BUTLER NATIONAL CORP Form 8-K June 20, 2013

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of report (Date of earliest event reported) June 18, 2013

BUTLER NATIONAL CORPORATION (Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Kansas (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation)

0-1678 (Commission File Number) 41-0834293 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

19920 W. 161st Street, Olathe, Kansas (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

66062 (Zip Code)

913-780-9595 (Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Not Applicable (Former Name or Former Address, if Changed Since Last Report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions (see General Instruction A.2. below):

oWritten communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425) oSoliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12) oPre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b)) oPre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Item 4.01 Changes in Registrant's Certifying Accountant

(a) Dismissal of independent registered public accounting firm.

On June 18, 2013, Butler National Corporation. (the "Company") dismissed Weaver Martin & Samyn, LLC as the independent auditors for the Company and its subsidiaries.

The Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors (the "Audit Committee") approved such dismissal.

The audit report of Weaver Martin & Samyn, LLC on the Company's financial statements as of and for the fiscal years ended April 30, 2012 and 2011 did not contain an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion and was not qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope or accounting principles.

During the fiscal years ended April 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and through June 18, 2013, there were no disagreements (as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K and the related instructions to Item 304 of Regulation S-K) with Weaver Martin & Samyn, LLC on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope of procedure, which disagreements, if not resolved to the satisfaction of Weaver Martin & Samyn, LLC, would have caused Weaver Martin & Samyn, LLC to make references to the subject matter of the disagreements in its reports on our consolidated financial statements for such years.

During the fiscal years ended April 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and through June 18, 2013, there were no reportable events of the kind that would require disclosure under Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K.

We provided Weaver Martin & Samyn, LLC with a copy of the above disclosures and requested Weaver Martin & Samyn, LLC to provide us with a letter addressed to the Securities and Exchange Commission stating whether it agrees with such disclosures. A copy of Weaver Martin & Samyn, LLC's letter dated June 18, 2013 is attached as Exhibit 16.1 to this Current Report on Form 8-K.

(b) Engagement of new independent registered public accounting firm.

On June 18, 2013, the Audit Committee engaged L.L. Bradford & Company ("Bradford"), as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. During the years ended April 30, 2012 and 2011 and through June 18, 2013, neither the Company nor anyone on its behalf consulted with Bradford regarding (a) the application of accounting principles to a specified transaction, either completed or proposed, or the type of audit opinion that might be rendered on the Company's consolidated financial statements, and neither a written report nor oral advice was provided to the Company by Bradford that was an important factor considered by the Company in reaching a decision as to the accounting, auditing or financial reporting issue; or (b) any matter that was either the subject of a disagreement (as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(iv) of Regulation S-K and the related instructions to Item 304 of Regulation S-K) or a "reportable event" (as described in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K).

Item 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits.

(d) Exhibits

16.1 Letter from Weaver Martin & Samyn, LLC, dated June 18, 2013.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

BUTLER NATIONAL CORPORATION

(Registrant)

Date: June 18, 2013 /S/ Clark D. Stewart

Clark D. Stewart

(President and Chief Executive Officer)

Date: June 18, 2013 /S/ Angela D. Shinabargar

Angela D. Shinabargar (Chief Financial Officer)

lid; WIDTH: 24%; VERTICAL-ALIGN: bottom">
2013

2012

Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.

38%

34%

Toys R Us

17%

22%

Target Corporation

10%

12%

Seasonality and Inventory Management

There are no significant variations in the seasonal demand for the Company's products from year to year. Sales are generally higher in periods when customers take initial shipments of new products, as these orders typically include enough products for initial sets for each store and additional quantities for the customer's distribution centers. The timing of these initial shipments varies by customer and depends on when the customer finalizes store layouts for the upcoming year and whether the customer has any mid-year introductions of products. Sales may also be higher or lower, as the case may be, in periods when customers are restricting internal inventory levels. Consistent with the expected introduction of specific product offerings, the Company carries necessary levels of inventory to meet the anticipated delivery requirements of its customers. Customer returns of merchandise shipped are historically less than 1% of gross sales.

Trademarks, Copyrights and Patents

The Company considers its intellectual property to be of material importance to its business. Sales of products marketed under the Company's trademarks, primarily NoJo® and Neat Solutions®, accounted for 28% and 26% of the Company's total gross sales during fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively. Protection for these trademarks is obtained through domestic and foreign registrations. The Company also markets designs which are subject to copyrights and design patents owned by the Company.

Employees

At May 31, 2013, the Company had approximately 145 employees, none of whom is represented by a labor union or is otherwise a party to a collective bargaining agreement. The Company attracts and maintains qualified personnel by paying competitive salaries and benefits and offering opportunities for advancement. The Company considers its relationship with its employees to be good.

International Sales

Sales to customers in countries other than the United States represented 2% of the Company's gross sales in each of fiscal years 2013 and 2012. International sales are based upon the location that predominately represents the final

destination of the products delivered to the Company's customers.

Licensed Products

Certain products are manufactured and sold pursuant to licensing agreements for trademarks. Also, many of the designs used by the Company are copyrighted by other parties, including trademark licensors, and are available to the Company through copyright license agreements. The licensing agreements are generally for an initial term of one to three years and may or may not be subject to renewal or extension. Sales of licensed products represented 55% of the Company's gross sales in fiscal year 2013, which included 38% of sales under the Company's license agreements with affiliated companies of The Walt Disney Company ("Disney"). The table below sets forth the Company's license agreements with Disney as of May 31, 2013.

<u>License Agreement</u> <u>Expiration</u>

Infant Bedding and Décor December 31, 2015
Toddler Bedding December 31, 2013
Disposable Products December 31, 2013

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

The following risk factors as well as the other information contained in this report and other filings made by the Company with the SEC should be considered in evaluating the Company's business. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently consider immaterial may also impair our business operations. If any of the following risks actually occur, operating results may be affected in future periods.

The loss of one or more of the Company's key customers could result in a material loss of revenues.

The Company's top three customers represented approximately 65% of gross sales in fiscal year 2013. Although the Company does not enter into contracts with its key customers, it expects them to continue to be a significant portion of its gross sales in the future. The loss of one or more of these customers could result in a material decrease in the Company's revenue and operating income.

The Company's business is impacted by general economic conditions and related uncertainties affecting markets in which the Company operates.

Economic conditions, including the availability of credit and the possibility of a global recession, could adversely impact the Company's business. These conditions could result in reduced demand for some of the Company's products, increased order cancellations and returns, an increased risk of excess and obsolete inventories and increased pressure on the prices of the Company's products. Also, although the Company's use of a commercial factor significantly reduces the risk associated with collecting accounts receivable, the factor may at any time terminate or limit its approval of shipments to a particular customer, and the likelihood of the factor doing so may increase due to a change in economic conditions. Such an action by the factor could result in the loss of future sales to the affected customer.

The loss of one or more of the Company's licenses could result in a material loss of revenues.

Sales of licensed products represented 55% of the Company's gross sales in fiscal year 2013, which included 38% of sales associated with the Company's license agreements with Disney. The Company could experience a material loss of revenues if it is unable to renew its major license agreements or obtain new licenses.

The Company's inability to anticipate and respond to consumers' tastes and preferences could adversely affect the Company's revenues.

Sales are driven by consumer demand for the Company's products. There can be no assurance that the demand for the Company's products will not decline or that the Company will be able to anticipate and respond to changes in demand. The Company's failure to adapt to these changes could lead to lower sales and excess inventory, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and operating results.

Customer pricing pressures could result in lower selling prices, which could negatively affect the Company's operating results.

The Company's customers could place pressure on the Company to reduce the prices of its products. The Company continuously strives to stay ahead of its competition in sourcing, which allows the Company to obtain lower cost products while maintaining high standards for quality. There can be no assurance that the Company could respond to a decrease in sales prices by proportionately reducing its costs, which could adversely affect the Company's operating results.

The strength of the Company's competitors may impact the Company's ability to maintain and grow its sales, which could decrease the Company's revenues.

The infant and toddler consumer products industry is highly competitive. The Company competes with a variety of distributors and manufacturers, both branded and private label. The Company's ability to compete successfully depends principally on styling, price, service to the retailer and continued high regard for the Company's products and trade names. Several of these competitors are larger than the Company and have greater financial resources than the Company. Increased competition could result in a material decrease in the Company's revenues.

Economic conditions could result in an increase in the amounts paid for the Company's products.

Significant increases in the price of raw materials that are components of the Company's products, including cotton, oil and labor, could adversely affect the amounts that the Company must pay its suppliers for its finished goods. If the Company is unable to pass these cost increases along to its customers, its profitability could be adversely affected.

Currency exchange rate fluctuations and other supplier-related risks could increase the Company's expenses.

The Company's products are manufactured by foreign contract manufacturers, with the largest concentration being in China. Difficulties encountered by these suppliers, such as fire, accident, natural disasters, outbreaks of contagious diseases or economic and political instability, could halt or disrupt production of the Company's products. Also, restrictive actions by foreign governments, a strengthening of the Chinese currency versus the U.S. dollar or changes in import duties or import or export restrictions could increase the prices at which the Company purchases finished goods. If the Company is unable to pass these cost increases along to its customers, its profitability could be adversely affected.

Changes in international trade regulations and other risks associated with foreign trade could adversely affect the Company's sourcing.

The Company sources its products primarily from foreign contract manufacturers, with the largest concentration being in China. The adoption of regulations related to the importation of product, including quotas, duties, taxes and other charges or restrictions on imported goods, and changes in U.S. customs procedures could result in an increase in the cost of the Company's products. Delays in customs clearance of goods or the disruption of international transportation lines used by the Company could result in the Company being unable to deliver goods to customers in a timely manner or the potential loss of sales altogether.

The Company's ability to comply with its credit facility is subject to future performance and other factors.

The Company's ability to make required payments of principal and interest on its debts, to refinance its maturing indebtedness, to fund capital expenditures or to comply with its debt covenants will depend upon future performance. The Company's future performance is, to a certain extent, subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors beyond its control. The breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under the Company's credit facility. Upon the occurrence of an event of default, the Company's lender could make an immediate demand of the amount outstanding under the credit facility. If a default was to occur and such a demand was to be made, there can be no assurance that the Company's assets would be sufficient to repay the indebtedness in full.

The Company's sourcing and marketing operations in foreign countries are subject to anti-corruption laws.

The Company's foreign operations are subject to laws prohibiting improper payments and bribery, including the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and similar laws and regulations in foreign jurisdictions, which apply to the Company's directors, officers, employees and agents acting on behalf of the Company. Failure to comply with these laws could result in damage to the Company's reputation, a diversion of management's attention from its business, increased legal and investigative costs, and civil and criminal penalties, any or all of which could adversely affect the Company's operating results.

Recalls or product liability claims could increase costs or reduce sales.

