Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. Form 10-K September 11, 2014

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

I OICIVI 10-I

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) of THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF $^{\circ}$ 1934

For the fiscal year ended: June 30, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) of THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 001-34979

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland 26-1500698

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1359 N. Grand Avenue, Covina, CA 91724 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(800) 524-2274

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of exchange on which registered

Common Stock, \$.01 par value per share

The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None.

Indicated by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes "No \acute{y}

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes "No ý

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ý No "Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the

preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ý No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ý

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer"

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer "

Smaller Reporting Company"

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No \circ

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant, based on the closing sales price of the Registrant's common stock as of December 31, 2013 was \$113.0 million. There were 7,393,308 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$.01 par value per share, outstanding as of September 5, 2014.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the definitive Proxy Statement for the fiscal 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders ("Proxy Statement") are incorporated by reference into Part III.

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC.

Annual Report on Form 10-K

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014

Table of Contents

		Page
Part I.		
Item 1.	Business.	<u>2</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors.	<u>35</u>
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments.</u>	<u>41</u>
Item 2.	<u>Properties.</u>	<u>42</u>
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings.	<u>43</u>
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures.	<u>43</u>
Part II.		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters	12
nem 3.	and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.	<u>43</u>
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data.	<u>46</u>
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition	40
nem /.	and Results of Operations.	<u>48</u>
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.	<u>60</u>
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.	<u>61</u>
I4 ()	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting	<i>(</i> 1
Item 9.	and Financial Disclosure.	<u>61</u>
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures.	<u>61</u>
Item 9B.	Other Information.	<u>62</u>
Part III.		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.	<u>62</u>
Item 11.	Executive Compensation.	<u>62</u>
T. 10	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and	-
Item 12.	Management and Related Stockholder Matters.	<u>62</u>
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence.	<u>62</u>
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services.	<u>62</u>
Part IV.		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.	<u>63</u>
<u>Signatures</u>		<u>65</u>

Part I.

SPECIAL NOTE ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements in this report, other than purely historical information, including estimates, projections, statements relating to our business plans, objectives and expected operating results, and the assumptions upon which those statements are based, are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These forward-looking statements generally are identified by the words "believe," "expect," "intend," "anticipate," "estimate," "project," "strategy," "plan," or future conditional verbs such as "will," "should," "could," or "may" and similar expressions or negative thereof. Forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and assumptions that are subject to risks and uncertainties which may cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. A detailed discussion of these and other risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results and events to differ materially from such forward-looking statements is included in the section entitled "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of this report. We undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that our expectations will be realized.

Item 1. Business.

General

Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. (the "Company") is a Maryland corporation that owns all of the outstanding common stock of Simplicity Bank (the "Bank"). In November 2012, the Company changed its name to Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. from Kaiser Federal Financial Group, Inc. and its trading symbol to SMPL. Concurrently, the Bank was renamed Simplicity Bank from Kaiser Federal Bank. In November 2010, the Company became the successor to K-Fed Bancorp as it completed the conversion from the mutual holding company structure to a fully public stock holding company form of organization and related public offering.

The Company's business activities generally are limited to passive investment activities and oversight of its investment in the Bank. Unless the context otherwise requires, all references to the Company include the Bank and the Company on a consolidated basis, and prior to November 19, 2010, the Company refers to K-Fed Bancorp and the Bank on a consolidated basis.

At June 30, 2014, the Company had consolidated assets of \$879.2 million, deposits of \$652.8 million and stockholders' equity of \$136.9 million. The Company does not maintain offices separate from those of the Bank or utilize persons other than certain Bank officers. Our executive offices are located at 1359 North Grand Avenue, Covina, California 91724 and our telephone number is (800) 524-2274.

The Bank is a community oriented financial institution offering a variety of financial services to meet the needs of the communities it serves. The Bank is headquartered in Covina, California, with branches in Glendora, Downey, Harbor City, Los Angeles, Panorama City and Pasadena to serve Los Angeles County and a branch in Fontana to serve San Bernardino county. We have a network of 45 ATMs located in Southern California and the San Francisco Metropolitan Area, primarily located within Kaiser Permanente Medical Centers and office buildings. We began operations as a credit union in 1953 initially serving the employees of the Kaiser Foundation Hospital in Los Angeles, California. As the Kaiser Permanente Medical Care Program evolved so did the credit union, and in 1972, it changed its name to Kaiser Permanente Federal Credit Union. The credit union grew to primarily serve Kaiser Permanente employees and physicians who worked or lived in California. However, as a credit union, the credit union was legally restricted to serve only individuals who shared a "common bond" such as a common employer. After receiving the necessary regulatory and membership approvals, on November 1, 1999, Kaiser Permanente Federal Credit Union converted to a federal mutual savings bank known as Kaiser Federal Bank which served the general public as well as Kaiser Permanente employees. Kaiser Federal Bank reorganized into the mutual holding company structure in 2003 and became the wholly owned subsidiary of K-Fed Bancorp. On March 30, 2004, K-Fed Bancorp completed a minority stock offering where it sold approximately 39% of its shares to the public. In November 2010, the Company completed the second–step conversion and offering and the Bank became the wholly owned subsidiary of Kaiser Federal Financial Group, Inc. The Company sold a total of 6,375,000 shares of common

stock in the offering at a purchase price of \$10.00 per share. The offering raised capital of \$59.1 million, which was net of costs of \$4.7 million. Concurrent with the completion of the offering, shares of K-Fed Bancorp common stock owned by public stockholders were exchanged for 0.7194 of a share of the Company's common stock. All share and per share information in this annual report

for years prior to the conversion has been revised to reflect the 0.7194:1 conversion ratio on shares outstanding, including shares of the former mutual holding company that were not publicly traded.

Effective November 13, 2012, the Bank was renamed Simplicity Bank. In addition, the Company changed its name to Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. This new name aligns well with the core principles the Bank was founded upon—to provide value, personal service and financial well being for its customers and communities. As Simplicity Bank, we strive to simplify the banking experience for our customers with more options, better technology, enhanced service capacity, a fresh look and a renewed vision. Under the new name, we continue to serve Kaiser Permanente employees and their family members, but are better positioned to serve the needs of all customers within our market footprint. Simplicity Bank's principal business activity consists of attracting retail deposits from the general public and originating or purchasing primarily loans secured by first mortgages on owner-occupied one-to-four family residences and multi-family residences located in its market area and, to a lesser extent, automobile, commercial real estate and other consumer loans. We also engage in mortgage banking activities which primarily consists of the origination and sale of fixed rate conforming one-to-four family residential real estate loans in the secondary market with servicing primarily retained. While the Bank originates different types of residential loans, the Bank purchased in fiscal 2012, using its own underwriting standards, a significant number of first mortgages on owner-occupied, one-to-four family residences secured by properties located throughout California. These purchases were primarily funded with Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") borrowings and deposits. Depending on market conditions and the interest rate environment, we may consider future loan purchases on a case by case basis. Prior to January 2009, we also originated commercial real estate loans. Currently, we consider the origination of commercial real estate loans on a case by case basis based on the borrower's credit qualifications and the property offered for collateral. We have not originated any new commercial real estate loans since 2009 but are now actively marketing commercial real estate loan products. Since fiscal 2013, our consumer loans, primarily automobile loans, continued to increase due to the reintroduction of our automobile buying service, vastly improved application and delivery channels, enhanced pricing of the vehicle loan products, and implementation of the consumer loan sales team. Historically, we have not originated, or purchased, commercial business, commercial construction, or residential construction loans and have no current plans to do so.

Our revenues are derived principally from interest on loans and mortgage-backed and related securities. We also generate revenue from sales of loans held for sale, service charges and other income.

We offer a variety of deposit accounts having a wide range of interest rates and terms, which generally include savings accounts, money market accounts, demand deposit accounts and certificate of deposit accounts with varied terms ranging from 90 days to five years. We solicit deposits in our primary market areas of Los Angeles, Orange, San Diego, San Bernardino and Riverside counties, in California.

Available Information

Our Internet address is www.simplicitybancorp.com. We make available free of charge, through our web site, annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). All SEC filings of the Company are also available at the SEC's website, www.sec.gov. Market Area

Our success depends primarily on the general economic conditions in the counties of Los Angeles, Orange, San Diego, San Bernardino, Riverside, Santa Clara and Alameda, as nearly all of our loans are to customers in these market areas. There have been positive developments in current economic conditions since the end of the recession. Improving financial conditions, increasing credit availability, accommodative monetary policy, and healthier labor and housing markets all support the economic growth in our market area. According to the Beige Book published by the Federal Reserve Bank in July 2014, economic activity continued to expand from mid-May to June 2014. Residential real estate activity was mixed across the country, with some reports of low inventories constraining sales. Home prices continued to increase across most of the Districts, due to higher demand and low vacancy rates. Loan volumes rose across the nation and credit quality remained stable or improved slightly in most Districts with credit standards remaining generally unchanged. In the Twelfth Federal Reserve District (San Francisco), activity in real estate markets advanced, but growth in the residential sector has slowed since the start of calendar 2014. The rate of

increase of home prices has slowed in many areas. Except at the very high end, the level of home sales is down from a year ago. Residential construction activity increased, especially for multifamily units and higher-priced projects. Lenders continue to face margin compression due to the low interest rate environment, ample liquidity and generally stiff competition over well-qualified borrowers. Future growth opportunities will be influenced by the stability of the regional economy and other trends within California, including unemployment rates and housing market conditions. According to the U.S. Census

Bureau, while unemployment rates improved during the year ended June 30, 2014, unemployment rates in California remain high at 7.4% at June 30, 2014 as compared to 8.5% at June 30, 2013 and 10.7% at June 30, 2012. This compares to the national unemployment rates of 6.1% at June 30, 2014, 7.6% at June 30, 2013 and 8.2% at June 30, 2012.

Competition

We face strong competition in originating real estate and other loans and in attracting deposits. Competition in originating real estate loans comes primarily from other savings institutions, commercial banks, credit unions and mortgage bankers. Other savings institutions, commercial banks, credit unions and finance companies provide vigorous competition in consumer lending.

We attract all of our deposits through our branch and ATM network. Competition for those deposits is principally from other savings institutions, commercial banks and credit unions, as well as mutual funds and other alternative investments. We compete for these deposits by offering superior service and a variety of deposit accounts at competitive rates. We have less than a 1% market share of deposits in each of the markets in which we compete. Lending Activities

General. We originate first lien one-to-four family real estate loans throughout our market area to be held for sale and for investment. We consider loan purchases for first lien one-to-four family real estate loans held for investment on a case by case basis based on market conditions and the interest rate environment. Beginning in 2007, we have also focused our efforts on originating multi-family residential loans. Additionally, we originate consumer loans, primarily automobile loans. Prior to January 2009, we also originated commercial real estate loans. Currently, we consider the origination of commercial real estate loans on a case by case basis based on the borrower's credit qualifications and the property offered for collateral. We have not originated any new commercial real estate loans since 2009 but are now actively marketing commercial real estate loan products.

Our loans carry either a fixed or an adjustable rate of interest. Loans originated for sale are fixed rate one-to-four family conforming mortgage loans. We do not offer adjustable rate loans where the initial rate is below the otherwise applicable index rate (i.e., teaser rates). Mortgage loans generally have a longer term amortization, with maturities up to 30 years, depending upon the type of property with principal and interest due each month. Multi-family residential loans are originated with an initial fixed rate of interest up to seven years which then converts to an interest rate that is adjusted annually based upon the applicable index with a term to maturity of up to 30 years and a maximum amortization term of 30 years. Consumer loans are generally short term and amortize monthly or have interest payable monthly. We also have loans in our portfolio that only require interest payments on a monthly basis. At June 30, 2014, our net loan portfolio, excluding loans held for sale of \$3.7 million, totaled \$715.8 million, which constituted 81.4% of our total assets. In fiscal 2014 and 2013, we did not purchase any one-to-four family real estate loans. For one-to-four family real estate loans purchased in prior fiscal years, we underwrote each purchased loan in accordance with our underwriting standards with the exception of the loans that included a credit guarantee. The majority of the loans we purchased were acquired with servicing retained by the seller without recourse against the seller.

At June 30, 2014, the maximum amount we could have loaned to any one borrower and the borrower's related entities under applicable regulations was \$19.3 million, or 15% of our unimpaired capital. At June 30, 2014, we had no loans or group of loans to related borrowers with outstanding balances in excess of this amount. Our five largest lending relationships at June 30, 2014 were as follows:

- 42 loans to an individual and a related party for \$14.5 million secured by 12 multi-family dwelling properties;
- 42 loans to an individual and related parties for \$14.2 million secured by 12 multi-family dwelling properties;

four loans to an individual and related parties for \$11.7 million secured by four multi-family dwelling properties;

three loans to an individual and related parties for \$8.1 million secured by three multi-family dwelling properties; and

four loans to an individual and related parties for \$6.9 million secured by four multi-family dwelling properties.

all of the loans noted in the above relationships were performing in accordance with their terms as of June 30, 2014.	

Loan Composition. The following table presents information concerning the composition of the loan portfolio in dollar amounts and in percentages as of the dates indicated. Loans held for sale of \$3.7 million at June 30, 2014 and \$4.5 million at June 30, 2013 are not included in the table below. We had no loans held for sale at any of the other dates noted below.

	June 30,									
	2014 Amount	Percent	2013 Amount	Percent	2012 Amount	Percent	2011 Amount	Percent	2010 Amount	Percent
	(Dollars in			rereent	rimount	refeent	Timount	rereent	rimount	rereciit
Real estate										
One-to-four family	\$288,960	40.14 %	\$319,631	46.03 %	\$371,251	48.18 %	\$282,068	39.87 %	\$335,631	43.55 %
Multi-family		46.54	280,771	40.44	283,553	36.79	287,808	40.69	278,397	36.12
Commercial Total real	38,062	5.29	55,621	8.01	86,964	11.28	107,961	15.26	113,458	14.72
estate loans	662,062	91.97	656,023	94.48	741,768	96.25	677,837	95.82	727,486	94.39
Consumer Automobile	45,686	6.35	26,711	3.85	17,349	2.26	18,008	2.55	29,492	3.83
Home equity	,	0.09	682	0.10	808	0.10	940	0.13	1,096	0.14
Other	11,481	1.59	10,917	1.57	10,722	1.39	10,604	1.50	12,672	1.64
Total other loans	57,792	8.03	38,310	5.52	28,879	3.75	29,552	4.18	43,260	5.61
Total loans	719,854	100.00%	694,333	100.00%	770,647	100.00%	707,389	100.00%	770,746	100.00%
	,		,		,		,		,	
Less: Net deferred										
loan	213		506		615		659		607	
origination	213		300		013		039		007	
costs Net										
premium										
(discount) on	263		512		957		(35)	(59)
purchased										
loans										
Allowance for loan	(4,580)		(5,643)		(7,502)		(11,367)	(13,309)
losses	<i>\ </i>		\- \- \- \		(·))		, ,- · · .	•	,	,
Total loans	\$715,750		\$689,708		\$764,717		\$696,646		\$757,985	
receivable, net	φ/13,/30		Ψ002,700		φ/04,/1/		φυσυ,υ 4 0		φ131,703	

Loan Maturity. The following schedule illustrates certain information at June 30, 2014 regarding the dollar amount of loans maturing in the portfolio based on their contractual terms-to-maturity, but does not include scheduled payments or potential prepayments. Demand loans, loans having no stated schedule of repayments and no stated maturity are reported as due in one year or less. Loan balances do not include loans held for sale, undisbursed loan proceeds, unearned discounts, unearned income and allowance for loan losses.

	Real Estate			Consumer			
	One-to-four family	Multi-family	Commercial	Automobil	e Home equity	Other	Total
	(Dollars in t	housands)					
June 30, 2014							
Within 1 year (1)	\$ —	\$ <i>-</i>	\$2,339	\$329	\$ —	\$3,143	\$5,811
After 1 year:							
After 1 year through 3 years	280	569	17,853	5,799		3,950	28,451
After 3 years through 5 years	4,043	5,666	17,870	35,448		3,660	66,687
After 5 years through 10 years	28,080	49,247	_	4,110	_	728	82,165
After 10 years through 15 years	34,635	251,462	_	_	_	_	286,097
After 15 years	221,922	28,096		_	625		250,643
Total due after 1 year	288,960	335,040	35,723	45,357	625	8,338	714,043
Total	¢200 060	¢ 225 040	¢ 20 062	¢ 15 606	¢ 625	¢11 401	¢710.054
Total	\$288,960	\$ 335,040	\$38,062	\$45,686	\$625	\$11,481	\$719,854

⁽¹⁾ Includes demand loans and loans that have no stated maturity.

The following table sets forth the dollar amount of all loans at June 30, 2014 that are due after June 30, 2015, which have fixed interest rates and adjustable interest rates.

	Due after June 3		
	Fixed	Adjustable	Total
	(Dollars in thou	sands)	
Real Estate Loans			
One-to-four family	\$215,439	\$73,521	\$288,960
Multi-family	_	335,040	335,040
Commercial	_	35,723	35,723
Real estate loans	215,439	444,284	659,723
Consumer			
Automobile	45,357	_	45,357
Home equity	_	625	625
Other loans	8,338	_	8,338
Other loans due	53,695	625	54,320
Total loans	\$269,134	\$444,909	\$714,043

One-to-four Family Residential Lending. At June 30, 2014, our one-to-four family residential mortgage loans totaled \$289.0 million, or 40.1%, of our gross loan portfolio, of which \$286.1 million were first lien one-to-four family residential mortgage loans. We generally underwrite our one-to-four family residential loans based on the applicant's employment, credit history and the appraised value of the subject property. With the exception of the \$23.8 million of loans purchased with a credit guarantee in fiscal 2012, we underwrote each purchased loan based upon our

underwriting standards prior to making the purchase. Presently, we lend up to 80% of the lesser of the appraised value or purchase price of the subject property for first lien one-to-four family residential mortgage loans without private mortgage insurance ("PMI"), and up to 90% on second lien one-to-four family residential mortgage loans if the related first lien mortgage loans is held by the Bank. We also lend up to 97% of the lesser of the appraised value or purchase price of the subject property with PMI. Properties securing our one-to-four family residential loans are appraised

by independent state licensed fee appraisers approved by our Credit Committee. We require our borrowers to obtain title and hazard insurance, and flood insurance, if necessary, in an amount not less than combined first and second lien mortgage loans or 80% of the full replacement costs of the insurable improvements, whichever is higher. We currently originate one-to-four family mortgage loans on a fixed rate and adjustable rate basis. Our pricing strategy for mortgage loans includes setting interest rates that are competitive with other local financial institutions and consistent with our internal needs. Adjustable rate loans are tied to indices based on the one year London Inter Bank Offering Rate and U.S. Treasury securities adjusted to a constant maturity of one year. A majority of our adjustable rate loans carry an initial fixed rate of interest for either three or five years which then converts to an interest rate that is adjusted annually based upon the applicable index. Our one-to-four family residential mortgage loans are structured with a range of 10-year to 30-year maturities and with amortization terms up to the maturity date. All of our one-to-four family residential loans are secured by properties located in California. All of our real estate loans contain a "due on sale" clause allowing us to declare the unpaid principal balance due and payable upon the sale of the property.

Adjustable rate one-to-four family mortgage loans generally pose different credit risks than fixed rate one-to-four family mortgage loans, primarily because as interest rates rise, the borrower's payment rises, increasing the potential for default. At June 30, 2014, our one-to-four family adjustable rate mortgage loan portfolio totaled \$73.5 million, or 10.2% of our gross loan portfolio. At that date, the fixed rate one-to-four family mortgage loan portfolio totaled \$215.4 million, or 29.9% of our gross loan portfolio. Included in non-accrual loans at June 30, 2014 were \$1.2 million in adjustable rate one-to-four family mortgage loans and \$4.2 million in fixed rate one-to-four family mortgage loans.

In addition, prior to early 2007 we purchased interest-only one-to-four family residential mortgage loans and loans underwritten based upon stated income. An interest-only loan typically provides for the payment of interest (rather than both principal and interest) for a fixed period of three, five or seven years, thereafter the loan payments adjust to include both principal and interest for the remaining term. One-to-four family interest-only mortgage loans have decreased by \$3.8 million, or 24.9% to \$11.6 million at June 30, 2014 from \$15.4 million at June 30, 2013. A stated income loan is a loan where the borrower's income source is not subject to verification through the application process, but the reasonableness of the stated income is verified through review of other sources, such as compensation surveys. One-to-four family stated income mortgage loans have decreased by \$10.9 million, or 30.4% to \$24.9 million at June 30, 2014 from \$35.8 million at June 30, 2013. As of June 30, 2014, \$14.0 million of stated income mortgage loans were fixed rate loans and \$10.9 million were adjustable rate loans, Included in non-accrual loans at June 30, 2014 were \$2.8 million in one-to-four family loans that were interest-only or stated income loans that were individually evaluated for impairment with no valuation allowance allocated due to the current loan balances being higher than market value less costs to sell. During the year ended June 30, 2014, no interest-only or stated income loans were modified as troubled debt restructurings and included in non-accrual loans. We do not intend to originate or purchase interest-only one-to-four family residential mortgage loans or stated income loans in the future. The following table describes certain risk characteristics of our one-to-four family nonconforming mortgage loans held for investment as of June 30, 2014 and 2013:

Category	Outstanding Balance (Dollars in thous	Weighted-Average Credit Score ⁽¹⁾ sands)	Weighted Averag LTV ⁽²⁾	ge	Weighted-Av Seasoning ⁽³⁾	erage
June 30, 2014						
Interest-only ⁽⁴⁾	\$11,587	716	72.11	%	7.80	years
Stated income ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	24,918	734	69.55		9.19	
Credit score less than or equal to 660	14,873	644	70.98		7.03	
June 30, 2013 Interest-only ⁽⁴⁾	\$15,431	723	72.48	%	6.67	years

Stated income ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	35,816	735	69.58	7.98
Credit score less than or equal to 660	17,866	641	69.47	6.64

- (1) The credit score is one factor in determining the credit worthiness of a borrower based on the borrower's credit history. The credit score is as of origination.
- (2) LTV (loan-to-value) is the ratio calculated by dividing the original loan balance by the original appraised value of the real estate collateral.
- (3) Seasoning describes the number of years since the funding date of the loan.
- (4) At June 30, 2014 and 2013 there were \$3.2 million and \$4.0 million in loans that are both stated income and interest-only, respectively.
 - Stated income is defined as a borrower provided level of income which is not subject to verification during the loan
- (5) origination process through the borrower's application, but the reasonableness of the borrower's income is verified through other sources.

Multi-Family Residential Real Estate Lending. We offer multi-family residential real estate loans through our staff at the Covina executive office. These loans are secured by real estate located in our primary market areas, within the state of California. Multi-family residential real estate loans are generally originated through our loan officers with initial principal balances of \$5.0 million or less. At June 30, 2014, multi-family residential loans totaled \$335.0 million, or 46.5%, of our gross loan portfolio, and consisted of 442 loans outstanding with an average loan balance of approximately \$758,000 although we originate loans with balances greater than this average.

Our multi-family residential loans are originated with adjustable interest rates. We use a number of indices to set the interest rate, including a rate based on either the one year CMT, the constant maturity of one-year U.S. Treasury securities, or the 12-month MTA, a rate based on the 12-month average of U.S. Treasury securities adjusted to a constant maturity of one year. Our adjustable rate loans generally carry an initial fixed rate of interest for one, three, five or seven years which then convert to an interest rate that is adjusted annually based upon the applicable index. Presently, our underwriting guidelines allow us to lend up to 75% of the lesser of the appraised value or purchase price of multi-family residential real estate. These loans require monthly payments, amortize over a period of up to 30 years and have a maximum maturity of 30 years and carry prepayment penalties. We have not purchased multi-family residential real estate loans.

Loans secured by multi-family residential real estate are underwritten based on non-discriminatory underwriting standards and loan origination procedures established by Simplicity Bank's Credit Committee. Loan policies are reviewed annually or more frequently if warranted, and approved by the Credit Committee and/or Simplicity Bank's board of directors. The loan underwriting process is intended to assess the income producing potential of the property and the financial strength of the borrower. We review the borrower's sources of income, cash flow, assets, and credit history. We evaluate the historical and projected income and expenses of the borrower and property. We also evaluate a guarantor when a guarantee is provided as part of the loan. The net operating income, which is the income derived from the operation of the property less all operating expenses, must be sufficient to cover the payments related to the outstanding debt with a minimum debt coverage ratio ("DCR") of 120%. Appraisals and secondary review appraisals on properties securing multi-family residential loans are performed by independent state licensed fee appraisers approved by our Credit Committee.

Loans secured by multi-family residential properties are generally larger and involve a greater degree of credit risk than one-to-four family residential mortgage loans. Because payments on loans secured by multi-family residential properties are often dependent on the successful operation or management of the properties, repayment of such loans may be subject to adverse conditions in the real estate market or the economy. If the cash flow from the project is reduced, or if leases are not obtained or renewed, the borrower's ability to repay the loan may be impaired. In order to monitor the adequacy of cash flows on income-producing properties, the borrowers are required to provide periodic financial information. Included in non-accrual loans at June 30, 2014 were two multi-family residential real estate loans totaling \$781,000, none of which were classified as troubled debt restructurings and are current under the original terms. See "—Asset Quality—Non-Performing Assets."

Commercial Real Estate Lending. Prior to January 2009, we also originated commercial real estate loans. Currently, we consider the origination of commercial real estate loans on a case by case basis based on the borrower's credit qualifications and the property offered for collateral. We have not originated any new commercial real estate loans since 2009 but are now actively marketing commercial real estate loan products. The existing portfolio is secured primarily by small retail establishments, small industrial warehouse buildings and small office buildings located in our primary market area, within the state of California, and are both owner and non-owner occupied. These loans were originated through our staff at our Covina executive office. Generally, we have not purchased commercial real estate loans. At June 30, 2014, commercial real estate loans totaled \$38.1 million, or 5.3% of our gross loan portfolio, of which \$8.0 million or 21.1% of this portfolio was to borrowers who occupy the property.

The table below shows the number and outstanding balance by collateral type of our commercial real estate loans at June 30, 2014.

Type of Loan	Number of Loans	Outstanding Balance	
		(Dollars in thousands)	
Owner occupied	12	\$8,023	
Non-owner occupied:			
Office	15	10,899	
Manufacturing facilities	7	7,350	
Retail	5	5,430	
Medical office	3	1,638	
Other	6	4,722	
	36	30,039	
Total	48	\$38,062	

We will originate only adjustable rate commercial real estate loans. The interest rate on these loans is mostly tied to the one year CMT. A majority of our adjustable rate loans carry an initial fixed rate of interest for either three, five or seven years which then converts to an interest rate that is adjusted annually based upon the index. Presently, our underwriting guidelines allow us to lend up to 70% of the lesser of the appraised value or purchase price for the commercial real estate. These loans require monthly payments, amortize up to 25 years, have maturities of up to 10 years and carry prepayment penalties.

Loans secured by commercial real estate are underwritten based on the income producing potential of the property, the financial strength of the borrower and any guarantors. The net operating income, which is the income derived from the operation of the property less all operating expenses, must be sufficient to cover the payments related to the outstanding debt with a minimum DCR of 135%. We may require an assignment of rents or leases in order to be assured that the cash flow from the project will be used to repay the debt. All loans require an appraisal and secondary review from two different independent state licensed fee appraisers on our approved appraiser list, which is approved by the Credit Committee.

Loans secured by commercial real estate properties are generally larger and involve a greater degree of credit risk than one-to-four family residential mortgage loans. Because payments on loans secured by commercial real estate properties are often dependent on the successful operation or management of the properties, repayment of such loans may be subject to adverse conditions in the real estate market or the economy. If the cash flow from the project is reduced, or if leases are not obtained or renewed, the borrower's ability to repay the loan may be impaired. In order to monitor the adequacy of cash flows on income-producing properties, the borrowers are required to provide periodic financial information. Included in non-accrual loans as of June 30, 2014 were two commercial real estate loans with an aggregate balance of \$1.5 million and are currently in payment default, none of which was classified as a troubled debt restructuring. See "—Asset Quality—Non-Performing Assets."

Consumer Loans. We offer a variety of secured consumer loans, including new and used automobile and motorcycle loans, home equity lines of credit, unsecured loans, and loans secured by savings deposits. Consumer loans generally have shorter terms to maturity, which reduces our exposure to changes in interest rates, and carry higher rates of interest than do one-to-four family residential mortgage loans. At June 30, 2014, our consumer loan portfolio, exclusive of automobile loans, totaled \$12.1 million, or 1.7%, of our gross loan portfolio.

The most significant component of our consumer lending is automobile loans. We originate automobile loans only on a direct basis with the borrower. Loans secured by automobiles totaled \$45.7 million, or 6.4%, of our gross loan portfolio at June 30, 2014. Automobile loans may be written for up to seven years for new automobiles and a maximum of five years for used automobiles and have fixed rates of interest. Loan-to-value ratios for automobile loans are up to 120% of the manufacturer's suggested retail price for new automobiles and up to 120% of retail value on used cars, based on valuation from official used car guides including tax, license, mechanical breakdown insurance, and guaranteed automobile protection.

Each automobile loan requires the borrower to keep the financed vehicle fully insured against loss for damage by fire, theft and collision. Nevertheless, there can be no assurance that each financed vehicle will continue to be covered by

physical damage insurance provided by the borrower during the entire term which the related loan is outstanding. In addition, we have the right to force place insurance coverage in the event the required physical damage insurance on an automobile is not maintained by the borrower.

Our primary focus when originating automobile loans is on the ability of the borrower to repay the loan rather than the value of the underlying collateral. The amount financed by us is generally up to the manufacturer's suggested retail price of the

financed vehicle plus sales tax, dealer preparation fees, license fees and title fees, plus the cost of service and warranty contracts obtained in connection with the vehicle.

Consumer loans may entail greater risk than do one-to-four family residential mortgage loans, particularly in the case of consumer loans which are secured by rapidly depreciable assets, such as automobiles. In these cases, any repossessed collateral for a defaulted loan may not provide an adequate source of repayment of the outstanding loan balance. As a result, consumer loan collections are dependent on the borrower's continuing financial stability and, thus, are more likely to be adversely affected by job loss, divorce, illness or personal bankruptcy.

Loan Approval Procedures and Authority. All multi-family residential and commercial real estate loans require an appraisal and a secondary review appraisal as part of the underwriting process. One-to-four family residential loans require an appraisal and may be subject to a secondary review appraisal. Secured consumer loans require evaluation of collateral. Additionally, any multi-family residential and commercial real estate loan request that results in a total credit exposure to one borrower of over \$500,000 and up to \$1.5 million requires the approval of a senior underwriter. Total credit exposure from \$1.5 million up to \$2.5 million requires approval by the Vice President of Income Property, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer or Chief Lending Officer; total credit exposure to one borrower of over \$2.5 million and up to \$5.0 million requires the approval by the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer or Chief Lending Officer. Any one-to-four family residential loan that results in a total credit exposure to one borrower of over \$1.0 million and up to \$1.5 million requires approval by a real estate lending manager or a Credit Committee member. Any request that results in a total credit exposure to one borrower over \$1.5 million for one-to-four family residential mortgage loans and \$5.0 million for income properties, up to \$10.0 million requires the approval by three Credit Committee members provided one of the three members is the Chief Executive Officer, Loan requests that result in a credit exposure to one borrower over \$10.0 million require the board of directors' approval. All loan approvals granted by the Credit Committee are documented in the meeting minutes and reported to the board of directors.

Loan Originations, Purchases, Sales and Repayments. We originate loans through employees located at our executive office and at our seven retail branches. Walk-in customers and referrals from our current customer base, advertisements, real estate brokers and mortgage loan brokers are also important sources of loan originations. While we originate adjustable rate and fixed rate loans, our ability to originate loans is dependent upon customer demand for loans in our market area. Demand is affected by local competition and the interest rate environment. We did not purchase any loans for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013. For the year ended June 30, 2012, we purchased approximately \$80.9 million of primarily adjustable rate one-to-four family residential mortgage loans, including \$32.6 million of loans with a credit guarantee by the seller that requires any loans 60 days or more delinquent be substituted or repurchased by the seller at the Bank's option. The servicing rights of all purchased loans were generally retained by the sellers. All loans purchased in fiscal 2012 were current at the time of purchase and were not sub-prime loans. The purchased loans with a credit guarantee are seasoned loans with borrowers who have stable employment and properties with generally less than 80% loan-to-value ratio ("LTV"). Depending on market conditions and the interest rate environment, we may consider future loan purchases on a case by case basis. At June 30, 2014, our real estate loan portfolio totaled \$662.1 million, or 92.0% of the gross loan portfolio. Purchased real estate loans serviced by others at June 30, 2014 totaled \$30.1 million, or 4.2% of the gross loan portfolio. At June 30, 2013, our real estate loan portfolio totaled \$656.0 million, or 94.5% of the gross loan portfolio. Purchased real estate loans serviced by others at June 30, 2013 totaled \$44.9 million, or 14.1% of the gross loan portfolio.

The following table shows the loan originations, purchases, sales and repayment activities for the years indicated.

	June 30, 2014 (Dollars in the	2013 ousands)	2012	
Originations by type:	`	,		
Adjustable rate:				
Real estate				
one-to-four family	\$9,222	\$8,782	\$763	
multi-family	118,150	73,835	51,873	
Non-real estate:				
other consumer	1,230	1,257	1,541	
Total adjustable rate	128,602	83,874	54,177	
Fixed rate:				
Real estate				
one-to-four family	58,959	127,744	96,667	
Non-real estate				
consumer vehicle	34,702	20,359	11,379	
other consumer	12,307	10,070	7,902	
Total fixed rate	105,968	158,173	115,948	
Total loans originated	234,570	242,047	170,125	
Purchases:				
Adjustable rate:				
Real estate				
one-to-four family			77,007	
one to rour running			77,007	
Fixed rate:				
Real estate				
one-to-four family			3,942	
Total loans purchased			80,949	
Total Totals parenases			00,5 15	
Sales and repayments:				
Sales and loan participations sold	30,467	71,256	306	
Principal repayments	178,468	238,627	182,068	
Total reductions	208,935	309,883	182,374	
Decrease in other items, net	(402) (2,677) (629)
Net increase (decrease)	\$25,233	\$(70,513) \$68,071	

We also originate conforming fixed rate one-to-four-family residential real estate loans secured by properties in California and generate revenues from fee income on the origination and sale of these loans in the secondary market. Loans originated for sale meet the origination and underwriting guidelines established by Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac") and are sold without recourse on a servicing-retained basis. Of the total loan originations of \$234.6 million and \$242.0 million in fiscal 2014 and 2013, loans originated for sale totaled \$29.6 million and \$75.8 million, respectively. Loans sold totaled \$30.5 million and \$71.3 million in fiscal 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Asset Quality

General. We continue our disciplined lending practices including our strict adherence to a long standing credit culture that emphasizes the consistent application of underwriting standards to all loans. In this regard, we fully underwrite all loans based on an applicant's employment history, credit history and an appraised value of the subject property. With respect to loans we purchase, we underwrite each loan using our own underwriting standards prior to making the purchase except for loans purchased with a credit guarantee. The credit guarantee for the loans purchased in fiscal 2012 requires the seller to substitute or repurchase any loans sold to the Bank that become 60 days or more delinquent at the Bank's option. The credit quality of the loans purchased in fiscal 2012 was to our satisfaction and did not result in substitution or repurchase of any loans purchased as of June 30, 2014.

The following underwriting guidelines, among other things, have been used by us as underwriting tools to further limit our potential loss exposure:

All variable rate one-to-four family residential loans are underwritten using the fully indexed rate.

