional collateral has not been posted by the Fund.

Leverage Risk. Leverage risk is the risk associated with borrowings, the issuance of preferred shares or the use of inverse floating rate securities to leverage the common shares. There can be no assurance that a Fund s leveraging strategy will be successful. Through the use of financial leverage, the Funds seek to enhance potential common share earnings over time by borrowing or issuing preferred shares at short-term municipal rates and investing at long-term municipal rates which are typically, though not always, higher. The Funds costs of leverage may pay fixed rates of interest or dividends or may fluctuate with short-term yields. The benefit from leverage will be reduced (increased) to the extent that the difference narrows (widens) between the net earnings on a Fund s portfolio securities and its cost of leverage. If short-term rates rise and a Fund s leverage costs fluctuate, a Fund s cost of leverage could exceed the rate of return on longer-term bonds held by the Fund that were acquired during periods of lower interest rates, reducing returns to common shareholders. A Fund s cost of leverage includes both the interest rate paid on its borrowings or dividends on preferred shares as well as any ongoing fees and expenses associated with those

borrowings or preferred shares. The Fund also bears the costs of borrowing facilities, issuing its shares

and refinancing such leverage. To the extent that the Funds issue preferred shares with relatively short terms to redemption, refinancing risk will increase. Refinancing risk is the risk that the Fund is unable to replace existing leverage at all or on favorable terms. If the Fund is unable to replace its leverage upon a term redemption date, it may be forced to reduce leverage and sell portfolio securities when it otherwise would not do so. If the Fund is unable to replace existing leverage on comparable terms, its costs of leverage will increase. Accordingly, there is no assurance that the use of leverage may result in a higher yield or return to common shareholders.

A Fund s use of financial leverage also creates incremental common share net asset value risk because the full impact of price changes in the Fund s investment portfolio, including assets attributable to leverage, is borne by common shareholders. This can lead to a greater increase in net asset values in rising markets than if a Fund were not leveraged, but it also can result in a greater decrease in net asset values in declining markets. A Fund s use of financial leverage similarly can magnify the impact of changing market conditions on common share market prices. Each Fund is required to maintain certain regulatory and rating agency asset coverage requirements in connection with its outstanding preferred shares, in order to be able to maintain the ability to declare and pay common share distributions and to maintain the rating of its preferred shares. In order to maintain required asset coverage levels, a Fund may be required to alter the composition of its investment portfolio or take other actions, such as redeeming preferred shares with the proceeds from portfolio transactions, at what might be an inopportune time in the market. Such actions could reduce the net earnings or returns to common shareholders over time. See also Multiple Series Risk.

Each Fund may invest in the securities of other investment companies, which may themselves be leveraged and therefore present similar risks to those described above.

The amount of fees paid to the Adviser for investment advisory services will be higher when a Fund uses financial leverage because the advisory fees are calculated based on the Fund s Managed Assets.

Multiple Series Risk. Following the Reorganizations, the Acquiring Fund will have multiple series of preferred shares outstanding, including both VMTP Shares and MTP Shares. While all preferred shares of the Acquiring Fund will rank equal to each other with respect to the payment of dividends and the distribution of assets upon liquidation, there are some differences between the terms applicable to each series. To the extent that the terms of the various series differ with respect to required asset coverage levels, cure periods or other events affecting the dividend rate or mandatory or optional redemption terms applicable to such series, such events may impact one series of preferred shares differently than another series of preferred shares. In addition, the VMTP Shares are subject to the terms of a privately negotiated Purchase Agreement, which provides the holder of such shares with certain information, consent and other rights.

Tax Risk. To qualify for the favorable U.S. federal income tax treatment generally accorded to regulated investment companies, among other things, a Fund must derive in each taxable year at least 90% of its gross income from certain prescribed sources. If for any taxable year a Fund does not qualify as a regulated investment company, all of its taxable income (including its net capital gain) would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates without any deduction for distributions to shareholders, and all distributions from the Fund (including underlying distributions attributable to tax exempt interest income) would be taxable to shareholders as ordinary dividends to the extent of the Fund s current and accumulated earnings and profits.