The Company must comply with the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act, which imposes strict standards to protect children from potentially harmful products and which requires that the Company's products be tested to ensure that they are within acceptable levels for lead and phthalates. The Company must also comply with related regulations developed by the Consumer Product Safety Commission and similar state regulatory authorities. The Company's products could be subject to involuntary recalls and other actions by these authorities, and concerns about product safety may lead the Company to voluntarily recall, accept returns or discontinue the sale of select products. Product liability claims could exceed or fall outside the scope of the Company's insurance coverage. Recalls or product liability claims could result in decreased consumer demand for the Company's products, damage to the Company's reputation, a diversion of management's attention from its business, and increased customer service and support costs, any or all of which could adversely affect the Company's operating results.

The Company's debt covenants may affect its liquidity or limit its ability to pursue acquisitions, incur debt, make investments, sell assets or complete other significant transactions.

The Company's credit facility contains usual and customary covenants regarding significant transactions, including restrictions on other indebtedness, liens, transfers of assets, investments and acquisitions, merger or consolidation transactions, transactions with affiliates and changes in or amendments to the organizational documents for the Company and its subsidiaries. Unless waived by the Company's lender, these covenants could limit the Company's ability to pursue opportunities to expand its business operations, respond to changes in business and economic conditions and obtain additional financing, or otherwise engage in transactions that the Company considers beneficial.

The Company's success is dependent upon retaining key management personnel.

The Company's ability to retain qualified executive management and other key personnel is vital to the Company's success. If the Company were unable to retain or attract qualified individuals, the Company's growth and operating results could be materially impacted.

A stockholder could lose all or a portion of his or her investment in the Company.

The Company's common stock has historically experienced a degree of price variability, and the price could be subject to rapid and substantial fluctuations. The Company's common stock has also historically been thinly traded, a

circumstance that exists when there is a relatively small volume of buy and sell orders for the Company's common stock at any given point in time. In such situations, a stockholder may be unable to liquidate his or her position in the Company's common stock at the desired price. Also, as an equity investment, a stockholder's investment in the Company is subordinate to the interests of the Company's creditors, and a stockholder could lose all or a substantial portion of his or her investment in the Company in the event of a voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy filing or liquidation.

ITEM 2. Properties

The Company's headquarters are located in Gonzales, Louisiana. The Company rents 17,761 square feet at this location under a lease that expires January 31, 2015. Management believes that its properties are suitable for the purposes for which they are used, are in generally good condition and provide adequate capacity for current and anticipated future operations. The Company's business is somewhat seasonal so that during certain times of the year these facilities are fully utilized, while at other times of the year the Company has excess capacity in these facilities. The table below sets forth certain information regarding the Company's principal real property as of May 31, 2013:

Approximate Owned/

Location	<u>Use</u>	Square Feet	Leased
Gonzales, Louisiana	Administrative and sales office	17,761	Leased
Compton, California	Offices, warehouse and distribution center	157,400	Leased
Los Angeles County, California	Unused – currently being sub-leased	55,104	Leased
Rogers, Arkansas	Sales office	1,625	Leased
Shanghai, People's Republic of China	a Office	1,550	Leased

ITEM 3. Legal Proceedings

BreathableBaby, LLC ("BreathableBaby") filed a complaint against the Company and CCIP on January 11, 2012 in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, alleging that CCIP's mesh crib liner infringes BreathableBaby's patent rights relating to its air permeable infant bedding technology. The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to the claims asserted in the complaint, and the Company intends to defend itself vigorously against all such claims. The Company and CCIP filed a motion for summary judgment of non-infringement on May 14, 2012. On July 25, 2012, the Court entered an order denying that motion without prejudice to refiling it at the close of discovery. In doing so, the Court did not rule on the merits of the Company's motion, but instead determined that further discovery was required before a motion for summary judgment could be decided. Discovery accordingly was resumed and remained ongoing as of May 31, 2013.

On March 27, 2013, an alleged California purchaser of a CCIP bedding set filed a complaint against the Company and CCIP in the Superior Court for the County of Riverside, California, purportedly on behalf of herself and similarly situated California consumers. The complaint generally alleges that CCIP's crib bumper products put children at risk of suffocation or crib death and that the Company and CCIP concealed and failed to disclose these purported risks through allegedly false and misleading advertising and product packaging. The complaint does not allege that any child has actually been harmed by these products. The complaint alleges violations of various consumer protection laws in California. The purported class is defined in the complaint as "All California consumers who, within the applicable statute of limitations, purchased a Crown Craft [sic] crib bumper, either alone or as part of a bedding set." The complaint seeks damages for the purported class in an unspecified amount, injunctive relief, restitution and disgorgement of all monies acquired by the Company and CCIP by means of any act or practice the Court finds to be unlawful, a Court-ordered corrective advertising campaign, and an award of plaintiffs' attorneys fees and costs. On April 29, 2013, the Company and CCIP removed the case to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to the claims asserted in the complaint, and the Company intends to defend itself vigorously against all such claims.

PART II

ITEM 5. Market For Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Description of Securities

The Company is authorized to issue up to 40,000,000 shares of capital stock, all of which are classified as common stock with a par value of \$0.01 per share. On May 31, 2013, there were 11,696,022 shares of the Company's common stock issued, 9,828,019 of which were outstanding.

Market Information and Price

The Company's common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol "CRWS". On May 31, 2013, the closing stock price of the Company's common stock was \$5.95 per share. The table below sets forth the high and low closing price per share of the Company's common stock and the cash dividends per share declared on the Company's common stock during each quarter of fiscal years 2013 and 2012.

Quarter Fiscal Year 2013	High	Low	Cash Dividends Declared	
First Quarter	\$5.67	\$5.22	\$	-0-
Second Quarter	6.42	5.25	Ψ	0.08
Third Quarter	6.31	4.87		0.58
Fourth Quarter	6.22	4.90	0.08	
Fiscal Year 2012				
First Quarter	\$5.00	\$4.60	\$	0.03
Second Quarter	4.93	3.51		0.03
Third Quarter	3.80	3.28		0.04
Fourth Quarter	5.35	3.52		0.12

Holders of Common Stock

As of May 31, 2013, there were approximately 200 registered holders of the Company's common stock.

Dividends

The Company's credit facility permits the Company to pay cash dividends on its common stock without limitation, provided there is no default before or as a result of the payment of such dividends.

ITEM 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion is a summary of certain factors that management considers important in reviewing the Company's results of operations, financial position, liquidity and capital resources. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report.

Results of Operations

The following table contains results of operations for fiscal years 2013 and 2012 and the dollar and percentage changes for those periods (in thousands, except percentages).

	2013	2012	Change	Change	
Net sales by category					
Bedding, blankets and accessories	\$55,677	\$63,832	\$(8,155)	-12.8 %	ó
Bibs, bath and disposable products	22,739	21,474	1,265	5.9 %	ó
Total net sales	78,416	85,306	(6,890)	-8.1 %	ó
Cost of products sold	58,649	65,763	(7,114)	-10.8 %	b
Gross profit	19,767	19,543	224	1.1 %	b
% of net sales	25.2 %	22.9 %			
Marketing and administrative expenses	11,674	11,411	263	2.3 %	ó
% of net sales	14.9 %	13.4 %			
Interest expense	81	229	(148)	-64.6 %	ó
Other income	6	16	(10)	-62.5 %	ó

Income tax expense	2,907	2,880	27	0.9	%
Net income	5,111	5,039	72	1.4	%
% of net sales	6.5 %	5.9 %)		

Net Sales: Sales of \$78.4 million for 2013 were lower than 2012, having decreased 8.1%, or \$6.9 million. The majority of the sales decrease was due to the transitioning away from an unprofitable private label bedding program in fiscal year 2012 and the shift of a modular program's shipment for a major customer from the first quarter of fiscal year 2013 into the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2012. Sales were also affected by the continued sluggishness in the economy, which has prompted many of the Company's customers to maintain a tight control over their inventories.

Gross Profit: In spite of the decrease in sales from fiscal year 2012 to 2013, gross profit increased in amount by \$224,000 and increased as a percentage of net sales from 22.9% to 25.2%. The increase as a percentage of net sales can be attributed to lower production costs resulting from the redesign of several product lines to reduce the Company's dependence on cotton, the cost of which had reached record-setting levels in fiscal year 2012. The discontinuance of an unprofitable private label bedding program mentioned above also contributed to higher margins and countered the decline in sales. The Company's gross profit for fiscal year 2013 was also positively impacted by the decline in amortization costs related to the Company's acquisition of the baby products line of Springs Global US on November 5, 2007, which were \$286,000 lower than in fiscal year 2012.

Marketing and Administrative Expenses: Marketing and administrative expenses for fiscal year 2013 increased in amount and as a percentage of net sales as compared with fiscal year 2012 primarily due to an increase of \$706,000 in overall compensation costs, which was offset by a decrease of \$241,000 in advertising costs.

Interest Expense and Income: Interest expense decreased by \$148,000 in fiscal year 2013 as compared to fiscal year 2012 due to lower balances on the Company's credit facility. Also, the Company and its lender, CIT Group/Commercial Services, Inc. ("CIT"), amended the Company's financing agreement effective as of April 2, 2012 to provide for the payment by CIT to the Company of interest on daily cash balances held at CIT at the rate of prime minus 1%, which was 2.25% during fiscal year 2013. The Company earned \$61,000 during fiscal year 2013 in interest income on its daily cash balances held at CIT, compared with earning no interest income during fiscal year 2012.

Income Tax Expense: The Company's provision for income taxes on continuing operations decreased slightly to 36.3% during fiscal year 2013 from 36.4% in fiscal year 2012. The decline in the effective tax rate is primarily due to an increase in the current year in the amount of certain expenses that are deductible for tax purposes but not book purposes.

Inflation: The Company has endeavored to increase its prices to offset inflationary increases in its raw materials and other costs, but there can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in maintaining such price increases or in effecting such price increases in a manner that will provide a timely match to the cost increases.

Known Trends and Uncertainties

The Company's financial results are closely tied to sales to the Company's top three customers, which represented approximately 65% of the Company's gross sales in fiscal year 2013. A significant downturn experienced by any or all of these customers could lead to pressure on the Company's revenues. At times, the Company has also faced higher raw material costs, primarily cotton, as well as increases in labor, transportation and currency costs associated with the Company's sourcing activities in China. Increases in these costs could adversely affect the profitability of the Company if it cannot pass the cost increases along to its customers in the form of price increases or if the timing of price increases does not closely match the cost increases, or if the Company cannot further reduce its dependence on cotton. For additional discussion of trends, uncertainties and other factors that could impact the Company's operating results, see "Risk Factors" in Item 1A.

Financial Position, Liquidity and Capital Resources

Net cash provided by operating activities increased from \$8.3 million for the fiscal year ended April 1, 2012 to \$9.1 million for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2013. In the current year, the Company experienced a greater increase in accrued liabilities, a lesser decrease in prepaid expenses and a lesser decrease in accounts receivable, which was offset by a greater reduction in inventory balances.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$1.1 million in fiscal year 2013 compared with \$561,000 in the prior year. Cash used in investing activities in the current year was primarily associated with capitalized costs of the Company's internally developed intangible assets.

