

SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES INTERNATIONAL INC
Form PREC14A
March 22, 2013

**SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION
(RULE 14A-101)
INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT**

**SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

Filed by the Registrant S

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant £

Check the appropriate box:

S Preliminary Proxy Statement

£ Definitive Proxy Statement

£ Definitive Additional Materials

£ Soliciting Material Pursuant to Rule 14a-11(c) or
Rule 14a-12

£ Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by
Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

S No fee required.

£ Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

£ Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.

7800 Woodley Avenue

Van Nuys, California 91406

NOTICE OF 2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

To Be Held May 17, 2013

To the Shareholders of

SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.:

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the “Annual Meeting”) of SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES INTERNATIONAL, INC. (the “Company”) will be held at the Airtel Plaza Hotel, 7277 Valjean Avenue, Van Nuys, California 91406 on Friday, May 17, 2013 at 10:00 A.M. Pacific Time for the following purposes:

- (1) To approve an amendment to the Articles of Incorporation to declassify the Board of Directors and provide for the annual election of the Board of Directors;
- (2) In the event that Proposal 1 is approved, to elect one director candidate, Sheldon I. Ausman, to the Board of Directors;
- (3) In the event that Proposal 1 is not approved, to elect three director candidates, Sheldon I. Ausman, Steven J. Borick, and Francisco S. Uranga, as Class II directors of the Board of Directors;
- (4) To approve the Amended and Restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan (the “Equity Incentive Plan”);
- (5) To approve the material terms of the performance goals under the Equity Incentive Plan;
- (6) To approve executive compensation on an advisory basis; and

- (7) To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any postponements or adjournments thereof.

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on March 18, 2013 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting.

Your vote is important. Whether or not you expect to attend the Annual Meeting in person, please vote as promptly as possible. This will ensure the presence of a quorum at the Annual Meeting. The Company will save the expense and extra work of additional solicitation if you promptly vote your shares via the Internet or by telephone, or by requesting and returning a signed and dated proxy card. Such action will not affect your right to vote in person should you choose to attend the Annual Meeting. Please review the instructions regarding your voting options described in the Notice of Internet Availability previously delivered to shareholders.

By Order of the Board of Directors

/s/ Robert A. Earnest

Robert A. Earnest
Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

Van Nuys, California

Dated: April 8, 2013

WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING, PLEASE VOTE YOUR SHARES AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE BY INTERNET OR TELEPHONE VOTING OR BY SIGNING AND RETURNING THE ENCLOSED PROXY AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE IN THE ENCLOSED POSTAGE PAID ENVELOPE.

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement
TABLE OF CONTENTS

2013 PROXY SUMMARY	i
PROXY STATEMENT	
VOTING SECURITIES AND PRINCIPAL HOLDERS	2
PROPOSAL 1 – AMENDMENT TO ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION TO PROVIDE FOR THE ANNUAL ELECTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS	3
PROPOSAL 2 - ELECTION OF ONE DIRECTOR	5
PROPOSAL 3 - ELECTION OF THREE DIRECTORS IF AMENDMENT TO ARTICLES FAILS TO PASS	6
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS	9
PROPOSAL 4 – APPROVAL OF THE AMENDED AND RESTATED 2008 EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN	13
PROPOSAL 5 – APPROVAL OF THE MATERIAL TERMS OF PERFORMANCE GOALS UNDER THE EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN	20
PROPOSAL 6 – ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	22
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	23
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT	31
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	32
AUDIT AND NON-AUDIT FEES	41
INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS	41
AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT	41
SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	42
SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR THE 2014 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS	42
ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS AND OTHER MATTERS	43

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement
2013 PROXY SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this proxy statement and is provided for your convenience. This summary does not contain all of the information that you should consider, and you should read the entire proxy statement carefully before voting.

Annual Meeting of Shareholders

- Time and Date: 10:00 a.m., May 17, 2013
- Place: Airtel Plaza Hotel
7277 Valjean Avenue
Van Nuys, CA 91406
- Record Date: March 18, 2013
- Voting: Shareholders as of the record date are entitled to vote. Each share of common stock is entitled to one vote for each director nominee and one vote for each of the proposals to be voted on.

Meeting Agenda

Voting Matters	Board Vote Recommendation	Page (for)
Amendment to Articles to Provide for Annual Elections of Directors	FOR	3
Election of One Director	FOR DIRECTOR NOMINEE	5
Election of Class II Directors (if applicable)	FOR EACH DIRECTOR NOMINEE	6
Approval of Amended 2008 Equity Incentive Plan	FOR	12
Approval of Material Terms of Performance Goals under the Equity Incentive Plan	FOR	20
Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	FOR	22

Vote to Amend the Articles of Incorporation to Declassify the Board and Provide for Annual Elections

We are asking our shareholders to approve the amendment and restatement of our Articles of Incorporation to permit the declassification of the Board of Directors and the annual election of directors. The annual election of directors increases the accountability of directors to shareholders and is also correlated with increased shareholder value. Additionally, under California law, if the Company maintains three classes of directors, the Board is required to have at least 9 members. The Board believes it can more efficiently discharge its duties if the size of the Board is reduced to 7 members from 9 members, which will also result in a savings to shareholders. As a consequence, the Board

recommends a FOR vote to declassify the Board and provide for annual elections because it believes that annual elections will increase the accountability of the Board to the shareholders and it will allow the Board to reduce its size to 7 members.

Board Nominees

The following table provides summary information about each director nominee. If the shareholders approve the proposed Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, then Mr. Sheldon I. Ausman is the sole director nominee to be elected at the 2013 Annual Meeting. In the event the shareholders do not approve the proposed Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, then all three director nominees below are nominated to serve as a Class II director for a three-year term ending at the 2016 Annual Meeting.

i

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

Name	Age	Director Since	Occupation	Experience/ Qualification	Independent	Committee Memberships		
						AC	NGC	CBC
Sheldon I. Ausman	79	1991	Principal, Gumbiner Savett, Inc., Certified Public Accountants and Business Advisors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Leadership · Finance · Industry · Expertise · Leadership 	X		X	
Steven J. Borick	60	1981	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Industry · Global · Expertise · Leadership 				
Francisco S. Uranga	49	2007	Corporate Vice President and Chief Business Operations Officer for Latin America, Foxconn Electronics, Inc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Global · Government · Expertise 	X			X

AC	Audit Committee
NGC	Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee
CBC	Compensation and Benefits Committee

You should know that GAMCO Asset Management, Inc. (“GAMCO”) has indicated that they may propose an alternative director nominee for election at the Annual Meeting in opposition to the nominees recommended by your Board of Directors. We strongly urge you to vote for the nominees proposed by your Board and not to return, and simply throw away, any proxy card sent to you by GAMCO.

Vote to Approve the Amended and Restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan

We are asking our shareholders to approve the Amended and Restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan to free up more shares from the remaining plan reserve to be granted in the form of full-value awards, such as restricted stock and

restricted stock units. When the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan was approved by the shareholders, it allowed only 100,000 shares to be granted in the form of full value awards. Beginning in 2010, the Compensation and Benefits Committee commenced granting restricted stock to certain executives and key employees. Over the course of three years, the Committee has granted all but a few thousand full-value awards available under the plan. As a result, the Board recommends a FOR vote this proposal because it believes that for Superior's compensation program to remain competitive, the Board needs the ability to grant full value awards to offer a long-term incentive that rewards sustained performance and that is aligned with long-term shareholder interests. The Amended and Restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan also contains certain "housekeeping" amendments that do not require shareholder approval. These are designed to modernize the plan in a number of ways that are in keeping with good corporate governance, as described in Proposal 4.

Vote to Approve the Material Terms of the Performance Goals under the Equity Incentive Plan

We are asking our shareholders to approve the material terms of the performance goals under the Equity Incentive Plan to enable Superior to continue to have the ability to grant awards under the plan that may qualify as performance-based compensation that is fully deductible for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. If this proposal is not approved, performance awards may still be granted under the Equity Incentive Plan, but certain awards to executive officers made after May 17, 2013 will not be eligible for the performance-based compensation exemption from Section 162(m).

Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

We are asking our shareholders to approve on an advisory basis our named executive officer compensation. The Board recommends a FOR vote because it believes that Superior's compensation program, with its balance of short-term incentives and long-term incentives, rewards sustained performance that is aligned with long-term shareholder interests.

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

2012 Executive Compensation Elements

Element	Characteristics	Terms
Base Salary	·Cash	Named Executives Officers (other than CEO) generally eligible for base salary adjustments when deemed necessary to meet market competition or when appropriate to recognize increased responsibilities
Performance-based short-term incentive compensation	·Cash bonus	Fixed percentage bonus amount based on quantitative company performance combined with discretionary bonus amount based on qualitative individual performance goals
Special Merit Bonus	·Cash bonus	One-time discretionary bonus for extraordinary effort and/or extraordinary results on corporate development projects
Long-term equity incentive compensation	·Stock Options	CEO options vest one fourth per year commencing 1 year after grant date
	·Restricted Stock Awards	NEOs (other than CEO) options vest one third per year commencing 1 year after grant date Restricted stock awards vest one third per year commencing 1 year after grant date
Retirement	·Salary Continuation Plan	Benefit equal to 30% of individual's final average base salary compensation during last 3 years of employment, payable after reaching specified vesting dates and after reaching the age of 65
	·401(k) Plan	Benefit frozen to new participants on February 3, 2011 100% match of the first 1% of before-tax contributions to the 401(k) plan and 50% of such contributions over 1% and up to 6%, but capped at legal limits
Other	·Perquisites	Car allowance, life insurance benefits, health and welfare benefit plans

2012 Compensation Decisions

Amended the Executive Change in Control Severance Plan on March 30, 2012 to accommodate three classes of participation, add a claims procedure and specify a form of Separation Agreement and General Release
Adopted 2012 Annual Incentive Performance Plan and CEO Annual Incentive Performance Plan (as approved by shareholders) to provide annual cash bonuses to Named Executive Officers and the CEO contingent on the attainment of targeted levels of EBITDA (earnings, before interest, tax, depreciation and amortization)

2014 Annual Meeting

Deadline for shareholder proposals: Shareholders who wish to present proposals for action at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders must give written notice to our corporate secretary by December 9, 2013 in order for such proposal to be considered for inclusion in our proxy statement and form of proxy relating to that meeting.

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement
SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.

7800 Woodley Avenue

Van Nuys, California 91406

PROXY STATEMENT

2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

To Be Held May 17, 2013

This Proxy Statement is furnished to the shareholders of Superior Industries International, Inc., a California corporation (referred to herein as “Superior,” the “Company” or in the first person notation “we,” “us” and “our”), in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Company’s Board of Directors for use at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the “Annual Meeting”) to be held at the Airtel Plaza Hotel, 7277 Valjean Avenue, Van Nuys, California 91406 on Friday, May 17, 2013 at 10:00 A.M. Pacific Time and at all postponements and adjournments thereof. The cost of this solicitation will be borne by Superior. The solicitation will be by mail, telephone, Internet, or oral communication with shareholders. We have also retained Mackenzie Partners, Inc. to assist us in the solicitation of proxies. The total amount estimated to be spent in connection with this proxy solicitation is \$15,000, plus expenses. The Company has requested that brokers, custodians, nominees and other record holders forward copies of the Notice of Internet Availability and other soliciting materials to persons for whom they hold shares of Superior common stock and request authority for the exercise of proxies. In such cases, the Company will reimburse such record holders for their reasonable expenses, provided the Company is invoiced through Broadridge Financial Systems, Inc. within two months of the Annual Meeting.

The matters to be considered and voted upon at the Annual Meeting are set forth in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders which accompanies this Proxy Statement.

If your shares are registered directly in your name, you are considered a stockholder of record and you may vote in person at the Annual Meeting, by telephone or internet voting or by signing and returning your proxy card. A proxy, if properly executed, duly returned and not revoked, will be voted in accordance with the instructions contained thereon. If a properly executed proxy is returned without instructions, the proxy will be voted FOR the approval of the amendment to the articles to declassify the Board of Directors and provide for annual elections, FOR the election as director(s) of the individual(s) named below, FOR the approval of the Amended and Restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, FOR the approval of the material terms of the performance goals under the Equity Incentive Plan and FOR the approval of the advisory vote on executive compensation, in each case as recommended by the Board of Directors. If your proxy is not returned or you do not vote at the Annual Meeting, your vote will not be counted. Any shareholder

Edgar Filing: SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES INTERNATIONAL INC - Form PREC14A

who executes and delivers a proxy has the right to revoke it at any time before it is exercised, by filing with the Corporate Secretary of Superior a written notice revoking it or a duly executed proxy bearing a later date, or, if the person executing the proxy is present at the meeting, by voting his or her shares in person. Furthermore, if you vote by Internet or telephone, you may revoke your proxy by voting again by Internet or telephone.

If your shares are registered through a bank, brokerage firm, or other nominee your shares are considered to be held beneficially in "street name." If your shares are held beneficially in "street name," you will receive instructions from such holder of record that you must follow for your shares to be voted. Please follow their instructions carefully. Also, please note that if the holder of record of your shares is a broker, bank or other nominee and you wish to vote in person at the Annual Meeting, you must request a legal proxy or broker's proxy from your bank, broker or other nominee that holds your shares and present that proxy and proof of identification at the Annual Meeting.

If your shares are held beneficially in street name and you do not instruct your bank brokerage firm, or nominee, your bank, brokerage firm, or nominee will not be able to vote your shares on any proposals at this Annual Meeting, and your shares will be considered "broker non-votes."

Please note that even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person, the Company recommends and requests that you vote before the Annual Meeting.

A copy of Superior's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed with the SEC, accompanies this Proxy Statement. Additional copies of the 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K will be furnished to any shareholder without charge on written request to Mr. Kerry A. Shiba, E.V.P. and Chief Financial Officer, Superior Industries International, Inc., 7800 Woodley Avenue, Van Nuys, California 91406.

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

The approximate date on which Superior anticipates first sending this Proxy Statement and form of proxy to its shareholders is April 8, 2012. The address of the principal executive offices of the Company is 7800 Woodley Avenue, Van Nuys, California 91406.

VOTING SECURITIES AND PRINCIPAL HOLDERS

There were issued and outstanding 27,317,363 shares of Superior's common stock, no par value (the "Common Stock"), on March 18, 2013, which has been set as the record date for the purpose of determining the shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. Each holder of Common Stock will be entitled to one vote, in person or by proxy, for each share of Common Stock standing in his or her name on the books of Superior as of the record date; votes may not be cumulated. To constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting, there must be present, in person or by proxy, a majority of the shares entitled to vote. Abstentions will be counted for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum for the transaction of business. However, broker non-votes will not be counted for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum.