The value of a Fund s investments, its net asset value and MTP Shares may be adversely affected by changes in tax rates and policies. Because interest income from municipal securities held by a Fund is normally not subject to regular federal or Michigan income tax, the attractiveness of municipal securities in relation to other investment alternatives is affected by changes in federal and Michigan income tax rates or changes in the tax-exempt status of interest income from municipal securities. Any proposed or actual changes in such rates or exempt status, therefore, can significantly affect the demand for and supply, liquidity and marketability of municipal securities. This could in turn affect a Fund s net asset value and ability to acquire and dispose of municipal securities at desirable yield and price levels. Additionally, the Funds are not suitable investments for individual retirement accounts, for other tax-exempt or tax-deferred accounts or for investors who are not sensitive to the federal income tax consequences of their investments.

On September 12, 2011, President Obama submitted to Congress the American Jobs Act of 2011 (the Jobs Act). If enacted in its proposed form, the Jobs Act generally would limit the exclusion from gross income of tax-exempt interest (which includes exempt-interest dividends received from a Fund) for individuals whose adjusted gross income for federal income tax purposes exceeds certain thresholds for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2013 in order to provide a tax benefit not greater than 28% of such interest. Such proposal could affect the value of the municipal bonds owned by a Fund. The likelihood of the Jobs Act being enacted in the form introduced or in some other form cannot be predicted. Shareholders should consult their own tax advisers regarding the potential consequences of the Jobs Act on their investment in a Fund.

Taxability Risk. Each Fund will invest in municipal securities in reliance at the time of purchase on an opinion of bond counsel to the issuer that the interest paid on those securities will be excludable from gross income for regular federal income tax purposes, and the Adviser will not independently verify that opinion. Subsequent to a Fund s acquisition of such a municipal security, however, the security may be determined to pay, or to have paid, taxable income. As a result, the treatment of dividends previously paid or to be paid by a Fund as exempt-interest dividends could be adversely affected, subjecting the Fund s shareholders to increased federal income tax liabilities. In certain circumstances, a Fund will make payments to holders of preferred shares to offset the tax effects of a taxable distribution. See Proposal No. 3 Information About the Reorganizations Description of MTP Shares to be Issued by the Acquiring Fund.

Under highly unusual circumstances, the Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) may determine that a municipal bond issued as tax-exempt should in fact be taxable. If a Fund held such a bond, it might have to distribute taxable ordinary income dividends or reclassify as taxable income amounts previously distributed as exempt-interest dividends. In addition, future legislation may change the tax treatment of municipal bond interest.

For federal income tax purposes, distributions of ordinary taxable income (including any net short-term capital gain) will be taxable to shareholders as ordinary income (and will not be eligible for favorable taxation as qualified dividend income), and capital gain dividends will be taxed at long-term capital gain rates.

Borrowing Risk. Each Fund may borrow for temporary or emergency purposes, including to pay dividends, repurchase its shares, or settle portfolio transactions. Borrowing may exaggerate changes in the net asset value of a Fund s common shares and may affect a Fund s net income. When a Fund borrows money, it must pay interest and other fees, which will reduce the Fund s returns if such

costs exceed the returns on the portfolio securities purchased or retained with such borrowings. Any such borrowings are intended to be temporary. However, under certain market conditions, including periods of low demand or decreased liquidity in the municipal bond market, such borrowings might be outstanding for longer periods of time.

Inflation Risk. Inflation risk is the risk that the value of assets or income from investment will be worth less in the future as inflation decreases the value of money. As inflation increases, the real value of the dividends paid to preferred shareholders may decline.

Special Risks Related to Certain Municipal Obligations. Each Fund may invest in municipal leases and certificates of participation in such leases. Municipal leases and certificates of participation involve special risks not normally associated with general obligations or revenue bonds. Leases and installment purchase or conditional sale contracts (which normally provide for title to the leased asset to pass eventually to the governmental issuer) have evolved as a means for governmental issuers to acquire property and equipment without meeting the constitutional and statutory requirements for the issuance of debt. The debt issuance limitations are deemed to be inapplicable because of the inclusion in many leases or contracts of non-appropriation clauses that relieve the governmental issuer of any obligation to make future payments under the lease or contract unless money is appropriated for such purpose by the appropriate legislative body on a yearly or other periodic basis. In addition, such leases or contracts may be subject to the temporary abatement of payments in the event the governmental issuer is prevented from maintaining occupancy of the leased premises or utilizing the leased equipment. Although the obligations may be secured by the leased equipment or facilities, the disposition of the property in the event of non-appropriation or foreclosure might prove difficult, time consuming and costly, and may result in a delay in recovering or the failure to fully recover a Fund s original investment. In the event of non-appropriation, the issuer would be in default and taking ownership of the assets may be a remedy available to a Fund, although each Fund does not anticipate that such a remedy would normally be pursued. To the extent that a Fund invests in unrated municipal leases or participates in such leases, the credit quality rating and risk of cancellation of such unrated leases will be monitored on an ongoing basis. Certificates of participation, which represent interests in unmanaged pools of municipal leases or installment contracts, involve the same risks as the underlying municipal leases. In addition, a Fund may be dependent upon the municipal authority issuing the certificates of participation to exercise remedies with respect to the underlying securities. Certificates of participation also entail a risk of default or bankruptcy, both of the issuer of the municipal lease and also the municipal agency issuing the certificate of participation.

