

POWER ONE INC  
Form 10-Q  
August 07, 2002

[QuickLinks](#) -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

---

---

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

---

### FORM 10-Q

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2002

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 0-29454

---

### POWER-ONE, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**DELAWARE**  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or  
organization)

**77-0420182**  
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

**740 CALLE PLANO, CAMARILLO, CA**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**93012**  
(zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (805) 987-8741

#### Not Applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such a shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

As of August 2, 2002, 79,832,362 shares of the Registrant's \$0.001 par value common stock were outstanding.

---

---

---

POWER-ONE, INC.

## INDEX

	<u>PAGE</u>
<b>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements:	
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three- and Six-Months Ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 (Unaudited)	3
Consolidated Balance Sheets June 30, 2002 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2001	4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six-Months Ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 (Unaudited)	5
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Three- and Six-Months Ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 (Unaudited)	6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	7
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	17
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	26
<b>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	28
Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	28
<b>SIGNATURES</b>	29

**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1 Consolidated Financial Statements****POWER-ONE, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(In thousands, except per share data, unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2002	2001	2002	2001
NET SALES	\$ 56,187	\$ 92,904	\$ 104,586	\$ 262,756
COST OF GOODS SOLD	40,520	151,816	76,313	259,045
GROSS PROFIT (LOSS)	15,667	(58,912)	28,273	3,711
EXPENSES:				
Selling, general and administrative	15,327	20,663	29,226	41,716
Engineering and quality assurance	9,304	10,589	17,604	21,015
Amortization of intangible assets	1,586	3,654	3,099	7,392

Edgar Filing: POWER ONE INC - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
Restructuring costs		14,091	182	14,091
Asset impairment		11,073		11,073
Total expenses	26,217	60,070	50,111	95,287
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	(10,550)	(118,982)	(21,838)	(91,576)
INTEREST AND OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):				
Interest income	629	1,030	1,224	2,736
Interest expense	(328)	(646)	(640)	(1,355)
Other income (expense), net	414	412	202	(76)
Total interest and other income	715	796	786	1,305
LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAX	(9,835)	(118,186)	(21,052)	(90,271)
PROVISION (BENEFIT) FOR INCOME TAXES	476	(37,824)	(3,494)	(26,298)
NET LOSS	\$ (10,311)	\$ (80,362)	\$ (17,558)	\$ (63,973)
BASIC LOSS PER SHARE	\$ (0.13)	\$ (1.02)	\$ (0.22)	\$ (0.81)
DILUTED LOSS PER SHARE	\$ (0.13)	\$ (1.02)	\$ (0.22)	\$ (0.81)
BASIC WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING	80,124	78,702	79,765	78,626
DILUTED WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING	80,124	78,702	79,765	78,626

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

3

POWER-ONE, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except per share data)

	June 30, 2002	December 31, 2001
	(Unaudited)	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 101,916	\$ 79,671
Accounts receivable:		
Trade, less allowance for doubtful accounts: \$4,027 at June 30, 2002; \$4,698 at December 31, 2001	45,079	45,064
Other	4,913	7,190
Notes Receivable	3,000	3,000
Inventories	119,090	125,682
Refundable income taxes	83	5,227

Edgar Filing: POWER ONE INC - Form 10-Q

	June 30, 2002	December 31, 2001
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	6,483	5,536
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>280,564</b>	<b>271,370</b>
PROPERTY & EQUIPMENT, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization: \$45,612 at June 30, 2002; \$48,611 at December 31, 2001	91,868	97,416
INTANGIBLE ASSETS, net	142,904	129,987
NOTES RECEIVABLE	11,626	14,136
OTHER ASSETS	7,183	7,326
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 534,145</b>	<b>\$ 520,235</b>
<b>LIABILITIES &amp; STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>		
Bank overdraft	\$ 15	\$ 736
Bank credit facilities	975	1,395
Current portion of long-term debt	865	1,348
Current portion of long-term capital leases	74	245
Accounts payable	22,022	19,302
Restructuring reserve	3,829	7,363
Deferred income taxes	2,316	1,866
Other accrued expenses	23,271	22,594
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>53,367</b>	<b>54,849</b>
LONG-TERM DEBT, less current portion	8,740	7,559
LONG-TERM CAPITAL LEASES, less current portion	17	39
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	8,150	7,121
OTHER LIABILITIES	728	464
<b>STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Common stock, par value \$0.001; 300,000 shares authorized; 79,808 and 78,913 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, respectively, net of 100 treasury shares	80	79
Additional paid-in-capital	581,074	570,427
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	13,937	(5,913)
Accumulated deficit	(131,948)	(114,390)
<b>Total stockholders' equity</b>	<b>463,143</b>	<b>450,203</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES &amp; STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>	<b>\$ 534,145</b>	<b>\$ 520,235</b>

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

**POWER-ONE, INC.**

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(In thousands, unaudited)

Edgar Filing: POWER ONE INC - Form 10-Q

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2002	June 30, 2001
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (17,558)	\$ (63,973)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	11,283	16,164
Asset Impairment (a)		14,404
Inventory write-off		85,148
Stock compensation	5,642	13
Exchange (gain) loss	(858)	340
Net loss on disposal of property and equipment	123	95
Deferred income taxes	(315)	(19,938)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable, net	5,169	10,683
Notes receivable	2,510	
Inventories	9,893	(11,648)
Refundable income taxes	5,144	(11,088)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(798)	(2,230)
Accounts payable	2,346	(41,261)
Accrued expenses	(265)	1,313
Restructuring reserve	(1,647)	5,390
Other liabilities	405	13
	<u>21,074</u>	<u>(16,575)</u>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Investment in Powec (Note 5)	(70)	(6,335)
Acquisition of property and equipment	(2,319)	(28,396)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	102	29
Other assets	177	865
	<u>(2,110)</u>	<u>(33,837)</u>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Proceeds from borrowings on bank credit facilities	855	11,733
Repayments of borrowings on bank credit facilities	(1,459)	(5,042)
Bank overdraft	(727)	(8,377)
Proceeds from borrowings on long-term debt		879
Repayments of long-term debt	(848)	(1,984)
Principal payments under long-term capital lease obligations	(195)	(308)
Issuance of common stock, net	5,005	1,643
	<u>2,631</u>	<u>(1,456)</u>
EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH	650	(1,015)
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	22,245	(52,883)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	79,671	137,113

	Six Months Ended	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$ 101,916	\$ 84,230
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:</b>		
Cash paid (received) for		
Interest	\$ 686	\$ 1,187
Income taxes	\$ (10,265)	\$ 7,723

- (a) The asset impairment charge of \$14,404 included in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the 6 months ended June 30, 2001 included \$11,073 for the impairment of property and equipment calculated in accordance with SFAS No. 121 and \$3,331 for the fixed asset portion of the period's restructuring charge (see Note 8).

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

5

**POWER-ONE, INC.**

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**

(In thousands, Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2002	2001	2002	2001
NET LOSS	\$ (10,311)	\$ (80,362)	\$ (17,558)	\$ (63,973)
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)				
Foreign currency translation adjustment	18,010	(2,669)	19,850	(9,317)
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 7,699	\$ (83,031)	\$ 2,292	\$ (73,290)

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

6

**POWER-ONE, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Unaudited)

**NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Operating results for the period ended June 30, 2002 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2002. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2001 on

Form 10-K.

The Company's reporting period coincides with the 52- to 53-week period ending on the Sunday closest to December 31 and its fiscal quarters are the 13- to 14-week periods ending on the Sunday nearest to March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31. For simplicity of presentation, the Company has described the three- and six- month periods ended July 1, 2001 as June 30, 2001. The Sunday nearest to June 30, 2002 coincides with June 30, 2002.

Unless otherwise noted, tabular quantities are in thousands, except per share amounts and period dates.

## NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

**Principles of Consolidation** The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the consolidated accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. The Company includes in its statement of operations its pro rata share of the financial results of investments accounted for under the equity method.

