SYPRIS SOLUTIONS INC Form 10-Q August 05, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly Report Pursuant To Section 13 Or 15(d) Of The Securities Exchange Act Of 1934 For the quarterly period ended June 29, 2014

OR

Transition Report Pursuant To Section 13 Or 15(d) Of The Securities Exchange Act Of 1934 For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 0-24020

SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware61-1321992State or other jurisdiction(I.R.S. Employerof incorporation or organization)Identification No.)

101 Bullitt Lane, Suite 450 Louisville, Kentucky 40222

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

(502) 329-2000 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such reports). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of July 25, 2014 the Registrant had 20,521,059 shares of common stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 29, 2014 and June 30, 2013	2
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 29, 2014 and June 30, 2013	3
	Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 29, 2014 and December 31, 2013	4
	Consolidated Cash Flow Statements for the Six Months Ended June 29, 2014 and June 30, 2013	5
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	13
Item 3.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	20
Item 4.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	20
PART II	I. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	20
Item 1A.	RISK FACTORS	20
Item 2.	UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS	21
Item 3.	DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES	21
Item 4.	MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES	21
Item 5.	OTHER INFORMATION	21
Item 6.	EXHIBITS	22
SIGNAT	ΓURES	23

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except for per share data)

	Three Months Ended June June 29, 30,		Six Months Ended		
			June 29,	June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
	(Unaudit	ted)	(Unaudite	d)	
Net revenue:					
Outsourced services	\$83,233	\$74,373	\$159,753	\$146,456	
Products	9,880	7,793	17,604	14,121	
Total net revenue	93,113	82,166	177,357	160,577	
Cost of sales:					
Outsourced services	73,816	67,380	141,797	132,590	
Products	8,470	6,450	14,169	11,575	
Total cost of sales	82,286	73,830	155,966	144,165	
Gross profit	10,827	8,336	21,391	16,412	
Selling, general and administrative	9,141	7,598	17,133	14,756	
Research and development	10	1,419	161	2,296	
Amortization of intangible assets	0	8	0	30	
Impairment of goodwill	0	0	0	6,900	
Operating income (loss)	1,676	(689)	4,097	(7,570)	
Interest expense, net	155	120	287	266	
Other expense (income), net	75	(259)	(453)	(1,454)	
Income (loss) before taxes	1,446	(550)	4,263	(6,382)	
Income tax expense	1,076	944	2,241	1,571	
Net income (loss)	\$370	\$(1,494)	\$2,022	\$(7,953)	
Income (loss) per share:					
Basic	\$0.02	\$(0.08)	\$0.10	\$(0.41)	
Diluted	\$0.02	\$(0.08)	\$0.10	\$(0.41)	
Weighted average shares outstanding:					
Basic	19,622	19,347	19,525	19,255	
Diluted	19,682	19,347	19,566	19,255	

Edgar Filing: SYPRIS SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10-Q					
Dividends declared per common share	\$0.02	\$0.02	\$0.04	\$0.04	

SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in thousands)

	Three Months		Six Mo	nths
	Ended		Ended	
	June June 29, 30, 2014 2013 (Unaudited)		June 29, 2014 (Unaud	June 30, 2013 ited)
		,	•	
Net income (loss) Other comprehensive income (loss):	\$370	\$(1,494)	\$2,022	\$(7,953)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	73	(1,264)	99	452
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$443	(2,758)	\$2,121	\$(7,501)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

3

SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except for share data)

