EnLink Midstream, LLC Form 10-K February 20, 2019 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 Form 10-K

\circ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018

OR

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 001-36336 ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)
Delaware 46-4108528

(State of organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1722 Routh St., Suite 1300

Dallas, Texas 75201 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(214) 953-9500

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

Title of Each Class Name of Exchange on which Registered

Common Units Representing Limited The New York Stock Exchange

Liability Company Interests

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None.

Indicate by check mark if registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes. No x

Indicate by check mark whether registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was

required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K."

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer "

Non-accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company "

Emerging growth company "

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes "No x

The aggregate market value of the common units representing limited liability company interests held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$1.1 billion on June 30, 2018, based on \$16.45 per unit, the closing price of the common units as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on such date.

At February 13, 2019, there were 486,634,926 common units outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

None.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item	Description	Page
	PART I	
1.	<u>BUSINESS</u>	<u>6</u>
1A.	RISK FACTORS	<u>31</u>
1B.	<u>UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>	<u>58</u>
2.	<u>PROPERTIES</u>	<u>58</u>
3.	<u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	<u>58</u>
4.	MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES	<u>59</u>
	PART II	
5.	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED UNITHOLDER MATTERS, AND	<u>60</u>
_	ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	<i>C</i> 1
6.	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS	<u>61</u>
7.	OF OPERATIONS	<u>64</u>
7A.		<u>88</u>
8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	<u>92</u>
9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND	149
Э.	FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	149
9A.		<u>149</u>
9B.	OTHER INFORMATION	<u>149</u>
	PART III	
10.	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	<u>150</u>
11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	<u>154</u>
12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND	177
12.	RELATED UNITHOLDER MATTERS	1//
13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR	180
	<u>INDEPENDENCE</u>	
14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES	<u>181</u>
	PART IV	
15.	EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	<u>183</u>
_		

DEFINITIONS

The following terms as defined are used in this document:

Defined Term Definition /d Per day.

Equity Distribution Agreement entered into by ENLK in August 2017 with UBS Securities LLC,

Barclays Capital Inc., BMO Capital Markets Corp., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith

2017 EDA Incorporated, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Jefferies LLC, Mizuho Securities USA LLC, RBC

Capital Markets, LLC, SunTrust Robinson Humphrey, Inc., and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC

(collectively, the "Sales Agents") to sell up to \$600.0 million in aggregate gross sales of our common

units from time to time through an "at the market" equity offering program.

Acacia Natural Gas Corp. I, Inc.

AMZ Alerian MLP Index for Master Limited Partnerships.
ASC The FASB Accounting Standards Codification.
ASC 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers.

ASC 842 ASC 842, Leases.

Ascension Pipeline Company, LLC, a joint venture between a subsidiary of ENLK and a subsidiary of Marathon Petroleum Corporation in which ENLK owns a 50% interest and Marathon Petroleum

Ascension JV Corporation owns a 50% interest. The Ascension JV, which began operations in April 2017, owns an

NGL pipeline that connects ENLK's Riverside fractionator to Marathon Petroleum Corporation's

Garyville refinery.

ASU The FASB Accounting Standards Update.

Avenger Avenger crude oil gathering system, a crude oil gathering system in the northern Delaware Basin.

Bbls Barrels.

Bcf Billion cubic feet.

Black Coyote Black Coyote crude oil gathering system, a crude oil gathering system in the STACK.

BLM Bureau of Land Management.

Cedar Cove Midstream LLC, a joint venture between a subsidiary of ENLK and a subsidiary of

Cedar Cove JV Kinder Morgan, Inc. in which ENLK owns a 30% interest and Kinder Morgan, Inc. owns a 70%

interest. The Cedar Cove JV, which was formed in November 2016, owns gathering and compression

assets in Blaine County, Oklahoma, located in the STACK play.

CFTC U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission.
CNOW Central Northern Oklahoma Woodford Shale.

CO₂ Carbon dioxide.

JV

Consolidated Credit Facility

A \$1.75 billion unsecured revolving credit facility entered into by ENLC that matures on January 25, 2024, which includes a \$500.0 million letter of credit subfacility. The Consolidated Credit Facility

was available upon closing of the Merger, and is guaranteed by ENLK.

CPI Consumer Price Index.

Delaware Basin Delaware G&P LLC, a joint venture between a subsidiary of ENLK and an affiliate of NGP in which ENLK owns a 50.1% interest and NGP owns a 49.9% interest. The Delaware Basin JV, which was

formed in August 2016, owns the Lobo processing facilities located in the Delaware Basin in Texas.

Devon Energy Corporation.

ECP System EnLink Crude Purchasing System. The ECP System includes assets that were acquired through the

acquisition of LPC Crude Oil Marketing LLC in January 2015.

EMI EnLink Midstream, Inc. Enfield Enfield Holdings, L.P. ENLC EnLink Midstream, LLC.

ENLC Class C A class of non-economic ENLC common units issued to Enfield immediately prior to the Merger common Units equal to the number of Series B Preferred Units held by Enfield immediately prior to the effective

time of the Merger, in order to provide Enfield with certain voting rights with respect to ENLC. A \$250.0 million secured revolving credit facility entered into by ENLC that would have matured on **ENLC Credit** March 7, 2019, which included a \$125.0 million letter of credit subfacility. The ENLC Credit Facility Facility was terminated on January 25, 2019 in connection with the consummation of the Merger. **ENLK**

EnLink Midstream Partners, LP or, when applicable, EnLink Midstream Partners, LP together with its consolidated subsidiaries. Also referred to as the "Partnership."

A \$1.5 billion unsecured revolving credit facility entered into by ENLK that would have matured on

ENLK Credit March 6, 2020, which included a \$500.0 million letter of credit subfacility. The ENLK Credit Facility Facility was terminated on January 25, 2019 in connection with the consummation of the Merger.

Table of Contents

EnLink Oklahoma Gas Processing, LP or EnLink Oklahoma Gas Processing, LP together with,

EOGP when applicable, its consolidated subsidiaries. As of January 31, 2019, EOGP is wholly-owned by

the Operating Partnership.

FASB Financial Accounting Standards Board. **FERC** Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

GAAP Generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America.

Gal

Gulf Coast Fractionators, which owns an NGL fractionator in Mont Belvieu, Texas. ENLK owns **GCF**

38.75% of GCF.

EnLink Midstream GP, LLC, the general partner of ENLK, which owns a 0.4% general partner

interest in ENLK. Prior to the effective time of the Merger, the General Partner also owned all of the General Partner

incentive distribution rights in ENLK.

GHG Greenhouse gas.

Global Infrastructure Management, LLC, an independent infrastructure fund manager, itself, its

GIP affiliates, or managed fund vehicles, including GIP III Stetson I, L.P., GIP III Stetson II, L.P., and

their affiliates.

On July 18, 2018, subsidiaries of Devon closed a transaction to sell all of their equity interests in **GIP Transaction**

ENLK, ENLC, and the Managing Member to GIP.

Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. Goldman Sachs

Greater

Crude oil gathering system in Upton and Midland counties, Texas in the Permian Basin. Chickadee

Gross Operating

Revenue less cost of sales. Gross Operating Margin is a non-GAAP financial measure. See "Item 7.

Margin

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Non-GAAP

Financial Measures" for other information.

HEP Howard Energy Partners, LP. ENLK sold its 31% ownership interest in HEP in March 2017.

International Swaps and Derivatives Association Agreements. **ISDAs**

Managing

ENLC's managing member, EnLink Midstream Manager, LLC. Member

Mcf Thousand cubic feet.

Midland Energy Gathering Area system in Midland, Martin, and Glasscock counties, Texas. MEGA system

On January 25, 2019, NOLA Merger Sub merged with and into ENLK with ENLK continuing as the Merger

surviving entity and a subsidiary of ENLC.

The Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of October 21, 2018, by and among ENLK, the Merger General Partner, ENLC, the Managing Member, and NOLA Merger Sub related to the Merger. Agreement

Midstream

EnLink Midstream Holdings, LP.

Holdings

MMbbls One million barrels.

MMbtu Million British thermal units.

Million cubic feet. MMcf

MVC Minimum volume commitment.

Natural gas liquid. NGL

NGP Natural Resources XI, LP. **NGP**

NOLA Merger

NOLA Merger Sub, LLC, previously a wholly-owned subsidiary of ENLC prior to the Merger.

Sub

NTPL

North Texas Pipeline, a pipeline in North Texas that the Operating Partnership sold in December

2016.

NYSE New York Stock Exchange.

EnLink Midstream Operating, LP, a Delaware limited partnership and wholly-owned subsidiary of **Operating**

Partnership ENLK.

ORV ENLK's Ohio River Valley crude oil, condensate stabilization, natural gas compression, and brine

disposal assets in the Utica and Marcellus shales.

OTC Over-the-counter.

Permian Basin

A large sedimentary basin that includes the Midland and Delaware Basins primarily in West Texas

and New Mexico.

POL contracts Percentage-of-liquids contracts.
POP contracts Percentage-of-proceeds contracts.

Redbud Redbud crude oil gathering system, a crude oil gathering system in the STACK.

Series B

Preferred Units

ENLK's Series B Cumulative Convertible Preferred Units.

Series C

Preferred Units ENLK's Series C Fixed-to-Floating Rate Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Units.

STACK Sooner Trend Anadarko Basin Canadian and Kingfisher Counties in Oklahoma.

Table of Contents

An \$850.0 million term loan entered into by ENLK on December 11, 2018 with Bank of America,

N.A., as Administrative Agent, Bank of Montreal and Royal Bank of Canada, as Co-Syndication

Term Loan Agents, Citibank, N.A. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Co-Documentation Agents, and

the lenders party thereto, which ENLC assumed in connection with the Merger and the obligations of

which ENLK guarantees.

Thunderbird

Plant

A gas processing plant in Central Oklahoma.

TPG TPG Global, LLC.

VEX ENLK's Victoria Express Pipeline and related truck terminal and storage assets located in the Eagle

Ford Shale in South Texas.

Capacity volumes for our facilities are measured based on physical volume and stated in cubic feet ("Bcf", "Mcf," or "MMcf"). Throughput volumes are measured based on energy content and stated in British thermal units ("Btu" or "MMBtu"). A volume of capacity of 100 MMcf correlates to an approximate energy content of 100,000 MMBtu, although this correlation will vary depending on the composition of natural gas and is typically higher for unprocessed gas, which contains a higher concentration of NGLs. Fractionated volumes are measured based on physical volumes and stated in gallons. Crude oil, condensate, and brine services volumes are measured based on physical volume and stated in barrels ("Bbls").

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC

PART I

Item 1. Business

General

ENLC is a Delaware limited liability company formed in October 2013. EnLink Midstream, LLC common units are traded on the NYSE under the symbol "ENLC." Our executive offices are located at 1722 Routh Street, Suite 1300, Dallas, Texas 75201, and our telephone number is (214) 953-9500. Our Internet address is www.enlink.com. We post the following filings in the "Investors" section of our website as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"): our Annual Reports on Form 10-K; our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q; our current reports on Form 8-K; and any amendments to those reports or statements filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. All such filings on our website are available free of charge. Additionally, filings are available on the SEC's website (www.sec.gov). In this report, the terms "Company" or "Registrant" as well as the terms "ENLC," "our," "we," and "us" or lik terms are sometimes used as references to EnLink Midstream, LLC itself or EnLink Midstream, LLC and its consolidated subsidiaries, including ENLK. References in this report to "EnLink Midstream Partners, LP," the "Partnership," "ENLK," or like terms refer to EnLink Midstream Partners, LP itself or EnLink Midstream Partners, LP together with its consolidated subsidiaries, including EnLink Midstream Operating, LP and EOGP.

The Business Combination with Devon

Effective as of March 7, 2014, EMI merged with and into a wholly-owned subsidiary of ENLC, and Acacia, formerly a wholly-owned subsidiary of Devon, merged with and into a wholly-owned subsidiary of ENLC (collectively, the "Devon Mergers"). Pursuant to the Devon Mergers, each of EMI and Acacia became wholly-owned subsidiaries of ENLC, and ENLC became publicly held. ENLC owns all of ENLK's common units and also owns all of the membership interests of the General Partner. Upon closing of the Business Combination (as defined below), ENLC issued 115,495,669 units to a wholly-owned subsidiary of Devon. Concurrently with the consummation of the Devon Mergers, a wholly-owned subsidiary of ENLK acquired 50% of the outstanding limited partner interests in Midstream Holdings and all of the outstanding equity interests in EnLink Midstream Holdings GP, LLC, the general partner of Midstream Holdings (together with the Devon Mergers, the "Business Combination"). In 2015, ENLK acquired the remaining 50% of the outstanding limited partner interest in Midstream Holdings.

On December 31, 2018, each of EMI and Acacia merged with and into ENLC, with ENLC continuing as the surviving entity. As of December 31, 2018, ENLC owned common units representing an approximate 21.4% limited partner interest in ENLK.

EOGP Acquisition

On January 7, 2016, EOGP, an indirect subsidiary of ENLK, completed its acquisition of 100% of the issued and outstanding membership interests of TOMPC LLC and TOM-STACK, LLC. As a result of the acquisition, the Operating Partnership acquired an 83.9% limited partner interest in EOGP, and ENLC acquired the remaining 16.1% limited partner interest in EOGP. On January 31, 2019, ENLC transferred its 16.1% limited partner interest in EOGP to the Operating Partnership in exchange for 55,827,221 ENLK common units, resulting in the Operating Partnership owning 100% of the limited partner interests in EOGP.

GIP Transaction

On July 18, 2018, subsidiaries of Devon closed a transaction to sell all of their equity interests in ENLK, ENLC, and the Managing Member to GIP. As a result of the transaction:

GIP, through GIP III Stetson I, L.P., acquired all of the equity interests held by subsidiaries of Devon in ENLK and the Managing Member, which, as of the closing date, amounted to 100% of the outstanding limited liability company interests in the Managing Member and approximately 23.1% of the outstanding limited partner interests in ENLK;

Table of Contents

GIP, through GIP III Stetson II, L.P., acquired all of the equity interests held by subsidiaries of Devon in ENLC, which, as of the closing date, amounted to approximately 63.8% of the outstanding limited liability company interests in ENLC; and

Through this transaction, GIP acquired control of (i) the Managing Member, (ii) ENLC, and (iii) ENLK, as a result of ENLC's ownership of the General Partner.

Simplification of the Corporate Structure

On October 21, 2018, ENLK, ENLC, the General Partner, the Managing Member, and NOLA Merger Sub entered into the Merger Agreement pursuant to which, on January 25, 2019, NOLA Merger Sub merged with and into ENLK, with ENLK continuing as the surviving entity and as a subsidiary of ENLC. See "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 19—Subsequent Events" for more information on the Merger and related transactions.

Transfer of EOGP interest

On January 31, 2019, we transferred our limited partner interest in EOGP to ENLK. Our ownership of ENLK consists of 144,535,672 common units representing all outstanding ENLK common units and an aggregate 71.1% limited partner interest in ENLK and a 100% ownership interest of the General Partner. See "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 19—Subsequent Events" for more information on this transaction.

ENLINK MIDSTREAM PARTNERS, LP

ENLC's assets consist of equity interests in ENLK. ENLK primarily focuses on providing midstream energy services, including:

gathering, compressing, treating, processing, transporting, storing, and selling natural gas; fractionating, transporting, storing, and selling NGLs; and gathering, transporting, stabilizing, storing, trans-loading, and selling crude oil and condensate, in addition to brine disposal services.

ENLK is a Delaware limited partnership formed in 2002. EnLink Midstream GP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and our wholly-owned subsidiary, is ENLK's general partner. The General Partner manages ENLK's operations and activities.

Table of Contents

The following diagram depicts our organization and ownership as of December 31, 2018:

The general partner ("GP") ownership percentage for ENLK accounts for general partner units, while the limited

partner ("LP") ownership percentages for ENLK account for ENLK common units and Series B Preferred Units. Subsequent to the closing of the Merger, Series B Preferred Units are exchangeable into ENLC common units on a 1-for-1.15 basis, subject to certain adjustments.

Series C Preferred Units are perpetual preferred units that are not convertible into other equity interests, and

⁽²⁾ therefore, are not factored into the ENLK ownership calculations for the limited partner and general partner ownership percentages presented.

Table of Contents

The following diagram depicts our organization and ownership as of February 1, 2019, after the close of the Merger and the transfer of the 16.1% limited partner interest in EOGP from ENLC to the Operating Partnership:

Subsequent to the closing of the Merger, Series B Preferred Units are exchangeable into ENLC common units on a 1-for-1.15 basis, subject to certain adjustments. Upon the exchange of any Series B Preferred Units into ENLC

Our Operations

We primarily focus on providing midstream energy services, including:

gathering, compressing, treating, processing, transporting, storing, and selling natural gas; fractionating, transporting, storing, and selling NGLs; and gathering, transporting, stabilizing, storing, trans-loading, and selling crude oil and condensate, in addition to brine disposal services.

Our midstream energy asset network includes approximately 11,000 miles of pipelines, 20 natural gas processing plants with approximately 4.9 Bcf/d of processing capacity, seven fractionators with approximately 280,000 Bbls/d of fractionation

⁽¹⁾ common units, an equal number of the ENLC Class C Common Units will be canceled. As of February 1, 2019, the outstanding ENLC Class C Common Units represent a 10.8% membership interest in ENLC.

All ENLK common units are held by ENLC. The Series B Preferred Units are entitled to vote, on a one-for-one

⁽²⁾ basis (subject to certain adjustments) as a single class with ENLC, on all matters that require approval of the ENLK unitholders.

Series C Preferred Units are perpetual preferred units that are not convertible into other equity interests, and

⁽³⁾ therefore, are not factored into the ENLK ownership calculations for the limited partner and general partner ownership percentages presented.

Table of Contents

capacity, barge and rail terminals, product storage facilities, purchasing and marketing capabilities, brine disposal wells, a crude oil trucking fleet, and equity investments in certain joint ventures. Our operations are based in the United States, and our sales are derived primarily from domestic customers.

Our natural gas business includes connecting the wells of producers in our market areas to our gathering systems. Our gathering systems consist of networks of pipelines that collect natural gas from points at or near producing wells and transport it to our processing plants or to larger pipelines for further transmission. We operate processing plants that remove NGLs from the natural gas stream that is transported to the processing plants by our own gathering systems or by third-party pipelines. In conjunction with our gathering and processing business, we may purchase natural gas and NGLs from producers and other supply sources and sell that natural gas or NGLs to utilities, industrial consumers, marketers, and pipelines. Our transmission pipelines receive natural gas from our gathering systems and from third-party gathering and transmission systems and deliver natural gas to industrial end-users, utilities, and other pipelines.

Our fractionators separate NGLs into separate purity products, including ethane, propane, isobutane, normal butane, and natural gasoline. Our fractionators receive NGLs primarily through our transmission lines that transport NGLs from East Texas and from our South Louisiana processing plants. Our fractionators also have the capability to receive NGLs by truck or rail terminals. We also have agreements pursuant to which third parties transport NGLs from our West Texas and Central Oklahoma operations to our NGL transmission lines that then transport the NGLs to our fractionators. In addition, we have NGL storage capacity to provide storage for customers.

Our crude oil and condensate business includes the gathering and transmission of crude oil and condensate via pipelines, barges, rail, and trucks, in addition to condensate stabilization and brine disposal. We also purchase crude oil and condensate from producers and other supply sources and sell that crude oil and condensate through our terminal facilities to various markets.

Across our businesses, we primarily earn our fees through various fee-based contractual arrangements, which include stated fee-only contract arrangements or arrangements with fee-based components where we purchase and resell commodities in connection with providing the related service and earn a net margin as our fee. We earn our net margin under our purchase and resell contract arrangements primarily as a result of stated service-related fees that are deducted from the price of the commodities purchased. While our transactions vary in form, the essential element of most of our transactions is the use of our assets to transport a product or provide a processed product to an end-user or marketer at the tailgate of the plant, pipeline, or barge, truck, or rail terminal.

As of December 31, 2018, our assets are included in five primary segments:

Texas Segment. The Texas segment includes our natural gas gathering, processing, and transmission operations in North Texas and the Permian Basin;

Oklahoma Segment. The Oklahoma segment includes our natural gas gathering, processing, and transmission activities in the Cana-Woodford, Arkoma-Woodford, Northern Oklahoma Woodford, STACK, and CNOW shale areas;

Louisiana Segment. The Louisiana segment includes our natural gas pipelines, natural gas processing plants, storage facilities, fractionation facilities, and NGL assets located in Louisiana;

Crude and Condensate Segment. The Crude and Condensate segment includes ORV, our crude oil operations in the Permian Basin and Central Oklahoma, and our crude oil activities associated with VEX; and

Corporate Segment. The Corporate segment includes our unconsolidated affiliate investments in the Cedar Cove JV in Oklahoma, our ownership interest in GCF in South Texas, and our general corporate property, goodwill, and expenses.

For more information about our segment reporting, see "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 15—Segment Information."

Our Business Strategies

Our primary business objective is to provide cash flow stability in our business while growing prudently and profitably. We intend to accomplish this objective by executing the following strategies:

Table of Contents

Execute in our core growth areas. We believe our assets are positioned in some of the most economically advantageous basins in the U.S., as well as key demand centers with growing end-use customers. We expect to grow certain of our systems organically over time by meeting our customers' midstream service needs that result from their drilling activity in our areas of operation or growth in supply needs. We continually evaluate economically attractive organic expansion opportunities in our areas of operation that allow us to leverage our existing infrastructure, operating expertise, and customer relationships by constructing and expanding systems to meet new or increased demand for our services.

Maintain a strong financial position. We believe that maintaining a conservative and balanced capital structure, appropriate leverage, and other key financial metrics will afford us better access to the capital markets at a competitive cost of capital. We also believe a strong financial position provides us the opportunity to grow our business in a prudent manner throughout the cycles in our industry.

Maintain stable cash flows supported by long-term, fee-based contracts. We will seek to generate cash flows pursuant to long-term, firm contracts with creditworthy customers. We will continue to pursue opportunities to increase the fee-based components of our contract portfolio to minimize our direct commodity price exposure.

Our Competitive Strengths

We believe that we are well-positioned to execute our strategies and to achieve our primary business objective due to the following competitive strengths:

Strategically-located assets. The majority of our assets are strategically located in economically advantageous regions with the potential for increasing throughput volume and cash flow generation. Our asset portfolio includes gathering, transmission, fractionation, and processing systems that are located in the areas in which producer activity is focused on crude oil, condensate, and NGLs, as well as natural gas. We have established platforms in Texas, Oklahoma, and Louisiana, and we are focused on growing our operations in Central Oklahoma, the Permian Basin, and southern Louisiana through organic development and acquisitions.

Stable cash flows. Approximately 88.3% of our gross operating margin for the year ended December 31, 2018 was generated from fee-based contract arrangements with minimal direct commodity price exposure. In addition, our cash flows are generated across a variety of products, services, and geographic locations and through transactions with a strong portfolio of customers with investment-grade credit ratings. We have approximately 10 years remaining on fixed-fee gathering and processing agreements with a subsidiary of Devon pursuant to which we provide gathering, treating, compression, dehydration, stabilization, processing, and fractionation services, as applicable, for natural gas delivered by Devon to our gathering and processing systems in the Barnett and Cana-Woodford Shales. These agreements provide us with dedication of all of the natural gas owned or controlled by Devon and produced from or attributable to existing and future wells located on certain oil, natural gas, and mineral leases covering lands within the acreage dedications, excluding properties previously dedicated to other natural gas gathering systems not owned and operated by Devon. Additionally, our EOGP assets are supported by Devon with acreage dedications and MVCs for gathering and processing on Devon's STACK acreage through the end of 2020. We will continue to focus on contract structures that reduce volatility and support long-term stability of cash flows.

Integrated midstream services. We span the energy value chain by providing natural gas, NGL, crude oil, and condensate services across a diverse customer base. These services include gathering, compressing, treating, processing, transporting, storing, and selling natural gas, fractionating, transporting, storing, and selling NGLs, and gathering, transporting, stabilizing, storing, trans-loading, and selling crude oil and condensate. We believe our ability to provide all of these services gives us an advantage in competing for new opportunities because we can provide

substantially all services that producers, marketers, and others require to move natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate from the wellhead to the market on a cost-effective basis.

Experienced management team. Our management team has deep experience in the energy industry and has a proven track record of creating value through the development, acquisition, optimization, and integration of midstream assets. We believe this team provides us with a strong foundation for evaluating growth opportunities and operating our assets in a safe, reliable, and efficient manner.

We believe that we will leverage our competitive strengths to successfully implement our strategy; however, our business involves numerous risks and uncertainties that may prevent us from achieving our primary business objective. For a more complete description of the risks associated with our business, please see "Item 1A. Risk Factors."

Recent Developments

Simplification of the Corporate Structure. On October 21, 2018, ENLK, ENLC, the General Partner, the Managing Member, and NOLA Merger Sub entered into the Merger Agreement pursuant to which, on January 25, 2019, NOLA Merger Sub merged with and into ENLK, with ENLK continuing as the surviving entity and as a subsidiary of ENLC. See "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 19—Subsequent Events" for more information on the Merger and related transactions.

Transfer of EOGP interest. On January 31, 2019, ENLC transferred its 16.1% limited partner interest in EOGP to the Operating Partnership. See "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 19—Subsequent Events" for more information regarding this transaction.

Strategic Partner Update. On July 18, 2018, subsidiaries of Devon closed a transaction to sell all of their equity interests in ENLC, ENLK, and the Managing Member to GIP. See "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 1—Organization and Summary of Significant Agreements" for more information regarding the GIP Transaction.

Cajun-Sibon Pipeline. In 2018, we commenced an expansion of our Cajun-Sibon NGL pipeline capacity, which connects the Mont Belvieu NGL hub to our fractionation facilities in Louisiana. This is the third phase of our Cajun-Sibon system referred to as Cajun Sibon III, which will increase throughput capacity from 130,000 bbls/d to 185,000 bbls/d. We expect Cajun-Sibon III to be operational during the second quarter of 2019.

Avenger Crude Oil Gathering System. During 2018, we constructed a new crude oil gathering system in the northern Delaware Basin called Avenger. Avenger is supported by a long-term contract with Devon on dedicated acreage in their Todd and Potato Basin development areas in Eddy and Lea counties in New Mexico. We commenced initial operations on Avenger during the third quarter of 2018 and expect to begin full-service operations during the third quarter of 2019.

Central Oklahoma Plants. In December 2017, we commenced construction on our Thunderbird Plant to expand our Central Oklahoma processing capacity by an additional 200 MMcf/d gas processing plant. We expect to begin operations on the Thunderbird Plant during the second quarter of 2019.

Central Oklahoma Crude Oil Gathering Systems. In late March 2018, we completed construction of the first phase of Black Coyote. Black Coyote expands our operations in the core of the STACK play in Central Oklahoma and was built primarily to service acreage dedicated from Devon, which is the anchor customer on the system. In addition, we further expanded our crude oil gathering operations in the STACK through the construction of Redbud, which is supported by a contract with Marathon Oil Company. We commenced initial operations on Redbud during the third quarter of 2018.

Lobo Natural Gas Gathering and Processing Facilities. During the second quarter of 2018, we completed construction of an expansion to our Lobo II cryogenic gas processing plant, which brought total operational processing capacity at our Lobo facilities to 175 MMcf/d. We further expanded our natural gas processing capacity at our Lobo facilities through the construction of the Lobo III cryogenic gas processing plant, which was completed during the fourth quarter of 2018. Lobo III provides an additional 100 MMcf/d of operational capacity. An additional 100 MMcf/d of operational capacity will be completed during the first quarter of 2019.

Our Assets

Our assets consist of gathering systems, transmission pipelines, processing facilities, fractionation facilities, stabilization facilities, storage facilities, and ancillary assets. Except as stated otherwise, the following tables provide information about our assets as of and for the year ended December 31, 2018:

Gathering and Transmission Pipelines	Approximate Length (Miles)		Estimated Capacity (2)	Year Ended December 31, 2018 Average Throughput (3)
Gas Pipelines				
Texas assets:	2 000	106 200	0.61	775 000
Bridgeport rich and lean gathering systems	2,800	186,300	861	775,000
Johnson County gathering system	390	53,800	589	120,200
Silver Creek gathering system	600	69,000	522	303,300
Acacia transmission system	130	16,000	920	534,600
North Texas assets	3,920	325,100	2,892	1,733,100
MEGA System gathering facilities	730	115,400	413	330,400
Lobo gathering system (4)	155	30,200	155	192,300
Permian Basin gas assets (4)	885	145,600	568	522,700
Texas assets	4,805	470,700	3,460	2,255,800
Oklahoma assets:				
	1,755	258,700	1,137	1,168,300
Central Oklahoma gathering system Northridge gathering system	1,733	14,000	65	36,400
Oklahoma assets	1,895	272,700	1,202	1,204,700
Oktanonia assets	1,893	272,700	1,202	1,204,700
Louisiana assets:				
Louisiana gas gathering and transmission system	3,220	97,400	3,975	2,196,200
Total Gas Pipelines	9,920	840,800	8,637	5,656,700
Total Gas i ipellies	J,J20	010,000	0,037	2,020,700
NGL, Crude Oil and Condensate Pipelines Louisiana assets:				
Cajun-Sibon NGL pipeline system	760		130,000	139,800
Ascension NGL pipeline (5)	35		50,000	21,700
Louisiana assets	795		180,000	161,500
			,	,
Crude and condensate assets:				
Central Oklahoma crude oil gathering systems	85		160,000	10,100
Ohio River Valley (6)	210		25,650	18,600
Victoria Express Pipeline	60		90,000	14,600
Permian Basin gathering (7)	390		136,500	115,300
Total NGL, Crude Oil and Condensate Pipelines	1,540		592,150	320,100

⁽¹⁾ Includes power generation units.

Estimated capacity for gas pipelines is MMcf/d. A volume capacity of 100 MMcf/d correlates to an approximate energy content of 100,000 MMBtu/d. Estimated capacity for liquids and crude and condensate pipelines is Bbls/d.

Average throughput for gas pipelines is MMBtu/d. Average throughput for NGL, crude, and condensate pipelines is Bbls/d.

Includes gross mileage, compression, capacity, and throughput for the Delaware Basin JV, which is owned 50.1%

- (4) by us. Estimated capacity on our Lobo gathering system includes only the Delaware Basin JV's compression capacity and does not include gas compressed by third parties on our system.
- (5) Includes gross mileage, capacity, and throughput for the Ascension JV, which is owned 50% by us.
- (6) Estimated capacity is comprised of trucking capacity only.
 - Estimated capacity is comprised of 86,500 Bbls/d of pipeline capacity and 50,000 Bbls/d of trucking capacity. Our
- (7) Permian Basin gathering crude and condensate assets include the ECP system, Greater Chickadee system, and Avenger system.

Processing Facilities		Processing Capacity (MMcf/d)	Year Ended December 31, 2018 Average Throughput (MMBtu/d)
Texas assets:			
Bridgeport processing facility		800	576,300
Silver Creek processing system		280	171,100
North Texas assets		1,080	747,400
MEGA system processing facilities		408	344,800
Lobo processing facilities		275	186,900
Permian Basin assets		683	531,700
Texas assets		1,763	1,279,100
Oklahoma Assets: Central Oklahoma processing facilities Northridge processing facility Oklahoma assets	es	1,045 200 1,245	1,102,000 93,300 1,195,300
Louisiana assets: Louisiana gas processing facilities Total Processing Facilities		1,903 4,911	431,200 2,905,600 Year Ended December 31, 2018
	$\mathbf{\Gamma}_{c}$	timatad	31, 2016
Fractionation Facilities	Estimated NGL Fractionation Capacity (Bbls/d)		Average Throughput (Bbls/d)
Louisiana assets:			
Plaquemine fractionation facility (1)		7,000	70,100
Plaquemine processing plant		,000	5,000
Eunice fractionation facility	63	5,000	50,800
Riverside fractionation facility (1)	10	-	30,900
Louisiana assets	19	93,000	156,800
Texas assets: Bridgeport processing facility (2) Mesquite terminal (2) Texas assets	15	5,000 5,000 0,000	_ _ _
Gulf Coast Fractionators (3) Total Fractionation Facilities		5,000 79,000	45,100 201,900

⁽¹⁾ The Plaquemine fractionation facility produces purity ethane and propane for sale to markets via pipeline, while butane and heavier products are sent to the Riverside fractionation facility for further processing. The Plaquemine fractionation facility and the Riverside fractionation facility have an aggregate fractionation capacity of 117

MBbls/d.

We have two fractionation facilities with capacity of 15 MBbls/d each. Our Mesquite terminal in the Permian Basin and our Bridgeport processing plant in North Texas provide operational flexibility for the related processing

- (2) plants but are not the primary fractionation facilities for the NGLs produced by the processing plants. Under our current contracts, we do not earn fractionation fees for operating these facilities, so throughput volumes through these facilities are not captured on a routine basis and are not significant to our gross operating margins.
- (3) Volumes shown reflect our 38.75% ownership in Gulf Coast Fractionators.

Total crude oil storage

Storage Assets	Estimated Storage Capacity (1)		
Gas storage:			
Belle Rose gas storage facility	11.9		
Sorrento gas storage facility	7.3		
Total gas storage	19.2		
NGL storage: Napoleonville NGL storage facility	5.0		
Crude oil storage:			
ORV storage	0.5		
Permian storage	0.1		
Central Oklahoma storage	0.2		
VEX storage	0.2		

⁽¹⁾ Estimated capacity for gas storage is Bcf and includes linefill capacity necessary to operate storage facilities. Estimated capacity for NGL and crude oil storage is MMbbls.

Texas Assets. Our Texas assets include transmission pipelines, processing facilities, and gathering systems in the Barnett Shale in North Texas and the Permian Basin in West Texas.

Acacia Transmission System. The Acacia transmission system is a pipeline that connects production from the Barnett Shale to markets in North Texas accessed by Atmos Energy, Brazos Electric, Enbridge Energy Partners, Energy Transfer Partners, Enterprise Product Partners, and GDF Suez. Devon is the largest customer on the Acacia pipeline with approximately five years remaining on a fixed-fee transportation agreement that covers transmission services and includes annual rate escalators.

Processing and Fractionation Facilities. Our processing facilities in Texas include 11 gas processing plants and consist of the following:

North Texas Assets. Our North Texas processing systems include the following:

1.0

Bridgeport processing facility. Our Bridgeport natural gas processing facility, located in Wise County, Texas, approximately 40 miles northwest of Fort Worth, Texas, is one of the largest processing plants in the U.S. with seven cryogenic turboexpander plants. Devon is the Bridgeport facility's largest customer, providing substantially all of the natural gas processed for the year ended December 31, 2018. We have extended our fixed-fee processing agreement with Devon, which was effective after the GIP Transaction, and currently have approximately 10 years remaining on our agreement with Devon pursuant to which we provide processing services for natural gas delivered by Devon to the Bridgeport processing facility.

Silver Creek processing system. Our Silver Creek processing system, located in Weatherford, Azle, and Fort Worth, Texas, includes three processing plants: the Azle plant, the Silver Creek plant, and the Goforth plant, which account for 50 MMcf/d, 200 MMcf/d, and 30 MMcf/d of processing capacity, respectively. During 2018, we idled the Azle and GoForth plants due to decreased volumes. Currently, the processing capacity at the Silver Creek plant is sufficient to process all gas on the Silver Creek processing system.

Permian Basin Assets. Our Permian Basin processing facilities consist of the following:

MEGA system processing facilities. Our MEGA system natural gas processing facilities are located in Midland, Martin, and Glasscock counties, Texas and operate as a connected system. These assets consist of the Bearkat processing facility with a capacity of 75 MMcf/d, the Deadwood processing

Table of Contents

facility with a capacity of 58 MMcf/d, the Midmar processing facilities with a capacity of 175 MMcf/d, and the Riptide processing facility with a capacity of 100 MMcf/d.

Lobo processing facilities. Our Lobo natural gas processing facilities are located in Loving County, Texas and include three processing plants, Lobo I, Lobo II, and Lobo III, which account for 35 MMcf/d, 140 MMcf/d, and 100 MMcf/d of processing capacity, respectively. The Lobo processing facilities and the connected gathering system are owned by the Delaware Basin JV.

Gathering Systems. Our gathering systems in Texas are connected to our North Texas or Permian Basin processing assets.

North Texas Assets. Our North Texas gathering systems include the following:

Bridgeport rich gas gathering system. A substantial majority of the natural gas gathered on the Bridgeport rich gas gathering system is delivered to the Bridgeport processing facility. Devon is the largest customer on the Bridgeport rich gas gathering system contributing substantially all of the natural gas gathered for the year ended December 31, 2018. As described above, we have extended our fixed-fee gathering agreement with Devon, which was effective after the GIP Transaction, and currently have approximately 10 years remaining on a fixed-fee gathering agreement with Devon pursuant to which we provide gathering services on the Bridgeport system.

Bridgeport lean gas gathering system. Natural gas gathered on the Bridgeport lean gas gathering system is primarily attributable to Devon and is delivered to the Acacia transmission system and to intrastate pipelines without processing. As described above, we are party to a fixed-fee gathering and processing agreement with Devon that covers gathering services on the Bridgeport system.

Johnson County gathering system. Natural gas gathered on this system is primarily attributable to one customer with whom we have a fixed-fee processing agreement that currently has approximately five years remaining.

Silver Creek gathering system. Our Silver Creek gathering system is located primarily in Hood, Parker, and Johnson counties, Texas, and connects to the Silver Creek processing system.

Permian Basin assets. Our Permian Basin gathering systems include the following:

MEGA system gathering facilities. This gathering system in the Permian Basin serves as an interconnected system of pipelines and compressors to deliver gas from wellheads in the Permian Basin to the MEGA system processing facilities.

Lobo gathering system. This rich natural gas gathering system consists of gathering pipeline and compression assets in the Delaware Basin in Texas and New Mexico. The Lobo gathering system is owned by the Delaware Basin JV.

Oklahoma Assets. Our Oklahoma assets consist of processing facilities and gathering systems in Southern and Central Oklahoma.

Oklahoma processing system. Our processing facilities include the following:

Central Oklahoma processing facilities. The Central Oklahoma plants include the Chisholm plants, the Battle Ridge plant, and the Cana processing facilities (collectively, the "Central Oklahoma processing system"), which account for 560 MMcf/d, 85 MMcf/d, and 400 MMcf/d of processing capacity, respectively. The residue natural gas from the Cana processing facility is delivered to Enable Midstream Partners, LP and an affiliate of ONEOK, Inc. ("ONEOK").

The unprocessed NGLs from the Chisholm facilities are transported by ONEOK to NGL transmission lines, which then transport the NGLs to our fractionators in Louisiana. Devon is the primary customer of the Cana processing facilities. We have extended our fixed-fee processing agreement with Devon, which was effective after the GIP Transaction, and currently have approximately 10 years remaining on a fixed-fee gathering and processing agreement with us pursuant to which we provide processing services for natural gas delivered by Devon to the Cana processing facility. Additionally, we have

a contractual arrangement with Devon on the Chisholm plants that includes an MVC that will remain in effect until December 2020. For 2019, the MVC dictates that approximately 185 MMcf/d of natural gas will be delivered to the Chisholm plant processing facility. The MVC escalates quarterly, resulting in approximately 230 MMcf/d to be delivered in 2020.

Northridge processing facility. Our Northridge processing plant is located in Hughes County in the Arkoma-Woodford Shale in Southeastern Oklahoma. The residue natural gas from the Northridge processing facility is delivered to CenterPoint Energy, Inc., Enable Midstream Partners, LP, and MPLX LP.

Oklahoma gathering system. Our Oklahoma gathering systems include the following:

Central Oklahoma gathering system. The Central Oklahoma gathering system serves the STACK and CNOW plays. In addition, our contractual arrangement with Devon includes an MVC that will remain in effect until December 2020. For 2019, the MVC dictates that approximately 185 MMcf/d of natural gas will be delivered through the Chisholm gathering system. The MVC escalates quarterly, resulting in approximately 230 MMcf/d to be delivered in 2020.

Northridge gathering system. Our Northridge gathering system is located in the Arkoma-Woodford Shale in Southeastern Oklahoma.

Louisiana Assets. Our Louisiana assets consist of gas and NGL transmission pipelines, processing facilities, gathering systems, and gas and NGL storage.

Louisiana Gas Pipeline and Processing Systems. The Louisiana gas pipeline system includes gathering and transmission systems, processing facilities, and underground gas storage.

Gas Transmission and Gathering Systems. Our transmission system consists of a portfolio of large capacity interconnections with the Gulf Coast pipeline grid that provides customers with supply access to multiple domestic production basins for redelivery to major industrial market consumption located primarily in the Mississippi River Corridor between Baton Rouge, Louisiana and New Orleans, Louisiana. Our natural gas transmission services are supplemented by fully integrated, high deliverability salt dome storage capacity strategically located in the natural gas consumption corridor. In combination with our transmission system, our gathering systems provide a fully integrated wellhead to burner tip value chain that includes local gathering, processing, and treating services to Louisiana producers.

Gas Processing and Storage Facilities. Our processing facilities in Louisiana include six gas processing plants, of which three are currently operational.

Plaquemine Processing Plant. The Plaquemine processing plant has 225 MMcf/d of processing capacity and is connected to the Plaquemine fractionation facility.

• Gibson Processing Plant. The Gibson processing plant has 110 MMcf/d of processing capacity and is located in Gibson, Louisiana. The Gibson processing plant is connected to our Louisiana gathering system.

Pelican Processing Plant. The Pelican processing plant complex is located in Patterson, Louisiana and has a designed capacity of 600 MMcf/d of natural gas. The Pelican processing plant is connected with continental shelf and deepwater production and has downstream connections to the ANR Pipeline. This plant has an interconnection with the Louisiana gas pipeline system allowing us to process natural gas from this system at our Pelican processing plant when markets are favorable.

Blue Water Gas Processing Plant. We operate and own a 64.29% interest in the Blue Water gas processing plant. The Blue Water gas processing plant is located in Crowley, Louisiana and is connected to the Blue Water pipeline system. Our share of the plant's capacity is approximately 193 MMcf/d. The plant is not expected to operate in the near future unless fractionation spreads are favorable, and volumes are sufficient to run the plant.

Eunice Processing Plant. The Eunice processing plant is located in south central Louisiana and has a capacity of 475 MMcf/d of natural gas. In August 2013, we shut down the Eunice processing plant. The plant is not expected to operate in the near future unless fractionation spreads are favorable, and volumes are sufficient to run the plant.

Sabine Pass Processing Plant. The Sabine Pass processing plant is located east of the Sabine River in Johnson's Bayou, Louisiana and has a processing capacity of 300 MMcf/d of natural gas. In 2013, we shut down the Sabine Pass processing plant and do not anticipate reopening the plant based on current market conditions.

Belle Rose Gas Storage Facility. The Belle Rose storage facility is located in Assumption Parish, Louisiana. This facility was placed in service in May 2016 and is designed for injecting pipeline quality gas into storage or withdrawing stored gas for delivery by pipeline.

Sorrento Gas Storage Facility. The Sorrento gas storage facility is located in Assumption Parish, Louisiana. This facility is designed for injecting pipeline quality gas into storage or withdrawing stored gas for delivery by pipeline.

Louisiana Liquids Pipeline System. Our Louisiana liquids pipeline system includes NGL transport lines, fractionation assets, and underground NGL storage.

Cajun-Sibon Pipeline System. The Cajun-Sibon pipeline system transports unfractionated NGLs from areas such as the Liberty, Texas interconnects near Mont Belvieu, Texas, and, from time to time, our Gibson and Pelican processing plants in South Louisiana to either the Plaquemine or Eunice fractionators or to third-party fractionators when necessary.

Ascension Pipeline. The Ascension JV is an NGL pipeline that connects our Riverside fractionator to Marathon Petroleum Corporation's Garyville refinery and is owned 50% by Marathon Petroleum Corporation.

Fractionation Facilities. There are four fractionation facilities located in Louisiana that are connected to our processing facilities and to Mont Belvieu, Texas and other hubs through our Cajun-Sibon pipeline system.

Plaquemine Fractionation Facility. The Plaquemine fractionator is located at our Plaquemine gas processing plant complex and is connected to our Cajun-Sibon pipeline. The Plaquemine fractionation facility produces purity ethane and propane for sale to markets via pipeline, while butane and heavier products are sent to our Riverside facility for further processing. The Plaquemine fractionator, collectively with the Riverside Fractionation Facility, has an approximate capacity of 117,000 Bbls/d of raw-make NGL products.

Plaquemine Gas Processing Plant. In addition to the Plaquemine fractionation facility, the adjacent Plaquemine gas processing plant also has an on-site fractionator.

Eunice Fractionation Facility. The Eunice fractionation facility is located in south central Louisiana. Liquids are delivered to the Eunice fractionation facility by the Cajun-Sibon pipeline system. The Eunice fractionation facility fractionates butane and heavier products from our Riverside facility and is directly connected to NGL markets and to a third-party storage facility.

Riverside Fractionation Facility. The Riverside fractionator and loading facility are located on the Mississippi River upriver from Geismar, Louisiana. Liquids are delivered to the Riverside fractionator by pipeline from the Eunice and Pelican processing plants or by third-party truck and rail assets. The loading/unloading facility has the capacity to transload 15,000 Bbls/d of crude oil and condensate from rail cars to barges.

Napoleonville Storage Facility. The Napoleonville NGL storage facility is connected to the Riverside facility and is comprised of two existing caverns. The caverns are currently operated in butane service, and space is leased to customers for a fee.

Table of Contents

Crude and Condensate. Our Crude and Condensate assets consist of crude oil and condensate pipelines, above ground storage, and a trucking fleet.

Ohio River Valley. Our ORV operations are an integrated network of assets comprised of a 5,000-barrel-per-hour crude oil and condensate barge loading terminal on the Ohio River, a 20-spot crude oil and condensate rail loading terminal on the Ohio Central Railroad network, crude oil and condensate pipelines in Ohio and West Virginia, above ground crude oil storage, a trucking fleet comprised of both semi and straight trucks, trailers for hauling NGL volumes, and seven existing brine disposal wells. Additionally, our ORV operations include eight condensate stabilization and natural gas compression stations that are supported by long-term, fee-based contracts with multiple producers.

Permian Crude and Condensate. Our Permian Crude and Condensate assets have crude oil gathering, transportation, and marketing operations in the Permian Basin. These assets include:

ECP System. The ECP System includes trucking and crude gathering pipelines that were acquired in 2015.

Avenger Crude Oil Gathering System. During 2018, we constructed a new crude oil gathering system in the northern Delaware Basin called Avenger. Avenger is supported by a long-term contract with Devon on dedicated acreage in their Todd and Potato Basin development areas in Eddy and Lea counties in New Mexico. We commenced initial operations on Avenger during the third quarter of 2018 and expect to begin full-service operations during the third quarter of 2019.

Greater Chickadee Gathering system. Greater Chickadee was placed into service in March 2017 and delivers crude oil for customers to Enterprise Product Partners L.P.'s crude oil terminal in West Texas. Greater Chickadee also includes multiple central tank batteries with pump, truck injection, and storage stations to maximize shipping and delivery options for producers.

Central Oklahoma Crude Oil Gathering Systems. Black Coyote was built primarily on acreage dedicated from Devon, which is the main shipper on the system. In addition, we further expanded our crude oil gathering operations in the STACK through the construction of Redbud, which is supported by a contract with Marathon Oil Company. We commenced initial operations on Redbud during the third quarter of 2018.

Victoria Express Pipeline. VEX includes a multi-grade crude oil pipeline with terminals in Cuero and the Port of Victoria and barge docks. The Cuero truck unloading terminal at the origin of the VEX system contains eight unloading bays and above-ground storage capacity for receipt from, and delivery to, the VEX pipeline. The VEX pipeline terminates at the Port of Victoria Terminal, which has an eight-bay truck unloading dock and above-ground storage capacity. The Port of Victoria Terminal delivers to two barge loading docks at the Port of Victoria. We have an agreement with Devon to ship on VEX, which includes an MVC of 30,000 Bbls/d, that will remain in effect until July 2019.

Corporate. Our Corporate assets primarily consist of our 38.75% ownership interest in GCF and 30% ownership interest in the Cedar Cove JV.

Gulf Coast Fractionators. We own a 38.75% interest in GCF, with the remaining interests owned 22.5% by Phillips 66, and 38.75% by Targa Resources Partners, LP. GCF owns an NGL fractionator located on the Gulf Coast at Mont Belvieu, Texas. Phillips 66 is the operator of the fractionator. GCF receives raw mix NGLs from customers, fractionates the raw mix, and redelivers the finished products to the customers for a fee.

Cedar Cove JV. On November 9, 2016, we formed a joint venture with Kinder Morgan, Inc. consisting of gathering and compression assets in Blaine County, Oklahoma, which tie into our existing Oklahoma assets. All gas gathered by the Cedar Cove JV is processed by our Central Oklahoma processing facilities. We own 30% of the Cedar Cove JV.

Table of Contents

Industry Overview

The following diagram illustrates the gathering, processing, fractionation, stabilization, and transmission process.

The midstream industry is the link between the exploration and production of natural gas and crude oil and condensate and the delivery of its components to end-user markets. The midstream industry is generally characterized by regional competition based on the proximity of gathering systems and processing plants to natural gas and crude oil and condensate producing wells.

Natural gas gathering. The natural gas gathering process follows the drilling of wells into gas-bearing rock formations. After a well has been completed, it is connected to a gathering system. Gathering systems typically consist of a network of small diameter pipelines and, if necessary, compression and treating systems that collect natural gas from points near producing wells and transport it to larger pipelines for further transmission.

Compression. Gathering systems are operated at pressures that will maximize the total natural gas throughput from all connected wells. Because wells produce gas at progressively lower field pressures as they age, it becomes increasingly difficult to deliver the remaining production in the ground against the higher pressure that exists in the connected gathering system. Natural gas compression is a mechanical process in which a volume of gas at an existing pressure is compressed to a desired higher pressure, allowing gas that no longer naturally flows into a higher-pressure downstream pipeline to be brought to market. Field compression is typically used to allow a gathering system to operate at a lower pressure or provide sufficient discharge pressure to deliver gas into a higher-pressure downstream pipeline. The remaining natural gas in the ground will not be produced if field compression is not installed because the gas will be unable to overcome the higher gathering system pressure. A declining well can continue delivering natural gas if field compression is installed.

Natural gas processing. The principal components of natural gas are methane and ethane, but most natural gas also contains varying amounts of heavier NGLs and contaminants, such as water and CO₂, sulfur compounds, nitrogen, or helium. Natural gas produced by a well may not be suitable for long-haul pipeline transportation or commercial use and may need to be processed to remove the heavier hydrocarbon components and contaminants. Natural gas in commercial distribution systems mostly consists of methane and ethane, and moisture and other contaminants have been removed, so there are negligible

amounts of them in the gas stream. Natural gas is processed to remove unwanted contaminants that would interfere with pipeline transportation or use of the natural gas and to separate those hydrocarbon liquids from the gas that have higher value as NGLs. The removal and separation of individual hydrocarbons through processing is possible due to differences in weight, boiling point, vapor pressure, and other physical characteristics. Natural gas processing involves the separation of natural gas into pipeline-quality natural gas and a mixed NGL stream and the removal of contaminants.

NGL fractionation. NGLs are separated into individual, more valuable components during the fractionation process. NGL fractionation facilities separate mixed NGL streams into discrete NGL products: ethane, propane, isobutane, normal butane, natural gasoline, and stabilized crude oil and condensate. Ethane is primarily used in the petrochemical industry as feedstock for ethylene, one of the basic building blocks for a wide range of plastics and other chemical products. Propane is used as a petrochemical feedstock in the production of ethylene and propylene and as a heating fuel, an engine fuel, and industrial fuel. Isobutane is used principally to enhance the octane content of motor gasoline. Normal butane is used as a petrochemical feedstock in the production of ethylene and butylene (a key ingredient in synthetic rubber), as a blend stock for motor gasoline, and to derive isobutene through isomerization. Natural gasoline, a mixture of pentanes and heavier hydrocarbons, is used primarily as motor gasoline blend stock or petrochemical feedstock.

Natural gas transmission. Natural gas transmission pipelines receive natural gas from mainline transmission pipelines, processing plants, and gathering systems and deliver it to industrial end-users, utilities, and to other pipelines.

Crude oil and condensate transmission. Crude oil and condensate are transported by pipelines, barges, rail cars, and tank trucks. The method of transportation used depends on, among other things, the resources of the transporter, the locations of the production points and the delivery points, cost-efficiency, and the quantity of product being transported.

Condensate Stabilization. Condensate stabilization is the distillation of the condensate product to remove the lighter end components, which ultimately creates a higher quality condensate product that is then delivered via truck, rail, or pipeline to local markets.

Brine gathering and disposal services. Typically, shale wells produce significant amounts of water that, in most cases, require disposal. Produced water and frac-flowback is hauled via truck transport or is pumped through pipelines from its origin at the oilfield tank battery or drilling pad to the disposal location. Once the water reaches the delivery disposal location, water is processed and filtered to remove impurities, and injection wells place fluids underground for storage and disposal.

Storage. Demand for natural gas, NGLs, and crude oil fluctuate daily and seasonally, while production and pipeline deliveries are relatively constant in the short term. Storage of products during periods of low demand helps to ensure that sufficient supplies are available during periods of high demand. Natural gas and NGLs are stored in large volumes in underground facilities and in smaller volumes in tanks above and below ground, while crude oil is typically stored in tanks above ground.

Crude oil and condensate terminals. Crude oil and condensate rail terminals are an integral part of ensuring the movement of new crude oil and condensate production from the developing shale plays in the United States and Canada. In general, the crude oil and condensate rail loading terminals are used to load rail cars and transport the commodity out of developing basins into market rich areas of the country where crude oil and condensate rail unloading terminals are used to unload rail cars and store crude oil and condensate volumes for third parties until the crude oil and condensate is redelivered to premium market delivery points via pipelines, trucks, or rail.

Balancing Supply and Demand

When we purchase natural gas, NGLS, crude oil, and condensate, we establish a margin normally by selling it for physical delivery to third-party users. We can also use over-the-counter derivative instruments or enter into future delivery obligations under futures contracts on the New York Mercantile Exchange ("NYMEX") related to our natural gas purchases. Through these transactions, we seek to maintain a position that is balanced between (1) purchases and (2) sales or future delivery obligations. Our policy is not to acquire and hold natural gas, NGL, or crude oil futures contracts or derivative products for the purpose of speculating on price changes.