The following table sets forth information known to Superior as of March 18, 2013 with respect to beneficial ownership of the Common Stock by each person known to the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the Common Stock, by each director, by each of our Named Executive Officers (as defined in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement) and by all directors and executive officers of Superior as a group:

Name and Address (†) of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficially Owned	Percent of Class
The Louis L. Borick Foundation	3,032,346(1)	11.10%
GAMCO Investors, Inc. One Corporate Center Rye, NY 10580	2,472,276(2)	9.05%
Dimensional Fund Advisors LP Palisades West, Building One Austin, TX 78746	2,275,797(3)	8.33%
Third Avenue Management LLC 622 Third Avenue, 32nd Floor New York, NY 10017	2,104,456(4)	7.70%
BlackRock, Inc. 40 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10022	1,834,691(5)	6.72%

Edgar Filing: SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES INTERNATIONAL INC - Form PREC14A

Heartland Advisors, Inc. 789 North Water Street Milwaukee, WI 53202	1,658,198(6)	6.07%
Steven J. Borick	5,450,597(7)(8)	18.98%
Michael J. O'Rourke	168,397 (7)	*
Parveen Kakar	85,000 (7)	*
Robert A. Earnest	59,616 (7)	*
Philip W. Colburn	30,264 (7)	*
Kerry A. Shiba	29,376 (7)	*
Sheldon I. Ausman	29,334 (7)	*
V. Bond Evans	29,334 (7)	*
Michael J. Joyce	27,034 (7)	*
Margaret S. Dano	21,334 (7)	*
Francisco S. Uranga	19,834 (7)	*
Timothy C. McQuay	667 (7)	*
Superior's Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (21 persons)	6,315,549(9)	21.37%

† All persons have the Company's principal office as their address, except as otherwise indicated.
* Less than 1%.

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

Based on a schedule 13D/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on January 4, 2013, The
(1) Louis J. Borick Foundation reports shared voting power and shared dispositive power with respect to all 3,032,346 shares.

Based on a schedule 13D (Amendment No. 5) filed with the SEC on January 16, 2013, GAMCO Investors, Inc. reports sole voting power with respect to 2,295,276 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to all 2,472,276
(2) shares. According to the filing, the reported shares are held by funds or accounts managed by GAMCO Investors, Inc. and the reported shares may also be deemed beneficially owned by Mr. Mario J. Gabelli by virtue of his control of GAMCO Investors, Inc.

Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 8, 2013, Dimensional Fund Advisers LP reports sole voting power with respect to 2,238,876 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to all 2,275,797 shares.
(3) According to the filing, the reported shares are owned by trust and accounts managed by Dimensional Fund Advisors LP. Dimensional Fund Advisors LP disclaims beneficial ownership with respect to all 2,275,797 shares.

Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 14, 2013, Third Avenue Management LLC reports sole voting power and sole dispositive power with respect to all 2,104,456 shares.
(4)

Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 4, 2013, BlackRock, Inc. reports sole voting power and
(5) sole dispositive power with respect to all 1,834,691 shares. According to the filing, the reported shares are held by BlackRock, Inc. through subsidiaries.

Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 7, 2013, Heartland Advisors, Inc. reports shared voting power and shared dispositive power with respect to all 1,658,198 shares. According to the filing, the reported
(6) shares are held by funds or accounts managed by Heartland Advisors, Inc. and the reported shares may also be deemed beneficially owned by Mr. William J. Nasgovitz by virtue of his control of Heartland Advisors, Inc. Mr. Nasgovitz disclaims all beneficial ownership of all such shares.

Includes stock options in the amount of 1,405,000 for Mr. Steven Borick, 161,333 for Mr. O'Rourke, 80,000 for
(7) Mr. Kakar, 52,750 for Mr. Earnest, 27,000 for Mr. Colburn, 24,500 for Mr. Shiba, 27,000 for Mr. Evans, 27,000 for Mr. Ausman, 22,500 for Mr. Joyce, 17,500 for Ms. Dano, 17,500 for Mr. Uranga, and 0 for Mr. McQuay that are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days of March 18, 2013.

(8) Mr. Steven J. Borick is the President and a Director of The Louis L. Borick Foundation (the "Foundation") and possesses investment and voting power over the 3,032,346 shares of Common Stock owned by the Foundation. Mr. Steven J. Borick is also a trustee of the Nita Borick Management Trust, which owns 800,000 shares of Common Stock. As a result, Mr. Steven J. Borick possesses shared voting and investment power over the shares held by the Nita Borick Management Trust. Mr. Steven J. Borick may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of the securities owned directly by the Nita Borick Management Trust. Mr. Steven J. Borick disclaims beneficial ownership of the securities owned directly by the Nita Borick Management Trust, except to the extent that Mr. Steven J. Borick has

a pecuniary interest in such securities.

(9) Includes 2,231,624 shares of which the directors and executive officers have the right to acquire beneficial ownership through the exercise within 60 days from March 18, 2013 of stock options that have previously been granted. Other than as disclosed with respect to each individual director or officer, each of the directors and officers has sole investment and voting power over his or her shares.

PROPOSAL 1

APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION TO DECLASSIFY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND PROVIDE FOR THE ANNUAL ELECTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Proposal 1 seeks to amend the Company's Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation ("Restated Articles") to provide for declassification of the Board of Directors and the annual election of all directors. The Company's Restated Articles currently provide that the Board is evenly divided into three classes of directors, with each class elected every three years. On the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the Board has approved and recommends that the shareholders adopt the amendments to the Restated Articles to eliminate the classification of the Board over a two-year period and provide for the annual election of all directors beginning with the 2015 Annual Meeting of the Shareholders, as well as make certain conforming changes to the Restated Articles.

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

If shareholders approve proposal 1, at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and at all annual meetings thereafter, directors whose terms are expiring at such meetings will be subject to election for a one-year term expiring at the next annual meeting. As a result, directors whose terms expire in 2014 and 2015 will first be elected for one-year terms beginning in those respective years and beginning with the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, all directors will stand for election at each Annual Meeting for one-year terms. The phase-in of annual elections is designed to comply with California law by allowing all of the sitting directors to complete the original term of office that the shareholders had elected the directors to serve.

Proposal 1 does not change the Board's authority to change the number of directors and to fill any vacancies (including the vacancy that currently exists in Class III resulting from the death of our Founding Chairman, Mr. Louis L. Borick) or newly created directorships. The Board has resolved that if the shareholders approve Proposal 1, then immediately upon its taking effect, the size of the Board will be reduced from 9 members to 7 members by eliminating two directorships from Class II for which the term would otherwise expire at the 2013 Annual Meeting of the Shareholders. In this instance, one director from Class II will be elected for a one-year term expiring at the 2014 Annual Meeting of the Shareholders.

Background of Proposal

As part of its ongoing review of corporate governance matters, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee reviewed "best practices" regarding various board structures, including classified or "staggered" boards and boards using annual director elections. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee evaluated the advantages and disadvantages of maintaining a classified board, including the benefits of continuity and stability provided by a staggered board structure. However, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee ultimately concluded that the benefit of increased accountability of directors to shareholders, which may also contribute to improved performance and increased firm value as concluded by the empirical studies of the Shareholder Rights Project, justified recommending a change to a declassified board and the annual election of all directors. The Board of Directors, based on the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, unanimously agreed to submit Proposal 1 to the Company's shareholders for approval.

The Board also believes that the annual election of directors will allow the Board greater flexibility in determining its optimal size. Under California law, a board of directors that is divided into three classes must have at least nine members, while a board that is not divided into classes is not required to have a minimum number of members.

After a careful review of the relevant considerations to determine the optimal size of the Board, such as cost, expertise required, workload and shareholder value, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee recommended to the Board that the size of the Board be reduced to 7 members. Upon thorough consideration of that recommendation, the Board unanimously resolved to reduce the size of the Board by eliminating two of the Class II directorships for which the term would otherwise expire at the 2013 Annual Meeting of the Shareholders. This resolution will take immediate effect upon the approval of Proposal 1. If Proposal 1 is not approved by our shareholders, this resolution will have no

effect and the shareholders will be asked to elect three directors to Class II as set forth in Proposal 3.

Text of Amendments

Article Seven of the Company Restated Articles contains the provisions that will be affected if this Proposal 1 is adopted. Article Seven, set forth in Appendix A to this Proxy Statement, shows the proposed amendments with deletions indicated by strikeouts and additions indicated by underlining.

If our shareholders approve this proposal, the Board will amend and restate our Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to reflect the revisions set forth in Appendix A, and the resulting Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation will be filed with the Secretary of State of the State of California which the Company intends to do as soon as shareholder approval is obtained for this Proposal.

Vote Required and Board Recommendation

The affirmative vote of at least eighty percent (80%) of the voting power of all the outstanding shares of Common Stock shall be required to approve this proposal. Abstentions and broker non-votes will effectively represent votes against this proposal.

**THE SUPERIOR BOARD OF DIRECTORS
UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS**

THAT YOU VOTE FOR PROPOSAL 1.

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

PROPOSAL 2

ELECTION OF ONE DIRECTOR

The Board of Directors currently consists of nine directorships divided into three classes: three Class I directors with terms expiring at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, three Class II directors with terms expiring at this Annual Meeting and three Class III directors with terms expiring at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. There currently is a vacancy on the Board for one of the Class III directorships. In the event that Proposal 1 is approved, the amendment and restatement of the Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (“Second Restated Articles”) will become effective and all of our directors will stand for election at each Annual Meeting for one-year terms. Furthermore, the size of the Board will be reduced from 9 members to 7 members pursuant to the bylaws and a resolution passed by the Board of Directors on March 22, 2013 in the event Proposal 1 is approved.

In order to implement this restructuring of the Board of Directors, two of the former Class II directorships will be terminated. Directors whose terms expire in 2014 or 2015 will complete the term of office that the shareholders had elected those directors to serve, and those directors (or their successors) will first be elected for one-year terms in 2014 or 2015, as applicable.

This Proposal 2 seeks to elect one director to serve a term of office that expires at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. **This Proposal 2 will only be effective if Proposal 1 is approved.**

Unless instructed otherwise, the persons named in the proxy will vote the shares for the election as a director of the nominee named within this proxy. Although it is not contemplated that the nominee will decline or be unable to serve, the shares will be voted by the proxy holders in their discretion for another person if this should happen.

Information Regarding Director Nominee

Mr. Sheldon I. Ausman is currently serving as a Class II director and was elected at the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders for a term of office expiring at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. In the event that Proposal 1 is approved, Mr. Ausman is nominated for election to serve a term of office expiring at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and until his successor is elected and qualified. Mr. V. Bond Evans and Mr. Michael Joyce are currently serving as the other Class II directors. Neither Mr. Evans nor Mr. Joyce will stand for re-election at the Annual Meeting.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends the nominee, Mr. Ausman, for re-election. For Mr. Ausman and for each of the other directors who will continue in office after the Annual Meeting, shown below and in the tables elsewhere in this Proxy Statement are the name, age, principal business or occupation, the year in which each first became a director of the Company, committee memberships, ownership of equity securities of the Company and other information.

Sheldon I. Ausman

Mr. Ausman, age 79, has been a member of the Board of Directors since 1991 and chairs our Audit Committee and serves on our Compensation and Benefits Committee of the Board of Directors. For 34 years, Mr. Ausman was with the international firm of Arthur Andersen, accountants and auditors. He retired in 1989 as the Managing Partner of the Southwest United States, Australia, and New Zealand offices. He also served as a member of the firm's Board of Partners and various other committees. Subsequent to retiring from Arthur Andersen, Mr. Ausman served on the Board of Northern Trust Bank of California and was a director of Allen Telecom, Inc., a New York Stock Exchange listed manufacturer of wireless equipment to the telecommunications industry. He currently is a Principal of Gumbiner Savett, Inc., a regional public accounting firm, a position he has held since 2005. In addition, he is a director of several nonprofit and privately owned companies. From 2007 to 2010, Mr. Ausman served as our Lead Director. He brings to Superior expertise in strategic transactions, accounting, financial reporting, and audit of manufacturing companies.

Notice of Other Possible Nominees

On January 16, 2013, we received a letter from one of our shareholders, GAMCO Asset Management, Inc. ("GAMCO"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of GAMCO Investors, Inc., expressing its intention to nominate Mr. Walter M. Schenker for election as a director at the Annual Meeting.

While we do not know whether GAMCO will in fact solicit proxies or nominate Mr. Schenker for election as a director at the Annual Meeting, you may receive an opposing proxy statement and proxy card or other proxy solicitation materials from GAMCO. We are not responsible for the accuracy of any information provided by or relating to GAMCO contained in any proxy solicitation materials filed or disseminated by, or on behalf of, GAMCO or any other statements they may otherwise make.

Your Board has not approved or endorsed the nomination of Mr. Schenker and strongly urges you not to

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

sign or return any proxy card that GAMCO may send to you and to discard any proxy materials and proxy cards that you may receive from GAMCO. We believe that the current Board, with its breadth of relevant and diverse experience, represents the best interests of our stockholders and that the directors nominated by the Board should be re-elected.

Vote Required and Board Recommendation

The one person receiving the largest number of “yes” votes will be elected as a director. Under California law, since there is no particular percentage of either the outstanding shares or the shares represented at the meeting required to elect a director, abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the election of our director. However, our Corporate Governance Guidelines require that in an uncontested election, any nominee for director who receives a greater number of votes “withheld” from his or her election than votes “for” such election shall promptly tender his or her resignation following certification of the shareholder vote. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board must then decide whether or not to accept the tendered resignation, culminating with a public disclosure explaining the Board’s decision and decision-making process.

PROPOSAL 3

ELECTION OF THREE DIRECTORS IF AMENDMENT TO ARTICLES TO DECLASSIFY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS IS NOT APPROVED

If Proposal 1 is not approved, then the Board of Directors will continue to be divided into three classes and three Class II directors will be elected at this Annual Meeting. The Class II directors will be elected to serve a three-year term ending at the 2016 Annual Meeting and until their successors are elected and qualified.

Unless instructed otherwise, the persons named in the proxy will vote the shares for the election of the nominees named within this proxy to be Class II directors. Although it is not contemplated that any nominee will decline or be unable to serve, the shares will be voted by the proxy holders in their discretion for another person if this should happen. **This Proposal 3 will only be effective if Proposal 1 is not approved.**

Information Regarding Director Nominees

Messrs. Ausman, Evans and Joyce are currently serving as Class II directors and were elected at the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders for a term of office expiring at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. Messrs. Evans and Joyce will not be standing for re-election at the Annual Meeting. In the event that Proposal 1 is not approved, Messrs. Borick and Uranga, who are currently serving as Class III directors, will resign as Class III directors and stand for

immediate election as Class II directors.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends all of the nominees for re-election.

In the event that Proposal 1 is not approved, each of the following people are nominated for election as Class II directors to serve a three-year term ending at the 2016 Annual Meeting and until their successors are elected and qualified.

Sheldon I. Ausman

Please refer to Proposal 2 for more detailed information about Mr. Ausman.

