

LPL Financial Holdings Inc.
Form 10-Q
October 30, 2014

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-34963

LPL Financial Holdings Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

20-3717839

(State or other jurisdiction of

(I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

75 State Street, Boston, MA 02109

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

(617) 423-3644

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller
reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

The number of shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share, outstanding as of October 27, 2014 was 98,808,921.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item Number	Page
<u>PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>1. Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (unaudited)</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (unaudited)</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition (unaudited)</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (unaudited)</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>4. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>PART II — OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>1. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>5. Other Information</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>6. Exhibits</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>53</u>

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We file annual, quarterly, and current reports, proxy statements and other information required by the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (“Exchange Act”), with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). You may read and copy any document we file with the SEC at the SEC’s public reference room located at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549, U.S.A. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the public reference room. Our SEC filings are also available to the public from the SEC’s internet site at <http://www.sec.gov>. On our internet site, <http://www.lpl.com>, we post the following filings as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC: our annual reports on Form 10-K, our proxy statements, our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, our current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Hard copies of all such filings are available free of charge by request via email (investor.relations@lpl.com), telephone (617) 897-4574, or mail (LPL Financial Investor Relations at 75 State Street, 24th Floor, Boston, MA 02109). The information contained or incorporated on our website is not a part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

When we use the terms “LPLFH,” “we,” “us,” “our” and the “Company,” we mean LPL Financial Holdings Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its consolidated subsidiaries, taken as a whole, unless the context otherwise indicates.

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Statements in Item 2 - “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and other sections of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q regarding the Company’s future financial and operating results, growth, business strategies, plans, liquidity, future share repurchases, and future dividends, including statements regarding projected savings, projected expenses, and anticipated improvements to the Company’s operating model, services, and technology as a result of the Service Value Commitment, as well as any other statements that are not related to present facts or current conditions or that are not purely historical, constitute forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on the Company’s historical performance and its plans, estimates, and expectations as of October 30, 2014. The words “anticipates,” “believes,” “expects,” “may,” “plans,” “predicts,” “will” and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees that the future results, plans, intentions, or expectations expressed or implied by the Company will be achieved. Matters subject to forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties, including economic, legislative, regulatory, competitive, and other factors, which may cause actual financial or operating results, levels of activity, or the timing of events, to be materially different than those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include: changes in general economic and financial market conditions, including retail investor sentiment; fluctuations in the value of brokerage and advisory assets; fluctuations in levels of net new advisory assets and the related impact on fee revenue; effects of competition in the financial services industry; changes in the number of the Company’s financial advisors and institutions, and their ability to market effectively financial products and services; changes in interest rates and fees payable by banks participating in the Company’s cash sweep program, including the Company’s success in negotiating agreements with current or additional counterparties; changes in the growth of the Company’s fee-based business; the effect of current, pending and future legislation, regulation and regulatory actions, including disciplinary actions imposed by federal and state securities regulators and self-regulatory organizations; the costs of settling and remediating issues related to pending or future regulatory matters; the Company’s success in integrating the operations of acquired businesses; execution of the Company’s plans related to the Service Value Commitment, including the Company’s ability to successfully transform and transition business processes to third party service providers; the Company’s success in negotiating and developing commercial arrangements with third party service providers that will enable the Company to realize the service improvements and efficiencies expected to result from the Service Value Commitment; the performance of third party service providers to which business processes are transitioned from the Company; the Company’s ability to control operating risks, information technology systems risks, cybersecurity risks, and sourcing risks; and the other factors set forth in Part I, “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in the Company’s 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as may be amended or updated in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. Except as required by law, the Company specifically disclaims any obligation to update any forward-looking statements as a result of developments occurring after the date

of this quarterly report, even if its estimates change, and you should not rely on statements contained herein as representing the Company's views as of any date subsequent to the date of this quarterly report.

ii

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)

LPL FINANCIAL HOLDINGS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
REVENUES:				
Commission	\$520,388	\$527,419	\$1,590,139	\$1,521,390
Advisory	340,369	299,101	998,016	878,421
Asset-based	121,283	107,447	354,494	318,718
Transaction and fee	94,674	93,799	276,284	271,808
Interest income, net of interest expense	4,727	4,509	14,279	13,343
Other	7,793	20,937	36,182	43,248
Total net revenues	1,089,234	1,053,212	3,269,394	3,046,928
EXPENSES:				
Commission and advisory	746,001	724,835	2,242,206	2,086,075
Compensation and benefits	106,290	102,310	317,459	299,317
Promotional	36,669	36,807	93,581	85,276
Depreciation and amortization	24,519	21,432	70,618	61,451
Occupancy and equipment	19,043	16,568	62,922	49,649
Professional services	38,174	18,955	82,736	47,588
Brokerage, clearing and exchange	12,090	11,360	36,594	32,958
Communications and data processing	11,476	11,017	32,598	31,401
Regulatory fees and other	8,476	8,234	25,437	23,339
Restructuring charges	9,928	6,482	26,473	19,851
Other	8,218	20,547	25,958	37,116
Total operating expenses	1,020,884	978,547	3,016,582	2,774,021
Non-operating interest expense	12,897	13,363	38,651	38,190
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	—	—	7,962
Total expenses	1,033,781	991,910	3,055,233	2,820,173
INCOME BEFORE PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	55,453	61,302	214,161	226,755
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	22,181	23,671	84,663	89,316
NET INCOME	\$33,272	\$37,631	\$129,498	\$137,439
EARNINGS PER SHARE (NOTE 12)				
Earnings per share, basic	\$0.33	\$0.36	\$1.29	\$1.30
Earnings per share, diluted	\$0.33	\$0.36	\$1.26	\$1.29
Weighted-average shares outstanding, basic	100,052	104,271	100,519	105,670
Weighted-average shares outstanding, diluted	101,834	105,705	102,384	106,934
See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.				

LPL FINANCIAL HOLDINGS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
NET INCOME	\$33,272	\$37,631	\$129,498	\$137,439	
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:					
Unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedges, net of tax expense (benefit) of (\$63), (\$155), \$863, and (\$155) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively	(101) (250) 1,361	(250)
Reclassification adjustment for realized gain on cash flow hedges included in net income, net of tax expense of \$85, \$0, \$113, and \$0 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively	(135) —	(180) —	
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(236) (250) 1,181	(250)
TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$33,036	\$37,381	\$130,679	\$137,189	

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

LPL FINANCIAL HOLDINGS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition
(Unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands, except par value)

	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$459,494	\$516,584
Cash and securities segregated under federal and other regulations	418,507	512,351
Receivables from:		
Clients, net of allowance of \$1,237 at September 30, 2014 and \$588 at December 31, 2013	342,304	373,675
Product sponsors, broker-dealers and clearing organizations	178,439	174,070
Others, net of allowance of \$9,383 at September 30, 2014 and \$7,091 at December 31, 2013	281,411	272,018
Securities owned:		
Trading — at fair value	12,926	8,964
Held-to-maturity	9,345	6,853
Securities borrowed	8,327	7,102
Income taxes receivable	25,404	—
Fixed assets, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$300,823 at September 30, 2014 and \$263,321 at December 31, 2013	207,413	189,059
Debt issuance costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$10,991 at September 30, 2014 and \$7,751 at December 31, 2013	13,040	16,281
Goodwill	1,365,838	1,361,361
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$295,332 at September 30, 2014 and \$266,285 at December 31, 2013	440,526	464,522
Other assets	155,193	139,991
Total assets	\$3,918,167	\$4,042,831
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
LIABILITIES:		
Drafts payable	\$135,095	\$194,971
Payables to clients	565,221	565,204
Payables to broker-dealers and clearing organizations	38,315	43,157
Accrued commission and advisory expenses payable	143,024	135,149
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	287,219	301,644
Income taxes payable	—	4,320
Unearned revenue	68,817	73,739
Securities sold, but not yet purchased — at fair value	18	211
Senior secured credit facilities	1,526,967	1,535,096
Deferred income taxes, net	90,119	89,369
Total liabilities	2,854,795	2,942,860
Commitments and contingencies		
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Common stock, \$.001 par value; 600,000,000 shares authorized; 118,124,141 shares issued at September 30, 2014 and 117,112,465 shares issued at December 31, 2013	118	117
Additional paid-in capital	1,346,701	1,292,374
	(655,822) (506,205

Edgar Filing: LPL Financial Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

Treasury stock, at cost — 18,187,765 shares at September 30, 2014 and 15,216,301 shares at December 31, 2013

Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,296	115
Retained earnings	371,079	313,570
Total stockholders' equity	1,063,372	1,099,971
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$3,918,167	\$4,042,831

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

LPL FINANCIAL HOLDINGS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity
(Unaudited)
(In thousands)

	Common Stock Shares	Additional Paid-In Capital Amount	Treasury Stock Shares	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
BALANCE —				
December 31, 2012	115,714	\$ 116,228,075	9,422	\$(287,998)
Net income and other comprehensive loss, net of tax expense				250,374
Treasury stock purchases			4,910	(184,318)

The Company's stock-based compensation arrangements are described below.

Stock Options

The 2005 Plan permits the granting of stock options to employees, officers and directors of the Company. The Company uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of stock options, which is amortized ratably over the requisite service periods of the awards, which are generally 4 years.

The fair value for options granted was estimated at the date of grant using the following weighted-average assumptions:

Weighted average fair value of options granted	
Risk free interest rate	
Dividend yield	
Volatility factor of the expected market price of the Company's common stock	
Weighted-average expected life of options	

Unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options as of September 30, 2012, was \$1.2 million, or 0.1% of the Company's net income. The unrecognized compensation cost will be recognized over the remaining service period, based on the unamortized value of these outstanding stock options.

A summary of the Company's stock option activity as of September 30, 2012, is as follows:

Outstanding at December 31, 2009

Edgar Filing: LPL Financial Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

Granted
Cancelled/forfeited
Exercised

Outstanding at September 30, 2010

Exercisable at September 30, 2010

9

Table of Contents

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$1.2 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2010, the weighted-average remaining term of the options was 3.8 years and 4.6 years, respectively.

