

GENERAL CABLE CORP /DE/
Form 10-K/A
January 21, 2014
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K/A
Amendment No. 2
(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011
OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission file number: 1-12983

GENERAL CABLE CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

06-1398235

(State or other jurisdiction of

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

incorporation or organization)

4 Tesseneer Drive

41076-9753

Highland Heights, KY

(Zip Code)

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (859) 572-8000

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value

New York Stock Exchange

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the

Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was

required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if

any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to

submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation of S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form

10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "accelerated filer" "large accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$2,180.2 million at June 30, 2011 (based upon non-affiliate holdings of 51,201,624 shares and a market price of \$42.58 per share).

As of February 17, 2012 there were 49,764,204 shares of the registrant's Common Stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Portions of the definitive Proxy Statement for the registrant's Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2011 have been incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

GENERAL CABLE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
 INDEX TO ANNUAL REPORT
 ON FORM 10-K/A

	PAGE
<u>Explanatory Note</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1. Business</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>21</u>
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>53</u>
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	<u>53</u>

<u>Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>53</u>
--	-----------

PART IV

<u>Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedule</u>	<u>54</u>
---	-----------

<u>Signatures</u>	<u>55</u>
-------------------	-----------

<u>Exhibit Index</u>	<u>56</u>
----------------------	-----------

2

EXPLANATORY NOTE

Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements

Restatement No. 1

As previously reported, on October 29, 2012, General Cable Corporation (the "Company") announced that it had identified historical accounting errors relating to inventory. The inventory accounting issues resulted in understated cost of sales for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 and overstated inventory balances as of December 31, 2011 and 2010. The Company believes that the inventory accounting issues are, to a significant extent, attributable to a complex theft scheme in Brazil and, to a somewhat lesser extent, accounting errors, primarily in Brazil, affecting work in process and finished goods inventory that were not detected due to a deficient reconciliation process.

The Company also identified the following additional errors:

- The Company incorrectly recorded foreign currency adjustments related to certain intercompany transactions between the Company's U.S. and Canadian subsidiaries

- The Company also made erroneous foreign currency adjustments related to inventory and property, plant and equipment within the Company's Mexican subsidiary.

As a result, the Company restated for these errors in its previously issued consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 and quarterly operating results (unaudited) in Amendment No. 1 to its Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 1, 2013 (the "First Amended Filing"). The restatement of previously issued consolidated financial statements and quarterly operating results (unaudited) is referred to as "Restatement No. 1".

Restatement No. 2

In remediating the material weaknesses associated with Restatement No. 1, subsequent to the First Amended Filing, the Company undertook an evaluation to re-examine its historical revenue recognition accounting practices with regard to bill and hold sales in Brazil related to aerial transmission projects. "Bill and hold" sales generally are sales meeting specified criteria under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") to recognize revenue at the time title to goods and ownership risk is transferred to the customer, even though the seller does not ship the goods until a later time. In typical sales transactions other than those accounted for as bill and hold, title to goods and ownership risk is transferred to the customer at the time of shipment or delivery. As a result of this evaluation, the Company identified instances where the requirements for revenue recognition under GAAP with respect to the bill and hold sales were not met.

Also, in remediating the material weaknesses associated with Restatement No. 1, subsequent to the First Amended Filing, the Company undertook an evaluation to determine whether certain recorded value added tax ("VAT") assets in Brazil associated with the inventory theft and related accounting errors were recoverable. Based on its evaluation, the Company determined that it will not recover VAT assets that were previously recognized from 2008 to 2012. On October 10, 2013, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company, upon the recommendation of the Company's executive officers, concluded that due to the accounting errors described above, in the aggregate, related to (i) revenue recognition in connection with historical "bill and hold" transactions for aerial transmission projects in Brazil and (ii) VAT assets, the Company's previously issued consolidated financial statements for the fiscal years 2008 through 2012 and the interim periods during those years, and the interim financial statements as of and for the three fiscal months ended March 29, 2013 should no longer be relied upon.

The Company has corrected these errors in the accompanying restated consolidated financial statements, as well as in the quarterly operating results (unaudited). In addition, the Company corrected other immaterial errors in the accompanying restated consolidated financial statements and quarterly operating results (unaudited) (the "Other Immaterial Adjustments"). The restatement of previously issued consolidated financial statements and quarterly operating results (unaudited) for the bill and hold, VAT and Other Immaterial Adjustments is referred to as "Restatement No. 2".

This Amendment No. 2 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2011 (the "2011 Amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A") includes the restated consolidated financial statements for Restatement No. 1 and Restatement No. 2 described above.

See Note 22 - Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for additional information.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management reassessed its evaluation of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 based on the 1992 framework in "Internal Control-Integrated Framework" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). As a result of that reassessment, management confirmed the existence of material weaknesses and, accordingly, has reaffirmed its conclusion that the Company did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011. For a description of the material weaknesses in internal controls and actions taken and to be taken to remediate the material weaknesses, see "Part II - Item 9A - Controls and Procedures."

This 2011 Amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A does not reflect events occurring after the First Amended Filing or modify or update those disclosures affected by subsequent events, except as specifically referenced herein, for the effects of adopting ASU 2011-11 discussed in Note 2 and of the recast to change the composition of the guarantor subsidiaries discussed in Note 21. Disclosures not affected by the restatements or the revisions are unchanged and reflect the disclosures made at the time of the First Amended Filing. The following items have been amended:

Part I, Item 1 Business;

Part I, Item 1A Risk Factors;

Part II, Item 6 Selected Financial Data;

Part II, Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations;

Part II, Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk;

Part II, Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplemental Data;

Part II, Item 9A Controls and Procedures;

Part IV, Item 15 Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedule

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer are providing currently dated certifications in connection with this 2011 Amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A; the certifications are filed as Exhibits 31.1, 31.2 and 32.1.

The Company is concurrently filing an amended Annual Report on Form 10K/A for the year ended December 31, 2012, Amendment No. 2 of its Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q/A for each of the first three fiscal quarters of 2012, Amendment No. 1 of its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarter ended March 29, 2013 and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarterly periods ended June 28, 2013 and September 27, 2013.

PART I.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

The Company is a global leader in the development, design, manufacture, marketing and distribution of copper, aluminum and fiber optic wire and cable products for use in the energy, industrial, construction, specialty and communications markets. The Company additionally engages in the design, integration, and installation on a turn-key basis for products such as high and extra-high voltage terrestrial and submarine systems. The Company strives to enhance shareholder value in multiple ways, including:

Utilizing the Company's assets, financial strength and flexibility, distribution system, global and product diversity, brands, and the talents and strong commitment of employees to build profitability through excellence in the Company's primary business, wire and cable manufacturing and distribution;

Managing the Company's product portfolio by pursuing market share in fast growing and value added product lines as well as strategic investments in attractive long term growth opportunities;

Focusing on continuous improvement and operating efficiency through the execution of Lean Six Sigma ("Lean") strategies and technical expertise to maintain the Company's position as a low cost provider;

Expanding operations through organic growth and acquisitions with continued focus in emerging economies;

Leveraging our diversity and intellectual property through the sharing of best practices across the global organization; and

Maintaining high operational standards through sustainability, safety, and innovation.

By operating under these guiding principles the Company has been able to build a strong market position in each of the segments in which it competes. The Company's key performance indicators are considered to be volume, as measured in metal pounds sold, operating income, net income, earnings per share, operating cash flows, returns on capital employed and invested capital and working capital efficiency.

Table of Contents

The Company is a Delaware corporation and was incorporated in 1994. The Company and its predecessors have served various wire and cable markets for over 150 years. The Company's immediate predecessor was a unit of American Premier Underwriters, Inc. ("American Premier"), previously known as The Penn Central Corporation. American Premier acquired the Company's existing wire and cable business in 1981. In 1994, a subsidiary of Wassall PLC acquired the predecessor by purchase of General Cable's outstanding subordinated promissory note, the General Cable common stock held by American Premier and a tender offer for the publicly-held General Cable common stock. In 1997, Wassall consummated public offerings for the sale of all of its interest in General Cable's common stock. The Company has operated as an independent public company since completion of the offerings and its common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange.

For purposes of this report, unless the context otherwise requires, all references herein to the "Company," "General Cable," "we," "us," and "our" shall refer to General Cable Corporation.

Business Segments

The Company's operating structure is the basis for our financial reporting. The Company's three segments include North America, Europe and Mediterranean, and ROW. Additional financial information regarding the segments appears in Note 16 - Segment Information.

North America

The North America segment engages in the development, design, manufacture, marketing and distribution of copper, aluminum and fiber optic wire and cable products for the energy, industrial, construction, specialty and communications markets principally for use in the electric utility, electrical infrastructure, construction, and communications industries in the United States and Canada. The North America segment contributed approximately 36%, 37%, and 34% of the Company's consolidated revenues for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The North America segment consists of 17 manufacturing facilities across the region. Additionally, the North America segment has regional centers of excellence and state-of-the-art laboratories for technical expertise and innovation in material technology and compounding, electrical testing, data cables, and specialty and military cables.

Europe and Mediterranean

The Europe and Mediterranean segment engages in the development, design, manufacture, marketing and distribution of copper, aluminum and fiber optic wire and cable products for the energy, industrial, construction, specialty and communications markets principally for use in the electric utility, electrical infrastructure, construction, and communications industries with operations in Algeria, Angola, Egypt, France, Germany, Norway, Portugal and Spain, and selling into markets throughout Europe, the Mediterranean and Africa. Additionally, the Europe and Mediterranean segment engages in the design, integration, and installation on a turnkey basis for products such as high and extra-high voltage terrestrial and submarine systems around the world. The Europe and Mediterranean segment contributed approximately 30%, 31%, and 36% of the Company's consolidated revenues for 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

This segment has expanded in recent years due to several key acquisitions. These acquisitions have broadened the Company's customer base and the product offering to expand its presence in the European and Mediterranean markets, which had previously been concentrated in the Iberian Peninsula. These acquisitions include the purchase of a majority ownership of BICC Egypt S.A.E. ("Egypt") in October 2010, Enica Biskra ("Algeria") in 2008, Norddeutsche Seekabelwerke GmbH & Co. ("NSW") in 2007 and Silec Cable, S.A.S. ("Silec") in 2005. These acquisitions demonstrate the Company's strategic initiative to expand its global geographic footprint and broaden its product diversity.

The Europe and Mediterranean segment consists of 10 manufacturing facilities across the region. Additionally, the Europe and Mediterranean segment has regional centers of excellence and state-of-the-art laboratories for high and extra-high voltage power cables and systems, submarine power and communications systems, and halogen-free flame retardant technology and compounding.

Rest of World (ROW)

The ROW segment engages in the development, design, manufacture, marketing and distribution of copper, aluminum and fiber optic wire and cable products for use in the energy, industrial, construction, specialty and communications markets as well as manufacture and distribution of rod mill wire and cable products. The ROW segment consists of sales, distribution and manufacturing facilities in Latin America, Sub-Saharan Africa, the Middle East and Asia

Pacific that resulted from the acquisition of Phelps Dodge International Corporation (“PDIC”) in October 2007 and is managed in conjunction with the Company's historical operations and recent investments in Australia, China, India, Mexico, New Zealand, the Pacific Islands, Peru and South Africa. The ROW segment contributed approximately 34%, 32%, and 30% of the Company's consolidated revenues in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents

The PDIC acquisition was completed as part of the Company's strategy to expand globally into developing energy and electrical infrastructure markets and brought the Company additional global trading and operating expertise. The ROW segment serves developing countries and customers in sectors that are expected to offer better growth opportunity over time than the developed world. The rod mill wire and cable operations provide a competitive advantage in these markets. Current ROW operations and equity investments are located in Australia, Brazil, Chile, China, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, El Salvador, Fiji, Honduras, India, Mexico, New Zealand, Oman, Pakistan, Panama, Peru, the Philippines, South Africa, Thailand, Venezuela and Zambia.

The ROW segment consists of 20 manufacturing facilities across the segment. Additionally, the ROW segment has regional centers of excellence and state-of-the-art laboratories for rod fabrication and drawing.

Products

The Company serves its customers through a global network of manufacturing facilities with worldwide sales representation and distribution. The Company's product portfolio includes more than 100,000 products and the Company believes it has one of the most diversified product lines in the industry to meet customers' needs. The various wire and cable product lines are sold and manufactured by all geographic segments except for rod mill products, which are only manufactured and sold externally by the ROW segment. Revenue by product line and geographic region is included in Note 16 - Segment Information. The majority of products sold by the Company's three segments include the following:

Product Category	Principal Products	Principal Markets	Principal End-Users	
Electric Utility	- low- and medium-voltage distribution cables	- electric utilities	- investor-owned utility companies	
	- high- and extra-high-voltage underground transmission cables and installation		- government-owned and state and local public power companies	
Electrical Infrastructure	- bare overhead conductors	- power generating stations; solar, nuclear, wind applications	- contractors	
	- submarine transmission and distribution cables		- industrial consumers	
	- rubber- and plastic-jacketed wire and cables		- industrial applications;	- electrical distributors
	- low- and medium-voltage industrial power cables		marine, mining, oil and gas, transit, machine builders and entertainment	- electrical retailers
	- ignition wire sets		- military	- OEM (original equipment manufacturers)
	- cable wire harnesses		- infrastructure	- DIY (do-it-yourself customers)
Communications	- rail and mass transit cables	- automotive aftermarket	- industrial equipment manufacturers	
	- shipboard cables	- industrial power and control	- military customers	
	- oil and gas cables	- medical		
	- armored mining cables	- telecom local loop	- telecommunications system operators	
	- alternative energy power generation cables	- enterprise networking and multimedia applications	- contractors	
		- industrial instrumentation control	- telecommunications distributors	
	- commercial	- system integrators		
	- residential	- OEM		
	- building management	- DIY		
	- entertainment			

- | | | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|
| | - turnkey submarine networks | - renewable energy | |
| | - offshore integration systems | | |
| Construction | - construction cable | - residential and non-residential construction | - retail home centers |
| | - flexible cords; halogen-free, low-smoke and flame retardant cables | | - electricians |
| | | | - distributors |
| | | | - installation and engineering contractors |
| | | | - DIY |
| Rod Mill | - copper rod | - wire and cable industry | - wire and cable manufacturers |
| | - aluminum rod | | |

Table of Contents

Industry and Market Overview

The Company produces and sells to a variety of end markets including markets for electric utility, electrical infrastructure, communication, construction and rod mill products. The underlying growth drivers in each of these end markets are similar and dependent on healthy GDP rates and construction cycles. Additionally, the global electric utility industry is dependent on a variety of factors including electricity consumption and grid integration, housing and construction, including the urbanization of emerging economies, governmental energy and tax policy, the investment policies of electric utilities, as well as renewable energy initiatives primarily related to offshore wind and solar power. The market for electrical infrastructure cable products has many sub-sectors and niches and is heavily influenced by the level of industrial construction spending, the level of capital equipment investment and transit, marine, and mining activity as well as renewable energy initiatives primarily related to terrestrial and offshore drilling of oil and gas. The market demand for construction products is heavily influenced by the level of residential and non-residential construction spending. The market for communication products is primarily influenced by residential and non-residential construction and fiber-to-the-home initiatives as well as the level of broadband investments. Rod mill product demand is principally driven by fundamental demand stemming from economic growth and development.

Customers

The Company has a regionally coordinated global direct sales force and in certain of its businesses operates under supply agreements of varying lengths. These agreements generally do not require a minimum level of sales and customers are not contractually obligated to buy the Company's products exclusively; however these agreements generally provide adjustments to selling prices to reflect fluctuations in the cost of raw materials and typically have one to four year terms. The primary agreements are strategic alliances with a number of major utility customers around the world. The Company sells direct to utilities, independent distributors, retailers, contractors, and OEMs. The Company believes that our relationships with distributors and retailers are strong.

Raw Materials

The principal raw materials used by the Company in the manufacturing of its wire and cable products are copper and aluminum. The Company's products are material intensive with copper and aluminum comprising the major cost components for cable products. At current metal prices material costs are approximately 85% of total product costs with copper and aluminum metal costs comprising approximately 60% of total product cost for the year ended December 31, 2011. The price of copper and aluminum, as traded, has historically been subject to considerable volatility and, during the past few years, global copper prices have established new average record highs.

Average daily selling price: (\$ per pound)	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Year to Date
Copper Cathode					
2011	4.39	4.16	4.07	3.41	4.01
2010	3.28	3.19	3.30	3.93	3.43
2009	1.57	2.15	2.67	3.03	2.35
Aluminum					
2011	1.20	1.26	1.17	1.03	1.16
2010	1.04	1.02	1.01	1.13	1.05
2009	0.66	0.72	0.87	0.96	0.80

Volatility in the price of copper and aluminum and other raw materials, as well as fuel and energy, may in turn lead to significant fluctuations in our cost of sales or revenues. A significant portion of the Company's electric utility and telecommunications business and, to a lesser extent, the Company's electrical infrastructure business has metal escalators and de-escalators included in customer contracts under a variety of price setting and recovery formulas. The remainder of the Company's business requires that volatility in the cost of metals be recovered through negotiated price changes with customers. In these instances, the ability to change the Company's selling prices may lag the movement in metal prices by a period of time as the customer price changes are implemented.

Therefore, in the short-term, during periods of escalating raw material cost inputs, to the extent the Company is able to increase prices in the market to recover the higher raw material costs, the Company will generally experience an increase in gross profit from the sale of its relatively lower value inventory as computed under the weighted average inventory costing method. If the Company is unable to increase prices with the rise in the raw material market prices

due to low levels of demand or market dynamics, the Company will experience lower gross profit. Conversely, during periods of declining raw material cost inputs, to the extent the Company has to decrease prices in the market due to competitive pressure as the current cost of metals declines, the Company will generally experience downward pressure on its gross profit due to the sale of relatively higher value inventory as computed under the weighted average inventory costing method. If the Company is able to maintain price levels in an environment in which raw material prices are declining due to high levels of demand, the Company will experience higher gross profit. There is no exact future measure of the effect to the Company's profitability of the change of raw material cost inputs due to the unique

7

Table of Contents

set of selling variables and the high volume of transactions in any given period, each of which involves numerous individual pricing decisions. In 2011 if there was a 1% increase in copper and aluminum costs our cost of sales would have increased approximately \$31 million. The impact of this would directly impact gross profit if the Company was unable to increase prices with the rise in the price of copper and aluminum. To help reduce this volatility, the Company has implemented various pricing mechanisms and hedges a portion of its metal purchases when there is a firm price commitment for a future delivery but does not engage in speculative metals trading.

The Company purchases copper and aluminum from various global sources, generally through annual supply agreements. These agreements do not contractually obligate the Company to purchase products exclusively, or to purchase minimum quantities, do not contain 'take or pay' provisions, or require the Company to purchase products for a significant period of time. Copper and aluminum raw material supply is available from many sources and supply is generally expected to remain adequate for the Company's requirements, however, unanticipated problems with the Company's copper or aluminum rod suppliers could negatively affect the Company's business. In North America, the Company has centralized the purchasing of its copper, aluminum and other significant raw materials to capitalize on economies of scale and to facilitate the negotiation of purchase terms from suppliers. In 2011, the Company's largest supplier of copper rod accounted for approximately 91% of its North American copper purchases while the largest supplier of aluminum rod accounted for approximately 72% of its North American aluminum purchases. The Company's European and Mediterranean operations purchase copper and aluminum rod from many suppliers or brokers with each generally providing a small percentage of the total copper and aluminum rod purchased. The Company's ROW segment internally produces the majority of its copper and aluminum rod production needs and obtains cathode and ingots from various suppliers with each supplier generally providing a small percentage. Other raw materials utilized by the Company include nylon, polyethylene resin and compounds and plasticizers, fluoropolymer compounds, optical fiber and a variety of filling, binding and sheathing materials. The Company believes that all of these materials are available in sufficient quantities through purchases in the open market.

Patents and Trademarks

The Company believes that the success of its business depends more on the technical competence, creativity and marketing abilities of its employees rather than on any individual patent, trademark or copyright. Nonetheless, the Company has a policy of seeking patents when appropriate on inventions concerning new products and product improvements as part of its ongoing research, development and manufacturing activities. The Company owns numerous patents and trademarks globally, with pending applications for additional patents and trademarks, and maintains trade secret protection for certain confidential and proprietary information.

Although in the aggregate these patents are of considerable importance to the manufacturing and marketing of many of the Company's products, the Company does not consider any single patent to be material to its business as a whole. The Company considers PDIC related trademarks and trade names to be of material value to our business including Phelps Dodge International Corporation® and the PDIC global symbols. Other trademarks, which are considered to be generally important are General Cable®, Anaconda®, BICC®, Carol®, GenSpeed®, Helix/HiTemp®, NextGen®, Silec®, Polyrad® and the Company's triad symbol. The Company believes that products bearing these trademarks have achieved significant brand recognition within the industry.

Seasonality

The Company generally has experienced and expects to continue to experience certain seasonal trends in many products in which demand is linked with construction spending. Demand for these products during winter months in certain geographies is usually lower than demand during spring and summer months. Therefore, larger amounts of working capital are generally required during winter months in order to build inventories in anticipation of higher demand during the spring and summer months, when construction activity increases. In turn, receivables related to higher sales activity during the spring and summer months are generally collected during the fourth quarter of the year. Additionally, the Company has historically experienced changes in demand resulting from poor or unusual weather.

