UDR, Inc. Form 10-K February 23, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

or

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-10524 (UDR, Inc.) Commission file number 333-156002-01 (United Dominion Realty, L.P.)

UDR, INC. United Dominion Realty, L.P. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland (UDR, Inc.) Delaware (United Dominion Realty, L.P.) (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 54-0857512 54-1776887 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1745 Shea Center Drive, Suite 200, Highlands Ranch, Colorado 80129 (Address of principal executive offices) (zip code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (720) 283-6120

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title of Each Class</u>

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value (UDR, Inc.) 6.75% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (UDR, Inc.) New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None (*Title of Class*)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

UDR, Inc.	Yes þ No o
United Dominion Realty, L.P.	Yes o No þ

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

UDR, Inc.	Yes o No þ
United Dominion Realty, L.P.	Yes o No þ

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

UDR, Inc.	Yes þ No o
United Dominion Realty, L.P.	Yesþ No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

UDR, Inc.	Yes þ No o
United Dominion Realty, L.P.	Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

UDR, Inc.:

Large accelerated filer þ	Accelerated filer o	Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company o
United Dominion Realty. Large accelerated filer o	, L.P.: Accelerated filer o	Non-accelerated filer þ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

UDR, Inc.	Yes o No þ
United Dominion Realty, L.P.	Yes o No þ

The aggregate market value of the shares of common stock of UDR, Inc. held by non-affiliates on June 30, 2010 was approximately \$1.9 billion. This calculation excludes shares of common stock held by the registrant s officers and

```
Table of Contents
```

directors and each person known by the registrant to beneficially own more than 5% of the registrant s outstanding shares, as such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status should not be deemed conclusive for any other purpose. As of February 17, 2011 there were 182,496,330 shares of UDR, Inc s common stock outstanding.

There is no public trading market for the partnership units of United Dominion Realty, L.P. As a result, an aggregate market value of the partnership units of United Dominion Realty, L.P. cannot be determined.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The information required by Part III of this Report, to the extent not set forth herein, is incorporated by reference from UDR, Inc. s definitive proxy statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 12, 2011.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART I</u>

<u>Item 1.</u>	Business	2
<u>Item 1A.</u>	Risk Factors	14
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	27
Item 2.	Properties	28
<u>Item 2.</u> Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	20 29
<u>Item 4.</u>	(Removed and Reserved)	29
	PART II	
<u>Item 5.</u>	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer	
	Purchases of Equity Securities	30
<u>Item 6.</u>	Selected Financial Data	35
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of	
<u>nem 7.</u>	Operations	37
Itom 7 A	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	67
Item 7A.		
<u>Item 8.</u>	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	67
<u>Item 9.</u>	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial	
	Disclosure	67
<u>Item 9A.</u>	Controls and Procedures	67
<u>Item 9B.</u>	Other Information	68
	PART III	
<u>Item 10.</u>	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	69
<u>Item 11.</u>	Executive Compensation	69
<u>Item 12.</u>	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related	07
<u>Item 12.</u>		60
T. 10	Stockholder Matters	69
<u>Item 13.</u>	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	69
<u>Item 14.</u>	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	69
	PART IV	
<u>Item 15.</u>	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	70
<u>EX-12.1</u>		
<u>EX-12.2</u>		
<u>EX-21</u>		
<u>EX-23.1</u>		
<u>EX-23.2</u>		
<u>EX-31.1</u>		
<u>EX-31.2</u>		
<u>EX-31.3</u>		
<u>EX-31.4</u>		
<u>EX-32.1</u>		
<u>EX-32.2</u>		
<u>EX-32.3</u> <u>EX-32.4</u>		
EX-101 INSTANCE	DOCUMENT	
<u></u>		

EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This report combines the annual reports on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 of UDR, Inc. a Maryland corporation, and United Dominion Realty, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, of which UDR is the parent company and sole general partner. Unless the context otherwise requires, all references in this Report to we, us, our, the Company , UDR or UDR, Inc. refer collectively to UDR, Inc., together with its consolidated subsidiaries and joint ventures, including the Operating Partnership. Unless the context otherwise requires, the references in this Report to the Operating Partnership refer to United Dominion Realty, L.P. together with its consolidated subsidiaries. Common stock refers to the common stock of UDR and stockholders means the holders of shares of UDR s common stock and preferred stock. The limited partnership interests of the Operating Partnership are referred to as OP Units and the holders of the OP Units are referred to as unitholders . This combined Form 10-K is being filed separately by UDR and the Operating Partnership.

There are a number of differences between our company and our operating partnership, which are reflected in our disclosure in this report. UDR is a real estate investment trust (a REIT), whose most significant asset is its ownership interest in the Operating Partnership. UDR also conducts business through other subsidiaries and operating partnerships, including its subsidiary RE³, which focuses on development, land entitlement and short-term hold investments. UDR does not conduct business itself, other than by acting as the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, holding interests in other operating partnerships, subsidiaries and joint ventures, issuing securities from time to time and guaranteeing debt of certain of our subsidiaries. The Operating Partnership conducts the operations of a substantial portion of the business and is structured as a partnership with no publicly traded equity securities. The Operating Partnership has guaranteed certain outstanding securities of UDR.

As of December 31, 2010, UDR owned 110,883 units of the general partnership interests of the Operating Partnership and 174,736,557 units (or approximately 97.2%) of the limited partnership interests of the Operating Partnership (the OP Units). UDR conducts a substantial amount of its business and holds a substantial amount of its assets through the Operating Partnership, and, by virtue of its ownership of the OP Units and being the Operating Partnership s sole general partner, UDR has the ability to control all of the day-to-day operations of the Operating Partnership. Separate financial statements and accompanying notes, as well as separate discussions under Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchasers of Equity Securities and Controls and Procedures are provided for each of UDR and the Operating Partnership. In addition, certain disclosures in Business are separated by entity to the extent that the discussion relates to UDR s business outside of the Operating Partnership.

PART I

Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Such forward-looking statements include, without limitation, statements concerning property acquisitions and dispositions, development activity and capital expenditures, capital raising activities, rent growth, occupancy, and rental expense growth. Words such as expects, estimates, and variations of such words and similar expressions ar anticipates. intends. plans. believes. seeks. intended to identify such forward-looking statements. Such statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from the results of operations or plans expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include, among other things, unanticipated adverse business developments affecting us, or our properties, adverse changes in the real estate markets and general and local economies and business conditions. Although we believe that the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements contained herein are reasonable, any of the assumptions could be inaccurate, and therefore such statements included in this Annual Report may not prove to be accurate. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements included herein, the inclusion of such information should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that the results or conditions described in such statements or our objectives and plans will be achieved. For a further discussion of these and other factors that could impact future results, performance or transactions, see Item 1A. Risk Factors elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Forward-looking statements and such risks, uncertainties and other factors speak only as of the date of this Annual Report, and we expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to update or revise any forward-looking statement contained herein, to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto, or any other change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based, except to the extent otherwise required by law.

Item 1. BUSINESS

General

UDR is a self administered real estate investment trust, or REIT, that owns, acquires, renovates, develops, redevelops, and manages apartment communities in select markets throughout the United States. At December 31, 2010, our consolidated apartment portfolio included 172 communities located in 23 markets, with a total of 48,553 completed apartment homes, which are held through our operating partnerships, including the Operating Partnership and Heritage Communities L.P., our subsidiaries and consolidated joint ventures. In addition, we have an ownership interest in 37 communities containing 9,891 completed apartment homes through unconsolidated joint ventures.

At December 31, 2010, the Operating Partnership s consolidated apartment portfolio included 81 communities located in 19 markets, with a total of 23,351 completed apartment homes. The Operating Partnership owns, acquires, renovates, develops, redevelops, manages, and disposes of multifamily apartment communities generally located in high barrier-to-entry markets located in the United States. The high barrier-to-entry markets are characterized by limited land for new construction, difficult and lengthy entitlement process, expensive single-family home prices and significant employment growth potential. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, revenues of the Operating Partnership represented approximately 55% of our total rental revenues.

UDR elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, which we refer to in this Report as the Code . To continue to qualify as a REIT, we must continue to meet certain tests which, among other things, generally require that our assets consist primarily of real estate assets, our income be derived primarily from real estate assets, and that we distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (other than our net capital gains) to our stockholders annually. As a qualified REIT, we generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income taxes at the corporate level on our net income to the extent we distribute such

2

net income to our stockholders annually. In 2010, we declared total distributions of \$0.730 per common share and paid dividends of \$0.725 per common share.

	Declared in)10	ends Paid in 2010
First Quarter Second Quarter Third Quarter Fourth Quarter	\$ 0.180 0.180 0.185 0.185	\$ 0.180 0.180 0.180 0.185
Total	\$ 0.730	\$ 0.725

UDR was formed in 1972 as a Virginia corporation. In June 2003, we changed our state of incorporation from Virginia to Maryland. The Operating Partnership was formed in 2004 as Delaware limited partnership. The Operating Partnership is the successor-in-interest to United Dominion Realty, L.P., a limited partnership formed under the laws of Virginia, which commenced operations in 1995. Our corporate offices are located at 1745 Shea Center Drive, Suite 200, Highlands Ranch, Colorado and our telephone number is (720) 283-6120. Our website is located at www.udr.com.

As of February 17, 2011, we had 1,547 full-time associates and 85 part-time associates, all of whom were employed by UDR.

Reporting Segments

We report in two segments: Same Communities and Non-Mature/Other Communities. Our Same Communities segment includes those communities acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to January 1, 2009, and held as of December 31, 2010. These communities were owned and had stabilized occupancy and operating expenses as of the beginning of the prior year, there is no plan to conduct substantial redevelopment activities, and the community is not held for disposition within the current year. A community is considered to have stabilized occupancy once it achieves 90% occupancy for at least three consecutive months. Our Non-Mature/Other Communities segment includes those communities that were acquired or developed in 2008, 2009 or 2010, sold properties, redevelopment properties, properties classified as real estate held for disposition, condominium conversion properties, joint venture properties, properties managed by third parties, and the non-apartment components of mixed use properties. For additional information regarding our operating segments, see Note 15 to UDR s consolidated financial statements and Note 12 to the Operating Partnership s consolidated financial statements.

Business Objectives

Our principal business objective is to maximize the economic returns of our apartment communities to provide our stockholders with the greatest possible total return and value. To achieve this objective, we intend to continue to pursue the following goals and strategies:

own and operate apartments in markets that have the best growth prospects based on favorable job formation and low home affordability, thus enhancing stability and predictability of returns to our stockholders;

manage real estate cycles by taking an opportunistic approach to buying, selling, renovating, and developing apartment communities;

empower site associates to manage our communities efficiently and effectively;

measure and reward associates based on specific performance targets; and

manage our capital structure to help enhance predictability of earnings and dividends.

2010 Highlights

We acquired five operating communities with 1,374 homes located in Orange County, California; Baltimore, Maryland; Los Angeles, California; and Boston, Massachusetts for \$412 million. We also acquired a land parcel located in San Francisco, California for \$23.6 million.

We acquired an interest in a joint venture with Metropolitan Life Insurance Company (MetLife) for \$100.8 million. The joint venture owns 26 operating communities with 5,748 homes and 11 parcels of land with the potential to develop approximately 2,300 additional homes. The majority of the portfolio is comprised of mid/high-rise buildings located in urban, in-fill locations. The assets are located in many of our core markets with rent and quality levels at the top of each market.

We completed the development of four wholly-owned communities with 1,575 homes at a total cost of \$259.7 million.

We completed the development of one community (274 apartment homes) held by a consolidated joint venture for a total cost of \$122.3 million.

We repaid \$187.3 million of secured debt and \$50 million of maturing medium-term unsecured notes. The \$187.3 million of secured debt includes \$70.5 million for a maturing construction loan held by one of our consolidated joint ventures, repayment of \$52.7 million of credit facilities and \$64.1 million of mortgage payments.

We repurchased unsecured debt with a notional amount of \$29.2 million for \$29.4 million resulting in a loss on extinguishment of \$1 million, which includes the write off of related deferred finance charges. The unsecured debt repurchased by the Company matures in 2011. As a result of this repurchase, the loss is represented as an addition to interest expense on the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

We closed on a \$250 million, five-year unsecured term loan facility of which \$100 million was swapped into a fixed rate of 3.76% and \$150 million has rate of LIBOR plus 200 basis points.

In 2009, we entered into an Amended and Restated Distribution Agreement with respect to the issue and sale by us from time to time of our Medium-Term Notes, Series A Due Nine Months or More From Date of Issue. In February 2010, we issued \$150 million of 5.25% senior unsecured medium-term notes under the Amended and Restated Distribution Agreement. These notes were priced at 99.46% of the principal amount at issuance and the unamortized discount was \$519,000 at December 31, 2010.

In 2009, we initiated an At the Market equity distribution program pursuant to which we may sell up to 15,000,000 shares of Common Stock from time to time to or through sales agents, by means of ordinary brokers transactions on the New York Stock Exchange at prevailing market prices at the time of sale, or as otherwise agreed with the applicable agent. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we sold 6,144,367 shares of Common Stock through this program for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$110.8 million at a weighted average price per share of \$18.04. Aggregate net proceeds from such sales, after deducting related expenses, including commissions paid to the sales agents of approximately \$2.2 million, were approximately \$108.6 million.

We initiated an underwritten public offering to sell 16,000,000 shares of Common Stock at a price of \$20.35 per share. We granted the underwriters a 30-day option to purchase up to an additional 2,400,000 shares of

Common Stock to cover overallotments, if any. We sold 18,400,000 shares of Common Stock in this offering for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$374.4 million at a price of \$20.35 per share. Aggregate net proceeds from the offering, after deducting related expenses were approximately \$359.2 million.

Other than the following, there were no significant changes to the Operating Partnership s business during 2010 (the above 2010 highlights relate to UDR or other subsidiaries of UDR):

On September 30, 2010, the Operating Partnership guaranteed certain outstanding securities of UDR, such that the Operating Partnership, as primary obligor and not merely as surety, irrevocably and unconditionally guarantees to each holder of the applicable securities and to the trustee and their

4

successors and assigns under the respective indenture (a) the full and punctual payment when due, whether at stated maturity, by acceleration or otherwise, of all obligations of UDR under the respective indenture whether for principal of or interest on the securities (and premium, if any), and all other monetary obligations of UDR under the respective indenture and the terms of the applicable securities and (b) the full and punctual performance within the applicable grace periods of all other obligations of UDR under the respective indenture and the terms of the applicable securities and (b) the full and punctual performance within the applicable grace periods of all other obligations of UDR under the respective indenture and the terms of the applicable securities.

Our Strategies and Vision

We previously announced our vision to be the innovative multifamily public real estate investment trust of choice. We identified the following strategies to guide decision-making and growth:

- 1. Strengthen our portfolio
- 2. Continually improve operations
- 3. Maintain access to low-cost capital

Strengthen our Portfolio

We are focused on increasing our presence in markets with favorable job formation, low single-family home affordability, and a favorable demand/supply ratio for multifamily housing. Portfolio decisions consider internal analyses and third-party research, taking into account job growth, multifamily permitting and housing affordability.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, approximately 55.7% of our same store net operating income was provided by our communities located in California, Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Oregon and Washington state.

Operating Partnership Strategies and Vision

The Operating Partnership s long-term strategic plan is to achieve greater operating efficiencies by investing in fewer, more concentrated markets. As a result, the Operating Partnership has sought to expand its interests in communities located in California, Metropolitan Washington D.C. and the Washington state markets over the past years. Prospectively, we plan to continue to channel new investments into those markets we believe will continue to provide the best investment returns. Markets will be targeted based upon defined criteria including favorable job formation, low single-family home affordability and favorable demand/supply ratio for multifamily housing.

Acquisitions and Dispositions

During 2010, in conjunction with our strategy to strengthen our portfolio, we acquired five operating communities with 1,374 apartment homes for approximately \$412 million.

When evaluating potential acquisitions, we consider:

population growth, cost of alternative housing, overall potential for economic growth and the tax and regulatory environment of the community in which the property is located;

geographic location, including proximity to jobs, entertainment, transportation, and our existing communities which can deliver significant economies of scale;

construction quality, condition and design of the community;

current and projected cash flow of the property and the ability to increase cash flow;

potential for capital appreciation of the property;

ability to increase the value and profitability of the property through operations and redevelopment;

terms of resident leases, including the potential for rent increases;

occupancy and demand by residents for properties of a similar type in the vicinity;

٦.	

prospects for liquidity through sale, financing, or refinancing of the property; and

competition from existing multifamily communities and the potential for the construction of new multifamily properties in the area.

We regularly monitor our assets to increase the quality and performance of our portfolio. Factors we consider in deciding whether to dispose of a property include:

current market price for an asset compared to projected economics for that asset;

potential increases in new construction in the market area;

areas where the economy is not expected to grow substantially;

markets where we do not intend to establish a long-term concentration; and

operating efficiencies.

During 2010, we sold one 149 apartment home community. This apartment home community was not owned by the Operating Partnership.

The following table summarizes our apartment community acquisitions, apartment community dispositions and our consolidated year-end ownership position for the past five years (*dollars in thousands*):

	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Homes acquired	1,374	289	4,558	2,671	2,763
Homes disposed	149		25,684	7,125	7,653
Homes owned at December					
31	48,553	45,913	44,388	65,867	70,339
Total real estate owned, at					
cost	\$ 6,881,347	\$ 6,315,047	\$ 5,831,753	\$ 5,956,481	\$ 5,820,122

The following table summarizes our apartment community acquisitions, apartment community dispositions and our year-end ownership position of the Operating Partnership for the past five years (*dollars in thousands*):

	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Homes acquired Homes disposed			3,346 16,960	943 4,631	1,487 7,836
Homes owned at December 31 Total real estate owned, at	23,351	23,351	23,351	36,965	40,653
cost	\$ 3,706,184	\$ 3,640,888	\$ 3,569,239	\$ 2,685,249	\$ 2,584,495

Development Activities

The following wholly owned projects were under development as of December 31, 2010:

	Number of Apartment Homes	Completed Apartment Homes		Cost to Date (In ousands)	udgeted Cost (In ousands)	stimated Cost er Home	Expected Completion Date
Savoye II (Phase II of Vitruvian Park)	l						
Addison, TX	347		\$	26,984	\$ 69,000	\$ 198,847	1Q12
2400 14th Streeet Washington, DC	255			45,681	126,100	494,510	4Q12
Mission Bay						-	
San Francisco, CA Belmont Townhomes	315			24,354	139,600	443,175	3Q13
Dallas, TX	13			893	4,175	321,154	2Q12
	930		\$	97,912	\$ 338,875	\$ 364,382	
			6				

None of these projects are held by the Operating Partnership.

Redevelopment Activities

During 2010, we continued to redevelop properties in targeted markets where we concluded there was an opportunity to add value. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we incurred \$30.8 million in major renovations, which include major structural changes and/or architectural revisions to existing buildings.

Joint Venture Activities

In 2010, we completed the development of an apartment community located in Bellevue, Washington with 274 apartment homes, 45,394 square feet of retail space and a carrying value of \$122.3 million. On October 16, 2009, our partner in the joint venture (Elements Too) in this property resigned as managing member and appointed us as managing member. In addition, our partner relinquished its voting rights and approval rights and its ability to substantively participate in the decision-making process of the joint venture. As a result of UDR s control of the joint venture, we were required to consolidate the joint venture. On December 30, 2009, we entered into an agreement with our partner to purchase its 49% interest in Elements Too for \$3.2 million, which was paid in 2010. At closing, our interest in Elements Too increased to 98%.

We are a partner with an unaffiliated third party in a joint venture (989 Elements) which owns and operates a 23-story, 166 home high-rise apartment community in the central business district of Bellevue, Washington. At closing, UDR owned 49% of the joint venture. Our initial investment was \$11.8 million. On December 31, 2009, our partner resigned as managing member and appointed us as managing member. In addition, our partner relinquished its voting rights and approval rights and its ability to substantively participate in the decision-making process of the joint venture. Concurrently, we entered into an agreement with our partner to purchase its 49% interest in 989 Elements for \$7.7 million, which was paid in 2010. At closing, our interest in 989 Elements increased to 98%.

We are a partner with an unaffiliated third party in a joint venture (Bellevue Plaza) which owns an operating retail site in Bellevue, Washington. We initially planned to develop a 430 home high rise apartment building with ground floor retail on an existing operating retail center. However, during the year ended December 31, 2009, the joint venture decided to continue to operate the retail property as opposed to developing a high rise apartment building on the site. On December 30, 2009, our partner relinquished its voting rights and approval rights and its ability to substantively participate in the decision-making process of the joint venture. Our partner also resigned as managing member and appointed us as managing member. Concurrently, we entered into an agreement with our partner to purchase its 49% interest in Bellevue Plaza for \$5.2 million, which was paid in 2010. At closing, our interest in Bellevue Plaza increased from 49% to 98%.

For additional information regarding these consolidated joint ventures, see Note 5, *Joint Ventures* to the Consolidated Financial Statements of UDR, Inc. included in this Report.

In November 2010, we acquired The Hanover Company s (Hanover) partnership interests in the Hanover/MetLife Master Limited Partnership (the UDR/MetLife Partnership). The UDR/MetLife Partnership owns a portfolio of 26 operating communities containing 5,748 homes and 11 land parcels with the potential to develop approximately 2,300 additional homes. Under the terms of the UDR/MetLife Partnership, we will act as the general partner and earn fees for property and asset management and financing transactions. We acquired ownership interests of 12.27% in the operating communities and 4.14% in the land parcels for \$100.8 million. Our initial investment of \$100.8 million consists of \$71.8 million in cash, which includes associated transaction costs, and a \$30 million payable (includes discount of \$1 million) to Hanover. We agreed to pay the \$30 million balance to Hanover in two interest free installments in the amounts of \$20 million and \$10 million on the first and second anniversaries of the closing,

respectively. Our investment at December 31, 2010 was \$122.2 million.

In October 2010, the Company entered into a venture with an affiliate of Hanover to develop a 240 apartment home community in the metropolitan Boston, Massachusetts area. At the closing and at

December 31, 2010, UDR owned a noncontrolling interest of 95% in the joint venture. Our initial investment was \$10 million and our investment at December 31, 2010 was \$10.3 million.

During 2009, we and an unaffiliated third party formed a joint venture for the investment of up to \$450 million in multi-family properties located in key, high barrier to entry markets. The partners will contribute equity of \$180 million of which our maximum equity will be 30% or \$54 million when fully invested. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the joint venture acquired its first property (151 homes) located in Metropolitan Washington D.C. for \$43.1 million. At closing and at December 31, 2010, we owned 30% of the joint venture. Our investment at December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$5.2 million and \$242,000, respectively.

The Operating Partnership is not a party to any of the joint venture activities described above.

Continually Improve Operations

We continue to make progress on automating our business as a way to drive operating efficiencies and to better meet the changing needs of our residents. Since its launch in January 2009, our residents have been utilizing the resident internet portal on our website. Our residents have access to conduct business with us 24 hours a day, 7 days a week to pay rent on line and to submit service requests. In July 2010, we completed the roll out of online renewals throughout our entire portfolio. As a result of transforming operations through technology our residents get the convenience they want and our operating teams have become more efficient. These improvements in adopting the web as a way to conduct business with us have also resulted in a decline in marketing and advertising costs, improved cash management, and improved capabilities to better manage pricing of our available apartment homes.

In 2010, we launched an enhanced <u>www.udr.com</u> website along and a new Modern Living website that highlights our premier urban-style location communities. Both UDR.com and Modern Living feature innovative point-of-view walking tours (at select locations), social media content sharing and a new save to favorites feature that entices first-time visitors to revisit www.udr.com. In addition to improvements to www.udr.com, we also increased our suite of mobile and tablet device offerings with the addition of an iPad, Android, BlackBerry and Palm Pre apartment search applications. These overall enhancements have contributed to increasing our web visitor traffic to over 2.3 million visitors (up 24%) and almost 1.5 million organic search engine visitors (up 30%) which contributed to a 20% year-over-year lead stream increase.

Maintaining Access to Low-Cost Capital

We seek to maintain a capital structure that allows us to seek, and not just react to, opportunities available in the marketplace. We have structured our borrowings to stagger our debt maturities and to be able to opportunistically access both secured and unsecured debt.

Special Dividend

On November 5, 2008, our Board of Directors declared a dividend on a pre-adjusted basis of \$1.29 per share (the Special Dividend). The Special Dividend was paid on January 29, 2009 to stockholders of record on December 9, 2008. The dividend represented our fourth quarter recurring distribution of \$0.33 per share and an additional special distribution of \$0.96 per share due to taxable income arising from our dispositions occurring during the year. Subject to our right to pay the entire Special Dividend in cash, stockholders had the option to make an election to receive payment in cash or in shares, however, the aggregate amount of cash payable to stockholders, other than cash payable in lieu of fractional shares, would not be less than \$44.0 million.

The Special Dividend, totaling \$177.1 million, was paid on 137,266,557 shares issued and outstanding on the record date. Approximately \$133.1 million of the Special Dividend was paid through the issuance of 11,358,042 shares of common stock, which was determined based on the volume weighted average closing sales price of our common stock of \$11.71 per share on the NYSE on January 21, 2009 and January 22, 2009. In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board s (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-01, *Accounting for Distributions to Shareholders with Components of Stock and Cash* (ASU 2010-01),

8

which considers distributions that contain components of cash and stock and allows shareholders to select their preferred form of distribution as a stock dividend. Such a distribution is treated as a stock issuance on the date the dividend is paid. At December 31, 2008, we accrued \$133.1 million of distribution payable related to the Special Dividend. ASU 2010-01 is effective for the Company on December 15, 2009 and should be applied on a retrospective basis. As a result, we reversed the effect of the issuance of additional shares of common stock pursuant to the Special Dividend, which was retroactively reflected in each of the historical periods presented within the Company s Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC on May 22, 2009, and effectively issued these shares on January 29, 2009 (the payment date of the Special Dividend).

Financing Activities

As part of our plan to strengthen our capital structure, we utilized proceeds from debt and equity offerings and refinancings to extend maturities, pay down existing debt and acquire apartment communities. The following is a summary of our major financing activities in 2010:

repaid \$187.3 million of secured debt and \$50.0 million of maturing medium-term unsecured notes. The \$187.3 million of secured debt includes \$70.5 million for a maturing construction loan held by one of our consolidated joint ventures, repayment of \$52.7 million of credit facilities and \$64.1 million of mortgage payments;

repurchased unsecured debt with a notional amount of \$29.2 million for \$29.4 million resulting in a loss on extinguishment of \$1 million, which includes the write off of related deferred finance charges. The unsecured debt repurchased by us matures in 2011. As a result of this repurchase, the loss is represented as an addition to interest expense on the Consolidated Statement of Operations;

closed on a \$250 million, five-year unsecured term loan facility of which \$100 million was swapped into a fixed rate of 3.76% and \$150 million has a rate of LIBOR plus 200 basis points;

in 2009, we entered into an Amended and Restated Distribution Agreement with respect to the issue and sale by us from time to time of our Medium-Term Notes, Series A Due Nine Months or More From Date of Issue. In February 2010, we issued \$150 million of 5.25% senior unsecured medium-term notes under the Amended and Restated Distribution Agreement. These notes were priced at 99.46% of the principal amount at issuance and had a discount of \$519,000 at December 31, 2010;

in 2009, we initiated an At the Market equity distribution program pursuant to which we may sell up to 15,000,000 shares of common stock from time to time to or through sales agents, by means of ordinary brokers transactions on the New York Stock Exchange at prevailing market prices at the time of sale, or as otherwise agreed with the applicable agent. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we sold 6,144,367 shares of common stock through this program for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$110.8 million at a weighted average price per share of \$18.04. Aggregate net proceeds from such sales, after deducting related expenses, including commissions paid to the sales agents of approximately \$2.2 million, were approximately \$108.6 million;

in 2010, we initiated an underwritten public offering to sell 16,000,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$20.35 per share. We granted the underwriters a 30-day option to purchase up to an additional 2,400,000 shares of common stock to cover overallotments, if any. We sold 18,400,000 shares of common stock in this offering for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$374.4 million at a price of \$20.35 per share. Aggregate net proceeds from the offering, after deducting related expenses were approximately \$359.2 million; and

on September 30, 2010, the Operating Partnership guaranteed certain outstanding securities of UDR, such that the Operating Partnership, as primary obligor and not merely as surety, irrevocably and unconditionally guarantees to each holder of the applicable securities and to the trustee and their successors and assigns under the respective indenture (a) the full and punctual payment when due, whether at stated maturity, by acceleration or otherwise, of all obligations of UDR under the respective indenture whether for principal of or interest on the securities (and premium, if any), and all other monetary obligations of UDR under the respective indenture and the terms of the applicable securities

and (b) the full and punctual performance within the applicable grace periods of all other obligations of UDR under the respective indenture and the terms of the applicable securities.

Markets and Competitive Conditions

At December 31, 2010, 55.7% of our consolidated same store net operating income and 76% of the Operating Partnership s same store net operating income was generated from apartment homes located in California, Metropolitan Washington D.C., Oregon, and Washington state. We believe that this diversification increases investment opportunity and decreases the risk associated with cyclical local real estate markets and economies, thereby increasing the stability and predictability of our earnings.

Competition for new residents is generally intense across all of our markets. Some competing communities offer features that our communities do not have. Competing communities can use concessions or lower rents to obtain temporary competitive advantages. Also, some competing communities are larger or newer than our communities. The competitive position of each community is different depending upon many factors including sub-market supply and demand. In addition, other real estate investors compete with us to acquire existing properties and to develop new properties. These competitors include insurance companies, pension and investment funds, public and private real estate companies, investment companies and other public and private apartment REITs, some of which may have greater resources, or lower capital costs, than we do.

We believe that, in general, we are well-positioned to compete effectively for residents and investments. We believe our competitive advantages include:

a fully integrated organization with property management, development, redevelopment, acquisition, marketing, sales and financing expertise;

scalable operating and support systems, which include automated systems to meet the changing electronic needs of our residents and to effectively focus on our Internet marketing efforts;

purchasing power;

geographic diversification with a presence in 23 markets across the country; and

significant presence in many of our major markets that allows us to be a local operating expert.

Moving forward, we will continue to emphasize aggressive lease management, improved expense control, increased resident retention efforts and the alignment of employee incentive plans tied to our bottom line performance. We believe this plan of operation, coupled with the portfolio s strengths in targeting renters across a geographically diverse platform, should position us for continued operational improvement in spite of the difficult economic environment.

Communities

At December 31, 2010, our apartment portfolio included 172 consolidated communities having a total of 48,553 completed apartment homes and an additional 930 apartment homes under development, which included the Operating Partnership s apartment portfolio of 81 consolidated communities having a total of 23,351 completed apartment homes. The overall quality of our portfolio enables us to raise rents and to attract residents with higher levels of disposable income who are more likely to absorb expenses, such as water and sewer costs, from the landlord to the resident. In addition, it potentially reduces recurring capital expenditures per apartment home, and therefore should result in increased cash flow in the future.

Same Store Community Comparison

We believe that one pertinent qualitative measurement of the performance of our portfolio is tracking the results of our same store community s net operating income (NOI), which is total rental revenue, less rental expenses excluding property management and other operating expenses. Our same store community population are operating communities which we own and have stabilized occupancy, revenues and expenses as of the beginning of the prior year.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, our same store NOI decreased by \$6.2 million or 1.7% compared to the prior year. The decrease in NOI for the 40,699 apartment homes which make up the same store population was driven by a decrease in rental rates and an increase in expenses which was partially offset by increased occupancy.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Operating Partnership s same store NOI decreased by \$6.0 million or 2.7% compared to the prior year. The decrease in NOI for the 22,104 apartment homes which make up the same store population was driven by a decrease in revenue rental rates and increase in operating expenses which was partially offset by increased occupancy.

Revenue growth in 2011 may be impacted by general adverse conditions affecting the economy, reduced occupancy rates, increased rental concessions, increased bad debt and other factors which may adversely impact our ability to increase rents.

Tax Matters

UDR has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code. To continue to qualify as a REIT, UDR must continue to meet certain tests that, among other things, generally require that our assets consist primarily of real estate assets, our income be derived primarily from real estate assets, and that we distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (other than net capital gains) to our stockholders annually. Provided we maintain our qualification as a REIT, we generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income taxes at the corporate level on our net income to the extent such net income is distributed to our stockholders annually. Even if we continue to qualify as a REIT, we will continue to be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and property.

We may utilize taxable REIT subsidiaries to engage in activities that REITs may be prohibited from performing, including the provision of management and other services to third parties and the conduct of certain nonqualifying real estate transactions. Taxable REIT subsidiaries generally are taxable as regular corporations and therefore are subject to federal, state and local income taxes.

The Operating Partnership intends to qualify as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. As a partnership, the Operating Partnership generally is not a taxable entity and does not incur federal income tax liability. However, any state or local revenue, excise or franchise taxes that result from the operating activities of the Operating Partnership are incurred at the entity level.

Inflation

We believe that the direct effects of inflation on our operations have been immaterial. While the impact of inflation primarily impacts our results through wage pressures, utilities and material costs, substantially all of our leases are for a term of one year or less, which generally enables us to compensate for any inflationary effects by increasing rents on our apartment homes. Although an extreme escalation in energy and food costs could have a negative impact on our residents and their ability to absorb rent increases, we do not believe this has had a material impact on our results for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Environmental Matters

Various environmental laws govern certain aspects of the ongoing operation of our communities. Such environmental laws include those regulating the existence of asbestos-containing materials in buildings, management of surfaces with lead-based paint (and notices to residents about the lead-based paint), use of active underground petroleum storage tanks, and waste-management activities. The failure to comply with such requirements could subject us to a government enforcement action and/or claims for damages by a private party.

To date, compliance with federal, state and local environmental protection regulations has not had a material effect on our capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position. We have a property management plan for hazardous materials. As part of the plan, Phase I environmental site investigations and reports have been completed for each property we acquire. In addition, all proposed acquisitions are inspected prior to

acquisition. The inspections are conducted by qualified environmental consultants, and we review the issued report prior to the purchase or development of any property. Nevertheless, it is possible that our environmental assessments will not reveal all environmental liabilities, or that some material environmental liabilities exist of which we are unaware. In some cases, we have abandoned otherwise economically attractive acquisitions because the costs of removal or control of hazardous materials have been prohibitive or we have been unwilling to accept the potential risks involved. We do not believe we will be required to engage in any large-scale abatement at any of our properties. We believe that through professional environmental inspections and testing for asbestos, lead paint and other hazardous materials, coupled with a relatively conservative posture toward accepting known environmental risk, we can minimize our exposure to potential liability associated with environmental hazards.

Federal legislation requires owners and landlords of residential housing constructed prior to 1978 to disclose to potential residents or purchasers of the communities any known lead paint hazards and imposes treble damages for failure to provide such notification. In addition, lead based paint in any of the communities may result in lead poisoning in children residing in that community if chips or particles of such lead based paint are ingested, and we may be held liable under state laws for any such injuries caused by ingestion of lead based paint by children living at the communities.

We are unaware of any environmental hazards at any of our properties that individually or in the aggregate may have a material adverse impact on our operations or financial position. We have not been notified by any governmental authority, and we are not otherwise aware, of any material non-compliance, liability, or claim relating to environmental liabilities in connection with any of our properties. We do not believe that the cost of continued compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations will have a material adverse effect on us or our financial condition or results of operations. Future environmental laws, regulations, or ordinances, however, may require additional remediation of existing conditions that are not currently actionable. Also, if more stringent requirements are imposed on us in the future, the costs of compliance could have a material adverse effect on us and our financial condition.

Insurance

We carry comprehensive general liability coverage on our communities, with limits of liability customary within the industry to insure against liability claims and related defense costs. We are also insured, with limits of liability customary within the industry, against the risk of direct physical damage in amounts necessary to reimburse us on a replacement cost basis for costs incurred to repair or rebuild each property, including loss of rental income during the reconstruction period.

Executive Officers of the Company

UDR is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. The following table sets forth information about our executive officers as of February 17, 2011. The executive officers listed below serve in their respective capacities at the discretion of our Board of Directors.

Name A	\ge	Office	Since
Thomas W. Toomey	50	Chief Executive Officer, President and Director	2001
Warren L. Troupe	57	Senior Executive Vice President	2008
Richard A Giannotti	55	Executive Vice President Redevelopment	1985
Matthew T. Akin	43	Senior Vice President Acquisitions & Dispositions	1994
Harry G. Alcock	48	Senior Vice President Asset Management	2010

Mark M. Culwell, Jr.	59	Senior Vice President	Development	2006
Jerry A. Davis	48	Senior Vice President	Property Operations	2008
Cameron A. Etezadi	35	Senior Vice President	Chief Information Officer	2010
David L. Messenger	40	Senior Vice President	Chief Financial Officer	2008
Katie Miles-Ley	49	Senior Vice President	Human Resources	2007
5				

Set forth below is certain biographical information about our executive officers.

12

Mr. Toomey spearheads the vision and strategic direction of the Company and oversees its executive officers. He joined us in February 2001 as President, Chief Executive Officer and Director. Prior to joining us, Mr. Toomey was with Apartment Investment and Management Company (AIMCO), where he served as Chief Operating Officer for two years and Chief Financial Officer for four years. During his tenure at AIMCO, Mr. Toomey was instrumental in the growth of AIMCO from 34,000 apartment homes to 360,000 apartment homes. He has also served as a Senior Vice President at Lincoln Property Company, a national real estate development, property management and real estate consulting company, from 1990 to 1995. He currently serves as a member of the board of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT), the National Multi Housing Council (NMHC), a member of the Real Estate Roundtable, a member of the Pension Real Estate Association (PREA), an Urban Land Institute Governor and a trustee of the Oregon State University Foundation.

Mr. Troupe oversees all financial, treasury, tax and legal functions of the Company. He joined us in March 2008 as Senior Executive Vice President. In May 2008, he was appointed the Company s Corporate Compliance Officer and in October 2008 he was named the Company s Corporate Secretary. Prior to joining us, Mr. Troupe was a partner with Morrison & Forester LLP from 1997 to 2008, where his practice focused on all aspects of corporate finance including, but not limited to, public and private equity offerings, traditional loan structures, debt placements to subordinated debt financings, workouts and recapitalizations. While at Morrison & Forester LLP he represented both public and private entities in connection with merger and acquisition transactions, including tender offers, hostile proxy contests and negotiated acquisitions. He currently is a member of NMHC, PREA and the Urban Land Institute.

Mr. Giannotti oversees redevelopment projects and acquisition efforts and development projects in the mid-Atlantic region. He joined us in September 1985 as Director of Development and Construction. He was appointed Assistant Vice President in 1988, Vice President in 1989, and Senior Vice President in 1996. In 1998, he was assigned the additional responsibilities of Director of Development for the Eastern Region. In 2003, Mr. Giannotti was promoted to Executive Vice President.

Mr. Akin oversees the Company s acquisition and disposition efforts. He joined us in 1996 in connection with the merger with SouthWest Property Trust, where he had been a Financial Analyst since 1994. He was promoted to Due Diligence Analyst in April 1998 and to Asset Manager for the Western Region in 1999. Mr. Akin was promoted to Vice President, Senior Business Analyst in September 2000 and his focus shifted to acquisitions for the Western Region. In May 2004 he was promoted to Vice President Acquisitions, and in August 2006 he was promoted to Senior Vice President Acquisitions and Dispositions.

Mr. Alcock oversees the Company s acquisitions, dispositions, redevelopment and asset management in the company s east coast markets. He joined us in December 2010 as Senior Vice President Asset Management. Prior to joining the company, Mr. Alcock was with AIMCO for over 16 years, serving most recently as Executive Vice President, co-Head of Transactions and Asset Management. He was appointed Executive Vice President and Chief Investment officer in 1999, a position he held through 2007. Mr. Alcock established and chaired the company s Investment Committee, established the portfolio management function and at various times ran the property debt and redevelopment departments. Prior to the formation of AIMCO, from 1992 to 1994, Mr. Alcock was with Heron Financial and PDI, predecessor companies to AIMCO. From 1988 to 1992 he worked for Larwin Company, a national homebuilder. Mr. Alcock holds a Bachelor of Science in Finance from San Jose State University.

Mr. Culwell oversees all aspects of in-house development, joint venture development and pre-sale opportunities. He joined us in June 2006 as Senior Vice President Development. Prior to joining us, Mr. Culwell served as Regional Vice President of Development for Gables Residential, where he established a \$300 million pipeline of new development and redevelopment opportunities. Before joining Gables Residential, Mr. Culwell had over 30 years of real estate experience, including working for Elsinore Group, LLC, Lexford Residential Trust, Cornerstone Housing Corporation and Trammell Crow Residential Company, where his development and construction responsibilities

included site selection and acquisition, construction oversight, asset management, as well as obtaining financing for acquisitions and rehabilitations.

Mr. Davis oversees property operations, human resources and technology. He originally joined us in March 1989 as Controller and subsequently moved into Operations as an Area Director and in 2001, he accepted the position of Chief Operating Officer of JH Management Co., a California-based apartment company. He returned to the Company in March 2002 and in 2008, Mr. Davis was promoted to Senior Vice President Property Operations. He began his career in 1984 as a Staff Accountant for Arthur Young & Co.

Mr. Etezadi oversees all aspects of the company s technology infrastructure and strategy. He joined us in June 2010 as Senior Vice President Chief Information Officer. Prior to joining the company, Mr. Etezadi was with Amazon.com from 2007 to 2010, where he served as Senior Manager, overseeing domestic and international teams of software engineers responsible for global payment processing and order placement systems. From 1996 to 2007 Mr. Etezadi was with Microsoft Corporation where he began as a Software Design Engineer and Test Lead working on Windows NT4 and Windows 2000. In 2000 he began three years in Sweden as part of a technical leadership team focused on transforming a company Microsoft acquired into an integrated subsidiary. In 2003, upon his return to the U.S., he led various teams in developing mobile web technologies, speech recognition software, and mobile computing hardware. Mr. Etezadi holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in Chemical Engineering and Biochemistry from Rice University and an MBA from the University of Washington.

Mr. Messenger oversees the areas of accounting, risk management, financial planning and analysis, property tax administration and SEC reporting. He joined us in August 2002 as Vice President and Controller. In March 2006, Mr. Messenger was appointed Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer and in January 2007, while retaining the Chief Accounting Officer title, he was promoted to Senior Vice President. Prior to joining the company in 2002, Mr. Messenger was owner and President of TRC Management Company, a restaurant management company, in Chicago. Mr. Messenger began his career in real estate and financial services with Ernst & Young LLP, as a manager in their Chicago real estate division.

Ms. Miles-Ley oversees employee relations, organizational development, succession planning, staffing and recruitment, compensation, training and development, benefits administration, HRIS and payroll. She joined us in June 2007 as Senior Vice President Human Resources. Prior to joining us, Ms. Miles-Ley was with Starz Entertainment Group LLC from 2001 to 2007 where she served as Vice President, Human Resources & Organizational Development. Ms. Miles-Ley had over twenty years of experience with both domestic and international work forces. Ms. Miles-Ley holds a Bachelor of Arts degree in Human Relations from Golden Gate University and an MBA from the University of Denver.

Available Information

Both UDR and the Operating Partnership file electronically with the Securities and Exchange Commission their respective annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and current reports on Form 8-K, pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. You may obtain a free copy of our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports on the day of filing with the SEC on our website at *www.udr.com*, or by sending an e-mail message to *ir@udr.com*.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

There are many factors that affect our business and our results of operations, some of which are beyond our control. The following is a description of important factors that may cause our actual results of operations in future periods to differ materially from those currently expected or discussed in forward-looking statements set forth in this report relating to our financial results, operations and business prospects.

Risks Related to Our Real Estate Investments and Our Operations

Unfavorable Apartment Market and Economic Conditions Could Adversely Affect Occupancy Levels, Rental Revenues and the Value of Our Real Estate Assets. Unfavorable market conditions in the areas in which we operate and unfavorable economic conditions generally may significantly affect our occupancy levels, our rental rates and collections, the value of the properties and our ability to strategically acquire or

dispose of apartment communities on economically favorable terms. Our ability to lease our properties at favorable rates is adversely affected by the increase in supply in the multifamily market and is dependent upon the overall level in the economy, which is adversely affected by, among other things, job losses and unemployment levels, recession, personal debt levels, the downturn in the housing market, stock market volatility and uncertainty about the future. Some of our major expenses, including mortgage payments and real estate taxes, generally do not decline when related rents decline. We would expect that declines in our occupancy levels, rental revenues and/or the values of our apartment communities would cause us to have less cash available to pay our indebtedness and to distribute to our stockholders, which could adversely affect our financial condition and the market value of our securities. Factors that may affect our occupancy levels, our rental revenues, and/or the value of our properties include the following, among others:

downturns in the national, regional and local economic conditions, particularly increases in unemployment;

declines in mortgage interest rates, making alternative housing more affordable;

government or builder incentives which enable first time homebuyers to put little or no money down, making alternative housing options more attractive;

local real estate market conditions, including oversupply of, or reduced demand for, apartment homes;

declines in the financial condition of our tenants, which may make it more difficult for us to collect rents from some tenants;

changes in market rental rates;

the timing and costs associated with property improvements, repairs or renovations;

declines in household formation; and

rent control or stabilization laws, or other laws regulating rental housing, which could prevent us from raising rents to offset increases in operating costs.

Continued Economic Weakness Following the Economic Recession that the U.S. Economy Recently Experienced May Materially and Adversely Affect our Financial Condition and Results of Operations. The U.S. economy continues to experience weakness following a severe recession, which has resulted in increased unemployment, decreased consumer spending and a decline in residential and commercial property values. Although the U.S. economy has emerged from the recession, high levels of unemployment have persisted. If the economic recovery slows or stalls, we may experience adverse effects on our occupancy levels, our rental revenues and the value of our properties, any of which could adversely affect our cash flow, financial condition and results of operations.

Substantial International, National and Local Government Spending and Increasing Deficits May Adversely Impact Our Business, Financial Condition and Results of Operations. The values of, and the cash flows from, the properties we own are affected by developments in global, national and local economies. As a result of the recent recession and the significant government interventions, federal, state and local governments have incurred record deficits and assumed or guaranteed liabilities of private financial institutions or other private entities. These increased budget deficits and the weakened financial condition of federal, state and local governments may lead to reduced governmental spending, tax increases, public sector job losses, increased interest rates, currency devaluations or other adverse economic events, which may directly or indirectly adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Risk of Inflation/Deflation. Substantial inflationary or deflationary pressures could have a negative effect on rental rates and property operating expenses. Neither inflation nor deflation has materially impacted our operations in the recent past. The general risk of inflation is that our debt interest and general and administrative expenses increase at a rate higher than our rental rates. The predominant effects of deflation include high unemployment and credit contraction. Restricted lending practices could impact our ability to obtain financing or refinancing for our properties. High unemployment may have a negative effect on our occupancy levels and our rental revenues.

We Are Subject to Certain Risks Associated with Selling Apartment Communities, Which Could Limit Our Operational and Financial Flexibility. We periodically dispose of apartment communities that no longer meet our strategic objectives, but adverse market conditions may make it difficult to sell apartment communities like the ones we own. We cannot predict whether we will be able to sell any property for the price or on the terms we set, or whether any price or other terms offered by a prospective purchaser would be acceptable to us. We also cannot predict the length of time needed to find a willing purchaser and to close the sale of a property. Furthermore, we may be required to expend funds to correct defects or to make improvements before a property can be sold. These conditions may limit our ability to dispose of properties and to change our portfolio promptly in order to meet our strategic objectives, which may in turn have a materially adverse effect on our financial condition and the market value of our securities. We are also subject to the following risks in connection with sales of our apartment communities:

a significant portion of the proceeds from our overall property sales may be held by intermediaries in order for some sales to qualify as like-kind exchanges under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, so that any related capital gain can be deferred for federal income tax purposes. As a result, we may not have immediate access to all of the cash proceeds generated from our property sales; and

federal tax laws limit our ability to profit on the sale of communities that we have owned for less than two years, and this limitation may prevent us from selling communities when market conditions are favorable.

Competition Could Limit Our Ability to Lease Apartment Homes or Increase or Maintain Rents. Our apartment communities compete with numerous housing alternatives in attracting residents, including other apartment communities, condominiums and single-family rental homes, as well as owner occupied single-and multi-family homes. Competitive housing in a particular area could adversely affect our ability to lease apartment homes and increase or maintain rents.