Non-Vested Shares

Under the Company's 2005 Plan, employees, officers and executives will receive restricted stock units and restricted stock awards. In accordance with the plan, the fair value of the restricted stock units and awards is equal to the closing sale price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant, less the value of the restricted stock units and awards adjusted by estimated forfeiture rates. As of September 30, 2010, 88,825 restricted stock units were awarded to employees, officers and executives over two years based on certain performance goals (Performance-Based Awards). The restricted stock units are subject to a vesting period of two years, the expected vesting period, net of estimated forfeitures. If performance goals are not met, the restricted stock units will be forfeited. If performance goals recognized would be reversed. No reversals of compensation expense related to restricted stock units were recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The remaining 621,157 non-vested shares are not payable until the employee, officer or executive provides continuous service.

A summary of the status of the Company's non-vested shares as of September 30, 2010 is presented below:

Non-Vested Shares

Non-vested at December 31, 2009

Awarded

Vested

Cancelled/forfeited

Non-vested at September 30, 2010

Unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested shares as of September 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$1.2 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. The expense period, based on the unamortized value of these outstanding non-vested shares during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$1.2 million and \$1.1 million, respectively.

Note 6: Investment in Receivable Portfolios, Net

In accordance with the authoritative guidance for loans and debt securities, the Company's purchases during a quarter are aggregated into pools based on common risk characteristics and are permanently assigned to the pool. The discount (*i.e.*, the difference between the carrying amount and the receivable balance) is not recorded because the Company expects to collect the full carrying amount of the receivable balance. As a result, receivable portfolios are recorded at cost plus certain fees paid to third parties incurred in connection with the direct acquisition of the receivable portfolios.

In compliance with the authoritative guidance, the Company accounts for the receivable portfolios using the interest method or the cost recovery method. The interest method applies to receivable portfolios with expected cash flows that remain unchanged throughout the life of the pool, unless there is an increase or decrease in expected cash flows. The cost recovery method applies to receivable portfolios with expected cash flows that are generally recognized prospectively through an up-front discount. Increases or decreases in expected cash flows do not change the IRR, but are recognized in the consolidated statements of income as a reduction in revenue, with a corresponding adjustment to the carrying amount of the receivable portfolios in the consolidated statements of financial condition.

The Company accounts for each static pool as a unit for the economic life of the pool. The Company's accounting for receivable portfolios, for collections applied to the cost basis of receivable

receivable portfolios is accrued based on each pool's IRR applied to each
revenue earned and decreased by gross collections and portfolio allowance

10

Table of Contents

If the amount and timing of future cash collections on a pool of receivable portfolios on the cost recovery method as Cost Recovery Portfolios. The amount included in other portfolios acquired during the same quarter, or the necessary, accordingly, they were not aggregated with other portfolios. Under the cost recovery method, the purchase price of a Cost Recovery Portfolio has been fully recovered.

Accretable yield represents the amount of revenue the Company expects to recognize on receivable portfolios based on estimated future cash flows. Total accretable yield is the current carrying value of a portfolio. All estimated cash flows on portfolio are on an accrual basis cash flows.

The following table summarizes the Company's accretable yield and an analysis of the current period (*in thousands*):

Balance at December 31, 2009

Revenue recognized, net

Net additions to existing portfolios

Additions for current purchases

Balance at March 31, 2010

Revenue recognized, net

Additions to existing portfolios, net

Additions for current purchases

Balance at June 30, 2010

Revenue recognized, net

(Reductions) additions to existing portfolios, net

Additions for current purchases

Balance at September 30, 2010

Balance at December 31, 2008

Revenue recognized, net

Net additions to existing portfolios

Additions for current purchases

Balance at March 31, 2009

Revenue recognized, net

(Reductions) additions to existing portfolios, net

Additions for current purchases

Balance at June 30, 2009

Revenue recognized, net

(Reductions) additions to existing portfolios, net

Additions for current purchases

Balance at September 30, 2009

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, the Company purchased \$100 million, or a purchase cost of 3.0% of face value. The estimated future cash flows are \$100 million. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company purchased \$300 million, or a purchase cost of 3.5% of face value. The estimated future cash flows are \$300 million.

1

Table of Contents

All collections realized after the net book value of a portfolio has been fully recovered (Zero Basis Revenue) or allowance reversal if applicable. Zero Basis Revenue was \$0.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively (allowance reversals of \$0.5 million) and \$7.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The following tables summarize the changes in the balance of the investment portfolios (in millions, except percentages):

Balance, beginning of period
Purchases of receivable portfolios
Gross collections⁽¹⁾
Put-backs and recalls⁽²⁾
Revenue recognized⁽³⁾
(Portfolio allowances) portfolio allowance reversals, net

Balance, end of period

Revenue as a percentage of collections⁽⁴⁾

Balance, beginning of period
Purchases of receivable portfolios
Gross collections⁽¹⁾
Put-backs and recalls⁽²⁾
Revenue recognized⁽³⁾
(Portfolio allowances) portfolio allowance reversals, net

Balance, end of period

Revenue as a percentage of collections⁽⁴⁾

Balance, beginning of period
Purchases of receivable portfolios
Gross collections⁽¹⁾
Put-backs and recalls⁽²⁾
Revenue recognized⁽³⁾
(Portfolio allowances) portfolio allowance reversals, net

Balance, end of period

Revenue as a percentage of collections⁽⁴⁾

Table of Contents

Balance, beginning of period
Purchases of receivable portfolios
Gross collections ⁽¹⁾
Put-backs and recalls ⁽²⁾
Revenue recognized ⁽³⁾
(Portfolio allowances) portfolio allowance reversals, net
Balance, end of period

Revenue as a percentage of collections⁽⁴⁾

(1) Does not include amounts collected on behalf of others.

(2) Put-backs represent accounts that are returned to the seller in accordance with accounts that are recalled by the seller in accordance with the respective purchase agreements.

(3) Includes retained interest.

(4) Revenue as a percentage of collections excludes the effects of net portfolio allowance. The following table summarizes the change in the valuation allowance for portfolio allowances (in thousands):

Balance at beginning of period
Provision for portfolio allowances
Reversal of prior allowances

Balance at end of period

The Company currently utilizes various business channels for the collection of receivables. The following table summarizes the collection channel (in thousands):

Collection sites	\$
Legal collections	
Collection agencies	
Sales	

Note 7: Deferred Court Costs

The Company contracts with a nationwide network of attorneys that specify accounts to its contracted attorneys when it believes the related debtor has unwilling to pay. In connection with the Company's agreement with the (Deferred Court Costs). The Company capitalizes Deferred Court Costs costs that it believes will ultimately be uncollectible. The Company determines advanced and those that have been recovered. Deferred Court Costs not recovered. Collections received from these debtors are first applied against related costs.

Table of Contents

Deferred Court Costs for the three-year deferral period consist of the following:

Court costs advanced
Court costs recovered
Court costs reserve

Note 8: Other Assets

Other assets consist of the following (*in thousands*):

Debt issuance costs, net of amortization
Prepaid expenses
Security deposit India building lease
Deferred compensation assets
Other

Deferred compensation assets represent monies held in a trust associated with the Company's former CEO.

Note 9: Debt

The Company is obligated under borrowings, as follows (*in thousands*):

Convertible notes
Less: Debt discount
Senior secured notes
Revolving credit facility
Capital lease obligations

Convertible Senior Notes

The Company's Convertible Notes matured on September 20, 2010. On September 20, 2010, there were no Convertible Notes outstanding under the notes.

Edgar Filing: LPL Financial Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

In accordance with applicable accounting literature, the Company was required to record the interest expense on its Convertible Notes in a manner that reflected the Company's nonconvertible component of the Convertible Notes was 10.38%. Interest expense related to

Interest expense	stated coupon rate
Interest expense	amortization of debt discount

Total interest expense	convertible notes
------------------------	-------------------

Table of Contents

Senior Secured Notes

On September 20, 2010, the Company issued \$50.0 million in senior secured notes to Prudential Capital Group ("Prudential Capital Group") through a private placement. The notes have a coupon rate of 7.75% and mature in 2017 with principal amortization beginning in December 2010 and continuing on each March 17, June 17, September 17 and December 17 of each year. Principal payments are made on each March 17, June 17, September 17 and December 17 thereafter, up to the amount of principal then outstanding. The Senior Secured Notes are guaranteed in full by certain subsidiaries of the Company. The Senior Secured Notes may be accelerated and become due and payable in whole at the election of the holder or holders of a majority in principal amount of the Senior Secured Notes, including breach of affirmative covenants regarding guarantors, collateral, or the breach of any negative covenant. If the Company prepay the Senior Secured Notes, the prepayment shall be at the higher of par or the present value of the remaining scheduled payments, where the discount rate used to determine the present value shall be 50 basis points above the applicable market rate for the average life. The covenants are substantially similar to those in the Revolving Credit Facility, including those related to collateral. The proceeds from the Senior Secured Notes have been used to pay down the Company's existing Revolving Credit Facility, including borrowings incurred under the Revolving Credit Facility, which matured September 20, 2010.

Pursuant to Securities and Exchange Committee rules, the Company has determined that the financial information are not required as the guarantees related to the Senior Secured Notes are provided by the subsidiary of the parent company other than the subsidiary guarantors.

Revolving Credit Facility

On February 8, 2010, the Company entered into a new \$327.5 million revolving credit facility for the purpose of purchasing receivable portfolios and for general working capital needs through 2013.

The 2010 Revolving Credit Facility contains an accordion feature which allows the Company, subject to customary conditions, to request an increase in the facility of up to \$100 million from more lenders or other entities with the consent of the administrative agent.

On July 15, 2010, the Company obtained an additional \$33.0 million in capacity under the accordion feature.

On September 20 and 21, 2010, the Company amended its Revolving Credit Facility to include Senior Secured Notes and include a feature that would allow the Company to borrow under terms equivalent to the Senior Secured Notes. The accordion feature increased the facility maximum from \$427.5 million to \$460.5 million and the maturity date to September 20, 2013.

Provisions of the 2010 Revolving Credit Facility include:

Interest at a floating rate equal to, at the Company's option, (i) the prime rate plus 400 basis points, depending on the Company's leverage; or (ii) the ABR plus 400 basis points, depending on the Company's leverage. ABR, as defined, is the rate publicly announced by JP Morgan Chase Bank as its prime rate. The rate shall be the effective rate from time to time plus 0.5% and (iii) reserved amount plus 1%;

\$10.0 million sub-limits for swingline loans and letters of credit

A borrowing base equal to (i) the lesser of (1) 30% of eligible value of all receivable portfolios acquired on or after January 1, 2010, and (2) the amount of cash and cash equivalents outstanding in respect of the Senior Secured Notes;

Restrictions and covenants, which limit, among other things, the amount of debt and liens;

1.

