

Edgar Filing: Higher One Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Higher One Holdings, Inc.  
Form 10-Q  
May 12, 2014  
UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2014.

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to .

Commission File Number: 001-34779

HIGHER ONE HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware 26-3025501  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer  
Incorporation or Organization) Identification No.)  
115 Munson Street  
New Haven, CT 06511  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)(Zip Code)  
(203) 776-7776  
(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)  
N/A  
(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, If Changes Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" or "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one).

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: Higher One Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  
Yes  No

As of May 8, 2014, there were 47,557,670 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, outstanding.

---

HIGHER ONE HOLDINGS, INC.  
INDEX TO REPORT ON FORM 10-Q  
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2014

	Page
PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION	1
Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)	1
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013	1
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013	2
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders’ Equity for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013	4
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	5
Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	11
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	18
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	18
PART II – OTHER INFORMATION	19
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	19
Item 1A. Risk Factors	19
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	24
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	24
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	24
Item 5. Other Information	24
Item 6. Exhibits	24
Signatures	25

As used herein, the terms “we,” “us,” “our,” “the Company,” or “Higher One,” unless the context otherwise requires, mean Higher One Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

## PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)

Higher One Holdings, Inc.  
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets  
(In thousands of dollars, except share and per share amounts)  
(unaudited)

	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$10,951	\$6,268
Investments in marketable securities	248	247
Accounts receivable, net	10,996	8,747
Income receivable	9,543	6,680
Deferred tax assets	504	5,895
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	4,132	7,725
Restricted cash	250	250
Total current assets	36,624	35,812
Deferred costs	5,139	4,373
Fixed assets, net	49,359	49,888
Intangible assets, net	58,913	59,834
Goodwill	67,403	67,403
Loan receivable related to New Markets Tax Credit financing	7,633	7,633
Other assets	4,938	4,940
Restricted cash	2,475	2,500
Total assets	\$232,484	\$232,383
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$2,938	\$3,787
Accrued expenses	17,006	30,322
Deferred revenue	20,815	22,392
Total current liabilities	40,759	56,501
Deferred revenue and other non-current liabilities	2,304	2,342
Loan payable and deferred contribution related to New Markets Tax Credit financing	9,103	9,181
Debt	94,000	89,000
Deferred tax liabilities	2,016	2,393
Total liabilities	148,182	159,417
Commitments and contingencies (Note 6)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 200,000,000 shares authorized; 59,122,908 shares issued and 47,209,882 shares outstanding at March 31, 2014; 59,028,810 shares issued and 47,115,784 shares outstanding at December 31, 2013	60	60
Additional paid-in capital	182,965	181,339

Edgar Filing: Higher One Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Treasury stock, 11,913,026 shares at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013	(137,899)	(137,899)
Retained earnings	39,176	29,466
Total stockholders' equity	84,302	72,966
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$232,484	\$232,383

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

1

---

Higher One Holdings, Inc.  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations  
(In thousands of dollars, except share and per share amounts)  
(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
Revenue:		
Account revenue	\$41,662	\$43,363
Payment transaction revenue	14,620	6,701
Higher education institution revenue	9,979	7,141
Other revenue	295	175
Revenue	66,556	57,380
Cost of revenue	27,594	22,300
Gross margin	38,962	35,080
Operating expenses:		
General and administrative	15,711	13,089
Product development	2,192	1,895
Sales and marketing	4,474	3,207
Merger and acquisition related	-	546
Total operating expenses	22,377	18,737
Income from operations	16,585	16,343
Interest income	19	19
Interest expense	(823)	(629)
Other income	78	77
Net income before income taxes	15,859	15,810
Income tax expense	6,149	6,008
Net income	\$9,710	\$9,802
Net income available to common stockholders:		
Basic	\$9,710	\$9,802
Diluted	\$9,710	\$9,802
Weighted average shares outstanding:		
Basic	47,082,397	46,268,310
Diluted	48,340,468	48,303,907
Net income available to common stockholders per common share:		
Basic	\$0.21	\$0.21
Diluted	\$0.20	\$0.20

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Higher One Holdings, Inc.  
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity  
(In thousands of dollars, except shares)  
(unaudited)

	Common Stock		Additional	Treasury	Retained	Total
	Shares	Amount	Paid-in Capital	Stock	Earnings	Stockholders' Equity
Balance at December 31, 2013	47,115,784	\$ 60	\$ 181,339	\$(137,899)	\$ 29,466	\$ 72,966
Stock-based compensation	-	-	1,604	-	-	1,604
Issuance of restricted stock	62,522	-	-	-	-	-
Tax benefit related to options	-	-	3	-	-	3
Exercise of stock options	31,576	-	19	-	-	19
Net income	-	-	-	-	9,710	9,710
Balance at March 31, 2014	47,209,882	\$ 60	\$ 182,965	\$(137,899)	\$ 39,176	\$ 84,302

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Higher One Holdings, Inc.  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
(In thousands of dollars)  
(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income	\$9,710	\$9,802
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	4,455	3,111
Amortization of deferred finance costs	123	111
Non-cash fair value adjustment of contingent consideration	-	89
Stock-based compensation	1,558	1,485
Deferred income taxes	5,014	(856 )
Income tax benefit related to exercise of stock options	(3 )	(148 )
Other income	(78 )	(77 )
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	32	8
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(2,249 )	(1,689 )
Income receivable	(2,863 )	551
Deferred costs	(1,354 )	(184 )
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	126	4,763
Other assets	(26 )	(92 )
Accounts payable	(849 )	(532 )
Accrued expenses	(13,391)	5,954
Deferred revenue	(1,615 )	238
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(1,410 )	22,534
Cash flows from investing activities		
Purchases of fixed assets, net of changes in payables of \$- and (\$153), respectively	(1,157 )	(1,259 )
Additions to internal use software	(1,265 )	(631 )
Amounts received from restricted cash	25	-
Proceeds from development related subsidies	3,468	-
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	1,071	(1,890 )
Cash flows from financing activities		
Proceeds from line of credit	15,000	3,000
Repayments of line of credit	(10,000)	(20,000)
Excess tax benefit related to stock options	3	148
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	19	224
Purchases of common stock	-	(5,996 )
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	5,022	(22,624)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	4,683	(1,980 )
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	6,268	13,031
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$10,951	\$11,051

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.





Higher One Holdings, Inc.  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Statements  
(unaudited)

1. Nature of Business and Organization

Higher One Holdings, Inc., or HOH, is a leading provider of technology, data analytics and payment services to the higher education industry. HOH, through its subsidiaries, provides a comprehensive suite of disbursement, payment and data analytics solutions specifically designed for higher education institutions and their students. We have developed and acquired proprietary software-based solutions to provide these services. HOH is incorporated in Delaware and maintains its headquarters in New Haven, Connecticut. HOH has a wholly-owned subsidiary, Higher One, Inc., or HOI, which has two wholly-owned subsidiaries, Higher One Machines, Inc., or HOMI, and Higher One Real Estate, Inc., or Real Estate Inc. HOI and HOMI together own 99% of Higher One Financial Technology Private Limited, or HOFTPL. Real Estate Inc. has a 98% ownership interest in Higher One Real Estate SP, LLC, or Real Estate LLC. HOMI and HOFTPL perform certain of our operational support functions. Real Estate Inc. and Real Estate LLC were each formed to hold and operate certain of our real estate.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation and Consolidation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the related interim information contained within the notes to such condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP, and the applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, for interim information and quarterly reports on Form 10-Q.

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a consistent basis with the audited consolidated financial statements included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, and in the opinion of management, include all normal recurring adjustments that are necessary for the fair statement of our interim period results reported herein. The December 31, 2013 condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP. As described in "Note 7 - Business Combinations," we have revised the comparative balance sheet as of December 31, 2013 to include the effect of a measurement period adjustment. Due to seasonal fluctuations and other factors, the results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect our financial position and results of operations, including our majority and wholly-owned subsidiaries. Intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make significant estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could materially differ from management's estimates.

Basic and Diluted Net Income Available to Common Stockholders per Common Share

Basic net income per common share excludes dilution for potential common stock issuances and is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares

outstanding for the period. Diluted net income per common share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. For the calculation of diluted net income per common share, the basic weighted-average number of shares is increased by the dilutive effect of restricted stock, warrants and stock options using the treasury-stock method. The treasury-stock method assumes that the options or warrants are exercised at the beginning of the period (or date of issue if later), and that we use those proceeds to purchase common stock for treasury at the average price for the reporting period.