Steven J. Borick

Mr. Borick, age 60, has been a member of the Board since 1981 and has served as its Chairman since 2007. Mr. Borick is responsible for the formulation of our overall strategic planning and that of our subsidiaries. He served as our President since 2003, and as our Chief Executive Officer since 2005. He joined us in January 1999, after serving on our Board for 18 years, and was appointed Vice President, Strategic Planning on March 19, 1999, and Executive Vice President on January 1, 2000. Prior to joining us, he was engaged in the oil exploration business for over 20 years as President of Texakota, Inc. and general partner of Texakota Oil Co. Mr. Steven Borick has also served on the Board of Directors of M.D.C. Holdings, Inc., a New York Stock Exchange listed company, for 25 years, from April 1987 until his most recent term of office expired in May 2012. Mr. Borick's intimate knowledge of our business and operations provides the Board with company-specific experience and expertise.

Francisco S. Uranga

Mr. Uranga, age 49, has been a member of the Board of Directors since 2007. Mr. Uranga is Corporate Vice President and Chief Business Operations Officer for Latin America at Taiwan-based Foxconn Electronics, Inc., the largest electronic manufacturing services company in the

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

world, a position he has held since 2004. In this position Mr. Uranga is responsible in Latin America for government relations, regulatory affairs, incentives, tax and duties, legal, customs, immigration, and land and construction issues. From 1998 to 2004, he served as Secretary of Industrial Development for the state government of Chihuahua, Mexico. Previously, Mr. Uranga was Deputy Chief of Staff and then Chief of Staff for Mexican Commerce and Trade Secretary Herminio Blanco, where he actively participated in implementing the North American Free Trade Agreement and in negotiating key agreements for the Mexican government as part of the country's trade liberalization. Earlier, Mr. Uranga was Sales and Marketing Manager for American Industries International Corporation. He earned a B.B.A. in Marketing from the University of Texas at El Paso and a Diploma in English as a Second Language from Brigham Young University. Mr. Uranga brings expertise in Mexican-American relations and operations and regulatory compliance, helping us manage our plants in Mexico, which now account for the majority of our production. Mr. Uranga serves on our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors.

Notice of Other Possible Nominees

On January 16, 2013, we received a letter from one of our shareholders, GAMCO Asset Management, Inc. ("GAMCO"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of GAMCO Investors, Inc., expressing its intention to nominate Mr. Walter M. Schenker for election as a director at the Annual Meeting.

While we do not know whether GAMCO will in fact solicit proxies or nominate Mr. Schenker for election as a director at the Annual Meeting, you may receive an opposing proxy statement and proxy card or other proxy solicitation materials from GAMCO. We are not responsible for the accuracy of any information provided by or relating to GAMCO contained in any proxy solicitation materials filed or disseminated by, or on behalf of, GAMCO or any other statements they may otherwise make.

Your Board has not approved or endorsed the nomination of Mr. Schenker and strongly urges you not to sign or return any proxy card that GAMCO may send to you and to discard any proxy materials and proxy cards that you may receive from GAMCO. We believe that the current Board, with its breadth of relevant and diverse experience, represents the best interests of our stockholders and that the directors nominated by the Board should be re-elected.

Vote Required and Board Recommendation

The three people receiving the largest number of "yes" votes will be elected as Class II directors. Under California law, since there is no particular percentage of either the outstanding shares or the shares represented at the meeting required to elect a director, abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the election of our Class II directors. However, our Corporate Governance Guidelines require that in an uncontested election, any nominee for director who receives a greater number of votes "withheld" from his or her election than votes "for" such election shall promptly tender his or her resignation following certification of the shareholder vote. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board must then decide whether or not to accept the tendered resignation, culminating with a

public disclosure explaining the Board's decision and decision-making process.

The superior Board of Directors UNANIMOUSLY recommends A VOTE

**FOR the ELECTION OF THESE Nominees
AS class II directors.**

Continuing Directors

The background and business experience of the directors whose terms are not expiring at the Annual Meeting are as follows:

Class I Serving until the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and until their respective successors are elected and qualified:

Philip W. Colburn

Mr. Colburn, age 84, has served on the Board of Directors since 1990. Mr. Colburn has more than 40 years of business experience as an entrepreneur, senior executive, CEO and Chairman of privately held and public companies. Mr. Coburn retired as the Chairman of Allen Telecom, Inc., a New York Stock Exchange listed manufacturer of wireless equipment to the global telecommunications industry, in July 2003. He held this position since 1988. He also served as CEO of the company from 1988 to 1993. A CPA, Mr. Colburn has a B.S. from UCLA in Finance and a Masters in Economics from the University of Denver. Mr. Colburn has been a director of nine different public companies. He has expertise in senior management, finance, management of foreign and domestic automotive operations, and transactional negotiation and brings this experience and insight to the Board. Mr. Colburn serves on our Audit and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees of the Board of Directors.

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

Margaret S. Dano

Ms. Dano, age 53, has served on the Board of Directors since 2007 and as our Lead Director since 2010. Ms. Dano brings to this position over 30 years of experience in large, industry leading companies. Ms. Dano was Vice President, Worldwide Operations of Garrett Engine Boosting Systems, a division of Honeywell International, Inc., from June 2002 until her retirement from that position in 2005. From April 2002 to June 2002, she was Vice President, Global Operations, Automation and Controls Solutions of Honeywell. She was Vice President, Supply Chain, Office Products of Avery Dennison Corporation from January 1999 to April 2002, and was Avery Dennison's Vice President, Corporate Manufacturing and Engineering from 1997 to 1999. Previously, she was Vice President, Operations Accessories, North America, of Black & Decker Corporation, and she served as a Program Manager, Product Manager and Plant Manager for General Electric Corporation for a five-year period in the early 1990s. Ms. Dano received a B.S.M.E. in mechanical-electrical engineering from the General Motors Institute of Technology and Management. She is currently the Lead Director and a member of the Compensation Committee for International Container Services, a provider of reusable container solutions in the United States. She has served on the Board, Audit, Compensation and Governance committees of Fleetwood Enterprises, and the Board, Lead Director and Chair of the Compensation Committee for Anthony International. Ms. Dano brings expertise in strategic planning, product management, start-up and global operations, and cost and quality improvements to our Board, and also chairs our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and serves on our Audit Committee.

Timothy C. McQuay

Mr. McQuay, age 61, has served on the Board of Directors since 2011. Mr. McQuay brings with him nearly 30 years of financial advisory experience to the Board. He has served as Managing Director, Investment Banking with Noble Financial Capital Markets, an investment banking firm, since November 2011. Previously, he served as Managing Director, Investment Banking with B. Riley & Co., an investment banking firm, from September 2008 to November 2011. From August 1997 to December 2007, he served as Managing Director – Investment Banking at A.G. Edwards & Sons, Inc. From May 1995 to August 1997, Mr. McQuay was a Partner at Crowell, Weedon & Co. and from October 1994 to August 1997 he also served as Managing Director of Corporate Finance. From May 1993 to October 1994, Mr. McQuay served as Vice President, Corporate Development with Kerr Group, Inc., a New York Stock Exchange listed plastics manufacturing company. From May 1990 to May 1993, Mr. McQuay served as Managing Director of Merchant Banking with Union Bank. Mr. McQuay received an A.B. degree in economics from Princeton University and a M.B.A. degree in finance from the University of California at Los Angeles. He also serves as the Chairman of the Board of Directors of BSD Medical, Inc. and as the Chairman of the Board of Meade Instruments Corp. Mr. McQuay's qualifications to serve on the Board include, among others, his extensive business and financial experience and his public company board experience, which includes extensive experience on compensation and audit committees. Mr. McQuay also brings to the Board valuable insight into corporate finance, corporate strategy and risk management that he has gained from his 30 years of experience in the investment banking and financial services industries. Effective January 27, 2012, Mr. McQuay was elected to our Audit and Compensation and Benefits Committees.

**Class III Serving until the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and until their respective successors are
— elected and qualified:**

In the event that Proposal 1 is approved Messrs. Borick and Uranga will continue as Class III directors. In the event that Proposal 1 is not approved, Messrs. Borick and Uranga will resign as Class III directors and stand for election as Class II directors at the Annual Meeting in accordance with Proposal 3.

Steven J. Borick

Please see Proposal 3 for more detailed information about Mr. Borick.

Francisco S. Uranga

Please see Proposal 3 for more detailed information about Mr. Uranga.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Selection of Nominees for Director

It is the policy of the Board, as set forth in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, to select director nominees who possess personal and professional integrity, sound business judgment, a willingness to devote the requisite time and energies to their duties as director, and relevant experience and skills to be an effective

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

director in conjunction with the full Board in collectively serving the long-term interests of the Company's shareholders. Board members are evaluated and selected based on their individual merit as well as in the context of the needs of the Board as a whole.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for identifying, reviewing, and recommending for the Board's selection qualified individuals to be nominated for election or re-election to the Board, consistent with the criteria set forth in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, in conducting such evaluation, may also take into account such other factors as it deems relevant.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee annually reviews the appropriate experience, skills and characteristics required of Board members in the context of the current membership of the Board to determine whether the Board would be enhanced by an increase or decrease in size of one or more directors. This review includes, among other relevant factors in the context of the perceived needs of the Board at that time, issues of experience, reputation, judgment, diversity and skills. Although the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee does not have a diversity policy for the selection of nominees for director, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee does consider diversity when identifying director nominees. For this purpose, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers not only race, gender and ethnicity, but also differences in viewpoint, professional and business experience, education and skill, among other criteria.

Prior to nominating an existing director for re-election to the Board, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers and reviews the existing director's Board and committee meeting attendance and performance, length of Board service, and independence, as well as the experience, skills and contributions that the existing director brings to the Board. Further, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee receives disclosures relating to a director's independence and assists the Board in making determinations as to the independence of the directors. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also reviews periodically the composition, size and structure of the Board as a whole.

From time to time, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may engage outside search firms to assist it in identifying and contacting qualified director candidates. Additionally, due consideration is given to candidates submitted by shareholders, although shareholders are encouraged to open a dialog before submitting a candidate in order to better understand the needs of the Board of Directors and so that the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee can better understand shareholder concerns.

Any shareholder entitled to vote in the election of directors generally may nominate one or more persons for election as director at a meeting by providing written notice of such shareholder's intent to make such nomination or nominations, either by personal delivery or by United States mail, postage prepaid, to the Corporate Secretary of the Company not later than 120 days in advance of an annual meeting of shareholders, and with respect to an election to be held at a special meeting of shareholders for the election of directors, the close of business on the seventh day following the date on which notice of such meeting is first given to shareholders. A shareholder notice must contain the following information:

the name and address of the shareholder who intends to make the nomination and of the person or persons to be nominated;

a representation that the shareholder is a holder of record of stock of the company entitled to vote at such meeting and intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to nominate the person or persons specified in the notice;

a description of all arrangements or understandings between the shareholder and each nominee and any other person or persons (naming such person or persons) pursuant to which the nomination or nominations are to be made by the shareholder;

such other information regarding each nominee proposed by such shareholder as would be required to be included in a proxy statement filed pursuant to the proxy rules of the SEC, had the nominee been nominated, or intended to be nominated, by the Board of Directors; and

the consent of each nominee to serve as a director of the corporation if so elected.

The chairman of the meeting may refuse to acknowledge the nomination of any person not made in compliance with these procedures, and the nomination shall be void.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee recommended the directors nominated by the Board for election at the Annual Meeting. When a member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is under consideration for nomination, the nominee typically recuses himself or herself from the discussion and abstains from the voting on the recommendation.

The Company's policies and procedures regarding the selection of director nominees are described in detail in the

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter, which are available on the Company's website at <http://www.supind.com/investor/finrpt.aspx>.

Board Leadership Structure and Risk Oversight

As is common practice among public companies in the United States, our Board has appointed the Company's Chief Executive Officer to serve as Chairman of the Board. The Board's leadership structure has combined the positions of Chairman and CEO since the Company was founded, except for a two-year transition period when our founder, Mr. Louis Borick, continued as Chairman and Mr. Steven Borick was our CEO. Mr. Steven Borick has served as Chairman and CEO since May, 2007.

The Board believes that combining the Chairman and CEO roles provides more consistent communication and coordination throughout the organization, which results in a more effective and efficient implementation of corporate strategy and is important in unifying the Company's strategy behind a single vision. In addition, we have found that our CEO is the most knowledgeable member of the Board regarding risks the Company may be facing and, in his role as Chairman, is able to facilitate the Board's oversight of such risks. If a structure was established that required an independent director to serve as Chairman, the Board believes it would impair its ability to select the most qualified individual to serve as Chairman. This would not be in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

When Mr. Borick was elected as Chairman in 2007, the independent directors of the Board also designated an independent Lead Director. The position of Lead Director is currently held by Ms. Margaret Dano. As Lead Director, Ms. Dano has the authority and responsibility to:

- Preside at the executive sessions of the independent directors;
- Advise the Chairman regarding the agenda, structure, schedule, appropriate length of Board meetings and materials to be provided to directors;
- Assign tasks to appropriate committees in consultation with the Chairman;
- Recommend committee appointments to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee;
- Facilitate the annual review of the CEO's performance together with the Chair of the Compensation and Benefits Committee; and
- Serve as an independent point of contact for shareholders.

With the exception of the Chairman, the Lead Director and the remaining six directors are all independent. Thus, more than three-fourths of the Board of Directors consists of independent directors. This independent majority and our governance practices provide an effective and independent oversight of management.

Regarding risk oversight, the Company's internal audit department provides both management and the independent Audit Committee, which discusses and oversees policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management, with ongoing assessments of the Company's risk management processes and system of internal control and the specific risks facing the Company. The Audit Committee reports regularly to the Board, as provided in its charter. In addition, the Audit Committee identifies and requires reporting on areas perceived as potentially posing risk to the Company's business. Furthermore, the Compensation and Benefits Committee oversees the risks relating to the Company's compensation policies and practices and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee oversees management development and leadership succession at the Company.

For the foregoing reasons, the Board believes that its leadership structure provides an appropriate balance between the need for strategic leadership by our Chairman and CEO, and the oversight, objectivity and independence of the Lead Director and non-management directors. Further, the Board believes its leadership structure is appropriate given the Company's specific circumstances, the management of risk and the Board's administration of its oversight function.

Committees and Meetings of the Board of Directors; Director Independence

The Board of Directors of the Company held five regularly scheduled meetings in 2012. Each director attended all regularly scheduled meetings of the Board of Directors and only one director missed one meeting of a committee of the Board during 2012. In addition to formal meetings, the Board may, from time to time, hold informal telephonic conferences for management to update the Board on new events. These conference calls provide the Board an opportunity to ask questions of management. The conference calls, when they occur, are informal in nature, and do not have an agenda. No compensation is provided to the Board members for their participation in such calls. Although the Company has no formal policy with regard to Board members' attendance at its annual meeting of shareholders, it is customary for the Company's directors to attend. All of the Company's directors attended the Company's 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. In addition to meeting as a group to review the Company's business, certain members of the Board of Directors also devote their time and talents to certain standing committees. Current committees of the Board of Directors

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement of the Company and the respective members are discussed below. The composition of the Compensation and Benefits Committee of the Board of Directors will also change as disclosed below.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee's functions include direct responsibility for:

- appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the work of any independent registered public accounting firm engaged to audit the Company's financial statements or to perform other audit, review or attestation services for the Company,
- discussing with the independent auditors their independence,
- reviewing and discussing with the Company's independent auditors and management the Company's audited financial statements,
- recommending to the Company's Board of Directors whether the Company's audited financial statements should be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the previous fiscal year, and
- discussing with management the company's risk assessment and risk management practices, including risk relating to the Company's financial statements and financial reporting processes.

