

ATHENAHEALTH INC
Form 10-K
March 15, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-K**

(Mark One)

- ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009**
- or
- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from to**

**Commission File Number 001-33689
athenahealth, Inc.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

04-3387530
*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*

**311 Arsenal Street,
Watertown, Massachusetts**
(Address of principal executive offices)

02472
(Zip Code)

617-402-1000

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:
None**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter was \$1,169,309,706.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. At March 12, 2010, the registrant had 34,034,323 shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Parts II and III of this Form 10-K incorporate information by reference from the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the close of the fiscal year covered by this annual report.

INDEX

PART I

<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	1
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	20
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	44
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	44
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	44
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>(Removed and Reserved)</u>	44

PART II

<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	45
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	48
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	50
<u>Item 7A.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	68
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	68
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	68
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	68
<u>Item 9B.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	72

PART III

<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	72
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	72
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	72
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	72
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	72

PART IV

<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	73
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		76

<u>EX-10.24 MASTER EQUIPMENT LEASE AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN CIT TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION AND THE REGISTRANT, DATED JUNE 1, 2007</u>	
<u>EX-10.27 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN THE REGISTRANT AND INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION DATED AS OF OCTOBER 2, 2009</u>	
<u>EX-10.28 MASTER AGREEMENT FOR U.S. AVAILABILITY SERVICES BETWEEN SUNGARD AVAILABILITY SERVICES LP AND THE REGISTRANT, DATED DECEMBER 1, 2009, AS AMENDED</u>	
<u>EX-21.1 SUBSIDIARIES OF THE REGISTRANT</u>	
<u>EX-23.1 CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	
<u>EX-31.1 CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER</u>	
<u>EX-31.2 CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER</u>	
<u>EX-32.1 CERTIFICATIONS OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER</u>	

Table of Contents

EXPLANATORY NOTE REGARDING RESTATEMENT

In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the terms athena, athenahealth, we, us, and our refer to athenahealth, Inc. its subsidiaries, Anodyne Health Partners, Inc., athenahealth MA, Inc., and athenahealth Technology Private Limited, and any subsidiary that may be acquired or formed in the future.

athenahealth, athenaNet, and the athenahealth logo are registered service marks of athenahealth; Anodyne Analytics, Anodyne Intelligence Platform, athenaClinicals, athenaCollector, athenaCommunicator, athenaEnterprise, athenaRules, PayerView, and ReminderCall are service marks of athenahealth. This Annual Report on Form 10-K also includes the registered and unregistered trademarks and service marks of other persons.

This Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, includes restatement of the following previously filed consolidated financial statements and data (and related disclosures): (1) our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the fiscal years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008; (2) our selected financial data as of and for our fiscal years ended December 31, 2005, 2006, 2007, and 2008, located in Part II, Item 6 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K; (3) our management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations as of and for our fiscal years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008, contained in Part II, Item 7 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K; and (4) our unaudited quarterly financial information for each quarter in our fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, and for the first three quarters in our fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, in Note 20, Summarized Quarterly Unaudited Financial Data of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We have completed our previously announced internal accounting review related to the amortization period for deferred implementation revenue. Implementation revenue consists primarily of professional services fees related to assisting customers with the implementation of our services. These non-refundable fees are generally billed up front and recorded as deferred revenue until the implementation is complete and then recognized ratably over the expected performance period. Previously, the expected performance period was estimated based upon the initial customer contract terms, the vast majority of which were one year in duration. Implementation and other revenue has ranged from four to seven percent of total revenue on an annual basis since 2007.

As a result of this review, we have concluded that in prior and future periods, we will amortize deferred implementation revenue over a longer expected performance period of twelve years in order to reflect the estimated expected customer life. Accordingly, we will restate the implementation and other revenue within our previously filed consolidated financial statements to reflect the longer amortization period for deferred implementation revenue. We will continue to record implementation expenses in the period as incurred. The length of the amortization period for deferred implementation revenue recognition does not impact cumulative total implementation revenue under contract nor does it impact cash flow. The restatement will result in the deferral to future periods of \$22.3 million of implementation revenue previously recognized through September 30, 2009.

In addition, in connection with the restatement, certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to revised accounting policies. These reclassifications had no effect on net income or shareholders' equity for any period and pertain to: (1) reimbursements of out-of-pocket expenses that were previously netted against corresponding expense and have now been grossed up and included in implementation and other revenue; (2) certain deferred tax liabilities that have been reclassified from non-current to current; (3) draw downs of capital leased lines that were previously presented as sources of cash within the financing activities section of the cash flow statements and have now been reclassified as investing activities; and (4) the excess tax benefit from stock-based awards that were previously presented as sources of cash within the operating activities section of the consolidated statements of cash flows in the accrued expense line have been reclassified as operating activities in the excess tax benefit from

stock-based awards line item.

Financial information included in the Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, and Current Reports on Form 8-K filed by us prior to March 15, 2010, and all earnings, press releases, and similar communications issued by us prior to March 15, 2010, should not be relied upon and are superseded in their entirety by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

For more information regarding the restatement, please refer to Part II, Item 6, Selected Financial Data ; Part II, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ; Note 2, Restatement and Reclassification of Previously Issued Consolidated Financial Statements, and Note 20, Summarized Quarterly Unaudited Financial Data, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8; and Part II, Item 9A, Controls and Procedures.

Table of Contents

PART I

**SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING
FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND INDUSTRY DATA**

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical fact contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are forward-looking statements, including those regarding our patient cycle management service; the combination or integration of newly acquired services with athenaCollector and athenaNet; expanded sales and marketing efforts; changes in expenses related to operations, selling, marketing, research and development, general and administrative matters, and depreciation and amortization; liquidity issues; additional fundraising; and the expected performance period and estimated term of our client relationships, as well as more general statements regarding our expectations for future financial or operational performance, product and service offerings, regulatory environment, and market trends. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as may, will, should, expects, plans, anticipates, estimates, predicts, potential, or continue ; the negative of these terms; or other comparable terminology.

Forward-looking statements are only current predictions and are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause our or our industry's actual results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements to be materially different from those anticipated by such statements. These factors include, among other things, those listed under Risk Factors and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements. Except as required by law, we are under no duty to update or revise any of such forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise, after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Unless otherwise indicated, information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K concerning our industry and the markets in which we operate, including our general expectations and market position, market opportunity, and market share, is based on information from independent industry analysts and third-party sources (including industry publications, surveys, and forecasts), our internal research, and management estimates. Management estimates are derived from publicly available information released by independent industry analysts and third-party sources, as well as data from our internal research, and are based on assumptions made by us based on such data and our knowledge of such industry and markets, which we believe to be reasonable. None of the sources cited in this Annual Report on Form 10-K has consented to the inclusion of any data from its reports, nor have we sought their consent. Our internal research has not been verified by any independent source, and we have not independently verified any third-party information. While we believe the market position, market opportunity, and market share information included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K is generally reliable, such information is inherently imprecise. In addition, projections, assumptions, and estimates of our future performance and the future performance of the industries in which we operate are necessarily subject to a high degree of uncertainty and risk due to a variety of factors, including those described in Risk Factors in Item 1A of Part 1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. These and other factors could cause results to differ materially from those expressed in the estimates made by the independent parties and by us.

Item 1. Business.

Overview

athenahealth is a leading provider of Internet-based business services for physician practices. Our service offerings are based on four integrated components: our proprietary Internet-based software, our continually updated database of payer reimbursement process rules, our back-office service operations that perform administrative aspects of billing and clinical data management for physician practices, and our automated and live patient communication services. Our principal offering, athenaCollector, automates and manages billing-

Table of Contents

related functions for physician practices and includes a medical practice management platform. We have also developed a service offering, athenaClinicals, that automates and manages medical-record-related functions for physician practices and includes an electronic health record, or EHR, platform. ReminderCall, which we added to our service suite in September 2008, is our automated appointment reminder system that allows patients to either confirm the appointment or request rescheduling. We have now combined ReminderCall with other automated patient messaging services, live operator services, and a patient web portal in the first edition of our athenaCommunicator services suite that we beta launched in 2009 and expect to offer commercially in the first half of 2010. We refer to athenaCollector as our revenue cycle management service, athenaClinicals as our clinical cycle management service, and athenaCommunicator as our patient cycle management service. As a complement to these services, our newest offering, Anodyne Analytics, is a web-based, Software-as-a-Service business intelligence platform that organizes and displays detailed and insightful practice performance data for decision makers at our client practices. Our services are designed to help our clients achieve faster reimbursement from payers, reduce error rates, increase collections, lower operating costs, improve operational workflow controls, improve patient satisfaction and compliance, and more efficiently manage clinical and billing information.

In the last six years, we have primarily focused on developing our proprietary Internet-based software application and integrated service operations to expand our client base. In 2005, we formed a subsidiary in India to complement our U.S.-based software development activities and to work closely with our business partners in India. In September 2008, we completed our first acquisition, purchasing the assets of Crest Line Technologies, LLC (d.b.a. MedicalMessaging.net), a privately held company that developed ReminderCall and associated services. We continued this expansion of our offerings in October 2009 with our acquisition of our new operating subsidiary, Anodyne Health Partners, Inc. (Anodyne), a privately held company that developed the Anodyne Analytics service. In 2009, we generated revenue of \$188.5 million from the sale of our services, compared to \$136.3 million in 2008. As of December 31, 2009, there were more than 23,350 medical providers, including more than 15,700 physicians, using our services across 43 states and the District of Columbia and 60 medical specialties.

Market Opportunity

We believe that the market opportunity for our services is, in large part, currently driven by physician practice collections in the United States. According to the U.S. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, physician and clinical services spending increased since 2000 by an average of 7.0% per year to \$496 billion in 2008.

Growth in managed care has increased the complexity of physician practice reimbursement. Managed care plans typically create reimbursement structures with greater complexity than previous methods, placing greater responsibility on the physician practice to capture and provide appropriate data to obtain payments. Also, despite substantial consolidation in the number of managed care organizations over the last decade, many of the legacy information technology platforms used to manage the plans operated by these companies have remained in place. As a result of this increasing complexity, physician practices must keep track of multiple plan designs and processing requirements to ensure appropriate payment for services rendered.

Physician practice-based billing activities that are required to ensure appropriate payment for services rendered have increased in number and complexity for the following reasons:

Diversity of health benefit plan design. Health insurers have introduced a wide range of benefit structures, many of which are customized to unique goals of particular employer groups. This has resulted in an increase in rules regarding who is eligible for healthcare services, what healthcare services are eligible for reimbursement, and who is responsible for payment for healthcare services delivered.

Dynamic nature of health benefit plan design. Health insurers continuously update their reimbursement rules based on ongoing monitoring of consumption patterns, in response to new medical products and procedures, and to address changing employer demands. As these changes are made frequently throughout the year and are frequently specific to each individual health plan, physician practices need

Table of Contents

to be continually aware of this dynamic element of the reimbursement cycle as it could impact overall reimbursement and specific workflows.

Proliferation of new payment models. New health benefit plans and reimbursement structures have considerably modified the ways in which physician practices are paid. For example, there is an increasing trend toward consumer-driven health plans, or CDHPs, that require a far greater portion of fees to be paid by the consumer, typically until a pre-specified threshold is achieved. Care-based initiatives, including pay-for-performance, or P4P programs, which provide reimbursement incentives centered around capture and submission of specified clinical information, have dramatically increased the administrative and clinical documentation burden of the physician practice.

Changes in the regulatory environment. The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, required changes in the way private health information is handled, mandated new data formats for the health insurance industry, and created new security standards. Among the changes introduced by HIPAA, physicians have been required to adopt National Provider Identifiers, and this has affected physician practice billing and collection workflow requirements.

In addition to administering typical business functions, physician practices must invest significant time and resources in activities that are required to secure reimbursement from patients or third-party payers and process inbound and outbound communications related to physician orders to laboratories and pharmacies. In order to process these communications, physician practices often manipulate locally or remotely installed software, execute paper-based and fax-based communications to and from payers, and conduct telephone-based discussions with payers and intermediaries to resolve unpaid claims or to inquire about the status of transactions.

The Established Model

Currently, the majority of physician practices bill for their services in one of three ways: purchasing, installing, and operating locally installed practice management software; paying for use of remotely installed on-demand practice management software; or hiring a third-party billing service to collect billing-related information and input the information into a software system maintained by the service. In terms of medical-record-management, the majority of physician practices rely on paper-based systems or use locally or remotely installed EHR software to generate electronic medical record information. However, these software systems do not eliminate paper-based transactions and information exchanges with intermediaries such as labs, pharmacies, and hospitals. Physician practices are still responsible for inputting all medical record information into the software, as these systems are not automatically linked to those of the intermediaries. In many instances, the solutions that are installed at a physician practice or a remote location are operated by that practice's administrative staff. As the complexity and number of health benefit plan payer rules has increased, the ability of locally or remotely installed software solutions to keep up with new and revised payer rules has lagged behind this trend, leading to higher levels of unpaid claims, prolonged billing cycles, increased clinical inefficiencies, and missed opportunities for reimbursements for participation in P4P programs. While locally or remotely installed software has been shown to provide improvement in physician practice efficiency and collections relative to paper-based systems, we believe that such standalone software is not suited for today's dynamic and increasingly complex healthcare system.

Despite advances in practice management and EHR software to address the administrative needs of physician practices, the billing, collections, and medical record management functions remain expensive, inefficient, and challenging for many physician practice groups. We believe that established locally or remotely installed physician practice management and EHR software has generally suffered from the following challenges:

Software is static and isolated. Payer rules change continuously, and the systems used to seek reimbursement require constant updating to remain accurate. If it is not linked to a centrally hosted, continuously updated knowledge base of payer rules, software typically cannot reflect real-time changes based upon health-benefit-plan-specific requirements. Additionally, since most software vendors are not in the business of processing claims, they are often unaware of the creation of new payer rules and

Table of Contents

changes to existing payer rules. As a result, physician practices typically have the responsibility to navigate this complex and dynamic reimbursement system in order to submit accurate and complete claims. We believe that their inability to keep current on these rule changes is the single largest factor leading to claims denials and diverting time and resources away from revenue and clinical cycle workflow.

Software requires reliance on physician practice personnel. Physician practices have difficulty managing the increased complexity of billing, collections, and medical record management because they lack the necessary infrastructure and suffer from significant staff turnover rates. Despite attempts to automate workflow, many software solutions still require that a number of healthcare supply chain interactions be executed manually via paper, fax, or phone. These manual interactions include insurance product monitoring, insurance eligibility, claims submission, claims tracking, remittance posting, denials management, payment processing, formatting of lab requisitions, submitting of lab requisitions, and monitoring and classification of all inbound faxes. These tasks are prone to human error, are inefficient, and generally require the accumulation of rules and claims processing knowledge by the individuals involved. High employee turnover in physician practices leads to critical reimbursement and transaction processing knowledge being lost.

Software vendors are not paid on results. Most established practice management and EHR software companies operate under a business model that does not directly incentivize them to improve their clients financial and operational results. The established software business model involves a substantial upfront license payment in addition to ongoing maintenance fees. While the goal of practice management and EHR software is to improve reimbursement and clinical efficiency, the responsibility for realizing these efficiencies still largely rests on physician practices' administrative and clinical staff.

We believe that the use of traditional outsourced back-office service providers does not adequately compensate for the deficiencies of the locally or remotely installed software model. Such service providers generally rely on third-party software that suffers from the same deficiencies that physicians experience when they perform their own back-office processing operations. The software often is not connected to payer rules that can be enforced in real time by office staff throughout the patient workflow. In addition, these service providers typically operate discrete databases and sometimes utilize separate processes for each client they serve, which affords limited advantages of scale, thereby conferring limited cost advantages to physician practices. Without either control over the software application or an integrated rules database, outsourced service providers cannot offer physicians the benefits of our Internet-based business service model.

The payer universe is dynamic and continuously growing in complexity as rules are changed and new rules are added, making it extremely difficult for physician practices, and even payers, to effectively manage the reimbursement rules landscape. In addition, clinical data management and reporting is also beginning to impact reimbursement for physician practices. While locally or remotely installed software has struggled to meet these challenges, the Internet has developed in the broader economy into a reliable and efficient medium that opens the door to entirely new ways of performing business functions. The Internet is ideally suited to centralization of the large-scale research needed to stay current with payer rules and to the instantaneous dissemination of this information. The Internet also allows real-time consolidation and centralized execution of administrative work across many medical practice locations. As a result, the health care industry is well suited to benefit from the efficiency and effectiveness of the Internet as a delivery platform.

Our Solution

The dynamic and increasingly complex healthcare market requires an integrated solution to manage the reimbursement and clinical landscape effectively. We believe that we are the first company to integrate web-based software, a continually updated database of payer rules, back-office service operations, and automated and live patient

communication services into a single Internet-based business service for physician practices.

Table of Contents

We seek to deliver these services at each critical step in the revenue and clinical cycle workflow through a combination of software, knowledge, and work:

Software. athenaNet, our proprietary web-based practice management and EHR application, is a workflow management tool used to properly handle billing, collections, patient communications, and medical-record-management-related functions. All users across our client-base simultaneously use the same version of our software application, which connects them to our continually updated database of payer rules and to our services team.

Knowledge. athenaRules, our proprietary database of payer reimbursement process rules, enforces physician practice workflow requirements and is continually updated with payer-specific coding and documentation information. This knowledge continues to grow as a result of our years of experience managing back-office service operations for hundreds of physician practices, including processing medical claims with tens of thousands of health benefit packages. athenaRules is also designed to access medication formularies, identify potential medication errors such as drug-to-drug interactions or allergy reactions, and identify the specific clinical activities that are required to comply with P4P programs.

Work. The athenahealth service operations, consisting of approximately 582 people, interact with clients at all key steps of the revenue and clinical cycle workflow. These operations include setting up medical providers for billing, checking the eligibility of scheduled patients electronically, submitting electronic and paper-based claims to payers directly or through intermediaries, processing clinical orders, receiving and processing checks and remittance information from payers, documenting the result of payers' responses, and evaluating and resubmitting claims denials.

We are economically aligned with our physician practice clients because payment for our services in most cases is dependent on the results our services achieve for our clients. The positive results of our approach are seen in the significant growth in the number of clients serviced, collections under management, and overall revenue in each of the preceding nine years.

Key advantages of our solution include:

Low total cost of the athenahealth solutions. The cost of our services includes a modest upfront expenditure for implementation and training, with ongoing monthly service fees typically based on a percentage of client collections. This approach differs from the established model that requires upfront investments in software, hardware, implementation service and support, and additional information technology staff. We continually update our web-based software and add or revise over 100 rules on average each month in our shared payer knowledge base, which enables our clients to use these new features with minimal disruption and no incremental cost. Once implemented, our clients access our services by using an Internet connection and a web browser. We believe that our services-based model provides advantages to our clients based on the elimination of future upgrade, training, and extra follow-up costs associated with the established model.

Comprehensive payer rules engine that is continuously expanded and updated. We believe that we have the largest and most comprehensive continually updated database of payer reimbursement process rules in the United States. We collect health-benefit-plan-specific processing information so that the medical office workflow and the work of our service operations can be tailored to the requirements of each health benefit plan. Real-time error alerts automatically triggered by our rules engine enable our clients in many cases to catch billing-related errors immediately at the beginning of the reimbursement cycle, fix these errors quickly, and generate medical claims that achieve high first-pass success rates. Payer rules change frequently and are not commonly published by payers; therefore most rules must be learned from experience. We have full-time

staff focused on finding, researching, documenting, and implementing new rules, enabling our solution to consistently deliver quantifiably improved financial results for our clients. Additionally, we discover and implement even more new rules as new clients connect to our rules engine and expose our staff to new reimbursement scenarios. Our other clients

Table of Contents

benefit from the addition of these new rules, and this continuous updating increases our value proposition benefiting both current and future clients.

Real-time workflow and process optimization result in improved financial and operational outcomes. Our solution enables real-time communications between the physician practice's staff and our service operations staff throughout the patient encounter and billing processes. We believe that this online interaction is vital for delivering the financial and operational performance our clients enjoy. The monitoring and managing of physician practice workflows allows us to stay close to client needs and constantly upgrade our offerings in order to improve the effectiveness of our overall service. These elements allow us to identify and influence critical practice workflow steps to maximize billing performance and deliver improved financial and operational outcomes for our clients.

Critical mass and access to superior scale and capabilities. We have taken physician back-office tasks that would otherwise be performed on a local or regional basis and have brought them together on a single national platform. Our platform was designed and constructed to enable us to assume responsibility for the completion of automated and manual tasks in the revenue and clinical workflow cycles, while providing critical tools and knowledge to effectively assist clients in completing those tasks that must be done on-site in the physician practice. As a result of our centralized infrastructure, we can apply a broad array of resources (from athenahealth, our clients, and our off-shore partners) to address the myriad of discrete tasks within the revenue and clinical workflow cycles in a cost-effective manner. This approach allows us to deliver services and performance superior to what any particular physician practice could achieve on its own.

Our Strategy

Our mission is to be the most trusted business service to medical groups. Key elements of our strategy include:

Remaining intensely focused on our clients' success. Our business model aligns our goals with our clients' goals and provides an incentive for us to improve the performance of our clients continually. We believe that this approach enables us to maintain client loyalty, enhance our reputation, and improve the quality of our solutions.

Maintaining and growing our payer rules database. Our rules engine development work is designed to increase the percentage of transactions that are successfully executed on the first attempt and to reduce the time to resolution after claims or other transactions are submitted. We continue to develop our centralized payer reimbursement process rules database, athenaRules, by learning from experience gained across our national network of clients.

Attracting new clients. We expect to continue with current and expanded sales and marketing efforts to address our market opportunity by aggressively seeking new clients. We believe that our Internet-based business services provide significant value for physician practices of any size. With more than 600,000 practicing physicians in the United States, we estimate that our client base currently represents less than three percent of the U.S. addressable market for revenue cycle management and clinical cycle management services.

Increasing revenue per client by adding new service offerings. We expanded our offerings in September 2008 by acquiring the assets of Crest Line Technologies, LLC, which provided our ReminderCall service, and in October 2009 by acquiring Anodyne, which developed our Anodyne Analytics service. In 2009, we beta launched our athenaCommunicator services suite that combined ReminderCall with other automated patient messaging services, live operator services, and a patient web portal, and we expect to offer athenaCommunicator commercially in the first half of 2010. We continue to explore additional services to

address other administrative tasks within physician practices.

Expanding operating margins by reducing the costs of providing our services. We believe that we can increase our operating margins as we increase the scalability of our service operations. Our integrated operations enable us to deploy efficient and effective resources in providing our services.

Table of Contents

Our Services

athenahealth is a leading provider of Internet-based business services for physician practices. Our service offerings are based on our proprietary web-based software, a continually updated database of payer rules, integrated back-office service operations, and our automated and live patient communication services. Our services are designed to help our clients achieve faster reimbursement from payers, reduce error rates, increase collections, lower operating costs, improve operational workflow controls, and more efficiently manage clinical and billing information.

athenaCollector

Our principal offering, athenaCollector, is our revenue cycle management service that automates and manages billing-related functions for physician practices and includes a practice management platform. athenaCollector assists our physician clients with the proper handling of claims and billing processes to help manage reimbursement quickly and efficiently.

Software (athenaNet)

Through athenaNet, athenaCollector utilizes the Internet to connect physician practices to our rules engine and service operations team. In its 2009 year-end Best in KLAS survey, KLAS Enterprises, LLC, a healthcare information technology industry research firm, reported athenaNet No. 1 in the Other Revenue Cycle Solutions category for practices with a single physician, No. 1 in the Practice Management category for practice groups with two to five physicians, No. 2 in the Practice Management category for practice groups with six to 25 physicians, and No. 2 in the Practice Management category for practice groups with 25 to 100 physicians. Apart from the single-physician practice category, which was first instituted in 2008, athenaNet has been ranked in the top 5 in each of these categories in each annual Best in KLAS ranking since 2004.

Table of Contents

athenaNet includes a workflow dashboard used by our clients and our services team to track claims requiring edits in real-time before they are sent to the payer, claims requiring work that have come back from the payer unpaid, and claims that are being held up due to administrative steps required by the individual client. This Internet-native functionality provides our clients with the benefits of our database of payer rules as it is updated and enables them to interact with our services team to efficiently monitor workflows. The Internet-based architecture of athenaNet allows each transaction to run through our centralized rules engine so that mistakes can be corrected quickly across all of our clients. In the future, we plan to further leverage the efficiencies currently provided by athenaNet with the additional detail and analysis offered by our Anodyne Analytics service.

Knowledge (athenaRules)

Physician practices route all of their day-to-day electronic and paper-based payer communications to us, which we then process using athenaRules and our service operations to avoid reimbursement delays and improve practice performance. Our proprietary database of payer knowledge has been constructed based on over nine years of experience in dealing with physician workflow in hundreds of physician practices with medical claims from tens of thousands of health benefit packages. The core focus of the database is on the payer rules, which are the key drivers of claim payment and denials. Understanding denials allows us to construct rules to avoid future denials across our entire client base, resulting in increased automation of our workflow processes. On average, over 100 rules are added or revised in our rules engine each month. athenaRules has been designed to interact seamlessly with athenaNet in the medical office workflow and in our service operations.

Work (athenahealth Service Operations)

athenahealth Service Operations enables the service teams that collaborate with client staff to achieve successful transactions. Our Service Operations consists of both knowledgeable staff and technological infrastructure used to execute the key steps associated with proper handling of physician claims and clinical data management. The service team is comprised of approximately 582 people on our service teams who interact with physicians, providers, and clinicians at all of the key steps in the revenue cycle, including:

- coordinating with payers to ensure that client providers are properly set up for billing;

- checking the eligibility of scheduled patients electronically;

- submitting claims to payers directly or through intermediaries, whether electronically or via printed claim forms;

- obtaining confirmation of claim receipt from payers, either electronically or through phone calls;

- receiving and processing checks and remittance information from payers and documenting the result of payers responses;

- evaluating denied claims and determining the best approach to appealing and/or resubmitting claims to obtain payment;

- billing patients for balances that are due;

- compiling and delivering management reporting about the performance of clients at both the account level and the provider level;

transmitting key clinical data to the revenue cycle workflow to eliminate the need for code re-entry and to permit assembling all key data elements required to achieve maximum appropriate reimbursement; and

providing proactive and responsive client support to manage issues, address questions, identify training needs, and communicate trends.

Table of Contents

athenaClinicals

athenaClinicals is our clinical cycle management service that automates and manages medical-record-management-related functions for physician practices and includes an EHR platform. It assists medical groups with the proper handling of physician orders and related inbound and outbound communications to ensure that orders are carried out quickly and accurately and to provide an up-to-date and accurate online patient clinical record. athenaClinicals is designed to improve clinical administrative workflow, and its software component has received certification from the Certification Commission for Healthcare Information Technology, or CCHIT, under that body's 2008 standards.

Software (athenaNet)

Through athenaNet, athenaClinicals displays key clinical measures by office location related to the drivers of high quality and efficient care delivery on a workflow dashboard, including lab results requiring review, patient referral requests, prescription requests, and family history of previous exams. According to the 2009 year-end Best in KLAS survey, athenaClinicals achieved 100% client confidence in its ability to enable clients to meet the 2011 Meaningful Use standards under the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (the HITECH Act). Similar to its functionality within athenaCollector, athenaNet provides comprehensive reporting on a range of clinical results, including distribution of different procedure codes (leveling), incidence of different diagnoses, timeliness of turnaround by lab companies and other intermediaries, and other key performance indicators.

Knowledge (athenaRules)

Clinical data must be captured according to the requirements and incentives of different payers and plans. Clinical intermediaries such as laboratories and pharmacy networks require specific formats and data elements as well. athenaRules is designed to access medication formularies, identify potential medication errors such as drug-to-drug interactions or allergy reactions, and identify the specific clinical activities that are required to adhere to P4P programs including Medicare incentive payments under the HITECH Act, which can add incremental revenue to the physician practice.

Work (athenahealth Service Operations)

athenaClinicals provides the additional functionality that we believe medical groups expect from an EHR to help them complete the key processes that affect the clinical care record related to patient care, including:

- identifying available P4P programs, incentives, and enrollment requirements and assisting with the enrollment and data submission for those programs;

- entering data about patient encounters as they happen;

- delivering outbound physician orders such as prescriptions and lab requisitions; and

- capturing, classifying, and presenting inbound documentation, such as lab results, electronically or via fax.

athenaCommunicator

As a result of our acquisition of the assets of Crest Line Technologies, LLC (d.b.a. MedicalMessaging.net) in September 2008, we offer automated messaging services that remind patients of appointment details and allow them

to use that automated system to confirm or reschedule the appointment or to speak with a live operator. These services help to reduce no-shows and thereby increase the number of revenue-generating appointments. We have renamed these services ReminderCall and expanded their marketing to our existing clients and prospective clients while also offering our other services to existing MedicalMessaging clients. We have developed an expanded set of services, called athenaCommunicator, which includes ReminderCall and other automated patient messaging services, live operator services, and a patient web portal. A beta version of athenaCommunicator was first offered to clients in July 2009, and, although the specific packaging, pricing,

Table of Contents

and marketing plans for this new service line have not been completed, we expect to offer an initial commercial version of these services in the first half of 2010, with expanded versions likely to follow in subsequent years.

Anodyne Analytics

With the acquisition of our newest operating subsidiary, Anodyne, in October 2009, we expanded the business intelligence function of our existing services through the addition of Anodyne Analytics. This web-based Software-as-a-Service platform organizes and analyzes billing and claims-based data, allowing physician practices to quickly and easily visualize that data through a wide array of business performance metrics. These metrics can be provided either as broad, practice-wide summaries or as discrete, highly specific analyses based on complex user-defined requests. In the future, we plan to further leverage the efficiencies currently provided by athenaNet with the additional detail and analysis offered by Anodyne Analytics and the Anodyne Intelligence Platform.

Sales and Marketing

We have developed a sales and marketing capability aimed at expanding our network of physician clients and expect to expand these efforts in the future. We have a significant direct sales effort, which we augment through our indirect channel relationships.

Direct Sales

As of December 31, 2009, we employed a direct sales and sales support force of 124 employees. Of these employees, 94 were sales professionals. Due to our ongoing service relationship with clients, we conduct a consultative sales process. This process includes understanding the needs of prospective clients, developing service proposals, and negotiating contracts to enable the commencement of services. Our sales team can be divided into three groups: the enterprise team who are dedicated to physician practices with 150 or more physicians; the group team who are dedicated to physician practices with four to 149 physicians; and the small group team who are dedicated to physician practices with one to three physicians. This sales force includes 45 quota-carrying sales representatives, five members of the enterprise team, 18 members of the group team, and 22 members of the small group team. Our sales force is supported by 30 personnel in our marketing organization who provide specialized support for promotional and selling efforts.

Channel Partners

In addition to our employed sales force, we maintain business relationships with individuals and organizations that promote or support our sales or services within specific industries or geographic regions, which we refer to as channels. We refer to these individuals and organizations as our channel partners. In most cases, these relationships are generally agreements that compensate channel partners for providing us sales lead information that results in sales. These channel partners generally do not make sales but instead provide us with leads that we use to develop new business through our direct sales force. Other channel relationships permit third parties to act as value-added resellers or as independent sales representatives. In some instances, the channel relationship involves endorsement or promotion of our services by these third parties. In 2009, channel-based leads were associated with approximately half of our new business. Our channel relationships include state medical societies, healthcare information technology product companies, healthcare product distribution companies, and consulting firms. Examples of these types of channel relationships include:

the Ohio State Medical Society;

Eclipsys Corporation; and

WorldMed Shared Services, Inc. (d/b/a PSS World Medical Shares Services, Inc.), or PSS.

In May 2007, we entered into a marketing and sales agreement with PSS for the marketing and sale of athenaClinicals and athenaCollector. The agreement has an initial term of three years and may be terminated by either party for cause or convenience. The agreement shall automatically renew after the initial term for

Table of Contents

successive one year periods unless athenahealth or PSS gives notice of termination no later than sixty days prior to expiration of the then-current term. Under the terms of the agreement, we will pay PSS sales commissions based upon the estimated contract value of orders placed with PSS, which will be adjusted 15 months after the date the service begins for each client, in order to reflect actual revenue received by us from clients. Subsequent commissions will be based upon a specified percentage of actual revenue generated from orders placed with PSS. We funded \$0.3 million toward the establishment of an incentive plan for the PSS sales representatives during the first twelve months of the agreement and are responsible for co-sponsoring training sessions and conducting on-line education for PSS sales representatives.

Under the terms of the agreement, athenahealth's revenue cycle services and clinical cycle services are now the exclusive revenue and clinical cycle solutions sold by PSS, except that PSS may sell clinical cycle services not based on an application service provider model. Additionally, the terms of the agreement prohibit us from entering into a similar agreement with any business that has, as its primary source of revenue, revenue from the business of distributing medical and surgical supplies to the physician ambulatory care market in the United States. None of our existing channel relationships are affected by our exclusive arrangement with PSS, and while our agreement with PSS precludes us from entering into similar arrangements with other distributors of medical and surgical supplies to the physician ambulatory care market in the United States, we believe that PSS is of sufficient size so as to offer us a compelling opportunity to market our services to prospective clients that would otherwise be difficult for us to reach. According to PSS, they are the largest provider of medical and surgical supplies to the physician market in the United States, with a sales force consisting of more than 750 sales consultants who distribute medical supplies and equipment to more than 100,000 offices in all 50 states.

Marketing Initiatives

Since our service model is new to most physicians, our marketing and sales objectives are designed to increase awareness of our company, establish the benefits of our service model, and build credibility with prospective clients so that they will view our company as a trustworthy long-term service provider. To execute on this strategy, we have designed and implemented specific activities and programs aimed at converting leads to new clients.

Our marketing initiatives are generally targeted towards specific segments of the physician practice market. These marketing programs primarily consist of:

- traditional print advertising;

- sponsoring pay-per-click search advertising and other Internet-focused awareness building efforts (such as social media, online videos, webinars, and destination websites covering compliance and other issues of interest to physician practices);

- engaging in public relations activities aimed at generating media coverage;

- participating in industry-focused trade shows;

- disseminating targeted mail, e-mail, and phone calls to physician practices;

- conducting informational meetings (such as strategic retreats with targeted potential clients); and

- dinner seminar series.

In June 2006, we introduced our annual PayerView rankings in order to provide an industry-unique framework to systematically address what we believe is administrative complexity existing between payers and providers. PayerView is designed to look at payers' performance based on a number of categories, which combine to provide an overall ranking aimed at quantifying the ease of doing business with the payer. All data used for the rankings come from actual claims performance data of our clients and depict our experience in dealing with individual payers across the nation. The rankings include national payers that meet a minimum yearly threshold of 120,000 charge lines of data and regional payers that meet a minimum yearly threshold of 20,000 charge lines.

Table of Contents

Technology, Development, and Operations

Our primary data center is in Bedford, Massachusetts with Digital 55 Middlesex, LLC (as successor to Sentinel Properties Bedford, LLC) and our production data is housed in systems at our Watertown, Massachusetts and Belfast, Maine offices. As backup to the primary data center, we have a backup data center at our Belfast, Maine offices. In addition, in December 2009 we signed a contract with a major provider of disaster recovery services, SunGard Availability Services, LP, to store our disaster recovery plans, deepen the resiliency of our technology recovery infrastructure, and provide disaster recovery testing services. In the case of a significant event at our primary data center, we could become operational in a reasonable timeframe at our backup data center. The services provided by our data centers and disaster recovery service providers are generally commercially available at comparable rates from other service providers.

Our mission-critical business application is hosted by us and accessed by clients using Internet connections or private network connections. We have devoted significant resources to producing software and related application and data center services that meet the functionality and performance expectations of clients. We use commercially available hardware and a combination of proprietary and commercially available software to provide our services. Software licenses for the commercially sourced software are generally available on commercially reasonable terms. The design of our application and database servers is modular and scalable in that, as new clients are added, we are able to add additional capacity as necessary. We refer to this as a horizontal scaling architecture, which means that hardware to support new clients is added alongside existing clients hardware and does not directly affect existing clients.

We devote significant resources to innovation. We execute monthly releases of new software functionality to our clients each year. Our software development life cycle methodology ensures that each software release is properly designed, built, tested, and rolled out. Our clients all operate on the same version of our software, although some rules are designed to take effect only locally for particular clients. Our software development activities involve approximately 78 technologists employed by us in the United States as of December 31, 2009. We complement this team's work with software development services from third-party technology development providers in Huntsville, Alabama and Pune, India, and with our own direct employees at our development centers operated through our subsidiaries located in Alpharetta, Georgia, and Chennai, India. In addition to our core software development activities, we dedicate full-time staff to our ongoing development and maintenance of the athenaRules database. On average, over 100 rules are added or revised in our rules engine each month. We also employ process program management and product management personnel, who work continually on improvements to our service operations processes and our service design, respectively.

Once our clients are live on our service, we collaborate with them to generate business results. We employed approximately 582 people in our service operations dedicated to providing these services to our clients as of December 31, 2009. These employees assist our clients at each critical step in the revenue cycle and clinical cycle workflow process and provide services that include insurance benefits packaging, insurance eligibility confirmation, claims submission, claims tracking, remittance posting, denials management, payment processing, formatting of lab requisitions, submission of lab requisitions, and monitoring and classification of all inbound faxes. Additionally, we use third parties for data entry, data matching, data characterization, and outbound telephone services. Currently, we have contracted for these services with International Business Machines Corporation and Vision Business Process Solutions Inc., a subsidiary of Dell, Inc. (formerly Perot Systems Corporation), to provide data entry and other services from facilities located in India and the Philippines to support our client service operations. These services are generally commercially available at comparable rates from other service providers.

