

CODORUS VALLEY BANCORP INC

Form 10-Q

August 11, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

**Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010**
or

**Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number: 0-15536
CODORUS VALLEY BANCORP, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

Pennsylvania 23-2428543

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

105 Leader Heights Road, P.O. Box 2887, York, Pennsylvania 17405

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

717-747-1519

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since the last report.)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. On August 4, 2010, 4,093,059 shares of common stock, par value \$2.50, were outstanding.

Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc.
Form 10-Q Index

| | Page # |
|--|--------|
| <u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial statements (unaudited):</u> | |
| <u>Consolidated balance sheets</u> | 3 |
| <u>Consolidated statements of income</u> | 4 |
| <u>Consolidated statements of cash flows</u> | 5 |
| <u>Consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity</u> | 6 |
| <u>Notes to consolidated financial statements</u> | 7 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations</u> | 20 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk</u> | 35 |
| <u>Item 4T. Controls and procedures</u> | 35 |
| <u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal proceedings</u> | 35 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk factors</u> | 35 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered sales of equity securities and use of proceeds</u> | 35 |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults upon senior securities</u> | 35 |
| <u>Item 4. Removed and Reserved</u> | 35 |
| <u>Item 5. Other information</u> | 36 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 36 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 37 |
| <u>Exhibit 31.1</u> | |
| <u>Exhibit 31.2</u> | |
| <u>Exhibit 32</u> | |

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements**

Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc.
Consolidated Balance Sheets
Unaudited

| <i>(dollars in thousands, except share data)</i> | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Interest bearing deposits with banks | \$ 23,267 | \$ 14,545 |
| Cash and due from banks | 12,035 | 8,634 |
| Federal funds sold | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| | | |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 38,302 | 26,179 |
| Securities, available-for-sale | 186,914 | 174,177 |
| Restricted investment in bank stocks, at cost | 4,277 | 4,277 |
| Loans held for sale | 1,509 | 1,266 |
| Loans (net of deferred fees of \$677 2010 and \$766 2009) | 645,379 | 645,877 |
| Less-allowance for loan losses | (6,366) | (7,175) |
| | | |
| Net loans | 639,013 | 638,702 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 10,946 | 11,223 |
| Other assets | 35,904 | 37,007 |
| | | |
| Total assets | \$ 916,865 | \$ 892,831 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Deposits | | |
| Noninterest bearing | \$ 57,761 | \$ 55,583 |
| Interest bearing | 700,136 | 667,374 |
| | | |
| Total deposits | 757,897 | 722,957 |
| Short-term borrowings | 10,390 | 8,466 |
| Long-term debt | 52,346 | 73,972 |
| Junior subordinated debt | 10,310 | 10,310 |
| Other liabilities | 9,448 | 5,114 |
| | | |
| Total liabilities | 840,391 | 820,819 |
| Shareholders equity | | |
| Preferred stock, par value \$2.50 per share; \$1,000 liquidation preference, 1,000,000 shares authorized; 16,500 shares issued and outstanding 2010 and 2009 | 15,905 | 15,828 |
| Common stock, par value \$2.50 per share; 10,000,000 shares authorized; 4,093,193 shares issued and outstanding 2010 and 4,074,636 2009 | 10,233 | 10,187 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 37,136 | 37,004 |
| Retained earnings | 9,071 | 6,592 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 4,129 | 2,401 |

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Total shareholders' equity | 76,474 | 72,012 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 916,865 | \$ 892,831 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Income
Unaudited

| <i>(dollars in thousands, except per share data)</i> | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest income | | | | |
| Loans, including fees | \$ 9,517 | \$ 8,568 | \$ 18,647 | \$ 16,633 |
| Investment securities: | | | | |
| Taxable | 799 | 841 | 1,628 | 1,677 |
| Tax-exempt | 583 | 533 | 1,168 | 899 |
| Dividends | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9 |
| Other | 20 | 19 | 28 | 34 |
| Total interest income | 10,920 | 9,963 | 21,475 | 19,252 |
| Interest expense | | | | |
| Deposits | 2,781 | 3,710 | 5,610 | 7,366 |
| Federal funds purchased and other short-term borrowings | 22 | 10 | 42 | 27 |
| Long-term and junior subordinated debt | 429 | 551 | 897 | 1,071 |
| Total interest expense | 3,232 | 4,271 | 6,549 | 8,464 |
| Net interest income | 7,688 | 5,692 | 14,926 | 10,788 |
| Provision for loan losses | 630 | 1,639 | 1,350 | 1,883 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 7,058 | 4,053 | 13,576 | 8,905 |
| Noninterest income | | | | |
| Trust and investment services fees | 373 | 303 | 719 | 614 |
| Income from mutual fund, annuity and insurance sales | 443 | 358 | 762 | 704 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 649 | 581 | 1,211 | 1,106 |
| Income from bank owned life insurance | 161 | 155 | 319 | 318 |
| Other income | 132 | 154 | 286 | 302 |
| Gains on sales of loans held for sale | 217 | 403 | 361 | 570 |
| Gains on sales of securities | 108 | 128 | 108 | 291 |
| Total noninterest income | 2,083 | 2,082 | 3,766 | 3,905 |
| Noninterest expense | | | | |
| Personnel | 3,213 | 3,157 | 6,419 | 6,503 |
| Occupancy of premises, net | 493 | 448 | 994 | 928 |
| Furniture and equipment | 419 | 401 | 859 | 836 |
| Postage, stationery and supplies | 162 | 139 | 277 | 249 |
| Professional and legal | 138 | 99 | 244 | 183 |

Edgar Filing: CODORUS VALLEY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| Marketing and advertising | 223 | 115 | 350 | 240 |
| FDIC insurance | 316 | 646 | 624 | 876 |
| Debit card processing | 145 | 130 | 280 | 252 |
| Charitable donations | 27 | 31 | 356 | 207 |
| Telephone | 134 | 146 | 272 | 255 |
| Foreclosed real estate including gains (losses) on sales | 911 | 66 | 984 | 106 |
| Impaired loan carrying costs | 387 | 99 | 583 | 133 |
| Other | 674 | 642 | 1,084 | 1,159 |
| Total noninterest expense | 7,242 | 6,119 | 13,326 | 11,927 |
| Income before income taxes (benefit) | 1,899 | 16 | 4,016 | 883 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 274 | (277) | 680 | (373) |
| Net income | 1,625 | 293 | 3,336 | 1,256 |
| Preferred stock dividends and discount accretion | 245 | 244 | 490 | 467 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 1,380 | \$ 49 | \$ 2,846 | \$ 789 |
| Net income per common share, basic and diluted | \$ 0.34 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.70 | \$ 0.20 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
Unaudited

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Six months ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 3,336 | \$ 1,256 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operations: | | |
| Depreciation | 689 | 701 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,350 | 1,883 |
| Provision for losses on foreclosed real estate | 722 | |
| Amortization of investment in real estate partnership | 281 | 271 |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance investment | (319) | (318) |
| Originations of loans held for sale | (21,036) | (50,766) |
| Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale | 20,994 | 50,371 |
| Gains on sales of loans held for sale | (361) | (570) |
| Gains on sales of securities available-for-sale | (108) | (291) |
| Gains on sales of held for sale assets | (35) | |
| Gains on sales of foreclosed real estate | (110) | |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 67 | 100 |
| Decrease (increase) in accrued interest receivable | 165 | (555) |
| Decrease (increase) in other assets | 349 | (1,159) |
| (Decrease) increase in accrued interest payable | (50) | 132 |
| Increase in other liabilities | 4,387 | 1,118 |
| Other, net | 616 | 262 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 10,937 | 2,435 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Securities, available-for-sale | | |
| Purchases | (33,567) | (108,679) |
| Maturities, repayments and calls | 18,246 | 12,565 |
| Sales | 4,845 | 8,947 |
| Net increase in restricted investment in bank stock | | (1,570) |
| Net increase in loans made to customers | (9,882) | (37,401) |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | (420) | (465) |
| Proceeds from sales of foreclosed real estate | 7,395 | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (13,383) | (126,603) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Net increase in demand and savings deposits | 29,985 | 39,197 |
| Net increase in time deposits | 4,955 | 48,186 |
| Net increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings | 1,924 | (18,283) |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | | 66,000 |
| Repayment of long-term debt | (21,626) | (15,601) |
| Cash dividends paid to preferred shareholders | (413) | (289) |

Edgar Filing: CODORUS VALLEY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Cash dividends paid to common shareholders | (367) | (804) |
| Net proceeds from issuance of preferred stock and common stock warrants | | 16,461 |
| Issuance of common stock | 111 | 189 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 14,569 | 135,056 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 12,123 | 10,888 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 26,179 | 14,875 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 38,302 | \$ 25,763 |

See accompanying notes.

- 5 -

Table of Contents

Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity
Unaudited

| <i>(dollars in thousands, except share data)</i> | Preferred Stock | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | Total |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|-----------|
| For the six months ended June 30, 2010 | | | | | | |
| Balance, January 1, 2010 | \$ 15,828 | \$ 10,187 | \$ 37,004 | \$ 6,592 | \$ 2,401 | \$ 72,012 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | 3,336 | | 3,336 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax: | | | | | | |
| Unrealized gains on securities, net | | | | | 1,728 | 1,728 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | 5,064 |
| Preferred stock discount accretion | 77 | | | (77) | | |
| Common stock cash dividends (\$0.09 per share) | | | | (367) | | (367) |
| Preferred stock dividends | | | | (413) | | (413) |
| Stock-based compensation | | | 67 | | | 67 |
| Issuance of common stock: | | | | | | |
| 10,627 shares under dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan | | 26 | 48 | | | 74 |
| 7,930 shares under employee stock purchase plan | | 20 | 17 | | | 37 |
| Balance, June 30, 2010 | \$ 15,905 | \$ 10,233 | \$ 37,136 | \$ 9,071 | \$ 4,129 | \$ 76,474 |
| For the six months ended June 30, 2009 | | | | | | |
| Balance, January 1, 2009 | \$ | \$ 10,043 | \$ 35,877 | \$ 5,057 | \$ 1,204 | \$ 52,181 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | 1,256 | | 1,256 |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax: | | | | | | |
| Unrealized losses on securities, net | | | | | (400) | (400) |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | 856 |
| Preferred stock and common stock warrants issued, net of issuance costs of \$39 | 15,678 | | 783 | | | 16,461 |

Edgar Filing: CODORUS VALLEY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|
| Preferred stock discount accretion | 73 | | | (73) | | |
| Common stock cash dividends (\$0.20 per share) | | | | (804) | | (804) |
| Preferred stock dividends | | | | (289) | | (289) |
| Stock-based compensation | | 100 | | | | 100 |
| Issuance of common stock: | | | | | | |
| 18,557 shares under dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan | 46 | 102 | | | | 148 |
| 7,581 shares under employee stock purchase plan | 19 | 22 | | | | 41 |
| Balance, June 30, 2009 | \$ 15,751 | \$ 10,108 | \$ 36,884 | \$ 5,147 | \$ 804 | \$ 68,694 |

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)****Note 1 Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2009 has been derived from audited financial statements and the unaudited interim financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information, the instructions to Form 10-Q, and FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 270. Accordingly, the interim financial statements do not include all of the financial information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the interim financial statements include all adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial condition and results of operations for the reported periods, and are of a normal and recurring nature.

