CODORUS VALLEY BANCORP INC Form 10-Q November 15, 2010

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 **FORM 10-Q**

p Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of For the quarterly period ended <u>September 30, 2010</u>									
or	September 20, 2010								
o Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(	(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934								
For the transition period from	to								
Commission file numb	per: 0-15536								
CODORUS VALLEY BA	ANCORP, INC.								
(Exact name of registrant as spe	ecified in its charter)								
Pennsylvania	23-2428543								
(State or other jurisdiction of	(I.R.S. Employer								
incorporation or organization)	Identification No.)								
105 Leader Heights Road, P.O. Box 2887, York,	17405								
Pennsylvania									
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip code)								
717-747-151	9								
(Registrant s telephone number	r, including area code)								

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since the last report.)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b

#### APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. On November 5, 2010, 4,100,408 shares of common stock, par value \$2.50, were outstanding.

# Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc. Form 10-Q Index

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page #
Item 1. Financial statements (unaudited):	
Consolidated balance sheets	3
Consolidated statements of income	4
Consolidated statements of cash flows	5
Consolidated statements of changes in shareholders equity	6
Notes to consolidated financial statements	7
Item 2. Management s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations	21
Item 3. Quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk	36
Item 4. Controls and procedures	36
PART II OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal proceedings	36
Item 1A. Risk factors	36
Item 2. Unregistered sales of equity securities and use of proceeds	36
Item 3. Defaults upon senior securities	36
Item 4. Removed and Reserved	36
Item 5. Other information	36
Item 6. Exhibits	37
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	38
Exhibit 3.1 Exhibit 4 Exhibit 4.1 Exhibit 10.1 Exhibit 10.2 Exhibit 10.3 Exhibit 10.4 Exhibit 3.1.1	

# PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# **Item 1. Financial Statements**

Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc. Consolidated Balance Sheets Unaudited

(dollars in thousands, except share data)	S	eptember 30, 2010	De	cember 31, 2009
Assets Interest bearing deposits with banks Cash and due from banks Federal funds sold	\$	13,041 9,534 3,000	\$	14,545 8,634 3,000
Total cash and cash equivalents Securities, available-for-sale Restricted investment in bank stocks, at cost Loans held for sale Loans (net of deferred fees of \$635 - 2010 and \$766 - 2009) Less-allowance for loan losses		25,575 236,543 4,277 4,750 641,416 (6,602)		26,179 174,177 4,277 1,266 645,877 (7,175)
Net loans Premises and equipment, net Other assets		634,814 10,898 34,724		638,702 11,223 37,007
Total assets	\$	951,581	\$	892,831
Liabilities Deposits				
Noninterest bearing Interest bearing	\$	65,692 729,439	\$	55,583 667,374
Total deposits Short-term borrowings Long-term debt Junior subordinated debt Other liabilities		795,131 7,063 52,028 10,310 8,614		722,957 8,466 73,972 10,310 5,114
Total liabilities		873,146		820,819
Shareholders equity Preferred stock, par value \$2.50 per share; \$1,000 liquidation preference, 1,000,000 shares authorized; 16,500 shares issued and outstanding - 2010 and				
2009 Common stock, par value \$2.50 per share; 10,000,000 shares authorized;		15,944		15,828
4,100,408 shares issued and outstanding - 2010 and 4,074,636 - 2009 Additional paid-in capital Retained earnings		10,251 37,200 10,279		10,187 37,004 6,592

Accumulated other comprehensive income	4,761	2,401
Total shareholders equity	78,435	72,012
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 951,581	\$ 892,831
See accompanying notes.		

# **Table of Contents**

# Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc. Consolidated Statements of Income Unaudited

	Three months ended September 30,					Nine months ended September 30,				
(dollars in thousands, except per share data)		2010		2009		2010		2009		
Interest income Loans, including fees	\$	9,748	\$	8,945	\$	28,395	\$	25,578		
Investment securities:	Ψ	2,740	Ψ	0,745	Ψ	20,373	Ψ	23,370		
Taxable		826		837		2,454		2,514		
Tax-exempt		617		585		1,785		1,484		
Dividends		2		2		6 52		11 47		
Other		25		13		53		47		
Total interest income		11,218		10,382		32,693		29,634		
Interest expense										
Deposits		2,884		3,711		8,494		11,077		
Federal funds purchased and other short-term		22				. <del>.</del>		27		
borrowings Long-term and junior subordinated debt		23 391		520		65 1,288		27 1,591		
Long-term and jumor subordinated debt		391		320		1,200		1,391		
Total interest expense		3,298		4,231		9,847		12,695		
Net interest income		7,920		6,151		22,846		16,939		
Provision for loan losses		560		600		1,910		2,483		
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		7,360		5,551		20,936		14,456		
Noninterest income										
Trust and investment services fees		348		347		1,067		961		
Income from mutual fund, annuity and insurance										
sales		329		312		1,091		1,016		
Service charges on deposit accounts Income from bank owned life insurance		632 161		592 162		1,843 480		1,698 480		
Other income		147		144		433		446		
Gains on sales of loans held for sale		177		191		538		761		
Gains on sales of securities						108		291		
Total noninterest income		1,794		1,748		5,560		5,653		
Noninterest expense										
Personnel		3,393		3,199		9,812		9,702		
Occupancy of premises, net		465		413		1,459		1,341		
Furniture and equipment		405		427		1,264		1,263		
Postage, stationery and supplies Professional and legal		112 121		104 121		389 365		353 304		
i foressional and legal		141		141		303		304		

Edgar Filing: CODORUS VALLEY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Marketing and advertising		179		235		529		475
FDIC insurance		331		278		955		1,154
Debit card processing		156		131		436		383
Charitable donations		43		7		399		214
Telephone		140		132		412		387
Foreclosed real estate including (gains) losses on								
sales		765		310		1,749		415
Impaired loan carrying costs		199		117		782		250
Other		632		674		1,716		1,834
Total noninterest expense		6,941		6,148		20,267		18,075
Income before income taxes (benefit)		2,213		1,151		6,229		2,034
Provision (benefit) for income taxes		433		75		1,113		(298)
Net income		1,780		1,076		5,116		2,332
Preferred stock dividends and discount accretion		245		245		735		712
	Φ.	1.505	ф	021	Φ.	4.201	ф	1.600
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	1,535	\$	831	\$	4,381	\$	1,620
Net income per common share, basic and diluted	\$	0.37	\$	0.21	\$	1.07	\$	0.40

See accompanying notes.

# **Table of Contents**

# Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Unaudited

	Nine mon Septem	
(dollars in thousands)	2010	2009
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income	\$ 5,116	\$ 2,332
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operations:		
Depreciation	1,030	1,047
Provision for loan losses	1,910	2,483
Provision for losses on foreclosed real estate	722	189
Deferred federal income tax expense (benefit)	299	(103)
Amortization of investment in real estate partnership	421	406
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance investment	(480)	(480)
Originations of loans held for sale	(33,456)	(64,025)
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale	30,350	66,232
Gains on sales of loans held for sale	(538)	(761)
Gains on sales of securities available-for-sale	(108)	(291)
Gains on sales of held for sale assets	(35)	
Gains on sales of foreclosed real estate	(110)	
Stock-based compensation expense	87	136
Increase in accrued interest receivable	(126)	(942)
Decrease (increase) in other assets	968	(1,455)
(Decrease) increase in accrued interest payable	(19)	100
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	3,525	(59)
Other, net	799	456
Net cash provided by operating activities	10,355	5,265
Cash flows from investing activities		
Securities, available-for-sale		
Purchases	(89,850)	(131,440)
Maturities, repayments and calls	25,561	18,332
Sales	4,845	8,947
Net increase in restricted investment in bank stock		(1,570)
Net increase in loans made to customers	(6,284)	(63,979)
Purchases of premises and equipment	(713)	(682)
Proceeds from sales of foreclosed real estate	7,802	
Investment in life insurance	(7)	(6)
Net cash used in investing activities	(58,646)	(170,398)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Net increase in demand and savings deposits	49,081	58,799
Net increase in time deposits	23,093	56,047
Net decrease in short-term borrowings	(1,403)	(18,283)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt		66,000

Repayment of long-term debt		(21,944)		(15,906)
Cash dividends paid to preferred shareholders		(619)		(495)
Cash dividends paid to common shareholders		(694)		(926)
Net proceeds from issuance of preferred stock and common stock warrants				16,461
Issuance of common stock		173		219
Net cash provided by financing activities		47,687		161,916
		(60.4)		(2.215)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(604)		(3,217)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		26,179		14,875
	Ф	05 575	ф	11.650
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	25,575	\$	11,658
Can announcing notes				
See accompanying notes.				

# **Table of Contents**

# Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc. Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity Unaudited

(dollars in thousands, except share data)	eferred Stock	ommon Stock	I	lditional Paid-in Capital	etained arnings	ocumulated Other nprehensive Income	Total
For the nine months ended September 30, 2010							
Balance, January 1, 2010	\$ 15,828	\$ 10,187	\$	37,004	\$ 6,592	\$ 2,401	\$ 72,012
Comprehensive income: Net income Other comprehensive income, net of tax:					5,116	2.260	5,116
Unrealized gains on securities, net						2,360	2,360
Total comprehensive income							7,476
Preferred stock discount accretion Common stock cash dividends (\$0.17 per	116				(116)		
share) Preferred stock dividends Stock-based compensation Issuance of common stock:				87	(694) (619)		(694) (619) 87
17,759 shares under dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan		44		92			136
7,932 shares under employee stock purchase plan		20		17			37
Balance, September 30, 2010	\$ 15,944	\$ 10,251	\$	37,200	\$ 10,279	\$ 4,761	\$ 78,435
For the nine months ended September 30, 2009							
Balance, January 1, 2009	\$	\$ 10,043	\$	35,877	\$ 5,057	\$ 1,204	\$ 52,181
Comprehensive income: Net income Other comprehensive income, net of tax:					2,332		2,332
Unrealized gains on securities, net						2,639	2,639
Total comprehensive income							4,971
	15,678			783			16,461

Preferred stock and common stock					
warrants issued, net of issuance costs of					
\$39					
Preferred stock discount accretion	112			(112)	
Common stock cash dividends (\$0.23 per					
share)				(926)	(926)
Preferred stock dividends				(495)	(495)
Stock-based compensation			136		136
Issuance of common stock:					
23,164 shares under dividend					
reinvestment and stock purchase plan		58	120		178
7,581 shares under employee stock					
purchase plan		19	22		41
13,667 shares of stock-based					
compensation awards		34	(34)		
Balance, September 30, 2009	\$ 15,790	\$ 10,154	\$ 36,904	\$ 5,856 \$	3,843 \$ 72,547

See accompanying notes.

# Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) Note 1 Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2009 has been derived from audited financial statements and the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information, the instructions to Form 10-Q, and FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 270. Accordingly, the interim financial statements do not include all of the financial information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the interim consolidated financial statements include all adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial condition and results of operations for the reported periods, and are of a normal and recurring nature.

These statements should be read in conjunction with the notes to the audited consolidated financial statements contained in the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc. and its wholly owned bank subsidiary, PeoplesBank, A Codorus Valley Company (PeoplesBank), and its wholly owned nonbank subsidiary, SYC Realty Company, Inc. (collectively referred to as Codorus Valley or the Corporation). PeoplesBank has five wholly owned subsidiaries, Codorus Valley Financial Advisors, Inc. and SYC Settlement Services, Inc. and three subsidiaries whose purpose is to temporarily hold foreclosed properties pending eventual liquidation. All significant intercompany account balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The combined results of operations of the nonbank subsidiaries are not material to the consolidated financial statements.

The results of operations for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

In accordance with FASB ASC 855, the Corporation evaluated the events and transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date of September 30, 2010, but before the financial statements were issued for potential recognition or disclosure. In preparing these financial statements, the Corporation evaluated the events and transactions that occurred from September 30, 2010 through the date these financial statements were issued.

#### **Note 2 Significant Accounting Policies**

Per Share Computations

The weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding used for basic and diluted calculations are provided below:

	Three mor		Nine months ended September 30,				
(in thousands, except per share data)	2010	2	2009		2010		2009
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 1,535	\$	831	\$	4,381	\$	1,620
Weighted average shares outstanding (basic) Effect of dilutive stock options	4,097 9		4,051 0		4,086 4		4,036 0
Weighted average shares outstanding (diluted)	4,106		4,051		4,090		4,036
Basic and diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.37	\$	0.21	\$	1.07	\$	0.40
Anti-dilutive stock options and common stock warrants excluded from the computation of earnings per share	421		498		427		498

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Comprehensive Income

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that recognized revenue, expenses, gains and losses be included in net income. Although certain changes in assets and liabilities, such as unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities, are reported as a separate component of the equity section of the balance sheet, such items, along with net income, are components of comprehensive income. The components of other comprehensive income and related tax effects are presented in the following table:

		Three mor			Nine months ended September 30,				
(dollars in thousands)	2	2010	2009		2010		2009		
Unrealized holding gains arising during the period Reclassification adjustment for gains included in	\$	958	\$	4,604	\$	3,684	\$	4,289	
income						(108)		(291)	
Net unrealized gains		958		4,604		3,576		3,998	
Tax effect		(326)		(1,565)		(1,216)		(1,359)	
Net of tax amount	\$	632	\$	3,039	\$	2,360	\$	2,639	

#### Cash Flow Information

For purposes of the statements of cash flows, the Corporation considers interest bearing deposits with banks, cash and due from banks, and federal funds sold to be cash and cash equivalents. Noncash items for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010 consisted of the transfer of loans to foreclosed real estate in the amount of \$8,291,000 and the transfer of loans held for sale to investment in the amount of \$160,000. Comparatively, for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009 noncash transfers included the transfer of loans to foreclosed real estate in the amount of \$2,992,000 and the transfer of loans held for sale to investment in the amount of \$3,585,000.

#### Supplemental Benefit Plans

In January 2009, the Corporation incurred a non-recurring cost of \$242,000 to restructure employee benefit plans. Restructuring the benefit plans resulted in a federal income tax benefit so that the overall transaction had an insignificant impact on net income.

#### Income Taxes

The provision for income tax for the nine month period ending September 30, 2009 was a credit, or tax benefit, which reflected a low level of pretax income, a significant increase in tax-exempt income, and a federal income tax benefit of \$242,000 associated with restructuring employee benefit plans in the first quarter of 2009.

# Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The FASB issued ASU 2010-20, Receivables (Topic 310) Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses . This ASU requires more information about the credit quality of financing receivables in the disclosures to financial statements, such as aging information and credit quality indicators. Both new and existing disclosures must be disaggregated by portfolio segment or class. The disaggregation of information is based on how a company develops its allowance for credit losses and how it manages its credit exposure. The amendments in this Update apply to all public and nonpublic entities with financing receivables. Financing receivables include loans and trade accounts receivable. However, short-term trade accounts receivable, receivables measured at fair value or lower of cost or fair value, and debt securities are exempt from these disclosure amendments. For public companies, the amendments that require disclosures as of the end of a reporting period are effective for periods *ending* on or after December 15, 2010. The amendments that require disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The Corporation is currently reviewing the effect this new pronouncement will have on its consolidated financial statements.

#### **Table of Contents**

The FASB issued ASU 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820) Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. The amendments in this Update require some new disclosures and clarify some existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurement as set forth in Codification Subtopic 820-10. Specifically, ASU 2010-06 amends Codification Subtopic 820-10 to now require:

A reporting entity should disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers; and

In the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs, a reporting entity should present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements.

In addition, ASU 2010-06 clarifies the requirements of the following existing disclosures:

For purposes of reporting fair value measurement for each class of assets and liabilities, a reporting entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets and liabilities; and

A reporting entity should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements.

The Corporation adopted the update, except for disclosures about purchases, sales and issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements, which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. The adoption of the Update did not have an effect on the Corporation s financial position or results of operations.

The FASB issued ASU 2009-16, Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860) Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement 140. The amendments in the Update improve financial reporting by eliminating the exceptions for qualifying special-purpose entities from consolidation guidance and the exception that permitted sale accounting for certain mortgage securitizations when a transferor has not surrendered control over the transferred financial assets. In addition, the amendments require enhanced disclosures about the risks that a transferor continues to be exposed to because of its continuing involvement in transferred financial assets. Comparability and consistency in accounting for transferred financial assets will also be improved through clarifications of the requirements for isolation and limitations on portions of financial assets that are eligible for sale accounting. The Corporation adopted the update, and it did not have an effect on its financial position or results of operations.

In November 2008, the SEC released a proposed roadmap regarding the potential use by U.S. issuers of financial statements prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). IFRS is a comprehensive series of accounting standards published by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB). Under the proposed roadmap, the Corporation may be required to prepare financial statements in accordance with IFRS as early as 2015. The SEC has indicated it will make a determination in 2011 regarding the mandatory adoption of IFRS. The Corporation is currently assessing the impact that this potential change would have on its consolidated financial statements, and it will continue to monitor the development of the potential implementation of IFRS.

- 9 -

#### **Table of Contents**

**Note 3** Securities Available-for-Sale

A summary of available-for-sale securities at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 is provided below:

	A	mortized		Gross U	nrealiz	æd	E	stimated Fair
(dollars in thousands)		Cost	(	Gains	L	osses		Value
<b>September 30, 2010</b>								
Debt securities:								
U.S. treasury notes	\$	8,016	\$	163	\$		\$	8,179
U.S. agency		17,031		194				17,225
U.S. agency mortgage-backed, residential		114,776		3,103		(137)		117,742
State and municipal		89,505		3,908		(16)		93,397
Total debt securities, available-for-sale	\$	229,328	\$	7,368	\$	(153)	\$	236,543
December 31, 2009								
Debt securities:								
U.S. agency	\$	13,526	\$	120	\$		\$	13,646
U.S. agency mortgage-backed, residential		82,579		1,715		(34)		84,260
State and municipal		73,446		2,059		(164)		75,341
Corporate trust preferred		987				(57)		930
Total debt securities, available-for-sale	\$	170,538	\$	3,894	\$	(255)	\$	174,177

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of debt securities at September 30, 2010 by contractual maturity are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities if call options on select debt issues are exercised in the future. Mortgage-backed securities are included in the maturity categories based on average expected life.

	Available-for-sale								
	Amortized	Fair							
(dollars in thousands)	Cost	Value							
Due in one year or less	\$ 6,531	\$ 6,582							
Due after one year through five years	150,791	155,874							
Due after five years through ten years	67,292	69,247							
Due after ten years	4,714	4,840							
Total debt securities	\$ 229,328	\$ 236,543							

Gross gains realized from the sale of available-for-sale securities were \$108,000 and \$291,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. No gains were realized from the sale of available-for-sale securities in either of the three month periods ended September 30, 2010 or 2009. Realized gains and losses from the sale of available-for-sale securities are computed on the basis of specific identification of the adjusted cost of each security and are shown net as a separate line item in the income statement. Securities, issued by agencies of the federal government, with a carrying value of \$140,536,000 and \$84,460,000 on September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, were pledged to secure public and trust deposits, repurchase agreements, other short-term borrowings and Federal Home Loan Bank debt.