Net cash used in financing activities increased from \$7.7 million to \$7.9 million in the current year as compared with the prior year. Cash used for the payment of dividends was \$6.4 higher in the current year, primarily associated with the payment of a special cash dividend during the current year of \$0.50 per share. Cash used in the prior year consisted primarily of \$6.3 million for net repayments on the Company's revolving line of credit and the Company's final payments on subordinated notes payable.

From April 2, 2012 to March 31, 2013, the Company used the bulk of its net cash provided by operating activities for the payment of dividends, including a special cash dividend paid during the current year of \$0.50 per share.

The Company's future performance is, to a certain extent, subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors beyond its control. Based upon the current level of operations, the Company believes that its cash flow from operations and availability on its revolving line of credit will be adequate to meet its liquidity needs.

The Company's credit facility at March 31, 2013 consisted of a revolving line of credit under a financing agreement with CIT of up to \$26.0 million, which includes a \$1.5 million sub-limit for letters of credit, with an interest rate of prime plus 1.00% or LIBOR plus 3.00%. The financing agreement matures on July 11, 2013 and is secured by a first lien on all assets of the Company. As of March 31, 2013, the Company had elected to pay interest on balances owed under the revolving line of credit, if any, under the LIBOR option. The financing agreement also provides for the payment by CIT to the Company of interest at the rate of prime minus 1.00%, which was 2.25% at March 31, 2013, on daily negative balances held at CIT.

Under the financing agreement, a monthly fee is assessed based on 0.25% of the average unused portion of the \$26.0 million revolving line of credit, less any outstanding letters of credit (the "Commitment Fee"). The Commitment Fee amounted to \$64,000 and \$61,000 during fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively. At March 31, 2013, there was no balance owed on the revolving line of credit, there was no letter of credit outstanding and the Company had \$24.3 million available under the revolving line of credit based on its eligible accounts receivable and inventory balances.

The financing agreement was amended on May 21, 2013 to extend its maturity date to July 11, 2016 and to provide for certain other modifications, including, effective as of July 11, 2013, (i) a reduction of the interest rates on the revolving line of credit to prime minus 0.50% or LIBOR plus 2.00%, (ii) a reduction of the Commitment Fee to 0.125% of the average unused portion of the revolving line of credit and (iii) a reduction of the interest rate on daily cash balances held at CIT to prime minus 2.00%.

The financing agreement contains usual and customary covenants for agreements of that type, including limitations on other indebtedness, liens, transfers of assets, investments and acquisitions, merger or consolidation transactions, transactions with affiliates, and changes in or amendments to the organizational documents for the Company and its subsidiaries. The Company was in compliance with these covenants as of March 31, 2013.

To reduce its exposure to credit losses, the Company assigns the majority of its trade accounts receivable to CIT pursuant to factoring agreements, which have expiration dates that are coterminous with that of the financing agreement described above. Under the terms of the factoring agreements in effect prior to April 1, 2012, CIT remitted payments to the Company on the average due date of each group of invoices assigned. If a customer failed to pay CIT by the due date, the Company was charged interest at prime plus 1.0%, which was 4.25% at April 1, 2012, until payment was received. The Company incurred interest expense of \$67,000 in the year ended April 1, 2012 as a result of the failure of the Company's customers to pay CIT by the due date. The factoring agreements were amended effective as of April 2, 2012 to provide for the remittance of customer payments by CIT to the Company as such payments are received by CIT.

CIT bears credit losses with respect to assigned accounts receivable from approved shipments, while the Company bears the responsibility for adjustments from customers related to returns, allowances, claims and discounts. CIT may at any time terminate or limit its approval of shipments to a particular customer. If such a termination or limitation were to occur, the Company would either assume the credit risks for shipments to the customer after the date of such termination or limitation or cease shipments to the customer. Factoring fees, which are included in marketing and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income, were \$455,000 and \$469,000 during fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively. There were no advances from the factor at either March 31, 2013 or April 1, 2012.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The Company prepares its financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") as promulgated by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB"), the Securities Act, the Exchange Act and the regulations thereunder as administered by the SEC. References herein to GAAP are to topics within the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (the "FASB ASC"), which the FASB periodically revises through the issuance of an Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") and which has been established by the FASB as the authoritative source for GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities.

Use of Estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated balance sheets and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The listing below, while not inclusive of all of the Company's accounting policies, sets forth those accounting policies which the Company's management believes embody the most significant judgments due to the uncertainties affecting their application and the likelihood that materially different amounts would be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions.

Inventory Valuation: The preparation of the Company's financial statements requires careful determination of the appropriate dollar amount of the Company's inventory balances. Such amount is presented as a current asset in the Company's consolidated balance sheets and is a direct determinant of cost of goods sold in the consolidated statements of income and, therefore, has a significant impact on the amount of net income reported in the accounting periods. The basis of accounting for inventories is cost, which includes the direct supplier acquisition cost, duties, taxes and freight, and the indirect costs to design, develop, source and store the product until it is sold. Once cost has been determined, the Company's inventory is then stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost determined using the first-in, first-out ("FIFO") method, which assumes that inventory quantities are sold in the order in which they are acquired.

The determination of the indirect charges and their allocation to the Company's finished goods inventories is complex and requires significant management judgment and estimates. If management made different judgments or utilized different estimates, then differences would result in the valuation of the Company's inventories and in the amount and timing of the Company's cost of goods sold and resulting net income for the reporting period.

On a periodic basis, management reviews its inventory quantities on hand for obsolescence, physical deterioration, changes in price levels and the existence of quantities on hand which may not reasonably be expected to be sold within the Company's normal operating cycle. To the extent that any of these conditions is believed to exist or the market value of the inventory expected to be realized in the ordinary course of business is otherwise no longer as great as its carrying value, an allowance against the inventory value is established. To the extent that this allowance is established or increased during an accounting period, an expense is recorded in cost of goods sold in the Company's consolidated statements of income. Only when inventory for which an allowance has been established is later sold or is otherwise disposed is the allowance reduced accordingly. Significant management judgment is required in determining the amount and adequacy of this allowance. In the event that actual results differ from management's estimates or these estimates and judgments are revised in future periods, the Company may not fully realize the carrying value of its inventory or may need to establish additional allowances, either of which could materially impact the Company's financial position and results of operations.

Revenue Recognition: Sales are recorded when goods are shipped to customers and are reported net of allowances for estimated returns and allowances in the consolidated statements of income. Allowances for returns are estimated based on historical rates. Allowances for returns, advertising allowances, warehouse allowances, placement fees and volume rebates are recorded commensurate with sales activity or using the straight-line method, as appropriate, and the cost of such allowances is netted against sales in reporting the results of operations. Shipping and handling costs, net of amounts reimbursed by customers, are not material and are included in net sales.

Allowances Against Accounts Receivable: The Company's allowances against accounts receivable are primarily contractually agreed-upon deductions for items such as cooperative advertising and warehouse allowances, placement fees and volume rebates. These deductions are recorded throughout the year commensurate with sales activity or using the straight-line method, as appropriate. Funding of the majority of the Company's allowances occurs on a per-invoice basis. The allowances for customer deductions, which are netted against accounts receivable in the consolidated balance sheets, consist of agreed-upon cooperative advertising support, placement fees, markdowns and warehouse and other allowances. All such allowances are recorded as direct offsets to sales, and such costs are accrued commensurate with sales activities or as a straight-line amortization charge of an agreed-upon fixed amount, as appropriate to the circumstances for each arrangement. When a customer requests deductions, the allowances are reduced to reflect such payments or credits issued against the customer's account balance. The Company analyzes the components of the allowances for customer deductions monthly and adjusts the allowances to the appropriate levels. The timing of the customer-initiated funding requests for advertising support can cause the net balance in the allowance account to fluctuate from period to period. The timing of such funding requests should have a minimal impact on the consolidated statements of income since such costs are accrued commensurate with sales activity or using the straight-line method, as appropriate.

To reduce its exposure to credit losses, the Company assigns the majority of its receivables under factoring agreements with CIT. In the event a factored receivable becomes uncollectible due to creditworthiness, CIT bears the risk of loss. The Company's management must make estimates of the uncollectiblity of its non-factored accounts receivable when evaluating the adequacy of its allowance for doubtful accounts, which it accomplishes by specifically analyzing accounts receivable, historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer creditworthiness, current economic trends and changes in its customers' payment terms.

Royalty Payments: The Company has entered into agreements that provide for royalty payments based on a percentage of sales with certain minimum guaranteed amounts. These royalty amounts are accrued based upon historical sales rates adjusted for current sales trends by customers. Royalty expense is included in cost of sales and amounted to \$6.8 million and \$6.9 million for fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Provision for Income Taxes: The Company's provision for income taxes includes all currently payable federal, state, local and foreign taxes that are based on the Company's taxable income and the change during the fiscal year in net deferred income tax assets and liabilities. The Company provides for deferred income taxes based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The Company's policy is to recognize the effect that a change in enacted tax rates would have on net deferred income tax assets and liabilities in the period that the tax rates are changed. The Company's provision for income taxes on continuing operations is based on effective tax rates of 36.3% and 36.4% in fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively. These effective tax rates are the sum of the top U.S. statutory federal income tax rate and a composite rate for state income taxes, net of federal tax benefit, in the various states in which the Company operates.

Management evaluates items of income, deductions and credits reported on the Company's various federal and state income tax returns filed and recognizes the effect of positions taken on those income tax returns only if those positions are more likely than not to be sustained. Recognized income tax positions are measured at the largest amount that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized. Changes in recognition or measurement are reflected in the period in which the change in judgment occurs. Based on its recent evaluation, the Company has concluded that there are no significant uncertain tax positions requiring recognition in the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company's policy is to accrue interest expense and penalties as appropriate on any estimated unrecognized tax benefits as a charge to interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income.

Depreciation and Amortization: The Company's consolidated balance sheets reflect property, plant and equipment, and certain intangible assets at cost less accumulated depreciation or amortization. The Company capitalizes additions and improvements and expenses maintenance and repairs as incurred. Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which are three to eight years for property, plant and equipment, and one to sixteen years for intangible assets other than goodwill. The Company amortizes improvements to its leased facilities over the term of the lease or the estimated useful life of the asset, whichever is shorter.

Valuation of Long-Lived Assets, Identifiable Intangible Assets and Goodwill: In addition to the depreciation and amortization procedures set forth above, the Company reviews for impairment long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangible assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of any asset may not be recoverable. In the event of impairment, the asset is written down to its fair market value. Assets to be disposed of, if any, are recorded at the lower of net book value or fair market value, less estimated costs to sell at the date management commits to a plan of disposal, and are classified as assets held for sale on the consolidated balance sheets.

The Company tests the carrying value of its goodwill annually on the first day of the Company's fiscal year. An additional impairment test is performed during the year whenever an event or change in circumstances suggest that the fair value of the goodwill of either of the reporting units of the Company has more likely than not fallen below its carrying value.

