

Empire State Realty Trust, Inc.
Form S-4/A
December 17, 2012
Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 17, 2012

Registration No. 333-179486

Registration No. 333-179486-01

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Pre-Effective Amendment No. 5 to
Form S-4
REGISTRATION STATEMENT

UNDER

THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

EMPIRE STATE REALTY TRUST, INC.
EMPIRE STATE REALTY OP, L.P.

(Exact name of registrants as specified in charter)

Edgar Filing: Empire State Realty Trust, Inc. - Form S-4/A

Maryland	6798	37-1645259
Delaware	6500	45-4685158
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code Number)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)
	One Grand Central Place	
	60 East 42nd Street	
	New York, New York 10165	
	(212) 953-0888	

(Address, Including Zip Code, and Telephone Number, Including Area Code, of Registrants Principal Executive Offices)

Anthony E. Malkin

Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President

(Name, Address, Including Zip Code, and Telephone Number, Including Area Code, of Agent for Service)

With copies to:

Arnold S. Jacobs, Esq.	Larry P. Medvinsky, Esq.
Steven A. Fishman, Esq.	Jason D. Myers, Esq.
Proskauer Rose LLP	Clifford Chance US LLP
Eleven Times Square	31 West 52nd Street
New York, New York 10036	New York, New York 10019
(212) 969-3000	(212) 878-8000

Approximate date of commencement of the proposed sale to the public: As soon as practicable after this Registration Statement becomes effective.

If the securities being registered on this Form are being offered in connection with the formation of a holding company and there is compliance with General Instruction G, check the following box. "

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. "

Edgar Filing: Empire State Realty Trust, Inc. - Form S-4/A

If this Form is post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. "

The Registrants hereby amend this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrants shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

Table of Contents

Empire State Building	250 West 57th St.
Associates L.L.C.	60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C.
	Associates L.L.C.
	One Grand Central Place
	60 East 42nd Street
	New York, New York 10165

NOTICE OF CONSENT SOLICITATION TO PARTICIPANTS

, 2012

Malkin Holdings LLC, the supervisor of each limited liability company listed above, requests that you consent to the following:

Proposed consolidation of your subject LLC into Empire State Realty Trust, Inc. As described in the attached Prospectus/Consent Solicitation Statement, Malkin Holdings LLC, as supervisor, proposes a consolidation of certain office and retail properties in Manhattan and the greater New York metropolitan area owned by Empire State Building Associates L.L.C., 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. and 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C., or the subject LLCs, and certain private entities supervised by the supervisor, and certain related management businesses into Empire State Realty Trust, Inc., or the company. The consolidation is conditioned, among other things, upon the closing of the initial public offering, or the IPO, of the company's Class A common stock. The company will issue to each of the participants in the subject LLCs a specified number of operating partnership units, or at each participant's election, Class A common stock or, to a limited extent, Class B common stock. Each participant may elect to receive one share of Class B common stock instead of one operating partnership unit for every 50 operating partnership units such participant would otherwise receive in the consolidation. Each share of Class B common stock has 50 votes on all matters on which stockholders are entitled to vote and the same economic interest as a share of Class A common stock, and one share of Class B common stock and 49 operating partnership units together represent a similar economic value as 50 shares of Class A common stock. The company expects the Class A common stock and the operating partnership units offered herein to be listed on the New York Stock Exchange. After the series of transactions in which the subject LLCs will be consolidated into the company, the company will own, through direct and indirect subsidiaries, the assets of the subject LLCs and the assets of the private entities, along with certain related management businesses. There are 22 private entities involved in the consolidation, including the operating lessees of each of the subject LLCs, from which all required consents to the consolidation have previously been obtained. Attached to the supplement for each subject LLC as Appendix B is the contribution agreement for each subject LLC, which describes the terms of the consolidation in detail. Only the participants holding participation interests in a subject LLC during the consent solicitation period are entitled to notice of, and to vote **FOR** or **AGAINST**, the proposed consolidation. For the reasons the supervisor believes this proposal is fair and reasonable, see Background of and Reasons for the Consolidation.

Proposal to authorize the supervisor to sell or contribute the property interests in a third-party portfolio transaction. As a potential alternative to the consolidation, the supervisor requests that the participants consent to the sale or contribution of the subject LLCs' property interests as part of a sale or contribution of the properties owned by the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies as a portfolio to an unaffiliated third party. The third-party portfolio transaction would be undertaken only if the aggregate consideration is at least 115% of the aggregate exchange value for the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies included in the third-party portfolio transaction and certain other conditions are met. The proposal must provide for all cash, payable in full at closing, but such proposal may provide for an option for all participants to elect to receive securities as an alternative to cash. If the proposal provides for a securities option, the Malkin Family will have the right to elect to receive securities only on the same proportional basis as other participants. No member of the Malkin Family will be an affiliate, consultant, employee, officer or director of the acquiror after the closing or receive any compensation from the acquiror (other than their pro rata share of the consideration that they will receive in the third-party portfolio transaction). For the reasons the supervisor believes this proposal is fair and reasonable, see Third-Party Portfolio Proposal.

Voluntary pro rata reimbursement program for expenses of legal proceedings with former property manager and leasing agent. In addition, the participants are being asked to consent to a voluntary pro rata

Table of Contents

reimbursement to the supervisor and Peter L. Malkin for the prior advances of all costs, plus interest, incurred in connection with litigations and arbitrations with the former property manager and leasing agent of the properties owned by the subject LLCs. For the reasons the supervisor believes this proposal is reasonable, see Voluntary Pro Rata Reimbursement Program for Expenses of Legal Proceedings with Former Property Manager and Leasing Agent.

The supervisor invites you to vote using the enclosed consent form because it is important that your participation interest in your subject LLC be represented. Please sign, date and return the enclosed consent form in the accompanying postage-paid envelope or by facsimile, as described in the Prospectus/Consent Solicitation Statement. You also may revoke your consent to the consolidation, the third-party portfolio proposal, or both, at any time in writing before the later of the date that consents from participants equal to the percentage required to approve the consolidation and the third-party portfolio proposal, as applicable, as set forth later in the attached Prospectus/Consent Solicitation Statement are received by your subject LLC and the 60th day after the date of the attached Prospectus/Consent Solicitation Statement.

Malkin Holdings LLC

By: Peter L. Malkin
Chairman

Anthony E. Malkin
President

The attached Prospectus/Consent Solicitation Statement is dated

, 2012 and is being mailed to participants on or about , 2012.

Table of Contents

The information in this Prospectus/Consent Solicitation Statement is not complete and may be changed. A registration statement relating to the securities has been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Empire State Realty Trust, Inc. may not sell the securities offered by this Prospectus/Consent Solicitation Statement until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission becomes effective. This Prospectus/Consent Solicitation Statement is not an offer to sell these securities and Empire State Realty Trust, Inc. is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

SUBJECT TO COMPLETION, DATED DECEMBER 17, 2012

PROSPECTUS/CONSENT SOLICITATION STATEMENT

shares of Class A common stock, par value \$.01 per share,

shares of Class B common stock, par value \$.01 per share

Empire State Realty OP, L.P.

units of limited partnership interests consisting of

Series ES units of limited partnership interest,

Series 60 units of limited partnership interest and

Series 250 units of limited partnership interest

If you are a participant in any of the following subject LLCs, your vote is very important:

Empire State Building

60 East 42nd St.

250 West 57th St.

Associates L.L.C.

Associates L.L.C.

Associates L.L.C.

Malkin Holdings LLC, the supervisor of three publicly-registered entities, Empire State Building Associates L.L.C., 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. and 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C., or the subject LLCs, requests that you, as a holder of a participation interest in one or more of the subject LLCs, vote on whether to approve the proposed consolidation of the subject LLC in which you are a participant into Empire State Realty Trust, Inc., or the company, as part of a consolidation of office and retail properties in Manhattan and the greater New York metropolitan area owned by the subject LLCs and the private entities supervised by the supervisor, along with certain related management businesses, into the company, as described in more detail herein. Such transaction is referred to herein as the consolidation. The principals of the supervisor include Peter L. Malkin and Anthony E. Malkin.

The supervisor believes you will benefit from this consolidation through newly created opportunities for liquidity, enhanced property diversification, increased growth opportunities, enhanced operating and financing abilities and efficiencies, combined balance sheets and anticipated regular quarterly cash distributions, with a board of directors consisting predominantly of independent directors. Anthony E. Malkin will be the only management member of the board of directors.

Edgar Filing: Empire State Realty Trust, Inc. - Form S-4/A

Following the consolidation, participants may liquidate their investments and realize current values in cash as and when they so desire (subject to the restrictions of the applicable U.S. federal and state securities laws and after expiration of the lock-up period as described in this prospectus/consent solicitation) or may hold operating partnership units and/or shares of common stock they receive in the company. The company intends to apply to have the Class A common stock listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol ESB. The operating partnership units will be issued in three separate series having identical rights to the participants in each of the three subject LLCs, and the operating partnership intends to apply to have such series listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbols ESBA, OGCP and FISK. Each participant will receive operating partnership units, which are expected to be tax-deferred for U.S. federal income tax purposes (to the extent described herein), unless such participant elects to receive shares of Class A common stock or, to a limited extent, as described herein, Class B common stock. One share of Class B common stock and 49 operating partnership units together represent a similar economic value and provide the same voting rights as 50 shares of Class A common stock.

The price of the Class A common stock will be determined at the IPO, and the price of the operating partnership units will not be known unless and until the IPO pricing. Therefore, participants will not know the value of the common stock and operating partnership units that they will receive as determined by the IPO price until after they vote on the consolidation. The enterprise value as determined by the IPO price may be significantly lower than the exchange value. The IPO price will not impact the dividends the company intends to pay, which will be, at a minimum, 90% of REIT taxable income (determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding net capital gain). After the applicable lock-up period referenced herein has expired, any participant may choose when, if ever, he wishes to sell, and in making that decision will be able to gauge the market price at that time. No participant will be forced to sell at the time of the IPO. A table showing an illustrative range of enterprise values based on illustrative IPO prices is set forth in Questions and Answers about the Consolidation How was the value of my participation interest determined? on page [].

Table of Contents

The supervisor recommends that you vote **FOR** the consolidation. The Malkin Holdings group (as defined herein), will receive substantial benefits from the consolidation and have conflicts of interest making this recommendation. See **Conflicts of Interest**.

As a potential alternative to the consolidation, the supervisor also requests that the participants consent to the sale or contribution of the subject LLCs' property interests as part of a sale or contribution of the properties owned by the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies as a portfolio to an unaffiliated third party.

The supervisor recommends that you vote **FOR** the third-party portfolio transaction proposal. The Malkin Holdings group will receive substantial benefits from such transaction and have conflicts of interest making this recommendation. See **Conflicts of Interest**.

Participants also are being asked to consent to a voluntary pro rata reimbursement program pursuant to which the supervisor and Peter L. Malkin, a principal of the supervisor, will be reimbursed for the prior advances of all costs, plus interest, incurred in connection with the legal proceedings required to remove and replace the former property manager and leasing agent.

This solicitation of consents expires at 5:00 p.m., Eastern time on _____, 2013, unless the supervisor extends the solicitation period. The supervisor reserves the right to extend on one or more occasions the solicitation period for one or more proposals for one or more subject LLCs or one or more participating groups in a subject LLC without extending for other proposals, subject LLCs or participating groups whether or not it has received approval for the consolidation or the third-party portfolio proposal.

The supervisor and the Malkin Holdings group receive substantial benefits and from inception have had conflicts of interest in connection with the subject LLCs, including in connection with the consolidation or a third-party portfolio transaction. Based on the assumptions set forth herein, after the consolidation and the IPO, the Malkin Holdings group will own 16.5% of the common stock and operating partnership units and will own common stock having 30.4% of the voting power of the company due to its election to take the maximum number of Class B shares to which it was entitled. Based on the elections by participants in the private entities which were less than the maximum number of Class B shares which they had the right to elect to receive, the supervisor assumed that most of the participants in the subject LLCs elected to receive operating partnership units and only a small number elected to receive Class B common stock. If participants in the subject LLCs elect to receive 100% of the Class B common stock to which they are entitled, the Malkin Holdings group's percentage of voting power would be 20.2%. Additionally, as operating partnership units are redeemed for Class A common stock, the Malkin Holdings group's percentage of voting power will decline. There are material risks and potential disadvantages associated with the consolidation or a third-party portfolio transaction. The supervisor and the Malkin Holdings group will receive substantial benefits in connection with the consolidation or a third-party portfolio transaction. See **Risk Factors beginning on page 100 and **Conflicts of Interest** beginning on page 279.**

A participant's interest in Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. may, in some cases, as described below, be subject to a buyout if he or she votes **AGAINST** or **ABSTAINS** on either the consolidation or the third-party portfolio transaction proposal, or does not vote. If you are a participant in Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. or 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C., and you vote **AGAINST** the consolidation or the third-party portfolio transaction proposal, you do not vote or you **ABSTAIN** and your subject LLC participates in the consolidation, your participation interests will be subject to a buyout if you do not vote in favor of the consolidation or third-party portfolio transaction proposal within ten days after notice that the required supermajority consent has been received from the participants in your participating group, and the buyout amount for your interest, which is equal to the original cost less capital repaid, but not less than \$100 and is currently \$100, would be substantially lower than the consideration you would receive in connection with the consolidation or third-party portfolio transaction. Unanimity on the consents is required pursuant to the organizational documents of Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. with respect to both the consolidation and the third-party portfolio proposal for the consent of a participating group; therefore, a participant in either of such subject LLCs who does not vote in favor of either the consolidation or third-party portfolio transaction proposal (and does not change his or her vote after notice that the requisite supermajority consent has been obtained) will be subject to this buyout if the tabulation of consents by MacKenzie Partners, Inc. shows that the required consent in his or her participating group has been received, but in no event before the expiration of the 60-day solicitation period as the same may be extended, regardless of whether either or neither transaction is consummated or the required consent of other participating groups is received. You will not be subject to a buyout merely by voting **AGAINST** or **ABSTAINING** on the consolidation or third-party portfolio transaction, or by not voting.

Table of Contents

THE SUPERVISOR BELIEVES THAT THE CONSOLIDATION PROVIDES SUBSTANTIAL BENEFITS AND IS FAIR TO THE PARTICIPANTS IN EACH SUBJECT LLC AND RECOMMENDS THAT ALL PARTICIPANTS VOTE **FOR** THE CONSOLIDATION. SEE BACKGROUND OF AND REASONS FOR THE CONSOLIDATION THE SUPERVISOR S REASONS FOR PROPOSING THE CONSOLIDATION.

THE SUPERVISOR BELIEVES IT IS IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE PARTICIPANTS TO PROVIDE THE SUPERVISOR WITH THE AUTHORITY TO APPROVE A THIRD-PARTY PORTFOLIO TRANSACTION AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO THE CONSOLIDATION AND RECOMMENDS THAT ALL PARTICIPANTS VOTE **FOR** THE THIRD-PARTY PORTFOLIO PROPOSAL. SEE THIRD PARTY PORTFOLIO PROPOSAL FOR THE SUPERVISOR S REASONS FOR RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSAL.

THE SUPERVISOR BELIEVES THAT THE VOLUNTARY PRO RATA REIMBURSEMENT PROGRAM IS FAIR AND REASONABLE AND RECOMMENDS THAT ALL PARTICIPANTS WHO HAVE NOT PREVIOUSLY CONSENTED TO THE VOLUNTARY PRO RATA REIMBURSEMENT PROGRAM **CONSENT TO** THE PROPOSAL. SEE VOLUNTARY PRO RATA REIMBURSEMENT PROGRAM FOR EXPENSES OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS WITH FORMER PROPERTY MANAGER AND LEASING AGENT FOR A DISCUSSION OF THE SUPERVISOR S REASONS FOR RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSAL AND THE BENEFITS TO THE SUPERVISOR.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of the Class A common stock, Class B common stock or operating partnership units or passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of this Prospectus/Consent Solicitation Statement. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

After you read this Prospectus/Consent Solicitation Statement, the company and the supervisor urge you to read the accompanying supplement for your subject LLC. The supplement contains information particular to your subject LLC. This information is material in your decision whether to vote **FOR** or **AGAINST** the consolidation.

THIS PROSPECTUS/CONSENT SOLICITATION IS AUTHORIZED FOR DELIVERY TO PARTICIPANTS ONLY WHEN ACCOMPANIED BY ONE OR MORE SUPPLEMENTS RELATING TO THE SUBJECT LLCS IN WHICH SUCH PARTICIPANTS HOLD PARTICIPATION INTERESTS. SEE WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION.

WHO CAN HELP ANSWER YOUR QUESTIONS?

If you have more questions about the proposed consolidation or would like additional copies of this Prospectus/Consent Solicitation Statement or the supplement relating to your subject LLC(s) (which will be provided at no cost), you should contact the person designated on the consent form sent to you.

To obtain timely delivery, you should request this information no later than _____, 2012.

The date of this Prospectus/Consent Solicitation Statement is _____, 2012.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE CONSOLIDATION</u>	1
<u>WHO CAN HELP ANSWER YOUR QUESTIONS?</u>	24
<u>SUMMARY</u>	25
<u>Purpose of this Prospectus/Consent Solicitation</u>	25
<u>Description of the Company and the Subject LLCs</u>	26
<u>Overview</u>	26
<u>The Company's Competitive Strengths</u>	29
<u>Business and Growth Strategies</u>	31
<u>Company Information</u>	32
<u>The Properties</u>	33
<u>Background of and Reasons for the Consolidation</u>	35
<u>The Subject LLCs, the Private Entities and the Management Companies</u>	35
<u>The Supervisor's Reasons for Proposing the Consolidation</u>	40
<u>Benefits of Participation in the Consolidation</u>	42
<u>Third-Party Portfolio Transaction</u>	46
<u>Risk Factors</u>	48
<u>Conflicts of Interest and Benefits to the Supervisor and its Affiliates</u>	55
<u>The Consolidation</u>	58
<u>Principal Components of the Consolidation</u>	58
<u>What You Will Receive if Your Subject LLC is Included in the Consolidation</u>	73
<u>Why the Supervisor Believes the Consolidation is Fair to You</u>	75
<u>Appraisal</u>	77
<u>Fairness Opinion</u>	77
<u>Alternatives to the Consolidation</u>	78
<u>Comparison of Distributions</u>	82
<u>Voluntary Pro Rata Reimbursement Program for Expenses of Legal Proceedings with Former Property Manager and Leasing Agent</u>	83
<u>Allocation of Consideration in the Consolidation</u>	84
<u>Voting Procedures for the Consolidation Proposal and the Third-Party Portfolio Proposal</u>	90
<u>No Right to Independent Appraisal</u>	92
<u>Consolidation Expenses</u>	93
<u>Conditions to the Consolidation</u>	93
<u>Your Right to Investor Lists and to Communicate with Other Participants</u>	93
<u>U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations of the Consolidation Proposal</u>	94
<u>Qualification of the Company as a REIT</u>	96
<u>Selected Financial and Other Data</u>	96
<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	100
<u>Risk Factors Related to the Company and Risks Resulting from the Consolidation</u>	100
<u>Risks Related to a Third-Party Portfolio Transaction</u>	122
<u>Real Estate/Business Risks</u>	124
<u>Risks Related to the Tax Consequences of the Consolidation</u>	145
<u>FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>	154
<u>BACKGROUND OF AND REASONS FOR THE CONSOLIDATION</u>	156
<u>Background of the Subject LLCs</u>	156
<u>Investment Objectives of the Subject LLCs</u>	160
<u>Chronology of the Consolidation</u>	161
<u>The Supervisor's Reasons for Proposing the Consolidation</u>	167
<u>Alternatives to the Consolidation</u>	174
<u>Comparison of Alternatives</u>	179
<u>Comparison of Distributions by the Subject LLCs and the Company</u>	183
<u>RECOMMENDATION AND FAIRNESS DETERMINATION</u>	190
<u>General</u>	190

Edgar Filing: Empire State Realty Trust, Inc. - Form S-4/A

<u>Material Factors Underlying Belief as to Fairness</u>	192
<u>Summary of Valuations</u>	196
<u>Relative Weight Assigned to Material Factors</u>	196
CONSIDERATION	197
THE CONSOLIDATION	198
<u>Principal Components of the Consolidation</u>	198
<u>Pre- and Post-Consolidation Structure</u>	203
<u>Conditions to the Consolidation</u>	214
<u>Distributions</u>	214

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Contribution Agreements</u>	216
<u>Other Consolidation Transaction Agreements</u>	218
<u>Lock-Up Agreements</u>	219
<u>Registration Rights Agreement</u>	220
<u>Option to Acquire Two Additional Properties</u>	221
<u>Pricing Committee</u>	222
<u>Description of the Tax Protection Agreement</u>	222
<u>Representation, Warranty and Indemnity Agreement</u>	224
<u>No Fractional Share of Common Stock</u>	224
<u>Effect of the Consolidation or a Third-Party Portfolio Transaction on Participants Who Vote Against the Consolidation or the Third-Party Portfolio Proposal and Who Do Not Change Their Vote After Receipt of the Budget Notice</u>	225
<u>Effect of Consolidation on Subject LLCs not Acquired</u>	226
<u>Consolidation and IPO Expenses</u>	226
<u>Accounting Treatment</u>	226
<u>Subsequent Modifications to Offering Terms</u>	226
<u>Initial Public Offering</u>	227
<u>THIRD-PARTY PORTFOLIO PROPOSAL</u>	228
<u>VOLUNTARY PRO RATA REIMBURSEMENT PROGRAM FOR EXPENSES OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS WITH FORMER PROPERTY MANAGER AND LEASING AGENT</u>	
<u>REPORTS, OPINIONS AND APPRAISALS</u>	231
<u>General</u>	235
<u>Appraisal</u>	236
<u>Properties Proposed For Inclusion in Consolidation</u>	245
<u>Fairness Opinion</u>	247
<u>Prior Independent Valuer Work Papers and Analysis</u>	253
<u>EXCHANGE VALUE AND ALLOCATION OF OPERATING PARTNERSHIP UNITS AND COMMON STOCK</u>	
<u>Exchange Value Allocation of Operating Partnership Units and Common Stock</u>	255
<u>Derivation of Exchange Values</u>	256
	Page
<u>Appraised Value of the Management Companies</u>	258
<u>Allocation of Common Stock and Operating Partnership Units</u>	262
<u>Allocation of Common Stock and Operating Partnership Units among the Subject LLCs, the Private Entities and the Management Companies</u>	264
<u>Allocation of Common Stock and Operating Partnership Units among the Participants and the Supervisor and the Malkin Holdings Group</u>	266
<u>Derivation of Costs of the Consolidation and IPO</u>	272
<u>Estimated Exchange Value of Common Stock</u>	273
<u>CONFLICTS OF INTEREST</u>	279
<u>Supervisor</u>	279
<u>Substantial Benefits to the Supervisor and its Affiliates</u>	279
<u>Lack of Independent Representation of Participants</u>	283
<u>Terms of the Consolidation with the Other Subject LLCs, the Private Entities and the Management Companies</u>	283
<u>Non-Arm's-Length Agreements</u>	283
<u>Conflicts of Interest in Voting Participation Interests</u>	283
<u>Features Discouraging Potential Takeovers</u>	284
<u>COMPARISON OF OWNERSHIP OF PARTICIPATION INTERESTS, OPERATING PARTNERSHIP UNITS AND SHARES OF COMMON STOCK</u>	
<u>Form of Organization and Purpose</u>	286
<u>Length and Type of Investment</u>	287
<u>Business and Property Diversification</u>	287
<u>Borrowing Policies</u>	289
<u>Other Investment Restrictions</u>	289
<u>Management Control</u>	290
<u>Fiduciary Duties</u>	292
<u>Management's Liability and Indemnification</u>	293
<u>Takeover Provisions</u>	295
<u>Sale</u>	296
	298

<u>Dissolution</u>	298
<u>Amendments</u>	299
<u>Review of Investor Lists</u>	300
<u>Nature of Investment</u>	301
<u>Additional Equity/Potential Dilution</u>	302

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Liability of Investors</u>	303
<u>Voting Rights</u>	304
<u>Liquidity</u>	306
<u>Expected Distributions and Payments</u>	309
<u>Taxation of Taxable Investors</u>	310
<u>Taxation of Tax-Exempt Investors</u>	311
<u>Compensation and Fees</u>	312
<u>VOTING PROCEDURES FOR THE CONSOLIDATION PROPOSAL AND THE THIRD-PARTY PORTFOLIO PROPOSAL</u>	316
<u>Distribution of Solicitation Materials</u>	316
<u>Required Vote for the Consolidation Proposal and the Third-Party Portfolio Proposal and Other Conditions</u>	317
<u>CONSENT PROCEDURES FOR VOLUNTARY PRO RATA REIMBURSEMENT PROPOSAL</u>	322
<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS OF EMPIRE STATE REALTY TRUST</u>	323
<u>Overview</u>	323
<u>Factors That May Influence Future Results of Operations</u>	328
<u>Critical Accounting Policies</u>	331
<u>Results of Operations</u>	343
<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	353
<u>Leverage Policies</u>	357
<u>Consolidated Indebtedness to be Outstanding After the IPO</u>	358
<u>Contractual Obligations</u>	363
<u>Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements</u>	363
<u>Distribution Policy</u>	363
<u>Cash Flows</u>	363
<u>Net Operating Income</u>	365
<u>Funds from Operations</u>	366
<u>EBITDA</u>	367
<u>Distribution to Equity Holders:</u>	368
<u>Inflation</u>	368
<u>Seasonality</u>	368
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	369
<u>ECONOMIC AND MARKET OVERVIEW</u>	370
<u>New York Metropolitan Division Economy and Demographics</u>	370
<u>New York City Overview</u>	370
<u>Stamford Metropolitan Statistical Area Economy and Demographics</u>	374
	Page
<u>Office Markets</u>	376
<u>Westchester County</u>	387
<u>Fairfield County</u>	392
<u>Retail Markets</u>	397
<u>THE COMPANY BUSINESS AND PROPERTIES</u>	404
<u>Overview</u>	404
<u>History</u>	408
<u>The Company's Competitive Strengths</u>	409
<u>Business and Growth Strategies</u>	411
<u>Renovation and Repositioning Case Studies</u>	413
<u>The Company's Portfolio Summary</u>	417
<u>Tenant Diversification</u>	420
<u>Lease Distribution</u>	421
<u>Lease Expirations</u>	422
<u>Tenant Improvement Costs and Leasing Commissions</u>	425
<u>Historical Capital Expenditures</u>	427
<u>Description of the Company's Properties</u>	427
<u>Depreciation</u>	445
<u>Property Revenue and Operating Expenses</u>	446
<u>Description of Option Properties</u>	447

Edgar Filing: Empire State Realty Trust, Inc. - Form S-4/A

<u>Excluded Properties and Businesses</u>	448
<u>Leasing</u>	448
<u>Property Management</u>	449
<u>Construction Management</u>	449
<u>Regulation</u>	449
<u>Insurance</u>	451
<u>Competition</u>	452
<u>Employees</u>	453
<u>Offices</u>	453
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	453
<u>POLICIES WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN ACTIVITIES</u>	455
<u>Investment Policies</u>	455
<u>Dispositions</u>	456
<u>Financing Policies</u>	456
<u>Conflict of Interest Policies</u>	457
<u>Policies with Respect to Other Activities</u>	459
<u>Reporting Policies</u>	459
<u>CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE MARYLAND GENERAL CORPORATION LAW AND THE COMPANY'S</u>	
<u>CHARTER AND BYLAWS</u>	460
<u>The Company's Board of Directors</u>	460
<u>Removal of Directors</u>	460

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Policy on Majority Voting</u>	460
<u>Business Combinations</u>	461
<u>Control Share Acquisitions</u>	461
<u>Subtitle 8</u>	462
<u>Meetings of Stockholders</u>	463
<u>Amendments to the Company's Charter and Bylaws</u>	463
<u>Dissolution of the Company</u>	463
<u>Advance Notice of Director Nominations and New Business</u>	463
<u>Anti-Takeover Effect of Certain Provisions of Maryland Law and of the Company's Charter and Bylaws</u>	464
<u>Interested Director and Officer Transactions</u>	464
<u>Indemnification and Limitation of Directors' and Officers' Liability</u>	465
<u>REIT Qualification</u>	466
<u>DESCRIPTION OF OPERATING PARTNERSHIP UNITS AND THE PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT OF THE OPERATING PARTNERSHIP</u>	467
<u>General</u>	467
<u>Operating Partnership Units</u>	468
<u>Management Liability and Indemnification</u>	468
<u>Fiduciary Responsibilities</u>	469
<u>LTIP Units</u>	469
<u>Distributions</u>	469
<u>Allocations of Net Income and Net Loss</u>	469
<u>Redemption Rights</u>	470
<u>Transferability of Operating Partnership Units; Extraordinary Transactions</u>	471
<u>Issuance of Common Stock and Additional Partnership Interests</u>	471
<u>Tax Matters</u>	472
<u>Term</u>	472
<u>Amendments to the Operating Partnership Agreement</u>	472
<u>BUSINESS OF THE SUBJECT LLCS</u>	475
<u>General</u>	475
<u>Investment Policies</u>	475
<u>Description of Properties</u>	476
<u>Financing</u>	489
<u>Competition</u>	490
<u>MANAGEMENT</u>	491
<u>The Company's Directors, Director Nominees and Senior Management Team</u>	491
<u>Corporate Governance Profile</u>	495
<u>The Board's Leadership Structure</u>	496
<u>The Board's Role in Risk Oversight</u>	496
<u>Board Committees</u>	496
<u>Code of Business Conduct and Ethics</u>	498
<u>Director Compensation</u>	498
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	499
<u>IPO Grants under the Equity Incentive Plan</u>	501
<u>Employment Agreement</u>	501
<u>Change in Control Severance Agreements</u>	504
<u>401(k) Plan</u>	505
<u>Equity Incentive Plan</u>	505
<u>Limitation of Liability and Indemnification</u>	508
<u>Rule 10b5-1 Sales Plans</u>	508
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	509
<u>PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS OF THE COMPANY</u>	510
<u>RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS</u>	512
<u>Transactions Relating to the Consolidation</u>	512
<u>Tax Protection Agreement</u>	512
<u>Partnership Agreement</u>	513
<u>Registration Rights</u>	513

Edgar Filing: Empire State Realty Trust, Inc. - Form S-4/A

<u>Employment Agreement and Change in Control Severance Agreements</u>	513
<u>Indemnification of the Company's Directors and Officers</u>	514
<u>Option Agreements</u>	514
<u>Cash Amounts</u>	515
<u>Excluded Properties and Businesses</u>	515
<u>Reimbursement of Pre-Closing Transaction Costs</u>	516
<u>Repayment of Loan to Existing Entity</u>	516
<u>Releases of Guarantees</u>	516
<u>IPO Grants Under the Equity Incentive Plan</u>	517
<u>FIDUCIARY RESPONSIBILITY</u>	518
<u>Directors and Officers of the Company</u>	518
<u>Supervisor of the Subject LLCs and Agent for Participants</u>	518
<u>DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK</u>	519
<u>General</u>	519
<u>Shares of Common Stock</u>	519
<u>Power to Reclassify the Company's Unissued Shares of Stock</u>	520
<u>Power to Increase or Decrease Authorized Shares of Common Stock and Issue Additional Shares of Common and Preferred Stock</u>	520
<u>Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer</u>	521

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Transfer Agent and Registrar</u>	524
<u>U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS</u>	525
<u>U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Consolidation</u>	527
<u>U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations of the Voluntary Pro Rata Reimbursement Program for the Former Property Manager and Leasing Agent Legal Proceedings</u>	536
<u>U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of a Third-Party Portfolio Transaction</u>	537
<u>U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations Regarding the Stipulation of Settlement Resolving the Class Actions</u>	537
<u>Taxation of the Company</u>	537
<u>Requirements for Qualification</u>	540
<u>Failure to Qualify</u>	552
	Page
<u>Tax Aspects of Investments in Partnerships</u>	552
<u>Taxation of Stockholders</u>	554
<u>Consequences of Holding Operating Partnership Units</u>	561
<u>EXPERTS</u>	572
<u>LEGAL MATTERS</u>	574
<u>WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION</u>	575
<u>INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	
<u>Appendix A Fairness Opinion</u>	F-1
<u>Appendix B Appraisal</u>	A-1
<u>Appendix C-1 Projections</u>	B-1
<u>Appendix C-2 Preliminary Exchange Values and Projections used in Connection with Preliminary Exchange Values.</u>	C-1-1
	C-2-1

Table of Contents

The company uses market data and industry forecasts and projections throughout this Prospectus/Consent Solicitation Statement, and in particular in the sections entitled Economic and Market Overview, The Company Business and Properties and Business of the Subject LLCs. The company has obtained all of this information from a market study prepared for the company by Rosen Consulting Group, or RCG, a nationally recognized real estate consulting firm, in August 2012. The company has paid RCG a fee for such services. Such information is included herein in reliance on RCG's authority as an expert on such matters. See Experts. The company believes the data prepared by RCG is reliable, but it has not independently verified this information. Any forecasts prepared by RCG are based on data (including third party data), models and experience of various professionals, and are based on various assumptions, all of which are subject to change without notice. There is no assurance that any of the forecasts will be achieved.