Competition

The markets for all of the Company's products are highly competitive and most markets include several competitors. The degree of competition varies by operating segment and product line. However, in general, the industry is mature

and cost driven. Although the primary competitive factors for the Company's products vary somewhat across the different product categories, the principal factors influencing competition include, but are not limited to, price, quality, breadth of product line, inventory, delivery time, customer service, the environmental impact of the products, and the ability to meet the customer's needs.

Many of the Company's products are made to industry specifications, and are therefore functionally interchangeable with those of competitors. However, the Company believes that significant opportunities exist to differentiate all of its products on the basis of quality, consistent availability, conformance to manufacturer's specifications and customer service. The Company believes its

Table of Contents

competitive strengths include breadth of product line, brand recognition, distribution and logistics, strong customer relations, operating efficiency, and commitment to quality control and continuous improvement.

Advertising Expense

Advertising expense consists of expenses relating to promoting the Company's products, including trade shows, catalogs, and e-commerce promotions, and is charged to expense when incurred. Advertising expense was \$6.1 million, \$12.0 million and \$7.5 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Environmental Matters

The Company is subject to a variety of federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations covering the storage, handling, emission and discharge of materials into the environment, including CERCLA, the Clean Water Act, the Clean Air Act (including the 1990 amendments) and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. While it is difficult to estimate future environmental liabilities accurately, the Company does not currently anticipate any material adverse effect on its consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows as a result of compliance with federal, state, local or foreign environmental laws or regulations or remediation costs of the sites as discussed below in Item 3 - Legal Proceedings and Note 17 - Commitments and Contingencies.

Employees

At December 31, 2011, General Cable employed approximately 12,000 employees worldwide. Approximately 43% of our employees were covered by collective bargaining agreements, of which 55% are subject to agreements that expire within one year of December 31, 2011. The Company believes it will successfully renegotiate these contracts as they come due. Generally, labor agreements are negotiated on an annual or bi-annual basis. The Company believes that its relations with its employees are generally good.

Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements in the 2011 Amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 including, without limitation, statements regarding future financial results and performance, plans and objectives, capital expenditures, understanding of competition, projected sources of cash flow, potential legal liability, proposed legislation and regulatory action, and our management's beliefs, expectations or opinions, are forward-looking statements, and as such, we desire to take advantage of the "safe harbor" which is afforded such statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are those that predict or describe future events or trends and that do not relate solely to historical matters. Forward-looking statements can generally be identified as statements containing the words "believe," "expect," "may," "could," "anticipate," "intend," "should," "estimate," "will," "plan," "assume," "seek to" or other similar expressions, although not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words. In addition, forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in forward-looking statements as a result of factors, risks and uncertainties over many of which we have no control. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those described in Item 1A - Risk Factors and elsewhere in this report and those described from time to time in our future reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("the SEC").

Forward looking statements reflect the views and assumptions of management as of the date of this report with respect to future events. The Company does not undertake, and hereby disclaims, any obligation, unless required to do so by applicable securities laws, to update any forward-looking statements as a result of new information, future events or other factors. The inclusion of any statement in this report does not constitute an admission by the Company or any other person that the events or circumstances described in such statement are material.

Available Information

The Company's principal executive offices are located at 4 Tesseneer Drive, Highland Heights, Kentucky 41076-9753 and its telephone number is (859) 572-8000. The Company's internet address is www.generalcable.com. General Cable's annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are made available free of charge at www.generalcable.com as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. In addition, the Company will provide, at no cost, paper or electronic copies of our reports and other filings made with the SEC. Requests should be directed to: Investor Relations, General Cable Corporation, 4 Tesseneer Drive, Highland Heights, KY 41076-9753.

The information on the website listed above is not and should not be considered part of this annual report on the 2011 Amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A and is not incorporated by reference in this document. This website address is only intended to be an inactive textual reference.

Table of Contents

The most recent certifications by our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 are filed as exhibits to our 2011 Amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A. We have also filed with the New York Stock Exchange the most recent Annual CEO certification as required by Section 303A.12(a) of the New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

The following table sets forth certain information concerning the executive officers of General Cable as of December 31, 2011.

Name	Age	Position
Gregory B. Kenny	59	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Brian J. Robinson	43	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
Robert J. Siverd	63	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
Emmanuel Sabonnadiere	47	Executive Vice President, President and Chief Executive Officer, General Cable Europe and Mediterranean
Gregory J. Lampert	44	Executive Vice President, President and Chief Executive Officer, General Cable North America
Mathias Sandoval	51	Executive Vice President, President and Chief Executive Officer, General Cable Rest of World

Mr. Kenny has been one of General Cable's directors since 1997 and has been President and Chief Executive Officer since August 2001. He served as President and Chief Operating Officer from May 1999 to August 2001. He served as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of General Cable from March 1997 to May 1999. From June 1994 to March 1997, he was Executive Vice President of General Cable's immediate predecessor. He is also a member of the Board of Directors of Corn Products International, Inc. (NYSE: CPO), Cardinal Health, Inc. (NYSE: CAH) and the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland (Cincinnati Branch).

Mr. Robinson has served as Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since January 1, 2008. He served as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer from January 2007 to December 2007. He served as Senior Vice President, Controller and Treasurer from March 2006 to December 2006. He served as General Cable Controller from 2000 to February 2006 and Assistant Controller from 1999 to 2000. From 1997 until 1999, he served as an Audit Manager focused on accounting services for global companies for Deloitte & Touche LLP, and from 1991 to 1997, he served in roles of increasing responsibility with the Deloitte & Touche LLP office in Cincinnati, Ohio.

Mr. Siverd has served as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of General Cable since March 1997. From July 1994 until March 1997, he was Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of the predecessor company.

Mr. Sabonnadiere has served as Executive Vice President, President and Chief Executive Officer, Europe and Mediterranean since July 2010. He joined General Cable in June 2008 as Managing Director of the Silec operations in France and is also Chairman of the Board of Directors of our Algerian business. Prior to General Cable, he served for 20 years in senior management positions in energy transmission and distribution related businesses. Mr. Sabonnadiere joined General Cable from NKM Noell GmbH, the German branch of the Group REEL, where he served as Chief Executive Officer from 2005 to 2008. Prior to that, he served as Vice President of the Distribution Transformers Division of Alstom T&D from 2001 to 2005. Mr. Sabonnadiere also served in a number of management positions of increasing responsibility with Schneider Electric from 1990 to 2001, lastly as Managing Director of France Transfo.

Mr. Lampert has served as Executive Vice President, President and Chief Executive Officer for General Cable North America since August 1, 2008. Prior to that, Mr. Lampert was Executive Vice President and Group President, North America Electrical and Communications Infrastructure since October 2007. He served as Senior Vice President and General Manager - Data Communications and Carol Brand Products from August 2005 until September 2007. He served as Vice President and General Manager - Carol Brand Products from January 2004 until July 2005. He served as Vice President of Sales - Electrical and Industrial Distribution from July 2000 until December 2003. He served as Product Manager - Building Wire from April 1998 until June 2000. Prior to joining General Cable, Mr. Lampert spent eight years with The Dow Chemical Company in sales and marketing roles of increasing responsibility.

Mr. Sandoval has served as Executive Vice President, President and Chief Executive Officer of General Cable Rest of World and Phelps Dodge International Corporation (“PDIC”) since October 2007. He began his 28-year career with PDIC as a process engineer in Costa Rica and has held positions in engineering, operations and management, including General Manager of PDIC's Honduras-based business, President of their Venezuelan operations, Vice President of their Global Aluminum Business Segment and Vice President of PDIC's Global Energy Segment. He became President of PDIC in 2001. He has served on Boards of Directors for joint ventures between United States companies and private- and government-owned enterprises in China, Thailand,

Table of Contents

the Philippines, Zambia, South Africa, Mexico, Honduras, Costa Rica, Panama, Venezuela, Ecuador, Brazil and Chile. Mr. Sandoval is also a member of the Board of Directors of A.O. Smith Corporation (NYSE: AOS).

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

We are subject to a number of risks listed below, which could have a material adverse affect on our financial condition, results of operations and the value of our securities.

Certain statements in the 2011 Amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A including, without limitation, statements regarding future financial results and performance, plans and objectives, capital expenditures, understanding of competition, projected sources of cash flow, potential legal liability, proposed legislation and regulatory action, and our management's beliefs, expectations or opinions, are forward-looking statements, and as such, we desire to take advantage of the "safe harbor" which is afforded such statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Our forward-looking statements should be read in conjunction with our comments in this report under the heading, "Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements." Actual results may differ materially from those statements as a result of factors, risks and uncertainties over which we have no control. The risk factors discussed below are all of the known material risks and uncertainties that we know to exist. However, additional risks that are currently unknown to us may also impair our business or adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations.

• Our net sales, net income and growth depend largely on the economic strength of the geographic markets that we serve, and if these markets become weaker, we could experience decreased sales and net income.

Many of our customers use our products as components in their own products or in projects undertaken for their customers. Our ability to sell our products is largely dependent on general economic conditions, including end user spending on power transmission and distribution infrastructures, industrial manufacturing assets, new construction and building, information technology and maintaining or reconfiguring their communications networks. In periods of negative or no economic growth, we would likely experience a decrease in sales and net income.

• Volatility in the price of copper and aluminum and other raw materials, as well as fuel and energy, could adversely affect our businesses.

The costs of copper and aluminum, the most significant raw materials we use, have been subject to considerable volatility caused by supply conditions, weather, political and economic variables as well as other unknown and unpredictable variables. Other raw materials such as fuel and energy have additionally been subject to considerable volatility.

The Company typically passes these changes in copper and aluminum prices along to its customers, although there are timing delays of varying lengths depending upon the volatility of metals prices, the type of product, competitive conditions, pricing mechanisms and particular customer arrangements. Although the general trends are detailed in Item 1 - Business – Raw Materials, there is no exact future measure of the effect of the change of raw material cost inputs due to the unique set of selling variables and the high volume of transactions in any given period, each of which involves numerous individual pricing decisions. To help reduce this volatility, the Company implemented pricing mechanisms and hedges a portion of its metal purchases when there is a firm price commitment for a future delivery but does not engage in speculative metals trading.

In addition, we may be required to recognize an expense to record our inventory at market value, which would negatively impact our financial results. Although we attempt to recover copper and aluminum and other raw material price changes either in the selling price of our products or through commodity hedging programs, there is no assurance that we can do so successfully or at all in the future.

• The markets for our products are highly competitive, and if we fail to successfully invest in product development, productivity improvements and customer service and support, sales of our products could be adversely affected.

The markets for copper, aluminum and fiber optic wire and cable products are highly competitive and some of our competitors may have greater financial resources than we have. We compete with at least one major competitor with respect to each of our business segments. Many of our products are made to common specifications and, therefore, may be interchangeable with competitors' products. Accordingly, we are subject to competition in many markets on the basis of price, quality, breadth of product line, inventory, delivery time, customer service, the environmental impact of the products, and the ability to meet the customer's needs.

We believe that competitors will continue to improve the design and performance of their products and to introduce new products with competitive price and performance characteristics. We expect that we will be required to continue to invest in product development, productivity improvements and customer service and support in order to compete in our markets. Furthermore, an increase in imports of competing products could adversely affect our sales on a region by region basis.

11

Table of Contents

Our business is subject to the economic, political and other risks of maintaining facilities and selling products in foreign countries.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, approximately 64% of our sales and approximately 77% of our assets were in markets outside of North America. Our operations outside of North America generated approximately 55% of our cash flows from operations during this period. Some of our facilities, in particular, certain locations such as Algeria, Angola, Egypt, India, Pakistan, the Philippines, Thailand, and Venezuela, among others, are at higher risk of being targets of economic and political destabilization, international conflicts, restrictive actions by foreign governments, nationalizations or expropriations, changes in regulatory requirements, the difficulty of effectively managing diverse global operations, terrorist activities, adverse foreign tax laws and the threat posed by potential pandemics in countries that do not have the resources necessary to deal with such outbreaks. Our financial results may be adversely affected by the enactment of exchange controls or foreign governmental or regulatory restrictions on the transfer of funds. In addition, negative tax consequences relating to the repatriation of certain foreign income may adversely affect our cash flows. Over time, we intend to continue to expand our foreign operations, which would serve to exacerbate these risks and their potential effect on our business, financial position and results of operations. Economic and political developments in the countries in which we have operations, including future economic changes or crises (such as inflation, currency devaluation or recession), government deadlock, political instability, political activism, terrorist activities, civil strife, international conflicts, changes in laws and regulations and expropriation or nationalization of property or other resources, could impact our operations or the market value of our common stock and have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In each of our markets, we face pricing pressures. Such pricing pressures could adversely affect our results of operations and financial performance.

We face pricing pressures in each of our markets as a result of significant competition or over-capacity. In order to respond to these pricing pressures, we continually work toward reducing our costs through improving our processes and productivity. In the event we are unable to implement cost reduction measures that are designed to improve our manufacturing techniques and processes, we may not achieve desired efficiency or productivity levels or reduce our manufacturing costs. In addition, productivity increases are related in part to factory utilization rates. Decreases in utilization rates may adversely impact productivity. Further pricing pressures, without offsetting cost reductions, could adversely affect our results of operations and financial performance.

Growth through acquisition has been a significant part of our strategy and we may not be able to successfully identify, obtain or integrate acquisitions.

Growth through acquisition has been, and is expected to continue to be, a significant part of our strategy. We regularly evaluate possible acquisition candidates. There can be no assurance that that we will be successful in identifying, financing and closing acquisitions at favorable prices and terms. Potential acquisitions may require us to issue additional shares of stock or obtain additional or new financing. The issuance of shares of our common or preferred stock in connection with potential acquisitions may dilute the value of shares held by our then existing equity holders. Further, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in integrating any such acquisitions that are completed. Integration of any such acquisitions may require substantial management, financial and other resources and may pose risks with respect to production, customer service and market share of our existing operations. In addition, we may acquire businesses that are subject to technological or competitive risks, and we may not be able to realize the benefits originally expected from such acquisitions.

Alternative technologies, such as fiber optic and wireless technologies, may make some of our products less competitive.

Alternative technologies continue to have an adverse effect on elements of our business. For example, a continued increase in the rate of installations using fiber optic systems, an increase in the cost of copper-based systems, or advancing wireless technologies, as they relate to network and communications systems, may have an adverse effect on our business. While we do manufacture and sell fiber optic cables, any further acceleration in the erosion of our sales of copper cables due to increased market demand for fiber optic cables would most likely not be offset by an increase in sales of our fiber optic cables. In addition, our sales of copper premise cables currently face downward pressure from wireless and other similar technology and the increased acceptance and use of these technologies has

increased this pressure and the potential negative impact on our future financial results, cash flows or financial position.

We are substantially dependent upon distributors and retailers for non-exclusive sales of our products and they could cease purchasing our products at any time.

Distributors and retailers account for a substantial portion of our sales. These distributors and retailers are not contractually obligated to carry our product lines exclusively or for any period of time. Therefore, these distributors and retailers may purchase products that compete with our products or cease purchasing our products at any time. The loss of one or more large distributors or retailers could have a material adverse effect on our ability to bring our products to end users and on our results of operations. Moreover, a downturn in the business of one or more large distributors or retailers could adversely affect our sales and could create significant credit exposure.

Changes in our tax rates or exposure to new tax laws could impact our profitability.

Table of Contents

We are subject to income tax in the United States and in various other global jurisdictions. Our effective tax rates could be adversely affected by changes in the mix of earnings by jurisdiction, changes in tax legislation, and the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities. In addition, we are subject to audits in various jurisdictions. Although we believe that our tax estimates are reasonable and appropriate, there are significant uncertainties in these estimates and as a result of these estimates there could be material adjustments. As a result of ongoing or possible future tax audits, we may be required to pay additional taxes and/or penalties as a result of such tax audits, which could have a potential negative impact on our future financial results, cash flows and financial position.

Changes in industry standards and regulatory requirements may adversely affect our business.

Our global business is subject to the requirements of federal, state, local and foreign regulatory authorities as well as industry standard-setting authorities. Changes in the standards and requirements imposed by such authorities could have an adverse effect on us. In the event that we are unable to meet any such new or modified standards when adopted, our business could be adversely affected.

In addition, changes in the legislative environment could affect the growth and other aspects of important markets served by us. The wire and cable industry growth has been partially driven by energy related legislation, including alternative and renewable energy sources, investment incentives for utilities and government infrastructure spending. Although we believe legislative efforts overall have a positive impact on our business and financial results, we cannot be certain that this impact will continue. Further, we cannot predict the impact, either positive or negative, that changes in laws or industry standards may have on our future financial results, cash flows or financial position.

Failure to properly execute large customer projects may negatively impact our ability to obtain similar contracts in the future and may result in substantial financial penalties.

In recent years, primarily in Europe, we have been awarded several large turn-key projects for specific customers. These projects involve numerous challenges associated with large long-term contracts and the contracts related to these projects generally include substantial financial penalties for non-performance on our part. These projects are milestones for us as we work to increase our market share through successful execution of contracts for medium-voltage infield array projects and high-voltage export projects as well as underground terrestrial high-voltage projects. In addition, the terrestrial and submarine transmission cable markets in Europe, which are being driven by large investments in grid interconnections and alternative energy such as offshore wind power, represent an attractive long-term opportunity for us. The success of our execution of large turn-key projects is important to our long-term success in this market.

Interruptions of supplies from key suppliers may affect our results of operations and financial performance.

Interruptions of supplies from our key suppliers, including results from catastrophes such as hurricanes, earthquakes, floods or terrorist activities, could disrupt production or impact our ability to increase or maintain production and sales. All copper and aluminum rod used in our North American operations is externally sourced, and our largest supplier of copper rod accounted for approximately 91% of our North American purchases in 2011 while our largest supplier of aluminum rod accounted for approximately 72% of our North American purchases in 2011. Our European operations purchase copper and aluminum rod from many suppliers with each supplier generally providing a small percentage of the total copper and aluminum rod purchased while operations in ROW internally produce the majority of copper and aluminum rod for production needs and obtain cathode and ingots from various sources with each supplier generally providing a small percentage of the total amount of raw materials purchased. Any unanticipated problems with our copper or aluminum rod suppliers could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Additionally, we use a limited number of sources for most of the other raw materials that we do not produce. We do not have long-term or volume purchase agreements with most of our suppliers, and may have limited options in the short-term for alternative supply if these suppliers fail to continue the supply of material or components for any reason, including their business failure, inability to obtain raw materials or financial difficulties. Moreover, identifying and accessing alternative sources may increase our costs.

We source and sell products globally and are exposed to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates.

We manufacture and sell products and finance operations throughout the world and are exposed to the impact of foreign currency fluctuations on our results of operations. Also, our consolidated financial results are presented in U.S. dollars; therefore, a change in the value of currencies may adversely impact our net financial statements after

currency remeasurements and translation to U.S. dollars. In addition, unexpected devaluations of currencies, such as the devaluation of the Venezuelan Bolivar in 2010, could negatively affect the value of our earnings from, and the assets located, in those markets. Although we hedge certain foreign currency transactions, there is still risk associated with changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

Compliance with foreign and U.S. laws and regulations applicable to our international operations, including the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act ("FCPA") and other applicable anti-corruption laws, may increase the cost of doing business in international jurisdictions.

Table of Contents

Various laws and regulations associated with our current international operations are complex and increase our cost of doing business. Furthermore, these laws and regulations expose us to fines and penalties if we fail to comply with them. These laws and regulations include import and export requirements, U.S. laws such as the FCPA, and local laws prohibiting payments to governmental officials and other corrupt practices. Although we have implemented policies and procedures designed to ensure compliance with these laws, there can be no assurance that our employees, contractors and agents will not take actions in violation of our policies, particularly as we expand our operations through organic growth and acquisitions. Any such violations could subject us to civil or criminal penalties, including substantial fines or prohibitions on our ability to offer our wire and cable products in one or more countries, and could also materially damage our reputation, brand, international expansion efforts, business and operating results. In addition, if we fail to address the challenges and risks associated with our international expansion and acquisition strategy, we may encounter difficulties implementing our strategy, which could impede our growth or harm our operating results.

We are in the process of reviewing certain commission payments made by our subsidiary in Angola. The review is being conducted under the oversight of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors and with the assistance of external counsel. Due to the ongoing nature of the review, we have not yet been able to determine whether such commission payments were inconsistent with applicable U.S. or international laws and regulations. Based on the information gathered to date, we cannot predict the duration or the outcome of this review. If there is a finding that one or more payments were inconsistent with applicable laws or regulations, we may be subject to monetary or other sanctions, which could be substantial.

Failure to negotiate extensions of our labor agreements as they expire may result in a disruption of our operations. As of December 31, 2011, approximately 43% of our employees were represented by various labor unions.

We are party to labor agreements with unions that represent employees at many of our manufacturing facilities. Labor agreements are generally negotiated on an annual or bi-annual basis and the risk exists that we may not be able to renew labor agreements on reasonably satisfactory terms or at all. We cannot predict what issues may be raised by the collective bargaining units representing our employees and, if raised, whether negotiations concerning such issues will be successfully concluded. A protracted work stoppage could result in a disruption of our operations which could, in turn, adversely affect our financial results, customer satisfaction, and our ability to deliver certain products.