During 2009, athenahealth:

posted approximately \$4.9 billion in physician collections;

processed over 40 million medical claims;

handled approximately 96.5 million charge postings; and

sorted over 30 million pages of paper, which amounted to approximately 300,000 pounds of mail.

Table of Contents

We depend on satisfied clients to succeed. Our client contracts require minimum commitments by us on a range of tasks, including claims submission, payment posting, claims tracking, and claims denial management. We also commit to our clients that athenaNet is accessible 99.7% of the time, excluding scheduled maintenance windows. Each quarter, our management conducts a survey of clients to identify client concerns and track progress against client satisfaction objectives. In our most recent survey for athenaCollector, 88.7% of the respondents reported that they would recommend our services to a trusted friend or colleague.

In addition to the services described above, we also provide client support services. There are several client service support activities that take place on a regular basis, including the following:

client support by our client services center that is designed to address client questions and concerns rapidly, whether those questions and concerns are registered via a phone call or via an online support case through our customized use of customer relationship management technology;

account performance and issue resolution activities performed by the account management organization that are designed to address open issues and focus clients on the financial results of the co-sourcing relationship; these activities are intended to aid in client retention, determine appropriate adjustments to service pricing at renewal dates, and provide incremental services when appropriate; and

relationship management by regional leaders of the client services organization to ensure that decision-makers at client practices are satisfied and that regional performance is managed proactively with regard to client satisfaction, client margins, client retention, renewal pricing, and added services.

The increased burden on patients to pay for a larger percentage of their healthcare services, together with the need for providers to have the ability to determine this patient payment responsibility at the time of service, has led some payers to develop the capability to accept and process claims in real time. Under such a real-time adjudication, or RTA, system, payers notify physicians immediately upon receipt of billing information if third-party claims are accepted or rejected, the amount that will be paid by the payer, and the amount that the patient may owe under the particular health plan involved. This capability is frequently referred to within the industry as real time adjudication because it avoids the processing time that adjudication of claims by payers has historically involved. Taking advantage of this payer capability, we have designed a platform for transacting with payer RTA systems that is payer-neutral and designed to integrate the various payer RTA processes so that our clients experience the same workflow regardless of payer. Using this platform, we have collaborated with two major payers, Humana and United Healthcare, to process RTA transactions with their systems.

Competition

We have experienced, and expect to continue to experience, intense competition from a number of companies. Our primary competition is the use of locally installed software to manage revenue and clinical cycle workflow within the physician's office. Other nationwide competitors have begun introducing services that they refer to as on-demand or software-as-a-service models, under which software is centrally hosted and services are provided from central locations. Software and service companies that sell practice management and EHR software and medical billing and collection services include GE Healthcare, Sage Software Healthcare, Inc., Allscripts-Misys Healthcare Solutions, Inc., Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc., eClinical Works, LLC, and Quality Systems, Inc. As a service company that provides revenue cycle services, we also compete against large billing companies such as McKesson Corp.; Ingenix, a division of United Healthcare, Inc.; and regional billing companies.

The principal competitive factors in our industry include:

ability to quickly adapt to increasing complexity of the healthcare reimbursement system;

size and scope of payer rules knowledge;

ease of use and rates of user adoption;

product functionality and scope of services;

Table of Contents

scope of network connections to support electronic data interactions;

performance, security, scalability, and reliability of service;

sale and marketing capabilities of the vendor; and

financial stability of the vendor.

We believe that we compete favorably with our competitors on the basis of these factors. However, many of our competitors and potential competitors have significantly greater financial, technological, and other resources and name recognition than we do, as well as more established distribution networks and relationships with healthcare providers. As a result, many of these companies may respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies and standards and changes in customer requirements. These companies may be able to invest more resources than we can in research and development, strategic acquisitions, sales and marketing, and patent prosecution and litigation and to finance capital equipment acquisitions for their customers.

Government Regulation

Although we generally do not contract with U.S. state or local government entities, the services that we provide are subject to a complex array of federal and state laws and regulations, including regulation by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, as well as additional regulation.

Government Regulation of Health Information

HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules. The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, as amended, and the regulations that have been issued under it (collectively, "HIPAA") contain substantial restrictions and requirements with respect to the use and disclosure of individuals' protected health information. These are embodied in the Privacy Rule and Security Rule portions of HIPAA. The HIPAA Privacy Rule prohibits a covered entity from using or disclosing an individual's protected health information unless the use or disclosure is authorized by the individual or is specifically required or permitted under the Privacy Rule. The Privacy Rule imposes a complex system of requirements on covered entities for complying with this basic standard. Under the HIPAA Security Rule, covered entities must establish administrative, physical, and technical safeguards to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of electronic protected health information maintained or transmitted by them or by others on their behalf.

The HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules apply directly to covered entities, such as healthcare providers who engage in HIPAA-defined standard electronic transactions, health plans, and healthcare clearinghouses. Because we translate electronic transactions to and from the HIPAA-prescribed electronic forms and other forms, we are considered a clearinghouse, and as such are a covered entity. In addition, our clients are also covered entities. In order to provide clients with services that involve the use or disclosure of protected health information, the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules require us to enter into business associate agreements with our clients. Such agreements must, among other things, provide adequate written assurances:

as to how we will use and disclose the protected health information;

that we will implement reasonable administrative, physical, and technical safeguards to protect such information from misuse;

that we will enter into similar agreements with our agents and subcontractors that have access to the information;

that we will report security incidents and other inappropriate uses or disclosures of the information; and

that we will assist the client in question with certain of its duties under the Privacy Rule.

HIPAA Transaction Requirements. In addition to the Privacy and Security Rules, HIPAA also requires that certain electronic transactions related to health care billing be conducted using prescribed electronic formats. For example, claims for reimbursement that are transmitted electronically to payers must comply with

Table of Contents

specific formatting standards, and these standards apply whether the payer is a government or a private entity. As a covered entity subject to HIPAA, we must meet these requirements, and moreover, we must structure and provide our services in a way that supports our clients' HIPAA compliance obligations.

HITECH Act. The HITECH Act, which became law in February 2009, and the regulations issued and to be issued under it have provided and are expected to provide, among other things, clarification of certain aspects of both the Privacy and Security Rules, expansion of the disclosure requirements for a breach of the Security Rule, and strengthening of the civil and criminal penalties for failure to comply with HIPAA. As these additional requirements are adopted, we will be required to comply with them.

State Laws. In addition to the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules and the requirements imposed by the HITECH Act, most states have enacted patient confidentiality laws that protect against the disclosure of confidential medical information, and many states have adopted or are considering further legislation in this area, including privacy safeguards, security standards, and data security breach notification requirements. Such state laws, if more stringent than HIPAA and HITECH Act requirements, are not preempted by the federal requirements, and we must comply with them. For example, the Massachusetts Office of Consumer Affairs and Business Regulations issued final data security regulations, which became effective in March 2010 and establish minimum standards for protecting and storing personal information about Massachusetts residents contained in paper or electronic format.

Red Flag Rules. Starting June 1, 2010, medical practices that act as creditors to their patients will need to comply with new Federal Trade Commission rules promulgated under the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003 that are aimed at reducing the risk of identity theft. These rules require creditors to adopt policies and procedures that identify patterns, practices, or activities that indicate possible identity theft (called "red flags"); detect those red flags; and respond appropriately to those red flags to prevent or mitigate any theft. The rules also require creditors to update their policies and procedures on a regular basis. Because most practices treat their patients without receiving full payment at the time of service, our clients are generally considered creditors for purposes of these rules and are required to comply with them. Although we are not directly subject to these rules since we do not extend credit to customers we do handle patient data that, if improperly disclosed, could be used in identity theft. Because the red flag rules were originally slated to take effect in November 2008, we have been assisting in our clients' efforts to the extent necessary to implement appropriate procedures for some time and plan on continuing to do so.

Government Regulation of Reimbursement

Our clients are subject to regulation by a number of governmental agencies, including those that administer the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Accordingly, our clients are sensitive to legislative and regulatory changes in, and limitations on, the government healthcare programs and changes in reimbursement policies, processes, and payment rates. During recent years, there have been numerous federal legislative and administrative actions that have affected government programs, including adjustments that have reduced or increased payments to physicians and other healthcare providers and adjustments that have affected the complexity of our work. For example, Medicare reimbursement was, for a period of time in 2006, reduced with respect to portions of the physician payment fee schedule. The federal government subsequently rescinded reduction and decided to pay physicians the amount of the reduction that had been applied to claims already processed under the reduced payment fee schedule. To collect these payments for our clients, we re-submitted claims that had previously been processed. This process required substantial unanticipated processing work by us, and the additional payments for re-submitted claims were sometimes very small. It is possible that the federal or state governments will implement future reductions, increases, or changes in reimbursement under government programs that adversely affect our client base or our cost of providing our services. Any such changes could adversely affect our own financial condition by reducing the reimbursement rates of our clients or by increasing our cost of serving clients.

Table of Contents

Fraud and Abuse

A number of federal and state laws, loosely referred to as fraud and abuse laws, are used to prosecute healthcare providers, physicians, and others that make, offer, seek, or receive referrals or payments for products or services that may be paid for through any federal or state healthcare program and, in some instances, any private program. Given the breadth of these laws and regulations, they are potentially applicable to our business; the transactions that we undertake on behalf of our clients; and the financial arrangements through which we market, sell, and distribute our services. These laws and regulations include:

Anti-Kickback Laws. There are numerous federal and state laws that govern patient referrals, physician financial relationships, and inducements to healthcare providers and patients. The federal healthcare programs anti-kickback law prohibits any person or entity from offering, paying, soliciting, or receiving anything of value, directly or indirectly, for the referral of patients covered by Medicare, Medicaid, and other federal healthcare programs or the leasing, purchasing, ordering, or arranging for or recommending the lease, purchase, or order of any item, good, facility, or service covered by these programs. Courts have construed this anti-kickback law to mean that a financial arrangement may violate this law if any one of the purposes of one of the arrangements is to encourage patient referrals or other federal healthcare program business, regardless of whether there are other legitimate purposes for the arrangement. There are several limited exclusions known as safe harbors that may protect some arrangements from enforcement penalties. These safe harbors have very limited application. Penalties for federal anti-kickback violations are severe, and include imprisonment, criminal fines, civil money penalties with triple damages, and exclusion from participation in federal healthcare programs. Many states have similar anti-kickback laws, some of which are not limited to items or services for which payment is made by a government healthcare program.

False or Fraudulent Claim Laws. There are numerous federal and state laws that forbid submission of false information or the failure to disclose information in connection with the submission and payment of physician claims for reimbursement. In some cases, these laws also forbid abuse of existing systems for such submission and payment, for example, by systematic over treatment or duplicate billing for the same services to collect increased or duplicate payments. These laws and regulations may change rapidly, and it is frequently unclear how they apply to our business. For example, one federal false claim law forbids knowing submission to government programs of false claims for reimbursement for medical items or services. Under this law, knowledge may consist of willful ignorance or reckless disregard of falsity. How these concepts apply to services such as ours that rely substantially on automated processes has not been well defined in the regulations or relevant case law. As a result, our errors with respect to the formatting, preparation, or transmission of such claims and any mishandling by us of claims information that is supplied by our clients or other third parties may be determined to, or may be alleged to, involve willful ignorance or reckless disregard of any falsity that is later determined to exist.

In most cases where we are permitted to do so, we charge our clients a percentage of the collections that they receive as a result of our services. To the extent that liability under fraud and abuse laws and regulations requires intent, it may be alleged that this percentage calculation provides us or our employees with incentive to commit or overlook fraud or abuse in connection with submission and payment of reimbursement claims. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services has stated that it is concerned that percentage-based billing services may encourage billing companies to commit or to overlook fraudulent or abusive practices.

Stark Law and Similar State Laws. The Ethics in Patient Referrals Act, known as the Stark Law, prohibits certain types of referral arrangements between physicians and healthcare entities. Physicians are prohibited from referring patients for certain designated health services reimbursed under federally funded programs to entities with which they or their immediate family members have a financial relationship or an ownership interest, unless such referrals fall within a specific exception. Violations of the statute can result in civil monetary penalties and/or exclusion from the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Furthermore, reimbursement claims for care rendered under forbidden referrals

may be deemed false or fraudulent, resulting in liability under other fraud and abuse laws.

Laws in many states similarly forbid billing based on referrals between individuals and/or entities that have various financial, ownership, or other business relationships. These laws vary widely from state to state.

Table of Contents

Corporate Practice of Medicine Laws, Fee-Splitting Laws, and Anti-Assignment Laws

In many states, there are laws that forbid non-licensed practitioners from practicing medicine, prevent corporations from being licensed as practitioners, and forbid licensed medical practitioners from practicing medicine in partnership with non-physicians, such as business corporations. In some states, these prohibitions take the form of laws or regulations forbidding the splitting of physician fees with non-physicians or others. In some cases, these laws have been interpreted to prevent business service providers from charging their physician clients on the basis of a percentage of collections or charges.

There are also federal and state laws that forbid or limit assignment of claims for reimbursement from government-funded programs. Some of these laws limit the manner in which business service companies may handle payments for such claims and prevent such companies from charging their physician clients on the basis of a percentage of collections or charges. In particular, the Medicare program specifically requires that billing agents who receive Medicare payments on behalf of medical care providers must meet the following requirements:

- the agent must receive the payment under an agreement between the provider and the agent;
- the agent's compensation may not be related in any way to the dollar amount billed or collected;
- the agent's compensation may not depend upon the actual collection of payment;
- the agent must act under payment disposition instructions, which the provider may modify or revoke at any time; and
- in receiving the payment, the agent must act only on behalf of the provider, except insofar as the agent uses part of that payment to compensate the agent for the agent's billing and collection services.

Medicaid regulations similarly provide that payments may be received by billing agents in the name of their clients without violating anti-assignment requirements if payment to the agent is related to the cost of the billing service, not related on a percentage basis to the amount billed or collected, and not dependent on collection of payment.

Electronic Prescribing Laws

States have differing prescription format and signature requirements. Many existing laws and regulations, when enacted, did not anticipate the methods of e-commerce now being developed. However, due in part to recent industry initiatives, federal law and the laws of all 50 states now permit the electronic transmission of prescription orders. In addition, on November 7, 2005, the Department of Health and Human Services published its final E-Prescribing and the Prescription Drug Program regulations, referred to below as the E-Prescribing Regulations. These regulations are required by the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (MMA) and became effective beginning on January 1, 2006. The E-Prescribing Regulations consist of detailed standards and requirements, in addition to the HIPAA standards discussed previously, for prescription and other information transmitted electronically in connection with a drug benefit covered by the MMA's Prescription Drug Benefit. These standards cover not only transactions between prescribers and dispensers for prescriptions but also electronic eligibility and benefits inquiries and drug formulary and benefit coverage information. The standards apply to prescription drug plans participating in the MMA's Prescription Drug Benefit. Aspects of our services are affected by such regulation, as our clients need to comply with these requirements.

Anti-Tampering Laws

For certain prescriptions that cannot or may not be transmitted electronically from physician to pharmacy, both federal and state laws require that the written forms used exhibit anti-tampering features. For example, the U.S. Troop Readiness, Veterans Care, Katrina Recovery, and Iraq Accountability Appropriations Act of 2007 has since April 2008 required that most prescriptions covered by Medicaid must demonstrate security features that prevent copying, erasing, or counterfeiting of the written form. Because our clients will, on

Table of Contents

occasion, need to use printed forms, we must take these laws into consideration for purposes of the prescription functions of our athenaClinicals service.

Electronic Health Records Certification Requirements

The federal Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology, or ONCHIT, is responsible for promoting the use of interoperable electronic health records and systems. ONCHIT has introduced a strategic framework and has awarded contracts to advance a national health information network and interoperable EHRs. One project within this framework is a voluntary private sector based certification commission, CCHIT, that certifies electronic health record systems as meeting minimum functional and interoperability requirements. Our clinical application functionality is certified by CCHIT under its 2008 criteria. Due to the possible incorporation of CCHIT's criteria into the meaningful use standards under the HITECH Act, such certification may become a *de facto* requirement for selling clinical systems in the future; however, CCHIT's certification requirement may change substantially. While we believe our system is well designed in terms of function and interoperability, we cannot be certain that it will meet future requirements.

United States Food and Drug Administration

The FDA has promulgated a draft policy for the regulation of computer software products as medical devices and a proposed rule for reclassification of medical device data systems under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, as amended, or FDCA. If our computer software functionality is a medical device under the policy or a medical device data system under the rule, we could be subject to the FDA requirements discussed below. Although it is not possible to anticipate the final form of the FDA's policy or final rule with regard to computer software, we expect that the FDA is likely to become increasingly active in regulating computer software intended for use in healthcare settings regardless of whether the draft policy or proposed rule is finalized or changed.

Medical devices are subject to extensive regulation by the FDA under the FDCA. Under the FDCA, medical devices include any instrument, apparatus, machine, contrivance, or other similar or related article that is intended for use in the diagnosis of disease or other conditions or in the cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease. FDA regulations govern, among other things, product development, testing, manufacture, packaging, labeling, storage, clearance or approval, advertising and promotion, sales and distribution, and import and export. FDA requirements with respect to devices that are determined to pose lesser risk to the public include:

establishment registration and device listing with the FDA;

the Quality System Regulation, or QSR, which requires manufacturers, including third-party or contract manufacturers, to follow stringent design, testing, control, documentation, and other quality assurance procedures during all aspects of manufacturing;

labeling regulations and FDA prohibitions against the advertising and promotion of products for uncleared, unapproved off-label uses and other requirements related to advertising and promotional activities;

medical device reporting regulations, which require that manufacturers report to the FDA if their device may have caused or contributed to a death or serious injury or malfunctioned in a way that would likely cause or contribute to a death or serious injury if the malfunction were to recur;

corrections and removal reporting regulations, which require that manufacturers report to the FDA any field corrections and product recalls or removals if undertaken to reduce a risk to health posed by the device or to remedy a violation of the FDCA that may present a risk to health; and

post-market surveillance regulations, which apply when necessary to protect the public health or to provide additional safety and effectiveness data for the device.

Non-compliance with applicable FDA requirements can result in, among other things, public warning letters, fines, injunctions, civil penalties, recall or seizure of products, total or partial suspension of production,

Table of Contents

failure of the FDA to grant marketing approvals, withdrawal of marketing approvals, a recommendation by the FDA to disallow us from entering into government contracts, and criminal prosecutions. The FDA also has the authority to request repair, replacement, or refund of the cost of any device.

Foreign Regulations

Our subsidiary in Chennai, India, is subject to additional regulations by the Government of India, as well as its regional subdivisions. These regulations include Indian federal and local corporation requirements, restrictions on exchange of funds, employment-related laws, and qualification for tax status and tax incentives.

Intellectual Property

We rely on a combination of patent, trademark, copyright, and trade secret laws in the United States as well as confidentiality procedures and contractual provisions to protect our proprietary technology, databases, and our brand. Despite these reliances, we believe the following factors are more essential to establishing and maintaining a competitive advantage:

the statistical and technological skills of our service operations and research and development teams;

the healthcare domain expertise and payer rules knowledge of our service operations and research and development teams;

the real-time connectivity of our solutions;

the continued expansion of our proprietary rules engine; and

a continued focus on the improved financial results of our clients.

Our first patent application described and documented our unique patient workflow process, including the athenaNet Rules Engine, which applies proprietary rules to practice and payer inputs on a live, ongoing basis to produce cleaner healthcare claims, which can be adjudicated more quickly and efficiently. This patent application was granted in November 2009 and expires in December 2023. We have filed seven subsequent patent applications and two provisional patent applications that describe and document other unique aspects of our functionality and workflow processes during calendar years 2006 through 2009 and are currently pending before the United States Patent and Trademark Office. We also acquired one patent application each in connection with the acquisitions of MedicalMessaging.net in September 2008 and Anodyne in October 2009.

We also rely on a combination of registered and unregistered service marks to protect our brands. athenahealth, athenaNet, and the athenahealth logo are registered service marks of athenahealth. In 2009, we applied for the registration of athenaClinicals, athenaCollector, athenaCommunicator, and PayerView as service marks of athenahealth, and we are currently corresponding with the examiner to resolve some technical issues. Additionally, athenaEnterprise, athenaRules, and ReminderCall are service marks of athenahealth, and in connection with the acquisition of Anodyne we acquired Anodyne Analytics and Anodyne Intelligence Platform as service marks.

We have a policy of requiring key employees and consultants to execute confidentiality agreements upon the commencement of an employment or consulting relationship with us. Our employee agreements also require relevant employees to assign to us all rights to any inventions made or conceived during their employment with us. In addition, we have a policy of requiring individuals and entities with which we discuss potential business relationships to sign non-disclosure agreements. Our agreements with clients include confidentiality and non-disclosure provisions.

Employees

As of December 31, 2009, we had 1,035 employees. Of these employees, 966 were employed in the U.S., including 582 in service operations, 124 in sales and marketing, 139 in research and development, and 121 in general and administrative functions. In addition, as of that date, we had 68 employees located in Chennai, India, who were employed by our foreign subsidiary, athenahealth Technology Private Limited, including

Table of Contents

thirteen in service operations, 42 in research and development, and thirteen in general and administrative functions. As of the same date, our domestic operating subsidiary, Anodyne had 37 U.S. employees, of whom seventeen were in service operations, ten were in sales and marketing, three were in research and development, and seven provided general and administrative services. We believe that we have good relationships with our employees. None of our employees are subject to collective bargaining agreements or are represented by a union.

Organization and Trademarks

We were incorporated in Delaware on August 21, 1997, as Athena Healthcare Incorporated. We changed our name to athenahealth.com, Inc. on March 31, 2000, and to athenahealth, Inc. on November 17, 2000. Our corporate headquarters are located at 311 Arsenal Street, Watertown, Massachusetts, 02472, and our telephone number is (617) 402-1000. In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the terms athena, athenahealth, we, us, and our refer to athenahealth, Inc. and its subsidiaries, Anodyne Health Partners, Inc., athenahealth MA, Inc., and athenahealth Technology Private Limited, and any subsidiary that may be acquired or formed in the future.

Our marks include athenahealth, athenaNet, and the athenahealth logo as registered service marks; Anodyne Analytics, Anodyne Intelligence, athenaClinicals, athenaCollector, athenaCommunicator, athenaEnterprise, athenaRules, PayerView, and ReminderCall, as unregistered service marks. This Annual Report on Form 10-K also includes the registered and unregistered trademarks and service marks of other persons.

Financial Information

The financial information required under this Item 1 is incorporated herein by reference to Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Where You Can Find More Information

Our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are available through the investor relations portion of our website (www.athenahealth.com) free of charge as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. As discussed in Explanatory Note Regarding Restatement, financial information included in the reports on Form 10-K, Form 10-Q, and Form 8-K filed by us prior to March 15, 2010, and all earnings press releases and similar communications issued by us prior to March 15, 2010, should not be relied upon and are superseded in their entirety by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Information on our investor relations page and on our website is not part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or any of our other securities filings unless specifically incorporated herein by reference. In addition, our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission may be accessed through the Securities and Exchange Commission's Interactive Data Electronic Applications (IDEA) system at www.sec.gov. All statements made in any of our securities filings, including all forward-looking statements or information, are made as of the date of the document in which the statement is included, and we do not assume or undertake any obligation to update any of those statements or documents unless we are required to do so by law.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Our operating results and financial condition have varied in the past and may in the future vary significantly depending on a number of factors. Except for the historical information in this report, the matters contained in this report include forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. The following factors, among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in forward-looking statements made in this report and presented elsewhere by management from time to time. Such factors, among others, may have a material adverse

effect upon our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

Table of Contents

RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS

Our operating results have in the past and may continue to fluctuate significantly, and if we fail to meet the expectations of analysts or investors, our stock price and the value of your investment could decline substantially.

Our operating results are likely to fluctuate, and if we fail to meet or exceed the expectations of securities analysts or investors, the trading price of our common stock could decline. Moreover, our stock price may be based on expectations of our future performance that may be unrealistic or that may not be met. Some of the important factors that could cause our revenues and operating results to fluctuate from quarter to quarter include:

- the extent to which our services achieve or maintain market acceptance;
- our ability to introduce new services and enhancements to our existing services on a timely basis;
- new competitors and the introduction of enhanced products and services from new or existing competitors;
- the length of our contracting and implementation cycles;
- the financial condition of our current and potential clients;
- changes in client budgets and procurement policies;
- the amount and timing of our investment in research and development activities;
- technical difficulties or interruptions in our services;
- our ability to hire and retain qualified personnel and maintain an adequate rate of expansion of our sales force;
- changes in the regulatory environment related to healthcare;
- regulatory compliance costs;
- the timing, size, and integration success of potential future acquisitions; and
- unforeseen legal expenses, including litigation and settlement costs.

Many of these factors are not within our control, and the occurrence of one or more of them might cause our operating results to vary widely. As such, we believe that quarter-to-quarter comparisons of our revenues and operating results may not be meaningful and should not be relied upon as an indication of future performance.

A significant portion of our operating expense is relatively fixed in nature, and planned expenditures are based in part on expectations regarding future revenue. Accordingly, unexpected revenue shortfalls may decrease our gross margins and could cause significant changes in our operating results from quarter to quarter. In addition, our future quarterly operating results may fluctuate and may not meet the expectations of securities analysts or investors. If this occurs, the trading price of our common stock could fall substantially either suddenly or over time.

We operate in a highly competitive industry, and if we are not able to compete effectively, our business and operating results will be harmed.

The provision by third parties of revenue cycle services to physician practices has historically been dominated by small service providers who offer highly individualized services and a high degree of specialized knowledge applicable in many cases to a limited medical specialty, a limited set of payers, or a limited geographical area. We anticipate that the software, statistical, and database tools that are available to such service providers will continue to become more sophisticated and effective and that demand for our services could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Revenue cycle software for physician practices has historically been dominated by large, well-financed and technologically sophisticated entities that have focused on software solutions. Some of these entities are now offering on-demand services or a software-as-a-service model under which software is centrally administered, and administrative services may be provided on a vendor basis. The size, financial strength, and breadth of offerings of these entities is increasing as a result of continued consolidation in both the information technology and healthcare industries. We expect large integrated technology companies to become more active in our markets, both through acquisition and internal investment. As costs fall and technology improves, increased market saturation may change the competitive landscape in favor of competitors with greater scale than we possess.

Some of our current large competitors, such as GE Healthcare, Sage Software Healthcare, Inc., Allscripts-Misys Healthcare Solutions, Inc., Quality Systems, Inc., Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc., and McKesson Corp. have greater name recognition, longer operating histories, and significantly greater resources than we do. As a result, our competitors may be able to respond more quickly and effectively than we can to new or changing opportunities, technologies, standards, or client requirements. In addition, current and potential competitors have established, and may in the future establish, cooperative relationships with vendors of complementary products, technologies, or services to increase the availability of their products to the marketplace. Current or future competitors may consolidate to improve the breadth of their products, directly competing with our integrated offerings. Accordingly, new competitors or alliances may emerge that have greater market share, larger client bases, more widely adopted proprietary technologies, broader offerings, greater marketing expertise, greater financial resources, and larger sales forces than we have, which could put us at a competitive disadvantage. Further, in light of these advantages, even if our services are more effective than the product or service offerings of our competitors, current or potential clients might accept competitive products and services in lieu of purchasing our services. Increased competition is likely to result in pricing pressures, which could negatively impact our sales, profitability, or market share. In addition to new niche vendors, who offer stand-alone products and services, we face competition from existing enterprise vendors, including those currently focused on software solutions, which have information systems in place with clients in our target market. These existing enterprise vendors may now, or in the future, offer or promise products or services with less functionality than our services, but that offer ease of integration with existing systems and that leverage existing vendor relationships.

The market for our services is relatively immature and volatile, and if it does not develop further or if it develops more slowly than we expect, the growth of our business will be harmed.

The market for Internet-based business services is still relatively new and narrowly based, and it is uncertain whether these services will achieve and sustain high levels of demand and market acceptance. Our success will depend to a substantial extent on the willingness of enterprises, large and small, to increase their use of on-demand business services in general, and for their revenue and clinical cycles in particular. Many enterprises have invested substantial personnel and financial resources to integrate established enterprise software into their businesses, and therefore may be reluctant or unwilling to switch to an on-demand application service. Furthermore, some enterprises may be reluctant or unwilling to use on-demand application services, because they have concerns regarding the risks associated with security capabilities, among other things, of the technology delivery model associated with these services. If enterprises do not perceive the benefits of our services, then the market for these services may not expand as much or develop as quickly as we expect, either of which would significantly adversely affect our operating results. In addition, as a relatively new company in the healthcare business services market, we have limited insight into trends that may develop and affect our business. We may make errors in predicting and reacting to relevant business trends, which could harm our business. If any of these risks occur, it could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

If we do not continue to innovate and provide services that are useful to users, we may not remain competitive, and our revenues and operating results could suffer.

Our success depends on providing services that the medical community uses to improve business performance and quality of service to patients. Our competitors are constantly developing products and services that may become more efficient or appealing to our clients. As a result, we must continue to invest significant resources in research and development in order to enhance our existing services and introduce new

Table of Contents

high-quality services that clients will want. If we are unable to predict user preferences or industry changes, or if we are unable to modify our services on a timely basis, we may lose clients. Our operating results would also suffer if our innovations are not responsive to the needs of our clients, are not appropriately timed with market opportunity, or are not effectively brought to market. As technology continues to develop, our competitors may be able to offer results that are, or that are perceived to be, substantially similar to or better than those generated by our services. This may force us to compete on additional service attributes and to expend significant resources in order to remain competitive.

As a result of our variable sales and implementation cycles, we may be unable to recognize revenue to offset expenditures, which could result in fluctuations in our quarterly results of operations or otherwise harm our future operating results.

The sales cycle for our services can be variable, typically ranging from three to five months from initial contact to contract execution. During the sales cycle, we expend time and resources, and we do not recognize any revenue to offset such expenditures. Our implementation cycle is also variable, typically ranging from three to five months from contract execution to completion of implementation. Some of our new-client set-up projects are complex and require a lengthy delay and significant implementation work. Each client's situation is different, and unanticipated difficulties and delays may arise as a result of failure by us or by the client to meet our respective implementation responsibilities. In some cases, especially those involving larger clients, the sales cycle and the implementation cycle may exceed the typical ranges by substantial margins. During the implementation cycle, we expend substantial time, effort, and financial resources implementing our services, but accounting principles do not allow us to recognize the resulting revenue until the service has been implemented, at which time we begin recognition of implementation revenue over an expected attribution period of the longer of the estimated expected customer life, currently twelve years, or the contract term. This could harm our future operating results.

After a client contract is signed, we provide an implementation process for the client during which appropriate connections and registrations are established and checked, data is loaded into our athenaNet system, data tables are set up, and practice personnel are given initial training. The length and details of this implementation process vary widely from client to client. Typically, implementation of larger clients takes longer than implementation for smaller clients. Implementation for a given client may be cancelled. Our contracts typically provide that they can be terminated for any reason or for no reason in 90 days. Despite the fact that we typically require a deposit in advance of implementation, some clients have cancelled before our services have been started. In addition, implementation may be delayed or the target dates for completion may be extended into the future for a variety of reasons, including the needs and requirements of the client, delays with payer processing, and the volume and complexity of the implementations awaiting our work. If implementation periods are extended, our provision of the revenue cycle or clinical cycle services upon which we realize most of our revenues will be delayed, and our financial condition may be adversely affected. In addition, cancellation of any implementation after it has begun may involve loss to us of time, effort, and expenses invested in the cancelled implementation process and lost opportunity for implementing paying clients in that same period of time.

These factors may contribute to substantial fluctuations in our quarterly operating results, particularly in the near term and during any period in which our sales volume is relatively low. As a result, in future quarters our operating results could fall below the expectations of securities analysts or investors, in which event our stock price would likely decrease.

If the revenue of our clients decreases, or if our clients cancel or elect not to renew their contracts, our revenue will decrease.

Under most of our client contracts, we base our charges on a percentage of the revenue that the client realizes while using our services. Many factors may lead to decrease in client revenue, including:

interruption of client access to our system for any reason;

our failure to provide services in a timely or high-quality manner;

Table of Contents

failure of our clients to adopt or maintain effective business practices;

actions by third-party payers of medical claims to reduce reimbursement;

government regulations and government or other payer actions reducing or delaying reimbursement; and

reduction of client revenue resulting from increased competition or other changes in the marketplace for physician services.

The current economic situation may give rise to several of these factors. For example, patients who have lost health insurance coverage due to unemployment or who face increased deductibles imposed by financially struggling employers or insurers could reduce the number of visits those patients make to our physician clients. Patients without health insurance or with reduced coverage may also default on their payment obligations at a higher rate than patients with coverage. Added financial stress on our clients could lead to their acquisition or bankruptcy, which could cause the termination of some of our service relationships. Further, despite the cost benefits that we believe our services provide, prospective clients may wish to delay contract decisions due to implementation costs or be reluctant to make any material changes in their established business methods in the current economic climate. With a reduction in tax revenue, state and federal government health care programs, including reimbursement programs such as Medicaid, may be reduced or eliminated, which could negatively impact the payments that our clients receive. Also, although we currently estimate our expected customer life to be twelve years, this is only an estimate and there can be no assurance that our clients will elect to renew their contracts for this period of time. Our clients typically purchase one-year contracts that, in most cases, may be terminated on 90 days notice without cause. If our clients' revenue decreases for any of the above or other reasons, or if our clients cancel or elect not to renew their contracts, our revenue will decrease.

We may not see the benefits of, or have our services approved under, government programs initiated to counter the effects of the current economic situation or foster the adoption of certain health information technologies, which could reduce client demand, trigger certain guarantee obligations, and affect our access to the market.

Although government programs have been initiated to counter the effects of the current economic situation and foster the adoption of certain health information technologies, we cannot assure you that we will receive any funds from, or have our services approved under, those programs. For example, the HITECH Act has authorized approximately \$17 billion in expenditures to further adoption of electronic health records, and entities such as the Massachusetts Healthcare Consortium have offered to subsidize such adoption, as permitted by recent changes in federal regulations.

While we believe that our service offerings will meet the requirements of the HITECH Act and other programs in order for our clients to qualify for additional reimbursement for implementing and using our services, there can be no certainty that any of the planned additional reimbursements, if made, will be made in regard to our services. To the extent that we do not qualify for or participate in such subsidy programs, demand for our services may be reduced, which may result in decreased revenues, perhaps material decreases. Furthermore, we have offered certain existing and prospective clients a guarantee that they will receive Medicare incentive reimbursement under the 2011 HITECH Act program year for meaningful use of our athenaClinicals EHR service. If such reimbursements are delayed or not made because of a failure on our part, we could be obligated to credit up to six months of our EHR services fees for each client participating in our guarantee program.

In addition, if our services are not approved or included as a preferred solution under certain programs, our access to the market could be reduced. For example, the Health Information Technology Extension Program under the HITECH Act provides for 70 or more regional centers that will assist local healthcare providers in selecting and using EHR

products and services. If any of our services are not approved, or not included in a list of preferred products and services, under one or more programs, demand for our services and our access to the market could be reduced, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, including a material decrease in revenues and possibly market share.

Table of Contents

If participants in our channel marketing and sales lead programs do not maintain appropriate relationships with current and potential clients, our sales accomplished with their help or data may be unwound and our payments to them may be deemed improper.

We maintain a series of relationships with third parties that we term channel relationships. These relationships take different forms under different contractual language. Some relationships help us identify sales leads. Other relationships permit third parties to act as value-added resellers or as independent sales representatives for our services. In some cases, for example in the case of some membership organizations, these relationships involve endorsement of our services as well as other marketing activities. In each of these cases, we require contractually that the third party disclose information to and/or limit their relationships with potential purchasers of our services for regulatory compliance reasons. If these third parties do not comply with these regulatory requirements or if our requirements are deemed insufficient, sales accomplished with the data or help that they have provided as well as the channel relationship themselves may not be enforceable, may be unwound, and may be deemed to violate relevant laws or regulations. Third parties that, despite our requirements, exercise undue influence over decisions by current and prospective clients, occupy positions with obligations of fidelity or fiduciary obligations to current and prospective clients, or who offer bribes or kickbacks to current and prospective clients or their employees may be committing wrongful or illegal acts that could render any resulting contract between us and the client unenforceable or in violation of relevant laws or regulations. Any misconduct by these third parties with respect to current or prospective clients, any failure to follow contractual requirements, or any insufficiency of those contractual requirements may result in allegations that we have encouraged or participated in wrongful or illegal behavior and that payments to such third parties under our channel contracts are improper. This misconduct could subject us to civil or criminal claims and liabilities, require us to change or terminate some portions of our business, require us to refund portions of our services fees, and adversely affect our revenue and operating margin. Even an unsuccessful challenge of our activities could result in adverse publicity, require costly response from us, impair our ability to attract and maintain clients, and lead analysts or investors to reduce their expectations of our performance, resulting in reduction in the market price of our stock.

Failure to manage our rapid growth effectively could increase our expenses, decrease our revenue, and prevent us from implementing our business strategy.