The term greater New York metropolitan area is used herein to refer only to Fairfield County, Connecticut and Westchester County, New York. The manner in which the company defines its property markets and submarkets differs from how RCG has done so in its market study included herein. Further, RCG's definition of the New York metropolitan area differs from the company's definition of the greater New York metropolitan area. RCG's definition includes Putnam County and Rockland County in New York and Bergen County, Hudson County, and Passaic County in Northern New Jersey and excludes Fairfield County in Connecticut.

Unless the context otherwise requires or indicates, references in this Prospectus/Consent Solicitation Statement, which is referred to herein as the prospectus/consent solicitation, to:

- (i) *the subject LLCs refers to Empire State Building Associates L.L.C., 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. and 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C.,*
- (ii) *the private entities refer to the privately-held entities supervised by the supervisor, which are all of the entities, other than the subject LLCs, listed in the chart under the section Summary The Subject LLCs, the Private Entities and the Management Companies, which will be included in the consolidation,*
- (iii) *the company refers to Empire State Realty Trust, Inc. (formerly known as Empire Realty Trust, Inc.), a Maryland corporation, together with its consolidated subsidiaries, including Empire State Realty OP, L.P. (formerly known as Empire Realty Trust, L.P.), a Delaware limited partnership, which is referred to herein as the operating partnership, after giving effect to the series of transactions involving the consolidation of the subject LLCs and the private entities described in this prospectus/consent solicitation that have consented to the consolidation and a combination of (a) Malkin Holdings LLC, a New York limited liability company that acts as the supervisor of, and performs various asset management services and routine administration with respect to, the subject LLCs and certain of the private entities (as discussed in this prospectus/consent solicitation), which is referred to herein as the supervisor; (b) Malkin Properties, L.L.C., a New York limited liability company that serves as the manager and leasing agent to certain of the private entities in Manhattan, (c) Malkin Properties of New York, L.L.C., a New York limited liability company that serves as the manager and leasing agent to certain of the private entities located in Westchester County, New York, (d) Malkin Properties of Connecticut, Inc., a Connecticut corporation that serves as the manager and leasing agent to certain of the private entities in the State of Connecticut and (e) Malkin Construction Corp., a Connecticut corporation that is a general contractor and provides services to the private entities and third parties (including certain tenants at the properties owned by the private entities), which collectively are referred to herein as the management companies,*
- (iv) *the properties refers to the subject LLCs' direct or indirect fee ownership interests in the Empire State Building, One Grand Central Place and 250 West 57th Street, respectively,*
- (v) *the properties of the company and the portfolio refer to the properties, the other assets of the subject LLCs, the ownership interests of the private entities in their properties and the other assets of the private entities,*

Table of Contents

- (vi) *the agents refer to holders of the membership interests in the subject LLCs for the benefit of participants in the agent's participating group; each of the agents is an affiliate of the supervisor,*
- (vii) *the participants refer to the holders of participation interests in the membership interests held by the agents and, as applicable, investors in the private entities,*
- (viii) *the participation interests refer to the beneficial ownership interests of participants in the membership interest of the subject LLCs held by an agent for the benefit of participants and, as applicable, membership or partnership interests or the beneficial interests therein held by investors in the private entities,*
- (ix) *common stock and shares of common stock refer to both shares of the company's Class A common stock, par value \$0.01, and Class B common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, unless otherwise indicated,*
- (x) *the IPO refers to the initial public offering of the Class A common stock of the company, and IPO price refers to the price per share of Class A common stock in the IPO,*
- (xi) *operating partnership units refer to the operating partnership's limited partnership interests. The operating partnership will have two classes of units of limited partnership interest—operating partnership units and LTIP units. The operating partnership units will have four series—Series PR operating partnership units, Series ES operating partnership units, Series 60 operating partnership units and Series 250 operating partnership units, which are referred to either collectively, or with respect to one or more series, as the operating partnership units, as the context requires or indicates. Operating partnership units are redeemable for a cash amount equal to the then-current market value of one share of Class A common stock per operating partnership unit, or at the company's election, shares of Class A common stock on a one-for-one basis. The Series ES operating partnership units will be issued to participants in Empire State Building Associates L.L.C., the Series 60 operating partnership units will be issued to participants in 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. and the Series 250 operating partnership units will be issued to participants in 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C., in each case except for the Wien group. The operating partnership intends to apply to have the Series ES operating partnership units, Series 60 operating partnership units and Series 250 operating partnership units listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbols ESBA, OGCP, and FISK, respectively. The Series PR operating partnership units will be issued to the participants in the private entities and the Wien group and will not be listed on a national securities exchange, and*
- (xii) *organizational documents refer to the limited liability company agreement, the participating agreements and the terms of any voluntary capital transaction override program and voluntary pro rata reimbursement programs for each subject LLC, to the extent applicable.*

All references to the enterprise value refer to the value of the company after completion of the consolidation determined in connection with the IPO by the company in consultation with the investment banking firms managing the IPO and prior to the issuance of Class A common stock in the IPO and any issuance of Class A common stock pursuant to the company's and the operating partnership's equity incentive plan, or the equity incentive plan.

All references to the aggregate exchange value refer to the aggregate exchange value of the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies based on the appraisal, or the Appraisal, by Duff & Phelps, LLC, the independent valuer. The exchange values as of June 30, 2012 are based on a final valuation analysis prepared by the independent valuer as of June 30, 2012. The final valuation updates a preliminary valuation prepared as of June 30, 2011. The preliminary exchange values based on such preliminary valuation were used in connection with the solicitation of consents from participants in the private entities in November 2011. See Appendix C-2 Preliminary Exchange Values and Projections used in Connection with Preliminary Exchange Values, which sets forth the preliminary exchange values and preliminary valuation.

All references (other than information labeled as pro forma information, including the pro forma financial statements) to the number of shares of common stock, on a fully-diluted basis, issued in the consolidation refer to

Table of Contents

the number of shares of Class A common stock and Class B common stock issued or received in the consolidation, prior to the issuance of Class A common stock in the IPO and pursuant to any incentive plans, assuming that (i) the enterprise value in connection with the IPO equals the aggregate exchange value, (ii) the offering price per share in the IPO used herein which is used solely for illustrative purposes equals a hypothetical \$10 per share, (iii) all of the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies participate in the consolidation, (iv) no cash is paid to participants in the private entities, (v) no shares of Class A common stock are issued to the supervisor pursuant to the voluntary pro rata reimbursement program, (vi) no fractional shares are issued and (vii) all operating partnership units issued in the consolidation are redeemed on a one-for-one basis and all shares of Class B common stock issued in the consolidation are converted on a one-for-one basis for shares of Class A common stock.

The enterprise value will equal the total number of shares of common stock and total number of operating partnership units issuable in the consolidation (excluding any shares of common stock issued in the IPO, and assuming all participants in the private entities receive shares of common stock or operating partnership units and not cash) multiplied by the IPO price. The enterprise value (which is based on the IPO price) will be determined by, among other things, market conditions at the time of pricing of the IPO, the historical and future performance of the company and its portfolio of properties and the market's view of the company's net asset value and other valuation metrics. Today, some REITs' common stock trades at a premium to perceived net asset value and others trade at a discount to perceived net asset value. The market's view of the company's net asset value determined in connection with the IPO could be less than the exchange values determined based on the Appraisal. The Appraisal was undertaken in connection with establishing relative value for the purpose of allocation of interests in the company among contributors of interests in the properties and not to establish the value of shares of common stock in the company upon completion of the IPO. In contrast, the pricing of REIT initial public offerings generally takes into account different factors not considered in the Appraisal, including current conditions in the securities markets, investor preferences and the market's view of the company's management team. Additionally, the Appraisal did not take into account transaction costs for the consolidation and the IPO.

The supervisor believes that initial public offering pricing for REIT common stock generally is at a discount to the market price for common stock of well-established, publicly-traded REITs, and that the company's IPO pricing will be no different. For this and other reasons, the supervisor expects that the enterprise value at the pricing of the IPO will be lower than the aggregate exchange value at the pricing of the IPO, and such discount at the pricing of the IPO could be material and substantial. This discount cannot be determined until the pricing of the IPO. As the company continues to develop a track record as a public company, the supervisor believes that the company's trading price following the IPO will be based on, among other things, the company's historical and future performance, its performance relative to its peers, market conditions generally and its continued seasoning in the public markets. The company currently intends to pay regular quarterly dividends based on the performance of the company and its portfolio of properties, rather than just one property, and those distributions are required to be at least 90% of annual REIT taxable income (determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid, and excluding net capital gains) to maintain its qualification as a REIT. REIT taxable income will be determined by the performance of the portfolio of the company's properties and unaffected by its stock price.

All references to distributions to participants assume that all amounts payable under the voluntary pro rata reimbursement program are paid out of cash distributions from the subject LLCs and the private entities, as applicable and that no shares of Class A common stock are issued to the supervisor for amounts due under the voluntary pro rata reimbursement program.

The supervisor has made certain of these assumptions to permit the presentation of information in tables in this prospectus/consent solicitation on a consistent basis. For example, while throughout this prospectus/consent solicitation the supervisor has assumed for purposes of this presentation of information that no cash is paid, cash will be paid to non-accredited investors in the private entities and to certain investors in the private entities that are charitable organizations and elect to receive cash pursuant to the cash option described herein.

Table of Contents

All references to the stockholders refer to the holders of Class A common stock and Class B common stock of the company.

All references to the Malkin Family refer to Anthony E. Malkin, Peter L. Malkin, each of their lineal descendants (including spouses of any of the foregoing), any estates of any of the foregoing, any trusts now or hereafter established for the benefit of any of the foregoing, or any corporation, partnership, limited liability company or other legal entity controlled by Anthony E. Malkin or any permitted successor in such entity for the benefit of any of the foregoing.

All references to the Malkin Holdings group refer to the Malkin Family and Thomas N. Keltner, Jr., and his spouse.

All references to the Wien group refer to each of the lineal descendants of Lawrence A. Wien, including Peter L. Malkin and Anthony E. Malkin (including spouses of such descendants), any estates of any of the foregoing, any trusts now or hereafter established for the benefit of any of the foregoing, or any corporation, partnership, limited liability company or other legal entity controlled by Anthony E. Malkin for the benefit of any of the foregoing.

For demonstrative purposes, the supervisor has assigned a hypothetical IPO price of \$10 per share. That value is strictly hypothetical and is for illustrative purposes only.

All references to the property and assets owned by the company upon completion of the consolidation refer to the company upon completion of the consolidation, without giving effect to the IPO, and assuming that all required supermajority consents of the participants in the subject LLCs have been obtained and all of the properties and assets to be acquired from the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies pursuant to the consolidation have been acquired.

All references to a third-party portfolio transaction refer to the sale or contribution of the subject LLCs' property interests and other assets as part of a sale or contribution of the properties owned by the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies as a portfolio to an unaffiliated third party. The description of the company in this prospectus/consent solicitation assumes that all of the properties and assets to be acquired from the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies pursuant to the consolidation have been acquired by the company rather than an unaffiliated third party pursuant to a third-party portfolio transaction.

Certain terms and provisions of various agreements are summarized in this prospectus/consent solicitation. These summaries are qualified in their entirety by reference to the complete text of any such agreements, which are either attached as exhibits or appendices to this prospectus/consent solicitation or the supplement for your subject LLC in the form in which they are expected to be signed (but subject to change, including potentially significant changes, as described below) or filed as an exhibit to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 of which this prospectus/consent solicitation is a part. The parties to such agreements may make changes (including changes that may be deemed material) to the forms of the agreements attached as appendices or exhibits hereto, contained in the applicable supplement or filed as exhibits to the Registration Statement on Form S-4.

Upon completion of the IPO, the company expects to grant LTIP units and/or restricted shares of its Class A common stock (at the grantee's discretion) to the company's independent directors, executive officers (other than Anthony E. Malkin) and certain other employees, which will be subject to time-based and performance-based vesting over a three to four year period. The aggregate number of LTIP units/shares the company intends to grant to such individuals will be in an amount equal to 1.5% of the gross proceeds raised in the IPO (excluding the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares of the Class A common stock) divided by the IPO price. Assuming the company receives gross proceeds from the IPO of \$1,000,000,000 (excluding the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares of the Class A common stock), and assuming an IPO price of \$10 per share, the aggregate number of LTIP units and/or restricted shares of the Class A common stock the company intends to grant to its independent directors, executive officers (other than Anthony E. Malkin) and certain other employees

Table of Contents

will be 1,500,000 units/shares and will have an aggregate dollar value of \$15,000,000. The aggregate number of LTIP units and/or restricted shares to be granted upon completion of the IPO may be increased by a modest amount based on future events, such as the hiring of additional officers.

x

Table of Contents

**QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT
THE CONSOLIDATION**

Q: What am I being asked to approve?

A: The supervisor, which is an affiliate of Peter L. Malkin and Anthony E. Malkin, is submitting the following proposals for your approval:

A consolidation of your subject LLC and certain office and retail properties in Manhattan and the greater New York metropolitan area owned by the subject LLCs and the private entities, all of which are supervised by the supervisor, and certain related management businesses, into the company, which is intended to qualify for taxation as a real estate investment trust for U.S. federal income tax purposes, which is referred to herein as a REIT.

As a potential alternative to the consolidation, the sale or contribution of the subject LLCs' property interests as part of a sale or contribution of the properties owned by the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies as a portfolio to an unaffiliated third party. While the supervisor believes the consolidation represents the best opportunity for participants to achieve liquidity and to maximize the value of their investment, the supervisor believes it also is in the best interest of all participants for the supervisor to have the flexibility and discretion, subject to certain conditions, to accept an offer for the portfolio of properties from an unaffiliated third party if the supervisor determines that the offer price includes what the supervisor believes is an adequate premium above the value that is expected to be realized over time from the consolidation. The third-party portfolio transaction would be undertaken only if the aggregate consideration is at least 115% of the aggregate exchange value for the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies included in the third-party portfolio transaction and certain other conditions are met. The proposal must provide for all cash, payable in full at closing, but such proposal may provide for an option for all participants to elect to receive securities as an alternative to cash. If the proposal provides for a securities option, the Malkin Family will have the right to elect to receive securities only on the same proportional basis as other participants. No member of the Malkin Family will be an affiliate, consultant, employee, officer or director of the acquiror after the closing or receive any compensation from the acquiror (other than their pro rata share of the consideration that they will receive in the third-party portfolio transaction).

Voluntary pro rata reimbursement to the supervisor and Peter L. Malkin for the prior advances of all costs, plus interest, incurred in connection with litigations and arbitrations with the former property manager and leasing agent of the property.

Each of these proposals is subject to a separate consent, and approval of each proposal is not dependent on approval of any other proposal.

Q: What is the proposed consolidation upon which I am being asked to vote?

A: You are being requested to approve the consolidation in which your subject LLC will contribute its assets to the operating partnership in exchange for operating partnership units, Class A common stock and to a limited extent, as described herein, Class B common stock. All of the subject LLCs together represent 39.69% of the aggregate exchange value. As part of the consolidation, the company also will enter into similar transactions with the other subject LLCs, private entities and the management companies described elsewhere in this prospectus/consent solicitation.

Through the consolidation, the company intends to combine the properties of the subject LLCs and the private entities and the assets and operations of the supervisor and the other management companies into the company, and intends to elect and to qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes commencing with its taxable year ending December 31, 2013. The closing of the consolidation will occur substantially simultaneously with the closing of the IPO. If the consolidation is approved by the three subject LLCs, the

Table of Contents

company acquires the properties from each of private entities and the company acquires the management companies, the company will own 12 office properties (including one long-term ground leasehold interest) which, as of September 30, 2012, encompass approximately 7.7 million rentable square feet of office space, and which were approximately 79.8% leased (or 83.3% giving effect to leases signed but not yet commenced as of that date). Seven of these properties are located in the midtown Manhattan market and encompass in the aggregate approximately 5.9 million rentable square feet of office space, including the Empire State Building. The Manhattan office properties also contain an aggregate of 433,545 rentable square feet of premier retail space on the ground floor and/or lower levels. The remaining five office properties are located in Fairfield County, Connecticut and Westchester County, New York, encompassing in the aggregate approximately 1.8 million rentable square feet. The majority of the square footage for these five properties is located in densely populated metropolitan communities with immediate access to mass transportation. Additionally, the company has entitled land at the Stamford Transportation Center in Stamford, Connecticut, adjacent to one of its office properties, that will support the development of an approximately 380,000 rentable square foot office building and garage, which is referred to herein as Metro Tower. As of September 30, 2012, the portfolio also included four standalone retail properties located in Manhattan and two standalone retail properties located in the city center of Westport, Connecticut, encompassing 204,452 rentable square feet in the aggregate. As of September 30, 2012, the standalone retail properties were 100.0% leased in the aggregate.

The consolidation offers participants the opportunity to become limited partners in the operating partnership or stockholders of the company, which will own a diversified portfolio of properties and have as senior management certain executives of the supervisor, a recognized operator of office and retail properties in Manhattan and the greater New York metropolitan area. The supervisor has a comprehensive knowledge of its markets that has been developed through the supervisor's principals' substantial experience. The consolidation also will result in the creation of a company with a board of directors consisting predominantly of independent directors, which will be responsible for overseeing the operations of the company. Anthony E. Malkin will be the only management member of the board of directors.

All of the properties are located in Manhattan and the greater New York metropolitan area, which, according to RCG, is one of the most-prized office markets in the world and a world-renowned retail market due to a combination of supply constraints, high barriers to entry, near-term and long-term prospects for job creation, vacancy absorption and rental rate growth. The supervisor believes that the company will represent a unique opportunity to invest in a well-capitalized company with real estate in these most-prized markets and recognized and respected leadership. The company's primary focus will be to continue to own, operate and manage its current portfolio and to acquire and reposition office and retail properties in Manhattan and the greater New York metropolitan area.

Q: Why is the supervisor proposing the consolidation?

A: The supervisor believes this transaction represents the best opportunity for value enhancement for your investment in the subject LLC after years of action under the supervisor's leadership to preserve, restore, and enhance your investment. Included in that history is a challenging time, which began with litigation commenced in 1997 by Peter L. Malkin and the supervisor to remove Helmsley-Spear, Inc., which is referred to herein as the former property manager and leasing agent (after it was sold by entities controlled by Leona M. Helmsley) as property manager and leasing agent of the properties owned by the subject LLCs and other properties which are now included in the plans for this consolidation.

Since the successful resolution of that litigation, the supervisor has overseen the engagement by the subject LLCs of independent property management and leasing agents and the transformation of the Empire State Building to a self management structure, retaining a third party agent for leasing only; developed and is in the process of effecting a comprehensive renovation and repositioning program for improving the physical condition of, and upgrading the credit quality of, tenants at the property, and raised the properties' profile as part of a well regarded portfolio brand. The supervisor believes that it is an opportune time for the subject

Table of Contents

LLCs to take advantage of the opportunity to participate in the consolidation which will afford participants better value protection through diversification, growth opportunities through potential acquisitions and potential growth in revenue of the initial properties and more stable cash flows for distributions, as well as administrative and operating efficiencies. Additionally, the supervisor believes the consolidation provides value enhancement through better access to capital and options for liquidity for investors who so desire and allows participants to receive operating partnership units in a transaction expected to be tax-deferred for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

The supervisor has reviewed this transaction carefully and believes that current and anticipated property results provide favorable prospects for the consolidation. The supervisor will consider the capital market conditions at the time the IPO is ready to commence, but the supervisor is confident that a well located, well run, well capitalized portfolio of office and retail properties in Manhattan and in the greater New York metropolitan area is a desirable portfolio for an IPO. The consolidation and IPO will launch the company as a public company with its Class A common stock expected to be listed on the New York Stock Exchange, which is referred to herein as the NYSE, upon completion of the IPO. The operating partnership units issued to participants in the subject LLCs are also expected to be listed on the NYSE upon completion of the IPO. The operating partnership units will be issued in three separate series to the participants in each of the three subject LLCs (other than the Wien group) and in a separate series to the participants in the private entities receiving operating partnership units and the Wien group. Each series of operating partnership units will have identical rights as to distributions, liquidation and other rights as a limited partner in the operating partnership. The separate series were created because there are unique U.S. federal income tax consequences to the participants receiving each series of listed operating partnership units (as compared to ownership of operating partnership units of another series) depending on the subject LLC in which they have an interest and the tax aspects of the property contributed by such entity.

The supervisor believes that the consolidation is the best way for participants to achieve liquidity and to maximize the value of their investment in the subject LLCs. The supervisor believes that benefits to participants from the consolidation include:

The opportunity for participants to receive interests in the company's operating partnership on the same basis as participants in the private entities and the Malkin Family in a transaction expected to be tax-deferred for U.S. federal income tax purposes;

Liquidity for participants that elect to receive shares of Class A common stock expected to be listed on the NYSE, which investors may sell from time to time as and when they so desire (subject to the restrictions of applicable U.S. federal and state securities laws and after expiration of the lock-up period as described in this prospectus/consent solicitation). Presently there is no active trading market for the participation interest you hold in your subject LLC, which is only an indirect interest in real property subject to an operating lease, which is not under the operational control of your subject LLC. Participants may also achieve liquidity through sale of Class A common stock issued in exchange for operating partnership units and Class B common stock, subject to such restrictions. Participants in the subject LLCs who receive operating partnership units may also sell operating partnership units, which also are expected to be listed on the NYSE, subject to restrictions described above, although the market for operating partnership units may be more limited than the market for Class A common stock. In addition, each participant in the subject LLCs that receives operating partnership units may, immediately following the consolidation and the IPO, sell up to 4.0% of the operating partnership units he or she receives with respect to each subject LLC (assuming all of the participants in each subject LLC elect to receive operating partnership units, the enterprise value equals the aggregate exchange value and the IPO price equals \$10 per share), which treats all three subject LLCs equally after having determined for Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. the minimum amount required by the NYSE for it to meet the requirements as the primary listing;

Anticipated value enhancement through property diversification and operating and capital structure efficiencies;

Table of Contents

Anticipated regular quarterly cash distributions on their operating partnership units and shares of common stock, which will include distributions of at least 90% of its annual REIT taxable income (determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid, and excluding net capital gains), which is required for REIT qualification as described below. REIT taxable income will be determined by the performance of the portfolio of the company's properties and unaffected by its stock price;

Conversion of the current governance structure which is inefficient and costly in general and in which participants do not share in the same economic benefit that they would receive through ownership and operation of the properties by a single entity into a modern, centralized and efficient governance structure;

The opportunity to continue to hold interests in an entity operating under the brand developed by the supervisor and to participate in any future growth of the company through potential acquisitions and potential growth in revenue of the initial properties, while removing obstacles to obtaining true synergies and realization of value, such as combining financings, movements of tenants from one building to another, sharing of employees and management and oversight;

The opportunity to continue to hold interests in an entity in which certain executives of the supervisor will be members of the senior management team and Anthony E. Malkin will be Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, President and a director of the company and

The governance structure of an SEC reporting company with its Class A common stock expected to be listed on the NYSE, which provides accountability through the oversight of the company by a board of directors consisting predominantly of independent directors.

Q: What will I be entitled to receive if I vote **FOR** the consolidation and the consolidation is approved by my subject LLC?

A: If you vote **FOR** the consolidation and your subject LLC participates in the consolidation, you will receive operating partnership units, unless you elect to receive shares of Class A common stock or, to a limited extent, Class B common stock. You may elect to receive one share of Class B common stock instead of one operating partnership unit for every 50 operating partnership units you would otherwise receive in the consolidation. Each share of Class B common stock has 50 votes on all matters on which stockholders are entitled to vote and the same economic interest as a share of Class A common stock, and one share of Class B common stock and 49 operating partnership units together represent a similar economic value as 50 shares of Class A common stock. The percentage of the aggregate exchange value and the company's common stock on a fully diluted basis allocated to each of Empire State Building Associates L.L.C., 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. and 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C. is 28.30%, 7.49% and 3.90%, respectively. See Summary Allocation of Consideration in the Consolidation.

The operating partnership units will be issued in three separate series to the participants in each of the three subject LLCs (other than the Wien group) and in a separate series to the participants in the private entities receiving operating partnership units and the Wien group. Each series of operating partnership units will have identical rights as to distributions, liquidation and other rights as a limited partner in the operating partnership. The separate series were created because there are unique U.S. federal income tax consequences to the participants receiving each series of listed operating partnership units (as compared to ownership of operating partnership units of another series) depending on the subject LLC in which they have an interest and the tax aspects of the property contributed by such entity.

Table of Contents

Q: How many operating partnership units and shares of common stock will I be entitled to receive if my subject LLC is consolidated with the company?

A: The number of operating partnership units and shares of common stock that will be allocated to each subject LLC in the consolidation based on the exchange value is set forth in the chart under the caption Summary Allocation of Consideration in the Consolidation. The following table sets forth the exchange value allocated to each of the subject LLCs and the shares of common stock or operating partnership units and the exchange value to participants per \$10,000 original investment.

Entity	Total Exchange Value ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Exchange Value to Participants Per \$10,000 Original Investment (except as otherwise noted)	
		Value of Shares of Common Stock or Operating Partnership Units ⁽²⁾	Number of Shares of Common Stock, on a Fully-Diluted Basis
Empire State Building Associates L.L.C.	\$ 1,183,612,549		
Participants (subject to voluntary override)		\$ 323,803	32,380
Participants (not subject to voluntary override)		\$ 358,670	35,867
60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C.	\$ 313,069,533		
Participants		\$ 402,658	40,266
250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C.	\$ 163,064,607		
Participants (subject to voluntary override)		\$ 409,662	40,966
Participants (not subject to voluntary override)		\$ 452,957	45,296

(1) The exchange value is determined as described in Exchange Value and Allocation of Operating Partnership Units and Common Stock Derivation of Exchange Values.

(2) The exchange value of each subject LLC is based on each subject LLC's assets and liabilities included in the quarterly balance sheets of the subject LLC as of June 30, 2012. The exchange value will be revised to reflect changes in the balance sheet items included in the calculation of the exchange value in the final quarterly balance sheet prior to the closing of the consolidation (other than indebtedness incurred after June 30, 2012 which is used to fund capital expenditures taken into account in the Appraisal or held as reserves for such purposes and included in the assets contributed by the subject LLC to the company), but will not be revised based on changes in the balance sheets or other events after the final quarterly balance sheet date prior to the closing of the consolidation.