If either our uncommitted accounts payable confirming arrangements or our accounts receivable financing arrangements for our European operations is canceled, our liquidity may be negatively impacted.

Our European operations participate in accounts payable confirming arrangements with several European financial institutions. We negotiate payment terms with suppliers of generally 180 days in Europe and submit invoices to the financial institutions with instructions for the financial institutions to transfer funds from our European operations' accounts on the due date (on day 180) for the trade payables due. At December 31, 2011, the arrangements had a maximum availability limit of the equivalent of approximately \$565.1 million, of which approximately \$301.5 million was drawn. Should the availability under these arrangements be reduced or terminated, we may be required to seek alternative arrangements. We also have approximately \$71.3 million available under uncommitted, Euro-denominated facilities in Europe, which allow us to sell at a discount, with no or limited recourse, a portion of our accounts receivable to financial institutions. As of December 31, 2011, we have drawn \$2.1 million from these accounts receivable facilities. We do not have firm commitments from these institutions to purchase our accounts receivable. There can be no assurance that alternate arrangements will be available on favorable terms or at all. Failure to obtain alternative arrangements in such case would negatively impact our liquidity.

The Company is exposed to counterparty risk in our hedging arrangements.

The Company is exposed to counterparty risk in our hedging arrangements. The failure of one or more counterparties to our hedging arrangements to fulfill or renew their obligations to us could adversely affect our results of operations. At times, depending on the extent of any unrealized loss position(s) on a derivative contract(s), certain counterparties may require us to post collateral to secure our derivative contract positions.

As a result of market and industry conditions or in the event we close any of our manufacturing facilities, we may be required to recognize impairment charges for our long-lived assets, including goodwill.

As of December 31, 2011, property, plant and equipment, goodwill and other intangible assets account for approximately \$1,371.4 million, or 31% of our total assets. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, we periodically assess our long-lived assets to determine if they are impaired. The testing for impairment is based on assumptions regarding our future business outlook as well as other factors. While we continue to review and analyze many factors that can impact our business, such as industry and economic trends, our analyses are subjective and are based on conditions existing at and trends leading up to the time the assumptions are made. Actual results could differ materially from these assumptions particularly in the event of disruptions to our business, unexpected significant changes or planned changes in the use of assets or divestitures or expropriations of assets. Future impairment charges could significantly affect our results of operations in the period recognized.

Table of Contents

Declining returns in the investment portfolio of our defined benefit pension plans and changes in actuarial assumptions could increase the volatility in our pension expense and require us to increase cash contributions to the plans.

We sponsor defined benefit pension plans around the world. Pension expense for the defined benefit pension plans sponsored by us is determined based upon a number of actuarial assumptions, including an expected long-term rate of return on assets and discount rate. The use of these assumptions makes our pension expense and our cash contributions subject to year-to-year volatility. As of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the defined benefit pension plans were underfunded by approximately \$114.7 million, \$99.6 million and \$103.4 million, respectively, based on the actuarial methods and assumptions utilized for purposes of the applicable accounting rules and interpretations. We have experienced volatility in our pension expense and our cash contributions to our defined benefit pension plans. In 2011, pension expense was \$12.5 million, an increase of approximately \$1.4 million from 2010, and cash contributions were \$24.4 million, an increase of approximately \$3.5 million from 2010. We estimate our 2012 pension expense for our defined benefit plans will increase to approximately \$16.6 million. In the event that actual results differ from the actuarial assumptions or the actuarial assumptions are changed, the funded status of our defined benefit pension plans may change and any such deficiency could result in additional charges to equity and an increase in our future pension expense and cash contributions.

Environmental liabilities could potentially adversely impact us and our affiliates.

We are subject to federal, state, local and foreign environmental protection laws and regulations governing our operations and the use, handling, disposal and remediation of hazardous substances currently or formerly used by us and our affiliates. A risk of environmental liability is inherent in our and our affiliates' current and former manufacturing activities in the event of a release or discharge of a hazardous substance generated by us or our affiliates. Under certain environmental laws, we could be held jointly and severally responsible for the remediation of any hazardous substance contamination at our current and former facilities and at third party waste disposal sites. We could also be held liable for any consequences arising out of human exposure to such substances or other environmental damage. We and our affiliates have been named as potentially responsible parties in proceedings that involve environmental remediation. There can be no assurance that the costs of complying with environmental, health and safety laws and requirements in our current operations or the liabilities arising from past releases of, or exposure to, hazardous substances, will not result in future expenditures by us that could materially and adversely affect our financial results, cash flows or financial condition.

- We are subject to certain asbestos litigation and unexpected judgments or settlements that could have a material adverse affect on our financial results.

Our subsidiaries have been named as defendants in non-maritime asbestos cases which involve plaintiffs alleging exposure to asbestos-containing cable manufactured by our predecessors. Our subsidiaries have also been named, along with numerous other product manufacturers, as defendants in cases in which plaintiffs alleged that they suffered an asbestos related injury while working in the maritime industry. Refer to Item 3 - Legal Proceedings of this document for a summary of our outstanding asbestos related litigation. There can be no assurance that any judgments or settlements of the pending asbestos cases or any cases which may be filed in the future will not have a material adverse affect on our financial results, cash flows or financial position.

Pending antitrust and competition law investigations relating to the cable industry could negatively impact our Company.

The U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") and the European Commission have been conducting antitrust and competition law investigations relating to the cable industry, which we believe relate primarily to the submarine and underground high-voltage power cables businesses. We were not engaged in the submarine power cable business prior to 2009. We only recently entered the submarine power cable business in March 2009 through our German affiliate, Norddeutsche Seekabelwerke GmbH & Co., which we acquired in 2007 and subsequently invested in so that we could enter the market. We have received requests for information from both the DOJ and the European Commission and provided documents and responses to inquiries in connection with their investigations. We may receive further requests for information from the DOJ.

No wrongdoing by us or any of our subsidiaries has been alleged by the DOJ nor have we been named in any other cases or proceedings which have been brought by competition authorities in various countries against wire and cable companies in the submarine and underground power cables businesses. If any claims were to be made, defending them could involve us in lengthy proceedings.

On July 5, 2011, the European Commission issued a Statement of Objections in relation to its ongoing competition investigation alleging that two General Cable affiliates in Europe engaged in violations of competition law in the underground power cables businesses for a limited period of time. The Company responded to the Statement of Objections on October 28, 2011 and continues to defend itself against the allegations. The defense of the Company in response to the European Commission's Statement of Objections could involve us in lengthy proceedings.

If we or our subsidiaries were found to have violated antitrust or competition regulations at any time, we or our subsidiaries could be subject to fines and claims for damages, which could be substantial and materially impact our consolidated financial results.

Table of Contents

If we fail to attract and retain our key employees, our business may be harmed.

Our success has been largely dependent on the skills, experience and efforts of our key employees and the loss of the services of any of our executive officers or other key employees, without a properly executed transition plan, could have an adverse affect on us. The loss of our key employees who have intimate knowledge of our manufacturing process could lead to increased competition to the extent that those employees are hired by a competitor and are able to recreate our manufacturing process. Our future success will also depend in part upon our continuing ability to attract and retain highly qualified personnel, who are in great demand.

Our indebtedness and our ability to pay could adversely affect our business and financial condition.

We have a significant amount of debt and may incur additional debt in the future. If new debt is added to our current debt levels, the risks described herein would intensify. Refer to Note 9 - Long-Term Debt of this document for details on the various debt agreements.

The degree to which we are leveraged could have adverse consequences to us, limiting management's choices in responding to business, economic, regulatory and other competitive conditions. In addition, our ability to generate cash flow from operations sufficient to make scheduled payments on our debts as they become due will depend on our future performance, our ability to successfully execute our business strategy and our ability to obtain other financing, which may be influenced by economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control. Our substantial indebtedness may affect our ability to pay principal and interest on outstanding indebtedness, increase our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions, limit future capital expenditures and research and development, limit our ability to fund working capital needs and general corporate requirements, decrease our flexibility to react to changes in our business and industry, and place us at a competitive disadvantage to our competitors with less debt.

Our ability to make payments on our indebtedness, to refinance our indebtedness and fund planned capital expenditures will depend on our ability to generate cash in the future. This, to a certain extent, is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control. There can be no assurance that our business will generate sufficient cash flows from operations or that future borrowings will be available to us under our credit facilities in an amount sufficient to enable us to make payments with respect to our indebtedness or to fund our other liquidity needs. If this were the case, we might need to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness on or before maturity, sell assets, reduce or delay capital expenditures or seek additional equity financing. There can be no assurance that we will be able to refinance any of our indebtedness on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

Failure to comply with covenants and other provisions in our existing or future financing agreements could result in cross-defaults under some of our financing agreements, which could jeopardize our ability to satisfy our obligations. Various risks, uncertainties and events beyond our control could affect our ability or the ability of our subsidiaries to comply with the covenants, financial tests and ratios required by the instruments governing our and their financing arrangements, including, without limitation, the requirement that no final judgment or judgments of a court of competent jurisdiction have been rendered against us or our subsidiaries in excess of stated amounts. Failure to comply with any of the covenants in our existing or future financing agreements could result in a default under those agreements as well as other agreements containing cross-default provisions. A default would permit lenders to cease to make further extensions of credit, accelerate the maturity of the debt under these agreements and foreclose upon any collateral securing that debt as well as restrict our ability to make certain investments and payments, pay dividends, purchase company stock, enter into transactions with affiliates, make acquisitions, merge and consolidate, or transfer or sell assets. Under these circumstances, we might not have sufficient funds or other resources to satisfy all of our obligations.

Our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to comply with these covenants is subject to various risks and uncertainties. In addition, events beyond our control could affect our ability to comply with and maintain the financial tests and ratios required by this indebtedness. Even if we or our subsidiaries, as applicable, are able to comply with all applicable covenants, the restrictions on our ability to operate our business in our sole discretion could harm our business by, among other things, limiting our ability to take advantage of financing, mergers, acquisitions and other opportunities.

Certain portions of our debt contain prepayment or acceleration rights at the election of the holders upon a covenant default, change in control or fundamental change, which, if exercised, could constitute an event of default under other portions of our debt. It is possible that we would be unable to fulfill all of these obligations simultaneously, which could adversely affect our financial position.

If we fail to meet our payment or other obligations under our secured indebtedness, the lenders under this indebtedness could foreclose on, and acquire control of, substantially all of our assets.

Indebtedness under our senior secured credit facility is secured by a first priority security interest in substantially all of our domestic and Canadian assets. In addition, the lenders under our senior secured credit facility have received a pledge of (i) 100% of the

Table of Contents

equity interests in substantially all of our domestic and Canadian subsidiaries, and (ii) 65% of the voting equity interests in and 100% of the non-voting equity interests in certain of our foreign subsidiaries. We also have incurred secured debt in connection with some of our European operations. The lenders under these European secured credit facilities also have liens on assets of certain of our European subsidiaries. As a result of these pledges and liens, if we fail to meet our payment or other obligations under any of our secured indebtedness, the lenders under the applicable credit agreement would be entitled to foreclose on certain of our assets and liquidate these assets. Under those circumstances, we may not have sufficient funds to pay our obligations, which could adversely affect our financial position.

Our ability to pay principal and interest on outstanding indebtedness depends upon our receipt of dividends or other intercompany transfers from our subsidiaries.

We are a holding company and substantially all of our properties and assets are owned by, and all our operations are conducted through, our subsidiaries. As a result, we are dependent upon cash dividends and distributions or other transfers from our subsidiaries to meet our debt service obligations, including payment of the interest on and principal of our indebtedness when due, and other obligations. The ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends and make other payments to us may be restricted by, among other things, applicable corporate, tax and other laws and regulations in the United States and abroad and agreements made by us and our subsidiaries, including under the terms of our existing and potentially future indebtedness.

In addition, claims of creditors, including trade creditors, of our subsidiaries will generally have priority with respect to the assets and earnings of such subsidiaries over the claims of our creditors, except to the extent the claims of our creditors are guaranteed by these subsidiaries. Certain of our indebtedness may be guaranteed by only some of our subsidiaries. In the event of our dissolution, bankruptcy, liquidation or reorganization, the holders of such indebtedness will not receive any amounts from our non-guarantor subsidiaries with respect to such indebtedness until after the payment in full of the claims of the creditors of those subsidiaries.

A downgrade in our financial strength or credit ratings could limit our ability to conduct our business or offer and sell additional debt securities.

Nationally recognized rating agencies currently rate our debt. Ratings are not recommendations to buy or sell our securities. We may, in the future, incur indebtedness with interest rates that may be affected by changes in or other actions associated with our credit ratings. Each of the rating agencies reviews its ratings periodically and previous ratings for our debt may not be maintained in the future. Rating agencies may also place us under review for potential downgrade in certain circumstances or if we seek to take certain actions. A downgrade of our debt ratings or other negative action, such as a review for a potential downgrade, could affect the market price of our existing 0.875% Senior Convertible Notes, 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes, Subordinated Convertible Notes, 7.125% Senior Notes due 2017 or our Senior Floating Rate Notes. Furthermore, these events may negatively affect our ability to raise additional debt with terms and conditions similar to our current debt, and accordingly, likely increase our cost of capital. In addition, a downgrade of these ratings, or other negative action, could make it more difficult for us to raise capital to refinance any maturing debt obligations to support business growth and to maintain or improve the current financial strength of our business and operations.

The trading price of our common stock may be adversely affected by many factors, not all of which are within our control, as well as by future issuances of our common stock or additional series of preferred stock.

The trading price of our common stock has been and may in the future be volatile. Our stock price could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to a variety of factors, including quarterly variations in our operating results or our competitors' operating results, announcements of new products or services by us or our competitors, adverse or unfavorable publicity about us or our services, or our competitors, timing and announcement of acquisitions by us or our competitors, technological innovations by us or our competitors, changes in our earnings estimates or our competitors, financial strength or credit ratings of us or our competitors, changes in estimates or recommendations by security analysts for our stock or our competitors' stock, commencement of material litigation or unfavorable verdicts against us, and additions or departures of key personnel.

In addition, our trading price may be adversely affected by future issuances of our common stock. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that we have authority to issue 200 million shares of common stock. As

of December 31, 2011, there were approximately 49.7 million shares of common stock outstanding (net of treasury shares), approximately 0.6 million shares of common stock are issuable upon conversion of our Subordinated Convertible Notes, approximately 0.8 million shares of common stock are issuable upon the exercise of currently outstanding stock options and approximately 0.4 million shares of common stock are issuable upon conversion of our outstanding Series A preferred stock. In addition, a maximum of approximately 0.2 million and 14.3 million shares of our common stock could be issuable upon conversion of our 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes and Subordinated Convertible Notes, respectively. Similarly, a maximum of approximately 9.0 million shares of common stock could be issuable upon conversion of our 0.875% Senior Convertible Notes and approximately 7.0 million shares of common stock could be issuable due to the issuance of warrants we issued in connection with the offering of our 0.875% Senior Convertible Notes. All of the shares of our common stock that could be issued pursuant to the conversion of our 0.875% Senior Convertible Notes, 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes and Subordinated Convertible Notes by holders who are not our affiliates would be freely tradable by such holders.

Table of Contents

The warrants we issued in connection with the offering of our 0.875% Senior Convertible Notes are expected to provide us with some protection against increases in our stock price over the conversion price per share. The counterparties to these transactions, or their affiliates, may enter into various over-the-counter derivative transactions or purchase or sell our common stock in secondary market transactions and may enter into, or may unwind, various over-the-counter derivatives or purchase or sell our common stock in secondary market transactions. These activities may have the effect of increasing or preventing a decline in, or may otherwise adversely impact, the trading price of our common stock.

Our trading price also may be adversely affected by future issuances of additional series of preferred stock. Our Board of Directors is authorized to issue additional series of preferred stock without any action on the part of our stockholders. Our Board of Directors also has the power, without stockholder approval, to set the terms of any such series of preferred stock that may be issued, including voting rights, conversion rights, dividend rights, preferences over our common stock with respect to dividends or if we liquidate, dissolve or wind up our business and other terms. If we issue preferred stock in the future that has preference over our common stock with respect to the payment of dividends or upon our liquidation, dissolution or winding-up, or if we issue preferred stock with voting rights that dilute the voting power of our common stock, the market price of our common stock could be adversely affected. If we fail to comply with the reporting obligations of the Exchange Act or if we fail to maintain adequate internal control over financial reporting, our business and the trading price of our common stock could be materially adversely affected.

As a public company, we are required to comply with the periodic reporting obligations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), including the requirement that we file annual reports and quarterly reports with the SEC. Our failure to file required information in a timely manner could subject us to penalties under federal securities laws, expose us to additional lawsuits, create a default under our existing debt instruments and facilities, and restrict our ability to access financing. In addition, our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). However, our management has identified control deficiencies that constitute material weaknesses. These material weaknesses resulted in material errors that caused us to issue, in March 2013, restated consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, and unaudited restated financial statements for interim periods in 2011 and interim periods ended on March 30, 2012 and June 29, 2012, and, to issue, in January 2014, restated consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010 and 2009, and unaudited restated financial statements for interim periods in 2011 and 2012 and the interim period ended on March 29, 2013. In addition, two civil complaints have been filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York by named plaintiffs on behalf of purported classes of all persons who purchased or otherwise acquired the Company's publicly traded securities, in one case which was filed on October 21, 2013 between May 3, 2011 and October 14, 2013, inclusive, and in the other case, which was filed on December 4, 2013, between May 2, 2011 and November 4, 2013, inclusive, against the Company, Gregory Kenny, our President and Chief Executive Officer, and Brian Robinson, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. The complaints allege claims under the anti-fraud and controlling person liability provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, alleging generally, among other assertions, that defendants made materially false and misleading statements regarding revenue recognition and other Company financial matters and omitted to state material facts, including, among other things, that there was a lack of adequate internal controls, thereby artificially inflating the prices at which our securities traded. The complaints seek damages in undefined amounts, as well as attorney's fees, experts' fees and other costs. In addition, a derivative complaint was filed on January 7, 2014 in the Campbell County, Kentucky Circuit Court against all but one member of our Board of Directors, including Mr. Kenny, a former director and against Mr. Robinson and two former ROW officials, one of whom is a former executive officer of the Company. The complaint alleges that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties by knowingly failing to ensure that the Company implemented and maintained adequate internal controls over its accounting and financial reporting functions and by knowingly disseminating to stockholders materially false and misleading

statements concerning the Company's financial results and internal controls. The complaint seeks damages in an unspecified amount, appropriate equitable relief to remedy the alleged breaches of fiduciary duty, attorney's fees, experts' fees and other costs. We believe the purported class action complaints, and the derivative complaint insofar as it relates to our directors and Mr. Robinson, are without merit and intend to vigorously contest the actions.

Although we have made substantial progress in implementing measures to address the material weaknesses, management has not determined if the material weaknesses have been fully remediated. We cannot provide assurance that we have identified all, or that we will not in the future have additional, material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting. As a result, we may be required to implement further remedial measures and to design enhanced processes and controls to address deficiencies, which could result in significant costs to us and require us to divert substantial resources, including management time, from other activities. If we do not effectively remediate the material weaknesses identified by management and maintain adequate internal controls over financial reporting in the future, we may not be able to prepare reliable financial reports and comply with our reporting obligations under the Exchange Act on a timely basis. Any such delays in the preparation of financial reports and the filing of our

Table of Contents

periodic reports may result in a loss of public confidence in the reliability of our financial statements, the commencement of additional litigation, or the commencement of regulatory action against the Company, which may include court actions or administrative proceedings, any of which could materially adversely affect our business, the market value of our common stock and our access to capital markets.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

19

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Company's principal manufacturing facilities are listed below. The Company owns the building at its global headquarters located in Highland Heights, Kentucky and leases various distribution centers and sales and administrative offices around the world. The Company believes that its properties are generally well maintained and are adequate for the Company's current level of operations.

Manufacturing properties by country	Square Feet	Owned or Leased
United States — 13	5,383,200	10 owned, 3 leased
Spain — 4	1,373,000	4 owned
France — 2	1,169,000	2 owned
Venezuela — 2	1,058,400	2 owned
Brazil — 3	951,800	3 owned
India - 1	871,000	1 owned
Germany — 1	830,000	1 owned
Algeria — 1	807,300	1 owned
Thailand — 2	640,000	2 owned
Chile — 1	516,700	1 owned
Philippines — 1	470,000	1 owned
Mexico — 3	321,400	2 leased, 1 owned
New Zealand — 1	314,000	1 owned
Canada — 2	285,000	2 owned
China — 1	280,000	1 owned
Portugal — 1	255,000	1 owned
Angola — 1	248,000	1 owned
Egypt — 1	237,000	1 owned
Costa Rica — 1	213,000	1 owned
Zambia — 1	187,900	1 owned
South Africa — 1	160,000	1 owned
Honduras — 1	76,300	1 owned
Fiji — 1	69,000	1 owned
Peru — 1	67,000	1 owned

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

General Cable is subject to numerous federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations relating to the storage, handling, emission and discharge of materials into the environment, including CERCLA, the Clean Water Act, the Clean Air Act (including the 1990 amendments) and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act.