**Use of Estimates in the Preparation of the Financial Statements** The preparation of the financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Estimates are used for, but not limited to, the accounting for the allowance for doubtful accounts, inventory allowances, restructuring costs, impairment costs, depreciation and amortization, sales returns, warranty costs, taxes, and contingencies. Due to the inherent uncertainty involved in making estimates, actual results reported in future periods may differ from those estimates.

**Revenue Recognition** The Company generally recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the price is fixed or readily determinable, and collectibility is probable. Sales are recorded net of sales returns and discounts. The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements," (SAB 101).

**Cash and Cash Equivalents** The Company considers all highly liquid instruments with a maturity of three months or less at purchase date to be cash equivalents.

**Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts** The Allowance for Doubtful Accounts is established by analyzing all accounts that have a balance that is over 90 days past due. Each account is individually assigned a probability of collection. The total amount determined to be uncollectible in the 90-days-past-due category is then reserved fully. The percentage of this reserve to the 90-days-past-due total is then established as a guideline and applied to the rest of the non-current accounts receivable

7

---

balance. When other circumstances suggest that a receivable may not be collectible, it is immediately reserved for, even if the receivable is not yet in the 90-days-past-due category.

**Inventories** The Company's inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method, or standard costing method) or market. Slow moving and obsolete inventory are identified and written off quarterly. To calculate the write-off amount, the Company compares the current on-hand quantities with both the projected usages for a two-year period and the actual usage over the past 12 months. On-hand quantities greater than projected usage are extended at the standard unit cost. The engineering and purchasing departments review the initial list of slow moving and obsolete items. The engineering and purchasing groups identify items that have alternative uses in new or existing products. These items are then excluded from the analysis. The total amount of resulting slow moving and obsolete inventory is then written off. Additionally, non-cancelable open purchase orders for parts the Company is obligated to purchase where demand has been reduced may be reserved. Reserves for open purchase orders where the market price is lower than the purchase order price have also been established.

**Investments** The Company holds minority equity investments in non-publicly traded companies. These investments are included in other assets on the Company's balance sheet and are generally carried at cost or accounted for under the equity method. The Company monitors these investments for impairment and makes appropriate reductions in carrying value when necessary.

**Property and Equipment** Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Provisions for depreciation have been made based upon the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from three to thirty years, using principally the double declining balance and straight-line methods. Provisions for amortization of leasehold improvements are made based upon the estimated lives of the assets or terms of the leases, whichever is shorter.

**Intangible Assets** Intangible assets include cost in excess of net assets acquired in connection with the acquisition of the Company in 1995, of Melcher in 1998, of IPD in 1999 and of Powec in 2000 which have been allocated among certain intangible items determined by management to have value, such as the Company's name, distribution network and product technology. Provision for amortization has been made based upon the estimated useful lives of the intangible asset categories, which range from three to 20 years, using the straight-line method. In accordance with SFAS 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," only intangible assets with definite lives are amortized. Goodwill and the Company's trade name are deemed to have indefinite lives and are not amortized. Non-amortized intangible assets are instead subject to periodic impairment testing.

**Impairment of Long-Lived Assets** The Company continually reviews the recoverability of the carrying value of long-lived assets using the methodology prescribed in SFAS 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." The Company also reviews long-lived assets and the related intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. Upon such an occurrence, recoverability of these assets is determined by comparing their forecasted undiscounted net cash flows to their carrying amount. If the asset is determined to be unable to recover its carrying value, then intangible assets, if any, are written down first, followed by the other long-lived assets to fair value. Fair value is

8

---

determined based on discounted cash flows, appraised values or management's estimates, depending on the nature of the assets.

**Restructuring Costs** The Company records restructuring charges in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue 94-3. Restructuring costs relate to the downsizing of the Company's operations and primarily consist of specific charges that the Company has incurred and will incur with no future economic benefit. These charges include costs related to personnel severance, continuing lease obligations for vacant facilities and write-off of leasehold improvements and equipment therein, and certain contract termination penalties.

**Income Taxes** Income taxes are provided for taxes currently payable or refundable, and for deferred income taxes arising from future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company's financial statements or tax returns. The effects of income taxes are measured based on enacted tax laws or rates. The Company's international operations are subject to a variety of income and corporate taxes.

Certain Company subsidiaries have been granted tax exemptions from the payment of taxes on earnings. Specifically, these grants provide for exemption on income taxes, property taxes, distribution and municipal license taxes. These exemptions expire at varying dates through 2010. Additionally, certain subsidiaries operate in tax-free enterprise zones and, accordingly, pay no income taxes in connection with those operations.

The Company has not provided for the U.S. federal and state income tax that would be paid on unremitted earnings from its international subsidiaries as there is no intent to remit any future earnings.

**Deferred Tax Asset Valuation Allowance** The Company records a deferred tax asset in jurisdictions where it generates a loss for income tax purposes. Due to volatility in the industry within which the Company operates, the Company may record a valuation allowance against these deferred tax assets in accordance with SFAS 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," when, in management's judgment, the deferred tax assets may not be realized in the foreseeable future.

**Stock Compensation** The Company uses the intrinsic-value method of accounting prescribed by APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," in accounting for stock options and other stock compensation granted to employees. Accordingly, the Company does not recognize compensation expense in the Consolidated Statement of Operations for stock option grants to employees which have been made at fair market value.

**Earnings Per Share** The Company presents both basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share ("EPS") amounts. Basic EPS is calculated by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted EPS amounts are based upon the weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding during the year. Common equivalent shares are excluded from the computation in periods in which they have an anti-dilutive effect. The difference between basic and diluted EPS is solely attributable to stock compensation. The Company uses the treasury stock method to calculate the impact of outstanding

9

---



## Edgar Filing: POWER ONE INC - Form 10-Q

stock options. Stock options for which the exercise price exceeds the average market price over the period have an anti-dilutive effect on EPS and, accordingly, are excluded from the calculation.

**Engineering** Engineering costs include existing product engineering, custom product development and research and development costs. Research and development costs are expensed in the period incurred.

**Warranties** Power-One offers its customers a two-year warranty on all products sold. Power-One further assumes that the goods will be received for repair under warranty at a steadily declining rate over the two-year warranty period. The expected returns are weighted in order to reflect the fact that the majority of returns will be received within one month of sale and will decline each month thereafter. Based on historical sales and warranty repair expenses, an expected per-unit cost of repair is calculated and applied to actual units sold over the warranty period. This calculation is reviewed monthly and the reserve adjusted accordingly.

**Derivative Instruments** The Company has adopted SFAS 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," as subsequently amended by SFAS 137 and SFAS 138, which establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities. The Company did not hold any derivative financial instruments during 2002 and 2001. As a result, adoption of SFAS 133 and subsequent amendments did not have a significant effect on the Company's financial position, operations or cash flows.

**Fair Value of Financial Instruments** The recorded values of the Company's accounts receivable, notes receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate their fair values based on their short-term nature. The recorded values of the Company's notes receivable, notes payable to banks, long-term debt and other liabilities approximate fair value, as interest is tied to or approximates market rates.

**Concentration of Risk** Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, placed with high credit quality institutions, and trade receivables. The Company sells products and extends credit to customers, primarily in the United States, Europe and Asia, periodically monitors its exposure to credit losses, and maintains allowances for anticipated losses. Certain European subsidiaries mitigate this risk through credit insurance and collection via bank drafts. Additionally, Cisco Systems was the only customer to exceed 10% of net sales in the three- and six-months ended June 30, 2002 and accounts receivable at June 30, 2002.

**Conversion of Foreign Currencies** The reporting currency for the consolidated financial statements of the Company is the U.S. dollar. The assets and liabilities of companies whose functional currency is other than the U.S. dollar are included in the consolidation by translating the assets and liabilities at the exchange rates applicable at the end of the reporting period. The statements of income and cash flows of such companies are translated at the average exchange rates during the applicable period. Translation gains or losses are accumulated as a separate component of stockholders' equity. The Company has not tax-effected the cumulative translation adjustment as there is no intention to remit the earnings.

