

Edgar Filing: Revolutionary Concepts Inc - Form 10-Q

Revolutionary Concepts Inc
Form 10-Q
November 19, 2012

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

Commission File Number 000-53674

REVOLUTIONARY CONCEPTS, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada	7382	27-0094868
(State or other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)	(Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code Number)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Revolutionary Concepts, Inc.

13850 Ballantyne Corporate Pl

Charlotte, NC 28277

980-225-5376

(Address and telephone number of principal executive offices and principal place of business)

Ron Carter, President

Revolutionary Concepts, Inc.

13850 Ballantyne Corporate Pl

Charlotte, NC 28277

980-225-5376

(Name, address and telephone number of agent for service)

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the last 90 days. YES No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "non-accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 or the Exchange Act). YES NO

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at November 19, 2012
	285,699,025

Common stock, \$0.001 par value

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format Yes No

(1)

Table of Contents

REVOLUTIONARY CONCEPTS, INC.

INDEX TO FORM 10-Q FILING

FOR THE THREE AND Nine MONTHS ENDED September 30, 2012 AND 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I	
Item 1. Financial Statements	3
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	16
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	19
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	20
PART II	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	24
Item 1A. Risk Factors	24
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	25
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	26
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	26
Item 5. Other Information	26
Item 6. Exhibits	26
SIGNATURES	27

CERTIFICATIONS

Exhibit 3.1 – Management Certification.....	27
Exhibit 3.2 – Sarbanes-Oxley Act.....	27

(2)

Table of Contents

PART I

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The accompanying reviewed interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q. Therefore, they do not include all information and footnotes necessary for a complete presentation of financial position, results of operations, cash flows, and stockholders' equity in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Except as disclosed herein, there has been no material change in the information disclosed in the notes to the financial statements included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, filed April 16, 2012. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations and financial position have been included and all such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that can be expected for the year ending December 31, 2012.

(3)

Table of Contents

REVOLUTIONARY CONCEPTS, INC.
(A Development Stage Company)
BALANCE SHEETS as of

	(Unaudited) September 30, 2012	(Audited) December 31, 2011
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$1,355	\$—
Total Current Assets	1,355	—
Fixed Assets		
Furniture and equipment	13,028	12,231
Accumulated depreciation	(11,222)	(10,626)
Total Net Fixed Assets	1,806	1,606
Other Assets		
Patent costs	103,985	99,539
Accumulated amortization	(90,997)	(86,387)
Total Patent Costs net of accumulated amortization	12,988	13,152
Related party note receivable	112,663	112,663
Security deposits	1,500	1,500
Total Other Assets net of accumulated amortization	127,151	127,315
TOTAL ASSETS	\$130,312	\$128,921
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$156,436	\$220,225
Derivative liability	107,433	51,140
Convertible note, net of discounts of \$45,674 and \$-0-, respectively	13,726	
Current portion of long-term debt	310,168	—
Other accrued expenses	545,059	572,470
Total Current Liabilities	1,132,822	843,836
Long-term Debt		
Notes payable	606,915	752,000
Notes payable - related parties	374,000	138,462
Total Long-term Debt	980,915	890,461
Stockholders' Deficit		
Preferred stock 10,000,000 shares authorized, none issued	—	—
Common stock, \$.001 par value, 221,823,107 and 71,281,189 shares issued and outstanding, 1,000,000,000 authorized, respectively	221,823	71,281
Additional paid in capital	3,282,216	2,985,071

Edgar Filing: Revolutionary Concepts Inc - Form 10-Q

Unpaid capital contributions (see note 3)	(82,758)	(80,345)
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(5,404,706)	(4,581,383)
	(1,983,425)	(1,605,376)
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT	\$ 130,312	\$ 128,921

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

(4)

Table of Contents

REVOLUTIONARY CONCEPTS, INC.
(A Development Stage Company)

STATEMENTS OF INCOME (LOSS)

For The Three Months Ending September 30, 2012 and 2011

For The Nine Months Ending September 30, 2012 and 2011

And The Period From March 12, 2004 (Inception) To September 30, 2012

	For the Three Months Ending September 30,		For the Nine Months Ending September 30,		March 12, 2004 (Inception) to September 30,
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012
OPERATING EXPENSES					
Automobile expense	—	1,152	—	3,456	28,943
Bank charges	366	242	1,054	424	8,644
Compensation	—	432,399	—	676,164	35,446
Unpaid accumulated compensation	91,750	—	291,750	—	1,270,109
Depreciation & amortization expense	1,009	2,635	5,207	7,905	105,289
License and permits	—	—	7,945	325	15,866
Marketing	8,658	—	101,893	—	101,893
Office expense	—	2,021	—	2,880	20,117
Office supplies	20	(415)	995	444	16,159
Payroll taxes	—	24,906	—	37,822	81,429
Printing and reproduction	458	307	633	809	17,062
Professional fees	60,074	529,296	121,096	739,643	2,465,499
Rent expense	4,426	3,010	14,166	3,010	19,837
Research and development expense	116	30,923	116	30,923	596,554
Telephone expense	1,055	163	2,510	1,358	25,905
Travel expense	8,504	3,499	8,989	5,591	114,473
Website development expense	42	154	42	176	14,152
Other expenses	103	326	988	1,753	54,954
Total Operating Expenses	176,580	1,030,618	557,383	1,512,683	4,992,330
OTHER INCOME & (EXPENSE)					
Interest income	804	1,241	2,413	3,602	19,411
Other income	—	—	—	—	490
Loss on derivatives	(32,268)	—	(116,271)	—	(116,271)
Interest expense & amortization of debt discount	(98,975)	1,269	(152,082)	(5,799)	(316,007)
Total Other Income and Expense	(130,439)	2,510	(265,939)	(2,197)	(412,376)
NET (LOSS)	(307,019)	(1,028,108)	(823,323)	(1,514,880)	(5,404,706)
	164,651,830	51,390,568	115,806,726	42,418,116	25,519,425

Edgar Filing: Revolutionary Concepts Inc - Form 10-Q

Weighted average number of common
shares outstanding

Net (Loss) per common shares outstanding	*	\$(0.02)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.04)	\$(0.21)
---	---	----------	----------	----------	----------

* less than \$0.01

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

(5)

Table of Contents

REVOLUTIONARY CONCEPTS, INC.

(A Development Stage Company)

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For The Nine Months September 30, 2012 And 2011

and the period from March 12, 2004 (Inception) to September 30, 2012

	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2011	March 12, 2004 (Inception) to September 30, 2012
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net (Loss)	\$ (823,323)	\$ (1,514,880)	\$ (5,404,706)
Adjustments to reconcile (net loss) to net cash (used in) operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	5,207	7,905	102,219
Amortization of debt discount	94,974		94,974
Loss on derivative liability, non-cash expense	116,271		116,271
(Increase) in other assets	—	—	(114,163)
Common shares issued for services received and officer compensation	4,990	657,472	1,483,146
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	(63,790)	483,803	156,436
Increase (decrease) in accrued expenses and other liabilities	(27,412)	—	596,199
NET CASH (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES	(693,082)	(365,700)	(2,969,624)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Purchase of computer equipment	(797)	(900)	(13,028)
Investment in patent costs	(4,446)	—	(103,985)
NET CASH (USED BY) INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(5,243)	(900)	(117,013)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Issuance of common stock shares from private placements	—	—	1,754
Issuance of common stock shares for warrants	—	—	18,470
Issuance of common stock shares for conversion of debt	442,697	62,205	555,068
Issuance of notes payable	308,461	409,803	1,541,758
Retirement of notes payable	(49,065)	—	(391,901)
Paid in capital from private placements and warrants	—	—	992,471
Capital contributions	—	—	462,774
Common stock shares repurchased with cash	—	—	(9,644)
Unpaid capital contributions (see note 3)	(2,413)	(105,592)	(82,758)
NET CASH (USED) PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	699,680	366,416	3,087,992
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH	1,355	(184)	1,355
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENT BALANCE BEGINNING OF PERIOD	\$—	\$184	\$—

Edgar Filing: Revolutionary Concepts Inc - Form 10-Q

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENT BALANCE END OF PERIOD	\$1,355	\$—	\$1,355
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES			
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$—	\$—	\$316,007
Cash paid during the period for income taxes	\$—	\$—	\$—
Derivative liability	\$140,648	\$—	\$140,648
Issuance of common stock for the conversion of debt	\$447,687	\$719,677	\$2,038,214

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

(6)

Table of Contents

REVOLUTIONARY CONCEPTS, INC.

(A Development Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of operations - Revolutionary Concepts, Inc. (the “Company”) was originally organized in North Carolina on March 12, 2004. On February 28, 2005, the Company was reorganized and re-domiciled as a Nevada corporation. The Company is in the product development stage. Recently, the Company completed the initial development of a working prototype of the EyeTalk® Communicator (“EyeTalk®”). This technology has many applications. The EyeTalk® specifically provides wireless technology that offers consumers an opportunity to interact with visitors to their front door. This is initiated through a doorbell or a motion sensor, which sets off a series of events that result in a phone call to the consumer who then can interact with the visitor through both video and audio. This same wireless technology could also be made portable so that you could see a child’s sporting event or school play even when you not present. The Company is also exploring other applications for the technology. The Company may need to raise additional capital to further develop the EyeTalk® and to begin the commercialization of the EyeTalk® technology. We have obtained a number of patents on certain key components of the technology.

Basis of presentation - These financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America and have been consistently applied in the preparation of the financial statements on a going concern basis, which assumes the realization of assets and the discharge of liabilities in the normal course of operations for the foreseeable future. The Company maintains its financial records on an accrual method of accounting. The Company’s ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon continued ability to obtain financing to repay its current obligations and fund working capital until it is able to achieve profitable operations. The Company will seek to obtain capital from equity financing through the exercise of warrants and through future common share private placements. The Company may also seek debt financing, if available. Management hopes to realize sufficient sales in future years to achieve profitable operations. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to raise sufficient debt or equity capital on satisfactory terms. If management is unsuccessful in obtaining financing or achieving profitable operations, the Company may be required to cease operations. The outcome of these matters cannot be predicted at this time. These financial statements do not give effect to any adjustments which could be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern and, therefore, be required to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities in other than the normal course of business and at amounts differing from those reflected in the financial statements.

Revenue recognition – The Company will recognize sales revenue at the time of delivery when ownership has transferred to the customer, when evidence of a payment arrangement exists and the sales proceeds are determinable and collectable. Provisions will be recorded for product returns based on historical experience. To date, the Company’s revenue is primarily comprised of interest income.

Options and warrants issued – The Company allocates the proceeds received from equity financing and the attached options and warrants issued, based on their relative fair values, at the time of issuance. The amount allocated to the

options and warrants is recorded as additional paid in capital.

Stock-based compensation – The Company accounts for stock-based compensation at fair value in accordance with the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board’s Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 718, “Stock Compensation”, which establishes accounting for stock-based payment transactions for employee services and goods and services received from non-employees. Under the provisions of ASC Topic 718, stock-based compensation cost is measured at the date of grant, based on the calculated fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense in the consolidated statements of operations pro rata over the employee’s or non-employee’s requisite service period, which is generally the vesting period of the equity grant. The fair value of stock option awards is generally determined using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Restricted stock awards and units are valued using the market price of the Company’s common stock on the grant date. Additionally, stock-based compensation cost is recognized based on awards that are ultimately expected to vest, therefore, the compensation cost recognized on stock-based payment transactions is reduced for estimated forfeitures based on the Company’s historical forfeiture rates. Additionally, no stock-based compensation costs were capitalized for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and for the periods from inception (March 12, 2004) to September 30, 2012, no stock options were committed to be issued to employees.

Income taxes – Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss carry forwards that are available to be carried forward to future years for tax purposes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. When it is not considered to be more likely than not that a deferred tax asset will be realized, a valuation allowance is provided for the excess. Although the Company has significant loss carry forwards available to reduce future income for tax purposes, no amount has been reflected on the balance sheet for deferred income taxes as any deferred tax asset has been fully offset by a valuation allowance.

Reclassifications – None.

Loss per share – Basic loss per share has been calculated using the weighted average number of common shares issued and outstanding during the year.

Use of Estimates - The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions, where applicable, that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. While actual results could differ from those estimates, management does not expect such variances, if any, to have a material effect on the financial statements.