We May Not Realize the Anticipated Benefits of Past or Future Acquisitions, and the Failure to Integrate Acquired Communities and New Personnel Successfully Could Create Inefficiencies. We have selectively acquired in the past, and if presented with attractive opportunities we intend to selectively acquire in the future, apartment communities that meet our investment criteria. Our acquisition activities and their success are subject to the following risks:

we may be unable to obtain financing for acquisitions on favorable terms or at all;

even if we are able to finance the acquisition, cash flow from the acquisition may be insufficient to meet our required principal and interest payments on the acquisition;

even if we enter into an acquisition agreement for an apartment community, we may be unable to complete the acquisition after incurring certain acquisition-related costs;

we may incur significant costs and divert management attention in connection with the evaluation and negotiation of potential acquisitions, including potential acquisitions that we are subsequently unable to complete;

an acquired apartment community may fail to perform as we expected in analyzing our investment, or a significant exposure related to the acquired property may go undetected during our due diligence procedures;

when we acquire an apartment community, we may invest additional amounts in it with the intention of increasing profitability, and these additional investments may not produce the anticipated improvements in profitability; and

we may be unable to quickly and efficiently integrate acquired apartment communities and new personnel into our existing operations, and the failure to successfully integrate such apartment communities or personnel will result in inefficiencies that could adversely affect our expected return on our investments and our overall profitability.

We do not expect to acquire apartment communities at the rate we have in prior years, which may limit our growth and have a material adverse effect on our business and the market value of our securities. In the past, other real estate investors, including insurance companies, pension and investment funds, developer partnerships, investment companies and other public and private apartment REITs, have competed with us to acquire existing properties and to develop new properties, and such competition in the future may make it more difficult for us to pursue attractive investment opportunities on favorable terms, which could adversely affect growth.

Development and Construction Risks Could Impact Our Profitability. In the past we have selectively pursued the development and construction of apartment communities, and we intend to do so in the future as appropriate opportunities arise. Development activities have been, and in the future may be, conducted through wholly owned affiliated companies or through joint ventures with unaffiliated parties. Our development and construction activities are subject to the following risks:

we may be unable to obtain construction financing for development activities under favorable terms, including but not limited to interest rates, maturity dates and/or loan to value ratios, or at all which could cause us to delay or even abandon potential developments;

we may be unable to obtain, or face delays in obtaining, necessary zoning, land-use, building, occupancy and other required governmental permits and authorizations, which could result in increased development costs, could delay initial occupancy dates for all or a portion of a development community, and could require us to abandon our activities entirely with respect to a project for which we are unable to obtain permits or authorizations;

yields may be less than anticipated as a result of delays in completing projects, costs that exceed budget and/or higher than expected concessions for lease up and lower rents than pro forma;

if we are unable to find joint venture partners to help fund the development of a community or otherwise obtain acceptable financing for the developments, our development capacity may be limited;

we may abandon development opportunities that we have already begun to explore, and we may fail to recover expenses already incurred in connection with exploring such opportunities;

we may be unable to complete construction and lease-up of a community on schedule, or incur development or construction costs that exceed our original estimates, and we may be unable to charge rents that would compensate for any increase in such costs;

occupancy rates and rents at a newly developed community may fluctuate depending on a number of factors, including market and economic conditions, preventing us from meeting our profitability goals for that community; and

when we sell to third parties communities or properties that we developed or renovated, we may be subject to warranty or construction defect claims that are uninsured or exceed the limits of our insurance.

In some cases in the past, the costs of upgrading acquired communities exceeded our original estimates. We may experience similar cost increases in the future. Our inability to charge rents that will be sufficient to offset the effects of any increases in these costs may impair our profitability.

Bankruptcy of Developers in Our Development Joint Ventures Could Impose Delays and Costs on Us With Respect to the Development of Our Communities and May Adversely Affect Our Financial Condition and Results of Operations. The bankruptcy of one of the developers in any of our development joint ventures could materially and adversely affect the relevant property or properties. If the relevant joint venture through which we have invested in a property has incurred recourse obligations, the discharge in bankruptcy of the developer may require us to honor a completion guarantee and therefore might result in our ultimate liability for a greater portion of those obligations than we would otherwise bear.

Property Ownership Through Joint Ventures May Limit Our Ability to Act Exclusively in Our Interest. We have in the past and may in the future develop and acquire properties in joint ventures with other persons

or entities when we believe circumstances warrant the use of such structures. If we use such a structure, we could become engaged in a dispute with one or more of our joint venture partners that might affect our ability to operate a jointly-owned property. Moreover, joint venture partners may have business, economic or other objectives that are inconsistent with our objectives, including objectives that relate to the appropriate timing and terms of any sale or refinancing of a property. In some instances, joint venture partners may have competing interests in our markets that could create conflicts of interest.

Some Potential Losses May Not Be Adequately Covered by Insurance. We have a comprehensive insurance program covering our property and operating activities. We believe the policy specifications and insured limits of these policies are adequate and appropriate. There are, however, certain types of extraordinary losses which may not be adequately covered under our insurance program. In addition, we will sustain losses due to insurance deductibles, self-insured retention, uninsured claims or casualties, or losses in excess of applicable coverage.

If an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occur, we could lose all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a property, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the property. In such an event, we might nevertheless remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the property. Material losses in excess of insurance proceeds may occur in the future. If one or more of our significant properties were to experience a catastrophic loss, it could seriously disrupt our operations, delay revenue and result in large expenses to repair or rebuild the property. Such events could adversely affect our cash flow and ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Failure to Succeed in New Markets May Limit Our Growth. We have acquired in the past, and we may acquire in the future if appropriate opportunities arise, apartment communities that are outside of our existing markets. Entering into new markets may expose us to a variety of risks, and we may not be able to operate successfully in new markets. These risks include, among others:

inability to accurately evaluate local apartment market conditions and local economies;

inability to hire and retain key personnel;

lack of familiarity with local governmental and permitting procedures; and

inability to achieve budgeted financial results.

Potential Liability for Environmental Contamination Could Result in Substantial Costs. Under various federal, state and local environmental laws, as a current or former owner or operator of real estate, we could be required to investigate and remediate the effects of contamination of currently or formerly owned real estate by hazardous or toxic substances, often regardless of our knowledge of or responsibility for the contamination and solely by virtue of our current or former ownership or operation of the real estate. In addition, we could be held liable to a governmental authority or to third parties for property damage and for investigation and clean-up costs incurred in connection with the contamination. These costs could be substantial, and in many cases environmental laws create liens in favor of governmental authorities to secure their payment. The presence of such substances or a failure to properly remediate any resulting contamination could materially and adversely affect our ability to borrow against, sell or rent an affected property.

In addition, our properties are subject to various federal, state and local environmental, health and safety laws, including laws governing the management of wastes and underground and aboveground storage tanks. Noncompliance with these environmental, health and safety laws could subject us to liability. Changes in laws could increase the potential costs of compliance with environmental laws, health and safety laws or increase liability for

noncompliance. This may result in significant unanticipated expenditures or may otherwise materially and adversely affect our operations.

As the owner or operator of real property, we may also incur liability based on various building conditions. For example, buildings and other structures on properties that we currently own or operate or those we acquire or operate in the future contain, may contain, or may have contained, asbestos-containing material, or ACM. Environmental, health and safety laws require that ACM be properly managed and maintained and may impose fines or penalties on owners, operators or employers for non-compliance with those requirements.

These requirements include special precautions, such as removal, abatement or air monitoring, if ACM would be disturbed during maintenance, renovation or demolition of a building, potentially resulting in substantial costs. In addition, we may be subject to liability for personal injury or property damage sustained as a result of exposure to ACM or releases of ACM into the environment.

We cannot assure you that costs or liabilities incurred as a result of environmental issues will not affect our ability to make distributions to our shareholders, or that such costs or liabilities will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our Properties May Contain or Develop Harmful Mold or Suffer from Other Indoor Air Quality Issues, Which Could Lead to Liability for Adverse Health Effects or Property Damage or Cost for Remediation. When excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, mold growth may occur, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Some molds may produce airborne toxins or irritants. Indoor air quality issues can also stem from inadequate ventilation, chemical contamination from indoor or outdoor sources, and other biological contaminants such as pollen, viruses and bacteria. Indoor exposure to airborne toxins or irritants can be alleged to cause a variety of adverse health effects and symptoms, including allergic or other reactions. As a result, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants at any of our properties could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold or other airborne contaminants or to increase ventilation. In addition, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants could expose us to liability from our tenants or others if property damage or personal injury occurs.

Compliance or Failure to Comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 or Other Safety Regulations and Requirements Could Result in Substantial Costs. The Americans with Disabilities Act generally requires that public buildings, including our properties, be made accessible to disabled persons. Noncompliance could result in the imposition of fines by the federal government or the award of damages to private litigants. From time to time claims may be asserted against us with respect to some of our properties under this Act. If, under the Americans with Disabilities Act, we are required to make substantial alterations and capital expenditures in one or more of our properties, including the removal of access barriers, it could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our properties are subject to various federal, state and local regulatory requirements, such as state and local fire and life safety requirements. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we could incur fines or private damage awards. We do not know whether existing requirements will change or whether compliance with future requirements will require significant unanticipated expenditures that will affect our cash flow and results of operations.

Real Estate Tax and Other Laws. Generally we do not directly pass through costs resulting from compliance with or changes in real estate tax laws to residential property tenants. We also do not generally pass through increases in income, service or other taxes, to tenants under leases. These costs may adversely affect net operating income and the ability to make distributions to stockholders. Similarly, compliance with or changes in (i) laws increasing the potential liability for environmental conditions existing on properties or the restrictions on discharges or other conditions or (ii) rent control or rent stabilization laws or other laws regulating housing, such as the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, may result in significant unanticipated expenditures, which would adversely affect funds from operations and the ability to make distributions to stockholders.

Risk of Damage from Catastrophic Weather Events. Certain of our communities are located in the general vicinity of active earthquake faults, mudslides and fires, and others where there are hurricanes, tornadoes or risks of other inclement weather. The adverse weather events could cause damage or losses that may be greater than insured levels. In the event of a loss in excess of insured limits, we could lose our capital invested in the affected community, as well as anticipated future revenue from that community. We would also continue to be obligated to repay any mortgage

indebtedness or other obligations related to the community. Any such loss could materially and adversely affect our business and our financial condition and results of operations.

Actual or Threatened Terrorist Attacks May Have an Adverse Effect on Our Business and Operating Results and Could Decrease the Value of Our Assets. Actual or threatened terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war could have a material adverse effect on our business and operating results. Attacks that directly impact one or more of our apartment communities could significantly affect our ability to operate those communities and thereby impair our ability to achieve our expected results. Further, our insurance coverage may not cover all losses caused by a terrorist attack. In addition, the adverse effects that such violent acts and threats of future attacks could have on the U.S. economy could similarly have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

We May Experience a Decline in the Fair Value of Our Assets and Be Forced to Recognize Impairment Charges, Which Could Materially and Adversely Impact Our Financial Condition, Liquidity and Results of Operations and the Market Price of Our Common Stock. A decline in the fair value of our assets may require us to recognize an impairment against such assets under GAAP if we were to determine that, with respect to any assets in unrealized loss positions, we do not have the ability and intent to hold such assets to maturity or for a period of time sufficient to allow for recovery to the amortized cost of such assets. If such a determination were to be made, we would recognize unrealized losses through earnings and write down the amortized cost of such assets to a new cost basis, based on the fair value of such assets on the date they are considered to be impaired. Such impairment charges reflect non-cash losses at the time of recognition; subsequent disposition or sale of such assets could further affect our future losses or gains, as they are based on the difference between the sale price received and adjusted amortized cost of such assets at the time of sale. If we are required to recognize asset impairment charges in the future, these charges could materially and adversely affect our financial condition, liquidity, results of operations and the per share trading price of our common stock.

Any Weaknesses Identified in Our Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Could Have an Adverse Effect on Our Stock Price. Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires us to evaluate and report on our internal control over financial reporting. If we identify one or more material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting, we could lose investor confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports, which in turn could have an adverse effect on our stock price.

Our Success Depends on Our Senior Management. Our success depends upon the retention of our senior management, whose continued service in not guaranteed. We may not be able to find qualified replacements for the individuals who make up our senior management if their services should no longer be available to us. The loss of services of one or more members of our senior management team could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We May be Adversely Affected by New Laws and Regulations. The current United States administration and Congress have enacted, or called for consideration of, proposals relating to a variety of issues, including with respect to health care, financial regulation reform, climate control, executive compensation and others. We believe that these and other potential proposals could have varying degrees of impact on us ranging from minimal to material. At this time, we are unable to predict with certainty what level of impact specific proposals could have on us.

Certain rulemaking and administrative efforts that may have an impact on us focus principally on the areas perceived as contributing to the global financial crisis and the continuing economic downturn. These initiatives have created a degree of uncertainty regarding the basic rules governing the real estate industry and many other businesses that is unprecedented in the United States at least since the wave of lawmaking and regulatory reform that followed in the wake of the Great Depression. The federal legislative response in this area has culminated most recently in the enactment on July 21, 2010 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act). Many of the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act have extended implementation periods and delayed effective dates and will require extensive rulemaking by regulatory authorities; thus, the impact on us may not be known for an extended period of time. The Dodd-Frank Act, including future rules implementing its provisions and the interpretation of those

rules, along with other legislative and regulatory proposals that are proposed or pending in the United States Congress, may

limit our revenues, impose fees or taxes on us, and/or intensify the regulatory framework in which we operate in ways that are not currently identifiable.

Changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure in particular, including certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, have created uncertainty for public companies like ours and could significantly increase the costs and risks associated with accessing the U.S. public markets. Because we are committed to maintaining high standards of internal control over financial reporting, corporate governance and public disclosure, our management team will need to devote significant time and financial resources to comply with these evolving standards for public companies. We intend to continue to invest appropriate resources to comply with both existing and evolving standards, and this investment has resulted and will likely continue to result in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management time and attention from revenue generating activities to compliance activities.

Changes in the System for Establishing U.S. Accounting Standards May Materially and Adversely Affect Our Reported Results of Operations. Accounting for public companies in the United States has historically been conducted in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles as in effect in the United States (GAAP). GAAP is established by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB), an independent body whose standards are recognized by the SEC as authoritative for publicly held companies. The International Accounting Standards Board (the IASB) is a London-based independent board established in 2001 and charged with the development of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). IFRS generally reflects accounting practices that prevail in Europe and in developed nations around the world.

IFRS differs in material respects from GAAP. Among other things, IFRS has historically relied more on fair value models of accounting for assets and liabilities than GAAP. Fair value models are based on periodic revaluation of assets and liabilities, often resulting in fluctuations in such values as compared to GAAP, which relies more frequently on historical cost as the basis for asset and liability valuation.

The SEC has proposed the mandatory adoption of IFRS by United States public companies starting in 2015, with early adoption permitted before that date. It is unclear at this time how the SEC will propose that GAAP and IFRS be harmonized if the proposed change is adopted. In addition, switching to a new method of accounting and adopting IFRS will be a complex undertaking. We may need to develop new systems and controls based on the principles of IFRS. Since these are new endeavors, and the precise requirements of the pronouncements ultimately adopted are not now known, the magnitude of costs associated with this conversion are uncertain.

We are currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of IFRS on our financial position and results of operations. Such evaluation cannot be completed, however, without more clarity regarding the specific IFRS standards that will be adopted. Until there is more certainty with respect to the IFRS standards to be adopted, prospective investors should consider that our conversion to IFRS could have a material adverse impact on our reported results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Indebtedness and Financings

Insufficient Cash Flow Could Affect Our Debt Financing and Create Refinancing Risk. We are subject to the risks normally associated with debt financing, including the risk that our operating income and cash flow will be insufficient to make required payments of principal and interest, or could restrict our borrowing capacity under our line of credit due to debt covenant restraints. Sufficient cash flow may not be available to make all required principal payments and still satisfy UDR Inc. s distribution requirements to maintain its status as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. In addition, the full limits of our line of credit may not be available to us if our operating performance falls outside the constraints of our debt covenants. We are also likely to need to refinance substantially all of our

outstanding debt as it matures. We may not be able to refinance existing debt, or the terms of any refinancing may not be as favorable as the terms of the existing debt, which could create pressures to sell assets or to issue additional equity when we would otherwise not choose to do so. In addition, our failure to comply with our debt covenants could result in a requirement to

repay our indebtedness prior to its maturity, which could have an adverse effect on our cash flow, increase our financing costs and impact our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Failure to Generate Sufficient Revenue Could Impair Debt Service Payments and Distributions to Stockholders. If our apartment communities do not generate sufficient net rental income to meet rental expenses, our ability to make required payments of interest and principal on our debt securities and to pay distributions to UDR, Inc. s stockholders will be adversely affected. The following factors, among others, may affect the net rental income generated by our apartment communities:

the national and local economies;

local real estate market conditions, such as an oversupply of apartment homes;

tenants perceptions of the safety, convenience, and attractiveness of our communities and the neighborhoods where they are located;

our ability to provide adequate management, maintenance and insurance;

rental expenses, including real estate taxes and utilities;

competition from other apartment communities;

changes in interest rates and the availability of financing;

changes in governmental regulations and the related costs of compliance; and

changes in tax and housing laws, including the enactment of rent control laws or other laws regulating multi-family housing.

Expenses associated with our investment in an apartment community, such as debt service, real estate taxes, insurance and maintenance costs, are generally not reduced when circumstances cause a reduction in rental income from that community. If a community is mortgaged to secure payment of debt and we are unable to make the mortgage payments, we could sustain a loss as a result of foreclosure on the community or the exercise of other remedies by the mortgage holder.

Debt Level May Be Increased. Our current debt policy does not contain any limitations on the level of debt that we may incur, although our ability to incur debt is limited by covenants in our bank and other credit agreements. We manage our debt to be in compliance with these debt covenants, but subject to compliance with these covenants, we may increase the amount of our debt at any time without a concurrent improvement in our ability to service the additional debt.

Financing May Not Be Available and Could Be Dilutive. Our ability to execute our business strategy depends on our access to an appropriate blend of debt financing, including unsecured lines of credit and other forms of secured and unsecured debt, and equity financing, including common and preferred equity. We and other companies in the real estate industry have experienced limited availability of financing from time to time. If we issue additional equity securities to finance developments and acquisitions instead of incurring debt, the interests of our existing stockholders could be diluted.

Disruptions in Financial Markets May Adversely Impact Availability and Cost of Credit and Have Other Adverse Effects on Us and the Market Price of Our Stock. Our ability to make scheduled payments or to refinance debt obligations will depend on our operating and financial performance, which in turn is subject to prevailing economic conditions and to financial, business and other factors beyond our control. During the past few years, the United States stock and credit markets have experienced significant price volatility, dislocations and liquidity disruptions, which have caused market prices of many stocks to fluctuate substantially and the spreads on prospective debt financings to widen considerably. These circumstances have materially impacted liquidity in the financial markets, making terms for certain financings less attractive, and in some cases have resulted in the unavailability of financing. Continued uncertainty in the stock and credit markets may negatively impact our ability to access additional financing for acquisitions, development of our properties and other purposes at reasonable terms, which may negatively affect our business. Additionally, due to this uncertainty, we may be unable to refinance our existing indebtedness or the terms of any refinancing may not

be as favorable as the terms of our existing indebtedness. If we are not successful in refinancing this debt when it becomes due, we may be forced to dispose of properties on disadvantageous terms, which might adversely affect our ability to service other debt and to meet our other obligations. A prolonged downturn in the financial markets may cause us to seek alternative sources of potentially less attractive financing, and may require us to adjust our business plan accordingly. These events also may make it more difficult or costly for us to raise capital through the issuance of our common or preferred stock. The disruptions in the financial markets have had and may continue to have a material adverse effect on the market value of our common shares and other adverse effects on us and our business.

Prospective buyers of our properties may also experience difficulty in obtaining debt financing which might make it more difficult for us to sell properties at acceptable pricing levels. Tightening of credit in financial markets and high unemployment rates may also adversely affect the ability of tenants to meet their lease obligations and for us to continue increasing rents on a prospective basis. Disruptions in the credit and financial markets may also have other adverse effects on us and the overall economy.

A Change in U.S. Government Policy Regarding Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac Could Have a Material Adverse Impact on Our Business. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are a major source of financing for secured multifamily rental real estate. We and other multifamily companies depend heavily on Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to finance growth by purchasing or guaranteeing apartment loans. In September 2008, the U.S. government assumed control of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and placed both companies into a government conservatorship under the Federal Housing Finance Agency. The Administration has recently proposed potential options for the future of mortgage finance in the U.S. that could involve the phase out of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. While we believe Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac will continue to provide liquidity to our sector, should they discontinue doing so, have their mandates changed or reduced or be disbanded or reorganized by the government, it would significantly reduce our access to debt capital and adversely affect our ability to finance or refinance existing indebtedness at competitive rates and it may adversely affect our ability to sale assets. Uncertainty in the future activity and involvement of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac as a source of financing could negatively impact our ability to make acquisitions and make it more difficult or not possible for us to sell properties or may adversely affect the price we receive for properties that we do sell, as prospective buyers may experience increased costs of debt financing or difficulties in obtaining debt financing.

The Soundness of Financial Institutions Could Adversely Affect Us. We have relationships with many financial institutions, including lenders under our credit facilities, and, from time to time, we execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry. As a result, defaults by, or even rumors or questions about, financial institutions or the financial services industry generally, could result in losses or defaults by these institutions. In the event that the volatility of the financial markets adversely affects these financial institutions or counterparties, we or other parties to the transactions with us may be unable to complete transactions as intended, which could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Changing Interest Rates Could Increase Interest Costs and Adversely Affect Our Cash Flow and the Market Price of Our Securities. We currently have, and expect to incur in the future, interest-bearing debt at rates that vary with market interest rates. As of December 31, 2010, UDR, Inc. had approximately \$1 billion of variable rate indebtedness outstanding, which constitutes approximately 29% of total outstanding indebtedness as of such date. As of December 31, 2010, the Operating Partnership had approximately \$304 million of variable rate indebtedness outstanding, which constitutes approximately 28% of total outstanding indebtedness as of such date. An increase in interest rates would increase our interest expenses and increase the costs of refinancing existing indebtedness and of issuing new debt. Accordingly, higher interest rates could adversely affect cash flow and our ability to service our debt and to make distributions to security holders. The effect of prolonged interest rate increases could negatively impact our ability to make acquisitions and develop properties. In addition, an increase in market interest rates may lead our security holders to demand a higher annual yield, which could adversely affect the market price of our common and preferred stock and debt securities.

Interest Rate Hedging Contracts May Be Ineffective and May Result in Material Charges. From time to time when we anticipate issuing debt securities, we may seek to limit our exposure to fluctuations in interest

rates during the period prior to the pricing of the securities by entering into interest rate hedging contracts. We may do this to increase the predictability of our financing costs. Also, from time to time we may rely on interest rate hedging contracts to limit our exposure under variable rate debt to unfavorable changes in market interest rates. If the terms of new debt securities are not within the parameters of, or market interest rates fall below that which we incur under a particular interest rate hedging contract, the contract is ineffective. Furthermore, the settlement of interest rate hedging arrangements may expose us to additional risks, including a risk that a counterparty to a hedging arrangement may fail to honor its obligations. Developing an effective interest rate risk strategy is complex and no strategy can completely insulate us from risks associated with interest rate fluctuations. There can be no assurance that our hedging activities will have desired beneficial impact on our results of operations or financial condition. Termination of these hedging agreements typically involves costs, such as transaction fees or breakage costs.

Risks Related to Tax Laws

We Would Incur Adverse Tax Consequences if UDR Failed to Qualify as a REIT. UDR has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code. Our qualification as a REIT requires us to satisfy numerous requirements, some on an annual and quarterly basis, established under highly technical and complex Code provisions for which there are only limited judicial or administrative interpretations, and involves the determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control. We intend that our current organization and method of operation enable us to continue to qualify as a REIT, but we may not so qualify or we may not be able to remain so qualified in the future. In addition, U.S. federal income tax laws governing REITs and other corporations and the administrative interpretations of those laws may be amended at any time, potentially with retroactive effect. Future legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions could adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT or adversely affect our stockholders.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we would be subject to federal income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on our taxable income at regular corporate rates, and would not be allowed to deduct dividends paid to our stockholders in computing our taxable income. Also, unless the Internal Revenue Service granted us relief under certain statutory provisions, we could not re-elect REIT status until the fifth calendar year after the year in which we first failed to qualify as a REIT. The additional tax liability from the failure to qualify as a REIT would reduce or eliminate the amount of cash available for investment or distribution to our stockholders. This would likely have a significant adverse effect on the value of our securities and our ability to raise additional capital. In addition, we would no longer be required to make distributions to our stockholders. Even if we continue to qualify as a REIT, we will continue to be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and property.

REITs May Pay a Portion of Dividends in Common Stock. In December 2009, the Internal Revenue Service issued Revenue Procedure 2010-12, which expanded previously issued temporary guidance relating to certain stock distributions made by publicly traded REITs to satisfy their tax-related distribution requirements. This expanded temporary guidance is intended to permit REITs to limit cash distributions in order to maintain liquidity during the current downturn in economic conditions. Under this expanded guidance, for stock dividends declared on or after January 1, 2008 and before December 31, 2012, with respect to a taxable year ending on or before December 31, 2011, the Internal Revenue Service will treat a distribution of stock by a publicly traded REIT, pursuant to certain stockholder elections to receive either stock or cash, as a taxable distribution of property, provided that, among other conditions, (i) the total amount of cash available for distribution is not less than 10% of the aggregate declared distribution, and (ii) if too many stockholders elect to receive entitlement under the declaration, but in no event will any such electing stockholder receive less than 10% of the stockholder sentire entitlement in money. The amount of such stock distribution will generally be treated as equal to the amount of cash that could have been received instead. If we pay a portion of our dividends in shares of our common stock pursuant to this temporary guidance, our

stockholders may receive less cash than they received in distributions in prior years and the market value of our securities may decline.

UDR May Conduct a Portion of Our Business Through Taxable REIT Subsidiaries, Which are Subject to Certain Tax Risks. We have established several taxable REIT subsidiaries. Despite UDR s qualification as a REIT, its taxable REIT subsidiaries must pay income tax on their taxable income. In addition, we must comply with various tests to continue to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, and our income from and investments in our taxable REIT subsidiaries generally do not constitute permissible income and investments for these tests. While we will attempt to ensure that our dealings with our taxable REIT subsidiaries will not adversely affect our REIT qualification, we cannot provide assurance that we will successfully achieve that result. Furthermore, we may be subject to a 100% penalty tax, we may jeopardize our ability to retain future gains on real property sales, or our taxable REIT subsidiaries may be denied deductions, to the extent our dealings with our taxable REIT subsidiaries are not deemed to be arm s length in nature or are otherwise not respected.

REIT Distribution Requirements Limit Our Available Cash. As a REIT, UDR is subject to annual distribution requirements, which limit the amount of cash we retain for other business purposes, including amounts to fund our growth. We generally must distribute annually at least 90% of our net REIT taxable income, excluding any net capital gain, in order for our distributed earnings not to be subject to corporate income tax. We intend to make distributions to our stockholders to comply with the requirements of the Code. However, differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash could require us to sell assets or borrow funds on a short-term or long-term basis to meet the 90% distribution requirement of the Code.

Certain Property Transfers May Generate Prohibited Transaction Income, Resulting in a Penalty Tax on Gain Attributable to the Transaction. From time to time, we may transfer or otherwise dispose of some of our properties. Under the Code, any gain resulting from transfers of properties that we hold as inventory or primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business would be treated as income from a prohibited transaction and subject to a 100% penalty tax. Since we acquire properties for investment purposes, we do not believe that our occasional transfers or disposals of property are prohibited transactions. However, whether property is held for investment purposes is a question of fact that depends on all the facts and circumstances surrounding the particular transaction. The Internal Revenue Service may contend that certain transfers or disposals of property constituted a prohibited transaction, then we would be required to pay a 100% penalty tax on any gain allocable to us from the prohibited transaction and we may jeopardize our ability to retain future gains on real property sales. In addition, income from a prohibited transaction might adversely affect UDR s ability to satisfy the income tests for qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes.

We Could Face Possible State and Local Tax Audits and Adverse Changes in State and Local Tax Laws. As discussed in the risk factors above, because UDR is organized and qualifies as a REIT it is generally not subject to federal income taxes, but it is subject to certain state and local taxes. From time to time, changes in state and local tax laws or regulations are enacted, which may result in an increase in our tax liability. A shortfall in tax revenues for states and municipalities in which we own apartment communities may lead to an increase in the frequency and size of such changes. If such changes occur, we may be required to pay additional state and local taxes. These increased tax costs could adversely affect our financial condition and the amount of cash available for the payment of distributions to our stockholders. In the normal course of business, entities through which we own real estate may also become subject to tax audits. If such entities become subject to state or local tax audits, the ultimate result of such audits could have an adverse effect on our financial condition.

The Operating Partnership Intends to Qualify as a Partnership, But Cannot Guarantee That It Will Qualify. The Operating Partnership intends to qualify as a partnership for federal income tax purposes at any such time that the Operating Partnership admits additional limited partners other than UDR, Inc. If classified as a partnership, the Operating Partnership generally will not be a taxable entity and will not incur federal income tax liability. However, the Operating Partnership would be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes if it were a publicly

traded partnership, unless at least 90% of the Operating Partnership s income was qualifying income as defined in the Code. A publicly traded partnership is a partnership whose

partnership interests are traded on an established securities market or are readily tradable on a secondary market (or the substantial equivalent thereof). Although the Operating Partnership s partnership units are not traded on an established securities market, because of the redemption right, the Operating Partnership s units held by limited partners could be viewed as readily tradable on a secondary market (or the substantial equivalent thereof), and the Operating Partnership may not qualify for one of the safe harbors under the applicable tax regulations. Qualifying income for the 90% test generally includes passive income, such as real property rents, dividends and interest. The income requirements applicable to REITs and the definition of qualifying income for purposes of this 90% test are similar in most respects. The Operating Partnership may not meet this qualifying income test. If the Operating Partnership were to be taxed as a corporation, it would incur substantial tax liabilities, and UDR, Inc. would then fail to qualify as a REIT for tax purposes, unless it qualified for relief under certain statutory savings provisions, and our ability to raise additional capital would be impaired.

Risks Related to Our Organization and Ownership of UDR, Inc. s Stock

Changes in Market Conditions and Volatility of Stock Prices Could Adversely Affect the Market Price of Our Common Stock. The stock markets, including the New York Stock Exchange, on which we list UDR, Inc s common stock, have experienced significant price and volume fluctuations. As a result, the market price of our common stock could be similarly volatile, and investors in our common stock may experience a decrease in the value of their shares, including decreases unrelated to our operating performance or prospects. In addition to the risks listed in this Risk Factors section, a number of factors could negatively affect the price per share of our common stock, including:

general market and economic conditions;

actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly operating results or dividends or our payment of dividends in shares of our stock;

changes in our funds from operations or earnings estimates;

difficulties or inability to access capital or extend or refinance existing debt;

decreasing (or uncertainty in) real estate valuations;

changes in market valuations of similar companies;

publication of research reports about us or the real estate industry;

the general reputation of real estate investment trusts and the attractiveness of their equity securities in comparison to other equity securities (including securities issued by other real estate companies);

general stock and bond market conditions, including changes in interest rates on fixed income securities, that may lead prospective purchasers of our stock to demand a higher annual yield from future dividends;

a change in analyst ratings;

additions or departures of key management personnel;

adverse market reaction to any additional debt we incur in the future;

speculation in the press or investment community;

terrorist activity which may adversely affect the markets in which our securities trade, possibly increasing market volatility and causing the further erosion of business and consumer confidence and spending;

failure to qualify as a REIT;

strategic decisions by us or by our competitors, such as acquisitions, divestments, spin-offs, joint ventures, strategic investments or changes in business strategy;

failure to satisfy listing requirements of the NYSE;

governmental regulatory action and changes in tax laws; and

the issuance of additional shares of our common stock, or the perception that such sales might occur, including under our at-the-market equity distribution program.

Many of the factors listed above are beyond our control. These factors may cause the market price of shares of our common stock to decline, regardless of our financial condition, results of operations, business or our prospects.

We May Change the Dividend Policy for Our Common Stock in the Future. The decision to declare and pay dividends on UDR s common stock, as well as the timing, amount and composition of any such future dividends, will be at the sole discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our earnings, funds from operations, liquidity, financial condition, capital requirements, contractual prohibitions or other limitations under our indebtedness, the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Code, state law and such other factors as our board of directors considers relevant. Any change in our dividend policy could have a material adverse effect on the market price of UDR s common stock.

Maryland Law May Limit the Ability of a Third Party to Acquire Control of Us, Which May Not be in Our Stockholders Best Interests. Maryland business statutes may limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of us. As a Maryland corporation, we are subject to various Maryland laws which may have the effect of discouraging offers to acquire our Company and of increasing the difficulty of consummating any such offers, even if our acquisition would be in our stockholders best interests. The Maryland General Corporation Law restricts mergers and other business combination transactions between us and any person who acquires beneficial ownership of shares of our stock representing 10% or more of the voting power without our board of directors prior approval. Any such business combination transaction could not be completed until five years after the person acquired such voting power, and generally only with the approval of stockholders representing 80% of all votes entitled to be cast and 662/3% of the votes entitled to be cast, excluding the interested stockholder, or upon payment of a fair price. Maryland law also provides generally that a person who acquires shares of our equity stock that represents 10% (and certain higher levels) of the voting power in electing directors will have no voting rights unless approved by a vote of two-thirds of the shares eligible to vote.

Limitations on Share Ownership and Limitations on the Ability of Our Stockholders to Effect a Change in Control of Our Company Restricts the Transferability of Our Stock and May Prevent Takeovers That are Beneficial to Our Stockholders. One of the requirements for maintenance of our qualification as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes is that no more than 50% in value of our outstanding capital stock may be owned by five or fewer individuals, including entities specified in the Code, during the last half of any taxable year. Our charter contains ownership and transfer restrictions relating to our stock primarily to assist us in complying with this and other REIT ownership requirements; however, the restrictions may have the effect of preventing a change of control, which does not threaten REIT status. These restrictions include a provision that generally limits ownership by any person of more than 9.9% of the value of our outstanding equity stock, unless our board of directors exempts the person from such ownership limitation, provided that any such exemption shall not allow the person to exceed 13% of the value of our outstanding equity stock. Absent such an exemption from our board of directors, the transfer of our stock to any person in excess of the applicable ownership limit, or any transfer of shares of such stock in violation of the ownership requirements of the Code for REITs, will be considered null and void, and the intended transferee of such stock will acquire no rights in such shares. These provisions of our charter may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing someone from taking control of us, even though a change of control might involve a premium price for our stockholders or might otherwise be in our stockholders best interests.

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Item 2. PROPERTIES

At December 31, 2010, our consolidated apartment portfolio included 172 communities located in 23 markets, with a total of 48,553 completed apartment homes.

We lease approximately 35,000 square feet of office space in Highlands Ranch, Colorado, for our corporate headquarters and lease an additional 39,000 square feet for two regional offices located in Dallas, Texas and Richmond, Virginia. The lease term on 21,000 square feet in Richmond, Virginia expires in February 2011.

The tables below set forth a summary of real estate portfolio by geographic market of the Company and of the Operating Partnership at December 31, 2010.

SUMMARY OF REAL ESTATE PORTFOLIO BY GEOGRAPHIC MARKET AT DECEMBER 31, 2010

UDR, INC.

	Number of Apartmen a	of	Percentage of Carrying	Carrying Value	Enc	umbrances	(Cost per	Average Physical	Averag Home Size Square
	Communitie	esHomes	Value	(In thousands)	th	(In ousands)		Home	Occupancy	Feet
ESTERN REGION										
range County, CA	14	4,479	12.4%	\$ 853,952	\$	348,808	\$	190,657	95.2%	841
an Francisco, CA	11	2,339	8.1%	555,023		105,236		237,291	93.7%	805
os Angeles, CA	9	2,261	8.5%	583,553		232,198		258,095	95.8%	950
eattle, WA	11	2,165	6.8%	465,661		54,278		215,086	95.3%	882
an Diego, CA	5	1,123	2.5%	174,659		21,774		155,529	95.3%	797
Ionterey Peninsula, CA	7	1,565	2.2%	152,645		-		97,537	94.1%	724
land Empire, CA	3	1,074	2.2%	150,276		81,279		139,922	94.9%	886
acramento, CA	2	914	1.0%	68,061		46,611		74,465	93.5%	820
ortland, OR	3	716	1.0%	69,543		43,037		97,127	95.9%	918
IID-ATLANTIC REGION				,		,		,		
letropolitan DC	13	4,343	11.5%	790,243		194,172		181,958	92.3%(a)	963
altimore, MD	11	2,301	4.3%	297,685		131,460		129,372	96.5%	1,001
ichmond, VA	6	2,211	2.7%	187,044		67,820		84,597	95.9%	966
orfolk, VA	6	1,438	1.2%	84,401				58,693	95.5%	1,016
oston, MA	2	346	2.0%	137,692		25,375		397,954	94.1%	1,041
ther Mid-Atlantic	6	1,491	1.9%	128,216		32,126		85,993		972
OUTHEASTERN REGION	N	,		,		,		,		
ampa, FL	11	3,804	4.9%	334,062		50,682		87,819	95.5%	963
rlando, FL	11	3,167	3.9%	271,043		88,009		85,584	94.4%	978
ashville, TN	8	2,260	2.6%	180,413		25,294		79,829		933
cksonville, FL	5	1,857	2.3%	156,540		17,930		84,297		913
ther Florida	4	1,184	1.6%	112,072		40,133		94,655		1,035
OUTHWESTERN REGIO	Ν	,	-	,		, -		,		,
allas, TX	13	4,320	7.1%	486,109		211,265		112,525	86.8%(a)	906

6	1,744	2.5%	169,990	62,132		97,471	88.3%(a)	970
2	640	1.3%	92,361	25,079		144,314	93.4%	888
3	811	0.9%	65,218	36,701		80,417	94.1%	859
172	48,553	95.4%	\$ 6,566,462	\$ 1,941,399	\$	135,243	93.5%	919
		1.4%	97,912					
		2.0%	131,889					
		1.2%	85,084	22,271				
172	48,553	100.0%	\$ 6,881,347	\$ 1,963,670				
	2 3 172	2 640 3 811 172 48,553	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

(a) Markets include properties in lease-up during the year.

(b) The Company is currently developing four wholly-owned communities with 930 apartment homes that have not yet been completed.

SUMMARY OF REAL ESTATE PORTFOLIO BY GEOGRAPHIC MARKET AT DECEMBER 31, 2010

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

	Number	Number I	Percentage					Average Home	
	of	of	of	Carrying			Average	Size	
	Apartment Carry		Carrying	Value (In	Encumbrances (In	Cost per	Physical	(In Square	
	Communitie	Homes	Value	thousands)	thousands)	Home	Occupancy	Feet)	
WESTERN REGION									
Orange County, CA	12	4,124	20.6%	\$ 765,097	\$ 348,808	\$ 185,523	95.4%	820	
San Francisco, CA	10	2,315	14.6%	542,531	105,236	234,355	93.6%	806	
Los Angeles, CA	6	1,222	7.2%	265,084	64,499	216,926	96.0%	967	
Seattle, WA	5	932	5.6%	206,953	33,777	222,053	96.6%	865	
San Diego, CA	3	689	2.7%	99,586	21,774	144,537	95.1%	788	
Monterey Peninsula, CA	7	1,565	4.1%	152,645		97,537	94.1%	724	
Inland Empire, CA	2	834	3.2%	119,199	54,308	142,924	95.0%	882	
Sacramento, CA	2	914	1.8%	68,061	46,611	74,465	93.5%	820	
Portland, OR	3	716	1.9%	69,543	43,037	97,127	95.9%	918	
MID-ATLANTIC REGION	N								
Metropolitan DC	8	2,565	15.5%	574,504	98,174	223,978	96.4%	948	
Baltimore, MD	5	994	3.9%	145,968	82,887	146,849	96.4%	971	
SOUTHEASTERN REGIO	N								
Tampa, FL	3	1,154	2.9%	109,081	7,330	94,524		1,029	
Nashville, TN	6	1,612	3.4%	127,178		78,895	96.5%	925	
Jacksonville, FL	1	400	1.1%	42,292		105,730	94.9%	964	
Other Florida	1	636	2.1%	76,310	40,133	119,984	95.1%	1,130	
SOUTHWESTERN REGIO	ON								
Dallas, TX	2	1,348	4.9%	182,840	90,475	135,638	95.6%	909	
Phoenix, AZ	3	914	1.9%	71,646	33,012	78,387	95.3%	1,000	
Austin, TX	1	250	0.8%	32,180		128,720	89.8%	883	
Other Texas	1	167	0.8%	19,179		114,844	Ļ	710	
Total Operating Communit	t ies 81	23,351	99.0%	3,669,877	1,070,061	157,161	94.6%	887	
Land and other			1.0%	36,307					
Total Real Estate Owned	81	23,351	100.0%	\$ 3,706,184	\$ 1,070,061				

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are subject to various legal proceedings and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. We cannot determine the ultimate liability with respect to such legal proceedings and claims at this time. We believe that such liability, to the extent not provided for through insurance or otherwise, will not have a material adverse effect on our

financial condition, results of operations or cash flow.

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

PART II

Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER

MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

UDR, Inc.

Common Stock

UDR, Inc. s common stock has been listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol UDR since May 7, 1990. The following tables set forth the quarterly high and low sale prices per common share reported on the NYSE for each quarter of the last two fiscal years. Distribution information for common stock reflects distributions declared per share for each calendar quarter and paid at the end of the following month.

		2010		2009					
			Distributions	5		Distributions			
	High	Low	Declared	High	Low	Declared			
Quarter ended March 31,	\$ 18.26	\$ 14.47	\$ 0.180	\$ 14.27	\$ 6.73	\$ 0.310			
Quarter ended June 30,	\$ 21.82	\$ 17.57	\$ 0.180	\$ 11.92	\$ 7.93	\$ 0.180			
Quarter ended September 30,	\$ 22.26	\$ 17.93	\$ 0.185	\$ 16.23	\$ 9.06	\$ 0.180			
Quarter ended December 31,	\$ 24.10	\$ 20.99	\$ 0.185	\$ 17.26	\$ 13.93	\$ 0.180			

On February 17, 2011, the closing sale price of our common stock was \$23.82 per share on the NYSE and there were 4,804 holders of record of the 182,496,330 outstanding shares of our common stock.

We have determined that, for federal income tax purposes, approximately 95% of the distributions for 2010 represented ordinary income, 3% represented long-term capital gain, and 2% represented unrecaptured section 1250 gain.

UDR pays regular quarterly distributions to holders of its common stock. Future distributions will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on our actual funds from operations, financial condition and capital requirements, the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Code, and other factors. The annual distribution payment for calendar year 2010 necessary for us to maintain our status as a REIT was \$0.002 per share of common stock. We declared total distributions of \$0.73 per share of common stock for 2010.

Series E Preferred Stock

The Series E Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock (Series E) has no stated par value and a liquidation preference of 16.61 per share. Subject to certain adjustments and conditions, each share of the Series E is convertible at any time and from time to time at the holder s option into one share of our common stock prior to the Special Dividend. The holders of the Series E are entitled to vote on an as-converted basis as a single class in combination with the holders of common stock at any meeting of our stockholders for the election of directors or for any other purpose on which the holders of common stock are entitled to vote. The Series E has no stated maturity and is not subject to any sinking fund or any mandatory redemption. In connection with the Special Dividend, the Company reserved for issuance upon

conversion of the Series E additional shares of common stock to which a holder of the Series E would have received if the holder had converted the Series E immediately prior to the record date for the Special Dividend.

Distributions declared on the Series E in 2010 were \$1.33 per share or \$0.3322 per quarter. The Series E is not listed on any exchange. At December 31, 2010, a total of 2,803,812 shares of the Series E were outstanding.

Series F Preferred Stock

We are authorized to issue up to 20,000,000 shares of our Series F (Series F) Preferred Stock. The Series F Preferred Stock may be purchased by holders of our Operating Partnership Units, or OP Units, described below under Operating Partnership Units, at a purchase price of \$0.0001 per share. OP Unitholders are entitled to subscribe for and purchase one share of the Series F for each OP Unit held. At December 31,

2010, a total of 3,208,706 shares of the Series F were outstanding at a value of \$321. Holders of the Series F are entitled to one vote for each share of the Series F they hold, voting together with the holders of our common stock, on each matter submitted to a vote of security holders at a meeting of our stockholders. The Series F does not entitle its holders to any other rights, privileges or preferences.

Series G Preferred Stock

In May 2007, UDR issued 5,400,000 shares of our 6.75% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (Series G). The Series G has no stated par value and a liquidation preference of \$25 per share. The Series G generally has no voting rights except under certain limited circumstances and as required by law. The Series G has no stated maturity and is not subject to any sinking fund or mandatory redemption and is not convertible into any of our other securities. The Series G is not redeemable prior to May 31, 2012. On or after this date, the Series G may be redeemed for cash at our option, in whole or in part, at a redemption price of \$25 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company repurchased 27,400 shares of Series G, for less than the liquidation preference of \$25 per share resulting in a \$25,000 benefit to our net loss attributable to common stockholders. Distributions declared on the Series G for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$1.69 per share. The Series G is listed on the NYSE under the symbol UDRPrG. At December 31, 2010, a total of 3,405,562 shares of the Series G were outstanding.

Distribution Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan

We have a Distribution Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan under which holders of our common stock may elect to automatically reinvest their distributions and make additional cash payments to acquire additional shares of our common stock. Stockholders who do not participate in the plan continue to receive distributions as declared. As of February 17, 2011, there were approximately 2,707 participants in the plan.

United Dominion Realty, L.P.

Operating Partnership Units

There is no established public trading market for United Dominion Realty, L.P. s Operating Partnership Units. From time to time we issue shares of our common stock in exchange for OP Units tendered to the Operating Partnership, for redemption in accordance with the provisions of the Operating Partnership s limited partnership agreement. At December 31, 2010, there were 179,909,408 OP Units outstanding in the Operating Partnership, of which 174,847,440 OP Units or 97.2% were owned by UDR and 5,061,968 OP Units or 2.8% were owned by limited partners. Under the terms of the Operating Partnership s limited partnership agreement, the holders of OP Units have the right to require the Operating Partnership to redeem all or a portion of the OP Units held by the holder in exchange for a cash payment based on the market value of our common stock at the time of redemption. However, the Operating Partnership s obligation to pay the cash amount is subject to the prior right of the Company to acquire such OP Units in exchange for either the cash amount or the number of shares of our common stock equal to the number of OP Units being redeemed. During 2010, we issued a total of 924,624 shares of common stock upon redemption of OP Units.

Purchases of Equity Securities

In February 2006, UDR s Board of Directors authorized a 10,000,000 share repurchase program. In January 2008, UDR s Board of Directors authorized a new 15,000,000 share repurchase program. Under the two share repurchase programs, UDR may repurchase shares of our common stock in open market purchases, block purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. As reflected in the table below, no shares of common stock were repurchased under these programs during the quarter ended December 31, 2010.

The following tables set forth certain information regarding our common stock repurchases during the quarter ended December 31, 2010.

	Total Number of Shares		verage ice per	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or
Period	Purchased	9	Share	Programs	Programs(1)
Beginning Balance October 1, 2010 through October 31, 2010 November 1, 2010 through November 30, 2010 December 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010	9,967,490	\$	22.00	9,967,490	15,032,510 15,032,510 15,032,510 15,032,510
Balance as of December 31, 2010	9,967,490	\$	22.00	9,967,490	15,032,510

(1) This number reflects the amount of shares that were available for purchase under our 10,000,000 share repurchase program authorized in February 2006 and our 15,000,000 share repurchase program authorized in January 2008.

Comparison of One-, Three- and Five- year Cumulative Total Returns

The following graphs compare the one-, three- and five-year cumulative total returns for UDR common stock with the comparable cumulative return of the NAREIT Equity REIT Index, Standard & Poor s 500 Stock Index, the NAREIT Equity Apartment Index and the MSCI US REIT Index. Each graph assumes that \$100 was invested on December 31 (of the initial year shown in the graph), in each of our common stock and the indices presented. Historical stock price performance is not necessarily indicative of future stock price performance. The comparisons assume that all dividends are reinvested.