	June 29, 2014 (Unaudite	December 31, 2013 ed)
ASSETS		
Current assets:	¢ 10 4 0 0	¢ 10 674
Cash and cash equivalents	\$18,420	\$ 18,674
Accounts receivable, net	64,926 35,008	38,533 34,422
Inventory, net Other current assets	33,008 4,553	
Total current assets	4,333 122,907	5,403 97,032
	42,331	97,032 44,683
Property, plant and equipment, net Other assets	42,331 4,634	44,085 4,568
Total assets	4,034 \$169,872	4,308 \$146,283
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$109,872	\$ 140,285
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$60,870	\$ 36,684
Accrued liabilities	23,259	23,806
Total current liabilities	23,239 84,129	23,800 60,490
Long-term debt	23,000	24,000
Other liabilities	4,912	5,541
Total liabilities	112,041	90,031
Stockholders' equity:	112,041	70,031
Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 975,150 shares authorized; no shares issued	0	0
Series A preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 24,850 shares authorized; no shares	0	0
issued	0	0
Common stock, non-voting, par value \$0.01 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued	0	0
Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 30,000,000 shares authorized; 20,612,779 shares issued and 20,542,297 outstanding in 2014 and 20,448,007 shares issued and 20,399,649 outstanding in 2013	206	204
Additional paid-in capital	150,831	150,569
Retained deficit	(75,570)	
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(17,635)	,
Treasury stock, 70,482 and 48,358 shares in 2014 and 2013, respectively	(1)	,
Total stockholders' equity	57,831	56,252
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$169,872	\$146,283
· ·	•	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOW STATEMENTS

(in thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 29, June 30, 2014 2013 (Unaudited)
Cash flows from operating activities: Net income (loss) Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:	\$2,022 \$(7,953)
Depreciation and amortization Stock-based compensation expense Deferred revenue recognized Deferred loan costs recognized Gain on sale of assets Provision for excess and obsolete inventory Goodwill impairment Other noncash items	5,396 6,168 914 1,002 (4,329) (4,000) 39 39 (4) (1,682) 445 926 0 6,900 81 472 (445) (445) (472) (445) (472) (47
Contributions to pension plans Changes in operating assets and liabilities: Accounts receivable Inventory Other current assets Accounts payable Accrued and other liabilities Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	$\begin{array}{cccc} (441 &) & (217 &) \\ (26,343) & (14,375) \\ (1,032 &) & (5,121 &) \\ 755 & 931 \\ 23,581 & 16,101 \\ 3,593 & (144 &) \\ 4,677 & (953 &) \end{array}$
Cash flows from investing activities: Capital expenditures, net Proceeds from sale of assets Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(2,474) $(1,526)8 2,160(2,466)$ 634
Cash flows from financing activities: Net change in debt under revolving credit agreements Common stock repurchases Indirect repurchase of shares of minimum statutory tax withholdings Cash dividends paid Proceeds from issuance of common stock Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(254) (273)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	18,674	18,664
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$18,420	\$18,391

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

SYPRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) Nature of Business

All references to "Sypris," the "Company," "we" or "our" include Sypris Solutions, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. Sypris is a diversified provider of outsourced services and specialty products. The Company performs a wide range of manufacturing, engineering, design, and other technical services, typically under multi-year, sole-source contracts with corporations and government agencies in the markets for truck components and assemblies and aerospace and defense electronics. The Company provides such services through its Industrial and Electronics Groups (see Note 12 "Segment Data" to the consolidated financial statements).

(2) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Sypris Solutions, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, and have been prepared by the Company in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Company's operations are domiciled in the United States (U.S.), Mexico, Denmark and the United Kingdom ("U.K.") and serve a wide variety of domestic and international customers. All intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated. These unaudited consolidated financial statements reflect, in the opinion of management, all material adjustments (which include only normal recurring adjustments) necessary to fairly state the results of operations, financial position and cash flows for the periods presented, and the disclosures herein are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. Preparing financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses. Actual results for the three and six months ended June 29, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2014. These unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements, and notes thereto, for the year ended December 31, 2013 as presented in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(3) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2013-11, "Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists," which states that entities should present the unrecognized tax benefit as a reduction of the deferred tax asset for a net operating loss ("NOL") or similar tax loss or tax credit carryforward rather

than as a liability when the uncertain tax position would reduce the NOL or other carryforward under the tax law. The Company will be required to adopt this new standard on a prospective basis in the first interim reporting period of fiscal 2015, though, early adoption is permitted as is a retrospective application. We do not anticipate that the adoption of this standard will have a material effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers." This ASU supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in "Accounting Standard Codification 605 - Revenue Recognition" and most industry-specific guidance. The standard requires that entities recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which a company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently assessing the impact of the adoption of ASU 2014-09 on its results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

(4) Customer Contract Negotiations

Our supply agreement with Dana Holding Corporation ("Dana") expires on December 31, 2014 and our supply agreements with Meritor, Inc. ("Meritor") expire on December 31, 2014 and May 2, 2015. For the six months ended June 29, 2014, Dana and Meritor represented approximately 58% and 16%, of our net revenue, respectively.

