

ROYAL GOLD INC
Form 10-K/A
November 06, 2008

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-K/A
Amendment No. 2**

(Mark One)

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2008

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Transition Period From to

Commission File Number 001-13357

Royal Gold, Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

**Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction
of Incorporation or Organization)**

**84-0835164
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)**

**1660 Wynkoop Street, Suite 1000
Denver, Colorado
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)**

**80202
(Zip Code)**

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (303) 573-1660

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common stock, \$0.01 par value	NASDAQ Global Select Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements

Edgar Filing: ROYAL GOLD INC - Form 10-K/A

incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer,
or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting
company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange
Act). Yes No

Aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based upon the closing sale price of
Royal Gold on December 31, 2007, as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market was \$811.7 million. As of
October 31, 2008, there were 33,926,495 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.01 par value, issued and
outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Proxy Statement for the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders scheduled to be held on
November 5, 2008, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 23, 2008, are incorporated by
reference into Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Explanatory Note

Royal Gold, Inc. (the Company, we, us or our) is filing this Amendment No. 2 on Form 10-K/A (this Second Amended Form 10-K) to amend its annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on August 20, 2008, as amended by Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-K/A filed with the SEC on August 22, 2008 (the Original Form 10-K).

This Second Amended Form 10-K is being filed to amend and restate the Company's previously issued consolidated financial statements as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 and for each of the quarters comprising the fiscal year. This amendment and restatement is required to adjust the consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 because of an overpayment, principally during our fiscal year 2008, of \$3.1 million in royalties by the operator of the Cortez project, which was not identified by the operator or by our procedures and controls existing when the overpayments were received and recognized as royalty revenue by the Company. The effect of the restatement is to reduce royalty revenues by \$3.1 million and net income by \$2.1 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. The royalty overpayment and restatement is discussed in more detail in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Consolidated Financial Position and Results of Operations and in Note 20 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

For the convenience of the reader, this Second Amendment restates the Original Form 10-K in its entirety. This Second Amended Form 10-K amends and the following items included in the Original Form 10-K:

Part I Item 1 Business;

Part I Item 1A Risk Factors;

Part II Item 6 Selected Financial Data;

Part II Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations;

Part II Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk;

Part II Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data;

Part II Item 9A Controls and Procedures;

Part III (to indicate that information is incorporated by reference from the Company's proxy statement for its 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders filed on September 23, 2008); and

Part IV Item 15 Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

Aside from the foregoing items, no other items are amended or modified in this Second Amended Form 10-K. Other than the restatement, this Second Amended Form 10-K does not reflect events occurring after the date of the Original Form 10-K or modify or update those disclosures as affected by subsequent events. Such events include, among others, the events described in the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K. This Second Amended Form 10-K should be read in conjunction with our other reports filed with the SEC subsequent to June 30, 2008 pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

INDEX

	PAGE
<u>PART I.</u>	
<u>ITEM 1. Business</u>	1
<u>ITEM 1A. Risk Factors</u>	7
<u>ITEM 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	16
<u>ITEM 2. Properties</u>	16
<u>ITEM 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	34
<u>ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	34
<u>PART II.</u>	
<u>ITEM 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	34
<u>ITEM 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	36
<u>ITEM 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Consolidated Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	38
<u>ITEM 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	56
<u>ITEM 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	56
<u>ITEM 9. Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	96
<u>ITEM 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	96
<u>ITEM 9B. Other Information</u>	98
<u>PART III.</u>	
<u>ITEM 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	98
<u>ITEM 11. Executive Compensation</u>	99
<u>ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	99
<u>ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>	99
<u>ITEM 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	99

PART IV.

ITEM 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules 100

SIGNATURES 101

EXHIBIT INDEX 102

This document (including information incorporated herein by reference) contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which involve a degree of risk and uncertainty due to various factors affecting Royal Gold, Inc. and its subsidiaries. For a discussion of some of these factors, see the discussion in Item 1A, Risk Factors, of this report. In addition, please see our note about forward-looking statements included in Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Consolidated Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A), of this report.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

Royal Gold, Inc. (Royal Gold , the Company , we , us , or our), together with its subsidiaries, is engaged in the business of acquiring and managing precious metals royalties. Royalties are passive (non-operating) interests in mining projects that provide the right to revenue or production from the project after deducting specified costs, if any.

We seek to acquire existing royalties or to finance projects that are in production or near production in exchange for royalty interests. We also fund exploration on properties thought to contain precious metals and seek to obtain royalties and other carried ownership interests in such properties through the subsequent transfer of operating interests to other mining companies. Substantially all of our revenues are and will be expected to be derived from royalty interests. We do not conduct mining operations at this time. During the 2008 fiscal year, we focused on the management of our existing royalty interests, the acquisition of royalty interests through asset and corporate transactions, and the creation of royalty interests through financing and strategic exploration alliances.

As discussed in further detail throughout this report, some significant developments to our business during fiscal year 2008 were as follows:

- (1) Our royalty revenues increased 37% to \$66.3 million, compared with \$48.4 million during fiscal year 2007;
- (2) High River Gold Mines Ltd. (High River) commenced production at the Taparko mine, which resulted in approximately \$7.4 million in additional royalty revenue to the Company during the fiscal year;
- (3) We completed the acquisition of Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corp. (Battle Mountain). As part of the acquisition, we acquired thirteen royalty interests in various stages of production, development or exploration. The acquired Battle Mountain producing royalty interests contributed approximately \$2.8 million in additional royalty revenue to the Company during the fiscal year;
- (4) In addition to the royalties acquired as part of the Battle Mountain acquisition, we acquired the following royalties during our fiscal year 2008:
 - A 2.0% net smelter return (NSR) royalty on the Marigold mine, located on the Battle Mountain-Eureka trend in Nevada, and operated by Goldcorp Inc. (Goldcorp);
 - A 2.0-4.0% sliding-scale NSR royalty and a 10.0% net profits interest (NPI) royalty on the El Chanate mine, located in Sonora, Mexico, and operated by Capital Gold, Inc. (Capital Gold); and

A 1.5% NSR royalty on gold produced from the Benso concession in the Western Region of the Republic of Ghana, West Africa, and controlled by Golden Star Resources Ltd. (Golden Star).

- (5) In November 2007, we completed an offering of 1,150,000 shares of 7.25% mandatory convertible preferred stock (Preferred Stock), resulting in net proceeds to us of approximately \$111.1 million. In March 2008, we converted all of the issued and outstanding shares of the Preferred Stock into 3,977,683 shares of our common stock in connection with the conversion of the Preferred Stock.
- (6) We repurchased 196,986 shares of our common stock pursuant to an authorized share repurchase program for a total cost of approximately \$5.5 million; and
- (7) We increased our calendar year dividend to \$0.28 per basic share, which is paid in quarterly installments throughout calendar 2008. This represents an 8% increase compared with the dividend paid during calendar year 2007.

Recent Developments

Agreement to acquire Barrick Gold Corporation royalty portfolio

On July 30, 2008, we entered into a definitive agreement to acquire a portfolio of royalties from Barrick Gold Corporation (Barrick) in exchange for net cash consideration of \$150 million and a restructuring of certain Royal Gold royalty positions at the Cortez Pipeline Mining Complex (Cortez), which is operated by Barrick. The Barrick royalty portfolio consists of royalties on 77 properties, including eight producing royalties, 2 development stage royalties and 67 exploration stage royalties. Eighteen of the exploration stage projects are considered to be in an evaluation stage as these properties are engaged in the search for reserves but currently contain additional mineralized material. Over 75% of the portfolio consists of precious metals royalties. The purchase price for the acquisition will be paid from cash on hand at closing. The acquisition is subject to customary closing conditions and is expected to close on October 1, 2008. Please refer to Item 7, MD&A, within this report for a further discussion of this transaction.

Certain Defined Terms

The Company's royalty portfolio contains several different types of royalties, which are defined as follows:

Royalty the right to receive a percentage or other denomination of mineral production from a resource extraction operation.

Gross Smelter Return (GSR) Royalty a defined percentage of the gross revenue from a resource extraction operation, less, if applicable, certain contract-defined costs paid by or charged to the operator.

Net Smelter Return (NSR) Royalty a defined percentage of the gross revenue from a resource extraction operation, less a proportionate share of incidental transportation, insurance, refining and smelting costs.

Net Value Royalty (NVR) a defined percentage of the gross revenue from a resource extraction operation, less certain contract-defined transportation costs, milling costs and taxes.

Net Profits Interest Royalty (NPI) a defined percentage of the gross revenue from a resource extraction operation, after recovery of certain contract-defined pre-production costs, and after deduction of certain contract-defined mining, milling, processing, transportation, administrative, marketing and other costs.

Our Producing Royalty Interests

Our producing royalty interests are shown in the following table. Please see Item 2, Properties, of this report for further discussion on our principal producing royalty interests.

Mine	Location	Operator	Royalty (Gold unless otherwise stated)
Cortez	Nevada, USA	Barrick	GSR1: 0.40%-5.0% sliding- scale GSR GSR2 ⁽¹⁾ : 0.72%-9.0% sliding- scale GSR GSR3 ⁽¹⁾ : 0.71% GSR NVR1 ⁽¹⁾ : 0.39% NVR
Robinson	Nevada, USA	Quadra Mining Ltd. (Quadra)	3.0% NSR (copper, gold, silver, molybdenum)
Taparko ⁽²⁾	Burkina Faso, West Africa	High River	15% GSR (TB-GSR1) and a 0%-10% sliding-scale GSR (TB-GSR2)
Leeville Mining Complex (Leeville North and Leeville South)	Nevada, USA	Newmont Mining Corporation (Newmont)	1.8% NSR
SJ Claims Goldstrike	Nevada, USA	Barrick	0.9% NSR
Troy ⁽³⁾	Montana, USA	Revelt Minerals, Inc. (Revelt)	7.0% GSR (silver and copper)
Bald Mountain	Nevada, USA	Barrick	1.75%-3.5% sliding-scale NSR
Mulatos ⁽⁴⁾	Sonora, Mexico	Alamos Gold, Inc. (Alamos)	0.30%-1.5% sliding-scale NSR
El Chanate ⁽⁵⁾	Sonora, Mexico	Capital Gold	2.0%-4.0% sliding-scale NSR; 10.0% NPI
Martha	Santa Cruz Province, Argentina	Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation (Coeur d Alene)	2.0% NSR (silver)
Don Mario Lower Mineralized Zone ⁽⁶⁾	Chiquitos Province, Bolivia	Orvana Minerals Corp. (Orvana)	3.0% NSR (gold, silver and copper)
Williams ⁽⁶⁾	Ontario, Canada	Barrick (50%) and Teck Cominco Limited (50%)	0.72% NSR
Peñasquito (oxide) ⁽⁷⁾	Zacatecas, Mexico	Goldcorp	2.0% NSR (gold and silver)

payments of
\$35 million
have been made
to Royal Gold,
whichever
occurs first.
TB-GSR2 will
remain in effect
until

the termination of TB-GSR1. As of June 30, 2008, we have recognized approximately \$4.7 million in royalty revenue associated with TB-GSR1, which is attributable to cumulative production of 36,078 ounces of gold. Portions of our royalty interests at the Taparko mine are classified as development stage and exploration stage as shown below.

- (3) Royalty will extend until either cumulative production of approximately 9.9 million ounces of silver and 84.7 million pounds of copper, or we receive \$10.5 million in cumulative payments, whichever occurs first. As of June 30, 2008, we have recognized royalty revenue associated with the GSR royalty totaling \$8.0 million, which is attributable to cumulative production of approximately 3.2 million ounces

of silver and approximately 33.0 million pounds of copper.

- (4) Royalty is capped at 2.0 million gold ounces of production. Approximately 248,000 cumulative ounces of gold have been produced as of June 30, 2008.
- (5) Acquired on February 20, 2008. Please refer to Item 7, MD&A, for further discussion on these royalty acquisitions.
- (6) Acquired on October 24, 2007, as part of the acquisition of Battle Mountain. Please refer to Item 7, MD&A, for a further discussion on the acquisition of Battle Mountain.
- (7) The Peñasquito project consists of oxide and sulfide portions. The sulfide portion is classified as development stage as shown below.

Our Development Stage Royalty Interests

We also own the following royalty interests that are currently in development stage and are not yet in production. Please see Item 2, Properties, of this report for further discussion on our principal development stage royalty interests.

Mine	Location	Operator	Royalty (Gold unless otherwise stated)
Peñasquito (sulfide circuit)	Zacatecas, Mexico	Goldcorp	2.0% NSR (gold, silver, lead and zinc)

Edgar Filing: ROYAL GOLD INC - Form 10-K/A

Dolores ⁽¹⁾	Chihuahua, Mexico	Minefinders Corporation, Ltd. (Minefinders)	1.25% NSR 2.0% NSR (gold and silver)
Taparko	Burkina Faso, West Africa	High River	2.0% GSR (TB-GSR3)
Pascua-Lama	Region III, Chile	Barrick	0.16%-1.08% sliding-scale NSR 0.22% fixed rate royalty (copper)
Gold Hill	Nevada, USA	Kinross Gold Corporation (Kinross) (50%), Barrick (50%)	1.0%-2.0% sliding-scale NSR
Troy	Montana, USA	Revelt	6.1% GSR 2.0% GSR
Don Mario Upper Mineralized Zone ⁽¹⁾	Chiquitos Province, Bolivia	Orvana	3.0% NSR
Marigold ⁽²⁾	Nevada, USA	Goldcorp	2.0% NSR
Benso ⁽³⁾	Republic of Ghana, West Africa	Golden Star Resources Ltd. (Golden Star)	1.5% NSR

(1) Acquired on October 24, 2007, as part of the acquisition of Battle Mountain. Please refer to Item 7, MD&A, for a further discussion on the acquisition of Battle Mountain.

(2) Acquired on February 20, 2008. Please refer to Item 7, MD&A, for a further discussion on this royalty acquisition.

(3) Acquired on December 7, 2007. Please refer to Item 7, MD&A, for

a further discussion
on this royalty
acquisition.