You will receive a portion of the operating partnership units and common stock allocated to your subject LLC in accordance with your election and with your percentage interest in the subject LLC and the subject LLC's organizational documents, after taking into account the allocations in respect of the supervisor's override interests. The number of operating partnership units and shares of common stock presented in this prospectus/consent solicitation is based on the hypothetical \$10 per share exchange value arbitrarily assigned by the supervisor to illustrate the number of operating partnership units and/or shares of common stock that a participant would receive if the enterprise value of the company determined in connection with the IPO were the same as the aggregate exchange value and the IPO price were \$10 per share. The actual number of operating partnership units and shares of common stock, on a fully-diluted basis, issued in the consolidation will equal the enterprise value divided by the actual IPO price upon pricing of the IPO. The enterprise value (which is based on the IPO price) will be determined by, among other things, market conditions at the time of pricing of the IPO, the historical and future performance of the company and its portfolio of properties and the market's view of the company's net asset value and other valuation metrics. Today, some REITs' common stock trades at a premium to perceived net asset value and others trade at a discount to perceived net asset value. The market's view of the company's net asset value determined in connection with the IPO could be less than the exchange values determined based on the Appraisal. The Appraisal was undertaken in connection with establishing relative value for the purpose of allocation of interests in the company among contributors of interests in the properties and not to establish the value of shares of common stock in the company upon completion of the IPO. In contrast, the pricing of REIT initial public offerings generally takes into account different factors not considered in the Appraisal, including current conditions in the securities markets, investor preferences and the market's view of the company's management team. Additionally, the Appraisal did not take into account transaction costs for the consolidation and the IPO.

Table of Contents

The supervisor believes that initial public offering pricing for REIT common stock generally is at a discount to the market price for common stock of well-established, publicly-traded REITs, and that the company's IPO pricing will be no different. For this and other reasons, the supervisor expects that the enterprise value at the pricing of the IPO will be lower than the aggregate exchange value at the pricing of the IPO, and such discount at the pricing of the IPO could be material and substantial. This discount cannot be determined until the pricing of the IPO. As the company continues to develop a track record as a public company, the supervisor believes that the company's trading price following the IPO will be based on, among other things, the company's historical and future performance, its performance relative to its peers, market conditions generally and its continued seasoning in the public markets. The company currently intends to pay regular quarterly dividends based on the performance of the company and its portfolio of properties, rather than just one property, and those distributions are required to be at least 90% of annual REIT taxable income (determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid, and excluding net capital gains) to maintain its qualification as a REIT. REIT taxable income will be determined by the performance of the portfolio of the company's properties and unaffected by its stock price.

Q: How was the value of my participation interest determined?

A: The value of your participation interest, as described in this prospectus/consent solicitation, was determined based on the exchange value for your subject LLC. The exchange value of your subject LLC and the other subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies is the value of each of and all these entities based on the Appraisal by Duff & Phelps, LLC, which is referred to herein as Duff & Phelps or the independent valuer, which serves as the independent valuer for all the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies. Shares of common stock, operating partnership units and/or cash, as applicable, will be allocated among the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies based upon the exchange values of each subject LLC, each private entity and the management companies. The exchange value was then allocated among the participants and the holders of the override interests by the independent valuer in accordance with each subject LLC's organizational documents. However, as described elsewhere in this prospectus/consent solicitation, while the exchange value was used to establish the relative value of the properties and participation interests, this value does not necessarily represent the fair market value of your participation interest. The number of shares of Class A common stock, Class B common stock, and operating partnership units issued in the consolidation will be determined based on the company's enterprise value, which will be determined based on the IPO price, without giving effect to shares of Class A common stock issued in the IPO.

The fair market value of the consideration that you receive will not be known until the pricing of the IPO, which will occur after you vote upon the approval of the consolidation transaction. Accordingly, at the time you vote on the consolidation proposal, you will not know the amount of the consideration you will receive. The value of the consideration will be based on the enterprise value determined in connection with pricing of the IPO.

The IPO will take place after approval of the consolidation. To allow participants to determine the potential value at the time of the IPO of the securities that they will receive, the following table sets forth a range of enterprise values per \$10,000 original investment for participants in each of the three subject LLCs. The exchange value is shown as 100%, and various premiums and discounts are shown in relation to the exchange value. The enterprise values in the table are being furnished for illustrative purposes and do not represent the supervisor's estimate of the range of likely enterprise values or the range of prices at which the company will complete the IPO.

The IPO price will not impact the regular, quarterly dividends the company currently intends to pay, which will be based on the performance of the company and its portfolio of properties, rather than just one property, and are required to be at least 90% of annual REIT taxable income (determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid, and excluding net capital gains) to maintain its qualification as a REIT. REIT taxable income will be determined by the performance of the portfolio of the company's properties and unaffected by its stock price.

Table of Contents

After the applicable lock-up periods referenced herein have expired, any participant may choose when, if ever, he wishes to sell and in making that decision will be able to gauge the market price at that time. Other than the Helmsley estate, no participant will be able to sell his common stock and operating partnership units in the IPO or be required to sell such securities at that time. Therefore, the IPO price will not show the value that a participant may receive upon such later sale(s), but will only be an indication of how the market values the company at the date of the IPO.

The exchange value methodology will cause any enterprise value at the IPO below the exchange value to reduce the shares allocated to the Malkin Holdings group in respect of their override interests.

Enterprise Value as a Percentage of Exchange Value	Enterprise Value (based on IPO Price) Per \$10,000 Original Investment ⁽¹⁾ 60 East 42 nd St.								
	Empire State Building Associates L.L.C.			Associates L.L.C.			250 West 57 th St. Associates L.L.C.		
	Participants (Voluntary Override)	Participants (No Voluntary Override)	Override to Supervisor ⁽²⁾	Participants	Override to Supervisor ⁽²⁾	Participants	Participants (Voluntary Override)	Participants (No Voluntary Override)	Override to Supervisor ⁽²⁾
150% of Exchange Value	\$ 485,205	\$ 538,006	\$ 52,801	\$ 603,917	\$ 66,946	\$ 613,492	\$ 679,436	\$ 65,944	
145% of Exchange Value	\$ 469,065	\$ 520,072	\$ 51,007	\$ 583,791	\$ 64,710	\$ 593,109	\$ 656,788	\$ 63,679	
140% of Exchange Value	\$ 452,925	\$ 502,139	\$ 49,214	\$ 563,665	\$ 62,474	\$ 572,726	\$ 634,140	\$ 61,414	
135% of Exchange Value	\$ 436,785	\$ 484,205	\$ 47,421	\$ 543,539	\$ 60,238	\$ 552,343	\$ 611,492	\$ 59,149	
130% of Exchange Value	\$ 420,644	\$ 466,272	\$ 45,627	\$ 523,413	\$ 58,001	\$ 531,960	\$ 588,844	\$ 56,884	
125% of Exchange Value	\$ 404,504	\$ 448,338	\$ 43,834	\$ 503,287	\$ 55,765	\$ 511,577	\$ 566,197	\$ 54,620	
120% of Exchange Value	\$ 388,364	\$ 430,405	\$ 42,040	\$ 483,162	\$ 53,529	\$ 491,194	\$ 543,549	\$ 52,355	
115% of Exchange Value	\$ 372,224	\$ 412,471	\$ 40,247	\$ 463,036	\$ 51,293	\$ 470,811	\$ 520,901	\$ 50,090	
110% of Exchange Value	\$ 356,084	\$ 394,538	\$ 38,454	\$ 442,910	\$ 49,057	\$ 450,428	\$ 498,253	\$ 47,825	
105% of Exchange Value	\$ 339,994	\$ 376,604	\$ 36,660	\$ 422,784	\$ 46,820	\$ 430,045	\$ 475,605	\$ 45,561	
100% of Exchange Value	\$ 323,803	\$ 358,670	\$ 34,867	\$ 402,658	\$ 44,584	\$ 409,662	\$ 452,957	\$ 43,296	
95% of Exchange Value	\$ 307,663	\$ 340,737	\$ 33,074	\$ 382,532	\$ 42,348	\$ 389,278	\$ 430,309	\$ 41,031	
90% of Exchange Value	\$ 291,523	\$ 322,803	\$ 31,280	\$ 362,406	\$ 40,112	\$ 368,895	\$ 407,662	\$ 38,766	
85% of Exchange Value	\$ 275,383	\$ 304,870	\$ 29,487	\$ 342,280	\$ 37,876	\$ 348,512	\$ 385,014	\$ 36,501	
80% of Exchange Value	\$ 259,243	\$ 286,936	\$ 27,694	\$ 322,154	\$ 35,639	\$ 328,129	\$ 362,366	\$ 34,237	
75% of Exchange Value	\$ 243,103	\$ 269,003	\$ 25,900	\$ 302,028	\$ 33,403	\$ 307,746	\$ 339,718	\$ 31,972	
70% of Exchange Value	\$ 226,962	\$ 251,069	\$ 24,107	\$ 281,903	\$ 31,167	\$ 287,363	\$ 317,070	\$ 29,707	
65% of Exchange Value	\$ 210,822	\$ 233,136	\$ 22,314	\$ 261,777	\$ 28,931	\$ 266,980	\$ 294,422	\$ 27,442	
60% of Exchange Value	\$ 194,682	\$ 215,202	\$ 20,520	\$ 241,651	\$ 26,695	\$ 246,597	\$ 271,774	\$ 25,177	
55% of Exchange Value	\$ 178,542	\$ 197,269	\$ 18,727	\$ 221,525	\$ 24,458	\$ 226,214	\$ 249,126	\$ 22,913	
50% of Exchange Value	\$ 162,402	\$ 179,335	\$ 16,934	\$ 201,399	\$ 22,222	\$ 205,831	\$ 226,479	\$ 20,648	

(1) Assumes that the enterprise value equals the percentage of exchange value noted in the relevant row.

(2) Represents override payable to Malkin Holdings LLC with respect to distributions out of capital proceeds per \$10,000 original investment.

The enterprise value (which is based on the IPO price) will be determined by, among other things, market conditions at the time of pricing of the IPO, the historical and future performance of the company and its portfolio of properties and the market's view of the company's net asset value and other valuation metrics. Today, some REITs' common stock trades at a premium to perceived net asset value and others trade at a discount to perceived net asset value. The market's view of the company's net asset value determined in connection with the IPO could be less than the exchange values determined based on the Appraisal. The Appraisal was undertaken in connection with establishing relative value for the purpose of allocation of interests in the company among contributors of interests in the properties and not to establish the value of shares of common stock in the company upon completion of the IPO. In contrast, the pricing of REIT initial public offerings generally takes into account different factors not considered in the Appraisal, including current conditions in the securities markets, investor preferences and the market's view of the company's management team. Additionally, the Appraisal did not take into account transaction costs for the consolidation and the IPO.

The supervisor believes that initial public offering pricing for REIT common stock generally is at a discount to the market price for common stock of well-established, publicly-traded REITs, and that the company's IPO pricing will be no different. For this and other reasons, the supervisor expects that the enterprise value at the pricing of the IPO will be lower than the aggregate exchange value at the pricing of the IPO.

Edgar Filing: Empire State Realty Trust, Inc. - Form S-4/A

and such discount at the pricing of the IPO could be material and substantial. This discount cannot be determined until the pricing of the IPO. As the company continues to develop a track record as a public company, the supervisor believes that the company's trading price following the IPO will be based on, among other things, the company's historical and future performance, its performance relative to its peers, market conditions generally and its continued seasoning in the public markets.

Table of Contents

Q: What is the difference between how the exchange value was determined and how the enterprise value of the company will be determined?

A: In the prospectus/consent solicitation, there are descriptions of the exchange values of the subject LLCs and discussion of the enterprise value in valuing the consideration that participants will receive. The following summarizes how the exchange value and the enterprise value are determined.

The aggregate exchange value is the sum of the exchange values of each of the subject LLCs, private entities and the management companies. These exchange values were calculated by the independent valuer based on the Appraisal (after making certain adjustments). The description of the Appraisal is set forth under Reports, Opinions and Appraisals Appraisal and the adjustments to the Appraisal to calculate the exchange values is set forth under Exchange Value and Allocation of Operating Partnership Units and Common Stock Derivation of Exchange Values.

The enterprise value is the value of the company after completion of the consolidation (but immediately before the IPO). While this prospectus/consent solicitation shows the value of the consideration that you would receive based on the exchange value solely for illustrative purposes, the actual value of your consideration will be based on the enterprise value. The enterprise value is the value of the company determined based on IPO price rather than the appraised value. The enterprise value will equal the total number of shares of common stock and total number of operating partnership units issuable in the consolidation (excluding any shares of common stock issued in the IPO, and assuming all participants in the private entities receive shares of common stock or operating partnership units and not cash) multiplied by the IPO price. The enterprise value will not be known until the IPO pricing date. The prospectus for the IPO will show the number of shares of common stock and operating partnership units outstanding immediately before the IPO (which may be different from the hypothetical number of shares calculated by dividing the aggregate exchange value by the \$10 per share hypothetical price per share) and the IPO price.

The enterprise value (which is based on the IPO price) will be determined by, among other things, market conditions at the time of pricing of the IPO, the historical and future performance of the company and its portfolio of properties and the market's view of the company's net asset value and other valuation metrics. The Appraisal was undertaken in connection with establishing relative pre-consolidation value for the purpose of allocation of interests in the company among contributors of interests in the properties and not to establish the value of shares of common stock in the company upon completion of the IPO. In contrast, the pricing of REIT initial public offerings generally takes into account different factors not considered in the Appraisal, including current conditions in the securities markets, investor preferences and the market's view of the company's management team. Additionally, the Appraisal did not take into account transaction costs for the consolidation and IPO.

Q: If my subject LLC consolidates with the company, may I choose to receive something other than operating partnership units?

A: Yes. Each participant will have the opportunity to receive operating partnership units in a transaction expected to be tax-deferred for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Each participant in the subject LLCs will receive operating partnership units, unless he or she elects to receive shares of Class A common stock or, to a limited extent, Class B common stock. Each participant may elect to receive one share of Class B common stock instead of one operating partnership unit for every 50 operating partnership units such participant would otherwise receive in the consolidation. Each share of Class B common stock has 50 votes on all matters on which stockholders are entitled to vote and the same economic interest as a share of Class A common stock, and one share of Class B common stock and 49 operating partnership units together represent a similar economic value as 50 shares of Class A common stock.

Q: Will I receive a distribution of cash from my subject LLC at the closing of the consolidation?

A: The subject LLCs will distribute promptly following the closing any excess cash held by them at the time of the closing of the consolidation. The cash to be distributed by a subject LLC will be (i) any cash held by

Table of Contents

such entity at the closing in excess of the normalized level of net working capital for such entity, as determined by the supervisor, (ii) the consolidation expenses reimbursed by the operating partnership to the subject LLC at the closing of the consolidation out of proceeds of the IPO and (iii) overage rent that will have accrued through the date of the closing of the consolidation.

The following table shows, for each of the subject LLCs, the amount of cash at September 30, 2012 which would have been available for distribution by the subject LLCs (in addition to any amounts that would have been distributable out of accrued overage rent) had the closing occurred on such date; the amount of reimbursement for costs incurred in connection with the consolidation and the IPO out of the proceeds of the IPO entitled to be received by the subject LLCs as of September 30, 2012; total distributions by each subject LLC and to each participant per \$10,000 original investment out of such excess cash (including such reimbursements); the payment under the voluntary pro rata reimbursement program per \$10,000 original investment; the amount of cash distributions that would be received by participants who consent to the voluntary pro rata reimbursement program per \$10,000 original investment and the additional proceeds to be received by participants from the class action settlement per \$10,000 original investment.

	Available Cash	Reimbursement of Costs in Connection with the Consolidation and IPO	Total Distribution to Participants	Total Distribution to Participants per \$10,000 Original Investment ⁽¹⁾	Payment under Voluntary Pro Rata Reimbursement Program per \$10,000 Original Investment	Distribution to Participants Who Consent to the Voluntary Pro Rata Reimbursement Program per \$10,000 Original Investment	Additional Proceeds to be Received by Participants from the Class Action Settlement per \$10,000 Original Investment ⁽²⁾
Empire State Building Associates L.L.C.	\$ 3,350,000	\$ 15,500,000	\$ 18,850,000	\$ 5,012	\$ 1,029	\$ 3,983	\$ 9,840 ⁽³⁾
60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C.	\$ 150,000	\$ 3,600,000	\$ 3,750,000	\$ 5,357	\$ 2,410	\$ 2,947	\$ 6,530
250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C.	\$ 380,000	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 2,180,000	\$ 6,055	\$ 2,080	\$ 3,975	\$ 6,370 ⁽⁴⁾

- (1) The actual amount of distributions will be based on cash available at closing of the consolidation and no assurance can be given that these cash amounts will be available for distribution.
- (2) The allocation of settlement proceeds from the class action settlement is in addition to the distributions shown elsewhere in this table. The allocation of net settlement proceeds (that is, net of any court-awarded attorneys' fees and expenses) shown in the table is based on the current plan of allocation proposed by counsel for the class plaintiffs. The settlement and the allocation of settlement proceeds are approximate and subject to court approval, and the proposed allocation is subject to revision by counsel for the class. They are not effective until such court approval is final, including the resolution of any appeal.
- (3) \$8,350 per \$10,000 original investment for participants not subject to voluntary capital override.
- (4) \$4,700 per \$10,000 original investment for participants not subject to voluntary capital override.

Q: Who will pay transaction expenses relating to the consolidation and the IPO if the consolidation closes and the IPO is consummated, and who will pay the transaction costs relating to the consolidation and the IPO if the consolidation does not close?

A: If the company acquires the property of your subject LLC in the consolidation and the IPO is consummated, the company will bear all consolidation and IPO expenses. Your subject LLC will be reimbursed for the consolidation expenses previously paid by it out of the proceeds from the IPO and the amount reimbursed will be distributed to participants in your subject LLC. Each of Empire State Building Associates L.L.C., 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. and 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C.'s allocable share of the costs of the consolidation and IPO as of September 30, 2012 are \$16,024,725, \$4,286,205, and \$2,232,502, respectively. The supervisor estimates that the aggregate costs of the consolidation and IPO will be approximately \$75,000,000 and that each of Empire State Building Associates L.L.C., 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. and 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C.'s allocable share of such aggregate costs will be approximately \$18,600,000, \$4,900,000 and \$2,600,000, respectively. If the consolidation does not close or your subject LLC does not approve the consolidation, your subject LLC will bear its proportionate share of the consolidation and IPO expenses based on exchange values and will not be reimbursed for the consolidation and IPO expenses previously paid by it.

Table of Contents

Q: What will I be entitled to receive if I don't vote **FOR** the consolidation and the consolidation proposal is approved by my subject LLC?

A: If you vote **AGAINST** the consolidation, you do not vote or you **ABSTAIN**, and your subject LLC participates in the consolidation, if you are a participant in 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C., you will receive operating partnership units, unless you elect to receive shares of Class A common stock or, to a limited extent, as described in response to the immediately preceding question, Class B common stock, and, as set forth under the section entitled Summary Voting Procedures for the Consolidation Proposal and the Third-Party Portfolio Proposal, if you are a participant in Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C., your participation interests will be subject to a buyout pursuant to a buyout right included in the participating agreements since inception of the subject LLCs, even if the consolidation is not consummated or the consolidation is not approved by the other participating groups in your subject LLC. The buyout amount for your interest would be substantially lower than the exchange value. The buyout amount, which is equal to the original cost less capital repaid, but not less than \$100, is currently \$100 for the interest held by a participant in Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and \$100 for the interest held by a participant in 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C., as compared to the exchange value of \$323,800 (or \$358,670 if you are not subject to the voluntary capital override) per \$10,000 original investment for Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and \$402,660 per \$10,000 original investment for 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C., respectively. Prior to an agent purchasing the participation interests of non-consenting participants for the benefit of the applicable subject LLC, the agent will give such participants not less than ten days' notice after the required supermajority consent is received by the applicable participating group in such subject LLC to permit them to consent to the consolidation or the third-party portfolio proposal, as applicable, in which case their participation interests will not be purchased.

Q: Who is the supervisor?

A: The supervisor of the subject LLCs, Malkin Holdings LLC, provides all asset management services for, and supervises the operations of, the subject LLCs. Anthony E. Malkin and Peter L. Malkin are principals of the supervisor. The supervisor, which is related to the principals who formed the subject LLCs, was appointed as the supervisor of the subject LLCs pursuant to the original partnership agreement of each of the subject LLCs and is the only party which has performed, and is authorized to perform, this role under the subject LLCs' organizational documents. The supervisor is controlled and managed by lineal descendants of the founder of the subject LLCs, Lawrence A. Wien. The members of the supervisor are Peter L. Malkin, Anthony E. Malkin, direct descendants of Peter L. Malkin, and trusts and entities, the beneficiaries and owners of which are Peter L. Malkin, his descendants and their spouses, and Thomas N. Keltner, Jr. The subject LLCs were originally established as partnerships with no managing general partner or managing member and the supervisor is responsible for the operations and administrative functions on behalf of the subject LLCs. The supervisor, in its capacity as supervisor of each of the subject LLCs, provides and directs all administrative and oversight services. The supervisor also provides similar services to the private entities, including the private entities that hold operating lease interests in the properties owned by the subject LLCs.

Q: What are the rights of holders of Class A common stock and Class B common stock?

A: Each share of Class A common stock entitles the holder to one vote. Operating partnership units have economic rights similar to the Class A common stock but do not have the right to vote on matters presented to holders of Class A common stock and Class B common stock. The participants in the subject LLCs have an option to elect to receive one share of Class B common stock instead of one operating partnership unit for every 50 operating partnership units such participant would otherwise receive in the consolidation. Accredited investors in the private entities and the management companies had the same option at the time they made their election of consideration in the private solicitation. The Class B common stock provides its holder with a voting right that is no greater than if such holder had received solely Class A common stock in the consolidation. Each outstanding share of Class B common stock entitles the holder to 50 votes on all matters on which the stockholders of Class A common stock are entitled to vote, including the election of

Table of Contents

directors, and holders of shares of Class A common stock and Class B common stock will vote together as a single class. Each share of Class B common stock has the same economic interest as a share of Class A common stock, and one share of Class B common stock and 49 operating partnership units together represent a similar economic value as 50 shares of Class A common stock. One share of Class B common stock may be converted into one share of Class A common stock at any time, and one share of Class B common stock is subject to automatic conversion into one share of Class A common stock upon a direct or indirect transfer of such share of Class B common stock or certain transfers of the operating partnership units held by the holder of Class B common stock (or a permitted transferee) to a person other than a permitted transferee.

Q: What are the rights of holders of operating partnership units, and when are operating partnership units exchangeable for shares of common stock?

A: Holders of operating partnership units and stockholders of the company will have the same rights to distributions. Holders of operating partnership units will not have the right to vote on matters presented to holders of common stock. Participants will have the right to elect to receive Class B common stock, which vote together as a class with the Class A common stock, in lieu of a portion of the operating partnership units issuable to them, as described in response to the immediately preceding question.

Beginning 12 months after the completion of the IPO, each holder of operating partnership units will have the right, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the operating partnership agreement, to require the operating partnership to redeem all or a portion of its operating partnership units for a cash amount equal to the then-current market value of one share of Class A common stock per operating partnership unit, or, at the company's election, to exchange each such operating partnership unit for a share of Class A common stock on a one-for-one basis.

The operating partnership units will be issued in three separate series to the participants in each of the three subject LLCs, other than the Wien group. The operating partnership units of each of these series are expected to be separately listed on the NYSE and traded separately. In addition, a separate series of operating partnership units, which will not be listed on a national securities exchange, will be issued to the participants in the private entities and the Wien group. Each series of operating partnership units has identical rights as to distributions, liquidation and other rights as a limited partner in the operating partnership. The four series vote together as a single class on all matters on which the holders of operating partnership units have the right to vote or consent. The separate series were created because there are unique U.S. federal income tax consequences to the participants receiving each series of listed operating partnership units (as compared to ownership of operating partnership units of another series) depending on the subject LLC in which they have an interest and the tax aspects of the property contributed by such entity. By issuing the operating partnership units in separate series, each of the operating partnership units in a series that will be trading on the NYSE is expected to be uniform with other operating partnership units of that series with regard to distributions, liquidation and other rights as a limited partner in the operating partnership.

Q: When can I sell operating partnership units or shares of Class A common stock of the company after the consolidation and the IPO?

A: After the consolidation and the IPO, each participant (except the Malkin Family, whose members are subject to a longer restrictive period in which they cannot sell) will have the ability to sell up to 50% of both the operating partnership units and common stock received in the consolidation at any time after the 180th day following the IPO pricing date and the balance of the operating partnership units and Class A common stock 12 months after the IPO pricing date. This includes Class A common stock issuable in exchange for operating partnership units, which are only issuable in exchange for operating partnership units beginning 12 months after the completion of the IPO, and Class B common stock. In addition, each participant in the subject LLCs that receives operating partnership units may, immediately following the consolidation and the IPO, sell up to 4.0% of the operating partnership units he or she receives with respect to each subject LLC (assuming all of the participants in each subject LLC elect to receive operating partnership units, the enterprise value equals the aggregate exchange value and the IPO price equals \$10 per

Table of Contents

share), which treats all three subject LLCs equally after having determined for Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. the minimum amount required by the NYSE for it to meet the requirements as the primary listing. Participants in the subject LLCs who receive operating partnership units may also sell operating partnership units, subject to restrictions described above, although the market for operating partnership units may be more limited than the market for Class A common stock.

Q: Will I be able to transfer the operating partnership units and shares of Class A common stock I receive in the consolidation?

A: As limited partners of the operating partnership or stockholders of the company, participants will own operating partnership units and Class A common stock, as applicable, which are expected to be listed on the NYSE, and therefore will be publicly valued and freely tradable. Participants will be able to achieve liquidity by selling all or part of their shares of Class A common stock, subject to the restrictions of applicable U.S. federal and state securities laws and after expiration of the lock-up period. Participants may also achieve liquidity through sale of Class A common stock issued in exchange for operating partnership units and Class B common stock, subject to such restrictions. Participants in the subject LLCs who receive operating partnership units may also sell operating partnership units, subject to restrictions described above, although the market for operating partnership units may be more limited than the market for Class A common stock. In addition, each participant in the subject LLCs that receives operating partnership units may, immediately following the consolidation and the IPO, sell up to 4.0% of the operating partnership units he or she receives with respect to each subject LLC (assuming all of the participants in each subject LLC elect to receive operating partnership units, the enterprise value equals the aggregate exchange value and the IPO price equals \$10 per share), which treats all three subject LLCs equally after having determined for Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. the minimum amount required by the NYSE for it to meet the requirements as the primary listing.

Q: Why is the company entering into the IPO?

A: The IPO is an integral part of the consolidation, the reasons for which are described in response to the immediately preceding question. The supervisor believes that the IPO will provide liquidity by exchanging the current, illiquid interests held by participants for shares of Class A common stock (or operating partnership units or to a limited extent, as described herein, Class B common stock exchangeable for Class A common stock) expected to be listed on the NYSE, which investors may sell from time to time as and when they so desire (subject to the restrictions of applicable U.S. federal and state securities laws and after expiration of the lock-up period as described in this prospectus/consent solicitation). There is expected to be an active trading market in the Class A common stock as a result of the IPO. The supervisor also believes the consolidated entity will have access to additional sources of capital. The company intends to use the proceeds from the IPO (i) to provide cash consideration in the consolidation to the non-accredited investors in the private entities in an estimated amount equal to \$85.3 million, (ii) to pay fees and other expenses of the consolidation and the IPO (including in connection with the assumption of indebtedness), in an estimated amount equal to \$155.0 million, (iii) to repay a loan made by investors in one of the private entities, including Anthony E. Malkin and Peter L. Malkin, to such private entity, in an amount equal to \$3.6 million, (iv) to pay transfer taxes and other expenses and for general working capital purposes, in an estimated amount equal to \$84.2 million, and (v) with respect to the balance of the net proceeds, to provide cash consideration to the Helmsley estate and other charitable organizations, to provide them cash in lieu of common stock or operating partnership units in an amount estimated to equal \$671.9 million. The estimated amounts shown in the preceding sentences assume that the net proceeds from the IPO are equal to the assumed net proceeds set forth in the pro forma financial statements. If additional shares of Class A common stock are sold in the IPO, the net proceeds will be used to pay additional cash in lieu of common stock or operating partnership units to the Helmsley estate and other charitable organizations. See the unaudited pro forma financial information for the company beginning on page F-5 of this prospectus/consent solicitation.

Table of Contents

Q: What discretion does the supervisor and the Helmsley estate have not to proceed with the IPO?

A: The company will proceed with the IPO only if the IPO is approved by a pricing committee formed in connection with the IPO. The pricing committee will have the authority to evaluate market conditions, determine the desirability of continuing to pursue the IPO and approve the price and terms of the IPO, based, in part, on discussions with the underwriters retained for the IPO. The pricing committee will consist of representatives of the supervisor and a representative of the Helmsley estate, who must act unanimously to approve the IPO. Accordingly, the supervisor and the Helmsley estate each have the discretion to determine whether the IPO will proceed. If the IPO does not close, the consolidation will not close.

Q: What are the conditions for the consolidation to close?

A: The following conditions must be satisfied to consummate the consolidation of the subject LLC: (i) requisite consent of the participants in the subject LLC must have been received; (ii) the closing of the IPO and the listing of the three series of operating partnership units issued to participants in the subject LLCs and Class A common stock on the NYSE or another national securities exchange; (iii) the closing of the consolidation no later than December 31, 2014; (iv) the contribution to the company of the property interests in the Empire State Building owned by Empire State Building Associates L.L.C., which owns the fee interest and the underlying land, and Empire State Building Company L.L.C., the private entity which is the operating lessee with respect to the Empire State Building, and (v) other customary conditions. The consolidation is not conditioned on any of the other subject LLCs or private entities contributing their property interests to the company in the consolidation.

Q: Has the company received consents from the private entities and the management companies for the consolidation?