In July 2013, Sypris and Dana signed an amended and restated supply agreement, the binding effect of which is currently in dispute. Dana has repudiated this agreement and purported to exercise its rights under the parties' prior agreement to begin exploring alternative supply relationships with third parties, including the right to sign new supply agreements, authorize tooling expenditures and engage in certain production part approval processes ("PPAP") with respect to the goods currently supplied by Sypris. Sypris disputes Dana's ability to exercise such rights.

The parties have also asserted various damages claims against each other arising out of their prior supply agreement and have sought the assistance of a mediator and an arbitrator in connection with these disputes. Dana initiated an ancillary action in Ohio state court challenging the arbitrability of the existence and enforceability of the amended and restated supply agreement on January 17, 2014. In addition, Dana has notified us that it intends to terminate its supply relationship with us effective December 31, 2014 and to transition over 2,000 active part numbers, which we currently manufacture for Dana, to alternative suppliers at the expiration date of the original supply agreement. The failure to resolve this dispute with Dana on acceptable terms would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and financial performance.

In addition, the failure to enter into an agreement with Meritor on acceptable terms, or the entry into agreements for fewer products or reduced volumes or prices would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and financial performance.

The Company is exploring alternatives to address the various range of potential outcomes for both the Dana and Meritor supply agreements, including the complete or partial renewal of either or both supply agreements, pursuing new business opportunities with existing and potential customers, identifying alternative uses for the related assets and certain other contingency plans. The Company expects to have plans established and initiated prior to December 31, 2014 to mitigate the impact of the potential loss of a significant amount of business and to support the Company's operations and provide sufficient liquidity to finance its operations for the remainder of 2014 and the foreseeable future.

(5) Goodwill

Goodwill is tested for impairment annually as of December 31 or more frequently if impairment indicators arise. If impairment indicators arise, a step one assessment is performed to identify any possible goodwill impairment in the period in which the indicator is identified. Beginning in March 2013, we noted certain indicators relating to our Electronics Group reporting unit that were significant enough to conclude that an impairment indicator existed as of March 31, 2013. Specifically, the Company experienced emerging uncertainty regarding certain key programs within the Electronics Group's space business beginning in the latter part of the first quarter of 2013, as one key customer communicated its strategic sourcing decision to begin insourcing programs that had been previously outsourced to the Electronics Group. Overall, the Electronics Group has been more impacted by declines in the overall government defense market than originally anticipated as the effects of sequestration became clearer after its initial effective date on March 1, 2013. For example, sales of certain data recording products were significantly reduced due to the impact

of sequestration on our customers, and the loss of commercial space business was due in part to our customer's efforts to offset unrelated losses of government business due to sequestration. As a result, the Electronics Group's short term revenue forecasts were materially affected. Further, the Company experienced a decline in the market value of its equity subsequent to March 31, 2013.

The first step of the impairment test indicated that the estimated fair value for the Electronics Group was less than its carrying value as of March 31, 2013. We performed step two of the impairment test and determined that the implied goodwill for the reporting unit was lower than its value as of March 31, 2013. As a result, a non-cash impairment charge of \$6,900,000 was recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2013 to impair the goodwill associated with the Electronics Group reporting unit. The impairment charge has been presented separately in the consolidated statement of operations and fully impairs the carrying amount of goodwill related to the Electronics Group. The fair value of the Electronics Group and the assets and liabilities identified in the step two impairment test were determined using a combination of the income approach and the market approach, which are Level 3 and Level 2 inputs, respectively.