Competition

The business of providing gathering, transmission, processing, and marketing services for natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate is highly competitive. We face strong competition in obtaining natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate

supplies and in the marketing and transportation of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate. Our competitors include major integrated and independent exploration and production companies, natural gas producers, interstate and intrastate pipelines, other natural gas, NGLs, and crude oil and condensate gatherers, and natural gas processors. Competition for natural gas and crude oil and condensate supplies is primarily based on geographic location of facilities in relation to production or markets, the reputation, efficiency, and reliability of the gatherer, and the pricing arrangements offered by the gatherer. For areas where acreage is not dedicated to us, we will compete with similar enterprises in providing additional gathering and processing services in its respective areas of operation, which may offer more services or have strong financial resources and access to larger natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate supplies than we do. Our competition varies in different geographic areas.

In marketing natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate, we have numerous competitors, including marketing affiliates of interstate pipelines, major integrated oil and gas companies, and local and national natural gas producers, gatherers, brokers, and marketers of widely varying sizes, financial resources, and experience. Local utilities and distributors of natural gas are, in some cases, engaged directly and through affiliates in marketing activities that compete with our marketing operations.

We face strong competition for acquisitions and development of new projects from both established and start-up companies. Competition increases the cost to acquire existing facilities or businesses and results in fewer commitments and lower returns for new pipelines or other development projects. Our competitors may have greater financial resources than we possess or may be willing to accept lower returns or greater risks. Our competition differs by region and by the nature of the business or the project involved.

Natural Gas, NGL, Crude Oil, and Condensate Supply

Our gathering and transmission pipelines have connections with major intrastate and interstate pipelines, which we believe have ample natural gas and NGL supplies in excess of the volumes required for the operation of these systems. We evaluate well and reservoir data that is either publicly available or furnished by producers or other service providers in connection with the construction and acquisition of our gathering systems and assets to determine the availability of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate supply for our systems and assets and/or obtain an MVC from the producer that results in a rate of return on investment. We do not routinely obtain independent evaluations of reserves dedicated to our systems and assets due to the cost and relatively limited benefit of such evaluations. Accordingly, we do not have estimates of total reserves dedicated to our systems and assets or the anticipated life of such producing reserves.

Credit Risk and Significant Customers

We are subject to risk of loss resulting from nonpayment or nonperformance by our customers and other counterparties, such as our lenders and hedging counterparties. We diligently attempt to ensure that we issue credit to only credit-worthy customers. However, our purchase and resale of crude oil, condensate, NGLs, and natural gas exposes us to significant credit risk, as the margin on any sale is generally a very small percentage of the total sales price. Therefore, a credit loss can be very large relative to our overall profitability. A substantial portion of our throughput volumes come from customers that have investment-grade ratings. However, lower commodity prices in future periods may result in a reduction in our customers' liquidity and ability to make payments or perform on their obligations to us. Some of our customers have filed for bankruptcy protection, and their debts and payments to us are subject to laws governing bankruptcy.

The following customers individually represented greater than 10% of our consolidated revenues. These customers represent a significant percentage of revenues, and the loss of the customer would have a material adverse impact on our results of operations because the revenues and gross operating margin received from transactions with these

customers is material to us. No other customers represented greater than 10% of our consolidated revenues.

Year Ended
December 31,
2018 2017 2016
Devon 10.4% 14.4% 18.5%
Dow Hydrocarbons and Resources LLC 11.1% 11.2% 10.8%
Marathon Petroleum Corporation 11.5% (1) (1)

Consolidated revenues for Marathon Petroleum Corporation did not exceed 10% of our consolidated revenues for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

Regulation

Natural Gas Pipeline Regulation. We own interstate natural gas pipelines that are subject to regulation as natural gas companies by the FERC under the Natural Gas Act ("NGA"). FERC regulates the rates and terms and conditions of service on interstate natural gas pipelines, as well as the certification, construction, modification, expansion, and abandonment of facilities.

The rates and terms and conditions of service for our interstate pipeline services regulated by FERC must be just and reasonable and not unduly preferential or unduly discriminatory, although negotiated or settlement rates may be accepted in certain circumstances. Such rates and terms and conditions of service are set forth in FERC-approved tariffs. Proposed rate increases and changes to our tariffs are subject to FERC approval. Pursuant to FERC's jurisdiction over rates, existing rates may be challenged by complaint or by FERC on its own initiative, and proposed new or changed rates may be challenged by protest. If protested, a rate increase may be suspended for up to five months and collected, subject to refund. If, upon completion of an investigation, FERC finds that the new or changed rate is unlawful, it is authorized to require the pipeline to refund revenues collected in excess of the just and reasonable rate during the term of the investigation.

The cost-of-service rates charged by our FERC regulated natural gas pipelines may also be affected by FERC's income tax allowance policy, although we do not currently expect to experience any impact to financial results as a result of this policy. In July 2016, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit issued its opinion in United Airlines, Inc., et al.v. FERC, finding that FERC had acted arbitrarily and capriciously when it failed to demonstrate that permitting SFPP, L.P., then an interstate petroleum products pipeline organized as a master limited partnership, to include an income tax allowance in the cost of service underlying its rates in addition to the discounted cash flow return on equity would not result in the pipeline double-recovering its investors' income taxes. The court vacated FERC's order and remanded to FERC. In March 2018, FERC issued an Order on Remand to SFPP, L.P. and simultaneously issued a revised policy statement disallowing master limited partnerships from recovering both an income tax allowance for the partners' tax costs and a discounted cash flow return on equity in their cost-of-service rates. The revised policy statement further provides that FERC will address the application of this policy to partnerships and pass-through entities that are not organized as master limited partnerships in subsequent proceedings on a case-by-case basis as the issue arises. In July 2018, FERC dismissed the requests for rehearing of the revised policy statement and provided guidance that if a pipeline organized as a master limited partnership or other pass-through entity eliminates its income tax allowance from its cost of service, FERC anticipates that such pipeline will also remove accumulated deferred income taxes from its cost of service. FERC further required all interstate natural gas pipelines to file a one-time informational filing in 2018 on a new form in order to collect information to evaluate the impact of the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act and the revised policy statement on such pipelines.

In addition to policies regarding rate setting, interstate natural gas pipelines regulated by FERC are required to comply with numerous regulations related to standards of conduct, market transparency, and market manipulation. FERC's standards of conduct regulate the manner in which interstate natural gas pipelines may interact with their marketing affiliates if such marketing affiliates are shippers on their interstate natural gas pipelines. FERC's market oversight and transparency regulations require regulated entities to submit annual reports of threshold purchases or sales of natural gas and publicly post certain information on scheduled volumes. FERC's market manipulation regulations, promulgated pursuant to the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (the "EPAct 2005"), make it unlawful for any entity, directly or indirectly in connection with the purchase or sale of natural gas subject to the jurisdiction of FERC, or the purchase or sale of transportation services subject to the jurisdiction of FERC, to (1) use or employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; (2) make any untrue statement of material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made not misleading (in light of the circumstances under which the statements were made); or (3) engage in any act, practice, or course of business that operates (or would operate) as a fraud or deceit upon any person. The EPAct 2005 also amends the NGA and the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 ("NGPA") to give FERC authority to impose civil penalties for violations of these statutes up to \$1.0 million per day per violation for violations occurring after

August 8, 2005. The maximum penalty authority established by the statute has been adjusted to approximately \$1.3 million per day per violation and will continue to be adjusted periodically for inflation. Should we fail to comply with all applicable FERC-administered statutes, rules, regulations, and orders, we could be subject to substantial penalties and fines.

Certain of our intrastate natural gas pipelines also transport gas in interstate commerce and, thus, the rates, terms and conditions of such services are subject to FERC jurisdiction under Section 311 of the NGPA ("Section 311"). Pipelines providing transportation service under Section 311 are required to provide services on an open and nondiscriminatory basis, and the maximum rates for interstate transportation services provided by such pipelines must be "fair and equitable." Such rates are generally subject to review every five years by FERC or by an appropriate state agency.

In addition to Section 311 regulation, our intrastate natural gas pipeline operations are subject to regulation by various state agencies. Most state agencies possess the authority to review and authorize natural gas transportation transactions and the

Table of Contents

construction, acquisition, abandonment, and interconnection of physical facilities for intrastate pipelines. State agencies also may regulate transportation rates, service terms, and conditions and contract pricing. Liquids Pipeline Regulation. We own certain liquids and crude oil pipelines that are regulated by FERC as common carrier interstate pipelines under the Interstate Commerce Act ("ICA"), the Energy Policy Act of 1992, and related rules and orders.

FERC regulation requires that interstate liquids pipeline rates and terms and conditions of service, including rates for transportation of crude oil, condensate, and NGLs, be filed with FERC and that these rates and terms and conditions of service be "just and reasonable" and not unduly discriminatory or unduly preferential.

Rates of interstate liquids pipelines are currently regulated by FERC primarily through an annual indexing methodology, under which pipelines increase or decrease their rates in accordance with an index adjustment specified by FERC. This adjustment is subject to review every five years. For the five-year period beginning on July 1, 2016, FERC established an annual index adjustment equal to the change in the producer price index for finished goods plus 1.23%. On October 20, 2016, however, FERC issued an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking indicating that FERC is considering a new policy that would deny proposed index increases for pipelines under certain circumstances where revenues exceed cost-of-service by a certain percentage or where the proposed index increases exceed certain annual cost changes reported to FERC. Under current FERC regulations, liquids pipelines can request a rate increase that exceeds the rate obtained through application of the indexing methodology by using a cost-of-service approach, but only after the pipeline establishes that a substantial divergence exists between the actual costs experienced by the pipeline and the rates resulting from application of the indexing methodology. The cost-of-service rates charged by our interstate liquids pipelines may also be affected by FERC's revised income tax allowance policy statement discussed above. In addition, FERC intends to incorporate its revised income tax allowance policy as well as the impact of the tax reduction from the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 in its next five-year review of the oil pipeline index, which is scheduled to occur in 2020 to establish the index level for the July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2026 time period.

The ICA permits interested persons to challenge proposed new or changed rates and authorizes FERC to suspend the effectiveness of such rates for up to seven months and investigate such rates. If, upon completion of an investigation, FERC finds that the new or changed rate is unlawful, it is authorized to require the pipeline to refund revenues collected in excess of the just and reasonable rate during the term of the investigation. FERC may also investigate, upon complaint or on its own motion, rates that are already in effect and may order a carrier to change its rates prospectively. Under certain circumstances, FERC could limit our ability to set rates based on our costs or could order us to reduce our rates and pay reparations to complaining shippers for up to two years prior to the date of the complaint. FERC also has the authority to change our terms and conditions of service if it determines that they are unjust and unreasonable or unduly discriminatory or preferential.

As we acquire, construct, and operate new liquids assets and expand our liquids transportation business, the classification and regulation of our liquids transportation services, including services that our marketing companies provide on our FERC-regulated liquids pipelines, are subject to ongoing assessment and change based on the services we provide and determinations by FERC and the courts. Such changes may subject additional services we provide to regulation by FERC.

Intrastate NGL and other petroleum pipelines are not generally subject to rate regulation by FERC, but they are subject to regulation by various agencies in the respective states where they are located. While such regulatory regimes vary, state agencies typically require intrastate NGL and petroleum pipelines to file their rates with the agencies and permit shippers to challenge existing rates or proposed rate increases.

Gathering Pipeline Regulation. Section 1(b) of the NGA exempts natural gas gathering facilities from the jurisdiction of FERC under the NGA. We own a number of natural gas pipelines that we believe meet the traditional tests FERC has used to establish that a pipeline is a gathering pipeline and therefore not subject to FERC jurisdiction. The distinction between FERC-regulated transmission services and federally unregulated gathering services is the subject of substantial, ongoing litigation, however, so the classification and regulation of our gathering facilities are subject to change. Application of FERC jurisdiction to our gathering facilities could increase our operating costs, decrease our rates, and adversely affect our business. State regulation of gathering facilities generally includes various safety, environmental, and, in some circumstances, nondiscriminatory requirements and complaint-based rate regulation.

In addition, we are subject to some state ratable take and common purchaser statutes. The ratable take statutes generally require gatherers to take, without undue discrimination, natural gas production that may be tendered to the gatherer for handling. Similarly, common purchaser statutes generally require gatherers to purchase without undue discrimination as to source of supply or producer. These statutes are designed to prohibit discrimination in favor of one producer over another producer or one source of supply over another source of supply.

Natural Gas Storage Regulation. In December 2016, the DOT's Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") issued an interim final rule ("IFR") that addresses safety issues related to downhole facilities located at both intrastate and interstate underground storage facilities. The IFR incorporates by reference two of the American Petroleum Institute's Recommended Practice standards and mandates certain reporting requirements for operators of underground natural gas storage facilities. Under the IFR, all intrastate transportation related underground natural gas storage facilities will become subject to minimum federal safety standards and be inspected by PHMSA or by a state entity that has chosen to expand its authority to regulate these facilities under a certification filed with PHMSA. The IFR became effective on January 18, 2017, with a compliance deadline of January 18, 2018. PHMSA subsequently determined, however, that it will not issue enforcement citations to any operators for violations of provisions of the IFR that had previously been non-mandatory provisions of American Petroleum Institute Recommended Practices 1170 and 1171 until one year after PHMSA issues a final rule. On October 19, 2017, PHMSA formally reopened the comment period on the IFR in response to a petition for reconsideration. This matter remains ongoing and subject to future PHMSA determinations. We are in compliance with this IFR.

Certain of our field injection and withdrawal wells and water disposal wells are subject to the jurisdiction of the Railroad Commission of Texas ("TRRC"). TRRC regulations require that we report the volumes of natural gas and water disposal associated with the operations of such wells on a monthly and annual basis, respectively. Results of periodic mechanical integrity tests must also be reported to the TRRC. In addition, our underground gas storage caverns in Louisiana are subject to the jurisdiction of the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources ("LDNR"). In recent years, LDNR has put in place more comprehensive regulations governing underground hydrocarbon storage in salt caverns.

We also operate brine disposal wells that are regulated as Class II wells under the federal Safe Drinking Water Act ("SDWA"). The SDWA imposes requirements on owners and operators of Class II wells through the EPA's Underground Injection Control program, including construction, operating, monitoring and testing, reporting, and closure requirements. Our brine disposal wells are also subject to comparable state laws and regulations. For more information, see "Environmental Matters" below.

Sales of Natural Gas and NGLs. The prices at which we sell natural gas and NGLs currently are not subject to federal regulation and, for the most part, are not subject to state regulation. Our natural gas and NGL sales are, however, affected by the availability, terms, cost, and regulation of pipeline transportation.

Employee Safety. We are subject to the requirements of the Occupational Safety and Health Act ("OSHA"), and comparable state laws that regulate the protection of the health and safety of workers. In addition, the OSHA hazard communication standard requires that information be maintained about hazardous materials used or produced in operations and that this information be provided to employees, state and local government authorities, and citizens. We believe that our operations are in substantial compliance with the OSHA requirements including general industry standards, record keeping requirements, and monitoring of occupational exposure to regulated substances.

Pipeline Safety Regulations. Our pipelines are subject to regulation by PHMSA pursuant to the Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act of 1968 ("NGPSA") and the Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002 ("PSIA"). The NGPSA regulates safety requirements in the design, construction, operation, and maintenance of gas pipeline facilities. The PSIA established mandatory inspections for all U.S. crude oil and natural gas transportation pipelines and some gathering lines in high-consequence areas ("HCAs"), which include, among other things, areas of high population density or that serve as sources of drinking water. PHMSA has developed regulations implementing the PSIA that require transportation pipeline operators to implement integrity management programs, including more frequent inspections and other measures to ensure pipeline safety in HCAs. More recently, the Pipeline Safety, Regulatory Certainty and Job Creation Act of 2011 increased penalties for safety violations, established additional safety requirements for newly

constructed pipelines, and required studies of certain safety issues that could result in the adoption of new regulatory requirements for existing pipelines, and in June 2016, the President of the United States signed the Protecting our Infrastructure of Pipelines and Enhancing Safety Act of 2016 (the "PIPES Act"), which reauthorizes PHMSA's oil and gas pipeline programs through 2019.

In April 2016, PHMSA published a notice of proposed rulemaking ("NPRM"), addressing natural gas transmission and gathering lines. The proposed rule would, among other things, change existing integrity management requirements, expand assessment and repair requirements to pipelines in "moderate-consequence areas," including areas of medium population density, and increase requirements for monitoring and inspection of pipeline segments located outside of HCAs. Furthermore, this NPRM would require that records or other data relied on to determine operating pressures must be traceable, verifiable, and complete. Locating such records and, in the absence of any such records, verifying maximum pressures through physical testing or modifying or replacing facilities, could significantly increase our costs. Additionally, failure to locate such records or verify maximum pressures could result in the reduction of allowable operating pressures, which would reduce available capacity on

Table of Contents

our pipelines. PHMSA, however, has yet to finalize this rulemaking, and the contents and timing of any final rule are currently uncertain.

In addition, in January 2017, PHMSA finalized new hazardous liquid pipeline safety regulations that would have extended certain regulatory reporting requirements to all hazardous liquid gathering (including oil) pipelines. The final rule also would have required additional event-driven and periodic inspections, required the use of leak detection systems on all hazardous liquid pipelines, modified repair criteria, and required certain pipelines to eventually accommodate in-line inspection tools. The effective date of this final rule is currently uncertain due to a regulatory freeze implemented by the Trump administration on January 20, 2017.

On January 23, 2017, PHMSA published in the Federal Register amendments to the pipeline safety regulations to address requirements of the Pipeline Safety, Regulatory Certainty, and Job Creation Act of 2011 and to update and clarify certain regulatory requirements regarding notifications of accidents and incidents. The final rule also adds provisions for cost recovery for design reviews of certain new projects, provides for renewal of existing special permits, and incorporates certain standards for in-line inspections and stress corrosion cracking assessments.

In July 2018, PHMSA issued an advance notice of proposed rulemaking seeking comment on the class location requirements for natural gas transmission pipelines, and particularly the actions operators must take when class locations change due to population growth or building construction near the pipeline.

At the state level, several states have passed legislation or promulgated rules dealing with pipeline safety. We believe that our pipeline operations are in substantial compliance with applicable PHMSA and state requirements; however, due to the possibility of new or amended laws and regulations or reinterpretation of existing laws and regulations, there can be no assurance that future compliance with PHMSA or state requirements will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

On November 2, 2015, PHMSA issued a Notice of Probable Violation and Proposed Compliance Order (the "NOPV") asserting probable violations of 49 CFR Part 195 due to our alleged misclassification of a transmission line as a gathering line. Transmission lines are subject to more fulsome pipeline safety regulations than gathering lines. The NOPV proposed a compliance order requiring us to satisfy the Part 195 requirements applicable to transmission lines but did not propose a penalty. On January 18, 2018, we received a letter from PHMSA withdrawing the NOPV and indicating that the case was closed effective as of January 18, 2018.

Environmental Matters

General. Our operations involve processing and pipeline services for delivery of hydrocarbons (natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensates) from point-of-origin at crude oil and gas wellheads operated by our suppliers to our end-use market customers. Our facilities include natural gas processing and fractionation plants, natural gas and NGL storage caverns, brine disposal wells, pipelines and associated facilities, fractionation and storage units for NGLs, and transportation and delivery of hydrocarbons. As with all companies in our industrial sector, our operations are subject to stringent and complex federal, state, and local laws and regulations relating to the discharge of hazardous substances or solid wastes into the environment or otherwise relating to protection of the environment. Compliance with existing and anticipated environmental laws and regulations increases our overall costs of doing business, including costs of planning, constructing, and operating plants, pipelines, and other facilities, as well as capital expenditures necessary to maintain or upgrade equipment and facilities. Similar costs are likely upon changes in laws or regulations and upon any future acquisition of operating assets.

Any failure to comply with applicable environmental laws and regulations, including those relating to equipment failures, and obtaining required governmental approvals and permits, may result in the assessment of administrative,

civil or criminal penalties, imposition of investigatory or remedial activities and, in certain, less common circumstances, issuance of temporary or permanent injunctions, or construction or operation bans or delays. As part of the regular evaluation of our operations, we routinely review and update governmental approvals as necessary.

The continuing trend in environmental regulation is to place more restrictions and limitations on activities that may affect the environment, and thus there can be no assurance as to the amount or timing of future expenditures for environmental compliance or remediation, and actual future expenditures may be different from the amounts we currently anticipate. Moreover, risks of process upsets, accidental releases, or spills are associated with possible future operations, and we cannot assure you that we will not incur significant costs and liabilities, including those relating to claims for damage to the environment, property, and persons as a result of any such upsets, releases, or spills. We may be unable to pass on current or future environmental costs to our customers. A discharge or release of hydrocarbons, hazardous substances, or solid wastes into

the environment could, to the extent losses related to the event are not insured, subject us to substantial expense, including both the cost to comply with applicable laws and regulations and to pay fines or penalties that may be assessed and the cost related to claims made by neighboring landowners and other third parties for personal injury or damage to natural resources or property. We attempt to anticipate future regulatory requirements that might be imposed and plan accordingly to comply with changing environmental laws and regulations and to minimize costs with respect to more stringent future laws and regulations or more rigorous enforcement of existing laws and regulations.

Hazardous Substances and Solid Waste. Environmental laws and regulations that relate to the release of hazardous substances or solid wastes into soils, sediments, groundwater, and surface water and/or include measures to prevent and control pollution may pose significant costs to our industrial sector. These laws and regulations generally regulate the generation, storage, treatment, transportation, and disposal of solid wastes and hazardous substances and may require investigatory and corrective actions at facilities where such waste or substance may have been released or disposed. For instance, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), also known as the federal "Superfund" law, and comparable state laws impose liability without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct on certain classes of persons that contributed to a release of a "hazardous substance" into the environment. Potentially responsible parties include the owner or operator of the site where a release occurred and companies that disposed or arranged for the disposal of the hazardous substances found at an off-site location, such as a landfill. Under CERCLA, these persons may be subject to joint and several liability for the costs of cleaning up and restoring sites where hazardous substances have been released into the environment and for damages to natural resources. CERCLA also authorizes the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and, in some cases, third parties, to take actions in response to threats to public health or the environment and to seek recovery of costs they incur from the potentially responsible classes of persons. It is not uncommon for neighboring landowners and other third parties to file claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by hazardous substances or solid wastes released into the environment. Although petroleum, natural gas, and NGLs are excluded from CERCLA's definition of a "hazardous substance," in the course of ordinary operations, we may generate wastes that may fall within the definition of a "hazardous substance." In addition, there are other laws and regulations that can create liability for releases of petroleum, natural gas, or NGLs, Moreover, we may be responsible under CERCLA or other laws for all or part of the costs required to clean up sites at which such substances have been disposed. We have not received any notification that we may be potentially responsible for cleanup costs under CERCLA or any analogous federal, state, or local law.

We also generate, and may in the future generate, both hazardous and nonhazardous solid wastes that are subject to requirements of the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA") and/or comparable state statutes. From time to time, the EPA and state regulatory agencies have considered the adoption of stricter disposal standards for nonhazardous wastes, including crude oil, condensate, and natural gas wastes. Moreover, it is possible that some wastes generated by us that are currently exempted from the definition of hazardous waste may in the future lose this exemption and be designated as "hazardous wastes," resulting in the wastes being subject to more rigorous and costly management and disposal requirements. Additionally, the Toxic Substances Control Act ("TSCA") and analogous state laws impose requirements on the use, storage, and disposal of various chemicals and chemical substances. In June 2017, the EPA finalized three rulemakings to update its implementation of TSCA. Two of the new rules establish the EPA's process and criteria for identifying high priority chemicals for risk evaluation and determining whether these high priority chemicals present an unreasonable risk to health or the environment. The third rule requires industry reporting of chemicals manufactured or processed in the U.S. over the past 10 years. Changes in applicable laws or regulations may result in an increase in our capital expenditures or plant operating expenses or otherwise impose limits or restrictions on our production and operations.

We currently own or lease, have in the past owned or leased, and in the future may own or lease, properties that have been used over the years for brine disposal operations, crude oil and condensate transportation, natural gas gathering,

treating, or processing and for NGL fractionation, transportation, or storage. Solid waste disposal practices within the NGL industry and other oil and natural gas related industries have improved over the years with the passage and implementation of various environmental laws and regulations. Nevertheless, some hydrocarbons and other solid wastes may have been released on or under various properties owned, leased, or operated by us during the operating history of those properties. In addition, a number of these properties may have been operated by third parties over whose operations and hydrocarbon and waste management practices we had no control. These properties and wastes disposed thereon may be subject to the SWDA, CERCLA, RCRA, TSCA, and analogous state laws. Under these laws, we could be required, alone or in participation with others, to remove or remediate previously disposed wastes or property contamination, if present, including groundwater contamination, or to take action to prevent future contamination.

Air Emissions. Our current and future operations are subject to the federal Clean Air Act and regulations promulgated thereunder and under comparable state laws and regulations. These laws and regulations regulate emissions of air pollutants from various industrial sources, including our facilities, and impose various control, monitoring, and reporting requirements.

Pursuant to these laws and regulations, we may be required to obtain environmental agency pre-approval for the construction or modification of certain projects or facilities expected to produce air emissions or result in an increase in existing air emissions, obtain and comply with the terms of air permits, which include various emission and operational limitations, or use specific emission control technologies to limit emissions. We likely will be required to incur certain capital expenditures in the future for air pollution control equipment in connection with maintaining or obtaining governmental approvals addressing air emission-related issues. Failure to comply with applicable air statutes or regulations may lead to the assessment of administrative, civil, or criminal penalties and may result in the limitation or cessation of construction or operation of certain air emission sources or require us to incur additional capital expenditures. Although we can give no assurances, we believe such requirements will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows, and the requirements are not expected to be more burdensome to us than to any similarly situated company.

In addition, the EPA included Wise County, the location of our Bridgeport facility, in its January 2012 revision to the Dallas-Fort Worth ozone nonattainment area ("DFW area") for the 2008 revised ozone national ambient air quality standard ("NAAQS"). As a result of this moderate nonattainment designation, new major sources in Wise County, meaning sources that emit greater than 100 tons/year of nitrogen oxides ("NOx") and volatile organic compounds ("VOCs"), as well as major modifications of existing facilities in the county resulting in net emissions increases of greater than 40 tons/year of NOx or VOCs, are subject to more stringent new source review ("NSR") pre-construction permitting requirements than they would be in an area that is in attainment with the 2008 ozone NAAQS. NSR pre-construction permits can take twelve to eighteen months to obtain and require the permit applicant to offset the proposed emission increases with reductions elsewhere at a 1.15 to 1 ratio. On November 14, 2018, EPA proposed to find that the DFW area failed to attain the 2008 ozone standard by its attainment date of July 20, 2017. If this proposal is finalized, the DFW area would be reclassified to a serious nonattainment area under this standard, imposing more stringent major source and major modification thresholds, increasing the applicable emission offset ratio, and potentially requiring the state to adopt more stringent permitting requirements.

In October 2015, the EPA promulgated a new NAAQS for ozone of 70 parts per billion ("ppb") for both the 8-hour primary and secondary standards, down from the 75 ppb standards of the 2008 ozone NAAQS. On June 4, 2018, EPA designated the DFW area, including Wise County, as a marginal nonattainment area under this standard. EPA published a final rule to implement the 2015 ozone NAAQS on December 6, 2018. The area's marginal classification does not require the additional control measures to be implemented. However, should the area fail to attain this standard by its marginal attainment date of August 2021, it risks reclassification to moderate, which could result in stricter permitting requirements, delay or prohibit our ability to obtain such permits, and result in potentially significant expenditures for pollution control equipment. Furthermore, the area remains subject to the requirements associated with its serious classification under the 2008 standard notwithstanding its marginal classification under the 2015 standard. This new standard is being challenged in a pending appeal before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit, but if the standard is implemented, it could result in stricter permitting requirements, delay or prohibit our ability to obtain such permits, and result in potentially significant expenditures for pollution control equipment.

Effective May 15, 2012, the EPA promulgated rules under the Clean Air Act that established new air emission controls for oil and natural gas production, pipelines, and processing operations under the New Source Performance Standards ("NSPS") and National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants ("NESHAPs") programs. These rules require the control of emissions through reduced emission (or "green") completions and establish specific new requirements regarding emissions from wet seal and reciprocating compressors, pneumatic controllers, and storage vessels at production facilities, gathering systems, boosting facilities, and onshore natural gas processing plants. In addition, the rules revised existing requirements for VOC emissions from equipment leaks at onshore natural gas processing plants by lowering the leak definition for valves from 10,000 parts per million to 500 parts per million and requiring the monitoring of connectors, pumps, pressure relief devices, and open-ended lines. These rules required a number of modifications to our assets and operations. In October 2012, several challenges to the EPA's NSPS and

NESHAPs rules for the industry were filed by various parties, including environmental groups, and industry associations. In a January 16, 2013 unopposed motion to hold this litigation in abeyance, the EPA indicated that it may reconsider some aspects of the rules. The case remains in abeyance. The EPA has since revised certain aspects of the rules and has indicated that it may reconsider other aspects of the rules. Depending on the outcome of such proceedings, the rules may be further modified or rescinded, or the EPA may issue new rules. We cannot predict the costs of compliance with any modified or newly issued rules.

In partial response to the issues raised regarding the 2012 rulemaking, the EPA recently finalized new rules that took effect August 2, 2016 to regulate emissions of methane and VOCs from new and modified sources in the oil and gas sector under the NSPS. The EPA announced its intention to reconsider those regulations in April 2017 and sought to stay its requirements, however, the EPA's stay of these requirements was vacated by the D.C. Circuit in July 2017. In October 2018, and pursuant to its reconsideration, the EPA proposed a rule that would amend certain requirements of the NSPS standard. Accordingly, the rule

remains in effect. In June 2016, the EPA also finalized a rule regarding alternative criteria for aggregating multiple small surface sites into a single source for air quality permitting purposes. This rule could cause small facilities within one-quarter mile of one another to be deemed a major source on an aggregate basis, thereby triggering more stringent air permitting processes and requirements across the oil and gas industry. EPA draft guidance issued in September 2018 clarified that this rule pertains to the oil and gas industry. On November 10, 2016, the EPA issued a final Information Collection Request ("ICR") that requires numerous oil and gas companies to provide information regarding methane emissions from existing oil and gas facilities, a step used to provide a basis for future rulemaking. The EPA withdrew this ICR in March 2017.

Other federal agencies have also taken steps to impose new or more stringent regulations on the oil and gas sector in order to further reduce methane emissions. For example, the BLM adopted new rules on November 15, 2016, to be effective on January 17, 2017, to reduce venting, flaring, and leaks during oil and natural gas production activities on onshore federal and Indian leases. Certain provisions of the BLM rule went into effect in January 2017, while others were scheduled to go into effect in January 2018. In December 2017, the BLM published a final rule delaying the 2018 provisions until 2019. In February 2018, the BLM proposed to repeal certain of the requirements of the 2016 methane rules. Several states filed judicial challenges to the BLM's proposed repeal. However, this litigation was stayed in April 2018 pending the BLM's finalization or withdrawal of its February 2018 proposal. In September 2018, BLM published a final rule that largely adopted the February 2018 proposal and rescinded several requirements. The September 2018 rule was challenged in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California almost immediately after issuance. The challenge is still pending. As a result of this continued regulatory focus and other factors, additional GHG regulation of the oil and gas industry remains possible. Compliance with such rules could result in additional costs, including increased capital expenditures and operating costs for us and for other companies in our industry. While we are not able at this time to estimate such additional costs, as is the case with similarly situated entities in the industry, they could be significant for us. Compliance with such rules, as well as any new state rules, may also make it more difficult for our suppliers and customers to operate, thereby reducing the volume of natural gas transported through our pipelines, which may adversely affect our business. However, the status of recent and future rules and rulemaking initiatives under the Trump Administration remains uncertain.

Climate Change. In December 2009, the EPA determined that emissions of certain gases, commonly referred to as "greenhouse gases," present an endangerment to public health and the environment because emissions of such gases are, according to the EPA, contributing to the warming of the earth's atmosphere and other climatic changes. Based on these findings, the EPA adopted regulations under existing provisions of the federal Clean Air Act that require Prevention of Significant Deterioration ("PSD") pre-construction permits and Title V operating permits for greenhouse gas emissions from certain large stationary sources. Under these regulations, facilities required to obtain PSD permits must meet "best available control technology" standards for their greenhouse gas emissions established by the states or, in some cases, by the EPA on a case by case basis. The EPA has also adopted rules requiring the monitoring and reporting of greenhouse gas emissions from specified sources in the United States, including, among others, certain onshore oil and natural gas processing and fractionating facilities.

In addition, efforts have been made and continue to be made in the international community toward the adoption of international treaties or protocols that would address global climate change issues. Because regulation of greenhouse gas emissions is relatively new, further regulatory, legislative, and judicial developments are likely to occur. Such developments in greenhouse gas initiatives may affect us and other companies operating in the oil and gas industry. In addition to these developments, recent judicial decisions have allowed certain tort claims alleging property damage to proceed against greenhouse gas emissions sources, which may increase our litigation risk for such claims. In addition, in 2015, the United States participated in the United Nations Conference on Climate Change, which led to the creation of the Paris Agreement. The Paris Agreement entered into force November 4, 2016, and requires countries to review and "represent a progression" in their intended nationally determined contributions, which set GHG emission reduction goals every five years beginning in 2020. In June 2017, the Trump Administration announced its intent to withdraw

from the Paris Agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the Paris Agreement, the earliest date the United States can withdraw is November 2020. Due to the uncertainties surrounding the regulation of and other risks associated with greenhouse gas emissions, we cannot predict the financial impact of related developments on us.

Federal or state legislative or regulatory initiatives that regulate or restrict emissions of greenhouse gases in areas in which we conduct business could adversely affect the availability of, or demand for, the products we store, transport, and process, and, depending on the particular program adopted, could increase the costs of our operations, including costs to operate and maintain our facilities, install new emission controls on our facilities, acquire allowances to authorize our greenhouse gas emissions, pay any taxes related to our greenhouse gas emissions, and/or administer and manage a greenhouse gas emissions program. We may be unable to recover any such lost revenues or increased costs in the rates we charge our customers, and any such recovery may depend on events beyond our control, including the outcome of future rate proceedings before FERC or state regulatory

agencies and the provisions of any final legislation or regulations. Reductions in our revenues or increases in our expenses as a result of climate control initiatives could have adverse effects on our business, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Due to their location, our operations along the Gulf Coast are vulnerable to operational and structural damages resulting from hurricanes and other severe weather systems, while inland operations include areas subject to tornadoes. Our insurance may not cover all associated losses. We are taking steps to mitigate physical risks from storms, but no assurance can be given that future storms will not have a material adverse effect on our business.

Hydraulic Fracturing and Wastewater. The Federal Water Pollution Control Act, also known as the Clean Water Act, and comparable state laws impose restrictions and strict controls regarding the discharge of pollutants, including NGL-related wastes, into state waters or waters of the United States. In June 2015, the EPA and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers finalized a rule intended to clarify the meaning of the term "waters of the United States," ("WOTUS") which establishes the scope of regulated waters under the Clean Water Act. The rule has been challenged and was stayed by federal courts. If upheld, the rule is expected to expand federal jurisdiction under the Clean Water Act. On February 6, 2018, EPA and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers published a final rule to postpone the effectiveness of the WOTUS rule until February 6, 2020. The February 2018 delay rule is subject to pending judicial challenges in multiple federal district courts. In December 2018, EPA and the Army Corps of Engineers issued a proposed rule that, if finalized, would narrow the scope of their jurisdiction. To the extent that any future rules expand the scope of the Clean Water Act's jurisdiction, we could face increased costs and delays with respect to obtaining permits for activities in jurisdictional waters, including wetlands. Regulations promulgated pursuant to the Clean Water Act require that entities that discharge into federal and state waters obtain National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permits and/or state permits authorizing these discharges. The Clean Water Act and analogous state laws assess administrative, civil, and criminal penalties for discharges of unauthorized pollutants into the water and impose substantial liability for the costs of removing spills from such waters. In addition, the Clean Water Act and analogous state laws require that individual permits or coverage under general permits be obtained by covered facilities for discharges of storm water runoff. We believe that we are in substantial compliance with Clean Water Act permitting requirements as well as the conditions imposed by our permits and that continued compliance with such existing permit conditions will not have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

We operate brine disposal wells that are regulated as Class II wells under the SDWA. The SDWA imposes requirements on owners and operators of Class II wells through the EPA's Underground Injection Control program, including construction, operating, monitoring and testing, reporting, and closure requirements. Our brine disposal wells are also subject to comparable state laws and regulations, which in some cases are more stringent than requirements under the SDWA, such as the Ohio Department of Natural Resources rules that took effect October 1, 2012. These rules set new, more stringent standards for the permitting and operating of brine disposal wells, including extensive review of geologic data and use of state-of-the-art technology. The Ohio Department of Natural Resources also imposes requirements on the transportation and disposal of brine. Compliance with current and future laws and regulations regarding our brine disposal wells may impose substantial costs and restrictions on our brine disposal operations, as well as adversely affect demand for our brine disposal services. State and federal regulatory agencies recently have focused on a possible connection between the operation of injection wells used for oil and gas waste waters and an observed increase in minor seismic activity and tremors. When caused by human activity, such events are called induced seismicity. In a few instances, operators of injection wells in the vicinity of minor seismic events have reduced injection volumes or suspended operations, often voluntarily. A 2012 report published by the National Academy of Sciences concluded that only a very small fraction of the tens of thousands of injection wells have been suspected to be, or have been, the likely cause of induced seismicity. However, some state regulatory agencies have modified their regulations to account for induced seismicity. For example, TRRC rules allow the TRRC to modify, suspend, or terminate a permit based on a determination that the permitted activity is likely to be contributing to seismic activity. In the state of Ohio, the Ohio Department of Natural Resources ("ODNR") requires a seismic study

prior to the authorization of any new disposal well. In addition, the ODNR has instituted a continuous monitoring network of seismographs and is able to curtail injected volumes regionally based upon seismic activity detected. The Oklahoma Corporation Commission ("OCC") has also taken steps to focus on induced seismicity, including increasing the frequency of required recordkeeping for wells that dispose into certain formations and considering seismic information in permitting decisions. For instance, on August 3, 2015, the OCC adopted a plan calling for mandatory reductions in oil and gas wastewater disposal well volumes, the implementation of which has involved reductions of injection or shut-ins of disposal wells. The OCC also recently released well completion seismicity guidelines in December 2016 for operators in the STACK play that call for hydraulic fracturing operations to be suspended following earthquakes of certain magnitudes in the vicinity. Regulatory agencies are continuing to study possible linkage between injection activity and induced seismicity. To the extent these studies result in additional regulation of injection wells, such regulations could impose additional regulations, costs, and restrictions on our brine disposal operations. Such regulations could also affect our customers' injection well operations and, therefore, impact our gathering business.

It is common for our customers or suppliers to recover natural gas from deep shale formations through the use of hydraulic fracturing, combined with sophisticated horizontal drilling. Hydraulic fracturing is an important and commonly used process in the completion of wells by oil and gas producers. Hydraulic fracturing involves the injection of water, sand, and chemical additives under pressure into rock formations to stimulate gas production. Due to public concerns raised regarding potential impacts of hydraulic fracturing on groundwater quality, legislative, and regulatory efforts at the federal level and in some states and localities have been initiated to require or make more stringent the permitting and other regulatory requirements for hydraulic fracturing operations of our customers and suppliers. There are certain governmental reviews either underway or being proposed that focus on environmental aspects of hydraulic fracturing practices. On December 13, 2016, the EPA released a study of the potential adverse effects that hydraulic fracturing may have on water quality and public health, concluding that there is scientific evidence that hydraulic fracturing activities potentially can impact drinking water resources in the United States under some circumstances. This study or similar studies could spur initiatives to further regulate hydraulic fracturing. In June 2016, the EPA finalized rules prohibiting discharges of wastewater from hydraulic fracturing operations to publicly owned wastewater treatment plants, Also, effective June 24, 2015, BLM adopted rules regarding well stimulation, chemical disclosures, water management, and other requirements for hydraulic fracturing on federal and American Indian lands. A federal district court invalidated these BLM rules in June 2016, but they were reinstated on appeal by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit in September 2017. In December 2017, BLM published a final rule rescinding the 2015 BLM rules. This rescission is subject to pending challenges in federal courts. Reinstatement of the 2015 BLM rules, or the adoption of additional regulatory burdens in the future, whether federal, state, or local, could increase the cost of or restrict the ability of our customers or suppliers to perform hydraulic fracturing. As a result, any increased federal, state, or local regulation could reduce the volumes of natural gas that our customers move through our gathering systems which would materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Endangered Species and Migratory Birds. The Endangered Species Act ("ESA"), Migratory Bird Treaty Act ("MBTA"), and similar state and local laws restrict activities that may affect endangered or threatened species or their habitats or migratory birds. Some of our pipelines may be located in areas that are designated as habitats for endangered or threatened species, potentially exposing us to liability for impacts on an individual member of a species or to habitat. The ESA can also make it more difficult to secure a federal permit for a new pipeline.

Office Facilities

We occupy approximately 157,600 square feet of space at our executive offices in Dallas, Texas under a lease expiring in February 2030. We also occupy office space of approximately 56,000 square feet in Midland, Texas, 32,000 square feet in Houston, Texas under long-term leases, and various other locations to support our operations.

Employees

As of December 31, 2018, we (through our subsidiaries) employed 1,449 full-time employees. Of these employees, 319 were general and administrative, engineering, accounting, and commercial personnel, and the remainder were operational employees. We are not party to any collective bargaining agreements, and we have not had any significant labor disputes in the past. We believe that we have good relations with our employees.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following risk factors and all other information contained in this report should be considered carefully when evaluating us. These risk factors could affect our actual results. Other risks and uncertainties, in addition to those that are described below, may also impair our business operations. If any of the following risks occur, our business,

financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows (including our ability to make distributions to our noteholders) could be affected materially and adversely. In that case, we may be unable to make distributions to our unitholders and the trading price of our common units could decline. In this report, the terms "Company" or "Registrant," as well as the terms "ENLC," "our," "we," "us" or like terms, are sometimes used to refer to EnLink Midstream, LLC itself or EnLink Midstream, LLC and its consolidated subsidiaries, including ENLK and its consolidated subsidiaries. Readers are advised to refer to the context in which terms are used, and to read these risk factors in conjunction with other detailed information concerning our business as set forth in our accompanying financial statements and notes and contained in "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" included herein.

Table of Contents

Risks Inherent in an Investment in ENLC

GIP owns approximately 46.1% of ENLC's outstanding common units as of February 13, 2019 and controls the Managing Member, which has sole responsibility for conducting our business and managing our operations. Our Managing Member and its affiliates, including GIP, have conflicts of interest with us and limited duties to us and may favor their own interests to your detriment.

GIP owns and controls the Managing Member and appoints all of the directors of the Managing Member, subject to, in certain circumstances, the approval of a majority of our independent directors and our Chief Executive Officer. Some of the directors of the Managing Member are also directors or officers of GIP. Although the Managing Member has a duty to manage us in a manner it subjectively believes to be in, or not opposed to, our best interests, the directors and officers of the Managing Member also have a duty to manage the Managing Member in a manner that is in the best interests of GIP, in its capacity as the sole member of the Managing Member. Conflicts of interest may arise between GIP and its affiliates, including the Managing Member, on the one hand, and us and our unitholders, on the other hand. In resolving these conflicts of interest, the Managing Member may favor its own interests and the interests of its affiliates over the interests of our unitholders. These conflicts include, among others, the following situations:

neither our operating agreement nor any other agreement requires GIP to pursue a business strategy that favors us or to enter into any commercial or business arrangement with us or ENLK. GIP's directors and officers have a fiduciary duty to make decisions in the best interests of the owners of GIP, which may be contrary to our interests;

GIP may be constrained by the terms of its debt instruments from taking actions, or refraining from taking actions, that may be in our best interests;

the Managing Member determines the amount and timing of asset purchases and sales, borrowings, issuance of additional membership interests and reserves, each of which can affect the amount of cash that is available to be distributed to unitholders:

the Managing Member determines which costs incurred by it are reimbursable by us;

the Managing Member is allowed to take into account the interests of parties other than us in exercising certain rights under our operating agreement;

our operating agreement limits the liability of, and eliminates and replaces the fiduciary duties that would otherwise be owed by, the Managing Member and also restricts the remedies available to our unitholders for actions that, without the provisions of the operating agreement, might constitute breaches of fiduciary duty;

any future contracts between us, on the one hand, and affiliates of GIP, on the other, may not be the result of arm's-length negotiations;

except in limited circumstances, the Managing Member has the power and authority to conduct our business without unitholder approval;

the Managing Member may exercise its right to call and purchase all of ENLC's outstanding common units not owned by it and its affiliates if it and its affiliates own more than 90% of ENLC's outstanding common units;

the Managing Member controls the enforcement of obligations owed to us by the Managing Member and its affiliates, including commercial agreements; and

the Managing Member decides whether to retain separate counsel, accountants, or others to perform services for us.

GIP is not limited in its ability to compete with us and is not obligated to offer us the opportunity to acquire additional assets or businesses, which could limit our ability to grow and could adversely affect our results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

GIP is a private equity firm with significant resources and experience making investments in midstream energy businesses. GIP is not prohibited from owning assets or interests in entities, or engaging in businesses, that compete directly or indirectly with us. Affiliates of GIP currently own interests in other oil and gas companies, including midstream companies, which may

Table of Contents

compete directly or indirectly with us. In addition, GIP and its affiliates may acquire, construct, or dispose of additional midstream or other assets and may be presented with new business opportunities, without any obligation to offer us the opportunity to purchase or construct such assets or to engage in such business opportunities.

Pursuant to the terms of our operating agreement, the doctrine of corporate opportunity, or any analogous doctrine, does not apply to the Managing Member, or any of its affiliates, including GIP and its officers. Any such person or entity that becomes aware of a potential transaction, agreement, arrangement or other matter that may be an opportunity for us will not have any duty to communicate or offer such opportunity to us. Any such person or entity will not be liable to us or to any unitholder for breach of any duty by reason of the fact that such person or entity pursues or acquires such opportunity for itself, directs such opportunity to another person or entity, or does not communicate such opportunity or information to us. As a result, competition from GIP, its affiliates, and other companies in which it owns interests could materially and adversely impact our results of operations and distributable cash flow. This may create actual and potential conflicts of interest between us and affiliates of the Managing Member and result in less than favorable treatment of us and our unitholders.

Cost reimbursements due to the Managing Member and its affiliates for services provided, which will be determined by the Managing Member, could be substantial and would reduce cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Prior to making distributions on ENLC common units, we will reimburse the Managing Member and its affiliates for all expenses they incur on our behalf. These expenses will include all costs incurred by the Managing Member and its affiliates in managing and operating us, including costs for rendering corporate staff and support services to us, if any. There is no limit on the amount of expenses for which the Managing Member and its affiliates may be reimbursed. Our operating agreement provides that the Managing Member will determine the expenses that are allocable to us. In addition, to the extent the Managing Member incurs obligations on behalf of us, we are obligated to reimburse or indemnify the Managing Member. If we are unable or unwilling to reimburse or indemnify the Managing Member, the Managing Member may take actions to cause us to make payments of these obligations and liabilities. Any such payments could reduce the amount of cash otherwise available for distribution to our unitholders.

Our operating agreement replaces the fiduciary duties otherwise owed to our unitholders by the Managing Member with contractual standards governing its duties.

Our operating agreement contains provisions that eliminate and replace the fiduciary standards that the Managing Member would otherwise be held to by state fiduciary duty law. For example, our operating agreement permits the Managing Member to make a number of decisions, in its individual capacity, as opposed to in its capacity as the Managing Member, or otherwise, free of fiduciary duties to us and our unitholders. This entitles the Managing Member to consider only the interests and factors that it desires, and it has no duty or obligation to give any consideration to any interest of, or factors affecting, us, our affiliates or our members. Examples of decisions that the Managing Member may make in its individual capacity include:

how to allocate business opportunities among us and its other affiliates;

whether to exercise its call right;

how to exercise its voting rights with respect to any membership interests it owns;

whether or not to consent to any merger or consolidation of us or any amendment to our operating agreement; and

whether or not to seek the approval of the conflicts committee of the board of directors of the Managing Member, or the unitholders, or neither, of any conflicted transaction.

By purchasing any ENLC common units, a unitholder is treated as having consented to the provisions in our operating agreement, including the provisions discussed above.

Table of Contents

Our operating agreement restricts the remedies available to holders of our membership interests for actions taken by the Managing Member that might otherwise constitute breaches of fiduciary duty.

Our operating agreement contains provisions that restrict the remedies available to holders of ENLC common units for actions taken by the Managing Member that might otherwise constitute breaches of fiduciary duty under state fiduciary duty law. For example, our operating agreement provides that:

whenever the Managing Member makes a determination or takes, or declines to take, any other action in its capacity as the Managing Member, the Managing Member is required to make such determination, or take or decline to take such other action, in good faith, and will not be subject to any other or different standard imposed by Delaware law, or any other law, rule, or regulation, or at equity;

the Managing Member will not have any liability to us or our unitholders for decisions made in its capacity as a managing member so long as it acted in good faith, meaning that it subjectively believed that the decision was in, or not opposed to, our best interests;

our operating agreement is governed by Delaware law and any claims, suits, actions, or proceedings:

arising out of or relating in any way to our operating agreement (including any claims, suits, or actions to interpret, apply, or enforce the provisions of our operating agreement or the duties, obligations, or liabilities among members or of members to us, or the rights or powers of, or restrictions on, the members or the company);

brought in a derivative manner on our behalf;

asserting a claim of breach of a fiduciary duty owed by any of our directors, officers, or other employees or the Managing Member, or owed by the Managing Member, to us or our members;

asserting a claim arising pursuant to any provision of the Delaware Limited Liability Company Act ("DLLCA"); or

asserting a claim governed by the internal affairs doctrine;

must be exclusively brought in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware (or, if such court does not have subject matter jurisdiction thereof, any other court located in the State of Delaware with subject matter jurisdiction), regardless of whether such claims, suits, actions, or proceedings sound in contract, tort, fraud, or otherwise, are based on common law, statutory, equitable, legal, or other grounds, or are derivative or direct claims. By purchasing ENLC common units, a member is irrevocably consenting to these limitations and provisions regarding claims, suits, actions, or proceedings and submitting to the exclusive jurisdiction of the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware (or such other Delaware courts) in connection with any such claims, suits, actions, or proceedings;

the Managing Member and its officers and directors will not be liable for monetary damages to us or our members resulting from any act or omission unless there has been a final and non-appealable judgment entered by a court of competent jurisdiction determining that the Managing Member or its officers or directors, as the case may be, acted in bad faith or engaged in fraud or willful misconduct, or, in the case of a criminal matter, acted with knowledge that the conduct was unlawful; and

• the Managing Member will not be in breach of its obligations under our operating agreement or its duties to us or our members if a transaction with an affiliate or the resolution of a conflict of interest is:

approved by the conflicts committee of the board of directors of the Managing Member, although the Managing Member is not obligated to seek such approval; or

approved by the vote of a majority of the outstanding ENLC common units, excluding any ENLC common units owned by the Managing Member and its affiliates, although the Managing Member is not obligated to seek such approval.

Our Managing Member will not have any liability to us or our unitholders for decisions whether or not to seek the approval of the conflicts committee of the board of directors of the Managing Member or holders of a majority of ENLC common units, excluding any ENLC common units owned by the Managing Member and its affiliates. If an affiliate transaction or the resolution of a conflict of interest is not approved by the conflicts committee or holders of ENLC common units, then it will be presumed that, in making its decision, taking any action or failing to act, the board of directors acted in good faith, and in any proceeding brought by or on behalf of any member or us, the person bringing or prosecuting such proceeding will have the burden of overcoming such presumption.

Holders of ENLC common units have limited voting rights and are not entitled to elect the Managing Member or the board of directors of the Managing Member, which could reduce the price at which ENLC common units trade.

Unlike the holders of common stock in a corporation, ENLC unitholders have only limited voting rights on matters affecting our business and, therefore, limited ability to influence management's decisions regarding our business. Unitholders do not have the right to elect the Managing Member or the board of directors of the Managing Member on an annual or other continuing basis. The board of directors of the Managing Member, including its independent directors, is chosen by the sole member of the Managing Member, subject, in certain circumstances, to the approval of a majority of our independent directors and our Chief Executive Officer. Furthermore, if unitholders are dissatisfied with the performance of the Managing Member, they will have very limited ability to remove the Managing Member. Our operating agreement also contains provisions limiting the ability of unitholders to call meetings or to acquire information about our operations, as well as other provisions limiting the unitholders' ability to influence the manner or direction of management. As a result of these limitations, the price at which ENLC common units trade could be diminished because of the absence or reduction of a takeover premium in the trading price.

Even if our unitholders are dissatisfied, they cannot initially remove the Managing Member without its consent.

ENLC's unitholders are unable to remove the Managing Member without its consent because the Managing Member and its affiliates own sufficient units to be able to prevent its removal. The vote of the holders of at least 66 2/3% of all outstanding ENLC common units voting together as a single class is required to remove the Managing Member. As of February 13, 2019, the Managing Member and its affiliates owned approximately 46.1% of the outstanding ENLC common units.

GIP has pledged all of the equity interests that it owns in ENLC and ENLC's managing member to GIP's lenders under its credit facility. A default under GIP's credit facility could result in a change of control of the Managing Member.

GIP has pledged all of the equity interests that it owns in ENLC and the Managing Member to its lenders as security under GIP's senior secured credit facility. Although we are not a party to this credit facility, if a default under such credit facility were to occur, the lenders could foreclose on the pledged equity interests. Any such foreclosure on GIP's interest would result in a change of control of the Managing Member and would allow the new owner to replace the board of directors and officers of the Managing Member with its own designees and to control the decisions taken by the board of directors and officers. Moreover, any change of control of the Managing Member would permit the lenders under the Consolidated Credit Facility and the Term Loan to declare all amounts thereunder immediately due and payable, and if any such event occurs, we may be required to refinance our debt on unfavorable terms, which could negatively impact our results of operations and our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

Our operating agreement restricts the voting rights of unitholders owning 20% or more of ENLC's common units.

Unitholders' voting rights are further restricted by our operating agreement, which provides that any units held by a person that owns 20% or more of any class of units, other than the Managing Member, its affiliates, their transferees and persons who acquired such units with the prior approval of the board of directors of the Managing Member,

including the holders of the ENLC Class C Common Units, cannot vote on any matter.

The control of the Managing Member may be transferred to a third party without unitholder consent.