10

---

**Reclassifications** Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

**Segment Reporting** The Company operates as one segment in accordance with SFAS 131, "Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information." The Company's chief operating decision maker and management personnel view the Company's performance and make resource allocation decisions by looking at the Company as a whole. Although there are different divisions within the Company, they are economically similar and are also similar in terms of the five criteria set forth in SFAS 131 required for combination of segments. The Company's products are all power conversion products primarily geared toward the communications industry, and our sales force sells products from one company-wide product portfolio. The nature of the production process is similar across divisions, and manufacturing for the different divisions occurs in common facilities. The same engineers with the same qualifications design and manufacture products across divisions. The types and class of customers are similar across the divisions and product lines, and the Company's products are distributed through common channels and distributor networks.

**Recent Pronouncements and Accounting Changes** In July 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS 141, "Business Combinations," and SFAS 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." They also issued SFAS 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" in October 2001.

SFAS 141 requires all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 to be accounted for under the purchase method. SFAS 141 supersedes APB Opinion No. 16, "Business Combinations", and SFAS 38, "Accounting for Preacquisition Contingencies of Purchased Enterprises", and is effective for all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001. The Company has not completed any business

combinations that were initiated subsequent to June 30, 2001. Future business combinations, if any, will be accounted for under the purchase method in accordance with SFAS 141.

SFAS 142 addresses the financial accounting and reporting for acquired goodwill and other intangible assets. Under the new rules, the Company is no longer required to amortize goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives; rather, these intangible assets are subject to periodic testing for impairment. SFAS 142 supersedes APB Opinion No. 17, "Intangible Assets". The Company adopted SFAS 142 effective January 1, 2002 and has ceased amortization of goodwill and other intangible assets deemed to have an indefinite life. In accordance with SFAS 142, the Company also performed an impairment test of goodwill as of January 1, 2002. The Company determined that no goodwill write-down was necessary.

SFAS 144 establishes a single accounting model for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets, including discontinued operations. SFAS 144 superseded SFAS 121 and APB Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions." The provisions of SFAS 144 are effective in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001, with early adoption permitted, and in general are to be applied prospectively. The Company adopted SFAS 144 effective January 1, 2002, which did not have a material impact on its consolidated results of operations or financial position.

11

In June of 2002, the FASB issued SFAS 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities," which nullifies EITF Issue 94-3. SFAS 146 is effective for exit and disposal activities that are initiated after December 31, 2002 and requires that a liability for a cost associated with an exit or disposal activity be recognized when the liability is incurred, in contrast to the date of an entity's commitment to an exit plan, as required by EITF Issue 94-3. The Company will adopt the provisions of SFAS 146 effective January 1, 2003.

### NOTE 3 INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of the following:

	June 30, 2002	December 31, 2001
Raw materials	\$ 92,963	\$ 100,450
Subassemblies-in-process	7,485	9,013
Finished goods	18,642	16,219
	\$ 119,090	\$ 125,682

### NOTE 4 EARNINGS LOSS PER SHARE

Basic loss per share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average common shares outstanding for the period while diluted earnings per share would also include the dilutive effect of stock options.

The dilutive effect of stock options outstanding at June 30, 2002 and 2001 was not included in the calculation of diluted loss per share for the three-and six-month periods ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 because to do so would have had an anti-dilutive effect as the Company had a net loss for each of these periods. The weighted average number of such options excluded from the diluted loss per share computation was approximately 2,217 thousand and 2,437 thousand for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively and 2,429 thousand and 2,846 thousand for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Dilutive shares are stock options whose exercise prices are below the current fair market value of shares of the Company.

12

Basic and diluted loss per share are calculated as follows:

Three Months Ended

Six Months Ended

Edgar Filing: POWER ONE INC - Form 10-Q

	June 30, 2002	June 30, 2001	June 30, 2002	June 30, 2001
<b>Basic loss per share:</b>				
Net loss	\$ (10,311)	\$ (80,362)	\$ (17,558)	\$ (63,973)
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	80,124	78,702	79,765	78,626
Basic loss per share	\$ (0.13)	\$ (1.02)	\$ (0.22)	\$ (0.81)
<b>Diluted loss per share:</b>				
Net loss	\$ (10,311)	\$ (80,362)	\$ (17,558)	\$ (63,973)
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	80,124	78,702	79,765	78,626
Dilutive shares				
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding securities	80,124	78,702	79,765	78,626
Diluted loss per share	\$ (0.13)	\$ (1.02)	\$ (0.22)	\$ (0.81)

**NOTE 5 INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

Intangible assets consist of the following:

	June 30, 2002			
	Gross Intangible Assets	Accumulated Amortization	Net Intangible Assets	Weighted Average Life (In years)
Goodwill and trade name	\$ 120,124	\$ 17,878	\$ 102,246	
Product technology	29,060	13,105	15,955	9
Other	33,332	8,629	24,703	12
Total	\$ 182,516	\$ 39,612	\$ 142,904	
	December 31, 2001			
	Gross Intangible Assets	Accumulated Amortization	Net Intangible Assets	Weighted Average Life (In years)
Goodwill and trade name	\$ 106,722	\$ 16,363	\$ 90,359	
Product technology	27,252	10,809	16,443	9
Other	29,576	6,391	23,185	12
Total	\$ 163,550	\$ 33,563	\$ 129,987	

The Company adopted SFAS 142 effective January 1, 2002 and accordingly ceased amortization of goodwill and the Company's trade name, which is deemed to have an indefinite life. Under SFAS 142, the Company is no longer required to amortize goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives. However, these balances are subject to periodic testing for impairment. The Company performed an impairment test of goodwill as of January 1, 2002 and determined that no write-down was necessary. SFAS 142 supersedes APB Opinion No. 17, "Intangible Assets".

Below is a reconciliation of net loss and loss per share as reported for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2001 to net loss and loss per share adjusted for the amortization expense related to goodwill and the Company's trade name which ceased due to the adoption of SFAS 142 effective January 1, 2002.

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2002</b>	<b>2001</b>
Reported net loss	\$ (10,311)	\$ (80,362)
Add: Goodwill and Company trade name amortization, net of tax		1,559
Adjusted net loss	\$ (10,311)	\$ (78,803)
Reported diluted loss per share	\$ (0.13)	\$ (1.02)
Add: Goodwill and Company trade name amortization, net of tax		0.02
Adjusted diluted loss per share	\$ (0.13)	\$ (1.00)
	<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2002</b>	<b>2001</b>
Reported net loss	\$ (17,558)	\$ (63,973)
Add: Goodwill and Company trade name amortization, net of tax		3,139
Adjusted net loss	\$ (17,558)	\$ (60,834)
Reported diluted loss per share	\$ (0.22)	\$ (0.81)
Add: Goodwill and Company trade name amortization, net of tax		0.04
Adjusted diluted loss per share	\$ (0.22)	\$ (0.77)

Estimated future amortization expense is as follows:

<b>Year Ending December 31,</b>	<b>Amortization Expense</b>
2002 (six months)	\$ 3,102
2003	6,108
2004	6,062
2005	5,479
2006	4,582
Total	\$ 25,333

## Edgar Filing: POWER ONE INC - Form 10-Q

During the three-months ended June 30, 2002, the Company paid \$70 thousand in cash to the former shareholder of a Powec subsidiary as earnout consideration for operational performance for the year ended December 31, 2001, pursuant to the terms of the acquisition agreement. This additional consideration was recorded as an addition to goodwill related to this acquisition. The maximum potential earnout consideration pursuant to the terms of the acquisition agreement for the years ending December 31, 2002 and 2003 is \$3.1 million in total. Because the amount of each year's earnout is not reasonably determinable and is yet unearned, no accrual for earnout has been recorded for future periods. There are no other potential earnout agreements outstanding.