General Cable subsidiaries have been identified as potentially responsible parties with respect to several sites designated for cleanup under CERCLA or similar state laws, which impose liability for cleanup of certain waste sites and for related natural resource damages without regard to fault or the legality of waste generation or disposal. General Cable does not own or operate any of the waste sites with respect to which it has been named as a potentially responsible party by the government. Based on its review and other factors, management believes that costs relating to environmental clean-up at these sites will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

American Premier Underwriters, Inc., ("American Premier") in connection with the 1994 Wassall PLC transaction, agreed to indemnify General Cable against liabilities (including all environmental liabilities) arising out of General Cable or its predecessors' ownership or operation of the Indiana Steel & Wire Company and Marathon Manufacturing Holdings, Inc. businesses (which were divested by the predecessor prior to the 1994 Wassall transaction), without limitation as to time or amount. American Premier also agreed to indemnify General Cable against 66^{2/3}% of all other environmental liabilities arising out of General Cable or its predecessors' ownership or operation of other properties and assets in excess of \$10 million but not in excess of \$33 million, which were identified during the seven-year period ended June 2001. Indemnifiable environmental liabilities through June 2001 were substantially below that threshold. In addition, General Cable also has claims against third parties with respect to some of these liabilities. While it is difficult to estimate future environmental liabilities accurately, the Company does not currently anticipate any material adverse effect on the results of operations, financial condition or cash flows as a result of compliance with federal, state, local or foreign environmental laws or regulations or cleanup costs of the sites discussed above. General Cable has also agreed to indemnify Southwire Company against certain environmental liabilities arising out of the operation of the business it sold to Southwire prior to its sale. The indemnity is for a ten year period from the closing of the sale, which ended in the fourth quarter of 2011, and is subject to an overall limit of \$20 million. At this time, there are no claims outstanding under this indemnity.

As part of the acquisition of Silec Cable, S.A.S ("Silec"), which was acquired in December 2005, SAFRAN SA ("SAFRAN") agreed to indemnify General Cable against environmental losses arising from breach of representations and warranties on environmental law compliance and against losses arising from costs General Cable could incur to remediate property acquired based on a directive of the French authorities to rehabilitate property in regard to soil, water and other underground contamination arising before the closing date of the purchase. These indemnities are for a six year period that ended in 2011 while General Cable operates the businesses subject to sharing of certain losses (with SAFRAN covering 100% of losses in year one, 75% in years two and three, 50% in year four, and 25% in years five and six). The indemnities are subject to an overall limit of 4.0 million euros. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, there were no claims outstanding under this indemnity. In addition, SAFRAN agreed to indemnify the Company for the full amount of losses arising from, related to or attributable to practices, if any, that are similar to previous practices investigated by the French competition authority for alleged competition law violations related to medium-and high-voltage cable markets. The Company has asserted a claim under this indemnity against SAFRAN related to the European Commission's Statement of Objections, which is described in more detail below, to preserve our rights should an unfavorable outcome occur.

In 2007, the Company acquired the worldwide wire and cable business of Freeport-McMoRan Copper and Gold Inc., which operates as PDIC. As part of this acquisition, the seller agreed to indemnify the Company for certain environmental liabilities existing at the date of the closing of the acquisition. The seller's obligation to indemnify the Company for these particular liabilities generally survives four years from the date the parties executed the definitive purchase agreement unless the Company has properly notified the seller before the expiry of the four year period which ended in 2011. The seller also made certain representations and warranties related to environmental matters and the acquired business and agreed to indemnify the Company for breaches of those representation and warranties for a period of four years from the closing date. Indemnification claims for breach of representations and warranties are

subject to an overall indemnity limit of approximately \$105 million with a deductible of \$5.0 million, which generally applies to all warranty and indemnity claims for the transaction.

The Company has been a defendant in asbestos litigation for approximately 20 years. As of December 31, 2011, General Cable was a defendant in 29,064 lawsuits. Also, 28,438 of these lawsuits have been brought on behalf of plaintiffs by a single admiralty law firm (“MARDOC”) and seek unspecified damages. Plaintiffs in the MARDOC cases generally allege that they formerly worked in the maritime industry and sustained asbestos-related injuries from products that General Cable ceased manufacturing in the mid-1970s. The MARDOC cases are managed and supervised by a federal judge in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania (“District Court”) by reason of a transfer by the judicial panel on Multidistrict Litigation (“MDL”).

Table of Contents

In the MARDOC cases in the MDL, the District Court in May 1996 dismissed all pending cases filed without prejudice and placed them on an inactive administrative docket. To reinstate a MARDOC case from the inactive docket, plaintiffs' counsel must show that the plaintiff not only suffered from a recognized asbestos related injury, but also must produce specific product identification evidence to proceed against an individual defendant. During 2010, the MDL Court ordered Plaintiffs to identify the defendants against whom they intended to proceed in the Maritime cases. General Cable was not named as a defendant against whom the plaintiffs intended to proceed. As such it is now anticipated that General Cable will be dismissed from all Maritime related lawsuits.

In addition, Company subsidiaries have been named as defendants in lawsuits alleging exposure to asbestos in products manufactured by the Company. As of December 31, 2011, General Cable was a defendant in approximately 29,064 cases brought in Federal District Courts throughout the United States. With regards to the approximately 626 remaining cases, General Cable has aggressively defended these cases based upon either lack of product identification as to General Cable manufactured asbestos-containing product and/or lack of exposure to asbestos dust from the use of General Cable product. In the last 20 years, General Cable has had no cases proceed to verdict. In many of the cases, General Cable was dismissed as a defendant before trial for lack of product identification.

For cases outside the MDL as of December 31, 2011, plaintiffs have asserted monetary damages in 281 cases. In 146 of these cases, plaintiffs allege only damages in excess of some dollar amount (about \$175 thousand per plaintiff); in these cases there are no claims for specific dollar amounts requested as to any defendant. In 134 other cases pending in state and Federal District Courts (outside the MDL), plaintiffs seek approximately \$346 million in damages from as many as 110 defendants. In 1 case, plaintiffs have asserted damages related to General Cable in the amount of \$10 million. In addition, in relation to these 281 cases, there are claims of \$178 million in punitive damages from all of the defendants. However, many of the plaintiffs in these cases allege non-malignant injuries.

Based on our experience in this litigation, the amounts pleaded in the complaints are not typically meaningful as an indicator of the Company's potential liability because (1) the amounts claimed usually bear no relation to the level of plaintiff's injury, if any; (2) complaints nearly always assert claims against multiple defendants (a typical complaint asserts claims against some 50 different defendants); (3) damages alleged are not attributed to individual defendants; (4) the defendants' share of liability may turn on the law of joint and several liability; (5) the amount of fault to be allocated to each defendant is different depending on each case; (6) many cases are filed against General Cable, even though the plaintiff did not use any of General Cable's products, and ultimately are withdrawn or dismissed without any payment; (7) many cases are brought on behalf of plaintiffs who have not suffered any medical injuries, and ultimately are resolved without any payment to that plaintiff; and (8) with regard to claims for punitive damages, potential liability generally is related to the amount of potential exposure to asbestos from a defendant's products.

General Cable's asbestos-containing products contained only a minimal amount of fully encapsulated asbestos. Further, as indicated above, General Cable has approximately 20 years of experience in this litigation, and has, to date, resolved the claims of approximately 11,437 plaintiffs. The cumulative average settlement through December 31, 2011 has been approximately \$693 per case. However, the average settlements paid to resolve litigation in 2011 and 2010 have increased significantly above that amount as the mix of cases currently being listed for trial in state courts and those which may be listed in the future, which may need to be resolved, involve more serious asbestos related injuries. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, General Cable had accrued, on a gross basis, approximately \$5.1 million and had recorded approximately \$0.6 million and \$0.5 million of insurance recoveries for these lawsuits, respectively. Management believes that the net amount of \$4.5 million and \$4.6 million, as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, represents the best estimate in order to cover resolution of future asbestos-related claims.

In January 1994, General Cable entered into a settlement agreement with certain principal primary insurers concerning liability for the costs of defense, judgments and settlements, if any, in all of the asbestos litigation described above. Subject to the terms and conditions of the settlement agreement, the insurers are responsible for a substantial portion of the costs and expenses incurred in the defense or resolution of this litigation. In recent years, one of the insurers participating in the settlement that was responsible for a significant portion of the contribution under the settlement agreement entered into insurance liquidation proceedings. As a result, the contribution of the insurers has been reduced and the Company has had to bear a larger portion of the costs relating to these lawsuits. Moreover, certain of the other insurers may be financially unstable, and if one or more of these insurers enter into insurance liquidation

proceedings, General Cable will be required to pay a larger portion of the costs incurred in connection with these cases. During 2006, the Company reached an approximately \$3.0 million settlement in cash for the resolution of one of these insurers' obligations that effectively exhausted the limits of the insurance company's policies that were included in the 1994 settlement agreement.

Based on (1) the terms of the insurance settlement agreement; (2) the relative costs and expenses incurred in the disposition of past asbestos cases; (3) reserves established on our books which are believed to be reasonable; and (4) defenses available to us in the litigation, the Company believes that the resolution of the present asbestos litigation will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial results, consolidated cash flows or consolidated financial position. However, since the outcome of litigation is inherently uncertain, the Company cannot give absolute assurance regarding the future resolution of the

Table of Contents

asbestos litigation. Liabilities incurred in connection with asbestos litigation are not covered by the American Premier indemnification.

General Cable is also involved in various routine legal proceedings and administrative actions. In the opinion of the Company's management, these proceedings and actions should not, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on its consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART II.

ITEM MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND
5. ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information and Holders

General Cable's common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "BGC". As of February 17, 2012, there were approximately 1,642 registered holders of the Company's common stock. The following table sets forth the high and low daily sales prices for the Company's common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange during the years ended December 31:

	2011		2010	
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	\$45.65	\$33.12	\$33.45	\$22.77
Second Quarter	49.32	35.75	34.33	25.31
Third Quarter	45.20	20.21	28.64	21.68
Fourth Quarter	31.02	20.87	35.93	24.14

Dividends

The Company currently does not pay dividends on its common stock. The future payment of dividends on common stock is subject to the discretion of General Cable's Board of Directors, restrictions under the Series A preferred stock, restrictions under the Company's current Revolving Credit Facility, the indentures governing the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes, the 0.875% Senior Convertible Notes, Subordinated Convertible Notes, the 7.125% Senior Notes and the Senior Floating Rate Notes and the requirements of Delaware General Corporation Law, and will depend upon general business conditions, financial performance and other factors the Company's Board of Directors may consider relevant. General Cable does not expect to pay cash dividends on common stock in the foreseeable future.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

Information related to the Company's securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans, including the tabular disclosure, is presented in Item 12 - Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

Table of Contents

Performance Graph

The graph below compares the annual percentage change in cumulative total shareholder return on General Cable stock in relation to cumulative total return of the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index, and a peer group of companies ("2011 Peer Group"). The data shown are for the period beginning May 16, 1997, the date that General Cable ("BGC") common stock began trading on the NYSE, through December 31, 2011.

	May 1997	Dec. 1997	Dec. 1998	Dec. 1999	Dec. 2000	Dec. 2001	Dec. 2002	Dec. 2003	Dec. 2004	Dec. 2005	Dec. 2006	Dec. 2007	Dec. 2008	Dec. 2009	Dec. 2010	Dec. 2011
General Cable 2011	100	167	143	53	32	97	29	62	105	149	331	555	134	223	266	189
Peer Group	100	182	130	161	177	103	72	120	140	164	328	364	203	233	277	214
S&P 500	100	117	148	177	159	138	106	134	146	150	171	177	109	134	152	152

Assumes the value of the investment in General Cable common stock and each index was 100 on May 16, 1997.

(1) The 2011 Peer Group consists of Belden Inc. (NYSE: BDC), Prysmian (Italy Stock Exchange) and Nexans (Paris Stock Exchange). Returns in the 2011, 2010 and 2009 Peer Group are weighted by capitalization.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

The Company was authorized by its Board of Directors on October 28, 2011 to institute a stock repurchase program for up to \$125 million of common stock (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.27). The stock repurchase program will be effective for one year. Stock purchases under this program may be made through open market and privately negotiated transactions at times and in such amounts as deemed appropriate by a special committee appointed by the Board. The Company purchased \$62.5 million or 2.5 million of common shares at an average price of \$24.72 per share under the terms of this program during the year ended December 31, 2011. The purpose of the share repurchase program is to take advantage of the investment opportunity given prevailing market prices at the time of purchase as well as our evaluation of other capital investment alternatives.

In 2010 the Company did not have a stock repurchase program and as a result, did not repurchase any of its common stock. The Company did not repurchase any of its stock during 2009 related to its stock repurchase program that expired on October 29, 2009.

Table of Contents

The employees of the Company do have the right to surrender to the Company shares in payment of minimum tax obligations upon the vesting of grants of common stock under the Company's equity compensation plans. For the year ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, 12,600, 20,367, and 13,989 total shares were surrendered to the Company by employees in payment of minimum tax obligations upon the vesting of nonvested stock under the Company's equity compensation plans, and the average price paid per share was \$39.62, \$28.52, and \$24.29 respectively.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected financial information for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 and as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, was derived from audited consolidated financial statements included in this filing and for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 and as of December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 was derived from previously audited consolidated financial statements not included in this filing revised for the effects of the restatement more fully described in the "Explanatory Note" immediately preceding Part I, Item 1 and in Note 22 - Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements which is included in "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in Item 8 of this 2011 Amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A. The following selected financial data should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes thereto, especially as the information pertains to 2011, 2010 and 2009 activity.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007 ⁽²⁾
	(as revised)	(as revised)	(as revised)	(as revised)	(as revised)
	(in millions, except metal price and share data)				
Net sales	\$5,808.2	\$4,856.0	\$4,378.6	\$6,230.1	\$4,614.8
Gross profit	594.3	539.9	504.0	769.7	672.3
Operating income	214.6	207.8	163.5	386.6	375.7
Other income (expense)	(31.7)) (28.1)) 7.0	(22.7)) (2.7)
Interest expense, net	(91.5)) (71.6)) (83.0)) (91.8)) (48.5)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	—	(7.6)) —	(25.3)
Income before income taxes	91.4	108.1	79.9	272.1	299.2
Income tax provision	(38.6)) (45.9)) (35.7)) (98.5)) (101.0)
Equity in net earnings of affiliated companies	2.9	1.4	0.9	4.6	0.4
Net income including noncontrolling interest	55.7	63.6	45.1	178.2	198.6
Less: preferred stock dividends	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	0.9	7.1	7.7	13.0	0.2
Net income attributable to Company common shareholders	\$54.5	\$56.2	\$37.1	\$164.9	\$198.1
Earnings per common share-basic	\$1.05	\$1.08	\$0.71	\$3.13	\$3.80
Earnings per common share-assuming dilution	\$1.02	\$1.06	\$0.71	\$3.09	\$3.63
Weighted average shares outstanding-basic	51.9	52.1	52.0	52.6	52.2
Weighted average shares outstanding-assuming dilution	53.7	53.1	52.8	53.4	54.6
Other Data:					
Depreciation and amortization	\$115.0	\$105.8	\$111.3	\$97.3	\$63.5
Capital expenditures	\$121.5	\$115.3	\$143.6	\$217.8	\$153.6
Average daily COMEX price per pound of copper cathode	\$4.01	\$3.43	\$2.35	\$3.13	\$3.22
Average daily price per pound of aluminum rod	\$1.16	\$1.05	\$0.80	\$1.21	\$1.23
	Dec 31,	Dec 31,	Dec 31,	Dec 31,	Dec 31,
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007

	(as revised)	(as revised)	(as revised)	(as revised)	(as revised)
Balance Sheet Data:					
Working capital ⁽¹⁾	\$1,297.7	\$1,341.1	\$1,346.3	\$1,195.4	\$1,004.8
Total assets	4,375.9	4,301.7	3,993.3	3,979.7	3,951.9
Total debt	1,048.9	985.5	922.3	1,254.0	1,168.9
Dividends to common shareholders	—	—	—	—	—
Total equity	1,437.9	1,552.4	1,472.9	1,127.0	1,101.8

(1) Working capital means current assets less current liabilities.

(2) Includes operating results of the acquired worldwide wire and cable business of Freeport-McMoRan Copper and Gold, Inc., (PDIC) since October 31, 2007.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

All of the financial information presented in this Item 7 has been adjusted to reflect the restatement of our consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009. Specifically, we have restated our Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the related Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss), Consolidated Statements of Changes in Total Equity and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009. The restatement is more fully described in the "Explanatory Note" immediately preceding Part I, Item 1 and in Note 22 - Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements which is included in "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in Item 8 of this 2011 Amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A.

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A") is intended to help the reader understand General Cable Corporation's financial position, changes in financial condition, and results of operations. MD&A is provided as a supplement to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements ("Footnote" or "Notes") and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes.

Certain statements in this report including, without limitation, statements regarding future financial results and performance, plans and objectives, capital expenditures and the Company's or management's beliefs, expectations or opinions, are forward-looking statements, and as such, General Cable desires to take advantage of the "safe harbor" which is afforded such statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The Company's forward-looking statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's comments in this report under the heading, "Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements." Actual results may differ materially from those statements as a result of factors, risks and uncertainties over which the Company has no control. For a list of these factors, risks and uncertainties, refer to Item 1A - Risk Factors.

Overview

The Company is a global leader in the development, design, manufacture, marketing and distribution of copper, aluminum and fiber optic wire and cable products for use in the energy, industrial, construction, specialty and communications markets. The Company additionally engages in the design, integration, and installation on a turn-key basis for products such as high and extra-high voltage terrestrial and submarine systems. The Company analyzes its worldwide operations based on three geographical segments: North America, Europe and Mediterranean, and ROW. As of December 31, 2011, the Company manufactures its product lines in 47 manufacturing facilities and sells its products worldwide through its global operations. The Company believes it has a strong market position in each of the segments in which it competes due to the Company's guiding principles discussed in Item 1 - Business.

Significant Current Business Trends and Events

The wire and cable industry is competitive, mature and cost driven with minimal differentiation for many product offerings among industry participants from a manufacturing or technology standpoint. In the latter part of 2010 and in 2011, the Company has benefited from a recovery in demand. However, demand and pricing levels generally remain low compared to the levels that were achieved prior to the impact of the global financial crisis and economic downturn that began in late 2007. The following are significant trends and events that occurred in 2011 that affected the Company's operating results:

The Company continued and expects to continue to see volatile commodity pricing, primarily copper and aluminum, as well as other cost inputs. The Company typically passes these changes in copper and aluminum prices along to its

customers, although there are timing delays of varying lengths depending upon the volatility of metal prices, the type of product, competitive conditions, pricing mechanisms and particular customer arrangements. Although the general trends are detailed in Item 1 - Business - Raw

Table of Contents

Materials, there is no exact measure of the effect of the change of raw material cost inputs due to the high volume of transactions in any given period, each of which involves a number of factors in the individual pricing decisions. To help reduce this volatility, the Company has implemented various pricing mechanisms and hedges a portion of its metal purchases when there is a firm price commitment for a future delivery but does not engage in speculative metals trading.

The Company entered into a new \$400 million Revolving Credit Facility in July 2011. This agreement along with the exchange of convertible debt during the fourth quarter of 2009, which effectively extended the maturity of the largest tranche of debt by 20 years, creates greater global operating flexibility to meet working capital requirement needs and to support organizational and strategic growth. Due to the rapid and significant volatility in metal prices, the Company's working capital requirements are expected to be variable for the foreseeable future.

In 2011, the Company continued to actively identify key trends in the industry to capitalize on expanding markets and new niche markets or exit declining or non-strategic markets in order to achieve better returns. The Company also sets aggressive performance targets for its business and intends to refocus or divest those activities which fail to meet targets or do not fit long-term strategies. No material acquisitions or divestitures were made in the year ended December 31, 2011; however, the Company completed a Greenfield project in India in which operations will begin in 2012 as well as completed a significant investment in the Company's operations in Brazil. In the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company completed several acquisitions, equity investments, and joint ventures in Egypt, France, Oman, Pakistan, and South Africa. The results of operations of the acquired businesses have been included in the Consolidated Financial Statements since the respective dates of the acquisition and have been determined to be individually and collectively immaterial for additional disclosure purposes. No material divestitures were made in the year ended December 31, 2010.

In addition to the factors previously mentioned, the Company is currently being affected by the following general macro-level trends:

• Currency volatility and continued political uncertainty in certain markets;

• Competitive price pressures in certain markets, particularly those where the Company is a new entrant;

• Continued low levels of demand for a broad spectrum of products in Europe;

• Worldwide underlying long-term growth trends in electric utility and infrastructure markets;

• Continuing demand for natural resources, such as oil and gas, and alternative energy initiatives;

• Increasing demand for further deployment of submarine power and fiber optic communication systems; and

• Population growth in developing countries with growing middle classes that influences demand for wire and cable.

The Company's overall financial results discussed in this section of the annual report reflect the above trends.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, consolidated statement of operations data in millions of dollars and as a percentage of net sales. Percentages may not add due to rounding.