Derivatives Risk. Each Fund s use of derivatives involves risks different from, and possibly greater than, the risks associated with investing directly in the investments underlying the derivatives. Whether a Fund s use of derivatives is successful will depend on, among other things, if the Adviser correctly forecasts market values, interest rates and other applicable factors. If the Adviser incorrectly forecasts these and other factors, the investment performance of a Fund will be unfavorably affected. In addition, the derivatives market is largely unregulated. It is possible that developments in the derivatives market could adversely affect a Fund s ability to successfully use derivative instruments.

Each Fund may enter into debt-related derivatives instruments including credit default swap contracts and interest rate swaps. Like most derivative instruments, the use of swaps is a highly specialized activity that involves investment techniques and risks different from those associated with ordinary portfolio securities transactions. In addition, the use of swaps requires an understanding by the Adviser of not only of the referenced asset, rate or index, but also of the swap itself. Because they are

two-party contracts and because they may have terms of greater than seven days, swap agreements may be considered to be illiquid. Moreover, a Fund bears the risk of loss of the amount expected to be received under a swap agreement in the event of the default or bankruptcy of a swap agreement counterparty. It is possible that developments in the swaps market, including potential government regulation, could adversely affect a Fund s ability to terminate existing swap agreements or to realize amounts to be received under such agreements. See Counterparty Risk and Hedging Risk and the Reorganization SAI.

Hedging Risk. Each Fund s use of derivatives or other transactions to reduce risk involves costs and will be subject to the Adviser s ability to predict correctly changes in the relationships of such hedge instruments to the Fund s portfolio holdings or other factors. No assurance can be given that the Adviser s judgment in this respect will be correct. In addition, no assurance can be given that a Fund will enter into hedging or other transactions at times or under circumstances in which it may be advisable to do so.

Other Investment Companies Risk. Each Fund may invest in the securities of other investment companies. Such securities may be leveraged. As a result, a Fund may be indirectly exposed to leverage through an investment in such securities. Utilization of leverage is a speculative investment technique and involves certain risks. An investment in securities of other investment companies that are leveraged may expose the Fund to higher volatility in the market value of such securities and the possibility that a Fund s long-term returns on such securities will be diminished.

Deflation Risk. Deflation risk is the risk that prices throughout the economy decline over time, which may have an adverse effect on the market valuation of companies, their assets and revenues. In addition, deflation may have an adverse effect on the creditworthiness of issuers and may make issuer default more likely, which may result in a decline in the value of a Funds portfolio.

Counterparty Risk. Changes in the credit quality of the companies that serve as a Fund s counterparties with respect to derivatives, insured municipal securities or other transactions supported by another party s credit will affect the value of those instruments. Certain entities that have served as counterparties in the markets for these transactions have recently incurred significant financial hardships including bankruptcy and losses as a result of exposure to sub-prime mortgages and other lower quality credit investments that have experienced recent defaults or otherwise suffered extreme credit deterioration. As a result, such hardships have reduced these entities capital and called into question their continued ability to perform their obligations under such transactions. By using such derivatives or other transactions, a Fund assumes the risk that its counterparties could experience similar financial hardships. In the event of insolvency of a counterparty, a Fund may sustain losses or be unable to liquidate a derivatives position.

Illiquid Securities Risk. Each Fund may invest in municipal securities and other instruments that, at the time of investment, are illiquid. Illiquid securities are securities that are not readily marketable and may include restricted securities, which are securities that may not be resold unless they have been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or can be sold in a private transaction pursuant to an exemption from registration. Illiquid securities involve the risk that the securities will not be able to be sold at the time desired by a Fund or at prices approximating the value at which the Fund is carrying the securities on its books.