Our Managing Member may transfer its managing member interest in us to a third party in a merger or in a sale of all or substantially all of its assets without the consent of our unitholders. Furthermore, our operating agreement does not restrict the ability of GIP to transfer all or a portion of the ownership interest in the Managing Member to a third party. If the managing member interest were transferred, the new owner of the Managing Member would then be in a position to replace the board of directors and officers of the Managing Member with its own choices and thereby exert significant control over the decisions made by such board of directors and officers. This effectively permits a "change of control" of the Managing Member without the vote or consent of the unitholders. On July 18, 2018, Devon sold its equity interests in us and our Management Member to

affiliates of GIP. For more information about the GIP Transaction, see "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 5—Related Party Transactions."

We may issue additional units, including units that are senior to ENLC common units, without the approval of the holders of common units, which would dilute existing ownership interests.

Our operating agreement does not limit the number of additional membership interests that we may issue at any time without the approval of our unitholders, except that our operating agreement restricts our ability to issue any membership interests senior to or on parity with the ENLK Series B Preferred Units with respect to distributions on such membership interests or upon liquidation without the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of our outstanding ENLC Class C Common Units, voting separately as a class. The issuance by us of additional ENLC common units or other equity securities of equal or senior rank will have the following effects:

each unitholder's proportionate ownership interest in us will decrease; the amount of cash available for distribution on each unit may decrease; the relative voting strength of each previously outstanding unit may be diminished; and the market price of ENLC common units may decline.

The ENLC Class C Common Units give the holders thereof certain rights relating to our business and management, and the ability to exchange such holder's ENLK Series B Preferred Units into our common units, which could cause dilution to our common unitholders.

Immediately following the closing of the Merger, ENLC issued to Enfield 58,728,994 ENLC Class C Common Units in order to provide Enfield with certain voting rights at ENLC in accordance with our operating agreement. Following the Merger, for each additional ENLK Series B Preferred Unit issued by ENLK pursuant to its partnership agreement, ENLC will issue an additional Class C Common Unit to the applicable holder of ENLK Series B Units, so that the number of ENLC Class C Common Units issued and outstanding will always equal the number of ENLK Series B Preferred Units issued and outstanding. In connection with the issuance of the ENLC Class C Common Units, ENLC, the Managing Member, and GIP III Stetson I, L.P. entered into a board representation agreement with TPG VII Management, LLC, an affiliate of Enfield ("TPG Management"), pursuant to which TPG Management is entitled to appoint one director to the Manager Board, subject to certain conditions and limitations. In addition, the holders of ENLC Class C Common Units will vote with the holders of common units as a single class on all matters on which holders of common units are entitled to vote. Each Class C Common Unit will be entitled to the number of votes equal to the number of common units into which an ENLK Series B Preferred Unit is then exchangeable, which is the product of the number of ENLK Series B Preferred Units being exchanged multiplied by 1.15 (subject to certain adjustments).

In addition, the holders of Class C Common Units are entitled to vote as a separate class on any matter that (i) adversely affects the rights, preferences, and privileges of the ENLC Class C Common Units or the ENLK Series B Preferred Units, including certain leverage ratio restrictions and other minority protections with respect to substantially the same matters for which the holders of ENLK Series B Preferred Units have approval rights under the ENLK partnership agreement, or (ii) amends or modifies any of the terms of the ENLC Class C Common Units or ENLK Series B Preferred Units. The approval of a majority of the ENLC Class C Common Units is required to approve any matter for which the holders of ENLC Class C Common Units are entitled to vote as a separate class. These restrictions may adversely affect our ability to finance future operations or capital needs or to engage in other business activities.

Furthermore, the exchange of the ENLK Series B Preferred Units into common units, which Enfield may elect to cause at any time, may cause substantial dilution to the holders of the common units. On an as-exchanged basis, the

ENLK Series B Preferred Units (and the corresponding voting power of the ENLC Class C Common Units) represent approximately 10.8% of the membership interests of ENLC.

GIP may sell ENLC common units in the public markets or otherwise, which sales could have an adverse impact on the trading price of our common units.

As of February 13, 2019, GIP held 224,355,359 ENLC common units. Additionally, we have agreed to provide GIP with certain registration rights with respect to the ENLC common units held by it. The sale of these units could have an adverse impact on the price of ENLC common units or on any trading market that may develop.

Table of Contents

Our Managing Member has a call right that may require unitholders to sell their ENLC common units at an undesirable time or price.

If at any time the Managing Member and its affiliates own more than 90% of ENLC's common units, the Managing Member will have the right, but not the obligation, which it may assign to any of its affiliates or to us, to acquire all, but not less than all, of ENLC common units held by unaffiliated persons at a price equal to the greater of (1) the average of the daily closing price of ENLC common units over the 20 trading days preceding the date three days before notice of exercise of the call right is first mailed and (2) the highest per-unit price paid by the Managing Member or any of its affiliates for ENLC common units during the 90-day period preceding the date such notice is first mailed. As a result, unitholders may be required to sell their ENLC common units at an undesirable time or price and may not receive any return or a negative return on their investment. Unitholders may also incur a tax liability upon a sale of their units. Our Managing Member is not obligated to obtain a fairness opinion regarding the value of ENLC common units to be repurchased by it upon exercise of the call right. There is no restriction in our operating agreement that prevents the Managing Member from issuing additional ENLC common units and exercising its call right. If the Managing Member exercised its call right, the effect would be to take us private. As of February 13, 2019, GIP owned an aggregate of approximately 46.1% of outstanding ENLC common units.

Unitholders may have liability to repay distributions that were wrongfully distributed to them.

Under certain circumstances, unitholders may have to repay amounts wrongfully returned or distributed to them. Under the DLLCA, a limited liability company may not make a distribution to a member if, after the distribution, all liabilities of the limited liability company, other than liabilities to members on account of their membership interests and liabilities for which the recourse of creditors is limited to specific property of the company, would exceed the fair value of the assets of the limited liability company. For the purpose of determining the fair value of the assets of a limited liability company, the DLLCA provides that the fair value of property subject to liability for which recourse of creditors is limited shall be included in the assets of the limited liability company only to the extent that the fair value of that property exceeds the non-recourse liability. The DLLCA provides that a member who receives a distribution and knew at the time of the distribution that the distribution was in violation of the DLLCA will be liable to the limited liability company for the amount of the distribution for three years following the date of the distribution.

The price of ENLC common units may fluctuate significantly, which could cause our unitholders to lose all or part of their investment.

As of February 13, 2019, approximately 53.9% of ENLC common units were held by public unitholders. The lack of liquidity may result in wide bid-ask spreads, contribute to significant fluctuations in the market price of ENLC common units, and limit the number of investors who are able to buy ENLC common units. The market price of ENLC common units may be influenced by many factors, some of which are beyond our control, including:

the quarterly distributions paid by us with respect to ENLC common units;

our quarterly or annual earnings, or those of other companies in our industry;

the loss of Devon as a customer;

events affecting Devon;

events affecting GIP;

announcements by us or our competitors of significant contracts or acquisitions;

changes in accounting standards, policies, guidance, interpretations, or principles;

general economic conditions;

the failure of securities analysts to cover ENLC common units or changes in financial estimates by analysts;

future sales of ENLC common units: and

other factors described in these "Risk Factors."

We are a "controlled company" within the meaning of NYSE rules and, as a result, we qualify for, and rely on, exemptions from some of the listing requirements with respect to independent directors.

Because GIP controls more than 50% of the voting power for the election of directors of the Managing Member, we are a controlled company within the meaning of NYSE rules, which exempt controlled companies from the following corporate governance requirements:

the requirement that a majority of the board consist of independent directors;

the requirement that the board of directors have a nominating or corporate governance committee, composed entirely of independent directors, that is responsible for identifying individuals qualified to become board members, consistent with criteria approved by the board, selection of board nominees for the next annual meeting of equity holders, development of corporate governance guidelines and oversight of the evaluation of the board and management;

the requirement that we have a compensation committee of the board, composed entirely of independent directors, that is responsible for reviewing and approving corporate goals and objectives relevant to chief executive officer compensation, evaluation of the chief executive officer's performance in light of the goals and objectives, determination and approval of the chief executive officer's compensation, making recommendations to the board with respect to compensation of other executive officers and incentive compensation and equity-based plans that are subject to board approval and producing a report on executive compensation to be included in an annual proxy statement or Form 10-K filed with the SEC;

the requirement that we conduct an annual performance evaluation of the nominating, corporate governance and compensation committees; and

the requirement that we have written charters for the nominating, corporate governance and compensation committees addressing the committees' responsibilities and annual performance evaluations.

For so long as we remain a controlled company, we will not be required to have a majority of independent directors or nominating, corporate governance or compensation committees. Accordingly, you may not have the same protections afforded to stockholders of companies that are subject to all of the NYSE corporate governance requirements.

Our cash flow consists almost exclusively of cash flows from ENLK.

Currently, our only cash-generating asset is our partnership interest in ENLK. Our cash flow is therefore completely dependent upon the ability of ENLK to generate cash, or our ability to borrow under the Consolidated Credit Facility.

The amount of cash that ENLK can provide to us, each quarter principally depends upon the amount of cash it generates from its operations, which will fluctuate from quarter to quarter based on, among other things:

the level of ENLK's processing operations;

the fees ENLK charges and the margins it realizes for its services;

the prices of, levels of production of, and demand for crude oil, condensate, NGLs, and natural gas;

- the volume of natural gas ENLK gathers, compresses, processes, transports, and sells, the volume of NGLs
- ENLK processes or fractionates and sells, the volume of crude oil ENLK handles at its crude terminals, the volume of crude oil and condensate that ENLK gathers, transports, purchases, and sells, the volumes of condensate stabilized, and the volumes of brine ENLK disposes;

the relationship between natural gas and NGL prices; and

ENLK's level of operating costs.

In addition, the actual amount of cash generated by ENLK that will be available to us will depend on other factors, some of which are beyond its control, including:

the level of capital expenditures ENLK makes;

the cost of acquisitions, if any;

• ENLK's debt service requirements and distribution requirements with respect to ENLK's Series B Preferred Units and Series C Preferred Units:

fluctuations in its working capital needs; prevailing economic conditions; and

the amount of cash reserves established by the General Partner in its sole discretion for the proper conduct of business.

Because of these and potentially other factors, we may not be able, or may not have sufficient available cash to pay distributions to unitholders each quarter. Furthermore, you should also be aware that the amount of cash ENLK has available depends primarily upon its cash flows, including cash flow from financial reserves and working capital borrowings, and is not

Table of Contents

solely a function of profitability, which will be affected by non-cash items. As a result, ENLK may make cash distributions during periods when it records losses and may not make cash distributions during periods when it records net income.

We are treated as a corporation subject to entity level federal and state income taxation. Any such entity level income taxes will reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to you.

We are treated as a corporation for tax purposes that is required to pay federal and state income tax on our taxable income at corporate rates. Historically, we have had net operating losses ("NOLs") that eliminated substantially all of our taxable income and, thus, we historically have not had to pay material amounts of income taxes. We anticipate generating NOLs for tax purposes during 2019, and as a result, do not expect to incur material amounts of federal and state income tax liabilities. In the event we do generate taxable income, federal and state income tax liabilities will reduce the cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

On December 22, 2017, tax legislation commonly known as the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act ("Tax Cuts and Jobs Act") was enacted. Among other things, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (i) reduces the U.S. corporate income tax rate from 35% to 21% (beginning in 2018), (ii) generally will limit our annual deductions for interest expense to no more than 30% of our "adjusted taxable income" (plus 100% of our business interest income) for the year and (iii) will permit us to offset only 80% (rather than 100%) of our taxable income with any NOLs we generate after 2017. Currently we do not expect the provisions of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, taken as a whole, to have any material adverse impact on our cash tax liabilities, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. However, it is possible in the future that the NOLs and/or interest deductibility limitations could have the effect of causing us to incur income tax liability sooner than we otherwise would have incurred such liability or, in certain cases, could cause us to incur income tax liability that we might otherwise not have incurred, in the absence of these tax law changes.

Our ability to use net operating loss carryforwards to offset future taxable income for U.S. federal income tax purposes is subject to limitation.

As of December 31, 2018, we had approximately \$323.6 million of U.S. federal net operating loss carryforwards (NOLs, which begin to expire in 2028. Utilization of these NOLs depends on many factors, including our future income, which cannot be assured. In addition, Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended ("Section 382"), generally imposes an annual limitation on the amount of NOLs that may be used to offset taxable income by a corporation that has undergone an "ownership change" (as determined under Section 382). An ownership change generally occurs if one or more stockholders (or groups of stockholders) that are each deemed to own at least 5% of our stock increase their ownership percentage by more than 50 percentage points over their lowest ownership percentage during a rolling three-year period.

We believe we experienced an ownership change as a result of the Merger. Thus, our ability to utilize NOLs existing at the time of such ownership change will be subject to limitation under Section 382. The application of such NOLs limitation may cause U.S. federal income taxes to be paid earlier than they otherwise would be paid if such limitation were not in effect and could cause certain NOLs to expire unused, in each case reducing or eliminating the benefit of such NOLs. To the extent we are not able to offset our future income with our NOLs, this would adversely affect our operating results and cash flows if we have taxable income in the future. Similar rules and limitations may apply for state income tax purposes.

The terms of the Consolidated Credit Facility and the Term Loan may restrict our current and future operations, particularly our ability to respond to changes in business or to take certain actions.

The Consolidated Credit Facility and the Term Loan contain, and any future indebtedness we incur will likely contain, a number of restrictive covenants that impose significant operating and financial restrictions, including restrictions on our ability to engage in acts that may be in our best long-term interest. In addition, the Consolidated Credit Facility and the Term Loan require us to satisfy and maintain specified financial ratios and other financial condition tests. Our ability to meet those financial ratios and tests can be affected by events beyond our control, and we cannot assure you that we will meet those ratios and tests.

A breach of any of these covenants could result in an event of default under the Consolidated Credit Facility and the Term Loan. Upon the occurrence of such an event of default, all amounts outstanding under the Consolidated Credit Facility and the Term Loan could be declared to be immediately due and payable and all applicable commitments to extend further credit could be terminated. If indebtedness under the Consolidated Credit Facility and the Term Loan is accelerated, there can be no assurance that we will have sufficient assets to repay the indebtedness. The operating and financial restrictions and covenants in the Consolidated Credit Facility and the Term loan and any future financing agreements may adversely affect our ability to finance future operations or capital needs or to engage in other business activities.

Table of Contents

The occurrence of certain bankruptcy events affecting ENLK or our failure to continue to control ENLK could constitute an event of default under the Consolidated Credit Facility.

Under the terms of the Consolidated Credit Facility, certain events of default relate specifically to events relating to ENLK, as a guarantor of the Consolidated Credit Facility and the Term Loan, including certain bankruptcy events affecting ENLK or any event that causes us to no longer indirectly control ENLK.

Increases in interest rates could adversely impact the price of ENLC's common units, ENLC's or ENLK's ability to issue equity or incur debt for acquisitions or other purposes, and ENLC's or ENLK's ability to make cash distributions.

Interest rates on future credit facilities and debt offerings could be higher than current levels, causing our financing costs to increase accordingly. As with other yield-oriented securities, ENLC's unit price is impacted by ENLC's level of cash distributions and implied distribution yield. The distribution yield is often used by investors to compare and rank yield-oriented securities for investment decision-making purposes. Therefore, changes in interest rates, either positive or negative, may affect the yield requirements of investors who invest in ENLC's units, and a rising interest rate environment could have an adverse impact on the price of ENLC's common units, ENLC's or ENLK's ability to issue equity or incur debt for acquisitions or other purposes and ENLC's or ENLK's ability to make cash distributions at our intended levels or at all.

Risks Inherent in our Business

We are dependent on Devon for a substantial portion of the natural gas that we gather, process, and transport. The expiration of five-year MVCs from Devon in 2019 and 2020 will result in a decline in our operating results and cash available for distribution because the volumes of natural gas that we gathered, processed, and transported for Devon during 2018 have been below the MVC levels under certain of our contracts.

We are dependent on Devon for a substantial portion of our natural gas supply. For the year ended December 31, 2018, Devon represented approximately 36.4% of our gross operating margin. In order to minimize volumetric exposure, in March 2014, we obtained five-year MVCs from Devon at the Bridgeport processing facility, Bridgeport, and East Johnson County gathering systems, and the Central Oklahoma gathering system, and these MVCs expired on January 1, 2019. We expect gross operating margin to decline approximately \$90 million to \$100 million due to the expiration of these MVCs. We also have a five-year MVC from Devon attributable to VEX, and this MVC expires on July 31, 2019. Because the volumes of natural gas and crude oil that we gather and transport on our systems are below the MVC levels, we will experience a decline in our operating revenues and cash flow. For the year ended December 31, 2018, we recognized \$84.3 million, \$1.2 million, and \$11.5 million in MVC shortfall revenue from Devon attributable to our Texas, Oklahoma, and Crude and Condensate segments, respectively, because volumes were below the minimum level.

Because we are substantially dependent on Devon for a significant portion of our gross operating margin, any development that materially and adversely affects their operations, financial condition, or market reputation could have a material and adverse impact on us. Material adverse changes for Devon could restrict our access to capital, make it more expensive to access the capital markets, or increase the costs of our borrowings.

We expect to derive a significant portion of our gross operating margin from Devon for the foreseeable future. As a result, any development, whether in our area of operations or otherwise, that adversely affects their production, financial condition, leverage, market reputation, liquidity, results of operations, or cash flows may adversely affect our revenues and cash available for distribution. Accordingly, we are indirectly subject to the business risks of our significant customers, some of which are the following:

potential changes in the supply of and demand for oil, natural gas and NGLs. and related products and services; risks relating to exploration and drilling programs, including potential environmental liabilities; adverse effects of governmental and environmental regulation; and general economic and financial market conditions.

Further, we are subject to the risk of non-payment or non-performance by Devon, including with respect to our gathering and processing agreements. We cannot predict the extent to which Devon's business will be impacted by pricing conditions in the energy industry, nor can we estimate the impact such conditions would have on Devon's ability to perform under our gathering and processing agreements. Additionally, due to our dependence on Devon, our ability to access the capital markets, or the pricing or other terms of any capital markets transactions, may be adversely affected by any impairments to Devon's financial condition or adverse changes in its credit ratings. S&P Global Ratings ("S&P") and Moody's Investors Services

Table of Contents

("Moody's") have currently assigned to Devon a BBB and Ba1 credit rating, respectively. Any material limitations on our ability to access capital as a result of such adverse changes at Devon could limit our ability to obtain future financing under favorable terms, or at all, or could result in increased financing costs in the future limiting our ability to engage in, expand, or pursue our business activities and could also prevent us from engaging in certain transactions that might otherwise be considered beneficial to us.

Adverse developments in our gathering, transmission, processing, crude oil, condensate, natural gas, and NGL services businesses would reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

We rely exclusively on the revenues generated from our gathering, transmission, processing, fractionation, crude oil, natural gas, condensate, and NGL services businesses, and as a result, our financial condition depends upon prices of, and continued demand for, natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate. An adverse development in one of these businesses may have a significant impact on our financial condition and our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

We must continually compete for crude oil, condensate, natural gas, and NGL supplies, and any decrease in supplies of such commodities could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

In order to maintain or increase throughput levels in our gathering systems and asset utilization rates at our processing plants and fractionators, we must continually contract for new product supplies. We may not be able to obtain additional contracts for crude oil, condensate, natural gas, and NGL supplies. The primary factors affecting our ability to connect new wells to our gathering facilities include our success in contracting for existing supplies that are not committed to other systems and the level of drilling activity near our gathering systems. If we are unable to maintain or increase the volumes on our systems by accessing new supplies to offset the natural decline in reserves, our business and financial results could be materially, adversely affected. In addition, our future growth will depend in part upon whether we can contract for additional supplies at a greater rate than the rate of natural decline in our current supplies.

Fluctuations in energy prices can greatly affect production rates and investments by third parties in the development of new crude oil, condensate, and natural gas reserves. In prior years we have seen suppressed drilling activity due to low commodity prices. Although drilling activity has improved during 2017 and 2018 in some of the most economic basins, including the Permian Basin, we could see downward pressure on future drilling activity in these basins if commodity prices decline below current levels, which may result in lower volumes. Tax policy changes or additional regulatory restrictions on development could also have a negative impact on drilling activity, reducing supplies of product available to our systems and assets. Additional governmental regulation of, or delays in issuance of permits for, the offshore exploration and production industry may negatively impact current and future volumes from offshore pipelines supplying our processing plants. We have no control over producers and depend on them to maintain sufficient levels of drilling activity. A continued decrease in the level of drilling activity or a material decrease in production in our principal geographic areas for a prolonged period, as a result of unfavorable commodity prices or otherwise, likely would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.

Any decrease in the volumes that we gather, process, fractionate, or transport would adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Our financial performance depends to a large extent on the volumes of natural gas, crude oil, condensate, and NGLs gathered, processed, fractionated, and transported on our assets. Decreases in the volumes of natural gas, crude oil, condensate, and NGLs we gather, process, fractionate, or transport would directly and adversely affect our financial condition. These volumes can be influenced by factors beyond our control, including:

continued fluctuations in commodity prices, including the prices of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate; environmental or other governmental regulations;

weather conditions;

increases in storage levels of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate;

increased use of alternative energy sources;

decreased demand for natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate;

economic conditions;

supply disruptions;

availability of supply connected to our systems; and

availability and adequacy of infrastructure to gather and process supply into and out of our systems.

The volumes of natural gas, crude oil, condensate, and NGLs gathered, processed, fractionated, and transported on our assets also depend on the production from the regions that supply our systems. Supply of natural gas, crude oil, condensate, and NGLs can be affected by many of the factors listed above, including commodity prices and weather. In order to maintain or increase throughput levels on our systems, we must obtain new sources of natural gas, crude oil, condensate, and NGLs. The primary factors affecting our ability to obtain non-dedicated sources of natural gas, crude oil, condensate, and NGLs include (i) the level of successful leasing, permitting, and drilling activity in our areas of operation, (ii) our ability to compete for volumes from new wells and (iii) our ability to compete successfully for volumes from sources connected to other pipelines. We have no control over the level of drilling activity in our areas of operation, the amount of reserves associated with wells connected to our systems, or the rate at which production from a well declines. In addition, we have no control over producers or their drilling or production decisions, which are affected by, among other things, the availability and cost of capital, levels of reserves, availability of drilling rigs, and other costs of production and equipment.

An impairment of goodwill, long-lived assets, including intangible assets and equity method investments, could reduce our earnings.

GAAP requires us to test goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives for impairment on an annual basis or when events or circumstances occur indicating that goodwill might be impaired. Long-lived assets, including intangible assets with finite useful lives, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. For the investments we account for under the equity method, the impairment test considers whether the fair value of the unconsolidated affiliate investment as a whole, not the underlying net assets, has declined and whether that decline is other than temporary. If we determine that an impairment is indicated, we would be required to take an immediate non-cash charge to earnings with a correlative effect on equity and balance sheet leverage as measured by debt to total capitalization. In the first quarter of 2016, we recognized a goodwill impairment of \$873.3 million. For the year ended December 31, 2017, we recognized impairments on property and equipment of \$17.1 million. For the year ended December 31, 2018, we recognized a goodwill impairment of \$232.0 million and impairments on property and equipment of \$133.8 million related to the carrying values of certain non-core natural gas and crude pipeline assets. Additional impairment of the value of our existing goodwill and long-lived assets could have a significant negative impact on our future operating results.

Our construction of new assets may be more expensive than anticipated, may not result in revenue increases, and may be subject to regulatory, environmental, political, legal, and economic risks that could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

The construction of additions or modifications to our existing systems and the construction of new midstream assets involves numerous regulatory, environmental, political, and legal uncertainties beyond our control including potential protests or legal actions by interested third parties, and may require the expenditure of significant amounts of capital. Financing may not be available on economically acceptable terms or at all. If we undertake these projects, we may not be able to complete them on schedule, at the budgeted cost, or at all. Moreover, our revenues may not increase due to the successful construction of a particular project. For instance, if we expand a pipeline or construct a new pipeline, the construction may occur over an extended period of time, and we may not receive any material increases in revenues promptly following completion of a project or at all. Moreover, we may construct facilities to capture anticipated future production growth in a region in which such growth does not materialize. As a result, new facilities may not be able to attract enough throughput to achieve our expected investment return, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. In addition, the construction of additions to our existing gathering and processing assets will generally require us to obtain new rights-of-way and permits prior to constructing new pipelines or facilities. We may be unable to timely obtain such rights-of-way or permits to connect new product supplies to our existing gathering lines or capitalize on other attractive expansion opportunities. Additionally, it may become more expensive for us to obtain new rights-of-way or to expand or renew existing rights-of-way. If the cost of

renewing or obtaining new rights-of-way increases, our cash flows could be adversely affected.

Construction of our major development projects subjects us to risks of construction delays, cost over-runs, limitations on our growth, and negative effects on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

We are engaged in the planning and construction of several major development projects, some of which will take a number of months before commercial operation. These projects are complex and subject to a number of factors beyond our control, including delays from vendors, suppliers, and third-party landowners, the permitting process, changes in laws, unavailability of materials, labor disruptions, environmental hazards, financing, accidents, weather, and other factors. Any delay in the completion of these projects could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. The construction of pipelines and gathering and processing and fractionation facilities requires the expenditure of significant amounts of capital, which may exceed our estimated costs. Estimating the timing and expenditures related to these development

projects is very complex and subject to variables that can significantly increase expected costs. Should the actual costs of these projects exceed our estimates, our liquidity and capital position could be adversely affected. This level of development activity requires significant effort from our management and technical personnel and places additional requirements on our financial resources. We may not have the ability to attract and/or retain the necessary number of personnel with the skills required to bring complicated projects to successful conclusions.

Our operations are dependent on our rights and ability to receive or renew the required permits and other approvals from governmental authorities and other third parties.

Performance of our operations requires that we obtain and maintain numerous environmental and land use permits and other approvals authorizing our business activities. A decision by a governmental authority or other third party to deny, delay, or restrictively condition the issuance of a new or renewed permit or other approval, or to revoke or substantially modify an existing permit or other approval, could have a material adverse effect on our ability to initiate or continue operations at the affected location or facility. Expansion of our existing operations is also predicated on securing the necessary environmental or land use permits and other approvals, which we may not receive in a timely manner or at all.

In order to obtain permits and renewals of permits and other approvals in the future, we may be required to prepare and present data to governmental authorities pertaining to the potential adverse impact that any proposed activities may have on the environment, individually or in the aggregate, including on public and Indian lands. Certain approval procedures may require preparation of archaeological surveys, endangered species studies, and other studies to assess the environmental impact of new sites or the expansion of existing sites. Compliance with these regulatory requirements is expensive and significantly lengthens the time needed to develop a site or pipeline alignment. Also, obtaining or renewing required permits or other approvals is sometimes delayed or prevented due to community opposition and other factors beyond our control. The denial of a permit or other approvals essential to our operations or the imposition of restrictive conditions with which it is not practicable or feasible to comply could impact our operations or prevent our ability to expand our operations or obtain rights-of-way. Significant opposition to a permit or other approvals by neighboring property owners, members of the public, or non-governmental organizations, or other third parties or delays in the environmental review and permitting process also could impact our operations or prevent our ability to expand our operations or obtain rights-of-way.

We conduct a portion of our operations through joint ventures, which subjects us to additional risks that could have a material adverse effect on the success of these operations, our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

We participate in several joint ventures, and we may enter into other joint venture arrangements in the future. The nature of a joint venture requires us to share control with unaffiliated third parties. If our joint venture partners do not fulfill their contractual and other obligations, the affected joint venture may be unable to operate according to its business plan, and we may be required to increase our level of commitment. If we do not timely meet our financial commitments or otherwise comply with our joint venture agreements, our ownership of and rights with respect to the applicable joint venture may be reduced or otherwise adversely affected. Differences in views among joint venture participants could also result in delays in business decisions or otherwise, failures to agree on major issues, operational inefficiencies and impasses, litigation, or other issues. Third parties may also seek to hold us liable for the joint ventures' liabilities. These issues or any other difficulties that cause a joint venture to deviate from its original business plan could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Any reductions in our credit ratings could increase our financing costs, increase the cost of maintaining certain contractual relationships, and reduce our cash available for distribution.

We cannot guarantee that our credit ratings will remain in effect for any given period of time or that a rating will not be lowered or withdrawn entirely by a rating agency if, in its judgment, circumstances so warrant. S&P and Moody's have currently assigned to ENLC a BB+ and Ba1 credit rating, respectively, and to ENLK a BB+ and Ba1 credit

rating, respectively. Any downgrade could also lead to higher borrowing costs for future borrowings and, if below investment grade, could require:

additional or more restrictive covenants that impose operating and financial restrictions on us and our subsidiaries; our subsidiaries to guarantee such debt and certain other debt;

us and our subsidiaries to provide collateral to secure such debt;

and

us or our subsidiaries to post cash collateral or letters of credit under our hedging arrangements or in order to purchase commodities or obtain trade credit.

Table of Contents

Any increase in our financing costs or additional or more restrictive covenants resulting from a credit rating downgrade could adversely affect our ability to finance future operations. If a credit rating downgrade and the resultant collateral requirement were to occur at a time when we were experiencing significant working capital requirements or otherwise lacked liquidity, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

We typically do not obtain independent evaluations of hydrocarbon reserves; therefore, volumes we service in the future could be less than we anticipate.

We typically do not obtain independent evaluations of hydrocarbon reserves connected to our gathering systems or that we otherwise service due to the unwillingness of producers to provide reserve information as well as the cost of such evaluations. Accordingly, we do not have independent estimates of total reserves serviced by our assets or the anticipated life of such reserves. If the total reserves or estimated life of the reserves is less than we anticipate, and we are unable to secure additional sources, then the volumes transported on our gathering systems or that we otherwise service in the future could be less than anticipated. A decline in the volumes could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

We may not be successful in balancing our purchases and sales.

We are a party to certain long-term gas, NGL, crude oil, and condensate sales commitments that we satisfy through supplies purchased under long-term gas, NGL, crude oil, and condensate purchase agreements. When we enter into those arrangements, our sales obligations generally match our purchase obligations. However, over time, the supplies that we have under contract may decline due to reduced drilling or other causes, and we may be required to satisfy the sales obligations by purchasing additional gas at prices that may exceed the prices received under the sales commitments. In addition, a producer could fail to deliver contracted volumes or deliver in excess of contracted volumes, or a consumer could purchase more or less than contracted volumes. Any of these actions could cause our purchases and sales not to be balanced. If our purchases and sales are not balanced, we will face increased exposure to commodity price risks and could have increased volatility in our operating income.

We have made commitments to purchase natural gas in production areas based on production-area indices and to sell the natural gas into market areas based on market-area indices, pay the costs to transport the natural gas between the two points, and capture the difference between the indices as margin. Changes in the index prices relative to each other (also referred to as basis spread) can significantly affect our margins or even result in losses. For example, we are a party to one contract associated with our North Texas operations with a term to June 2019 to supply approximately 150,000 MMBtu/d of gas. We buy gas for this contract on several different production-area indices and sell the gas into a different market area index. We realize a loss on the delivery of gas under this contract each month based on current prices. As of December 31, 2018, the balance sheet reflected a liability of \$9.0 million related to this performance obligation based on forecasted discounted cash obligations in excess of market under this gas delivery contract. Reduced supplies and narrower basis spreads in recent periods have increased the losses on this contract, and greater losses on this contract could occur in future periods if these conditions persist or become worse.

Our profitability is dependent upon prices and market demand for crude oil, condensate, natural gas, and NGLs that are beyond our control and have been volatile. A depressed commodity price environment could result in financial losses and reduce our cash available for distribution.

We are subject to significant risks due to fluctuations in commodity prices. We are directly exposed to these risks primarily in the gas processing and NGL fractionation components of our business. For the year ended December 31, 2018, approximately 9% of our total gross operating margin was generated under percent of liquids contracts and percent of proceeds contracts, with most of these contracts relating to our processing plants in the Permian Basin. Under percent of liquids contracts, we receive a fee in the form of a percentage of the liquids recovered, and the

producer bears all the cost of the natural gas shrink. Accordingly, our revenues under percent of liquids contracts are directly impacted by the market price of NGLs. Gross operating margin under percent of proceeds contracts is impacted only by the value of the natural gas or liquids produced with margins higher during periods of higher natural gas and liquids prices.

We also realize gross operating margins under processing margin contracts. For the year ended December 31, 2018, approximately 1% of our total gross operating margin was generated under processing margin contracts. We have a number of processing margin contracts for activities at our Plaquemine and Pelican processing plants. Under this type of contract, we pay the producer for the full amount of inlet gas to the plant, and we make a margin based on the difference between the value of liquids recovered from the processed natural gas as compared to the value of the natural gas volumes lost ("shrink") and the

cost of fuel used in processing. The shrink and fuel losses are referred to as plant thermal reduction ("PTR"). Our margins from these contracts can be greatly reduced or eliminated during periods of high natural gas prices relative to liquids prices.

We are also indirectly exposed to commodity prices due to the negative impacts of low commodity prices on production and the development of production of crude oil, condensate, natural gas, and NGLs connected to or near our assets and on our margins for transportation between certain market centers. Low prices for these products have reduced the demand for our services and volumes on our systems, and continued low prices may reduce such demand even further.

Although the majority of our NGL fractionation business is under fee-based arrangements, a portion of our business is exposed to commodity price risk because we realize a margin due to product upgrades associated with our Louisiana fractionation business. For the year ended December 31, 2018, gross operating margin realized associated with product upgrades represented approximately 1% of our gross operating margin.

The prices of crude oil, condensate, natural gas, and NGLs were volatile during 2018. Crude oil and weighted average NGL prices decreased 26% and 34%, respectively, while natural gas prices increased 19% from January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2018. We expect continued volatility in these commodity prices. For example, crude oil prices (based on the NYMEX futures daily close prices for the prompt month) in 2018 ranged from a high of \$76.41 per Bbl in October 2018 to a low of \$42.53 per Bbl in December 2018. Weighted average NGL prices in 2018 (based on the Oil Price Information Service ("OPIS") Napoleonville daily average spot liquids prices) ranged from a high of \$0.93 per gallon in September 2018 to a low of \$0.46 per gallon in December 2018. Natural gas prices (based on Gas Daily Henry Hub closing prices) during 2018 ranged from a high of \$4.84 per MMBtu in November 2018 to a low of \$2.55 per MMBtu in February 2018.

The markets and prices for crude oil, condensate, natural gas, and NGLs depend upon factors beyond our control that make it difficult to predict future commodity price movements with any certainty. These factors include the supply and demand for crude oil, condensate, natural gas, and NGLs, which fluctuate with changes in market and economic conditions and other factors, including:

- the impact of weather on the supply and demand for crude oil and natural gas;
- the level of domestic crude oil, condensate, and natural gas production;
- technology, including improved production techniques (particularly with respect to shale development);
- the level of domestic industrial and manufacturing activity;
- the availability of imported crude oil, natural gas, and NGLs;
- international demand for crude oil and NGLs;
- actions taken by foreign crude oil and gas producing nations;
- the continued threat of terrorism and the impact of military action and civil unrest;
- the availability of local, intrastate, and interstate transportation systems;
- the availability of downstream NGL fractionation facilities;
- the availability and marketing of competitive fuels;
- the impact of energy conservation efforts; and
- the extent of governmental regulation and taxation, including the regulation of hydraulic fracturing and "greenhouse gases."

Changes in commodity prices also indirectly impact our profitability by influencing drilling activity and well operations, and thus the volume of gas, crude oil, and condensate we gather and process and NGLs we fractionate. Volatility in commodity prices may cause our gross operating margin and cash flows to vary widely from period to period. Our hedging strategies may not be sufficient to offset price volatility risk and, in any event, do not cover all of

our throughput volumes. Moreover, hedges are subject to inherent risks, which we describe in "Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk." Our use of derivative financial instruments does not eliminate our exposure to fluctuations in commodity prices and interest rates and has (in the past) resulted and could (in the future) result in financial losses or reductions in our income.

If third-party pipelines or other midstream facilities interconnected to our gathering or transportation systems become partially or fully unavailable, or if the volumes we gather, process, or transport do not meet the quality requirements of the pipelines or facilities to which we connect, our gross operating margin and cash flow could be adversely affected.

Our gathering, processing, and transportation assets connect to other pipelines or facilities owned and operated by unaffiliated third parties. The continuing operation of, and our continuing access to, such third-party pipelines, processing facilities, and other midstream facilities is not within our control. These pipelines, plants, and other midstream facilities may become unavailable because of testing, turnarounds, line repair, maintenance, reduced operating pressure, lack of operating

Table of Contents

capacity, regulatory requirements, and curtailments of receipt or deliveries due to insufficient capacity or because of damage from severe weather conditions or other operational issues. Further, these pipelines and facilities connected to our assets impose product quality specifications. We may be unable to access such facilities or transport product along interconnected pipelines if the volumes we gather or transport do not meet their product quality requirements. In addition, if our costs to access and transport on these third-party pipelines significantly increase, our profitability could be reduced. If any such increase in costs occurs, if any of these pipelines or other midstream facilities become unable to receive, transport, or process product, or if the volumes we gather or transport do not meet the product quality requirements of such pipelines or facilities, our operating margin and cash flow could be adversely affected.

We may not realize the benefits we expect from the Merger.

We believe that the Merger will, among other things, provide increased financial flexibility for execution of our strategic growth plan. However, our assessments and expectations regarding the anticipated benefits of the Merger may prove to be incorrect. Accordingly, there can be no assurance we will realize the anticipated benefits of the Merger.

Our debt levels could limit our flexibility and adversely affect our financial health or limit our flexibility to obtain financing and to pursue other business opportunities.

We continue to have the ability to incur debt, subject to limitations in the Consolidated Credit Facility and the Term Loan. Our level of indebtedness could have important consequences to us, including the following:

our ability to obtain additional financing, if necessary, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, or other purposes may be impaired or such financing may not be available on favorable terms;

our funds available for operations, future business opportunities, and distributions to unitholders will be reduced by that portion of our cash flows required to make interest payments on our debt;

our debt level will make us more vulnerable to general adverse economic and industry conditions; our ability to plan for, or react to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate; and our risk that we may default on our debt obligations.

In addition, our ability to make scheduled payments or to refinance our obligations depends on our successful financial and operating performance, which will be affected by prevailing economic, financial, and industry conditions, many of which are beyond our control. If our cash flow and capital resources are insufficient to fund our debt service obligations, we may be forced to take actions such as reducing distributions, reducing or delaying our business activities, acquisitions, investments, or capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring or refinancing our debt, or seeking additional equity capital. We may not be able to undertake any of these actions on satisfactory terms or at all.

The terms of the Consolidated Credit Facility, Term Loan, and indentures governing ENLK's senior notes may restrict our current and future operations, particularly our ability to respond to changes in business or to take certain actions.

The Consolidated Credit Facility, the Term Loan, and the indentures governing ENLK's senior notes contain, and any future indebtedness we incur will likely contain, a number of restrictive covenants that impose significant operating and financial restrictions, including restrictions on our ability to engage in acts that may be in our best long-term interest. One or more of these agreements include covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability to:

incur subsidiary indebtedness;

engage in transactions with our affiliates;

consolidate, merge, or sell substantially all of our assets;

incur liens;

enter into sale and lease back transactions; and change business activities we conduct.

In addition, the Consolidated Credit Facility and the Term Loan require ENLC to satisfy and maintain specified financial ratios. ENLC's ability to meet these financial ratios can be affected by events beyond its control, and we cannot assure you that ENLC will continue to meet these ratios.

Our ability to comply with the covenants and restrictions contained in the Consolidated Credit Facility, the Term Loan, and ENLK's indentures may be affected by events beyond our control, including prevailing economic, financial, and industry conditions. If market or other economic conditions deteriorate, our ability to comply with these covenants may be impaired. A

Table of Contents

breach of any of these covenants could result in an event of default under the Consolidated Credit Facility, the Term Loan, and ENLK's indentures. Upon the occurrence of such an event of default, all amounts outstanding under the applicable debt agreements could be declared to be immediately due and payable, and all applicable commitments to extend further credit could be terminated. If indebtedness under the Consolidated Credit Facility, the Term Loan, or ENLK's indentures is accelerated, there can be no assurance that we will have sufficient assets to repay the indebtedness. The operating and financial restrictions and covenants in these debt agreements and any future financing agreements may adversely affect our ability to finance future operations or capital needs or to engage in other business activities.

We are vulnerable to operational, regulatory, and other risks due to our significant assets in South Louisiana and the Texas Gulf Coast, including the effects of adverse weather conditions such as hurricanes.

Our operations and revenues could be significantly impacted by conditions in South Louisiana and the Texas Gulf Coast because we have significant assets located in these two areas. Our concentration of activity in Louisiana and the Texas Gulf Coast makes us more vulnerable than many of our competitors to the risks associated with these areas, including:

ndverse weather conditions, including hurricanes and tropical storms; delays or decreases in production, the availability of equipment, facilities, or services; and changes in the regulatory environment.

Because a significant portion of our operations could experience the same condition at the same time, these conditions could have a relatively greater impact on our results of operations than they might have on other midstream companies that have operations in more diversified geographic areas.

Our business is subject to a number of weather-related risks. These weather conditions can cause significant damage and disruption to our operations and adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Virtually all of our operations are exposed to potential natural disasters, including hurricanes, tornadoes, storms, floods, fires, severe temperatures, and earthquakes. In particular, South Louisiana and the Texas Gulf Coast experience hurricanes and other extreme weather conditions on a frequent basis. The location of our significant assets and concentration of activity in these regions make us particularly vulnerable to weather risks in these areas.

High winds, storm surge, flooding, and other natural disasters can cause significant damage and curtail our operations for extended periods during and after such weather conditions, which may result in decreased revenues and otherwise adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flow. These interruptions could involve significant damage to people, property, or the environment, and repair time and costs could be extensive. Any such event that interrupts the revenues generated by our operations, or which causes us to make significant expenditures not covered by insurance, could reduce our cash available for paying distributions to our partners and, accordingly, adversely affect our financial condition and the market price of our securities.

In addition, we rely on the volumes of natural gas, crude oil, condensate, and NGLs gathered, processed, fractionated, and transported on our assets. These volumes are influenced by the production from the regions that supply our systems. Adverse weather conditions can cause direct or indirect disruptions to the operations of, and otherwise negatively affect, producers, suppliers, customers, and other third parties to which our assets are connected, even if our assets are not damaged. As a result, our financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows could be adversely affected.

We may also suffer reputational damage as a result of a natural disaster or other similar event. The occurrence of such an event, or a series of such events, especially if one or more of them occurs in a highly populated or sensitive area, could negatively impact public perception of our operations and/or make it more difficult for us to obtain the approvals, permits, licenses, rights-of-way, or real property interests we need in order to operate our assets or complete planned growth projects.

A reduction in demand for NGL products by the petrochemical, refining, or other industries or by the fuel markets could materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

The NGL products we produce have a variety of applications, including as heating fuels, petrochemical feedstocks, and refining blend stocks. A reduction in demand for NGL products, whether because of general or industry specific economic conditions, new government regulations, global competition, reduced demand by consumers for products made with NGL products (for example, reduced petrochemical demand observed due to lower activity in the automobile and construction industries), increased competition from petroleum-based feedstocks due to pricing differences, mild winter weather for some

Table of Contents

NGL applications, or other reasons could result in a decline in the volume of NGL products we handle or reduce the fees we charge for our services. Our NGL products and the demand for these products are affected as follows:

Ethane. Ethane is typically supplied as purity ethane or as part of ethane-propane mix. Ethane is primarily used in the petrochemical industry as feedstock for ethylene, one of the basic building blocks for a wide range of plastics and other chemical products. Although ethane is typically extracted as part of the mixed NGL stream at gas processing plants, if natural gas prices increase significantly in relation to NGL product prices or if the demand for ethylene falls, it may be more profitable for natural gas processors to leave the ethane in the natural gas stream. Such "ethane rejection" reduces the volume of NGLs delivered for fractionation and marketing.

Propane. Propane is used as a petrochemical feedstock in the production of ethylene and propylene, as a heating, engine, and industrial fuel, and in agricultural applications such as crop drying. Changes in demand for ethylene and propylene could adversely affect demand for propane. The demand for propane as a heating fuel is significantly affected by weather conditions. The volume of propane sold is at its highest during the six-month peak heating season of October through March. Demand for our propane may be reduced during periods of warmer-than-normal weather.

Normal Butane. Normal butane is used in the production of isobutane, as a refined product blending component, as a fuel gas, and in the production of ethylene and propylene. Changes in the composition of refined products resulting from governmental regulation, changes in feedstocks, products, and economics, demand for heating fuel and for ethylene and propylene could adversely affect demand for normal butane.

Isobutane. Isobutane is predominantly used in refineries to produce alkylates to enhance octane levels. Accordingly, any action that reduces demand for motor gasoline or demand for isobutane to produce alkylates for octane enhancement might reduce demand for isobutane.

Natural Gasoline. Natural gasoline is used as a blending component for certain refined products and as a feedstock used in the production of ethylene and propylene. Changes in the mandated composition resulting from governmental regulation of motor gasoline and in demand for ethylene and propylene could adversely affect demand for natural gasoline.

NGLs and products produced from NGLs are sold in competitive global markets. Any reduced demand for ethane, propane, normal butane, isobutane, or natural gasoline in the markets we access for any of the reasons stated above could adversely affect demand for the services we provide as well as NGL prices, which would negatively impact our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

We expect to encounter significant competition in any new geographic areas into which we seek to expand, and our ability to enter such markets may be limited.

If we expand our operations into new geographic areas, we expect to encounter significant competition for natural gas, condensate, NGLs, and crude oil supplies and markets. Competitors in these new markets will include companies larger than us, which have both lower cost of capital and greater geographic coverage, as well as smaller companies, which have lower total cost structures. As a result, we may not be able to successfully develop greenfield or acquire assets located in new geographic areas, and our results of operations could be adversely affected.

We do not own all of the land on which our pipelines, compression, and plant facilities are located, which could disrupt our operations.

We do not own all of the land on which our pipelines, compression, and plant facilities are located, and we are therefore subject to the possibility of more onerous terms and/or increased costs to retain necessary land use if we do

not have valid rights-of-way or leases or if such rights-of-way or leases lapse or terminate. We sometimes obtain the rights to land owned by third parties and governmental agencies for a specific period of time. Our loss of these rights, through our inability to renew right-of-way contracts, leases, or otherwise, could cause us to cease operations on the affected land, increase costs related to continuing operations elsewhere, and reduce our revenue.

Table of Contents

We offer pipeline, truck, rail, and barge services. Significant delays, inclement weather, or increased costs affecting these transportation methods could materially affect our results of operations.

We offer pipeline, truck, rail, and barge services. The costs of conducting these services could be negatively affected by factors outside of our control, including rail service interruptions, new laws and regulations, rate increases, tariffs, rising fuel costs, or capacity constraints. Inclement weather, including hurricanes, tornadoes, snow, ice, and other weather events, can negatively impact our distribution network. In addition, rail, truck, or barge accidents involving the transportation of hazardous materials could result in significant environmental penalties and remediation, claims arising from personal injury, and property damage.

We could experience increased severity or frequency of trucking accidents and other claims, which could materially affect our results of operations.

Potential liability associated with accidents in the trucking industry is severe and occurrences are unpredictable. A material increase in the frequency or severity of accidents or workers' compensation claims or the unfavorable development of existing claims could materially adversely affect our results of operations. In the event that accidents occur, we may be unable to obtain desired contractual indemnities, and our insurance may be inadequate in certain cases. The occurrence of an event not fully insured or indemnified against, or the failure or inability of a customer or insurer to meet its indemnification or insurance obligations, could result in substantial losses.

Changes in trucking regulations may increase our costs and negatively impact our results of operations.

Our trucking services are subject to regulation as motor carriers by the DOT and by various state agencies, whose regulations include certain permit requirements of state highway and safety authorities. These regulatory authorities exercise broad powers over our trucking operations, generally governing such matters as the authorization to engage in motor carrier operations, safety, equipment testing, and specifications and insurance requirements. There are additional regulations specifically relating to the trucking industry, including testing and specification of equipment and product handling requirements. The trucking industry is subject to possible regulatory and legislative changes that may impact our operations and affect the economics of the industry by requiring changes in operating practices or by changing the demand for or the cost of providing trucking services. Some of these possible changes include increasingly stringent fuel emission limits, changes in the regulations that govern the amount of time a driver may drive or work in any specific period, limits on vehicle weight and size, and other matters, including safety requirements.

If we do not make acquisitions on economically acceptable terms or efficiently and effectively integrate the acquired assets with our asset base, our future growth will be limited.

Our ability to grow depends, in part, on our ability to make acquisitions that result in an increase in cash generated from operations on a per unit basis. If we are unable to make accretive acquisitions either because we are (1) unable to identify attractive acquisition candidates or negotiate acceptable purchase contracts with them, (2) unable to obtain financing for these acquisitions on economically acceptable terms or at all or (3) outbid by competitors, then our future growth and our ability to increase distributions will be limited.

From time to time, we may evaluate and seek to acquire assets or businesses that we believe complement our existing business and related assets. We may acquire assets or businesses that we plan to use in a manner materially different from their prior owner's use. Any acquisition involves potential risks, including:

the inability to integrate the operations of recently acquired businesses or assets, especially if the assets acquired are in a new business segment or geographic area;

the diversion of management's attention from other business concerns;

the failure to realize expected volumes, revenues, profitability, or growth;

the failure to realize any expected synergies and cost savings;

the coordination of geographically disparate organizations, systems, and facilities;

the assumption of unknown liabilities;

the loss of customers or key employees from the acquired businesses;

a significant increase in our indebtedness; and

potential environmental or regulatory liabilities and title problems.

Management's assessment of these risks is inexact and may not reveal or resolve all existing or potential problems associated with an acquisition. Realization of any of these risks could adversely affect our operations and cash flows. If we consummate any future acquisition, our capitalization and results of operations may change significantly, and you will not have the opportunity to evaluate the economic, financial, and other relevant information that we will consider in determining the application of these funds and other resources.

We may not be able to retain existing customers or acquire new customers, which would reduce our revenues and limit our future profitability.

The renewal or replacement of existing contracts with our customers at rates sufficient to maintain current revenues and cash flows depends on a number of factors beyond our control, including the price of, and demand for, crude oil, condensate, NGLs, and natural gas in the markets we serve and competition from other midstream service providers. Our competitors include companies larger than we are, which could have both a lower cost of capital and a greater geographic coverage, as well as companies smaller than we are, which could have lower total cost structures. In addition, competition is increasing in some markets that have been overbuilt, resulting in an excess of midstream energy infrastructure capacity, or where new market entrants are willing to provide services at a discount in order to establish relationships and gain a foothold. The inability of our management to renew or replace our current contracts as they expire and to respond appropriately to changing market conditions could have a negative effect on our profitability.

In particular, our ability to renew or replace our existing contracts with industrial end-users and utilities impacts our profitability. As a consequence of the increase in competition in the industry and volatility of natural gas prices, industrial end-users and utilities may be reluctant to enter into long-term purchase contracts. Many industrial end-users purchase natural gas from more than one natural gas company and have the ability to change providers at any time. Some of these industrial end-users also have the ability to switch between gas and alternate fuels in response to relative price fluctuations in the market. Because there are numerous companies of greatly varying size and financial capacity that compete with us in marketing natural gas, we often compete in the industrial end-user and utilities markets primarily on the basis of price.

We are exposed to the credit risk of our customers and counterparties, and a general increase in the nonpayment and nonperformance by our customers could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Risks of nonpayment and nonperformance by our customers are a major concern in our business. We are subject to risks of loss resulting from nonpayment or nonperformance by our customers and other counterparties, such as our lenders and hedging counterparties. Any increase in the nonpayment and nonperformance by our customers could adversely affect our results of operations and reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders. Additionally, equity values for many of our customers continue to be low. The combination of a reduction in cash flow from lower commodity prices, a reduction in borrowing bases under reserve-based credit facilities, and the lack of availability of debt or equity financing may result in a significant reduction in our customers' liquidity and ability to make payment or perform on their obligations to us. Furthermore, some of our customers may be highly leveraged and subject to their own operating and regulatory risks, which increases the risk that they may default on their obligations to us.

Increased federal, state, and local legislation, and regulatory initiatives, as well as government reviews relating to hydraulic fracturing could result in increased costs and reductions or delays in natural gas production by our customers, which could adversely impact our revenues.

A portion of our suppliers' and customers' natural gas production is developed from unconventional sources, such as deep gas shales, that require hydraulic fracturing as part of the completion process. State legislatures and agencies

have enacted legislation and promulgated rules to regulate hydraulic fracturing, require disclosure of hydraulic fracturing chemicals, temporarily or permanently ban hydraulic fracturing and impose additional permit requirements and operational restrictions in certain jurisdictions or in environmentally sensitive areas. EPA and the BLM have also issued rules, conducted studies, and made proposals that, if implemented, could either restrict the practice of hydraulic fracturing or subject the process to further regulation. For instance, the EPA has issued final regulations under the federal Clean Air Act establishing performance standards, including standards for the capture of air emissions released during hydraulic fracturing, and adopted rules prohibiting the discharge of wastewater from hydraulic fracturing operations to publicly owned wastewater treatment plants. The EPA announced its intention to reconsider the regulations relating to the capture of air emissions in April 2017 and sought to stay its requirements, however, EPA's stay of these requirements was vacated by the D.C. Circuit in July 2017. In October 2018, and pursuant to its reconsideration, EPA proposed a rule that would amend certain requirements of the NSPS standard. Accordingly, the rule remains in effect along with the restriction on discharges to publicly owned wastewater treatment plants.

The BLM also adopted new rules, effective on January 17, 2017, to reduce venting, flaring and leaks during oil and natural gas production activities on onshore federal and Indian leases. Certain provisions of the BLM rule went into effect in January 2017, while others were scheduled to go into effect in January 2018. In December 2017, BLM published a final rule delaying the 2018 provisions until 2019. In February 2018, the BLM proposed to repeal certain of the requirements of the 2016 methane rules, Several states filed judicial challenges to the BLM's proposed repeal. However, this litigation was stayed in April 2018 pending the BLM's finalization or withdrawal of its February 2018 proposal. In September 2018, BLM published a final rule that largely adopted the February 2018 proposal and rescinded several requirements. The September 2018 rule was challenged in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California almost immediately after issuance. The challenge is still pending. State and federal regulatory agencies also have recently focused on a possible connection between the operation of injection wells used for oil and gas waste waters and an observed increase in induced seismicity, which has resulted in some regulation at the state level. For instance, in December 2016 the Oklahoma Corporation Commission released well completion seismicity guidelines for operators in the STACK play that call for hydraulic fracturing operations to be suspended following earthquakes of certain magnitudes in the vicinity. As regulatory agencies continue to study induced seismicity, additional legislative and regulatory initiatives could affect our brine disposal operations and our customers' injection well operations, which could impact our gathering business.