Research and Development Costs - Research and development costs are expensed as incurred in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America. *Research* is planned search or critical investigation aimed at discovery of new knowledge with the hope that such knowledge will be useful in developing a new product or service or a new process or technique or in bringing about a significant improvement to an existing product or process. *Development* is the translation of research findings or other knowledge into a plan or design for a new product or process or for a significant improvement to an existing product or process whether intended for sale or use. It includes the conceptual formulation, design, and testing of product alternatives, construction of prototypes, and operation of pilot plants. It does not include routine or periodic alterations to existing products, production lines, manufacturing processes, and other on-going operations even though those alterations may represent improvements and it does not include market research or market testing activities. Elements of costs shall be identified with research and development activities as follows: The costs of materials and equipment or facilities that are acquired or constructed for research and development activities and that have alternative future uses shall be capitalized as

tangible assets when acquired or constructed. The cost of such materials consumed in research and development activities and the depreciation of such equipment or facilities used in those activities are research and development costs. However, the costs of materials, equipment, or facilities that are acquired or constructed for a particular research and development project and that have no alternative future uses and therefore no separate economic values are research and development costs at the time the costs are incurred. Salaries, wages, and other related costs of personnel engaged in research and development activities shall be included in research and development costs. The costs of contract services performed by others in connection with the research and development activities of an enterprise, including research and development conducted by others in behalf of the enterprise, shall be included in research and development costs.

Depreciation – Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the assets' expected useful lives. Valuation of Long-Lived Assets - The Company periodically analyzes its long-lived assets for potential impairment, assessing the appropriateness of lives and recoverability of unamortized balances through measurement of undiscounted operating cash flows on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Intangible and Other Long-Lived Assets, Net - (Included in Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 350 “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets” previously SFAS No. 142 and ASC 985 “Accounting for Costs of Computer Software to be Sold, Leased, or Otherwise Marketed” previously SFAS No. 86)

Intangible assets are comprised of software development costs and legal fees incurred in order to obtain the patent. The software development costs are capitalized in accordance with SFAS 86. Costs of producing product masters incurred subsequent to establishing technological feasibility shall be capitalized. Those costs include coding and testing performed subsequent to establishing technological feasibility. Software production costs for computer software that is to be used as an integral part of a product or process shall not be capitalized until both (a) technological feasibility has been established for the software and (b) all research and development activities for the other components of the product or process have been completed. The fees incurred in order to obtain the patent are capitalized in accordance with SFAS 142 “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. This Statement applies to costs of internally developing identifiable intangible assets that an entity recognizes as assets APB Opinion 17, paragraphs 5 and 6. The Company periodically analyzes its long-lived assets for potential impairment, assessing the appropriateness of lives and recoverability of unamortized balances through measurement of undiscounted operating cash flows on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Amortization – Deferred charges are amortized using the straight-line method over six years.

(7)

Table of Contents

NOTE 2 – RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

The Company has reviewed all recently issued, but not yet effective, accounting pronouncements and does not believe the future adoption of any such pronouncements may be expected to cause a material impact on its consolidated financial condition or the consolidated results of its operations.

In May 2011, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04, *“Fair Value Measurements (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs”* (“ASU 2011-04”). ASU 2011-04 changes the wording used to describe many of the requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements to ensure consistency between U.S. GAAP and IFRS. ASU 2011-04 also expands the disclosures for fair value measurements that are estimated using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs. This new guidance is to be applied prospectively. The Company anticipates that the adoption of this standard will not materially expand its financial statement note disclosures.

In June 2011, FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, *“Comprehensive Income (ASC Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income”* (“ASU 2011-05”), which amends current comprehensive income guidance. This accounting update eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of shareholders’ equity. Instead, the Company must report comprehensive income in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income which contains two sections, net income and other comprehensive income, or in two separate but consecutive statements. ASU 2011-05 will be effective for public companies during the interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The Company is reviewing ASU 2011-05 to ascertain its impact on the Company’s financial position, results of operations or cash flows as it only requires a change in the format of the current presentation.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, *“Testing Goodwill for Impairment”*, which allows, but does not require, an entity when performing its annual goodwill impairment test the option to first do an initial assessment of qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount for purposes of determining whether it is even necessary to perform the first step of the two-step goodwill impairment test. Accordingly, based on the option created in ASU 2011-08, the calculation of a reporting unit’s fair value is not required unless, as a result of the qualitative assessment, it is more likely than not that fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If it is less, the quantitative impairment test is then required. ASU 2011-08 also provides for new qualitative indicators to replace those currently used. Prior to ASU 2011-08, entities were required to test goodwill for impairment on at least an annual basis, by first comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount. If the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then the second step of the test is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. ASU 2011-08 is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The Company adopted ASU 2011-08 during the first quarter of fiscal 2013. The adoption of ASU 2011-08 did not impact the Company’s results of operations or financial condition.

In December 2011, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-11, *“Balance Sheet - Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities”* to enhance disclosure requirements relating to the offsetting of assets and liabilities on an entity's balance sheet. The update requires enhanced disclosures regarding assets and liabilities that are presented net or gross in the statement of financial position when the right of offset exists, or that are subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement. The new disclosure requirements relating to this update are retrospective and effective for annual and interim periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The update only requires additional disclosures, as

such, the Company does not expect that the adoption of this standard will have a material impact on its results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU No. 2012-02, "*Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment*". The guidance allows companies to perform a "qualitative" assessment to determine whether further impairment testing of indefinite-lived intangible assets is necessary, similar in approach to the goodwill impairment test.

ASU 2012-02 allows companies the option to first assess qualitatively whether it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired, before determining whether it is necessary to perform the quantitative impairment test. An entity is not required to calculate the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset and perform the quantitative impairment test unless the entity determines that it is more likely than not that the asset is impaired. Companies can choose to perform the qualitative assessment on none, some, or all of its indefinite-lived intangible assets or choose to only perform the quantitative impairment test for any indefinite-lived intangible in any period.

ASU 2012-02 is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012, with early adoption permitted. The Company is in the process of evaluating the guidance and the impact ASU 2012-02 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

(8)

Table of Contents

NOTE 3 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Board of Directors previously authorized the officers of the Company to receive advances from the Company, in lieu of taking compensation, under terms of promissory notes bearing 5% interest, beginning January 1, 2006. As of September 30, 2012, December 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011, the advances totaled \$82,758, \$80,345 and \$115,271, respectively. These advances are described as unpaid capital contributions for financial reporting purposes.

Ronald Carter. Under Ronald Carter's employment agreement, he has agreed to serve as the President and Chief Executive Officer. His term of service under this agreement commenced on April 1, 2010 and continues for a term of two (2) years with renewal options. The agreement provides for a base salary of \$200,000 for the first year of the term and an annual increase of at least 8% thereafter. The agreement also provides Mr. Carter with cash and equity incentives based on performance that must be approved by the Board of Directors. The agreement also provides for participation in the Company's programs to acquire options or equity incentives in common stock subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors, expense reimbursements, participation in retirement and benefit plans, paid time off and indemnification and liability coverage. The Company can terminate Mr. Carter's employment with cause, or without cause upon certain written notice and Mr. Carter can terminate the agreement for "good reason" as defined in the agreement. There are specific severance provisions, as well as confidentiality and non-solicitation requirements resulting from any termination.

Solomon Ali. Under Solomon Ali's employment agreement, he has agreed to serve as the Senior Vice President. His term of service under this agreement commenced on August 16, 2010 and continues for a term of two (2) years with renewal options and was revised on January 1, 2012. The agreement provides for a base salary of \$200,000 for the first year of the term and an annual increase of at least 8% thereafter. The agreement also provides Solomon Ali with cash and equity incentives based on performance that must be approved by the Board of Directors. The agreement also provides for participation in the Company's programs to acquire options or equity incentives in common stock, subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors, expense reimbursements, participation in retirement and benefit plans, paid time off and indemnification and liability coverage. The Company can terminate Solomon Ali's employment with cause, or without cause upon certain written notice and Solomon Ali can terminate the agreement for "good reason" as defined in the agreement. There are specific severance provisions, as well as confidentiality and non-solicitation requirements resulting from any termination.

On October 5, 2010, the Company received notice that a claim for judgment had been filed in Mecklenburg County by a shareholder for the note that was in default as of May 2010. On January 7, 2011, the note holder amended the filing to include the personal loan. The amount of the claim was \$100,996, plus interest at 8% and legal costs. On the 10th day of May 2011, a summary judgment was entered on behalf of the plaintiff against Mr. Carter and the Company. On the 4th day of August 2011, the Company reached an agreement with a third party to negotiate and acquire the judgment award and to agree to a convertible note from the Company for its services. The total value of the convertible note is \$144,067 including interest, of which the Company has received a promissory note from Mr. Carter for \$112,663 for the part of the judgment, interest and fees that was from the personal promissory note that the Company guaranteed.

On August 4, 2011, the Company issued 6,600,000 restricted common shares to the officers of the Company, for contributions to the Company over the past year. The shares were recorded at the market price on the date of issue of an aggregate of \$340,000 (Also See Note 8).

Edgar Filing: Revolutionary Concepts Inc - Form 10-Q

On October 1, 2011, the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with its President and CEO, Ronald Carter for \$92,308 at 10% interest for the balance of the accrued compensation owed to him for the fiscal year 2010 in accordance with his Employment Agreement. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$.005. On March 30, 2012, this Note was converted to 18,461,544 and reduced our Long Term Notes by \$92,308.

On October 1, 2011, the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with its Vice President, Solomon Ali for \$46,154 at 10% interest for the accrued compensation owed to him for the fiscal year 2010 in accordance with his Employment Agreement. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$.005. On March 30, 2012, this Note was converted to 9,230,768 and reduced our Long Term Notes by \$46,154.

NOTE 4 – ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

Accounts payable consist of the following:	9/30/2012	12/31/11
Professional fees	\$75,990	\$33,267
Other	2,277	11,693
Legal fees	69,968	125,063
Consulting fees	8,200	50,200
	\$156,436	\$220,225

(9)

Table of Contents

NOTE 5 – COMITMENTS AND CONTENGINCIES

Liabilities for loss contingencies, arising from claims, assessments, litigation, fines and penalties and other sources are recorded when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the assessment and/or remediation can be reasonably estimated. Recoveries from third parties, which are probable of realization are separately recorded, and are not offset against the related liability, in accordance with FASB ASC 210-10-05-3, “Offsetting of Amounts Related to Certain Contracts.” The Company is the plaintiff in a lawsuit seeking damages against the law firm retained to file for “EyeTalk®” product patent.

For several years, the Company has been engaged in litigation against its former patent attorneys for malpractice arising from a missed filing deadline relating to obtaining patents for the Company’s core technologies outside the United States. After a two-year fight over jurisdiction in the case, including wins for the Company at the trial court and at the North Carolina Court of Appeals, the case was remanded to the trial court for further proceedings. Unfortunately, the trial court dismissed the case on a technicality, potentially ending the case. The Company's trial counsel has assured the Company that the judge's ruling is contrary to law and that good grounds exist for appeal. An appeal was filed in November 2012, and the Company is awaiting a decision from the court on the appeal.

On October 5, 2010, the Company received notice that a claim for judgment had been filed in Mecklenburg County by a shareholder for the note that was in default as of May 2010. On January 7, 2011, the note holder amended the filing to include the personal loan. The amount of the claim was \$100,996, plus interest at 8% and legal costs. On the 10th day of May 2011, a summary judgment was entered on behalf of the plaintiff against Mr. Carter and the Company. On the 4th day of August 2011, the Company reached an agreement with a third party to negotiate and acquire the judgment award and to agree to a convertible note from the Company for its services. The total value of the convertible note is \$144,067 including interest, of which the Company has received a promissory note from Mr. Carter for \$112,663 for the part of the judgment, interest and fees that was from the personal promissory note that the Company guaranteed.

NOTE 6 – INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

The patent number US 7,193644 B2, for the prototype was successfully obtained on March 20, 2007. In accordance with FASB ASC 210-10-05-3, the Company has established a technological feasibility date on July 21, 2004, the date that Phase I was delivered and presented. The software development costs have been analyzed and it has been determined that all software development costs were incurred subsequent to the feasibility date. The useful life of capitalized software costs has been assumed to be 5 years. Total software development costs were \$32,200 and the appropriate minimum amortization has been taken, also in accordance with FASB ASC 210-10-05-3. The following are patent pending applications; Video system for individually selecting and viewing events at a venue. The following additional patents have now been awarded. U.S. Patent 8,139,098; U.S. Patent 8,144,183; U.S. Patent 8,144,184; U.S. Patent 8,154,581; U.S. Patent 8,164,614; U.S. Patent 8,016,676 B2. The company has patent pending applications related to; (a) video system for individually selecting and viewing events at a venue; (b) medical monitoring; and (c) real estate audio-video monitoring.

As of September 30, 2012, the Company has expensed \$103,985 for filing and legal fees related to our patents and patents pending intellectual property.

NOTE 7 – COMMON STOCK SHARES FOR SERVICES RECEIVED

Edgar Filing: Revolutionary Concepts Inc - Form 10-Q

On August 4, 2011, the Company issued 276,500 restricted common shares for professional services provided to the Company. The shares were recorded at the market price on the date of issue of an aggregate of \$13,825.

