

UMH PROPERTIES, INC.
Form 10-K
March 11, 2015

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period _____ to _____

Commission File Number 001-12690

UMH Properties, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland 22-1890929

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer identification number)

3499 Route 9, Suite 3C, Freehold, New Jersey 07728

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (732) 577-9997

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock \$.10 par value-New York Stock Exchange

8.25% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock \$.10 par value per share, \$25 liquidation value per share – New York Stock Exchange

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

Based upon the assumption that directors and executive officers of the registrant are not affiliates of the registrant, the aggregate market value of the voting stock of the registrant held by nonaffiliates of the registrant at June 30, 2014 was \$225,271,985. Presuming that such directors and executive officers are affiliates of the registrant, the aggregate market value of the voting stock of the registrant held by nonaffiliates of the registrant at June 30, 2014 was \$201,579,890.

The number of shares outstanding of issuer's common stock as of March 2, 2015 was 24,888,499 shares.

Documents Incorporated by Reference:

- Part III incorporates certain information by reference from the Registrant's proxy statement for the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders, which will be filed no later than 120 days after the close of the Registrant's fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

- Exhibits incorporated by reference are listed in Part IV; Item 15 (a) (3).

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I 3

Item 1 – Business 3

Item 1A – Risk Factors N

Item 1B – Unresolved Staff CommentsI5

Item 2 – PropertiesI6

Item 3 – Legal ProceedingsJ3

Item 4 – Mine Safety DisclosuresJ3

PART IIJ4

Item 5 – Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity SecuritiesJ4

Item 6 – Selected Financial DataJ7

Item 7 – Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of OperationsJ8

Item 7A – Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market RiskL0

Item 8 – Financial Statements and Supplementary DataL2

Item 9 – Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial DisclosureL2

Item 9A – Controls and ProceduresL3

Item 9B – Other InformationL5

PART III L6

Item 10 – Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate GovernanceL6

Item 11 – Executive CompensationL9

Item 12 – Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Item 13 – Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director IndependenceN2

Item 14 – Principal Accounting Fees and ServicesN3

PART IV N4

Item 15 – Exhibits, Financial Statement SchedulesN4

SIGNATURESI12

2

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1 – Business

General Development of Business

In this Form 10-K, “we”, “us”, “our”, or “the Company”, refers to UMH Properties, Inc., together with its predecessors and subsidiaries, unless the context requires otherwise.

UMH Properties, Inc. operates as a qualified real estate investment trust (REIT) under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code). The Company had elected REIT status effective January 1, 1992 and intends to maintain its qualification as a REIT in the future. As a qualified REIT, with limited exceptions, the Company will not be taxed under Federal and certain state income tax laws at the corporate level on taxable income that it distributes to its shareholders. For special tax provisions applicable to REITs, refer to Sections 856-860 of the Code.

The Company was incorporated in the state of New Jersey in 1968. On September 29, 2003, the Company changed its state of incorporation from New Jersey to Maryland by merging with and into a Maryland corporation, with the approval of the Company’s shareholders at the Company’s annual meeting on August 14, 2003.

Narrative Description of Business

The Company derives its income primarily from real estate rental operations. Its primary business is the ownership and operation of manufactured home communities – leasing manufactured home sites to private manufactured home owners. The Company also leases homes to residents, and through its wholly-owned taxable REIT subsidiary, UMH Sales and Finance, Inc. (S&F), conducts manufactured home sales in its communities.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company owns and operates eighty-eight manufactured home communities containing approximately 15,000 developed sites. The communities are located in New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Indiana and Michigan. On January 21, 2015, the Company acquired one manufactured home community located in Pennsylvania for approximately \$3,800,000. This all-age community contains 141 home sites and is situated on approximately 40 acres. The average occupancy for this community is approximately 96%. With this purchase, UMH now owns eighty-nine manufactured home communities consisting of approximately 15,200

developed sites.

A manufactured home community is designed to accommodate detached, single-family manufactured homes. These manufactured homes are produced off-site by manufacturers and installed on sites within the community. These homes are often improved with the addition of features constructed on site, including garages, screened rooms and carports. Manufactured homes are available in a variety of designs and floor plans, offering many amenities and custom options. Each owner of a manufactured home leases the site on which the home is located from the Company.

Manufactured homes are accepted by the public as a viable and economically attractive alternative to common stick-built single-family housing. The affordability of the modern manufactured home makes it a very attractive housing alternative. Depending on the region of the country, construction cost per square foot for a new manufactured home averages anywhere from 10 percent to 50 percent less than a comparable site-built home, excluding the cost of land. This is due to a number of factors, including volume purchase discounts and inventory control of construction materials and control of all aspects of the construction process, which generally produce a more efficient and streamlined process as compared to a site-built home.

Modern residential land lease communities are similar to typical residential subdivisions containing central entrances, paved well-lit streets, curbs and gutters. The size of a modern manufactured home community is limited, as are other residential communities, by factors such as geography, topography, and funds available for development. Generally, modern manufactured home communities contain buildings for recreation, green areas, and other common area facilities, which, as distinguished from resident owned manufactured homes, are the property of the community owner. In addition to such general improvements, certain manufactured home communities include recreational improvements such as swimming pools, tennis courts and playgrounds. Municipal water and sewer services are available to some manufactured home communities, while other communities supply these facilities on site.

Table of Contents

Therefore, the owner of a home in our communities leases from us not only the site on which the home is located, but also the physical community framework, and acquires the right to utilize the community common areas and amenities.

Typically, our leases are on an annual or month-to-month basis, renewable upon the consent of both parties. The community manager interviews prospective residents, collects rent and finance payments, ensures compliance with community regulations, maintains public areas and community facilities and is responsible for the overall appearance of the community. The manufactured home community, once fully occupied, historically tends to achieve a stable rate of occupancy. The cost and effort in moving a home once it is located in a community encourages the owner of the manufactured home to resell the manufactured home rather than to remove it from the community. This ability to produce relatively predictable income streams, together with the location of the community, its condition and its appearance, are factors in the long-term appreciation of the community.

Inherent in the operation of a manufactured home community is the development, redevelopment, and expansion of our communities. The Company sells and finances the sale of manufactured homes in our communities through S&F. S&F was established to potentially enhance the value of our communities. The home sales business is operated like other homebuilders with sales centers, model homes, an inventory of completed homes and the ability to supply custom designed homes based upon the requirements of the new homeowners.

The Company also owns a portfolio of investment securities, which the Company generally limits to no more than approximately 15% of its undepreciated assets.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company had approximately 260 employees, of which the Company shares 1 officer (Chairman of the Board) and 2 additional employees (Controller and Director of Investor Relations) with a related entity, Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation (MREIC). The Controller and the Director of Investor Relations time was allocated 70% to MREIC and 30% to the Company. Effective January 1, 2015, the Company reduced the number of employees it shares with MREIC to 1 officer (Chairman of the Board) and 1 additional employee (Director of Investor Relations). Allocations of salaries and benefits are based on the amount of the employees' time dedicated to each company. Some general and administrative expenses, including office rent, are allocated between the Company and MREIC based on use or services provided. Effective January 2015, MREIC obtained a separate lease and office rent is no longer allocated between the Company and MREIC.

Investment and Other Policies of the Company

The Company may invest in improved and unimproved real property and may develop unimproved real property. Such properties may be located throughout the United States, but the Company has concentrated on the Northeast.

The Company may finance communities with purchase money mortgages or other financing, including first liens, wraparound mortgages or subordinated indebtedness. In connection with its ongoing activities, the Company may issue notes, mortgages or other senior securities. The Company intends to use both secured and unsecured lines of credit.

The Company may issue securities for property; however, this has not occurred to date. The Company may repurchase or reacquire its shares from time to time if, in the opinion of the Board of Directors, such acquisition is advantageous to the Company. No shares were repurchased or reacquired during 2014 and, as of December 31, 2014, the Company does not own any of its own shares.

The Company also invests in equity securities of other REITs. The Company from time to time may purchase these securities on margin when the interest and dividend yields exceed the cost of funds. As of December 31, 2014, the Company had borrowings of \$19,392,382 under its margin line at 2.0% interest. The securities portfolio provides the Company with additional income and, to the extent not pledged to secure borrowings, provides the Company with liquidity. Such securities are subject to risk arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, primarily interest rate risk and market price risk relating to equity securities. From time to time, the Company may use derivative instruments to mitigate interest rate risk; however, this has not occurred during any periods presented. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company had \$63,555,961 and \$59,254,942, respectively, of securities available for sale. Included in these securities are Preferred Stock of \$19,045,983 and \$24,536,942 at December 31, 2014 and 2013,

Table of Contents

respectively. The unrealized net gain on securities available for sale at December 31, 2014 and 2013 amounted to \$5,079,921 and \$1,116,738, respectively.

Property Maintenance and Improvement Policies

It is the policy of the Company to properly maintain, modernize, expand and make improvements to its properties when required. The Company anticipates that renovation expenditures with respect to its present properties during 2015 will be approximately \$7 million. It is the policy of the Company to maintain adequate insurance coverage on all of its properties; and, in the opinion of the Company, all of its properties are adequately insured.

Number of Employees

As of March 2, 2015, the Company had approximately 260 employees, including Officers. During the year, the Company hires approximately 30 part-time and full-time temporary employees as grounds keepers, lifeguards, and for emergency repairs.

Financial Information

Management views the Company as a single segment based on its method of internal reporting in addition to its allocation of capital and resources. For required financial information related to our operations and assets, please refer to our consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto, included in Item 8 “Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” in this Annual Report.

Available Information

Additional information about the Company can be found on the Company’s website which is located at www.umh.com. The Company makes available, free of charge, on or through its website, annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). You can also read and copy any materials the Company files with the SEC at its Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549 (1-800-SEC-0330). The SEC maintains an Internet site (<http://www.sec.gov>) that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC.

Table of Contents

Item 1A – Risk Factors

The following risk factors address the material risks concerning our business. If any of the risks discussed in this report were to occur, our business, prospects, financial condition, results of operation and our ability to service our debt and make distributions to our shareholders could be materially and adversely affected and the market price per share of our stock could decline significantly. Some statements in this report, including statements in the following risk factors, constitute forward-looking statements. Please refer to the section entitled “Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.”

Real Estate Industry Risks

General economic conditions and the concentration of our properties in New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Indiana and Michigan may affect our ability to generate sufficient revenue. The market and economic conditions in our current markets may significantly affect manufactured home occupancy or rental rates. Occupancy and rental rates, in turn, may significantly affect our revenues, and if our communities do not generate revenues sufficient to meet our operating expenses, including debt service and capital expenditures, our cash flow and ability to pay or refinance our debt obligations could be adversely affected. As a result of the geographic concentration of our properties in New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Indiana and Michigan, we are exposed to the risks of downturns in the local economy or other local real estate market conditions which could adversely affect occupancy rates, rental rates, and property values in these markets.

Other factors that may affect general economic conditions or local real estate conditions include:

· the national and local economic climate which may be adversely impacted by, among other factors, plant closings, and industry slowdowns;

· local real estate market conditions such as the oversupply of manufactured home sites or a reduction in demand for manufactured home sites in an area;

· the number of repossessed homes in a particular market;

· the rental market which may limit the extent to which rents may be increased to meet increased expenses without decreasing occupancy rates;

· the safety, convenience and attractiveness of our properties and the neighborhoods where they are located;

· zoning or other regulatory restrictions;

· competition from other available manufactured home communities and alternative forms of housing (such as apartment buildings and single-family homes);

· our ability to provide adequate management, maintenance and insurance;

· increased operating costs, including insurance premiums, real estate taxes and utilities; and

· the enactment of rent control laws or laws taxing the owners of manufactured homes.

Our income would also be adversely affected if tenants were unable to pay rent or if sites were unable to be rented on favorable terms. If we were unable to promptly relet or renew the leases for a significant number of sites, or if the rental rates upon such renewal or reletting were significantly lower than expected rates, then our business and results of operations could be adversely affected. In addition, certain expenditures associated with each property (such as real estate taxes and maintenance costs) generally are not reduced when circumstances cause a reduction in income from the property.

Table of Contents

We may be unable to compete with our larger competitors and other alternatives available to tenants or potential tenants of our properties, which may in turn adversely affect our profitability. The real estate business is highly competitive. We compete for manufactured home community investments with numerous other real estate entities, such as individuals, corporations, REITs and other enterprises engaged in real estate activities. In many cases, the competing concerns may be larger and better financed than we are, making it difficult for us to secure new manufactured home community investments. Competition among private and institutional purchasers of manufactured home community investments has resulted in increases in the purchase price paid for manufactured home communities and consequent higher fixed costs. To the extent we are unable to effectively compete in the marketplace, our business may be adversely affected.

Our ability to sell manufactured homes may be affected by various factors, which may in turn adversely affect our profitability. S&F operates in the manufactured home market offering homes for sale to tenants and prospective tenants of our communities. The market for the sale of manufactured homes may be adversely affected by the following factors:

· downturns in economic conditions which adversely impact the housing market;

· an oversupply of, or a reduced demand for, manufactured homes;

· the difficulty facing potential purchasers in obtaining affordable financing as a result of heightened lending criteria; and

· an increase or decrease in the rate of manufactured home repossessions which provide aggressively priced competition to new manufactured home sales.

Any of the above listed factors could adversely impact our rate of manufactured home sales, which would result in a decrease in profitability.

Costs associated with taxes and regulatory compliance may reduce our revenue. We are subject to significant regulation that inhibits our activities and may increase our costs. Local zoning and use laws, environmental statutes and other governmental requirements may restrict expansion, rehabilitation and reconstruction activities. These regulations may prevent us from taking advantage of economic opportunities. Legislation such as the Americans with Disabilities Act may require us to modify our properties at a substantial cost and noncompliance could result in the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants. Future legislation may impose additional requirements. We cannot predict what requirements may be enacted or amended or what costs we will incur to comply with such requirements. Costs resulting from changes in real estate laws, income taxes, service or other taxes may adversely affect our funds from operations and our ability to pay or refinance our debt. Similarly, changes in laws increasing the potential liability for environmental conditions existing on properties or increasing the restrictions on discharges or

other conditions may result in significant unanticipated expenditures, which would adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Licensing laws and compliance could affect our profitability. We are subject to the Secure and Fair Enforcement for Mortgage Licensing Act of 2008 (“SAFE Act”), which requires that we obtain appropriate licenses pursuant to the Nationwide Mortgage Licensing System & Registry in each state where we conduct business. There are extensive federal and state requirements mandated by the SAFE Act and other laws pertaining to financing, and there can be no assurance that we will obtain or renew our SAFE Act licenses, which could result in fees and penalties and have an adverse impact on our ability to continue with our home financing activities.

Rent control legislation may harm our ability to increase rents. State and local rent control laws in certain jurisdictions may limit our ability to increase rents and to recover increases in operating expenses and the costs of capital improvements. Currently, rent control affects only two of our manufactured home communities, both of which are in New Jersey, and has resulted in slower growth of earnings from these properties. However, we may purchase additional properties in markets that are either subject to rent control or in which rent-limiting legislation exists or may be enacted.

Table of Contents

Our investments are concentrated in the manufactured housing/residential sector and our business would be adversely affected by an economic downturn in that sector. Our investments in real estate assets are primarily concentrated in the manufactured housing/residential sector. This concentration may expose us to the risk of economic downturns in this sector to a greater extent than if our business activities included a more significant portion of other sectors of the real estate industry.

Environmental liabilities could affect our profitability. Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, an owner or operator of real estate is liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous substances at, on, under or in such property, as well as certain other potential costs relating to hazardous or toxic substances. Such laws often impose such liability without regard to whether the owner knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such hazardous substances. A conveyance of the property, therefore, does not relieve the owner or operator from liability. As a current or former owner and operator of real estate, we may be required by law to investigate and clean up hazardous substances released at or from the properties we currently own or operate or have in the past owned or operated. We may also be liable to the government or to third parties for property damage, investigation costs and cleanup costs. In addition, some environmental laws create a lien on the contaminated site in favor of the government for damages and costs the government incurs in connection with the contamination. Contamination may adversely affect our ability to sell or lease real estate or to borrow using the real estate as collateral. Persons who arrange for the disposal or treatment of hazardous substances also may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of such substances at a disposal or treatment facility owned or operated by another person. In addition, certain environmental laws impose liability for the management and disposal of asbestos-containing materials and for the release of such materials into the air. These laws may provide for third parties to seek recovery from owners or operators of real properties for personal injury associated with asbestos-containing materials. In connection with the ownership, operation, management, and development of real properties, we may be considered an owner or operator of such properties and, therefore, are potentially liable for removal or remediation costs, and also may be liable for governmental fines and injuries to persons and property. When we arrange for the treatment or disposal of hazardous substances at landfills or other facilities owned by other persons, we may be liable for the removal or remediation costs at such facilities. We are not aware of any environmental liabilities relating to our investment properties which would have a material adverse effect on our business, assets, or results of operations. However, we cannot assure you that environmental liabilities will not arise in the future and that such liabilities will not have a material adverse effect on our business, assets or results of operation.

Of the eighty-nine manufactured home communities we currently operate, thirty-five have their own wastewater treatment facility or water distribution system, or both. At these locations, we are subject to compliance with monthly, quarterly and yearly testing for contaminants as outlined by the individual state's Department of Environmental Protection Agencies. Currently, we are not subject to radon or asbestos monitoring requirements.

Additionally, in connection with the management of the properties or upon acquisition or financing of a property, the Company authorizes the preparation of Phase I or similar environmental reports (which involves general inspections without soil sampling or ground water analysis) completed by independent environmental consultants. Based upon such environmental reports and the Company's ongoing review of its properties, as of the date of this Annual Report, the Company is not aware of any environmental condition with respect to any of its properties which it believes would be reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on its financial condition and/or results of operations. However,

these reports cannot reflect conditions arising after the studies were completed, and no assurances can be given that existing environmental studies reveal all environmental liabilities, that any prior owner or operator of a property or neighboring owner or operator did not create any material environmental condition not known to us, or that a material environmental condition does not otherwise exist as to any one or more properties.

Actions by our competitors may decrease or prevent increases in the occupancy and rental rates of our properties which could adversely affect our business. We compete with other owners and operators of manufactured home community properties, some of which own properties similar to ours in the same submarkets in which our properties are located. The number of competitive manufactured home community properties in a particular area could have a material adverse effect on our ability to lease sites and increase rents charged at our properties or at any newly acquired properties. In addition, other forms of multi-family residential properties, such as private and federally funded or assisted multi-family housing projects and single-family housing, provide housing alternatives to potential tenants of manufactured home communities. If our competitors offer housing at rental rates below current market rates or below the rental rates we currently charge our tenants, we may lose potential tenants, and we may be pressured to reduce our rental rates below those we currently charge in order to retain tenants when our tenants' leases expire.

Table of Contents

As a result, our financial condition, cash flow, cash available for distribution, and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations could be materially adversely affected.