Table of Contents

Repurchases of up to \$50.0 million of the Company's common stock using the Company's borrowing capacity;

A change of control definition which excludes acquisitions of 50% or more of the respective affiliates of up to 50% of the outstanding shares of the Company;

Events of default which, upon occurrence, may permit the lender to accelerate the amounts outstanding to be immediately due and payable;

An annual capital expenditure maximum of \$12.5 million;

An annual rental expense maximum of \$12.5 million;

An outstanding capital lease maximum of \$12.5 million;

An acquisition limit of \$100.0 million; and

Collateralization by all assets of the Company.

As of September 30, 2010, the outstanding balance on the 2010 Revolving Credit Facility was approximately \$360.5 million, with an interest rate of 4.68% and 4.65% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The borrowing base under the Revolving Credit Facility was \$360.5 million, and the Company is in compliance with all covenants under its financing arrangements.

Capital Lease Obligations

The Company has capital lease obligations for certain computer equipment with a carrying amount of approximately \$4.2 million. These lease obligations require monthly or quarterly payments at rates that range from approximately 5.9% to 7.7%.

The Company has financed certain leasehold improvement projects with capital leases. As of September 30, 2010, the Company's combined obligation was approximately \$0.8 million. The lease payments, accrue interest at 8% to 9% per annum and will mature in June 2011.

Note 10: Income Taxes

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, the Company recorded an effective tax rate of 35.0% of pretax income. The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2010, was 32.8% (which is net of a benefit for state taxes of 2.2%), a provision for federal income taxes of 1.6%, a benefit of an Internal Revenue Service (IRS) refund of interest, a reduction in the state effective tax rate and the applicable true-up of the state tax accounts. For the three months ended September 30, 2009, the Company recorded an income tax provision of \$1.8 million, resulting in an effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2009, primarily net of a benefit for state taxes of 2.7%), a provision for state taxes of 7.8% and a provision for the true-up of the state and federal tax accounts of 0.3%.

Edgar Filing: LPL Financial Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company recorded an effective tax rate of 36.3% of pretax income. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, was primarily due to state taxes of 32.8% (which is net of a benefit for state taxes of 2.2%), a provision for state taxes of 1.8%, a benefit of an IRS tax refund including interest of 0.8%, a reduction in the state effective tax rate and the applicable true-up of the state effective tax rate. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company recorded an income tax provision of \$1.8 million, which resulted in an effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, primarily due to state taxes of 27.8% (net of a benefit for state taxes of 2.7%), a provision for state taxes of 7.8% and a benefit of an IRS tax refund including interest of 0.8%.

As of September 30, 2010, the Company had a gross unrecognized tax benefit of approximately \$0.5 million and would reduce the Company's effective tax rate if the benefit is realized. The Company recorded a \$0.3 million tax benefit, which was the result of an audit adjustment.

of insurance and/or indemnification in some cases, the Company does not have any effect on its consolidated financial position or its results of operations.

Purchase Commitments

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into forward flow purchase agreements. As of September 30, 2010, the Company has entered into agreements to purchase securities for a purchase price of approximately \$66.6 million. Certain of these agreements can be cancelled by notice or by paying a one-time cancellation fee. The Company does not have any purchase commitments extending past one year.

Table of Contents

Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition

Special Note on Forward-Looking Statements

The following discussion contains, in addition to historical information, forward-looking statements made pursuant to the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements are statements that relate to future events or financial performance. These forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and assumptions that we believe are reasonable as of the date we make the statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual performance to differ materially from our current expectations. For example, statements relating to our belief that certain actions, conditions or circumstances will continue, may not occur as expected, and may be difficult to predict and many of which are beyond our control. Therefore, we do not intend to update or revise our forward-looking statements. For additional information regarding our operations, see the information under the caption "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of our 2009 and herein. We undertake no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements.

Introduction

We purchase portfolios of defaulted consumer receivables and manage them to work toward financial recovery. Defaulted receivables are consumers' unsecured receivables from unions, consumer finance companies, commercial retailers, auto finance companies, and other businesses. Defaulted receivables include receivables subject to bankruptcy protection. Our business hinges on understanding, measuring, and predicting distressed consumers' behavior using the industry's strongest analytic platforms. We purchase receivables based on sophisticated statistical models across the full extent of our operations. Moreover, we have purchased portfolios comprised of approximately 20 million accounts. As a result, we have been able to acquire. Our performance derives from our sophisticated and widespread data analytics, our cost leadership position (based on our enterprise-wide, account-level cost structure), our principled intent drive every consumer interaction. We maintain strong relationships with consumers in the United States, and possess one of the industry's best collection staff retention rates.

In addition, we provide bankruptcy support services to some of the largest consumer finance companies. Our subsidiary Ascension Capital Group, Inc. (Ascension). Leveraging a proprietary operational platform integrates lenders, trustees, and consumers across the industry.

Market Overview

While there has been some improvement in macroeconomic indicators due to new jobs growth and limited credit availability continue to challenge U.S. consumer confidence levels. Within the credit card space, we find mixed results. Consumer confidence levels have improved at a rate that may indicate a fundamental improvement in the economy. Personal bankruptcies and home foreclosures, remain elevated and indicate a continued economic recovery.

Despite this macroeconomic volatility, through the first three quarters of 2009, our performance was better than, what we observed in 2008 and 2009. To illustrate, payer rates for our payment plan mix, remained constant. However, more of our consumers are choosing payment plans as opposed to one-time settlements. Settlements made through payment plans (where payments received over extended time periods may result in a provision for a one-time payment of the same amount) is discounted using a pool growth rate. In other words, despite the absolute value of total cash received being identical, a payment plan yields a lower net present value which, in turn, can result in lower net present value. This contains the possibility of consumers failing to complete all scheduled payments.

Table of Contents

Despite the generally negative broad macroeconomic environment, the rate of their payment plans has increased in 2010 when compared to 2009. We believe we have partnered effectively with consumers during their recovery process. The success of our accurate and timely decisions about how best to maximize our portfolio returns is dependent on our ability to fail to fulfill all scheduled payments. When this happens, we are often successful in our case and in those instances where we are unable to do so, we experience a negative impact on our Management's Discussion and Analysis Revenue below for a more detailed discussion and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Throughout the credit crisis, we strategically invested in receivable portfolios that some of our competitors were (i) caught owning receivables with low liquidation levels between 2005 and 2008 and (ii) faced with constrained access to capital. Market dynamics resulted in a recent supply-demand gap that dramatically reduced liquidation prices for freshly charged-off assets (i.e., receivables sold within thirty days). Liquidation prices in 2008 to a range of 5% - 9% in 2009 and early 2010. Similar price reductions in other receivable asset classes (including credit cards and other consumer loans) have occurred. In the last few months has started to increase incrementally, but remains favorably below liquidation price declines in 2009 and 2010, some issuers have opted not to sell all of their portfolios using strategies or partnering with third party agencies. We believe that as prices improve, we will be able to acquire charged-off portfolios.

In light of the uncertainties presented by current market conditions, we believe that our forecasts, as well as to forecasting recoveries. Furthermore, while we believe that companies (including those during bad economic conditions) are more likely to recover faster than our forecasts, we have not factored this perspective into our forecasts.

When evaluating the long-term returns of our business, we believe that the potential negative impact to recoveries stemming from additional consumer bankruptcies in the current environment re-attracts significant capital to our industry, causing our returns to deteriorate further.

Purchases and Collections

Purchases

The following table summarizes the types of receivable portfolios we purchased during 2009 (in thousands):

Credit card
Consumer bankruptcy receivables ⁽¹⁾
Telecom

⁽¹⁾ Represents portfolio receivables subject to Chapter 13 and Chapter 7 bankruptcy. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, we invested \$77.9 million in telecom and bankruptcy portfolios, with face values aggregating \$2.6 billion in purchased receivables. This is a \$0.2 million increase, or 0.2%, in the amount of purchased receivables compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009, to acquire receivable portfolios, primarily in the telecom and bankruptcy portfolios, with face values aggregating \$2.2 billion for an average purchase price of 3.6% of the face value.

19

Table of Contents

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we invested \$242.9 million in telecom and bankruptcy portfolios with face values aggregating \$7.0 billion of purchased receivables. This is a \$27.2 million increase, or 7.0%, in the amount invested during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, to acquire receivable portfolios, with a face value aggregating \$5.5 billion for an average purchase price of 4.0% of the face value.

Average purchase price, as a percentage of face value, varies from period to period based on the portfolios purchased and the length of time from charge off to the time we purchase the portfolios.

Collections by Channel

We utilize numerous business channels for the collection of charged-off receivables. The following table summarizes gross collections by collection channel in the respective periods.