Our Exploration Stage Royalty Interests

In addition, we own royalty interests in the following exploration stage projects. None of these exploration stage projects contains proven and probable reserves as of December 31, 2007. Please see Item 2, Properties, of this report for further discussion regarding recent developments at some of our exploration stage royalty interests.

Property	Location	Royalty	Owned or Controlled By
Santa Cruz Province	Santa Cruz Province, Argentina	2.0% NSR	Hidefield Gold PLC
Night Hawk Lake ⁽¹⁾	Ontario, Canada	2.5% NSR	Selkirk Metals Corporation (Selkirk) (40%), East West Resource Corporation (East West) (40%), Trillium North Minerals (20%)
Follansbee ⁽²⁾	Ontario, Canada	2.0% NSR	Goldcorp (51%), Premier Gold (49%)
Joe Mann ⁽¹⁾	Quebec, Canada	1.8% to 3.6% sliding-scale NSR; and 2.0% NSR	Gold Bullion Development Corp. (Gold Bullion)
Taparko	Burkina Faso, West Africa	0.75% milling fee royalty (TB-MR1)	High River
Seguenega (Segá ⁽¹⁾)	Burkina Faso, West Africa	3.0% NSR	Orezone Resources Inc. (Orezone)
Marmato Properties ⁽¹⁾	Marmato District, Colombia	5.0% NSR	Mineros Nacionales S.A. (Mineros)
Kettukuusikko	Lapland, Finland	2.0% NSR	Taranis Resources, Inc. (Taranis)
Vueltas del Rio ⁽¹⁾	Western Honduras	2.0% NSR	Lundin Mining Corporation (Lundin)
Lluvia de Oro ⁽¹⁾	Sonora, Mexico	4.0% NSR	Columbia Metals Corporation Ltd. (Columbia Metals)
Nieves	Zacatecas, Mexico	2.0% NSR	Quaterra Resources Inc.
San Jeronimo	Zacatecas, Mexico	2.0% NSR	Goldcorp
Long Valley	California, USA	1.0% NSR	Vista Gold Corporation
Rock Creek ⁽³⁾	Montana, USA	1.0% NSR	Revett Minerals
Mule Canyon	Nevada, USA	5.0% NSR	Newmont
Buckhorn South	Nevada, USA	16.5% NPI	Barrick
Ferris/Cooks Creek	Nevada, USA	1.5% NVR	Barrick

Edgar Filing: ROYAL GOLD INC - Form 10-K/A

Horse Mountain	Nevada, USA	0.25% NVR	Barrick
Simon Creek	Nevada, USA	1.0% NSR	Barrick
Rye	Nevada, USA	0.5% NSR	Barrick
BSC	Nevada, USA	2.5% NSR	US Gold
ICBM	Nevada, USA	0.75% NSR	BH Minerals USA, Inc. (BH Minerals)
Long Peak	Nevada, USA	0.75% NSR	BH Minerals
Dixie Flats	Nevada, USA	0.75% NSR	BH Minerals
Relief Canyon ⁽¹⁾	Nevada, USA	4.0% NSR	Firstgold Incorporated (Firstgold)
Fletcher Junction ⁽¹⁾	Nevada, USA	1.25% NSR	Nevada Exploration Inc. (Nevada Exploration)
Hot Pot ⁽¹⁾	Nevada, USA	1.25% NSR	Nevada Exploration
Svetloye	Khabarovsk Region, Russia	1.0% NSR	Fortress Minerals Corporation
La India	Nicaragua	3% NSR	Central Sun Mining

(1) Acquired on October 24, 2007, as part of the acquisition of Battle Mountain. Please refer to Item 7, MD&A, for a further discussion on the acquisition of Battle Mountain.

(2) Acquired in May 2008.

(3) Right to convert equity in Revett Minerals into a 1% NSR royalty on the project.

Our Operational Information**Financial Information about Geographic Areas**

Royal Gold's royalty revenue and long-lived assets (royalty interests in mineral properties, net) are geographically distributed as shown in the following table. Please refer to Item 2, Properties, for further discussion on our significant royalty interests on producing mineral properties.

	Royalty Revenue			Royalty Interests in Mineral Properties, net		
	2008	2007	2006	2008	2007	2006
United States	79%	97%	98%	18%	25%	69%
Mexico	4%	2%	1%	55%	49%	9%
Africa ⁽¹⁾	11%			12%	16%	22%
Chile				7%	10%	
Other ⁽²⁾	6%	1%	1%	8%		

(1) Consists of royalties on properties in Burkina Faso and the Republic of Ghana. Royalty revenue shown is attributable to revenues from our royalties in Burkina Faso.

(2) The Other category for Royalty revenue consists of revenue from Argentina, Bolivia (2008 only), Canada (2008 only) and Nicaragua (2008 only). The Other category for Royalty Interests in Mineral Properties, net for 2008 consists of assets in Bolivia, Canada, Colombia,

Honduras and
Nicaragua.

Our financial results are discussed in Part II, Item 7, MD&A, and within our audited consolidated financial statements are included in Part II, Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. The risks associated with the operations of our foreign royalty interests are discussed in Part 1A, Risk Factors. In fiscal 2008, we generated royalty revenues of \$22.0 million and \$16.6 million from Cortez and Robinson, respectively, representing 33% and 25%, respectively, of our total royalty revenue. In fiscal 2007, we generated royalty revenues of \$21.5 million and \$12.6 million from Cortez and Robinson, respectively, representing 44% and 26%, respectively, of our total royalty revenue.

Competition

The mining industry in general and the royalty segment in particular are intensely competitive. We compete with other royalty companies, mine operators and financial buyers in efforts to acquire existing royalties and with the lenders and investors providing debt and equity financing to operators of mineral properties in our efforts to create new royalties. Many of our competitors in the lending and mining business are larger than we are and have greater access to capital than we have. Key competitive factors in the royalty acquisition and financing business include price, structure and access to capital.

Regulation

Like all mining operations in the United States, the operators of the mines that are subject to our royalties must comply with environmental laws and regulations promulgated by federal, state and local governments including, but not limited to, the National Environmental Policy Act; the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act; the Clean Air Act; the Clean Water Act; the Hazardous Materials Transportation Act; and the Toxic Substances Control Act. Mines located on public lands are subject to the General Mining Law of 1872 and are subject to comprehensive regulation by either the United States Bureau of Land Management (an agency of the United States Department of the Interior) or the United States Forest Service (an agency of the United States Department of Agriculture). The mines also are subject to regulations of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the United States Mine Safety and Health Administration and similar state and local agencies. Operators of mines that are subject to our royalties in other countries are obligated to comply with similar laws and

regulations in those jurisdictions. Although we are not responsible as a royalty owner for ensuring compliance with these regulations, failure by the operators of the mines on which we have royalties to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permits can result in injunctive action, damages and civil and criminal penalties on the operators which could reduce production from the mines and thereby reduce the royalties we receive and negatively affect our financial condition.

Corporate Information

We were incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware on January 5, 1981. Our executive offices are located at 1660 Wynkoop Street, Suite 1000, Denver, Colorado 80202, (303) 573-1660.

Available Information

Royal Gold maintains an internet website at www.royalgold.com. Royal Gold makes available, free of charge, through the Investor Relations section of the web site, its Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Our SEC filings are available from the SEC s Internet site at www.sec.gov which contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers that file electronically. These reports, proxy statements and other information may also be inspected and copied at the public reference facilities maintained by the SEC at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the operation of the public reference facilities. Royal Gold s charters of key committees of its Board of Directors and its Code of Business Conduct and Ethics are also available on the Company s website. Any of the foregoing information is available in print to any stockholder who requests it by contacting Royal Gold s Investor Relations Department at 303-573-1660.

Company Personnel

We currently have 16 employees, all of whom are located in Denver, Colorado. Our employees are not subject to a labor contract or a collective bargaining agreement. We consider our employee relations to be good.

Consulting services, relating primarily to geologic and geophysical interpretations and also relating to such metallurgical, engineering, and other technical matters as may be deemed useful in the operation of our business, are primarily provided by independent contractors.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risks described below before making an investment decision. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially adversely affected by any of these risks. The market or trading price of our securities could decline due to any of these risks. In addition, please see our note about forward-looking statements included in Part II, Item 7, MD&A, of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Please note that additional risks not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our business and operations.

Risks Related to Our Business

We received a majority of our revenues in fiscal year 2008 from two properties.

In fiscal year 2008, approximately 33% and 24% of our revenues were derived from our royalties at Cortez and the Robinson mine, respectively, compared to approximately 44% and 26% in fiscal year 2007, respectively. We expect that revenue from our royalties at Cortez and Robinson will continue to be a significant, though less dominant, contributor to our revenue in future periods. Furthermore, as Cortez and other mines on which we have royalties mature, like SJ Claims, we can expect overall declines in production over the years unless operators are able to replace reserves that are mined by mine expansion or successful new exploration. There can be no assurance that the operators of Cortez, SJ Claims or the other properties will be able to maintain or increase production or replace reserves as they are mined.

We own passive interests in mining properties, and it is difficult or impossible for us to ensure properties are operated in our best interest.

All of our current revenue is derived from royalties on properties operated by third parties. The holder of a royalty interest typically has no authority regarding development or operation of a mineral property. Therefore, we are not in control of basic decisions regarding development or operation of any of the properties in which we hold a royalty interest, and we have limited or no legal rights to influence those decisions.

Our strategy of having others operate properties in which we retain a royalty or other passive interest puts us generally at risk to the decisions of others regarding all basic operating matters, including permitting, feasibility analysis, mine design and operation, processing, plant and equipment matters and temporary or permanent suspension of operations, among others. These decisions may be motivated by the best interests of the operator rather than to maximize royalties. Although we attempt to secure contractual rights that will permit us to protect our interests, there can be no assurance that such rights will always be available or sufficient, or that our efforts will be successful in achieving timely or favorable results or in affecting the operations of the properties in which we have royalty interests in ways that would be beneficial to our stockholders.

Volatility in gold, copper and other metal prices may have an adverse impact on the value of our royalty interests and reduce our royalty revenues.

The profitability of our royalty interests and exploration properties is directly related to the market price of gold and, to a lesser degree, copper and other metal prices. The market price of each metal fluctuates widely and is affected by numerous factors beyond the control of any mining company. These factors include metal supply, industrial and jewelry fabrication and investment demand, expectations with respect to the rate of inflation, the relative strength of the U.S. dollar and other currencies, interest rates, gold sales and loans by central banks, forward sales by metal producers, global or regional political, economic or banking crises, and a number of other factors. If the market price of gold, copper or certain other metals, should drop, our royalty revenues would also drop. Our sliding-scale royalties at Cortez, Taparko and other properties amplifies this. When the gold price falls below the steps in the sliding-scale royalty, we receive a lower royalty rate on production. In addition, if gold, copper and certain other metal prices drop dramatically, we might not be able to recover our investment in royalty interests or properties. The selection of a royalty investment or of a property for exploration or development, the determination to construct a mine and place it into production, and the dedication of funds necessary to achieve such purposes are decisions that must be made long before the first revenues from production will be received. Price fluctuations between the time that decisions about exploration, development and construction are made and the commencement of production can have a material adverse effect on the economics of a mine, and can eliminate or have a material adverse impact on the value of royalty interests.

The volatility in gold prices is illustrated by the following table, which sets forth, for the periods indicated (calendar year), the high and low prices in U.S. dollars per ounce of gold, based on the London P.M. fix.

Gold Price Per Ounce (\$)

Year	High	Low
1999	326	253
2000	312	263
2001	293	256
2002	349	278
2003	416	320
2004	454	375
2005	537	411
2006	725	525
2007	841	608
2008 (through August 13, 2008)	1,011	818

The volatility in silver prices is illustrated by the following table which sets forth, for the periods indicated (calendar year), the high and low prices in U.S. dollars per ounce of silver, based on the London P.M. fix.

Silver Price Per Ounce (\$)

Year	High	Low
1999	5.75	4.88
2000	5.45	4.57
2001	4.82	4.07
2002	5.10	4.24
2003	5.97	4.37
2004	8.29	5.50
2005	9.23	6.39
2006	14.94	8.83
2007	15.82	11.67
2008 (through August 13, 2008)	20.92	14.45

The volatility in copper prices is illustrated by the following table, which sets forth, for the periods indicated (calendar year), the high and low prices in U.S. dollars per pound of copper, based on the London Metal Exchange cash settlement price for copper Grade A.

Copper Price Per Pound (\$)

Year	High	Low
1999	0.80	0.63
2000	0.89	0.76
2001	0.81	0.62
2002	0.75	0.67
2003	1.00	0.72
2004	1.43	1.10
2005	2.08	1.44
2006	3.65	2.15
2007	3.77	2.37
2008 (through August 13, 2008)	4.08	3.02

We depend on the services of our President and Chief Executive Officer, our Executive Chairman and other key employees.

We believe that our success depends on the continued service of our key executive management personnel. Currently, Tony Jensen is serving as President and Chief Executive Officer and Stanley Dempsey is serving as our Executive Chairman. Mr. Jensen's extensive commercial experience, mine operations background and industry contacts gives us an important competitive advantage. Mr. Dempsey's knowledge of the royalty business and long term standing relationship with the mining industry are important to the Company's success. Loss of the services of Mr. Jensen, Mr. Dempsey or other key employees could jeopardize our ability to maintain our competitive position in the industry. We currently do not have key person life insurance for any of our officers or directors.

Our revenues are subject to operational and other risks faced by operators of our mining properties.

Although we are not required to pay capital costs or most operating costs, our financial results are subject to hazards and risks normally associated with developing and operating mining properties, both for the properties where we conduct exploration or indirectly for properties operated by others where we hold royalty interests. These risks include:

insufficient ore reserves;

fluctuations in production costs by the operators or third parties that may make mining of ore uneconomical or impact the amount of reserves;

declines in the price of gold and other metal prices;

significant environmental and other regulatory restrictions;

labor disputes;

geological problems;

pit walls or tailings dam failures;

natural catastrophes such as floods or earthquakes; and

the risk of injury to persons, property or the environment.

Operating cost increases can have a negative effect on the value of and income from our royalty interests, by potentially causing an operator to curtail, delay or close operations at a mine site.

