EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND II Form N-CSR November 28, 2012

# UNITED STATES

# **SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

### Form N-CSR

#### CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED

#### MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act File Number: 811-21217

# **Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

September 30

**Date of Fiscal Year End** 

September 30, 2012

**Date of Reporting Period** 

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

# Municipal Bond Funds

# Annual Report

September 30, 2012

Municipal II (EIV) California II (EIA) Massachusetts (MAB) Michigan (MIW)

New Jersey (EMJ) New York II (NYH) Ohio (EIO) Pennsylvania (EIP)

Edgar Filing	FATON	VANCE	<b>CALIFORNIA</b>	MUNICIPAL	<b>BOND FI</b>	IND II - E	orm NLCSR
Edual Fillio.	EAION	VANCE	CALIFORINIA	MUNICIPAL	DOIND FU	- וו טוווכ	01111 IN-CON

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

#### Annual Report September 30, 2012

# Eaton Vance

# Municipal Bond Funds

#### **Table of Contents**

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
Municipal Bond Fund II	4
California Municipal Bond Fund II	5
Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund	6
Michigan Municipal Bond Fund	7
New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund New York Municipal Bond Fund II	8
Ohio Municipal Bond Fund  Ohio Municipal Bond Fund	10
Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund	11
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	12
Financial Statements	13
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	64
Federal Tax Information	65
Notice to Shareholders	66
Annual Meeting of Shareholders	67
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	68
Board of Trustees Contract Approval	70
Management and Organization	73
Important Notices	76

### **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

#### **Economic and Market Conditions**

In the early months of the period, investors appeared to worry about intensifying debt problems in Europe, high U.S. unemployment, a stagnant housing market, and the lingering Congressional deadlock that left businesses perplexed about the direction of tax and regulatory policy. The S&P 500 Index,<sup>2</sup> which had fallen sharply in the late summer of 2011, continued to drift down through November of 2011.

Beginning in mid-December of 2011, however, an equity rally took hold and continued into early April 2012, fueled by stronger economic growth in the fourth quarter, falling unemployment, and what the markets perceived as a successful restructuring of Greek debt. Then in May 2012, the third annual mid-year economic slowdown arrived, instigated by renewed concerns in Europe, slowing growth in China, and continuing political uncertainty in the United States with the upcoming presidential election. Despite a slowdown in consumer spending and weakening employment data, however, stocks moved upward intermittently from June through the end of the period in part because investors anticipated that worsening economic news would prompt the U.S. Federal Reserve (the Fed) to initiate another round of quantitative easing to stimulate the economy. This also drove U.S. Treasury yields to all time lows in July 2012. The Fed proved the markets right when it initiated a new, open-ended round of quantitative easing just weeks before period-end.

Against this backdrop, municipal bonds rallied during the one-year period ending September 30, 2012, led by the long end of the yield curve. The Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the United States returned 8.32% for the period, while the Funds benchmark, the Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index (the Index), returned 12.21%. As yields on high quality bonds fell, investors moved out on the yield curve, buying longer maturity municipal bonds to potentially take advantage of higher yields. In their quest for income during a period of historically low interest rates, investors also favored lower quality, higher yielding issues over higher quality bonds. As a result, longer duration, lower credit quality bonds were the best performers in the municipals space during the period.

Municipal bonds offered higher taxable-equivalent yields than Treasuries during the period. The ratio of 30-year AAA<sup>6</sup> municipal yields to 30-year Treasury yields which

historically has averaged less than 100% because municipal yields are federally tax-exempt began the period at 122.4%, making municipal bonds very attractive relative to Treasuries. Investor recognition of this anomaly was likely the main factor that drove the ratio down to 101.6% by period-end, and the increased interest in municipal bonds caused them to outperform Treasuries for the one-year period ending September 30, 2012.

#### Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ending September 30, 2012, all of the Funds shares at net asset value (NAV) outperformed the 12.21% return of the Index.

The Funds overall strategy is to invest primarily in higher quality bonds (rated A or higher) with maturities of ten years or more, in order to capture their generally higher yields and greater income payments at the long end of the yield curve. Management tends to hedge to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility at the long end of the yield curve by using Treasury futures and interest-rate swaps in seeking to provide downside protection.