On August 4, 2011, the Company issued 6,600,000 restricted common shares to the officers of the Company, for contributions to the Company over the past year, the shares were recorded at the market price on the date of issue of an aggregate of \$340,000 (Also See Note 3).

On March 21, 2012, the Company issued 159,000 restricted common shares for professional services provided to the Company and expensed in 2011. The issuance reduced the Company's accounts payable and accrued expenses by a total of \$4,990.

(10)

Table of Contents

NOTE 8 – CONVERSION OF DEBT TO EQUITY

On August 4, 2011, the Company converted two of its long term notes payable dated December 30, 2012 and January 13, 2011, for a total of \$62,205 and issued 12,441,068 restricted common shares of which 2,200,000 shares had previously been issued, for that conversion. This conversion of debt reduced our long-term notes payables \$62,205, and upon conversion the accrued interest payable of \$2,915 was forgiven.

On November 30, 2011, the Company received a notice of partial conversion from an unrelated third part as part of the settlement of the judgment against the Company and its President Ronald Carter for a total of \$50,166 and issued 10,033,152 restricted common shares were issued for that part of the conversion, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$90,497. This conversion of debt reduced our long-term notes payables \$50,166.

On March 21, 2012, the Company completed a partial conversion of one of its Notes payable dated April 30, 2011, with a principal amount of \$76,194. A total of \$26,000 worth of the Note was converted, and 11,817,900 common shares were issued for that part of the conversion, which leaves a remaining balance of \$50,194 of the principal of the Note. No accrued interest was paid on the Note upon conversion. This conversion of debt reduced the Company's Long Term Notes payables by \$26,000.

On March 21, 2012, the Company issued 159,000 restricted common shares for professional services provided to the Company and expensed in 2011. The issuance reduced the Company's accounts payable by \$4,990.

On March 30, 2012, the Company completed a conversion of one of its Notes payable to one of our Officers and Directors, Mr. Solomon Ali, dated October 1, 2011, with a principal amount of \$46,154. The Note was converted, and 9,230,768 common shares were issued for the conversion, No accrued interest was paid on the Note upon conversion. This conversion of debt reduced the Company's Long Term Notes payables by \$46,154.

On March 30, 2012, the Company completed a conversion of one of its Notes payable to one of our Officers and Directors, Mr. Ronald Carter, dated October 1, 2011, with a principal amount of \$92,308. The Note was converted, and 18,461,544 common shares were issued for the conversion, No accrued interest was paid on the Note upon conversion. This conversion of debt reduced the Company's Long Term Notes payables by \$92,308.

On June 18, 2012, the Company received a notice of partial conversion from an unrelated third party. This was a partial reassignment and modification of notes dated May 30, 2011 for \$12,000, May 30, 2011 for \$10,000 and a note dated June 30, 2011 for \$17,500 and accumulated interest of \$3,948. A total of \$10,000 was converted and 3,030,303 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$33,448. This conversion of debt reduced our notes payables \$10,000.

On June 19, 2012, the Company received a notice of partial conversion from an unrelated third party as part of a partial reassignment and modification of a note originally issued to a non-related third party on August 30, 2011. A total of \$4,000 was converted and 1,111,111 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$23,000. This conversion of debt reduced our notes payables \$4,000.

From July 27, 2012 through September 25, 2012 the Company received several notices of partial conversion from an unrelated third party as part of a partial reassignment and modification of a note originally issued to a non-related third party on August 30, 2011. A total of \$17,500 was converted and 27,127,038 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$5,500. This conversion of debt reduced our notes payables \$17,500.

On August 1, 2012, the Company received a notice of partial conversion from an unrelated third party as part of a partial reassignment of a note originally issued to a non-related third party on April 30, 2012, in the amount of

\$76,194. A total of \$37,645 was converted and 17,128,475 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$12,549. This conversion of debt reduced our notes payables \$37,645.

From August 22, 2012 through September 18, 2012 the Company received several notices of partial conversion from an unrelated third party This was a partial reassignment and modification of notes dated May 30, 2011 for \$12,000, May 30, 2011 for \$10,000 and a note dated June 30, 2011 for \$17,500 and accumulated interest of \$3,948. A total of \$33,448 was converted and 38,618,636 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$0. This conversion of debt reduced our notes payables \$33,448.

From September 19, 2012 through September 28, 2012 the Company received several notices of partial conversion from an unrelated third party This was a partial reassignment and modification of notes dated October 30, 2011 for \$8,700, November 30, 2011 for \$8,500 and a note dated January 31, 2012 for \$28,000. A total of \$16,300 was converted and 23,857,143 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$26,400. This conversion of debt reduced our notes payables \$16,300.

NOTE 9 – NOTES PAYABLE

During 2010, the Company reclassified \$289,787 in accounts payable to long term notes payable in the amount of \$204,836 and \$84,950 to accrued interest payable, to reflect the assignment by three of our creditors of their balance to a note that will be paid one year or more past the original due date. The \$204,836 of the notes do not bear any interest and begin coming due and payable in July 2011. The notes can be converted to restricted common stock. In October 2011, these notes were modified and two of the notes were assigned to an unrelated third party; See Notes dated October 1, 2011 below.

For the three months ended September 30, 2012, the Company issued several notes payable for a total of \$80,119 and retired \$104,893 in notes payable. The notes bear an interest of 8% to 12% and begin becoming due starting in July 2014. The notes can be converted to restricted common stock.

(11)

Table of Contents**NOTE 10 – LONG TERM NOTES PAYABLE**

	September 30, 2012	December 31,2011
On December 30, 2010 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$19,705 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock. On August 4, 2011 this Note was converted.	-	-
On December 31, 2010 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with three non-related creditors for \$289,787, which included \$84,950 in prior accrued interest payable at 0% interest. The holders have the right to convert the note to common stock. On October 1, 2011, these Notes were modified to include interest and two were assigned by the original note holders to an unrelated third party.	-	-
On January 15, 2011 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$42,500 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock. On August 4, 2011 this Note was converted.	-	-
On April 30, 2011 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$76,194 at 10% interest. On March 21, 2012, \$26,000 of this Note was converted. On August 1, 2012, this \$37,645 of this Note was converted	12,549	76,194
On April 30, 2011 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$12,000 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock.	12,000	12,000
On May 30, 2011 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$12,000 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock. On June 12, 2012, this Note was modified and was assigned by the original note holder to an unrelated third party.	-	12,000
On May 30, 2011 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$10,000 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock. On June 12, 2012, this Note was modified and was assigned by the original note holder to an unrelated third party.	-	10,000
On June 30, 2011 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$17,500. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock. On June 12, 2012, this Note was modified and was assigned by the original note holder to an unrelated third party.	-	17,500
	90,497	90,497

Edgar Filing: Revolutionary Concepts Inc - Form 10-Q

On August 4, 2011 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$140,663 and \$3,404 in interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock. On November 30, 2011, the holder converted \$50,166 of the note leaving a principal balance due of \$90,497.

On August 30, 2011 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$44,600 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock. On June 7, 2012, \$27,000 of this Note was modified and was assigned by the original note holder to an unrelated third party.

	17,600	44,600
--	--------	--------

On September 30, 2011 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$177,522 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common at stock.

	177,522	177,522
--	---------	---------

On October 1, 2011 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with Ronald Carter, its President and CEO for \$92,308 at 10% interest for the accrued compensation owed to him for the fiscal year 2010 in accordance with his Employment Agreement. On March 30, 2012, this Note was converted.

	-	92,308
--	---	--------

On October 1, 2011 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with its Senior Vice President, Solomon Ali for \$46,154 at 10% interest for the accrued compensation owed to him for the fiscal year 2010 in accordance with his Employment Agreement. On March 30, 2012, this Note was converted.

	-	46,154
--	---	--------

On October 1, 2011 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$63,818 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock. This note was assigned to an unrelated third party and was originally issued December 31, 2010

	63,818	63,818
--	--------	--------

On October 1, 2011 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$27,018 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock. This note was originally issued December 31, 2010

	27,018	27,018
--	--------	--------

On October 1, 2011 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$198,950 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock. This note was assigned to an unrelated third party and was originally issued December 31, 2010

	198,950	198,950
--	---------	---------

On October 30, 2011 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$8,700 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock. \$6,200 of this note was assigned to an unrelated third party September 4, 2012

	2,500	8,700
--	-------	-------

On November 30, 2011 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$8,500 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock. This note was

	-	8,500
--	---	-------

Edgar Filing: Revolutionary Concepts Inc - Form 10-Q

assigned to an unrelated third party September 4, 2012

On December 30, 2011 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$4,700 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock.	4,700	4,700
---	-------	-------

On January 2, 2012 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$57,000 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock.	57,000	-
--	--------	---

On January 31, 2012 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$28,000 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock. This note was assigned to an unrelated third party September 4, 2012.	-	-
---	---	---

On February 28, 2012 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$5,000 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock.	5,000	-
---	-------	---

On March 30, 2012 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$70,000 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock.	70,000	-
---	--------	---

On April 1, 2012 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with Ronald Carter, its President and CEO for \$200,000 at 10% interest for the accrued compensation owed to him for the fiscal year 2011 in accordance with his Employment Agreement.	200,000	-
---	---------	---

On April 1, 2012 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with its Senior Vice President, Solomon Ali for \$174,000 at 10% interest for the accrued compensation owed to him for the fiscal year 2011 in accordance with his Employment Agreement.	174,000	-
---	---------	---

On April 30, 2012 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$22,000 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock.	22,000	-
---	--------	---

On May 31, 2012 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$37,000 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock.	37,000	-
---	--------	---

On June 7, 2012 the Company entered into a one (1) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$27,000 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock. On June 19, 2012, \$4,000 of this note was converted. An additional \$17,500 of this note was converted on various dates between July 1 and September 30, 2012	5,500	-
--	-------	---

On June 12 2012 the Company entered into a one (1) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$43,448 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock. On June 18, 2012, \$10,000 of this note was converted. The remaining \$33,448 of this note was	-	-
--	---	---

Edgar Filing: Revolutionary Concepts Inc - Form 10-Q

converted on various dates between July 1 and September 30, 2012

On June 19, 2012 the Company entered into a one (1) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$27,500 at 8% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock.	27,500	-
On June 30, 2012 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$38,809 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock.	38,809	-
On August 30, 2012 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$46,600 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock.	46,600	
On September 4, 2012 the Company entered into a one (1) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$42,700, at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock. \$16,300 of this note was converted on various dates between July 1 and September 30, 2012	26,400	-
On September 30, 2012 the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$33,518.80 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock.	33,519	
Total notes payable	\$1,350,482	\$ 890,461
Less Current Portion	\$(310,168)	\$ -
Less Debt Discount	\$(45,673)	\$ -
Less convertible notes, net	\$(13,726)	\$ -
Total Long Term Notes Payable	\$980,915	\$ 890,461

(12)

Table of Contents

In its efforts to expand and grow, the Company has issued debt instruments to borrow funds from various creditors to raise capital. These are long-term Notes with various rates and maturities, that grants the Note Holder the right, (but not the obligation), to convert them into common stock of the Company in lieu of receiving payment in cash. The issued Notes are secured obligations. The principal amount of the Notes may be prepaid upon agreement of both parties and a prepayment penalty, in whole or part at any time, together with all accrued interest upon written notice.

It could take several years to convert all of the Notes to stock if all of the lenders requested it. It's possible that some of the parties may never convert their Notes to stock and may take cash only, when the Company is in the best position to settle the obligation on a cash basis.

Principal maturities of notes payable as of September 30, 2012 for the next five years and thereafter is as follows:

2012 \$ -0-
2013 \$ 666,564
2014 \$ 683,928
2015 \$ -0-
2016 \$ -0-
Total \$ 1,350,482

Embedded Derivatives

Notes that are convertible at a discount to market are considered embedded derivatives. For more information on the Notes affected, refer to Management's Discussion and analysis and the above list.

Under Financial Accounting Standard Board ("FASB"), U.S. GAAP, Accounting Standards Codification, "Derivatives and Hedging", ASC Topic 815 ("ASC 815") requires that all derivative financial instruments be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. Fair values for exchange traded securities and derivatives are based on quoted market prices. Where market prices are not readily available, fair values are determined using market based pricing models incorporating readily observable market data and requiring judgment and estimates.

The Company issued convertible Notes and has evaluated the terms and conditions of the conversion features contained in the Notes to determine whether they represent embedded or freestanding derivative instruments under the provisions of ASC 815. The Company determined that the conversion features contained in the Notes represent freestanding derivative instruments that meet the requirements for liability classification under ASC 815. As a result, the fair value of the derivative financial instruments in the Notes is reflected in the Company's balance sheet as a liability. The fair value of the derivative financial instruments of the convertible Notes and warrants was measured at the inception date of the Notes and warrants and each subsequent balance sheet date. Any changes in the fair value of the derivative financial instruments are recorded as non-operating, non-cash income or expense at each balance sheet date.