#### **Table of Contents**

The table below shows investments—gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

	Less than 12 months			1	2 mont	hs or m	ore	Total			
	Fair	Uni	realized	I	Fair	Unre	alized		Fair	Unr	ealized
(dollars in thousands)	Value	L	osses	V	alue	Lo	sses		Value	L	osses
<b>September 30, 2010</b>											
Available-for-sale											
Debt securities:											
U.S. agency mortgage-backed,											
residential	\$ 34,046	\$	137	\$		\$	_	\$	34,046	\$	137
State and municipal	1,440		8		387		8		1,827		16
Total temporarily impaired											
debt securities	\$ 35,486	\$	145	\$	387	\$	8	\$	35,873	\$	153
December 31, 2009 Available-for-sale Debt securities: U.S. agency mortgage-backed, residential State and municipal Corporate trust preferred	\$ 8,656 10,607	\$	34 164	\$	930	\$	57	\$	8,656 10,607 930	\$	34 164 57
Total temporarily impaired debt securities	\$ 19,263	\$	198	\$	930	\$	57	\$	20,193	\$	255

At September 30, 2010, the unrealized losses within the less than 12 months category of \$145,000 were attributable to nine different securities, primarily U.S. agency securities, and \$8,000 in the 12 months or more category was attributed to one municipal security.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB ASC Topic 320. This guidance clarifies the interaction of the factors that should be considered when determining whether a debt security is other-than-temporarily impaired. For debt securities, the Corporation must assess whether (a) it has the intent to sell the security and (b) it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security prior to its anticipated recovery. These steps are done before assessing whether the entity will recover the cost basis of the investment. Previously, this assessment required the Corporation to assert it had both the intent and the ability to hold a security for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated recovery in fair value to avoid recognizing an other-than-temporary impairment. This change does not affect the need to forecast recovery of the value of the security through either cash flows or market price. In instances when a determination is made that an other-than-temporary impairment exists but the Corporation does not intend to sell the debt security and it is unlikely that the Corporation will be required to sell the debt security prior to its anticipated recovery, FASB ASC Topic 320 changes the presentation and amount of the other-than-temporary impairment recognized in the income statement. The other-than-temporary impairment is separated into (a) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to a decrease in cash flows expected to be collected from the debt security (the credit loss) and (b) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors. The amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to the credit loss is recognized in earnings. The amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. FASB ASC Topic 320 was effective for the Corporation for interim and annual reporting

periods ended after June 15, 2009.

Available-for-sale securities are analyzed quarterly for possible other-than-temporary impairment. The analysis considers, among other factors: 1) whether the Corporation has the intent to sell its securities prior to market recovery or maturity; 2) whether it is more likely than not that the Corporation will be required to sell its securities prior to market recovery or maturity; 3) default rates/history by security type; 4) third-party securities ratings; 5) third-party guarantees; 6) subordination; 7) payment delinquencies; and 8) current financial news.

- 11 -

# **Table of Contents**

We believe that unrealized losses at September 30, 2010 were primarily the result of changes in market interest rates and that we have the ability to hold these investments for a time necessary to recover the amortized cost. To date, the Corporation has collected all interest and principal on its investment securities as scheduled. We believe that collection of the contractual principal and interest is probable and therefore, all impairment is considered to be temporary.

#### **Note 4 Restricted Investment in Bank Stocks**

Restricted stock represents required investments in the common stock of correspondent banks. It consists primarily of the common stock of FHLB of Pittsburgh (FHLB) and to a lesser degree Atlantic Central Bankers Bank (ACBB) and is carried at cost as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. Under the FHLB s Capital Plan, PeoplesBank is required to maintain a minimum member stock investment, both as a condition of becoming and remaining a member and as a condition of obtaining loans from the FHLB. In December 2008, the FHLB notified member banks that it was suspending dividend payments and the repurchase of capital stock.

We evaluate the restricted stock for impairment in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 942. Our determination of whether these investments are impaired is based on their assessment of the ultimate recoverability of their cost rather than by recognizing temporary declines in value. The determination of whether a decline affects the ultimate recoverability of their cost is influenced by criteria such as: (1) the significance of the decline in net assets of the FHLB as compared to the capital stock amount for the FHLB and the length of time this situation has persisted, (2) commitments by the FHLB to make payments required by law or regulation and the level of such payments in relation to the operating performance of the FHLB, and (3) the impact of legislative and regulatory changes on institutions and, accordingly, on the customer base of the FHLB. We believe that no impairment charge was necessary related to the restricted stock during the period ended September 30, 2010.

#### Note 5 Loans

The composition of the loan portfolio was as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2010			cember 31, 2009
Commercial, financial and agricultural Real estate construction and land development	\$	417,474 98,672	\$	415,404 104,986
Total commercial related loans Real estate residential and home equity Consumer		516,146 76,055 49,215		520,390 73,294 52,193
Total consumer related loans		125,270		125,487
Total loans, net of deferred fees	\$	641,416	\$	645,877

- 12 -

#### **Note 6** Impaired Commercial Loans

Information regarding impaired commercial loans, comprised of loans classified as nonaccrual, substandard or 90 days past due, at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, is provided below. Commercial loans are predominately real estate collateral dependent. Accordingly, impairment is based on the net realizable value of the collateral relative to recorded investment in the loan.

(dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2010			December 31, 2009		
Impaired loans without a related allowance Impaired loans with a related allowance	\$	21,145 1,478	\$	24,605 7,828		
Total impaired loans	\$	22,623	\$	32,433		
Allowance for impaired loans	\$	410	\$	2,401		

# **Note 7 Deposits**

The composition of deposits was as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2010	De	December 31, 2009		
Noninterest bearing demand	\$ 65,692	\$	55,583		
NOW	57,224		55,010		
Money market	219,497		186,873		
Savings	27,642		23,508		
Time deposits less than \$100,000	252,329		238,594		
Time deposits \$100,000 or more	172,747		163,389		
Total deposits	\$ 795,131	\$	722,957		

## **Note 8 Long-term Debt**

PeoplesBank s obligations to the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (FHLBP) are primarily fixed rate instruments. A summary of long-term debt at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, is provided below:

(dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2010		
,	2010	4	2009
Obligations of PeoplesBank to FHLBP:	Φ.	ф	1.5.000
Due February 2010, 1.55%	\$	\$	15,000
Due June 2010, 4.32%			6,000
Due January 2011, 2.06%	14,000		14,000
Due January 2011, 4.30%, amortizing	3,451		3,676
Due August 2011, 2.42%	12,000		12,000
Due January 2012, 2.34%	10,000		10,000
Due June 2012, 4.25%, amortizing	664		948
Due December 2012, 1.91%	5,000		5,000
Due May 2013, 3.46%, amortizing	1,507		1,906
Due December 2013, 2.39%	5,000		5,000
	51,622		73,530
Capital lease obligation	406		442

Total long-term debt \$ 52,028 \$ 73,972

- 13 -

#### **Note 9 Regulatory Matters**

Codorus Valley and PeoplesBank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by banking regulators. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possible additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a material effect on Codorus Valley s financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, Codorus Valley and PeoplesBank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators.

Quantitative measures established by regulators to ensure capital adequacy require Codorus Valley and PeoplesBank to maintain minimum ratios, as set forth below, to total and Tier 1 capital as a percentage of risk-weighted assets, and of Tier 1 capital to quarter-to-date average assets (leverage ratio). We believe that Codorus Valley and PeoplesBank were well capitalized on September 30, 2010, based on regulatory capital guidelines.

					Minimu		Well Capitalized		
		Actı			Capital A			Minim	
(dollars in thousands)	A	mount	Ratio	A	Mount	Ratio	A	Mount	Ratio
Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc.									
(consolidated)									
at September 30, 2010									
Capital ratios:									
Tier 1 risk based	\$	83,379	12.46%	\$	26,761	4.00%		n/a	n/a
Total risk based		89,981	13.45		53,521	8.00		n/a	n/a
Leverage		83,379	8.98		37,124	4.00		n/a	n/a
at December 31, 2009									
Capital ratios:									
Tier 1 risk based	\$	79,286	11.83%	\$	26,810	4.00%		n/a	n/a
Total risk based		86,461	12.90		53,620	8.00		n/a	n/a
Leverage		79,286	9.11		34,815	4.00		n/a	n/a
PeoplesBank, A Codorus									
Valley Company									
at September 30, 2010									
Capital ratios:									
Tier 1 risk based	\$	80,274	12.05%	\$	26,645	4.00%	\$	39,967	6.00%
Total risk based		86,876	13.04		53,289	8.00		66,612	10.00
Leverage		80,274	8.68		36,999	4.00		46,249	5.00
at December 31, 2009									
Capital ratios:									
Tier 1 risk based	\$	74,945	11.25%	\$	26,647	4.00%	\$	39,970	6.00%
Total risk based		82,120	12.33		53,293	8.00		66,616	10.00
Leverage		74,945	8.66		34,601	4.00		43,251	5.00

<sup>\*</sup> To be well capitalized under prompt corrective action provisions.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Note 10 Shareholders Equity

Preferred Stock Issued to the United States Department of the Treasury

In connection with the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (EESA), the U.S. Treasury Department (Treasury) initiated a Capital Purchase Program (CPP) which allowed for qualifying financial institutions to issue preferred stock to the Treasury, subject to certain limitations and terms. The EESA was developed to attract broad participation by strong financial institutions, to stabilize the financial system and increase lending to benefit the national economy and citizens of the United States.

On January 9, 2009, the Corporation entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with the Treasury pursuant to which the Corporation sold to the Treasury, for an aggregate purchase price of \$16.5 million, 16,500 shares of non-voting cumulative perpetual preferred stock, \$1,000 liquidation value, \$2.50 par value, and warrants to purchase up to 263,859 shares of common stock, par value \$2.50 per share, with an exercise price of \$9.38 per share. As a condition under the CPP, without the consent of the Treasury, the Corporation s share repurchases are limited to purchases in connection with the administration of any employee benefit plan, including purchases to offset share dilution in connection with any such plans. This restriction is effective until January 9, 2012 or until the Treasury no longer owns any of the Corporation s preferred shares issued under the CPP. The Corporation s preferred stock is included as a component of Tier 1 capital in accordance with regulatory capital requirements. See Note 9, Regulatory Matters for details of the Corporation s regulatory capital.

The preferred stock ranks senior to the Corporation s common shares and pays a compounded cumulative dividend at a rate of 5 percent per year for the first five years, and 9 percent per year thereafter. Dividends are payable quarterly on February 15th, May 15th, August 15th and November 15th. The Corporation is prohibited from paying any dividend with respect to shares of common stock or repurchasing or redeeming any shares of the Corporation s common shares in any quarter unless all accrued and unpaid dividends are paid on the preferred stock for all past dividend periods (including the latest completed dividend period), subject to certain limited exceptions. In addition, without the prior consent of the Treasury, the Corporation is prohibited from declaring or paying any cash dividends on common shares in excess of \$0.12 per share, which was the last quarterly cash dividend per share declared prior to October 14, 2008. The CPP also places restrictions on incentive compensation to senior executives. The preferred stock is non-voting, other than class voting rights on matters that could adversely affect the preferred stock, and is generally redeemable at the liquidation value at any time in whole or in part (i.e., a minimum of 25 percent of the issue price) with regulatory permission.