In addition, the mining operator's calculation of our royalty payments is subject to and dependent upon the adequacy of their production and accounting functions, and errors may occur from time to time in the calculations of the operator. For example, the complex nature of mining and ownership of mining interests can result in errors regarding allocation of production, such as those that occurred in connection with our restatement of our consolidated financial statements for fiscal year 2008. We are provided with production information by the mining operator in certain royalty contracts that may enable us to detect errors in the royalty payments that we receive from certain royalty interests given our royalty monitoring program and its associated internal controls and procedures. We do not, however, receive production information for all royalty interests. As a result, our ability to detect royalty payment errors is limited and the possibility exists that we will need to make retroactive royalty revenue adjustments. Further, in some royalty contracts we have the right to audit the operational calculations and production data for the associated royalty payments and, when exercised, such audits may occur many months following our recognition of the royalty revenue. In addition, certain royalty agreements, such as Robinson, provide that royalty payments to us are subject to subsequent adjustment based on commodity prices at a later date, 3-4 months in the case of Robinson, which can result in adjustments to our royalty revenue in later periods. Hence, these royalty audits may result in the recognition by us of retroactive changes in previously disclosed royalty revenues.

Estimates of reserves and mineralization by the operators of mines in which we have royalty interests are subject to significant revision.

There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating proven and probable reserves and mineralization, including many factors beyond our control or the control of the operators of mineral properties in which we have a royalty interest. Reserve estimates on our royalty interests are prepared by the operators of the mining properties. We do not participate in the preparation or verification of such reports and have not independently assessed or verified the accuracy of such information. The estimation of reserves and of other mineralization is a subjective process and the accuracy of any such estimates is a function of the quality of available data and of engineering and geological interpretation and judgment. Results of drilling, metallurgical testing and production, and the evaluation of mine plans subsequent to the date of any estimate, may cause revision of such estimates. The volume and grade of reserves recovered and rates of production may be less than anticipated. Assumptions about gold and other precious metal prices are subject to great uncertainty and such prices have fluctuated widely in the past. Declines in the market price of gold or other precious metals also may render reserves or mineralization containing relatively lower grades of ore uneconomical to exploit. Changes in operating and capital costs and other factors including short-term operating factors, such as the need for sequential development of ore bodies and the processing of new or different ore grades, may materially and adversely affect reserves.

Estimates of production by the operators of mines in which we have royalty interests are subject to change and actual production may vary materially from such estimates.

Production estimates are prepared by the operators of the mining properties. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating anticipated production attributable to our royalty interests, including many factors beyond our control or the control of the operators of mineral properties in which we have royalty interests. We do not participate in the preparation or verification of production estimates and have not independently assessed or verified the accuracy of such information. The estimation of anticipated production is a subjective process and the accuracy of any such estimates is a function of the quality of available data, reliability of production history, variability in grade encountered, mechanical or other problems encountered, engineering and geological interpretation and operator judgment. Rates of production may be less than anticipated. Results of drilling, metallurgical testing and production, and the evaluation of mine plans subsequent to the date of any estimate may cause actual production to vary materially from such estimates.

We may incur substantially more indebtedness that could have adverse effects on our business.

We may incur substantial indebtedness in the future in connection with financing acquisitions, strategic transactions or for other purposes. Any such acquisition could be material to us and could significantly increase the size and scope of our business. If we were to incur substantial additional indebtedness, it may make it difficult for us to satisfy our debt obligations, increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions, require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations and proceeds of any equity issuances to payments on our indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of cash flow to fund acquisitions and other general corporate purposes and place us at a competitive disadvantage to our competitors that have less debt or have other adverse effects on us. Furthermore, if future debt financing is not available to us when required or is not available on acceptable terms, we may be unable to grow our business, take advantage of opportunities to acquire additional royalties, or respond to competitive pressures or refinance maturing debt, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

We may be unable to successfully acquire additional royalty interests.

Our future success depends upon our ability to acquire royalty interests at appropriate valuations, including through corporate acquisitions, to replace depleting reserves and to diversify our royalty portfolio. We anticipate that most of our revenues will be derived from royalty interests that we acquire or

finance, rather than through exploration and development of properties. There can be no assurance that we will be able to identify and complete the acquisition of such royalty interests, or businesses that own desired royalty interests, at reasonable prices or on favorable terms. In addition, we face competition in the acquisition of royalty interests. If we are unable to successfully acquire additional royalties, the reserves on properties currently covered by our royalties will decline as existing reserves are mined. Furthermore, we may experience negative reactions from the financial markets, our collaborative partners and employees if we are unable to successfully complete acquisitions of royalty interests or businesses that own desired royalty interests. Each of these factors may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock or financial results and operations.

Acquired royalty interests may not produce anticipated royalty revenues.

The royalty interests we acquire may not produce the anticipated royalty revenues. The success of our royalty acquisitions is based on our ability to make accurate assumptions regarding the valuation and timing and amount of royalty payments, particularly acquisitions of royalties on development stage properties. If the operator does not bring the property into production and operate in accordance with feasibility studies or other plans, acquired royalty interests may not yield royalty revenues or sufficient royalty revenues to be profitable. The Taparko project, which recently began production in Burkina Faso, and the Peñasquito project, also in initial production in Mexico, represent our largest development stage royalty acquisitions to date. The principal royalty assets of Battle Mountain, which we acquired on October 24, 2007, include royalties on gold and silver production from the Dolores project in Mexico, which is under development. In addition, our Pascua-Lama royalty acquisition in Chile is in a pre-production stage. The failure of these projects to produce anticipated royalty revenues may materially and adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We may experience operational and other difficulties if we complete one or more significant acquisitions.

As part of our business model and growth strategy, we are engaged in a continual review of opportunities to acquire existing royalties, including acquiring companies that hold royalties. When we acquire a company, we may experience the need to hire additional personnel, difficulties in integrating the acquired company, increases in our general and administrative expenses and other related problems. Furthermore, as part of the acquisition of a company or a group of royalties, we may acquire operating or working interests and other assets outside of our core focus of precious metal royalties. In the event we experience these difficulties in connection with one or more acquisitions, our business or financial results may be adversely affected.

Anticipated federal legislation could decrease our royalty revenues.

In recent years, the United States Congress has considered a number of proposed major revisions to the General Mining Law of 1872 (the General Mining Law), which governs the creation and possession of mining claims and related activities on federal public lands in the United States. Several proposals, such as Bill H.R. 2262, introduced in the Congress in May 2007, if enacted, would impose a royalty payable to the U.S. Government on existing and future production of minerals from unpatented mining claims in the United States. If enacted, the legislation such as H.R. 2262 could, among other provisions, render certain federal lands unavailable for the location of unpatented mining claims, afford greater public involvement in the mine permitting process, provide for citizen suits against miners operating on federal lands, and impose new and stringent environmental operating standards as well as new mined land reclamation requirements. If enacted, such legislation could adversely affect the development of new mines and the expansion of existing mines, as well as increase the cost of all mining operations on federal lands, perhaps materially and adversely affecting mine operators and therefore our royalty revenue.

The effect of any revision of the General Mining Law on our royalty interests in the United States cannot be determined conclusively until such revision, if any, is enacted and challenges to the legislation, if any, have been finally resolved. In addition, a number of the properties on which we have royalties are located

on U.S. federal lands that are subject to federal mining and other public land laws. Changes in such laws or regulations promulgated under such laws could affect mine development and expansion and significantly increase regulatory obligations and compliance costs with respect to mine development and mine operations, which could adversely affect our royalty revenue from such properties. By way of example, if a royalty, assessment, production tax, or other levy imposed on and measured by production is charged to the operator at Cortez, which is largely located on U.S. federal lands, the amount of that charge would be deducted from gross proceeds for calculation of our GSR1, GSR2 and GSR3 royalties, which would reduce our royalty revenues from these royalties.

The mining industry is subject to significant environmental risks.

Mining is subject to potential risks and liabilities associated with pollution of the environment and the disposal of waste products occurring as a result of mineral exploration and production. Laws and regulations in the United States and abroad intended to ensure the protection of the environment are constantly changing and generally are becoming more restrictive and costly. Insurance against environmental risks (including potential liability for pollution or other hazards as a result of the disposal of waste products occurring from exploration and production) is not generally available to the companies within the mining industry, such as the operators of the mines in which we hold a royalty interest, at a reasonable price. If an operator is forced to incur significant costs to comply with environmental regulations or becomes subject to environmental restrictions that limit its ability to continue or expand operations, it could reduce our royalty revenues. To the extent that we become subject to environmental liabilities for the time period during which we were operating properties, the satisfaction of any liabilities would reduce funds otherwise available to us and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

If title to properties are not properly maintained by the operators, our royalty revenues may be decreased.

The validity of unpatented mining claims, which constitute a significant portion of the properties on which we hold royalties in the United States, is often uncertain and such validity is always subject to contest. Unpatented mining claims are generally considered subject to greater title risk than patented mining claims, or real property interests that are owned in fee simple. Because unpatented mining claims are self-initiated and self-maintained, they possess some unique vulnerabilities not associated with other types of property interests. It is impossible to ascertain the validity of unpatented mining claims from public real property records, and therefore it can be difficult or impossible to confirm that all of the requisite steps have been followed for location and maintenance of an unpatented mining claim. If title to unpatented mining claims included among our royalty properties has not been properly established or is not properly maintained, our royalty revenues could be adversely affected.

Foreign operations are subject to many risks.

We derived approximately 21% of our revenues from foreign sources in fiscal year 2008. Our foreign activities are subject to the risks normally associated with conducting business in foreign countries. This includes exchange controls and currency fluctuations, limitations on repatriation of earnings, foreign taxation, foreign environmental laws and enforcement, expropriation or nationalization of property, labor practices and disputes, and uncertain political and economic environments. There are also risks of war and civil disturbances, as well as other risks that could cause exploration or development difficulties or stoppages, restrict the movement of funds or result in the deprivation or loss of contract rights or the taking of property by nationalization or expropriation, without fair compensation. Exploration licenses granted by some foreign countries do not include the right to mine. Each country has discretion in determining whether to grant a license to mine. If an operator cannot secure a mining license following exploration of a property, the value of our royalty interest would be negatively affected. Foreign operations could also be adversely impacted by laws and policies of the United States affecting foreign trade, investment, and taxation. We currently have interests in projects in Argentina, Bolivia, Burkina Faso, Canada, Chile, Colombia, Finland, Ghana, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua and Russia. We also

evaluate precious metal royalty acquisitions or development opportunities in other parts of the world, including Canada, Central America, Europe, Australia, other Republics of the former Soviet Union, Asia, Africa and South America.

We are also subject to the risks of operating in Burkina Faso, West Africa. Countries in the region have historically experienced periods of political uncertainty, exchange rate fluctuations, balance of payments and trade difficulties and problems associated with extreme poverty and unemployment. Any of these economic or political risks could adversely affect the Taparko project.

Our operations in Mexico are subject to risks such as the effects of political developments and local unrest, and communal property issues. In the past, Mexico has experienced prolonged periods of weak economic conditions characterized by exchange rate instability, increased inflation and negative economic growth, all of which could occur again in the future. Any of these risks could adversely affect the Peñasquito and Dolores projects, as well as the Mulatos and El Chanate mines.

We hold a royalty interest in an exploration property that is subject to the risks of operating in Russia. The economy of the Russian Federation continues to display characteristics of an emerging market, including extensive currency controls and potentially high inflation. The prospects for future economic stability in the Russian Federation are largely dependent upon the effectiveness of economic measures undertaken by the government, together with legal, regulatory and political developments. Russian laws, licenses and permits have been in a state of change and new laws may be given a retroactive effect.

Our Martha royalty is subject to risks relating to operating in Argentina. Argentina, while currently economically and politically stable, has experienced political instability, currency fluctuations and changes in banking regulations in recent years. Future instability, currency value fluctuations or regulation changes could adversely affect our revenues from the Martha mine.

Our Don Mario royalty, which we acquired in the Battle Mountain transaction on October 24, 2007, is subject to risks relating to operating in Bolivia. Bolivia has experienced political and social instability, corruption, regulation and tax law changes, an abundance of administrative procedures and the potential for nationalization of foreign business interests that could materially adversely affect the Don Mario mine.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

Our stock price may continue to be volatile and could decline.

The market price of our common stock has fluctuated and may decline in the future. The high and low sale prices of our common stock were \$41.66 and \$18.74 in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006, \$37.50 and \$23.25 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 and \$35.42 and \$23.85 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. The fluctuation of the market price of our common stock has been affected by many factors that are beyond our control, including:

market prices of gold and other metals;

interest rates;

expectations regarding inflation;

ability of operators to produce precious metals and develop new reserves;

currency values;

general stock market conditions; and

global and regional political and economic conditions.

Additional issuances of equity securities by us would dilute the ownership of our existing stockholders and could reduce our earnings per share.

We may issue equity in the future in connection with acquisitions, strategic transactions or for other purposes. Any such acquisition could be material to us and could significantly increase the size and scope of our business. To the extent we issue additional equity securities, the ownership of our existing stockholders could be diluted and our earnings per share could be reduced.

If a large number of shares of our common stock is sold in the public market, the sales could reduce the trading price of our common stock and impede our ability to raise future capital.

We cannot predict what effect, if any, future issuances by us of our common stock or other equity will have on the market price of our common stock. In addition, our shares of common stock that we issue in connection with an acquisition may not be subject to resale restrictions. We issued approximately 1.14 million shares of our common stock in connection with the acquisition of Battle Mountain, which closed on October 24, 2007 and 3.98 million shares in connection with the conversion of all of our issued and outstanding Preferred Stock on March 10, 2008. We may issue substantial additional shares of common stock or other securities in connection with other acquisition transactions. The market price of our common stock could decline if certain large holders of our common stock, or recipients of our common stock in connection with an acquisition, sell all or a significant portion of their shares of common stock or are perceived by the market as intending to sell these shares other than in an orderly manner. In addition, these sales could also impair our ability to raise capital through the sale of additional common stock in the capital markets.

We may change our dividend policy.

