

KEY TECHNOLOGY INC
Form 10-Q
February 09, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2010

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
for the transition period from ____ to ____

Commission File No. 0-21820

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Oregon
(State or jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

93-0822509
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

150 Avery Street
Walla Walla, Washington 99362
(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)

(509) 529-2161
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required

Edgar Filing: KEY TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-Q

to submit and post such files). Yes " No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer "

Accelerated filer "

Non-accelerated filer "

Smaller reporting company ý

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No ý

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, no par value, on January 31, 2011 was 5,230,974 shares.

Table of Contents

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC.
 FORM 10-Q FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010
 TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Condensed unaudited consolidated balance sheets, December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Condensed unaudited consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Condensed unaudited consolidated statements of cash flows for the three months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Notes to condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>21</u>

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	<u>23</u>

<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>24</u>
-------------------	-----------

<u>EXHIBIT</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>INDEX</u>	

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2010
	(in thousands)	
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$24,455	\$29,096
Trade accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$372 and \$438, respectively	12,835	13,250
Inventories:		
Raw materials	9,173	6,584
Work-in-process and sub-assemblies	8,763	9,685
Finished goods	4,502	4,922
Total inventories	22,438	21,191
Deferred income taxes	2,997	2,893
Prepaid expenses and other assets	3,020	3,090
Total current assets	65,745	69,520
Property, plant and equipment, net	16,799	16,821
Deferred income taxes	1,178	1,076
Goodwill, net	2,524	2,524
Investment in Proditex	1,178	1,178
Intangibles and other assets, net	147	148
Total	\$87,571	\$91,267
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$5,777	\$6,461
Accrued payroll liabilities and commissions	4,661	6,606
Customers' deposits	6,637	8,272
Accrued customer support and warranty costs	2,656	2,362
Customer purchase plans	665	934
Income taxes payable	478	589
Current portion of long-term debt	337	333
Other accrued liabilities	2,015	2,488
Total current liabilities	23,226	28,045
Long-term debt	5,457	5,542
Deferred income taxes	1,457	887
Other long-term liabilities	177	455
Shareholders' equity:		

Edgar Filing: KEY TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10-Q

Common stock	20,223	20,006
Retained earnings and other shareholders' equity	37,031	36,332
Total shareholders' equity	57,254	56,338
Total	\$87,571	\$91,267

See notes to condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
 FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND 2009

	2010	2009
	(in thousands, except per share data)	
Net sales	\$28,147	\$22,443
Cost of sales	18,827	14,578
Gross profit	9,320	7,865
Operating expenses:		
Sales and marketing	4,484	3,948
Research and development	1,517	1,499
General and administrative	2,441	2,203
Amortization of intangibles	4	317
Total operating expenses	8,446	7,967
Gain on disposition of assets	-	1
Earnings (loss) from operations	874	(101)
Other income (expense)	(74)	20
Earnings (loss) before income taxes	800	(81)
Income tax expense (benefit)	196	(24)
Net earnings (loss)	\$604	\$(57)
Net earnings (loss) per share		
- basic	\$0.11	\$(0.01)
- diluted	\$0.11	\$(0.01)
Shares used in per share calculations - basic	5,286	5,249
Shares used in per share calculations - diluted	5,304	5,249

See notes to condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND 2009

	2010	2009
	(in thousands)	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net earnings (loss)	\$604	\$(57)
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Gain on sale of investment in joint venture	-	(150)
(Gain) loss on disposition of assets	-	(1)
Foreign currency exchange (gain) loss	(36)	1
Depreciation and amortization	655	922
Share based payments	432	364
Excess tax benefits from share based payments	(3)	23
Deferred income taxes	273	(86)
Deferred rent	(19)	(19)
Bad debt expense	(57)	-
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Trade accounts receivable	429	1,215
Inventories	(1,349)	(2,873)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	26	(188)
Income taxes receivable	3	274
Other long-term assets	(1)	(3)
Accounts payable	(632)	(205)
Accrued payroll liabilities and commissions	(1,905)	(814)
Customers' deposits	(1,590)	637
Accrued customer support and warranty costs	320	(101)
Income taxes payable	(115)	3
Other accrued liabilities	(706)	(42)
Other	2	(3)
Cash used for operating activities	(3,669)	(1,103)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from sale of property	-	1
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(638)	(621)
Sale of investment in joint venture	-	350
Cash used in investing activities	(638)	(270)
See notes to condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements.		(Continued)

Table of Contents

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND 2009

	2010	2009
	(in thousands)	
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Payments on long-term debt	(81)	(80)
Excess tax benefits from share based payments	3	(23)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	-	24
Exchange of shares for statutory withholding	(219)	(12)
Cash used in financing activities	(297)	(91)
EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH	(37)	(37)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(4,641)	(1,501)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF THE PERIOD	29,096	18,142
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF THE PERIOD	\$24,455	\$ 16,641
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:		
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$64	\$ 66
Cash paid (refunded) during the period for income taxes	\$29	\$(217)
See notes to condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements.		(Concluded)

Table of Contents

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
 NOTES TO CONDENSED UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

1. Condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements

Certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) have been omitted from these condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements. These condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010. The results of operations for the three-month period ended December 31, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the full year.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring accruals, have been made to present fairly the Company's financial position at December 31, 2010 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the three-month periods ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements— Effective October 1, 2010, the Company adopted Accounting Standard Update (“ASU”) 2009-13, “Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables” and ASU 2009-14, “Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software.” These ASUs revise and clarify accounting for arrangements with multiple deliverables, including how to separate deliverables into units of accounting determining the allocation of revenue to the units of accounting and the application of these provisions to tangible products containing software components. There are also expanded disclosure requirements for significant judgments made in the application of these standards, if material. The adoption of these pronouncements did not have a material effect on the Company’s financial statements.

2. Stock compensation

During the three-month period ended December 31, 2010, the Company granted 7,415 shares of performance-based stock awards. The fair value of these grants was \$13.32 per share based on the fair market value at the grant date. The restrictions on the grants lapse upon achievement of performance-based objectives for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 subject to continued employment through December 15, 2011. The Company estimates that it is less than probable that the performance-based objectives will be achieved and, therefore, has not recorded any stock compensation expense in fiscal 2011 related to these awards.

Stock compensation expense included in the Company’s results was as follows (in thousands):

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2010	2009
Cost of goods sold	\$ 63	\$ 14
Operating expenses	369	350
Total stock compensation expense	\$ 432	\$ 364

Stock compensation expense remaining capitalized in inventory at December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$31,000 and \$12,000, respectively.