Patent Costs: The Company incurs certain legal and related costs in connection with patent applications. The Company capitalizes such costs to be amortized over the expected life of the patent to the extent that an economic benefit is anticipated from the resulting patent or alternative future use is available to the Company. The Company also capitalizes legal costs incurred in the defense of the Company's patents when it is believed that the future economic benefit of the patent will be maintained or increased and a successful defense is probable. Capitalized patent defense costs are amortized over the remaining expected life of the related patent. The Company's assessment of future economic benefit or a successful defense of its patents involves considerable management judgment, and a different conclusion or outcome of litigation could result in a material impairment charge up to the carrying value of these assets.

ITEM 8. Financial	! Statements ai	nd Suppl	lementary	Data
-------------------	-----------------	----------	-----------	------

See pages 17 and F-1 through F-17 hereof.

ITEM 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports filed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon and as of the date of that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) for the Company. With the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on the framework and the criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management has concluded that internal control over financial reporting was effective as of March 31, 2013.

The Company's internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and Board of Directors regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only a reasonable, rather than absolute, assurance that the Company's financial statements are free of any material misstatement, whether caused by error or fraud.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as required by Rule 13a-15(d) under the Exchange Act and, in connection with such evaluation, determined that no changes occurred during the Company's fourth fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART III

ITEM 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information with respect to the Company's directors and executive officers will be set forth in the Company's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held in 2013 (the "Proxy Statement") under the captions "Proposal 1 – Election of Directors" and "Executive Officers" and is incorporated herein by reference. The

information with respect to Item 405 of Regulation S-K will be set forth in the Proxy Statement under the caption "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" and is incorporated herein by reference. The information with respect to Item 406 of Regulation S-K will be set forth in the Proxy Statement under the caption "Code of Business Conduct and Ethics" and is incorporated herein by reference. The information with respect to Item 407 of Regulation S-K will be set forth in the Proxy Statement under the captions "Board Committees and Meetings" and "Report of the Audit Committee" and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 11. Executive Compensation

The information set forth under the caption "Executive Compensation" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information set forth under the caption "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

The table below sets forth information regarding shares of the Company's common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of options, warrants and other rights granted to employees, consultants or directors under all of the Company's existing equity compensation plans as of March 31, 2013.

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	exe out opt	eighted-average ercise price of tstanding tions, warrants d rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders:	J			
2006 Omnibus Incentive Plan	145,000	\$	5.23	523,750

ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information set forth under the captions "Director Independence" and "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information set forth under the caption "Proposal 2 – Ratification of Appointment of Independent Auditor" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a)(1). Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statements of the Company are filed with this report and included in Part II, Item 8:

- Report of

Independent

Registered

Public

Accounting

Firm

-

Consolidated

Balance

Sheets as of

March 31,

2013 and

April 1, 2012

-

Consolidated

Statements of

Income for

the

Fiscal Years

Ended March

31, 2013 and

April 1, 2012

_

Consolidated

Statements of

Changes in

Shareholders'

Equity for the

Fiscal Years

Lugar Filling. DOTELIT NATIONAL CONT - FORTH O-N
Ended March 31, 2013 and April 1, 2012
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Fiscal Years Ended
March 31, 2013 and April 1, 2012 - Notes to
Consolidated Financial Statements
(a)(2). Financial Statement Schedule
The following financial statement schedule of the Company is filed with this report:
Schedule II — Valuation and Qualifying Account Page 17
All other schedules not listed above have been omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is included in the financial statements or notes thereto.
16

SCHEDULE II

CROWN CRAFTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

	alifying Accoun	ifying Accounts		
Column A	Column Column		Column D	Column
Column A	В	C	Column D	\mathbf{E}
	Balance at Beginni	Charged	Deductions ⁽¹⁾	Balance at End of
	of Period (in thou	Expenses usands)		Period
Accounts Receivable Valuation Accounts:				
Year Ended April 1, 2012 Allowance for customer deductions Year Ended March 31, 2013	,	\$ 7,882	\$ 8,215	\$ 1,062
Allowance for customer deductions	\$1,062	\$ 3,832	\$ 4,545	\$ 349

Deductions from the allowance for customer deductions for the fiscal year ended April 1, 2012 included volume (1) rebates from one of the Company's largest customers. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2013, the volume rebates for this customer were taken as a reduction from each invoice, rather than as a periodic charge back from the customer as a deduction from the allowance for customer deductions.

(a)(3). Exhibits

Exhibits required to be filed by Item 601 of SEC Regulation S-K are included as Exhibits to this report as follows:

Exhibit

Number Description of Exhibits

- 3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company. (2)
- 3.2 Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company. (13)
- 3.3 Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company. (12)
- 4.1 Instruments defining the rights of security holders are contained in the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company. (2)
- 4.2 Instruments defining the rights of security holders are contained in the Amended and Restated Bylaws of the $\overline{\text{Company}}$. (12)
- 4.3 Crown Crafts, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Incentive Plan (As Amended August 14, 2012). (16)
- 4.4 Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement. (5)
- 4.5 Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement (Employees). (5)
- 4.6 Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement (Directors). (5)
- 4.7 Form of Restricted Stock Grant Agreement (Form A). (5)
- 4.8 Form of Restricted Stock Grant Agreement (Form B). (5)
- 10.1 Employment Agreement dated July 23, 2001 by and between the Company and E. Randall Chestnut. (1)
- $\frac{\text{Amended and Restated Severance Protection Agreement dated April 20, 2004 by and between the Company and E. Randall Chestnut. (3)$
- Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated April 20, 2004 by and between the Company and $\overline{\text{Nanci}}$ Freeman. (3)
- Financing Agreement dated as of July11, 2006 by and among the Company, Churchill Weavers, Inc., Hamco, Inc., Crown Crafts Infant Products, Inc. and The CIT Group/Commercial Services, Inc. (4)
- 10.5 Stock Pledge Agreement dated as of July11, 2006 by and among the Company, Churchill Weavers, Inc., Hamco, Inc., Crown Crafts Infant Products, Inc. and The CIT Group/Commercial Services, Inc. (4)
 First Amendment to Financing Agreement dated as of November 5, 2007 by and among Crown Crafts, Inc.,
- 10.6 Churchill Weavers, Inc., Hamco, Inc., Crown Crafts Infant Products, Inc. and The CIT Group/Commercial Services, Inc. (6)
- 10.7 Employment Agreement dated November 6, 2008 by and between the Company and Olivia W. Elliott (7)
- $\frac{\text{First Amendment to Employment Agreement dated November 6, 2008 by and between the Company and E.}{\text{Randall Chestnut. (8)}}$
- $\frac{\text{First Amendment to Amended and Restated Severance Protection Agreement dated November 6, 2008 by and between the Company and E. Randall Chestnut. (8)$
- 10.10 First Amendment to Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated November 6, 2008 by and between the Company and Nanci Freeman. (8)

 Third Amendment to Financing Agreement dated as of July 2, 2009 by and among Crown Crafts, Inc.,
- 10.11 Churchill Weavers, Inc., Hamco, Inc., Crown Crafts Infant Products, Inc. and The CIT Group/Commercial Services, Inc. (9)
 - Sixth Amendment to Financing Agreement dated as of March 5, 2010 by and among Crown Crafts, Inc.,
- 10.12 Churchill Weavers, Inc., Hamco, Inc., Crown Crafts Infant Products, Inc. and The CIT Group/Commercial Services, Inc. (10)

- Seventh Amendment to Financing Agreement dated as of May 27, 2010 by and among Crown Crafts, Inc.,
 Churchill Woovers, Inc., Homeo, Inc., Crown Crafts, Infant Products, Inc. and The CIT Group/Commercial
- 10.13 Churchill Weavers, Inc., Hamco, Inc., Crown Crafts Infant Products, Inc. and The CIT Group/Commercial Services, Inc. (11)
 - Eighth Amendment to Financing Agreement dated as of March 26, 2012 by and among Crown Crafts, Inc.,
- 10.14 Churchill Weavers, Inc., Hamco, Inc., Crown Crafts Infant Products, Inc. and The CIT Group/Commercial Services, Inc. (14)

- 10.15 Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated March 26, 2012 by and between the Company and Nanci Freeman. (15)
- Ninth Amendment to Financing Agreement dated May 21, 2013 by and among Crown Crafts, Inc., Hamco,
- Inc., Crown Crafts Infant Products, Inc. and The CIT Group/Commercial Services, Inc. (17)
- 14.1 —Code of Ethics. (3)
- 21.1 —Subsidiaries of the Company. (18)
- 23.1 —Consent of KPMG LLP. (18)
- 31.1 —Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification by the Company's Chief Executive Officer. (18)
- 31.2 -Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification by the Company's Chief Financial Officer. (18)
- 32.1 —Section 1350 Certification by the Company's Chief Executive Officer. (18)
- 32.2 —Section 1350 Certification by the Company's Chief Financial Officer. (18)
- The following information from the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March $\overline{31}$, 2013, formatted as interactive data files in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language). (19):
 - (i) Consolidated Statements of Income;
 - (ii) Consolidated Balance Sheets:
 - (iii) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity;
 - (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and
 - (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (1) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 23, 2001.
- (2) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 28, 2003.
- (3) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 28, 2004.
- (4) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 17, 2006.
- (5) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 dated August 24, 2006.
- (6) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 9, 2007.
- (7) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A dated November 7, 2008.
- (8) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 7, 2008.
- (9) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 6, 2009.
- (10) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 8, 2010.
- (11) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 27, 2010.

(12)

Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 4, 2011.

- (13) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 9, 2011.
- (14) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 27, 2012.
- (15) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 30, 2012.
- (16) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 dated August 14, 2012.
- (17) Incorporated herein by reference to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 21, 2013.
- (18) Filed herewith.

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not to be filed or part of a

registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act, are deemed not to be filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CROWN CRAFTS, INC.