• We only lend up to 80% of the lesser of the appraised value or purchase price for one-to-four family residential loans without PMI, and up to 97% with PMI.

We only lend up to 75% of the lesser of the appraised value or purchase price for multi-family residential loans.

We only lend up to 70% of the lesser of the appraised value or purchase price for commercial real estate loans. Additionally, our portfolio has remained strongly anchored in traditional mortgage products. We do not originate or purchase construction and development loans, teaser option-adjustable rate mortgage loans, negatively amortizing loans or high loan-to-value loans.

At June 30, 2014, one-to-four family residential mortgage loans totaled \$289.0 million, or 40.1%, of our gross loan portfolio of which \$215.4 million were fixed rate and \$73.5 million were adjustable rate loans. Adjustable rate mortgages generally pose different credit risks than fixed rate mortgages, primarily because as interest rates rise, the borrower's payment rises, increasing the potential for default. Included in non-accrual loans at June 30, 2014 were \$1.2 million in adjustable rate one-to-four family mortgage loans and \$4.2 million in fixed rate one-to-four family mortgage loans. Overall this represents 1.87% of the one-to-four family residential mortgage loan portfolio.

All of our real estate loans are secured by properties located in California. The following tables set forth our real estate loans and non-accrual real estate loans by county:

Real Estate Loans by County as of	
June 30, 2014	

One-to-four fam	Multi-family ily residential	Commercial	Total	Percent	
(Dollars in thous	sands)				
\$122,763	\$291,414	\$16,201	\$430,378	65.01	%
39,598	12,999	10,177	62,774	9.48	
20,677	9,376		30,053	4.54	
22,276	9,350	3,258	34,884	5.27	
15,249	2,636	5,815	23,700	3.58	
15,814	484		16,298	2.46	
12,566	3,868	440	16,874	2.55	
40,017	4,913	2,171	47,101	7.11	
\$288,960	\$335,040	\$38,062	\$662,062	100.00	%
	(Dollars in thous \$122,763 39,598 20,677 22,276 15,249 15,814 12,566 40,017	39,598 12,999 20,677 9,376 22,276 9,350 15,249 2,636 15,814 484 12,566 3,868 40,017 4,913	(Dollars in thousands) \$122,763 \$291,414 \$16,201 39,598 12,999 10,177 20,677 9,376 — 22,276 9,350 3,258 15,249 2,636 5,815 15,814 484 — 12,566 3,868 440 40,017 4,913 2,171	(Dollars in thousands) \$122,763 \$291,414 \$16,201 \$430,378 39,598 12,999 10,177 62,774 20,677 9,376 — 30,053 22,276 9,350 3,258 34,884 15,249 2,636 5,815 23,700 15,814 484 — 16,298 12,566 3,868 440 16,874 40,017 4,913 2,171 47,101	(Dollars in thousands) \$122,763 \$291,414 \$16,201 \$430,378 65.01 39,598 12,999 10,177 62,774 9.48 20,677 9,376 — 30,053 4.54 22,276 9,350 3,258 34,884 5.27 15,249 2,636 5,815 23,700 3.58 15,814 484 — 16,298 2.46 12,566 3,868 440 16,874 2.55 40,017 4,913 2,171 47,101 7.11

Real Estate Loans by County as of June 30, 2013

County	Multi-family One-to-four family residential		Commercial	Total	Percent	
	(Dollars in thou	sands)				
Los Angeles	\$131,290	\$232,353	\$27,124	\$390,767	59.56	%
Orange	47,146	17,646	13,489	78,281	11.93	
San Diego	23,457	11,760	2,545	37,762	5.76	
San Bernardino	20,404	10,288	3,333	34,025	5.19	
Riverside	15,060	3,125	6,151	24,336	3.71	
Santa Clara	17,471	501		17,972	2.74	
Alameda	13,814	25	447	14,286	2.18	
Other	50,989	5,073	2,532	58,594	8.93	
Total	\$319,631	\$280,771	\$55,621	\$656,023	100.00	%

Non-accrual Real Estate Loans by County as of June 30, 2014

County	One-to-four family residential		Commercial	Total	accrual to	Percent of Non- accrual to Loans in Each Category	
	(Dollars in t	housands)					
Los Angeles	\$2,226	\$ —	\$1,460	\$3,686	0.86	%	
San Diego	658			658	2.19		
San Bernardino	626	654		1,280	3.67		
Riverside	272	127		399	1.68		
Santa Clara	1,608			1,608	9.87		
Total	\$5,390	\$781	\$1,460	\$7,631	1.15	%	

Non-accrual Real Estate Loans by County as of June 30, 2013

One-to-four family residential		Commercial	Total	accrual to Loans in Each Category	
(Dollars in th	ousands)				
\$4,407	\$ —	\$1,179	\$5,586	1.43	%
785	_	_	785	1.00	
724	511	2,545	3,780	10.01	
1,929	717	_	2,646	7.78	
305	319	_	624	2.56	
1,763	_	_	1,763	9.81	
397			397	2.78	
		321	321	0.54	
\$10,310	\$1,547	\$4,045	\$15,902	2.42	%
	(Dollars in the \$4,407 785 724 1,929 305 1,763 397	785 — 724 511 1,929 717 305 319 1,763 — 397 —	(Dollars in thousands) \$4,407 \$— \$1,179 785 — — 724 511 2,545 1,929 717 — 305 319 — 1,763 — — 397 — — 321	(Dollars in thousands) \$4,407 \$— \$1,179 \$5,586 785 — 785 724 511 2,545 3,780 1,929 717 — 2,646 305 319 — 624 1,763 — 1,763 397 — 397 — 321 321	One-to-four family residential Commercial Total accrual to in Each Ca (Dollars in thousands) \$4,407 \$— \$1,179 \$5,586 1.43 785 — 785 1.00 724 511 2,545 3,780 10.01 1,929 717 — 2,646 7.78 305 319 — 624 2.56 1,763 — 1,763 9.81 397 — 397 2.78 — 321 321 0.54

Problem Assets. For one-to-four family residential, multi-family residential and commercial real estate loans serviced by us, a notice is sent to the borrower when the loan is between 6 and 11 days past due. When the loan is between 10 and 15 days past due, we mail a subsequent delinquency notice to the borrower. Typically, before the loan becomes 30 days past due, contact with the borrower is made requesting payment of the delinquent amount in full, or the establishment of an acceptable repayment plan to bring the loan current. If an acceptable repayment plan has not been agreed upon, loan personnel will generally prepare a notice of intent to foreclose. The notice of intent to foreclose allows the borrower up to 30 days to bring the account current. Once the loan becomes up to 120 days delinquent, and an acceptable loss mitigation plan has not been agreed upon, the Loss Mitigation specialist will turn over the account to the trustee with instructions to initiate foreclosure. Real estate loans serviced by a third party are subject to the servicing institution's collection policies. However, we track each purchased loan individually to attempt to receive full payments as scheduled. Each month, third party servicers are required to provide delinquent loan status reports to our servicing officer, which are included in the month-end delinquent real estate report to management. When a borrower fails to make a timely payment on a consumer loan, a delinquency notice is sent when the loan is seven days past due. When the loan is 14 days past due, we mail a subsequent delinquency notice to the borrower. Once a loan is 30 days past due, our staff contacts the borrower by telephone to determine the reason for delinquency and to request payment of the delinquent amount in full or to establish an acceptable repayment plan to bring the loan current. If the borrower is unable to make or keep payment arrangements, additional collection action is taken in the form of repossession of collateral for secured loans and legal action for unsecured loans.

14

Danagat of Man

Edgar Filing: Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-K

Delinquent Loans. The following table sets forth certain information with respect to our loan portfolio delinquencies at the dates indicated:

at the dates indicated:						
	Loans Delinquent:					
	60-89 Days		90 Days or More		Total Delinquent Loans	
	Number of	Amount	Number of	Amount	Number of	Amount
	Loans		Loans	Amount	Loans	Amount
	(Dollars in t	housands)				
June 30, 2014						
Real estate loans:						
One-to-four family	1	\$409	1	\$301	2	\$710
Commercial	_		1	399	1	399
Other loans:						
Automobile	1	15	1	2	2	17
Home equity						
Other	3	4	2	15	5	19
Total loans	5	\$428	5	\$717	10	\$1,145
June 30, 2013						
Real estate loans:						
One-to-four family	3	\$970	5	\$1,751	8	\$2,721
Multi-family	1	198	_		1	198
Commercial	1	2,545	_	_	1	2,545
Other loans:		_,-				_,-
Automobile			1	14	1	14
Home equity			_	_	_	
Other	1	2	2	4	3	6
Total loans	6	\$3,715	8	\$1,769	14	\$5,484
June 30, 2012	· ·	Ψο,πο	O .	Ψ1,702	1.	ψ3,101
Real estate loans:						
One-to-four family	4	\$1,787	17	\$6,815	21	\$8,602
Multi-family	<u> </u>	Ψ1,707	1	744	1	744
Other loans:			1	744	1	7
Automobile	3	21			3	21
Home equity	<i>-</i>	21			<i>5</i>	21
Other	1	1	2	3	3	4
Total loans	8	\$1,809	20	\$7,562	28	\$9,371
June 30, 2011	O	Ψ1,007	20	Ψ1,302	20	Ψ7,371
Real estate loans:						
One-to-four family	2	\$1,043	17	\$6,583	19	\$7,626
Multi-family	1	457	1	1,757	2	2,214
Commercial	1	437	1	637	1	637
Other loans:	_		1	037	1	037
Automobile	1	6			1	6
	1	O	_	_	1	6
Home equity	1	3				
Other	1		3		4	8
Total loans	5	\$1,509	22	\$8,982	27	\$10,491
June 30, 2010						
Real estate loans:	2	Φ.1. 2 0 7	22	Ф 12, 272	26	0.1.4.6 70
One-to-four family	3	\$1,297	33	\$13,373	36	\$14,670
Multi-family	_		2	2,786	2	2,786
Other loans:						

Automobile	4	35	_	_	4	35
Home equity	_		1	63	1	63
Other	_		2	4	2	4
Total loans	7	\$1,332	38	\$16,226	45	\$17,558

Delinquent loans 60 days or more past due decreased to \$1.1 million or 0.16% of total loans at June 30, 2014 from \$5.5 million or 0.79% of total loans at June 30, 2013. Delinquent one-to-four family loans decreased from \$2.7 million at June 30, 2013 to \$710,000 at June 30, 2014. The decrease in delinquent loans 60 days or more was primarily due to loans transfered to real estate owned, pay-offs, and loans brought current resulting from our collection efforts. There was no delinquent multi-family loans at June 30, 2014 as compared to \$198,000 at June 30, 2013. Delinquent commercial real estate loans totaled \$399,000 at June 30, 2014 as compared to \$2.5 million at June 30, 2013. The decrease was due to the pay-off of one commercial real estate loan of \$2.5 million that was 60-89 days delinquent at June 30, 2013. There was one one-to-four family residential loan of \$301,000 that was over 90 days delinquent at June 30, 2014 and in the process of foreclosure.

Non-Performing Assets. Non-performing assets consist of non-accrual loans and foreclosed assets. All loans past due 90 days and over are classified as non-accrual. Loans to a customer whose financial condition has deteriorated are considered for non-accrual status whether or not the loan is 90 days and over past due. At the time the loan is placed on non-accrual status, interest previously accrued but not collected is reversed and charged against current income. Payments received on non-accrual loans are recorded as a reduction of principal. Interest payments collected on non-accrual loans are characterized as payments of principal rather than payments of the outstanding accrued interest on the loans until the remaining principal on the non-accrual loans is considered to be fully collectible. If the loan returns to accrual status, interest income would be recognized based on the effective yield to maturity on the loan and the amount of interest applied to principal will be accreted over the remaining term of the loan. Non-accrual loans also include troubled debt restructurings that are on non-accrual status. Included in non-accrual loans were troubled debt restructurings of \$2.9 million and \$9.1 million as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, with specific valuation allowances of \$79,000 and \$393,000, respectively. At June 30, 2014 and 2013, there were no loans past due more than 90 days and still accruing interest.

During the year ended June 30, 2014, there were no new loans that were modified as troubled debt restructurings. This compares to six one-to-four family residential loans with an aggregate outstanding balance of \$2.0 million and two commercial real estate loans with an aggregate outstanding balance of \$2.1 million whose terms were modified as troubled debt restructurings during the year ended June 30, 2013. At June 30, 2014, there were eight non-accrual restructured loans, all of which were one-to-four family residential loans with an aggregate outstanding balance of \$2.9 million. Of the eight non-accrual restructured loans, three loans with an aggregate outstanding balance of \$915,000 were performing in accordance with their revised contractual terms. At June 30, 2013, there were nineteen non-accrual restructured loans, consisting of sixteen one-to-four family residential loans, two multi-family residential loans, and one commercial real estate loan with an aggregate balance of \$9.1 million of which twelve loans with an aggregate balance of \$4.3 million were performing in accordance with their revised contractual terms. Troubled debt restructured loans are included in non-accrual loans until there is a sustained period of payment performance (usually six months or longer and determined on a case by case basis) and there is reasonable assurance that timely payment will continue. During the year ended June 30, 2014, nine troubled debt restructurings with an aggregate outstanding balance of \$3.1 million were returned to accrual status as a result of the borrowers paying the modified terms as agreed for a sustained period of more than six months and the Bank believes there is reasonable assurance that timely payment will continue. This compares to ten troubled debt restructurings with an aggregate outstanding balance of \$4.6 million that were returned to accrual status during the year ended June 30, 2013. There were no further commitments to customers whose loans were troubled debt restructurings at June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013.

Any changes or modifications made to loans are carefully reviewed to determine whether they are troubled debt restructurings. The modification of the terms of loans that are reported as troubled debt restructurings included one or a combination of the following: a reduction of the stated interest rate of the loan; an extension of the maturity date at a stated rate of interest lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk; or a permanent reduction of the recorded investment in the loan. There are other changes or modifications made for borrowers who are not experiencing financial difficulties. During the year ended June 30, 2014, twenty-eight loans in the amount of \$14.9 million were modified and not accounted for as troubled debt restructurings. During the year ended June 30, 2013, forty-nine loans in the amount of \$18.7 million were modified and not accounted for as troubled debt restructurings. The modifications were generally made to refinance the credits to maintain the borrowing relationships

and generally consisted of term or rate modifications or principal paydowns. The borrowers were not experiencing financial difficulty and the modifications were made at market terms.

16

The table below sets forth the amounts and categories of our non-performing assets at the dates indicated. There were no loans past due more than 90 days and still accruing interest at the dates indicated below.

	At June 30,					
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Non-accrual loans:						
Real estate loans:						
One-to-four family	\$2,481	\$4,372	\$9,332	\$9,513	\$15,561	
Multi-family	781	914	1,555	1,757	2,786	
Commercial	1,460	1,500	1,578	2,252	_	
Other loans:						
Automobile	2	14	_	_	_	
Home Equity			37	_	63	
Other	15	4	3	5	4	
Troubled debt restructuring:						
One-to-four family	2,909	5,938	9,388	8,872	9,193	
Multi-family		633	871	1,332	1,179	
Commercial		2,545	2,636	2,665	2,665	
Total non-accrual loans	7,648	15,920	25,400	26,396	31,451	
Other real estate owned and repossessed assets:						
Real estate:						
One-to-four family	284		669	828	1,373	
Commercial			610	_		
Other:						
Automobile		35		10	_	
Total other real estate owned and repossessed	284	35	1,279	838	1,373	
assets	204	33	1,277	030	1,575	
Total non-performing assets	\$7,932	\$15,955	\$26,679	\$27,234	\$32,824	
Ratios:						
Non-performing loans to total loans			5 3.29 %	5 3.73 %	4.08 %	
Non-performing assets to total assets					5 3.79 %	
Total accruing troubled debt restructurings:	\$9,569	\$6,570	\$810	\$ —	\$ —	

Non-accrual loans decreased to \$7.6 million, or 1.06% of total loans at June 30, 2014 as compared to \$15.9 million, or 2.29% of total loans at June 30, 2013. The decrease in non-accrual loans was primarily attributable to pay-offs of \$3.7 million,\$3.1 million in nonperforming troubled debt restructurings being returned to accruing status after the borrowers demonstrated a sustained period of repayment performance, generally six consecutive months of payments, and loans transferred to real estate owned of \$539,000 during the year ended June 30, 2014.

At June 30, 2014, there were \$5.4 million of one-to-four family residential mortgage loans on non-accrual for which valuation allowances individually evaluated totaling \$79,000 have been applied. Of the \$5.4 million in one-to-four family residential mortgage loans on non-accrual status, the terms or rates of \$2.9 million in loans were modified as troubled debt restructurings.

At June 30, 2014, there were \$2.2 million of multi-family residential and commercial real estate loans ("income property") on non-accrual for which no valuation allowances individually evaluated have been applied. Included in the \$2.2 million of income property loans on non-accrual status at June 30, 2014, were two multi-family residential loans totaling \$781,000 and two commercial real estate loans totaling \$1.5 million.

The first multi-family residential loan was made to one borrower with a principal balance of \$654,000, net of charge-off, at June 30, 2014, located in San Bernardino, California, which was current at June 30, 2014 but was previously delinquent. No valuation allowance was applied to this loan at June 30, 2014 due to the current loan

balance being higher than market value less costs to sell. The other multi-family residential loan on non-accrual status was made to one borrower located in Riverside, California with a principal balance of \$127,000 at June 30, 2014, net of charge-off. In fiscal 2014, we charged-off \$100,000 on this loan as the property value was lower than the loan balance. This loan was current at June 30, 2014 and no additional valuation allowance was applied. The first commercial real estate loan had a principal balance of \$1.1 million, net of charge-off, secured by an office building in Los Angeles County, California, and was 30-59 days delinquent at June 30, 2014. The second commercial real estate loan had a principal balance of \$399,000 at June 30, 2014 secured by a manufacturing facility in Los Angeles County, California.

While the borrower continued to make payments, this loan was 90 days or more delinquent at June 30, 2014 as it had matured in fiscal 2014. Title issues on the loan prevented the borrower from refinancing. The Bank actively worked and subsequently resolved the issues and no loss is anticipated to be realized. No valuation allowance was applied to either loan at June 30, 2014 due to the current loan balances being higher than market value less costs to sell. The level of non-accrual loans impacted our determination of the allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2014. Non-accrual loans are assessed to determine impairment. Loans that are found to be impaired are individually evaluated and a valuation allowance is applied if warranted.

Real Estate Owned ("REO") and Repossessed Assets. REO and repossessed assets consist of real estate and other assets which have been acquired through foreclosure on loans. At the time of foreclosure, assets are recorded at fair value less estimated selling costs, with any write-down charged against the allowance for loan losses. The fair value of real estate owned is determined by a third party appraisal of the property. As of June 30, 2014, there was one real estate owned property in the amount of \$284,000. This compares to no real estate owned properties at June 30, 2013.

Classified and Criticized Assets. Regulations provide for the classification of loans and other assets, such as debt and equity securities considered by regulators to be of lesser quality, as "substandard," "doubtful" or "loss". An asset is considered "substandard" if it is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. "Substandard" assets include those characterized by the "distinct possibility" that the insured institution will sustain "some loss" if the deficiencies are not corrected. Assets classified as "doubtful" have all of the weaknesses inherent in those classified "substandard," with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make "collection or liquidation in full," on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, "highly questionable and improbable." Assets classified as "loss" are those considered "uncollectible" and of such little value that their continuance as assets without the establishment of a valuation allowance individually evaluated or charge-off, is not warranted. Loans are classified as special mention when it is determined a loan relationship should be monitored more closely. Loans are classified as special mention for a variety of reasons including changes in recent borrower financial condition, changes in borrower operations, changes in value of available collateral, concerns regarding changes in economic conditions in a borrower's industry, and other matters. A loan classified as special mention in many instances may be performing in accordance with the loan terms.

When an insured institution classifies problem assets as either substandard or doubtful, it may establish general allowances for loan losses in an amount deemed prudent by management and approved by the board of directors. General allowances represent loss allowances which have been established to recognize the inherent risk associated with lending activities, but which, unlike the allowances on the loans evaluated individually, have not been allocated to particular problem assets. When an insured institution classifies problem assets as "loss," it is required either to establish an individual allowance for losses equal to 100% of that portion of the asset so classified or to charge off such amount. An institution's determination as to the classification of its assets and the amount of its valuation allowances is subject to review by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"), which may order the establishment of additional general or specific loss allowances. We regularly review the problem assets in our portfolio to determine whether any assets require classification in accordance with applicable regulations. The total amount of classified and criticized assets represented 27.2% of our equity capital and 4.2% of our total assets at June 30, 2014, as compared to 28.6% of our equity capital and 4.8% of our total assets at June 30, 2013. At June 30, 2014 and 2013, there were \$7.6 million and \$15.9 million in non-accrual loans included in classified assets, respectively.

The aggregate amount of our classified and special mentioned assets at the dates indicated were as follows:

	At June 30,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(In thousand	ds)	
Classified and Criticized Assets:			
Loss	\$17	\$4	\$3
Doubtful	1	24	28

Substandard	16,164	23,398	37,468
Special Mention	21,082	18,100	22,452
Total	\$37,264	\$41,526	\$59,951

Allowance for Loan Losses. We maintain an allowance for loan losses to absorb probable incurred losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance is based on ongoing, quarterly assessments of the probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"), the allowance is comprised of general valuation allowances and valuation allowances on loans individually evaluated for impairment.

The general component covers non-impaired loans and is based both on our historical loss experience as well as significant factors that, in management's judgment, affect the collectability of the portfolio as of the evaluation date. Loans that are classified as impaired are individually evaluated. We consider a loan impaired when it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the terms of the loan agreement and determine impairment by computing either a present value of future cash flows using the loan's initial interest rate or the fair value of the collateral, less estimated selling costs, if the loan is collateral dependent.

The overall appropriateness of the general valuation allowance is determined based on a loss migration model and qualitative considerations. The migration analysis looks at pools of loans having similar characteristics and analyzes their loss rates over a historical period. Historical loss factors derived from trends and losses associated with each pool over a specific period of time are utilized. The loss factors are applied to the outstanding loans to each loan grade within each pool of loans. Loss rates derived by the migration model are based predominantly on historical loss trends that may not be indicative of the actual or inherent loss potential. As such, qualitative and environmental factors are utilized as adjusting mechanisms to supplement the historical results of the classification migration model. Significant factors reviewed in determining the allowance for loan losses included loss ratio trends by loan product; levels of and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans; levels of and trends in classified assets; levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries; trends in volume of loans by loan product; effects of changes in lending policies and practices; industry conditions and effects of concentrations in geographic regions. Qualitative and environmental factors are reflected as percent adjustments and are added to the historical loss rates derived from the classified asset migration model to determine the appropriate allowance amount for each loan pool.

Valuation allowances on real estate loans that are individually evaluated for impairment are charged-off when management believes a loan or part of a loan is deemed uncollectible. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance when received. A loan is generally considered uncollectible when the borrower's payment is six months or more delinquent.

Senior management reviews these conditions quarterly in discussions with our senior credit officers. To the extent that any of these conditions is evidenced by a specifically identifiable problem credit or portfolio segment as of the evaluation date, management's estimate of the effect of such conditions may be reflected as an allowance specifically applicable to such credit or portfolio segment. Where any of these conditions is not evidenced by a specifically identifiable problem credit or portfolio segment as of the evaluation date, management's evaluation of the loss related to this condition is reflected in the general allowance. The evaluation of the inherent loss with respect to these conditions is subject to a higher degree of uncertainty because they are not identified with specific problem credits or portfolio segments.

Given that management evaluates the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses based on a review of individual loans, historical loan loss experience, the value and adequacy of collateral and economic conditions in our market area, this evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates, including the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans that may be susceptible to significant change. Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and are excluded from loans individually evaluated for impairment; their allowance for loan losses is calculated in accordance with the allowance for loan losses policy described above.

Because the allowance for loan losses is based on estimates of losses inherent in the loan portfolio, actual losses can vary significantly from the estimated amounts. Our methodology as described above permits adjustments to any loss factor used in the computation of the general allowance in the event that, in management's judgment, significant factors which affect the collectability of the portfolio as of the evaluation date are not reflected in the loss factors. By assessing the estimated losses inherent in the loan portfolio on a quarterly basis, we are able to adjust individual and inherent loss estimates based upon any more recent information that has become available. We continue to review our allowance for loan losses methodology for appropriateness to keep pace with the size and composition of the loans and the changing economic conditions and credit environment. We believe that our methodologies continue to be

appropriate given our size and level of complexity. In addition, management's determination as to the amount of our allowance for loan losses is subject to review by the OCC and the FDIC, which may require the establishment of additional general allowances or allowances on loans individually evaluated for impairment based upon their judgment of the information available to them at the time of their examination of our Bank.

During the year ended June 30, 2014, a \$700,000 reversal of provision for loan losses was recorded as compared to a \$250,000 provision for loan losses for the year ended June 30, 2013. The decline in the provision was primarily a result of continued asset quality improvement evidenced by declining net charge-offs, delinquency ratios and a lower level of classified and non-performing loans during the year ended June 30, 2014 compared to the year ended June 30, 2013. Net charge-offs decreased to

0.05% of average outstanding loans for the year ended June 30, 2014 as compared to 0.29% of average outstanding loans for the year ended June 30, 2013. Non-performing assets decreased to \$7.9 million, or 0.90% of total assets at June 30, 2014 as compared to \$16.0 million, or 1.84% of total assets at June 30, 2013. Delinquent loans 60 days or more past due were \$1.1 million, or 0.16% of total loans at June 30, 2014 as compared to \$5.5 million, or 0.79% of total loans at June 30, 2013. The allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans was 59.88% at June 30, 2014 as compared to 35.45% at June 30, 2013. The increase in the allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans was a result of the decrease in non-performing loans, partially offset by a decrease in the allowance for loan losses for the year ended June 30, 2014. The provision reflects management's continuing assessment of the credit quality of the Company's loan portfolio, which is affected by various trends, including current economic conditions. The following sets forth an analysis of our allowance for loans losses at or for the years indicated.

	At or for the Year Ended June 30,									
	2014		2013		2012		2011		2010	
	(Dollars	in t	housands)						
Balance at beginning of year	\$5,643		\$7,502		\$11,367		\$13,309		\$4,586	
Charge-offs:										
One-to-four family real estate	39		2,394		2,955		2,189		966	
Multi-family real estate	292		326		1,236		772		_	
Commercial real estate	_		527		58		_		_	
Consumer—automobile	73		42		36		79		184	
Consumer—home equity	_		56				_			
Consumer—other	71		47		34		97		82	
Total Charge-offs	475		3,392		4,319		3,137		1,232	
Recoveries:										
One-to-four family real estate	10		212		105		91			
Multi-family real estate	51		1,013				_			
Commercial real estate	1						_			
Consumer—automobile	42		44		92		127		65	
Consumer—home equity	_		6							
Consumer—other	8		8		7		27		23	
Total Recoveries	112		1,283		204		245		88	
Net charge-offs	363		2,109		4,115		2,892		1,144	
(Credit) provision for losses	(700)	250		250		950		9,867	
Balance at end of year	\$4,580		\$5,643		\$7,502		\$11,367		\$13,309	
Ratios:										
Net charge-offs to average gross loans during the year	0.05	%	0.29	%	0.55	%	0.39	%	0.15	%
Net charge-offs to average non-performing loans	2.87	07	9.52	07	15.74	07	10.15	01	5.24	%
during the year	2.87	%	9.32	%	13.74	%	10.13	%	3.24	%
Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans	59.88	07-	35.45	07-	29.54	07-	43.06	07-	42.32	%
(end of year)	39.88	%	33.43	%	29.34	%	43.00	%	42.32	%
Allowance for loan losses to total gross loans (end of year)	0.64	%	0.81	%	0.97	%	1.61	%	1.73	%

Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses. The distribution of the allowance for losses on loans at the dates indicated is summarized as follows.

	2014		2013		At June 2012	30,	2011		2010	
		Percent of	•	Percent of	•	Percent of	•	Percent of		Percent of
	Amount	Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	Amount	Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	Amount	Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	Amount	Loans in Each Category to Total Loans	Amount	Loans in Each Category to Total Loans
	(Dollars	in thousan	ids)							
Real estate loans:										
One-to-four family	\$2,300	40.14 %	\$3,009	46.03 %	\$4,692	48.17 %	\$6,365	39.87 %	\$7,812	43.55 %
Multi-family	993	46.54	839	40.44	1,519	36.79	2,654	40.69	3,643	36.12
Commercial	1,051	5.29	1,654	8.01	1,131	11.28	2,254	15.26	1,599	14.72
Other loans:										
Automobile	136	6.35	83	3.85	62	2.25	59	2.55	185	3.83
Home equity	2	0.09	4	0.10	63	0.10	13	0.13	9	0.14
Other	98	1.59	54	1.57	35	1.39	22	1.50	61	1.64
Total allowance for loan losses	\$4,580	100.00 %	\$5,643	100.00 %	\$7,502	100.00 %	\$11,367	100.00 %	\$13,309	100.00 %

Investment Activities

General. We are required by federal regulations to maintain an amount of liquid assets in order to meet our liquidity needs. These assets consist of certain specified securities. Cash flow projections are regularly reviewed and updated to assure that adequate liquidity is provided.

We are authorized to invest in various types of liquid assets, including U.S. Treasury obligations, securities of various federal agencies, certain certificates of deposit of insured banks and savings institutions, certain bankers' acceptances, repurchase agreements and federal funds. Subject to various restrictions, federal savings banks may also invest their assets in investment grade commercial paper and corporate debt securities and mutual funds whose assets conform to the investments that a federally chartered savings bank is otherwise authorized to make directly. See "How We Are Regulated—Simplicity Bank" for a discussion of additional restrictions on our investment activities.

Under the direction and guidance of the Asset and Liability Management Committee and board policy, our chief financial officer has the responsibility for the management of our investment portfolio. Various factors are considered when making decisions, including the marketability, maturity and tax consequences of the proposed investment. The maturity structure of investments will be affected by various market conditions, including the current and anticipated short and long term interest rates, the level of interest rates, the trend of new deposit inflows, and the anticipated demand for funds through deposit withdrawals and loan originations and purchases.

The current structure of our investment portfolio provides liquidity when loan demand is high, assists in maintaining earnings when loan demand is low and attempts to maximize earnings while satisfactorily managing risk, including credit risk, reinvestment risk, liquidity risk and interest rate risk. See "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk—Asset and Liability Management and Market Risk."

At June 30, 2014, our investment portfolio totaled \$57.3 million and consisted entirely of investment grade collateralized mortgage obligations and mortgage-backed securities. From time to time, investment levels may increase or decrease depending upon yields available on investment alternatives and management's projected demand for funds for loan originations, deposits, and other activities. At June 30, 2014 we held no trust preferred securities, private-label asset-backed securities, or securities that are less than investment grade, and have never invested in such securities.

Edgar Filing: Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-K

The following table sets forth the composition of our investment portfolio at the dates indicated.

	At June 30.	,							
	2014			2013			2012		
	Carrying V	Percent o alue Total	f	Carrying V	Percent calue Total	of	Carrying V	Percent o alue Total	of
	(Dollars in	thousands))						
Securities available-for-sale:									
Mortgage-backed securities:									
Fannie Mae	\$6,933	12.11	%	\$8,510	16.15	%	\$13,961	25.57	%
Freddie Mac	24,136	42.14		21,565	40.91		5,410	9.91	
Ginnie Mae	4,147	7.24							
Collateralized mortgage obligations:									
Fannie Mae	8,640	15.08		13,125	24.90		21,060	38.58	
Freddie Mac	13,027	22.74		8,980	17.04		12,966	23.75	
Total securities available-for-sale	\$56,883	99.31	%	\$52,180	99.00	%	\$53,397	97.81	%
Securities held-to-maturity:									
Mortgage-backed securities:									
Fannie Mae	\$100	0.18	%	\$119	0.23	%	\$133	0.24	%
Freddie Mac	58	0.10	, .	74	0.14	, .	92	0.17	, .
Ginnie Mae	30	0.05		36	0.07		44	0.08	
Collateralized mortgage obligations:		0.00			0.07			0.00	
Fannie Mae	207	0.36		296	0.56		596	1.09	
Freddie Mac				_			332	0.61	
Total securities held-to-maturity	\$395	0.69	%	\$525	1.00	%	\$1,197	2.19	%
Total securities	\$57,278	100.00	%	\$52,705	100.00	%	\$54,594	100.00	%
Other earning assets:									
Federal funds sold	\$61,265	91.74	0%	\$76,810	92.86	0%	\$56,235	86.84	%
FHLB stock	5,519	8.26	70	5,902	7.14	70	8,525	13.16	70
	-	100.00	01-	\$82,712	100.00	01-	\$64,760	100.00	%
Total other earning assets	\$66,784	100.00	70	Φ04,/14	100.00	70	φυ 4 ,/υυ	100.00	70
Total securities and other earning assets	\$124,062			\$135,417			\$119,354		

Portfolio Maturities and Yields. The composition and maturities of the investment securities portfolio at June 30, 2014 are summarized in the following table. Maturities are based on the final contractual payment dates, and do not reflect the impact of prepayments or early redemptions that may occur.

				More	than (One	More t	han F	ive							
	One	e Yea	r or	Year			Years			More tha	n Ten		Total Sec	uritios		
	Les	S		throug	gh Fiv	e	through	h Ten		Years			Total Sec	unues		
				Years			Years									
	Am	ortize Av st Yi	eight ed erag eld	ted Amor Cost	Weig tized Aver Yield	shteo age l	d Amort Cost	Weig ized Aver Yield		d Amortize Cost	Weig Aver Yield		d Amortize Cost	cFair Value	Weig Avera Yield	age
	(Do	llars	in th	ousan												
Securities available-for-sale: Mortgage-backed securities:																
Fannie Mae	\$-		%	\$—		%	\$ —		%	\$6,824	2.41	%	\$6,824	\$6,933	2.41	%
Freddie Mac	_	_		_						24,469	1.76		24,469	24,136	1.76	
Ginnie Mae	_									4,146	2.41		4,146	4,147	2.41	
Collateralized																
mortgage obligations:																
Fannie Mae	—	_		_	_					8,632	0.89		8,632	8,640	0.89	
Freddie Mac	—			_			—	—		13,030	0.66		13,030	13,027	0.66	
Total securities available-for-sale	\$-	- —	%	\$—	_	%	\$—	_	%	\$57,101	1.50	%	\$57,101	\$56,883	1.50	%
Securities held-to-maturity: Mortgage-backed securities:																
Fannie Mae	\$—	- —	%	\$—		%	\$ —		%	\$100	1.63	%	\$100	\$103	1.63	%
Freddie Mac	—	_								58	4.35		58	60	4.35	
Ginnie Mae				9	2.75		12	1.62		9	1.63		30	31	1.95	
Collateralized mortgage obligations:																
Fannie Mae	_			_	—		207	1.87		_	—		207	212	1.87	
Total securities held-to-maturity	\$-	- —	%	\$9	2.75	%	\$219	1.86	%	\$167	2.58	%	\$395	\$406	2.18	%
Total securities	\$-	- —	%	\$9	2.75	%	\$219	1.86	%	\$57,268	1.50	%	\$57,496	\$57,289	1.51	%

Mortgage-Backed Securities. We invest in mortgage-backed securities insured or guaranteed by government-sponsored enterprises ("GSEs") such as Federal National Mortgage Association ("Fannie Mae"), Freddie Mac or by a wholly-owned government corporation, Government National Mortgage Association ("Ginnie Mae"). We invest in mortgage-backed securities to achieve positive interest rate spreads with minimal administrative expense, and to lower our credit risk as a result of the guarantees provided by these GSEs.