We have paid a cash dividend on our common stock for each fiscal year beginning in fiscal year 2000. Our board of directors has discretion in determining whether to declare a dividend based on a number of factors, including prevailing gold prices, economic market conditions, and funding requirements for future opportunities or operations. If our board of directors declines to declare dividends in the future, or reduces the current dividend level, our stock price could fall, and the success of an investment in our common stock would depend solely upon any future stock price appreciation in value. We have increased our dividends in prior years. There can be no assurance that we will continue to do so. For example, if we were to materially increase our borrowings to conduct a material acquisition, our board of directors could elect to modify our dividend policy and potentially reduce or eliminate dividends on common stock.

Certain anti-takeover provisions could delay or prevent a third party from acquiring us.

Provisions in our restated certificate of incorporation may make it more difficult for third parties to acquire control of us or to remove our management. Some of these provisions:

- permit our board of directors to issue preferred stock that has rights senior to the common stock without stockholder approval; and

- provide for three classes of directors serving staggered, three-year terms.

We are also subject to the business combination provisions of Delaware law that could delay, deter, or prevent a change in control. In addition, we have adopted a stockholder's rights plan that imposes significant penalties upon a person or group that acquires 15% or more of our outstanding common stock without the approval of the board of directors. Any of these measures could prevent a third party from pursuing an acquisition of Royal Gold, even if stockholders believe the acquisition is in their best interests.

We have identified a material weakness in internal control over our financial reporting and as a result we were required to restate our financial results for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

In connection with the restatement and our reassessment of our internal control over financial reporting pursuant to the rules promulgated by the SEC Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Item 308 of Regulation S-K, management has concluded that as of fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective and that we had a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting. Please refer to Item 9A of this Second Amended Form 10-K for further discussion of the ineffectiveness of and material weakness in our controls as of June 30, 2008. While we have made efforts to remediate such material weakness promptly and effectively, any additional material weaknesses or significant deficiencies could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition, as well as impair our ability to meet our quarterly, annual, and other reporting requirements under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, in a timely manner. These effects could in turn adversely affect the trading price of our common stock and could result in a material misstatement in consolidated financial statements and require a further restatement of our financial statements. Therefore, even if we are successful in strengthening our internal controls and procedures, such controls and procedures may not be adequate to prevent or identify existing or future internal control weaknesses. Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its known inherent limitations (such limitations are further discussed in Item 9A of this Second Amended Form 10-K). Because of such inherent limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements in results of operations and financial condition may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by our internal controls over financial reporting.

We may face risks related to an SEC investigation and securities litigation in connection with the restatement of our financial statements.

We are not aware that the SEC has begun any formal or informal investigation in connection with accounting errors requiring restatement of the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. If the SEC makes a determination, however, that in its view the Company has violated Federal securities laws, then the Company may face sanctions, including, but not limited to, monetary penalties and injunctive relief, which could adversely affect our business. In addition, the Company may in the future be subject to class action suits, other litigation, or regulatory proceedings or actions arising in relation to the restatement of our consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. We are unable to estimate what our liability might be in connection with such potential litigation or regulatory proceeding or action and any expenses not covered by available insurance or any adverse resolution of this potential litigation or regulatory proceeding or action, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, or financial condition. Further, any litigation or regulatory proceeding or action may be time consuming, and it may distract our management from the conduct of our business.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We do not operate the properties in which we have royalty interests and therefore much of the information disclosed in this Form 10-K regarding these properties is provided to us by the operators. For example, the operators of the various properties provide us information regarding metals production, estimates of mineral reserves and additional mineralized material. Reserves are summarized below in this report in Item 2, Properties Reserve Information. Our rights to information from the operators under our royalty agreements vary by royalty and by operator and we may not be entitled to information

regarding certain properties. Furthermore, we may receive information regarding certain properties from time to time which we contractually may not be permitted to disclose. We have not independently verified any information provided by the operators.

There is more information available to the public from the operators of the properties in which we have royalties, including reports filed by Barrick, Newmont, Coeur d'Alene, Capital Gold and Goldcorp with the SEC. For risks to our business associated with operations of mining properties by third parties see generally the risks described under Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors. For risks associated with the operators' reserve estimates, please see Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors *Estimates of reserves and mineralization by the operators of mines in which we have royalty interests are subject to significant revision* of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further detail.

Royalties on Producing Properties

Recent activities and further information for each of the principal producing properties in which we have a royalty interest are described in the following pages. Reserves for our producing properties are summarized in this report in Item 2, Properties Reserve Information.

Cortez Pipeline Mining Complex (Nevada, USA)

Cortez is a large open pit, mill and heap leach operation located approximately 60 air miles southwest of Elko, Nevada, in Lander County. The site is reached by driving west from Elko on Interstate 80 approximately 46 miles, and proceeding south on State Highway 306 approximately 23 miles. Cortez includes the Pipeline, South Pipeline, Gap and Crossroads deposits and is operated by subsidiaries of Barrick.

The royalty interests we hold at the Cortez include:

- (a) **Reserve Claims (GSR1)**. This is a sliding-scale GSR royalty for all gold produced from an area originally known as the Reserve Claims, which includes the majority of the Pipeline and South Pipeline deposits. As defined in our royalty agreement with Cortez, our GSR royalty applies to revenues attributed to products mined and removed, with no deduction for any costs paid by or charged to Cortez, except for deductions of Mining Law reform costs. Mining Law reform costs includes all amounts paid by or charged to Cortez for any royalty, assessment, production tax or other levy imposed on and measured by production, to the extent that any such levy is hereafter imposed by the United States, in connection with reform of the General Mining Law or otherwise. As defined, no such Mining Law reform costs are currently deducted since no such reform has yet occurred. The revenues attributed to Cortez are determined on a deemed market value basis of total production for each calendar quarter returned to Cortez's account at the refiner. The GSR royalty rate on the Reserve Claims is tied to the gold price, without indexing for inflation or deflation as shown in the table below.
- (b) **GAS Claims (GSR2)**. This is a sliding-scale GSR royalty for all gold produced from an area outside of the Reserve Claims, originally known as the GAS Claims, which encompasses approximately 50% of the GAP deposit and all of the Crossroads deposit. The GSR royalty rate on the GAS Claims, as shown in the table below, is tied to the gold price, without indexing for inflation or deflation, and applies to revenues attributed to products mined and removed, with no deduction of costs, except for Mining Law reform costs, if any.
- (c) **Reserve and GAS Claims Fixed Royalty (GSR3)**. The GSR3 royalty is a fixed rate GSR royalty of 0.7125% for the life of the mine and covers the same cumulative area as is covered by our two sliding-scale GSR royalties, GSR1 and GSR2.
- (d) **Net Value Royalty (NVR1)**. This is a fixed 1.25% NVR on production from the GAS Claims located on a portion of Cortez that excludes the Pipeline open pit. The Company owns 31.6% of

the 1.25% NVR (or 0.39%) while our consolidated minority interest owns the remaining portion of the 1.25% NVR. This NVR1 royalty is calculated by deducting contract defined processing-related and associated capital costs, but not mining costs, from the revenue received by the operator for production from the area covered by the royalty.

We also own two other royalties at Cortez where there is currently no production attributed to the royalty interests and there is insignificant reserves attributed to the royalty interests.

The following shows the current sliding-scale GSR1 and GSR2 royalty rates under our royalty agreement with Cortez:

London PM Quarterly Average		GSR1 Royalty Percentage	GSR2 Royalty Percentage
Price of Gold Per Ounce (\$U.S.)			
Below	\$210.00	0.40%	0.72%
\$210.00	\$229.99	0.50%	0.90%
\$230.00	\$249.99	0.75%	1.35%
\$250.00	\$269.99	1.30%	2.34%
\$270.00	\$309.99	2.25%	4.05%
\$310.00	\$329.99	2.60%	4.68%
\$330.00	\$349.99	3.00%	5.40%
\$350.00	\$369.99	3.40%	6.12%
\$370.00	\$389.99	3.75%	6.75%
\$390.00	\$409.99	4.00%	7.20%
\$410.00	\$429.99	4.25%	7.65%
\$430.00	\$449.99	4.50%	8.10%
\$450.00	\$469.99	4.75%	8.55%
\$470.00	and above	5.00%	9.00%

Upon the consummation of the royalty acquisition transaction between Royal Gold and Barrick, GSR2 will be reduced to match the royalty rate of GSR1 while the portion of the GSR3 and NVR1 royalties on the mining claims that comprise the undeveloped Crossroads deposit at Cortez will be eliminated. Please refer to Item 7, MD&A, of this report for a further discussion of the definitive agreement between Barrick and us.

Under certain circumstances we would be entitled to delayed production payments (i.e., payments not recoupable by Cortez) of \$400,000 per year.

Barrick estimated that at a \$575 gold price, proven and probable reserves related to our royalty interests at Cortez includes 44.09 million tons of ore, at an average grade of 0.044 ounces per ton, containing approximately 1.923 million ounces of gold as of December 31, 2007. In addition, Barrick has reported additional mineralized material at Cortez totaling 65.78 million tons of ore, at an average grade of 0.028 ounces of gold per ton.

The following illustration depicts the area subject to our royalty interests at Cortez:

Robinson Mine (Nevada, USA)

We own a 3.0% NSR royalty on all mineral production from the Robinson open pit mine operated by a subsidiary of Quadra. The Robinson mine produces two flotation concentrates for sale to third party smelters. One concentrate contains copper, gold and silver. The second is a molybdenum concentrate. Access to the property is via Nevada state highway 50, 6.5 miles west of Ely, Nevada, in White Pine County.

As of December 31, 2007, Quadra informed us that the copper and gold reserves were 114.41 million tons, at an average grade of 0.007 ounces per ton of gold, containing 0.772 million ounces of gold and a copper grade of 0.68% equating to 1,563 million pounds of copper. The reserves were calculated at \$1.75-\$2.50 per pound of copper. Quadra does not use a gold price figure to define reserves as gold is produced as a by-product of copper. Silver and molybdenum reserves were not reported but are produced and sold as a by-product.

All of the ground within the property boundary, as labeled in the following map, is subject to our royalty interest at the Robinson mine:

Taparko (Burkina Faso, West Africa)

In connection with a financing transaction to Somita during our third quarter of our fiscal year 2006, we own a 15.0% GSR royalty (TB-GSR1) and a sliding-scale GSR royalty (TB-GSR2), ranging from 0% to 10.0% depending on the price of gold, on all gold produced from the Taparko open pit gold mine. The Taparko mine is located in Burkina Faso, West Africa, and is operated by Somita, a subsidiary of High River. The Taparko mine is accessible by both paved and gravel roads and is approximately 125 miles northeast of Ouagadougou, the capital of Burkina Faso. Please refer to Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements for further information regarding the financing transaction.

TB-GSR1 will remain in effect until cumulative production of 804,420 ounces of gold is achieved or until cumulative payments of \$35 million have been made to Royal Gold, whichever is earlier. TB-GSR2 will remain in effect until the termination of TB-GSR1. Production at the Taparko mine commenced during our first fiscal quarter of 2008. As of June 30, 2008, we have recognized royalty revenue associated with the TB-GSR1 royalty totaling \$4.7 million, which is attributable to cumulative production of 36,078 ounces of gold.

We also own a perpetual 2.0% GSR royalty (TB-GSR3) on all gold produced from the Taparko mine. A portion of the TB-GSR3 royalty is associated with existing proven and probable reserves and has been classified as a development stage royalty interest. The remaining portion of the TB-GSR3 royalty, which is not currently associated with proven and probable reserves, is classified as an exploration stage royalty interest.

In addition, we own a 0.75% milling fee royalty (TB-MR1) on all gold processed through the Taparko mine processing facilities that is mined from any area outside of the Taparko mine area, subject to a maximum of 1.1 million tons per year. There currently are no proven and probable reserves associated with TB-MR1 and this royalty is classified as an exploration stage royalty interest.

Our royalties on the Taparko mine were subject to completion of our \$35 million funding commitment to Somita and the royalty documents for the forgoing royalties had been signed but held pending the completion of the funding commitment. We completed the remaining \$0.4 million of our funding commitment on September 27, 2007, and recorded our royalty interests.

The Taparko mine commenced gold production in August 2007 and contributed approximately \$7.4 million in royalty revenue (from TB-GSR1 and TB-GSR2) for our fiscal year 2008. Reserve characteristics, mining activity, and gold recovery performance has been near feasibility study estimates. However, mill performance has suffered throughout our fiscal year 2008 due to problems associated with the grinding mill drive-train. This has resulted in low mill availability and throughput. Several problems with the original installation were identified and corrected but mechanical problems have persisted. Production was ceased on June 11, 2008, and the entire drive-train was re-evaluated and is now in the process of reassembly with the assistance of third party mill equipment specialists. Continuous and sustained production is dependent upon resolving the mill drive-train problems.

As of December 31, 2007, High River estimated that at a \$800 gold price proven and probable reserves include 3.70 million tons of ore, at an average grade of 0.082 ounces per ton, containing 0.303 million ounces of gold, which reflects the \$35 million cap on the TB-GSR1 royalty based on High River's \$800 gold price.

The following map depicts the area subject to our royalty interests at the Taparko mine:

Leeville Mining Complex (Nevada, USA)

We own a carried working interest, equal to a 1.8% NSR royalty, which covers the majority of the Leeville Mining Complex (collectively Leeville South and Leeville North), in Eureka County, Nevada. The Leeville Mining Complex is approximately 19 air miles northwest of Carlin, Nevada. The property is accessed by driving north from Carlin on State Highway 766 for 19 miles and then on an improved gravel road for two miles. Leeville North is a newly developed underground mine. Currently, we derive royalty revenue from underground operations on a portion of the Leeville North mine, which are operated by a subsidiary of Newmont. Production from the Leeville South mine ceased in late calendar 2007.

At the Leeville North mine, proven and probable reserves include 5.06 million tons of ore, at an average grade of 0.427 ounces per ton, containing 2.161 million ounces of gold as of December 31, 2007. In addition, Newmont has reported additional mineralized material totaling 0.64 million tons of ore, at an average grade of 0.446 ounces of gold per ton, at Leeville North as of December 31, 2007.