By: /s/ E. Randall Chestnut

E. Randall Chestnut

Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

<u>Signatures</u>	<u>Title</u>	Date
/s/ E. Randall Chestnut	Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive	e June 13, 2013
E. Randall Chestnut	Officer)	
/s/ Jon C. Biro	Director	June 13, 2013
Jon C. Biro		2013
/s/ Melvin L. Keatin	gDirector	June 13, 2013
Melvin L. Keating		2013
/s/ Sidney Kirschner	Director	June 13, 2013
Sidney Kirschner		2013
/s/ Zenon S. Nie	Director	June 13, 2013
Zenon S. Nie		2013
/s/ Donald Ratajczał	Director	June 13, 2013
Donald Ratajczak		2013
/s/ Patricia Stensrud	Director	June 13, 2013
Patricia Stensrud		2013

/s/ Olivia W. Elliott Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

June 13, 2013

20

ITEM 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Audited Financial Statements:	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2013 and April 1, 2012	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Income for the Fiscal Years Ended March 31, 2013 and April 1, 2012	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the Fiscal Years Ended March 31, 2013 and April 1, 2012	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Fiscal Years Ended March 31, 2013 and April 1, 2012	F-5
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-6

Rei	port	of	Inde	pendent	Regis	stered 1	Public	Accoun	ting	Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders Crown Crafts, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Crown Crafts, Inc. and subsidiaries as of March 31, 2013 and April 1, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited financial statement Schedule II included in Item 15. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Crown Crafts, Inc. and subsidiaries as of March 31, 2013 and April 1, 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Baton Rouge, Louisiana

June 19, 2013

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

MARCH 31, 2013 AND APRIL 1, 2012

	March 31, 2013 (amounts thousands	
	share and	per
	share amo	ounts)
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$340	\$214
Accounts receivable (net of allowances of \$349 at March 31, 2013 and \$1,062 at April 1, 2012):	φ <i>5</i> 4 0	φ214
Due from factor	21,431	19,441
Other	293	882
Inventories	10,930	11,839
Prepaid expenses	2,073	2,427
Deferred income taxes	160	-
Assets held for sale	-	275
Total current assets	35,227	35,078
Property, plant and equipment - at cost:	35,227	22,070
Vehicles	193	187
Land, buildings and leasehold improvements	216	217
Machinery and equipment	2,656	2,351
Furniture and fixtures	743	747
Property, plant and equipment - gross	3,808	3,502
Less accumulated depreciation	3,070	2,988
Property, plant and equipment - net	738	514
Finite-lived intangible assets - at cost:		
Customer relationships	5,411	5,411
Other finite-lived intangible assets	7,643	6,858
Finite-lived intangible assets - gross	13,054	12,269
Less accumulated amortization	7,064	6,297
Finite-lived intangible assets - net	5,990	5,972
Goodwill	1,126	1,126
Deferred income taxes	1,005	1,864
Other	77	107
Total Assets	\$44,163	\$44,661

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Current liabilities:

Current natinues:		
Accounts payable	\$7,376	\$6,092
Accrued wages and benefits	1,375	896
Accrued royalties	971	1,337
Dividends payable	786	1,160
Income taxes currently payable	710	105
Other accrued liabilities	133	228
Deferred income taxes	-	127
Total current liabilities	11,351	9,945
Commitments and contingencies	-	-
Shareholders' equity:		
Common stock - \$0.01 par value per share; Authorized 40,000,000 shares at March 31, 2013 and April 1, 2012; Issued 11,696,022 shares at March 31, 2013 and 11,132,272 shares at April 1, 2012	117	111
Additional paid-in capital	46,219	43,664
Treasury stock - at cost - 1,868,003 shares at March 31, 2013 and 1,465,780 shares at April 1, 2012	(7,690)	(5,391)
Accumulated deficit	(5,834)	. , ,
Total shareholders' equity Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	32,812 \$44,163	34,716 \$44,661
	, - 50	,

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

FISCAL YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2013 AND APRIL 1, 2012

(amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)

	2013	2012
Net sales	\$78,416	\$85,306
Cost of products sold	58,649	65,763
Gross profit	19,767	19,543
Marketing and administrative expenses	11,674	11,411
Income from operations	8,093	8,132
Other income (expense):		
Interest and amortization of debt discount and expense	(81)	(229)
Interest income	61	-
(Loss) gain on sale of property, plant and equipment	(84)	4
Other - net	29	12
Income before income tax expense	8,018	7,919
Income tax expense	2,907	2,880
Net income	\$5,111	\$5,039
Weighted average shares outstanding:		
Basic	9,786	9,645
Effect of dilutive securities	-	102
Diluted	9,786	9,747
Earnings per share:		
Basic	\$0.52	\$0.52
Diluted	\$0.52	\$0.52
Cash dividends declared per share	\$0.74	\$0.22

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

FISCAL YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2013 AND APRIL 1, 2012

	Common Sh	ares	Treasury Sha	ires					
	Number of Shares	Amount	Number of Shares	Amount	Additiona Paid-in Capital	Accumula Deficit	ateds	Fotal Sharehold Equity	ers'
	(Dollar amou	ints in the	ousands)		•			1 ,	
Balances - April 3, 2011	10,830,772	\$ 108	(1,248,162)	\$(4,358)	\$ 42,227	\$ (6,582) \$	31,395	
Issuance of shares Stock-based compensation	301,500	3			901 545			904 545	
Net tax effect of stock-based compensation					(9)		(9)
Acquisition of treasury stock Net income			(217,618)	(1,033)		5,039		(1,033 5,039)
Dividends declared						(2,125)	(2,125)
Balances - April 1, 2012	11,132,272	111	(1,465,780)	(5,391)	43,664	(3,668)	34,716	
Issuance of shares Stock-based compensation	563,750	6			1,801 652			1,807 652	
Net tax effect of stock-based compensation					102			102	
Acquisition of treasury stock Net income			(402,223)	(2,299)		5,111		(2,299)
Dividends declared						(7,277)	5,111 (7,277)
Balances - March 31, 2013	11,696,022	\$ 117	(1,868,003)	\$(7,690)	\$46,219	\$ (5,834) \$	32,812	

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FISCAL YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2013 AND APRIL 1, 2012

	2013 (amoun	its in thousand	s)	2012		
Operating activities:						
Net income	\$	5,111		\$	5,039	
Adjustments to						
reconcile net income						
to net cash provided						
by operating						
activities:						
Depreciation of						
property, plant and		232			267	
equipment						
Amortization of		766			1,057	
intangibles		700			1,037	
Deferred income		572			397	
taxes		312			391	
Loss (gain) on sale of						
property, plant and		84			(4)
equipment						
Accretion of interest						
expense to original		_			48	
issue discount						
Stock-based		652			545	
compensation		032			343	
Tax shortfall from						
stock-based		(93)		(28)
compensation						
Changes in assets and						
liabilities:						
Accounts receivable		(1,401)		(1,670)
Inventories		909			1,721	
Prepaid expenses		354			(67)
Other assets		30			36	
Accounts payable		1,284			1,330	
Accrued liabilities		623			(403)
Net cash provided						
by operating		9,123			8,268	
activities						
Investing activities:						
		(455)		(310)

Capital expenditures for property, plant and equipment						
Proceeds from disposition of assets Capitalized costs of		190			5	
internally developed intangible assets		(785)		(256)
Net cash used in investing activities		(1,050)		(561)
Financing activities:						
Payments on		_			(2,000)
long-term debt					(2,000	,
Repayments under						
revolving line of		(28,624)		(51,871)
credit						
Borrowings under		20.624			45.505	
revolving line of		28,624			47,535	
credit						
Purchase of treasury		(2,299)		(1,033)
stock						
Issuance of common		1,807			904	
stock Excess tax benefit						
from stock-based		195			19	
		193			19	
compensation Dividends paid		(7,650)		(1,252)
Net cash used in		(7,030)		(1,232)
financing activities		(7,947)		(7,698)
Net increase in cash						
and cash equivalents		126			9	
Cash and cash						
equivalents at		214			205	
beginning of period		211			203	
Cash and cash						
equivalents at end of	\$	340		\$	214	
period	Ψ	2.0		Ψ		
P						
Supplemental cash						
flow information:						
Income taxes paid,						
net of refunds	\$	1,564		\$	2,864	
received						
Interest paid, net of		19			182	
interest received		17			102	
Noncash financing activity:						
Dividends declared		(786)		(1,160)
but unpaid		Ç	,		(, ==	,

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Crown Crafts, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Fiscal Years Ended March 31, 2013 and April 1, 2012

Note 1 – Description of Business

Crown Crafts, Inc. (the "Company") operates indirectly through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Hamco, Inc. and Crown Crafts Infant Products, Inc. ("CCIP"), in the infant and toddler products segment within the consumer products industry. The infant and toddler products segment consists of infant and toddler bedding, bibs, soft bath products, disposable products and accessories. Sales of the Company's products are generally made directly to retailers, which are primarily mass merchants, mid-tier retailers, juvenile specialty stores, value channel stores, grocery and drug stores, restaurants, internet accounts and wholesale clubs. The Company's products are manufactured primarily in Asia and marketed under a variety of Company-owned trademarks, under trademarks licensed from others and as private label goods.

Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation: The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and have been prepared pursuant to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") as promulgated by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB"), the Securities Act, the Exchange Act and the regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. References herein to GAAP are to topics within the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (the "FASB ASC"), which the FASB periodically revises through the issuance of an Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") and which has been established by the FASB as the authoritative source for GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities.

Reclassifications: The Company has reclassified certain prior year information to conform to the amounts presented in the current year. None of the changes impact the Company's previously reported financial position or results of operations.

Fiscal Year: The Company's fiscal year ends on the Sunday nearest to or on March 31. References herein to "fiscal year 2013" or "2013", and "fiscal year 2012" or "2012" represent the 52-week periods ended March 31, 2013 and April 1, 2012, respectively.

Use of Estimates: The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated balance sheets and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the periods presented on the consolidated statements of income and cash flows. Significant estimates are made with respect to the allowances related to accounts receivable for customer deductions for returns, allowances and disputes. The Company also has a certain amount of discontinued finished goods which necessitates the establishment of inventory reserves that are highly subjective. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: The Company considers all highly-liquid investments purchased with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company's credit facility consists of a revolving line of credit under a financing agreement with The CIT Group/Commercial Services, Inc. ("CIT"). The Company classifies a negative balance outstanding under this revolving line of credit as cash, as these amounts are legally owed to the Company and are immediately available to be drawn upon by the Company.

Financial Instruments: For short-term instruments such as cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable, the Company uses carrying value as a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Royalty Payments: The Company has entered into agreements that provide for royalty payments based on a percentage of sales with certain minimum guaranteed amounts. These royalties are accrued based upon historical sales rates adjusted for current sales trends by customers. Royalty expense is included in cost of sales and amounted to \$6.8 million and \$6.9 million for fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Advertising Costs: The Company's advertising costs are primarily associated with cooperative advertising arrangements with certain of the Company's customers and are recognized using the straight-line method based upon aggregate annual estimated amounts for these customers, with periodic adjustments to the actual amounts of authorized agreements. Advertising expense is included in marketing and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income and amounted to \$790,000 and \$1.0 million for fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Depreciation and Amortization: The accompanying consolidated balance sheets reflect property, plant and equipment, and certain intangible assets at cost less accumulated depreciation or amortization. The Company capitalizes additions and improvements and expenses maintenance and repairs as incurred. Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which are three to eight years for property, plant and equipment, and one to sixteen years for intangible assets other than goodwill. The Company amortizes improvements to its leased facilities over the term of the lease or the estimated useful life of the asset, whichever is shorter.

Valuation of Long-Lived Assets and Identifiable Intangible Assets: In addition to the depreciation and amortization procedures set forth above, the Company reviews for impairment long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangible assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of any asset may not be recoverable. In the event of impairment, the asset is written down to its fair market value.