Mortgage-backed securities are created by pooling mortgages and issuing a security with an interest rate that is less than the interest rate on the underlying mortgages. Mortgage-backed securities typically represent a participation interest in a pool of single-family or multi-family residential mortgages, although we invest primarily in mortgage-backed securities backed by one-to-four-family mortgages. The issuers of such securities pool and resell the participation interests in the form of securities to investors such as us. Some securities pools are guaranteed as to payment of principal and interest to investors. Mortgage-backed securities generally yield less than the loans that underlie such securities because of the cost of payment guarantees and credit enhancements. However, mortgage-backed securities are more liquid than individual mortgage loans since there is an active trading market for such securities. In addition, mortgage-backed securities may be used to collateralize our specific liabilities and obligations. Finally, mortgage-backed securities are assigned lower risk weightings for purposes of calculating our risk-based capital level.

Investments in mortgage-backed securities involve a risk that actual payments will be greater or less than the prepayment rate estimated at the time of purchase, which may require adjustments to the amortization of any premium or acceleration of any discount relating to such interests, thereby affecting the net yield on our securities. We periodically review current prepayment speeds to determine whether prepayment estimates require modification that could cause amortization or accretion adjustments.

Collateralized mortgage obligations are debt securities issued by a special-purpose entity that aggregates pools of mortgages and mortgage-backed securities and creates different classes of securities with varying maturities and amortization schedules, as well as a residual interest, with each class possessing different risk characteristics. The cash flows from the underlying collateral are generally divided into "tranches" or classes that have descending priorities with respect to the distribution of principal and interest cash flows, while cash flows on pass-through mortgage-backed securities are distributed pro rata to all security holders.

Federal Home Loan Bank Stock. As a member of the FHLB of San Francisco, we are required to own capital stock in the FHLB. The amount of stock we hold is based on percentages specified by the FHLB of San Francisco on our asset value, outstanding advances, and the requirements of their Mortgage Purchase Program. The redemption of any excess stock we hold is at the discretion of the FHLB of San Francisco. The carrying value of FHLB stock totaled \$5.5 million as of June 30, 2014. The declaration of FHLB stock dividends are subject to the discretion of the board of directors of the FHLB.

Equity Investment. At June 30, 2014, we also had an investment in an affordable housing fund totaling \$297,000 for the purposes of obtaining tax credits and for Community Reinvestment Act purposes. The investment is being accounted for using the equity method of accounting. The investment is evaluated regularly for impairment based on the remaining allocable tax credits and tax benefits.

Bank-Owned Life Insurance. In April 2005, we purchased \$10.0 million in bank-owned life insurance, which covers certain key employees, to provide tax-exempt income to assist in offsetting costs associated with employee benefit plans offered by the Bank. The bank-owned life insurance is recorded at its cash surrender value, or the amount that can be realized. At June 30, 2014, the cash surrender value was \$14.2 million.

Sources of Funds

General. Our sources of funds are deposits, payment of principal and interest on loans, interest earned on or maturity of investment securities, borrowings, and funds provided from operations.

Deposits. We offer a variety of deposit accounts to consumers with a wide range of interest rates and terms. Our deposits consist of time deposit accounts, savings, money market and demand deposit accounts. We have historically paid competitive rates on our deposit accounts. We primarily rely on competitive pricing policies, marketing and customer service to attract and retain these deposits. At June 30, 2014, approximately 33.0% of the dollar amount of our deposits were from customers who are employed by the Kaiser Permanente Medical Care Program, one of the

largest employers in Southern California. Our ATMs are located in our branches and near Kaiser Permanente Medical Centers and office buildings. We currently do not accept brokered deposits and had none at June 30, 2014. The flow of deposits is influenced significantly by general economic conditions, changes in interest bearing demand deposits, money market, prevailing interest rates and bi-weekly direct deposits from customers. The variety of deposit accounts we offer has allowed us to be competitive in obtaining funds and to respond with flexibility to changes in consumer demand. We

have become more susceptible to short-term fluctuations in deposit flows, as customers have become more interest rate conscious. We try to manage the pricing of our deposits in keeping with our asset/liability management, liquidity and profitability objectives, subject to competitive factors. Based on our experience, we believe that our deposits are a relatively stable source of funds. Despite this stability, our ability to attract and maintain these deposits and the rates paid on them has been and will continue to be significantly affected by market conditions. The following table sets forth our deposit flows during the years indicated.

	Year Ended Jun				
	2014	2013		2012	
	(Dollars in thou				
Opening balance	\$654,646	\$682,889		\$634,709	
Deposits, net of withdrawals	(7,022) (34,810)	40,380	
Interest credited	5,199	6,567		7,800	
Ending balance	\$652,823	\$654,646		\$682,889	
Not (doorsoon) in arrows in domosite	¢ (1 922	\$ (28.242	`	¢ 40 100	
Net (decrease) increase in deposits	\$(1,823) \$(28,243)	\$48,180	
Percent (decrease) increase in deposits	(0.28))% (4.14)%	5 7.59	%

The following table shows the distribution of, and certain other information relating to, deposits by type of deposit, as of the dates indicated.

	At June 30,								
	2014			2013			2012		
	Amount	Percent of Total		Amount	Percent of Total		Amount	Percent of Total	
	(Dollars in th	ousands)							
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$60,569	9.28	%	\$65,694	10.04	%	\$71,319	10.44	%
Interest-bearing demand	81,781	12.53		14,456	2.21		7,771	1.14	
Savings	125,770	19.27		134,856	20.60		140,921	20.64	
Money Market	138,169	21.15		159,555	24.37		156,004	22.84	
Certificates of deposit:									
0.10%—1.99%	129,569	19.85		119,986	18.33		122,456	17.93	
2.00%—2.99%	114,359	17.52		127,976	19.55		147,200	21.56	
3.00%—3.99%	2,592	0.40		18,176	2.77		18,995	2.78	
4.00%—4.99%	14	_		13,947	2.13		18,155	2.66	
5.00%—5.99%	_			_			68	0.01	
Total Certificates of deposit	246,534	37.77		280,085	42.78		306,874	44.94	
Total	\$652,823	100.00	%	\$654,646	100.00	%	\$682,889	100.00	%

As of June 30, 2014, the aggregate amount of outstanding certificates of deposit in amounts greater than or equal to \$100,000 was \$133.1 million as compared to \$150.0 million at June 30, 2013. The following table sets forth the maturity of those certificates as of June 30, 2014.

Maturity Period	Certificates of Deposit
	(Dollars in thousands)
Three months or less	\$9,825
Over three through six months	11,023
Over six through twelve months	24,412

Over twelve months	87,862
Total	\$133,122

Borrowings. Although deposits are our primary source of funds, we may utilize borrowings when they are a less costly source of funds, and can be invested at a positive interest rate spread, when we desire additional capacity to fund loan demand or when they meet our asset/liability management goals. Our borrowings historically have consisted of advances from the FHLB of San Francisco. See Note 10 of the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements. We may obtain advances from the FHLB of San Francisco secured by our single-family and multi-family residential loans with an aggregate unpaid principal balance of \$522.6 million at June 30, 2014. These advances may be made pursuant to several different credit programs, each of which has its own interest rate, range of maturities and call features. At June 30, 2014, we had \$85.0 million in FHLB advances outstanding and we had available credit for advances in the amount of \$259.4 million. We interchange the use of deposits and borrowings to fund assets, such as the origination of loans, depending on various factors including liquidity and asset/liability management strategies. We also have an established short-term line of credit with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco. As of June 30, 2014, we pledged \$28.5 million in commercial real estate loans, \$32.9 million in automobile loans and \$54,000 in investment securities to secure any future borrowings under the line with the Federal Reserve Bank. At June 30, 2014, the available line of credit was \$47.6 million. We have never drawn on this short-term line of credit. The following table sets forth information as to our Federal Home Loan Bank advances at or for the years indicated.

	At or For the	30,		
	2014	2013	2012	
	(Dollars in t	housands)		
Balance at end of year	\$85,000	\$60,000	\$80,000	
Average balance outstanding	75,385	69,231	93,077	
Maximum month-end balance	85,000	80,000	110,000	
Weighted average interest rate during the year	1.59	% 2.00	% 3.16	%
Weighted average interest rate at end of year	1.57	% 1.64	% 2.33	%
Employees				

At June 30, 2014, we had a total of 118 full-time employees and 3 part-time employees. Our employees are not represented by any collective bargaining group. Management believes that we have good relations with our employees.

How We Are Regulated

Set forth below is a brief description of certain laws and regulations which are applicable to Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. and Simplicity Bank. The description of these laws and regulations, as well as descriptions of laws and regulations contained elsewhere herein, does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the applicable laws and regulations.

Legislation is introduced from time to time in the United States Congress that may affect the operations of Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. and Simplicity Bank. In addition, the regulations governing Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. and Simplicity Bank may be amended from time to time by the OCC, the FDIC and/or the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("Federal Reserve Board"). Any such legislation or regulatory changes in the future could adversely affect Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. or Simplicity Bank. No assurance can be given as to whether or in what form any such changes may occur.

The Dodd Frank Act

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") has significantly changed the former bank regulatory structure and affected the lending, investment, trading and operating activities of financial institutions and their holding companies. The Dodd-Frank Act eliminated our former primary federal regulator, the OTS, and required Simplicity Bank to be regulated by the OCC (the primary federal regulator for national banks) as of July 21, 2011. The Dodd-Frank Act also authorizes the Federal Reserve Board to supervise and regulate all savings and loan holding companies, like the Company, in addition to bank holding companies which it previously regulated. The Dodd-Frank Act also created a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau with broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks and savings institutions such as Simplicity Bank, including the authority to prohibit "unfair, deceptive or abusive" acts and practices. The Consumer Financial Protection

Bureau has examination and enforcement authority over all banks and savings institutions with more than \$10 billion in assets. Banks and savings institutions with \$10 billion or less in assets will continue to be examined for compliance by their applicable bank regulators (in Simplicity Bank's case, the OCC). The new legislation also weakened the federal preemption available for national banks and federal savings associations, and gave state attorneys general the ability to enforce applicable federal consumer protection laws.

The legislation also broadened the base for FDIC insurance assessments. Assessments are now based on an institution's average consolidated total assets less tangible equity capital. The Dodd-Frank Act also permanently increased the maximum amount of deposit insurance for banks, savings institutions and credit unions to \$250,000 per depositor. The Dodd-Frank Act increased stockholder influence over boards of directors by requiring companies to give stockholders a non-binding vote on executive compensation and so-called "golden parachute" payments. The legislation also directed the Federal Reserve Board to promulgate rules prohibiting excessive compensation paid to bank holding company executives, regardless of whether the company is publicly traded or not. The Dodd-Frank Act provided for originators of certain securitized loans to retain a percentage of the risk for transferred loans, directed the Federal Reserve Board to regulate pricing of certain debit card interchange fees and contained a number of reforms related to mortgage origination.

Simplicity Bancorp, Inc.

General. Simplicity Bancorp, Inc is a non-diversified savings and loan holding company within the meaning of the Home Owners' Loan Act. It is required to file reports with and is subject to regulation and examination by the Federal Reserve Board. In addition, the Federal Reserve Board has enforcement authority over the Company and any non-savings institution subsidiaries. This permits the Federal Reserve Board to restrict or prohibit activities that it determines to be a serious risk to the Bank. This regulation is intended primarily for the protection of the depositors and not for the benefit of stockholders of the Company.

Permissible Activities. Under present law, the business activities of Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. are generally limited to those activities permissible for financial holding companies, bank holding companies under Section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, or for multiple savings and loan holding companies. A financial holding company may engage in activities that are financial in nature, including underwriting equity securities and insurance as well as activities that are incidental to financial activities or complementary to a financial activity. The Dodd-Frank Act specifies that a savings and loan holding company may only engage in financial holding company activities if it meets the qualitative criteria necessary for a bank holding company to engage in such activities, makes an election to be treated as a financial holding company and conducts the activities in accordance with the requirements that would apply to a financial holding company's conduct of such activity. As of June 30, 2014, Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. has not elected to be a financial holding company.

Federal law prohibits a savings and loan holding company, including Simplicity Bancorp, Inc., directly or indirectly, or through one or more subsidiaries, from acquiring more than 5% of another savings institution or holding company thereof, without prior written approval of the Federal Reserve Board. It also prohibits, with certain exceptions, the acquisition or retention of more than 5% of a non-subsidiary company engaged in activities that are not closely related to banking or financial in nature, or acquiring or retaining control of an institution that is not federally insured. In evaluating applications by holding companies to acquire savings institutions, the Federal Reserve Board must consider the financial and managerial resources, future prospects of the company and institution involved, the effect of the acquisition on the risk to the federal deposit insurance fund, the convenience and needs of the community and competitive factors.

The Federal Reserve Board is prohibited from approving any acquisition that would result in a multiple savings and loan holding company controlling savings institutions in more than one state, subject to two exceptions:

(i)the approval of interstate supervisory acquisitions by savings and loan holding companies; and

the acquisition of a savings institution in another state if the laws of the state of the target savings institution specifically permit such acquisition.

The states vary in the extent to which they permit interstate savings and loan holding company acquisitions. Capital. Savings and loan holding companies are not currently subject to specific regulatory capital requirements. The Dodd-Frank Act, however, required the Federal Reserve Board to promulgate consolidated capital requirements for depository institution holding companies that are no less stringent, both quantitatively and in terms of components of capital, than those applicable to institutions themselves. Instruments such as cumulative preferred stock and trust preferred securities will no longer be includable as Tier 1 capital as is currently the case with bank holding companies. Instruments issued by May 19, 2010 will be grandfathered for companies with consolidated assets of \$15 billion or less. The final capital rule discussed below implements the consolidated capital requirements for savings and loan

holding companies effective January 1, 2015.

Source of Strength. The Dodd-Frank Act also extended the "source of strength" doctrine to savings and loan holding companies. The Federal Reserve Board has issued regulations requiring that all bank and savings and loan holding companies serve as a source of strength to their subsidiary depository institutions by providing capital, liquidity and other support in times of financial stress.

Bank Dividends. As a subsidiary of a savings and loan holding company, the Bank must notify the Federal Reserve Board thirty (30) days before declaring any dividend to the Company. The dividend notice may be denied under certain circumstances,

such as where the dividend raises safety or soundness concerns, the dividend would cause the savings bank to be undercapitalized or the dividend would violate a law, regulation, regulatory condition or enforcement order.

Capital Distributions. The Federal Reserve Board has issued a supervisory letter regarding the payment of dividends and the repurchase of shares of common stock by bank holding companies that it suggested is applicable to savings and loan holding companies as well. In general, the letter provides that holding company dividends should be paid only out of current earnings and only if the prospective rate of earnings retention by the holding company appears consistent with the organization's capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition. The regulatory guidance provides for prior regulatory review of capital distributions in certain circumstances such as where the company's net income for the past four quarters, net of dividends previously paid over that period, is insufficient to fully fund the dividend or the company's overall rate of earnings retention is inconsistent with the company's capital needs and overall financial condition. The ability of a holding company to pay dividends may be restricted if a subsidiary bank becomes undercapitalized. The letter also provides for informal regulatory review prior to a holding company redeeming or repurchasing regulatory capital instruments when the holding company is experiencing financial weaknesses or redeeming or repurchasing common stock or perpetual preferred stock in an amount that would result in a net reduction as of the end of a quarter in the amount of such equity instruments outstanding compared with the beginning of the quarter in which the redemption or repurchase occurred. These regulatory policies could affect the ability of the Company to pay dividends, repurchase shares of common stock or otherwise engage in capital distributions.

Acquisition. Under the Federal Change in Control Act, a notice must be submitted to the Federal Reserve Board if any person, or group acting in concert, seeks to acquire direct or indirect "control" of a savings and loan holding company. Under certain circumstances, such as where the company involved has securities registered with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, a change of control may occur, and prior notice is required, upon the acquisition of 10% or more of the company's outstanding voting stock, unless the Federal Reserve Board has found that the acquisition will not result in control of the company. That rebuttable presumption applies to the Company. A change in control definitively occurs upon the acquisition of 25% or more of the company's outstanding voting stock. Under the Change in Control Act, the Federal Reserve Board generally has 60 days from the filing of a complete notice to act, taking into consideration certain factors, including the financial and managerial resources of the acquirer and the competitive effects of the acquisition.

Simplicity Bank

General. As a federal savings institution, Simplicity Bank is examined and supervised by the OCC and is also subject to examination by the FDIC, as its deposit insurer. This regulation and supervision establishes a comprehensive framework of activities in which an institution may engage and is intended primarily for the protection of the FDIC's deposit insurance fund and depositors. Under this system of federal regulation, financial institutions are periodically examined to ensure that they satisfy applicable standards with respect to their capital adequacy, assets, management, earnings, liquidity and sensitivity to market interest rates. Following completion of its examination, the federal agency critiques the institution's operations and assigns its rating (known as an institution's CAMELS rating). In the case of Simplicity Bank, the OCC is the primary examining agency and prepares such confidential reports for the consideration of Simplicity Bank's board of directors. Simplicity Bank also is a member of and owns stock in the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco, which is one of the twelve regional banks in the Federal Home Loan Bank System. Simplicity Bank is also regulated to a lesser extent by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, governing reserves to be maintained against deposits and other matters. Simplicity Bank's relationship with its depositors and borrowers is also regulated to a great extent by federal law and, to a much lesser extent, state law, especially in matters concerning the ownership of deposit accounts and the form and content of Simplicity Bank's mortgage documents.

Set forth below is a brief description of certain regulatory requirements that are or will be applicable to Simplicity Bank. The description below is limited to certain material aspects of the statutes and regulations addressed, and is not intended to be a complete description of such statutes and regulations and their effects on Simplicity Bank. Federal Banking Regulation

Business Activities. A federal savings bank derives its lending and investment powers from the Home Owners' Loan Act, as amended, and the regulations of the OCC. Under these laws and regulations, the Bank may invest in mortgage loans secured by residential and nonresidential real estate, commercial business loans and consumer loans, certain types of debt securities and certain other assets, subject to applicable limits. The Bank also may establish subsidiaries that may engage in activities not otherwise permissible for the Bank, including real estate investment and securities and insurance brokerage. The Dodd-Frank Act removed federal statutory restrictions on the payment of interest on commercial demand deposit accounts.

Capital Requirements. Federal regulations require federal savings banks to meet three minimum capital standards: a 1.5% tangible capital ratio, a 4% leverage ratio (3% for federal savings banks receiving the highest rating on the CAMELS rating system) and an 8% risk-based capital ratio.

The risk-based capital standard for federal sayings banks requires the maintenance of Tier 1 (core) and total capital (which is defined as core capital and supplementary capital) to risk-weighted assets of at least 4% and 8%, respectively. In determining the amount of risk-weighted assets, all assets, including certain off-balance sheet assets, are multiplied by a risk-weight factor of 0% to 100%, assigned by the regulations, based on the risks believed inherent in the type of asset. Core capital is defined as common stockholders' equity (including retained earnings), certain noncumulative perpetual preferred stock and related surplus and minority interests in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, less intangibles other than certain mortgage servicing rights and credit card relationships. The components of supplementary capital currently include cumulative preferred stock, long-term perpetual preferred stock, mandatory convertible securities, subordinated debt and intermediate preferred stock, the general valuation allowance for loan losses limited to a maximum of 1.25% of risk-weighted assets and up to 45% of net unrealized gains on available-for-sale equity securities with readily determinable fair values. Overall, the amount of supplementary capital included as part of total capital cannot exceed 100% of core capital. Additionally, a savings bank that retains credit risk in connection with an asset sale may be required to maintain additional regulatory capital because of the purchaser's recourse to the savings bank. The Bank does not typically engage in asset sales. In assessing an institution's capital adequacy, the OCC takes into consideration not only these numeric factors but also qualitative factors, and has the authority to establish higher capital requirements for individual associations where necessary. At June 30, 2014, the Bank's capital exceeded all applicable requirements.

In July, 2013, the OCC and the other federal bank regulatory agencies issued a final rule to revise their risk-based and leverage capital requirements and their method for calculating risk-weighted assets, to make them consistent with the agreements that were reached by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. The final rule applies to all depository institutions, top-tier bank holding companies with total consolidated assets of \$500 million or more, and top-tier savings and loan holding companies ("banking organizations"). Among other things, the rule establishes a new common equity Tier 1 minimum capital requirement (4.5% of risk-weighted assets), increases the minimum Tier 1 capital to risk-based assets requirement (from 4% to 6% of risk-weighted assets) and assigns a higher risk weight (150%) to exposures that are more than 90 days past due or are on nonaccrual status and to certain commercial real estate facilities that finance the acquisition, development or construction of real property. The final rule also limits a banking organization's capital distributions and certain discretionary bonus payments if the banking organization does not hold a "capital conservation buffer" consisting of 2.5% of common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets in addition to the amount necessary to meet its minimum risk-based capital requirements. The final rule becomes effective for us on January 1, 2015. The capital conservation buffer requirement will be phased in beginning January 1, 2016 and ending January 1, 2019, when the full capital conservation buffer requirement will be effective.

Prompt Corrective Action Regulations. Under the prompt corrective action regulations, the OCC is required and authorized to take supervisory actions against undercapitalized federal savings banks. For this purpose, a savings bank is placed in one of the following five categories based on the savings bank's capital:

- •well-capitalized (at least 5% leverage capital, 6% Tier 1 risk-based capital and 10% total risk-based capital); adequately capitalized (at least 4% leverage capital (3% for federal savings banks with a composite examination rating of 1), 4% Tier 1 risk-based capital and 8% total risk-based capital);
- undercapitalized (less than 4% leverage capital (3% for federal savings banks with a composite examination rating of 1), 4% Tier 1 risk-based capital or 3% leverage capital);
- significantly undercapitalized (less than 6% total risk-based capital, 3% Tier 1 risk-based capital or 3% leverage capital); or
- •critically undercapitalized (less than 2% tangible capital).

Generally, the OCC is required to appoint a receiver or conservator for a savings bank that is "critically undercapitalized" within specific time frames. The regulations also provide that a capital restoration plan must be filed with the OCC within 45 days of the date a savings bank receives notice that it is "undercapitalized," "significantly undercapitalized" or "critically undercapitalized." The criteria for an acceptable capital restoration plan include, among other things, the establishment of the methodology and assumptions for attaining adequately capitalized status on an annual basis, procedures for ensuring compliance with restrictions imposed by applicable federal regulations, the

identification of the types and levels of activities the savings bank will engage in while the capital restoration plan is in effect, and assurances that the capital restoration plan will not appreciably increase the current risk profile of the savings bank. Any holding company for the savings bank required to submit a capital restoration plan must guarantee the lesser of: an amount equal to 5% of a savings bank's assets at the time it was notified or deemed to be undercapitalized by the OCC, or the amount necessary to restore the savings bank to adequately capitalized status. This

guarantee remainsin place until the OCC notifies the savings bank that it has maintained adequately capitalized status for each of four consecutive calendar quarters. Failure by a holding company to provide the required guarantee will result in certain operating restrictions on the savings bank, such as restrictions on the ability to declare and pay dividends, pay executive compensation and management fees, and increase assets or expand operations. The OCC may also take any one of a number of discretionary supervisory actions against undercapitalized federal savings banks, including the issuance of a capital directive and the replacement of senior executive officers and directors. At June 30, 2014, the Bank met the criteria for being considered "well-capitalized."

The final rule that will increase regulatory capital requirements, discussed under "Capital Requirements," will adjust the prompt corrective action categories accordingly.

Loans-to-One Borrower. Generally, a federal savings bank may not make a loan or extend credit to a single or related group of borrowers in excess of 15% of unimpaired capital and surplus. An additional amount may be loaned, equal to 10% of unimpaired capital and surplus, if the loan is secured by readily marketable collateral, which generally does not include real estate. As of June 30, 2014, the Bank was in compliance with the loans-to-one borrower limitations. Standards for Safety and Soundness. Federal law requires each federal banking agency to prescribe certain standards for all insured depository institutions. These standards relate to, among other things, internal controls, information systems and audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate risk exposure, asset growth, compensation, and other operational and managerial standards as the agency deems appropriate. The federal banking agencies adopted Interagency Guidelines Prescribing Standards for Safety and Soundness to implement the safety and soundness standards required under federal law. The guidelines set forth the safety and soundness standards that the federal banking agencies use to identify and address problems at insured depository institutions before capital becomes impaired. The guidelines address internal controls and information systems, internal audit systems, credit underwriting, loan documentation, interest rate risk exposure, asset growth, compensation, fees and benefits. If the appropriate federal banking agency determines that an institution fails to meet any standard prescribed by the guidelines, the agency may require the institution to submit to the agency an acceptable plan to achieve compliance with the standard. If an institution fails to meet these standards, the appropriate federal banking agency may require the institution to submit a compliance plan.

Qualified Thrift Lender Test. As a federal savings bank, the Bank must satisfy the qualified thrift lender, or "QTL," test. Under the QTL test, the Bank must maintain at least 65% of its "portfolio assets" in "qualified thrift investments" in at least nine months of the most recent 12 months. "Portfolio assets" generally means total assets of a savings institution, less the sum of specified liquid assets up to 20% of total assets, goodwill and other intangible assets, and the value of property used in the conduct of the savings bank's business.

"Qualified thrift investments" include various types of loans made for residential and housing purposes, investments related to such purposes, including certain mortgage-backed and related securities, and loans for personal, family, household and certain other purposes up to a limit of 20% of portfolio assets. "Qualified thrift investments" also include 100% of an institution's credit card loans, education loans and small business loans. The Bank also may satisfy the QTL test by qualifying as a "domestic building and loan association" as defined in the Internal Revenue Code.

A federal savings bank that fails the qualified thrift lender test is subject to certain operating restrictions. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act made noncompliance with the QTL test potentially subject to agency enforcement action for violation of laws. At June 30, 2014, Simplicity Bank held 93.93% of its "portfolio assets" in "qualified thrift investments," and satisfied this test.

Capital Distributions. Federal regulations govern capital distributions by a federal savings bank, which include cash dividends, stock repurchases and other transactions charged to the capital account. A savings bank must file an application with the OCC for approval of a capital distribution if:

the total capital distributions for the applicable calendar year exceed the sum of the savings bank's net income for that vear to date plus the savings bank's retained net income for the preceding two years,

- •the savings bank would not be at least adequately capitalized following the distribution;
- the distribution would violate any applicable statute, regulation, agreement or OCC-imposed condition; or
- •the savings bank is not eligible for expedited treatment of its filings.

The OCC may disapprove an application if:

•the savings bank would be undercapitalized following the distribution;

- •the proposed capital distribution raises safety and soundness concerns; or
- •the capital distribution would violate a prohibition contained in any statute, regulation or agreement.

Even if an application is not otherwise required, every federal savings bank that is a subsidiary of a holding company must still file a notice with the Federal Reserve Board before its board of directors declares a dividend.

In addition, the Federal Deposit Insurance Act provides that an insured depository institution may not make any capital distribution if the institution would be undercapitalized after making such distribution.

Liquidity. A federal savings bank is required to maintain a sufficient amount of liquid assets to ensure its safe and sound operation.

Community Reinvestment Act and Fair Lending Laws. All federal savings banks have a responsibility under the Community Reinvestment Act and related federal regulations to help meet the credit needs of their communities, including low and moderate-income neighborhoods. In connection with its examination of a federal savings bank, the OCC is required to assess the bank's record of compliance with the Community Reinvestment Act. In addition, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Housing Act prohibit lenders from discriminating in their lending practices on the basis of characteristics specified in those statutes. A bank's failure to comply with the provisions of the Community Reinvestment Act could, at a minimum, result in denial of certain corporate applications such as branches or mergers. The failure to comply with the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair

Housing Act could result in enforcement actions by the OCC, as well as other federal regulatory agencies and the Department of Justice. The Bank received a "satisfactory" Community Reinvestment Act rating in its most recent federal examination.

Transactions with Related Parties. A federal savings bank's authority to engage in transactions with its affiliates is limited by OCC regulations and by Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act and it's implementing Regulation W. An affiliate is a company that controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with an insured depository institution, and includes Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. Generally, an institution's loans and guarantees issued on behalf of affiliates, and certain other transactions with affiliates, are subject to quantitative limits. In this regard, covered transactions between an insured depository institution and its affiliate are limited to 10% of the institution's unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus for transactions with any one affiliate and 20% of unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus for transactions in the aggregate with all affiliates. Collateral in specified amounts ranging from 100% to 130% of the amount of the transaction must usually be provided by affiliates in order to receive loans from the institution. In addition, OCC regulations prohibit a savings bank from lending to any of its affiliates that are engaged in activities that are not permissible for bank holding companies and from purchasing the securities of any affiliate, other than a subsidiary. Finally, transactions with affiliates must be consistent with safe and sound banking practices, not involve low-quality assets and be on terms that are as favorable to the institution as comparable transactions with non-affiliates. Federal regulations require federal savings banks to maintain detailed records of all transactions with affiliates.

The Bank's authority to extend credit to its directors, executive officers and 10% stockholders, as well as to entities controlled by such persons, is currently governed by the requirements of Sections 22(g) and 22(h) of the Federal Reserve Act and Regulation O of the Federal Reserve Board. Among other things, these provisions require that extensions of credit to insiders (i) be made on terms that are substantially the same as, and follow credit underwriting procedures that are not less stringent than, those prevailing for comparable transactions with unaffiliated persons and that do not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features, and (ii) not exceed certain limitations on the amount of credit extended to such persons, individually and in the aggregate, which limits are based, in part, on the amount of the Bank's capital. In addition, extensions of credit in excess of certain limits must be approved by the Bank's board of directors. Loans to executive officers are subject to additional restrictions based on the type of loan involved.

Enforcement. The OCC has primary enforcement responsibility over federal savings institutions and has the authority to bring enforcement action against all "institution-affiliated parties," including stockholders, and attorneys, appraisers and accountants who knowingly or recklessly participate in wrongful action likely to have an adverse effect on an insured institution. Formal enforcement action by the OCC may range from the issuance of a capital directive or cease and desist order, to removal of officers and/or directors of the institution and the appointment of a receiver or conservator. Civil penalties cover a wide range of violations and actions, and range up to \$25,000 per day, unless a

finding of reckless disregard is made, in which case penalties may be as high as \$1 million per day. The FDIC also has the authority to terminate deposit insurance or to recommend to the OCC that enforcement action be taken with respect to a particular savings institution. If action is not taken by the OCC, the FDIC has authority to take action under specified circumstances.

Insurance of Deposit Accounts. The Deposit Insurance Fund of the FDIC, insures deposits at FDIC-insured depository institutions such as Simplicity Bank. Deposit accounts in Simplicity Bank are insured by the FDIC generally up to a maximum of \$250,000 per separately insured depositor and up to a maximum of \$250,000 for self-directed retirement accounts.

The FDIC charges insured depository institutions premiums to maintain the Deposit Insurance Fund. Under the FDIC's risk-based assessment system, insured institutions are assigned to one of four risk categories based on supervisory evaluations, regulatory capital levels and certain other risk factors. Rates are based on each institution's risk category and certain specified risk adjustments. Stronger institutions pay lower rates while riskier institutions pay higher rates. As required by the Dodd-Frank Act, the FDIC issued a rule revising the risk-based deposit insurance assessment system. The rule redefined the assessment base used for calculating deposit insurance assessments. Under the rule, assessments are based on an institution's average consolidated total assets minus average tangible equity, instead of total deposits. The rule also revised the assessment rate schedule to provide for assessments ranging from 2.5 to 45 basis points.

Insurance of deposits may be terminated by the FDIC upon a finding that an institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations or has violated any applicable law, regulation, rule, order or condition imposed by the FDIC. Simplicity Bank does not believe it is taking or is subject to any action, condition or violation that could lead to termination of its deposit insurance.

In addition to the FDIC assessments, the Financing Corporation ("FICO") is authorized to impose and collect, with the approval of the FDIC, assessments for anticipated payments, issuance costs and custodial fees on bonds issued by the FICO in the 1980s to recapitalize the former Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation. The bonds issued by the FICO are due to mature in 2017 through 2019. For the quarter ended June 30, 2014, the annualized FICO assessment was equal to 0.62 basis points of total assets less tier 1 capital.

Prohibitions Against Tying Arrangements. Federal savings banks are prohibited, subject to some exceptions, from extending credit to or offering any other service, or fixing or varying the consideration for such extension of credit or service, on the condition that the customer obtain some additional service from the institution or its affiliates or not obtain services of a competitor of the institution.

Federal Home Loan Bank System. Simplicity Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank System, which consists of 12 regional Federal Home Loan Banks. The Federal Home Loan Bank System provides a central credit facility primarily for member institutions as well as other entities involved in home mortgage lending. As a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco, Simplicity Bank is required to acquire and hold shares of capital stock in the Federal Home Loan Bank. As of June 30, 2014, Simplicity Bank was in compliance with this requirement. Federal Reserve System

Federal Reserve Board regulations require federal savings banks to maintain reserves against their transaction accounts, such as negotiable order of withdrawal and regular checking accounts. At June 30, 2014, Simplicity Bank was in compliance with these reserve requirements.

Other Regulations

Interest and other charges collected or contracted for by Simplicity Bank are subject to state usury laws and federal laws concerning interest rates. Simplicity Bank's operations are also subject to federal laws applicable to credit transactions, such as the:

•Truth-In-Lending Act, governing disclosures of credit terms to consumer borrowers;

Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, requiring financial institutions to provide information to enable the public and public officials to determine whether a financial institution is fulfilling its obligation to help meet the housing needs of the community it serves;

Equal Credit Opportunity Act, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, creed or other prohibited factors in extending credit;

- Fair Credit Reporting Act, governing the use and provision of information to credit reporting agencies;
- •Fair Debt Collection Act, governing the manner in which consumer debts may be collected by collection agencies;
- •Truth in Savings Act; and

Rules and regulations of the various federal agencies charged with the responsibility of implementing such federal laws.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 addresses, among other issues, corporate governance, auditing and accounting, executive compensation, and enhanced and timely disclosure of corporate information. As directed by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer are required to certify that our quarterly and annual reports do not contain any untrue statement of a material fact. The rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act have several requirements, including having these officers certify that: they are responsible for establishing, maintaining and regularly evaluating the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting; they have made certain disclosures to our auditors and the audit committee of the board of directors about our internal control over financial reporting; and they have included information in our quarterly and annual reports about their evaluation and whether there have been changes in our internal control over financial reporting or in other factors that could materially affect internal control over financial reporting. We have existing policies, procedures and systems designed to comply with these regulations, and we are further enhancing and documenting such policies, procedures and systems to ensure continued compliance with these regulations. Federal Securities Laws

The stock of Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. is registered with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. is subject to the information, proxy solicitation, insider trading restrictions and other requirements of the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. stock held by persons who are affiliates of Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. may not be resold without registration unless sold in accordance with certain resale restrictions. Affiliates are generally considered to be officers, directors and principal stockholders. If Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. meets specified current public information requirements, each affiliate of Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. will be able to sell in the public market, without registration, a limited number of shares in any three-month period.

Federal Taxation

General. Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. and Simplicity Bank are subject to federal income taxation in the same general manner as other corporations, with some exceptions discussed below. The following discussion of federal taxation is intended only to summarize certain pertinent federal income tax matters and is not a comprehensive description of the tax rules applicable to Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. or Simplicity Bank.

Method of Accounting. For federal income tax purposes, Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. currently reports its income and expenses on the accrual method of accounting and uses a tax year ended June 30 for filing its federal and state income tax returns.