The effect of stock options and warrants to purchase our common stock totaling 4,175,053 and 3,607,322 were not included in the computation of diluted net income per common share for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, as their effect would be anti-dilutive. Anti-dilutive securities are securities that upon conversion or exercise increase earnings per share (or reduce the loss per share). In periods when we recognize a net loss, we exclude the impact of outstanding stock awards from the diluted loss per share calculation as their inclusion would have an anti-dilutive effect.

5

---

Higher One Holdings, Inc.  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Statements  
(unaudited)

### Comprehensive Income

There are no comprehensive income items other than net income. There are no recorded unrealized gains or losses on the investments in marketable securities as of the balance sheet dates. Comprehensive income equals net income for all periods presented.

### Restricted Cash and Other Arrangements

We accept payments on behalf of educational institutions and subsequently remit these payments to the education institutions. The amounts received are maintained in segregated accounts for the benefit of either the institution or the payor. There were approximately \$234.1 million and \$199.1 million of such funds as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. These deposits are not our funds and therefore are not included in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

There were no accounting standards adopted during 2013 or during the three months ended March 31, 2014 which had a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity. There are no new accounting standards issued but not yet effective which we expect to have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, liquidity or disclosure.

### 3. Investments in Marketable Securities and Fair Value Measurements

The following table reflects the assets carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis (in thousands). There were no liabilities carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis at as of either March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Fair values at March 31, 2014				
Assets:				
Certificate of deposit	\$248	\$ —	\$ 248	\$ —
Fair values at December 31, 2013				
Assets:				
Certificate of deposit	\$247	\$ —	\$ 247	\$ —

Higher One Holdings, Inc.  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Statements  
(unaudited)

There was no activity in the fair value of the measurements using unobservable inputs (Level 3 Assets) during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Our contingently returnable escrow receivable is valued using probability-weighted, future possible expected outcomes. The unobservable input utilized in the determination of this receivable is our estimation of which clients subject to the escrow agreement will assign their contracts to us. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the contingently returnable escrow receivable was reduced as a result of a measurement period adjustment, which had the effect of reducing the amount recorded as of both March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013. The range of amounts which we may receive from escrow is between \$0 and \$4.1 million as of March 31, 2014. All amounts are expected to be distributed out of escrow by June 2014. The contingently returnable escrow receivable is included in prepaid expenses and other current assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheet. Refer to "Note 7 - Business Combinations" for additional information related to the escrow arrangement.

We had no unrealized gains or losses from investments as of March 31, 2014 or December 31, 2013 and there is no difference between the amortized cost and fair value of the securities we held. The carrying amounts of our cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximates fair value because of the short-term nature of these instruments. The carrying amount of our debt outstanding under our credit facility approximates fair value. Our loan receivable related to New Markets Tax Credit financing is a debt instrument that we classify as held to maturity and is recorded at amortized cost. The carrying value of both our loan receivable and loan payable related to New Markets Tax Credit financing approximates fair value as of March 31, 2014. Our loan payable and loan receivable related to New Markets Tax Credit financing was estimated using discounted cash flow analysis based on rates for similar types of arrangements and are considered Level 3 measurements.

#### 4. Real Estate Development Project

At the end of 2011, we completed a real estate development project and moved our headquarters into two commercial buildings located in New Haven, Connecticut. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, we received a payment of \$3.5 million associated with state historic tax credits which were generated by the project. This amount had been recorded within prepaid expenses and other current assets, along with an offsetting reduction to our fixed assets, in our condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013.

We have provided two guarantees related to this real estate development project. We provided a guaranty to the State of Connecticut Department of Economic and Community Development related to our obligation to repay the amounts which were granted to us if we fail to meet certain criteria. The maximum potential amount of future payments of this guaranty is approximately \$5.9 million. We also provided a guaranty related to tax credits that are expected to be generated by an investment made by an unrelated entity into the real estate development project. In the event that we cause either a recapture or disallowance of the tax credits expected to be generated under this program, we will be required to repay the disallowed or recaptured tax credits plus an amount sufficient to pay the taxes on such repayment, to the counterparty of the guaranty agreement. This guaranty will remain in place through 2018. The maximum potential amount of future payments of this guaranty is approximately \$6.0 million. We currently believe that the requirement to make a payment under either of the guaranties described above is remote and we have thus not recorded any liability on our condensed consolidated balance sheet in connection with these guaranties.

In connection with the real estate project described above, during 2013, we made an investment in FC Winchester Lofts Master Tenant, LLC, or the Master Tenant, which will maintain and operate a residential development project which is adjacent to our corporate headquarters. Although we contributed capital to the project, the power to direct the

economically significant activities of the project is held by the other member of the Master Tenant, as such we are not the primary beneficiary of the Master Tenant. For example, the other member has the right to manage the operations of the development once the development is completed. Additionally, we do not have exposure to loss in excess of the amounts we contribute to the Master Tenant. Accordingly, our investment in the Master Tenant is accounted for as an equity method investment. The equity investment totaled \$3.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2013 and is included within other assets on the accompanying balance sheet as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013. We expect to make additional investments during 2014 as construction is completed. The total amount expected to be invested during 2014 is approximately \$8.8 million. As a result of our equity investment in the residential development, we will receive the pass-through of federal historic tax credits generated by the residential development and the right to receive certain cash flows generated from the project. The federal historic tax credits are expected to be approximately \$11.2 million and are expected to reduce our federal income taxes payable during the years ending December 31, 2014 and 2015.

7

---

Higher One Holdings, Inc.  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Statements  
(unaudited)

5. Credit Facility

On October 16, 2012, HOI entered into a five-year, \$200.0 million, senior secured revolving credit facility, or the Credit Facility. As of March 31, 2014, there were \$94.0 million in borrowings outstanding, at a weighted average interest rate of 2.2%, under the Credit Facility. We are in compliance with all of the applicable affirmative, negative and financial covenants of the Credit Facility. The amount available to be drawn under the Credit Facility may be increased by an additional \$100.0 million upon our request and the agreement of the lenders party to the Credit Facility. The Credit Facility permits the issuance of letters of credit of up to \$20.0 million and swing line loans of up to \$10.0 million to fund working capital needs. Loans drawn under the Credit Facility are payable in a single maturity on October 16, 2017.

6. Commitments and Contingencies

From time to time we are subject to litigation relating to matters in the ordinary course of business, as well as regulatory examinations, information gathering requests, inquiries and investigations.

As previously disclosed, the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago notified us and a former bank partner of potential violations of the Federal Trade Commission Act relating to marketing and disclosure practices related to the OneAccount during the period it was offered by such former bank partner. On May 9, 2014, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, or the Board of Governors, advised us of its determination to seek an administrative order against us with respect to asserted violations of the Federal Trade Commission Act relating to our activities with both a former and current bank partner and our marketing and disclosure practices related to the process by which students may select the OneAccount option for financial aid refund. We are in discussions with the Board of Governors in this matter. Any administrative order arising out of this matter is likely to include demands for material customer restitution, material civil money penalties, and changes to certain of our business practices and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Although the ultimate amount of restitution or civil money penalties are subject to many uncertainties and therefore are impossible to predict, it is possible the amounts could reach levels that would cause an event of default under our Credit Facility. While we believe that it is probable that we will have a loss related to this regulatory matter, in view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of regulatory matters, we cannot predict what the eventual outcome of this pending matter will be, what the timing of the ultimate resolution of this matter will be or the potential range of loss associated with this matter. We are currently unable to estimate a range of loss associated with this matter because it is in an early stage.