#### Common Stock Warrants

The 263,859 shares of common stock warrants issued to the Treasury have a term of 10 years (expiring January 9, 2019) and are exercisable at any time, in whole or in part, at an exercise price of \$9.38 per share (subject to certain anti-dilution adjustments). The \$16.5 million of proceeds was allocated to the preferred stock and the warrants based on their relative fair values at issuance (\$15.7 million was allocated to the preferred stock and \$783,000 to the warrants). The fair value of the preferred stock was based on a 10 percent assumed market discount rate. The fair value of the stock warrants was calculated by a third-party software model based on many financial assumptions, including market price of the stock, stock price volatility and risk free interest rate. The difference between the initial value allocated to the preferred stock of approximately \$15.7 million and the liquidation value of \$16.5 million, i.e., the preferred stock discount, will be charged to retained earnings over the first five years of the life of the preferred stock as an adjustment to the dividend yield using the effective yield method.

- 15 -

# **Table of Contents**

#### **Note 11 Contingent Liabilities**

We are not aware of any material contingent liabilities as of September 30, 2010.

#### Note 12 Guarantees

Codorus Valley does not issue any guarantees that would require liability recognition or disclosure, other than its standby letters of credit. Standby letters of credit are written conditional commitments issued by PeoplesBank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Generally, all letters of credit, when issued, have expiration dates within one year. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as those that are involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The Corporation generally holds collateral and/or personal guarantees supporting these commitments. The Corporation had \$6,718,000 of standby letters of credit outstanding on September 30, 2010, compared to \$5,651,000 on December 31, 2009. Management believes that the proceeds obtained through a liquidation of collateral and the enforcement of guarantees would be sufficient to cover the potential amount of future payment required under the corresponding letters of credit. The amount of the liability as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, for guarantees under standby letters of credit issued, was not material. Many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn and therefore, generally do not present significant liquidity risk to the Corporation or PeoplesBank.

# Note 13 Fair Value Measurements and Fair Values of Financial Instruments

We use our best judgment in estimating the fair value of the Corporation s financial instruments; however, there are inherent weaknesses in any estimation technique. Therefore, for substantially all financial instruments, the fair value estimates herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized in sales transactions on the dates indicated. The estimated fair value amounts have been measured as of their respective period-ends and have not been re-evaluated or updated for purposes of these financial statements subsequent to those respective dates. As such, the estimated fair values of these financial instruments subsequent to the respective reporting dates may be different than the amounts reported at each period end.

Fair value measurement guidance defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell the asset or transfer the liability in an orderly transaction (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. Additional guidance is provided on determining when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability has significantly decreased and on identifying circumstances when a transaction may not be considered orderly.

Fair value measurement and disclosure guidance provides a list of factors that a reporting entity should evaluate to determine whether there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability in relation to normal market activity for the asset or liability. When the reporting entity concludes there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, further analysis of the information from that market is needed, and significant adjustments to the related prices may be necessary to estimate fair value in accordance with fair value measurement and disclosure guidance.

This guidance further clarifies that, when there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, some transactions may not be orderly. In those situations, the entity must evaluate the weight of the evidence to determine whether the transaction is orderly. The guidance provides a list of circumstances that may indicate that a transaction is not orderly. A transaction price that is not associated with an orderly transaction is given little, if any, weight when estimating fair value.

- 16 -

#### **Table of Contents**

Fair value and disclosure guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation methods used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

- Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.
- Level 2: Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.
- Level 3: Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e. supported with little or no market activity).

An asset s or liability s level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

For financial assets measured at fair value, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

			Qι	(Level 1) noted Prices in	,	Level 2) ignificant Other	(Level 3) Significant Other	
			Active Mark for			bservable	Uno	bservable
(dollars in thousands)		Total	Ide	ntical Assets	O	Inputs		Inputs
<b>September 30, 2010</b>						1		1
Measured at fair value on a recurring basis:								
Securities, available-for-sale:								
U.S. treasury notes	\$	8,179	\$	8,179	\$		\$	
Other		228,364				228,364		
Measured at fair value on a nonrecurring								
basis:								
Impaired loans		1,068						1,068
Other real estate owned		2,576						2,576
December 31, 2009								
Measured at fair value on a recurring basis:								
Securities, available-for-sale	\$	174,177	\$		\$	174,177	\$	
Measured at fair value on a nonrecurring								
basis:								
Impaired loans		5,427						5,427
Other real estate owned		668						668
		- 17	-					

#### **Table of Contents**

The following information should not be interpreted as an estimate of the fair value of the entire Corporation since a fair value calculation is only provided for a limited portion of the Corporation s assets and liabilities. Due to a wide range of valuation techniques and the degree of subjectivity used in making the estimates, comparisons between the Corporation s disclosures and those of other companies may not be meaningful. The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair values of the Corporation s financial instruments and certain nonfinancial assets at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

# Cash and cash equivalents (carried at cost)

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash and short-term instruments approximate those assets fair values.

## Securities, available-for-sale (carried at fair value)

The fair values of securities available-for-sale are determined by obtaining quoted market prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1), or matrix pricing (Level 2), which is a mathematical technique used widely in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted market prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities relationship to other benchmark quoted prices.

#### **Restricted investment in bank stocks** (carried at cost)

The carrying amount of restricted investment in bank stocks approximates fair value, and considers the limited marketability of such securities.

# Loans held for sale (carried at lower of cost or fair value)

The fair value of loans held for sale is determined, when possible, using quoted secondary-market prices. If no such quoted prices exist, the fair value of a loan is determined using quoted prices for a similar loan or loans, adjusted for the specific attributes of that loan. At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the fair value of loans held for sale exceeded the cost basis.

#### Loans (carried at cost)

Generally, for variable and adjustable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair value is based on carrying value. Fair values for other loans in the portfolio are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using market rates at the balance sheet date that reflect the credit and interest rate-risk inherent in the loans. Projected future cash flows are calculated based upon contractual maturity or call dates, projected repayments and prepayments of principal.

# **Impaired loans** (generally carried at fair value)

Impaired loans are those that are accounted for under FASB ASC Topic 310, in which the Corporation has measured impairment generally based on the fair value of the loan's collateral. Fair value is generally determined based upon independent third-party appraisals of the properties, or discounted cash flows based upon the expected proceeds. A portion of the allowance for loan losses is allocated to impaired loans if the value of the collateral supporting such loans is deemed to be less than the unpaid balance. If these allocations cause the allowance for loan losses to require increase, such increase is reported as a component of the provision for loan losses. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when we believe that the uncollectability of a loan is confirmed. These loans are included as Level 3 fair values, based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements. At September 30, 2010, the fair value of loans with a specific reserve allowance was \$1,478,000, net of a valuation allowance of \$410,000, compared to \$7,828,000, net of a valuation allowance of \$2,401,000 at December 31, 2009.

# Other Real Estate Owned (carried at lower of cost or fair value)

Other real estate property acquired through foreclosure is initially recorded at fair value of the property at the transfer date less estimated selling cost which becomes the cost basis. Subsequently, other real estate owned is carried at the lower of its carrying value or the fair value less estimated selling cost. Fair value is usually determined based upon an independent third-party appraisal of the property or occasionally upon a recent sales offer. While the Corporation has acquired several properties through foreclosure, only a single property had a valuation allowance at September 30, 2010. The carrying value of this property was \$2,576,000 (\$3,298,000 less \$722,000 allowance). At December 31, 2009, the carrying value of other real estate owned with a valuation allowance was \$668,000 (\$857,000 less \$189,000 allowance), which pertained to a single property that was sold in the first quarter of 2010.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Interest receivable and payable** (carried at cost)

The carrying amount of interest receivable and interest payable approximates its fair value.

# Deposit liabilities (carried at cost)

The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (e.g., noninterest and interest bearing checking, money market and savings accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). Fair values for variable rate time deposits that reprice frequently are based on carrying value. Fair values for fixed rate time deposits are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered in the market on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities of time deposits.

## **Short-term borrowings** (carried at cost)

The carrying amount of short-term borrowings approximates its fair value.

## Long-term debt (carried at cost)

Fair values of FHLB advances are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, based on quoted prices for new FHLB advances with similar credit risk characteristics, terms and remaining maturity. These prices are obtained from this active market and represent a market value that is deemed to represent the transfer price if the liability were assumed by a third party.

# Junior subordinated debt (carried at cost)

The fair value of junior subordinated debt is estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, based on market rates and spread characteristics currently offered on such debt with similar credit risk characteristics, terms and remaining maturity.

#### **Off-balance sheet financial instruments** (disclosed at cost)

Fair values for the Corporation s off-balance sheet financial instruments (lending commitments and letters of credit) are based on fees currently charged in the market to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties credit standing. These amounts were not considered to be material at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

- 19 -

# **Table of Contents**

The estimated fair values of the Corporation s financial instruments were as follows at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

		Septembe			December 31, 2009				
(dollars in thousands)		Carrying Amount	£	stimated Fair Value		Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value		
Financial assets									
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	25,575	\$	25,575	\$	26,179	\$	26,179	
Securities, available-for-sale		236,543		236,543		174,177		174,177	
Restricted investment in bank stocks		4,277		4,277		4,277		4,277	
Loans held for sale		4,750		4,838		1,266		1,293	
Loans, net		634,814		639,555		638,702		641,250	
Interest receivable		3,553		3,553		3,427		3,427	
Financial liabilities									
Noninterest bearing demand, NOW, money market									
and savings deposits	\$	370,055	\$	370,055	\$	320,974	\$	320,974	
Time deposits		425,076		435,500		401,983		406,203	
Short-term borrowings		7,063		7,063		8,466		8,466	
Long-term debt		52,028		52,829		73,972		74,681	
Junior subordinated debt		10,310		3,742		10,310		4,331	
Interest payable		733		733		752		752	

Off-balance sheet instruments

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Management s discussion and analysis of the significant changes in the results of operations, capital resources and liquidity presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc. (Codorus Valley or the Corporation), a bank holding company, and its wholly owned subsidiary, PeoplesBank, A Codorus Valley Company (PeoplesBank), are provided below. Codorus Valley s consolidated financial condition and results of operations consist almost entirely of PeoplesBank s financial condition and results of operations. Current performance does not guarantee, and may not be indicative of, similar performance in the future.