Collection sites
Legal collections
Collection agencies
Sales
Other

Gross collections increased \$31.7 million, or 25.2%, to \$157.4 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010, from \$125.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009. Gross collections increased \$363.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, from \$213.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

A portion of our collections comes from the weekly remittances we receive from our customers. We typically receive 13 remittances in each quarter; however, there were 14 remittances during the three months ended March 31, 2010 and the typical 13 remittances during the three months ended September 30, 2009. As remittances have grown to approximately \$6.5 million, our collections for the three months ended March 31, 2010 were higher than for the three months ended September 30, 2009 due to the one additional weekly remittance. There were 13 remittances in each of the three quarters ended September 30, 2009 and September 2009. The fourth quarter of 2010 will have the typical 13 remittances, where collections decline in the third and fourth quarters. As a result of seasonality, we anticipate that collections in the fourth quarter of 2010 will decrease from the third quarter of 2010.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

Results of operations in dollars and as a percentage of total revenue were

Revenue

Revenue from receivable portfolios, net
 Servicing fees and related revenue

Total revenue

Operating expenses

Salaries and employee benefits
 Stock-based compensation expense
 Cost of legal collections
 Other operating expenses
 Collection agency commissions
 General and administrative expenses
 Depreciation and amortization

Total operating expenses

Income before other (expense) income and income taxes

Other (expense) income

Interest expense
 Other income

Total other expense

Income before income taxes

Provision for income taxes

Net income

Revenue

Revenue from receivable portfolios, net
 Servicing fees and related revenue

Total revenue

Operating expenses

Salaries and employee benefits
 Stock-based compensation expense
 Cost of legal collections

Other operating expenses
Collection agency commissions
General and administrative expenses
Depreciation and amortization

Total operating expenses

Income before other (expense) income and income taxes

Other (expense) income
Interest expense
Gain on repurchase of convertible notes, net
Other income (expense)

Total other expense

Income before income taxes

Provision for income taxes

Net income

Table of Contents**Comparison of Results of Operations****Revenue**

Our revenue consists primarily of portfolio revenue and bankruptcy servicing fee revenue. Accretion revenue represents revenue derived from pools (including ZBA) that has not been fully amortized. Revenue from pools with a remaining unamortized cost basis rate applied to each pool's remaining unamortized cost basis. The cost basis is determined by collections and portfolio allowances. The effective interest rate is the interest rate received and anticipated future cash flow projections for each pool. All collections are recorded, or Zero Basis Portfolios, are recorded as revenue, or Zero Basis Portfolios, are recorded as revenue, or Zero Basis Portfolios, are recorded as revenue. Revenue from investment in receivable portfolios utilizing the interest method in accordance with ASC 310-39 is recorded as revenue. Revenue from investment in receivable portfolios acquired with deteriorated credit quality. Servicing fee revenue is revenue from Ascension subsidiary, a provider of bankruptcy services to the finance industry.

The following tables summarize collections, revenue, end of period receivables (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended	
	Collections ⁽¹⁾	Gross Revenue
ZBA	\$ 3,078	\$ 2,630
2003	490	500
2004	2,020	500
2005	6,708	3,800
2006	6,439	5,000
2007	17,033	10,400
2008	31,095	18,400
2009	50,958	33,400
2010	39,508	25,400
Total	\$ 157,329	\$ 99,800

	Three Months Ended	
	Collections ⁽¹⁾	Gross Revenue
ZBA	\$ 2,100	\$ 2,100
2002	630	300
2003	1,770	1,200
2004	2,648	1,500
2005	10,729	6,200
2006	12,274	7,600
2007	25,659	14,700
2008	38,715	26,800
2009	31,111	20,300

Total

\$ 125,636 \$ 80,730

2

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended	
	Collections ⁽¹⁾	Gross Revenue ⁽²⁾
ZBA	\$ 7,489	\$ 7,047
2002	417	395
2003	3,215	2,985
2004	6,369	5,945
2005	21,735	20,075
2006	20,986	19,005
2007	57,311	53,505
2008	100,560	94,245
2009	160,066	150,305
2010	77,099	72,735
Total	\$ 455,247	\$ 285,355

	Nine Months Ended	
	Collections ⁽¹⁾	Gross Revenue ⁽²⁾
ZBA	\$ 6,957	\$ 6,957
2002	2,341	2,341
2003	6,366	6,366
2004	8,964	8,964
2005	33,892	33,892
2006	36,406	36,406
2007	89,090	89,090
2008	127,048	127,048
2009	51,966	51,966
Total	\$ 363,030	\$ 237,011

(1) Does not include amounts collected on behalf of others.

(2) Gross revenue excludes the effects of net portfolio allowances or net portfolio discounts.

(3) Revenue recognition rate excludes the effects of net portfolio allowances or net portfolio discounts.

(4) Our monthly IRR is calculated based on the weighted average of each pool's IRR at the time of purchase. Therefore, it is possible for the monthly IRR to be lower than that reported in prior periods. Total revenue was \$98.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008, and total revenue of \$80.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009.

Edgar Filing: LPL Financial Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

September 30, 2010, an increase of \$17.4 million, or 22.7%, compared to September 30, 2009.

Total revenue was \$281.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, an increase of \$45.9 million, or 20.6%, compared to revenue of \$234.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

2.

Table of Contents

The increase in portfolio revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, was primarily due to an increase in revenue associated with a higher portfolio balance during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively and an increase in the IR forecasts. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, we recorded a portfolio allowance provision of \$4.3 million in the same period of the prior year. We recorded a net portfolio allowance provision of \$16.8 million, compared to \$12.5 million of the prior year. The net provision for portfolio allowances for the three months ended September 30, 2010, was primarily due to a shortfall in collections in certain pool groups as compared to our forecasts and the variability at the pool group level between our actual collections and our forecasts. This was the result of several factors, including pressure on the consumer due to a recession, changes in consumer payment patterns, and the inherent challenge of forecasting collections.

Revenue associated with bankruptcy servicing fees earned from Ascension increased by an amount of \$0.2 million, or 5.2%, compared to revenue of \$3.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. Revenue from bankruptcy servicing fees earned from Ascension was \$12.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, or 6.7%, compared to revenue of \$12.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily due to the recognition of previously deferred servicing revenue.

Operating Expenses

Total operating expenses were \$74.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared to total operating expenses of \$61.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009.

Total operating expenses were \$212.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to total operating expenses of \$185.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Operating expenses are explained in more detail as follows:

Salaries and employee benefits

Total salaries and employee benefits increased \$1.8 million, or 12.2%, to \$14.4 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009. Total salaries and employee benefits were \$48.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, from \$46.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily the result of increases in headcount and related costs.

Stock-based compensation expenses

Stock-based compensation increased \$0.3 million, or 22.8%, to \$1.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily due to restricted stock granted in recent periods due to an increase in our stock price.

Stock-based compensation increased \$1.5 million, or 42.6%, to \$4.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was primarily due to restricted stock granted during the three months ended March 31, 2010 and the higher fair value of equity awards.

Table of Contents***Cost of legal collections***

The cost of legal collections increased \$7.8 million, or 29.7%, to \$33.9 million from \$26.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009. These costs consist of attorneys and costs of litigation. The increase in the cost of legal collections was primarily due to gross collections through our legal channel and upfront litigation costs. Gross collections for the three months ended September 30, 2010, up from \$55.6 million collected during the three months ended September 30, 2009, increased as a percent of gross collections through this channel to 47.2% from 48.8% during the three months ended September 30, 2009, primarily due to increased volume and the reversal in September 2009 of court costs previously deferred in connection with our settlement with Jefferson Capital in the commissions percentage in 2010 compared to 2009.

The cost of legal collections increased \$6.8 million, or 8.1%, to \$91.5 million from \$84.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. These costs consist of attorneys and costs of litigation. The increase in the cost of legal collections was primarily due to gross collections through our legal channel and upfront litigation costs. Gross collections for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, up from \$173.5 million collected during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, decreased as a percent of gross collections through this channel to 48.8% from 48.8% during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, primarily due to the cost reversal in September 2009 related to our settled arbitration with Jefferson Capital.

The following table summarizes our legal collection channel performance:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010		
Collections	\$ 71,773	100.0%	\$ 5
Court costs advanced	20,961	29.2%	1
Court costs deferred	(7,850)	(10.9)%	(
Deferred court costs reversal ⁽¹⁾			
Court cost expense ⁽²⁾	13,111	18.3%	
Other ⁽³⁾	661	0.9%	
Commissions	20,079	28.0%	1
Total Costs	\$ 33,851	47.2%	\$ 2

(1) Primarily related to our settled arbitration with Jefferson Capital in September 2009. The reversal of court costs previously deferred were subject to Jefferson Capital's settlement with the FTC. A portion of the reversal of court costs previously deferred.

(2) In connection with our agreement with contracted attorneys, we advance certain court costs in our financial statements and provide a reserve and corresponding court cost expense. The amount includes changes in our anticipated recovery rate of court costs expense.

(3) Other costs consist of costs related to counter claims and legal network subscription fees.

Other operating expenses

Edgar Filing: LPL Financial Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

Other operating expenses increased \$3.5 million, or 57.6%, to \$9.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to an increase in other operating expenses, an increase of \$0.6 million in media-related expenses, an increase of \$1.2 million in other operating expenses, all to support our growth.

Other operating expenses increased \$9.1 million, or 48.6%, to \$27.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to an increase in other operating expenses, an increase of \$1.7 million in media-related expenses, an increase of \$1.2 million in skip tracing expenses, and a net increase in various other operating expenses of \$5.2 million.

2:

Table of Contents

Collection agency commissions

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, we incurred \$5.4 million in collection agency commissions related to gross collections of \$18.1 million, compared to \$5.8 million in collection agency commissions during the three months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease in commissions is primarily due to the direct channel, offset by a higher net commission rate due to the mix of accounts placed with the agencies through this channel, vary from period to period depending on, among other things, the time from charge-off of the account to the agency. Generally, freshly charged-off accounts have a lower commission rate than accounts that have been charged off for a longer period of time. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, we placed fewer accounts with the agencies in the same period in the prior year.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we incurred \$17.1 million in collection agency commissions related to gross collections of \$57.8 million, compared to \$13.5 million in collection agency commissions during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in commissions is primarily due to the direct channel, offset by a lower net commission rate. The decrease in the net commission rate is primarily due to the mix of accounts placed with the agencies. Commissions, as a percentage of gross collections, vary depending on, among other things, the time from charge-off of the account to the agency. Generally, freshly charged-off accounts have a lower commission rate than accounts that have been charged off for a longer period of time. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we placed more freshly charged-off accounts with the agencies as compared to the same period in the prior year.

General and administrative expenses

General and administrative expenses decreased \$0.3 million, or 4.1%, to \$7.0 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$7.3 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in legal expenses due to incurring significant legal expenses in 2009 in connection with our settlement, which was offset by an increase of \$0.3 million in legal settlements, an increase in consulting fees of \$0.2 million and an increase in general and administrative expenses of \$0.7 million.

General and administrative expenses increased \$1.2 million, or 6.0%, to \$20.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$18.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to an increase of \$1.2 million in legal settlements, an increase of \$0.3 million in consulting fees, an increase of \$0.2 million in general and administrative expenses of \$2.3 million. The increase was offset by a decrease of \$0.4 million in legal expenses due to incurring significant legal expenses in 2009 in connection with our settlement.