Alternative Minimum Tax. The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, imposes an alternative minimum tax ("AMT") at a rate of 20% on a base of regular taxable income plus certain tax preferences ("alternative minimum taxable income" or "AMTI"). The AMT is payable to the extent such AMTI is in excess of an exemption amount and the AMT exceeds the regular income tax. Net operating losses can offset no more than 90% of AMTI. Certain payments of AMT may be used as credits against regular tax liabilities in future years. Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. and Simplicity Bank have been subject to the AMT but currently have no such amounts available as credits for carryover. Net Operating Loss Carryovers. Generally, a financial institution may carry back net operating losses to the preceding

Net Operating Loss Carryovers. Generally, a financial institution may carry back net operating losses to the preceding two taxable years and forward to the succeeding 20 taxable years. At June 30, 2014, Simplicity Bank had no net operating loss carryovers for federal income tax purposes.

Corporate Dividends-Received Deduction. Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. may exclude from its federal taxable income 100% of dividends received from Simplicity Bank as a wholly owned subsidiary.

Capital Loss Carryovers. Generally, a financial institution may carry back capital losses to the preceding three taxable years and forward to the succeeding five taxable years. At June 30, 2014, Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. and its subsidiary have no capital loss carryovers.

State Taxation

Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. and Simplicity Bank are subject to the California Corporate (Franchise) tax which is assessed at the rate of 10.84%. For this purpose, taxable income generally means federal taxable income subject to certain

modifications

provided for in California law. Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. and Simplicity Bank are currently under examination by the State of California Franchise Tax Board for tax years ended June 30, 2007 through 2011.

We do not believe that there are other tax jurisdictions in which the outcome of unresolved issues or claims is likely to be material to our financial position, cash flows or results of operations. We further believe that adequate provisions have been made for all income tax uncertainties.

As a Maryland business corporation, Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. is required to file annual returns and pay annual fees to the State of Maryland.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following are the most significant risk factors that could impact our business, financial results and results of operations. Investing in our common stock involves risks, including those described below. In addition to the risks and uncertainties described below, other risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. The value or market price of our common stock could decline due to any of these identified or other risks, and you could lose all or part of your investment.

A return of recessionary economic conditions in our primary market of California, could seriously impair the value of our loan portfolio and adversely affect our results of operations.

All our real estate loans are secured by properties located in California. Decreases in California real estate values can adversely affect the value of properties collateralizing our loans and increase the risk that we would incur losses if borrowers default on their loans.

As of June 30, 2014, 92.1% or \$662.7 million of our loan portfolio consisted of loans secured by real estate properties located in California. Although the impact on the Bank of the negative credit cycle has stabilized and credit quality indicators have improved, weak economic conditions and ongoing strains in the financial and housing markets in California have and may continue to reduce our rate of growth, affect our customers' ability to repay loans and adversely impact our financial condition and earnings. In the event that we are required to foreclose on a property securing a mortgage loan or pursue other remedies in order to protect our investment, there can be no assurance that we will recover funds in an amount equal to any remaining loan balance as a result of prevailing general economic or local conditions, real estate values and other factors associated with the ownership of real property. As a result, the market value of the real estate or other collateral underlying the loans may not, at any given time, be sufficient to satisfy the outstanding principal amount of the loans. Consequently, we would sustain significant loan losses and potentially incur a higher provision for loan loss expense. Adverse changes in the economy may also have a negative effect on the ability of borrowers to make timely repayments of their loans, which could have an adverse impact on earnings. See "Item 1-Business- Market Area- Asset Quality."

Our loan portfolio possesses increased risk due to our level of multi-family residential real estate and commercial real estate loans which could increase our level of provision for loan losses.

Our outstanding multi-family residential real estate and commercial real estate loans accounted for 51.8%, or \$373.1 million, of our total loan portfolio as of June 30, 2014. Generally, management considers these types of loans to involve a higher degree of risk compared to permanent first mortgage loans on one-to-four family, owner occupied residential properties. Multi-family residential real estate and commercial real estate loans are underwritten on the income producing potential of the property or the successful operation of the borrowers' or tenants' businesses, financial strength of the borrower and any guarantors. Repayment is dependent on income being generated in amounts sufficient to cover operating expenses and debt service.

Management plans to continue focusing its efforts to diversify the loan portfolio to ensure profitable growth opportunities in all major loan categories including higher yielding products such as multi-family residential loans and commercial real estate loans. Many of our commercial and multi-family residential real estate loans are not fully amortizing and contain large balloon payments upon maturity. These balloon payments may require the borrower to either sell or refinance the underlying property in order to make the balloon payment. Further, commercial and multi-family residential real estate loans generally have relatively large balances to single borrowers or related groups of borrowers. Accordingly, if we make any errors in judgment in the collectability of our commercial and multi-family residential real estate loans or the valuation of underlying collateral, any resulting charge-offs may be larger on a per

loan basis than those incurred with our residential or consumer loan portfolios. As a result of the above factors, management may determine it necessary to increase the level of provision for loan losses. Increased provisions for loan losses could negatively affect our results of operations.

Our loan portfolio possesses increased risk due to its amount of nonconforming loans.

A significant portion of our one-to-four family residential loans are nonconforming to secondary market requirements, and are therefore, not saleable to Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae. At June 30, 2014, about 17.0% of our one-to-four family residential loan portfolio consisted of loans that were considered nonconforming due to loan size. Included in non-accrual loans at June 30, 2014 were four loans totaling \$1.7 million that were nonconforming due to each loan's principal amount.

As of June 30, 2014, we held in portfolio one-to-four family interest-only mortgage loans totaling \$11.6 million or 1.6% of gross loans as compared to \$15.4 million or 2.2% of gross loans at June 30, 2013. The interest rates on these loans are generally initially fixed for three, five, seven or ten year terms and then adjust in accordance with the terms of the loan to require payment of both principal and interest in order to amortize the loan for the remainder of the term. At June 30, 2014, all of these loans convert to fully-amortizing status within the next five years. From February 2004 until February 2007, we originated or purchased interest-only loans which were underwritten at the fully indexed and fully amortized rate. During this period, we also purchased loans made to borrowers who provided limited or no documentation of income, known as stated income loans. A stated income loan is a loan where the borrower's income source is not subject to verification through the application process, but the reasonableness of the stated income is verified through review of other sources, such as compensation surveys. At June 30, 2014, we had \$24.9 million in stated income loans, or 3.5% of gross loans, as compared to \$35.8 million, or 5.2% of gross loans at June 30, 2013. Included in our stated income loans at June 30, 2014 were \$3.2 million in interest-only loans. Included in non-accrual loans at June 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$2.8 million and \$5.2 million in one-to-four family residential loans that are interest-only or stated income loans. We have not purchased any interest-only or stated income one- to-four family loans since 2007.

Nonconforming one-to-four family residential loans are generally considered to have an increased risk of delinquency and foreclosure than conforming loans and we cannot sell such loans to the government sponsored enterprises which may result in higher levels of provision for loan losses. The percentage of nonconforming loans that are either performing or less than 60 days delinquent at June 30, 2014 was 99.8% as compared to 99.4% at June 30, 2013. There can be no assurance that our nonconforming loan portfolio would not be adversely affected should regional and national economic conditions deteriorate further. In addition, there can be no assurance that we will recover funds in an amount equal to any remaining loan balance. Consequently, we could sustain loan losses and potentially incur a higher provision for loan losses.

High loan-to-value ratios on a portion of our residential mortgage loan portfolio expose us to greater risk of loss. Many of our residential mortgage loans are secured by liens on mortgage properties in which the borrowers have little or no equity because of the decline in home values in our market areas. Residential loans with high loan-to-value ratios will be more sensitive to declining property values than those with lower combined loan-to-value ratios and, therefore, may experience a higher incidence of default and severity of losses. In addition, if the borrowers sell their homes, such borrowers may be unable to repay their loans in full from the sale. As a result, these loans may experience higher rates of delinquencies, defaults and losses.

If the allowance for loan losses is not sufficient to cover actual losses, our results of operations may be negatively affected.

In the event that loan customers do not repay their loans according to their terms and the collateral security for the payments of these loans is insufficient to pay any remaining loan balance, we may experience significant loan losses. Such credit risk is inherent in the lending business, and failure to adequately assess such credit risk could have a material adverse affect on our financial condition and results of operations. Management makes various assumptions and judgments about the collectability of the loan portfolio, including the creditworthiness of the borrowers and the value of the real estate and other assets serving as collateral for the repayment of many of the loans. In determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses, management reviews the loan portfolio and historical loss and delinquency experience, as well as overall economic conditions and peer data. If management's assumptions are incorrect, the allowance for loan losses may be insufficient to cover probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio, resulting in additions to the allowance. The allowance for loan losses is also periodically reviewed by our regulators, who may disagree with the allowance and require us to increase such amount. Additions to the allowance for loans losses would

be made through increased provisions for loan losses and could negatively affect our results of operations. At June 30, 2014, our allowance for loan losses was \$4.6 million, or 0.6% of total loans and 59.9% of non-performing loans as compared to \$5.6 million, or 0.8% of total loans and 35.5% of non-performing loans at June 30, 2013. If our non-performing assets increase, our earnings may be reduced.

Our non-performing assets adversely affect our net income in various ways. We do not record interest income on non-accrual loans or real estate owned. We must establish an allowance for loan losses that reserves for losses inherent in the loan portfolio that are both probable and reasonably estimable through current period provisions for loan losses. From time to time, we also write down the value of properties in our real estate owned portfolio to reflect changing market values. Additionally, there are legal fees associated with the resolution of problem assets as well as carrying costs such as taxes, insurance and maintenance

related to our real estate owned assets. Further, the resolution of non-performing assets requires the active involvement of management, which can distract them from our overall supervision of operations and other income-producing activities. Finally, if our estimate of the allowance for loan losses is inadequate, we will have to increase the allowance for loan losses accordingly.

If property taken into real estate owned is not properly valued or sufficiently reserved to cover actual losses, or if we are required to increase our valuation reserves, our earnings could be reduced.

We obtain updated valuations in the form of appraisals when a loan has been foreclosed and the property is transferred to real estate owned, and at certain other times during the asset's holding period. Our net book value in the loan at the time of foreclosure and thereafter is compared to the lower of adjusted cost basis or updated market value of the foreclosed property less estimated selling costs (fair value). A charge-off is recorded for any excess in the asset's net book value over its fair value when the loan is transferred to real estate owned. If our valuation determination is inaccurate, the fair value of our investments in real estate may not be sufficient to recover our net book value in such assets, resulting in the need for additional charge-offs. Additional charge-offs to our investments in real estate could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, bank regulators periodically review our real estate owned and may require us to recognize further charge-offs. Any increase in our charge-offs, as required by such regulators, may have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our litigation related costs might increase.

We are subject to a variety of legal proceedings that have arisen in the ordinary course of business. There can be no assurance that our loan workout and other activities will not result in increased litigation expense that may have a material adverse effect on our profitability.

We depend on our management team to implement our business strategy and execute successful operations and we could be harmed by the loss of their services.

We are dependent upon the services of our senior management team. Our strategy and operations are directed by the senior management team. The only senior executive with an employment agreement is our President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"). Any loss of the services of the President and CEO or other members of the management team could impact our ability to implement our business strategy, and have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and our ability to compete in our markets.

Strong competition in our primary market area may reduce our ability to attract and retain deposits and also may increase our cost of funds.

We operate in a very competitive market for the attraction of deposits, the primary source of our funding. Historically, our most direct competition for deposits has come from credit unions, community banks, large commercial banks and thrift institutions within our primary market areas. In recent years competition has also come from institutions that largely deliver their services over the internet. Such competitors have the competitive advantage of lower infrastructure costs. Particularly in times of extremely low or extremely high interest rates, we have faced significant competition for investors' funds from short-term money market securities and other corporate and government securities. During periods of regularly increasing interest rates, competition for interest bearing deposits increases as customers, particularly certificate of deposit customers, tend to move their accounts between competing businesses to obtain the highest rates in the market. As a result, Simplicity Bank may incur a higher cost of funds in an effort to attract and retain customer deposits. We strive to grow our lower cost core deposits, such as non-interest bearing checking accounts, in order to reduce our cost of funds.

Strong competition in our primary market area may reduce our ability to originate loans and also decrease our yield on loans.

We are located in a competitive market that affects our ability to obtain loans through origination as well as originating them at rates that provide an attractive yield. Competition for loans comes principally from mortgage bankers, commercial banks, other thrift institutions and credit unions. Internet based lenders have also become a greater competitive factor in recent years. Such competition for the origination of loans may limit future growth and earnings prospects.

Changes in interest rates could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. Our results of operations and financial condition are significantly affected by changes in interest rates. Our results of operations depend substantially on our net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income we earn on our interest-earning assets, such as loans and securities, and the interest expense we pay on our interest-bearing liabilities, such as

deposits and borrowings. Because our interest-bearing liabilities generally reprice or mature more quickly than our interest-earning assets, an increase in interest rates generally would result in a decrease in net interest income. Changes in interest rates may also affect the average life of loans and mortgage-related securities. Decreases in interest rates can result in increased prepayments of loans and mortgage-related securities, as borrowers refinance to reduce their borrowing costs. Under these circumstances, we are subject to reinvestment risk to the extent that we are unable to reinvest the cash received from such prepayments at rates that are comparable to the rates on existing loans and securities. Also, increases in interest rates may decrease loan demand and make it more difficult for borrowers to repay adjustable rate loans. Additionally, increases in interest rates may extend the life of fixed-rate assets, which would restrict our ability to reinvest in higher yielding alternatives, and may result in customers withdrawing certificates of deposit early so long as the early withdrawal penalty is less than the additional interest they could receive on an alternative investment.

United States financial markets and economic conditions, particularly in our geographic market area, may adversely affect our business and financial results.

Since 2007, negative developments in the housing market, including decreased home prices and increased delinquencies and foreclosures by comparison with pre-recession levels, have negatively impacted the credit performance of mortgage loans and have resulted in significant write-downs of assets by many financial institutions, including the Bank. In addition, the values of real estate collateral supporting many loans declined and may continue to decline. National economic data, particularly from the labor market, has shown signs of improvements. The national unemployment rate declined from 7.5% in June 2013 to 6.1% in June 2014. There was a general downward shift in the Federal Open Market Committee's expectation for the unemployment rate over the next few years as evidenced by their forecasts of 5.6% to 5.9% in 2015 and 5.2% to 5.6% in 2016. Economic activity continued to expand at a moderate pace. Housing prices have also moved higher over the past year and consumer sentiment continues to improve. The recovery for California still lags behind the rest of the nation as evidenced by the higher unemployment rate of 7.4% as of June 2014. While the impact on the negative credit cycle of many financial institutions is beginning to stabilize, however, there are risks associated with the sustainability of the improving market dynamics as a result of lingering effects of tax increases that could restrain consumption and rising interest rates that might slow the economy. Continued declines in both the volume of real estate sales and the related sales price coupled with the weak economic environment and the associated high unemployment may result in higher than expected loan delinquencies or problem assets, a decline in demand for our products and services, or lack of growth or a decrease in deposits. These potential negative events may cause us to incur losses, adversely affect our capital, liquidity, financial condition and business operations. These declines may have a greater affect on our earnings and capital than on the earnings and capital of financial institutions whose loan portfolios are more diversified. Moreover, any declines in the stock market in general, or stock values of financial institutions and their holding companies specifically, could adversely affect our stock performance.

We operate in a highly regulated environment and may be adversely affected by changes in laws and regulations. We are subject to extensive regulation, supervision and examination by the OCC, the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC. Such regulators govern the activities in which we may engage, primarily for the protection of depositors and the Deposit Insurance Fund. These regulatory authorities have extensive discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities, including the ability to impose restrictions on a bank's operations, reclassify assets, determine the adequacy of a bank's allowance for loan losses and determine the level of deposit insurance premiums assessed. Financial reform legislation known as the Dodd-Frank Act changed the bank regulatory framework, created an independent consumer protection bureau that assumed the consumer protection responsibilities of the various federal banking agencies and established more stringent capital standards for banks and bank holding companies. The legislation has also resulted in new regulations affecting the lending, funding, trading and investment activities of banks and bank holding companies. Any further changes in such regulation and oversight, whether in the form of regulatory policy, new regulations or legislation or additional deposit insurance premiums could have a material impact on our operations. Because our business is highly regulated, the laws and applicable regulations are subject to frequent change. Any new laws, rules and regulations could make compliance more difficult or expensive or otherwise adversely affect our business, financial condition or prospects.

Financial reform legislation has, among other things, eliminated the Office of Thrift Supervision, tightened capital standards and created a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, and will result in new laws and regulations that are expected to increase our costs of operations.

The Dodd-Frank Act requires various federal agencies to adopt a broad range of new implementing rules and regulations, and to prepare numerous studies and reports for Congress. The federal agencies are given significant discretion in drafting the implementing rules and regulations, and consequently many of the details and much of the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act may not be known for many months or years.

Among other things, as a result of the Dodd-Frank Act:

the OCC became the primary federal regulator for federal savings banks such as Simplicity Bank (replacing the Office of Thrift Supervision), and the Federal Reserve Board now supervises and regulates all savings and loan holding companies that were formerly regulated by the Office of Thrift Supervision, including Simplicity Bancorp, Inc.;

the federal prohibition on paying interest on demand deposits has been eliminated, thus allowing businesses to have interest-bearing checking accounts. This change has increased our interest expense;

the Federal Reserve Board has set minimum capital levels for depository institution holding companies that are as stringent as those required for their insured depository subsidiaries, and the components of Tier 1 capital are required to be restricted to capital instruments that are currently considered to be Tier 1 capital for insured depository institutions. The new rule for savings and loan holding companies has set January 1, 2015 as the date the new capital requirements will begin to apply;

the federal banking regulators are required to implement new leverage and capital requirements that take into account off-balance sheet activities and other risks, including risks relating to securitized products and derivatives;

a new Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has been established, which has broad powers to supervise and enforce consumer protection laws. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all banks and savings institutions, including the authority to prohibit 'unfair, deceptive or abusive" acts and practices. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has examination and enforcement authority over all banks and savings institutions with more than \$10 billion in assets. Banks and savings institutions with \$10 billion or less in assets, like Simplicity Bank, will be examined by their applicable bank regulators; and

federal preemption rules that have been applicable for national banks and federal savings banks have been weakened, and state attorneys general have the ability to enforce federal consumer protection laws.

In addition to the risks noted above, our operating and compliance costs, and possibly our interest expense, may increase as a result of the Dodd-Frank Act and the implementing rules and regulations. The need to comply with additional rules and regulations, as well as state laws and regulations to which we were not previously subject, will also divert management's time from managing our operations. Higher capital levels would reduce our ability to grow and increase our interest-earning assets which would adversely affect our return on stockholders' equity. We will become subject to more stringent capital requirements, which may adversely impact our return on equity, require us to raise additional capital, or constrain us from paying dividends or repurchasing shares. In July 2013, the OCC and the Federal Reserve Board approved a new rule that will substantially amend the regulatory risk-based capital rules applicable to Simplicity Bank and Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. The final rule implements the "Basel III" regulatory capital reforms and changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act.

The final rule includes new minimum risk-based capital and leverage ratios, which will be effective for Simplicity Bank and Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. on January 1, 2015, and revised the definition of what constitutes "capital" for purposes of calculating these ratios. The new minimum capital requirements will be: (i) a new common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 4.5%; (ii) a Tier 1 to risk-based assets capital ratio of 6% (increased from 4%); (iii) a total capital ratio

of 8% (unchanged from current rules); and (iv) a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4%. The final rule also establishes a "capital conservation buffer" of 2.5% above the new regulatory minimum capital ratios, and will result in the following minimum ratios: (i) a common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 7.0%, (ii) a Tier 1 to risk-based assets capital ratio of 8.5%, and (iii) a total capital ratio of 10.5%. The new capital conservation buffer

requirement would be phased in beginning in January 2016 at 0.625% of risk-weighted assets and would increase each year until fully implemented in January 2019. An institution will be subject to limitations on paying dividends, engaging in share repurchases, and paying discretionary bonuses if its capital level falls below the buffer amount. These limitations will establish a maximum percentage of eligible retained income that can be utilized for such actions.

The application of more stringent capital requirements for Simplicity Bank and Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. could, among other things, result in lower returns on equity, require the raising of additional capital, and result in regulatory actions such as the inability to pay dividends or repurchase shares if we were to be unable to comply with such requirements. Changes in laws and regulations and the cost of regulatory compliance with new laws and regulations may adversely affect our operations and our income.

The potential exists for additional federal or state laws and regulations, or changes in policy, affecting lending and funding practices and liquidity standards. Moreover, bank regulatory agencies have been active in responding to concerns and trends identified in examinations, and have issued many formal enforcement orders requiring capital ratios in excess of regulatory requirements. Bank regulatory agencies, such as the OCC, the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC, govern the activities in which we may engage, primarily for the protection of depositors, and not for the protection or benefit of potential investors. In addition, new laws and regulations may increase our costs of regulatory compliance and of doing business, and otherwise affect our operations. New laws and regulations may significantly affect the markets in which we do business, the markets for and value of our loans and investments, the fees we can charge, and our ongoing operations, costs and profitability. Legislative proposals limiting our rights as a creditor could result in credit losses or increased expense in pursuing our remedies as a creditor.

System failure or breaches of our network security could subject us to increased operating costs as well as litigation and other liabilities.

The computer systems and network infrastructure we use could be vulnerable to unforeseen problems. Our operations are dependent upon our ability to protect our computer equipment against damage from physical theft, fire, power loss, telecommunications failure or a similar catastrophic event, as well as from security breaches, denial of service attacks, viruses, worms and other disruptive problems caused by hackers. Any damage or failure that causes an interruption in our operations could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Computer break-ins, phishing and other disruptions could also jeopardize the security of information stored in and transmitted through our computer systems and network infrastructure, which may result in significant liability to us and may cause existing and potential customers to refrain from doing business with us.

Although we, with the help of third-party service providers, intend to continue to implement security technology and establish operational procedures to prevent such damage, there can be no assurance that these security measures will be successful. In addition, advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries in the field of cryptography or other developments could result in a compromise or breach of the algorithms we and our third-party service providers use to encrypt and protect customer transaction data. A failure of such security measures could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Changes in our accounting policies or in accounting standards could materially affect how we report our financial condition and operating results.

Our accounting policies are essential to understanding our financial results and condition. Some of these policies require the use of estimates and assumptions that may affect the value of our assets or liabilities and financial results. Some of our accounting policies are critical because they require management to make difficult, subjective, and complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain and because it is likely that materially different amounts would be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions. If such estimates or assumptions underlying our financial statements are incorrect, we may experience material losses.

From time to time, the Financial Accounting Standards Board and the Securities Exchange Commission change the financial accounting and reporting standards or the interpretation of those standards that govern the preparation of our external financial statements. These changes are beyond our control, can be hard to predict and could materially impact how we report our results of operations and financial condition. We could be required to apply a new or revised standard retroactively, resulting in our restating prior period financial statements in material amounts.

The need to account for certain assets and liabilities at estimated fair value may adversely affect our results of operations.

We report certain assets, including securities, at fair value. Generally, for assets that are reported at fair value, we use quoted market prices or valuation models that utilize observable market inputs to estimate fair value. Because we carry these assets on our books at their estimated fair value, we may incur losses even if the asset in question presents minimal credit risk.

Our stock price may be volatile due to limited trading volume.

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market. However, the average daily trading volume in Simplicity Bancorp, Inc.'s common stock has been relatively small, averaging less than 25,000 shares per day during 2014. As a result, trades involving a relatively small number of shares may have a significant effect on the market price of the common stock, and it may be difficult for investors to acquire or dispose of large blocks of stock without significantly affecting the market price.

Income from secondary mortgage market operations is volatile, and we may incur losses with respect to our secondary mortgage market operations that could negatively affect our earnings.

A key component of our strategy is to increase the extent to which we sell in the secondary market the longer term, conforming fixed-rate residential mortgage loans that we originate, earning non-interest income in the form of gains on sale. When interest rates rise, the demand for mortgage loans tend to fall and may reduce the number of loans we can originate for sale. Weak or deteriorating economic conditions also tend to reduce loan demand. Although we sell, and intend to continue selling, most loans in the secondary market with limited or no recourse, we are required, and will continue to be required, to give customary representations and warranties to the buyers relating to compliance with applicable law. If we breach those representations and warranties, the buyers will be able to require us to repurchase the loans and we may incur a loss on the repurchase.

New regulations could restrict our ability to originate loans.

The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has issued a rule that became effective in January 2014, requiring creditors to assess a borrower's ability to repay a mortgage loan. Loans that meet this "qualified mortgage" definition will be presumed to have complied with the new ability-to-repay standard and enjoy special protection. Under the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau's rule, a "qualified mortgage" loan must not contain certain specified features, including:

- excessive upfront points and fees (those exceeding 3% of the total loan amount, less 'bona fide discount points" for prime loan);
- •interest-only payments;
- •negative-amortization; and
- •terms longer than 30 years.

Also, to qualify as a "qualified mortgage," a borrower's total monthly debt-to-income ratio may not exceed 43%. Lenders must also verify and document the income and financial resources relied upon to qualify the borrower for the loan and underwrite the loan based on a fully amortizing payment schedule and maximum interest rate during the first five years, taking into account all applicable taxes, insurance and assessments. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau's rule on qualified mortgages could limit our ability or desire to make certain types of loans or loans to certain borrowers, or could make it more expensive/and or time consuming to make these loans, which could limit our growth or profitability.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments. None.

Item 2. Properties.

At June 30, 2014, we had seven full service retail branches. The net book value of our investment in premises, equipment and fixtures, excluding computer equipment, was \$3.2 million at June 30, 2014. The following table provides a list of our offices.

Location	Owned or Leased	Lease Expiration Date	Deposits at June 30, 2014 (In thousands)
EXECUTIVE OFFICE 1359 North Grand Avenue Covina, CA 91724	Leased	April 2020	n/a
LOCATIONS: 650 South Grand Avenue, Suite 105 Glendora, CA 91740	Leased	February 2023	\$148,638
252 South Lake Avenue Pasadena, CA 91101	Leased	May 2015	70,854
9714 Sierra Avenue, Suite 101 Fontana, CA 92335	Leased	January 2017	98,123
8501 Van Nuys Boulevard Panorama City, CA 91402	Leased	February 2016	126,929
114 Stonewood Street Downey, CA 90241	Leased	March 2016	73,716
26640 Western Avenue, Suite N Harbor City, CA 90710	Leased	March 2016	49,736
1118 N. Vermont Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90029	Leased	November 2015	91,047
11810 Pierce Street, Suite 150 ⁽¹⁾ Riverside, CA 92505 (1) Business continuity (IT center)	Owned	n/a	n/a

We believe that our current facilities are adequate to meet the present needs of Simplicity Bank and Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. We continue to evaluate our owned and leased facilities and may determine from time to time that certain of our premises and facilities are no longer in alignment with our business objectives. The properties leased are under various agreements with expiration dates through fiscal year 2023, some with lease renewal options that could extend certain leases through fiscal year 2038.

We use an in-house system with support provided by a third-party vendor to maintain our data base of depositor and borrower customer information. The net book value of our data processing and computer equipment at June 30, 2014 was \$533,000.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

From time to time, we are involved as plaintiff or defendant in various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. At June 30, 2014, we do not anticipate incurring any material liability as a result of this litigation or any material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable

Part II.

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Our common stock is currently traded on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol "SMPL." We own 100% of Simplicity Bank. The approximate number of holders of record of our common stock as of August 29, 2014 is 2,655. Certain shares of the Company are held in "nominee" or "street" name and accordingly, the number of beneficial owners of such shares is not known or included in the foregoing number.

The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices by quarter for our shares of common stock and cash dividends paid per share for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

The high and low sales prices for the quarterly periods noted below were obtained from the Nasdaq Stock Market.

Market Price Range					
High	Low	Dividends			
\$15.79	\$14.45	\$0.08			
\$16.49	\$15.11	\$0.08			
\$18.43	\$16.07	\$0.08			
\$17.90	\$16.02	\$0.09			
\$15.74	\$13.87	\$0.08			
\$15.49	\$13.50	\$0.08			
\$15.69	\$14.02	\$0.08			
\$15.45	\$14.02	\$0.08			
	High \$15.79 \$16.49 \$18.43 \$17.90 \$15.74 \$15.49 \$15.69	\$15.79 \$14.45 \$16.49 \$15.11 \$18.43 \$16.07 \$17.90 \$16.02 \$15.74 \$13.87 \$15.49 \$13.50 \$15.69 \$14.02			

Stock Performance Graph

The following Performance Graph and related information shall not be deemed "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the Securities and Exchange Commission, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or Securities Exchange Act of 1934, each as amended, except to the extent that the Company specifically incorporates it by reference into such filing.

The following graph illustrates the comparison of the cumulative total returns for the common stock of the Company, the Russell 2000 Index and the SNL Thrift NASDAQ Index for the periods indicated. Cumulative returns assume the reinvestment of dividends.

There can be no assurance that our stock performance will continue in the future with the same or similar trend depicted in the graph below. We will not make or endorse any predictions as to future stock performance.

*Assumes that the value of the investment in the Company's common stock and each index was \$100 on June 30, 2009 and that all dividends were reinvested.

	6/30/2009	6/30/2010	6/30/2011	6/30/2012	6/30/2013	6/30/2014
Simplicity Bancorp, Inc.**	100	104.07	104.39	127.79	128.08	157.35
Russell 2000	100	121.48	166.93	163.46	203.03	251.02
SNL Thrift NASDAQ	100	103.68	101.14	101.64	128.45	151.88

^{**}Prior to November 19, 2010, the Company refers to K-Fed Bancorp and the stock price has been adjusted to reflect the 0.7194 exchange ratio.

Dividend Policy

Dividend payments by Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. are dependent primarily on dividends it receives from Simplicity Bank. A regulation of the OCC imposes limitations on "capital distributions" by savings institutions. See "Federal Banking Regulations-Capital Distributions." In fiscal 2014, Simplicity Bank declared and paid \$15.0 million in cash dividends to its parent, Simplicity Bancorp. Inc. In addition, Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. is subject to state law limitations on the payment of dividends. Maryland law generally limits dividends to an amount equal to the excess of our capital surplus over payments that would be owed upon dissolution to stockholders whose preferential rights upon dissolution are superior to those receiving the dividend and to an amount that would not make us insolvent. Equity Compensation Plans

Equity Compensation Plans

Set forth below is information, as of June 30, 2014, regarding equity compensation plans categorized by those plans that have been approved by stockholders and those plans that have not been approved by stockholders.

Plan	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options and Rights ⁽¹⁾	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Securities Remaining Available For Issuance Under Plan
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders	161,839	\$16.26	849,527
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders	_	_	_
Total	161,839	\$16.26	849,527

⁽¹⁾ Consists of options granted to directors and employees to purchase stock under the 2004 Stock Option Plan. There were no stock options granted under the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer

	Total Number of	Waightad	Total Number of Shares Maximum Number of						
Period	Shares	Weighted	Purchased as Part of	Shares That May Yet					
renou	Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Publicly Announced	be Purchased Under					
	Purchased	Paid Per Share	Plans	the Plan*					
4/1/14 - 4/30/14	62,165	\$17.28	62,165	279,998					
5/1/14 - 5/31/14	39,919	16.98	39,919	240,079					
6/1/14 - 6/30/14	_	_	_	_					
Total	102,084	\$17.16	102,084	240,079					

^{*} On February 27, 2014, the Company announced that its Board of Directors authorized the sixth stock repurchase program pursuant to which the Company intends to repurchase up to 5% of its issued and outstanding shares upon completion of the fifth stock repurchase program, or up to approximately 374,393 shares. Since November 2011, the Company has repurchased 2,312,765 shares under six previously announced stock repurchase programs.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The following tables set forth selected consolidated historical financial and other data of Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. and its subsidiary for the years and at the dates indicated. The following is only a summary and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements of Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. and related notes to the consolidated financial statements. The information at June 30, 2014 and 2013 and for the years ended June 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012 is derived in part from the audited consolidated financial statements that appear in this Form 10-K. The information at June 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010 and for the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 is derived in part from audited consolidated financial statements that do not appear in this Form 10-K. The information presented prior to November 19, 2010 is of K-Fed Bancorp, Simplicity Bancorp, Inc.'s predecessor company.

	At June 30,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
	(Dollars in th	ousands)			
Selected Financial Condition Data:					
Total assets	\$879,188	\$867,377	\$923,330	\$856,439	\$866,802
Cash and cash equivalents	69,253	85,674	66,018	89,654	39,560
Interest earning time deposits in other financial institutions	_	_	_	11,669	19,267
Securities available-for-sale	56,883	52,180	53,397	16,038	2,290
Securities held-to-maturity	395	525	1,197	2,202	3,751
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	5,519	5,902	8,525	10,334	12,179
Loans receivable, net	715,750	689,708	764,717	696,646	757,985
Deposits	652,823	654,646	682,889	634,709	630,694
Borrowings	85,000	60,000	80,000	60,000	137,000
Total stockholders' equity	136,886	145,438	154,148	157,399	94,705
	2014	ended June 30, 2013 ousands, exce	2012	2011	2010
Selected Operating Data:	(Donars in th	ousands, exce	pt per share da)	
Total interest income	\$33,430	\$36,329	\$40,629	\$43,586	\$45,014
Total interest expense	6,404	7,870	10,616	13,940	18,088
Net interest income	27,026	28,459	30,013	29,646	26,926
(Credit) provision for loan losses	(700)	250	250	950	9,867
Net interest income after (credit) provision for loan losses	27,726	28,209	29,763	28,696	17,059
Total noninterest income	5,639	6,680	4,677	4,101	4,689
Total noninterest expense	24,890	25,139	22,922	19,164	17,022
Income before income tax expense	8,475	9,750	11,518	13,633	4,726
Income tax expense	3,162	3,529	4,298	4,880	1,386
Net income	\$5,313	\$6,221	\$7,220	\$8,753	\$3,340
Basic earnings per share	\$0.72	\$0.76	\$0.81	\$0.95	\$0.35
Diluted earnings per share	\$0.72	\$0.76	\$0.81	\$0.95	\$0.35
Dividends per share	\$0.33	\$0.32	\$0.26	\$0.31	\$0.61

Edgar Filing: Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-K

	At or for the 2014	he `	Year Ended 2013	Jun	ne 30, 2012		2011		2010	
Selected Operating Ratios:										
Return on assets (ratio of net income to average total assets)	0.62	%	0.69	%	0.79	%	1.00	%	0.38	%
Return on equity (ratio of net income to average total equity)	3.78	%	4.16	%	4.57	%	6.62	%	3.58	%
Dividend payout ratio ⁽¹⁾	45.69	%	42.07	%	32.24	%	33.04	%	172.31	%
Ratio of noninterest expense to average total assets	2.87	%	2.78	%	2.48	%	2.23	%	1.92	%
Efficiency ratio ⁽²⁾	75.94	%	71.33	%	65.89	%	57.26	%	53.84	%
Ratio of average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities	126.18	%	126.98	%	127.58	%	123.49	%	115.90	%
Average interest rate spread	3.10	%	3.07	%	3.09	%	3.15	%	2.84	%
Interest rate spread at end of year ⁽³⁾	2.95	%	3.01	%	3.16	%	3.22	%	3.17	%
Net interest margin ⁽⁴⁾	3.30	%	3.32	%	3.42	%	3.54	%	3.18	%
Asset Quality Ratios:										
Non-performing assets to total assets	0.90	%	1.84	%	2.89	%	3.18	%	3.79	%
Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans ⁽⁵⁾	59.88	%	35.45	%	29.54	%	43.06	%	42.32	%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	0.64	%	0.81	%	0.97	%	1.61	%	1.73	%
Net charge-offs to average outstanding loans	0.05	%	0.29	%	0.55	%	0.39	%	0.15	%
Non-performing loans to total loans	1.06	%	2.29	%	3.29	%	3.73	%	4.08	%
Capital Ratios:										
Equity to total assets at end of year	15.57		16.77		16.69		18.38		10.93	%
Average equity to average assets	16.45		16.70		17.23		15.06		10.51	%
Tier 1 leverage (Bank only)	14.13		15.28		13.52		13.67		9.42	%
Tier 1 risk-based (Bank only)	20.89		22.87		19.90		20.79		13.48	%
Total risk-based (Bank only)	21.66	%	23.85	%	21.10	%	21.87	%	14.73	%
Other Data:										
Number of branches	7		7		9		9		9	
Number of ATMs	45		58		58		58		57	
Number of loans	8,071		7,089		6,623		5,838		7,219	
Number of deposit accounts	59,555		65,085		68,726		67,317		67,439	
Assets in millions per total number of full-time equivalent employees	\$7.36		\$6.75		\$7.16		\$7.55		\$8.54	

The dividend payout ratio is calculated using dividends declared (including those waived by K-Fed Bancorp's (1)mutual holding company parent, K-Fed Mutual Holding Company for periods prior to November 19, 2010) divided by net income.