A: All required consents of the private entities and the management companies, including the consents of the Wien group and the interests of the estate of Leona M. Helmsley (which is referred to herein as the Helmsley estate), to the acquisition by the company of the assets of the private entities and the management companies have been obtained prior to the date of this prospectus/consent solicitation. In addition, the Wien group collectively owns participation interests in the subject LLCs and has advised that it will vote in favor of the consolidation and the third-party portfolio proposal. These participation interests held by the Wien group represent the following percentage ownership for each subject LLC: 8.195% for Empire State Building Associates L.L.C., 8.447% for 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. and 8.912% for 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C. In addition to the participation interests, members of the Wien group hold override interests, which are non-voting. See Background of and Reasons for the Consolidation Background of the Subject LLCs.

Q: Have there been prior transactions that are comparable to the consolidation?

A: The proposed consolidation and IPO include many elements that generally are not present in other transactions. These elements include the acquisitions of properties and assets from more than 20 private entities and the three publicly registered entities, the acquisition of the properties from the subject LLCs in a transaction in which the securities are being registered on a Registration Statement on Form S-4 that is subject to the SEC's roll-up regulations, certain of the subject LLCs and the private entities having a two-tier ownership structure, and an IPO by the company following completion of the solicitation of the participants in the subject LLCs and simultaneously with the closing of the consolidation. As a result, the supervisor believes that the consolidation is a unique transaction and is not aware of any comparable transaction.

Q: When will the buyout provisions be triggered?

A: The buyout provisions for Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. are triggered only if a supermajority consent is received with respect to either the consolidation or the third-party portfolio transaction by the applicable participating group. Unanimity on the consents is required pursuant to the organizational documents of Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and 60 East

Table of Contents

42nd St. Associates L.L.C. with respect to both the consolidation and the third-party portfolio proposal for the consent of a participating group; therefore, a participant in either of such subject LLCs who does not vote in favor of such proposal (and does not change his or her vote after notice that the requisite supermajority consent has been obtained) will be subject to this buyout regardless of whether either or neither transaction is consummated or the required consent of other participating groups is received. If the required supermajority consent is not received by the applicable participating group, participants cannot and will not be subject to the buyout provisions. Thus, a participant in Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. or 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. would be subject to a buyout if such participant:

votes **AGAINST** or **ABSTAINS** with respect to the consolidation, and the supermajority consent from participants in such participant's participating group is obtained with respect to the consolidation, and votes **FOR** the third-party portfolio transaction;

votes **AGAINST** or **ABSTAINS** with respect to the third-party portfolio transaction, and the supermajority consent from participants in such participant's participating group is obtained with respect to the third-party portfolio transaction, and votes **FOR** the consolidation;

votes **AGAINST** or **ABSTAINS** with respect to the consolidation and votes **AGAINST** or **ABSTAINS** with respect to the third-party portfolio transaction, and the supermajority consent from participants in such participant's participating group is obtained with respect to either or both proposals; or

does not vote and the supermajority consent from participants in such participant's participating group is obtained with respect to either or both proposals.

Q: What is a REIT, and why will the company elect to be a REIT?

A: A REIT is an entity that has elected and qualifies to be taxed as a real estate investment trust under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, referred to herein as the Code. A REIT is subject to requirements under the Code related to, among other things, the nature of its income and the composition of its assets, the amount of its annual distributions, and the diversity of its stock ownership. The primary benefit of REIT qualification is that a REIT is generally entitled to a deduction for dividends that it pays and, therefore, is not subject to U.S. federal corporate income tax on its net income distributed to its stockholders if it distributes its net taxable income to its stockholders on an annual basis. Therefore, upon a distribution of dividends by the company to its stockholders, income generated by the company will be taxed only at the stockholder-level. By contrast, a non-REIT C corporation is subject to U.S. federal corporate income tax on its taxable income without regard to dividends paid, and its stockholders are subject to U.S. federal income tax on dividends received.

Q: What is the scope of the public U.S. REIT market?

A: According to the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, as of December 13, 2012, there were approximately 154 REITs in the U.S. that trade on one of the major stock exchanges, with 144 trading on the NYSE. Total equity market capitalization was approximately \$578 billion.

Q: What is the operating partnership?

A: The structure of the company generally is referred to as an UPREIT structure. Substantially all of the company's assets will be held directly or indirectly by the operating partnership. Holders of operating partnership units and stockholders of the company will have the same rights to distributions. This structure generally will enable the company to acquire assets in transactions that will not trigger the recognition of gain to the owners of the acquired assets, assuming certain conditions are met.

Table of Contents

The company will be the sole general partner of the operating partnership. As such, the company generally has the exclusive power under the operating partnership agreement to manage and conduct the business of the operating partnership, without the consent of the holders of operating partnership units or the stockholders.

The operating partnership units will be owned by the company and by any person who transfers interests or assets to the operating partnership or one of its subsidiaries in exchange for operating partnership units, including participants in the private entities and the Malkin Holdings group that will be issued operating partnership units as part of the consolidation in exchange for their participation interests and override interests in the private entities and the subject LLCs and their interests in certain of the management companies, as applicable. The company will own one operating partnership unit for each outstanding share of common stock.

Q: Why am I being asked to consent to a third-party portfolio proposal?

A: As a potential alternative to the consolidation, you also are being asked to consent to the sale or contribution of the subject LLC's property interest as part of a sale or contribution of the properties owned by the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies as a portfolio to an unaffiliated third party. Through solicitation of consents, for the first time the properties owned by the subject LLCs and the private entities can be joined as a single portfolio. While the supervisor believes the consolidation and IPO represent the best opportunity for participants in the subject LLCs and the private entities to achieve liquidity and to maximize the value of their respective investments, the supervisor also believes it is in the best interest of all participants for the supervisor to be able to approve offers from unaffiliated third parties for the portfolio as a whole.

Market forces are dynamic, unpredictable, and subject to volatility. Should the public awareness of the proposed consolidation and IPO produce potential compelling offers from unaffiliated third parties to purchase the consolidated portfolio, it will be costly and time consuming to solicit consents to allow a sale or contribution of the portfolio to a third party, and there is considerable risk that any opportunity which might appear would be lost without the requested consent in place. Therefore, the supervisor believes that it is advisable to have the flexibility and discretion, subject to certain conditions, to accept an offer for the entire portfolio of properties from an unaffiliated third party, rather than pursue the consolidation and IPO, if the supervisor determines the offer price includes what the supervisor believes is an adequate premium above the value that is expected to be realized over time from the consolidation. The third-party portfolio transaction would be undertaken only if the aggregate consideration payable in the third-party portfolio transaction is at least 115% of the aggregate exchange value for the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies included in the third-party portfolio transaction and certain other conditions are met. Any third-party portfolio proposal must provide for all cash, payable in full at closing, but such proposal may provide for an option for all participants to elect to receive securities as an alternative to cash. If the proposal provides for a securities option, the Malkin Family will have the right to elect to receive securities only on the same proportional basis as other participants. No member of the Malkin Family will be an affiliate, consultant, employee, officer or director of the acquiror after the closing or receive any compensation from the acquiror (other than consideration that they will receive in the third-party portfolio transaction). In addition, the supervisor has agreed that it will not accept a third-party offer unless it is unanimously approved by a committee which will include representatives of the supervisor and a representative of the Helmsley estate. The supervisor will be authorized to approve offers only if definitive agreements are entered into prior to December 31, 2015 or such earlier date as the supervisor may set with or without notice or public announcement.

Q: What will I be entitled to receive if I don't vote **FOR** the third-party portfolio proposal and it is approved by my subject LLC?

A: If you vote **AGAINST** the third-party portfolio proposal, you do not vote or you **ABSTAIN**, and your subject LLC participates in the third-party portfolio proposal, if you are a participant in 250 West 57th

Table of Contents

St. Associates L.L.C. you will receive the same consideration as other participants. Participants in 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C. are not subject to a buyout. As set forth under the section entitled Summary Voting Procedures for the Consolidation Proposal and the Third-Party Portfolio Proposal, if you are a participant in Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C., your participation interests will be subject to a buyout pursuant to a buyout right included in the participating agreements since inception of the subject LLCs even if the third-party portfolio proposal is not approved by the other participating groups in your subject LLC. The buyout amount for your interest would be substantially lower than the exchange value in connection with the allocation of consideration in the consolidation. The buyout amount, which is equal to the original cost less capital repaid, but not less than \$100, is currently \$100 for the interest held by a participant in Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and \$100 for the interest held by a participant in 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C., as compared to the exchange value of \$323,800 (or \$358,670 if you are not subject to the voluntary capital override) per \$10,000 original investment for Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and \$402,660 per \$10,000 original investment for 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C., respectively. Prior to an agent purchasing the participation interests of non-consenting participants for the benefit of the applicable subject LLC, the agent will give such participants not less than ten days notice after the required supermajority consent is received by the applicable participating group in such subject LLC to permit them to consent to the consolidation or the third-party portfolio proposal, as applicable, in which case their participation interests will not be purchased.

Q: Why am I being asked to consent to a voluntary pro rata reimbursement program?

A: You are being asked to consent to a voluntary pro rata reimbursement program pursuant to which the supervisor and Peter L. Malkin, a principal of the supervisor, will be reimbursed for the prior advances of all costs, plus interest, incurred in connection with the legal proceedings with Helmsley-Spear, Inc., the former property manager and leasing agent, which resulted in the removal of the former property manager and leasing agent as property manager and leasing agent of the properties owned by the subject LLCs and certain of the private entities and has enabled a renovation and repositioning turnaround program to be implemented by the supervisor. If you consent to the voluntary pro rata reimbursement program, the supervisor and Peter L. Malkin will be reimbursed for your pro rata share of costs, plus interest, previously incurred out of your share of the excess cash of your subject LLC that is being distributed to participants, and, to the extent that is insufficient, the consideration that you would receive in the consolidation or the consideration that you would receive in a third-party portfolio transaction, as applicable, will be reduced by the balance (valued, if the consolidation is consummated, at the IPO price) and such balance would be paid to the supervisor and Peter L. Malkin in shares of Class A common stock, if the consolidation is consummated, or out of distributions that you would receive from the proceeds of a third-party portfolio transaction, if consummated, or out of distributions from operations of the subject LLC.

The table below shows the amount to be received by the supervisor out of the distributions of each consenting participant for each \$10,000 of original investment by a participant pursuant to the voluntary pro rata reimbursement program:

	Voluntary Reimbursement	
	Per \$10,000 Original Investment⁽¹⁾	Total Potential Reimbursement with 100% Participation
Empire State Building Associates L.L.C.	\$ 1,029	\$ 3,396,880
60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C.	\$ 2,410	\$ 1,687,101
250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C.	\$ 2,080	\$ 748,704

(1) Empire State Building Associates L.L.C.'s, 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C.'s and 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C.'s share of the aggregate voluntary reimbursement (before any reimbursements) is \$3,150,896, \$1,564,930, and \$694,487, respectively, plus interest. The amount shown in the table includes accrued interest through September 30, 2012 and does not include interest which will accrue subsequent to September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

97% of the potential voluntary reimbursement is attributable to advances by Peter L. Malkin for payments to unaffiliated third parties, with interest thereon at prime, and 3% is for amounts to be paid to the supervisor for work it performed.

The Helmsley estate, as part of an agreement with the supervisor covering this and other matters, has paid the voluntary pro rata reimbursement to the supervisor for its pro rata share of costs advanced, plus interest, which totaled \$5,021,048.

To consent to this proposal, simply indicate on the enclosed consent form that you want to consent to this proposal, then sign and submit the consent form by mail in the accompanying postage-paid envelope or by facsimile as soon as possible. If you **CONSENT** to the voluntary pro rata reimbursement program, your consent is made only with respect to your participation interest, and your participation in the program is not dependent on the consent of any other participant. If you sign and send in your consent form and do not indicate that you want to consent, you will be counted as **NOT** consenting to this proposal. If you indicate on your consent form that you **ABSTAIN**, you will be counted as **NOT** consenting to this proposal.

Q: Who can vote on the consolidation and third-party portfolio proposal?

A: Participants in each subject LLC who hold participation interests in such subject LLC during the consent solicitation period are entitled to vote **FOR** or **AGAINST** each of the proposed consolidation and the third-party portfolio proposal with respect to such subject LLC. In the event of a transfer of a participation interest that previously has been voted, that vote will remain in effect unless revoked by the transferee.

The Wien group collectively owns participation interests in the subject LLCs and has advised that it will vote in favor of the consolidation and the third-party portfolio proposal. These participation interests represent the following percentage ownership for each subject LLC: 8.195% for Empire State Building Associates L.L.C., 8.447% for 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. and 8.912% for 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C. In addition to the participation interests, members of the Wien group hold override interests which are non-voting. See Background of and Reasons for the Consolidation Background of the Subject LLCs.

Q: What is the required vote for the consolidation proposal and the third-party portfolio proposal?

A: For each of the consolidation proposal and the third-party portfolio proposal to be approved, participants holding 100% of the outstanding participation interests in Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. must approve that proposal, and participants holding greater than 75% of the outstanding participation interests in eight out of the ten participating groups of 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C. must approve that proposal. If you are a participant in 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C., approval by the required vote of the participants in 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C. in favor of a proposal will be binding on you, even if you vote **AGAINST** such proposal.

If holders of 80% of the participation interests in any of the three participating groups in Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. or holders of 90% of the participation interests in any of the seven participating groups in 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. approve the consolidation or third-party portfolio proposal, as shown in the tabulation of consents by MacKenzie Partners, Inc., pursuant to a buyout right included in the participating agreements, the agent of any such participating group will purchase on behalf of the subject LLC the participation interest of any participant in such participating group that voted **AGAINST** the consolidation or the third-party portfolio proposal or **ABSTAINED**, as applicable, or that did not submit a consent form, even if the proposal is not approved by the other participating groups in such subject LLC, unless such participant consents to the proposal within ten days after receiving written notice that the required supermajority consent has been received by such participant's participating group, for the buyout amount.

Table of Contents

Because consent of the agents for each of the participating groups is required for Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C., if any one of the three participating groups in Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. or any one of the seven participating groups in 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. does not approve the consolidation proposal or the third-party portfolio proposal, the proposal will not be approved by the relevant subject LLC.

Q: How do I vote **FOR** the consolidation and the third-party portfolio proposal?

A: Simply indicate on the enclosed consent form how you want to vote for each proposal, then sign and submit the consent form by mail in the accompanying postage-paid envelope or by facsimile as soon as possible so that your participation interest may be voted **FOR** or **AGAINST** each proposal. If you sign and send in your consent form and do not indicate how you want to vote on either one of these proposals, your consent will be counted as a vote **FOR** such proposal. If you do not submit your consent form or you indicate on your consent form that you **ABSTAIN** from either proposal, it will have the effect of voting **AGAINST** such proposal. If you vote **FOR** the consolidation and your subject LLC participates in the consolidation, you effectively will preclude other alternatives, other than a third-party portfolio transaction, unless you vote **AGAINST** the third-party portfolio proposal. These alternatives include continuation of your subject LLC and a sale of your subject LLC's interest in the property and the resulting distribution of the net proceeds to its participants. Each of these proposals is subject to a separate consent and approval of each proposal is not dependent on approval of any other proposal.

Q: Can I change my vote on the consolidation proposal or the third-party portfolio proposal after I submit my consent form?

A: Yes. You can change your vote on the consolidation proposal, the third-party portfolio proposal, or both, at any time before the later of the date that consents from participants holding the required percentage interests in your participating group are received and the 60th day after the date of this prospectus/consent solicitation, as described in further detail in response to the next question. In addition, participants in Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. that voted against the consolidation proposal, the third-party portfolio proposal, or both, or abstained from either or both of those proposals, will be notified and may change their vote within ten days of receiving notice that the subject LLC has received consents from participants holding the required percentage interests. The required percentage interests for Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. is 80% of the outstanding participation interests in each of the three participating groups, for 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. is 90% of the outstanding participation interests in each of the seven participating groups and for 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C. is 75% of the outstanding participation interests in eight out of the ten participating groups. As of September 30, 2012, each of Empire State Building Associates L.L.C., 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. and 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C. had 2,878, 857, and 640 total participants holding 3,300, 700, and 720 total participation interests, respectively.

As of September 30, 2012, the following numbers of participation interests were held by the number of participants in each subject LLC's participating groups:

Empire State Building Associates L.L.C.	Number of Participants	Number of Participation Interests Held⁽¹⁾
Participating Group 1.	1,156	1,100
Participating Group 2	1,146	1,100
Participating Group 3	1,152	1,100

(1) Based on an original investment per participation interest of \$10,000.

Table of Contents

	Number of Participants	Number of Participation Interests Held ⁽¹⁾
60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C.		
Participating Group 1.	129	100
Participating Group 2	148	100
Participating Group 3	131	100
Participating Group 4.	132	100
Participating Group 5	144	100
Participating Group 6	137	100
Participating Group 7.	144	100

(1) Based on an original investment per participation interest of \$10,000.

	Number of Participants	Number of Participation Interests Held ⁽¹⁾
250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C.		
Participating Group 1.	46	72
Participating Group 2	73	72
Participating Group 3	89	72
Participating Group 4.	63	72
Participating Group 5	84	72
Participating Group 6	73	72
Participating Group 7.	66	72
Participating Group 8.	58	72
Participating Group 9	87	72
Participating Group 10	69	72

(1) Based on an original investment per participation interest of \$5,000.

You can change your vote in one of two ways: you can send the company a written statement that you would like to change your vote, or you can send the company a new consent form. Any change in your vote or new consent form should be sent to MacKenzie Partners, Inc., the vote tabulator, by mail or facsimile, as described below.

Q: What is the process by which I may change my vote on the consolidation proposal or the third-party portfolio proposal?

A: You may change your vote at any time before the later of the date that consents from participants holding the required percentage interest in your participating group are received and the 60th day after the date of this prospectus/consent solicitation. In addition, a participant in Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. or 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. that does not consent to (or who abstains from or does not vote with respect to) the consolidation or the third-party portfolio proposal, as applicable, may also change his or her vote to consent to the consolidation or the third-party portfolio proposal, as applicable, within ten days after the notice that the required supermajority consent from the participants in such participant's participating group has been received with respect to such proposal is sent, as described below.

A participant can change his or her vote by sending to MacKenzie Partners, Inc., the vote tabulator, (i) a written statement that he or she would like to change his or her vote, or (ii) a new consent form, in either case, by mail, to 105 Madison Avenue, NY, NY 10016, or by facsimile, to (212) 929-0308. Either MacKenzie Partners, Inc. or the supervisor will send to the participant a written acknowledgment by facsimile or, if requested by the participant, mail, that the participant's vote has been changed promptly following receipt of a changed vote. Participants may call MacKenzie Partners, Inc. during the solicitation period to check whether or not the required supermajority consent from the participants in such participant's participating group has been received, or to confirm that such participant's changed vote has been received.

If a participant in Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. or 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. votes **AGAINST** the consolidation or the third-party portfolio proposal, **ABSTAINS** or does not submit a consent form and the supermajority consent of his or her participating group is received, the agent for his or her participating group will provide the written buyout notice, stating that such supermajority consent from

Table of Contents

the participants in such participant's participating group has been received to the participant following the expiration of the solicitation period, as the same may be extended.

The following is a hypothetical example illustrating the general timeline for this process:

DAY 1: the prospectus/consent solicitation is mailed to participants

DAY 46: the required supermajority consent for the consolidation is received by the participating group

DAY 47: the required supermajority consent for the third-party portfolio transaction is received by the participating group

DAY 61: the solicitation period expires; for the applicable participating group in Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C., the buyout notice that such supermajority consent from the participants in the applicable participating group has been received is mailed to participants who voted **AGAINST** or **ABSTAINED** on the consolidation or the third-party portfolio transaction, or did not vote.

DAY 71: expiration of 10-day period during which participants may change their vote to **FOR**.

Q: Are there tax consequences as a result of the consolidation?

A: It is expected that the consolidation should be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as follows:

- (i) If you receive solely shares of Class A common stock, the consolidation should be treated as a taxable sale of your participation interest in which gain or loss is recognized. Such gain or loss should generally equal the difference between your amount realized (which generally will equal the amount of the aggregate fair market value of shares of common stock that you receive, plus any distribution you receive of consolidation expenses that the operating partnership pays as a reimbursement to your subject LLC, plus the share of liabilities associated with your participation interests that you are deemed to be relieved of under U.S. federal income tax law) and your adjusted tax basis in your participation interests. You will realize phantom income if you have a negative capital account with respect to your participation interest. In each of 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C. and 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C., original participants have a negative capital account. If you are an individual or a partnership for New York State personal income tax purposes, any gain that you recognize in the consolidation will generally be treated as New York source income for New York State personal income tax purposes. As a result, you (or, if you are a partnership, any of your partners who are individuals) will generally be subject to New York State personal income tax on such gain even if you are treated as a New York nonresident for purposes of the New York State personal income tax. The New York City personal income tax should not apply to individuals who are treated as New York City nonresidents for purposes of the tax. If all of your participation interest is exchanged for Class A common stock pursuant to the consolidation, suspended passive activity losses associated with your participation interest, if any, may be eligible for treatment as losses that are not from a passive activity to the extent that they exceed income and gains from passive activities for your taxable year that includes the consolidation.
- (ii) If you receive solely operating partnership units, or if you receive a combination of (a) operating partnership units and (b) shares of common stock that do not exceed your allocable share, after certain adjustments, of certain qualified capital expenditures of the subject LLC, as further described under U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Consolidation Receipt of Operating Partnership Units (the reimbursement amount), the consolidation should be treated as a tax-deferred contribution by the subject LLC of the subject LLC's property to the operating partnership in exchange for operating partnership units and common stock as a reimbursement of certain qualified capital

Table of Contents

expenditures, followed by a tax-deferred distribution of such operating partnership units and common stock to you. You should not generally recognize gain unless (i) the disguised sale rules of the Code apply, (ii) you are deemed to receive a constructive distribution of cash in excess of your tax basis in your operating partnership units under Sections 731 and 752(b) of the Code due to a reduction in your share of partnership liabilities or (iii) you have at-risk recapture income under Section 465(e) of the Code. To the extent that you do not recognize gain in the consolidation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, you also generally should not recognize gain for purposes of the New York State personal income tax and, if applicable, the New York City personal income tax.

- (iii) If you receive a combination of (a) operating partnership units and (b) shares of common stock in excess of your reimbursement amount, you should be treated as first selling a portion of your participation interest for such excess shares of common stock in a transaction in which gain or loss is recognized. Following such sale, the subject LLC should be treated as contributing the portion of its property not attributable to participation interests otherwise treated as having been sold to the operating partnership in exchange for operating partnership units and common stock as a reimbursement of qualified capital expenditures in a tax-deferred contribution, and the subject LLC should be treated as distributing operating partnership units and shares of common stock equal to your reimbursement amount to you in a tax-deferred distribution.

If you consent to the voluntary pro rata reimbursement program, you may be treated as receiving shares of common stock that you would otherwise receive in the consolidation and immediately transferring such shares of common stock to the supervisor as a reimbursement payment. For this purpose, even if you elect to receive solely operating partnership units in the consolidation, you should be treated as receiving shares of common stock equal to the amount that you are treated as transferring to the supervisor as a reimbursement payment. Accordingly, the gain or loss that you recognize in the consolidation transaction should take into account your deemed receipt of such common stock. You should be entitled to deduct the value of the shares of common stock that you are deemed to pay to the supervisor as an expense associated with your participation interest in your subject LLC. This deduction should offset the amount of gain you recognize, or increase the amount of losses you would otherwise recognize, as a result of your deemed receipt of shares of common stock. However, this deduction may be subject to certain limitations depending on your individual circumstances and may be required to be capitalized, and you should consult with your tax advisor regarding your ability to utilize all or a portion of this deduction for U.S. federal income tax purposes. See U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations of the Voluntary Pro Rata Reimbursement Program for the Former Property Manager and Leasing Agent Legal Proceedings.

Participants should carefully review U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Consolidation. Participants should consult with their tax advisors with regard to the

Table of Contents

U.S. federal income tax, New York State personal income tax and New York City personal income tax consequences of receiving operating partnership units or common stock in exchange for their participation interests in their particular circumstances.

Q: In addition to this prospectus/consent solicitation, I received a supplement. What is the difference between this prospectus/consent solicitation and the supplement?

A: The purpose of this prospectus/consent solicitation is to describe the consolidation generally and to provide you with a summary of the investment considerations generally applicable to all of the subject LLCs. The purpose of the supplement is to describe the investment considerations particular to your subject LLC.

After you read this prospectus/consent solicitation, the supervisor urges you to read the supplement. The supplement contains information particular to your subject LLC. This information is material in your decision whether to vote **FOR** or **AGAINST** the consolidation.

Q: When do you expect the consolidation to be completed?

A: The company plans to complete the consolidation as soon as possible after the receipt of the approval by the required vote of your subject LLC's participants and the approval by the required vote of the other subject LLCs' participants, conditioned on the closing of the IPO. The company is unable to estimate the closing date of the consolidation and has required that it be completed no later than December 31, 2014. Your consent form must be received by _____, 2012, unless the supervisor extends the solicitation period. The supervisor reserves the right to extend on one or more occasions the solicitation period for one or more proposals for one or more subject LLCs or one or more participating groups in a subject LLC without extending for other proposals, subject LLCs or participating groups whether or not it has received approval for the consolidation or the third-party portfolio proposal.

If the consolidation is approved, the contribution agreements require that the consolidation be consummated by December 31, 2014. There could be significant changes in the value of the company between the date that the consents are received and the date of consummation of the consolidation. The contribution agreements use a formula to ascribe value to the subject LLCs, the private entities, and the management companies based, in part, on the relative exchange values of the subject LLCs, the private entities, and the management companies determined as of June 30, 2012 and effectively locks in the relative values of the subject LLCs, the private entities, and the management companies for purposes of the consolidation,

Table of Contents

whenever consummated. The consents will not be affected by any change in value, including any change in the relative value of a subject LLC as compared to the value of other subject LLCs, private entities or the management companies. The consolidation may be consummated regardless of how significant such changes are and may be consummated notwithstanding such changes.

Q: If I own participation interests in more than one subject LLC, what should I do?

A: For each subject LLC in which you own a participation interest, in the same mailing in which you received this prospectus/consent solicitation you have received a transmittal letter, supplement and consent form which provides for vote with respect to the consolidation proposal and the third-party portfolio proposal. Regardless of how many subject LLCs in which you own a participation interest, you have received a single copy of the prospectus/consent solicitation. Participants in each subject LLC will vote separately on whether or not to approve the consolidation. Accordingly, if you hold participation interests in more than one subject LLC, you must complete one consent form for each subject LLC in which you are a participant.

Q: Information in this prospectus/consent solicitation is based on a \$10,000 original investment. Where can I find information about my actual original investment?

A: Information is presented in this prospectus/consent solicitation based on a \$10,000 original investment to allow participants to determine the effect on them individually. Information regarding the amount of your actual original investment will be provided on the consent form sent to you.

Table of Contents

WHO CAN HELP ANSWER YOUR QUESTIONS?

If you have more questions about the consolidation or would like additional copies of the prospectus/consent solicitation or the supplement relating to your subject LLC(s) (which will be provided at no cost), you should contact the person designated on the consent form sent to you.

Table of Contents

SUMMARY

*This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this prospectus/consent solicitation and may not contain all of the information regarding the consolidation that is important to you. To understand the consolidation and the third-party portfolio proposal fully and for a more complete description of the terms of and risks related to the consolidation and the third-party portfolio proposal, you should read carefully this entire prospectus/consent solicitation, the accompanying supplement relating to your subject LLC, the accompanying transmittal letter and the other documents to which the supervisor or the company, as applicable, has referred you, including the appendices and documents incorporated into this prospectus/consent solicitation by reference. See *Where You Can Find More Information*.*

Purpose of this Prospectus/Consent Solicitation

You are being requested to approve the consolidation in which your subject LLC will contribute its assets to the company as part of the consolidation in exchange for operating partnership units and/or common stock of the company. As part of the consolidation, the company also will enter into similar transactions with the other subject LLCs, the private entities and with the supervisor and other management companies that provide services to the subject LLCs and these entities. The company will be led by its Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President, Anthony E. Malkin, who has provided portfolio leadership as president of the supervisor, while Peter L. Malkin will continue to provide guidance as Chairman Emeritus, all supported by the supervisor's team of executives and staff, who are expected to join the company as part of the consolidation. The consolidation also will result in the creation of a company with a board of directors consisting predominantly of independent directors, which will be responsible for overseeing the operations of the company. Anthony E. Malkin will be the only management member of the board of directors and will be the only member of the Malkin Family that will be employed by the company or receive salary or other compensation from the company.

The supervisor believes you will benefit from this consolidation through newly created opportunities for liquidity, enhanced property diversification, increased growth opportunities, enhanced operating and financing abilities and efficiencies, combined balance sheets, anticipated regular quarterly cash distributions, and continued leadership by the principals of the supervisor under the accountability of the governance structure of a company with its Class A common stock expected to be listed on the New York Stock Exchange, which is referred to herein as the NYSE, and a board of directors consisting predominantly of independent directors.

The supervisor believes this transaction represents the best opportunity for value enhancement for your investment in the subject LLC after years of action under the supervisor's leadership to preserve, restore, and enhance your investment. Included in that history is a challenging time, which began with litigation commenced in 1997 by Peter L. Malkin and the supervisor to remove Helmsley-Spear, Inc., the former property manager and leasing agent (after it was sold by entities controlled by Leona M. Helmsley), as property manager and leasing agent of the properties owned by the subject LLCs and other properties, which are now included in the plans for this consolidation.

Since the successful resolution of that litigation, the supervisor has overseen the engagement by the subject LLCs of independent property management and leasing agents, developed and substantially effected a comprehensive renovation and repositioning program for improving the physical condition of and upgrading the credit quality of tenants at the property, and raised the property's profile as part of a well regarded portfolio brand. The supervisor believes that it is an opportune time for the subject LLCs to take advantage of the opportunity to participate in the consolidation which will afford participants better value protection through diversification, growth opportunities through potential acquisitions and potential growth in revenue of the initial properties and more stable cash flows for distributions, as well as administrative and operating efficiencies. Additionally, the supervisor believes the consolidation provides value enhancement through better access to capital and liquidity for investors who so desire.