HOI and HOH are defendants in a series of putative class action lawsuits filed in 2012: Ashley Parker, et al. v. Higher One Holdings, Inc. et al., filed on July 3, 2012 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Mississippi, Eastern Division; Jeanette Price et al. v. Higher One Holdings, Inc. et al., filed on July 27, 2012 in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut; John Brandon Kent et al. v. Higher One Holdings, Inc. et al., filed on August 17, 2012 in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Alabama, Northern Division; Jonathan Lanham et al. v. Higher One Holdings, Inc. et al., filed on October 2, 2012 in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky, Louisville Division; Aisha DeClue et al. v. Higher One, Inc., et al., filed on November 5, 2012 in the St. Louis County Circuit Court of Missouri; and Jill Massey et al. v. Higher One Holdings, Inc. et al., filed on November 6, 2012 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois, East Saint Louis Division. The Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation transferred all of these cases to the District of Connecticut for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings. The proceedings are referred to as the "In re Higher One OneAccount Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation" or the "MDL." Plaintiffs have filed a consolidated amended

complaint in the MDL that generally alleges, among other things, violations of state consumer protection statutes (predicated, in part, on alleged violations of ED rules and violations of the federal Electronic Funds Transfer Act) and various common law claims. On April 22, 2013, we filed a motion to dismiss the case, which the court denied as moot on March 11, 2014 in light of the parties' settlement, discussed below.

In October 2013, we reached an agreement in principle on the key terms of a settlement that would resolve all of the above class action litigation that was filed against us in 2012. In February 2014, we executed a settlement agreement, the terms of which included a payment of \$15.0 million to a settlement fund, an agreement to pay the cost of notice to the class, and an agreement to make and/or maintain certain practice changes. We made the payment of \$15.0 million to the settlement fund in February 2014. On February 14, 2014, plaintiffs asked the court to preliminarily approve the settlement. The court must approve the settlement before it becomes final and binding. There is no assurance that the court will approve the settlement. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we recorded an accrual for an estimated charge of \$16.3 million to reflect our current estimate of the resolution, inclusive of additional legal and other administrative costs, based on the agreement in principle. While this estimate is consistent with our view of the current exposure based on the signed settlement agreement, the actual loss or range of such loss could vary materially from the current estimate if the settlement is not finalized and approved.

8

---



Higher One Holdings, Inc.  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Statements  
(unaudited)

In February 2009 and September 2010, Higher One, Inc. filed two separate complaints against TouchNet Information Systems, Inc., or TouchNet, in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut alleging patent infringement related to TouchNet’s offering for sale and sales of its “eRefund” product in violation of 2 of our patents. In the complaints, we sought judgments that TouchNet has infringed two of our patents, a judgment that TouchNet pay damages and interest on damages to compensate us for infringement, an award of our costs in connection with these actions and an injunction barring TouchNet from further infringing our patents. TouchNet answered the complaint and asserted a number of defenses and counterclaims, including that it does not infringe our patent, that our patent is invalid or unenforceable and certain allegations of unfair competition and state and federal antitrust violations. In addition, TouchNet’s counterclaims sought dismissal of our claims with prejudice, declaratory judgment that TouchNet does not infringe our patent and that our patent is invalid or unenforceable, as well as an award of fees and costs related to the action, and an injunction permanently enjoining us from suing TouchNet regarding infringement of our patent. The parties are currently in the discovery stage of the proceeding. We intend to pursue the matter vigorously. There can be no assurances of our success in these proceedings.

In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, we establish a liability for these matters if and when they were to present loss contingencies that were both probable and reasonably estimable.

7. Business Combinations

On May 7, 2013, we entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement with Sallie Mae, Inc., or Sallie Mae, to purchase substantially all of the assets of Sallie Mae’s Campus Solutions business, or Campus Solutions, for consideration of approximately \$47.3 million in cash, \$5.2 million of which was deposited into escrow and will be released to Sallie Mae or us depending on the assignment of certain client contracts. As of March 31, 2014, \$4.1 million of the escrow remained undistributed.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, we recorded a measurement period adjustment which resulted in a change in the fair values attributed to the contingently returnable escrow receivable, intangible assets and goodwill. We have revised the comparative balance sheet as of December 31, 2013 to include the effect of the measurement period adjustment as if the accounting had been completed on the acquisition date. The fair value of the contingently returnable escrow receivable was reduced by \$3.2 million and the fair value of intangible assets and goodwill were increased by \$2.3 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. The fair value of the contingently returnable escrow receivable decreased as a result of additional client contracts which were assigned to us, compared to our earlier assessments. The remaining disclosures related to the acquisition of Campus Solutions have been updated to reflect this measurement period adjustment. There were no changes to goodwill during the three months ended March 31, 2014, other than the change related to the measurement period adjustment described above.

Under the acquisition method of accounting, the total fair value of consideration transferred was allocated to Campus Solutions’ net tangible and intangible assets based on their estimated fair values as of May 7, 2013. The preliminary allocation of fair value of consideration transferred was allocated as follows (in thousands):

	May 7,
Assets acquired:	2013
Accounts receivable	\$770
Contingently returnable escrow receivable	136
Fixed assets	92

Edgar Filing: Higher One Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Intangible assets	25,850
Goodwill	20,402
Total assets acquired and fair value of consideration transferred	\$47,250

The preliminary purchase price allocations for the Campus Solutions acquisition were based upon a preliminary valuation and our estimates and assumptions for this acquisition is subject to change as we obtain additional information for our estimates during the measurement period. The primary areas of those purchase price allocations that are not yet finalized relate to the contingently returnable escrow receivable and residual goodwill.

The following methods and inputs were utilized to determine fair value for the respective items:

Item	Valuation technique	Inputs
Contingently returnable escrow receivable	Probability-weighted future possible outcomes	Estimate of the contracts that will be assigned to us and the amount to be paid from escrow to us for each such contract
Completed technology	Income approach – relief from royalty	Estimated future revenue attributable to technology completed as of the acquisition date, royalty rate and discount rate
Customer relationships	Income approach – excess earnings	Estimated future revenues attributable to existing higher education institution clients as of the acquisition date, estimated income associated with such revenue, royalty rate and discount rate

9

---

Higher One Holdings, Inc.  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Statements  
(unaudited)

The acquired intangible assets will be amortized each year based on a straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the asset (in thousands).

	Weighted-average amortization period (in years)	Amount
Customer relationships	11	\$23,130
Completed technology	3	2,720
	10	\$25,850

Goodwill represents the excess of the fair value of consideration transferred for an acquired business over the fair value of the net tangible and intangible assets acquired. Goodwill exists in the transaction as a result of value beyond that of the tangible and other intangible assets, attributable to synergies that exist in the combined business, including a planned migration to a single technology platform. Goodwill of \$16.8 million is deductible for tax purposes. The amount of goodwill which is deductible for tax purposes will change upon the distribution of amounts out of escrow.

The Campus Solutions business does not constitute a separate operating segment. Our strategy is to integrate the Campus Solutions business into our existing business. We have also concluded that our operating segment is a single reporting unit. Our single operating segment does not have any components that constitute a separate business for which discrete information will be available. We plan to operate the combined enterprise as one integrated business. Accordingly, the goodwill arising from the acquisition was assigned to our single operating segment and single reporting unit.

We reported revenues totaling approximately \$6.1 million from the Campus Solutions acquisition during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

The pro forma financial information for the three months ended March 31, 2013 is provided for illustrative purposes only and assumes that the acquisition of the Campus Solutions business occurred on January 1, 2013. This pro forma financial information (in thousands, except per share data) should not be relied upon as being indicative of the historical results that would have been obtained if the acquisitions had actually occurred on that date, nor of the results that may be obtained in the future. The pro forma financial information for the period presented also includes amortization expense from acquired intangible assets, adjustments to interest expense, interest income and related tax effects.

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013
in thousands (other than per share information)	
Revenues	\$64,562
Net income	\$7,960
Basic earnings per share	\$0.17
Basic weighted average number of common shares outstanding	46,268
Diluted earnings per share	\$0.16
Diluted weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding	48,304



## Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The information contained in this section should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes as included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 and information contained elsewhere in such annual report on Form 10-K and in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. The discussion contains forward-looking statements (as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995) involving risks, uncertainties and assumptions that could cause our results to differ materially from expectations. For this purpose, any statements contained herein that are not statements of historical fact may be deemed to be forward-looking statements. Without limiting the foregoing, the words "believes," "anticipates," "plans," "expects," "should" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause these differences include those described under "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in the annual report on Form 10-K and in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. The forward-looking statements included in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q are made only as of the date of this report. We do not undertake any obligation to update or supplement any forward-looking statements to reflect subsequent events or circumstances, except as required by law. We cannot assure you that projected results or events will be achieved or will occur.