These statements should be read in conjunction with the notes to the audited financial statements contained in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc. and its wholly owned bank subsidiary, PeoplesBank, A Codorus Valley Company (PeoplesBank), and its wholly owned nonbank subsidiary, SYC Realty Company, Inc. (collectively referred to as Codorus Valley or the Corporation). PeoplesBank has five wholly owned subsidiaries, Codorus Valley Financial Advisors, Inc. and SYC Settlement Services, Inc. and three subsidiaries whose purpose is to temporarily hold foreclosed properties pending eventual liquidation. All significant intercompany account balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The combined results of operations of the nonbank subsidiaries are not material to the consolidated financial statements.

The results of operations for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

In accordance with FASB ASC 855, the Corporation evaluated the events and transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date of June 30, 2010, but before the financial statements were issued for potential recognition or disclosure. In preparing these financial statements, the Corporation evaluated the events and transactions that occurred from June 30, 2010 through the date these financial statements were issued.

Note 2 Significant Accounting Policies*Per Share Computations*

The weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding used for basic and diluted calculations are provided below:

| <i>(in thousands, except per share data)</i> | Three months ended | | Six months ended | |
|---|--------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 1,380 | \$ 49 | \$ 2,846 | \$ 789 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding (basic) | 4,083 | 4,032 | 4,080 | 4,028 |
| Effect of dilutive stock options | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding (diluted) | 4,094 | 4,032 | 4,082 | 4,028 |
| Basic and diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.34 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.70 | \$ 0.20 |
| Anti-dilutive stock options and common stock warrants excluded from the computation of earnings per share | 421 | 435 | 421 | 423 |

Table of Contents*Comprehensive Income*

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that recognized revenue, expenses, gains and losses be included in net income. Although certain changes in assets and liabilities, such as unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities, are reported as a separate component of the equity section of the balance sheet, such items, along with net income, are components of comprehensive income. The components of other comprehensive income (loss) and related tax effects are presented in the following table:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Three months ended | | Six months ended | |
|---|--------------------|---------|------------------|----------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during the period | \$ 2,034 | \$ (3) | \$ 2,726 | \$ (315) |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains included in income | (108) | (128) | (108) | (291) |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) | 1,926 | (131) | 2,618 | (606) |
| Tax effect | (655) | 44 | (890) | 206 |
| Net of tax amount | \$ 1,271 | \$ (87) | \$ 1,728 | \$ (400) |

Cash Flow Information

For purposes of the statements of cash flows, the Corporation considers interest bearing deposits with banks, cash and due from banks, and federal funds sold to be cash and cash equivalents. Noncash items for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 consisted of the transfer of loans to foreclosed real estate in the amount of \$8,291,000 and the transfer of loans held for sale to investment in the amount of \$160,000. Comparatively, for the six-month period ended June 30, 2009 noncash transfers included the transfer of loans to foreclosed real estate in the amount of \$1,556,000 and the transfer of loans held for sale to investment in the amount of \$3,585,000.

Supplemental Benefit Plans

In January 2009, the Corporation incurred a non-recurring cost of \$242,000 to restructure employee benefit plans. Restructuring the benefit plans resulted in a federal income tax benefit so that the overall transaction had an insignificant impact on net income.

Income Taxes

The provision for income tax for the three and six month periods ending June 30, 2009 was a credit, or tax benefit, which reflected a low level of pretax income and significant increase in tax-exempt income. The tax benefit for the six month period ending June 30, 2009 included a federal income tax benefit of \$242,000 associated with restructuring employee benefit plans in the first quarter of 2009.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The FASB issued ASU 2010-20, *Receivables (Topic 310) Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses*. This ASU requires more information about the credit quality of financing receivables in the disclosures to financial statements, such as aging information and credit quality indicators. Both new and existing disclosures must be disaggregated by portfolio segment or class. The disaggregation of information is based on how a company develops its allowance for credit losses and how it manages its credit exposure. The amendments in this Update apply to all public and

Table of Contents

nonpublic entities with financing receivables. Financing receivables include loans and trade accounts receivable. However, short-term trade accounts receivable, receivables measured at fair value or lower of cost or fair value, and debt securities are exempt from these disclosure amendments. For public companies, the amendments that require disclosures as of the end of a reporting period are effective for periods *ending* on or after December 15, 2010. The amendments that require disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The Corporation is currently reviewing the effect this new pronouncement will have on its consolidated financial statements.

The FASB issued ASU 2010-06, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820) Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements*. The amendments in this Update require some new disclosures and clarify some existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurement as set forth in Codification Subtopic 820-10. Specifically, ASU 2010-06 amends Codification Subtopic 820-10 to now require:

A reporting entity should disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers; and

In the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs, a reporting entity should present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements.

In addition, ASU 2010-06 clarifies the requirements of the following existing disclosures:

For purposes of reporting fair value measurement for each class of assets and liabilities, a reporting entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets and liabilities; and

A reporting entity should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements.

The Corporation adopted the update, except for disclosures about purchases, sales and issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements, which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. The adoption of the Update did not have an effect on the Corporation's financial position or results of operations.

The FASB issued ASU 2009-16, *Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860) Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets* an amendment of FASB Statement 140. The amendments in the Update improve financial reporting by eliminating the exceptions for qualifying special-purpose entities from consolidation guidance and the exception that permitted sale accounting for certain mortgage securitizations when a transferor has not surrendered control over the transferred financial assets. In addition, the amendments require enhanced disclosures about the risks that a transferor continues to be exposed to because of its continuing involvement in transferred financial assets. Comparability and consistency in accounting for transferred financial assets will also be improved through clarifications of the requirements for isolation and limitations on portions of financial assets that are eligible for sale accounting. The Corporation adopted the update, and it did not have an effect on its financial position or results of operations.

In November 2008, the SEC released a proposed roadmap regarding the potential use by U.S. issuers of financial statements prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). IFRS is a comprehensive series of accounting standards published by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). Under the proposed roadmap, the Corporation may be required to prepare financial statements in accordance with IFRS as early as 2015. The SEC has indicated it will make a determination in 2011 regarding the mandatory adoption of IFRS. The Corporation is currently assessing the impact that this potential change would have on its consolidated financial statements, and it will continue to monitor the development of the potential implementation of IFRS.

Table of Contents**Note 3 Securities Available-for-Sale**

A summary of available-for-sale securities at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 is provided below:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains Losses | | Estimated Fair Value |
|---|---------------------------|---|----------|-------------------------------------|
| June 30, 2010 | | | | |
| Debt securities: | | | | |
| U.S. treasury notes | \$ 8,018 | \$ 109 | \$ | \$ 8,127 |
| U.S. agency | 12,046 | 181 | | 12,227 |
| U.S. agency mortgage-backed, residential | 81,643 | 3,420 | | 85,063 |
| State and municipal | 78,950 | 2,602 | (55) | 81,497 |
| Total debt securities, available-for-sale | \$ 180,657 | \$ 6,312 | \$ (55) | \$ 186,914 |
| December 31, 2009 | | | | |
| Debt securities: | | | | |
| U.S. agency | \$ 13,526 | \$ 120 | \$ | \$ 13,646 |
| U.S. agency mortgage-backed, residential | 82,579 | 1,715 | (34) | 84,260 |
| State and municipal | 73,446 | 2,059 | (164) | 75,341 |
| Corporate trust preferred | 987 | | (57) | 930 |
| Total debt securities, available-for-sale | \$ 170,538 | \$ 3,894 | \$ (255) | \$ 174,177 |

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of debt securities at June 30, 2010 by contractual maturity are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities if call options on select debt issues are exercised in the future. Mortgage-backed securities are included in the maturity categories based on average expected life.

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Available-for-sale | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 5,776 | \$ 5,830 |
| Due after one year through five years | 122,880 | 127,571 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 47,287 | 48,779 |
| Due after ten years | 4,714 | 4,734 |
| Total debt securities | \$ 180,657 | \$ 186,914 |

Gross gains realized from the sale of available-for-sale securities were \$108,000 and \$291,000 for the six months and \$108,000 and \$128,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Realized gains and losses from the sale of available-for-sale securities are computed on the basis of specific identification of the adjusted cost of each security and are shown net as a separate line item in the income statement. Securities, issued by agencies of the federal government, with a carrying value of \$92,987,000 and \$84,460,000 on June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, were pledged to secure public and trust deposits, repurchase agreements, other short-term borrowings and Federal Home Loan Bank debt.

Table of Contents

The table below shows investments gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or more | | Total | |
|--|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| June 30, 2010 | | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale | | | | | | |
| Debt securities: | | | | | | |
| State and municipal | \$ 3,419 | \$ 52 | \$ 461 | \$ 3 | \$ 3,880 | \$ 55 |
| Total temporarily impaired debt securities | \$ 3,419 | \$ 52 | \$ 461 | \$ 3 | \$ 3,880 | \$ 55 |
| December 31, 2009 | | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale | | | | | | |
| Debt securities: | | | | | | |
| U.S. agency mortgage-backed, residential | \$ 8,656 | \$ 34 | \$ | \$ | \$ 8,656 | \$ 34 |
| State and municipal | 10,607 | 164 | | | 10,607 | 164 |
| Corporate trust preferred | | | 930 | 57 | 930 | 57 |
| Total temporarily impaired debt securities | \$ 19,263 | \$ 198 | \$ 930 | \$ 57 | \$ 20,193 | \$ 255 |

At June 30, 2010, the unrealized losses within the less than 12 months category of \$52,000 were attributable to eight different municipal securities, and \$3,000 in the 12 months or more category was attributed to one municipal security. In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB ASC Topic 320. This guidance clarifies the interaction of the factors that should be considered when determining whether a debt security is other-than-temporarily impaired. For debt securities, the Corporation must assess whether (a) it has the intent to sell the security and (b) it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security prior to its anticipated recovery. These steps are done before assessing whether the entity will recover the cost basis of the investment. Previously, this assessment required the Corporation to assert it has both the intent and the ability to hold a security for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated recovery in fair value to avoid recognizing an other-than-temporary impairment. This change does not affect the need to forecast recovery of the value of the security through either cash flows or market price.