Market Disruption Risk. Certain events have a disruptive effect on the securities markets, such as terrorist attacks (including the terrorist attacks in the United States on September 11, 2001),

war and other geopolitical events. A Fund cannot predict the effects of similar events in the future on the U.S. economy.

Income Risk. A Fund s income is based primarily on the interest it earns from its investments, which can vary widely over the short-term and long-term. If interest rates drop, a Fund s income available over time to make dividend payments could drop as well if the Fund purchases securities with lower interest coupons.

Call Risk or Prepayment Risk. During periods of declining interest rates or for other purposes, issuers may exercise their option to prepay principal earlier than scheduled, forcing a Fund to reinvest in lower-yielding securities. This is known as call or prepayment risk.

Reinvestment Risk. Reinvestment risk is the risk that income from a Fund s portfolio will decline if and when the Fund invests the proceeds from matured, traded or called bonds at market interest rates that are below the Fund s portfolio s current earnings rate.

Reliance on Investment Adviser. Each Fund is dependent upon services and resources provided by its investment adviser, and therefore the Adviser s parent, Nuveen Investments. Nuveen Investments, through its own business or the financial support of its affiliates, may not be able to generate sufficient cash flow from operations or ensure that future borrowings will be available in an amount sufficient to enable it to pay its indebtedness or to fund its other liquidity needs. For additional information on the Adviser and Nuveen Investments, see Proposal No. 3 Comparison of the Acquiring Fund and Each Acquired Fund Investment Adviser and Investment Adviser and Sub-Adviser in the Reorganization SAI.

Certain Affiliations. Certain broker-dealers may be considered to be affiliated persons of the Funds, the Adviser and/or Nuveen Investments. Absent an exemption from the Securities and Exchange Commission or other regulatory relief, a Fund generally is precluded from effecting certain principal transactions with affiliated brokers, and its ability to purchase securities being underwritten by an affiliated broker or a syndicate including an affiliated broker, or to utilize affiliated brokers for agency transactions, is subject to restrictions. This could limit a Fund s ability to engage in securities transactions and take advantage of market opportunities.

Anti-Takeover Provisions. Each Fund s organizational documents include provisions that could limit the ability of other entities or persons to acquire control of the Fund or convert the Fund to open-end status.

C. INFORMATION ABOUT THE REORGANIZATIONS General

The Board of Nuveen s municipal closed-end funds has approved a series of mergers of single-state municipal closed-end funds, including the Reorganizations with respect to the Acquiring Fund and each Acquired Fund. As noted above, the Acquiring Fund and each Acquired Fund have substantially similar investment objectives, policies and similar portfolio compositions. With respect to the proposed Reorganizations, it is intended that the combination of the Funds will enhance the secondary trading market for common shares of the Funds and will result in lower operating expenses per common shares (excluding the cost of leverage) as a result of the increased size of the combined fund. The closing of the Reorganizations is contingent upon certain conditions being satisfied or waived. Principally, shareholders of each Acquired Fund, voting separately, must approve the Reorganization of their Fund into the Acquiring Fund. The Acquiring Fund also must obtain the

shareholder approvals described in this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus with respect to the Reorganizations in order for the Reorganizations to occur. Each Fund also must obtain certain consents, confirmations and/or waivers from various third parties. Because the closing of the Reorganizations is contingent on all the Acquired Funds and the Acquiring Fund obtaining the requisite shareholder approvals and satisfying (or obtaining the waiver of) their other closing conditions, it is possible that your Fund s Reorganization will not occur, even if shareholders of your Fund approve the Reorganization and your Fund satisfies all of its closing conditions. If the Reorganizations are not consummated, the Board of each Fund may take such actions as it deems in the best interests of its Fund, including conducting additional solicitations with respect to the proposals or continuing to operate the Fund as a stand-alone fund.