We cannot predict whether any additional legislation or regulations will be enacted and, if so, what the provisions would be. If additional levels of regulation and permits were required through the adoption of new laws and regulations at the federal or state level, that could lead to delays, increased operating costs, and process prohibitions for our suppliers and customers that could reduce the volumes of natural gas that move through our gathering systems, which could materially adversely affect our revenue and results of operations.

Transportation on certain of our natural gas pipelines is subject to federal and state rate and service regulation, which could limit the revenues we collect from our customers and adversely affect the cash available for distribution to our unitholders. The imposition of regulation on our currently unregulated natural gas pipelines also could increase our operating costs and adversely affect the cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

The rates, terms, and conditions of service under which we transport natural gas in our pipeline systems in interstate commerce are subject to regulation by FERC under the NGA and Section 311 of the NGPA and the rules and regulations promulgated under those statutes. Under the NGA, FERC regulation requires that interstate natural gas pipeline rates be filed with FERC and that these rates be "just and reasonable," not unduly preferential and not unduly discriminatory, although negotiated or settlement rates may be accepted in certain circumstances. Interested persons may challenge proposed new or changed rates, and FERC is authorized to suspend the effectiveness of such rates pending an investigation or hearing. FERC may also investigate, upon complaint or on its own motion, rates that are already in effect and may order a pipeline to change its rates prospectively. Accordingly, action by FERC could adversely affect our ability to establish rates that cover operating costs and allow for a reasonable return. An adverse determination in any future rate proceeding brought by or against us could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, and cash available for distribution. Under the NGPA, we are required to justify our rates for interstate transportation service on a cost-of-service basis every five years. In addition, our intrastate natural gas pipeline operations are subject to regulation by various agencies of the states in which they are located. Should FERC or any of these state agencies determine that our rates for transportation service should be lowered, our business could be adversely affected.

The cost-of-service rates charged by our FERC-regulated natural gas pipelines may also be affected by FERC's income tax allowance policy, although we do not currently expect to experience any impact to financial results as a result of this policy. This policy disallows master limited partnerships from recovering both an income tax allowance for the partners' tax costs and a discounted cash flow return on equity in their cost-of-service rates and provides that FERC will address this double recovery as it relates to partnerships and pass-through entities not organized as master limited

partnerships in subsequent proceedings on a case-by-case basis as the issue arises.

Additionally, FERC required all interstate natural gas pipelines to file a one-time informational filing in 2018 on a new form in order to collect information to evaluate the impact of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017, which included a reduction in the highest marginal U.S. federal corporate income tax rate from 35% to 21%, effective for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2018. At this time, it is uncertain how the cost of service rates of our interstate natural gas pipelines could be affected by this one-time filing to the extent FERC proposes new rates or challenges or changes to our existing rates as a result of such filing.

Our natural gas gathering and processing activities generally are exempt from FERC regulation under the Natural Gas Act. However, the distinction between FERC-regulated transmission services and federally unregulated gathering services is the

subject of substantial, ongoing litigation, so the classification and regulation of our gathering facilities are subject to change based on future determinations by FERC and the courts. Natural gas gathering may receive greater regulatory scrutiny at both the state and federal levels since FERC has less extensively regulated the gathering activities of interstate pipeline transmission companies, and a number of such companies have transferred gathering facilities to unregulated affiliates. Application of FERC jurisdiction to our gathering facilities could increase our operating costs, decrease our rates, and adversely affect our business. Our gathering operations also may be or become subject to safety and operational regulations relating to the design, installation, testing, construction, operation, replacement, and management of gathering facilities. Additional rules and legislation pertaining to these matters are considered or adopted from time to time. We cannot predict what effect, if any, such changes might have on our operations, but the industry could be required to incur additional capital expenditures and increased costs depending on future legislative and regulatory changes.

If we fail to comply with all the applicable FERC-administered statutes, rules, regulations, and orders, we could be subject to substantial penalties and fines. Under the EPAct 2005, FERC has civil penalty authority to impose penalties for current violations of the NGA or NGPA of up to \$1.0 million per day for each violation. The maximum penalty authority established by statute has been adjusted to approximately \$1.3 million per day and will continue to be adjusted periodically for inflation. FERC also has the power to order disgorgement of profits from transactions deemed to violate the NGA and EPAct 2005.

Other state and local regulations also affect our business. We are subject to some ratable take and common purchaser statutes in the states where we operate. Ratable take statutes generally require gatherers to take, without undue discrimination, natural gas production that may be tendered to the gatherer for handling. Similarly, common purchaser statutes generally require gatherers to purchase without undue discrimination as to source of supply or producer. These statutes have the effect of restricting our right as an owner of gathering facilities to decide with whom we contract to purchase or transport natural gas. Federal law leaves any economic regulation of natural gas gathering to the states, and some of the states in which we operate have adopted complaint-based or other limited economic regulation of natural gas gathering activities. States in which we operate that have adopted some form of complaint-based regulation, like Texas, generally allow natural gas producers and shippers to file complaints with state regulators in an effort to resolve grievances relating to natural gas gathering access and rate discrimination.

Transportation on our liquids pipelines is subject to federal and state rate and service regulation, which could limit the revenues we collect from our customers and adversely affect the cash available for distribution to our unitholders. The imposition of regulation on our currently unregulated liquids pipeline operations also could increase our operating costs and adversely affect the cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Our interstate liquids transportation pipelines are subject to regulation by FERC under the ICA, the Energy Policy Act of 1992, and the rules and regulations promulgated under those laws. If, upon completion of an investigation, FERC finds that new or changed rates are unlawful, it is authorized to require the pipeline to refund revenues collected in excess of the just and reasonable rates during the term of the investigation. FERC may also investigate, upon complaint or on its own motion, rates that are already in effect and may order a carrier to change its rates prospectively if it determines that the rates are unjust and unreasonable or unduly discriminatory or preferential. Under certain circumstances, FERC could limit our recovery of costs or could require us to reduce our rates and the payment of reparations to complaining shippers for up to two years prior to the date of the complaint. In particular, FERC's current income tax allowance policy could affect our rates going forward, although we do not currently expect to experience any impact to financial results as a result of this policy. In addition, our rates going forward could be affected by proposed changes to FERC's annual indexing methodology, including both changes to the methodology to account for the impact of the tax reduction from the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 as well as the potential adoption of a policy that would deny proposed index increases for pipelines under certain circumstances where revenues exceed cost-of-service numbers by a certain percentage or where the proposed index increases exceed certain annual cost

changes. All of these FERC policies and potential changes could have a material impact on our business and, if accepted, could decrease our rates and adversely affect our business.

As we acquire, construct, and operate new liquids assets and expand our liquids transportation business, the classification and regulation of our liquids transportation services, including services that our marketing companies provide on our FERC-regulated liquids pipelines, are subject to ongoing assessment and change based on the services we provide and determinations by FERC and the courts. Such changes may subject additional services we provide to regulation by FERC, which could increase our operating costs, decrease our rates, and adversely affect our business.

We may incur significant costs and liabilities resulting from compliance with pipeline safety regulations.

The pipelines we own and operate are subject to stringent and complex regulation related to pipeline safety and integrity management. For instance, the Department of Transportation, through PHMSA, has established a series of rules that require pipeline operators to develop and implement integrity management programs for hazardous liquid (including oil) pipeline segments that, in the event of a leak or rupture, could affect HCAs. In April 2016, PHMSA also proposed rules that would expand existing integrity management requirements to natural gas transmission and gathering lines in areas with medium population densities. PHMSA, however, has yet to finalize this rulemaking, and the contents and timing of any final rule are currently uncertain. Additional action by PHMSA with respect to pipeline integrity management requirements may occur in the future. At this time, we cannot predict the cost of such requirements, but they could be significant. Moreover, violations of pipeline safety regulations can result in the imposition of significant penalties.

Several states have also passed legislation or promulgated rules to address pipeline safety. Compliance with pipeline integrity laws and other pipeline safety regulations issued by state agencies, such as the TRRC, could result in substantial expenditures for testing, repairs, and replacement. For example, TRRC regulations require periodic testing of all intrastate pipelines meeting certain size and location requirements. Our costs relating to compliance with the required testing under the TRRC regulations were approximately \$1.8 million, \$2.3 million, and \$3.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively. If our pipelines fail to meet the safety standards mandated by the TRRC or PHMSA regulations, then we may be required to repair or replace sections of such pipelines or operate the pipelines at a reduced operating pressure, the cost of which actions cannot be estimated at this time.

Due to the possibility of new or amended laws and regulations or reinterpretation of existing laws and regulations, there can be no assurance that future compliance with PHMSA or state requirements will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial positions. Moreover, because certain of our operations are located around urban or more populated areas, such as the Barnett Shale, we may incur additional expenses from compliance with municipal and other local or state regulations that impose various obligations including, among other things, regulating the locations of our facilities; limiting the noise, odor, or light levels of our facilities; and requiring certain other improvements, including to the appearance of our facilities, that result in increased costs for our facilities. We are also subject to claims by neighboring landowners for nuisance related to the construction and operation of our facilities, which could subject us to damages for declines in neighboring property values due to our construction and operation activities.

Failure to comply with existing or new environmental laws or regulations or an accidental release of hazardous substances, hydrocarbons, or wastes into the environment may cause us to incur significant costs and liabilities.

Many of the operations and activities of our pipelines, gathering systems, processing plants, fractionators, brine disposal operations, and other facilities are subject to significant federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations, the violation of which can result in administrative, civil, and criminal penalties, including civil fines, injunctions, or both. The obligations imposed by these laws and regulations include obligations related to air emissions and discharge of pollutants from our pipelines and other facilities and the cleanup of hazardous substances and other wastes that are or may have been released at properties currently or previously owned or operated by us or locations to which we have sent wastes for treatment or disposal. These laws impose strict, joint and several liability for the remediation of contaminated areas. Private parties, including the owners of properties near our facilities or upon or through which our gathering systems traverse, may also have the right to pursue legal actions to enforce compliance and to seek damages for non-compliance with environmental laws for releases of contaminants or for personal injury or property damage.

Our business may be adversely affected by increased costs due to stricter pollution control requirements or liabilities resulting from non-compliance with required operating or other regulatory permits. New environmental laws or regulations, including, for example, legislation relating to the control of greenhouse gas emissions, or changes in existing environmental laws or regulations might adversely affect our products and activities, including processing, storage, and transportation, as well as waste management and air emissions. Federal and state agencies could also impose additional safety requirements, any of which could affect our profitability. Changes in laws or regulations could also limit our production or the operation of our assets or adversely affect our ability to comply with applicable legal requirements or the demand for crude oil, brine disposal services, or natural gas, which could adversely affect our business and our profitability.

Recent rules under the Clean Air Act imposing more stringent requirements on the oil and gas industry could cause our customers and us to incur increased capital expenditures and operating costs as well as reduce the demand for our services.

We are subject to stringent and complex regulation under the federal Clean Air Act, implementing regulations, and state and local equivalents, including regulations related to controls for oil and natural gas production, pipelines, and processing operations. For instance, the EPA finalized new rules, effective August 2, 2016, to regulate emissions of methane and volatile organic compounds from new and modified sources in the oil and gas sector. The EPA announced its intention to reconsider those regulations in April 2017 and has sought to stay its requirements. In October 2018, and pursuant to its reconsideration, EPA proposed a rule that would amend certain requirements of the NSPS standard. However, EPA's stay of these requirements was vacated by the D.C. Circuit in July 2017. Accordingly, the rule remains in effect. The EPA also finalized a rule regarding the alternative criteria for aggregating multiple small surface sites into a single source for air quality permitting purposes. This rule could cause small facilities, on an aggregate basis, to be deemed a major source if within one quarter-mile of one another, thereby triggering more stringent air permitting processes and requirements across the oil and gas industry. In addition, on November 10, 2016, the EPA issued a final Information Collection Request ("ICR") that requires numerous oil and gas companies to provide information regarding methane emissions from existing oil and gas facilities, a step used to provide a basis for future rulemaking. The EPA withdrew this ICR in March of 2017.

The BLM also adopted new rules on November 15, 2016, effective January 17, 2017, to reduce venting, flaring, and leaks during oil and natural gas production activities on onshore federal and Indian leases. Certain provisions of the BLM rule went into effect in January 2017, while others were scheduled to go into effect in January 2018. In December 2017, BLM published a final rule delaying the 2018 provisions until 2019. In February 2018, the BLM proposed to repeal certain of the requirements of the 2016 methane rules. Several states filed judicial challenges to the BLM's proposed repeal. However, this litigation was stayed in April 2018 pending the BLM's finalization or withdrawal of its February 2018 proposal. In September 2018, BLM published a final rule that largely adopted the February 2018 proposal and rescinded several requirements. The September 2018 rule was challenged in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California almost immediately after issuance. The challenge is still pending.

Additional regulation of GHG emissions from the oil and gas industry remains a possibility. These regulations could require a number of modifications to our operations, and our natural gas exploration and production suppliers' and customers' operations, including the installation of new equipment, which could result in significant costs, including increased capital expenditures and operating costs. The incurrence of such expenditures and costs by our suppliers and customers could result in reduced production by those suppliers and customers and thus translate into reduced demand for our services. Responding to rule challenges, the EPA has since revised certain aspects of its April 2012 rules and has indicated that it may reconsider other aspects of the rules.

Climate change legislation and regulatory initiatives could result in increased operating costs and reduced demand for the natural gas and NGL services we provide.

The United States Congress has from time to time considered adopting legislation to reduce emissions of GHGs, and there has been a wide-ranging policy debate, both nationally and internationally, regarding the impact of these gases and possible means for their regulation. In addition, efforts have been made and continue to be made in the international community toward the adoption of international treaties or protocols that would address global climate change issues. In 2015, the United States participated in the United Nations Conference on Climate Change, which led to the adoption of the Paris Agreement. The Paris Agreement became effective November 4, 2016 and requires countries to review and "represent a progression" in their intended nationally determined contributions, which set GHG emission reduction goals, every five years beginning in 2020. Although the Trump Administration has announced its

intent to withdraw from the Paris Agreement, the earliest effective date of this withdrawal pursuant to the terms of the Paris Agreement is November 2020. At the federal regulatory level, both the EPA and the BLM have adopted regulations for the control of methane emissions, which also include leak detection and repair requirements, from the oil and gas industry.

In addition, many states have already taken legal measures to reduce emissions of GHGs, primarily through the planned development of GHG emission inventories and/or regional GHG cap and trade programs. Most of these cap and trade programs work by requiring either major sources of emissions, such as electric power plants, or major producers of fuels, such as refineries and NGL fractionation plants, to acquire and surrender emission allowances with the number of allowances available for purchase reduced each year until the overall GHG emission reduction goal is achieved.

Although it is not possible at this time to predict whether future legislation or new regulations may be adopted to address greenhouse gas emissions or how such measures would impact our business, the adoption of legislation or regulations imposing

reporting or permitting obligations on, or limiting emissions of GHGs from, our equipment and operations could require us to incur additional costs to reduce emissions of GHGs associated with our operations, could adversely affect our performance of operations in the absence of any permits that may be required to regulate emission of GHGs, or could adversely affect demand for the natural gas we gather, process, or otherwise handle in connection with our services.

The ESA and MBTA govern our operations and additional restrictions may be imposed in the future, which could have an adverse impact on our operations.

The ESA and analogous state laws restrict activities that may affect endangered or threatened species or their habitats. Similar protections are offered to migratory birds under the MBTA. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and state agencies may designate critical or suitable habitat areas that they believe are necessary for the survival of threatened or endangered species, which could materially restrict use of or access to federal, state, and private lands. Some of our operations may be located in areas that are designated as habitats for endangered or threatened species or that may attract migratory birds. In these areas, we may be obligated to develop and implement plans to avoid potential adverse impacts to protected species, and we may be prohibited from conducting operations in certain locations or during certain seasons, such as breeding and nesting seasons, when our operations could have an adverse effect on the species. It is also possible that a federal or state agency could order a complete halt to our activities in certain locations if it is determined that such activities may have a serious adverse effect on a protected species. In addition, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and state agencies regularly review species that are listing candidates, and designations of additional endangered or threatened species, or critical or suitable habitat, under the ESA could cause us to incur additional costs or become subject to operating restrictions or bans in the affected areas.

Our business involves many hazards and operational risks, some of which may not be fully covered by insurance. The occurrence of a significant accident or other event that is not fully insured could adversely affect our operations and financial condition.

Our operations are subject to the many hazards inherent in the gathering, compressing, processing, transporting, fractionating, disposing, and storage of natural gas, NGLs, condensate, crude oil, and brine, including:

damage to pipelines, facilities, storage caverns, equipment, and surrounding properties caused by hurricanes, floods, sink holes, fires, and other natural disasters and acts of terrorism;

inadvertent damage to our assets from construction or farm equipment;

leaks of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, condensate, and other hydrocarbons;

induced seismicity;

rail accidents, barge accidents, and truck accidents;

equipment failure; and

fires and explosions.

These risks could result in substantial losses due to personal injury and/or loss of life, severe damage to and destruction of property and equipment, and pollution or other environmental damage and may result in curtailment or suspension of our related operations. We are not fully insured against all risks incident to our business. In accordance with typical industry practice, we have appropriate levels of business interruption and property insurance on our underground pipeline systems. We are not insured against all environmental accidents that might occur. If a significant accident or event occurs that is not fully insured, it could adversely affect our operations and financial condition.

The adoption of derivatives legislation by the United States Congress and promulgation of related regulations could have an adverse effect on our ability to hedge risks associated with our business.

Comprehensive financial reform legislation was signed into law by the President on July 21, 2010. The legislation calls for the Commodities Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") to regulate certain markets for derivative products, including over-the-counter ("OTC") derivatives. The CFTC has issued several relevant regulations, and other rulemakings are pending at the CFTC, the product of which would be rules that implement the mandates in the legislation to cause significant portions of derivatives markets to clear through clearinghouses. While some of these rules have been finalized, some have not, and, as a result, the final form and timing of the implementation of the regulatory regime affecting commodity derivatives remains uncertain.

In particular, on October 18, 2011, the CFTC adopted final rules under the Dodd-Frank Act establishing position limits for certain energy commodity futures and options contracts and economically equivalent swaps, futures and options. The position limit levels set the maximum amount of covered contracts that a trader may own or control separately or in combination, net

long or short. The final rules also contained limited exemptions from position limits which would be phased in over time for certain bona fide hedging transactions and positions. The CFTC's original position limits rule was challenged in court by two industry associations and was vacated and remanded by a federal district court. The CFTC proposed and revised new rules in November 2013 and December 2016, respectively, that would place limits on positions in certain core futures and equivalent swaps contracts for or linked to certain physical commodities, subject to exceptions for certain bona fide hedging transactions. The CFTC sought comment on the position limits rules as reproposed and revised, but the new rules have not yet been issued in final form, and the impact of any final provisions on us is uncertain at this time.

The legislation and potential new regulations may also require counterparties to our derivative instruments to spin off some of their derivatives activities to separate entities, which may not be as creditworthy as the current counterparties. The legislation and any new regulations could significantly increase the cost of derivative contracts, materially alter the terms of derivative contracts, reduce the availability of derivatives to protect against risks we encounter, reduce our ability to monetize or restructure our existing derivative contracts, and increase our exposure to less creditworthy counterparties. If we reduce our use of derivatives as a result of the legislation and regulations, our results of operations may become more volatile and our cash flows may be less predictable, which could adversely affect our ability to plan for and fund capital expenditures and to generate sufficient cash flow to pay quarterly distributions at current levels or at all. Our revenues could be adversely affected if a consequence of the legislation and regulations is to lower commodity prices. Any of these consequences could have a material, adverse effect on us, our financial condition, and our results of operations.

Our use of derivative financial instruments does not eliminate our exposure to fluctuations in commodity prices and interest rates and has in the past and could in the future result in financial losses or reduce our income.

Our operations expose us to fluctuations in commodity prices, and the Consolidated Credit Facility and the Term Loan expose us to fluctuations in interest rates. We use over-the-counter price and basis swaps with other natural gas merchants and financial institutions. Use of these instruments is intended to reduce our exposure to short-term volatility in commodity prices. As of December 31, 2018, we have hedged only portions of our expected exposures to commodity price risk. In addition, to the extent we hedge our commodity price risk using swap instruments, we will forego the benefits of favorable changes in commodity prices.

Even though monitored by management, our hedging activities may fail to protect us and could reduce our earnings and cash flow. Our hedging activity may be ineffective or adversely affect cash flow and earnings because, among other factors:

hedging can be expensive, particularly during periods of volatile prices;

our counterparty in the hedging transaction may default on its obligation to pay or otherwise fail to perform; and available hedges may not correspond directly with the risks against which we seek protection. For example:

the duration of a hedge may not match the duration of the risk against which we seek protection;

variations in the index we use to price a commodity hedge may not adequately correlate with variations in the index we use to sell the physical commodity (known as basis risk); and

we may not produce or process sufficient volumes to cover swap arrangements we enter into for a given period. If our actual volumes are lower than the volumes we estimated when entering into a swap for the period, we might be forced to satisfy all or a portion of our derivative obligation without the benefit of cash flow from our sale or purchase of the underlying physical commodity, which could adversely affect our liquidity.

A failure in our computer systems or a terrorist or cyberattack on us, or third parties with whom we have a relationship, may adversely affect our ability to operate our business.

We are reliant on technology to conduct our business. Our business is dependent upon our operational and financial computer systems to process the data necessary to conduct almost all aspects of our business, including operating our pipelines, plants, truck fleet, and other facilities, recording and reporting commercial and financial transactions, and receiving and making payments. Any failure of our computer systems, or those of our customers, suppliers, or others with whom we do business, could materially disrupt our ability to operate our business. Unknown entities or groups have mounted so-called "cyberattacks" on businesses to disable or disrupt computer systems, disrupt operations, and steal funds or data including through so-called "phishing" schemes. Cyberattacks could also result in the loss of confidential or proprietary data or security breaches of other information technology systems that could disrupt our operations and critical business functions. In addition, our assets may be targets of terrorist activities that could disrupt our ability to conduct our business and have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. Strategic targets, such as energy-related assets, may be at greater risk of future terrorist or cyberattacks than other targets in the United States. Our insurance may not protect us against such occurrences. Any such terrorist or cyberattack that affects us or our customers, suppliers, or others with whom we do business could have a material

Table of Contents

adverse effect on our business, cause us to incur a material financial loss, subject us to possible legal claims and liability, and/or damage our reputation.

Moreover, as cyberattacks continue to evolve, we may be required to expend significant additional resources to further enhance our digital security or to remediate vulnerabilities. In addition, cyberattacks against us or others in our industry could result in additional regulations, which could lead to increased regulatory compliance costs, insurance coverage cost, or capital expenditures. We cannot predict the potential impact to our business or the energy industry resulting from additional regulations.

Our business is subject to complex and evolving U.S. laws and regulations regarding privacy and data protection ("data protection laws"). Many of these laws and regulations are subject to change and uncertain interpretation, and could result in claims, increased cost of operations, or otherwise harm our business.

The regulatory environment surrounding data privacy and protection is constantly evolving and can be subject to significant change. New data protection laws pose increasingly complex compliance challenges and potentially elevate our costs. Complying with varying jurisdictional requirements could increase the costs and complexity of compliance, and violations of applicable data protection laws can result in significant penalties. Any failure, or perceived failure, by us to comply with applicable data protection laws could result in proceedings or actions against us by governmental entities or others, subject us to significant fines, penalties, judgments, and negative publicity, require us to change our business practices, increase the costs and complexity of compliance, and adversely affect our business. As noted above, we are also subject to the possibility of cyberattacks, which themselves may result in a violation of these laws. Additionally, if we acquire a company that has violated or is not in compliance with applicable data protection laws, we may incur significant liabilities and penalties as a result.

Our success depends on key members of our management, the loss or replacement of whom could disrupt our business operations.

We depend on the continued employment and performance of the officers of the General Partner and key operational personnel. If any of these officers or other key personnel resign or become unable to continue in their present roles and are not adequately replaced, our business operations could be materially adversely affected. We do not maintain any "key man" life insurance for any officers.

Failure to attract and retain an appropriately qualified workforce could reduce labor productivity and increase labor costs, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Gathering and compression services require laborers skilled in multiple disciplines, such as equipment operators, mechanics, and engineers, among others. Our business is dependent on our ability to recruit, retain, and motivate employees. Certain circumstances, such as an aging workforce without appropriate replacements, a mismatch of existing skill sets to future needs, competition for skilled labor, or the unavailability of contract resources, may lead to operating challenges such as a lack of resources, loss of knowledge, or a lengthy time period associated with skill development. Our costs, including costs for contractors to replace employees, productivity costs, and safety costs, may rise. Failure to hire and adequately train replacement employees, including the transfer of significant internal historical knowledge and expertise to the new employees, or the future availability and cost of contract labor may adversely affect our ability to manage and operate our business. If we are unable to successfully attract and retain an appropriately qualified workforce, our results of operations could be negatively affected.

Subsidence and coastal erosion could damage our pipelines along the Gulf Coast and offshore and the facilities of our customers, which could adversely affect our operations and financial condition.

Our pipeline operations along the Gulf Coast and offshore could be impacted by subsidence and coastal erosion. Such processes could cause serious damage to our pipelines, which could affect our ability to provide transportation

services. Additionally, such processes could impact our customers who operate along the Gulf Coast, and they may be unable to utilize our services. Subsidence and coastal erosion could also expose our operations to increased risks associated with severe weather conditions, such as hurricanes, flooding, and rising sea levels. As a result, we may incur significant costs to repair and preserve our pipeline infrastructure. Such costs could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operation, or cash flows.

Our assets were constructed over many decades using varying construction and coating techniques, which may cause our inspection, maintenance, or repair costs to increase in the future. In addition, there could be service interruptions due to

Table of Contents

unknown events or conditions or increased downtime associated with our pipelines that could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Our pipelines were constructed over many decades. Pipelines are generally long-lived assets, and pipeline construction and coating techniques have varied over time and can vary for individual pipelines. Depending on the construction era and quality, some assets will require more frequent inspections or repairs, which could result in increased maintenance or repair expenditures in the future. Any significant increase in these expenditures could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

We do not have any unresolved staff comments.

Item 2. Properties

A description of our properties is contained in "Item 1. Business."

Title to Properties

Substantially all of our pipelines are constructed on rights-of-way granted by the apparent record owners of the property. Lands over which pipeline rights-of-way have been obtained may be subject to prior liens that have not been subordinated to the right-of-way grants. We have obtained, where necessary, easement agreements from public authorities and railroad companies to cross over or under, or to lay facilities in or along, watercourses, county roads, municipal streets, railroad properties, and state highways, as applicable. In some cases, property on which our pipeline was built was purchased in fee. Our processing plants are located on land that we lease or own in fee.

We believe that we have satisfactory title to all of our rights-of-way and land assets. Title to these assets may be subject to encumbrances or defects. We believe that none of such encumbrances or defects should materially detract from the value of our assets or from our interest in these assets or should materially interfere with their use in the operation of the business.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Our operations are subject to a variety of risks and disputes normally incident to our business. As a result, at any given time we may be a defendant in various legal proceedings and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, including litigation on disputes related to contracts, property use or damage, and personal injury. We may continue to see claims brought by landowners, such as nuisance claims and other claims based on property rights. Except as otherwise set forth herein, we do not believe that any pending or threatened claim or dispute is material to our financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. We maintain insurance policies with insurers in amounts and with coverage and deductibles that our Managing Member believes are reasonable and prudent. However, we cannot assure you that this insurance will be adequate to protect us from all material expenses related to potential future claims for personal and property damage or that these levels of insurance will be available in the future at economical prices.

At times, our subsidiaries acquire pipeline easements and other property rights by exercising rights of eminent domain and common carrier. As a result, from time to time we or our subsidiaries are party to lawsuits under which a court will determine the value of pipeline easements or other property interests obtained by our subsidiaries by condemnation. Damage awards in these suits should reflect the value of the property interest acquired and the diminution in the value of the remaining property owned by the landowner. However, some landowners have alleged

unique damage theories to inflate their damage claims or assert valuation methodologies that could result in damage awards in excess of the amounts anticipated. Although it is not possible to predict the ultimate outcomes of these matters, we do not expect that awards in these matters will have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

We (or our subsidiaries) are defending lawsuits filed by owners of property located near processing facilities or compression facilities that we own or operate as part of our systems. The suits generally allege that the facilities create a private nuisance and have damaged the value of surrounding property. Claims of this nature have arisen as a result of the industrial development of natural gas gathering, processing, and treating facilities in urban and occupied rural areas.

We own and operate a high-pressure pipeline and underground natural gas and NGL storage reservoirs and associated facilities near Bayou Corne, Louisiana. In August 2012, a large sinkhole formed in the vicinity of this pipeline and underground

Table of Contents

storage reservoirs, resulting in damage to certain of our facilities. In order to recover our losses from responsible parties, we sued the operator of a failed cavern in the area, and its insurers, as well as other parties we alleged to have contributed to the formation of the sinkhole seeking recovery for these losses. We also filed a claim with our insurers, which our insurers denied. We disputed the denial and sued our insurers, and we subsequently reached settlements regarding the entirety of our claims in both lawsuits. In August 2014, we received a partial settlement with respect to our claims in the amount of \$6.1 million. We secured additional settlement payments during 2017, which resulted in the recognition of "Gain on litigation settlement" of \$26.0 million on the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Unitholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common units are listed on the NYSE under the symbol "ENLC." On February 13, 2019, there were approximately 45,904 record holders and beneficial owners (held in street name) of ENLC common units. For equity compensation plan information, see the discussion under "Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Unitholder Matters—Equity Compensation Plan Information."

Unless restricted by the terms of the Consolidated Credit Facility or the Term Loan, we intend to pay distributions to our unitholders on a quarterly basis from our available cash less reserves for expenses, future distributions, and other uses of cash, including:

provisions for the proper conduct of our business;

paying federal income taxes, which we are required to pay because we are taxed as a corporation; maintaining cash reserves the board of directors of the Managing Member believes are prudent to maintain.

Our ability to pay distributions is limited by the Delaware Limited Liability Company Act, which provides that a limited liability company may not pay distributions if, after giving effect to the distribution, the company's liabilities would exceed the fair value of its assets. While our ownership of equity interests in the General Partner and ENLK are included in our calculation of net assets, the value of these assets may decline to a level where our liabilities would exceed the fair value of our assets if we were to pay distributions, thus prohibiting us from paying distributions under Delaware law.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

During the year ended December 31, 2018, we re-acquired ENLC common units from certain employees in order to satisfy the employees' tax liability in connection with the vesting of restricted incentive units.

Total

Maximum

			1 Otal	Maximum
			Number of	Number of
	Units Purchased	A	Units	Units that
		Average Price	Purchased	May Yet
Purchased		Paid Per Unit	as Part of	Be
			Publicly	Purchased
			Announced	under the
			Plans or	Plans or
			Programs	Programs
January 1, 2018 to January 31, 2018	140,878	\$ 17.86		
February 1, 2018 to February 28, 2018	956	18.60		
March 1, 2018 to March 31, 2018	58,825	15.30		
April 1, 2018 to April 30, 2018	3,942	14.49		
May 1, 2018 to May 31, 2018	68	15.40		
June 1, 2018 to June 30, 2018	3,001	17.01		
July 1, 2018 to July 31, 2018	72,553	15.32		
August 1, 2018 to August 31, 2018	56,422	17.27		
September 1, 2018 to September 30, 2018	2,434	16.75		
October 1, 2018 to October 31, 2018	167	16.45		
November 1, 2018 to November 30, 2018	1,374	11.86		

(1) The common units were not re-acquired pursuant to any repurchase plan or program.

Table of Contents

Performance Graph

The following graph sets forth the cumulative total stockholder return for our common units, the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index, and a peer group of publicly traded limited partnerships in the midstream natural gas, natural gas liquids, propane, and pipeline industries for the period from March 10, 2014 to the year ended December 31, 2018. The chart assumes that \$100 was invested on March 10, 2014, with distributions reinvested. The peer group includes MPLX LP, Energy Transfer LP, Targa Resources, Corp., and Western Gas Equity Partners, LP.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The historical financial statements included in this report reflect (1) for periods prior to March 7, 2014, the assets, liabilities, and operations of EnLink Midstream Holdings, LP, the predecessor to Midstream Holdings (the "Predecessor"), which is the historical predecessor of ENLK and (2) for periods on or after March 7, 2014, the results of our operations after giving effect to the Business Combination discussed under "Item 1. Business—General." The Predecessor was comprised of all of the U.S. midstream assets and operations of Devon prior to the Business Combination, including its 38.75% interest in GCF. However, in connection with the Business Combination, only the Predecessor's systems serving the Barnett, Cana-Woodford, and Arkoma-Woodford Shales in Texas and Oklahoma, as well as a 38.75% interest in GCF, were contributed to Midstream Holdings, effective as of March 7, 2014.

The following table presents our selected historical financial and operating data of ENLC and the Predecessor for the periods indicated. Financial and operating data for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, 2016, 2015, and 2014 reflect acquisitions and dispositions for periods subsequent to the applicable transaction date. The selected historical financial data should be read together with "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes in "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

	EnLink Midstream, LLC							
	Year Ended December 31,							
	2018		2017	2016		2015	2014 (1))
	(In milli	on	is, except p	er unit da	ta)	. ,	
Revenues:	•					•		
Product sales	\$6,512.3	3	\$4,358.4	\$3,008.9)	\$3,253.7	\$2,159.3	3
Product sales—related parties	41.0		144.9	134.3		119.4	505.6	
Midstream services	763.3		552.3	467.2		451.0	253.4	
Midstream services—related parties	377.2		688.2	653.1		618.6	567.4	
Gain (loss) on derivative activity	5.2		(4.2)	(11.1)	9.4	22.1	
Total revenues	7,699.0		5,739.6	4,252.4		4,452.1	3,507.8	
Operating costs and expenses:								
Cost of sales (2)	6,008.0		4,361.5	3,015.5		3,245.3	2,494.5	
Operating expenses (3)	453.4		418.7	398.5		419.9	283.6	
General and administrative (4)	140.3		128.6	122.5		136.9	97.3	
(Gain) loss on disposition of assets	0.4			13.2		1.2	(0.1)
Depreciation and amortization	577.3		545.3	503.9		387.3	284.3	
Impairments	365.8		17.1	873.3		1,563.4		
Gain on litigation settlement			(26.0)				(6.1)
Total operating costs and expenses	7,545.2		5,445.2	4,926.9		5,754.0	3,153.5	
Operating income (loss)	153.8		294.4	(674.5)	(1,301.9)	354.3	
Other income (expense):								
Interest expense, net of interest income	(182.3)	(190.4)	(189.5)	(103.3)	(49.8)
Gain on extinguishment of debt	_		9.0			_	3.2	
Income (loss) from unconsolidated affiliates	13.3		9.6	(19.9)	20.4	18.9	
Other income (expense)	0.6		0.6	0.3		0.8	(0.5)
Total other expense	(168.4)	(171.2)	(209.1)	(82.1)	(28.2)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before	(14.6	`	123.2	(883.6	`	(1,384.0)	226.1	
non-controlling interest and income taxes	(14.0	,	123.2	(865.0	,	(1,364.0)	320.1	
Income tax (provision) benefit	(18.2))	196.8	(4.6)	(25.7)	(76.4)
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	(32.8)	320.0	(888.2)	(1,409.7)	249.7	
Discontinued operations:								
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax				_		_	1.0	
Discontinued operations, net of tax				_		_	1.0	
Net income (loss)	(32.8)	320.0	(888.2)	(1,409.7)	250.7	
Less: Net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable	(19.6	`	107.2	(428.2	`	(1,054.5)	126.7	
to the non-controlling interest	(19.0	,	107.2	(420.2	,	(1,054.5)	120.7	
Net income (loss) attributable to ENLC	\$(13.2)	\$212.8	\$(460.0)	\$(355.2)	\$124.0	
Predecessor interest in net income	\$—		\$ —	\$—		\$—	\$35.5	
Devon investment interest in net income (loss)	\$—		\$ —	\$—		\$1.8	\$(2.0)
ENLC interest in net income (loss)	\$(13.2))	\$212.8	\$(460.0)	\$(357.0)	\$90.5	
Net income (loss) attributable to ENLC per unit:								
Basic common unit	\$(0.07		\$1.18				\$0.55	
Diluted common unit	\$(0.07)	\$1.17)		\$0.55	
Distributions declared per common unit	\$1.076		\$1.024	\$1.020		\$1.005	\$0.865	

Prior to March 7, 2014, our financial results only included the assets, liabilities, and operations of the Predecessor.

⁽¹⁾ Beginning on March 7, 2014, our financial results also consolidated the assets, liabilities, and operations of the legacy business of ENLK prior to giving effect to the Business Combination.

- $\text{(2)} \\ \text{Includes related party cost of sales of $114.1 \text{ million, $211.0 million, $150.1 million, $141.3 million, and $354.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively. }$
- (3) Includes related party operating expense of \$0.4 million, \$0.6 million, \$0.5 million, \$0.5 million, and \$5.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, 2016, 2015, and 2014, respectively.
- (4) Includes related party general and administrative expenses of \$11.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. Related party general and administrative expenses, if any, subsequent to December 31, 2014, were not material.

Table of Contents

EnLink Midstream, LLC Year Ended December 31,

2018 2017 2016 2015 2014

(In millions)

Balance Sheet Data (end of period):

 Property and equipment, net
 \$6,846.7
 \$6,587.0
 \$6,256.7
 \$5,666.8
 \$5,042.8

 Total assets
 10,694.1
 10,537.8
 10,275.9
 9,541.3
 10,206.7

 Long-term debt (including current maturities)
 4,430.8
 3,542.1
 3,295.3
 3,066.8
 2,022.5

 Members' equity including non-controlling interest
 4,974.2
 5,556.7
 5,265.6
 5,424.9
 7,074.8

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Please read the following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this report. In addition, please refer to the Definitions page set forth in this report prior to Item 1—Business.

In this report, the terms "Company" or "Registrant," as well as the terms "ENLC," "our," "we," "us," or like terms, are someting used as abbreviated references to EnLink Midstream, LLC itself or EnLink Midstream, LLC together with its consolidated subsidiaries, including ENLK and its consolidated subsidiaries. References in this report to "EnLink Midstream Partners, LP," the "Partnership," "ENLK," or like terms refer to EnLink Midstream Partners, LP itself or EnLink Midstream Partners, LP together with its consolidated subsidiaries, including the Operating Partnership and EOGP.

Overview

ENLC is a Delaware limited liability company formed in October 2013. Since we control the General Partner interest in ENLK, our consolidated results of operations are derived from the results of operations of ENLK and also include our deferred taxes, interest of non-controlling partners in ENLK's net income, interest income (expense), and general and administrative expenses not reflected in ENLK's results of operations. Accordingly, the discussion of our financial position and results of operations in this "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" primarily reflects the operating activities and results of operations of ENLK. ENLC's assets consist of equity interests in ENLK. ENLK primarily focuses on providing midstream energy services, including:

gathering, compressing, treating, processing, transporting, storing, and selling natural gas; fractionating, transporting, storing, and selling NGLs; and gathering, transporting, stabilizing, storing, trans-loading, and selling crude oil and condensate, in addition to brine disposal services.

Our midstream energy asset network includes approximately 11,000 miles of pipelines, 20 natural gas processing plants with approximately 4.9 Bcf/d of processing capacity, seven fractionators with approximately 280,000 Bbls/d of fractionation capacity, barge and rail terminals, product storage facilities, purchasing and marketing capabilities, brine disposal wells, a crude oil trucking fleet, and equity investments in certain joint ventures. We manage and report our activities primarily according to the nature of activity and geography. We have five reportable segments as of December 31, 2018:

Texas Segment. The Texas segment includes our natural gas gathering, processing, and transmission operations in North Texas and the Permian Basin;

Oklahoma Segment. The Oklahoma segment includes our natural gas gathering, processing, and transmission activities in the Cana-Woodford, Arkoma-Woodford, Northern Oklahoma Woodford, STACK, and CNOW shale areas;

Louisiana Segment. The Louisiana segment includes our natural gas pipelines, natural gas processing plants, storage facilities, fractionation facilities, and NGL assets located in Louisiana;

Crude and Condensate Segment. The Crude and Condensate segment includes ORV, our crude oil operations in the Permian Basin and Central Oklahoma, and our crude oil activities associated with VEX; and

Corporate Segment. The Corporate segment includes our unconsolidated affiliate investments in the Cedar Cove JV in Oklahoma, our ownership interest in GCF in South Texas, and our general corporate property, goodwill, and expenses.

We manage our operations by focusing on gross operating margin because our business is generally to gather, process, transport, or market natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate using our assets for a fee. We earn our fees through various fee-based contractual arrangements, which include stated fee-only contract arrangements or arrangements with fee-based components where we purchase and resell commodities in connection with providing the related service and earn a net margin as our fee. We earn our net margin under our purchase and resell contract arrangements primarily as a result of stated service-related fees that are deducted from the price of the commodity purchase. While our transactions vary in form, the essential element of most of our transactions is the use of our assets to transport a product or provide a processed product to an end-user or marketer at the tailgate of the plant, pipeline, or barge, truck, or rail terminal. We define gross operating margin as operating revenue minus cost of sales. Gross operating margin is a non-GAAP financial measure and is explained in greater detail under "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" below. Approximately 88% of our gross operating margin was derived from fee-based contractual arrangements with minimal direct commodity price exposure for the year ended December 31, 2018. We reflect revenue as "Product sales" and "Midstream services" on the consolidated statements of operations.

Devon is one of our primary customers. For the year ended December 31, 2018, approximately 36.4% of our gross operating margin was attributable to commercial contracts with Devon. For additional information about our significant customers, refer to "Item 1. Business—Credit Risks."

We generate revenues from eight primary sources:

gathering and transporting natural gas, NGLs, and crude oil on the pipeline systems we own;

processing natural gas at our processing plants;

fractionating and marketing recovered NGLs;

providing compression services;

providing crude oil and condensate transportation and terminal services;

providing condensate stabilization services;

providing brine disposal services; and

providing natural gas, crude oil, and NGL storage.

Our gross operating margins are determined primarily by the volumes of:

natural gas gathered, transported, purchased, and sold through our pipeline systems;

natural gas processed at our processing facilities;

NGLs handled at our fractionation facilities or transported through our pipeline systems;

erude oil and condensate handled at our crude terminals;

erude oil and condensate gathered, transported, purchased, and sold;

condensate stabilized:

brine disposed; and

natural gas, crude oil, and NGLs stored.

We gather, transport, or store gas owned by others under fee-only contract arrangements based either on the volume of gas gathered, transported, or stored or, for firm transportation arrangements, a stated monthly fee for a specified monthly quantity with an additional fee based on actual volumes. We also buy natural gas from producers or shippers at a market index less a fee-based deduction subtracted from the purchase price of the natural gas. We then gather or transport the natural gas and sell the natural gas at a market index, thereby earning a margin through the fee-based deduction. We attempt to execute substantially all purchases and sales concurrently, or we enter into a future delivery obligation, thereby establishing the basis for the fee we will receive for each natural gas transaction. We are also party to certain long-term gas sales commitments that we satisfy through supplies purchased under long-term gas purchase agreements. When we enter into those arrangements, our sales obligations generally match our purchase obligations.

However, over time, the supplies that we have under contract may decline due to reduced drilling or other causes, and we may be required to satisfy the sales obligations by buying additional gas at prices that may exceed the prices received under the sales commitments. In our purchase/sale transactions, the resale price is generally based on the same index at which the gas was purchased.

On occasion we have entered into certain purchase/sale transactions in which the purchase price is based on a production-area index and the sales price is based on a market-area index, and we capture the difference in the indices (also referred to as "basis spread"), less the transportation expenses from the two areas, as our fee. Changes in the basis spread can increase or decrease our margins or potentially result in losses. For example, we are a party to one contract associated with our North Texas operations with a term ending June 2019 that requires us to supply approximately 150,000 MMBtu/d of gas. We buy gas for this contract on several different production-area indices and sell the gas into a different market-area index. We realize a cash loss

on the delivery of gas under this contract each month based on current prices. The fair value of this performance obligation was recorded based on forecasted discounted cash obligations in excess of market prices under this gas delivery contract. As of December 31, 2018, the balance sheet reflects a liability of \$9.0 million related to this performance obligation. Unfavorable basis spreads in recent periods have increased the losses on this contract, and greater losses on this contract could occur in future periods if these conditions persist or become worse.

We typically buy mixed NGLs from our suppliers to our gas processing plants at a fixed discount to market indices for the component NGLs with a deduction for our fractionation fee. We subsequently sell the fractionated NGL products based on the same index-based prices. To a lesser extent, we transport and fractionate or store NGLs owned by others for a fee based on the volume of NGLs transported and fractionated or stored. The operating results of our NGL fractionation business are largely dependent upon the volume of mixed NGLs fractionated and the level of fractionation fees charged. With our fractionation business, we also have the opportunity for product upgrades for each of the discrete NGL products. We realize higher gross operating margins from product upgrades during periods with higher NGL prices.

We gather or transport crude oil and condensate owned by others by rail, truck, pipeline, and barge facilities under fee-only contract arrangements based on volumes gathered or transported. We also buy crude oil and condensate on Enlink's own gathering systems, third-party systems, and trucked from producers at a market index less a stated transportation deduction. We then transport and resell the crude oil and condensate through a process of basis and fixed price trades. We execute substantially all purchases and sales concurrently, thereby establishing the net margin we will receive for each crude oil and condensate transaction.

We realize gross operating margins from our gathering and processing services primarily through different contractual arrangements: processing margin ("margin") contracts, POL contracts, POP contracts, fixed-fee component contracts, or a combination of these contractual arrangements. See "Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk—Commodity Price Risk" for a detailed description of these contractual arrangements. Under any of these gathering and processing arrangements, we may earn a fee for the services performed, or we may buy and resell the gas and/or NGLs as part of the processing arrangement and realize a net margin as our fee.

Operating expenses are costs directly associated with the operations of a particular asset. Among the most significant of these costs are those associated with direct labor and supervision, property insurance, property taxes, repair and maintenance expenses, contract services, and utilities. These costs are normally fairly stable across broad volume ranges and therefore do not normally increase or decrease significantly in the short term with increases or decreases in the volume of gas, liquids, crude oil, and condensate moved through or by our assets.

Recent Developments

Simplification of the Corporate Structure. On October 21, 2018, ENLK, ENLC, the General Partner, the Managing Member, and NOLA Merger Sub entered into the Merger Agreement pursuant to which, on January 25, 2019, NOLA Merger Sub merged with and into ENLK, with ENLK continuing as the surviving entity and as a subsidiary of ENLC. See "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 19—Subsequent Events" for more information on the Merger and related transactions.

Transfer of EOGP interest. On January 31, 2019, ENLC transferred its 16.1% limited partner interest in EOGP to the Operating Partnership. See "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 19—Subsequent Events" for more information regarding this transaction.

Strategic Partner Update. On July 18, 2018, subsidiaries of Devon closed a transaction to sell all of their equity interests in ENLC, ENLK, and the Managing Member to GIP. See "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary

Data—Note 1—Organization and Summary of Significant Agreements" for more information regarding the GIP Transaction.

Organic Growth

Cajun-Sibon Pipeline. In 2018, we commenced an expansion of our Cajun-Sibon NGL pipeline capacity, which connects the Mont Belvieu NGL hub to our fractionation facilities in Louisiana. This is the third phase of our Cajun-Sibon system referred to as Cajun Sibon III, which will increase throughput capacity from 130,000 bbls/d to 185,000 bbls/d. We expect Cajun-Sibon III to be operational during the second quarter of 2019.

Avenger Crude Oil Gathering System. During 2018, we constructed a new crude oil gathering system in the northern Delaware Basin called Avenger. Avenger is supported by a long-term contract with Devon on dedicated acreage in their Todd and Potato Basin development areas in Eddy and Lea counties in New Mexico. We commenced initial operations on Avenger during the third quarter of 2018 and expect to begin full-service operations during the third quarter of 2019.

Central Oklahoma Plants. In December 2017, we commenced construction on our Thunderbird Plant to expand our Central Oklahoma processing capacity by an additional 200 MMcf/d gas processing plant. We expect to begin operations on the Thunderbird Plant during the second quarter of 2019.

Central Oklahoma Crude Oil Gathering Systems. In late March 2018, we completed construction of the first phase of Black Coyote. Black Coyote expands our operations in the core of the STACK play in Central Oklahoma and was built primarily to service acreage dedicated from Devon, which is the anchor customer on the system. In addition, we further expanded our crude oil gathering operations in the STACK through the construction of Redbud, which is supported by a contract with Marathon Oil Company. We commenced initial operations on Redbud during the third quarter of 2018.

Lobo Natural Gas Gathering and Processing Facilities. During the second quarter of 2018, we completed construction of an expansion to our Lobo II cryogenic gas processing plant, which brought total operational processing capacity at our Lobo facilities to 175 MMcf/d. We further expanded our natural gas processing capacity at our Lobo facilities through the construction of the Lobo III cryogenic gas processing plant, which was completed during the fourth quarter of 2018. Lobo III provides an additional 100 MMcf/d of operational capacity. An additional 100 MMcf/d of operational capacity will be completed during the first quarter of 2019.

Debt Issuances and Redemption

Term Loan. On December 11, 2018, ENLK entered into a three-year \$850.0 million unsecured term loan. Upon closing of the Merger, ENLC assumed ENLK's obligations under the term loan, and ENLK guaranteed ENLC's obligation thereunder. See "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 6—Long-Term Debt" for more information regarding this transaction.

Consolidated Credit Facility. On December 11, 2018, ENLC entered into the Consolidated Credit Facility, which was available upon the closing of the Merger. Upon closing of the Merger, ENLK became a guarantor of the Consolidated Credit Facility. See "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 6—Long-Term Debt" for more information regarding this transaction.

Redemption of Senior Unsecured Notes due 2022. On June 1, 2017, ENLK redeemed \$162.5 million in aggregate principal amount of its 7.125% senior unsecured notes (the "2022 Notes") at 103.6% of the principal amount, plus accrued unpaid interest, for aggregate cash consideration of \$174.1 million, which resulted in a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$9.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Issuance of 2047 Notes. On May 11, 2017, ENLK issued \$500.0 million in aggregate principal amount of its 5.450% senior unsecured notes due June 1, 2047 (the "2047 Notes") at a price to the public of 99.981% of their face value. Interest payments on the 2047 Notes are payable on June 1 and December 1 of each year. Net proceeds of approximately \$495.2 million were used to repay outstanding borrowings under the ENLK Credit Facility and for general partnership purposes.

Issuance of 2026 Notes. On July 14, 2016, ENLK issued \$500.0 million in aggregate principal amount of its 4.850% senior notes due 2026 (the "2026 Notes") at a price to the public of 99.859% of their face value. The 2026 Notes mature on July 15, 2026. Interest payments on the 2026 Notes are payable on January 15 and July 15 of each year. Net

proceeds of approximately \$495.7 million were used to repay outstanding borrowings under the ENLK Credit Facility and for general partnership purposes.

All of our outstanding senior notes were unaffected by the Merger.

Equity Issuances

Issuance of ENLK Common Units. For the year ended December 31, 2018, ENLK sold an aggregate of 2.6 million ENLK common units under the 2017 EDA, generating proceeds of \$46.1 million (net of \$0.5 million of commissions paid to the Sales Agents). ENLK used the net proceeds for general partnership purposes. In connection with the announcement of the Merger,

ENLK suspended solicitation and offers under the 2017 EDA. Following the consummation of the Merger, the 2017 EDA was terminated.

Issuance of Series C Preferred Units. In September 2017, ENLK issued 400,000 Series C Preferred Units representing ENLK limited partner interests at a price to the public of \$1,000 per unit. ENLK used the net proceeds of \$394.0 million for capital expenditures, general partnership purposes, and to repay borrowings under the ENLK Credit Facility. The Series C Preferred Units represent perpetual equity interests in ENLK and, unlike ENLK's indebtedness, will not give rise to a claim for payment of a principal amount at a particular date. As to the payment of distributions and amounts payable on a liquidation event, the Series C Preferred Units rank senior to ENLK's common units and to each other class of limited partner interests or other equity securities established after the issue date of the Series C Preferred Units that is not expressly made senior or on parity with the Series C Preferred Units. The Series C Preferred Units rank junior to the Series B Preferred Units with respect to the payment of distributions, and junior to the Series B Preferred Units and all current and future indebtedness with respect to amounts payable upon a liquidation event.

At any time on or after December 15, 2022, ENLK may redeem, at its option, in whole or in part, the Series C Preferred Units at a redemption price in cash equal to \$1,000 per Series C Preferred Unit plus an amount equal to all accumulated and unpaid distributions, whether or not declared. ENLK may undertake multiple partial redemptions. In addition, at any time within 120 days after the conclusion of any review or appeal process instituted by ENLK following certain rating agency events, ENLK may redeem, at its option, the Series C Preferred Units in whole at a redemption price in cash per unit equal to \$1,020 plus an amount equal to all accumulated and unpaid distributions, whether or not declared.

Distributions on the Series C Preferred Units accrue and are cumulative from the date of original issue and payable semi-annually in arrears on the 15th day of June and December of each year through and including December 15, 2022 and, thereafter, quarterly in arrears on the 15th day of March, June, September, and December of each year, in each case, if and when declared by the General Partner out of legally available funds for such purpose. The initial distribution rate for the Series C Preferred Units from and including the date of original issue to, but not including, December 15, 2022 is 6.0% per annum. On and after December 15, 2022, distributions on the Series C Preferred Units will accumulate for each distribution period at a percentage of the \$1,000 liquidation preference per unit equal to an annual floating rate of the three-month LIBOR plus a spread of 4.11%.

The Series C Preferred Units were unaffected by the Merger and remain outstanding.

Issuance of Series B Preferred Units. In January 2016, ENLK issued an aggregate of 50,000,000 Series B Preferred Units representing ENLK's limited partner interests to Enfield in a private placement for a cash purchase price of \$15.00 per Series B Preferred Unit (the "Issue Price"), resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$724.1 million after fees and deductions. Proceeds from the private placement were used to partially fund ENLK's portion of the purchase price payable in connection with the acquisition of our EOGP assets. Affiliates of Goldman Sachs and affiliates of TPG own interests in the general partner of Enfield. Prior to the close of the Merger on January 25, 2019, the Series B Preferred Units were convertible into ENLK common units on a one-for-one basis, subject to certain adjustments, (a) in full, at ENLK's option, if the volume weighted average price of a common unit over the 30-trading day period ending two trading days prior to the conversion date (the "Conversion VWAP") was greater than 150% of the Issue Price or (b) in full or in part, at Enfield's option. In addition, upon certain events involving a change of control of the General Partner or the Managing Member, all of the Series B Preferred Units would have automatically converted into a number of ENLK common units equal to the greater of (i) the number of ENLK common units into which the Series B Preferred Units would then convert and (ii) the number of Series B Preferred Units to be converted multiplied by an amount equal to (x) 140% of the Issue Price divided by (y) the Conversion VWAP.