Edgar Filing: Revolutionary Concepts Inc - Form 10-Q

The Company valued the conversion features in its convertible Notes using the Black-Scholes model. The Black-Scholes model values the embedded derivatives based on a risk-free rate of return ranging from 0.17% to 0.19%, grant dates of Notes, the term of the Notes, conversion prices of 50% of current stock prices on the measurement date ranging from \$0.0007 to \$0.0003, and the computed measure of the Company's stock volatility, ranging from 326.36% to 362.20%.

Included in the September 30, 2012, is a derivative liability in the amount of \$107,433 to account for this transaction. This liability arose in the third quarter of 2012 and the balance was \$51,140 as of December 31, 2011. It will be revalued quarterly henceforth and adjusted as a gain or loss to the statements of operations depending on its value at that time.

Included in our Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 are \$136,243 and \$268,353 in non-cash charges pertaining to the derivative liability as it pertains to change in derivative liability and amortization of debt discount, respectively.

(13)

Table of Contents

NOTE 11 – GOING CONCERN

The losses, negative cash flows from operations, and negative working capital deficiency sustained by the Company raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. These financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded assets, or the amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary in the event the Company cannot continue in existence.

NOTE 12 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

From October 3, 2012 through November 13, 2012 the Company received several notices of partial conversion from an unrelated third party. This was a partial reassignment and modification of notes dated October 30, 2011 for \$8,700, November 30, 2011 for \$8,500 and a note dated January 31, 2012 for \$28,000. During this period, a total of \$16,200 was converted and 39,668,930 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$10,200. These conversions of debt reduced our notes payables \$16,200.

On October 4, 2012 the Company received several notices of partial conversion from an unrelated third party as part of a partial reassignment and modification of a note originally issued to a non-related third party on August 30, 2011. A total of \$5,500 was converted and 14,107,500 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$0. This conversion of debt reduced our notes payables \$5,500.

On October 26, 2012, the Company received a notice of partial conversion from an unrelated third party as part of a partial reassignment of for a note originally issued to a non-related third party on August 4, 2011, in the amount of \$140,663. A total of \$90,497 was converted and 18,099,448 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$0. This conversion of debt reduced our notes payables \$90,497.

Unless otherwise noted, references in this Form 10-Q to "RCI", "we", "us", "our", and the "Company" means Revolutionary Concepts, Inc., a Nevada corporation.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

Included in this Report are "forward-looking" statements, within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 ("PSLRA") as well as historical information. The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto included elsewhere in this registration statement. Portions of this document that are not statements of historical or current fact are forward-looking statements that involve risk and uncertainties, such as statements of our plans, objectives, expectations and intentions. The cautionary statements made in this registration statement should be read as applying to all related forward-looking statements wherever they appear in this registration statement. From time to time, we may publish forward-looking statements relative to such matters as anticipated financial performance, business prospects, technological developments and similar matters. The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical fact included in this section or elsewhere in this report are, or may be deemed to be, forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in such forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following: changes in the economy or in specific customer industry sectors; changes in customer procurement policies and practices; changes in product manufacturer sales policies and practices; the availability of product and labor; changes in operating expenses; the effect of price increases or decreases; the variability and timing of business opportunities including acquisitions, alliances, customer agreements and supplier authorizations; our ability to realize the anticipated benefits of acquisitions and other business strategies; the incurrence of debt and contingent liabilities in connection with acquisitions; changes in accounting policies and practices; the effect of organizational changes within the Company; the emergence of new competitors, including firms with greater financial resources than ours; adverse state and federal regulation and legislation; and the occurrence of extraordinary events, including natural events and acts of God, fires, floods and accidents.

Forward-looking statements involve risks, uncertainties and other factors, which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Factors and risks that could affect our results and achievements and cause them to materially differ from those contained in the forward-looking statements include those identified in the section titled "Risk Factors" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2011, as well as other factors that we are currently unable to identify or quantify, but that may exist in the future.

In addition, the foregoing factors may affect generally our business, results of operations and financial position. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date the statement was made. We do not undertake and specifically decline any obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

Our Ability To Continue as a Going Concern

Our independent registered public accounting firm has issued its report dated April 13, 2012, in connection with the audit of our consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2011, that included an explanatory paragraph describing the existence of conditions that raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2012 have been prepared under the assumption that we will continue as a going concern. Specifically, Note 11 of our unaudited financial statement for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 addresses the issue of our ability to continue as a going concern. If we are not able to continue as a going concern, it is likely that holders of our common stock will lose all of their investment. Our financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Overview

We are a development stage company positioned to begin launch and license of our patented technologies in 2012. We were incorporated as a Nevada corporation on February 28, 2005 to reincorporate and re-domesticate two existing North Carolina entities; Revolutionary Concepts, Inc. and DVMS, LLC. We are engaged in the development of smart camera technologies that interface with smart handheld devices enabling remote monitoring.

Our efforts to date have been devoted to securing the intellectual framework around several key technologies and applications related to remote video monitoring. Advances in wireless technologies combined with increased data speed rates permits a very sophisticated and new means of monitoring, security and entry management.

We have branded is new smart technology “EyeTalk®”. EyeTalk® is a first generation smart camera technology that allows interactive two-way communication between a smart phone or other handheld device.

Unlike many IP cameras that simply produce and transmit an image, the EyeTalk® smart camera technology has embedded capabilities that distinguish it as a significant technological advancement over traditional camera systems.

In July of 2011, we engaged SIS Development Inc. to direct the development of this state of the art system. SIS Development, Inc. offers highly specialized, wing-to-wing commercial OEM product development services. SIS Development has an extensive track record of high volume product and software successes in Fortune 100 and startup environments alike. The initial product launch will be in the entry management space for both residential and commercial uses, with a medical application as an immediate spin-off.

We currently hold seven (7) patents related to the use of wireless technology in key market segments. The seven patents position Revolutionary Concepts as a key player in the wireless space and introduce a new smart technology in an area that is virtually untapped. Five of the patents were issued in the second quarter of 2012.

The five new patents collectively include nine independent claims comprised of seven system claims and two method claims.

Of the seven independent system claims, three are directed to communications and monitoring systems; three are directed to detection and viewing systems; and one is directed to an audio-video communication system. The claims directed to the communications and monitoring systems are claims 1, 19, and 20 of USPN 8,164,614. The claims directed to the detection and viewing systems are claims 1, 19, and 20 of USPN 8,144,184. The claims directed to the

audio-video communication system is claim 1 of USPN 8,154,581.

Of the two independent method claims, one is directed to a method for audio-video communications; and the other is directed to a method for receiving a person at an entrance. The independent claim directed to the audio-video communications method is claim 1 of USPN 8,144,183; and the independent claim directed to the method for receiving a person at an entrance is claim 1 of USPN 8,139,098.

Generally speaking, if any of these claims describe a method that is performed by a third party, or describe a system of a third party, then that third-party method or system infringes such claim.

Our management plans to implement a variety of commercialization strategies ranging from development to licensing to generate revenue and to capitalize on the opportunities made possible by the wave of new wireless products in the market place.

We have funded development through three private offerings in 2005, 2007 and 2009. We have also borrowed \$307,500 from four non-related parties at 4% interest to fund ongoing operations, and new patent applications. These promissory notes began to become due in October 2008 and were repaid in November 2008 by issuing 630,811 shares of restricted common stock from authorized shares.

In July and August 2009, we issued two notes payable in the total amount of \$20,000. The two notes were later combined at the note holder's request into one note. The note bears interest at a rate of 10%. Principal and interest were due in May 2010. In 2009, our Board of Directors agreed to guarantee a personal loan to the President of the Company, Mr. Ron Carte, of \$75,000 with interest of 10%, by a shareholder. The note became due in November 2010. On October 5, 2010, we received notice that a claim for judgment had been filed in Mecklenburg County by a shareholder for the note that was in default as of May 2010. On January 7, 2011, the note holder amended the filing to include the personal loan. The amount of the claim is \$100,996, plus interest at 18% and legal costs. On the 10th day of May 2011, a summary judgment was entered on behalf of the plaintiff against Mr. Carter and our company. On the 4th day of August 2011, we reached an agreement with a third party to negotiate and acquire the judgment award and to agree to a convertible note from us for their services. The total value of the convertible note is \$144,067 with no interest, of which we have received a promissory note from Mr. Carter for \$112,663 for the part of the judgment, interest and fees that was from the personal promissory note that we guaranteed

In July 2010, we partnered with US Financial and Rainco Industries to consult in Investor Relations, introduction to institutional investors, assist with mergers and acquisitions, and to help develop a strategy to fund its growth. As a result of this partnership, we have resolved additional debt obligations, are now trading on both the US and Frankfurt stock exchanges, and are also now listed with Standards and Poors. In November 2011, we terminated our association with US Financial but retained the relationship with Rainco Industries.

(15)

Table of Contents

Introduction to EyeTalk®

We are the patent holder of a wireless smart camera technology, branded EyeTalk®. EyeTalk® represents a very disruptive technology that integrates into an industry of new smart devices.... an industry on pace to establish a new era in everyday life across all lines.

From Wire Industry News – February 2012;

“By most standards, the iPhone is considered by a lot of people to be one of the most successful products in business history, and there are many reasons why the majority of people and especially iPhone users think so.

So far, about 200 million iPhones have been sold since it was introduced in the spring of 2007, and 37 million of them in the last three months of 2011 alone.

And make no mistake-- the iPhone is a huge revenue generator! Just last quarter, it contributed to over \$24.39 billion in revenue for the fruity company from Cupertino, greater than the \$20.9 billion Microsoft made in all of its many businesses.”

Apple also produces the iPad. iPad is a line of tablet computers designed, developed and marketed by Apple Inc., primarily as a platform for audio-visual media

Tech Crunch – March 2012

“Following Apple’s announcement yesterday of the new iPad’s record weekend, which saw 3 million devices sold in three days, analysts are upping their predictions for the tablet’s market share growth over the course of the year. In a note to investors, Gene Munster of Piper Jaffray says the firm is now forecasting as many as 66 million sales of the new device in 2012, up from the earlier prediction of 60 million. Meanwhile, Shaw Wu of Sterne Agee is now predicting 60 million, up from 55 million. Regardless of the final outcome, the bottom line impact the device will have on the market was summed up in Munster’s bullish note: “we believe the unprecedented ramp of the iPad over the past year is evidence that the tablet market will be measurably larger than the PC market,” he said. (emphasis added)

Our Revolutionary Concepts EyeTalk® technology represents a camera system with embedded intelligence. Moving beyond the typical take a picture, take a video and send it technology, the

EyeTalk® technology will activate and engage. The capabilities of the EyeTalk® system go beyond the ability to offer two way communication by incorporating an embedded processor that will ultimately be able to communicate and interpret while providing video surveillance and remote monitoring capabilities.

Wireless cameras, wireless communication devices such as smart phones and hand held devices represent the ultimate marriage of wireless technologies. Until we discover how to actually teleport people, wireless cameras and mobile monitors will allow people to literally be in two places at once.

Management believes our patent represents a very significant asset and advancement in camera technology.

The EyeTalk® technology is primarily a software platform with a hardware component of an external smart camera deployed at a chosen location. The system offers two-way communication and it streams video to designated PC or handheld devices such as PDA’s, smart phones or other smart devices. . The software interface allows the system to offer preprogrammed messages, greetings, commands, etc. The software maintains information captured by the

EyeTalk® system. Access to the information may be achieved via a Personal Data Assistant (PDA), Handheld Computer (HC), Smart phone, or other compatible device. The EyeTalk® software platform will be able to communicate with many of the smartphone and other devices that are currently available in the market place.

As a residential application, the EyeTalk® system provides a very effective and efficient means of entry management allowing seamless communication to and from a location to the owner to interact remotely with anyone who approaches with the benefit of audio, video and data communication. The system utilizes new technology to synergistically improve communication, security, convenience, messaging, and manage deliveries and guest.

According to USBX (US Business Exchange), “iSuppli, a respected technology market research firm, announced this quarter that they project IP video surveillance camera revenue to grow to more than \$9.0 billion by 2011, a compound annual growth rate of 13.2%”. Declining cost of new surveillance technology have improved the viability of enhanced security systems while boosting the affordability and demand for basic security systems among families in the middle to lower-middle income strata of society.”

Management believes that the point of greatest significance is not the fact that our plans to participate in this space, but the fact that our company owns IP rights to the much anticipated wireless activity in the space.