Table of Contents

The supervisor has reviewed this transaction carefully and believes that current and anticipated property results provide favorable prospects for the consolidation. The supervisor will consider the capital market conditions at the time the IPO is ready to commence, but the supervisor is confident that a well located, well run, well capitalized portfolio of office and retail properties in Manhattan and in the greater New York metropolitan area is a desirable portfolio for an IPO.

The consolidation offers participants the opportunity to become limited partners in the operating partnership and stockholders of the company, which is being formed to continue and expand the commercial real estate business of the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies participating in the consolidation. The supervisor has developed a comprehensive knowledge of its markets that has been acquired through its senior management team's substantial experience and is a recognized operator of office and retail properties.

Manhattan and the greater New York metropolitan area is one of the most-prized office markets in the world and a world-renowned retail market. Its status is derived from a combination of supply constraints and high barriers to entry, as well as near-term and long-term prospects for job creation, vacancy absorption and rental rate growth. Upon completion of the consolidation, all of the company's properties will be located in Manhattan and the greater New York metropolitan area. The supervisor believes that the company will represent a unique opportunity to invest in a well-capitalized company with real estate in these most-prized markets and recognized and respected leadership. The company's primary focus will be to manage its current portfolio and acquire and reposition office and retail properties in Manhattan and the greater New York metropolitan area.

A subject LLC will participate in the consolidation only if participants holding more than the required percentage of the outstanding participation interests in the subject LLC vote in favor of the consolidation, as described herein.

Description of the Company and the Subject LLCs

Overview

The company is a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, that owns, manages, operates, acquires and repositions office and retail properties in Manhattan and the greater New York metropolitan area. The company was formed to continue and expand the commercial real estate business of the supervisor and its affiliates. The company's primary focus will be to continue to own, manage and operate its current portfolio and to acquire and reposition office and retail properties in Manhattan and the greater New York metropolitan area.

As of September 30, 2012, the company owned 12 office properties (including one long-term ground leasehold interest) encompassing approximately 7.7 million rentable square feet of office space, which were approximately 79.8% leased (or 83.3% giving effect to leases signed but not yet commenced as of that date). Seven of these properties are located in the midtown Manhattan market and encompass in the aggregate approximately 5.9 million rentable square feet of office space, including the Empire State Building. The company's Manhattan office properties also contain an aggregate of 433,545 rentable square feet of premier retail space on their ground floor and/or lower levels. The company's remaining five office properties are located in Fairfield County, Connecticut and Westchester County, New York, encompassing in the aggregate approximately 1.8 million rentable square feet. The majority of square footage for these five properties is located in densely populated metropolitan communities with immediate access to mass transportation. Additionally, the company has entitled land at the Stamford Transportation Center in Stamford, Connecticut, adjacent to one of its office properties, that will support the development of an approximately 380,000 rentable square foot office building and garage, which is referred to herein as Metro Tower. As of September 30, 2012, the company's portfolio also included four standalone retail properties located in Manhattan and two standalone retail properties

Table of Contents

located in the city center of Westport, Connecticut, encompassing 204,452 rentable square feet in the aggregate. As of September 30, 2012, the company's standalone retail properties were 100.0% leased in the aggregate.

In addition, the company has an option to acquire from three private entities supervised by the supervisor two additional Manhattan office properties encompassing approximately 1.5 million rentable square feet of office space and 154,149 rentable square feet of ground floor retail space. Each of the Malkin Holdings group and the Helmsley estate owns interests in such private entities. These option properties currently are subject to ongoing litigation and the company has an option to acquire fee, long-term leasehold, sub-leasehold and/or sub-subleasehold interests in these two properties, as applicable, after such litigation is resolved. These properties are referred to herein as the option properties. For more information please see "The Company Business and Properties" Description of Option Properties.

From 2002 through 2006, the supervisor gradually gained full control of the day-to-day management of the company's Manhattan office properties (with the Helmsley estate holding certain approval rights at some of these properties as a result of its interest in the entities owning the properties). Helmsley-Spear, Inc. had provided property management services to the company's Manhattan office properties during the period from 2002 through 2006 prior to its removal as property manager. See "Business and Properties" History for more information regarding how the supervisor gained full control of the day-to-day management of the company's Manhattan office properties. Since then, the supervisor has been undertaking a comprehensive renovation and repositioning strategy of its Manhattan office properties that has included the physical improvement through upgrades and modernization of, and tenant upgrades in, such properties. Since the supervisor assumed full control of the day-to-day management of the company's Manhattan office properties beginning with One Grand Central Place in 2002 and through September 30, 2012, the subject LLCs and the private entities have invested a total of approximately \$342.0 million (excluding tenant improvement costs and leasing commissions) in its Manhattan office properties pursuant to this program. The company currently intends to invest between \$100.0 million and \$130.0 million of additional capital through the end of 2013. The company expects to complete substantially this program by the end of 2013, except with respect to the Empire State Building, which is the last Manhattan office property that began its renovation program. In addition, the company currently estimates that between \$85.0 million and \$115.0 million of capital is needed beyond 2013 to complete substantially the renovation program at the Empire State Building, which the company expects to occur by the end of 2016, due to the size and scope of the company's remaining work and the company's desire to minimize tenant disruptions at the property. The company intends to fund these capital improvements through a combination of operating cash flow and borrowings.

These improvements, within the renovation and repositioning program, include restored, renovated and upgraded or new lobbies; elevator modernization; renovated public areas and bathrooms; refurbished or new windows; upgrade and standardization of retail storefront and signage; façade restorations; modernization of building-wide systems and enhanced tenant amenities. These improvements are designed to improve the overall value and attractiveness of the company's properties and have contributed significantly to its tenant repositioning efforts, which seek to increase the company's occupancy; raise the company's rental rates; increase the company's rentable square feet; increase the company's aggregate rental revenue; lengthen the company's average lease term; increase the company's average lease size; and improve the company's tenant credit quality. The company has also aggregated smaller spaces in order to offer larger blocks of office space, including multiple floors, that are attractive to larger, higher credit-quality tenants and to offer new, pre-built suites with improved layouts. This strategy has shown attractive results to date, as illustrated by the case studies which are described in "The Company Business and Properties" Renovation and Repositioning Case Studies, and the company believes it has the potential to improve the company's operating margins and cash flows in the future. The company believes the company will continue to enhance its tenant base and improve rents as the company's pre-renovation leases continue to expire and be re-leased.

Table of Contents

The Empire State Building is the company's flagship property and provides the company with a significant and diversified source of revenue through its office and retail leases, observatory operations and broadcasting licenses and related leased space. Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. owns the fee interest in, and the land underlying, the Empire State Building. On a pro forma basis, during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and the year ended December 31, 2011, respectively, the company generated approximately \$181.6 million and \$213.4 million of revenue from the Empire State Building. The ongoing repositioning of the Empire State Building, which comprises 2,696,316 rentable square feet of office space and 169,215 rentable square feet of retail space, is representative of the company's strategic vision for its Manhattan office properties. To date, the renovation and repositioning efforts have enabled the supervisor to lease significant amounts of space at the Empire State Building to new higher credit-quality tenants, including: LF USA; Skanska; Coty, Inc.; the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; Funaro & Co.; Kaltex North America, Inc.; LinkedIn; Noven Pharmaceuticals; People's Daily Online USA; Taylor Global; The Freeh Group; Turkish Airlines; and World Monuments Fund. The company believes completing the repositioning program for the Empire State Building, as well as its other Manhattan office properties, represents a significant growth opportunity for the company.

In addition to the Empire State Building, the company's portfolio attracts high quality tenants to its high quality, midtown Manhattan and greater New York metropolitan area office properties.

Major tenants of 1333 Broadway include LF USA, Inc., Aetna Life Insurance Company, OCE-USA Holdings, and a variety of service firms and retail tenancy in its multi-level retail space. Major tenants of 1350 Broadway include E-Bay, Tarter Krinsky & Drogin LLP, Marketfish, Ecolab, Open Space Institute, a variety of service firms including Carrier Corporation and retail tenancy in its multi-level retail space including Bank Santander (Sovereign Bank), Duane Reade (a division of Walgreen Co.), HSBC, Starbucks, and FedEx/Kinko's. Major tenants of 1359 Broadway include LF USA Inc., IPREO Holdings LLC, Actimize, Inc., Equifax, Parkinson's Disease Foundation and a variety of leading not-for-profit and service firms. Major tenants of 501 Seventh Avenue include the corporate headquarters of both Carolina Herrera Ltd. and Warnaco, Local Initiatives Support Corporation, a variety of service firms and retail tenancy including Chipotle Mexican Grill and Pot Belly Sandwich Shop. One Grand Central Place is largely a small tenant building. Its major tenants include Fairfield Maxwell Group, Ales Group USA, Inc., Tourist Office of Spain, American Bureau of Shipping, Pine Brook Road Partners LLC, a variety of financial, services, corporate, and not-for-profit tenants and retail tenancy in its multi-level retail space including JP Morgan Chase Bank, Bank of America, N.A., and Charles Schwab & Co. 250 West 57th Street is largely a small tenant building. Its major tenant is Perseus Books Group and its other tenants include a variety of financial, services, corporate, and not-for-profit tenants including N.S. Bienstock, Inc., Chase Paymentech (a division of JPMorgan Chase Bank) and retail tenancy in its multi-level retail space including TJ Maxx, the Gap, and Duane Reade (a division of Walgreen Co.).

In the greater New York metropolitan area, the company's portfolio includes high quality suburban office properties in densely populated metropolitan communities in Fairfield County, Connecticut and Westchester County, New York. Major tenants of the greater New York metropolitan area flagship Metro Center (at the Transportation Center in Stamford, Connecticut) include Thomson Reuters, Jefferies Group, Columbus Circle Investors, OMI Group, Olympus Partners, BP Energy, Tweedy, Browne Company and a variety of other investment management and private equity firms. Major tenants of First Stamford Place (within a short walking distance of the Transportation Center in Stamford, Connecticut) include Ernst & Young, Legg Mason, Citibank, N.A., Elizabeth Arden, Inc., Thomson Reuters, Guardian Life Insurance Company, the corporate headquarters of Crane Co., Air Castle Advisor LLC, National Indemnity Company, Gleacher Securities Group Inc., Robert W. Baird, Inc., MKM Partners, Jones Lang LaSalle, Bank of Ireland, Forevermark US Inc., and a variety of investment management and service firms. Major tenants of 383 Main Avenue (at the intersection of the Merritt Parkway and Route 7 in Norwalk, Connecticut) include Reed Elsevier, Inc., Nestle Holdings, Inc., Cisco Systems, Inc., SunGard, CIT LLC, SAP America, Inc., SymphonyIRI Group, Multiple Myeloma Research Foundation, Fairfield County Community Trust and a variety of not-for-profit and service firms. Major tenants of 10 Bank Street (at the Transportation Center

Table of Contents

in White Plains, New York) include JP Morgan Chase Bank, Pearson Education, Inc., Fifth Street Capital, Inc., Rockwood Capital, LLC, Pine Brook Road Partners, LLC, Evolution Markets, LLC, US Renewables Group, Liberty Mutual, Nokia, Marubeni Specialty Chemicals Inc., Hitachi Cable America Inc., and a variety of leading investment management and service firms. Major tenants of 500 Mamaroneck Avenue (between the Hutchinson River Parkway and Interstate 95 in Harrison, New York) include Mariner Investment Group, O Connor Davies Munn & Dobbins, Pasternak Wine Imports, Universal Remote Control, Stark Business Solutions and a variety of financial and service firms.

The company's portfolio also includes high quality retail properties located in premier retail corridors in Manhattan and Westport, Connecticut. Tenants at 10 Union Square in Manhattan include Best Buy Mobile, Starbucks, A&P, Panera Bread, FedEx/Kinko's, Au Bon Pain, Chipotle Mexican Grill, and GameStop. Tenants at 1010 Third Avenue's multi-level retail space in Manhattan include Ethan Allen. Tenants at 55 West 57th Street include Tapps Supermarkets and Bank of America, N.A. Tenants at 69-97 Main Street in Westport, Connecticut include Ann Taylor, Lululemon, Allen Edmonds, Nike, and Theory. Tenants at 103-109 Main Street include Kate Spade.

112-122 West 34th Street, one of the option properties, is in transition from a garment tenant profile. 112-122 West 34th Street is the recipient of BOMA 2012 Pinnacle Award for the Renovated Building of the Year. Its major tenants include the corporate headquarters of Aeropostale Inc. and Venator Group, Inc., Regus Plc, Kahn Lucas Lancaster, Inc., Carr Business Systems (a division of Xerox), a variety of services firms and retail tenancy includes Foot Locker, Billabong, and FedEx/Kinko's. 1400 Broadway, the other option property, is in transition from a garment tenant profile. Its major tenants include Kohl's Corporation, Men's Wearhouse, VeriFone Systems, Burlington Coat Factory, LLC, Hatch Mott Macdonald, and a variety of services firms.

The company is led by Anthony E. Malkin, its Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President, who has a strong reputation in the industry for quality management, repositioning and marketing expertise. Mr. Malkin, together with the company's senior management team, has developed the company's strategy with a focus on tenant and broker relationships and the cultivation of the company's brand to attract higher credit-quality tenants to its improved buildings and negotiate attractive rental terms. Mr. Malkin has over 24 years of real estate experience specifically in expanding, renovating, repositioning and managing this portfolio. The company's senior management team has an average of approximately 29 years of experience covering all aspects of real estate, including asset and property management, leasing, marketing, acquisitions, construction, development, legal and finance. Messrs. Malkin, Thomas P. Durels and Thomas N. Keltner, Jr. have worked together for the supervisor for approximately 23 years, with Messrs. Malkin and Durels being responsible for supervising the design and implementation of the company's renovation and repositioning program.

The Company's Competitive Strengths

The company believes that it distinguishes itself from other owners and operators of office and retail properties as a result of the following competitive strengths:

Irreplaceable Portfolio of Office Properties in Midtown Manhattan. The company's Manhattan office properties are located in one of the most prized office markets in the world due to a combination of supply constraints, high barriers to entry, near-term and long-term prospects for job creation, vacancy absorption and rental rate growth. The company's management believes these properties could not be replaced today on a cost-competitive basis, if at all. As of September 30, 2012, the company owned seven Manhattan office properties (including one long-term ground leasehold interest) encompassing approximately 5.9 million rentable square feet of office space, including the Empire State Building, the company's flagship property.

Expertise in Repositioning and Renovating Manhattan Office Properties. The company has substantial expertise in renovating and repositioning Manhattan office properties, having invested a

Table of Contents

total of approximately \$342.0 million (excluding tenant improvement costs and leasing commissions) in the Manhattan office properties since the supervisor assumed full control of the day-to-day management of these properties beginning with One Grand Central Place in November 2002 through September 30, 2012. The supervisor has successfully aggregated and is continuing to aggregate smaller spaces to offer larger blocks of space, including multiple floors, that are attractive to larger, higher credit-quality tenants and to offer new, pre-built suites with improved layouts. As part of this program, the supervisor converted some or all of the ground office floors of certain of its Manhattan office properties to higher rent retail space. The company believes that the post-renovation high quality of its buildings and the service the company provides also attract higher credit-quality tenants and allow it to grow cash flow.

Leader in Energy Efficiency Retrofitting. The company has pioneered certain practices in energy efficiency at the Empire State Building where the company has partnered with the Clinton Climate Initiative, Johnson Controls Inc., Jones Lang LaSalle and the Rocky Mountain Institute to create and implement a groundbreaking, replicable process for integrating energy efficiency retrofits in the existing built environment. The reduced energy consumption reduces costs for the company and its tenants, and the company believes creates a competitive advantage for its properties. As a result of the energy efficiency retrofits, the company currently estimates that the Empire State Building will save at least 38% of its energy use, resulting in at least \$4.4 million of annual energy cost savings. Johnson Controls Inc. has guaranteed minimum energy cost savings of \$2.2 million, from 2010 through 2025, with respect to certain of the energy efficiency retrofits which Johnson Controls Inc. was responsible for installing. In 2011, the actual energy cost savings for the energy efficiency retrofits which Johnson Controls Inc. was responsible for installing was \$2.4 million. As a result of the company's efforts, the Empire State Building is now an Energy Star building and has been awarded LEED EBOM-Gold certification. The company is currently underway with the design and specification process to implement energy efficiency retrofitting projects in its Manhattan and greater New York metropolitan area office properties based on its work at the Empire State Building. The company believes that its portfolio's attractiveness is enhanced by these practices and that this should result in higher rental rates, longer lease terms and higher quality tenants.

Attractive Retail Locations in Densely Populated Metropolitan Communities. As of September 30, 2012, the company's portfolio also included six standalone retail properties and retail space at the ground floor and/or lower levels of its Manhattan office properties. All of these properties are located in premier retail corridors with convenient access to mass transportation, a diverse tenant base and high pedestrian traffic and/or main destination locations. The company's retail portfolio includes 616,546 rentable square feet located in Manhattan and 21,433 rentable square feet located in Westport, Connecticut. The company's retail tenants cover a number of industries, including financial services, and include Allen Edmonds; Ann Taylor; AT&T; Bank of America; Bank Leumi; Bank Santander (Sovereign Bank); Best Buy Mobile; Charles Schwab; Chipotle; Duane Reade (a division of Walgreen Co.); Ethan Allen; FedEx/Kinko's; Food Emporium; Foot Locker; the GAP; GameStop; HSBC; JP Morgan Chase; Kate Spade; Loews Theatre; Lululemon; Men's Wearhouse; Nike; Panera Bread; Payless Shoesource; Potbelly Sandwich Works; Sprint; Starbucks; Theory; TJ Maxx; and Walgreens.

Experienced and Committed Management Team with Proven Track Record. The company's senior management team is highly regarded in the real estate community and has extensive relationships with a broad range of brokers, owners, tenants and lenders. The company has substantial in-house expertise and resources in asset and property management, leasing, marketing, acquisitions, construction, development and financing and a platform that is highly scalable. Members of the company's senior management team have worked in the real estate industry for an average of approximately 29 years, and Messrs. Malkin, Durels and Keltner have worked together for the supervisor for approximately 23 years. Upon completion of the IPO, the company's senior management

Table of Contents

team is expected to own 8.7% of the company's common stock on a fully diluted basis (including shares of common stock on a fully diluted basis as to which Anthony E. Malkin has the right to vote, but does not have a pecuniary interest), and therefore their interests are expected to be aligned with those of the company's stockholders, and they are incentivized to maximize returns for the company's stockholders.

Strong Balance Sheet Well Positioned For Future Growth. Upon completion of the consolidation and the IPO, the company expects to have pro forma total debt outstanding of approximately \$1.11 billion, with a weighted average interest rate of 5.20%, a weighted average maturity of 3.4 years and 78.2% of which is fixed-rate indebtedness. Additionally, the company expects to have approximately \$304.1 million of available borrowing capacity under its loans on a pro forma basis (excluding amounts under the \$800.0 million secured revolving and term credit facility which the company expects to obtain upon the closing of the IPO from lenders that will include certain of the underwriters of the IPO or their respective affiliates). Upon completion of the IPO and on a pro forma basis for the year ended December 31, 2011, the company expects to have a debt-to-earnings before interest, income tax, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, ratio of approximately 4.93x. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the company's pro forma EBITDA and pro forma net income were approximately \$213.2 million and \$58.7 million, respectively. The company has no debt maturing in the remainder of 2012 and approximately \$56.9 million maturing in 2013.

Business and Growth Strategies

The company's primary business objectives are to maximize cash flow and total returns to its stockholders and to increase the value of the company's properties through the pursuit of the following business and growth strategies:

Lease-up Available Space at Manhattan Office Properties. As of September 30, 2012, the company's Manhattan office properties were approximately 77.0% leased (or 81.4% giving effect to leases signed but not yet commenced as of that date) and had approximately 1.4 million rentable square feet of available space (excluding leases signed but not yet commenced). This compares to an average of 90.2% leased in midtown Manhattan according to RCG as of June 30, 2012. The company believes its renovation and repositioning program for its Manhattan office properties is a catalyst for additional lease-up. To date the company believes these efforts have accelerated its ability to lease space to new higher credit-quality tenants, many of which have expanded the office space they lease from the company over time. Examples of this include LF USA, Coty, Inc., the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and Actimize which collectively have leases signed with the company for over 1,397,250 rentable square feet that represent additional annualized base rent of \$56,424,227 as of September 30, 2012.

Increase Existing Below-Market Rents. The company believes it can capitalize on the successful repositioning of its Manhattan office portfolio and improving market fundamentals to increase rents. For example, the company expects to benefit from the re-leasing of 16.6%, or approximately 1.0 million rentable square feet (including month-to-month leases), of its Manhattan office leases expiring through December 31, 2014, which the company generally believes are currently at below market rates. These expiring leases represent a weighted average base rent of \$39.39 per square foot based on current measurements. As older leases expire, the company expects to continue to upgrade certain space to further increase rents and the company expects to increase the total rentable square footage of such space as a result of remeasurement and application of market loss factors to the company's space which the company expects will generate additional rental revenue.

Complete the Redevelopment and Repositioning of the Company's Current Portfolio. The company intends to continue to increase occupancy, improve tenant quality and enhance cash flow and

Table of Contents

value by completing the renovation and repositioning of its Manhattan office properties. The company intends selectively to continue to allow leases for smaller spaces to expire or relocate smaller tenants in order to aggregate, demolish and re-demise existing office space into larger blocks of vacant space, which the company believes will attract higher credit-quality tenants at higher rental rates. In addition, the company is a leader in developing economically justified energy efficiency retrofitting and sustainability and has made it a portfolio-wide initiative. The company believes this makes its properties desirable to high credit-quality tenants at higher rental rates and longer lease terms.

Pursue Attractive Acquisition and Development Opportunities. The company will opportunistically pursue attractive opportunities to acquire office and retail properties, including the option properties. For more information regarding the option properties, please see The Company Business and Properties Description of Option Properties. The company intends to focus its acquisition strategy primarily on Manhattan office properties and, to a lesser extent, office and multi-tenanted retail properties in densely populated communities in the greater New York metropolitan area and other markets the company may identify in the future. The company's strong balance sheet, access to capital, and ability to offer operating partnership units in tax deferred acquisition transactions should give the company significant flexibility in structuring and consummating acquisitions.

Proactively Manage the Company's Portfolio. The company believes its proactive, service-intensive approach to asset and property management helps increase occupancy and rental rates. The company utilizes its comprehensive building management services and its strong commitment to tenant and broker relationships and satisfaction to negotiate attractive leasing deals and to attract high credit-quality tenants. The company proactively manages its rent roll and maintains continuous communication with its tenants. The company believes long-term tenant relationships will improve its operating results over time by reducing leasing, marketing and tenant improvement costs and reducing tenant turnover.

Company Information

As of September 30, 2012, the company had approximately 605 employees, 102 of whom were managers and professionals. The company's principal executive offices are located at One Grand Central Place, 60 East 42nd Street, New York, New York 10165. In addition, the company has six additional regional leasing and property management offices in Manhattan and the greater New York metropolitan area. The company's telephone number is (212) 953-0888. The company's website address is www.empirestaterealtytrust.com. The information on or otherwise accessible through, the company's website does not constitute a part of this prospectus/consent solicitation.

Table of Contents**The Properties**

As of September 30, 2012, the company's portfolio consisted of 12 office properties and six standalone retail properties totaling approximately 8.4 million rentable square feet and was approximately 80.2% leased (or 83.5% giving effect to leases signed but not yet commenced as of that date). In addition, the company owned entitled land that will support the development of an approximately 380,000 rentable square foot office building and garage (Metro Tower) at the Stamford Transportation Center in Stamford, Connecticut, adjacent to one of the company's office properties, as of September 30, 2012. The table below presents an overview of the company's portfolio and the option properties as of September 30, 2012:

Property Name	Submarket	Year Built / Renovated ⁽¹⁾	Rentable Square Feet ⁽²⁾	Percent Leased ⁽³⁾	Annualized Base Rent ⁽⁴⁾	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽⁵⁾	Net Effective Rent Per Leased Square Foot ⁽⁶⁾	Number of Leases ⁽⁷⁾
Manhattan Office Properties								
The Empire State Building	Penn Station-Times Sq. South	1931/ In process					\$ 43.80	
Office ⁽⁸⁾			2,696,316	67.4%	\$ 70,119,745	\$ 38.60		247
Retail ⁽⁹⁾			169,215	85.9%	\$ 15,234,691	\$ 104.82		25
One Grand Central Place	Grand Central	1930/ In process					\$ 47.67	
Office			1,181,327	79.1%	\$ 41,949,725	\$ 44.89		297
Retail			68,005	92.9%	\$ 6,313,878	\$ 99.97		19
250 West 57th Street	Columbus Circle-West Side	1921/ In process					\$ 45.02	
Office			476,574	86.0%	\$ 16,794,389	\$ 40.99		165
Retail			52,247	100.0%	\$ 4,566,250	\$ 87.40		7
501 Seventh Avenue	Penn Station-Times Sq. South	1923/ In process					\$ 35.79	
Office			441,203	87.3%	\$ 13,487,847	\$ 35.03		31
Retail			35,502	100.0%	\$ 1,784,676	\$ 50.27		10
1359 Broadway	Penn Station-Times Sq. South	1924/ In process					\$ 39.41	
Office			441,022	93.0%	\$ 15,407,047	\$ 37.58		31
Retail			27,618	40.3%	\$ 1,510,342	\$ 135.59		5
1350 Broadway ⁽¹⁰⁾	Penn Station-Times Sq. South	1929/ In process					\$ 55.80	
Office			368,323	81.7%	\$ 12,154,203	\$ 40.41		73
Retail			30,895	100.0%	\$ 5,730,477	\$ 185.48		6
1333 Broadway	Penn Station-Times Sq. South	1915/ In process					\$ 43.96	
Office			302,277	96.6%	\$ 11,996,983	\$ 41.09		10
Retail			50,063	6.4%	\$ 745,713	\$ 233.11		3
Sub-Total / Weighted Average Manhattan Office Properties			6,340,587	77.1%	\$ 217,795,966	\$ 44.54	\$ 44.46	929
Office			5,907,042	77.0%	\$ 181,909,939	\$ 39.99		854
Retail			433,545	78.8%	\$ 35,886,027	\$ 105.09		75
Greater New York Metropolitan Area Office Properties								
First Stamford Place ⁽¹¹⁾	Stamford, Connecticut ⁽¹²⁾	1986/2003	785,945	88.6%	\$ 26,824,272	\$ 38.53	\$ 39.54	38
Metro Center	Stamford, Connecticut ⁽¹²⁾	1987/1999	275,758	98.6%	\$ 12,855,443	\$ 47.28	\$ 47.28	26
383 Main Avenue	Norwalk, Connecticut ⁽¹³⁾	1985/1996	259,856	81.3%	\$ 5,787,139	\$ 27.39	\$ 27.94	18
500 Mamaroneck Avenue	Harrison, New York ⁽¹⁴⁾	1986/2004	289,711	88.5%	\$ 6,950,955	\$ 27.12	\$ 26.74	29
10 Bank Street	White Plains, New York ⁽¹⁵⁾	1989/2001	228,994	85.4%	\$ 6,485,766	\$ 33.15	\$ 33.71	28
			1,840,264	88.6%	\$ 58,903,574	\$ 36.11	\$ 36.62	139

Edgar Filing: Empire State Realty Trust, Inc. - Form S-4/A

Sub-Total / Weighted Average Greater New York Metropolitan
Area Office Properties

Total / Weighted Average Office Properties	7,747,306	79.8%	\$ 240,813,514	\$ 38.97	993
---	------------------	--------------	-----------------------	-----------------	------------

Table of Contents

Property Name	Submarket	Year Built / Renovated ⁽¹⁾	Rentable Square Feet ⁽²⁾	Percent Leased ⁽³⁾	Annualized Base Rent ⁽⁴⁾	Annualized	Net Effective	Number of Leases ⁽⁷⁾
						Leased Square Foot ⁽⁵⁾	Leased Square Foot ⁽⁶⁾	
Standalone Retail Properties								
10 Union Square	Union Square	1988/1997	58,005	100.0%	\$ 4,731,292	\$ 81.57	\$ 81.95	13
1542 Third Avenue	Upper East Side	1993 ⁽¹⁶⁾	56,250	100.0%	\$ 2,775,618	\$ 49.34	\$ 47.71	3
1010 Third Avenue	Upper East Side	1963/2007 ⁽¹⁷⁾	44,662	100.0%	\$ 3,048,085	\$ 68.25	\$ 65.88	2
77 West 55th Street	Midtown	1962 ⁽¹⁶⁾	24,102	100.0%	\$ 2,244,516	\$ 93.13	\$ 79.62	3
69-97 Main Street	Westport, Connecticut	1922/2005	17,103	100.0% ⁽¹⁸⁾	\$ 1,513,984	\$ 88.52	\$ 90.79	5
103-107 Main Street	Westport, Connecticut	1900 ⁽¹⁶⁾	4,330	100.0%	\$ 423,696	\$ 97.85	\$ 94.69	3
Sub-Total / Weighted Average Standalone Retail Properties			204,452	100.0%	\$ 14,737,191	\$ 72.08	\$ 69.75	29
Total / Weighted Average Retail Properties⁽¹⁹⁾								
			637,997	85.6%	\$ 50,623,218	\$ 92.73		104
Portfolio Total								
			8,385,303	80.2%	\$ 291,436,732	\$ 43.33	\$ 43.33	1,097
Option Properties								
112-122 West 34 th Street ⁽²⁰⁾	Penn Station- Times Sq. South	1954/ In process					\$ 36.09	
Office			608,050	83.9%				47
Retail			133,437	100.0%				3
1400 Broadway	Penn Station- Times Sq. South	1930/ In process					\$ 37.01	
Office			866,103	79.1%				79
Retail			20,712	39.4%				13
Option Properties Total			1,628,302					142

- (1) For more information regarding the status of ongoing renovations at certain of the company's properties, see "The Company Business and Properties" Description of the Company's Properties.
- (2) Office property measurements are based on the Real Estate Board of New York measurement standards; retail property measurements are based on useable square feet. Excludes (i) 119,437 square feet of space across the company's portfolio attributable to building management use and tenant amenities and (ii) 68,226 square feet of space attributable to the company's observatory.
- (3) Based on leases signed and commenced as of September 30, 2012 and calculated as (i) rentable square feet less available square feet divided by (ii) rentable square feet.
- (4) Annualized base rent for office properties is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements and free rent)) for the month ended September 30, 2012 for leases commenced as of September 30, 2012, by (ii) 12. Total abatements and free rent with respect to the office properties for leases in effect as of September 30, 2012 for the 12 months ending September 30, 2013 are \$10,441,248. Total annualized base rent, net of abatements and free rent, for the company's office properties is \$230,372,265. Annualized base rent for retail properties (including the retail space in the company's Manhattan office properties) is calculated by multiplying (i) base rental payments (defined as cash base rents (before abatements, tenant reimbursements and free rent)) for the month ended September 30, 2012 for leases commenced as of September 30, 2012, by (ii) 12. Total abatements, tenant reimbursements and free rent with respect to the retail properties (including the retail space in the company's Manhattan office properties) for leases in effect as of September 30, 2012 for the 12 months ending September 30, 2013 are \$243,517. Total annualized base rent, net of abatements, tenant reimbursements and free rent, for the company's retail properties is \$50,379,701. Annualized base rent data for the company's office and retail properties is as of September 30, 2012 and does not reflect scheduled lease expirations for the 12 months ending September 30, 2013.
- (5) Represents Annualized Base Rent under leases commenced as of September 30, 2012 divided by leased square feet.
- (6) Net effective rent per leased square foot represents (i) the contractual base rent for office and retail leases in place as of September 30, 2012, calculated on a straight-line basis to amortize free rent periods and abatements, but without regard to tenant improvement allowances and leasing commissions, divided by (ii) square footage under commenced leases as of September 30, 2012.
- (7) Represents the number of leases at each property or on a portfolio basis. If a tenant has more than one lease, whether or not at the same property, but with different expirations, the number of leases is calculated equal to the number of leases with different expirations.