Cost per Dollar Collected

The following table summarizes our cost per dollar collected (*in thousands*):

	2010		2009
	Collections	Cost	Cost per Dollar Collected
Collection sites	\$ 67,089	\$ 6,210 ⁽¹⁾	9.1%
Legal networks	71,773	33,851	47.2%
Collection agency outsourcing	18,065	5,389	29.8%
Sales and other	445		
Other indirect costs ⁽²⁾		23,604	33.1%
Total	\$ 157,372	\$ 69,054 ⁽³⁾	43.9%

Table of Contents

	2010		
	Collections	Cost	C P Cha Do Coll
Collection sites	\$ 199,513	\$ 18,578 ⁽¹⁾	
Legal networks	196,995	91,519	
Collection agency outsourcing	57,777	17,098	
Sales and other	1,143		
Other indirect costs ⁽²⁾		70,464	
Total	\$ 455,428	\$ 197,659⁽³⁾	

(1) Represents only account manager salaries, variable compensation and employee benefits.

(2) Other indirect costs represent non collection salaries and employee benefits, general and administrative expenses, and amortization.

(3) Represents all operating expenses excluding stock-based compensation expenses in order to facilitate a comparison of approximate cash costs to cash collections. This represents a reconciliation of operating expenses, excluding stock-based compensation expenses, to the expenses in the table below.

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, cost per dollar collected was 45.4% of gross collections during the three months ended September 30, 2009.

The cost from our collection sites, account manager salaries, variable compensation and employee benefits, as a percentage of our site collections, decreased to 4.0% in three months ended September 30, 2010 from 4.6% in the three months ended September 30, 2009. The cost from collection agency outsourcing, as a percentage of our agency collections, decreased to 9.3% in three months ended September 30, 2010 from 9.3% in three months ended September 30, 2009. These decreases were primarily due to the reduction in other indirect costs as a percent of total collection costs.

The cost of collection agency commissions, as a percentage of our agency collections, decreased to 4.6% in three months ended September 30, 2010 from 4.6% in the three months ended September 30, 2009. The cost of collection agency commissions, as a percentage of our gross collections, decreased to 4.6% in three months ended September 30, 2010 from 29.8% in three months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease in the cost of collection agency commissions, as a percentage of our gross collections, is primarily due to the reduction in other indirect costs as a percent of total collection costs. Freshly charged-off accounts placed into this channel. Freshly charged-off accounts placed into this channel for a longer period of time. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, we reduced our collection agency commissions with our agencies as compared to the same period in the prior year.

Other costs, not directly attributable to specific channel collections, including general and administrative expenses, other operating expenses, and depreciation, as a percentage of our gross collections, decreased to 15.0% in the three months ended September 30, 2010 from 15.0% in the three months ended September 30, 2009. In dollar terms these costs increased in order to support the gross collection growth. The reduction in other indirect costs as a percent of total collection costs was offset by the increase in other indirect costs in dollar terms.

The decrease was offset by:

An increase in the cost of legal collections, as a percent of total collections, from 20.8% in the three months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was due to growth in the legal channel. The cost of legal collections increased from the three months ended September 30, 2010 from 46.9% in the three months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was the result of increased upfront court costs associated with our purchase of loans as a percentage in 2010 compared to 2009.

2

Table of Contents

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, cost per dollar collected was 47.3% of gross collections during the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

The cost from our collection sites, account manager salaries, and other direct costs related to collections, decreased to 4.1% in nine months ended September 30, 2010 and, as a percentage of our site collections, decreased to 9.3% in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to changes in our domestic compensation plan structure.

The cost of legal collections as a percent of total collections decreased to 23.3% in the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and, as a percent of total collections, ended September 30, 2010 from 48.8% in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. This decrease was primarily due to targeted placement volumes as part of an initiative to sue high net worth individuals related to our Jefferson Capital settlement in September 2009.

The cost of collection agency commissions, as a percentage of total collections, decreased from 31.4% in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. The cost in collection agency commissions decreased due to a change in the mix of accounts placed into this channel. Fresh accounts have been charged off for a longer period of time. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we charged-off accounts with our agencies as compared to the same period in 2009.

Other costs not directly attributable to specific channel collection and administrative expenses, other operating expenses, and depreciation and amortization decreased from 15.5% in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. In dollar terms these costs increased in order to support the growth of our business. The slight reduction in other indirect costs as a percent of total collections is due to the cost per dollar collected can fluctuate based on the contribution by each of our channels.

The following table provides a reconciliation of operating expenses, excluding stock-based compensation expense and bankruptcy servicing operating expenses to GAAP total operating expenses, (*in thousands*):

	2010	2009
GAAP total operating expenses, as reported	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
Stock-based compensation expense	(100,000)	(100,000)
Bankruptcy servicing operating expenses	(100,000)	(100,000)
Operating expenses, excluding stock-based compensation expense and bankruptcy servicing operating expenses	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000

India Expansion

Due to the continued strong performance of our team in India and our ability to expand our offshore collection efforts, we have negotiated a lease for an additional space in Gurgaon, India and will allow us to expand our collector headcount up to 100.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased \$0.9 million, or 24.1%, to \$4.9 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009. Interest expense increased \$ September 30, 2010, from \$12.2 million during the nine months ended Se

2

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our interest expense (*in thousands*):

Stated interest on debt obligations
Amortization of loan fees and other loan costs
Amortization of debt discount convertible notes

Total interest expense

Stated interest on debt obligations
Amortization of loan fees and other loan costs
Amortization of debt discount convertible notes

Total interest expense

Stated interest on debt obligations increased \$0.8 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009. Stated interest on debt obligations increased \$2.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increases in stated interest on debt obligations were primarily due to an increase in our outstanding loan balances and an increase in the interest rate on our revolving credit facility.

Provision for Income Taxes

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, we recorded an income tax provision of \$1.6 million on pretax income of \$100.0 million. The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2010, was 1.6% (which is net of a benefit for state taxes of 2.2%), a blended provision for state taxes of 1.6%, a benefit of an Internal Revenue Service (IRS) interest refund of 0.6%, and a reduction in the state effective tax rate and the applicable true up of the state and federal tax accounts of 0.3%. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded an income tax provision of \$5.9 million on pretax income of \$100.0 million. The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2009, primarily consisted of a provision for state taxes of 7.8%, a benefit for state taxes of 2.7%, a provision for state taxes of 7.8%, a benefit for the true-up of the state and federal tax accounts of 0.3%.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we recorded an income tax provision of \$16.1 million on pretax income of \$100.0 million. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, was 16.1% (which is net of a benefit for state taxes of 2.2%), a blended provision for state taxes of 16.1%, a benefit of an IRS tax and interest refund of 0.7%, a benefit of 0.7% in the state tax effective rate and the applicable true up of the state and federal tax accounts of 0.3%. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded an income tax provision of \$16.1 million on pretax income of \$100.0 million. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2009, primarily consisted of a provision for state taxes of 7.8%, a benefit for state taxes of 2.7%, a provision for state taxes of 7.8%, and a benefit for the true-up of the state and federal tax accounts of 0.3%.

Table of Contents

Supplemental Performance Data

Cumulative Collections to Purchase Price Multiple

The following table summarizes our purchases and related gross collection

Year of Purchase	Purchase Price ⁽¹⁾	Cumulative Collections			
		<2004	2004	2005	2006
<2004	\$ 284,161 ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 517,451	\$ 192,940	\$ 144,775	\$ 109,379
2004	101,324		39,400	79,845	54,832
2005	192,590			66,491	129,809
2006	141,041				42,354
2007	204,292				
2008	227,912				
2009	253,533				
2010	242,083				
Total	\$ 1,646,936	\$ 517,451	\$ 232,340	\$ 291,111	\$ 336,374

(1) Adjusted for put-backs, account recalls, purchase price rescissions, and the impact of the seller in accordance with the respective purchase agreement (Put-Backs) and the respective purchase agreement (Recalls).

(2) Cumulative collections from inception through September 30, 2010, excluding

(3) Cumulative Collections Multiple (CCM) through September 30, 2010 co

(4) From inception through December 31, 2003.

Total Estimated Collections to Purchase Price Multiple

The following table summarizes our purchases, resulting historical gross collection to purchase (in thousands, except multiples):

	Purchase Price ⁽¹⁾	Historical Collections
<2004	\$ 284,161 ⁽³⁾	\$ 1,000,000
2004	101,324	200,000
2005	192,590	400,000
2006	141,041	200,000
2007	204,292	300,000
2008	227,912	300,000

Edgar Filing: LPL Financial Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

2009	253,533	2
2010	242,083	
Total	\$ 1,646,936	\$ 3,0

- (1) Adjusted for Put-Backs, Recalls, purchase price rescissions, and the impact of
- (2) Cumulative collections from inception through September 30, 2010, excluding
- (3) From inception through December 31, 2003.

30

Table of Contents***Estimated Remaining Gross Collections by Year of Purchase***

The following table summarizes our estimated remaining gross collection

	2010 ⁽²⁾	2011	Estimated Re 2012
<2004 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 35	\$	\$
2004	1,180	1,734	
2005	6,169	20,434	6,929
2006	5,707	27,022	19,290
2007	13,701	39,048	24,427
2008	27,296	89,490	55,528
2009	40,751	155,393	103,065
2010	36,173	148,844	128,341
Total	\$ 131,012	\$ 481,965	\$ 337,580

(1) Estimated remaining collections for Zero Basis Portfolios can extend beyond

(2) 2010 amount consists of three months data from October 1, 2010 to December

Unamortized Balances of Portfolios

The following table summarizes the remaining unamortized balances of o
except percentages):

	Unamortized Balance as of September 30, 2010
2004	\$ 1,964
2005	20,555
2006	31,326
2007	42,900
2008	111,207
2009	163,442
2010	208,760
Total	\$ 580,154

(1) Purchase price refers to the cash paid to a seller to acquire a portfolio less Put
the purchase price for accounts that were sold at the time of purchase to another

Changes in the Investment in Receivable Portfolios

Revenue related to our investment in receivable portfolios comprises two value and are accounted for on the accrual basis (Accrual Basis Portfolios their book value Zero Basis Portfolios and, therefore, every dollar of gross reversal if applicable. If the amount and timing of future cash collections such portfolios on the cost recovery method (Cost Recovery Portfolios basis has been fully recovered, at which time they become Zero Basis Portfolios

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize the changes in the balance of the investment portfolios and the percentage of collections (*in thousands, except percentages*):

Balance, beginning of period
Purchases of receivable portfolios
Gross collections⁽¹⁾
Put-backs and recalls
Revenue recognized⁽²⁾
(Portfolio allowances) portfolio allowance reversals, net

Balance, end of period

Revenue as a percentage of collections⁽³⁾

Balance, beginning of period
Purchases of receivable portfolios
Gross collections⁽¹⁾
Put-backs and recalls
Revenue recognized⁽²⁾
(Portfolio allowances) portfolio allowance reversals, net

Balance, end of period

Revenue as a percentage of collections⁽³⁾

Balance, beginning of period
Purchases of receivable portfolios
Gross collections⁽¹⁾
Put-backs and recalls
Revenue recognized⁽²⁾
(Portfolio allowances) portfolio allowance reversals, net

Balance, end of period

Revenue as a percentage of collections⁽³⁾

Balance, beginning of period

Edgar Filing: LPL Financial Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

Purchases of receivable portfolios

Gross collections⁽¹⁾

Put-backs and recalls

Revenue recognized⁽²⁾

(Portfolio allowances) portfolio allowance reversals, net

Balance, end of period

Revenue as a percentage of collections⁽³⁾

(1) Does not include amounts collected on behalf of others.