Our company management has a careful eye on the transition many traditional security companies are attempting to make to a more practical video solution. Fortunately for us, our company owns the rights to the use of wireless cameras and their interface with wireless handheld devices.

The EyeTalk® system also records and archives data, video and audio records. The system provides a centralized control system using a user-friendly application with a means for storing digital images and provides enhanced security features.

We also recognize that we have entered an era where cellphone applications are just a matter of every day activity. An “AP” that offers individuals the ability to manage and monitor locations of interest is both very marketable and necessary.

Our management expects the EyeTalk® technology to provide three primary benefits:

Protection – EyeTalk® as a standalone system will provide a much safer platform because of its preemptive capabilities, or the EyeTalk® system may augment current residential and commercial security systems.

Monitoring – EyeTalk® may allow the user to better facilitate the task of entry management in non-threatening circumstances, such as latch key school children, and deliveries allowing the user to maintain better control and understanding of what is going on at any given location or property at any given time.

Convenience – EyeTalk® will add convenience to home and business owners, by providing a more responsive and efficient means of responding to, screening, and monitoring activity at a given location. Deliveries and service appointments can be better managed with a system such as EyeTalk®.

For all intents and purposes, we contend that traditional security and alarm services are ineffective, inefficient and costly. Across the country, in our belief, municipalities report false alarms at a rate exceeding 90%. The response time between an event and police arrival can be much too long. EyeTalk® represents a proactive response rather than a reactive one.

To insure the highest quality and product reliability, we entered into a development agreement with SIS Development to produce the initial EyeTalk® system. We are committed to producing a very high quality, reliable and sophisticated system however the first generation of the EyeTalk® technology will not have all of the feature sets intended for future

models, and will simply serve as the company's initial launch and introduction.

SIS Development, Inc. offers highly specialized, wing-to-wing commercial OEM product development services. SIS has managed formidable, leading-edge design teams shipping millions of products a year and has an extensive track record of high volume product and software successes in Fortune 100 and startup environments alike.

The President of SIS Development is Richard Kramer. Prior to founding SIS Development, Inc. and Security Industry Services, Inc., Richard Kramer served as General Manager-Technology and Vice President, Engineering at General Electric's GE Security division, where he led a progressive 250+ person organization with more than \$500M per year in revenue. He was responsible for managing 16 groups in 11 geographically dispersed locations, providing advanced Network, Software, Wireless, Enterprise/Commercial/Residential/Real Estate Solutions for the video surveillance (system software, IP solutions, communications, cameras, recorders, PTZs, video recognition technology), life safety (central station software, intrusion systems, software and sensors), and key control/real estate system software/product markets.

The EyeTalk® product development is in very capable and competent hands. Needless to say, we are thrilled to have SIS Development as a part of our team.

(16)

Table of Contents

INDUSTRY

Security industry stats from IMS Research by Geoff Kohl

First up is 2009.

In the Americas, here's how differing industries compared in a rough financial year. The semiconductor market was down 30%; industrial automation was down 15%; vehicle production was down 33% and consumer technology was down 6%. Despite those significant downturns in many major industries, the electronic security industry was down only 0.2%. Basically, that means our industry stayed flat during 2009.

Also in 2009, IMS saw network video up 25% and analog video down 7%. They saw a terrible year for analytics companies. While analytics was having trouble, megapixel video surveillance had a good year, even as HD emerged as a potential format of choice. Megapixel could even outpace "standard resolution" IP video usage by 2012 or 2013 based on what IMS saw in 2009.

Now to the future...

In 2013, in the Americas, video surveillance is going to be 43% of the total physical security systems market; that means a huge increase in terms of video's role in the overall market. Fire will be 20% (that's down from current market share per IMS); intrusion will be 11.2% (also down in overall market share); and access control will be 7.8% (again, that's down in overall market share -- a loss chiefly attributed video's strong growth rather than any failing in the access control market).

In 2010 (since that's the year we're dealing with now), IMS is forecasting a large number of mergers and acquisitions, which they think will happen because the capital funding for such purchase is finally coming back. They're expecting 2% growth in North America for security products as a whole, a growth number that pales in comparison to a 15.2% growth forecast for security products in China/India. While North America isn't going to see the banner year that China and India will see, it certainly is better than what IMS is forecasting for Europe -- which is a -4.8% decline in overall revenues attributed to the sale of security products."*

*Article not incorporated by reference.

The tipping point for when IP video takes over analog video in sales is going to be pushed back a year thanks to the bad economy of 2009; now it is forecasted to be 2013 or 2014 when that transition happens.

How to Capitalize on the Fastest Growing Trend in Residential Security By Jay Kenny – Feb 03, 2012

“Security dealers and integrators are recognizing that consumers increasingly rely on smartphones, tablets and other mobile devices to control home security, automation and energy management services. Alarm.com, a provider of interactive security solutions, has evidence to support this trend.

This shift in consumer behavior is driven both by the explosive adoption of mobile devices and the availability of dynamic apps and services for end users to unlock additional value from their security system. Usage trends are not limited to monitoring security events that occur in the home, but also extend to a range of relevant day-to-day interactive services such as receiving motion-triggered video clips when kids arrive home from school, alerts when cabinets are accessed, or the ability to remotely adjust thermostats, lights and door lock settings. It is a behavioral change that presents a new opportunity and should not be lost on security dealers and integrators. Mobile connection to the security system has proven to be a successful way to improve retention of existing customers,

*drive new customer acquisition, deliver additional revenue-generating services, and differentiate a product offering as new entrants hit the market.**”

*Article not incorporated by reference.

EyeTalk® as stated previously represents a technology with embedded intelligence. This one key feature that we term *smart camera* technology, combined with smart phone technology is a very powerful and compelling combination of technologies. A key reason we define EyeTalk® a “disruptive technology”.

Mobile apps help close sales – Increasingly, feedback from Alarm.com partners is that one of the most powerful sales tactics is to show interactive system capabilities and features on a mobile device such as an iPhone, iPad or Android smartphone. This "mobile-first" approach in the sales cycle is more effective than showing mobile apps as a "nice-to-have" among a list of broader system features. We believe that once prospective customers see how easy and convenient it is to use a free app to arm the system, adjust the lights or watch live video of their property, their perceived value increases dramatically and distances the product offering from that of competitors.

Mobile services keep customers "sticky" – In addition to helping dealers close more sales, the mobile app significantly drives day-to-day use of the system, in turn increasing customer stickiness and reducing customer attrition. In fact, analysis conducted by Alarm.com in 2011 confirmed a direct correlation between a consumer's consistent interaction with their interactive security system and reduced attrition. Through an independent third party analysis, it was proven that customers with interactive accounts stay on longer than traditional security customers and those who are actively logging into their accounts via the Alarm.com website or mobile app attrite even less.

Mobile apps help meet rising consumer expectations – Current mobile trending shows people are not just becoming more comfortable with technology, but that they prefer the convenience of the mobile app to monitor and control home security system settings. Mobile apps enhance the value of the security platform and deliver access to key services consumers expect on-demand wherever they are, and from any device.

Mobility isn't just about remote access – It would be reasonable to assume that customers are solely utilizing mobile devices remotely to monitor and interact with security, video, energy and automation functions. But dealers and integrators report that for many customers the mobile app offers much more. Customers appreciate the ability to change system settings, lock doors or turn off lights from the living room couch or bedroom rather than having to do so from a physical keypad. Mobile apps also offer a new level of awareness and comfort by enabling the user to stay connected and essentially extend the value of the security system.

Mobile apps can drive sales for other services – Mobile apps can expose customers to additional services anchored to the security platform, especially when used as a sales tactic for a whole home solution. The ease of controlling home energy and seeing video through the same platform can create higher system value as well as increase the opportunity to attach add-on services and generate more RMR. Mobile apps help drive sales for system-integrated services such as video, energy management and home automation.

Below is an illustration of the security market segments. (2011)

(17)

Table of Contents

Future Plans and Potential Markets

Our management believes it has the capability to enter into a growing security marketplace with an explosive product at the perfect time.. Research and current trends suggest that the security industry will continue to experience increased spending and growth on detection devices such as EyeTalk®. Our companies Intellectual Property makes it more than just a provider but the outright owner of a very relevant and significant IP... a tremendous asset. We believe that the future of our company is bright and the options of development and/or licensing provide incredible latitude.

We systematically filed patents over the past decade in the areas of medical, institutional, child monitoring, home healthcare and real estate markers. As these assets are now manifesting themselves one by one, the company's plans are unfolding perfectly. Each of the aforementioned markets are monumental and perfectly suited for the IP in our portfolio.

Our management also believes that EyeTalk® has advantages over existing and competing technologies by virtue of its embedded intelligence and processing capabilities. Many of these capabilities may not relate to the security field at all, but may nonetheless be commercially useful. The additional commercial benefits of the EyeTalk® include:

- Virtual reception capabilities for offices and businesses
- Advanced operations management and remote supervision
- Remote on-line education and real-time teacher/student interface (homeschooling)
- Home healthcare monitoring and independent living capabilities
- Sports applications and entertainment

Sales Strategy

Our management plans to utilize multiple sales and distribution channels.

Direct Sales

The EyeTalk® technology can be introduced to the market in a variety of ways, given the unique applications it provides. RCI looks to engage a direct sales team of over 2000 sales personnel to launch the residential and commercial versions of the EyeTalk® technology. A nationwide, direct-sales team can be assembled, provided the necessary training and tools, to introduce the products to the consumer. Partnerships with reputable vendors such as The Geek Squad, will be formed to insure professional installation at residential/commercial sites around the country. Infomercials have been proven to be effective also in generating massive appeal quickly. A well-produced infomercial can reach hundreds of thousands of consumers and lead to enormous volumes of sales in a relatively short period of time.

Licensing

We currently hold the IP that provides the use of a wireless camera and it's interface with other devices enabling monitoring. The IP is very relevant to a multi-billion dollar industry. We plan to offer licensing opportunities to companies who wish to utilize this technology and aggressively defend its patent rights. The company has identified an industry expert that it plans to engage to assist with the licensing to companies within the security industry.

Internet Sales

Management expects to sale a significant percentage of the EyeTalk® technology via direct internet sales. We are currently developing 3 commercials that will be airing of television networks, YouTube and our website. It is anticipated that these commercials will generate interest and sales.

—
Existing Security Companies

The use of wireless camera technology is so effective and efficient today that every security company will have to include the technology in their product offerings in order to remain competitive. We will not only offer a wireless camera technology, but one our management believes will be vastly superior because of its smart capabilities. We are in the process of developing key relationships with industry leaders to identify the fortune 500 companies we will target.

Commercials and Advertising

We have engaged the services of two individuals to assist in the development of its first 3 commercials to be aired on television networks, internet sites and the company's website.

Patent and Intellectual Property

On March 20, 2007, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued to the Company a patent, number 7,193,644 B2. The patent abstract states:

“The invention is audio-video communication and answering system that synergistically improves communication between an exterior and an interior of a business or residence and a remote location, enables messages to be stored and accessed from both locally and remotely, and enables viewing, listening, and recording from a remote location. The system's properties make it particularly suitable as a sophisticated door answering-messaging system. The system has a DVMS module on the exterior. The DVMS module has a proximity sensor, a video camera, a microphone, a speaker, an RF transmitter, and an RF receiver. The system also has a computerized controller with a graphic user interface DVMS database application. The computerized controller is in communication with a public switching telephone network, and an RF switching device. The RF switching device enables communication between the DVMS module and the computerized controller. The RF switching device can be in communication with the other RF devices, such as a cell phone, PDA, or computer.”

The following additional patents have now been awarded:

U.S. Patent 8,139,098

Revolutionary Concepts Inc. of Charlotte, North Carolina, has recently been awarded U.S. patent 8,139,098 covering a method for receiving a person at an entrance

U.S. Patent 8,144,183

Revolutionary Concepts Inc. of Charlotte, North Carolina, has recently been awarded U.S. patent 8,144,183 covering a method for two-way audio-video communications between a first person at an entrance and a second person.

U.S. Patent 8,144,184

Revolutionary Concepts Inc. of Charlotte, North Carolina, has recently been awarded U.S. patent 8,144,184 covering a detection and viewing system. The system includes a wireless device associated with a door.

U.S. Patent 8,154,581

Edgar Filing: Revolutionary Concepts Inc - Form 10-Q

Revolutionary Concepts Inc. of Charlotte, North Carolina, has recently been awarded U.S. patent 8,154,581 covering an audio-video communication system. The system includes a wireless exterior module located proximate an entrance and a computerized controller.

U.S. Patent 8,164,614

Revolutionary Concepts Inc. of Charlotte, North Carolina, has recently been awarded U.S. patent 8,164,614 covering a communications and monitoring system.