Table of Contents

3. Earnings per share

The calculation of the basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share (“EPS”) is as follows (in thousands, except per-share data):

	For the three months ended December 31, 2010			For the three months ended December 31, 2009		
	Earnings	Shares	Per-Share Amount	Loss	Shares	Per-Share Amount
Basic EPS:						
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 604	5,286	\$ 0.11	\$ (57)	5,249	\$ (0.01)
Effect of dilutive securities:						
Common stock options	-	18		-	-	
Diluted EPS:						
Earnings (loss) plus assumed conversions	\$ 604	5,304	\$ 0.11	\$ (57)	5,249	\$ (0.01)

The weighted-average number of diluted shares does not include potential common shares which are anti-dilutive. The following potential common shares at December 31, 2010 and 2009 were not included in the calculation of diluted EPS as they were anti-dilutive or the performance measurement had not been met:

Common shares from:	Three months ended December 31,	
	2010	2009
Assumed exercise of stock options	10,000	55,000

The options expire on dates beginning in February 2011 through February 2015. The restrictions on stock grants may lapse between February 2011 and September 2013.

4. Income taxes

The provision (benefit) for income taxes is based on the estimated effective income tax rate for the year. Changes in the estimated effective income tax rate are accounted for in the period the change in estimate occurs. During the first quarter of fiscal 2011, income tax expense was reduced by approximately \$72,000 for additional research and development tax credits related to expenditures incurred during fiscal 2010 due to changes in tax law that were enacted during the quarter to retroactively renew these tax credits.

5. Derivative instruments

The Company uses derivative instruments as risk management tools but does not use derivative instruments for trading or speculative purposes. Derivatives used for interest rate swap hedging purposes are designated and effective as a cash flow hedge of the identified risk exposure related to the Company’s variable rate mortgage at the inception of the contract. A hedge is deemed effective if changes in the fair value of the derivative contract are highly correlated with changes in the underlying hedged item at inception of the hedge and over the life of the hedge contract. To the extent the interest rate swap is effective, changes in fair value will be recognized in Other Comprehensive Income over the term of the derivative contract. To the extent the interest rate swap is not effective, changes in fair value will be recognized in earnings.

At December 31, 2010, the Company had an interest rate swap of \$5.8 million that effectively fixes the interest rate on its LIBOR-based variable rate mortgage at 4.27%. At December 31, 2010, the fair value of the swap agreement recorded as a liability in Other long-term liabilities on the Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheet was \$18,000. There were no gains or losses recognized as part of net earnings in the Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Statement of Operations related to the swap agreement during the three months ended December 31, 2010, as the interest rate swap was highly effective as a cash flow hedge. Consequently,

Table of Contents

increases in the fair value of the interest rate swap of \$261,000 during the three-month period ending December 31, 2010 were recorded as part of Other comprehensive income in the Equity section of the Company's Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheet. During the three-month period ended December 31, 2010, the Company recorded \$40,000 as interest expense related to the interest rate swap reflecting actual interest payments and settlements on the interest rate swap. The interest rate swap matures in January 2024.

At December 31, 2010, the Company had a one-month undesignated forward exchange contract for €4.3 million (\$5.7 million). Forward exchange contracts are used to manage the Company's foreign currency exchange risk related to its ongoing operations. Net foreign currency gains of \$189,000 were recorded for forward exchange contracts in the three-month period ended December 31, 2010 in Other income (expense) on the Company's Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Statement of Operations. At December 31, 2010, the Company had liabilities of \$66,000 under these forward contracts in Other accrued liabilities on the Company's Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheet. At September 30, 2010, the Company had liabilities of \$613,000 for forward contracts in Other accrued liabilities on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet.

6. Fair value measurements

Fair value measurements are classified under the following hierarchy:

- Level 1 – Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.
- Level 2 – Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs or significant value-drivers are observable in active markets.
- Level 3 – Model-derived valuations in which one or more significant inputs or significant value-drivers are unobservable.

When available, the Company uses quoted market prices to determine fair value and classifies such measurements within Level 1. In some cases where market prices are not available, the Company makes use of observable market-based inputs to calculate fair value, in which case the measurements are classified within Level 2. If quoted or observable market processes are not available, fair value is based upon internally developed models that use, where possible, current market-based parameters such as interest rates, yield curves and currency rates. These measurements are classified within Level 3.

Money market funds

The Company has measured its money market funds based on quoted prices in active markets of identical assets.

Derivative financial instruments

The fair value of interest rate swap derivatives is primarily based on pricing models. These models use discounted cash flows that utilize the appropriate market-based forward swap curves. The fair value of foreign currency forward contracts is based on the differential between contract price and the market-based forward rate.

The following table presents the Company's assets and liabilities that are measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis consistent with the fair value hierarchy provisions.

Table of ContentsFair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010
(in thousands)

Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Assets/ Liabilities at Fair Value
Money market funds	\$ 5,008	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,008
Derivatives:				
Interest rate swap	-	\$ (18)	-	\$ (18)
Forward exchange contracts	-	\$ 0	-	\$ 0

At December 31, 2010, the Company also had long-term debt of approximately \$5.8 million. The Company's long-term debt is recorded at historical cost and the Company has not elected to fair value such financial instruments. The fair value of the debt approximated its carrying value based on the borrowing rates currently available to the Company for loans with similar terms and maturities.

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Forward exchange contracts had a fair value of zero at the reporting date, as these contracts were entered into as of that date. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect these estimates.

At December 31, 2010, the Company's minority interest in Proditec SAS had a carrying value of approximately \$1.2 million. This investment is being accounted for under the cost method. The fair value of the Company's investment in Proditec was not estimated as there were no events or changes in circumstances that may have a significant adverse effect on the fair value of the investment, and the Company's management determined that it was not practicable to estimate the fair value of the investment. Further, there are not quoted market prices for the Company's investment, and sufficient information is not readily available for the Company to utilize a valuation model to determine its fair value without incurring excessive costs relative to the materiality of the investment. The Company's cost method investment is evaluated, on at least a quarterly basis, for potential other-than-temporary impairment or when an event of change in circumstances has occurred, that may have a significant adverse effect on the fair value of the investment.

7. Financing arrangements

The Company's domestic credit facility provides a revolving line of credit to the Company in the maximum principal amount of \$15,000,000 and a credit sub-facility of up to \$6,000,000 for standby letters of credit. The revolving line of credit matures on September 30, 2011. The credit facility bears interest, at the Company's option, at either the lender's prime rate or the British Bankers Association LIBOR Rate ("BBA LIBOR") plus 1.75% per annum. At December 31, 2010, the interest rate would have been 2.02% based on the lowest of the available alternative rates. The revolving line of credit is secured by all U.S. accounts receivable, inventory, equipment, and fixtures. At December 31, 2010, the Company had no outstanding borrowings under the revolving line of credit and \$250,000 in standby letters of credit.