One	-year	Three-year									
	Total	Return Perf	ormance			Total R	Return Perform	ance			
One	-year										
01/31/10 95.72	02/28/10 103.35	03/31/10 108.52	04/30/10 126.17	05/31/10 126.29	Period Endin 06/30/10 118.84	ng 07/31/10 132.34	08/31/10 129.02	09/30/10 132.40	10/31/10 142.12		
94.54	102.45	109.67	127.34	123.91	116.29	128.84	128.52	132.83	138.00		
94.66	99.98	110.09	117.87	111.51	105.70	115.97	114.57	119.63	125.33		
96.40	99.39	105.39	107.05	98.50	93.35	99.89	95.38	103.89	107.84		
94.79	99.85	110.02	117.66	111.13	105.56	105.56 115.61		119.10	124.70		
Thre	ee-year										
Ind UDR	ex 2, Inc.				31/08 06/3	Ending 60/09 12/3 8.98 9	1/09 06/30/1 6.78 115.0				
	EIT Equity artment Index	10	0.00 10	04.35	74.87 6	4.56 9	7.63 113.5	54 143.5	56		
US N	ASCI REITS	10	00.00	96.55	52.03 5	4.32 7	9.78 84.3	33 102.5	50		

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc Form 10-K										
S&P 500	100.00	88.09	63.00	65.00	79.68	74.37	91.68			
NAREIT Equity REIT Index	100.00	96.41	62.27	54.67	79.70	84.13	101.99			
			33							

Five-year

Total Return Performance

Five-year

	Period Ending									
Index UDR, Inc.	12/31/05 100.00	12/31/06 141.79	12/31/07 92.70	12/31/08 70.35	12/31/09 89.71	12/31/10 133.40				
NAREIT Equity Appartment Index	100.00	139.95	104.36	78.14	101.89	149.82				
US MSCI REITS	100.00	135.92	113.06	70.13	90.20	115.89				
S&P 500	100.00	115.79	122.16	76.96	97.33	111.99				
NAREIT Equity REIT Index	100.00	135.06	113.87	70.91	90.76	116.13				

The performance graph, and the related chart and text, are being furnished solely to accompany this Annual Report on Form 10-K pursuant to Item 201(e) of Regulation S-K, and are not being filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and are not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of ours, whether made before or after the date hereof, regardless of any general incorporation language in such filing.

Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following tables set forth selected consolidated financial and other information of UDR, Inc. and of the Operating Partnership as of and for each of the years in the five-year period ended December 31, 2010. The table should be read in conjunction with each of UDR, Inc. s and the Operating Partnership s respective consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto, and Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, included elsewhere in this Report.

	UDR, Inc. Years Ended December 31, (In thousands, except per share data and apartment homes owned)										
		2010		2009	2008			2007	2006		
OPERATING DATA:											
Rental income(a)	\$	632,249	\$	600,702	\$	561,073	\$	499,538	\$	465,389	
(Loss)/income from continuing				,		,)			
operations(a)		(111,313)		(94,812)		(63,202)		44,051		(78,480)	
Income from discontinued											
operations(a)		4,725		3,189		807,069		182,679		210,825	
Consolidated net (loss)/income		(106,588)		(91,623)		743,867		226,730		132,345	
Distributions to preferred											
stockholders		9,488		10,912		12,138		13,910		15,370	
Net (loss)/income attributable to											
common				(0.5.0.50)							
stockholders		(112,362)		(95,858)		688,708		198,958		109,738	
Common distributions declared		126,085		127,066		308,313		177,540		168,408	
Special Dividend declared						177,074					
Earnings per share basic and											
diluted: (Loss)/income from continuing											
(Loss)/income from continuing operations attributable to											
stockholders	\$	(0.71)	\$	(0.66)	\$	(0.91)	\$	0.12	\$	(0.76)	
Income from discontinued	φ	(0.71)	ψ	(0.00)	φ	(0.91)	φ	0.12	φ	(0.70)	
operations(a)		0.03		0.02		6.20		1.36		1.58	
Net (loss)/income attributable to		0.02		0.02		0.20		1.00		1.00	
common stockholders		(0.68)		(0.64)		5.29		1.48		0.82	
Weighted average number of		()		(0.0.1)		• /					
Common Share outstanding											
basic and diluted		165,857		149,090		130,219		134,016		133,732	
Weighted average number of											
Common Share outstanding, OP											
Units and Common Stock											
equivalents											
outstanding diluted(b)		176,900		159,561		142,904		147,199		147,981	
Common distributions declared	\$	0.73	\$	0.85	\$	2.29	\$	1.22	\$	1.25	
Balance Sheet Data:	*	< 001 2 1 7	*	< 01 5 0 · 5	*	- 001	*		*	- 000 100	
Real estate owned, at cost	\$	6,881,347	\$	6,315,047	\$:	5,831,753	\$:	5,956,481	\$.	5,820,122	
Table of Contanta										70	

Accumulated depreciation	1,638,326	1,351,293	1,078,689	1,371,759	1,253,727
Total real estate owned, net of	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,
accumulated depreciation	5,243,021	4,963,754	4,753,064	4,584,722	4,566,395
Total assets	5,529,540	5,132,617	5,143,805	4,800,454	4,675,875
Secured debt	1,963,670	1,989,434	1,462,471	1,137,936	1,182,919
Unsecured debt	1,603,834	1,437,155	1,798,662	2,341,895	2,155,866
Total debt	3,567,504	3,426,589	3,261,133	3,479,831	3,338,785
Stockholders equity	1,606,343	1,395,441	1,415,989	941,205	942,467
Number of common shares					
outstanding	182,496	155,465	137,423	133,318	135,029
Other Data:					
Total apartments owned (at end					
of period)	48,553	45,913	44,388	65,867	70,339
Weighted average number of					
apartment homes owned during					
the year	47,571	45,113	46,149	69,662	73,731
Cash Flow Data					
Cash provided by operating					
activities	\$ 214,180	\$ 229,383	\$ 179,754	\$ 269,281	\$ 237,881
Cash (used in)/provided by					
investing activities	(583,754)	(158,045)	302,304	(90,100)	(158,241)
Cash provided by/(used in)					
financing activities	373,075	(78,093)	(472,537)	(178,105)	(93,040)
Funds from Operations(b)					
Funds from operations basic	\$ 189,045	\$ 180,858	\$ 204,213	\$ 238,722	\$ 240,851
Funds from operations diluted	192,771	184,582	207,937	242,446	244,577
		35			

- (a) Reclassified to conform to current year presentation in accordance with Topic 360, *Property, Plant and Equipment* (formerly FASB Statement No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets) as described in Note 4, *Discontinued Operations*, to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Report.
- (b) Funds from operations, or FFO, is defined as net income (computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable property, premiums or original issuance costs associated with preferred stock redemptions, plus depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. This definition conforms with the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trust s definition issued in April 2002. We consider FFO in evaluating property acquisitions and our operating performance and believe that FFO should be considered along with, but not as an alternative to, net income and cash flows as a measure of our activities in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund cash needs.

RE³ is our subsidiary that focuses on development, land entitlement and short-term hold investments. RE³ tax benefits and gain on sales, net of taxes, is defined as net sales proceeds less a tax provision and the gross investment basis of the asset before accumulated depreciation. We consider FFO with RE³ tax benefits and gain on sales, net of taxes, to be a meaningful supplemental measure of performance because the short-term use of funds produces a profit that differs from the traditional long-term investment in real estate for REITs.

For 2010, FFO includes a loss of \$1.2 million due to debt extinguishment of unsecured debt, partially offset by \$6.8 million of severance and restructuring expenses and \$567,000 of storm related expenses.

For 2009, FFO includes a gain of \$9.8 million due to the extinguishment of unsecured debt, partially offset by charges of \$1.0 million prepayment penalty on debt restructure, \$1.6 million on the write-off of a fair market adjustment for debt paid on a consolidated joint venture, \$3.8 million of expenses related to a tender offer, and \$127,000 of storm related expenses.

For 2008, FFO includes a gain of \$26.3 million due to the extinguishment of unsecured debt and \$1.6 million of net hurricane related recoveries, partially offset by charges of \$1.7 million incurred for exiting the condominium business, \$1.7 million for cancelling a pre-sale contract, \$4.7 million related to penalties and the write off of the associated deferred financing costs for debt refinancing and \$0.7 million for severance.

See Funds from Operations below for a reconciliation of FFO and Net (loss)/income attributable to UDR, Inc.



	United Dominion Realty, L.P. Years Ended December 31, (In thousands, except per OP unit data and apartment homes owned)											
		2010		2009		2008	,	2007		2006		
OPERATING DATA:												
Rental income(a)	\$	350,394	\$	353,056	\$	336,674	\$	297,094	\$	280,648		
(Loss)/income from continuing operations(a)		(20,846)		(5,520)		9,636		116,370		15,522		
Income from discontinued		150		1 475		490 272		79.060		152 745		
operations Consolidated net (loss)/income		152 (20,694)		1,475 (4,045)		489,272 498,908		78,060 194,430		153,745 169,267		
Net (loss)/income attributable		(20,074)		(+,0+3)		470,700		174,430		107,207		
to OP unitholders		(20,735)		(4,176)		497,720		193,688		168,772		
Earnings per OP unit basic												
and diluted:												
(Loss)/income from continuing	ሰ	(0.12)	¢	(0, 02)	¢	0.00	¢	0.70	¢	0.10		
operations(a) Income from discontinued	\$	(0.12)	\$	(0.03)	\$	0.06	\$	0.70	\$	0.10		
operations		0.00		0.01		2.94		0.47		0.92		
Net (loss)/income attributable		0.00		0.01		2.74		0.47		0.72		
to OP unitholders		(0.12)		(0.02)		3.00		1.17		1.02		
Weighted average number of		()		(***=)								
OP units outstanding basic												
and diluted		179,909		178,817		166,163		166,174		166,252		
Balance Sheet Data:												
Real estate owned, at cost	\$.	3,706,184	\$:	3,640,888	\$:	3,569,239	\$	2,685,249	\$ 2	2,584,495		
Accumulated depreciation		884,083		717,892		552,369		403,092		348,352		
Total real estate owned, net of												
accumulated depreciation		2,822,101		2,922,996		3,016,870		2,282,157		2,236,143		
Total assets		2,861,395		2,961,067		3,254,851		2,909,707	4	2,961,297		
Secured debt(a) Total liabilities		1,070,061		1,122,198 1,339,319		851,901 1,272,101		594,845 920,698		697,096 951,735		
Total partners capital		1,299,772 2,042,241		2,197,753		2,345,825		920,098 2,232,404		931,733 2,257,406		
Receivable due from General		2,072,271		2,177,755		2,575,625		2,232,404	4	2,237,400		
Partner		492,709		588,185		375,124		254,256		257,963		
Number of OP units		, ,		,		,		- ,)		
outstanding		179,909		179,909		166,163		166,163		166,186		
Other Data:												
Total apartments owned (at												
end of period)		23,351		23,351		23,351		36,965		40,653		
Cash Flow Data												
Cash provided by operating	ሐ	146 604	ሱ	157 000	¢	160.660	ሱ	010 707	¢	002 107		
activities	\$	146,604	\$	157,333	\$	168,660	\$	212,727	\$	203,195		
Cash (used in)/provided by		(50 /59)		129,628		81,993		75,069		217,992		
investing activities		(59,458) (86,668)		(290,109)		81,993 (247,150)		(287,847)		(422,117)		
		(00,000)		(270,109)		(277,130)		(207,047)		(722,117)		

Cash used in financing activities

(a) Excludes amounts classified as Discontinued Operations, where applicable.

Item 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements

This Report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Such forward-looking statements include, without limitation, statements concerning property acquisitions and dispositions, development activity and capital expenditures, capital raising activities, rent growth, occupancy, and rental expense growth. Words such as expects, anticipates, intends, plans, believes, seeks, estimates, and variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. Such statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from the results of operations or plans expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include, among other things, unanticipated adverse business developments affecting us, or our properties, adverse changes in the real estate markets and general and local economies and business conditions.

The following factors, among others, could cause our future results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements:

general economic conditions;

unfavorable changes in apartment market and economic conditions that could adversely affect occupancy levels and rental rates;

the failure of acquisitions to achieve anticipated results;

possible difficulty in selling apartment communities;

competitive factors that may limit our ability to lease apartment homes or increase or maintain rents;

insufficient cash flow that could affect our debt financing and create refinancing risk;

failure to generate sufficient revenue, which could impair our debt service payments and distributions to stockholders;

development and construction risks that may impact our profitability;

potential damage from natural disasters, including hurricanes and other weather-related events, which could result in substantial costs to us;

risks from extraordinary losses for which we may not have insurance or adequate reserves;

uninsured losses due to insurance deductibles, self-insurance retention, uninsured claims or casualties, or losses in excess of applicable coverage;

delays in completing developments and lease-ups on schedule;

our failure to succeed in new markets;

changing interest rates, which could increase interest costs and affect the market price of our securities;

potential liability for environmental contamination, which could result in substantial costs to us;

the imposition of federal taxes if we fail to qualify as a REIT under the Code in any taxable year;

our internal control over financial reporting may not be considered effective which could result in a loss of investor confidence in our financial reports, and in turn have an adverse effect on our stock price; and

changes in real estate laws, tax laws and other laws affecting our business.

A discussion of these and other factors affecting our business and prospects is set forth in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors. We encourage investors to review these risk factors.

Although we believe that the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements contained herein are reasonable, any of the assumptions could be inaccurate, and therefore such statements included in this Report may not prove to be

accurate. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements included herein, the inclusion of such information should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that the results or conditions described in such statements or our objectives and plans will be achieved.

Forward-looking statements and such risks, uncertainties and other factors speak only as of the date of this report, and we expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to update or revise any forward-looking statement contained herein, to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto, or any other change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based, except to the extent otherwise required by law.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere herein and is based primarily on the consolidated financial statements and the

accompanying notes for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 of each of UDR, Inc. and United Domination Realty, L.P.

UDR, Inc.:

Business Overview

We are a self administered real estate investment trust, or REIT, that owns, acquires, renovates, develops, redevelops, and manages apartment communities in select markets throughout the United States. We were formed in 1972 as a Virginia corporation. In June 2003, we changed our state of incorporation from Virginia to Maryland. Our subsidiaries include two operating partnerships, Heritage Communities L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, and United Dominion Realty, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership.

At December 31, 2010, our consolidated real estate portfolio included 172 communities located in 23 markets with a total of 48,553 completed apartment homes and our total real estate portfolio, inclusive of our unconsolidated communities, included an additional 37 communities with 9,891 completed apartment homes.

The following table summarizes our market information by major geographic markets as of December 31, 2010.

		As of December 31, 2010 Percentage Total) Total	Year Ended December 31, 2010						
	Number of	Number of	of Total	(Carrying	Average		Fotal ncome per	Oj	Net perating		
	Apartmen	Apartment	Carrying		Value	Physical	Oc	cupied	In	come(a)		
	Communiti	esHomes	Value	tł	(In nousands)	Occupancy	Home		the	(In thousands)		
SAME COMMUNITIES												
WESTERN REGION												
Orange County, CA	13	4,214	11.4%	\$	785,358		\$	1,483	\$	50,006		
San Francisco, CA	9	1,727	5.9%		404,890			1,910		26,840		
Monterey Peninsula, CA	7	1,565	2.2%		152,645			1,066		12,820		
Los Angeles, CA	7	1,380	4.2%		289,501	95.9%		1,535		16,066		
San Diego, CA	5	1,123	2.5%		174,659			1,332		11,735		
Seattle, WA	9	1,725	4.4%		304,462			1,173		15,841		
Inland Empire, CA	3	1,074	2.2%		150,275			1,220		9,909		
Sacramento, CA	2	914	1.0%		68,061	93.5%		867		5,857		
Portland, OR	3	716	1.0%		69,543	95.9%		946		5,211		
MID-ATLANTIC REGION		2765	0.907		(72 220	07.00		1 5 2 0		15 066		
Metropolitan DC	11	3,765	9.8%		672,228			1,538		45,066		
Richmond, VA Baltimore, MD	6 10	2,211 2,121	2.7% 3.7%		187,044 252,236			1,012 1,269		18,182 21,895		
Norfolk VA	6	1,438	3.7% 1.2%		232,230 84,400			1,209 958		10,565		
Other Mid-Atlantic	5	1,438	1.2%		84,400 78,761	93.3% 96.2%		938 1,017		9,201		
SOUTHEASTERN REGIO		1,132	1.170		76,701	90.270		1,017		9,201		
Tampa, FL	10	3,278	3.7%		254,713	95.4%		919		21,275		
Orlando, FL	10	2,796	3.2%		220,743			896		18,562		
Nashville, TN	8	2,750	2.6%		180,413			847		14,170		
Jacksonville, FL	5	1,857	2.3%		156,540			818		10,654		
Other Florida	4	1,184	1.6%		112,072			978		7,936		
SOUTHWESTERN REGIO		1,101	1.070		112,072	71.270		270		1,950		
Dallas, TX	9	2,595	3.9%		269,684	95.7%		949		16,101		
Phoenix, AZ	3	914	1.0%		71,646			855		5,620		
Austin, TX	1	390	0.9%		60,181	95.8%		1,115		2,684		
Houston, TX	1	320	0.4%		22,226			893		1,764		
Total/Average Same												
Communities	147	40,699	72.9%		5,022,281	95.7%	\$	1,155	\$	357,960		
Non Matures, Commercial Properties & Other	25	7,854	26.7%		1,761,154							
Total Real Estate Held for Investment	172	48,553	99.6%		6,783,435							

Table of Contents

Real Estate Under Development(b)			0.4%	97,912
Total Real Estate Owned	172	48,553	100.0%	6,881,347
Total Accumulated Depreciation				(1,638,326)
Total Real Estate Owned, Net of Accumulated Depreciation				\$ 5,243,021

- (a) Total Income per Occupied Home represents total revenues divided by the product of occupancy and the number of mature apartment homes.
- (b) The Company is currently developing four wholly-owned communities with 930 apartment homes, none of which have been completed.

We report in two segments: Same Communities and Non-Mature/Other Communities. Our Same Communities segment includes those communities acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to January 1, 2009, and held as of December 31, 2010. These communities were owned and had stabilized occupancy and

operating expenses as of the beginning of the prior year, there is no plan to conduct substantial redevelopment activities, and the community is not held for disposition within the current year. A community is considered to have stabilized occupancy once it achieves 90% occupancy for at least three consecutive months. Our Non-Mature/Other Communities segment includes those communities that were acquired or developed in 2008, 2009 or 2010, sold properties, redevelopment properties, properties classified as real estate held for disposition, condominium conversion properties, joint venture properties, properties managed by third parties, and the non-apartment components of mixed use properties.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is the ability to meet present and future financial obligations either through operating cash flows, the sale of properties, and the issuance of debt and equity. Both the coordination of asset and liability maturities and effective capital management are important to the maintenance of liquidity. Our primary source of liquidity is our cash flow from operations as determined by rental rates, occupancy levels, and operating expenses related to our portfolio of apartment homes and borrowings under credit agreements. We routinely use our unsecured credit facility to temporarily fund certain investing and financing activities prior to arranging for longer-term financing or the issuance of equity or debt securities. During the past several years, proceeds from the sale of real estate have been used for both investing and financing activities as we repositioned our portfolio.

We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements generally through cash flow provided by operations and borrowings under credit agreements. We expect to meet certain long-term liquidity requirements such as scheduled debt maturities, the repayment of financing on development activities, and potential property acquisitions, through secured and unsecured borrowings, the issuance of debt or equity securities, and the disposition of properties. We believe that our net cash provided by operations and borrowings under credit agreements will continue to be adequate to meet both operating requirements and the payment of dividends by the Company in accordance with REIT requirements. Likewise, the budgeted expenditures for improvements and renovations of certain properties are expected to be funded from property operations, borrowings under credit agreements, and the issuance of debt or equity securities.

We have a shelf registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC which provides for the issuance of an indeterminate amount of Common Stock, Preferred Stock, guarantees of debt securities, warrants, subscription rights, purchase contracts and units to facilitate future financing activities in the public capital markets. Access to capital markets is dependent on market conditions at the time of issuance.

On September 13, 2010, the Company entered into an agreement to sell 16,000,000 shares of its Common Stock at a price of \$20.35 per share in an underwritten public offering. The Company granted the underwriters a 30-day option to purchase up to an additional 2,400,000 shares of Common Stock to cover overallotments. We sold 18,400,000 shares of Common Stock in this offering, with aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$374.4 million at a price per share of \$20.35. Aggregate net proceeds from the offering, after deducting related expenses were approximately \$359.2 million.

On September 15, 2009, the Company entered into an equity distribution agreement under which the Company may offer and sell up to 15,000,000 shares of its Common Stock over time to or through its sales agents. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we sold 6,144,367 shares of Common Stock through this program for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$110.8 million at a weighted average price per share of \$18.04. Aggregate net proceeds from such sales, after deducting related expenses, including commissions paid to the sales agents of approximately \$2.2 million, were approximately \$108.6 million.

On December 7, 2009, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated Distribution Agreement with respect to the issue and sale by the Company from time to time of its Medium-Term Notes, Series A Due Nine Months or More From Date of Issue. In February 2010, the Company issued \$150 million of 5.25% senior unsecured medium-term notes under the Amended and Restated Distribution Agreement. These notes were priced at 99.46% of the principal amount at issuance and had a discount of \$519,000 at December 31, 2010.

Future Capital Needs

Future development expenditures are expected to be funded with proceeds from construction loans, through joint ventures, unsecured or secured credit facilities, proceeds from the issuance of equity or debt securities, the sale of properties and to a lesser extent, with cash flows provided by operating activities. Acquisition activity in strategic markets is expected to be financed by the reinvestment of proceeds from the sale of properties, through the issuance of equity or debt securities, the issuance of operating partnership units and the assumption or placement of secured and/or unsecured debt.

During 2011, we have approximately \$63.4 million of secured debt maturing, inclusive of principal amortization and net of extension rights of \$188.1 million, and \$95.8 million of unsecured debt maturing. We anticipate repaying that debt with proceeds from debt and equity offerings and by exercising extension rights with respect to the secured debt.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to use judgment in the application of accounting policies, including making estimates and assumptions. A critical accounting policy is one that is both important to our financial condition and results of operations as well as involves some degree of uncertainty. Estimates are prepared based on management s assessment after considering all evidence available. Changes in estimates could affect our financial position or results of operations. Below is a discussion of the accounting policies that we consider critical to understanding our financial condition or results of operations where there is uncertainty or where significant judgment is required.

Capital Expenditures

In conformity with GAAP, we capitalize those expenditures that materially enhance the value of an existing asset or substantially extend the useful life of an existing asset. Expenditures necessary to maintain an existing property in ordinary operating condition are expensed as incurred.

During 2010, \$47.1 million or \$1,047 per apartment home was spent on recurring capital expenditures. These include revenue enhancing capital expenditures, exterior/interior upgrades, turnover related expenditures for floor coverings and appliances, other recurring capital expenditures such as exterior paint, roofs, siding, parking lots, and asset preservation capital expenditures. In addition, major renovations totaled \$30.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. Total capital expenditures, which in aggregate include recurring capital expenditures and major renovations, of \$77.9 million or \$1,732 per home was spent on all of our communities, excluding development and commercial properties, for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The following table outlines capital expenditures and repair and maintenance costs for all of our communities, excluding real estate under development, condominium conversions and commercial properties, for the periods presented:

Year Ended December 31, (dollars in thousands, except for per apartment homes)											
Per Apartment Home											
		%			-		%				
2010	2009	Change	2	2010		009	Change				
\$ 15,043	\$ 23,626	-36.3%	\$	334	\$	543	-38.5%				

Revenue enhancing improvements Turnover capital expenditures Asset preservation expenditures	9,528 22,538	9,401 19,912	1.4% 13.2%	212 501	216 458	-1.9% 9.4%
Total recurring capital expenditures Major renovations	47,109 30,816	52,939 33,466	-11.0% -7.9%	1,047 685	1,217 769	-14.0% -10.9%
Total capital expenditures	\$ 77,925	\$ 86,405	-9.8%	\$ 1,732	\$ 1,986	-12.8%
Repair and maintenance expense	\$ 33,224	\$ 30,450	9.1%	\$ 738	\$ 700	5.4%
Average stabilized home count	44,999	43,505				
		42				

We will continue to selectively add revenue enhancing improvements which we believe will provide a return on investment substantially in excess of our cost of capital. Recurring capital expenditures during 2011 are currently expected to be approximately \$1,050 per apartment home.

Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

We continually evaluate our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures when events or changes in circumstances indicate that there may be an other-than-temporary decline in value. We consider various factors to determine if a decrease in the value of the investment is other-than-temporary. These factors include, but are not limited to, age of the venture, our intent and ability to retain our investment in the entity, the financial condition and long-term prospects of the entity, and the relationships with the other joint venture partners and its lenders. The amount of loss recognized is the excess of the investment s carrying amount over its estimated fair value. If we believe that the decline in fair value is temporary, no impairment is recorded. The aforementioned factors are taken as a whole by management in determining the valuation of our investment property. Should the actual results differ from management s judgment, the valuation could be negatively affected and may result in a negative impact to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

We record impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations when events and circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by the future operation and disposition of those assets are less than the net book value of those assets. Our cash flow estimates are based upon historical results adjusted to reflect our best estimate of future market and operating conditions and our estimated holding periods. The net book value of impaired assets is reduced to fair market value. Our estimates of fair market value represent our best estimate based upon industry trends and reference to market rates and transactions.

Real Estate Investment Properties

We purchase real estate investment properties from time to time and allocate the purchase price to various components, such as land, buildings, and intangibles related to in-place leases. The purchase price is allocated based on the fair value of each component. The fair value of buildings is determined as if the buildings were vacant upon acquisition and subsequently leased at market rental rates. As such, the determination of fair value considers the present value of all cash flows expected to be generated from the property including an initial lease-up period. We determine the fair value of in-place leases by assessing the net effective rent and remaining term of the lease relative to market terms for similar leases at acquisition. In addition, we consider the cost of acquiring similar leases, the foregone rents associated with the lease-up period, and the carrying costs associated with the lease-up period. The fair value of in-place leases is recorded and amortized as amortization expense over the remaining contractual lease period.

REIT Status

We are a Maryland corporation that has elected to be treated for federal income tax purposes as a REIT. A REIT is a legal entity that holds interests in real estate and is required by the Code to meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that a REIT must distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (other than our net capital gain) to our stockholders. If we were to fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal and state income taxes at the regular corporate rates and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four years. Based on the net earnings reported for the year ended December 31, 2010 in our Consolidated Statements of Operations we would have incurred immaterial federal and state GAAP income taxes if we had failed to qualify as a REIT.

Statements of Cash Flow

The following discussion explains the changes in net cash provided by operating activities and net cash provided by/(used in) investing and net cash (used in)/provided by financing activities that are presented in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Operating Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2010, our net cash flow provided by operating activities was \$214.2 million compared to \$229.4 million for 2009. The decrease in cash flow from operating activities is primarily due to changes in operating assets, which include an increase in lease tangibles related to the acquisition of five operating communities in 2010, and operating liabilities, which include accrued restructuring and severance charges. This decrease is partially offset by an increase in property net operating income.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, our net cash flow provided by operating activities was \$229.4 million compared to \$179.8 million for 2008. The increase in cash flow from operating activities is primarily due to changes in operating liabilities and is partially offset by a reduction in property operating income.

Investing Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2010, net cash used in investing activities was \$583.8 million compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$158 million for 2009. The change relates to acquisitions of real estate assets and investments in unconsolidated joint ventures, which are discussed in further detail throughout this Report.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, net cash used in investing activities was \$158 million compared to net cash provided by investing activities of \$302.3 million for 2008. The change is primarily driven by a reduction in the disposition of real estate investments partially offset by a reduction in the acquisition of real estate assets and capital expenditures, all of which are discussed in further detail throughout this Report.

Acquisitions

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company acquired five apartment communities located in Orange County, California; Baltimore, Maryland; Los Angeles, California; and Boston, Massachusetts for a total gross purchase price of \$412 million. During the same period, the Company also acquired land located in San Francisco, California for a gross purchase price of \$23.6 million.

The following table summarizes UDR s real estate community acquisitions for the year ended December 31, 2010 *(dollar amounts in thousands)*:

Property Name	Market	Acquisition Date	Apartment Homes	Purchase Price(a)
	Orange County,			
1818 Platinum Triangle	CA	August 2010	265	\$ 70,500
Domain Brewers Hill	Baltimore, MD	August 2010	180	46,000
Garrison Square	Boston, MA	September 2010	160	98,000
Marina Pointe	Los Angeles, CA	September 2010	583	157,500
Ridge at Blue Hills	Boston, MA	September 2010	186	40,000

(a) The purchase price is the contractual amount paid by UDR to the third party and does not include any costs that the Company incurred in the pursuit of the property.

Our long-term strategic plan is to continue achieving greater operating efficiencies by investing in fewer, more concentrated markets. As a result, we have been seeking to expand our interests in communities located in California, Boston, Metropolitan D.C., Oregon and Washington state markets over the past years. Prospectively, we plan to channel new investments into those markets we believe will provide the best

investment returns. Markets will be targeted based upon defined criteria including favorable job formation, low single-family home affordability and favorable demand/supply ratio for multifamily housing.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, we acquired one community in Dallas, Texas with 289 apartment homes for \$28.5 million.

Real Estate Under Development

At December 31, 2010, our development pipeline for wholly-owned communities totaled 930 apartment homes with a budget of \$338.9 million in which we have a carrying value of \$97.9 million. We anticipate the completion of these communities from the first quarter of 2012 through the third quarter of 2013.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, we invested approximately \$92.1 million in development projects, a decrease of \$91.0 million from our 2009 level of \$183.2 million. In 2010, we completed development on four wholly-owned communities with 1,575 apartment homes that have a carrying value of \$259.7 million and one community held by a consolidated joint venture with 274 apartment homes and a carrying value of \$122.3 million.

Consolidated Joint Ventures

UDR is a partner with an unaffiliated third party in a joint venture (Elements Too) which owns and operates a 274 home apartment community in the central business district of Bellevue, Washington. Construction began in the fourth quarter of 2006 and was completed in the first quarter of 2010. At closing, we owned 49% of the joint venture. Our initial investment was \$10.0 million. On October 16, 2009, our partner resigned as managing member and appointed UDR as managing member. In addition, our partner relinquished its voting rights and approval rights and its ability to substantively participate in the decision-making process of the joint venture resulting in the consolidation of the joint venture. As a result of UDR s appointment as managing member, the Company was required to consolidate the joint venture. In March 2010, the Company paid \$3.2 million to acquire our partner s 49% interest in the joint venture. At the closing of the agreement and at December 31, 2010, the Company held a 98% interest in Elements Too.

UDR is a partner with an unaffiliated third party in a joint venture (989 Elements) which owns and operates a 23-story, 166 home high-rise apartment community in the central business district of Bellevue, Washington. At closing, UDR owned 49% of the joint venture. Our initial investment was \$11.8 million. On December 30, 2009, UDR entered into an agreement with our partner to purchase its 49% interest in 989 Elements for \$7.7 million. Concurrently, our partner resigned as managing member and appointed UDR as managing member. In addition, our partner relinquished its voting rights and approval rights and its ability to substantively participate in the decision-making process of the joint venture resulting in the consolidation of the joint venture. In March 2010, the Company paid \$7.7 million and acquired our partner s 49% interest in 989 Elements.

UDR is a partner with an unaffiliated third party in a joint venture (Bellevue) which owns an operating retail site in Bellevue, Washington. The Company initially planned to develop a 430 home high rise apartment building with ground floor retail on an existing operating retail center. However, during the year ended December 31, 2009, the joint venture decided to continue to operate the retail property as opposed to developing a high rise apartment building on the site. On December 30, 2009, UDR entered into an agreement with our partner to purchase its 49% interest in Bellevue for \$5.2 million. In addition, our partner resigned as managing member and appointed UDR as managing member. Concurrent with its resignation, our partner relinquished its voting rights and approval rights and its ability to substantively participate in the decision-making process of the joint venture resulting in the consolidation of the joint venture at fair value. In March 2010, the Company paid \$5.2 million and acquired our partner s 49% interest in the joint venture. At the closing of the agreement and at December 31, 2010, the Company held a 98% interest in

Bellevue.

Prior to their consolidation in 2009, we evaluated our investments in these joint ventures when events or changes in circumstances indicate that there may be an other-than-temporary decline in value. We considered

various factors to determine if a decrease in value of each of these investments is other-than-temporary. In 2009, we recognized a non-cash charge of \$16 million representing the other-than-temporary decline in fair values below the carrying values of two of the Company s Bellevue, Washington joint ventures.

For additional information regarding these joint ventures, see Note 5, *Joint Ventures*, in the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Report.

Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

In November 2010, the Company acquired The Hanover Company s (Hanover) partnership interests in the Hanover/MetLife Master Limited Partnership (the UDR/MetLife Partnership). The UDR/MetLife Partnership owns a portfolio of 26 operating communities containing 5,748 homes and 11 land parcels with the potential to develop approximately 2,300 additional homes. Under the terms of the UDR/MetLife Partnership, UDR will act as the general partner and earn fees for property and asset management and financing transactions. UDR acquired ownership interests of 12.27% in the operating communities and 4.14% in the land parcels for \$100.8 million. The initial investment of \$100.8 million consists of \$71.8 million in cash, which includes associated transaction costs, and a \$30 million payable (includes discount of \$1 million) to Hanover. UDR agreed to pay the \$30 million balance to Hanover in two interest free installments in the amounts of \$20 million and \$10 million on the first and second anniversaries of the closing, respectively. At December 31, 2010, the Company s investment in the Partnership was \$122.2 million.

In October 2010, the Company entered into a venture with an affiliate of Hanover to develop a 240 apartment home community in the metropolitan Boston, Massachusetts area. At the closing and at December 31, 2010, UDR owned a noncontrolling interest of 95% in the joint venture. Our initial investment was \$10 million and our investment at December 31, 2010 was \$10.3 million.

During 2009, UDR and an unaffiliated third party formed a joint venture for the investment of up to \$450 million in multifamily properties located in key, high barrier to entry markets. The partners will contribute equity of \$180 million of which the Company s maximum equity will be 30% or \$54.0 million when fully invested. During 2010, the joint venture acquired its first property (151 homes) located in Metropolitan Washington D.C. for \$43.1 million. At closing and at December 31, 2010, the Company owned 30%. Our investment at December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$5.2 million and \$242,000, respectively.

In November 2007, UDR and an unaffiliated third party formed a joint venture which owns and operates various properties located in Texas. UDR contributed cash and property equal to 20% of the fair value of the properties. The unaffiliated member contributed cash equal to 80% of the fair value of the properties comprising the joint venture, which was then used to purchase the nine operating properties from UDR. Our initial investment was \$20.4 million. Our investment at December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$10.3 million and \$13.9 million, respectively.

Disposition of Investments

In 2010, UDR sold one 149 apartment home community. UDR recognized an after-tax gain for financial reporting purposes of \$4 million on this sale that is included in discontinued operations. Proceeds from the sale were used primarily to reduce debt.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we did not dispose of any communities.

We plan to continue to pursue our strategy of exiting markets where long-term growth prospects are limited and redeploying capital into markets we believe will provide the best investment returns.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, UDR sold 86 communities with a total of 25,684 apartment homes, for gross consideration of \$1.7 billion, 53 condominiums from two communities with a total of 640 condominiums for gross consideration of \$6.9 million, one parcel of land for gross proceeds of \$1.6 million and one commercial property for gross proceeds of \$6.5 million. We received \$1.5 billion in cash and a note in the principal amount of \$200 million. We recognized after-tax gains for financial reporting purposes of \$786.4 million on these sales. Proceeds from the sales were used primarily to acquire new communities and

reduce debt. During 2008, we decided to discontinue sales of units with the two communities identified for condominium conversion until such time that the market conditions turn favorable and it is economically beneficial to sell those units versus operate the residual 525 apartment homes of those communities. As a result of our decision to revert the remaining units to operations the Company recorded a charge to earnings of \$1.7 million, excluding the catch up for depreciation on the units when they were returned to operations.

As a result of our disposition activities in 2008, the Company declared a Special Dividend payable to holders of our common stock for \$0.96 per share included with our recurring distribution for the Company s fourth quarter of 2008 for a total of \$1.29 per share payable on January 29, 2009 to stockholders of record on December 9, 2008. Additional information regarding the Special Dividend is set forth in Item 1. Business in Part 1 of this Report.

In conjunction with the transaction in which we sold 86 communities for \$1.7 billion, we received a note in the amount of \$200.0 million. The note was paid in full in 2009.

Financing Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2010, our net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities was \$373.1 million compared to (\$78.1 million) for the comparable period of 2009.

The following significant financing activity occurred during the year ended December 31, 2010:

repaid \$187.3 million of secured debt and \$50.0 million of maturing medium-term unsecured notes. The \$187.3 million of secured debt includes \$70.5 million for a maturing construction loan held by one of our consolidated joint ventures, repayment of \$52.7 million of credit facilities and \$64.1 million of mortgage payments;

repurchased unsecured debt with a notional amount of \$29.2 million for \$29.4 million resulting in a loss on extinguishment of \$1 million, which includes the write off of related deferred finance charges. The unsecured debt repurchased by the Company matures in 2011. As a result of this repurchase, the loss is represented as an addition to interest expense on the Consolidated Statement of Operations;

net repayments of \$157.6 million were applied toward our \$600 million revolving credit facility;

received proceeds of \$70.2 million from secured debt financings. The \$70.2 million includes \$37.8 million in variable rate mortgages, \$21.1 million in fixed rate mortgages, and \$11.3 million in credit facilities;

closed on a \$250 million, five-year unsecured term loan facility of which \$100 million was swapped into a fixed rate of 3.76% and \$150 million has rate of LIBOR plus 200 basis points;

in February 2010, we issued \$150 million of 5.25% senior unsecured medium-term notes under our Amended and Restated Distribution Agreement with respect to the issue and sale by us from time to time of our Medium-Term Notes, Series A Due Nine Months or More From Date of Issue. These notes were priced at 99.46% of the principal amount at issuance and had a discount of \$519,000 at December 31, 2010;

we sold 6,144,367 shares of Common Stock for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$110.8 million at a weighted average price per share of \$18.04 through our At the Market equity distribution program, which we initiated in 2009, pursuant to which we may sell up to 15,000,000 shares of common stock from time to time to or through sales agents, by means of ordinary brokers transactions on the New York Stock Exchange at prevailing market prices at the time of sale, or as otherwise agreed with the applicable agent. Aggregate net

proceeds from such sales, after deducting related expenses, including commissions paid to the sales agents of approximately \$2.2 million, were approximately \$108.6 million;

initiated an underwritten public offering to sell 16,000,000 shares of our common stock at a price of \$20.35 per share. We granted the underwriters a 30-day option to purchase up to an additional 2,400,000 shares of common stock to cover overallotments, if any. We sold 18,400,000 shares of common stock in this offering for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$374.4 million at a price

of \$20.35 per share. Aggregate net proceeds from the offering, after deducting related expenses were approximately \$359.2 million; and

repurchased 27,400 shares of our 6.75% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock for \$637,000, less than their liquidation value of \$685,000.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, our net cash used in financing activities was \$78.1 million compared to \$472.5 million for the comparable period of 2008. The decrease in financing activities was due to a net issuance of debt in 2009 versus net payments in 2008 and the repurchase of shares of our Common Stock in 2008. These cash outflows were offset by the issuance of common equity through a public offering.

Credit Facilities

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, we have secured revolving credit facilities with Fannie Mae with an aggregate commitment of \$1.4 billion with \$1.2 billion outstanding. The Fannie Mae credit facilities are for an initial term of 10 years, bear interest at floating and fixed rates, and certain variable rate facilities can be extended for an additional five years at our option. We have \$897.3 million of the funded balance fixed at a weighted average interest rate of 5.3% and the remaining balance on these facilities is currently at a weighted average variable rate of 1.7% as of December 31, 2010. We had \$950.0 million of the funded balance fixed at a weighted average interest rate of 5.4% and the remaining balance on these facilities was at a weighted average variable rate of 1.7% as of December 31, 2009.

We have a \$600 million unsecured revolving credit facility that matures on July 26, 2012. Under certain circumstances, we may increase the \$600 million credit facility to \$750 million. Based on our current credit rating, the \$600 million credit facility carries an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus 47.5 basis points. In addition, the unsecured credit facility contains a provision that allows us to bid up to 50% of the commitment and we can bid out the entire unsecured credit facility once per quarter so long as we maintain an investment grade rating. As of December 31, 2010, we had \$31.8 million of borrowings outstanding under the credit facility leaving \$568.2 million of unused capacity (excluding \$4.8 million of letters of credit at December 31, 2010). As of December 31, 2009, we had \$189.3 million of borrowings outstanding under the credit facility.

The Fannie Mae credit facilities and the bank revolving credit facility are subject to customary financial covenants and limitations. As of December 31, 2010, we were in compliance with all financial covenants under these credit facilities.

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to interest rate risk associated with variable rate notes payable and maturing debt that has to be refinanced. We do not hold financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes, but rather issue these financial instruments to finance our portfolio of real estate assets. Interest rate sensitivity is the relationship between changes in market interest rates and the fair value of market rate sensitive assets and liabilities. Our earnings are affected as changes in short-term interest rates impact our cost of variable rate debt and maturing fixed rate debt. We had \$1 billion in variable rate debt that is not subject to interest rate swap contracts as of December 31, 2010. If market interest rates for variable rate debt increased by 100 basis points, our interest expense would increase by \$9.3 million based on the average balance outstanding during the year.

These amounts are determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our borrowing cost. These analyses do not consider the effects of the adjusted level of overall economic activity that could exist in such an environment. Further, in the event of a change of such magnitude, management would likely take actions to further mitigate our exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and

their possible effects, the sensitivity analysis assumes no change in our financial structure.

Funds from Operations

Funds from operations, or FFO, is defined as net income (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable property, plus real estate depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. We compute FFO for all periods presented in accordance with the recommendations set forth by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trust s (NAREIT) April 1, 2002 White Paper. We consider FFO in evaluating property acquisitions and our operating performance, and believe that FFO should be considered along with, but not as an alternative to, net income and cash flow as a measure of our activities in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund cash needs.

Historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values instead have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry investors and analysts have considered the presentation of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves. Thus, NAREIT created FFO as a supplemental measure of REIT operating performance and defines FFO as net income (computed in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable property, premiums or original issuance costs associated with preferred stock redemptions, plus depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. The use of FFO, combined with the required presentations, has been fundamentally beneficial, improving the understanding of operating results of REITs among the investing public and making comparisons of REIT operating results more meaningful. We generally consider FFO to be a useful measure for reviewing our comparative operating and financial performance (although FFO should be reviewed in conjunction with net income which remains the primary measure of performance) because by excluding gains or losses related to sales of previously depreciated operating real estate assets and excluding real estate asset depreciation and amortization, FFO can help one compare the operating performance of a Company s real estate between periods or as compared to different companies. We believe that FFO is the best measure of economic profitability for real estate investment trusts.

The following table outlines our FFO calculation and reconciliation to GAAP for the three years ended December 31, 2010 (*dollars in thousands*):

	For the Y 2010	e Year Ended December 3 2009 200				
Net (loss)/income attributable to UDR, Inc. Adjustments:	\$ (102,899)	\$	(87,532)	\$	697,790	
Distributions to preferred stockholders	(9,488)		(10,912)		(12,138)	
Real estate depreciation and amortization, including discontinued	202 446		270 201		051 004	
operations	303,446		278,391		251,984	
Net loss attributable to redeemable non-controlling interests in OP	(3,835) 146		(4,282) 191		45,875 202	
Net income attributable to non-controlling interests Real estate depreciation and amortization on unconsolidated joint	140		191		202	
ventures	5,698		4,759		4,502	
Net gains on the sale of depreciable property in discontinued	,		,		,	
operations, excluding RE ³	(4,048)		(2,343)		(787,058)	
Discount on preferred stock repurchases, net	25		2,586		3,056	
Funds from operations basic	\$ 189,045	\$	180,858	\$	204,213	
Distributions to preferred stockholders Series E (Convertible)	3,726		3,724		3,724	
Funds from operations diluted	\$ 192,771	\$	184,582	\$	207,937	
Weighted average number of common shares and OP Units						
outstanding basic	171,569		155,796		138,971	
Weighted average number of common shares and OP Units						
outstanding diluted	176,900		159,561		142,904	

In the computation of diluted FFO, OP Units, unvested restricted stock, stock options, and the shares of Series E Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock are dilutive; therefore, they are included in the diluted share count. The effect of the conversion of the Series E Out-Performance Partnership Shares (the Series E Out-Performance Program terminated on December 31, 2009) are anti-dilutive for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 and are excluded from the diluted share count.

RE³ is our subsidiary that focuses on development, land entitlement and short-term hold investments. RE³ tax benefits and gain on sales, net of taxes, is defined as net sales proceeds less a tax provision and the gross investment basis of the asset before accumulated depreciation. We consider FFO with RE³ tax benefits and gain on sales, net of taxes, to be a meaningful supplemental measure of performance because the short-term use of funds produces a profit that differs from the traditional long-term investment in real estate for REITs.

The following table is our reconciliation of FFO share information to weighted average common shares outstanding, basic and diluted, reflected on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three years ended December 31, 2010 (*shares in thousands*):

	For the Yea 2010	ar Ended Decen 2009	mber 31, 2008
Weighted average number of Common Shares and OP Units outstanding basic Weighted average number of OP Units outstanding	171,569 (5,712)	155,796 (6,706)	138,971 (8,752)
Weighted average number of Common Shares outstanding - basic per the Consolidated Statement of Operations	165,857	149,090	130,219
Weighted average number of Common Shares, OP Units, and common stock equivalents outstanding diluted Weighted average number of OP Units outstanding Weighted average incremental shares from assumed conversion of stock	176,900 (5,712)	159,561 (6,706)	142,904 (8,752)
options Weighted average incremental shares from unvested restricted stock Weighted average number of Series E preferred shares outstanding	(1,637) (658) (3,036)	(567) (162) (3,036)	(412) (717) (2,804)
Weighted average number of Common Shares outstanding diluted per the Consolidated Statements of Operations	165,857	149,090	130,219

FFO also does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP, and therefore should not be considered an alternative to net cash flows from operating activities, as determined by generally accepted accounting principles, as a measure of liquidity. Additionally, it is not necessarily indicative of cash availability to fund cash needs. A presentation of cash flow metrics based on GAAP is as follows (*dollars in thousands*):

	For the Year Ended December 31,						
	2010	2009	2008				
Net cash provided by operating activities Net cash (used in)/provided by investing activities Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities	\$ 214,180 (583,754) 373,075	\$ 229,383 (158,045) (78,093)	\$ 179,754 302,304 (472,537)				

Results of Operations

The following discussion includes the results of both continuing and discontinued operations for the periods presented.

Net (Loss)/ Income Attributable to Common Stockholders

2010 -vs-2009

Net loss attributable to common stockholders was \$112.4 million (\$0.68 per diluted share) for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to net loss attributable to common stockholders of \$95.9 million (\$0.64 per diluted share) for the comparable period in the prior year. The increase in net loss attributable to common stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2010 resulted primarily from the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail elsewhere within this Report:

an increase in depreciation expense primarily due to the Company s acquisition of five apartment communities in the third quarter of 2010, consolidation of certain joint venture assets in the fourth quarter of 2009, and the completion of redevelopment and development communities in 2009 and 2010;

an increase in interest expense primarily due to debt extinguishment gain from the repurchase of unsecured debt securities in 2009; and

an increase in severance costs and restructuring charges in the fourth quarter of 2010 due to the consolidation of corporate operations and the centralization of job functions from its Richmond, Virginia office to its Highlands Ranch, Colorado headquarters, in addition to severance costs related to the retirement of an executive officer of the Company.

The increase to our net loss attributable to common stockholders was partially offset by:

an increase in our net operating income (NOI); and

a decrease in our loss from unconsolidated entities primarily due to the recognition of a \$16 million non-cash charge representing an other-than-temporary decline in the fair value of equity investments in two of our unconsolidated joint ventures during the year ended December 31, 2009.