Losses in excess of our insurance coverage or uninsured losses could adversely affect our cash flow. We generally maintain insurance policies related to our business, including casualty, general liability and other policies covering business operations, employees and assets. However, we may be required to bear all losses that are not adequately covered by insurance. In addition, there are certain losses that are not generally insured because it is not economically feasible to insure against them, including losses due to riots or acts of war. If an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occurs with respect to one or more of our properties, then we could lose the capital we invested in the properties, as well as the anticipated profits and cash flow from the properties and, in the case of debt which is with recourse to us, we would remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the properties. Although we believe that our insurance programs are adequate, no assurance can be given that we will not incur losses in excess of its insurance coverage, or that we will be able to obtain insurance in the future at acceptable levels and reasonable cost.

We may not be able to integrate or finance our acquisitions and our acquisitions may not perform as expected. We acquire and intend to continue to acquire manufactured home communities on a select basis. Our acquisition activities and their success are subject to the following risks:

we may be unable to acquire a desired property because of competition from other well capitalized real estate investors, including both publicly traded REITs and institutional investment funds;

even if we enter into an acquisition agreement for a property, it is usually subject to customary conditions to closing, including completion of due diligence investigations to our satisfaction, which may not be satisfied;

even if we are able to acquire a desired property, competition from other real estate investors may significantly increase the purchase price;

we may be unable to finance acquisitions on favorable terms;

acquired properties may fail to perform as expected;

acquired properties may be located in new markets where we face risks associated with a lack of market knowledge or understanding of the local economy, lack of business relationships in the area and unfamiliarity with local governmental and permitting procedures; and

we may be unable to quickly and efficiently integrate new acquisitions, particularly acquisitions of portfolios of properties, into our existing operations.

If any of the above were to occur, our business and results of operations could be adversely affected.

In addition, we may acquire properties subject to liabilities and without any recourse, or with only limited recourse, with respect to unknown liabilities. As a result, if a liability were to be asserted against us based upon ownership of those properties, we might have to pay substantial sums to settle it, which could adversely affect our cash flow.

We may be unable to sell properties when appropriate because real estate investments are illiquid. Real estate investments generally cannot be sold quickly and, therefore, will tend to limit our ability to vary our property portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions. In addition, the Code limits our ability to sell our properties. The inability to respond promptly to changes in the performance of our property portfolio could adversely affect our financial condition and ability to service our debt and make distributions to our stockholders.

Table of Contents

Financing Risks

We face risks generally associated with our debt. We finance a portion of our investments in properties and marketable securities through debt. We are subject to the risks normally associated with debt financing, including the risk

that our cash flow will be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest. In addition, debt creates other risks, including:

· rising interest rates on our variable rate debt;

· failure to repay or refinance existing debt as it matures, which may result in forced disposition of assets on disadvantageous terms;

· refinancing terms less favorable than the terms of existing debt; and

· failure to meet required payments of principal and/or interest.

We mortgage our properties, which subjects us to the risk of foreclosure in the event of non-payment. We mortgage many of our properties to secure payment of indebtedness. If we are unable to meet mortgage payments, then the property could be foreclosed upon or transferred to the mortgagee with a consequent loss of income and asset value. A foreclosure of one or more of our properties could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, ability to service debt and make distributions and the market price of our preferred and common stock and any other securities we issue.

We face risks related to “balloon payments” and refinancings. Certain of our mortgages will have significant outstanding principal balances on their maturity dates, commonly known as “balloon payments.” There can be no assurance that we will be able to refinance the debt on favorable terms or at all. To the extent we cannot refinance debt on favorable terms or at all, we may be forced to dispose of properties on disadvantageous terms or pay higher interest rates, either of which would have an adverse impact on our financial performance and ability to service debt and make distributions.

We face risks associated with our dependence on external sources of capital. In order to qualify as a REIT, we are required each year to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, and we are subject to tax on our income to the extent it is not distributed. Because of this distribution requirement, we may not be able to fund all future capital needs from cash retained from operations. As a result, to fund capital needs, we rely on third-party

sources of capital, which we may not be able to obtain on favorable terms, if at all. Our access to third-party sources of capital depends upon a number of factors, including (i) general market conditions; (ii) the market's perception of our growth potential; (iii) our current and potential future earnings and cash distributions; and (iv) the market price of our preferred and common stock. Additional debt financing may substantially increase our debt-to-total capitalization ratio. Additional equity issuance may dilute the holdings of our current stockholders.

We may become more highly leveraged, resulting in increased risk of default on our obligations and an increase in debt service requirements which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations and our ability to pay distributions. We have incurred, and may continue to incur, indebtedness in furtherance of our activities. Our governing documents do not limit the amount of indebtedness we may incur. Accordingly, our Board of Directors may vote to incur additional debt and would do so, for example, if it were necessary to maintain our status as a REIT. We could therefore become more highly leveraged, resulting in an increased risk of default on our obligations and in an increase in debt service requirements, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations and our ability to pay distributions to stockholders.

Covenants in our credit agreements could limit our flexibility and adversely affect our financial condition. The terms of our various credit agreements and other indebtedness require us to comply with a number of customary financial and other covenants, such as maintaining debt service coverage and leverage ratios and maintaining insurance coverage. These covenants may limit our flexibility in our operations, and breaches of these covenants could result in defaults under the instruments governing the applicable indebtedness even if we had satisfied our payment obligations. If we were to default under our credit agreements, our financial condition would be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

We face risks associated with the financing of home sales to customers in our manufactured home communities.

To produce new rental revenue and to upgrade our communities, we sell homes to customers in our communities at competitive prices and finance these home sales through S&F. We allow banks and outside finance companies the first opportunity to finance these sales. We are subject to the following risks in financing these homes:

- the borrowers may default on these loans and not be able to make debt service payments or pay principal when due;
- the default rates may be higher than we anticipate;
- demand for consumer financing may not be as great as we anticipate or may decline;
- the value of property securing the installment notes receivable may be less than the amounts owed; and
- interest rates payable on the installment notes receivable may be lower than our cost of funds.

Additionally, there are many regulations pertaining to our home sales and financing activities. There are significant consumer protection laws and the regulatory framework may change in a manner which may adversely affect our operating results. The regulatory environment and associated consumer finance laws create a risk of greater liability from our home sales and financing activities and could subject us to additional litigation. We are also dependent on licenses granted by state and other regulatory authorities, which may be withdrawn or which may not be renewed and which could have an adverse impact on our ability to continue with our home sales and financing activities.

Other Risks

We may not be able to obtain adequate cash to fund our business. Our business requires access to adequate cash to finance our operations, distributions, capital expenditures, debt service obligations, development and redevelopment costs and property acquisition costs, if any. We expect to generate the cash to be used for these purposes primarily with operating cash flow, borrowings under secured and unsecured loans, proceeds from sales of strategically identified assets and, when market conditions permit, through the issuance of debt and equity securities from time to time. We may not be able to generate sufficient cash to fund our business, particularly if we are unable to renew leases, lease vacant space or re-lease space as leases expire according to our expectations.

We are dependent on key personnel. Our executive and other senior officers have a significant role in our success. Our ability to retain our management group or to attract suitable replacements should any members of the management group leave is dependent on the competitive nature of the employment market. The loss of services from key members of the management group or a limitation in their availability could adversely affect our financial condition and cash flow.

We may amend our business policies without stockholder approval. Our Board of Directors determines our growth, investment, financing, capitalization, borrowing, REIT status, operations and distributions policies. Although our Board of Directors has no present intention to change or reverse any of these policies, they may be amended or revised without notice to stockholders. Accordingly, stockholders may not have control over changes in our policies. We cannot assure you that changes in our policies will serve fully the interests of all stockholders.

The market value of our preferred and common stock could decrease based on our performance and market perception and conditions. The market value of our preferred and common stock may be based primarily upon the market's perception of our growth potential and current and future cash dividends, and may be secondarily based upon the real estate market value of our underlying assets. The market price of our preferred and common stock is influenced by their respective distributions relative to market interest rates. Rising interest rates may lead potential buyers of our stock to expect a higher distribution rate, which would adversely affect the market price of our stock. In addition, rising interest rates would result in increased expense, thereby adversely affecting cash flow and our ability to service our indebtedness and pay distributions.

Table of Contents

There are restrictions on the transfer of our capital stock. To maintain our qualification as a REIT under the Code, no more than 50% in value of our outstanding capital stock may be owned, actually or by attribution, by five or fewer individuals, as defined in the Code to also include certain entities, during the last half of a taxable year. Accordingly, our charter contains provisions restricting the transfer of our capital stock.

Our earnings are dependent, in part, upon the performance of our investment portfolio. As permitted by the Code, we invest in and own securities of other real estate investment trusts. To the extent that the value of those investments declines or those investments do not provide a return, our earnings and cash flow could be adversely affected.

We are subject to restrictions that may impede our ability to effect a change in control. Certain provisions contained in our charter and bylaws and certain provisions of Maryland law may have the effect of discouraging a third party from making an acquisition proposal for us and thereby inhibit a change in control. These provisions include the following:

Our charter provides for three classes of directors with the term of office of one class expiring each year, commonly referred to as a “staggered board.” By preventing common stockholders from voting on the election of more than one class of directors at any annual meeting of stockholders, this provision may have the effect of keeping the current members of our Board of Directors in control for a longer period of time than stockholders may desire.

Our charter generally limits any holder from acquiring more than 9.8% (in value or in number, whichever is more restrictive) of our outstanding equity stock (defined as all of our classes of capital stock, except our excess stock). While this provision is intended to assure our ability to remain a qualified REIT for Federal income tax purposes, the ownership limit may also limit the opportunity for stockholders to receive a premium for their shares of common stock that might otherwise exist if an investor was attempting to assemble a block of shares in excess of 9.8% of the outstanding shares of equity stock or otherwise effect a change in control.

The request of stockholders entitled to cast at least a majority of all votes entitled to be cast at such meeting is necessary for stockholders to call a special meeting. We also require advance notice by common stockholders for the nomination of directors or proposals of business to be considered at a meeting of stockholders.

Our Board of Directors may authorize and issue securities without stockholder approval. Under our charter, the Board of Directors has the power without stockholder action (i) to classify and reclassify any of our unissued shares of capital stock into shares of capital stock with such terms, preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends and terms and conditions of redemptions as the Board of Directors may determine and (ii) to authorize the issuance of any class or series of stock. The authorization and issuance of a new class of capital stock could have the effect of delaying or preventing someone from taking control of us, even if a change in control were in our stockholders’ best interests.

Maryland business statutes may limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of us. Maryland law provides protection for Maryland corporations against unsolicited takeovers by limiting, among other things, the duties of the directors in unsolicited takeover situations. The duties of directors of Maryland corporations do not require them to (a) accept, recommend or respond to any proposal by a person seeking to acquire control of the corporation, (b) authorize the corporation to redeem any rights under, or modify or render inapplicable, any stockholders rights plan, (c) make a determination under the Maryland Business Combination Act or the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act, (d) elect to be subject to, or refrain from electing to be subject to, any or all of the provisions of Title 3, Subtitle 8 of the MGCL or (e) act or fail to act solely because of the effect of the act or failure to act may have on an acquisition or potential acquisition of control of the corporation or the amount or type of consideration that may be offered or paid to the stockholders in an acquisition. Maryland law also contains a statutory presumption that an act of a director of a Maryland corporation satisfies the applicable standards of conduct for directors under Maryland law.

Table of Contents

The Maryland Business Combination Act provides that unless exempted, a Maryland corporation may not engage in business combinations, including mergers, dispositions of 10% or more of its assets, certain issuances of shares of stock and other specified transactions, with an “interested stockholder” or an affiliate of an interested stockholder for five years after the most recent date on which the interested stockholder became an interested stockholder, and thereafter unless specified criteria are met. An interested stockholder is generally a person owning or controlling, directly or indirectly, 10% or more of the voting power of the outstanding stock of the Maryland corporation or an affiliate or associate of the Maryland corporation that was the beneficial owner of 10% or more of the voting power of the corporation’s outstanding stock during the past two years. In our charter, we have expressly elected that the Maryland Business Combination Act not govern or apply to any transaction with our affiliated company, MREIC.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to pay distributions regularly. Our ability to pay distributions in the future is dependent on our ability to operate profitably and to generate cash from our operations and the operations of our subsidiaries. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to pay distributions on a regular quarterly basis in the future.

Future terrorist attacks and military conflicts could have a material adverse effect on general economic conditions, consumer confidence and market liquidity. Among other things, it is possible that interest rates may be affected by these events. An increase in interest rates may increase our costs of borrowing, leading to a reduction in our earnings. Terrorist acts affecting our properties could also result in significant damages to, or loss of, our properties. Additionally, we may be unable to obtain adequate insurance coverage on acceptable economic terms for losses resulting from acts of terrorism. Our lenders may require that we carry terrorism insurance even if we do not believe this insurance is necessary or cost effective. Should an act of terrorism result in an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits, we could lose capital invested in a property, as well as the anticipated future revenues from a property, while remaining obligated for any mortgage indebtedness or other financial obligations related to the property. Any loss of these types would adversely affect our financial condition.

We are subject to risks arising from litigation. We may become involved in litigation. Litigation can be costly, and the results of litigation are often difficult to predict. We may not have adequate insurance coverage or contractual protection to cover costs and liability in the event we are sued, and to the extent we resort to litigation to enforce our rights, we may incur significant costs and ultimately be unsuccessful or unable to recover amounts we believe are owed to us. We may have little or no control of the timing of litigation, which presents challenges to our strategic planning.

Security breaches and other disruptions could compromise our information and expose us to liability, which would cause our business and reputation to suffer. In the ordinary course of our business, we collect and store sensitive data, including our business information and the personal information of our residents and our employees, in our facility and on our network. Despite our security measures, our information technology and infrastructure may be vulnerable to attacks by hackers or breached due to employee error, malfeasance or other disruptions. Any such breach could compromise our network and the information stored there could be accessed, publicly disclosed, lost or stolen. Any such access, disclosure or other loss of information could result in legal claims or proceedings, disrupt our operations, damage our reputation, and cause a loss of confidence, which could adversely affect our business.

If our leases are not respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes, we would fail to qualify as a REIT.

To qualify as a REIT, we must, among other things, satisfy two gross income tests, under which specified percentages of our gross income must be passive income, such as rent. For the rent paid pursuant to our leases, to qualify for purposes of the gross income tests, the leases must be respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes and not be treated as service contracts, joint ventures or some other type of arrangement. We believe that our leases will be respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes. However, there can be no assurance that the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) will agree with this view. If the leases are not respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes, we would not be able to satisfy either of the two gross income tests applicable to REITs, and we could lose our REIT status.

Failure to make required distributions would subject us to additional tax. In order to qualify as a REIT, we must, among other requirements, distribute, each year, to our stockholders at least 90% of our taxable income, excluding net capital gains. To the extent that we satisfy the 90% distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our taxable income, we will be subject to federal corporate income tax on our undistributed income.

Table of Contents

In addition, we will incur a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which our distributions (or deemed distributions) in any year are less than the sum of:

85% of our ordinary income for that year;

95% of our capital gain net earnings for that year; and

100% of our undistributed taxable income from prior years.

To the extent we pay out in excess of 100% of our taxable income for any tax year, we may be able to carry forward such excess to subsequent years to reduce our required distributions for purposes of the 4% nondeductible excise tax in such subsequent years. We intend to pay out our income to our stockholders in a manner intended to satisfy the 90% distribution requirement. Differences in timing between the recognition of income and the related cash receipts or the effect of required debt amortization payments could require us to borrow money or sell assets to pay out enough of our taxable income to satisfy the 90% distribution requirement and to avoid corporate income tax.

We may not have sufficient cash available from operations to pay distributions to our stockholders, and, therefore, distributions may be made from borrowings. The actual amount and timing of distributions to our stockholders will be determined by our Board of Directors in its discretion and typically will depend on the amount of cash available for distribution, which will depend on items such as current and projected cash requirements, limitations on distributions imposed by law on our financing arrangements and tax considerations. As a result, we may not have sufficient cash available from operations to pay distributions as required to maintain our status as a REIT. Therefore, we may need to borrow funds to make sufficient cash distributions in order to maintain our status as a REIT, which may cause us to incur additional interest expense as a result of an increase in borrowed funds for the purpose of paying distributions.

We may be required to pay a penalty tax upon the sale of a property. The federal income tax provisions applicable to REITs provide that any gain realized by a REIT on the sale of property held as inventory or other property held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business is treated as income from a “prohibited transaction” that is subject to a 100% penalty tax. Under current law, unless a sale of real property qualifies for a safe harbor, the question of whether the sale of real estate or other property constitutes the sale of property held primarily for sale to customers is generally a question of the facts and circumstances regarding a particular transaction. We intend that we and our subsidiaries will hold the interests in the real estate for investment with a view to long-term appreciation, engage in the business of acquiring and owning real estate, and make occasional sales as are consistent with our investment objectives. We do not intend to engage in prohibited transactions. We cannot assure you, however, that we will only make sales that satisfy the requirements of the safe harbors or that the IRS will not successfully assert that one or more of such sales are prohibited transactions.

We may be adversely affected if we fail to qualify as a REIT. If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will not be allowed to deduct distributions to stockholders in computing our taxable income and will be subject to Federal income tax,

including any applicable alternative minimum tax, at regular corporate rates. In addition, we might be barred from qualification as a REIT for the four years following disqualification. The additional tax incurred at regular corporate rates would reduce significantly the cash flow available for distribution to stockholders and for debt service. Furthermore, we would no longer be required to make any distributions to our stockholders as a condition to REIT qualification. Any distributions to noncorporate stockholders would be taxable as ordinary income to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, although such dividend distributions generally would be subject to a top federal tax rate of 15% through 2014. Corporate distributees would in that case generally be eligible for the dividends received deduction on the distributions, subject to limitations under the Code.

If we were considered to actually or constructively pay a “preferential dividend” to certain of our stockholders, our status as a REIT could be adversely affected. In order to qualify as a REIT, we must distribute annually to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (which does not equal net income as calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP), determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding net capital gain. In order for distributions to be counted as satisfying the annual distribution requirements for REITs, and to provide us with a REIT level tax deduction, the distributions must not be “preferential dividends.” A dividend is not a preferential dividend if the distribution is pro rata among all outstanding shares of stock within a particular class, and in accordance with the

Table of Contents

preferences among different classes of stock as set forth in our organizational documents. Currently, there is uncertainty as to the application of the law in certain circumstances and the IRS's position regarding whether certain arrangements that REITs have with their stockholders could give rise to the inadvertent payment of a preferential dividend (e.g., the pricing methodology for stock purchased under a distribution reinvestment plan inadvertently causing a greater than 5% discount on the price of such stock purchased). There is no de minimis exception with respect to preferential dividends; therefore, if the IRS were to take the position that we inadvertently paid a preferential dividend, we may be deemed to have failed the 90% distribution test, and our status as a REIT could be terminated for the year in which such determination is made if we were unable to cure such failure. While we believe that our operations have been structured in such a manner that we will not be treated as inadvertently paying preferential dividends, we can provide no assurance to this effect.