We have been experiencing a period of rapid growth. To manage our anticipated future growth effectively, we must continue to maintain, and may need to enhance, our information technology infrastructure and financial and accounting systems and controls, as well as manage expanded operations in geographically distributed locations. We also must attract, train, and retain a significant number of qualified sales and marketing personnel, professional services personnel, software engineers, technical personnel, and management personnel. Failure to manage our rapid growth effectively could lead us to over-invest or under-invest in technology and operations; result in weaknesses in our infrastructure, systems, or controls; give rise to operational mistakes, losses, or loss of productivity or business opportunities; and result in loss of employees and reduced productivity of remaining employees. Our growth could require significant capital expenditures and may divert financial resources from other projects, such as the development of new services. If our management is unable to effectively manage our growth, our expenses may increase more than expected, our revenue could decline or may grow more slowly than expected, and we may be unable to implement our business strategy.

We depend upon two third-party service providers for important processing functions. If either of these third-party providers does not fulfill its contractual obligations or chooses to discontinue its services, our business and operations could be disrupted and our operating results would be harmed.

We have entered into service agreements with International Business Machines Corporation and Vision Business Process Solutions Inc., a subsidiary of Dell, Inc. (formerly Perot Systems Corporation), to provide data entry and other

services from facilities located in India and the Philippines to support our client service operations. Among other things, these providers process critical claims data and clinical documents. If these services fail or are of poor quality, our business, reputation, and operating results could be harmed. Failure of

Table of Contents

either service provider to perform satisfactorily could result in client dissatisfaction, disrupt our operations, and adversely affect operating results. With respect to these service providers, we have significantly less control over the systems and processes involved than if we maintained and operated them ourselves, which increases our risk. In some cases, functions necessary to our business are performed on proprietary systems and software to which we have no access. If we need to find an alternative source for performing these functions, we may have to expend significant money, resources, and time to develop the alternative, and if this development is not accomplished in a timely manner and without significant disruption to our business, we may be unable to fulfill our responsibilities to clients or the expectations of clients, with the attendant potential for liability claims and a loss of business reputation, loss of ability to attract or maintain clients, and reduction of our revenue or operating margin.

Various risks could affect our worldwide operations, exposing us to significant costs.

We conduct operations throughout the world, including the United States, India, and the Philippines, either directly or through our service providers. Such worldwide operations expose us to potential operational disruptions and costs as a result of a wide variety of events, including local inflation or economic downturn, currency exchange fluctuations, political turmoil, terrorism, labor issues, natural disasters, and pandemics. Any such disruptions or costs could have a negative effect on our ability to provide our services or meet our contractual obligations, the cost of our services, client satisfaction, our ability to attract or maintain clients, and, ultimately, our profits.

Because competition for our target employees is intense, we may not be able to attract and retain the highly skilled employees we need to support our planned growth.

To continue to execute on our growth plan, we must attract and retain highly qualified personnel. Competition for these personnel is intense, especially for senior sales executives and engineers with high levels of experience in designing and developing software and Internet-related services. We may not be successful in attracting and retaining qualified personnel. We have from time to time in the past experienced, and we expect to continue to experience in the future, difficulty in hiring and retaining highly skilled employees with appropriate qualifications. Many of the companies with which we compete for experienced personnel have greater resources than we have. In addition, in making employment decisions, particularly in the Internet and high-technology industries, job candidates often consider the value of the equity awards they are to receive in connection with their employment. Volatility in the price of our stock may, therefore, adversely affect our ability to attract or retain key employees. Furthermore, the requirements to expense equity awards may discourage us from granting the size or type of equity awards that job candidates require to join our company. If we fail to attract new personnel or fail to retain and motivate our current personnel, our business and future growth prospects could be severely harmed.

If we acquire companies or technologies in the future, they could prove difficult to integrate, disrupt our business, dilute stockholder value, and adversely affect our operating results and the value of our common stock.

As part of our business strategy, we may acquire, enter into joint ventures with, or make investments in complementary companies, services, and technologies in the future. Acquisitions and investments involve numerous risks, including:

- difficulties in identifying and acquiring products, technologies, or businesses that will help our business;
- difficulties in integrating operations, technologies, services, and personnel;
- diversion of financial and managerial resources from existing operations;
- the risk of entering new markets in which we have little to no experience;

risks related to the assumption of known and unknown liabilities;

Table of Contents

the risk of write-offs and the amortization of expenses related intangible assets and

delays in client purchases due to uncertainty and the inability to maintain relationships with clients of the acquired businesses.

As a result, if we fail to properly evaluate acquisitions or investments, we may not achieve the anticipated benefits of any such acquisitions, we may incur costs in excess of what we anticipate, and management resources and attention may be diverted from other necessary or valuable activities.

We may require additional capital to support business growth, and this capital might not be available.

We intend to continue to make investments to support our business growth and may require additional funds to respond to business challenges or opportunities, including the need to develop new services or enhance our existing service, enhance our operating infrastructure, or acquire complementary businesses and technologies. Accordingly, we may need to engage in equity or debt financings to secure additional funds. If we raise additional funds through further issuances of equity or convertible debt securities, our existing stockholders could suffer significant dilution, and any new equity securities we issue could have rights, preferences, and privileges superior to those of holders of our common stock. Any debt financing secured by us in the future could involve restrictive covenants relating to our capital-raising activities and other financial and operational matters, which may make it more difficult for us to obtain additional capital and to pursue business opportunities, including potential acquisitions. In addition, we may not be able to obtain additional financing on terms favorable to us or as a result of the current condition of the equity and debt markets limited financing may be available, if at all. If we are unable to obtain adequate financing or financing on terms satisfactory to us when we require it, our ability to continue to support our business growth and to respond to business challenges could be significantly limited.

If we are required to collect sales and use taxes on the services we sell in additional jurisdictions, we may be subject to liability for past sales and incur additional related costs and expenses, and our future sales may decrease.

We may lose sales or incur significant expenses should states be successful in imposing state sales and use taxes on our services. A successful assertion by one or more states that we should collect sales or other taxes on the sale of our services could result in substantial tax liabilities for past sales, decrease our ability to compete with traditional retailers, and otherwise harm our business. Each state has different rules and regulations governing sales and use taxes, and these rules and regulations are subject to varying interpretations that may change over time. We review these rules and regulations periodically and, when we believe our services are subject to sales and use taxes in a particular state, voluntarily engage state tax authorities in order to determine how to comply with their rules and regulations. For example, in April 2006 we entered into a settlement agreement with the Ohio Department of Taxation after it determined that we owed sales and use taxes for sales made in the State of Ohio between July 2005 and January 2006. In connection with this settlement, we paid the State of Ohio \$0.2 million in taxes, interest, and penalties. Additionally, in November 2004, we began paying sales and use taxes in the State of Texas. We cannot assure you that we will not be subject to sales and use taxes or related penalties for past sales in states where we believe no compliance is necessary.

Vendors of services, like us, are typically held responsible by taxing authorities for the collection and payment of any applicable sales and similar taxes. If one or more taxing authorities determines that taxes should have, but have not, been paid with respect to our services, we may be liable for past taxes in addition to taxes going forward. Liability for past taxes may also include very substantial interest and penalty charges. Our client contracts provide that our clients must pay all applicable sales and similar taxes. Nevertheless, clients may be reluctant to pay back taxes and may refuse responsibility for interest or penalties associated with those taxes. If we are required to collect and pay back

taxes and the associated interest and penalties, and if our clients fail or refuse to reimburse us for all or a portion of these amounts, we will have incurred unplanned expenses that may be substantial. Moreover, imposition of such taxes on our services going forward

Table of Contents

will effectively increase the cost of such services to our clients and may adversely affect our ability to retain existing clients or to gain new clients in the areas in which such taxes are imposed.

We may also become subject to tax audits or similar procedures in states where we already pay sales and use taxes. For example, in October 2007, we received an audit notification from the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Revenue requesting materials relating to the amount of use tax we paid on account of our purchases for the audit periods between January 1, 2004, and December 31, 2006. The audit was resolved in 2008. We paid a liability of approximately \$0.1 million in connection with this audit. The incurrence of additional accounting and legal costs and related expenses in connection with, and the assessment of taxes, interest, and penalties as a result of, audits, litigation, or otherwise could be materially adverse to our current and future results of operations and financial condition.

From time to time we may become subject to income tax audits or similar proceedings, and as a result we may incur additional costs and expenses or owe additional taxes, interest, and penalties in amounts that may be material.

We are subject to income taxes in the United States at both the federal and state levels. In determining our provision for income taxes, we are required to exercise judgment and make estimates where the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. While we believe that our estimates are reasonable, we cannot assure you that the final determination of any tax audit or tax-related litigation will not be materially different from that reflected in our income tax provisions and accruals. The incurrence of additional accounting and legal costs and related expenses in connection with, and the assessment of taxes, interest, and penalties as a result of, audits, litigation, or otherwise could be materially adverse to our current and future results of operations and financial condition.

In December 2009, the IRS completed the audit of our 2006, 2007, and 2008 tax returns commenced earlier in the year and found that no amounts were due from us in connection with either return.

Unanticipated changes in our tax rates or our exposure to additional income tax liabilities could affect our operating results and financial condition.

Our future effective tax rates could be favorably or unfavorably affected by unanticipated changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities, the geographic mix of our revenue, or changes in tax laws or their interpretation. Significant judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes. In addition, we are subject to the continuous examination of our income tax returns by tax authorities. We regularly assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from these examinations to determine the adequacy of our provision for income taxes. There can be no assurance, however, that the outcomes from these continuous examinations will not have an adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition. Additionally, due to the evolving nature of tax rules combined with the number of jurisdictions in which we operate, it is possible that our estimates of our tax liability and our ability to realize our deferred tax assets could change in the future, which may result in additional tax liabilities and adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows.

The results of our review of our revenue recognition practices and resulting restatement may continue to have adverse effects on our financial results.

Our review of our revenue recognition practices and our restatement of our historical financial statements have resulted in the deferral of previously recognized revenue and have required and may continue to require us to expend significant management time and incur significant accounting, legal, and other expenses, all of which may have an adverse effect on our financial results.

As a result of our revenue recognition review and related restatement, approximately \$22.3 million of implementation services revenue previously recognized through September 30, 2009, will be deferred to periods after that date. See the Explanatory Note Regarding Restatement immediately preceding Item 1 of Part I; Part II, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations; and Note 2, Restatement and Reclassification of Previously Issued Consolidated Financial Statements, and Note 20, Summarized Quarterly Unaudited Financial Data, in Notes to Consolidated

Table of Contents

Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8 for further discussion. The accounting, legal, and other expenses associated with this restatement may also have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

In addition, future private or government actions may be brought against us or our current or former officers relating to a failure to apply generally accepted accounting principles in the reporting of quarterly and annual financial statements and securities prospectuses from the time of our initial public offering to our most recent filing with the SEC. Such actions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows and the trading price for our securities. Litigation would be time-consuming, expensive, and disruptive to normal business operations, and the outcome of litigation is difficult to predict. The defense of any litigation would result in significant expenditures and the continued diversion of our management's time and attention from the operation of our business, which could impede our business. In addition, while we maintain standard directors and officers insurance, all or a portion of any amount we may be required to pay to satisfy a judgment or settlement of any or all of these claims may not be covered by insurance.

We cannot be certain that the measures we have taken that address this restatement will ensure that restatements will not occur in the future. Execution of restatements like the one described above could create a significant strain on our internal resources, cause delays in our filing of quarterly or annual financial results, increase our costs, and cause management distraction.

We have identified a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting, which has required us to incur substantial costs and diverted management resources in connection with our efforts to remediate this material weakness

In connection with our internal accounting policy review of our revenue recognition policies for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, and as discussed in Item 9A, "Controls and Procedures," of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we have identified a control deficiency relating to the application of generally accepted accounting principles to revenue recognition. Management has concluded that this control deficiency constituted a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009. A material weakness in internal control over financial reporting is one or more deficiencies in process that create a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of a company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. The deficiency in the application of our controls relating to the review of our revenue recognition policy resulted in a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of our financial statements would not have been prevented or detected by us in the normal course of our financial statement close process.

We have discussed the identified control deficiency in our financial reporting and the remediation of such deficiency with the audit committee of our board of directors and will continue to do so as necessary. We believe that recent key additions to our financial staff, the use of external experts, and revisions to our internal training programs have remediated this control deficiency. However, we cannot be certain that the remedial measures that we have taken will ensure that we maintain adequate controls over our financial reporting in the future and, accordingly, additional material weaknesses could occur or be identified. Any future deficiencies could materially and adversely affect our ability to provide timely and accurate financial information, and the current and future deficiencies may impact investors' confidence in our internal controls and our company, which could cause our stock price to decline.

We may be unable to adequately protect, and we may incur significant costs in enforcing, our intellectual property and other proprietary rights.

Our success depends in part on our ability to enforce our intellectual property and other proprietary rights. We rely upon a combination of trademark, trade secret, copyright, patent, and unfair competition laws, as well as license and access agreements and other contractual provisions, to protect our intellectual property and other proprietary rights. In

In addition, we attempt to protect our intellectual property and proprietary information by requiring certain of our employees and consultants to enter into confidentiality, noncompetition, and assignment of inventions agreements. Our attempts to protect our intellectual property may be challenged by others or invalidated through administrative process or litigation. While we have one issued U.S. patent and have nine

Table of Contents

more U.S. patent applications and two provisional patent applications pending, we may be unable to obtain further meaningful patent protection for our technology. In addition, any patents issued in the future may not provide us with any competitive advantages or may be successfully challenged by third parties. Agreement terms that address non-competition are difficult to enforce in many jurisdictions and may not be enforceable in any particular case. To the extent that our intellectual property and other proprietary rights are not adequately protected, third parties might gain access to our proprietary information, develop and market products or services similar to ours, or use trademarks similar to ours, each of which could materially harm our business. Existing U.S. federal and state intellectual property laws offer only limited protection. Moreover, the laws of other countries in which we now or may in the future conduct operations or contract for services may afford little or no effective protection of our intellectual property. Further, our platform incorporates open source software components that are licensed to us under various public domain licenses. While we believe that we have complied with our obligations under the various applicable licenses for open source software that we use, there is little or no legal precedent governing the interpretation of many of the terms of certain of these licenses, and therefore the potential impact of such terms on our business is somewhat unknown. The failure to adequately protect our intellectual property and other proprietary rights could materially harm our business.

In addition, if we resort to legal proceedings to enforce our intellectual property rights or to determine the validity and scope of the intellectual property or other proprietary rights of others, the proceedings could be burdensome and expensive, even if we were to prevail. Any litigation that may be necessary in the future could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources and could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, or financial condition.

We may be sued by third parties for alleged infringement of their proprietary rights.

The software and Internet industries are characterized by the existence of a large number of patents, trademarks, and copyrights and by frequent litigation based on allegations of infringement or other violations of intellectual property rights. Moreover, our business involves the systematic gathering and analysis of data about the requirements and behaviors of payers and other third parties, some or all of which may be claimed to be confidential or proprietary. We have received in the past, and may receive in the future, communications from third parties claiming that we have infringed on the intellectual property rights of others. For example, in 2005, Billingnetwork Patent, Inc. sued us in Florida federal court alleging infringement of its patent issued in 2002 entitled Integrated Internet Facilitated Billing, Data Processing and Communications System. Although we settled this case in 2008, the prospect of similar litigation remains. Our technologies may not be able to withstand third-party claims of rights against their use. Any intellectual property claims, with or without merit, could be time-consuming and expensive to resolve, divert management attention from executing our business plan, and require us to pay monetary damages or enter into royalty or licensing agreements. In addition, many of our contracts contain warranties with respect to intellectual property rights, and some require us to indemnify our clients for third-party intellectual property infringement claims, which would increase the cost to us of an adverse ruling on such a claim.

Moreover, any settlement or adverse judgment resulting from such a claim could require us to pay substantial amounts of money or obtain a license to continue to use the technology or information that is the subject of the claim, or otherwise restrict or prohibit our use of the technology or information. There can be no assurance that we would be able to obtain a license on commercially reasonable terms, if at all, from third parties asserting an infringement claim; that we would be able to develop alternative technology on a timely basis, if at all; or that we would be able to obtain a license to use a suitable alternative technology to permit us to continue offering, and our clients to continue using, our affected services. Accordingly, an adverse determination could prevent us from offering our services to others. In addition, we may be required to indemnify our clients for third-party intellectual property infringement claims, which would increase the cost to us of an adverse ruling for such a claim.

We are bound by exclusivity provisions that restrict our ability to enter into certain sales and marketing relationships in order to market and sell our services.

Our marketing and sales agreement with Worldmed Shared Services, Inc. (d/b/a PSS World Medical Shared Services, Inc.), or PSS, restricts us during the term of the agreement from certain sales and marketing relationships, including relationships with certain competitors of PSS and certain distributors and

Table of Contents

manufacturers of medical, surgical, or pharmaceutical supplies. This restriction may make it more difficult for us to realize sales, distribution, and income opportunities with certain potential clients in particular small physician practices which could adversely affect our operating results.

Our loan and capital lease agreements contain operating and financial covenants that may restrict our business and financing activities.

We have loan and capital lease agreements with \$12.4 million outstanding at December 31, 2009. Borrowings are secured by substantially all of our assets, including our intellectual property. Our loan agreements restrict our ability to:

- incur additional indebtedness;
- create liens;
- make investments;
- sell assets;
- pay dividends or make distributions on and, in certain cases, repurchase our stock; or
- consolidate or merge with other entities.

In addition, our credit facilities require us to meet specified minimum financial measurements. The operating and financial restrictions and covenants in these credit facilities, as well as any future financing agreements that we may enter into, may restrict our ability to finance our operations, engage in business activities, or expand or fully pursue our business strategies. Our loan agreements also contain certain financial and nonfinancial covenants, including limitations on our consolidated leverage ratio and capital expenditures, as well as defaults relating to non-payment, breach of covenants, inaccuracy of representations and warranties, default under other indebtedness (including a cross-default with our interest rate swap), bankruptcy and insolvency, inability to pay debts, attachment of assets, adverse judgments, ERISA violations, invalidity of loan and collateral documents, and change of control. Our ability to comply with these covenants may be affected by events beyond our control, and we may not be able to meet those covenants. A breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under either or both of the loan agreements, which could cause all of the outstanding indebtedness under both credit facilities to become immediately due and payable and terminate all commitments to extend further credit.

We have entered into a derivative contract with a financial counterparty, the effectiveness of which is dependent on the continued viability of this financial counterparty, and its nonperformance could harm our financial condition.

We have entered into an interest rate swap contract as part of our strategy to mitigate risks related to fluctuations in cash flow from movement in interest rates. The effectiveness of our hedging programs using this instrument is dependent, in part, upon the counterparty to this contract honoring its financial obligations. The recent upheaval in the capital markets has caused the viability of certain counterparties to be questioned. While we have not experienced any losses due to counterparty nonperformance, if our counterparty is unable to perform its obligations in the future, we could be exposed to increased earnings and cash flow volatility.

We may incur additional costs as a result of continuing to operate as a public company, and our management may be required to devote substantial time to new compliance initiatives.

As a public company, we incur significant legal, accounting, and other expenses that we did not incur as a private company, and greater expenditures may be necessary in the future with the advent of new laws and regulations pertaining to public companies. In addition, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as well as rules subsequently implemented by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the NASDAQ Global Select Market, have imposed various requirements on public companies, including requiring changes in corporate governance practices. Our management and other personnel continue to devote a substantial amount of time to these compliance initiatives, and additional laws and regulations may divert further management resources. Moreover, if we are not able to comply with the requirements of new compliance initiatives in a timely

Table of Contents

manner, the market price of our stock could decline, and we could be subject to sanctions or investigations by the NASDAQ Global Select Market, the Securities and Exchange Commission, or other regulatory authorities, which would require additional financial and management resources.

Changes in accounting standards issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) or other standard-setting bodies may adversely affect our financial statements.

Our financial statements are subject to the application of U.S. GAAP, which are periodically revised or expanded. Accordingly, from time to time we are required to adopt new or revised accounting standards issued by recognized authoritative bodies, including the FASB and the SEC. It is possible that future accounting standards we are required to adopt could change the current accounting treatment that we apply to our consolidated financial statements and that such changes could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

Current and future litigation against us could be costly and time-consuming to defend.

We are from time to time subject to legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business, such as claims brought by our clients in connection with commercial disputes and employment claims made by our current or former employees. Litigation may result in substantial costs and may divert management's attention and resources, which may seriously harm our business, overall financial condition, and operating results. In addition, legal claims that have not yet been asserted against us may be asserted in the future. Insurance may not cover such claims, be sufficient for one or more such claims, or continue to be available on terms acceptable to us. A claim brought against us that is uninsured or underinsured could result in unanticipated costs, thereby reducing our operating results and leading analysts or potential investors to reduce their expectations of our performance resulting in a reduction in the trading price of our stock.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR SERVICE OFFERINGS

Our proprietary software or our services may not operate properly, which could damage our reputation, give rise to claims against us, or divert application of our resources from other purposes, any of which could harm our business and operating results.

Proprietary software development is time-consuming, expensive, and complex. Unforeseen difficulties can arise. We may encounter technical obstacles, and it is possible that we discover additional problems that prevent our proprietary athenaNet application from operating properly. If athenaNet does not function reliably or fails to achieve client expectations in terms of performance, clients could assert liability claims against us and/or attempt to cancel their contracts with us. This could damage our reputation and impair our ability to attract or maintain clients.

Moreover, information services as complex as those we offer have in the past contained, and may in the future develop or contain, undetected defects or errors. We cannot assure you that material performance problems or defects in our services will not arise in the future. Errors may result from receipt, entry, or interpretation of patient information or from interface of our services with legacy systems and data that we did not develop and the function of which is outside of our control. Despite testing, defects or errors may arise in our existing or new software or service processes. Because changes in payer requirements and practices are frequent and sometimes difficult to determine except through trial and error, we are continuously discovering defects and errors in our software and service processes compared against these requirements and practices. These defects and errors and any failure by us to identify and address them could result in loss of revenue or market share, liability to clients or others, failure to achieve market acceptance or expansion, diversion of development resources, injury to our reputation, and increased service and maintenance costs. Defects or errors in our software and service processes might discourage existing or potential clients from purchasing services from us. Correction of defects or errors could prove to be impossible or

impracticable. The costs incurred in correcting any defects or errors or in responding to resulting claims or liability may be substantial and could adversely affect our operating results.

Table of Contents

In addition, clients relying on our services to collect, manage, and report clinical, business, and administrative data may have a greater sensitivity to service errors and security vulnerabilities than clients of software products in general. We market and sell services that, among other things, provide information to assist care providers in tracking and treating ill patients. Any operational delay in or failure of our technology or service processes may result in the disruption of patient care and could cause harm to patients and thereby harm our business and operating results.

Our clients or their patients may assert claims against us alleging that they suffered damages due to a defect, error, or other failure of our software or service processes. A product liability claim or errors or omissions claim could subject us to significant legal defense costs and adverse publicity, regardless of the merits or eventual outcome of such a claim.

If our security measures are breached or fail, and unauthorized access is obtained to a client's data, our services may be perceived as not being secure, clients may curtail or stop using our services, and we may incur significant liabilities.

Our services involve the storage and transmission of clients' proprietary information and protected health information of patients. Because of the sensitivity of this information, security features of our software are very important. If our security measures are breached or fail as a result of third-party action, employee error, malfeasance, insufficiency, defective design, or otherwise, someone may be able to obtain unauthorized access to client or patient data. As a result, our reputation could be damaged, our business may suffer, and we could face damages for contract breach, penalties for violation of applicable laws or regulations, and significant costs for remediation and remediation efforts to prevent future occurrences. We rely upon our clients as users of our system for key activities to promote security of the system and the data within it, such as administration of client-side access credentialing and control of client-side display of data. On occasion, our clients have failed to perform these activities. Failure of clients to perform these activities may result in claims against us that this reliance was misplaced, which could expose us to significant expense and harm to our reputation. Because techniques used to obtain unauthorized access or to sabotage systems change frequently and generally are not recognized until launched against a target, we may be unable to anticipate these techniques or to implement adequate preventive measures. If an actual or perceived breach of our security occurs, the market perception of the effectiveness of our security measures could be harmed and we could lose sales and clients. In addition, our clients may authorize or enable third parties to access their client data or the data of their patients on our systems. Because we do not control such access, we cannot ensure the complete propriety of that access or integrity or security of such data in our systems.

Failure by our clients to obtain proper permissions and waivers may result in claims against us or may limit or prevent our use of data, which could harm our business.

We require our clients to provide necessary notices and to obtain necessary permissions and waivers for use and disclosure of the information that we receive, and we require contractual assurances from them that they have done so and will do so. If they do not obtain necessary permissions and waivers, then our use and disclosure of information that we receive from them or on their behalf may be limited or prohibited by state or federal privacy laws or other laws. This could impair our functions, processes, and databases that reflect, contain, or are based upon such data and may prevent use of such data. In addition, this could interfere with or prevent creation or use of rules, and analyses or limit other data-driven activities that benefit us. Moreover, we may be subject to claims or liability for use or disclosure of information by reason of lack of valid notice, permission, or waiver. These claims or liabilities could subject us to unexpected costs and adversely affect our operating results.

Various events could interrupt clients' access to athenaNet, exposing us to significant costs.

The ability to access athenaNet is critical to our clients' administering care, cash flow, and business viability. Our operations and facilities are vulnerable to interruption and/or damage from a number of sources, many of which are beyond our control, including, without limitation: (i) power loss and telecommunications failures; (ii) fire, flood, hurricane, and other natural disasters; (iii) software and hardware errors, failures, or crashes in our own systems or in other systems; and (iv) computer viruses, hacking, and similar disruptive problems in our own systems and in other systems. We attempt to mitigate these risks through various means,

Table of Contents

including redundant infrastructure, disaster recovery plans, business continuity plans, separate test systems, and change control and system security measures, but our precautions will not protect against all potential problems. If clients' access is interrupted because of problems in the operation of our facilities, we could be exposed to significant claims by clients or their patients, particularly if the access interruption is associated with problems in the timely delivery of funds due to clients or medical information relevant to patient care. Our plans for disaster recovery and business continuity rely upon third-party providers of related services, and if those vendors fail us at a time that our systems are not operating correctly, we could incur a loss of revenue and liability for failure to fulfill our obligations. Any significant instances of system downtime could negatively affect our reputation and ability to retain clients and sell our services, which would adversely impact our revenues.

In addition, retention and availability of patient care and physician reimbursement data are subject to federal and state laws governing record retention, accuracy, and access. Some laws impose obligations on our clients and on us to produce information to third parties and to amend or expunge data at their direction. Our failure to meet these obligations may result in liability that could increase our costs and reduce our operating results.

Interruptions or delays in service from our third-party data-hosting facilities could impair the delivery of our services and harm our business.

We currently serve our clients from a third-party data-hosting facility located in Bedford, Massachusetts, operated by Digital 55 Middlesex, LLC (as successor to Sentinel Properties-Bedford, LLC). In addition, in December 2009 we signed a contract with a major provider of disaster recovery services, SunGard Availability Services, LP, to store our disaster recovery plans, deepen the resiliency of our technology recovery infrastructure, and provide disaster recovery testing services. In the case of a significant event at our primary data center, we could become operational in a reasonable timeframe at our backup data center.

However, we do not control the operation of any of these facilities, and they are vulnerable to damage or interruption from earthquakes, floods, fires, power loss, telecommunications failures, and similar events. They are also subject to break-ins, sabotage, intentional acts of vandalism, and similar misconduct. Despite precautions taken at these facilities, the occurrence of a natural disaster or an act of terrorism, a decision to close the facilities without adequate notice, or other unanticipated problems at both facilities could result in lengthy interruptions in our service. Even with the disaster recovery arrangements, our services could be interrupted.

We rely on Internet infrastructure, bandwidth providers, data center providers, other third parties, and our own systems for providing services to our users, and any failure or interruption in the services provided by these third parties or our own systems could expose us to litigation and negatively impact our relationships with users, adversely affecting our brand and our business.

Our ability to deliver our Internet- and telecommunications-based services is dependent on the development and maintenance of the infrastructure of the Internet and other telecommunications services by third parties. This includes maintenance of a reliable network backbone with the necessary speed, data capacity, and security for providing reliable Internet access and services and reliable telephone, facsimile, and pager systems. Our services are designed to operate without interruption in accordance with our service level commitments. However, we have experienced and expect that we will in the future experience interruptions and delays in services and availability from time to time. We rely on internal systems as well as third-party vendors, including data center, bandwidth, and telecommunications equipment providers, to provide our services. We do not maintain redundant systems or facilities for some of these services. In the event of a catastrophic event with respect to one or more of these systems or facilities, we may experience an extended period of system unavailability, which could negatively impact our relationship with users. To operate without interruption, both we and our service providers must guard against:

damage from fire, power loss, and other natural disasters;

communications failures;

Table of Contents

software and hardware errors, failures, and crashes;
security breaches, computer viruses, and similar disruptive problems; and
other potential interruptions.

Any disruption in the network access, telecommunications, or co-location services provided by these third-party providers or any failure of or by these third-party providers or our own systems to handle current or higher volume of use could significantly harm our business. We exercise limited control over these third-party vendors, which increases our vulnerability to problems with services they provide.

Any errors, failures, interruptions, or delays experienced in connection with these third-party technologies and information services or our own systems could negatively impact our relationships with users and adversely affect our business and could expose us to third-party liabilities. Although we maintain insurance for our business, the coverage under our policies may not be adequate to compensate us for all losses that may occur. In addition, we cannot provide assurance that we will continue to be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at an acceptable cost.

The reliability and performance of the Internet may be harmed by increased usage or by denial-of-service attacks. The Internet has experienced a variety of outages and other delays as a result of damages to portions of its infrastructure, and it could face outages and delays in the future. These outages and delays could reduce the level of Internet usage as well as the availability of the Internet to us for delivery of our Internet-based services.

We rely on third-party computer hardware and software that may be difficult to replace or that could cause errors or failures of our services, which could damage our reputation, harm our ability to attract and maintain clients, and decrease our revenue.

We rely on computer hardware purchased or leased and software licensed from third parties in order to offer our services, including database software from Oracle Corporation and storage devices from International Business Machines Corporation and EMC Corporation. These licenses are generally commercially available on varying terms; however, it is possible that this hardware and software may not continue to be available on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. Any loss of the right to use any of this hardware or software could result in delays in the provisioning of our services until equivalent technology is either developed by us, or, if available, is identified, obtained, and integrated, which could harm our business. Any errors or defects in third-party hardware or software could result in errors or a failure of our services, which could damage our reputation, harm our ability to attract and maintain clients, and decrease our revenue.

We are subject to the effect of payer and provider conduct that we cannot control and that could damage our reputation with clients and result in liability claims that increase our expenses.

We offer certain electronic claims submission services for which we rely on content from clients, payers, and others. While we have implemented certain features and safeguards designed to maximize the accuracy and completeness of claims content, these features and safeguards may not be sufficient to prevent inaccurate claims data from being submitted to payers. Should inaccurate claims data be submitted to payers, we may experience poor operational results and may be subject to liability claims, which could damage our reputation with clients and result in liability claims that increase our expenses.

If our services fail to provide accurate and timely information, or if our content or any other element of any of our services is associated with faulty clinical decisions or treatment, we could have liability to clients, clinicians, or

patients, which could adversely affect our results of operations.

Our software, content, and services are used to assist clinical decision-making and provide information about patient medical histories and treatment plans. If our software, content, or services fail to provide accurate and timely information or are associated with faulty clinical decisions or treatment, then clients, clinicians, or their patients could assert claims against us that could result in substantial costs to us, harm our reputation in the industry, and cause demand for our services to decline.

Table of Contents

Our proprietary athenaClinicals service is utilized in clinical decision-making, provides access to patient medical histories, and assists in creating patient treatment plans, including the issuance of prescription drugs. If our athenaClinicals service fails to provide accurate and timely information, or if our content or any other element of that service is associated with faulty clinical decisions or treatment, we could have liability to clients, clinicians, or patients.

The assertion of such claims and ensuing litigation, regardless of its outcome, could result in substantial cost to us, divert management's attention from operations, damage our reputation, and decrease market acceptance of our services. We attempt to limit by contract our liability for damages and to require that our clients assume responsibility for medical care and approve key system rules, protocols, and data. Despite these precautions, the allocations of responsibility and limitations of liability set forth in our contracts may not be enforceable, be binding upon patients, or otherwise protect us from liability for damages.

We maintain general liability and insurance coverage, but this coverage may not continue to be available on acceptable terms or may not be available in sufficient amounts to cover one or more large claims against us. In addition, the insurer might disclaim coverage as to any future claim. One or more large claims could exceed our available insurance coverage.

Our proprietary software may contain errors or failures that are not detected until after the software is introduced or updates and new versions are released. It is challenging for us to test our software for all potential problems because it is difficult to simulate the wide variety of computing environments or treatment methodologies that our clients may deploy or rely upon. From time to time we have discovered defects or errors in our software, and such defects or errors can be expected to appear in the future. Defects and errors that are not timely detected and remedied could expose us to risk of liability to clients, clinicians, and patients and cause delays in introduction of new services, result in increased costs and diversion of development resources, require design modifications, or decrease market acceptance or client satisfaction with our services.

If any of these risks occur, they could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

We may be liable for use of incorrect or incomplete data that we provide, which could harm our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

We store and display data for use by healthcare providers in treating patients. Our clients or third parties provide us with most of these data. If these data are incorrect or incomplete or if we make mistakes in the capture or input of these data, adverse consequences, including death, may occur and give rise to product liability and other claims against us. In addition, a court or government agency may take the position that our storage and display of health information exposes us to personal injury liability or other liability for wrongful delivery or handling of healthcare services or erroneous health information. While we maintain insurance coverage, we cannot assure that this coverage will prove to be adequate or will continue to be available on acceptable terms, if at all. Even unsuccessful claims could result in substantial costs and diversion of management resources. A claim brought against us that is uninsured or under-insured could harm our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

RISKS RELATED TO REGULATION

Government regulation of healthcare creates risks and challenges with respect to our compliance efforts and our business strategies.

The healthcare industry is highly regulated and is subject to changing political, legislative, regulatory, and other influences. Existing and new laws and regulations affecting the healthcare industry could create unexpected liabilities for us, cause us to incur additional costs, and restrict our operations. Many healthcare laws are complex, and their application to specific services and relationships may not be clear. In particular, many existing healthcare laws and regulations, when enacted, did not anticipate the healthcare information services that we provide, and these laws and regulations may be applied to our services in ways that we do not anticipate. Our failure to accurately anticipate the application of these laws and regulations, or our other

Table of Contents

failure to comply, could create liability for us, result in adverse publicity, and negatively affect our business. Some of the risks we face from healthcare regulation are described below:

False or Fraudulent Claim Laws. There are numerous federal and state laws that forbid submission of false information or the failure to disclose information in connection with submission and payment of physician claims for reimbursement. In some cases, these laws also forbid abuse of existing systems for such submission and payment. Any failure of our services to comply with these laws and regulations could result in substantial liability (including, but not limited to, criminal liability), adversely affect demand for our services, and force us to expend significant capital, research and development, and other resources to address the failure. Errors by us or our systems with respect to entry, formatting, preparation, or transmission of claim information may be determined or alleged to be in violation of these laws and regulations. Any determination by a court or regulatory agency that our services violate these laws could subject us to civil or criminal penalties, invalidate all or portions of some of our client contracts, require us to change or terminate some portions of our business, require us to refund portions of our services fees, cause us to be disqualified from serving clients doing business with government payers, and have an adverse effect on our business.

In most cases where we are permitted to do so, we calculate charges for our services based on a percentage of the collections that our clients receive as a result of our services. To the extent that violations or liability for violations of these laws and regulations require intent, it may be alleged that this percentage calculation provides us or our employees with incentive to commit or overlook fraud or abuse in connection with submission and payment of reimbursement claims. The U.S. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services has stated that it is concerned that percentage-based billing services may encourage billing companies to commit or to overlook fraudulent or abusive practices.

In addition, we may contract with third parties that offer software relating to the selection or verification of codes used to identify and classify the services for which reimbursement is sought. Submission of codes that do not accurately reflect the services provided or the location or method of their provision may constitute a violation of false or fraudulent claims laws. Our ability to comply with these laws depends on the coding decisions made by our clients and the accuracy of our vendors' software and services in suggesting possible codes to our clients and verifying that proper codes have been selected.

HIPAA and other Health Privacy Regulations. There are numerous federal and state laws related to patient privacy. In particular, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, includes privacy standards that protect individual privacy by limiting the uses and disclosures of individually identifiable health information and implementing data security standards that require covered entities to implement administrative, physical, and technological safeguards to ensure the confidentiality, integrity, availability, and security of individually identifiable health information in electronic form. HIPAA also specifies formats that must be used in certain electronic transactions, such as claims, payment advice, and eligibility inquiries. Because we translate electronic transactions to and from HIPAA-prescribed electronic formats and other forms, we are a clearinghouse and, as such, a covered entity. In addition, our clients are also covered entities and are mandated by HIPAA to enter into written agreements with us known as business associate agreements that require us to safeguard individually identifiable health information. Business associate agreements typically include:

a description of our permitted uses of individually identifiable health information;

a covenant not to disclose the information except as permitted under the agreement and to make our subcontractors, if any, subject to the same restrictions;

assurances that appropriate administrative, physical, and technical safeguards are in place to prevent misuse of the information;

an obligation to report to our client any use or disclosure of the information other than as provided for in the agreement;

Table of Contents

a prohibition against our use or disclosure of the information if a similar use or disclosure by our client would violate the HIPAA standards;

the ability of our clients to terminate the underlying support agreement if we breach a material term of the business associate agreement and are unable to cure the breach;

the requirement to return or destroy all individually identifiable health information at the end of our support agreement; and

access by the Department of Health and Human Services to our internal practices, books, and records to validate that we are safeguarding individually identifiable health information.