	Year Ended December 31,							
	2011		2010		2009			
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%		
Net sales	\$5,808.2	100.0	% \$4,856.0	100.0	% \$4,378.6	100.0	%	
Cost of sales	5,213.9	89.8	% 4,316.1	88.9	% 3,874.6	88.5	%	
Gross profit	594.3	10.2	% 539.9	11.1	% 504.0	11.5	%	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	379.7	6.5	% 332.1	6.8	% 340.5	7.8	%	
Operating income	214.6	3.7	% 207.8	4.3	% 163.5	3.7	%	
Other income (expense)	(31.7)	(0.5)	% (28.1)	(0.6)	% 7.0	0.2	%	
Interest expense, net	(91.5)	(1.6)	% (71.6)	(1.5)	% (83.0)	(1.9)	%	
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	—	—	—	(7.6)	(0.2)	%	
Income before income taxes	91.4	1.6	% 108.1	2.2	% 79.9	1.8	%	
Income tax provision	(38.6)	(0.7)	% (45.9)	(0.9)	% (35.7)	(0.8)	%	
Equity in net earnings of affiliated companies	2.9	—	1.4	—	0.9	—		
Net income including noncontrolling interest	55.7	1.0	% 63.6	1.3	% 45.1	1.0	%	
Less: preferred stock dividends	0.3	—	0.3	—	0.3	—		
Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	0.9	—	7.1	0.1	% 7.7	0.2	%	
Net income attributable to Company common shareholders	\$54.5	0.9	% \$56.2	1.2	% \$37.1	0.8	%	

Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared with Year Ended December 31, 2010

Net Sales

The following tables set forth net sales, metal-adjusted net sales and metal pounds sold by segment, in millions. For the metal-adjusted net sales results, net sales for 2010 have been adjusted to reflect the 2011 copper average price of \$4.01 per pound (a \$0.58 increase compared to the prior period) and the aluminum average price of \$1.16 per pound (an \$0.11 increase compared to the prior period). Metal-adjusted net sales, a non-GAAP financial measure, are provided herein in order to eliminate the effect of metal price volatility from the comparison of revenues from one period to another. The comparable GAAP financial measure is set forth below. Refer to Item 7 - MD&A – Significant Current Business Trends and Events in the previous discussion of metal price volatility.

	Net Sales					
	Year Ended		Dec 31, 2010			
	Dec 31, 2011		Dec 31, 2010			
	Amount	%	Amount	%		
North America	\$2,120.2	36	% \$1,785.0	37	%	
Europe and Mediterranean	1,737.9	30	% 1,499.0	31	%	
ROW	1,950.1	34	% 1,572.0	32	%	
Total net sales	\$5,808.2	100	% \$4,856.0	100	%	

Table of Contents

	Metal-Adjusted Net Sales					
	Year Ended					
	Dec 31, 2011		Dec 31, 2010			
	Amount	%	Amount	%		%
North America	\$2,120.2	36	% \$1,909.7	36		%
Europe and Mediterranean	1,737.9	30	% 1,600.7	31		%
ROW	1,950.1	34	% 1,722.9	33		%
Total metal-adjusted net sales	\$5,808.2	100	% \$5,233.3	100		%
Metal adjustment	—		(377.3)		
Total net sales	\$5,808.2		\$4,856.0			
	Metal Pounds Sold					
	Year Ended					
	Dec 31, 2011		Dec 31, 2010			
	Pounds	%	Pounds	%		%
North America	317.4	33	% 300.7	33		%
Europe and Mediterranean	273.8	28	% 279.1	30		%
ROW	384.6	39	% 344.3	37		%
Total metal pounds sold	975.8	100	% 924.1	100		%

Net sales increased \$952.2 million, or 20%, to \$5,808.2 million in 2011 from 2010 and metal-adjusted net sales increased \$574.9 million, or 11%, in 2011 from 2010. The increase in metal-adjusted net sales of \$574.9 million reflects favorable selling price and product mix of \$328.0 million, favorable foreign currency exchange rate changes of \$147.3 million, higher sales volume of \$77.6 million, and incremental net sales of \$22.0 million attributable to acquisitions. Volume, as measured by metal pounds sold, increased by 51.7 million pounds, or 6%, in 2011 compared to 2010. Metal pounds sold is provided herein as the Company believes this metric to be a consistent year over year measure of sales volume since it is not impacted by metal prices or foreign currency exchange rate changes.

Metal-adjusted net sales in the North America segment increased \$210.5 million, or 11%, principally due to favorable selling price and product mix of \$164.8 million, higher sales volumes of \$34.4 million, favorable foreign currency exchange rate changes of \$7.8 million, principally related to the Canadian dollar, and incremental net sales of \$3.5 million attributable to acquisitions. Volume, as measured by metal pounds sold, increased by 16.7 million pounds, or 6%, in 2011 compared to 2010. The increase in sales volume is primarily attributable to volume improvement in aerial bare transmission products as well as products primarily used in specialty applications due to increased spending in the transportation sector as well as the market for oil and gas exploration coupled with volume improvement for industrial products.

Metal-adjusted net sales in the Europe and Mediterranean segment increased \$137.2 million, or 9%, in 2011 compared to 2010 due to favorable selling price and product mix of \$88.6 million, favorable foreign currency exchange rate changes of \$71.3 million, primarily due to a stronger Euro relative to the U.S. dollar, and incremental net sales attributable to the results of acquired businesses of \$18.1 million partially offset by lower sales volume of \$40.8 million. Volume, as measured by metal pounds sold, decreased by 5.3 million pounds, or 2%, in 2011 compared to 2010. Economic conditions in Europe remained weak, influencing demand across a broad spectrum of products and regions, particularly in the Iberia region as well as in Algeria partially offset by the Company's project related activities in Germany and France.

Metal-adjusted net sales in the ROW segment increased \$227.2 million, or 13%, due to higher sales volume of \$84.1 million, favorable selling price and product mix of \$74.5 million, and favorable foreign currency exchange rate changes of \$68.2 million, primarily due to the strengthening of certain currencies in Central and South America relative to the U.S. dollar. Volume, as measured by metal pounds sold, increased by 40.3 million pounds, or 12%, in 2011 compared to 2010 which is primarily attributable to increase in demand for high-voltage aerial transmission products and low-and medium-voltage distribution cables in Brazil as well as infrastructure investment in Central America.

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales increased \$897.8 million, or 21%, from 2010. The increase in 2011 as compared to 2010 was principally driven by the increase in volume sold of 6%, the increase in raw material costs, including a 17% increase in the average cost of copper and a 10% increase in the average cost of aluminum as well as an increase in other raw material costs, and an increase due to currency translation of 3%. As noted in Item 1 - Business the Company's products are raw material intensive with copper and aluminum comprising the major cost components for cable products. At current metal prices, material costs are approximately 85% of total

Table of Contents

product costs with copper and aluminum metal costs comprising approximately 60% of total product cost for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Gross Profit

Gross profit increased \$54.4 million, or 10%, in 2011 from 2010. The increase in gross profit was primarily due to an increase in volume in North America and ROW and a shift in product mix and pricing in North America. Gross profit as a percentage of sales was 10% and 11% in 2011 and 2010, respectively. Despite volume and related revenue increases for the year gross profit did not increase as a percentage of sales. In the first half of the year gross profit as a percentage of sales increased due to selling prices rising faster than the average cost of metal prices which was offset in the latter part of the year due to the increased volatility and declines in metal prices.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Selling, general and administrative expense increased \$47.6 million, or 14%, in 2011 from 2010. The increase in SG&A is primarily a result of incremental investment in resources related to the Company's global sales network and product technology as well as increased costs for new market penetration strategies in 2011 as compared to 2010. The increase in SG&A is also due in part to foreign currency exchange rates in 2011 as compared to 2010 in the amount of \$8.7 million. SG&A as a percentage of metal-adjusted net sales was 7% and 6% for 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Operating Income

The following table sets forth operating income by segment, in millions of dollars.

	Operating Income					
	Year Ended					
	Dec 31, 2011		Dec 31, 2010			
	Amount	%	Amount	%	%	
North America	\$121.8	57	% \$96.9	46	%	
Europe and Mediterranean	30.3	14	% 36.8	18	%	
ROW	62.5	29	% 74.1	36	%	
Total operating income	\$214.6	100	% \$207.8	100	%	

The increase in operating income for the North America segment of \$24.9 million is primarily attributable to benefits from a shift in product mix, as well as a more favorable pricing environment due to an increase in market demand in most product lines, excluding electrical utility distribution products in 2011, as well as increased sales volume. The increases to operating income were partially offset by an increase in SG&A expenses of \$11.8 million in 2011 as compared to 2010; the SG&A increases were for the reasons noted above.

The decrease in operating income for the Europe and Mediterranean segment of \$6.5 million was primarily attributable to continued weak demand and pricing due to the economic slowdown in many European end markets, as well as an increase in SG&A expenses of \$11.0 million in 2011 as compared to 2010; the SG&A increases were for the reasons noted above. Partially offsetting these negative impacts were the current year benefit of European targeted cost reduction efforts, which include, among other actions, personnel reductions which resulted in a charge of \$19.5 million recorded in 2010.

The decrease in operating income for the ROW segment of \$11.6 million is primarily attributable to unfavorable impacts in Thailand due to the country's significant flooding in the second half of 2011, as well as unfavorable product mix and price in Thailand and Chile. The decrease in operating income was also due to an increase in SG&A expenses of \$24.8 million in 2011 as compared to 2010 for the reasons noted above. Partially offsetting the decrease in operating income in 2011 was the impact of increased volume in 2011 in Central America.

Other Income (Expense)

Other income (expense) primarily includes foreign currency transaction gains or losses, which result from changes in exchange rates between the designated functional currency and the currency in which a transaction is denominated as well as gains and losses on derivative instruments that are not designated as cash flow hedges. During 2011 and 2010 the Company recorded a \$31.7 million loss and a \$28.1 million loss, respectively. For 2011, other expense was primarily attributable to other expense of \$24.4 million attributable to foreign currency transaction gains and losses which resulted from changes in exchange rates in the various countries in which the Company operates, primarily Africa, South America, and Mexico, and losses of \$6.1 million on derivative instruments which were not designated

as cash flow hedges. For 2010, other expense was primarily attributable to the \$29.8 million Venezuelan currency devaluation, as well as other income of \$7.7 million attributable to foreign currency transaction gains

Table of Contents

and losses of which resulted from changes in exchange rates in the various countries in which the Company operates and losses of \$6.0 million on derivative instruments which were not designated as cash flow hedges.

On January 8, 2010, the Venezuelan government announced the devaluation of its currency, BsF, and established a two-tier foreign exchange structure. Due to the impact of the devaluation of its currency by the Venezuelan government, the Company recorded a pre-tax charge of \$29.8 million in the first quarter of 2010 related to the remeasurement of the local balance sheet on the date of the devaluation at the official non-essential rate. The functional currency of the Company's subsidiary in Venezuela is the U.S. dollar.

Effective January 1, 2011, the Central Bank of Venezuela and the Ministry of Finance published an amendment to Convenio Cambiario No. 14 (the Exchange Law), whereby the official exchange rate was set at 4.30 BsF per U.S. dollar, eliminating the 2.60 BsF per U.S. dollar rate previously established for essential goods in the first quarter of 2010. Thereafter, the Company can only import copper at the 4.30 BsF per U.S. dollar rate. In the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company purchased 19.1 million pounds of copper at the 4.30 BsF per U.S. dollar rate recording no foreign currency gains or losses. In the second quarter of 2010, the Company received authorization to purchase dollars to import copper at the official exchange rate for essential goods of 2.60 BsF per U.S. dollar. In 2010, the Company recorded \$16.6 million in foreign exchange gains related to transactions completed at the 2.60 BsF per U.S. dollar essential rate. Copper imports prior to the approval were imported at the parallel rate of about 6.88 BsF per U.S. dollar, which was closed on June 9, 2010. In 2010, the Company recorded \$10.7 million in foreign exchange losses related to copper imports at this parallel rate. Refer to Item 7 - MD&A – Venezuelan Operations for additional detail.

Interest Expense

Net interest expense increased to \$91.5 million in 2011 from \$71.6 million in 2010. Interest expense increased primarily due to additional debt used to fund higher working capital requirements related to increased demand and higher metal costs. Also, in the third quarter of 2011, the Company expensed \$1.3 million in unamortized fees and expenses related to the Terminated Credit Facility.

Tax Provision

The Company's effective tax rate for 2011 and 2010 was 42.2% and 42.5%, respectively. The Company's 2011 effective tax rate reflects the adverse impact of valuation allowances recorded against deferred tax assets in foreign jurisdictions, partially offset by tax benefits recognized for uncertain tax positions due to statute of limitations expirations and tax audit settlements. The Company's 2010 effective tax rate was adversely impacted by the nondeductible Venezuelan devaluation loss and valuation allowances recorded against deferred tax assets in certain foreign jurisdictions, partially offset by the recognition of tax benefits related to uncertain tax positions that were primarily due to statute of limitations expirations and tax audit settlements.

Preferred Stock Dividends

During 2011 and 2010, the Company accrued and paid \$0.3 million in dividends on its Series A preferred stock.

Table of Contents

Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared with Year Ended December 31, 2009

Net Sales

The following tables set forth net sales, metal-adjusted net sales and metal pounds sold by segment, in millions. For the metal-adjusted net sales results, net sales for 2009 have been adjusted to reflect the 2010 copper COMEX average price of \$3.43 per pound (a \$1.08 increase compared to the prior period) and the aluminum rod average price of \$1.05 per pound (a \$0.25 increase compared to the prior period). Metal-adjusted net sales, a non-GAAP financial measure, are provided herein in order to eliminate the effect of metal price volatility from the comparison of revenues from one period to another. The comparable GAAP financial measure is set forth above. Refer to Item 7 - MD&A – Significant Current Business Trends and Events in the previous discussion of metal price volatility.

	Net Sales				
	Year Ended		Year Ended		
	Dec 31, 2010		Dec 31, 2009		
	Amount	%	Amount	%	
North America	\$1,785.0	37	% \$1,484.6	34	%
Europe and Mediterranean	1,499.0	31	% 1,563.6	36	%
ROW	1,572.0	32	% 1,330.4	30	%
Total net sales	\$4,856.0	100	% \$4,378.6	100	%
	Metal-Adjusted Net Sales				
	Year Ended		Year Ended		
	Dec 31, 2010		Dec 31, 2009		
	Amount	%	Amount	%	
North America	\$1,785.0	37	% \$1,720.5	33	%
Europe and Mediterranean	1,499.0	31	% 1,784.8	35	%
ROW	1,572.0	32	% 1,624.3	32	%
Total metal-adjusted net sales	\$4,856.0	100	% \$5,129.6	100	%
Metal adjustment	—		(751.0)	
Total net sales	\$4,856.0		\$4,378.6		
	Metal Pounds Sold				
	Year Ended		Year Ended		
	Dec 31, 2010		Dec 31, 2009		
	Pounds	%	Pounds	%	
North America	300.7	33	% 304.7	32	%
Europe and Mediterranean	279.1	30	% 295.9	31	%
ROW	344.3	37	% 346.9	37	%
Total metal pounds sold	924.1	100	% 947.5	100	%

Net sales increased \$477.4 million, or 11%, to \$4,856.0 million in 2010 from 2009 while metal-adjusted net sales decreased \$273.6 million, or 5.3%, in 2010 from 2009. The decrease in metal-adjusted net sales of \$273.6 million reflects lower sales volume of \$58.9 million, unfavorable foreign currency exchange rate changes of \$52.0 million and unfavorable selling price/product mix of \$202.3 million. These decreases in metal-adjusted net sales have been partially offset by the incremental net sales of \$39.6 million attributable to acquisitions. Volume, as measured by metal pounds sold, decreased by 23.4 million pounds or 2% in 2010 compared to 2009. Sales volume was lower due to the ongoing weak global economic conditions which resulted in lower demand across a broad spectrum of the Company's products. Metal pounds sold is provided herein as the Company believes this metric to be a consistent year over year measure of sales volume since it is not impacted by metal prices or foreign currency exchange rate changes. Generally, the Company has attempted to recover higher metal costs and inflation on non-metals raw materials used in cable manufacturing, such as insulating compounds and steel and wood reels, as well as increased freight and energy costs through increased selling prices.

Metal-adjusted net sales in the North America segment increased \$64.5 million, or 3.7% principally due to favorable selling price/product mix of \$7.6 million, favorable foreign currency exchange rate changes of \$29.1 million,

principally related to the Canadian dollar, and incremental net sales of \$29.9 million attributable to acquisitions partially offset by lower sales volume of \$2.1 million. Volume, as measured by metal pounds sold, remained relatively flat in 2010 compared to 2009. Volume remained low, similar to 2009, due to the weak demand for the Company's electric utility distribution and transmission cables, and telecommunication and

Table of Contents

electrical infrastructure products in the first six months of 2010. This decrease in volume was offset by volume improvement in the electrical utility market, primarily related to transmission grid projects and terrestrial wind projects in the second half of 2010, as well as volume improvement in early cycle products, such as cables for maintenance and repairs, original equipment manufacturers and networking applications during the year ended December 31, 2010.

Metal-adjusted net sales in the Europe and Mediterranean segment decreased \$285.8 million, or 16.0%, in 2010 compared to 2009 due to lower sales volume of \$51.4 million, unfavorable foreign currency exchange rate changes of \$72.1 million, primarily due to a weaker Euro relative to the U.S. dollar, and unfavorable selling price/product mix of \$169.6 million which have been partially offset by incremental net sales attributable to the results of acquired businesses of \$7.3 million. Volume, as measured by metal pounds sold, decreased by 16.8 million pounds, or 5.7%, in 2010 compared to 2009. The decrease in sales volume is the result of weak economic conditions in Europe and weakness in demand across a broad spectrum of products, particularly low-voltage cables and building wire products in the Spanish domestic construction and electrical infrastructure markets, which have been partially offset to a lesser extent by regional demand for medium-voltage and high-voltage cables in France, projects involving submarine energy cables in Germany and other alternative energy projects.

Metal-adjusted net sales in the ROW segment decreased \$52.3 million, or 3.2% due to unfavorable foreign currency exchange rate changes of \$9.0 million, primarily due to the devaluation of the Venezuelan bolivar offset by favorable foreign exchange rate changes in most of the other ROW locations, an unfavorable selling price/product mix of \$40.3 million and lower sales volume of \$5.4 million. Despite the decrease in metal adjusted sales, volume, as measured by metal pounds sold, remained relatively flat in 2010 as compared to 2009. Volume demand increased in the second half of 2010 for low-voltage distribution cable in Brazil related to its "Lights for All" program, investments in the Brazilian infrastructure in preparation for upcoming events such as the 2014 World Cup of Soccer and the 2016 Olympics, an increase in domestic demand in Chile primarily due to reconstruction efforts after the Chilean earthquake in early 2010, and related to market expansions in Mexico and Peru. These increases were offset by the challenges faced in Venezuela as the country continues to cope with complex exchange regulations that have caused disruptions in the electrical and construction projects across the country and lower volume in Thailand due to the socio-political crises resulting in delayed infrastructure projects in the first half of 2010.

Gross Profit

Gross profit increased \$35.9 million, or 7%, in 2010 from 2009. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales was 11% for 2010 and was 12% for 2009. The increase in gross profit was primarily due to a relatively higher market price of metals compared to the cost of the lower value inventory in the year ended December 31, 2010 as well as the current year benefit of ongoing LEAN initiatives and targeted costs reduction efforts made in the prior year, which include, among other actions, a focus on reducing discretionary spending and personnel reductions. These benefits were offset by \$19.5 million in charges related to the substantial completion of negotiations with the works councils of various operations in Europe to permanently reduce manufacturing personnel.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Selling, general and administrative expense decreased \$8.4 million, or 2.5%, in 2010 from 2009. The decrease in SG&A was primarily a result of the Company's LEAN initiatives and targeted cost reduction efforts including, among other actions, lower variable selling expenses in Europe and Mediterranean and ROW, a focus on reducing discretionary spending and personnel reductions. SG&A as a percentage of metal-adjusted net sales was 6.8% and 6.6% for 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Operating Income

The following table sets forth operating income by segment, in millions of dollars.

	Operating Income		Year Ended			
	Dec 31, 2010		Dec 31, 2009			
	Amount	%	Amount	%		
North America	\$96.9	46	% \$19.8	12		
Europe and Mediterranean	36.8	18	% 70.2	43		

ROW	74.1	36	% 73.5	45	%
Total operating income	\$207.8	100	% \$163.5	100	%

The increase in operating income for the North America segment of \$77.1 million is primarily attributable to a greater benefit from the relatively higher average market price of metals compared to the cost of the lower value inventory in 2010 as compared to 2009 and lower SG&A of \$8.1 million as a result of the Company's LEAN initiatives.