### Overview

Based on market share and the number of campuses using our products and services, we believe we are a leading provider of technology-based refund disbursement, payment processing and data analytics services to higher education institutions and their students. We believe that none of our competitors can match our ability to provide solutions for higher education institutions' financial services needs, including compliance monitoring, and, consequently, that we provide the most comprehensive suite of disbursement and payment solutions specifically designed for higher education institutions and their students. We also provide campus communities with convenient, cost-competitive and student-oriented banking services, which include extensive user-friendly features.

Our products and services for our higher education institution clients include our Refund Management service, our Payment Processing suite, and our Educational Services suite. Through our bank partners, we offer the OneAccount, which includes an FDIC-insured checking account, a debit MasterCard® ATM card and other retail banking services, to the students of our higher education institution clients that use our Refund Management service.

As of March 31, 2014, more than 600 campuses serving approximately 5.1 million students had purchased our Refund Management service. In total, there are more than 1,900 campuses servicing nearly 13 million students contracted to use at least one of our services. As of March 31, 2014 we also serviced approximately 2.3 million OneAccounts.

Our revenue fluctuates as a result of seasonal factors related to the academic year. A large portion of our revenue is either directly or indirectly dependent on academic financial aid received by students and in turn the number of students enrolled at our higher education institution clients. Higher education institutions typically disburse financial aid refunds to students at the start of each academic term. Distribution of financial aid disbursements through our Refund Management service (1) indirectly generates revenue through deposits of financial aid into OneAccounts, which generates account revenue, and (2) directly generates revenue through our higher education institution clients' use of the Refund Management service, which generates higher education institution revenue.

While revenue fluctuates over the course of the year, many of our expenses remain relatively constant, resulting in disparities in our net income and adjusted net income from quarter to quarter. Typically, the second quarter accounts for the smallest proportion of our revenues. This is primarily because the majority of financial aid is disbursed outside of this time period and higher education institutions tend to enroll more new students during the first and third fiscal quarters. We expect this trend to continue going forward.

In early 2014, the Department of Education, or ED, formed a negotiated rulemaking committee. Our Chief Operating Officer was selected by ED to serve on the committee as a primary negotiator. The committee convened in February, March and April 2014 and is scheduled to have an additional session in May 2014 to discuss and work toward revising existing regulations to potentially address, among other things, consumer safeguards regarding debit and prepaid cards associated with Title IV credit balance disbursements (including fees associated with such debit and prepaid cards), marketing of financial products (including sending unsolicited cards to students and co-branding of the card and materials) by institutions and their preferred banks or contractors, ATM access and availability, revenue sharing arrangements, and the potential for a government-sponsored debit or prepaid card solution.

As previously disclosed, the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago notified us and a former bank partner of potential violations of the Federal Trade Commission Act relating to marketing and disclosure practices related to the OneAccount during the period it was offered by such former bank partner. On May 9, 2014, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, or the Board of Governors, advised us of its determination to seek an administrative order against us with respect to asserted violations of the Federal Trade Commission Act relating to our activities with both a former and current bank partner and our marketing and disclosure practices related to the process by which students may select the OneAccount option for financial aid refund. We are in discussions with the Board of Governors in this matter. Any administrative order arising out of this matter is likely to include demands for material customer restitution, material civil money penalties, and changes to certain of our business practices and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Although the ultimate amount of restitution or civil money penalties are subject to many uncertainties and therefore are impossible to predict, it is possible the amounts could reach levels that would cause an event of default under our Credit Facility. While we believe that it is probable that we will have a loss related to this regulatory matter, in view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of regulatory matters, we cannot predict what the eventual outcome of this pending matter will be, what the timing of the ultimate resolution of this matter will be or the potential range of loss associated with this matter. We are currently unable to estimate a range of loss associated with this matter because it is in an early stage.

11

---

Edgar Filing: Higher One Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013

The following tables summarize key components of our results of operations for the periods indicated, both in dollars and as a percentage of total revenue:

	Three Months Ended March 31, (unaudited)				2014 % of Revenue	2013 % of Revenue
	2014 (in thousands)	2013	\$ Change	% Change		
Revenue:						
Account revenue	\$41,662	\$43,363	\$(1,701 )	(3.9 %)	62.6 %	75.6 %
Payment transaction revenue	14,620	6,701	7,919	118.2 %	22.0 %	11.7 %
Higher education institution revenue	9,979	7,141	2,838	39.7 %	15.0 %	12.4 %
Other revenue	295	175	120	68.6 %	0.4 %	0.3 %
Revenue	66,556	57,380	9,176	16.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %
Cost of revenue	27,594	22,300	5,294	23.7 %	41.5 %	38.9 %
Gross profit	38,962	35,080	3,882	11.1 %	58.5 %	61.1 %
Operating expenses:						
General and administrative	15,711	13,089	2,622	20.0 %	23.6 %	22.8 %
Product development	2,192	1,895	297	15.7 %	3.3 %	3.3 %
Sales and marketing	4,474	3,207	1,267	39.5 %	6.7 %	5.6 %
Merger and acquisition related	-	546	(546 )	(100.0 %)	0.0 %	1.0 %
Total operating expenses	22,377	18,737	3,640	19.4 %	33.6 %	32.7 %
Income from operations	16,585	16,343	242	1.5 %	24.9 %	28.5 %
Interest income	19	19	-	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %
Interest expense	(823 )	(629 )	(194 )	30.8 %	(1.2 %)	(1.1 %)
Other income	78	77	1	1.3 %	0.1 %	0.1 %
Net income before income taxes	15,859	15,810	49	0.3 %	23.8 %	27.6 %
Income tax expense	6,149	6,008	141	2.3 %	9.2 %	10.5 %
Net income	\$9,710	\$9,802	\$(92 )	(0.9 %)	14.6 %	17.1 %

Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 Compared to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013

Revenue

Account Revenue

The decrease in account revenue was primarily due to an approximate 4% decrease in the total dollars deposited into OneAccounts compared to the prior year. The decrease in dollars deposited led to a similar decrease in amounts spent from the OneAccounts which had the effect of decreasing both the interchange revenue and service fee revenue we earn when compared to the prior year. The decrease in dollars deposited into the OneAccount was the result of fewer financial aid refunds being deposited to the OneAccount, partially offset by an increase in the amount of other deposits made into OneAccounts. In addition, our service fee revenue was also decreased as a result of a change we made to our account fee schedule during the second half of 2013, including the removal of a fee assessed to customers that had not repaid an overdraft balance within an allotted time period. The removal of this fee was partially offset by increases in amounts earned from other fees.

Payment Transaction Revenue

The majority of the increase in payment transaction revenue was due to revenue associated with the Campus Solutions business. The Campus Solutions business contributed approximately \$4.7 million of payment transaction revenue during the three months ended March 31, 2014. The volume of transactions processed through the SmartPay payment module also increased significantly during the three months ended March 31, 2014 which lead to increases in payment transaction revenue. The increase in payment transaction volume was primarily due to the introduction during 2013 of Visa as a payment method for SmartPay, which lead to increases in payments processed at higher education institutions that were clients as of March 31, 2013. A portion of the increase in payment transaction revenue is also due to the addition of higher education institution clients that began utilizing the SmartPay payment module after March 31, 2013.

12

---



#### Higher Education Institution Revenue

The increase in higher education institution revenue was primarily due to an increase related to Educational Services and also from revenue associated with the Campus Solutions business. The increase in Educational Services revenue was due to a combination of fair value adjustments to deferred revenue, which reduced revenue during the three months ended March 31, 2013, and year-over-year increases in higher education institution client billings. We also had an increase in revenue associated with subscription revenue for our payment processing products due to a combination of new client sales, as well as additional sales to existing schools.

#### Cost of Revenue

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, our cost of revenue increased at a higher rate than our revenues, resulting in a decrease in our gross margin percentage. The decrease in our gross margin percentage was impacted due to the inclusion of the Campus Solutions business, which currently has a lower gross margin percentage than our other products and services. Our gross margin percentage for the three months ended March 31, 2014, exclusive of the Campus Solutions business, is approximately equal to our gross margin percentage for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

The increase in our cost of revenue was primarily related to additional costs needed to support the Campus Solutions business, and, to a lesser extent, additional transaction processing costs needed to support the growth of our SmartPay payment transaction volume.

#### General and Administrative Expense

The increase in general and administrative expenses is primarily attributable to the following three factors: (i) our personnel costs increased compared to the three months ended March 31, 2013, approximately half of which is due to employees from the acquisition of the Campus Solutions business, (ii) higher professional fees related to additional compliance activities, and, to a lesser extent, (iii) increases in depreciation and amortization.