At or for the Year Ended June 30, 2011 2010
(Dollars in thousands)

Total dividends paid \$1,917 \$1,856

Total dividends waived by K-Fed Mutual Holding Company \$975 \$3,899

Total dividends paid and total dividends waived by K-Fed Mutual Holding \$2,892 \$5,755 Company

- Efficiency ratio represents noninterest expense as a percentage of net interest income plus noninterest (2)
- (3) The spread between average yield on total interest-earning assets and average cost on total interest-bearing liabilities.
- (4) Net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.
- (5) The allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011, and 2010 was \$4.6 million, \$5.6 million, \$7.5 million, \$11.4 million, and \$13.3 million, respectively.
- (6) Total loans are reported at their outstanding principal balances, net of charge-offs and interest payments received on impaired loans on non-accrual status.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Forward Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements and information relating to the Company and the Bank that are based on the beliefs of management as well as assumptions made by and information currently available to management. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. They often include words like "believe," "expect," "intend," "anticipate," "estimate," "project," "stra "plan," or future conditional verbs such as "will," "should," "could," or "may" and similar expressions or the negative thereof. Certain factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from expected results include, changes in the interest rate environment, changes in general economic conditions, legislative and regulatory changes that adversely affect the business of Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. and Simplicity Bank, and changes in the securities markets. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those described herein. We caution readers not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. The Company disclaims any obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-K to reflect future events or developments.

Overview and Business Strategy

Our results of operations depend primarily on our net interest income, which is the difference between interest income earned on interest-earning assets, consisting of primarily loans and investment securities, and interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities, consisting of deposits and borrowings. Our results of operations also are affected by the level of our provisions for loan losses, noninterest income and noninterest expenses. Noninterest income consists primarily of service charges and fees on deposit accounts, ATM fees and other charges, and gain on sale of loans. Noninterest expense consists primarily of salaries and employee benefits, occupancy and equipment, ATM expense, advertising and promotional, professional services and other expenses. Our results of operations may also be affected significantly by general and local economic and competitive conditions, changes in market interest rates, governmental policies and actions of regulatory authorities.

Effective November 13, 2012, the Bank was renamed Simplicity Bank. In addition, the Company changed its name to Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. and its trading symbol to SMPL. As Simplicity Bank, the Company continued to provide value, personal service, and financial well-being for its customers and communities through simplifying banking processes for our customers with more options, better technology, and enhanced products and service capacity. During fiscal 2014, the Company continued focusing on building brand awareness, promoting our competitive loan and deposit products, and deepening customer relationships within our communities by launching extensive marketing campaigns and supporting various community events. With our seven branch offices and ATM networks strategically located at or near Kaiser Permanente facilities, we will continue to be an attractive choice for our customer base. Our mission is to create lasting value for our customers, employees, communities and investors by simplifying the banking experience. Consistent with that mission, our goal is to promote the financial well-being of the customers and communities we serve, through the delivery of high quality financial services and prudent management. We seek to accomplish this goal by:

continuing our emphasis on building and expanding customer relationships by actively involving the Company in community initiatives, improving customer experience by offering online banking and bill payment services, mobile banking services and maintaining easily accessible offices and ATMs;

continuing our efforts to reduce non-performing assets;

continuing our efforts to diversify the loan portfolio to ensure growth opportunities in all major loan categories, including one-to-four family residential real estate loans, multi-family residential loans, commercial real estate loans, and consumer loans;

continuing strategic focus of expanding loan product offerings, enhancing application and loan delivery channels, simplifying processes and leveraging technology to align with the Company's goal to provide customers with innovative products and superior service; and

continuing to focus on mortgage banking activities which primarily consists of the origination and sale of fixed rate conforming one-to-four family residential real estate loans in the secondary market with servicing generally retained. Remote access methods, such as our 45 ATMs, voice response, call center, bill payment, online and text banking services continue to process the majority of our customer transactions. Branches strategically located for our markets provide touch points to attract new customers and facilitate transactions that cannot be completed electronically.

We have a commitment to our customers, existing and new, to provide high quality service. Our goal is to grow the Bank while providing cost effective services to our market area.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

In reviewing and understanding our financial information, it is important to read and understand the significant accounting policies used in preparing our consolidated financial statements.

These policies are described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements and are essential in understanding Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Our accounting and financial reporting policies conform to U.S. GAAP and to general practices within the banking industry. Accordingly, the consolidated financial statements require certain estimates, judgments, and assumptions, which are believed to be reasonable, based upon the information available. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expenses during the years presented. Actual results could vary from those estimates, perhaps in material adverse ways, and those estimates could be different under different assumptions or conditions. The following accounting policies comprise those that management believes are the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial results.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses and related provision expense are susceptible to change if the credit quality of our loan portfolio changes, which is evidenced by charge-offs and non-performing loan trends. Generally, one-to-four family residential real estate lending has a lower credit risk profile compared to consumer lending (such as automobile or personal lines of credit loans). While management intends to focus our efforts in diversifying the loan portfolio, in the past few years, our loan mix has changed as we focused our efforts on originating multi-family residential loans and offering a wider array of consumer loan products, which in turn increased our income property loan portfolio and consumer loan portfolio over historical levels. Income property lending, however, has a higher credit risk profile than consumer and one- to-four family residential real estate loans due to these loans being larger in amount and non-homogenous in structure and term. Changes in economic conditions, the mix and size of the loan portfolio and individual borrower conditions can dramatically impact our level of allowance for loan losses in relatively short periods of time. Management believes that the allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level that represents probable incurred credit losses in the loan portfolio. While management uses available information to recognize losses on loans, future additions to the allowance for loan losses may be necessary based on changes in economic conditions. In addition, our banking regulators and external auditor periodically review our allowance for loan losses. These entities may require us to recognize additions to the allowance for loan losses based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their review. Management evaluates current information and events regarding a borrower's ability to repay its obligations and considers a loan to be impaired when the ultimate collectability of amounts due, according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement, is in doubt. If an impaired loan is collateral-dependent, the fair value of the collateral, less estimated costs to sell, is used to determine the amount of impairment, if any. The amount of the impairment can be adjusted, based on current data, until such time as the actual basis is established by acquisition of the collateral. Impairment losses are reflected in the allowance for loan losses through a charge to the provision for loan losses. Subsequent recoveries are credited to the allowance for loan losses.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments. The estimation of fair value is significant to certain of our assets, including investment securities available-for-sale, real estate owned, mortgage servicing assets, loans held for sale, derivatives, and the value of loan collateral for impaired loans. These are all recorded at either fair value or the lower of cost or fair value. Fair values are determined based on third party sources, when available. Furthermore, GAAP requires disclosure of the fair value of financial instruments as a part of the notes to the consolidated financial statements. Fair values of financial instruments are estimated using relevant market information and other assumptions, as more fully disclosed in Note 17 of our consolidated financial statements. Fair value estimates involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment regarding interest rates, credit risk, prepayments, and other factors, especially in the absence of broad markets for particular items. Changes in assumptions or in market conditions could significantly affect the estimates.

Comparison of Financial Condition at June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013.

Assets. Total assets increased by \$11.8 million, or 1.4%, to \$879.2 million at June 30, 2014 from \$867.4 million at June 30, 2013 due primarily to an increase in gross loans receivable and securities available-for-sale, partially offset by a decrease in cash and cash equivalents.

Cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$16.4 million, or 19.2%, to \$69.3 million at June 30, 2014 from \$85.7 million at June 30, 2013. The decrease was primarily due to cash deployed to fund the net growth in loans receivable. Our investment securities portfolio increased by \$4.6 million, or 8.7%, to \$57.3 million at June 30, 2014 from \$52.7 million at June 30, 2013. The increase was primarily a result of new purchases of securities available-for-sale. Securities available-for-sale increased by \$4.7 million, or 9.0%, to \$56.9 million at June 30, 2014 from \$52.2 million at June 30, 2013 due to purchases of \$15.2 million in agency mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations, partially offset by maturities, principal repayments and amortization of existing securities. Our gross loans receivable increased by \$25.5 million, or 3.7%, to \$719.9 million at June 30, 2014 from \$694.3 million at June 30, 2013. The increase was primarily attributable to organic loan growth in multi-family residential loans and consumer loans, offset in part by principal repayments and payoffs in the loan portfolio in addition to the sale of newly originated conforming fixed rate one-to-four family residential loans in the secondary market. Multi-family loans increased \$54.3 million, or 19.3%, to \$335.0 million at June 30, 2014 from \$280.8 million at June 30, 2013 due to \$118.2 million in loan originations during the year ended June 30, 2014 as our emphasis remained on growing this portfolio segment. Consumer loans at June 30, 2014 which were comprised primarily of automobile loans totaling \$45.7 million and unsecured loans totaling \$7.4 million increased \$19.5 million, or 50.9%, to \$57.8 million at June 30, 2014 from \$38.3 million at June 30, 2013. This growth was the result of \$34.7 million and \$12.2 million in automobile and unsecured loan originations, respectively, during the year ended June 30, 2014. The increase in consumer loans was primarily due to the reintroduction of automobile buying service, enhanced pricing of the vehicle loan products, the successful launch of a fully integrated on-line instant decision application, and implementation of the consumer loan sales team in fiscal 2014. The Company now offers its customers a wider array of consumer loan products with vastly improved application and delivery channels. In addition, through continued leveraging of retail delivery staff, building on brand recognition and consistent marketing of our competitive loan products, it is anticipated that our consumer loan portfolio will continue to grow. Commercial real estate loans decreased \$17.6 million, or 31.6%, to \$38.1 million at June 30, 2014 from \$55.6 million at June 30, 2013 due to principal repayments and payoffs as there have been no new commercial real estate loan originations during the year ended June 30, 2014. One-to-four family residential real estate loans decreased \$30.7 million, or 9.6%, to \$289.0 million at June 30, 2014 from \$319.6 million at June 30, 2013 due primarily to principal repayments and payoffs and sales of newly originated conforming fixed rate loans held for sale in the secondary market. The allowance for loan losses decreased by \$1.1 million, or 18.8%, to \$4.6 million at June 30, 2014 from \$5.6 million

at June 30, 2013. The general valuation allowance decreased by \$1.0 million to \$3.6 million at June 30, 2014 from \$4.6 million at June 30, 2013. The decrease in the general valuation allowance was primarily attributable to a \$700,000 provision reversal due primarily to a decrease in net charge-offs as well as improved asset quality of the loan portfolio as evidenced by a lower level of classified and non-accrual loans, and a decline in the historical loss factors used to calculate the general valuation allowance. Non-performing assets decreased to \$7.9 million, or 0.90% of total assets at June 30, 2014 as compared to \$16.0 million, or 1.84% of total assets at June 30, 2013. Delinquent loans 60 days or more decreased to \$1.1 million, or 0.16% of total loans at June 30, 2014 as compared to \$5.5 million, or 0.79% of total loans at June 30, 2013. At June 30, 2014 the general valuation allowance was comprised of \$1.4 million, \$993,000, \$999,000 and \$219,000 on one-to-four family residential, multi-family residential, commercial real estate and consumer loans, respectively. At June 30, 2013 the general valuation allowance was comprised of \$2.1 million, \$839,000, \$1.6 million and \$137,000 on one-to-four family residential, multi-family residential, commercial real estate and consumer loans, respectively. The decline in the general valuation allowance on one-to-four family residential loans was a result of an improvement in historical loss factors for the portfolio coupled with a decline in the one-to-four family residential loan balance. The increase in the general valuation allowance on multi-family residential loans was primarily a result of the higher multi-family residential loan balance. The decrease in the general valuation allowance on commercial real estate loans was primarily a result of a decline in the commercial real estate loan balance as well as a decrease in the historical loss factors for the portfolio. The valuation allowances on loans

individually evaluated for impairment decreased by \$30,000 to \$979,000 at June 30, 2014 from \$1.0 million at June 30, 2013. The reduction in valuation allowances on impaired loans was attributable to continuous and timely payments from the borrowers. See "Item 1-Business—Asset Quality-Allowance for Loan Losses." Deposits. Total deposits decreased \$1.8 million, or 0.3%, to \$652.8 million at June 30, 2014 from \$654.6 million at June 30, 2013. The decline was comprised of a \$5.1 million decrease in non-interest bearing demand deposits partially offset by a \$3.3 million increase in interest-bearing deposits.

Non-interest bearing demand deposits decreased \$5.1 million, or 7.8%, from \$65.7 million at June 30, 2013 to \$60.6 million at June 30, 2014. The decline in non-interest bearing demand deposits was primarily a result of the promotion of our interest-bearing checking product.

The increase in interest-bearing deposits consisted of a \$67.3 million, or 465.7%, increase in interest-bearing checking from \$14.5 million at June 30, 2013 to \$81.8 million at June 30, 2014, which was partially offset by a \$33.6 million, or 12.0%, decrease in certificates of deposit from \$280.1 million at June 30, 2013 to \$246.5 million at June 30, 2014, a \$21.4 million, or 13.4%, decrease in money market accounts from \$159.6 million at June 30, 2013 to \$138.2 million at June 30, 2014, and a \$9.1 million, or 6.7%, decrease in savings accounts from \$134.9 million at June 30, 2013 to \$125.8 million at June 30, 2014. The growth in interest-bearing checking balances was due primarily to the Company's marketing strategy to promote interest-bearing checking deposits with enhanced features to deepen customer relationships. The decrease in certificates of deposit was attributable to non-relationship customers seeking higher yields at other financial institutions as accounts repriced to lower offering rates. The decline in money market balances was attributable to the promotion of interest-bearing checking. Savings accounts decreased primarily due to the discontinuation of certain savings products which traditionally had higher offering rates. The change in deposit mix was consistent with the Company's strategic decision to promote interest-bearing checking deposits to attract relationship customers in our target markets while reducing our reliance on time deposits by competing less aggressively on time deposit interest rates.

Borrowings. FHLB advances increased to \$85.0 million at June 30, 2014 as compared to \$60.0 million at June 30, 2013. The weighted average cost of FHLB advances was 1.57% at June 30, 2014 as compared to 1.64% at June 30, 2013. During the year ended June 30, 2014, the Bank borrowed \$25.0 million in FHLB advances at a weighted average cost of 1.38%. The increase in borrowings has allowed the Bank to manage its liquidity position and improve its interest rate risk position by locking in lower cost longer term funding.

Stockholders' Equity. Total stockholders' equity, represented 15.6% of total assets and decreased to \$136.9 million at June 30, 2014 from \$145.4 million at June 30, 2013. The decrease in stockholders' equity was primarily attributable to shares repurchased at an aggregate cost of \$12.9 million during the year ended June 30, 2014 pursuant to the stock repurchase programs previously announced as well as cash dividends paid of \$2.4 million, partially offset by net income of \$5.3 million.

Average Balances, Net Interest Income, Yields Earned and Rates Paid

The following table sets forth certain information for the years ended June 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The average yields and costs are derived by dividing income or expense by the average balance of assets or liabilities, respectively, for the years presented. Average balances are derived primarily from month-end balances. Management does not believe that the use of month-end balances rather than daily average balances has caused any material differences in the information presented.

	For the year ended June 30, 2014 Average Average			2013 e Average		Average	2012 Average		Average
	Balance (Dollars in t	Interest thousands)	Yield/C	o B talance	Interest	_	o B talance	Interest	Yield/Cost
Interest-Earning Assets									
Loans receivable ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ Securities ⁽³⁾ Federal funds sold FHLB stock	\$716,494 47,617 47,856 5,903	\$32,260 686 111 373	4.50 % 1.44 0.23 6.32	\$728,978 55,758 65,930 7,418	\$35,501 492 155 181	4.87 % 0.88 0.24 2.44	\$709,394 47,718 106,017 9,457	\$39,619 672 262 38	5.58 % 1.41 0.25 0.40
Interest-earning deposits in other financial institutions	_	_	_	_	_	_	4,908	38	0.77
Total interest-earning assets	817,870	33,430	4.09	858,084	36,329	4.23	877,494	40,629	4.63
Noninterest earning assets	37,513			37,115			39,014		
Total assets Interest-Bearing Liabilities	\$855,383			\$895,199			\$916,508		
Interest-bearing checking	\$24,724	\$146	0.59 %	\$12,088	\$6	0.05 %	\$2,107	\$3	0.14 %
Money market Savings deposits Certificates of deposit Borrowings	159,560 129,731 258,795 75,385	367 127 4,568 1,196	0.23 0.10 1.77 1.59	162,405 135,303 296,751 69,231	413 159 5,906 1,386	0.25 0.12 1.99 2.00	143,080 137,109 312,421 93,077	659 293 6,718 2,943	0.46 0.21 2.15 3.16
Total interest-bearing liabilities	648,195	6,404	0.99	675,778	7,870	1.16	687,794	10,616	1.54
Noninterest bearing liabilities	66,514			69,900			70,835		
Total liabilities Equity	714,709 140,674			745,678 149,521			758,629 157,879		
Total liabilities and equity	\$855,383			\$895,199			\$916,508		
Net interest spread ⁽⁴⁾ Margin ⁽⁵⁾ Ratio of		\$27,026	3.10 % 3.30 %		\$28,459	3.07 % 3.32 %		\$30,013	3.09 % 3.42 %
interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities	126.18 %			126.98 %			127.58 %		

⁽¹⁾ Calculated net of deferred fees, loan loss reserves and includes non-accrual loans.

- (2) Interest income includes net loan (costs)/fees of (\$180,000), (\$164,000), and \$50,000 for the years ended June 30, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.
- (3) Calculated based on amortized cost of held-to-maturity securities and fair value of available-for-sale securities.
- (4) The spread between average yield on total interest-earning assets and average cost on total interest-bearing liabilities.
- (5) Net interest income divided by interest-earning assets.

Rate/Volume Analysis

The following table presents the dollar amount of changes in interest income and interest expense for major components of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. For each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, information is provided on changes attributable to (1) changes in volume, which are changes in volume multiplied by the old rate; (2) changes in rate, which are changes in rate multiplied by the old volume; and (3) changes in rate/volume, which are the changes in rate times the changes in volume.

									For the Year Ended June 30, 2013 vs. 2012						
	Increase (Decrease) Due to								Increase (Decrease) Due to						
	Volum	ie	Rate		Rate/ Volum	ie	Net	Volume	e	Rate		Rate/ Volum	ie	Net	
	(in tho	us	ands)												
Interest-Earning Assets															
Loans receivable ⁽¹⁾	\$(608)	\$(2,679))	\$46		\$(3,241)	\$1,094		\$(5,072	2)	\$(140)	\$(4,118	3)
Securities	(72)	311		(45)	194	113		(251)	(42)	(180)
Federal funds sold	(43)	(2))	1		(44)	(99)	(13)	5		(107)
FHLB stock	(37)	288		(59)	192	(8)	193		(42)	143	
Interest-earning deposits in other								(38)	(38)	38		(38)
financial institutions								(30	,	(30	,	30		(30	,
Total interest-earning assets	\$(760)	\$(2,082))	\$(57)	\$(2,899)	\$1,062		\$(5,181	.)	\$(181)	\$(4,300))
Interest-Bearing Liabilities															
Interest-bearing checking	\$6		\$66		\$68		\$140	\$14		\$(2)	\$(9)	\$3	
Money market	(7)	(40)	1		(46)	89		(295)	(40)	(246)
Savings deposits	(6)	(27))	1		(32)	(4)	(132)	2		(134)
Certificates of deposit	(755)	(668)	85		(1,338)	(337)	(500)	25		(812)
Borrowings	123		(288)	(25)	(190)	(754)	(1,080)	277		(1,557)
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$(639)	\$(957))	\$130		\$(1,466)	\$(992)	\$(2,009	9)	\$255		\$(2,746	$\mathfrak{i})$
Change in net interest income/spread	\$(121)	\$(1,125))	\$(187)	\$(1,433)	\$2,054		\$(3,172	2)	\$(436)	\$(1,554	1)

⁽¹⁾ Total loans are net of deferred fees and costs.

Comparison of Results of Operations for the Years Ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013.

General. Net income for the year ended June 30, 2014 was \$5.3 million, a decrease of \$908,000, or 14.6%, as compared to net income of \$6.2 million for the year ended June 30, 2013. Earnings per basic and diluted common share were \$0.72 for the year ended June 30, 2014, compared to \$0.76 for the year ended June 30, 2013. The decrease in net income was due primarily to decreases in net interest income and noninterest income, partially offset by a reversal in provision for loan losses and a decrease in noninterest expense.

Interest Income. Interest income decreased \$2.9 million, or 8.0%, to \$33.4 million for the year ended June 30, 2014 from \$36.3 million for the year ended June 30, 2013. The decline in interest income was primarily due to a decrease in interest and fees on loans, partially offset by increases in interest on securities and FHLB dividends.

Interest and fees on loans decreased \$3.2 million, or 9.1%, to \$32.3 million for the year ended June 30, 2014 from \$35.5 million for the year ended June 30, 2013. The primary reasons for the decrease were the lower average loan balance from \$729.0 million for the year ended June 30, 2013 to \$716.5 million during the year ended June 30, 2014 and a decline of 37 basis points in the average yield on loans from 4.87% for the year ended June 30, 2013 to 4.50% for the year ended June 30, 2014. Average loan balance declined primarily as the result of the continuous decrease in the commercial real estate loan portfolio due to loan payoffs in 2014. The decrease in the average yield on loans was primarily caused by lower yields earned on new loan originations and payoffs of higher yielding seasoned loans during fiscal 2014 as a result of the low interest rate environment.

Interest income on securities increased by \$194,000, or 39.4%, to \$686,000 for the year ended June 30, 2014 from \$492,000 for the year ended June 30, 2013. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase in the average yield on securities from 0.88% for the year ended June 30, 2013 to 1.44% for the year ended June 30, 2014 due to new purchases of higher yielding securities.

FHLB dividends increased by \$192,000, or 106.1%, to \$373,000 for the year ended June 30, 2014 from \$181,000 for the year ended June 30, 2013, primarily due to the higher average dividend yield on FHLB stock of 6.32% for the year ended June 30, 2014 compared to 2.44% for the year ended June 30, 2013.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$1.5 million, or 18.6% to \$6.4 million for the year ended June 30, 2014 from \$7.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2013. The decline reflected a reduction in the average cost of funds on deposits and borrowings as well as a lower average balance of deposits, partially offset by a higher average balance of borrowings during the year ended June 30, 2014.

Interest expense on deposits decreased \$1.3 million, or 19.7% to \$5.2 million during the year ended June 30, 2014 as compared to \$6.5 million for fiscal 2013. The primary reason for the decrease was a 16 basis points decline in the average cost of deposits from 1.07% for the year ended June 30, 2013 to 0.91% for the year ended June 30, 2014 due to the downward repricing of deposits in the continuing low interest rate environment. In addition, the average balance of deposits decreased \$33.7 million to \$572.8 million for the year ended June 30, 2014 from \$606.5 million for the year ended June 30, 2013. The decrease in the average balance of deposits was primarily a result of a decrease in average balance of certificates of deposit due to non-relationship customers seeking higher yields at other financial institutions as accounts reprice to lower interest rates.

Interest expense on borrowings decreased \$190,000, or 13.7% to \$1.2 million during the year ended June 30, 2014 as compared to \$1.4 million for fiscal 2013. The decrease was primarily attributable to a 41 basis points decrease in the average cost of borrowings from 2.00% for the year ended June 30, 2013 to 1.59% for the year ended June 30, 2014 resulting from a \$25.0 million increase in FHLB advances during the second quarter of 2014 at a lower weighted average cost of 1.38%.

Provision for Loan Losses. We maintain an allowance for loan losses to absorb probable incurred losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance is based on ongoing, quarterly assessments of the probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Our methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the allowance consists of several key elements, which include loss ratio analysis by type of loan and specific allowances for identified problem loans, including the results of measuring impaired loans as provided in ASC 310, "Receivables." These accounting standards prescribe the measurement methods, income recognition and disclosures related to impaired loans. See "-Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates" and "Item 1-Business- Asset Quality- Allowance for Loan Losses."

During the year ended June 30, 2014, a \$700,000 reversal of provision for loan losses was recorded as compared to a \$250,000 provision for loan losses for the year ended June 30, 2013. The improvement in the provision was primarily a result of continued asset quality improvement evidenced by declining net charge-offs, delinquency ratios and a lower level of classified loans and non-performing assets during the year ended June 30, 2014 compared to prior fiscal year. Net charge-offs decreased to

0.05% of average outstanding loans for the year ended June 30, 2014 as compared to 0.29% of average outstanding loans last year. Non-performing assets decreased to \$7.6 million, or 0.90% of total assets at June 30, 2014 as compared to \$16.0 million, or 1.84% of total assets at June 30, 2013. Delinquent loans 60 days or more past due were \$1.1 million, or 0.16% of total loans at June 30, 2014 as compared to \$5.5 million, or 0.79% of total loans at June 30, 2013. Loans 30 to 59 days delinquent increased to \$3.3 million, or 0.47% of total loans at June 30, 2014, as compared to \$584,000, or 0.08% of total loans at June 30, 2013. Loans 30 to 59 days delinquent were either criticized or classified assets. Some loans 30 to 59 days delinquent were individually evaluated for impairment and others were collectively evaluated for impairment with additional qualitative adjustments factored in due to loan classification. The reversal of provision for loan losses was comprised of a \$680,000 reversal in provision on one-to-four family loans, a \$395,000 provision on multi-family loans, a \$604,000 reversal in provision on commercial real estate loans, a \$84,000 provision on automobile loans, a \$2,000 reversal in provision on home equity loans and a \$107,000 provision on other loans. The decrease in provision on one-to-four family residential loans was primarily due to decreases in the historical loss factors on such loans and the balance of one-to-four family loans collectively evaluated for impairment. The increase in provision on multi-family loans was primarily due to charge-offs and an increase in the balance of multi-family loans collectively evaluated for impairment offset by a decline in the overall historical loss factors on multi-family loans collectively evaluated for impairment. The decrease in provision on commercial real estate loans was primarily due to decreases in the historical loss factors on such loans and the balance of commercial real estate loans collectively evaluated for impairment. The provision reflects management's continuing assessment of the credit quality of the Company's loan portfolio, which is affected by various trends, including current economic conditions. Noninterest Income. Our noninterest income decreased \$1.0 million, or 15.6%, to \$5.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2014 as compared to \$6.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2013 due primarily to a decline in gains on one-to-four family residential mortgage loans sold, partially offset by an increase in service charges and fees. Net gain on sales of loans decreased \$1.6 million, or 73.0%, to \$575,000 for the year ended June 30, 2014 as compared to \$2.1 million for the year ended June 30, 2013, reflecting the impact of lower loan sale volume as a result of the increase in mortgage interest rates during the year ended June 30, 2014 and resulting decline in consumer refinancing activities.

Service charges and fees increased \$332,000, or 19.9%, to \$2.0 million for the year ended June 30, 2014 as compared to \$1.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2013, primarily attributable to higher mortgage loan servicing fee income as well as higher service charge income on non-interest bearing demand deposits during the year ended June 30, 2014. Management periodically reviews service charge rates to compensate for services provided while still maintaining a competitive position.

Noninterest Expense. Our noninterest expense decreased slightly by \$249,000, or 1.0%, to \$24.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2014 as compared to \$25.1 million for the year ended June 30, 2013 primarily due to a decrease in salaries and benefits expense and a decline in federal deposit insurance premiums, partially offset by increases in REO and foreclosure expenses and advertising and promotional expenses.

Salaries and benefits expense decreased \$677,000, or 5.3%, to \$12.1 million in fiscal 2014 as compared to \$12.8 million in fiscal 2013 primarily due to a lump sum severance payment to a former executive during the quarter ended December 31, 2012 in addition to a decrease in the number of full-time equivalent employees in fiscal 2014. Federal deposit insurance premiums decreased \$143,000, or 22.3%, to \$498,000 in fiscal 2014 as compared to \$641,000 in fiscal 2013 resulting from a decrease in average consolidated total assets.

REO and foreclosure expenses were \$36,000 in fiscal 2014 as compared to a gain of \$222,000 in fiscal 2013. The gain in fiscal 2013 was due primarily to a recovery of foreclosure expenses on a multi-family loan and a gain on sale of a REO property.

Advertising and promotional expenses increased \$231,000, or 21.9%, to \$1.3 million in fiscal 2014 as compared to \$1.1 million in fiscal 2013. The increase was primarily due to continued branding and marketing campaign efforts in fiscal 2014.

Income Tax Expense. Income tax expense decreased \$367,000, or 10.4% to \$3.2 million for the year ended June 30, 2014 as compared to \$3.5 million for the year ended June 30, 2013. This decrease was primarily the result of lower pretax income for the year ended June 30, 2014 compared to the year ended June 30, 2013. The effective tax rates were 37.3% and 36.2% for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. This increase in effective tax rate

was attributable to the expiration of the California enterprise zone tax deduction as well as the California affordable housing tax credits effective January 2014.

Comparison of Results of Operations for the Years Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

General. Net income for the year ended June 30, 2013 was \$6.2 million, a decrease of \$1.0 million, or 13.8%, as compared to net income of \$7.2 million for the year ended June 30, 2012. Earnings per basic and diluted common share were \$0.76 for the year ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$0.81 for the year ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in net income primarily resulted from a decrease in net interest income of \$1.6 million and an increase in noninterest expense of \$2.7 million, which were partially offset by an increase in noninterest income of \$2.5 million for the year ended June 30, 2013.

Interest Income. Interest income decreased by \$4.3 million, or 10.6%, to \$36.3 million for the year ended June 30, 2013 from \$40.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2012. The decline in interest income was primarily due to decreases in interest and fees on loans and interest on securities.

Interest and fees on loans decreased \$4.1 million, or 10.4%, to \$35.5 million for the year ended June 30, 2013 from \$39.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2012. The primary reason for the decrease was a decline in the average yield on loans to 4.87% for the year ended June 30, 2013 as compared to 5.58% for the year ended June 30, 2012, partially offset by an increase of \$19.6 million in the average balance of loans receivable to \$729.0 million for the year ended June 30, 2013 from \$709.4 million for the year ended June 30, 2012. The decrease in the average yield on loans was primarily caused by lower yields earned on new loan originations and payoffs of higher yielding seasoned loans during the period as a result of the low interest rate environment.

Interest income on securities decreased by \$180,000, or 26.8%, to \$492,000 for the year ended June 30, 2013 from \$672,000 for the year ended June 30, 2012. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in the average yield on securities from 1.41% for the year ended June 30, 2012 to 0.88% for the year ended June 30, 2013 due to purchases of lower yielding securities and the payoff of higher yielding securities.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$2.7 million, or 25.9%, to \$7.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2013 from \$10.6 million for the year ended June 30, 2012. The decline was primarily due to decreases in interest expense on deposits and borrowings as a result of low interest rates during the year ended June 30, 2013.

Interest expense on deposits decreased \$1.2 million, or 15.5%, from \$7.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2012 to \$6.5 million for the year ended June 30, 2013. The primary reason for the decrease was a 22 basis point decline in the average cost of deposits from 1.29% for the year ended June 30, 2012 to 1.07% for the year ended June 30, 2013 due to the continuing low interest rate environment.

Interest expense on borrowings decreased \$1.6 million, or 52.9%, from \$2.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2012 to \$1.4 million for the year ended June 30, 2013. The decline was primarily attributable to a 116 basis points decrease in the average cost of borrowings from 3.16% for the year ended June 30, 2012 to 2.00% for the year ended June 30, 2013 as a result of the pay down of \$20.0 million in scheduled maturities of higher costing borrowings.

Provision for Loan Losses. We maintain an allowance for loan losses to absorb probable incurred losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance is based on ongoing, quarterly assessments of the probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Our methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the allowance consists of several key elements, which include loss ratio analysis by type of loan and specific allowances for identified problem loans, including the results of measuring impaired loans as provided in ASC 310, "Receivables." These accounting standards prescribe the measurement methods, income recognition and disclosures related to impaired loans. See "-Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates" and "Item 1-Business- Asset Quality- Allowance for Loan Losses."

Our provision for loan losses remained consistent at \$250,000 for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. For the first three quarters of fiscal 2013 the provision was \$1.9 million due to increased short sale activities and charge-offs on impaired loans resulting from the transfer of servicing of one-to-four family residential loans from two prior third

party servicers. In the fourth quarter, the year-to-date provision was partially offset by a \$1.6 million provision reversal. The \$1.6 million reversal of provision for loan losses for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 was primarily attributable to a \$1.0 million recovery in connection with a settlement agreement on a delinquent multi-family loan in addition to continued improvement in asset quality and delinquent loan balance. Delinquent loans, 60 days or more, decreased to \$5.5 million or 0.79% of total loans at June 30, 2013 from \$9.4 million, or 1.22% of total loans at June 30, 2012. Non-performing loans decreased to \$15.9 million, or 2.29% of total loans at June 30, 2013 as compared to \$25.4 million, or 3.29% of total loans at June 30, 2012.

The provision for loan losses was comprised of a \$499,000 provision on one-to-four family loans, a \$1.4 million reduction in provision on multi-family loans, a \$1.0 million provision on commercial real estate loans, a \$19,000 provision on automobile loans, a \$9,000 reduction in provision on home equity loans and a \$58,000 provision on other loans. The decrease in provision

on one-to-four family loans was primarily due to a decrease in the historical loss factors. The decrease in provision on multi-family loans was primarily due to a \$1.0 million recovery in connection with a settlement agreement on a delinquent multi-family loan and a reduction in the valuation allowance on multi-family loans that were individually evaluated for impairment. The increase in provision on commercial real estate loans was primarily due to an increase in the historical loss factors on criticized and classified commercial real estate loans. There was also charge-offs of \$527,000 on two commercial real estate loans that exhibited weakness during fiscal 2013. The provision reflects management's continuing assessment of the credit quality of the Company's loan portfolio, which is affected by various trends, including current economic conditions.

Noninterest Income. Our noninterest income increased by \$2.0 million, or 42.8%, to \$6.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$4.7 million for the year ended June 30, 2012. The increase in noninterest income was primarily a result of \$2.1 million in gain on the sale of loans. During the 2013 fiscal year we originated conforming fixed rate one-to-four family residential real estate loans secured by properties in California and generated revenues from fee income on the origination and sale of these loans in the secondary market. Loans originated for sale met the origination and underwriting guidelines established by Freddie Mac and were sold without recourse on a servicing-retained basis. Of the total loan originations of \$242.0 million in fiscal 2013, loans originated for sale totaled \$75.8 million while loans sold totaled \$71.3 million.

Noninterest Expense. Our noninterest expense increased \$2.2 million, or 9.7% to \$25.1 million for the year ended June 30, 2013 as compared to \$22.9 million for the year ended June 30, 2012 primarily due to an increase in salaries and benefits expense and advertising and promotional expenses.