The following map depicts the area subject to our royalty interest at the Leeville Project:

Goldstrike SJ Claims (Nevada, USA)

We own a 0.9% NSR royalty on the SJ Claims that covers a portion of the Betze-Post mine, in Eureka County, Nevada. Betze-Post is an open pit mine operated by a subsidiary of Barrick, at its Goldstrike property. The SJ Claims and the Betze-Post open pit lie approximately 24 air miles northwest of Carlin, Nevada. The property is accessed by driving north from Carlin on State Highway 766 for 19 miles and then on an improved gravel road for five miles.

Barrick estimated that at a \$575 gold price, proven and probable reserves related to our royalty interest at the SJ Claims include 52.49 million tons of ore, at an average grade of 0.133 ounces per ton, containing approximately 6.960 million ounces of gold as of December 31, 2007. In addition, Barrick reported mineralized material of 6.82 million tons, at a grade of 0.052 ounces per ton, as of December 1, 2007.

The following map depicts the area subject to our royalty interest at the SJ Claims:

Royalties on Development Stage Properties

The following is a description of our principal royalty interests on development stage properties. There are proven and probable reserves associated with these properties as indicated below. These development stage royalty interests are not currently in production. Reserves for our development stage properties are summarized below in this report in Item 2, Properties Reserve Information.

Peñasquito Project (Zacatecas, Mexico)

We own a production payment equivalent to a 2.0% NSR royalty on all metal production from the Peñasquito project, located in the State of Zacatecas, Mexico, and operated by Goldcorp. The Peñasquito project is located approximately 17 miles west of the town of Concepción del Oro, Zacatecas, Mexico. The project, composed of two main deposits called Peñasco and Chile Colorado, hosts large silver, gold, zinc and lead reserves. The deposits consist of oxide and sulfide portions.

Goldcorp recently reported that construction is progressing at Peñasquito and that several construction milestones were completed during the second calendar quarter of 2008. In May 2008, Peñasquito poured the first gold from the oxide circuit. Accordingly, during our fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, we reclassified our cost basis in the oxide circuit from development stage to production stage. Goldcorp expects production at Peñasquito from the first sulfide circuit by late calendar 2009 and expects the second sulfide circuit to be operational near the end of calendar 2010. The Company anticipates that the sulfide circuits will contribute significant royalty revenue to the Company once the circuits reach commercial production.

Dolores (Chihuahua, Mexico)

We own a 1.25% NSR royalty on gold and a 2.0% royalty on both gold and silver from the Dolores project located in Chihuahua, Mexico, and owned and operated by Minefinders. The Dolores project is located approximately 155 miles west of the city of Chihuahua, Mexico.

Minefinders announced in July 2008 that initial production from the Dolores mine has been delayed until the later portion of third calendar quarter of 2008 due to a blockade that was established in May 2008 by a group of protestors. Minefinders also announced that the revised timing (from mid-July 2008) for the initial production will affect previously released calendar 2008 production estimates, but did not provide updated guidance. The 2.0% NSR royalty becomes effective when the facility has been producing at 75% of its design capacity for three consecutive months. This royalty was acquired as part of the Battle Mountain acquisition on October 24, 2007. Please refer to Item 7, MD&A, of this report for further information.

Pascua-Lama Project (Region III, Chile)

We own a 0.16% to 1.08% sliding-scale NSR royalty on the Pascua-Lama project located on the border of Argentina and Chile, and operated by a subsidiary of Barrick. The Pascua-Lama project is located within 6.25 miles of Barrick's Valedaro project. The sliding-scale NSR royalty is based upon gold price as shown in the following table.

London PM Monthly Average		NSR
Price of Gold Per Ounce (\$U.S.)		Royalty Percentage
Below	\$325.00	0.16%
\$325.01	\$350.00	0.22%
\$350.01	\$375.00	0.27%
\$375.01	\$400.00	0.32%
\$400.01	\$500.00	0.56%
\$500.01	\$600.00	0.73%
\$600.01	\$800.00	0.91%
\$800.01	and above	1.08%

When the average quarterly gold price is between any two price ranges above, the royalty rate is proportional to the change in gold price.

Our royalty interest is applicable to all the gold production from Chile. The Company owns an additional royalty equivalent to 0.216% of proceeds from copper produced in Chile, net of allowable deductions, sold on or after January 1, 2017.

Reserve Information

Table 1 below summarizes proven and probable reserves for gold, silver, copper, zinc and lead that have been reported to us by the operators of our royalty interests as of December 31, 2007. Please refer to pages 28-29 for the footnotes to Table 1.

TABLE 1
Summary of Proven and Probable Gold Reserves^(1,2)
Production Stage Royalties
As of December 31, 2007⁽³⁾

Royalty	Operator	Category	Tons of Ore (millions)	Average Gold Grade (ounces per ton)	Gold Contained Ounces (millions) (4)
Cortez GSR1 ⁽⁵⁾	Barrick	Proven	4.47	0.092	0.410
		Probable	27.70	0.045	1.239
Cortez GSR2 ⁽⁵⁾	Barrick	Proven	1.30	0.029	0.037
		Probable	10.62	0.022	0.236
Cortez GSR3 ⁽⁵⁾	Barrick	Proven	5.77	0.078	0.448
		Probable	38.32	0.038	1.475
Cortez NVR1 ⁽⁵⁾	Barrick	Proven	3.71	0.054	0.201
		Probable	33.53	0.035	1.186
Robinson	Quadra	Proven	96.89	0.007	0.669
		Probable	17.51	0.006	0.103
Taparko TB-GSR1 ⁽⁶⁾	High River	Reserve	3.70	0.082	0.303 ^(7,8)
Taparko TB-GSR2 ⁽⁶⁾	High River	Reserve	3.70	0.082	0.303 ^(7,8)
Leeville Mining Complex ⁽⁹⁾	Newmont	Reserve	5.06	0.427	2.161
Goldstrike SJ Claim ⁽⁸⁾	Barrick	Reserve	52.49	0.133	6.960
Mulatos ⁽¹⁰⁾	Alamos	Proven	6.76	0.061	0.411
		Probable	28.66	0.045	1.278
Bald Mountain ⁽⁹⁾	Barrick	Reserve	31.46	0.028	0.889
El Chanate ⁽¹¹⁾	Capital Gold	Proven	29.42	0.020	0.581
		Probable	14.07	0.018	0.251
Don Mario ⁽¹²⁾ (LMZ)	Orvana	Reserve	0.56	0.337	0.189 ⁽¹³⁾
Williams	Williams	Proven	7.24	0.073	0.528

Edgar Filing: ROYAL GOLD INC - Form 10-K/A

		Probable	2.69	0.119	0.319
Peñasquito (oxide) ⁽¹⁴⁾	Goldcorp	Proven	46.41	0.006	0.283
		Probable	121.69	0.005	0.367
El Limon	Central Sun	Proven	0.17	0.176	0.030
		Probable	1.35	0.138	0.187

25

TABLE 1 (Continued)
 Summary of Proven and Probable Gold Reserves^(1,2)
 Development Stage Royalties
 As of December 31, 2007⁽³⁾

Royalty	Operator	Category	Tons (millions)	Average Gold Grade (ounces per ton)	Gold
					Contained Ounces (millions) ⁽⁴⁾
Peñasquito (sulfide) ⁽¹⁴⁾	Goldcorp	Proven	470.57	0.017	7.855
		Probable	419.09	0.011	4.546
Dolores	Minefinders	Proven	62.42	0.023	1.454
		Probable	47.04	0.021	0.990
Pascua-Lama ⁽¹⁵⁾	Barrick	Proven	35.71	0.053	1.900
		Probable	288.80	0.044	12.700
Taparko TB-GSR3 ^(6,8)	High River	Reserve	6.06	0.082	0.497
Gold Hill ⁽⁹⁾	Kinross	Reserve			0.750 ⁽¹⁶⁾
Marigold ⁽⁹⁾	Goldcorp	Reserve	44.59	0.019	0.867
Don Mario ⁽¹²⁾ (UMZ)	Orvana	Proven	1.18	0.041	0.049
		Probable	4.82	0.041	0.200
Benso	Golden Star	Probable	2.54	0.099	0.252

Summary of Proven and Probable Silver Reserves^(1,17)
 Production Stage Royalties
 As of December 31, 2007⁽³⁾

Royalty	Operator	Category	Tons (millions)	Average Silver Grade (ounces per ton)	Silver
					Contained Ounces (millions) ⁽³⁾
Peñasquito (oxide) ⁽¹⁴⁾	Goldcorp	Proven	46.41	0.61	28.244
		Probable	75.29	0.48	36.061
Martha	Coeur d'Alene	Proven	0.06	52.95	2.924
		Probable	0.10	54.55	5.369
Troy ⁽⁶⁾ 7.0% GSR 6.1% GSR 2.0% GSR	Revett	Reserve	2.01	1.18	2.379 ⁽¹⁸⁾
		Reserve	1.73	1.18	2.046
		Reserve	2.61	1.18	3.085

TABLE 1 (Continued)Summary of Proven and Probable Silver Reserves^(1,17)

Development Stage Royalties

As of December 31, 2007⁽³⁾

Royalty	Operator	Category	Tons (millions)	Silver	
				Average Silver Grade (ounces per ton)	Contained Ounces (millions) ⁽³⁾
Peñasquito (sulfide) ⁽¹⁴⁾	Goldcorp	Proven	470.57	0.99	466.993
		Probable	419.09	0.79	332.561
Dolores	Minefinders	Proven	62.42	1.18	73.415
		Probable	47.041	1.13	53.230
Don Mario ⁽¹²⁾ (UMZ)	Orvana	Proven	1.18	1.59	1.877
		Probable	4.82	1.30	6.276

Summary of Proven and Probable Copper Reserves^(1,19)

Production Stage Royalties

As of December 31, 2007⁽³⁾

Royalty	Operator	Category	Tons (millions)	Copper	
				Average Copper Grade (% Cu)	Contained Pounds (millions) ⁽⁴⁾
Robinson	Quadra	Proven	96.89	0.61	1,188
		Probable	17.51	1.07	375
Troy ⁽⁶⁾ 7.0% 6.1% 2.0%	Revelt	Reserve	1.94	0.54	21 ⁽¹⁸⁾
		Reserve	1.58	0.54	17
		Reserve	3.70	0.54	40

Summary of Proven and Probable Zinc Reserves^(1,20)

Development Stage Royalties

As of December 31, 2007⁽³⁾

Royalty	Operator	Category	Tons (millions)	Zinc	
				Average Zinc Grade (% Zn)	Contained Pounds (millions) ⁽⁴⁾
Peñasquito (sulfide) ⁽¹⁴⁾	Goldcorp	Proven	470.57	0.78	7,363
		Probable	419.09	0.65	5,445
Don Mario ⁽¹²⁾ (UMZ)	Orvana	Proven	1.18	1.62	38
		Probable	4.82	1.47	142

TABLE 1 (Continued)
 Summary of Proven and Probable Lead Reserves^(1,21)
 Development Stage Royalties
 As of December 31, 2007⁽³⁾

Royalty	Operator	Category	Tons (millions)	Average Zinc Grade (% Pb)	Lead Contained Pounds (millions)⁽⁴⁾
Peñasquito (sulfide) ⁽¹⁴⁾	Goldcorp	Proven	470.57	0.36	3,439
		Probable	419.09	0.29	2,447

Footnotes to Table 1

- (1) Set forth below are the definitions of proven and probable reserves used by the SEC. Some of our royalty operators are Canadian issuers. Their definitions of mineral reserve, proven mineral reserve and probable mineral reserve conform to the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum definitions of these terms as of the effective date of estimation as required by National Instrument 43-101 of the Canadian Securities Administrators. The Canadian definitions of reserve, proven (measured) reserves, and probable (indicated) reserves are different than those used by the SEC as defined below.

Reserve is that part of a mineral deposit which could be economically and legally extracted or produced at the time of the reserve determination.

Proven (Measured) Reserves are reserves for which (a) quantity is computed from dimensions revealed in outcrops, trenches, workings or drill holes, and the grade is computed from the results of detailed sampling, and (b) the sites for inspection, sampling and measurement are spaced so closely and the geologic character is so well defined that the size, shape, depth and mineral content of the reserves are well established.

Probable (Indicated) Reserves are reserves for which the quantity and grade are computed from information similar to that used for proven (measured) reserves, but the sites for inspection, sampling and measurement are farther apart or are otherwise less adequately spaced. The degree of assurance of probable

(indicated) reserves, although lower than that for proven (measured) reserves, is high enough to assume geological continuity between points of observation.

- (2) Gold reserves were calculated by the operators at the following per ounce prices: \$800 Taparko; \$600 Dolores; \$575 Cortez, Goldstrike, Bald Mountain, Leeville, Williams and Pascua-Lama; \$550 El Chanate, El Limon and Marigold; \$525 Peñasquito; \$500 Mulatos; \$480 Benso; \$400 Don Mario. Quadra does not use a gold price figure to define reserves as gold is produced as a by-product of copper. No gold price is reported for Gold Hill see footnote 15.
- (3) Reserves have been calculated by the operators as of December 31, 2007, with the exception of the following properties: Don Mario (UMZ) October 2006; Benso April 2007; Peñasquito June 2007; and El Chanate October 2007. The

reserves at the Don Mario mine for the LMZ as of September 30, 2007, are based on publicly available reserve and production information dated December 5, 2005 and November 29, 2007.

- (4) Contained ounces or contained pounds do not take into account losses in processing the ore. The amounts shown are 100% of the reserves subject to our royalty interests.
- (5) GSR1, GSR2 and NVR1 reserves are a subset of the reserves covered by GSR3. Upon consummation of the royalty acquisition transaction between Royal Gold and Barrick, the portion of the GSR3 and NVR1 royalties on the Crossroads deposit will be eliminated. As of December 31, 2007, there were no proven and probable reserves associated with the Crossroads deposit. See Item 7, MD&A, for further detail on the transaction.
- (6) Due to the royalty structure at the Taparko and Troy mines, reserves

cannot be broken
down into proven
and probable.