(2) Includes retained interest.

(3) Revenue as a percentage of collections excludes the effects of net portfolio all

3

Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2010, we had \$580.2 million in investment in receivables. Our projections of cash collections in excess of revenue applied to the principal portfolio balance is as follows (*in thousands*):

Year Ended December 31,
2010 ⁽¹⁾
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
Total

⁽¹⁾ 2010 amount consists of three months data from October 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010.

Collections by Channel

We utilize numerous business channels for the collection of charged-off receivables. The following table summarizes our gross collections by collection channel (*in thousands*):

Collection sites	\$
Legal collections	
Collection agencies	
Sales	
Other	
	\$

External Collection Channels and Related Direct Costs

The following tables summarize our external collection channel performance for the three months ended September 30, 2010.

		Legal Coll
		Three Months Ended
		2010
Collections	\$ 71,773	100.0%
Commissions	\$ 20,079	28.0%
Court cost expense ⁽¹⁾	13,111	18.3%
Other ⁽²⁾	661	0.9%

Total Costs	\$ 33,851	47.2%
-------------	-----------	-------

3.

Table of Contents

		Legal Colle Nine Months Ended 2010
Collections	\$ 196,995	100.0%
Commissions	\$ 56,576	28.7%
Court cost expense ⁽¹⁾	33,068	16.8%
Other ⁽²⁾	1,875	1.0%
Total Costs	\$ 91,519	46.5%

(1) In connection with our agreement with contracted attorneys, we advance certain legal fees in our financial statements and provide a reserve and corresponding court cost expense. The amount includes changes in our anticipated recovery rate of court costs expense.

(2) Other costs consist primarily of costs related to counter claims and legal network fees.
Legal Outsourcing Collections and Related Costs

The following tables summarize our legal outsourcing collection channel

Placement Year	Gro		
	2003	2004	2005
2003	\$ 10,750	\$ 27,192	\$ 17,212
2004		\$ 23,455	\$ 37,674
2005			\$ 21,694
2006			\$
2007			
2008			
2009			
2010 YTD			

(1) Includes collections for accounts placed in our legal channel beginning January 1, 2003, or the date of placement, whichever is later.

Placement Year	Gro		
	2003	2004	2005
2003	\$ 908	\$ 2,046	\$ 571
2004		\$ 2,509	\$ 2,937
2005			\$ 3,271
2006			\$
2007			
2008			
2009			
2010 YTD			

(1) Includes court cost expense for accounts placed in our legal channel beginning this channel prior to that date. Court cost expense in this table is calculated ba

3

Table of Contents

Placement Year	2003	2004	2005
2003	\$ 3,574	\$ 8,606	\$ 5,496
2004		\$ 7,273	\$ 12,060
2005			\$ 6,725
2006			
2007			
2008			
2009			
2010 YTD			

(1) Includes commissions for accounts placed in our legal channel beginning January 1, 2004, and for accounts placed in this channel prior to that date.

Court C

Placement Year	2003	2004
2003	41.7%	39.2%
2004		41.7%
2005		
2006		
2007		
2008		
2009		
2010 YTD		

Placement Year⁽²⁾	2003	2004
2003	23	29
2004		59
2005		
2006		
2007		
2008		
2009		
2010 YTD		

(1) Represents the year the account was placed into litigation.

(2) Represents the year the account was placed into our legal channel.

Headcount by Function by Site

The following table summarizes our headcount by function by site:

General & Administrative
Account Manager
Bankruptcy Specialist

3.

Table of Contents

Gross Collections by Account Manager

The following table summarizes our collection performance by Account Manager

Gross collections	collection sites
Average active account managers	
Collections per average active account manager	

The decrease in collections per average active account manager is a result of our long-term strategy to maintain headcount at current levels in our domestic offices. We have recently ramped up headcount in our new, larger India site and as we migrate more of our collection sites to India, the number of account managers has declined. Once we are fully ramped up and the new account managers are fully productive, we expect collections per average active account manager to return to previous levels.

Gross Collections per Hour Paid

The following table summarizes our gross collections per hour paid to Account Managers

Gross collections	collection sites
Total hours paid	
Collections per hour paid	

Collection Sites Direct Cost per Dollar Collected

The following table summarizes our gross collections in collection sites and the direct cost of those collections

Gross collections	collection sites
Direct cost ⁽¹⁾	
Cost per dollar collected	

⁽¹⁾ Represents salaries, variable compensation and employee benefits.

Salaries and Employee Benefits by Function

The following table summarizes our salaries and employee benefits by function

Portfolio Purchasing and Collecting Activities

Collections related

General & administrative

Subtotal

Bankruptcy Services

3

Table of Contents

Purchases by Quarter

The following table summarizes the purchases we made by quarter, and the

Quarter

Q1 2007
 Q2 2007
 Q3 2007
 Q4 2007
 Q1 2008
 Q2 2008
 Q3 2008
 Q4 2008
 Q1 2009
 Q2 2009
 Q3 2009
 Q4 2009
 Q1 2010
 Q2 2010
 Q3 2010

(1) Allocation of the forward flow asset to the cost basis of receivable portfolio p
 due to an alleged breach by Jefferson Capital and its parent, CompuCredit Co
 Jefferson Capital. As part of the settlement, we purchased a receivable portfol

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

Historically, we have met our cash requirements by utilizing our cash flow
 cash requirements have included the purchase of receivable portfolios, op
 borrowings and tax payments.

The following table summarizes our cash flows by category for the period

Net cash provided by operating activities

Net cash used in investing activities

Net cash provided by financing activities

On February 8, 2010, we entered into a new \$327.5 million, revolving cre
 accordion feature, we obtained an additional \$33.0 million in commitmen
 September 20 and 21, 2010 we amended our revolving credit facility to re
 of \$460.5 million) and extended the maturity date to December 31, 2013.
 discussion on our debt and our 2010 Revolving Credit Facility.

Edgar Filing: LPL Financial Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

Currently, all of our portfolio purchases are funded with cash from operations and the Revolving Credit Facility.

On September 20, 2010, we issued \$50.0 million in senior secured notes with a fixed annual interest rate of 7.75% and mature in 2017 with principal amortization over the term to reduce aggregate outstanding borrowings under the Company's 2010 Revolving Credit Facility. We also have remaining \$42.9 million of 3.375% Convertible Senior Notes that mature in 2015.

3

Table of Contents

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off balance sheet arrangements as defined by Item 30

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

For quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk affecting Earnings, see "Market Risk," of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010. Our exposure to market risk has not changed materially since December 31, 2009.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in our reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognizes that any system of controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives and that our evaluation of the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. The Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures required by the Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(b). Based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2010. Any changes to our disclosure controls and procedures were communicated to the Audit Committee. We intend to continue to monitor and evaluate our disclosure controls and procedures, including our internal controls and procedures for financial reporting, and to ensure that our systems evolve with the changing business environment.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are involved in disputes and legal actions from time to time in the ordinary course of business. We were not involved in any material legal proceedings during the quarter ended September 30, 2010. For a discussion of our legal proceedings, see "Item 3. Legal Proceedings," of our 2009 Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, and "Item 1. Legal Proceedings," of our 2010 Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010.

Item 1A Risk Factors

This section highlights some specific risks affecting our business, operating performance and financial condition. The risks are not intended to be exhaustive and the order in which the risks appear is not intended as an indication of their relative importance.

Table of Contents

Risk Factors

Recent instability in the financial markets and global economy may affect the success of our collection efforts.

The residential real estate market in the U.S. has experienced a significant decline in mortgage loan originations and securitizations and precipitating more general economic uncertainty and less available liquidity globally. Financial markets in the United States, Europe and other regions have experienced things, volatility in security prices, rating downgrades of certain investment securities, fluctuating oil prices, declining business and consumer confidence and increasing unemployment. Consumers are experiencing higher delinquency rates on various consumer receivable portfolios. These developments, as well as further declines in real estate values in the U.S., may reduce our ability to collect on our purchased consumer receivable portfolios further. Further credit market dislocations or sustained market downturns may reduce our ability to purchase consumer receivable portfolios in the future. Further, increased regulatory restrictions on our operations and increased litigation filed against us may result in a current disruption in financial markets and adverse economic conditions that may affect the results of operations.

Our quarterly operating results may fluctuate due to a variety of factors.

Our quarterly operating results will likely vary in the future due to a variety of factors in any particular quarter. We expect that our operating expenses as a percentage of revenue may increase due to volatile markets, increase our new business development efforts, hire additional personnel and other factors. In addition, our operating results have fluctuated and may continue to fluctuate. See our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q:

the timing and amount of collections on our receivable portfolios;

any charge to earnings resulting from an allowance against the receivable portfolios;

increases in operating expenses associated with the growth of our business;

the cost of credit to finance our purchases of receivable portfolios;

the timing and terms of our purchases of receivable portfolios. Due to fluctuating prices for consumer receivable portfolios, there has been volatility in our portfolio purchase prices from quarter to quarter and we expect that to continue. The volume of our portfolio purchases may increase when portfolio pricing is more favorable to us. We believe our ability to increase purchases may be limited because of current economic conditions, and this may require us to increase the price we pay for individual portfolios. An increase in portfolio return hurdles may also limit our ability to purchase. Because we recognize revenue on the basis of projected collections on purchased portfolios, our revenue and earnings due to the timing of portfolio purchases.