Edgar Filing: Empire State Realty Trust, Inc. - Form S-4/A

- (8) Includes 85,812 rentable square feet of space leased by the company's broadcasting tenants.
- (9) Includes 6,180 rentable square feet of space leased by Host Services of New York, a licensee of the company's observatory.
- (10) Denotes a ground leasehold interest in the property with a remaining term, including unilateral extension rights available to the company, of approximately 38 years (expiring July 31, 2050).
- (11) First Stamford Place consists of three buildings.
- (12) This submarket is part of the Stamford, Connecticut central business district (CBD) submarket as defined by RCG. See Economic and Market Overview.
- (13) This submarket is part of the South Central Stamford, Connecticut submarket as defined by RCG. See Economic and Market Overview.
- (14) This submarket is part of the Eastern Westchester County submarket as defined by RCG. See Economic and Market Overview.
- (15) This submarket is part of the White Plains, New York CBD submarket as defined by RCG. See Economic and Market Overview.
- (16) No major renovation activity was undertaken at this property.
- (17) This property underwent major renovations in 2007 to coincide with the signing of a significant retail lease.
- (18) 100% leased, including leases signed but not yet commenced.
- (19) Includes 433,545 rentable square feet of retail space in the company's Manhattan office properties.
- (20) 112-122 West 34th Street consists of two parcels having separate owners and ownership structures. The real property interests that the company will acquire with respect to the parcel located at 112-120 West 34th Street consist of (i) a ground leasehold interest currently

Table of Contents

held by 112 West 34th Street Associates L.L.C., one of the affiliates of the supervisor with whom the company has entered into an option agreement and (ii) an operating leasehold interest currently held by 112 West 34th Street Company L.L.C., another affiliate of the supervisor with whom the company has entered into an option agreement. The real property interests that the company will acquire with respect to the parcel located at 122 West 34th Street consist of (i) a fee interest and a subleasehold interest currently held by 112 West 34th Street Associates L.L.C. and (ii) an operating leasehold interest currently held by 112 West 34th Street Company L.L.C.

Background of and Reasons for the Consolidation

The Subject LLCs, the Private Entities and the Management Companies

The three subject LLCs are publicly-registered limited liability companies originally formed as partnerships by principals of the supervisor from 1953 to 1961. The principals of the supervisor during this period consisted of Lawrence A. Wien, until his death in 1988 and, beginning in 1958, Mr. Wien's son-in-law Peter L. Malkin. Anthony E. Malkin, Mr. Wien's grandson, joined his father Peter L. Malkin as a principal in 1989. In exercising control, Peter L. Malkin and Anthony E. Malkin have been, and continue to be, subject to fiduciary duties owed to multiple sets of equity owners in each subject LLC and private entity. Each subject LLC was formed to acquire the fee title or long-term ground lease interest in an office property located in Manhattan and to lease the property to an operating lessee, which operates the property. The private entities, including the operating lessees were formed between 1953 and 2008 and own office properties, retail properties and, in one case, fully entitled land including a development site, in Manhattan and the greater New York metropolitan area. The supervisor and the Malkin Family provide supervisory and other services for each subject LLC, each operating lessee and the other private entities.

As lessor, each subject LLC receives from its operating lessee fixed basic rent (and, in the case of 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. and 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C., primary additional rent), and overage rent (equal to 50% of the operating lessee's net operating profit). In the case of Empire State Building Associates L.L.C., net operating profit is calculated as net operating profits in excess of \$1,000,000 per annum, which amount is retained by Empire State Building Company L.L.C., and in the case of 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. and 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C., net operating profit is calculated as net operating profits in excess of \$1,053,800 per annum and \$752,000 per annum, respectively, of primary additional rent, which is paid by the operating lessee to the applicable subject LLC to the extent of net profits. Such amounts represent approximately 2.78%, 4.35% and 10.59%, respectively, of the original purchase price of each of the Empire State Building, One Grand Central Place and 250 West 57th Street. Each operating lessee was formed initially as a partnership, the partners of which included Lawrence A. Wien and Harry B. Helmsley, and later converted to a limited liability company. Under the operating lease, the subject LLC, as lessor, has no right to operate the property. The operating lessee does not require any approval from the subject LLC for any operating decision. As such, the operating lessee makes all decisions relating to the operations of the property, including decisions as to leasing the property and selection of tenants and timing of leasing; what repairs to make, how much to spend on them and how to maintain the property (consistent with its obligation to repair, maintain and replace the property, subject to the lessor's consent for certain alterations which must be reasonably given); whether to hire property management and leasing agents or to handle such work internally; how to use the cash flow from the property; whether to seek financing for major expenditures; and whether to use cash flow for property-related expenses or to establish reserves. Each subject LLC has the right to approve a sale or mortgage of the entire property. The control by the operating lessee affects the cash returns to a subject LLC above basic rent (and, in the case of 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. and 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C., primary additional rent) because, under the lease, the subject LLC and operating lessee have a 50/50 split of net operating profit above such specified amount.

A subject LLC, as lessor, cannot decide whether to take steps to maximize the value of the property or to undertake improvements or repairs and maintenance. A subject LLC, as lessor, also cannot determine to obtain additional financing to maximize cash flows and therefore distributions unless the operating lessee also agrees to the financing, because, in view of the operating lessee's rights under the operating lease, lenders generally could be expected to require in connection with any significant financing that the operating lessee subordinate its

Table of Contents

interest to the financing. A subject LLC, as lessor, has the right to sell its fee interest in the property without the operating lessee's consent. While the operating lessee does not have a contractual right to approve a sale of the property by the subject LLC, any property sale not agreed to by the operating lessee necessarily will be subject to the operating lease. The supervisor believes this limitation reduces the value of the subject LLCs unless sold with the operating lease position.

The supervisor, which is related to the principals who formed the subject LLCs, was appointed as the supervisor of the subject LLCs pursuant to the original partnership agreements of each of the subject LLCs and is the only party which has performed, and is authorized to perform, this role under the subject LLCs' organizational documents. The members of the supervisor are Peter L. Malkin, Anthony E. Malkin, direct descendants of Peter L. Malkin, and trusts and entities, the beneficiaries and owners of which are Peter L. Malkin, his descendants and their spouses and, Thomas N. Keltner, Jr. The subject LLCs were originally established as general partnerships with no managing general partner or managing member and the supervisor is responsible for the operations and administrative functions on behalf of the subject LLCs. The supervisor, in its capacity as supervisor of each of the subject LLCs, provides all administrative and oversight services, such as maintaining the entity's records, including those related to participants, performing physical inspections of the property, providing or coordinating certain counsel services to the subject LLC, reviewing insurance coverage, arranging for financing, conducting annual supervisory review meetings, payment of monthly and additional distributions to the participants, payment of all other disbursements including real estate taxes, review of operations of the properties by the operating lessee, preparation and filing of tax returns, preparation of financial statements of the subject LLC and preparation of quarterly, annual and other periodic filings with the SEC and applicable state authorities and distribution of tax information and other information to the participants. The supervisor performs all of these services itself. In exchange for such services, the supervisor has received supervisory fees as described in the section entitled "Distributions and Compensation Paid to the Supervisor and its Affiliates - Compensation, Reimbursement and Distributions to the Supervisor and its Affiliates" in the supplement for each subject LLC. The supervisor currently receives supervisory fees at the rate of \$761,888, \$189,158, and \$107,190, per annum, from each of Empire State Building Associates L.L.C., 60 East 42nd St. Associates, L.L.C., and 250 West 57th St. Associates, L.L.C., respectively, for such services, in addition to compensation at an hourly rate for special supervisory services. During 2011, the supervisor billed fees for special supervisory services (primarily relating to legal and accounting services) as follows: \$1,094,938 to Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. (\$93,693 regarding mortgage financing and \$1,001,245 regarding the proposed consolidation and IPO), \$228,603 to 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. (all regarding the proposed consolidation and IPO) and \$109,560 to 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C. (all regarding the proposed consolidation and IPO). The fees for basic and special supervisory services expected to be received over the 10 years ended 2020 were taken into account in determining the exchange value of the management companies. The fees for special supervisory services in connection with the consolidation and IPO were not included in determining the exchange value of the management companies. The supervisor also serves as supervisor of the private entities and in that capacity, in addition to providing the administrative functions similar to those it provides to the subject LLCs, it supervises all aspects of property operations, leasing, reporting and financing. The supervisor's supervisory services on behalf of the subject LLCs and the operating lessees are interrelated, as they have been since inception. The supervisor owes a fiduciary duty to the subject LLCs.

Principals of the supervisor have been partners, members or agents in the operating lessees from the origination of these entities, and in its capacity as supervisor of the operating lessees, the supervisor oversees the day-to-day operations of the operating lessees and the properties.

Each of the agents is a member of the subject LLCs with the right to approve actions requiring the consent of members of the subject LLCs, subject to approval of certain significant actions by participants to the extent required under the participating agreements and as described in the next paragraph and under "Comparison of Ownership of Participation Interests, Operating Partnership Units and Shares of Common Stock - Voting Rights." The agents, in their capacities as agents, have no economic interest in the subject LLCs. From inception, the agents have been persons who have been principals of, or are related to principals of, the supervisor. The supervisor has played the central role in administering the subject LLCs and the agents' role has been primarily performing ministerial

Table of Contents

functions and consenting to matters proposed by the supervisor for which the participants have given any required consent. The agents have a duty to comply with the participating agreements and the organizational documents of the subject LLCs and owe a fiduciary duty to the participants in their participation groups.

The participants are divided into participating groups and the participants in each participating group have been granted participations in the membership interest of one of the agents. Under the participating agreements, the agent has the right to take all actions with respect to its membership interest, except for certain significant actions, such as sales, financings and amendment to the operating lease, that require the consent of the participants. For a more detailed list of such actions requiring consent of the participants, see *Comparison of Ownership of Participation Interests, Operating Partnership Units and Shares of Common Stock Voting Rights*. The agents distribute all amounts received by them to the participants in their participating group, pro rata in proportion to their participation interests.

The Malkin Holdings group and the Helmsley estate own, on an aggregate basis, the following interests in each of the subject LLCs, each of the operating lessees and the private entities (other than the operating lessees), as a group, based on exchange values and percentage of aggregate exchange value for the applicable entity:

Entity	Malkin Holdings group		Helmsley estate	
	Exchange Value	Percentage	Exchange Value	Percentage
Empire State Building Associates L.L.C.				
As holders of participation interests ⁽¹⁾	\$ 74,481,907	6.29%	\$ 971,410	0.08%
Override Interests ⁽²⁾	\$ 108,143,382	9.14%		
Total	\$ 182,625,289	15.43%	\$ 971,410	0.08%
60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C.				
As holders of participation interests ⁽³⁾	\$ 22,600,706	7.22%	\$ 1,207,974	0.39%
Override Interests ⁽²⁾	\$ 31,208,953	9.97%		
Total	\$ 53,809,659	17.19%	\$ 1,207,974	0.39%
250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C.				
As holders of participation interests	\$ 10,933,720	6.71%	\$ 452,957	0.28%
Override Interests ⁽²⁾	\$ 12,207,951	7.49%		
Total	\$ 23,141,671	14.19%	\$ 452,957	0.28%
Empire State Building Company L.L.C.				
As holders of participation interests ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 24,724,899	2.13%	\$ 740,862,007	63.75%
Override Interests ⁽²⁾	\$ 52,889,643	4.55%		
Total	\$ 77,614,543	6.68%	\$ 740,862,007	63.75%
Lincoln Building Associates L.L.C.				
As holders of participation interests ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 19,979,144	6.75%	\$ 79,916,576	27.00%
Override Interests ⁽²⁾	\$ 29,598,732	10.0%		
Total	\$ 49,577,876	16.75%	\$ 79,916,576	27.00%
Fisk Building Associates L.L.C.				
As holders of participation interests	\$ 18,354,490	12.14%	\$ 47,611,871	31.50%
Override Interests ⁽²⁾	\$ 31,851,768	21.07%		
Total	\$ 50,206,258	33.22%	\$ 47,611,871	31.50%
Other Private Entities				
As holders of participation interests ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 239,052,294	26.65%	\$ 157,600,323	17.57%
Override Interests ⁽²⁾	\$ 38,451,943	4.29%		
Total	\$ 277,504,237	30.94%	\$ 157,600,323	17.57%

Edgar Filing: Empire State Realty Trust, Inc. - Form S-4/A

- (1) Does not include participation interests in which the Malkin Holdings group controls the vote, but does not have an economic interest. A member of the Malkin Holdings group is the trustee of a trust that owns participation interests. The member of the Malkin Holdings group does not require the consent of the participants/partners to give its consent with respect to such participation interests. These participation interests represent 0.15% of the participation interests of Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. The economic interests in such participation interests are held by unaffiliated third parties and have an exchange value of \$1,619,017.

Table of Contents

- (2) The percentage determined is based on the percentage of distributions that will be received based on the exchange values, which were determined as described in Exchange Value and Allocation of Operating Partnership Units and Common Stock Derivation of Exchange Values. In the case of Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C., the override interests included in the table represent a voluntary capital override, which was voluntarily agreed to by certain participants.
- (3) Does not include participation interests in which the Malkin Holdings group controls the vote, but does not have an economic interest. A member of the Malkin Holdings group is the trustee of a trust that owns participation interests. The member of the Malkin Holdings group does not require the consent of the participants/partners to give its consent with respect to such participation interests. These participation interests represent 0.14% of the participation interests of 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. The economic interests in such participation interests are held by unaffiliated third parties and have an exchange value of \$402,658.
- (4) Does not include participation interests in which the Malkin Holdings group controls the vote, but does not have an economic interest. A member of the Malkin Holdings group either acts as agent for a participating group that owns the economic interests in the participation interests or is the general partner of a partnership that owns participation interests. In either case, the member of the Malkin Holdings group does not require the consent of the participants/partners to give its consent with respect to such participation interests. These participation interests represent 23.75% of the participation interests of Empire State Building Company L.L.C. The economic interests in such participation interests are held by unaffiliated third parties and have an exchange value of \$180,266,284.
- (5) Does not include participation interests in which the Malkin Holdings group controls the vote, but does not have an economic interest. A member of the Malkin Holdings group is the trustee of a trust that owns participation interests. The member of the Malkin Holdings group does not require the consent of the participants/partners to give its consent with respect to such participation interests. These participation interests represent 5.0% of the participation interests of Lincoln Building Associates L.L.C. The economic interests in such participation interests are held by unaffiliated third parties and have an exchange value of \$13,319,429.
- (6) Does not include participation interests in which the Malkin Holdings group controls the vote, but does not have an economic interest. A member of the Malkin Holdings group either acts as agent for a participating group that owns the economic interests in the participation interests, is the general partner of a partnership that owns participation interests or is the trustee of a trust that owns participation interests in certain of the subject LLCs and private entities. In either case, the member of the Malkin Holdings group does not require the consent of the participants/partners to give its consent with respect to such participation interests. With respect to the other private entities, a member of the Malkin Holdings group serves as trustee for a trust that holds participation interests in one of the other private entities. These participation interests represent 1.1% of the participation interests in such private entity. The economic interests in such participation interests are held by unaffiliated third parties and have an aggregate exchange value of \$1,586,319.

Table of Contents

The following is a list of the subject LLCs and the private entities and the appraised value of the real property interests owned by the subject LLCs and the private entities, before deducting mortgage indebtedness or other liabilities and the exchange value, which is calculated as described in this prospectus/consent solicitation after deducting mortgage indebtedness and other liabilities:

Entity ⁽¹⁾	Appraised Property Value ⁽²⁾	Appraised Entity Value	Exchange Value
Empire State Building	\$ 2,530,000,000		
Empire State Building Associates L.L.C.		\$ 1,306,500,000	\$ 1,183,612,549
Empire State Building Company L.L.C. ⁽³⁾		\$ 1,223,500,000	\$ 1,162,136,482
Total		\$ 2,530,000,000	\$ 2,345,749,031
One Grand Central Place	\$ 704,000,000		
60 East 42 nd St. Associates L.L.C.		\$ 359,500,000	\$ 313,069,533
Lincoln Building Associates L.L.C. ⁽⁴⁾		\$ 344,500,000	\$ 295,987,317
Total		\$ 704,000,000	\$ 609,056,850
250 West 57 th St.	\$ 361,000,000		
250 West 57 th St. Associates L.L.C.		\$ 186,000,000	\$ 163,064,607
Fisk Building Associates L.L.C. ⁽⁵⁾		\$ 175,000,000	\$ 151,148,797
Total		\$ 361,000,000	\$ 314,213,405
1333 Broadway			
1333 Broadway Associates L.L.C.	\$ 209,000,000	\$ 209,000,000	\$ 156,335,546
1350 Broadway			
1350 Broadway Associates L.L.C.	\$ 187,000,000	\$ 187,000,000	\$ 135,845,247
1359 Broadway			
Marlboro Building Associates L.L.C.	\$ 206,000,000	\$ 206,000,000	\$ 158,233,045
501 Seventh Avenue	\$ 178,000,000		
Seventh & 37 th Building Associates L.L.C.		\$ 91,000,000	\$ 66,208,949
501 Seventh Avenue Associates L.L.C.		\$ 87,000,000	\$ 62,736,281
Total		\$ 178,000,000	\$ 128,945,230
69-97 Main Street			
Soundview Plaza Associates II L.L.C.	\$ 31,000,000	\$ 31,000,000	\$ 21,557,416
1010 Third Avenue and 77 West 55 th Street			
East West Manhattan Retail Portfolio L.P.	\$ 85,000,000	\$ 85,000,000	\$ 56,011,882
Metro Center			
One Station Place, Limited Partnership	\$ 157,000,000	\$ 157,000,000	\$ 57,902,962
10 Union Square			
New York Union Square Retail L.P.	\$ 105,000,000	\$ 105,000,000	\$ 83,379,307
103-107 Main Street			
Westport Main Street Retail L.L.C.	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 6,925,553
First Stamford Place ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 266,000,000		
Fairfax Merrifield Associates L.L.C.		\$ 82,938,800	\$ 6,337,762
Merrifield Apartments Company L.L.C.		\$ 82,938,800	\$ 6,337,762
First Stamford Place L.L.C.		\$ 100,122,400	\$ 7,385,119
Total		\$ 266,000,000	\$ 20,060,643
10 Bank Street			
1185 Swap Portfolio L.P.	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 15,580,253
1542 Third Avenue			
1185 Swap Portfolio L.P.	\$ 41,000,000	\$ 41,000,000	\$ 21,274,903
383 Main Ave			

Edgar Filing: Empire State Realty Trust, Inc. - Form S-4/A

Fairfield Merrittview Limited Partnership	\$	37,000,000	\$	37,000,000	\$	5,711,887
500 Mamaroneck Ave 500 Mamaroneck Avenue L.P.	\$	52,000,000	\$	52,000,000	\$	14,623,879
BBSF LLC	\$	14,600,000	\$	14,600,000	\$	14,600,000
Supervisor and Management Companies ⁽⁷⁾	\$	14,775,000	\$	14,775,000	\$	16,302,000
Total	\$	5,235,375,000	\$	5,235,375,000	\$	4,182,309,039

Table of Contents

- (1) Excludes three private entities which are the ground lessees and an operating lessee of two properties that are supervised by the supervisor, having an appraised value of \$776,000,000 (after reduction for the third-party ground lease). The operating partnership has entered into option agreements pursuant to which it has the option to acquire their property interests upon the final resolution of certain ongoing litigation with respect to these properties. The appraised values of such properties are the appraised values the properties would have had if the litigation is resolved, and were determined on a basis consistent with the exchange values of the subject LLCs and the private entities.
- (2) Represents the appraised value of each property owned (or in the case of a property subject to a third-party ground lease, the value of the interest as ground lessee) by or subject to an operating lease with each subject LLC and each private entity and the appraised value of management companies.
- (3) Operating lessee of Empire State Building Associates L.L.C.
- (4) Operating lessee of 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C.
- (5) Operating lessee of 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C.
- (6) First Stamford Place L.L.C. is a 37.64% co-tenant with Fairfax Merrifield Associates L.L.C. and Merrifield Apartments Company L.L.C., together owning a 62.36% interest. Merrifield Apartments Company L.L.C. is the operating lessee, owning a 50.00% interest in the co-tenancy, for an aggregate ownership interest of 31.18% in the property.
- (7) The value represents the appraised value of the management companies excluding the value attributable to the supervisor's overrides, which are included in the value of the overrides that the Malkin Holdings group holds in the subject LLCs and the private entities.

The Supervisor's Reasons for Proposing the Consolidation

The supervisor proposed the consolidation and recommends that you vote **FOR** the consolidation. The supervisor believes this transaction represents the best opportunity for value enhancement for your investment in the subject LLC.

From time to time, for various reasons, the supervisor has pursued sales of properties supervised by the supervisor in Manhattan, when the supervisor believed a sale would produce a higher return than continuing to hold the property. After the death of Leona Helmsley in August 2007, the supervisor briefly considered, and had discussions with representatives of the Helmsley estate concerning, the possible sale of the Empire State Building. It was ultimately decided that there was greater value for Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and Empire State Building Company L.L.C. in holding, improving, and repositioning the Empire State Building rather than selling the Empire State Building in its then-current condition. In 2010, Anthony E. Malkin and Peter L. Malkin, as principals of the supervisor, met with the executors of the Helmsley estate, as a significant investor, to discuss the merits of a consolidation of several properties, including the subject LLCs, and a subsequent initial public offering of the consolidated entity. Thereafter, Anthony E. Malkin and Peter L. Malkin, as principals of the supervisor, investigated the feasibility of a consolidation transaction and IPO of the company which would be formed in connection with the consolidation and took steps to consider and pursue the consolidation.

The Helmsley estate has expressed its intention that, if the consolidation and IPO do not occur, it will liquidate its interests in the private entities, including each of the operating lessees. The supervisor believes that such liquidation by the Helmsley estate is required pursuant to the specific terms of Leona Helmsley's will.

In the event of such a liquidation, the supervisor and the other participants in the subject LLCs and the private entities will not be able to influence or control the selection of the purchaser. Such purchaser would own the Helmsley estate's current position in the operating lessee of the Empire State Building, which would provide it with the ability to veto all decisions, and a large percentage of each of the other two operating lessees.

The Malkin Family and the Helmsley estate each effectively has an ability to veto actions by Empire State Building Company L.L.C., because under the operating agreement of Empire State Building Company L.L.C., full administrative and operational control of all matters connected with the business of Empire State Building Company L.L.C., including all matters connected with the operation, management, leasing, maintenance, repair and improvement of the Empire State Building, require the consent of 80% in interest of the participants in Empire State Building Company L.L.C. The Helmsley estate (or its successor) owns 63.75% of the participation

Table of Contents

interests while principals of the supervisor have the right to vote as to 23.75% of the participation interests. Because the consent of each of the supervisor and the Helmsley estate is needed for such actions, the potential for a stalemate is created. The Helmsley estate is required to sell its interests in the private entities, including the operating lessees of the subject LLCs, pursuant to the will of Leona M. Helmsley. As a result, the status quo, which consists of the current working arrangement between the supervisor and the Helmsley estate, will not continue and the supervisor and any transferee of the Helmsley estate's interest may not reach agreement on actions to be taken to operate the Empire State Building.

Since an operating lessee controls all aspects of the operations of its property, and that control allows it to make decisions that affect property performance and the availability of profits which are shared 50/50 with the subject LLCs, such purchaser may take actions which adversely affect the value and distributions for the Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and its participants and could influence materially the activities in the other two subject LLCs.

The consolidation and IPO would permit the Helmsley estate to monetize a significant portion of its interests at the IPO price without creating such a potentially adverse event. Further, it would also provide a liquid trading market for the Helmsley estate to monetize the remainder of its interests in an efficient manner that will be transparent to the public markets.

The supervisor believes it is in the best interests of the participants and the company to provide to the Helmsley estate the right to receive an allocation of excess IPO proceeds in exchange for their interests in the private entities, to the extent available after providing cash to redeem non-accredited investors in the private entities and other uses of proceeds. This would include proceeds from any upside of the IPO and any exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares of the company's Class A common stock.

The supervisor has overseen the engagement by the subject LLC of independent property management and leasing agents, developed and substantially effected a comprehensive renovation and repositioning program for improving the physical condition of and upgrading the credit quality of tenants at the property, and raised the property's profile as part of a well regarded portfolio brand. The supervisor believes that it is an opportune time for the subject LLCs to take advantage of the opportunity to participate in the consolidation which will afford participants better value protection through diversification, growth opportunities through potential acquisitions and potential growth in revenue of the initial properties and more stable cash flows for distributions, as well as administrative and operating efficiencies. Additionally, the supervisor believes the consolidation provides value enhancement through better access to capital and options for liquidity for investors who so desire.

The supervisor believes that the consolidation of your subject LLC into the company is the best way for you to maximize the value of your investment in your subject LLC and to achieve liquidity through ownership of operating partnership units (which are exchangeable for cash or, at the option of the company, Class A common stock) and/or shares of Class A common stock, in each case, expected to be listed on the NYSE, which investors may sell from time to time as and when they so desire (subject to the restrictions of applicable U.S. federal and state securities laws and after expiration of the lock-up period as described in this prospectus/consent solicitation). The supervisor believes that in view of the fact that the subject LLCs own the interests in the properties, but the operating lessees operate the properties, it would not be in the best interests of the subject LLCs to sell their interests in the properties separate from a sale by the operating lessees. The private entities (including the operating lessees), with the required consent of their participants, have agreed to transfer their interests in the properties, including their interests in the operating lessees, as part of the consolidation. The supervisor believes that the consolidation, over time, likely will result in higher values for participants in the subject LLCs than if the interests in the properties were sold individually and the subject LLCs were liquidated as a result of increased efficiencies, growth opportunities and other opportunities for value enhancement. The Malkin Holdings group will receive substantial benefits from the consolidation and have conflicts of interest in making this recommendation.

Table of Contents

Benefits of Participation in the Consolidation

The supervisor believes that the consolidation will provide you with the following benefits:

Tax-deferred Transaction. You will have the opportunity to receive interests in the company's operating partnership on the same basis as participants in the private entities and the Malkin Family in a transaction expected to be tax-deferred for U.S. federal income tax purposes. See U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Consolidation. Participants are urged to consult with their tax advisor as to the tax consequences of the consolidation in light of their particular circumstances;

Liquidity. You will be able to achieve liquidity by selling all or part of your shares of Class A common stock, subject to the restrictions of applicable U.S. federal and state securities laws and after expiration of the lock-up period described under The Consolidation Lock-Up Agreement. The shares of Class A common stock are expected to be listed on the NYSE. Participants may also achieve liquidity through sale of Class A common stock issued in exchange for operating partnership units and Class B common stock, subject to such restrictions. Participants who receive operating partnership units may also sell operating partnership units, which also are expected to be listed on the NYSE, subject to restrictions described above, although the market for operating partnership units may be more limited than the market for Class A common stock. In addition, each participant in the subject LLCs that receives operating partnership units may, immediately following the consolidation and the IPO, sell up to 4.0% of the operating partnership units he or she receives with respect to each subject LLC (assuming all of the participants in each subject LLC elect to receive operating partnership units, the enterprise value equals the aggregate exchange value and the IPO price equals \$10 per share), which treats all three subject LLCs equally after having determined for Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. the minimum amount required by the NYSE for it to meet the requirements as the primary listing. There is only a limited market for the participation interests in the subject LLCs and the highest price for sales of participation interests since January 1, 2009 of which the supervisor is aware is \$50,000 per \$10,000 original investment for Empire State Building Associates L.L.C., \$16,000 per \$10,000 original investment for 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. and \$50,000 per \$10,000 original investment for 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C., which the supervisor believes is substantially below their value;

Risk Diversification. The company will own a large number of quality office and retail properties in the Manhattan and the greater New York metropolitan area and have much broader tenant diversification than your subject LLC, which owns an interest in a single property. This diversification will reduce the dependence of your investment upon the performance of, and the exposure to the risks associated with, owning an interest in a single property, and allow for more stable cash flows for distributions;

Regular Quarterly Cash Distributions. Similar to the subject LLCs' present method of operation, the supervisor expects that the company and the operating partnership will make regular quarterly cash distributions on the operating partnership units and common stock, which will include distributions of at least 90% of the company's annual REIT taxable income (determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid, and excluding net capital gains), which is required for REIT qualification. REIT taxable income will be determined by the performance of the portfolio of the company's properties and unaffected by its stock price.