Terms of the Reorganizations

General. With respect to the Reorganizations, the Agreement and Plan of Reorganization by and among each Acquired Fund and the Acquiring Fund (the Agreement), in the form attached as Appendix B, provides for: (i) the Acquiring Fund s acquisition of substantially all of the assets of each Acquired Fund in exchange for newly issued common shares of the Acquiring Fund, par value \$0.01 per share, newly issued VMTP Shares of the Acquiring Fund, with a par value of \$0.01 per share and liquidation preference of \$100,000 per share (with respect to Premium Income s Reorganization), newly issued MTP Shares, with a par value of \$.01 per share and a liquidation preference of \$10 per share (with respect to Dividend Advantage s Reorganization) and the Acquiring Fund s assumption of substantially all of the liabilities of each Acquired Fund; and (ii) the distribution of the Acquiring Fund common shares and Acquiring Fund preferred shares received by each Acquired Fund to its common and preferred shareholders, as part of the liquidation, dissolution and termination of each Acquired Fund in accordance with applicable law. No fractional Acquiring Fund common shares will be issued to an Acquired Fund s shareholders in connection with the Reorganizations and, in lieu of such fractional shares, an Acquired Fund s common shareholders will receive cash in an amount equal to the value received for such shares in the open market, which may be higher or lower than net asset value. Preferred shareholders of each Acquired Fund will receive the same number of preferred shares having substantially identical terms as the outstanding preferred shares of the Acquired Fund held by such preferred shareholders immediately prior to the Reorganization. The aggregate liquidation preference of the Acquiring Fund preferred shares received in each Reorganization will equal the aggregate liquidation preference of the corresponding Acquired Fund preferred shares held immediately prior to the Reorganization. Preferred shares issued by the Acquiring Fund in connection with the Reorganizations will have equal priority with each other and with the Acquiring Fund s other outstanding preferred shares as to the payment of dividends and the distribution of assets in the event of the Acquiring Fund s liquidation. In addition, the preferred shares of the Acquiring Fund, including the Acquiring Fund preferred shares to be issued in the Reorganizations, will be senior in priority to the Acquiring Fund s common shares, as to the payment of dividends and distribution of assets in the event of the Acquiring Fund s liquidation. The Acquiring Fund will continue to operate after the Reorganization as a registered closed-end investment company.

As a result of the Reorganizations, the assets of the Acquiring Fund and each Acquired Fund would be combined, and the shareholders of each Acquired Fund would become shareholders of the Acquiring Fund. If Proposals 3, 4 and 5 are approved at the shareholder meeting with respect to each Fund, the closing date is expected to be the close of business on or about , 2012, or such other date as the parties may agree (the Closing Date). Following the Reorganizations, each Acquired Fund would terminate its registration as an investment company under the 1940 Act.

The aggregate net asset value of Acquiring Fund common shares received by each Acquired Fund in a Reorganization will equal, as of the Valuation Date (as such term is defined on page 58), the aggregate net asset value of Acquired Fund common shares held by shareholders of such Acquired Fund. See Proposal No. 3 Information About the Reorganizations Description of Common Shares Issued by the Acquiring Fund for a description of the rights of Acquiring Fund shareholders. No fractional Acquiring Fund common shares, however, will be issued in connection with the Reorganizations. The Acquiring Fund s transfer agent will aggregate all fractional Acquiring Fund common shares that may be due to Acquired Fund shareholders as of the Closing Date and will sell the resulting whole shares for the account of holders of all such fractional interests at a value that may be higher or lower than net asset value, and each such holder will be entitled to a pro rata share of the proceeds from such sale. With respect to the aggregation and sale of fractional common shares, the Acquiring Fund stransfer agent will act directly on behalf of the shareholders entitled to receive fractional shares and will accumulate fractional shares, sell the shares and distribute the cash proceeds net of brokerage commissions, if any, directly to shareholders entitled to receive the fractional shares (without interest and subject to withholding taxes). For federal income tax purposes, shareholders will be treated as if they received fractional share interests and then sold such interests for cash. The holding period and the aggregate tax basis of fractional share interests deemed received by a shareholder will be the same as the holding period and aggregate tax basis of the Acquired Fund common shares previously held by the shareholder and exchanged therefor, provided the Acquired Fund shares exchanged therefor were held as capital assets. As a result of the Reorganizations, common shareholders of the Funds will hold reduced percentages of ownership in the larger combined entity than they held in the Acquiring Fund or Acquired Funds individually.

Following the Reorganizations, each preferred shareholder of an Acquired Fund would own the same number of shares of the Acquiring Fund preferred shares as an Acquired Fund spreferred shares held by such shareholder immediately prior to the Closing Date, with substantially identical terms, as of the time of the closing of the Reorganizations, to the Acquired Fund preferred shares for which they were exchanged. As a result of the Reorganizations, preferred shareholders of the Funds would hold reduced voting percentages of preferred shares for matters to be voted on as a single class.