In instances when a determination is made that an other-than-temporary impairment exists but the Corporation does not intend to sell the debt security and it is unlikely that the Corporation will be required to sell the debt security prior to its anticipated recovery, FASB ASC Topic 320 changes the presentation and amount of the other-than-temporary impairment recognized in the income statement. The other-than-temporary impairment is separated into (a) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to a decrease in cash flows expected to be collected from the debt security (the credit loss) and (b) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors. The amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to the credit loss is recognized in earnings. The amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. FASB ASC Topic 320 was effective for the Corporation for interim and annual reporting periods ended after June 15, 2009.

Available-for-sale securities are analyzed quarterly for possible other-than-temporary impairment. The analysis considers, among other factors: 1) whether the Corporation has the intent to sell its securities prior to market recovery

or maturity; 2) whether it is more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell its securities prior to market recovery or maturity; 3) default rates/history by security type; 4) third-party securities ratings; 5) third-party guarantees; 6) subordination; 7) payment delinquencies; and 8) current financial news.

We believe that unrealized losses at June 30, 2010 were primarily the result of changes in market interest rates and that we have the ability to hold these investments for a time necessary to recover the amortized cost. To date, the Corporation has collected all interest and principal on its investment securities as scheduled. We believe that collection of the contractual principal and interest is probable and therefore, all impairment is considered to be temporary.

Table of Contents**Note 4 Restricted Investment in Bank Stocks**

Restricted stock represents required investments in the common stock of correspondent banks. It consists primarily of the common stock of FHLB of Pittsburgh (FHLB) and to a lesser degree Atlantic Central Bankers Bank (ACBB) and is carried at cost as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. Under the FHLB's Capital Plan, PeoplesBank is required to maintain a minimum member stock investment, both as a condition of becoming and remaining a member and as a condition of obtaining loans from the FHLB. In December 2008, the FHLB notified member banks that it was suspending dividend payments and the repurchase of capital stock.

We evaluate the restricted stock for impairment in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 942. Our determination of whether these investments are impaired is based on their assessment of the ultimate recoverability of their cost rather than by recognizing temporary declines in value. The determination of whether a decline affects the ultimate recoverability of their cost is influenced by criteria such as: (1) the significance of the decline in net assets of the FHLB as compared to the capital stock amount for the FHLB and the length of time this situation has persisted, (2) commitments by the FHLB to make payments required by law or regulation and the level of such payments in relation to the operating performance of the FHLB, and (3) the impact of legislative and regulatory changes on institutions and, accordingly, on the customer base of the FHLB. We believe that no impairment charge was necessary related to the restricted stock as of June 30, 2010.

Note 5 Loans

The composition of the loan portfolio was as follows:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$ 419,666 | \$ 415,404 |
| Real estate construction and land development | 101,029 | 104,986 |
| Total commercial related loans | 520,695 | 520,390 |
| Real estate residential and home equity | 73,515 | 73,294 |
| Consumer | 51,169 | 52,193 |
| Total consumer related loans | 124,684 | 125,487 |
| Total loans | \$ 645,379 | \$ 645,877 |

Note 6 Impaired Commercial Loans

Information regarding impaired commercial loans, comprised of loans classified as nonaccrual, substandard or 90 days past due, at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, is provided below. Commercial loans are predominately real estate collateral dependent. Accordingly, impairment is based on the net realizable value of the collateral relative to recorded investment in the loan.

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| Impaired loans without a related allowance | \$ 22,531 | \$ 24,605 |
| Impaired loans with a related allowance | 1,091 | 7,828 |
| Total impaired loans | \$ 23,622 | \$ 32,433 |
| Allowance for impaired loans | \$ 340 | \$ 2,401 |

Table of Contents**Note 7 Deposits**

The composition of deposits was as follows:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Noninterest bearing demand | \$ 57,761 | \$ 55,583 |
| NOW | 62,393 | 55,010 |
| Money market | 203,063 | 186,873 |
| Savings | 27,742 | 23,508 |
| Time deposits less than \$100,000 | 241,371 | 238,594 |
| Time deposits \$100,000 or more | 165,567 | 163,389 |
| Total deposits | \$ 757,897 | \$ 722,957 |

Note 8 Long-term Debt

PeoplesBank's obligations to the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (FHLBP) are primarily fixed rate instruments. A summary of long-term debt at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, is provided below:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Obligations of PeoplesBank to FHLBP: | | |
| Due February 2010, 1.55% | \$ | \$ 15,000 |
| Due June 2010, 4.32% | | 6,000 |
| Due January 2011, 2.06% | 14,000 | 14,000 |
| Due January 2011, 4.30%, amortizing | 3,527 | 3,676 |
| Due August 2011, 2.42% | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| Due January 2012, 2.34% | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| Due June 2012, 4.25%, amortizing | 760 | 948 |
| Due December 2012, 1.91% | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| Due May 2013, 3.46%, amortizing | 1,641 | 1,906 |
| Due December 2013, 2.39% | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| | 51,928 | 73,530 |
| Capital lease obligation | 418 | 442 |
| Total long-term debt | \$ 52,346 | \$ 73,972 |

Note 9 Regulatory Matters

Codorus Valley and PeoplesBank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by banking regulators. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possible additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a material effect on Codorus Valley's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, Codorus Valley and PeoplesBank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators.

Table of Contents

Quantitative measures established by regulators to ensure capital adequacy require Codorus Valley and PeoplesBank to maintain minimum ratios, as set forth below, to total and Tier 1 capital as a percentage of risk-weighted assets, and of Tier 1 capital to quarter-to-date average assets (leverage ratio). We believe that Codorus Valley and PeoplesBank were well capitalized on June 30, 2010, based on regulatory capital guidelines.

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Actual | | Minimum for Capital Adequacy | | Well Capitalized Minimum* | |
|--|---------------|--------------|---|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc. | | | | | | |
| <i>(consolidated)</i> | | | | | | |
| at June 30, 2010 | | | | | | |
| Capital ratios: | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 risk based | \$ 82,018 | 12.24% | \$ 26,821 | 4.00% | n/a | n/a |
| Total risk based | 88,384 | 13.18 | 53,643 | 8.00 | n/a | n/a |
| Leverage | 82,018 | 9.10 | 36,078 | 4.00 | n/a | n/a |
| at December 31, 2009 | | | | | | |
| Capital ratios: | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 risk based | \$ 79,286 | 11.83% | \$ 26,810 | 4.00% | n/a | n/a |
| Total risk based | 86,461 | 12.90 | 53,620 | 8.00 | n/a | n/a |
| Leverage | 79,286 | 9.11 | 34,815 | 4.00 | n/a | n/a |
| PeoplesBank, A Codorus Valley Company | | | | | | |
| at June 30, 2010 | | | | | | |
| Capital ratios: | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 risk based | \$ 78,840 | 11.81% | \$ 26,693 | 4.00% | \$ 40,040 | 6.00% |
| Total risk based | 85,206 | 12.77 | 53,386 | 8.00 | 66,733 | 10.00 |
| Leverage | 78,840 | 8.78 | 35,933 | 4.00 | 44,916 | 5.00 |
| at December 31, 2009 | | | | | | |
| Capital ratios: | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 risk based | \$ 74,945 | 11.25% | \$ 26,647 | 4.00% | \$ 39,970 | 6.00% |
| Total risk based | 82,120 | 12.33 | 53,293 | 8.00 | 66,616 | 10.00 |
| Leverage | 74,945 | 8.66 | 34,601 | 4.00 | 43,251 | 5.00 |

* To be well capitalized under prompt corrective action provisions.

Note 10 Shareholders Equity*Preferred Stock Issued to the United States Department of the Treasury*

In connection with the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (EESA), the U.S. Treasury Department (Treasury) initiated a Capital Purchase Program (CPP) which allowed for qualifying financial institutions to issue preferred stock to the Treasury, subject to certain limitations and terms. The EESA was developed to attract broad participation by strong financial institutions, to stabilize the financial system and increase lending to benefit the national economy and citizens of the United States.

On January 9, 2009, the Corporation entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with the Treasury pursuant to which the Corporation sold to the Treasury, for an aggregate purchase price of \$16.5 million, 16,500 shares of non-voting cumulative perpetual preferred stock, \$1,000 liquidation value, \$2.50 par value, and warrants to purchase up to 263,859 shares of common stock, par value \$2.50 per share, with an exercise price of \$9.38 per share. As a condition under the CPP, without the consent of the Treasury, the Corporation's share repurchases are limited to purchases in connection with the administration of any employee benefit plan, including purchases to offset share dilution in connection with any such plans. This restriction is effective until January 9, 2012 or until the Treasury no longer owns any of the Corporation's preferred shares issued under the CPP. The Corporation's preferred stock is included as a component of Tier 1 capital in accordance with regulatory capital requirements. See Note 9, Regulatory Matters for details of the Corporation's regulatory capital.

Table of Contents

The preferred stock ranks senior to the Corporation's common shares and pays a compounded cumulative dividend at a rate of 5 percent per year for the first five years, and 9 percent per year thereafter. Dividends are payable quarterly on February 15th, May 15th, August 15th and November 15th. The Corporation is prohibited from paying any dividend with respect to shares of common stock or repurchasing or redeeming any shares of the Corporation's common shares in any quarter unless all accrued and unpaid dividends are paid on the preferred stock for all past dividend periods (including the latest completed dividend period), subject to certain limited exceptions. In addition, without the prior consent of the Treasury, the Corporation is prohibited from declaring or paying any cash dividends on common shares in excess of \$0.12 per share, which was the last quarterly cash dividend per share declared prior to October 14, 2008. The CPP also places restrictions on incentive compensation to senior executives. The preferred stock is non-voting, other than class voting rights on matters that could adversely affect the preferred stock, and is generally redeemable at the liquidation value at any time in whole or in part (i.e., a minimum of 25 percent of the issue price) with regulatory permission.

Common Stock Warrants

The 263,859 shares of common stock warrants issued to the Treasury have a term of 10 years (expiring January 9, 2019) and are exercisable at any time, in whole or in part, at an exercise price of \$9.38 per share (subject to certain anti-dilution adjustments). The \$16.5 million of proceeds was allocated to the preferred stock and the warrants based on their relative fair values at issuance (\$15.7 million was allocated to the preferred stock and \$783,000 to the warrants). The fair value of the preferred stock was based on a 10 percent assumed market discount rate. The fair value of the stock warrants was calculated by a third-party software model based on many financial assumptions, including market price of the stock, stock price volatility and risk free interest rate. The difference between the initial value allocated to the preferred stock of approximately \$15.7 million and the liquidation value of \$16.5 million, i.e., the preferred stock discount, will be charged to retained earnings over the first five years of the life of the preferred stock as an adjustment to the dividend yield using the effective yield method.