# **Forward-looking statements**

Management of the Corporation has made forward-looking statements in this Form 10-Q. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements include information concerning possible or assumed future results of operations of the Corporation and its subsidiaries. When words such as believes, expects, anticipates or similar expressions occur in the Form 10-Q, management is making forward-looking statements. Note that many factors, some of which are discussed elsewhere in this report and in the documents that are incorporated by reference, could affect the future financial results of the Corporation and its subsidiaries, both individually and collectively, and could cause those results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements contained or incorporated by reference in this Form 10-Q. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

operating, legal and regulatory risks, including the potential impact of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act;

a prolonged economic downturn;

an increase in nonperforming assets requiring loss provisions and the incurrence of carrying costs;

declines in the market value of investment securities considered to be other than temporary;

the effect of and changes in the rate of FDIC premiums, including special assessments;

interest rate fluctuations which could increase our cost of funds or decrease our yield on earning assets and therefore reduce our net interest income;

future legislative or administrative changes to the TARP Capital Purchase Program;

unavailability of capital when needed or, available at less than favorable terms;

political and competitive forces affecting banking, securities, asset management and credit services businesses; and

the risk that management s analysis of these risks and forces could be incorrect and/or that the strategies developed to address them could be unsuccessful.

The Corporation undertakes no obligation to publicly revise or update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date of this report.

# **Critical accounting policies**

We have identified critical accounting policies for the Corporation to include allowance for loan losses, valuation of foreclosed real estate, and evaluation of other than temporary impairment losses of securities. There were no material changes made to the critical accounting policies disclosed in the 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K in regards to application or related judgments and estimates used. A detailed disclosure pertaining to critical accounting policies is provided in Item 7 of the Corporation s 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

# **Table of Contents**

# Three months ended September 30, 2010, compared to three months ended September 30, 2009 FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The Corporation earned net income available to common shareholders of \$1,535,000 or \$0.37 per share (\$0.37 diluted) for the three-month period ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$831,000 or \$0.21 per share (\$0.21 diluted), for the same period of 2009. The \$704,000 increase in net income available to common shareholders was the result of an increase in net interest income, which more than offset increases in noninterest expense and the provision for income tax expense.

Net interest income for the current quarter increased \$1,769,000 or 29 percent above the third quarter of 2009 due primarily to a decrease in the average rates paid on deposit products, which reflected record low short-term market interest rates. An increase in yield on floating rate business loans, due to the imposition of a minimum rate that began in the prior year, and an increase in the average volume of investment securities, also contributed. The net interest margin was 3.75 percent for the current quarter, compared to 3.15 percent for the same period in 2009. Net interest margin is net interest income (taxable equivalent basis) as a percentage of the average volume of interest earnings assets. The provision for loan losses was \$560,000 for the current quarter slightly below the \$600,000 recorded for 2009. Noninterest expense for the current quarter increased 793,000 or 13 percent due primarily to an increase in carrying costs on foreclosed real estate and impaired loans. The \$358,000 increase in the provision for income tax expense for the current quarter was primarily the result of a significant increase in pretax income, compared to the third quarter of 2009.

Both commercial and consumer loan demand remained weak for the current quarter, a reflection of prolonged economic weakness, low consumer and business confidence and high unemployment. Overall deposit growth has remained steady, which is being driven in part by the growth of money market deposits.

A more detailed analysis of the factors and trends affecting corporate earnings follows.

## **INCOME STATEMENT ANALYSIS**

#### **Net interest income**

Net interest income for the three-month period ended September 30, 2010, was \$7,920,000, an increase of \$1,769,000 or 29 percent above the third quarter of 2009 due primarily to a decrease in the average rates paid on deposit products, which reflected record low short-term market interest rates. An increase in yield on floating rate business loans, due to the imposition of a minimum rate that began in the prior year, also contributed. These factors improved the net interest margin, which was 3.75 percent for the third quarter of this year, compared to 3.15 percent for the third quarter of 2009.

For the third quarter of 2010, total interest income increased \$836,000 or 8 percent above 2009 due to an increase in the average yield and average volume of earning assets. Earning assets averaged \$877 million and yielded 5.24 percent (tax equivalent basis) for the current quarter, compared to \$818 million and 5.20 percent, respectively, for the third quarter of 2009. The \$59 million or 7 percent increase in average earning assets was primarily the result of growth in the investment securities, business loans and overnight investment portfolios.

For the third quarter of 2010, total interest expense decreased \$933,000 or 22 percent below the third quarter of 2009 due to a decrease in the average rates paid on deposits. Total interest bearing liabilities averaged \$787 million at an average rate of 1.66 percent for the current quarter, compared to \$733 million and 2.29 percent, respectively, for the third quarter of 2009. The \$54 million or 7 percent increase in average interest bearing liabilities reflected growth in all deposit categories particularly money market deposits.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Provision for loan losses**

For the quarter ended September 30, 2010, the provision for loan losses was \$560,000, compared to \$600,000 for the third quarter of 2009. The current period provision reflected current economic conditions, including depressed real estate values and the high level of unemployment, which could adversely affect our borrower s ability to service their loans. Information about loan quality is provided in the Nonperforming Asset section of this report on page 31.

#### **Noninterest income**

The following table presents the components of total noninterest income for the third quarter of 2010, compared to the third quarter of 2009. Total noninterest income for the current quarter was \$1,794,000, an increase of \$46,000 or 3 percent above the third quarter of 2009.

Table 1 Noninterest income

	Three months ended September 30,			Change Increase (Decrease)			
(dollars in thousands)		2010		2009		\$	%
Trust and investment services fees	\$	348	\$	347	\$	1	0%
Income from mutual fund, annuity and insurance							
sales		329		312		17	5
Service charges on deposit accounts		632		592		40	7
Income from bank owned life insurance		161		162		(1)	(1)
Other income		147		144		3	2
Gains on sales of loans held for sale		177		191		(14)	(7)
Total noninterest income	\$	1,794	\$	1,748	\$	46	3%

The discussion that follows addresses changes in selected categories of noninterest income.

*Income from mutual fund, annuity and insurance sales* The increase in income from the sale of mutual funds, annuities and insurance products by Codorus Valley Financial Advisors, a subsidiary of PeoplesBank, was a result of market appreciation, upon which some fees are based, and increased sales.

Service charges on deposit accounts The increase was due primarily to an increase in debit card revenue, which reflected an increase in the volume of transactions. Possible restrictions under the recently enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform & Consumer Protection Act may adversely affect overdraft fees and debit card revenue. i.e., interchange fees, in the future.

*Gains on sales of loans held for sale* The decrease was due to a decrease in the volume of mortgage loan sales. Sales in the prior period were favorably impacted by a federal home-buyer tax credit program that expired in April 2010.

## Noninterest expense

The following table presents the components of total noninterest expense for the third quarter of 2010, compared to the third quarter of 2009. Total noninterest expense for the current quarter was \$6,941,000, an increase of \$793,000 or 13 percent above 2009 due primarily to an increase in carrying costs for foreclosed real estate and impaired commercial loans.

Table 2 Noninterest expense

	Three months ended September 30,			Change Increase (Decrease)			
(dollars in thousands)		2010		2009		\$	%
Personnel	\$	3,393	\$	3,199	\$	194	6%
Occupancy of premises, net		465		413		52	13
Furniture and equipment		405		427		(22)	(5)
Postage, stationery and supplies		112		104		8	8
Professional and legal		121		121		0	0
Marketing and advertising		179		235		(56)	(24)
FDIC insurance		331		278		53	19
Debit card processing		156		131		25	19
Charitable donations		43		7		36	514
Telephone		140		132		8	6
Foreclosed real estate including (gains) losses on							
sales		765		310		455	147
Impaired loan carrying costs		199		117		82	70
Other		632		674		(42)	(6)
Total noninterest expense	\$	6,941	\$	6,148	\$	793	13%

The discussion that follows addresses changes in selected categories of noninterest expense.

**Personnel** The increase in personnel expense reflected an increase in employee health care insurance costs and normal business growth. Information about PeoplesBank s conversion from a fully insured health care program to a self-insured program is provided within the year-to-date noninterest expense section of this report.

*Occupancy of premises, net* The increase in occupancy expense was due in part to an increase in rental expense associated with the relocation of one of the Company s financial centers.

*Marketing and advertising* The decrease in marketing and advertising expense was due largely to the timing of expenditures for branding and product advertising.

**FDIC insurance** The increase in Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insurance premiums was primarily the result of deposit growth.

*Foreclosed real estate including (gains) losses on sales* The increase in foreclosed real estate expense reflected an increase in carrying costs associated with specific properties and a larger portfolio of real estate properties in general. Typical carrying costs include real estate taxes, maintenance and repair expenses, appraisals and legal fees.

*Impaired loan carrying costs* The increase reflected increased carrying expenses, particularly real estate taxes and legal fees, associated with selected loans pursuant to the loan workout process.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Income taxes**

The provision for income taxes for the third quarter of 2010 was \$433,000, compared to \$75,000 for the same period in 2009. The increase in income taxes was primarily the result of an increase in pretax income. For both periods, the Corporation s statutory federal income tax rate was 34 percent. The Corporation s effective income tax rate was approximately 20 percent for the third quarter of 2010, compared to approximately 7 percent for the third quarter of 2009. The effective rate for the third quarter of 2010 is higher than the same period in 2009 due to non-taxable income and tax credits having a smaller impact on the effective tax rate due to the higher level of income before income taxes. The effective tax rate differs from the statutory tax rate due to the impact of low-income housing credits and tax-exempt income, including income from bank owned life insurance.

# Nine months ended September 30, 2010,

# compared to nine months ended September 30, 2009

#### FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The Corporation earned net income available to common shareholders of \$4,381,000 or \$1.07 per share (\$1.07 diluted) for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$1,620,000 or \$0.40 per share (\$0.40 diluted), for the same period of 2009. The \$2,761,000 or 170 percent increase in net income available to common shareholders was the result of an increase in net interest income and a decrease in the provision for loan losses, which more than offset increases in noninterest expense and income tax expense.