Salaries and benefits expense increased \$1.3 million, or 11.8%, to \$12.8 million for the year ended June 30, 2013 as compared to \$11.4 million for the year ended June 30, 2012 primarily due to employees hired in the areas of eCommerce, marketing and lending and included a lump sum severance payment to a former executive in the amount of \$367,500. Employees hired in eCommerce and marketing continue to focus on aligning marketing efforts under the Bank's new name and brand launched in November 2012 and expanding customer relationships through enhanced delivery channels such as online and mobile banking. The Company also hired seasoned loan officers, underwriters and support staff in the one-to-four family mortgage loan origination department to accommodate increased loan origination and sale activity.

Advertising and promotional expenses increased to \$1.1 million for the year ended June 30, 2013 from \$459,000 for the year ended June 30, 2012. The increase was primarily due to the branding campaign and promotional efforts in relation to the new name.

Income Tax Expense. Income tax expense decreased to \$3.5 million for the year ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$4.3 million for the year ended June 30, 2012. This decrease was primarily the result of lower pretax income for the year ended June 30, 2013 compared to the year ended June 30, 2012. The effective tax rate was 36.2% and 37.3% for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Liquidity, Capital Resources and Commitments

Liquidity may increase or decrease depending upon the availability of funds and comparative yields on investments in relation to the return on loans. Historically, we have maintained liquid assets at levels above the minimum requirements previously imposed by our regulator and above levels believed to be adequate to meet the requirements of normal operations, including potential deposit outflows. Cash flow projections are regularly reviewed and updated to assure that adequate liquidity is maintained.

Our liquidity, represented by cash and cash equivalents, interest earning deposit accounts and mortgage-backed and related securities, is a product of our operating, investing and financing activities. Our primary sources of funds are deposits, amortization, prepayments and maturities of outstanding loans and mortgage-backed and related securities, and other short-term investments and funds provided from operations. While scheduled payments from the amortization of loans and mortgage-backed related securities and maturing investment securities and short-term investments are relatively predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and loan prepayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions, and competition. In addition, we invest excess funds in short-term interest earning assets, which provide liquidity to meet lending requirements. We also generate cash through borrowings. We utilize FHLB advances to leverage our capital base and provide funds for our lending and investment activities as well as enhance our interest rate risk management.

Liquidity management is both a daily and long-term function of business management. Excess liquidity is generally invested in short-term investments such as overnight deposits. On a longer-term basis, we maintain a strategy of investing in various investment securities and lending products. We use our sources of funds primarily to meet ongoing commitments, to pay maturing certificates of deposit and savings withdrawals, to fund loan commitments and to maintain our portfolio of mortgage-backed and related securities. At June 30, 2014, total approved loan commitments amounted to \$5.1 million and the unadvanced portion of loans was \$2.0 million. Certificates of deposit scheduled to mature in one year or less at June 30, 2014, totaled \$97.2 million. Advances from the FHLB of San Francisco scheduled to mature in one year or less at June 30, 2014, were \$20.0 million. Based on historical experience, management believes that a significant portion of maturing deposits will remain with the Bank and we anticipate that we will continue to have sufficient funds, through deposits and borrowings, to meet our current commitments.

At June 30, 2014, we had \$85.0 million FHLB advances outstanding and available additional advances from the FHLB of San Francisco in the amount of \$259.4 million. We also had a short-term line of credit with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco of \$47.6 million at June 30, 2014, which has not been drawn upon.

Contractual Obligations

In the normal course of business, we enter into contractual obligations that meet various business needs. These contractual obligations include certificates of deposit to customers, borrowings from the FHLB, lease obligations for facilities, and commitments to purchase and/or originate loans.

The following table summarizes our long-term contractual obligations at June 30, 2014.

	Total	Less than	1 - 3	Over $3-5$	More than 5
	Total	1 year	years	years	years
	(Dollars in	thousands)			
FHLB advances	\$85,000	\$20,000	\$25,000	\$40,000	\$ —
Operating lease obligations	4,177	1,023	1,344	1,103	707
Loan commitments to originate	5,145	5,145	_	_	
Available home equity and unadvanced lines of credit	1,975	1,975	_	_	
Certificates of deposit	246,534	97,198	120,877	28,459	
Total commitments and contractual obligations	\$342,831	\$125,341	\$147,221	\$69,562	\$ 707
Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements					

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As a financial service provider, we routinely are a party to various financial instruments with off-balance sheet risks, such as commitments to extend credit and unused lines of credit. While these contractual obligations represent our future cash requirements, a significant portion of commitments to extend credit may expire without being drawn upon.

Such commitments are subject to the same credit policies and approval process accorded to loans we make.

58

Capital

The table below sets forth Simplicity Bank's capital position relative to its regulatory capital requirements at June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013. The definitions of the terms used in the table are those provided in the capital regulations issued by the OCC.

	Actual		Minimum Requireme	•		Minimum Required to be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Actions Provisions			
June 30, 2014	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio		Amount	Ratio		
	(Dollars in	thousands)							
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$128,372	21.66 %	\$47,417	8.00	%	\$59,271	10.00	%	
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	123,792	20.89	23,709	4.00		35,563	6.00		
Tier 1 (core) capital (to adjusted tangible assets)	123,792	14.13	35,056	4.00		43,820	5.00		
	Actual		Minimum Requireme	•		Minimum Required to be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Actions Provisions			
June 30, 2013	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio		Amount	Ratio		
	(Dollars in	thousands)							
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$137,788	23.85 %	\$46,222	8.00	%	\$57,777	10.00	%	
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	132,145	22.87	23,111	4.00		34,666	6.00		
Tier 1 (core) capital (to adjusted tangible assets)	132,145	15.28	34,591	4.00		43,238	5.00		

Consistent with our goal to operate a sound and profitable financial organization, we actively seek to continue as a "well capitalized" institution in accordance with regulatory standards. At June 30, 2014, Simplicity Bank was a "well-capitalized" institution under regulatory standards. During fiscal 2014, the Bank paid \$15.0 million as a dividend to the Company. This upstream of capital was undertaken in order to provide the Company with additional liquidity for its capital management strategies, including but not limited to, stock repurchase plans and cash dividends. Impact of Inflation

The audited consolidated financial statements presented herein have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. These principles require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars, without considering changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation.

Our primary assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a more significant impact on our performance than the effects of general levels of inflation. Interest rates, however, do not necessarily move in the same direction or with the same magnitude as the price of goods and services, since such prices are affected by inflation. In a period of rapidly rising interest rates, the liquidity and maturity structure of our assets and liabilities are critical to the maintenance of acceptable performance levels.

The principal effect of inflation, as distinct from levels of interest rates, on earnings is in the area of noninterest expense. Such expense items as employee compensation, employee benefits and occupancy and equipment costs may be subject to increases as a result of inflation.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

For discussion of Recent Accounting Pronouncements, please see Note 1-Nature of Business and Significant Accounting Policies, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements beginning on Page F-9.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Asset and Liability Management and Market Risk

Our Risk When Interest Rates Change. The rates of interest we earn on assets and pay on liabilities generally are established contractually for a period of time. Market interest rates change over time. Our fixed rate loans generally have longer maturities than our fixed rate deposits. Accordingly, our results of operations, like those of other financial institutions, are impacted by changes in interest rates and the interest rate sensitivity of our assets and liabilities. The risk associated with changes in interest rates and our ability to adapt to these changes is known as interest rate risk and is our most significant market risk.

How We Measure Our Risk of Interest Rate Changes. As part of our attempt to manage our exposure to changes in interest rates and comply with applicable regulations, we monitor our interest rate risk. In monitoring interest rate risk we continually analyze and manage assets and liabilities based on their payment streams and interest rates, the timing of their maturities, and their sensitivity to actual or potential changes in market interest rates. In order to minimize the potential for adverse effects of material and prolonged increases in interest rates on our results of operations, we have adopted investment/asset and liability management policies to better match the maturities and repricing terms of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The board of directors sets and recommends the asset and liability policies of Simplicity Bank, which are implemented by the asset/liability management committee.

The purpose of the asset/liability management committee is to communicate, coordinate and control asset/liability management consistent with our business plan and board approved policies. The committee establishes and monitors the volume and mix of assets and funding sources taking into account relative costs and spreads, interest rate sensitivity and liquidity needs. The objectives are to manage assets and funding sources to produce results that are consistent with liquidity, capital adequacy, growth, risk, and profitability goals.

The asset/liability management committee generally meets at least monthly to review, among other things, economic conditions and interest rate outlook, current and projected liquidity needs and capital position, anticipated changes in the volume and mix of assets and liabilities and interest rate risk exposure limits versus current projections pursuant to net present value of portfolio equity analysis and income simulations. The asset/liability management committee recommends appropriate strategy changes based on this review. The chairman or his designee is responsible for reviewing and reporting on the effects of the policy implementations and strategies to the board of directors at least monthly.

In order to manage our assets and liabilities and achieve the desired liquidity, credit quality, interest rate risk, profitability and capital targets, we have focused our strategies on:

- •maintaining an adequate level of adjustable rate loans;
- •originating a reasonable volume of short-term and intermediate-term loans;
- originating and selling a reasonable volume of fixed rate 15-year and 30-year one-to-four family residential mortgage loans in the secondary market;
- •managing our deposits to establish stable deposit relationships; and
- •using FHLB advances, and pricing on fixed-term non-core deposits to align maturities and repricing terms.

At times, depending on the level of general interest rates, the relationship between long-term and short-term interest rates, market conditions and competitive factors, the asset/liability management committee may determine to increase our interest rate risk position somewhat in order to maintain our net interest margin.

The asset/liability management committee regularly reviews interest rate risk by forecasting the impact of alternative interest rate environments on net interest income and economic value of portfolio equity, which is defined as the net present value of an institution's existing assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet instruments, and evaluating such impacts against the maximum potential changes in net interest income and economic value of portfolio equity that are authorized by the board of directors of Simplicity Bank.

An independent third party provides the Bank with the information presented in the following tables, which are based on information provided by the Bank. The tables present the sensitivity of net interest income for the 12-month period subsequent to the year ended June 30, 2014 and the year ended June 30, 2013, and the immediate, permanent and parallel movements in

interest rates of +/-100, +200 and +300 basis points, as well as the change in the Bank's net portfolio value at June 30, 2014 that would occur upon an immediate change in interest rates without giving effect to any steps that management might take to counteract that change.

June 30, 2014				June 30, 2	2013			
Basis Point (bp)	Change in N	let		Basis Poir	nt (bp)	Chang	ge in Net	
Change in Rates	Interest Inco	ome		Change in	Rates	Intere	st Income	
+300 bp	(4.49)%	+300	bp	6.26		%
+200	(2.81)	+200		1.59		
+100	(1.45)	+100		0.69		
-100	0.58			-100		0.20		
	June 30, 2014							
		Estimated in NPV	I Increase	e (Decrease)		NPV as a perc Value of Asse	entage of Presents (3)	ent
Change in Interest Rates (basis points) (1)	Estimated NPV (2)	Amount		Percent		NPV ratio (4)	Increase (Decreas (basis po	*
	(Dollars in thous	ands)					_	
+400	\$94,900	\$(43,827)	(31.59)%	11.86	% (354)
+300	107,446	(31,281)	(22.55)	13.03	(237)
+200	119,407	(19,320)	(13.93)	14.05	(135)
+100	130,064	(8,663)	(6.24)	14.86	(55)
_	138,727			_		_	_	
-100	144,232	5,504		3.97		15.63	23	

- (1) Assumes an instantaneous uniform change in interest rates at all maturities.
- (2) NPV is the discounted present value of expected cash flows from assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet contracts.
- (3) Present value of assets represents the discounted present value of incoming cash flows on interest-earning assets.
- (4) NPV Ratio represents NPV divided by the present value of assets.

The analysis uses certain assumptions in assessing interest rate risk. These assumptions relate to interest rates, loan prepayment rates, deposit decay rates, and the fair values of certain assets under differing interest rate scenarios, among other things.

As with any method of measuring interest rate risk, shortcomings are inherent in the method of analysis presented in the foregoing tables. For example, although assets and liabilities may have similar maturities or periods to repricing, they may react in different degrees to changes in the market interest rates. Also, the interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate in advance of changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types may lag behind changes in market rates. Additionally, certain assets, such as adjustable rate mortgage loans, have features, that restrict changes in interest rates on a short-term basis and over the life of the asset. Further, if interest rates change, expected rates of prepayments on loans and early withdrawals from certificates of deposit could deviate significantly from those assumed in calculating the tables.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. Please see pages F-1 through F-47 of this Form 10-K.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure. None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Our management evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the

Securities Exchange

61

Act of 1934 (the "Act")) as of the end of the period covered by this report. The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report are effective in ensuring that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Act is (i) accumulated and communicated to the Company's management (including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer) in a timely manner, and (ii) recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Act) that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2014 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Please see Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and the Attestation Report of our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Pages F-2 and F-3.

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

Part III.

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

Directors and Executive Officers. The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement for its 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance. The information concerning compliance with the reporting requirements of Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by directors, officers, and ten percent stockholders of the Company required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement for its 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Code of Ethics. The Company has adopted a written Code of Ethics. The Code of Ethics applies to the Company's and the Bank's Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer. A copy of the Company's Code of Ethics is available on our website at www.simiplicitybancorp.com. Amendments to and waivers from the Code of Ethics will also be disclosed on our website.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information concerning executive compensation required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement for its 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, a copy of which will be filed not later than 120 days after the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information concerning security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management and related stockholder matters required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement for its 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, a copy of which will be filed not later than 120 days after the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence.

The information concerning certain relationships and related transactions and director independence required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement for its 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, a copy of which will be filed not later than 120 days after the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014. Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

The information concerning principal accountant fees and services is incorporated herein by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement for its 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, a copy of which will be filed not later than 120 days after the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014.

Part IV.

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

(a) Financial Statements:

See Part II—Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

(b) Exhibits:

- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant.⁽¹⁾
- 3.2 Bylaws of the Registrant.⁽²⁾
- 4.0 Form of Stock Certificate of Registrant⁽³⁾
- 10.1 Registrant's Employee Stock Ownership Plan⁽⁴⁾
- Amendments to the Simplicity Bank Employee Stock Ownership Plan⁽⁵⁾
- 10.3 Registrant's 2004 Stock Option Plan, as amende (6)
- 10.4 Registrant's 2004 Recognition and Retention Plan⁽⁷⁾
- 10.5 Registrant's 2011 Equity Incentive Plan⁽⁸⁾
- 10.6 Amended and Restated Simplicity Bank 2005 Executive Nonqualified Retirement Plan⁽⁹⁾
- 10.7 Simplicity Bank Annual Incentive Plan⁽¹⁰⁾
- 10.8 Employment Agreement with Dustin Luton⁽¹¹⁾
- 10.9 Executive Severance Plan (12)
- 21.0 Subsidiaries of the Registrant⁽¹³⁾
- 23.1 Consent of Crowe Horwath LLP
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101 DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- 101 LAB XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document
- Filed as exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended, initially filed on May 28, 2010 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Registration No.333-167179), and incorporated herein by reference.
 - Filed as exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended, initially filed on May 28,
- ⁽²⁾ 2010 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Registration No.333-167179), and incorporated herein by reference.
 - Filed as exhibit 4.0 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended, initially filed on May 28,
- (3) 2010 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Registration No.333-167179), and incorporated herein by reference.
 - Filed as exhibit 10.1 to K-Fed Bancorp's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended, initially filed on
- (4) December 9, 2003 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Registration No.333-111029), and incorporated herein by reference.
 - Filed as exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended, initially filed on May 28,
- (5) 2010 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Registration No.333-167179), and incorporated herein by reference.
 - Filed as exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended, initially filed on May 28,
- (6) 2010 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Registration No.333-167179), and incorporated herein by reference.

Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Proxy Statement for the 2004 Annual Meeting of Stockholders filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 23, 2004.

- (8) Filed as Appendix A to the Registrant's Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 21, 2011.
 - Filed as exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended, initially filed on May 28,
- (9) 2010 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Registration No. 333-167179), and incorporated herein by reference.
- Filed as exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Form 10-K filed on September 10, 2013 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission File No.001-34979), and incorporated herein by reference.
- Filed as exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on November 5, 2013 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission File No.001-34979), and incorporated herein by reference.
- Filed as exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on November 5, 2013 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission File No.001-34979), and incorporated herein by reference. Filed as exhibit 21.0 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended, initially filed on May 28,
- (13) 2010 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Registration No.333-167179), and incorporated herein by reference.

64

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Simplicity Bancorp, Inc.

Date: September 11, 2014 /s/ Dustin Luton

Dustin Luton

President, Chief Executive Officer and Director

(Principal Executive Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the

following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: September 11, 2014 /s/ Donald R. Voss

Donald R. Voss

Director and Chairman of the Board

Date: September 11, 2014 /s/ Dustin Luton

Dustin Luton

President, Chief Executive Officer and Director

(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: September 11, 2014 /s/ Jean M. Carandang

Jean M. Carandang Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Date: September 11, 2014 /s/ James L. Breeden

James L. Breeden

Director

Date: September 11, 2014 /s/ John H. Cochrane

John H. Cochrane

Director

Date: September 11, 2014 /s/ Giovani O. Dacumos

Giovani O. Dacumos

Director

Date: September 11, 2014 /s/ Michael J. Sacher

Michael J. Sacher

Director

Date: September 11, 2014 /s/ Robert C. Steinbach

Robert C. Steinbach

Director

Date: September 11, 2014 /s/ Laura G. Weisshar

Laura G. Weisshar

Director

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

	Page
Management's Report on Internal Control	<u>F-2</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>F-3</u>
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at June 30, 2014 and 2013	<u>F-4</u>
Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended June 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012	<u>F-5</u>
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended June 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012	<u>F-6</u>
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended June 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012	<u>F-7</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended June 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012	<u>F-8</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>F-9</u>

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL

The management of Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. (the "Company") is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention of overriding controls. Accordingly, even an effective system of internal control over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management has assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2014, based on 1992 Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on that assessment, management concluded that, as of June 30, 2014 the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective based on those criteria.

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2014, has been audited by Crowe Horwath LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm. As stated in their report dated September 11, 2014, they express an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2014. See "Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm."

/s/ Dustin Luton
Dustin Luton
President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Jean M. Carandang Jean M. Carandang Chief Financial Officer

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT
REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. Covina, California

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. (formerly known as Kaiser Federal Financial Group, Inc.) as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended June 30, 2014. We also have audited Simplicity Bancorp, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2014, based on criteria established in the 1992 Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Simplicity Bancorp, Inc.'s management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. (formerly known as Kaiser Federal Financial Group, Inc.) as of June 30, 2014 and 2013, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended June 30, 2014 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2014, based on criteria established in the 1992 Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

Costa Mesa, California September 11, 2014

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013	
ASSETS			
Cash and due from banks	\$7,988	\$8,864	
Federal funds sold	61,265	76,810	
Total cash and cash equivalents	69,253	85,674	
Securities available-for-sale, at fair value	56,883	52,180	
Securities held-to-maturity, fair value of \$406 and \$541 at June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively	395	525	
Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost	5,519	5,902	
Loans held for sale	3,687	4,496	
Loans receivable, net of allowance for loan losses of \$4,580 and \$5,643 at June 3 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively	-	689,708	
Accrued interest receivable	2,252	2,439	
Premises and equipment, net	3,764	3,799	
Goodwill	3,950	3,950	
Bank-owned life insurance	14,220	13,784	
Real estate owned (REO)	284	_	
Other assets	3,231	4,920	
Total assets	\$879,188	\$867,377	
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Liabilities			
Deposits			
Noninterest bearing	\$60,569	\$65,694	
Interest bearing	592,254	588,952	
Total deposits	652,823	654,646	
Federal Home Loan Bank advances, short-term	20,000		
Federal Home Loan Bank advances, long-term	65,000	60,000	
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	4,479	7,293	
Total liabilities	742,302	721,939	
Stockholders' equity			
Nonredeemable serial preferred stock, \$.01 par value; 25,000,000 shares authoriz issued and outstanding — none	ed;	_	
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 7,368,296 and 8,121,415 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively	74	81	
Additional paid-in capital	67,690	79,800	
Retained earnings	73,210	70,326	
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(224) (491)
Unearned employee stock ownership plan shares	•) (4,278	í
Chearned employee stock ownership plan shares	(3,864) (4,270	,

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity

\$879,188

\$867,377

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Year ended June 30			
	2014	2013	2012	
Interest income				
Interest and fees on loans	\$32,260	\$35,501	\$39,619	
Interest on securities, taxable	686	492	672	
Federal Home Loan Bank dividends	373	181	38	
Other interest	111	155	300	
Total interest income	33,430	36,329	40,629	
Interest expense				
Interest on deposits	5,208	6,484	7,673	
Interest on borrowings	1,196	1,386	2,943	
Total interest expense	6,404	7,870	10,616	
Net interest income	27,026	28,459	30,013	
(Credit) provision for loan losses	(700) 250	250	
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	27,726	28,209	29,763	
Noninterest income	•		•	
Service charges and fees	1,999	1,667	1,632	
ATM fees and charges	2,044	2,133	2,233	
Referral commissions	390	320	313	
Bank-owned life insurance	436	450	478	
Net gain on sale of loans	575	2,131	_	
Other noninterest income (loss)	195	(21) 21	
Total noninterest income	5,639	6,680	4,677	
Noninterest expense	•	•		
Salaries and benefits	12,089	12,766	11,421	
Occupancy and equipment	2,954	2,980	2,715	
ATM expense	2,249	2,228	2,061	
Advertising and promotional	1,285	1,054	459	
Professional services	2,130	2,072	2,217	
Federal deposit insurance premiums	498	641	560	
Postage	209	244	253	
Telephone	795	851	816	
Loss on equity investment	356	258	188	
Electronic services	518	439	321	
REO foreclosure expenses and sales (gains)/losses	36	(222) 238	
Other operating expense	1,771	1,828	1,673	
Total noninterest expense	24,890	25,139	22,922	
Income before income tax expense	8,475	9,750	11,518	
Income tax expense	3,162	3,529	4,298	
Net income	\$5,313	\$6,221	\$7,220	
	•			

Earnings per common share:

Basic	\$0.72	\$0.76	\$0.81
Diluted	\$0.72	\$0.76	\$0.81

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Dollars in thousands)

	Year ende	d June 30,		
	2014	2013	2012	
Net income	\$5,313	\$6,221	\$7,220	
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Unrealized holding gain (loss) on securities available for sale	376	(735) 176	
Postretirement medical benefit costs				
Net gain (loss) arising during the period	49	153	(483)
Reclassification adjustment for net periodic benefit cost and benefits	25	56	55	
paid	23	30	33	
Income tax effect	(183) 204	104	
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	267	(322) (148)
Comprehensive income	\$5,580	\$5,899	\$7,072	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Common Stock

	Common 3	IOCK										
	Shares	Amoun	Additional Pa Capital	aid	-in Retained Ea	rni	Accumulate Comprehen Income (Lo Net of Tax	ed (siv ss)	Other eUnearned ES ,Shares	OP Total		
Balance June 30, 2011	9,574,960	\$96	\$ 100,599		\$ 61,832		\$ (21)	\$ (5,107)	\$157,39	99	
Net income for the year ended June 30, 2012	_		_		7,220		_		_	7,220		
Other comprehensive loss	s —	_			_		(148)		(148)	
Dividends declared (\$0.26 per share)	_	_	_		(2,329)	_		_	(2,329)	
Repurchase of common stock	(646,452)	(6)	(8,824)	_		_		_	(8,830)	
Stock options earned	_		56		_		_			56		
Stock options exercised	7,194		78		_		_			78		
Allocation of stock awards	_		170		_		_		_	170		
Issuance of stock awards	33,664		_		_		_		_			
Forfeiture of stock awards Allocation of ESOP	s(9,000)	_	_		_		_		_	_		
common stock (41,421 shares allocated)	_	_	118		_		_		414	532		
Balance June 30, 2012	8,960,366	\$90	\$ 92,197		\$ 66,723		\$ (169)	\$ (4,693)	\$154,14	18	
Net income for the year	0,200,200						•	,				
ended June 30, 2013	_	\$ —	\$ —		\$ 6,221		\$ —		\$ —	\$6,221		
Other comprehensive loss	<u> </u>	_	_				(322)		(322)	
Dividends declared (\$0.32 per share)	_	_	_		(2,618)	_		_	(2,618)	
Repurchase of common stock	(871,215)	(9)	(12,983)	_		_		_	(12,992)	
Stock options earned	_		37							37		
Stock options exercised	6,475		70							70		
Allocation of stock awards	_		264		_		_		_	264		
Issuance of stock awards	34 154						_					
Forfeiture of stock awards												
Tax benefit from	3(0,505)											
stock-based compensation	 1		15		_				_	15		
Allocation of ESOP												
common stock (41,421	_		200		_		_		415	615		
shares allocated)												
Balance, June 30, 2013	8,121,415	\$81	\$ 79,800		\$ 70,326		\$ (491)	\$ (4,278)	\$145,43	38	
	_	\$ —	\$ —		\$ 5,313		\$ —		\$ —	\$5,313		

Edgar Filing: Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-K

Net income for the year							
ended June 30, 2014							
Other comprehensive					267		267
income	_		_	_	207	_	207
Dividends declared				(2,429)			(2,429)
(\$0.33 per share)	_	_	_	(2,429)	_		(2,429)
Repurchase of common	(705,000) (7)	(12.005				(12.012)
stock	(795,098)) (1)	(12,905)	_	_	_	(12,912)
Stock options earned	_		23	_	_		23
Stock options exercised	13,130		143				143
Allocation of stock			316				316
awards			310		_		310
Issuance of stock awards	31,249		_		_		
Forefeiture of stock	(2,400) —					
awards	(2,400	<i>)</i> —			_		
Tax benefit from			57				57
stock-based compensation	1		31		_		31
Allocation of ESOP							
common stock (41,421			256		_	414	670
shares allocated)							
Balance, June 30, 2014	7,368,296	\$74	\$ 67,690	\$ 73,210	\$ (224)	\$ (3,864)	\$136,886
The accompanying notes	are an integ	gral part o	of these consolic	lated financial s	tatements		

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Dollars in thousands)

		ed June 30,		
	2014	2013	2012	
OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Net income	\$5,313	\$6,221	\$7,220	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating				
activities:				
Amortization of net premiums on securities	344	774	661	
Amortization of net premiums on loan purchases	249	445	313	
Amortization of net loan origination costs	284	248	11	
Provision for loan losses	(700) 250	250	
Net gain on sale of REO	(4) (115) (55)
Net gain on sales of loans held for sale	(575) (2,131) —	
Loans originated for sale	(29,572) (75,752) —	
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale	31,042	73,387	306	
Decrease in valuation allowance on loans held for sale	(86) —	_	
Depreciation and amortization	1,274	1,099	839	
Amortization of core deposit intangible		13	27	
Loss on equity investment	356	258	188	
Increase in cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance	(436) (450) (478)
Allocation of ESOP common stock	670	615	532	
Allocation of stock awards	316	264	170	
Stock options earned	23	37	56	
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	562	(274) 1,324	
Net change in accrued interest receivable	187	339	73	
Net change in other assets	519	652	141	
Net change in accrued expenses and other liabilities	(2,740) 1,123	1,710	
Net cash provided by operating activities	7,026	7,003	13,288	
INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Purchase of available-for-sale securities	(15,239) (20,686) (57,271)
Proceeds from maturities and principal repayments of available-for-sale	10,567	20,395	19,427	
securities	10,507	20,393	19,427	
Proceeds from maturities and principal repayments of held-to-maturity	130	672	1,005	
securities	130	072	1,003	
Net change in interest earning time deposits with other financial			11,669	
institutions	_		11,009	
Purchase of loans	_		(82,254)
Net change in loans	(26,414) 73,545	11,774	
Proceeds from sale of real estate owned	329	1,920	1,173	
Redemption of FHLB stock	383	2,623	1,809	
Purchases of premises and equipment	(1,239) (2,048) (1,355)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(31,483) 76,421	(94,023)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES				

Edgar Filing: Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-K

Proceeds from FHLB advances	25,000	_	60,000	
Repayment of FHLB advances		(20,000) (40,000)
Dividends paid on common stock	(2,429) (2,618) (2,329)
Repurchase of common stock	(12,912) (12,992) (8,830)
Net change in deposits	(1,823) (28,243) 48,180	
Tax benefit of stock awards	57	15		
Exercise of stock options	143	70	78	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	8,036	(63,768) 57,099	
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(16,421) 19,656	(23,636)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	85,674	66,018	89,654	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$69,253	\$85,674	\$66,018	
SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION				
Interest paid on deposits and borrowings	\$6,404	\$7,874	\$10,628	
Income taxes paid	3,200	2,850	2,324	
SUPPLEMENTAL NONCASH DISCLOSURES				
Transfer from loans to real estate owned	\$539	\$521	\$1,529	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2014, 2013, AND 2012

Note 1 – Nature of Business and Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Business: Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. (the "Company"), is a Maryland corporation that owns all of the outstanding common stock of Simplicity Bank (the "Bank"). The Company's primary activity is holding all of the outstanding shares of common stock of Simplicity Bank. The Bank is a federally chartered savings bank headquartered in Covina, California. The Bank's principal business activity consists of attracting retail deposits from the general public and originating primarily loans secured by first mortgages on owner-occupied, one-to-four family residences and multi-family residences located in its market area, and to a lesser extent, commercial real estate, automobile and other consumer loans. The Bank also engages in mortgage banking activities and, as such, originates, sells and services one-to-four family residential mortgage loans. While the Bank originates many types of residential loans, the Bank also purchases, from time to time, using its own underwriting standards, first mortgages on owner-occupied, one-to-four family residences secured by properties located throughout California.

The Company's business activities generally are limited to passive investment activities and oversight of its investment in the Bank. Unless the context otherwise requires, all references to the Company include the Bank and the Company on a consolidated basis.

Principles of Consolidation and Basis of Presentation: The financial statements of Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and predominant practices followed by the financial services industry. The consolidated financial statements presented in this report include the accounts of Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Simplicity Bank. All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Financial information presented in this report is derived in part from the consolidated financial statements of Kaiser Federal Financial Group, Inc. and subsidiary prior to November 13, 2012.

On November 13, 2012, the Company changed its name to Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. from Kaiser Federal Financial Group, Inc. and its trading symbol to SMPL. Concurrently, the Bank was renamed Simplicity Bank from Kaiser Federal Bank as part of a broader business strategy to operate as a community bank serving the financial needs of all customers within its communities.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Consolidated Financial Statements: The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expenses during the reporting period. Changes in these estimates and assumptions are considered reasonably possible and may have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements and thus actual results could differ from the amounts reported and disclosed herein. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of real estate owned, mortgage servicing assets ("MSAs"), mortgage banking derivatives, deferred tax assets and fair values of financial instruments.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: Cash and cash equivalents consist of vault and ATM cash, daily federal funds sold, demand deposits due from other banks, and other certificates of deposit that have an original maturity of less than ninety days. For purposes of the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, the Company reports net cash flows for customer loan and deposit transactions and interest bearing deposits in other financial institutions.

Securities: Securities available-for-sale represent securities that may be sold prior to maturity. These securities are stated at fair value, and any unrealized net gains and losses are reported as a separate component of equity until realized, net of any tax effect. Securities for which the Company has the ability and positive intent to hold to maturity are classified as held-to-maturity and are recorded at cost, adjusted for unamortized premiums or discounts. Premiums or discounts are recognized in interest income using the effective interest method over the estimated life of the investment. Gains and losses on sales are recorded on the trade date and determined using the specific identification method.

Securities available-for-sale may be sold in response to changes in market interest rates, repayment rates, the need for liquidity, and changes in the availability and the yield on alternative investments. Declines in the fair value of securities below their cost that are other than temporary are reflected as realized losses. Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") on at least a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. For securities in an unrealized loss position, management considers the extent and duration of the unrealized loss, and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer. Management also assesses whether it intends to sell, or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell, a security in an unrealized loss position before recovery of its amortized cost basis. If either of the

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2014, 2013, AND 2012

criteria regarding intent or requirement to sell is met, the entire difference between amortized cost and fair value is recognized as impairment through earnings.

For debt securities that do not meet the aforementioned criteria, the amount of impairment is split into two components as follows: 1) OTTI related to credit loss, which must be recognized in the income statement and 2) OTTI related to other factors, which is recognized in other comprehensive income. The credit loss is defined as the difference between the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost basis. Federal Home Loan Bank Stock: The Bank is a member of the FHLB system. Members are required to own a certain amount of stock based on the level of borrowings and other factors, and may invest in additional amounts. No ready market exists for the FHLB stock, and it has no quoted market value. The Bank carries FHLB stock at cost, classified as a restricted security, and is periodically evaluated for impairment based on the ultimate recoverability of the par value. Cash and stock dividends are reported as income.

Loans Held for Sale: Mortgage loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or fair value, as determined by outstanding commitments from investors. Net unrealized losses, if any, are recorded as a valuation allowance and charged to earnings. Loans held for sale consist primarily of long-term conforming fixed-rate loans secured by first trust deeds on one-to-four-family residences that are Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("FHLMC" or "Freddie Mac") loan products. The loans are offered to customers located in California and are generally sold with servicing rights retained. Gains and losses on sales of mortgage loans are based on the difference between the selling price and the carrying value of the related loan sold.

Loans: Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future are stated at the amount of unpaid principal, reduced by an allowance for loan losses and deferred net loan origination fees, increased (decreased) by net premiums (discounts) on purchased loans. Interest on loans is recognized over the terms of the loans and is accrued as earned, using the effective interest method. Net premiums (discounts) on purchased loans are recognized in interest income as a yield adjustment over the remaining period to contractual maturity of the loans using the effective interest method. Loan fees and certain direct loan origination costs are deferred, and the net fee or cost is recognized as an adjustment to interest income using the effective interest method over the contractual lives of the related loans. A loan is considered to be delinquent when payments have not been made according to the contractual terms, typically evidenced by non-payment of a monthly installment by the due date. A loan is moved to non-accrual status in accordance with the Company's policy, typically after 90 days of non-payment. Interest income on mortgage and commercial loans is discontinued at the time the loan is 90 days delinquent unless the loan is well-secured and in process of collection. In all cases, loans are placed on nonaccrual or charged-off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful. All interest accrued, but not collected, for loans that are placed on non-accrual status is reversed against interest income. Interest received on such loans is accounted for on cost-recovery method and recognized as principal reductions until, in management's judgment, the borrower's ability to make periodic interest and principal payments is reasonably assured, in which case the loans are returned to accrual status. If the loans are returned to accrual status, interest income is recognized using the effective interest method and the amount of interest applied to principal is accreted over the remaining terms of the loans.

Allowance for Loan Losses: The allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance for probable incurred credit losses and is established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense. Loans are charged off against the allowance for loan losses when management believes that the uncollectibility of the principal is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

The allowance is an amount that management believes will absorb probable incurred losses relating to specifically identified loans, as well as probable incurred losses inherent in the balance of the loan portfolio, based on an evaluation of the collectability of existing loans and prior loss experience. This evaluation also takes into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, overall portfolio quality, trends in classified assets, review of specific problem loans, peer data for certain portfolio segments, and current economic conditions that may affect the borrower's ability to pay. This evaluation does not include the effects of expected losses

on specific loans or groups of loans that are related to future events or expected changes in economic conditions. While management uses the best information available to make its evaluation, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary if there are significant changes in economic conditions. In addition, regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the allowance for loan losses, and may require adjustments to the allowance based on their judgment about information available to them at the time of their examinations.