The decrease in operating income for the Europe and Mediterranean segment of \$33.4 million was primarily attributable to the weak demand and pricing for residential and low-voltage cable and building wire due to the economic slowdown in the Spanish

Table of Contents

construction and electrical infrastructure related markets, which resulted in lower plant utilization. As a result, the Company completed negotiations with the works councils of the various operations in Europe to permanently reduce manufacturing personnel that resulted in a charge of \$19.5 million. In addition, value added pricing remained weak primarily due to historically low levels of overall demand in many European end markets. The challenge of pricing in this difficult operating environment was compounded during 2010 by the volatile and generally rising price of copper. The operating income for the ROW segment remained relatively flat in the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2009. Increases are primarily attributable to the benefit from the relatively higher average market price of metals compared to the cost of the lower value inventory in 2010 as compared to 2009; primarily in Central America, Zambia, the Philippines and Oceania, as the Company was able to successfully transfer the increase in metal price to the customers. In addition, the Company benefited from penetration of more profitable product segments and concentrated on product lines carrying higher margins in Chile. Decreases are primarily attributable to the decline in operating profit in Venezuela as sales prices in 2010 returned to a more normal level as compared to the elevated sales prices in 2009 due to challenges faced with complex exchange regulations as well as a decrease in operating income out of Thailand due to the social unrest. In addition, operating income decreased \$31.9 million due to unfavorable foreign currency exchange rate changes.

Other Income (Expense)

Other income (expense) includes foreign currency transaction gains or losses, which result from changes in exchange rates between the designated functional currency and the currency in which a transaction is denominated as well as unrealized gains and losses on derivative instruments that are not designated as cash flow hedges. During 2010 and 2009, the Company recorded other expense of \$28.1 million and other income of \$7.0 million, respectively. For 2010, other expense of \$28.1 million was attributable to the \$29.8 million Venezuelan currency devaluation, as discussed below, other income of \$7.7 million resulting primarily from foreign currency transaction gains and losses, and other expense of \$6.0 million related to unrealized losses on derivative instruments of which \$7.9 million was due to the dedesignation of cash flow hedges as a result of the deferral of raw material purchases related to changes in the anticipated timing of a specific project in Brazil. During 2009, the Company recorded other income (expense) primarily related to foreign currency transaction gains and losses.

On January 8, 2010, the Venezuelan government announced the devaluation of its currency, BsF, and established a two-tier foreign exchange structure. Due to the impact of the devaluation of its currency by the Venezuelan government, the Company recorded a pre-tax charge of \$29.8 million in the first quarter of 2010 related to the remeasurement of the local balance sheet on the date of the devaluation at the official non-essential rate. The functional currency of the Company's subsidiary in Venezuela is the U.S. dollar. Refer to Item 7 - MD&A – Venezuela Operations for additional information.

Interest Expense

Net interest expense decreased from \$83.0 million in 2009 to \$71.6 million in 2010 primarily as a result of completing the convertible debt exchange offer in the fourth quarter of 2009. The interest expense related to amortization of the debt discount as a result of the bifurcation of the Company's convertible debt instruments resulted in lower non-cash interest expense principally due to the longer dated maturity of the Company's subordinated convertible notes as discussed in Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Note 9 - Long-Term Debt.

Loss on Extinguishment of Debt

In December 2009, the Company completed an offer to exchange \$925 principal amount of Subordinated Convertible Notes due in 2029 for each \$1,000 principal amount of the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes due in 2012 which resulted in the issuance of \$429.5 million aggregate principal amount of Subordinated Convertible Notes due in 2029 in exchange for approximately 97.8% or \$464.4 million aggregate principal amount of the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes due in 2012. An aggregate principal amount of \$10.6 million of the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes due in 2012 remain outstanding after the exchange. The exchange was treated as an extinguishment of the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes due in 2012 and issuance of subordinated debt due in 2029. The Company recorded a non-cash loss on debt extinguishment of \$7.6 million which included the write-off of \$4.9 million of unamortized debt issuance costs related to the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes due in 2012. Refer to Item 7 - MD&A – Debt and Other Contractual Obligations for additional information.

Tax Provision

The Company's effective tax rate for 2010 and 2009 was 42.5% and 44.7%, respectively. The Company's 2010 effective tax rate was adversely impacted by the nondeductible Venezuelan devaluation loss and valuation allowances recorded against deferred tax assets in certain foreign jurisdictions, partially offset by the recognition of tax benefits related to uncertain tax positions that was primarily due to statute of limitations expirations and tax audit settlements. The Company's 2009 effective tax rate was adversely impacted by tax expense recorded for uncertain tax positions and valuation allowances recorded against certain foreign deferred tax assets, partially offset by permanent difference tax benefits.

Table of Contents

Preferred Stock Dividends

During 2010 and 2009, the Company accrued and paid \$0.3 million in dividends on its Series A preferred stock.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company maintains a steady cash position as evidenced by the Company's ability to generate substantial cash from operations and access to capital markets at competitive rates. Cash flows from operations as well as borrowings of our Revolving Credit Facility provide the primary source for financing operating expenses and other short term liquidity needs. As necessary the Company may supplement additional debt to fund other working capital needs, debt and interest payments, as well as discretionary investment in internal product development, acquisitions, Series A preferred stock dividends, repurchase of common stock and taxes. The overall cash position of the Company reflects the business results and a global cash management strategy that incorporates liquidity management, economic factors, and tax considerations.

The Company's short term borrowings vary by period based on the Company's working capital requirements which is dependent on incremental demand for products and changes in the price of copper, aluminum, and other raw material cost inputs. At December 31, 2011, current assets exceeded current liabilities by \$1,297.7 million. Based upon historical experience, the cash on its balance sheet and the expected availability of funds under its current credit facilities, the Company believes its sources of liquidity will be sufficient to enable it to meet funding requirements for working capital, capital expenditures, debt repayment, salaries and related benefits, interest, Series A preferred stock dividends and taxes for the next twelve months and foreseeable future. The Company maintains approximately \$813.2 million of excess availability under its various credit facilities around the world.

The Company's North American operations generally borrows and repays on the Revolving Credit Facility multiple times per week for working capital needs; borrowing on a short term basis is the most effective method to reduce interest costs based on the terms of the agreement. The Company's European operations participate in accounts payable confirming arrangements with several European financial institutions to address working capital requirements in the business. The Company negotiates payment terms with suppliers of generally 180 days in Europe and submit invoices to the financial institutions with instructions for the financial institutions to transfer funds from European operations' accounts on the due date (on day 180) for the trade payables due. At December 31, 2011, the arrangements had a maximum availability limit of the equivalent of approximately \$565.1 million, of which approximately \$301.5 million was drawn. The Company's ROW operations utilize short term credit facilities for working capital purposes. General Cable Corporation is a holding company with no operations of its own. All of the Company's operations are conducted, and net sales are generated, by its subsidiaries and investments. Accordingly, the Company's cash flow comes from the cash flows of its global operations. The Company's ability to use cash flow from its international operations, if necessary, has historically been adversely affected by limitations on the Company's ability to repatriate such earnings tax efficiently. As of December 31, 2011, approximately 98% of cash and cash equivalents were held outside of the U.S. by our foreign subsidiaries compared with 93% as of December 31, 2010. If these funds are needed for the Company's operations in the U.S., the Company would be required to accrue and pay U.S. taxes to repatriate these funds. However, the Company's intent is to permanently reinvest these funds outside of the U.S. and current plans do not demonstrate a need to repatriate them to fund U.S. operations. In addition, our Revolving Credit Facility provides the Company flexibility in financing operating expenses and any other short term liquidity needs of our U.S. operations.

In particular, Venezuela has foreign exchange and price controls which have historically limited the Company's ability to convert bolivars to U.S. dollar and transfer funds out of Venezuela. In Venezuela, government restrictions on the transfer of cash out of the country have limited the Company's ability to repatriate cash. Approximately 21% and 11% of the consolidated cash balance as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, was held in Venezuela. The proportion of operating cash flows attributable to Venezuela in 2011 as compared to total Company operating cash flows is 43% and 4% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Summary of Cash Flows

Operating cash inflow of \$97.8 million in 2011 reflects a net working capital use of \$110.2 million driven principally by increases in inventories and receivables of \$180.1 million and \$53.9 million, respectively, which were partially offset by increases in accounts payable, accrued and other liabilities of \$126.8 million. The increase in accounts

receivable primarily reflects the increase in selling prices due to the increase in raw material costs. Days sales outstanding has remained constant year over year at about 71 days. The increase in inventory is primarily due to the increase in metal prices throughout the year. Inventory turns increased to 4.4 turns per year in 2011 as compared to 3.9 turns per year in 2010 due to the current year focus on reducing inventory levels by adjusting production. These cash outflows have been partially offset by increases in accounts payable, accrued and other liabilities which were primarily the result of incremental manufacturing activity due to an increase in demand and higher raw material cost inputs. More than offsetting this net working capital use of cash in the twelve fiscal months of 2011 was \$208.0 million of overall net cash inflows related to net income adjusted for depreciation and amortization, amortization on restricted stock awards, foreign

Table of Contents

currency gains (losses), deferred income tax income, excess tax benefits from stock based compensation, convertible debt instrument non cash interest charges, and the gain on the disposal of property.

Cash flow used by investing activities was \$114.7 million in 2011 principally reflecting \$121.5 million of capital expenditures. In the current year, the Company continued to focus its capital program on completing a greenfield project in India as well as projects around the world to upgrade equipment, improve efficiency and throughput and enhance productivity. The Company anticipates capital spending to be approximately \$80 million to \$100 million in 2012.

Financing activities generated \$8.5 million of cash outflows in 2011 as compared to cash inflows of \$37.3 million in 2010. The increase primarily related to borrowings on various short-term credit facilities in the Company's ROW segment. The Company evaluates factors such as future operating cash flow requirements, other cash flow expectations, investment and financing strategic plans and the overall cost of capital to determine the appropriate levels of short and long term debt to maintain. Refer to Item 7 - MD&A – Debt and Other Contractual Obligations (section below) for details.

Debt and Other Contractual Obligations

The Company had outstanding debt obligations of \$1,048.9 million as of December 31, 2011 and maintained approximately \$813.2 million of excess availability under its various credit facilities around the world. The Company utilizes short and long term debt to address working capital needs, debt repayments, and interest as well as discretionary investments in internal product development, acquisitions, Series A preferred stock dividends, repurchase of common stock and taxes. Short-term liquidity and working capital needs are generally supported through operating cash flows. The Company maintains ratings on its public debt and is a well-known seasoned issuer; therefore, the Company has and expects to continue to obtain market rates on any new borrowings.

On July 21, 2011, the Company entered into a new \$400 million asset-based revolving credit facility. The Revolving Credit Facility replaced the Company's prior \$400 million Terminated Credit Facility which was set to mature in July 2012. The Revolving Credit Facility contains restrictions in areas consistent with the Terminated Credit Facility, including limitations on, among other things, distributions and dividends, acquisitions and investments, indebtedness, liens and affiliate transactions. In the aggregate, however, the restrictions in the Revolving Credit Facility provide the Company greater flexibility than those under the Terminated Credit Facility, and generally only apply in the event that the Company's availability under the Revolving Credit Facility falls below certain specific thresholds. The Revolving Credit Facility may be used for refinancing certain existing indebtedness and will continue to be used for working capital purchases.

Failure to comply with any of the covenants, financial tests and ratios required by the Company's existing or future debt obligations could result in a default under those agreements and under other agreements containing cross-default provisions, as defined in the Company's Revolving Credit Facility, 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes, 0.875% Convertible Notes, Subordinated Convertible Notes, 7.125% Senior Notes, Senior Floating Rate Notes and various other credit facilities maintained by the Company's restricted subsidiaries. A default would permit lenders to cease making further extensions of credit, accelerate the maturity of the debt under these agreements and foreclose upon any collateral securing that debt. The lenders under the Company's Revolving Credit Facility have a pledge of all of the capital stock of existing and future U.S. and Canadian subsidiaries. The lenders under the Company's Revolving Credit Facility have a lien on substantially all of the Company's U.S. and Canadian assets and a pledge of 65% of the equity interests of certain of the Company's foreign subsidiaries. The Company also has incurred secured debt in connection with some of its European operations. The lenders under these European secured credit facilities also have liens on assets of certain of our European subsidiaries. As a result of these pledges and liens, if the Company fails to meet its payment or other obligations under any of its secured indebtedness, the lenders under the applicable credit agreement would be entitled to foreclose on substantially all of the Company's assets and liquidate these assets. Broadly, cross-default provisions, would permit lenders to cause such indebtedness to become due prior to its stated maturity in the event a default remains unremedied for a period of time under the terms of one or more financing agreements, a change in control or a fundamental change. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company was in compliance with all material debt covenants.

The Company's defined benefit plans at December 31, 2011 and 2010 were underfunded by \$114.7 million and \$99.6 million, respectively. The Company recorded an after-tax loss of \$15.6 million in 2011 and an after-tax loss of \$2.3 million in 2010 to accumulated other comprehensive income. The Company estimates its 2012 pension expense for its defined benefit pension plans will be approximately \$16.6 million and cash contributions are expected to be approximately \$9.0 million. In 2011, pension expense was approximately \$12.5 million and cash contributions were approximately \$24.4 million.

The Company anticipates being able to meet its obligations as they come due based on historical experience and the expected availability of funds under its current credit facilities. The Company's contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of December 31, 2011 (in millions of dollars) are summarized below:

Table of Contents

	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		Less than 1 Year	1 – 3 Years	4 – 5 Years	After 5 Years
Contractual obligations ^(1,2) :					
Total debt (excluding capital leases)	\$1,044.3	\$155.4	\$351.0	\$163.2	\$374.7
Convertible debt at maturity ⁽³⁾	305.5	0.5	40.6	—	264.4
Capital leases	4.6	0.9	2.4	1.3	—
Interest payments on 7.125% Senior Notes	75.0	14.3	28.5	28.5	3.7
Interest payments on Senior Floating Rate Notes	11.0	3.4	6.7	0.9	—
Interest payments on 0.875% Senior Convertible Notes	5.9	3.1	2.8	—	—
Interest payments on 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes	0.1	0.1	—	—	—
Interest payments on Subordinated Convertible Notes	260.5	19.3	38.7	38.7	163.8
Interest payments on Spanish term loans	1.9	1.8	0.1	—	—
Operating leases ⁽⁴⁾	73.7	20.0	26.4	13.9	13.4
Purchase obligations ⁽⁵⁾	36.3	36.3	—	—	—
Preferred stock dividend payments	0.6	0.3	0.3	—	—
Defined benefit pension obligations ⁽⁶⁾	176.5	16.0	33.4	35.1	92.0
Postretirement benefits	6.9	1.0	1.8	1.3	2.8
Unrecognized tax benefits, including interest and penalties ⁽⁷⁾	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$2,002.8	\$272.4	\$532.7	\$282.9	\$914.8

This table does not include interest payments on General Cable's revolving credit facilities because the future (1) amounts are based on variable interest rates and the amount of the borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility and Spanish Credit Facility fluctuate depending upon the Company's working capital requirements.

This table does not include derivative instruments as the ultimate cash outlays cannot be reasonably predicted.

(2) Refer to Note 10 - Financial Instruments and Item 7A - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk for additional information.

Represents the current debt discount on the Company's 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes, 0.875% Senior (3) Convertible Notes and Subordinated Convertible Notes as a result of adopting provisions of ASC 470 - Debt. Refer to Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies for additional information.

(4) Operating lease commitments are described under "Off Balance Sheet Assets and Obligations."

(5) Represents our firm purchase commitments on our forward pricing agreements as disclosed in Note 10 - Financial Instruments.

(6) Defined benefit pension obligations reflect the Company's estimates of contributions that will be required to meet actuarially determined funding requirements.

Unrecognized tax benefits of \$76.6 million have not been reflected in the above table due to the inherent (7) uncertainty as to the amount and timing of settlement, which is contingent upon the occurrence of possible future events, such as examinations and determinations by various tax authorities.

Off Balance Sheet Assets and Obligations

General Cable has entered into various leases related principally to certain administrative, manufacturing and distribution facilities and transportation equipment. Future minimum rental payments required under non-cancelable lease agreements at December 31, 2011 were as follows: 2012 — \$20.0 million, 2013 — \$13.3 million, 2014 — \$13.1 million, 2015 — \$8.2 million, 2016 — \$5.7 million and thereafter \$13.4 million. Rental expense recorded in income from continuing operations was \$20.7 million, \$19.0 million and \$23.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company had \$38.4 million in letters of credit, \$257.8 million in various performance bonds and \$231.0 million in other guarantees. Other guarantees include bank guarantees and advance payment bonds. These letters of credit, performance bonds and guarantees are periodically renewed and are generally related to risk associated with self-insurance claims, defined benefit plan obligations, contract performance, quality and other various bank and financing guarantees. Advance payment bonds are often required by customers when we obtain

advance payments to secure the production of cable for long term contracts. The advance payment bonds provide the customer protection on their deposit in the event that the Company does not perform under the contract. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources" for excess availability under the Company's various credit borrowings.

Environmental Matters

The Company's expenditures for environmental compliance and remediation amounted to approximately \$3.3 million, \$3.7 million and \$2.5 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In addition, certain of the Company's subsidiaries have been named as potentially responsible parties in proceedings that involve environmental remediation. The Company had accrued \$1.9 million at December 31, 2011 for all environmental liabilities. Environmental matters are described in Item 1 - Business, Item 3 - Legal Proceedings and Note 17 - Commitments and Contingencies. While it is difficult to estimate future environmental liabilities, the Company does not currently anticipate any material adverse effect on results of operations, cash flows or financial position as a result of compliance with federal, state, local or foreign environmental laws or regulations or remediation costs.

Legal Matters

Refer to Note 17 - Commitments and Contingencies for review of our litigation contingencies.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The Company's Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. A summary of significant accounting policies is provided in Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies. The application of these policies requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the amounts reflected in the consolidated financial statements. Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience, information that is available to management about current events and actions the Company may take in the future and various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. The most critical judgments impacting the financial statements include those policies described below. In addition, significant estimates and judgments include allowances for accounts receivable and deferred income taxes; legal, environmental, and asbestos liabilities; inventory costing and valuation; share-based compensation; uncertain tax positions; assets and obligations related to pension and other postretirement benefits; goodwill and intangible valuations; financial instruments; and revenue recognized under the percentage-of-completion method. There can be no assurance that actual results will not differ from these estimates.

Revenue Recognition

The majority of the Company's revenue is recognized when goods are shipped to the customer, title and risk of loss are transferred, pricing is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured. Most revenue transactions represent sales of inventory. A provision for payment discounts, product returns, warranty and customer rebates is estimated based upon historical experience and other relevant factors and is recorded within the same period that the revenue is recognized. The Company has a portion of long-term product installation contract revenue that is recognized based on the percentage-of-completion method generally based on the cost-to-cost method if there are reasonably reliable estimates of total revenue, total cost, and the extent of progress toward completion; and there is an enforceable agreement between parties who can fulfill their contractual obligations. Management reviews contract price and cost estimates periodically as the work progresses and reflects adjustments proportionate to the percentage-of-completion to income in the period when those estimates are revised. For these contracts, if a current estimate of total contract cost indicates a loss on a contract, the projected loss is recognized in full when determined.

Accounts Receivable

The accounts receivable balance is recorded at the stated amount, less allowances for doubtful accounts, price discounts, and returns. At the time of the sale and at each quarter, the Company evaluates the accounts receivable balance to determine a best estimate for doubtful accounts, price discounts, and returns. The Company reviews general historical trends in the account, customer overdue balances, high risk accounts that have been specifically identified based on historical and current customer patterns, contractual obligations, and current economic conditions to determine an estimate for these allowances.

Inventory Costing and Valuation

The Company values approximately 82% of the Company's inventory using the average cost method and all remaining inventories are valued using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. On a quarterly basis, the Company evaluates its carrying value of inventory to determine if a lower of cost or market adjustment is required. To determine if a lower of cost or market adjustment is required the Company evaluates evidence to indicate if the cost will be recovered with an approximately normal profit upon sale in the ordinary course of business based on product groupings within the reportable segments. Metal costs, particularly copper and aluminum costs, are significant to our overall costs in inventory. Factors such as technological innovations, future demand trends, pricing environment, inventory levels and turns and specific identification of inventory items, such as regulatory-related changes or changes in engineering or material, will be analyzed in the lower of cost or market and obsolete and slow moving inventory provisions.

Pension Accounting

General Cable provides retirement benefits through contributory and non-contributory qualified and non-qualified defined benefit pension plans covering eligible domestic and international employees as well as through defined contribution plans and other postretirement benefits. Benefits under General Cable's qualified U.S. defined benefit pension plan generally are based on years of service multiplied by a specific fixed dollar amount, and benefits under

the Company's qualified non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans generally are based on years of service and a variety of other factors that can include a specific fixed dollar amount or a percentage of either current salary or average salary over a specific period of time. The amounts funded for any plan year for the qualified U.S. defined benefit pension plan are neither less than the minimum required under federal law nor more than the maximum amount deductible for federal income tax purposes. General Cable's non-qualified unfunded U.S. defined benefit pension plans include a plan that provides defined benefits to select senior management employees beyond those benefits provided by other programs. The Company's non-qualified unfunded non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans include plans that provide retirement indemnities to employees within the Company's European and Mediterranean and ROW business. Pension obligations for the non-qualified unfunded defined benefit pension plans are provided for by book reserves and are based on local practices

Table of Contents

and regulations of the respective countries. General Cable makes cash contributions for the costs of the non-qualified unfunded defined benefit pension plans as the benefits are paid.