To qualify as a REIT, we must comply with certain highly technical and complex requirements. We cannot be certain we have complied, and will always be able to comply, with the requirements to qualify as a REIT because there are few judicial and administrative interpretations of these provisions. In addition, facts and circumstances that may be beyond our control may affect our ability to continue to qualify as a REIT. We cannot assure you that new legislation, regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions will not change the tax laws significantly with respect to our qualification as a REIT or with respect to the Federal income tax consequences of qualification. We believe that we have qualified as a REIT since our inception and intend to continue to qualify as a REIT. However, we cannot assure you that we are qualified or will remain qualified.

There is a risk of changes in the tax law applicable to real estate investment trusts. Because the IRS, the United States Treasury Department and Congress frequently review federal income tax legislation, we cannot predict whether, when or to what extent new federal tax laws, regulations, interpretations or rulings will be adopted. Any of such legislative action may prospectively or retroactively modify our tax treatment and, therefore, may adversely affect taxation of us and/or our investors.

We may be unable to comply with the strict income distribution requirements applicable to REITs. To maintain qualification as a REIT under the Code, a REIT must annually distribute to its stockholders at least 90% of its REIT taxable income, excluding the dividends paid deduction and net capital gains. This requirement limits our ability to accumulate capital. We may not have sufficient cash or other liquid assets to meet the distribution requirements. Difficulties in meeting the distribution requirements might arise due to competing demands for our funds or to timing differences between tax reporting and cash receipts and disbursements, because income may have to be reported before cash is received, because expenses may have to be paid before a deduction is allowed, because deductions may be disallowed or limited or because the IRS may make a determination that adjusts reported income. In those situations, we might be required to borrow funds or sell properties on adverse terms in order to meet the distribution requirements and interest and penalties could apply which could adversely affect our financial condition. If we fail to make a required distribution, we could cease to be taxed as a REIT.

Notwithstanding our status as a REIT, we are subject to various federal, state and local taxes on our income and property. For example, we will be taxed at regular corporate rates on any undistributed taxable income, including

undistributed net capital gains; provided, however, that properly designated undistributed capital gains will effectively avoid taxation at the stockholder level. We may be subject to other Federal income taxes and may also have to pay some state income or franchise taxes because not all states treat REITs in the same manner as they are treated for Federal income tax purposes.

Item 1B – Unresolved Staff Comments

None

15

Table of Contents

Item 2 – Properties

UMH Properties, Inc. is engaged in the ownership and operation of manufactured home communities located in New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Indiana and Michigan. As of December 31, 2014, the Company owns eighty-eight manufactured home communities containing approximately 15,000 developed sites. The following is a brief description of the properties owned by the Company. There is a long-term trend toward larger manufactured homes. Manufactured home communities designed for older manufactured homes must be modified to accommodate modern, wider and longer manufactured homes. These changes may decrease the number of homes that may be accommodated in a manufactured home community. The rents collectible from the land ultimately depend on the value of the home and land. Therefore, fewer but more expensive homes can actually produce the same or greater rents. For this reason, the number of developed sites operated by the Company is subject to change, and the number of developed sites listed is always an approximate number.

Name of Community	Number of Sites			Occupancy Percentage	Acreage Developed	Approximate	
	Developed Sites	Occupied at 12/31/14	at 12/31/14			Vacant Acreage	Monthly Rent Per Site at 12/31/14
Allentown 4912 Raleigh-Millington Road Memphis, TN 38128	435	400	92%	76	-0-	\$407	
Auburn Estates 919 Hostetler Road Orrville, OH 44667	44	41	93%	13	-0-	\$335	
Birchwood Farms 8057 Birchwood Drive Birch Run, MI 48415	143	107	75%	28	-0-	\$389	
Broadmore Estates 148 Broadmore Estates Goshen, IN 46528	389	256	66%	93	19	\$391	
Brookside Village 89 Valley Drive Berwick, PA 18603	171	137	80%	37	2	\$381	
Brookview Village 2025 Route 9N, Lot 137 Greenfield Center, NY 12833	128	117	91%	45	29	\$445	
Carsons 649 North Franklin St. Lot 105 Chambersburg, PA 17201	131	116	89%	14	4	\$351	
Cedarcrest	283	273	96%	71	30	\$556	

Edgar Filing: UMH PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

1976 North East Avenue
 Vineland, NJ 08360

Chambersburg I & II 5368 Philadelphia Ave Lot 34 Chambersburg, PA 17201	98	87	89%	11	-0-	\$342
---	----	----	-----	----	-----	-------

Chelsea 459 Chelsea Lane Sayre, PA 18840	84	81	96%	12	-0-	\$394
--	----	----	-----	----	-----	-------

City View 110 Fort Granville Lot C5 Lewistown, PA 17044 16	58	52	90%	20	2	\$268
---	----	----	-----	----	---	-------

Table of Contents

Name of Community	Number of Sites Developed	Number of Sites Occupied at 12/31/14	Occupancy Percentage	Acreage Developed	Vacant Acreage	Approximate Monthly Rent Per Site at 12/31/14
Clinton Mobile Home Resort 60 N State Route 101 Tiffin, OH 44883	116	116	100%	23	1	\$344
Collingwood 358 Chambers Road Lot 001 Horseheads, NY 14845	103	87	84%	20	-0-	\$390
Colonial Heights 917 Two Ridge Road Wintersville, OH 43953	159	125	79%	31	1	\$260
Countryside Estates 1500 East Fuson Road Muncie, IN 47302	150	97	65%	36	28	\$286
Countryside Estates 6605 State Route 5 Ravenna, OH 44266	144	110	76%	27	-0-	\$283
Countryside Village 200 Early Road Columbia, TN 38401	349	258	74%	89	63	\$322
Cranberry Village 100 Treesdale Drive Cranberry Township, PA 16066	190	175	92%	36	-0-	\$516
Crestview 459 Chelsea Lane Sayre, PA 18840	98	83	85%	19	-0-	\$357
Cross Keys Village 259 Brown Swiss Circle Duncansville, PA 16635	132	96	73%	21	2	\$382
Dallas Mobile Home Community 1104 N 4 th Street Toronto, OH 43964	145	124	86%	21	-0-	\$250
Deer Meadows 1291 Springfield Road New Springfield, OH 44443	101	74	73%	22	8	\$280

Edgar Filing: UMH PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

D & R Village 430 Route 146 Lot 65A Clifton Park, NY 12065	237	224	95%	44	-0-	\$504
Evergreen Estates 425 Medina Street Lodi, OH 44254	55	53	96%	10	3	\$295
Evergreen Manor 26041 Aurora Avenue Bedford, OH 44146	79	40	51%	7	-0-	\$285

Table of Contents

Name of Community	Number of Sites			Acreage Developed	Occupancy Percentage at 12/31/14	Vacant Acreage	Approximate Monthly Rent Per Site at 12/31/14
	Developed Sites	Occupied at 12/31/14	Percentage				
Evergreen Village 9249 State Route 44 Mantua, OH 44255	51	46	90%	10	4	\$295	
Fairview Manor 2110 Mays Landing Road Millville, NJ 08332	318	312	98%	66	132	\$555	
Forest Creek 885 E. Mishawaka Road Elkhart, IN 46517	167	154	92%	37	-0-	\$401	
Forest Park Village 102 Holly Drive Cranberry Township, PA 16066	251	205	82%	79	-0-	\$453	
Frieden Manor 102 Frieden Manor Schuylkill Haven, PA 17972	193	185	96%	42	22	\$398	
Green Acres 4496 Sycamore Grove Road Chambersburg, PA 17201	24	22	92%	6	-0-	\$355	
Gregory Courts 1 Mark Lane Honey Brook, PA 19344	39	38	97%	9	-0-	\$542	
Hayden Heights 5501 Cosgray Road Dublin, OH 43016	115	106	92%	19	-0-	\$310	
Heather Highlands 109 Main Street Inkerman, PA 18640	404	263	65%	79	-0-	\$383	
Highland 1875 Osolo Road Elkhart, IN 46514	246	211	86%	42	-0-	\$337	
Highland Estates 60 Old Route 22	318	299	94%	98	65	\$480	

Edgar Filing: UMH PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Kutztown, PA 19530

Hillside Estates 1033 Marguerite Lake Road Greensburg, PA 15601	90	71	79%	29	21	\$291
---	----	----	-----	----	----	-------

Holiday Mobile Village 201 Grizzard Avenue Nashville, TN 37207	274	242	88%	36	29	\$432
--	-----	-----	-----	----	----	-------

Hudson Estates 100 Keenan Road Peninsula, OH 44264 18	173	96	55%	19	-0-	\$260
--	-----	----	-----	----	-----	-------

Table of Contents

Name of Community	Number of Sites			Acreage Developed	Occupancy Percentage	Vacant Acreage	Approximate Monthly Rent Per Site at 12/31/14
	Developed Sites	Occupied at 12/31/14	Percentage				
Independence Park 355 Route 30 Clinton, PA 15026	96	63	66%	36	14	\$320	
Kinnebrook 351 State Route 17B Monticello, NY 12701	228	191	84%	66	8	\$502	
Lake Sherman Village 7227 Beth Avenue, SW Navarre, OH 44662	237	196	83%	54	43	\$378	
Laurel Woods 1943 St. Joseph Street Cresson, PA 16630	218	151	69%	43	-0-	\$354	
Little Chippewa 11563 Back Massillon Road Orrville, OH 44667	62	52	84%	13	-0-	\$307	
Maple Manor 18 Williams Street Taylor, PA 18517	316	236	75%	71	-0-	\$348	
Meadowood 9555 Struthers Road New Middletown, OH 44442	125	110	88%	20	-0-	\$341	
Melrose Village 4400 Melrose Drive, Lot 301 Wooster, OH 44691	294	234	80%	71	-0-	\$296	
Melrose West 4455 Cleveland Road Wooster, OH 44691	30	29	97%	27	3	\$300	
Memphis Mobile City* 3894 N. Thomas Street Memphis, TN 38127	156	-0-	0%	22	-0-	\$-0-	
Monroe Valley 1 Sunflower Drive Ephrata, PA 17522	44	41	93%	11	-0-	\$426	

Edgar Filing: UMH PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Moosic Heights 118 1st Street Avoca, PA 18641	147	133	90%	35	-0-	\$350
Mountaintop 1 Sunflower Drive Ephrata, PA 17522	39	38	97%	11	2	\$495
Mountain View** Van Dyke Street Coxsackie, NY	-0-	-0-	N/A	-0-	220	\$-0-

* Community was closed due to an unusual flooding throughout the region in May 2011. We are currently working on plans for the redevelopment of this community.

** We are currently seeking site plan approvals for 253 sites for this property.

Table of Contents

Name of Community	Number of Sites			Occupancy Percentage	Acreage Developed	Vacant Acreage	Approximate Monthly Rent Per Site at 12/31/14
	Developed Sites	Occupied at 12/31/14	at 12/31/14				
Oak Ridge Estates 1201 Country Road 15 (Apt B) Elkhart, IN 46514	205	199	97%	40	-0-	\$400	
Oakwood Lake Village 308 Gruver Lake Tunkhannock, PA 18657	79	73	92%	40	-0-	\$381	
Olmsted Falls 26875 Bagley Road Olmsted Falls, OH 44138	127	122	96%	15	-0-	\$341	
Oxford Village 2 Dolinger Drive West Grove, PA 19390	224	220	98%	59	3	\$582	
Pine Ridge Village/Pine Manor 100 Oriole Drive Carlisle, PA 17013	178	146	82%	50	30	\$486	
Pine Valley Estates 1283 Sugar Hollow Road Apollo, PA 15613	218	143	66%	38	-0-	\$338	
Pleasant View Estates 6020 Fort Jenkins Lane Bloomsburg, PA 17815	110	72	65%	21	9	\$353	
Port Royal Village 485 Patterson Lane Belle Vernon, PA 15012	465	272	58%	101	-0-	\$389	

Edgar Filing: UMH PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

River Valley Estates 2066 Victory Road Marion, OH 43302	232	161	69%	60	-0-	\$334
Rolling Hills Estates 14 Tip Top Circle Carlisle, PA 17015	91	74	81%	30	2	\$315
Rostraver Estates 1198 Rostraver Road Belle Vernon, PA 15012	67	45	67%	17	66	\$370
Sandy Valley Estates 11461 State Route 800 N.E. Magnolia, OH 44643	364	234	64%	102	10	\$362
Shady Hills 1508 Dickerson Road #L1 Nashville, TN 37207	210	203	97%	25	-0-	\$404
Somerset Estates/Whispering Pines 1873 Husband Road Somerset, PA 15501	252	200	79%	74	24	\$320/\$430

Table of Contents

Name of Community	Number of Sites Developed	Occupied at 12/31/14	Occupancy Percentage	Acreage Developed	Vacant Acreage	Approximate Monthly Rent Per Site at 12/31/14
Southern Terrace 1229 State Route 164 Columbiana, OH 44408	118	118	100%	26	4	\$290
Southwind Village* 435 E. Veterans Highway Jackson, NJ 08527	250	241	96%	36	-0-	\$387-\$650
Spreading Oaks Village 7140-29 Selby Road Athens, OH 45701	148	117	79%	37	24	\$333
Suburban Estates 33 Maruca Drive Greensburg, PA 15601	200	186	93%	36	-0-	\$338
Summit Estates 3305 Summit Road Ravenna, OH 44266	141	107	76%	25	2	\$289
Sunny Acres 272 Nicole Lane Somerset, PA 15501	207	200	97%	55	2	\$333
Sunnyside 2901 West Ridge Pike Eagleview, PA 19403	71	61	86%	8	-0-	\$614
Trailmont 512 Hillcrest Road Goodlettsville, TN 37072	129	126	98%	32	-0-	\$461
Twin Oaks I & II 27216 Cook Road Lot 1-A Olmsted Township, OH 44138	141	140	99%	21	-0-	\$392
Twin Pines 2011 West Wilden Avenue Goshen, IN 46528	238	188	79%	48	3	\$373
Valley High 32 Valley High Lane Ruffs Dale, PA 15679	75	64	85%	13	16	\$305

Edgar Filing: UMH PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Valley Hills 4364 Sandy Lake Road Ravenna, OH 44266	272	200	74%	66	67	\$282
Valley View I 1 Sunflower Drive Ephrata, PA 17522	105	99	94%	19	-0-	\$436
Valley View II 1 Sunflower Drive Ephrata, PA 17522	44	43	98%	7	-0-	\$453

* Community subject to local rent control laws.

Table of Contents

Name of Community	Number of Developed Sites	Sites Occupied at 12/31/14	Occupancy Percentage	Acreage Developed	Vacant Acreage	Approximate Monthly Rent Per Site at 12/31/14
Valley View – Danboro 1081 North Easton Road Doylestown, PA 18902	233	221	95%	31	-0-	\$597
Valley View – Honey Brook 1 Mark Lane Honey Brook, PA 19344	147	139	95%	28	13	\$530
Waterfalls Village 3450 Howard Road Lot 21 Hamburg, NY 14075	199	157	79%	35	-0-	\$488
Weatherly Estates 271 Weatherly Drive Lebanon, TN 37087	270	266	99%	41	-0-	\$410
Woodland Manor 338 County Route 11, Lot 165 West Monroe, NY 13167	148	75	51%	77	-0-	\$332
Woodlawn Village* 265 Route 35 Eatontown, NJ 07724	156	134	86%	14	-0-	\$584-\$665
Wood Valley 2 West Street Caledonia, OH 43314	160	85	53%	31	56	\$322
Youngstown Estates 999 Balmer Road Youngstown, NY 14174	90	59	66%	14	59	\$324
Total	15,041	12,243	82%(1)	3,249	1,142	\$393(2)

* Community subject to local rent control laws.

(1) Does not include vacant sites at Memphis Mobile City.

(2) Weighted average monthly rent per site.

Exclusive of the vacant sites at Memphis Mobile City, the Company's occupancy rate has increased from 81.5% at December 31, 2013 to 82.3% at December 31, 2014.

In connection with the operation of its communities, the Company operates approximately 2,600 rental units. These are homes owned by the Company and rented to residents. The Company engages in the rental of manufactured homes primarily in areas where the communities have existing vacancies. The rental homes produce income on both the home and the site which might otherwise be non-income producing. The Company sells the rental homes when the opportunity arises.

The Company has approximately 880 additional sites in various stages of engineering/construction. Due to the difficulties involved in the approval and construction process, it is difficult to predict the number of sites which will be completed in a given year.

Table of Contents

Significant Properties

The Company operates approximately \$448,000,000 (at original cost) in manufactured home properties. These consist of eighty-eight separate manufactured home communities and related improvements. No single community constitutes more than 10% of the total assets of the Company. Our larger properties consist of: Port Royal Village with 465 developed sites, Allentown with 435 developed sites, Heather Highlands with 404 developed sites, Broadmore Estates with 389 developed sites, Sandy Valley Estates with 364 developed sites, Countryside Village with 349 developed sites, Highland Estates with 318 developed sites, Fairview Manor with 318 developed sites, and Maple Manor with 316 developed sites.

Mortgages on Properties

The Company has mortgages on various properties. The maturity dates of these mortgages range from the years 2015 to 2023. Interest rates vary from fixed rates of 4.0% to 12.75% and variable rates of prime plus 1.0% to LIBOR plus 2.25%. The weighted-average interest rate on our mortgages was approximately 4.78% at December 31, 2014. The aggregate balances of these mortgages total \$182,670,854 at December 31, 2014. (For additional information, see Part IV, Item 15(a) (1) (vi), Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Loans and Mortgages Payable).

Item 3 – Legal Proceedings

Legal proceedings are incorporated herein by reference and filed as Part IV, Item 15(a)(1)(vi), Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements – Commitments, Contingencies and Legal Matters.

Item 4 – Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II**

Item 5 – Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Prior to March 2, 2012, the Company’s shares were listed on the NYSE Amex (symbol: UMH). The per share range of high and low quotes for the Company’s stock and distributions paid to shareholders for each quarter of the last two years are as follows:

	2014			2013		
	High	Low	Distribution	High	Low	Distribution
First Quarter	\$9.95	\$9.01	\$ 0.18	\$10.98	\$9.94	\$ 0.18
Second Quarter	10.11	9.57	0.18	11.55	10.04	0.18
Third Quarter	10.41	9.31	0.18	11.25	9.20	0.18
Fourth Quarter	10.11	9.01	<u>0.18</u>	10.70	9.01	<u>0.18</u>
			<u>\$0.72</u>			<u>\$0.72</u>

On March 2, 2012, the Company transferred the listing of its common and preferred stock from the NYSE Amex to the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE). The Company has retained its stock symbols (UMH) for the common shares and (UMH-PA) for the preferred shares.

On March 2, 2015, the closing price of the Company’s stock was \$9.58.