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2014, 2013, AND 2012

When developing and documenting our methodology to determine the allowance for loan losses, we segregate our loan portfolio between real estate loans, which include one-to-four family residential mortgage loans and income property loans, and consumer loans. These portfolio segments represent the appropriate level for determining the historical loss experience as well as the level at which the Company monitors credit quality and risk characteristics of the portfolios as each portfolio involves different qualitative risks. The one-to-four family residential mortgage segment's predominant risk characteristics include the employment and income level of the borrower, the collateral and the geographic location of the property collateralizing the loan. The income property segment's predominant risk characteristics include the net operating income derived from the operation of the property, the liquidity of the guarantor as well as the real estate market and economic conditions. The consumer loan segment's predominant risk characteristics include the borrower's continuing financial stability which can be adversely impacted by job loss, divorce, illness or personal bankruptcy.

The allowance is based on ongoing, quarterly assessments of the probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles the allowance is comprised of general valuation allowances and valuation allowances on loans individually evaluated for impairment. The general component covers non-impaired loans and is based both on our historical loss experience as well as significant factors that, in management's judgment, affect the collectability of the portfolio as of the evaluation date. Loans that are classified as impaired are individually evaluated.

The overall appropriateness of the general valuation allowance is determined based on a loss migration model and qualitative considerations. The migration analysis looks at pools of loans having similar characteristics and analyzes their loss rates over a historical period. Historical loss factors derived from trends and losses associated with each pool over the most recent twelve quarters for our real estate loans and over the most recent twelve months for consumer loans. The loss factors are applied to the outstanding loans to each loan grade within each pool of loans. Loss rates derived by the migration model are based predominantly on historical loss trends that may not be indicative of the actual or inherent loss potential. As such, qualitative and environmental factors are utilized as adjusting mechanisms to supplement the historical results of the classification migration model. Significant factors reviewed in determining the allowance for loan losses included loss ratio trends by loan product; levels of and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans; levels of and trends in classified assets; levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries; trends in volume of loans by loan product; effects of changes in lending policies and practices; industry conditions and effects of concentrations in geographic regions. Qualitative and environmental factors are reflected as percent adjustments and are added to the historical loss rates derived from the criticized and classified asset migration model to determine the appropriate allowance amount for each loan pool.

A loan is impaired when it is probable, based on current information and events, the Bank will be unable to collect all contractual principal and interest payments due in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement. Loans for which terms have been modified in a manner resulting in a concession, and for which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties are considered troubled debt restructurings ("TDR") and classified as impaired. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Real estate loans evaluated for impairment are measured on an individual basis based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or at the loan's observable market price or the fair value of the collateral less estimated costs to sell, if the loan is collateral dependent. TDRs are measured at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's original effective interest rate. Collateral dependent TDRs are evaluated for impairment based on the fair value of the collateral, less estimated selling costs. The amount of impairment and any subsequent changes are

included in the allowance for loan losses.

Valuation allowances on real estate loans that are individually evaluated for impairment are charged-off when management believes a loan or part of a loan is deemed uncollectible. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance when received. A real estate loan is generally considered uncollectible when the borrower's payment is six months or more delinquent. Consumer loans are typically charged off no later than 120 days past due for closed-end credit and 180 days past due for open-end credit.

For income property loans, debt service coverage ratios, collateral values, seasoning and peer group data are analyzed. The specific component relates to loans that are classified as special mention or substandard. For such loans that are also classified as impaired, a valuation allowance is individually established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. While quantitative loss factors are constantly changing as the financial strength of the borrower and overall economic conditions change, there have been no significant changes to the accounting policies or methodology used to estimate the allowance for loan

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2014, 2013, AND 2012

losses. The estimate is reviewed quarterly by the Board of Directors and management and periodically by various regulatory entities.

Mortgage Servicing Assets: MSAs are initially recorded at fair value with the income statement effect recorded in gains on sales of loans. Fair value is based on market prices for comparable mortgage servicing contracts, when available, or alternatively, is based on a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing income. All classes of servicing assets are subsequently measured using the amortization method which requires servicing rights to be amortized into non-interest income in proportion to, and over the period of, the estimated future net servicing income of the underlying loans.

MSAs are evaluated for impairment based upon the fair value of the rights as compared to carrying amount. The fair values of MSAs are subject to significant fluctuations as a result of changes in estimated and actual prepayment speeds and default rates and losses. Impairment is determined by stratifying servicing assets into groupings based on predominant risk characteristics, such as interest rate, and loan type. Impairment is recognized through a valuation allowance for an individual grouping, to the extent that fair value is less than the carrying amount. Any subsequent increase or decrease in fair value of servicing assets is included with servicing fee income. Servicing fee income, which is reported on the income statement as service charges and fees, is recorded for fees earned for servicing loans. The fees are based on a contractual percentage of the outstanding principal or a fixed amount per loan and are recorded as income when earned.

Transfers of Financial Assets: Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales, when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when (1) the assets have been isolated from the Company, (2) the transferred obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets, and (3) the Company does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity.

Premises and Equipment: Leasehold improvements and furniture and equipment are carried at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Buildings are depreciated using the straight-line method with a useful life of twenty-five years. Furniture and equipment are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which is usually three to five years. The cost of leasehold improvements is amortized using the straight-line method over the lesser of the terms of the related leases or their useful life, which is usually three to ten years.

Real Estate Owned: Real estate acquired in settlement of loans ("REO") consists of property acquired through foreclosure proceedings or by deed in lieu of foreclosure. Generally, all loans greater than ninety days delinquent are processed for foreclosure. The Bank acquires title to the property in most foreclosure actions that are not reinstated by the borrower. Once real estate is acquired in settlement of a loan, the property is recorded as REO at fair market value, less estimated selling costs. The fair value of the REO is generally based upon an independent third party appraisal. Loan balances in excess of the fair value of the real estate acquired at the date of acquisition are charged to the allowance for loan losses. REO is subsequently accounted for at the lower of cost or fair value less estimated costs to sell. Any subsequent operating expenses or income, reduction in estimated fair values, and gains or losses on disposition of such properties are recorded in current operations.

Bank-Owned Life Insurance: The Bank has purchased life insurance policies on certain key employees. Bank-owned life insurance is recorded at the amount that can be realized under the insurance contract at the balance sheet date, which is the cash surrender value adjusted for other charges or other amounts due that are probable at settlement. Investment in Limited Liability Partnership: The Company has an investment in an affordable housing fund totaling \$297,000 and \$654,000 at June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, as part of the Company's Community Reinvestment Act program, and for the purposes of obtaining tax credits. The investment is recorded in other assets on the balance sheet and is accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Under the equity method of accounting, the

Company recognizes its ownership share of the profits and losses of the fund. This investment is regularly evaluated for impairment by comparing the carrying value to the remaining tax credits and future tax benefits expected to be received. Tax credits received from the fund are accounted for in the period earned (the flow-through method) and are included in income as a reduction of income tax expense.

Goodwill: Goodwill results from business acquisitions and represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of acquired tangible assets and liabilities and identifiable intangible assets. Goodwill is presumed to have an indefinite useful life and is not amortized but assessed at least annually for impairment. Any such impairment will be recognized in the period identified. The Company utilizes March 31 as the date to perform the annual impairment test. Long-Term Assets: Premises and equipment and other long-term assets are reviewed for impairment when events indicate their carrying amount may not be recoverable from future undiscounted cash flows. If impaired, the assets are recorded at fair value.

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2014, 2013, AND 2012

Loan Commitments and Related Financial Instruments: Financial instruments include off-balance sheet credit instruments, such as commitments to make or purchase loans. The face amount for these items represents the exposure to loss, before considering customer collateral or ability to repay. Such financial instruments are recorded when they are funded.

Mortgage Banking Derivatives: Commitments to fund mortgage loans (interest rate locks) to be sold into the secondary market and forward commitments for the future delivery of these mortgage loans are accounted for as free standing derivatives which are recorded at fair value in other assets or other liabilities. Fair values of these mortgage derivatives are estimated based on changes in mortgage interest rates from the date the interest on the loan is locked. The Company enters into forward commitments for the future delivery of mortgage loans when interest rate locks are entered into, in order to hedge the change in interest rates resulting from its commitments to fund the loans. Changes in the fair values of these derivatives are included in other noninterest income or other noninterest expenses. Stock-Based Compensation: Compensation cost is recognized for stock options and restricted stock awards issued to employees, based on the fair value of these awards at the date of grant. A Black-Scholes model is utilized to estimate the fair value of stock options, while the market price of the Company's common stock at the date of grant is used for restricted stock awards. Compensation cost is recognized over the required service period, generally defined as the vesting period. For awards with graded vesting, compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award.

Income Taxes: The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income tax of the state of California. The statute of limitations is no longer open for the assessment of federal taxes for tax years ended before June 30, 2011 and for the assessment of California taxes for tax years ended before June 30, 2008. The Company files consolidated income tax returns and allocates tax liabilities and benefits among subsidiaries pursuant to a tax sharing agreement. Income tax expense is the total of the current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax amounts for the temporary differences between carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The Company recognizes interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.

A tax position is recognized as a benefit only if it is "more likely than not" that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the "more likely than not" test, no tax benefit is recorded. At June 30, 2014 and 2013 there were unrecognized tax benefits of \$1.1 million for both years.

Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP"): The cost of shares issued to the ESOP but not yet allocated to participants is shown as a reduction of stockholders' equity. Compensation expense is based on the market price of shares as they are committed to be released to participant accounts. Dividends on allocated ESOP shares reduce retained earnings; dividends on unearned ESOP shares reduce debt and accrued interest.

Earnings per Common Share: The Company calculates earnings per common share ("EPS") using the two-class method. The two-class method requires the Company to present EPS as if all of the earnings for the period are distributed to common shareholders and any participating securities, regardless of whether any actual dividends or distributions are made. All outstanding unvested share-based payment awards that contain rights to non-forfeitable dividends are considered participating securities. The Company granted restricted shares under the 2004 Recognition and Retention Plan and the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan that qualified as participating securities. Basic earnings per common share is net income divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. ESOP shares are considered outstanding for this calculation unless unearned. Diluted earnings per common share includes the dilutive effect of additional potential common shares issuable under stock options.

Comprehensive Income: Comprehensive income consists of net income and other comprehensive income. Other comprehensive income includes unrealized gains and losses on securities available-for-sale and unrecognized actuarial gains and losses related to the postretirement medical benefit plan. The accumulated change in other comprehensive income, net of tax, is recognized as a separate component of equity.

Loss Contingencies: Loss contingencies, including claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business, are recorded as liabilities when the likelihood of loss is probable and an amount or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. Management does not believe there are such matters that will have a material effect on the financial statements.

Restrictions on Cash: Cash on hand or on deposit with the Federal Reserve Bank was required to meet regulatory reserve and clearing requirements.

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2014, 2013, AND 2012

Dividend Restrictions: Banking regulations require maintaining certain capital levels and may limit the dividends paid by the Bank to the Company or by the Company to stockholders.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments: Fair values of financial instruments are estimated using relevant market information and other assumptions, as more fully disclosed in Note 17. Fair value estimates involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment regarding interest rates, credit risk, prepayments, and other factors, especially in the absence of broad markets for particular items. Changes in assumptions or in market conditions could significantly affect the estimates.

Operating Segments: While the chief decision-makers monitor the revenue streams of the various products and services, operations are managed and financial performance is evaluated on a Company-wide basis. Operating segments are aggregated into one as operating results for all segments are similar. Accordingly, all of the financial service operations are considered by management to be aggregated in one reportable operating segment. Reclassifications: Some items in the prior year financial statements were reclassified to conform to the current presentation. Reclassifications had no effect on prior year net income or shareholders' equity.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements:

Adoption of New Accounting Standards:

In February 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. The amendments do not change the current requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income in financial statements. However, the amendments require an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income but only if the amount reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. For other amounts that are not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures required under U.S. GAAP that provide additional detail about those amounts. For public entities, the amendments were effective prospectively for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position and the required disclosures are included in Note 19.

Effect of Newly Issued But Not Yet Effective Accounting Standards:

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-01, Investments-Equity Method and Joint Ventures (Topic 323): Accounting for Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects, which simplifies the amortization method an entity uses and modifies the criteria an entity must meet to account for a low-income housing tax credit investment by using ASC 323-740's measurement and presentation alternative, including the simplified amortization method. This method permits an investment's performance to be presented net of the related tax benefits as part of income tax expense. For public entities, the ASU is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014, and interim periods therein. Early adoption is permitted. The amendments should be applied retrospectively to all periods presented. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-04, Receivables-Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40): Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans Upon Foreclosure. This ASU amends ASC 310 to clarify when an entity is considered to have obtained physical possession (from an in-substance possession or foreclosure) of a residential real estate property collateralizing a mortgage loan. A creditor is considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer

mortgage loan, upon either (1) the creditor obtaining legal title to the residential real estate property upon completion of a foreclosure or (2) the borrower conveying all interest in the residential real estate property to the creditor to satisfy that loan through completion of a deed in lieu of foreclosure or through a similar legal agreement. Upon physical possession of such real estate property, an entity is required to reclassify the nonperforming mortgage loan to other real estate owned. The ASU is effective for public business entities for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. Early adoption is permitted. The amendments in the standard may be adopted using either a modified retrospective transition method or a prospective transition method. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue From Contracts With Customers, that outlines a single comprehensive model for entities to use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers and supersedes most current revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance. The ASU is based on the principle that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The ASU also requires additional disclosure about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from customer contracts, including significant judgments and changes in judgments and assets recognized from costs incurred to fulfill a contract. Entities have the option of using either a full retrospective or a modified retrospective approach for the adoption of the new standard. The ASU becomes effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period. Early application is not permitted. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact that adoption of this guidance may have on its consolidated financial statements.

Note 2 – Investments

The amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale securities and the related gross unrealized gains and losses recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income were as follows:

	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Amortized Cost
	(Dollars in the	ousands)		
June 30, 2014				
Mortgage-backed (residential):				
Fannie Mae	\$6,933	\$109	\$ —	\$6,824
Freddie Mac	24,136	43	(376) 24,469
Ginnie Mae	4,147	1	_	4,146
Collateralized mortgage obligations (residential):				
Fannie Mae	8,640	19	(11) 8,632
Freddie Mac	13,027	9	(12) 13,030
Total	\$56,883	\$181	\$(399) \$57,101
June 30, 2013				
Mortgage-backed (residential):				
Fannie Mae	\$8,510	\$9	\$(17) \$8,518
Freddie Mac	21,565	_	(663) 22,228
Collateralized mortgage obligations (residential):				
Fannie Mae	13,125	59	(39) 13,105
Freddie Mac	8,980	57	_	8,923
Total	\$52,180	\$125	\$(719) \$52,774

The carrying value amount, unrecognized gains and losses, and fair value of securities held-to-maturity were as follows:

•		Gains	Unrecognized Losses	Value
	(Dollars in tho	usands)		
June 30, 2014				
Mortgage-backed (residential):				
Fannie Mae	\$100	\$3	\$ —	\$103
Freddie Mac	58	2	_	60
Ginnie Mae	30	1	_	31
Collateralized mortgage obligations (residential):				
Fannie Mae	207	5	_	212
Total	\$395	\$11	\$ —	\$406
June 30, 2013				
Mortgage-backed (residential):				
Fannie Mae	\$119	\$4	\$	\$123
Freddie Mac	74	5	_	79
Ginnie Mae	36	2		38
Collateralized mortgage obligations (residential):				
Fannie Mae	296	5		301
Total	\$525	\$16	\$ —	\$541

There were no sales of securities during the years ended June 30, 2014, 2013, and 2012.

All mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations have varying contractual maturity dates at June 30, 2014. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or repay obligations with or without call or repayment penalties. The Company did not have any securities that were callable as of June 30, 2014.

Accrued interest on securities at June 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$93,000 for both years.

Securities pledged at June 30, 2014 and 2013 had a carrying amount of \$54,000 and \$68,000, respectively, and were pledged to secure a short-term line of credit with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco.

Securities with unrealized losses at June 30, 2014 and 2013, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, are as follows:

	Less than 1	12 months	12 months	or more	Total		
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealize	ed
	Value	Loss	Value	Loss	Value	Loss	
	(Dollars in	thousands)					
June 30, 2014							
Description of Securities							
Mortgage-backed securities (residential)	\$ —	\$ —	\$16,404	\$(376)	\$16,404	\$(376)
Collateralized mortgage obligations	12,636	(14)	1,598	(9)	14.234	(23	`
(residential)	12,030	(14)	1,396	(9)	14,234	(23)
Total temporarily impaired	\$12,636	\$(14)	\$18,002	\$(385)	\$30,638	\$(399)

June 30, 2013

Edgar Filing: Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-K

Description of Securities							
Mortgage-backed securities (residential)	\$25,476	\$(680) \$—	\$ —	\$25,476	\$(680)
Collateralized mortgage obligations (residential)	_	_	2,508	(39) 2,508	(39)
Total temporarily impaired	\$25,476	\$(680) \$2,508	\$(39) \$27,984	\$(719)

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2014, 2013, AND 2012

The Company evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. Consideration is given to the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and the Company does not have the intent to sell these securities and it is not more likely than not that it will be required to sell the securities before their anticipated recovery. In analyzing an issuer's financial condition, the Company may consider whether the securities are issued by the federal government or its agencies, whether downgrades by bond rating agencies have occurred, and the results of reviews of the issuer's financial condition. At June 30, 2014 and 2013, ten debt securities had an aggregate unrealized loss of 1.3% of the Company's amortized cost basis. We do not own any non-agency mortgage-backed securities ("MBS") or collateralized mortgage obligations ("CMO"). All MBS and CMO were issued by a wholly-owned government corporation, Ginnie Mae, or U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies, including Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, institutions which the government has affirmed its commitment to support. The unrealized losses relate principally to the general change in interest rates and liquidity, and not credit quality, that has occurred since the securities' purchase dates, and such unrecognized losses or gains will continue to vary with general interest rate level fluctuations in the future. As management has the intent and ability to hold debt securities until recovery, which may be maturity, and it is not more likely than not that it will be required to sell the securities before their anticipated recovery, no declines in fair value are deemed to be other-than-temporary as of June 30, 2014 and 2013.

At June 30, 2014 and 2013, there were no holdings of securities of any one issuer, other than the U.S. Government and its sponsored entities and agencies, in an amount greater than 10% of stockholders' equity.

Note 3 – Loans

The composition of loans consists of the following:

	June 30,	June 30,	
	2014	2013	
	(Dollars in the	nousands)	
Real Estate:			
One-to-four family residential	\$288,960	\$319,631	
Multi-family residential	335,040	280,771	
Commercial real estate	38,062	55,621	
	662,062	656,023	
Consumer:			
Automobile	45,686	26,711	
Home equity	625	682	
Other consumer loans, primarily unsecured	11,481	10,917	
	57,792	38,310	
Total loans	719,854	694,333	
Deferred net loan origination costs	213	506	
Net premium on purchased loans	263	512	
Allowance for loan losses	(4,580) (5,643)
Loans receivable, net	\$715,750	\$689,708	

Loans held for sale totaled \$3.7 million as of June 30, 2014 as compared to \$4.5 million as of June 30, 2013. Loans held for sale are recorded at the lower of cost or fair value. Fair value is determined by outstanding commitments from the investor. Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale were \$31.0 million and \$73.4 million during the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, resulting in net gains on sales of loans of \$575,000 and \$2.1 million, respectively. Loans to executive officers, directors and their affiliates are as follows:

For the year e	nded June 30,		
2014	2013	2012	
(Dollars in the	ousands)		
\$2,620	\$2,254	\$811	
500	898	1,575	
(674) 85	(132)
	(617) —	
\$2,446	\$2,620	\$2,254	
	2014 (Dollars in the \$2,620 500 (674	(Dollars in thousands) \$2,620 \$2,254 500 898 (674) 85 — (617	2014 2013 2012 (Dollars in thousands) \$2,620 \$2,254 \$811 500 898 1,575 (674) 85 (132 — (617) —

The Bank did not purchase any loans during the years ended June 30, 2014 or June 30, 2013. All loans purchased in prior fiscal years were current at the time of purchase and are serviced by outside servicers. Purchased real estate loans serviced by others totaled \$30.0 million and \$44.9 million at June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The decrease in real estate loans purchased and serviced by others was primarily due to loan principal repayments and payoffs during fiscal 2014.

The Company's one-to-four family stated income residential loans totaled \$24.9 million and \$35.8 million at June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Company's one-to-four family interest-only residential loans totaled \$11.6 million and \$15.4 million at June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. Included in non-accrual loans at June 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$2.8 million and \$5.2 million in one-to-four family residential loans that are interest-only or stated income loans. Stated income is defined as a borrower provided level of income, which is not subject to verification during the loan origination process through the borrower's application, but the reasonableness of the borrower's income is verified through other sources. In 2005, the Bank began to underwrite interest-only loans assuming a fully

amortizing monthly payment and loan qualification was based upon the fully indexed and amortized payment. The Bank has no plans to increase the number of interest-only or stated income loans held in the loan portfolio or originate such loans and has not purchased such loans since 2007.

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2014, 2013, AND 2012

Accrued interest receivable on loans totaled \$2.2 million and \$2.3 million at June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The following is an analysis of the changes in the allowance for loan losses:

Balance, beginning of period \$3,009 \$839 \$1,654 \$83 \$4 \$54 \$5,643 Provision for loan losses (680) 395 (604) 84 (2) 107 (700) Recoveries 10 51 1 42 — 8 112 Loans charged-off (39) (292) — (73) — (71) (475) Balance, end of period \$2,300 \$993 \$1,051 \$136 \$2 \$98 \$4,580
Provision for loan losses (680) 395 (604) 84 (2) 107 (700) Recoveries 10 51 1 42 — 8 112 Loans charged-off (39) (292) — (73) — (71) (475) Balance, end of period \$2,300 \$ 993 \$ 1,051 \$ 136 \$ 2 \$ 98 \$ 4,580 Allowance for loan losses for the Twelve months ended June 30, 2013 One-to-fouMulti-family Commercial family residential real estate (Dollars in thousands) Balance, beginning of period \$4,692 \$ 1,519 \$ 1,131 \$ 62 \$ 63 \$ 35 \$ 7,502 Provision for loan losses 499 (1,367) 1,050 19 (9) 58 250 Recoveries 212 1,013 — 44 6 8 1,283 Loans charged-off (2,394) (326) (527) (42) (56) (47) (3,392)
Recoveries
Balance, end of period \$2,300 \$993 \$1,051 \$136 \$2 \$98 \$4,580 Allowance for loan losses for the Twelve months ended June 30, 2013 One-to-fouMulti-family Commercial family residential real estate (Dollars in thousands) Balance, beginning of period \$4,692 \$1,519 \$1,131 \$62 \$63 \$35 \$7,502 Provision for loan losses 499 (1,367) 1,050 19 (9)58 250 Recoveries 212 1,013 — 44 6 8 1,283 Loans charged-off (2,394)(326) (527) (42) (56) (47)(3,392)
Allowance for loan losses for the Twelve months ended June 30, 2013 One-to-fouMulti-family Commercial family residential real estate (Dollars in thousands) Balance, beginning of period Provision for loan losses 499 (1,367) 1,050 19 (9)58 250 Recoveries 212 1,013 — 44 6 8 1,283 Loans charged-off (2,394)(326) (527) (42) (56)(47)(3,392)
Twelve months ended June 30, 2013 One-to-fouMulti-family Commercial family residential real estate (Dollars in thousands) Balance, beginning of period Provision for loan losses 499 (1,367) 1,050 19 (9)58 250 Recoveries 212 1,013 — 44 6 8 1,283 Loans charged-off (2,394)(326) (527) (42) (56) (47)(3,392)
Balance, beginning of period \$4,692 \$1,519 \$1,131 \$62 \$63 \$35 \$7,502 Provision for loan losses 499 (1,367) 1,050 19 (9) 58 250 Recoveries 212 1,013 — 44 6 8 1,283 Loans charged-off (2,394)(326) (527) (42) (56)(47)(3,392)
Provision for loan losses 499 (1,367) 1,050 19 (9) 58 250 Recoveries 212 1,013 — 44 6 8 1,283 Loans charged-off (2,394)(326) (527) (42) (56) (47) (3,392)
Recoveries 212 1,013 — 44 6 8 1,283 Loans charged-off (2,394)(326) (527) (42) (56)(47)(3,392)
Balance, end of period \$3,009 \$ 839 \$ 1,654 \$ 83 \$4 \$54 \$5,643
Allowance for loan losses for the Twelve months ended June 30, 2012 One-to-fourMulti-family Commercial family residential real estate (Dollars in thousands) Automobile equity Other Total
Balance, beginning of period \$6,365 \$ 2,654 \$ 2,254 \$ 59 \$13 \$22 \$11,367
Provision for loan losses 1,177 101 (1,065) (53) 50 40 250
Recoveries 105 — 92 — 7 204
Loans charged-off (2,955) (1,236) (58) (36) — (34) (4,319)
Balance, end of period \$4,692 \$ 1,519 \$ 1,131 \$ 62 \$ 63 \$ 35 \$7,502

The following tables present the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method as of June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013:

June 30, 2014 Allowance for loan losses: Ending allowance balance	family	rMulti-family residential thousands)	Commercial real estate	Automobile	Home equity	Other	Total
attributed to loans: Individually evaluated for impairment	\$910	\$ <i>—</i>	\$ 52	\$ 2	\$—	\$15	\$979
Collectively evaluated for impairment	1,390	993	999	134	2	83	3,601
Total ending allowance balance	\$2,300	\$ 993	\$ 1,051	\$ 136	\$2	\$98	\$4,580
Loans:	One-to-fou family	ırMulti-family residential	Commercial real estate	Automobile	Home equity	Other	Total
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$12,431	\$ 1,263	\$ 3,506	\$ 2	\$—	\$15	\$17,217
Collectively evaluated for impairment	276,529	333,777	34,556	45,684	625	11,466	702,637
Total ending loan balance	\$288,960	\$ 335,040	\$ 38,062	\$ 45,686	\$625	\$11,481	\$719,854
June 30, 2013 Allowance for loan losses: Ending allowance balance attributed to loans:	family	rMulti-family residential thousands)	Commercial real estate	Automobile	Home equity	Other	Total
Allowance for loan losses:	family	residential		Automobile		Other	Total \$1,009
Allowance for loan losses: Ending allowance balance attributed to loans: Individually evaluated for impairment Collectively evaluated for	family (Dollars in	residential thousands)	real estate		equity		
Allowance for loan losses: Ending allowance balance attributed to loans: Individually evaluated for impairment	family (Dollars in \$941	residential thousands) \$—	real estate \$ 64	\$—	equity \$—	\$4	\$1,009
Allowance for loan losses: Ending allowance balance attributed to loans: Individually evaluated for impairment Collectively evaluated for impairment	family (Dollars in \$941 2,068 \$3,009	residential thousands) \$— 839	\$ 64 1,590 \$ 1,654	\$— 83 \$83	\$— 4 \$4	\$4 50	\$1,009 4,634
Allowance for loan losses: Ending allowance balance attributed to loans: Individually evaluated for impairment Collectively evaluated for impairment Total ending allowance balance Loans: Individually evaluated for impairment	family (Dollars in \$941 2,068 \$3,009 One-to-fou	residential thousands) \$— 839 \$839 srMulti-family	real estate \$ 64 1,590 \$ 1,654 Commercial	\$— 83 \$83	\$— 4 \$4 Home	\$4 50 \$54	\$1,009 4,634 \$5,643
Allowance for loan losses: Ending allowance balance attributed to loans: Individually evaluated for impairment Collectively evaluated for impairment Total ending allowance balance Loans: Individually evaluated for	\$941 2,068 \$3,009 One-to-four	residential thousands) \$ — 839 \$ 839 srMulti-family residential	\$ 64 1,590 \$ 1,654 Commercial real estate	\$ — 83 \$ 83 Automobile	\$— 4 \$4 Home equity	\$4 50 \$54 Other	\$1,009 4,634 \$5,643 Total

A loan is impaired when it is probable, based on current information and events, the Company will be unable to collect all contractual principal and interest payments due in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement. When it is determined that a loss is probable, a valuation allowance is established and included in the allowance for loan losses. The amount of impairment is determined by the difference between the recorded investment in the loan and the present value of expected cash flows, or estimated net realizable value of the underlying collateral on collateral dependent loans.

The difference between the recorded investment and unpaid principal balance of loans relates to net deferred origination costs, net premiums on purchased loans, charge-offs and interest payments received on impaired loans that are recorded as a reduction of principal. For real estate loans individually evaluated for impairment, there were no collateral dependent loans measured at fair value with a valuation allowance recorded and \$8.6 million impaired loans evaluated based on the loans' present value of expected cash flows with a valuation allowance of \$962,000 at June 30, 2014. This compares to \$1.5 million collateral dependent loans measured at fair value with a valuation allowance of \$32,000 and \$7.7 million evaluated based on the loans' present value of expected cash flows with a valuation allowance of \$974,000 at June 30, 2013.

F-21

The following tables present loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013:

	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment	Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated
June 30, 2014	(Dollars in thousan	nds)	
With no related allowance recorded:			
Real estate loans:			
One-to-four family	\$6,175	\$5,035	\$ <i>—</i>
Multi-family residential	1,656	1,263	_
Commercial real estate	3,084	2,336	_
	10,915	8,634	_
With an allowance recorded:			
Real estate loans:			
One-to-four family	7,705	7,396	910
Commercial real estate	1,170	1,170	52
Other loans:			
Automobile	2	2	2
Other	15	15	15
	8,892	8,583	979
Total	\$19,807	\$17,217	\$ 979
	Unpaid Principal	Recorded	Allowance for Loan
	Balance	Investment	Losses Allocated
June 30, 2013	(Dollars in thousand	nds)	
With no related allowance recorded:			
Real estate loans:			
One-to-four family	\$7,909	\$6,796	\$ <i>—</i>
Multi-family residential	1,961	1,547	_
Commercial real estate	5,704	4,940	_
	15,574	13,283	_
With an allowance recorded:			
Real estate loans:			
One-to-four family	8,227	7,994	941
Commercial real estate	1,196	1,196	64
Other loans:			
Other	4	4	4
	9,427	9,194	1,009
Total	\$25,001	\$22,477	\$ 1,009

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2014, 2013, AND 2012

The following table presents monthly average of individually impaired loans by class as of June 30, 2014, June 30, 2013, and June 30, 2012:

	Year ended June 30,			
	2014	2013	2012	
	(Dollars in the			
Real estate loan:				
One-to-four family	\$13,622	\$17,375	\$18,957	
Multi-family residential	2,182	2,057	2,768	
Commercial real estate	5,432	5,589	4,505	
Other loans:				
Home Equity		_	22	
Total	\$21,236	\$25,021	\$26,252	

Payments received on impaired loans are recorded as a reduction of principal. Interest payments collected on non-accrual loans are characterized as payments of principal rather than payments of the outstanding accrued interest on the loans until the remaining principal on the non-accrual loans is considered to be fully collectible. If the loan returns to accrual status, interest income would be recognized based on the effective yield to maturity on the loan and the amount of interest applied to principal will be accreted over the remaining term of the loan.

Foregone interest income, which would have been recorded had the non-accrual loans been current in accordance with their original terms, amounted to \$659,000, \$959,000 and \$456,000 for the years ended June 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and was not included in the results of operations. Included in foregone interest income, \$607,000 and \$801,000 for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, was collected and applied to the net loan balances. Prior to fiscal 2013, interest income on impaired loans were recorded on a cash basis. There were \$162,000, and \$905,000 interest income on impaired loans for fiscal 2013 and 2012, respectively, which were recognized on a cash basis.

The following table presents interest payments recorded as reduction of principal on impaired loans by class:

	For the year ended Ju	
	2014	2013
	(Dollars in thousa	
Real estate loan:		
One-to-four family	\$382	\$465
Multi-family residential	93	89
Commercial real estate	132	247
Total	\$607	\$801

At June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, there were no loans past due more than 90 days and still accruing interest.

The following table presents non-accrual loans by class of loans:

June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013
(Dollars in thous	ands)
\$5,390	\$10,310
781	1,547
1,460	4,045
2	14
15	4
\$7,648	\$15,920
	(Dollars in thous \$5,390 781 1,460

There were seven one-to-four family residential loans of \$2.1 million and two multi-family loans of \$781,000 on non-accrual status that were performing in accordance with their contractual terms at June 30, 2014.

The following tables present the aging of past due loans by class of loans:

June 30, 2014	•	60-89 Days Delinquent	90 Days or More Delinquent	Total Delinquent Loans	Total Current Loans	Total Loans
Real estate loans:	(2011413111)					
One-to-four family	\$2,123	\$409	\$301	\$2,833	\$286,127	\$288,960
Multi-family	_		-	-	335,040	335,040
Commercial	1,061		399	1,460	36,602	38,062
Other loans:	,			,	,	,
Automobile	113	15	2	130	45,556	45,686
Home Equity					625	625
Other	31	4	15	50	11,431	11,481
Total loans	\$3,328	\$428	\$717	\$4,473	\$715,381	\$719,854
	-	60-89 Days Delinquent	90 Days or More Delinquent	Total Delinquent Loans	Total Current Loans	Total Loans
June 30, 2013	-	Delinquent	More	Delinquent	Current	Total Loans
June 30, 2013 Real estate loans:	Delinquent	Delinquent	More	Delinquent	Current	Total Loans
	Delinquent	Delinquent	More	Delinquent	Current	Total Loans \$319,631
Real estate loans: One-to-four family Multi-family	Delinquent (Dollars in t	Delinquent housands) \$970 198	More Delinquent	Delinquent Loans	Current Loans \$316,521 280,573	\$319,631 280,771
Real estate loans: One-to-four family Multi-family Commercial	Delinquent (Dollars in t	Delinquent housands) \$970	More Delinquent	Delinquent Loans \$3,110	Current Loans	\$319,631
Real estate loans: One-to-four family Multi-family Commercial Other loans:	Delinquent (Dollars in t \$389	Delinquent housands) \$970 198	More Delinquent \$1,751	Delinquent Loans \$3,110 198 2,545	Current Loans \$316,521 280,573 53,076	\$319,631 280,771 55,621
Real estate loans: One-to-four family Multi-family Commercial Other loans: Automobile	Delinquent (Dollars in t \$389 32	Delinquent housands) \$970 198	More Delinquent	Delinquent Loans \$3,110 198 2,545 46	Current Loans \$316,521 280,573 53,076 26,665	\$319,631 280,771 55,621 26,711
Real estate loans: One-to-four family Multi-family Commercial Other loans: Automobile Home Equity	Delinquent (Dollars in t \$389 — — 32 143	Delinquent housands) \$970 198 2,545 —	More Delinquent \$1,751 14	Delinquent Loans \$3,110 198 2,545 46 143	Current Loans \$316,521 280,573 53,076 26,665 539	\$319,631 280,771 55,621 26,711 682
Real estate loans: One-to-four family Multi-family Commercial Other loans: Automobile	Delinquent (Dollars in t \$389 32	Delinquent housands) \$970 198	More Delinquent \$1,751	Delinquent Loans \$3,110 198 2,545 46	Current Loans \$316,521 280,573 53,076 26,665	\$319,631 280,771 55,621 26,711

Troubled Debt Restructurings:

Troubled debt restructurings totaled \$12.5 million and \$15.7 million at June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. Troubled debt restructurings of \$2.9 million and \$9.1 million are included in the non-accrual loans at June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013. The Bank has allocated \$79,000 and \$393,000 of valuation allowance to customers whose loan terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings and were on non-accrual status as of June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. Troubled debt restructured loans are included in non-accrual loans until there is a sustained period of payment performance (usually six months or longer and determined on a case by case basis) and there is a reasonable assurance that the timely payment will continue. During the year ended June 30, 2014, nine troubled debt restructurings with an aggregate outstanding balance of \$3.1 million were returned to accrual status as a result of the borrowers paying the modified terms as agreed for a sustained period of more than six months and the Bank believes there is reasonable assurance that timely payment will continue. This compares to ten troubled debt restructurings with an aggregate outstanding balance of \$4.6 million that were returned to accrual status during the year ended June 30, 2013. There were no further commitments to customers whose loans were troubled debt restructurings at June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013.