The \$5,907,000 or 35 percent increase in net interest income for the current nine month period was the result of an increase in earning assets and a decrease in the average rates paid on deposit products, which reflected record low short-term market interest rates. An increase in yield on floating rate business loans, due to the imposition of a minimum rate that began in the prior year, also contributed. These factors improved the net interest margin, which was 3.74 percent for the first nine months of this year, compared to 3.05 percent for the same period in 2009.

The provision for loan losses for the current nine month period decreased \$573,000 or 23 percent compared to 2009. The provision in the prior year included the impact of a large provision for an impaired real estate loan that was later transferred to the foreclosed real estate portfolio.

The \$2,192,000 or 12 percent increase in noninterest expense for the current nine month period was primarily the result of increased carrying costs and loss provisions for foreclosed real estate, and increased carrying costs for impaired loans compared to 2009.

The \$1,411,000 increase in the provision for income tax expense for the current nine month period compared to 2009 was primarily the result of a significant increase in pretax income. Additionally, the prior year included a one-time \$242,000 tax benefit associated with restructuring employee benefit plans.

Total assets were approximately \$952 million on September 30, 2010, an increase of \$82 million or 9 percent above September 30, 2009. Asset growth occurred primarily in the commercial loans and investment securities portfolios. So far this year, loan demand has been sluggish in response to the prolonged economic slowdown and the high rate of unemployment, while deposit growth has remained steady.

Net income as a percentage of average shareholders—equity (ROE) was 9.03 percent for the first nine months (annualized) of 2010, compared to 4.47 percent for the same period of 2009. Net income as a percentage of average total assets (ROA) was 0.75 percent for the first nine months (annualized) of 2010, compared to 0.38 percent for the same period of 2009. The increase in both ratios for 2010 reflected the increase in earnings. The efficiency ratio (noninterest expense as a percentage of net interest income plus noninterest income on a tax equivalent basis) was 68.3 percent for the first nine months of 2010, compared to 76.9 percent for the same period of 2009. The decrease in the efficiency ratio during the current period reflected the significant increase in net interest income.

#### **Table of Contents**

On September 30, 2010, the nonperforming assets ratio was 3.32 percent, compared to 3.98 percent for September 30, 2009. Net loan charge-offs for the current nine month period totaled \$2,483,000, compared to \$659,000 for the same period in 2009. Charge-offs pertained primarily to commercial real estate loans that were reserved for in prior periods. The Corporation s annualized net loan charge-offs ratio was 0.51 percent at September 30, 2010, compared to 0.15 percent at September 30, 2009. Information regarding nonperforming assets is provided in the Risk Management section of this report, including Table 5 Nonperforming Assets. Based on a recent evaluation of probable loan losses and the current loan portfolio, we believe that the allowance is adequate to support losses inherent in the loan portfolio on September 30, 2010. An analysis of the allowance is provided in Table 6 Analysis of Allowance for Loan Losses. Throughout the current period, Codorus Valley maintained a capital level well above minimum regulatory quantitative requirements. Currently, there are three federal regulatory definitions of capital that take the form of minimum ratios. Note 9 Regulatory Matters, shows that the Corporation and PeoplesBank were well capitalized on September 30, 2010. A more detailed analysis of the factors and trends affecting corporate earnings follows.

# INCOME STATEMENT ANALYSIS

#### **Net interest income**

Net interest income for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010, was \$22,846,000, an increase of \$5,907,000 or 35 percent above the same period in 2009 as a result of an increase in earning assets and a decrease in the average rates paid on deposit products, which reflected record low short-term market interest rates. An increase in yield on floating rate commercial loans, due to the imposition of a minimum rate that began in the prior year, also contributed. These factors improved the net interest margin, which was 3.74 percent for the first nine months of this year, compared to 3.05 percent for the same period in 2009.

Interest income for the first nine months of 2010 totaled \$32,693,000, an increase of \$3,059,000 or 10 percent above 2009 due primarily to an increase in the average volume of earning assets. Earning assets averaged \$855 million and yielded 5.28 percent (tax equivalent basis) for the current period, compared to \$780 million and 5.22 percent, respectively, for the first nine months of 2009. The \$75 million or 10 percent increase in average earning assets was primarily the result of growth in the commercial loan and investment securities portfolios.

Interest expense for the first nine months of 2010 totaled \$9,847,000, a decrease of \$2,848,000 or 22 percent below 2009 due to a decrease in the average rates paid on deposits. Total interest bearing liabilities averaged \$770 million at an average rate of 1.71 percent for the current period, compared to \$697 million and 2.43 percent, respectively, for the first nine months of 2009. The \$72 million or 10 percent increase in average interest bearing liabilities was primarily attributable to increases in the average volume of money market and time deposits. The continued influence of the Federal Reserve Bank to keep market interest rates low, as a means of stimulating the economy, has helped to lower the Corporation s funding costs. Federally insured bank deposits continue to provide safe haven to our clients who are concerned about the economy, volatility in the capital markets and the high level of unemployment.

- 26 -

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Provision for loan losses**

For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010, the provision for loan losses was \$1,910,000, compared to \$2,483,000 for same period in 2009. The prior period provision included the impact of a large provision for an impaired commercial real estate loan that was later transferred to the foreclosed real estate portfolio. The current period provision reflected current economic conditions, including depressed real estate values and the high level of unemployment. Information about loan quality is provided in the Nonperforming Asset section of this report on page 31.

## **Noninterest income**

The following table presents the components of total noninterest income for the first nine months of 2010, compared to the first nine months of 2009. Total noninterest income decreased \$93,000 or 2 percent as a result of decreases in gains from the sale of mortgage loans held for sale and investment securities. On an adjusted basis, core noninterest income, which excludes gains from the sale of securities, increased \$90,000 or approximately 2 percent, above 2009.

Table 3 Noninterest income

	Nine months ended September 30,				Change Increase (Decrease)			
(dollars in thousands)		2010		2009		\$	%	
Trust and investment services fees	\$	1,067	\$	961	\$	106	11%	
Income from mutual fund, annuity and insurance								
sales		1,091		1,016		75	7	
Service charges on deposit accounts		1,843		1,698		145	9	
Income from bank owned life insurance		480		480		0	0	
Other income		433		446		(13)	(3)	
Gains on sales of loans held for sale		538		761		(223)	(29)	
Gains on sales of securities		108		291		(183)	(63)	
Total noninterest income	\$	5,560	\$	5,653	\$	(93)	(2)%	

The discussion that follows addresses changes in selected categories of noninterest income.

*Trust and investment services fees* The increase reflected appreciation in market value, upon which fees are based, and secondarily to new business.

*Income from mutual fund, annuity and insurance sales* The increase in income from the sale of mutual funds, annuities and insurance products by Codorus Valley Financial Advisors, a subsidiary of PeoplesBank, was a result of price appreciation, upon which some fees are based, and increased sales.

Service charges on deposit accounts The increase was due primarily to an increase in debit card revenue, which reflected an increase in the volume of transactions. Possible restrictions under the recently enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform & Consumer Protection Act may adversely affect overdraft fees and debit card revenue. i.e., interchange fees, in the future.

Gains on sales of loans held for sale The decrease was due to a decrease in the volume of mortgage loan sales. Sales in the prior period were favorably impacted by a federal home-buyer tax credit program that expired in April 2010. Gains on sales of securities Gains from the sale of fixed income securities from the available-for-sale securities portfolio are recognized periodically to take advantage of a low interest rate environment and to supplement earnings.

## Noninterest expense

The following table presents the components of total noninterest expense for the first nine months of 2010, compared to the first nine months of 2009. Total noninterest expense increased \$2,192,000 or 12 percent due primarily to loss provisions and carrying costs for foreclosed real estate and impaired loans.

Table 4 Noninterest expense

	Nine months ended September 30,			Change Increase (Decrease)			
(dollars in thousands)		2010		2009	\$	%	
Personnel	\$	9,812	\$	9,702	\$ 110	1%	
Occupancy of premises, net		1,459		1,341	118	9	
Furniture and equipment		1,264		1,263	1	0	
Postage, stationery and supplies		389		353	36	10	
Professional and legal		365		304	61	20	
Marketing and advertising		529		475	54	11	
FDIC insurance		955		1,154	(199)	(17)	
Debit card processing		436		383	53	14	
Charitable donations		399		214	185	86	
Telephone		412		387	25	6	
Foreclosed real estate including (gains) losses on							
sales		1,749		415	1,334	321	
Impaired loan carrying costs		782		250	532	213	
Other		1,716		1,834	(118)	(6)	
Total noninterest expense	\$	20,267	\$	18,075	\$ 2,192	12%	

The discussion that follows addresses changes in selected categories of noninterest expense.

**Personnel** The small increase in current period personnel expense, comprised of wages, sales commissions, payroll taxes and employee benefits, resulted primarily from the \$242,000 non-recurring cost incurred in the prior year period to restructure employee benefit plans. Restructuring the benefit plans resulted in a federal income tax benefit so that the overall transaction had an insignificant impact on net income in the prior year. On an adjusted basis, personnel expense increased \$352,000 or 4 percent due to an increase in employee health care insurance costs and normal business growth.

Effective August 1, 2010, the Bank converted from a fully insured health care program to a self-insured program by joining a consortium of approximately 23 banks. For the first year under the new program the Bank will fund at the maximum liability based on recent claims experience, which is expected to result in an increase in health care costs. Thereafter, the benefits of the self-insured program are expected to contain future health care cost increases over the long term. Employees have customarily reimbursed the Corporation for approximately 30 percent of the cost of health insurance.

**Furniture and equipment** During the third quarter of this year the Bank began implementing a client relationship management (CRM) system with estimated completion by December 2011. The capital outlay for the project is estimated at \$625,000, which did not include staffing and other ancillary expenses. The system will be depreciated over a five year useful life. A properly managed CRM process is expected to improve the Corporation s competitiveness, client service and retention, and shareholder return.

#### **Table of Contents**

**Professional and legal** The increase was primarily in the consulting expense component, which reflected the use of consultants for special projects and for outsourcing selected internal audits.

*Marketing and advertising* The increase in marketing and advertising expense was due largely to the timing of branding and product advertising, and to a larger budget.

**FDIC insurance** The decrease in Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) insurance premiums was the result of a special assessment totaling \$383,000 included in the prior period. On an adjusted basis, FDIC premiums increased \$184,000 or 24 percent above the first nine months of 2009 as a result of deposit growth and increased assessment rates.