As of March 2, 2015, there were approximately 1,028 registered shareholders of the Company’s common stock based on the number of record owners.

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, total distributions paid by the Company for common stock amounted to \$16,285,828 or \$0.72 per share (\$0.01114 taxed as ordinary income, \$0.00265 taxed as capital gains and \$0.70621 as a return of capital) and \$13,563,471 or \$0.72 per share (\$0.12844 taxed as ordinary income, \$0.05835 taxed as capital gains and \$0.53321 as a return of capital), respectively.

It is the Company’s intention to continue making comparable quarterly distributions. On January 21, 2015, the Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.18 per share to be paid on March 16, 2015 to common shareholders of record as of the close of business on February 17, 2015. Future dividend policy will depend on the Company’s earnings, capital requirements, REIT requirements, financial condition, availability and cost of bank financing and

other factors considered relevant by the Board of Directors.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company had outstanding 3,663,800 shares of 8.25% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$0.10 per share, with an aggregate liquidation preference of \$91,595,000 (Series A Preferred Stock). The Series A Preferred Stock ranks, as to dividend rights and rights upon our liquidation, dissolution or winding up, senior to our common stock and equal to any equity securities that we may issue in the future, the terms of which specifically provide that such equity securities rank equal to the Series A Preferred Stock. We are required to pay cumulative dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock in the amount of \$2.0625 per share each year, which is equivalent to 8.25% of the \$25.00 liquidation value per share. The Series A Preferred Stock is traded on the NYSE.

The Series A Preferred Stock, par value \$25.00, has no maturity and will remain outstanding indefinitely unless redeemed or otherwise repurchased. The Series A Preferred Stock is not redeemable prior to May 26, 2016, except pursuant to provisions relating to preservation of the Company's qualification as a real estate investment trust (REIT) or upon the occurrence of a Delisting Event or a Change of Control. On and after May 26, 2016, the Series A Preferred Stock will be redeemable at the Company's option for cash, in whole or, from time to time, in part, at a price per share equal to \$25.00, plus all accrued and unpaid dividends (whether or not declared), if any, to, but not including, the redemption date, on each share of Series A Preferred Stock to be redeemed.

Table of Contents

For the year ended December 31, 2014, total distributions paid by the Company for preferred stock, amounted to \$7,556,588 or \$2.0625 per share (\$1.66551 taxed as ordinary income and \$0.39699 taxed as capital gains). For the year ended December 31, 2013, total distributions paid by the Company for preferred stock, before accrued dividends, amounted to \$7,556,588 or \$2.0625 per share (\$1.418164 taxed as ordinary income and \$0.644336 taxed as capital gains).

On January 21, 2015, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.515625 per share for the period from December 1, 2014 through February 28, 2015, on the Company's 8.25% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock payable March 16, 2015 to preferred shareholders of record as of the close of business on February 17, 2015. Series A preferred share dividends are cumulative and payable quarterly at an annual rate of \$2.0625 per share.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

On January 21, 2015, the Board of Directors reaffirmed its Share Repurchase Program (the repurchase program) that authorizes the Company to purchase up to \$10,000,000 in the aggregate of the Company's common stock. The repurchase program was originally created in June 2008 and is intended to be implemented through purchases made from time to time using a variety of methods, which may include open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or block trades, or by any combination of such methods, in accordance with applicable insider trading and other securities laws and regulations. The size, scope and timing of any purchases will be based on business, market and other conditions and factors, including price, regulatory and contractual requirements or consents, and capital availability. The repurchase program does not require the Company to acquire any particular amount of common stock, and the program may be suspended, modified or discontinued at any time at the Company's discretion without prior notice. There have been no purchases under the repurchase program to date.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

On June 13, 2013, the shareholders approved and ratified the Company's 2013 Stock Option and Stock Award Plan (the 2013 Plan) authorizing the grant to officers and key employees of options to purchase up to 3,000,000 shares of common stock. The 2013 Plan replaced the Company's 2003 Stock Option and Award Plan, as amended, which, pursuant to its terms, terminated in 2013. The outstanding options under the 2003 Stock Option and Award Plan, as amended, remain outstanding until exercised, forfeited or expired. See Note 6 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of the plans.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes information, as of December 31, 2014, relating to equity compensation plans of the Company (including individual compensation arrangements) pursuant to which equity securities of the Company are authorized for issuance.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon	Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Weighted-average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans (excluding Securities reflected in column (a))
Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Security Holders	(a) 1,301,000		\$10.34	2,260,000
Equity Compensation Plans not Approved by Security Holders	N/A		N/A	N/A
Total	1,301,000		\$10.34	2,260,000

Comparative Stock Performance

The following line graph compares the total return of the Company's common stock for the last five years to the FTSE NAREIT All Equity REIT's published by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) and to the S&P 500 Index for the same period. The graph assumes a \$100 investment in our common stock and in each of the indexes listed below on December 31, 2009 and the reinvestment of all dividends. The total return reflects stock price appreciation and dividend reinvestment for all three comparative indices. The information herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but neither its accuracy nor its completeness is guaranteed. Our stock performance shown in the graph below is not indicative of future stock performance.

Table of Contents

Item 6 – Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth selected financial and other information for the Company as of and for each of the years in the five year period ended December 31, 2014. This table should be read in conjunction with all of the financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere herein.

	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Operating Data:					
Rental and Related Income	\$63,886,010	\$53,477,893	\$38,012,231	\$32,990,219	\$27,877,470
Sales of Manufactured Homes	7,545,923	8,727,214	8,815,533	6,323,135	6,133,494
Total Income	71,431,933	62,205,107	46,827,764	39,313,354	34,010,964
Community Operating Expenses	33,592,327	29,140,920	20,564,286	17,758,332	14,870,694
Loss Relating to Flood	-0-	-0-	-0-	984,701	-0-
Total Expenses	64,521,158	58,009,654	44,214,508	36,797,740	30,520,846
Interest Income	2,098,974	2,186,387	2,027,969	1,991,180	2,817,059
Dividend Income	4,065,986	3,481,514	3,243,592	2,512,057	1,762,609
Gain on Securities Transactions, net	1,542,589	4,055,812	4,092,585	2,692,649	3,931,880
Interest Expense	10,194,472	7,849,835	5,803,172	5,744,567	5,183,296
Gain (Loss) on Sales of Investment					
Property and Equipment	7,313	18,803	(41,481)	28,873	(8,244)
Net Income	4,237,803	5,836,823	6,474,057	3,696,263	6,668,915
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to					
Common Shareholders	(3,318,785)	(1,719,765)	1,749,339	2,039,497	6,668,915
Net Income Per Share					
Basic	0.19	0.31	0.40	0.25	0.52
Diluted	0.19	0.31	0.40	0.25	0.52
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to					
Common Shareholders Per Share					
Basic	(0.15)	(0.09)	0.11	0.14	0.52
Diluted	(0.15)	(0.09)	0.11	0.14	0.52

Cash Flow Data:

Net Cash Provided (Used) by:

Operating Activities	\$24,326,461	\$11,238,088	\$9,087,749	\$8,410,892	\$6,481,751
Investing Activities	(56,033,767)	(110,365,339)	(66,985,675)	(39,765,028)	(33,894,219)
Financing Activities	32,174,955	95,706,570	60,135,727	34,491,139	28,553,703

Balance Sheet Data:

Total Investment Property	\$448,164,459	\$365,824,412	\$253,490,055	\$191,252,542	\$168,590,072
Total Assets	478,268,976	407,979,974	300,281,215	223,944,536	188,780,515
Mortgages Payable	182,670,854	160,639,944	108,871,352	90,282,010	90,815,777
Series A Preferred Stock	91,595,000	91,595,000	91,595,000	33,470,000	-0-

Edgar Filing: UMH PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Total Shareholders' Equity	208,827,105	190,585,737	174,985,248	105,877,205	71,927,753
----------------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	------------

Other Information:

Average Number of Shares Outstanding					
Basic	22,496,103	18,724,321	16,197,339	14,506,679	12,767,904
Diluted	22,539,708	18,789,662	16,260,225	14,562,018	12,822,644
Funds from Operations (1)	\$11,837,322	\$9,943,156	\$9,147,978	\$7,972,962	\$11,193,185
Core Funds from Operations (1)	\$12,320,844	\$11,398,698	\$10,010,147	\$9,218,126	\$11,640,762
Cash Dividends Per Common Share	\$0.72	\$0.72	\$0.72	\$0.72	\$0.72

27

Table of Contents

(1) We assess and measure our overall operating results based upon an industry performance measure referred to as Funds From Operations (FFO), which management believes is a useful indicator of our operating performance. FFO is used by industry analysts and investors as a supplemental operating performance measure of a REIT. FFO, as defined by The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT), represents net income (loss) attributable to common shareholders, as defined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP), excluding extraordinary items, as defined under U.S. GAAP, gains or losses from sales of previously depreciated real estate assets, impairment charges related to depreciable real estate assets, plus certain non-cash items such as real estate asset depreciation and amortization. NAREIT created FFO as a non-U.S. GAAP supplemental measure of REIT operating performance. We define Core Funds From Operations (Core FFO) as FFO plus acquisitions costs and Loss Relating to Flood. FFO and Core FFO should be considered as a supplemental measure of operating performance used by REITs. FFO and Core FFO excludes historical cost depreciation as an expense and may facilitate the comparison of REITs which have different cost basis. The items excluded from FFO and Core FFO are significant components in understanding the Company's financial performance.

FFO and Core FFO (i) do not represent Cash Flow from Operations as defined by U.S. GAAP; (ii) should not be considered as an alternative to Net Income as a measure of operating performance or to Cash Flows from Operating, Investing and Financing Activities; and (iii) are not an alternative to cash flow as a measure of liquidity. FFO and Core FFO, as calculated by the Company, may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other REITs.

The Company's FFO and Core FFO Attributable to Common Shareholders are calculated as follows:

	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
Net Income (Loss) Attributable					
to Common Shareholders	\$(3,318,785)	\$(1,719,765)	\$1,749,339	\$2,039,497	\$6,668,915
Loss (Gain) on Sales of					
Depreciable Assets	(7,313)	(18,803)	41,481	(28,873)	8,244
Depreciation Expense	15,163,420	11,681,724	7,357,158	5,962,338	4,516,026
FFO Attributable to	11,837,322	9,943,156	9,147,978	7,972,962	11,193,185
Common Shareholders					
Acquisition Costs	483,522	1,455,542	862,169	260,463	447,577
Loss Relating to Flood (1)	-0-	-0-	-0-	984,701	-0-
Core FFO Attributable to Common Shareholders	\$12,320,844	\$11,398,698	\$10,010,147	\$9,218,126	\$11,640,762

(1) Represents loss relating to flood at Memphis Mobile City.

Item 7 – Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Statements contained in this Form 10-K, that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). Forward-looking statements provide our current expectations or forecasts of future events. Forward-looking statements include statements about the Company’s expectations, beliefs, intentions, plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events, performance and underlying assumptions and other statements that are not historical facts. Forward-looking statements can be identified by their use of forward-looking words, such as “may,” “will,” “anticipate,” “expect,” “believe,” “intend,” “plan,” “should,” “seek” or comparable terms, or the negative use of those words, but the absence of these words does not necessarily mean that a statement is not forward-looking.

The forward-looking statements are based on our beliefs, assumptions and expectations of our future performance, taking into account all information currently available to us. Forward-looking statements are not predictions of future events. These beliefs, assumptions and expectations can change as a result of many possible

Table of Contents

events or factors, not all of which are known to us. Some of these factors are described below and under the headings “Business”, “Risk Factors” and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations”. These and other risks, uncertainties and factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from those included in any forward-looking statements we make. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made. New risks and uncertainties arise over time, and it is not possible for us to predict those events or how they may affect us. Except as required by law, we are not obligated to, and do not intend to, update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations include, among others:

- changes in the real estate market conditions and general economic conditions;
 - the inherent risks associated with owning real estate, including local real estate market conditions, governing laws and regulations affecting manufactured housing communities and illiquidity of real estate investments;
 - increased competition in the geographic areas in which we own and operate manufactured housing communities;
 - our ability to continue to identify, negotiate and acquire manufactured housing communities and/or vacant land which may be developed into manufactured housing communities on terms favorable to us;
 - our ability to maintain rental rates and occupancy levels;
 - changes in market rates of interest;
 - our ability to repay debt financing obligations;
 - our ability to refinance amounts outstanding under our credit facilities at maturity on terms favorable to us;
 - our ability to comply with certain debt covenants;
 - our ability to integrate acquired properties and operations into existing operations;
 - the availability of other debt and equity financing alternatives;
 - continued ability to access the debt or equity markets;
 - the loss of any member of our management team;
 - our ability to maintain internal controls and processes to ensure all transactions are accounted for properly, all relevant disclosures and filings are timely made in accordance with all rules and regulations, and any potential fraud or embezzlement is thwarted or detected;
 - the ability of manufactured home buyers to obtain financing;
 - the level of repossessions by manufactured home lenders;
 - market conditions affecting our investment securities;
 - changes in federal or state tax rules or regulations that could have adverse tax consequences;
 - our ability to qualify as a real estate investment trust for federal income tax purposes; and
- those risks and uncertainties referenced under the heading "Risk Factors" contained in this Form 10-K and the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, as events described or implied in such statements may not occur. The forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-K speak only as of the date hereof and the Company expressly disclaims any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

Overview

The following discussion and analysis of the consolidated financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto elsewhere herein.

The Company is a self-administered, self-managed, real estate investment trust (REIT) with headquarters in Freehold, New Jersey. The Company's primary business is the ownership and operation of manufactured home communities which includes leasing manufactured home spaces on a month-to-month or annual basis to private manufactured home owners. The Company also leases homes to residents and, through its taxable REIT subsidiary, UMH Sales and Finance, Inc. (S&F), sells and finances homes to residents and prospective residents of our communities.

Table of Contents

Our communities are located in New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Indiana and Michigan. UMH has continued to execute our growth strategy of purchasing well-located communities in our target markets, including the energy-rich Marcellus and Utica shale regions. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we have purchased fourteen manufactured home communities with ten located in Ohio and four located in Pennsylvania, for an aggregate purchase price of \$42,550,000. These acquisitions added approximately 1,600 developed sites to our portfolio, bringing our total to eighty-eight communities containing approximately 15,000 developed sites. On January 21, 2015, we acquired an additional community located in Pennsylvania for approximately \$3,800,000. With this purchase, we now own eighty-nine manufactured home communities consisting of approximately 15,200 developed sites.

The Company's income primarily consists of rental and related income from the operation of its manufactured home communities. Income also includes sales of manufactured homes. In 2014, total income has increased 15% from the prior year and income from community operations has increased 24% from the prior year, primarily due to the acquisitions in 2013 and 2014. Occupancy has increased from 81.5% at December 31, 2013 to 82.3% at December 31, 2014. Same site occupancy has increased from 81.5% at December 31, 2013 to 83.2% at December 31, 2014. Sales of manufactured homes continue to be disappointing and have not yet returned to pre-recession levels. Many of our customers still face difficulties in selling their existing homes. Despite historically low interest rates, tight underwriting standards have kept a number of potential buyers out of the site-built market. This, coupled with limited wage growth, has consumers gravitating toward renting versus owning. During 2014, we have added a net of approximately 800 rental units to selected communities as well as acquired approximately 100 rental units with fiscal 2014 community acquisitions. Rental home occupancy is at 91.5%. Occupied rental units represent approximately 19.6% of total occupied sites. We intend to convert renters to new homeowners in the future.

Revenues also include interest and dividend income and net gain on securities transactions. The Company holds a portfolio of securities of other REITs with a fair value of \$63,555,961 at December 31, 2014. The Company generally limits its marketable securities investments to no more than approximately 15% of its undepreciated assets. The REIT securities portfolio provides the Company with liquidity and additional income and serves as a proxy for real estate when more favorable risk adjusted returns are not available. The Company invests in these securities on margin from time to time when the Company can achieve an adequate yield spread. As of December 31, 2014, the Company has borrowings of \$19,392,382 under its margin line at 2.0% interest. As of December 31, 2014, the Company's portfolio consisted of 30% REIT preferred stocks and 70% REIT common stocks. The Company's weighted-average yield on the securities portfolio was approximately 6.1% at December 31, 2014. The Company realized a net gain of \$1,542,589 on sale of securities transactions in 2014 as compared to a net gain of \$4,055,812 during 2013. At December 31, 2014, the Company had unrealized gains of \$5,079,921 in its REIT securities portfolio. The dividends received from our securities investments continue to meet our expectations. It is our intent to hold these securities for investment on a long-term basis.

The Company continues to strengthen its balance sheet. During 2014, the Company raised approximately \$33 million in new capital through its Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan. This capital was used to purchase communities, purchase rental homes and pay down certain loans and mortgages. The weighted average interest rate on our mortgage debt was 4.8% at December 31, 2014 compared to 4.5% at December 31, 2013.

At December 31, 2014, the Company had approximately \$8.1 million in cash and cash equivalents, and \$15 million potentially available on our credit facility pursuant to an accordion feature. We also had \$10.2 million available on our revolving lines of credit for the financing of home sales and the purchase of inventory, and the ability to finance approximately 500 rental units. In addition, we held \$63.6 million in marketable REIT securities encumbered by \$19.4 million in margin loans. In general, the Company may borrow up to 50% of the value of the marketable securities.

The Company intends to continue to increase its real estate investments. In 2013 and 2014, we have added a total of thirty-one manufactured home communities to our portfolio, encompassing approximately 4,300 developed sites. We have also entered into definitive agreements to purchase three manufactured home communities, including the community which was purchased in January 2015, with a total of approximately 465 developed home sites located in Pennsylvania for a purchase price of approximately \$9.1 million. We have been positioning ourselves for future growth and will continue to seek opportunistic investments in 2015. The growth of our real estate portfolio depends on the availability of suitable properties which meet the Company's investment criteria and appropriate financing.

Table of Contents

There is no guarantee that any of these additional opportunities will materialize or that the Company will be able to take advantage of such opportunities.

The Company believes that funds generated from operations, funds generated from the dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan (DRIP), and the funds available on the credit facility and the lines of credit, together with the ability to finance and refinance its properties will provide sufficient funds to adequately meet its obligations over the next several years.

See PART I, Item 1- Business and Item 1A – Risk Factors for a more complete discussion of the economic and industry-wide factors relevant to the Company, the Company's lines of business and principal products and services, and the opportunities, challenges and risks on which the Company is focused.

Significant Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of the Company's financial condition and results of operations are based upon the Company's consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the Company's consolidated financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Significant accounting policies are defined as those that involve significant judgment and potentially could result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. Management believes the following critical accounting policies are affected by our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements. For a detailed description of these and other accounting policies, see Note 2 in the notes to the Company's consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-K.