The loan agreement also provided for a 15-year term loan in the amount of \$6.4 million of which \$5.8 million was outstanding as of December 31, 2010. The term loan provides for a mortgage on the Company's Avery Street headquarters' land and building located in Walla Walla, Washington. The term loan bears interest at the BBA LIBOR rate plus 1.4% and matures on January 2, 2024. The Company has also simultaneously entered into an interest rate swap agreement with the lender to fix the interest rate at 4.27%.

The credit facilities contain covenants which require the maintenance of a funded debt to EBITDA ratio, a fixed charge coverage ratio and minimum working capital levels. The loan agreement permits capital expenditures up to a certain level, and contains customary default and acceleration provisions. The credit facilities also restrict acquisitions, incurrence of additional indebtedness and lease expenditures above certain levels without the prior consent of the lender. At December 31, 2010, the Company was in compliance with its loan covenants.

Table of Contents

The Company's credit accommodation with a commercial bank in the Netherlands was renewed in fiscal 2011 and provides a credit facility for its European subsidiary. This credit accommodation totals \$2.3 million and includes an operating line of the lesser of \$330,000 or the available borrowing base, which is based on varying percentages of eligible accounts receivable and inventories, and a bank guarantee facility of \$2.0 million. The operating line and bank guarantee facility are secured by all of the subsidiary's personal property. The credit facility bears interest at the bank's prime rate, with a minimum of 3.00%, plus 1.75%. At December 31, 2010, the interest rate was 6.05%. The credit accommodation contains a covenant which requires the maintenance of minimum tangible net worth levels at the subsidiaries. At December 31, 2010, the Company had no borrowings under this facility and had received bank guarantees of \$755,000 under the bank guarantee facility. The credit facility allows overages on the bank guarantee facility. Any overages reduce the available borrowings under the operating line.

8. Comprehensive income

The calculation of comprehensive income is as follows (in thousands):

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2010	2009
Components of comprehensive income:		
Net earnings	\$ 604	\$ (57)
Other comprehensive income (loss) -		
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(117)	(45)
Unrealized changes in value of derivatives	261	162
Income tax benefit related to items of comprehensive income (loss)	(49)	(40)
Total comprehensive income	\$ 699	\$ 20

9. Contractual guarantees and indemnities

Product warranties

The Company provides a warranty on its products ranging from ninety days to five years following the date of shipment. Management establishes allowances for warranty costs based upon the types of products shipped and product warranty experience. The provision of warranty costs is charged to cost of sales at the time of sale, and it is periodically assessed for adequacy based on changes in these factors.

A reconciliation of the changes in the Company's allowances for warranties for the three months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands) is as follows:

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2010	2009
Beginning balance	\$ 1,954	\$ 2,122
Warranty costs incurred	(814)	(579)
Warranty expense accrued	811	482
Translation adjustments	(25)	(9)
Ending balance	\$ 1,926	\$ 2,016

Table of Contents

Intellectual property and general contractual indemnities

The Company, in the normal course of business, provides specific, limited indemnification to its customers for liability and damages related to intellectual property rights. In addition, the Company may enter into contracts with customers where it has agreed to indemnify the customer for personal injury or property damage caused by the Company's products and services. Indemnification is typically limited to replacement of the items or the actual price of the products and services. The Company maintains product liability insurance as well as errors and omissions insurance, which may provide a source of recovery in the event of an indemnification claim, but does not maintain insurance coverage for claims related to intellectual property rights.

Historically, any amounts payable under these indemnifications have not had a material effect on the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. The Company has not recorded any provision for future obligations under these indemnifications. If the Company determines it is probable that a loss has occurred under these indemnifications, then any such reasonably estimable loss would be recognized.

Director and officer indemnities

The Company has entered into indemnification agreements with its directors and certain executive officers which require the Company to indemnify such individuals against certain expenses, judgments and fines in third-party and derivative proceedings. The Company may recover, under certain circumstances, some of the expenses and liabilities that arise in connection with such indemnifications under the terms of its directors' and officers' insurance policies. The Company has not recorded any provision for future obligations under these indemnification agreements.

Bank guarantees and letters of credit

At December 31, 2010, the Company had standby letters of credit totaling \$1.0 million, which includes secured bank guarantees under the Company's domestic and European credit facilities and domestic letters of credit securing certain self-insurance contracts. If the Company fails to meet its contractual obligations, these bank guarantees and letters of credit may become liabilities of the Company. This amount is comprised of approximately \$755,000 of outstanding performance guarantees secured by bank guarantees under the Company's European subsidiaries' credit facility, \$100,000 of outstanding performance guarantees secured by bank guarantees under the Company's domestic credit facility and a standby letter of credit for \$150,000 securing certain self-insurance contracts related to workers compensation. Bank guarantees arise when the Company collects customer deposits prior to order fulfillment. The customer deposits received are recorded as current liabilities on the Company's balance sheet. The bank guarantees repayment of the customer deposit in the event an order is not completed. The bank guarantee is canceled upon shipment and transfer of title. These bank guarantees arise in the normal course of the Company's business and are not deemed to expose the Company to any significant risks since they are satisfied as part of the design and manufacturing process.

Table of Contents

ITEM MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF
2. OPERATIONS.

From time to time, Key Technology, Inc. ("Key" or the "Company"), through its management, may make forward-looking public statements with respect to the Company regarding, among other things, expected future revenues or earnings, projections, plans, future performance, product development and commercialization, and other estimates relating to the Company's future operations. Forward-looking statements may be included in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), in press releases or in oral statements made with the approval of an authorized executive officer of Key. The words or phrases "will likely result," "are expected to," "intends," "is anticipated," "estimates," "believes," "projects" or similar expressions are intended to identify "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 21E of the Exchange Act and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, as enacted by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. The Company cautions investors not to place undue reliance on its forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date on which they are made. Key's actual results may differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including those listed below:

- changes in general economic conditions may adversely affect the Company's business and results of operations, and the business of the Company's customers;
- economic conditions in the food processing industry, either globally or regionally, may adversely affect the Company's revenues;
- the loss of any of the Company's significant customers could reduce the Company's revenues and profitability;
- the Company is subject to pricing pressure which may reduce the Company's profitability;
- the failure of the Company's independent sales representatives to perform as expected would harm the Company's net sales;
- the Company may make acquisitions that could disrupt the Company's operations and harm the Company's operating results;
- the Company's international operations subject the Company to a number of risks that could adversely affect the Company's revenues, operating results and growth;
- competition and advances in technology may adversely affect sales and prices;
- the Company's products may not compete successfully in either existing or new markets, which would adversely affect sales and operating results;
- the Company's expansion into new markets and integrated product offerings could increase the cost of operations and reduce gross margins and profitability;
- our product offerings depend to a certain extent on products and components manufactured by others;
- the Company's inability to retain and recruit experienced management and other key personnel, or the loss of key management personnel, may adversely affect the Company's business and prospects for growth;
- the inability to protect the Company's intellectual property, especially as the Company expands geographically, may adversely affect the Company's competitive advantage;
- intellectual property-related litigation expenses and other costs resulting from infringement claims asserted against the Company by third parties may adversely affect the Company's results of operations and its customer relations;
- the Company's dependence on certain suppliers may leave the Company temporarily without adequate access to raw materials or products;
- the limited availability and possible cost fluctuations of materials used in the Company's products could adversely affect the Company's gross margins;
- compliance with changes in environmental laws, including proposed climate change laws and regulations, could adversely affect our performance;
- compliance with recently passed health care legislation may adversely affect our business;

- our reported results can be affected adversely by the implementation of new, or changes in the interpretation of existing, accounting principles or financial reporting requirements and is expected to require the Company to incur substantial additional expenses;
- compliance with changing regulation of corporate governance and public disclosure will result in additional expenses and pose challenges for our management;
- changes in the Company's tax rates could affect profitability; and
- the price of the Company's common stock may fluctuate significantly, and this may make it difficult for shareholders to resell common stock when they want or at prices they find attractive.

Table of Contents

More information may be found in Item 1A, "Risk Factors," in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010 filed with the SEC on December 10, 2010, which item is hereby incorporated by reference.

Given these uncertainties, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements. The Company disclaims any obligation subsequently to revise or update forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

Overview

General

The Company and its operating subsidiaries design, manufacture, sell and service automation systems that process product streams of discrete pieces to improve safety and quality. These systems integrate electro-optical automated inspection and sorting systems with process systems that include specialized conveying and preparation systems. The Company provides parts and service for each of its product lines to customers throughout the world. Industries served include food processing, as well as tobacco, plastics, pharmaceuticals and nutraceuticals. The Company maintains two domestic manufacturing facilities and a European manufacturing facility located in the Netherlands. The Company markets its products directly and through independent sales representatives.

In recent years, 40% or more of the Company's sales have been made to customers located outside the United States. In its export and international sales, the Company is subject to the risks of conducting business internationally, including unexpected changes in regulatory requirements; fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar which could increase or decrease the sales prices in local currencies of the Company's products; tariffs and other barriers and restrictions; and the burdens of complying with a variety of international laws.

The worldwide economy, tight credit markets, and competitive pricing pressures continued to challenge the Company in the first quarter of fiscal 2011. Order volumes for the first quarter of fiscal 2011, compared to the first quarter of fiscal 2010, were down across most of the Company's significant geographic markets and major automated inspection system products, particularly in the potato industry. In addition, in response to the resulting excess capacity, the market saw very aggressive pricing efforts to stimulate demand, which increased the competitive pricing pressure on the Company.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company continued to focus on several long-term market initiatives which it started to implement in fiscal 2010:

- Further development of our cross-selling arrangement with Proditex SAS to leverage each company's infrastructure and sales channels in the worldwide pharmaceutical and nutraceutical markets.
 - Expansion of our Integrated Solutions Group to offer more complete process solutions to our customers.
- Leverage our Intercontinental Sales Group to support customers in Mexico, Central and South America, Australia, China and eastern Asia.
 - Increased promotion of differentiated aftermarket offerings.
- Initiate development of new products that meet the specific needs of targeted emerging markets.

Current period – first quarter of fiscal 2011

In the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company's net sales and net earnings increased while order volume and backlog decreased compared to the corresponding period in the prior fiscal year. Net sales of \$28.1 million in the first fiscal quarter of 2011 were \$5.7 million, or 25%, higher than net sales of \$22.4 million in the corresponding quarter a year

ago. International sales were 39% of net sales for the first fiscal quarter of 2011, compared to 41% in the corresponding prior year period. Net earnings for the first quarter of fiscal 2011 were \$604,000, or \$0.11 per diluted share. Net loss for the corresponding period last year was \$57,000, or \$0.01 per diluted share. Backlog of \$31.6 million at the end of the first fiscal quarter of 2011 represented a \$3.9 million, or 11%, decrease from the ending backlog of \$35.5 million at the end of the corresponding quarter a year ago. Customer orders in the first quarter of fiscal 2011 of \$24.8 million were down \$3.5 million, or 12%, compared to orders of \$28.3 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. Orders decreased across the Company's major geographic areas, primarily in the potato and freshcut markets. Orders also decreased across most automated inspection system product lines including upgrade systems orders.

Table of Contents

Application of Critical Accounting Policies

The Company has identified its critical accounting policies, the application of which may materially affect its financial statements, either because of the significance of the financial statement item to which they relate, or because they require management judgment to make estimates and assumptions in measuring, at a specific point in time, events which will be settled in the future. The critical accounting policies, judgments and estimates which management believes have the most significant effect on the financial statements are set forth below:

- Revenue recognition
- Allowances for doubtful accounts
- Valuation of inventories
- Long-lived assets
- Allowances for warranties
- Accounting for income taxes

Management has discussed the development, selection and related disclosures of these critical accounting estimates with the audit committee of the Company's board of directors.

Revenue Recognition. The Company recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been provided, the sale price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. Additionally, the Company sells its goods on terms which transfer title and risk of loss at a specified location, typically shipping point, port of loading or port of discharge, depending on the final destination of the goods. Accordingly, revenue recognition from product sales occurs when all criteria are met, including transfer of title and risk of loss, which occurs either upon shipment by the Company or upon receipt by customers at the location specified in the terms of sale. Sales of system upgrades are recognized as revenue upon completion of the conversion of the customer's existing system when this conversion occurs at the customer site. Revenue earned from services (maintenance, installation support, and repairs) is recognized ratably over the contractual period or as the services are performed. If any contract provides for both equipment and services (multiple deliverables), the sales price is allocated to the various elements based on the relative selling price. Each element is then evaluated for revenue recognition based on the previously described criteria. The Company typically has a very limited number of contracts with multiple deliverables and they are not material to the financial statements. The Company's sales arrangements provide for no other significant post-shipment obligations. If all conditions of revenue recognition are not met, the Company defers revenue recognition. In the event of revenue deferral, the sale value is not recorded as revenue to the Company, accounts receivable are reduced by any related amounts owed by the customer, and the cost of the goods or services deferred is carried in inventory. In addition, the Company periodically evaluates whether an allowance for sales returns is necessary. Historically, the Company has experienced few sales returns. The Company accounts for cash consideration (such as sales incentives) that are given to customers or resellers as a reduction of revenue rather than as an operating expense unless an identified benefit is received for which fair value can be reasonably estimated. The Company believes that revenue recognition is a "critical accounting estimate" because the Company's terms of sale vary significantly, and management exercises judgment in determining whether to recognize or defer revenue based on those terms. Such judgments may materially affect net sales for any period. Management exercises judgment within the parameters of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) in determining when contractual obligations are met, title and risk of loss are transferred, the sales price is fixed or determinable and collectability is reasonably assured. At December 31, 2010, the Company had invoiced \$1.8 million, compared to \$1.5 million at September 30, 2010, for which the Company has not recognized revenue.