Accordingly, results for any one quarter are not necessarily indicative of future results and earnings for any particular future period may decrease.

Fluctuations in our operating results may lead to decreases in the trading

In the future, if operating results fall below the expectations of securities analysts, our stock price and trading volume likely would decrease. In addition, uncertainty about current global economic conditions and the volatility of our stock price.

4

Table of Contents

We may not be able to purchase receivables at sufficiently favorable prices.

Our ability to continue to operate profitably depends upon the continued availability of receivables and are cost-effective based upon projected collections exceeding our costs of acquisition, meeting or exceeding our projected collections. The market for acquiring receivables has attracted a large amount of investment capital. With this inflow of capital, we believed may generate reduced returns on investment. While more receivables may have somewhat lessened competition for these receivable portfolios and may be more favorable economic conditions and competitive climate will continue or that portfolios may not collect a sufficient amount to make the portfolio collections cost-effective.

In addition to the competitive factors discussed above, the availability of receivables on similar terms depends on a number of factors, within and outside of our control, including:

the continuation of the current growth and charge-off trends in the market;

the continued sale of receivable portfolios by originating institutions;

our ability to develop and maintain long-term relationships with credit originators;

our ability to obtain adequate data from credit originators or processors to accurately estimate the value of, portfolios;

changes in laws and regulations governing consumer lending, including:

the potential availability of government funding to competing programs intended to serve as an economic stimulus.

In addition, because of the length of time involved in collecting charged-off receivables, the timing of our collections, we may not be able to identify trends and make adjustments. If we are unable to continually purchase and collect on a sufficient volume of receivables, our business will be materially and adversely affected.

We may not be successful in acquiring and collecting on portfolios consisting of nonperforming assets.

We may pursue the acquisition of portfolios consisting of assets with which we have not completed any of these acquisitions. If we do purchase such assets, our lack of experience with such receivable portfolios, which may substantially hinder our ability to acquire such new types of receivables, our existing methods of collection and operations may have a material and adverse affect on our results of operations.

We may purchase receivable portfolios that contain unprofitable accounts receivable and to fund our operations.

We acquire and service receivables that the obligors have failed to pay and which financial institutions generally make numerous attempts to recover on their nonperforming

collection and legal departments as well as third-party collection agencies purchase and collect on a sufficient volume of receivables to generate revenue and we may not be successful in collecting amounts sufficient to cover the operations. If we are not able to collect on these receivables or collect sufficient amounts, it may affect our results of operations.

We may purchase portfolios that contain accounts which do not meet our

In the normal course of our portfolio acquisitions, some receivables may not meet the terms of our purchase agreements and we may seek to return these receivables to the seller. If such sellers will be able to meet their obligations to us. Accounts that we acquire in portfolios containing too many accounts that do not conform to the terms of our purchase agreements, uncollectible, we may be unable to collect a sufficient amount and the portfolio may have a negative effect on our cash flows. If cash flows from operations are less than anticipated, our cash flows, portfolios and our future growth and profitability may be materially and adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Our failure to purchase sufficient quantities of receivable portfolios may

Because fixed costs, such as certain personnel costs and lease or other facilities, are required to reduce the number of employees in our collection operations in order to deal with additional receivable portfolios or collect sufficient amounts on receivable portfolios, our business adversely and lead to:

lower employee morale, higher employee attrition rates, fewer

disruptions in our operations and loss of efficiency in collecting

excess costs associated with unused space in collection facilities.

A significant portion of our portfolio purchases during any period may

We expect that a significant percentage of our portfolio purchases for any period will be on terms which also may involve forward flow arrangements. We cannot be certain that we will be able to purchase receivables to us on terms or in quantities acceptable to us, or that we will

A significant decrease in the volume of purchases from any of our principal sources of receivables. We may be unable to find alternative sources from which to purchase receivables. To replace such purchases, the search could take time, the receivables could be of lower quality, and this could adversely affect our financial performance.

We may be unable to meet our future short- or long-term liquidity requirements.

We depend on both internal and external sources of financing to fund our operations. If our need for additional financing and capital resources increases dramatically as our business grows, our ability to obtain financing on terms acceptable to us would limit our ability to acquire additional receivable portfolios.

Volatility in U.S. credit markets could affect our ability to refinance and pay down our debt investments, or other significant operating or capital expenditures.

At the end of September 30, 2010, we had approximately \$50.0 million of debt. As of September 17, 2017, and a balance on our revolving credit facility of \$27.0 million. If our commitments from lenders increasing our revolving credit facility to approximately \$50.0 million restrict our ability to refinance the principal amount of the Senior Notes or to pay down our debt, this could restrict our ability to further exercise the remaining accordion feature of our revolving credit facility.

We may not be able to continue to satisfy the restrictive covenants in our debt agreements.

All of our receivable portfolios are pledged to secure amounts owed to our lenders. Our ability to continue to operate on how we operate our business. Failure to satisfy any one of these covenants could result in an event of default which could have a materially adverse effect on our ability to conduct business.

acceleration of outstanding indebtedness;

our inability to continue to purchase receivables needed to op

our inability to secure alternative financing on favorable term

We use estimates in our revenue recognition and our earnings will be re

We utilize the interest method to determine revenue recognized on substa
receivables is modeled based upon its projected cash flows. A yield is the
of receivables, results in the recognition of revenue at a constant yield rel
by us may substantially differ from our projections and may be lower than
to take an allowance charge on a portion of our investment, which would

4.

Table of Contents

We may incur allowance charges based on the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) ASC 310-30.

We account for our portfolio revenue in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) ASC 310-30 *Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality*. We recognize revenue based on the amount accrued to the excess of the estimate of expected future cash flows over a period of time. If actual cash flows are in excess of the contractual cash flows over expected cash flows not be recognized, we will reduce the estimate and freezes the internal rate of return, or IRR originally estimated when the portfolio was acquired. Rather than lower the estimated IRR if the expected future cash flow estimate is revised, we will increase the estimate. If the estimate would be written down to maintain the then-current IRR. Increases in expected cash flows will result in an upward adjustment of the IRR over a portfolio's remaining life. Any increase in the estimate of expected cash flows under ASC 310-30 does not permit yields to be lowered, there is an increased probability that the estimate would negatively impact our profitability.

If our goodwill or amortizable intangible assets become impaired we may be required to record an impairment charge.

We carry approximately \$16.0 million in goodwill and approximately \$1.0 million in amortizable intangible assets. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, we review our goodwill for potential impairment annually and more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that an impairment may exist. We also review our amortizable intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances may indicate that the carrying value of our goodwill or amortizable intangible assets may not be recoverable. We estimate the fair value of our goodwill and amortizable intangible assets based on expected future cash flows, growth rates and discount rates. We may be required to record an impairment charge in which any impairment of our goodwill or amortizable intangible assets would be recorded.

Negative news regarding the debt collection industry and individual debtors may impact our ability to collect on the receivables we acquire.

Consumers are exposed to information from a number of sources that may result in negative publicity about our industry. Print and other media publish stories about the debt collection industry and our practices. These stories are also published on websites, which can lead to negative publicity about our industry. Various internet sites are maintained by consumers and others who provide advice to collectors and seek guidance from other website posters on how to handle debt. Negative publicity about the debt collection industry and our practices may result in negative publicity about our industry. Various internet sites are maintained by consumers and others who provide advice to collectors and seek guidance from other website posters on how to handle debt. Negative publicity about the debt collection industry and our practices may result in negative publicity about our industry. Various internet sites are maintained by consumers and others who provide advice to collectors and seek guidance from other website posters on how to handle debt.

Our business of enforcing the collection of purchased receivables is subject to various laws and regulations.

Some laws and regulations applicable to credit card issuers or other debt collectors may result in negative publicity about our industry. Various internet sites are maintained by consumers and others who provide advice to collectors and seek guidance from other website posters on how to handle debt. Negative publicity about the debt collection industry and our practices may result in negative publicity about our industry. Various internet sites are maintained by consumers and others who provide advice to collectors and seek guidance from other website posters on how to handle debt.

We sometimes purchase accounts in asset classes that are subject to industry regulations. Our inability to collect sufficient amounts from these accounts may result in negative publicity about our industry. Various internet sites are maintained by consumers and others who provide advice to collectors and seek guidance from other website posters on how to handle debt.

Present and future government regulation, legislation or enforcement actions may impact our ability to collect on the receivables.

Federal and state laws and regulations may limit our ability to recover amounts due to us by debtors. Laws relating to debt collections also directly apply to our business. We may be required to comply with existing or new laws, regulations or court orders that may impact our ability to collect on the receivables we acquire.

cause us to pay damages to the original debtors, which could reduce our r

4

Table of Contents

Additional consumer protection or privacy laws and regulations may be enacted that affect our consumer receivables. Such new laws may materially adversely affect our ability to collect our receivables and, in turn, our earnings.

Failure to comply with government regulation could result in the suspension of our operations, the payment of significant fines and penalties, or require other significant expenditures.

The collections industry is regulated under various federal and state laws and regulations. We are licensed as a debt collection company. The Federal Trade Commission, state attorneys general, and state consumer protection agencies investigate consumer complaints against debt collection companies and their third party collection agencies or law firms. If our third party collection agencies or law firms fail to comply with applicable laws or regulations, or changes in the ways these rules or laws are interpreted, it could result in the termination of our ability to conduct collection operations, which would materially adversely affect our revenue and profitability, or increase the cost of regulatory compliance.

We are dependent upon third parties to service a substantial portion of our collections.

Although we utilize our in-house collection staff to collect a substantial portion of our collections, we are increasing our collection activity through the legal channel. We rely on third party collection agencies to collect accounts. As a result, we are dependent upon the efforts of those third party collection agencies to collect consumer receivables. Any failure by our third-party collection agencies to collect accounts or such collections to us could materially reduce our revenue and our profitability. If our third party collection agencies or attorneys were to cease operations abruptly, or to become insolvent, such a failure could materially reduce our revenue and profitability. Our revenue and profitability could also be materially adversely affected if our third party collection agencies or attorneys and transfer account information to our competitors. Our agreements with our third-party collection agencies and attorneys are term agreements and do not guarantee that they will adequately perform their obligations adequately, or if our relationships with such third-party collection agencies or attorneys are terminated.