Real Estate Investments

The Company applies Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 360-10, Property, Plant & Equipment (ASC 360-10) to measure impairment in real estate investments. Rental properties are individually evaluated for impairment when conditions exist which may indicate that it is probable that the sum of expected future cash flows (on an undiscounted basis without interest) from a rental property is less than the carrying

value under its historical net cost basis. These expected future cash flows consider factors such as future operating income, trends and prospects as well as the effects of leasing demand, competition and other factors. Upon determination that a permanent impairment has occurred, rental properties are reduced to their fair value. For properties to be disposed of, an impairment loss is recognized when the fair value of the property, less the estimated cost to sell, is less than the carrying amount of the property measured at the time there is a commitment to sell the property and/or it is actively being marketed for sale. A property to be disposed of is reported at the lower of its carrying amount or its estimated fair value, less its cost to sell. Subsequent to the date that a property is held for disposition, depreciation expense is not recorded.

Upon acquisition of a property, the Company applies ASC 805, Business Combinations (ASC 805) and allocates the purchase price of the property based upon the fair value of the assets acquired, which generally consist of land, site and land improvements, buildings and improvements and rental homes. The Company allocates the purchase price of an acquired property generally determined by internal evaluation as well as third-party appraisal of the property obtained in conjunction with the purchase. Transaction costs, such as broker fees, transfer taxes, legal, accounting, valuation, and other professional and consulting fees, related to acquisitions are expensed as incurred.

The Company conducted a comprehensive review of all real estate asset classes in accordance with ASC 360-10-35-21, which indicates that asset values should be analyzed whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of a property may not be fully recoverable. The process entails the analysis of property for instances where the net book value exceeds the estimated fair value. In accordance with ASC 360-10-35-17, an impairment loss shall be recognized if the carrying amount of a long-lived asset is not recoverable and exceeds its fair

Table of Contents

value. The Company utilizes the experience and knowledge of its internal valuation team to derive certain assumptions used to determine an operating property's cash flow. Such assumptions include lease-up rates, rental rates, rental growth rates, and capital expenditures. The Company reviewed its operating properties in light of the requirements of ASC 360-10 and determined that, as of December 31, 2014, the undiscounted cash flows over the holding period for these properties were in excess of their carrying values and, therefore, no impairment charges were required.

Securities Available for Sale

Investments in non-real estate assets consist primarily of marketable securities. The Company individually reviews and evaluates our marketable securities for impairment on a quarterly basis or when events or circumstances that may indicate possible impairment occur. The Company considers, among other things, credit aspects of the issuer, amount of decline in fair value over cost and length of time in a continuous loss position. The Company has developed a general policy of evaluating whether an unrealized loss is other than temporary. On a quarterly basis, the Company makes an initial review of every individual security in its portfolio. If the security is impaired, the Company first determines our intent and ability to hold this investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value. Next, the Company determines the length of time and the extent of the impairment. Barring other factors, including the downgrading of the security or the cessation of dividends, if the fair value of the security is below cost by less than 20% for less than 6 months and the Company has the intent and ability to hold the security, the security is deemed to not be other than temporarily impaired. Otherwise, the Company reviews additional information to determine whether the impairment is other than temporary. The Company discusses and analyzes any relevant information known about the security, such as:

- a. Whether the decline is attributable to adverse conditions related to the security or to specific conditions in an industry or in a geographic area.
- b. Any downgrading of the security by a rating agency.
- c. Whether the financial condition of the issuer has deteriorated.
- d. Status of dividends – Whether dividends have been reduced or eliminated, or scheduled interest payments have not been made.
- e. Analysis of the underlying assets (including NAV analysis) using independent analysis or recent transactions.

The Company generally holds REIT securities long-term and has the ability and intent to hold securities to recovery. If a decline in fair value is determined to be other than temporary, an impairment charge is recognized in earnings and the cost basis of the individual security is written down to fair value as the new cost basis.

The Company's securities consist primarily of common and preferred stock of other REITs. These securities are all publicly-traded and purchased on the open market, through private transactions or through dividend reinvestment plans. These securities are classified among three categories: Held-to-maturity, trading and available-for-sale. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company's securities are all classified as available-for-sale and are carried at fair value based upon quoted market prices. Gains or losses on the sale of securities are based on identifiable cost and are accounted for on a trade date basis. Unrealized holding gains and losses are excluded from earnings and reported as a

separate component of Shareholders' Equity until realized. The change in net unrealized holding gains and losses are reflected as comprehensive income.

Other

Estimates are used when accounting for the allowance for doubtful accounts for our rents and loans receivable, potentially excess and obsolete inventory and contingent liabilities, among others. These estimates are susceptible to change and actual results could differ from these estimates. The effects of changes in these estimates are recognized in the period they are determined.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

Acquisitions in 2014

On March 13, 2014, the Company acquired 8 Ohio manufactured home communities for a purchase price of \$24,950,000. These 8 all-age communities contain a total 1,018 developed home sites situated on approximately 270 acres. The average occupancy for these communities at closing was approximately 70%. The Company assumed mortgages totaling approximately \$18,100,000 in connection with this acquisition. The weighted average interest rate on these mortgages is fixed at 6.74%. Approximately \$8.9 million matures on May 1, 2016 and the remaining balance matures on February 1, 2018.

On July 14, 2014, the Company acquired 4 Pennsylvania manufactured home communities for a purchase price of \$12,200,000. These 4 all-age communities are located in the Pittsburgh metropolitan area and contain a total of 336 developed home sites situated on approximately 239 acres. The average occupancy for these communities at closing was approximately 84%. The Company assumed a mortgage loan with a balance of approximately \$8,600,000 in connection with this acquisition. Interest is at a fixed rate of 4.975%. This mortgage matures on July 1, 2023.

On July 28, 2014, the Company acquired 2 Ohio manufactured home communities for a purchase price of \$5,400,000. These 2 all age communities contain a total of 258 developed home sites situated on approximately 39 acres. The average occupancy for these communities at closing was approximately 91%.

Acquisitions in 2013

On March 1, 2013, the Company acquired 10 manufactured home communities for \$67,500,000. These 10 all-age communities total 1,854 developed home sites situated on approximately 400 acres. There are five communities located in Indiana, four communities located in Pennsylvania, and one community located in Michigan. The average occupancy for these communities at closing was approximately 85%. The Company obtained a \$53,760,000 mortgage loan. The Company also included 3 additional communities in this mortgage. Interest on the mortgage loan is fixed at 4.065%. This mortgage loan matures on March 1, 2023.

On April 2, 2013, the Company acquired Holiday Mobile Village, a 274-site manufactured home community situated on approximately 68 acres, located in Nashville, Tennessee, for a purchase price of \$7,250,000. The occupancy for this community at closing was approximately 82%.

On October 1, 2013, the Company acquired Rolling Hills Estates, a 91-site manufactured home community situated on approximately 32 acres, located in Carlisle, Pennsylvania, for a purchase price of \$1,720,000. The occupancy for this community at closing was approximately 91%.

On November 6, 2013, the Company acquired 5 manufactured home communities, 4 communities located in Ohio and 1 community located in New York, for an aggregate purchase price of \$11,800,000. These five all-age communities contain a total of 519 developed home sites situated on approximately 200 total acres. The average occupancy for these communities at closing was approximately 82%. The Company assumed a \$7,700,000 mortgage loan. This mortgage is at a fixed interest rate of 4.75% and matures on December 6, 2022.

2014 vs. 2013

Rental and related income increased from \$53,477,893 for the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$63,886,010 for the year ended December 31, 2014, or 19%. This increase was due to the acquisitions during 2013 and 2014, and an increase in rental rates, occupancy and rental homes.

The Company has been raising rental rates by approximately 2% to 6% annually at certain communities. Other communities received no increases. Occupancy, as well as the ability to increase rental rates, directly affects revenues. Exclusive of the vacant sites at Memphis Mobile City, the Company's occupancy rate has increased from 81.5% at December 31, 2013 to 82.3% at December 31, 2014. Same store occupancy has increased from 81.5% at December 31, 2013 to 83.2% at December 31, 2014. Some of the Company's vacant sites resulted from expansions

Table of Contents

completed before the downturn in the economy. The Company continues to evaluate further expansion at selected communities in order to increase the number of available sites, obtain efficiencies and generate increased revenues. In the current environment, the demand for rental homes is high. As of December 31, 2014, we had approximately 2,600 rental homes with an occupancy of 91.5%. We continue to evaluate the demand for rental homes and will invest in additional homes as demand dictates.

Sales of manufactured homes decreased from \$8,727,214 for the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$7,545,923 for the year ended December 31, 2014, or 14%. The number of homes sold decreased from 164 homes in 2013 to 134 homes in 2014. There were 69 new homes sold in 2014 as compared to 96 in 2013. Cost of sales of manufactured homes decreased from \$7,204,410 for the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$5,832,540 for the year ended December 31, 2014, or 19%. Selling expenses increased from \$1,985,834 for the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$2,983,376 for the year ended December 31, 2014, or 50%. Loss from the sales operations (defined as sales of manufactured homes less cost of sales of manufactured homes less selling expenses less interest on the financing of inventory) increased from \$640,019 for the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$1,881,936 for the year ended December 31, 2014. The losses on sales include selling expenses of approximately \$3.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. Many of these costs, such as rent, salaries, and to an extent, advertising and promotion, are fixed. Selling expenses in 2014 also include additional costs associated with the opening of sales lots. Adverse conditions have continued to slow the manufactured housing industry and the broader housing market in the U.S. The inability of our customers to sell their current homes, limited wage growth new licensing laws, including the Secure and Fair Enforcement for Mortgage Licensing Act of 2008 (SAFE Act) and the Dodd–Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection, have all negatively impacted our sales. However, the Company is optimistic about future sales and rental prospects given the fundamental need for housing. The Company believes that sales of new homes produces new rental revenue and is an investment in the upgrading of our communities.

Community operating expenses increased from \$29,140,920 for the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$33,592,327 for the year ended December 31, 2014, or 15%. This increase was due to the acquisitions during 2013 and 2014. Additionally, the Company incurred additional non-recurring expenses relating to deferred maintenance at a number of our acquisitions.

General and administrative expenses remained relatively stable for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2013.

Acquisition costs, relating to the transaction, due diligence and other related costs associated with the acquisitions of communities, decreased from \$1,455,542 for the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$483,522 for the year ended December 31, 2014, or 67%. This decrease was due to the decrease in acquisitions in 2014 with an aggregate purchase price of \$42,550,000 as compared to 2013 with an aggregate purchase price of \$88,270,000.

Depreciation expense increased from \$11,681,724 for the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$15,163,420 for the year ended December 31, 2014, or 30%. This increase was primarily due to the acquisitions during 2013 and 2014.

Interest income remained relatively stable for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2013.

Dividend income increased from \$3,481,514 for the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$4,065,986 for the year ended December 31, 2014, or 17%. This increase is due to the increase in the balance of securities from \$59,254,942 at December 31, 2013 to \$63,555,961 at December 31, 2014. The Company's weighted-average yield on the securities portfolio was approximately 6.1% and 7.0% as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Table of Contents

Gain on sale of securities transactions, net consists of the following:

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Gross realized gains	\$1,555,656	\$4,284,934
Gross realized losses	(13,067)	(229,122)
Total Gain on Sale of Securities Transactions, net	\$1,542,589	\$4,055,812

The Company had an accumulated net unrealized gain on its securities portfolio of \$5,079,921 as of December 31, 2014.

Other income increased from \$211,051 for the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$328,888 for the year ended December 31, 2014, or 56%. This increase was primarily due to new contracts with cable companies at a number of communities where we received upfront fees from \$75 to \$125 for each occupied home site. The Company is also eligible to receive additional amounts based on the number of new customers generated by the cable company at the community.

Interest expense increased from \$7,849,835 for the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$10,194,472 for the year ended December 31, 2014, or 30%. This increase is primarily due to the new mortgage loans for the community acquisitions in 2014. The average balance of mortgages payable was approximately \$172 million during 2014 as compared to approximately \$135 million during 2013. The weighted-average interest rate on these mortgages was 4.8% at December 31, 2014 as compared to 4.5% at December 31, 2013.

Amortization of financing costs increased from \$462,362 for the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$522,250 for the year ended December 31, 2014, or 13%. This increase is primarily due to the assumption of mortgages associated with the acquisitions completed in 2013 and 2014.

Income from Community Operations (defined as Rental and Related Income less Community Operating Expenses) increased from \$24,336,973 for the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$30,293,683 for the year ended December 31, 2014, or 24%. This increase was due to the acquisitions during 2013 and 2014, and an increase in rental rates, occupancy and rental homes.

2013 vs. 2012

Rental and related income increased from \$38,012,231 for the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$53,477,893 for the year ended December 31, 2013, or 41%. This increase was due to the acquisitions during 2012 and 2013.

The Company has been raising rental rates by approximately 2% to 6% annually at certain communities. Other communities received no increases. Occupancy, as well as the ability to increase rental rates, directly affects revenues. Exclusive of the vacant sites at Memphis Mobile City, the Company's occupancy rate has increased from 80% at December 31, 2012 to 81% at December 31, 2013. Some of the Company's vacant sites resulted from expansions completed before the downturn in the economy. The Company continues to evaluate further expansion at selected communities in order to increase the number of available sites, obtain efficiencies and generate increased revenues.

Sales of manufactured homes decreased from \$8,815,533 for the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$8,727,214 for the year ended December 31, 2013, or 1%. The number of homes sold decreased from 210 homes in 2012 to 164 homes in 2013. There were 96 new homes sold in 2013 as compared to 98 in 2012. Cost of sales of manufactured homes decreased from \$7,903,678 for the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$7,204,410 for the year ended December 31, 2013, or 9%. Selling expenses decreased from \$2,152,701 for the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$1,985,834 for the year ended December 31, 2013, or 8%. Loss from the sales operations (defined as sales of manufactured homes less cost of sales of manufactured homes less selling expenses less interest on the financing of inventory) decreased from \$1,425,772 for the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$640,019 for the year ended December

Table of Contents

31, 2013. The losses on sales include selling expenses of approximately \$2.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Many of these costs, such as rent, salaries, and to an extent, advertising and promotion, are fixed. Adverse conditions have continued to slow the manufactured housing industry and the broader housing market in the U.S. Persistent high unemployment rates, the inability of our customers to sell their current homes and the decline in consumer confidence have all negatively impacted our sales and our gross profit percentage. New licensing laws, including the Secure and Fair Enforcement for Mortgage Licensing Act of 2008 (SAFE Act), have also increased costs. However, the Company is optimistic about future sales and rental prospects given the fundamental need for housing. We have adjusted our inventory accordingly. The Company believes that sales of new homes produces new rental revenue and is an investment in the upgrading of our communities.

Community operating expenses increased from \$20,564,286 for the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$29,140,920 for the year ended December 31, 2013, or 42%. This increase was due to the acquisitions during 2012 and 2013. Additionally, the Company incurred additional non-recurring expenses relating to deferred maintenance at a number of our acquisitions.

General and administrative expenses increased from \$5,374,516 for the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$6,541,224 for the year ended December 31, 2013, or 22%. This was primarily due to an increase in personnel and personnel costs and directors fees. Over the past four years, the Company has doubled in size, based on total number of home sites. Additionally, compensation costs of \$150,000 relating to pension costs and a one-time charge of \$142,000 for a stock option grant of 100,000 shares to one participant who is of retirement age was recognized at time of approval and therefore the entire amount of measured compensation cost has been recognized. In addition, the Company granted an additional 292,000 of stock options to employees during 2013.

Acquisition costs, relating to the transaction, due diligence and other related costs associated with the acquisitions of communities increased from \$862,169 for the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$1,455,542 for the year ended December 31, 2013, or 69%. This increase was due to the increase in acquisitions in 2013 with an aggregate purchase price of \$88,270,000 as compared to 2012 with an aggregate purchase price of \$47,600,000.

Depreciation expense increased from \$7,357,158 for the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$11,681,724 for the year ended December 31, 2013, or 59%. This increase was primarily due to the acquisitions during 2012 and 2013.

Interest income remained relatively stable for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012.

Dividend income increased from \$3,243,592 for the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$3,481,514 for the year ended December 31, 2013, or 7%. This increase is due to the increase in the balance of securities from \$57,325,440 at December 31, 2012 to \$59,254,942 at December 31, 2013. The Company's weighted-average yield on the securities portfolio was approximately 7.0% and 6.5% as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Gain on sale of securities transactions, net consists of the following:

	Year Ended	
	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Gross realized gains	\$4,284,934	\$4,092,585
Gross realized losses	(229,122)	-0-
Total Gain on Sale of Securities Transactions, net	\$4,055,812	\$4,092,585

The Company had an accumulated net unrealized gain on its securities portfolio of \$1,116,738 as of December 31, 2013.

Other income decreased from \$643,588 for the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$211,051 for the year ended December 31, 2013, or 67%. This decrease was due to the bonus payment received in the amount of \$499,471 in 2012 for rights to drill for oil and gas in one of our communities.

Table of Contents

Interest expense increased from \$5,803,172 for the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$7,849,835 for the year ended December 31, 2013, or 35%. This increase is primarily due to the new mortgage loans for the community acquisitions in 2013. The average balance of mortgages payable was approximately \$135 million during 2013 as compared to approximately \$100 million during 2012. The Company has reduced its weighted average interest rate on its mortgages from 5.2% at December 31, 2012 to 4.5% at December 31, 2013.

Amortization of financing costs increased from \$302,280 for the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$462,362 for the year ended December 31, 2013, or 53%. This was primarily due to the deferred financing related to the Credit Facility and the early payoff of two mortgages.

Income from Community Operations (defined as Rental and Related Income less Community Operating Expenses) increased from \$17,447,945 for the year ended December 31, 2012 to \$24,336,973 for the year ended December 31, 2013, or 39%. This increase was due to the acquisitions during 2012 and 2013.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations

The Company has not executed any off-balance sheet arrangements.

The following is a summary of the Company's contractual obligations as of December 31, 2014:

Contractual Obligations	Total	Less than 1 year		More than 5 years	
			1-3 years	3-5 years	
Mortgages Payable	\$182,670,854	\$11,476,760	\$55,904,088	\$32,585,832	\$82,704,174
Interest on Mortgages Payable	42,007,985	8,625,149	14,336,126	9,298,904	9,747,806
Loans Payable	77,439,230	8,375,131	45,115,156	4,132,381	19,816,562
Interest on Loans Payable	6,267,006	2,566,286	2,049,199	1,184,016	467,505
Operating Lease Obligations	56,000	56,000	-0-	-0-	-0-
Purchase of Properties	12,892,000	12,892,000	-0-	-0-	-0-
Retirement Benefits	600,000	50,000	100,000	-0-	450,000
Total	\$321,933,075	\$44,041,326	\$117,504,569	\$47,201,134	\$113,186,046

Mortgages payable represents the principal amounts outstanding based on scheduled payments. The interest rates on these mortgages vary from fixed rates ranging from 4.0% to 12.75% and variable rates of prime plus 1.0% to LIBOR plus 2.25%. The weighted-average interest rate was approximately 4.78% at December 31, 2014.