Allowances for doubtful accounts. The Company establishes allowances for doubtful accounts for specifically identified, as well as anticipated, doubtful accounts based on credit profiles of customers, current economic trends, contractual terms and conditions, and customers' historical payment patterns. Factors that affect collectability of

receivables include general economic or political factors in certain countries that affect the ability of customers to meet current obligations. The Company actively manages its credit risk by utilizing an independent credit rating and reporting service, by requiring certain percentages of down payments, and by requiring secured forms of payment for customers with uncertain credit profiles or located in certain countries. Forms of secured payment could include irrevocable letters of credit, bank guarantees, third-party leasing arrangements or EX-IM Bank guarantees, each utilizing Uniform Commercial Code filings, or the like, with governmental entities where possible. The Company believes that the accounting estimate related to allowances for doubtful accounts is a “critical accounting estimate”

Table of Contents

because it requires management judgment in making assumptions relative to customer or general economic factors that are outside the Company's control. As of December 31, 2010, the balance sheet included allowances for doubtful accounts of \$372,000. Amounts charged to bad debt expense for the three-month period ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were (\$57,000) and \$0, respectively. Actual charges to the allowance for doubtful accounts for the three-month period ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were \$3,000 and \$73,000, respectively. If the Company experiences actual bad debt expense in excess of estimates, or if estimates are adversely adjusted in future periods, the carrying value of accounts receivable would decrease and charges for bad debts would increase, resulting in decreased net earnings.

Valuation of inventories. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. The Company's inventory includes purchased raw materials, manufactured components, purchased components, service and repair parts, work in process, finished goods and demonstration equipment. Write downs for excess and obsolete inventories are made after periodic evaluation of historical sales, current economic trends, forecasted sales, estimated product lifecycles and estimated inventory levels. The factors that contribute to inventory valuation risks are the Company's purchasing practices, electronic component obsolescence, accuracy of sales and production forecasts, introduction of new products, product lifecycles and the associated product support. The Company actively manages its exposure to inventory valuation risks by maintaining low safety stocks and minimum purchase lots, utilizing just in time purchasing practices, managing product end-of-life issues brought on by aging components or new product introductions, and by utilizing inventory minimization strategies such as vendor-managed inventories. The Company believes that the accounting estimate related to valuation of inventories is a "critical accounting estimate" because it is susceptible to changes from period to period due to the requirement for management to make estimates relative to each of the underlying factors ranging from purchasing to sales to production to after-sale support. At December 31, 2010, cumulative inventory adjustments to the lower of cost or market totaling \$1.7 million compared to \$2.0 million as of December 31, 2009. Amounts charged to expense to record inventory at lower of cost or market for the three-month periods ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 were \$146,000 and \$1,000, respectively. Actual charges to the cumulative inventory adjustments upon disposition or sale of inventory were \$216,000 and \$109,000 for the three-month periods ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. If actual demand, market conditions or product lifecycles are adversely different from those estimated by management, inventory adjustments to lower market values would result in a reduction to the carrying value of inventory, an increase in inventory write-offs, and a decrease to gross margins.

Long-lived assets. The Company regularly reviews all of its long-lived assets, including property, plant and equipment, and amortizable intangible assets, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. If the total of projected future undiscounted cash flows is less than the carrying amount of these assets, an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the assets is recorded. In addition, goodwill is reviewed based on its fair value at least annually. As of December 31, 2010, the Company held \$19.4 million of long-lived assets, net of depreciation and amortization. There were no material changes in the Company's long-lived assets that would result in an adjustment of the carrying value for these assets. Estimates of future cash flows arising from the utilization of these long-lived assets and estimated useful lives associated with the assets are critical to the assessment of recoverability and fair values. The Company believes that the accounting estimate related to long-lived assets is a "critical accounting estimate" because: (1) it is susceptible to change from period to period due to the requirement for management to make assumptions about future sales and cost of sales generated throughout the lives of several product lines over extended periods of time; and (2) the potential effect that recognizing an impairment could have on the assets reported on the Company's balance sheet and the potential material adverse effect on reported earnings or loss. Changes in these estimates could result in a determination of asset impairment, which would result in a reduction to the carrying value and a reduction to net earnings in the affected period.

Allowances for warranties. The Company's products are covered by standard warranty plans included in the price of the products ranging from 90 days to five years, depending upon the product and contractual terms of sale. The Company establishes allowances for warranties for specifically identified, as well as anticipated, warranty claims based on contractual terms, product conditions and actual warranty experience by product line. Company products include both manufactured and purchased components and, therefore, warranty plans include third-party sourced parts which may not be covered by the third-party manufacturer's warranty. Ultimately, the warranty experience of the Company is directly attributable to the quality of its products. The Company actively manages its quality program by using a structured product introduction plan, process monitoring techniques utilizing statistical process controls, vendor quality metrics, and feedback loops to communicate warranty claims to designers and engineers for remediation in future production. The Company believes that the accounting estimate related to allowances for warranties is a "critical accounting estimate" because: (1) it is susceptible to significant fluctuation

Table of Contents

period to period due to the requirement for management to make assumptions about future warranty claims relative to potential unknown issues arising in both existing and new products, which assumptions are derived from historical trends of known or resolved issues; and (2) risks associated with third-party supplied components being manufactured using processes that the Company does not control. As of December 31, 2010, the balance sheet included warranty reserves of \$1.9 million, while \$814,000 of warranty charges were incurred during the three-month period then ended, compared to warranty reserves of \$2.0 million as of December 31, 2009 and warranty charges of \$579,000 were incurred during the three-month period then ended. If the Company's actual warranty costs are higher than estimates, future warranty plan coverages are different, or estimates are adversely adjusted in future periods, reserves for warranty expense would need to increase, warranty expense would increase and gross margins would decrease.