2009 -vs-2008

Net loss attributable to common stockholders was (\$95.9 million) (\$0.64 per diluted share) for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to net income attributable to common stockholders of \$688.7 million (\$5.29 per diluted share) for the comparable period in the prior year. The decrease in net income available to common stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2009 resulted primarily from the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail elsewhere within this Report:

a reduction in disposition gains in 2009 as compared to 2008. The Company recognized net gains of \$3.2 million and \$807.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively;

an increase in our loss from unconsolidated entities, primarily due to the recognition of a \$16 million non-cash charge representing an other-than-temporary decline in the fair value of equity investments in two of our unconsolidated joint ventures during the year ended December 31, 2009;

the recognition of an income tax benefit from the Company s Taxable REIT Subsidiaries, or TRS during 2008;

an increase in depreciation expense primarily due to the Company s acquisition of operating properties and the completion of redevelopment and development communities in 2008 and 2009;

a decrease in other income primarily due to a reduction in fees earned for both recurring and non-recurring items related to the Company s joint ventures and a decrease in interest income;

change in net income/(loss) attributable to redeemable non-controlling interest of \$50.2 million.

The decreases to our net income available to common stockholders were partially offset by a decrease in general and administrative expense of \$7.4 million when compared to 2008.

Apartment Community Operations

Our net income is primarily generated from the operation of our apartment communities. The following table summarizes the operating performance of our total apartment portfolio which excludes commercial operating income and expense for each of the periods presented (*dollars in thousands*):

		Year Ended December 31,					Year Ended December 31,					
	20	010		2009		‰ ange		2009		2008	% Chang	ge
Property rental income Property operating	\$ 6	24,981	\$	594,359		5.2%	\$	594,359	\$	599,343	-().8%
expense(a)	(2	20,279)		(202,773)		8.6%		(202,773)		(207,563)	-2	2.3%
Property net operating income	\$4	04,702	\$	391,586		3.3%	\$	391,586	\$	391,780	(0.0%

(a) Excludes depreciation, amortization, and property management expenses.

The following table is our reconciliation of property NOI to net (loss)/income attributable to UDR, Inc. as reflected, for both continuing and discontinued operations, for the periods presented (*dollars in thousands*):

	Year Ended December 31,							
	2010	2009	2008					
Property net operating income	\$ 404,702	\$ 391,586	\$ 391,780					
Other net operating income	6,362	6,874	5,206					
Non-property income	14,347	12,362	27,190					
Real estate depreciation and amortization	(303,446)	(278,391)	(251,984)					
Interest, net	(150,796)	(142,152)	(145,630)					
General and administrative and property management	(60,142)	(55,925)	(55,359)					
Severance costs and other restructuring charges	(6,803)		(653)					
Other depreciation and amortization	(4,843)	(5,161)	(4,866)					
Loss from unconsolidated entities	(4,204)	(18,665)	(3,612)					
Other operating expenses	(5,848)	(6,487)	(4,569)					
Redeemable non-controlling interests in OP	3,835	4,282	(45,875)					
Non-controlling interests	(146)	(191)	(202)					
Gain on consolidation of joint ventures		1,912						
Net gain on the sale of depreciable property	4,083	2,424	786,364					
Net (loss)/income attributable to UDR, Inc.	\$ (102,899)	\$ (87,532)	\$ 697,790					

Same Communities

2010-vs.-2009

Our same store communities (those acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to January 1, 2009 and held on December 31, 2010) consisted of 40,699 apartment homes and provided \$358.0 million or 88% of our total property NOI for the year ended December 31, 2010.

NOI for our same community properties decreased 1.7% or \$6.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease in property NOI was primarily attributable to a 0.9% or \$5.0 million decrease in property rental income and a 0.6% or \$1.1 million increase in operating expenses. The decrease in revenues was primarily driven by a 2.4% or \$12.8 million decrease in rental rates which was offset by a 57.7% or \$2.7 million decrease in concessions, an 8.3% or \$1.8 million decrease in vacancy loss and a 12.4% or \$2.8 million increase in reimbursement income. Physical occupancy increased 0.4% to 95.7% and total income per occupied home decreased \$16 to \$1,155.

The increase in property operating expenses was primarily driven by a 3.3% or \$935,000 increase in utilities expense, a 3.8% or \$1.1 million increase in repairs and maintenance, and a 2.6% or \$1.2 million increase in personnel costs, which was partially offset by a 3.2% or \$1.8 million decrease in real estate taxes.

As a result of the percentage changes in property rental income and property operating expenses, the operating margin (property net operating income divided by property rental income) decreased to 66.3% as compared to 66.8% in the comparable period in the prior year.

2009-vs.-2008

Our same store communities (those acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to January 1, 2008 and held on December 31, 2009) consisted of 33,166 apartment homes and provided \$296.4 million or 76% of our total NOI for the year ended December 31, 2009.

NOI for our same community properties decreased 2.2% or \$6.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008. The decrease in property NOI was primarily attributable to a 2.0% or \$8.8 million decrease in property rental income, which was partially offset by a 1.6% or \$2.3 million

decrease in operating expenses. The decrease in revenues was primarily driven by a 2.9% or \$12.9 million decrease in rental rates which was offset by an 18.9% or \$4.0 million decrease in vacancy loss and an 8.6% or \$1.4 million increase in reimbursement income. Physical occupancy increased 0.6% to 95.4% and total income per occupied home decreased \$30 to \$1,149.

The decrease in property operating expenses was primarily driven by a 1.3% or \$568,000 decrease in real estate taxes due to favorable tax appeals, a 3.3% or \$764,000 decrease in repairs and maintenance, and a 9.7% or \$970,000 decrease in administrative and marketing costs.

As a result of the percentage changes in property rental income and property operating expenses, the operating margin (property net operating income divided by property rental income) decreased to 68.0% as compared to 68.1% in the comparable period in the prior year.

Non-Mature Communities

2010-vs.-2009

The remaining \$46.7 million and \$27.5 million of our NOI during the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, was generated from communities that we classify as non-mature communities. UDR s non-mature communities consist of communities that do not meet the criteria to be included in same communities, which include communities developed or acquired, redevelopment properties, sold properties, properties classified as real estate held for disposition, joint venture properties, properties managed by third-parties, and the non-apartment components of mixed use properties, and condominium properties. For the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized NOI for our developments of \$15.7 million, acquired communities of \$12.7 million, redeveloped properties of \$12.3 million, and sold properties of \$980,000. For the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized NOI for our developments of \$6.3 million, acquired communities of \$2.8 million, redeveloped properties of \$11.5 million, and sold properties of \$1.4 million.

2009-vs.-2008

The remaining \$95.2 million and \$88.8 million of our NOI during the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, was generated from communities that we classify as non-mature communities. UDR s non-mature communities consist of communities that do not meet the criteria to be included in same communities, which include communities developed or acquired, redevelopment properties, sold properties, properties classified as real estate held for disposition, joint venture properties, properties managed by third-parties, and the non-apartment components of mixed use properties, and condominium properties. For the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized NOI for our developments of \$10.5 million, acquired communities of \$54.3 million, and redeveloped properties of \$24.8 million. For the year ended December 31, 2008, we recognized NOI for our developments of \$2.4 million, acquired communities of \$22.1 million and sold properties of \$23.5 million.

Other Income

For the year ended December 31, 2010, significant amounts reflected in other income include: a gain of \$4.7 million from the sale of marketable securities, a reversal of certain tax accruals of \$2.1 million, and \$3.2 million of fees earned for both recurring and non-recurring items related to the Company s joint ventures. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, other income also included interest income and discount amortization from an interest in a convertible debt security of \$2.9 million and \$3.6 million, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2009, other income also included \$5.1 million of interest income from a note for \$200 million that the Company received related to the disposition of 86 properties during 2008. In May 2009, the \$200 million note was paid in full.

Tax Benefit/Expense of TRS

UDR elected for certain consolidated subsidiaries to be treated as TRS. Income taxes for our TRS are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future

tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities from a change in tax rate is recognized in earnings in the period of the enactment date. For the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized a net benefit of \$2.5 million from the write-off of income taxes payable (net of income taxes paid). For the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized tax expense of \$311,000 to the extent of cash taxes paid. For the year ended December 31, 2008, we recognized a benefit of \$9.7 million in continuing operations due to the results of operations and temporary differences associated with the TRS. Tax benefits and expenses recognized during the years are included in General and administrative in the Consolidated Statements of Operations included in this Report.

Other Operating Expenses

Other operating expenses decreased 9.9% or \$639,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010 from the comparable period in 2009. The decrease was due to a number of factors, none of which are significant. For the year ended December 31, 2009, other operating expenses increased 42.2% or \$1.9 million compared to the comparable period in 2008. The increase is primarily due to additional costs incurred by the Company related to long-term ground leases associated with properties acquired in December 2007 and July 2008. A schedule of future obligations related to ground leases is set forth under Contractual Obligations below.

Real Estate Depreciation and Amortization

For the year ended December 31, 2010, real estate depreciation and amortization on both continuing and discontinued operations increased 9.0% or \$25.1 million as compared to the comparable period in 2009. The increase in depreciation and amortization for the year ended December 31, 2010 is primarily the result of the Company s acquisition of five communities with 1,374 apartment homes during 2010, development completions during 2010 and 2009, and additional capital expenditures. As part of the Company s acquisition activity a portion of the purchase price is allocated to intangible assets and are typically amortized over a period of less than one year.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, real estate depreciation and amortization on both continuing and discontinued operations increased 10.5% or \$26.4 million as compared to the comparable period in 2008. The increase in depreciation and amortization for the year ended December 31, 2009 is primarily the result of the Company s acquisition of 13 communities with 4,558 apartment homes during 2008, development completions during 2009 and 2008, and additional capital expenditures. As part of the Company s acquisition activity a portion of the purchase price is allocated to intangible assets and are typically amortized over a period of less than one year.

Interest Expense

For the year ended December 31, 2010, interest expense on both continuing and discontinued operations increased 6.1% or \$8.6 million as compared to 2009. This increase is primarily due to the Company s debt repurchase activity during 2010 and 2009. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized a loss of \$1.0 million as a result of repurchasing some of our 3.625% convertible Senior Notes in the open market as compared to our recognition of \$9.8 million in gains resulting from the repurchase of unsecured debt securities with a notional amount of \$238.9 million in the open market in 2009. The decrease in our gain from debt repurchase activity was partially offset by a decrease of \$3.8 million of expenses related to the tender of \$37.5 million of unsecured debt in 2009.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, interest expense on both continuing and discontinued operations decreased 2.4% or \$3.5 million as compared to 2008. This decrease is primarily due to the Company s debt repurchase activity during 2008 and 2009. During 2009, we recognized a gain of \$9.8 million as a result of repurchasing unsecured debt

securities with a notional amount of \$238.9 million in the open market throughout the year. The gains were partially offset by \$3.8 million of expenses related to the tender of \$37.5 million of

unsecured debt and \$2.6 million for prepayment penalties and the write-off of the fair market value adjustment for consolidated joint venture debt. In addition, the weighted average interest rate decreased from 4.9% in 2008 to 4.5% in 2009, which further reduced our interest expense. The decrease in the weighted average interest rate during 2009 reflects short-term bank borrowings and variable rate debt that had lower interest rates in 2009 when compared to the same period in 2008.

General and Administrative

For the year ended December 31, 2010, general and administrative expenses increased 8.6% or \$3.4 million as compared to 2009. The increase is primarily due to an increase in acquisition costs of \$2.9 million related to the Company s acquisitions of five operating communities and one parcel of land in 2010; an increase of \$4.8 million in compensation expense, including deferred compensation and bonuses; which was partially offset by a decrease in taxes of \$1.6 million and an increase in tax benefit, which is discussed in Tax Benefit/Expense of TRS above.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, general and administrative expenses increased 4.7% or \$1.8 million as compared to 2008. The increase was due to a decrease in tax benefit, which is discussed in Tax Benefit/Expense of TRS above and is offset by a number of factors, including the Company writing off acquisition-related costs, the Company no longer pursuing a condominium strategy (which resulted in writing off \$1.7 million in deferred sales charges), the renegotiation and/or cancellation of certain operating leases and/or vendor contracts of \$0.8 million, the Company cancelling a contract to acquire a pre-sale property (which resulted in a charge of \$1.7 million), and the acquisitions of certain contractual rights related to a joint venture (which resulted in the Company incurring a charge of \$305,000 for the profit component of the contracts which were in recognized in 2008).

Severance Costs and Other Restructuring Charges

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company recognized \$6.8 million of severance and restructuring charges as the Company consolidated its corporate operations and centralized job functions to its Highlands Ranch, Colorado headquarters from its Richmond, Virginia office. Also included in these charges were severance costs related to the retirement of an executive officer.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company recognized \$653,000 of severance and restructuring charges as the Company consolidated its operations in Highlands Ranch, Colorado. In addition, we announced reductions to certain positions related to both operations and corporate staff.

Gains on the Sale of Land and Depreciable Property

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, we recognized after-tax gains for financial reporting purposes of \$4.1 million, \$2.4 million, and \$786.2 million, respectively. Changes in the level of gains recognized from period to period reflect the changing level of our divestiture activity from period to period as well as the extent of gains related to specific properties sold.

Inflation

We believe that the direct effects of inflation on our operations have been immaterial. While the impact of inflation primarily impacts our results through wage pressures, utilities and material costs, substantially all of our leases are for a term of one year or less, which generally enables us to compensate for any inflationary effects by increasing rents on our apartment homes. Although an extreme escalation in energy and food costs could have a negative impact on our residents and their ability to absorb rent increases, we do not believe this has had a material impact on our results for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In connection with the purchase of Hanover s interests in the UDR/MetLife Partnership, UDR agreed to indemnify Hanover from liabilities from Hanover s guaranty of \$333 million in loans which are secured by a

security interest in the operating community subject to the loan at December 31, 2010. The loans are to the sub-tier partnerships which own the 26 operating communities. The Company anticipates that the balance of these loans will be refinanced by the Partnership over the next twelve months.

We do not have any other off-balance sheet arrangements that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenue or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that are material.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	Payments Due by Period									
Contractual Obligations		2011		2012-2013		2014-2015		Thereafter		Total
Long-term debt obligations	\$	347,283	\$	847,182	\$	762,570	\$	1,610,469	\$	3,567,504
Interest on debt obligations		147,655		240,750		156,901		275,503		820,809
Letters of credit		4,727		23						4,750
Unfunded commitments on										
development projects(a)		240,963								240,963
Operating lease obligations:										
Operating space		671		864		939		50		2,524
Ground leases(b)		4,557		9,114		9,114		294,866		317,651
	\$	745,856	\$	1,097,933	\$	929,524	\$	2,180,888	\$	4,954,201

- (a) Any unfunded costs at December 31, 2010 are shown in the year of estimated completion. The Company has project debt on many of our development projects.
- (b) For purposes of our ground lease contracts, the Company uses the minimum lease payment, if stated in the agreement. For ground lease agreements where there is a reset provision based on the communities appraised value or consumer price index but does not included a specified minimum lease payment, the Company uses the current rent over the remainder of the lease term.

During 2010, we incurred gross interest costs of \$158.6 million, of which \$12.5 million was capitalized.

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.:

Business Overview

United Dominion Realty, L.P. (the Operating Partnership or UDR, L.P.), is a Delaware limited partnership formed in February 2004 and organized pursuant to the provisions of the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act (as amended from time to time, or any successor to such statute, the Act). The Operating Partnership is the successor-in-interest to United Dominion Realty, L.P., a limited partnership formed under the laws of Virginia, which commenced operations on November 4, 1995. Our sole general partner is UDR, Inc., a Maryland corporation (UDR or the General Partner), which conducts a substantial amount of its business and holds a substantial amount of its assets

through the Operating Partnership. At December 31, 2010, the Operating Partnership s real estate portfolio included 81 communities located in 8 states plus the District of Columbia, with a total of 23,351 apartment homes.

As of December 31, 2010, UDR owned 110,883 units of our general limited partnership interests and 174,736,557 units of our limited partnership interests (the OP Units), or approximately 97.2% of our outstanding OP Units. By virtue of its ownership of our OP Units and being our sole general partner, UDR has the ability to control all of the day-to-day operations of the Operating Partnership. Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this Report to the Operating Partnership refer to the Operating Partnership together with its consolidated subsidiaries, and all references in this Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis United Dominion Realty, L.P. to we, us or our refer to the Operating Partnership together with its consolidated subsidiaries. We refer to our General Partner together with

its consolidated subsidiaries (including us) and the General Partner s consolidated joint ventures as UDR or the General Partner.

UDR operates as a self administered real estate investment trust, or REIT, for federal income tax purposes. UDR focuses on owning, acquiring, renovating, developing, redeveloping, and managing apartment communities in select markets throughout the United States. The General Partner was formed in 1972 as a Virginia corporation and changed its state of incorporation from Virginia to Maryland in September 2003. At December 31, 2010, the General Partner s consolidated real estate portfolio included 172 communities located in 23 markets with a total of 48,553 apartment homes. In addition, the General Partner has an ownership interest in 37 communities with 9,891 completed apartment homes through unconsolidated joint ventures.

The following table summarizes our market information by major geographic markets as of December 31, 2010.

			cember 31,		Year Ended December 31, 2010					
			Percentage		Total		-	D / 1	.	
	Number Number		of					Fotal	0	Net
	of	of	Total Cari		Carrying	Average	Ir	ncome	O	perating
	Apartmen	apartment Carrying			Value	Physical	Oc	per cupied	In	come(a)
	F	·r ··· ····	(In			J ~	-		(In thousands)	
	Communitie	eHomes			ousands)	Occupancy				
SAME COMMUNITIES										
WESTERN REGION										
Orange Co, CA	12	4,124	20.6%	\$	765,098	95.4%	\$	1,478	\$	48,793
San Francisco, CA	8	1,703	10.6%		392,398	96.9%		1,907		26,620
Monterey Peninsula, CA	7	1,565	4.1%		152,645	94.1%		1,066		12,820
Los Angeles, CA	6	1,222	7.2%		265,084	96.0%		1,544		14,360
San Diego, CA	3	689	2.7%		99,585	95.1%		1,258		6,472
Seattle, WA	5	932	5.6%		206,953	96.6%		1,191		8,584
Inland Empire, CA	2	834	3.2%		119,199	95.0%		1,243		7,876
Sacramento, CA	2	914	1.8%		68,061	93.5%		867		5,857
Portland, OR	3	716	1.9%		69,543	95.9%	946			5,211
MID-ATLANTIC REGIO	N									
Metropolitan DC	7	2,347	14.4%		535,141	96.4%		1,642		30,221
Baltimore, MD	5	994	3.9%		145,968	96.4%		1,314		10,673
SOUTHEASTERN REGIO	DN									
Tampa, FL	3	1,154	2.9%		109,081	95.6%		1,000		8,338
Nashville, TN	6	1,612	3.4%		127,177	96.5%		824		9,717
Jacksonville, FL	1	400	1.2%		42,292	94.9%		852		2,379
Other Florida	1	636	2.1%		76,310	95.1%		1,148		5,224
SOUTHWESTERN REGI	ON									
Dallas, TX	2	1,348	4.9%		182,840	95.6%		1,131		10,554
Phoenix, AZ	3	914	2.0%		71,646	95.3%		855		5,621
Total/Average Same										
Communities	76	22,104	92.5%		3,429,021	95.6%	\$	1,287	\$	219,320

Non Matures, Commercial Properties & Other	5	1,247	7.5%	277,163
Total Real Estate Held for Investment	81	23,351	100.0%	3,706,184
Total Accumulated Depreciation				(884,083)
Total Real Estate Owned, Net of Accumulated Depreciation				\$ 2,822,101

(a) Total Income per Occupied Home represents total revenues divided by the product of occupancy and the number of mature apartment homes.

We report in two segments: Same Communities and Non-Mature/Other Communities. Our Same Communities segment includes those communities acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to January 1, 2009, and held as of December 31, 2010. These communities were owned and had stabilized occupancy and operating expenses as of the beginning of the prior year, there is no plan to conduct substantial redevelopment activities, and the community is not held for disposition within the current year. A community is considered to have stabilized occupancy once it achieves 90% occupancy for at least three consecutive months. Our Non-Mature/Other Communities segment includes those communities that were acquired or developed in 2008, 2009 or 2010, sold properties, redevelopment properties, properties classified as real estate held for disposition, condominium conversion properties, joint venture properties, properties managed by third parties, and the non-apartment components of mixed use properties.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is the ability to meet present and future financial obligations either through operating cash flows, the sale of properties, and the issuance of debt. Both the coordination of asset and liability maturities and effective capital management are important to the maintenance of liquidity. The Operating Partnership s primary source of liquidity is cash flow from operations as determined by rental rates, occupancy levels, and operating expenses related to our portfolio of apartment homes and borrowings allocated to us under the General Partner s credit agreements. The General Partner will routinely use its unsecured credit facility to temporarily fund certain investing and financing activities prior to arranging for longer-term financing or the issuance of equity or debt securities. During the past several years, proceeds from the sale of real estate have been used for both investing and financing activities as we repositioned our portfolio.

We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements generally through net cash provided by operations and borrowings allocated to us under the General Partner s credit agreements. We expect to meet certain long-term liquidity requirements such as scheduled debt maturities and potential property acquisitions through borrowings and the disposition of properties. We believe that our net cash provided by operations and borrowings will continue to be adequate to meet both operating requirements and the payment of distributions. Likewise, the budgeted expenditures for improvements and renovations of certain properties are expected to be funded from property operations and borrowings allocated to us under the General Partner s credit agreements the Operating Partnership is a party to.

Future Capital Needs

Future capital expenditures are expected to be funded with proceeds from the issuance of secured debt, the sale of properties, the borrowings allocated to us under our General Partner s credit agreements, and to a lesser extent, with cash flows provided by operating activities. Acquisition activity in strategic markets is expected to be largely financed by the reinvestment of proceeds from the sale of properties, the issuance of OP Units and the assumption or placement of secured debt.

During 2011, we have approximately \$42.2 million of secured debt maturing and we anticipate that we will repay that debt with operating cash flows, proceeds from borrowings allocated to us under our General Partner s credit agreements, or by exercising extension rights on such secured debt, as applicable. The repayment of debt will be recorded as an offset to the Receivable due from General Partner .

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to use judgment in the application of accounting policies, including making estimates and assumptions. A critical accounting policy is one that is both important to our financial condition and results of operations and that involves some degree of uncertainty.

Estimates are prepared based on management s assessment after considering all evidence available. Changes in estimates could affect our financial position or results of operations. Below is a discussion of the accounting policies that we consider critical to understanding our financial condition or results of operations where there is uncertainty or where significant judgment is required.

Capital Expenditures

In conformity with GAAP, we capitalize those expenditures that materially enhance the value of an existing asset or substantially extend the useful life of an existing asset. Expenditures necessary to maintain an existing property in ordinary operating condition are expensed as incurred.

During year ended December 31, 2010, \$59.5 million was spent on capital expenditures for all of our communities as compared to \$70.4 million for the twelve months ended December 31, 2009. These capital improvements included turnover-related capital expenditures, revenue enhancing capital expenditures, asset preservation expenditures, kitchen and bath upgrades, other extensive interior/exterior upgrades and major renovations.

We will continue to selectively add revenue enhancing improvements which we believe will provide a return on investment substantially in excess of our cost of capital.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

We record impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations when events and circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by the future operation and disposition of those assets are less than the net book value of those assets. Our cash flow estimates are based upon historical results adjusted to reflect our best estimate of future market and operating conditions and our estimated holding periods. The net book value of impaired assets is reduced to fair market value. Our estimates of fair market value represent our best estimate based upon industry trends and reference to market rates and transactions.

Real Estate Investment Properties

We purchase real estate investment properties from time to time and allocate the purchase price to various components, such as land, buildings, and intangibles related to in-place leases in accordance with FASB ASC 805, *Business Combinations* (formerly SFAS 141R, Business Combinations). The purchase price is allocated based on the fair value of each component. The fair value of buildings is determined as if the buildings were vacant upon acquisition and subsequently leased at market rental rates. As such, the determination of fair value considers the present value of all cash flows expected to be generated from the property including an initial lease-up period. We determine the fair value of in-place leases by assessing the net effective rent and remaining term of the lease relative to market terms for similar leases at acquisition. In addition, we consider the cost of acquiring similar leases, the foregone rents associated with the lease-up period, and the carrying costs associated with the lease-up period. The fair value of in-place leases is recorded and amortized as amortization expense over the remaining contractual lease period.

Statements of Cash Flows

The following discussion explains the changes in net cash provided by operating activities, net cash (used in)/provided by investing activities and net cash used in financing activities that are presented in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Operating Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2010, net cash flow provided by operating activities was \$146.6 million compared to \$157.3 million for the comparable period in 2009. The decrease in net cash flow from operating activities is primarily due an increase in consolidated net loss, primarily due to a decrease in property net operating income and an increase in allocated general and administrative costs.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, our net cash flow provided by operating activities was \$157.3 million compared to \$168.7 million for 2008. The decrease in net cash flow from operating activities is primarily due to a decrease in property net operating income from our apartment community portfolio, a decrease in interest income related to a \$200 million note receivable that was paid off during 2009 and higher interest expense, partially offset by a decrease in other operating liabilities.

Investing Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2010, net cash used in investing activities was \$59.5 million compared to net cash provided by investing activities of \$129.6 million for the comparable period in 2009. This change was primarily due to the full payment received on a \$200 million note receivable in 2009. The activity during 2010 consisted entirely of capital expenditures.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, net cash provided by investing activities was \$129.6 million compared to \$82.0 million for 2008. The increase in cash is primarily driven by the proceeds from a \$200 million note receivable in 2009 and a reduction in acquisition activity and capital expenditures in 2009 as compared to 2008. This is partially offset by the proceeds from dispositions of \$880 million in 2008.

Acquisitions

For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we had no property acquisitions. For the year ended December 31, 2008, we acquired nine apartment communities with 3,348 apartment homes for aggregate consideration of \$713.6 million. The Operating Partnership s long-term strategic plan is to achieve greater operating efficiencies by investing in fewer, more concentrated markets. As a result, we have been seeking to expand our interests in communities located in California, Metropolitan Washington D.C. and the Washington state markets over the past years. Prospectively, we plan to continue to channel new investments into those markets we believe will continue to provide the best investment returns. Markets will be targeted based upon defined criteria including favorable job formation, low single-family home affordability and favorable demand/supply ratio for multifamily housing.

Dispositions

During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, we did not dispose of any communities. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we sold 55 communities with a total of 16,960 apartment homes, for net proceeds of \$880 million. We recognized gains for financial reporting purposes of \$475.2 million on these sales. Proceeds from the sales were used primarily to acquire new communities, reduce debt, and repay our General Partner.

In conjunction with this transaction, a subsidiary of the Operating Partnership received a note in the amount of \$200 million. The note was paid in full in 2009.

Financing Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2010, our net cash used in financing activities was \$86.7 million compared to \$290.1 million for 2009. The decrease in cash used in financing activities was primarily due to a net decrease in payments to the General Partner, partially offset by a decrease in the proceeds from secured debt.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, our net cash used in financing activities was \$290.1 million compared to \$247.2 million for the comparable period of 2008. The increase in cash used in financing activities was primarily due to a net increase in payments to the General Partner, which was partially offset by the net activity on secured debt.

Credit Facilities

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the General Partner had secured credit facilities with Fannie Mae with an aggregate commitment of \$1.4 billion with \$1.2 billion outstanding. The Fannie Mae credit facilities are for an initial term of 10 years, bear interest at floating and fixed rates, and certain variable rate facilities can be extended for an additional five years at the General Partner s option. At December 31, 2010, \$897.3 million of the funded balance was

Table of Contents

fixed at a weighted average interest rate of 5.3% and the remaining balance on these facilities was at a weighted average variable rate of 1.7%. At December 31, 2010, \$736.9 million of these credit facilities are allocated to the Operating Partnership based on the ownership of the assets securing the debt.

At December 31, 2009, there was \$950 million of the funded balance fixed at a weighted average interest rate of 5.4% and the remaining balance on these facilities was at a weighted average variable rate of 1.7%. \$750.4 million of these credit facilities were allocated to the Operating Partnership at December 31, 2009 based on the ownership of the assets securing the debt.

The Operating Partnership is a guarantor on the General Partner s unsecured credit facility, with an aggregate borrowing capacity of \$600 million, on a \$100 million term loan, and on the \$250 million term loan facility. At December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the outstanding balance under the unsecured credit facility was \$31.8 million and \$189.3 million, respectively.

The credit facilities are subject to customary financial covenants and limitations.

Other Guarantees

At December 31, 2010, the Operating Partnership guaranteed certain outstanding securities of UDR, such that the Operating Partnership, as primary obligor and not merely as surety, irrevocably and unconditionally guarantees to each holder of the applicable securities and to the trustee and their successors and assigns under the respective indenture (a) the full and punctual payment when due, whether at stated maturity, by acceleration or otherwise, of all obligations of UDR under the respective indenture whether for principal of or interest on the securities (and premium, if any), and all other monetary obligations of UDR under the respective indenture and the terms of the applicable securities and (b) the full and punctual performance within the applicable grace periods of all other obligations of UDR under the respective indenture and the terms of the applicable securities.

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to interest rate risk associated with variable rate notes payable and maturing debt that has to be refinanced. We do not hold financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes, but rather issue these financial instruments to finance our portfolio of real estate assets. Interest rate sensitivity is the relationship between changes in market interest rates and the fair value of market rate sensitive assets and liabilities. Our earnings are affected as changes in short-term interest rates impact our cost of variable rate debt and maturing fixed rate debt. We had \$303.5 million in variable rate debt that is not subject to interest rate swap contracts as of December 31, 2010. If market interest rates for variable rate debt increased by 100 basis points, our interest expense would increase by \$3 million based on the balance at December 31, 2010.

These amounts are determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our borrowing cost. These analyses do not consider the effects of the adjusted level of overall economic activity that could exist in such an environment. Further, in the event of a change of such magnitude, management would likely take actions to further mitigate our exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, the sensitivity analysis assumes no change in our financial structure.

A presentation of cash flow metrics based on GAAP is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	For the Year Ended December 31,					
	2010	2009	2008			
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 146,604	\$ 157,333	\$ 168,660			
Net cash (used in)/provided by investing activities	(59,458)	129,628	81,993			
Net cash used in financing activities	(86,668)	(290,109)	(247,150)			

Results of Operations

The following discussion explains the changes in results of operations that are presented in our Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, and includes the results of both continuing and discontinued operations for the periods presented.

Net (Loss)/Income Attributable to OP Unitholders

2010-vs.-2009

Net loss attributable to OP unit holders was \$20.7 million (\$0.12 per OP unit) for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to \$4.2 million (\$0.02 per OP unit) for the comparable period in the prior year. The increase in net loss attributable to OP unit holders for the year ended December 31, 2010 resulted primarily from the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail elsewhere within this Report:

a decrease in net operating income (NOI);

an increase in general and administrative expenses allocated to us by our General Partner; and

a decrease in other income.

2009-vs.-2008

Net loss attributable to OP unit holders was \$4.2 million (\$0.02 per OP unit) for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to net income attributable to OP unit holders of \$497.7 million (\$3.00 per OP unit) for the comparable period in the prior year. The decrease in net income attributable to OP unit holders for the year ended December 31, 2009 resulted primarily from the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail elsewhere within this Report:

a reduction in disposition gains in 2009 as compared to 2008. We recognized net gains of \$1.5 million and \$475.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively;

a decrease in net operating income due to the disposition of properties in 2008;

an increase in interest expense incurred on new debt;

an increase in depreciation expense primarily due to the acquisition of operating properties in 2008; and

a decrease in other income primarily due to a decrease in interest income.

Apartment Community Operations

Our net income is primarily generated from the operation of our apartment communities.

The following table summarizes the operating performance of our total portfolio for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 (*dollars in thousands*):

	Year E	nded December	· 31,	Year E	· 31,	
	2010	2009	% Change	2009	2008	% Change
Property rental income Property operating expense(a)	\$ 350,394	\$ 353,056	-0.8%	\$ 353,056	\$ 362,012	-2.5%
	(116,278)	(112,488)	3.4%	(112,488)	(115,972)	3.0%

Property net operating						
income (NOI)	\$ 234,116	\$ 240,568	-2.7%	\$ 240,568	\$ 246,040	-2.2%

(a) Excludes depreciation, amortization, and property management expenses.

The following table is our reconciliation of property NOI to net income attributable to OP unit holders as reflected, for both continuing and discontinued operations, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 (*dollars in thousands*):

	Year	Ended Decembe	er 31,
	2010	2009	2008
Property net operating income	\$ 234,116	\$ 240,568	\$ 246,040
Other income	1,695	5,695	13,106
Real estate depreciation and amortization	(166,480)	(166,773)	(154,584)
Interest expense	(52,222)	(53,547)	(47,139)
General and administrative and property management	(32,927)	(26,595)	(29,037)
Other depreciation and amortization			(327)
Other operating expenses	(5,028)	(4,868)	(4,400)
Net gain on sale of real estate	152	1,475	475,249
Non-controlling interests	(41)	(131)	(1,188)
Net (loss)/income attributable to OP unitholders	\$ (20,735)	\$ (4,176)	\$ 497,720

Same Store Communities

2010-vs.-2009

Our same store communities (those acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to January 1, 2009 and held on December 31, 2010) consisted of 22,104 apartment homes and provided 93.7% of our total NOI for the year ended December 31, 2010.

NOI for our same store community properties decreased 2.7% or \$6.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. The decrease in property NOI was primarily attributable to a 1.4% or \$4.6 million decrease in property rental income and by a 1.4% or \$1.5 million increase in operating expenses. The decrease in revenues was primarily driven by a 2.9% or \$9.4 million decrease in rental rates which was partially offset by a 4.9% or \$1.2 million increase in reimbursement income. Physical occupancy increased 0.4% to 95.6% and total income per occupied home decreased \$24 to \$1,287 for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to the prior year.

The increase in property operating expenses was primarily driven by a 3.6% or \$582,000 increase in utilities, a \$764,000 or 4.8% increase in repairs and maintenance, and a 3.1% or \$767,000 increase in personnel costs which was partially offset by a 1.6% or \$571,000 decrease in real estate taxes and a 3.7% or \$252,000 decrease in administrative and marketing costs.

As a result of the percentage changes in property rental income and property operating expenses, the operating margin (property net operating income divided by property rental income) was 67.2% for the year ended December 31, 2010 as compared to 68.1% for the comparable period in 2009.

2009-vs.-2008

Our same store communities (those acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to January 1, 2008 and held on December 31, 2009) consisted of 17,332 apartment homes and provided 72% of our total NOI for the year ended December 31, 2009.

NOI for our same store community properties decreased 2.5% or \$4.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008. The decrease in property NOI was primarily attributable to a 2.4% or \$6.2 million decrease in property rental income, which was partially offset by a 2.3% or \$1.8 million decrease in operating expenses. The decrease in revenues was primarily driven by a 3.1% or \$7.7 million decrease in rental rates and a 52.1% or \$573,000 increase in bad debt which was offset by an 13.7% or \$1.6 million decrease in vacancy loss and a 7.3% or \$739,000 increase in reimbursement income. Physical occupancy increased 0.3% to 95.3% and total income per occupied home decreased \$23 to \$1,266.

The decrease in property operating expenses was primarily driven by a 6.0% or \$223,000 decrease in insurance, a 2.1% or \$249,000 decrease in utilities, a 5.5% or \$706,000 decrease in repairs and maintenance, a 38.3% or \$298,000 decrease in incentive bonuses, and a 6.6% or \$365,000 decrease in administrative and marketing costs.

As a result of the percentage changes in property rental income and property operating expenses, the operating margin (property net operating income divided by property rental income) was 69.3% during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Non-Mature/Other Communities

2010-vs.-2009

The remaining \$14.8 million and \$15.2 million of our NOI during the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, was generated from communities that we classify as non-mature communities. Our non-mature communities consist of communities that do not meet the criteria to be included in same store communities, which includes communities developed or acquired, redevelopment properties, sold properties, properties managed by third-parties, the non-apartment components of mixed use properties, and properties classified as real estate held for disposition. For the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized NOI for redevelopments of \$10.2 million. The remainder was primarily due to the non-apartment components of mixed use properties. For the year ended December 31, 2008, we recognized NOI for redeveloped properties of \$9.5 million. The remaining NOI was primarily due to the non-apartment components.

2009-vs.-2008

The remaining \$66.8 million and \$67.8 million of our NOI during the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, was generated from communities that we classify as non-mature communities. Our non-mature communities consist of communities that do not meet the criteria to be included in same store communities, which includes communities developed or acquired, redevelopment properties, sold properties and properties classified as real estate held for disposition. For the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized NOI for our acquired communities of \$49.3 million and redevelopments of \$11.8 million. For the year ended December 31, 2008, we recognized NOI for our acquired communities of \$35.5 million, sold communities of \$15.2 million, and redeveloped properties of \$11.8 million.

Other Income

For the year ended December 31, 2010, other income primarily includes a reversal of certain real estate tax accruals partially offset by losses due to the change in the fair value of derivatives.

For the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, other income primarily includes interest income on a note for \$200 million that a subsidiary of the Operating Partnership received related to the disposition of 55 properties during 2008. In May 2009, the \$200 million note was paid in full.

Real Estate Depreciation and Amortization

For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, real estate depreciation and amortization did not change significantly as the Operating Partnership did not have any acquisitions or dispositions during these respective periods.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, real estate depreciation and amortization increased 7.9% or \$12.2 million as compared to the comparable period in 2008. The increase in depreciation and amortization for the year ended December 31, 2009 is primarily the result of the acquisition of nine communities with 3,348 apartment homes during 2008, and additional capital expenditures. As part of the Operating Partnership s acquisition activity a portion of the purchase price is allocated to intangible assets and are typically amortized over a period of less than one year.

Interest Expense

For the year ended December 31, 2010, interest expense decreased 2.5% or \$1.3 million, as compared to the same period in 2009. This decrease is primarily due a decrease in the interest rate charged on the note payable due to the General Partner partially offset by slightly higher average borrowings on secured credit facilities.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, interest expense on both continuing and discontinued operations increased 14.7% or \$6.9 million as compared to 2008. This increase is primarily due to additional borrowings on FNMA credit facilities offset by debt repayments and maturities.

General and Administrative

The Operating Partnership is charged directly for general and administrative expenses it incurs. The Operating Partnership is also charged for other general and administrative expenses that have been allocated by UDR to each of its subsidiaries, including the Operating Partnership, based on each subsidiary s pro-rata portion of UDR s total apartment homes.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, general and administrative expenses increased 37.9% or \$6.4 million, as compared to the comparable period in 2009. The increase was due to a number of factors including acquisition-related costs and severance and other restructuring charges recognized in 2010. The increases were consistent with the changes in UDR s general and administrative expenses and severance and other restructuring expenses for the year ended December 31, 2010.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, general and administrative expenses decreased 11.5% or \$2.2 million as compared to 2008. The decrease was primarily due to a number of factors including the write off of acquisition-related costs and severance and restructuring costs recognized in 2008.

Income from Discontinued Operations

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, we recognized gains for financial reporting purposes of \$152,000, \$1.5 million and \$475.2 million, respectively. Changes in the level of gains recognized from period to period reflect the residual activities from specific properties sold.

Inflation

We believe that the direct effects of inflation on our operations have been immaterial. While the impact of inflation primarily impacts our results through wage pressures, utilities and material costs, substantially all of our leases are for a term of one year or less, which generally enables us to compensate for any inflationary effects by increasing rents on our apartment homes. Although an extreme escalation in energy and food costs could have a negative impact on our residents and their ability to absorb rent increases, we do not believe this has had a material impact on our results for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Operating Partnership is a guarantor on the General Partner s unsecured credit facility, with an aggregate borrowing capacity of \$600 million, a \$100 million term loan, and a \$250 million term loan. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the outstanding balance under the unsecured credit facility was \$31.8 million and \$189.3 million, respectively.

On September 30, 2010, the Operating Partnership guaranteed certain outstanding debt securities of the General Partner. These guarantees provide that the Operating Partnership, as primary obligor and not merely as surety, irrevocably and unconditionally guarantees to each holder of the applicable securities and to the trustee and their successors and assigns under the respective indenture (a) the full and punctual payment when due, whether as stated maturity, by acceleration or otherwise, of all obligations of the General Partner under the respective indenture whether for principal or interest on the securities (and premium, if any), and all other monetary obligations of the General Partner under the respective indenture and the terms of the applicable

securities and (b) the full and punctual performance within the applicable grace periods of all other obligations of the General Partner under the respective indenture and the terms of applicable securities.

We do not have any other off-balance sheet arrangements that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenue or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that are material.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	Payments Due by Period										
Contractual Obligations		2011		2012-2013		2014-2015		Thereafter		Total	
Long-term debt obligations Interest on debt obligations Operating lease obligations- Ground	\$	42,183 46,854	\$	373,746 74,252	\$	1,270 59,180	\$	652,862 89,334	\$	1,070,061 269,620	
leases(a)		4,456		8,913		8,913		294,377		316,659	
	\$	93,493	\$	456,911	\$	69,363	\$	1,036,573	\$	1,656,340	

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Information required by this item is included in and incorporated by reference from Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this Report.

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The consolidated financial statements and related financial information required to be filed are attached to this Report. Reference is made to page 73 of this Report for the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedule of UDR, Inc. and United Dominion Realty, L.P.

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The disclosure controls and procedures of the Company and the Operating Partnership are designed with the objective of ensuring that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. Our disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

It should be noted that the design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote. As a result, our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that such disclosure controls and procedures will meet their objectives.

As of December 31, 2010, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the disclosure controls and procedures of the Company and the Operating Partnership. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company concluded that the

disclosure controls and procedures of the Company and the Operating Partnership are effective at the reasonable assurance level described above.

Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The management of UDR, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Under the supervision and with the participation of the management, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of UDR, Inc. conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO). Based on such evaluation, management concluded that UDR, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2010.

Ernst & Young LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited our consolidated financial statements included in this Report, has audited UDR, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. The report of Ernst & Young LLP, which expresses an unqualified opinion on UDR, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, is included under the heading Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm of UDR, Inc. contained in this Report.

This Report does not include a report of management s assessment regarding internal control over financial reporting of United Dominion Realty, L.P. or an attestation report of the registered public accounting firm of United Dominion Realty, L.P. due to a transition period established by the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission for newly public companies. Further, an attestation report of the registered public accounting firm of United Dominion Realty, L.P. will not be required as long as United Dominion Realty, L.P. is a non-accelerated filer.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in either the Company s or the Operating Partnership s internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fourth fiscal quarter to which this report relates that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the internal control over financial reporting of either the Company or the Operating Partnership.

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth under the headings Election of Directors, Corporate Governance Matters, Audit Committee Report, Corporate Governance Matters-Aud Committee Financial Expert, Corporate Governance Matters-Identification and Selection of Nominees for Directors, Corporate Governance Matters-Board of Directors and Committee Meetings and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance in UDR, Inc. s definitive proxy statement (our definitive proxy statement) for its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 12, 2011. UDR is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership.

Information required by this item regarding our executive officers is included in Part I of this Report in the section entitled Business-Executive Officers of the Company.

We have a code of ethics for senior financial officers that applies to our principal executive officer, all members of our finance staff, including the principal financial officer, the principal accounting officer, the treasurer and the controller, our director of investor relations, our corporate secretary, and all other Company officers. We also have a code of business conduct and ethics that applies to all of our employees. Information regarding our codes is available on our website, *www.udr.com*, and is incorporated by reference to the information set forth under the heading Corporate Governance Matters in our definitive proxy statement for UDR s Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 12, 2011. We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirements under Item 10 of Form 8-K regarding an amendment to, or a waiver from, a provision of our codes by posting such amendment or waiver on our website.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth under the headings Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management, Corporate Governance Matters-Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation, Executive Compensation, Compensation of Directors and Compensation Committee Report in the definitive proxy statement for UDR s Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 12, 2011. UDR is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership.

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth under the headings Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management, Executive Compensation and Equity Compensation Plan Information in the definitive proxy statement for UDR s Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 12, 2011. UDR is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth under the heading Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management, Corporate Governance Matters-Corporate Governance Overview, Corporate Governance Matters-Director Independence, Corporate Governance Matters-Independence of Audit, Compensation and Governance Committees, and Executive Compensation in the definitive proxy statement for UDR s Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 12, 2011. UDR is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership.

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the information set forth under the headings Audit Fees and Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures in the definitive proxy statement for UDR s Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 12, 2011. UDR is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership.

PART IV

Item 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this Report:

1. *Financial Statements*. See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedules of UDR, Inc. and United Dominion Realty, L.P. on page 73 of this Report.

2. *Financial Statement Schedules*. See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedule of UDR, Inc. and United Dominion Realty, L.P. on page 73 of this Report. All other schedules are omitted because they are not required, are inapplicable, or the required information is included in the financial statements or notes thereto.

3. *Exhibits*. The exhibits filed with this Report are set forth in the Exhibit Index.

Date: February 23, 2011

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

UDR, INC.

By:

/s/ Thomas W. Toomey

Thomas W. Toomey Chief Executive Officer and President

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below on February 23, 2011 by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated.

/s/ Eric J. Foss /s/ Thomas W. Toomey Eric J. Foss Thomas W. Toomey Chief Executive Officer, President, and Director Director /s/ Robert P. Freeman /s/ David L. Messenger David L. Messenger Robert P. Freeman Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer Director (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) /s/ James D. Klingbeil /s/ Jon A. Grove Jon A. Grove James D. Klingbeil Chairman of the Board Director /s/ Lynne B. Sagalyn /s/ Mark J. Sandler Lynne B. Sagalyn Mark J. Sandler Vice Chair of the Board Director /s/ Katherine A. Cattanach /s/ Thomas C. Wajnert Katherine A. Cattanach Thomas C. Wajnert Director Director

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

By: UDR, INC., its sole general partner

Date: February 23, 2011

By: /s/ Thomas W. Toomey

Thomas W. Toomey Chief Executive Officer and President

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below on February 23, 2011 by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated.

/s/ Thomas W. Toomey

Thomas W. Toomey Chief Executive Officer, President, and Director of the General Partner

/s/ David L. Messenger

David L. Messenger Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the General Partner (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

/s/ James D. Klingbeil

James D. Klingbeil Chairman of the Board of the General Partner

/s/ Lynne B. Sagalyn

Lynne B. Sagalyn Vice Chair of the Board of the General Partner

/s/ Katherine A. Cattanach

Katherine A. Cattanach Director of the General Partner /s/ Eric J. Foss

Eric J. Foss Director of the General Partner

/s/ Robert P. Freeman

Robert P. Freeman Director of the General Partner

/s/ Jon A. Grove

Jon A. Grove Director of the General Partner

/s/ Mark J. Sandler

Mark J. Sandler Director of the General Partner

/s/ Thomas C. Wajnert

Thomas C. Wajnert Director of the General Partner

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULE

Page

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FILED AS PART OF THIS REPORT	
UDR, INC.:	
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	74
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2010 and 2009	76
Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010	77
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010	78
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Income/(Loss) for each of the three	
years in the period ended December 31, 2010	80
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	82
UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.:	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	121
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2010 and 2009	122
Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010	123
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010	124
Consolidated Statements of Partners Capital and Comprehensive Income/(Loss) for each of the three years in	
the period ended December 31, 2010	125
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	126
SCHEDULES FILED AS PART OF THIS REPORT	
UDR, INC.:	
Schedule III Summary of Real Estate Owned	151
UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.:	
Schedule III Summary of Real Estate Owned	157

All other schedules are omitted since the required information is not present or is not present in amounts sufficient to require submission of the schedule, or because the information required is included in the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of UDR, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of UDR, Inc. (the Company) as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders equity and comprehensive income/(loss), and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of UDR, Inc. at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), UDR, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 23, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Denver, Colorado February 23, 2011

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of UDR, Inc.