The Series B Preferred Units will continue to be issued and outstanding following the Merger, except that certain terms of the Series B Preferred Units have been modified pursuant to an amended partnership agreement of ENLK. Subsequent to the modification, Series B Preferred Units will be exchangeable for ENLC common units in an amount equal to the number of outstanding Series B Preferred Units outstanding multiplied by the exchange ratio of 1.15, subject to certain adjustments (the "Series B Exchange Ratio"). The exchange is subject to ENLK's option to pay cash instead of issuing additional ENLC common units, and can occur in whole or in part at Enfield's option at any time, or in whole at our option, provided the daily volume-weighted average closing price of the ENLC common units (the "ENLC VWAP") exchange for the 30 trading days ending two trading days prior to the exchange is greater than 150% of the Issue Price divided by the conversion ratio of 1.15.

For each of the calendar quarters between March 31, 2016 through June 30, 2017, Enfield received a quarterly distribution equal to an annual rate of 8.5% on the Issue Price payable in-kind in the form of additional Series B Preferred Units. Beginning with the quarter ended September 30, 2017, Series B Preferred Unit distributions are payable quarterly in cash at an amount

equal to \$0.28125 per Series B Preferred Unit (the "Cash Distribution Component") plus an in-kind distribution equal to the greater of (A) 0.0025 Series B Preferred Units per Series B Preferred Unit and (B) an amount equal to (i) the excess, if any, of the distribution that would have been payable had the Series B Preferred Units converted into common units over the Cash Distribution Component, divided by (ii) the Issue Price.

Beginning with the quarter ending March 31, 2019, the holder of the Series B Preferred Units will be entitled to quarterly cash distributions and distributions in-kind of additional Series B Preferred Units as described below. The quarterly in-kind distribution (the "Series B PIK Distribution") will equal the greater of (A) 0.0025 Series B Preferred Units per Series B Preferred Unit and (B) the number of Series B Preferred Units equal to the quotient of (x) the excess (if any) of (1) the distribution that would have been payable by ENLC had the Series B Preferred Units been exchanged for ENLC common units but applying a one-to-one exchange ratio (subject to certain adjustments) instead of the Series B Exchange Ratio, over (2) the Cash Distribution Component, divided by (y) the Issue Price. The quarterly cash distribution will consist of the Cash Distribution Component plus an amount in cash that will be determined based on a comparison of the value (applying the Issue Price) of (i) the Series B PIK Distribution and (ii) the Series B Preferred Units that would have been distributed in the Series B PIK Distribution if such calculation applied the Series B Exchange Ratio instead of the one-to-one ratio (subject to certain adjustments).

Acquisitions, Organic Growth, and Asset Sales in 2016 and 2017

In January 2016, ENLK and ENLC acquired an 83.9% and 16.1% interest, respectively, in EOGP for aggregate consideration of approximately \$1.4 billion. The EOGP assets serve gathering and processing needs in the growing STACK and CNOW plays in Central Oklahoma and are supported by long-term, fixed-fee contracts with acreage dedications that, at the time of acquisition, had a weighted-average term of approximately 15 years.

In April 2016, we completed construction of the 100 MMcf/d Riptide processing plant in the Permian Basin.

In August 2016, we formed the Delaware Basin JV with NGP to operate and expand our natural gas, natural gas liquids, and crude oil midstream assets in the Delaware Basin. The Delaware Basin JV is owned 50.1% by us and 49.9% by NGP.

In October 2016, we completed construction of 60 MMcf/d of processing facilities for the initial phase of Lobo II. In the second quarter of 2017, we completed construction of an expansion of the Lobo II processing facility, which provided an additional 60 MMcf/d of processing capacity.

In November 2016, we formed the Cedar Cove JV with Kinder Morgan, Inc., which consists of gathering and compression assets in Blaine County, Oklahoma. We hold a 30% ownership interest of the Cedar Cove JV, and Kinder Morgan, Inc. holds the remaining 70% ownership interest.

In December 2016, we sold NTPL, a 140-mile natural gas transportation pipeline, for \$84.6 million. We maintain capacity on the NTPL at competitive rates and at levels sufficient to support current and expected operations. As a result of the sale, we recorded a loss of \$13.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2016.

In March 2017, we completed construction and began operations of the Greater Chickadee crude oil gathering system.

In March 2017, we completed the sale of our ownership interest in HEP for net proceeds of \$189.7 million. For the year ended December 31, 2016, we recorded an impairment of \$20.1 million to reduce the carrying value of our investment to the expected sales price. Upon the sale of HEP in March 2017, we recorded an additional loss of \$3.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 based on the adjusted sales price at closing.

In April 2017, we completed construction and began operating a new NGL pipeline through the Ascension JV. This NGL pipeline is a bolt-on project to our Cajun-Sibon NGL pipeline system and is supported by long-term, fee-based contracts with an affiliate of Marathon Petroleum Corporation.

In June 2017, we entered into a long-term, fee-based arrangement with an affiliate of ONEOK, Inc. ("ONEOK") under which ONEOK transports NGLs from our Chisholm processing facility to the Gulf Coast and our Cajun-Sibon NGL pipeline system.

In 2017, we completed construction of two new cryogenic gas processing plants, which included the Chisholm II plant

Table of Contents

completed in April 2017 and the Chisholm III plant completed in December 2017.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We include the following non-GAAP financial measures: cash available for distribution and gross operating margin.

Cash Available for Distribution

Prior to the Merger and the transfer of our interest in EOGP to the Operating Partnership, we calculated cash available for distribution as distributions due to us from ENLK, plus our interest in EOGP adjusted EBITDA (defined herein), less our share of maintenance capital attributable to our interest in EOGP, our specific general and administrative costs as a separate public reporting entity, the interest costs associated with our debt, and current taxes attributable to our earnings. ENLC's share of EOGP growth capital expenditures was funded by borrowings under the ENLC Credit Facility and is not considered in determining ENLC's cash flow available for distribution. In the following discussion of these non-GAAP financial measures, references to our interests in EOGP relate to our interest prior to the transfer of such interest to the Operating Partnership on January 31, 2019.

We also calculated cash available for distribution as net income (loss) of ENLC less the net income (loss) attributable to ENLK, which is consolidated into ENLC's net income (loss), plus ENLC's (i) share of distributions from ENLK, (ii) share of EOGP's non-cash expenses, (iii) deferred income tax (benefit) expense, (iv) corporate goodwill impairment, if any, and (v) successful transaction costs, if any, less ENLC's interest in maintenance capital expenditures of EOGP, and less third-party non-controlling interest share of net income (loss) from consolidated affiliates.

Cash available for distribution is a supplemental performance measure used by us and by external users of our financial statements, such as investors, commercial banks, research analysts, and others to measure ENLC's profitability and performance in creating value for its unitholders. As ENLC is a holding company without any direct operations, ENLC primarily generates value for its unitholders by generating returns on its investments in other entities and subsequently distributing these returns in cash to its unitholders. Therefore, cash available for distribution serves as an important measure of ENLC's profitability and serves as an indicator of ENLC's success in providing a cash return on its investments to its unitholders.

Maintenance capital expenditures include capital expenditures made to replace partially or fully depreciated assets in order to maintain the existing operating capacity of the assets and to extend their useful lives. Examples of maintenance capital expenditures are expenditures to refurbish and replace pipelines, gathering assets, well connections, compression assets, and processing assets up to their original operating capacity, to maintain pipeline and equipment reliability, integrity, and safety, and to address environmental laws and regulations.

The GAAP measure most directly comparable to cash available for distribution is net income (loss). Cash available for distribution should not be considered as an alternative to GAAP net income (loss). Cash available for distribution is not a presentation made in accordance with GAAP and has important limitations as an analytical tool. Investors should not consider cash available for distribution in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Because cash available for distribution excludes some items that affect net income (loss) and is defined differently by different companies in our industry, our definition of cash available for distribution may not be comparable to similarly-titled measures of other companies, thereby diminishing its utility.

The following is a calculation of our cash available for distribution (in millions):

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2018	2017	2016	
Distribution declared by ENLK associated with (1):				
General partner interest	\$2.5	\$2.5	\$2.1	
Incentive distribution rights	59.5	58.9	56.8	
ENLK common units owned	138.1	138.1	138.1	
Total share of ENLK distributions declared	\$200.1	\$199.5	\$197.0	
Adjusted EBITDA of EOGP (2)	40.3	22.3	9.0	
Transaction costs (3)	5.0	_	0.6	
Total cash available	\$245.4	\$221.8	\$206.6	
Uses of cash:				
General and administrative expenses	(9.9)	(4.8)	(2.8)	
Current income taxes (4)	(0.1)	2.2	(0.6)	
Interest expense	(4.0)	(2.5)	(1.4)	
Maintenance capital expenditures (5)	(0.4)	(0.2)	(0.1)	
Total cash used	\$(14.4)	\$(5.3)	\$(4.9)	
ENLC cash available for distribution	\$231.0	\$216.5	\$201.7	

Represents distributions paid to ENLC on February 14, 2019, November 13, 2018, August 13, 2018, May 14,

^{(1) 2018,} February 13, 2018, November 13, 2017, August 11, 2017, May 12, 2017, February 13, 2017, November 11, 2016, August 11, 2016 and May 12, 2016.

Represents ENLC's interest in EOGP adjusted EBITDA, which was disbursed to ENLC by EOGP on a monthly

⁽²⁾ basis. EOGP adjusted EBITDA is defined as earnings before depreciation and amortization and provision for income taxes and includes allocated expenses from ENLK.

Represents transaction costs attributable to costs incurred by ENLC related to the GIP Transaction and the Merger

⁽³⁾ for the year ended December 31, 2018, and costs incurred related to ENLC's acquisition of its 16.1% limited partner interest in EOGP, which are considered growth capital expenditures as part of the cost of the assets acquired for the year ended December 31, 2016.

⁽⁴⁾ Represents ENLC's stand-alone current tax expense or benefit.

⁽⁵⁾ Represents ENLC's interest in EOGP's maintenance capital expenditures, which is netted against the monthly disbursement of EOGP's adjusted EBITDA per (2) above.

Table of Contents

The following table provides a reconciliation our net income from continuing operations to our cash available for distribution (in millions):

	Year Ended December		
	2018	2017	2016
Net income (loss) of ENLC	\$(32.8)	\$320.0	\$(888.2)
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to ENLK	(28.0)	148.9	(565.2)
Net income (loss) of ENLC excluding ENLK	(4.8)	171.1	(323.0)
ENLC's share of distributions from ENLK (1)	200.1	199.5	197.0
ENLC's interest in EOGP's non-cash expenses (2)	12.7	17.4	14.3
ENLC deferred income tax (benefit) expense (3)	20.2	(170.6)	2.8
ENLC corporate goodwill impairment			307.0
Non-controlling interest share of ENLK's net (income) loss (4)	(2.0)	(1.1)	2.6
Other items (5)	4.8	0.2	1.0
ENLC cash available for distribution	\$231.0	\$216.5	\$201.7

Represents distributions paid to ENLC on February 13, 2019, November 13, 2018, August 13, 2018, May 14,

- (4) Represents NGP's 49.9% share of the Delaware Basin JV, Marathon Petroleum Corporation's 50% share of the Ascension JV, and other minor non-controlling interests.

 Represents ENLC's interest in EOGP's maintenance capital expenditures (which was netted against the monthly
- (5) related to the GIP Transaction and the Merger for the year ended December 31, 2018 and ENLC's acquisition of its 16.1% limited partner interest in EOGP for the year ended December 31, 2016, and other non-cash items not included in cash available for distribution.

disbursement of EOGP's adjusted EBITDA), transaction costs, primarily associated with costs incurred by ENLC

^{(1) 2018,} February 13, 2018, November 13, 2017, August 11, 2017, May 12, 2017, February 13, 2017, November 11, 2016, August 11, 2016, and May 12, 2016.

Includes depreciation and amortization and unit-based compensation expense allocated to EOGP, gains and losses on sale of property, and non-cash revenue recognized upon receipt of secured term loan receivable related to

⁽²⁾ contract restructuring (as discussed in "Item 1. Financial Statements— Note 2—Significant Accounting Policies" for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, and depreciation and amortization for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Represents ENLC's stand-alone deferred taxes. The deferred income tax benefit for the year ended December 31,

⁽³⁾²⁰¹⁷ included an adjustment to deferred income tax expense of \$185.7 million related to a reduction in ENLC's federal statutory rate from 35% to 21%.

Gross Operating Margin

We define gross operating margin as revenues less cost of sales. We present gross operating margin by segment in "Results of Operations." We disclose gross operating margin in addition to total revenue because it is the primary performance measure used by our management. We believe gross operating margin is an important measure because, in general, our business is to gather, process, transport, or market natural gas, NGLs, condensate, and crude oil for a fee or to purchase and resell natural gas, NGLs, condensate, and crude oil for a margin. Operating expense is a separate measure used by our management to evaluate operating performance of field operations. Direct labor and supervision, property insurance, property taxes, repair and maintenance, utilities, and contract services comprise the most significant portion of our operating expenses. We do not deduct operating expenses from total revenue in calculating gross operating margin because these expenses are largely independent of the volumes we transport or process and fluctuate depending on the activities performed during a specific period. The GAAP measure most directly comparable to gross operating margin is operating income (loss). Gross operating margin should not be considered an alternative to, or more meaningful than, operating income (loss) as determined in accordance with GAAP. Gross operating margin has important limitations because it excludes all operating costs that affect operating income (loss) except cost of sales. Our gross operating margin may not be comparable to similarly-titled measures of other companies because other entities may not calculate these amounts in the same manner.

The following table provides a reconciliation of operating income (loss) to gross operating margin (in millions):

C I		1 0	`
	Year End	ed Decemb	er 31,
	2018	2017	2016
Operating income (loss)	\$153.8	\$294.4	\$(674.5)
Add (deduct):			
Operating expenses	453.4	418.7	398.5
General and administrative expenses	140.3	128.6	122.5
Loss on disposition of assets	0.4	_	13.2
Depreciation and amortization	577.3	545.3	503.9
Impairments	365.8	17.1	873.3
Gain on litigation settlement	_	(26.0)	_
Gross operating margin	\$1,691.0	\$1,378.1	\$1,236.9

Results of Operations

The table below sets forth certain financial and operating data for the periods indicated. We manage our operations by focusing on gross operating margin, which we define as revenue less cost of sales as reflected in the table below (in millions, except volumes):

, _F · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Year Ended	l December	31,
	2018	2017	2016
Texas Segment			
Revenues	\$1,394.6	\$1,365.9	\$1,068.3
Cost of sales	(753.9)	(772.3)	(483.4)
Total gross operating margin	\$640.7	\$593.6	\$584.9
Louisiana Segment			
Revenues	\$3,501.2	\$2,931.6	\$2,001.5
Cost of sales	(3,158.7)	(2,618.1)	(1,729.0)
Total gross operating margin	\$342.5	\$313.5	\$272.5
Oklahoma Segment			
Revenues	\$1,297.7	\$874.8	\$437.0
Cost of sales	(744.0)	(522.9)	(184.9)
Total gross operating margin	\$553.7	\$351.9	\$252.1
Crude and Condensate Segment			
Revenues	\$2,745.3	\$1,453.6	\$1,176.5
Cost of sales	(2,596.4)	(1,330.3)	(1,038.0)
Total gross operating margin	\$148.9	\$123.3	\$138.5
Corporate Segment			
Revenues	\$(1,239.8)	\$(886.3)	\$(430.9)
Cost of sales	1,245.0	882.1	419.8
Total gross operating margin	\$5.2	\$(4.2)	\$(11.1)
Total		· · · · ·	,
Revenues	\$7,699.0	\$5,739.6	\$4,252.4
Cost of sales	(6,008.0)		
Total gross operating margin	\$1,691.0	\$1,378.1	\$1,236.9
Midstream Volumes:			
Texas Segment			
Gathering and Transportation (MMBtu/d)	2.255.800	2.262.900	2,622,600
Processing (MMBtu/d)	1,279,100		1,173,100
Louisiana Segment	-,,	-,,	-,-,-,-,-
Gathering and Transportation (MMBtu/d)	2.196.200	1.995.800	1.676.600
Processing (MMBtu/d)	431,200	453,300	490,300
NGL Fractionation (Gals/d)	6,584,400		
Oklahoma Segment	0,201,100	2,772,000	2,177,100
Gathering and Transportation (MMBtu/d)	1 204 700	829,300	626,300
Processing (MMBtu/d)	1,195,300	810,300	574,900
Crude and Condensate Segment	-,-,0,000	220,000	,,, 00
Crude Oil Handling (Bbls/d)	155,400	108,200	94,000
Brine Disposal (Bbls/d)	3,200	4,200	3,600
Disposar (Doisia)	-, - 00	.,_00	2,000

Year Ended December 31, 2018 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2017

Gross Operating Margin. Gross operating margin was \$1,691.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to \$1,378.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, an increase of \$312.9 million, or 22.7%, due to the following:

Texas Segment. Gross operating margin in the Texas segment increased \$47.1 million, which was primarily due to a \$42.7 million increase from our Permian Basin processing assets as a result of higher volumes due to continued development by our customers. In addition, there was a \$4.4 million increase in gross operating margin from our North Texas processing, gathering, and transmission assets due to volume increases associated with new development in the Barnett Shale. For the year ended December 31, 2018, the shortfall revenue from Devon-related MVCs was \$84.3 million compared to \$59.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Louisiana Segment. Gross operating margin in the Louisiana segment increased \$29.0 million, which was primarily due to an increase in our NGL transmission and fractionation gross operating margin due to additional NGL volumes received from our Oklahoma and Permian Basin assets and fees earned from the start-up of our Ascension JV assets in April 2017.

Oklahoma Segment. Gross operating margin in the Oklahoma segment increased \$201.8 million, which was primarily due to a \$156.3 million increase from higher volumes as a result of continued development by our customers. In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2018, we restructured a contract with a customer, which resulted in the recognition of \$45.5 million in revenue for the year ended December 31, 2018 (as discussed in "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 2—Significant Accounting Policies"). For the year ended December 31, 2018, the shortfall revenue from Devon-related MVCs was \$1.2 million compared to \$13.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Crude and Condensate Segment. Gross operating margin in the Crude and Condensate segment increased \$25.6 million, which was partially due to a \$14.9 million increase from ORV due to higher condensate stabilization volumes and improved margins from contract renegotiations. In addition, there was a \$5.9 million increase from our Permian Basin crude business as a result of increased trucking volumes, higher trucking fees, higher volumes due to continued expansion of our customer base on the Greater Chickadee gathering system, and the start of initial operations of Avenger. Additionally, gross operating margin increased \$2.5 million from the start of initial operations of our Central Oklahoma crude oil gathering systems and trucking business, and \$2.3 million due to higher volumes on VEX.

Corporate Segment. Gross operating margin in the Corporate segment increased \$9.4 million, due to the changes in fair value of our commodity swaps between the periods. For the year ended December 31, 2018, there were realized losses of \$4.9 million that were offset by unrealized gains of \$10.1 million. For the year ended December 31, 2017, there were realized losses of \$8.9 million that were partially offset by unrealized gains of \$4.7 million.

Certain gathering and processing agreements in our Texas, Oklahoma, and Crude and Condensate segments provide for quarterly or annual MVCs, including MVCs from Devon from certain of our Barnett Shale assets in North Texas and our Cana gathering and processing assets in Oklahoma. Under these agreements, our customers or suppliers (as "customers" and "suppliers" are determined per application of ASC 606) agree to ship and/or process a minimum volume of product on our systems over an agreed time period. If a customer or supplier under such an agreement fails to meet its MVC for a specified period, the customer is obligated to pay a contractually-determined fee based upon the shortfall between actual product volumes and the MVC for that period. Some of these agreements also contain make-up right provisions that allow a customer or supplier to utilize gathering or processing fees in excess of the MVC in subsequent periods to offset shortfall amounts in previous periods. We record revenue under MVC contracts during periods of shortfall when it is known that the customer cannot, or will not, make up the deficiency in

subsequent periods. Deficiency fee revenue is included in midstream services revenue.

Revenue recorded for the shortfall between actual product volumes the MVCs were as follows (in millions):

	Texas	Oklahoma	Crude and Condensate	Total
Year Ended December 31, 2018				
Midstream services (1)	\$41.0	\$ 53.4	\$ 5.2	\$99.6
Midstream services—related part	i ∉ 8.3	1.2	6.3	50.8
Total	\$84.3	\$ 54.6	\$ 11.5	\$150.4
Year Ended December 31, 2017				
Midstream services	\$0.8	\$ 16.1	\$ —	\$16.9
Midstream services—related part	i 6 9.2	13.8	8.9	81.9
Total	\$60.0	\$ 29.9	\$ 8.9	\$98.8

We restructured a natural gas gathering and processing contract that contained MVCs. As a result, we recognized \$45.5 million of midstream services revenue in the Oklahoma segment for the year ended December 31, 2018. For more information, see "See "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 2—Significant Accounting Policies."

On January 1, 2019, certain MVCs related to gathering and processing agreements with Devon for operations in the Texas and Oklahoma segments expired. These MVCs generated \$85.5 million and \$73.0 million in shortfall revenue for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. Additionally, on July 31, 2019, an MVC related to a transportation services agreement with Devon for operations in the Crude and Condensate segment will expire. This MVC generated \$11.5 million and \$8.9 million in shortfall revenue for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. For 2019, we expect revenues to decline related to the expired MVC agreements in the Texas and Oklahoma segments and the expiring MVC agreements in the Crude and Condensate segment. For additional information, refer to "Item 1. Business—Our Assets."

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses were \$453.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to \$418.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, an increase of \$34.7 million, or 8.3%. The primary contributors to the total increase by segment were as follows (in millions):

	Year E	nded	Change		
	Deceml	oer 31,	Change		
	2018	2017	\$	%	
Texas Segment	\$180.6	\$172.7	\$7.9	4.6	%
Louisiana Segment	108.3	101.3	7.0	6.9	%
Oklahoma Segment	89.2	64.6	24.6	38.1	%
Crude and Condensate Segment	75.3	80.1	(4.8)	(6.0)%
Total	\$453.4	\$418.7	\$34.7	8.3	%

Texas Segment. Operating expenses in the Texas segment increased \$7.9 million primarily due to expanded operations and higher utilities expense in the Permian Basin.

Louisiana Segment. Operating expenses in the Louisiana segment increased \$7.0 million primarily due to increased utilities, operational fees and services, labor and benefits charges, and materials and supplies expenses as a result of the start-up of the Ascension JV in April 2017 and higher volumes across our Louisiana assets.

Oklahoma Segment. Operating expenses in the Oklahoma segment increased \$24.6 million due to labor and benefit expenses from increased headcount, as well as an increase in materials and supplies, operational fees and services,

treater rentals, ad valorem tax, and compression service expenses as a result of expanded operations.

Crude and Condensate Segment. Operating expenses in the Crude and Condensate segment decreased \$4.8 million primarily due to decreases in third-party transportation charges and lower labor and benefit expenses.

Table of Contents

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses were \$140.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to \$128.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, an increase of \$11.7 million, or 9.1%. The primary contributors to the increase were as follows:

Wages and salaries increased due to a \$9.3 million increase in bonus expense as a result of strong financial performance and \$2.8 million in severance expense related to an organizational realignment in 2018;

Transaction costs increased \$8.1 million due to costs we incurred in 2018 related to the GIP Transaction and the Merger;

Unit-based compensation expense decreased \$7.0 million due to bonuses paid in the form of units, which vested immediately in March 2017, and was partially offset by accelerated vesting of units related to the GIP Transaction and an organizational realignment in 2018; and

Professional service fees decreased \$1.0 million.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expenses were \$577.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to \$545.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, an increase of \$32.0 million, or 5.9%. This increase was primarily due to increased depreciation expense of \$21.3 million, \$4.2 million, and \$2.0 million from completed projects at our Central Oklahoma, Delaware JV, and ORV assets, respectively, and accelerated depreciation expense due to a change in the useful lives of certain underutilized assets in our Louisiana segment of \$4.2 million.

Impairments. Impairment expense was \$365.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to impairment expense of \$17.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, an increase of \$348.7 million. For the year ended December 31, 2018, we recognized impairments on property and equipment related to the carrying values of certain non-core natural gas assets in the Louisiana segment of \$24.6 million and \$109.2 million related to non-core crude pipeline assets in the Crude and Condensate segment. In addition, we recognized a goodwill impairment for our Texas reporting unit of \$232.0 million. See "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 4—Goodwill and Intangible Assets" for additional information. For the year ended December 31, 2017, we recognized a \$17.1 million impairment on property and equipment, which related to the carrying values of rights-of-way that we are no longer using and an abandoned brine disposal well.

Gain on Litigation Settlement. We recognized a gain on litigation settlement of \$26.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2017. See "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 14—Commitments and Contingencies" for additional information.

Gain on Extinguishment of Debt. We recognized a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$9.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 due to the redemption of the 2022 Notes. See "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 6—Long-Term Debt" for additional information.

Interest Expense. Interest expense was \$182.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to \$190.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, a decrease of \$8.1 million, or 4.3%. Net interest expense consisted of the following (in millions):

Year Ended
December 31,
2018 2017
\$160.0 \$155.0
1.9 —

ENLK senior notes Term Loan

Edgar Filing: EnLink Midstream, LLC - Form 10-K

ENLK Credit Facility	22.3	9.5	
ENLC Credit Facility	3.7	2.2	
Capitalized interest	(7.0) (6.3)
Amortization of debt issue costs and net discount	4.3	29.3	
Other	(2.9	0.7	
Total interest expense, net of interest income	\$182.3	\$190.4	4

Income (loss) from Unconsolidated Affiliate Investments. Income from unconsolidated affiliate investments was \$13.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to income of \$9.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, an increase of \$3.7 million. The increase was primarily due to additional income of \$3.2 million from our GCF investment as a result of higher fractionation revenues and lower operating expenses and a \$3.4 million loss on the sale of our HEP investment

Table of Contents

for the year ended December 31, 2017. These increases were offset by a \$2.9 million decrease in income from our Cedar Cove JV for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Income Tax Benefit (Expense). Income tax expense was \$18.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to income tax benefit of \$196.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, an increase of tax expense of \$215.0 million primarily due to a change in tax rates. The income tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2017 was primarily due to an adjustment to deferred taxes related to a reduction in ENLC's federal statutory rate from 35% to 21% as a result of tax reform. See "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 7—Income Taxes" for additional information.

Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Non-controlling Interest. Net loss attributable to non-controlling interest was \$19.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to a net income of \$107.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, a decrease of \$126.8 million. The decrease was primarily due to higher impairment expense at ENLK for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Year Ended December 31, 2017 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2016

Gross Operating Margin. Gross operating margin was \$1,378.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to \$1,236.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, an increase of \$141.2 million, or 11.4%, due to the following:

Texas Segment. Gross operating margin in the Texas segment increased \$8.7 million, which was primarily due to a \$25.9 million increase in gross operating margin due to higher volumes from our expansion in the Permian Basin. This increase was partially offset by a \$17.2 million decrease in gross operating margin from our North Texas processing, gathering, and transmission assets due to volume declines across our North Texas system, including an \$11.5 million decrease due to the sale of the NTPL assets in December 2016. Although we experienced volume declines for certain of our Barnett-Shale assets, the impact of these volume declines on gross operating margin was offset by an increase in revenue earned from MVCs (as discussed in more detail below) under our contracts with Devon. For the year ended December 31, 2017 the shortfall revenue from Devon-related MVCs was \$59.2 million compared to \$26.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Louisiana Segment. Gross operating margin in the Louisiana segment increased \$41.0 million, which was primarily due to a \$34.2 million increase in gross operating margin from our NGL transmission and fractionation assets and a \$6.8 million increase in gross operating margin from our Louisiana gathering and transmission assets. The increase from our NGL business was primarily due to additional NGL volumes fractionated, including volumes received from our Oklahoma and Permian Basin assets, together with a \$9.3 million gross operating margin contribution from fees earned on our Ascension JV assets, which commenced operations in April 2017. The increase from our transmission assets was primarily due to volume increases on our Louisiana Intrastate Gas and Gulf Coast pipeline systems.

Oklahoma Segment. Gross operating margin in the Oklahoma segment increased \$99.8 million, which was primarily driven by a \$104.8 million increase from our Central Oklahoma assets as a result of higher volumes due to continued producer development in Oklahoma. This increase was partially offset by a \$5.1 million decrease in gross operating margin from our Northridge gathering and processing assets due to price and volume reductions under a third-party contract.

Crude and Condensate Segment. Gross operating margin in the Crude and Condensate segment decreased \$15.2 million, which was primarily due to a \$12.8 million decrease as a result of condensate stabilization volume declines and transportation rate decreases on our ORV assets and a decrease of \$8.4 million as a result of volume declines in our Permian Basin trucking business. The volume and rate declines throughout our Crude and Condensate segment

were primarily attributable to increased competition due to lower crude prices. These declines were partially offset by a \$4.8 million increase due to the Greater Chickadee gathering system, which became fully operational in the first quarter of 2017.

Corporate Segment. Gross operating margin in the Corporate segment increased \$6.9 million, which was due to the changes in fair value of our commodity swaps between periods. For the year ended December 31, 2017, there were unrealized gains of \$4.7 million, offset by realized losses of \$8.9 million. For the year ended December 31, 2016, there were unrealized losses of \$20.1 million, partially offset by realized gains of \$9.0 million.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses were \$418.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to \$398.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, an increase of \$20.2 million, or 5.1%. The primary contributors to the total increase by segment were as follows (in millions):

	Year Er	nded	Changa		
	Decemb	per 31,	Change	;	
	2017	2016	\$	%	
Texas Segment	\$172.7	\$168.5	\$4.2	2.5	%
Louisiana Segment	101.3	96.6	4.7	4.9	%
Oklahoma Segment	64.6	52.1	12.5	24.0	%
Crude and Condensate Segment	80.1	81.3	(1.2)	(1.5))%
Total	\$418.7	\$398.5	\$20.2	5.1	%

Louisiana Segment. Operating expenses in the Louisiana segment increased \$4.7 million primarily due to increases in materials and supplies expense of \$2.7 million, labor and benefits expense of \$1.7 million, utilities expense of \$1.3 million, and regulatory expense of \$1.0 million as a result of increased activity on our Louisiana systems, partially offset by reduced compressor rental expense of \$2.2 million resulting from the purchase of compressors.

Oklahoma Segment. Operating expenses in the Oklahoma segment increased \$12.5 million primarily due to increased property insurance costs of \$5.4 million, increased labor and benefits expense of \$3.5 million attributable to higher headcount, and to increased materials and supplies expense of \$3.7 million as a result of expanded operations.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses were \$128.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to \$122.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, an increase of \$6.1 million, or 5.0%. The primary contributors to the increase were as follows:

Unit-based compensation expense increased \$13.7 million due to bonuses paid in the form of units, which vested immediately in March 2017, and the accrual of annual bonuses for 2017;

Transaction costs decreased \$4.4 million and transition service fees decreased \$1.5 million due to the costs incurred during 2016 related to the EOGP acquisition, with no transaction or transition costs incurred for the year ended December 31, 2017;

Wages and salaries expense decreased \$3.6 million due to severance payments made during 2016 and a decrease in bonus expenses for the year ended December 31, 2017; and

We received a \$1.9 million franchise tax refund for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Loss on Disposition of Assets. For the year ended December 31, 2016 we recorded a loss on disposition of assets of \$13.2 million, which was primarily attributable to a \$13.4 million loss on sale of the NTPL.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expenses were \$545.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to \$503.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, an increase of \$41.4 million, or 8.2%. Of this increase, \$18.8 million was attributable to the plant expansion of our Permian Basin gathering and processing assets; \$15.8 million was attributable to the expansion of our Central Oklahoma assets; \$4.7 million was attributable to the Greater Chickadee gathering system; \$3.4 million was attributable to the acceleration of depreciation for some North Texas compressor stations decommissioned during 2017; and \$2.6 million was attributable to the Ascension JV assets. These increases were partially offset by a \$4.3 million decrease in depreciation expense related to the sale of the NTPL in December 2016.

Impairments. Impairment expense was \$17.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to \$873.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, a decrease of \$856.2 million, or 98.0%. In the first quarter of 2016, we recognized an impairment on goodwill of \$566.3 million related to our Texas and Crude and Condensate segments, as well as \$307.0 million related to our Corporate segment. For the year ended December 31, 2017, we recognized

property and equipment impairments of \$17.1 million, which related to the carrying values of rights-of-way that we are no longer using and an abandoned brine disposal well.

Interest Expense. Interest expense was \$190.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to \$189.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, a decrease of \$0.9 million, or 0.5%. Net interest expense consisted of the following (in millions):

	Year Ended			
	Decemb	er 31,		
	2017	2016		
ENLK senior notes	\$155.0	\$131.1		
ENLK Credit Facility	9.5	11.7		
ENLC Credit Facility	2.2	1.1		
Capitalized interest	(6.3)	(7.2)		
Amortization of debt issue costs and net discount	29.3	53.4		
Cash settlements on interest rate swaps	_	(0.4)		
Mandatory redeemable non-controlling interest	_	0.3		
Other	0.7	(0.5)		
Total interest expense, net of interest income	\$190.4	\$189.5		

Income (loss) from Unconsolidated Affiliate Investments. Income from unconsolidated affiliate investments was \$9.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to a loss of \$19.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, an increase of \$29.5 million. The increase was primarily due to a \$23.3 million loss from our investment in HEP for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to a \$3.4 million loss from the sale of HEP for the year ended December 31, 2017. The loss from our investment in HEP for the year ended December 31, 2016 was primarily due to the \$20.1 million impairment of our investment in HEP in the fourth quarter of 2016 to reduce the carrying value of our investment to the expected sale price. In addition, we generated increased income of \$9.2 million from our GCF investment for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to the year ended December 31, 2016 due to higher fractionation revenues and lower operating expenses.

Income Tax Benefit (Expense). Income tax benefit was \$196.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to income tax expense of \$4.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The income tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2017 was primarily due to an adjustment to deferred taxes related to a reduction in ENLC's federal statutory rate from 35% to 21% as a result of Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017. See "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 7—Income Taxes" for additional information.

Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Non-controlling Interest. Net income attributable to non-controlling interest was \$107.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to a net loss of \$428.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, an increase of \$535.4 million. The increase was primarily due to higher impairment expense at ENLK for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Critical Accounting Policies

The selection and application of accounting policies is an important process that has developed as our business activities have evolved and as the accounting rules have developed. Accounting rules generally do not involve a selection among alternatives but involve an interpretation and implementation of existing rules and the use of judgment to the specific set of circumstances existing in our business. Compliance with the rules involves reducing a number of very subjective judgments to a quantifiable accounting entry or valuation. We make every effort to properly comply with all applicable rules on or before their adoption, and we believe the proper implementation and consistent application of the accounting rules is critical.

Our critical accounting policies are discussed below. See "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data— Note 2—Significant Accounting Policies" for further details on our accounting policies and future accounting standards to be

adopted.

Revenue Recognition.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers ("ASU 2014-09"), which established ASC Topic 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. ASC 606 replaces previous revenue recognition requirements in GAAP and will require entities to recognize revenue at an amount that reflects the consideration to which they expect to be entitled in exchange for transferring goods or services to a customer. ASC 606 also requires significantly expanded disclosures containing qualitative and quantitative information regarding the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. In May 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-12, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Narrow-Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients ("ASU 2016-12"), which updated ASU 2014-09. ASU 2016-12 clarifies certain core recognition principles, including collectability, sales tax presentation, noncash consideration, contract modifications, and completed contracts at transition and disclosures no longer required if the full retrospective transition method is adopted. ASU 2014-09 and ASU 2016-12 are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those annual periods, and are to be applied using the modified retrospective or full retrospective transition methods. We have adopted ASC 606 using the modified retrospective method for annual and interim reporting periods that began January 1, 2018.

Based on our review of our performance obligations in our contracts with customers, we changed the consolidated statement of operations classification for certain transactions from revenue to cost of sales or from cost of sales to revenue. For the year ended December 31, 2018, the reclassification of revenues and cost of sales resulted in a net decrease in revenue of approximately \$671.0 million or 8.0%, compared to total revenues based on accounting prior to the adoption of ASC 606, with an equivalent net decrease in cost of sales. The change in total revenues as a result of the adoption of ASC 606 is made up of the following revenue line item changes (in millions):

Increase (Decrease) in Revenue Due to **ASC 606** Adoption Year Ended December 31, 2018 Product sales \$ (235 Product sales—related parties (52) Midstream services (357) Midstream services—related partie £27) \$ (671 Total

This change in accounting treatment had no impact on our operating income, net income, results of operations, financial condition, or cash flows.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets.

In accordance with ASC 360, Property, Plant, and Equipment, we evaluate long-lived assets, including related intangible assets, of identifiable business activities for potential impairment annually in the fourth quarter, and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable. The carrying amount of a long-lived asset is not recoverable when it exceeds the undiscounted sum of the future cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. Estimates of expected future cash flows represent

management's best estimate based on reasonable and supportable assumptions. When the carrying amount of a long-lived asset is not recoverable, an impairment is recognized equal to the excess of the asset's carrying value over its fair value, which is based on inputs that are not observable in the market, and thus represent Level 3 inputs.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, we determined that the undiscounted cash flows for two of our assets were not in excess of their carrying values. We estimated the fair values of these assets and determined that their fair values were not in excess of their carrying values, which resulted in impairments on property and equipment of \$24.6 million related to certain non-core natural gas pipeline assets in the Louisiana segment and \$109.2 million related to non-core crude pipeline assets in the Crude and Condensate segment.

For the year ended December 31, 2017, we recognized a \$17.1 million impairment on property and equipment, which related to the carrying values of rights-of-way that we are no longer using and an abandoned brine disposal well. There were no impairments on property and equipment recognized for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Impairment of Goodwill.

Goodwill is the cost of an acquisition less the fair value of the net identifiable assets of the acquired business. We evaluate goodwill for impairment annually as of October 31 and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. We first assess qualitative factors to evaluate whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as the basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform a goodwill impairment test. We may elect to perform a goodwill impairment test without completing a qualitative assessment.

Effective January 2017, we elected to early adopt ASU 2017-04, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350)—Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment, which simplified the accounting for goodwill impairments by eliminating the requirement to compare the implied fair value of goodwill with its carrying amount as part of step two of the goodwill impairment test referenced in ASC 350, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other. As a result, an entity should perform its annual or interim goodwill impairment test by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount. An impairment charge should be recognized for the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the reporting unit's fair value. However, the impairment recognized should not exceed the total amount of goodwill allocated to that reporting unit. Therefore, our annual impairment tests as of October 31, 2018 and October 31, 2017 were performed according to ASU 2017-04.

For additional information about our goodwill impairment tests, refer to "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data— Note 4—Goodwill and Intangible Assets."

Goodwill Impairment Analysis for the Year Ended December 31, 2018

During our annual goodwill impairment test for 2018, which was performed as of October 31, 2018, we determined, based upon our qualitative assessment, that no impairments of goodwill were required as of that date. However, subsequent to October 31, 2018, we determined that due to a significant decline in our unit price, a change in circumstances had occurred that warranted a quantitative impairment test. Based on this triggering event, we performed a quantitative goodwill impairment analysis as of December 31, 2018. Based on this analysis, a goodwill impairment loss for our Texas reporting unit in the amount of \$232.0 million was recognized in the fourth quarter of 2018 and is included in impairments in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2018. Substantially all of the goodwill for our Texas reporting unit was recorded as a result of our Business Combination in March 2014.

We concluded that the fair value of our Oklahoma and Corporate reporting units exceeded their carrying values, and the amounts of goodwill disclosed on the consolidated balance sheet associated with these reporting units were recoverable. Therefore, no goodwill impairment was identified or recorded for these reporting units as a result of our quantitative impairment test.

Goodwill Impairment Analysis for the Year Ended December 31, 2017

During our annual impairment test for 2017, performed as of October 31, 2017, we determined that no impairments were required for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Goodwill Impairment Analysis for the Year Ended December 31, 2016

During February 2016, we determined that continued weakness in the overall energy sector, driven by low commodity prices together with a decline in our unit price subsequent to year-end, caused a change in circumstances warranting an interim impairment test. Based on these triggering events, we performed a goodwill impairment analysis in the first

quarter of 2016 on all reporting units. Based on this analysis, a goodwill impairment for our Texas, Crude and Condensate, and Corporate reporting units in the amount of \$873.3 million was recognized in the first quarter of 2016 and is included as impairments in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2016.

We concluded that the fair value of our Oklahoma reporting unit exceeded its carrying value, and the amount of goodwill disclosed on the consolidated balance sheet associated with this reporting unit was recoverable. Therefore, no goodwill impairment was identified or recorded for this reporting unit as a result of our goodwill impairment analysis.

During our annual impairment test for 2016, performed as of October 31, 2016, we determined that no further impairments were required for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flows from Operating Activities. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$847.6 million, \$700.1 million, and \$666.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 respectively. Operating cash flows and changes in working capital for comparative periods were as follows (in millions):

Operating cash flows before changes in working capital increased \$163.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to the year ended December 31, 2017. This increase was primarily due to a \$262.2 million increase in gross operating margin, excluding gains and losses on derivative activity and excluding non-cash revenue recognized from the restructuring of a contract (as discussed in "Item 8. Financial Statements— Note 2—Significant Accounting Policies"). The increase in operating cash flows was partially offset by a \$16.9 million increase in interest expense, excluding amortization of debt issue costs and net discounts, as well as a \$26.0 million gain on litigation settlement recognized for the year ended December 31, 2017. The remaining difference is due to higher cash paid for operating expenses and general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Operating cash flows before changes in working capital increased \$117.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to the year ended December 31, 2016. This increase was primarily due to a \$134.3 million increase in gross operating margin, excluding gains and losses on derivative activity, and a \$26.0 million gain on litigation settlement, partially offset by a \$25.0 million increase in interest expense, excluding amortization of debt issue costs and net discounts, and a \$21.7 million decrease in cash received on derivative settlements.

The changes in working capital for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 were primarily due to fluctuations in trade receivable and payable balances due to timing of collection and payments and changes in inventory balances attributable to normal operating fluctuations.

As of December 31, 2018, we had \$323.6 million of federal net operating loss carryforwards. Historically, we have had net operating losses that eliminated substantially all of our taxable income, and thus, we have not historically paid significant amounts of income taxes. We anticipate generating net operating losses for tax purposes during 2019, and as a result, do not expect to incur material amounts of federal and state income tax liabilities. In the event that we do generate taxable income that exceeds our utilizable net operating loss carryforwards, federal and state income tax liabilities will increase cash taxes paid.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities. Net cash used in investing activities was \$826.3 million, \$610.8 million, and \$1,380.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively. Our primary investing cash flows were as follows (in millions):

Year Ended December 31.

Tour Ended December 31			
2018	2017	2016	
\$(800.3)	\$(758.4)	\$(632.5)	
(42.8)	(32.4)	(30.5)	
		791.5	
_	189.7		
1.9	2.3	93.1	
(0.1)	(12.6)	(73.8)	
	2018 \$(800.3) (42.8) — — 1.9	2018 2017 \$(800.3) \$(758.4) (42.8) (32.4) — — 189.7 1.9 2.3	

Distribution from unconsolidated affiliates in excess of earnings 6.9 0.2 54.6

Growth capital expenditures increased \$41.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to the year ended December 31, 2017. The increase was primarily due to capital expenditures related to Avenger and the Lobo III gas processing plant in the Delaware Basin during 2018. Growth capital expenditures increased \$125.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to the year ended December 31, 2016. The increase was primarily due to capital expenditures related to the expansion of the Central Oklahoma assets and the Lobo processing facilities, as well as expenditures for the Greater Chickadee crude oil gathering system in the Permian Basin and the Ascension JV assets in Louisiana.

Maintenance capital expenditures increased by \$10.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to the year ended December 31, 2017. The increase was primarily due to a larger asset base and timing of expenditures. Maintenance capital expenditures increased slightly by \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to the year ended December 31, 2016.

There were no acquisitions for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017. For the year ended December 31, 2016, we acquired the EOGP assets.

In December 2016, we entered into an agreement to sell our ownership interest in HEP. We finalized the sale in March 2017 and received net proceeds of \$189.7 million. We received proceeds from sale of property of \$93.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. These proceeds were primarily from the sale of the NTPL in December 2016 for \$84.6 million.

Investments and distributions from unconsolidated affiliate investments are determined by our contribution and distribution activity with our GCF, HEP, and Cedar Cove JV investments for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016. We formed the Cedar Cove JV with Kinder Morgan, Inc. during November 2016 and sold our ownership interest in our HEP investment during March 2017. See "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 10—Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliates" for investment and distribution activity.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities. Net cash provided by financing activities were \$47.9 million and \$707.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2016, respectively. Net cash used in financing activities was \$69.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2017. Our primary financing activities consisted of the following (in millions):

	Year Ended	
	December 31	,
	201 2 017	2016
Net repayments on the ENLK Credit Facility	\$-\$(120.0)	\$(294.2)
Net borrowings on the ENLC Credit Facility	36.846.8	27.8
ENLK unsecured senior notes borrowings, net of notes extinguished	— 331.6	499.3
Proceeds from the Term Loan	850 .0 -	_
Proceeds from issuance of ENLK common units	46.1106.9	167.5
Contributions by non-controlling interest	90.257.3	167.9
Payment of installment payable for EOGP acquisition	(2)5(02)5(0.0)	_
Proceeds from issuance of ENLK Series C Preferred Units	— 394.0	_
Proceeds from issuance of ENLK Series B Preferred Units		724.1

On December 11, 2018, ENLK entered into a Term Loan due December 11, 2021, and used the net proceeds to repay borrowings under the ENLK Credit Facility. At the closing of the Merger, ENLC assumed the Term Loan, and ENLK became a guarantor of ENLC's obligations under the Term Loan. Also, at the closing of the Merger the ENLK Credit Facility was terminated and ENLK became a guarantor of the Consolidated Credit Facility. See "Item 8. Financial Statements— Note 6—Long-Term Debt" for additional information.

On May 11, 2017, ENLK issued \$500.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 5.450% senior unsecured notes due June 1, 2047 at a price to the public of 99.981% of their face value. The net proceeds of approximately \$495.2 million were used to repay outstanding borrowings under the ENLK Credit Facility and for general partnership purposes. For the year ended December 31, 2017, ENLK redeemed \$162.5 million in aggregate principal amount of our 7.125% senior unsecured notes due June 1, 2022 at 103.6% of the principal amount, plus accrued unpaid interest,

for aggregate cash consideration of \$174.1 million, which included payments for accrued interest of \$5.8 million.

On July 14, 2016, ENLK issued \$500.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 4.850% senior notes due 2026 (the "2026 Notes") at a price to the public of 99.859% of their face value. The 2026 Notes mature on July 15, 2026. Interest payments on the 2026 Notes are payable on January 15 and July 15 of each year. Net proceeds of approximately \$495.7 million were used to repay outstanding borrowings under the ENLK Credit Facility and for general partnership purposes.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, ENLK sold an aggregate of 2.6 million common units under the 2017 EDA, generating proceeds of \$46.1 million (net of \$0.5 million of commissions paid to the Sales Agents). ENLK used the net

Table of Contents

Distributions to members

proceeds for general partnership purposes. In connection with the announcement of the Merger, ENLK suspended solicitation and offers under the 2017 EDA. Following the consummation of the Merger, the 2017 EDA was terminated.

For the year ended December 31, 2017, ENLK sold an aggregate of 6.2 million common units, generating net proceeds of \$106.9 million. For the year ended December 31, 2016, we sold an aggregate of 10.0 million common units, generating net proceeds of \$167.5 million.

In September 2017, ENLK issued 400,000 Series C Preferred Units for net proceeds of \$394.0 million. See "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 8—Certain Provisions of the Partnership Agreement" for additional information.

In January 2016, ENLK issued an aggregate of 50,000,000 Series B Preferred Units for net proceeds of \$724.1 million. See "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 8—Certain Provisions of the Partnership Agreement" for additional information.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, contributions by non-controlling partners included \$90.5 million from NGP to the Delaware Basin JV. For the year ended December 31, 2017, contributions by non-controlling interests included \$54.4 million from NGP to the Delaware Basin JV and \$2.9 million from Marathon Petroleum Corporation to the Ascension JV. For the year ended December 31, 2016, contributions by non-controlling partners included \$144.4 million in contributions from NGP to the Delaware Basin JV, which consisted of an initial contribution of \$114.3 million that the Delaware Basin JV distributed to us at the formation of the joint venture to reimburse us for capital spent to the date of formation on existing assets, as well as \$30.1 million for NGP's share of ongoing projects. For the year ended December 31, 2016, contributions by non-controlling interests also included \$23.5 million from Marathon Petroleum Corporation to the Ascension JV.

For the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, ENLK made the final two \$250.0 million payments under the installment payable obligation related to the EOGP acquisition.

Distributions to unitholders and our non-controlling interests also represent a primary use of cash in financing activities. Total cash distributions made for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 were as follows (in millions):

Year Ended December 31. 2018 2017 2016 \$194.8 \$186.0 \$185.4 Distributions to non-controlling interest (1) 517.2 433.7 384.2

Distributions to non-controlling interests included ENLK's distributions on common units not held by ENLC, (1) distributions to NGP for its ownership in the Delaware Basin JV, distributions to Marathon Petroleum Corporation for its ownership in the Ascension JV, and distributions to the non-controlling interest in one of our joint ventures in ORV.

Series B Preferred Unit distributions for 2016 and for the first two quarters for 2017 were paid in-kind in the form of additional Series B Preferred Units. As these were non-cash distributions, they were not reflected in our financing cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016. For the period beginning with the quarter ended September 30, 2017 through the quarter ended December 31, 2018, ENLK paid Series B Preferred Unit distributions in cash at an amount per quarter equal to \$0.28125 per Series B Preferred Unit (the "Cash Distribution Component") plus an in-kind distribution equal to the greater of (a) 0.0025 Series B Preferred Units per Series B Preferred Unit and

(b) an amount equal to (i) the excess, if any, of the distributions that would have been payable had the Series B Preferred Units converted into common units for that quarter over the Cash Distribution Component, divided by (ii) the issue price of \$15.00. For the year ended December 31, 2018, distributions to non-controlling interests included \$65.0 million from the issuance of Series B Preferred Units.

Distributions on the Series C Preferred Units accrue and are cumulative from the date of original issue and payable semi-annually in arrears on the 15th day of June and December of each year through and including December 15, 2022 and, thereafter, quarterly in arrears on the 15th day of March, June, September and December of each year, in each case, if and when declared by the General Partner out of legally available funds for such purpose. The initial distribution rate for the Series C Preferred Units from and including the date of original issue to, but not including, December 15, 2022 is 6.0% per annum. On and after December 15, 2022, distributions on the Series C Preferred Units will accumulate for each distribution period at a percentage of the \$1,000 liquidation preference per unit equal to an annual floating rate of the three-month LIBOR plus a spread of 4.11%.

Table of Contents

Distributions to non-controlling interest also include distributions paid on ENLK common units and distributions made to our joint venture partners. For the year ended December 31, 2018, distributions to non-controlling interests included distributions to NGP for its ownership in the Delaware Basin JV, distributions to Marathon Petroleum Corporation for its ownership in the Ascension JV, and distributions to other minor non-controlling interests.

Uncertainties. Our operations could be subject to changing environmental rules and regulations, the outcomes of which are currently unknown. See "Item 1. Business—Environmental Matters" for additional information.

Capital Requirements. We consider a number of factors in determining whether our capital expenditures are growth capital expenditures or maintenance capital expenditures. Growth capital expenditures generally include capital expenditures made for acquisitions or capital improvements that we expect will increase our asset base, operating income, or operating capacity over the long-term. Examples of growth capital expenditures include the acquisition of assets and the construction or development of additional pipeline, storage, well connections, gathering, or processing assets, in each case, to the extent such capital expenditures are expected to expand our asset base, operating capacity, or our operating income.

Maintenance capital expenditures include capital expenditures made to replace partially or fully depreciated assets in order to maintain the existing operating capacity of the assets and to extend their useful lives. Examples of maintenance capital expenditures are expenditures to refurbish and replace pipelines, gathering assets, well connections, compression assets, and processing assets up to their original operating capacity, or to maintain pipeline and equipment reliability, integrity, and safety and to address environmental laws and regulations.

We expect our 2019 growth capital expenditures, including capital contributions to our unconsolidated affiliate investments, to be approximately \$605 million to \$775 million, of which we expect \$40 million to \$50 million to come from our joint venture partners. We expect our 2019 maintenance capital expenditures to be \$40 million to \$60 million. Our primary capital projects for 2019 include the completion of construction of the Thunderbird Plant, Avenger, the Lobo III processing plant in the Delaware Basin, the expansion of Cajun Sibon III, and continued development of our existing systems. See "Recent Developments" for further details.

We expect to fund growth capital expenditures from the proceeds of borrowings under the Consolidated Credit Facility, operating cash flows, and proceeds from other debt and equity sources, including capital contributions by joint venture partners that relate to the non-controlling interest share of our consolidated entities. We expect to fund our maintenance capital expenditures from operating cash flows. In 2019, it is possible that not all of our planned projects will be commenced or completed. Our ability to pay distributions to our unitholders, to fund planned capital expenditures, and to make acquisitions will depend upon our future operating performance, which will be affected by prevailing economic conditions in the industry, financial, business, and other factors, some of which are beyond our control.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements. We had no off-balance sheet arrangements as of December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016.

Total Contractual Cash Obligations. A summary of our total contractual cash obligations as of December 31, 2018 is as follows (in millions):

		Payments Due by Period						
		Total	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Thereafter
]	Long-term debt obligations (1)	\$3,500.0	\$400.0	\$—	\$—	\$ —	\$—	\$3,100.0
-	Term Loan	850.0			850.0			
1	ENLC Credit Facility	111.4	111.4	_	_	_	_	
]	Interest payable on senior unsecured notes	2,413.5	154.5	149.2	149.2	149.2	149.2	1,662.2
(Capital lease obligations	2.7	1.5	1.2	_	_	_	
(Operating lease obligations	100.3	14.1	10.3	8.7	8.6	8.8	49.8
]	Purchase obligations	29.3	29.3		_			
]	Delivery contract obligation	9.0	9.0	_	_	_	_	
	Pipeline and trucking capacity and deficiency agreements (2)	201.8	40.5	32.9	32.8	28.1	25.5	42.0
]	(nactive easement commitment (3)	10.0	_	_	_	10.0		_
-	Total contractual obligations	\$7,228.0	\$760.3	\$193.6	\$1,040.7	\$195.9	\$183.5	\$4,854.0

^{(1)\$400.0} million in aggregate principal amount of ENLK's 2.7% senior unsecured notes mature on April 1, 2019.