The Funds use of leveragewas the most significant contributor to performance versus the Index for the period. In managing these closed-end mutual funds, management employs leverage in seeking to enhance the Funds tax-exempt income. The use of leverage has the effect of achieving additional exposure to the municipal market. Leverage magnifies a fund s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down

markets. During this period of strong performance by municipal bonds, leverage was a key positive contributor to the Funds relative performance versus the Index.

An overweighting in zero-coupon bonds, which were the best performing coupon in the Index during the period, also helped performance for all Funds

As a risk management tactic within the overall Fund strategy mentioned above, interest rate hedging is intended to moderate performance on both the upside and the downside of the market. During this period, however, municipal bonds strongly outperformed Treasuries. As a result, the hedging strategy did not have a notable effect on relative performance versus the Index for most Funds the exceptions being the New Jersey and Pennsylvania Funds, where hedging was a slight detractor from performance versus the Index.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate-ate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

### **Municipal Bond Funds**

September 30, 2012

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance continued

#### Fund-specific Results

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II s shares at NAV had a total return of 18.56%, outpacing the 12.21% return of the Index. The main drivers of outperformance versus the Index were leverage and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds. The chief detractors from performance versus the Index were underweights in three areas that did well during the period: bonds with maturities of 20 years or longer, issues rated A and below, and California municipal issues.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II s shares at NAV returned 21.62%, surpassing the 12.21% return of the Index. In addition to leverage and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds, contributors to performance versus the Index included an overweighting and security selection in local general obligation bonds. Underweighted positions in bonds with maturities of

20 years or longer and in issues rated A and below detracted from relative performance versus the Index. Underweighting hospital and industrial development revenue (IDR) bonds the two strongest-performing municipal sectors during the period hurt results versus the Index as well.

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund s shares at NAV returned 18.26%, outperforming the 12.21% return of the Index. Key contributors to performance versus the Index included leverage, an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds, and an overweighting and security selection in the education sector, which performed well during the period. Notable detractors from performance versus the Index included underweights in three areas: bonds with maturities of

20 years or more, bonds rated A and below, and hospital and IDR issues.

Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund s shares at NAV returned 13.69%, outperforming the 12.21% return of the Index. Leverage and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds were the major contributors to relative outperformance versus the Index. The key detractors from performance versus the Index were underweighted positions in bonds with maturities of 20 years or more, bonds rated A and below, and hospital and IDR issues.

Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund s shares at NAV returned 17.69%, outpacing the 12.21% return of the Index. The Fund s relative outperformance was driven primarily by leverage and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds. Significant detractors from performance versus the Index were underweighted positions in three areas: bonds with maturities of

20 years or more, bonds rated A and below, and

hospital and IDR issues. The Fund s hedging strategy was also a slight detractor from performance versus the Index.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II s shares at NAV returned 15.47%, outperforming the 12.21% return of the Index. Key contributors to results versus the Index included leverage, an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds, and an overweighting in IDR bonds. Relative detractors from performance versus the Index included underweighted positions in bonds with maturities of 20 years or more, in bonds rated A and below, and in hospital issues.

Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund s shares at NAV returned 19.50%, outperforming the 12.21% return of the Index. Leverage and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds were the key drivers of results versus the Index. Relative underweights in three areas dragged on performance versus the Index: bonds with maturities of 20 years or more, bonds rated A and below, and hospital and IDR issues.

Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund s shares at NAV returned 16.76%, outperforming the 12.21% return of the Index. The Fund s relative outperformance versus the Index was driven by leverage and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds. Detractors from performance versus the Index included an underweighting in bonds with maturities of 20 years or more and in bonds rated BBB and below. The Fund s hedge was also a slight detractor from performance versus the Index.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate-ate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

# Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

٠.		_	_
<b>7</b> 1	ш	U	е

% Average Annual Total Returns	<b>Inception Date</b>	One Year	Five Years	Inception
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	18.56%	4.59%	6.29%
Fund at Market Price		11.59	6.67	6.70
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	11/29/2002	12.21%	6.20%	6.07%

#### % Premium/Discount to NAV

3.81%

Distributions <sup>4</sup>	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.874
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.67%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	8.72%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.46%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	8.40%