Note 11 Contingent Liabilities

We are not aware of any material contingent liabilities as of June 30, 2010.

Note 12 Guarantees

Codorus Valley does not issue any guarantees that would require liability recognition or disclosure, other than its standby letters of credit. Standby letters of credit are written conditional commitments issued by PeoplesBank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Generally, all letters of credit, when issued, have expiration dates within one year. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as those that are involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The Corporation generally holds collateral and/or personal guarantees supporting these commitments. The Corporation had \$6,718,000 of standby letters of credit outstanding on June 30, 2010, compared to \$5,651,000 on December 31, 2009. Management believes that the proceeds obtained through a liquidation of collateral and the enforcement of guarantees would be sufficient to cover the potential amount of future payment required under the corresponding letters of credit. The amount of the liability as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, for guarantees under standby letters of credit issued, was not material. Many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn and therefore, generally do not present significant liquidity risk to the Corporation or PeoplesBank.

Note 13 Fair Value Measurements and Fair Values of Financial Instruments

We use our best judgment in estimating the fair value of the Corporation's financial instruments; however, there are inherent weaknesses in any estimation technique. Therefore, for substantially all financial instruments, the fair value estimates herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized in sales transactions on the dates indicated. The estimated fair value amounts have been measured as of their respective period-ends and have not been re-evaluated or updated for purposes of these financial statements subsequent to those respective dates. As such, the estimated fair values of these financial instruments subsequent to the respective reporting dates may be different than the amounts reported at each period end.

Table of Contents

Fair value measurement guidance defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell the asset or transfer the liability in an orderly transaction (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. Additional guidance is provided on determining when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability has significantly decreased and on identifying circumstances when a transaction may not be considered orderly.

Fair value measurement and disclosure guidance provides a list of factors that a reporting entity should evaluate to determine whether there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability in relation to normal market activity for the asset or liability. When the reporting entity concludes there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, further analysis of the information from that market is needed, and significant adjustments to the related prices may be necessary to estimate fair value in accordance with fair value measurement and disclosure guidance.

This guidance further clarifies that, when there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, some transactions may not be orderly. In those situations, the entity must evaluate the weight of the evidence to determine whether the transaction is orderly. The guidance provides a list of circumstances that may indicate that a transaction is not orderly. A transaction price that is not associated with an orderly transaction is given little, if any, weight when estimating fair value.

Fair value and disclosure guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation methods used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3: Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e. supported with little or no market activity).

An asset's or liability's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Table of Contents

For financial assets measured at fair value, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Total | (Level 1) Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets | (Level 2) Significant Other Observable Inputs | (Level 3) Significant Other Unobservable Inputs |
|---|------------|---|---|---|
| June 30, 2010 | | | | |
| Measured at fair value on a recurring basis: | | | | |
| Securities, available-for-sale: | | | | |
| U.S. treasury notes | \$ 8,127 | \$ 8,127 | \$ | \$ |
| Other | 178,787 | | 178,787 | |
| Measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis: | | | | |
| Impaired loans | 751 | | | 751 |
| Other real estate owned | 2,576 | | | 2,576 |
| December 31, 2009 | | | | |
| Measured at fair value on a recurring basis: | | | | |
| Securities, available-for-sale | | | | |
| | \$ 174,177 | \$ | \$ 174,177 | \$ |
| Measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis: | | | | |
| Impaired loans | 5,427 | | | 5,427 |
| Other real estate owned | 668 | | | 668 |

The following information should not be interpreted as an estimate of the fair value of the entire Corporation since a fair value calculation is only provided for a limited portion of the Corporation's assets and liabilities. Due to a wide range of valuation techniques and the degree of subjectivity used in making the estimates, comparisons between the Corporation's disclosures and those of other companies may not be meaningful. The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair values of the Corporation's financial instruments and certain nonfinancial assets at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

Cash and cash equivalents (carried at cost)

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash and short-term instruments approximate those assets' fair values.

Securities, available-for-sale (carried at fair value)

The fair values of securities available-for-sale are determined by obtaining quoted market prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1), or matrix pricing (Level 2), which is a mathematical technique used widely in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted market prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted prices.

Restricted investment in bank stocks (carried at cost)

The carrying amount of restricted investment in bank stocks approximates fair value, and considers the limited marketability of such securities.

Loans held for sale (carried at lower of cost or fair value)

The fair value of loans held for sale is determined, when possible, using quoted secondary-market prices. If no such quoted prices exist, the fair value of a loan is determined using quoted prices for a similar loan or loans, adjusted for the specific attributes of that loan. At June 30, 2010, the fair value of loans held for sale exceeded the cost basis.

Table of Contents**Loans** (carried at cost)

Generally, for variable and adjustable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair value is based on carrying value. Fair values for other loans in the portfolio are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using market rates at the balance sheet date that reflect the credit and interest rate-risk inherent in the loans. Projected future cash flows are calculated based upon contractual maturity or call dates, projected repayments and prepayments of principal.

Impaired loans (generally carried at fair value)

Impaired loans are those that are accounted for under FASB ASC Topic 310, in which the Corporation has measured impairment generally based on the fair value of the loan's collateral. Fair value is generally determined based upon independent third-party appraisals of the properties, or discounted cash flows based upon the expected proceeds. A portion of the allowance for loan losses is allocated to impaired loans if the value of the collateral supporting such loans is deemed to be less than the unpaid balance. If these allocations cause the allowance for loan losses to require increase, such increase is reported as a component of the provision for loan losses. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when we believe that the uncollectability of a loan is confirmed. These loans are included as Level 3 fair values, based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements. At June 30, 2010, the fair value of loans with a specific reserve allowance was \$1,091,000, net of a valuation allowance of \$340,000, compared to \$7,828,000, net of a valuation allowance of \$2,401,000 at December 31, 2009.

Other Real Estate Owned (carried at lower of cost or fair value)

Other real estate property acquired through foreclosure is initially recorded at fair value of the property at the transfer date less estimated selling cost. Subsequently, other real estate owned is carried at the lower of its carrying value or the fair value less estimated selling cost. Fair value is usually determined based upon an independent third-party appraisal of the property or occasionally upon a recent sales offer. The carrying value of other real estate at June 30, 2010 with a valuation allowance was \$2,576,000 (\$3,298,000 less \$722,000 allowance), which pertained to a single property. At December 31, 2009, the carrying value of other real estate with a valuation allowance was \$668,000 (\$857,000 less \$189,000 allowance), which pertained to a single property that was sold in the first quarter of 2010.

Interest receivable and payable (carried at cost)

The carrying amount of interest receivable and interest payable approximates its fair value.

Deposit liabilities (carried at cost)

The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (e.g., noninterest and interest bearing checking, money market and savings accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). Fair values for variable rate time deposits that reprice frequently are based on carrying value. Fair values for fixed rate time deposits are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered in the market on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities of time deposits.

Short-term borrowings (carried at cost)

The carrying amount of short-term borrowings approximates its fair value.

Long-term debt (carried at cost)

Fair values of FHLB advances are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, based on quoted prices for new FHLB advances with similar credit risk characteristics, terms and remaining maturity. These prices are obtained from this active market and represent a market value that is deemed to represent the transfer price if the liability were assumed by a third party.

Table of Contents**Junior subordinated debt** (carried at cost)

The fair value of junior subordinated debt is estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, based on market rates and spread characteristics currently offered on such debt with similar credit risk characteristics, terms and remaining maturity.

Off-balance sheet financial instruments (disclosed at cost)

Fair values for the Corporation's off-balance sheet financial instruments (lending commitments and letters of credit) are based on fees currently charged in the market to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing. These amounts were not considered to be material at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

The estimated fair values of the Corporation's financial instruments were as follows at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

| | June 30, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|---|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value |
| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | | | | |
| Financial assets | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 38,302 | \$ 38,302 | \$ 26,179 | \$ 26,179 |
| Securities, available-for-sale | 186,914 | 186,914 | 174,177 | 174,177 |
| Restricted investment in bank stocks | 4,277 | 4,277 | 4,277 | 4,277 |
| Loans held for sale | 1,509 | 1,546 | 1,266 | 1,293 |
| Loans, net | 639,013 | 643,300 | 638,702 | 641,250 |
| Interest receivable | 3,262 | 3,262 | 3,427 | 3,427 |
| Financial liabilities | | | | |
| Noninterest bearing demand, NOW, money market and savings deposits | \$ 350,959 | \$ 350,959 | \$ 320,974 | \$ 320,974 |
| Time deposits | 406,938 | 415,029 | 401,983 | 406,203 |
| Short-term borrowings | 10,390 | 10,390 | 8,466 | 8,466 |
| Long-term debt | 52,346 | 53,357 | 73,972 | 74,681 |
| Junior subordinated debt | 10,310 | 4,194 | 10,310 | 4,331 |
| Interest payable | 702 | 702 | 752 | 752 |
| Off-balance sheet instruments | | | | |

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Management's discussion and analysis of the significant changes in the results of operations, capital resources and liquidity presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc. (Codorus Valley or the Corporation), a bank holding company, and its wholly owned subsidiary, PeoplesBank, A Codorus Valley Company (PeoplesBank), are provided below. Codorus Valley's consolidated financial condition and results of operations consist almost entirely of PeoplesBank's financial condition and results of operations. Current performance does not guarantee, and may not be indicative of, similar performance in the future.

Forward-looking statements:

Management of the Corporation has made forward-looking statements in this Form 10-Q. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements include information concerning possible or assumed future results of operations of the Corporation and its subsidiaries. When words such as "believes," "expects," "anticipates" or similar expressions occur in the Form 10-Q, management is making forward-looking statements. Note that many factors, some of which are discussed elsewhere in this report and in the documents that are incorporated by reference, could affect the future financial results of the Corporation and its subsidiaries, both individually and collectively, and could cause those results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements contained or incorporated by reference in this Form 10-Q. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

- operating, legal and regulatory risks, including the potential impact of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act;
- a prolonged economic downturn;
- an increase in nonperforming assets requiring loss provisions and the incurrence of carrying costs;
- declines in the market value of investment securities considered to be other than temporary;
- the effect of and changes in the rate of FDIC premiums, including special assessments;
- interest rate fluctuations which could increase our cost of funds or decrease our yield on earning assets and therefore reduce our net interest income;
- future legislative or administrative changes to the TARP Capital Purchase Program;
- unavailability of capital when needed or, available at less than favorable terms;
- political and competitive forces affecting banking, securities, asset management and credit services businesses;
- and
- the risk that management's analysis of these risks and forces could be incorrect and/or that the strategies developed to address them could be unsuccessful.