We have audited UDR, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). UDR, Inc. s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting included in Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, UDR, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of UDR, Inc. as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders equity and comprehensive income/(loss), and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010 of UDR, Inc. and our report dated February 23, 2011, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Denver, Colorado February 23, 2011

Table of Contents

UDR, Inc.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In thousands, except share and per share data)

	December 31,			
		2010		2009
ASSETS				
Real estate owned:				
Real estate held for investment	\$	6,783,435	\$	5,975,239
Less: accumulated depreciation		(1,638,326)		(1,346,689)
Real estate investment, net		5,145,109		4,628,550
Real estate under development (net of accumulated depreciation of \$0 and				
\$1,226)		97,912		318,531
Real estate held for disposition (net of accumulated depreciation of \$0 and				16 672
\$3,378)				16,673
Total real estate owned, net of accumulated depreciation		5,243,021		4,963,754
Cash and cash equivalents		9,486		5,985
Marketable securities		3,866		37,650
Restricted cash		15,447		8,879
Deferred financing costs, net		27,267		26,601
Notes receivable		7,800		7,800
Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures		148,057		14,126
Other assets		74,596		67,822
Total assets	\$	5,529,540	\$	5,132,617
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS	EOUIT	V		
Secured debt	\$	1,963,670	\$	1,989,434
Unsecured debt		1,603,834		1,437,155
Real estate taxes payable		14,585		16,976
Accrued interest payable		20,889		19,146
Security deposits and prepaid rent		26,046		31,798
Distributions payable		36,561		30,857
Deferred fees and gains on the sale of depreciable property		28,943		28,826
Accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities		105,925		80,685
Total liabilities		3,800,453		3,634,877
Redeemable non-controlling interests in operating partnership		119,057		98,758

Stockholders equity Preferred stock, no par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized 2,803,812 shares of 8.00% Series E Cumulative Convertible issued

Table of Contents

and outstanding (2,803,812 shares at December 31, 2009)	46,571	46,571
3,405,562 shares of 6.75% Series G Cumulative Redeemable issued and outstanding (3,432,962 shares at December 31, 2009)	85,139	85,824
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 250,000,000 shares authorized		
182,496,330 shares issued and outstanding (155,465,482 shares at December 31,		
2009)	1,825	1,555
Additional paid-in capital	2,450,141	1,948,669
Distributions in excess of net income	(973,864)	(687,180)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net	(3,469)	2
Total UDR, Inc. stockholders equity	1,606,343	1,395,441
Non-controlling interest	3,687	3,541
Total equity	1,610,030	1,398,982
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 5,529,540	\$ 5,132,617

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

76

UDR, Inc.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (In thousands, except per share data)

	Years Ended December 31,					
		2010	2009	2008		
REVENUES						
Rental income	\$	632,249	\$ 600,702	\$ 561,073		
Non-property income:						
Other income		14,347	12,362	27,190		
Gain on consolidation of joint ventures			1,912			
Total revenues		646,596	614,976	588,263		
EXPENSES						
Rental expenses:						
Real estate taxes and insurance		78,168	74,338	66,717		
Personnel		57,441	51,543	48,383		
Utilities		34,440	31,638	29,238		
Repair and maintenance		35,712	31,581	30,232		
Administrative and marketing		16,406	14,510	14,575		
Property management		17,387	16,520	15,430		
Other operating expenses		5,848	6,487	4,563		
Real estate depreciation and amortization		303,151	277,849	251,402		
Interest						
Expense incurred		146,062	141,380	158,525		
Net loss/(gain) on debt extinguishment		1,204	(9,849)	(26,306)		
Amortization of convertible debt discount		3,530	4,283	6,598		
Prepayment penalty on debt restructure			1,022	4,201		
Write-off of fair market value adjustment for debt paid off on						
consolidated joint venture			1,552			
Expenses related to tender offer			3,764			
General and administrative		42,710	39,344	38,776		
Severance costs and other restructuring charges		6,803		653		
Other depreciation and amortization		4,843	5,161	4,866		
Total expenses		753,705	691,123	647,853		
Loss from operations		(107,109)	(76,147)	(59,590)		
Loss from unconsolidated entities		(4,204)	(18,665)	(3,612)		
Loss from continuing operations		(111,313)	(94,812)	(63,202)		
Income from discontinued operations		4,725	3,189	807,069		
Consolidated net (loss)/income		(106,588)	(91,623)	743,867		
Net loss attributable to redeemable non-controlling interests in OP		3,835	4,282	(45,875)		
Net income attributable to non-controlling interests		(146)	(191)	(202)		

Net (loss)/income attributable to UDR, Inc. Distributions to preferred stockholders Series E (Convert Distributions to preferred stockholders Series G Discount on preferred stock repurchases, net	ible)	(102,899) (3,726) (5,762) 25	(87,532) (3,724) (7,188) 2,586	697,790 (3,724) (8,414) 3,056
Net (loss)/income attributable to common stockholders	\$	6 (112,362)	\$ (95,858)	\$ 688,708
Earnings per weighted average common share basic: Loss from continuing operations attributable to common				
stockholders	\$	6 (0.71)	\$ (0.66)	\$ (0.91)
Income from discontinued operations	\$		\$ 0.02	\$ 6.20
Net (loss)/income attributable to common stockholders	9		\$ (0.64)	\$ 5.29
Earnings per weighted average common share diluted:				
Loss from continuing operations attributable to common				
stockholders	\$	6 (0.71)	\$ (0.66)	\$ (0.91)
Income from discontinued operations	\$	6 0.03	\$ 0.02	\$ 6.20
Net (loss)/income attributable to common stockholders	\$	6 (0.68)	\$ (0.64)	\$ 5.29
Common distributions declared per share	\$	0.73	\$ 0.85	\$ 2.29
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	basic	165,857	149,090	130,219
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	diluted	165,857	149,090	130,219

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

77

UDR, Inc.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands, except for share data)

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2010				
Operating Activities		¢ (01 (00)	• • • • • • • • • •		
Consolidated net (loss)/income	\$ (106,588)	\$ (91,623)	\$ 743,867		
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss)/income to net cash provided by					
operating activities:	200 200	202 552	256 050		
Depreciation and amortization	308,289	283,552	256,850		
Net gain on sale of marketable securities	(4,725)	(2, 42, 4)	(70(101)		
Net gains on the sale of depreciable property	(4,083)	(2,424)	(786,181)		
Net gains on the sale of land		(1,010)	(183)		
Gain on consolidation of joint ventures		(1,912)			
Write off of the fair market adjustment for debt paid off on		1 5 5 0			
consolidated joint venture	1.004	1,552			
Loss/(gain) on debt extinguishment	1,204	(9,849)	(26,306)		
Write off of bad debt	2,838	3,570	2,411		
Write off of note receivable and other assets	4.004	1,354	2 (12		
Loss from unconsolidated entities	4,204	18,665	3,612		
Amortization of deferred financing costs and other	8,957	7,953	7,585		
Amortization of deferred compensation	11,411	7,605	7,024		
Amortization of convertible debt discount	3,530	4,283	6,598		
Changes in income tax accrual	(865)	2,854	(6,846)		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:	(=	2 512	(1.520)		
(Increase)/decrease in operating assets	(5,332)	3,512	(1,532)		
(Decrease)/increase in operating liabilities	(4,660)	291	(27,145)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	214,180	229,383	179,754		
Investing Activities					
Proceeds from sales of real estate investments, net	20,738		1,487,067		
Proceeds from the sale of marketable securities	39,488				
Acquisition of real estate assets (net of liabilities assumed) and					
initial capital expenditures	(347,582)	(28,528)	(936,538)		
Development of real estate assets	(92,142)	(183,157)	(160,074)		
Capital expenditures and other major improvements real estate					
assets, net of escrow reimbursement	(73,977)	(85,403)	(123,234)		
Capital expenditures non-real estate assets	(4,342)	(6,269)	(23,249)		
Payments related to the buyout of joint venture partner	(16,141)				
Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	(110,921)	(24,988)	(1,595)		
Distributions received from/(paid to) unconsolidated joint venture	1,125	1,741	(801)		
Disbursements related to notes receivable		(500)	(13,569)		
Purchase of marketable securities		(30,941)			
Proceeds from note receivable		200,000	18,774		
Purchase deposits on pending real estate acquisitions			(694)		

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc Form 10-K									
Change in funds held in escrow from IRC Section 1031 exchanges									
Net cash (used in)/provided by investing activities (583,754) (158,045) 302,304									
78									

UDR, Inc.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands, except for share data) (Continued)

	Years Ended December 31,					1,
		2010		2009		2008
Einen sing Astivities						
Financing Activities Payments on secured debt		(107 200)		(150, 612)		(216, 254)
Proceeds from the issuance of secured debt		(187,308) 68,380		(159,612) 560,436		(216,354) 445,162
		08,380 399,190				443,162 240,000
Proceeds from the issuance of unsecured debt		· ·		100,000 (641,759)		
Payments on unsecured debt		(79,236)		(041,739) 189,300		(452,156) (309,500)
Net (repayment)/proceeds of revolving bank debt		(157,550)				(309,300) (6,702)
Payment of financing costs		(8,244)		(8,650)		,
Issuance of common and restricted stock, net		5,446		398		2,588
Proceeds from the issuance of common shares through public				(7.151		104 227
offering, net		467,565		67,151		184,327
Payments from the repurchase of Series G preferred stock, net		(637)		(21,505)		(20,347)
Repayment from the investment of performance based programs, net		(4.21.4)		(7,075)		(944)
Distributions paid to non-controlling interests		(4,314)		(7,275)		(18,666)
Distributions paid to preferred stockholders		(9,488)		(11,203)		(12,429)
Distributions paid to common stockholders		(120,729)		(144,576)		(166,983)
Repurchase of common stock				(798)		(140,533)
Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities		373,075		(78,093)		(472,537)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		3,501		(6,755)		9,521
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year		5,985		12,740		3,219
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$	9,486	\$	5,985	\$	12,740
	Ŧ	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Ŷ	0,200	Ŷ	,/ 10
Supplemental Information:						
Interest paid during the year, net of amounts capitalized	\$	160,184	\$	164,357	\$	176,087
Non-cash transactions:						
Secured debt assumed with the acquisition of properties, net of fair						
value adjustment		93,262				95,728
Conversion of operating partnership non-controlling interests to						
Common Stock						
(923,944 in 2010; 2,130,452 in 2009; and 1,474,532 in 2008)		18,429		21,117		12,175
Retirement of fully depreciated assets		8,680		4,407		
Issuance of restricted stock awards		16		2		6
Payment of Special Dividend through the issuance of						
11,358,042 shares of Common Stock				132,787		
Issuance of note receivable upon the disposition of real estate						200,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

UDR, Inc.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE **INCOME/(LOSS)** (In thousands, except per share data)

Accumulated **Distributions** Other in **Excess of Comprehensive** Non-**Preferred Stock Common Stock** Paid-in Net Income/ Controllin Shares Shares Capital Income (Loss) Interest Amount Amount y 1, 2008 \$ 8,203,812 \$ 181,571 \$ 133,317,706 \$ 1,333 \$ 1,653,143 \$ (894,072) \$ (770) \$ 3,148 ncome utable to 697,790 due to 202 iterest sive income: n derivative (11,901)ents eemable 744 nterests (11, 157)697,790 202 ncome/(loss) non and 630,536 6 9,191 non shares 8,000,000 80 183,085 fering 59,300 shares **G** Cumulative (969, 300)(24, 232)829 3,056 (5,999,700)(60)(140, 468)non shares onversion of iterests operating 1,474,532 15 12,160 istributions) per share) (308, 312)istributions (\$1.3288 per (3,724)istributions i (\$1.6875 (8,414)

es

flect controlling

						64,939		
ber 31, 2008	7,234,512	157,339	137,423,074	1,374	1,717,940	(448,737)	(11,927)	3,350
loss)/income ble to UDR,						(07.522)		
due to nterest sive income						(87,532)		191
lue of ties							4,584	
on derivative ents eemable							8,133	
nterests							(788)	
ncome/(loss)						(87,532)	11,929	191
non and			102 002	2	8 262			
non shares fering, net of			193,882	2	8,262			
97,738 shares			4,460,032	45	67,186			
G Cumulative es non shares	(997,738)	(24,944)	(100,000)	(1)	853 (797)	2,586		
			:	80				

UDR, Inc.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(LOSS) (In thousands, except per share data) (Continued)

						A Distributions in Excess of Co	Accumulated Other Omprehensi	
	Preferred Shares	d Stock Amount	Common S Shares	Stock Amount	Paid-in Capital	Net Income	Income/ (Loss)	Controlling Interest
or conversion of ng Series C, D					1 476			
or conversion of ng interests s in operating					1,456			
			2,130,452	21	21,096			
ommon shares al dividend ck distributions			11,358,042	114	132,673			
845 per share) ck distributions es E (\$1.3288 per						(127,066)		
_						(3,724)		
ck distributions es G (\$1.6875						(7,188)		
o reflect on-controlling alue						(15,519)		
ember 31, 2009	6,236,774	132,395	155,465,482	1,555	1,948,669	(687,180)	2	3,541
ve (loss)/income outable to UDR,								
uity due to ng interest chensive income						(102,899)		146
r value of curities							(1,092)	
ain on derivative ruments							(2,497) 118	

redeemable ng interests								
ve income/(loss)						(102,899)	(3,471)	146
ommon and								
res			1,562,537	16	15,710			
ommon shares c offering f 27,400 shares			24,544,367	245	467,319			
ies G Cumulative or conversion of ng interests s in operating	(27,400)	(685)			23	25		
, in operating			923,944	9	18,420			
ck distributions 73 per share) ck distributions						(126,086)		
es E (\$1.3288 per						(3,726)		
ck distributions es G (\$1.6875						(5.762)		
o reflect on-controlling						(5,762)		
alue						(48,236)		
ember 31, 2010	6,209,374	\$ 131,710	182,496,330	\$ 1,825	\$ 2,450,141	\$ (973,864)	\$ (3,469)	\$ 3,687

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

81

\$

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2010

1. CONSOLIDATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Organization, formation and special dividend

UDR, Inc. (UDR, the Company we or our) is a self-administered real estate investment trust, or REIT, that owns, operates, acquires, renovates, develops, redevelops, and manages apartment communities generally in high barrier-to-entry markets located in the United States. The high barrier-to-entry markets are characterized by limited land for new construction, difficult and lengthy entitlement process, expensive single-family home prices and significant employment growth potential. At December 31, 2010, our apartment portfolio consisted of 172 consolidated communities located in 23 markets consisting of 48,553 apartment homes. In addition, the Company has an ownership interest in 9,891 apartment homes through unconsolidated joint ventures.

On November 5, 2008, our Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$1.29 per share (the Special Dividend) payable to holders of our Common Stock. The Special Dividend was paid on January 29, 2009 to stockholders of record on December 9, 2008. The Special Dividend represented the Company s 2008 fourth quarter recurring distribution of \$0.33 per share and an additional special distribution in the amount of \$0.96 per share due to taxable income arising from our disposition activity occurring during the year. Subject to the Company s right to pay the entire Special Dividend in cash, stockholders had the option to make an election to receive payment in cash or in shares, however, the aggregate amount of cash payable to stockholders, other than cash payable in lieu of fractional shares, would not be less than \$44.0 million.

The Special Dividend, totaling \$177.1 million was paid on 137,266,557 Common Shares issued and outstanding on the record date. Approximately \$133.1 million of the Special Dividend was paid through the issuance of 11,358,042 shares of Common Stock, which was determined based on the volume weighted average closing sales price of our Common Stock of \$11.71 per share on the NYSE on January 21, 2009 and January 22, 2009. In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board s (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-01, Accounting for Distributions to Shareholders with Components of Stock and Cash (ASU 2010-01), which considers distributions that contain components of cash and stock and allows shareholders to select their preferred form of distribution. Such a distribution, to the extent paid in stock, is now treated as a stock issuance on the date the dividend is paid. At December 31, 2008, the Company accrued \$133.1 million of distribution payable related to the Special Dividend. ASU 2010-01 was effective for the Company on December 15, 2009 and was applied on a retrospective basis. As a result, the Company reversed the effect of the issuance of additional shares of Common Stock pursuant to the Special Dividend, which was retroactively reflected in each of the historical periods presented within the Company s Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 22, 2009, and effectively issued these shares on January 29, 2009 (the payment date of the Special Dividend). For the year ended December 31, 2008, basic and diluted net income attributable to Common Stockholders per weighted average common share prior to retrospective adjustment was \$4.89. This was based on weighted average common shares of 140,982,000 (basic and diluted) for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Basis of presentation

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements of UDR and its wholly-owned subsidiaries includes certain joint ventures, which the Company previously accounted for as investments under the equity method (see Note 5, *Joint Ventures*, for further discussion). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The Company s subsidiaries include United Dominion Realty, L.P., (the Operating Partnership), and Heritage Communities L.P. (the Heritage OP). As of December 31, 2010, there were 179,909,408 units in the Operating Partnership outstanding, of which 174,847,440 units or 97.2% were owned by UDR and

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

5,061,968 units or 2.8% were owned by limited partners. The consolidated financial statements of UDR include the non-controlling interests of the unitholders in the Operating Partnership. The consolidated financial statements of UDR include the non-controlling interests of the unitholders in the Heritage OP prior to UDR s ownership of 100% of 6,264,260 units outstanding in Heritage OP as of December 31, 2009.

The Company evaluated subsequent events through the date of issuance of the Company s financial statements. No recognized or non-recognized subsequent events were noted.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2010-06, Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements an amendment to ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. This amendment provides for more robust disclosures about (1) the different classes of assets and liabilities measured at fair value, (2) the valuation techniques and inputs used, (3) the activity in Level 3 fair value measurements, and (4) the transfers between Levels 1, 2, and 3. ASU No. 2010-06 was effective for the Company for our fiscal year beginning in January 1, 2010.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-29, which addresses diversity in practice about the interpretation of the pro forma revenue and earnings disclosure requirements for business combinations. The amendments in ASU 2010-29 specify that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The amendments in ASU 2010-29 also expand the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. The amendments in ASU 2010-29 are effective prospectively for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010.

Use of estimates

The preparation of these financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual amounts realized or paid could differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current financial statement presentation.

Investment in joint ventures

We use the equity method to account for investments that qualify as variable interest entities where we are not the primary beneficiary and entities that we do not control or where we do not own a majority of the economic interest but

Table of Contents

have the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of the investee. Throughout these financial statements we use the term joint venture when referring to entities in which we do not have a 100% ownership interest. The Company will also use the equity method when we function as the managing member and our joint venture partner has substantive participating rights or where we can be replaced by our joint venture partner as managing member without cause. For a joint venture accounted for under the equity method, our share of net earnings or losses is reflected as income when earned and distributions are credited against our investment in the joint venture as received.

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

In determining whether a joint venture is a variable interest entity, the Company considers: the form of our ownership interest and legal structure; the size of our investment; the financing structure of the entity, including necessity of subordinated debt; estimates of future cash flows; ours and our partner s ability to participate in the decision making related to acquisitions, disposition, budgeting and financing of the entity; obligation to absorb losses and preferential returns; nature of our partner s primary operations; and the degree, if any, of disproportionally between the economic and voting interests of the entity. As of December 31, 2010, the Company did not assess any of our joint ventures as variable interest entities where UDR was the primary beneficiary.

We continually evaluate our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures when events or changes in circumstances indicate that there may be an other-than-temporary decline in value. We consider various factors to determine if a decrease in the value of the investment is other-than-temporary. These factors include, but are not limited to, age of the venture, our intent and ability to retain our investment in the entity, the financial condition and long-term prospects of the entity, and the relationships with the other joint venture partners and its lenders. The amount of loss recognized is the excess of the investment s carrying amount over its estimated fair value. If we believe that the decline in fair value is temporary, no impairment is recorded. The aforementioned factors are taken as a whole by management in determining the valuation of our equity method investments. Should the actual results differ from management s judgment, the valuation could be negatively affected and may result in a negative impact to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Discontinued operations

For properties accounted for under FASB ASC 360, *Property, Plant and Equipment* (formerly *SFAS* 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets) (Topic 360), the results of operations for those properties sold during the year or classified as held-for-sale at the end of the current year are classified as discontinued operations in the current and prior periods. Further, to meet the discontinued operations criteria, the Company will not have any significant continuing involvement in the ownership or operation of the property after the sale or disposition. Once a property is deemed as held-for-sale, depreciation is no longer recorded. However, if the Company determines that the property no longer meets the criteria for held-for-sale, the Company will recapture any unrecorded depreciation on the property. The sales related to condominium units are also included in discontinued operations (see Note 4, *Discontinued Operations* for further discussion).

Real estate

Real estate assets held for investment are carried at historical cost and consist of land, buildings and improvements, furniture, fixtures and equipment and other costs incurred during their development, acquisition and redevelopment.

Expenditures for ordinary repair and maintenance costs are charged to expense as incurred. Expenditures for improvements, renovations, and replacements related to the acquisition and/or improvement of real estate assets are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives if the expenditures qualify as a betterment or the life of the related asset will be substantially extended beyond the original life expectancy.

UDR purchases real estate investment properties and allocates the purchase price to the tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired based on their estimated fair value. The primary, although not only, identifiable intangible asset associated with our portfolio is the value of existing lease agreements. When allocating cost to an acquired community, we first allocate costs to the estimated intangible value of the existing lease agreements and then to the

estimated value of the land, building and fixtures assuming the community is vacant. The Company estimates the intangible value of the lease agreements by determining the lost revenue associated with a hypothetical lease-up. Depreciation on the building is based on the expected

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

useful life of the asset and the in-place leases are amortized over their remaining contractual life. Property acquisition costs are expensed as incurred.

Quarterly or when changes in circumstances warrant, UDR will assess our real estate portfolio for indicators of impairment. In determining whether the Company has indicators of impairment in our real estate assets, we assess whether the long-lived asset s carrying value exceeds the community s undiscounted future cash flows, which is representative of projected NOI plus the residual value of the community. Our future cash flow estimates are based upon historical results adjusted to reflect our best estimate of future market and operating conditions and our estimated holding periods. If such indicators of impairment are present and the carrying value exceeds the undiscounted cash flows of the community, an impairment loss is recognized equal to the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over its estimated fair value. Our estimates of fair market value represent our best estimate based primarily upon unobservable inputs related to rental rates, operating costs, growth rates, discount rates, capitalization rates, industry trends and reference to market rates and transactions.

For long-lived assets to be disposed of, impairment losses are recognized when the fair value of the asset less estimated cost to sell is less than the carrying value of the asset. Properties classified as real estate held for disposition generally represent properties that are actively marketed or contracted for sale with the closing expected to occur within the next twelve months. Real estate held for disposition is carried at the lower of cost, net of accumulated depreciation, or fair value, less the cost to dispose, determined on an asset-by-asset basis. Expenditures for ordinary repair and maintenance costs on held for disposition properties are charged to expense as incurred. Expenditures for improvements, renovations, and replacements related to held for disposition properties are capitalized at cost. Depreciation is not recorded on real estate held for disposition.

Depreciation is computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the related assets which are 35 years for buildings, 10 to 35 years for major improvements, and 3 to 10 years for furniture, fixtures, equipment, and other assets. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the value of our net intangible assets which are reflected in Other assets was \$13.3 million and \$7.3 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the value of our net intangible liabilities which are reflected in Accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities was \$3.9 million and \$5.2 million in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The balances are being amortized over the remaining life of the respective intangible.

All development projects and related carrying costs are capitalized and reported on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as Real estate under development. As each building in a project is completed and becomes available for lease-up, the total cost of the building is transferred to real estate held for investment and the assets are depreciated over their estimated useful lives. The costs of development projects which include interest, real estate taxes, insurance, and allocated development overhead related to support costs for personnel working directly on the development site are capitalized during the construction period. During 2010, 2009, and 2008, total interest capitalized was \$12.5 million, \$16.9 million, and \$14.9 million, respectively.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash on hand, demand deposits with financial institutions and short-term, highly liquid investments. We consider all highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. The majority of the Company s cash and cash equivalents are held at major commercial banks.

Restricted cash

Restricted cash consists of escrow deposits held by lenders for real estate taxes, insurance and replacement reserves, and security deposits.

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Escrow 1031 exchange funds

In most cases, disposition proceeds are set aside and designated to fund future tax-deferred exchanges of qualifying real estate investments. If these proceeds are not redeployed to qualifying real estate investment within 180 days, these funds are redesignated as cash and cash equivalents.

Derivative financial instruments

The Company utilizes derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate risk and will generally designate these financial instruments as cash flow hedges. Derivative financial instruments are recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as either an asset or liability and measured quarterly at their fair value. The changes in fair value for cash flow hedges that are deemed effective are reflected in other comprehensive income and for non-designated derivative financial instruments in earnings. The ineffective component of cash flow hedges, if any, is recorded in earnings.

Cost of raising capital

Costs incurred in connection with the issuance of equity securities are deducted from stockholders equity. Costs incurred in connection with the issuance or renewal of debt are subject to the provisions of FASB ASC 470-50, *Debt Modification and Extinguishment* (formerly EITF 96-19, Debtors Accounting for a Modification or Exchange of Debt Instruments). Accordingly, if the terms of the renewed or modified debt instrument are deemed to be substantially different (i.e. a 10 percent or greater difference in the cash flows between instruments), all unamortized financing costs associated with the extinguished debt are charged to earnings in the current period. When the cash flows are not substantially different, the costs associated with the renewal or modification are capitalized and amortized into interest expense over the remaining term of the related debt instrument and other related costs are expensed. The balance of any unamortized financing costs associated with retired debt is expensed upon retirement. Deferred financing costs are generally amortized on a straight-line basis, which approximates the effective interest method, over a period not to exceed the term of the related debt.

Preferred Share repurchases

When repurchasing Preferred Stock, the Company recognizes share issuance costs as a charge to the Preferred Stock on a pro rata basis to the total costs incurred for the Preferred Stock offering. The Company, during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, recognized share issuance costs of \$23,000, \$853,000, and \$829,000, respectively as part of the amount reported in Discount/(premium) on Preferred Stock repurchases, net in the Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Income/(Loss).

Comprehensive income

Comprehensive income, which is defined as all changes in equity during each period except for those resulting from investments by or distributions to stockholders, is displayed in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Income/(Loss). For the year ended December 31, 2010, other comprehensive income/(loss) consisted of the change in fair value of marketable securities, the change in the fair value of effective cash flow hedges from our consolidated subsidiaries, and the allocation of other comprehensive income/(loss) to redeemable non-controlling interests. (See Note 5, *Joint Ventures* for further discussion.)

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Revenue and real estate sales gain recognition

Rental income related to leases is recognized on an accrual basis when due from residents in accordance with FASB ASC 840, *Leases* (formerly SFAS 13 Accounting for Leases) and SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, Revenue Recognition . Rental payments are generally due on a monthly basis and recognized when earned. The Company recognizes interest income, management and other fees and incentives when earned, fixed and determinable.

The Company accounts for sales of real estate in accordance with FASB ASC 360-20, *Real Estate Sales* (formerly SFAS 66, Accounting for Sales of Real Estate). For sale transactions meeting the requirements for full accrual profit recognition, such as the Company no longer having continuing involvement in the property, we remove the related assets and liabilities from our Consolidated Balance Sheets and record the gain or loss in the period the transaction closes. For sale transactions that do not meet the full accrual sale criteria due to our continuing involvement, we evaluate the nature of the continuing involvement and account for the transaction under an alternate method of accounting.

Sales to entities in which we retain or otherwise own an interest are accounted for as partial sales. If all other requirements for recognizing profit under the full accrual method have been satisfied and no other forms of continuing involvement are present, we recognize profit proportionate to the outside interest in the buyer and will defer the gain on the interest we retain. The Company will recognize any deferred gain when the property is then sold to a third party. In transactions accounted by us as partial sales, we determine if the buyer of the majority equity interest in the venture was provided a preference as to cash flows in either an operating or a capital waterfall. If a cash flow preference has been provided, we recognize profit only to the extent that proceeds from the sale of the majority equity interest exceed costs related to the entire property.

Advertising costs

All advertising costs are expensed as incurred and reported on the Consolidated Statements of Operations within the line item Administrative and marketing . During 2010, 2009, and 2008, total advertising expense was \$6.4 million, \$5.7 million, and \$6.1 million, respectively.

Stock-based employee compensation plans

UDR accounts for its stock-based employee compensation plans in accordance with FASB ASC 718, *Compensation-Stock Compensation* (formerly SFAS 123(R), Share-Based Payments). This standard requires an entity to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of an equity instrument based on the award s fair value on the grant date and recognize the cost over the period during which the employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award, which is generally the vesting period. The fair value for stock options issued by the Company is calculated utilizing the Black-Scholes-Merton formula. For performance based awards, the Company remeasures the fair value each balance sheet date with adjustments made on a cumulative basis until the award is settled and the final compensation is known.

Redeemable non-controlling interests in the Operating Partnership

Interests in operating partnerships held by limited partners are represented by operating partnership units (OP Units). Income is allocated to holders of OP Units based upon net income available to common stockholders and the weighted

average number of OP Units outstanding to total common shares plus OP Units outstanding during the period. Capital contributions, distributions, and profits and losses are allocated to non-controlling interests in accordance with the terms of the individual partnership agreements.

Limited partners have the right to require the Operating Partnership to redeem all or a portion of the OP Units held by the limited partner at a redemption price equal to and in the form of the Cash Amount (as defined in the limited partnership agreement of the Operating Partnership (the Partnership Agreement)),

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

provided that such OP Units have been outstanding for at least one year. UDR, as the general partner of the Operating Partnership may, in its sole discretion, purchase the OP Units by paying to the limited partner either the Cash Amount or the REIT Share Amount (generally one share of Common Stock of the Company for each OP Unit), as defined in the Partnership Agreement. Accordingly, the Company records the OP Units outside of permanent equity and reports the OP Units at their redemption value at each balance sheet date.

Earnings per share

Basic earnings per Common Share is computed based upon the weighted average number of Common Shares outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per Common Share is computed based upon Common Shares outstanding plus the effect of dilutive stock options and other potentially dilutive Common Stock equivalents. The dilutive effect of OP units, stock options and other potentially dilutive Common Stock equivalents is determined using the treasury stock method based on UDR s average stock price. The number of shares used to compute basic and dilutive earnings per share have been adjusted to reflect the Special Dividend during the year ended December 31, 2009.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earning per share (*dollars in thousands, except per share amounts*):

	Years Ended December 31,					l,
	2010			2009	2008	
Numerator for earnings per share basic and diluted: Net (loss)/earnings attributable to common stockholders	\$	(112,362)	\$	(95,858)	\$	688,708
Denominator for earnings per share basic and diluted: Weighted average common shares outstanding Non-vested restricted stock awards		167,365 (1,508)		150,067 (977)		131,364 (1,145)
Denominator for basic and diluted earnings per share		165,857		149,090		130,219
Net (loss)/income attributable to common stockholders- basic and diluted	\$	(0.68)	\$	(0.64)	\$	5.29

The effect of the conversion of the OP Units, convertible Preferred Stock, convertible debt, stock options, and restricted stock is not dilutive and is therefore not included in the above calculations as the Company reported a loss from continuing operations.

If the operating partnership units were converted to Common Stock, the additional shares of Common Stock outstanding for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 would be 5,711,275; 6,705,624; and 8,751,367 weighted average Common Shares, respectively.

If the convertible Preferred Stock were converted to Common Stock, the additional shares of Common Stock outstanding would be 3,035,548 weighted average Common Shares for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, and 2,803,812 weighted average Common Shares for the year ended December 31, 2008.

If the stock options and unvested restricted stock were converted to Common Stock, the additional weighted average Common Shares outstanding using the treasury stock method for the three years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 would be 2,296,097; 729,592; and 1,129,907 weighted average Common Shares, respectively.

Income taxes

UDR is operated as, and elects to be taxed as a REIT. Generally, a REIT complies with the provisions of the Code if it meets certain requirements concerning its income and assets, as well as if it distributes at least

88

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

90% of its REIT taxable income to its stockholders and will not be subject to U.S. federal income taxes if it distributes at least 100% of its income. Accordingly, no provision has been made for federal income taxes of the REIT. UDR is subject to certain state and local excise or franchise taxes, for which provision has been made. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, our taxable income will be subject to United States Federal income tax at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax). Even if we qualify as a REIT, we may be subject to certain state and local income taxes and to United States Federal income tax. We also will be required to pay a 100% tax on non-arms length transactions between us and a taxable REIT subsidiary and on any net income from sales of property that the IRS successfully asserts was property held for sale to customers in the ordinary course.

UDR elected for certain consolidated subsidiaries to be treated as Taxable REIT Subsidiaries (TRS) relating to the Company s development activities. Income taxes for our TRS are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities from a change in tax rate is recognized in earnings in the period of the enactment date.

Market concentration risk

Approximately 11% and 10% of our apartment communities are located in Orange County, California and Metropolitan Washington D.C., respectively, based on the carrying value of our real estate portfolio as of December 31, 2010. Therefore, the Company is subject to increased exposure (positive or negative) from economic and other competitive factors specific to these markets.

3. REAL ESTATE OWNED

Real estate assets owned by the Company consist of income producing operating properties, properties under development, land held for future development and properties deemed as held for sale. As of December 31, 2010, the Company owned and consolidated 172 communities in 10 states and the District of Columbia totaling 48,553 apartment homes. The following table summarizes the carrying amounts for our real estate owned (at cost) as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (*dollar amounts in thousands*):

	December 31,				
	2010	2009			
Land	\$ 1,783,707	\$ 1,622,838			
Depreciable property held and used:					
Building and improvements	4,696,414	4,104,165			
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	303,314	248,236			
Under development:					
Land	62,410	65,525			
Construction in progress	35,502	254,232			
Held for disposition:					
Land		12,563			

Building and improvements Furniture, fixtures and equipment		7,089 399
Real estate owned Accumulated depreciation	6,881,347 (1,638,326)	6,315,047 (1,351,293)
Real estate owned, net	\$ 5,243,021 \$	4,963,754

89

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table summarizes UDR s real estate community acquisitions for the year ended December 31, 2010 (*dollar amounts in thousands*):

Property Name	Market	Acquisition Date	Apartment Homes	Purchase Price(a)
1818 Platinum Triangle	Orange County, CA	August 2010	265	\$ 70,500
Domain Brewers Hill	Baltimore, MD	August 2010	180	46,000
Garrison Square	Boston, MA	September 2010	160	98,000
Marina Pointe	Los Angeles, CA	September 2010	583	157,500
Ridge at Blue Hills	Boston, MA	September 2010	186	40,000
			1,374	\$ 412,000

(a) The purchase price is the contractual amount paid by UDR to the third party and does not include any costs that the Company incurred in the pursuit of the property.

The \$412 million purchase price, which includes assumed debt with a fair value of \$93.3 million, was allocated \$81.1 million to land; \$317.7 million to building and improvements; \$3.1 million to furniture, fixtures, and equipment; and \$10.1 million to intangible assets.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company also acquired land located in San Francisco, California with a purchase price of \$23.6 million.

The Company incurred \$2.9 million and \$0 of acquisition-related costs during the years ended 2010 and 2009, respectively. These expenses are classified on the Consolidated Statements of Operations in the line item entitled General and administrative.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company acquired one community with 289 apartment homes in Dallas, Texas. The purchase related to an agreement previously entered into by UDR, which contains provisions that will require the Company and the builder to jointly agree upon the fair market value of each property at a later point in time (generally within two years of stabilization). A percentage of the increase in the fair market value over cost will then be paid to the developer, ranging from 50% to 70%, which is not included in the initial purchase price of \$28.5 million nor is the contingent obligation accrued for by the Company.

4. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

The results of operations for properties sold during the year or designated as held-for-sale at the end of the year are classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented. Properties classified as real estate held for disposition generally represent properties that are actively marketed or contracted for sale with the closing expected to occur within the next twelve months. The application of Topic 360 does not have an impact on net income available to

common stockholders. The application of Topic 360 results in the reclassification of the operating results of all properties sold or classified as held for disposition through December 31, 2010, within the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, and the reclassification of the assets and liabilities within the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, if applicable. The results of operations from these properties are classified on the Consolidated Statements of Operations in the line item entitled Income from discontinued operations.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, UDR sold one 149 apartment home community. UDR recognized gains for financial reporting purposes of \$4 million on this sale, which is included in discontinued operations.

90

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company did not dispose of any communities. At December 31, 2009, UDR did not have any assets that met the criteria to be included in discontinued operations.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, UDR sold 86 communities, one commercial property, one parcel of land, and 53 condominiums from two communities with a total of 640 condominiums. UDR recognized after-tax gains for financial reporting purposes of \$786.4 million on these sales. At December 31, 2008, UDR did not have any assets that met the criteria to be included in discontinued operations. In conjunction with the sale of the 86 communities during 2008, UDR received a \$200.0 million note. The Company received full payment of the note during the year ended December 31, 2009.

The following is a summary of income from discontinued operations for the three years ended December 31, 2010 *(dollars in thousands)*:

	2010	December 31 2009	, 2008
Rental income Non-property income	\$ 1,619	\$ 2,197	\$ 41,932 183
Rental expenses Property management fee Real estate depreciation Interest Other expenses	1,619 637 45 295	2,197 829 61 542	42,115 16,874 1,153 582 2,612 6
Income before net gain on the sale of depreciable property Net gain on the sale of depreciable property, excluding TRS TRS gain/(loss) on sale of real estate, net of tax	977 642 4,048 35	1,432 765 2,343 81	21,227 20,888 787,059 (878)
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 4,725	\$ 3,189	\$ 807,069

In the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company made the strategic decision to exit our activity related to the conversion and sale of condominium units. As a result of our decision, the Company incurred a charge to earnings of \$1.7 million. The unsold units were reverted to operating apartment homes. In addition, as the Company reverted the former condominium properties to operating communities and removed operating results from discontinued operations to continuing operations. Previously unrecorded depreciation of \$3.7 million was recorded during the year ended December 31, 2008.

5. JOINT VENTURES

UDR has entered into joint ventures with unrelated third parties for real estate assets that are either consolidated and included in real estate owned on our Consolidated Balance Sheets or are accounted for under the equity method of accounting, which are not consolidated and are included in investment in unconsolidated joint ventures on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company consolidates an entity in which we own less than 100% but control the joint venture as well as any variable interest entity where we are the primary beneficiary. In addition, the Company consolidates any joint venture in which we are the general partner or managing member and the third party does not have the ability to substantively participate in the decision-making process nor do they have the ability to remove us as general partner or managing member without cause.

91

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

UDR s joint ventures are funded with a combination of debt and equity. Our losses are limited to our investment and except as noted below, the Company does not guarantee any debt, capital payout or other obligations associated with our joint venture portfolio.

Consolidated Joint Ventures

UDR is a partner with an unaffiliated third party in a joint venture (989 Elements) which owns and operates a 23-story, 166 home high-rise apartment community in the central business district of Bellevue, Washington. On December 30, 2009, UDR entered into an agreement with our partner to purchase its 49% interest in 989 Elements for \$7.7 million. Concurrently, our partner resigned as managing member and appointed UDR as managing member. In addition, our partner relinquished its voting rights and approval rights and its ability to substantively participate in the decision-making process of the joint venture resulting in the consolidation of the joint venture. The joint venture assets and liabilities were recorded at fair value. The fair value of the assets was \$55 million (\$54.8 million of real estate owned and \$200,000 of current assets) and the fair value of liabilities was \$34.1 million (\$33.4 million of a construction loan, net of fair market value adjustment of \$1.6 million and \$700,000 of current liabilities) at the consolidation date. During the year ended December 31, 2009, UDR recognized a gain on the remeasurement of our previously held equity interest in the joint venture, acquired assets and assumed liabilities to fair value of \$3.4 million and is included in Gain on consolidation of joint ventures on our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

On December 31, 2009, the Company repaid the outstanding balance of \$35 million on the construction loan held by 989 Elements. As a result, the Company wrote off the adjustment to record the fair value of the construction loan assumed on December 30, 2009 of \$1.6 million and is included in Write off of fair market value adjustment on our Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2009. In March 2010, the Company paid \$7.7 million and acquired our partner s 49% interest in the joint venture. At the closing of the agreement and at December 31, 2010, the Company s interest in 989 Elements was 98%. The activities and accounts of 989 Elements are included in the Company s consolidated financial position as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and consolidated results of operations and cash flows during the year ended December 31, 2010 and the two day period ending December 31, 2009.

UDR is a partner with an unaffiliated third party in a joint venture (Elements Too) which owns and operates a 274 home apartment community in the central business district of Bellevue, Washington. Construction began in the fourth quarter of 2006 and was completed in the first quarter of 2010. On October 16, 2009, our partner resigned as managing member and appointed UDR as managing member. In addition, our partner relinquished its voting rights and approval rights and its ability to substantively participate in the decision-making process of the joint venture resulting in the consolidation of the joint venture. The joint venture assets and liabilities were recorded at fair value. Prior to consolidation, our equity investment in Elements Too was \$24.4 million (net of an \$11 million impairment loss discussed below) at October 16, 2009. The fair value of the assets was \$100.3 million (\$99.5 million of real estate owned and \$814,000 of other assets) and the fair value of liabilities was \$75.6 million (\$70.5 million of a construction loan, \$917,000 of a derivative instrument, and \$4.2 million of other liabilities). During the year ended December 31, 2009, UDR recognized a loss on the remeasurement of our previously held equity interest in the joint venture, acquired assets and assumed liabilities to fair value of \$1.9 million and is included in Gain on consolidation of joint ventures on our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

On December 30, 2009, UDR entered into an agreement with our partner to purchase its 49% interest in Elements Too for \$3.2 million. In March 2010, the Company paid the outstanding balance of \$3.2 million and acquired our partner s

49% interest in the joint venture. At the closing of the agreement and at December 31, 2010, the Company s interest in Elements Too was 98%. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company repaid the outstanding balance of \$70.5 million on the construction loan held by Elements Too. The activities and accounts of Elements Too are included in the Company s consolidated financial position as of

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2010 and 2009 and consolidated results of operations and cash flows during the year ended December 31, 2010 and the seventy-six day period ending December 31, 2009.

UDR is a partner with an unaffiliated third party in a joint venture (Bellevue) which owns an operating retail site in Bellevue, Washington. The Company initially planned to develop a 430 home high rise apartment building with ground floor retail on an existing operating retail center. However, during the year ended December 31, 2009, the joint venture decided to continue to operate the retail property as opposed to developing a high rise apartment building on the site. On December 30, 2009, UDR entered into an agreement with our partner to purchase its 49% interest in Bellevue for \$5.2 million. In addition, our partner resigned as managing member and appointed UDR as managing member. Concurrent with its resignation, our partner relinquished its voting rights and approval rights and its ability to substantively participate in the decision-making process of the joint venture resulting in the consolidation of the joint venture at fair value. Prior to consolidation, our equity investment in Bellevue was \$5 million (net of a \$5 million impairment loss discussed below). The fair value of the assets was \$33 million (\$32.8 million of real estate owned and \$211,000 of other assets) and the fair value of liabilities was \$23.0 million (\$22.3 million of a mortgage payable, \$506,000 of a derivative instrument, and \$213,000 of other liabilities). During the year ended December 31, 2009, UDR recognized a gain on the remeasurement of our previously held equity interest in the joint venture, acquired assets and assumed liabilities to fair value of \$315,000 and is included in Gain on consolidation of joint ventures on our Consolidated Statements of Operations. In March 2010, the Company paid \$5.2 million and acquired our partner s 49% interest in the joint venture. At the closing of the agreement, the Company s interest in Bellevue was 98%. At December 31, 2010, the carrying value of the mortgage payable guaranteed by the Company was \$22.3 million. The activities and accounts of Elements Too are included in the Company s consolidated financial position as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and consolidated results of operations and cash flows during year ended December 31, 2010 and the two-day period ending December 31, 2009.

Prior to their consolidation in 2009, we evaluated our investments in these joint ventures when events or changes in circumstances indicate that there may be an other-than-temporary decline in value. We considered various factors to determine if a decrease in value of each of these investments was other-than-temporary. In 2009, we recognized a non-cash charge of \$16 million representing the other-than-temporary decline in fair values below the carrying values of two of the Company s investments in Bellevue, Washington joint ventures.

During 2008, we completed the development of a consolidated joint venture located in Marina del Rey, California with 298 apartment homes and a carrying value of \$139.3 million. In December 2008, we acquired for \$1.5 million our joint venture partner s interest in their profit participation and terminated the property management agreement that had approximately two years remaining on the pre-existing contract. As a result of terminating our arrangement, the Company recorded a charge to earnings of \$305,000 as the profit component related to the management agreement and capitalized the balance as part of the investment in real estate. The component capitalized as the investment in real estate is depreciated over the average remaining life of the tangible assets. As of December 31, 2008, the Company included this property as a component of our wholly-owned communities.

Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

The Company recognizes earnings or losses from our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures consisting of our proportionate share of the net earnings or loss of the joint venture. In addition, we may earn fees for providing management services to the unconsolidated joint ventures. As of December 31, 2010, UDR had investments in the following unconsolidated joint ventures which are accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

In November 2010, the Company acquired The Hanover Company s (Hanover) partnership interests in the Hanover/MetLife Master Limited Partnership (the UDR/MetLife Partnership). The UDR/MetLife

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Partnership owns a portfolio of 26 operating communities containing 5,748 apartment homes and 11 land parcels with the potential to develop approximately 2,300 additional apartment homes. Under the terms of the UDR/MetLife Partnership, UDR will act as the general partner and earn fees for property and asset management and financing transactions.

UDR acquired ownership interests of 12.27% in the operating communities and 4.14% in the land parcels for \$100.8 million. The initial investment of \$100.8 million consists of \$71.8 million in cash, which includes associated transaction costs, and a \$30 million payable (includes discount of \$1 million) to Hanover. UDR agreed to pay the \$30 million balance to Hanover in two interest free installments in the amounts of \$20 million and \$10 million on the first and second anniversaries of the closing, respectively. The \$30 million payable was recorded at its present value of \$29 million using an effective interest rate of 2.67%. At December 31, 2010, the net carrying value of the payable was \$29.1 million, and interest expense of \$129,000 was recorded during the year ended December 31, 2010. At December 31, 2010, the Company s investment was \$122.2 million.

UDR s total cost of its equity investment of \$100.8 million differed from the fair value of its proportionate share in the underlying net assets of the UDR/MetLife Partnership of \$111.4 million. The difference of \$10.6 million was attributable to certain assets and adjustments were allocated to UDR s proportionate share in the UDR/MetLife Partnership s buildings of \$8.4 million, land of \$3.9 million, and (\$1.6 million) of lease intangible assets. With the exception of land, the difference related to buildings is amortized and recorded as a component of loss from unconsolidated entities over 45 years and the difference related to lease intangible assets is amortized and recorded as a component of loss from unconsolidated entities over 11 months with the offset to the Company s carrying value of its equity investment. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company recorded \$264,000 of amortization.

In connection with the purchase of Hanover s interests in the UDR/MetLife Partnership, UDR agreed to indemnify Hanover from liabilities from Hanover s guaranty of \$333 million (outstanding at December 31, 2010) in loans which are secured by a security interest in the operating communities subject to the loan. The loans are to the sub-tier partnerships which own the 26 operating communities. The Company anticipates that the balance of these loans will be refinanced by the UDR/MetLife Partnership over the next twelve months.

In October 2010, the Company entered into a venture with an affiliate of Hanover to develop a 240-home community in Stoughton, Massachusetts. At closing and at December 31, 2010, UDR owned a noncontrolling interest of 95% in the joint venture. Our initial investment was \$10 million and our investment at December 31, 2010 was \$10.3 million.

During 2009, UDR and an unaffiliated third party formed a joint venture for the investment of up to \$450 million in multifamily properties located in key, high barrier to entry markets. The partners will contribute equity of \$180 million of which the Company s maximum equity will be 30% or \$54 million when fully invested. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the joint venture acquired its first property (151 homes) located in Metropolitan Washington D.C. for \$43.1 million. At closing and at December 31, 2010, the Company owned 30%. Our investment at December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$5.2 million and \$242,000, respectively.

In November 2007, UDR and an unaffiliated third party formed a joint venture which owns and operates 10 operating properties located in Texas (3,992 homes). UDR contributed cash and a property equal to 20% of the fair value of the properties. The unaffiliated member contributed cash equal to 80% of the fair value of the properties comprising the joint venture, which was then used to purchase the nine operating properties from UDR. Our initial investment was \$20.4 million. Our investment at December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$10.3 million and \$13.9 million, respectively.