% Total Leverage	5

Auction Preferred Shares (APS)	20.19%
Residual Interest Bond (RIB)	19.38

Fund Profile

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	9.4%	BBB	8.0%
AA	68.1	D	0.8
A	13.7		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate-ate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

4

# California Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Fund Profile

#### Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Inception
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	21.62%	4.79%	5.88%
Fund at Market Price		18.36	6.26	6.06
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	11/29/2002	12.21%	6.20%	6.07%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				1.72%
Distributions <sup>4</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.793
Distribution Rate at NAV				5.75%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				9.86%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				5.66%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				9.71%
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>				
APS				29.32%
RIB				11.28

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	16.2%	BBB	5.3%
AA	59.9	BB	1.7
A	16.9		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Since

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate-ate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

# Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

				Since
% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Inception
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	18.26%	7.02%	7.08%
Fund at Market Price		21.87	8.19	7.47
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	11/29/2002	12.21%	6.20%	6.07%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				2.716
				3.71%
Distributions <sup>4</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.840
Distribution Rate at NAV				5.28%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				8.58%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				5.09%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				8.27%
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>				
APS				30.14%
RIB				7.39
Fund Profile				

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	17.6%	BBB	4.8%
AA	44.6	Not Rated	6.5
A	26.5		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at

NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate-ate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

## Michigan Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Since Inception
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	13.69%	6.19%	6.58%
Fund at Market Price		24.85	9.19	7.26
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	11/29/2002	12.21%	6.20%	6.07%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				6.45%
Distributions <sup>4</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.889
Distribution Rate at NAV				5.91%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				9.51%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				5.56%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				8.94%
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup> APS				36.93%
Fund Profile				20.7370

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate-ate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

### New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Adam A. Weigold, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Since Inception
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	17.69%	5.55%	6.79%
Fund at Market Price		19.58	7.46	7.12
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	11/29/2002	12.21%	6.20%	6.07%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				3.07%

Distributions <sup>4</sup>	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.812
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.33%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	9.01%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.17%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	8.74%

% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>	
APS	31.34%
RIB	7.66

Fund Profile

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA 5.4% A 29.1% AA 59.9 BBB 5.6

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate-ate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility,

current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

## New York Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Since Inception
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	15.47%	4.89%	6.41%
Fund at Market Price		14.89	6.13	6.46
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	11/29/2002	12.21%	6.20%	6.07%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				0.52%
Distributions <sup>4</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.784
Distribution Rate at NAV				4.94%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				8.34%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				4.92%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				8.30%
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>				
APS				22.93%
RIB				15.36
Fund Profile				

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	19.4%	BBB	11.8%
AA	45.4	Not Rated	2.4
A	21.0		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at

NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate-ate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

# Ohio Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

				Since
% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Inception
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	19.50%	4.35%	5.43%
Fund at Market Price		25.85	8.27	6.47
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	11/29/2002	12.21%	6.20%	6.07%
Of December (Discount to NAX)				
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				10.14%

Distributions <sup>4</sup>	
Total Distributions per share for the period \$	0.772
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.59%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	9.14%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.08%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	8.31%

% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>	
APS	31.74%
RIB	2.93

Fund Profile

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	4.9%	BBB	8.0%
AA	59.7	Not Rated	1.0
A	26.4		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate-ate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

## Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Adam A. Weigold, CFA

				Since
% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Inception
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	16.76%	6.21%	6.76%
Fund at Market Price		28.88	9.74	7.71
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index	11/29/2002	12.21%	6.20%	6.07%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				9.13%
Distributions <sup>4</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.873
Distribution Rate at NAV				6.04%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				9.59%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				5.53%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				8.78%
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>				
APS				32.64%
RIB				3.07
Fund Profile				

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AA 50.6% BBB 5.9% A 38.3 Not Rated 5.2

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at

NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate-ate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

### Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2012

**Endnotes and Additional Disclosures** 

- The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- <sup>2</sup> S&P 500 Index is an unmanaged index of large-cap stocks commonly used as a measure of U.S. stock market performance. Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- <sup>3</sup> Performance results reflect the effects of leverage.
- <sup>4</sup> The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be composed of ordinary income, tax-exempt income, net realized capital gains and return of capital. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, where applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes. Subsequent distributions declared, but not reflected in Fund Performance, reflect a reduction of the monthly distribution for Michigan Municipal Bond Fund.
- Fund employs RIB financing and/or APS leverage. The leverage created by RIB investments and APS provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS and Floating Rate Notes. APS leverage represents the liquidation value of the Fund s APS outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS and Floating Rate Notes. The Fund is required to maintain prescribed asset coverage for its APS, which could be reduced if Fund asset values decline. Floating Rate Notes in both calculations reflect the effect of RIBs purchased in secondary market transactions, if applicable.
- <sup>6</sup> Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency s investment analysis at the time of rating and the rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition. The rating assigned to a security by a rating agency does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is applied. Ratings of BBB or higher by Standard and Poor s or Fitch (Baa or higher by Moody s) are considered to be investment grade quality.

Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

# Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Security

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 163.4%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Education 12.6%  Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Wesleyan University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup> Houston, TX, Higher Education Finance Corp., (William Marsh Rice University), 5.00%, 5/15/35 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.50%, 11/15/36 New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Georgian Court University), 5.25%, 7/1/37 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 <sup>(1)</sup> New York Dormitory Authority, (State University Educational Facilities), 4.00%, 5/15/28 North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, (Duke University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Tennessee School Bond Authority, 5.50%, 5/1/38 University of Virginia, 5.00%, 6/1/40 Vermont Educational and Health Buildings Financing Agency, (Middlebury College), 5.00%, 11/1/40	\$	2,200 1,745 2,710 1,500 1,500 1,175 500 1,000 1,500 750	\$ 2,488,618 2,040,359 3,301,430 1,596,120 1,705,305 1,303,216 585,685 1,175,470 1,740,675 859,065
			\$ 16,795,943
Electric Utilities 1.9% South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), 5.50%, 1/1/38 Wyandotte County/Kansas City, KS, Unified Government Board of Public Utilities, 5.00%, 9/1/36	\$	1,420 685	\$ 1,690,510 777,489 \$ <b>2,467,999</b>
General Obligations 16.9% Chicago Park District, IL, (Harbor Facilities), 5.25%, 1/1/37 <sup>(1)</sup> Delaware Valley, PA, Regional Finance Authority, 5.75%, 7/1/32 Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 8/15/37 Hawaii, 5.00%, 12/1/29 Hawaii, 5.00%, 12/1/30 Mississippi, 5.00%, 10/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup> New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 <sup>(1)</sup> New York, NY, 5.00%, 8/1/31 Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/36 Pasadena, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 2/15/35 Virginia Beach, VA, 4.00%, 4/1/27 Virginia Beach, VA, 4.00%, 4/1/28	\$	1,680 2,500 1,280 2,500 1,000 1,725 2,750 3,500 1,000 645 220 230	\$ 1,906,581 3,052,775 1,493,990 3,042,700 1,211,560 2,017,077 3,200,175 4,193,210 1,181,090 765,725 249,425 259,346
			\$ 22,573,654

Value

	(000	Amount s omitted)	
Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/35 Camden County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.25%, 2/15/27 Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific Health), 5.60%, 7/1/33 Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/36 Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/38 Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/39 Lehigh County, PA, General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health Network), 5.25%, 7/1/32 Orange County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Orlando Health, Inc.), 5.00%, 10/1/42	\$	900 545 500 1,285 1,850 5,000 960 500	\$ 930,852 569,934 506,185 1,375,580 530,987 1,360,850 982,598 541,485
			\$ 6,798,471
Industrial Development Revenue 0.8% St. John Baptist Parish, LA, (Marathon Oil Corp.), 5.125%, 6/1/37	\$	1,010	\$ 1,075,236
			\$ 1,075,236
Insured Education 6.9%  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Boston University), (XLCA), 6.00%, 5/15/59  Miami-Dade County, FL, Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Miami), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/31  University of South Alabama, (BHAC), 5.00%, 8/1/38	\$	2,500 1,555 3,900	\$ 3,160,825 1,748,224 4,276,623
			\$ 9,185,672
Insured Electric Utilities 7.3%  American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., OH, (Prairie State Energy Campus), (AGC), 5.75%, 2/15/39  Chelan County, WA, Public Utility District No. 1, (Columbia River), (NPFG), 0.00%, 6/1/23  Mississippi Development Bank, (Municipal Energy), (XLCA), 5.00%, 3/1/41  South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38	\$	1,000 6,335 2,205 1,595	\$ 1,150,750 4,512,167 2,247,975 1,912,772
			\$ 9,823,664