The Corporation undertakes no obligation to publicly revise or update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date of this report.

Critical accounting estimates:

Disclosure of Codorus Valley's significant accounting policies is included in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements included in the 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2009. Some of these policies require management to make significant judgments, estimates and assumptions that have a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities.

Table of Contents

We make significant estimates in determining the allowance for loan losses, valuation of foreclosed real estate, and evaluation of other-than-temporary impairment losses of securities. We consider a variety of factors in establishing allowance for loan losses such as current economic conditions, diversification of the loan portfolio, delinquency statistics, results of internal loan reviews, financial and managerial strength of borrowers, adequacy of collateral, if collateral dependent, and present value of future cash flows and other relevant factors. Foreclosed real estate is initially recorded at fair value minus estimated costs to sell at the date of foreclosure, establishing a new cost basis. Appraisals are generally used to determine fair value. After foreclosure, we review valuations at least quarterly and adjust the asset to the lower of carrying value or fair value minus estimated costs to sell. Estimates related to the value of collateral also have a significant impact on whether or not we continue to accrue income on delinquent loans and on the amounts at which foreclosed real estate is recorded on the balance sheet.

We record the available-for-sale securities portfolio at fair value. Fair values for these securities are determined based on methodologies in accordance with FASB ASC 820, and as clarified by several FASB accounting standard updates. Fair values for debt securities are volatile and may be influenced by any number of factors, including market interest rates, prepayment trends, discount rates, credit ratings and yield curves. Fair values for debt securities are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on the quoted prices of similar instruments or an estimate of fair value by using a range of fair value estimates in the market place as a result of the illiquid market specific to the type of security. When the fair value of a debt security is below its amortized cost, and depending on the length of time the condition exists and the extent the fair value is below amortized cost, additional analysis is performed to determine whether an other-than-temporary impairment condition exists. Available-for-sale debt securities are analyzed quarterly for possible other-than-temporary impairment. The analysis considers whether the Corporation has the intent to sell its debt securities prior to market recovery or maturity and whether it is more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell its debt securities prior to market recovery or maturity. Often, information available to conduct these assessments is limited and rapidly changing, making estimates of fair value subject to judgment. If actual information or conditions are different than estimated, the extent of the impairment of the debt security may be different than previously estimated, which could have a material effect on the Corporation's results of operations and financial condition.

We discussed the development and selection of critical accounting estimates and related Management Discussion and Analysis disclosure with the Audit Committee. There were no material changes made to the critical accounting estimates during the periods presented within this report. Additional information is contained in Management's Discussion and Analysis regarding critical accounting estimates, including the provision and allowance for loan losses, located on pages 26 and 33 of this report.

**Three months ended June 30, 2010,
compared to three months ended June 30, 2009**

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The Corporation earned net income available to common shareholders of \$1,380,000 or \$0.34 per share (\$0.34 diluted) for the three-month period ended June 30, 2010, compared to \$49,000 or \$0.01 per share (\$0.01 diluted), for the same period of 2009. The \$1,331,000 increase in net income available to common shareholders was the result of an increase in net interest income and a decrease in the provision for loan losses, which more than offset increases in noninterest expense and income tax expense.

The \$1,996,000 or 35 percent increase in net interest income for the second quarter reflected a larger volume of interest earning assets, principally business loans and investment securities, compared to 2009. Net interest income was also favorably impacted by lower rates paid on deposit products, which reflected record lows for short-term market interest rates. The net interest margin was 3.76 percent for the current quarter, compared to 3.04 percent for the same period in 2009. The provision for loan losses for the current period decreased \$1,009,000 or 62 percent, compared to 2009. The provision in the second quarter of 2009 included the impact of a large provision for an impaired commercial real estate loan that was later transferred to the foreclosed real estate portfolio. Loan charge-offs, which were reserved for in 2009, increased during the current quarter. As a result, the Corporation's allowance for loan losses ratio decreased and the annualized net loan charge-offs ratio increased. The \$1,123,000 or 18 percent increase in noninterest expense was primarily the result of increased carrying costs and loss provisions for foreclosed real

estate, and increased carrying costs for impaired loans. The \$551,000 or 199 percent increase in income tax expense for the current quarter was primarily the result of a significant increase in pretax income, compared to the second quarter of 2009.

Table of Contents

Both commercial and consumer loan demand were weak for the current quarter, a reflection of prolonged economic weakness, declining consumer confidence and high unemployment. Overall deposit growth has remained steady, which is being driven in part by the growth of money market deposits.

A more detailed analysis of the factors and trends affecting corporate earnings follows.

INCOME STATEMENT ANALYSIS

Net interest income

Net interest income for the three-month period ended June 30, 2010, was \$7,688,000, an increase of \$1,996,000 or 35 percent above the second quarter of 2009 as a result of an increase in earning assets and a decrease in the average rates paid on deposit products, which reflected record low short-term market interest rates. These factors improved the net interest margin, which was 3.76 percent for the second quarter of this year, compared to 3.04 percent for the second quarter of 2009.

For the second quarter of 2010, total interest income increased \$957,000 or 10 percent above 2009 due primarily to an increase in the average volume of earning assets. Earning assets averaged \$856 million and yielded 5.28 percent (tax equivalent basis) for the current quarter, compared to \$791 million and 5.20 percent, respectively, for the second quarter of 2009. The \$65 million or 8 percent increase in average earning assets was primarily the result of growth in the business loan and investment securities portfolios.

For the second quarter of 2010, total interest expense decreased \$1,039,000 or 24 percent below the second quarter of 2009 due to a decrease in the average rates paid on deposits. Total interest bearing liabilities averaged \$766 million at an average rate of 1.69 percent for the current quarter, compared to \$706 million and 2.43 percent, respectively, for the second quarter of 2009. The \$60 million or 8 percent increase in average interest bearing liabilities was primarily attributable to an increase in the average volume of money market deposits.

Provision for loan losses

For the quarter ended June 30, 2010, the provision for loan losses was \$630,000, compared to \$1,639,000 for the second quarter of 2009. The prior period provision included the impact of a large provision for an impaired commercial real estate loan that was later transferred to the foreclosed real estate portfolio. The current period provision reflected current economic conditions, including depressed real estate values and the high level of unemployment, which could adversely affect our borrower's ability to service their loans. Information about loan quality is provided in the Nonperforming Asset section of this report on page 31.

Noninterest income

The following table presents the components of total noninterest income for the second quarter of 2010, compared to the second quarter of 2009. Total noninterest income for the current quarter was \$2,083,000, basically the same amount as the second quarter of 2009. The lack of growth in total noninterest income primarily reflected a decline in income (gains) from the sale of mortgage loans held for sale.

Table of Contents**Table 1 Noninterest income**

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Three months ended | | Change | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------|
| | 2010 | June 30, 2009 | Increase (Decrease) \$ | % |
| Trust and investment services fees | \$ 373 | \$ 303 | \$ 70 | 23% |
| Income from mutual fund, annuity and insurance sales | 443 | 358 | 85 | 24 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 649 | 581 | 68 | 12 |
| Income from bank owned life insurance | 161 | 155 | 6 | 4 |
| Other income | 132 | 154 | (22) | (14) |
| Gains on sales of loans held for sale | 217 | 403 | (186) | (46) |
| Gains on sales of securities | 108 | 128 | (20) | (16) |
| Total noninterest income | \$ 2,083 | \$ 2,082 | \$ 1 | 0% |

The discussion that follows addresses changes in selected categories of noninterest income.

Trust and investment services fees The increase reflected appreciation in market value, upon which fees are based, and an increase in income from the sale of settlement advisory services.

Income from mutual fund, annuity and insurance sales The increase in income from the sale of mutual funds, annuities and insurance products by Codorus Valley Financial Advisors, a subsidiary of PeoplesBank, was a result of market appreciation, upon which some fees are based, and increased sales.

Service charges on deposit accounts The increase was due primarily to an increase in debit card revenue, which reflected an increase in the volume of transactions.

Gains on sales of loans held for sale The decrease was due to a decrease in the volume of mortgage loan sales. Economic concerns, including employment instability, coupled with the expiration in April 2010 of a federal home-buyer tax credit program, reduced the demand for mortgage loans.

Gains on sales of securities Gains were realized from the sale of U.S. agency mortgage-backed bonds from the available-for-sale securities portfolio in both periods to take advantage of the low interest rate environment.

Noninterest expense

The following table presents the components of total noninterest expense for the second quarter of 2010, compared to the second quarter of 2009. Total noninterest expense for the current quarter was \$7,242,000, an increase of \$1,123,000 or 18 percent above 2009 due primarily to an increase in carrying costs and loss provisions for foreclosed real estate, and increased carrying costs for impaired loans.

Table of Contents**Table 2 Noninterest expense**

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Three months ended | | Change | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------|
| | 2010 | June 30, 2009 | Increase (Decrease) \$ | % |
| Personnel | \$ 3,213 | \$ 3,157 | \$ 56 | 2% |
| Occupancy of premises, net | 493 | 448 | 45 | 10 |
| Furniture and equipment | 419 | 401 | 18 | 4 |
| Postage, stationery and supplies | 162 | 139 | 23 | 17 |
| Professional and legal | 138 | 99 | 39 | 39 |
| Marketing and advertising | 223 | 115 | 108 | 94 |
| FDIC insurance | 316 | 646 | (330) | (51) |
| Debit card processing | 145 | 130 | 15 | 12 |
| Charitable donations | 27 | 31 | (4) | (13) |
| Telephone | 134 | 146 | (12) | (8) |
| Foreclosed real estate including gains (losses) on sales | 911 | 66 | 845 | 1280 |
| Impaired loan carrying costs | 387 | 99 | 288 | 291 |
| Other | 674 | 642 | 32 | 5 |
| Total noninterest expense | \$ 7,242 | \$ 6,119 | \$ 1,123 | 18% |

The discussion that follows addresses changes in selected categories of noninterest expense.

Professional and legal The increase in professional and legal expense was the result of normal business growth.

Marketing and advertising The increase in marketing and advertising expense was due largely to the timing of branding and product advertising.

FDIC insurance The decrease in Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insurance premiums was the result of a special assessment totaling \$383,000 included in the prior period. On an adjusted basis, FDIC premiums increased \$53,000 or 20 percent above the level for the second quarter of 2009 as a result of deposit growth and increased assessment rates.