The preferred shareholders of an Acquired Fund will receive the following new classes of preferred shares of the Acquiring Fund:

Acquired Fund
Preferred Shares Outstanding

MTP Shares, Series 2015 Fixed Dividend Rate: 2.30% Term Redemption Date: December 1, 2015

Premium Income

Acquired Fund

Dividend Advantage

VMTP Shares, Series 2014

\$100,000 liquidation value per share

Term Redemption Date: August 1, 2014 Acquiring Fund Preferred Shares to be Issued in the Reorganizations

MTP Shares, Series 2015 Fixed Dividend Rate: 2.30% Term Redemption Date: December 1, 2015

VMTP Shares, Series 2014 #1

,

\$100,000 liquidation value per share Term

Redemption Date: August 1, 2014

Valuation of Assets and Liabilities. If the Reorganizations are approved and the other closing conditions are satisfied or waived, the value of the net assets of an Acquired Fund will be the value of its assets, less its liabilities, computed as of the close of regular trading on the NYSE on the business

day immediately prior to the Closing Date (such time and date being hereinafter called the Valuation Date). The value of an Acquired Fund s assets shall be determined by using the valuation procedures of the Nuveen closed-end funds adopted by the Board or such other valuation procedures as shall be mutually agreed upon by the parties. The value of an Acquired Fund s net assets will be calculated net of the liquidation preference (including accumulated and unpaid dividends) of all outstanding Acquired Fund preferred shares.

Dividends will accumulate on shares of each Acquired Fund s preferred shares, up to and including the day before the Closing Date occurs and will be paid, together with the dividends then payable in respect of the shares of Acquiring Fund preferred shares to the holders thereof on the Dividend Payment Date (as defined below) in respect of the dividend period of such shares. The first dividend period for the Acquiring Fund preferred shares to be issued in the Reorganizations will commence on the Closing Date and end on the last day of the month in which the Closing Date occurs.

Distributions. Undistributed net investment income represents net earnings from a Fund s investment portfolio that over time have not been distributed to shareholders. Under the terms of the Agreement, each Acquired Fund that has undistributed net investment income on undistributed net capital gains is required to declare a distribution, which, together with all previous dividends have the effect of distributing to its shareholders all undistributed net investment income and undistributed realized net capital gains for all taxable periods ending on or before the Closing Date. The Acquiring Fund is not subject to a similar distribution requirement; however, it is anticipated that the Acquiring Fund will declare a distribution prior to the Closing Date which will result in the distribution of a portion of its undistributed net investment income. Consequently, Acquired Fund shareholders effectively will purchase a pro rata portion of the Acquiring Fund s remaining undistributed net investment income and undistributed realized net capital gains, if any, which may be more or less than the Acquired Fund s undistributed net investment income and undistributed realized net capital gains per share immediately preceding the distributions described above, if any. As a result, the Acquiring Fund s existing shareholders will experience a corresponding reduction in their respective portion of undistributed net investment income and undistributed realized net capital gains per share, if any, such that the Acquiring Fund s undistributed net investment income and undistributed realized net capital gains per share immediately following the Reorganizations is expected to be less than the Acquiring Fund s undistributed net investment income and undistribut

Amendments. Under the terms of the Agreement, the Agreement may be amended, modified, or supplemented in such manner as may be mutually agreed upon in writing by each Fund as specifically authorized by each Fund s Board; provided, however, that following the meeting of the shareholders of the Funds called by each Fund, no such amendment, modification or supplement may have the effect of changing the provisions for determining the number of Acquiring Fund shares to be issued to the Acquired Funds shareholders under the Agreement to the detriment of such shareholders without their further approval.

Conditions. Under the terms of the Agreement, the closing of the Reorganizations is conditioned upon (a) the requisite approval by the shareholders of each Fund of the proposals in this Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus related to the Reorganizations, (b) the Funds receipt of an opinion substantially to the effect that each Reorganization will qualify as a reorganization under the Code, (c) the absence of legal proceedings challenging the Reorganizations and (d) the Funds receipt of certain customary certificates and legal opinions. See Material Federal Income Tax Consequences

of the Reorganizations. Additionally, in order for the Reorganizations to occur, each Fund must obtain certain consents, confirmations and/or waivers from various third parties, including liquidity providers with respect to outstanding preferred shares, and the Acquiring Fund must obtain confirmation of the requisite ratings on the VMTP and MTP Shares to be issued in the Reorganizations.