The above table does not include any physical or financial contract purchase commitments for natural gas and NGLs due to the nature of both the price and volume components of such purchases, which vary on a daily or monthly basis. Additionally, we do not have contractual commitments for fixed price and/or fixed quantities of any material amount.

The interest payable under the Consolidated Credit Facility and the Term Loan are not reflected in the above table because such amounts depend on the outstanding balances and interest rates of the Consolidated Credit Facility and the Term Loan, which vary from time to time.

Our contractual cash obligations for the remainder of 2019 are expected to be funded from cash flows generated from our operations, asset sales, and other debt and equity sources.

Indebtedness

Prior to the closing of the Merger, the ENLC Credit Facility was a \$250.0 million revolving credit facility that would have matured on March 7, 2019 and included a \$125.0 million letter of credit subfacility. As of December 31, 2018, there were no outstanding letters of credit and \$111.4 million in outstanding borrowings under the ENLC Credit Facility. In December 2018, we entered into the Consolidated Credit Facility, which permits us to borrow up to \$1.75 billion on a revolving credit basis and includes a \$500.00 million letter of credit subfacility. At the closing of the Merger, the Consolidated Credit Facility became available for borrowings and letters of credit, and ENLK became a guarantor under the Consolidated Credit Facility. Subsequent to the closing of the Merger, the ENLC Credit Facility was canceled, and all outstanding borrowings were refinanced through borrowings on the Consolidated Credit Facility. Since the borrowings under the ENLC Credit Facility were refinanced with long-term debt, they are classified as "Long-term debt" on the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2018.

Prior to the closing of the Merger, the ENLK Credit Facility was a \$1.5 billion unsecured revolving credit facility that matured on March 6, 2020, which included a \$500.0 million letter of credit subfacility. As of December 31, 2018, ENLK had no borrowings under the ENLK Credit Facility, and there were \$9.8 million letters of credit outstanding. Upon the closing of the Merger, the ENLK Credit Facility was canceled.

⁽²⁾ Consists of pipeline capacity payments for firm transportation and deficiency agreements.

⁽³⁾ Amounts related to inactive easements paid as utilized by us with balance due in 2022 if not utilized.

In December 2018, ENLK entered into the Term Loan and used the net proceeds to repay borrowings under the ENLK Credit Facility. At the closing of the Merger, the Term Loan was assumed by us, and ENLK became a guarantor of the Term Loan.

Table of Contents

In addition, as of December 31, 2018 ENLK has \$3.5 billion in aggregate principal amount of outstanding unsecured senior notes with \$400.0 million maturing in April 2019 and the remaining amount maturing from 2024 to 2047.

See "Item 8. Financial Statements—Note 6—Long-Term Debt" for more information on our outstanding debt instruments.

Credit Risk

Risks of nonpayment and nonperformance by our customers are a major concern in our business. We are subject to risks of loss resulting from nonpayment or nonperformance by our customers and other counterparties, such as our lenders and hedging counterparties. Any increase in the nonpayment and nonperformance by our customers could adversely affect our results of operations and reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

Inflation

Inflation in the United States has been relatively low in recent years in the economy as a whole. The midstream natural gas industry's labor and material costs remained relatively unchanged in 2016, 2017, and 2018. Although the impact of inflation has been insignificant in recent years, it is still a factor in the United States economy and may increase the cost to acquire or replace property and equipment and may increase the costs of labor and supplies. To the extent permitted by competition, regulation, and our existing agreements, we have and will continue to pass along increased costs to our customers in the form of higher fees.

Environmental

Our operations are subject to environmental laws and regulations adopted by various governmental authorities in the jurisdictions in which these operations are conducted. We believe we are in material compliance with all applicable laws and regulations. For a more complete discussion of the environmental laws and regulations that impact us, see "Item 1. Business—Environmental Matters."

Contingencies

See "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 14—Commitments and Contingencies."

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 2—Significant Accounting Policies" for more information on recently issued and adopted accounting pronouncements.

Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements that are based on information currently available to management as well as management's assumptions and beliefs. All statements, other than statements of historical fact, included in this Annual Report constitute forward-looking statements, including but not limited to statements identified by the words "forecast," "may," "believe," "will," "should," "plan," "predict," "anticipate," "intend," "est "expect," "continue," and similar expressions. Such statements reflect our current views with respect to future events, based on what we believe are reasonable assumptions; however, such statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties. In addition to the specific uncertainties discussed elsewhere in this Annual Report, the risk factors set forth in "Item 1A. Risk Factors" may affect our performance and results of operations. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. We disclaim any intention or obligation to update or review any

forward-looking statements or information, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices. Our primary market risk is the risk related to changes in the prices of natural gas, NGLs, condensate, and crude oil. In addition, we are also exposed to the risk of changes in interest rates on floating rate debt.

Comprehensive financial reform legislation was signed into law by the President on July 21, 2010. The legislation calls for the CFTC to regulate certain markets for derivative products, including OTC derivatives. The CFTC has issued several relevant regulations, and other rulemakings are pending at the CFTC, the product of which would be rules that implement the mandates in the legislation to cause significant portions of derivatives markets to clear through clearinghouses. While some of these rules have been finalized, some have not, and, as a result, the final form and timing of the implementation of the regulatory regime affecting commodity derivatives remains uncertain.

In particular, on October 18, 2011, the CFTC adopted final rules under the Dodd-Frank Act establishing position limits for certain energy commodity futures and options contracts and economically equivalent swaps, futures and options. The position limit levels set the maximum amount of covered contracts that a trader may own or control separately or in combination, net long or short. The final rules also contained limited exemptions from position limits which would be phased in over time for certain bona fide hedging transactions and positions. The CFTC's original position limits rule was challenged in court by two industry associations and was vacated and remanded by a federal district court. The CFTC proposed and revised new rules in November 2013 and December 2016, respectively, that would place limits on positions in certain core futures and equivalent swaps contracts for or linked to certain physical commodities, subject to exceptions for certain bona fide hedging transactions. The CFTC sought comment on the position limits rules as reproposed and revised, but the new rules have not yet been issued in final form, and the impact of any final provisions on us is uncertain at this time.

The legislation and potential new regulations may also require counterparties to our derivative instruments to spin off some of their derivatives activities to separate entities, which may not be as creditworthy as the current counterparties. The legislation and any new regulations could significantly increase the cost of derivative contracts, materially alter the terms of derivative contracts, reduce the availability of derivatives to protect against risks we encounter, reduce our ability to monetize or restructure our existing derivative contracts, and increase our exposure to less creditworthy counterparties. If we reduce our use of derivatives as a result of the legislation and regulations, our results of operations may become more volatile and our cash flows may be less predictable, which could adversely affect our ability to plan for and fund capital expenditures and to generate sufficient cash flow to pay quarterly distributions at current levels or at all. Our revenues could be adversely affected if a consequence of the legislation and regulations is to lower commodity prices. Any of these consequences could have a material, adverse effect on us, our financial condition, and our results of operations.

Commodity Price Risk

The prices of crude oil, condensate, natural gas, and NGLs were volatile during 2018. Crude oil and weighted average NGL prices decreased 26% and 34%, respectively, while natural gas prices increased 19% from January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2018. We expect continued volatility in these commodity prices. For example, crude oil prices (based on the NYMEX futures daily close prices for the prompt month) in 2018 ranged from a high of \$76.41 per Bbl in October 2018 to a low of \$42.53 per Bbl in December 2018. Weighted average NGL prices in 2018 (based on the Oil Price Information Service ("OPIS") Napoleonville daily average spot liquids prices) ranged from a high of \$0.93 per gallon in September 2018 to a low of \$0.46 per gallon in December 2018. Natural gas prices (based on Gas Daily Henry Hub closing prices) during 2018 ranged from a high of \$4.84 per MMBtu in November 2018 to a low of \$2.55 per MMBtu in February 2018.

Changes in commodity prices may indirectly impact our profitability by influencing drilling activity and well operations, and thus the volume of gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate connected to or near our assets and on our fees earned for transportation between certain market centers. Low prices for these products could reduce the demand for our services and volumes in our systems. The volatility in commodity prices may cause our gross operating margin and cash flows to vary widely from period to period. Our hedging strategies may not be sufficient to offset price volatility risk and, in any event, do not cover all of our throughput volumes.

We are subject to risks due to fluctuations in commodity prices. Approximately 88% of our gross operating margin for the year ended December 31, 2018 was generated from arrangements with fee-based structures with minimal direct commodity price exposure. Our exposure to these commodity price fluctuations is primarily in the gas processing component of our business. We currently process gas under four main types of contractual arrangements (or a combination of these types of contractual arrangements) as summarized below.

Fee-based contracts: Under fee-based contracts, we earn our fees through (1) stated fixed-fee arrangements in which we are paid a fixed fee per unit of volume processed or (2) arrangements where we purchase and resell commodities in connection with providing the related processing service and earn a net margin through a fee-like deduction subtracted from the purchase price of the commodities.

Processing margin contracts: Under these contracts, we pay the producer for the full amount of inlet gas to the plant, and we make a margin based on the difference between the value of liquids recovered from the processed natural gas as compared to the value of the natural gas volumes lost and the cost of fuel used in processing. The shrink and fuel losses are referred to as plant thermal reduction, or PTR. Our margins from these contracts are high during periods of high liquids prices relative to natural gas prices and can be negative during periods of high natural gas prices relative to liquids prices. However, we mitigate our risk of processing natural gas when margins are negative primarily through our ability to bypass processing when it is not profitable for us or by contracts that revert to a minimum fee for processing if the natural gas must be processed to meet pipeline quality specifications. For the year ended December 31, 2018, approximately 1% of our contracts, based on gross operating margin, were under processing margin contracts.

POL contracts: Under these contracts, we receive a fee in the form of a percentage of the liquids recovered, and the producer bears all the cost of the natural gas shrink. Therefore, our margins from these contracts are greater during periods of high liquids prices. Our margins from processing cannot become negative under POL contracts, but they do decline during periods of low liquids prices.

POP contracts: Under these contracts, we receive a fee in the form of a portion of the proceeds of the sale of natural gas and liquids. Therefore, our margins from these contracts are greater during periods of high natural gas and liquids prices. Our margins from processing cannot become negative under POP contracts, but they do decline during periods of low natural gas and liquids prices.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, approximately 9% of our contracts, based on gross operating margin, were processed under POL or POP contracts.

Our primary commodity risk management objective is to reduce volatility in our cash flows. We maintain a risk management committee, including members of senior management, which oversees all hedging activity. We enter into hedges for natural gas, crude and condensate, and NGLs using OTC derivative financial instruments with only certain well-capitalized counterparties which have been approved in accordance with our commodity risk management policy.

We have hedged our exposure to fluctuations in prices for natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate volumes produced for our account. We hedge our exposure based on volumes we consider hedgeable (volumes committed under contracts that are long term in nature) versus total volumes that include volumes that may fluctuate due to contractual terms, such as contracts with month-to-month processing options. Further, we have tailored our hedges to generally match the NGL product composition and the NGL and natural gas delivery points to those of our physical equity volumes. The NGL hedges cover specific NGL products based upon our expected equity NGL composition.

The following table sets forth certain information related to derivative instruments outstanding at December 31, 2018 mitigating the risks associated with the gas processing and fractionation components of our business. The relevant payment index price for liquids is the monthly average of the daily closing price for deliveries of commodities into Mont Belvieu, Texas as reported by Oil Price Information Service. The relevant index price for natural gas is Henry Hub Gas Daily as defined by the pricing dates in the swap contracts.

Period	Underlying	Notional Volume	We Pay	We Receive (1)	Asset/(Liability) (In millions)
January 2019 - June 2019	Ethane	183 (MBbls)	\$0.3048/gal	Index	\$ 0.1
January 2019 - September 2019	Propane	479 (MBbls)	Index	\$0.6370/gal	2.4
January 2019 - September 2019	Normal Butane	127 (MBbls)	Index	\$0.7214/gal	0.8

Dain Malma

Edgar Filing: EnLink Midstream, LLC - Form 10-K

January 2019 - September 2019	Natural Gasoline	85 (MBbls)	Index	\$0.9602/gal	1.3	
January 2019 - October 2019	Natural Gas	65,382 (MMBtu/d)	Index	\$2.5946/MMBtu	(3.1)
January 2019 - December 2022	Crude and condensate	13,870 (MBbls)	Index	\$52.09/bbl	7.0	
					\$ 8.5	

(1) Weighted average.

Another price risk we face is the risk of mismatching volumes of gas bought or sold on a monthly price versus volumes bought or sold on a daily price. We enter each month with a balanced book of natural gas bought and sold on the same basis. However, it is normal to experience fluctuations in the volumes of natural gas bought or sold under either basis, which leaves us

Table of Contents

with short or long positions that must be covered. We use financial swaps to mitigate the exposure at the time it is created to maintain a balanced position.

The use of financial instruments may expose us to the risk of financial loss in certain circumstances, including instances when (1) sales volumes are less than expected requiring market purchases to meet commitments or (2) counterparties fail to purchase the contracted quantities of natural gas or otherwise fail to perform. To the extent that we engage in hedging activities, we may be prevented from realizing the benefits of favorable price changes in the physical market. However, we are similarly insulated against unfavorable changes in such prices.

As of December 31, 2018, outstanding natural gas swap agreements, NGL swap agreements, swing swap agreements, storage swap agreements, and other derivative instruments were a net fair value asset of \$8.5 million. The aggregate effect of a hypothetical 10% change, increase or decrease, in gas, crude and condensate, and NGL prices would result in a change of approximately \$3.7 million in the net fair value of these contracts as of December 31, 2018.

Interest Rate Risk

At December 31, 2018, we were exposed to interest rate risk from the ENLC Credit Facility and the Term Loan entered into on December 11, 2018. At December 31, 2018, the ENLC Credit Facility had \$111.4 million in outstanding borrowings, and the Term Loan had \$850.0 million in outstanding borrowings. A 1% increase or decrease in interest rates would change the annualized interest expense for the ENLC Credit Facility and the Term Loan by approximately \$1.1 million and \$8.5 million for the year, respectively. Following the close of the Merger, we are exposed to interest rate risk on the Consolidated Credit Facility and the Term Loan. See "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 6—Long-Term Debt" for more information regarding our outstanding indebtedness.

We are not exposed to changes in interest rates with respect to ENLK's senior unsecured notes due in 2019, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2044, 2045, or 2047 as these are fixed-rate obligations. The estimated fair value of ENLK's senior unsecured notes was approximately \$3,103.6 million as of December 31, 2018, based on market prices of similar debt at December 31, 2018. Market risk is estimated as the potential decrease in fair value of our long-term debt resulting from a hypothetical increase of 1% in interest rates. Such an increase in interest rates would result in an approximate \$210.7 million decrease in fair value of ENLK's senior unsecured notes at December 31, 2018.

Table of Contents

92

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

EnLink Midstrean	ı, LLC	and	Subsic	liaries	Financi	al Statements:
------------------	--------	-----	--------	---------	---------	----------------

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	<u>93</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>94</u>
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2018 and 2017	<u>96</u>
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016	<u>97</u>
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016	<u>98</u>
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Members' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016	6 <u>99</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016	<u>101</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>102</u>

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management of EnLink Midstream, LLC is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting and for the assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting for EnLink Midstream, LLC (the "Company"). As defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission (Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended), internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of EnLink Midstream Manager, LLC's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by its Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

The Company's internal control over financial reporting is supported by written policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the Company's transactions and dispositions of the Company's assets; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorization of the EnLink Midstream Manager, LLC's management and directors; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In connection with the preparation of the Company's annual consolidated financial statements, management has undertaken an assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO Framework). Management's assessment included an evaluation of the design of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and testing of the operational effectiveness of those controls.

Based on this assessment, management has concluded that as of December 31, 2018, the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

KPMG LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the Company's consolidated financial statements included in this report, has issued an attestation report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting, a copy of which appears on the following page of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Members of EnLink Midstream, LLC and The Board of Directors of EnLink Midstream Manager, LLC:

Opinions on the Consolidated Financial Statements and Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of EnLink Midstream, LLC (a Delaware limited liability company) and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), changes in members' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2018, and the related notes (collectively, the "consolidated financial statements"). We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2018, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Change in Accounting Principle

As discussed in Note 2(c) to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has changed its method of accounting for revenue recognition in 2018 due to the adoption of ASC 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers.

Basis for Opinion

Management of EnLink Midstream Manager, LLC, the managing member of the EnLink Midstream, LLC, is responsible for these consolidated financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's consolidated financial statements and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) ("PCAOB") and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud, and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects.

Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used

and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

Definition and Limitations of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures

Table of Contents

that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ KPMG LLP

We have served as EnLink Midstream, LLC's auditor since 2013. Dallas, Texas February 20, 2019

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Balance Sheets (In millions, except unit data)

	December 31, 2018	December 31, 2017
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$100.4	\$31.2
Accounts receivable:		
Trade, net of allowance for bad debt of \$0.3 and \$0.3, respectively	126.3	50.1
Accrued revenue and other	705.9	576.6
Related party	0.7	102.8
Fair value of derivative assets	28.6	6.8
Natural gas and NGLs inventory, prepaid expenses, and other	74.2	41.2
Total current assets	1,036.1	808.7
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$2,967.4 and \$2,533.0,	6,846.7	6,587.0
respectively	0,040.7	
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$422.2 and \$298.7, respectively	1,373.6	1,497.1
Goodwill	1,310.2	1,542.2
Investment in unconsolidated affiliates	80.1	89.4
Fair value of derivative assets	4.1	
Other assets, net	43.3	13.4
Total assets	\$10,694.1	\$10,537.8
LIABILITIES AND MEMBERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and drafts payable	\$105.5	\$66.9
Accounts payable to related party	4.3	16.3
Accrued gas, NGLs, condensate and crude oil purchases	500.4	476.1
Fair value of derivative liabilities	21.8	8.4
Installment payable, net of discount of \$0.5 at December 31, 2017		249.5
Current maturities of long-term debt	399.8	
Other current liabilities	248.2	222.9
Total current liabilities	1,280.0	1,040.1
Long-term debt	4,031.0	3,542.1
Asset retirement obligations	14.8	14.2
Other long-term liabilities	20.0	33.9
Deferred tax liability	362.4	346.2
Fair value of derivative liabilities	2.4	
Redeemable non-controlling interest	9.3	4.6
Members' equity:		
Members' equity (181,309,981 and 180,600,728 units issued and outstanding, respectively)	1,730.9	1,924.2
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(2.0)	(2.0)
Non-controlling interest	3,245.3	3,634.5
Total members' equity	4,974.2	5,556.7
Commitments and contingencies (Note 14)		
Total liabilities and members' equity	\$10,694.1	\$10,537.8
2 2		

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Operations (In millions, except per unit data)

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2018	2017	2016	
Revenues:				
Product sales	\$6,512.3	\$4,358.4	\$3,008.9	
Product sales—related parties	41.0	144.9	134.3	
Midstream services	763.3	552.3	467.2	
Midstream services—related parties	377.2	688.2	653.1	
Gain (loss) on derivative activity	5.2	(4.2)	(11.1)	
Total revenues	7,699.0	5,739.6	4,252.4	
Operating costs and expenses:				
Cost of sales (1)	6,008.0	4,361.5	3,015.5	
Operating expenses	453.4	418.7	398.5	
General and administrative	140.3	128.6	122.5	
Loss on disposition of assets	0.4	_	13.2	
Depreciation and amortization	577.3	545.3	503.9	
Impairments	365.8	17.1	873.3	
Gain on litigation settlement	_	(26.0)	_	
Total operating costs and expenses	7,545.2	5,445.2	4,926.9	
Operating income (loss)	153.8	294.4	(674.5)	
Other income (expense):				
Interest expense, net of interest income	(182.3) (190.4)	(189.5)	
Gain on extinguishment of debt	_	9.0	_	
Income (loss) from unconsolidated affiliates	13.3	9.6	(19.9)	
Other income	0.6	0.6	0.3	
Total other expense	(168.4) (171.2)	(209.1)	
Income (loss) before non-controlling interest and income taxes	(14.6) 123.2	(883.6)	
Income tax benefit (provision)	(18.2)) 196.8	(4.6)	
Net income (loss)	(32.8	320.0	(888.2)	
Net income (loss) attributable to non-controlling interest	(19.6) 107.2	(428.2)	
Net income (loss) attributable to ENLC	\$(13.2) \$212.8	\$(460.0)	
Net income (loss) attributable to ENLC per unit:				
Basic common unit	\$(0.07	\$1.18	\$(2.56)	
Diluted common unit	\$(0.07	\$1.17	\$(2.56)	

Includes related party cost of sales of \$114.1 million, \$211.0 million, and \$150.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (In millions)

	Year En	ded Dece	ember 31,
	2018	2017	2016
Net income (loss)	\$(32.8)	\$320.0	\$(888.2)
Loss on designated cash flow hedge, net of tax benefit and amortization to interest expense (1)	·	(2.0)	_
Comprehensive income (loss)	(32.8)	318.0	(888.2)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to non-controlling interest	(19.6)	105.6	(428.2)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to ENLC	\$(13.2)	\$212.4	\$(460.0)

The loss on designated cash flow hedge recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss for the year ended

⁽¹⁾ December 31, 2017 was net of a tax benefit of \$0.2 million. For the year ended December 31, 2017, we amortized an immaterial amount of the loss into interest expense.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Members' Equity (In millions)

	Common	Units	Accumula Other Comprehe Loss	Non-Contro	olli	ng Potal	Redeemable Non-Controlling Interest (Temporary Equity)
	\$	Units	\$	\$		\$	\$
Balance, December 31, 2015	\$2,285.7	164.2	\$ -	_\$ 3,139.2		\$5,424.9	\$ 7.0
Issuance of common units by ENLK	_		_	167.5		167.5	
Issuance of Series B Preferred Units by ENLK	_		_	724.1		724.1	_
Issuance of common units	214.9	15.6	_			214.9	
Conversion of restricted units for common units, net of units withheld for taxes	(1.2	0.2	_	_		(1.2) —
Non-controlling interest's impact of conversion of restricted units	_		_	(1.2)	(1.2) —
Unit-based compensation	15.1		_	15.2		30.3	
Change in equity due to issuance of units by ENLK	11.8	_	_	(18.9)	(7.1) —
Non-controlling interest distributions				(382.4)	(382.4) —
Non-controlling interest contribution				167.9		167.9	_
Distributions to members	(185.4)		_			(185.4) —
Distributions to redeemable non-controlling interest	_	_	_	_		_	(1.8)
Contribution from Devon to ENLK	_		_	1.5		1.5	
Net loss	(460.0	_	_	(428.2)	(888.2) —
Balance, December 31, 2016	\$1,880.9	180.0	\$ -	_\$ 3,384.7		\$5,265.6	\$ 5.2

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Members' Equity (continued) (In millions)

	Common Units		Accumulated Other Comprehensi Loss		Non-Controlling		ng Total	Redeemable Non-Controlling Interest (Temporary Equity)	
	\$	Units	\$		\$		\$	\$	
Balance, December 31, 2016	\$1,880.9	180.0	\$ —		\$ 3,384.7		\$5,265.6	\$ 5.2	
Issuance of common units by ENLK	_		_		106.9		106.9	_	
Issuance of Series C Preferred Units by ENLK			_		394.0		394.0		
Conversion of restricted units for common	(4.8	0.6					(4.8) —	
units, net of units withheld for taxes	(1.0	0.0					(1.0	,	
Non-controlling interest's impact of					(5.3)	(5.3) —	
conversion of restricted units					•	,		,	
Unit-based compensation	21.3				21.4		42.7	_	
Change in equity due to issuance of units by ENLK	_	_	_		0.1		0.1	_	
Non-controlling interest distributions					(433.1)	(433.1) —	
Non-controlling interest contribution					57.3		57.3		
Distributions to members	(186.0)		_				(186.0) —	
Distributions to redeemable non-controlling								(0.6)
interest		_			_			(0.0)	,
Contribution from Devon to ENLK	_		_		1.3		1.3	_	
Loss on designated cash flow hedge, net of tax			(2.0)			(2.0) —	
benefit and amortization to interest expense			(2.0	,				,	
Net income	212.8	_			107.2		320.0		
Balance, December 31, 2017	1,924.2	180.6	(2.0)	3,634.5		5,556.7	4.6	
Issuance of common units by ENLK	_	_	_		46.1		46.1	_	
Conversion of restricted units for common	(5.7)	0.7			_		(5.7) —	
units, net of units withheld for taxes	()						(,	
Non-controlling interest's impact of	_	_			(5.6)	(5.6) —	
conversion of restricted units	20.5				21.4	•	41.0		
Unit-based compensation	20.5				21.4		41.9		
Change in equity due to issuance of units by ENLK	0.7		_		(0.6)	0.1		
Distributions to non-controlling interests					(517.2	`	(517.2)	
Contributions from non-controlling interests					90.2	,	90.2	<i>,</i> —	
Distributions	(194.8)						(194.8) —	
Fair value adjustment related to redeemable) —	
non-controlling interest	(0.8)	_			(3.3)	(4.1) 4.1	
Net income (loss)	(13.2)	_			(20.2)	(33.4	0.6	
Balance, December 31, 2018	\$1,730.9	181.3	\$ (2.0)	\$ 3,245.3	,	\$4,974.2	*	
			*	-					

Edgar Filing:	EnLink Midstream	. LLC - Form	10-K
---------------	------------------	--------------	------

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (In millions)

	Year En	ded Dece	ember 31,
	2018	2017	2016
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$(32.8)	\$320.0	\$(888.2)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Impairments	365.8	17.1	873.3
Depreciation and amortization	577.3	545.3	503.9
Loss on disposition of assets	0.4		13.2
Non-cash unit-based compensation	41.1	48.1	30.3
Deferred tax expense (benefit)	16.3	(197.2)	2.1
(Gain) loss on derivatives recognized in net income (loss)	(5.2)	4.2	11.1
Cash settlements on derivatives	(7.0)	(11.2)	10.5
Gain on extinguishment of debt	_		
Amortization of debt issue costs, net (premium) discount of notes and installment payable	4.3	29.3	53.4
Distribution of earnings from unconsolidated affiliates	15.8	13.3	3.1
(Income) loss from unconsolidated affiliates	(13.3)	(9.6	19.9
Non-cash revenue from contract restructuring	(45.5)		
Other operating activities		0.6	0.9
Changes in assets and liabilities net of assets acquired and liabilities assumed:	,		
Accounts receivable, accrued revenue and other	(113.1)	(189.4)	(118.1)
Natural gas and NGLs inventory, prepaid expenses, and other		(23.5)	
Accounts payable, accrued gas and crude oil purchases, and other accrued liabilities	58.3	162.1	132.3
Net cash provided by operating activities	847.6	700.1	666.4
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Additions to property and equipment	(843.1)	(790.8)	(663.0)
Acquisition of business, net of cash acquired			(791.5)
Proceeds from sale of unconsolidated affiliate investment		189.7	
Proceeds from sale of property	1.9	2.3	93.1
Investment in unconsolidated affiliates		(12.6)	
Distribution from unconsolidated affiliates in excess of earnings	6.9	0.2	54.6
Other investing activities	8.1	0.4	0.3
Net cash used in investing activities	(826.3)		(1,380.3)
Cash flows from financing activities:	,	,	, ,
Proceeds from borrowings	3,946.8	2,381.8	2,150.4
Payments on borrowings			(1,917.5)
Payment of installment payable for EOGP acquisition		(250.0)	
Debt financing costs		(5.5)	
Proceeds from issuance of ENLK common units	46.1	106.9	167.5
Distributions to non-controlling interest	(517.2)	(433.7)	(384.2)
Distribution to members			(185.4)
Proceeds from issuance of ENLK Series B Preferred Units			724.1
Proceeds from issuance of ENLK Series C Preferred Units		394.0	
Contributions by non-controlling interest	90.2	57.3	167.9
Other financing activities			(10.5)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	47.9		707.6
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	69.2	19.5	(6.3)
	-		()

Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	

31.2 11.7 18.0 \$100.4 \$31.2 \$11.7

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

<u>Table of Contents</u> ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

- (1) Organization and Summary of Significant Agreements
- (a) Organization of Business and Nature of Business

The Business Combination with Devon

ENLC is a publicly traded Delaware limited liability company formed in 2013. Effective as of March 7, 2014, EMI merged with and into a wholly-owned subsidiary of ENLC, and Acacia, formerly a wholly-owned subsidiary of Devon, merged with and into a wholly-owned subsidiary of ENLC (collectively, the "Devon Mergers"). Pursuant to the Devon Mergers, each of EMI and Acacia became wholly-owned subsidiaries of ENLC, and ENLC became publicly held. ENLC owns all of ENLK's common units and also owns all of the membership interests of the General Partner. Upon closing of the Business Combination (as defined below), ENLC issued 115,495,669 units to a wholly-owned subsidiary of Devon. Concurrently with the consummation of the Devon Mergers, a wholly-owned subsidiary of ENLK acquired 50% of the outstanding limited partner interests in Midstream Holdings (together with the Devon Mergers, the "Business Combination"). In 2015, ENLK acquired the remaining 50% of the outstanding limited partner interest in Midstream Holdings. The Company's common units are traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "ENLC."

On December 31, 2018, each of EMI and Acacia merged with and into ENLC, with ENLC continuing as the surviving entity. As of December 31, 2018, ENLC owned common units representing an approximate 21.4% limited partner interest in ENLK. Subsequent to the close of the Merger on January 25, 2019, ENLC owns all outstanding ENLK common units.

EOGP Acquisition

On January 7, 2016, EOGP, an indirect subsidiary of ENLK, completed its acquisition of 100% of the issued and outstanding membership interests of TOMPC LLC and TOM-STACK, LLC. As a result of the acquisition, the Operating Partnership acquired an 83.9% limited partner interest in EOGP, and ENLC acquired the remaining 16.1% limited partner interest in EOGP. On January 31, 2019, ENLC transferred its 16.1% limited partner interest in EOGP to the Operating Partnership in exchange for 55,827,221 ENLK common units, resulting in the Operating Partnership owning 100% of the limited partner interests in EOGP. See "Note 3—Acquisition" for further discussion.

GIP Transaction

On July 18, 2018, subsidiaries of Devon closed a transaction to sell all of their equity interests in ENLK, ENLC, and the Managing Member to GIP. As a result of the transaction:

GIP, through GIP III Stetson I, L.P., acquired all of the equity interests held by subsidiaries of Devon in ENLK and the Managing Member, which, as of the closing date, amounted to 100% of the outstanding limited liability company interests in the Managing Member and approximately 23.1% of the outstanding limited partner interests in ENLK;

GIP, through GIP III Stetson II, L.P., acquired all of the equity interests held by subsidiaries of Devon in ENLC, which, as of the closing date, amounted to approximately 63.8% of the outstanding limited liability company interests in ENLC; and

Through this transaction, GIP acquired control of (i) the Managing Member, (ii) ENLC, and (iii) ENLK, as a result of ENLC's ownership of the General Partner.

Simplification of the Corporate Structure

On October 21, 2018, ENLK, ENLC, the General Partner, the Managing Member, and NOLA Merger Sub entered into the Merger Agreement pursuant to which, on January 25, 2019, NOLA Merger Sub merged with and into ENLK, with ENLK continuing as the surviving entity and as a subsidiary of ENLC. See "Note 19—Subsequent Events" for more information on the Merger and related transactions.

Our assets as of December 31, 2018 consisted of equity interests in ENLK and EOGP. ENLK is a Delaware limited partnership formed on July 12, 2002 and is engaged in the gathering, transmission, processing, and marketing of natural gas and

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

natural gas liquids, or natural gas liquids ("NGLs"), condensate, and crude oil, as well as providing crude oil, condensate, and brine services to producers. EOGP is a partnership that was held by us and ENLK, and is engaged in the gathering and processing of natural gas. As of December 31, 2018, our interests in ENLK consisted of the following:

68,528,451 common units representing an aggregate 21.4% limited partner interest in ENLK; 100% ownership interest in EnLink Midstream GP, LLC, the General Partner, which owns the general partner interest and all of the incentive distribution rights in ENLK; and **4**6.1% limited partner interest in EOGP.

On January 31, 2019, we transferred our limited partner interest in EOGP to ENLK. Our ownership of ENLK consists of 144,535,672 common units representing all outstanding ENLK common units and an aggregate 71.1% limited partner interest in ENLK and a 100% ownership interest of the General Partner.

(b) Nature of Business

We primarily focus on providing midstream energy services, including:

gathering, compressing, treating, processing, transporting, storing, and selling natural gas; fractionating, transporting, storing, and selling NGLs; and gathering, transporting, stabilizing, storing, trans-loading, and selling crude oil and condensate, in addition to brine disposal services.

Our midstream energy asset network includes approximately 11,000 miles of pipelines, 20 natural gas processing plants with approximately 4.9 Bcf/d of processing capacity, seven fractionators with approximately 280,000 Bbls/d of fractionation capacity, barge and rail terminals, product storage facilities, purchasing and marketing capabilities, brine disposal wells, a crude oil trucking fleet, and equity investments in certain joint ventures. Our operations are based in the United States, and our sales are derived primarily from domestic customers.

Our natural gas business includes connecting the wells of producers in our market areas to our gathering systems. Our gathering systems consist of networks of pipelines that collect natural gas from points at or near producing wells and transport it to our processing plants or to larger pipelines for further transmission. We operate processing plants that remove NGLs from the natural gas stream that is transported to the processing plants by our own gathering systems or by third-party pipelines. In conjunction with our gathering and processing business, we may purchase natural gas and NGLs from producers and other supply sources and sell that natural gas or NGLs to utilities, industrial consumers, marketers, and pipelines. Our transmission pipelines receive natural gas from our gathering systems and from third-party gathering and transmission systems and deliver natural gas to industrial end-users, utilities, and other pipelines.

Our fractionators separate NGLs into separate purity products, including ethane, propane, isobutane, normal butane, and natural gasoline. Our fractionators receive NGLs primarily through our transmission lines that transport NGLs from East Texas and from our South Louisiana processing plants. Our fractionators also have the capability to receive NGLs by truck or rail terminals. We also have agreements pursuant to which third parties transport NGLs from our West Texas and Central Oklahoma operations to our NGL transmission lines that then transport the NGLs to our fractionators. In addition, we have NGL storage capacity to provide storage for customers.

Our crude oil and condensate business includes the gathering and transmission of crude oil and condensate via pipelines, barges, rail, and trucks, in addition to condensate stabilization and brine disposal. We also purchase crude oil and condensate from producers and other supply sources and sell that crude oil and condensate through our terminal facilities to various markets.

Across our businesses, we primarily earn our fees through various fee-based contractual arrangements, which include stated fee-only contract arrangements or arrangements with fee-based components where we purchase and resell commodities in connection with providing the related service and earn a net margin as our fee. We earn our net margin under our purchase and resell contract arrangements primarily as a result of stated service-related fees that are deducted from the price of the commodities purchased. While our transactions vary in form, the essential element of most of our transactions is the use of our assets to transport a product or provide a processed product to an end-user or marketer at the tailgate of the plant, pipeline, or barge, truck, or rail terminal.

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(2) Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP for complete financial statements.

(b) Management's Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires our management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

(c) Revenue Recognition

We generate the majority of our revenues from midstream energy services, including gathering, transmission, processing, fractionation, storage, condensate stabilization, brine services and marketing, through various contractual arrangements, which include fee-based contract arrangements or arrangements where we purchase and resell commodities in connection with providing the related service and earn a net margin for our fee. While our transactions vary in form, the essential element of most of our transactions is the use of our assets to transport a product or provide a processed product to an end-user or marketer at the tailgate of the plant, pipeline, or barge, truck, or rail terminal. Revenues from both "Product sales" and "Midstream services" represent revenues from contracts with customers and are reflected on the consolidated statements of operations as follows:

Product sales—Product sales represent the sale of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate where the product is purchased and resold in connection with providing our midstream services as outlined above.

Midstream services—Midstream services represent all other revenue generated as a result of performing our midstream services outlined above.

Adoption of ASC 606

Effective January 1, 2018, we adopted ASC 606 using the modified retrospective method. ASC 606 replaces previous revenue recognition requirements in GAAP and requires entities to recognize revenue at an amount that reflects the consideration to which they expect to be entitled in exchange for transferring goods or services to a customer. ASC 606 also requires significantly expanded disclosures containing qualitative and quantitative information regarding the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers.

Evaluation of Our Contractual Performance Obligations

In adopting ASC 606, we evaluated our contracts with customers that are within the scope of ASC 606. In accordance with the new revenue recognition framework introduced by ASC 606, we identified our performance obligations under our contracts with customers. These performance obligations include:

promises to perform midstream services for our customers over a specified contractual term and/or for a specified volume of commodities; and

promises to sell a specified volume of commodities to our customers.

The identification of performance obligations under our contracts requires a contract-by-contract evaluation of when control, including the economic benefit, of commodities transfers to and from us (if at all). This evaluation of control changed the way we account for certain transactions effective January 1, 2018, specifically those contracts in which there is both a commodity purchase and a midstream service. For contracts where control of commodities transfers to us before we perform our services, we generally have no performance obligation for our services, and accordingly, we do not consider these revenue-generating contracts for purposes of ASC 606. Based on the control determination, all contractually-stated fees that are

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

deducted from our payments to producers or other suppliers for commodities purchased are reflected as a reduction in the cost of such commodity purchases. Alternatively, for contracts where control of commodities transfers to us after we perform our services, we consider these contracts to contain performance obligations for our services. Accordingly, we consider the satisfaction of these performance obligations as revenue-generating and recognize the fees received for satisfying them as midstream services revenues over time as we satisfy our performance obligations. For contracts where control of commodities never transfers to us and we simply earn a fee for our services, we recognize these fees as midstream services revenues over time as we satisfy our performance obligations.

We also evaluate our contractual arrangements that contain a purchase and sale of commodities under the principal/agent provisions in ASC 606. For contracts where we possess control of the commodity and act as principal in the purchase and sale, we record product sales revenue at the price at which the commodities are sold, with a corresponding cost of sales equal to the cost of the commodities when purchased. For contracts in which we do not possess control of the commodity and are acting as an agent, our consolidated statements of operations only reflect midstream services revenues that we earn based on the fees contained in the applicable contract.

Based on our review of our performance obligations in our contracts with customers, we changed the consolidated statement of operations classification for certain transactions from revenue to cost of sales or from cost of sales to revenue. For the year ended December 31, 2018, the reclassification of revenues and cost of sales resulted in a net decrease in revenue of approximately \$671.0 million or 8.0%, compared to total revenues based on accounting prior to the adoption of ASC 606, with an equivalent net decrease in cost of sales. The change in total revenues as a result of the adoption of ASC 606 is made up of the following revenue line item changes (in millions):

(Decrease) in Revenue Due to **ASC 606** Adoption Year Ended December 31, 2018 \$ (235 Product sales Product sales—related parties (52) Midstream services (357) Midstream services—related partie27) \$ (671 Total

Increase

This change in accounting treatment had no impact on our operating income, net income, results of operations, financial condition, or cash flows.

Changes in Accounting Methodology for Certain Contracts

For NGL contracts in which we purchase raw mix NGLs and subsequently transport, fractionate, and market the NGLs, we accounted for these contracts prior to the adoption of ASC 606 as revenue-generating contracts in which the fees we earned for our services were recorded as midstream services revenue on the consolidated statements of operations. As a result of the adoption of ASC 606, we determined that the control, including the economic benefit, of commodities has passed to us once the raw mix NGLs have been purchased from the customer. Therefore, we now

consider the contractually-stated fees to serve as pricing mechanisms that reduce the cost of such commodity purchased upon receipt of the raw mix NGLs, rather than being recorded as midstream services revenue. Upon sale of the NGLs to a third-party customer, we record product sales revenue at the price at which the commodities are sold, with a corresponding cost of sales equal to the cost of the commodities purchased.

For our crude oil and condensate service contracts in which we purchase the commodity, we utilize a similar approach under ASC 606 as outlined above for NGL contracts. This treatment is consistent with our accounting for crude oil and condensate service contracts prior to the adoption of ASC 606.

For our natural gas gathering and processing contracts in which we perform midstream services and also purchase the natural gas, we accounted for these contracts prior to the adoption of ASC 606 as revenue-generating contracts in which all contractually-stated fees earned for our gathering and processing services were recorded as midstream services revenue on the statements of operations. As a result of the adoption of ASC 606, we must determine if economic control of the commodities has passed from the producer to us before or after we perform our services (if at all). Control is assessed on a contract-by-contract basis by analyzing each contract's provisions, which can include provisions for: the customer to take its residue gas

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

and/or NGLs in-kind; fixed or actual NGL or keep-whole recovery; commodity purchase prices at weighted average sales price or market index-based pricing; and various other contract-specific considerations. Based on this control assessment, our gathering and processing contracts fall into two primary categories:

For gathering and processing contracts in which there is a commodity purchase and analysis of the contract provisions indicates that control, including the economic benefit, of the natural gas passes to us when the natural gas is brought into our system, we do not consider these contracts to contain performance obligations for our services. As control of the natural gas passes to us prior to performing our gathering and processing services, we are, in effect, performing our services for our own benefit. Based on this control determination, we consider the contractually-stated fees to serve as pricing mechanisms that reduce the cost of such commodity purchased upon receipt of the natural gas, rather than being recorded as midstream services revenue. Upon sale of the residue gas and/or NGLs to a third-party customer, we record product sales revenue at the price at which the commodities are sold, with a corresponding cost of sales equal to the cost of the commodities purchased.

For gathering and processing contracts in which there is a commodity purchase and analysis of the contract provisions indicates that control, including the economic benefit, of the natural gas does not pass to us until after the natural gas has been gathered and processed, we consider these contracts to contain performance obligations for our services. Accordingly, we consider the satisfaction of these performance obligations as revenue-generating, and we recognize the fees received for satisfying these performance obligations as midstream services revenues over time as we satisfy our performance obligations.

For midstream service contracts related to NGL, crude oil, or natural gas gathering and processing in which there is no commodity purchase or control of the commodity never passes to us and we simply earn a fee for our services, we consider these contracts to contain performance obligations for our services. Accordingly, we consider the satisfaction of these performance obligations as revenue-generating, and we recognize the fees received for satisfying these performance obligations as midstream services revenue over time as we satisfy our performance obligations. This treatment is consistent with our accounting for these contracts prior to the adoption of ASC 606.

For our natural gas transmission contracts, we determined that control of the natural gas never transfers to us and we simply earn a fee for our services. Therefore, we recognize these fees as midstream services revenue over time as we satisfy our performance obligations. This treatment is consistent with our accounting for natural gas transmission contracts prior to the adoption of ASC 606.

We also evaluate our commodity marketing contracts, under which we purchase and sell commodities in connection with our gas, NGL, and crude and condensate midstream services, pursuant to ASC 606, including the principal/agent provisions. For contracts in which we possess control of the commodity and act as principal in the purchase and sale of commodities, we record product sales revenue at the price at which the commodities are sold, with a corresponding cost of sales equal to the cost of the commodities when purchased. For contracts in which we do not possess control of the commodity and are acting as agent, our consolidated statements of operations only reflect midstream services revenues that we earn based on the fees contained in the applicable contract. This treatment is consistent with our accounting for our commodity marketing contracts prior to the adoption of ASC 606.

Satisfaction of Performance Obligations and Recognition of Revenue

While ASC 606 alters the line item on which certain amounts are recorded on the consolidated statements of operations, ASC 606 did not significantly affect the timing of income and expense recognition on the consolidated statements of operations. Specifically, for our commodity sales contracts, we satisfy our performance obligations at

the point in time at which the commodity transfers from us to the customer. This transfer pattern aligns with our billing methodology. Therefore, we recognize product sales revenue at the time the commodity is delivered and in the amount to which we have the right to invoice the customer, which is consistent with our accounting prior to the adoption of ASC 606. For our midstream service contracts that contain revenue-generating performance obligations, we satisfy our performance obligations over time as we perform the midstream service and as the customer receives the benefit of these services over the term of the contract. As permitted by ASC 606, we are utilizing the practical expedient that allows an entity to recognize revenue in the amount to which the entity has a right to invoice, since we have a right to consideration from our customer in an amount that corresponds directly with the value to the customer of our performance completed to date. Accordingly, we continue to recognize revenue over time as our midstream services are performed. Therefore, ASC 606 does not significantly affect the timing of revenue and expense

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

recognition on our consolidated statements of operations, and no cumulative effect adjustment was made to the balance of equity upon our adoption of ASC 606.

We generally accrue one month of sales and the related natural gas, NGL, condensate, and crude oil purchases and reverse these accruals when the sales and purchases are invoiced and recorded in the subsequent month. Actual results could differ from the accrual estimates. We typically receive payment for invoiced amounts within one month, depending on the terms of the contract. We account for taxes collected from customers attributable to revenue transactions and remitted to government authorities on a net basis (excluded from revenues).

Minimum Volume Commitments and Firm Transportation Contracts

Certain gathering and processing agreements in our Texas, Oklahoma, and Crude and Condensate segments provide for quarterly or annual MVCs, including MVCs from Devon from certain of our Barnett Shale assets in North Texas and our Cana gathering and processing assets in Oklahoma. Under these agreements, our customers or suppliers (as "customers" and "suppliers" are determined per application of ASC 606) agree to ship and/or process a minimum volume of product on our systems over an agreed time period. If a customer or supplier under such an agreement fails to meet its MVC for a specified period, the customer is obligated to pay a contractually-determined fee based upon the shortfall between actual product volumes and the MVC for that period. Some of these agreements also contain make-up right provisions that allow a customer or supplier to utilize gathering or processing fees in excess of the MVC in subsequent periods to offset shortfall amounts in previous periods. We record revenue under MVC contracts during periods of shortfall when it is known that the customer cannot, or will not, make up the deficiency in subsequent periods. Deficiency fee revenue is included in midstream services revenue.

For our firm transportation contracts, we transport commodities owned by others for a stated monthly fee for a specified monthly quantity with an additional fee based on actual volumes. We include transportation fees from firm transportation contracts in our midstream services revenue.

The following table summarizes the expected impact to our consolidated statements of operations, resulting from either revenue or reductions to cost of sales, from MVC and firm transportation contractual provisions. All amounts in the table below reflect the contractually-stated MVC or firm transportation volumes specified for each period multiplied by the relevant deficiency or reservation fee. Actual amounts could differ due to the timing of revenue recognition or reductions to cost of sales resulting from make-up right provisions included in our agreements, as well as due to nonpayment or nonperformance by our customers. In addition, amounts in the table below do not represent the shortfall amounts we expect to collect under our MVC contracts as we generally do not expect volume shortfalls to equal the full amount of the contractual MVCs during these periods.

2019 \$252.1 2020 247.9 2021 104.5 2022 95.0 2023 92.9 Thereafter 281.9 Total \$1,074.3

In May 2018, we restructured one of our natural gas gathering and processing contracts that included MVCs that were in effect through 2023. Prior to the contract restructuring, we expected \$135.1 million in guaranteed future gross operating margin under the contract, generated from either revenue or reductions to cost of sales resulting from both gathering and processing fees as well as shortfall revenue under the MVCs. As a result of the contract restructuring,

all MVC provisions were removed from the contract, and we and the counterparty entered into additional agreements pursuant to which: (i) the counterparty made a \$19.7 million payment to us on the date of the contract restructuring to satisfy MVC revenue earned up to the date of the contract restructuring; (ii) the counterparty entered into a second lien secured term loan under which the counterparty will pay us \$58.0 million in principal payments in various installments ending in May 2023, with interest accruing on the loan balance at 8.0% per annum beginning in 2020; and (iii) the counterparty granted to us a 1.0% term overriding royalty interest through June 2034 in each well located on leasehold interests of the counterparty and connected to the gas gathering system that we operate. As a result of the contract restructuring and in accordance with ASC 606, we recognized \$45.5 million of midstream services revenue, which primarily represents the discounted present value of the second lien secured term loan receivable, in the

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Oklahoma segment in the second quarter of 2018. Pursuant to the contract restructuring, the terms of the restructured contract, other than the MVCs, are the same as the original contract, and we expect to continue recognizing gathering and processing fees on volumes delivered by the customer.

Contributions in Aid of Construction

The adoption of ASC 606 also alters how we account for contributions in aid of construction ("CIAC"). CIAC payments are lump sum payments from third parties to reimburse us for capital expenditures related to the construction of our operating assets and, in most cases, the connection of these operating assets to the third party's assets. CIAC payments can be paid to us prior to the commencement of construction activities, during construction, or after construction has been completed. Prior to adoption of ASC 606 and in accordance with ASC 980, Regulated Operations ("ASC 980"), and the FERC Uniform System of Accounts, we reduced the balance of the related property and equipment by the amount of CIAC payments received. In doing so, CIAC payments previously affected the consolidated statements of operations through reduced depreciation expense over the useful lives of the related property and equipment. Upon adoption of ASC 606, we initially recognize CIAC payments received from customers as deferred revenue, which will be subsequently amortized into revenue over the term of the underlying operational contract. For CIAC payments from noncustomers and for payments related to the construction of regulated operating assets, we continue to reduce the balance of the related property and equipment in accordance with ASC 980 and the FERC Uniform System of Accounts. This change in our CIAC accounting policy was not material to our financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Disaggregation of Revenue and Presentation of Prior Periods

Based on the disclosure requirements of ASC 606, we are presenting revenues disaggregated based on the type of good or service in order to more fully depict the nature of our revenues. See "Note 15—Segment Information" for the revenue disaggregation information included in the segment information table for the year ended December 31, 2018. As we adopted ASC 606 using the modified retrospective method, only the consolidated statement of operations and revenue disaggregation information for the year ended December 31, 2018 are presented to conform to ASC 606 accounting and disclosure requirements. Prior periods presented in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes were not restated in accordance with ASC 606.

(d) Gas Imbalance Accounting

Quantities of natural gas and NGLs over-delivered or under-delivered related to imbalance agreements are recorded monthly as receivables or payables using weighted average prices at the time of the imbalance. These imbalances are typically settled with deliveries of natural gas or NGLs. We had imbalance payables of \$12.4 million and \$7.3 million at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, which approximate the fair value of these imbalances. We had imbalance receivables of \$10.4 million and \$5.8 million at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, which are carried at the lower of cost or market value. Imbalance receivables and imbalance payables are included in the line items "Accrued revenue and other" and "Accrued gas, NGLs, condensate and crude oil purchases," respectively, on the consolidated balance sheets.

(e) Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

(f) Income Taxes

Certain of our operations are subject to income taxes assessed by the federal and various state jurisdictions in the U.S. Additionally, certain of our operations are subject to tax assessed by the state of Texas that is computed based on modified gross margin as defined by the State of Texas. The Texas franchise tax is presented as income tax expense in the accompanying statements of operations.

We account for deferred income taxes related to the federal and state jurisdictions using the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets are also recognized for the future tax benefits attributable to the expected utilization of existing tax net operating loss carryforwards and other types of carryforwards. If the future utilization of some portion of carryforwards is determined to be unlikely, a valuation allowance is provided to reduce the recorded tax benefits from such assets. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences and carryforwards are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. In the event interest or penalties are incurred with respect to income tax matters, our policy will be to include such items in income tax expense.

(g) Natural Gas, Natural Gas Liquids, Crude Oil and Condensate Inventory

Our inventories of products consist of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil and condensate. We report these assets at the lower of cost or market value which is determined by using the first-in, first-out method.

(h) Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at historical cost less accumulated depreciation. Assets acquired in a business combination are recorded at fair value. Repairs and maintenance are charged against income when incurred. Renewals and betterments, which extend the useful life of the properties, are capitalized. Interest costs for material projects are capitalized to property and equipment during the period the assets are undergoing preparation for intended use.

The components of property and equipment are as follows (in millions):

	Year Ended	
	December	31,
	2018	2017
Transmission assets	\$1,329.4	\$1,338.7
Gathering systems	4,410.5	4,040.9
Gas processing plants	3,590.5	3,401.8
Other property and equipment	171.7	157.8
Construction in process	312.0	180.8
Property and equipment	9,814.1	9,120.0
Accumulated depreciation	(2,967.4)	(2,533.0)
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation	\$6,846.7	\$6,587.0

Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method based on the estimated useful life of each asset, as follows:

Transmission assets 20 - 25 years
Gathering systems 20 - 25 years
Gas processing plants 20 - 25 years
Other property and equipment 3 - 15 years

Depreciation expense of \$453.8 million, \$418.2 million, and \$386.9 million was recorded for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

Gain or Loss on Disposition. Upon the disposition or retirement of property and equipment, any gain or loss is recognized in operating income in the statement of operations. For the year ended December 31, 2018, we disposed of assets with a net book value of \$2.1 million. These dispositions primarily related to vehicle retirements and retirements due to compressor fire damage. This decrease in book value was offset by \$1.7 million of proceeds from the sale of property, resulting in \$0.4 million loss on disposition of assets in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2018.

For the year ended December 31, 2017, we disposed of assets with a net book value of \$8.4 million, and these dispositions primarily related to the retirement of compressors due to fire damage. This decrease in book value was offset by \$6.1 million in expected insurance settlements and \$2.3 million of proceeds from the sale of property, resulting in no gain or loss on disposition of assets in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2017.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, we retired or sold net property and equipment of \$106.6 million, which was offset by \$0.3 million of insurance settlements and \$93.1 million of proceeds from the sale of property, resulting in a loss on

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

disposition of assets of \$13.2 million. The loss on disposition of assets primarily related to the sale of the NPTL, a 140-mile natural gas transportation pipeline in North Texas, that resulted in net proceeds of \$84.6 million and a loss on sale of \$13.4 million.

Impairment Review. In accordance with ASC 360, Property, Plant, and Equipment, we evaluate long-lived assets of identifiable business activities for potential impairment annually in the fourth quarter, and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable. The carrying amount of a long-lived asset is not recoverable when it exceeds the undiscounted sum of the future cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. Estimates of expected future cash flows represent management's best estimate based on reasonable and supportable assumptions. When the carrying amount of a long-lived asset is not recoverable, an impairment is recognized equal to the excess of the asset's carrying value over its fair value, which is based on inputs that are not observable in the market, and thus represent Level 3 inputs.