U.S. Patent 8,016,676 B2

Revolutionary Concepts Inc. of Charlotte, North Carolina has been awarded U.S. patent 8,016,676 related to Child Car Seat Assembly enabling access to remote gaming applications and two-way person-to-person communications.

We have patent pending applications related to; (a) video system for individually selecting and viewing events at a venue; (b) medical monitoring; and (c) real estate audio-video monitoring.

Legal

For several years, we have been engaged in litigation against its former patent attorneys for malpractice arising from a missed filing deadline relating to obtaining patents for our core technologies outside the United States. After a two-year fight over jurisdiction in the case, including wins for us at the trial court and at the North Carolina Court of Appeals, the case was remanded to the trial court for further proceedings. Unfortunately, the trial court dismissed the case on a technicality, potentially ending the case. Our trial counsel has assured us that the judge's ruling is contrary to law and that good grounds exist for appeal. An appeal was filed in November 2012, and the Company is awaiting a decision from the court on the appeal.

COMPETITION

We expect to compete with much larger and better financed companies in the remote monitoring industry, all of which have superior name recognition, such as ADT, Alarm Force, ATT, Pinkerton's and others. We own the patent by which many of the aforementioned companies will be dependent upon and we believe, but cannot assure, may already be infringing in some manner

Remote monitoring is available through a variety of media and processes, including systems integrators, closed circuit television systems, intrusion detection systems, and others. These systems typically incorporate ultrasonic, infrared, vibration, microwave and other sensors to detect door and window openings, glass breakage, vibration, motion, temperature, and noise and transmit through alarms and other peripheral equipment.

For example, the ATT remote monitor integrates with Cingular and Yahoo through cell phones and wireless internet. The user can remotely select the device and determine whether notification will be triggered by door sensors, motion sensors, temperature sensors or a combination. The user can remotely control cameras with pan, tilt and zoom features. The user can download and record or view live camera. The EyeTalk® system provides similar capabilities; however with two-way communication and a programmable software interface enabling the system to effectively manage itself if the user desires.

Industry analysts report that both Cisco and IBM are developing new hardware and software applications for remote monitoring that, if successful, could have profound implications for the industry.

(18)

Table of Contents

Regulation

We are subject to the same federal, state and local laws as other companies conducting business in the software field. Our products are subject to copyright laws. We may become the subject of infringement claims or legal proceedings by third parties with respect to its current or future products. In addition, we may initiate claims or litigation against third parties for infringement of its proprietary rights, or to establish the validity of our proprietary rights. Any such claims could be time-consuming, divert management from our daily operations, result in litigation, cause product delays or lead us to enter into royalty or licensing agreements rather than disputing the merits of such claims. Moreover, an adverse outcome in litigation or a similar adversarial proceedings could subject us to significant liabilities to third parties, require the expenditure of significant resources to develop non-infringing products, require disputed rights to be licensed from others or require us to cease the marketing or use of certain products, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business and operating results.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 COMPARED TO THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

Operating Expenses We have not begun to generate revenues to date. Our total operating expenses decreased to \$176,580 from \$1,030,618 for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively as compared to \$557,383 and \$1,512,683 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. This decrease is primarily attributable to decreased professional fees, compensation, research and development, and payroll tax expense, offset somewhat by increases in marketing expenses.

Net Loss. Our net loss decreased to \$307,019 from \$1,028,108 for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively as compared to \$823,323 and \$1,514,880 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. This decrease is primarily attributable to decreased professional fees, compensation, research and development and payroll tax expense, offset somewhat by increases in marketing expenses and expenses related to our derivative liabilities.

Assets. Assets increased by \$1,391 to \$130,3012 as of September 30, 2011, from \$128,921 as of December 31, 2011. This decrease was primarily due to depreciation and amortization.

Liabilities. Total liabilities increased by \$379,440 to \$2,113,737 as of September 30 2012, from \$1,734,297 as of December 31, 2011. This increase is primarily attributable to the increase in current portion of long-term debt, derivative liability and related party notes payable offset by decreases in accounts payable and long-term notes payable.

Stockholders' Deficit. Stockholders' deficit increased by \$823,323 to \$5,404,706 as of September 30, 2012 from \$4,581,383 as of December 31, 2011. The increase was due primarily to continuing losses from operations.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

General. Our primary sources of cash have been sales of common stock through private placements and loans from affiliates. We are a developmental stage company moving from Research and Development (“R & D”) to the initial stages of development. The transition from R & D to development and production requires a greater focus on operations, product infrastructure, distribution and channel partners and industry alliances. Over the next 6 - 12 months, we will be looking for the ideal acquisitions that will enable our company to take advantage of an existing customer base. Our management will also pursue appropriate Letter of Intent and Joint Ventures that will position our company to move its products into these ventures when successful production is completed.

Prior relationships with companies discussed in previous filings have been terminated. We are not involved with any of those companies that were very instrumental during the Research and Development stages, but are no longer engaged. We have engaged SIS Development as consulting technical officials for product development. SIS Development will assist RCI in identifying the necessary contracts and relationships moving forward. Additionally, industry expertise and consultation is being provided by advisors in the industry.

As another means of furthering the development of our technology, management is actively seeking an acquisition of a company or companies that are generating revenues and net profits, which, in turn, will enable us to complete the development of the technology and therefore begin to execute a commercialization strategy (sales and marketing of the technology). No assurances can be provided that a suitable acquisition candidate will be located or that any acquisition candidate will match all the criteria we have established.

Overall, we had a net increase in cash of \$1,355 for the nine month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to a decrease in cash of \$184 over the same prior year period.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities. Net cash used in operating activities was \$693,082 for the nine month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$365,700 for nine month period ended September 30, 2011. This increase is primarily attributable to continuing net operating losses offset by a decrease in shares issued for services, accounts payable and accrued expenses and increases in amortization of debt and loss on derivative liability.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities. There was \$900 used by investment activities for the purchase of equipment for the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$5,243 cash used by investing activities for the ended period ended September 30, 2012.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$699,680 for the nine month period ended September 30, 2012 compared to cash provided by financing activities of \$366,416 for the period ended September 30, 2011 and is attributable to the decrease in notes payable of \$101,342 and increase in the issuance of common stock shares for the retirement of debt in the amount of \$380,492 and the retirement of debt in the amount of \$49,065.

Our Company's Capital Structure. In its efforts to grow and expand the Company, management must obtain the necessary capital to achieve those objectives, decide on the best methods to obtain that capital, and adjust the capital structure of the Company as needed. The primary ways a company will raise capital is either through debt financing (borrowing money), or equity financing (selling a portion of the company via shares of stock) or a combination of both. The type of capital chosen (debt or equity), and methods of raising the capital depend on a number of factors including; the company's life cycle stage, e.g., start-up, development, high-growth or maturity, future growth prospects, strength of the national economy and the credit markets.

Potential investors in any company, including ours, will consider those factors and the relative risks to their investment capital. To limit their risks, these investors may limit the size of their investment, or provide it to the company in stages, that is contingent upon the company reaching stated goals e.g., production, marketing, distribution and revenues. The ultimate question for management is; how do you get the investors to commit to making what could be a high risk investment for them, although one that would correspondingly benefit the Company, however one that the investor could lose if the Company were to fail. Management considered both the equity and the debt financing options based on the Company's life cycle stage, economy, credit markets and other circumstances at the time, and reached the following conclusions;

Equity Financing - Management decided not to raise additional capital through an equity offering in its initial start-up and development stage for a variety of reasons;

- (1) The Company would have had to go through the process of filing a registration statement e.g. S-1 with the SEC, which would have required expenditures and resources with no assurances of receiving expeditious approval and would have been very time consuming, given our situation at that time.
- (2) The direct and indirect flotation costs of the issuance of an equity capital raise could have run \$250,000 or more, and the Company did not have those funds available.
- (3) It would have been very difficult to get an investment banker to underwrite a new issuance for a development stage company with a limited operating history and revenues.
- (4) Many investors did not want to take an equity position in the Company at that time and the corresponding risks of ownership.
- (5) The issuance of equity to these investors, after resolving the potential regulatory challenges, legal issues, time constraints, and costs would have resulted in immediate dilution for the other shareholders, giving them only limited hopes that value would be created.

Therefore, due to the above stated reasons, the economic climate and the Company's circumstances at that time, management elected not to pursue raising capital through an equity offering at that time.

(19)

Table of Contents

Debt Financing – Management elected to raise capital for the Company through debt financing for the following reasons;

- (1) Due to the Companies need for further development of our patents, it had immediate and continuous need for capital.
- (2) The investors were more willing to invest funds more expeditiously, and take a creditor's position instead of that as an owner by taking an equity position.
- (3) With those immediately available funds, management could continue to develop our technology and create short-term economic value to the Company by contracting with various vendors for work, prior to any equity dilution taking place.
- (4) The investors were issued Promissory Notes that were unsecured without any collateral (taking a high risk), except as called for in the agreements.
- (5) The Notes required no monthly payments which allowed us to use that free cash flow for operating expenses, reduced our cash outlays, interest payments and improve our budget, plans and forecast our cash flow.
- (6) The investors received the potential upside of conversion of the Notes into equity while protecting our downside with the use of the cash flow.
- (7) Should the investors decide to convert the Notes into common stock, then the Company's debt would be eliminated from its balance sheet.
- (8) The tax benefits of debt financing is that it's less expensive, while the Company is taxed on earnings, it is not generally taxed on borrowed money and the interest on the Notes is tax deductible.
- (9) Since the investors do not have any equity interests, it has no voting rights or other control over the management of the Company, its operations and no claim to its future earnings.
- (10) If the Company ever suffers a negative financial situation, it is much easier to re-negotiate the terms of the Notes with the individual investors than with a bank, or a group of investors through an equity or bond offering.

Based on the reasons above, and since the Company required immediate capital to rapidly expand, grow, restructure its operations, continue development, finance potential acquisitions and execute its marketing plans; raising capital through debt financing was our best alternative. This strategy resulted in our expanding on our technology patents; thereby, increasing our potential assets, market capitalization value and our shareholders owning a portion of a much larger and more valuable company. As the Company continues to advance and develop through the different stages of its business life cycle, management will evaluate options, alternatives, and make strategic decisions for the best investment opportunities, financing and capital structure at that time.

Debt

In its efforts to expand and grow, we issued debt instruments to borrow funds from various creditors to raise capital. These are long-term Notes with various rates and maturities, that grants the Note Holder the right, (but not the obligation), to convert them into shares of our common stock in lieu of receiving payment in cash. The issued Notes are secured obligations. The principal amount of the Notes may be prepaid upon agreement of both parties and a

prepayment penalty, in whole or part at any time, together with all accrued interest upon written notice.

Our management believes that there are a number of benefits when issuing debt versus issuing equity capital. The interest paid on debt capital is tax exempt; hence, our loan costs are lowered. Outside of their contractual debt documents, creditors have no control in the conduct of the business, so by issuing debt capital, we do not dilute the ownership rights of our shareholders (unless and until any debt is converted into equity). Also, as the interest rates are predetermined, the management is able to budget for the payments. Generally, debt is less costly and the time involved to be able to raise the capital is shortened. In many cases, raising capital through equity requires regulatory approval, which can take months and is dilutive to all shareholders.

2012

On January 2, 2012 we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$57,000 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.015 per share.

On January 31, 2012, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$28,000 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

On February 6, 2012, the Board of Directors approved a request for an adjustment to the conversion price of a Long Term Note dated April 30, 2011 for \$76,194 from \$0.005 to \$0.0022.

On February 29, 2012, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$5,000 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

On March 22, 2012, we completed a partial conversion of one of our Notes payable dated April 30, 2011, with a principal amount of \$76,194. A total of \$26,000 worth of the Note was converted, and 11,817,900 common shares were issued for that part of the conversion, which leaves a remaining balance of \$50,194 of the principal of the Note. No accrued interest was paid on the Note upon conversion. This conversion of debt reduced our Long Term Notes payables by \$26,000.

On March 22, 2012, we issued 159,000 restricted common shares for professional services provided to us and expensed in 2011. The issuance will reduce our accounts payable by \$4,990.

On March 30, 2012, we completed a conversion of one of our Notes payable to one of our Officers and Directors Mr. Solomon Ali, dated October 1, 2011, with a principal amount of \$46,154. The Note was converted, and 9,230,768 common shares were issued for the conversion, No accrued interest was paid on the Note upon conversion. This conversion of debt reduced our Long Term Notes payables by \$46,154.

On March 30, 2012, we completed a conversion of one of our Notes payable to one of our Officers and Directors, Mr. Ronald Carter, dated October 1, 2011, with a principal amount of \$92,308. The Note was converted, and 18,461,544 common shares were issued for the conversion, No accrued interest was paid on the Note upon conversion. This conversion of debt reduced our Long Term Notes payables by \$92,308.