We may not be able to adequately address the business risks created by HIPAA implementation. Furthermore, we are unable to predict what changes to HIPAA or other laws or regulations might be made in the future or how those changes could affect our business or the costs of compliance. For example, the provisions of the HITECH Act and the regulations issued under it have provided and are expected to provide clarification of certain aspects of both the Privacy and Security Rules, expansion of the disclosure requirements for a breach of the Security Rule, and strengthening of the civil and criminal penalties for failure to comply with HIPAA. In addition, the federal Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology, or ONCHIT, is coordinating the ongoing development of national standards for creating an interoperable health information technology infrastructure based on the widespread adoption of electronic health records in the healthcare sector. We are unable to predict what, if any, impact the changes in such standards will have on our compliance costs or our services.

In addition, some payers and clearinghouses with which we conduct business interpret HIPAA transaction requirements differently than we do. Where clearinghouses or payers require conformity with their interpretations as a condition of effecting transactions, we seek to comply with their interpretations.

The HIPAA transaction standards include proper use of procedure and diagnosis codes. Since these codes are selected or approved by our clients, and since we do not verify their propriety, some of our capability to comply with the transaction standards is dependent on the proper conduct of our clients.

Among our services, we provide telephone reminder services to patients, Internet- and telephone-based access to medical test results, pager and email notification to practices of patient calls, and patient call answering services. We believe that reasonable efforts to prevent disclosure of individually identifiable health information have been and are being taken in connection with these services, including the use of multiple-password security. However, any failure of our clients to provide accurate contact information for their patients or physicians or any breach of our telecommunications systems could result in a disclosure of individually identifiable health information.

In addition to the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rules and the HITECH Act requirements, most states have enacted patient confidentiality laws that protect against the disclosure of confidential medical information, and many states have adopted or are considering further legislation in this area, including privacy safeguards, security standards, and data security breach notification requirements. Such state laws, if more stringent than HIPAA and HITECH Act requirements, are not preempted by the federal requirements, and we are required to comply with them.

Failure by us to comply with any of the federal and state standards regarding patient privacy may subject us to penalties, including civil monetary penalties and, in some circumstances, criminal penalties. In addition, such failure may injure our reputation and adversely affect our ability to retain clients and attract new clients.

We are subject to a variety of other regulatory schemes, including:

Red Flag Rules. Although the federal and state laws regarding patient privacy help to maintain the confidentiality of personal information that could be used in identity theft, they were not drafted with that risk in mind. To fill this gap, the Federal Trade Commission has issued new rules under the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003 that go into effect on June 1, 2010. These rules require medical practices that act as creditors to their patients to adopt policies and procedures that identify

Table of Contents

patterns, practices, or activities that indicate possible identity theft (called red flags); detect those red flags; and respond appropriately to those red flags to prevent or mitigate any theft. The rules also require creditors to update their policies and procedures on a regular basis. Because most practices treat their patients without receiving full payment at the time of service, our clients are generally considered creditors for purposes of these rules and are required to comply with them. Although we are not directly subject to these rules since we do not extend credit to customers we do handle patient data that, if improperly disclosed, could be used in identity theft. If we are not successful in assisting our clients in implementing necessary procedures, such failure may injure our reputation and adversely affect our ability to retain clients and attract new clients.

Anti-Kickback and Anti-Bribery Laws. There are federal and state laws that govern patient referrals, physician financial relationships, and inducements to healthcare providers and patients. For example, the federal healthcare programs anti-kickback law prohibits any person or entity from offering, paying, soliciting, or receiving anything of value, directly or indirectly, for the referral of patients covered by Medicare, Medicaid, and other federal healthcare programs or the leasing, purchasing, ordering, or arranging for or recommending the lease, purchase, or order of any item, good, facility, or service covered by these programs. Many states also have similar anti-kickback laws that are not necessarily limited to items or services for which payment is made by a federal healthcare program. Moreover, both federal and state laws forbid bribery and similar behavior. Any determination by a state or federal regulatory agency that any of our activities or those of our clients, vendors, or channel partners violate any of these laws could subject us to civil or criminal penalties, require us to change or terminate some portions of our business, require us to refund a portion of our service fees, disqualify us from providing services to clients doing business with government programs, and have an adverse effect on our business. Even an unsuccessful challenge by regulatory authorities of our activities could result in adverse publicity and could require a costly response from us.

Anti-Referral Laws. There are federal and state laws that forbid payment for patient referrals, patient brokering, remuneration of patients, or billing based on referrals between individuals and/or entities that have various financial, ownership, or other business relationships with health care providers. In many cases, billing for care arising from such actions is illegal. These vary widely from state to state, and one of the federal laws called the Stark Law is very complex in its application. Any determination by a state or federal regulatory agency that any of our clients violate or have violated any of these laws may result in allegations that claims that we have processed or forwarded are improper. This could subject us to civil or criminal penalties, require us to change or terminate some portions of our business, require us to refund portions of our services fees, and have an adverse effect on our business. Even an unsuccessful challenge by regulatory authorities of our activities could result in adverse publicity and could require a costly response from us.

Corporate Practice of Medicine Laws and Fee-Splitting Laws. Many states have laws forbidding physicians from practicing medicine in partnership with non-physicians, such as business corporations. In some states, including New York, these take the form of laws or regulations forbidding splitting of physician fees with non-physicians or others. In some cases, these laws have been interpreted to prevent business service providers from charging their physician clients on the basis of a percentage of collections or charges. We have varied our charge structure in some states to comply with these laws, which may make our services less desirable to potential clients. Any determination by a state court or regulatory agency that our service contracts with our clients violate these laws could subject us to civil or criminal penalties, invalidate all or portions of some of our client contracts, require us to change or terminate some portions of our business, require us to refund portions of our services fees, and have an adverse effect on our business. Even an unsuccessful challenge by regulatory authorities of our activities could result in adverse publicity and could require a costly response from us.

Anti-Assignment Laws. There are federal and state laws that forbid or limit assignment of claims for reimbursement from government-funded programs. In some cases, these laws have been interpreted in

regulations or policy statements to limit the manner in which business service companies may handle checks or other payments for such claims and to limit or prevent such companies from charging their

Table of Contents

physician clients on the basis of a percentage of collections or charges. Any determination by a state court or regulatory agency that our service contracts with our clients violate these laws could subject us to civil or criminal penalties, invalidate all or portions of some of our client contracts, require us to change or terminate some portions of our business, require us to refund portions of our services fees, and have an adverse effect on our business. Even an unsuccessful challenge by regulatory authorities of our activities could result in adverse publicity and could require a costly response from us.

Prescribing Laws. The use of our software by physicians to perform a variety of functions relating to prescriptions, including electronic prescribing, electronic routing of prescriptions to pharmacies, and dispensing of medication, is governed by state and federal law, including fraud and abuse laws, drug control regulations, and state department of health regulations. States have differing prescription format requirements, and, due in part to recent industry initiatives, federal law and the laws of all 50 states now provide a regulatory framework for the electronic transmission of prescription orders. Regulatory authorities such as the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services may impose functionality standards with regard to electronic prescribing and EHR technologies. Any determination that we or our clients have violated prescribing laws may expose us to liability, loss of reputation, and loss of business. These laws and requirements may also increase the cost and time necessary to market new services and could affect us in other respects not presently foreseeable.

Electronic Medical Records Laws. A number of federal and state laws govern the use and content of electronic health record systems, including fraud and abuse laws that may affect how such technology is provided. As a company that provides EHR functionality, our systems and services must be designed in a manner that facilitates our clients compliance with these laws. Because this is a topic of increasing state and federal regulation, we expect additional and continuing modification of the current legal and regulatory environment. We cannot predict the content or effect of possible future regulation on our business activities. The software component of our athenaClinicals service complies with the CCHIT criteria for ambulatory electronic health records for 2008. Due to the possible incorporation of CCHIT s criteria into the meaningful use standards under the HITECH Act such certification may become a *de facto* requirement for selling EHR systems in the future; however, CCHIT s certification requirements may change substantially. ONCHIT may approve another certification body for EHRs and we plan on meeting ONCHIT certification criteria. While we believe that our system is well designed in terms of function and interoperability, we cannot be certain that it will meet future requirements.

Claims Transmission Laws. Our services include the manual and electronic transmission of our client s claims for reimbursement from payers. Federal and various state laws provide for civil and criminal penalties for any person who submits, or causes to be submitted, a claim to any payer (including, without limitation, Medicare, Medicaid, and any private health plans and managed care plans) that is false or that overbills or bills for items that have not been provided to the patient. Although we do not determine what is billed to a payer, to the extent that such laws apply to a service that merely transmits claims on behalf of others, we could be subject to the same civil and criminal penalties as our clients.

Prompt Pay Laws. Laws in many states govern prompt payment obligations for healthcare services. These laws generally define claims payment processes and set specific time frames for submission, payment, and appeal steps. They frequently also define and require clean claims. Failure to meet these requirements and timeframes may result in rejection or delay of claims. Failure of our services to comply may adversely affect our business results and give rise to liability claims by clients.

Medical Device Laws. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has promulgated a draft policy for the regulation of computer software products as medical devices under the 1976 Medical Device Amendments to

the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act. To the extent that computer software is a medical device under the policy, we, as a provider of application functionality, could be required, depending on the functionality, to:

register and list our products with the FDA;

Table of Contents

notify the FDA and demonstrate substantial equivalence to other products on the market before marketing our functionality; or

obtain FDA approval by demonstrating safety and effectiveness before marketing our functionality.

The FDA can impose extensive requirements governing pre- and post-market conditions such as service investigation and others relating to approval, labeling, and manufacturing. In addition, the FDA can impose extensive requirements governing development controls and quality assurance processes.

Potential healthcare reform and new regulatory requirements placed on our software, services, and content could impose increased costs on us, delay or prevent our introduction of new services types, and impair the function or value of our existing service types.

Our services may be significantly impacted by healthcare reform initiatives and are subject to increasing regulatory requirements, either of which could affect our business in a multitude of ways. If substantive healthcare reform or applicable regulatory requirements are adopted, we may have to change or adapt our services and software to comply. Reform or changing regulatory requirements may render our services obsolete or may block us from accomplishing our work or from developing new services. This may in turn impose additional costs upon us to adapt to the new operating environment or to further develop services or software. It may also make introduction of new service types more costly or more time consuming than we currently anticipate. Such changes may even prevent introduction by us of new services or make the continuation of our existing services unprofitable or impossible.

Potential additional regulation of the disclosure of health information outside the United States may adversely affect our operations and may increase our costs.

Federal or state governmental authorities may impose additional data security standards or additional privacy or other restrictions on the collection, use, transmission, and other disclosures of health information. Legislation has been proposed at various times at both the federal and the state level that would limit, forbid, or regulate the use or transmission of medical information outside of the United States. Such legislation, if adopted, may render our use of our off-shore partners, such as our data-entry and customer service providers, International Business Machines Corporation and Vision Business Process Solutions Inc., for work related to such data impracticable or substantially more expensive. Alternative processing of such information within the United States may involve substantial delay in implementation and increased cost.

Changes in the healthcare industry could affect the demand for our services, cause our existing contracts to terminate, and negatively impact the process of negotiating future contracts.

As the healthcare industry evolves, changes in our client and vendor bases may reduce the demand for our services, result in the termination of existing contracts, and make it more difficult to negotiate new contracts on terms that are acceptable to us. For example, the current trend toward consolidation of healthcare providers within hospital systems may cause our existing client contracts to terminate as independent practices are merged into hospital systems. Such larger healthcare organizations may also have their own practice management services and health IT systems, reducing demand for our services. Similarly, client and vendor consolidation results in fewer, larger entities with increased bargaining power and the ability to demand terms that are unfavorable to us. If these trends continue, we cannot assure you that we will be able to continue to maintain or expand our client base, negotiate contracts with acceptable terms, or maintain our current pricing structure, and our revenues may decrease.

Errors or illegal activity on the part of our clients may result in claims against us.

We require our clients to provide us with accurate and appropriate data and directives for our actions. We also rely upon our clients as users of our system to perform key activities in order to produce proper claims for reimbursement. Failure of our clients to provide these data and directives or to perform these activities may result in claims against us alleging that our reliance was misplaced or unreasonable or that we have facilitated or otherwise participated in submission of false claims.

Table of Contents

Our services present the potential for embezzlement, identity theft, or other similar illegal behavior by our employees or subcontractors with respect to third parties.

Among other things, our services involve handling mail from payers and from patients for many of our clients, and this mail frequently includes original checks and/or credit card information and occasionally includes currency. Even in those cases in which we do not handle original documents or mail, our services also involve the use and disclosure of personal and business information that could be used to impersonate third parties or otherwise gain access to their data or funds. If any of our employees or subcontractors takes, converts, or misuses such funds, documents, or data, we could be liable for damages, and our business reputation could be damaged or destroyed. In addition, we could be perceived to have facilitated or participated in illegal misappropriation of funds, documents, or data and therefore be subject to civil or criminal liability.

Potential subsidy of services similar to ours may reduce client demand.

Recently, entities such as the Massachusetts Healthcare Consortium have offered to subsidize adoption by physicians of electronic health record technology. In addition, federal regulations have been changed to permit such subsidy from additional sources subject to certain limitations, and the current administration has passed the HITECH Act, which will provide federal support for EHR initiatives. To the extent that we do not qualify or participate in such subsidy programs, demand for our services may be reduced, which may decrease our revenues.

RISKS RELATED TO OWNERSHIP OF OUR COMMON STOCK

An orderly market for our common stock may not be sustained.

The trading price of our common stock has been and is likely to remain highly volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors, some of which are beyond our control. In addition to the factors discussed in this Risk Factors section and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, these factors include:

our operating performance and the operating performance of similar companies;

the overall performance of the equity markets;

announcements by us or our competitors of acquisitions, business plans, or commercial relationships;

threatened or actual litigation;

changes in laws or regulations relating to the sale of health insurance;

any major change in our board of directors or management;

publication of research reports or news stories about us, our competitors, or our industry or positive or negative recommendations or withdrawal of research coverage by securities analysts;

large volumes of sales of our shares of common stock by existing stockholders; and

general political and economic conditions.

In addition, the stock market in general, and the market for Internet-related companies in particular, has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance

of those companies. Securities class action litigation has often been instituted against companies following periods of volatility in the overall market and in the market price of a company's securities. This litigation, if instituted against us, could result in very substantial costs; divert our management's attention and resources; and harm our business, operating results, and financial condition.

Table of Contents

If a substantial number of shares become available for sale and are sold in a short period of time, the market price of our common stock could decline.

If our existing stockholders sell a large number of shares of our common stock or the public market perceives that these sales may occur, the market price of our common stock could decline. As of December 31, 2009, we had approximately 33.9 million shares of common stock outstanding. Moreover, the holders of shares of common stock have rights, subject to some conditions, to require us to file registration statements covering the shares they currently hold, or to include these shares in registration statements that we may file for ourselves or other stockholders.

We have also registered all common stock that we may issue under our 1997 Stock Plan, 2000 Stock Plan, 2007 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, and 2007 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. As of December 31, 2009, we had outstanding options to purchase approximately 3.4 million shares of common stock (approximately 1.6 million of which were exercisable at December 31, 2009) that, if exercised, will result in those shares becoming available for sale in the public market. If a large number of these shares are sold in the public market, the sales could reduce the trading price of our common stock.

Actual or potential sales of our stock by our employees, including members of our senior management team, pursuant to pre-arranged stock trading plans could cause our stock price to fall or prevent it from increasing for numerous reasons, and actual or potential sales by such persons could be viewed negatively by other investors.

In accordance with the guidelines specified under Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 and our policies regarding stock transactions, a number of our employees, including members of our senior management team, have adopted and will continue to adopt pre-arranged stock trading plans to sell a portion of our common stock. Generally, stock sales under such plans by members of our senior management team and directors require public filings. Actual or potential sales of our stock by such persons could cause our stock price to fall or prevent it from increasing for numerous reasons. For example, a substantial amount of our common stock becoming available (or being perceived to become available) for sale in the public market could cause the market price of our common stock to fall or prevent it from increasing. Also, actual or potential sales by such persons could be viewed negatively by other investors.

Provisions in our certificate of incorporation and by-laws or Delaware law might discourage, delay, or prevent a change of control of our company or changes in our management and, therefore, depress the trading price of our common stock.

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation and by-laws and Delaware law may discourage, delay, or prevent a merger, acquisition, or other change in control that stockholders may consider favorable, including transactions in which you might otherwise receive a premium for your shares of our common stock. These provisions may also prevent or frustrate attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our management. These provisions include:

- limitations on the removal of directors;
- advance notice requirements for stockholder proposals and nominations;
- the inability of stockholders to act by written consent or to call special meetings; and
- the ability of our board of directors to make, alter, or repeal our by-laws.

The affirmative vote of the holders of at least 75% of our shares of capital stock entitled to vote is necessary to amend or repeal the above provisions of our certificate of incorporation. As our board of directors has the ability to designate

the terms of and issue new series of preferred stock without stockholder approval, the effective number of votes required to make such changes could increase. Also, absent approval of our board of directors, our by-laws may only be amended or repealed by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least 75% of our shares of capital stock entitled to vote.

Table of Contents

In addition, Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law prohibits a publicly held Delaware corporation from engaging in a business combination with an interested stockholder (generally an entity that, together with its affiliates, owns, or within the last three years has owned, 15% or more of our voting stock) for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the entity became an interested stockholder, unless the business combination is approved in a prescribed manner.

The existence of the foregoing provisions and anti-takeover measures could limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock. They could also deter potential acquirers of our company, thereby reducing the likelihood that you could receive a premium for your common stock in an acquisition.

We do not currently intend to pay dividends on our common stock, and, consequently, your ability to achieve a return on your investment will depend on appreciation in the price of our common stock.

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our common stock and do not currently intend to do so for the foreseeable future. We currently intend to invest our future earnings, if any, to fund our growth. Therefore, you are not likely to receive any dividends on your common stock for the foreseeable future, and the success of an investment in shares of our common stock will depend upon any future appreciation in its value. There is no guarantee that shares of our common stock will appreciate in value or even maintain the price at which our stockholders have purchased their shares.

Item 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments.*

None.

Item 2. *Properties.*

As of December 31, 2009, we own a complex of buildings, including approximately 133,000 square feet of office space, on approximately 53 acres of land in Belfast, Maine. We lease the remainder of our facilities. Our primary location is 311 Arsenal Street in Watertown, Massachusetts, where we lease 133,616 square feet, which is under lease until July 1, 2015. We also lease 2,562 square feet in Rome, Georgia, on a month-to-month basis; 5,087 square feet in Alpharetta, Georgia, through our domestic operating subsidiary, Anodyne Health Partners, Inc. through October 31, 2012; and 22,295 square feet in Chennai, India, through our Indian subsidiary, athenahealth Technology Private Limited, until April 27, 2012, with the option to extend the lease for up to two additional three-year periods. Our servers are housed at our headquarters and our Belfast, Maine, offices and also in data centers in Bedford, Massachusetts, and Somerville, Massachusetts. Our owned property in Belfast, Maine, is subject to a mortgage that secures any and all amounts we may from time to time owe under our credit facility or any other transaction with Bank of America, N.A.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings.*

From time to time, we may be subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of business. We are not currently aware of any such proceedings or claims that we believe will have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, or financial condition.

Item 4. *(Removed and Reserved)*

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. *Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.*****Market Information**

Our common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the trading symbol ATHN. Prior to our initial public offering on September 20, 2007, there was no public market for our common stock. The following table sets forth the high and low closing sales prices of our common stock, as reported by the NASDAQ Global Market in 2008 and the NASDAQ Global Select Market in 2009, for each of the periods listed.

Fiscal Year December 31, 2009, Quarters Ended:	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 38.20	\$ 23.59
Second Quarter	\$ 37.01	\$ 23.74
Third Quarter	\$ 40.78	\$ 32.34
Fourth Quarter	\$ 46.74	\$ 35.75
Fiscal Year December 31, 2008, Quarters Ended:		
First Quarter	\$ 37.25	\$ 22.10
Second Quarter	\$ 34.10	\$ 22.15
Third Quarter	\$ 36.82	\$ 25.04
Fourth Quarter	\$ 37.62	\$ 21.20

Holder

The last reported sale price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on March 12, 2010, was \$38.13 per share. As of March 12, 2010, we had 163 holders of record of our common stock. Because many shares of common stock are held by brokers and other institutions on behalf of stockholders, we are unable to estimate the total number of stockholders represented by these record holders.

Dividends

We have never declared or paid any dividends on our capital stock, and our loan agreements restrict our ability to pay dividends. We currently intend to retain any future earnings and do not intend to declare or pay cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Any future determination to pay dividends will be, subject to applicable law, at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon, among other factors, our results of operations, financial condition, contractual restrictions, and capital requirements.

Table of Contents

Performance Graph

The following performance graph and related information shall not be deemed soliciting material or to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or Securities Exchange Act of 1934, each as amended, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate it by reference into such filing.

Set forth below is a graph comparing the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock with the NASDAQ Composite-Total Returns Index and the NASDAQ Computer and Data Processing Index for the period starting with our initial public offering on September 20, 2007, through the end of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. The graph assumes an investment of \$100.00 made at the closing of trading on September 20, 2007, in each of (i) our common stock, (ii) the stocks comprising the NASDAQ Composite-Total Returns Index, and (iii) stocks comprising the NASDAQ Computer and Data Processing Index. All values assume reinvestment of the full amount of all dividends, if any, into additional shares of the same class of equity securities at the frequency with which dividends are paid on such securities during the applicable time period.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None.

Use of Proceeds from Registered Securities

We registered shares of our common stock in connection with our initial public offering under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Our Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-143998) in connection with our initial public offering was declared effective by the SEC on September 20, 2007. The offering commenced as of September 25, 2007, and did not terminate before all securities were sold. The offering was co-managed by the underwriters Goldman, Sachs & Co; Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Incorporated; Piper Jaffray & Co.; and Jefferies & Company, Inc. A total of 7,229,842 shares of common stock was registered and sold in the initial public offering, including 943,023 shares of common stock sold upon exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option, at a price to the public of \$18.00 per share. The offering closed on September 25, 2007, and we received net proceeds of approximately \$81.3 million (after underwriters' discounts and commissions of approximately \$6.3 million and additional offering-related costs of approximately \$2.4 million). No underwriting discounts and commissions or offering expenses were paid directly or indirectly to any of our directors or officers (or their associates) or persons owning ten percent or more of any class of our equity securities or to any other affiliates.

Table of Contents

There has been no material change in the planned use of proceeds from our initial public offering as described in our final prospectus filed with the SEC pursuant to Rule 424(b). We expect to use the remaining net proceeds for capital expenditures, working capital, and other general corporate purposes. We may also use a portion of our net proceeds to fund acquisitions of complementary businesses, products, or technologies or to fund expansion of our operations facilities. However, we do not have agreements or commitments for any specific acquisitions at this time. Pending the uses described above, we have invested the net proceeds in a variety of short-term, interest-bearing, investment-grade securities.

At December 31, 2009, we had approximately \$30.5 million invested in cash and cash equivalents and \$52.3 million in short-term investments.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

During the quarter ended December 31, 2009, there were no purchases made by us, on our behalf, or by any affiliated purchasers of shares of our common stock.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data.**

The consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006, 2007, and 2008, and the consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2005, 2006, 2007, and 2008, have been restated as set forth in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. You should read the following financial information together with the information under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes to these consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The information presented in the following tables has been adjusted to reflect our restatement resulting from our review of our revenue recognition practices, as is more fully described in the

Explanatory Note Regarding Restatement immediately preceding Part I, Item 1 and in Note 2, Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8. We have not amended our previously filed Annual Reports on Form 10-K or Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the periods affected by the restatement. Historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in future periods.

	2009	2008(1) As restated	2007(1) As restated	Years Ended December 31,		2005(2) As reported	Adjustments	As restated	
				2006(2) As reported	Adjustments				
(In thousands, except per share data)									
Revenue:									
Business services	\$ 183,230	\$ 131,879	\$ 94,182	\$ 70,652	\$	\$ 70,652	\$ 48,958	\$	\$ 48,958
Implementation									
and other	5,297	4,403	3,436	5,161	(2,496)	2,665	4,582	(2,383)	2,199
Total revenue	188,527	136,282	97,618	75,813	(2,496)	73,317	53,540	(2,383)	51,157
Expenses(3):									
Direct operating									
costs	79,017	59,947	46,978	36,530	642	37,172	27,545	555	28,100
Selling and									
marketing	34,072	22,827	17,212	15,645		15,645	11,680		11,680
Research and									
development	14,348	10,600	7,476	6,903		6,903	2,925		2,925
General and									
administrative	36,111	29,330	19,922	16,347		16,347	15,545		15,545
Depreciation and									
amortization	7,767	5,993	5,541	6,238		6,238	5,483		5,483
Total expenses	171,315	128,697	97,129	81,663	642	82,305	63,178	555	63,733
Operating income									
(Loss)	17,212	7,585	489	(5,850)	(3,138)	(8,988)	(9,638)	(2,938)	(12,576)
Other income									
(Expense):									
Interest income	1,016	1,942	1,415	372		372	106		106
Interest expense	(968)	(428)	(3,682)	(2,671)		(2,671)	(1,861)		(1,861)

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Gain (loss) on interest rate derivative contract	590	(881)							
Other income (expense)	255	182	(5,689)	(702)		(702)			
Total other income (expense)	893	815	(7,956)	(3,001)		(3,001)	(1,755)		(1,755)
Income (loss) before income taxes and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	18,105	8,400	(7,467)	(8,851)	(3,138)	(11,989)	(11,393)	(2,938)	(14,331)
Income tax provision (benefit)(4)	(8,829)	23,202	(34)						
Income (loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	9,276	31,602	(7,501)	(8,851)	(3,138)	(11,989)	(11,393)	(2,938)	(14,331)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle				(373)		(373)			
Net income (loss)	\$ 9,276	\$ 31,602	\$ (7,501)	\$ (9,224)	\$ (3,138)	\$ (12,362)	\$ (11,393)	\$ (2,938)	\$ (14,331)
Net income (loss) per share - basic before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.97	\$ (0.60)	\$ (1.88)	\$ (0.67)	\$ (2.55)	\$ (2.51)	\$ (0.65)	\$ (3.16)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle(5)				(0.08)		(0.08)			
Net income (loss) per share - basic	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.97	\$ (0.60)	\$ (1.96)	\$ (0.67)	\$ (2.63)	\$ (2.51)	\$ (0.65)	\$ (3.16)
Net income (loss) per share - diluted before cumulative effect of change	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.91	\$ (0.60)	\$ (1.88)	\$ (0.67)	\$ (2.55)	\$ (2.51)	\$ (0.65)	\$ (3.16)

accounting principle cumulative effect change in accounting principle				(0.08)		(0.08)								
Net income (loss) per share diluted	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.91	\$ (0.60)	\$ (1.96)	\$ (0.67)	\$ (2.63)	\$ (2.51)	\$ (0.65)	\$ (3.16)					
Weighted average shares used in net income (loss) per share basic	33,584	32,746	12,568	4,708	4,708	4,708	4,532	4,532	4,532					
Weighted average shares used in net income (loss) per share diluted	34,917	34,777	12,568	4,708	4,708	4,708	4,532	4,532	4,532					

Table of Contents

2009	2008(1) As restated	As reported	2007(2) Adjustments	As of December 31,		2006(2) Adjustments	As restated	As reported	2005(2) Adjustments
				As restated	As reported				
\$ 82,849	\$ 86,994	\$ 71,891		\$ 71,891	\$ 9,736	\$	\$ 9,736	\$ 9,309	\$
126,379	123,816	88,689		88,689	21,355		21,355	17,722	
211,077	169,571	103,636		103,636	39,973		39,973	38,345	
37,489	25,310	16,959	(2,109)	14,850	23,646	(2,210)	21,436	16,947	(2,07
46,270	39,226	11,158	15,780	26,938	30,504	11,883	42,387	25,640	8,60
83,759	64,536	28,117	13,671	41,788	54,150	9,673	63,823	42,587	6,53
					50,094		50,094	50,094	
12,388	10,416	1,398		1,398	27,293		27,293	20,137	
127,318	105,035	75,519	(13,671)	61,848	(64,271)	(9,673)	(73,944)	(54,336)	(6,53

- (1) See Note 2 Restatement and Reclassification of Previously Issued Consolidated Financial Statements of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of these adjustments.
- (2) The consolidated statements of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 have been revised to reflect adjustments related to the restatement described below under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Restatement and Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The amortization of the implementation fees over an expected performance period of the longer of the estimated expected customer life, currently twelve years, or the contract term, decreased previously reported revenue by \$3.1 million and \$2.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The reporting of the reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses gross in revenue and direct operating costs increased previously reported revenue and direct operating costs by \$0.6 million and \$0.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

2009	Years Ended December 31,			
	2008	2007	2006	2005

(In thousands)

(3) Amounts include stock-based compensation expense as follows:

Direct operating costs	\$ 1,589	\$ 872	\$ 181	\$ 63	\$
Selling and marketing	2,126	1,383	97	44	
Research and development	1,015	1,086	260	53	
General and administrative	3,584	2,217	773	196	
Total	\$ 8,314	\$ 5,558	\$ 1,311	\$ 356	\$

(4) In the year ended December 31, 2008, we determined that a valuation allowance was no longer needed on its deferred tax assets. Accordingly, the 2008 results include the reversal of a \$23.9 million valuation allowance.

(5) Change in accounting principle Effective January 1, 2006, freestanding warrants and other similar instruments related to shares that are redeemable are accounted for in accordance with authoritative guidance on freestanding warrants and other similar instruments on shares that are redeemable. Under this guidance, freestanding warrants exercisable for shares of the Company's redeemable convertible preferred stock are classified as a warrant liability on the Company's balance sheet. The warrants issued for the purchase of the Company's Series D and Series E Preferred Stock are subject to the provisions of this guidance. The Company accounted for the adoption of this guidance as a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle of \$373 recorded on January 1, 2006, the date of the Company's adoption of this guidance. The cumulative effect adjustment was calculated as the difference in the fair value of the warrants from the historical carrying value as of January 1, 2006. The original carrying value of the warrants, \$1,229, was reclassified to liabilities from additional paid-in capital at the date of adoption.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations -Restatement.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements, the accompanying notes to these financial statements, and the other financial information that appear elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. This discussion contains predictions, estimates, and other forward-looking statements that involve a number of risks and uncertainties. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as may, will, should, expects, plans, anticipates, believes, estimates, predicts, potential, or the negative of these terms; or other comparable terminology. Actual results may differ materially from those discussed in these forward-looking statements due to a number of factors, including those set forth in the section entitled Risk Factors and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements. Except as required by law, we are under no duty to update or revise any of the forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise, after the date of Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Restatement

With this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we have restated the following previously filed consolidated financial statements, data, and related disclosures:

- (1) Our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the fiscal years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008 located in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K;
- (2) Our selected financial data as of and for our fiscal years ended December 31, 2005, 2006, 2007, and 2008 located in Part II, Item 6 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K;
- (3) Our management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations as of and for our fiscal years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008, contained herein; and
- (4) Our unaudited quarterly financial information for each quarter in our fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, and for the quarters ended March 31, 2009, June 30, 2009, and September 30, 2009, in Note 20, "Summarized Quarterly Unaudited Financial Data" of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The restatement results from our review of revenue recognition practices. See "Explanatory Note Regarding Restatement immediately preceding Part I, Item 1 and Note 2, Restatement and Reclassification of Previously Issued Consolidated Financial Statements" of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8 for a detailed discussion of the review and effect of the restatement.

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations incorporates the restated amounts. For this reason the data set forth in this section may not be comparable to discussions and data in our previously filed Annual Reports of Form 10-K.

Overview

athenahealth is a leading provider of Internet-based business services for physician practices. Our service offerings are based on four integrated components: our proprietary Internet-based software, our continually updated database of payer reimbursement process rules, our back-office service operations that perform administrative aspects of billing and clinical data management for physician practices, and our automated and live patient communication services. Our principal offering, athenaCollector, automates and manages billing-related functions for physician practices and includes a medical practice management platform. We have also developed a service offering, athenaClinicals, that automates and manages medical-record-related functions for physician practices and includes an electronic health record, or EHR, platform. ReminderCall, which we added to our service suite in September 2008, is our automated appointment reminder system that allows patients to

Table of Contents

either confirm the appointment or request rescheduling. We have now combined ReminderCall with other automated patient messaging services, live operator services, and a patient web portal in the first edition of our athenaCommunicator services suite that we beta launched in 2009 and expect to offer commercially in the first half of 2010. We refer to athenaCollector as our revenue cycle management service, athenaClinicals as our clinical cycle management service, and athenaCommunicator as our patient cycle management service. As a complement to these services, our newest offering, Anodyne Analytics, is a web-based, Software-as-a-Service business intelligence platform that organizes and displays detailed and insightful practice performance data for decision makers at our client practices. Our services are designed to help our clients achieve faster reimbursement from payers, reduce error rates, increase collections, lower operating costs, improve operational workflow controls, improve patient satisfaction and compliance, and more efficiently manage clinical and billing information.

In 2009, we generated revenue of \$188.5 million from the sale of our services compared to \$136.3 million in 2008 and \$97.6 million in 2007. Given the scope of our market opportunity, we have increased our spending each year on growth, innovation, and infrastructure. Despite increased spending in these areas, higher revenue and lower direct operating expense as a percentage of revenue have led to greater operating income. However, the reversal of a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets that occurred in the fourth quarter of 2008 has had and will have an impact on net profits as our results are now fully taxed.

Our revenues are predominately derived from business services that we provide on an ongoing basis. This revenue is generally determined as a percentage of payments collected by us on behalf of our clients, so the key drivers of our revenue include growth in the number of physicians working within our client accounts and the collections of these physicians. To provide these services, we incur expense in several categories, including direct operating, selling and marketing, research and development, general and administrative, and depreciation and amortization expense. In general, our direct operating expense increases as our volume of work increases, whereas our selling and marketing expense increases in proportion to our rate of adding new accounts to our network of physician clients. Our other expense categories are less directly related to growth of revenues and relate more to our planning for the future, our overall business management activities, and our infrastructure. As our revenues have grown, the difference between our revenue and our direct operating expense also has grown, which has afforded us the ability to spend more in other categories of expense and to experience an increase in operating margin. We manage our cash and our use of credit facilities to ensure adequate liquidity, in adherence to related financial covenants.

Sources of Revenue

We derive our revenue from two sources: from business services associated with our revenue cycle and clinical cycle offerings and from implementation and other services. Implementation and other services consist primarily of professional services fees related to assisting clients with the initial implementation of our services and for ongoing training and related support services. Business services accounted for approximately 97%, 97%, and 96% of our total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Business services fees are typically 2% to 8% of a practice's total collections depending upon the size, complexity, and other characteristics of the practice, plus a per-statement charge for billing statements that are generated for patients. Accordingly, business services fees are largely driven by: the number of physician practices we serve, the number of physicians and other medical providers working in those physician practices, the volume of activity and related collections of those physicians and other medical providers, and our contracted rates. There is moderate seasonality in the activity level of physician practices. Typically, discretionary use of physician services declines in the late summer and during the holiday season, which leads to a decline in collections by our physician clients about 30 to 50 days later. None of our clients accounted for more than 10% of our total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, or 2007.

Operating Expense

Direct Operating Expense. Direct operating expense consists primarily of salaries, benefits, claim processing costs, other direct costs, and stock-based compensation related to personnel who provide services to clients, including staff who implement new clients. We expense implementation costs as incurred. Although

Table of Contents

we expect that direct operating expense will increase in absolute terms for the foreseeable future, the direct operating expense is expected to decline as a percentage of revenues as we further increase the percentage of transactions that are resolved on the first attempt and as we decrease the cost of implementation for new clients. In addition, over the longer term, we expect to increase our overall level of automation and to reduce our direct operating expense as a percentage of revenues as we become a larger operation, with higher volumes of work in particular functions, geographies, and medical specialties. In 2009 and 2008, we include in direct operating expense the service costs associated with our athenaClinicals offering, which includes transaction handling related to lab requisitions, lab results entry, fax classification, and other services. We also expect these costs to increase in absolute terms for the foreseeable future but to decline as a percentage of revenue. This decrease will be driven by increased levels of automation and by economies of scale. Direct operating expense does not include allocated amounts for rent, depreciation, and amortization, except for amortization related to purchased intangible assets.

Selling and Marketing Expense. Selling and marketing expense consists primarily of marketing programs (including trade shows, brand messaging, and on-line initiatives) and personnel-related expense for sales and marketing employees (including salaries, benefits, commissions, stock-based compensation, non-billable travel, lodging, and other out-of-pocket employee-related expense). Although we recognize substantially all of our revenue when services have been delivered, we recognize a large portion of our sales commission expense at the time of contract signature and at the time our services commence. Accordingly, we incur a portion of our sales and marketing expense prior to the recognition of the corresponding revenue. We plan to continue to invest in sales and marketing by hiring additional direct sales personnel to add new clients and increase sales to our existing clients. We also plan to expand our marketing activities in certain areas, such as attending trade shows, expanding user groups, and creating new printed materials. As a result, we expect that, in the future, sales and marketing expense will increase in absolute terms but remain relatively consistent over time as a percentage of revenue.