13

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured General Obligations 14.1% Cincinnati, OH, City School District, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.25%, 12/1/30 Coast Community College District, CA, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/33 Goodyear, AZ, (NPFG), 3.00%, 7/1/26 Palm Springs, CA, Unified School District, (Election of 2008), (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/33 Philadelphia, PA, (AGC), 7.00%, 7/15/28 Washington, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/25 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	750 17,000 1,350 2,750 1,250 5,500	1,016,108 5,588,070 1,384,965 3,125,127 1,462,650 6,343,975 8,920,895
Insured Hospital 22.1%  Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health), (BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32  California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38(1)  Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), 6.125%, 11/15/39  Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), 6.25%, 11/15/39  Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), 6.25%, 11/15/39  Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), 6.25%, 11/15/34  Colorado Health Facilities Authority, (Catholic Health), (AGM), 5.10%, 10/1/41(1)  Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (NPFG), 5.00%, 11/15/36(1)  Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (NPFG), 5.00%, 11/15/35  Illinois Finance Authority, (Children s Memorial Hospital), (AGC), 5.25%, 8/15/47)  Indiana Health and Educational Facility Finance Authority, (Sisters of St. Francis Health Services), (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/41  Indiana Health and Educational Facility Finance Authority, (Sisters of St. Francis Health Services), (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/41  Indiana Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/32  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38(1)  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38  Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (MultiCare Health System), (AGC), 6.00%, 8/15/39	\$ (000	1,750 1,500 1,695 450 2,200 3,000 1,490 2,500 1,750 750 2,090 1,000 500 2,245 1,545 Principal Amount s omitted)	1,931,440 1,684,275 1,802,955 478,746 2,359,236 3,388,560 1,604,208 2,690,274 1,885,362 808,013 2,382,495 1,084,080 537,565 2,509,439 1,814,263
Insured Hospital (continued) Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33	\$	2,300	2,544,881 29,505,792
Insured Industrial Development Revenue 1.1% Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.), (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/39	\$	1,340	1,505,999 <b>1,505,999</b>

Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 5.7%  Essex County, NJ, Improvement Authority, (NPFG), 5.50%, 10/1/30  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34  San Diego County, CA, Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Tri-Creek Middle School Building Corp., IN, (AGM), 5.25%, 1/15/34 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 1,000 875 3,250 1,500	\$	1,356,800 1,007,501 3,576,073 1,656,870
		\$	7,597,244
Insured Other Revenue 1.5%			
Harris County-Houston, TX, Sports Authority, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/34 New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 7.00%, 3/1/49	\$ 2,540 1,000	\$	734,898 1,229,320
		\$	1,964,218
		•	-,,
Insured Solid Waste 1.0% Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24	\$ 740	\$	878,484
Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/26	425		499,545
		\$	1,378,029
Insured Special Tax Revenue 3.8%			
Miami-Dade County, FL, Professional Sports Franchise Facilities, (AGC), 7.00%, (0.00% until 10/1/19), 10/1/39 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54	\$ 3,000 14,620	\$	2,539,200 1,248,402
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	8,395		1,299,546
		\$	5,087,148

14

 $See\ Notes\ to\ Financial\ Statements.$ 

# Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Student Loan 1.3% Maine Educational Loan Authority, (AGC), 5.625%, 12/1/27	\$	1,565	\$ 1,787,856
			\$ 1,787,856
Insured Transportation 23.7%  Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 7/1/39  E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/22  Manchester, NH, (Manchester-Boston Regional Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30  Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/41(1)  Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Airports Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24  Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Airports Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/29  Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail -1st Tier), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/20(2)  New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/38  North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.50%, 1/1/29  North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.75%, 1/1/39  North Texas Tollway Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 1/1/48  Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, (AGM), 5.25%, 7/15/30  Texas Turnpike Authority, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/15/42	\$	1,585 7,800 1,305 10,000 1,000 535 13,885 1,040 255 290 1,750 2,540 1,785	\$ 1,786,786 5,061,576 1,453,039 11,269,700 1,164,480 608,514 1,378,642 1,186,661 294,816 335,092 2,036,510 3,296,818 1,797,317
			\$ 31,669,951
Insured Water and Sewer 8.7%  Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), 5.25%, 10/1/26  Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), 5.25%, 10/1/27  Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 10/1/38  Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38  District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/1/34(1)  Houston, TX, Utility System, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33  Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/35  New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 6/15/40  Pearland, TX, Waterworks and Sewer Systems, (NPFG), 3.50%, 9/1/31	\$	670 420 660 1,635 1,250 435 1,000 2,205 1,795	\$ 773,327 482,752 737,979 1,826,066 1,457,838 503,404 1,367,030 2,673,982 1,836,195
		Duin -!!	\$ 11,658,573
Security	(00	Principal Amount 0 s omitted	) Value

Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 6.4%  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hospital Asset Transformation Program), 5.75%, 10/1/31  North Carolina, Capital Improvement Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/30	\$ 2,235 5,000	\$ 2,630,930 5,988,750 \$ <b>8,619,680</b>
Other Revenue 1.2% Oregon Department of Administrative Services, Lottery Revenue, 5.25%, 4/1/30	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,601,028 \$ 1,601,028
Senior Living / Life Care 0.2%  Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Charlestown Community, Inc.), 6.125%, 1/1/30	\$ 235	\$ 273,855 <b>\$ 273,855</b>
Special Tax Revenue 7.3%  Homewood, AL, City Board of Education, 5.00%, 4/1/32 Illinois, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/31 Illinois, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/32 Illinois, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/33 Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.25%, 7/1/33 Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/30 Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/33 Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/36 New York, NY, Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.50%, 11/1/35(1)(3)	\$ 1,880 425 405 435 750 110 125 105 80 3,800	\$ 2,174,070 492,031 466,520 498,562 1,010,002 130,593 147,846 122,987 93,075 4,669,440 \$ 9,805,126
Transportation 11.0% Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35 Los Angeles, CA, Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.25%, 5/15/28 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/38 Miami-Dade County, FL, (Miami International Airport), 5.00%, 10/1/41 New York Liberty Development Corp., (4 World Trade Center), 5.00%, 11/15/31 New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/37 New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/42	\$ 1,715 465 865 2,115 1,070 510 555	\$ 1,942,529 557,428 990,036 2,334,114 1,220,956 580,186 627,588

15

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Transportation (continued) Orlando-Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority, Series A, 5.00%, 7/1/35 Orlando-Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority, Series A, 5.00%, 7/1/40 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 4.00%, 7/15/32 <sup>(1)</sup> South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank, 5.25%, 10/1/40 Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, NY, 5.00%, 11/15/37	\$ 420 375 1,400 1,000 2,500	\$	471,404 416,542 1,507,506 1,131,470 2,910,175
		\$	14,689,934
Water and Sewer 2.8% Chicago, IL, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/42 Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.00%, 7/1/32 Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.25%, 7/1/39 Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/34 Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/40 New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.00%, 6/15/37	\$ 1,000 215 355 205 910 720	\$ <b>\$</b>	1,146,270 230,332 380,599 230,656 1,013,685 789,480 3,791,022
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 163.4% (identified cost \$204,144,279)		\$ 2	18,576,989
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (33.4)%		\$ (	44,702,175)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (30.0)%		\$ (	(40,102,550)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$ 1	33,772,264

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC	AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.
BHAC	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
FGIC	Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG	National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.
PSF	Permanent School Fund

**XLCA** XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

At September 30, 2012, the concentration of the Fund s investments in the various states, determined as a percentage of total investments, is as follows:

New York 12.6% Others, representing less than 10% individually 87.4%

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2012, 59.5% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 0.5% to 21.6% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (2) Defaulted security. Issuer has defaulted on the payment of interest or has filed for bankruptcy.
- (3) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$1,819,440

16

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# California Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 166.8%