(6) Milestone Revenue Recognition

The Company periodically enters into research and development contracts with customers related primarily to key encryption products. When the contracts provide for milestone or other interim payments, the Company will recognize revenue under the milestone method in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 605-28, Revenue *Recognition – Milestone Method.* The Company had one contract in process as of June 29, 2014 being accounted for under the milestone method. The milestone method requires the Company to deem all milestone payments within each contract as either substantive or non-substantive. That conclusion is determined based upon a thorough review of each contract and the deliverables to which the Company has committed in each contract. For substantive milestones, the Company concludes that upon achievement of each milestone, the amount of the corresponding defined payment is commensurate with the effort required to achieve such milestone or the value of the delivered item. The payment associated with each milestone relates solely to past performance and is deemed reasonable upon consideration of the deliverables and the payment terms within the contract. Milestones may include, for example, the successful completion of design review or technical review, the submission and acceptance of technical drawings, delivery of hardware, software or regulatory agency certifications. All milestones under the contract in process as of June 29, 2014 were deemed substantive. During the three and six months ended June 29, 2014, revenue recognized through the achievement of multiple milestones amounted to \$1,025,000 and \$2,300,000 respectively. There are no performance, cancellation, termination or refund provisions in the arrangement that contain material financial consequences to the Company.

(7) Dana Claim

On March 3, 2006, Dana and 40 of its U.S. subsidiaries, filed voluntary petitions for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. On August 7, 2007, the Company entered into a comprehensive settlement agreement with Dana to resolve all outstanding disputes between the parties, terminate previously approved arbitration payments and replace three existing supply agreements with a single, revised contract running through 2014. In addition, Dana provided the Company with an allowed general unsecured non-priority claim in the face amount of \$89,900,000 (the "Claim").

The Claim provided to the Company was agreed to by the Company and Dana as consideration for the aggregate economic impact of the various elements the two parties were negotiating. After the aggregate Claim value of \$89,900,000 was established, the Company recorded the claim at the estimated fair value of \$76,483,000 and allocated the estimated fair value to each commercial issue negotiated. The revenues and resulting net income associated with each of those issues requiring the Company's continued involvement was deferred and will be recognized over the applicable period of the involvement. For the six months ended June 29, 2014 and June 30, 2013, the Company recognized into revenue \$4,329,000 and \$4,000,000, respectively, related to the Claim.

(8) Other Expense (Income), Net

During the three and six months ended June 29, 2014, the Company recognized net gains of \$123,000 and \$714,000, respectively, within the Industrial Group from the receipt of federal grant funds for improvements made under a flood relief program. Additionally, the Company recognized foreign currency translation losses of \$65,000 and \$96,000 for the three and six months ended June 29, 2014, respectively, related to the U.S. dollar denominated monetary asset position of our Mexican subsidiaries for which the Mexican peso is the functional currency. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company recognized net gains of \$17,000 and \$1,682,000, respectively, related to the disposition of idle assets and foreign currency translation gains of \$187,000 and losses of \$386,000, respectively. These gains and losses are included in other expense (income), net on the consolidated statements of operations.

(9) Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share

The Company computes earnings per share using the two-class method, which is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share for common stock and participating securities. Restricted stock granted by the Company is considered a participating security since it contains a non-forfeitable right to dividends.

Our potentially dilutive securities include potential common shares related to our stock options and restricted stock. Diluted earnings per share considers the impact of potentially dilutive securities except in periods in which there is a loss because the inclusion of the potential common shares would have an anti-dilutive effect. Diluted earnings per share excludes the impact of common shares related to our stock options in periods in which the option exercise price is greater than the average market price of our common stock for the period. There were 789,000 and 983,000 potential common shares excluded from diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 29, 2014, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, diluted weighted average common shares does not include the impact of outstanding stock options and unvested compensation-related shares because the effect of these items on diluted net loss would be anti-dilutive.

A reconciliation of the weighted average shares outstanding used in the calculation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share is as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 29, 2014 (Unaudit	June 30, 2013 ted)	June 29, 2014 (Unaudit	June 30, 2013 ted)
Income (loss) attributable to stockholders:				
Net income (loss) as reported	\$370	\$(1,494)	\$2,022	\$(7,953)
Less distributed and undistributed earnings allocable to restricted award holders	0	0	(46)	0
Less dividends declared attributable to restricted award holders	(15)	(12)	(25)	(23)
Net income (loss) allocable to common stockholders	\$355	\$(1,506)	\$1,951	\$(7,976)
Income (loss) per common share attributable to stockholders:				
Basic	\$0.02	\$(0.08)	\$0.10	\$(0.41)
Diluted	\$0.02	\$(0.08)	\$0.10	\$(0.41)
Weighted average shares outstanding – basic	19,622	19,347	19,525	19,255
Weighted average additional shares assuming conversion of potential common shares	60	0	41	0
Weighted average shares outstanding – diluted	19,682	19,347	19,566	19,255