Research and Development Expense. Research and development expense consists primarily of personnel-related expenses for research and development employees (including salaries, benefits, stock-based compensation, non-billable travel, lodging, and other out-of-pocket employee-related expense) and consulting fees for third-party developers. We expect that, in the future, research and development expense will increase in absolute terms but not as a percentage of revenue as new services and more mature products require incrementally less new research and development investment.

General and Administrative Expense. General and administrative expense consists primarily of personnel-related expense for administrative employees (including salaries, benefits, stock-based compensation, non-billable travel, lodging, and other out-of-pocket employee-related expense), occupancy and other indirect costs (including building maintenance and utilities), and insurance, as well as software license fees; outside professional fees for accountants, lawyers, and consultants; and compensation for temporary employees. We expect that general and administrative expense will increase in absolute terms for the foreseeable future as we invest in infrastructure to support our growth and incur additional expense related to being a publicly traded company. Though expenses are expected to continue to rise in absolute terms, we expect general and administrative expense to decline as a percentage of overall revenues.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense. Depreciation and amortization expense consists primarily of depreciation of fixed assets and amortization of capitalized software development costs, which we amortize over a two-year period from the time of release of related software code. Because our core revenue cycle application is relatively mature, we expense those costs as incurred, and, as a result, in 2009 approximately 85% of our software development expenditures were expensed rather than capitalized. In the year ended December 31, 2008, approximately 87% were expensed rather than capitalized. In the year ended December 31, 2007, approximately 85% were expensed rather than capitalized. As we grow, we will continue to make capital investments in the infrastructure of the business, and we will continue to develop software that we capitalize. At the same time, because we are spreading fixed costs over a larger client base, we expect related depreciation and amortization expense to decline as a percentage of revenues over

time.

Table of Contents

Other Income (Expense). Interest expense consists primarily of interest costs related to our former working capital line of credit, our equipment-related term leases, our term loan and revolving loans under our credit facility, and our former subordinated term loan, offset by interest income on investments. Interest income represents earnings from our cash, cash equivalents, and investments. The gain or loss on interest rate derivative contract represents the change in the fair market value of a derivative instrument that is not designated a hedge under the authoritative accounting guidance. Although this derivative has not been designated for hedge accounting, we believe that such instrument is correlated with the underlying cash flow exposure related to variability in interest rate movements on our term loan. In 2007, the unrealized loss on warrant liability represents the change in the fair value of our warrants to purchase shares of our preferred stock at the end of each reporting period. This warrant liability and associated accounting to recognize this liability at its fair value, ceased upon the completion of our initial public offering, at which time the associated liability converted to additional paid-in-capital.

Acquisitions

2009 Acquisition

In October 2009, the Company acquired Anodyne Health Partners, Inc., a software as a service business intelligence company based in Alpharetta, Georgia. We believe that the acquisition of Anodyne provides us with expanded service offerings that will better enable us to compete in the large medical group market. The Anodyne software as a service business intelligence tool enhances customers' ability to view all facets of its revenue cycle information and to access and extract critical operational and administrative information from various data systems. Consideration for this transaction was \$22.3 million plus potential additional consideration of \$7.7 million which will be paid over a three-year period if Anodyne achieves certain business and financial milestones.

2008 Acquisition

In September 2008, we acquired specified assets and assumed specified liabilities of Crest Line Technologies, LLC (d.b.a. MedicalMessaging.net). MedicalMessaging is a provider of live and automated calling services for healthcare professionals. The purpose of the acquisition is to augment our core business service offering with MedicalMessaging's automated and live communication services. We believe the purchase of MedicalMessaging gave us access to a developed technology that could speed the time to market versus internal development of our own similar product. In addition, we plan to leverage its existing customer base to increase revenues of the MedicalMessaging services. Consideration for this transaction was \$7.1 million plus potential additional consideration of \$1.0 million which will be paid over a three-year period if MedicalMessaging achieves certain financial milestones. As of December 31, 2009, we have paid \$0.7 million of the additional consideration.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of our results of operations and liquidity and capital resources are based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (GAAP). In connection with the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, we are required to make assumptions and estimates about future events, and apply judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, expenses, and the related disclosures. We base our assumptions, estimates and judgments on historical experience, current trends and other factors we believe to be relevant at the time we prepared our consolidated financial statements. On a regular basis, we review the accounting policies, assumptions, estimates and judgments to ensure that our consolidated financial statements are presented fairly and in accordance with GAAP. However, because future events and their effects cannot be determined with certainty, actual results could differ from our assumptions and estimates, and such differences could be material.

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and

Table of Contents

liabilities and the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Significant estimates and assumptions are used for, but are not limited to: (1) revenue recognition; including our estimated expected customer life; (2) allowance for doubtful accounts; (3) asset impairments (4) depreciable lives of assets; (5) economic lives and fair value of leased assets; (6) income tax reserves and valuation allowances; (7) fair value of stock options; (8) allocation of direct and indirect cost of sales; and (9) litigation reserves. Future events and their effects cannot be predicted with certainty, and accordingly, our accounting estimates require the exercise of judgment. The accounting estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements will change as new events occur, as more experience is acquired, as additional information is obtained and as our operating environment changes. We evaluate and update our assumptions and estimates on an ongoing basis and may employ outside experts to assist in our evaluations. Actual results could differ from the estimates we have used.

Our significant accounting policies are discussed in Note 3, *Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*, to our accompanying consolidated financial statements. We believe the following accounting policies are the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial results, as they require management to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments, and to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. We have reviewed these critical accounting policies and related disclosures with the Audit Committee of our board of directors.

Description	Judgments and Uncertainties	Effect if Actual Results Differ from Assumptions
<p>Revenue recognition</p>	<p>We recognize revenue when all of the following conditions are satisfied:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> there is evidence of an arrangement; the service has been provided to the client; the collection of the fees is reasonably assured; and the amount of fees to be paid by the client is fixed or determinable. <p>Our arrangements do not contain general rights of return. All revenue, other than implementation revenue, is recognized when the service is performed. Relative to our business services offering that is based on the collections of amounts by our customers; we do not recognize revenue until our customers have</p>	<p>Although we believe that our approach to estimates and judgments as described herein is reasonable, actual results could differ and we may be exposed to increases or decreases in revenue that could be material.</p>
<p>We derive its revenue from business services associated with our revenue cycle and clinical cycle offerings and from implementation and other services.</p>		

been paid. As the implementation service is not separable from the ongoing business services, we record implementation fees as deferred revenue until the implementation service is complete, at which time we recognize revenue ratably on a monthly basis over the longer of the estimated expected customer life or contract life.

Table of Contents

Description	Judgments and Uncertainties	Effect if Actual Results Differ from Assumptions
<p>We are required to recognize our non-refundable up-front fees over the contract term or estimated expected customer life, whichever is longer.</p>	<p>Our clients typically purchase one-year contracts that renew automatically upon completion. In most cases, our clients may terminate their agreements with 90 days notice without cause. We typically retain the right to terminate client agreements in a similar timeframe. Our clients are billed monthly, in arrears, based either upon a percentage of collections posted to athenaNet, minimum fees, flat fees, or per-claim fees where applicable. Invoices are generated within the first two weeks of the month and delivered to clients primarily by email. For most of our clients, fees are then deducted from a pre-defined bank account one week after invoice receipt via an auto-debit transaction. Amounts that have been invoiced are recorded as revenue or deferred revenue, as appropriate, and are included in our accounts receivable balances. We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts based on an assessment of the collectability of specific customer accounts, the aging of accounts receivable, and other economic information on both an historical and prospective basis. Customer account balances are charged against the allowance when it is probable the receivable will not be recovered. Changes in the allowance during fiscal 2009 and 2008 were not material. There is no off-balance sheet credit exposure related to customer receivable balances.</p> <p>The determination of the amount of revenue we can recognize each accounting period requires management to make estimates and</p>	<p>Our estimate of expected customer life may prove to be inaccurate, in which case we may have understated or overstated the</p>

judgments on the estimated expected customer life. We determined the estimated customer life considering the following key factors:

- Renewal rate considerations
- Economic life of the product or service
- Industry data
- Marketing studies
- Data used to set the pricing terms of the arrangement.

revenue recognized in an accounting period. For example, if in the future, we need to increase our estimated expected performance period to a period longer than 12 years, the amount we would recognize in each accounting period would decrease. On the other hand, if in the future, we need to decrease our estimated expected customer life to a period shorter than 12 years, the amount we would recognize in each accounting period would increase. The amount of deferred revenue related to non-refundable up-front fees is \$32.1 million as of December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

Description	Judgments and Uncertainties	Effect if Actual Results Differ from Assumptions
<p>Income taxes</p> <p>We account for income taxes using the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying values of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets are also recorded with respect to net operating losses and other tax attribute carry forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates in effect for the years in which temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Valuation allowances are established when it is more likely than not that deferred tax assets will not be realized. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the income of the period that includes the enactment date.</p> <p>In addition, we are required to establish reserves for tax contingencies.</p>	<p>A high degree of judgment is required to determine if, and the extent to which, valuation allowances should be recorded against deferred tax assets. Since December 31, 2008, we have not had a valuation allowance recorded against our net deferred tax asset.</p> <p>Contingent tax liabilities are based on our assessment of the likelihood that we have incurred a liability. Such liabilities are reviewed based on recent changes in tax laws and regulations, including judicial rulings.</p>	<p>Although we believe that our approach to estimates and judgments as described herein is reasonable, actual results could differ and we may be exposed to increases or decreases in income taxes that could be material.</p>
<p>Share-based Compensation</p>	<p>We estimate the fair value of each stock option award on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes valuation model, which requires us to make estimates regarding expected option life, stock price volatility and other assumptions. We have not had sufficient history as a publicly traded company to evaluate its volatility factor and expected term. As such, we analyzed the volatilities and expected terms of a group of peer companies to support the assumptions used in its calculations for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007. We averaged the volatilities</p>	<p>We believe that there is a high degree of subjectivity involved when using option-pricing models to estimate share-based compensation under the authoritative guidance. If factors change and we employ different assumptions in the application of the authoritative guidance in future periods than those currently, the compensation expense that we record in the future may differ significantly from what we have historically reported for future grants. If the volatility percentage used in calculating our stock compensation expense had</p>

of the peer companies with in-the-money options, sufficient trading history and similar vesting terms to generate the assumptions detailed above. We have not paid and do not anticipate paying cash dividends on our shares of common stock; therefore, the expected dividend yield is assumed to be zero. In addition, we are required to utilize an estimated forfeiture rate when calculating the expense for the period.

fluctuated by 10%, the total stock compensation expense to be recognized over the stock options four-year vesting period would have increased or decreased by approximately \$2.6 million. If the forfeiture rate used in calculating our stock compensation expense had fluctuated by 10%, the total stock compensation expense to be recognized over the stock options four-year vesting period would have decreased or increased by approximately \$0.2 million.

Table of Contents

Description	Judgments and Uncertainties	Effect if Actual Results Differ from Assumptions
<p data-bbox="156 359 507 386"><i>Software Development Costs</i></p> <p data-bbox="92 394 568 527">Software development costs for internal use are expensed or capitalized based on the stage of development for the software.</p>	<p data-bbox="587 394 1023 1192">Under this guidance, costs related to the preliminary project stage of subsequent versions of athenaNet and/or other technology are expensed as incurred. Costs incurred in the application development stage are capitalized. Such costs are amortized over the software's estimated economic life of two years. In 2009, approximately 85% of our software development expenditures were expensed rather than capitalized, based upon the stage of development of the software. In the year ended December 31, 2008, approximately 87% of our software development expenditures were expensed rather than capitalized. In the year ended December 31, 2007, approximately 85% of our software development expenditures were expensed rather than capitalized.</p>	<p data-bbox="1059 394 1465 667">Although we believe that our approach to estimates and judgments as described herein is reasonable, actual results could differ and we may be exposed to increases or decreases in software development costs that could be material.</p>
<p data-bbox="172 1234 491 1262">Contingent consideration</p> <p data-bbox="92 1270 568 1444">Contingent consideration in a business combination is measured at fair value at the acquisition date, with changes in the fair value after the acquisition date affecting earnings.</p>	<p data-bbox="587 1270 1023 1929">Significant judgment is employed in determining the appropriateness of these assumptions as of the acquisition date and for each subsequent period. Accordingly, future business and economic conditions, as well as changes in any of the assumptions described above, can materially impact the amount of contingent consideration expense we record in any given period. Each period we revalue the contingent consideration obligations associated with certain acquisitions to their then fair value and record increases in the fair value as contingent consideration expense and record decreases in the fair value as a reduction of contingent</p>	<p data-bbox="1059 1270 1485 1753">Increases or decreases in the fair value of the contingent consideration obligations can result from changes in assumed discount periods and rates, changes in the assumed timing and amount of revenue and expense estimates. We recorded potential contingent consideration of \$7.7 million in the initial purchase price allocation at its estimated fair value of \$5.1 million related to the Anodyne Health Partners, Inc acquisition in October 2009.</p>

consideration expense.

57

Table of Contents***Consolidated Results of Operations***

The following table sets forth our consolidated results of operations as a percentage of total revenue for the periods shown:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Revenue:			
Business services	97.2%	96.8%	96.5%
Implementation and other	2.8	3.2	3.5
Total revenue	100	100	100
Expenses:			
Direct operating costs	41.9	44.0	48.1
Selling and marketing	18.1	16.7	17.6
Research and development	7.6	7.8	7.7
General and administrative	19.2	21.5	20.4
Depreciation and amortization	4.1	4.4	5.7
Total expenses	90.9	94.4	99.5
Operating income	9.1	5.6	0.5
Other income (expenses):			
Interest income	0.5	1.4	1.4
Interest expense	(0.5)	(0.3)	(3.7)
Gain (loss) on interest rate derivative contract	0.3	(0.6)	
Other income (expense)	0.2	0.1	(5.8)
Total other income (expense)	0.5	0.6	(8.1)
Income (loss) before income taxes	9.6	6.2	(7.6)
Income tax (provision) benefit	(4.7)	17.0	(0.1)
Net income (loss)	4.9%	23.2%	(7.7)%

We have restated our audited consolidated statement of operations and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. For additional information about the restatement, please see the Explanatory Note Regarding Restatement immediately preceding Part I, Item 1 and Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Restatement and Reclassification of Previously Issued Consolidated Financial Statements, in Part II, Item 8. The following discussion and analysis of our financial results of operations incorporates the restated amounts.

Comparison of the Years Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008**Year Ended December 31,**

	2009	2008	Change	
	Amount	Amount	Amount	Percent
Business services	\$ 183,230	\$ 131,879	\$ 51,351	39%
Implementation and other	5,297	4,403	894	20
Total revenue	\$ 188,527	\$ 136,282	\$ 52,245	38%

Revenue. Total revenue for the year ended December 31, 2009, was \$188.5 million, an increase of \$52.2 million, or 38%, over revenue of \$136.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. This increase was due almost entirely to an increase in business services revenue.

Table of Contents

Business Services Revenue. Revenue from business services for the year ended December 31, 2009, was \$183.2 million, an increase of \$51.4 million, or 39%, over revenue of \$131.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. This increase was primarily due to the growth in the number of physicians and other medical providers using our services. The number of physicians using our revenue cycle management service, athenaCollector, at December 31, 2009, was 15,719, an increase of 3,130, or 25%, from 12,589 physicians at December 31, 2008. The number of active medical providers using our revenue cycle management service, athenaCollector, at December 31, 2009, was 23,366, an increase of 4,598, or 24%, from 18,768 active medical providers at December 31, 2008. The number of physicians using our clinical cycle management service, athenaClinicals, at December 31, 2009, was 920, an increase of 435, or 90%, from 485 physicians at December 31, 2008. The number of active medical providers using our clinical cycle management service, athenaClinicals, at December 31, 2009, was 1,471, an increase of 673, or 84%, from 798 active medical providers at December 31, 2008. Also contributing to this increase was the growth in related collections on behalf of these physicians and medical providers. Total collections generated by these physicians and other medical providers that was posted for the year ended December 31, 2009, was \$4.9 billion, an increase of \$1.2 billion, or 32%, over posted collections of \$3.7 billion for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Implementation and Other Revenue. Revenue from implementations and other sources was \$5.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, an increase of \$0.9 million, or 20%, over revenue of \$4.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. This increase was driven by new client implementations and increased professional services for our larger client base. As of December 31, 2009, the numbers of accounts live on our revenue cycle management service, athenaCollector, increased by 366 accounts since December 31, 2008. As of December 31, 2009, the numbers of accounts live on our clinical cycle management service, athenaClinicals, increased by 116 accounts since December 31, 2008. The increase in implementation and other revenue is the result of the increase in the volume of our business.

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2009	2008	Change	
	Amount	Amount	Amount	Percent
Direct operating costs	\$ 79,017	\$ 59,947	\$ 19,070	32%

Direct Operating Costs. Direct operating costs for the year ended December 31, 2009, was \$79.0 million, an increase of \$19 million, or 32%, over direct operating costs of \$60.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. This increase was primarily due to an increase in the number of claims that we processed on behalf of our clients and the related expense of providing services, including transactions expense and salary and benefits expense. The amount of collections processed for the year ended December 31, 2009, was \$4.9 billion, which was \$1.2 billion, or 32% higher than the \$3.7 billion of collection processed for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase in collections increased at a higher rate than the increase in the related direct operating expense as we benefited from economies of scale.

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2009	2008	Change	
	Amount	Amount	Amount	Percent
Selling and marketing	\$ 34,072	\$ 22,827	\$ 11,245	49%
Research and development	14,348	10,600	3,748	35
General and administrative	36,111	29,330	6,781	23
Depreciation and amortization	7,767	5,993	1,774	30

Total	\$ 92,298	\$ 68,750	\$ 23,548	34%
-------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----

Selling and Marketing Expense. Selling and marketing expense for the year ended December 31, 2009, was \$34.1 million, an increase of \$11.3 million, or 49%, over costs of \$22.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. This increase was primarily due to increases in external commissions of \$1.5 million, a \$0.7 million increase in stock-based compensation expense, and an increase in salaries, internal commissions and benefits of \$4.9 million. Additional increases were due to increases in online and offline marketing-related

Table of Contents

expenses totaling \$3.3 million, a \$0.5 million increase in travel related expense, and a \$0.4 million increase in consulting costs.

Research and Development Expense. Research and development expense for the year ended December 31, 2009, was \$14.3 million, an increase of \$3.7 million, or 35%, over research and development expense of \$10.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. This increase was primarily due to a \$3.7 million increase in salaries and benefits.

General and Administrative Expense. General and administrative expense for the year ended December 31, 2009, was \$36.1 million, an increase of \$6.8 million, or 23%, over general and administrative expenses of \$29.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. This increase was primarily due to a \$3.8 million increase in employee-related costs due to an increase in headcount, a \$1.4 million increase in stock compensation expense, and a \$1.0 million increase in audit-related and legal fees due to the costs of being a public company and acquisition related costs. The remaining portion of the increase relates to an increase in our bad debt expense.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2009, was \$7.8 million, an increase of \$1.8 million, or 30%, from depreciation and amortization of \$6.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. This increase was primarily due to the addition of assets during 2009 and 2008.

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2009	2008	Change	
	Amount	Amount	Amount	Percent
Interest income	\$ 1,016	\$ 1,942	\$ (926)	(48)%
Interest expense	(968)	(428)	(540)	*
Gain (loss) on interest rate derivative contract	590	(881)	1,471	*
Other income	255	182	73	40
Total	\$ 893	\$ 815	\$ 78	10%

* not meaningful

Other Income (Expense). Interest income for the year ended December 31, 2009, was \$1.0 million, a decrease of \$0.9 million from interest income of \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease was directly related to the lower interest rates during the year. Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2009, was \$1.0 million, an increase of approximately \$0.6 million over interest expense of \$0.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase is related to an increase in the balance outstanding on our capital leases during 2009 and a full year of interest expense relating to our term and revolving loans. The loss on interest rate derivative for the year ended December 31, 2008, was \$0.9 million, compared to a gain on interest rate derivative for the year ended December 31, 2009, of \$0.6 million. The gain was the result of the change in the fair market value of a derivative instrument that was not designated a hedge instrument under the authoritative guidance. Although this derivative does not qualify for hedge accounting, we believe that the instrument is closely correlated with the underlying exposure, thus managing the associated risk. The gains or losses from changes in the fair value of derivative instruments that are not accounted for as hedges are recognized in earnings.

Income Tax Provision. We recorded a provision of \$8.8 million for the income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2009 based upon an effective tax rate of 49%. We recorded a benefit of \$23.2 million for income taxes for the period

of December 31, 2008, which included a reversal of the valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets of the Company. We consider whether a valuation allowance is needed on its deferred tax assets by evaluating all positive and negative evidence relative to its ability to recover deferred tax assets. Prior to the year ended December 31, 2008, we had incurred losses and it is difficult to assert that deferred tax assets are recoverable with this negative evidence. During the fourth quarter of 2008, our results of operations generated a cumulative profit as measured over the current and prior two years. In addition, we had been profitable for six consecutive quarters before releasing the allowance. Based on consideration of the

Table of Contents

weight of positive and negative evidence, including forecasted operating results, we concluded that there was sufficient positive evidence that our deferred tax assets were more likely than not recoverable as of December 31, 2008. Accordingly, the remaining valuation allowance was reversed as of December 31, 2008.

Comparison of the Years Ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

We have restated our audited consolidated statement of operations and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. For additional information about the restatement, please see the Explanatory Note Regarding Restatement immediately preceding Part I, Item 1 and Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Restatement and Reclassification of Previously Issued Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8. The following discussion and analysis of our financial results of operations incorporates the restated amounts.

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2008 Amount	2007 Amount	Change Amount	Percent
Business services	\$ 131,879	\$ 94,182	\$ 37,697	40%
Implementation and other	4,403	3,436	967	28
Total revenue	\$ 136,282	\$ 97,618	\$ 38,664	40%

Revenue. Total revenue for the year ended December 31, 2008, was \$136.3 million, an increase of \$38.7 million, or 40%, over revenue of \$97.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This increase was almost entirely due to an increase in business services revenue.

Business Services Revenue. Revenue from business services for the year ended December 31, 2008, was \$131.9 million, an increase of \$37.7 million, or 40%, over revenue of \$94.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This increase was primarily due to the growth in the number of physicians using our services. The number of physicians using our services at December 31, 2008, was 12,589, an increase of 3,166, or 34%, over the 9,423 physicians at December 31, 2007. Also contributing to this increase was growth in related collections on behalf of these physicians. Total collections generated by these providers posted for the year ended December 31, 2008, was \$3.7 billion, an increase of \$1.0 billion, or 37%, over \$2.7 billion for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Implementation and Other Revenue. Revenue from implementations and other sources was \$4.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, an increase of \$1.0 million, or 28%, over revenue of \$3.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This increase was driven by new client implementations and increased professional services for our larger client base. As of December 31, 2008, the number of accounts live on our revenue cycle management system, athenaCollector increased by 305 since December 31, 2007. As of December 31, 2008, the number of accounts live on our clinical cycle management service, athenaClinicals increased by 80 since December 31, 2007. The increase in implementation and other revenue is the result of the increase in the volume of our business.

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2008 Amount	2007 Amount	Change Amount	Percent
Direct operating expense	\$ 59,947	\$ 46,978	\$ 12,969	28%

Direct Operating Expense. Direct operating expense for the year ended December 31, 2008, was \$59.9 million, an increase of 28% over direct operating expense of \$47.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This increase was primarily due to an increase in the number of claims that we processed on behalf of our clients and the related expense of providing services, including transactions expense and salary and benefits expense. The amount of collections processed for our clients for the year ended

Table of Contents

December 31, 2008, was \$3.7 billion, which was 37% higher than the \$2.7 billion of collections processed for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase in collections increased at a higher rate than the increase in the related direct operating expense, as we benefited from economies of scale.

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2008 Amount	2007 Amount	Change Amount	Change Percent
Selling and marketing	\$ 22,827	\$ 17,212	\$ 5,615	33%
Research and development	10,600	7,476	3,124	42
General and administrative	29,330	19,922	9,408	47
Depreciation and amortization	5,993	5,541	452	8
Total	\$ 68,750	\$ 50,151	\$ 18,599	37%

Selling and Marketing Expense. Selling and marketing expense for the year ended December 31, 2008, was \$22.8 million, an increase of \$5.6 million, or 33%, over sales and marketing expense of \$17.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This increase was primarily due to increases in internal and external commissions of \$1.7 million, a \$1.3 million increase in stock compensation expense, an increase in salaries and benefits of \$2.3 million, and an increase in marketing expenses of \$0.3 million.

Research and Development Expense. Research and development expense for the year ended December 31, 2008, was \$10.6 million, an increase of \$3.1 million, or 42%, over research and development expense of \$7.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This increase was primarily due to a \$1.9 million increase in salaries, a \$0.8 million increase in stock compensation expense, and a \$0.4 million increase in consulting related to our athenaClinical product.

General and Administrative Expense. General and administrative expense for the year ended December 31, 2008, was \$29.3 million, an increase of \$9.4 million, or 47%, over general and administrative expense of \$19.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This increase was primarily due to a \$6.5 million increase in salaries and benefits resulting from an increase in headcount, a \$1.4 million increase in stock compensation expense, and a \$1.5 million increase in audit-related and legal fees due to the costs of being a public company.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2008, was \$6.0 million, an increase of \$0.5 million, or 8%, from depreciation and amortization expense of \$5.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This increase was primarily due to the addition of property and equipment during 2008.

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2008 Amount	2007 Amount	Change Amount	Change Percent
Interest income	\$ 1,942	\$ 1,415	\$ 527	37%
Interest expense	(428)	(3,682)	3,254	(88)
Gain (loss) on interest rate derivative contract	(881)		(881)	*
Other income	182	(5,689)	5,871	*

Total	\$ 815	\$ (7,956)	\$ 8,771	(110)%
-------	--------	------------	----------	--------

* not meaningful

Other Income (Expense). Interest income for the year ended December 31, 2008, was \$1.9 million, an increase of \$0.5 million from interest income of \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase was directly related to the higher cash and short-term investments balance during the year. Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2008, was \$0.4 million, a decrease of \$3.3 million, or 88%, over interest expense of \$3.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The decrease is related to a decrease in bank debt during 2008. The loss on interest rate derivative for the year ended December 31, 2008, was

Table of Contents

\$0.9 million, which was the result of the change in the fair market value of a derivative instrument that was not designated a hedge under the authoritative guidance. Although this derivative does not qualify for hedge accounting, we believe that the instrument is closely correlated with the underlying exposure, thus managing the associated risk. The gains or losses from changes in the fair value of derivative instruments that are not accounted for as hedges are recognized in earnings. The loss on warrant liability for the year ended December 31, 2007, was \$5.0 million, which was the result of the change in the fair value of the warrants prior to our initial public offering, or IPO. This change in the fair value of the warrants is attributable to the appreciation in the fair value of our common and preferred stock during this period, as the common stock increased from \$7.20 per share as of December 31, 2006, to \$18.00 per share at the time of our IPO. These warrants converted to warrants to purchase shares of common stock upon the consummation of our IPO, at which time the existing liability was reclassified to additional paid-in-capital. Therefore there was no such expense in 2008. Also included in other expense for the year ended December 31, 2007, was \$0.1 million in loss on disposal of assets and \$0.6 million of financial advisor fees paid by shareholders. Included in other expense for the year ended December 31, 2008, was \$0.2 million in gain on disposal of assets.

Income Tax Provision. We recorded a benefit of \$23.2 million for income taxes for the period of December 31, 2008, which included a reversal of the valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets of the company. We consider whether a valuation allowance is needed on its deferred tax assets by evaluating all positive and negative evidence relative to its ability to recover deferred tax assets. Prior to the year ended December 31, 2008, we had incurred losses and it is difficult to assert that deferred tax assets are recoverable with this negative evidence. During the fourth quarter of 2008, our results of operations generated a cumulative profit as measured over the current and prior two years. In addition, we have been profitable for six consecutive quarters. Based on consideration of the weight of positive and negative evidence, including forecasted operating results, we concluded that there was sufficient positive evidence that its deferred tax assets are more likely than not recoverable as of December 31, 2008. Accordingly, the remaining valuation allowance was reversed as of December 31, 2008. We recorded a provision for income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2007, of less than \$0.1 million, which represents income tax expense for the alternative minimum tax (AMT).

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Since our inception, we have funded our growth primarily through the private sale of equity securities, totaling approximately \$50.6 million, as well as through long-term debt, working capital, equipment-financing loans, and the completion of our initial public offering, which provided net proceeds of approximately \$81.3 million. As of December 31, 2009, our principal sources of liquidity were cash and cash equivalents totaling \$30.5 million and short term investments of \$52.3 million. Our total indebtedness was \$12.4 million at December 31, 2009, and was comprised of capital leases and amounts borrowed under our credit facility with Bank of America, N.A.

Looking forward to 2010, we anticipate sufficient liquidity from cash flows and access to existing credit facilities to meet our operational needs and financial obligations. Our liquidity derived from cash flows is, to a large degree, predicated on our ability to collect our receivables in a timely manner and the cost of operating our business.

Cash provided by operating activities during the year ended December 31, 2009, was \$32.3 million and consisted of a net income of \$9.3 million and \$2.4 million utilized by working capital and other activities. This is offset by positive non-cash adjustments of \$8.4 million related to depreciation and amortization expense, \$8.3 million in non-cash stock compensation expense, \$1.0 million for a provision for uncollectible accounts, a \$0.3 million loss on disposal of assets, and \$5.9 million relating to changes in our deferred tax assets and liabilities. Negative non-cash adjustments relate to amortization of discounts on investments of \$0.1 million, a \$2.5 million from excess tax benefit from stock-based awards, and a \$0.6 million from a non-cash gain on interest rate swap. Cash used by working capital and other activities was primarily attributable to a \$1.1 million decrease in deferred rent, a \$10.5 million increase in accounts receivable and a \$0.2 million increase in other long-term assets, offset in part by a \$7.4 million increase in

deferred revenue, a \$6.4 million increase in accrued expenses, a \$0.9 million increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets, and a

Table of Contents

\$1.4 million increase in accounts payables. These changes were attributable to growth in the size of our business and in the related direct operating expense.

Cash provided by operating activities during the year ended December 31, 2008, was \$21.1 million and consisted of a net income of \$31.6 million and \$1.8 million utilized by working capital and other activities. This is offset by positive non-cash adjustments of \$6.1 million related to depreciation and amortization expense, \$5.6 million in non-cash stock compensation expense, a \$0.9 million from a non-cash loss on interest rate swap, a \$0.5 million from excess tax benefit from stock-based awards, and \$0.4 million for a provision for uncollectible accounts. Negative non-cash adjustments relate to amortization of discounts on investments for \$0.9 million and \$23.8 million increase in deferred provision. Cash used by working capital and other activities was primarily attributable to a \$7.4 million increase in accrued expense, a \$1.4 million decrease in deferred rent, a \$9.3 million increase in accounts receivable, a \$0.9 million increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets, and a \$0.1 million increase in other long-term assets, offset in part by a \$7.1 million increase in deferred revenue and a \$1.2 million increase in accounts payables. These changes were attributable to growth in the size of our business and in the related direct operating expense.

Net cash used by investing activities was \$33.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, which consisted of purchases of investments of \$78.6 million; purchases of property and equipment of \$10.3 million; net cash paid for acquisition and other purchased intangible assets of \$22.4 million; expenditures for internal development of the athenaClinicals and athenaCommunicator applications of \$2.6 million; increase in restricted cash balance of \$7.4 million; and purchase of investment in unconsolidated company of \$0.6 million. This outgoing investment cash flow was offset by positive investment cash flow of \$84.0 million from proceeds of the maturities of investments and proceeds from sale of equipment of \$4.5 million.

Net cash used by investing activities was \$74.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, which consisted of purchases of investments of \$130.0 million; purchases of plant, property, and equipment of \$13.5 million; net cash paid for acquisition and other purchased intangible assets of \$6.7 million; expenditures for internal development of the athenaClinicals application of \$1.4 million; and purchase of investment in unconsolidated company of \$0.6 million. This outgoing investment cash flow was offset by positive investment cash flow of \$73.3 million from proceeds of the maturities of investments and proceeds from disposals and sale of equipment of \$4.1 million.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$2.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The majority of the cash provided in the period resulted from proceeds from the issuance of common stock under stock plans of \$2.7 million, and an excess tax benefit from stock-based awards of \$2.4 million. This was offset by payments on long-term debt of \$2.5 million. The \$12.4 million of debt was either issued as fixed-interest-rate debt or has been effectively converted to fixed-rate debt through the use of interest rate swaps that change floating rates to fixed rates. The weighted-average interest rate on fixed-rate long-term debt is 5%, including the effects of the interest rate swaps. At December 31, 2009, the current fair value of the swap is a liability of \$0.3 million.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$10.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The majority of the cash provided in the period resulted from proceeds from long-term debt of \$6.0 million, \$5.2 million in proceeds from the exercise of stock options and warrants, and a tax benefit from stock-based awards of \$0.5 million. This was offset by payments on long-term debt of \$0.8 million and \$0.2 million of deferred financing fees. The debt was either issued as fixed-interest-rate debt or has been effectively converted to fixed-rate debt through the use of interest rate swaps that change floating rates to fixed rates. The weighted-average interest rate on fixed-rate long-term debt is 4.55%, including the effects of the interest rate swaps. At December 31, 2008, the current fair value of the swap was a liability of \$0.9 million.

We make investments in property and equipment and in software development on an ongoing basis. Our property and equipment investments consist primarily of technology infrastructure to provide capacity for expansion of our client

base, including computers and related equipment in our data centers and infrastructure in our service operations. Our software development investments consist primarily of company-managed design, development, testing, and deployment of new application functionality. Because the practice management component of athenaNet is considered mature, we expense nearly all software maintenance costs for this

Table of Contents

component of our platform as incurred. For the electronic health records (EHR) component of athenaNet, which is the platform for our athenaClinicals offering, we capitalize nearly all software development. In the year ended December 31, 2009, we capitalized \$10.3 million in property and equipment and \$2.6 million in software development. In the year ended December 31, 2008, we capitalized \$13.5 million of property and equipment and \$1.4 million of software development. Included in the capitalized property and equipment during 2008 was a complex of buildings totaling 186,000 square feet, including approximately 133,000 square feet of office space, on approximately 53 acres of land located in Belfast, Maine, for a total price of \$6.2 million. We currently anticipate making aggregate capital expenditures of approximately \$16.3 million over the next twelve months. In the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, we paid cash for acquisitions of \$22.4 million and \$6.7 million. We believe that the acquisitions provide us with expanded service offerings that will better enable it to compete in this market.

Given our current cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, accounts receivable, and funds available under our existing revolving credit facility with Bank of America, N.A., we believe that we will have sufficient liquidity to fund our business and meet our contractual obligations for at least the next twelve months. We may increase our capital expenditures consistent with our anticipated growth in infrastructure and personnel and as we expand our national presence. In addition, we may pursue acquisitions or investments in complementary businesses or technologies or experience unexpected operating losses, in which case we may need to raise additional funds sooner than expected. Accordingly, we may need to engage in private or public equity or debt financings to secure additional funds. If we raise additional funds through further issuances of equity or convertible debt securities, our existing stockholders could suffer significant dilution, and any new equity securities we issue could have rights, preferences, and privileges superior to those of holders of our common stock. Any debt financing obtained by us in the future could involve restrictive covenants relating to our capital-raising activities and other financial and operational matters, which may make it more difficult for us to obtain additional capital and to pursue business opportunities, including potential acquisitions. In addition, we may not be able to obtain additional financing on terms favorable to us, if at all. If we are unable to obtain required financing on terms satisfactory to us, our ability to continue to support our business growth and to respond to business challenges could be significantly limited. Beyond the twelve-month period, we intend to maintain sufficient liquidity through continued improvements in the size and profitability of our business and through prudent management of our cash resources and our credit arrangements.

Credit Facilities***Capital Leases***

As of December 31, 2009, there was a net present value of \$6.8 million in aggregate principal amount outstanding under a series of capital leases with one finance company. They accrue interest at a weighted average rate of 3.5% per annum, and they are payable on a monthly basis through December 2012.

Term and Revolving Loans

On September 30, 2008, we entered into a credit agreement with Bank of America, N.A. This credit agreement consists of a revolving credit facility in the amount of \$15.0 million and a term loan facility in the amount of \$6.0 million. The revolving credit facility may be extended by up to an additional \$15.0 million on the satisfaction of certain conditions and includes a \$10.0 million sublimit for the issuance of standby letters of credit. The revolving credit facility matures on September 30, 2011, and the term facility matures on September 30, 2013, although either facility may be voluntarily prepaid in whole or in part at any time without premium or penalty. On September 30, 2008, we borrowed a total of \$6.0 million under the term loan facility for general working capital purposes and as of December 31, 2009, the outstanding balance on the term loan facility was \$5.6 million. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, there were no amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility.