The Audit Committee is composed of Sheldon I. Ausman (Committee Chair), Philip W. Colburn, Margaret S. Dano and Timothy C. McQuay. Messrs. Ausman, Colburn and McQuay and Ms. Dano are independent as that term is defined in Section 303A.02 of the New York Stock Exchange's Corporate Governance Rules and the Exchange Act Rules. The Board has determined that Mr. Ausman is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined by SEC rules based upon, among other things, his accounting background and experience. The Audit Committee met five times in 2012. See also *Audit Committee Report* on page 40 in this Proxy Statement.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's functions include:

- assisting the Board in identifying qualified individuals to become directors,
- recommending to the Board qualified director nominees for election at the annual meeting of shareholders,
- determining membership on Board committees,
- reviewing and recommending amendments to the Corporate Governance Guidelines, and
- oversight of annual self-evaluations by the Board.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is composed of Margaret S. Dano (Committee Chair), Philip W. Colburn and Francisco S. Uranga. Ms. Dano and Messrs. Colburn and Uranga are independent as that term is defined under the New York Stock Exchange's Corporate Governance Rules. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met twice in 2012.

Compensation and Benefits Committee

The Compensation and Benefits Committee's functions include:

- review and approval of compensation for the Company's officers and key employees,
- administration of the Company's 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, and
- review and evaluate the risks involved in the Company's Compensation policies and practices.

The Compensation and Benefits Committee consists of V. Bond Evans (Committee Chair), Sheldon I. Ausman, Michael J. Joyce and Timothy C. McQuay. The terms of Messrs. Evans and Joyce expire at the Annual Meeting and they are not standing for re-election at the Annual Meeting. As a result, the Board of Directors on _____, 2013, appointed _____ as a member of the Committee, and appointed _____ as Committee Chair. Messrs. Ausman, Evans, Joyce, McQuay and _____ are independent as that term is defined under the New York Stock Exchange's Corporate Governance Rules. The Compensation and Benefits Committee met six times during 2012. The Compensation and Benefits Committee may form and delegate authority to subcommittees when it determines that such action is appropriate under the circumstances. See also *Compensation Committee Report* on page 31 in this Proxy Statement. Additional information regarding the Compensation and Benefits Committee's processes and procedures for the consideration and determination of executive compensation is provided in the *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* on page 23 in this Proxy Statement.

Other Committees; Committee Charters

In addition to its standing committees, the Board of Directors may on occasion form a committee for a discrete purpose, such as the consideration of the settlement of a lawsuit or the approval of a transaction. The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for each of the Audit Committee, the Compensation and Benefits Committee, and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, which charters are available on

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement
the Company's website at www.supind.com/investor/BOC.aspx.

Non-Management Executive Sessions

Non-management directors meet generally before or after regularly scheduled meetings of the Board of Directors. The Lead Director, Ms. Dano, chairs these sessions.

Communications with Directors

Shareholders and interested parties wishing to communicate directly with the Board of Directors, the Chairman of the Board, the Lead Director, the Chair of any committee, or the non-management directors as a group about matters of general interest to shareholders are welcome to do so by writing the Company's Corporate Secretary at Superior Industries International, Inc., 7800 Woodley Avenue, Van Nuys, California 91406. The Corporate Secretary will forward these communications as directed. Before submitting proposals for consideration at a meeting of shareholders, the Company strongly encourages shareholders to commence a dialogue with the Board of Directors, as the Board may be able to address the shareholder's concerns without the expense and necessity of a shareholder vote.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

The Board believes in sound corporate governance practices and has adopted formal Corporate Governance Guidelines to enhance its effectiveness. Our Board has adopted these Corporate Governance Guidelines in order to ensure that it has the necessary authority and practices in place to fulfill its role of management oversight and monitoring for the benefit of our shareholders. The Corporate Governance Guidelines set forth the practices our Board will follow with respect to, among other areas, director qualification and independence, board and committee meetings, involvement of and access to management, and Chief Executive Officer performance evaluation and succession planning. The Corporate Governance Guidelines are publicly available on the Company's website at: <http://www.supind.com/investor/CorporateGovernance.aspx>.

Code of Conduct

The Company has adopted a Code of Conduct, a code of ethics that applies to all of the Company's directors, officers and employees. The Code of Conduct is publicly available on the Company's website at

<http://www.supind.com/investor/CodeConduct.aspx>. Any amendments to the Code of Conduct or grant of any waiver from a provision of the code to any director or officer will be disclosed on the Company's website within five days of a vote of the Board of Directors or a designated Board committee that such an amendment or waiver is appropriate, and shall otherwise be disclosed as required by applicable law or New York Stock Exchange rules.

Transactions with Related Persons

Policies and Procedures for Review, Approval or Ratification of Related Person Transactions

The Audit Committee, pursuant to the Audit Committee Charter approved by our Board, has oversight for reviewing material transactions, contracts and agreements, including related person transactions. The Audit Committee Charter requires that management of the Company inform the Audit Committee of all related person transactions. In addition, our Code of Conduct requires our directors, officers and employees to report actual and potential conflicts of interest. Directors and officers are required to report such information to the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Our Board and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee annually review any related person transaction involving a director in determining the independence of our directors pursuant to our Corporate Governance Guidelines, SEC rules and the New York Stock Exchange listing standards.

Related Person Transactions

Superior's main office located at 7800 Woodley Avenue, Van Nuys, California, is subleased from The Louis L. Borick Administrative Trust and the Nita Borick Management Trust. The trusts are respectively controlled by Mr. Steven Borick, who is a director and CEO of the Company, and Nita Borick, who is the mother of Mr. Steven Borick. As of January 1, 2013, The Louis L. Borick Administrative Trust agreed to transfer its leasehold interest in Superior's main office to The Louis L. Borick Foundation, a California nonprofit corporation of which Mr. Steven Borick is both an officer and director. The current sublease expires in 2015, and the Company holds two options to renew: one for an additional five-year period, and one for an additional five-year, nine month period. During fiscal year 2012, Superior paid approximately \$424,692 in rentals under the land and building leases. The Company believes this transaction was on terms that are fair to the Company and could have been obtained on similar terms from an unrelated third party.

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

PROPOSAL 4

APPROVAL OF THE AMENDED AND RESTATED 2008 EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN

Introduction

The Superior Industries International Inc. 2008 Equity Incentive Plan (the “Equity Plan”) was approved by our shareholders on May 30, 2008, and replaced our 2003 Equity Incentive Plan. The Equity Plan authorizes the issuance pursuant to equity-based incentive compensation awards of up to 3,500,000 shares of our Common Stock.

As of March 18, 2013, there were approximately 2,017,525 shares of our Common Stock remaining available for future grants under the Equity Plan. We are not seeking approval to increase the overall number of shares for issuance in the Equity Plan.

The Equity Plan has been effective in attracting and retaining highly-qualified employees and non-employee directors and has provided an incentive that aligns the economic interests of plan participants with those of our shareholders. On March 22, 2013, the Board approved an amendment and restatement of Equity Plan consisting of two categories of amendments. One category of amendments, which we refer to as the “Housekeeping Amendments,” does not require approval of our shareholders but we would like for our shareholders to know about them as we believe they facilitate good corporate governance. The other amendment, which we refer to as the “Contingent Amendment,” is subject to approval of the shareholders at the Annual Meeting. By voting to approve the Amended and Restated Equity Plan, you will be voting to approve the Contingent Amendment.

Housekeeping Amendments. These consist of a series of amendments, described in more detail below, to modernize the plan by:

- expanding the types of awards that may be granted to include service-vesting restricted stock units, or “RSUs”;
- imposing an annual limit on grants to non-employee directors;
- specifying that awards made under the plan are subject to any compensation recoupment or “clawback” policy adopted by the Company from time to time;
- prohibiting payment of dividends or dividend equivalents with respect to performance-based awards until the performance condition is satisfied;
- prohibiting “liberal” share counting for the plan;
- expanding the current prohibition on repricing of options and stock appreciation rights;
- expanding the possible methods of exercising stock options to include net exercise as permitted under current accounting standards; and
- adding provisions to facilitate compliance with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, or the “Code.”

Contingent Amendment. This amendment, which is contingent on shareholder approval, would increase the limit on shares (from the existing plan share reserve) that may be issued in the form of “full-value awards,” which includes restricted stock, RSUs and performance awards other than options or stock appreciation rights, or “SARs.” We propose to increase the limit on full-value awards from 100,000 shares to 600,000 shares, which represents about 26% of the

overall plan reserve of 3,500,000 shares.

If the Amended and Restated Equity Plan is approved by the shareholders, it will take effect as of May 17, 2013. If the Amended and Restated Equity Plan is not approved by the shareholders, the Equity Plan, as amended only by the Housekeeping Amendments, will continue in effect (plus the performance goals described under Proposal 5, if such proposal is approved by the shareholders at the Annual Meeting) until May 30, 2018. This proposal is separate from Proposal 5 to approve the material terms of the performance goals under the Equity Plan which is discussed further below and in that proposal on page 20.

Background for the Current Request to Increase the Limit on Full-Value Awards

When adopted, the Equity Plan authorized the issuance of up to 3,500,000 shares of our Common Stock, with no more than 100,000 issuable in the form of full-value awards, such as restricted stock. Of the original share reserve of 3,500,000 shares, approximately 1,482,475 shares had been issued as of the record date, leaving approximately 2,017,525 shares for future grants, including 3,950 full-value awards. Our calculations suggest that it is prudent to free up more shares from the remaining plan reserve to be granted in the form of full-value awards. Full-value awards (i) are easily adaptable to performance-based vesting conditions that encourage and reward our employees for meeting specific financial, operational and/or personal objectives, (ii) tend to have stronger retention value for employees than options or SARs, and (iii) require fewer shares to deliver comparable grant value as do options or SARs. Without this reallocation of permitted uses for our share reserve, we would need to make changes to our long-term incentives program in a manner that we believe is not in keeping with current best practices or in the best interest of our shareholders. The changes to our practices would limit our flexibility to provide competitive compensation and thus our ability to attract,

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

retain and reward the caliber of employees necessary to achieve superior performance.

Principal Changes to the Existing Equity Plan

The principal changes to the existing Equity Plan as reflected in the amendment and restatement are intended to incorporate a range of compensation best practices, and are summarized as follows:

No Change in Pool of Shares Authorized for Issuance. Total cumulative and future shares that may be issued under the Equity Plan would not increase. There is no “evergreen” provision.

Increase Limit on Grants of Full Value Awards. The Equity Plan currently provides that only 100,000 of the 3,500,000 shares reserved for issuance under the plan may be issued in the form of “full-value” awards such as restricted stock and RSUs. This limit on full-value awards would be increased to 600,000 shares, which would allow us more flexibility in designing efficient, performance-oriented long-term equity compensation programs.

No Liberal Share Recycling Provisions. Only shares covering awards that expire, or are forfeited, cancelled or settled in cash will again be available for issuance under the Equity Plan. The following shares will not be added back to the aggregate plan reserve: (i) the number of shares with respect to which an award is granted (except as previously noted); (ii) shares not issued or delivered as a result of the net settlement of an outstanding option or SAR, (iii) shares used to pay the exercise price or withholding taxes related to an outstanding option or SAR, (iv) shares repurchased on the open market with the proceeds of the exercise price of an option, or (v) shares surrendered or withheld to cover taxes due upon the vesting of an award.

In addition, the Equity Plan as proposed to be amended and restated will continue to incorporate the following compensation best practices:

Minimum Vesting Periods. Restricted stock and RSUs subject to time-based vesting conditions may not vest in full in less than three years from the date of grant. Restricted stock and RSUs subject to performance-based vesting conditions may not vest in full in less than one year from the date of grant. These minimum vesting periods are subject to exceptions where vesting has occurred due to (i) a participant’s death, disability or retirement, or (ii) a change in control. There is also an exception for up to 5% of the total number of shares authorized, to accommodate for special circumstances.

No Repricing. Repricing of stock options and SARs (including reduction in the exercise price of stock options or replacement of an award with cash or another award type) is prohibited without prior shareholder approval.

Option Exercise Price. The exercise price of stock options and SARs may not be less than 100% of fair market value on the date of grant, except for stock options and SARs assumed in connection with the acquisition of another company.

Limitation on Amendments. No material amendments to the Equity Plan can be made without shareholder approval as required by applicable NYSE rules, such as any such amendment that would materially increase the number of shares reserved or the per-participant award limitations under the Equity Plan, or that would diminish the prohibitions on repricing stock options or SARs granted under the Equity Plan.

Compensation Recovery Policy. Awards under the Equity Plan are subject to “claw-back” compensation recovery policies adopted by our Board or the Compensation and Benefits Committee from time to time.

Significant Historical Award Information

We believe we have been judicious in our use of shares previously authorized by our shareholders under the Equity Plan. We closely monitor share usage and in recent years have decreased our annual equity run rate and dilution by shifting to a larger percentage of full value awards as components of our long-term incentives program and allocating a lesser percentage to stock option awards.

Authorized Shares and Stock Price

Our restated articles of incorporation authorize the issuance of 100,000,000 shares of Common Stock. There were 27,317,363 shares of our Common Stock issued and outstanding as of March 18, 2013, the Record Date for the Annual Meeting, and the closing price of a share of our Common Stock as of that date was \$19.23.

Summary of the Amended and Restated Equity Plan

The major features of the Equity Plan as proposed to be amended and restated are summarized below. The summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the amended and restated Equity Plan, which is attached to this Proxy Statement as Appendix B.

Plan Purpose. The Equity Plan is intended to advance the interests of our shareholders by enabling the Company to attract and retain qualified individuals to serve as officers, directors, employees and consultants through opportunities for equity participation in the Company, and to reward those individuals who contribute to the achievement of our financial and strategic business goals

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement
and create long-term shareholder value through equity-based compensation.

Administration. The Equity Plan is administered by the Compensation and Benefits Committee of our Board, also referred to as the “Committee” or the administrator. However, our full Board of Directors may at any time act on behalf of the Committee and serve as the administrator. The administrator has the authority to interpret the provisions of the Equity Plan; to make, change and rescind rules and regulations relating to the Equity Plan; and to change or reconcile any inconsistency in any award or agreement covering an award. To the extent consistent with applicable law, the Board has discretion to delegate its authority under the Equity Plan to a Board sub-committee consisting of one or more executive officers (with respect to awards to participants other than executive officers) or, in connection with nondiscretionary administrative duties, to other parties as it deems appropriate.