Accounting for income taxes. The Company's provision for income taxes and the determination of the resulting deferred tax assets and liabilities involves a significant amount of management judgment. The quarterly provision for income taxes is based partially upon estimates of pre-tax financial accounting income for the full year and is affected by various differences between financial accounting income and taxable income. Judgment is also applied in determining whether the deferred tax assets will be realized in full or in part. In management's judgment, when it is more likely than not that all or some portion of specific deferred tax assets, such as foreign tax credit carryovers, will not be realized, a valuation allowance must be established for the amount of the deferred tax assets that are determined not to be realizable. At December 31, 2010, the Company had valuation reserves of approximately \$188,000 for deferred tax assets for capital loss carryforwards and the valuation impairment and other changes in the carrying value of its investment in Proditex, and offsetting amounts for U.S. and Chinese deferred tax assets and liabilities, primarily related to net operating loss carryforwards in the foreign jurisdictions that the Company believes will not be utilized during the carryforward periods. During the three-month period ended December 31, 2010, there were no changes in the Company's valuation reserves. There were no other valuation allowances at December 31, 2010 due to anticipated utilization of all the deferred tax assets as the Company believes it will have sufficient taxable income to utilize these assets. The Company maintains reserves for estimated tax exposures in jurisdictions of operation. These tax jurisdictions include federal, state and various international tax jurisdictions. Potential income tax exposures include potential challenges of various tax credits and deductions, and issues specific to state and local tax jurisdictions. Exposures are typically settled primarily through audits within these tax jurisdictions, but can also be affected by changes in applicable tax law or other factors, which could cause management of the Company to believe a revision of past estimates is appropriate. Thus far, during fiscal 2011, there have been no significant changes in these estimates. Management believes that an appropriate liability has been established for estimated exposures; however, actual results may differ materially from these estimates. The Company believes that the accounting estimate related to income taxes is a "critical accounting estimate" because it relies on significant management judgment in making assumptions relative to temporary and permanent timing differences of tax effects, estimates of future earnings, prospective application of changing tax laws in multiple jurisdictions, and the resulting ability to utilize tax assets at those future dates. If the Company's operating results were to fall short of expectations, thereby affecting the likelihood of realizing the deferred tax assets, judgment would have to be applied to determine the amount of the valuation allowance required to be included in the financial statements in any given period. Establishing or increasing a valuation allowance would reduce the carrying value of the deferred tax asset, increase tax expense and reduce net earnings.

In fiscal 2011, the existing Research and Development Credit ("R&D credit") was retroactively renewed and extended to December 31, 2011. Due to this change in tax law, the Company recorded approximately \$72,000 of additional R&D tax credits in the first quarter of fiscal 2011 related to R&D expenditures incurred during fiscal 2010.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

Effective October 1, 2010, the Company adopted Accounting Standard Update ("ASU") 2009-13, "Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables" and ASU 2009-14, "Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include

Software.” These ASU’s revise and clarify accounting for arrangements with multiple deliverables, including how to separate deliverables into units of accounting determining the allocation of revenue to the units of accounting and the application of these provisions to tangible products containing software components. There are also expanded disclosure requirements for significant judgments made in the application of these standards, if material. The adoption of these pronouncements did not have a material effect on the Company’s financial statements.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

For the three months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

Net sales increased \$5.7 million, or 25%, to \$28.1 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2011 from \$22.4 million recorded in the corresponding quarter a year ago. International sales for the three-month period were 39% of net sales compared to 41% in the corresponding prior year period. Increases in net sales occurred primarily in process systems sales, up \$3.0 million, or 45%. Automated inspection systems sales were up \$2.4 million, or 22%, and parts and service sales were up \$251,000, or 5%. The increase in process systems sales related to increased shipments of vibratory products and other process systems equipment, primarily in North America. The increase in automated inspection systems sales related to increased shipments of most major product lines. Automated inspection systems sales, including upgrade systems, represented 47% of net sales in the first quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to 48% of net sales in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. Process systems sales represented 35% of net sales in the first quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to 30% during the first quarter of fiscal 2010, while parts and service sales accounted for 18% of the more recent quarter's net sales, down from 22% in the same quarter a year ago.

Total backlog was \$31.6 million at the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2011 and was \$3.9 million lower than the \$35.5 million backlog at the end of the first quarter of the prior fiscal year. Process systems backlog decreased by \$3.7 million, or 27%, to \$10.3 million at the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to \$14.0 million at the same time a year ago. The backlog decrease for process systems was primarily related to vibratory products and other process systems equipment in Europe. Backlog for automated inspection systems was down \$107,000, or 1%, to \$20.7 million at December 31, 2010 compared to \$20.8 million at December 31, 2009. The slight decrease in this backlog for automated inspection systems was largely driven by increases in Tegra and Tobacco systems offset by a decrease in upgrade systems. Backlog by product line at December 31, 2010 was 65% automated inspection systems, 33% process systems, and 2% parts and service, compared to 59% automated inspection systems, 39% process systems, and 2% parts and service at December 31, 2009. A portion of the backlog at the end of the first quarter will not be shipped until the third quarter of fiscal 2011. Consequently, we anticipate that sales for the second quarter of fiscal 2011 will be consistent with the sales recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2011.

Orders decreased by \$3.5 million, or 12%, to \$24.8 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to the first quarter new orders of \$28.3 million a year ago. Process systems orders increased \$1.1 million, or 12%, during the first quarter of fiscal 2011 to \$10.1 million compared to \$9.0 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. Orders for automated inspection systems during the first quarter of fiscal 2011 decreased \$4.5 million, or 31%, to \$9.8 million from \$14.3 million in the comparable quarter of fiscal 2010. Orders for parts and service decreased \$151,000, or 3%, during the first quarter of fiscal 2011 to \$4.9 million compared to \$5.1 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. The increase in orders for process systems occurred in most process system product lines and across the Company's major geographic regions. The decrease in orders for automated inspection systems occurred in most of the Company's geographic regions and major product lines, including upgrade systems.

Gross profit for the first quarter of fiscal 2011 was \$9.3 million compared to \$7.9 million in the corresponding period last year. Gross profit in the first quarter of fiscal 2011, as a percentage of net sales, decreased to 33.1% compared to the 35.0% reported in the corresponding quarter of fiscal 2010. As a percentage of net sales, the gross profit decrease from the corresponding quarter a year ago was primarily due to an increase in the shipment mix toward lower margin product sales and market driven pricing pressures.

Operating expenses of \$8.4 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2011 were 30.0% of net sales. Operating expenses during the first quarter of fiscal 2011 were up in most areas compared with \$8.0 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2010, but down on a percentage basis compared with 35.5% of net sales for the first quarter of fiscal 2010. The most significant change in operating expenses related to increased commissions on higher sales volumes, partially offset by

lower amortization expenses.