The expected regular quarterly dividends to stockholders in the company will be based on the performance of a portfolio of properties rather than just one property and are required to be at least 90% of annual REIT taxable income (determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid, and excluding net capital gains) to maintain the company's qualification as a REIT. The supervisor believes that participants have greater potential for increased distributions as a holder of operating partnership units or common stock than as a participant in a subject LLC from improved performance

Table of Contents

of the properties, potential growth from acquisitions and a better capital structure made possible by the combined balance sheet of all the properties. There is no assurance, however, that the company's dividends will increase over time or be greater than the historical distributions made to participants in a subject LLC.

More Efficient Decision-Making. Each subject LLC currently requires several internal procedural steps to undertake major transactions, which affects its ability to take timely advantage of favorable opportunities. Financing and sales require costly and time consuming steps to obtain consent of a very high percentage of the participants in a subject LLC;

Improved Capital Structure by Eliminating Two-Tier Ownership. Except for very small loans supported by basic rent, the relationship between the subject LLCs and the operating lessees requires that any additional financing placed on an entire property requires the agreement of both the operating lessee and the subject LLCs.

Easier Access to Financing. A subject LLC cannot require the operating lessee to obtain or utilize financing to maximize its cash flow and therefore overage rent available for additional distributions to participants in the subject LLCs. Each operating lessee controls all aspects of property operations, leasing, and investment and has broad discretion to use cash flow from the property for purposes related to the applicable property. Operating lessee decisions can result in little or no overage rent to the corresponding subject LLC, and additional distributions to the subject LLC's participants are contingent on overage rent.

Eliminates Two-Tier Ownership Impact on Borrowing. In the past, decisions by operating lessees have resulted in uneven payments of overage rent to the subject LLCs from year to year. Without the cooperation of the operating lessee, there is very limited opportunity for financing by the subject LLCs to provide funds for distributions. It is likely that any lender would require agreement of the operating lessee before making any loan to a subject LLC.

Shared Motivations to Reinvest and Maintain Properties. Additionally, the operating leases between the subject LLCs and the operating lessees do not address reinvestment by the operating lessees in capital improvements for the properties. To induce reinvestment by their operating lessees, two of the subject LLCs (60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. and 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C.) have agreed, in accordance with their participants' consent and the supervisor's recommendation, to extend the operating leases. These extensions have been coupled with consents by the operating lessees to allow financing on the entire property, which minimized the impact of reinvestment on operating profit and allowed for additional distributions from overage rent.

In connection with these extension and financing agreements, the basic rent has been increased by the amount of the increase in debt service arising from the financing, and such increase in basic rent is deducted in calculating overage rent, ultimately resulting in the debt service being shared 50/50 between each such subject LLC and its operating lessee. In the case of the Empire State Building, because of the pendency of this proposed consolidation, there has been no such lease extension request, though the operating lessee has consented to limited advances under a property mortgage loan made to Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and has subordinated the operating lease to such advances. If the consolidation does not go forward, the operating lessee has indicated it will request additional lease extensions as a condition for subordination to additional mortgage advances at that time.

Broader Markets for Property Sales. Finally, as described under "Background of and Reasons for the Consolidation" The Supervisor's Reasons for Proposing the Consolidation, the supervisor believes that, unless the operating lessee joins with the corresponding subject LLC in a sale of the property, such a sale would not maximize the value of the such subject LLC's interests in the property.

Table of Contents

Modern Governance Structure. The company will have a modern governance structure. Capital reinvestment and financing decisions will be based on what is considered to be best for the company, and there will be no need to secure approvals of operating lessees or subject LLCs. Such decisions will be made under a corporate governance structure governed by a board of directors, with six of seven directors being independent.

Quarterly Distributions of a Minimum of 90% of REIT Taxable Income. The supervisor expects that the company and the operating partnership will make regular quarterly cash distributions on the operating partnership units and common stock, which will include distributions of at least a minimum of 90% of the company's annual REIT taxable income (determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid, and excluding any net capital gains), as required for REIT qualification. REIT taxable income will be determined by the performance of the portfolio of the company's properties and unaffected by its stock price. Such distributions will be based on a portfolio of properties, rather than investors being dependent on a single property. The subject LLCs generally have been required to maintain property-level reserves to meet potential future expenditures, including those relating to repairs, maintenance and capital improvement, and such reserves generally have been, and in the future, if the consolidation is not consummated, are expected to be, required under the subject LLCs' loan agreements. The supervisor does not believe that property-level reserves will be required to be maintained by the company and, as a result, additional cash should be available to the company for distribution;

Risk of Reduction in Distributions if Consolidation is Not Consummated. Distributions from your subject LLC come from payments made by the operating lessee. Your operating lessee is required to pay a low basic rent to your subject LLC. Any payment you receive above that comes from the profits from your operating lessee's performance and comes in the form of payment of overage rent out of profits from the operating lessee's operations of the property. The operating lessee makes all decisions relating to the operations of the property.

Decisions over which the operating lessee has control which directly impact whether or not there is overage rent from which you may receive additional distributions include whether or not to lease, whether or not to improve the property, whether to use the cash flow from the property or to seek financing for capital expenditures, and whether to use cash flow for property-related expenses. From the overage rent which is paid, the supervisor maintains reserves for expenses. The balance, if any, is paid out as a distribution from overage rent. In recent years, overage rent has been made possible from time to time through the operating lessee working with your subject LLC to gain consents for financing, the operating lessee agreeing to borrow, and the proceeds of borrowing being used to pay for expenses rather than relying exclusively on cash flow from the property.

In the past, when the operating lessee for your subject LLC has not used financing, overage rent has decreased or ceased. If the operating lessee for your subject LLC exercises its right to use cash flow to fund future capital improvements, tenant installation costs, commissions and other expenses rather than to use financing, your distributions from overage rent may again decrease or cease. If the operating lessee for your subject LLC has a deadlock in operating decision-making, it may make decisions or take actions which meaningfully reduce the prospects of your subject LLC's receipt of overage rent in the future. In the case of the Empire State Building, because of the pendency of this proposed consolidation, Empire State Building Company, L.L.C., the operating lessee, has consented to advances of up to the current outstanding principal amount under a property mortgage loan made to Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and has subordinated the operating lease to such advances, as required by the lender, without having requested an extension of the term of the operating lease beyond any extension provided for in the operating lease.

At the two other properties involved in this consent solicitation, 250 West 57th Street and One Grand Central Place, their improvement and financing programs were linked to extensions of their operating leases. If the consolidation does not go forward, it is possible that the operating lessee of the Empire

Table of Contents

State Building may not approve additional advances under the loan agreement unless Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. approves lease extensions, in which case the operating lessee may use cash flow for expenditures to improve the building and conclude leases, resulting in immediate and sustained reductions or cessation of overage rent, or may either defer or not make such expenditures.

Decisions as to whether to use cash flow or financing are made by the operating lessees from time to time and no decision has been made by any of the operating lessees involved in this consent. Any decision by the operating lessees for 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C. and 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. would require the consent of members of the Malkin Holdings group and/or the Helmsley estate or their successor in interest. Any decision by the operating lessee of the Empire State Building would require the consent of the members of both the Malkin Holdings group and the Helmsley estate or their successor in interest.

Increased Accountability. As a result of the governance structure of a company with its Class A common stock and operating partnership units expected to be listed on the NYSE, stockholders will benefit from the oversight by a board of directors consisting predominantly of independent directors.

Growth Potential. The supervisor believes that you have greater potential for increased distributions as a unitholder or stockholder and increased value from capital appreciation than as a participant in your subject LLC. The supervisor's belief is based on the anticipated growth in the revenues of the initial properties operated as a portfolio under the Malkin brand and potential additional investments by the company;

Greater and More Efficient Access to Capital. The company will have a larger base of assets and believes that it will have a greater variety of options and ability to access the capital markets and the equity value in its assets than any of the subject LLCs individually. As a result, the company expects to have greater and more efficient access to the capital necessary to fund its operations, fund renovations to the properties and consummate acquisitions than would be available to any of the subject LLCs individually. The supervisor believes that it would be extremely difficult for the subject LLCs to obtain similar access to capital due to their size and ownership structure;

Elimination of Risk and Discount in Value from Subject LLCs - Passive Ownership of the Property Interests. Each subject LLC owns an interest in a single property subject to an operating lease. The operating lessee operates the property and the subject LLC does not participate in the management of the operations of the property. The market for the interest held by each subject LLC is smaller and the interest less valuable than of the entire property not subject to the operating lease. Following the consolidation, ownership and operation of the properties owned by the subject LLCs and private entities will be integrated;

Valuable Synergies. The subject LLCs presently benefit from being part of a portfolio of properties with a common brand awareness. However, under the current structure, there are major obstacles to obtaining true synergies and realization of value, such as combining financings, movements of tenants from one building to another, sharing of employees and management and oversight. The consolidation will remove such obstacles and free up access to value creation;

Position in Highly Desirable Marketplace. The properties owned by the subject LLCs and the private entities are concentrated in Manhattan and the greater New York metropolitan area. The supervisor believes this is one of the most highly desired markets in the world for office and retail properties;

Reduced Conflicts of Interest. From inception, the supervisor has represented many different ownership interests, and the subject LLCs and the private entities, therefore, have been exposed to conflicts of interest. For example, the supervisor and persons associated with the supervisor act as an external manager for all of the entities (including the subject LLCs and the operating lessees), serve as agents for the participants in the subject LLCs and certain of the private entities, determine when to make recommendations on sales, financings and operations of the properties, and make or recommend all

Table of Contents

operating and leasing decisions in all operating entities and all decisions of the subject LLCs. Decisions made by the supervisor in its capacity as supervisor of the operating lessees with regard to property operations dictate the cash available for distribution to the subject LLCs, which are also supervised by the supervisor. The company, on the other hand, will be managed by its officers, subject to the direction and control of its board of directors, which will consist predominantly of independent directors, and all the properties will be owned directly or indirectly by a single entity, without a division of interests. There will not be separate interests of different groups of owners and there will not be a role for, or *requirement* of, an outside supervisor. Accordingly, the supervisor believes this consolidated structure eliminates the conflicts inherent in the structure which have been there from inception of the subject LLCs and the private entities and more closely aligns the interests among the stockholders and management; and

Election to Receive Operating Partnership Units, Class A Common Stock and/or Class B Common Stock. Each participant in a subject LLC will have the option to receive operating partnership units in a transaction expected to be tax-deferred for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Each participant will receive operating partnership units, unless such participant elects to receive shares of Class A common stock or, to a limited extent, Class B common stock. Each participant may elect to receive one share of Class B common stock instead of one operating partnership unit for every 50 operating partnership units such participant would otherwise receive in the consolidation. Each share of Class B common stock has 50 votes on all matters on which stockholders are entitled to vote and the same economic interest as a share of Class A common stock, and one share of Class B common stock and 49 operating partnership units together represent a similar economic value as 50 shares of Class A common stock. The Malkin Holdings group will receive its consideration as follows: 97.00% in operating partnership units, 1.03% in Class A common stock and 1.97% in Class B common stock. The operating partnership units will be issued in three separate series to the participants in each of the three subject LLCs (other than the Wien group) and in a separate series to the participants in the private entities receiving operating partnership units and the Wien group. Each series of operating partnership units will have identical rights as to distributions, liquidation and other rights as a limited partner in the operating partnership.

Third-Party Portfolio Transaction

As a potential alternative to the consolidation, you also are being asked to consent to the sale or contribution of the subject LLC's property interest as part of a sale or contribution of the properties owned by the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies as a portfolio to an unaffiliated third party. Through solicitation of consents, for the first time the properties owned by the subject LLCs and the private entities can be joined as a single portfolio. While the supervisor believes the consolidation and IPO represent the best opportunity for participants in the subject LLCs and the private entities to achieve liquidity and to maximize the value of their respective investments, the supervisor also believes it is in the best interest of all participants for the supervisor to be able to approve offers from unaffiliated third parties for the portfolio as a whole.

Market forces are dynamic, unpredictable, and subject to volatility. Should the public awareness of the proposed consolidation and IPO produce potential compelling offers from unaffiliated third parties to purchase the consolidated portfolio, it will be costly and time consuming to solicit consents to allow a sale or contribution of the portfolio to a third party, and there is considerable risk that any opportunity which might appear would be lost without the requested consent in place. Therefore, the supervisor believes that it is advisable to have the flexibility and discretion, subject to certain conditions, to accept an offer for the entire portfolio of properties from an unaffiliated third party, rather than pursue the consolidation and IPO. The supervisor will consider an offer from an unaffiliated third party only for the entire portfolio of properties owned by all of the subject LLCs and all of the private entities (including the operating lessees of the subject LLCs), excluding (a) the option properties, (b) certain properties owned by the private entities that are not included in the consolidation, (c) any

Table of Contents

property interest as to which the required consent is not received, and (d) any property interest as to which customary contract conditions, such as absence of a material adverse change, are not satisfied. A third-party portfolio transaction also will include the management companies.

The third-party portfolio transaction would be undertaken only if the aggregate consideration payable in the third-party portfolio transaction is at least 115% of the aggregate exchange value for the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies included in the third-party portfolio transaction and certain other conditions are met. If such a third-party portfolio transaction were to proceed, the consideration will be allocated among the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies on a basis consistent with the exchange values.

A third-party portfolio transaction would include the following:

The proposal must provide for all cash, payable in full at closing. There will be no purchase price adjustments, earn-outs, or any other similar or contingent payments.

The proposal may provide for an option for all participants to elect to receive securities as an alternative to cash. If the proposal provides for a securities option, the Malkin Family, affiliates of the subject LLCs and private entities and participants in the subject LLCs and the private entities will have the right to elect to receive securities only on the same proportional basis as other participants.

No member of the Malkin Family, and no other affiliate of the subject LLCs or the private entities will be an affiliate, consultant, employee, officer or director of the acquiror or any of its affiliates or subsidiaries before or after the closing or receive any compensation or any other consideration from the acquiror or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates (other than their pro rata share of the consideration that they will receive in the third-party portfolio transaction).

Employees of the supervisor, other than the Malkin Family, could become officers, directors, and/or employees of the acquiring entity after a third-party portfolio transaction.

Because of the inability to act without consent of the subject LLCs and certain of the private entities, the supervisor intends to inform any unaffiliated third-party which expresses interest in making a third-party offer that it will not consider any offer until after completion of the solicitation of consents of the subject LLCs. If a bona fide offer is submitted during the solicitation period, the supervisor will provide information regarding the proposal to participants, to assist them in their decision regarding the consolidation.

The supervisor has agreed that it will not accept a third-party offer unless it is unanimously approved by a committee which will include representatives of the supervisor and a representative of the Helmsley estate. The supervisor will be authorized to approve offers only if a definitive agreement is entered into prior to December 31, 2015 or such earlier date as the supervisor may set with or without notice or public announcement. The tax protection agreement will not apply to a third-party portfolio transaction.

Settlement of Class Action

In March 2012, five putative class actions, or the Class Actions, were filed in New York State Supreme Court, New York County by participants in Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and several other entities supervised by the supervisor, against Malkin Holdings LLC, Malkin Properties, L.L.C., Malkin Properties of New York, L.L.C., Malkin Properties of Connecticut, Inc., Malkin Construction Corp., Anthony E. Malkin, Peter L. Malkin, the Helmsley estate, the operating partnership and the company. The parties entered into a Stipulation of Settlement dated September 28, 2012, resolving the Class Actions.

The Stipulation of Settlement states, among other things, that the transaction presents potential benefits, including the opportunity for liquidity and capital appreciation, that merit the participants' serious consideration.

Table of Contents

and that each of named class representatives intends to support the transaction as modified. The terms of the settlement include, among other things (i) a payment of \$55 million, with a minimum of 80% in cash and maximum of 20% in freely-tradable shares of common stock and/or freely-tradable operating partnership units to be distributed, after reimbursement of plaintiffs' counsel's court-approved expenses and payment of plaintiffs' counsel's court-approved attorneys' fees and, in the case of shares of common stock and/or operating partnership units, after the termination of specified lock-up periods, to participants in the subject LLCs and the private entities pursuant to a plan of allocation to be prepared by counsel for plaintiffs; (ii) defendants' agreement that (a) the IPO will be on the basis of a firm commitment underwriting; (b) if, during the solicitation period, any of the three subject LLC's percentage of total exchange value is lower than what is stated in the final prospectus/consent solicitation by 10% or more, such decrease will be promptly disclosed by defendants to investors in the subject LLCs; and (c) unless total gross proceeds of \$600,000,000 are raised in the IPO, defendants will not proceed with the transaction without further approval of the subject LLCs; and (iii) defendants' agreement to make additional disclosures in this prospectus/consent solicitation regarding certain matters (which are included herein).

The payment in settlement of the Class Actions will be made by the Helmsley estate and the Malkin Holdings group (provided that no member of the Malkin Holdings group that would become a direct or indirect subsidiary of the company in the consolidation will have any liability for such payment) and certain participants in the private entities who agree to contribute. The company and the operating partnership will not bear any of the settlement payment. The settlement is subject to court approval. It is not effective until such court approval is final, including the resolution of any appeal. See "The Company Business and Properties - Legal Proceedings."

Risk Factors

The Consolidation or a Third-Party Portfolio Transaction

The following is a summary of the material risks of the consolidation and the third-party portfolio transaction. The risks are more fully discussed in "Risk Factors." The supervisor believes that the risks described hereunder have substantially the same effect on each of the subject LLCs. You should consider these risks in determining whether or not to vote **FOR** the consolidation proposal or the third-party portfolio proposal.

The fair market value of the consideration that you receive will not be known until the pricing of the IPO, which will occur after you vote upon the approval of the consolidation transaction. The valuation of the consideration that you will receive in the consolidation, as presented in this prospectus/consent solicitation, is based on the exchange value of your subject LLC and the aggregate exchange value. These exchange valuations were based on the Appraisal by the independent valuer. The enterprise value (which is based on the IPO price) will be determined by, among other things, market conditions at the time of pricing of the IPO, the historical and future performance of the company and its portfolio of properties and the market's view of the company's net asset value and other valuation metrics. Today, some REITs' common stock trades at a premium to perceived net asset value and others trade at a discount to perceived net asset value. The market's view of the company's net asset value determined in connection with the IPO could be less than the exchange values determined based on the Appraisal. The Appraisal was undertaken in connection with establishing relative value for the purpose of allocation of interests in the company among contributors of interests in the properties and not to establish the value of shares of common stock in the company upon completion of the IPO. In contrast, the pricing of REIT initial public offerings generally takes into account different factors not considered in the Appraisal, including current conditions in the securities markets, investor preferences and the market's view of the company's management team. Additionally, the Appraisal did not take into account transaction costs for the consolidation and the IPO.

The supervisor believes that initial public offering pricing for REIT common stock generally is at a discount to the market price for common stock of well-established, publicly-traded REITs, and that the

Table of Contents

company's IPO pricing will be no different. For this and other reasons, the supervisor expects that the enterprise value at the pricing of the IPO will be lower than the aggregate exchange value at the pricing of the IPO, and such discount at the pricing of the IPO could be material and substantial. This discount cannot be determined until the pricing of the IPO.

The participants will not know at the time they vote on the consolidation the size, makeup and leverage of the company or the exact number of operating partnership units and/or shares of common stock that the participants in the subject LLCs will receive in the consolidation. The consolidation is conditioned on the contribution to the company of the property interests in the Empire State Building owned by Empire State Building Associates L.L.C., which owns the fee interest and the underlying land; and Empire State Building Company L.L.C., the private entity which is the operating lessee with respect to the Empire State Building but is not conditioned on any of the other subject LLCs or private entities contributing their property interests to the company in the consolidation. Each subject LLC represents a significant portion of the exchange value and anticipated future net income and cash flow of the company;

If the consolidation is approved, the contribution agreements require that the consolidation be consummated by December 31, 2014. There could be significant changes in the value of the company between the date that the consents are received and the date of consummation of the consolidation. The consolidation may be consummated regardless of how significant such changes are and may be consummated notwithstanding such changes;

The supervisor arbitrarily has assigned \$10 as the hypothetical value of each operating partnership unit and share of common stock for purposes of illustrating the number of shares of common stock and operating partnership units that will be issued to each of the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies in the consolidation. The IPO price of the Class A common stock may be below the hypothetical \$10 per share;

After the consolidation and completion of the IPO, your investment will be subject to market risk and the trading price of the operating partnership units and Class A common stock may fluctuate significantly and may trade at prices below the IPO price. Your ability to sell operating partnership units and shares of Class A common stock will be subject to the restrictions of applicable U.S. federal and state securities laws and subject to the lock-up period described herein;

The value of the operating partnership units and common stock to be received by the participants in connection with the consolidation may be less than the fair market value of the participants' participation interests in the subject LLCs;

The consolidation of your subject LLC into the company involves a fundamental change in the nature of your investment, including:

You no longer will hold a participation interest in a subject LLC that owns an interest in a single property subject to an operating lease located in Manhattan. Instead, you will own operating partnership units in the operating partnership and/or shares of common stock in the company if the consolidation is consummated, which will own a portfolio of office and retail assets in Manhattan and the greater New York metropolitan area. The company will own, and in the future may invest in, types of properties different from those in which your subject LLC has invested, and you may be subject to increased risk because of the larger number of properties and broader types of properties held by the company;

Historically, the supervisor generally has not reinvested the proceeds from a sale of properties by investment programs that it supervises, although it is not restricted from doing so. Net proceeds which are not reinvested or reserved in the supervisor's discretion would be distributed to the participants in accordance with each subject LLC's organizational documents. As the company expects to reinvest the proceeds from sales of its properties, you likely will not receive a distribution of any such proceeds, and such reinvestments may be made in properties that are not profitable;

Table of Contents

While the participants in Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. in 2008 authorized the supervisor to obtain financing to invest in properties, none of the subject LLCs has acquired any additional properties. The company may raise additional funds through equity or debt financings to make future acquisitions of properties. You may be subject to the risk that the company's future issuances of debt or equity securities or the company's other borrowings will reduce the market price of the operating partnership units or shares of Class A common stock and dilute your ownership in the company;

You will have different voting rights as a result of the consolidation. As a holder of participation interests in a subject LLC, you generally have voting rights only on the sale, mortgage or transfer of the interest in the property, modification of the existing lease on the property held by your subject LLC or entry into a new lease affecting your subject LLC. As a stockholder of the company, you will have voting rights that permit you to elect the board of directors and to approve certain major actions such as mergers and sales of all or substantially all of the assets of the company. Such voting rights do not include the right to consent to a financing;

As a result of the consolidation, you will no longer own a participation interest in your subject LLC which entitles you to a pro rata share of distributions made to participants in your subject LLC derived from cash flow from operations or cash flow from sales or financings. Your subject LLC makes small regular monthly distributions and annual distributions out of overage rent to the extent paid under the operating lease, in each case, to the extent of available cash flow. You will hold operating partnership units in the operating partnership and/or shares of common stock in the company, which will entitle you to a per share/unit amount of dividends and distributions paid with respect to the operating partnership units and common stock (which are expected to be paid quarterly and include distributions of at least 90% of the company's annual REIT taxable income determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding net capital gains). REIT taxable income will be determined by the performance of the portfolio of the company's properties and unaffected by its stock price; as is required for the company's continued REIT qualification, if, as and when declared by the board of directors of the company. The amount of such dividends and distributions and the timing thereof will be established by the board of directors; and

As a result of the consolidation, the Malkin Holdings group and its affiliates will no longer receive supervisory fees and distributions on account of their participation interests and override interests. Anthony E. Malkin, David A. Karp, Thomas P. Durels and Thomas N. Keltner, Jr., executives of the supervisor, will become officers, and Mr. Malkin will become a director, of the company, and will receive customary salaries, bonuses and benefits as determined by the company's board of directors, in addition to dividends and distributions payable to the Malkin Holdings group in respect of shares of common stock and operating partnership units they hold.

Participants will receive operating partnership units, unless they elect to receive shares of Class A common stock or, to a limited extent, Class B common stock. Each participant may elect to receive one share of Class B common stock instead of one operating partnership unit for every 50 operating partnership units such participant would otherwise receive in the consolidation. While the holders of operating partnership units generally will have the same rights to distributions as stockholders in the company, holders of operating partnership units will not have the same voting rights as stockholders, although participants will have the right to elect to receive Class B common stock, which vote together as a class with the Class A common stock, in lieu of a portion of their operating partnership units;

While the operating partnership units issued to participants in the subject LLCs are expected to be listed on the NYSE, they will not be acquired by investors in the IPO. The market for the operating partnership units may be more limited than for the Class A common stock, and, accordingly participants who receive operating partnership units may not be able to liquidate their investment prior

Table of Contents

to 12 months after the completion of the IPO when they can redeem all or a portion of their operating partnership units for a cash amount equal to the then-current market value of one share of Class A common stock per operating partnership unit, or, at the company's election, to exchange each such operating partnership unit for a share of Class A common stock on a one-for-one basis;

The operating partnership units to be issued to participants in the subject LLCs (other than the Wien group) will be issued in three separate series, each of which will be listed and traded separately. Because the operating partnership units are in separate series, there will be fewer holders of each series. While each of the series has the same rights, the tax consequences to a participant that receives, and a subsequent purchaser of, operating partnership units of a particular series will be different than those to a participant that receives, and a subsequent purchaser of, operating partnership units of another series (based on different and unique tax attributes of the properties being contributed by each of the subject LLCs). These factors may adversely affect the market for operating partnership units. To avoid such factors and to achieve liquidity, holders of operating partnership units may elect to exercise their redemption rights with respect to such operating partnership units, which commence 12 months after the completion of the IPO, and, if applicable, sell the Class A common stock received in exchange;

While the subject LLCs' exchange values have been determined based on the Appraisal by the independent valuer, which has also delivered a fairness opinion, no independent representative was retained to negotiate on behalf of the participants. There are 23 subject LLCs and private entities and groups with different interests in many of these entities. The supervisor does not believe that a single independent representative could have represented the interests of all participants and believes that to locate and retain an independent and equally competent and qualified representative for each separate interest in the consolidation is not possible. The supervisor represents the interests of all participants in the subject LLCs and private entities. The supervisor has served the same role in the past for sales of other properties with different groups of participants, which included the sale of three office properties in New York City in the past fifteen years, 200 Fifth Avenue (known as the International Toy Center), 498 Seventh Avenue and 500-512 Seventh Avenue, and believes it is not required to retain any independent representative on behalf of each group of participants or all of the participants as a whole. The supervisor believes the Appraisal prepared by the independent valuer serves the purposes of representing all parties fairly and that the consolidation is fair to all participants regardless of the absence of any such independent representative. If a representative or representatives had been retained for the participants, the terms of the consolidation might have been different and, possibly, more favorable to the participants;

While the independent valuer appraised each property, the independent valuer's fairness opinion addressed only the allocation of consideration (Class A common stock, Class B common stock, operating partnership units or cash consideration) (i) among the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies and (ii) to the participants in each subject LLC and each private entity (without giving effect to any impact of the consolidation on any particular participant other than in its capacity as a participant in each of the subject LLCs and each of the private entities);

The independent valuer's fairness opinion cannot address the market value of the operating partnership units and/or common stock you will receive, which can only be set by the market value at the time the IPO is consummated;

For each subject LLC, approval of the consolidation by the requisite vote of the participants will cause the subject LLC to participate in the consolidation, whether you vote **FOR** or **AGAINST** the consolidation;

The organizational documents provide that if more than a specified percentage of participation interests in Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. approve an action, the agents may purchase on behalf of the subject LLC the participation interests of participants who do not approve such action, and that price would be substantially below the exchange value of the

Table of Contents

participation interests. If the required supermajority consent of the participation interests in a participating group in a subject LLC approves the consolidation, an agent's participating group will purchase on behalf of the subject LLC the participation interests of the participants that do not approve the consolidation, at a price substantially below the exchange value of the participation interests;

If the required percentage of participation interests in the participating groups in a subject LLC approves the consolidation and the subject LLC is consolidated with the company, the subject LLC no longer can enter into alternatives to the consolidation. These alternatives include (i) continuation of the subject LLC and (ii) a sale of the subject LLC's interest in the property followed by the distribution of the net proceeds to its participants;

From inception, the supervisor has represented many different ownership interests, and the subject LLCs and the private entities, therefore, have been exposed to conflicts of interest. For example, the supervisor and persons associated with the supervisor act as an external manager for all of the entities (including the subject LLCs and operating lessees), serve as agents for the participants in the subject LLCs and certain of the private entities, determine when to make recommendations on sales, financings and operations of the properties, and make or recommend all operating and leasing decisions in all operating entities and all decisions of the subject LLCs. Decisions made with regard to property operations dictate the cash available for distribution to the subject LLCs;

The Malkin Holdings group will receive shares of Class A common stock and Class B common stock and operating partnership units which are exchangeable for cash or, at the company's election, Class A common stock, having an aggregate value of \$730,781,533, which they will receive in accordance with the allocation of exchange value based on the Appraisal. The amounts allocated to the Malkin Holdings group are based on the hypothetical \$10 per share exchange value that the supervisor arbitrarily assigned for illustrative purposes, and consists of: their interests as participants which will be allocated to them on the same basis as other participants; their interests as holders of override interests which will be allocated to them in accordance with the subject LLCs' and private entities' organizational documents; and their interests in the management companies, which will be allocated to them in accordance with the valuations of the management companies by the independent valuer. This is in addition to shares of Class A common stock issuable in respect of the voluntary pro rata reimbursement program consented to by participants in the subject LLCs and its share of distributions of any cash available for distribution from the subject LLCs prior to the consolidation. The Malkin Holdings group also will receive other benefits from the consolidation, and have interests that conflict with those of the participants. The Malkin Family is also subject to a longer lock-up period than other participants before they can begin to sell common stock or operating partnership units. The Malkin Family may not sell their common stock or operating partnership units until one year after the IPO pricing date. See Conflicts of Interest and Benefits to the Supervisor and its Affiliates.