The revolving credit loans and term loans bear interest, at our option, at either (i) the British Bankers Association London Interbank Offered Rate (known as LIBOR), or (ii) the higher of (a) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.50% or (b) Bank of America's prime rate. For term loans, these rates are adjusted up 100 basis

Table of Contents

points for LIBOR loans and down 100 basis points for all other loans. For revolving credit loans, a margin is added to the chosen interest rate that is based on our consolidated leverage ratio, as defined in the credit agreement, which margin can range from 100 to 275 basis points for LIBOR loans and from 0 to 50 basis points for all other loans. A default rate applies on all obligations in the event of a default under the credit agreement at an annual rate equal to 2% above the applicable interest rate. We were also required to pay other customary commitment fees and upfront fees for this credit facility. The interest rate as of December 31, 2009, for the term loan and for the revolving credit facility was 4.55%.

Our obligations under the credit agreement and all related documents are collateralized by a security interest in our personal and fixture property, instruments, documents, chattel paper, deposit accounts, claims, investment property, contract rights, general intangibles, and certain intellectual property rights. As additional security, we have granted to Bank of America, N.A. a mortgage, assignment of rents, and security interest in fixtures relating to our property in Belfast, Maine, and pledged all stock of any domestic subsidiary that may be formed or acquired and 65% of our foreign subsidiaries' stock. If we acquire or form any United States subsidiary, that subsidiary shall be required to provide a joint and several guaranty of all of our obligations under the credit agreement as primary obligor.

The credit agreement contains customary default provisions, including, without limitation, defaults relating to non-payment, breach of covenants, inaccuracy of representations and warranties, default under other indebtedness (including a cross-default with our interest rate swap with Bank of America, N.A.), bankruptcy and insolvency, inability to pay debts, attachment of assets, adverse judgments, ERISA violations, invalidity of loan and collateral documents, and change of control. Upon an event of default, the lenders may terminate the commitment to make loans and the obligation to extend letters of credit, declare the unpaid principal amount of all outstanding loans and interest accrued under the credit agreement to be immediately due and payable, require us to provide cash and deposit account collateral for our letter of credit obligations, and exercise their security interests and other rights under the credit agreement. The credit agreement also contains certain financial and nonfinancial covenants, including limitations on our consolidated leverage ratio and capital expenditures. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, we were in compliance with our covenants under the credit agreement.

Contractual Obligations

We have contractual obligations under our bank debt, equipment line of credit, and revolving and term loans. We also maintain operating leases for property and certain office equipment. The following table summarizes our long-term contractual obligations and commitments as of December 31, 2009:

	Total	Payments Due by Period				Other
		Less than 1 Year	2 - 3 Years	4 - 5 Years	After 5 Years	
Long-term debt obligations	\$ 5,625	\$ 300	\$ 600	\$ 4,725	\$	\$
Capital lease obligations	6,763	3,137	3,626			
Operating lease obligations	30,778	5,357	10,651	10,629	4,141	
Other						986
Total	\$ 43,166	\$ 8,794	\$ 14,877	\$ 15,354	\$ 4,141	\$ 986

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

These amounts exclude interest payments of \$0.3 million that are due in the next three years on the capital lease obligations and \$1.0 million that are due in the next four years on our long-term debt obligations.

The commitments under our operating leases shown above consist primarily of lease payments for our Watertown, Massachusetts, corporate headquarters; our Rome, Georgia, offices; our Alpharetta, Georgia, subsidiary location; and our Chennai, India, subsidiary location.

On February 15, 2008, we purchased a complex of buildings, including approximately 133,000 square feet of office space, on approximately 53 acres of land located in Belfast, Maine, for a total purchase price of

Table of Contents

\$6.2 million from a wholly owned subsidiary of Bank of America Corporation. We use this facility as a second operational service site and intend to lease a small portion of the space to commercial tenants.

Other amount consists of uncertain tax benefits. We have not utilized these uncertain tax benefits, nor do we have an expectation of when these uncertain tax benefits would be challenged. As of December 31, 2009, we cannot reasonably estimate when any future cash outlays would occur related to these uncertain tax positions.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, we did not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. Other than our operating leases for office space and computer equipment, we do not engage in off-balance sheet financing arrangements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued authoritative guidance on business combinations. This guidance establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements significant aspects of a business combination. Under this guidance, acquisition costs are generally expensed as incurred; noncontrolling interests are reflected at fair value at the acquisition date; in-process research and development (IPR&D) is recorded at fair value as an intangible asset at the acquisition date; restructuring costs associated with a business combination are generally expensed rather than capitalized; contingent consideration is measured at fair value at the acquisition date, with changes in the fair value after the acquisition date affecting earnings; and changes in deferred tax asset valuation allowances and income tax uncertainties after the measurement period will affect income tax expense. We adopted this guidance on January 1, 2009 and during the year ended December 31, 2009, expensed approximately \$0.8 million of acquisition costs that, prior to the change in accounting, would have been included as part of the purchase price. In addition, under the provisions of guidance, future reversal of our current acquisition-related tax reserves of approximately \$0.7 million (excluding interest and penalties) will be recorded in earnings, rather than as an adjustment to goodwill or acquisition related other intangible assets and will affect the Company's annual effective income tax rate. The potential contingent consideration of \$7.7 million was recorded in the initial purchase price allocation at its estimated fair value of \$5.1 million. The contingent consideration will be accreted to the amount payable when, and if, earned. The difference between the estimated and earn-out amount will be charged or credited to expense. The contingent consideration for acquisitions which occurred prior to this change will be recorded as additional goodwill when it is earned.

In October 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on revenue recognition that will become effective for periods beginning January 1, 2011, with earlier adoption permitted. Under the new guidance on arrangements that include software elements, tangible products that have software components that are essential to the functionality of the tangible product will no longer be within the scope of the software revenue recognition guidance, and software-enabled products will now be subject to other relevant revenue recognition guidance. Additionally, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables that are outside the scope of the software revenue recognition guidance. Under the new guidance, when vendor specific objective evidence or third party evidence for deliverables in an arrangement cannot be determined, a best estimate of the selling price is required to separate deliverables and allocate arrangement consideration using the relative selling price method. The new guidance includes new disclosure requirements on how the application of the relative selling price method affects the timing and amount of revenue recognition. We are currently evaluating the impact of adoption of this authoritative guidance might have on our financial statements, if any.

From time to time, new accounting pronouncements are issued by FASB and are adopted by us as of the specified effective date. Unless otherwise discussed, we believe that the impact of recently issued

Table of Contents

accounting pronouncements will not have a material impact on consolidated financial position, results of operations, and cash flows, or do not apply to our operations.

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.*

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk. Our results of operations and cash flows are subject to fluctuations due to changes in the Indian rupee. None of our consolidated revenues are generated outside the United States. None of our vendor relationships, including our contracts with our offshore service providers, International Business Machines Corporation and Vision Process Business Solutions Inc., for work performed in India or the Philippines, is denominated in any currency other than the U.S. dollar. Although the contracts are denominated in U.S. dollars, the fees in one of our vendor contracts are subject to adjustment based upon fluctuation in exchange rates between the India Rupees and the U.S. dollar. In 2009 and 2008, 0.9% and 1.0%, respectively, of our expenses occurred in our direct subsidiary in Chennai, India, and were incurred in Indian rupees. We therefore believe that the risk of a significant impact on our operating income from foreign currency fluctuations is not substantial.

Interest Rate Sensitivity. We had unrestricted cash and cash equivalents totaling \$30.5 million at December 31, 2009. These amounts are held for working capital purposes and were invested primarily in deposits, money market funds, and short-term, interest-bearing, investment-grade securities. Due to the short-term nature of these investments, we believe that we do not have any material exposure to changes in the fair value of our investment portfolio as a result of changes in interest rates. The value of these securities, however, will be subject to interest rate risk and could fall in value if interest rates rise.

Interest Rate Risk

As of December 31, 2009, we had long-term debt and capital lease obligations totaling \$12.4 million, which have both variable and fixed interest rate components. We have entered into interest rate swaps as a hedge relating to variability in interest rate movements on our term loan. For floating rate debt, interest rate changes generally do not affect the fair market value, but do impact future earnings and cash flows, assuming other factors are held constant.

The table below summarizes the principal terms of our interest rate swap transaction, including the notional amount of the swap, the interest rate payment we receive from and pay to our swap counterparty, the term of the transaction, and its fair market value at December 31, 2009.

Description	Borrowing	Notional Amount	Receive	Pay	Fiscal Year Entered Into	Maturity (Fiscal Year)	Fair Market Value at December 31, 2009
Interest rate swap variable to fixed	Revolving Credit Facility	\$5,625	LIBOR plus 1.0%	4.55% Fixed	2008	2028	\$(291)

Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.*

The financial statements required by this Item are located beginning on page F-1 of this report.

Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.*

None.

Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures.*

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2009. The term disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934,

Table of Contents

means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is accumulated and communicated to that company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives, and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our financial disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of December 31, 2009, due to the material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting as described below.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for our company. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15(d)-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers and effected by our board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and disposition of our assets;

- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

- provide reasonable assurance that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorization of our management and directors; and

- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of inherent limitations, internal controls over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management, including our Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers, assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, and identified a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting as of that date. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statement will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Because of the material weakness described below, management concluded

that, as of December 31, 2009, our internal control over financial reporting was not effective.

Based upon management's evaluation, we concluded that we did not maintain adequate and effective internal control in the area of technical accounting relating to the application of applicable accounting literature related to revenue recognition for implementation fees. Specifically, the control deficiency related to our interpretation of the Revenue Recognition Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification in

Table of Contents

determining the proper period over which to amortize implementation fees. It was discovered during the preparation of our year-end financial statements for fiscal year 2009 that certain prior technical accounting judgments and conclusions related to revenue recognition were not supportable, leading management to conclude that the execution of certain internal control activities had not been adequate. Specifically, we believe that, in the context of the rapid growth of our business, we did not have sufficient staffing and technical expertise in the area of revenue recognition accounting to provide adequate review and control with respect to accounting for implementation revenue accounting. The material weakness contributed to material post-closing adjustments and restatement of prior period financial statements, which have been reflected in the financial statements for the three years ended December 31, 2009.

Deloitte and Touche LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, has audited our consolidated financial statements and the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009. This report appears below.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Other than as described below, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter of 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Remediation of Material Weakness Technical Accounting Related to Revenue Recognition for Implementation Fees

On February 25, 2010, we announced that we were conducting an internal accounting policy review related to the timing of amortization for deferred implementation revenue to determine whether these policies were appropriate and in accordance with the generally accepted accounting principles. As a result, under the direction of the Audit Committee, we commenced a process to review our deferred implementation revenue policies. On March 15, 2010, we announced that certain previously issued financial statements would be restated to correct items relating to the timing of revenue recognition for implementation fees. See the Explanatory Note Regarding Restatement immediately preceding Part I, Item 1, and Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8.

In connection with such review, we identified a control deficiency relating to the application of applicable accounting literature related to revenue recognition for implementation fees. Specifically, the control deficiency related to our interpretation of the Revenue Recognition topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification in determining the proper period over which to amortize implementation fees.

Management, with the input, oversight, and support of the Audit Committee has identified and taken the following steps, which management believes have corrected the material weakness described above subsequent to December 31, 2009:

in January 2010, we hired a new Chief Financial Officer, who has extensive experience leading the accounting and finance functions at publicly traded companies and adds accounting expertise to our staff;

in February 2010, we engaged external advisors knowledgeable in revenue recognition to assist us in the interpretation of key technical revenue recognition standards and associated interpretations and the determination of how they apply to our software-enabled service business model; and

we revised our internal training program to ensure that our finance personnel have the competence and the on-going accounting and financial reporting training necessary for their assigned duties, including specific technical training courses related to revenue recognition topics. To that end, we increased our training budget significantly over the amount spent in 2009 for technical training and development.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of athenahealth, Inc.
Watertown, Massachusetts

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of athenahealth, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. The following material weakness has been identified and included in management's assessment:

The Company did not maintain effective internal control over their application of applicable accounting literature related to revenue recognition for implementation fees. The control deficiency related to the Company's interpretation of the Revenue Recognition Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification in determining the proper period over which to amortize implementation fees. The material weakness contributed to material post-closing adjustments

and restatement of prior period financial statements.

This material weakness was considered in determining the nature, timing, and extent of audit tests applied in our audit of the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009, of the Company and this report does not affect our report on such financial statements.

In our opinion, because of the effect of the material weakness identified above on the achievement of the objectives of the control criteria, the Company has not maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009 of the Company and our report dated March 15, 2010, expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and included explanatory paragraphs relating to the change in the company's method of accounting for business combinations on January 1, 2009 and the restatement of the Company's 2008 and 2007 consolidated financial statements.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Boston, Massachusetts
March 15, 2010

Table of Contents

Item 9B. *Other Information.*

Entry into Rule 10b5-1 Trading Plans

Our policy governing transactions in our securities by our directors, officers, and employees permits our officers, directors, and certain other persons to enter into trading plans complying with Rule 10b5-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We have been advised that a number of our employees, including members of our senior management team, have entered into trading plans in accordance with Rule 10b5-1 and our policy governing transactions in our securities. We undertake no obligation to update or revise the information provided herein, including for revision or termination of an established trading plan.

PART III

Certain information required by Part III of Form 10-K is omitted from this report because we expect to file a definitive proxy statement for our 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (2010 Proxy Statement) within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the information included in our 2010 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference to the extent provided below.

Item 10. *Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.*

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the information to be contained in our 2010 Proxy Statement.

We have adopted a code of ethics that applies to all of our directors, officers, and employees. This code is publicly available on our website at www.athenahealth.com. Amendments to the code of ethics or any grant of a waiver from a provision of the code requiring disclosure under applicable SEC and NASDAQ Global Select Market rules will be disclosed on our website or, if so required, disclosed in a Current Report on Form 8-K.

Item 11. *Executive Compensation.*

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the information to be contained in our 2010 Proxy Statement.

Item 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.*

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the information to be contained in our 2010 Proxy Statement.

Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.*

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the information to be contained in our 2010 Proxy Statement.

Item 14. *Principal Accounting Fees and Services.*

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the information to be contained in our 2010 Proxy Statement.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.

a) Documents filed as part of this Report.

(1) *The following consolidated financial statements are filed herewith in Item 8 of Part II above.*

(i) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

(ii) Consolidated Balance Sheets

(iii) Consolidated Statements of Operations

(iv) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)

(v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(2) *Financial Statement Schedules*

All other supplemental schedules are omitted because of the absence of conditions under which they are required or because the required information is given in the financial statements or notes thereto.

(3) *Exhibits*

Exhibit No.	Exhibit Index
2.1(x)	Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among athenahealth, Inc., Aries Acquisition Corporation, Anodyne Health Partners, Inc., and the Securityholders' Representatives named therein, dated October 5, 2009
3.1(i)	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant
3.2(i)	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant
4.1(i)	Specimen Certificate evidencing shares of common stock
10.1(i)	Form of Indemnification Agreement, to be entered into between the Registrant and each of its directors and officers
10.2(i)	1997 Stock Plan of the Registrant and form of agreements thereunder
10.3(i)	2000 Stock Option and Incentive Plan of the Registrant, as amended, and form of agreements thereunder
10.4(i)(xi)	2007 Stock Option and Incentive Plan of the Registrant, and form of agreements thereunder
10.5(viii)	2007 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended
10.6(viii)	Employment Agreement by and between the Registrant and Nancy G. Brown, dated August 2, 2004, as amended
10.7(i)	

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

- Employment Agreement by and between the Registrant and Jonathan Bush, dated November 1, 1999, as amended
- 10.8(iv) Employment Agreement by and between the Registrant and Robert L. Cosinuke, dated December 3, 2007
- 10.9(xi) Employment Agreement by and between the Registrant and Dawn Griffiths, dated May 30, 2008
- 10.10(viii) Employment Agreement by and between the Registrant and Robert M. Hueber, dated September 16, 2002, as amended
- 10.11(viii) Employment Agreement by and between the Registrant and David Robinson, dated February 24, 2009
- 10.12(iii) Management Incentive Compensation Plan of the Registrant, adopted April 11, 2008
- 10.13(vii) Director Compensation Plan of the Registrant, dated December 17, 2008
- 10.14(i) Warrant to Purchase 32,468 Shares of the Registrant's Series D Convertible Preferred Stock, issued to GATX Ventures, Inc. on May 31, 2001

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Exhibit Index
#10.15(i)	Lease between President and Fellows of Harvard College and the Registrant, dated November 8, 2004, for space at the premises located at 300 North Beacon Street, Watertown, MA 02472 and 311 Arsenal Street, Watertown, MA 02472
10.16(ix)	Deed of Lease by and between RMZ Infotech Private Limited and Athena Net India Private Limited, dated April 28, 2009, for space at the premises located at Unit No. 701, Campus 3B, RMZ Millenia Tech Park, 143, Dr.MGR Road, Perungudi, Chennai 600 113
#10.17(i)	Agreement of Lease by and between Sentinel Properties -- Bedford, LLC and the Registrant, dated May 8, 2007
10.18(vi)(xi)	Credit Agreement by and between the Registrant and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer, dated September 30, 2008, and exhibits and schedules thereunder
10.19(vi)	Security Agreement by and between the Registrant and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, dated September 30, 2008
10.20(vi)	Term Note by and between the Registrant and Bank of America, N.A., dated September 30, 2008
10.21(xi)	First Amendment to Credit Agreement by and between the Registrant and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer, dated December 12, 2008
10.22(x)	Second Amendment to Credit Agreement and Limited Waiver by and between the Registrant and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer, dated October 5, 2009
#10.23(i)	Amended and Restated Marketing and Sales Agreement by and between the Registrant and WorldMed Shared Services, Inc. (d/b/a PSS World Medical Shared Services, Inc.) dated May 24, 2007
10.24**	Master Equipment Lease Agreement by and between CIT Technologies Corporation and the Registrant, dated June 1, 2007
10.25(ii)	Purchase Agreement dated November 28, 2007, between the Registrant and Bracebridge Corporation
#10.26(v)	Master Agreement by and between the Registrant and Vision Business Process Solutions Inc., dated June 30, 2008
#10.27**	Professional Services Agreement by and between the Registrant and International Business Machines Corporation dated as of October 2, 2009
#10.28**	Master Agreement for U.S. Availability Services between SunGard Availability Services LP and the Registrant, dated December 1, 2009, as amended
21.1**	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23.1**	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31.1**	Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14 Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2**	Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14 Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1**	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act rules 13a-14(b) or 15d-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350

Indicates a management contract or any compensatory plan, contract, or arrangement.

Application has been made to the Securities and Exchange Commission for confidential treatment of certain provisions. Omitted material for which confidential treatment has been requested has been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

- (i) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's registration statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-143998)
- (ii) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K, filed November 29, 2007.
- (iii) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K, filed April 17, 2008.

Table of Contents

- (iv) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s quarterly report on Form 10-Q, filed May 6, 2008.
 - (v) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s quarterly report on Form 10-Q, filed August 5, 2008.
 - (vi) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s quarterly report on Form 10-Q, filed November 7, 2008.
 - (vii) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s current report on Form 8-K, filed December 23, 2008.
 - (viii) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s annual report on Form 10-K, filed March 2, 2009.
 - (ix) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s quarterly report on Form 10-Q, filed August 6, 2009.
 - (x) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s current report on Form 8-K, filed October 5, 2009.
 - (xi) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s quarterly report on Form 10-Q, filed October 30, 2009.
- ** Filed herewith

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ATHENAHEALTH, INC.

Jonathan Bush
Chief Executive Officer, President, and Chairman

By: /s/ Jonathan Bush

Timothy M. Adams
Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President

By: /s/ Timothy M. Adams

Dawn Griffiths
Principal Accounting Officer,
Treasurer, and Vice President

By: /s/ Dawn Griffiths

Date: March 15, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Jonathan Bush (Jonathan Bush)	Chief Executive Officer, President, and Chairman (Principal Executive Officer)	March 15, 2010
/s/ Timothy M. Adams (Timothy M. Adams)	Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President (Principal Financial Officer)	March 15, 2010
/s/ Dawn Griffiths (Dawn Griffiths)	Treasurer and Vice President (Principal Accounting Officer)	March 15, 2010
/s/ Ruben J. King-Shaw, Jr. (Ruben J. King-Shaw, Jr.)	Lead Director	March 15, 2010
/s/ Richard N. Foster	Director	March 15, 2010

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

(Richard N. Foster)

/s/ Brandon H. Hull

Director

March 15, 2010

(Brandon H. Hull)

/s/ John A. Kane

Director

March 15, 2010

(John A. Kane)

Table of Contents

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Ann H. Lamont (Ann H. Lamont)	Director	March 15, 2010
/s/ James L. Mann (James L. Mann)	Director	March 15, 2010
/s/ William Winkenwerder, Jr., M.D. (William Winkenwerder, Jr., M.D.)	Director	March 15, 2010

Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

athenahealth, Inc.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Contents

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
Financial Statements	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	F-6
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-7

F-1

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
athenahealth, Inc.
Watertown, Massachusetts

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of athenahealth, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit), and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of athenahealth, Inc. and its subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements, the company changed its method of accounting for business combinations on January 1, 2009.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the accompanying 2008 and 2007 consolidated financial statement have been restated.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 15, 2010, expressed an adverse opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting because of a material weakness.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Boston, Massachusetts
March 15, 2010

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	December 31 2009	December 31 2008 (As restated)(1) (In thousands)
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 30,526	\$ 28,933
Short-term investments	52,323	58,061
Accounts receivable net	33,323	23,236
Deferred tax assets	5,544	9,962
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	4,663	3,624
Total current assets	126,379	123,816
Property and equipment net	24,871	20,871
Restricted cash	9,216	1,848
Software development costs net	2,324	1,879
Purchased intangibles net	14,490	1,925
Goodwill	22,120	4,887
Deferred tax assets	10,284	13,683
Other assets	1,393	662
Total assets	\$ 211,077	\$ 169,571

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	\$ 3,437	\$ 2,038
Accounts payable	1,880	803
Accrued compensation	15,774	10,154
Accrued expenses	10,781	7,442
Current portion of deferred revenue	4,038	2,848
Interest rate derivative liability	291	881
Current portion of deferred rent	1,288	1,144
Total current liabilities	37,489	25,310
Deferred rent, net of current portion	7,444	8,662
Deferred revenue, net of current portion	28,684	22,186
Other long-term liabilities	1,191	
Debt and capital lease obligations, net of current portion	8,951	8,378
Total liabilities	83,759	64,536

Commitments and contingencies (see notes to financial statements)

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Preferred stock; \$0.01 par value; 5,000 shares authorized and no shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively		
Common stock; \$0.01 par value per share; 125,000 shares authorized; 35,166 shares issued and 33,888 shares outstanding at December 31, 2009		
34,645 shares issued and 33,367 shares outstanding at December 31, 2008	352	346
Additional paid-in capital	169,715	156,303
Treasury stock, at cost, 1,278 shares	(1,200)	(1,200)
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(73)	338
Accumulated deficit	(41,476)	(50,752)
Total stockholders' equity	127,318	105,035
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 211,077	\$ 169,571

(1) See Note 2 Restatement and Reclassifications of Previously Issued Consolidated Financial Statements of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-3

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
		(As restated)(1)	(As restated)(1)
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)		
Revenue:			
Business services	\$ 183,230	\$ 131,879	\$ 94,182
Implementation and other	5,297	4,403	3,436
Total revenue	188,527	136,282	97,618
Expenses:			
Direct operating costs	79,017	59,947	46,978
Selling and marketing	34,072	22,827	17,212
Research and development	14,348	10,600	7,476
General and administrative	36,111	29,330	19,922
Depreciation and amortization	7,767	5,993	5,541
Total expenses	171,315	128,697	97,129
Operating income	17,212	7,585	489
Other income (expense):			
Interest income	1,016	1,942	1,415
Interest expense	(968)	(428)	(3,682)
Gain (loss) on interest rate derivative contract	590	(881)	
Other income (expense)	255	182	(5,689)
Total other income (expense)	893	815	(7,956)
Income (loss) before income tax (provision) benefit	18,105	8,400	(7,467)
Income tax (provision) benefit	(8,829)	23,202	(34)
Net income (loss)	9,276	31,602	(7,501)
Net income (loss) per share basic	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.97	\$ (0.60)
Net income (loss) per share diluted	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.91	\$ (0.60)
Weighted average shares used in computing net income (loss) per share:			
Basic	33,584	32,746	12,568
Diluted	34,917	34,777	12,568

- (1) See Note 2 Restatement and Reclassifications of Previously Issued Consolidated Financial Statements of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-4

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)**

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Treasury Stock		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholder Equity (Deficit)	Total Comprehe Income (Loss)
	Shares	Amount		Shares	Amount				
BALANCE January 1, 2007									
previously reported	5,281	\$ 53	\$ 2,090	(1,278)	\$ (1,200)	\$ (34)	\$ (65,180)	\$ (64,271)	
for period adjustments(1)							\$ (9,673)	\$ (9,673)	
BALANCE January 1, 2007	5,281	53	2,090	(1,278)	(1,200)	(34)	(74,853)	(73,944)	
restated(1)			1,311					1,311	
stock compensation expense									
stock options and warrants									
exercised	1,000	10	2,442					2,452	
shareholder contribution of									
capital			592					592	
shares issued in initial public									
offering, net of expenses	5,000	50	81,237					81,287	
conversion of convertible									
preferred stock to common									
stock	22,332	223	49,871					50,094	
reclassification of warrant									
liability to additional paid-in									
capital			7,451					7,451	
loss(1)							(7,501)	(7,501)	\$ (7,501)
realized holding gain on									
available-for-sale-investments,									
before tax						34		34	
foreign currency translation									
adjustment						72		72	
Total Comprehensive Loss(1)									(7,367)
BALANCE December 31,									
2007(1)	33,613	336	144,994	(1,278)	(1,200)	72	(82,354)	61,848	
stock compensation expense			5,558					5,558	
stock options and warrants									
exercised	1,021	10	4,908					4,918	
common stock issued under									
employee stock purchase plan	11		317					317	
benefit realized from									
stock-based awards			526					526	
income(1)							31,602	31,602	31,602

Realized holding gain on available-for-sale-investments, of \$188 tax						288		288	2
Foreign currency translation adjustment						(22)		(22)	(1)
Net Comprehensive Income(1)									31,8
BALANCE December 31, 2018(1)	34,645	346	156,303	(1,278)	(1,200)	338	(50,752)	105,035	
Stock compensation expense			8,314					8,314	
Stock options exercised	488	5	1,890					1,895	
Common stock issued under employee stock purchase plan	33	1	780					781	
Benefit realized from stock-based awards			2,428					2,428	
Net income							9,276	9,276	9,2
Realized holding gain on available-for-sale-investments, of \$17 tax						(262)		(262)	(2)
Foreign currency translation adjustment						(149)		(149)	(1)
Net Comprehensive Income									\$ 8,8
BALANCE December 31, 2019	35,166	\$ 352	\$ 169,715	(1,278)	\$ (1,200)	\$ (73)	\$ (41,476)	\$ 127,318	

(1) See Note 2 Restatement and Reclassifications of Previously Issued Consolidated Financial Statements of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
		(As restated)(1)	(As restated)(1)
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 9,276	\$ 31,602	\$ (7,501)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	8,403	6,095	5,541
Accretion of debt discount			413
Amortization of discounts on investments	(113)	(899)	(74)
Financial advisor fee paid by investor			592
Provision for uncollectible accounts	999	405	524
Non-cash warrant expense			4,995
(Gain) loss on interest rate derivative contract	(590)	881	
Deferred income taxes	5,918	(23,833)	
Excess tax benefit from stock-based awards	(2,505)	(526)	
Stock-based compensation expense	8,314	5,558	1,311
(Gain) loss on disposal of property and equipment	276	(47)	102
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(10,489)	(9,254)	(4,670)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(887)	(912)	(1,033)
Accounts payable	1,379	(1,195)	52
Other assets	(173)	86	162
Accrued expenses	6,201	7,424	2,587
Deferred revenue	7,438	7,120	4,626
Deferred rent	(1,118)	(1,446)	(804)
Net cash provided by operating activities	32,329	21,059	6,823
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Capitalized software development costs	(2,555)	(1,393)	(1,090)
Purchases of property and equipment	(10,277)	(13,452)	(2,693)
Proceeds from sales and disposals of property and equipment	4,538	4,112	1,456
Purchase in long-term investment	(550)	(550)	
Proceeds from sales and maturities of short-term investments	84,014	73,250	7,603
Purchases of short term investments	(78,588)	(129,935)	(1,949)
Payments for acquisitions net of cash acquired	(22,391)	(6,680)	
(Increase) decrease in restricted cash	(7,368)	(136)	1,457
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(33,177)	(74,784)	4,784
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Proceeds from exercise of stock options and warrants	2,676	5,235	2,452
Proceeds of initial public offering, net of issuance costs			81,287
Debt issuance costs		(177)	
Excess tax benefit from stock-based awards	2,505	526	
Proceeds from long-term debt		6,000	4,249
Proceeds from line of credit			5,914
Payments on long term debt and capital lease obligations	(2,514)	(777)	(24,776)
Payments on line of credit			(13,118)
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,667	10,807	56,008
Effects of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(226)	(40)	85
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	1,593	(42,958)	67,700
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	28,933	71,891	4,191
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 30,526	\$ 28,933	\$ 71,891
Supplemental disclosures of non-cash investing activities			
Property and equipment recorded in accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 510	\$ 998	\$ 48
Supplemental disclosure Cash paid for interest	\$ 836	\$ 324	\$ 3,666
Supplemental disclosure Non-cash investing activities			
Contingent Consideration	\$ 5,100	\$	\$
Supplemental disclosure Cash paid for taxes	\$ 514	\$ 403	\$
Property and equipment acquired under capital leases	\$ 4,538	\$ 3,795	\$ 1,456

(1) See Note 2 Restatement and Reclassifications of Previously Issued Consolidated Financial Statements of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands, except per-share amounts)

1. BUSINESS AND ORGANIZATION

General athenahealth, Inc. (the Company, we, us, or our) is a business services company that provides ongoing billing, clinical-related, and other related services to its customers. The Company provides these services with the use of athenaNet, a proprietary Internet-based practice management application. The Company's customers consist of medical group practices ranging in size throughout the United States of America.

In August 2005, the Company established a subsidiary in Chennai, India, athenahealth Technology Private Limited, to conduct research and development activities. On April 10, 2009, the Company established a Massachusetts corporation, athenahealth MA, Inc., to hold a share of common stock of athenahealth Technology Private Limited.

On October 16, 2009, the Company acquired Anodyne Health Partners, Inc. (Anodyne). The Company paid cash for Anodyne. For financial reporting purposes, the acquisition was accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting in accordance with the guidance on business combinations.

Initial Public Offering On September 25, 2007, the Company raised \$90,000 in gross proceeds from the sale of 5,000 shares of its common stock in an initial public offering (IPO) at \$18.00 per share. The net offering proceeds after deducting approximately \$8,713 in offering-related expenses and underwriters' discount were approximately \$81,287. All outstanding shares of the Company's convertible preferred stock were converted into 21,531 shares of common stock upon completion of the IPO.

Risks and Uncertainties The Company is subject to risks common to companies in similar industries and stages of development, including, but not limited to, competition from larger companies, a volatile market for its services, new technological innovations, dependence on key personnel, third-party service providers and vendors, protection of proprietary technology, fluctuations in operating results, dependence on market acceptance of its products, and compliance with government regulations.

2. RESTATEMENT AND RECLASSIFICATION OF PREVIOUSLY ISSUED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

On March 9, 2010, we concluded that we needed to restate our previously issued consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. We have also concluded to restate our previously issued condensed consolidated financial statements for the first, second, and third quarters of 2009 and each of the quarters in 2008. (See Note 20, *Summarized Quarterly Unaudited Financial Data*, for information related to each of the restated quarters.) The restatements resulted primarily from a correction in the timing of revenue recognition of deferred implementation fees.

As part of the process to finalize our financial results for the year ended December 31, 2009, we undertook a comprehensive review of our significant accounting policies. As a result of our review, we concluded that, in prior and future periods, we will amortize deferred implementation revenue over a longer expected performance period of twelve years in order to reflect the estimated expected customer life. Previously, the expected performance period was estimated based upon the initial customer contract term, which, for the vast majority of contracts, was one year in duration. As a result of these adjustments, we also revised our previously calculated income tax expense for each quarter in 2009 and 2008. The pretax effect of the restatement adjustments on years and quarters prior to

December 31, 2008, had the effect of increasing pretax losses and deferred tax assets. Because sufficient positive evidence that such deferred tax assets would be realized did not exist until December 31, 2008, no tax benefits for the additional deferred tax assets resulting from the restatement adjustments were recognized in periods prior to December 31, 2008. At December 31, 2008, the entire valuation allowance relating to deferred tax assets was reversed and, therefore, the effect of the 2008 restatement adjustment includes a \$7,149 tax benefit relating to the recognition of deferred tax assets arising from the restatement that were generated in prior years. The restatement adjustments result in a cumulative net reduction to previously reported shareholders' equity of approximately \$10,940 and \$13,671 as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and an increase in previously reported net income

F-7

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

by \$2,731 for the year ended December 31, 2008 and a reduction in previously reported net income by \$3,998 for the year ended December 31, 2007. We have also restated the January 1, 2007 opening retained earnings balance to recognize the impact of the restatement adjustments that relate to prior periods. Except as otherwise specified, all information presented in the consolidated financial statements and the related notes include all such restatement adjustments.

In addition, in connection with the restatement, we have corrected previously issued financial statements for the following reclassification items none of which had any effect on net income or shareholders' equity for any period:

a) Reimbursements of out of pocket (pass through) expenses which were previously netted against operating expense have been grossed up and included in Implementation and other revenue in the consolidated statements of operations, b) Certain deferred tax liabilities have been reclassified from non-current to current in the consolidated statements of financial position, c) Draw downs of the capital leased lines which were previously presented as sources of cash within the financing activities section of the consolidated statements of cash flows have been reclassified as investing activities and d) the excess tax benefit from stock-based awards which were previously presented as sources of cash within the operating activities section of the consolidated statements of cash flows in the accrued expense line have been reclassified as operating activities in the excess tax benefit from stock-based awards line item.

The following tables summarize the effects of the restatement and presentation reclassifications on our previously issued consolidated financial statements:

Summary of increases (decreases) in Net Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007

	December 31,	
	2008	2007
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)	
Net income (loss), as previously reported	\$ 28,871	\$ (3,503)
Net adjustments		
Implementation revenue	(4,418)	(3,998)
Income tax provision	7,149	
Net income (loss), restated	\$ 31,602	\$ (7,501)
Basic earning (loss) per common share:		
Net income (loss), as previously reported	\$ 0.88	\$ (0.28)
Net adjustments		
Implementation revenue	(0.13)	(0.32)
Income tax provision	0.22	
Net income (loss), restated	\$ 0.97	\$ (0.60)

Diluted earning (loss) per common share:

Net income (loss), as previously reported	\$ 0.83	\$ (0.28)
Net adjustments		
Implementation revenue	(0.13)	(0.32)
Income tax provision	0.21	
Net income (loss), restated	0.91	\$ (0.60)
Weighted average shares used in computing net income (loss) per share:		
Basic	32,746	12,568
Diluted	34,777	12,568

F-8

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

The following table sets forth the effects of the restatement adjustments on our *Accumulated deficit* as of January 1, 2007.