Except in connection with equity restructurings and other situations in which share adjustments are specifically authorized, the Equity Plan prohibits the administrator from repricing any outstanding “underwater” stock option or SAR without prior approval of our shareholders. For these purposes, “repricing” includes amending the terms of an underwater option or SAR to lower the exercise price, canceling an underwater option or SAR and granting exchange replacement options or SARs having a lower exercise price or other forms of awards, or repurchasing the underwater option or SAR for cash.

Eligible Participants. The administrator may grant awards to any employee, officer, consultant or non-employee director of the Company or its affiliates. The selection of participants will be based upon the administrator’s determination that the participant is in a position to contribute materially to our continued growth and development and to our long-term financial success. The number of eligible participants in the Equity Plan varies from year to year; currently, there are approximately 1,954 persons employed by or otherwise in the service of the Company and its affiliates, including 14 executive officers and seven non-employee directors, who would be eligible to receive awards under the Equity Plan at the discretion of the administrator. Although not necessarily indicative of future grants under the Equity Plan, approximately 86 of our employees, officers and non-employee directors have been granted awards under the Equity Plan through December 31, 2012. We have not to date granted any award to consultants under the Equity Plan.

To assure the viability of awards granted to participants employed or residing in foreign countries, the administrator may provide for such special terms as it may consider necessary or appropriate to accommodate differences in local law, tax policy or custom. Moreover, the administrator may approve such supplements or amendments to, or subplans of, the Equity Plan as it determines is necessary or appropriate for such purposes. Any such supplement, or amendment or subplan that the administrator approves for purposes of using the Equity Plan in a foreign country will not affect the terms of the Equity Plan for any other country.

Available Shares. A maximum of 3,500,000 shares of our Common Stock are available for issuance under the Equity Plan. This pool of shares may be used for all types of equity awards available under the Equity Plan, except that no more than 600,000 shares may be issued in the form of full-value awards, as described in more detail below. The shares of Common Stock covered by the Equity Plan are authorized but unissued shares or shares currently held (or subsequently acquired) by the Company as treasury shares.

Shares of Common Stock that are issued under the Equity Plan or that are potentially issuable pursuant to outstanding awards will reduce the maximum number of shares remaining for issuance under the Equity Plan by one share for each share issued or issuable pursuant to an Award.

In general, if an award granted under the Equity Plan expires, is canceled or terminates without the issuance of shares, if shares are forfeited under an award, then such shares will again be available for issuance under the Equity Plan.

Types of Awards

Awards under the Equity Plan may include stock options, SARs, restricted stock and restricted stock units. The administrator may grant any type of award to any participant who is an employee, and only our and our subsidiaries' employees may receive grants of incentive stock options.

Stock Options. The administrator may grant to a participant options to purchase our Common Stock that qualify as incentive stock options for purposes of Section 422 of the Code ("incentive stock options"), options that do not qualify as incentive stock options ("non-qualified stock options") or a combination thereof. The terms and conditions of stock option grants, including the number of shares, exercise price, vesting periods, and other conditions on exercise, will be determined by the administrator.

The per share exercise price for stock options will be determined by the administrator in its discretion, but may not be less than the fair market value of the Common Stock on the date when the stock option is granted. Stock options must be exercised within a period fixed by the

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement
administrator that may not exceed ten years from the date of grant.

At the administrator's discretion, payment for shares of Common Stock on the exercise of stock options may be made in cash, in shares of Common Stock held by the participant (including by attestation), by withholding a number of shares otherwise deliverable upon exercise of the option, or in any manner acceptable to the administrator (including one or more forms of broker-assisted "cashless" exercise).

Stock Appreciation Rights. The administrator may grant to a participant an award of SARs, which entitles the participant to receive, upon its exercise, a payment equal to (i) the excess of the fair market value of a share of Common Stock on the exercise date over the SAR exercise price, times (ii) the number of shares of Common Stock with respect to which the SAR is exercised. The payment upon exercise of a SAR may be made in cash, shares of Common Stock, or any combination thereof, as approved by the administrator in its sole discretion.

The per share exercise price of an SAR will be determined by the administrator in its discretion, but may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of one share of our Common Stock on the date when the SAR is granted. SARs must be exercised within the period fixed by the administrator that may not exceed ten years from the date of grant.

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units. The administrator may award to a participant shares of Common Stock subject to specified restrictions ("restricted stock"). Shares of restricted stock are subject to forfeiture if the participant does not meet certain conditions such as continued employment over a specified vesting period and/or attainment of specified Company performance objectives over a specified performance period.

The administrator may also award to a participant restricted stock units ("RSUs"), each representing the right to receive in the future, in cash and/or shares of our Common Stock as determined by the administrator, the fair market value of a share of Common Stock subject to the achievement of one or more goals relating to the completion of a specified period of service by the participant and/or the achievement of specified performance or other objectives.

Performance Awards. Any option, SAR, or award of restricted stock or RSUs may be granted with performance-based vesting conditions. With certain exceptions, any such awards that are subject to vesting upon the achievement of performance targets shall not vest in full in less than one year from date of grant.

Dividend and Dividend Equivalents. The administrator may provide for the payment of dividends on restricted stock awards or dividend equivalents with respect to RSUs under the Equity Plan. Dividend equivalents are not permitted in connection with stock options and SARs. Dividends, distributions and comparable dividend equivalents paid with respect to unvested awards whose vesting is subject to performance conditions will be subject to the same restrictions as the underlying restricted shares or RSUs. Regular cash dividends or comparable dividend equivalents paid with respect to unvested awards whose vesting is based solely on the satisfaction of service-based vesting conditions will not be subject to the same restrictions as the underlying shares or RSUs unless the administrator determines otherwise.

Performance-Based Compensation under Section 162(m)

For restricted stock or RSU awards that are intended to qualify as "performance-based compensation" for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code, the performance targets will be established by the Committee based on shareholder-approved "performance goals" (which are described in Proposal 5 on page 20). If the shareholders do not approve Proposal 5, no awards made after May 30, 2013 under the Equity Plan will qualify as "performance-based compensation" for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code and, therefore, may not be fully tax deductible by the Company.

Award Limits

As further described in Proposal 5, in order to qualify as “performance-based compensation” under Section 162(m) of the Code, we are required to establish limits on the number of awards that we may grant to a particular participant. The award limits in the Equity Plan were established in order to provide us with maximum flexibility, and are not necessarily indicative of the size of awards that we expect to make to any particular participant. Under the Equity Plan, no participant may be granted in any 12-month period more than 200,000 options or SARs or 100,000 restricted shares or RSUs, and no non-employee director may be granted more than 10,000 awards of any type in any 12-month period. Each of these limitations is subject to adjustment as described below.

Transferability

Awards generally are not transferable other than by will or the laws of descent and distribution, unless the administrator allows a participant to designate in writing a beneficiary to exercise the award or receive payment under an award after the participant’s death. However, participants may make limited transfers in connection with qualified domestic relations orders or certain gifts to

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

immediate family members or related trusts or foundations. Transfers of awards for value are not permitted.

Adjustments

The number and kind of shares authorized for grant under the Equity Plan, the award limits, the number and kind of shares covered by each outstanding award, and the per share exercise price of each such option or SAR, shall be proportionately adjusted for any increase or decrease in the number of issued shares of Common Stock resulting from a stock split, reverse stock split, recapitalization, combination, reclassification, spin-off, stock dividend, or any other increase or decrease in the number of shares of Common Stock effected without receipt of consideration by the Company, other than a conversion of convertible securities. The Board shall make such adjustments as it deems necessary, in its sole discretion, to prevent dilution or enlargement of rights immediately resulting from such transaction, and its determination in that respect shall be final, binding and conclusive.

Change in Control of the Company

If a change in control of our Company occurs that involves a corporate transaction, the consequences will be as described in this paragraph unless the administrator provides otherwise in an applicable employment, retention, change in control, severance, award or similar agreement.

The successor or purchaser in the change in control transaction may assume an award or provide a substitute award with similar terms and conditions, and preserving the same benefits, as the award it is replacing. If the awards are not so assumed or replaced, then, as of the date of the change in control, (i) each outstanding stock option or SAR will become fully vested and exercisable; (ii) all service-based restrictions and conditions on any outstanding awards will lapse; and (iii) the payout level under all performance-based awards will be deemed to have been earned as of the date of the change in control based upon an assumed achievement of all relevant performance goals at the “target” level.

If an award is assumed or substituted by the successor or purchaser in the change in control, and if, within two years after the effective date of the change in control, a participant’s employment is terminated without cause, then as of the date of employment termination (i) all of that participant’s outstanding options and SARs will become fully vested and exercisable, (ii) all service-based vesting restrictions on his or her outstanding awards will lapse, and (iii) the payout level under all of that participant’s performance-based awards will be deemed to have been earned as of the date of employment termination based upon an assumed achievement of all relevant performance goals at the “target” level.

For purposes of the Equity Plan, a change in control generally occurs if (i) a person or group acquires 50% or more of the Company’s outstanding voting power, (ii) a sale of all or substantially all of the Company’s assets occurs, or (iii) a corporate merger or consolidation of the Company is consummated (unless our voting securities immediately prior to the transaction continue to represent over 50% of the voting power of the surviving entity immediately after the transaction).

Amendment and Termination

The Board may at any time amend, suspend or terminate the Equity Plan, but no such action may be taken that adversely affects in any material way any award previously granted under the Equity Plan without the consent of the participant, except for amendments necessary to comply with applicable laws or stock exchange rules. In addition, no material amendment of the Equity Plan may be made without shareholder approval if shareholder approval is required by law, regulation or stock exchange rules, and no “underwater” option or SAR may be repriced in any manner (except

for anti-dilution adjustments) without prior shareholder approval (see “*Administration*” above). In no event may any awards be made under the Equity Plan after May 30, 2018.

Federal Income Tax Consequences

The following is a summary of the principal United States federal income tax consequences to the Company and to participants subject to U.S. taxation with respect to awards granted under the Equity Plan. This summary is not intended to be exhaustive and does not discuss the income tax laws of any city, state or foreign jurisdiction in which a participant may reside. Plan participants should consult with their own tax advisors with respect to the tax consequences inherent in the ownership and/or exercise of the awards, and the ownership and disposition of any underlying securities.

Incentive Stock Options. A participant who is granted an incentive stock option, or ISO, will not recognize any taxable income for federal income tax purposes either on the grant or exercise of the ISO. If the participant disposes of the shares purchased pursuant to the ISO more than two years after the date of grant and more than one year after the issuance of the shares to the participant (the required statutory “holding period”), (a) the participant will recognize long-term capital gain or loss, as the case may be, equal to the difference between the selling price and the option price; and (b) we will not be entitled to a deduction with respect to the shares of stock so issued. If the holding period requirements are not met, any gain realized upon disposition will be taxed as ordinary income to the extent of the excess of the lesser of (i) the excess of the fair market

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

value of the shares at the time of exercise over the option price, and (ii) the gain on the sale. Also in that case, we will be entitled to a deduction in the year of disposition in an amount equal to the ordinary income recognized by the participant. Any additional gain will be taxed as short-term or long-term capital gain depending upon the holding period for the stock. A sale for less than the option price results in a capital loss. The excess of the fair market value of the shares on the date of exercise over the option price is, however, includable in the option holder's income for alternative minimum tax purposes.

Nonqualified Stock Options. A participant who is granted a nonqualified stock option under the Equity Plan will not recognize any income for federal income tax purposes on the grant of the option. Generally, on the exercise of the option, the participant will recognize taxable ordinary income equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares on the exercise date over the option price for the shares. Upon disposition of the shares purchased pursuant to the stock option, the participant will recognize long-term or short-term capital gain or loss, as the case may be, equal to the difference between the amount realized on such disposition and the basis for such shares, which basis includes the amount paid for the shares and the amount previously recognized by the participant as ordinary income.

Stock Appreciation Rights. A participant who is granted SARs will normally not recognize any taxable income on the receipt of the SARs. Upon the exercise of a SAR, the participant will recognize ordinary income equal to the amount received (the difference between the fair market value of one share of our Common Stock on the date of exercise and the exercise price per share of the SAR, multiplied by the number of shares as to which the SAR is being exercised).

Restricted Stock. A participant will not be taxed at the date of grant of an award of restricted stock, but will be taxed at ordinary income rates on the fair market value of any shares of restricted stock as of the date that the restrictions lapse and the shares vest, unless the participant elects under Section 83(b) of the Code to include in income the fair market value of the restricted stock as of the date of such grant. Any disposition of shares after restrictions lapse will be subject to the regular rules governing long-term and short-term capital gains and losses, with the basis for this purpose equal to the fair market value of the shares at the end of the restricted period (or on the date of the grant of the restricted shares, if the participant has made an election under Section 83(b) of the Code). To the extent unrestricted dividends are paid during the restricted period under the applicable award agreement, any such dividends will be taxable to the participant at ordinary income tax rates and will be deductible by the company unless the participant has made a Section 83(b) election, in which case the dividends will thereafter be taxable to the participant as dividends and will not be deductible by the Company.

Restricted Stock Units. A participant will normally not recognize taxable income upon an award of RSUs, but will generally recognize ordinary income at the time payment of such an award is made in an amount equal to the amount paid in cash or the then-current fair market value of the shares received, as applicable.

Performance Awards. Any option, SAR, award of restricted stock or award of restricted stock units may be granted with performance vesting conditions. The federal income tax effects of such a performance award would be generally the same as described above for that type of award.

Company Tax Deduction. To the extent that a participant recognizes ordinary income in the circumstances described above, the Company or affiliate for which the participant performs services will generally be entitled to a corresponding federal income tax deduction, provided that, among other things, the income meets the test of reasonableness, is an ordinary and necessary business expense, is not an "excess parachute payment" within the meaning of Code Section 280G, and is not disallowed by the \$1,000,000 limitation on certain executive compensation under Code Section 162(m).

Deferred Compensation Limitations. If an award is subject to Section 409A of the Code, and if the requirements of Section 409A are not met, the taxable events as described above could apply earlier than described, and could result in the imposition of additional taxes and penalties. Restricted stock awards, stock options and SARs granted under the Equity Plan are designed to be exempt from the application of Code Section 409A. RSUs, whether or not performance-based, granted under the Equity Plan would be subject to Section 409A unless they are designed to satisfy the short-term deferral exemption. If not exempt, such awards must be specially designed to meet the requirements of Code Section 409A in order to avoid early taxation and penalties.

Performance-Based Compensation under Section 162(m). Section 162(m) generally allows the Company to obtain tax deductions without limit for performance-based compensation. The Company intends that stock options and SARs, and, subject to shareholder approval of the material terms of the performance goals described under Proposal 5, "Approval of the Material Terms of Performance Goals under the Equity Incentive Plan," other performance-based awards granted under the Equity Plan will continue to qualify as performance-based

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

compensation not subject to Section 162(m)'s \$1 million deductibility cap. A number of requirements must be met for particular compensation to so qualify, however, so there can be no assurance that such compensation under the Equity Plan will be fully deductible under all circumstances. In addition, other awards under the Equity Plan may not qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m), and therefore compensation paid to executive officers in connection with such awards may not be fully deductible.