(10) Inventory

Inventory consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 29,	December 31,
	2014	2013
	(Unaudited	d)
Raw materials	\$ 20,828	\$ 19,372
Work in process	15,160	16,436
Finished goods	5,836	5,017
Reserve for excess and obsolete inventory	(6,816 \$ 35,008) (6,403) \$ 34,422
	. ,	. ,

(11) Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment consists of the following (in thousands):

	June 29,	December 31,
	2014	2013
	(Unaudited)	
Land and land improvements	\$ 3,006	\$2,999
Buildings and building improvements	26,294	26,053
Machinery, equipment, furniture and fixtures	162,846	161,207
Construction in progress	3,337	2,133
	195,483	192,392
Accumulated depreciation	(153,152)	(147,709)
	\$ 42,331	\$44,683

The Industrial Group performed an asset recoverability test for its fixed asset group totaling approximately \$37,821,000 as of June 29, 2014. The Company concluded that the undiscounted sum of estimated future cash flows exceeded the carrying value for such asset group, and accordingly, no impairment was recognized. Additionally, the Company received fair market value appraisals for the Industrial Group's personal property from an independent third party, which exceeded the carrying value as of June 29, 2014.

(12) Segment Data

The Company is organized into two business groups, the Industrial Group and the Electronics Group. The segments are each managed separately because of the distinctions between products, services, markets, customers, technologies and workforce skills of the segments. The Industrial Group provides manufacturing services for a variety of customers that outsource forged and finished steel components and subassemblies. The Industrial Group provides manufacturing also manufactures high-pressure closures and other fabricated products. The Electronics Group provides manufacturing and technical services as an outsourced service provider to and manufactures complex data storage systems for customers in the market for aerospace and defense electronics. There was no intersegment net revenue recognized in any of the periods presented.

The following table presents financial information for the reportable segments of the Company (in thousands):

Three Months Ended

Six Months Ended

	June 29,	June 30,	June 29,	June 30,
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	(Unaudit	ed)	(Unaudite	d)
Net revenue from unaffiliated customers:				
Industrial Group	\$83,710	\$74,432	\$159,549	\$145,581
Electronics Group	9,403	7,734	17,808	14,996
	\$93,113	\$82,166	\$177,357	\$160,577
Gross profit (loss):				
Industrial Group	\$11,383	\$8,858	\$22,537	\$16,968
Electronics Group	(556)	(522)	(1,146)	(556)
	\$10,827	\$8,336	\$21,391	\$16,412
Operating income (loss):				
Industrial Group	\$7,040	\$5,976	\$15,153	\$11,361
Electronics Group	(3,168)	(4,444)	(6,245)	(14,595)
General, corporate and other	(2,196)	(2,221)	(4,811)	(4,336)
	\$1,676	\$(689)	\$4,097	\$(7,570)

	June 29,	December 31,
	2014	2013
	(Unaudited)	
Total assets:		
Industrial Group	\$ 127,746	\$100,593
Electronics Group	31,159	29,689
General, corporate and other	10,967	16,001
	\$ 169,872	\$146,283

(13) Commitments and Contingencies

The provision for estimated warranty costs is recorded at the time of sale and periodically adjusted to reflect actual experience. The Company's warranty liability, which is included in accrued liabilities in the accompanying balance sheets as of June 29, 2014 and December 31, 2013, was \$1,156,000 and \$1,439,000, respectively. The Company's warranty expense for the six months ended June 29, 2014 and June 30, 2013 was \$145,000 and \$136,000, respectively.

Additionally, the Company sells three and five-year extended warranties for one of its link encryption products. The revenue from the extended warranties is deferred and recognized ratably over the contractual term. As of June 29, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had deferred \$1,077,000 and \$1,567,000, respectively, related to extended warranties.

The Company bears insurance risk as a member of a group captive insurance entity for certain general liability, automobile and workers' compensation insurance programs and a self-insured employee health program. The Company records estimated liabilities for its insurance programs based on information provided by the third-party plan administrators, historical claims experience, expected costs of claims incurred but not paid, and expected costs to settle unpaid claims. The Company monitors its estimated insurance-related liabilities on a quarterly basis. As facts change, it may become necessary to make adjustments that could be material to the Company's consolidated results of operations and financial condition. The Company believes that its present insurance coverage and level of accrued liabilities are adequate.