#### Product Development Expense

The increase in product development expense was primarily due to transition related product development expenses associated with the Campus Solutions acquisition. The transition related product development expenses was partially offset by an increase in internal costs which are capitalized as a result of software development projects.

#### Sales and Marketing Expense

The increase in sales and marketing expense was primarily due to an increase associated with the acquisition of the Campus Solutions business, including amortization expense of acquired intangible assets from the Campus Solutions business and personnel costs.

#### Merger and Acquisition Related

Our merger and acquisition related expenses during the three months ended March 31, 2013 included professional fees associated with the acquisition of the Campus Labs business in August 2012, certain employee related costs and a fair value adjustment to the contingent consideration component of the purchase price. There were no such costs during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

#### Interest Expense

Our interest expense increased compared to the prior period as a result of an increase in the outstanding balance on our Credit Facility. The amount outstanding on our Credit Facility ranged from \$89 million to \$104 million during the three months ended March 31, 2014, compared to a range of \$63 million to \$83 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013. The average interest rate during the three months ended March 31, 2014 was 2.4%.

#### Income Tax Expense

Our income tax expense increased slightly as a result of a higher effective tax rate during the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in our effective tax rate is due to a slight increase in our state tax expense and a benefit we received from certain federal tax credits during the three months ended March 31, 2013. The effective tax rates for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 were 38.8% and 38.0%, respectively. Our effective tax rate is expected to be between 38% and 40% for the 2014 fiscal year.

13

---

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

### Sources of Liquidity

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash flows from operations and borrowings under our Credit Facility, as defined below. As of March 31, 2014, we had \$11.0 million in cash and cash equivalents, \$0.2 million in available-for-sale investments and approximately \$43.1 million in borrowing capacity available under our Credit Facility. Our primary liquidity requirements are for working capital, capital expenditures, product development expenses and general corporate needs. As of March 31, 2014, we had a working capital deficit of \$4.0 million.

### Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility

In October 2012, we terminated our then existing credit facility and entered into a new five-year senior secured revolving credit facility in an amount of \$200.0 million, or the Credit Facility. As of March 31, 2014, we had \$94.0 million in borrowings outstanding, at a weighted average interest rate of 2.2%, under the Credit Facility. The Credit Facility permits the issuance of letters of credit of up to \$20.0 million and swing line loans of up to \$10.0 million to fund working capital needs. Loans drawn under the Credit Facility are payable in a single maturity on October 16, 2017.

Each of HOH, HOMI, Real Estate Inc. and Real Estate LLC, or together with HOI, the Loan Obligors, is a guarantor of HOI's obligations under the Credit Facility. Loans drawn under the Credit Facility are secured by a perfected first priority security interest in all of the capital stock of HOI and its domestic subsidiaries, and substantially all of each Loan Obligor's tangible and intangible assets, including intellectual property.

Amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility accrue interest at a rate equal to, at our option, either (i) the British Bankers Association LIBOR Rate, or BBA LIBOR, plus a margin of between 1.75% and 2.25% per annum (depending on our debt to EBITDA, as defined in the Credit Facility, ratio) or (ii) a fluctuating base rate tied to the federal funds rate, the administrative agent's prime rate and BBA LIBOR, subject to a minimum of 2%. Interest is payable on the last day of each interest period selected by us under the Credit Facility and, in any event, at least quarterly. We pay a commitment fee ranging from 0.25% and 0.375% on the daily average undrawn portion of revolving commitments under the Credit Facility, which accrues and is payable quarterly in arrears.

The Credit Facility contains certain affirmative covenants including covenants to furnish the lenders with financial statements and other financial information and to provide the lenders notice of material events and information regarding collateral. The Credit Facility also contains certain negative covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability, subject to certain exceptions, to incur additional indebtedness, grant liens on our assets, undergo fundamental changes, make investments, sell assets, make restricted payments, change the nature of our business and engage in transactions with our affiliates. In addition, the Credit Facility contains certain financial covenants that require us to maintain (1) EBITDA, as defined in the Credit Facility, as amended, on a consolidated basis for the prior four fiscal quarters of at least \$50 million, (2) a funded debt to EBITDA ratio of 2.50 to 1.00 or less through December 31, 2014 and of 2.00 to 1.00 or less thereafter, and (3) a fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.25 to 1.00. We were in compliance with each of the applicable affirmative, negative and financial covenants of the Credit Facility as of March 31, 2014.

## Cash Flows

The following table presents information regarding our cash flows and cash and cash equivalents for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013:

	Three Months Ended March		
	31,		
			\$
	2014	2013	Change
	(unaudited)		
	(in thousands)		
Net cash provided by (used in):			
Operating activities	\$(1,410 )	\$22,534	\$(23,944)
Investing activities	1,071	(1,890 )	2,961
Financing activities	5,022	(22,624)	27,646
Change in cash and cash equivalents	4,683	(1,980 )	6,663
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$10,951	\$11,051	\$(100 )

The decrease in net cash provided by operating activities was primarily the result of changes in working capital balances during the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to the prior year. The change in working capital balances was a source of cash of \$9.0 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013, but was a use of cash of \$22.2 million during the three months ended March 31, 2014, or a net change of \$31.2 million. The change in cash provided by (used by) working capital accounts was primarily related to the following activities: (i) a decrease in accrued expenses related to the payment of \$15.0 million during the three months ended March 31, 2014 in connection with the preliminary settlement agreement of certain class action lawsuits which were brought against us; (ii) favorable movement in prepaid expenses and other current assets during the three months ended March 31, 2013 as a result of lower funding requirements for the provision for operational losses; and (iii) other changes in income receivable, deferred costs and deferred revenue. Offsetting the changes in working capital balances was an increase of \$7.3 million in non-cash adjustments to net income compared to the three months ended March 31, 2013 as a result of an increase in our deferred income tax provision, which coincides with the tax impact of the amount contributed to the settlement fund, and an increase in depreciation and amortization.

The increase in net cash provided by investing activities relates to the receipt of \$3.5 million which is associated with state historic tax credits generated by the construction of our headquarters. This receipt was partially offset by an increase in internal use software efforts during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

The cash provided by financing activities was primarily related to amounts drawn on our Credit Facility. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, we borrowed \$15.0 million on our Credit Facility and made repayments of \$10.0 million, compared to net repayments on our Credit Facility of \$17.0 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013. The change in utilization of our credit facility is primarily due to \$15.0 million that we paid into an escrow account to satisfy the amount due under the preliminary settlement agreement. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we used approximately \$6.0 million to purchase our common stock through our authorized share purchase program, which did not recur in the three months ended March 31, 2014.

We believe that our cash flows from operations, together with our existing liquidity sources, will be sufficient to fund our operations and anticipated capital expenditures over at least the next twelve months.

## Supplemental Financial and Operating Information

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 2013 (unaudited) (in thousands)	
Adjusted EBITDA	\$22,676	\$21,562
Adjusted net income	\$12,220	\$12,001
Number of students enrolled at Refund Management client higher education institutions at end of period	5,083	4,709
Number of OneAccounts at end of period	2,292	2,161

We define adjusted EBITDA as net income before interest, income taxes and depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, further adjusted to remove the effects of stock-based compensation expense and incremental expenses, certain of which are non-cash, directly related to merger and acquisition activities. Neither EBITDA or adjusted EBITDA should be considered an alternative to net income, operating income or any other measure of financial performance calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP. Our EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other organizations because other organizations may not calculate EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA in the same manner as we do. Adjusted EBITDA also may not be identical to the corresponding measure used in our various agreements, in particular our Credit Facility.

The following table presents a reconciliation of net income, the most comparable GAAP measure, to EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA for each of the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 2013 (unaudited) (in thousands)	
Net income	\$9,710	\$9,802
Interest income	(19 )	(19 )
Interest expense	823	629
Income tax expense	6,149	6,008
Depreciation and amortization	4,455	3,111
EBITDA	21,118	19,531
Merger and acquisition related expense	—	546
Stock-based compensation expense	1,558	1,485
Adjusted EBITDA	\$22,676	\$21,562

We define adjusted net income as net income, adjusted to eliminate (a) stock-based compensation expense related to incentive stock option grants and (b) after giving effect to tax adjustments, (1) stock-based compensation expense related to non-qualified stock option and restricted stock grants, (2) incremental expenses, certain of which are non-cash, directly related to merger and acquisition activities, and (3) amortization expenses related to acquired intangible assets and financing costs. Adjusted net income should not be considered as an alternative to net income, operating income or any other measure of financial performance calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP. Our adjusted net income may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other organizations because other organizations may not calculate adjusted net income in the same manner as we do.