Loans payable represents \$35,000,000 outstanding on the Company's unsecured line of credit with an interest rate ranging from LIBOR plus 2.00% to 2.75% or prime plus 1.00% to 1.75%, based on the Company's overall leverage (interest rate of 2.91% as of December 31, 2014), \$19,392,382 outstanding on its margin line with an interest rate of 2.0% at December 31, 2014, \$8,323,300 outstanding on the Company's revolving credit agreements to finance inventory with interest rates ranging from prime with a minimum of 6% to prime plus 2% with a minimum of 8% after 18 months (weighted average interest rate of 6.62% as of December 31, 2014), \$723,548 loans outstanding for the finance of rental homes with an interest rate of 6.99% at December 31, 2014, \$4,000,000 outstanding on its commercial term loan with an interest rate of 4.625% at December 31, 2014, and \$10,000,000 outstanding on the Company's revolving line of credit secured by eligible notes receivables with an interest rate of prime plus 50 basis points (interest rate of 3.75% as of December 31, 2014).

Operating lease obligations represent a lease, with a related party, for the Company's corporate offices. On May 1, 2010, the Company renewed this lease for an additional five-year term with monthly lease payments of \$13,600 through April 30, 2013 and \$14,000 through April 30, 2015. The Company is also responsible for its proportionate share of real estate taxes and common area maintenance. Approximately 70% of the monthly lease payment plus its proportionate share of real estate taxes and common area maintenance was reimbursed by MREIC through 2014. Effective January 2015, MREIC obtained a separate lease and the Company will be responsible for 100% of the operating lease agreement.

Table of Contents

Purchase of Properties represents the total purchase price of four communities under contract in Pennsylvania totaling 623 developed home sites. One acquisition of 141 home sites, with a purchase price of \$3,800,000 was completed in January 2015. The remaining acquisitions are expected to close in the second quarter of 2015.

Retirement benefits represent the total future amount to be paid, on an undiscounted basis, relating to an executive officer. These benefits are based upon specific employment agreements. The agreements do not require the Company to separately fund the obligation and therefore will be paid from the general assets of the Company. The Company has accrued these benefits on a present value basis over the terms of the agreements (See Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company operates as a real estate investment trust deriving its income primarily from real estate rental operations. The Company's principal liquidity demands have historically been, and are expected to continue to be, distribution requirements, acquisitions, capital improvements, development and expansions of properties, debt service, purchases of manufactured home inventory, investment in debt and equity securities of other REITs, financing of manufactured home sales and payments of expenses relating to real estate operations. The Company's ability to generate cash adequate to meet these demands is dependent primarily on income from its real estate investments and securities portfolio, the sale of real estate investments and securities, refinancing of mortgage debt, leveraging of real estate investments, availability of bank borrowings, proceeds from the Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan (DRIP), and access to the capital markets.

The Company has a DRIP, in which participants can purchase stock from the Company at a price of approximately 95% of market. During 2014, amounts received, including dividends reinvested of \$1,858,491, totaled \$32,792,239. During 2014, the Company distributed to our common shareholders a total of \$16,285,828, including dividends reinvested. It is anticipated, although no assurances can be given, that the level of participation in the DRIP in 2015 will be comparable to 2014. In addition, the Company also paid \$7,556,588 in preferred dividends.

The Company intends to operate its existing properties from the cash flows generated by the properties. However, the Company's expenses are affected by various factors, including inflation. Increases in operating expenses raise the breakeven point for a property and, to the extent that they cannot be passed on through higher rents, reduce the amount of available cash flow which can adversely affect the market value of the property.

The Company has the ability to finance home sales, inventory purchases and rental home purchases. On October 6, 2014, the Company entered into an agreement with 21st Mortgage Corporation (21st Mortgage) under which 21st Mortgage will finance the Company's purchase of a maximum of 500 rental units. The Company also has a

\$10,000,000 revolving line of credit for the financing of homes, all of which was utilized at December 31, 2014, and revolving credit facilities totaling \$18,500,000 to finance inventory purchases, of which \$8,323,300 was utilized at December 31, 2014.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company had \$8,082,792 of cash and cash equivalents and securities available for sale of \$63,555,961 encumbered by \$19,392,382 in margin loans and \$15 million potentially available on our unsecured credit facility pursuant to an accordion feature. At December 31, 2014, the Company owns eighty-eight communities of which twenty-eight are unencumbered. The Company is in the process of financing/refinancing 10 communities for a total of approximately \$55,000,000. Subsequent to year-end, the Company completed the financing/refinancing of 2 communities (See Note 15). The Company's marketable securities, non-mortgaged properties, and lines of credit provide us with additional liquidity. The Company has been raising equity capital through its DRIP and through the issuance of preferred stock. The Company believes that funds generated from operations, the DRIP and capital market, the funds available on the lines of credit, together with the ability to finance and refinance its properties will provide sufficient funds to adequately meet its obligations over the next several years.

The Company's focus is on real estate investments. The Company has historically financed purchases of real estate primarily through mortgages. During 2014, total investment property increased 23% or \$82,340,047. The Company made acquisitions of fourteen manufactured home communities totaling approximately 1,612 developed sites at an aggregate purchase price of \$42,550,000. These acquisitions were funded through the assumption of \$26,670,449 of mortgages and the use of our unsecured credit facility. The Company plans to continue to acquire

Table of Contents

additional properties. The funds for these acquisitions may come from bank borrowings, proceeds from the DRIP, and private placements or public offerings of common or preferred stock. To the extent that funds or appropriate properties are not available, fewer acquisitions will be made.

The Company also invests in rental homes and owns approximately 2,600 rental homes as of December 31, 2014. During 2014, rental homes increased by \$29,916,900. The Company added approximately 800 net rental homes to selected communities to fill demand, including approximately 100 acquired with fiscal 2014 community acquisitions. The Company actively markets these rental homes for sale to existing residents. The Company estimates that in 2015 it will purchase approximately 500 manufactured homes to use as rental units for a total cost of approximately \$20,000,000. Management believes that these manufactured homes will each generate approximately \$300 per month in rental income in addition to lot rent.

Additionally, the Company invests in marketable debt and equity securities of other REITs. The REIT securities portfolio provides the Company with liquidity and additional income and serves as a proxy for real estate when more favorable risk adjusted returns are not available. The Company generally limits its marketable securities investments to no more than approximately 15% of its undepreciated assets. The securities portfolio increased 7% or \$4,301,019 primarily due to purchases of \$9,707,038, partially offset by sales of securities with a cost of \$9,369,202 and an increase in the unrealized gain of \$3,963,183. The Company from time to time may purchase these securities on margin when there is an adequate yield spread. At December 31, 2014, \$19,392,382 was outstanding on the margin loan at a 2.0% interest rate.

Net cash provided by operating activities amounted to \$24,326,461, \$11,238,088 and \$9,087,749 for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. These increases were primarily due to the increase in income from operations generated from the acquisitions and the increased rental homes.

Net cash used by investing activities amounted to \$56,033,767, \$110,365,339 and \$66,985,675 for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Cash flows used by investing activities in 2014 decreased as compared to 2013 primarily due to purchasing fewer manufactured home communities and securities available for sale in 2014 as compared to 2013. Cash flows used by investing activities in 2013 increased as compared to 2012 primarily due to the purchases of manufactured home communities and rental homes.

Net cash provided by financing activities amounted to \$32,174,955, \$95,706,570 and \$60,135,727 for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Cash flows provided by financing activities in 2014 decreased as compared to 2013 primarily due to new mortgages in 2013 for the purchase of manufactured home communities. Cash flows provided by financing activities in 2013 increased as compared to 2012 primarily due to proceeds from the issuance of preferred and common stock, new mortgages and proceeds from short-term borrowings, offset by principal payments of mortgages and loans and payment of preferred and common dividends.

Cash flow was primarily used for purchases of manufactured home communities, capital improvements, payment of dividends, purchases of securities available for sale, purchase of inventory and rental homes, loans to customers for the sales of manufactured homes, and expansion of existing communities. The Company meets maturing mortgage obligations by using a combination of cash flow and refinancing. The dividend payments were primarily made from cash flow from operations.

Capital improvements include amounts needed to meet environmental and regulatory requirements in connection with the manufactured home communities that provide water or sewer service. Excluding expansions and rental home purchases, the Company is budgeting approximately \$7 million in capital improvements for 2015.

The Company's significant commitments and contractual obligations relate to its mortgages payable, retirement benefits, purchases of property, and the lease on its corporate offices as described in Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company has entered into definitive agreements to purchase four manufactured home communities with a total of approximately 623 developed home sites located in Pennsylvania for a purchase price of approximately \$12.9 million. One acquisition of 141 home sites, with a purchase price of \$3,800,000 was completed in January 2015.

Table of Contents

The Company has approximately 1,142 acres of undeveloped land which it could develop over the next several years. The Company continues to analyze the highest and best use of its vacant land.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company had total assets of \$478,268,976 and total liabilities of \$269,441,871. The Company believes that it has the ability to meet its obligations and to generate funds for new investments.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued ASU No. 2014-08, "Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity". ASU No. 2014-08 changes the definition of a discontinued operation to include only those disposals of components of an entity that represent a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results. ASU No. 2014-08 is effective prospectively for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2014, with earlier adoption permitted. The Company has decided to early adopt this standard effective with the interim period beginning January 1, 2014, and it did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers" as a new Topic, Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 606. The objective of ASU 2014-09 is to establish a single comprehensive model for entities to use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers and will supersede most of the existing revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance. The core principle is that a company should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. In applying the new standard, companies will perform a five-step analysis of transactions to determine when and how revenue is recognized. ASU 2014-09 applies to all contracts with customers except those that are within the scope of other topics in the FASB ASC. This ASU is effective for annual reporting periods (including interim periods within those periods) beginning after December 15, 2016 and shall be applied using either a full retrospective or modified retrospective approach. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this standard may have on the consolidated financial statements and the method of adoption.

Management does not believe that any other recently issued, but not yet effective accounting pronouncements, if adopted, would have a material effect on the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 7A – Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The Company's principal market risk exposure is interest rate risk. The Company mitigates this risk by maintaining prudent amounts of leverage, minimizing capital costs and interest expense while continuously evaluating all available debt and equity resources and following established risk management policies and procedures, which include the periodic use of derivatives. The Company's primary strategy in entering into derivative contracts is to minimize the

variability that changes in interest rates could have on its future cash flows. The Company generally employs derivative instruments that effectively convert a portion of its variable rate debt to fixed rate debt. The Company does not enter into derivative instruments for speculative purposes.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information as of December 31, 2014, concerning the Company's long-term debt obligations, including principal cash flow by scheduled maturity, weighted average interest rates and estimated fair value.

	Weighted Average Fixed		Variable Rate <u>Carrying Value</u>	Total <u>Long-Term Debt</u>
	Fixed Rate <u>Carrying Value</u>	Interest Rate		
2015	-0-	-0-	6,803,625	6,803,625
2016	8,796,065	6.66%	-0-	8,796,065
2017	19,547,578	5.97%	22,663,770	42,211,348
2018	15,500,573	5.66%	989,773	16,490,346
2019	3,368,978	5.56%	-0-	3,368,978
Thereafter	105,000,492	4.54%	-0-	105,000,492
Total	\$152,213,686	4.98%	\$30,457,168	\$182,670,854
Estimated Fair Value	\$154,848,509		\$30,457,168	\$185,305,677

The Company's variable rate long-term debt consists of four mortgage loans with a total balance of \$30,457,168 as of December 31, 2014. Interest rates on these mortgages range from prime plus 1.0% to LIBOR plus 2.25%. To minimize the variability that changes in interest rates could have on its future cash flows, the Company has entered into two separate interest rate swap agreements. These interest rate swap agreements have the effect of fixing the interest rates relative to specific mortgage loans totaling approximately \$22.7 million. The unrealized loss in fair value of the interest rate swap agreement amounted to \$39,685 for the year ended December 31, 2014. The effective fixed interest rates on these two loans are 3.89% and 4.39%.

The Company's remaining variable rate mortgages totals approximately \$7.8 million as of December 31, 2014. Interest rates on these mortgages range from prime plus 1% to LIBOR plus 2.25%. If prime or LIBOR increased or decreased by 1.0%, the Company believes its interest expense would have increased or decreased by approximately \$78,000, based on the balance of the variable rate long-term debt outstanding at December 31, 2014.

On March 29, 2013, the Company entered into a \$35 million Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility with Bank of Montreal ("Credit Facility"). The Company has the ability to increase the borrowing capacity by an amount not to exceed \$15 million, representing a maximum aggregate borrowing capacity of \$50 million, subject to various conditions, as defined in the agreement. The maturity date of the Credit Facility is March 29, 2016 with a one year extension available at the Company's option. Borrowings under the Credit Facility can be used for, among other things, acquisitions, working capital, capital expenditures, and repayment of other indebtedness. Borrowings bear interest at the Company's option of LIBOR plus 2.00% to 2.75% or BMO's prime lending rate plus 1.00% to 1.75%, based on the Company's overall leverage. The Company incurs a fee on the unused commitment amount of up to 0.35% per annum. The Credit Facility replaced the Company's previous \$5.0 million unsecured line of credit. As of December 31, 2014, the balance outstanding on the Credit Facility was \$35,000,000. Based on the current leverage

ratio, interest on this borrowing is at LIBOR plus 2.75% for an interest rate of 2.91% as of December 31, 2014.

The Company also has approximately \$18,300,000 in variable rate debt. This debt primarily consists of approximately \$8.3 million outstanding on our inventory financing lines with interest rates ranging from prime with a minimum of 6% to prime plus 2% with a minimum of 8% after 18 months (weighted average interest rate of 6.62% as of December 31, 2014) and \$10 million outstanding on our revolving line of credit to finance home sales with an interest rate of prime plus 50 basis points (interest rate of 3.75% as of December 31, 2014). The carrying value of the Company's variable rate debt approximates fair value at December 31, 2014. In addition, the Company has approximately \$724,000 loan outstanding for the financing of rental homes with an interest rate of 6.99% at December 31, 2014 and \$4,000,000 outstanding on its commercial term loan with an interest rate of 4.625% at December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

The Company invests in equity securities of other REITs and is primarily exposed to market price risk from adverse changes in market rates and conditions. The Company generally limits its marketable securities investments to no more than approximately 15% of its undepreciated assets. All securities are classified as available for sale and are carried at fair value. The Company obtains margin loans secured by its marketable securities. The interest rate on the margin account is the bank's margin rate and was 2.0% at December 31, 2014 and 2013. There was \$19,392,382 outstanding on the margin loans as of December 31, 2014. As of December 31, 2014, the value of marketable securities was \$63,555,961. In general, the Company may borrow up to 50% of the value of the marketable securities.

Item 8 – Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The financial statements and supplementary data listed in Part IV, Item 15(a)(1) are incorporated herein by reference and filed as part of this report.

The following is the Unaudited Selected Quarterly Financial Data:

SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)**THREE MONTHS ENDED**

2014	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
Total Income	\$15,849,181	\$18,148,732	\$18,554,782	\$18,879,238
Total Expenses	15,101,441	16,489,825	16,777,494	16,152,398
Other Income (Expense)	(156,907)	(228,377)	(1,121,174)	(1,173,827)
Net Income	568,189	1,476,725	629,271	1,563,618
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Common Shareholders	(1,320,958)	(412,422)	(1,259,876)	(325,529)
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Common Shareholders per Share –				
Basic	(0.06)	(0.02)	(0.06)	(0.01)
Diluted	(0.06)	(0.02)	(0.06)	(0.01)

2013	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
-------------	-----------------	----------------	---------------------	--------------------

Edgar Filing: UMH PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Total Income	\$13,426,295	\$16,097,925	\$16,253,966	\$16,426,921
Total Expenses	12,240,957	14,196,653	14,935,457	16,636,587
Other Income (Expense)	2,977,034	(229,785)	(600,121)	(524,561)
Net Income	4,149,511	1,619,439	800,877	(733,004)
Net Income Attributable to				
Common Shareholders	2,260,364	(269,708)	(1,088,270)	(2,622,151)
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to				
Common Shareholders per Share –				
Basic	0.13	(0.02)	(0.06)	(0.14)
Diluted	0.13	(0.02)	(0.06)	(0.14)

Item 9 – Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

There were no changes in, or any disagreements with, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm on accounting principles and practices or financial disclosure during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Table of Contents

Item 9A – Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to give reasonable assurances to the timely collection, evaluation and disclosure of information that would potentially be subject to disclosure under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder as of December 31, 2014.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

(a) Management’s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act). The Company’s internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that we will detect or uncover failures to disclose material information otherwise required to be set forth in our periodic reports.

Management assessed the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014. This assessment was based on criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”) (2013 framework). Based on this assessment, management has concluded that the Company’s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2014.

PKF O’Connor Davies, A Division of O’Connor Davies, LLP (“PKF O’Connor Davies”), the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm, has issued their report on their audit of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting, a copy of which is included herein.

Table of Contents

(b) Attestation Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

UMH Properties, Inc.

We have audited UMH Properties, Inc.'s (the "Company") internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") (2013 framework). UMH Properties, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting included in the accompanying Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, based upon the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, (3) receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (4) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, UMH Properties, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014 based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by COSO (2013 framework).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of UMH Properties, Inc. as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income (loss), comprehensive income (loss), shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014 and our report dated March 10, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ PKF O'Connor Davies,
New York, New York A Division of O'Connor Davies, LLP
March 10, 2015

Table of Contents

(c) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes to our internal control over financial reporting during the Company's fourth quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal controls over financial reporting.

Item 9B – Other Information

None.

45

Table of Contents**PART III**

Item 10 – Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The Company will file its definitive Proxy Statement for its 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders within the period required under the applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Additional information required by this Item is included under the captions "ELECTION OF DIRECTORS" and "CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS" of such Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

The following are the Directors and Executive Officers of the Company as of December 31, 2014:

Name	Age	Present Position with the Company; Business Experience During Past Five Years; Other Directorships	Director Since	Class Type (1)
Jeffrey A. Carus	51	Presiding Director. Founder and Managing Partner of JAC Partners, LLC (2009 to present); Founder and Managing Member of JAC Management, LLC (1998 to present); Principal of Advalurem Group (2012). Mr. Carus' extensive experience in real estate finance and investment is the primary reason, amongst many, why Mr. Carus serves on our Board.	2011	II
Anna T. Chew	56	Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (1995 to present), Controller (1991 to 1995) and Director. Certified Public Accountant; Interim Chief Financial Officer (March 2012 to July 2012), Treasurer (2010 to 2013), Chief Financial Officer (1991 to 2010) and Director (1993 to 2004, and 2007 to present) of Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation, an affiliated company. Ms. Chew's extensive public accounting, finance and real estate industry experience is the primary reason, amongst many, why Ms. Chew serves on our Board.	1995	III
Matthew I. Hirsch	55	Independent Director. Attorney at Law (1985 to present) Law Office of Matthew I. Hirsch. Adjunct Professor of Law, Widener University School of Law (1993 to present). Mr. Hirsch's experience with real estate transactions, legal issues relating to real estate and the real estate industry is the primary reason, amongst many, why Mr. Hirsch serves on our Board.	2013	II
Craig Koster	39	General Counsel (2015 to present), In-house Counsel (2012 to 2014). Attorney at Law (2001 to present); Assistant Corporation Counsel at the New York City Law Department (2007 to 2012).	N/A	N/A

Chairman of the Board (1995 to present), President and Chief Executive Officer (1969 to 1995), and Director. Attorney at Law; Founder, Chairman of the Board and Director (1968 to present), President and Chief Executive Officer (1968 to 2013) of Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation, an affiliated company. As our founder and Chairman, Mr. Landy's unparalleled experience in real estate investing is the primary reason, amongst many, why Mr. Landy serves on our Board.