The Company is involved in certain litigation and contract issues arising in the normal course of business. While the outcome of these matters cannot, at this time, be predicted in light of the uncertainties inherent therein, management does not expect that these matters will have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position or results of operations of the Company.

As of June 29, 2014, the Company had outstanding purchase commitments of approximately \$6,876,000, primarily for the acquisition of inventory and manufacturing equipment. As of June 29, 2014, the Company also had outstanding letters of credit of \$872,000 primarily under the aforementioned captive insurance program.

(14) Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes includes federal, state, local and foreign taxes. The Company's effective tax rate varies from period to period due to the proportion of foreign and domestic pre-tax income expected to be generated by the Company. The Company provides for income taxes for its domestic operations at a statutory rate of 35% and for its foreign operations at a statutory rate of 30% in 2014 and 2013. The Company's foreign operations are also subject to minimum income taxes in periods prior to 2014 where positive cash flows exceed taxable income. Reconciling items between the federal statutory rate and the effective tax rate also include the expected usage of federal net operating loss carryforwards, state income taxes, valuation allowances and certain other permanent differences.

The Company recognizes liabilities or assets for the deferred tax consequences of temporary differences between the tax bases of assets or liabilities and their reported amounts in the financial statements in accordance with ASC 740, *Income Taxes*. These temporary differences will result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years when the reported amounts of assets or liabilities are recovered or settled. ASC 740 requires that a valuation allowance be established when it is more likely than not that all or a portion of a deferred tax asset will not be realized. The Company evaluates its deferred tax position on a quarterly basis and valuation allowances are provided as necessary. During this evaluation, the Company reviews its forecast of income in conjunction with other positive and negative evidence surrounding the realizability of its deferred tax assets to determine if a valuation allowance is needed. Based on its current forecast, the Company has established a valuation allowance against the domestic net deferred tax asset. Until an appropriate level and characterization of profitability is attained, the Company expects to continue to maintain a valuation allowance on its net deferred tax assets related to future U.S. and certain non-U.S. tax benefits.

The Company expects to repatriate available non-U.S. cash holdings in 2014 and 2015 to support management's strategic objectives and fund ongoing U.S. operational cash flow requirements; therefore current earnings from non-U.S. operations are not treated as permanently reinvested. The U.S. income tax expense recorded in 2014 on these non-U.S. earnings is expected to be offset by the benefit of a partial release of a valuation allowance on U.S. net operating loss carryforwards. Should the U.S. valuation allowance be released at some future date, the U.S. tax expense on foreign earnings not permanently reinvested might have a material effect on our effective tax rate. For the year ending December 31, 2014, the Company expects any additional tax expense from non-U.S. withholding and other taxes expected to be incurred on repatriation of current earnings would not be material.

(15) Employee Benefit Plans

Pension expense (benefit) consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Mo Ended	onths	
	June 29, 2014	June 30, 2013	June 29, 2014	June 30, 2013	
	(Unau	dited)	(Unaudited)		
Service cost	\$0	\$5	\$6	\$12	
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	448	410	895	826	
Net amortizations, deferrals and other costs	123	200	265	412	
Expected return on plan assets	(592)	(631)	(1,199	9) (1,261)	
	\$(21)	\$(16)	\$(33) \$(11)	

(16) Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The Company's accumulated other comprehensive loss consists of employee benefit-related adjustments and foreign currency translation adjustments.

Accumulated other comprehensive loss consisted of the following (in thousands):

June 29,	December				
Juie 27,	31,				
2014	2013				

(Unaudited)

Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$ (4,336) \$(4,435)
Employee benefit related adjustments, net of tax of \$228 – U.S.	(12,996) (12,996)
Employee benefit related adjustments – Mexico	(303) (303)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ (17,635) \$(17,734)

(17) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities are reflected in the consolidated financial statements at their carrying amount, which approximates fair value because of the short-term maturity of those instruments. The carrying amount of debt outstanding at June 29, 2014 and December 31, 2013 under the Company's credit facility entered into on May 12, 2011 (the "Credit Facility") approximates fair value because the borrowing interest rates are for terms of less than six months and have rates that reflect currently available terms and conditions for similar debt.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

We are a diversified provider of outsourced services and specialty products. We perform a wide range of manufacturing, engineering, design and other technical services, typically under multi-year, sole-source contracts with corporations and government agencies principally in the markets for industrial manufacturing and aerospace and defense electronics.