Benefit costs for the defined benefit pension plans sponsored by General Cable are determined based principally upon certain actuarial assumptions, including the discount rate and the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. The weighted-average discount rate used to determine the net pension cost for 2011 was 5.40% for the U.S. defined benefit pension plans. The weighted-average discount rate as of December 31, 2011 that was used to determine benefit obligations was 4.70% for the U.S. defined benefit pension plans, and was determined based on a review of long-term bonds that receive one of the two highest ratings given by a recognized rating agency which are expected to be available during the period to maturity of the projected pension benefit obligations and based on information received from actuaries. The weighted-average discount rate used to determine the net pension cost for 2011 was 5.60% for the non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans. Non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans followed a similar evaluation process based on financial markets in those countries where General Cable provides a defined benefit pension plan, and the weighted-average discount rate used to determine benefit obligations for General Cable's non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans was 5.20% as of December 31, 2011. General Cable's expense under both U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans is determined using the discount rate as of the beginning of the fiscal year, and therefore, 2012 expense for the defined benefit pension plans will be based on the weighted-average discount rate of 4.70% for U.S. plans and 5.20% for non-U.S. plans.

The weighted-average long-term expected rate of return on assets is based on input from actuaries, including their review of historical 10-year, 20-year, and 25-year rates of inflation and real rates of return on various broad equity and bond indices in conjunction with the diversification of the asset portfolio. The Company's overall investment strategy is to diversify its investments for the qualified U.S. defined benefit pension plan based on an asset allocation assumption of 65% allocated to equity investments, with an expected real rate of return of 8%, and 35% to fixed-income investments, with an expected real rate of return of 2%, and an assumed long-term rate of inflation of 3%. Equity investments primarily include investments in large-cap and mid-cap companies primarily located in the United States.

The determination of pension expense for the qualified defined benefit pension plans is based on the fair market value of assets as of the measurement date. Investment gains and losses are recognized in the measurement of assets immediately. Such gains and losses will be amortized and recognized as part of the annual benefit cost to the extent that unrecognized net gains and losses from all sources exceed 10% of the greater of the projected benefit obligation or the market value of assets.

The Company evaluates its actuarial assumptions at least annually, and adjusts them as necessary. The Company uses a measurement date of December 31 for all of its defined benefit pension plans. In 2011, pension expense for the Company's defined benefit pension plans was \$12.5 million. Based on a weighted-average expected rate of return on plan assets of 7.60%, a weighted-average discount rate of 5.60% and various other assumptions, the Company estimates its 2012 pension expense for its defined benefit pension plans will decrease to approximately \$16.6 million. A 1% decrease in the assumed discount rate would increase pension expense by approximately \$2.1 million. Future pension expense will depend on future investment performance, changes in future discount rates and various other factors related to the populations participating in the plans. In the event that actual results differ from the actuarial assumptions, the funded status of the defined benefit pension plans may change and any such change could result in a charge or credit to equity and an increase or decrease in future pension expense and cash contributions.

The Company's investment policies and strategies, categories of plan assets, fair value measurements of plan assets, and significant concentrations of risk are described in further detail in Note 12 - Employee Benefit Plans.

Income Taxes

The Company is subject to income tax in numerous United States federal, state, and foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgments and estimates are inherent in determining the Company's consolidated income tax expense, current tax payable, deferred tax assets and liabilities, and liabilities for uncertain tax positions. Future events such as changes in business conditions, tax legislation, tax audit resolutions, or foreign earnings repatriation plans could significantly impact these estimates and the Company's tax position.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial statement basis and tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. At December 31, 2011, the Company had recorded a net deferred tax liability of \$147.1 million (\$34.9 million net current deferred tax asset less \$182.0 million net long term deferred tax liability). The valuation of deferred tax assets is dependent on, among other things, the ability of the Company to generate a sufficient level of future taxable income. In estimating future taxable income, the Company has considered both positive and negative evidence, such as historical and forecasted results of operations, including prior losses, and has considered the implementation of prudent and feasible tax planning strategies. As of December 31, 2011, the Company recorded a valuation allowance of \$40.0 million to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount judged more likely than not to be realized. The Company has and will continue to review on a quarterly basis its assumptions and tax planning strategies, and, if the amount of the estimated realizable deferred tax assets is less than the amount currently on the balance sheet, the Company will reduce its deferred tax asset, recognizing a non-cash charge against reported earnings. Likewise, if the Company determines

Table of Contents

that a valuation allowance against a deferred tax asset is no longer appropriate, the adjustment to the valuation allowance would reduce income tax expense.

The Company operates in multiple jurisdictions with complex tax policies and regulations. In certain jurisdictions, the Company has taken tax positions that it believes are supportable, but which could be subject to challenge by the tax authorities. These tax positions are evaluated and liabilities for uncertain tax positions are established in accordance with the ASC 740- Income Taxes tax accounting guidance. The status of uncertain tax positions is reviewed in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as tax audits, rulings, and case law, and the related liabilities are adjusted accordingly.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits within the income tax expense line in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations. Accrued interest and penalties are included within the related tax liability line item in the consolidated balance sheet.

Long-Lived Assets, Goodwill and Impairment

The valuation and classification of long-lived assets and the assignment of useful depreciable and amortizable lives and salvage values involve significant judgments and the use of estimates. The testing of these long-lived assets for impairment also requires a significant amount of judgment and assumptions, particularly as it relates to identification of asset groups and the determination of fair market value. The Company periodically evaluates the recoverability of the carrying amount of long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be fully recoverable. The Company evaluates events or changes in circumstances based on historical operating results, forecasts, general and industry trends and anticipated cash flows. Impairment is assessed when the undiscounted expected future cash flows derived from an asset are less than its carrying amount. Impairment losses are measured as the amount by which the carrying value of an asset exceeds its fair value and are recognized in earnings. The Company also continually evaluates the estimated useful lives of all long-lived assets and, when warranted, revises such estimates based on current events.

Goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives are not amortized, but are reviewed at least annually for impairment. The Company completes its annual impairment test within the fourth quarter of each year. In addition, the Company evaluates the carrying value between the valuations if events occur or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying amount. Events or circumstances may include, but are not limited to, a significant change in legal factors or in the business climate, adverse action or assessment by a regulator, unanticipated competition, loss of key personnel, possible sale or disposal of a reporting unit or a significant portion of a reporting unit, significant changes in financial projections or significant changes in the market capitalization.

During the evaluation of impairment, the Company compares the fair value of the reporting unit to its carrying amount to determine if there is potential goodwill impairment. Our impairment testing for goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles is performed separately. If the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the fair value of the goodwill within the reporting unit is less than the carrying value of the goodwill. The impairment test for the Company's indefinite-lived intangible assets involves comparing the fair value of the intangibles to their carrying values. If the carrying amount of an intangible asset with an indefinite life exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss would be recognized in the amount equal to the excess. If this fair value is less than the reporting unit's carrying value, a second step is required to measure the amount of impairment, if any. If the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, the second step is not required. The Company's market capitalization is a consideration during the annual impairment test. The reporting unit comprising the goodwill is only a portion of the entire company. The company must apply assumptions to compare the Company's market capitalization to the reporting unit being assessed. First, a portion of the market capitalization is allocated to the reporting unit. This value is then increased by a control premium. To develop the control premium assumption, management considered other recent transactions within the industry and the control premium realized in those transactions.

The Company performed the first step of the goodwill impairment assessment. In Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test, the Company compared the fair value of the reporting unit, the entities purchased in the October 31, 2007 PDIC acquisition, to its carrying amount, including goodwill of \$166.8 million. Based on the results of the valuation, the fair

value of the reporting unit exceeded the carrying value; therefore, it was determined that no impairment existed. Step 2 was not required.

To determine the fair value of the reporting unit, the Company employs an income and market-based approach with each being weighted equally. Under the income approach, the Company uses a discounted cash flow method to calculate the fair value based on the present value of estimated future cash flows. Assumptions used in the discounted cash flow method, such as forecasted operating results, expected growth rates, working capital needs, tax rates, and cost of capital, are based on the current market conditions and are consistent with internal management projections. The cost of capital rate selected is based on consideration of the risks inherent in the investment and market rates of return available from alternative investments of similar type and quality as of the valuation date. The guideline public company method is used for the market approach. The approach provides an estimate of value using multiples of earnings derived from the market values of publicly traded companies in the cable and wire industry.

Table of Contents

In addition to the selection of guideline companies, the market approach includes an analysis of the Company's financial and operating performance, risk, profitability, and growth as compared to the reporting unit.

As noted, our annual impairment test for both goodwill and indefinite lived intangibles assets indicated there was no impairment. While the Company believes that the assumptions and estimates utilized in the testing are appropriate, multiples of earnings, future changes in judgments, assumptions and estimates that are used in our annual impairment testing, including discount and tax rates, future cash flow projections, or the Company's stock price, could result in significantly different estimates of fair value. For the 2011 impairment analysis, the Company used a discount rate of 12% and a residual growth rate of 5%. For the 2010 impairment analysis, the Company used a discount rate of 10% and a residual growth rate of 4.5%. The increase to the discount rate is primarily attributable to revisions in the methodology as opposed to changes in the business or economic fundamentals impacting the reporting unit. The primary change involved the inclusion of a country risk premium of about 2% to account for the political and economic risks in the countries where PDIC operates. The increase in the residual growth rate utilized in the 2011 impairment analysis is due to higher expected long-term GDP growth for countries in which the reporting unit operates. As noted, changes in these estimates and assumptions could materially affect the results of Step 1 of the goodwill impairment tests. For example, an increase in the discount rate or a decrease in the residual growth rate of 100 basis points would result in a decrease to the fair value of \$73 million and \$31 million, respectively. The fair value would still exceed the carrying value if these changes were made when employing an income and market-based approach. Overall, a decrease of 5% in the estimated fair value of any of the Company's reporting units would not result in an impairment. At December 31, 2011, the Company's market capitalization is less than the Company's net assets but consistent with the market capitalization at the goodwill impairment testing performed as of October 31, 2011; therefore, no additional impairment indicators were noted.

Share-Based Compensation

There are certain employees with various forms of share-based payment awards for which the Company recognizes compensation costs for these awards based on their fair values. The fair values of certain awards are estimated on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option pricing formula, which incorporates certain assumptions regarding the expected term of an award and expected stock price volatility. The Company will develop the expected term assumptions based on the vesting period and contractual term of an award, historical exercise and post-vesting cancellation experience, stock price history, plan provisions that require exercise or cancellation of awards after employees terminate, and the extent to which currently available information indicates that the future is reasonably expected to differ from past experience. The Company develops the expected volatility assumptions based on the monthly historical price data from the Company's common stock and other economic data trended into future years. After calculating the aggregate fair value of an award, the Company uses an estimated forfeiture rate to discount the amount of share-based compensation costs to be recognized in the operating results over the service period of the award. The Company develops the forfeiture assumption based on its historical pre-vesting cancellation experience. Key assumptions are described in further detail in Note 14 - Share-Based Compensation.

New Accounting Standards

A discussion of recently issued accounting pronouncements is described in Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, in Item 8 - Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Report, and we incorporate such discussion in this MD&A by reference and make it a part hereof.

Venezuelan Operations

On January 8, 2010, the Venezuelan government announced the devaluation of its currency, the BsF, and established a two-tier foreign exchange structure. The official exchange rate for essential goods (food, medicine and other essential goods) was adjusted from 2.15 BsF per U.S. dollar to 2.60 BsF per U.S. dollar. The official exchange rate for non-essential goods was adjusted from 2.15 BsF per U.S. dollar to 4.30 BsF per U.S. dollar. The Company remeasures the financial statements of its Venezuelan subsidiary at the rate at which the Company expects to remit dividends, which is 4.30 BsF per U.S. dollar. Due to the impact of the devaluation of its currency by the Venezuelan government, the Company recorded a pre-tax charge of \$29.8 million in the first quarter of 2010 related to the remeasurement of the local balance sheet on the date of the devaluation at the official non-essential rate.

Effective January 1, 2011, the Central Bank of Venezuela and the Ministry of Finance published an amendment to Convenio Cambiario No. 14 (the Exchange Law), whereby the official exchange rate was set at 4.30 BsF per U.S. dollar, eliminating the 2.60 BsF per U.S. dollar rate previously established for essential goods in the first quarter of 2010. Thereafter, the Company can only import copper at the 4.30 BsF per U.S. dollar rate. In the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company purchased 19.1 million pounds of copper at the 4.30 BsF per U.S. dollar rate recording no foreign currency gains or losses. In the second quarter of 2010, the Company received authorization to purchase dollars to import copper at the official exchange rate for essential goods of 2.60 BsF per U.S. dollar. The Company recorded \$16.6 million in foreign exchange gains related to transactions completed at the 2.60 BsF per U.S. dollar essential rate. Copper imports prior to the approval were imported at the parallel rate of about 6.88 BsF per U.S. dollar, which was closed on June 9, 2010. In 2010, the Company recorded \$10.7 million in foreign exchange losses related to copper imports at this parallel rate.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company's total assets in Venezuela were \$286.4 million and \$225.2 million and total liabilities were \$65.9 million and \$36.2 million, respectively. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, included within total assets were BsF denominated monetary assets of \$138.3 million and \$88.9 million, which consisted primarily of \$92.9 million and \$50.9 million of cash, and \$42.2 million and \$35.6 million of accounts receivable, respectively. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, included within total liabilities were BsF denominated monetary liabilities of \$31.1 million and \$26.3 million, which consisted primarily of accounts payable and other accruals. All monetary assets and liabilities were remeasured at 4.30 BsF per U.S. dollar at December 31, 2011.

Sales in Venezuela were 4% and 3% of our consolidated net sales for the year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Operating income in Venezuela was 20% and 17% of our consolidated operating income for the year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2011, Venezuela's sales and cost of goods sold were approximately 92% and 30% BsF denominated and approximately 8% and 70% U.S. dollar denominated, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2010, Venezuela's sales and cost of goods sold were approximately 87% and 29% BsF denominated and approximately 13% and 71% U.S. dollar denominated, respectively. A 10% increase (decrease) in the official exchange rate would decrease (increase) Venezuela's sales and cost of goods sold on an annual basis by approximately \$17.9 million and approximately \$4.5 million, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company settled \$62.8 million and \$62.1 million of U.S. dollar denominated intercompany payables and accounts payable in Venezuela, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2011, all transactions were settled at the 4.30 BsF per U.S. dollar rate. For the year ended December 31, 2010, approximately 68% was settled at the essential rate of 2.60 BsF per U.S. dollar and 32% was settled at the parallel rate which averaged 6.88 BsF per U.S. dollar between January 1, 2010 and June 8, 2010, the legal period of operation. At December 31, 2011, \$34.6 million of requests of U.S. dollars to settle U.S. dollar denominated liabilities remained pending with CADIVI, which we expect will be settled at the 4.30 BsF per U.S. dollar rate. Approximately \$5.9 million of the requested settlements are current, \$15.4 million have been pending up to 30 days and \$12.7 million have pending over 30 days and \$0.6 million have been pending over one year. Currency exchange controls in Venezuela continue to limit our ability to remit funds from Venezuela. We do not consider the net assets of Venezuela to be integral to our ability to service our debt and operational requirements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company is exposed to various market risks, including changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and raw material (commodity) prices. To manage risk associated with the volatility of these natural business exposures, the Company enters into interest rate, commodity and foreign currency derivative agreements as well as copper and aluminum forward pricing agreements. The Company does not purchase or sell derivative instruments for trading purposes. The Company does not engage in trading activities involving commodity contracts for which a lack of marketplace quotations would necessitate the use of fair value estimation techniques.

Interest Rate Risk

The Company utilizes interest rate swaps to manage its interest expense exposure by fixing its interest rate on a portion of the Company's floating rate debt. Under the swap agreements, the Company typically pays a fixed rate while the counterparty pays to the Company the floating rate per the terms of the debt being hedged.

The Company has entered into interest rate swaps on the Company's Spanish Term Loans, as discussed in Note 9 - Long-Term Debt. As of December 31, 2011, the Spanish Term Loans related interest rate swaps have a notional value of \$32.1 million which provides for a fixed interest rate of 4.2%, 4.58%, 4.48% for the term loans maturing in February, April and June of 2013 and a fixed interest rate of 1.54% for the term loan maturing in August 2014. The Company does not provide or receive any collateral specifically for these contracts. The fair value of these financial derivatives which are designated as and qualify as cash flow hedges are based on quoted market prices which reflect the present values of the difference between estimated future variable-rate receipts and future fixed-rate payments. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the net unrealized (gain)/loss on interest rate derivatives and the related carrying value was \$0.6 million and \$1.8 million, respectively. A 10% decline in the variable rate would have an immaterial effect on the unrealized gain in 2011. All interest rate derivatives are marked-to-market with changes in the fair value of qualifying cash flow hedges recorded as other comprehensive income.

Raw Material Price Risk

The costs of copper and aluminum, the most significant raw materials we use, have been subject to considerable volatility caused by supply conditions, weather, political and economic variables as well as other unknown and unpredictable variables. During the past few years, global copper prices have established average record highs as demonstrated in Item 1 - Business – Raw Materials.

Table of Contents

This copper and aluminum price volatility is representative of all reportable segments. In addition, the Company has historically experienced volatility on raw materials other than copper and aluminum used in cable manufacturing, such as insulating compounds, steel and wood reels, freight costs and energy costs. Generally, the Company attempts to adjust selling prices in most of its markets in order to offset the impact of this raw material price and other cost volatility on reported earnings. The Company's ability to execute and ultimately realize price adjustments is influenced by competitive conditions in its markets, including manufacturing capacity utilization.

The Company enters into commodity instruments to hedge the purchase of copper, aluminum as well as other raw materials in future periods. Principal transactions hedged during the year were firm sales and purchase commitments. The Company accounts for these commodity instruments as cash flow or economic hedges. Changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated as cash flow hedges are recorded in other comprehensive income and reclassified to cost of sales when the effects of the items being hedged are realized. Changes in the fair value of economic hedges are recognized in current period earnings in other income (expense).

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company had an unrealized (gain)/loss of \$10.2 million and \$(30.6) million, respectively, on the commodity futures. A 10% decline in the price of copper and aluminum would result in a decrease in the fair value of \$32.8 million in 2011. As of December 31, 2011 there were contracts held by the Company that required \$0.7 million in collateral to secure the Company's derivative liability positions.

In addition, the Company enters into forward pricing agreements for the purchase of copper and aluminum for delivery in a future month to match certain sales transactions. The Company accounts for these forward pricing arrangements under the "normal purchases and normal sales" scope exemption because these arrangements are for purchases of copper and aluminum that will be delivered in quantities expected to be used by the Company over a reasonable period of time in the normal course of business. For these arrangements, it is probable at the inception and throughout the life of the arrangements that the arrangements will not settle net and will result in physical delivery of the inventory. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company had \$36.3 million and \$30.8 million, respectively, of future copper and aluminum purchases that were under forward pricing agreements. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company had an unrealized gain (loss) of \$(1.0) million and \$4.8 million, respectively, related to these transactions. The Company expects the unrealized gains under these agreements to offset firm sales price commitments with customers.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

The Company operates in multiple countries throughout the globe; therefore, the Company is exposed to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rate. The Company is exposed to transactional foreign currency risk, the risk when transactions not denominated in the functional currency in which the Company operates are revalued. The Company enters into foreign currency exchange contracts principally to hedge the currency fluctuations in certain transactions denominated in foreign currencies, thereby limiting the Company's risk that would otherwise result from changes in exchange rates. Principal transactions hedged during the year were firm sales and purchase commitments. The fair value of foreign currency contracts represents the amount required to enter into offsetting contracts with similar remaining maturities based on quoted market prices.

We account for these foreign currency exchange contracts as cash flow or economic hedges. Changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated as cash flow hedges are recorded in other comprehensive income and reclassified to the other income (expense) when the effects of the items being hedged are realized. Changes in the fair value of economic hedges are recognized in current period earnings in other income (expense). At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the net unrealized (gain)/loss on the net foreign currency contracts were \$0.7 million and \$1.7 million, respectively. A 10% decline in the exchange rate for these currencies would result in a decrease in the fair value of \$24.4 million at December 31, 2011.

In addition, to mitigate these risks, the Company believes it is appropriate to finance those operations with borrowings denominated in the local currency to the extent practicable where debt financing is desirable or necessary.

Considerations which influence the amount of such borrowings include long- and short-term business plans, tax implications, and the availability of borrowings with acceptable interest rates and terms. In those countries where the local currency is the designated functional currency, this strategy mitigates the risk of reported losses or gains in the event the foreign currency strengthens or weakens against the U.S. dollar.

The Company also has exposure to foreign currency exchange risk when the results of its international operating units are translated from the local currency into the U.S. dollar. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) account included in the total equity section of the Consolidated Balance Sheet included a cumulative translation gain (loss) of \$(36.3) million and \$20.8 million, respectively. A 10% percent increase in the value of the US dollar relative to foreign currencies would increase the cumulative translation loss resulting in a cumulative translation loss of approximately \$170.8 million in 2011. This sensitivity analysis is inherently limited as it assumes that rates of multiple foreign currencies will always move in the same direction relative to the value of the U.S. dollar.

Uncertainty in the global market conditions has resulted in, and may continue to cause, significant volatility in foreign currency exchange rates which could increase these risks, particularly in the Company's emerging or developing markets within its ROW

Table of Contents

segment, which have historically been subject to considerable foreign currency exchange rate volatility, particularly in Venezuela. See the "Venezuelan Operations" discussion for further detail.