Other expense for the first quarter of fiscal 2011 was \$74,000 compared to other income of \$20,000 for the corresponding period in fiscal 2010. Other expense increased in the first quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to the corresponding period in fiscal 2010 due primarily to a \$150,000 gain recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 related to a partial collection on a note receivable from the fiscal 2007 sale of the Company's interest in the InspX joint venture. The first quarter of fiscal 2010 also incurred \$1,000 of foreign exchange losses as compared to a \$36,000 foreign exchange gain in the first quarter of fiscal 2011.

Table of Contents

Net earnings for the quarter ending December 31, 2010 were \$604,000, or \$0.11 per diluted share. Net loss for the same period last year was \$57,000, or \$0.01 per diluted share. The higher net earnings in the first quarter of fiscal 2011, compared to the net loss in the first quarter of fiscal 2010, were due to higher gross profit dollars related to higher sales, partially offset by an increase in operating expenses, lower gross margins as a percentage of net revenues due to a higher concentration of lower margin product sales and market pricing challenges.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

In the first three months of fiscal 2011, net cash decreased by \$4.6 million to \$24.5 million on December 31, 2010 from \$29.1 million on September 30, 2010. Cash used in operating activities was \$3.7 million during the three-month period ended December 31, 2010. Investing activities consumed \$638,000 of cash. Financing activities used \$297,000 of cash. The effect of exchange rate changes on cash was a negative \$37,000 during the first three months of fiscal 2011.

Cash used in operating activities during the three-month period ended December 31, 2010 was \$3.7 million compared to \$1.1 million of cash used in operating activities for the period ended December 31, 2009. The primary contributors were the changes in net earnings (loss) and non-cash working capital. For the first three months of fiscal 2011, net earnings were \$604,000 compared to a net loss of \$57,000 for the first three months of fiscal 2010. Non-cash items included in net earnings in the first fiscal quarter of 2011, such as depreciation, amortization and share-based compensation, were approximately \$1.1 million. In the first three months of fiscal 2011 changes in non-cash working capital used \$5.5 million of cash from operating activities. During the first three months of fiscal 2010 changes in non-cash working capital used \$2.1 million of cash from operating activities. The major changes in current assets and current liabilities during the first three months of fiscal 2011 were decreased accounts payable of \$632,000, decreased accrued payroll liabilities and commissions of \$1.9 million due to the timing of the payments for commissions, payroll, profit sharing and other incentive programs, decreased customer deposits of \$1.6 million related to the decrease in order volume and backlog at December 31, 2010, and increased inventories of \$1.3 million due to increased parts stock for large customer orders scheduled to ship in the second quarter of fiscal 2011.

The net cash used in investing activities was \$638,000 for the first three months of fiscal 2011 compared to the net cash used in investing activities for the first three months of fiscal 2010 of \$270,000. The net cash used in investing activities for the first three months of fiscal 2011 related entirely to capital expenditures. The net cash used in investing activities of \$270,000 for the first three months of fiscal 2010 included \$621,000 of capital expenditures partially offset by the Company's collection of \$350,000 associated with its notes receivable from the 2007 sale of its interest in the InspX joint venture.

Net cash used in financing activities during the first three months of fiscal 2011 was \$297,000, compared with net cash used in financing activities of \$91,000 during the corresponding period in fiscal 2010. The net cash used in financing activities during the first three months of fiscal 2011 resulted from \$81,000 of repayments of long-term debt associated with the Company's mortgage on its headquarters facility and \$219,000 of payroll taxes paid related to stock surrenders. Net cash used in financing activities during the first three months of fiscal 2010 resulted mainly from payments on long-term debt of \$80,000.

The Company's domestic credit facility provides for a variable-rate revolving credit line of up to \$15 million and a credit sub-facility of \$6.0 million for standby letters of credit. The credit facility matures on September 30, 2011. The credit facility bears interest, at the Company's option, at either the bank prime rate or the British Bankers Association LIBOR Rate ("BBA LIBOR") plus 1.75% per annum. At December 31, 2010, the interest rate would have been 2.02% based on the lowest of the available alternative rates. The credit facility is secured by all U.S. accounts receivable, inventory and equipment and fixtures. The loan agreement also provided for a 15-year term loan in the amount of \$6.4 million of which \$5.8 million was outstanding at December 31, 2010. The term loan provided for a

mortgage on the Company's Avery Street headquarters' land and building located in Walla Walla, Washington. The term loan bears interest at the BBA LIBOR rate plus 1.4% and matures on January 2, 2024. The Company has also simultaneously entered into an interest rate swap agreement with the lender to fix the interest rate at 4.27%. The credit facilities contain covenants which require the maintenance of a funded debt to EBITDA ratio, a fixed charge coverage ratio and minimum working capital levels. The loan agreement permits capital expenditures up to a certain level, and contains customary default and acceleration provisions. The credit facilities also restrict acquisitions, incurrence of additional indebtedness and lease expenditures above certain levels without the prior consent of the lender. At December 31, 2010, the Company had no borrowings outstanding under the credit facility and \$250,000 in standby letters of credit. At December 31, 2010, the Company was in compliance with its loan covenants.

Table of Contents

The Company's credit accommodation with a commercial bank in the Netherlands was renewed in fiscal 2011 and provides a credit facility for its European subsidiary. This credit accommodation totals \$2.3 million and includes an operating line of the lesser of \$330,000 or the available borrowing base, which is based on varying percentages of eligible accounts receivable and inventories, and a bank guarantee facility of \$2.0 million. The operating line and bank guarantee facility are secured by all of the subsidiary's personal property. The credit facility bears interest at the bank's prime rate, with a minimum of 3.00%, plus 1.75%. At December 31, 2010, the interest rate was 6.05%. The credit accommodation contains a covenant which requires the maintenance of minimum tangible net worth levels at the subsidiary. At December 31, 2010, the Company had no borrowings under this facility and had received bank guarantees of \$755,000 under the bank guarantee facility. The credit facility allows overages on the bank guarantee facility. Any overages reduce the available borrowings under the operating line.

The Company's continuing contractual obligations and commercial commitments existing on December 31, 2010 are as follows:

Contractual Obligations (1)	Total	Payments due by period (in thousands)			
		Less than 1 year	1 – 3 years	4 – 5 years	After 5 years
Long-term debt	\$ 5,793	\$ 337	\$ 717	\$ 784	\$ 3,955
Interest on long-term debt (2)	1,782	241	437	373	731
Operating leases	2,596	1,026	1,195	375	-
Purchase obligations	-	-	-	-	-
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 10,171	\$ 1,604	\$ 2,349	\$ 1,532	\$ 4,686

(1) The Company also has \$99,000 of contractual obligations related to uncertain tax positions for which the timing and amount of payment cannot be reasonably estimated due to the nature of the uncertainties and the unpredictability of jurisdictional examinations in relation to the statute of limitations.