We are organized into two business groups, the Industrial Group and the Electronics Group. The Industrial Group, which is comprised of Sypris Technologies, Inc. and its subsidiaries, generates revenue primarily from the sale of manufacturing services to customers in the market for truck components and assemblies and from the sale of products to the energy and chemical markets. The Electronics Group, which is comprised of Sypris Electronics, LLC and its subsidiary, generates revenue primarily from the sale of manufacturing services, technical services and products to customers in the market for aerospace and defense electronics.

We focus on those markets where we have the expertise, qualifications and leadership position to sustain a competitive advantage. We target our resources to support the needs of industry leaders that embrace multi-year contractual relationships as a strategic component of their supply chain management. These contracts, many of which are sole-source by part number and, historically, have been renewed for terms of five years or more, enable us to invest in leading-edge processes or technologies to help our customers remain competitive. The productivity, flexibility and economies of scale that can result offer an important opportunity for differentiating ourselves from our competitors when it comes to cost, quality, reliability and customer service.

Industrial Group Outlook

General economic and industry specific conditions have begun to stabilize for our Industrial Group, and improvements in the overall U.S. economy contributed to improved consumer confidence levels in 2014. In North America, production levels for light, medium and heavy duty trucks have steadily increased over the past four years from a low in the depressed economic environment of 2008 and 2009. Subject to the renewal status of our supply contracts with Dana Holding Corporation ("Dana") and Meritor, Inc. ("Meritor"), we continue to expect modest growth in production levels within our Industrial Group through 2014 and 2015.

Our supply agreement with Dana expires on December 31, 2014 and our supply agreements with Meritor expire on December 31, 2014 and May 2, 2015. For the six months ended June 29, 2014, Dana and Meritor represented approximately 58% and 16%, of our net revenue, respectively.

In July 2013, Sypris and Dana signed an amended and restated supply agreement, the binding effect of which is currently in dispute. Dana has repudiated this agreement and purported to exercise its rights under the parties' prior agreement to begin exploring alternative supply relationships with third parties, including the right to sign new supply agreements, authorize tooling expenditures and engage in certain production part approval processes ("PPAP") with respect to the goods currently supplied by Sypris. Sypris disputes Dana's ability to exercise such rights.

The parties have also asserted various damages claims against each other arising out of their prior supply agreement and have sought the assistance of a mediator and an arbitrator in connection with these disputes. Dana initiated an ancillary action in Ohio state court challenging the arbitrability of the existence and enforceability of the amended and restated supply agreement on January 17, 2014. In addition, Dana has notified us that it intends to terminate its supply relationship with us effective December 31, 2014 and to transition over 2,000 active part numbers, which we currently manufacture for Dana, to alternative suppliers at the expiration date of the original supply agreement. The failure to resolve this dispute with Dana on acceptable terms would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and financial performance.

In addition, the failure to enter into an agreement with Meritor on acceptable terms, or the entry into agreements for fewer products or reduced volumes or prices would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and financial performance.

The Company is exploring alternatives to address the various range of potential outcomes for both the Dana and Meritor supply agreements, including the complete or partial renewal of either or both supply agreements, pursuing new business opportunities with existing and potential customers, identifying alternative uses for the related assets and certain other contingency plans. The Company expects to have plans established and initiated prior to December 31, 2014 to mitigate the impact of the potential loss of a significant amount of business and to support the Company's operations and provide sufficient liquidity to finance its operations for the remainder of 2014 and the foreseeable future.

Electronics Group Outlook

We continue to face challenges within the Electronics Group, such as the conclusion of several U.S. Department of Defense programs that the Company supported as a subcontractor, the loss of a key commercial space customer who decided to begin insourcing programs that had been previously outsourced to the Electronics Group, the uncertainty in the worldwide macroeconomic climate and its impact on aerospace and defense spending patterns globally, the emergence of new competitors to our product and service offerings, as well as federal government spending uncertainties in the U.S.