Edgar Filing: Revolutionary Concepts Inc - Form 10-Q

On March 30, 2012, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$70,000 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

On April 1, 2012 we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with our President and CEO, Ronald Carter for \$200,000 at 10% interest for the balance of the accrued compensation owed to him for the fiscal year 2011 in accordance with his Employment Agreement. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005.

On April 1, 2012 we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with our Vice President, Solomon Ali for \$174,000 at 10% interest for the accrued compensation owed to him for the fiscal year 2011 in accordance with his Employment Agreement. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005.

On April 30, 2012 we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$22,000 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

On May 31, 2012 we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$33,000 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

On June 7, 2012 we entered into a one (1) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$27,000 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at 50% of the then current market prices. This was a partial reassignment and modification of a note dated August 30, 2011. On June 19, 2012, the Company received a notice of partial conversion. A total of \$4,000 was converted and 1,111,111 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$23,000. This conversion of debt reduced our notes payables by \$4,000.

On June 12, 2012 we entered into a one (1) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$43,448 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at 50% of the then current market prices. This was a partial reassignment and modification of notes dated May 30, 2011 for \$12,000, May 30, 2011 for \$10,000 and a note dated June 30, 2011 for \$17,500 and accumulated interest of \$3,948. On June 18, 2012, the Company received a notice of partial conversion. A total of \$10,000 was converted and 3,030,303 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$33,448. This conversion of debt reduced our notes payables by \$10,000.

On June 19, 2012 we entered into a one (1) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$27,500 at 8% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at 50% of the then current market prices.

On June 30, 2012 we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$38,809 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

From July 27, 2012 through September 25, 2012 we received several notices of partial conversion from an unrelated third party as part of a partial reassignment and modification of a note originally issued to a non-related third party on August 30, 2011. A total of \$17,500 was converted and 27,127,038 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$5,500. This conversion of debt reduced our notes payables \$17,500.

On August 1, 2012, we received a notice of partial conversion from an unrelated third party as part of a partial reassignment of a note originally issued to a non-related third party on April 30, 2012, in the amount of \$76,194. A total of \$37,645 was converted and 17,128,475 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining

principal balance of \$12,549. This conversion of debt reduced our notes payables \$37,645.

From August 22, 2012 through September 18, 2012 we received several notices of partial conversion from an unrelated third party This was a partial reassignment and modification of notes dated May 30, 2011 for \$12,000, May 30, 2011 for \$10,000 and a note dated June 30, 2011 for \$17,500 and accumulated interest of \$3,948. A total of \$33,448 was converted and 38,618,636 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$0. This conversion of debt reduced our notes payables \$33,448.

On August 30, 2012 we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$46,600 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

On September 4, 2012 we entered into a one (1) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$42,700 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at 50% of the then current market prices. September 19, 2012 through September 28, 2012 the Company received several notices of partial conversion from an unrelated third party This was a partial reassignment and modification of notes dated October 30, 2011 for \$8,700, November 30, 2011 for \$8,500 and a note dated January 31, 2012 for \$28,000. A total of \$16,300 was converted and 23,857,143 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$26,400. This conversion of debt reduced our notes payables \$16,300.

On September 30, 2012 we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$33,519 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

(20)

Table of Contents

2011

On January 15, 2011, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$42,500 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock. On August 4, 2011 this Note was converted to 8,500,068 restricted common shares of which 2,200,000 shares had previously been issued,

On April 30, 2011, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$76,194 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share. On February 6, 2012, the Board of Directors approved a request for an adjustment to the conversion price of a Long Term Note dated April 30, 2011 for \$76,194 from \$0.005 to \$0.0022. On March 21, 2012, \$26,000 of this note was converted to 11,817,900 shares of common stock, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$50,194. This conversion of debt reduced our Long Term Notes payables by \$26,000.

On April 30, 2011, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$12,000 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

On May 30, 2011, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$12,000 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

On May 30, 2011, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$10,000 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

On June 30, 2011, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$17,500 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

On August 4, 2011, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$140,663 and \$3,404 in interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share. On November 30, 2011, the holder converted \$50,166 of the note leaving a principal balance due of \$90,497.

On August 30, 2011, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$44,600 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share. On June 12, 2012, \$27,000 of this note was modified and assigned by the original note holder to a non-related third party,

leaving a principal balance of \$17,600 on the original Note.

On September 30, 2011, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$177,522 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common at stock at \$0.005 per share.

On October 1, 2011, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with Ronald Carter, our President and CEO for \$92,308 at 10% interest for the accrued compensation owed to him for the fiscal year 2010 in accordance with his Employment Agreement. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share. On March 30, 2012, we completed a conversion of \$92,308. The Note was converted, and 18,461,544 common shares were issued for the conversion, No accrued interest was paid on the Note upon conversion. This conversion of debt reduced our Long Term Notes payables by \$92,308.

On October 1, 2011, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with our Senior Vice President, Solomon Ali for \$46,154 at 10% interest for the accrued compensation owed to him for the fiscal year 2010 in accordance with his Employment Agreement. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share. On March 30, 2012, we completed a conversion of \$46,154. The Note was converted, and 9,230,768 common shares were issued for the conversion, No accrued interest was paid on the Note upon conversion. This conversion of debt reduced our Long Term Notes payables by \$46,154.

On October 1, 2011, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$63,818 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share. This note was originally dated 12/31/10

On October 1, 2011, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$27,018 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share. This note was assigned to an unrelated third party and was originally issued 12/31/10.

On October 1, 2011, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$198,950 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share. This note was assigned to an unrelated third party and was originally issued 12/31/10.

On October 30, 2011, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$8,700 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

Edgar Filing: Revolutionary Concepts Inc - Form 10-Q

On November 30, 2011, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$8,500 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

On December 30, 2011, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$4,700 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

The investors and private equity firms are very astute and have many years of experience and expertise in making successful investments in many companies. They have been investing with the Company for several years, and have provided us with critical short and long-term funds that we have used for operations, working capital, and investment capital for our business acquisitions to expand and grow the Company. They have the option to convert their Notes into stock after a holding period per SEC guidelines. However, most have elected to hold their Notes for 1 to 3 years and therefore have taken a long-term investment strategy in the Company. Without their continuous long-term commitment to investment in the Company, it is unlikely that the growth and expansion that we have achieved would have been possible.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04, "*Fair Value Measurements (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs*" ("ASU 2011-04"). ASU 2011-04 changes the wording used to describe many of the requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements to ensure consistency between U.S. GAAP and IFRS. ASU 2011-04 also expands the disclosures for fair value measurements that are estimated using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs. This new guidance is to be applied prospectively. The Company anticipates that the adoption of this standard will not materially expand its financial statement note disclosures.

In June 2011, FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, "*Comprehensive Income (ASC Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income*" ("ASU 2011-05"), which amends current comprehensive income guidance. This accounting update eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of shareholders' equity. Instead, the Company must report comprehensive income in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income which contains two sections, net income and other comprehensive income, or in two separate but consecutive statements. ASU 2011-05 will be effective for public companies during the interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The Company is reviewing ASU 2011-05 to ascertain its impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows as it only requires a change in the format of the current presentation.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, "*Testing Goodwill for Impairment*", which allows, but does not require, an entity when performing its annual goodwill impairment test the option to first do an initial assessment of qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount for purposes of determining whether it is even necessary to perform the first step of the two-step goodwill impairment test. Accordingly, based on the option created in ASU 2011-08, the calculation of a reporting unit's fair value is not required unless, as a result of the qualitative assessment, it is more likely than not that fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If it is less, the quantitative impairment test is then required. ASU 2011-08 also provides for new qualitative indicators to replace those currently used. Prior to ASU 2011-08, entities were required to test goodwill for impairment on at least an annual basis, by first comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount. If the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then the

second step of the test is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. ASU 2011-08 is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The Company adopted ASU 2011-08 during the first quarter of fiscal 2013. The adoption of ASU 2011-08 did not impact the Company's results of operations or financial condition.

In December 2011, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-11, "*Balance Sheet - Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*" to enhance disclosure requirements relating to the offsetting of assets and liabilities on an entity's balance sheet. The update requires enhanced disclosures regarding assets and liabilities that are presented net or gross in the statement of financial position when the right of offset exists, or that are subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement. The new disclosure requirements relating to this update are retrospective and effective for annual and interim periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The update only requires additional disclosures, as such, the Company does not expect that the adoption of this standard will have a material impact on its results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU No. 2012-02, "*Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment*". The guidance allows companies to perform a "qualitative" assessment to determine whether further impairment testing of indefinite-lived intangible assets is necessary, similar in approach to the goodwill impairment test.

ASU 2012-02 allows companies the option to first assess qualitatively whether it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired, before determining whether it is necessary to perform the quantitative impairment test. An entity is not required to calculate the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset and perform the quantitative impairment test unless the entity determines that it is more likely than not that the asset is impaired. Companies can choose to perform the qualitative assessment on none, some, or all of its indefinite-lived intangible assets or choose to only perform the quantitative impairment test for any indefinite-lived intangible in any period.

ASU 2012-02 is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012, with early adoption permitted. The Company is in the process of evaluating the guidance and the impact ASU 2012-02 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

Subsequent Events

(Included in Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 855 "Subsequent Events", previously SFAS No. 165 "Subsequent Events")

SFAS No. 165 established general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date, but before the financial statements are issued or available to be issued ("subsequent events"). An entity is required to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated and the basis for that date. For public entities, this is the date the financial statements are issued. SFAS No. 165 does not apply to subsequent events or transactions that are within the scope of other GAAP and did not result in significant changes in the subsequent events reported by the Company. SFAS No. 165 became effective for interim or annual periods ending after June 15, 2009 and did not impact the Company's financial statements. The Company evaluated for subsequent events through the issuance date of the Company's financial statements. No recognized or non-recognized subsequent events were noted.

Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets

(Included in ASC 350 "Intangibles — Goodwill and Other", previously FSP SFAS No. 142-3 "Determination of the Useful Lives of Intangible Assets")

FSP SFAS No. 142-3 amended the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under previously issued goodwill and intangible

assets topics. This change was intended to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under topics related to business combinations and other GAAP. The requirement for determining useful lives must be applied prospectively to intangible assets acquired after the effective date and the disclosure requirements must be applied prospectively to all intangible assets recognized as of, and subsequent to, the effective date. FSP SFAS No. 142-3 became effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of FSP SFAS No. 142-3 did not impact the Company's financial statements.

(21)

Table of Contents

Noncontrolling Interests

(Included in ASC 810 “Consolidation”, previously SFAS No. 160 “Noncontrolling Interests in Financial Statements an amendment of ARB No. 51”)

SFAS No. 160 changed the accounting and reporting for minority interests such that they will be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and classified as a component of equity. SFAS No. 160 became effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008

with early application prohibited. The Company implemented SFAS No. 160 at the start of fiscal 2009 and no longer records an intangible asset when the purchase price of a noncontrolling interest exceeds the book value at the time of buyout. The adoption of SFAS No. 160 did not have any other material impact on the Company’s financial statements.

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities — Amended

(To be included in ASC 810 “Consolidation”, SFAS No. 167 “Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)”)

SFAS No. 167 amends FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) “Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities regarding certain guidance for determining whether an entity is a variable interest entity and modifies the methods allowed for determining the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. The amendments include: (1) the elimination of the exemption for qualifying special purpose entities, (2) a new approach for determining who should consolidate a variable-interest entity, and (3) changes to when it is necessary to reassess who should consolidate a variable-interest entity. SFAS No. 167 is effective for the first annual reporting period beginning after November 15, 2009, with earlier adoption prohibited. The Company will adopt SFAS No. 167 in fiscal 2010 and does not anticipate any material impact on the Company’s financial statements.

Additional Information

We file reports and other materials with the Securities and Exchange Commission. These documents may be inspected and copied at the Commission’s Public Reference Room at Room 1580, 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. You can obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330. You can also get copies of documents that we file with the Commission through the Commission’s Internet site at www.sec.gov.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Not Applicable.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, Revolutionary Concepts, Inc. management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that such disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them on a timely basis to material information relating to Revolutionary Concepts required to be included in Revolutionary Concepts’ periodic filings under the Exchange Act.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

Our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), as of the last day of the fiscal period covered by this report, September 30, 2012. The term disclosure controls and procedures means our controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive and principal financial officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2012.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act, during our most recently completed fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

(22)

Table of Contents

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For several years, RCI has been engaged in litigation against its former patent attorneys for malpractice arising from a missed filing deadline relating to obtaining patents for RCI's core technologies outside the United States. After a two-year fight over jurisdiction in the case, including wins for RCI at the trial court and at the North Carolina Court of Appeals, the case was remanded to the trial court for further proceedings. Unfortunately, the trial court dismissed the case on a technicality, potentially ending the case. RCI's trial counsel has assured the Company that the judge's ruling is contrary to law and that good grounds exist for appeal. An appeal was filed in November 2012, and the Company is awaiting a decision from the court on the appeal.