We evaluate our investments in unconsolidated joint ventures when events or changes in circumstances indicate that there may be an other-than-temporary decline in value. We consider various factors to determine

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

if a decrease in the value of the investment is other-than-temporary. Prior to their consolidation and during the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized a non-cash charge of \$16 million representing the other-than-temporary decline in the fair values below the carrying values of two of the Company s Bellevue, Washington joint ventures, which were previously accounted for under the equity method. The Company did not recognize any other-than-temporary decrease in the value of its other investments in unconsolidated joint ventures during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Summarized combined financial information relating to all the unconsolidated joint ventures operations (not just our proportionate share), is presented below for the three years ended December 31, 2010 (*dollars in thousands*):

	Year	r Ended Decemb	er 31,
	2010	2009(a)	2008 (a)
For the year ended:			
Revenues	\$ 60,234	\$ 48,575	\$ 43,486
Real estate depreciation and amortization	28,744	21,133	22,509
Net loss	(29,737)	(11,719)	(18,167)
UDR recorded loss from unconsolidated entities	(4,204)	(18,665)	(3,612)

(a) Includes results of operations of equity method joint ventures through the effective date of consolidation. See Consolidated Joint Ventures above.

Combined summary balance sheets relating to all the unconsolidated joint ventures (not just our proportionate share) is presented below for the years ended December 31, (*dollars in thousands*):

	2010	2009
Real estate, net	\$ 2,692,167	\$ 320,786
Total assets	2,807,886	332,694
Amount due to UDR	672	779
Third party debt	1,524,872	254,000
Total liabilities	1,580,733	265,091
Non-controlling interest	69,445	
Equity	1,157,708	67,603

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had deferred fees and deferred profit from the sale of properties of \$28.9 million, the majority of which the Company will not recognize until the underlying properties are sold to a third party. The Company recognized \$3.2 million of management fees during the year ended December 31, 2010 for our involvement in the joint ventures.

The Company may be required to make additional capital contributions to certain of our joint ventures should additional capital contributions be necessary to fund development costs or operating shortfalls.

Table of Contents

6. SECURED DEBT

Our secured debt instruments generally feature either monthly interest and principal or monthly interest-only payments with balloon payments due at maturity. For purposes of classification in the following table, variable rate debt with a derivative financial instrument designated as a cash flow hedge is deemed as fixed rate debt due to the Company having effectively established the interest rate for the underlying debt instrument. Secured debt, which encumbers \$3.1 billion or 45% of UDR s real estate owned based upon gross

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

book value (\$3.8 billion or 55% of UDR s real estate owned is unencumbered) consists of the following as of December 31, 2010 (*dollar amounts in thousands*):

		Principal Outstanding December 31, 2010 2009		Weighted Average Interest Rate	2010 Weighted Average Years to Maturity	Number of Communities Encumbered	
Fixed Rate Debt Mortgage notes payable	\$	292,236	\$	506,203	5.18%	2.8	8
Tax-exempt secured notes payable	Φ	13,325	φ	13,325	5.30%	2.8	8
Fannie Mae credit facilities		897,318		949,971	5.32%	6.4	14
Total fixed rate secured debt Variable Rate Debt		1,202,879		1,469,499	5.29%	5.7	23
Mortgage notes payable		405,641		243,810	2.33%	2.6	14
Tax-exempt secured note payable		94,700		27,000	1.05%	19.2	2
Fannie Mae credit facilities		260,450		249,125	1.68%	5.1	32
Total variable rate secured debt		760,791		519,935	1.95%	5.5	48
Total secured debt	\$	1,963,670	\$	1,989,434	3.99%	5.6	71

UDR entered into secured revolving credit facilities with Fannie Mae with an aggregate commitment of \$1.4 billion at December 31, 2010. The Fannie Mae credit facilities are for an initial term of 10 years, bear interest at floating and fixed rates, and certain variable rate facilities can be extended for an additional five years at our option. We have \$897.3 million of the funded balance fixed at a weighted average interest rate of 5.3% and the remaining balance on these facilities is currently at a weighted average variable rate of 1.7%. Further information related to these credit facilities is as follows:

	De	cember 31, 2010 (dollar amount		cember 31, 2009 ousands)
Borrowings outstanding	\$	1,157,768	\$	1,199,096
Weighted average borrowings during the period ended		1,198,771		1,033,658
Maximum daily borrowings during the period ended		1,209,739		1,199,322
Weighted average interest rate during the period ended	4.6 % 4.6			
Weighted average interest rate at the end of the period		4.5%		4.6%

The Company will from time to time acquire properties subject to fixed rate debt instruments. In those situations, management will record the secured debt at its estimated fair value and amortize any difference between the fair value and par to interest expense over the life of the underlying debt instrument. The unamortized fair market adjustment was a net discount of \$694,000 and \$987,000 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Fixed Rate Debt

Mortgage notes payable. Fixed rate mortgage notes payable are generally due in monthly installments of principal and interest and mature at various dates from January 2011 through February 2017 and carry interest rates ranging from 2.66% to 6.60%. Mortgage notes payable includes debt associated with development activities.

96

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tax-exempt secured notes payable. Fixed rate mortgage notes payable that secure tax-exempt housing bond issues mature in March 2031 and carry an interest rate of 5.30%. Interest on these notes is payable in semi-annual installments.

Secured credit facilities. At December 31, 2010, UDR s fixed rate secured credit facilities consisted of \$897.3 million on a \$1.4 billion aggregate commitment under five revolving secured credit facilities with Fannie Mae (the Company also owes \$260.5 million under the variable rate component of this instrument). The Fannie Mae credit facilities are for an initial term of 10 years, bear interest at floating and fixed rates, and certain variable rate facilities can be extended for an additional five years at our option. As of December 31, 2010, the fixed rate Fannie Mae credit facilities had a weighted average fixed rate of interest of 5.3%.

Variable Rate Debt

Mortgage notes payable. Variable rate mortgage notes payable are generally due in monthly installments of principal and interest and mature at various dates from January 2011 through April 2016. The mortgage notes payable interest is based on LIBOR plus some basis points, which translate into interest rates ranging from 0.94% to 5.25% at December 31, 2010.

Tax-exempt secured note payable. The variable rate mortgage notes payable that secures tax-exempt housing bond issues mature at various dates from August 2019 and March 2030. Interest on this note is payable in monthly installments. The mortgage notes payable have interest rates of 1.05% and 1.07% as of December 31, 2010.

Secured credit facilities. The variable rate secured credit facilities consisted of \$260.5 million outstanding on the Fannie Mae credit facilities. As of December 31, 2010, the variable rate Fannie Mae credit facilities had a weighted average floating rate of interest of 1.7%.

The aggregate maturities of our secured debt due during each of the next five calendar years and thereafter are as follows (*dollars in thousands*):

	Fixed												
	Mortgage ' Notes		N		ax-Exempt Credit Notes Payable Facilities		Mortgage Notes		Tax Exempt Notes Payable		Credit Facilities		Total
		TUTES	-	uyubic	•	ucintics		1 100005	•	ujubie	-	uennes	Totur
2011	\$	78,978	\$		\$	2,808	\$	130,215	\$		\$	39,513	\$ 251,514
2012		57,397				177,944		59,285				59,529	354,155
2013		62,021				38,631		38,509					139,161
2014		382				3,328		101,102					104,812
2015		403				3,522		16,313					20,238
Thereafter		93,055		13,325		671,085		60,217		94,700		161,408	1,093,790
Total	\$	292,236	\$	13,325	\$	897,318	\$	405,641	\$	94,700	\$	260,450	\$ 1,963,670

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

7. UNSECURED DEBT

A summary of unsecured debt as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Decem	ber 3	81,
	2010		2009
Commercial Banks			
Borrowings outstanding under an unsecured credit facility due July 2012(a)	31,750		189,300
Senior Unsecured Notes			
3.90% Medium-Term Notes due March 2010 (includes premium of \$34)			50,034
3.625% Convertible Senior Notes due September 2011 (net of Subtopic 470-20			
discount of \$1,138 and \$3,351)(b),(d),(h)	95,961		122,984
5.00% Medium-Term Notes due January 2012	100,000		100,000
3.04% Term Notes due December 2013(c)	100,000		100,000
6.05% Medium-Term Notes due June 2013	122,500		122,500
5.13% Medium-Term Notes due January 2014(e)	184,000		184,000
5.50% Medium-Term Notes due April 2014 (net of discount of \$226 and \$295)(e)	128,274		128,205
5.25% Medium-Term Notes due January 2015 (includes discount of \$519 and	,		,
\$177)(e),(f)	324,656		175,352
5.25% Medium-Term Notes due January 2016	83,260		83,260
2.26% Term Notes due January 2016	150,000		00,200
3.76% Term Notes due January 2016	100,000		
8.50% Debentures due September 2024	15,644		15,644
4.00% Convertible Senior Notes due December 2035 (net of Subtopic 470-20	13,044		15,044
discount of \$0 and \$1,916)(g),(h)	167,750		165,834
Other	39		42
Oller	39		42
	1,572,084		1,247,855
	\$ 1,603,834	\$	1,437,155

- (a) Our unsecured credit facility provides us with an aggregate borrowing capacity of \$600 million, which at our election we can increase to \$750 million under certain circumstances. Our unsecured credit facility with a consortium of financial institutions carries an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus a spread of 47.5 basis points (0.9% interest rate at December 31, 2010) and matures in July 2012. In addition, the unsecured credit facility contains a provision that allows us to bid up to 50% of the commitment and we can bid out the entire unsecured credit facility once per quarter so long as we maintain an investment grade rating.
- (b) Subject to the restrictions on ownership of our common stock and certain other conditions, at any time on or after July 15, 2011 and prior to the close of business on the second business day prior to the maturity date of September 15, 2011, and also following the occurrence of certain events, holders of outstanding 3.625% notes

may convert their notes into cash and, if applicable, shares of our common stock, at the conversion rate in effect at such time. Upon conversion of the notes, UDR will deliver cash and common stock, if any, based on a daily conversion value calculated on a proportionate basis for each trading day of the relevant 30 trading day observation period. The initial conversion rate for each \$1,000 principal amount of notes was 26.6326 shares of our common stock (equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$37.55 per share), subject to adjustment under certain circumstances. The Company s Special Dividend paid in January 2009 met the criteria to adjust the conversion rate and resulted in an

98

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

adjusted conversion rate of 29.0207 shares of our common stock for each \$1,000 of principal (equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$34.46 per share). If UDR undergoes certain change in control transactions, holders of the 3.625% notes may require us to repurchase their notes in whole or in part for cash equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be repurchased plus any unpaid interest accrued to the repurchase date. In connection with the issuance of the 3.625% notes, UDR entered into a capped call transaction covering approximately 6.7 million shares of our common stock, subject to anti-dilution adjustments similar to those contained in the notes. The capped call expires on the maturity date of the 3.625% notes. The capped call transaction combines a purchased call option with a strike price of \$43.806. The capped call transaction effectively increased the initial conversion price to \$43.806 per share, representing a 40% conversion premium. The net cost of approximately \$12.6 million of the capped call transaction was included in stockholders equity.

- (c) The Company had an interest rate swap agreement related to these notes, which expired during the year ended December 31, 2010. The notes carried a variable interest rate of 3.04% at December 31, 2010 and a fixed interest rate of 6.26% at December 31, 2009.
- (d) During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company repurchased some of its 3.625% convertible Senior Notes in open market purchases. As a result of these transactions, we retired debt with a notional value of \$29.2 million for \$29.4 million of cash. Consistent with our accounting policy, the Company expensed \$206,000 of unamortized financing costs and \$599,000 of unamortized discount on convertible debt as a result of these debt retirements for the year ended December 31, 2010. The loss of \$1.0 million is included within a separate component of interest expense on our Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2010.
- (e) During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company repurchased several different traunches of its unsecured debt in open market purchases resulting in retired debt with a notional value of \$238.9 million for \$222.3 million of cash. The gain of \$9.8 million is presented as a separate component of interest expense on our Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2009. Consistent with our accounting policy, the Company expensed \$2.3 million of unamortized financing costs and \$3.4 million of unamortized discount on convertible debt as a result of these debt retirements for the year ended December 31, 2009.
- (f) On December 7, 2009, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated Distribution Agreement with respect to the issue and sale by the Company from time to time of its Medium-Term Notes, Series A Due Nine Months or More From Date of Issue. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Company issued \$150 million of 5.25% senior unsecured medium-term notes under the Amended and Restated Distribution Agreement. These notes were priced at 99.46% of the principal amount at issuance and had a discount of \$519,000 at December 31, 2010.
- (g) Holders of the outstanding 4.00% notes may require us to repurchase their notes in whole or in part on January 15, 2011, December 15, 2015, December 15, 2020, December 15, 2025 and December 15, 2030, or upon the occurrence of a fundamental change, for cash equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be repurchased plus any accrued and unpaid interest. On or after January 15, 2011, UDR will have the right to redeem the 4.00% notes in whole or in part, at any time or from time to time, for cash equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed plus any accrued and unpaid interest. Subject to the restrictions on ownership of shares of our Common Stock and certain other conditions, holders of the 4.00% notes may convert

their notes, into cash and, if applicable, shares of our Common Stock, at the conversion rate in effect at such time, as follows: (i) prior to the close of business on the second business day immediately preceding the stated maturity date at any time on or after December 15, 2030, and (ii) prior to December 15, 2030 under certain specified circumstances. The initial conversion rate for the notes was 35.2988 shares of our Common Stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes (equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$28.33 per share), subject to adjustment under certain

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

circumstances. The Company s Special Dividend paid in January 2009 met the criteria to adjust the conversion rate and the conversion rate was adjusted to 38.7123 shares of our Common Stock for each \$1,000 of principal (equivalent to a conversion price of approximately \$25.83 per share).

(h) During the year ended December 31, 2009, pursuant to a cash tender offer announced on August 4, 2009, the Company repurchased \$37.5 million in aggregate principal amount of its 8.50% Debentures due September 15, 2024 for \$41.2 million of cash. In connection with this repurchase, the Company recorded a premium and related transaction costs. The tender offer expired on September 3, 2009.

Subtopic 470-20 applies to all convertible debt instruments that have a net settlement feature , which means that such convertible debt instruments, by their terms, may be settled either wholly or partially in cash upon conversion. This guidance requires issuers of convertible debt instruments that may be settled wholly or partially in cash upon conversion to separately account for the liability and equity components in a manner reflective of the issuers nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. The Company adopted provisions under Subtopic 470-20 as of January 1, 2009, and the adoption impacted the historical accounting for the 3.625% convertible senior notes due September 2011 and the 4.00% convertible senior notes due December 2035, and resulted in increased interest expense of \$3.5 million, \$4.3 million, and \$6.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

The following is a summary of short-term bank borrowings under UDR s bank credit facility at December 31, 2010 and 2009 (*dollars in thousands*):

	Decem	ber 31,
	2010	2009
Total revolving credit facility	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000
Borrowings outstanding at end of year(1)	31,750	189,300
Weighted average daily borrowings during the year	161,260	83,875
Maximum daily borrowings during the year ended	337,600	279,400
Weighted average interest rate during the year	0.8%	0.9%
Interest rate at end of the year	0.9%	0.7%

(1) Excludes \$4.8 million of letters of credit at December 31, 2010

The convertible notes are convertible at the option of the holder and, as such, are presented as if the holder will convert the debt instrument at the earliest available date. The aggregate maturities of unsecured debt for the five years subsequent to December 31, 2010 are as follows (*dollars in thousands*):

	Bank Lines	Ur	secured Debt	Total
2011(a) 2012	\$ 31,750	\$	95,769 99,808	\$ 95,769 131,558

Table of Contents

2013		222,308	222,308
2014		312,353	312,353
2015		325,167	325,167
Thereafter		516,679	516,679
	\$ 31,7	750 \$ 1,572,084	\$ 1,603,834

(a) The convertible debt balances have been adjusted to reflect the effect of Subtopic 470-20. Excluding the adjustment, total maturities in 2011 would be \$97.1 million.

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8. STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

UDR has an effective registration statement that allows the Company to sell an undetermined number of debt and equity securities as defined in the prospectus. The Company has the ability to issue 250,000,000 shares of common stock and 50,000,000 shares of preferred shares as of December 31, 2010.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company entered into the following equity transactions for our common stock:

Issued 6,144,367 shares of common stock in connection with an at the market equity distribution program where we received gross proceeds of approximately \$110.8 million;

Issued 18,400,000 shares of common stock in connection with an underwritten public offering where we received gross proceeds of approximately \$374.4 million;

Issued 553,097 shares of common stock in connection with stock options exercised;

Issued 1,009,440 shares of common stock through the Company s 1999 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the LTIP), net of forfeitures; and

Converted 923,944 OP Units into Company common stock.

Distributions are subject to the approval of the Board of Directors and are dependent upon our strategy, financial condition and operating results. UDR common distributions for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 totaled \$0.73 and \$0.85 per share, respectively. For taxable years ending on or before December 31, 2010, the IRS is allowing REITS to distribute up to 90% of total distributions in common shares with the residual distributed in cash as a means of enhancing liquidity.

Preferred Stock

The Series E Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock (Series E) has no stated par value and a liquidation preference of \$16.61 per share. Subject to certain adjustments and conditions, each share of the Series E is convertible at any time and from time to time at the holder s option into one share of our common stock prior to the Special Dividend (1.083 shares after the Special Dividend). The holders of the Series E are entitled to vote on an as-converted basis as a single class in combination with the holders of common stock at any meeting of our stockholders for the election of directors or for any other purpose on which the holders of common stock are entitled to vote. The Series E has no stated maturity and is not subject to any sinking fund or any mandatory redemption.

Distributions declared on the Series E for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 were \$1.33 per share. The Series E is not listed on any exchange. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, a total of 2,803,812 shares of the Series E were outstanding.

UDR is authorized to issue up to 20,000,000 shares of the Series F Preferred Stock (Series F). The Series F may be purchased by holders of UDR s operating partnership units, or OP Units, at a purchase price of 0.0001 per share. OP Unitholders are entitled to subscribe for and purchase one share of UDR s Series F for each OP Unit held. A total

of 3,208,706 and 2,959,428 shares of the Series F were outstanding at a value of \$321 and \$296 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Holders of the Series F are entitled to one vote for each share of the Series F they hold, voting together with the holders of our common stock, on each matter submitted to a vote of security holders at a meeting of our stockholders. The Series F does not entitle its holders to any other rights, privileges or preferences.

In May 2007, UDR issued 5,400,000 shares of the 6.75% Series G Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (Series G). The Series G has no stated par value and a liquidation preference of \$25 per share. The Series G generally has no voting rights except under certain limited circumstances and as required by law. The

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Series G has no stated maturity and is not subject to any sinking fund or mandatory redemption and is not convertible into any of our other securities. The Series G is not redeemable prior to May 31, 2012. On or after this date, the Series G may be redeemed for cash at our option, in whole or in part, at a redemption price of \$25 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends. During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company repurchased 27,400 and 997,738 shares of Series G, respectively, for less than the liquidation preference of \$25 per share resulting in a \$25,000 and \$2.6 million benefit to our net income/(loss) attributable to common stockholders, respectively.

Distributions declared on the Series G for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$1.69 per share. The Series G is listed on the NYSE under the symbol UDRPrG. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, a total of 3,405,562 and 3,432,962 shares of the Series G were outstanding, respectively.

Distribution Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan

UDR s Distribution Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan (the Stock Purchase Plan) allows common and preferred stockholders the opportunity to purchase, through the reinvestment of cash dividends, additional shares of UDR s common stock. From inception through December 31, 2008, shareholders have elected to utilize the Stock Purchase Plan to reinvest their distribution for the equivalent of 9,957,233 shares of Company common stock. Shares in the amount of 13,134,256 were reserved for issuance under the Stock Purchase Plan as of December 31, 2010. During the year ended December 31, 2010, UDR acquired all shares issued through the open market.

9. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

In May 2001, the stockholders of UDR approved the long term incentive plan (LTIP), which supersedes the 1985 Stock Option Plan. The LTIP authorizes the granting of awards which may take the form of options to purchase shares of common stock, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, dividend equivalents, other stock-based awards, and any other right or interest relating to common stock or cash incentive awards to Company directors, employees and outside trustees to promote the success of the Company by linking individual s compensation via grants of share based payment. During the year ended December 31, 2009, the stockholders of UDR voted to amend and restate the LTIP to increase the number of shares reserved from 4,000,000 shares to 16,000,000 shares on an unadjusted basis for issuance upon the grant or exercise of awards under the LTIP, which all can be for incentive stock option grants. The LTIP generally provides, among other things, that options are granted at exercise prices not lower than the market value of the shares on the date of grant and that options granted must be exercised within 10 years. As of December 31, 2010, there were 9,019,122 common shares available for issuance under the LTIP.

The LTIP contains change of control provisions allowing for the immediate vesting of an award upon certain events such as a merger where UDR is not the surviving entity. Upon the death or disability of an award recipient all outstanding instruments will vest and all restrictions will lapse. Further, upon the retirement of an award recipient, all outstanding instruments will vest and all restrictions will lapse. The LTIP specifies that in the event of a capital transaction, which includes but is not limited to stock dividends, stock splits, extraordinary cash dividends and spin-offs, the number of shares available for grant in totality or to a single individual is to be adjusted proportionately. The LTIP specifies that when a capital transaction occurs that would dilute the holder of the stock award, prior grants are to be adjusted such that the recipient is no worse as a result of the capital transaction.

Stock Option Plan

UDR has granted stock options to our employees, subject to certain conditions. Each stock option is exercisable into one common share.

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

A summary of UDR s stock option activity on an unadjusted basis during the three years ended December 31, 2010 is as follows:

	Option Our Number of Options	outstanding Weighted Average Exercise Price		Option Ex Number of Options	Wo Ay Ex	able eighted verage xercise Price
Balance, January 1, 2008 Granted Exercised Forfeited	1,078,659 465,841 (220,333)	\$	11.25 26.55 10.67			
Balance, December 31, 2008	1,324,167		16.73	1,124,167	\$	15.01
Granted Exercised Forfeited	3,260,752 (153,525) (20,570)		10.24 8.95 10.33			
Balance, December 31, 2009	4,410,824		11.85	1,475,311	\$	13.84
Granted Exercised Forfeited	(565,820) (7,827)		10.69 25.10			
Balance, December 31, 2010	3,837,177	\$	12.00	1,880,168	\$	13.19

Total remaining compensation cost related to unvested share options as of December 31, 2010 was approximately \$1.4 million.

The weighted average remaining contractual life on all options outstanding as of December 31, 2010 is 13.9 years. 3,287,639 of share options had exercise prices between \$10.06 and \$10.30; 53,004 of share options had exercise prices between \$13.15 and \$13.74; 496,534 of share options had exercise prices between \$24.38 and \$25.10.

During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 we recognized \$1.3 million, \$1.3 million, and \$372,000 of net compensation expense related to outstanding stock options.

Restricted Stock Awards

Restricted stock is granted to Company employees, officers, consultants, and directors. The restricted stock is valued on the grant date based upon the market price of UDR common stock on the date of grant. Compensation expense is recorded over the vesting period, which is generally three to four years. Restricted stock earn dividends payable in

Table of Contents

cash until the earlier of the date of the underlying restricted stock is exercised or the expiration of the underlying restricted stock award. Some of the restricted stock is performance based and is adjusted based on the Company s performance. For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, we recognized \$12.2 million, \$7.6 million, and \$7.0 million of compensation expense related to the amortization of restricted stock, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had issued 2,750,363 shares of restricted stock under the LTIP. The total remaining compensation cost on unvested restricted stock awards was \$14.0 million and has a weighted average remaining contractual life of 2.0 years as of December 31, 2010.

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Profit Sharing Plan

Our profit sharing plan (the Plan) is a defined contribution plan covering all eligible full-time employees. Under the Plan, UDR makes discretionary profit sharing and matching contributions to the Plan as determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. Aggregate provisions for contributions, both matching and discretionary, which are included in UDR s Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 were \$0.7 million, \$0.7 million, and \$0.9 million, respectively.

10. INCOME TAXES

For 2010, 2009, and 2008, UDR believes that we have complied with the REIT requirements specified in the Code. As such the REIT would generally not be subject to federal income taxes.

The following table reconciles UDR s net income to REIT taxable income for the three years ended December 31, *(dollars in thousands)*:

	2010	Dec	ember 31, 2009	2008
Net (loss)/income attributable to common stockholders Book to tax differences	\$ (112,362)	\$	(95,858)	\$ 688,708
Elimination of TRS loss	43,206		49,012	44,436
Depreciation and amortization	75,109		50,745	52,662
Disposition of properties	2,102		103,296	(449,599)
Revenue recognition	(3,722)		161	(1,897)
Operating expense	(7,353)		(5,285)	(19,197)
Other adjustments	9,488		7,893	50,609
REIT taxable income before dividends	\$ 6,468	\$	109,964	\$ 365,722
Dividend paid deduction	\$ 95,072	\$	109,964	\$ 365,722

For income tax purposes, distributions paid to common stockholders may consist of ordinary income, qualified dividends, capital gains, unrecaptured section 1250 gains, return of capital, or a combination thereof. Distributions that exceed our current and accumulated earnings and profits constitute a return of capital rather than taxable income and reduce the stockholder s basis in their common shares. To the extent that a distribution exceeds both current and accumulated earnings and profits and the stockholder s basis in the common shares, it generally will be treated as a gain from the sale or exchange of that stockholder s common shares. For the three years ended December 31, 2010, taxable distributions paid per common share were taxable as follows:

Ordinary income Long-term capital gain Unrecapture section 1250 gain	\$ 0.69 0.03 0.01	\$ 0.08 0.54 0.05	\$ 0.20 1.82 0.59
	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.67	\$ 2.61

We have Taxable REIT Subsidiaries (TRS) that are subject to state and federal income taxes. A TRS is a C-corporation which has not elected REIT status and as such is subject to United States Federal and state

104

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

income tax. The components of the provision for income taxes for the three years ended December 31, are as follows *(dollars in thousands)*:

	2010		December 31, 2009		2008	
Income tax (benefit)/expense Current Federal State	\$	(3,510) 977	\$	(11,925) (2,573)	\$	2,421 (1,873)
Total current		(2,533)		(14,498)		548
Deferred Federal State		119 (119)		12,030 2,779		(10,504) 83
Total deferred				14,809		(10,421)
Total income tax (benefit)/expense	\$	(2,533)	\$	311	\$	(9,873)

Deferred income taxes are provided for the change in temporary differences between the basis of certain assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and income tax reporting purposes. The expected future tax rates are based upon enacted tax laws. The components of our TRS deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows for the three years ended December 31, (dollars in thousands):

	2010	2009	2008
Deferred tax assets:			
Federal and state tax attributes	\$ 33,053	\$ 20,239	\$ 21,123
Book/tax depreciation	9,708	3,946	3,851
Construction capitalization differences	5,235	3,045	468
Investment in partnerships	3,346	4,711	
Debt and interest deductions	10,784	8,175	12,262
Other	586	285	270
Total deferred tax assets	62,712	40,401	37,974
Valuation allowance	(55,516)	(33,554)	(15,304)
Net deferred income tax assets	7,196	6,847	22,670

Deferred tax liabilities

Table of Contents

Investment in partnerships Other		(640)	(291)	(177) (1,149)
Total deferred tax liabilities		(640)	(291)	(1,326)
Net deferred tax asset		\$ 6,556	\$ 6,556	\$ 21,344
	105			

105

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Income tax (benefit) or expense differed from the amounts computed by applying the U.S. statutory rate of 35% to pretax income or (loss) for the three years ended December 31, 2010, as follows (*dollars in thousands*):

	2010			ember 31, 2009	2008	
Income tax (benefit)/expense U.S. federal income tax benefit State income tax (net of federal benefit) Other items Valuation allowance	\$	(16,006) 19 (5,100) 18,554	\$	(17,042) (1,391) 1,260 17,484	\$	(19,019) (1,991) 1 11,136
Total income tax (benefit)/expense	\$	(2,533)	\$	311	\$	(9,873)
Classification of income tax (benefit)/expense Continuing operations Discontinued operations	\$	(2,533)	\$	311	\$	(9,713) (160)

As of December 31, 2010, the Company through our TRS had federal net loss carryovers (NOL) of approximately \$81.4 million. Of the total NOL, \$20.5 million will be expiring in 2028, \$30.0 million expiring in 2029 and the remaining \$30.9 million expiring in 2030. As of December 31, 2010, the TRS had state NOL of approximately \$92.0 million, of which approximately \$1.8 million begins to expire in 2012 with the remainder expiring in 2020 through 2030. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had a valuation allowance of \$55.5 million against 100% of its deferred tax assets and 78% of its federal net operating losses. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company had a net change of \$21.9 million in the valuation allowance.

FASB ASC 740, *Income Taxes* (Topic 740) (formerly FASB Interpretation 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes-An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109) defines a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Topic 740 requires the financial statements reflect expected future tax consequences of income tax positions presuming the taxing authorities full knowledge of the tax position and all relevant facts, but without considering time values. Topic 740 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting for interim periods, disclosure and transition.

The Company evaluates our tax position using a two-step process. First, we determine whether a tax position is more likely than not (greater than 50 percent probability) to be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. Then the Company will determine the amount of benefit to recognize and record the amount of the benefit that is more likely than not to be realized upon ultimate settlement. When applicable, UDR recognizes interest and/or penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. As of December 31, 2008, we reduced our recognized tax benefits as a result of a change in measurement of certain items. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, UDR does not believe we have any unrecognized tax benefits.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits, excluding interest and penalties is as follows (*dollars in thousands*):

		I 2010	December 3 2009	51, 2008
Balance at beginning of year Reductions for tax positions of prior years		\$	\$	\$ 415 (415)
		\$	\$	\$
	107			

106

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized would affect the effective rate is \$0. We do not currently believe the unrecognized tax benefits will change significantly within the next 12 months.

We recognize interest and penalties accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of the provision for income taxes. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company recognized \$0 in interest and penalties. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, UDR had \$0 of interest and penalties accrued, respectively.

The Company files income tax returns in federal and various state jurisdictions. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to federal, state and local income tax examination by tax authorities for years prior to 2007.

11. NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

Redeemable noncontrolling interests in operating partnerships

Interests in operating partnerships held by limited partners are represented by operating partnership units (OP Units). The income is allocated to holders of OP Units based upon net income attributable to common stockholders and the weighted average number of OP Units outstanding to total common shares plus OP Units outstanding during the period. Capital contributions, distributions, and profits and losses are allocated to non-controlling interests in accordance with the terms of the individual partnership agreements.

Limited partners have the right to require the Operating Partnership to redeem all or a portion of the OP Units held by the limited partner at a redemption price equal to and in the form of the Cash Amount [as defined in the limited partnership agreement of the Operating Partnership (the Partnership Agreement)], provided that such OP Units have been outstanding for at least one year. UDR, as the general partner of the Operating Partnership may, in its sole discretion, purchase the OP Units by paying to the limited partner either the Cash Amount or the REIT Share Amount (generally one share of common stock of the Company for each OP Unit), as defined in the Partnership Agreement. Accordingly, the Company records the OP Units outside of permanent equity and reports the OP Units at their redemption value using the Company s stock price at each balance sheet date.

The following table sets forth redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership for the following periods (*dollars in thousands*):

	Years Ended 2010	December 31, 2009
Beginning redeemable noncontrolling interests in the OP Mark to market adjustment to redeemable noncontrolling interests in the OP Conversion of OP Units to Common Stock Forfeitures of Out-Performance Partnership Shares Repurchase of OP units from redeemable noncontrolling interests Net loss attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests in the OP Distributions to redeemable noncontrolling interests in the OP Issuance of OP Units through Special Dividend Allocation of other comprehensive (loss)/income	\$ 98,758 48,236 (18,429) (327) (3,835) (5,228) (118)	\$ 108,092 15,519 (21,117) (1,458) (4,282) (6,081) 7,297 788

Table of Contents

Ending redeemable noncontrolling interests in the OP	\$ 119,057	\$ 98,758

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following sets forth net loss attributable to common stockholders and transfers from redeemable noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership for the following periods (*dollars in thousands*):

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2010			2009	2008	
Net (loss)/income attributable to common stockholders Conversion of OP units to UDR Common Stock	\$	(112,362) 18,429	\$	(95,858) 21,117	\$	688,708 12,175
Change in equity from net (loss)/income attributable to common stockholders and conversion of OP units to UDR Common Stock	\$	(93,933)	\$	(74,741)	\$	700,883

Non-controlling interests

Non-controlling interests represent interests of unrelated partners in certain consolidated affiliates, and is presented as part of equity in the Consolidated Balance Sheets since these interests are not redeemable into any other ownership interests of the Company. During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, net income attributable to non-controlling interests was \$146,000; \$191,000 and \$202,000, respectively.

12. FAIR VALUE OF DERIVATIVES AND FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Fair value is based on the price that would be received to sell an asset or the exit price that would be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. A three-level valuation hierarchy prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs used to measure fair value. The fair value hierarchy consists of three broad levels, which are described below:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the entity has the ability to access.

Level 2 Observable inputs other than prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated with observable market data.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets and liabilities. This includes certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques that use significant unobservable inputs.

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The estimated fair values of the Company s financial instruments either recorded or disclosed on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 are summarized as follows (*dollars in thousands*):

		cember 31,	P N Id A	Fair Value Quoted Prices in Active Aarkets for dentical .ssets or iabilities	Sig (Obs	cember 31 nificant Other servable nputs	S	l0 Using Significant tobservable Inputs
	DC	2010	(1	Level 1) (Level 2		evel 2)	(Level 3)	
Description: Available-for-sale equity securities Derivatives- Interest rate contracts(c)	\$	3,866 514	\$	3,866	\$	514	\$	
Total assets	\$	4,380	\$	3,866	\$	514	\$	
Derivatives- Interest rate contracts(c) Contingent purchase consideration(d) Secured debt instruments- fixed rate:(a)	\$	6,597 5,402	\$		\$	6,597	\$	5,402
Mortgage notes payable Tax-exempt secured notes payable Fannie Mae credit facilities		306,515 13,885 927,413						306,515 13,885 927,413
Secured debt instruments- variable rate:(a) Mortgage notes payable Tax-exempt secured notes payable Fannie Mae credit facilities		405,641 94,700 260,450						405,641 94,700 260,450
Unsecured debt instruments:(b) Commercial bank Senior Unsecured Notes		31,750 1,625,492		264,849				31,750 1,360,643
Total liabilities	\$	3,677,845	\$	264,849	\$	6,597	\$	3,406,399

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

			Fair Value at December 31, 2009 Quoted Prices						
				in Active		nificant			
			Other	her Signi					
				ssets or abilities		servable nputs	Un	observable Inputs	
	De	cember 31, 2009	(Level 1)		(Level 2)		((Level 3)	
Description:									
Available-for-sale debt securities-									
Corporate debt	\$	37,650	\$	37,650	\$		\$		
Derivatives- Interest rate contracts(c)		2,294				2,294			
Total assets	\$	39,944	\$	37,650	\$	2,294	\$		
Derivatives- Interest rate contracts(c)	\$	5,947	\$		\$	5,947	\$		
Secured debt instruments- fixed rate:(a)									
Mortgage notes payable		516,578						516,578	
Tax-exempt secured notes payable		13,540						13,540	
Fannie Mae credit facilities		952,468						952,468	
Secured debt instruments- variable rate:(a)									
Mortgage notes payable		243,810						243,810	
Tax-exempt secured notes payable		27,000						27,000	
Fannie Mae credit facilities		249,125						249,125	
Unsecured debt instruments:(b)		219,120						219,125	
Commercial bank		189,300						189,300	
Senior Unsecured Notes		1,247,512		294,085				953,427	
Total liabilities	\$	3,445,280	\$	294,085	\$	5,947	\$	3,145,248	

(a) See Note 6, *Secured Debt*

(b) See Note 7, Unsecured Debt

- (c) See Note 10, Derivatives and Hedging Activity
- (d) As of March 31, 2010, the Company accrued a liability of \$6 million related to a contingent purchase consideration on one of its properties. The contingent consideration was determined based on the fair market

value of the related asset which is estimated using Level 3 inputs utilized in a third party appraisal. The Company paid approximately \$635,000 of the liability in 2010.

Financial Instruments Carried at Fair Value

The fair values of the corporate debt securities and equity securities are determined by Level 1 inputs which utilize quoted prices in active markets where we have the ability to access values for identical assets.

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The cost, gross unrealized gains and fair values of the Company s investments at December 31, 2010 and 2009 are as follows (*dollars in thousands*):

	Corporate Debt Securities- U.S.		Corporate Equity Securities- U.S.		f	Available- or-Sale ecurities
As of December 31, 2010: Cost(a) Gross unrealized gains	\$		\$	374 3,492	\$	374 3,492
Estimated fair value (net carrying amount)	\$		\$	3,866	\$	3,866
As of December 31, 2009: Cost(a) Gross unrealized gains	\$	33,066 4,584	\$		\$	33,066 4,584
Estimated fair value (net carrying amount)	\$	37,650	\$		\$	37,650

(a) Amortized cost is presented for corporate debt securities.

Proceeds from the sale of available for sale securities during the year ended December 31, 2010 were \$39.5 million, resulting in gross realized gains of \$4.7 million. These gains are included in Other income in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts (or payments) and the discounted expected variable cash payments (or receipts). The variable cash payments (or receipts) are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves. The fair values of interest rate options are determined using the market standard methodology of discounting the future expected cash receipts that would occur if variable interest rates rise above the strike rate of the caps. The variable interest rates used in the calculation of projected receipts on the cap are based on an expectation of future interest rate curves and volatilities.

The Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty s nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts, and guarantees.

Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. However, as

of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. As a result, the Company has determined that its derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

We estimate the fair value of our Convertible Senior Unsecured Notes based on Level 1 inputs which utilize quoted prices in active markets where we have the ability to access value for identical liabilities.

Redeemable non-controlling interests in the Operating Partnership have a redemption feature and are marked to its redemption value. The redemption value is based on the fair value of the Company s Common Stock at the redemption date, and therefore, is calculated based on the fair value of the Company s Common Stock at the balance sheet date. Since the valuation is based on observable inputs such as quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, redeemable non-controlling interests in the Operating Partnership are classified as Level 2.

111

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Financial Instruments Not Carried at Fair Value

At December 31, 2010, the fair values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, notes receivable, accounts receivable, prepaids, real estate taxes payable, accrued interest payable, security deposits and prepaid rent, distributions payable and accounts payable approximated their carrying values because of the short term nature of these instruments. The estimated fair values of other financial instruments were determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop estimated fair values. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company would realize on the disposition of the financial instruments. The use of different market assumptions or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

We estimate the fair value of our debt instruments by discounting the remaining cash flows of the debt instrument at a discount rate equal to the replacement market credit spread plus the corresponding treasury yields. Factors considered in determining a replacement market credit spread include general market conditions, borrower specific credit spreads, time remaining to maturity, loan-to-value ratios and collateral quality (Level 3).

We record impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations when events and circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by the future operation and disposition of those assets are less than the net book value of those assets. Our cash flow estimates are based upon historical results adjusted to reflect our best estimate of future market and operating conditions and our estimated holding periods. The net book value of impaired assets is reduced to fair value. Our estimates of fair value represent our best estimate based upon Level 3 inputs such as industry trends and reference to market rates and transactions.

We consider various factors to determine if a decrease in the value of the investment is other-than-temporary. These factors include, but are not limited to, age of the venture, our intent and ability to retain our investment in the entity, the financial condition and long-term prospects of the entity, and the relationships with the other joint venture partners and its lenders. Based on the significance of the unobservable inputs, we classify these fair value measurements within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. In 2009, we recognized a non-cash charge of \$16 million representing the other-than-temporary decline in fair values below the carrying values of two of our unconsolidated joint ventures. The Company did not recognize any other-than-temporary decrease in the value of its other investments in unconsolidated joint ventures during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

After determining an other-than-temporary decrease in the value of an equity method investment has occurred, we estimate the fair value of our investment by estimating the proceeds we would receive upon a hypothetical liquidation of the investment at the date of measurement. Inputs reflect management s best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the investment giving consideration to the terms of the joint venture agreement and the estimated discounted future cash flows to be generated from the underlying joint venture asset. The inputs and assumptions utilized to estimate the future cash flows of the underlying asset are based upon the Company s evaluation of the economy, market trends, operating results, and other factors, including judgments regarding costs to complete any construction activities, lease up and occupancy rates, rental rates, inflation rates, capitalization rates utilized to estimate the projected cash flows at the disposition, and discount rates.

13. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING ACTIVITY

Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives

The Company is exposed to certain risk arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Company principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The Company manages economic risks, including interest

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

rate, liquidity, and credit risk primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its debt funding and the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, the Company may enter into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. The Company s derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the Company s known or expected cash receipts and its known or expected cash payments principally related to the Company s investments and borrowings.

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The Company s objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, the Company primarily uses interest rate swaps and caps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount. Interest rate caps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty if interest rates rise above the strike rate on the contract in exchange for an up front premium.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualify as cash flow hedges is recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with existing variable-rate debt. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings. During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company recorded less than a \$1,000 loss of ineffectiveness in earnings attributable to reset date and index mismatches between the derivative and the hedged item, and the fair value of interest rate swaps that were not zero at inception of the hedging relationship.

Amounts reported in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) related to derivatives will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the Company s variable-rate debt. Through December 31, 2011, the Company estimates that an additional \$6.7 million will be reclassified as an increase to interest expense.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had the following outstanding interest rate derivatives that were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk (*dollar amounts in thousands*):

Interest Rate Derivative	Number of Instruments	Notional
Interest rate swaps	15	\$ 455,287
Interest rate caps	3	\$ 137,004

Derivatives not designated as hedges are not speculative and are used to manage the Company s exposure to interest rate movements and other identified risks but do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements of FASB ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging* (formerly SFAS 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities) (Topic 815). Changes in the fair value of derivatives not designated in hedging relationships are recorded

directly in earnings and resulted in a loss of \$991,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010, and a gain of \$593,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009. As of December 31,

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2010, the Company had the following outstanding derivatives that were not designated as hedges in qualifying hedging relationships (*dollar amounts in thousands*):

Product	Number of Instruments	Notional
Interest rate caps	5	\$ 309,984

Tabular Disclosure of Fair Values of Derivative Instruments on the Balance Sheet

The table below presents the fair value of the Company s derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010.

		rivativo Fair V		Liability Derivatives Fair Value at:						
	Balance Sheet I Location	Sheet December 31 ion 2010		1,December 31, 2009		Balance Sheet Location	December 31 2010		2009	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: Interest Rate Products Total	Other Assets	\$ \$	243 243	\$	1,348 1,348	Other Liabilities	\$ \$	6,597 6,597	\$ \$	5,282 5,282
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: Interest Rate Products	Other Assets	↓ \$	271	\$	946	Other Liabilities	Ψ \$	0,077	\$	665
Total		\$	271	\$	946		\$		\$	665

Tabular Disclosure of the Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Operations

The tables below present the effect of the Company s derivative financial instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 (*dollar amounts in thousands*):

Location of Loss

		Location of Los		Amount (Recognized in Income on	Re in	or ss) nized ome				
	А	mount of	f G	ain or	Reclassified		(Le	oss)		Derivative	υ	eriva	itive
	(Loss)		from	Reclassified from			(Ineffective	ive (Inef Po a					
		Recogni			Accumulated Accumulated OCI			Portion	Amount				
Derivatives in	OCI on Derivative (Effective				OCI	in	into Income (Effective			and Amount		xclu froi ectiv	
Topic 815 Cash		Porti		·	into Income	Б	Port		,	Excluded from		Festi	-
Flow Hedging D Relationships		mber 31) 2010	·	ember 31, 2009	(Effective Portion)		ember 31] 2010	Dec	ember 31, 2009	EffectivenessDe Testing)		11 28 10	2009
Interest Rate Products	\$	(9,273)	\$	(3,949)	Interest expense	\$	(6,777)	\$	(12,082)	Other expense	\$	(1)	\$
Total	\$	(9,273)	\$	(3,949)		\$	(6,777)	\$	(12,082)		\$	(1)	\$

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments Under Topic 815	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative	G Recog Inco	Amount of Gain ecognized in Income on Derivative 31, December 31, 2009					
Interest Rate Products	Other income/(expense)	\$	(991)	\$	593			
Total		\$	(991)	\$	593			

114

Amount of

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

The Company has agreements with some of its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where (1) if the Company defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then the Company could also be declared in default on its derivative obligations; or (2) the Company could be declared in default on its derivative obligations if repayment of the underlying indebtedness is accelerated by the lender due to the Company s default on the indebtedness.

Certain of the Company s agreements with its derivative counterparties contain provisions where if there is a change in the Company s financial condition that materially changes the Company s creditworthiness in an adverse manner, the Company may be required to fully collateralize its obligations under the derivative instrument.

The Company also has an agreement with a derivative counterparty that incorporates the loan and financial covenant provisions of the Company s indebtedness with a lender affiliate of the derivative counterparty. Failure to comply with these covenant provisions would result in the Company being in default on any derivative instrument obligations covered by the agreement.

As of December 31, 2010, the fair value of derivatives in a net liability position, which includes accrued interest but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk, related to these agreements was \$7.1 million. As of December 31, 2010, the Company has not posted any collateral related to these agreements. If the Company had breached any of these provisions at December 31, 2010, it would have been required to settle its obligations under the agreements at their termination value of \$7.1 million.

14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Commitments

Real Estate Under Development

The Company is committed to completing our real estate projects under development. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had four wholly owned properties under development with estimated costs of \$338.9 million of which \$97.9 million was incurred to date.

Ground and Other Leases

UDR owns five communities which are subject to ground leases expiring between 2019 and 2072. In addition, UDR is party to various operating leases related to office space rented by the Company with expiration dates though 2016. The leases are accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC 840, *Leases*. Future minimum lease payments as of December 31, 2010 are as follows (*dollars in thousands*):

	round ases(a)	ffice pace
2011	\$ 4,557	\$ 671

2012	4,557	423
2013	4,557	441
2014	4,557	460
2015	4,557	479
Thereafter	294,866	50
	\$ 317,651	\$ 2,524

(a) For purposes of our ground lease contracts, the Company uses the minimum lease payment, if stated in the agreement. For ground lease agreements where there is a reset provision based on the communities

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

appraised value or consumer price index but does not included a specified minimum lease payment, the Company uses the current rent over the remainder of the lease term.

UDR incurred \$4.8 million, \$5.0 million, and \$4.1 million of ground rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. The Company incurred \$1.1 million, \$2.0 million, and \$1.4 million of rent expense related to office space for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

Contingencies

Litigation and Legal Matters

UDR is subject to various legal proceedings and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. UDR cannot determine the ultimate liability with respect to such legal proceedings and claims at this time. UDR believes that such liability, to the extent not provided for through insurance or otherwise, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flow.

15. REPORTABLE SEGMENTS

FASB ASC Topic 280, *Segment Reporting* (formerly SFAS 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information) (Topic 280), requires that segment disclosures present the measure(s) used by the chief operating decision maker to decide how to allocate resources and for purposes of assessing such segments performance. UDR s chief operating decision maker is comprised of several members of its executive management team who use several generally accepted industry financial measures to assess the performance of the business for our reportable operating segments.

UDR owns and operates multifamily apartment communities throughout the United States that generate rental and other property related income through the leasing of apartment homes to a diverse base of tenants. The primary financial measures for UDR s apartment communities are rental income and net operating income (NOI). Rental income represents gross market rent less adjustments for concessions, vacancy loss and bad debt. NOI is defined as total revenues less direct property operating expenses. UDR s chief operating decision maker utilizes NOI as the key measure of segment profit or loss.

UDR s two reportable segments are same communities and non-mature/other communities:

Same store communities represent those communities acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to January 1, 2009, and held as of December 31, 2010. A comparison of operating results from the prior year is meaningful as these communities were owned and had stabilized occupancy and operating expenses as of the beginning of the prior year, there is no plan to conduct substantial redevelopment activities, and the community is not held for disposition within the current year. A community is considered to have stabilized occupancy once it achieves 90% occupancy for at least three consecutive months.

Non-mature/other communities represent those communities that were acquired or developed in 2008, 2009 or 2010, sold properties, redevelopment properties, properties classified as real estate held for disposition, condominium conversion properties, joint venture properties, properties managed by third parties, and the non-apartment components of mixed use properties.