(2) Includes the effect of the interest-rate swap agreement that fixes the interest rate at 4.27%.

The Company anticipates that current cash balances and ongoing cash flows from operations will be sufficient to fund the Company's operating needs in the near term. At December 31, 2010, the Company had standby letters of credit totaling \$1.0 million, which includes secured bank guarantees under the Company's domestic and European credit facilities and letters of credit securing certain self-insurance contracts. If the Company fails to meet its contractual obligations, these bank guarantees and letters of credit may become liabilities of the Company. The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements or transactions, or arrangements or relationships with "special purpose entities."

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company has assessed its exposure to market risks for its financial instruments and has determined that its exposures to such risks are generally limited to those affected by the value of the U.S. Dollar compared to the Euro and to a lesser extent the Australian Dollar, Mexican Peso, Singapore Dollar and Chinese Renminbi.

The terms of sales to European customers are typically denominated in Euros. The Company expects that its standard terms of sale to international customers, other than those in Europe, will continue to be denominated in U.S. dollars, although as the Company expands its operations in Australia, Latin America and China, transactions denominated in the local currencies of these countries may increase. For sales transactions between international customers and the Company's domestic operations, which are denominated in currencies other than U.S. dollars, the Company assesses

its currency exchange risk and may enter into forward contracts to minimize such risk. At December 31, 2010, the Company held a 30-day forward contract for €4.3 million (\$5.7 million). As of December 31, 2010, management estimates that a 10% change in foreign exchange rates would affect net earnings before taxes by approximately \$215,000 on an annual basis as a result of the conversion to U.S. dollars of cash, accounts receivable, loans to foreign subsidiaries, and sales or other contracts denominated in foreign currencies. These changes would positively affect net earnings if the U.S. dollar weakens on world markets and negatively affect net earnings if the U.S. dollar strengthens on world markets.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2010, the Euro lost approximately 3% in value against the U.S. dollar compared to its value at September 30, 2010. During the three-month period ended December 31, 2010, changes in the value of the Euro against the U.S. dollar ranged between a 2% gain and a 3% loss as compared to the value at September 30, 2010. Most other foreign currencies gained in value against the U.S. dollar during the first three months of fiscal 2010. The effect of these fluctuations on the operations and financial results of the Company during the first three months of fiscal 2011 were:

- Translation adjustments of (\$77,000), net of income tax, were recognized as a component of comprehensive income as a result of converting the Euro denominated balance sheets of Key Technology B.V. and Suplusco Holding B.V. into U.S. dollars, and to a lesser extent, the Australian dollar balance sheets of Key Technology Australia Pty Ltd., the RMB balance sheet of Key Technology (Shanghai) Trading Co., Ltd., the Singapore dollar balance sheet of Key Technology Asia-Pacific Pte. Ltd., and the Peso balance sheet of Productos Key Mexicana.
- Foreign exchange gains of \$36,000, net of the effects of forward contracts settled during the period, were recognized in the other income and expense section of the consolidated statement of operations as a result of conversion of Euro and other foreign currency denominated receivables, intercompany loans, and cash carried on the balance sheet of the U.S. operations, as well as the result of the conversion of other non-functional currency receivables, payables and cash carried on the balance sheets of the European, Australian, Chinese, Singapore and Mexican operations.

When the dollar strengthens on the world markets, the Company's market and economic outlook for international sales could be negatively affected as export sales to international customers become relatively more expensive. Conversely, a relatively weaker U.S. dollar makes the Company's U.S.-manufactured goods less expensive to international customers when denominated in U.S. dollars or potentially more profitable to the Company when denominated in a foreign currency. On the other hand, materials or components imported into the U.S. may be more expensive. A relatively weaker U.S. dollar on the world markets, especially as measured against the Euro, may favorably affect the Company's market and economic outlook for international sales. The Company's Netherlands-based subsidiary transacts business primarily in Euros and does not have significant exports to the U.S, but does import a significant portion of its products from its U.S.-based parent company.

Under the Company's current credit facilities, the Company may borrow at either the lender's prime rate or at BBA LIBOR plus 175 basis points on its domestic credit facility and at the lender's prime rate plus 175 basis points on its European credit facility. At December 31, 2010, the Company had no borrowings under these arrangements. During the three-month period ended December 31, 2010, interest rates applicable to these variable rate credit facilities ranged from 2.01% to 6.35%. At December 31, 2010, the rate was 2.02% on its domestic credit facility and 6.05% on its European credit facility based on the lowest of the available alternative rates. The Company's mortgage bears interest at the BBA LIBOR plus 140 basis points, but the Company simultaneously entered into an interest rate swap agreement with the lender to fix the interest rate at 4.27%. As of December 31, 2010, management estimates that a 100 basis point change in these interest rates would not affect net income before taxes because the Company had no borrowings outstanding under its variable interest rate credit facilities and the interest rate swap effectively converts its variable rate mortgage to a fixed rate mortgage.

ITEM 4.

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the disclosure controls and procedures relating to the Company at December 31, 2010 and concluded that such controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports filed or submitted by the Company under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's

rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2010 that materially affected, or are reasonable likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table provides information about purchases made by or on behalf of the Company during the quarter ended December 31, 2010 of equity securities registered by the Company under Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	Average Price Paid per Share (1)	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
October 1 – 31, 2010	11,325	\$ 13.31	0	
November 1 – 30, 2010	199	\$ 15.59	0	
December 1 – 31, 2010	4,051	\$ 16.00	0	
Total	15,575	\$ 14.04	0	78,750 (2)

(1) Consists only of shares of restricted stock surrendered to satisfy tax withholding obligations by plan participants under the 2003 Restated Employees' Stock Incentive Plan. The shares were subsequently cancelled.

(2) The Company initiated a stock repurchase program effective November 27, 2006. The Company was authorized to purchase up to 500,000 shares of its common stock under the program. Following certain share repurchases, the Board of Directors increased the number of shares that may be repurchased to the original 500,000 share amount, and subsequently increased the maximum number of shares that may be repurchased under the share repurchase program to 750,000 shares. The program does not incorporate a fixed expiration date.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6.

EXHIBITS

10.1 Credit Agreement effective December 23, 2010 between Registrant and ABN AMRO Bank N.V. dated January 31, 2011

31.1 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

31.2 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Table of Contents

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
SIGNATURES

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: February 9, 2011

By /s/ David M.
Camp
David M. Camp
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: February 9, 2011

By /s/ John J.
Ehren
John J. Ehren
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Table of Contents

KEY TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
FORM 10-Q FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit

10.1 Credit Agreement effective December 23, 2010 between Registrant and ABN AMRO Bank N.V. dated January 31, 2011

31.1 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

31.2 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

25