The Electronics Group's revenue has declined year-over-year since 2009 primarily due to our inability to replace the declining demand for certain legacy products and services with competitive new offerings. While we have begun to generate some revenue from the ramp up of new electronic manufacturing service programs, we do not yet have a strong pipeline of programs or other contract awards to replace our legacy programs in the near term. The Company is continuing to develop new products and pursuing new programs to attempt to replenish its revenue stream within the Electronics Group.

The U.S. Government's continued focus on addressing federal budget deficits and the growing national debt exacerbates this challenging environment for the Electronics Group. In addition, the Budget Control Act commits the U.S. Government to reduce the federal deficit by \$1.2 trillion over ten years through a combination of automatic, across-the-board spending cuts and caps on discretionary spending. The deficit-reduction "sequestration" under the Budget Control Act is split equally between defense and non-defense programs and went into effect on March 1, 2013. The Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013 provided some budget relief, reducing the discretionary sequester (and increasing funding) for fiscal year 2014 and fiscal year 2015 for both defense and non-defense programs. However, unless Congress passes a similar law providing budget relief beyond fiscal year 2015, the full sequester cuts will go back into effect for fiscal year 2016. In addition, in February 2014, the Pentagon announced that its budget request for fiscal year 2014 budget request for star 2015 would exceed the sequester caps but would be below the funding in the President's fiscal year 2014 budget request, and in July 2014, the Pentagon's \$58.6 billion budget request for overseas contingency operations funds in 2015 was one third less than it received in 2014. Congress and the Administration continue to debate these long- and short-term funding issues, but reductions in U.S. military spending could materially and adversely affect the results of our Electronics Group, and we expect that certain military and defense programs will experience delays while the receipt of government approvals remain pending.

As a result, the Company expects ongoing uncertainty and the potential for further revenue declines within this segment for at least the next twelve months. For the longer term, we are continuing to make investments and evaluate new investments in products and programs to further improve the attractiveness of our business portfolio, with a specific emphasis on trusted solutions for identity management, cryptographic key distribution and cyber analytics. There can be no assurance that the Company's investment in and efforts to introduce new products and services will result in new business or revenue. In addition, while the Company continues to evaluate and implement cost reduction measures in this segment, the Company's currently contemplated cost reduction measures may not be able to reduce its cost structure to offset the impact of lower revenues. Should revenues decrease further in the coming periods, the Company might be required to implement further cost reductions or other downsizing measures, which could be costly and adversely impact our financial performance.

Results of Operations

The tables below compare our segment and consolidated results for the three and six month periods of operations of 2014 to the three and six month periods of operations of 2013. The tables present the results for each period, the change in those results from 2013 to 2014 in both dollars and percentage change and the results for each period as a percentage of net revenue.

The first two columns in each table show the absolute results for each period presented.

The columns entitled "Year Over Year Change" and "Year Over Year Percentage Change" show the change in results, both in dollars and percentages. These two columns show favorable changes as positive and unfavorable changes as negative. For example, when our net revenue increases from one period to the next, that change is shown as a positive number in both columns. Conversely, when expenses increase from one period to the next, that change is shown as a negative number in both columns.

The last two columns in each table show the results for each period as a percentage of net revenue. In these two columns, the cost of sales and gross profit for each are given as a percentage of that segment's net revenue. These amounts are shown in italics.

In addition, as used in the table, "NM" means "not meaningful."

Three Months Ended June 29, 2014 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2013

					Year Over					
			Y	ear Over	Year	Results as Percentage of Net Revenue for the				
			Y	ear	Percentage			0		
	Three Months Ended,		С	hange	Change	Three Montl Ended		onths	S	
	June 29,	June 30,	F	avorable	Favorable		June 29,		June 30,	
	2014	2013	J)	U nfavorable)	(Unfavorable)		2014		2013	
	(in thousands, except percentage data)									
Net revenue:										
Industrial Group	\$83,710	\$74,432	\$	9,278	12.5	%	89.9	%	90.6	%
Electronics Group	9,403	7,734		1,669	21.6		10.1		9.4	

	Edgar Filing: SYPRIS SOLUTIONS INC - Form 10-Q						
Total Cost of sales:	93,113	82,166	10,947	13.3	100.0	100.0	

.....