Other than the earnout payment described above, there were no other significant additions to or deletions from intangible assets during the three- or six-month periods ended June 30, 2002. Other changes in intangible asset balances from period to period relate primarily to foreign currency translation of the intangible asset balances from the Company's subsidiaries' functional currency into U.S. dollars.

### **NOTE 6 LONG TERM DEBT AND CREDIT FACILITY**

The Company has a long-term property loan with a bank in Norway totaling approximately \$9.3 million. The loan was for the purchase of Powec's primary office and manufacturing facility in Norway and is collateralized by the building. The loan bears interest based on the bank's rate, 8.8% at June 30, 2002, and is payable in semiannual installments until its maturity date in July 2019. As of June 30, 2002, the current and long-term amounts outstanding under this loan were \$530 thousand and \$8.7 million, respectively.

In addition, the Company maintains credit facilities with various banks in Europe, Asia and Australia. These credit facilities were acquired as a result of acquisitions in 1998 and 2000. The aggregate limit on all credit facilities is approximately \$9.7 million. The credit facilities bear interest on amounts outstanding at various intervals based on published market rates. Some credit agreements require the Company's subsidiaries to maintain certain financial covenants and to provide certain financial reports to the lenders. At June 30, 2002, the total outstanding balance on all credit facilities was \$1.3 million at a weighted-average interest rate of 7.2%. This amount includes \$975 thousand outstanding on the Company's Norwegian credit facility and \$335 thousand outstanding on the Company's Swiss credit facility which is recorded in the current portion of long-term debt.

### **NOTE 7 CONTINGENCIES**

The Company is involved in certain claims and legal proceedings, including one patent dispute, that arise in the normal course of business. Management does not believe that the outcome of any of the claims or legal proceedings in which the Company is currently involved will have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

VLT Corporation and Vicor Corporation v. Power-One, Inc., United States District Court, District of Massachusetts, Civil Action No. 01-10207-PBS. The Complaint, filed on February 5, 2001, alleges infringement of Vicor's U.S. patent number Re. 36,098 by certain products of Power-One. The Complaint seeks certain injunctive relief and compensatory damages. Power-One has filed its Answer and Counterclaim in response to the Complaint. Power-One has denied all infringement and all claims

15

---

by Vicor for entitlement to damages or other relief. The Company continues to vigorously defend the matter, to deny all infringement, and to aggressively refute Vicor's projections of, and claims for, potential recoverable damages. Further proceedings are pending, with hearings on major motions regarding patent validity and non-infringement by products of Power-One now scheduled for September 2002.

As part of its defense of the current patent dispute, the Company has asked for indemnification and reimbursement from escrow accounts which were created as part of the acquisitions of Melcher AG and International Power Devices, Inc. Management believes that a substantial portion of the costs of defense, and/or ultimate award (if any) the Company may pay in this patent case will be reimbursed from amounts held in the respective escrow accounts.

### **NOTE 8 RESTRUCTURING COSTS**

During 2001, the Company announced a restructuring program in response to macroeconomic and capital spending issues affecting the communications industry. The Company recorded pre-tax restructuring charges totaling \$25.1 million in accordance with EITF 94-3 during the second, third and fourth quarters of 2001, and recorded an additional restructuring charge of approximately \$182 thousand during the first quarter of 2002. The Company did not record any restructuring charges during the second quarter of 2002. The Company expects to pay most restructuring liabilities during 2002, with the exception of those related to facilities closures. The liabilities related to facilities closures contain continuing lease obligations, the longest of which extends to 2005.

## Edgar Filing: POWER ONE INC - Form 10-Q

A summary of the restructuring activity is as follows:

	Restructuring Liabilities at March 31, 2002	Current Period Restructuring Charges	Applications of Reserve	Restructuring Liabilities at June 30, 2002
Worldwide workforce reduction	\$ 1,322	\$	\$ 63	\$ 1,259
Facilities closure	3,489		1,123	2,366
Obsolete equipment write-off	854		834	20
Contract termination costs	184			184
Other shutdown costs				
<b>Total Restructuring Costs</b>	<b>\$ 5,849</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 2,020</b>	<b>\$ 3,829</b>

16

### Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

#### General

We are a leading designer and manufacturer of more than 2,500 high-quality brand name power supplies. We sell our products to original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs"), distributors and service providers who value quality, reliability, technology and service. We have more than 10,000 customers in the communications, industrial, transportation, automatic/semiconductor test equipment, medical equipment and other electronic equipment industries.

As part of our restructuring program, certain structural changes were made to our organization during 2001 and 2002. We now have two primary divisions: Compact Advanced Power Solutions ("CAPS") and Energy Solutions ("ES"). CAPS consists of the original Power-One AC/DC business and the high-reliability board-mounted DC/DC businesses obtained through our acquisitions of IPD in January 1999 and of Melcher in August 1998. ES represents the combination of our HC Power and Powec acquisitions.

Our CAPS division is a worldwide organization primarily engaged in the design, manufacture and sale of AC/DC and DC/DC power conversion supplies for multiple industries, although our products are generally geared toward the communications infrastructure market. Our board-mounted DC/DC products provide precise levels of DC power to sensitive electronic equipment such as routers and other communications gear. Our ES division is a global organization primarily engaged in the design, manufacture and sale of DC power systems to telecommunications and Internet service providers worldwide. All products are sold under the Power-One brand name by our sales force. These products are manufactured in common facilities with common engineers and manufacturing staff.

#### Critical Accounting Policies

Application of our accounting policies requires management to make judgments and estimates about the amounts reflected in the financial statements. Management uses historical experience and all available information to make these estimates and judgments, although differing amounts could be reported if there are changes in the assumptions and estimates. Estimates are used for, but not limited to, the accounting for the allowance for doubtful accounts, inventory allowances, restructuring costs, impairment costs, depreciation and amortization, sales discounts and returns, warranty costs, taxes and contingencies. Management has identified the following accounting policies as critical to an understanding of our financial statements and/or as areas most dependent on management's judgement and estimates.

**Revenue Recognition** We generally recognize revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the price is fixed or readily determinable, and collectibility is probable. Sales are recorded net of sales returns and discounts. We recognize revenue in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements."

**Impairment of Long-Lived Assets** We continually review the recoverability of the carrying value of long-lived assets using the methodology prescribed in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 144, "Accounting for the Impairment and Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." We also review long-lived assets and the related intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. Upon such an occurrence, recoverability of these assets is determined by comparing the forecasted undiscounted net cash flows to which the assets relate, to the carrying amount. If the asset is determined to be unable to recover its carrying value, then intangible assets, if any, are written down first, followed by the other long-lived assets to fair

value. Fair value is determined based on discounted cash flows, appraised values or management's estimates, depending on the nature of the assets.

**Restructuring Costs** We record restructuring charges in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue 94-3, "Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring)." Restructuring costs relate to the downsizing of our operations and primarily consist of specific charges that we have incurred and will incur with no future economic benefit. These charges include costs related to personnel severance, continuing lease obligations for vacant facilities and write-off of leasehold improvements and equipment therein, certain contract termination penalties and other shutdown costs.

**Deferred Tax Asset Valuation Allowance** We record a deferred tax asset in jurisdictions where we generate a loss for income tax purposes. Due to volatility in the industry within which we operate, we may record a valuation allowance against these deferred tax assets in accordance with SFAS 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," when, in management's judgment, the deferred tax assets may not be realized in the foreseeable future.

**Inventories** Our inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method, or standard costing method) or market. Slow moving and obsolete inventory are written off quarterly. To calculate the write-off amount, we compare the current on-hand quantities with both the projected usages for a two-year period and the actual usage over the past 12 months. On-hand quantities greater than projected usage are calculated at the standard unit cost. The engineering and purchasing departments review the initial list of slow-moving and obsolete items to identify items that have alternative uses in new or existing products. These items are then excluded from the analysis. The remaining amount of slow-moving and obsolete inventory is then written off. Additionally, non-cancelable open purchase orders for parts we are obligated to purchase where demand has been reduced may be reserved. Reserves for open purchase orders where the market price is lower than the purchase order price are also established.

**Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts** The Allowance for Doubtful Accounts is established by analyzing each account that has a balance over 90 days past due. Each account is individually assigned a probability of collection. The total amount determined to be uncollectible in the 90-days-past-due category is then reserved fully. The percentage of this reserve to the 90-days-past-due total is then established as a guideline and applied to the rest of the non-current accounts receivable balance. When other circumstances suggest that a receivable may not be collectible, it is immediately reserved for, even if the receivable is not yet in the 90-days-past-due category.

**Recent Pronouncements and Accounting Changes** In July 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS 141, "Business Combinations," and SFAS 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." They also issued SFAS 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" in October 2001.

SFAS 141 requires all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 to be accounted for under the purchase method. SFAS 141 supersedes APB Opinion No. 16, "Business Combinations," and SFAS 38, "Accounting for Preacquisition Contingencies of Purchased Enterprises," and is effective for all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001. We have not completed any business combinations that were initiated subsequent to June 30, 2001. Business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001, if any, will be accounted for under the purchase method in accordance with SFAS 141.

SFAS 142 addresses the financial accounting and reporting for acquired goodwill and other intangible assets. Under the new rules, we are no longer required to amortize goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives; rather, these intangible assets are subject to periodic testing for impairment. SFAS 142 supersedes APB Opinion No. 17, "Intangible Assets". We adopted SFAS 142 effective January 1, 2002 and have ceased amortization of goodwill and other intangible assets deemed to have an indefinite life. In accordance with SFAS 142, we also performed an impairment test of goodwill as of January 1, 2002 and determined that no goodwill write-down was necessary.

SFAS 144 establishes a single accounting model for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets, including discontinued operations. SFAS 144 superseded SFAS 121, and APB Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions." The provisions of SFAS 144 are effective in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001 and in general are to be applied prospectively. We adopted SFAS 144 effective January 1, 2002, which did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations and financial position.

## Edgar Filing: POWER ONE INC - Form 10-Q

In June 2002, the FASB issued SFAS 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities," which nullifies EITF Issue No. 94-3. SFAS 146 is effective for exit and disposal activities that are initiated after December 31, 2002 and requires that a liability for a cost associated with an exit or disposal activity be recognized when the liability is incurred, in contrast to the date of an entity's commitment to an exit plan, as required by EITF Issue 94-3. The Company will adopt the provisions of SFAS 146 effective January 1, 2003.

### Results of Operations

**General.** The discussion of our results below includes the effects of the Power-One 2001 Deferred Compensation Plan filed on Form S-8, October 5, 2001 ("the Plan"). The Plan period began in October 2001 and continues through January 2003. As such, there was no expense associated with the Plan in the first six months of 2001. The Plan was established as a means of motivating and retaining our key talent during the current industry downturn. Under the terms of the Plan, employees may voluntarily elect to defer up to 50% of their base salary in exchange for our common stock at a predetermined price. We will match these shares at 100% at the end of the Plan period. Below is a summary of compensation expense recognized in our financial results associated with the Company match portion of the Plan; this portion of the compensation expense is not expected to recur beyond January 2003. Amounts are pre-tax and in thousands:

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2002</b>	<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2002</b>
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Cost of goods sold	\$ 211	\$ 419
Selling	214	437
Administrative	771	1,525
Engineering and quality assurance	586	1,185
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
	<b>\$ 1,782</b>	<b>\$ 3,566</b>
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

**Net Sales.** Net sales decreased \$158.2 million, or 60.2%, to \$104.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from \$262.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001. The decrease in net sales was attributable to weakness across all product lines, particularly those related to the communications sector. Fiscal year 2001 was characterized by an unprecedented downturn in the communications infrastructure marketplace, which has continued through 2002. However, sales during the first quarter of 2001, which at that time continued to benefit from our strong order backlog, were high relative to the rest of 2001 due to the relatively stronger demand for bricks and telecom systems during the first three months of 2001.

Net sales to OEMs in the six months ended June 30, 2002 were \$74.1 million, or 70.8% of net sales, compared to \$175.7 million, or 66.9% of net sales, for the same period in 2001. Cisco Systems was the only customer to exceed 10% of net sales in the first six months of 2002, with \$17.5 million, or 16.7% of net sales. Net sales through distributors were \$18.2 million, or 17.4% of net sales, in the first six months of 2002, compared with \$57.7 million, or 22.0% of net sales, in the same period in 2001.

19

Net sales to service providers in the first six months of 2002 were \$12.3 million, or 11.8% of net sales, compared with \$29.3 million, or 11.1% of net sales, for the same period in 2001.

Net sales decreased \$36.7 million, or 39.5%, to \$56.2 million for the second quarter ended June 30, 2002 from \$92.9 million for the second quarter ended June 30, 2001. The decrease in net sales was attributable to weakness across all product lines, particularly those related to the communications sector. The decrease was primarily due to lower sales of high-density board-mounted DC/DC power converters, telecom power systems, and embedded AC/DC power supplies. This decrease was caused by a downturn in the telecommunications equipment industry.

Net sales to OEMs in the second quarter ended June 30, 2002 were \$37.9 million, or 67.5% of net sales, compared to \$60.2 million, or 64.8% of net sales, for the same quarter in 2001. Cisco Systems was the only customer to exceed 10% of net sales in the second quarter of 2002, with \$9.8 million, or 17.4% of net sales. Net sales through distributors were \$10.5 million, or 18.7% of net sales, in the second quarter of 2002, compared with \$21.6 million, or 23.2% of net sales, in the same period in 2001. Net sales to service providers in the second quarter of 2002 were \$7.7 million, or 13.8% of net sales, compared with \$11.2 million, or 12% of net sales, for the same period in 2001.

Net sales by markets were as follows:



Edgar Filing: POWER ONE INC - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2002	2001	2002	2001
Communications	66.7%	69.3%	64.9%	76.1%
Industrial	16.0%	11.9%	16.5%	9.4%
Transportation	5.3%	4.8%	5.7%	3.1%
Medical	3.4%	3.2%	4.0%	2.3%
ATE/Semiconductor test equipment	3.1%	4.2%	3.2%	3.5%
Computer and Retail	1.8%	2.8%	2.0%	2.4%
Other	3.7%	3.8%	3.7%	3.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

The Company's combined 180-day backlog at June 30, 2002 was \$49.1 million, an increase of \$8.8 million, or 22.0%, compared to backlog of \$40.3 million at December 31, 2001, and an increase of \$4.9 million and 11.1% from \$44.2 million at March 31, 2002. The increase in backlog was primarily due to stronger demand for our board-mounted DC/DC converters, AC/DC embedded products, and telecom power systems sold to European and Asian customers. Net bookings for the second quarter of 2002 were \$58.1 million, an improvement of \$10.4 million, or 21.8% compared with net bookings of \$47.7 million during the first quarter of 2002. Our book-to-bill ratio was 1.03 for the second quarter of 2002, as compared to 0.99 during the first quarter of 2002.

**Gross Profit (Loss).** Gross profit increased \$24.6 million to \$28.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from gross profit of \$3.7 million for the same period in 2001. As a percentage of net sales, gross profit increased to 27.0% for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from 1.4% for the same period in 2001. Gross profit for the six month period ended June 30, 2001 included an inventory write-down of \$85.1 million. Excluding this write-down, gross profit for the six months ended June 30, 2001 would have been \$88.9 million or 33.8% of net sales. Excluding the write-down, the decrease in gross profit and gross profit percentage is due to the decrease in overhead absorption as a result of the rapid sales decline that occurred between 2001 and 2002. During the first six months of 2002, we sold approximately \$1.3 million of raw materials with an associated cost of approximately \$2.2 million, which negatively impacted our gross margin by 1.3%.