Other Considerations. Awards that are granted, accelerated or enhanced upon the occurrence of a change of control may give rise, in whole or in part, to excess parachute payments within the meaning of Code Section 280G to the extent that such payments, when aggregated with other payments subject to Section 280G, exceed the limitations contained in that provision. Such excess parachute payments are not deductible by us and are subject to an excise tax of 20% payable by the participant.

Benefits to Directors, Named Executive Officers and Others

Awards under the Equity Plan are at the discretion of the administrator. Accordingly, future awards under the Equity Plan are not determinable.

As of March 18, 2013, the Record Date for the Annual Meeting, approximately 27,317,363 shares had been issued under the Equity Plan or remained subject to outstanding awards under the Equity Plan. The following table shows the number of shares issued, or subject to outstanding awards, under the Equity Plan to the Named Executive Officers and the other individuals and groups indicated. The closing price of our Common Stock on March 18, 2013, was \$19.23 per share.

<u>Name and Position</u>	Service-Based		Stock Options
	Full Value Awards (1)	Performance-Based Full Value	
		Awards (2)	
	<u>Number of</u> <u>Shares (#)</u>	<u>Number of Shares</u> <u>(#)</u>	<u>Number of</u> <u>Shares</u> <u>(#)</u>
Steven J. Borick Chairman, CEO and President	0	0	560,000
Kerry A. Shiba EVP and CFO	9,000	0	49,000
Michael J. O'Rourke EVP-Sales, Marketing and Operations	9,500	0	64,000
Parveen Kakar	8,000	0	38,000

SVP-Corporate Engineering and Product
Development

Robert A. Earnest

8,000	0	35,000
-------	---	--------

VP-General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

All Executive Officers as a Group

34,500	0	746,000
--------	---	---------

*All Employees as a Group (Including all
Officers who are not*

40,550	0	520,800
--------	---	---------

Executive Officers)

All Non-Employee Directors as a Group

20,000	0	60,000
--------	---	--------

19

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

(1) Service-based full value awards include restricted stock and RSUs.

(2) Performance-based full value awards include performance-based restricted stock and RSUs. Unvested performance-based awards are shown at target.

Vote Required and Board Recommendation

The affirmative vote of a majority of shares of Common Stock represented and voting at the Annual Meeting at which a quorum is present, together with the affirmative vote of at least a majority of the required quorum, shall be required to approve this proposal. Shares of Common Stock that are voted “FOR,” “AGAINST” or “ABSTAIN” on the proposal are treated as being present at the Annual Meeting for purposes of establishing the quorum, but only shares of Common Stock voted “FOR” or “AGAINST” are treated as shares of Common Stock “represented and voting” at the Annual Meeting with respect to the proposal. Accordingly, abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum for the transaction of business. However, abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted for purposes of determining the number of shares “represented and voting” with respect to the proposal.

THE SUPERIOR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS

THAT YOU VOTE FOR PROPOSAL 4.

PROPOSAL 5

APPROVAL OF THE MATERIAL TERMS OF PERFORMANCE GOALS UNDER THE EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN

Overview

At the 2008 Annual Meeting, our shareholders approved the material terms of performance goals to be used by the Company for awarding certain compensation under the Equity Plan to senior officers from the date of that meeting until the date of the 2013 Annual Meeting. In this proposal, the Board is requesting that shareholders approve the material terms of the performance goals described herein, to enable the Company to continue to have a shareholder-approved arrangement under which certain compensation awarded to senior officers under the Equity Plan may qualify as performance-based compensation for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code.

If this Proposal 5 is approved but Proposal 4 to amend and restate the Equity Plan is not approved, the existing Equity Plan, as amended only by the Housekeeping Amendments (plus the performance goals approved in this Proposal 5), will continue until May 30, 2018, after which no further awards may be made under the Equity Plan. If this Proposal 5 is not approved, performance awards may still be granted under the Equity Plan until May 30, 2018, but certain awards to executive officers made after May 17, 2013 will not be eligible for the performance-based compensation exemption from Code Section 162(m).

Code Section 162(m) imposes a \$1 million limit on the amount that a public company may deduct for compensation paid to the company's chief executive officer or any of the company's three other most highly compensated executive officers (other than the chief financial officer) who are employed as of the end of the year. This limitation does not apply to compensation that meets the requirements under Code Section 162(m) for "qualifying performance-based compensation" (i.e., compensation paid only if the individual's performance meets pre-established objective goals based on criteria approved by shareholders). One of the requirements for compensation to qualify as performance-based under Code Section 162(m) is that the material terms of the performance goals for such compensation be disclosed to and approved by shareholders every five years. In accordance with Code Section 162(m), the material terms that the shareholders approve constitute the framework for the Compensation and Benefits Committee to establish programs and awards under which compensation provided by the Company may qualify as performance-based compensation for purposes of Code Section 162(m); however, there can be no guarantee that amounts payable under these programs and awards will be treated as qualified performance-based compensation under Section 162(m).

The performance goals pertain to the following forms of compensation that may be awarded to the senior officers of the Company during the next five years under the Equity Plan: (1) options or SARs, (ii) performance-based restricted stock or RSUs, whether payable in stock or cash; and (3) dividends or dividend equivalents earned on performance-based awards that are contingent on the achievement of performance goals relating to

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement
the underlying award to which such dividends or dividend equivalents relate.

Material Terms of the Performance Goals under the Equity Plan

For purposes of Code Section 162(m), the material terms of the performance goals for awards granted under the Equity Plan include: (1) the employees eligible to receive compensation; (2) the description of the business measures on which the performance goals may be based; and (3) the maximum amount, or the formula used to calculate the maximum amount, of compensation that can be paid to an employee under the arrangement. Each of these aspects is discussed below, and shareholder approval of this Proposal 5 constitutes approval of each of these aspects for purposes of the Code Section 162(m) shareholder approval requirements.

Eligible Employees. The Compensation and Benefits Committee of the Board, as administrator of the Equity Plan, may grant awards to any employee, consultant or nonemployee director of the Company or its affiliates. The number of eligible participants in the Equity Plan varies from year to year; currently, there are approximately 1,701 persons employed by or otherwise in the service of the Company and its affiliates who would be eligible to receive awards under the Equity Plan at the discretion of the Committee. The group of employees whose compensation would be subject to the performance goals described in this Proposal 5 would include the Company’s senior officers, including the executive officers required to file reports under Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Although Code Section 162(m) only limits deductibility for compensation paid to the chief executive officer or any of the company’s three other most highly compensated executive officers (other than the chief financial officer) who are employed as of the end of the year, we may apply the performance goals to all senior officers in the event that any of them becomes a covered employee under Code Section 162(m) during the time that they hold an award described in this proposal.

Business Measures in the Performance Goals. The Committee may use one or more of the following business measures as the basis of the performance goals for an award under the Equity Plan that is intended to meet the Section 162(m) performance-based compensation exemption:

- net sales
- gross sales
- return on net assets
- return on assets
- return on equity
- return on capital
- return on revenues
- asset turnover
- economic value added
- total stockholder return (“TSR”)
- net income
- pre-tax income
- operating profit margin

- net income margin
- sales margin
- market share
- inventory turnover
- days sales outstanding
- sales growth
- capacity utilization
- increase in customer base
- cash flow
- book value
- earnings per share
- stock price earnings ratio
- earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization expenses (“EBITDA”)
- earnings before interest and taxes (“EBIT”)

EBITDA, EBIT or earnings before taxes and unusual or nonrecurring items as measured either against the annual budget or as a ratio to revenue.

The Committee may select one performance measure or multiple performance measures for determining performance, and the performance goals based on such measures may be expressed in terms of Company-wide objectives or in terms of objectives that relate to the performance of an affiliate or a division, region, department or function within the Company or an affiliate. The Committee will define in an objective fashion the manner of calculating the performance goals based on the performance measures it selects to use in any performance period, and will establish such performance goals within the time period prescribed by, and will otherwise comply with the requirements of, Code Section 162(m). In determining the actual amount to be paid with respect to a Section 162(m) award for a performance period, the Committee may reduce (but not increase) the amount that would otherwise be payable as a result of satisfying the applicable performance goals.

Per-Person Maximum Amounts. The maximum amounts that may be awarded or paid to any individual participant under the Equity Plan during any 12-month period are as follows:

- no more than 200,000 stock options or SARs;
- no more than 100,000 shares of restricted stock or RSUs.

The Committee has established business measures and maximum amounts it considers appropriate in light of

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

foreseeable contingencies and future business conditions. However, even if approved by shareholders, this proposal would not limit the Company's right to pay compensation that does not qualify as performance-based compensation for purposes of Code Section 162(m) in whole or in part. To maintain flexibility in compensating our executives, the Committee reserves the right to use its judgment to authorize compensation payments that may be subject to the Section 162(m) deduction limit when the Committee believes that such payments are appropriate.

For a description of the material terms of the Equity Plan, see Proposal 4, "*Approval of the Amended and Restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan.*" For a description of equity awards made to our named executive officers in 2012, see "*Compensation Discussion and Analysis*" beginning on page 23.

Vote Required and Board Recommendation

The affirmative vote of a majority of shares of Common Stock represented and voting at the Annual Meeting at which a quorum is present, together with the affirmative vote of at least a majority of the required quorum, shall be required to approve this proposal. Shares of Common Stock that are voted "FOR," "AGAINST" or "ABSTAIN" on the proposal are treated as being present at the Annual Meeting for purposes of establishing the quorum, but only shares of Common Stock voted "FOR" or "AGAINST" are treated as shares of Common Stock "represented and voting" at the Annual Meeting with respect to the proposal. Accordingly, abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum for the transaction of business. However, abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted for purposes of determining the number of shares "represented and voting" with respect to the proposal.

THE SUPERIOR BOARD OF DIRECTORS

UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS

THAT YOU VOTE FOR PROPOSAL 5.

PROPOSAL 6

ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Company's shareholders are provided with an opportunity to vote to approve, on an advisory (nonbinding) basis, the compensation of the Company's Named Executive Officers (as defined below in Compensation Discussions and Analysis). Again as in 2011, shareholders accounting for over 97% of the shares of Common Stock of the Company that voted at the 2012 Annual Meeting voted to approve the compensation of the Company's Named Executive Officers. This year, the Company again seeks your advisory vote and asks that you support the compensation of the Named Executive Officers as disclosed in this proxy statement.

As described in detail under *Compensation Discussion and Analysis*, our compensation programs are designed to motivate our executives to create a successful company. We believe that our compensation program, with its balance of short-term and long-term incentives (including equity awards that vest over three to four years), reward sustained

performance that is aligned with long-term shareholder interests.

This proposal, known as a “say-on-pay” proposal, gives the Company’s shareholders the opportunity to express their views on the compensation of its Named Executive Officers. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of the Company’s Named Executive Officers described in this proxy statement.

Accordingly, the Board invites you to review carefully the *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* beginning on page 22 and the tabular and other disclosures on compensation under *Executive Compensation* beginning on page 31, and cast a vote to approve the Company’s executive compensation programs through the following resolution:

“Resolved, that shareholders approve the compensation of the Company’s Named Executive Officers, as discussed and disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the executive compensation tables, and any narrative executive compensation disclosure contained in this proxy statement.”

The say-on-pay vote is advisory, and therefore not binding on the Company, the Compensation and Benefits Committee or the Board of Directors. However, the Board and the Compensation and Benefits Committee value the opinions of our shareholders and will take into account the outcome of the vote when considering future executive compensation decisions.

Vote Required and Board Recommendation

Approval on an advisory basis of this proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of shares of Common Stock represented and voting at the Annual Meeting at which a quorum is present, together with the affirmative

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

vote of at least a majority of the required quorum. Shares of Common Stock that are voted “FOR,” “AGAINST” or “ABSTAIN” on the proposal are treated as being present at the Annual Meeting for purposes of establishing the quorum, but only shares of Common Stock voted “FOR” or “AGAINST” are treated as shares of Common Stock “represented and voting” at the Annual Meeting with respect to the proposal. Accordingly, abstentions will be counted for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum for the transaction of business. However, abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted for purposes of determining the number of shares “represented and voting” with respect to the proposal.

**THE SUPERIOR BOARD OF DIRECTORS
UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS**

THAT YOU VOTE FOR PROPOSAL 6.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Introduction

In this Compensation Discussion and Analysis (this “CD&A”), we provide an overview and analysis of our compensation program and policies, the material compensation decisions we have made under those programs and policies with respect to our top executive officers, and the material factors that we considered in making those decisions. Following this CD&A, under the heading “*Executive Compensation*” on page 32 you will find a series of tables and narrative disclosure containing specific data about the compensation earned in 2012 by the following individuals, whom we refer to as our Named Executive Officers:

- **Steven J. Borick** – Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President;
- **Kerry A. Shiba** – Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer;
- **Michael J. O’Rourke** – Executive Vice President – Sales, Marketing and Operations;
- **Parveen Kakar** – Senior Vice President, Corporate Engineering and Product Development; and
- **Robert A. Earnest** – Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary.

Executive Summary

Our Business. Superior Industries International, Inc. is headquartered in Van Nuys, California. Our principal business is design and manufacture of aluminum road wheels for sale to original equipment manufacturers (“OEM”). We are one of the largest suppliers of cast and forged aluminum wheels to the world’s leading automobile and light truck manufacturers, with wheel manufacturing operations in the United States and Mexico. The Company was founded by Louis L. Borick, who is succeeded by his son, Mr. Steven J. Borick, as the Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of the Company.

Our Business Strategy and Evolution of the OEM Industry. Beginning with the third quarter of 2008, the automotive industry was negatively impacted by the continued dramatic shift away from full-size trucks and SUVs caused by

continuing high fuel prices, rapidly rising commodity prices and the tightening of consumer credit due to the then deteriorating financial markets. Our OEM customers announced unprecedented restructuring actions, culminating in the bankruptcy reorganizations of Chrysler and GM in 2009.

We took steps to manage our costs and rationalize our production capacity after this downturn in our industry, including closures of manufacturing facilities in Kansas, and California, along with significant workforce reductions in our other North American plants. We continue to strive to increase our operating margins from current operating levels by aligning our plant capacity with industry demand and aggressively implementing cost-saving strategies to enable us to meet customer-pricing expectations.