Termination. The Agreement may be terminated by the mutual agreement of the parties and such termination may be effected by each Fund s Chief Administrative Officer or a Vice President without further action by the Board. In addition, any Fund may at its option terminate the Agreement at or before the Closing Date due to (a) a breach by any other party of any representation, warranty, or agreement contained herein to be performed at or before the Closing Date, if not cured within 30 days; (b) a condition precedent to the obligations of the terminating party that has not been met and it reasonably appears it will not or cannot be met; or (c) a determination by its Board that the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the Agreement is not in the best interests of the Fund.

Reasons for the Reorganizations

Based on the considerations below, the Board of each Fund, including the Board Members who are not interested persons (as defined in the 1940 Act) of the Funds (the Independent Board Members), has determined that the Reorganizations would be in the best interests of the applicable Funds and that the interests of the existing shareholders of the Funds would not be diluted with respect to net asset value as a result of the Reorganizations. The Boards approved the Reorganizations and recommended that shareholders of the respective Funds approve the Reorganizations.

In preparation for a meeting of the Boards held on April 18-19, 2012 (the Meeting) at which the Reorganizations were considered, the Adviser provided the Boards, prior to the Meeting and in prior meetings, with information regarding the proposed Reorganizations, including the rationale therefor and alternatives considered to the Reorganizations. Prior to approving the Reorganizations, the Independent Board Members reviewed the foregoing information with their independent legal counsel and with management, reviewed with independent legal counsel applicable law and their duties in considering such matters, and met with independent legal counsel in a private session without management present. The Boards considered a number of principal factors presented at the time of the Meeting or prior meetings in reaching their determinations, including the following:

the compatibility of the Funds investment objectives, policies and related risks;
consistency of portfolio management;
improved economies of scale and the potential for lower operating expenses (excluding the costs of leverage);
the potential for improved secondary market trading with respect to the common shares;
the anticipated tax-free nature of the Reorganizations;
the expected costs of the Reorganizations;
the terms of the Reorganizations and whether the Reorganizations would dilute the interests of shareholders of the Funds;

the effect of the Reorganizations on shareholder rights; and

any potential benefits of the Reorganizations to the Adviser and its affiliates as a result of the Reorganizations. *Compatibility of Investment Objectives, Policies and Related Risks.* Based on the information presented, the Boards noted that the investment objectives, policies and risks of the Funds are similar (although not identical). Each Fund invests primarily in municipal securities and other related investments the income from which is exempt from regular federal and Michigan personal income tax. Each Fund also emphasizes investments in investment grade municipal securities. The Boards considered that the portfolio composition of each Fund is similar and considered the impact of the applicable Reorganization on each Fund s portfolio, including any shifts in sector allocations, credit ratings, duration, yield and leverage costs. The Boards also recognized that each Fund utilizes leverage. Because the Funds have similar investment strategies, the principal risks of each Fund are also similar.

Consistency of Portfolio Management. The Boards noted that each Fund has the same investment adviser, sub-adviser and portfolio manager. Through the Reorganizations, the Boards recognized that shareholders will remain invested in a closed-end management investment company that will have greater net assets and benefits from potential economies of scale; the same investment adviser, sub-adviser and portfolio manager; and similar investment objectives and investment strategies.

Improved Economies of Scale and Potential for Lower Operating Expenses (Excluding the Costs of Leverage). The Boards considered the fees and expense ratios of each of the Funds (including estimated expenses of the Acquiring Fund following the Reorganizations). As a result of the greater economies of scale from the larger asset size of the Acquiring Fund after the Reorganizations, the Boards noted that it was expected that the effective management fee rate (as a percentage of average daily Managed Assets) and net operating expenses per common share (excluding the costs of leverage) of the combined fund would be lower than that of the Acquiring Fund and the Acquired Funds prior to the Reorganizations. It is anticipated that the Funds will benefit from the larger asset size as fixed costs are shared over a larger asset base. In addition, as each Fund utilizes leverage, the Boards noted the Adviser s position that the greater asset size of the Acquiring Fund may provide greater flexibility in managing the structure and costs of leverage over time. Further, although the anticipated total annual operating expenses per common share of the combined fund are expected to be higher for the Acquiring Fund and Premium Income as a result of additional leverage in the combined fund, such leverage may produce higher returns for common shares over time.

Potential for Improved Secondary Market Trading with Respect to the Common Shares. While it is not possible to predict trading levels at the time the Reorganizations close, the Boards noted that the Reorganizations are being proposed, in part, to seek to enhance the secondary trading market for the common shares of the Funds. The Boards considered that the potential for higher common share net earnings and enhanced total returns over time may increase investor interest in the combined fund and contribute to higher common share market prices relative to net asset value, and the Acquiring Fund s greater share volume may result in increased market liquidity after the Reorganizations, which may lead to narrower bid-ask spreads and smaller trade-to-trade price movements.