The following table presents a reconciliation of net income, the most comparable GAAP measure, to adjusted net income for each of the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2014      2013 (unaudited) (in thousands)	
Net income	\$9,710	\$9,802
Merger and acquisition related	–	546
Stock-based compensation expense - incentive stock option grants	425	484
Stock-based compensation expense - non-qualified stock option and restricted stock grants	1,133	1,001
Amortization of acquired intangible assets	2,135	1,130
Amortization of deferred finance costs	123	111
Total pre-tax adjustments	3,816	3,272
Tax rate	38.5 %	38.5 %
Less: tax adjustment (a)	1,306	1,073
Adjusted net income	\$12,220	\$12,001

(a) We have tax effected, utilizing an estimated statutory rate, all of the pre-tax adjustments, except for stock-based compensation expense for incentive stock options which are generally not tax deductible.

#### Contractual Obligations

Except for the increase in the amount owed under our Credit Facility, there have been no material changes to our contractual commitments from those disclosed in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We are not a party to any material off-balance sheet arrangements that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

## Critical Accounting Policies

The significant accounting policies and basis of preparation of our consolidated financial statements are described in Note 2, “Significant Accounting Policies” of our notes to consolidated financial statements included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 and in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, we are required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities in our financial statements. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

We believe the judgments, estimates and assumptions associated with the following critical accounting policies have the greatest potential impact on our consolidated financial statements:

- Provision for operational losses; - Stock-based compensation
- Goodwill and intangible assets - Income taxes
- Business combinations - Revenue

For a complete discussion of these critical accounting policies, refer to “Critical Accounting Policies” within “Item 7 - Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” included within our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. As of March 31, 2014, there have been no material changes to any of the Critical Accounting Policies described therein.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our principal market risk relates to interest rate sensitivity, which is the risk that future changes in interest rates will reduce our net income or net assets. Our Credit Facility accrues interest at a rate equal to a base rate or Eurodollar rate plus an applicable margin (depending on our debt to EBITDA ratio). Based upon a sensitivity analysis at April 1, 2014, assuming average outstanding borrowings during the three months ended March 31, 2014 of \$95.6 million, a hypothetical 50 basis point increase in interest rates would result in an increase in interest expense of \$0.5 million for an annual period.

In addition, we receive processing fees paid from our bank partners, based on prevailing interest rates and the total deposits held in OneAccounts. Since 2008, processing fees paid by our bank partners have been relatively small because of historically low interest rates. A change in interest rates would affect the amount of processing fees that we earn and therefore would have an effect on our revenue, cash flows and results of operations.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act) as of March 31, 2014. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of March 31, 2014, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure, and ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission’s rules and forms.

There has been no change in our internal controls over financial reporting during the three months ended March 31, 2014 identified in connection with the evaluation required by Rule 13a-15(d) and 15d-15(d) of the Exchange Act that occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonable likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.





## PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The following information supplements and amends our discussion set forth under Part I, Item 3. “Legal Proceedings” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

As previously disclosed, the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago notified us and a former bank partner of potential violations of the Federal Trade Commission Act relating to marketing and disclosure practices related to the OneAccount during the period it was offered by such former bank partner. On May 9, 2014, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, or the Board of Governors, advised us of its determination to seek an administrative order against us with respect to asserted violations of the Federal Trade Commission Act relating to our activities with both a former and current Bank Partner and our marketing and disclosure practices related to the process by which students may select the OneAccount option for financial aid refund. We are in discussions with the Board of Governors in this matter. Any administrative order arising out of this matter is likely to include demands for material customer restitution, material civil money penalties, and changes to certain of our business practices and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Although the ultimate amount of restitution or civil money penalties are subject to many uncertainties and therefore are impossible to predict, it is possible the amounts could reach levels that would cause an event of default under our Credit Facility. While we believe that it is probable that we will have a loss related to this regulatory matter, in view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of regulatory matters, we cannot predict what the eventual outcome of this pending matter will be, what the timing of the ultimate resolution of this matter will be or the potential range of loss associated with this matter. We are currently unable to estimate a range of loss associated with this matter because it is in an early stage.

We are a defendant in a series of putative class action lawsuits filed in 2012: Ashley Parker, et al. v. Higher One Holdings, Inc. et al., filed on July 3, 2012 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Mississippi, Eastern Division; Jeanette Price et al. v. Higher One Holdings, Inc. et al., filed on July 27, 2012 in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut; John Brandon Kent et al. v. Higher One Holdings, Inc. et al., filed on August 17, 2012 in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Alabama, Northern Division; Jonathan Lanham et al. v. Higher One Holdings, Inc. et al., filed on October 2, 2012 in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky, Louisville Division; Aisha DeClue et al. v. Higher One, Inc., et al., filed on November 5, 2012 in the St. Louis County Circuit Court of Missouri; and Jill Massey et al. v. Higher One Holdings, Inc. et al., filed on November 6, 2012 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois, East Saint Louis Division. The Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation transferred all of these cases to the District of Connecticut for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings. The proceedings are referred to as the “In re Higher One OneAccount Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation” or the “MDL.” Plaintiffs filed a consolidated amended complaint in the MDL that generally alleges, among other things, violations of state consumer protection statutes (predicated, in part, on alleged violations of ED rules and violations of the federal Electronic Funds Transfer Act) and various common law claims. On April 22, 2013, we filed a motion to dismiss the case, which the court denied as moot on March 11, 2014 in light of the parties’ settlement, discussed below. In October 2013, we reached an agreement in principle on the key terms of a settlement that would resolve all of the above class action litigation that was filed against us in 2012. In February 2014, we executed a settlement agreement, the terms of which include a payment of \$15.0 million to a settlement fund, an agreement to pay the cost of notice to the class, and an agreement to make and/or maintain certain practice changes. On February 14, 2014, plaintiffs asked the court to preliminarily approve the settlement. The court must approve the settlement before it becomes final and binding. There is no assurance that the court will approve the settlement.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to our risk factors from those disclosed in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, other than the following:

We are subject to substantial federal and state governmental regulation that could change and thus force us to make modifications to our business. Compliance with the various complex laws and regulations is costly and time consuming, and failure to comply could have a material adverse effect on our business. Additionally, increased regulatory requirements on our services may increase our costs, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

As a payments processor to higher education institutions that takes payment instructions from institutions and their constituents, including students and employees, and gives them to our Bank Partners, we are directly or indirectly subject to a variety of federal and state laws and regulations. Our contracts with most of our higher education institution clients and our Bank Partners require us to comply with applicable laws and regulations, including but not limited to, where applicable:

- Title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965, or Title IV;
- the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1975, or FERPA;
- the Electronic Fund Transfer Act and Regulation E;
- the USA PATRIOT Act and related anti-money laundering requirements; and
- certain federal rules regarding safeguarding personal information, including rules implementing the privacy provisions of Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999, or GLBA.

### Higher Education Regulations

**Third-Party Servicer.** Because of the services we provide to some institutions with regard to the handling of Title IV funds, we are considered a “third-party servicer” under the Title IV regulations. Those regulations require a third-party servicer annually to submit a compliance audit conducted by outside independent auditors that cover the servicer’s Title IV activities. Each year we submit a “Compliance Attestation Examination of the Title IV Student Financial Assistance Programs” audit to ED, which includes a report by an independent audit firm. In addition, the yearly compliance audit submission to ED provides comfort to our higher education institution clients that we are in compliance with the third-party servicer regulations that may apply to us. We also provide this compliance audit report to clients upon request to help them fulfill their compliance audit obligations as Title IV participating institutions.