20

Gross profit increased \$74.6 million to \$15.7 million for the second quarter ended June 30, 2002 from a loss of \$58.9 million for the same period in 2001. As a percentage of net sales, gross profit increased to 27.9% for the second quarter of 2002 from a loss of 63.4% for the same period in 2001. The increase in gross profit and gross profit percentage is due to the inventory charge described above. Excluding the inventory write-down described above, the gross profit margin would have been 28.2% for the three months ended June 30, 2001. During the second quarter ended June 30, 2002, we sold approximately \$368 thousand of raw materials with an associated cost of approximately \$602 thousand, which negatively impacted our gross margin by less than 0.6%.

**Selling, General and Administrative Expense.** Selling, general and administrative expense decreased \$12.5 million, or 29.9%, to \$29.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from \$41.7 million for the same period in 2001. As a percentage of net sales, selling, general and administrative expense increased to 27.9% for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from 15.9% for the same period in 2001.

Selling expense decreased \$7.6 million, or 37.7%, to \$12.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from \$20.1 million for the same period in 2001. This decrease is primarily due to the rapid decline in sales that occurred from 2001 to 2002, which consequently resulted in lower sales commission expense.

Administrative expense decreased \$4.9 million, or 22.7%, to \$16.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from \$21.6 million for the same period in 2001. This was primarily due to the reduction in headcount that occurred during the latter half of 2001 and early 2002, as well as other cost reductions that were then implemented.

Selling, general and administrative expense decreased \$5.3 million, or 25.8%, to \$15.3 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2002 from \$20.7 million for the same period in 2001. As a percentage of net sales, selling, general and administrative expense increased to 27.3% for the second quarter of 2002 from 22.2% for the same period in 2001.

## Edgar Filing: POWER ONE INC - Form 10-Q

Selling expense decreased \$3.3 million, or 33.8%, to \$6.4 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2002 from \$9.7 million for the same period in 2001. This decrease is primarily due to the rapid decline in sales that occurred from 2001 to 2002, which consequently resulted in lower sales commission expense.

Administrative expense decreased \$2.1 million, or 18.8%, to \$8.9 million for the second quarter ended June 30, 2002 from \$11.0 million for the same period in 2001. This was primarily due to the reduction in headcount that occurred during the latter half of 2001 and early 2002, as well as other cost reductions that were then implemented.

**Engineering and Quality Assurance Expense.** Engineering and quality assurance expense decreased \$3.4 million, or 16.2% to \$17.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from \$21.0 million for same period in 2001. As a percentage of net sales, engineering and quality assurance expense increased to 16.8% for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from 8.0% for the same period in 2001. The decrease in engineering and quality assurance expenses is primarily due to the closure of an R&D facility and associated headcount and related cost reductions that were implemented in the latter half of 2001.

Engineering and quality assurance expense decreased \$1.3 million, or 12.1% to \$9.3 million for the second quarter ended June 30, 2002 from \$10.6 million for same period in 2001. As a percentage of net sales, engineering and quality assurance expense increased to 16.6% for the second quarter of 2002 from 11.4% for the same period in 2001. The decrease in engineering and quality assurance expenses is primarily due to the closure of an R&D facility and associated headcount and related cost reductions that were implemented in the latter half of 2001.

**Amortization of Intangible Assets.** Amortization of intangible assets decreased \$4.3 million, or 58.1%, to \$3.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from \$7.4 million for the same period in

21

---

2001. Amortization of intangible assets decreased \$2.1 million, or 56.6%, to \$1.6 million for the second quarter ended June 30, 2002 from \$3.7 million for the same period in 2001. The decreases in amortization expense are related to two factors: the write-off of certain intangible assets during the latter half of 2001, and the adoption of SFAS 142 which became effective on January 1, 2002.

During the second half of 2001 we wrote off approximately \$24.2 million of goodwill and other intangible assets consisting of the following: \$11.0 million of goodwill associated with the discontinued product line acquired from Eldec; \$7.5 million for the Melcher trade name, acquired in 1998 and phased out during 2001; \$4.8 million for a technology license that was deemed impaired; and the balance for miscellaneous intangibles acquired in past business combinations. The quarterly amortization expense associated with these intangible assets prior to the write-off was \$0.6 million.

Effective January 1, 2002, we adopted SFAS 142. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS 142, we have ceased amortizing goodwill and other intangibles that have an indefinite life. We also performed an impairment test of goodwill and determined that no impairment charge was necessary. At June 30, 2002, approximately \$120.1 million of gross or \$102.2 million of net goodwill and other intangibles are no longer being amortized. The pretax quarterly amortization expense associated with these intangible assets prior to the adoption of SFAS 142 was \$1.8 million.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2002 the Company paid \$70 thousand in cash to the former shareholder of an acquired Powec subsidiary as earnout consideration for operational performance for the year ended December 31, 2001, pursuant to the terms of the acquisition agreement. This additional consideration was recorded as an addition to goodwill related to the acquisition. There were no other significant additions to or deletions from intangible assets during the three or six month periods ended June 30, 2002. Other changes in intangible asset balances from period to period relate primarily to foreign currency translation of the intangible asset balances from the Company's subsidiaries' functional currency into U.S. dollars.

**Restructuring Charge.** In connection with our continuing efforts to reduce costs during this industry downturn, we recorded a restructuring charge of \$182 thousand during the six months ended June 30, 2002, which was recorded during the first quarter. This charge primarily related to severance benefits for 42 positions that were identified for elimination during the first quarter of 2002, offset by revisions to our reserve for costs to close certain facilities. There were no restructuring charges recorded during the second quarter of 2002.

During the second quarter of 2001, the Company launched an aggressive company-wide cost reduction program in an effort to reduce operating expenses while maintaining our focus on strategic objectives and long-term growth. In connection with this, during the second quarter of 2001 the Company recorded a \$14.1 million pre-tax restructuring charge comprised of severance pay and related benefits for terminated employees, costs to close and vacate facilities, write-downs of fixed assets and other exit costs.

## Edgar Filing: POWER ONE INC - Form 10-Q

**Asset Impairment.** The Company did not record any asset impairment charges in the three- and six- month period ended June 30, 2002. In the second quarter of 2001, the Company performed an impairment review to determine whether any of the Company's assets were impaired based on market conditions. The company identified certain manufacturing equipment for impairment testing, primarily located at the Company's manufacturing sites in the Dominican Republic and Mexico. This equipment was removed from production and written down to fair value. The fair value of these assets was calculated based on current fair market value quotes obtained from third party sources. This analysis resulted in a pre-tax impairment charge of \$11.1 million in the second quarter of 2001.

**Loss from Operations.** As a result of the items above, loss from operations decreased \$69.7 million to a loss of \$21.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from an operating loss of \$91.6 million for the same period in 2001. During the six months ended June 30, 2002, we recorded

22

---

approximately \$3.6 million in stock compensation expense associated with the Company match portion of our deferred compensation plan, which is not expected to recur beyond January 2003. Unusual items in 2001 included an inventory write-down of \$85.1 million, restructuring charges of \$14.1 million, and asset impairment charges of \$11.1 million, which were recorded in the second quarter ended June 30, 2001. Excluding these unusual items, income (loss) from operations decreased \$36.8 million to a loss of \$18.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from operating income of \$18.7 million for the same period in 2001.

Loss from operations decreased \$108.4 million to a loss of \$10.6 million for the second quarter ended June 30, 2002 from an operating loss of \$119.0 million for the same period in 2001. The decrease in operating loss is mainly due to the effect of the unusual items discussed above recorded in the second quarter of 2001. During the second quarter ended June 30, 2002, we recorded approximately \$1.8 million in stock compensation expense associated with the Company match portion of our deferred compensation plan, which is not expected to recur beyond January 2003. Excluding unusual items, loss from operations increased \$100 thousand to a loss of \$8.8 million for the second quarter ended June 30, 2002 from an operating loss of \$8.7 million for the same period in 2001.