Under the recently enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, community bankers are anticipating a reduction in FDIC insurance premiums by as much as 20 percent according to a recent study by the Independent Community Bankers Association. The Bill bases assessments on assets minus tangible capital instead of domestic deposits, which will enable the FDIC to lower assessments rates. The effective date of this change has not been published.

*Charitable donations* The increase in charitable donations reflected the impact of educational and scholarship donations, among others, that qualified for state tax credits. Approximately \$308,000 in state tax credits were accrued and used to reduce the Pennsylvania shares tax expense included in the other expense category in 2010.

Foreclosed real estate including (gains) losses on sales The increase in foreclosed real estate expense included a \$722,000 loss allowance for a specific property that management is trying to liquidate. A recent appraisal by an independent appraiser indicated deterioration in the value of this property. In addition, carrying costs increased, which typically include insurance, maintenance and repairs, real estate taxes, appraisals and legal fees due to specific properties and to a larger portfolio of real estate properties in general.

*Impaired loan carrying costs* The increase reflected increased carrying expenses, particularly real estate taxes and legal fees, associated with selected loans pursuant to the loan workout process.

*Other* The decrease in other expense, which is comprised of many underlying expenses, decreased primarily as a result of a \$119,000 decrease in Pennsylvania shares tax. The current period shares tax was unusually low as a result of recognizing \$308,000 in tax credits, which originated from charitable donations, as described above.

## **Income taxes**

The provision for income tax for the current nine-month period was \$1,113,000, compared to a \$298,000 credit, or tax benefit for the same period in 2009. The increase in income tax was primarily the result of an increase in pretax income. For both periods, the Corporation s statutory federal income tax rate was 34 percent. The Corporation s effective income tax rate was approximately 18 percent for the current nine-month period, compared to a negative tax rate for the first nine months of 2009. The effective tax rate for 2009 was negative as a result of the one-time \$242,000 tax benefit and the relatively low level of pretax income. The effective tax rate differs from the statutory tax rate due to the impact of low-income housing credits and tax-exempt income, including income from bank owned life insurance.

- 29 -

#### **BALANCE SHEET REVIEW**

#### Loans

On September 30, 2010, total loans, net of deferred fees, totaled \$641 million, slightly below the level at year-end 2009. During the current nine month period, the demand for commercial loans slowed markedly as a result of prolonged weak economic conditions, including the high level of unemployment. These same factors have continued to depress the demand for consumer loans as well. The average yield (tax equivalent basis) earned on total loans was 5.91 percent for the current nine-month period, compared to 5.65 percent for the same period in 2009. The composition of the Corporation s loan portfolio at September 30, 2010, compared to December 31, 2009, is provided in Note 5 Loans.

# **Deposits**

On September 30, 2010, total deposits were \$795 million, an increase of \$72 million or 10 percent above year-end 2009. The increase in total deposits occurred primarily in money market deposits and, to a lesser degree, time deposits. Federally insured bank deposits continue to provide safe haven for those investors who remain concerned about the economy, volatility in the capital markets and the high level of unemployment. The Corporation does not rely on brokered deposits to fund its operation. The average rate paid on interest bearing deposits was 1.64 percent for the current nine-month period, compared to 2.40 percent for the same period in 2009. The composition of the Corporation s deposit portfolio at September 30, 2010, is provided in Note 7 Deposits.

# Long-term debt

On September 30, 2010, long-term debt totaled \$52 million, compared to \$74 million at year-end 2009. The decrease reflected Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh advances that matured and were not refinanced. A listing of outstanding long-term debt obligations is provided in Note 8 Long-term Debt.

# Shareholders equity and capital adequacy

Shareholders equity or capital enables Codorus Valley to maintain asset growth and absorb losses. Total shareholders equity was approximately \$78.4 million on September 30, 2010, an increase of approximately \$6.4 million or 9 percent above the level at December 31, 2009. The increase was caused primarily by an increase in retained earnings from profitable operations. An increase in accumulated other comprehensive income from unrealized gains, net of federal income tax, on securities available-for-sale also contributed to the increase in shareholders equity.

The Corporation typically pays cash dividends on a quarterly basis. The Board of Directors determines the dividend rate after considering the Corporation s capital requirements, current and projected net income, and other factors. On October 12, 2010, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.08 per common share payable on November 9, 2010, to shareholders of record on October 26, 2010. This dividend follows an \$0.08 per share dividend paid in August, a \$0.06 per share dividend paid in May and a \$0.03 per share dividend paid in February. Including the dividend that was just declared, cash dividends for 2010 will total \$0.25 per share. The Corporation s participation in the U.S. Department of the Treasury s Capital Purchase Program (CPP) requires regulatory approval to increase quarterly cash dividends on common stock above the quarterly \$0.12 per share level that was in effect at the time of the issuance of the preferred stock. More information about the Corporation s participation in the CPP is provided in Note 10 Shareholders Equity.

Codorus Valley and PeoplesBank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by banking regulators that involve quantitative guidelines and qualitative judgments. Quantitative measures established by regulators pertain to minimum capital ratios, as set forth in Note 9 Regulatory Matters, to the financial statements. We believe that Codorus Valley and PeoplesBank were well capitalized on September 30, 2010, based on regulatory capital guidelines.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### RISK MANAGEMENT

## Credit risk management

The Credit Risk Management section included in our 2009 Form 10-K provides a general overview of the credit risk management process and loan concentrations. Credit risk represents the possibility that a loan client, counterparty or issuer may not perform in accordance with contractual terms, posing one of the most significant risks to the Corporation.

## Nonperforming assets

The following table presents asset categories posing the greatest risk of loss and related ratios. We generally place a loan on nonaccrual status and cease accruing interest income, i.e., recognize interest income on a cash basis, when loan payment performance is unsatisfactory and the loan is past due 90 days or more. Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest represent loans that are contractually past due, but are well collateralized and in the process of collection. The final category, foreclosed real estate, is real estate acquired to satisfy debts owed to PeoplesBank. The paragraphs below explain significant changes in the aforementioned categories for September 30, 2010, compared to December 31, 2009.

Nonperforming assets are reviewed by management on a monthly basis. We generally rely on appraisals performed by independent licensed appraisers to determine the value of collateral for impaired collateral-dependent loans. Generally, an appraisal is performed when: an account reaches 60 days past due, unless a certified appraisal was completed within the past six months; market values have changed significantly; the condition of the property has changed significantly; or the existing appraisal is stale. In instances where the value of the collateral is less than the net carrying amount of the loan, a specific loss allowance is established for the difference by recording a loss provision to the income statement. When it is probable that some portion or all of the loan balance will not be collected, that amount is charged off as loss against the allowance. A loan is returned to interest accruing status when we determine that circumstances have improved to the extent that all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

- 31 -

# **Table of Contents**

Table 5 Nonperforming Assets

(dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2010		D	31, 2009
Nonaccrual loans:	ф	7.055	Φ.	15 600
Builder/developer	\$	7,255	\$	15,688
Commercial real estate operator (investor)		335		5,505
Restaurant		3,693		3,739
Service		340		(2)(
Consumer, residential mortgage and home equity		800		626
Total nonaccrual loans	\$	12,423	\$	25,558
Foreclosed real estate, net of allowance		9,199		9,314
Accruing loans that are contractually past due 90 days or more as to principal				
or interest				40
Total nonperforming assets	\$	21,622	\$	34,912
Total period-end loans, net of deferred fees	\$	641,416	\$	645,877
Allowance for loan losses (ALL)	\$	6,602	\$ \$	7,175
ALL as a % of total period end loans	Ψ	1.03%	Ψ	1.11%
Annualized net charge-offs as a % of average total loans		0.51%		0.20%
ALL as a % of nonaccrual loans and past due 90 days or more		53.14%		28.03%
Nonaccrual loans as a % of total period-end loans		1.94%		3.96%
Nonperforming assets (which includes nonaccrual loans) as a % of total		1.7-7/0		3.7070
period-end loans and net foreclosed real estate		3.32%		5.33%
Nonperforming assets as a % of total period-end shareholders equity		27.57%		48.48%
The state of the s		1. 6. 1		1 1

The level of nonperforming assets was relatively high for both periods primarily as a result of prolonged weakened economic conditions and the corresponding effects it has had on our commercial borrowers.

On September 30, 2010, nonaccrual loans consisted of collateralized commercial and residential mortgage loans, and consumer loans. The nonaccrual loan portfolio balance totaled \$12,423,000 on September 30, 2010, a decrease of \$13,135,000 or 51 percent, compared to year-end 2009. The decrease resulted primarily from the reclassification of several nonaccrual loans to foreclosed real estate and, to a lesser degree, payments by borrowers. On September 30, 2010, the nonaccrual loans portfolio was comprised of eighteen unrelated accounts ranging in size from \$20,000 to \$4,385,000. Three unrelated commercial loan accounts, which represent 84 percent of the total nonaccrual loan portfolio balance, are described below.

We evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses at least quarterly and have established a loss allowance for selected accounts where the net realizable value of the collateral is insufficient to repay the loan. Collection efforts, including modification of contractual terms for individual accounts based on prevailing market conditions and liquidation of collateral assets, are being employed to maximize recovery. Further provisions for loan losses may be required on nonaccrual loans when additional information becomes available or conditions change.

Loan no. 1 PeoplesBank owns a 27 percent participation loan interest, and its share of the outstanding principal balance of the loan is \$4,385,000. The collateral supporting the loan is approximately 110 acres of undeveloped land, which is zoned mixed office. Based on a recent appraisal of the real estate, we believe that the loan is adequately collateralized. We may also rely on the personal guarantors of the loan, if necessary, for payment.

#### **Table of Contents**

Loan no. 2 The outstanding principal loan balance is \$3,693,000. This account is collateralized by three acres of improved real estate located in a major commercial district, a small parcel of improved real estate and the assignment of a personal loan from a third-party whose payments are current. Based on recent appraisals of the real estate, we believe that the loan is adequately collateralized.