Foreclosed real estate including gains (losses) on sales The increase in foreclosed real estate expense included a \$722,000 loss allowance for a specific property that the Corporation is attempting to liquidate. A recent appraisal by an independent appraiser indicated deterioration in the value of this property. In addition, carrying costs, typically insurance, real estate taxes, appraisals and legal fees increased as a result of a larger portfolio of real estate properties.

Impaired loan carrying costs The increase reflected increased carrying expenses, particularly real estate taxes and legal fees, associated with selected loans pursuant to the loan workout process.

Income taxes

The provision for income tax for the second quarter of 2010 was \$274,000, compared to a \$227,000 credit, or tax benefit for the same period in 2009. The increase in income tax was primarily the result of an increase in pretax income. For both periods, the Corporation's statutory federal income tax rate was 34 percent. The Corporation's effective income tax rate was approximately 14 percent for the second quarter of 2010, compared to a negative tax rate for the second quarter of 2009. The effective tax rate for 2009 was negative as a result of the one-time tax benefit and the low level of pretax income. The effective tax rate differs from the statutory tax rate due to the impact of low-income housing credits and tax-exempt income, including income from bank owned life insurance.

Table of Contents

**Six months ended June 30, 2010,
compared to six months ended June 30, 2009**

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The Corporation earned net income available to common shareholders of \$2,846,000 or \$0.70 per share (\$0.70 diluted) for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010, compared to \$789,000 or \$0.20 per share (\$0.20 diluted), for the same period of 2009. The \$2,057,000 or 261 percent increase in net income available to common shareholders was the result of an increase in net interest income and a decrease in the provision for loan losses, which more than offset increases in noninterest expense and income tax expense.

The \$4,138,000 or 38 percent increase in net interest income for the current six month period reflected a larger volume of interest earning assets, principally business loans and investment securities. Net interest income was also favorably impacted by lower rates paid on deposit products, which reflected record lows for short-term market interest rates, and deposit mix.

The provision for loan losses for the current six month period decreased \$533,000 or 28 percent compared to 2009.

The provision in the prior year included the impact of a large provision for an impaired real estate loan that was later transferred to the foreclosed real estate portfolio. Loan charge-offs, which were reserved for in 2009, increased during the current period. As a result, the Corporation's allowance for loan losses ratio decreased and the annualized net loan charge-offs ratio increased.

The \$1,399,000 or 12 percent increase in noninterest expense for the current six month period was primarily the result of increased carrying costs and loss provisions for foreclosed real estate, and increased carrying costs for impaired loans compared to 2009.

The \$1,053,000 or 282 percent increase in income tax expense for the current six month period compared to 2009 was primarily the result of a significant increase in pretax income. Additionally, the prior year included a one-time \$242,000 tax benefit associated with restructuring employee benefit plans.

Total assets were approximately \$917 million on June 30, 2010, an increase of \$77 million or 9 percent above June 30, 2009. Asset growth occurred primarily in the business loans and investment securities portfolios. So far this year loan demand has been sluggish in response to the prolonged economic slowdown and the high rate of unemployment, while deposit growth has remained steady.

Net income as a percentage of average shareholders' equity (ROE) was 8.99 percent for the first six months (annualized) of 2010, compared to 3.64 percent for the same period of 2009. Net income as a percentage of average total assets (ROA) was 0.74 percent for the first six months (annualized) of 2010, compared to 0.31 percent for the same period of 2009. The increase in both ratios for 2010 reflected the increase in earnings. The efficiency ratio (noninterest expense as a percentage of net interest income plus noninterest income on a tax equivalent basis) was 68.3 percent for the first six months of 2010, compared to 78.8 percent for the same period of 2009. The decrease in the efficiency ratio during the current period reflected the significant increase in net interest income.

On June 30, 2010, the nonperforming assets ratio was 3.55 percent, compared to 3.29 percent for June 30, 2009. Net loan charge-offs for the current six month period totaled \$2,159,000, compared to \$428,000 for the same period in 2009. Charge-offs during the current period pertained primarily to commercial real estate loans that were reserved for in prior periods. Information regarding nonperforming assets is provided in the Risk Management section of this report, including Table 5 Nonperforming Assets. Based on a recent evaluation of probable loan losses and the current loan portfolio, we believe that the allowance is adequate to support losses inherent in the loan portfolio on June 30, 2010. An analysis of the allowance is provided in Table 6 Analysis of Allowance for Loan Losses.

Table of Contents

Throughout the current period, Codorus Valley maintained a capital level well above minimum regulatory quantitative requirements. Currently, there are three federal regulatory definitions of capital that take the form of minimum ratios. Note 9 Regulatory Matters, shows that the Corporation and PeoplesBank were well capitalized on June 30, 2010. A more detailed analysis of the factors and trends affecting corporate earnings follows.

INCOME STATEMENT ANALYSIS**Net interest income**

Net interest income for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010, was \$14,926,000, an increase of \$4,138,000 or 38 percent above the same period in 2009 as a result of an increase in earning assets and a decrease in the average rates paid on deposit products, which reflected record low short-term market interest rates. These factors improved the net interest margin, which was 3.73 percent for the first half of this year, compared to 2.99 percent for the first half of 2009.

Interest income for the first six months of 2010 totaled \$21,475,000, an increase of \$2,223,000 or 12 percent above 2009 due primarily to an increase in the average volume of earning assets. Earning assets averaged \$844 million and yielded 5.29 percent (tax equivalent basis) for the current period, compared to \$761 million and 5.24 percent, respectively, for the first six months of 2009. The \$83 million or 11 percent increase in average earning assets was primarily the result of growth in the business loan and investment securities portfolios.

Interest expense for the first six months of 2010 totaled \$6,549,000, a decrease of \$1,915,000 or 23 percent below 2009 due to a decrease in the average rates paid on deposits. Total interest bearing liabilities averaged \$761 million at an average rate of 1.74 percent for the current period, compared to \$679 million and 2.51 percent, respectively, for the first six months of 2009. The \$82 million or 12 percent increase in average interest bearing liabilities was primarily attributable to an increase in the average volume of money market deposits. The continued influence of the Federal Reserve Bank to keep market interest rates low, as a means of stimulating the economy, has helped to lower the Corporation's funding costs. Federally insured bank deposits continue to provide safe haven to our clients who are concerned about the economy, volatility in the capital markets and the high level of unemployment.

Provision for loan losses

For the six-month period ended June 30, 2010, the provision for loan losses was \$1,350,000, compared to \$1,883,000 for same period in 2009. The prior period provision included the impact of a large provision for an impaired commercial real estate loan that was later transferred to the foreclosed real estate portfolio. The current period provision reflected current economic conditions, including depressed real estate values and the high level of unemployment. Information about loan quality is provided in the Nonperforming Asset section of this report on page 31.

Noninterest income

The following table presents the components of total noninterest income for the first six months of 2010, compared to the first six months of 2009. After removing the impact of gains from the sale of investment securities, total noninterest income for the current six-month period increased \$44,000 or 1 percent above 2009. The slight growth in core noninterest income primarily reflected a decline in income (gains) from the sale of mortgage loans held for sale.

Table of Contents**Table 3 Noninterest income**

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Six months ended | | Change | |
|--|------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------|
| | 2010 | June 30, 2009 | Increase (Decrease) \$ | % |
| Trust and investment services fees | \$ 719 | \$ 614 | \$ 105 | 17% |
| Income from mutual fund, annuity and insurance sales | 762 | 704 | 58 | 8 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 1,211 | 1,106 | 105 | 9 |
| Income from bank owned life insurance | 319 | 318 | 1 | 0 |
| Other income | 286 | 302 | (16) | (5) |
| Gains on sales of loans held for sale | 361 | 570 | (209) | (37) |
| Gains on sales of securities | 108 | 291 | (183) | (63) |
| Total noninterest income | \$ 3,766 | \$ 3,905 | \$ (139) | (4)% |

The discussion that follows addresses changes in selected categories of noninterest income.

Trust and investment services fees The increase reflected appreciation in market value, upon which fees are based, and an increase in income from the sale of settlement advisory services.

Income from mutual fund, annuity and insurance sales The increase in income from the sale of mutual funds, annuities and insurance products by Codorus Valley Financial Advisors, a subsidiary of PeoplesBank, was a result of price appreciation, upon which some fees are based, and increased sales.

Service charges on deposit accounts The increase was due primarily to an increase in debit card revenue, which reflected an increase in the volume of transactions. Possible restrictions under the recently enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform & Consumer Protection Act may adversely affect over-draft fees and debit card revenue. i.e., interchange fees, in the future.

Gains on sales of loans held for sale The decrease was due to a decrease in the volume of loan sales. Economic concerns, including employment instability, coupled with the expiration in April 2010 of a federal home-buyer tax credit program, reduced the demand for mortgage loans.

Gains on sales of securities Gains from the sale of U.S. agency mortgage-backed bonds from the available-for-sale securities portfolio are recognized periodically to take advantage of a low interest rate environment and to supplement earnings.

Noninterest expense

The following table presents the components of total noninterest expense for the first six months of 2010, compared to the first six months of 2009. Total noninterest expense increased \$1,399,000 or 12 percent primarily in loss provisions and carrying costs on impaired assets.

Table of Contents**Table 4 Noninterest expense**

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Six months ended | | Change | |
|--|------------------|------------------|---------------------------|------|
| | 2010 | June 30, 2009 | Increase (Decrease) \$ | % |
| Personnel | \$ 6,419 | \$ 6,503 | \$ (84) | (1)% |
| Occupancy of premises, net | 994 | 928 | 66 | 7 |
| Furniture and equipment | 859 | 836 | 23 | 3 |
| Postage, stationery and supplies | 277 | 249 | 28 | 11 |
| Professional and legal | 244 | 183 | 61 | 33 |
| Marketing and advertising | 350 | 240 | 110 | 46 |
| FDIC insurance | 624 | 876 | (252) | (29) |
| Debit card processing | 280 | 252 | 28 | 11 |
| Charitable donations | 356 | 207 | 149 | 72 |
| Telephone | 272 | 255 | 17 | 7 |
| Foreclosed real estate including gains (losses) on sales | 984 | 106 | 878 | 828 |
| Impaired loan carrying costs | 583 | 133 | 450 | 338 |
| Other | 1,084 | 1,159 | (75) | (6) |
| Total noninterest expense | \$ 13,326 | \$ 11,927 | \$ 1,399 | 12% |

The discussion that follows addresses changes in selected categories of noninterest expense.