**Interest Income (Expense), Net.** Net interest income decreased \$797 thousand to \$584 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2002 from net interest income of \$1.4 million for the same period in 2001. The decrease in net interest income is primarily attributable to an overall reduction in the rate of return earned on our cash and cash equivalents balances. Partially offsetting this decrease was the elimination of interest expense related to our credit facility, which was closed during the fourth quarter of 2001, as well as an increase in our cash balance in the first six months of 2002.

Net interest income decreased \$83 thousand to \$301 thousand for the quarter ended June 30, 2002 from net interest income of \$384 thousand for the same period in 2001.

**Other Income (Expense), Net.** Net other income increased \$278 thousand to \$202 thousand for the first six months ended June 30, 2002 from net other expense of \$76 thousand for the same period in 2001. Net other income increased \$2 thousand to \$414 thousand for the quarter ended June 30, 2002 from \$412 thousand for the same period in 2001. These increases are primarily due to foreign currency gains as a result of the strengthening of currencies at our international locations, primarily the Norwegian Kroner and Swiss Franc.

**Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes.** The benefit for income taxes was \$3.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 compared to the benefit for income taxes of \$26.3 million for the same period in 2001. The effective income tax rate was a benefit of 16.6% for the first six months of 2002 compared with a benefit of 29.1% for the same period in 2001. The difference in the effective income tax rate is due to the deferred tax asset valuation allowance that we recorded during the six month period ending June 30, 2002. No deferred tax asset valuation allowance was recorded during the six months ended June 30, 2001.

The provision for income taxes was \$476 thousand for the quarter ended June 30, 2002 compared to the benefit for income taxes of \$37.8 million for the same period in 2001. The effective income tax rate was an expense of 4.8% for the second quarter of 2002 compared with a benefit of 32.0% for the same period in 2001. The difference in the effective income tax rate is due to the deferred tax asset valuation allowance that we recorded during the second quarter ended June 30, 2002. No deferred tax asset valuation allowance was recorded during the second quarter ended June 30, 2001.

During the first half of 2001, we recorded income tax benefits and corresponding deferred tax assets in jurisdictions where we incurred an operating loss. The operating loss recorded during the first six months of 2001 is primarily related to charges for an inventory write-down, restructuring, and asset impairment charges. In the second half of 2001, we recorded a deferred tax asset valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets in accordance with SFAS 109. We also continued to book a deferred tax

---

asset valuation allowance against deferred tax assets subsequently generated in 2002. As a result, tax expense recorded during the six month period and quarter ending June 30, 2001 reflects tax benefits not affected by a deferred tax asset valuation allowance in that period, while tax expense recorded in 2002 reflects the additional income tax expense associated with the deferred tax valuation allowance, which was recorded in accordance with SFAS 109. We recorded a \$7.5 million and \$3.4 million deferred tax asset valuation allowance against deferred tax assets generated during the six months and second quarter ended June 30, 2002, respectively. While we continue to record a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets, we believe that we will be able to utilize these deferred tax assets once the Company returns to profitability.

The income tax provision (benefit) during the first six months of 2002 was favorably impacted by a change in the tax law that allowed us to claim an income tax refund of \$4.7 million, which was recorded as a credit to income tax expense.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Our cash and cash equivalents balance increased \$22.2 million, or 27.9%, to \$101.9 million at June 30, 2002 from \$79.7 million at December 31, 2001. Our primary source of cash in the first six months of 2002 was cash provided by operating activities of \$21.1 million. Our primary uses of cash in the first six months of 2002 consisted of \$3.2 million for the repayment of borrowings and bank overdrafts and \$2.3 million for the acquisition of property and equipment.

Cash provided by operating activities of \$21.1 million included the net receipt of \$10.3 million in income tax refunds. Cash provided by operating activities also included decreases in inventories and accounts receivable, net, of \$9.9 million and \$5.2 million, respectively, and increases in accounts payable of \$2.3 million. In addition, cash provided by operating activities also included approximately \$5.6 million of stock compensation related to our employee salary deferral plan.

The \$2.3 million to acquire property and equipment was offset by \$600 thousand received for reimbursement of leasehold improvements made at one of our facilities.

We have a long-term property loan with a bank in Norway totaling approximately \$9.3 million. The loan was for the purchase of Powec's primary office and manufacturing facility in Norway and is collateralized by the building. The loan bears an interest rate based on the bank's rate, 8.8% at June 30, 2002, and is payable in semiannual installments until its maturity in July 2019. At June 30, 2002, the current and long-term amounts outstanding under this loan were \$530 thousand and \$8.7 million, respectively.

In addition, the Company maintains credit facilities with various banks in Europe, Asia and Australia. These credit facilities were acquired primarily as a result of acquisitions in 1998 and 2000. The aggregate limit on all credit facilities is approximately \$9.7 million. The credit facilities bear interest on amounts outstanding at various intervals based on published market rates. Some credit agreements require the Company's subsidiaries to maintain certain financial covenants and to provide certain financial reports to the lenders. At June 30, 2002, the total outstanding balance on all credit facilities was \$1.3 million at a weighted-average interest rate of 7.2%. This amount includes \$975 thousand outstanding on the Company's Norwegian credit facility and \$335 thousand outstanding on the Company's Swiss credit facility which is recorded in the current portion of long-term debt.

We currently anticipate that our total capital expenditures for 2002 will be in the range of \$10 to \$12 million, of which approximately \$2.3 million was incurred during the first six months of 2002 primarily for information technology infrastructure improvements. Other future capital expenditures relate primarily to manufacturing equipment and process improvements, equipment related to research and development and product development, additions and upgrades to our facilities and information technology infrastructure, and other administrative requirements. The amount of these anticipated

---

capital expenditures will frequently change during the year based on changes in expected revenues, our financial condition and the general economic climate.

Based on current plans and business conditions, we believe our existing working capital and borrowing capacity, coupled with the funds generated from our operations, will be sufficient to fund our anticipated working capital, capital expenditures and debt payment requirements for the next twelve months. However, if we make a large acquisition, it may be necessary to raise debt or equity in the private or public securities

markets.

**Recent Events.** The U.S. economy entered into a period of contraction during 2001, and the communications infrastructure industry in particular has been experiencing a rapid and severe downturn. It is not certain how long and to what extent the economy or various sectors will experience negative growth. During 2001, many of our customers, including our largest customers, cancelled or rescheduled significant orders, which has caused a substantial decline in our backlog and bookings. While we have seen incremental improvements in our business fundamentals, bookings and backlog during the first six months of 2002, there can be no assurance that this will continue through the balance of the year.

Management has initiated various cost containment measures to mitigate the negative effect of these trends, which resulted in asset impairment, inventory, and restructuring charges taken during 2001, and to a lesser extent, restructuring charges during the first quarter of 2002. We will continue to work to identify cost reduction opportunities relative to current market conditions, and may record additional restructuring charges during the balance of 2002. We expect to realize ongoing cost savings primarily through the closures and consolidation of certain manufacturing, research and development, and administrative facilities as well as through the reductions in headcount that were initiated in 2001 and, to a lesser extent, in 2002. While management anticipates that the bulk of the cost savings will be realized beginning in 2002, there can be no assurance that these measures will be sufficient to fully offset or mitigate negative market and business trends.

On January 22, 2002, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission issued an interpretive release on disclosures related to liquidity and capital resources, off balance sheet arrangements, and transactions with related parties. Recently, much public attention has also been focused on the use of special purpose entities and significant accounting policies. We do not utilize special purpose entities or have any known financial relationships with other companies' special purpose entities. We have identified and disclosed all significant off balance sheet arrangements and related party transactions in the following paragraphs.

**Operating Leases.** We enter into operating leases where the economic climate is favorable. The liquidity impact of operating leases is not material.

**Purchase Commitments.** We have purchase commitments for materials, supplies, services, and property, plant and equipment as part of the normal course of business. In the aggregate, these commitments are not at prices in excess of current fair market value. Certain supply contracts may contain penalty provisions for early termination. Based on current expectations, we do not believe that we are reasonably likely to incur any material amount of penalties under these contracts.