Patent Costs: The Company incurs certain legal and related costs in connection with patent applications. The Company capitalizes such costs to be amortized over the expected life of the patent to the extent that an economic benefit is anticipated from the resulting patent or alternative future use is available to the Company. The Company also capitalizes legal costs incurred in the defense of the Company's patents when it is believed that the future economic benefit of the patent will be maintained or increased and a successful defense is probable. Capitalized patent defense costs are amortized over the remaining expected life of the related patent. The Company's assessment of future economic benefit or a successful defense of its patents involves considerable management judgment, and a different conclusion or outcome of litigation could result in a material impairment charge up to the carrying value of these assets.

Segments and Related Information: The Company operates primarily in one principal segment, infant and toddler products. These products consist of infant and toddler bedding, bibs, soft bath products, disposable products and accessories. Net sales of bedding, blankets and accessories and net sales of bibs, bath and disposable products for 2013 and 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

	2013	2012
Bedding, blankets and accessories	\$55,677	\$63,832
Bibs, bath and disposable products	22,739	21,474
Total net sales	\$78,416	\$85,306

Inventory Valuation: The preparation of the Company's financial statements requires careful determination of the appropriate dollar amount of the Company's inventory balances. Such amount is presented as a current asset in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and is a direct determinant of cost of goods sold in the accompanying consolidated statements of income and, therefore, has a significant impact on the amount of net income in the reported accounting periods. The basis of accounting for inventories is cost, which includes the direct supplier acquisition cost, duties, taxes and freight, and the indirect costs to design, develop, source and store the product until it is sold. Once

cost has been determined, the Company's inventory is then stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost determined using the first-in, first-out ("FIFO") method, which assumes that inventory quantities are sold in the order in which they are acquired.

The determination of the indirect charges and their allocation to the Company's finished goods inventories is complex and requires significant management judgment and estimates. If management made different judgments or utilized different estimates, then differences would result in the valuation of the Company's inventories and in the amount and timing of the Company's cost of goods sold and the resulting net income for the reporting period.

On a periodic basis, management reviews its inventory quantities on hand for obsolescence, physical deterioration, changes in price levels and the existence of quantities on hand which may not reasonably be expected to be sold within the Company's normal operating cycle. To the extent that any of these conditions is believed to exist or the market value of the inventory expected to be realized in the ordinary course of business is otherwise no longer as great as its carrying value, an allowance against the inventory value is established. To the extent that this allowance is established or increased during an accounting period, an expense is recorded in cost of goods sold in the Company's consolidated statements of income. Only when inventory for which an allowance has been established is later sold or is otherwise disposed is the allowance reduced accordingly. Significant management judgment is required in determining the amount and adequacy of this allowance. In the event that actual results differ from management's estimates or these estimates and judgments are revised in future periods, the Company may not fully realize the carrying value of its inventory or may need to establish additional allowances, either of which could materially impact the Company's financial position and results of operations.

Revenue Recognition: Sales are recorded when goods are shipped to customers and are reported net of allowances for estimated returns and allowances in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. Allowances for returns are estimated based on historical rates. Allowances for returns, cooperative advertising allowances, warehouse allowances, placement fees and volume rebates are recorded commensurate with sales activity or using the straight-line method, as appropriate, and the cost of such allowances is netted against sales in reporting the results of operations. Shipping and handling costs, net of amounts reimbursed by customers, are not material and are included in net sales.

Allowances Against Accounts Receivable: The Company's allowances against accounts receivable are primarily contractually agreed-upon deductions for items such as cooperative advertising and warehouse allowances, placement fees and volume rebates. These deductions are recorded throughout the year commensurate with sales activity or using the straight-line method, as appropriate. Funding of the majority of the Company's allowances occurs on a per-invoice basis. The allowances for customer deductions, which are netted against accounts receivable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, consist of agreed-upon cooperative advertising support, placement fees, markdowns and warehouse and other allowances. All such allowances are recorded as direct offsets to sales, and such costs are accrued commensurate with sales activities or as a straight-line amortization charge of an agreed-upon fixed amount, as appropriate to the circumstances for each arrangement. When a customer requests deductions, the allowances are reduced to reflect such payments or credits issued against the customer's account balance. The Company analyzes the components of the allowances for customer deductions monthly and adjusts the allowances to the appropriate levels. The timing of the funding requests for advertising support can cause the net balance in the allowance account to fluctuate from period to period. The timing of such funding requests should have a minimal impact on the consolidated statements of income since such costs are accrued commensurate with sales activity or using the straight-line method, as appropriate.

To reduce its exposure to credit losses, the Company assigns the majority of its trade accounts receivable under factoring agreements with CIT. In the event a factored receivable becomes uncollectible due to creditworthiness, CIT bears the risk of loss. The Company's management must make estimates of the uncollectiblity of its non-factored accounts receivable, which it accomplishes by specifically analyzing accounts receivable, historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer creditworthiness, current economic trends and changes in its customers' payment terms. The Company's accounts receivable at March 31, 2013 amounted to \$21.7 million, net of allowances of \$349,000. Of this amount, \$21.4 million was due from CIT under the factoring agreements, and \$329,000 was due from CIT as a negative balance outstanding under the revolving line of credit, which combined amounts represent the maximum loss that the Company could incur if CIT failed completely to perform its obligations under the factoring agreements and the revolving line of credit.

Provision for Income Taxes: The Company's provision for income taxes includes all currently payable federal, state, local and foreign taxes that are based on the Company's taxable income and the change during the fiscal year in net deferred income tax assets and liabilities. The Company provides for deferred income taxes based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The Company's policy is to recognize the effect that a change in enacted tax rates would have on net deferred income tax assets and liabilities in the period that the tax rates are changed.

Management evaluates items of income, deductions and credits reported on the Company's various federal and state income tax returns filed and recognizes the effect of positions taken on those income tax returns only if those positions are more likely than not to be sustained. Recognized income tax positions are measured at the largest amount that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized. Changes in recognition or measurement are reflected in the period in which the change in judgment occurs. Based on its recent evaluation, the Company has concluded that there are no significant uncertain tax positions requiring recognition in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The Company's policy is to accrue interest expense and penalties as appropriate on any estimated unrecognized tax benefits as a charge to interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income.

The Company files income tax returns in the many jurisdictions in which it operates, including the U.S., several U.S. states and the People's Republic of China. The statute of limitations varies by jurisdiction; tax years open to federal or state general examination or other adjustment as of March 31, 2013 were the tax years ended March 28, 2010, April 3, 2011, April 1, 2012 and March 31, 2013, as well as the tax year ended March 29, 2009 for several states.

Earnings Per Share: The Company calculates basic earnings per share by using a weighted average of the number of shares outstanding during the reporting periods. Diluted shares outstanding are calculated in accordance with the treasury stock method, which assumes that the proceeds from the exercise of all exercisable options would be used to repurchase shares at market value. The net number of shares issued after the exercise proceeds are exhausted represents the potentially dilutive effect of the exercisable options, which are added to basic shares to arrive at diluted shares.

Note 3 - Financing Arrangements

Factoring Agreements: The Company assigns the majority of its trade accounts receivable to CIT pursuant to factoring agreements whose expiration dates are coterminous with that of the financing agreement described below. Under the terms of the factoring agreements in effect prior to April 2, 2012, CIT would remit payments to the Company on the average due date of each group of invoices assigned. If a customer failed to pay CIT by the due date, the Company was charged interest at prime plus 1.0%, which was 4.25% at April 1, 2012, until payment was received. The Company incurred interest expense of \$67,000 in fiscal 2012 as a result of the failure of the Company's customers to pay CIT by the due date. The factoring agreements were amended effective as of April 2, 2012 to provide for the remittance of customer payments by CIT to the Company as such payments are received by CIT.

CIT bears credit losses with respect to assigned accounts receivable from approved shipments, while the Company bears the responsibility for adjustments from customers related to returns, allowances, claims and discounts. CIT may at any time terminate or limit its approval of shipments to a particular customer. If such a termination or limitation were to occur, the Company must either assume the credit risks for shipments to the customer after the date of such termination or limitation or cease shipments to the customer. Factoring fees, which are included in marketing and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income, were \$455,000 and \$469,000 during fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively. There were no advances from the factor at either March 31, 2013 or April 1, 2012.

Credit Facility: The Company's credit facility at March 31, 2013 consisted of a revolving line of credit under a financing agreement with CIT of up to \$26.0 million, which includes a \$1.5 million sub-limit for letters of credit, with an interest rate of prime plus 1.00% or LIBOR plus 3.00%. The financing agreement matures on July 11, 2013 and is secured by a first lien on all assets of the Company. As of March 31, 2013, the Company had elected to pay interest on balances owed under the revolving line of credit, if any, under the LIBOR option. The financing agreement also provides for the payment by CIT to the Company of interest at the rate of prime minus 1%, which was 2.25% at March 31, 2013, on daily cash balances held at CIT.

Under the financing agreement, a monthly fee is assessed based on 0.25% of the average unused portion of the \$26.0 million revolving line of credit, less any outstanding letters of credit (the "Commitment Fee"). The Commitment Fee amounted to \$64,000 and \$61,000 during fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively. At March 31, 2013, there was no balance owed on the revolving line of credit, there was no letter of credit outstanding and the Company had \$24.3 million available under the revolving line of credit based on its eligible accounts receivable and inventory balances.

The financing agreement was amended on May 21, 2013 to extend its maturity date to July 11, 2016 and to provide for certain other modifications, including, effective as of July 11, 2013, (i) a reduction of the interest rates on the revolving line of credit to prime minus 0.50% or LIBOR plus 2.00%, (ii) a reduction of the Commitment Fee to 0.125% of the average unused portion of the revolving line of credit and (iii) a reduction of the interest rate on daily

cash balances held at CIT to prime minus 2.00%.

The financing agreement contains usual and customary covenants for agreements of that type, including limitations on other indebtedness, liens, transfers of assets, investments and acquisitions, merger or consolidation transactions, transactions with affiliates, and changes in or amendments to the organizational documents for the Company and its subsidiaries. The Company was in compliance with these covenants as of March 31, 2013.

Note 4 – Goodwill, Customer Relationships and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill: The Company reported goodwill of \$1.1 million at March 31, 2013 and April 1, 2012. The Company tests the fair value of the goodwill, if any, within its reporting units annually as of the first day of the Company's fiscal year. An additional interim impairment test must be performed during the year whenever an event or change in circumstances occurs that suggest that the fair value of the goodwill of either of the reporting units of the Company has more likely than not (defined as having a likelihood of greater than 50%) fallen below its carrying value. The annual or interim impairment test is performed by first assessing qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If such qualitative factors so indicate, then the impairment test is continued in a two-step approach. The first step is the estimation of the fair value of each reporting unit. If step one indicates that the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, then a potential impairment exists, and the second step is then performed to measure the amount of an impairment charge, if any. In the second step, these estimated fair values are used as the hypothetical purchase price for the reporting units, and an allocation of such hypothetical purchase price is made to the identifiable tangible and intangible assets and assigned liabilities of the reporting units. The impairment charge is calculated as the amount, if any, by which the carrying value of the goodwill exceeds the implied amount of goodwill that results from this hypothetical purchase price allocation. The annual impairment test of the fair value of the goodwill of the reporting units of the Company was performed as of April 2, 2012 and the Company concluded that the fair value of the goodwill of the Company's reporting units substantially exceeded their carrying values as of that date.