Personnel The decrease in personnel expense, comprised of wages, sales commissions, payroll taxes and employee benefits, resulted primarily from the non-recurring cost of \$242,000 incurred in the prior year period to restructure employee benefit plans. Restructuring the benefit plans resulted in a federal income tax benefit so that the overall transaction had an insignificant impact on prior period net income. On an adjusted basis, personnel expense increased \$158,000 or 3 percent due to normal business growth.

Effective August 1, 2010, the Bank plans to convert from a fully insured health care program to a self-insured program by joining a consortium of approximately 23 banks. For the first year under the new program the Bank will fund at the maximum liability based on recent claims experience, which is expected to result in an increase in health care costs. Thereafter, the benefits of the self-insured program are expected to contain future health care cost increases over the long term. Employees have customarily reimbursed the Corporation for approximately 30 percent of the cost of health insurance.

Furniture and equipment The Bank plans to implement a client relationship management (CRM) system. The project will commence in the third quarter of 2010 with estimated completion by December 2011. The capital outlay for the project is estimated at \$625,000, which would not include staffing and other ancillary expenses. The system will be depreciated over a five year useful life. A properly managed CRM process is expected to improve the Corporation's competitiveness, client service and retention, and shareholder return.

Professional and legal The increase was primarily in the consulting expense component, which reflected the use of consultants for special projects and for outsourcing selected internal audits.

Marketing and advertising The increase in marketing and advertising expense was due largely to the timing of branding and product advertising, and to a larger budget.

FDIC insurance The decrease in Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insurance premiums was the result of a special assessment totaling \$383,000 included in the prior period. On an adjusted basis, FDIC premiums increased \$131,000 or 27 percent above the first half of 2009 as a result of deposit growth and increased assessment rates.

Table of Contents

Under the recently enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, community bankers are anticipating a reduction in FDIC insurance premiums by as much as 20 percent according to a recent study by the Independent Community Bankers Association. The Bill bases assessments on assets minus tangible capital instead of domestic deposits, which will enable the FDIC to lower assessments rates. The effective date of this change has not been published.

Charitable donations The increase in charitable donations reflected the impact of educational and scholarship donations, among others, that qualified for state tax credits. Approximately \$267,000 in state tax credits were accrued and used to reduce the Pennsylvania shares tax expense included in the other expense category.

Foreclosed real estate including gains (losses) on sales The increase in foreclosed real estate expense included a \$722,000 loss allowance for a specific property that management is trying to liquidate. A recent appraisal by an independent appraiser indicated deterioration in the value of this property. In addition, carrying costs, typically insurance, real estate taxes, appraisals and legal fees increased as a result of a larger portfolio of real estate properties. During the current six-month period a net gain totaling \$110,000 from the sale of various real estate properties was also included in this line item.

Impaired loan carrying costs The increase reflected increased carrying expenses, particularly real estate taxes and legal fees, associated with selected loans pursuant to the loan workout process.

Other The decrease in other expense, which is comprised of many underlying expenses, decreased primarily as a result of a \$139,000 decrease in Pennsylvania shares tax. The current period shares tax was unusually low as a result of recognizing \$267,000 in tax credits, which originated from charitable donations, as described above.

Income taxes

The provision for income tax for the current six-month period was \$680,000, compared to a \$373,000 credit, or tax benefit for the same period in 2009. The increase in income tax was primarily the result of an increase in pretax income. For both periods, the Corporation's statutory federal income tax rate was 34 percent. The Corporation's effective income tax rate was approximately 17 percent for the current six-month period, compared to a negative tax rate for the first six months of 2009. The effective tax rate for 2009 was negative as a result of the one-time tax benefit and the low level of pretax income. The effective tax rate differs from the statutory tax rate due to the impact of low-income housing credits and tax-exempt income, including income from bank owned life insurance.

BALANCE SHEET REVIEW**Loans**

On June 30, 2010, total loans were \$645 million, approximately the same level as year-end 2009. During the current six month period, the demand for business loans slowed markedly after a two year period of particularly strong growth, as a result of prolonged weak economic conditions, including the high level of unemployment. These same factors have continued to depress the demand for consumer loans as well. The average yield (tax equivalent basis) earned on total loans was 5.84 percent for the current six-month period, compared to 5.65 percent for the same period in 2009. The composition of the Corporation's loan portfolio at June 30, 2010, compared to December 31, 2009, is provided in Note 5 Loans.

Table of Contents

Deposits

On June 30, 2010, total deposits were \$758 million, an increase of \$35 million or 5 percent above year-end 2009. The increase in total deposits occurred primarily in money market deposits followed by demand deposits. Federally insured bank deposits continue to provide safe haven for those clients who remain concerned about the economy, volatility in the capital markets and the high level of unemployment. The Corporation does not rely on brokered deposits to fund its operation. The average rate paid on interest bearing deposits was 1.66 percent for the current six-month period, compared to 2.49 percent for the same period in 2009. The composition of the Corporation's deposit portfolio at June 30, 2010, is provided in Note 7 Deposits.

Long-term debt

On June 30, 2010, long-term debt totaled \$52 million, compared to \$74 million at year-end 2009. The decrease reflected Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh advances that matured and were not refinanced. A listing of outstanding long-term debt obligations is provided in Note 8 Long-term Debt.

Shareholders' equity and capital adequacy

Shareholders' equity or capital enables Codorus Valley to maintain asset growth and absorb losses. Total shareholders' equity was approximately \$76.5 million on June 30, 2010, an increase of approximately \$4.5 million or 6 percent above the level at December 31, 2009. The increase was caused primarily by an increase in retained earnings from profitable operations. An increase in accumulated other comprehensive income from unrealized gains, net of federal income tax, on securities available-for-sale also contributed to the increase in shareholders' equity.

The Corporation typically pays cash dividends on a quarterly basis. The Board of Directors determines the dividend rate after considering the Corporation's capital requirements, current and projected net income, and other factors. On July 13, 2010, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.08 per common share payable on August 10, 2010, to shareholders of record July 27, 2010. This dividend follows a \$0.06 per share dividend paid in May and a \$0.03 per share dividend paid in February. The Corporation's participation in the U.S. Department of the Treasury's Capital Purchase Program (CPP) requires regulatory approval to increase quarterly cash dividends on common stock above the quarterly \$0.12 per share level that was in effect at the time of the issuance of the preferred stock. More information about the Corporation's participation in the CPP is provided in Note 10 Shareholders' Equity. Codorus Valley and PeoplesBank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by banking regulators that involve quantitative guidelines and qualitative judgments. Quantitative measures established by regulators pertain to minimum capital ratios, as set forth in Note 9 Regulatory Matters, to the financial statements. We believe that Codorus Valley and PeoplesBank were well capitalized on June 30, 2010, based on regulatory capital guidelines.

RISK MANAGEMENT

Credit risk management

The Credit Risk Management section included in our 2009 Form 10-K provides a general overview of the credit risk management process and loan concentrations. Credit risk represents the possibility that a loan client, counterparty or issuer may not perform in accordance with contractual terms, posing one of the most significant risks to the Corporation.

Table of Contents***Nonperforming assets***

The following table presents asset categories posing the greatest risk of loss and related ratios. We generally place a loan on nonaccrual status and cease accruing interest income, i.e., recognize interest income on a cash basis, when loan payment performance is unsatisfactory and the loan is past due 90 days or more. Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest represent loans that are contractually past due, but are well collateralized and in the process of collection. The final category, foreclosed real estate, is real estate acquired to satisfy debts owed to PeoplesBank. The paragraphs below explain significant changes in the aforementioned categories for June 30, 2010, compared to December 31, 2009.

Nonperforming assets are reviewed by management on a monthly basis. We generally rely on appraisals performed by independent licensed appraisers to determine the value of impaired, collateral-dependent loans. Generally, an appraisal is performed when: an account reaches 60 days past due, unless a certified appraisal was completed within the past six months; market values have changed significantly; the condition of the property has changed significantly; or the existing appraisal is stale. In instances where the value of the collateral is less than the net carrying amount of the loan, a specific loss allowance is established for the difference by recording a loss provision to the income statement. When it is probable that some portion or all of the loan balance will not be collected, that amount is charged off as loss against the allowance. A loan is returned to interest accruing status when we determine that circumstances have improved to the extent that all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

Table 5 Nonperforming Assets

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| Nonaccrual loans: | | |
| Builder/developer | \$ 8,642 | \$ 15,688 |
| Commercial real estate operator (investor) | 342 | 5,505 |
| Restaurant | 3,707 | 3,739 |
| Service | 340 | |
| Consumer, residential mortgage and home equity | 533 | 626 |
| Total nonaccrual loans | \$ 13,564 | \$ 25,558 |
| Foreclosed real estate, net of allowance | 9,648 | 9,314 |
| Accruing loans that are contractually past due 90 days or more as to principal or interest | 50 | 40 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ 23,262 | \$ 34,912 |
| Total period-end loans | \$ 645,379 | \$ 645,877 |
| Allowance for loan losses (ALL) | \$ 6,366 | \$ 7,175 |
| ALL as a % of total period end loans | 0.99% | 1.11% |
| Annualized net charge-offs as a % of average total loans | 0.67% | 0.20% |
| ALL as a % of nonaccrual loans and past due 90 days or more | 46.76% | 28.03% |
| Nonaccrual loans as a % of total period-end loans | 2.10% | 3.96% |
| Nonperforming assets (which includes nonaccrual loans) as a % of total period-end loans and net foreclosed real estate | 3.55% | 5.33% |
| Nonperforming assets as a % of total period-end shareholders equity | 30.42% | 48.48% |

Table of Contents

The level of nonperforming assets was relatively high for both periods primarily as a result of prolonged weakened economic conditions and the corresponding effects it has had on our commercial borrowers.

On June 30, 2010, nonaccrual loans consisted of collateralized commercial and residential mortgage loans, and consumer loans. The nonaccrual loan portfolio balance totaled \$13,564,000 on June 30, 2010, a decrease of \$11,994,000 or 47 percent, compared to year-end 2009. The decrease resulted primarily from the reclassification of several nonaccrual loans to foreclosed real estate and, to a lesser degree, payments by borrowers. On June 30, 2010, the nonaccrual loans portfolio was comprised of seventeen unrelated accounts ranging in size from \$1,700 to \$4,385,000. Three unrelated commercial loan accounts, which represent 84 percent of the total nonaccrual loan portfolio balance, are described below.

We evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses at least quarterly and have established a loss allowance for selected accounts where the net realizable value of the collateral is insufficient to repay the loan. Collection efforts, including modification of contractual terms for individual accounts based on prevailing market conditions and liquidation of collateral assets, are being employed to maximize recovery. Further provisions for loan losses may be required on nonaccrual loans when additional information becomes available.