**Other Contractual Obligations.** We do not have material financial guarantees or other contractual commitments that are reasonably likely to affect liquidity. However, we do have a contingent purchase price increase related to the acquisition of Powec. Under terms of the acquisition agreement, we may be obligated to make earnout payments to certain former shareholders of Powec and its subsidiaries related to future financial performance. The maximum additional amount that may be incurred in 2002 and 2003 under the earnout agreements is \$3.1 million. No earnout provisions extend beyond the year ended December 31, 2003.

25

---

**Related Parties.** We maintain minority ownership in a number of equity investments and a joint venture, which are recorded on the balance sheet. Our relationships with these entities can be classified into three basic categories: equity investments which resulted in Power-One obtaining certain technologies or other intellectual property via a licensing agreement without other significant subsequent transactions; pure equity investments made without significant subsequent transactions; and a joint venture with which Power-One has a manufacturing relationship, located in China. This joint venture may purchase raw components and other goods from Power-One, and may sell finished goods to Power-One as well as other third parties. Power-One records revenue on sales to the joint venture only when the joint venture purchases components and goods for sales to third parties. When the joint venture purchases components that will be assembled and sold back to Power-One, no revenue is recorded. Power-One also has significant and similar relationships with certain contract manufacturers. These contract manufacturers may purchase raw components from and sell finished goods back to Power-One. No revenue is recognized for these transactions. Revenue is recognized only when the final products are sold to third parties.

O'Melveny & Myers LLP ("OMM") provides general legal services to us. An OMM partner was elected to our Board of Directors during 2000. OMM's legal services are billed on an arms-length transaction basis, and are not material to our results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

Stephens, Inc. is a significant stockholder and provides financial advisory services to us. An officer of Stephens is also a director of the Company. Stephens' financial advisory services are billed on an arms-length transaction basis, and are not material to our results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

## Edgar Filing: POWER ONE INC - Form 10-Q

We do not have any other related party transactions that materially affect the results of operations, cash flow or financial condition.

*Interest Rate Impact on Liquidity.* Most of our borrowings are at variable market-based interest rates, and a significant fluctuation in interest rates could cause an increase in our interest expense. However, the current level of borrowings are not material to our consolidated financial position, and we believe that interest rate volatility would not have a material impact on our liquidity.

*Summary of Contractual Obligations and Commitments.* A summary of our future contractual payments related to debt and lease obligations is as follows (In thousands):

Year Ending December 31,	Total Debt	Capital Leases	Operating Leases	Total
2002 (six months)	\$ 1,575	\$ 74	\$ 3,290	\$ 4,939
2003	530	17	6,181	6,728
2004	530		5,366	5,896
2005	530		4,150	4,680
2006	530		2,949	3,479
2007 and thereafter	6,885		5,589	12,474
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 10,580</b>	<b>\$ 91</b>	<b>\$ 27,525</b>	<b>\$ 38,196</b>

### Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risks relating to our business result primarily from changes in foreign currency exchange and interest rates. These exposures result from our operations in Europe and Asia as well as financial debt instruments which arise from transactions entered into during the normal course of business. We may enter into derivative financial instrument transactions, such as forwards or swaps, in order to manage or reduce our exposure to foreign currency exchange and interest rate changes. Under no circumstances do we enter into derivative or other financial instrument transactions for speculative purposes.

26

*Foreign Currency.* A significant portion of our business operations is conducted in various countries in Europe and Asia. As a result, we have a certain degree of market risk with respect to our cash flows due to changes in foreign currency exchange rates when transactions are denominated in currencies other than our subsidiaries' functional currencies. Historically, we have not actively engaged in substantial exchange rate hedging activities, and as of June 30, 2002, we had not entered into any foreign exchange contracts.

*Debt.* We are exposed to cash flow risk due to changes in market interest rates related to our outstanding debt. For example, in Europe our long-term debt bears interest based on Norwegian Inter-bank Offering Rate, a rate similar to the London Inter-bank Offering Rate or LIBOR. Additionally, our credit facilities in various countries bear interest on borrowings outstanding based on published market interest rates. Our principal risk with respect to our long-term debt and credit facilities is to changes in these market rates.

The table below presents principal cash flows and related weighted-average interest rates for our long-term debt obligations at June 30, 2002 by expected maturity dates. The information is presented in U.S. dollar equivalents, our reporting currency, and parenthetically in Swiss Francs or Norwegian Kroner. Additionally, the U.S. dollar equivalent carrying value of Swiss Franc and Norwegian Kroner denominated debt is sensitive to foreign currency exchange rates. However, a 10% change in the U.S. dollar exchange rate against these currencies would not have a significant effect on our future earnings.

Expected Maturity Date							Fair Value
2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	Thereafter	Total	
(In thousands, except percentages)							

#### Credit Facilities:

Edgar Filing: POWER ONE INC - Form 10-Q

	Expected Maturity Date							
Variable Rate (NOK 7,332)	\$ 975							
Variable Interest Rate	8.4%							
<b>Long-term Debt:</b>								
Fixed Rate (CHF 500)	\$ 335							
Average Interest Rate	4.0%							
Variable Rate (NOK 69,731)	\$ 265	\$ 530	\$ 530	\$ 530	\$ 530	\$ 6,885	\$ 9,270	\$ 9,270
Average Interest Rate	8.8%	8.8%	8.8%	8.8%	8.8%	8.8%	8.8%	8.8%

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This Form 10-Q contains certain statements which constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The words "may," "will," "expect," "anticipate," "believe," "expect," "continue," and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking information involves important risks and uncertainties that could materially alter results in the future from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by, or on behalf of, the Company. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to the Company's ability to meet expected revenues and maintain its financial condition which could be affected by any of the following: general domestic and international economic conditions including interest rate and currency exchange rate fluctuations, communications infrastructure and electronics industries market conditions and growth rates, acquisitions, the cyclical nature of the Company's business, government and regulatory policies, technological developments and changes in the competitive environment in which the Company operates. Persons reading this Form 10-Q are cautioned that such forward-looking statements are only predictions and that actual events or results may differ materially. In evaluating such statements, readers should specifically consider the various factors which could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those indicated by such forward-looking statements. For a detailed description of such factors, see Risk Factors in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.

27

**PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 4 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

On May 14, 2002, the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Power-One, Inc. was held in Westlake Village, California.

An election of Class II directors was held with the following individuals being reelected to the Company's Board of Directors.

Dr. Hanspeter Brändli (69,459,147 votes for and 1,391,422 votes withheld)

Kendall R. Bishop (68,890,086 votes for and 1,960,483 votes withheld)

Other matters voted upon at the meeting, and the number of votes cast with respect to each matter were as follows:

To amend the 1996 Stock Incentive Plan relating to non-discretionary grants of stock options to non-employee directors of the Company (34,510,951 votes for, 35,893,001 votes against and 446,617 votes abstained).

To ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent public accountants for the 2002 fiscal year (68,503,968 votes for, 2,084,321 votes against and 262,280 votes abstained).

**Item 6 Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K**

(a)

**Exhibits**

None.

(b)

**Reports on Form 8-K**

None.

28

---

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: August 7, 2002

POWER-ONE, INC.

By:           /s/ STEVEN J. GOLDMAN

Steven J. Goldman  
*Chairman of the Board and  
Chief Executive Officer*

By:           /s/ EDDIE K. SCHNOPP

Eddie K. Schnopp  
*Sr. Vice President Finance, Treasurer and Chief  
Financial Officer*

29

---

QuickLinks

POWER-ONE, INC. INDEX

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1 Consolidated Financial Statements

POWER-ONE, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (In thousands, except per share data, unaudited)

POWER-ONE, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In thousands, except per share data)

POWER-ONE, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands, unaudited)

POWER-ONE, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (In thousands, Unaudited)

POWER-ONE, INC. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 4 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Item 6 Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

SIGNATURES