When determining whether impairment of our long-lived assets has occurred, we must estimate the undiscounted cash flows attributable to the asset. Our estimate of cash flows is based on assumptions regarding:

the future fee-based rate of new business or contract renewals;

the purchase and resale margins on natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate;

the volume of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate available to the asset;

markets available to the asset;

operating expenses; and

future natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate prices.

The amount of availability of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate to an asset is sometimes based on assumptions regarding future drilling activity, which may be dependent in part on natural gas, NGL, crude oil, and condensate prices. Projections of natural gas, NGL, crude oil, and condensate volumes and future commodity prices are inherently subjective and contingent upon a number of variable factors, including but not limited to:

changes in general economic conditions in regions in which our markets are located;

the availability and prices of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate supply;

our ability to negotiate favorable sales agreements;

the risks that natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate exploration and production activities will not occur or be successful;

our dependence on certain significant customers, producers, and transporters of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, and condensate; and

competition from other midstream companies, including major energy companies.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, we determined that the undiscounted cash flows for two of our assets were not in excess of their carrying values. We estimated the fair values of these assets and determined that their fair values were not in excess of their carrying values, which resulted in impairments on property and equipment of \$24.6 million related to certain non-core natural gas pipeline assets in the Louisiana segment and \$109.2 million related to non-core crude pipeline assets in the Crude and Condensate segment.

For the year ended December 31, 2017, we recognized a \$17.1 million impairment on property and equipment, which related to the carrying values of rights-of-way that we are no longer using and an abandoned brine disposal well. There were no impairments on property and equipment recognized for the year ended December 31, 2016.

(i) Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) is composed of net income (loss), which consists of the effective portion of gains or losses on derivative financial instruments that qualify as cash flow hedges pursuant to ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging ("ASC 815"). For the year ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, we reclassified an immaterial amount of losses from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) to earnings. For additional information, see "Note 12—Derivatives."

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(j) Equity Method of Accounting

We account for investments where we do not control the investment but have the ability to exercise significant influence using the equity method of accounting. Under this method, unconsolidated affiliate investments are initially carried at the acquisition cost, increased by our proportionate share of the investee's net income and by contributions made, and decreased by our proportionate share of the investee's net losses and by distributions received.

We evaluate our unconsolidated affiliate investments for potential impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the investments may not be recoverable. We recognize impairments of our investments as a loss from unconsolidated affiliates on our consolidated statements of operations. For additional information, see "Note 10—Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliates."

(k) Non-controlling Interests

We account for investments where we control the investment using the consolidation method of accounting. Under this method, we consolidate all the assets and liabilities of an investment on our consolidated balance sheets and record non-controlling interest for the portion of the investment that we do not own. We include all of an investment's results of operations on our consolidated statements of operations and record income attributable to non-controlling interests for the portion of the investment that we do not own.

Our non-controlling interests for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 relate to NGP's 49.9% ownership of the Delaware Basin JV, Marathon Petroleum Corporation's 50.0% ownership interest in the Ascension JV, and other minor non-controlling interests.

(l) Goodwill

Goodwill is the cost of an acquisition less the fair value of the net identifiable assets of the acquired business. We evaluate goodwill for impairment annually as of October 31 and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. For additional information regarding our assessment of goodwill for impairment, see "Note 4—Goodwill and Intangible Assets."

(m) Intangible Assets

Intangible assets associated with customer relationships are amortized on a straight-line basis over the expected period of benefits of the customer relationships, which range from five to twenty years. For additional information regarding our intangible assets, including our assessment of intangible assets for impairment, see "Note 4—Goodwill and Intangible Assets."

(n) Asset Retirement Obligations

We recognize liabilities for retirement obligations associated with our pipelines and processing and fractionation facilities. Such liabilities are recognized when there is a legal obligation associated with the retirement of the assets and the amount can be reasonably estimated. The initial measurement of an asset retirement obligation is recorded as a liability at its fair value, with an offsetting asset retirement cost recorded as an increase to the associated property and equipment. If the fair value of a recorded asset retirement obligation changes, a revision is recorded to both the asset retirement obligation and the asset retirement cost. Our retirement obligations include estimated environmental remediation costs that arise from normal operations and are associated with the retirement of the long-lived assets.

The asset retirement cost is depreciated using the straight-line depreciation method similar to that used for the associated property and equipment.

(o) Other Long-Term Liabilities

Other current and long-term liabilities include a liability related to an onerous performance obligation assumed in the Business Combination of \$9.0 million and \$26.9 million as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. We have one delivery contract that requires us to deliver a specified volume of gas each month at an indexed base price with a term to mid-2019. We realize a loss on the delivery of gas under this contract each month based on current prices. The fair value of this onerous performance obligation was based on forecasted discounted cash obligations in excess of market under this gas delivery

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

contract in March 2014. The liability is reduced each month as delivery is made over the remaining life of the contract with an offsetting reduction in purchased gas costs.

(p) Derivatives

We use derivative instruments to hedge against changes in cash flows related to product price. We generally determine the fair value of swap contracts based on the difference between the derivative's fixed contract price and the underlying market price at the determination date. The asset or liability related to the derivative instruments is recorded on the balance sheet at the fair value of derivative assets or liabilities in accordance with ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging ("ASC 815"). Changes in fair value of derivative instruments are recorded in gain or loss on derivative activity in the period of change.

Realized gains and losses on commodity-related derivatives are recorded as gain or loss on derivative activity within revenues in the consolidated statements of operations in the period incurred. Settlements of derivatives are included in cash flows from operating activities.

We periodically enter into interest rate swaps in connection with new debt issuances. During the debt issuance process, we are exposed to variability in future long-term debt interest payments that may result from changes in the benchmark interest rate (commonly the U.S. Treasury yield) prior to the debt being issued. In order to hedge this variability, we enter into interest rate swaps to effectively lock in the benchmark interest rate at the inception of the swap. Prior to 2017, we did not designate interest rate swaps as hedges and, therefore, included the associated settlement gains and losses as interest expense on the consolidated statements of operations.

In May 2017, we entered into an interest rate swap in connection with the issuance of our 2047 Notes. In accordance with ASC 815, we designated this swap as a cash flow hedge. Upon settlement of the interest rate swap in May 2017, we recorded the associated \$2.2 million settlement loss in accumulated comprehensive loss on the consolidated balance sheets. We will amortize the settlement loss into interest expense on the consolidated statements of operations over the term of the 2047 Notes. For additional information, see "Note 12—Derivatives."

(q) Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments, which potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk, consist primarily of trade accounts receivable and commodity financial instruments. Management believes the risk is limited, other than our exposure to significant customers discussed below, since our customers represent a broad and diverse group of energy marketers and end users. In addition, we continually monitor and review the credit exposure of our marketing counter-parties, and letters of credit or other appropriate security are obtained when considered necessary to limit the risk of loss. We record reserves for uncollectible accounts on a specific identification basis since there is not a large volume of late paying customers. We had a reserve for uncollectible receivables of \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

The following customers individually represented greater than 10% of our consolidated revenues. These customers represent a significant percentage of revenues, and the loss of the customer would have a material adverse impact on our results of operations because the revenues and gross operating margin received from transactions with these customers is material to us. No other customers represented greater than 10% of our consolidated revenues.

Year Ended December 31, 2018 2017 2016

Devon	10.4%	14.4%	18.5%
Dow Hydrocarbons and Resources LLC	11.1%	11.2%	10.8%
Marathon Petroleum Corporation	11.5%	(1)	(1)

Consolidated revenues for Marathon Petroleum Corporation did not exceed 10% of our consolidated revenues for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

(r) Environmental Costs

Environmental expenditures are expensed or capitalized depending on the nature of the expenditures and the future economic benefit. Expenditures that relate to an existing condition caused by past operations that do not contribute to current or

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

future revenue generation are expensed. Liabilities for these expenditures are recorded on an undiscounted basis (or a discounted basis when the obligation can be settled at fixed and determinable amounts) when environmental assessments or clean-ups are probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated. For the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, environmental expenditures were not material.

(s) Unit-Based Awards

We recognize compensation cost related to all unit-based awards in our consolidated financial statements in accordance with ASC 718, Compensation—Stock Compensation ("ASC 718"). We and ENLK each have similar unit-based payment plans for employees. Unit-based compensation associated with ENLC's unit-based compensation plans awarded to directors, officers, and employees of the General Partner are recorded by ENLK since ENLC has no substantial or managed operating activities other than its interests in ENLK. For additional information, see "Note 11—Employee Incentive Plans."

(t) Commitments and Contingencies

Liabilities for loss contingencies arising from claims, assessments, litigation, or other sources are recorded when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount can be reasonably estimated. For additional information, see "Note 14—Commitments and Contingencies."

(u) Debt Issuance Costs

Costs incurred in connection with the issuance of long-term debt are deferred and recorded as interest expense over the term of the related debt. Gains or losses on debt repurchases, redemptions, and debt extinguishments include any associated unamortized debt issue costs. Unamortized debt issuance costs totaling \$24.5 million and \$26.2 million as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, are included in "Long-term debt" or "Current maturities of long-term debt," as applicable, on the consolidated balance sheets as a direct reduction from the carrying amount of the debt. Debt issuance costs are amortized into interest expense using the straight-line method over the term of the related debt issuance.

(v) Legal Costs Expected to be Incurred in Connection with a Loss Contingency

Legal costs incurred in connection with a loss contingency are expensed as incurred.

(w) Redeemable Non-Controlling Interest

Non-controlling interests that contain an option for the non-controlling interest holder to require us to buy out such interests for cash are considered to be redeemable non-controlling interests because the redemption feature is not deemed to be a freestanding financial instrument and because the redemption is not solely within our control. Redeemable non-controlling interest is not considered to be a component of partners' equity and is reported as temporary equity in the mezzanine section on the consolidated balance sheets. The amount recorded as redeemable non-controlling interest at each balance sheet date is the greater of the redemption value and the carrying value of the redeemable non-controlling interest (the initial carrying value increased or decreased for the non-controlling interest holder's share of net income or loss and distributions).

(x) Adopted Accounting Standards

Effective January 1, 2018, we adopted ASC 606 using the modified retrospective method. ASC 606 replaces previous revenue recognition requirements in GAAP and requires entities to recognize revenue at an amount that reflects the consideration to which they expect to be entitled in exchange for transferring goods or services to a customer. ASC 606 also requires significantly expanded disclosures containing qualitative and quantitative information regarding the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. For additional information about our application of ASC 606 refer to "(c) Revenue Recognition" above.

(y) Accounting Standards to be Adopted in Future Periods

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842)—Amendments to the FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("ASU 2016-02"), which establishes ASC Topic 842, Leases ("ASC 842"). Under ASC 842, lessees will need to recognize virtually all of their leases on the balance sheet by recording a right-of-use asset and lease liability. Lessor accounting

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

is similar to the current model but updated to align with certain changes to the lessee model and the new revenue recognition standard. Existing sale-leaseback guidance is replaced with a new model applicable to both lessees and lessors. Additional revisions have been made to embedded leases, reassessment requirements, and lease term assessments including variable lease payment, discount rate, and lease incentives. ASC 842 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those annual periods. We will adopt ASC 842 effective January 1, 2019. We have assessed the impact of adopting ASC 842 and implemented a lease accounting software. This assessment includes the evaluation of our current lease contracts and the analysis of contracts that may contain lease components. We are electing to apply certain practical expedients that are allowed in the adoption of ASC 842, including not reassessing existing contracts for lease arrangements, not reassessing existing lease classification, not recording a right-of-use asset or lease liability for leases of twelve months or less, and not separating lease and non-lease components of a lease arrangement. We believe the adoption of ASC 842 will increase our asset and liability balances on the consolidated balance sheets by approximately \$75 million due to the required recognition of right-of-use assets and corresponding lease liabilities for all lease obligations that are currently classified as operating leases.

In January 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-01, Leases (Topic 842)—Land Easement Practical Expedient for Transition to Topic 842 ("ASU 2018-01"). ASU 2018-01 amends ASC 842 and provides an optional practical expedient to not evaluate under ASC 842 existing or expired land easements that were not previously accounted for as leases under the current leases guidance in ASC 840, Leases. Under ASU 2018-01, an entity that elects this practical expedient should evaluate new or modified land easements under ASC 842 beginning at the date that the entity adopts ASC 842. We plan to utilize the practical expedient provided in ASU 2018-01 in conjunction with our adoption of ASC 842.

In July 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-11, Leases (Topic 842)—Targeted Improvements ("ASU 2018-11"). ASU 2018-11 amends ASC 842 and allows entities to adopt the new leases standard using a modified retrospective approach. Under this new transition method, entities initially apply the new leases standard at the adoption date and recognize a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings in the period of adoption. Additionally, an entity's reporting for the comparative periods presented in the financial statements in which it adopts the new leases standard will continue to be in accordance with current GAAP. We plan to utilize the optional transition method provided in ASU 2018-11 in conjunction with our adoption of ASC 842 in January 2019.

(3) Acquisition

On January 7, 2016, ENLC and ENLK acquired a 16.1% and 83.9% voting interest, respectively, in EOGP for aggregate consideration of approximately \$1.4 billion. Upon closing of the acquisition on January 7, 2016, the first installment of \$1.02 billion for the acquisition was paid. The second and final installments, each equal to \$250.0 million, were paid in January 2017 and January 2018, respectively.

The first installment of approximately \$1.02 billion was funded by (a) approximately \$783.6 million in cash paid by ENLK, which was primarily derived from the issuance of Series B Preferred Units, (b) 15,564,009 common units representing limited liability company interests in ENLC issued directly by ENLC and (c) approximately \$22.2 million in cash paid by ENLC. The transaction was accounted for using the acquisition method.

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

The following table presents the considerations ENLC and ENLK paid and the fair value of the identified assets received and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date (in millions):

Consideration:

Cash	\$805.8
Issuance of ENLC common units	214.9
ENLK's total installment payable, net of discount of \$79.1 million	420.9
Total consideration	\$1,441.6
Purchase Price Allocation:	
Assets acquired:	
Current assets (including \$12.8 million in cash)	\$23.0
Property and equipment	406.1
Intangibles	1,051.3
Liabilities assumed:	
Current liabilities	(38.8)
Total identifiable net assets	\$1,441.6

The fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed are based on inputs that are not observable in the market and thus represent Level 3 inputs. We recognized intangible assets related to customer relationships and determined their fair value using the income approach. The acquired intangible assets are amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated customer life of approximately 15 years.

We incurred a total of \$4.4 million of direct transaction costs for the year ended December 31, 2016. These costs are included in general and administrative costs in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

For the period from January 7, 2016 to December 31, 2016, we recognized \$246.1 million of revenues and \$34.1 million of net loss related to the assets acquired.

(4) Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill

Goodwill is the cost of an acquisition less the fair value of the net identifiable assets of the acquired business. The fair value of goodwill is based on inputs that are not observable in the market and thus represent Level 3 inputs.

The table below provides a summary of our change in carrying amount of goodwill (in millions) for the year ended December 31, 2018, by assigned reporting unit. For the year ended December 31, 2017, there were no changes to the carrying amounts of goodwill.

	Texas	Oklahoma	Corporate	Totals
Year Ended December 31, 2018				
Balance, beginning of period	\$232.0	\$ 190.3	\$1,119.9	\$1,542.2
Impairment	(232.0)	_	_	(232.0)
Balance, end of period	\$ —	\$ 190.3	\$1,119.9	\$1,310.2

We evaluate goodwill for impairment annually as of October 31 and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. We first assess

qualitative factors to evaluate whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as the basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform a goodwill impairment test. We may elect to perform a goodwill impairment test without completing a qualitative assessment.

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

We perform our goodwill assessments at the reporting unit level for all reporting units. We use a discounted cash flow analysis to perform the assessments. Key assumptions in the analysis include the use of an appropriate discount rate, terminal year multiples, and estimated future cash flows, including volume and price forecasts and estimated operating and general and administrative costs. In estimating cash flows, we incorporate current and historical market and financial information, among other factors. Impairment determinations involve significant assumptions and judgments, and differing assumptions regarding any of these inputs could have a significant effect on the various valuations. If actual results are not consistent with our assumptions and estimates, or our assumptions and estimates change due to new information, we may be exposed to goodwill impairment charges, which would be recognized in the period in which the carrying value exceeds fair value. The estimated fair value of our reporting units may be impacted in the future by a decline in our unit price or a prolonged period of lower commodity prices which may adversely affect our estimate of future cash flows, both of which could result in future goodwill impairment charges for our reporting units.

Prior to January 2017, if a goodwill impairment test was elected or required, we performed a two-step goodwill impairment test. The first step involved comparing the fair value of the reporting unit to its carrying amount. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeded its fair value, the second step of the process involved comparing the implied fair value to the carrying value of the goodwill for that reporting unit. If the carrying value of the goodwill of a reporting unit exceeded the implied fair value of that goodwill, the excess of the carrying value over the implied fair value was recognized as an impairment.

Effective January 2017, we elected to early adopt ASU 2017-04, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350)— Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment "ASU 2017-04"), which simplified the accounting for goodwill impairments by eliminating the requirement to compare the implied fair value of goodwill with its carrying amount as part of step two of the goodwill impairment test referenced in ASC 350, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other. Therefore, our annual impairment test as of October 31, 2017 was performed according to ASU 2017-04.

Goodwill Impairment Analysis for the Year Ended December 31, 2018

During our annual goodwill impairment test for 2018, which was performed as of October 31, 2018, we determined, based upon our qualitative assessment, that no impairments of goodwill were required as of that date. However, subsequent to October 31, 2018, we determined that due to a significant decline in our unit price, a change in circumstances had occurred that warranted a quantitative impairment test. Based on this triggering event, we performed a quantitative goodwill impairment analysis as of December 31, 2018. Based on this analysis, a goodwill impairment loss for our Texas reporting unit in the amount of \$232.0 million was recognized in the fourth quarter of 2018 and is included in impairments in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2018. Substantially all of the goodwill for our Texas reporting unit was recorded as a result of our Business Combination in March 2014.

We concluded that the fair value of our Oklahoma and Corporate reporting units exceeded their carrying values, and the amounts of goodwill disclosed on the consolidated balance sheet associated with these reporting units were recoverable. Therefore, no goodwill impairment was identified or recorded for these reporting units as a result of our quantitative impairment test.

Goodwill Impairment Analysis for the Year Ended December 31, 2017

During our annual impairment test for 2017, performed as of October 31, 2017, we determined that no impairments were required for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Goodwill Impairment Analysis for the Year Ended December 31, 2016

During February 2016, we determined that continued weakness in the overall energy sector, driven by low commodity prices together with a decline in our unit price subsequent to year-end, caused a change in circumstances warranting an interim impairment test. Based on these triggering events, we performed a goodwill impairment analysis in the first quarter of 2016 on all reporting units. Based on this analysis, a goodwill impairment for our Texas, Crude and Condensate, and Corporate reporting units in the amount of \$873.3 million was recognized in the first quarter of 2016 and is included as impairments in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

We concluded that the fair value of our Oklahoma reporting unit exceeded its carrying value, and the amount of goodwill disclosed on the consolidated balance sheet associated with this reporting unit was recoverable. Therefore, no goodwill impairment was identified or recorded for this reporting unit as a result of our goodwill impairment analysis.

During our annual impairment test for 2016, performed as of October 31, 2016, we determined that no further impairments were required for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets associated with customer relationships are amortized on a straight-line basis over the expected period of benefits of the customer relationships, which range from 5 to 20 years.

The following table represents our change in carrying value of intangible assets for the periods stated (in millions):

	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
Year Ended December 31, 2018			
Customer relationships, beginning of period	\$1,795.8	\$ (298.7)	\$1,497.1
Amortization expense		(123.5)	(123.5)
Customer relationships, end of period	\$1,795.8	\$ (422.2)	\$1,373.6
Year Ended December 31, 2017 Customer relationships, beginning of period Amortization expense Customer relationships, end of period	\$1,795.8 — \$1,795.8	(127.1)	\$1,624.2 (127.1) \$1,497.1
Year Ended December 31, 2016			
Customer relationships, beginning of period	\$744.5	\$ (54.6)	\$689.9
Acquisitions	1,051.3		1,051.3
Amortization expense		(117.0)	(117.0)
Customer relationships, end of period	\$1,795.8	\$ (171.6)	\$1,624.2

For the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, we reviewed our various assets groups for impairment during our annual impairment review process and determined that no impairment of our intangible assets occurred. We utilized Level 3 fair value measurements in our impairment analysis, which included discounted cash flow assumptions by management consistent with those utilized in our goodwill impairment analysis.

The weighted average amortization period for intangible assets is 15.0 years. Amortization expense was \$123.5 million, \$127.1 million, and \$117.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

The following table summarizes our estimated aggregate amortization expense for the next five years and thereafter (in millions):

2019	\$123.7
2020	123.7
2021	123.7

2022	123.7
2023	123.6
Thereaf	ter755.2
Total	\$1,373.6

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(5) Related Party Transactions

Simplification of the Corporate Structure

On October 21, 2018, ENLK, ENLC, the General Partner, the Managing Member, and NOLA Merger Sub entered into the Merger Agreement pursuant to which, on January 25, 2019, NOLA Merger Sub merged with and into ENLK, with ENLK continuing as the surviving entity and as a subsidiary of ENLC. See "Note 19—Subsequent Events" for more information on the Merger and related transactions.

Transactions with Devon

On July 18, 2018, subsidiaries of Devon sold all of their equity interests in ENLK, ENLC, and the Managing Member of ENLC to GIP for aggregate consideration of \$3.125 billion. Accordingly, Devon is no longer an affiliate of ENLK or ENLC. The sale did not affect our commercial arrangements with Devon, except that Devon agreed to extend through 2029 certain existing fixed-fee gathering and processing contracts related to the Bridgeport plant in North Texas and the Cana plant in Oklahoma. See "Note 1—Organization and Summary of Significant Agreements" for additional information regarding the GIP Transaction. Prior to July 18, 2018, revenues from transactions with Devon are included in "Product sales—related parties" or "Midstream services—related parties" in the consolidated statement of operations. Revenues from transactions with Devon after July 18, 2018 are included in "Product sales" or "Midstream services" in the consolidated statement of operations.

For the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, related party transactions with Devon accounted for 5.4%, 14.4%, and 18.5% of our revenues, respectively. We had an accounts receivable balance related to transactions with Devon of \$102.7 million as of December 31, 2017. Additionally, we had an accounts payable balance related to transactions with Devon of \$16.3 million as of December 31, 2017. Management believes these transactions are executed on terms that are fair and reasonable. The amounts from related party transactions are specified in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Gathering, Processing, and Transportation Agreements Associated with Our Business Combination with Devon

As described in "Note 1—Organization and Summary of Significant Agreements," Midstream Holdings was previously a wholly-owned subsidiary of Devon, and all of its assets were contributed to it by Devon. On January 1, 2014, in connection with the consummation of the Business Combination, EnLink Midstream Services, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Midstream Holdings ("EnLink Midstream Services"), entered into 10-year gathering and processing agreements with Devon pursuant to which EnLink Midstream Services provides gathering, treating, compression, dehydration, stabilization, processing, and fractionation services, as applicable, for natural gas delivered by Devon Gas Services, L.P., a subsidiary of Devon ("Gas Services"), to Midstream Holdings' gathering and processing systems in the Barnett, Cana-Woodford, and Arkoma-Woodford Shales. On January 1, 2014, SWG Pipeline, L.L.C. ("SWG Pipeline"), another wholly-owned subsidiary of Midstream Holdings, entered into a 10-year gathering agreement with Devon pursuant to which SWG Pipeline provides gathering, treating, compression, dehydration, and redelivery services, as applicable, for natural gas delivered by Gas Services to another of our gathering systems in the Barnett Shale.

These agreements provide Midstream Holdings with dedication of all of the natural gas owned or controlled by Devon and produced from or attributable to existing and future wells located on certain oil, natural gas, and mineral leases covering land within the acreage dedications, excluding properties previously dedicated to other natural gas gathering systems not owned and operated by Devon. Pursuant to the gathering and processing agreements entered into on

January 1, 2014, Devon has committed to deliver specified minimum daily volumes of natural gas to Midstream Holdings' gathering systems in the Barnett, Cana-Woodford, and Arkoma-Woodford Shales during each calendar quarter. From January 1, 2018 to July 18, 2018, we recognized \$321.3 million of revenue under these agreements. For the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, we recognized \$615.5 million and \$611.8 million of revenue, respectively, under these agreements. Included in these amounts of revenue recognized is revenue from MVCs attributable to Devon of \$50.8 million from January 1, 2018 to July 18, 2018 and \$81.9 million and \$46.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Devon is entitled to firm service, meaning that if capacity on a system is curtailed or reduced, or capacity is otherwise insufficient, Midstream Holdings will take delivery of as much Devon natural gas as is permitted in accordance with applicable law.

The gathering and processing agreements are fee-based, and Midstream Holdings is paid a specified fee per MMBtu for natural gas gathered on Midstream Holdings' gathering systems and a specified fee per MMBtu for natural gas processed. The

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

particular fees, all of which are subject to an automatic annual inflation escalator at the beginning of each year, differ from one system to another and do not contain a fee redetermination clause.

In connection with the closing of the Business Combination, Midstream Holdings entered into an agreement with a wholly-owned subsidiary of Devon pursuant to which Midstream Holdings provides transportation services to Devon on its Acacia pipeline.

EOGP Agreement with Devon

In January 2016, in connection with the acquisition of EOGP, we acquired a gas gathering and processing agreement with Devon Energy Production Company, L.P. ("DEPC") pursuant to which EOGP provides gathering, treating, compression, dehydration, stabilization, processing, and fractionation services, as applicable, for natural gas delivered by DEPC. The agreement has an MVC that will remain in place during each calendar quarter for four years and an overall term of approximately 15 years. Additionally, the agreement provides EOGP with dedication of all of the natural gas owned or controlled by DEPC and produced from or attributable to existing and future wells located on certain oil, natural gas, and mineral leases covering land within the acreage dedications, excluding properties previously dedicated to other natural gas gathering systems not owned and operated by DEPC. DEPC is entitled to firm service, meaning a level of gathering and processing service in which DEPC's reserved capacity may not be interrupted, except due to force majeure, and may not be displaced by another customer or class of service. This agreement accounted for approximately \$77.6 million, \$100.4 million, and \$34.4 million of our combined revenues from January 1, 2018 to July 18, 2018 and for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Other Commercial Relationships with Devon

As noted above, we continue to maintain a customer relationship with Devon originally established prior to the Business Combination pursuant to which we provide gathering, transportation, processing, and gas lift services to Devon in exchange for fee-based compensation under several agreements with Devon. In addition, we have agreements with Devon pursuant to which we purchase and sell NGLs, gas, and crude oil and pay or receive, as applicable, a margin-based fee. These NGL, gas, and crude oil purchase and sale agreements have month-to-month terms. These historical agreements collectively comprise \$66.6 million, \$78.0 million, and \$107.2 million of our combined revenue from January 1, 2018 to July 18, 2018 and for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

VEX Transportation Agreement

In connection with our acquisition of the VEX assets from Devon, we became party to a five-year transportation services agreement with Devon pursuant to which we provide transportation services to Devon on the VEX pipeline. This agreement includes a five-year MVC with Devon. The MVC was executed in June 2014, and the initial term expires July 2019. This agreement accounted for approximately \$3.5 million, \$17.8 million, and \$18.7 million of service revenues from January 1, 2018 to July 18, 2018 and for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Acacia Transportation Agreement

In connection with the consummation of the Business Combination, we entered into an agreement with a wholly-owned subsidiary of Devon pursuant to which we provide transportation services to Devon on our Acacia pipeline in Texas. This agreement accounted for approximately \$4.9 million, \$13.8 million, and \$15.2 million of our

combined revenues from January 1, 2018 to July 18, 2018 and for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

GCF Interest

In connection with the consummation of the Business Combination and the GIP Transaction, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Devon transferred to us its 38.75% general partner interest in GCF. Our interest in GCF contributed approximately \$10.5 million, \$12.6 million, and \$3.4 million to our income from unconsolidated affiliate investment from January 1, 2018 to July 18, 2018 and for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Cedar Cove Joint Venture

On November 9, 2016, we formed the Cedar Cove JV with Kinder Morgan, Inc. consisting of gathering and compression assets in Blaine County, Oklahoma. Under a 15-year, fixed-fee agreement, all gas gathered by the Cedar Cove JV will be processed at our Central Oklahoma processing system. For the period from November 9, 2016 through December 31, 2016, revenue generated from processing gas and cost of sales from the Cedar Cove JV was immaterial. For the years ended December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, we recorded service revenue of \$0.5 million and \$5.4 million, respectively, that is recorded as "Midstream services—related parties" on the consolidated statements of operations. In addition, for the years ended December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, we recorded cost of sales of \$44.1 million and \$30.6 million, respectively, related to our purchase of residue gas and NGLs from the Cedar Cove JV subsequent to processing at our Central Oklahoma processing facilities. We had an accounts receivable balance related to transactions with the Cedar Cove JV of \$4.3 million at December 31, 2018. Additionally, we had an accounts payable balance related to transactions with the Cedar Cove JV of \$4.3 million at December 31, 2018. The accounts receivable and payable balances related to transactions with the Cedar Cove JV were immaterial at December 31, 2017.

Transactions with ENLK

We paid ENLK \$2.5 million, \$2.4 million, and \$2.3 million as reimbursement during the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively, to cover our portion of administrative and compensation costs for officers and employees that perform services for ENLC. This reimbursement is evaluated on an annual basis. Officers and employees that perform services for us provide an estimate of the portion of their time devoted to such services. A portion of their annual compensation (including bonuses, payroll taxes, and other benefit costs) is allocated to ENLC for reimbursement based on these estimates. In addition, an administrative burden is added to such costs to reimburse ENLK for additional support costs, including, but not limited to, consideration for rent, office support, and information service support.

We paid ENLK \$26.6 million, \$48.4 million, and \$31.5 million for our interest in EOGP's capital expenditures for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively. We pay our contribution for EOGP's capital expenditures to ENLK monthly, net of EOGP's adjusted EBITDA distributable to us, which is defined as earnings before depreciation and amortization and provision for income taxes and includes allocated expenses from ENLK. Following the Merger, we transferred our 16.1% limited partner interest in EOGP to the Operating Partnership. See "Note 19—Subsequent Events" for more information regarding these transactions.

Tax Sharing Agreement

In connection with the consummation of the Business Combination, we, ENLK, and Devon, entered into a tax sharing agreement providing for the allocation of responsibilities, liabilities, and benefits relating to any tax for which a combined tax return is due. From January 1, 2018 to July 18, 2018 and the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 we incurred approximately \$0.4 million, \$1.2 million, and \$2.3 million, respectively, in taxes that are subject to the tax sharing agreement. Effective July 18, 2018, ENLK, ENLC, and Devon signed a supplemental agreement to continue the tax sharing agreement.

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(6) Long-Term Debt

As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, long-term debt consisted of the following (in millions):

	December 31, 2018			December 31, 2017					
	Outstandingemium			Long-Term	Outstandingemium			Long-Ter	m
	Principal	(Discour	nt)	Debt	Principal (Discount))	Debt	
ENLC Credit Facility, due 2019 (1)	\$111.4	\$ —		\$111.4	\$74.6	\$ —		\$74.6	
2.70% Senior unsecured notes due 2019 (2)	400.0			400.0	400.0	(0.1))	399.9	
Term Loan due 2021 (3)	850.0	_		850.0	_	_		_	
4.40% Senior unsecured notes due 2024	550.0	1.8		551.8	550.0	2.2		552.2	
4.15% Senior unsecured notes due 2025	750.0	(0.9))	749.1	750.0	(1.0))	749.0	
4.85% Senior unsecured notes due 2026	500.0	(0.5)	499.5	500.0	(0.6)		499.4	
5.60% Senior unsecured notes due 2044	350.0	(0.2))	349.8	350.0	(0.2))	349.8	
5.05% Senior unsecured notes due 2045	450.0	(6.2)	443.8	450.0	(6.5)) .	443.5	
5.45% Senior unsecured notes due 2047	500.0	(0.1)	499.9	500.0	(0.1)) .	499.9	
Debt classified as long-term	\$4,461.4	\$ (6.1)	4,455.3	\$3,574.6	\$ (6.3))	3,568.3	
Debt issuance cost (4)				(24.5)				(26.2)
Less: Current maturities of long-term debt (2)				(399.8)				_	
Long-term debt, net of unamortized issuance cost				\$4,031.0				\$3,542.1	

Bears interest based on Prime and/or LIBOR plus an applicable margin. The effective interest rate was 4.4% and 3.2% at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. Subsequent to the closing of the Merger, the ENLC Credit

⁽¹⁾ Facility was canceled, and all outstanding borrowings were refinanced through borrowings on the Consolidated Credit Facility. Since the borrowings under the ENLC Credit Facility were refinanced with long-term debt, they are classified as "Long-term debt" on the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2018.

The 2.70% senior unsecured notes mature on April 1, 2019. Therefore, the outstanding principal balance, net of

⁽²⁾ discount and debt issuance costs, is classified as "Current maturities of long-term debt" on the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2018.

In December 2018, ENLK entered into an \$850.0 million, three-year unsecured Term Loan. Borrowings under the

⁽³⁾ Term Loan bear interest based on Prime and/or LIBOR plus an applicable margin. The effective interest rate was 3.9% at December 31, 2018.

⁽⁴⁾ Net of amortization of \$16.5 million and \$12.9 million at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Maturities

Maturities for the long-term debt as of December 31, 2018 are as follows (in millions):

\mathcal{E}	,
2019	400.0
2020	
2021	850.0
2022	_
2023	_
Thereafter (1)	3,211.4
Subtotal	4,461.4
Less: net discount	(6.1)
Less: debt issuance cost	(24.5)
Less: current maturities of long-term debt	(399.8)
Long-term debt, net of unamortized issuance cost	\$4,031.0

Subsequent to the closing of the Merger, the ENLC Credit Facility was canceled, and all outstanding borrowings were refinanced through borrowings on the Consolidated Credit Facility. Since the borrowings under the ENLC Credit Facility were refinanced with long-term debt, they are classified as "Long-term debt" on the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2018.

ENLC Credit Facility

Prior to the closing of the Merger, we had a \$250.0 million secured revolving credit facility that would have matured on March 7, 2019 and included a \$125.0 million letter of credit subfacility. Subsequent to the closing of the Merger, the ENLC Credit Facility was canceled, and all outstanding borrowings were refinanced through borrowings on the Consolidated Credit Facility. Since the borrowings under the ENLC Credit Facility were refinanced with long-term debt, they are classified as "Long-term debt" on the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2018.

Borrowings under the ENLC Credit Facility bore interest at our option at the Eurodollar Rate (the LIBOR Rate) plus an applicable margin (ranging from 1.75% to 2.50%) or the Base Rate (the highest of the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.50%, the 30-day Eurodollar Rate plus 1.0% or the administrative agent's prime rate) plus an applicable margin (ranging from 0.75% to 1.50%). The applicable margins varied depending on our leverage ratio.

On June 20, 2018, we amended the change of control provisions of the ENLC Credit Facility to, among other things, designate GIP as Qualifying Owners (as defined in the ENLC Credit Facility).

As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, there were no outstanding letters of credit and \$111.4 million and \$74.6 million in outstanding borrowings under the ENLC Credit Facility.

ENLK Credit Facility

Prior to the closing of the Merger, the ENLK Credit Facility was a \$1.5 billion unsecured revolving credit facility that matured on March 6, 2020, which included a \$500.0 million letter of credit subfacility. Upon the closing of the Merger, the ENLK Credit Facility was repaid and canceled, and all indebtedness thereunder was repaid with borrowings under the Consolidated Credit Facility.

Borrowings under the ENLK Credit Facility bore interest at ENLK's option at the Eurodollar Rate (the LIBOR Rate) plus an applicable margin (ranging from 1.00% to 1.75%) or the Base Rate (the highest of the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.50%, the 30-day Eurodollar Rate plus 1.0%, or the administrative agent's prime rate) plus an applicable margin (ranging from 0.0% to 0.75%). The applicable margins varied depending on ENLK's credit rating.

On June 20, 2018, we amended the change of control provisions of the ENLK Credit Facility to, among other things, designate GIP as Qualifying Owners (as defined in the ENLK Credit Facility).

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

As of December 31, 2018 and 2017 there were no borrowings under the ENLK Credit Facility and \$9.8 million in outstanding letters of credit for each period, respectively.

Consolidated Credit Facility

In connection with the Merger, we refinanced our existing revolving credit facilities at ENLK and ENLC. As of December 31, 2018, we had a \$1.5 billion facility at ENLK and a \$250.0 million facility at ENLC. Following the Merger, we have combined these credit facilities into one \$1.75 billion credit facility at ENLC. Following the Merger, ENLK guaranteed the obligations of ENLC under the Consolidated Credit Facility. For additional information, refer to "Note 19—Subsequent Events."

At December 31, 2018, we were in compliance with and expect to be in compliance with the covenants in the Consolidated Credit Facility for at least the next twelve months.

Term Loan

On December 11, 2018, ENLK entered into the Term Loan with Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Bank of Montreal and Royal Bank of Canada, as Co-Syndication Agents, Citibank, N.A. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Co-Documentation Agents, and the lenders party thereto.

Also, on December 11, 2018, ENLK borrowed \$850.0 million under the Term Loan and used the net proceeds to repay obligations outstanding under the ENLK Credit Facility. Upon the closing of the Merger, ENLC assumed ENLK's obligations under the Term Loan, and ENLK became a guarantor of the Term Loan. The obligations under the Term Loan are unsecured.

The Term Loan will mature on December 10, 2021. The Term Loan contains certain financial, operational, and legal covenants. The financial covenants are tested on a quarterly basis, based on the rolling four-quarter period that ends on the last day of each fiscal quarter. The financial covenants include (i) maintaining a ratio of consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the Term Loan, which term includes projected EBITDA from certain capital expansion projects) to consolidated interest charges of no less than 2.50 to 1.0 at all times prior to the occurrence of an investment grade event (as defined in the Term Loan) and (ii) maintaining a ratio of consolidated indebtedness to consolidated EBITDA of no more than 5.00 to 1.00. If the borrower consummates one or more acquisitions in which the aggregate purchase price is \$50.0 million or more, the borrower can elect to increase the maximum allowed ratio of consolidated indebtedness to consolidated EBITDA to 5.50 to 1.0 for the quarter in which the acquisition occurs and the three subsequent quarters.

Borrowings under the Term Loan bear interest at the borrower's option at the Eurodollar Rate (the LIBOR Rate) plus an applicable margin (ranging from 1.00% to 1.75%) or the Base Rate (the highest of the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.50%, the 30-day Eurodollar Rate plus 1.0% or the administrative agent's prime rate) plus an applicable margin (ranging from 0.00% to 0.75%). The applicable margins vary depending on ENLC's debt rating. Upon breach by the borrower of certain covenants included in the Term Loan, amounts outstanding under the Term Loan may become due and payable immediately.

At December 31, 2018, we were in compliance with and expect to be in compliance with the covenants of the Term Loan for at least the next twelve months.

Issuances and Redemptions of Senior Unsecured Notes

On March 7, 2014, ENLK recorded \$196.5 million in aggregate principal amount of 7.125% senior unsecured notes (the "2022 Notes") due on June 1, 2022 in the Business Combination. The interest payments on the 2022 Notes were due semi-annually in arrears in June and December. As a result of the Business Combination, the 2022 Notes were recorded at fair value in accordance with acquisition accounting at an amount of \$226.0 million, including a premium of \$29.5 million. On July 20, 2014, ENLK redeemed \$18.5 million aggregate principal amount of the 2022 Notes for \$20.0 million, including accrued interest. On September 20, 2014, ENLK redeemed an additional \$15.5 million aggregate principal amount of the 2022 Notes for \$17.0 million, including accrued interest. On June 1, 2017, ENLK redeemed the remaining \$162.5 million in aggregate principal amount of its 2022 Notes at 103.6% of the principal amount, plus accrued unpaid interest, for aggregate cash consideration of \$174.1 million, which resulted in a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$9.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

On March 19, 2014, ENLK issued \$1.2 billion aggregate principal amount of unsecured senior notes, consisting of \$400.0 million aggregate principal amount of its 2.700% senior notes due 2019 (the "2019 Notes"), \$450.0 million aggregate principal amount of its 4.400% senior notes due 2024 (the "2024 Notes") and \$350.0 million aggregate principal amount of its 5.600% senior notes due 2044 (the "2044 Notes"), at prices to the public of 99.850%, 99.830% and 99.925%, respectively, of their face value. The 2019 Notes mature on April 1, 2019; the 2024 Notes mature on April 1, 2024; and the 2044 Notes mature on April 1, 2044. The interest payments on the 2019 Notes, 2024 Notes, and 2044 Notes are due semi-annually in arrears in April and October.

On November 12, 2014, ENLK issued an additional \$100.0 million aggregate principal amount of the 2024 Notes and \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of its 5.050% senior notes due 2045 (the "2045 Notes"), at prices to the public of 104.007% and 99.452%, respectively, of their face value. The new 2024 Notes were offered as an additional issue of ENLK's outstanding 2024 Notes issued on March 19, 2014. The 2024 Notes issued on March 19, 2014 and November 12, 2014 are treated as a single class of debt securities and have identical terms, other than the issue date. The 2045 Notes mature on April 1, 2045, and interest payments on the 2045 Notes are due semi-annually in arrears in April and October.

On May 12, 2015, ENLK issued \$900.0 million aggregate principal amount of unsecured senior notes, consisting of \$750.0 million aggregate principal amount of its 4.150% senior notes due 2025 (the "2025 Notes") and an additional \$150.0 million aggregate principal amount of 2045 Notes at prices to the public of 99.827% and 96.381%, respectively, of their face value. The 2025 Notes mature on June 1, 2025. Interest payments on the 2025 Notes are due semi-annually in arrears in June and December. The new 2045 Notes were offered as an additional issue of ENLK's outstanding 2045 Notes issued on November 12, 2014. The 2045 Notes issued on November 12, 2014 and May 12, 2015 are treated as a single class of debt securities and have identical terms, other than the issue date.

On July 14, 2016, ENLK issued \$500.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 4.850% senior notes due 2026 (the "2026 Notes") at a price to the public of 99.859% of their face value. The 2026 Notes mature on July 15, 2026. Interest payments on the 2026 Notes are payable on January 15 and July 15 of each year. Net proceeds of approximately \$495.7 million were used to repay outstanding borrowings under the ENLK Credit Facility and for general partnership purposes.

On May 11, 2017, ENLK issued \$500.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 5.450% senior unsecured notes due June 1, 2047 (the "2047 Notes") at a price to the public of 99.981% of their face value. Interest payments on the 2047 Notes are payable on June 1 and December 1 of each year, beginning December 1, 2017. Net proceeds of approximately \$495.2 million were used to repay outstanding borrowings under the ENLK Credit Facility and for general partnership purposes.

Senior Unsecured Note Redemption Provisions

Each issuance of the senior unsecured notes may be fully or partially redeemed prior to an early redemption date (see "Early Redemption Date" in table below) at a redemption price equal to the greater of: (i) 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed; or (ii) the sum of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest on the respective notes to be redeemed that would be due after the related redemption date but for such redemption (exclusive of interest accrued to, but excluding the redemption date) discounted to the redemption date on a semi-annual basis (assuming a 360-day year consisting of twelve 30-day months) at the applicable Treasury Rate plus a specified basis point premium (see "Basis Point Premium" in the table below); plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the redemption date. At any time on or after the Early Redemption Date, the senior unsecured notes may be fully or partially redeemed at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the applicable notes

to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the redemption date. See applicable redemption provision terms below:

Issuance	Maturity Date of Notes	Early Redemption Date	Basis Point Premium
2019 Notes	April 1, 2019	Prior to March 1, 2019	20 Basis Points
2024 Notes	April 1, 2024	Prior to January 1, 2024	25 Basis Points
2025 Notes	June 1, 2025	Prior to March 1, 2025	30 Basis Points
2026 Notes	July 15, 2026	Prior to April 15, 2026	50 Basis Points
2044 Notes	April 1, 2044	Prior to October 1, 2043	30 Basis Points
2045 Notes	April 1, 2045	Prior to October 1, 2044	30 Basis Points
2047 Notes	June 1, 2047	Prior to June 1, 2047	40 Basis Points
124			

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Senior Unsecured Note Indentures

The indentures governing the senior unsecured notes contain covenants that, among other things, limit ENLK's ability to create or incur certain liens or consolidate, merge, or transfer all or substantially all of ENLK's assets.

Each of the following is an event of default under the indentures:

failure to pay any principal or interest when due;

failure to observe any other agreement, obligation, or other covenant in the indenture, subject to the cure periods for certain failures; and

bankruptcy or other insolvency events involving ENLK.

If an event of default relating to bankruptcy or other insolvency events occurs, the senior unsecured notes will immediately become due and payable. If any other event of default exists under the indenture, the trustee under the indenture or the holders of the senior unsecured notes may accelerate the maturity of the senior unsecured notes and exercise other rights and remedies. At December 31, 2018, ENLK was in compliance and expects to be in compliance with the covenants in the senior unsecured notes for at least the next twelve months.

(7) Income Taxes

The components of our income tax provision (benefit) are as follows (in millions):

	Year Ended		
	December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Current income tax provision	\$1.9	\$0.4	\$2.5
Deferred tax provision (benefit)	16.3	(197.2)	2.1
Total income tax provision (benefit)	\$18.2	\$(196.8)	\$4.6

The following schedule reconciles total income tax provision (benefit) and the amount calculated by applying the statutory U.S. federal tax rate to income before income taxes (in millions):

	Year E	nded Dece	ember 31,
	2018	2017	2016
Expected income tax provision (benefit) based on federal statutory rate (1)	\$1.0	\$5.6	\$(159.4)
State income tax provision (benefit), net of federal benefit	0.1	0.4	(11.4)
Statutory rate change (1)		(210.6)	_
Income tax provision (benefit) from ENLK	(2.1)	0.9	1.2
Unit-based compensation (2)	0.7	2.9	_
Non-deductible expense related to asset impairment	10.7		173.8
Other	7.8	4.0	0.4
Total income tax provision (benefit)	\$18.2	\$(196.8)	\$4.6

⁽¹⁾ The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 resulted in a change in the federal statutory corporate rate from 35% to 21%, effective January 1, 2018. Accordingly, we reduced deferred tax liabilities and recorded a deferred tax benefit in the amount of \$210.6 million as of December 31, 2017 due to a remeasurement of deferred tax liabilities. Of this

amount, \$185.7 million was related to ENLC's standalone deferred tax liabilities, and \$24.9 million was related to ENLK's re-measurement of deferred tax liabilities of its wholly-owned corporate subsidiaries.

(2) Related to tax deficiencies recorded upon the vesting of restricted incentive units.

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Our deferred income tax assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2018 and 2017 are as follows (in millions):

	December December	
	31, 2018	31, 2017
Deferred income tax assets:		
Federal net operating loss carryforward	\$67.9	\$ 54.5
State net operating loss carryforward	11.7	14.2
Total deferred tax assets	79.6	68.7
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Property, equipment, and intangible assets (1)	(440.6)	(414.9)
Other	(1.4)	_
Total deferred tax liabilities	(442.0)	(414.9)
Deferred tax liability, net	(362.4)	\$(346.2)

⁽¹⁾ Includes our investment in ENLK and primarily relates to differences between the book and tax bases of property and equipment.

As of December 31, 2018, we had federal net operating loss carryforwards of \$323.6 million that represent a net deferred tax asset of \$67.9 million. As of December 31, 2018, we had state net operating loss carryforwards of \$208.6 million that represent a net deferred tax asset of \$11.7 million. These carryforwards will begin expiring in 2028 through 2038. Management believes that it is more likely than not that the future results of operations will generate sufficient taxable income to utilize these net operating loss carryforwards before they expire.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, we recognized \$1.5 million of previously recorded unrecognized income tax benefit. For the three years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, there was no recorded unrecognized tax benefit.

Per our accounting policy election, penalties and interest related to unrecognized tax benefits are recorded to income tax expense. As of December 31, 2018, tax years 2014 through 2018 remain subject to examination by various taxing authorities.

(8) Certain Provisions of the Partnership Agreement

(a) Issuance of ENLK Common Units

In November 2014, ENLK entered into an Equity Distribution Agreement (the "2014 EDA") with BMO Capital Markets Corp., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Jefferies LLC, Raymond James & Associates, Inc. and RBC Capital Markets, LLC to sell up to \$350.0 million in aggregate gross sales of ENLK's common units from time to time through an "at the market" equity offering program.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, ENLK sold an aggregate of 10.0 million common units, generating proceeds of \$167.5 million (net of \$1.7 million of commissions).

In August 2017, ENLK ceased trading under the 2014 EDA and entered into the 2017 EDA.

For the year ended December 31, 2017, ENLK sold an aggregate of 6.2 million common units under the 2014 EDA and the 2017 EDA, generating proceeds of \$106.9 million (net of \$1.1 million of commissions and \$0.2 million of registration fees). ENLK used the net proceeds for general partnership purposes.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, ENLK sold an aggregate of 2.6 million common units under the 2017 EDA, generating proceeds of \$46.1 million (net of \$0.5 million of commissions paid to the Sales Agents). We used the net proceeds for general partnership purposes. In connection with the announcement of the Merger, ENLK suspended solicitation and offers under the 2017 EDA. Following the consummation of the Merger, the 2017 EDA was terminated.

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(b) ENLK Class C Common Units

As of December 31, 2015, there were 7,075,433 ENLK Class C Common Units issued and outstanding. The Class C Common Units were substantially similar in all respects to ENLK's common units, except that distributions paid on the Class C Common Units could be paid in cash or in additional Class C Common Units issued in kind, as determined by the General Partner in its sole discretion. Distributions on the Class C Common Units for the three months ended December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2016 were paid-in-kind through the issuance of 209,044 and 233,107 Class C Common Units on February 11, 2016 and May 12, 2016, respectively. All of the outstanding Class C Common Units were converted into common units on a one-for-one basis on May 13, 2016.

(c) ENLK Series B Preferred Units

In January 2016, ENLK issued an aggregate of 50,000,000 Series B Preferred Units representing ENLK limited partner interests to Enfield in a private placement for a cash purchase price of \$15.00 per Series B Preferred Unit (the "Issue Price"), resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$724.1 million after fees and deductions. Proceeds from the private placement were used to partially fund ENLK's portion of the purchase price payable in connection with the acquisition of our EOGP assets. Affiliates of Goldman Sachs and affiliates of TPG own interests in the general partner of Enfield. Prior to the close of the Merger on January 25, 2019, the Series B Preferred Units were convertible into ENLK common units on a one-for-one basis, subject to certain adjustments, (a) in full, at ENLK's option, if the volume weighted average price of a common unit over the 30-trading day period ending two trading days prior to the conversion date (the "Conversion VWAP") was greater than 150% of the Issue Price or (b) in full or in part, at Enfield's option. In addition, upon certain events involving a change of control of the General Partner or the Managing Member, all of the Series B Preferred Units would have automatically converted into a number of ENLK common units equal to the greater of (i) the number of ENLK common units into which the Series B Preferred Units would then convert and (ii) the number of Series B Preferred Units to be converted multiplied by an amount equal to (x) 140% of the Issue Price divided by (y) the Conversion VWAP.

The Series B Preferred Units will continue to be issued and outstanding following the Merger, except that certain terms of the Series B Preferred Units have been modified pursuant to an amended partnership agreement of ENLK. Subsequent to the modification, Series B Preferred Units will be exchangeable for ENLC common units in an amount equal to the number of outstanding Series B Preferred Units outstanding multiplied by the exchange ratio of 1.15, subject to certain adjustments (the "Series B Exchange Ratio"). The exchange is subject to ENLK's option to pay cash instead of issuing additional ENLC common units, and can occur in whole or in part at Enfield's option at any time, or in whole at our option, provided the daily volume-weighted average closing price of the ENLC common units (the "ENLC VWAP") exchange for the 30 trading days ending two trading days prior to the exchange is greater than 150% of the Issue Price divided by the conversion ratio of 1.15.

For each of the calendar quarters between March 31, 2016 through June 30, 2017, Enfield received a quarterly distribution equal to an annual rate of 8.5% on the Issue Price payable in-kind in the form of additional Series B Preferred Units. Beginning with the quarter ended September 30, 2017, Series B Preferred Unit distributions are payable quarterly in cash at an amount equal to \$0.28125 per Series B Preferred Unit (the "Cash Distribution Component") plus an in-kind distribution equal to the greater of (A) 0.0025 Series B Preferred Units per Series B Preferred Unit and (B) an amount equal to (i) the excess, if any, of the distribution that would have been payable had the Series B Preferred Units converted into common units over the Cash Distribution Component, divided by (ii) the issue price of \$15.00.

Beginning with the quarter ending March 31, 2019, the holder of the Series B Preferred Units will be entitled to quarterly cash distributions and distributions in-kind of additional Series B Preferred Units as described below. The quarterly in-kind distribution (the "Series B PIK Distribution") will equal the greater of (A) 0.0025 Series B Preferred Units per Series B Preferred Unit and (B) the number of Series B Preferred Units equal to the quotient of (x) the excess (if any) of (1) the distribution that would have been payable by ENLC had the Series B Preferred Units been exchanged for ENLC common units but applying a one-to-one exchange ratio (subject to certain adjustments) instead of the Series B Exchange Ratio, over (2) the Cash Distribution Component, divided by (y) the Issue Price. The quarterly cash distribution will consist of the Cash Distribution Component plus an amount in cash that will be determined based on a comparison of the value (applying the Issue Price) of (i) the Series B PIK Distribution and (ii) the Series B Preferred Units that would have been distributed in the Series B PIK Distribution if such calculation applied the Series B Exchange Ratio instead of the one-to-one ratio (subject to certain adjustments).