Management evaluates the performance of each of our apartment communities on a same community and non-mature/other basis, as well as individually and geographically. This is consistent with the aggregation criteria of Topic 280 as each of our apartment communities generally has similar economic characteristics, facilities, services, and tenants. Therefore, the Company s reportable segments have been aggregated by geography in a manner identical to that which is provided to the chief operating decision maker.

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

All revenues are from external customers and no single tenant or related group of tenants contributed 10% or more of UDR s total revenues during the three years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, or 2008.

The accounting policies applicable to the operating segments described above are the same as those described in Note 2, *Significant Accounting Policies*. The following table details rental income and NOI for UDR s reportable segments for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, and reconciles NOI to (loss)/income from continuing operations per the consolidated statement of operations (*dollars in thousands*):

		2010	Dec	cember 31, 2009		2008
Reportable apartment home segment rental income Same Communities						
Western Region	\$	225,236	\$	231,554	\$	227,251
Mid-Atlantic Region	Φ	153,468	φ	150,849	φ	141,232
Southeastern Region		115,664		116,976		119,569
Southwestern Region		45,420		45,416		39,206
Non-Mature communities/Other		94,080		58,104		75,747
Total segment and consolidated rental income	\$	633,868	\$	602,899	\$	603,005
Reportable apartment home segment NOI						
Same Communities						
Western Region	\$	154,285	\$	162,365	\$	159,619
Mid-Atlantic Region		104,909		103,022		95,781
Southeastern Region		72,597		73,095		74,643
Southwestern Region Non-Mature communities/Other		26,169 52 104		25,629		22,373
Non-mature communities/Other		53,104		34,349		44,570
Total segment and consolidated NOI		411,064		398,460		396,986
Reconciling items:						
Non-property income		14,347		12,362		27,190
Property management		(17,432)		(16,581)		(16,583)
Other operating expenses		(5,848)		(6,487)		(4,569)
Depreciation and amortization		(303,446)		(278,391)		(251,984)
Interest, net		(150,796)		(142,152)		(145,630)
General and administrative		(42,710)		(39,344)		(38,776)
Severance costs and other restructuring charges		(6,803)		(5, 161)		(653)
Other depreciation and amortization Loss from unconsolidated entities		(4,843) (4,204)		(5,161) (18,665)		(4,866) (3,612)
Redeemable non-controlling interests in OP		(4,204) 3,835		(18,665) 4,282		(3,612) (45,875)
Non-controlling interests		3,835 (146)		4,282		(43,873) (202)
Gain on consolidation of joint ventures		(170)		1,912		(202)
Sum on consonauton or joint voltures				1,712		

Table of Contents

Net gain on the sale of depreciable property	4,083	2,424	786,364
Net (loss)/income attributable to UDR, Inc.	\$ (102,899)	\$ (87,532)	\$ 697,790

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Included within non-property income as other income for the year ended December 31, 2008 is net revenue of \$2.9 million for insurance related recoveries owed from one of the Company s joint ventures as a result of Hurricane Ike.

The following table details the assets of UDR s reportable segments for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 *(dollars in thousands)*:

	December 31,				
	2010	2009			
Reportable apartment home segment assets					
Same Communities					
Western Region	\$ 2,399,394	\$ 2,384,168			
Mid-Atlantic Region	1,274,669	1,263,755			
Southeastern Region	924,481	911,973			
Southwestern Region	423,737	418,303			
Non-Mature communities/Other	1,859,066	1,336,848			
Total segment assets	6,881,347	6,315,047			
Accumulated depreciation	(1,638,326)	(1,351,293)			
Total segment assets net book value	5,243,021	4,963,754			
Reconciling items:					
Cash and cash equivalents	9,486	5,985			
Marketable securities	3,866	37,650			
Restricted cash	15,447	8,879			
Deferred financing costs, net	27,267	26,601			
Notes receivable	7,800	7,800			
Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	148,057	14,126			
Other assets	74,596	67,822			
Total consolidated assets	\$ 5,529,540	\$ 5,132,617			

Capital expenditures related to our same communities totaled \$44.7 million, \$51.3 million, and \$79.0 million for the three years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. Capital expenditures related to our non-mature/other communities totaled \$3.9 million, \$5.0 million, and \$4.7 million for the three years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

Markets included in the above geographic segments are as follows:

i. Western Orange County, San Francisco, Monterey Peninsula, Los Angeles, Seattle, San Diego, Inland Empire, Sacramento and Portland

- ii. Mid-Atlantic Metropolitan DC, Baltimore, Richmond, Norfolk, and Other Mid-Atlantic
- iii. Southeastern Tampa, Orlando, Nashville, Jacksonville, and Other Florida
- iv. Southwestern Dallas, Phoenix, Austin, and Houston

16. RESTRUCTURING CHARGES

As of December 31, 2010, UDR decided to consolidate corporate operations and centralize job functions to its Highlands Ranch, Colorado headquarters from its Richmond, Virginia office. During the fourth quarter

UDR, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

of 2010, the Company recorded a severance charge of \$6.8 million, which includes costs related to these activities in addition to severance related to the retirement of an executive officer of the Company. These costs are reported in the Consolidated Statements of Operations within the line item Severance costs and other restructuring charges .

As of December 31, 2008, UDR restructured our operations resulting in a severance related charge of \$653,000 reported in the Consolidated Statements of Operations within the line item Severance costs and other restructuring charges . The Company incurred this charge as a result of the restructuring and consolidating positions.

17. UNAUDITED SUMMARIZED CONSOLIDATED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA

Selected consolidated quarterly financial data for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 is summarized in the table below (*dollars in thousands, except per share amounts*):

	Three Months Ended								
	N	Iarch 31,	•	June 30,	Sep	tember 30,	De	cember 31,	
2010									
2010									
Rental income(a)	\$	151,092	\$	153,369	\$	159,795	\$	167,993	
Loss from continuing operations		(25,172)		(28,030)		(28,745)		(29,366)	
Income from discontinued operations		146		390		4,140		49	
Net loss attributable to common stockholders		(26,435)		(28,968)		(26,134)		(30,825)	
Loss per share(b)									
Basic and diluted	\$	(0.17)	\$	(0.18)	\$	(0.16)	\$	(0.17)	
2009									
Rental income(a)	\$	150,061	\$	151,285	\$	149,756	\$	149,600	
Loss from continuing operations		(13,430)		(14,947)		(40,555)		(25,880)	
Income from discontinued operations		7		2,287		800		95	
Net loss attributable to common stockholders		(15,429)		(14,858)		(40,776)		(24,795)	
Loss per share(b)									
Basic and diluted	\$	(0.11)	\$	(0.10)	\$	(0.27)	\$	(0.16)	

(a) Represents rental income from continuing operations, excluding amounts classified as discontinued operations.

(b) Quarterly earnings per common share amounts may not total to the annual amounts due to rounding.

[This page is intentionally left blank.]

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Partners United Dominion Realty, L.P.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of United Dominion Realty, L.P. (the Partnership) as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, partners capital and comprehensive income and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Partnership s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Partnership s internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Partnership s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Partnership at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Denver, Colorado February 23, 2011

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In thousands, except for unit data)

		Decem	ber 3	31,	
		2009			
ASSETS					
Real estate owned: Real estate held for investment	¢	2 706 194	¢	2 6 4 0 9 9 9	
	\$	3,706,184 (884,083)	\$	3,640,888 (717,892)	
Less: accumulated depreciation		(004,003)		(717,892)	
Total real estate owned, net of accumulated depreciation		2,822,101		2,922,996	
Cash and cash equivalents		920		442	
Restricted cash		8,022		6,865	
Deferred financing costs, net		7,465		8,727	
Other assets		22,887		22,037	
Total assets	\$	2,861,395	\$	2,961,067	
LIABILITIES AND CAPITAL					
Secured debt	\$	1,070,061	\$	1,122,198	
Note payable due to General Partner	Ψ	78,271	Ψ	71,547	
Real estate taxes payable		5,245		8,561	
Accrued interest payable		518		933	
Security deposits and prepaid rent		13,158		13,728	
Distributions payable		33,559		32,642	
Deferred gains on the sale of depreciable property		63,838		63,838	
Accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities		35,122		25,872	
Total liabilities		1,299,772		1,339,319	
Capital:		, ,		, ,	
Partners capital:					
Operating partnership units: 179,909,408 OP units outstanding at December 31,					
2010 and 2009					
General partner: 110,883 OP units outstanding at December 31, 2010 and 2009		1,363		1,456	
Limited partners: 179,798,525 OP units outstanding at December 31, 2010 and					
2009		2,046,380		2,199,450	
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(5,502)		(3,153)	
Total partners capital		2,042,241		2,197,753	
Receivable due from General Partner		(492,709)		(588,185)	
Non-controlling interest		12,091		12,180	
Total capital		1,561,623		1,621,748	

Total liabilities and capital

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (In thousands, except per unit data)

	Years Ended December 31,						
		2010		2009		2008	
REVENUES Rental income	\$	350,394	\$	353,056	\$	336,674	
Non-property income:	φ	550,574	φ	555,050	φ	550,074	
Other income		1,695		5,695		13,106	
		1,070		5,075		15,100	
Total revenues		352,089		358,751		349,780	
EXPENSES		,		,		,	
Rental expenses:							
Real estate taxes and insurance		43,067		43,031		38,939	
Personnel		29,082		27,344		26,591	
Utilities		18,073		17,236		15,667	
Repair and maintenance		18,598		17,355		17,231	
Administrative and marketing		7,458		7,522		7,394	
Property management		9,636		9,709		9,259	
Other operating expenses		5,028		4,868		4,400	
Real estate depreciation and amortization		166,480		166,773		154,584	
Interest expense:		51 700		40 510		41 642	
Interest on secured debt		51,798 424		48,519		41,643	
Interest on note payable due to General Partner General and administrative		424 23,291		5,028 16,886		5,028 19,081	
Other depreciation and amortization		23,291		10,000		327	
Total expenses		372,935		364,271		340,144	
i our expenses		012,700		507,271		5-10,11-1	
(Loss)/income from continuing operations		(20,846)		(5,520)		9,636	
Income from discontinued operations		152		1,475		489,272	
-							
Consolidated net (loss)/income		(20,694)		(4,045)		498,908	
Net income attributable to non-controlling interests		(41)		(131)		(1,188)	
Net (loss)/income attributable to OP unitholders	\$	(20,735)	\$	(4,176)	\$	497,720	
Earnings per OP unit- basic and diluted:							
(Loss)/income from continuing operations attributable to OP							
unitholders	\$	(0.12)	\$	(0.03)	\$	0.06	
Income from discontinued operations	\$	0.00	\$	0.01	\$	2.94	
(Loss)/income attributable to OP unitholders	\$	(0.12)	\$	(0.02)	\$	3.00	
Weighted average OP units outstanding		179,909		178,817		166,163	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

United Dominion Realty, L.P.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands, except for unit data)

(unaudited)

		Year	Enc	led Decemb	er 3	1.
		2010		2009		2008
Operating Activities						
Consolidated net (loss)/income	\$	(20,694)	\$	(4,045)	\$	498,908
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss)/income to net cash provided by		()))		()))
operating activities:						
Depreciation and amortization		166,480		166,773		154,911
Net gain on the sale of depreciable property		(152)		(1,475)		(475,249)
Write off of bad debt		1,760		2,216		1,439
Amortization of deferred financing costs and other		1,652		2,195		1,766
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:						
Increase in operating assets		(3,705)		(3,340)		(3,463)
Increase/(decrease) in operating liabilities		1,263		(4,991)		(9,652)
Net cash provided by operating activities		146,604		157,333		168,660
Investing Activities						
Proceeds from sales of real estate investments, net						879,930
Proceeds from note receivable				200,000		
Acquisition of real estate assets (net of liabilities assumed) and initial						
capital expenditures						(713,649)
Capital expenditures and other major improvements real estate assets	,					(0,1,0,0,0)
net of escrow reimbursement		(59,458)		(70,372)		(84,288)
Net cash (used in)/provided by investing activities		(59,458)		129,628		81,993
Financing Activities						
Payments to General Partner		(31,359)		(550,392)		(319,478)
Proceeds from the issuance of secured debt		11,326		340,608		292,120
Payments on secured debt		(60,686)		(64,455)		(204,205)
Payment of financing costs		(391)		(4,073)		(3,639)
Repurchase of Out-Performance Partnership Units						(524)
OP unit redemption		(327)				
Distributions paid to partnership unitholders		(5,231)		(11,797)		(11,424)
Net cash used in financing activities		(86,668)		(290,109)		(247,150)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		478		(3,148)		3,503
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year		442		3,590		87
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$	920	\$	442	\$	3,590

Supplemental Information:			
Interest paid during the year, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 51,854	\$ 46,029	\$ 41,929
Non-cash transactions:			
Issuance of note receivable upon the disposition of real estate	\$	\$	\$ 200,000
Secured debt assumed at acquisition			95,705

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF PARTNERS CAPITAL AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(LOSS) (In thousands) (unaudited)

\$

				UDR, Inc.				A Out-			eceivable					
	Class A Limited Partner	Limite Partner		Limited Partner	Limited General		PerformanCe Partnership Shares				Total artnership Equity	Ċ	lue from General Partner	co	Non- ntrolling nterest	
	32,114 (3,719)	\$ 139,6 (13,0		\$ 2,057,267 (361,879		1,381 (236)	\$	1,982 (524)	\$	\$	2,232,404 (378,901) (524)	\$	(254,256)	\$	10,861	ŧ
R		(29,1	.36)	29,136	5											
l ital re : ss	(10,949)	(35,8	363)	46,812	2											
	4,864	17,0	091	475,458	3	307			(4,874)		(4,874) 497,720				1,188	
re	4,864	17,0	91	475,458	3	307			(4,874)		492,846				1,188	
5													(120,868)			
,	22,310	78,6	85	2,246,794	l	1,452		1,458	(4,874)		2,345,825		(375,124)		12,049	
	(2,328)	(3,6	500)	(146,954	4)	(94))				(152,976)					
	Tables	f Cantant	-												000	

r										
	1,568	5,691		100			7,359	153,611		
	14	34	1,409	1	(1,458)					
R 9		(23,308)	23,308							
ital										
e	7,274	12,218	(19,492)							
in										
	(41)	(98)	(4,034)	(3)		1,721	1,721 (4,176)		131	
e	(41)	(98)	(4,034)	(3)		1,721	(2,455)		131	
•								(366,672)		
,	28,797	69,622	2,101,031	1,456		(3,153)	2,197,753	(588,185)	12,180	
	(2,328)	(2,819)	(127,201)	(80)			(132,428)			
Ł		(18,214)	18,214							
		(327)	327							
tal										
	14,932	30,019	(44,951)						(130)	

Р

Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc Form 10-K																
(202)		(423)	(20,097)		(13)				(2,349)		(2,349) (20,735)				41	
(202)		(423)	(20,097)		(13)				(2,349)		(23,084)				41	
													95,476			
41,199	\$	77,858	\$ 1,927,323				consolida					\$	(492,709)	\$	12,091	
			See accomp	, an y i	118 11010	5 10 0		ucu	Junanciai	514	iemenus.					
	(202)	(202)	(202) (423)	(202) (423) (20,097) (202) (423) (20,097) 41,199 \$ 77,858 \$ 1,927,323	(202) (423) (20,097) (202) (423) (20,097) 41,199 \$ 77,858 \$ 1,927,323 \$	(202) (423) (20,097) (13) (202) (423) (20,097) (13) 41,199 \$ 77,858 \$ 1,927,323 \$ 1,363	(202) (423) (20,097) (13) (202) (423) (20,097) (13) 41,199 \$ 77,858 \$ 1,927,323 \$ 1,363 \$	(202) (423) (20,097) (13) (202) (423) (20,097) (13) 41,199 \$ 77,858 \$ 1,927,323 \$ 1,363 \$	(202) (423) (20,097) (13) (202) (423) (20,097) (13) 41,199 \$ 77,858 \$ 1,927,323 \$ 1,363 \$ \$ <i>See accompanying notes to consolidated</i>	(202) (423) (20,097) (13) (2,349) (202) (423) (20,097) (13) (2,349) 41,199 \$ 77,858 \$ 1,927,323 \$ 1,363 \$ \$ (5,502) See accompanying notes to consolidated financial	(202) (423) (20,097) (13) (202) (423) (20,097) (13) (2,349) 41,199 \$ 77,858 \$ 1,927,323 \$ 1,363 \$ \$ (5,502) \$ See accompanying notes to consolidated financial sta	(202) (423) (20,097) (13) (2,349) (2,349) (20,735) (202) (423) (20,097) (13) (2,349) (23,084) 41,199 \$ 77,858 \$ 1,927,323 \$ 1,363 \$ \$ (5,502) \$ 2,042,241 See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.	(202) (423) (20,097) (13) (2,349) (2,349) (20,735) (202) (423) (20,097) (13) (2,349) (23,084) 41,199 \$ 77,858 \$ 1,927,323 \$ 1,363 \$ \$ (5,502) \$ 2,042,241 \$ See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.	(202) (423) (20,097) (13) (2,349) (2,349) (20,735) (202) (423) (20,097) (13) (2,349) (20,735) (202) (423) (20,097) (13) (2,349) (23,084) 95,476 41,199 \$ 77,858 \$ 1,927,323 \$ 1,363 \$ \$ (492,709) See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.	(202) (423) (20,097) (13) (2,349) (2,349) (20,735) (202) (423) (20,097) (13) (2,349) (20,735) (202) (423) (20,097) (13) (2,349) (23,084) 95,476 41,199 \$ 77,858 \$ 1,927,323 \$ 1,363 \$ \$ (492,709) \$ See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.	(202) (423) (20,097) (13) (2,349) (2,349) 41 (202) (423) (20,097) (13) (2,349) (23,084) 41 (202) (423) (20,097) (13) (2,349) (23,084) 41 95,476 41,199 \$ 77,858 \$ 1,927,323 \$ 1,363 \$ (5,502) \$ 2,042,241 \$ (492,709) \$ 12,091 See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

\$

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2010

1. CONSOLIDATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

United Dominion Realty, L.P. (UDR, L.P., the Operating Partnership, we or our) is a Delaware limited partnership that owns, acquires, renovates, develops, redevelops, manages, and disposes of multifamily apartment communities generally located in high barrier-to-entry markets located in the United States. The high barrier-to-entry markets are characterized by limited land for new construction, difficult and lengthy entitlement process, expensive single-family home prices and significant employment growth potential. UDR, L.P. is a subsidiary of UDR, Inc. (UDR)

General Partner), a real estate investment trust under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, and through which UDR conducts a significant portion of its business. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, rental revenues of the Operating Partnership represented of 55% and 59% of the General Partner s consolidated rental revenues. At December 31, 2010, the Operating Partnership s apartment portfolio consisted of 81 communities located in 19 markets consisting of 23,351 apartment homes.

Interests in UDR, L.P. are represented by Operating Partnership Units (OP Units). The Operating Partnership s net income is allocated to the partners, which is initially based on their respective distributions made during the year and secondly, their percentage interests. Distributions are made in accordance with the terms of the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of United Dominion Realty, L.P. (the Operating Partnership Agreement), on a per unit basis that is generally equal to the dividend per share on UDR s common stock, which is publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the ticker symbol UDR.

As of December 31, 2010, there were 179,909,408 OP units in the Operating Partnership outstanding, of which 174,847,440 or 97.2% were owned by UDR and affiliated entities and 5,061,968 or 2.8%, which were owned by non-affiliated limited partners. There were 179,909,408 OP units in the Operating Partnership outstanding as of December 31, 2009 of which, 173,922,816 or 96.7% were owned by UDR and affiliated entities and 5,986,592 or 3.3%, which were owned by non-affiliated limited partners. See Note 9, *Capital Structure*.

As sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, UDR owned 110,883 general partnership interest units or 0.06% of the total OP Units outstanding as of December 31, 2010 and 2009. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, there were 179,798,525 OP units outstanding of limited partnership interest, of which 1,751,671 were Class A Limited Partnership OP units. UDR owned 174,736,557 or 97.2% and 173,811,933 or 96.7% at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The remaining 5,061,968 or 2.8% and 5,986,592 or 3.3% OP units outstanding of limited partnership interest were held by non- affiliated partners at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, of which 1,751,671 were Class A Limited Partnership units.

Basis of presentation

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements consists of the Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries. Profits and losses are allocated in accordance with the terms of the Operating Partnership agreement. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The Operating Partnership evaluated subsequent events through the date of issuance of the Operating Partnership s financial statements. No recognized or non-recognized subsequent events were noted.

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2010-06, Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements an amendment to ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. This amendment provides for more robust disclosures about (1) the different classes of assets and liabilities measured at fair value, (2) the valuation techniques and inputs used, (3) the activity in Level 3 fair value measurements, and (4) the transfers between Levels 1, 2, and 3. ASU No. 2010-06 was effective for the Operating Partnership for our fiscal year beginning in January 1, 2010.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-29, which addresses diversity in practice about the interpretation of the pro forma revenue and earnings disclosure requirements for business combinations. The amendments in ASU 2010-29 specify that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The amendments in ASU 2010-29 also expand the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. The amendments in ASU 2010-29 are effective prospectively for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010.

Use of estimates

The preparation of these financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual amounts realized or paid could differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current financial statement presentation.

Discontinued operations

For properties accounted for under FASB ASC 360, *Property, Plant and Equipment* (formerly *SFAS* 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets) (Topic 360), the results of operations for those properties sold during the year or classified as held-for-sale at the end of the current year are classified as discontinued operations in the current and prior periods. Further, to meet the discontinued operations criteria, the Operating Partnership or related parties will not have any significant continuing involvement in the ownership or operation of the property after the sale or disposition. Once a property is deemed as held-for-sale, depreciation is no longer recorded. However, if the Operating Partnership determines that the property no longer meets the criteria for held-for-sale, the Company will recapture any unrecorded depreciation on the property (see Note 4, *Discontinued Operations* for further discussion).

Real estate

Real estate assets held for investment are carried at historical cost and consist of land, buildings and improvements, furniture, fixtures and equipment and other costs incurred during their development, acquisition and redevelopment.

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Expenditures for ordinary repair and maintenance costs are charged to expense as incurred. Expenditures for improvements, renovations, and replacements related to the acquisition and/or improvement of real estate assets are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives if the expenditures qualify as a betterment or the life of the related asset will be substantially extended beyond the original life expectancy.

The Operating Partnership purchases real estate investment properties and allocates the purchase price to the tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired based on their estimated fair value. The primary, although not only, identifiable intangible asset associated with our portfolio is the value of existing lease agreements. When allocating cost to an acquired community, we first allocate costs to the estimated intangible value of the existing lease agreements and then to the estimated value of the land, building and fixtures assuming the community is vacant. The Operating Partnership estimates the intangible value of the lease agreements by determining the lost revenue associated with a hypothetical lease-up. Depreciation on the building is based on the expected useful life of the asset and the in-place leases are amortized over their remaining contractual life. Property acquisition costs are expense as incurred.

Quarterly or when changes in circumstances warrant, UDR, L.P. will assess our real estate portfolio for indicators of impairment. In determining whether the Operating Partnership has indicators of impairment in our real estate assets, we assess whether the long-lived asset s carrying value exceeds the community s undiscounted future cash flows, which is representative of projected NOI plus the residual value of the community. Our future cash flow estimates are based upon historical results adjusted to reflect our best estimate of future market and operating conditions and our estimated holding periods. If such indicators of impairment are present and the carrying value exceeds the undiscounted cash flows of the community, an impairment loss is recognized equal to the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over its estimated fair value. Our estimates of fair market value represent our best estimate based primarily upon unobservable inputs related to rental rates, operating costs, growth rates, discount rates and capitalization rates, industry trends and reference to market rates and transactions.

For long-lived assets to be disposed of, impairment losses are recognized when the fair value of the asset less estimated cost to sell is less than the carrying value of the asset. Properties classified as real estate held for disposition generally represent properties that are actively marketed or contracted for sale with the closing expected to occur within the next twelve months. Real estate held for disposition is carried at the lower of cost, net of accumulated depreciation, or fair value, less the cost to dispose, determined on an asset-by-asset basis. Expenditures for ordinary repair and maintenance costs on held for disposition properties are charged to expense as incurred. Expenditures for improvements, renovations, and replacements related to held for disposition properties are capitalized at cost. Depreciation is not recorded on real estate held for disposition.

Depreciation is computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the related assets which are 35 years for buildings, 10 to 35 years for major improvements, and 3 to 10 years for furniture, fixtures, equipment, and other assets. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the value of our net intangible assets which are reflected in Other assets which are reflected in 2010 and \$4.8 million and \$4.8 million respectively. As of December 31, 2010 and 2000, the value of a straight and 2000 the value of a straight as a straight as a straight and 2000 the value of a straight and 2000 the value of a straight and 2000 the value of a straight as a str

Other assets was \$4.1 million and \$4.8 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the value of our net intangible liabilities which are reflected in Accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other liabilities was \$3.3 million and \$3.6 million in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The balances are being amortized over the remaining life of the respective intangible.

All development and redevelopment projects and related carrying costs are capitalized during periods in which activities necessary to get the property ready for its intended use are in progress. As each building in a project is completed and becomes available for lease-up, the Operating Partnership ceases capitalization and the assets are depreciated over their estimated useful lives. The costs of projects which include interest, real estate taxes, insurance, and allocated development overhead related to support costs for personnel working directly on the development site are capitalized during the construction period. During 2010, 2009, and 2008, total interest capitalized pertaining to redevelopment projects and land held for future development was \$1.3 million, \$444,000, and \$580,000, respectively.

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash on hand and demand deposits with financial institutions. Restricted cash consists of escrow deposits held by lenders for real estate taxes, insurance and replacement reserves, and security deposits.

Derivative financial instruments

The General Partner utilizes derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate risk and will generally designate these financial instruments as cash flow hedges. Derivative financial instruments associated with the Operating Partnership s allocation of the General Partner s debt are recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as either an asset or liability and measured quarterly at their fair value. The changes in fair value for the General Partner s cash flow hedges allocated to the Operating Partnership that are deemed effective are reflected in other comprehensive income and for non-designated derivative financial instruments in earnings. The ineffective component of cash flow hedges, if any, is recorded in earnings.

Comprehensive income

Comprehensive income, which is defined as all changes in capital during each period except for those resulting from investments by or distributions to partners, is displayed in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Partners Capital and Comprehensive Income/(Loss). For the year ended December 31, 2010, other comprehensive income/(loss) consisted of the change in the fair value of the General Partner s effective cash flow hedges that are allocated to the Operating Partnership.

Income taxes

The taxable income or loss of the Operating Partnership is reported on the tax returns of the partners. Accordingly, no provision has been made in the accompanying financial statements for federal or state income taxes on income that is passed through to the partners. However, any state or local revenue, excise or franchise taxes that result from the operating activities of the Operating Partnership are recorded at the entity level. The Operating Partnership s tax returns are subject to examination by federal and state taxing authorities. Net income for financial reporting purposes differs from the net income for income tax reporting purposes primarily due to temporary differences, principally real estate depreciation and the tax deferral of certain gains on property sales. The differences in depreciation result from differences in the book and tax basis of certain real estate assets and the differences in the methods of depreciation and lives of the real estate assets.

The Operating Partnership adopted certain accounting guidance within ASC Topic 740, *Income Taxes*, with respect to how uncertain tax positions should be recognized, measured, presented, and disclosed in the financial statements. The guidance requires the accounting and disclosure of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in the course of preparing the Operating Partnership s tax returns to determine whether the tax positions are more-likely-than-not of being sustained by the applicable tax authority. Tax positions not deemed to meet the more-likely-than-not threshold would be recorded as a tax benefit or expense in the current year. Management of the Operating Partnership is required to analyze all open tax years, as defined by the statute of limitations, for all major jurisdictions, which include federal and certain states. The Operating Partnership has no examinations in progress and none are expected at

this time.

Management of the Operating Partnership has reviewed all open tax years (2005-2009) and major jurisdictions and concluded the adoption of the new accounting guidance resulted in no impact to the Operating Partnership s financial position or results of operations. There is no tax liability resulting from unrecognized tax benefits relating to uncertain income tax positions taken or expected to be taken in future tax returns.

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Revenue and real estate sales gain recognition

Rental income related to leases is recognized on an accrual basis when due from residents in accordance with FASB ASC 840, *Leases* (formerly SFAS 13 Accounting for Leases) and SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, Revenue Recognition . Rental payments are generally due on a monthly basis and recognized when earned. The Operating Partnership recognizes interest income, management and other fees and incentives when earned, fixed and determinable.

The Operating Partnership accounts for sales of real estate in accordance with FASB ASC 360-20, *Real Estate Sales* (formerly SFAS 66, Accounting for Sales of Real Estate). For sale transactions meeting the requirements for full accrual profit recognition, such as the Operating Partnership no longer having continuing involvement in the property, we remove the related assets and liabilities from our Consolidated Balance Sheets and record the gain or loss in the period the transaction closes. For sale transactions that do not meet the full accrual sale criteria due to our continuing involvement, we evaluate the nature of the continuing involvement and account for the transaction under an alternate method of accounting.

Sales to entities in which we or our General Partner retain or otherwise own an interest are accounted for as partial sales. If all other requirements for recognizing profit under the full accrual method have been satisfied and no other forms of continuing involvement are present, we recognize profit proportionate to the outside interest in the buyer and will defer the gain on the interest we or our General Partner retain. The Operating Partnership will recognize any deferred gain when the property is then sold to a third party. In transactions accounted by us as partial sales, we determine if the buyer of the majority equity interest in the venture was provided a preference as to cash flows in either an operating or a capital waterfall. If a cash flow preference has been provided, we recognize profit only to the extent that proceeds from the sale of the majority equity interest exceed costs related to the entire property.

Earnings per Operating Partnership Unit

Basic earnings per OP Unit is computed by dividing net (loss)/income attributable to general and limited partner unitholders by the weighted average number of general and limited partner units (including redeemable OP Units) outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per OP Unit reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue OP Units were exercised or converted into OP Units or resulted in the issuance of OP Units and then shared in the earnings of the Operating Partnership. For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, there were no dilutive instruments, and therefore, diluted earnings per OP Unit and basic earnings per OP Unit are the same. See Note 9, *Capital Structure*, for further discussion on redemption rights of OP Units.

Non-controlling interests

The noncontrolling interests represent the General Partner s interests in certain consolidated subsidiaries and are presented in the capital section of the consolidated balance sheets since these interests are not convertible or redeemable into any other ownership interests of the Operating Partnership.

Advertising costs

All advertising costs are expensed as incurred and reported on the Consolidated Statements of Operations within the line item Administrative and marketing . During 2010, 2009, and 2008, total advertising expense from continuing and discontinued operations was \$2.3 million, \$2.4 million, and \$2.6 million, respectively.

Allocation of General and Administrative Expenses

The Operating Partnership is charged directly with general and administrative expenses it incurs. The Operating Partnership is also charged with other general and administrative expenses that have been allocated

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

by the General Partner to each of its subsidiaries, including the Operating Partnership, based on each subsidiary s pro-rata portion of UDR s total apartment homes. (See Note 6, *Related Party Transactions*.)

Market concentration risk

Approximately 20.6%, 14.4% and 10.6% of our apartment communities are located in Orange County, California; Metropolitan Washington DC; and San Francisco, California, respectively, based on the carrying value of our real estate portfolio as of December 31, 2010. Therefore, the Partnership is subject to increased exposure (positive or negative) from economic and other competitive factors specific to those markets.

3. REAL ESTATE OWNED

Real estate assets owned by the Operating Partnership consists of income producing operating properties and land held for future development. As of December 31, 2010, the Operating Partnership owned and consolidated 81 communities in 8 states plus the District of Columbia totaling 23,351 apartment homes. The following table summarizes the carrying amounts for our real estate owned (at cost) as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 (*dollar amounts in thousands*):

	December 31,					
		2010		2009		
Land Depreciable property held and used	\$	989,924	\$	985,126		
Buildings and improvements Furniture, fixtures and equipment		2,573,921 116,324		2,525,812 108,094		
Land held for future development		26,015		21,856		
Investment in real estate Accumulated depreciation		3,706,184 (884,083)		3,640,888 (717,892)		
Investment in real estate, net	\$	2,822,101	\$	2,922,996		

The Operating Partnership did not have any acquisitions during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

4. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

The results of operations for properties sold during the year or designated as held-for-sale at the end of the year are classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented. Properties classified as real estate held for disposition generally represent properties that are actively marketed or contracted for sale with the closing expected to occur within the next twelve months. The application of ASC Topic 360 does not have an impact on net income attributable to unit holders. The application of ASC Topic 360 results in the reclassification of the operating results of all properties sold or classified as held for disposition through December 31, 2010, within the Consolidated Statements of

Operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, and the reclassification of the assets and liabilities within the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, if applicable.

For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Operating Partnership did not dispose of any communities. At December 31, 2010, the Operating Partnership did not have any assets that met the criteria to be included in discontinued operations.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, the Operating Partnership sold 55 communities and recognized gains for financial reporting purposes of \$475.2 million on these sales. In conjunction with the sale of these communities, the Operating Partnership received a \$200 million note that was fully repaid in 2009.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following is a summary of income from discontinued operations for the three years ended December 31, 2010 *(dollars in thousands)*:

	2	010	2009	2008
Rental income Rental expenses Property management fee Interest	\$		\$	\$ 25,338 10,150 697 468 11,315
Income before net gain on the sale of property				14,023
Net gain on the sale of property		152	1,475	475,249
Income from discontinued operations	\$	152	\$ 1,475	\$ 489,272

5. DEBT

Our secured debt instruments generally feature either monthly interest and principal or monthly interest-only payments with balloon payments due at maturity. For purposes of classification in the following table, variable rate debt with a derivative financial instrument designated as a cash flow hedge is deemed as fixed rate debt due to the Operating Partnership having effectively established the interest rate for the underlying debt instrument. Secured debt consists of the following as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 (*dollars in thousands*):

		Principal (Decem		0	Weighted Average Interest	2010 Weighted Average Years to	Number of Communities
	2010		2009		Rate	Maturity	Encumbered
Fixed Rate Debt							
Mortgage notes payable Tax-exempt secured notes	\$	192,205	\$	230,852	5.54%	3.3	5
payable		13,325		13,325	5.30%	20.2	1
Fannie Mae credit facilities		560,993		587,403	5.21%	6.4	9
Total fixed rate secured debt Variable Rate Debt		766,523		831,580	5.29%	5.8	15
Mortgage notes payable Tax-exempt secured note		100,590		100,590	2.75%	4.2	4
payable		27,000		27,000	1.07%	19.2	1

	Edgar Filing: UDR, Inc Form 10-K										
Fannie Mae credit facilities		175,948		163,028	1.93%	4.7	18				
Total variable rate secured debt		303,538		290,618	2.13%	5.8	23				
Total secured debt	\$1	,070,061	\$	1,122,198	4.40%	5.8	38				

As of December 31, 2010, the General Partner had secured credit facilities with Fannie Mae (FNMA) with an aggregate commitment of \$1.4 billion with \$1.2 billion outstanding. The Fannie Mae credit facilities are for an initial term of 10 years, bear interest at floating and fixed rates, and certain variable rate facilities can be extended for an additional five years at the General Partner's option. At December 31, 2010, \$897.3 million of the funded balance was fixed at a weighted average interest rate of 5.3% and the remaining balance of \$260.5 million on these facilities had a weighted average variable rate of 1.7%. \$736.9 million of

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

these credit facilities were allocated to the Operating Partnership at December 31, 2010 based on the ownership of the assets securing the debt. Further information related to these credit facilities is as follows:

	cember 31, 2010 Iollar amoun	cember 31, 2009 nousands)
Borrowings outstanding	\$ 736,941	\$ 750,431
Weighted average borrowings during the year ended	763,040	646,895
Maximum daily borrowings during the year	770,021	750,572
Weighted average interest rate during the year ended	4.5%	4.6%
Interest rate at the end of the year	4.4%	4.6%

The Operating Partnership may from time to time acquire properties subject to fixed rate debt instruments. In those situations, management will record the secured debt at its estimated fair value and amortize any difference between the fair value and par to interest expense over the life of the underlying debt instrument. The unamortized fair value adjustment of the fixed rate debt instruments on the Operating Partnership s properties was a net discount of \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Fixed Rate Debt

Mortgage notes payable. Fixed rate mortgage notes payable are generally due in monthly installments of principal and interest and mature at various dates from August 2011 through June 2016 and carry interest rates ranging from 5.03% to 5.94%.

Tax-exempt secured notes payable. Fixed rate mortgage notes payable that secure tax-exempt housing bond issues mature in March 2031 and carry an interest rate of 5.30%. Interest on these notes is payable in semi-annual installments.

Secured credit facilities. At December 31, 2010, the General Partner had borrowings against its fixed rate facilities of \$897.3 million of which \$561.0 million was allocated to the Operating Partnership based on the ownership of the assets securing the debt. As of December 31, 2010, the fixed rate Fannie Mae credit facilities allocated to the Operating Partnership had a weighted average fixed rate of interest of 5.21%.

Variable Rate Debt

Mortgage notes payable. Variable rate mortgage notes payable are generally due in monthly installments of principal and interest and mature at various dates from July 2013 through April 2016. Interest on the variable rate mortgage notes is based on LIBOR plus some basis points, which translated into interest rates ranging from 1.10% to 3.89% at December 31, 2010.

Tax-exempt secured note payable. The variable rate mortgage note payable that secures tax-exempt housing bond issues matures in March 2030. Interest on this note is payable in monthly installments. The mortgage note payable has

an interest rate of 1.07% as of December 31, 2010.

Secured credit facilities. At December 31, 2010, the General Partner had borrowings against its variable rate facilities of \$260.5 million of which \$175.9 million was allocated to the Operating Partnership based on the ownership of the assets securing the debt. As of December 31, 2010, the variable rate borrowings under the Fannie Mae credit facilities allocated to the Operating Partnership had a weighted average floating rate of interest of 1.93%.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The aggregate maturities of the Operating Partnership s secured debt due during each of the next five calendar years and thereafter are as follows (*dollars in thousands*):

				Fixed			Variable Tax							
		Iortgage		x-Exempt Notes		Credit	N	Iortgage		Exempt Notes		Credit		
		Notes	F	Payable	F	acilities		Notes	Р	ayable	F	acilities		Total
2011	\$	10,874	\$		\$		\$	423	\$		\$	30,886	\$	42,183
2012		49,623				136,792		633				59,529		246,577
2013		61,381				27,739		38,049						127,169
2014								634						634
2015								636						636
Thereafter		70,327		13,325		396,462		60,215		27,000		85,533		652,862
Total	\$	192,205	\$	13,325	\$	560,993	\$	100,590	\$	27,000	\$	175,948	\$	1,070,061

Guarantor on Unsecured Debt

The Operating Partnership is a guarantor on the General Partner s unsecured credit facility, with an aggregate borrowing capacity of \$600 million, a \$100 million term loan, and a \$250 million term loan. At December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the outstanding balance under the unsecured credit facility was \$31.8 million and \$189.3 million, respectively.

On September 30, 2010, the Operating Partnership guaranteed certain outstanding debt securities of the General Partner. These guarantees provide that the Operating Partnership, as primary obligor and not merely as surety, irrevocably and unconditionally guarantees to each holder of the applicable securities and to the trustee and their successors and assigns under the respective indenture (a) the full and punctual payment when due, whether as stated maturity, by acceleration or otherwise, of all obligations of the General Partner under the respective indenture whether for principal or interest on the securities (and premium, if any), and all other monetary obligations of the General Partner under the respective indenture and the terms of the applicable securities and (b) the full and punctual performance within the applicable grace periods of all other obligations of the General Partner under the respective indenture and the terms of a discount of \$1.1 million) and \$123 million (net of a discount of \$3.4 million) outstanding of the General Partner s 3.625% Convertible Senior Notes at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. There was \$167.8 million and \$165.8 million (net of a discount of \$1.9 million) outstanding of the General Partner s 3.00% Convertible Senior Notes at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

6. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Receivable due from the General Partner

The Operating Partnership participates in the General Partner s central cash management program, wherein all the Operating Partnership s cash receipts are remitted to the General Partner and all cash disbursements are funded by the General Partner. In addition, other miscellaneous costs such as administrative expenses are incurred by the General Partner on behalf of the Operating Partnership. As a result of these various transactions between the Operating Partnership and the General Partner, the Operating Partnership had a net receivable balance of \$492.7 million and \$588.2 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, which is reflected as a reduction of capital on the consolidated balance sheets.

Allocation of General and Administrative Expenses

The General Partner performs various general and administrative and other overhead services, including property management, for the Operating Partnership including legal assistance, acquisitions analysis, marketing

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

and advertising, and allocates these expenses to the Operating Partnership first on the basis of direct usage when identifiable, with the remainder allocated based on its pro-rata portion of UDR s apartment homes excluding units held in UDR s taxable REIT subsidiary. During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, the general and administrative expenses and property management expenses, allocated to the Operating Partnership by UDR were \$32.4 million and \$25.9 million and \$28.9 million, respectively, and are included in General and Administrative expenses and Property management expenses on the consolidated statements of operations. In the opinion of management, this method of allocation reflects the level of services received by the Operating Partnership from the General Partner.

Guaranty by the General Partner

The General Partner provided a bottom dollar guaranty to certain limited partners as part of their original contribution to the Operating Partnership. The guaranty protects the tax basis of the underlying contribution and is reflected on the OP unitholder s Schedule K-1 tax form. The guaranty was made in the form of a loan from the General Partner to the Operating Partnership at an annual interest rate of 0.593% and 5.83% at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Interest payments are made monthly and the note is due December 31, 2011. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the note payable due to the General Partner was \$78.3 million and \$71.5 million, respectively.

7. FAIR VALUE OF DERIVATIVES AND FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Fair value is based on the price that would be received to sell an asset or the exit price that would be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. A three-level valuation hierarchy prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs used to measure fair value. The fair value hierarchy consists of three broad levels, which are described below:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the entity has the ability to access.

Level 2 Observable inputs other than prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated with observable market data.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets and liabilities. This includes certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques that use significant unobservable inputs.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The estimated fair values of the Operating Partnership s financial instruments either recorded or disclosed on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 are summarized as follows (*dollars in thousands*):

			Fair Value at December 31, 2010 Using Quoted								
	D	ecember 31,	Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities		Significant Other Dbservable Inputs		Significant Unobservable Inputs				
		2010	(Level 1)		(Level 2)		(Level 3)				
Description: Derivatives- Interest rate contracts(b)	\$	376	\$	\$	376	\$					
Total assets	\$	376	\$	\$	376	\$					
Derivatives- Interest rate											
contracts(b)	\$	5,111	\$	\$	5,111	\$					
Contingent purchase consideration(c)		5,402					5,402				
Secured debt instruments- fixed		5,402					5,402				
rate:(a)		205 750					205 750				
Mortgage notes payable Tax-exempt secured notes		205,750					205,750				
payable		13,885					13,885				
Fannie Mae credit facilities Secured debt instruments-variable rate:(a)		358,896					358,896				
Mortgage notes payable Tax-exempt secured notes		100,590					100,590				
payable		27,000					27,000				
Fannie Mae credit facilities		175,948					175,948				
Total liabilities	\$	892,582	\$	\$	5,111	\$	887,471				

	Τ	December 31,	Fair V Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities	Ĩ	at December 3 Significant Other Observable Inputs	009 Using Significant Unobservable Inputs
	-	2009	(Level 1)		(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Description: Derivatives- Interest rate contracts(b)	\$	1,992	\$	\$	1,992	\$
Total assets	\$	1,992	\$	\$	1,992	\$
Derivatives- Interest rate contracts(b) Secured debt instruments- fixed rate:(a)	\$	3,832	\$	\$	3,832	\$
Mortgage notes payable Tax-exempt secured notes payable Fannie Mae credit facilities Secured debt instruments- variable		239,814 13,540 592,783				239,814 13,540 592,783
rate:(a) Mortgage notes payable Tax-exempt secured notes payable Fannie Mae credit facilities		100,590 27,000 163,028				100,590 27,000 163,028
Total liabilities	\$	1,140,587	\$	\$	3,832	\$ 1,136,755
			136			

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(a) See Note 5, Debt

(b) See Note 8, Derivatives and Hedging Activity

(c) In March 2010, the Operating Partnership accrued a liability of \$6.0 million related to a contingent purchase consideration on one of its properties. The contingent consideration was determined based on the fair market value of the related asset which is estimated using Level 3 inputs utilized in a third party appraisal. In July 2010, the Company paid approximately \$635,000 towards the liability.

Financial Instruments Carried at Fair Value

The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts (or payments) and the discounted expected variable cash payments (or receipts). The variable cash payments (or receipts) are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves. The fair values of interest rate options are determined using the market standard methodology of discounting the future expected cash receipts that would occur if variable interest rates rise above the strike rate of the caps. The variable interest rates used in the calculation of projected receipts on the cap are based on an expectation of future interest rate curves and volatilities.

The Operating Partnership incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty s nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Operating Partnership has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts, and guarantees.

Although the Operating Partnership has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. However, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Operating Partnership has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. As a result, the Operating Partnership has determined that its derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Financial Instruments Not Carried at Fair Value

At December 31, 2010, the fair values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, prepaids, real estate taxes payable, accrued interest payable, security deposits and prepaid rent, distributions payable and accounts payable approximated their carrying values because of the short term nature of these instruments. The estimated fair values of other financial instruments were determined by the Operating Partnership using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop estimated fair values. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Operating Partnership would realize on the disposition of the financial instruments. The use of different

market assumptions or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

The General Partner estimates the fair value of our debt instruments by discounting the remaining cash flows of the debt instrument at a discount rate equal to the replacement market credit spread plus the corresponding treasury yields. Factors considered in determining a replacement market credit spread include general market conditions, borrower specific credit spreads, time remaining to maturity, loan-to-value ratios and collateral quality (Level 3).

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Operating Partnership records impairment losses on long-lived assets used in operations when events and circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired and the undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by the future operation and disposition of those assets are less than the net book value of those assets. Cash flow estimates are based upon historical results adjusted to reflect management s best estimate of future market and operating conditions and our estimated holding periods. The net book value of impaired assets is reduced to fair value. The General Partner s estimates of fair value represent management s estimates based upon Level 3 inputs such as rental rates, operating expenses, growth rates, discount rates, capitalization rates, industry trends, and references to market rates and transactions.

8. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING ACTIVITY

Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives

The Operating Partnership is exposed to certain risk arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The General Partner principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The General Partner manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its debt funding and through the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, the General Partner enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. The General Partner s and the Operating Partnership s derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the General Partner s known or expected cash receipts and its known or expected cash payments principally related to the General Partner s investments and borrowings.

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The General Partner s objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, the General Partner primarily uses interest rate swaps and caps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the General Partner making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount. Interest rate caps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty if interest rate caps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty if interest rates rise above the strike rate on the contract in exchange for an up front premium.

A portion of the General Partner s interest rate derivatives have been allocated to the Operating Partnership based on the General Partner s underlying debt instruments allocated to the Operating Partnership. (See Note 5, *Debt.*)

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualify as cash flow hedges is recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. During the year ended December 31, 2010, such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with existing variable-rate debt. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Operating Partnership recorded less than \$1,000 of ineffectiveness in earnings attributable to reset date and index mismatches between the derivative and the hedged item.

Amounts reported in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) related to derivatives will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the General Partner s variable-rate debt that

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

is allocated to the Operating Partnership. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we estimate that an additional \$5.5 million will be reclassified as an increase to interest expense.

As of December 31, 2010, the Operating Partnership had the following outstanding interest rate derivatives designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk (*dollar amounts in thousands*):

Interest Rate Derivative	Number of Instruments	Notional
Interest rate swaps	6	\$ 261,532
Interest rate caps	2	\$ 108,628

Derivatives not designated as hedges are not speculative and are used to manage the Company s exposure to interest rate movements and other identified risks but do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements of FASB ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging* (formerly SFAS 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities). Changes in the fair value of derivatives not designated in hedging relationships are recorded directly in earnings and resulted in a loss of \$684,000 and a gain of \$538,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, we had the following outstanding derivatives that were not designated as hedges in qualifying hedging relationships (*dollar amounts in thousands*):

Product	Number of Instruments	Notional
Interest rate caps	4	\$ 217,173

Tabular Disclosure of Fair Values of Derivative Instruments on the Balance Sheet

The table below presents the fair value of our derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010.