If you receive solely Class A common stock, you generally will recognize gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes with respect to your participation interest equal to the amount by which the value of any shares of Class A common stock you receive in connection with the consolidation, plus the amount of liabilities allocable to your participation interest, exceeds your tax basis in your participation interest. You will recognize phantom income (*i.e.*, income in excess of the value of any shares of Class A common stock you receive) if you have a negative capital account with respect to your participation interest. The supervisor urges you to consult with your tax advisor to evaluate the tax consequences to you in your particular circumstances as a result of the consolidation;

To the extent you receive or are deemed to receive common stock you may also recognize gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes, as more fully discussed under U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Consolidation and U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations of the Voluntary Pro Rata Reimbursement Program for the Former

Table of Contents

Property Manager and Leasing Agent Legal Proceedings. The supervisor urges you to consult with your tax advisor to evaluate the tax consequences to you in your particular circumstances as a result of your participation in the consolidation;

The supervisor may not approve a third-party portfolio transaction even if it provides for more consideration than to be issued or paid pursuant to the consolidation. The supervisor is authorized to approve a third-party portfolio transaction only if the aggregate consideration is at least 115% of the aggregate exchange value for the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management companies included in the third-party portfolio transaction and certain other conditions are met; provided that, subject to its fiduciary duties, the supervisor is not required to accept any offer that the supervisor is authorized to accept. The proposal must provide for all cash, payable in full at closing, but such proposal may provide for an option for all participants to elect to receive securities as an alternative to cash. If the proposal provides for a securities option, the Malkin Family will have the right to elect to receive securities only on the same proportional basis as other participants. No member of the Malkin Family will be an affiliate, consultant, employee, officer or director of the acquiror after the closing or receive any compensation from the acquiror (other than their pro rata share of the consideration that they will receive in the third-party portfolio transaction). In addition, supervisor has agreed that it will not accept a third-party offer unless it is unanimously approved by a committee which will include representatives of the supervisor and a representative of the Helmsley estate;

If the required percentage of participation interests in their participating group consents to the third-party portfolio proposal, participants in Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. who voted **AGAINST** the third-party portfolio proposal, did not return a consent form or **ABSTAINED** will be bought out regardless of whether the required consents of other participating groups is received or whether there is a third-party portfolio offer at a price substantially below the exchange value of their participation interests;

At the time you vote on the third-party portfolio proposal, there will be significant uncertainties as to the terms of any third-party portfolio transaction, which may not be received until after the consent solicitation has been completed, including the amount of consideration you would receive if a third-party portfolio transaction is consummated. These uncertainties affect your ability to evaluate the third-party portfolio proposal. The supervisor may approve a third-party portfolio transaction which you may view as less favorable than the consolidation; and

The supervisor, the agents and their affiliates serve in their respective capacities with respect to each subject LLC and each private entity, and, as such, have conflicts of interest in connection with decisions concerning the terms of a third-party portfolio transaction.

Ownership of Operating Partnership Units in the Operating Partnership and Shares of Common Stock in the Company

The following is a summary of the material risks of ownership of operating partnership units in the operating partnership and shares of common stock in the company.

There is no assurance as to the amount or source of funds for the estimated initial cash distributions of the operating partnership or the company, and the expected initial cash distributions to the participants following the consolidation could be less than the estimated cash distributions participants would receive from their respective subject LLCs;

All of the company's properties are located in Manhattan and the greater New York metropolitan area, in particular midtown Manhattan, and adverse economic or regulatory developments in this area could materially and adversely affect the company;

Adverse economic and geopolitical conditions in general and in Manhattan and the greater New York metropolitan area commercial office and retail markets in particular, could have a material adverse

Table of Contents

effect on the company's results of operations, financial condition and its ability to make distributions to its stockholders and holders of operating partnership units;

There can be no assurance that the company's renovation and repositioning program will be completed in its entirety in accordance with the anticipated timing or at the anticipated cost, or that the company will achieve the results it expects from the renovation and repositioning program, which could materially and adversely affect the company's financial condition and results of operations;

The company may be unable to renew leases, lease vacant space or re-lease space on favorable terms as leases expire, which could materially and adversely affect the company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flow;

The company is exposed to risks associated with property redevelopment and development that could materially and adversely affect its financial condition and results of operations;

The company depends on significant tenants in its office portfolio, including LF USA, Coty, Inc., Legg Mason, Warnaco and Thomson Reuters, which together represented approximately 20.9% of the company's total portfolio's annualized base rent as of September 30, 2012;

The company's dependence on rental income may materially and adversely affect its profitability, its ability to meet its debt obligations and its ability to make distributions to its stockholders and holders of operating partnership units;

The company's option properties are subject to various risks, and the company may not be able to acquire them;

Competition for acquisitions may reduce the number of acquisition opportunities available to the company and increase the costs of those acquisitions, which may impede the company's growth;

The observatory operations at the Empire State Building are not traditional real estate operations, and competition and changes in tourist trends may subject the company to additional risks;

The broadcasting operations at the Empire State Building are not traditional real estate operations, and competition and changes in the broadcasting of signals over air may subject the company to additional risks, which could materially and adversely affect the company;

The company's outstanding indebtedness upon completion of the IPO reduces cash available for distribution and may expose the company to the risk of default under its debt obligations;

The continuing threat of a terrorist event may materially and adversely affect the company's properties, their value and the ability to generate cash flow;

The company may assume unknown liabilities in connection with the consolidation, which, if significant, could materially and adversely affect its business;

Edgar Filing: Empire State Realty Trust, Inc. - Form S-4/A

The departure of any of the company's key personnel could materially and adversely affect the company;

The company's Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President has outside business interests that will take his time and attention away from the company, which could materially and adversely affect the company;

The company's operating performance and value are subject to risks associated with real estate assets and the real estate industry, the occurrence of which could materially and adversely affect the company;

The company has no operating history as a REIT or as a publicly-traded company and its lack of experience could materially and adversely affect the company;

Table of Contents

Certain provisions of Maryland law could inhibit changes in control of the company, which could negatively affect the market price of the Class A common stock;

There will be no public market for the operating partnership units or common stock prior to the IPO and an active trading market may not develop or be sustained following the IPO, which may negatively affect the market price of the operating partnership units and shares of the Class A common stock and make it difficult for investors to sell their operating partnership units or shares;

Cash available for distribution may not be sufficient to make distributions at expected levels;

Failure of the operating partnership to maintain its status as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes and/or the company to qualify or remain qualified as a REIT would subject the operating partnership and/or the company to U.S. federal income tax and applicable state and local taxes, which would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to holders of operating partnership units and/or company shareholders; and

The REIT distribution requirements could require the company to borrow funds during unfavorable market conditions or subject the company to tax, which would reduce the cash available for distribution to the stockholders.

Conflicts of Interest and Benefits to the Supervisor and its Affiliates

From inception of the subject LLCs, the supervisor, the agents of the subject LLCs and their respective affiliates and related persons have served as supervisor, agents for groups of participants or in a similar capacity with respect to each subject LLC and each private entity with conflicts of interest and as such have conflicts of interest in connection with the consolidation. The supervisor and its affiliates will receive benefits as a result of the consolidation or a third-party portfolio transaction. These benefits and conflicts include:

The Malkin Holdings group will receive 73,078,153 shares of Class A common stock, Class B common stock and operating partnership units, which they will receive in accordance with the allocation of exchange value based on the Appraisal by the independent valuer. The amounts allocated to the Malkin Holdings group consist of: their interests as participants which will be allocated to them on the same basis as other participants; their interests as holders of override interests which will be allocated to them in accordance with the subject LLCs and private entities' organizational documents; and their interests in the management companies, which will be allocated to them in accordance with the valuations of the management companies by the independent valuer. This is in addition to shares of Class A common stock issuable in respect of the voluntary pro rata reimbursement program consented to by participants in the subject LLCs and its share of distributions of any cash available for distribution from the subject LLCs prior to the consolidation;

Following the consolidation, certain executives of the supervisor will be members of the senior management team and Anthony E. Malkin, an executive and principal of the supervisor, will be Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, President and a director of the company;

Upon completion of the IPO, the company expects to grant LTIP units and/or restricted shares of its Class A common stock to its independent directors, executive officers (other than Anthony E. Malkin) and certain other employees, including certain executives of the supervisor. Assuming the company receives gross proceeds from the IPO of \$1,000,000,000 (excluding the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares of the company's Class A common stock), and assuming an IPO price of \$10 per share, David A. Karp, the company's Chief Financial Officer, Executive Vice President and Treasurer, is expected to be granted 114,500 LTIP units and/or restricted shares of Class A common stock, Thomas P. Durels, the company's Executive Vice President and Chief of Property Operations and Leasing, is expected to be granted 395,700 LTIP units and/or restricted shares of Class A common stock, and Thomas N. Keltner, Jr., the company's Executive Vice President, General Counsel and

Table of Contents

Secretary, is expected be granted 252,800 LTIP units and/or restricted shares of Class A common stock. The LTIP units and/or restricted share will be subject to time-vesting and/or performance-vesting over a three to four year period;

The company intends to enter into (i) an employment agreement with Anthony E. Malkin providing for salary, bonus and other benefits, including severance upon a termination of employment under certain circumstances and the issuance of equity awards as described under Management Employment Agreement and (ii) change in control severance agreements with Thomas P. Durels, David A. Karp and Thomas N. Keltner, Jr. as described under Management Change in Control Severance Agreements, and it is expected that other members and officers of the supervisor will be officers and employees of the company;

Members, managers and officers of the supervisor, who will be employed by the company, will be indemnified by the company for certain liabilities and expenses incurred as a result of actions brought, or threatened to be brought, against them for actions taken as officers and as a director of the company and for actions taken on behalf of the supervisor and other management companies, in their capacities as such, including actions relating to the consolidation;

As part of the consolidation, the operating partnership intends to enter into a tax protection agreement with Peter L. Malkin and Anthony E. Malkin pursuant to which the operating partnership will agree to indemnify the Wien group and an additional third party investor in Metro Center (who was one of the original landowners and was involved in the development of the property) against certain tax liabilities if those tax liabilities result from (i) the operating partnership's sale, transfer, conveyance or other taxable disposition of four specified properties (First Stamford Place, Metro Center, 10 Bank Street and 1542 Third Avenue, which collectively represent approximately 2.44% of the aggregate exchange value) to be acquired by the operating partnership in the consolidation, for a period of 12 years with respect to First Stamford Place and for the later of (x) eight years or (y) the death of both of Peter L. Malkin and Isabel W. Malkin, who are 78 and 76 years old, respectively, for the three other properties, (ii) the operating partnership's failing to maintain until maturity the indebtedness secured by these properties or failing to use commercially reasonable efforts to refinance such indebtedness upon maturity in an amount equal to the principal balance of such indebtedness, or, if the operating partnership is unable to refinance such indebtedness at its current principal amount, at the highest principal amount possible, or (iii) the operating partnership's failing to make available to any of these investors the opportunity to guarantee, or otherwise bear the risk of loss, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, of their allocable share of \$160 million of aggregate indebtedness meeting certain requirements, until such investor owns less than the aggregate number of operating partnership units and shares of common stock equal to 50% of the aggregate number of such units and shares such investor received in the consolidation. The company believes that it is consistent with market practice for significant contributing unitholders, such as the Malkin Group and the one additional third party investor in Metro Center, to be indemnified against certain tax liabilities as set forth in the tax protection agreement. Accordingly, the company believes it is appropriate to enter into a tax protection agreement. The operating partnership estimates that if all of its assets subject to the tax protection agreement were sold in a taxable transaction immediately after the IPO, the amount of the operating partnership's indemnification obligations (based on tax rates applicable for the taxable year ending December 31, 2012, and exchange values, and including additional payments to compensate the indemnified partners for additional tax liabilities resulting from the indemnification payments) would be approximately \$97.7 million (which will be \$119.6 million beginning after December 31, 2012 if certain sunset provisions under the Internal Revenue Code are not altered or reversed).

The company will release (i) Anthony E. Malkin and Peter L. Malkin from all claims, liabilities, damages and obligations against them related to their ownership of interests in any of the subject LLCs or the private entities and (ii) certain members of the company's senior management team who were officers or employees of the supervisor from all claims, liabilities, damages and obligations against them related to their ownership in the subject LLCs, the private entities and the management

Table of Contents

companies and their employment with the management companies that exist at the closing of the consolidation, other than breaches by them or entities related to them, as applicable, of the employment and non-competition agreement and the contribution agreements and the merger agreements entered into by them and these entities in connection with the consolidation;

The company does not presently intend to sell or take any other action which would result in a tax protection payment with respect to the properties covered by the tax protection agreement. The tax protection agreement will not apply to a third-party portfolio transaction.

Peter L. Malkin and Anthony E. Malkin will be released from or otherwise indemnified for liabilities arising under certain guarantees and indemnities with respect to approximately \$1.11 billion of mortgage loans (including currently undrawn amounts) on the company's properties, which will be assumed by the company upon closing of the IPO and the consolidation in respect of obligations arising after the closing. The guarantees and indemnities with respect to mortgage loans of many of the existing entities, including the subject LLCs, were undertaken by Messrs. Malkin and Malkin to meet a conventional lender requirement which became standard only long after such entities were formed. In each case, Messrs. Malkin and Malkin provided such guarantee while serving in a representative capacity as an agent or member of a subject LLC or private entity which was then seeking a mortgage loan. The lender required such guarantee, and no party was available or willing to provide it except Messrs. Malkin and Malkin. They received no compensation for the guarantee and provided it as an accommodation to benefit such subject LLC or private entity in obtaining financing. The guarantees and indemnities with respect to all of the indebtedness are, in most instances, limited to losses incurred by the applicable lender arising from acts such as fraud, misappropriation of funds, intentional breach, bankruptcy and certain environmental matters. In connection with the company's assumption of these mortgage loans, it will seek to have the guarantors released from these guarantees and indemnities and to have the company's operating partnership assume any such guarantee and indemnity obligations as replacement guarantor and/or indemnitor. To the extent lenders do not consent to the release of these guarantors and/or indemnitors, and they remain guarantors and/or indemnitors on assumed indebtedness following the IPO and the consolidation, the company's operating partnership will enter into indemnification agreements with the guarantors and/or indemnitors pursuant to which the company's operating partnership will be obligated to indemnify such guarantors and/or indemnitors for any amounts paid by them under guarantees and/or indemnities with respect to the assumed indebtedness. The company believes that since the mortgage loans relating to the guarantees and indemnities will be assumed by the company upon closing of the consolidation, and it will have greater financial resources than the individual property owning entities which are subject to the mortgage loans, it is appropriate, and consistent with market practice, for Messrs. Malkin and Malkin to be indemnified by the company's operating partnership if the lenders do not consent to the release of these guarantors and/or indemnitors. Under the organizational documents of the subject LLCs and private entities and applicable law, Messrs. Malkin and Malkin are already generally entitled to indemnification from the participants in the subject LLCs and the private entities for liabilities incurred by them in good faith and not arising out of their own willful misconduct or gross negligence, including any such liabilities under these guarantees and indemnities. In addition, in connection with future mortgage loans that the company would enter into in connection with future property acquisitions or refinancing of the company's properties, the company intends to enter into any necessary guarantees directly, and neither Messrs. Malkin and Malkin nor any of the company's other directors, executive officers or stockholders would be expected to enter into such guarantees;

The supervisor and the Malkin Family may hold a greater interest (including their share of distributions in respect of the override interests) in other subject LLCs or private entities than in your subject LLC, including, in the case of 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C., the private entity which is the operating lessee of the property it owns. Accordingly, they would be benefited to the extent that a greater portion of the exchange value is allocated to other subject LLCs or private entities than to your subject LLC;

Table of Contents

After the consolidation, the company intends to enter into management and/or services agreements with the entities that own interests in or manage the excluded properties and with the managers of certain of the excluded businesses (other than with respect to the registered broker dealer), which entities are owned in part by Anthony E. Malkin. There may be conflicts of interest in the allocation of his time between the company and his other interests;

The operating partnership has entered into option agreements with three private entities controlled by the supervisor;

The company intends to enter into management agreements with the entities that own long-term leasehold, sub-leasehold and/or sub-subleasehold interests in the option properties, which entities are owned in part by Anthony E. Malkin, together with members of the Malkin Family and supervised by the supervisor, as well as management and/or services agreements with the entities that own interests in or manage the excluded properties and with the managers of certain of the excluded businesses (other than with respect to the registered broker dealer);

Affiliates of the supervisor also will retain interests in the option properties, certain other properties to which the company will provide management services and certain excluded businesses. Affiliates of the supervisor are subject to conflicts of interest in connection with the terms of these arrangements; and

The supervisor and its affiliates may have a conflict of interest in deciding whether to approve a third-party portfolio transaction and with respect to the terms of the third-party portfolio transaction due to the benefits that the Malkin Holdings group could receive in that transaction.

The Consolidation

Principal Components of the Consolidation

The consolidation will consist of the following principal components:

The subject LLCs that approve the consolidation will contribute their assets to the operating partnership or subsidiaries of the operating partnership. As a result, the company will own an interest in each subject LLC's property indirectly through its ownership of the operating partnership, and the operating partnership or its subsidiaries generally will assume each subject LLC's liabilities. Each participant in the subject LLCs will receive operating partnership units, unless he or she elects to receive shares of Class A common stock or, to a limited extent, Class B common stock. Each participant may elect to receive one share of Class B common stock instead of one operating partnership unit for every 50 operating partnership units such participant would otherwise receive in the consolidation. Each share of Class B common stock has 50 votes on all matters on which stockholders are entitled to vote and the same economic interest as a share of Class A common stock, and one share of Class B common stock and 49 operating partnership units together represent a similar economic value as 50 shares of Class A common stock. The company will issue operating partnership units, Class A common stock or Class B common stock, as applicable to the subject LLCs which, in turn, will distribute them in accordance with participants' elections.

The subject LLCs will distribute promptly following the closing any excess cash held by them at the time of the closing of the consolidation. The cash to be distributed by a subject LLC will be (i) any cash held by such entity at the closing in excess of the normalized level of net working capital for such entity, as determined by the supervisor, (ii) the consolidation expenses reimbursed by the operating partnership to the subject LLC at the closing of the consolidation out of proceeds of the IPO and (iii) overage rent that will have accrued through the date of the closing of the consolidation.

Table of Contents

The following table shows, for each of the subject LLCs, the amount of cash at September 30, 2012 which would have been available for distribution by the subject LLCs (in addition to any amounts that would have been distributable out of accrued overage rent) had the closing occurred on such date; the amount of reimbursement for costs incurred in connection with the consolidation and the IPO out of the proceeds of the IPO entitled to be received by the subject LLCs as of September 30, 2012; total distributions by each subject LLC and to each participant per \$10,000 original investment out of such excess cash (including such reimbursements); the payment under the voluntary pro rata reimbursement program per \$10,000 original investment; the amount of cash distributions that would be received by participants who consent to the voluntary pro rata reimbursement program per \$10,000 original investment and the additional proceeds to be received by participants from the class action settlement per \$10,000 original investment.

	Available Cash	Reimbursement of Costs in Connection with the Consolidation and IPO	Total Distribution to Participants	Total Distribution to Participants per \$10,000 Original Investment ⁽¹⁾	Payment under Voluntary Pro Rata Reimbursement Program per \$10,000 Original Investment	Distribution to Participants Who Consent to the Voluntary Pro Rata Reimbursement Program per \$10,000 Original Investment	Additional Proceeds to be Received by Participants from the Class Action Settlement per \$10,000 Original Investment ⁽²⁾
Empire State Building Associates L.L.C.	\$ 3,350,000	\$ 15,500,000	\$ 18,850,000	\$ 5,012	\$ 1,029	\$ 3,983	\$ 9,840 ⁽³⁾
60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C.	\$ 150,000	\$ 3,600,000	\$ 3,750,000	\$ 5,357	\$ 2,410	\$ 2,947	\$ 6,530
250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C.	\$ 380,000	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 2,180,000	\$ 6,055	\$ 2,080	\$ 3,975	\$ 6,370 ⁽⁴⁾

- (1) The actual amount of distributions will be based on cash available at closing of the consolidation and no assurance can be given that these cash amounts will be available for distribution.
- (2) The allocation of settlement proceeds from the class action settlement is in addition to the distributions shown elsewhere in this table. The allocation of net settlement proceeds (that is, net of any court-awarded attorneys' fees and expenses) shown in the table is based on the current plan of allocation proposed by counsel for the class plaintiffs. The settlement and the allocation of settlement proceeds are approximate and subject to court approval, and the proposed allocation is subject to revision by counsel for the class. They are not effective until such court approval is final, including the resolution of any appeal.
- (3) \$8,350 per \$10,000 original investment for participants not subject to voluntary capital override.
- (4) \$4,700 per \$10,000 original investment for participants not subject to voluntary capital override.

These distributions will be liquidating distributions and the business of each of the subject LLCs will be liquidated and wound up promptly following the closing.

It is expected that any distributions of excess cash held by the subject LLCs and any distributions of overage rent accrued through the date of the closing of the consolidation should be treated as distributions of cash from your subject LLC prior to the liquidation of your subject LLC. Accordingly, you should not generally be taxable for U.S. federal income tax purposes on any such distribution to the extent such distribution does not exceed your basis in your participation interest in the subject LLC immediately prior to the liquidation of the subject LLC, and your basis in your participation interest in your subject LLC should be reduced (but not below zero) by the amount of such distribution. See U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Consolidation Termination of the Subject LLCs and Distribution of Cash from the Subject LLCs.

If you receive solely shares of Class A common stock in the consolidation, any distribution to you of consolidation expenses that the operating partnership pays to your subject LLC should generally increase your amount realized in the consolidation, and accordingly should increase the amount of gain or decrease the amount of loss you recognize in the consolidation. See U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Consolidation Receipt of Class A Common Stock Solely Class A Common Stock.

Table of Contents

If you receive solely operating partnership units or a combination of operating partnership units and shares of common stock in the consolidation, it is expected that your share of any payment the operating partnership makes to your subject LLC as a reimbursement of consolidation expenses should be treated as a reimbursement of capital expenditures to which the disguised sale rules should not apply to the extent that such payment does not exceed certain qualified capital expenditures incurred by your subject LLC, and the distribution to you of any such amounts should be treated as additional consideration in the consolidation. See U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Consolidation Receipt of Operating Partnership Units Potential Application of the Disguised Sale Rules.

Each subject LLC will enter into a contribution agreement conditioned on (i) the requisite consent of the participants in the subject LLC; (ii) the closing of the IPO and the listing of the operating partnership units and Class A common stock on the NYSE or another national securities exchange; (iii) the closing of the consolidation no later than December 31, 2014; (iv) the participation by Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and Empire State Building Company L.L.C., the private entity that is the operating lessee of the Empire State Building, in the consolidation; and (v) other customary conditions;

Based on the hypothetical exchange value of \$10 per share which the supervisor has arbitrarily assigned for illustrative purposes, the company will issue to participants and holders of override interests in the private entities 129,080,462 operating partnership units having an exchange value of \$1,290,804,624; 6,974,722 shares of Class A common stock having an exchange value of \$69,747,222; and 1,007,830 shares of Class B common stock having an exchange value of \$10,078,299 and the company will issue to equity holders in the management companies 866,222 operating partnership units having an exchange value of \$8,662,220; 746,300 shares of Class A common stock having an exchange value of \$7,463,000; and 17,678 shares of Class B common stock having an exchange value of \$176,780, (not including, in each case, any additional shares of Class A common stock that may be issued to charitable organizations as described below). In addition, participants in the private entities who are non-accredited investors who would have been entitled to 8,530,581 shares of common stock on a fully diluted basis having an exchange value of \$85,305,810, will receive cash at a price per share equal to the offering price in the IPO. Participants in the private entities who are charitable organizations, including the Helmsley estate, who would have been entitled in the aggregate to 105,032,439 shares of common stock on a fully diluted basis having an exchange value of \$1,050,324,394 that have made a cash election will receive cash, subject to a cut back (at a price per share equal to the IPO price reduced by the underwriting discount per share paid by the company in the IPO) and will receive Class A common stock for the balance;

The Malkin Holdings group and the Helmsley estate will receive the largest amount of consideration in the consolidation, because they hold participation interests and, in the case of the Malkin Holdings group, overrides, issued to them or their predecessors during a period of more than 60 years in respect of their cumulative cash investments and their roles in the entity formation and property operations with respect to (a) all of the entities and properties in the case of the Malkin Holdings group including the activities of Lawrence A. Wien, Peter L. Malkin and Anthony E. Malkin for many decades and (b) a large number of them in the case of the Helmsley estate;

As part of the consolidation, each private entity will contribute its property interest and other assets, other than interests in certain properties excluded from the consolidation, to the operating partnership or its subsidiary, in exchange for operating partnership units or, at the option of the participants in the private entities, shares of common stock and/or cash. The private entities (including the operating lessees) with the required consent of their participants, have agreed to transfer their interests in the properties, including their interests in the operating lessees, as part of the consolidation. Each private entity has entered into a contribution agreement conditioned on (i) the closing of the IPO and the listing of the operating partnership units and Class A common stock on the NYSE or another national

Table of Contents

securities exchange; (ii) the closing of the consolidation no later than December 31, 2014; (iii) the contribution to the company of the property interests in the Empire State Building owned by Empire State Building Associates L.L.C., which owns the fee interest and the underlying land, and Empire State Building Company L.L.C., the private entity which is the operating lessee with respect to the Empire State Building; (iv) the delivery of a fairness opinion by the independent valuer to the private entities and (v) other customary conditions;

The company will acquire pursuant to a contribution agreement with the supervisor and the Wien group the participation interests held by the Wien group in the subject LLCs and override interests of the supervisor in the subject LLCs in exchange for operating partnership units. The override interests under which the supervisor will share in the proceeds from the consolidation are contractual rights, previously and separately documented. In the case of each of Empire State Building Associates L.L.C. and 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C., the overrides were agreed to by participants on an individual, voluntary basis and provide the supervisor with 10% of the distribution of capital proceeds otherwise payable to participants that have agreed to the voluntary capital override program after they have received a return of their original investment (or two times their original investment, in the case of 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C.). In the case of 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C., the override is payable pursuant to its organizational documents and provides that supervisor is entitled to 10% of the distributions (without specifying the source of distributions) after the members have received distributions equal to a return at the rate of 14% on their cash investment in the year in which the distribution is made. Each of the overrides was valued based on the amount distributable to the supervisor under the terms of the override. The overrides were granted by the participants in either the subject LLCs' organizational documents or in the subsequent, separate voluntary agreements entered into individually, to permit the supervisor to share in benefits resulting from improvements in the property's operating results and value, and the supervisor did not pay any consideration for the overrides. The participation interests in each of the subject LLCs held by the Malkin Holdings group were acquired from Empire State Building Associates L.L.C., 60 East 42nd St. Associates L.L.C. and 250 West 57th St. Associates L.L.C., respectively, for the same consideration paid by other participants or were purchased from participants at a purchase price equal to or in excess of the original purchase price;

Each share of Class A common stock entitles the holder to one vote. Operating partnership units have economic rights similar to the Class A common stock but do not have the right to vote on matters presented to holders of Class A common stock and Class B common stock. The participants in the subject LLCs have an option to elect to receive one share of Class B common stock instead of one operating partnership unit for every 50 operating partnership units such participant would otherwise receive in the consolidation. Accredited investors in the private entities and the management companies had the same option at the time they made their election of consideration in the private solicitation. The Class B common stock provides its holder with a voting right that is no greater than if such holder had received solely Class A common stock in the consolidation. Each outstanding share of Class B common stock entitles the holder to 50 votes on all matters on which the stockholders of Class A common stock are entitled to vote, including the election of directors, and holders of shares of Class A common stock and Class B common stock will vote together as a single class. Each share of Class B common stock has the same economic interest as a share of Class A common stock, and one share of Class B common stock and 49 operating partnership units together represent a similar economic value as 50 shares of Class A common stock. One share of Class B common stock may be converted into one share of Class A common stock at any time, and one share of Class B common stock is subject to automatic conversion into one share of Class A common stock upon a direct or indirect transfer of such share of Class B common stock or certain transfers of the operating partnership units held by the holder of Class B common stock (or a permitted transferee) to a person other than a permitted transferee;

Table of Contents

The company will acquire through merger the supervisor and the other management companies, which are owned by the same persons as own the supervisor. Holders of interests in the management companies will receive shares of common stock or operating partnership units in exchange for the interests in such entities;

Charitable organizations, including the Helmsley estate, were granted a cash option in connection with their interests in the private entities. These charitable organizations had the option to receive cash at a price per share equal to the IPO price per share (reduced by the underwriting discount per share paid by the company in the IPO) to the extent of cash available from the IPO for this purpose after providing cash to redeem non-accredited investors in the private entities and other uses of proceeds. To the extent that there is not sufficient available cash to pay in full the cash payable to electing charitable organizations, there will be a pro rata reduction in the cash received by each electing charitable organization and the balance will be in the form of Class A common stock;