Eugene 81
W. Landy

1969 III

46

Table of Contents

Present Position with the Company; Business		Experience During Past Five Years; Other		Director Since	Class Type (1)
Name	Age	Directorships			
Michael P. Landy	52	Director. Executive Vice President (2010 to 2012), Vice President – Investments (2001 to 2010). President and Chief Executive Officer (2013 to present), Chief Operating Officer (2011 to 2013), Executive Vice President (2009 to 2010), Executive Vice President – Investments (2006 to 2009), Vice President – Investments (2001 to 2006) and Director (2007 to present) of Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation, an affiliated company. Mr. Landy’s extensive experience in real estate finance, investment, capital markets and operations management is the primary reason, amongst many, why Mr. Landy serves on our Board.		2011	I
Samuel A. Landy	54	President and Chief Executive Officer (1995 to present), Vice President (1991-1995) and Director. Attorney at Law; Director (1989 to present) of Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation, an affiliated company. Mr. Landy’s role as our President and Chief Executive Officer and his extensive experience in real estate investment, operations management and REIT leadership is the primary reason, amongst many, why Mr. Landy serves on our Board.		1992	III
Stuart D. Levy	45	Independent Director. Vice President in the Real Estate Finance Group at Helaba-Landesbank Hessen-Thuringen (2006 to present). Mr. Levy’s extensive real estate background is the primary reason, amongst many, why Mr. Levy serves on our Board.		2011	III
James E. Mitchell	74	Independent Director. Attorney at Law; General Partner, Mitchell Partners, L.P. (1979 to present); President, Mitchell Capital Management, Inc. (1987 to present). Mr. Mitchell’s extensive experience in real estate investment is the primary reason, amongst many, why Mr. Mitchell serves on our Board.		2001	I
Richard H. Molke	88	Independent Director. General Partner of Molke Family Limited Partnership (1994 to present). Mr. Molke’s extensive experience as an investor and in management is the primary reason, amongst many, why Mr. Molke serves on our Board.		1986	II

Table of Contents

Name	Age	Directorships	Experience During Past Five Years; Other	Director Since	Class Type (1)
Stephen B. Wolgin	60		Independent Director. Managing Director of U.S. Real Estate Advisors, Inc., a real estate advisory services group based in New York (2000 to present); Partner with the Logan Equity Distressed Fund (2007-present); Director (2003 to present) of Monmouth Real Estate Investment Corporation, an affiliated company; Prior affiliations with J.P. Morgan, Odyssey Associates, The Prudential Realty Group, Standard & Poor's Corporation, and Grubb and Ellis. Mr. Wolgin's extensive experience as a real estate and finance consultant and experience in the real estate industry is the primary reason, amongst many, why Mr. Wolgin serves on our Board.	2007	I

(1) Class III, I and II Directors have terms expiring at the annual meetings of the Company's shareholders to be held in 2015, 2016 and 2017, respectively, and when their respective successors are duly elected and qualify..

Family Relationships

There are no family relationships between any of the Directors or executive officers of the Company, with the exception of Samuel A. Landy, President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of the Company, and Michael P. Landy, a Director of the Company, who are the sons of the Company's founder, Eugene W. Landy, who is the Chairman of the Board and a Director of the Company.

Audit Committee

The Company has a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(58)(A)). The members of the audit committee are Stephen B. Wolgin (who serves as Chairman of the Audit Committee), James E. Mitchell, Jeffrey A. Carus and Stuart D. Levy. The Company's Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Mitchell, Mr. Wolgin, Mr. Levy and Mr. Carus are "independent" as defined by the rules of the SEC and the listing standards of the NYSE, "financially literate" within the meaning of the rules of the NYSE and "audit committee financial experts" within the meaning of the rules of the SEC. The audit committee operates under the Audit Committee Charter which is available on the Company's website at www.umh.com. The charter is reviewed annually for adequacy.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

There have been no delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of regulation S-K, to the best of management's knowledge.

Code of Ethics

The Company has adopted the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applicable to its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as well as the Company's other officers, directors and employees (the Code of Ethics). The Code of Ethics can be found at the Company's website at www.umh.com. The Code of Ethics is also available in print to any person without charge who requests a copy by writing or telephoning us at the following address and telephone number: UMH Properties, Inc., Attention: Stockholder Relations, 3499 Route 9 North, Suite 3-C, Juniper Business Plaza, Freehold, New Jersey 07728, (732) 577-9997. The Company will satisfy any disclosure requirements under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding a waiver from any provision of the Code of Ethics for principal officers or directors by disclosing the nature of such amendment of waiver on our website.

Table of Contents

Item 11 – Executive Compensation

The Company will file its definitive Proxy Statement for its 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders within the period required under the applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Additional information required by this Item is included under the caption "ELECTION OF DIRECTORS", "EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION" and "CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS" of such Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview of Compensation Program

The Compensation Committee (for purposes of this analysis, the "Committee") of the Board has been appointed to discharge the Board's responsibilities relating to the compensation of the Company's executive officers. The Committee has the overall responsibility for approving and evaluating the executive officer compensation plans, policies and programs of the Company. The Committee's primary objectives include serving as an independent and objective party to review such compensation plans, policies and programs. The Committee has not retained or obtained the advice of a compensation committee consultant for determining or recommending the amount of executive or director compensation.

Throughout this report, the individuals who served as the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer during fiscal 2014, as well as certain other individuals included in the Summary Compensation Table presented below in Item 11 of this report, are sometimes referred to in this report as the "named executive officers."

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

The Committee believes that a well-designed compensation program should align the goals of the chief executive officer with the goals of the shareholders, and that a significant part of the executive's compensation, over the long term, should be dependent upon the value created for shareholders. In addition, all executives should be held accountable through their compensation for the performance of the Company, and compensation levels should also reflect the executive's individual performance in an effort to encourage increased individual contributions to the Company's performance. The compensation philosophy, as reflected in the Company's employment agreements with its executives, is designed to motivate executives to focus on operating results and create long-term shareholder value by:

- establishing a plan that attracts, retains and motivates executives through compensation that is competitive with a peer group of other publicly-traded real estate investment trusts, or REITs;
- linking a portion of executives' compensation to the achievement of the Company's business plan by using measurements of the Company's operating results and shareholder return; and
- building a pay-for-performance system that encourages and rewards successful initiatives within a team environment.

The Committee believes that each of the above factors is important when determining compensation levels for named executive officers. The Committee reviews and approves the employment contracts for the Chairman of the Board and the President, as well as other named executive officers, including performance goals and objectives. The Committee annually evaluates performance of these executive officers in light of those goals and objectives. The Committee considers the Company's performance, relative stockholder return, the total compensation provided to comparable officers at similarly-situated companies, and compensation given to named executive officers in prior years. The Committee uses the Residential Sector of the Real Estate Compensation Survey (the survey), produced under the guidance of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT), as a guide to setting compensation levels. Participant company data is not presented in a manner that specifically identifies any named individual or company. This survey details compensation by position type and company size with statistical salary and bonus information for each position. The Company's salary and bonus amounts are compared to the ranges presented for reasonableness. The Committee believes executive compensation packages provided by the Company

Table of Contents

to its executive officers should include both base salaries and annual bonus awards that reward corporate and individual performance, as well as give incentives to executives to meet or exceed established goals.

Role of Executive Officers in Compensation Decisions

The Committee makes all final compensation decisions for the Company's named executive officers. The Chairman of the Board and the President annually review the performance of the other named executive officers and then present their conclusions and recommendations to the Committee with respect to base salary adjustments and annual cash bonus and stock option and restricted stock awards. The Committee exercises its own discretion in modifying any recommended adjustments or awards, but does consider the recommendations from management who work closely with the other named executive officers.

Role of Grants of Stock Options and Restricted Stock in Compensation Analysis

The Committee views the grant of stock options and restricted stock awards as a form of long-term compensation. The Committee believes that such grants promote the Company's goal of retaining key employees, and aligns the key employee's interests with those of the Company's shareholders from a long-term perspective. The number of options or shares of restricted stock granted to each employee is determined by consideration of various factors including, but not limited to, the employee's contribution, title, responsibilities and years of service.

Role of Employment Agreements in Determining Executive Compensation

Most of the Company's currently employed named executive officers are parties to employment agreements. These agreements provide for base salaries, bonuses and customary fringe benefits. Other key elements of the Company's compensation program for the named executive officers are stock options, restricted stock awards and perquisites and other benefits. Each of these is addressed separately below. In determining initial compensation, the Committee considers all elements of a named executive officer's total compensation package in comparison to current market practices and other benefits.

Shareholder Advisory Vote

One way to determine if the Company's compensation program reflects the interests of shareholders is through their non-binding vote. At the Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on June 12, 2014, the Company's shareholders approved by their advisory vote the compensation of the named executive officers.

Consistent with both the Board of Directors' recommendations and the results of the shareholder vote, the Company's Board of Directors considered the recommendation of the shareholders and has determined that the Company (i) will not make any material changes to the manner in which executive compensation is awarded, and (ii) will hold advisory votes on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers every three years. Accordingly, the next stockholder advisory vote on executive compensation will be held at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in June 2017.

Base Salaries

Base salaries are paid for ongoing performance throughout the year. In order to compete for and retain talented executives who are critical to the Company's long-term success, the Committee has determined that the base salaries of named executive officers should approximate those of executives of other equity REITs that compete with the Company for employees, investors and business, while also taking into account the named executive officers' performance and tenure and the Company's performance relative to its peer companies within the REIT industry using the NAREIT Compensation Survey described above.

Table of Contents

Bonuses

In addition to the provisions for base salaries under the terms of their employment agreements, the President and Chief Executive Officer is entitled to receive an annual maximum cash bonus of up to 21% of base salary, based on the achievement of certain performance goals set by the Committee. In order to receive a bonus, FFO must have increased 3% during the year, or 9% over the three year contract period. The following are the performance goals for the President:

- a. There shall be a minimum of 175 new home sales per year. (Bonus of 10% of base salary.)

- b. Occupancy to increase 1%, with not more than 10% of the increase being from rentals. (Bonus of 10% of base salary.)

- c. Acquisition of at least 350 spaces per year. (Bonus of 7% of base salary.)

Bonuses awarded to the other named executive officers are recommended by the Chairman of the Board and the President and Chief Executive Officer and are approved by the Committee. The Company believes that short-term rewards in the form of cash bonuses to senior executives generally should reflect short-term results and should take into consideration both the profitability and performance of the Company and the performance of the individual, which may include comparing such individual's performance to the preceding year, reviewing the breadth and nature of the senior executives' responsibilities and valuing special contributions by each such individual. In evaluating performance of the Company annually, the Compensation Committee considers a variety of factors, including, among others, Funds From Operations (FFO), Core Funds From Operations (Core FFO), net income, growth in asset size, occupancy and total return to shareholders. The Company considers FFO to be an important measure of an equity REIT's operating performance and has adopted the definition suggested by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT), which defines FFO to mean net income computed in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) excluding gains or losses from sales of property, plus depreciation and amortization. The Company defines Core FFO as FFO plus acquisition costs. The Company considers FFO and Core FFO to be a meaningful, additional measures of operating performance primarily because it excludes the assumption that the value of its real estate assets diminishes predictably over time and because industry analysts have accepted these as performance measures.

Other factors considered include the employee's title and years of service. The employee's title generally reflects the employee's responsibilities and the employee's years of service may be considered in determining the level of bonus in comparison to base salary. The Committee has declined to use specific performance formulas with respect to the other named executive officers, believing that with respect to Company performance, such formulas do not adequately account for many factors, including, among others, the relative performance of the Company compared to its competitors during variations in the economic cycle, and that with respect to individual performance, such formulas are not a substitute for the subjective evaluation by the Committee of a wide range of management and leadership

skills of each of the senior executives.

Stock Options and Restricted Stock Awards

Stock options and restricted stock awards are recommended by the Chairman of the Board and the President and Chief Executive Officer. In making its decisions, the Committee does not use an established formula or focus on a specific performance target. The Committee recognizes that often outside forces beyond the control of management, such as economic conditions, changing real estate markets and other factors, may contribute to less favorable near term results even when sound strategic decisions have been made by the senior executives to position the Company for longer term profitability. Thus, the Compensation Committee also attempts to identify whether the senior executives are exercising the kind of judgment and making the types of decisions that will lead to future growth and enhanced asset value, even if the same are difficult to measure on a current basis. For example, in determining appropriate stock option and restricted stock awards, the Compensation Committee considers, among other matters, whether the senior executives have executed strategies that will provide adequate funding or appropriate borrowing capacity for future growth, whether acquisition strategies have been developed to ensure a future stream of reliable and increasing revenues for the Company, whether the selection of properties evidence appropriate risk management,

Table of Contents

including risks associated with real estate markets, and whether the administration of staff size and compensation appropriately balances the current and projected operating requirements of the Company with the need to effectively control overhead costs.

In fiscal 2014, the Compensation Committee received the recommendations from the Chairman of the Board and the President and Chief Executive Officer for the number of options or restricted stock to be awarded. The factors that were considered in awarding the stock options and restricted stock included the following progress that was made by management:

- Located, acquired and integrated fourteen manufactured home communities without placing undue burden on liquidity;
- Achieved year-over-year portfolio growth of 12%, resulting in over 15,000 developed home sites;
- Increased occupancy from 81.5% to 82.3% and same store occupancy from 81.5% to 83.2%;
- Increased our portfolio of rental homes by 34% year-over-year, resulting in approximately 2,600 homes with an occupancy of 91.5%;
- Raised approximately \$33 million in equity via the DRIP;
- Maintained a low weighted average interest rate at 4.8%;
- Managed general and administrative costs to an appropriate level; and
- Maintained cash distributions to shareholders;

The individual awards were allocated based on the named executive officers' individual contributions to these accomplishments. In addition, the awards were compared to each named officers' total compensation and compared with comparable real estate investment trusts (REITs) using the annual Compensation Survey published by NAREIT

as a guide for setting total compensation.

Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits

The Company's employment agreements provide the named executive officers with perquisites and other personal benefits that the Company and the Committee believe are reasonable and consistent with its overall compensation program to better enable the Company to attract and retain superior employees for key positions. The Committee periodically reviews the levels of perquisites and other personal benefits provided to the named executive officers.

The named executive officers are provided the following benefits under the terms of their employment agreements: an allotted number of paid vacation weeks; eligibility for the executives, spouses and dependents in all Company sponsored employee benefits plans, including 401(k) plan, group health, accident, and life insurance, on such terms no less favorable than applicable to any other executive; use of an automobile; and, supplemental disability insurance, at the Company's cost, as agreed to by the Company and the named executive officer. Attributed costs of the personal benefits described above for the named executive officers for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, are included in "All Other Compensation" of the Summary Compensation Table provided below under Item 11 of this report.

Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

In addition, the named executive officers' employment agreements each contain provisions relating to change in control events and severance upon termination for events other than for cause or good reason (as defined under the terms of the employment agreements). These change in control and severance terms are designed to promote stability and continuity of senior management. Information regarding these provisions is included in "Employment

Table of Contents

Agreements” provided below in Item 11 of this report. There are no other agreements or arrangements governing change in control payments.

Evaluation

Mr. Eugene Landy is employed under an amended employment agreement with the Company. Based on the Committee’s evaluation of his performance, his base compensation under his amended contract was increased from \$175,000, which had remained unchanged since January 1, 2004, to \$250,000 per year, effective October 1, 2014. Mr. Landy also received bonuses totaling \$34,615 primarily based on performance, including growth of the Company. Additionally, Mr. Eugene Landy received \$43,250 in director’s fees and fringe benefits. In evaluating Mr. Eugene Landy’s leadership performance, during 2011, the Committee awarded Mr. Eugene Landy an Outstanding Leadership Achievement Award (Award) in the amount of \$250,000 per year for three years. This Award was to recognize Mr. Eugene Landy’s exceptional leadership as Chairman of the Board for over 40 years.

The Committee also reviewed the progress made by Mr. Samuel A. Landy, President and Chief Executive Officer, including FFO and Core FFO. Mr. Samuel Landy is under an employment agreement with the Company. His base compensation was \$416,745 for 2014. Mr. Samuel Landy also received bonuses totaling \$46,812 and director’s fees and fringe benefits totaling \$53,450. Bonuses were primarily based upon achievement of certain performance goals.

Ms. Anna Chew is under an employment agreement with the Company. Her base compensation under this contract is \$316,841 for 2014. Ms. Chew also received bonuses totaling \$37,186 and director’s fees and fringe benefits totaling \$53,450. Bonuses were based on performance, including growth of the Company, recommended by the Chairman of the Board and the President and Chief Executive Officer and approved by the Committee.

The Committee has also approved the recommendations of the Chairman of the Board and the President and Chief Executive Officer concerning the other named executives’ annual salaries, bonuses, option and restricted stock grants and fringe benefits.

In addition to its determination of the executive's individual performance levels for 2014, the Committee also compared the executive's total compensation for 2014 to that of similarly-situated personnel in the REIT industry using the NAREIT Compensation Survey described above. The Company’s salary and bonus amounts were compared to the ranges presented for reasonableness. The Company’s total compensation fell in the lowest range (25th percentile) of this survey.

Risk Management

The Board of Directors does not believe that the Executive Compensation Program raises any risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. Executive officers are compensated on a fixed salary basis and have not been awarded any bonuses or other compensation that might encourage the taking of unnecessary or excessive risks that threaten the long-term value of the Company. The Board has attempted to align the interests of the Board of Directors and the executive officers with the long-term interests of the Company and the Shareholders through grants of stock options and restricted stock awards, thereby giving the Board and executive officers additional incentives to protect the long-term value of the Company.

Table of Contents**Compensation Committee Report**

The Compensation Committee (Compensation Committee) of the Board of Directors (Board) of UMH Properties, Inc. has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management and, based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this report.