Under ED’s regulations, a third party servicer that contracts with a Title IV institution acts in the nature of a fiduciary in the administration of Title IV programs. Among other requirements, the regulations provide that a third-party servicer is jointly and severally liable with its client institution for any liability to ED arising out of the servicer’s violation of Title IV or its implementing regulations, which could subject us to material fines related to acts or omissions of entities beyond our control. ED is also empowered to limit, suspend or terminate the violating servicer’s eligibility to act as a third-party servicer and to impose significant civil penalties on the violating servicer. Additionally, on behalf of our higher education institution clients, we are required to comply with ED’s cash management regulations regarding payment of financial aid credit balances to students and providing bank accounts to students that may be used for receiving such payments. In the event ED concluded that we had violated Title IV or its implementing regulations and should be subject to one or more of these sanctions, our business and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. There is limited enforcement and interpretive history of Title IV regulations.

On May 1, 2012, ED published in the Federal Register a notice of intent to establish a negotiated rulemaking committee to draft proposed regulations designed to prevent fraud through the use of electronic fund transfers to students' bank accounts, ensure proper use of federal financial aid funds, address the use of debit cards and other banking products for disbursing federal financial aid funds, and improve and streamline campus' financial aid programs. We provided written and oral comments at a hearing held by ED in connection with the negotiated rulemaking process and have provided additional information to ED. On April 16, 2013, ED announced additional topics for consideration, and in early 2014, formed a negotiated rulemaking committee. Our Chief Operating Officer was selected by ED to serve on the committee as a primary negotiator. The committee convened in February, March and April 2014 and is scheduled to have an additional session in May 2014 to discuss and work toward revising existing regulations to potentially address, among other things, consumer safeguards regarding debit and prepaid cards associated with Title IV credit balance disbursements (including fees associated with such debit and prepaid cards), marketing of financial products (including sending unsolicited cards to students and co-branding of the card and materials) by institutions and their preferred banks or contractors, ATM access and availability, revenue sharing arrangements, and the potential for a government-sponsored debit or prepaid card solution. In the event that the revised regulations that are promulgated alter, restrict or prohibit our ability to offer and provide our services to higher education institutions and students in the manner that we currently provide them, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

20

---

FERPA. Our higher education institution clients are subject to FERPA, which provides with certain exceptions that an educational institution that receives any federal funding under a program administered by ED may not have a policy or practice of disclosing education records or “personally identifiable information” from education records, other than directory information to third parties without the student’s or parent’s written consent. Our higher education institution clients that use the Refund Managements services disclose to us certain non-directory information concerning their students, including contact information, student identification numbers and the amount of students’ credit balances. Additionally, our higher education institution clients that use Campus Labs products also share personally identifiable information with us. We believe that our higher education institution clients may disclose this information to us without the students’ or their parents’ consent pursuant to one or more exceptions under FERPA. However, if ED asserts that we do not fall into one of these exceptions or if future changes to legislation or regulations required student consent before our higher education institution clients could disclose this information to us, a sizeable number of students may cease using our products and services, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Additionally, as we are indirectly subject to FERPA, we may not permit the transfer of any personally identifiable information to another party other than in a manner in which a higher education institution may disclose it. In the event that we re-disclose student information in violation of this requirement, FERPA requires our clients to suspend our access to any such information for a period of five years. Any such suspension could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

State Laws. We may also be subject to similar state laws and regulations that restrict higher education institutions from disclosing certain personally identifiable information of students. State attorneys general and other enforcement agencies may monitor our compliance with state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to higher education and banking and conduct investigations of our business that are time consuming and expensive and could result in fines and penalties that have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Additionally, individual state legislatures may propose and enact new laws that restrict or otherwise affect our ability to offer our products and services as we currently do, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. For example, legislatures in the States of Oregon and California have recently considered proposed legislation that would further regulate the disbursement of financial aid refunds and associated financial products and services.

#### Regulation of OneAccounts

Anti-Money Laundering; USA PATRIOT ACT; Office of Foreign Assets Control. Our Bank Partners, are insured depository institutions and funds held at our bank partners are insured by the FDIC up to applicable limits. As insured depository institutions, our bank partners are subject to comprehensive government regulation and supervision and, in the course of making their services available to our customers, we are required to assist our bank partners in complying with certain of their regulatory obligations. In particular, the anti-money laundering provisions of the USA PATRIOT Act require that customer identifying information be obtained and verified whenever a checking account is established. For example, because we facilitate the opening of checking accounts at our bank partners on behalf of our customers, we assist our bank partners in collecting the customer identification information that is necessary to open an account. In addition, both we and our bank partners are subject to the laws and regulations enforced by the Office of Foreign Assets Control, or OFAC, which prohibit U.S. persons from engaging in transactions with certain prohibited persons. Our failure to comply with any of these laws or rights could materially and adversely affect our business, financial credit and results of operations.

Compliance; Audit. As a service provider to insured depository institutions, we are required under applicable federal and state laws to agree to submit to examination by our bank partners’ regulators. We also are subject to audit by our bank partners to ensure that we comply with our obligations to them appropriately. Failure to comply with our responsibilities properly could negatively affect our operations. Our bank partners are required under their respective

agreements with us to, and we rely on our bank partners' ability to, comply with state and federal banking regulations. The failure of our bank partners to maintain regulatory compliance could result in significant disruptions to our business and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Electronic Fund Transfer Act; Regulation E. Our bank partners provide depository services for OneAccounts through a private label relationship. We provide processing services for OneAccounts for our bank partners. These services are subject to, among other things, the requirements of the Electronic Fund Transfer Act and the CFPB's Regulation E, which govern automatic deposits to and withdrawals from deposit accounts and customers' rights and liabilities arising from the use of ATMs, debit cards and certain other electronic banking services. We may assist our bank partners with fulfilling their compliance obligations pursuant to these requirements. See "Fees for financial services are subject to increasingly intense legislative and regulatory scrutiny, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects for future growth" in this annual report on Form 10-K for additional discussion. Failure to comply with applicable regulations could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Money Transmitter Regulations. Because our technology services are provided in connection with the financial products of our bank partners, our activities are occasionally reviewed by regulatory agencies to ensure that we do not impermissibly engage in activities that require licensing at the state or federal level. In the ordinary course of business, we receive letters and inquiries concerning the nature of our business as it applies to state "money transmitter" licensing and regulations from different state regulatory agencies. If a state agency were to conclude that we are required to be licensed as a "money transmitter," we may need to undergo a costly licensing process in that state, and failure to comply could be a violation of state and potentially federal law.

21

---

## Privacy and Data Regulation

We are subject to laws and regulations relating to the collection, use, retention, security and transfer of personally identifiable information and data regarding our customers and their financial information. In addition, we are bound by our own privacy policies and practices concerning the collection, use and disclosure of user data, which are posted on certain of our website pages.

In conjunction with the disbursement, payroll and tuition payment services we make available through our bank partners, it is necessary to collect certain information from our customers (such as bank account and routing numbers) to transmit to our bank partners. Our bank partners use this information to execute the funds transfers requested by our customers, which are effected primarily by means of ACH networks and other wire transfer systems, such as FedWire. To the extent the data required by these electronic funds networks change, the information that we will be required to request from our clients may also change.

We are subject, either directly or by virtue of our contractual relationship with our bank partners, to the privacy and security standards of the GLBA privacy regulations, as well as certain state data protection laws and regulations. The GLBA privacy regulations require that we develop, implement and maintain a written comprehensive information security program prescribing safeguards that are appropriate to our size and complexity, the nature and scope of our activities and the sensitivity of any personally identifiable information we access for processing purposes or otherwise maintain. As a service provider of our bank partners, we also are limited in our use and disclosure of the personal information we receive from our bank partners, which we may use and disclose only for the purposes for which it was provided to us and consistent with the bank's own data privacy and security obligations. We also are subject to the standards set forth in guidance on data security issued by the Federal Financial Institution Examination Council, as well as the data security standards imposed by the card associations, including Visa, Inc., and MasterCard. In addition, we are subject to similar data security breach laws enacted by a number of states.

Any failure or perceived failure by us to comply with any legal or regulatory requirements or orders or other federal or state privacy or consumer protection-related laws and regulations, or with our own privacy policies, could result in fines, sanctions, litigation, negative publicity, limitation of our ability to conduct our business and injury to our reputation, any of which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

New legislation and regulations in this area have been proposed, both at the federal and state level. Such measures, including pending Federal legislation, would potentially impose additional obligations on us, including requiring that we provide notifications to consumers and government authorities in the event of a data breach or unauthorized access or disclosure, beyond what state law already requires. These laws and regulations could cause us to incur substantial costs or require us to change our business practices in a manner materially adverse to our business.