- (7) TB-GSR1 and
TB-GSR2 royalties
are subject to the
same reserve.

- (8) The reserves at Taparko have been adjusted, based on the operator's gold price assumption of \$800 per ounce, to reflect the \$35 million cap on the TB-GSR1 royalty. Upon meeting this cap, both the TB-GSR1 and TB-GSR2 royalties cease and the TB-GSR3 royalty becomes effective. The TB-GSR3 reserves represent the remaining reserves after subtracting the reserves associated with TB-GSR1 and TB-GSR2.
- (9) The operators at Goldstrike, Leeville, Bald Mountain, Gold Hill and Marigold did not provide a breakdown of proven and probable reserves.
- (10) The Company's royalty is subject to a 2.0 million ounce cap on gold production.

As of June 30, 2008, approximately 248,000 cumulative ounces of gold have been produced.

- (11) The reserves shown are subject to the Company's sliding-scale NSR and NPI royalties at El Chanate and were not adjusted to reflect the respective caps. The sliding-scale NSR royalty is capped once payment of approximately \$17.0 million have been received while the NPI royalty is capped at \$1.0 million

- (12) Don Mario reserves consist of a lower mineralized zone (LMZ) and an upper mineralized zone (UMZ). A breakdown of proven and probable reserves on the LMZ was not provided by the operator.

- (13) Reserves represent the

operator's reserve estimates as of November 1, 2005, net of 2006 and 2007 annual production as reported by the operator.

- (14) Operator reported reserve estimates by deposit types. A sulfide deposit is one in which the sulfide minerals predominate. An oxide deposit is one in which the oxide minerals predominate.
- (15) Reserves shown represent the area of interest in Chile to which the royalty applies.
- (16) Round Mountain Gold Corporation's Gold Hill reserves are not separately detailed in their publicly available financial reports. However, Barrick stated in its September 2006 Nevada Mine Tour presentation titled "Barrick in Nevada," posted on their web site, that as of

December 31, 2005, there were 375,000 ounces contained in reserves that represent their 50% share of the project.

(17) Silver reserves were calculated by the operators at \$11.00 per ounce for Martha; \$10.00 per ounce for Troy, Peñasquito (sulfide and oxide), and Dolores; and \$6.00 per ounce for Don Mario.

(18) The reserves subject to the 7.0% GSR royalty have been adjusted downward by Royal Gold due to the expectation of meeting the monetary cap of \$10.5 million in cumulative payments. Royal Gold used the operator's December 31, 2007 silver and copper reserve prices of \$10.00 per ounce and \$2.25 per pound, respectively, to calculate this adjustment.

(19)

Copper reserves were calculated by the operators at \$2.50 per pound for Robinson for calendar years 2008 through 2012 and \$1.75 for the remainder of the mine life; \$2.25 per pound for Troy; and \$1.00 for Don Mario (UMZ).

(20) Zinc reserves were calculated by the operator at \$0.80 per pound.

(21) Lead reserves were calculated by the operator at \$0.40 per pound.

Table 2 below summarizes additional mineralization for gold, silver, copper, lead and zinc which have been reported to us by the operators of our royalty interests as of December 31, 2007. Please refer to page 33 for the footnotes to Table 2.

TABLE 2
Gold Additional Mineralized Material⁽¹⁾
Production Stage Royalties
As of December 31, 2007

Royalty	Operator	Additional Mineralized Material	Tons (millions)	Average Gold Grade (ounces per ton)
Cortez GSR1 ⁽³⁾	Barrick	Measured ^(2,3)	2.89	0.025
		Indicated ^(2,3)	29.43	0.020
		Inferred ^(2,3)	4.33	0.013
Cortez GSR2 ⁽³⁾	Barrick	Measured ^(2,3)	3.49	0.044
		Indicated ^(2,3)	24.70	0.039
		Inferred ^(2,3)	0.94	0.019
Cortez GSR3 ⁽³⁾	Barrick	Measured ^(2,3)	6.38	0.035
		Indicated ^(2,3)	54.14	0.028
		Inferred ^(2,3)	5.26	0.014
Cortez NVR1 ⁽³⁾	Barrick	Measured ^(2,3)	3.84	0.044
		Indicated ^(2,3)	28.67	0.037
		Inferred ^(2,3)	3.38	0.012
Robinson	Quadra	Measured ^(2,4)	573.00	0.005
		Indicated ^(2,4)	147.00	0.003
		Inferred ^(2,4)	89.00	0.002
Taparko	High River	Measured ⁽²⁾	2.51	0.082
		Indicated ⁽²⁾	1.22	0.083
		Inferred ⁽²⁾		
Leeville Mining Complex	Newmont	⁽⁵⁾	0.64	0.446
Goldstrike SJ Claims	Barrick	Measured ⁽²⁾	2.76	0.055
		Indicated ⁽²⁾	4.06	0.050
		Inferred ⁽²⁾		
Mulatos ⁽⁶⁾	Alamos	Measured ⁽²⁾	12.31	0.029
		Indicated ⁽²⁾	58.47	0.027
		Inferred ⁽²⁾		
		Measured & Indicated ⁽²⁾	7.64	0.026

Edgar Filing: ROYAL GOLD INC - Form 10-K/A

Bald Mountain	Barrick	Inferred ⁽²⁾		
		Measured ^(2,8)	11.31	0.018
		Indicated ^(2,8)	32.85	0.020
El Chanate	Capital Gold	Inferred ^(2,8)	6.15	0.021
		Measured ⁽²⁾	1.71	0.090
		Indicated ⁽²⁾	2.37	0.154
Williams	Williams	Inferred ⁽²⁾	6.21	0.127
		Measured ⁽²⁾	8.71	0.002
		Indicated ⁽²⁾	38.25	0.003
Peñasquito (oxide) ⁽⁷⁾	Goldcorp	Inferred ⁽²⁾	45.19	0.004
		Measured ⁽²⁾	0.03	0.136
		Indicated ⁽²⁾	0.39	0.147
El Limon	Central Sun	Inferred ⁽²⁾		

30

TABLE 2 (Continued)
Gold Additional Mineralized Material⁽¹⁾
Development Stage Royalties
As of December 31, 2007

Royalty	Operator	Additional Mineralized Material	Tons (millions)	Average Gold Grade
				(ounces per ton)
Peñasquito (sulfide) ⁽⁷⁾	Goldcorp	Measured ⁽²⁾	109.24	0.008
		Indicated ⁽²⁾	591.71	0.006
		Inferred ⁽²⁾	1,299.61	0.007
Dolores	Minefinders	Measured & Indicated ⁽²⁾	7.70	0.090
		Inferred ⁽²⁾	33.45	0.020
Pascua-Lama	Barrick	Measured ⁽²⁾	8.16	0.037
		Indicated ⁽²⁾	62.39	0.035
		Inferred ⁽²⁾	7.28	0.027
Benso	Golden Star	Measured ⁽²⁾	0.45	0.072
		Indicated ⁽²⁾	0.67	0.099
		Inferred ⁽²⁾		

Silver Additional Mineralized Material⁽¹⁾
Production Stage Royalties
As of December 31, 2007

Royalty	Operator	Additional Mineralized Material	Tons (millions)	Average Silver Grade
				(ounces per ton)
Peñasquito (oxide) ⁽⁷⁾	Goldcorp	Measured ⁽²⁾	8.71	0.20
		Indicated ⁽²⁾	38.25	0.21
		Inferred ⁽²⁾	45.19	0.38
Troy ⁽⁹⁾	Revett	Measured ⁽²⁾	35.16	1.46
		Indicated ⁽²⁾	10.93	1.05
		Inferred ⁽²⁾		
Martha	Coeur d'Alene	Measured ⁽²⁾	0.039	46.33
		Indicated ⁽²⁾	0.053	29.78
		Inferred ⁽²⁾	0.072	27.53

Silver Additional Mineralized Material⁽¹⁾
 Development Stage Royalties
 As of December 31, 2007

Royalty	Operator	Additional Mineralized Material	Tons (millions)	Average Silver Grade (ounces per ton)
		Measured ⁽²⁾	109.24	0.65
		Indicated ⁽²⁾	591.71	0.56
Peñasquito (sulfide) ⁽⁷⁾	Goldcorp	Inferred ⁽²⁾	1,299.61	0.38
		Measured & Indicated ⁽²⁾	7.70	2.87
Dolores	Minefinders	Inferred ⁽²⁾	33.45	0.82

Copper Additional Mineralized Material⁽¹⁾
 Production Stage Royalties
 As of December 31, 2007

Royalty	Operator	Additional Mineralized Material	Tons (millions)	Average Copper Grade (%)
		Measured ⁽²⁾	573.00	0.43
		Indicated ⁽²⁾	147.00	0.30
Robinson	Quadra	Inferred ⁽²⁾	89.00	0.28
		Measured ⁽²⁾	35.16	0.72
		Indicated ⁽²⁾	10.93	0.46
Troy ⁽⁹⁾	Revett	Inferred ⁽²⁾		

Lead Additional Mineralized Material⁽¹⁾
 Development Stage Royalties
 As of December 31, 2007

Royalty	Operator	Additional Mineralized Material	Tons (millions)	Average Lead Grade (%)
		Measured ⁽²⁾	109.24	0.24
		Indicated ⁽²⁾	591.71	0.20
Peñasquito (sulfide) ⁽⁷⁾	Goldcorp	Inferred ⁽²⁾	1,299.61	0.08

TABLE 2 (Continued)
 Zinc Additional Mineralized Material⁽¹⁾
 Development Stage Royalties
 As of December 31, 2007

Royalty	Operator	Additional Mineralized Material	Tons (millions)	Average Zinc Grade (%)
		Measured ⁽²⁾	109.24	0.67
		Indicated ⁽²⁾	591.71	0.55
Peñasquito (sulfide) ⁽⁷⁾	Goldcorp	Inferred ⁽²⁾	1,299.61	0.50

Footnotes to Table 2

- (1) Mineralized material is that part of a mineral system that has potential economic significance but cannot be included in the proven and probable ore reserve estimates until further drilling and metallurgical work is completed, and until other economic and technical feasibility factors based upon such work have been resolved. The SEC does not recognize this term. Investors are cautioned not to assume that any part or all of the mineral deposits in these

categories will ever be converted into reserves.

- (2) Some of our royalty operators are Canadian issuers. Their definitions of mineral resource, measured mineral resource, indicated mineral resource and inferred mineral resource conforms to the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum definitions of those terms as of the effective date of estimation, as required by National Instrument 43-101 of the Canadian Securities Administrators. Mineral resources which are not mineral reserves do not have economic viability. Canadian issuers use the terms mineral resources and its subcategories measured, indicated and

inferred mineral resources. While such terms are recognized and required by Canadian regulations, the SEC does not recognize them. Investors are cautioned not to assume that any part or all of the mineral deposits in these categories will ever be converted into reserves.

- (3) GSR1, GSR2 and NVR1 additional mineralized material are a subset of the additional mineralized material covered by GSR3. Upon consummation of the royalty acquisition transaction between Royal Gold and Barrick, the portion of the GSR3 and NVR1 royalties on the Crossroads deposit will be eliminated. Approximately 60% of the additional mineralized material reported as of

December 31, 2007, lies within the Crossroads deposit.

- (4) Additional mineralized material does not include reserves, except at Robinson at the request of the operator.
- (5) The operator reported additional mineralization as non-reserve material but did not provide a breakdown measured, indicated and inferred material.
- (6) In June 2008, figures for measured additional mineralized material at Mulatos were corrected from 19.07 tons, at a grade of 0.040 ounces per ton, to 12.31 tons at a grade of 0.029 ounces per ton. Indicated additional mineralized material was corrected from 87.13 tons, at a grade of 0.033 ounces per ton, to 58.47 tons, at a grade of 0.027

ounces per ton.
These
corrections
reflect the
operator's
inclusion of
reserves in their
initial additional
mineralized
material figure
for calendar
year end 2007.

- (7) Operator has reported estimates by deposit types. An oxide deposit is one in which the oxide minerals predominate. A sulfide deposit is one in which the sulfide minerals predominate.
- (8) Includes additional mineralized material subject to the sliding-scale NSR and NPI royalty interests at El Chanate.
- (9) Additional mineralized material shown is subject to the Company's 2.0% GSR royalty at Troy.

NOTE: Additional mineralization is not shown for the Don Mario, Gold Hill and Marigold mines. At Don Mario, figures for the reported additional mineralization for the LMZ have not been updated since the operator's 2005 model, detailed in their December 5, 2005 press release. Due to the age of the model, Royal Gold has not listed these figures. For the UMZ at Don Mario, all additional mineralization is covered in the design of the existing pit. Figures for Gold Hill and Marigold are not shown because the operator has not reported additional mineralization pertaining to our royalty interest.

Royalties on Exploration Stage Properties

For a listing of the Company's interests in royalties on exploration stage properties, please refer to Item 1, Business, of this report. There are no proven and probable reserves associated with these properties at this time.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Casmalia Resources Hazardous Waste Disposal Site

On March 24, 2000, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) notified Royal Gold and 92 other entities that they were considered potentially responsible parties (PRPs) under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (Superfund), at the Casmalia Resources Hazardous Waste Disposal Site (the Site) in Santa Barbara County, California. EPA's allegation that Royal Gold was a PRP was based on the disposal of allegedly hazardous petroleum exploration wastes at the Site by Royal Gold's predecessor, Royal Resources, Inc., during 1983 and 1984.

After extensive negotiations, on September 23, 2002, Royal Gold, along with 35 members of the PRP group targeted by EPA, entered into a Partial Consent Decree with the United States of America intending to settle their liability for the United States of America's past and future clean-up costs incurred at the Site. Based on the minimal volume of allegedly hazardous waste that Royal Resources, Inc. disposed of at the Site, our share of the \$25.3 million settlement amount was \$0.1 million, which we deposited into the escrow account that the PRP group set up for that purpose in January 2002. The funds were paid to the United States of America on May 9, 2003. The United States of America may only pursue Royal Gold and the other PRPs for additional clean-up costs if the United States of America's total clean-up costs at the Site significantly exceed the expected cost of approximately \$272 million. We believe our potential liability with the United States of America to be a remote possibility.