	Amount
Accumulated deficit, January 1, 2007, as previously reported	\$ (65,180)
Restatement adjustments:	
Implementation revenue	(9,673)
Accumulated deficit, January 1, 2007, as restated	\$ (74,853)

Consolidated Balance Sheet impact as of December 31, 2008

	As of December 31, 2008		
	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Restated
Assets			
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 28,933	\$	\$ 28,933
Short-term investments	58,061		58,061
Accounts receivable net	23,236		23,236
Deferred tax assets	8,499	1,463	9,962
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	3,624		3,624
Total current assets	122,353	1,463	123,816
Property and equipment net	20,871		20,871
Restricted cash	1,848		1,848
Software development costs net	1,879		1,879
Purchased intangibles net	1,925		1,925
Goodwill	4,887		4,887
Deferred tax assets	7,997	5,686	13,683
Other assets	662		662
Total assets	\$ 162,422	\$ 7,149	\$ 169,571
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity			
Current liabilities:			
Current portion of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	\$ 2,038	\$	\$ 2,038
Accounts payable	803		803

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Accrued compensation	10,154		10,154
Accrued expenses	7,442		7,442
Current portion of deferred revenue	6,945	(4,097)	2,848
Interest rate derivative liability	881		881
Current portion of deferred rent	1,144		1,144
Total current liabilities	29,407	(4,097)	25,310
Deferred rent, net of current portion	8,662		8,662
Deferred revenue, net of current portion		22,186	22,186
Debt and capital lease obligations, net of current portion	8,378		8,378
Total liabilities	46,447	18,089	64,536

F-9

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

	As of December 31, 2008		
	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Restated
Preferred stock			
Common stock	346		346
Additional paid-in capital	156,303		156,303
Treasury stock	(1,200)		(1,200)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	338		338
Accumulated deficit	(39,812)	(10,940)	(50,752)
Total stockholders' equity	115,975	(10,940)	105,035
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 162,422	\$ 7,149	\$ 169,571

Consolidated Statement of Operations impact for the year ended December 31, 2008

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2008			
	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	Reclassifications	As Restated
Revenue:				
Business services	\$ 131,879	\$	\$	\$ 131,879
Implementation and other	7,673	(4,418)	1,148	4,403
Total revenue	139,552	(4,418)	1,148	136,282
Expenses:				
Direct operating costs	58,799		1,148	59,947
Selling and marketing	22,827			22,827
Research and development	10,600			10,600
General and administrative	29,330			29,330
Depreciation and amortization	5,993			5,993
Total expenses	127,549		1,148	128,697
Operating income (loss)	12,003	(4,418)		7,585
Other income (expense):				

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Interest income	1,942			1,942
Interest expense	(428)			(428)
Loss on interest rate derivative contract	(881)			(881)
Other income	182			182
Total other income	815			815
Income (loss) before income tax benefit	12,818	(4,418)		8,400
Income tax benefit	16,053	7,149		23,202
Net income	28,871	2,731		31,602
Net income per share basic	\$ 0.88	\$ 0.08	\$	\$ 0.97
Net income per share diluted	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.08	\$	\$ 0.91
Weighted average shares used in computing net income per share:				
Basic	32,746	32,746	32,746	32,746
Diluted	34,777	34,777	34,777	34,777
	F-10			

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)*Consolidated Statement of Operations impact for the year ended December 31, 2007***For the Year Ended December 31, 2007**

	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	Reclassifications	As Restated
Revenue:				
Business services	\$ 94,182	\$	\$	\$ 94,182
Implementation and other	6,591	(3,998)	843	3,436
Total revenue	100,773	(3,998)	843	97,618
Expenses:				
Direct operating costs	46,135		843	46,978
Selling and marketing	17,212			17,212
Research and development	7,476			7,476
General and administrative	19,922			19,922
Depreciation and amortization	5,541			5,541
Total expenses	96,286		843	97,129
Operating income (loss)	4,487	(3,998)		489
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	1,415			1,415
Interest expense	(3,682)			(3,682)
Other income (expense)	(5,689)			(5,689)
Total other expense	(7,956)			(7,956)
Loss before income tax provision	(3,469)	(3,998)		(7,467)
Income tax provision	(34)			(34)
Net loss	(3,503)	(3,998)		(7,501)
Net loss per share basic	\$ (0.28)	\$ (0.32)	\$	\$ (0.60)
Net loss per share diluted	\$ (0.28)	\$ (0.32)	\$	\$ (0.60)
Weighted average shares used in computing net loss per share:				
Basic	12,568	12,568	12,568	12,568

Diluted	12,568	12,568	12,568	12,568
---------	--------	--------	--------	--------

F-11

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)***Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity Impact***

In addition to the effects on the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2008, and consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, discussed above, the restatement affected the consolidated statements of stockholders equity as of December 31, 2008 and 2007. Stockholders equity as of January 1, 2007, is approximately \$73,944 as restated, compared to approximately \$64,271 as previously reported. The following table sets forth the effects of the restatement on our consolidated stockholders equity as of December 31, 2008 and 2007:

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007
Stockholders equity, as previously reported	\$ 115,975	\$ 75,519
Effect of restatement adjustment on net (loss) for the current period	2,731	(3,998)
Cumulative adjustment to accumulated deficit	(13,671)	(9,673)
Total restatement adjustments	(10,940)	(13,671)
Stockholders equity, as restated	\$ 105,035	\$ 61,848

Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows Impact

The following table includes selected information from our consolidated statements of cash flows presenting previously reported and restated cash flows, for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007:

	For the Year Ended December 31,			
	2008		2007	
	As Previously Reported	As Restated	As Previously Reported	As Restated
Net income (loss)	\$ 28,871	\$ 31,602	\$ (3,503)	\$ (7,501)
Deferred income taxes(1)	(16,684)	(23,833)		
Excess tax benefit from stock-based awards(2)		(526)		
Accrued expenses(2)	6,898	7,424	2,587	2,587
Deferred revenue(1)	2,702	7,120	628	4,626
Proceeds from the sales and disposals of property and equipment(3)	317	4,112		1,456
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(78,579)	(74,784)	3,328	4,784
	9,795	6,000	5,705	4,249

Proceeds from long-term debt and capital lease obligations(3)				
Net cash provided by financing activities	14,602	10,807	57,464	56,008

- (1) Revenue and related tax effect due to the correction of the accounting for implementation fees.
- (2) To separately present the excess tax benefit from stock-based awards previously presented as a component of the change in accrued expenses.
- (3) To correct the presentation of draw downs of capital lease obligations.

3. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the results of operations of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Comprehensive Income (Loss) Comprehensive income (loss) includes net income (loss), foreign currency translation adjustments, and unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities.

Use of Estimates The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates and assumptions are used for, but are not limited to: (1) revenue recognition; including the estimated expected performance period; (2) allowance for doubtful accounts; (3) asset impairments; (4) depreciable lives of assets; (5) economic lives and fair value of leased assets; (6) income tax reserves and valuation allowances; (7) fair value of stock options; (8) allocation of direct and indirect cost of sales; and (9) litigation reserves. Actual results could significantly differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition The Company recognizes revenue when there is evidence of an arrangement, the service has been provided to the customer, the collection of the fees is reasonably assured, and the amount of fees to be paid by the customer are fixed or determinable.

The Company derives its revenue from business services fees, implementation fees, and other services. Business services fees include amounts charged for ongoing billing, clinical-related, and other related services and are generally billed to the customer as a percentage of total collections. The Company does not recognize revenue for business services fees until these collections are made, as the services fees are not fixed and determinable until such time. Business services fees also include amounts charged to customers for generating and mailing patient statements and are recognized as the related services are performed.

Implementation revenue consists primarily of professional services fees related to assisting customers with the implementation of the Company's services and are generally billed upfront and recorded as deferred revenue until the implementation is complete and then recognized ratably over the longer of the life of the agreement or the estimated expected customer life, which is currently estimated to be twelve years. The Company evaluates the length of the amortization period of the implementation fees based on our experience with customer contract renewals and consideration of the period over which those customers will receive benefits from our current portfolio of services. Certain expenses related to the implementation of a customer, such as out-of-pocket travel, are typically reimbursed by the customer. This is accounted for as both revenue and expense in the period the cost is incurred. Other services consist primarily of training and interface fees and are recognized as the services are performed.

The Company utilizes the authoritative revenue recognition guidance to determine whether its arrangements containing multiple deliverables contain more than one unit of accounting. Multiple element arrangements require the delivery or performance of multiple products, services and/or rights to use assets. To qualify as a separate unit of accounting, the delivered item must have value to the customer on a standalone basis and there must be objective and reliable evidence of fair value of the undelivered element.

Direct Operating Expenses Direct operating expenses consist primarily of salaries, benefits, and stock-based compensation related to personnel who provide services to clients; claims processing costs; implementing new clients; and other direct costs related to collection and business services. Costs associated with the implementation of new clients are expensed as incurred. The reported amounts of direct operating expenses do not include allocated amounts

for rent, depreciation, amortization, or other overhead costs, except for the amortization of certain intangible assets.

Research and Development Expenses Research and development expenses consist primarily of personnel-related costs and consulting fees for third-party developers. All such costs are expensed as incurred.

Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash and cash equivalents consist of deposits, money market funds, commercial paper, and other liquid securities with remaining maturities of three months or less at the date of purchase.

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

Investments Management determines the appropriate classification of investments at the time of purchase based upon management's intent with regard to such investments. All investments are classified as available-for-sale and are recorded at fair value with unrealized holding gains and losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). The Company classifies its investments based on the maturity of the instrument. The Company determines realized gains and losses based on the specific identification method.

Accounts Receivable Accounts receivable represents amounts due from customers for subscription and implementation services. Accounts receivable are stated net of an allowance for uncollectible accounts, which are determined by establishing reserves for specific accounts and consideration of historical and estimated probable losses.

Activity in the allowance for doubtful accounts is as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Beginning balance	\$ 726	\$ 437	\$ 211
Provision	999	405	524
Write-offs and adjustments	(454)	(116)	(298)
Ending balance	\$ 1,271	\$ 726	\$ 437

Financial Instruments Certain financial instruments are required to be recorded at fair value. The other financial instruments approximate their fair value, primarily because of their short-term nature which include cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and accrued expenses. The carrying amounts of the Company's debt obligations approximate fair value based upon our best estimate of interest rates that would be available to the Company for similar debt obligations. All highly liquid debt instruments purchased with a maturity of three months or less at the date of acquisition are included in cash and cash equivalents.

Derivative financial instruments are used to manage certain of the Company's interest rate exposures. The Company does not enter into derivatives for speculative purposes, nor does the Company hold or issue any financial instruments for trading purposes. In October 2008, the Company entered into a derivative instrument that is not designated as a hedge. The Company entered into the derivative instrument to offset the cash flow exposure associated with its interest payments on certain outstanding debt. Derivatives are carried at fair value, as determined using standard valuation models and adjusted, when necessary, for credit risk and are separately presented on the balance sheet. The gains or losses from changes in the fair value of derivative instruments that are not accounted for as hedges are recognized in earnings and are separately presented.

Property and Equipment Property and equipment are stated at cost. Equipment, furniture and, fixtures are depreciated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives, generally ranging from three to five years. Leasehold improvements are depreciated using the straight-line method over the lesser of the useful life of the improvements or the applicable lease terms, excluding renewal periods. Buildings are depreciated using the straight-line method over

30 years. Building improvements are depreciated using the straight-line method over the lesser of the useful life of the improvement or the remaining life of the building. Costs associated with maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred.

Long-Lived Assets Long-lived assets to be held and used are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. Determination of recoverability of long-lived assets is based on an estimate of undiscounted future cash flows resulting from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition, as compared with the asset carrying value. Measurement of an impairment loss for long-lived assets that management expects to hold and use is based on the fair value of the asset. Long-lived assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of carrying amount or fair value, less costs to sell. No impairment losses have been recognized in the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, or 2007.

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

Restricted Cash Restricted cash consists of funds held under a letter of credit as a condition of the Company's operating lease for its corporate headquarters (see Note 10). The letter of credit was reduced in 2008 to \$856. The letter of credit will remain in effect during the term of the lease agreement. The remaining restricted cash balance as of December 31, 2009, consists of escrowed amounts relating to the purchase of MedicalMessaging and Anodyne (see Note 8). Of the remaining balance, \$660 relates to MedicalMessaging and will be paid over a three-year period starting in 2008 if MedicalMessaging achieves certain financial milestones. Restricted cash relating to the purchase of Anodyne at December 31, 2009, was \$7,700 and may be paid over a three-year period starting in 2010 if Anodyne achieves certain business and financial milestones or released to the Company to cover indemnification claims.

Software Development Costs The Company accounts for software development costs based on required criteria and timing. Costs related to the preliminary project stage of subsequent versions of athenaNet or other technologies are expensed as incurred. Costs incurred in the application development stage are capitalized, and such costs are amortized over the software's estimated economic life. The estimated useful life of the software is two years. Amortization expense was \$2,110, \$1,395, and \$958 for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Future amortization expense for all software development costs capitalized as of December 31, 2009, is estimated to be \$1,647 and \$677 for the years ending December 31, 2010, and 2011, respectively.

Goodwill Goodwill is recorded as the difference, if any, between the aggregate consideration paid for an acquisition and the fair value of the net tangible and intangible assets acquired. Goodwill is not amortized but is evaluated for impairment annually or more frequently if indicators of impairment are present or changes in circumstances suggest that impairment may exist. The Company evaluates the carrying value of its goodwill annually on November 30. The first step of the goodwill impairment test compares the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of the Company's reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, the goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not impaired. If the carrying amount of the Company's reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the second step of the goodwill impairment test is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. The second step of the goodwill impairment test, used to measure the amount of impairment loss, compares the implied fair value of the affected reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying value of that goodwill. No impairment losses have been recognized in the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007.

Other Intangible Assets Other intangible assets consist of technology and customer relationships acquired in connection with business acquisitions and are amortized over their estimated useful lives on a straight-line basis.

Accrued expenses and accrued compensation Accrued expenses consist of the following:

	As of December 31,	
	2009	2008
Accrued bonus	8,030	5,310
Accrued vacation	1,884	1,169
Accrued payroll	4,081	2,379
Accrued commissions	1,779	1,296
Accrued compensation expenses	\$ 15,774	\$ 10,154

Accrued expenses	\$ 6,468	\$ 7,442
Current portion of accrued contingent consideration	4,313	
Accrued expenses	\$ 10,781	\$ 7,442

Warrant Liability Prior to our IPO, freestanding warrants exercisable for shares of the Company's redeemable convertible preferred stock were classified as a warrant liability on the Company's balance sheet.

F-15

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

These warrants were issued for the purchase of the Company's Series D and Series E Preferred Stock. During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company revalued the warrant liability relating to the preferred stock warrants and recorded other expense of \$4,995, for the increase in value of the warrants. Upon completion of the IPO and the conversion of outstanding preferred stock to common stock, the preferred stock warrants became automatically exercisable into shares of common stock. Accordingly, the warrant liability of \$7,451 was reclassified to additional paid-in capital.

Deferred Rent Deferred rent consists of step rent and tenant improvement allowances and other incentives received from landlords related to the Company's operating leases for its facilities. Step rent represents the difference between actual operating lease payments due and straight-line rent expense, which is recorded by the Company over the term of the lease, including any construction period. The excess is recorded as a deferred credit in the early periods of the lease, when cash payments are generally lower than straight-line rent expense, and is reduced in the later periods of the lease when payments begin to exceed the straight-line expense. Tenant allowances from landlords for tenant improvements are generally comprised of cash received from the landlord as part of the negotiated terms of the lease or reimbursements of moving costs. These cash payments are recorded as deferred rent from landlords and are amortized as a reduction of periodic rent expense, over the term of the applicable lease.

Deferred Revenue Deferred revenue primarily consists of billings or payments received in advance of the revenue recognition criteria being met. Deferred revenue includes certain deferred implementation services fees which are recognized as revenue ratably over the longer of the life of the agreement or the estimated expected customer life, which is currently estimated to be twelve years. Deferred revenue that will be recognized during the succeeding 12-month period is recorded as current deferred revenue and the remaining portion is recorded as noncurrent.

Concentrations of Credit Risk Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk are cash equivalents, investments, derivatives, and accounts receivable. The Company attempts to limit its credit risk associated with cash equivalents, investments by investing in highly rated corporate and financial institutions, and engages with highly rated financial institutions as a counterparty to its derivative transaction. With respect to customer accounts receivable, the Company manages its credit risk by performing ongoing credit evaluations of its customers. No customer accounted for more than 10% of revenues or accounts receivable as of or for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, or 2007.

Other Income (Expense) other expense consists of the following:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Loss on warrants	\$	\$	\$ (4,995)
Financial advisor fee paid by shareholder			(592)
Other income (expense)	255	182	(102)
	\$ 255	\$ 182	\$ (5,689)

Income Taxes Deferred tax assets and liabilities relate to temporary differences between the financial reporting and income tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using enacted tax rates and laws expected to be in effect at the time of their reversal. A valuation allowance is established to reduce net deferred tax assets if, based on the available positive and negative evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. In making such determination, the Company considers all available positive and negative evidence, including future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies, and recent financial results.

The Company recognizes a tax benefit from an uncertain tax position when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including resolutions of any related appeals or litigation

Table of Contents

athenahealth, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

processes, based on the technical merits. Our income tax positions must meet a more-likely-than-not recognition threshold at the balance sheet date to be recognized in the related period.

The Company's policy is to record interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. As of December 31, 2009, the Company has no accrued interest or penalties related to uncertain tax positions.

Segment Reporting Operating segments are identified as components of an enterprise about which separate discrete financial information is available for evaluation by the chief decision-maker, or decision-making group, in making decisions regarding resource allocation and assessing performance. The Company, which uses consolidated financial information in determining how to allocate resources and assess performance, has determined that it operates in one segment.

Stock-Based Compensation The Company accounts for share-based awards, including shares issued under employee stock purchase plans, stock options, and share-based awards with compensation cost measured using the fair value of the awards issued.

In determining the exercise prices for stock-based awards before the IPO, the Company's Board of Directors considered the estimated fair value of the common stock as of each grant date. The determination of the fair value of the Company's common stock without an active market involves significant assumptions, estimates, and complexities that impact the amount of stock-based compensation. The estimated fair value of the Company's common stock prior to the Company's IPO was determined by the Board of Directors after considering a broad range of factors including, but not limited to, the illiquid nature of an investment in common stock, the Company's historical financial performance and financial position, the Company's significant accomplishments and future prospects, opportunity for liquidity events, and recent sale and offer prices of the common and convertible preferred stock in private transactions negotiated at arm's length. Since the IPO, the exercise prices for stock-based awards have been set at the closing value of the Company's stock price on the grant date.

Foreign Currency Translation The financial position and results of operations of the Company's foreign subsidiary are measured using local currency as the functional currency. Assets and liabilities are translated at the rate of exchange in effect at the end of each reporting period. Revenues and expenses are translated at the average exchange rate for the period. Foreign currency translation gains and losses are recorded within other comprehensive (loss) income.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued authoritative guidance on business combinations. This guidance establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements significant aspects of a business combination. Under this guidance, acquisition costs are generally expensed as incurred; non-controlling interests are reflected at fair value at the acquisition date; in-process research and development (IPR&D) is recorded at fair value as an intangible asset at the acquisition date; restructuring costs associated with a business combination are generally expensed rather than capitalized; contingent consideration is measured at fair value at the acquisition date, with changes in the fair value after the acquisition date affecting earnings; and changes in deferred tax asset valuation allowances and income tax uncertainties after the measurement period will affect income tax expense. The Company adopted this guidance on January 1, 2009 and during the year ended December 31, 2009, expensed \$751 of acquisition costs that, prior to the change in accounting, would have been included as part of the purchase price. The potential contingent consideration

of \$7,700 was recorded in the initial purchase price allocation at its estimated fair value of \$5,100. A portion of the contingent consideration relating to the Anodyne acquisition expected to be paid in 2011 and 2012 totaling \$787 and is presented in other long-term liabilities. The contingent consideration will be accreted to the amount payable when, and if, earned. The difference between the estimated and earn-out amount will be charged or credited to expense. The contingent consideration for acquisitions which occurred prior to this change will be recorded as additional goodwill when it is earned. In addition, under the provisions of

F-17

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

guidance, future reversal of the Company's acquisition-related tax reserves of \$680 (excluding interest and penalties) will be recorded in earnings, rather than as an adjustment to goodwill or acquisition related other intangible assets and will affect the Company's annual effective income tax rate.

In October 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on revenue recognition that will become effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2011, with earlier adoption permitted. Under the new guidance on arrangements that include software elements, tangible products that have software components that are essential to the functionality of the tangible product will no longer be within the scope of the software revenue recognition guidance, and software-enabled products will now be subject to other relevant revenue recognition guidance. Additionally, the FASB issued authoritative guidance on revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables that are outside the scope of the software revenue recognition guidance. Under the new guidance, when vendor specific objective evidence or third party evidence for deliverables in an arrangement cannot be determined, a best estimate of the selling price is required to separate deliverables and allocate arrangement consideration using the relative selling price method. The new guidance includes new disclosure requirements on how the application of the relative selling price method affects the timing and amount of revenue recognition. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adoption of this authoritative guidance might have on our financial statements, if any.

From time to time, new accounting pronouncements are issued by FASB and are adopted by us as of the specified effective date. Unless otherwise discussed, we believe that the impact of other recently issued accounting pronouncements will not have a material impact on consolidated financial position, results of operations, and cash flows, or do not apply to our operations.

4. NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE

Basic net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and potentially dilutive securities outstanding during the period under the treasury stock method. Potentially dilutive securities include stock options and warrants. Under the treasury stock method, dilutive securities are assumed to be exercised at the beginning of the periods and as if funds obtained thereby were used to purchase common stock at the average market price during the period. Securities are excluded from the computations of diluted net income (loss) per share if their effect would be antidilutive to earnings per share.

The following table reconciles the weighted average shares outstanding for basic and diluted net income (loss) per share for the periods indicated.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
		(As restated)	(As restated)
Net income (loss)	\$ 9,276	\$ 31,602	\$ (7,501)
Weighted average shares used in computing basic net income (loss) per share	33,584	32,746	12,568

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Net income (loss) per share basic	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.97	\$ (0.60)
Net income (loss)	\$ 9,276	\$ 31,602	\$ (7,501)
Weighted average shares used in computing basic net income (loss) per share	33,584	32,746	12,568
Effect of dilutive securities	1,333	2,031	
Weighted average shares used in computing diluted net income (loss) per share	34,917	34,777	12,568
Net income (loss) per share diluted	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.91	\$ (0.60)

F-18

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

Outstanding unvested common stock purchased by employees is subject to repurchase by the Company and therefore is not included in the calculation of the weighted-average shares outstanding for basic earnings per share.

The following potentially dilutive securities were excluded from the calculation of diluted net income (loss) per share, since to include them would be anti-dilutive:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Options to purchase common stock	1,021	1,088	2,889
Warrants to purchase common stock			65
	1,021	1,088	2,954

5. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, receivables, accounts payable, and accrued expenses approximated their estimated fair values because of their short term nature of these financial instruments. All highly liquid debt instruments purchased with a maturity of three months or less at the date of acquisition are included in cash and cash equivalents. Included in cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, are money market fund investments of \$10,081 and \$23,610, respectively, which are reported at fair value. The fair value of these investments was determined by using quoted prices for identical investments in active markets which are considered to be Level 1 inputs.

The carrying amounts of the Company's debt obligations approximate fair value based upon our best estimate of interest rates that would be available to the Company for similar debt obligations. The estimated fair value of our long-term debt was determined using quoted market prices and other inputs that were derived from available market information and may not be representative of actual values that could have been or will be realized in the future.

The following tables present information about the Company's financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques the Company utilized to determine such fair value. In general, fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in inactive markets for identical assets or liabilities obtained from readily available pricing sources for comparable instruments. The fair values determined by Level 3 inputs are unobservable values which are supported by little or no market activity.

Fair Value Measurements as of			
December 31, 2009 Using			
Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Cash equivalents:				
Money market securities	\$ 10,081	\$	\$	\$ 10,081
Available-for-sale investments:				
U.S. government backed securities		52,323		52,323
Accrued contingent consideration			(5,100)	(5,100)
Interest rate swap derivative		(291)		(291)
Total	\$ 10,081	\$ 52,032	\$ (5,100)	\$ 57,013

F-19

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

	Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2008 Using			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Cash equivalents:				
Money market securities	\$ 23,610	\$	\$	\$ 23,610
Available-for-sale investments:				
Commercial paper		16,575		16,575
U.S. government backed securities		41,486		41,486
Interest rate swap derivative		(881)		(881)
Total	\$ 23,610	\$ 57,180	\$	\$ 80,790

U.S. government backed securities and commercial paper are valued using a market approach based upon the quoted market prices of identical instruments when available or other observable inputs such as trading prices of identical instruments in inactive markets or similar securities. The interest rate swap derivative is valued using an interest rate swap model and observable inputs at the reporting date. The accrued contingent consideration is valued using a probability-weighted income approach model at the acquisition and reporting date.

Balance as of January 1, 2009	\$
Contingent consideration relating to acquisition valued at October 16, 2009	5,100
Balance as of December 31, 2009	\$ 5,100

6. SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS

The summary of available-for-sale securities as of December 31, 2009, is as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Fair Value
U.S. government backed securities	\$ 52,280	\$ 43	\$ 52,323

The summary of available-for-sale securities as of December 31, 2008, is as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Fair Value
Commercial paper	\$ 16,487	\$ 88	\$ 16,575
U.S. government backed securities	41,098	388	41,486
Total	\$ 57,585	\$ 476	\$ 58,061

Scheduled maturity dates of U.S. government backed securities and commercial paper as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, was within one year of that date and therefore investments were classified as short-term. There were no material realized gains and losses on sales of these investments for the periods presented. Unrealized gains and losses are included in other accumulated comprehensive income.

F-20

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)**7. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT**

On February 15, 2008, the Company purchased a complex of buildings totaling 186,000 square feet, including approximately 133,000 square feet of office space, on approximately 53 acres of land located in Belfast, Maine, for a total price of \$6,197. The Company is using the office space of this facility as a second operational service site, and are leasing a small portion of the space to commercial tenants. The building is being depreciated over 30 years. The Company allocated \$800 of the purchase price to land and \$5,397 to the buildings. In addition, the gross amount of the Company assets under capital leases as December 31, 2008, was \$4,169 of equipment, \$793 of leasehold and building improvements and \$289 of furniture, the gross amount of the capital leases as of December 31, 2009 was \$8,551 of equipment, \$1,249 of leasehold improvements, and \$300 of furniture. Property and equipment consist of the following:

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2009	2008
Equipment	\$ 17,063	\$ 12,921
Furniture and fixtures	804	853
Leasehold improvements	9,854	9,499
Building and improvements	8,515	6,698
Land	800	800
Total property and equipment, at cost	37,036	30,771
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(13,897)	(11,783)
Construction in progress	1,732	1,883
Property and equipment, net	\$ 24,871	\$ 20,871

Depreciation expense on property and equipment was \$5,658, \$4,598, and \$4,583 for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company wrote off fully depreciated assets totaling approximately \$3,503 and \$7,190, respectively. Since the assets were fully depreciated, there was no impact on the statement of operations.

8. ACQUISITION***Acquisition of Anodyne Health Partners, Inc.***

On October 16, 2009, the Company acquired Anodyne Health Partners, Inc. (Anodyne), a software enabled service business intelligence company based in Alpharetta, Georgia. The Company believes that the acquisition of Anodyne provides the Company with expanded service offerings that will better enable it to compete in the large medical group market. The Anodyne software as a service business intelligence tool enhances customers ability to view all facets of

its revenue cycle information and to access and extract critical operational and administrative information from various data systems. The Company used existing cash to fund the acquisition of Anodyne, following which Anodyne became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. The Company has determined that the presentation of pro forma information is impracticable as the preexisting business acquired was previously combined with other operations that were not part of the business combination.

The Company has accounted for the acquisition as a business combination using the acquisition method. The Company incurred legal costs and professional fees in connection with the acquisition of \$751 which are included in general and administrative expenses. The results of Anodyne's operations are included in the statement of operations of the combined entity since the date of acquisition.

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

The following table summarizes the total consideration transferred on the acquisition date:

Cash payments	\$ 22,300
Contingent consideration	5,100
Cash acquired	(50)
Fair value of total consideration	\$ 27,350

The fair values assigned to tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed are based on management's estimates and assumptions, as well as other information compiled by management, including valuations that utilize customary valuation procedures and techniques.

The following table summarizes the recognized amounts of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed:

Current assets and other assets	\$ 757
Property and equipment	128
Intangible assets:	
Technology	2,000
Customer relationships	11,200
Deferred tax asset	(2,206)
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(1,041)
Deferred revenue	(250)
Total identifiable net assets	10,588
Goodwill	16,762
	\$ 27,350

Revenue from the date of acquisition of Anodyne, October 16, 2009, to December 31, 2009, was \$906. The Company has determined that the presentation of Anodyne's net income is impracticable for the period ended December 31, 2009, due to the integration of Anodyne operations into the Company upon acquisition.

Contingent consideration is recorded at fair value as an element of purchase price with subsequent adjustments recognized in the consolidated statement of operations. The Company accrued a liability of \$5,100 for the estimated fair value of contingent considerations expected to be payable based upon one of the acquired companies reaching specific performance metrics over the next three years of operation. There are two separate elements that make up the contingent consideration. The first potential contingent consideration ranges from zero to \$4,800 and is payable in one installment based upon operational performance for the year ending December 31, 2010. The second potential contingent consideration ranges from zero to \$2,900 and is payable in quarterly installments based upon the selling of the Company's product base into the Anodyne customer base for the year ending December 31, 2010 and 2011, and the

six-month period ended June 30, 2012.

The fair value was determined using a probability-weighted income approach. That approach is based on significant inputs that are not observable in the market, which are referred to as level 3 inputs. Key assumptions include a discount rate of 21%, a probability adjusted level of 60%. As of December 31, 2009, the amount recognized for the contingent consideration, the range of outcomes and assumptions has not changed.

The intangibles are being amortized over 5-10 years, with customer lists being amortized over 10 years. The goodwill of \$16,762 resulting from the acquisition arises largely from the synergies expected from combining the operations of the acquisitions with our existing services operations, as well as from the benefits

F-22

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

derived from the assembled workforce of the acquisitions. The goodwill recognized is not expected to be deductible for tax purposes.

Acquisition of Crest Line Technologies, Inc. (d.b.a. MedicalMessaging.net)

On September 5, 2008, the Company acquired specified assets and assumed specified liabilities of Crest Line Technologies, LLC (d.b.a. MedicalMessaging.net) (MedicalMessaging). MedicalMessaging is a provider of live and automated calling services for healthcare professionals. The purpose of the acquisition is to augment the Company's core business service offering with MedicalMessaging's automated and live communication services. The Company believes the purchase of MedicalMessaging gave access to a developed technology that could speed the time to market versus internal development of our own similar product. In addition, the Company plans to leverage its existing customer base to increase revenues of the MedicalMessaging services.

Consideration for this transaction was approximately \$7,100, plus potential additional consideration of \$992 which was to be paid over a three-year period if MedicalMessaging achieves certain financial milestones. If the contingent consideration is paid, it will result in an increase in the goodwill. The final payment will include accrued interest on the escrowed amounts. At the date of acquisition, the Company determined that \$241 of the \$992 potential contingent consideration was met and recorded the obligation. At December 31, 2008, the Company determined that \$331 of the potential consideration was met and recorded to the obligation. This amount was paid out in March 2009 from a restricted cash account. During 2009, the Company paid a working capital adjustment of \$141. As of December 31, 2009, the Company determined that an additional \$331 of the potential consideration was met and recorded to the obligation. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the acquired net assets has been allocated to goodwill, all of which is tax deductible.

Allocation of the purchase price for the acquisition was based on estimates of the fair value of the net assets acquired, and is subject to adjustment upon finalization of the contingent consideration. The fair values assigned to tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed are based on management's estimates and assumptions, as well as other information compiled by management, including valuations that utilize customary valuation procedures and techniques.

9. GOODWILL AND OTHER PURCHASED INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following table summarizes the activity relating to the carrying value of the Company's goodwill during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008:

Gross balance as of January 1, 2008	\$	
Goodwill recorded in connection with Medical Messaging		4,887
Gross balance as of December 31, 2008	\$	4,887
Contingent consideration recorded in connection with Medical Messaging		330
Net working capital adjustment recorded in connection with Medical Messaging		141
Goodwill recorded in connection with Anodyne		16,762

Gross balance as of December 31, 2009

\$ 22,120

F-23

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)***Other Intangible Assets***

Intangible assets acquired as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, are as follows:

	December 31, 2009			Weighted Average Remaining Useful Life (years)
	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Net	
Developed technology	\$ 3,161	\$ (390)	\$ 2,771	4.4
Customer relationships	12,066	(347)	11,719	9.7
Total	\$ 15,227	\$ (737)	\$ 14,490	

	December 31, 2008			Weighted Average Remaining Useful Life (years)
	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Net	
Developed technology	\$ 1,161	\$ (74)	\$ 1,087	5
Customer relationships	866	(28)	838	10
Total	\$ 2,027	\$ (102)	\$ 1,925	

Amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, was \$635, \$102 and \$0, respectively, and is included in direct operating expenses. Estimated amortization expense, based upon the Company's intangible assets at December 31, 2009, is as follows:

Year Ending December 31,	Amount
2010	\$ 1,839
2011	1,839
2012	1,839
2013	1,765
2014	1,523
Thereafter	5,685
Total	\$ 14,490

10. OPERATING LEASES AND OTHER COMMITMENTS

The Company maintains operating leases for facilities and certain office equipment. The facility leases contain renewal options and require payments of certain utilities, taxes, and shared operating costs of each leased facility. The Company also rents certain of its leased facilities to third-party tenants. The rental agreements expire at various dates from 2010 to 2015.

The Company entered into a lease agreement with a new landlord in connection with the relocation of its corporate offices in June 2005. The Company assumed possession of the leased space in January of 2005, with a rent commencement date of June 2005 and expiration date of June 2015. The Company was not required to pay rent from January 2005 through June 2005. The Company recognizes rent escalations and lease incentives for this lease on a straight-line basis over the lease period from January 2005 (date of possession) to June 2015.

Under the terms of such lease agreement, the landlord provided approximately \$9,400 in allowances to the Company for the leasehold improvements for the office space and reimbursement of moving costs. These lease incentives are being recorded as a reduction of rent expense on a straight-line basis over the term of the new lease. The Company has recorded the leasehold improvements in property and equipment in the accompanying balance sheets. Moving costs were expensed as incurred.

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

Additionally, the landlord agreed to make all payments under the Company's lease agreement relating to its previous office space, amounting to approximately \$2,100. The Company recognized the lease costs when the Company ceased to use the previous office space. The payments and incentives received from the new landlord are being recognized over the new lease term.

The lease agreement contains certain financial and operational covenants. These covenants provide for restrictions on, among other things, a change in control of the Company and certain structural additions to the premises, without prior consent from the landlord.

Rent expense totaled \$2,399, \$2,121, and \$2,901 for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. In June 2005, the Company entered into a sub-lease agreement, which generated rental income of \$497, \$378, and \$286 for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Rental income is recorded as a reduction in rent expense. We terminated this sub-lease effective February 2010.

The Company entered into a lease agreement with a new landlord in connection with the relocation of its corporate offices in India in May 2009. The Company assumed possession of the leased space in May of 2009, with a rent commencement date of May 2009 and expiration date of April 2012. The Company was not required to pay rent from May 2009 through August 2009. The Company recognizes rent escalations for this lease on a straight-line basis over the lease period from May 2009 (date of possession) to April 2012. Rent expense totaled \$275, \$214, and \$201 for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively.

In March 2007, the Company entered into a noncancelable contract for data center services in the event of a service interruption in the Company's primary data center. The term of the agreement is 36 months, commencing in July 2007, at a monthly rate of \$27, for a total payments of \$978 over the term of the agreement.

In December 2009, the Company entered into a noncancelable contract for data center services in the event of a service interruption in the Company's primary data center. The term of the agreement is 26 months, commencing in December 2009, at a monthly rate of \$20, for a total payments of \$480 over the term of the agreement.

In May 2007, the Company entered into a ten-year, noncancelable lease agreement with a data center provider in Bedford, Massachusetts. Under the agreement, the Company took possession of a portion of the contracted space in June 2007. Minimum payments under the lease total \$6,133 over the life of the agreement. The Company paid \$496, \$243 and \$119 under this agreement in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively.

Future minimum lease payments under noncancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2009, are as follows:

Year Ending December 31,	Future Rent Payments
2010	\$ 5,357
2011	5,439
2012	5,212
2013	5,233

2014	5,396
Thereafter	4,141
Total minimum lease payments	\$ 30,778

F-25

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)**11. LONG-TERM DEBT AND CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS**

The summary of outstanding debt and capital lease obligations is as follows:

	As of December 31,	
	2009	2008
Term loan	\$ 5,625	\$ 6,000
Capital lease obligation	6,763	4,416
	12,388	10,416
Less current portion of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	(3,437)	(2,038)
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations, net of current portion	\$ 8,951	\$ 8,378

2008 Term and Revolving Loans On September 30, 2008, the Company entered into a Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with a financial institution. The Credit Agreement consists of a revolving credit facility in the amount of \$15,000 and a term loan facility in the amount of \$6,000 (collectively, the "Credit Facility"). The revolving credit facility may be extended by up to an additional \$15,000 on the satisfaction of certain conditions and includes a \$10,000 limit for the issuance of standby letters of credit. The revolving credit facility matures on September 30, 2011, and the term facility matures on September 30, 2013, although either facility may be voluntarily prepaid in whole or in part at any time without premium or penalty. On September 30, 2008, the Company borrowed \$6,000 under the term loan facility for general working capital purposes. The term loan has a 5-year term which is payable quarterly starting March 31, 2009, for \$75 each quarter. The Company has the option to extend the loan at the end of the 5-year term. As of December 31, 2009, there were no amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility.

The revolving credit loans and term loan bear interest, at the Company's option, at either (i) the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), or (ii) the higher of (a) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.50% or (b) the financial institution's prime rate (the higher of the two being the "Base Rate"). For term loans, these rates are adjusted down 100 basis points for Base Rate loans and up 100 basis points for LIBOR loans. For revolving credit loans, a margin is added to the chosen interest rate that is based on the Company's consolidated leverage ratio, as defined in the Credit Agreement, which margin can range from 100 to 275 basis points for LIBOR loans and from 0 to 50 basis points for Base Rate loans. A default rate shall apply on all obligations in the event of a default under the Credit Agreement at a rate per annum equal to 2% above the applicable interest rate. The Company was also required to pay commitment fees and upfront fees for this Credit Facility. The interest rate as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, for the term loan was 4.5%.

The obligations of the Company and its subsidiaries under the Credit Agreement are collateralized by substantially all assets.

The Credit Agreement also contains certain financial and nonfinancial covenants, including limitations on our consolidated leverage ratio and capital expenditures, defaults relating to non-payment, breach of covenants,

inaccuracy of representations and warranties, default under other indebtedness (including a cross-default with our interest rate swap), bankruptcy and insolvency, inability to pay debts, attachment of assets, adverse judgments, ERISA violations, invalidity of loan and collateral documents, and change of control. Upon an event of default, the lenders may terminate the commitment to make loans and the obligation to extend letters of credit, declare the unpaid principal amount of all outstanding loans and interest accrued under the Credit Agreement to be immediately due and payable, require us to provide cash and deposit account collateral for our letter of credit obligations, and exercise their security interests and other rights under the Credit Agreement.