Other Intangible Assets: Other intangible assets as of March 31, 2013 consisted primarily of the capitalized costs of acquired businesses, other than tangible assets, goodwill and assumed liabilities. The carrying amount and accumulated amortization of the Company's other intangible assets as of March 31, 2013, their weighted average estimated useful life in years, the amortization expense for fiscal years 2013 and 2012 and the classification of such amortization expense within the accompanying consolidated statements of income are as follows (in thousands):

		Weighte	d			
		Average		Amortization		
	Carrying	Estimate	d Accumulated	ted Expense		
		Useful		Fiscal `	Year Ended	
		Life		34 1		
	Amount	(Years)	Amortizatio	March n31, 2013	April 1, 2012	
Tradename and trademarks	\$1,987	15	\$ 536	\$ 133	\$ 133	
Licenses and designs	3,571	3	3,569	8	278	
Non-compete covenants	454	7	336	55	71	
Patents	553	10	157	56	55	
Customer relationships	5,411	12	2,420	483	482	
Internally developed intangible assets	1,078	-	46	31	38	
Total other intangible assets	\$ 13,054	9	\$ 7,064	\$766	\$ 1,057	
Classification within the accompanying consolidated statements of income:						
Cost of products sold				\$ 63	\$ 349	
Marketing and administrative expenses				703	708	
Total amortization expense				\$766	\$ 1,057	

The Company estimates that its amortization expense will be \$727,000, \$689,000, \$677,000, \$677,000 and \$520,000 in fiscal years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018, respectively.

Note 5 – Churchill Property

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2008, the operations of Churchill Weavers, Inc. ("Churchill"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, ceased and all employees were terminated. The Company had actively marketed Churchill's land and building since that time, and the property was sold in March 2013. The Company recorded impairment charges associated with the property during fiscal years 2009, 2010 and 2011 as the Company made successive determinations that the fair value of the property had fallen below its carrying value. Through April 1, 2012, the Company had recorded the Churchill property at fair value, less an estimate of the costs of sale, had classified the property as assets held for sale in the Company's consolidated balance sheets and had classified the costs to maintain the property and the impairment charges as discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of income. Effective as of April 2, 2012, accounting guidelines required the Company to record the costs associated with the property within continuing operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of income for all periods presented.

The amounts recorded upon the sale of the Churchill property are set forth below (in thousands):

\$200
34
166
263

Loss on sale of Churchill property \$(97)

Note 6 – Retirement Plan

The Company sponsors a defined contribution retirement savings plan with a cash or deferred arrangement (the "Plan"), as provided by Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code ("Code"). The Plan covers substantially all employees, who may elect to contribute a portion of their compensation to the Plan, subject to maximum amounts and percentages as prescribed in the Code. Each calendar year, the Company's Board of Directors (the "Board") determines the portion, if any, of employee contributions that will be matched by the Company. For calendar years 2012 and 2011, the employer matching contributions represented an amount equal to 100% of the first 2% of employee contributions and 50% of the next 1% of employee contributions to the Plan. If an employee separates from the Company prior to the full vesting of the funds in their account that represent the matching employer portion of their account, then the unvested portion of the matching employer portion of their account. The Company utilizes such forfeitures as an offset to the aggregate matching contributions. The Company's matching contribution to the Plan, net of the utilization of forfeitures, was \$151,000 and \$153,000 for fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Note 7 – Inventories

Major classes of inventory were as follows (in thousands):

	March	A 1 1
	31,	April 1, 2012
	2013	2012
Raw Materials	\$43	\$31
Finished Goods	10,887	11,808
Total inventory	\$10,930	\$11,839

Note 8 – Income Taxes

The Company's income tax provision for fiscal years 2013 and 2012 is summarized below (in thousands):

Fiscal year ended March 31, 2013 Current Deferred Total\$1,993 \$ 482 \$2,475
327 90 417

Federal State

Other, including foreign	15	-	15
Income tax expense	2,335	572	2,907
Income tax reported in stockholders' equity related to stock-based compensation	(102)	-	(102)
Total income tax provision	\$2,233	572	\$2,805

	Fiscal year ended April 1 2012		
	Curren	t Deferred	Total
Federal	\$2,212	\$ 319	\$2,531
State	317	18	335
Other, including foreign	14	-	14
Income tax expense	2,543	337	2,880
Adjustment to prior year provision	-	60	60
Income tax reported in stockholders' equity related to stock-based compensation	9	-	9
Total income tax provision	\$2,552	\$ 397	\$2,949

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities as of March 31, 2013 and April 1, 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

	2013	2012
Deferred tax assets:		
Employee benefit accruals	\$450	\$240
Accounts receivable and inventory reserves	178	287
Deferred rent	41	69
Intangible assets	823	1,250
State net operating loss carryforwards	1,036	971
Stock-based compensation	318	621
Total gross deferred tax assets	2,846	3,438
Less valuation allowance	(1,036)	(971)
Deferred tax assets after valuation allowance	1,810	2,467
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Prepaid expenses	(540)	(723)
Property, plant and equipment	(105)	(7)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(645)	(730)
Net deferred income tax assets	\$1,165	\$1,737

In assessing the probability that the Company's deferred tax assets will be realized, management of the Company has considered whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of taxable income during the future periods in which the temporary differences giving rise to the deferred tax assets will become deductible. The Company has also considered the scheduled inclusion into taxable income in future periods of the temporary differences giving rise to the Company's deferred tax liabilities. The valuation allowance as of March 31, 2013 and April 1, 2012 was related to state net operating loss carryforwards that the Company does not expect to be realized. Based upon the Company's expectations of the generation of sufficient taxable income during future periods, the Company believes that it is more likely than not that the Company will realize its deferred tax assets, net of the valuation allowance and the deferred tax liabilities.

Management evaluates items of income, deductions and credits reported on the Company's various federal and state income tax returns filed, and recognizes the effect of positions taken on those income tax returns only if those positions are more likely than not to be sustained. Recognized income tax positions are measured at the largest amount that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized. Changes in recognition or measurement are reflected in the period in which the change in judgment occurs. Based on its recent evaluation, the Company has concluded that there are no significant uncertain tax positions requiring recognition in the Company's consolidated financial statements. Tax years still open to federal or state general examination or other adjustment as of March 31, 2013 were the tax years ended March 28, 2010, April 3, 2011, April 1, 2012 and March 31, 2013, as well as the tax year ended March 29, 2009 for several states. The Company's policy is to accrue interest expense and penalties as appropriate on any estimated unrecognized tax benefits as a charge to interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income.

The Company's provision for income taxes on continuing operations is based upon effective tax rates of 36.3% and 36.4% in fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively. These effective tax rates are the sum of the top U.S. statutory federal income tax rate and a composite rate for state income taxes, net of federal tax benefit, in the various states in which the Company operates.

The following table reconciles income tax expense on income from continuing operations at the U.S. federal income tax statutory rate to the net income tax provision reported for fiscal years 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

	2013	2012
Tax expense at statutory rate (34%)	\$2,726	\$2,693
State income taxes, net of Federal income tax benefit	216	210
Tax credits	(13)	(13)
Expenses (deductible) nondeductible for tax purposes	(90)	11
Other	68	(21)
Income tax expense	\$2,907	\$2,880

Note 9 – Stock-based Compensation

The Company has adopted an incentive stock plan (the "Plan") that is intended to attract and retain directors, officers and employees of the Company and to motivate these individuals to achieve the overall goal of increasing stockholder value. The Plan was adopted to ensure that the Company has a mechanism for long-term, equity-based incentive compensation for its non-employee directors and certain employees. Awards granted under the Plan may be in the form of qualified or non-qualified stock options, restricted stock, stock appreciation rights, long-term incentive compensation units consisting of a combination of cash and shares of the Company's common stock, or any combination thereof within the limitations set forth in the Plan. The Plan is administered by the compensation committee of the Board, which selects eligible employees and non-employee directors to participate in the Plan and determines the type, amount, duration and other terms of such awards. At March 31, 2013, 523,750 shares of the Company's common stock were available for future issuance under the Plan.

Stock-based compensation is calculated according to FASB ASC Topic 718, *Compensation – Stock Compensation*, which requires a stock-based compensation to be accounted for using a fair-value-based measurement. The Company recorded \$652,000 and \$545,000 of stock-based compensation during fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company records the compensation expense associated with stock-based awards granted to individuals in the same expense classifications as the cash compensation paid to those same individuals. No stock-based compensation costs were capitalized as part of the cost of an asset as of March 31, 2013.

Stock Options: The following table represents stock option activity for fiscal years 2013 and 2012:

	March	Year Ended 31, 2013 tellumberage Options	Fiscal Year Ended April 1, 2012 Weighteduarberage Options		
	Exercis	se	Exercise		
	Price	Outstanding	Price	Outstanding	
Outstanding at Beginning of Period	\$ 3.57	573,000	\$ 3.31	747,000	
Granted	5.42	110,000	4.81	100,000	
Exercised	3.46	(521,750)	3.30	(274,000)	
Expired	0.71	(1,250)	-	-	
Forfeited	5.22	(15,000)	-	-	
Outstanding at End of Period	5.23	145,000	3.57	573,000	
Exercisable at End of Period	-	-	3.20	423,000	

The total intrinsic value of the stock options exercised during fiscal years 2013 and 2012 was \$1.2 million and \$399,000, respectively. As of March 31, 2013, the intrinsic value of the outstanding stock options was \$112,000.

The Company received cash in the amount of \$98,000 and \$29,000 from the exercise of stock options during fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively. Upon the exercise of stock options, participants may choose to surrender to the Company those shares from the option exercise necessary to satisfy the exercise amount and their income tax withholding obligations that arise from the option exercise. The effect on the cash flow of the Company from these "cashless" option exercises is that the Company remits cash on behalf of the participant to satisfy his or her income tax withholding obligations. The Company used cash of \$437,000 and \$158,000 to remit the required income tax withholding amounts from "cashless" option exercises during fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company's net outflow of cash upon the exercise of stock options was \$339,000 and \$129,000 during fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively.

To determine the estimated fair value of stock options granted, the Company uses the Black-Scholes-Merton valuation formula, which is a closed-form model that uses an equation to estimate fair value. The following table sets forth the assumptions used to determine that fair value, and the resulting grant-date fair value per option, of the non-qualified stock options which were awarded to certain employees during fiscal years 2013 and 2012, which options vest over a two-year period, assuming continued service.