At present, Royal Gold is considering entering into a de minimis settlement with the State of California. The date for accepting a settlement was extended indefinitely by the State of California pending preparation of settlement documentation by the State. Such settlement will result in a final conclusion regarding the Company's responsibility to address the matter.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of our security holders during the quarter ended June 30, 2008. Results from our annual meeting will be described in Part II, Item 4 of our report that will be filed on Form 10-Q for the quarter ending December 31, 2008.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information and Current Stockholders

Our common stock is traded on the Nasdaq Global Select Market (Nasdaq) under the symbol RGLD and on the Toronto Stock Exchange under the symbol RGL. The following table sets forth, for each of

the quarterly periods indicated, the range of high and low sales prices, in U.S. dollars, for the common stock on NASDAQ, for each quarter since July 1, 2005.

Fiscal Year:		Sales Prices	
		High	Low
2006	First Quarter (July, Aug., Sept. 2005)	\$30.20	\$18.74
	Second Quarter (Oct., Nov., Dec. 2005)	\$35.69	\$20.95
	Third Quarter (Jan., Feb., March 2006)	\$41.66	\$27.01
	Fourth Quarter (April, May, June 2006)	\$37.50	\$23.00
2007	First Quarter (July, Aug., Sept. 2006)	\$31.82	\$25.67
	Second Quarter (Oct., Nov., Dec. 2006)	\$37.50	\$24.12
	Third Quarter (Jan., Feb., March 2007)	\$36.50	\$29.31
	Fourth Quarter (April, May, June 2007)	\$30.87	\$23.25
2008	First Quarter (July, Aug., Sept. 2007)	\$34.36	\$23.85
	Second Quarter (Oct., Nov., Dec. 2007)	\$35.39	\$26.54
	Third Quarter (Jan., Feb., March 2008)	\$35.42	\$27.51
	Fourth Quarter (April, May, June 2008)	\$32.93	\$26.87

As of August 13, 2008, there were 1,001 shareholders of record of our common stock.

Dividends

We have paid a cash dividend on our common stock for each calendar year beginning in calendar year 2000. Our board of directors has discretion in determining whether to declare a dividend based on a number of factors including prevailing gold prices, economic market conditions and funding requirements for future opportunities or operations. For calendar year 2008, we announced an annual dividend of \$0.28 per share of common stock, payable in four quarterly payments of \$0.07 each. The first payment of \$0.07 per share was made on January 18, 2008, to shareholders of record at close of business on January 4, 2008. The second payment of \$0.07 per share was made on April 18, 2008, to shareholders of record at the close of business on April 4, 2008. The third payment of \$0.07 per share was made on July 18, 2008, to shareholders of record at the close of business on July 3, 2008. We anticipate paying the fourth payment of \$0.07 per share on October 17, 2008, to shareholders of record at the close of business on October 3, 2008.

For calendar year 2007, we paid an annual dividend of \$0.26 per share of common stock, in four quarterly payments of \$0.065 each. We paid the first payment of \$0.065 per share on January 19, 2007, to shareholders of record at the close of business on January 5, 2007. We paid the second payment of \$0.065 per share on April 20, 2007, to shareholders of record at the close of business on April 5, 2007. We paid the third payment of \$0.065 per share on July 20, 2007 to shareholders of record at the close of business on July 6, 2007. We paid the fourth payment of \$0.065 per share on October 19, 2007, to shareholders of record at the close of business on October 5, 2007.

For calendar year 2006, we paid an annual dividend of \$0.22 per share of common stock, in four quarterly payments of \$0.055 each. We paid the first payment of \$0.055 per share on January 20, 2006, to shareholders of record at the close of business on January 6, 2006. We paid the second payment of \$0.055 per share on April 21, 2006, to shareholders of record at the close of business on April 7, 2006. We paid the third payment of \$0.055 per share on July 28, 2006 to shareholders of record at the close

of business on July 7, 2006. We paid the fourth payment of \$0.055 per share on October 20, 2006, to shareholders of record at the close of business on October 6, 2006.

We currently plan to pay dividends on a calendar year basis, subject to the discretion of our board of directors.

However, our board of directors may determine not to declare a dividend based on a number of factors including the gold price, economic and market conditions and the financial needs of opportunities that might arise in the future.

Sales of Unregistered Securities

We did not make any unregistered sales of our securities during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected consolidated financial data as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 has been restated. Refer to Note 20 of the notes to consolidated financial statements for a further description and quantification of the restatement. The following should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K/A and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, included in Item 7 of this Form 10-K/A.

Selected Statements of Operations Data

Amounts in thousands, except per share data	2008 (Restated)	For The Fiscal Years Ended June 30,			
		2007	2006	2005	2004
Royalty revenue	\$66,297 ⁽¹⁾	\$48,357	\$28,380	\$25,302	\$21,353
Costs of operations	3,664	3,265	2,288	1,847	1,513
General and administrative expense	7,208	5,824	5,022	3,695	2,923
Exploration and business development	4,079	2,493	3,397	1,893	1,392
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	18,364	8,269	4,261	3,205	3,314
Current and deferred tax expense	12,050	9,549	5,101	4,102	3,654
Net income	24,043	19,720	11,350	11,454	8,872
Net income available to common stockholders	19,255	19,720	11,350	11,454	8,872
Basic earnings per share	0.62	0.79	0.50	0.55	0.43
Diluted earnings per share	0.61	0.79	0.49	0.54	0.42
Common dividends declared per share ⁽²⁾	0.28	0.25	0.22	0.19	0.15

(1) See Notes 19 and 20 of the notes to consolidated financial statements for a discussion of the impact of the restatement on our quarterly results and the restatement, respectively.

(2) The 2008, 2007, 2006, 2005 and 2004 calendar year dividends were \$0.28,

\$0.26,
\$0.22,\$0.20 and
\$0.15,
respectively, as
approved by our
board of
directors. Please
refer to Item 5
of this report for
further
information on
our common
dividends.

Selected Balance Sheet Data

Amounts in thousands	For The Fiscal Years Ended June 30,				
	2008 (Restated)	2007	2006	2005	2004
Total assets	\$545,850	\$356,649	\$171,765	\$102,158	\$93,215
Working capital	202,043	90,995	81,452	53,330	49,460
Royalty interests in mineral properties, net	300,670	215,839	84,590	44,817	40,326
Note payable	15,750	15,750			
Other long-term liabilities	504	98	98	97	103
Net deferred tax liabilities	26,034	5,911	6,683	7,426	7,772
		37			

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Restatement

As part of the Company's royalty monitoring program, Royal Gold has identified a \$3.1 million overpayment of a royalty from Barrick Gold Corporation (Barrick) with respect to the Company's GSR1 and GSR2 royalties at the Cortez Pipeline Mining Complex, which the Company received and recognized as royalty revenues. The overpayment of the royalty was the result of Barrick incorrectly including non-Royal Gold royalty production in the Company's quarterly GSR1 and GSR2 royalty payments commencing in January 2007 and continuing through fiscal year 2008. The overpayments prior to fiscal year 2008 were immaterial.

The error that caused the overpayment of the royalties was not timely identified by our controls and procedures in-place and \$3.1 million was incorrectly recognized as royalty revenue, resulting in a material overstatement of royalty revenue for fiscal year 2008. On November 3, 2008, the Company's Audit Committee of the Board of Directors concluded that due to the error in accounting for royalty revenue, our previously issued consolidated financial statements as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 should no longer be relied upon and should be restated. The impact of the error on our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for fiscal year 2008 is to (1) reduce previously reported royalty revenue by \$3.1 million, (2) reduce income tax expense by \$0.9 million, (3) reduce net income available to common stockholders by \$2.1 million, and (4) reduce basic and diluted earnings per share by \$0.07. The impact of the error on our consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2008 is to (i) reduce royalty receivables by \$1.3 million, (ii) reduce current and total assets by \$0.4 million, (iii) increase accounts payable, current and total liabilities by \$1.6 million, and (iv) reduce accumulated earnings and total stockholders' equity by \$2.1 million. Refer to Notes 19 and 20 of the notes to consolidated financial statements for a discussion of the impact of the error on our quarterly results for fiscal year 2008.

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) has not been updated except as required to reflect the results of the restatement. This MD&A continues to speak as of the date of the Original Form 10-K and has not been updated to reflect other events occurring after the date of the Original Form 10-K or to modify or update those disclosures affected by subsequent events.

Overview

Royal Gold, together with its subsidiaries, is engaged in the business of acquiring and managing precious metals royalties. Royalties are passive (non-operating) interests in mining projects that provide the right to revenue or production from the project after deducting specified costs, if any.

We seek to acquire existing royalties or to finance projects that are in production or near production in exchange for royalty interests. We are engaged in a continual review of opportunities to acquire existing royalties, to create new royalties through the financing of mine development or exploration, or to acquire companies that hold royalties. We currently, and generally at any time, have acquisition opportunities in various stages of active review, including, for example, our engagement of consultants and advisors to analyze particular opportunities, analysis of technical, financial and other confidential information, submission of indications of interest, participation in preliminary discussions and involvement as a bidder in competitive auctions. We also fund exploration on properties thought to contain precious metals and seek to obtain royalties and other carried ownership interests in such properties through the subsequent transfer of operating interests to other mining companies. Substantially all of our revenues are and will be expected to be derived from royalty interests. We do not conduct mining operations at this time. During

the 2008 fiscal year, we focused on the management of our existing royalty interests, the acquisition of royalty interests, and the creation of royalty interests through financing and strategic exploration alliances.

Our financial results are primarily tied to the price of gold and other metals, as well as production from our producing stage royalty interests. The price of gold and other metals have fluctuated widely in recent years. The average price of gold per ounce during our fiscal year 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$821, \$638 and \$527, respectively. The marketability and the price of gold are influenced by numerous factors beyond the control of the Company and may have a material and adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

The increase in the average gold price, the continued ramp-up of gold production at the Taparko and Leeville mines, increased production at Robinson and Leeville, and production from the recently acquired Battle Mountain royalties, contributed to royalty revenue of \$66.3 million during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, compared to royalty revenue of \$48.4 million during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The increase in our royalty revenue during the fiscal year 2008 was slightly offset due to a decrease in production at Cortez and certain other of our principal producing royalties.

Please see Part I, Item 1, Business, and Part I, Item 2, Properties, of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for discussion on Royal Gold's producing, development stage and exploration stage royalty interests.

Royalty Acquisitions

Marigold and El Chanate

On February 20, 2008, we acquired three royalties from AngloGold Ashanti (U.S.A.) Exploration Inc. (AngloGold), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Anglo Gold Ashanti North America Inc., for \$13.75 million. The first royalty is a 2.0% NSR royalty on the Marigold mine, located on the Battle Mountain-Eureka trend in Nevada, and operated by Goldcorp. The second royalty is a 2.0-4.0% sliding-scale NSR royalty on the El Chanate mine, located in Sonora, Mexico, and operated by Capital Gold. The third royalty is a 10.0% NPI royalty, also on the El Chanate mine. The sliding-scale royalty is capped once payments of approximately \$17.0 million have been received while the 10.0% NPI royalty is capped at \$1.0 million.

The Marigold mine is a large scale, open pit, heap leach operation. The 2.0% NSR royalty interest burdens the majority of six sections of land, containing a number of open pits, but does not cover the current mining area in the Basalt/Antler area. Approximately 38% of the current reserves are covered by this royalty. According to Goldcorp's December 31, 2007, reserve statement, reserves subject to our royalty include 44.59 million tons of ore, at a grade of 0.019 ounces per ton, containing about 0.867 million ounces of gold. We estimate this royalty will begin generating royalty revenue in calendar 2011 when mining operations move into areas covered by our royalty interests. The Marigold 2.0% NSR royalty is classified as a development stage royalty interest on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

According to Capital Gold's reserve statement on September 4, 2007, El Chanate contains proven and probable reserves of 43.5 million tons of ore, at a grade of 0.019 ounces per ton, containing about 832,000 ounces of gold. The open pit mine and heap leach operation commenced production in mid-2007 and Capital Gold estimates production of approximately 60,000 ounces of gold in calendar 2008, with a potential expansion to 100,000 ounces per year in calendar 2009. The El Chanate sliding-scale royalty pays at a rate of 2.0% when the average gold price is below \$300 per ounce, 3.0% when the gold price is between \$300 and \$350 per ounce, and 4.0% when the gold price is above \$350 per ounce. Including royalty payments made to AngloGold, there have been cumulative payments made on the sliding-scale NSR royalty of \$1.1 million, resulting in \$15.9 million remaining under the \$17.0 million cap as of June 30, 2008. There have been no payments made on the NPI royalty as of June 30, 2008; however, the

Company has accrued approximately \$0.5 million as of June 30, 2008, for the NPI royalty based on information received from Capital Gold. The NPI royalty is payable in February 2009. The El Chanate sliding-scale and NPI royalties are classified as production stage royalty interests on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

Benso

On December 7, 2007, Royal Gold paid \$1.875 million to FairWest Energy in exchange for a 1.5% NSR royalty on gold produced from the Benso concession in the Western Region of the Republic of Ghana, West Africa. The Benso concession, controlled by Golden Star, is located approximately 25 miles south of Golden Star's Wassa mine. Golden Star has reported that, as of June 15, 2007, the project contains 252,000 ounces of probable reserves, consisting of 2.54 million tons of ore, at a grade of 0.099 ounces per ton. The operator expects production to commence during the third quarter of calendar 2008. The Benso royalty is classified as a development stage royalty interest on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

Other developments

Please also see the "Liquidity and Capital Resources" section below within this Item 7 for discussion of our Preferred Stock offering, credit facility amendment, stock repurchase program and other recent liquidity and capital developments.