## Compliance

We monitor our compliance through an internal audit program. Our full-time internal auditor works with a third-party internal audit firm to conduct annual reviews to ensure compliance with the regulatory requirements described above. The costs of these audits and the costs of complying with the applicable regulatory requirements are significant. Increased regulatory requirements on our products and services, such as in connection with the matters described above, could materially increase our costs or reduce revenue.

It is impossible to determine the extent of the impact of any new laws, regulations or initiatives that may be proposed, or whether any of the proposals will become law. The imposition of any new laws or regulations could make compliance more difficult and expensive and affect the manner in which we conduct business. In addition, many of these laws and regulations are evolving, unclear and inconsistent across various jurisdictions. If we were deemed to be

in violation of any laws or regulations that are currently in place or that may be promulgated in the future, including but not limited to those described above, we could be exposed to financial liability and adverse publicity or forced to change our business practices or stop offering some of our products and services. We also could face significant legal fees, delays in extending our product and services offerings, and damage to our reputation that could harm our business and reduce demand for our products and services. Even if we are not required to change our business practices, we could be required to obtain licenses or regulatory approvals that could cause us to incur substantial costs and delays.

Reviews, examinations and enforcement actions by regulatory authorities under banking and consumer protection laws and regulations, and possible changes to those laws and regulations by legislative or regulatory action, may result in changes to our business practices or may expose us to the risk of fines, restitution and litigation.

Our operations and the operations of our Bank Partners are subject to the jurisdiction and examination of federal, state and local regulatory authorities, including the FDIC, which is WEX Bank's primary federal regulator, the OCC, which is UTB's primary federal regulator, and the Federal Reserve Bank, which is Customers Bank and Cole Taylor's primary federal regulator. Our business practices, including the terms of our products, are reviewed and approved by our Bank Partners and subject to both periodic and special reviews by such regulatory authorities, which can range from investigations into specific consumer complaints or concerns to broader inquiries into our practices generally. We and our Bank Partners are subject to ongoing and routine examination by the FDIC, OCC and Federal Reserve Bank. If, as part of any ongoing or future examination or review, the regulatory authorities conclude that we are not complying with applicable laws or regulations, they could request or impose a wide range of remedies, including, but not limited to, requiring changes to the terms of our products (such as decreases in fees or changes to the manner in which OneAccounts are marketed to students), the imposition of fines or penalties or the institution of enforcement proceedings or other similar actions against us alleging that our current or past practices constitute unfair or deceptive acts or practices. As part of an enforcement action, the regulators can seek restitution for affected customers and impose civil money penalties. In addition, negative publicity relating to any specific inquiry or investigation or any related fine could adversely affect our stock price, our relationships with various industry participants, including our Bank Partners, or our ability to attract new clients and retain existing clients, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In February 2011, the New York Regional Office of the FDIC notified us that it was prepared to recommend to the Director of FDIC Supervision that an enforcement action be taken against us for alleged violations of certain applicable laws and regulations principally relating to our compliance management system and policies and practices for past overdraft charging on persistently delinquent accounts, collections and transaction error resolution. We responded to the FDIC's notification, and have been in regular dialogue with the FDIC since 2010. We voluntarily initiated a plan in December 2011, which provided credits to certain current and former customers that were previously assessed certain insufficient fund fees. As a result of this plan, we recorded a reduction in our revenue of approximately \$4.7 million in 2011. On August 8, 2012, we received a Consent Order, Order for Restitution, and Order to Pay Civil Money Penalty, or the Consent Order, dated August 7, 2012, issued by the FDIC to settle such alleged violations. Pursuant to the terms of the Consent Order, we neither admitted nor denied any charges when agreeing to the terms of the Consent Order. Under the terms of the Consent Order, we are required to, among other things, review and revise our compliance management system and, to date, we have substantially revised our compliance management system. Additionally, the Consent Order provides for restrictions on the charging of certain fees. The Consent Order further provides that we shall make restitution to less than 2% of our customers since 2008 for fees previously assessed, which restitution has been substantially completed through the voluntary customer credit plan described above, and pay a civil money penalty of \$0.1 million. We remain subject to the jurisdiction and examination of the FDIC and further action could be taken to the extent we do not comply with the terms of the Consent Order or if the FDIC were to identify additional violations of certain applicable laws and regulations.

As previously disclosed, the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago notified us and a former bank partner of potential violations of the Federal Trade Commission Act relating to marketing and disclosure practices related to the OneAccount during the period it was offered by such former bank partner. On May 9, 2014, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, or the Board of Governors, advised us of its determination to seek an administrative order against us with respect to asserted violations of the Federal Trade Commission Act relating to our activities with both a former and current Bank Partner and our marketing and disclosure practices related to the process by which students may select the OneAccount option for financial aid refund. We are in discussions with the Board of Governors in this matter. Any administrative order arising out of this matter is likely to include demands for material customer restitution, material civil money penalties, and changes to certain of our business practices. Although the ultimate amount of restitution or civil money penalties are subject to many uncertainties and therefore are impossible



to predict, it is possible the amounts could reach levels that would cause an event of default under our Credit Facility.

In a separate regulatory matter, we are currently considering the merits of voluntarily refunding certain fees previously assessed to accountholders as a result of a separate compliance examination which was recently completed.

Additionally, since 2012, we have received and responded to inquiries and information requests from certain federal legislators and regulatory agencies. These requests sought information related to our financial aid refund processing and the related services which we provide to students. Certain federal legislators have also sent communications regarding similar matters to various federal agencies, including ED and the CFPB. These inquiries or others could lead to further action by these or other governmental actors or agencies, including the introduction of legislation or new regulations, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

23

---

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

On May 7, 2014, Higher One Holdings, Inc. entered into an employment agreement with Mark Volchek, our Co-Founder, Director and former President and Chief Executive Officer. We refer to this agreement as the Employment Agreement. Pursuant to the Employment Agreement, Mr. Volchek will continue to be employed by us on a full-time basis through May 16, 2014, and beginning on May 17, 2014, he will be employed by us on a part-time basis until either party gives 30 days' notice of intent to terminate the Employment Agreement, not to go past December 31, 2014 unless the parties otherwise agree. Mr. Volchek will continue to serve as a member of our Board of Directors, subject to his reelection as a director at our 2014 annual meeting of shareholders. During his part-time employment, Mr. Volchek will be required to provide services to us for at least 25% of a full-time basis. Under the Employment Agreement, Mr. Volchek's base salary while serving as a full-time employee shall be the same as the base salary he received while serving as President and Chief Executive Officer, and his salary while serving as a part-time employee shall be \$11,544.05 per month. In addition, Mr. Volchek will be eligible to receive an annual performance bonus, the terms and conditions of which will be determined by our Board of Directors. Mr. Volchek's outstanding equity awards will continue to vest during his part-time employment with us in accordance with the terms of the underlying equity award agreements and our equity incentive plans.

The foregoing summary does not constitute a complete summary of the terms of the Employment Agreement, and reference is made to the complete text of the Employment Agreement that is attached hereto as Exhibit 10.40. The Employment Agreement is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
10.39	Employment Agreement between Higher One Holdings, Inc. and Marc Sheinbaum, dated April 16, 2014
10.40	Employment Agreement between Higher One Holdings, Inc. and Mark Volchek, dated May 7, 2014
31.1	Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350).
31.2	Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350).
32.1	(1) Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350).
32.2	(1) Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350).
101.INS	(2)XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	(2)XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL	(2)XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF	(2)XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

101.LAB (2)XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase

101.PRE (2)XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

The material contained in Exhibit 32.1 and Exhibit 32.2 is not deemed “filed” with the SEC and is not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language contained in such filing, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference. Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the XBRL related information in Exhibits 101 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q shall not be deemed to be “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (1) or otherwise subject to liability under that section, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, except as expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

24

---

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: May 12, 2014

Higher One  
Holdings,  
Inc.

/s/ Marc  
Sheinbaum  
Marc  
Sheinbaum  
Chief  
Executive  
Officer  
(Duly  
authorized  
officer and  
principal  
executive  
officer)

/s/  
Christopher  
Wolf  
Christopher  
Wolf  
Chief  
Financial  
Officer  
(Duly  
authorized  
officer and  
principal  
financial  
officer)