The Company also sued Emmanuel Ozoeneh in federal court. Mr. Ozoeneh was a former business partner in a prior business venture with our CEO Ron Carter. Mr. Ozoeneh began making false claims that he was the inventor of the EyeTalk® system. RCI filed suit in federal court to have Mr. Carter declared the sole inventor. This case has been resolved to the satisfaction of the Company. The terms of the agreement are confidential, but the result was that Ronald Carter and the Company were declared as the sole inventor and retains all rights to the patent(s) for the EyeTalk® system. The Company is currently in default on the agreement and is working to resolve the default.

In July and August 2009, the Company issued two notes payable in the total amount of \$20,000. The two notes were later combined at the note holder's request into one note. The note bears interest at a rate of 10%. Principal and interest were due in May 2010. In 2009, the Board of Directors agreed to guarantee a personal loan to the President of the Company, Mr. Ron Carter of \$75,000 with interest of 10%, by a shareholder. The note became due in November 2010. On October 5, 2010, the Company received notice that a claim for judgment had been filed in Mecklenburg County by a shareholder for the note that was in default as of May 2010. On January 7, 2011, the note holder amended the filing to include the personal loan. The amount of the claim was \$100,996, plus interest at 8% and legal costs. On the 10th day of May 2011, a summary judgment was entered on behalf of the plaintiff against Mr. Carter and the Company. On the 4th day of August 2011, the Company reached an agreement with a third party to negotiate and acquire the judgment award and to agree to a convertible note from the Company for its services. The total value of the convertible note is \$144,067 including interest, of which the Company has received a promissory note from Mr. Carter for \$112,663 for the part of the judgment, interest and fees that was from the personal promissory note that the Company guaranteed.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the following risk factors together with the other information contained in this Interim Report on Form 10-Q, and in prior reports pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended and the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. If any of the risks factors actually occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected. There have been no material changes to the risk factors previously discussed in Part II, Item 6, "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 (SEC File Number 000-53674) on the website at www.sec.gov including but not limited, to the following:

The market price of our common stock may limit its eligibility for clearing house deposit.

We are advised that if the market price for shares of our common stock is less than \$0.10 per share, Depository Trust Company and other securities clearing firms may decline to accept our shares for deposit and refuse to clear trades, in our securities. This would materially and adversely affect the marketability and liquidity of our shares and, accordingly may materially and adversely affect the value of an investment in our common stock.

We are an “emerging growth company” and we cannot be certain if the reduced disclosure requirements applicable to emerging growth companies will make our common stock less attractive to investors.

We are an “emerging growth company,” as defined in the Jumpstart our Business Startups Act of 2012 or JOBS Act, and we may take advantage of certain exemptions from various reporting requirements that are applicable to other public companies that are not “emerging growth companies” including not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements of section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation in our periodic reports and proxy statements and exemptions from the requirements of holding a nonbinding advisory vote on executive compensation and shareholder approval of any golden parachute payments not previously approved. We cannot predict if investors will find our common stock less attractive because we may rely on these exemptions. If some investors find our common stock less attractive as a result, there may be a less active trading market for our common stock and our stock price may be more volatile.

In addition, Section 107 of the JOBS Act also provides that an “emerging growth company” can take advantage of the extended transition period provided in Section 7(a)(2)(B) of the Securities Act for complying with new or revised accounting standards. An “emerging growth company” can therefore delay the adoption of certain accounting standards until those standards would otherwise apply to private companies.

We will incur increased costs and demands upon management as a result of complying with the laws and regulations that affect public companies, which could materially adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition, business and prospects.

As a public company and particularly after we cease to be an “emerging growth company,” we will incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses that we did not incur as a private company, including costs associated with public company reporting and corporate governance requirements. These requirements include compliance with Section 404 and other provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, as well as rules implemented by the SEC.

The increased costs associated with operating as a public company will decrease our net income or increase our net loss, and may require us to reduce costs in other areas of our business. Additionally, if these requirements divert our management’s attention from other business concerns, they could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition, business and prospects.

However, for as long as we remain an “emerging growth company” as defined in the JOBS Act, we may take advantage of certain exemptions from various reporting requirements that are applicable to other public companies that are not “emerging growth companies” including not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation in our periodic reports and proxy statements and exemptions from the requirements of holding a nonbinding advisory vote on executive compensation and shareholder approval of any golden parachute payments not previously approved. We may take advantage of these reporting exemptions until we are no longer an “emerging growth company.”

Edgar Filing: Revolutionary Concepts Inc - Form 10-Q

If the market value of our common stock that is held by non-affiliates exceeds \$700 million as of any June 30, we would cease to be an “emerging growth company” as of the following June 30, or if we issue more than \$1 billion in non-convertible debt in a three-year period, we would cease to be an “emerging growth company” immediately.

(23)

Table of Contents

SHOULD ONE OR MORE OF THE FOREGOING RISKS OR UNCERTAINTIES MATERIALIZE, OR SHOULD THE UNDERLYING ASSUMPTIONS PROVE INCORRECT, ACTUAL RESULTS MAY DIFFER SIGNIFICANTLY FROM THOSE ANTICIPATED, BELIEVED, ESTIMATED, EXPECTED, INTENDED OR PLANNED.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY AND USE OF PROCEEDS

On January 2, 2012 we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$57,000 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.015 per share..

On January 31, 2012, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$28,000 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

On February 6, 2012, the Board of Directors approved a request for an adjustment to the conversion price of a Long Term Note dated April 30, 2011 for \$76,194 from \$0.005 to \$0.0022.

On February 29, 2012, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$5,000 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

On March 22, 2012, we completed a partial conversion of one of its Notes payable dated April 30, 2011, with a principal amount of \$76,194. A total of \$26,000 worth of the Note was converted, and 11,817,900 common shares were issued for that part of the conversion, which leaves a remaining balance of \$50,194 of the principal of the Note. No accrued interest was paid on the Note upon conversion. This conversion of debt reduced the Company's Long Term Notes payables by \$26,000.

On March 22, 2012, we issued 159,000 restricted common shares for professional services provided to the Company and expensed in 2011. The issuance will reduce the Company's accounts payable by \$4,990.

On March 30, 2012, we completed a conversion of one of its Notes payable to one of our Officers and Directors Mr. Solomon Ali, dated October 1, 2011, with a principal amount of \$46,154. The Note was converted, and 9,230,768 common shares were issued for the conversion, No accrued interest was paid on the Note upon conversion. This conversion of debt reduced the Company's Long Term Notes payables by \$46,154.

On March 30, 2012 we completed a conversion of one of its Notes payable to one of our Officers and Directors, Mr. Ronald Carter, dated October 1, 2011, with a principal amount of \$92,308. The Note was converted, and 18,461,544 common shares were issued for the conversion, No accrued interest was paid on the Note upon conversion. This conversion of debt reduced the Company's Long Term Notes payables by \$92,308.

Edgar Filing: Revolutionary Concepts Inc - Form 10-Q

On March 30, 2012, we entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$70,000 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

On April 1, 2012, the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with its President and CEO, Ronald Carter for \$200,000 at 10% interest for the balance of the accrued compensation owed to him for the fiscal year 2011 in accordance with his Employment Agreement. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005.

On April 1, 2012, the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with its Vice President, Solomon Ali for \$174,000 at 10% interest for the accrued compensation owed to him for the fiscal year 2011 in accordance with his Employment Agreement. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005.

On April 30, 2012, the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$22,000 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

On May 31, 2012, the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$33,000 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

On June 7, 2012, the Company entered into a one (1) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$27,000 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at 50% of the then current market prices. This was a partial reassignment and modification of a note dated August 30, 2011. On June 19, 2012, the Company received a notice of partial conversion. A total of \$4,000 was converted and 1,111,111 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$23,000. This conversion of debt reduced our notes payables by \$4,000.

On June 12, 2012, the Company entered into a one (1) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$43,448 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at 50% of the then current market prices. This was a partial reassignment and modification of notes dated May 30, 2011 for \$12,000, May 30, 2011 for \$10,000 and a note dated June 30, 2011 for \$17,500 and accumulated interest of \$3,948. On June 18, 2012, the Company received a notice of partial conversion. A total of \$10,000 was converted and 3,030,303 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$33,448. This conversion of debt reduced our notes payables by \$10,000.

On June 19, 2012, the Company entered into a one (1) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$27,500 at 8% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at 50% of the then current market prices.

On June 30, 2012, the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$38,809 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

From July 27, 2012 through September 25, 2012, the Company received several notices of partial conversion from an unrelated third party as part of a partial reassignment and modification of a note originally issued to a non-related third party on August 30, 2011. A total of \$17,500 was converted and 27,127,038 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$5,500. This conversion of debt reduced our notes payables \$17,500.

On August 1, 2012, the Company received a notice of partial conversion from an unrelated third party as part of a partial reassignment of a note originally issued to a non-related third party April 30, 2012, in the amount of \$76,194.

Edgar Filing: Revolutionary Concepts Inc - Form 10-Q

A total of \$37,645 was converted and 17,128,475 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$12,549. This conversion of debt reduced our notes payables \$37,645.

From August 22, 2012 through September 18, 201, the Company received several notices of partial conversion from an unrelated third party. This was a partial reassignment and modification of notes dated May 30, 2011 for \$12,000, May 30, 2011 for \$10,000 and a note dated June 30, 2011 for \$17,500 and accumulated interest of \$3,948. A total of \$33,448 was converted and 38,618,636 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$0. This conversion of debt reduced our notes payables \$33,448.

On August 30, 2012, the Company entered into a two (2) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$46,600 at 12% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at \$0.005 per share.

On September 4, 2012 the Company entered into a one (1) year convertible Promissory Note with a non-related creditor for \$42,700 at 10% interest. The holder has the right to convert the note to common stock at 50% of the then current market prices. September 19, 2012 through September 28, 2012 the Company received several notices of partial conversion from an unrelated third party. This was a partial reassignment and modification of notes dated October 30, 2011 for \$8,700, November 30, 2011 for \$8,500 and a note dated January 31, 2012 for \$28,000. A total of \$16,300 was converted and 23,857,143 restricted common shares were issued, which leaves a remaining principal balance of \$26,400. This conversion of debt reduced our notes payables \$16,300.

The issuance of such shares of our common stock was effected in reliance on the exemptions for sales of securities not involving a public offering, as set forth in Rule 506 promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act") and in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, based on the following: (a) the debt-holder confirmed to us that they were "accredited investors," as defined in Rule 501 of Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act and had such background, education and experience in financial and business matters as to be able to evaluate the merits and risks of an investment in the securities; (b) there was no public offering or general solicitation with respect to the conversion of the debt and issuance of the shares; (c) the debt-holder acknowledged that the shares being issued were "restricted securities" for purposes of the Securities Act, and agreed to transfer such securities only in a transaction registered under the Securities Act or exempt from registration under the Securities Act; and could only be transferred if subsequently registered under the Securities Act or transferred in a transaction exempt from registration under the Securities Act.

(24)

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. - DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

There were no defaults upon senior securities during the period ended September 30, 2012.

ITEM 4. – MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. - OTHER INFORMATION

There is no information with respect to which information is not otherwise called for by this form.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit No. Description

- 1* Articles of Incorporation
- 3.2* Bylaws
- 4.1* Form of Stock Certificate
- 4.2* Form of Class A Warrant Certificate
- 4.3* Form of Class B Warrant Certificate
- 4.4* Warrant Agreement
- 10.1* Agreement with Absolutely New
- 10.2* Agreement with Dr. Jones
- 10.3* Agreement with Tillman Wright
- 10.4* Agreement with JDSL
- 10.7* Consulting Agreement with Sedgefield Capital
- 10.8* Additional Services Agreement with Sedgefield Capital

10.9* Revised Employment Agreement with Solomon Ali

14.1* Code of Ethics

31.1 Sec. 302 Certification of Principal Executive Officer

31.2 Sec. 302 Certification of Principal Financial Officer

32.1 Sec. 906 Certification of Principal Executive Officer

32.2 Sec. 906 Certification of Principal Financial Officer

* Exhibits are incorporated by reference and can be found in its entirety in our Registration Statement on Form S-1 and our Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2011 and Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2012, (SEC File Number 000-53674) on the website at www.sec.gov

(25)

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf in Charlotte, NC, by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Registrant: Revolutionary Concepts, Inc.

November 19, 2012

By: /s/ Ronald Carter

Ronald Carter, Director, Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

November 19, 2012

By: /s/ Garry Stevenson

Garry Stevenson, Director, Vice President, and Chief Financial Officer

(Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