Capital Lease Obligation In June 2007, the Company entered into a master lease and security agreement (the Equipment Line) with a financing company. The Equipment Line allows for the Company to lease from the financing company eligible equipment purchases, submitted within 90 days of the applicable

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

equipment's invoice date. Each lease has a 36 month term which are payable in equal monthly installments, commencing on the first day of the fourth month after the date of the disbursements of such loan and continuing on the first day of each month thereafter until paid in full. The Company has accounted for these as capital leases. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company had \$6,763 and \$4,416, respectively, of outstanding capital leases. The interest rate as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, was 3.5% and 5.8%, respectively.

Future principal payments on debt and outstanding capital leases as of December 31, 2009, are as follows:

Year Ending December 31,	Debt	Capital Lease Obligations
2010	\$ 300	\$ 3,269
2011	300	2,904
2012	300	956
2013	300	
Thereafter	4,425	
Total	5,625	7,129
Less: imputed interest		(366)
Present value of future minimum lease payments		6,763
Less current portion	(300)	(3,137)
Long-term portion	\$ 5,325	\$ 3,626

The Company's borrowings are collateralized by substantially all assets.

Interest paid was \$836, \$324, and \$3,666 for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively.

12. INTEREST RATE SWAP DERIVATIVE

The Company entered into a derivative instrument which has a decreasing notional value over the term to offset the cash flow exposure associated with its interest payments on certain outstanding debt. In October 2008, we entered into an interest rate swap to mitigate the cash flow exposure associated with our interest payments on certain outstanding debt. Our interest rate swap is not designated as a hedging instrument. The derivative is accounted for at fair value with gains or losses reported in earnings.

The swap had a notional amount of \$5,850 to hedge changes in cash flows attributable to changes in the LIBOR rate associated with the September 30, 2008, issuance of the Term Loan due September 30, 2028. We pay a fixed rate of 4.55% and receive a variable rate based on one month LIBOR. The fair value of derivatives as of December 31, 2009, is summarized in the following table.

	Liability Derivatives	Fair Value
	Balance Sheet Location	
Interest rate contracts	Interest rate derivative liability	\$ 291
Total derivatives		\$ 291

F-27

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

The effect of derivative instruments on the consolidated statements of operations is summarized in the following table.

	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Earnings	Gain Recognized in Earnings for the Year Ended December 31, 2009	Loss Recognized in Earnings for the Year Ended December 31, 2008
Interest rate contracts	Gain (loss) on interest rate derivative contract	\$ 590	\$ (881)

Derivatives are carried at fair value, as determined using standard valuation models and adjusted, when necessary, for credit risk and is separately presented on the balance sheet. The Company manages its interest rate exposures by maintaining a fixed rate debt to minimize interest expense and interest rate volatility. The following is a description/summary of the derivative financial instrument the Company has entered into to manage the interest rate exposure:

Description	Borrowing	Notional Amount	Receive	Pay	Fiscal Year Entered Into	Maturity (Fiscal Year)	Fair Value as of December 31, 2009
Interest rate swap variable to fixed	Revolving Credit Facility	\$5,625	LIBOR plus 1.0%	4.55% Fixed	2008	2028	\$(291)

13. CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK

All outstanding shares of the Company's convertible preferred stock were converted into 21,531 shares of common stock upon completion of the IPO.

14. PREFERRED STOCK

After the consummation of the initial public offering in September 2007 and the filing of the Company's amended and restated certificate of incorporation, the Company's board of directors has the authority, without further action by stockholders, to issue up to 5,000 shares of preferred stock in one or more series. The Company's board of directors may designate the rights, preferences, privileges, and restrictions of the preferred stock, including dividend rights, conversion rights, voting rights, terms of redemption, liquidation preference, and number of shares constituting any series or the designation of any series. The issuance of preferred stock could have the effect of restricting dividends on the Company's common stock, diluting the voting power of its common stock, impairing the liquidation rights of its common stock, or delaying or preventing a change in control. The ability to issue preferred stock could delay or impede a change in control. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, no shares of preferred stock were outstanding.

15. COMMON STOCK AND WARRANTS

Common Stock Common stockholders are entitled to one vote per share and dividends when declared by the Board of Directors, subject to any preferential rights of preferred stockholders.

Warrants In connection with equipment financing with a finance company and a bank in May 2001, the Company issued warrants to purchase 65 shares of the Company's Series D Preferred Stock at an exercise price of \$3.08 per share. The warrants are exercisable through September 2012.

Upon completion of the IPO, all of the Company's outstanding preferred stock was automatically converted into common stock and, accordingly, all warrants to purchase preferred stock were converted into warrants to purchase common stock. During the year ended December 31, 2008, warrant holders exercised using the net issue exercise provision resulting in 29 shares of common stock issued to the warrant holder on the exercise of 32 warrants. No warrants were exercised during the year ended December 31, 2009.

F-28

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

Shares Reserved for Future Issuance The Company has reserved shares of common stock for future issuance for the following purposes:

	December 31,	
	2009	2008
Stock award plans	4,583	4,003
Warrants to purchase common stock	32	32
Total	4,615	4,035

16. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company's stock award plans provide the opportunity for employees, consultants, and directors to be granted options to purchase, receive share awards, or make direct purchases of shares of the Company's common stock, up to 5,238 shares. On January 30, 2007, the Company's board of directors voted to increase the number of shares eligible for grant under the Company's stock award plans by 448. On May 2, 2007, the Company's board of directors voted to increase the number of shares eligible for grant under the Company's stock awards plans by 149. Options granted under the plan may be incentive stock options or non-qualified stock options under the applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code.

In 2007, the Company's 2007 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (2007 ESPP) was adopted by the board of directors and approved by the stockholders. A total of 500 shares of common stock has been reserved for future issuance to participating employees under the 2007 ESPP. Employees may authorize deductions from 1% to 10% of compensation for each payroll period during the offering period. On February 8, 2008, the board of directors approved an amendment to the Company's 2007 ESPP. Under the terms of the amendment to the 2007 ESPP, the purchase price shall be equal to 85% of the lower of the closing price of the Company's common stock on (1) the first day of the purchase period or (2) the last day of the purchase period. On May 1, 2008, the board of directors approved another amendment to the 2007 ESPP, which allows employees, officers, and directors of the Company's majority owned subsidiary, athenahealth Technology Private Limited, to participate in the 2007 ESPP. The expense for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$388 and \$172, respectively.

In 2007, the board of directors and the Company's stockholders approved the 2007 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (the 2007 Stock Option Plan) effective as of the close of the Company's IPO, which occurred on September 25, 2007. The board of directors authorized 1,000 shares in addition to the shares forfeited under the Company's 2007 Stock Option Plan. Options granted under this plan may be incentive stock options or non-qualified stock options under the applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. The 2007 Stock Option Plan includes an evergreen provision that allows for an annual increase in the number of shares of common stock available for issuance under the 2007 Stock Option Plan. The annual increase will be added on the first day of each fiscal year from 2008 through 2013, inclusive, and will be equal to the lesser of (i) 5.0% of the number of then-outstanding shares of stock and of the preceding December 31 and (ii) a number as determined by the board of directors. On January 1, 2009 and 2008, another 1,105 and 611 options, respectively, became available for grant under this evergreen provision.

Incentive stock options are granted with exercise prices at or above the fair value of the Company's common stock at the grant date as determined by the Board of Directors. Incentive stock options granted to employees who own more than 10% of the voting power of all classes of stock are granted with exercise prices at 110% of the fair value of the Company's common stock at the date of the grant. Non-qualified stock options may be granted with exercise prices up to the fair value of the Company's common stock on the date of the grant, as determined by the Board of Directors. All options granted vest over a range of one to four years and have contractual terms of between five and ten years. Options granted typically vest 25% per year over a total of four years at each anniversary, with the exception of options granted to members of the board of directors, which vest on a quarterly basis.

F-29

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

Pursuant to stock option awards granted under the Company's 2000 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, unvested stock options awarded under these awards shall become accelerated by a period of one year upon the consummation of an acquisition of the Company. For purposes of these agreements, an acquisition is defined as: (i) the sale of the Company by merger in which its shareholders in their capacity as such no longer own a majority of the outstanding equity securities of the Company; (ii) any sale of all or substantially all of the assets or capital stock of the Company; or (iii) any other acquisition of the business of the Company, as determined by its board of directors.

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, there were approximately 1,151 and 1,052 shares, respectively, available for grant under the Company's stock award plans.

The following table presents the stock option activity for the year ended December 31, 2009:

		Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding	January 1, 2009	2,951	\$ 16.02		
Granted		1,080	28.97		
Exercised		(488)	3.88		
Forfeited		(111)	22.78		
Outstanding	as of December 31, 2009	3,432	\$ 21.62	7.6	\$ 81,083
Exercisable	as of December 31, 2009	1,526	\$ 12.73	6.3	\$ 49,614
Vested and expected to vest	as of December 31, 2009	3,178	\$ 21.05	7.6	\$ 76,875
Weighted-average fair value of options granted for the year ended December 31, 2009			\$ 14.56		

The Company recorded compensation expense of \$8,314, \$5,558, and \$1,311 for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. There was an impact of \$2,505 and \$526 on the presentation in the consolidated statements of cash flows relating to excess tax benefits on the state tax level that have been realized as a reduction in taxes payable for the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Stock-based compensation expense for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, are as follows (no amounts were capitalized):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Stock-based compensation charged to:			
Direct operating	\$ 1,589	\$ 872	\$ 181
Selling and marketing	2,126	1,383	97
Research and development	1,015	1,086	260
General and administrative	3,584	2,217	773
Total	\$ 8,314	\$ 5,558	\$ 1,311

F-30

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to value share-based awards and determine the related compensation expense. The assumptions used in calculating the fair value of share-based awards represent management's best estimates. The following table illustrates the weighted average assumptions used to compute stock-based compensation expense for awards granted:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	2007
Risk-free interest rate	1.9% - 3.0%	1.9% - 3.5%	3.5% - 4.9%
Expected dividend yield	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Expected option term (years)	6.25	6.25	6.25
Expected stock volatility	48% - 53%	48% - 54%	71.0%

The risk-free interest rate estimate was based on the U.S. Treasury rates for U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bonds with maturities similar to those of the expected term of the award being valued.

The expected dividend yield was based on the Company's expectation of not paying dividends in the foreseeable future.

The weighted average expected option term reflects the application of the simplified method. The simplified method defines the life as the average of the contractual term of the options and the weighted average vesting period for all option tranches. In December 2007, the SEC issued additional guidance, which permits entities, under certain circumstances, to continue to use the simplified method beyond December 31, 2007. We have continued to utilize this methodology for the year ended December 31, 2009, due to the short length of time our common stock has been publicly traded. The resulting fair value is recorded as compensation cost on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period, which generally equals the option vesting period. Since the Company completed its initial public offering in September 2007, it did not have sufficient history as a publicly traded company to evaluate its volatility factor and expected term. As such, the Company analyzed the volatilities of a group of peer companies to support the assumptions used in its calculations. The Company averaged the volatilities of the peer companies with in-the-money options, sufficient trading history and similar vesting terms to generate the assumptions.

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, there was \$25,474 and \$16,435, respectively, of unrecognized stock compensation expense related to unvested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Company's stock award plans. This expense is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 2.7 years.

Cash received from stock option exercises during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, was \$1,895 and \$4,918, respectively. The intrinsic value of the shares issued from option exercises in the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, was \$16,547 and \$25,932, respectively. The Company generally issues previously unissued shares for the exercise of stock options, however the Company may reissue previously acquired treasury shares to satisfy these issuances in the future.

Summary of Employee Stock Option Exercises The weighted average fair value of employee stock purchase shares granted during fiscal 2009, 2008, and 2007, was \$14.56, \$16.52, and \$6.10, respectively. Employees purchased

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

488 shares, 991 shares, and 433 shares, respectively, for fiscal 2009, 2008, and 2007. The intrinsic value of shares purchased during fiscal 2009, 2008, and 2007, was \$16,547, \$25,932, and \$3,642, respectively. The intrinsic value is calculated as the difference between the market value on the date of purchase and the purchase price of the shares.

F-31

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)**17. INCOME TAXES**

The components of the Company's income tax (benefit) provision for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 are as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
Current Provision:			
Federal	\$ 174	\$ (16)	\$ 24
State	2,706	647	10
Foreign	31		
	2,911	631	34
Deferred Provision:			
Federal	6,527	34	
State	(609)	9	
Valuation allowance reversal		(23,876)	
	5,918	(23,833)	
Total income tax provision (benefit)	\$ 8,829	\$ (23,202)	\$ 34

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company utilized tax federal and state net operating loss carryforwards to reduce the current tax provision by \$8,246 and \$21, respectively. The Company recognized an alternative minimum tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2008, and December 31, 2007. During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company utilized tax net operating loss carryforwards to reduce the current tax provision by \$7,797.

The components of the Company's deferred income taxes as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, are as follows:

	2009	2008
Deferred tax assets:		
Federal net operating loss carryforward	\$ 4,851	\$ 10,468
State net operating loss carryforward	151	58
Research and development tax credits	881	841
Allowance for doubtful accounts	611	377
Deferred rent obligation	2,346	2,570
Stock compensation	3,197	1,529

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Other accrued liabilities	920	321
Deferred revenue	10,395	9,893
Other	422	
	23,774	26,057
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Intangibles	(5,527)	
Capitalized software development	(924)	(743)
Property and equipment	(1,412)	(1,481)
Investments	(17)	(188)
Other	(66)	
	(7,946)	(2,412)
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 15,828	\$ 23,645

F-32

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

The Company classifies its deferred tax assets and liabilities as current or noncurrent based on the classification of the related asset or liability for financial reporting giving rise to the temporary difference. A deferred tax asset that is not related to an asset or liability for financial reporting, including deferred tax assets related to NOLs, is classified according to the expected reversal date.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company had federal and state NOLs of approximately \$55,895 (which includes \$41,627 of NOLs from stock-based compensation) and \$16,549 (which includes \$14,717 of NOLs from stock-based compensation), respectively, to offset future federal and state taxable income. The state NOLs begin to expire 2010 and the federal NOLs expire at various times from 2017 through 2028.

The Company has generated NOLs from stock compensation deductions in excess of expenses recognized for financial reporting purposes (excess tax benefits). Excess tax benefits are realized when they reduce taxes payable, as determined using a with and without method, and are credited to additional paid-in capital and not as a reduction of income tax provision. During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company realized excess tax benefits from state tax deductions of \$2,505 and \$526, respectively, which was credited to additional paid-in capital. As of December 31, 2009, the amount of unrecognized federal and state excess tax benefits is \$14,153 and \$872, respectively, which will be credited to additional paid-in capital when realized.

The Company records a deferred tax asset or liability based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities, as measured by enacted tax rates assumed to be in effect when these differences reverse. In evaluating the Company's ability to recover its deferred tax assets, the Company considers all available positive and negative evidence including its past operating results, the existence of cumulative income in the most recent fiscal years, changes in the business in which the Company operates and its forecast of future taxable income. In determining future taxable income, the Company is responsible for assumptions utilized including the amount of state, federal and international pre-tax operating income, the reversal of temporary differences and the implementation of feasible and prudent tax planning strategies. These assumptions require significant judgment about the forecasts of future taxable income and are consistent with the plans and estimates that the Company is using to manage the underlying businesses. Based on the consideration of the weight of the positive and negative evidence, the Company concluded that there was sufficient positive evidence that its deferred tax assets will be fully utilized. Accordingly, the remaining valuation allowance was reversed as of December 31, 2008. As of December 31, 2009, the Company continues to believe that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will be fully realized.

The Company's federal research and development tax credit carryforward as of December 31, 2009 was \$881. This credit is available to offset future federal and state taxes and expire at various times through 2024.

A reconciliation of the federal statutory income tax rate to the Company's effective income tax rate is as follows for the years ended December 31:

	2009	2008	2007
Income tax computed at federal statutory tax rate	34%	34%	34%
State taxes net of federal benefit	8%	9%	0%
Research and development credits	(1)%	(2)%	1%

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Permanent differences	8%	8%	(27)%
Valuation allowance	0%	(328)%	(7)%
Total	49%	(279)%	1%

F-33

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
Beginning uncertain tax benefits	\$ 301	\$ 610	\$ 744
Prior year decreases	0	(365)	(134)
Prior year increases	18		
Current year increases	667	56	
Total	\$ 986	\$ 301	\$ 610

Included in the balance of unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2009, are \$912 of tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate. Included in the current year increases was \$627 of unrecognized tax benefits which the Company acquired through its acquisition of Anodyne, Inc. The Company does not expect unrecognized tax benefits will significantly change within 12 months of the reporting date.

The Company includes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as a component of its provision for taxes. For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company's accrued interest and penalties recorded in its consolidated financial statements was not significant.

The Company does not expect unrecognized tax benefits to significantly change within 12 months of the reporting date.

For state tax purposes, the tax years 1997 through 2008 remain open to examination by major taxing jurisdictions to which the Company is subject, which years primarily resulted in carryforward attributes that may still be adjusted upon examination by the Internal Revenue Service or state tax authorities if they have or will be used in a future period. The Company recently concluded an Internal Revenue Service audit for tax years 2006 through 2008. The closing of this audit resulted in no change to the income tax benefit (provision) or previously recorded net operating loss carryforwards.

18. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN

The Company sponsors a 401(k) retirement savings plan (the "401(k) Plan"), under which eligible employees may contribute, on a pre-tax basis, specified percentages of their compensation, subject to maximum aggregate annual contributions imposed by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. All employee contributions are allocated to the employee's individual account and are invested in various investment options as directed by the employee. Employees' cash contributions are fully vested and nonforfeitable. The Company may make a discretionary contribution in any year, subject to authorization by the Company's Board of Directors. During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, the Company's contributions to the Plan were \$901, \$673 and \$235, respectively.

19. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company is engaged from time to time in certain legal disputes arising in the ordinary course of business, including employment discrimination claims and challenges to the Company's intellectual property. The Company believes that it has adequate legal defenses and believes that it is remote that the ultimate dispositions of these actions will have a material effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. There are no accruals for such claims recorded at December 31, 2009.

The Company's services are subject to sales and use taxes in certain jurisdictions. The Company's contractual agreements with its customers provide that payment of any sales or use tax assessments are the responsibility of the customer. Accordingly, the Company believes that sales and use tax assessments, if applicable, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)**20. SUMMARIZED QUARTERLY UNAUDITED FINANCIAL DATA**

As discussed in greater detail in Note 2, Restatement and Reclassification of Previously Issued Consolidated Financial Statements, we determined we needed to restate our previously issued consolidated financial information for the quarterly periods ended March 31, 2009, June 30, 2009, September 30, 2009 and each of the quarterly periods in the year ended December 31, 2008. The restatements resulted from a correction to the amortization period for deferred implementation revenue.

The following tables summarize the effects of the restatement and presentation reclassifications on our previously issued unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements:

Summary of increases (decreases) in net income (unaudited)

	March 31, 2009 Three Months Ended	For the Quarter Ended			
		June 30, 2009 Three Months Ended	Six Months Ended	September 30, 2009 Three Months Ended	Nine Months Ended
Net income, as previously reported	\$ 2,338	\$ 3,029	\$ 5,367	\$ 2,112	\$ 7,479
Net adjustments					
Implementation revenue	(1,334)	(1,308)	(2,642)	(1,577)	(4,219)
Income tax provision	534	523	1,057	631	1,688
Net income, restated	\$ 1,538	\$ 2,244	\$ 3,782	\$ 1,166	\$ 4,948
Basic earning (loss) per common share:					
Net income, as previously reported	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.22
Net adjustments					
Implementation revenue	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.08)	(0.05)	(0.12)
Income tax provision	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.05
Net income, restated	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.15
Diluted earning (loss) per common share:					
Net income, as previously reported	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.22
Net adjustments					
Implementation revenue	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.12)

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Income tax provision	\$	0.01	0.01	\$	0.03	0.02	\$	0.04		
Net income (loss), restated	\$	0.04	\$	0.06	\$	0.11	\$	0.03	\$	0.14
Weighted average shares used in computing net income per share:										
Basic		33,418		33,527		33,472		33,610		33,520
Diluted		34,814		34,822		34,818		34,900		34,707

F-35

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)*Summary of increases (decreases) in Net income (unaudited)*

	For the Quarter Ended					December 31, 2008 Three Months Ended
	March 31, 2008 Three Months Ended	June 30, 2008 Three Months Ended	September 30, 2008 Six Months Ended	September 30, 2008 Three Months Ended	September 30, 2008 Nine Months Ended	
Net income, as previously reported	\$ 1,829	\$ 2,779	\$ 4,608	\$ 3,700	\$ 8,308	\$ 20,563
Net adjustments						
Implementation revenue	(884)	(1,068)	(1,952)	(1,598)	(3,550)	(868)
Income tax provision						7,149
Net income, restated	\$ 945	\$ 1,711	\$ 2,656	\$ 2,102	\$ 4,758	\$ 26,844
Basic earning (loss) per common share:						
Net income, as previously reported	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.62
Net adjustments						
Implementation revenue	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.11)	(0.03)
Income tax provision						0.22
Net income, restated	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.81
Diluted earning (loss) per common share:						
Net income, as previously reported	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.59
Net adjustments						
Implementation revenue	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.10)	(0.03)
Income tax provision						0.21
Net income, restated	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.77
Weighted average shares used in computing net income per share:						

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Basic	32,344	32,485	32,414	32,904	32,579	33,242
Diluted	34,786	34,730	34,758	34,825	34,780	34,766

F-36

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)**2009 Quarterly Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited)**

	As of March 31, 2009		As of June 30, 2009		As of September 30, 2009	
	As	As	As	As	As	As
	Previously	As	Previously	As	Previously	As
	Reported	Restated	Reported	Restated	Reported	Restated
Assets						
Current assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 20,527	\$ 20,527	\$ 23,320	\$ 23,320	\$ 27,473	\$ 27,473
Short-term investments	69,553	69,553	72,984	72,984	77,090	77,090
Accounts receivable net	22,837	22,837	23,680	23,680	26,850	26,850
Deferred tax assets	6,441	7,904	5,844	7,307	3,901	5,364
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	3,805	3,805	4,517	4,517	4,959	4,959
Total current assets	123,163	124,626	130,345	131,808	140,273	141,736
Property and equipment net	21,399	21,399	22,420	22,420	23,280	23,280
Restricted cash	1,516	1,516	1,516	1,516	1,516	1,516
Software development costs net	1,920	1,920	2,054	2,054	2,191	2,191
Purchased intangibles net	1,845	1,845	1,766	1,766	1,686	1,686
Goodwill	4,887	4,887	5,018	5,018	5,284	5,284
Deferred tax assets	8,156	14,376	8,061	14,804	8,351	15,725
Other assets	646	646	630	630	1,163	1,163
Total assets	\$ 163,532	\$ 171,215	\$ 171,810	\$ 180,016	\$ 183,744	\$ 192,581
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity						
Current liabilities:						
Current portion of long-term debt and capital lease obligations						
	\$ 2,308	\$ 2,308	\$ 2,684	\$ 2,684	\$ 3,123	\$ 3,123
Accounts payable	1,211	1,211	642	642	1,090	1,090
Accrued compensation	8,471	8,471	10,065	10,065	13,808	13,808
Accrued expenses	5,534	5,534	5,834	5,834	7,170	7,170
Current portion of deferred revenue	6,829	3,166	7,104	3,435	7,633	3,861
Interest rate derivative liability	689	689	381	381	506	506
Current portion of deferred rent	1,139	1,139	1,135	1,135	1,253	1,253
Total current liabilities	26,181	22,518	27,845	24,176	34,583	30,811

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Deferred rent, net of current portion	8,383	8,383	8,128	8,128	7,742	7,742
Deferred revenue, net of current portion		23,086		24,400		26,080
Debt and capital lease obligations, net of current portion	8,267	8,267	8,779	8,779	8,954	8,954
Total liabilities	42,831	62,254	44,752	65,483	51,279	73,587
Preferred stock						
Common stock	348	348	349	349	349	349
Additional paid-in capital	158,748	158,748	162,221	162,221	165,777	165,777
Treasury stock	(1,200)	(1,200)	(1,200)	(1,200)	(1,200)	(1,200)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	279	279	133	133	(128)	(128)
Accumulated deficit	(37,474)	(49,214)	(34,445)	(46,970)	(32,333)	(45,804)
Total stockholders equity	120,701	108,961	127,058	114,533	132,465	118,994
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 163,532	\$ 171,215	\$ 171,810	\$ 180,016	\$ 183,744	\$ 192,581

F-37

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

	March 31, 2009		June 30, 2009			
	Three Month Ended		Three Month Ended		Six Month Ended	
	As		As		As	
	Previously	As	Previously	As	Previously	As
	Reported	Restated	Reported	Restated	Reported	Restated
Revenue:						
Business services	\$ 39,895	\$ 39,895	\$ 44,429	\$ 44,429	\$ 84,324	\$ 84,324
Implementation and other	2,204	1,133	2,290	1,219	4,494	2,352
Total revenue	42,099	41,028	46,719	45,648	88,818	86,676
Expenses:						
Direct operating costs	18,298	18,561	19,160	19,397	37,458	37,958
Selling and marketing	6,999	6,999	8,888	8,888	15,887	15,887
Research and development	3,181	3,181	3,439	3,439	6,620	6,620
General and administrative	8,201	8,201	8,394	8,394	16,595	16,595
Depreciation and amortization	1,639	1,639	1,798	1,798	3,437	3,437
Total expenses	38,318	38,581	41,679	41,916	79,997	80,497
Operating income	3,781	2,447	5,040	3,732	8,821	6,179
Other income (expense):						
Interest income	402	402	320	320	722	722
Interest expense	(174)	(174)	(283)	(283)	(457)	(457)
Gain on interest rate derivative contract	192	192	308	308	500	500
Other income	36	36	79	79	115	115
Total other income	456	456	424	424	880	880
Income before income taxes	4,237	2,903	5,464	4,156	9,701	7,059
Income tax provision	(1,899)	(1,365)	(2,435)	(1,912)	(4,334)	(3,277)
Net income	2,338	1,538	3,029	2,244	5,367	3,782
Net income per share basic	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.11
Net income per share diluted	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.11
Weighted average shares used in computing net income per share:						
Basic	33,418	33,418	33,527	33,527	33,472	33,472

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Diluted	34,814	34,814	34,822	34,822	34,818	34,818
---------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

In addition to the restatement of implementation fees and the related tax expense, we reclassified \$263, \$237 and \$500 of reimbursed out-of-pocket expenses from direct operating costs to implementation and other revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2009, and June 30, 2009, and for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

F-38

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

	September 30, 2009				Three Months Ended December 31, 2009
	Three Month Ended		Nine Month Ended		
	As Previously Reported	As Restated	As Previously Reported	As Restated	
Revenue:					
Business services	\$ 45,609	\$ 45,609	\$ 129,933	\$ 129,933	\$ 53,297
Implementation and other	3,083	1,796	7,577	4,148	1,149
Total revenue	48,692	47,405	137,510	134,081	54,446
Expenses:					
Direct operating costs	19,652	19,942	57,110	57,900	21,117
Selling and marketing	8,963	8,963	24,850	24,850	9,222
Research and development	3,748	3,748	10,368	10,368	3,980
General and administrative	9,732	9,732	26,327	26,327	9,784
Depreciation and amortization	2,098	2,098	5,535	5,535	2,232
Total expenses	44,193	44,483	124,190	124,980	46,335
Operating income	4,499	2,922	13,320	9,101	8,111
Other income (expense):					
Interest income	216	216	938	938	78
Interest expense	(270)	(270)	(727)	(727)	(241)
Gain (loss) on interest rate derivative contract	(125)	(125)	375	375	215
Other income	96	96	211	211	44
Total other (expense) income	(83)	(83)	797	797	96
Income before income taxes	4,416	2,839	14,117	9,898	8,207
Income tax provision	(2,304)	(1,673)	(6,638)	(4,950)	(3,879)
Net income	2,112	1,166	7,479	4,948	4,328
Net income per share basic	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.13
Net income per share diluted	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.12

Weighted average shares used in computing net income per share:

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Basic	33,610	33,610	33,520	33,520	33,785
Diluted	34,900	34,900	34,707	34,707	35,133

In addition to the restatement of implementation fees and the related tax expense, we reclassified \$290, \$295 and \$790 of reimbursed out-of-pocket expenses from direct operating costs to implementation and other revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2009, and December 31, 2009, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

F-39

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

	March 31, 2008		June 30, 2008			
	Three Month Ended		Three Month Ended		Six Month Ended	
	As		As		As	
	Previously	As	Previously	As	Previously	As
	Reported	Restated	Reported	Restated	Reported	Restated
Revenue:						
Business services	\$ 27,889	\$ 27,889	\$ 31,190	\$ 31,190	\$ 59,079	\$ 59,079
Implementation and other	1,866	1,247	1,783	995	3,649	2,242
Total revenue	29,755	29,136	32,973	32,185	62,728	61,321
Expenses:						
Direct operating costs	12,787	13,052	14,076	14,356	26,863	27,408
Selling and marketing	4,669	4,669	5,364	5,364	10,033	10,033
Research and development	2,346	2,346	2,596	2,596	4,942	4,942
General and administrative	7,205	7,205	6,580	6,580	13,785	13,785
Depreciation and amortization	1,441	1,441	1,589	1,589	3,030	3,030
Total expenses	28,448	28,713	30,205	30,485	58,653	59,198
Operating income	1,307	423	2,768	1,700	4,075	2,123
Other income (expense):						
Interest income	709	709	396	396	1,105	1,105
Interest expense	(23)	(23)	(105)	(105)	(128)	(128)
Other income	18	18	31	31	49	49
Total other income	704	704	322	322	1,026	1,026
Income before income taxes	2,011	1,127	3,090	2,022	5,101	3,149
Income tax provision	(182)	(182)	(311)	(311)	(493)	(493)
Net income	1,829	945	2,779	1,711	4,608	2,656
Net income per share basic	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.08
Net income per share diluted	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.08
Weighted average shares used in computing net income per share:						
Basic	32,344	32,344	32,485	32,485	32,414	32,414
Diluted	34,786	34,786	34,730	34,730	34,758	34,758

In addition to the restatement of implementation fees and the related tax expense, we reclassified \$265, \$280 and \$545 of reimbursed out-of-pocket expenses from direct operating costs to implementation and other revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2008, and June 30, 2008, and for the six months ended June 30, 2008.

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

	September 30, 2008				December 31, 2008	
	Three Month Ended		Nine Month Ended		Three Month Ended	
	As Previously Reported	As Restated	As Previously Reported	As Restated	As Previously Reported	As Restated
Revenue:						
Business services	\$ 33,080	\$ 33,080	\$ 92,159	\$ 92,159	\$ 39,720	\$ 39,720
Implementation and other	2,348	1,070	5,997	3,312	1,676	1,091
Total revenue	35,428	34,150	98,156	95,471	41,396	40,811
Expenses:						
Direct operating costs	14,932	15,252	41,795	42,660	17,004	17,287
Selling and marketing	6,275	6,275	16,308	16,308	6,519	6,519
Research and development	2,327	2,327	7,269	7,269	3,331	3,331
General and administrative	6,909	6,909	20,694	20,694	8,636	8,636
Depreciation and amortization	1,582	1,582	4,612	4,612	1,381	1,381
Total expenses	32,025	32,345	90,678	91,543	36,871	37,154
Operating income	3,403	1,805	7,478	3,928	4,525	3,657
Other income (expense):						
Interest income	412	412	1,517	1,517	425	425
Interest expense	(75)	(75)	(203)	(203)	(225)	(225)
Loss on interest rate derivative contract					(881)	(881)
Other income	38	38	87	87	95	95
Total other income (expense)	375	375	1,401	1,401	(586)	(586)
Income before income taxes	3,778	2,180	8,879	5,329	3,939	3,071
Income tax (provision) benefit	(78)	(78)	(571)	(571)	16,624	23,773
Net income	3,700	2,102	8,308	4,758	20,563	26,844
Net income per share basic	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.62	\$ 0.81
Net income per share diluted	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.77
Weighted average shares used in computing net income per share:						
Basic	32,904	32,904	32,579	32,579	33,242	33,242

Edgar Filing: ATHENAHEALTH INC - Form 10-K

Diluted	34,825	34,825	34,780	34,780	34,766	34,766
---------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

In addition to the restatement of implementation fees and the related tax expense, we reclassified \$320, \$283 and \$865 of reimbursed out-of-pocket expenses from direct operating costs to implementation and other revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2008, and December 31, 2008, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

F-41

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2009		Six Months Ended June 30, 2009		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009	
	As Previously Reported	As Restated	As Previously Reported	As Restated	As Previously Reported	As Restated
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:						
Net income	\$ 2,338	\$ 1,538	\$ 5,367	\$ 3,782	\$ 7,479	\$ 4,948
Deferred income taxes	1,899	1,365	3,937	2,880	6,109	4,421
Deferred revenue	(116)	1,218	159	2,801	688	4,907
Other changes in operating activities	379	379	2,540	2,540	7,259	7,259
Net cash provided by operating activities	4,500	4,500	12,003	12,003	21,535	21,535
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:						
Capitalized software development costs	(449)	(449)	(1,060)	(1,060)	(1,759)	(1,759)
Purchases of property and equipment	(2,142)	(2,142)	(5,061)	(5,061)	(6,616)	(6,616)
Proceeds from disposals and sale of property and equipment(1)		1,803		3,366	4,690	4,690
Purchase in long term investment					(550)	(550)
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments	14,500	14,500	37,000	37,000	58,000	58,000
Purchases of investments	(25,762)	(25,762)	(51,770)	(51,770)	(77,066)	(77,066)
Payments for acquisitions net of cash acquired					(131)	(131)
Increase in restricted cash	332	332	332	332	332	332
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(13,521)	(11,718)	(20,559)	(17,193)	(23,100)	(23,100)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:						
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and warrants	530	530	697	697	1,654	1,654
			1,231	1,231	1,705	1,705

Tax benefit from stock-based awards						
Proceeds from long-term debt(1)	1,803		3,366			
Payments on long term debt and capital lease obligations	(1,643)	(1,643)	(2,319)	(2,319)	(2,978)	(2,978)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	690	(1,113)	2,975	(391)	381	381
Effects of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(75)	(75)	(32)	(32)	(276)	(276)
Net (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(8,406)	(8,406)	(5,613)	(5,613)	(1,460)	(1,460)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	28,933	28,933	28,933	28,933	28,933	28,933
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 20,527	\$ 20,527	\$ 23,320	\$ 23,320	\$ 27,473	\$ 27,473

(1) To correct the presentation of draw downs of the capital lease lines.

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2008		Six Months Ended June 30, 2008		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008	
	As Previously Reported	As Restated	As Previously Reported	As Restated	As Previously Reported	As Restated
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:						
Net income	\$ 1,829	\$ 945	\$ 4,608	\$ 2,656	\$ 8,308	\$ 4,758
Deferred revenue	25	909	872	2,824	2,291	5,841
Other changes in operating activities	267	267	5,228	5,228	4,041	4,041
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,121	2,121	10,708	10,708	14,640	14,640
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:						
Capitalized software development costs	(251)	(251)	(602)	(602)	(939)	(939)
Purchases of property and equipment	(7,668)	(7,668)	(9,622)	(9,622)	(11,483)	(11,483)
Proceeds from disposals of property and equipment(1)		400	12	1,226	12	1,637
Purchase in long-term investment			(250)	(250)	(250)	(250)
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments					18,500	18,500
Purchases of investments	(26,465)	(26,465)	(49,154)	(49,154)	(57,543)	(57,543)
Payments for acquisitions net of cash acquired					(6,680)	(6,680)
(Increase) decrease in restricted cash					(135)	(135)
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(34,384)	(33,984)	(59,616)	(58,402)	(58,518)	(56,893)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:						
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and warrants	64	64	698	698	4,071	4,071
Deferred financing fees					(179)	(179)
	400		1,214		7,625	6,000

Proceeds from long-term debt(1)						
Payments on long term debt and capital lease obligations	(120)	(120)	(271)	(271)	(526)	(526)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	344	(56)	1,641	427	10,991	9,366
Effects of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(4)	(4)	(22)	(22)	(75)	(75)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(31,923)	(31,923)	(47,289)	(47,289)	(32,962)	(32,962)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	71,891	71,891	71,891	71,891	71,891	71,891
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 39,968	\$ 39,968	\$ 24,602	\$ 24,602	\$ 38,929	\$ 38,929

(1) To correct the presentation of draw downs of the capital lease lines.

F-43

Table of Contents**athenahealth, Inc.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Continued)

The following tables present selected operating results for the quarters ended 2009 and 2008:

	March 31, 2009 (Restated)	June 30, 2009 (Restated)	For the Quarter Ended September 30, 2009 (Restated)	December 31, 2009
Total revenue	\$ 41,028	\$ 45,648	\$ 47,405	\$ 54,446
Operating income	2,447	3,732	2,922	8,111
Net income	\$ 1,538	\$ 2,244	\$ 1,166	\$ 4,328
Net income per share basic	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.13
Net income per share diluted	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.12

	March 31, 2008 (Restated)	June 30, 2008 (Restated)	For the Quarter Ended September 30, 2008 (Restated)	December 31, 2008 (Restated)
Total revenue	\$ 29,136	\$ 32,185	\$ 34,150	\$ 40,811
Operating income	423	1,700	1,805	3,657
Net income	\$ 945	\$ 1,711	\$ 2,102	\$ 26,844
Net income per share basic	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.81
Net income per share diluted	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.77

F-44