These cost-saving measures, as well as the turn-around experienced in the North American automobile industry in 2010 and 2011, were significant to our operating and financial results for 2011. However, aluminum pricing, product mix and operating issues occurring during sustained high-volume production in 2012, as compared to 2011, resulted in increased production, but flat sales and declining profitability, as demonstrated by the following:

- Wheel unit shipments increased by 800,000 units to 12.5 million in 2012;

- Total revenues declined slightly by \$700,000 over 2011;

- Gross profit decreased by \$6.5 million to \$60.1 million from a gross profit of \$67.1 million in 2011;

- Similarly, net income and earnings per share decreased to \$30.9 million and \$1.13 per diluted share from net income of \$67.2 million and income per share of \$2.46 in 2011, although this included a \$25.2 million tax benefit in 2011 resulting primarily from released valuation allowances for U.S. and Mexico deferred tax assets; and

23

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

- Our closing stock price increased from \$16.54 on December 30, 2011 to \$20.40 on December 31, 2012.

Reflective of our compensation philosophy of pay for performance, overall compensation of our Named Executive Officers in 2012 correlated (both positively and negatively) with our financial performance for the year, illustrating a close alignment of the financial interests of management and our other shareholders. For example:

For 2012, base salaries remained relatively flat.

The major driver of increased overall compensation for the Named Executive Officers in 2012 was the above-target payout under our annual bonus plan, resulting from our strong earnings performance. Our Named Executive Officers other than the CEO also received one-time special merit bonuses related to corporate development projects undertaken during the year.

The value of equity awards granted to our Named Executive Officers in 2012 and in prior years increased due to the increase in our stock price, although for unvested awards that increase in value may never be actually realized.

The award value of new equity awards granted in 2012 was lower than in 2011, based on the decrease in our gross profit and net income in 2012.

Continuous Improvement in Compensation Practices. Our Compensation and Benefits Committee (referred to in this CD&A and the related compensation tables as the “Committee”) is mindful of evolving practices in executive compensation and corporate governance. The following are some of our recently-implemented and long-standing compensation practices that we believe contribute to good governance. For example:

We do not provide tax gross-up protection for change in control excise taxes or for any other compensation to our Named Executive Officers.

None of our Named Executive Officers, other than our CEO, has an employment agreement.

The change in control definition contained in our 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, our Executive Change in Control Severance Plan and our CEO Employment Agreement is not a “liberal” definition that would be activated on mere shareholder approval of a transaction.

Our 2008 Equity Incentive Plan expressly prohibits repricing of options or stock appreciation rights (directly or indirectly) without prior shareholder approval.

Our annual incentive plans, including the shareholder-approved CEO Annual Incentive Performance Plan, are performance-based and have appropriate caps on bonus payouts. We have no history or intention of changing performance metrics mid-year.

None of our directors or executive officers engages in hedging activities involving Company stock. Moreover, our insider trading policy expressly prohibits any employee or director from engaging in hedging activities involving Company stock, such as collars, forward sales, equity swaps or other similar arrangements.

Brief Summary of Our Compensation Program for 2012. The following provides a brief overview of our fiscal 2012 compensation program as detailed later in this CD&A:

The main objectives of our compensation program continue to be paying for performance, aligning our Named Executive Officers' interests with those of our shareholders, and attracting and retaining qualified executives who can help us achieve and expand our business objectives.

The Committee engaged a compensation consultant in Fall of 2011 to provide in 2012 expertise on program design and implementation. As a result of that consultation, the annual cash bonus opportunity was increased to preserve the market competitiveness of this bonus program. Our CEO also provides input on compensation programs and policies

and makes recommendations to the Committee with regard to compensation for our Named Executive Officers other than himself.

The total direct compensation awarded to our Named Executive Officers for 2012 consisted of base salary, annual cash incentive bonuses, extraordinary bonuses, stock options and restricted stock awards.

We encourage alignment of our Named Executive Officers' interests with those of our shareholders through the award of long-term equity grants. In fiscal 2012, our CEO received an equity grant consisting 100% of stock options that vest over four years. Our other Named Executive Officers received equity grants consisting of stock options (ranging from 55.6% to 57.1% of the equity grant) and restricted stock (ranging from 42.9% to 44.4% of the equity grant) which, in each case, vest ratably over a three-year period.

Our CEO has an employment agreement that provides him a right to a severance payment of one year's base salary upon his termination without cause (or three years' base salary for involuntary termination within one year following a change in control of the Company). We do not provide a gross up for taxes.

Our Executive Change in Control Severance Plan helps ensure retention of our Named Executive Officers in the event of a change in control of the Company, and entitles them to a severance payment of two year's base salary, plus annual target bonus, for involuntary termination within two years after a change in control of the Company.

Following a compensation risk assessment, described on page 27, the Committee determined that the

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

Company's compensation plans, programs and policies do not encourage employees to take risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Consideration of 2012 Say-on-Pay Vote

In establishing and recommending 2013 compensation for our Named Executive Officers, the Committee considered the results of the say-on-pay vote at the 2012 annual meeting of shareholders. At that meeting, approximately 97% of the votes cast approved the compensation of the Company's Named Executive Officers for 2011.

Based on the results of the 2012 say-on-pay vote, the Committee concluded that the compensation paid to the Named Executive Officers and the Company's overall pay practices received strong shareholder support and currently do not require substantial revision to address shareholder concerns.

The Committee recognizes that executive pay practices continue to evolve. Consequently, the Committee intends to continue paying close attention to the advice and counsel of its independent compensation advisors and invites our shareholders to communicate any concerns or opinions on executive pay directly to the Committee or the Board. Please see the caption "*Communications with Directors*" under "*Corporate Governance and the Board of Directors*" above for information about communicating with the Board or the Committee.

At the annual meeting of shareholders on May 20, 2011, our shareholders expressed a preference that advisory votes on executive compensation occur every year. In accordance with the results of this vote, the Board determined to implement an advisory vote on executive compensation every year until the next vote on the frequency of shareholder votes on executive compensation, which must occur no later than our 2017 annual meeting.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

Our executive compensation programs are designed to recruit, retain and motivate experienced and qualified executive talent. They are designed to reward the achievement of annual and long-term strategic goals, with the ultimate objective of creating shareholder value. This results in a significant portion of the compensation paid to the Named Executive Officers being tied to our financial performance and the future value of our common stock. However, we also recognize that we must have the ability to compete successfully for exceptional executives. Therefore, in addition to being strategically focused, it is essential to provide compensation that is competitive when compared to similar positions at comparable companies.

In designing and administering the compensation programs of the Named Executive Officers, the Committee attempts to strike a balance among the above elements, which are discussed in more detail below. The Committee considers the pay practices of comparable companies to determine the appropriate pay mix and compensation levels, as well as our own specific short and long-term strategic objectives. The following section describes the various methods the Committee uses in its design, administration and oversight of the compensation programs for the Named Executive Officers.

Methodology for Establishing Compensation

The Committee has direct responsibility for making recommendations to the Board regarding the approval, amendment or termination of our executive compensation plans and programs. However, the Committee establishes the annual compensation of our Chairman and CEO. It also reviews the compensation for our other executive officers and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors. The Committee has the authority to retain the services of outside advisors and experts.

Consistent with its charter, the Committee is composed of four directors. Each member of the Committee is independent, as determined by our Board of Directors and based on the New York Stock Exchange listing standards. Their independence from management allows the Committee members to apply independent judgment when designing and overseeing our compensation program and in making pay decisions.

Compensation Consultants

The Committee from time to time engages independent compensation consultants to provide advice and ongoing recommendations regarding executive compensation programs and principles that are consistent with our business goals and pay philosophy. The Committee has the final authority to hire and terminate the consultant, as well as the responsibility to consider the independence of the consultant before hiring the consultant. The Committee has assessed the independence of Farient Advisors LLC (“Farient”), the current independent compensation consultant to the Committee, and concluded that Farient’s work for the Committee does not raise any conflict of interest under applicable SEC rules.

Setting Executive Compensation

The Committee is responsible for establishing the annual compensation of our CEO. For the remaining

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

Named Executive Officers and other executives, the CEO recommends compensation levels and specific components of compensation. The Committee reviews these recommendations and adjusts them as it deems appropriate before approving or recommending any changes to either the CEO or Board of Directors.

The Committee typically reviews broad-based third-party compensation surveys covering a wide array of public companies, some larger and some smaller than we are, to obtain a general understanding of current compensation practices. These compensation surveys provided valuable data for subjective review and confirmation of the equanimity of the salaries paid to the Named Executive Officers. The data also gives the Committee information concerning market pay practices regarding the pay mix among base salary, annual bonus and long-term incentives.

For 2012, the Committee retained the consulting firm of Farient Advisors LLC to assist the Committee in evaluating the competitiveness of the Company's executive compensation program. Farient based its competitive pay assessment on survey data from the 2011 Mercer Executive Survey using two revenue cuts to approximate a company with \$650 million in revenue. In addition, for the CEO and CFO position, Farient utilized proxy data from a peer group consisting of the following eleven automotive part and equipment manufacturers with median revenues of approximately \$575 million:

.	Amerigon Inc.
.	Dorman Products Inc.
.	Drew Industries Inc.
.	Fuel Systems Solutions Inc.
.	Gentex Corp.
.	Modine Manufacturing Comp.
.	Shiloh Industries Inc.
.	Spartan Motors Inc.
.	Standard Motor Products Inc.
.	Stoneridge Inc.
.	Strattec Security Corp.

This analysis was given equal weighting with the Mercer Survey. For all Named Executive Officers, Farient adjusted the results for differences in scope of positions. The Committee uses the market information obtained from time to time from independent compensation consultants or third-party data sources to test the reasonableness of the compensation decisions we make, but does not target any element of our executive compensation package at a particular level or quartile within a particular peer group.

The Committee is focused on adding structure and performance orientation into the Company's annual and long-term incentive programs. For example, the 2011 and 2012 annual incentive plan used EBITDA as a financial performance measure to focus management on operational efficiencies that will result in improved financial results. EBITDA is a measure of core operating performance, without considering matters such as interest income or expense, taxes, or depreciation and amortization, which generally do not impact operational efficiencies. For 2013, the Committee is working with Farient to consider adding a performance orientation to the Company's equity incentive programs by

linking opportunity to specific long-term financial objectives consistent with value creation and the Company's business strategy.

Management of Compensation-Related Risk

The Committee has designed our compensation programs to avoid excessive risk-taking. The following are some of the features that are designed to help us appropriately manage compensation-related business risk:

Diversification of incentive-related risk by employing a variety of performance measures, including financial and individual performance;

Fixed maximum award levels for performance-based awards; and

An assortment of vehicles for delivering compensation, including cash and equity based incentives with different time horizons, to focus our executives on specific objectives that help us achieve our business plan and create an alignment with long-term shareholder interests.

2012 Executive Compensation Components

For the fiscal year 2012, the principal components of compensation for our Named Executive Officers were:

Base salary;
Performance-based annual incentive compensation;
One-time special merit bonuses;
Long-term equity incentive compensation;
Retirement and similar benefits; and
Other benefits.

The Committee does not use a specific formula for allocating compensation among the various components. Instead, the Committee considers market pay practices and whether the total compensation package is fair, reasonable and in accordance with the interests of our shareholders. However, in 2012, the Committee adopted increased targets for the performance-based annual incentive plan such that this plan would represent approximately 20% of total direct compensation.

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

Base Salary

Base salary provides a fixed element of compensation that competitively rewards the executive's skills, experience and contributions to the Company. The base salary of our CEO was established in his employment agreement effective January 1, 2005, at a minimum of \$750,000. Effective January 1, 2008, the Committee increased Mr. Steven Borick's annual base salary to \$850,000. Mr. Steven Borick's annual base salary remained at this level for 2012.

For Named Executive Officers other than the CEO, base salary adjustments are based on recommendations of the CEO to the Committee, taking into account the executive's performance. In setting 2012 salaries, the CEO and the Committee reviewed the analysis and findings of the compensation consultant.

Base salaries for Named Executive Officers other than the CEO are generally adjusted when deemed necessary to meet market competition or when appropriate to recognize increased responsibilities. The last such adjustment for any of the Named Executive Officers was March 5, 2012. Mr. Shiba received a 2% increase and Mr. Kakar received a 2.5% increase, in each case representing a market adjustment and recognition of increased responsibilities and performance.

Performance-Based Annual Incentive Compensation and Bonuses

The 2012 short-term incentive programs replicated the 2011 design, which provided a correlation to Company performance by using EBITDA as a payout metric, coupled with an individual performance component. Mr. Steven Borick, our President and CEO, earned a \$591,023 bonus in 2012 CEO Annual Incentive Performance Plan (the "2012 CEO Bonus Plan"). Under the 2012 CEO Bonus Plan, Mr. Borick was eligible to receive a cash bonus ranging from 56% to 84% of his base salary depending on the Company's level of achievement of EBITDA goals, set forth below, which were set by the Committee and approved by our Board of Directors. The 2012 CEO Bonus Plan contained fixed and discretionary components. A fixed amount, expressed as a percentage of base salary, was payable based on the level of EBITDA attained, but could be adjusted downward by 30% at the discretion of the Committee. The Committee did not elect to make a downward adjustment in 2012.

The following table illustrates the minimum and maximum payout opportunities and amount paid under the 2012 CEO Bonus Plan:

EBITDA Goal (\$)	% of EBITDA Target	Minimum Fixed % of CEO Salary Payable	Maximum	Actual	Total Amount Paid
-------------------------	---------------------------	--	----------------	---------------	--------------------------

			Fixed % of CEO Salary Payable	Fixed % of CEO Salary Earned	
< 48,000,000	< 80%	-	-		
48,000,000	80%	39.2%	56%		
59,599,000*	99.3%	48.7%	69.5%	69.5%	\$591,023
60,000,000	100%	49.0%	70%		
100,000,000	120%	58.8%	84%		
> 100,000,000	>120%	58.8%	84%		

* Actual 2012 EBITDA achieved.

In March 2011, the Company instituted a new Annual Incentive Performance Plan to provide annual cash incentives to our Named Executive Officers and other high ranking executives, other than the CEO. Under the Annual Incentive Performance Plan for 2012, each Named Executive Officer was eligible to receive a cash bonus ranging from 35% to 50% or more of his base salary depending on the Company's earnings results as compared to the EBITDA target. The Annual Incentive Performance Plan contained fixed and discretionary components. A fixed amount, expressed as a percentage of base salary, was payable based on the level of EBITDA attained. Depending on achievement against pre-specified individual performance goals, the plan administrator could exercise discretion to increase or decrease the fixed portion of the bonus earned by up to 20%.

The following table illustrates the payout opportunities and amounts paid under the fixed and discretionary component of the Annual Incentive Performance Plan for 2012:

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

EBITDA Goal (\$)	% of EBITDA Target	Fixed % of Salary Payable	% of Maximum Discretionary % of Salary Payable	Fixed % of Salary Earned
< 48,000,000	< 80%	-	-	
48,000,000	80%	28% - 40%	6% - 8%	
59,599,000*	99.3%	35% - 50%	7% - 10%	35% - 50%
60,000,000	100%	35% - 50%	7% - 10%	
100,000,000	120%	42% - 60%	8% - 12%	
> 100,000,000	> 120%	42% - 60%	8% - 12%	

* Actual 2012 EBITDA achieved.