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

A summary of the distribution activity relating to the Series B Preferred Units for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 is provided below:

Distribution paid as additional Series B Preferred Units	Cash distribution (in millions)	Date paid/payable
416,657	\$ 16.2	May 14, 2018
419,678	\$ 16.3	August 13, 2018
422,720	\$ 16.4	November 13, 2018
425,785	\$ 16.5	February 13, 2019
1,154,147 1,178,672 410,681 413,658	\$ — \$ — \$ 15.9 \$ 16.1	May 12, 2017 August 11, 2017 November 13, 2017 February 13, 2018
992,445	\$ —	May 12, 2016
1,083,589	\$ —	August 11, 2016
1,106,616	\$ —	November 10, 2016
	paid as additional Series B Preferred Units 416,657 419,678 422,720 425,785 1,154,147 1,178,672 410,681 413,658	additional distribution Series B (in Preferred Units 416,657 \$ 16.2 419,678 \$ 16.3 422,720 \$ 16.4 425,785 \$ 16.5 1,154,147 \$ — 1,178,672 \$ — 410,681 \$ 15.9 413,658 \$ 16.1 992,445 \$ — 1,083,589 \$ —

(d) ENLK Series C Preferred Units

In September 2017, ENLK issued 400,000 Series C Preferred Units representing ENLK limited partner interests at a price to the public of \$1,000 per unit. ENLK used the net proceeds of \$394.0 million for capital expenditures, general partnership purposes, and to repay borrowings under the ENLK Credit Facility. The Series C Preferred Units represent perpetual equity interests in ENLK and, unlike ENLK indebtedness, will not give rise to a claim for payment of a principal amount at a particular date. As to the payment of distributions and amounts payable on a liquidation event, the Series C Preferred Units rank senior to ENLK's common units and to each other class of limited partner interests or other equity securities established after the issue date of the Series C Preferred Units that is not expressly made senior or on parity with the Series C Preferred Units. The Series C Preferred Units rank junior to the Series B Preferred Units with respect to the payment of distributions, and junior to the Series B Preferred Units and all current and future indebtedness with respect to amounts payable upon a liquidation event.

At any time on or after December 15, 2022, ENLK may redeem, at ENLK's option, in whole or in part, the Series C Preferred Units at a redemption price in cash equal to \$1,000 per Series C Preferred Unit plus an amount equal to all accumulated and unpaid distributions, whether or not declared. ENLK may undertake multiple partial redemptions. In addition, at any time within 120 days after the conclusion of any review or appeal process instituted by ENLK following certain rating agency events, ENLK may redeem, at ENLK's option, the Series C Preferred Units in whole at a redemption price in cash per unit equal to \$1,020 plus an amount equal to all accumulated and unpaid distributions, whether or not declared.

Distributions on the Series C Preferred Units accrue and are cumulative from the date of original issue and payable semi-annually in arrears on the 15th day of June and December of each year through and including December 15, 2022 and, thereafter, quarterly in arrears on the 15th day of March, June, September, and December of each year, in each case, if and when declared by the General Partner out of legally available funds for such purpose. The initial distribution rate for the Series C Preferred Units from and including the date of original issue to, but not including, December 15, 2022 is 6.0% per annum. On and after December 15, 2022, distributions on the Series C Preferred Units will accumulate for each distribution period at a percentage of the \$1,000 liquidation preference per unit equal to an annual floating rate of the three-month LIBOR plus a spread of 4.11%. For the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, ENLK made distributions of \$24.0 million and \$5.6 million to holders of Series C Preferred Units, respectively.

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Following the Merger, the Series C Preferred Units remained issued and outstanding with the terms set forth above.

(e) ENLK Common Unit Distributions

Prior to the Merger, unless restricted by the terms of the ENLK Credit Facility and/or the indentures governing ENLK's senior unsecured notes, ENLK was required to make distributions of 100% of available cash, as defined in the partnership agreement, within 45 days following the end of each quarter. Distributions were made to the General Partner in accordance with its then current percentage interest with the remainder to the common unitholders, subject to the payment of incentive distributions as described below to the extent that certain target levels of cash distributions were achieved. The General Partner was not entitled to its incentive distributions with respect to the Class C Common Units issued in kind. In addition, the general partner was not entitled to its incentive distributions with respect to (i) distributions on the Series B Preferred Units until such units convert into common units or (ii) the Series C Preferred Units.

Prior to the Merger, the General Partner owned the general partner interest in ENLK and all incentive distribution rights in ENLK. The General Partner was entitled to receive incentive distributions if the amount ENLK distributed with respect to any quarter exceeded levels specified in its partnership agreement. Under the quarterly incentive distribution provisions, the General Partner was entitled to 13.0% of amounts ENLK distributed in excess of \$0.25 per unit, 23.0% of the amounts ENLK distributed in excess of \$0.3125 per unit, and 48.0% of amounts ENLK distributed in excess of \$0.375 per unit. At the close of the Merger, The General Partner's incentive distribution rights in ENLK were eliminated. See "Note 19—Subsequent Events" for more information regarding the Merger and related transactions.

A summary of ENLK's distribution activity relating to the common units for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 is provided below:

Declaration period 2018	Di	stribution/unit	Date paid/payable
First Quarter of 2018	\$	0.390	May 14, 2018
Second Quarter of 2018	\$	0.390	August 13, 2018
Third Quarter of 2018	\$	0.390	November 13, 2018
Fourth Quarter of 2018	\$	0.390	February 13, 2019
2017			
First Quarter of 2017	\$	0.390	May 12, 2017
Second Quarter of 2017	\$	0.390	August 11, 2017
Third Quarter of 2017	\$	0.390	November 13, 2017
Fourth Quarter of 2017	\$	0.390	February 13, 2018
2016			
First Quarter of 2016	\$	0.390	May 12, 2016
Second Quarter of 2016	\$	0.390	August 11, 2016
Third Quarter of 2016	\$	0.390	November 11, 2016

Fourth Quarter of 2016 \$ 0.390

February 13,

2017

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(f) Allocation of Partnership Income

Prior to the closing of the Merger and for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, net income was allocated to the General Partner in an amount equal to its incentive distribution rights as described in section "(e) ENLK Common Unit Distributions" above. The General Partner's share of net income consisted of incentive distribution rights to the extent earned, a deduction for unit-based compensation attributable to ENLC's restricted units, and the percentage interest of ENLK's net income (loss) adjusted for ENLC's unit-based compensation specifically allocated to the General Partner. The net income (loss) allocated to the General Partner is as follows (in millions):

	Year E	nded	
	Decemb	oer 31,	
	2018	2017	2016
Income allocation for incentive distributions	\$59.5	\$58.9	\$56.8
Unit-based compensation attributable to ENLC's restricted units	(20.3)	(21.0)	(14.7)
General partner share of net income (loss)	(0.6)	0.4	(2.6)
General partner interest in net income	\$38.6	\$38.3	\$39.5

(9) Members' Equity

(a) Earnings Per Unit and Dilution Computations

As required under ASC 260, Earnings Per Share, unvested share-based payments that entitle employees to receive non-forfeitable distributions are considered participating securities for earnings per unit calculations. The following table reflects the computation of basic and diluted earnings per unit for the periods presented (in millions, except per unit amounts):

	Year End	led Dece	mber 31,
	2018	2017	2016
Distributed earnings allocated to:			
Common units (1)	\$194.9	\$184.8	\$183.3
Unvested restricted units (1)	2.8	2.5	2.2
Total distributed earnings	\$197.7	\$187.3	\$185.5
Undistributed income (loss) allocated to:			
Common units	\$(207.9)	\$25.2	\$(638.0)
Unvested restricted units	(3.0)	0.3	(7.5)
Total undistributed income (loss)	\$(210.9)	\$25.5	\$(645.5)
Net income (loss) allocated to:			
Common units	\$(13.0)	\$210.0	\$(454.6)
Unvested restricted units	(0.2)	2.8	(5.4)
Total net income (loss)	\$(13.2)	\$212.8	\$(460.0)
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per unit:			
Basic	\$(0.07)	\$1.18	\$(2.56)
Diluted	\$(0.07)	\$1.17	\$(2.56)

⁽¹⁾ Represents distribution activity consistent with the distribution activity table below.

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

The following are the unit amounts used to compute the basic and diluted earnings per unit for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 (in millions):

Year Ended December 31, 2018 2017 2016

Basic weighted average units outstanding:

Weighted average common units outstanding 181.1 180.5 179.7

Diluted weighted average units outstanding:

Weighted average basic common units outstanding 181.1 180.5 179.7 Dilutive effect of restricted units issued (1) — 1.3 — Total weighted average diluted common units outstanding 181.1 181.8 179.7

All outstanding units were included in the computation of diluted earnings per unit and weighted based on the number of days such units were outstanding during the period presented.

(b) Distributions

A summary of our distribution activity relating to ENLC common units for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively, is provided below:

Declaration period	Di	istribution/unit	Date paid/payable
2018			
First Quarter of 2018	\$	0.263	May 15, 2018
Second Quarter of 2018	\$	0.267	August 14, 2018
Third Quarter of 2018	\$	0.271	November 14, 2018
Fourth Quarter of 2018	\$	0.275	February 14, 2019
2017			
2017	ф	0.055	15 2015
First Quarter of 2017	\$	0.255	May 15, 2017
Second Quarter of 2017	\$	0.255	August 14, 2017
Third Quarter of 2017	\$	0.255	November 14, 2017
Fourth Quarter of 2017	\$	0.259	February 14, 2018
2016			
First Quarter of 2016	\$	0.255	May 13, 2016
Second Quarter of 2016		0.255	August 12, 2016
Third Quarter of 2016	\$	0.255	November 14, 2016

For the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2016, all common units were antidilutive because a net loss existed for that period.

Fourth Quarter of 2016 \$ 0.255 February 14, 2017

(10) Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliates

Our unconsolidated investments consisted of:

a 38.75% ownership interest in GCF at December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016;

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

an approximate 30.0% ownership in the Cedar Cove JV at December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016. On November 9, 2016, we formed the Cedar Cove JV with Kinder Morgan, Inc.; and

an approximate 31% ownership interest in HEP at December 31, 2016, which was sold in March 2017 for aggregate net proceeds of \$189.7 million.

The following table shows the activity related to our investment in unconsolidated affiliates for the periods indicated (in millions):

	Year Ended December			
	31,			
	2018	2017	2016	
GCF				
Distributions	\$22.3	\$12.7	\$7.5	
Equity in income	\$15.8	\$12.6	\$3.4	
НЕР				
Contributions (1)	\$	\$ —	\$45.0	
Distributions (2)		\$		
Equity in income (loss) (3)	\$		\$(23.3)	
Cedar Cove JV				
Contributions	\$0.1	\$12.6	\$28.8	
Distributions	\$0.4	\$0.8	\$ —	
Equity in income	\$(2.5)	\$0.4	\$—	
Total				
Contributions (1)	\$0.1	\$12.6	\$73.8	
Distributions (2)		\$13.5		
Equity in income (loss) (3)				
• •				

⁽¹⁾ Contributions for the year ended December 31, 2016 included \$32.7 million of contributions to HEP for preferred units issued by HEP. These preferred units were redeemed during the third quarter 2016.

The following table shows the balances related to our investment in unconsolidated affiliates as of December 31, 2018 and 2017 (in millions):

	December December			
	31, 2018	31, 2017		
GCF	\$ 41.9	\$ 48.4		
Cedar Cove JV	38.2	41.0		
Total investments in unconsolidated affiliates	\$ 80.1	\$ 89.4		

(11) Employee Incentive Plans

⁽²⁾ Distributions for the year ended December 31, 2016 included a redemption of \$32.7 million of preferred units issued by HEP.

⁽³⁾ Included losses of \$3.4 million and \$20.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, related to the sale of our HEP interests.

(a) Long-Term Incentive Plans

ENLC and ENLK each have similar unit-based compensation payment plans for officers and employees. ENLC grants unit-based awards under the EnLink Midstream, LLC 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "2014 Plan"), and ENLK granted unit-based awards under the amended and restated EnLink Midstream GP, LLC Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "GP Plan"). As of the effective time of the Merger, (i) ENLC assumed all obligations in respect of the GP Plan and the outstanding awards granted thereunder (the "Legacy ENLK Awards") and (ii) the common units representing limited partner interests in ENLK subject to such Legacy ENLK Awards will convert into common units representing limited liability company interests in ENLC

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

using the exchange ratio (as defined in the Merger Agreement) as the conversion rate. In connection with the consummation of the Merger, no additional awards will be granted under the GP Plan.

We account for unit-based compensation in accordance with ASC 718, Stock Compensation ("ASC 718"), which requires that compensation related to all unit-based awards be recognized in the consolidated financial statements. Unit-based compensation cost is valued at fair value at the date of grant, and that grant date fair value is recognized as expense over each award's requisite service period with a corresponding increase to equity or liability based on the terms of each award and the appropriate accounting treatment under ASC 718. Unit-based compensation associated with ENLC unit-based compensation plan awarded to ENLC's officers and employees is recorded by ENLK since ENLC has no substantial or managed operating activities other than its interests in ENLK. Amounts recognized on the consolidated financial statements with respect to these plans are as follows (in millions):

	I cai Liided	
	December 31,	
	2018 2017 2016	
Cost of unit-based compensation charged to general and administrative expense	\$30.3 \$37.4 \$23.7	
Cost of unit-based compensation charged to operating expense	10.8 10.7 6.6	
Total unit-based compensation expense	\$41.1 \$48.1 \$30.3	
Non-controlling interest in unit-based compensation	\$15.7 \$18.0 \$11.3	
Amount of related income tax benefit recognized in net income (1)	\$5.3 \$11.3 \$7.1	

For the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, the amount of related income tax benefit recognized in net (1) income excluded \$0.7 million and \$2.9 million, respectively, of income tax expense related to tax deficiencies recorded on vested units.

All unit-based awards issued and outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the Merger under the GP Plan have been converted into an award with respect to ENLC common units with substantially similar terms as were in effect immediately prior to the effective time, with certain adjustments to the performance-based vesting of terms of applicable awards related to the performance of ENLC.

(b) EnLink Midstream Partners, LP's Restricted Incentive Units

ENLK restricted incentive units are valued at their fair value at the date of grant, which is equal to the market value of ENLK common units on such date. A summary of the restricted incentive unit activity for the year ended December 31, 2018 is provided below:

Year Ended December 31, 2018

2018

Weighted

Vear Ended

EnLink Midstream Partners, LP Restricted Incentive Units: Number Andreage

Units Grant-Date

Fair Value

Non-vested, beginning of period 1,980,224 15.81
Granted (1) 1,590,106.27
Vested (1)(2) (835,1)159.68
Forfeited (178,9392.75
Non-vested, end of period 2,556,270 14.43

Aggregate intrinsic value, end of period (in millions) \$28.1

Restricted incentive units typically vest at the end of three years. In March 2018, the General Partner granted (1) 200,753 restricted incentive units with a fair value of \$3.0 million to officers and certain employees as bonus payments for 2017, and these restricted incentive units vested immediately and are included in the restricted

incentive units granted and vested line items.

⁽²⁾ Vested units include 261,063 units withheld for payroll taxes paid on behalf of employees.

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

A summary of the restricted incentive units' aggregate intrinsic value (market value at vesting date) and fair value of units vested (market value at date of grant) for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 is provided below (in millions):

Year Ended
December 31,
EnLink Midstream Partners, LP Restricted Incentive Units: 2018 2017 2016
Aggregate intrinsic value of units vested \$13.1 \$16.6 \$4.1
Fair value of units vested \$16.4 \$22.6 \$9.5

As of December 31, 2018, there was \$18.4 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested ENLK restricted incentive units. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.8 years.

(c) EnLink Midstream Partners, LP's Performance Units

The General Partner has granted performance awards under the GP Plan. The performance award agreements provided that the vesting of restricted incentive units granted thereunder was dependent on the achievement of certain total shareholder return ("TSR") performance goals relative to the TSR achievement of a peer group of companies (the "Peer Companies") over the applicable performance period. The performance award agreements contemplated that the Peer Companies for an individual performance award (the "Subject Award") are the companies comprising the Alerian MLP Index for Master Limited Partnerships ("AMZ"), excluding ENLK and ENLC, on the grant date for the Subject Award. The performance units vested based on the percentile ranking of the average of ENLK's and ENLC's TSR achievement ("EnLink TSR") for the applicable performance period relative to the TSR achievement of the Peer Companies.

At the end of the vesting period, recipients received distribution equivalents, if any, with respect to the number of performance units vested. The vesting of units ranged from zero to 200% of the units granted depending on the EnLink TSR as compared to the TSR of the Peer Companies on the vesting date. As of the effective time of the Merger, the performance metric for such performance awards was modified such that the performance metric will, on a weighted average basis, (i) continue to relate to the EnLink TSR relative to the TSR performance of the Peer Companies in respect of periods preceding the effective time of the Merger; and (ii) relate solely to the TSR performance of ENLC relative to the TSR performance of such Peer Companies in respect of periods after the effective time of the Merger. The fair value of each performance unit was estimated as of the date of grant using a Monte Carlo simulation with the following assumptions used for all performance unit grants made under the plan: (i) a risk-free interest rate based on United States Treasury rates as of the grant date; (ii) a volatility assumption based on the historical realized price volatility of our common units and the designated Peer Companies' securities; (iii) an estimated ranking of us among the designated Peer Companies; and (iv) the distribution yield. The fair value of the performance unit on the date of grant was expensed over a vesting period of approximately three years.

The following table presents a summary of the grant-date fair value of performance units granted and the related assumptions by performance unit grant date:

E.L. al-Milaton Double of IDD of some III its		March	October	February	January	
EnLink Midstream Partners, LP Performance Units:	2018	2017	2016	2016	2016	
TSR price	\$15.44	\$17.55	\$17.71	\$14.82	\$14.82	
Risk-free interest rate	2.38 %	1.62 %	0.91 %	0.89 %	1.10 %	
Volatility factor	43.85%	43.94%	44.62%	42.33 %	39.71%	
Distribution yield	10.50%	8.70 %	8.80 %	19.20 %	12.10%	

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

The following table presents a summary of the performance units:

Year Ended December 31.

2018

Weighted

EnLink Midstream Partners, LP Performance Units: Number verage

Units Grant-Date

Fair Value

 Non-vested, beginning of period
 585,28\$ 20.52

 Granted
 256,34\$9.24

 Vested (1)
 (313,6 24.43

 Forfeited
 (76,35 16.62

 Non-vested, end of period
 451,66\$ 17.74

Aggregate intrinsic value, end of period (in millions) \$5.0

A summary of the performance units' aggregate intrinsic value (market value at vesting date) and fair value of units vested (market value at date of grant) for the year ended December 31, 2018 is provided below (in millions). No performance units vested for the years ended 2017 and 2016.

EnLink Midstream Partners, LP Performance Units:

Ended
December
31, 2018

Aggregate intrinsic value of units vested
Fair value of units vested
\$ 5.0
\$ 7.7

As of December 31, 2018, there was \$6.1 million of unrecognized compensation expense that related to non-vested performance units. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.7 years.

In connection with the GIP Transaction, certain outstanding performance unit agreements were modified to, among other things: (i) provide that the awards granted thereunder did not vest due to the closing of the GIP Transaction and (ii) increase the minimum vesting of units from zero to 100% as described in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") on July 23, 2018. The modified performance units retained the original vesting schedules. As a result of the modifications, we will recognize an additional \$2.3 million compensation cost over the life of these ENLK performance units.

⁽¹⁾ Vested units included 112,101 units withheld for payroll taxes paid on behalf of employees and 120,250 units that vested as a result of the GIP Transaction, net of units withheld for payroll taxes.

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(d) EnLink Midstream, LLC's Restricted Incentive Units

ENLC restricted incentive units are valued at their fair value at the date of grant, which is equal to the market value of ENLC common units on such date. A summary of the restricted incentive unit activity for the year ended December 31, 2018 is provided below:

Year Ended

December 31, 2018

Weighted

EnLink Midstream, LLC Restricted Incentive Units:

NumberAverage

Units Grant-Date

Fair Value

Non-vested, beginning of period 1,889,3 \$\mathbb{8}\text{0} 16.33 \\
Granted (1) 1,473,19\mathbb{5}.76 \\
Vested (1)(2) (769,84\mathbb{2}1.40 \\
Forfeited (166,79\mathbb{0}2.74 \\
Non-vested, end of period 2,425,8\mathbb{6}7 14.62

Aggregate intrinsic value, end of period (in millions) \$23.0

A summary of the restricted incentive units' aggregate intrinsic value (market value at vesting date) and fair value of units vested (market value at date of grant) during the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 is provided below (in millions):

Year Ended December 31.

EnLink Midstream, LLC Restricted Incentive Units: 2018 2017 2016 Aggregate intrinsic value of units vested \$12.8 \$15.3 \$4.1 Fair value of units vested \$16.5 \$22.2 \$12.4

As of December 31, 2018, there was \$17.9 million of unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested ENLC restricted incentive units. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.8 years.

Restricted incentive units typically vest at the end of three years. In March 2018, ENLC granted 194,185 restricted incentive units with a fair value of \$3.0 million to officers and certain employees as bonus payments for 2017, and these restricted incentive units vested immediately and are included in the restricted incentive units granted and vested line items.

⁽²⁾ Vested units include 244,123 units withheld for payroll taxes paid on behalf of employees.

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(e) EnLink Midstream, LLC's Performance Units

ENLC grants performance awards under the 2014 Plan. The performance award agreements provide that the vesting of performance units (i.e., performance-based restricted incentive units) granted thereunder is dependent on the achievement of certain TSR performance goals relative to the TSR achievement of the Peer Companies over the applicable performance period. At the end of the vesting period, recipients receive distribution equivalents, if any, with respect to the number of performance units vested. The vesting of units ranges from zero to 200% of the units granted depending on the EnLink TSR as compared to the TSR of the Peer Companies on the vesting date. As of the effective time of the Merger, the performance metric for such performance awards was modified such that the performance metric will, on a weighted average basis, (i) continue to relate to the EnLink TSR relative to the TSR performance of the Peer Companies in respect of periods preceding the effective time of the Merger; and (ii) relate solely to the TSR performance of ENLC relative to the TSR performance of such Peer Companies in respect of periods after the effective time of the Merger. The fair value of each performance unit is estimated as of the date of grant using a Monte Carlo simulation with the following assumptions used for all performance unit grants made under the plan: (i) a risk-free interest rate based on United States Treasury rates as of the grant date; (ii) a volatility assumption based on the historical realized price volatility of ENLC's common units and the designated Peer Companies' securities; (iii) an estimated ranking of ENLC among the designated Peer Companies, and (iv) the distribution yield. The fair value of the performance unit on the date of grant is expensed over a vesting period of approximately three years.

The following table presents a summary of the grant-date fair value assumptions by performance unit grant date:

March March October February January

East into	Enlink Midstroom II C Dorformonos Uniter	March		March Octob		Octobe	r February		ıry	January	
	Link Midstream, LLC Performance Units:	2018	2017			2016		2016		2016	
	TSR price	\$16.55	,	\$18.29)	\$16.75	5	\$15.38	3	\$15.38	3
	Risk-free interest rate	2.38	%	1.62	%	0.91	%	0.89	%	1.10	%
	Volatility factor	51.36	%	52.07	%	52.89	%	52.05	%	46.02	%
	Distribution yield	6.70	%	5.40	%	6.10	%	14.00	%	8.60	%

The following table presents a summary of the performance units:

Year Ended December 31,

2018

Weighted

EnLink Midstream, LLC Performance Units:

Number verage
Units Grant-Date

Fair Value

Non-vested, beginning of period 548,83\$ 22.14
Granted 223,8631.63
Vested (1) (283,637.25
Forfeited (70,9)187.75
Non-vested, end of period 418,14\$ 19.15

Aggregate intrinsic value, end of period (in millions) \$4.0

Vested units included 100,109 units withheld for payroll taxes paid on behalf of employees and 109,819 units that vested as a result of the GIP Transaction, net of units withheld for payroll taxes.

A summary of the performance units' aggregate intrinsic value (market value at vesting date) and fair value of units vested (market value at date of grant) for the year ended December 31, 2018 is provided below (in millions). No performance units vested for the years ended 2017 and 2016.

	Year			
EnLink Midstream, LLC Performance Units:	Ended			
EILIIK MIUSUEAIII, LLC FEITOITIIAIICE OIIIIS.	December			
	31, 2018			
Aggregate intrinsic value of units vested	\$ 4.7			
Fair value of units vested	\$ 7.7			

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

As of December 31, 2018, there was \$5.9 million of unrecognized compensation expense that related to non-vested performance units. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.6 years.

In connection with the GIP Transaction, certain outstanding performance unit agreements were modified to among other things, (i) provide that the awards granted thereunder did not vest due to the closing of the GIP Transaction and (ii) increase the minimum vesting of units from zero to 100% as described in our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on July 23, 2018. The modified performance units retained the original vesting schedules. As a result of the modifications, we will recognize an additional \$2.1 million compensation cost over the life of these ENLC performance units.

(f) Benefit Plan

ENLK maintains a tax-qualified 401(k) plan whereby it matches 100% of every dollar contributed up to 6% of an employee's eligible compensation plus a 2% non-discretionary contribution (not to exceed the maximum amount permitted by law). Contributions of \$8.3 million, \$7.6 million, and \$7.4 million were made to the plan for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

(12) Derivatives

Interest Rate Swaps

We periodically enter into interest rate swaps in connection with new debt issuances. During the debt issuance process, we are exposed to variability in future long-term debt interest payments that may result from changes in the benchmark interest rate (commonly the U.S. Treasury yield) prior to the debt being issued. In order to hedge this variability, we enter into interest rate swaps to effectively lock in the benchmark interest rate at the inception of the swap. Prior to 2017, we did not designate interest rate swaps as hedges and, therefore, included the associated settlement gains and losses as interest expense, net of interest income on the consolidated statements of operations.

In May 2017, we entered into an interest rate swap in connection with the issuance of our 2047 Notes. In accordance with ASC 815, we designated this swap as a cash flow hedge. Upon settlement of the interest rate swap in May 2017, we recorded the associated \$2.2 million settlement loss in accumulated comprehensive loss on the consolidated balance sheets. We will amortize the settlement loss into interest expense on the consolidated statements of operations over the term of the 2047 Notes. There was no ineffectiveness related to the hedge. We have no open interest rate swap positions as of December 31, 2018. In addition, the settlement loss is included as an operating cash outflow on the consolidated statement of cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2017.

For the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, we amortized an immaterial amount of the settlement loss into interest expense from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). We expect to recognize and additional \$0.1 million of interest expense out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) over the next twelve months.

In July 2016, we entered into an interest rate swap in connection with the issuance of the 2026 Notes. We did not designate this swap as a cash flow hedge. Upon settlement of the interest rate swap in July 2016, we recorded the associated \$0.4 million gain on settlement as interest expense, net of interest income in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Commodity Swaps

We manage our exposure to changes in commodity prices by hedging the impact of market fluctuations. Commodity swaps are used both to manage and hedge price and location risk related to these market exposures and to manage margins on offsetting fixed-price purchase or sale commitments for physical quantities of crude, condensate, natural gas, and NGLs. We do not designate commodity swaps as cash flow or fair value hedges for hedge accounting treatment under ASC 815. Therefore, changes in the fair value of our derivatives are recorded in revenue in the period incurred. In addition, our commodity risk management policy does not allow us to take speculative positions with our derivative contracts.

We commonly enter into index (float-for-float) or fixed-for-float swaps in order to mitigate our cash flow exposure to fluctuations in the future prices of natural gas, NGLs, and crude oil. For natural gas, index swaps are used to protect against the price exposure of daily priced gas versus first-of-month priced gas. For condensate, crude oil, and natural gas, index swaps are

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

also used to hedge the basis location price risk resulting from supply and markets being priced on different indices. For natural gas, NGLs, condensate, and crude oil, fixed-for-float swaps are used to protect cash flows against price fluctuations: (1) where we receive a percentage of liquids as a fee for processing third-party gas or where we receive a portion of the proceeds of the sales of natural gas and liquids as a fee, (2) in the natural gas processing and fractionation components of our business and (3) where we are mitigating the price risk for product held in inventory or storage.

Assets and liabilities related to our derivative contracts are included in the fair value of derivative assets and liabilities, and the change in fair value of these contracts is recorded net as a gain (loss) on derivative activity on the consolidated statements of operations. We estimate the fair value of all of our derivative contracts based upon actively-quoted prices of the underlying commodities.

The components of gain (loss) on derivative activity in the consolidated statements of operations related to commodity swaps are (in millions):

```
\begin{array}{c} \text{Year Ended December} \\ 31, \\ 2018 \quad 2017 \quad 2016 \\ \text{Change in fair value of derivatives} \\ \text{Realized gain (loss) on derivatives} \\ \text{(4.9 ) (8.9 ) 9.0} \\ \text{Gain (loss) on derivative activity} \\ \text{$5.2 } \text{$(4.2) $(11.1)} \\ \end{array}
```

The fair value of derivative assets and liabilities related to commodity swaps are as follows (in millions):

Set forth below are the summarized notional volumes and fair values of all instruments held for price risk management purposes and related physical offsets at December 31, 2018 (in millions). The remaining term of the contracts extend no later than December 2022.

		December 31, 2018				
Commodity	Instruments	Unit	Volume	Fair Va	lue	
NGL (short contracts)	Swaps	Gallons	(29.0	\$ 4.5		
NGL (long contracts)	Swaps	Gallons	7.7	0.1		
Natural Gas (short contracts)	Swaps	MMBtu	(9.0	(1.6)	
Natural Gas (long contracts)	Swaps	MMBtu	14.9	(1.5)	
Crude and Condensate (short contracts)	Swaps	MMbbls	(12.9	23.6		
Crude and Condensate (long contracts)	Swaps	MMbbls	1.0	(16.6)	
Total fair value of derivatives				\$ 8.5		

On all transactions where we are exposed to counterparty risk, we analyze the counterparty's financial condition prior to entering into an agreement, establish limits, and monitor the appropriateness of these limits on an ongoing basis. We primarily deal with financial institutions when entering into financial derivatives on commodities. We have entered into Master ISDAs that allow for netting of swap contract receivables and payables in the event of default by

either party. If our counterparties failed to perform under existing swap contracts, the maximum loss on our gross receivable position of \$32.7 million as of December 31, 2018 would be reduced to \$9.4 million due to the offsetting of gross fair value payables against gross fair value receivables as allowed by the ISDAs.

139

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(13) Fair Value Measurements

ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures ("ASC 820"), sets forth a framework for measuring fair value and required disclosures about fair value measurements of assets and liabilities. Fair value under ASC 820 is defined as the price at which an asset could be exchanged in a current transaction between knowledgeable, willing parties. A liability's fair value is defined as the amount that would be paid to transfer the liability to a new obligor, not the amount that would be paid to settle the liability with the creditor. Where available, fair value is based on observable market prices or parameters or derived from such prices or parameters. Where observable prices or inputs are not available, use of unobservable prices or inputs are used to estimate the current fair value, often using an internal valuation model. These valuation techniques involve some level of management estimation and judgment, the degree of which is dependent on the item being valued.

ASC 820 established a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions.

Our derivative contracts primarily consist of commodity swap contracts, which are not traded on a public exchange. The fair values of commodity swap contracts are determined using discounted cash flow techniques. The techniques incorporate Level 1 and Level 2 inputs for future commodity prices that are readily available in public markets or can be derived from information available in publicly-quoted markets. These market inputs are utilized in the discounted cash flow calculation considering the instrument's term, notional amount, discount rate, and credit risk and are classified as Level 2 in hierarchy.

Net assets (liabilities) measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below (in millions):

Level 2
December
31, December
2018
December
31, 2017

Commodity Swaps (1) \$8.5 \$ (1.6)

The fair values of derivative contracts included in assets or liabilities for risk management activities represent the (1) amount at which the instruments could be exchanged in a current arms-length transaction adjusted for our credit risk and/or the counterparty credit risk as required under ASC 820.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The estimated fair value of our financial instruments has been determined using available market information and valuation methodologies. Considerable judgment is required to develop the estimates of fair value; thus, the estimates provided below are not necessarily indicative of the amount we could realize upon the sale or refinancing of such financial instruments (in millions):

December 31, December 31, 2018 2017

	Carrying	Fair	Carrying	Fair
	Value	Value	Value	Value
Long-term debt, including current maturities of long-term debt (1)	\$4,430.8	\$4,065.0	\$3,542.1	\$3,650.2
Installment Payables	\$ —	\$ —	\$249.5	\$249.6
Obligations under capital lease	\$2.5	\$2.2	\$4.1	\$3.4
Secured term loan receivable	\$51.1	\$51.1	\$ —	\$ —

The carrying value of long-term debt, including current maturities of long-term debt, is reduced by debt issuance (1)costs of \$24.5 million and \$26.2 million at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. The respective fair values do not factor in debt issuance costs.

The carrying amounts of our cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, and accounts payable approximate fair value due to the short-term maturities of these assets and liabilities.

140

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

ENLK had no outstanding borrowings under the ENLK Credit Facility as of December 31, 2018 and 2017. ENLC had \$111.4 million and \$74.6 million in outstanding borrowings under the ENLC Credit Facility as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. As borrowings under the ENLC Credit Facility accrue interest under floating interest rate structures, the carrying value of such indebtedness approximates fair value for the amounts outstanding under the ENLC Credit Facility. As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, ENLK had total borrowings under senior unsecured notes of \$3.5 billion for each period, respectively, maturing between 2019 and 2047 with fixed interest rates ranging from 2.7% to 5.6%.

The fair values of all senior unsecured notes and installment payables as of December 31, 2018 and 2017 were based on Level 2 inputs from third-party market quotations. The fair values of obligations under capital leases and the secured term loan receivable were calculated using Level 2 inputs from third-party banks.

(14) Commitments and Contingencies

(a) Leases—Lessee

We have operating leases for office space, office, and field equipment.

The following table summarizes our remaining non-cancelable future payments under operating leases with initial or remaining non-cancelable lease terms in excess of one year (in millions):

2019 \$14.1 2020 10.3 2021 8.7 2022 8.6 2023 8.8 Thereafter 49.8 Total \$100.3

Operating lease rental expense was approximately \$52.5 million, \$54.5 million, and \$59.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

(b) Change of Control and Severance Agreements

Certain members of our management are parties to severance and change of control agreements with the Operating Partnership. The severance and change in control agreements provide those individuals with severance payments in certain circumstances and prohibit such individuals from, among other things, competing with the General Partner or its affiliates during his or her employment. In addition, the severance and change of control agreements prohibit subject individuals from, among other things, disclosing confidential information about the General Partner or interfering with a client or customer of the General Partner or its affiliates, in each case during his or her employment and for certain periods (including indefinite periods) following the termination of such person's employment.

(c) Environmental Issues

The operation of pipelines, plants, and other facilities for the gathering, processing, transmitting, stabilizing, fractionating, storing, or disposing of natural gas, NGLs, crude oil, condensate, brine, and other products is subject to stringent and complex laws and regulations pertaining to health, safety, and the environment. As an owner, partner, or

operator of these facilities, we must comply with United States laws and regulations at the federal, state, and local levels that relate to air and water quality, hazardous and solid waste management and disposal, oil spill prevention, climate change, endangered species, and other environmental matters. The cost of planning, designing, constructing, and operating pipelines, plants, and other facilities must account for compliance with environmental laws and regulations and safety standards. Federal, state, or local administrative decisions, developments in the federal or state court systems, or other governmental or judicial actions may influence the interpretation and enforcement of environmental laws and regulations and may thereby increase compliance costs. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may trigger a variety of administrative, civil, and potentially criminal enforcement measures, including citizen suits, which can include the assessment of monetary penalties, the imposition of remedial

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

requirements, and the issuance of injunctions or restrictions on operation. Management believes that, based on currently known information, compliance with these laws and regulations will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition, or cash flows. However, we cannot provide assurance that future events, such as changes in existing laws, regulations, or enforcement policies, the promulgation of new laws or regulations, or the discovery or development of new factual circumstances will not cause us to incur material costs. Environmental regulations have historically become more stringent over time, and thus, there can be no assurance as to the amount or timing of future expenditures for environmental compliance or remediation

(d) Litigation Contingencies

We are involved in various litigation and administrative proceedings arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, any liabilities that may result from these claims would not individually or in the aggregate have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

At times, our subsidiaries acquire pipeline easements and other property rights by exercising rights of eminent domain and common carrier. As a result, from time to time, we (or our subsidiaries) are a party to a number of lawsuits under which a court will determine the value of pipeline easements or other property interests obtained by our subsidiaries by condemnation. Damage awards in these suits should reflect the value of the property interest acquired and the diminution in the value of the remaining property owned by the landowner. However, some landowners have alleged unique damage theories to inflate their damage claims or assert valuation methodologies that could result in damage awards in excess of the amounts anticipated. Although it is not possible to predict the ultimate outcomes of these matters, we do not expect that awards in these matters will have a material adverse impact on our consolidated results of operations, financial condition, or cash flows.

We own and operate a high-pressure pipeline and underground natural gas and NGL storage reservoirs and associated facilities near Bayou Corne, Louisiana. In August 2012, a large sinkhole formed in the vicinity of this pipeline and underground storage reservoirs, resulting in damage to certain of our facilities. In order to recover our losses from responsible parties, we sued the operator of a failed cavern in the area, and its insurers, as well as other parties we alleged to have contributed to the formation of the sinkhole seeking recovery for these losses. We also filed a claim with our insurers, which our insurers denied. We disputed the denial and sued our insurers, and we subsequently reached settlements regarding the entirety of our claims in both lawsuits. In August 2014, we received a partial settlement with respect to our claims in the amount of \$6.1 million. We secured additional settlement payments during 2017, which resulted in the recognition of "Gain on litigation settlement" of \$26.0 million on the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2017.

(15) Segment Information

Identification of the majority of our operating segments is based principally upon geographic regions served and the nature of operating activity. As of December 31, 2018, our reportable segments consisted of the following: natural gas gathering, processing, transmission, and fractionation operations located in North Texas and the Permian Basin primarily in West Texas ("Texas"), natural gas pipelines, processing plants, storage facilities, NGL pipelines, and fractionation assets in Louisiana ("Louisiana"), natural gas gathering and processing operations located throughout Oklahoma ("Oklahoma"), and crude rail, truck, pipeline, and barge facilities in West Texas, South Texas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and the Ohio River Valley ("Crude and Condensate"). Operating activity for intersegment eliminations is shown in the Corporate segment. Our sales are derived from external domestic customers. We evaluate the performance of our operating segments based on segment profits.

Corporate assets consist primarily of cash, goodwill, property, and equipment, including software, for general corporate support, debt financing costs, and unconsolidated affiliate investments in GCF and the Cedar Cove JV as of December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016. As of December 31, 2016, our Corporate assets also included our unconsolidated affiliate investment in HEP. In December 31, 2016, we entered into an agreement to sell our ownership interest in HEP, and we finalized the sale in March 2017.

Based on the disclosure requirements of ASC 606, we are presenting revenues disaggregated based on the type of good or service in order to more fully depict the nature of our revenues. As we adopted ASC 606 using the modified retrospective method, only the consolidated statement of operations and revenue disaggregation information for the year ended December 31, 2018 are presented to conform to ASC 606 accounting and disclosure requirements. Prior periods presented in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes were not restated in accordance with ASC 606.

142

143

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Summarized financial information for our reportable segments is shown in the following tables (in millions):

	Texas	Louisiana	Oklahoma	Crude and Condensate	Corporate	Totals	
Year Ended December 31, 2018							
Natural gas sales	\$292.9	\$531.1	\$189.7	\$ —	\$ —	\$1,013.7	
NGL sales	28.6	2,786.3	25.2	0.9		2,841.0	
Crude oil and condensate sales		0.5	0.7	2,656.4		2,657.6	
Product sales	321.5	3,317.9	215.6	2,657.3		6,512.3	
Natural gas sales—related parties		_	2.5	_		2.5	
NGL sales—related parties	503.5	47.4	590.8		(1,104.3)	37.4	
Crude oil and condensate sales—related partic	e 4 9.3	0.3	85.6	3.3	(137.4)	1.1	
Product sales—related parties	552.8	47.7	678.9	3.3	(1,241.7)	41.0	
Gathering and transportation	177.9	68.8	149.1	3.1	_	398.9	
Processing	101.0	3.3	122.8	_		227.1	
NGL services		59.6	_	_		59.6	
Crude services	_	_	0.6	66.5	_	67.1	
Other services	9.6	0.6	0.2	0.2	_	10.6	
Midstream services	288.5	132.3	272.7	69.8	_	763.3	
Gathering and transportation—related parties	122.7	_	80.6		_	203.3	
Processing—related parties	108.6	_	48.4		_	157.0	
NGL services—related parties	_	3.3	_	_	(3.3)		
Crude services—related parties	_	_	1.5	14.9	_	16.4	
Other services—related parties	0.5	_	_		_	0.5	
Midstream services—related parties	231.8	3.3	130.5	14.9	(3.3)	377.2	
Revenue from contracts with customers	1,394.6	3,501.2	1,297.7	2,745.3	(1,245.0)	7,693.8	
Cost of sales	(753.9)	(3,158.7)	(744.0)	(2,596.4)	1,245.0	(6,008.0)	
Operating expenses	(180.6)	(108.3)	(89.2)	(75.3)		(453.4)	
Gain on derivative activity	_	_	_		5.2	5.2	
Segment profit	\$460.1	\$234.2	\$464.5	\$ 73.6	\$5.2	\$1,237.6	
Depreciation and amortization	\$(216.2)	\$(122.7)	\$(178.1)	\$ (51.6)	\$(8.7)	\$(577.3)	
Impairments	\$(232.0)	\$(24.6)	\$	\$ (109.2)	\$ —	\$(365.8)	
Goodwill	\$—	\$—	\$190.3	\$ —	\$1,119.9	\$1,310.2	
Capital expenditures	\$249.4	\$47.0	\$412.5	\$ 135.7	\$5.3	\$849.9	
Total assets	\$2,925.3	\$2,347.9	\$3,116.5	\$ 959.3	\$1,345.1	\$10,694.1	

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

	Texas	Louisiana	Oklahoma	Crude and Condensate	Corporate	Totals
Year Ended December 31, 2017						
Product sales	\$325.0	\$2,529.6	\$128.8	\$ 1,375.0	\$ —	\$4,358.4
Product sales—related parties	500.3	45.0	349.4	0.8	(750.6)	144.9
Midstream services	116.3	220.6	155.0	60.4		552.3
Midstream services—related part	i ∉ 24.3	136.4	241.6	17.4	(131.5)	688.2
Cost of sales	(772.3)	(2,618.1)	(522.9)	(1,330.3)	882.1	(4,361.5)
Operating expenses	(172.7)	(101.3)	(64.6)	(80.1)		(418.7)
Loss on derivative activity	_	_	_	_	(4.2)	(4.2)
Segment profit (loss)	\$420.9	\$212.2	\$287.3	\$43.2	\$(4.2)	\$959.4
Depreciation and amortization	\$(215.2)	\$(116.1)	\$(156.6)	\$ (47.5)	\$(9.9)	\$(545.3)
Impairments	\$ —	\$(0.8)	\$ —	\$ (16.3)	\$ —	\$(17.1)
Goodwill	\$232.0	\$ —	\$190.3	\$ —	\$1,119.9	\$1,542.2
Capital expenditures	\$145.4	\$75.1	\$442.1	\$ 79.1	\$26.4	\$768.1
Total assets	\$3,094.8	\$2,408.5	\$2,836.7	\$ 929.5	\$1,268.3	\$10,537.8
Year Ended December 31, 2016	Texas	Louisiana	Oklahoma	Crude and Condensate	Corporate	Totals
Year Ended December 31, 2016 Product sales	Texas \$237.2	Louisiana \$1,632.5	Oklahoma \$48.5		Corporate \$—	Totals \$3,008.9
•				Condensate	\$—	
Product sales	\$237.2	\$1,632.5	\$48.5	Condensate \$ 1,090.7	\$—	\$3,008.9
Product sales Product sales—related parties Midstream services	\$237.2 287.6 104.2	\$1,632.5 57.8	\$48.5 120.4	Condensate \$ 1,090.7 1.5	\$— (333.0)	\$3,008.9 134.3
Product sales Product sales—related parties	\$237.2 287.6 104.2 iel39.3	\$1,632.5 57.8 215.4	\$48.5 120.4 82.2 185.9	Condensate \$ 1,090.7 1.5 65.4	\$— (333.0)	\$3,008.9 134.3 467.2
Product sales Product sales—related parties Midstream services Midstream services—related part	\$237.2 287.6 104.2 iel39.3 (483.4)	\$1,632.5 57.8 215.4 95.8 (1,729.0)	\$48.5 120.4 82.2 185.9 (184.9)	Condensate \$ 1,090.7 1.5 65.4 18.9	\$— (333.0) — (86.8)	\$3,008.9 134.3 467.2 653.1
Product sales Product sales—related parties Midstream services Midstream services—related part Cost of sales	\$237.2 287.6 104.2 iel39.3 (483.4)	\$1,632.5 57.8 215.4 95.8 (1,729.0)	\$48.5 120.4 82.2 185.9 (184.9)	\$ 1,090.7 1.5 65.4 18.9 (1,038.0)	\$— (333.0) — (86.8) 419.8 —	\$3,008.9 134.3 467.2 653.1 (3,015.5)
Product sales Product sales—related parties Midstream services Midstream services—related part Cost of sales Operating expenses	\$237.2 287.6 104.2 iel39.3 (483.4)	\$1,632.5 57.8 215.4 95.8 (1,729.0)	\$48.5 120.4 82.2 185.9 (184.9)	\$ 1,090.7 1.5 65.4 18.9 (1,038.0)	\$— (333.0) — (86.8) 419.8 — (11.1)	\$3,008.9 134.3 467.2 653.1 (3,015.5) (398.5)
Product sales Product sales—related parties Midstream services Midstream services—related part Cost of sales Operating expenses Loss on derivative activity	\$237.2 287.6 104.2 iel39.3 (483.4) (168.5) - \$416.4	\$1,632.5 57.8 215.4 95.8 (1,729.0) (96.6) - \$175.9	\$48.5 120.4 82.2 185.9 (184.9) (52.1)	\$ 1,090.7 1.5 65.4 18.9 (1,038.0) (81.3) — \$ 57.2	\$— (333.0) — (86.8) 419.8 — (11.1) \$(11.1)	\$3,008.9 134.3 467.2 653.1 (3,015.5) (398.5) (11.1)
Product sales Product sales—related parties Midstream services Midstream services—related part Cost of sales Operating expenses Loss on derivative activity Segment profit (loss)	\$237.2 287.6 104.2 iel39.3 (483.4) (168.5) - \$416.4	\$1,632.5 57.8 215.4 95.8 (1,729.0) (96.6) — \$175.9 \$(114.8)	\$48.5 120.4 82.2 185.9 (184.9) (52.1) - \$200.0	\$ 1,090.7 1.5 65.4 18.9 (1,038.0) (81.3) — \$ 57.2	\$— (333.0) — (86.8) 419.8 — (11.1) \$(11.1)	\$3,008.9 134.3 467.2 653.1 (3,015.5) (398.5) (11.1) \$838.4 \$(503.9)
Product sales Product sales—related parties Midstream services Midstream services—related part Cost of sales Operating expenses Loss on derivative activity Segment profit (loss) Depreciation and amortization	\$237.2 287.6 104.2 ies39.3 (483.4) (168.5) — \$416.4 \$(196.9)	\$1,632.5 57.8 215.4 95.8 (1,729.0) (96.6) — \$175.9 \$(114.8)	\$48.5 120.4 82.2 185.9 (184.9) (52.1) — \$200.0 \$(140.6)	\$ 1,090.7 1.5 65.4 18.9 (1,038.0) (81.3) — \$ 57.2 \$ (42.4)	\$— (333.0) — (86.8) 419.8 — (11.1) \$(11.1)	\$3,008.9 134.3 467.2 653.1 (3,015.5) (398.5) (11.1) \$838.4 \$(503.9)
Product sales Product sales—related parties Midstream services Midstream services—related part Cost of sales Operating expenses Loss on derivative activity Segment profit (loss) Depreciation and amortization Impairments	\$237.2 287.6 104.2 iels39.3 (483.4) (168.5) — \$416.4 \$(196.9) \$(473.1)	\$1,632.5 57.8 215.4 95.8 (1,729.0) (96.6) — \$175.9 \$(114.8) \$—	\$48.5 120.4 82.2 185.9 (184.9) (52.1) — \$200.0 \$(140.6)	\$ 1,090.7 1.5 65.4 18.9 (1,038.0) (81.3) — \$ 57.2 \$ (42.4) \$ (93.2)	\$— (333.0) — (86.8) 419.8 — (11.1) \$(11.1) \$(9.2) \$(307.0)	\$3,008.9 134.3 467.2 653.1 (3,015.5) (398.5) (11.1) \$838.4 \$(503.9) \$(873.3)
Product sales Product sales—related parties Midstream services Midstream services—related part Cost of sales Operating expenses Loss on derivative activity Segment profit (loss) Depreciation and amortization Impairments Goodwill	\$237.2 287.6 104.2 iel39.3 (483.4) (168.5) — \$416.4 \$(196.9) \$(473.1) \$232.0	\$1,632.5 57.8 215.4 95.8 (1,729.0) (96.6) — \$175.9 \$(114.8) \$— \$—	\$48.5 120.4 82.2 185.9 (184.9) (52.1) — \$200.0 \$(140.6) \$— \$190.3	\$ 1,090.7 1.5 65.4 18.9 (1,038.0) (81.3) — \$ 57.2 \$ (42.4) \$ (93.2)	\$— (333.0) — (86.8) 419.8 — (11.1) \$(11.1) \$(9.2) \$(307.0) \$1,119.9	\$3,008.9 134.3 467.2 653.1 (3,015.5) (398.5) (11.1) \$838.4 \$(503.9) \$(873.3) \$1,542.2

The following table reconciles the segment profits reported above to the operating income (loss) as reported on the consolidated statements of operations (in millions):

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2018	2017	2016		
Segment profit	\$1,237.6	\$959.4	\$838.4		
General and administrative expenses	(140.3	(128.6)	(122.5)		
Depreciation and amortization	(577.3	(545.3)	(503.9)		
Loss on disposition of assets	(0.4) —	(13.2)		
Impairments	(365.8	(17.1)	(873.3)		
Gain on litigation settlement	_	26.0	_		
Operating income (loss)	\$153.8	\$294.4	\$(674.5)		

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(16) Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Summarized unaudited quarterly financial data is presented below (in millions, except per unit data):

2010		Firs Qua		Secon Quar		Third Quarte	er	Four Qua		7	Γotal	
2018		ф 1 -	7617	ф 1 7 /	(1 7	ΦΩ 11	4.2	Φ 2.0	50.2	,	t 7 (00 (`
Revenues					04./	\$2,114					\$7,699.()
Impairments		\$-		\$-	0	\$24.6		\$34			\$365.8	
Operating income (loss)		\$10		\$148		\$89.8		•			\$153.8	
Net income (loss) attributable to non-controlling in	iterest	\$44		\$74.2		\$37.3		,			•)
Net income (loss) attributable to ENLC		\$12	.4	\$28.0)	\$7.7		\$(61	1.3) 3	\$(13.2)
Net income (loss) attributable to ENLC per unit:		ΦΩ.	.=	\$0.1	_	\$0.04		Φ.(0	24	. ,	t (0.0 7	
Basic common unit		\$0.0		\$0.15		\$0.04		\$(0.)
Diluted common unit		\$0.0)7	\$0.13	5	\$0.04		\$(0.	34)) 5	\$(0.07)
2017												
Revenues	\$1,32	1.9	\$1,2	63.6	\$1,3	97.9 \$	1,7	56.2	\$5,73	39	0.6	
Impairments	\$7.0		\$	9	\$1.8	\$	8.3		\$17.	1		
Operating income	\$56.5	;	\$68.	9 9	\$72.	1 \$	96.	9	\$294	.4		
Net income attributable to non-controlling interest	\$11.2		\$21.	2 5	\$17.	9 \$	56.	9	\$107	.2	<u> </u>	
Net income (loss) attributable to ENLC	\$(1.9)	\$5.9		\$6.2	\$	202	2.6	\$212	8	}	
Net income (loss) attributable to ENLC per unit:												
Basic common unit	\$(0.0	1)	\$0.0	3 5	\$0.0	3 \$	1.1	2	\$1.18	8		
Diluted common unit	\$(0.0	1)	\$0.0	3 9	\$0.0	3 \$	1.1	1	\$1.1	7		
2016												
Revenues		\$88	9.7	\$1,03	33.2	\$1,10	4.6	\$1,2	24.9	9	\$4,252.4	1
Impairments		\$87	3.3	\$—		\$		\$		9	\$873.3	
Operating income (loss)		\$(82	24.8)	\$45.2	2	\$65.9		\$39.	.2	9	\$(674.5)
Net income (loss) attributable to non-controlling ir	nterest	\$(4	13.7)	\$0.4		\$10.4		\$(25	5.3) 5	\$(428.2)
Net income (loss) attributable to ENLC		\$(4:	57.6)	\$0.8		\$0.7		\$(3.	9)) 5	\$(460.0)
Net income (loss) attributable to ENLC per unit:												
Basic common unit		\$(2.	56)	\$0.01	1	\$		\$(0.	02) !	\$(2.56)
Diluted common unit		\$(2.	56)	\$0.0	1	\$—		\$(0.	02) 5	\$(2.56)

Table of Contents

ENLINK MIDSTREAM, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(17) Supplemental Cash Flow Information

The following schedule summarizes cash paid for interest and income taxes, non-cash investing activities, and non-cash financing activities for the periods presented (in millions):

	Year Ended Decen		
	31,		
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:	2018	2017	2016
Cash paid for interest	\$186.3	\$165.9	\$133.7
Cash paid (refunded) for income taxes	\$2.2	\$3.3	\$(7.0)
Non-cash investing activities:			
Non-cash accrual of property and equipment	\$6.8	\$(22.7)	\$13.1
Discounted secured term loan receivable from contract restructuring	\$47.7	\$ —	\$—
Non-cash financing activities:			
Installment payable, net of discount of \$79.1 million (1)	\$ —	\$ —	\$420.9
Non-cash issuance of common units (2)	\$ —	\$—	\$214.9

ENLK incurred installment purchase obligations, net of discount, payable to the seller in connection with the (1)EOGP assets. ENLK paid the second and final installments during January 2017 and 2018, respectively. See "Note 3—Acquisition" for further discussion.

)

(18) Other Information

The following tables present additional detail for other current assets and other current liabilities, which consists of the following (in millions):

Other Current Assets:	December	December	
Other Current Assets.	31, 2018	31, 2017	
Natural gas and NGLs inventory	\$ 41.3	\$ 30.1	
Secured term loan receivable from contract restructuring, net of discount of \$1.1	19.4	_	
Prepaid expenses and other	13.5	11.1	
Natural gas and NGLs inventory, prepaid expenses, and other	\$ 74.2	\$ 41.2	

Other Current Liabilities:	December	December
Other Current Liabilities.	31, 2018	31, 2017
Accrued interest	\$ 37.5	\$ 35.6
Accrued wages and benefits, including taxes	37.2	30.4
Accrued ad valorem taxes	28.1	27.8
Capital expenditure accruals	50.6	48.8
Onerous performance obligations	9.0	

Non-cash ENLC Common Units were issued as partial consideration for the acquisition of EOGP assets. See "Note 3—Acquisition" for further discussion.