Loan no. 3 PeoplesBank owns an approximately 29 percent participation loan interest and its share of the outstanding principal balance of the loan is \$2,345,000. The original collateral supporting the loan is an 81 unit condominium building. The borrower is actively marketing the units directly and through public auctions. As a result of unit sales, the borrower has reduced the principal amount of the loan by \$1,995,000 for the nine month period ended September 30, 2010.

During the current nine month period, five foreclosed properties were liquidated with a carrying value of \$5,844,000, which resulted in the recognition of a net gain totaling \$110,000. The net gain was included in foreclosed real estate expense. Also during that period, several properties were added to the foreclosed real estate portfolio as indicated below. On September 30, 2010, the portfolio was comprised of five unrelated accounts ranging in size from \$193,000 to \$3,423,000, which we are actively attempting to liquidate. As of September 30, 2010, a \$722,000 loss allowance was established for one account as indicated below. Further valuation allowances may be required on any foreclosed property as additional information becomes available or conditions change. Foreclosed real estate is included in the other assets category on the Corporation s balance sheet.

Property no. 1 The carrying amount of this office building property is \$3,423,000, which reflects a \$1,299,000 second quarter charge-off to the allowance for loan losses that was reserved for in a prior period. A reputable tenant has signed a lease agreement to lease the building, and the lease agreement has been assigned to the Corporation. Plans call for shell and tenant improvements, tenant stabilization and sale of the property in the future. This account was reclassified from a nonaccrual loan to foreclosed real estate during the second quarter of this year.

Property no. 2 The carrying amount of this property is \$2,576,000, which is net of a \$722,000 allowance for probable loss based on an independent appraisal less estimated selling costs. This account is collateralized by 266 acres of unimproved land that is zoned for residential development. During the first quarter of this year, PeoplesBank acquired the real estate at a sheriff s sale based on the Bank s mortgage.

Property no. 3 PeoplesBank owns approximately a 54 percent participation loan interest in this property, which is comprised of 134 approved residential building lots. Of this total, 27 lots are improved. The carrying amount of this property is \$1,570,000, which reflects a \$574,000 charge-off to the allowance for loan losses in the second quarter of this year. Of the total charge-off amount, \$417,000 was reserved for in a prior period. This account was reclassified from a nonaccrual loan to foreclosed real estate during the second quarter of this year.

Property no. 4 PeoplesBank has a 64 percent participation loan interest in 42 improved lots within a 20.6 acre established residential subdivision. The carrying value of PeoplesBank s interest is \$1,437,000. During June of this year a purchase agreement was executed which permits the buyer to develop and sell the lots over a two year period. Property no. 5 The property is a nine unit condominium building with a carrying value of \$193,000. Through September 30, 2010, seven units have been sold, and one more unit is scheduled for sale by the end of this year. Recoveries from unit sales totaled \$1,629,000 for the nine month period ended September 30, 2010.

# **Table of Contents**

## Allowance for loan losses

Although the Corporation maintains sound credit policies, certain loans deteriorate and must be charged off as losses. The allowance for loan losses is maintained to absorb losses inherent in the portfolio. The allowance is increased by provisions charged to expense and is reduced by loan charge offs, net of recoveries. The allowance is based upon management s continuous evaluation of the loan portfolio coupled with a formal review of adequacy on a quarterly basis, which is subject to review and approval by the Board.

The allowance for loan losses consists primarily of two components: specific allowances for individually impaired commercial loans and allowances calculated for pools of loans. The Corporation uses an internal risk rating system to evaluate individual loans. Loans are segmented into industry groups or pools with similar characteristics and an allowance for loan losses is allocated to each segment based on quantitative factors such as recent loss history (2-year rolling average of net charge-offs) and qualitative factors, such as the results of internal and external credit reviews, changes in the size and composition of the loan portfolio, adequacy of collateral, general economic conditions and the local business outlook. Determining the level of the allowance for probable loan losses at any given period is difficult, particularly during deteriorating or uncertain economic periods. We must make estimates using assumptions and information which are often subjective and fluid. There is also the potential for adjustment to the allowance as a result of regulatory examinations.

Table 4 Analysis of Allowance for Loan Losses presents an analysis of the activity in the allowance for loan losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. The allowance was \$6,602,000 or 1.03 percent of total loans, on September 30, 2010, compared to \$6,514,000 or 1.02 percent, on September 30, 2009. During the current period, net charge-offs totaled \$2,483,000, compared to \$659,000 for the first nine months of 2009. Charge-offs during the current period pertained primarily to commercial real estate loans that were reserved for in prior periods. As a result of current period loan charge-offs, the annualized net charge-off ratio increased from 0.15 percent to 0.51 percent. The provision for the current period reflects credit quality issues for selected commercial real estate loans and was based on our estimate of the amount necessary to maintain the allowance at a level reflective of the risk in the loan portfolio. We considered macro-economic factors that could adversely affect the ability of PeoplesBank s loan clients to repay their loans, including the high level of unemployment and the probable continuation of a downturn in the commercial real estate market. Based on our evaluation of the allowance for loan losses, we believe that it is adequate to support probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio on September 30, 2010.

- 34 -

Table 6 Analysis of Allowance for Loan Losses

(dollars in thousands)	2010	2009
Balance-January 1,	\$ 7,175	\$ 4,690
Provision charged to operating expense	1,910	2,483
Loans charged off: Commercial	1,484	520
Real estate construction and land development Real estate residential and home equity Consumer	789 61 246	20 173
Total loans charged off Recoveries:	2,580	713
Commercial	23	13
Real estate residential and home equity  Consumer	74	7 34
Total recoveries	97	54
Net charge-offs	2,483	659
Balance-September 30,	\$ 6,602	\$ 6,514
Ratios:		
Annualized net charge-offs to average total loans	0.51%	0.15%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans at period-end	1.03%	1.02%
Allowance for loan losses to nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days or more	53.14%	31.60%

## Liquidity risk management

Maintaining adequate liquidity provides the Corporation with the ability to meet financial obligations to depositors, loan customers, employees, and shareholders on a timely and cost effective basis in the normal course of business. Additionally, it provides funds for growth and business opportunities as they arise. Liquidity is generated from transactions relating to both the Corporation's assets and liabilities. The primary sources of asset liquidity are scheduled investment security maturities and cash inflows, funds received from customer loan payments, and asset sales. The primary sources of liability liquidity are deposit growth, short-term borrowings and long-term debt. The Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, included in this report, present the changes in cash from operating, investing and financing activities. At September 30, 2010, we believe that liquidity was adequate based upon the potential liquidation of unpledged available-for-sale securities with a fair value totaling \$90 million and available credit from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh totaling approximately \$63 million. The Corporation's loan-to-deposit ratio, which is used as a broad measure of liquidity, was approximately \$1 percent for September 30, 2010, compared to 89 percent for year-end 2009.

## **Off-balance sheet arrangements**

The Corporation s financial statements do not reflect various commitments that are made in the normal course of business, which may involve some liquidity risk. These commitments consist primarily of commitments to grant new loans, unfunded commitments under existing loan facilities, and letters of credit issued under the same standards as on-balance sheet instruments. Unused commitments on September 30, 2010, totaled \$191 million and consisted of \$130 million in unfunded commitments under existing loan facilities, \$55 million to grant new loans and \$6 million in letters of credit. Normally these commitments have fixed expiration dates or termination clauses and are for specific purposes. Accordingly, many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn and therefore, generally do not present significant liquidity risk to the Corporation or PeoplesBank.

# Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Not applicable to smaller reporting companies.

#### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

The Corporation carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Corporation s management, including the Corporation s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e). Based upon the evaluation, the Corporation s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of September 30, 2010, the Corporation s disclosure controls and procedures are effective. The Corporation s disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance that information required to be disclosed in the Corporation s reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, that the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs, and inherent limitations that may not prevent fraud, particularly by collusion of two or more people or by management override of a control.

There has been no change in the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2010, that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting.

In July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act was enacted, which among other things, exempted non-accelerated SEC filers such as the Corporation, i.e., companies with a public float below \$75 million, from the requirement of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act s section 404(b) external auditor s attestation of internal controls over financial reporting.

## Part II OTHER INFORMATION

#### **Item 1. Legal proceedings**

There are no legal proceedings pending against Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc. or any of its subsidiaries which are expected to have a material impact upon the financial position and/or operating results of the Corporation. Management is not aware of any proceedings known or contemplated by government authorities.

#### Item 1A. Risk factors

Not applicable to smaller reporting companies.

# Item 2. Unregistered sales of equity securities and use of proceeds

Nothing to report.

Item 3. Defaults upon senior securities

Nothing to report.

Item 4. Removed and reserved

Item 5. Other information

Nothing to report.

# **Table of Contents**

# Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
3.1	Amended Articles of Incorporation filed herewith
3.2	Amended By-laws (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(ii) to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on November 15, 2007.)
3.3	Certificate of Designations for the Series A Preferred Stock (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 15, 2009.)
4	Rights Agreement dated as of November 4, 2005 filed herewith
4.1	Amendment to Rights Agreement dated January 9, 2009 filed herewith
4.2	Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of January 9, 2009, between the Registrant and the United States Department of Treasury (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 15, 2009.)
4.3	Warrant, dated January 9, 2009, to purchase shares of Common Stock of the Registrant (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 15, 2009.)
10.1	Employment Agreement between Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc., PeoplesBank, A Codorus Valley Company and Larry J. Miller dated December 27, 2005 filed herewith*
10.2	Long Term Nursing Care Agreement between Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc., PeoplesBank, A Codorus Valley Company and Larry J. Miller, dated December 27, 2005 filed herewith*
10.3	Change of Control Agreement by and among Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc., PeoplesBank, A Codorus Valley Company and Jann A. Weaver, dated December 27, 2005 filed herewith*
10.4	Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc. Change in Control and Supplemental Benefit Trust Agreement between Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc., PeoplesBank, A Codorus Valley Company and Hershey Trust Company, dated January 25, 2006 filed herewith
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32	Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Management contract or compensation plan or arrangement required to be filed or incorporated as an exhibit.

- 37 -

## **Table of Contents**

# **Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned there unto duly authorized.

Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc.

(Registrant)

November 12, 2010 /s/ Larry J. Miller

Date Larry J. Miller

President & CEO

(Principal Executive Officer)

November 12, 2010 /s/ Jann A. Weaver

Date Jann A. Weaver

Treasurer & Assistant Secretary

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

- 38 -