The following table shows the total amounts paid to the Named Executive Officers under the Annual Incentive Performance Plan for 2012, after the discretionary adjustments:

Name	Total Amount Paid	Amount Paid as % of Salary
K. Shiba	\$177,307	49.9%
M. O'Rourke	\$153,371	44.7%
P. Kakar	\$78,914	34.9%
R. Earnest	\$127,737	44.7%

The Committee selected EBITDA as the financial performance component of the Annual Incentive Performance Plan for 2012, because it is an objective measure of core operating performance, without considering matters such as interest income or expense, taxes, or depreciation and amortization, which generally do not impact operational efficiencies. The Committee believes that this type of program, which combines objectively measureable financial goals with adjustments for individual performance, reinforces a Company culture based on team contribution towards results and provides a clear line of sight for participants to understand individual rewards.

In addition to the bonus earned under the Annual Incentive Performance Plan in 2012, the Named Executive Officers other than the CEO received one-time special merit bonuses to recognize their extraordinary efforts during the year related to certain corporate development projects.

Long-Term Equity Incentive Compensation

Our 2008 Equity Incentive Plan is designed to achieve four important goals:

- Attract and retain qualified personnel for positions of substantial responsibility,
- Motivate high levels of performance,
- Recognize employee contributions to our success, and
- Align the interests of plan participants with those of our shareholders.

Pursuant to this plan, the Committee has the authority to grant stock options, SARs, restricted stock and restricted stock units, any of which may be earned based on continued service, performance objectives or a combination thereof. In 2010, for the first time, the Compensation Committee approved awards of restricted stock for Company officers, excluding the CEO. All ten officers received the same amount of restricted stock, totaling 4,000 shares each. Through 2012, the Committee has only granted service-based stock options and restricted stock awards under the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan. However, the Committee continues to consider other types of equity awards and re-evaluate whether such awards are consistent with our compensation philosophy and our shareholders' interests.

The decision regarding the size of equity awards to each Named Executive Officer is discretionary and is based on a number of factors:

- market pay practices,
- recent performance,
- recent and expected contributions,
- the number and timing of previous awards and the exercise price of options, and
- the total numbers of awards to be granted.

Individual equity awards are based on recommendations of the CEO (other than with respect to his own awards), with the input of Human Resources, and then reviewed, adjusted as necessary, and approved by the Committee. The Committee considers market pay practices in this determination but does not solely rely on

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

such data to identify the appropriate equity award levels. In granting equity awards, the Committee also considers our financial performance without regard to any specified formula.

Awards of stock options and restricted stock granted in 2012 vest one third per year commencing one year after the grant date, based on continued service; except that options granted to our CEO in 2012 vest one quarter per year commencing one year after the grant date. Although the Committee retains the authority to grant awards using a different vesting schedule, such as performance-based vesting, the Committee selected time-based vesting for the 2012 awards because of its stronger effect on the retention of executives which is particularly important in the current business environment for the OEM industry.

In recent years, the Committee has added restricted stock to the annual equity grant mix because it continues to have value even if the stock price falls below the grant date value, giving it even stronger retention value than stock options, which enjoy higher upside leverage but have no current value if the stock price falls below the exercise price. Also, because full-value awards, such as restricted stock and restricted stock units, require fewer shares to deliver the same grant-date value as option or SARs, they are more efficient in terms of our overall run rate and dilution.

The Committee typically considers equity grants for its Named Executive Officers and other key employees annually. Since 2007, the annual grant of equity awards has been approved to occur one week after the release of earnings for the first quarter of the fiscal year, provided that all material information that might impact our stock price has been publicly disclosed. In 2012, our annual equity awards were approved and granted to Named Executive Officers on May 4, 2012. Pursuant to his employment agreement, Mr. Steven Borick was also entitled to an annual stock option grant of 120,000 shares per year, which was granted on March 12, 2012.

For new employees, the Committee may approve an equity grant on the employee's date of hire or as soon thereafter as is practicable. The Committee is authorized to grant equity awards at other times, as it may deem desirable. Pursuant to the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, the exercise price for stock options cannot be less than the closing stock price on the date of grant.

Retirement and Similar Benefits

Each of our Named Executive Officers other than Mr. Shiba is a participant in our Salary Continuation Plan, which provides a retirement benefit for participants who terminate employment after having reached specified vesting dates and after reaching the age of 65 (or in the event of death while in our employ prior to separation from service). Upon a qualifying termination, we will pay to the participant a benefit equal to 30% of his or her final average compensation over the preceding 36 months. For employee participants, final average compensation includes only base salary. The benefit is paid bi-weekly and continues for the longer of 10 years or until death, provided death occurs more than 10 years after the employee's retirement date. The rights of Messrs. S. Borick, O'Rourke and Kakar have vested under the Salary Continuation Plan, while the rights of Mr. Earnest will vest in August 2016. The Salary Continuation Plan was

closed to new participants in 2011 and, therefore, Mr. Shiba is not a participant.

All employees may participate in our tax-qualified Savings and Retirement Plan which is a 401(k) plan. For fiscal year 2012, we matched 100% of the first 1% of before-tax contributions made to the plan and 50% of such contributions over 1% and up to 6%. However, we did not match employee contributions in excess of the legal limit of \$17,000 in 2012. All Company contributions are vested 100% after two years of service.

Other Benefits

We provide our Named Executive Officers with incidental benefits that the Committee believes are reasonable and consistent with the competitive market. The primary benefits are an automobile allowance and life insurance benefits. In addition, the Named Executive Officers may participate in our health and welfare benefit plans that are available to other executives and employees. Also, Mr. Steven Borick is permitted personal use of the Company aircraft, as specified in footnote 5 to **Table 1 - Summary Compensation Table** on page 32.

Change in Control Severance Plan

The Named Executive Officers participate in the Executive Change in Control Severance Plan. Pursuant to this plan, if the employment of a Named Executive Officer is terminated within two years following a change in control, he will receive two times the sum of his base salary and target annual bonus, paid in one lump sum within 60 days after termination. The executive would also receive a pro-rata target annual bonus for the year in which the change in control occurs. Payments under the Executive Change in Control Severance Plan shall not duplicate any severance payment payable under a different arrangement, if any.

The change of control benefits provided under the Executive Change in Control Severance Plan are intended to encourage executive officers to remain employed with the Company during an important time when prospects for

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

continued employment are often uncertain and to provide some measure of financial security prior to and after a change of control. The amounts to be paid under the plan help ensure that the interests of our executives will be materially consistent with the interests of our shareholders when considering corporate transactions. The Committee considers these protections to be an important part of our Named Executive Officers' compensation and consistent with competitive market practices.

Other Termination or Change in Control Benefits

Upon a change of control of the Company, participants will fully vest in the benefits provided under the Salary Continuation Plan. As indicated above, the rights of all of our Named Executive Officers who participate in that plan are already fully vested, other than Mr. Earnest, whose rights will vest in August 2016.

Our 2008 Equity Incentive Plan provides that all outstanding equity awards will become fully vested upon the occurrence of a change in control unless the award agreement provides otherwise or the award is assumed by the successor entity. If the awards are assumed by the successor entity, a "double-trigger" vesting applies, so that a participant's awards vest if he or she incurs a qualifying termination within two years after the change of control.

Tax Deductibility of Executive Compensation

Section 162(m) of the Code places a limit of \$1 million on the amount of compensation that we may deduct in any one year with respect to our Named Executive Officers other than the CFO. However, compensation that qualifies for the performance-based compensation exemption from Section 162(m) is fully deductible by us, without regard to the limits of Section 162(m).

The CEO Annual Incentive Plan, and our 2008 Equity Incentive Plan (provided the shareholder approve Proposal 5 at the Annual Meeting), allow the Committee to grant incentive awards that may qualify for the performance-based compensation exemption from Section 162(m). However, to maintain flexibility in compensating our executives, the Committee reserves the right to use its judgment to authorize compensation payments that may be subject to the limit when the Committee believes that such payments are appropriate. Service-based restricted stock awards are not eligible for the performance-based compensation exemption. The deductibility of the compensation paid to the CEO and other Named Executive Officers in 2012 was not limited by Code Section 162(m).

Risk Assessment of Overall Compensation Program

The Committee has reviewed with management the design and operation of our incentive compensation arrangements for all managers and executive officers, including the performance objectives and target levels used in connection with incentive awards, for the purpose of assuring that these arrangements do not encourage inappropriate risk taking that could impose unnecessary or excessive risk to the value of our Company or the investments of our shareholders. In connection with such review, the Committee identified certain internal and external factors that comprise the Company's primary business risks, and then reviewed the Company's incentive compensation arrangements for the purpose of identifying any aspects of such programs that might encourage behaviors that could exacerbate the identified business risks.

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

In conducting this assessment, the Committee considered the performance objectives and target levels used in connection with these incentive awards and also the features of the Company’s compensation program that are designed to mitigate compensation-related risk, including those discussed above. Based on such assessment, the Committee concluded that the Company’s compensation policies and practices for its employees are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation and Benefits Committee has reviewed and discussed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based on this review and discussion, the Compensation and Benefits Committee recommended to the Board of Directors and the Board of Directors approved the inclusion of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis in this Proxy Statement and the incorporation of it by reference in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K.

BY THE COMPENSATION AND BENEFITS
COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

V. Bond Evans - Committee Chair

Sheldon I. Ausman

Michael J. Joyce

March 21, 2013 **Timothy C. McQuay**

executive compensation

Table 1 – Summary Compensation Table

Table 1 below summarizes the total compensation paid or earned by each of the Company’s Named Executive Officers for the fiscal years ended December 30, 2012, December 25, 2011, and December 26, 2010.

(a)		(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(i)
								Change in Pension Value		

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus (1)	Stock Awards (2)	Option Awards (3)	and Nonqualified Deferred		All Other Compensation (5)	Total
						Incentive Plan Compensation (4)	Earnings (4)		
Steven J. Borick	2012	\$850,000	\$—	\$—	\$653,455	\$591,023	\$470,369	\$107,552	\$2,670,000
Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President	2011	\$850,000	\$—	\$—	\$625,645	\$350,000	\$417,399	\$110,252	\$2,350,000
Kerry A. Shiba (6)	2010	\$850,000	\$—	\$—	\$467,966	\$850,000	\$271,130	\$273,689	\$2,710,000
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2012	\$355,653	\$70,000	\$41,900	\$55,862	\$177,307	\$—	\$31,397	\$732,000
Michael J. O'Rourke	2011	\$336,730	\$—	\$56,425	\$75,115	\$102,000	\$—	\$12,843	\$583,000
Executive Vice President - Sales, Marketing and Operations	2010	\$71,250	\$—	\$70,840	\$102,707	\$26,701	\$—	\$—	\$271,000
Parveen Kakar	2012	\$343,118	\$50,000	\$41,900	\$55,862	\$153,371	\$219,477	\$19,040	\$882,000
Senior Vice President Corporate Engineering and Product Dev.	2011	\$341,196	\$—	\$67,710	\$87,635	\$107,000	\$181,728	\$18,559	\$803,000
Robert A. Earnest	2010	\$331,875	\$—	\$65,280	\$62,006	\$174,957	\$102,427	\$25,438	\$761,000
Vice President - General Counsel and Corporate Secretary	2012	\$225,921	\$40,000	\$33,520	\$41,897	\$78,914	\$130,148	\$8,362	\$558,000
	2011	\$220,209	\$—	\$45,140	\$56,337	\$52,500	\$102,710	\$8,543	\$485,000
	2010	\$208,077	\$—	\$65,280	\$20,669	\$96,750	\$44,336	\$14,919	\$450,000
	2012	\$285,770	\$30,000	\$33,520	\$41,897	\$127,737	\$170,262	\$19,001	\$708,000
	2011	\$284,430	\$—	\$45,140	\$56,337	\$67,500	\$135,270	\$18,245	\$606,000
	2010	\$277,754	\$—	\$65,280	\$20,669	\$97,580	\$63,237	\$19,865	\$544,000

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

- (1) Represents one-time special merit bonuses to recognize extraordinary efforts during the year related to certain corporate development projects.

Reflects the aggregate grant date fair value of restricted stock awards granted pursuant to the Company's 2008 Equity Incentive Plan to each of the Named Executive Officers computed in accordance with FASB ASC 718 and based on the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant.

- Reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of option awards granted pursuant to the Company's 2008 Equity Incentive Plan to each of the Named Executive Officers computed in accordance with FASB ASC 718.
- (3) Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are included in Note 12 to the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2012, included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed with the SEC.

- Reflects the amounts of the actuarial increase in the present value of each Named Executive Officer's benefits under the Company's Salary Continuation Plan (SCP), determined using the same assumptions used for financial statement reporting purposes, as reflected in Note 9 to the Company's audited financial statements. The rights of Messrs. S. Borick, O'Rourke, and Kakar have vested under the SCP, while the rights of Mr. Earnest will vest in August 2016. Mr. Shiba is not a participant in the SCP, as he was hired shortly before the SCP was closed to new participants in 2011.

- The amounts shown generally include matching contributions allocated by the Company to each Named Executive Officer pursuant to the Savings and Retirement Plan, the value attributable to life insurance premiums paid by the Company on behalf of the Named Executive Officers. Mr. S. Borick's other compensation in 2012 also included an annual car allowance totaling \$36,000, and imputed income for the personal use of the Company aircraft totaling \$69,573.

With respect to the personal use of the Company aircraft, the amount required to be reported represents the incremental cost of providing the benefit and not the total cost or the value of the benefit to the recipient. The Company has computed the incremental aircraft cost on a per hour basis by including:

- The cost of fuel, oil, catering expenses and crew travel expenses;
- Landing, parking, flight planning, customs and similar fees;
- The cost of flight-related maintenance; and
- The dollar value of the lost tax deductions for expenses that exceed the amounts reported as income for our Named Executive Officers.

- (6)

Mr. Shiba was appointed Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”) of the Company on October 7, 2010. Accordingly, the amounts shown for 2010 represent the various components of compensation since that date.

Superior Industries International, Inc. 2013 Proxy Statement

Table 2 – 2012 Grants of Plan Based Awards

Table 2 below summarizes grants of plan-based awards to each of the Company’s Named Executive Officers for the fiscal year ended December 30, 2012.

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(I)	(j)	(k)	(l)	
Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)	All Other Stock Awards:	All Other Option Awards:	Exercise or Base Price of Option	Grant Date and Awards (2)
		Threshold	Target	Maximum	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Threshold	Number of Shares of Stock or	Number of Securities Underlying		
		\$	\$	\$	#	#	#	#	#	Options	\$/Share	\$
Steven J. Borick	3/12/2012	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	120,000	\$19.19	\$653,455
		416,500	595,000	595,000					—	—	\$—	\$—
Kerry A. Shiba	5/4/2012				—	—	—			12,000	\$16.76	\$55,862
	5/4/2012								2,500	—	—	\$41,900
Michael J. O'Rourke		142,261	177,827	213,392								