	As Balance	set Derivatives Fair Value at: December 31, Baland					Liability Derivatives Fair Va Balance December 31				
	Sheet Location	2	2010	2009 Sheet Locati		Sheet Location		2010		2009	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: Interest Rate Products	Other Assets	\$	217	\$	1,046	Other Liabilities	s \$	5,111	\$	3,832	

Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$ 217	\$	1,046		\$ 5,111	\$ 3,832
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: Interest Rate Products	Other Assets	\$ 159	\$	946	Other Liabilities	\$	\$
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		\$ 159	\$	946		\$	\$
			13	9			

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Tabular Disclosure of the Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Operations

The tables below present the effect of the derivative financial instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 (*dollar amounts in thousands*):

					Location of Loss Reclassified from	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI					
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	000		zed in	Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)		into In (Effe Port 2010	ctive ion)				
For the year ended December 31, Interest Rate Products	\$	(4,281)	\$	(2,676)	Interest expense	\$	(6,631)	\$	(4,397)		
Total	\$	(4,281)	\$	(2,676)		\$	(6,631)	\$	(4,397)		

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative							
Instruments	Derivative	2	2010	2009					
For the ended December 31, Interest Rate Products	Other income/(expense)	\$	(684)	\$	538				
Total		\$	(684)	\$	538				

Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

The General Partner has agreements with some of its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where (1) if the General Partner defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then the General Partner could also be declared in default on its derivative obligations; or (2) the General Partner could be declared in default on its derivative obligations if repayment of the underlying indebtedness is accelerated by the lender due to the General Partner s default on the indebtedness.

. . . .

Certain of the General Partner s agreements with its derivative counterparties contain provisions where if there is a change in the General Partner s financial condition that materially changes the General Partner s creditworthiness in an adverse manner, the General Partner may be required to fully collateralize its obligations under the derivative instrument.

The General Partner also has an agreement with a derivative counterparty that incorporates the loan and financial covenant provisions of the General Partner s indebtedness with a lender affiliate of the derivative counterparty. Failure to comply with these covenant provisions would result in the General Partner being in default on any derivative instrument obligations covered by the agreement.

As of December 31, 2010, the fair value of derivatives in a net liability position that were allocated to the Operating Partnership, which includes accrued interest but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk, related to these agreements was \$5.5 million. As of December 31, 2010, the General Partner has not posted any collateral related to these agreements. If the General Partner had breached any of these provisions at December 31, 2010, it would have been required to settle its obligations under the agreements at their termination value of \$5.5 million.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

9. CAPITAL STRUCTURE

General Partnership Units

The General Partner has complete discretion to manage and control the operations and business of the Operating Partnership, which includes but is not limited to the acquisition and disposition of real property, construction of buildings and making capital improvements, and the borrowing of funds from outside lenders or UDR and its subsidiaries to finance such activities. The General Partner can authorize, issue, sell, redeem or purchase any OP unit or securities of the Operating Partnership without the approval of the limited partners. The General Partner can also approve, with regard to the issuances of OP units, the class or one or more series of classes, with designations, preferences, participating, optional or other special rights, powers and duties including rights, powers and duties senior to limited partnership interests without approval of any limited partners. There were 110,883 OP units of general partnership interest at December 31, 2010 and 2009, all of which were held by UDR.

Limited Partnership Units

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, there were 179,798,525 OP units outstanding of limited partnership interest, of which 1,751,671 were Class A Limited Partnership OP units. UDR owned 174,736,557 or 97.2% and 173,811,933 or 96.7% at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The remaining 5,061,968 or 2.8% and 5,986,592 or 3.3% OP units outstanding of limited partnership interest were held by non- affiliated partners at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, of which 1,751,671 were Class A Limited Partnership units.

The limited partners have the right to require the Operating Partnership to redeem all or a portion of the OP units held by the limited partner at a redemption price equal to and in the form of the Cash Amount (as defined in the Operating Partnership Agreement), provided that such OP Units have been outstanding for at least one year. UDR, as general partner of the Operating Partnership may, in its sole discretion, purchase the OP Units by paying to the limited partner either the Cash Amount or the REIT Share Amount (generally one share of common stock of UDR for each OP Unit), as defined in the Operating Partnership Agreement. (Pursuant to the Fourth Amendment to the Operating Partnership Agreement, redemptions related to the Series A Out-Performance Partnership Shares [discussed below] were made on a one for 1.5091 during the period from December 27, 2007 to March 13, 2009.)

The non-affiliated limited partners capital is adjusted to redemption value at the end of each reporting period with the corresponding offset against the UDR limited partner capital account based on the redemption rights note above. The aggregate value upon redemption of the then-outstanding OP units held by limited partners was \$119.1 million, \$98.4 million, and \$101.0 million as of December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively, based on the value of UDR s common stock at each period end. Once each OP unit has been redeemed, the redeeming partner has no right to receive any distributions from the Operating Partnership on or after the date of redemption.

Class A Limited Partnership Units

Class A Partnership units have a cumulative annual, non-compounded preferred return, which is equal to 8% based on a value of \$16.61 per Class A Limited Partnership Unit.

Holders of the Class A Limited Partnership units exclusively possess certain voting rights. The Operating Partnership may not perform the following without approval of the holders of the Class A Partnership units: (i) increase the authorized or issued amount of Class A Partnership Units, (ii) reclassify any other partnership interest into Class A Partnership Units, (iii) create, authorize or issue any obligations or security convertible into or the right to purchase any Class Partnership Units, without the approval of the holders of the Class A

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Partnership units, (iv) enter into a merger or acquisition, or (v) amend or modify the Operating Partnership Agreement that affects the rights, preferences or privileges of the Class A Partnership Units.

Allocation of profits and losses

Profit of the Operating Partnership is allocated in the following order: (i) to the General Partner and the Limited Partners in proportion to and up to the amount of cash distributions made during the year, and (ii) to the General Partner and Limited Partners in accordance with their percentage interests. Losses and depreciation and amortization expenses, non-recourse liabilities are allocated to the General Partner and Limited Partners in accordance with their percentage interests. Losses allocated with their percentage interests. Losses allocated to the Limited Partners are capped to the extent that such an allocation would not cause a deficit in the Limited Partners capital account. Such losses are, therefore, allocated to the General Partner. If any Partner s capital balance were to fall into a deficit any income and gains are allocated to each Partner sufficient to eliminate its negative capital balance.

Out-Performance Programs

Series A Out-Performance Program

In May 2001, the Board of Directors of UDR approved the Series A Out-Performance Program (the Series A Program) pursuant to which certain executive officers and other key officers of UDR (the Participants) were given the opportunity to invest indirectly in UDR by purchasing interests in a limited liability company (the Series A LLC), the only asset of which is a special class of partnership units of the Operating Partnership (Series A Out-Performance Partnership Shares or Series A OPPSs), for an initial investment of \$1.27 million (the full market value of the Series A OPPS, at inception, as determined by an independent investment banking firm). The Series A Program measured the cumulative total return on UDR s common stock over a 28-month period beginning February 2001 and ending May 31, 2003.

The Series A Program was designed to provide participants with the possibility of substantial returns on their investment if the cumulative total return on UDR s common stock, measured by the cumulative amount of dividends paid plus share price appreciation during the measurement period, exceeded the greater of (a) the cumulative total return of the Morgan Stanley REIT Index over the same period; and (b) is at least the equivalent of a 30% total return, or 12% annualized.

At the conclusion of the measurement period on May 31, 2003, UDR s total return satisfied these criteria. As a result, the Series A LLC as holder of the Series A OPPSs received distributions and allocations of income and loss from the Operating Partnership equal to the distributions and allocations that were received on 1,853,204 OP Units, which distributions and allocations were distributed to the participants on a pro rata basis based on the ownership of the Series A LLC.

Series C Out-Performance Program

In May 2005, the stockholders of UDR approved a new Out-Performance Program and the first series of new Out-Performance Partnership Shares under the program are the Series C Out-Performance Units (the Series C Program) pursuant to which certain executive officers and other key employees of UDR (the Series C Participants)

Table of Contents

were given the opportunity to invest indirectly in UDR by purchasing interests in UDR Out-Performance III, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the Series C LLC), the only asset of which is a special class of partnership units of the Operating Partnership (Series C Out-Performance Partnership Shares or Series C OPPSs). The purchase price for the Series C OPPSs was determined by the Compensation Committee of UDR s Board of Directors to be \$750,000, assuming 100% participation, and was based upon the advice of an independent valuation expert. UDR s performance for the Series C Program was measured over the 36-month period from June 1, 2005 to May 30, 2008.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Series C Program was designed to provide participants with the possibility of substantial returns on their investment if the cumulative total return on UDR s common stock, as measured by the cumulative amount of dividends paid plus share price appreciation during the measurement period is at least the equivalent of a 36% total return, or 12% annualized (Minimum Return).

At the conclusion of the measurement period, if UDR s cumulative total return satisfies these criteria, the Series C LLC as holder of the Series C OPPSs will receive (for the indirect benefit of the Series C Participants as holders of interests in the Series C LLC) distributions and allocations of income and loss from the Operating Partnership equal to the distributions and allocations that would be received on the number of OP Units obtained by:

i. determining the amount by which the cumulative total return of UDR s common stock over the measurement period exceeds the Minimum Return (such excess being the Excess Return);

ii. multiplying 2% of the Excess Return by UDR s market capitalization (defined as the average number of shares outstanding over the 36-month period, including common stock, common stock equivalents and OP Units); and

iii. dividing the number obtained in clause (ii) by the market value of one share of UDR s common stock on the valuation date, computed as the volume-weighted average price per day of common stock for the 20 trading days immediately preceding the valuation date.

For the Series C OPPSs, the number determined pursuant to (ii) above is capped at 1% of market capitalization.

If, on the valuation date, the cumulative total return of UDR s common stock does not meet the Minimum Return, then the Series C Participants will forfeit their entire initial investment.

At the conclusion of the measurement period, May 30, 2008, the total cumulative return on UDR s common stock did not meet the minimum return threshold. As a result, there were no payouts under the Series C OPPSs program and the investment made by the holders of the Series C OPPSs was forfeited.

Series D Out-Performance Program

In February 2006, the Board of Directors of UDR approved the Series D Out-Performance Program (the Series D Program) pursuant to which certain executive officers of UDR (the Series D Participants) were given the opportunity to invest indirectly in UDR by purchasing interests in UDR Out-Performance IV, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the Series D LLC), the only asset of which is a special class of partnership units of the Operating Partnership (Series D Out-Performance Partnership Shares or Series D OPPSs). The Series D Program was part of the New Out-Performance Program approved by UDR s stockholders in May 2005. The Series D LLC agreed to sell 830,000 membership units unadjusted for the Special Dividend to certain members of UDR s senior management at a price of \$1.00 per unit. The aggregate purchase price of \$830,000 for the Series D OPPSs, assuming 100% participation, was based upon the advice of an independent valuation expert. The Series D Program measured the cumulative total return on our common stock over the 36-month period beginning January 1, 2006 and ending December 31, 2008.

The Series D Program was designed to provide participants with the possibility of substantial returns on their investment if the cumulative total return on UDR s common stock, as measured by the cumulative amount of dividends paid plus share price appreciation during the measurement period was at least the equivalent of a 36% total return, or 12% annualized (Minimum Return).

At the conclusion of the measurement period, if UDR s cumulative total return satisfied these criteria, the Series D LLC as holder of the Series D OPPSs would receive (for the indirect benefit of the Series D Participants as holders of interests in the Series D LLC) distributions and allocations of income and loss from

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

the Operating Partnership equal to the distributions and allocations that would have been received on the number of OP Units obtained by:

i. determining the amount by which the cumulative total return of UDR s common stock over the measurement period exceeds the Minimum Return (such excess being the Excess Return);

ii. multiplying 2% of the Excess Return by UDR s market capitalization (defined as the average number of shares outstanding over the 36-month period, including common stock, OP Units, common stock equivalents and OP Units); and

iii. dividing the number obtained in (ii) by the market value of one share of UDR s common stock on the valuation date, computed as the volume-weighted average price per day of the common stock for the 20 trading days immediately preceding the valuation date.

For the Series D OPPSs, the number determined pursuant to clause (ii) above was capped at 1% of market capitalization.

At the conclusion of the measurement period, December 31, 2008, the total cumulative return on UDR s common stock did not meet the minimum return threshold. As a result, there were no payouts under the Series D OPPSs program and the investment made by the holders of the Series D OPPSs was forfeited.

Series E Out-Performance Program

In February 2007, the Board of Directors of UDR approved the Series E Out-Performance Program (the Series E Program) pursuant to which certain executive officers of UDR (the Series E Participants) were given the opportunity to invest indirectly in UDR by purchasing interests in UDR Out-Performance V, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the Series E LLC), the only asset of which is a special class of partnership units of the Operating Partnership (Series E Out-Performance Partnership Shares or Series E OPPSs). The Series E Program was part of the New Out-Performance Program approved by UDR s stockholders in May 2005. The Series E LLC agreed to sell 805,000 membership units to certain members of UDR s senior management at a price of \$1.00 per unit. The aggregate purchase price of \$805,000 for the Series E OPPSs, assuming 100% participation, was based upon the advice of an independent valuation expert. The Series E Program measured the cumulative total return on our common stock over the 36-month period beginning January 1, 2007 and ending December 31, 2009.

The Series E Program was designed to provide participants with the possibility of substantial returns on their investment if the cumulative total return on UDR s common stock, as measured by the cumulative amount of dividends paid plus share price appreciation during the measurement period was at least the equivalent of a 36% total return, or 12% annualized (Minimum Return).

At the conclusion of the measurement period, if UDR s cumulative total return satisfied these criteria, the Series E LLC as holder of the Series E OPPSs would receive (for the indirect benefit of the Series E Participants as holders of interests in the Series E LLC) distributions and allocations of income and loss from the Operating Partnership equal to the distributions and allocations that would have been received on the number of OP Units obtained by:

i. determining the amount by which the cumulative total return of UDR s common stock over the measurement period exceeds the Minimum Return (such excess being the Excess Return);

ii. multiplying 2% of the Excess Return by UDR s market capitalization (defined as the average number of shares outstanding over the 36-month period, including common stock, OP Units, common stock equivalents and OP Units); and

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

iii. dividing the number obtained in (ii) by the market value of one share of UDR s common stock on the valuation date, computed as the volume-weighted average price per day of the common stock for the 20 trading days immediately preceding the valuation date.

For the Series E OPPSs, the number determined pursuant to clause (ii) above was capped at 0.5% of market capitalization.

If, on the valuation date, the cumulative total return of UDR s common stock did not meet the Minimum Return, then the Series E Participants would forfeit their entire initial investment.

At the conclusion of the measurement period, December 31, 2009, the total cumulative return on UDR s common stock did not meet the minimum return threshold. As a result, there were no payouts under the Series E OPPSs program and the investment made by the holders of the Series E OPPSs was forfeited.

The following table shows OP Unit activity and OP units outstanding during the three years ended December 31, 2010:

		UDR, Inc.								
	Class A Limited Partner	Limited Partners	Limited Partner	General Partner	Total					
Beginning balance at January 1, 2008 OP redemptions for UDR stock	1,617,815	7,035,746 (1,329,782)	157,407,216 1,329,782	102,410	166,163,187					
Ending balance at December 31, 2008	1,617,815	5,705,964	158,736,998	102,410	166,163,187					
Issuance of units through Special Dividend OP redemptions for UDR stock	133,856	485,986 (1,957,029)	13,117,906 1,957,029	8,473	13,746,221					
Ending balance at December 31, 2009	1,751,671	4,234,921	173,811,933	110,883	179,909,408					
Issuance of units OP redemptions for UDR cash OP redemptions for UDR stock		(19,076) (905,548)	19,076 905,548							
Ending balance at December 31, 2010	1,751,671	3,310,297	174,736,557	110,883	179,909,408					

10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Commitments

Ground Leases

The Operating Partnership owns four communities which are subject to ground leases expiring between 2019 and 2103. The leases are accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC 840, *Leases* (formerly SFAS 13). Future minimum lease payments as of December 31, 2010 are \$4.5 million for each of the years ending December 31, 2011 to 2015, and a total of \$294.4 million for years thereafter. For purposes of our ground lease contracts, the Operating Partnership uses the minimum lease payment, if stated in the agreement. For ground lease agreements where there is a reset provision based on the communities appraised value or consumer price index but does not included a specified minimum lease payment, the Operating Partnership uses the current rent over the remainder of the lease term.

The Operating Partnership incurred \$4.7 million, \$4.6 million, and \$4.3 million of ground rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Contingencies

Litigation and Legal Matters

The Operating Partnership is subject to various legal proceedings and claims arising in the ordinary course of business. The Operating Partnership cannot determine the ultimate liability with respect to such legal proceedings and claims at this time. The General Partner believes that such liability, to the extent not provided for through insurance or otherwise, will not have a material adverse effect on the Operating Partnership s financial condition, results of operations or cash flow.

11. REPORTABLE SEGMENTS

FASB ASC Topic 280, *Segment Reporting* (formerly SFAS 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information) (Topic 280), requires that segment disclosures present the measure(s) used by the chief operating decision maker to decide how to allocate resources and for purposes of assessing such segments performance. The Operating Partnership has the same chief operating decision maker as that of its parent, the General Partner. The chief operating decision maker consists of several members of UDR s executive management team who use several generally accepted industry financial measures to assess the performance of the business for our reportable operating segments.

The Operating Partnership owns and operates multifamily apartment communities throughout the United States that generate rental and other property related income through the leasing of apartment homes to a diverse base of tenants. The primary financial measures of the Operating Partnership s apartment communities are rental income and net operating income (NOI), and are included in the chief operating decision maker s assessment of UDR s performance on a consolidated basis. Rental income represents gross market rent less adjustments for concessions, vacancy loss and bad debt. NOI is defined as total revenues less direct property operating expenses. The chief operating decision maker utilizes NOI as the key measure of segment profit or loss.

The Operating Partnership s two reportable segments are same communities and non-mature/other communities:

Same store communities represent those communities acquired, developed, and stabilized prior to January 1, 2009, and held as of December 31, 2010. A comparison of operating results from the prior year is meaningful as these communities were owned and had stabilized occupancy and operating expenses as of the beginning of the prior year, there is no plan to conduct substantial redevelopment activities, and the community is not held for disposition within the current year. A community is considered to have stabilized occupancy once it achieves 90% occupancy for at least three consecutive months.

Non-mature/other communities represent those communities that were acquired or developed in 2008, 2009 or 2010, sold properties, redevelopment properties, properties classified as real estate held for disposition, condominium conversion properties, joint venture properties, properties managed by third parties, and the non-apartment components of mixed use properties.

Management evaluates the performance of each of our apartment communities on a same community and non-mature/other basis, as well as individually and geographically. This is consistent with the aggregation criteria of

Topic 280 as each of our apartment communities generally has similar economic characteristics, facilities, services, and tenants. Therefore, the Operating Partnership s reportable segments have been aggregated by geography in a manner identical to that which is provided to the chief operating decision maker.

All revenues are from external customers and no single tenant or related group of tenants contributed 10% or more of the Operating Partnership s total revenues during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The accounting policies applicable to the operating segments described above are the same as those described in Note 2, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*. The following table details rental income and NOI for the Operating Partnership s reportable segments for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, and reconciles NOI to income from continuing and discontinued operations per the consolidated statement of operations (*dollars in thousands*):

		2010	December 31, 2009			2008	
Reportable apartment home segment rental income							
Same Store Communities	¢	100 207	¢	205 175	¢	200.002	
Western Region	\$	199,386	\$	205,175	\$	200,993	
Mid-Atlantic Region Southeastern Region		59,696		57,883		49,899	
Southwestern Region		40,846 26,428		41,210 26,669		41,610 24,144	
Non-Mature communities/Other		20,428 24,038		20,009		45,366	
Non-Wature communities/Other		24,030		22,119		45,500	
Total segment and consolidated rental income	\$	350,394	\$	353,056	\$	362,012	
Reportable apartment home segment NOI							
Same Store Communities							
Western Region	\$	136,592	\$	143,940	\$	141,056	
Mid-Atlantic Region		40,893		39,172		33,316	
Southeastern Region		25,659		25,984		26,260	
Southwestern Region		16,175		16,271		14,879	
Non-Mature communities/Other		14,797		15,201		30,529	
Total segment and consolidated NOI		234,116		240,568		246,040	
Reconciling items:							
Non-property income		1,695		5,695		13,106	
Property management		(9,636)		(9,709)		(9,956)	
Other operating expenses		(5,028)		(4,868)		(4,400)	
Depreciation and amortization		(166,480)		(166,773)		(154,584)	
Interest		(52,222)		(53,547)		(47,139)	
General and administrative		(23,291)		(16,886)		(19,081)	
Other depreciation and amortization						(327)	
Net gain on the sale of real estate		152		1,475		475,249	
Non-controlling interests		(41)		(131)		(1,188)	
Net (loss)/income attributable to OP unit holders	\$	(20,735)	\$	(4,176)	\$	497,720	

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table details the assets of the Operating Partnership s reportable segments for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 (*dollars in thousands*):

		December 31,				
•		2010		2009		
Reportable apartment home segment assets						
Same Store Communities						
Western Region	\$	2,138,566	\$	2,124,692		
Mid-Atlantic Region	Ŧ	681,109	Ŧ	675,223		
Southeastern Region		354,861		350,084		
Southwestern Region		254,485		251,778		
Non-Mature communities/Other		277,163		239,111		
Total segment assets		3,706,184		3,640,888		
Accumulated depreciation		(884,083)		(717,892)		
Total segment assets net book value		2,822,101		2,922,996		
Reconciling items:						
Cash and cash equivalents		920		442		
Restricted cash		8,022		6,865		
Deferred financing costs, net		7,465		8,727		
Other assets		22,887		22,037		
Total consolidated assets	\$	2,861,395	\$	2,961,067		

Capital expenditures related to our same communities totaled \$24.6 million, \$30.7 million, and \$43.0 million for the three years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. Capital expenditures related to our non-mature/other communities totaled \$366,000, \$1.2 million, and \$2.8 million for the three years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

Markets included in the above geographic segments are as follows:

i. Western Orange County, San Francisco, Monterey Peninsula, Los Angeles, Seattle, Sacramento, Inland Empire, Portland, and San Diego

- ii. Mid-Atlantic Metropolitan DC and Baltimore
- iii. Southeastern Nashville, Tampa, Jacksonville, and Other Florida
- iv. Southwestern Dallas and Phoenix

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

12. UNAUDITED SUMMARIZED CONSOLIDATED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA

Selected consolidated quarterly financial data for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 is summarized in the table blow (*dollars in thousands, except per share amounts*):

	Three Months Ended										
	March 31,		J	une 30,	Sept	ember 30,	Dece	ember 31,			
2010											
Rental income(a)	\$	86,200	\$	87,095	\$	88,222	\$	88,877			
Loss from continuing operations(b)		(2,993)		(2,589)		(6,863)		(8,401)			
Income from discontinued operations		60		37		27		28			
Loss attributable to OP unitholders(b)		(2,950)		(2,570)		(6,845)		(8,370)			
Loss per OP unit- basic and diluted	\$	(0.02)	\$	(0.01)	\$	(0.04)	\$	(0.05)			
2009											
Rental income(a)	\$	89,860	\$	89,399	\$	87,745	\$	86,052			
Income/(loss) from continuing operations		3,813		1,461		(3,517)		(7,277)			
Income from discontinued operations		49		1,367		146		(87)			
Income/(loss) attributable to OP unitholders		3,861		2,827		(3,371)		(7,493)			
Income/(loss) per OP unit- basic and diluted	\$	0.02	\$	0.02	\$	(0.02)	\$	(0.04)			

(a) Represents rental income from continuing operations

(b) Previously reported amounts have been restated to correct the amount of general and administrative expenses allocated to the Operating Partnership by the General Partner.

Table of Contents

[This page is intentionally left blank.]

Table of Contents

Schedule

UDR, INC. SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE OWNED FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010 (In thousands)

		Initial					Imp	Cost of rovement	S	Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period Buildings							
		and and Land	В	uildings and		Initial equisition	Su	pitalized bsequent to quisition		and and Land	B	& Buildings	C	Total Carrying	Acc	cumulated	D
Encumbranc	ds mp	rovemen t	s mpi	rovement	S	Costs		-	mp	rovement	Smp	provements		Value	Dep	preciation	Const
\$ 47,091	\$	20,477	\$	28,538	\$	49,015	\$	10,872	\$	20,716	\$	39,171	\$	59,887	\$	18,028	
18,270		2,582		25,504		28,086		4,480		3,841		28,725		32,566		12,393	
19,145		7,345		22,624		29,969		7,200		7,477		29,692		37,169		13,220	
31,274		8,055		22,486		30,541		5,863		8,238		28,166		36,404		12,670	
11,326		229		14,129		14,358		1,648		10,727		5,279		16,006		2,523	
55,752		61,535		18,017		79,552		4,624		61,819		22,357		84,176		9,274	
12,659		10,670		7,080		17,750		1,465		10,776		8,439		19,215		3,514	
		12,071		6,187		18,258		2,003		12,139		8,122		20,261		3,035	
49,766		62,516		46,082		108,598		14,632		63,244		59,986		123,230		24,367	
		70,825		24,179		95,004		4,769		70,938		28,835		99,773		11,484	
		29,563		14,283		43,846		4,843		29,685		19,004		48,689		7,843	
103,525		58,785		50,067		108,852		11,106		59,002		60,956		119,958		23,819	
		25,922		60,961		86,883		1,141		25,924		62,100		88,024		9,498	

			Edgar Filir	ng: UDR, Inc	Form 10-ł	<		
	16,663	51,905	68,568	26	16,663	51,931	68,594	1,161
348,808	387,238	392,042	779,280	74,672	401,189	452,763	853,952	152,829
	9,861	44,578	54,439	6,574	10,158	50,855	61,013	17,723
	4,365	16,696	21,061	5,010	4,991	21,080	26,071	9,758
	5,996	24,868	30,864	26,113	6,972	50,005	56,977	13,800
	6,224	23,916	30,140	7,242	6,727	30,655	37,382	13,618
	1,756	7,753	9,509	2,983	3,290	9,202	12,492	2,999
	4,811	10,170	14,981	3,396	5,024	13,353	18,377	5,763
33,130	22,161	40,137	62,298	1,604	22,250	41,652	63,902	13,429
	8,545	14,458	23,003	1,596	8,549	16,050	24,599	4,868
	14,031	30,537	44,568	14,981	14,033	45,516	59,549	10,321
	5,353	18,559	23,912	9,696	5,706	27,902	33,608	4,559
45,106	30,657	83,872	114,529	1,551	30,663	85,417	116,080	13,843
27,000	594	42,515	43,109	1,864	622	44,351	44,973	6,555
105,236	114,354	358,059	472,413	82,610	118,985	436,038	555,023	117,236
55,602	21,953	67,809	89,762	6,708	22,136	74,334	96,470	28,264
	8,414	17,449	25,863	1,754	8,462	19,155	27,617	7,294
	8,181	16,735	24,916	2,221	8,241	18,896	27,137	7,413
	4,499	11,699	16,198	771	4,536	12,433	16,969	4,671
8,896	5,135	12,789	17,924	1,092	5,205	13,811	19,016	5,036
	5,805	6,305	12,110	12,306	6,238	18,178	24,416	9,704
100 000	EE (E1		EE (E1	07 751	(1.105	00.077	142,400	0.000
100,000	55,651		55,651	87,751	61,125	82,277	143,402	9,892
	39,586	36,679	76,265	1,610	39,589	38,286	77,875	6,976

			Edgar Fili	ng: UDR, Inc	Form 10-ł	<		
67,700	48,182	102,364	150,546	105	48,186	102,465	150,651	
232,198	197,406	271,829	469,235	114,318	203,718	379,835	583,553	79,250
9,682	1,453	11,995	13,448	2,518	1,732	14,234	15,966	6,797
10,819	1,178	9,116	10,294	1,788	1,411	10,671	12,082	4,529
8,229	2,486	6,437	8,923	3,961	2,737	10,147	12,884	5,014
7,607	2,174	7,408	9,582	2,971	2,635	9,918	12,553	4,561
	6,474	30,226	36,700	1,613	6,511	31,802	38,313	10,559
17,941	6,179	22,307	28,486	913	6,212	23,187	29,399	7,026
	6,379	24,569	30,948	200	6,384	24,764	31,148	5,214
	6.0.40	20.022	22.220	1.505	6.050	22,410	20.077	- 000
	6,848	30,922	37,770	1,507	6,859	32,418	39,277	5,099
	21,284	89,389	110,673	2,167	21,331	91,509	112,840	13,022
	8,541	45,990	54,531	358	8,507	46,382	54,889	2,666
	27,468	72,036	99,504	6,806	29,649	76,661	106,310	6,844
54,278	90,464	350,395	440,859	24,802	93,968	371,693	465,661	71,331
	3,303	10,877	14,180	5,040	3,774	15,446	19,220	10,030
12 225	0 164	22 604	31,858	4 970	0.571	27 166	26 727	11 250
13,325	9,164	22,694	31,838	4,879	9,571	27,166	36,737	11,350
8,449	6,517	10,718	17,235	1,288	6,618	11,905	18,523	4,351
	22,598	17,182	39,780	4,546	22,627	21,699	44,326	7,840
				151				

UDR, INC. SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE OWNED (Continued) (In thousands)

		Initia	l Costs	Total	Cost of Improvements	W Carried a	mount at hich at Close of riod			
		Land and Land	Buildings and	Initial Acquisition	Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Land and Land	Buildings & Buildings	Total Carrying	Accumulated	
Encu	ımbranc	snprovement	mprovements	Costs	-	nprovement	I mprovements	Value	Depreciation	2
		15,920	35,578	51,498	4,355	15,936	39,917	55,853	11,548	
	21,774	57,502	97,049	154,551	20,108	58,526	116,133	174,659	45,119	
		1,946	8,982	10,928	8,210	3,099	16,039	19,138	6,056	
		888	4,188	5,076	4,142	1,454	7,764	9,218	3,048	
		3,039	12,883	15,922	12,804	5,131	23,595	28,726	9,345	
		1,304	5,115	6,419	5,255	2,058	9,616	11,674	3,715	
n		6,388	23,854	30,242	22,990	9,731	43,501	53,232	16,496	
		2,044	8,028	10,072	8,980	3,190	15,862	19,052	6,269	
		1,329	5,334	6,663	4,942	2,106	9,499	11,605	3,604	
А,		16,938	68,384	85,322	67,323	26,769	125,876	152,645	48,533	
ga	54,308	13,557	3,645	17,202	51,947	22,898	46,251	69,149	18,494	
	26,971	5,810	23,450	29,260	1,817	5,953	25,124	31,077	12,150	
		10,598	34,703	45,301	4,749	10,858	39,192	50,050	15,894	
	81,279	29,965	61,798	91,763	58,513	39,709	110,567	150,276	46,538	

			Edgar Filing	: UDR, Inc	Form 10-K			
15,629	3,618	14,542	18,160	5,277	3,958	19,479	23,437	9,740
30,982	6,772	26,967	33,739	10,885	7,722	36,902	44,624	18,857
46,611	10,390	41,509	51,899	16,162	11,680	56,381	68,061	28,597
10,079	3,273	9,134	12,407	5,391	3,688	14,110	17,798	6,871
15,938	2,916	16,995	19,911	6,257	3,102	23,066	26,168	9,794
17,020	6,014	14,870	20,884	4,693	6,281	19,296	25,577	8,265
43,037	12,203	40,999	53,202	16,341	13,071	56,472	69,543	24,930
933,221	916,460	1,682,064	2,598,524	474,849	967,615	2,105,758	3,073,373	614,363
23,257	2,366	8,387	10,753	5,027	2,765	13,015	15,780	7,627
34,042	3,311	13,283	16,594	6,216	3,626	19,184	22,810	10,660
	6,418	13,411	19,829	19,534	7,423	31,940	39,363	14,032
	11,238	18,790	30,028	6,970	11,518	25,480	36,998	14,241
	5,612	20,086	25,698	6,972	5,814	26,856	32,670	14,404
	263	11,189	11,452	15,457	8,275	18,634	26,909	8,111
	136	7,724	7,860	985	6,871	1,974	8,845	966
	874	38,209	39,083	9,218	26,194	22,107	48,301	10,258
	129	3,724	3,853	435	2,725	1,563	4,288	737
28,681	13,753	36,059	49,812	16,131	14,497	51,446	65,943	18,594
	14,357	51,577	65,934	2,335	14,360	53,909	68,269	11,806
	1,137	103,676	104,813	2,676	1,175	106,314	107,489	19,073
69,493	33,011	107,051	140,062	4,689	32,827	111,924	144,751	17,226
	21,606	66,765	88,371	804	21,616	67,559	89,175	10,740
38,699	13,290		13,290	65,362	24,612	54,040	78,652	1,599
Table of	Contonto							202

N

	194,172	127,501	499,931	627,432	162,811	184,298	605,945	790,243	160,074
	16,582	1,565	7,007	8,572	3,538	1,794	10,316	12,110	6,296
	21,308	2,361	9,384	11,745	5,611	2,881	14,475	17,356	9,211
		2,920	9,099	12,019	22,044	5,189	28,874	34,063	15,546
hip	10,683	903	4,669	5,572	3,220	1,138	7,654	8,792	4,457
	15,242	2,666	10,109	12,775	3,484	2,845	13,414	16,259	8,566
	17,602	4,145	17,150	21,295	4,230	4,490	21,035	25,525	10,757
	18,043	4,408	24,692	29,100	5,478	4,549	30,029	34,578	12,624
		4,653	23,952	28,605	5,342	4,994	28,953	33,947	12,997
		1,620	6,791	8,411	819	1,629	7,601	9,230	3,228
	32,000	11,750	45,590	57,340	3,036	11,808	48,568	60,376	8,417
		4,669	40,630	45,299	150	4,669	40,780	45,449	865
	131,460	41,660	199,073	240,733	56,952	45,986	251,699	297,685	92,964
		1,965	12,204	14,169	5,155	2,605	16,719	19,324	11,597
					4,562 152	187	4,375	4,562	2,552

UDR, INC. SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE OWNED (Continued) (In thousands)

Initial	Costs	Total	Cost of Improvements	W Carried a	hich at Close of riod		
Land and Land	Buildings and	Initial Acquisition	Subsequent to	Land and Land	Buildings & Buildings	Total Carrying	Accumulated
s nprovemen t	mprovements	Costs	-	nprovement	<i>Improvements</i>	Value	Depreciation Co
1,979	11,524	13,503	8,224	2,873	18,854	21,727	11,134
			18,906	1,701	17,205	18,906	9,761
826	5,148	5,974	28,589	3,302	31,261	34,563	18,433
2,059	15,049	17,108	11,704	4,453	24,359	28,812	13,795
1,844	13,238	15,082	6,321	2,249	19,154	21,403	9,281
474	30,997	31,471	6,276	3,729	34,018	37,747	15,035
9,147	88,160	97,307	89,737	21,099	165,945	187,044	91,588
617	3,400	4,017	9,311	1,157	12,171	13,328	10,205
798	7,209	8,007	8,493	1,996	14,504	16,500	11,249
155	5,317	5,472	5,404	600	10,276	10,876	7,384
780	8,862	9,642	7,892	1,337	16,197	17,534	9,911
1,824	4,107	5,931	5,344	2,154	9,121	11,275	5,930
1,089	8,582	9,671	5,217	1,489	13,399	14,888	7,029
5,263	37,477	42,740	41,661	8,733	75,668	84,401	51,708
5,591	91,027	96,618	48	5,591	91,075	96,666	1,629
	Land and Land sprovement 1,979 826 2,059 1,844 474 9,147 617 798 155 780 1,824 1,089 5,263	Landandsaprovements1,97911,5248265,1482,05915,0491,84413,23847430,9979,14788,1606173,4007987,2091555,3177808,8621,8244,1071,0898,5825,26337,477	Land and LandBuildings andInitial AcquisitionsnprovementsCosts1,97911,52413,5038265,1485,9742,05915,04917,1081,84413,23815,08247430,99731,4719,14788,16097,3076173,4004,0177987,2098,0071555,3175,4727808,8629,6421,8244,1075,9311,0898,5829,6715,26337,47742,740	Initial Costs Total Improvements Land and Land Buildings and Arguisition (to Acquisition to Acquisition (to Acquisition Acquisition Acquisi Acquisi Acquisition Acquisition Acquisi Acquisition Acquisitio	Cost of Initial Costs Total Improvements We Carried Subsequent to Acquisition Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition Land and Earlied Subsequent to Acquisition Land and Acquisition Land and Subsequent to Acquisition Land and Acquisition Land and Acquisition <thland and<br="">Acquisition <thland and<br="">Acquisi</thland></thland>	Initial Formation Total Improvements Carried Energy in the second	Cost of Function of the problem of the proble

			Edgar Filing	: UDR, Inc	Form 10-K				
25,375	6,039	34,869	40,908	118	6,039	34,987	41,026		
25,375	11,630	125,896	137,526	166	11,630	126,062	137,692	1,629	
	2,731	5,300	8,031	4,359	3,069	9,321	12,390	5,398	
	3,194	13,505	16,699	19,423	5,095	31,027	36,122	17,608	
	650	4,962	5,612	3,453	916	8,149	9,065	4,654	
	710	6,118	6,828	4,844	958	10,714	11,672	6,478	
	1,182	4,544	5,726	3,786	1,433	8,079	9,512	4,866	
32,126	4,719		4,719	44,736	12,128	37,327	49,455	2,153	
32,126	13,186	34,429	47,615	80,601	23,599	104,617	128,216	41,157	
450,953	208,387	984,966	1,193,353	431,928	295,345	1,329,936	1,625,281	439,120	
ON									
	2,176	4,710	6,886	7,000	3,042	10,844	13,886	8,146	
	1,780	2,458	4,238	16,302	3,131	17,409	20,540	12,030	
21,149	1,395	10,647	12,042	6,953	2,035	16,960	18,995	10,768	
22,203	2,462	10,942	13,404	5,786	3,408	15,782	19,190	9,299	
	2,893	9,254	12,147	8,328	3,791	16,684	20,475	10,291	
	1,791	7,166	8,957	6,778	2,451	13,284	15,735	7,877	
7,330	2,242	7,553	9,795	5,508	2,648	12,655	15,303	6,572	
	7,702	23,150	30,852	10,933	8,520	33,265	41,785	17,432	
	10,869	36,858	47,727	4,266	10,970	41,023	51,993	15,769	
	7,231	19,897	27,128	9,684	9,131	27,681	36,812	15,688	
	5,775	17,236	23,011	2,051	8,525	16,537	25,062	5,836	
End	6,611	37,663	44,274	10,012	14,983	39,303	54,286	5,958	
50,682	52,927	187,534	240,461	93,601	72,635	261,427	334,062	125,666	

			E	dgar Filing: l	JDR, Inc Fo	orm 10-K			
las		2,895	6,456	9,351	21,457	5,204	25,604	30,808	16,542
15	5,640	1,533	11,076	12,609	18,430	3,150	27,889	31,039	19,087
		757	6,608	7,365	13,580	1,803	19,142	20,945	12,840
20),622	1,653	9,042	10,695	7,290	2,332	15,653	17,985	9,719
		1,846	4,155	6,001	6,771	2,532	10,240	12,772	7,113
25	5,048	2,804	12,349	15,153	7,243	3,623	18,773	22,396	10,776
		2,185	8,639	10,824	7,426	2,657	15,593	18,250	8,068
		1,282	6,498	7,780	5,879	1,656	12,003	13,659	6,564
26	5,699	3,872	17,538	21,410	1,586	4,039	18,957	22,996	10,220
		6,692	12,860	19,552	10,341	6,903	22,990	29,893	12,798
		12,172	37,143	49,315	985	12,194	38,106	50,300	8,131
88	3,009	37,691	132,364	170,055	100,988	46,093	224,950	271,043	121,858
		1,148	5,867	7,015	7,615	1,669	12,961	14,630	8,722
		1,469	11,584	13,053	8,107 153	1,959	19,201	21,160	10,044

UDR, INC. SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE OWNED (Continued) (In thousands)

	Initial	Costs	Total I	Cost of	Wh Carried a	mount at lich It Close of riod			
	Land and Land	Buildings	Initial	Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition		Buildings & Buildings	Total Carrying	Accumulated	
Encumbranc	nprovemen k	mprovements	Costs	-	nprovemen k	mprovements	Value	Depreciation	Cor
	2,117		2,117	31,902	4,214	29,805	34,019	14,760	
	708	5,461	6,169	3,594	998	8,765	9,763	5,165	
	766	7,714	8,480	3,329	1,141	10,668	11,809	5,781	
	1,460	16,015	17,475	3,284	1,799	18,960	20,759	8,339	
25,294	3,181	24,674	27,855	4,621	3,304	29,172	32,476	12,534	
	4,583	16,293	20,876	14,921	5,485	30,312	35,797	11,216	
25,294	15,432	87,608	103,040	77,373	20,569	159,844	180,413	76,561	
17,930	1,634	11,227	12,861	12,147	2,683	22,325	25,008	13,885	
	1,835	14,865	16,700	10,521	3,085	24,136	27,221	15,014	
	4,034	11,193	15,227	11,329	5,130	21,426	26,556	13,906	
	4,288	33,102	37,390	4,902	4,474	37,818	42,292	14,071	
	3,179	30,711	33,890	1,573	3,191	32,272	35,463	7,030	
17,930	14,970	101,098	116,068	40,472	18,563	137,977	156,540	63,906	
	790	4,767	5,557	5,110	1,606	9,061	10,667	6,059	
	766	5,407	6,173	2,875	1,101	7,947	9,048	4,531	
40,133	15,968	56,401	72,369	3,941	16,128	60,182	76,310	22,492]

d

	3,37	3 7,096	10,469	5,578	3,792	12,255	16,047	9,479
40,1	33 20,89	7 73,671	94,568	17,504	22,627	89,445	112,072	42,561
RN								
222,0	48 141,91	7 582,275	724,192	329,938	180,487	873,643	1,054,130	430,552
GION								
	2,15	1 8,168	10,319	29,371	5,877	33,813	39,690	13,667
31,1	75 24,03	6 32,951	56,987	5,544	24,213	38,318	62,531	10,981
	2,13	2 5,367	7,499	854	6,854	1,499	8,353	793
	6,68	8 3,354	10,042	521	8,225	2,338	10,563	1,410
	7,90	3 554	8,457	811	8,068	1,200	9,268	504
7,3	41 10,44	0 634	11,074	1,199	10,792	1,481	12,273	687
6,8	16 13,22	1 2,507	15,728	1,351	14,803	2,276	17,079	1,343
	2,35	0	2,350	8,307	2,364	8,293	10,657	2,179
17,7	04 2,34	2	2,342	16,310	4,691	13,961	18,652	3,955
59,3	00 16,88	2 100,102	116,984	3,325	16,991	103,318	120,309	17,609
12,7	91 1,91	8	1,918	14,895	4,156	12,657	16,813	1,982
	5,55	6 22,664	28,220	84	5,623	22,681	28,304	1,939
47,0	56 11,72	0	11,720	54,251	20,929	45,042	65,971	4,424
29,0	82 7,37	4 3,367	10,741	54,905	14,556	51,090	65,646	2,693
211,2	65 114,71	3 179,668	294,381	191,728	148,142	337,967	486,109	64,166
20,9	77 2,72	8	2,728	20,710	5,032	18,406	23,438	12,303
	1,27	4 26,392	27,666	3,885	1,673	29,878	31,551	12,835
12,0	35 1,81	0 12,964	14,774	1,883	2,014	14,643	16,657	8,361
	5,09	2 11,998	17,090	6,688	4,708	19,070	23,778	11,211

					154				
ERN	335,177	153,021	333,685	486,706	326,972	205,124	608,554	813,678	145,185
	36,701	10,064	11,545	21,609	43,609	17,839	47,379	65,218	11,707
	19,201	3,459		3,459	20,354	7,302	16,511	23,813	3,582
	17,500	3,600		3,600	18,626	6,258	15,968	22,226	4,073
		3,005	11,545	14,550	4,629	4,279	14,900	19,179	4,052
	25,079	7,185	69,525	76,710	15,651	7,588	84,773	92,361	17,756
	25,079	4,034	55,256	59,290	891	4,053	56,128	60,181	8,039
		3,151	14,269	17,420	14,760	3,535	28,645	32,180	9,717
	62,132	21,059	72,947	94,006	75,984	31,555	138,435	169,990	51,556
lage	29,120	7,930		7,930	41,291	15,157	34,064	49,221	3,631
		2,225	21,593	23,818	1,527	2,971	22,374	25,345	3,215

Table of Contents

UDR, INC. SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE OWNED (Continued) (In thousands)

Initial Land and Land	Costs Buildings and	Total Initial Acquisition	Cost of Improvements Capitalized Subsequent to		unt at Which Close of Period Buildings & Buildings	Total Carrying	Accumula
prances Improvements	Improvements	Costs	Acquisition Costs	Improvements	s Improvements	Value	Deprecia
6,510	3,774	10,284	16,700	6,510	20,474	26,984	
23,625		23,625	729	23,654	700	24,354	
288		288	605	853	40	893	
31,747		31,747	13,934	31,392	14,289	45,681	
62,170	3,774	65,944	31,968	62,409	35,503	97,912	
11,862	93	11,955	126	11,862	219	12,081	
1,524		1,524	921	1,300	1,145	2,445	
17,298		17,298	6,273	16,385	7,186	23,571	
1,710		1,710	762	1,511	961	2,472	(1,
11,055		11,055	4,091	11,055	4,091	15,146	
15,664	19,607	35,271	40,903	46,038	30,136	76,174	

	59,113	19,700	78,813	53,076	88,151	43,738	131,889	(1,
	121,283	23,474	144,757	85,044	150,560	79,241	229,801	(1
	1,624		1,624		1,104	520	1,624	
	34	1,598	1,632	1,176	1,172	1,636	2,808	
	1,407	4,498	5,905	900	1,380	5,425	6,805	
	4,138	7,093	11,231	9,459	6,928	13,762	20,690	1,
22,271	24,377	7,517	31,894	(61)	29,920	1,913	31,833	
	7,266	9,702	16,968	2,097	10,750	8,315	19,065	6
22,271	38,846	30,408	69,254	13,571	51,254	31,571	82,825	10
				2,259	5	2,254	2,259	
				2,259	5	2,254	2,259	
22,271	38,846	30,408	69,254	15,830	51,259	33,825	85,084	10
63,670	\$ 1,579,914	\$ 3,636,872	\$ 5,216,786	\$ 1,664,561	\$ 1,850,390	\$ 5,030,957	\$ 6,881,347	\$ 1,638
	Table of Contents 3							301

(a) Date of construction or date of last major renovation.

(b) Includes unallocated accruals and capital expenditures.

The aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes was approximately \$6.2 billion at December 31, 2010.

The depreciable life for all buildings is 35 years.

UDR, INC. SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE OWNED (Continued) (In thousands)

3-YEAR ROLLFORWARD OF REAL ESTATE OWNED AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

The following is a reconciliation of the carrying amount of total real estate owned at December 31, (in thousands):

	2010	2009	2008
Balance at beginning of the year	\$ 6,315,047	\$ 5,831,753	\$ 5,956,481
Real estate acquired	425,825	28,220	1,014,232
Real estate acquired through JV consolidation		185,929	
Capital expenditures and development	167,986	273,552	297,565
Real estate sold	(20,328)		(1,436,525)
Retirement of fully depreciated assets	(7,183)	(4,407)	
Balance at end of the year	\$ 6,881,347	\$ 6,315,047	\$ 5,831,753

The following is a reconciliation of total accumulated depreciation for real estate owned at December 31:

	2010	2009	2008
Balance at beginning of the year Depreciation expense for the year Accumulated depreciation on sales Accumulated depreciation on retirements	\$ 1,351,293 297,889 (3,673) (7,183)	\$ 1,078,689 277,011 (4,407)	\$ 1,371,759 245,898 (538,968)
Balance at end of year	\$ 1,638,326	\$ 1,351,293	\$ 1,078,689

Schedule

UNITED DOMINION REALTY, L.P. SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE OWNED FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010 (In thousands)

Cost of

Gross Amount at
ImprovementsGross Amount at
WhichInitialCarried at Close of
CostsCostsTotal CapitalizedPeriod