During the year ended June 30, 2014, there were no new loans that were modified as troubled debt restructurings. This compares to six one-to-four family residential loans with an aggregate outstanding balance of \$2.0 million and two commercial real estate loans with an aggregate outstanding balance of \$2.1 million whose terms were modified as troubled debt restructurings during the year ended June 30, 2013. The individual allowance allocated associated with these restructured loans was \$161,000 at June 30, 2013. The modification of the terms involved a reduction of the stated interest rates of the loans for periods ranging from 24 months to maturity. There was no modification of terms involving an extension of the maturity date or a permanent reduction of the recorded investment in the loans during the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

At June 30, 2014, there were no loans modified as troubled debt restructurings within the previous 12 months for which there was a payment default. At June 30, 2013, there was one one-to-four family residential loan, with an aggregate outstanding balance of \$303,000, modified as troubled debt restructuring in default within a 12 month-period following the modification resulting in a charge-off of \$90,000 at June 30, 2013. A loan is considered to be in payment default once it is 30 days contractually past due under the modified terms.

The terms of certain other loans were modified during the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 that did not meet the definition of a troubled debt restructuring. During the year ended June 30, 2014, twenty-eight loans in the amount of \$14.9 million were modified and not accounted for as troubled debt restructurings. During the year ended June 30, 2013, forty-nine loans in the amount of \$18.7 million were modified and not accounted for as troubled debt restructurings. The modifications were generally made to refinance the credits to maintain the borrowing relationships and generally consisted of term or rate modifications. Certain modifications were accompanied by principal paydowns. The borrowers were not experiencing financial difficulty and the modifications were made at market terms. In order to determine whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, an evaluation is performed of the probability that the borrower will be in payment default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without the modification. This evaluation is performed under the Company's internal underwriting policy.

Credit Quality Indicators

The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of the borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation and current economic trends among other factors. This analysis is performed monthly. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

Special Mention. Loans are classified as special mention when it is determined a loan relationship should be monitored more closely. Loans that are 60 days to 89 days past due are generally classified as special mention. In addition, loans are classified as special mention for a variety of reasons including changes in recent borrower financial conditions, changes in borrower operations, changes in value of available collateral, concerns regarding changes in

economic conditions in a borrower's industry, and other matters. A loan classified as special mention in many instances may be performing in accordance with the loan terms.

Substandard. Loans that are 90 days or more past due are generally classified as substandard. A loan is also considered substandard if it is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Substandard assets include those characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2014, 2013, AND 2012

Doubtful. Assets classified as doubtful have all of the weaknesses inherent in those classified substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable.

Loss. Assets classified as loss are considered uncollectible and of such little value that continuance as an asset, without establishment of a valuation allowance individually evaluated or charge-off, is not warranted. Loans not meeting the criteria as part of the above described process are considered to be Pass rated loans. Pass rated loans are generally well protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or by the value of the underlying collateral. Pass rated assets are not more than 59 days past due and are generally performing in accordance with the loan terms.

As of June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, and based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of loans by class of loans is as follows:

	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss
June 30, 2014	(Dollars in the	(Dollars in thousands)			
Real estate loans:					
One-to-four family	\$272,261	\$ 10,257	\$6,442	\$ —	\$—
Multi-family	327,999	3,174	3,867		
Commercial	24,708	7,556	5,798		
Other loans:					
Automobile	45,542	87	55	_	2
Home equity	625	_	_	_	
Other	11,455	8	2	1	15
Total loans	\$682,590	\$ 21,082	\$16,164	\$1	\$17
	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss
June 30, 2013	Pass (Dollars in t	•	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss
June 30, 2013 Real estate loans:		•	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss
*		•	Substandard \$12,224	Doubtful \$—	Loss \$—
Real estate loans:	(Dollars in the	housands)			Loss \$—
Real estate loans: One-to-four family	(Dollars in the \$296,434	housands) \$ 10,973	\$12,224		\$
Real estate loans: One-to-four family Multi-family	(Dollars in the \$296,434 275,143	\$ 10,973 3,094	\$12,224 2,534		Loss \$— —
Real estate loans: One-to-four family Multi-family Commercial	(Dollars in the \$296,434 275,143	\$ 10,973 3,094	\$12,224 2,534		\$
Real estate loans: One-to-four family Multi-family Commercial Other loans:	(Dollars in the \$296,434 275,143 43,246	\$ 10,973 3,094 3,895	\$12,224 2,534 8,480	\$— —	\$— — —
Real estate loans: One-to-four family Multi-family Commercial Other loans: Automobile	\$296,434 275,143 43,246 26,454	\$ 10,973 3,094 3,895	\$12,224 2,534 8,480	\$— —	\$— — — 4
Real estate loans: One-to-four family Multi-family Commercial Other loans: Automobile Home equity	\$296,434 275,143 43,246 26,454 682	\$ 10,973 3,094 3,895	\$12,224 2,534 8,480 137	\$— — — 18	\$— — —

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2014, 2013, AND 2012

Note 4 - Real Estate Owned

Changes in real estate owned are summarized as follows:

	For the year ended June 30,			
	2014	2013	2012	
	(Dollars in	n thousands)		
Beginning of period	\$ —	\$1,280	\$828	
Transfers in	539	521	1,529	
Capitalized expenditures	70	4	41	
Sales	(325) (1,805) (1,118)
End of period	\$284	\$—	\$1,280	

Net (expenses) income related to foreclosed assets are as follows and are included in other operating expense:

	For the year ended June 30,			
	2014	2013	2012	
	(Dollars i	n thousands)		
Net gain on sales	\$4	\$115	\$55	
Net operating (expense) income	(40) 107	(293)
Total	\$(36) \$222	\$(238)

The Company has no valuation allowance or activity in the valuation allowance account during the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Note 5 - Concentrations

A large percentage of the Bank's account holders are employees of the Kaiser Permanente Medical Care Program. Concentrations of credit risk arise when a number of customers are engaged in similar business activities, or activities in the same geographic region, or have similar economic features that would cause their ability to meet contractual obligations to be similarly affected by changes in economic conditions. Although the Company has a diversified loan portfolio, all of the real estate loans are secured by properties located in California and many of the borrowers reside in California; therefore, credit performance depends on the economic stability of California.

Note 6 - Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment are summarized as follows:

	June 30,		
	2014	2013	
	(Dollars in the	nousands)	
Building	\$1,218	\$1,218	
Leasehold improvements	2,062	1,961	
Furniture and equipment	8,298	7,160	
	11,578	10,339	
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(7,814) (6,540)
	\$3,764	\$3,799	

Depreciation expense on premises and equipment totaled \$1.3 million, \$1.1 million, and \$839,000 for the years ended June 30, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

The Company leases office space in eight buildings under operating leases for varying periods extending to fiscal year 2023, at which time the company can exercise renewal options that could extend certain leases through fiscal year 2038. The operating leases contain provisions requiring the Company to pay property taxes and operating expenses over base period amounts. All rental payments are dependent only upon the lapse of time. Minimum rental payments under operating leases are as follows at June 30, 2014:

Years ending June 30,	Amount
	(Dollars in
	thousands)
2015	\$1,023
2016	780
2017	564
2018	543
2019	560
Thereafter	707
	\$4.177

Rental expense, including property taxes and common area maintenance for the years ended June 30, 2014, 2013, and 2012 for all facilities leased under operating leases totaled \$1.2 million each year.

Note 7 - Goodwill

The activity in goodwill is summarized as follows:

	For the year ended June 3	
	2014	2013
	(Dollars in the	ousands)
Beginning of year	\$3,950	\$3,950
Acquired goodwill	_	
Impairment	_	_
End of year	\$3,950	\$3,950

Note 8 - Mortgage Banking and Mortgage Servicing Assets

The Company originates fixed rate one-to-four-family residential real estate loans secured by properties in California and generates revenues from the sale of these loans in the secondary market. Commitments to fund certain mortgage loans (interest rate lock commitments) to be sold into the secondary market and forward commitments for the future delivery of mortgage loans to third party investors are considered derivatives. It is the Company's practice to enter into forward commitments for the future delivery of residential mortgage loans when interest rate lock commitments ("IRLCs") are entered into in order to economically hedge the effect of changes in interest rates resulting from its commitments to fund the loans. These mortgage banking derivatives are not designated as hedge relationships. Fair values were estimated based on changes in mortgage interest rates from the date of the commitments. Changes in the fair values of these mortgage-banking derivatives are included in other noninterest income or expense. Prior to fiscal 2013, the Company held no derivatives.

At June 30, 2014, the Company had approximately \$6.4 million of interest rate lock commitments and \$7.7 million of forward loan sale commitments for the future delivery of residential mortgage loans. At June 30, 2013, the Company had approximately \$4.4 million of interest rate lock commitments and \$13.3 million of forward loan sale commitments for the future delivery of residential mortgage loans.

The net (losses) gains relating to free-standing derivative instruments used for risk management are summarized below:

	For the year ended June 30,		
	2014 2013		
	(Dollars in t	housands)	
Mandatory forward commitment	\$(303) \$227	
Interest rate lock commitments	171	(40)
Total (losses) gains	\$(132) \$187	

The following table reflects the amount and market value of mortgage banking derivatives included in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2014 and 2013:

	June 30,			
	2014		2013	
	Notional An	nountFair Value	Notional An	nountFair Value
	(Dollars in t	housands)		
Included in other assets				
Mandatory forward commitments	\$1,000	\$ —	\$4,582	\$227
Interest rate lock commitments	6,389	131	1,172	7
Total included in other assets	\$7,389	\$131	\$5,754	\$234
Included in other liabilities				
Mandatory forward commitments	\$6,650	\$76	\$	\$ —
Interest rate lock commitments	_	_	3,273	47
Total included in other assets	\$6,650	\$76	\$3,273	\$47

Mortgage loans sold and serviced for others are not reported as assets. The principal balance of these loans serviced for FHLMC was \$94.2 million and \$69.2 million at June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Custodial escrow balances maintained in connection with serviced loans were \$261,000 and \$163,000 at June 30, 2014 and 2013.

MSAs are recorded when loans are sold to investors and the servicing of those loans is retained by the Bank. MSAs are amortized in proportion to and over the period of the estimated net servicing income and are carried at the lower of cost or fair value. Impairment is recognized through a valuation allowance for an individual grouping, to the extent that fair value is less than the carrying amount.

Activities for MSAs and the related valuation allowance follows:

	For the year ended June 30,		
	2014	2013	
	(Dollars in t	housands)	
MSAs balance, beginning of year	\$602	\$3	
Additions	280	683	
Amortization	(131) (53)
Change in valuation allowance	20	(31)
MSAs balance, end of year	\$771	\$602	
Valuation allowance, beginning of year	\$31	\$ —	
Impairment provision (recovery)	(20) 31	
Valuation allowance, end of year	\$11	\$31	

The fair values of MSAs were \$1.1 million and \$690,000 at June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Fair value at June 30, 2014 was determined using a discount rate of 8.5% and a weighted-average constant prepayment rate ("CPR") of 8.38%. Fair value at June 30, 2013 was determined using a discount rate of 7.5% and a CPR of 12.25%. Note 9 - Deposits

The following table shows the distribution of, and certain other information relating to, deposits by type of deposit, as of the dates indicated:

	June 30,	
	2014	2013
	(Dollars in tho	usands)
Non interest-bearing demand	\$60,569	\$65,694
Interest-bearing checking	81,781	14,456
Savings	125,770	134,856
Money market	138,169	159,555
Certificates of deposit	246,534	280,085
Total deposits	\$652,823	\$654,646

Deposits by maturity are summarized as follows:

	June 30,	
	2014	2013
	(Dollars in tho	usands)
No contractual maturity	\$406,289	\$374,561
0-1 year maturity	97,198	121,566
Over 1-2 year maturity	80,237	50,293
Over 2-3 year maturity	40,640	73,413
Over 3-4 year maturity	6,769	27,379
Over 4-5 year maturity	21,690	7,309
Thereafter		125
Total deposits	\$652,823	\$654,646

The aggregate amount of certificates of deposit in denominations of \$100,000 or more at June 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$133.1 million and \$150.0 million, respectively.

Interest expense by major category is summarized as follows:

	June 30,				
	2014	2013	2012		
	(Dollars in the	nousands)			
Interest-bearing checking	\$146	\$7	\$3		
Savings	127	158	293		
Money market	367	413	658		
Certificates of deposit	4,568	5,906	6,719		
Total	\$5,208	\$6,484	\$7,673		

At June 30, 2014 and 2013, 33.0% and 32.6% of the dollar amount of our deposits were from customers who are employed by the Kaiser Permanente Medical Care Program.

Deposits from executive officers, directors, and their affiliates totaled \$765,000 and \$806,000 at June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively.

Note 10 – Federal Home Loan Bank Advances

FHLB advances were \$85.0 million and \$60.0 million at June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. At June 30, 2014, the stated interest rates on the Bank's advances from the FHLB ranged from 0.82% to 2.43% with a weighted average stated rate of 1.57%. At June 30, 2013, the stated interest rates on the Bank's advances from the FHLB ranged from 0.85% to 2.43% with a weighted average stated rate of 1.64%.

The contractual maturities by fiscal year of the Bank's FHLB advances over the next five years are as follows:

	June 30, 2014 (Dollars in tho	June 30, 2013
Fiscal Year of Maturity		ŕ
2015	\$20,000	\$20,000
2016	_	_
2017	25,000	20,000
2018	10,000	_
2019	30,000	20,000
Total	\$85,000	\$60,000

The Bank's advances from the FHLB are collateralized by certain real estate loans with an aggregate unpaid principal balance of \$522.6 million and \$450.1 million as of the most recent notification date for June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. At June 30, 2014 and 2013, the remaining amount available to borrow under this agreement was \$259.4 million and \$292.9 million, respectively. Each advance is payable at its maturity date.

The average balance of FHLB advances for the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 were \$75.4 million and \$69.2 million with average costs of 1.59% and 2.00%, respectively.

In fiscal 2009 the Bank established a short-term line of credit with the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco. As of June 30, 2014, \$28.5 million of commercial real estate loans, \$32.9 million of automobile loans, and \$54,000 of investment securities were pledged as collateral. As of June 30, 2013, \$50.3 million of commercial real estate loans, \$21.1 million of automobile loans, and \$68,000 of investment securities were pledged as collateral. At June 30, 2014 and 2013, the available line of credit was \$47.6 million and \$51.5 million, respectively. The Bank has never drawn on this line of credit.

Note 11 - Employee Benefits

401(k) Plan: The Company has a 401(k) pension plan that allows eligible employees to defer a portion of their salary into the plan. The Company matches 50% of the first 10% of employees' contributions. The Company contributed \$211,000, \$241,000, and \$177,000, to the plan for the years ended June 30, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively. Incentive Plan: The Company maintains an Annual Incentive Plan for all employees. Participants are awarded a percentage of their base salary for attaining certain performance goals. The compensation expense related to these plans for years ended June 30, 2014, 2013, and 2012 totaled \$742,000, \$904,000, and \$850,000 respectively. Postretirement Medical Benefits: The Company provides postretirement medical benefits to eligible retired employees and their spouses. The plan covers employees who were hired on or before May 31, 2005, have 20 or more years of service and retire after age 55. The net periodic benefit cost related to this plan was \$91,000, \$120,000, and \$74,000 for the years ended June 30, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively. The total postretirement obligation was \$1.0 million, \$1.1 million, and \$1.2 million at June 30, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

The expense of \$91,000 for the year ended June 30, 2014 is comprised of the service cost of \$33,000, interest cost of \$51,000, and the amortization of actuarial loss from prior years of \$7,000. There was no service cost from prior years amortized during fiscal 2014. An actuarial pre-tax gain in the amount of \$74,000 was recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income at June 30, 2014 due to demographic changes and a decrease in health care cost trend rate, partially offset by a decrease in the discount rate compared to prior year. The actuarial gain in the current year was more than offset by the remaining unamortized actuarial loss from the prior year and resulted in a net actuarial loss of \$1,000, which will be amortized into net periodic cost over the next fiscal year.

Note 12 - Employee Stock Compensation

Stock Option Plan ("SOP"): The Company's SOP provides for the issuance of options to directors, officers and employees. Pursuant to the Company's 2004 SOP, 409,105 shares of the Company's common stock may be awarded. The Company implemented the SOP to promote the long-term interest of the Company and its stockholders by providing an incentive to those key employees who contribute to the operational success of the Company. The options become exercisable in equal installments over a five-year period beginning one year from the date of grant. The options expire ten years from the date of grant and are subject to certain restrictions and limitations. Compensation expense related to the SOP was \$23,000, \$37,000 and \$56,000 for the years ended June 30, 2014, 2013, and 2012 and the total income tax benefit was \$4,000, \$9,000, and \$10,000, respectively.

A summary of the activity in the stock option plan for fiscal 2014 is presented below:

	June 30, 2014			
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Outstanding at beginning of year	180,220	\$15.98		
Granted		_		
Exercised	(13,130) 10.85		
Forfeited or expired	(5,251) 20.16		
Outstanding at end of year	161,839	\$16.26	3.04	\$512
Fully vested and expected to vest	161,753	\$16.27	3.04	\$511
Options exercisable at end of year	158,639	\$16.34	2.96	\$495

Information related to the stock option plan during each year follows:

	For the yea					
	2014	2013	2012			
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Intrinsic value of stock options exercised	\$83	\$26	\$9			
Cash received from options exercised	143	70	78			
Tax benefit realized from option exercises	34	3				

Stock options are issued at the current market price on the date of grant. The grant date fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The risk-free interest rate is the implied yield available on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with a remaining term equal to the the expected term of the stock option in effect at the time of the grant. Expected volatilities are based on historical volatilities of the Company's common stock for a period equal to the stock option's expected life. The Company uses historical data to estimate option exercise and post-vesting termination behavior. The expected term of options granted is based on historical data and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding, which takes into account that the options are not transferable. Expected dividends are the estimated dividend rate over the expected term of the stock options. There were no stock options granted during the years ended June 30, 2014, 2013, 2012. At June 30, 2014 the Company had an aggregate of 212,027 options available for future issuance under the SOP. As of June 30, 2014, there was \$10,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock options. This cost was expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.0 years. The weighted average annual forfeiture rate during fiscal 2014 was 1.80% and was calculated by using the historical forfeiture experience of all fully vested stock option grants and is reviewed annually.

Recognition and Retention Plan ("RRP"): The Company's RRP provides for the issuance of shares to directors, officers, and employees. Compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period of the awards based on the fair value at date of grant. Pursuant to the Company's 2004 RRP, 163,642 shares of the Company's common stock may be awarded. There were 12,000 restricted shares outstanding and the Company had an aggregate of 16,624 shares available for future issuance under the RRP at June 30, 2014. The shares granted vest over a five-year period. Compensation expense recognized was \$80,000, \$88,000, and \$140,000 for the years ended June 30, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

A summary of changes in the Company's RRP shares for the year follows:

		Weighted Average
	Shares	Grant Date
		Fair Value
RRP nonvested shares at July 1, 2013	21,958	\$12.46
Granted		
Vested	(7,558) 12.47
Forfeited	(2,400) 12.22
RRP shares at June 30, 2014	12,000	\$12.51

As of June 30, 2014, total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested shares under the plan amounted to \$115,000. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.2 years. The total fair value of shares vested during the years ended June 30, 2014, 2013, and 2012 was \$94,000, \$127,000, and \$111,000, respectively.

2011 Equity Incentive Plan ("EIP"): In December 2011, the Company's shareholders approved EIP, providing for the issuance of stock options, restricted stock awards and restricted stock units to directors, officers and employees.

Pursuant to the 2011 EIP, 892,500 shares of the Company's common stock may be issued. Of the 892,500 shares of Company stock, 637,500 shares are eligible to be delivered pursuant to the exercise of Stock Options, and 255,000 shares may be issued as Restricted Stock Awards or Restricted Stock Unit Awards. The maximum number of shares of stock that may be covered by options that are intended to be "performance-based compensation" under a grant to any one employee in any one calendar year is 100,000 shares. The Company implemented the EIP to promote the long-term interest of the Company and its stockholders by providing an incentive to attract, retain and reward individuals who contribute to the operational success of the Company. Unless the Compensation Committee

specifies a different vesting schedule at the time of grant, stock option, restricted stock awards, and restricted stock unit awards under the EIP shall be granted with a vesting rate not exceeding twenty percent per year, with the first installment vesting one year after the date of grant. The options expire ten years from the date of grant, or five years with respect to Incentive Stock Options granted to an employee who is a 10% stockholder.

There were no stock options granted during the year ended June 30, 2014 under the EIP. There were 49,245 restricted shares outstanding. The vesting of these shares varies with vesting periods up to five years. The Company had an aggregate of 185,698 restricted stock award shares available for future issuances under the EIP at June 30, 2014. A summary of changes in the Company's restricted shares issued under the EIP for the year ended June 30, 2014 follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
EIP nonvested shares at July 1, 2013	29,389	\$14.33
Granted	31,249	15.69
Vested	(11,393) 14.73
Forfeited		_
Restricted EIP shares at June 30, 2014	49,245	\$15.10

Compensation expense recognized was \$236,000, \$176,000 and \$30,000 for the years ended June 30, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As of June 30, 2014, there was \$533,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested shares under the plan. The unrecognized compensation cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.3 years. The total fair value of shares vested during the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$168,000 and \$133,000, respectively.

Note 13 - Employee Stock Ownership Plan

During 2004, the Bank implemented the Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP"), which covers substantially all of its employees. In connection with the second step stock offering on November 19, 2010, the Company issued 382,500 shares of common stock which were added to the 114,549 converted shares from the original ESOP for a total of 497,049 shares. The 497,049 shares of common stock are eligible for allocation under the ESOP in exchange for a twelve-year note in the amount of \$5.6 million. The \$5.6 million for the ESOP purchase was borrowed from the Company with the ESOP shares being pledged as collateral for the loan.

The loan is secured by shares purchased with the loan proceeds and will be repaid by the ESOP with funds from the Bank's contributions to the ESOP and earnings on ESOP assets. Shares issued to the ESOP are allocated to ESOP participants based on relative compensation and expense recorded during the year. Principal and interest payments are scheduled to occur over a twelve-year period. Principal contributions to the ESOP were \$425,000, \$412,000 and \$398,000 for the years ended June 30, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively. The outstanding balance on the loan was \$4.1 million and \$4.5 million at June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The unearned ESOP account of \$3.9 million and \$4.3 million were reported as a reduction to stockholders' equity at June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. During the years ended June 30, 2014, 2013, and 2012, 41,421 shares of stock were allocated each year with the average fair values of \$16.17, \$14.84 and \$12.86 per share, respectively. Eligible employees have a nonforfeitable right to the full amount credited to their account after six years of service. Vesting accelerates upon retirement, death or disability of the participant. Forfeitures are reallocated among remaining participating employees in the same proportion as contributions. Compensation expense was \$670,000, \$615,000, and \$532,000 for the years ended June 30, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

Shares held by the ESOP are as follows:

		June 30,	
		2014	2013
		(Dollars in thousan	nds)
Allocated shares		155,328	113,907
Unearned shares		341,721	383,142
Total ESOP shares		497,049	497,049
Fair value of unearned shares		\$5,963	\$5,556
Note 14 - Income Taxes			
The components of income tax expense are as follows:	ows:		
	June 30,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(Dollars in thousa	ands)	
Current			
Federal	\$1,982	\$3,055	\$2,322
State	618	748	652
	2,600	3,803	2,974
Deferred			
Federal	472	(185) 1,009
State	90	(89) 315
	562	(274) 1,324

The income tax provision differs from the amount of income tax determined by applying the United States federal income tax rate of 34% to pretax income due to the following:

\$3,162

\$3,529

\$4,298

	June 30,					
	2014		2013		2012	
	(Dollars in tl	housand	ls)			
Federal income tax at statutory rate	\$2,881		\$3,315		\$3,914	
State taxes, net of federal tax benefit	511		502		638	
General business credit	(196)	(196)	(197)
Bank-owned life insurance	(148)	(153)	(163)
Stock options	2		3		11	
Other, net	112		58		95	
Total	\$3,162		\$3,529		\$4,298	
Tax expense as a percentage of income before tax	37.3	%	36.2	%	37.3	%

F-34

Income tax expense

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. The Company's total net deferred tax assets are as follows:

	June 30,			
	2014	2013		
	(Dollars in th	nousands)		
Deferred tax assets:				
Allowance for loan losses	\$1,211	\$1,814		
Accrued expenses	151	195		
Accrued state income tax	134	278		
RRP and EIP Plan	78	48		
Net unrealized loss on securities available-for-sale	90	244		
Postretirement medical benefits	450	450		
Premises and equipment	179	26		
Other	384	142		
Total deferred tax assets	2,677	3,197		
Deferred tax liabilities:				
Goodwill and other intangibles	(959) (832)	
Federal Home Loan Bank Stock dividends	(557) (467)	
Affordable Housing Partnership	(185) (235)	
Total deferred tax liabilities	(1,701) (1,534)	
Net deferred tax asset, included in other assets	\$976	\$1,663		
			_	

There were no interest or penalties recorded in the income statement for the years ended June 30, 2014, 2013, and 2012. The Company had approximately \$27,000 and \$1,000 accrued for the payment of interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits at June 30, 2014, and 2013 respectively.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

	For the year		
	2014	2013	2012
	(Dollars in t	housands)	
Balance, beginning of year	\$1,060	\$796	\$364
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year	251	252	231
Additions for tax positions of prior years	_	22	201
Reductions for tax positions of prior years	(252) (10) —
Balance, end of year	\$1,059	\$1,060	\$796

The addition in the unrecognized tax benefits is primarily attributable to California tax incentives. Of the total unrecognized tax benefits, \$1.1 million represents the amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would favorably impact the effective tax rate in future periods. The Company does not expect the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits to significantly change in the next twelve months.

The Company is currently under examination by the State of California Franchise Tax Board for tax years ended June 30, 2007 through 2011. The Company does not believe that there are other tax jurisdictions in which the outcome of unresolved issues or claims is likely to be material to the Company's financial position, cash flows or results of operations. The Company further believes that adequate provisions have been made for all income tax uncertainties.

Note 15 - Capital Requirements and Restrictions on Retained Earnings

The Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Bank's financial statements. The regulations require the Bank to meet specific capital adequacy guidelines that involve quantitative measures of Bank's assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank's capital classification is also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the following table) of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined) and Tier 1 capital to total assets (as defined). Management's opinion, as of June 30, 2014, is that the Bank meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Bank was categorized as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, an institution must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk based and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the table below. There are no conditions or events since the notification that management believes have changed the Bank's category.

The Bank's actual capital amounts and ratios are presented in the following table.

							Minimum I	Required to	
				Minimum	Capital		be Well Ca	pitalized	
	Actual			Adequacy			Under		
				Requireme	ents		Prompt Cor	rective	
				_			Actions Pro	visions	
	Amount	Ratio		Amount	Ratio		Amount	Ratio	
	(Dollars in	thousands))						
June 30, 2014									
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$128,372	21.66	%	\$47,417	8.00	%	\$59,271	10.00	%
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	123,792	20.89		23,709	4.00		35,563	6.00	
Tier 1 (core) capital (to adjusted tangible	123,792	14.13		35,056	4.00		43,820	5.00	
assets)	123,192	14.13		33,030	4.00		43,820	3.00	
June 30, 2013									
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$137,788	23.85	%	\$46,222	8.00	%	\$57,777	10.00	%
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	132,145	22.87		23,111	4.00		34,666	6.00	
Tier 1 (core) capital (to adjusted tangible	132,145	15.28		34,591	4.00		43,238	5.00	
assets)	132,143	13.20		J T ,JJ1	- .00		73,430	5.00	

The following is a reconciliation of the Bank's equity under GAAP to regulatory capital:

GAAP Equity	June 30, 2014 \$126,538	2013 \$134,716	
1 1	*		
Goodwill and other intangibles (less deferred tax)	(2,893) (3,002)
Accumulated loss on securities	139	362	
Postretirement medical benefit costs	85	129	
Disallowed servicing assets	(77) (60)
Tier 1 Capital	123,792	132,145	
Allowance for loan losses	4,580	5,643	

Minimum Danimad to

Total regulatory capital

\$128,372

\$137,788

Regulations impose various restrictions on savings institutions with respect to their ability to make distributions of capital, which include dividends, stock redemptions or repurchases, cash-out mergers and other transactions charged to the capital account.

Generally, savings institutions, such as Simplicity Bank, that before and after the proposed distribution are well-capitalized, may make capital distributions during any calendar year up to 100% of net income for the year-to-date plus retained net income for the

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2014, 2013, AND 2012

two preceding calendar years. However, an institution deemed to be in need of more than normal supervision by the OCC may have its dividend authority restricted. The amount of retained earnings available for dividends was \$95,000 at June 30, 2014. Simplicity Bank may pay dividends to Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. in accordance with this regulatory requirement. In fiscal 2014, Simplicity Bank declared and paid \$15.0 million cash dividends to its parent, Simplicity Bancorp. Inc.; in fiscal 2013, the Bank did not declare cash dividends to its parent.

The Qualified Thrift Lender test requires at least 65% of assets be maintained in housing-related finance and other specified areas. If this test is not met, limits are placed on growth, branching, new investments, FHLB advances and dividends, or the Bank must convert to a commercial bank charter. Management believes that this test is met. Simplicity Bancorp, Inc. is not currently subject to prompt corrective action regulations. Savings and loan holding companies are not currently subject to specific regulatory capital requirements. In July 2013, the OCC and Federal Reserve Board published a final rule and the FDIC issued an interim final rule that revise the risk-based and leverage capital requirements for banking organizations agreed to by the members of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, commonly referred to as Basel III. The final rule implements a revised definition of regulatory capital, a new common equity tier 1 minimum capital requirement, and a higher minimum tier 1 capital requirement. In addition, the final rule establishes limits on a banking organization's capital distributions and certain discretionary bonus payments if the banking organization does not hold a specified amount of common equity tier 1 capital in addition to the amount necessary to meet its minimum risk-based capital requirements. The phase-in period for the Company and the Bank will begin in January 2015.

Note 16 - Loan Commitments and Other Related Activities

The Company is a party to various legal actions normally associated with collections of loans and other business activities of financial institutions, the aggregate effect of which, in management's opinion, would not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition or results of operations of the Company.

At June 30, 2014 and 2013, there were \$61.3 million and \$76.8 million, respectively, in cash and cash equivalents with balances in excess of insured limits.

Outstanding mortgage loan commitments at June 30, 2014 and 2013 amounted to \$5.1 million and \$6.5 million, respectively. There were \$3.6 million fixed rate loan commitments and \$1.5 million adjustable rate loan commitments at June 30, 2014. This compares to \$805,000 fixed rate loan commitments and \$5.7 million adjustable rate loan commitments at June 30, 2013. As of June 30, 2014 and 2013, commitments were issued at a weighted average rate of 3.22% and 3.39%, respectively. There were no commitments to purchase mortgage loans at June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Available credit on home equity and unsecured lines of credit is summarized as follows:

	June 30		
	2014	2013	
	(Dollars in th	(Dollars in thousands)	
Home equity	\$570	\$664	
Other consumer	1,405	1,474	
	\$1 975	\$2 138	

Commitments for home equity and unsecured lines of credit may expire without being drawn upon. Therefore, the total commitment amount does not necessarily represent future cash requirements of the Company. These commitments are not reflected in the financial statements.

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2014, 2013, AND 2012

Note 17 – Fair Value Measurements

FASB ASC 820-10 establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

There were no financial or nonfinancial instruments transferred in or out of Level 1, 2, or 3 input categories during the years ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Investment Securities: The fair values for investment securities are determined by quoted market prices, if available (Level 1). For securities where quoted prices are not available, fair values are calculated based on market prices of similar securities (Level 2). For securities where quoted prices or market prices of similar securities are not available, fair values are calculated using discounted cash flows or other market indicators (Level 3).

Impaired Loans: At the time a loan is considered impaired, it is valued at the lower of cost or fair value. Impaired loans carried at fair value generally receive allocations of the allowance for loan losses that are individually evaluated. For collateral dependent loans, fair value is commonly based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the independent appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value. Non-real estate collateral may be valued using an appraisal, net book value per the borrower's financial statements, or aging reports, adjusted or discounted based on management's historical knowledge, changes in market conditions from the time of the valuation, and management's expertise and knowledge of the client and client's business, resulting in a Level 3 fair value classification. Impaired loans are evaluated on a monthly basis for additional impairment and adjusted accordingly. Loans Held for Sale: The Company's loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value. Fair value for loans held for sale is determined using quoted secondary-market prices such as loan sale commitments and is classified as Level 2.

Mortgage Servicing Assets: MSAs are evaluated for impairment based upon the fair value of the rights as compared to carrying amount. The fair value is determined at a tranche level, based on a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing income. If the carrying amount of an individual tranche exceeds fair value, impairment is recorded on that tranche so that the servicing asset is carried at fair value. The valuation model utilizes assumptions that market participants would use in estimating future net servicing income and that can be validated against available market data such as prepayment speeds, ancillary income, servicing costs, and delinquency rates. The significant assumptions also include discount rate and prepayment speed incorporated into the valuation model that reflect management's best estimate resulting in a level 3 classification.

Derivative Assets and Liabilities: The Company's derivative assets and liabilities are carried at fair value as required by GAAP and are accounted for as freestanding derivatives. The derivatives are comprised of IRLCs and mandatory loan sale commitments. The fair value of IRLCs are determined by quoted prices for a similar commitment or commitments, adjusted for the specific attributes of each commitment (Level 3). The fair values of mandatory loan sale commitments are based on gains or losses that would occur if the Bank were to pair-off transaction with the investor at the measurement date (Level 2).

SIMPLICITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2014, 2013, AND 2012

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized in the following tables:

	8	Fair Value Measurements Using		
	Total	Quoted Prices in	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
	(Dollars in thousands)			
June 30, 2014				
Assets				
Available-for-sale securities				
Mortgage-backed securities (residential)	\$35,216	\$ —	\$ 35,216	\$ —
Collateralized mortgage obligations (residential)	21,667	_	21,667	_
Total available-for-sale securities	\$56,883	\$ —	\$ 56,883	\$—
Derivatives assets	\$131	\$—	\$ —	\$131
Liabilities				
Derivatives liabilities	\$76	\$ —	\$ 76	\$—
June 30, 2013				
Assets				
Available-for-sale securities				
Mortgage-backed securities (residential)	\$30,075	\$ —	\$ 30,075	\$
Collateralized mortgage obligations (residential)	22,105	_	22,105	
Total available-for-sale securities	\$52,180	\$ —	\$ 52,180	\$ —
Derivatives assets	\$234	\$ —	\$ 227	\$7
Liabilities				
Derivatives liabilities	\$47	\$—	\$ 	\$47

Nonrecurring fair value measurements typically involve assets that are periodically evaluated for impairment and for which any impairment is recorded in the period in which the remeasurement is performed. The following assets and liabilities were measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis:

	Total	Fair Value Meas Quoted Prices in Active Markets 1 Identical Assets (Level 1)	urements Using Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
	(Dollars in the	ollars in thousands)			
Assets at June 30, 2014 MSAs	\$75	\$—	\$ —	\$75	

Assets at June 30, 2013 Impaired Loans