Compensation Committee:

Jeffrey A. Carus

Stuart D. Levy

James E. Mitchell

Stephen B. Wolgin

Summary Compensation Table

The following Summary Compensation Table shows compensation paid by the Company for services rendered during 2014, 2013 and 2012 to the named executive officers. There were no other executive officers whose aggregate cash compensation exceeded \$100,000:

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus	Option Awards (6)	Restricted Stock Awards (7)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (8)	All Other Compensation	Total
Eugene W. Landy Chairman of the Board	2014	\$193,750	\$34,615	\$ 98,000	\$-0-	\$-0-	\$43,250 (1)	\$369,615
	2013	175,000	331,731	142,000	-0-	150,000	37,625 (1)	836,356
	2012	175,000	250,000	-0-	123,490	58,573	38,552 (1)	645,615
Samuel A. Landy President and Chief Executive Officer	2014	416,745	46,812	49,000	232,750	-0-	53,450 (2)	798,757
	2013	385,000	180,800	71,000	-0-	-0-	47,625 (2)	684,425
	2012	378,000	89,792	-0-	250,790	-0-	38,925 (2)	757,507

Edgar Filing: UMH PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Anna T. Chew (4) Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2014	316,841	37,186	49,000	-0-	-0-	53,450 (2)	456,477
	2013	301,754	121,053	71,000	-0-	-0-	47,625 (2)	541,432
	2012	287,385	38,025	-0-	123,490	-0-	41,507 (2)	490,407
Craig Koster (5) General Counsel	2014	136,592	10,077	4,900	-0-	-0-	3,145 (3)	154,174
	2013	120,000	3,808	7,100	-0-	-0-	-0-	130,908
	2012	9,231	200	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	9,431

(1) Represents Director's fees of \$43,250, \$37,625 and \$29,125 for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and fringe benefits.

(2) Represents Director's fees of \$43,250, \$37,625 and \$29,125 for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, fringe benefits and discretionary contributions by the Company to the Company's 401(k) Plan allocated to an account of the named executive officer.

(3) Represents discretionary contributions by the Company to the Company's 401(k) Plan allocated to an account of the named executive officer.

(4) Prior to July 2012, approximately 25% of her salary compensation was allocated to and reimbursed by MREIC, pursuant to a cost sharing agreement between the Company and MREIC. Effective July 2012, 100% of her salary compensation is allocated to the Company.

(5) Mr. Koster joined the Company on November 26, 2012. Effective January 1, 2015, Mr. Koster was promoted to General Counsel.

(6) These values were established using the Black-Scholes stock option valuation model. The following assumptions were used in the model for 2014: expected volatility of 27.12%; risk-free interest rate of 2.23%; dividend yield of 7.14%; expected life of the options of eight years; and forfeitures of \$-0-. The following assumptions were used in the model for 2013: expected volatility of 32.36%; risk-free interest rate of 1.98%; dividend yield of 6.67%; expected life of the options of eight years; and forfeitures of \$-0-. The actual value of the options

Table of Contents

will depend upon the performance of the Company during the period of time the options are outstanding and the price of the Company's common stock on the date of exercise.

The grant date fair values were established based on the number of shares granted and the share prices as follows: (7) 2014, 1/15/14 - \$9.31; 2012, 1/19/12 for Mr. Samuel Landy – \$9.56; and 2012, 8/31/12 for Mr. Eugene Landy and Ms. Chew - \$11.23. Such shares vest over five years.

- (8) Accrual for pension benefits in accordance with Mr. Landy's employment agreement.

Stock Compensation Plan

On June 13, 2013, the shareholders approved and ratified the Company's 2013 Stock Option and Stock Award Plan (the 2013 Plan) authorizing the grant of stock options or restricted stock awards to Directors, officers and key employees. The maximum number of shares that may be issued under the 2013 Plan is 3,000,000 shares. If and to the extent that an award made under the 2013 Plan is forfeited, terminated, expires or is canceled unexercised, the number of shares associated with the forfeited, terminated, expired or canceled portion of the award shall again become available for additional awards under the 2013 Plan. The 2013 Plan replaced the Company's 2003 Stock Option and Award Plan, as amended, which, pursuant to its terms, terminated in 2013. The outstanding options under the 2003 Stock Option and Award Plan, as amended, remain outstanding until exercised, forfeited or expired. Not more than 200,000 shares of the Company's common stock may be granted as options in any one fiscal year to a participant under the 2013 Plan. In general, each option may be exercised only after one year of continued service with the Company. The maximum number of shares underlying restricted stock awards that may be granted in any one fiscal year to a participant shall be 100,000.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth, for the named executive officers in the Summary Compensation Table, information regarding individual grants of stock options made during the year ended December 31, 2014:

Name	Grant Date	Number of Shares of Restricted Stock	Number of Shares Underlying Options (1)	Exercise Price of Option Award or Fair Value Per Share at Grant Date of Restricted Stock Award	Grant Date Fair Value (2)
Eugene W. Landy	6/11/2014	-0-	100,000	\$9.85	\$98,000
Samuel A. Landy	1/15/2014	25,000	-0-	9.31	232,750
	6/11/2014	-0-	50,000	9.85	49,000

Edgar Filing: UMH PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Anna T. Chew	6/11/2014 -0-	50,000	9.85	49,000
Craig Koster	6/11/2014 -0-	5,000	9.85	4,900

(1) These options vest 1 year and expire 8 years from grant date.

The values of the shares underlying options were established using the Black-Scholes stock option valuation model. The following assumptions were used in the model: expected volatility of 27.12%; risk-free interest rate of 2.23%; dividend yield of 7.14%; expected life of the options of eight years; and forfeitures of \$-0-. The actual value of the options will depend upon the performance of the Company during the period of time the options are outstanding and the price of the Company's common stock on the date of exercise. The value of the shares of restricted stock was based on the closing price of the shares on the grant date.

(2)
55

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End**

The following table sets forth for the named executive officers in the Summary Compensation Table, information regarding stock options and restricted stock outstanding at December 31, 2014:

Name	Option Awards (1)				Restricted Stock Awards (2)	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares that have not Vested	Market Value of Shares that have not Vested
Eugene W. Landy					16,489	\$157,470
Eugene W. Landy	100,000	-0-	\$10.08	06/26/21		
Eugene W. Landy	-0-	100,000	\$9.85	06/11/22		
Samuel A. Landy					67,141	\$641,197
Samuel A. Landy	5,800	-0-	\$17.06	01/03/15		
Samuel A. Landy	44,200	-0-	\$15.51	01/03/15		
Samuel A. Landy	7,700	-0-	\$12.97	01/08/16		
Samuel A. Landy	42,300	-0-	\$11.79	01/08/16		
Samuel A. Landy	14,000	-0-	\$7.12	01/07/17		
Samuel A. Landy	61,000	-0-	\$6.47	01/07/17		
Samuel A. Landy	10,900	-0-	\$9.13	01/08/18		
Samuel A. Landy	14,100	-0-	\$8.30	01/08/18		
	50,000	-0-	\$10.08	06/26/21		

Edgar Filing: UMH PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Samuel A. Landy	-0-	50,000	\$9.85	06/11/22		
Anna T. Chew					16,489	\$157,470
Anna T. Chew	10,000	-0-	\$14.21	07/16/15		
Anna T. Chew	50,000	-0-	\$10.08	06/26/21		
Anna T. Chew	-0-	50,000	\$9.85	06/11/22		
Craig Koster					-0-	\$-0-
Craig Koster	5,000	-0-	\$10.08	06/26/21		
Craig Koster	-0-	5,000	\$9.85	06/11/22		

(1) Stock options vest 1 year from the date of grant.

(2) Restricted stock awards vest over 5 years, 20% per year, from the date of grant. The following is the vesting schedule for the shares that have not yet vested: Mr. Eugene Landy – 8,332 shares, 5,515 shares and 2,642 shares in 2015, 2016 and 2017 respectively; Mr. Samuel Landy – 25,734 shares, 18,696 shares, 11,907 shares, 5,402 shares, and 5,402 shares in 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019, respectively; and Ms. Anna Chew, - 8,332 shares, 5,515 shares and 2,642 shares in 2015, 2016 and 2017 respectively. Market value is based on the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2014 of \$9.55.

Table of Contents**Option Exercises and Stock Vested**

The following table sets forth summary information concerning option exercises and vesting of restricted stock awards for each of the named executive officers during the year ended December 31, 2014:

Name	Option Awards		Restricted Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value realized on Vesting (\$) ⁽¹⁾
Eugene W. Landy	-0-	\$-0-	7,999	\$81,027
Samuel A. Landy	-0-	-0-	19,329	189,940
Anna T. Chew	20,000	151,200	7,999	81,027
Craig Koster	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

(1) Value realized based on the closing price of the shares on the NYSE as of the date of vesting.

Employment Agreements

The Company has an Employment Agreement with Mr. Eugene W. Landy, Chairman of the Board. Under this agreement, prior to January 1, 2004, Mr. Landy received an annual base compensation of \$150,000 (as amended) plus bonuses and customary fringe benefits, including health insurance, participation in the Company's 401(k) Plan, stock options, five weeks' vacation and use of an automobile. Additionally, there may be bonuses voted by the Board of Directors. The Employment Agreement is terminable by either party at any time subject to certain notice requirements. On severance of employment by the Company, Mr. Landy will receive severance of \$450,000, payable \$150,000 on severance and \$150,000 on the first and second anniversaries of severance. In the event of disability, Mr. Landy's compensation will continue for a period of three years, payable monthly. On retirement, Mr. Landy will receive a pension of \$50,000 a year for ten years, payable in monthly installments. In the event of death, Mr. Landy's designated beneficiary will receive \$450,000, \$100,000 thirty days after death and the balance one year after death. The Employment Agreement automatically renews each year for successive one-year periods. Effective January 1, 2004, this agreement was amended to increase Mr. Landy's annual base compensation to \$175,000. Additionally, Mr. Landy's pension benefit of \$50,000 per year has been extended for an additional three years. On April 14, 2008, the Company executed a Second Amendment to the Employment Agreement with Mr. Landy (the second amendment). The second amendment provides that in the event of a change in control, Eugene W. Landy shall receive a lump sum

payment of \$1,200,000, provided the sale price of the Company is at least \$16 per share of common stock. A change of control shall be defined as the consummation of a reorganization, merger, share exchange, consolidation, or sale or disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company. This change of control provision shall not apply to any combination between the Company and MREIC. Payment shall be made simultaneously with the closing of the transaction, and only in the event that the transaction closes. During 2013, the Board of Directors extended Mr. Landy's pension benefit for an additional three years, through 2016. Effective October 1, 2014, the Company amended the employment agreement with Mr. Landy, increasing his base salary from \$175,000 to \$250,000.

Effective January 1, 2012, the Company and Samuel A. Landy entered into a three-year Employment Agreement under which Mr. Samuel Landy receives an annual base salary of \$378,000 for 2012, \$396,900 for 2013 and \$416,745 for 2014, subject to increases in Funds from Operations (FFO) of 3% per year or 9% over the three-year period. If this increase is not met, the salary increase will be limited to the increase in the consumer price index. Bonuses are based on performance goals relating to FFO, home sales, occupancy and acquisitions, with a maximum of 21% of salary.

Mr. Samuel Landy received a restricted stock grant of 25,000 shares in 2012. In each subsequent calendar year of employment pursuant to the Agreement, restricted stock shall be awarded to Mr. Samuel Landy at the discretion of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. Mr. Samuel Landy will receive customary fringe benefits, four weeks of vacation, reimbursement of reasonable and necessary business expenses and use of an automobile. The Company will reimburse Mr. Samuel Landy for the cost of a disability insurance policy. In the event of a merger, sale or change of voting control of the Company, excluding transactions between the Company and

Table of Contents

MREIC, Mr. Samuel Landy will have the right to extend and renew this employment agreement so that the expiration date will be three years from the date of merger, sale or change of voting control, or the employee may terminate the employment agreement and be entitled to receive one year's compensation in accordance with the agreement. If there is a termination of employment by the Company for any reason, either involuntary or voluntary, including the death of the employee, the employee shall be entitled to the greater of the salary due under the remaining term of the agreement or one year's compensation at the date of termination, paid monthly over the remaining term or life of the agreement. Mr. Landy is currently negotiating a new employment agreement.

Effective January 1, 2012, the Company and Anna T. Chew entered into a new three-year employment agreement, under which Ms. Chew receives an annual base salary of \$287,385 for 2012, \$301,754 for 2013 and \$316,841 for 2014, plus bonuses and customary fringe benefits. Ms. Chew will also receive four weeks of vacation, reimbursement of reasonable and necessary business expenses and use of an automobile. The Company will reimburse Ms. Chew for the cost of a disability insurance policy such that, in the event of the employee's disability for a period of more than 90 days, the employee will receive benefits up to 60% of her then-current salary. In the event of a merger, sale or change of voting control of the Company, excluding transactions between the Company and MREIC, the employee will have the right to extend and renew this employment agreement so that the expiration date will be three years from the date of merger, sale or change of voting control, or the employee may terminate the employment agreement and be entitled to receive one year's compensation in accordance with the agreement. If there is a termination of employment by the Company for any reason, either involuntary or voluntary, including the death of the employee, other than a termination for cause as defined by the agreement, the employee shall be entitled to the greater of the salary due under the remaining term of the agreement or one year's compensation at the date of termination, paid monthly over the remaining term or life of the agreement. Ms. Chew is currently negotiating a new employment agreement.

Potential Payments upon Termination of Employment or Change-in-Control

Under the terms of the employment agreements of the named executive officers, such named executive officers are entitled to receive the following estimated payments and benefits upon a termination of employment or voluntary resignation (with or without a change-in-control). These disclosed amounts are estimates only and do not necessarily reflect the actual amounts that would be paid to the named executive officers, which would only be known at the time that they become eligible for payment and would only be payable if a termination of employment, or voluntary resignation, were to occur. The table below reflects the amount that could be payable under the various arrangements assuming that the termination of employment had occurred at December 31, 2014.

Voluntary Resignation on 12/31/14	Termination Not for Cause or Good Reason on	Termination for Cause on 12/31/14	Termination Not for Cause or Good Reason	Disability or Death on 12/31/14
--------------------------------------	--	---	---	------------------------------------

Edgar Filing: UMH PROPERTIES, INC. - Form 10-K

		12/31/14		(After a Change- in-Control) on 12/31/14	
Eugene W. Landy	\$450,000 (1)	\$450,000 (1)	\$450,000 (1)	\$1,650,000 (2)	\$750,000 (3)
Samuel A. Landy	416,745 (4)	416,745 (4)	416,745 (4)	416,745 (4)	416,745 (4)
Anna T. Chew	316,841 (4)	316,841 (4)	-0-	316,841 (4)	316,841 (4)
Craig Koster	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

(1) Consists of severance payments of \$450,000, payable \$150,000 per year for three years.

Mr. Landy shall receive a lump-sum payment of \$1,200,000 in the event of a change in control, provided that the (2) sale price of the Company is at least \$16 per share of common stock. In addition, if Mr. Landy's employment agreement is terminated, he receives severance payments of \$450,000, payable \$150,000 per year for three years.

(3) In the event of a disability, as defined in the agreement, Mr. Landy shall receive disability payments equal to his base salary for a period of three years. He has a death benefit of \$450,000 payable to Mr. Landy's beneficiary.

Table of Contents

Represents one year salary. The respective employment agreements provides for the greater of the salary due under (4) the remaining term of the agreement or one year. The respective employment agreements also provide for death benefits of the same amount.

The Company retains the discretion to compensate any officer upon any future termination of employment or change-in control.

Director Compensation

Directors receive a fee of \$4,000 for each Board meeting attended, \$500 for each Board phone meeting attended, and an additional fixed annual fee of \$26,000 payable quarterly. Directors appointed to board committees receive \$1,200 for each committee meeting attended. Effective January 1, 2015, the fixed annual fee was increased to \$31,000 payable quarterly.

The table below sets forth a summary of director compensation for the year ended December 31, 2014:

Director	Annual Board Cash Retainer	Meeting Fees	Committee Fees	Total Fees
				Earned or Paid in Cash
Jeffrey A. Carus (2) (3)	\$27,250	\$16,000	\$10,100	\$53,350
Matthew I. Hirsch	27,250	16,000	-0-	43,250
Charles Kaempffer (1)	27,250	16,000	4,800	48,050
Michael P. Landy	27,250	16,000	-0-	43,250
Stuart Levy (2)	27,250	16,000	10,100	53,350
James E. Mitchell (2)	27,250	16,000	10,100	53,350
Richard H. Molke	27,250	16,000	-0-	43,250
Eugene Rothenberg (1)	27,250	16,000	-0-	43,250
Stephen B. Wolgin (2)	27,250	16,000	10,100	53,350
	\$ 245,250	\$ 144,000	\$ 45,200	\$ 434,450

As of December 31, 2014, the aggregate number of unvested restricted shares of stock held by each director was as follows: Mr. Carus - 1,237; Mr. Hirsch - 0; Mr. Kaempffer - 0; Mr. M. Landy - 16,488; Mr. Levy - 714; Mr. Mitchell - 1,237; Mr. Molke - 1,237; Mr. Rothenberg - 1,237 and Mr. Wolgin - 1,237.

(1)

Mr. Kaempffer & Mr. Rothenberg are Emeritus directors which are retired directors who are not entitled to vote on board resolutions; however they receives directors' fees for participation in the board meetings.

Mr. Carus (Chairman of the Compensation Committee), Mr. Levy, Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Wolgin (Chairman of the (2) Audit Committee and the Nominating Committee) are the current members of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating committee.

(3) Mr. Carus is the Presiding Director whose role is to preside over the executive sessions of the non-management directors.

(4) Mr. Eugene W. Landy, Mr. Samuel A. Landy and Ms. Anna T. Chew are inside directors. As such, their director compensation is included in the Summary Compensation Table.

Pension Benefits and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plans

Except as provided in the specific agreements previously described, the Company has no pension or other post-retirement plans in effect for Officers, Directors or employees or a nonqualified deferred compensation plan. The present value of accumulated benefit of contractual pension benefits for Mr. Eugene W. Landy is \$600,000 as of December 31, 2014. Payments made during 2014 amounted to \$50,000. Mr. Eugene Landy is entitled to receive payments of \$50,000 per year through 2016. The Company's employees may elect to participate in the Company's 401(k) Plan.

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The Compensation Committee consisted of Mr. Carus, Mr. Levy, Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Wolgin. No member of the Compensation Committee is a current or former officer or employee of the Company. In 2014, none of our executive officers served on the compensation committee of any entity, or board of directors of any entity that did not have a compensation committee, that had one or more of its executive officers serving on our Compensation Committee. The members of the Compensation Committee did not otherwise have any relationships requiring related-party disclosure in this Form 10-K.

Item 12 – Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The Company will file its definitive Proxy Statement for its 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders within the period required under the applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Additional information required by this Item is included under the caption “ELECTION OF DIRECTORS” and “SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT” of such Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

The following table lists information with respect to the beneficial ownership of the Company’s common stock (Shares) as of December 31, 2014 by:

· each person known by the Company to beneficially own more than five percent of the Company’s outstanding Shares;

· the Company’s directors;

· the Company’s executive officers; and