Anticipated Tax-Free Reorganizations. The Reorganizations will be structured with the intention that they qualify as tax-free reorganizations for federal income tax purposes, and the Funds will obtain an opinion of counsel substantially to this effect (based on certain factual representations and certain customary assumptions).

Expected Costs of the Reorganizations. The Boards considered the terms and conditions of the Agreement, including the estimated costs associated with the Reorganizations and the allocation of such costs between the Acquiring Fund and each Acquired Fund. The Boards noted, however, that, assuming the Reorganizations are consummated, the Adviser anticipated that the projected costs of each Reorganization may be recovered over time and that preferred shareholders are not expected to bear any costs of the Reorganizations.

Terms of the Reorganizations and Impact on Shareholders. The terms of the Reorganizations are intended to avoid dilution of the interests with respect to net asset value of the existing shareholders of the Funds. In this regard, the Boards considered that each holder of common shares of an Acquired Fund would own common shares of the Acquiring Fund (taking into account any fractional shares to which the shareholder would be entitled) equal to the aggregate per share net asset value of that shareholder s Acquired Fund common shares as of the Valuation Date. No fractional common shares of the Acquiring Fund, however, will be issued to shareholders in connection with the Reorganizations and, in lieu of such fractional shares, an Acquired Fund s common shareholders will receive cash.

With respect to preferred shareholders of the Acquired Funds, preferred shareholders of each Acquired Fund will receive the same number of preferred shares, respectively having substantially identical terms as the outstanding preferred shares of the Acquired Fund held by such preferred shareholders immediately prior to the Reorganizations. The aggregate liquidation preference of the Acquiring Fund preferred shares received in each Reorganization will equal the aggregate liquidation preference of the corresponding Acquired Fund preferred shares held immediately prior to the Reorganization.

Effect on Shareholder Rights. The Boards considered that the Acquiring Fund and Premium Income are each organized as a Minnesota corporation and Dividend Advantage is organized as Massachusetts business trust. In this regard, the Boards noted that, unlike a Massachusetts business trust, many aspects of the corporate governance of a Minnesota corporation are prescribed by state statutory law. Notwithstanding the foregoing, subsequent to the Meeting, the Board of the Acquiring Fund approved the proposal to effect the Domicile Change for such Fund. If the Domicile Change is approved by shareholders of the Acquiring Fund and closes, then shareholders of the combined fund will be shareholders of a Massachusetts business trust.

Potential Benefits to Nuveen Fund Advisors and Affiliates. The Boards recognized that the Reorganizations may result in some benefits and economies for the Adviser and its affiliates. These may include, for example, a reduction in the level of operational expenses incurred for administrative, compliance and portfolio management services as a result of the elimination of the Acquired Funds as separate Funds in the Nuveen complex.

Conclusion. The Boards, including the Independent Board Members, approved the Reorganizations, concluding that each Reorganization is in the best interests of the Acquiring Fund and respective Acquired Fund and that the interests of existing shareholders of the Funds will not be diluted with respect to net asset value as a result of the Reorganizations.

Capitalization

The following table sets forth the unaudited capitalization of the Funds as of February 29, 2012, and the pro-forma combined capitalization of the combined fund as if the Reorganizations had occurred on that date. The table reflects a pro forma exchange ratio of approximately 0.9404 common shares of the Acquiring Fund issued for each common share of Dividend Advantage, and 0.9497 common shares of the Acquiring Fund issued for each common share of Premium Income. If the Reorganizations are consummated, the actual exchange ratio may vary.

	Acquiring Fund	Dividend Advantage	Premium Income	Pro Forma Adjustments	Combined Fund Pro Forma ⁽¹⁾
MuniFund Term Preferred (MTP) Shares, \$10 stated value per					
share, at liquidation value: 1,631,300 shares outstanding for					
Dividend Advantage and Combined Fund Pro Forma	\$	\$ 16,313,000	\$	\$	\$ 16,313,000
Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred (VMTP) Shares, \$100,000 stated value per share, at liquidation value; 879 shares outstanding for Acquiring Fund; 539 shares outstanding for Premium Income; and 1,418 shares outstanding for Combined Fund Pro Forma	\$ 87,900,000	\$	\$ 53,900,000	\$	