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Education 16.9% California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont McKenna College), 5.00%, 1/1/39 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/31 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/22 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/23 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), 5.00%, 2/1/29 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San Francisco), 6.125%, 10/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/39 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of the Pacific), 5.00%, 1/1/1/30 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/31 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.05%, 10/1/26 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/28 University of California, 5.25%, 5/15/39	\$ 1,370 395 160 70 65 380 890 115 1,200 330 210 145 405 425 450 1,000	\$ 1,517,385 465,977 184,176 83,397 76,844 425,547 1,039,342 141,722 1,413,060 376,111 239,646 160,912 480,330 501,279 528,822 1,146,310
		\$ 8,780,860
Electric Utilities 8.7%  Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Electric System Revenue, 5.25%, 7/1/32  Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/29  Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/30  Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/31  Southern California Public Power Authority, (Tieton Hydropower), 5.00%, 7/1/30  Vernon, Electric System Revenue, 5.125%, 8/1/21	\$ 745 1,050 420 125 1,000 675	\$ 878,497 1,093,690 497,070 147,466 1,173,350 753,806
		\$ 4,543,879
Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
General Obligations 14.4% California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/32 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/33	\$ 1,300 100 110	\$ 1,542,697 112,041 121,771

Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/34 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/35 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/36 Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.50%, 8/1/39 Menlo Park City School District, 5.00%, 7/1/30 Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40 San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2002), 5.00%, 8/1/32 San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/31 San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/33 San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/35	120 120 145 520 260 1,850 720 455 350	131,848 133,392 160,225 584,678 318,617 2,136,805 847,289 537,396 414,666 480,368 \$ 7,521,793
Hospital 14.7% California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.625%, 7/1/32 \$ California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Memorial Health Services), 5.00%, 10/1/27 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Stanford Hospital and Clinics), 5.00%, 8/15/51 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Cottage Health System), 5.25%, 11/1/30 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/36 Washington Township Health Care District, 5.00%, 7/1/32 Washington Township Health Care District, 5.25%, 7/1/29	1,330 1,425 750 800 1,000 355 555 750	\$ 1,455,898 1,558,751 883,470 894,872 1,147,790 376,868 578,676 751,710 \$ 7,648,035
Insured Education 9.8%  California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/32  \$ California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35	420 1,000	\$ 463,819 1,104,330

17

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# California Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Education (continued) California State University, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup> California State University, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 11/1/33	\$	2,000 1,335		2,185,600 1,340,887
Insured Electric Utilities 8.4% Glendale, Electric System Revenue, (NPFG), 5.00%, 2/1/32 Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Electric System Revenue, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/26(1) Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/27	\$	1,475 1,500 1,000	\$	1,495,473 1,751,850 1,145,020 4,392,343
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 12.0% California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (Bay Area Toll Bridges), (AMBAC), Prerefunded to 1/1/28, 5.00%, 7/1/33 California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (Bay Area Toll Bridges), (AMBAC), Prerefunded to 1/1/28, 5.00%, 7/1/36 Clovis Unified School District, (FGIC), (NPFG), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 8/1/20 Orange County Water District, Certificates of Participation, (NPFG), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.00%, 8/15/34	\$	1,150 1,025 3,130 395		1,577,087 1,405,665 2,749,235 528,293 <b>6,260,280</b>
Insured General Obligations 23.8%  Antelope Valley Community College District, (Election of 2004), (NPFG), 5.25%, 8/1/39  Arcadia Unified School District, (Election of 2006), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/38  Arcadia Unified School District, (Election of 2006), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/40  Carlsbad Unified School District, (Election of 2006), (NPFG), 5.25%, 8/1/32  Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/35  El Camino Hospital District, (NPFG), 4.45%, 8/1/36  Palm Springs Unified School District, (Election of 2008), (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/33  Riverside Community College District, (Election of 2004), (AGM), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/32  Union Elementary School District, (Election of 1999), (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/22	\$	725 7,125 2,525 1,500 6,675 575 1,250 1,040 3,200		832,329 1,832,693 579,967 1,680,045 1,957,243 598,144 1,420,513 1,191,850 2,295,552
			\$ 1	2,388,336
Security	(00	Principal Amount 0 s omitted	)	Value