	2013		2012	
Options issued	110,000)	100,000	C
Grant Date	June 13,		June 10,	
Grant Date	2012		2011	
Dividend yield	5.90	%	2.49	%
Expected volatility	65.00	%	60.00	%
Risk free interest rate	0.55	%	1.84	%
Contractual term (years)	10.00		10.00	
Expected term (years)	4.00		5.75	
Forfeiture rate	5.00	%	5.00	%
Exercise price (grant-date closing price)	\$5.42		\$4.81	
Fair value	\$1.84		\$2.16	

Although the Company's historical stock option exercise experience provided a reasonable basis upon which to estimate the expected life of the stock options granted during fiscal years 2013, that was not the case for the stock options granted during fiscal year 2012. In that period, the Company elected to use the simplified method to estimate the expected life of the stock options granted, as allowed by SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 and the continued acceptance of the simplified method indicated in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 110.

For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2013 and April 1, 2012, the Company recognized compensation expense associated with stock options as follows (in thousands):

	Fiscal Year Ended M 2013			arch 31,	
	Cost of		rketing &	T	otal
Options Granted in Fiscal Year	Produc	Adı cts	ministrative	E	xpense
	Sold	Exp	benses		•
2011	\$13	\$	13	\$	26
2012	54		46		100
2013	34		34		68
Total stock option compensation	\$101	\$	93	\$	194

	Fiscal Year Ended A			pril 1,	
	Cost of		arketing &	T	otal
Options Granted in Fiscal Year	Produ	Ac cts	lministrative		xpense
	Sold	Ex	penses		•
2010	\$15	\$	32	\$	47
2011	47		47		94
2012	41		41		82
Total stock option compensation	\$103	\$	120	\$	223

A summary of stock options outstanding and exercisable at March 31, 2013 is as follows:

Edgar Filing: BUTLER NATIONAL CORP - Form 8-K

		Weighted	Weighted		Weighted
Exercise	Number	Avg. Remaining	Avg. Exercise	Number	Avg. Exercise
Price	of Options	Contractual	Price of	of Options	Price of
	Outstanding	Life in	Options	Exercisable	Options
		Years	Outstanding		Exercisable
\$ 4.81	45,000	8.19	\$ 4.81	-	\$ -
\$ 5.42	100,000	9.20	\$ 5.42	-	\$ -
	145,000	8.89	\$ 5.23	-	\$ -

As of March 31, 2013, total unrecognized stock-option compensation costs amounted to \$140,000, which will be recognized as the underlying stock options vest over a period of up to two years. The amount of future stock-option compensation expense could be affected by any future stock option grants and by the separation from the Company of any employee or director who has stock options that are unvested as of such individual's separation date.

Non-vested Stock: The Board granted 42,000 shares of non-vested stock with a fair value of \$5.62 per share to the Company's non-employee directors during the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 and granted 30,000 shares of non-vested stock to the Company's non-employee directors during each of the three-month periods ended October 2, 2011, September 26, 2010 and September 27, 2009 with a weighted-average fair value of \$4.44, \$4.36 and \$3.02, respectively. These shares vest over a two-year period, assuming continued service. The fair value of non-vested stock granted was determined based on the number of shares granted multiplied by the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant.

During the three-month period ended June 27, 2010, the Board awarded 345,000 shares of non-vested stock in a series of three grants to each of certain employees. Pursuant to its terms, each such grant will vest if both (i) the closing price per share of the Company's common stock is at or above target levels of \$5.00, \$6.00 and \$7.00, respectively, for any ten trading days out of any period of 30 consecutive trading and (ii) the respective employee remains employed through July 29, 2015. The Company, with the assistance of an independent third party, determined that the aggregate grant date fair value of the awards amounted to \$1.2 million.

On November 30, 2012, the Board approved an amendment to the grant subject to the \$5.00 per share closing price condition that had been awarded to E. Randall Chestnut, Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of the Company. With the closing price condition having been met for this award, the grant was amended to provide for the immediate vesting of 62,000 of the 75,000 shares awarded in order to preserve the deductibility of the associated compensation expense by the Company for income tax purposes. As a result of the acceleration of the vesting, the Company recognized the remaining compensation expense associated with the 62,000 shares vested of \$99,000 during fiscal year 2013, which amount would otherwise have been recognized by the Company ratably through July 29, 2015. To satisfy the income tax withholding obligations that arose from the vesting of the non-vested stock, Mr. Chestnut surrendered 26,319 shares to the Company, and the Company paid \$153,000 to the appropriate taxing authorities on his behalf.

For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2013 and April 1, 2012, the Company recognized compensation expense associated with non-vested stock grants, which is included in marketing and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income, as follows (in thousands):

	Fiscal Year Ended March 2013				
		No	on-employee	Total	
Stock Granted in Fiscal Year	Employees				
		Di	rectors	Expense	
2011	\$295	\$	18	\$ 313	
2012	-		66	66	
2013	-		79	79	
Total stock grant compensation	\$295	\$	163	\$ 458	

	Fiscal Year Ended April 1, 2012				
		No	on-employee	Total	
Stock Granted in Fiscal Year	Empl				
		Di	rectors	Expense	
2010	\$-	\$	11	\$ 11	
2011	208		58	266	
2012	-		45	45	
Total stock grant compensation	\$208	\$	114	\$ 322	

As of March 31, 2013, total unrecognized compensation expense related to the Company's non-vested stock grants was \$579,000, which will be recognized over the remaining portion of the respective vesting periods associated with each block of grants as indicated above, such grants having a weighted average vesting term of 2.0 years. The amount of

future compensation expense related to non-vested stock grants could be affected by any future non-vested stock grants and by the separation from the Company of any individual who has unvested grants as of such individual's separation date.

Note 10 – Stockholders' Equity

Dividends: The holders of the Company's common stock are entitled to receive dividends when and as declared by the Board. Aggregate cash dividends of \$0.74 and \$0.22 per share, amounting to \$7.3 million and \$2.1 million, were declared during fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively. Cash dividends declared during fiscal year 2013 included a special cash dividend paid during the three-month period ended December 30, 2012 of \$0.50 per share. The Company's financing agreement with CIT permits the payment by the Company of cash dividends on its common stock without limitation, provided there is no default before or as a result of the payment of such dividends.

Stock Repurchases: In June 2007, the Board created a capital committee which has, from time to time, adopted a program that would allow the Company to repurchase shares of the Company's common stock. The Company did not repurchase any shares under this program during fiscal years ended March 31, 2013 and April 1, 2012, and there was no share repurchase program in effect as of March 31, 2013.

The Company acquired treasury shares by way of the surrender to the Company from several employees shares of common stock to satisfy the exercise price and income tax withholding obligations relating to the exercise of stock options and the vesting of shares of restricted stock. In this manner, the Company acquired 402,000 treasury shares during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2013 at a weighted-average market value of \$5.71 per share and acquired 218,000 treasury shares during the fiscal year ended April 1, 2012 at a weighted-average market value of \$4.75 per share.

Note 11 - Major Customers

The table below sets forth those customers that represented more than 10% of the Company's gross sales during fiscal years ended March 31, 2013 and April 1, 2012.

2013 2012

 Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.
 38 % 34 %

 Toys R Us
 17 % 22 %

 Target Corporation
 10 % 12 %

Note 12 – Commitments and Contingencies

Total rent expense was \$1.6 million and \$1.7 million during fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company's commitment for minimum guaranteed rental payments under its lease agreements as of March 31, 2013 is \$2.0 million, consisting of \$1.5 million, \$430,000 and \$48,000 due in fiscal years 2014, 2015 and 2016, respectively.

Total royalty expense was \$6.8 million and \$6.9 million for fiscal years 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company's commitment for minimum guaranteed royalty payments under its license agreements as of March 31, 2013 is \$5.3 million, consisting of \$2.9 million, \$1.5 million and \$875,000 due in fiscal years 2014, 2015 and 2016, respectively.

BreathableBaby, LLC ("BreathableBaby") filed a complaint against the Company and CCIP on January 11, 2012 in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, alleging that CCIP's mesh crib liner infringes BreathableBaby's patent rights relating to its air permeable infant bedding technology. The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to the claims asserted in the complaint, and the Company intends to defend itself vigorously against all such claims. The Company and CCIP filed a motion for summary judgment of non-infringement on May 14, 2012. On July 25, 2012, the Court entered an order denying that motion without prejudice to refiling it at the close of discovery. In doing so, the Court did not rule on the merits of the Company's motion, but instead determined that further discovery was required before a motion for summary judgment could be decided. Discovery accordingly was resumed and remained ongoing as of March 31, 2013.

The Company's policy is to capitalize legal and other costs incurred in the defense of the Company's patents when it is believed that the future economic benefit of the patent will be maintained or increased and a successful defense is probable. In this regard, as of March 31, 2013, the Company capitalized legal and other costs in the amount of \$1.0 million associated with its defense of the BreathableBaby complaint into the intangible asset related to its own patent

application for CCIP's mesh crib liner. Upon a favorable conclusion of the BreathableBaby litigation, the Company's capitalized costs associated with CCIP's mesh crib liner will be amortized over the expected life of the resulting patent, to the extent that an economic benefit is anticipated from the patent or alternative future use is available to the Company. A different conclusion or outcome of the Breathablebaby litigation could result in a material impairment charge up to the carrying value of CCIP's mesh crib liner.

On March 27, 2013, an alleged California purchaser of a CCIP bedding set filed a complaint against the Company and CCIP in the Superior Court for the County of Riverside, California, purportedly on behalf of herself and similarly situated California consumers. The complaint generally alleges that CCIP's crib bumper products put children at risk of suffocation or crib death and that the Company and CCIP concealed and failed to disclose these purported risks through allegedly false and misleading advertising and product packaging. The complaint does not allege that any child has actually been harmed by these products. The complaint alleges violations of various consumer protection laws in California. The purported class is defined in the complaint as "All California consumers who, within the applicable statute of limitations, purchased a Crown Craft [sic] crib bumper, either alone or as part of a bedding set." The complaint seeks damages for the purported class in an unspecified amount, injunctive relief, restitution and disgorgement of all monies acquired by the Company and CCIP by means of any act or practice the Court finds to be unlawful, a Court-ordered corrective advertising campaign, and an award of plaintiffs' attorneys fees and costs. On April 29, 2013, the Company and CCIP removed the case to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to the claims asserted in the complaint, and the Company intends to defend itself vigorously against all such claims.

In addition to the foregoing civil complaints, the Company is, from time to time, involved in various legal proceedings relating to claims arising in the ordinary course of its business. Neither the Company nor any of its subsidiaries is a party to any such legal proceeding the outcome of which, individually or in the aggregate, is expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Note 13 – Subsequent Events

As set forth in Note 3 above, the Company's financing agreement with CIT was amended on May 21, 2013. Additionally, as set forth in Note 12 above, the Company and CCIP on April 29, 2013 removed to the United States District Court for the Central District of California the civil litigation filed by an alleged California purchaser of a CCIP bedding set against the Company and CCIP. The Company has evaluated events that have occurred between March 31, 2013 and the date that the accompanying financial statements were issued, and has determined that there are no other material subsequent events that require disclosure.