Loan no. 1 PeoplesBank owns a 27 percent participation loan interest and its share of the outstanding principal balance of the loan is \$4,385,000. The collateral supporting the loan is approximately 110 acres of undeveloped land, which is zoned mixed office district. In our judgment, the loan is adequately collateralized by the real estate. We may also rely on the personal guarantors of the loan, if necessary, for payment.

Loan no. 2 The outstanding principal loan balance is \$3,707,000. This account is collateralized by three acres of improved real estate located in a major commercial district, a small parcel of improved real estate and the assignment of a personal loan from a third-party whose payments are current. Based on recent appraisals of the real estate, we believe that the loan is adequately collateralized.

Loan no. 3 PeoplesBank owns an approximately 29 percent participation loan interest and its share of the outstanding principal balance of the loan is \$3,306,000. The original collateral supporting the loan is an 81 unit condominium building. The borrower is actively marketing the units directly and through public auctions. As a result of unit sales, the borrower has reduced the principal amount of the loan by \$1,162,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2010. On July 31, 2010, the borrower conducted a public auction, which resulted in contracts of sale for eleven condominium units. We may also rely on the personal guarantors of the loan, if necessary, for payment.

During the current six month period, five foreclosed properties were liquidated with a carrying value of \$5,844,000, which resulted in the recognition of a net gain totaling \$110,000. The net gain was included in foreclosed real estate expense. Also during that period, several properties were added to the foreclosed real estate portfolio as indicated below. On June 30, 2010, the portfolio was comprised of five unrelated accounts ranging in size from \$600,000 to \$3,465,000, which we are actively attempting to liquidate. As of June 30, 2010, a \$722,000 loss allowance was established for one account as indicated below. Further valuation allowances may be required on any foreclosed property as additional information becomes available. Foreclosed real estate is included in the other assets category on the Corporation's balance sheet.

Property no. 1 The carrying amount of this property, an 89,000 square foot office building, is \$3,465,000, which reflects a \$1,299,000 second quarter charge-off to allowance for loan losses that was reserved for in a prior period. A reputable tenant has signed a lease agreement to lease a major portion of the building, and the lease agreement has been assigned to the Corporation. Plans call for shell and tenant improvements, tenant stabilization and sale of the property in the future. This account was reclassified from a nonaccrual loan to foreclosed real estate during the second quarter of this year.

Table of Contents

Property no. 2 The carrying amount of this property is \$2,576,000, which is net of a \$722,000 allowance for possible loss based on an independent appraisal less estimated selling costs. This account is collateralized by 266 acres of unimproved land that is zoned for residential development. During the first quarter of this year, PeoplesBank acquired the real estate at a sheriff's sale based on the Bank's mortgage.

Property no. 3 PeoplesBank owns approximately 54 percent participation loan interest in this property, which is comprised of 134 approved residential building lots. Of this total, 27 lots are improved. The carrying amount of this property is \$1,570,000, which reflects a \$574,000 charge-off to allowance for loan losses in the second quarter of this year. Of the total charge-off amount, \$417,000 was reserved for in a prior period. This account was reclassified from a nonaccrual loan to foreclosed real estate during the second quarter of this year.

Property no. 4 PeoplesBank has a 64 percent participation loan interest in 42 improved lots within a 20.6 acre established residential subdivision. The carrying value of PeoplesBank's interest is \$1,437,000. During June of this year a purchase agreement was executed which permits the buyer to develop and sell the lots over a two year period. Guarantors provide a potential source for the recovery of any deficiency.

Property no. 5 The property is a nine unit condominium building with a carrying value of \$600,000. Through June 30, 2010, the Corporation has sold five units and has agreements to sell three more units, which are expected to settle in the third quarter of this year.

Allowance for loan losses

Although the Corporation maintains sound credit policies, certain loans deteriorate and must be charged off as losses. The allowance for loan losses is maintained to absorb losses inherent in the portfolio. The allowance is increased by provisions charged to expense and is reduced by loan charge offs, net of recoveries. The allowance is based upon management's continuous evaluation of the loan portfolio coupled with a formal review of adequacy on a quarterly basis, which is subject to review and approval by the Board.

The allowance for loan losses consists primarily of two components: specific allowances for individually impaired commercial loans and allowances calculated for pools of loans. The Corporation uses an internal risk rating system to evaluate individual loans. Loans are segmented into industry groups or pools with similar characteristics and an allowance for loan losses is allocated to each segment based on quantitative factors such as recent loss history, and qualitative factors, such as the results of internal and external credit reviews, changes in the size and composition of the loan portfolio, adequacy of collateral, general economic conditions and the local business outlook. Determining the level of the allowance for probable loan losses at any given period is difficult, particularly during deteriorating or uncertain economic periods. We must make estimates using assumptions and information which are often subjective and fluid.

Table 4 Analysis of Allowance for Loan Losses presents an analysis of the activity in the allowance for loan losses for the six months ended June, 2010 and 2009. The allowance was \$6,366,000 or 0.99 percent of total loans, on June 30, 2010, compared to \$6,145,000 or 1.00 percent, on June 30, 2009. During the current period net charge-offs totaled \$2,159,000, compared to \$428,000 for the first half of 2009. Charge-offs during the current period pertained primarily to commercial real estate loans that were reserved for in prior periods. As a result of current period loan charge-offs, the allowance for loan losses ratio decreased slightly while the annualized net charge-off ratio increased from 0.15 percent to 0.67 percent. The provision for the current period reflects credit quality issues for selected commercial real estate loans and was based on our estimate of the amount necessary to bring the allowance to a level reflective of the risk in the loan portfolio. We considered macro-economic factors that could adversely affect the ability of PeoplesBank's loan clients to repay their loans, including the high level of unemployment and the probable continuation of a downturn in the commercial real estate market. Based on our evaluation of the allowance for loan losses, we believe that it is adequate to support probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio on June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents**Table 6 Analysis of Allowance for Loan Losses**

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Balance-January 1, | \$ 7,175 | \$ 4,690 |
| Provision charged to operating expense | 1,350 | 1,883 |
| Loans charged off: | | |
| Commercial | 1,473 | 313 |
| Real estate construction and land development | 574 | |
| Real estate residential and home equity | | 19 |
| Consumer | 202 | 121 |
| Total loans charged off | 2,249 | 453 |
| Recoveries: | | |
| Commercial | 23 | 10 |
| Real estate residential and home equity | | 7 |
| Consumer | 67 | 8 |
| Total recoveries | 90 | 25 |
| Net charge-offs | 2,159 | 428 |
| Balance-June 30, | \$ 6,366 | \$ 6,145 |
| Ratios: | | |
| Annualized net charge-offs to average total loans | 0.67% | 0.15% |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans at period-end | 0.99% | 1.00% |
| Allowance for loan losses to nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days or more | 46.76% | 37.07% |

Liquidity risk management

Maintaining adequate liquidity provides the Corporation with the ability to meet financial obligations to depositors, loan customers, employees, and shareholders on a timely and cost effective basis in the normal course of business. Additionally, it provides funds for growth and business opportunities as they arise. Liquidity is generated from transactions relating to both the Corporation's assets and liabilities. The primary sources of asset liquidity are scheduled investment security maturities and cash inflows, funds received from customer loan payments, and asset sales. The primary sources of liability liquidity are deposit growth, short-term borrowings and long-term debt. The Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, included in this report, present the changes in cash from operating, investing and financing activities. At June 30, 2010, we believe that liquidity was adequate based upon the potential liquidation of unpledged available-for-sale securities with a fair value totaling \$94 million and available credit from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh totaling approximately \$56 million. The Corporation's loan-to-deposit ratio, which is used as a broad measure of liquidity, was approximately 85 percent for June 30, 2010, compared to 89 percent for year-end 2009.

Table of Contents

Off-balance sheet arrangements

The Corporation's financial statements do not reflect various commitments that are made in the normal course of business, which may involve some liquidity risk. These commitments consist primarily of commitments to grant new loans, unfunded commitments under existing loan facilities, and letters of credit issued under the same standards as on-balance sheet instruments. Unused commitments on June 30, 2010, totaled \$161 million and consisted of \$127 million in unfunded commitments under existing loan facilities, \$27 million to grant new loans and \$7 million in letters of credit. Normally these commitments have fixed expiration dates or termination clauses and are for specific purposes. Accordingly, many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn and therefore, generally do not present significant liquidity risk to the Corporation or PeoplesBank.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Not applicable to smaller reporting companies.

Item 4T. Controls and Procedures

The Corporation carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Corporation's management, including the Corporation's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e). Based upon the evaluation, the Corporation's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of June 30, 2010, the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures are effective. The Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance that information required to be disclosed in the Corporation's reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, that the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs, and inherent limitations that may not prevent fraud, particularly by collusion of two or more people or by management override of a control.

There has been no change in the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2010, that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

In July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act was enacted, which among other things, exempted non-accelerated SEC filers such as the Corporation, i.e., companies with a public float below \$75 million, from the requirement of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act's section 404(b) external auditor's attestation of internal controls over financial reporting.

Part II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal proceedings

There are no legal proceedings pending against Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc. or any of its subsidiaries which are expected to have a material impact upon the financial position and/or operating results of the Corporation. Management is not aware of any proceedings known or contemplated by government authorities.

Item 1A. Risk factors

Not applicable to smaller reporting companies.

Item 2. Unregistered sales of equity securities and use of proceeds

Nothing to report.

Item 3. Defaults upon senior securities

Nothing to report.

Item 4. Removed and reserved

Table of Contents

Item 5. Other information

Nothing to report.

Item 6. Exhibits

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit |
|-------------------|---|
| 3.1 | Amended Articles of Incorporation (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(i) to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on October 14, 2005.) |
| 3.2 | Amended By-laws (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(ii) to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on November 15, 2007.) |
| 3.3 | Certificate of Designations for the Series A Preferred Stock (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 15, 2009.) |
| 4 | Rights Agreement dated as of November 4, 2005 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on November 8, 2005.) |
| 4.1 | Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of January 9, 2009, between the Registrant and the United States Department of Treasury (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 15, 2009.) |
| 4.2 | Warrant, dated January 9, 2009, to purchase shares of Common Stock of the Registrant (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 15, 2009.) |
| 31.1 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |

Table of Contents

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned there unto duly authorized.

Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc.
(Registrant)

August 11, 2010

/s/ Larry J. Miller

Date

Larry J. Miller
President & CEO
(Principal executive officer)

August 11, 2010

/s/ Jann A. Weaver

Date

Jann A. Weaver
Treasurer & Assistant Secretary
(Principal financial and accounting officer)