A significant portion of our collections relies upon our success in individual lawsuits.

We generate a significant portion of our revenue by collecting on judgments. A decrease in the willingness of courts to grant such judgments, a change in the legal channel for collections, or a decrease in our ability to collect on such judgments could have a material adverse effect on our revenue and profitability. If the legal channel for collections, our short-term margins may decrease due to an increase in counter claims. We may not be able to collect on certain aged accounts because of the adverse effects of regulatory changes that we cannot predict. Further, courts may require that statements or applications be attached to the pleadings in order to obtain a judgment. If such statements or applications are not attached, these courts will deny our claims. Additionally, our agreements with our third-party collection agencies and attorneys are term agreements and do not guarantee that they will adequately perform their obligations adequately, or if our relationships with such third-party collection agencies or attorneys are terminated.

Increases in costs associated with our collections through a network of collection agencies and the individual lawsuits brought against consumers to collect on judgments.

We generally outsource those accounts where it appears the consumer is a judgment debtor. We advance certain out-of-pocket court costs and capitalize those costs in our collection activity through the legal channel, and as a consequence, due to an increase in costs related to counterclaims, our costs in connection with our collection activity may increase and adversely affect our results of operations. We also rely on our network of collection agencies and attorneys to handle funds advanced by us in connection with our collection activity. If our network of collection agencies and attorneys fails to appropriately handle funds advanced by us in connection with our collection activity, or if our relationships with such third-party collection agencies or attorneys are terminated, this may also materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

Table of Contents

We are subject to ongoing risks of litigation, including individual and class actions, and other laws, and may be subject to awards of substantial damages.

We operate in an extremely litigious climate and currently are, and may in the future be, subject to individual and class actions under consumer credit, collections, employment, securities and other laws.

In the past, securities class-action litigation has often been filed against companies in our industry. Our industry experiences a high volume of litigation, and legal precedents have developed that may increase the risk of litigation. Additionally, employment-related litigation is increasing throughout the country. Such litigation may divert management's attention from the operation of our business. Damages awarded in such litigation could be restricted if class-action plaintiffs were to prevail. Such litigation could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

We may make acquisitions that prove unsuccessful or strain or divert our resources.

From time to time, we consider acquisitions of other companies that could provide us with access to new markets, products and services in diverse geographic regions and entities offering greater access to business opportunities. In the past, we have made several acquisitions. For example, we acquired Ascension Capital Group and certain assets of Jefferson Capital. Such acquisitions may be unprofitable. In addition, we may not successfully integrate such businesses with our own, which may result in our inability to realize the full benefits of such acquisitions. In addition, through acquisitions, we may enter markets in which we have limited experience. Such events may place additional constraints on our resources such as diverting management's attention from our core business. Such events can materially adversely affect our operations and financial condition. Moreover, such acquisitions may result in the issuance of equity securities, incurrence of additional debt and amortization of identified intangible assets.

We are dependent on our management team for the adoption and implementation of our business strategy, and the loss of key members of our management team could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our management team has considerable experience in finance, banking, collections and other areas. The experience of our executives obtained by managing businesses across numerous other industries has helped our management team create a culture of new ideas and progressive thinking. The loss of the services of one or more of our key executive officers could have a material adverse effect on our ability to acquire or collect on portfolios of charged-off consumer receivables and to maintain the quality and continued service and performance of our management team, and we cannot predict the effect of such a loss.

We may not be able to hire and retain enough sufficiently trained employees to support our growth, and high levels of personnel turnover could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our industry is very labor-intensive, and companies in our industry typically have a high level of turnover for qualified collections personnel with companies in our business and in other industries. We must compete for qualified non-collections personnel with companies in many other industries. If we cannot hire and retain qualified employees, we may not be able to continue our growth or operate profitably if we cannot hire and retain qualified employees. If the number of employees increases our recruiting and training costs and may limit the number of employees available to collect on receivables. Our newer employees tend to be less productive and generally require more supervision. If the number of employees increases, we will have fewer experienced employees available to collect on receivables and therefore materially and adversely impact our results of operations.

Table of Contents

Exposure to regulatory, political and economic conditions in India expo

A significant element of our business strategy is to continue to develop and expand our business in India, which has a significantly lower cost of labor than in the U.S. and other industrialized countries for which we have a presence. Labor costs in India are significantly lower than in the U.S., and we experience higher employee turnover in our India operations. These trends could result in the loss of the cost savings we sought to achieve by operating in India. India has experienced significant inflation and shortages of readily available foreign exchange, which could be adversely affected by changes in inflation, exchange rate fluctuations, or diplomatic developments in or affecting India in the future. In addition, the Indian government is significantly involved in and exerts considerable influence over the Indian bureaucracy. In the recent past, the Indian government has provided significant incentives to encourage foreign investment in certain sectors of the economy, including the financial services industry. The political climate of India could have a material and adverse effect on our business.

India has also experienced persistent though declining mass poverty, civil unrest, and terrorism, particularly in neighboring countries. In recent years, there have been military confrontations between India and Pakistan in Kashmir and along the Indian-Pakistan border. The potential for hostilities between India and Pakistan, as well as recent terrorist incidents in India and the unsettled nature of the regional situation in the Middle East and Iraq. Additionally, India's recent nuclear activity could expose it to international sanctions. The political stability of India could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We may not be able to manage our growth effectively, including the exp

We have expanded significantly in recent years. However, future growth may require additional resources that we will be able to manage our growth effectively. Continued growth may require additional resources. We cannot be certain that our infrastructure, facilities and personnel will be able to adapt to future growth. We also cannot be certain that the additional investments we make to support our growth and improve our operations will be successful or that our operations will be able to manage our growth effectively, our results of operations may be materially and adversely affected.

The failure of our technology and telecommunications systems could ha

Our success depends in large part on sophisticated computer and telecommunications systems and telecommunications equipment and software systems, through casual use of these systems could disrupt our operations. In the normal course of our business, we must be able to properly bid on prospective acquisitions of receivable portfolios and to manage our operations. Any simultaneous failure of our information systems and their integration with our telecommunications systems could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our business depends heavily on services provided by various local and long distance telephone service providers. Any increase in service costs or any significant interruption in telephone services could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We may not be able successfully to anticipate, invest in or adopt technol

Our business relies on computer and telecommunications technologies, and our success depends on our ability to keep pace with changes in these technologies to our competitive position and our success. We may not be successful in keeping pace with these technologies on a cost-effective basis. Computer and telecommunications technologies are evolving rapidly.

We are making significant modifications to our information systems to support our continued expansion, and our future growth may require additional investments. Our estimates of the cost or time estimates for completion or may be unsuccessful. If we cannot update our information systems, our business may be materially and adversely affected.

Table of Contents

We depend on having the capital resources necessary to invest in new technology. If adequate capital resources will be available to us.

We may not be able adequately to protect the intellectual property rights.

We rely on proprietary software programs and valuation and collection processes as a competitive advantage. We consider our proprietary software, processes, and trademarks as registered copyright. We may not be able to protect our technology and competitive advantage.

Our results of operations may be materially adversely affected if bankruptcy law change.

Our business model may be uniquely vulnerable to an economic recession. In a recession, consumer receivables, thereby contributing to an increase in the amount of consumer debtors' assets are sold to repay credit originators, with priority given to those who typically purchase are generally unsecured, we often would not be able to collect debts that are seriously delinquent, this is often an indication that many of the consumer debts going forward and are more likely to file for bankruptcy in an economic downturn would not decline with an increase in bankruptcy filings. If our actual collection portfolio is significantly lower than we projected when we purchased the portfolio, we would be affected.

In 2005, the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act, changed the treatment of consumer filers for bankruptcy protection. Since the Protection Act, and the volume of business at Ascension has decreased as a result. We cannot predict bankruptcy filings, on a prospective basis, and its impact on the collectability of our portfolio.

Current federal legislative and executive branch proposals made in response to consumer creditors in a consumer bankruptcy. We cannot predict whether these or other proposals will affect our business.

We are subject to examinations and challenges by tax authorities.

We are subject to periodic examination from federal, state and international tax authorities. In our operations, we undertake a diligent review of key data, and make decisions on tax areas where the appropriate application of tax laws is subject to competing interpretations. The probable outcome, document the reasoning behind those determinations. We take with respect to the application of tax laws, may, from time to time, be resolved in our favor, they could have an adverse effect on our financial condition.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales Of Equity Securities And Use Of Proceeds
None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities
None.

Item 4. Removed and Reserved

Item 5. Other Information
None.

4:

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

- 4.1* Senior Secured Note Purchase Agreement, dated September 2, 2010, among the Company of America, Pruco Life Insurance Company, Prudential Annuities Life Assurance Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on October 25, 2010).
- 4.2* Form of Note (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on October 25, 2010).
- 10.1 Amendment No. 1 to the Credit Agreement, dated September 2, 2010, among the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on October 25, 2010).
- 10.2 Amendment No. 2 to the Credit Agreement, dated September 2, 2010, among the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on October 25, 2010).
- 31.1 Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to rule 13b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 31.2 Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to rule 13b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley act of 2002 (filed herewith).

* The asterisk denotes that confidential portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to Rule 13b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The confidential portions have been submitted separately to the SEC.

Table of Contents

ENCORE CAPITAL

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: October 26, 2010

50

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT

- 4.1* Senior Secured Note Purchase Agreement, dated September 2009, between the Company of America, Pruco Life Insurance Company, Prudential Annuities Life Assurance Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on October 25, 2010).
- 4.2* Form of Note (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report on October 25, 2010).
- 10.1 Amendment No. 1 to the Credit Agreement, dated September 2009, between the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on October 25, 2010).
- 10.2 Amendment No. 2 to the Credit Agreement, dated September 2009, between the Company and JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on October 25, 2010).
- 31.1 Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to rule 13b-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 31.2 Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to rule 13b-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley act of 2002 (filed herewith).

* The asterisk denotes that confidential portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to Rule 13b-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The confidential portions have been submitted separately to the SEC.