Fair Value of Designated Derivatives

Unrealized gains and losses on the designated cash flow hedge financial instruments identified above are recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) until the underlying transaction occurs and is recorded in the consolidated statement of operations at which point such amounts included in other comprehensive income (loss) are recognized in earnings. This recognition generally will occur over periods of less than one year. During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, a pre-tax gain (loss) of \$14.7 million and \$(20.7) million, respectively, were reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to the consolidated statement of operations. A pre-tax gain (loss) of \$(4.7) million is expected to be reclassified into earnings from other comprehensive income during 2012.

The notional amounts and fair values of these designated cash flow financial instruments at December 31, 2011 and 2010 are shown below (in millions). The net carrying amount of the designated cash flow hedge financial instruments was a net asset (liability) of \$(11.5) million and \$27.1 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

	2011		2010	
	Notional Amount	Fair Value	Notional Amount	Fair Value
Cash flow hedges:				
Interest rate swaps	\$32.1	\$(0.6)) \$57.8	\$(1.8)
Commodity futures	216.1	(10.2)) 164.6	30.6
Foreign currency forward exchange	55.4	(0.7)) 115.2	(1.7)
		\$(11.5))	\$27.1

Table of Contents

ITEM 8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	
	<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	Page <u>60</u>
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Years Ended December 31, 2011 (restated), 2010 (restated) and 2009 (restated)</u>	<u>61</u>
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2011 (restated) and 2010 (restated)</u>	<u>62</u>
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2011 (restated), 2010 (restated) and 2009 (restated)</u>	<u>63</u>
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Total Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2011 (restated), 2010 (restated) and 2009 (restated)</u>	<u>64</u>

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements 66ITEM CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND
9. FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met.

In connection with the Company's First Amended Filing for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, the Company's CEO and CFO concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e) under the Exchange Act) were not effective as of December 31, 2011 (the "Evaluation Date") as a result of its identification of material weaknesses, namely inventory control deficiencies in Brazil and control deficiencies related to Rest of World ("ROW") former executive management.

Therefore, management, under the supervision and with the participation of our CEO and CFO, reevaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the Evaluation Date and again concluded, based on that reevaluation, that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of the Evaluation Date. Notwithstanding the material weaknesses identified by Company management, each of the Company's CEO and CFO has concluded, based on his knowledge, that the consolidated financial statements included in this 2011 Amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A fairly present in all material respects the Company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company as of, and for, the periods presented in this report, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting (as revised)

Management, under the supervision of the CEO and CFO, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Exchange Act, is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the CEO and CFO and is effected by the board of directors, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP").

Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

• Pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;

• Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that the receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with appropriate authorization of management and the board of directors; and

• Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Table of Contents

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In connection with the First Amended Filing, the Company's management included Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting (as revised) therein, which expressed a conclusion that the Company did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011. In connection with the restatement discussed elsewhere in this 2011 Amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A, management reevaluated the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 using the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) Internal Control-Integrated Framework released in 1992 (the "COSO Framework"). As a result of the reevaluation and based on the criteria in the COSO Framework, management concluded, based upon the material weaknesses identified (the descriptions of which, as supplemented by additional observations, are set forth below), that the Company did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011.

A material weakness in internal control over financial reporting is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of a company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

Management identified the following control deficiencies in the processes and procedures related to (i) the computation of cost of sales and balances of finished goods and work-in-process inventory, including the related VAT assets, in Brazil within the Company's Rest of World ("ROW") segment and the revenue recognition in connection with bill and hold transactions in Brazil and (ii) ROW segment management oversight, including management override affecting financial reporting and the setting of an improper "tone at the top," which placed an excessive emphasis on meeting business plan goals rather than on the integrity of the financial reporting process; these deficiencies, which prevented the timely detection of theft of a substantial quantity of inventory, the detection and internal reporting of inventory-related accounting issues, the detection of the overstatement of VAT assets due to the theft and accounting errors, and the detection of failure to meet requirements under relevant accounting pronouncements related to revenue recognition in connection with bill and hold transactions collectively constituted a material weakness in inventory controls at Brazil and material weaknesses in the controls related to ROW executive management.

Inventory Control Deficiencies in Brazil

Access to information technology (IT) systems in Brazil was not effectively controlled. Specifically, several Brazilian accounting employees were provided broad access to the systems, including the Brazilian cost accounting manager and cost analysts, who the Company believes exploited their access and colluded to facilitate theft of substantial quantities of copper and fraudulent adjustments to the systems. In addition, the inventory module in the Brazil IT systems was improperly decoupled so that it did not automatically update the general ledger, thereby enabling the cost accounting manager to more freely enter manual adjustments in the general ledger, which furthered the theft conspiracy.

Processes and control activities designed to support and reconcile inventory general ledger entries were not effective, were incorrectly applied or were overridden. Specifically, segregation of responsibilities for review and approval of journal entries either was not effected or was overridden by collusion. It appears that the Brazilian cost accounting manager was able to make numerous manual entries in the general ledger without required documentation and approval; in other instances, cost analysts, at the cost accounting manager's instruction, made manual entries to the general ledger, which the cost accounting manager then approved. Moreover, while quarterly inventory counts were reconciled to the inventory quantities in the perpetual inventory system, reconciliation of inventory values to the general ledger balances was not performed.

Physical security controls to protect assets at one of the Brazilian facilities were not sufficient to prevent theft. Among other things, documentation was insufficient in some instances to enable confirmation of quantities of materials received at the facility, the facility lacked a truck scale to confirm that quantities in excess of amounts subject to customer orders or scrap sales were not being placed on trucks leaving the facility, and some security cameras on site

were inoperative.

Inventory controls associated with product subject to bill and hold transactions were not effective. As a result of remediation efforts subsequent to the First Amended Filing related to previously disclosed internal control deficiencies, coupled with additional inquiries by management and its external counsel, the Company learned that production and other operations personnel in Brazil engaged in actions with respect to customer inventory that undermined the Company's ability to support bill and hold revenue recognition accounting treatment for Brazilian aerial transmission projects. Specifically, actions by such employees included premature reporting of certain production associated with bill and hold customers as complete, utilization of one customer's segregated product to complete another customer's order, and reworking or replacement of damaged and missing reels of customer inventory. As a result of its discovery of these actions, management concluded that the inventory controls in Brazil were inadequate to detect activity that would cause the Company to fail to meet all of the conditions for revenue recognition in bill and hold transactions.

46

Table of Contents

Control Deficiencies Related to ROW Executive Management

ROW executive management overrode controls, resulting in, among other things, a delay in the reporting of inventory accounting issues and allegations of theft to the Company's executive management, and set an improper "tone at the top." Specifically, ROW executive management did not report the inventory accounting issues to the Company's executive management until late September 2012, even though ROW executive management was aware of the issues no later than January 2012. In this regard, ROW executive management did not investigate the matter promptly, did not report findings in its belated inquiry on a timely basis, discouraged Brazilian personnel from disclosing the matters in their quarterly financial certifications, and failed to identify the matter in their own quarterly certifications that they provided to the Company's executive management. Moreover, ROW management engaged in other improper actions (none of which had a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements) designed to delay the reporting of expenses or other charges, including improper capitalization of costs, misuse of accruals and failure to timely report inventory shortfalls identified through physical inventory counts. In addition, the tone of ROW executive management communications to employees was inappropriate. ROW executive management placed excessive emphasis on meeting business plan goals rather than on the integrity of the financial reporting process. As noted above under the heading, "Inventory Control Deficiencies in Brazil," the Company, during its remediation efforts subsequent to the First Amended Filing, identified several instances of exceptions to the customer inventory safekeeping and other requirements for revenue recognition under applicable accounting pronouncements with respect to bill and hold transactions. The Company believes that the culture encouraged by ROW executive management led Brazil production and other operations personnel to take the improper actions that contributed to the failure of bill and hold transactions in Brazil to meet the conditions for revenue recognition. Therefore, the Company believes that the effects of the weaknesses in the ROW control environment, coupled with the deficiencies in inventory controls in Brazil noted above, caused the Company to reach an erroneous accounting conclusion with respect to the recognition of revenues in bill and hold transactions.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Remedial Actions addressed in the First Amended Filing and Current Status - In response to the material weaknesses identified by management, the Company instituted a number of actions and designed and commenced implementation of changes in its internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter of 2012. Those actions and the current status of those actions are described below.

The Company now conducts monthly physical inventory counts in Brazil that include reconciliation of inventory values to the general ledger balances, in addition to the previous practice of reconciling physical inventory quantities to the inventory quantities recorded in the perpetual inventory system.

The Company engaged an independent consultant, who had no prior affiliation with the Company. The consultant's principal duties, all of which relate to the Company's Brazilian operations, were the following:

Ensure the monthly physical count process and reconciliation of inventory quantities and values in the perpetual inventory system and the general ledger are adequately controlled.

Identify control improvements within the costing and inventory areas, including controls relating to the inventory reconciliation process and management review.

Participate in the ongoing perpetual inventory system upgrade (discussed below) to ensure that controls are adequately planned and considered.

Assist with the monthly accounting close process, particularly in areas susceptible to management override.

The consultant's engagement ended in July 2013. During the time the consultant was engaged, the Company hired a new cost accounting manager and other cost accounting personnel, who are performing the ongoing tasks formerly addressed by the consultant. The Company also hired a new Brazil Controller, who is, among other things, overseeing the work of the cost accounting department.

The Company retained an accounting firm (other than its independent registered public accounting firm) to assist with the November 2012 physical inventory count in Brazil and other matters. This firm is continuing to assist the Company in other remediation efforts.

Several measures were implemented to improve security at the Brazilian facility that was subject to the security deficiencies. Documentation has been improved, a truck scale was acquired and is used to confirm inventory

quantities of shipped materials, inoperative security cameras have been repaired, gate security has been improved, and additional guards have been retained at the site. The Company engaged an outside security expert who is providing advice on the security remediation measures.

Table of Contents

The ROW Chief Executive Officer and the ROW Chief Financial Officer have resigned. The Brazilian cost accounting manager and the remaining Brazilian cost analyst have been terminated. The General Manager for Central America was terminated. Subsequent to the First Amended Filing, the Senior Vice President for Latin America was terminated. The Brazil CFO resigned. The Company continues to consider additional appropriate disciplinary action against personnel whose conduct contributed to the ROW management oversight deficiency described above. Company management directed specified ROW personnel to develop and implement an upgrade to the perpetual inventory system for the Brazilian facilities. The objective of the upgrade is to automate cost of sales calculations within the system and enhance other inventory controls, such as tracking of usage variances and control of scrap. This project is subject to the oversight of the Company's Global Finance and Accounting personnel.

The Company has taken steps to enhance centralized oversight of the financial function in Brazil and the other business units in ROW. Specifically:

Gregory Kenny, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, and Brian Robinson, the Company's Chief Financial Officer, temporarily assumed the responsibilities of ROW Chief Executive Officer and ROW Chief Financial Officer, respectively. Separate officers were designated to report to Mr. Kenny with respect to the Asia Pacific, Sub-Saharan Africa, and Latin America regions of ROW. Subsequent to the identified inventory accounting issues, Gregory Lampert, the North America CEO who was designated responsibility with respect to Latin America, was appointed CEO for General Cable Americas, with responsibility for both North America and Latin America operations.

All ROW Chief Financial Officers reported directly to the Company's Global Controller from November 2012 through May 2013. In May 2013, a new financial reporting structure was implemented, under which the ROW Chief Financial Officers reported to the Company's Chief Financial Officer. On September 30, 2013, the Company retained a new sub-region Latin America Chief Financial Officer, and all Latin America Chief Financial Officers now report to him.

The Company initiated measures throughout ROW to reinforce the Company's management focus on open communication of ROW business unit leaders with the Company's management and internal auditors and on ethical behavior, including the following:

The Company's Chief Financial Officer, with support from the Company's Global Controller and Vice President, Internal Audit, held video conferences with ROW unit leaders to communicate the need to adhere to a corporate culture of open communication and ethical behavior; to discuss the change in reporting lines applicable to persons responsible for ROW unit level finance and accounting functions, which required them to report to the Company's Global Finance & Administration personnel; and to instruct each unit leader regarding the need to seek support at the Global Finance & Accounting level when accounting issues arise.

The Company's Global Controller individually contacted the Chief Financial Officer of each ROW business unit to discuss the changes in reporting lines, the monthly and year-end close process and other procedures to be instituted in connection with financial statement preparation.

The principal ROW Human Resources Officer conducted an assessment of the ethical environment in ROW. As a result of the assessment, as well as other actions summarized above the Company instituted the "Wired as One" program, discussed below.

As part of a focused effort on ROW business units, the Company's Global Finance & Accounting personnel conducted a process to reconfirm reported balances of assets and liabilities as of September and October of 2012, and obtained additional information regarding accounting by each business unit within ROW. This process included:

balance sheet review procedures that were more formalized than those performed previously by each business unit Chief Financial Officer. The review procedures covered a variety of matters, including, among other things: reviewing accounts for material period to period fluctuations, unexpected credit or debit balances or lack of activity, and providing explanations for significant variances to identify possible errors and inconsistencies,

reviewing accounts that require management judgment to ensure a proper analysis has been performed to support recorded balances on items such as obsolete inventory,

confirmation of proper reconciliation of sub-ledgers to the general ledger, and

completion by each ROW business unit Chief Financial Officer of a comprehensive accounting questionnaire, seeking information regarding accounting for, and issues involving, among other things, revenues, expenses, cash flows, inventory and other balance sheet items, and internal controls.

Table of Contents

The Company has continued this effort in 2013, including site visits by Global Finance and Accounting personnel to ROW units. The Brazil unit was visited several times; among other things, the visits focused on remediation efforts and staffing. Participants in the Brazil visits included the Company's Global Controller.

The Company's Global Finance & Accounting personnel performed a global assessment of the Company's financial capabilities and controls, with a view towards enhancing accounting and disclosure controls and procedures. The assessment was utilized for the Company's 2013 internal audit plan and will be utilized for other corporate initiatives. The assessment also will be used for the 2014 audit plan. In addition, the ROW consolidation process was moved from ROW headquarters to Company headquarters, where it will be conducted by Global Accounting personnel, under the supervision of the Global Controller.

The Company designed a new global sub-certification process that underscores individual responsibility and encourages direct communication to the Global Internal Audit department and Global Finance and Accounting.

User access administration has been revised so that only IT personnel at ROW headquarters will have the authority to grant user access to the inventory and other modules in the Business Planning and Control System ("BPCS") in Brazil and all other ROW business units that use the BPCS system (the Company does not use the BPCS system outside of ROW). In addition, the Company is assessing the BPCS configuration to determine the appropriate level of local business unit access. Among items to be implemented is the imposition of restrictions on the local business units' ability to decouple transactions from the general ledger. As a result of the assessment, restrictions on user access to the BPCS modules have been further refined, and decoupling restrictions are in place.

Additional Remedial Actions Initiated Subsequent to the First Amended Filing - The Company also has taken the following actions subsequent to the First Amended Filing:

As noted above, the Company has retained a new Chief Financial Officer for Latin America, and a new Controller for Brazil. In addition, the Company has retained a new Manager of ROW Information Technology Governance, Risk and Compliance.

The Company's Chief Financial Officer held several in-person meetings with ROW regional and unit leadership, at which he emphasized the Company's expectations and requirements for adherence to ethical standards in each region and unit.

In September 2013, the Company's Chief Executive Officer of General Cable Americas, the Company's Chief Financial Officer, the Company's Global Chief Human Resource Officer and outside counsel presided over a conference call with all Latin America General Managers, at which they emphasized the need to adhere to a corporate culture of open communication and ethical behavior and reemphasized that the culture created by the former ROW managers will not be tolerated. Later in the month, the Chief Executive Officer of General Cable Americas, the Company's Chief Financial Officer and the new Latin America Chief Financial Officer met with each Latin America General Manager and Chief Financial Officer to determine if there were any previously unreported questionable transactions or accounting activities. The same process was followed in the other portions of ROW.

The Global Controller individually contacted each CFO of a ROW unit during which accounting and ethical matters were discussed.

The Company's Global Finance and Accounting personnel conducted a number of site visits to ROW units to implement new policies and practices to enhance the control environment in those locations.

The Company has instituted the "Wired as One" program, a global program designed to provide training with regard to Company values, appropriate behaviors, key compliance areas, recognition of harmful activity and reporting of unethical, illegal or irresponsible acts. During 2013, Company Global Legal and Human Resource personnel collectively conducted training sessions on Wired as One for the regional leaders, and in 2014, the Company will launch a global Wired as One promotional campaign, which will involve training through extensive and ongoing communications to Company employees.

As disclosed in the Original Filing, there were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a - 15(f) and 15d - 15(f) under the Exchange Act, during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2011, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of
General Cable Corporation
Highland Heights, Kentucky

We have audited General Cable Corporation and subsidiaries' (the "Company's") internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting (as revised). Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on that risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. The following material weaknesses have been identified and included in management's assessment:

- Inventory control deficiencies in Brazil that aggregate to a material weakness:
 - Access to information technology (IT) systems in Brazil was not effectively controlled.

Processes and control activities designed to support and reconcile inventory general ledger entries were not effected, were incorrectly applied or were overridden.

Physical security controls to protect assets at one of the Brazilian facilities were not sufficient to prevent theft.

Inventory controls associated with product subject to bill and hold transactions were not effective.

- Rest of World (ROW) executive management overrode controls, resulting in a delay in the reporting of inventory accounting issues and allegations of theft to the Company's executive management, engaged in other improper actions (none of which had a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements) designed to delay the reporting of expenses or other charges, and set an improper "tone at the top", which placed an excessive emphasis on meeting business plan goals rather than on the integrity of the financial reporting process, which aggregate to a material weakness.

Table of Contents

Subsequent to the filing of the 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K/A dated March 1, 2013, the Company's management identified, in its revised management assessment, the following material weakness as of December 31, 2011:

The Company believes that the effects of the weaknesses in the ROW control environment noted above, coupled with the deficiencies in inventory controls in Brazil noted above, caused the Company to reach an erroneous accounting conclusion with respect to the recognition of revenues in bill and hold transactions.

These material weaknesses were considered in determining the nature, timing, and extent of audit tests applied in our audit of the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011, of the Company and this report does not affect our report on such financial statements and financial statement schedule.

In our opinion, because of the effect of the material weaknesses identified above on the achievement of the objectives of the control criteria, the Company has not maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on the criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011 of the Company and our report dated March 1, 2013 (January 21, 2014 as to the effects of the restatement and of the revisions to reflect the changes in the guarantor subsidiaries discussed in Note 21, the effects of the restatement discussed in Note 22, and the effects of the retrospective adoption of Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-11 discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements) expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and financial statement schedule and included an explanatory paragraph regarding the restatement.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP
Cincinnati, Ohio

March 1, 2013 (January 21, 2014, as the effects of the subsequently identified material weakness described in Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting (as revised))

Table of Contents

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III.

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

See the information on the Company's Executive Officers in Item 1 - Business – Executive Officers of the Registrant. Except as set forth in Item 1 - Business, the additional information required by this item, including information on the Directors of the Company, is included in the definitive Proxy Statement which General Cable intends to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2011, and is incorporated herein by reference.

At the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, General Cable's shareholders approved the amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation that provides for the annual election of the Company's Board of Directors for directors' upon the expiration of remaining current terms for the Class II and Class III Directors, respectively, and until their successors are elected and qualified or until their earlier resignation or removal. At the 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, the Company's Board of Directors will become fully declassified and all of the Company's current directors will stand for election.

The Board of Directors of the Company has determined that Craig P. Omtvedt, Chairman of the Audit Committee, and certain other committee members of the Audit Committee, are financial experts as defined by Item 401(h) of Regulation S-K and all members of the audit committee are independent within the meaning of Item 7(d)(3)(iv) of Schedule 14A under the Exchange Act.

The Company has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to its directors, officers (including the Company's principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer) and employees. The Company has also adopted Corporate Governance Principles and Guidelines, an Audit Committee Charter, a Compensation Committee Charter and a Corporate Governance Committee Charter (collectively "Charters"). Copies of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Corporate Governance Principles and Guidelines and each of the Charters are available on the Company's website, www.generalcable.com, and may be found under the "Investor Information" section by clicking on "Corporate Governance". Any of the foregoing documents is also available in print to any shareholders who request the documents. The Company intends to satisfy the disclosure requirement under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding an amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of our Code of Ethics by posting such information on our website at the location specified above.

On February 25, 2011, the Company submitted its Annual Chief Executive Officer Certification to the New York Stock Exchange as required by Section 303A.12 (a) of the New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual. The Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Certifications required under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act are filed as exhibits to the Company's 2011 Amended Annual Report on Form 10-K/A.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE
COMPENSATION

The information required by this item is included in the definitive Proxy Statement which General Cable intends to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2011, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

ITEM SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND
12. RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

A description of General Cable's equity compensation plans is set forth in Note 14 - Share-Based Compensation. The following table sets forth information about General Cable's equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2011 (in thousands, except per share price):

Number of securities to be
issued upon exercise of
outstanding options ⁽¹⁾