Insured Hospital 6.4% California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 3/1/41 <sup>(1)</sup> California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	1,250 1,750	\$ 1,357,038 1,964,987
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 7.1% Puerto Rico Public Finance Corp., (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.50%, 8/1/27 San Diego County Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	1,250 1,750	\$ 3,322,025 \$ 1,745,700 1,925,577
Insured Special Tax Revenue 10.7%  Cathedral City Public Financing Authority, (Housing Redevelopment), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/33  Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/37  Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (AGM), 4.50%, 7/1/27  Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54  Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	1,400 1,535 430 5,655 3,215	\$ 1,417,220 1,306,132 461,450 482,880 497,682
Insured Transportation 3.8% San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/27 San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/27	\$	3,520	1,401,045 \$ 5,566,409 \$ 1,635,216
Insured Water and Sewer 8.6%  College to Sever Bablic Financing Authority (Municipal Water District) (PHAC) (FCIC) 4.75% 71/27	¢	330	\$1,984,990 \$1,220,524
Calleguas Las Virgines Public Financing Authority, (Municipal Water District), (BHAC), (FGIC), 4.75%, 7/1/37 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/32 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 6/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup> Riverside, Water System Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/38 Santa Clara Valley Water District, (AGM), 3.75%, 6/1/28	\$	1,235 100 1,600 445 665	\$ 1,320,524 115,030 1,840,480 491,667 691,739 \$ 4,459,440

18

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# California Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Special Tax Revenue 6.3%  Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/31  San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/28	\$ 1,490 1,300	\$	1,713,127 1,542,476
		\$	3,255,603
Transportation 12.9% Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), 5.25%, 4/1/29 Long Beach, Harbor Revenue, 5.00%, 5/15/27 Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.00%, 5/15/35 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Los Angeles Harbor Department, 5.00%, 8/1/25 San Francisco City and County Airport Commission, (San Francisco International Airport), 5.00%, 5/1/35 San Jose, Airport Revenue, 5.00%, 3/1/20	\$ 1,190 540 1,060 1,250 635 1,000	\$	1,439,852 641,531 1,204,754 1,522,838 707,631 1,193,470
		\$	6,710,076
Water and Sewer 2.3% Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, 5.00%, 1/1/39	\$ 1,050	\$	1,215,658
		\$	1,215,658
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 166.8% (identified cost \$78,832,496)		\$	86,815,640
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (49.4)%		\$ (	25,700,770)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (17.4)%		\$	(9,052,282)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$	52,062,588

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.

FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2012, 54.3% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.5% to 19.3% of total investments.

(1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

(2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$409,754.

19

See Notes to Financial Statements.

# Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 157.5%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 6.0%  Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/33  Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/34	\$	640	\$ 802,790 881,433 <b>\$ 1,684,223</b>
Education 26.3%  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Middlesex School), 5.00%, 9/1/33  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Milton Academy), 5.00%, 9/1/35  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), 5.50%, 7/1/36  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Northeastern University), 5.00%, 10/1/35  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Tufts University), 5.375%, 8/15/38	\$	750 2,000 1,000 870 1,025	\$ 761,603 857,077 2,342,520 1,205,450 979,533 1,248,071 \$ 7,394,254
Escrowed / Prerefunded 4.0%  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Massachusetts College of Pharmacy), Prerefunded to 7/1/13, 5.75%, 7/1/33  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Western New England College), Prerefunded to 12/1/12, 6.125%, 12/1/32	\$	600	\$ 525,870 612,156 \$ 1,138,026
General Obligations 12.0% Boston, 4.00%, 4/1/24 Cambridge, 4.00%, 2/15/21 Danvers, 5.25%, 7/1/36 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/26 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/31 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/32 Wayland, 5.00%, 2/1/33 Wayland, 5.00%, 2/1/36 Winchester, 5.00%, 4/15/36	\$	200 395 565 250 225 205 340 510 160	\$ 232,222 472,938 672,073 302,455 267,381 242,919 405,749 597,695 188,118

\$ 3,381,550

Hospital 14.6%  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute), 5.00%, 12/1/37  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Lahey Clinic Medical Center), 5.25%, 8/15/28	\$	775 400 Principal Amount	\$ 849,609 431,232
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Hospital (continued) Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Partners Healthcare System), 5.00%, 7/1/34 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Partners Healthcare System), 5.00%, 7/1/39 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (South Shore Hospital), 5.75%, 7/1/29 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Southcoast Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/29	\$	500 750 370 1,000	\$ 554,765 820,215 370,540 1,085,460 \$ 4,111,821
Insured Education 17.9%  Massachusetts College Building Authority, (XLCA), 5.50%, 5/1/39  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Boston College), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/38  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Boston University), (XLCA), 6.00%, 5/15/59  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	\$	700 750 1,105 750	\$ 973,210 858,585 1,397,085 1,010,512