Agreement to acquire Barrick royalty portfolio

On July 30, 2008, we entered into a definitive agreement to acquire a portfolio of royalties from Barrick in exchange for net cash consideration of \$150 million and a restructuring of certain Royal Gold royalty positions at Cortez, which is operated by Barrick. The Barrick royalty portfolio consists of royalties on 77 properties, including eight producing royalties, two development stage projects and 67 exploration stage properties. Eighteen of the exploration stage projects are considered to be in an evaluation stage as these properties are engaged in the search for reserves but currently contain additional mineralized material. Over 75% of the portfolio consists of precious metals royalties and complements our existing geographical royalty positions with significant growth into Canada and Australia. We will pay Barrick net cash of \$150 million and reduce certain of our royalty positions at Cortez, which are described further in Item 2, Properties, of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The restructuring of certain Cortez royalty positions includes the reduction of the GSR2 sliding-scale royalty rate ranging from 0.72%-9.0%, to match the current GSR1 sliding-scale royalty rate ranging from 0.40%-5.0%. In addition, we will also eliminate our interest in the 0.71% GSR3 and the NVR1 (non-consolidated minority interest portion) royalties on the mining claims that comprise the undeveloped Crossroads deposit at Cortez. Barrick has announced that it is currently investing exploration and engineering resources to advance the development of the Crossroads deposit and is targeting over 1.0 million ounces of reserves by calendar year end 2008. The GSR3 and NVR1 royalties which cover areas outside of the Crossroads deposit will not be affected by this transaction. GSR2, as reduced, will continue to apply to the Crossroads deposit.

The royalty portfolio, which was assembled by Barrick and various predecessor companies, including Placer Dome, Lac Minerals, AurionGold, Delta Gold and Plutonic generated approximately \$12 million in royalty revenue in calendar 2007. The Company expects royalty revenues to grow within this portfolio, assuming current commodity prices and as development stage projects commence production. The key assets in the Barrick royalty portfolio include the following properties:

Mulatos A sliding-scale NSR royalty currently paying 3.5% on Alamos Mulatos mine. We currently own a 0.30%-1.50% sliding-scale NSR royalty on the property. This acquisition consolidates the Mulatos royalty and increases our current royalty interest from 1.5% to 5.0%, at

current commodity prices. The royalty is capped at 2.0 million gold ounces of production and approximately 248,000 gold ounces have been produced through June 30, 2008;

Malartic A 2.0%-3.0% sliding-scale NSR royalty on the Canadian Malartic gold project, owned by Osisko Mining Corporation (Osisko). Osisko anticipates releasing additional mineralized material estimates on Malartic in the third calendar quarter of 2008 and expects to complete feasibility work in the fourth calendar quarter of 2008. The royalty is subject to a buy down right and a right of first refusal;

Siguiri A sliding-scale NSR royalty currently paying 1.875% on the Siguiri gold mine in Guinea, West Africa, operated by AngloGold Ashanti. The royalty is capped on a dollar basis and approximately \$15 million remains to be paid;

Mt. Goode/Cosmos A 1.5% NSR royalty covering a portion of Xstrata's Cosmos nickel mine in Australia. A large portion of the royalty interest is located to the south of the Cosmos and Cosmos Deeps ore bodies and includes potential future production from identified mineralization, including Tapinos, Prospero and AM2 deposits; and

Allan A 40% interest in a sliding-scale royalty on Potash Corporation of Saskatchewan's potash mine located in Canada. The royalty is currently paying at a rate of \$1.44 per ton relative to production, subject to reductions based on annual production.

The purchase price for the acquisition will be paid from cash on hand at closing. The acquisition is subject to customary closing conditions and is expected to close on October 1, 2008.

Proposed Acquisition of Royalties at Limpopo Platinum Project

On April 3, 2008, Royal Gold entered into a letter of intent to acquire two royalties from MinEx Projects Pty Ltd (MinEx) on the Limpopo platinum project in South Africa for \$19.25 million. The first royalty is a fixed 0.704% NSR royalty on the producing Messina lease area and the second royalty is a 1.5% NSR on the non-producing Dwaalkop lease area, both of which are located within the Limpopo project area approximately 120 miles north of Johannesburg, South Africa. The transaction is subject to definitive documentation, completion of due diligence, and board approval, and acquisition of the Dwaalkop royalty is subject to a right of first refusal. The letter of intent is binding and governed by the laws of the Republic of South Africa.

Acquisition of Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corp.

On October 24, 2007, we acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding capital stock of Battle Mountain in a transaction whereby our wholly-owned subsidiary, Royal Battle Mountain, Inc., was merged with and into Battle Mountain, with Battle Mountain surviving as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Gold. The aggregate consideration consisted of 1.14 million shares of our common stock and approximately \$3.4 million in cash.

Subject to settlement of the Battle Mountain litigation discussed below, additional consideration of up to an aggregate of 37,418 shares of Royal Gold common stock and approximately \$0.1 million in cash may be paid to Battle Mountain stockholders. On September 13, 2006, an action was filed against Battle Mountain and its former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Mark Kucher, by James E. McKay, a former officer and director of Battle Mountain, in the second Judicial Court of the State of Nevada. The action seeks to enforce alleged rights to certain shares of Battle Mountain common stock and options to purchase shares of Battle Mountain common stock pursuant to a stock option agreement and a stock option plan, and unspecified damages. Royal Gold may pay the additional consideration described above to Battle Mountain stockholders depending upon the cost of settling this litigation.

As part of the acquisition of Battle Mountain, we acquired thirteen royalty interests in various stages of production, development or exploration. The Company recognized approximately \$2.8 million in royalty revenue associated with the acquired Battle Mountain production stage royalty interests from the date of acquisition through June 30, 2008. The key royalty interest acquired in the Battle Mountain acquisition was a 1.25% NSR royalty on gold and a 2.0% NSR royalty on both gold and silver from the Dolores project, located in Chihuahua, Mexico, and owned and operated by Minefinders. The 2.0% NSR royalty becomes effective when the facility has been producing at 75% of its design capacity for three consecutive months. In February 2006, Minefinders received an optimized bankable feasibility study and approved the mine construction on the Dolores project. Minefinders announced in July 2008 that initial gold and silver pours from the Dolores mine have been delayed until the later portion of third calendar quarter of 2008. The mine plan estimates a 12 year mine life.

We carry our interest in the proven and probable reserves at the Dolores project as a development stage royalty interest, which is not currently subject to amortization. The remaining portion of the Dolores royalty, which is not currently associated with proven and probable reserves, is classified as an exploration stage royalty interest and is not currently subject to amortization.

Please refer to Note 2 of the notes to consolidated financial statements for further discussion on the acquisition of Battle Mountain.

Operators Production Estimates by Royalty for Calendar 2008

We received production estimates from the operators of our producing mines during the first calendar quarter of 2008. The following table shows such production estimates for calendar 2008 as well as the actual production reported to us by the various operators for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The estimates and production reports are prepared by the operators of the mining properties. We do not participate in the preparation or calculation of the operators estimates or production reports and have not independently assessed or verified the accuracy of such information.

Operators Production Estimate by Royalty for Calendar 2008 and Reported Production
For the period January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008

Royalty	Calendar 2008 Operator s Production Estimate ⁽¹⁾			Reported Production through June 30, 2008 ⁽²⁾		
	Gold (oz.)	Silver (oz.)	Copper (lbs.)	Gold (oz.)	Silver (oz.)	Copper (lbs.)
Cortez GSR1	316,000			141,448		
Cortez GSR2 ⁽³⁾	51,000			20,362		
Cortez GSR3 ⁽³⁾	367,000			161,810		
Cortez NVR1 ⁽³⁾	242,000			86,120		
SJ Claims	792,000			327,804		
Leeville	415,000			212,679		
Taparko	91,000			27,280		
Peñasquito ⁽⁴⁾	67,000	2.3 million		1,618	91,601	
Dolores ⁽⁵⁾	40,000	1.0 million				
Don Mario ⁽⁶⁾	N/A			41,162		
Williams	126,000			68,092		
El Limon	43,000			21,210		
Bald Mountain	28,000			17,293		
Mulatos	120,000			68,588		
El Chanate ⁽⁷⁾	50,000			20,906		
Benso	25,000					
Martha ^(8,9)		3.2 million			1.8 million	
Robinson ^(10,11)	115,000		150 million	67,716		77.6 million
Troy ⁽¹¹⁾		1.4 million	12.5 million		448,732	4.4 million

(1) There can be no assurance that these production estimates will be achieved. Please refer to our cautionary language regarding forward looking

statements and to the risk factors identified in Part I, Item 1A, of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for information regarding factors that could affect actual results.

- (2) Reported production relates to the amount of metal sales, subject to our royalty interests, for the period January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008, as reported to us by the operators of the mines.

- (3) Upon the consummation of the royalty acquisition transaction between Royal Gold and Barrick, GSR2 will be reduced to match the royalty rate of GSR1 and the portion of the GSR3 and NVR1 royalties on the mining claims that comprise the undeveloped Crossroads deposit at Cortez will be

eliminated.
None of the
production
estimates shown
are attributable
to the
Crossroads
deposit.

- (4) Reported
production
estimate relates
to the oxide
circuit.
Goldcorp
recently
reported that
construction is
progressing at
Peñasquito and
that several
construction
milestones were
completed
during the
second calendar
quarter of 2008.
In May 2008,
Peñasquito
poured the first
gold from the
oxide circuit.
Goldcorp
expects
production at
Peñasquito from
the first sulfide
circuit by late
calendar 2009
and expects the
second sulfide
circuit to be
operational near
the end of
calendar 2010.
- (5) Minefinders
announced in
July 2008 that
initial
production from

the Dolores
mine has been
delayed until the
later portion of
third calendar
quarter of 2008
due to a
blockade that
was established
in May 2008 by
a group of
protestors.
Minefinders

also announced that the revised timing (from mid-July 2008) for the initial production will affect previously released calendar 2008 production estimates, but did not provide updated guidance.

- (6) The operator at Don Mario did not provide us a production estimate for calendar 2008.
- (7) Reported production is for the period from the date of acquisition through June 30, 2008.
- (8) Recovered metal contained in concentrate and subject to third party treatment charges and recovery losses.
- (9) As discussed in Coeur d'Alene's National Instrument 43-101 report of the Canadian Securities Administration filed as of

December 31, 2007, it was estimated that the Martha mine would produce approximately 5.0 million ounces of silver during calendar 2008. During the second calendar quarter of 2008, Coeur d'Alene announced that estimated production at the Martha mine would be approximately 3.2 million ounces of silver for calendar 2008. The Company has revised Martha production herein accordingly.

- (10) As a result of strong performance at Robinson through the first six months of calendar 2008, Quadra announced in July 2008 that it increased its 2008 annual metal production guidance from 130 million pounds to 150 million pounds of copper and from 100,000 ounces

to 115,000
ounces of gold.

- (11) Recovered
metal contained
in concentrate
and subject to
third party
recovery losses.

The following table discloses historical production for the properties that are subject to our royalty interests, as reported to us by the operators of the mines, for the past three fiscal years:

Historical Production⁽¹⁾ by Royalty
For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30,

Royalty	Metal	2008	2007	2006
Cortez GSR1	Gold	400,396 oz.	502,626 oz.	598,974 oz.
Cortez GSR2	Gold	35,752 oz.	7,647 oz.	
Cortez GSR3	Gold	436,148 oz.	510,273 oz.	598,974 oz.
Cortez NVR1	Gold	127,198 oz.	291,963 oz.	263,223 oz.
Robinson	Gold	120,873 oz.	80,603 oz.	13,082 oz.
				1.0 million
SJ Claims	Gold	698,488 oz.	950,462 oz.	oz.
Leeville	Gold	360,811 oz.	230,458 oz.	83,696 oz.
Bald Mountain	Gold	50,141 oz.	109,515 oz.	126,317 oz.
Mulatos	Gold	120,933 oz.	103,262 oz.	23,912 oz.
Taparko ⁽²⁾	Gold	36,078 oz.	N/A	N/A
El Chanate ⁽³⁾	Gold	20,906 oz.	N/A	N/A
Don Mario ⁽⁴⁾	Gold	63,419 oz.	N/A	N/A
El Limon ⁽⁴⁾	Gold	28,385 oz.	N/A	N/A
Williams ⁽⁴⁾	Gold	105,898 oz.	N/A	N/A
Troy	Silver	744,008 oz. 3.2 million	1.0 million oz.	884,528 oz. 2.3 million
Martha	Silver	oz. 7.1 million	2.9 million oz.	oz. 7.1 million
Troy	Copper	lbs. 139.0 million	9.6 million lbs. 116.9 million	lbs. 27.2 million
Robinson	Copper	lbs.	lbs.	lbs.

- (1) Reported
production
relates to the
amount of metal
sales, subject to
our royalty
interests,
through June 30,
2008, as
reported to us
by the operators
of the mines.

- (2) Production commenced during the quarter ended September 30, 2007.
- (3) Royalty was acquired in February 2008.
- (4) Royalty was acquired on October 24, 2007, as part of the acquisition of Battle Mountain.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In March 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities - an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133* (SFAS 161). SFAS 161 intends to improve financial reporting about derivative instruments and hedging activities by requiring enhanced disclosures to enable investors to better understand their effects on an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS 161 also requires disclosure about an entity's strategy and objectives for using derivatives, the fair values of derivative instruments and their related gains and losses. SFAS 161 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, and will be applicable to the Company's fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009. The Company is evaluating the impact, if any, the adoption of SFAS 161 could have on its financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations*, (SFAS 141R), which significantly changes the ways companies account for business combinations and will generally require more assets acquired and liabilities assumed to be measured at their acquisition date fair value. Under SFAS 141R, legal fees and other transaction-related costs are expensed as incurred and are no longer included in goodwill as a cost of acquiring the business. SFAS 141R also requires, among other things, acquirers to estimate the acquisition date fair value of any contingent consideration and to recognize any subsequent changes in the fair value of contingent consideration in earnings. In addition, restructuring costs the acquirer expected, but was not obligated to incur, will be recognized separately from the business acquisition. SFAS 141R is effective for the Company's fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009, and is to be applied prospectively. The Company is evaluating the impact, if any, the adoption of SFAS 141R could have on its financial statements.

Also in December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 160, *Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51* (SFAS 160). SFAS 160 requires all entities to report non-controlling interests in subsidiaries as a separate component of equity in the consolidated financial statements. SFAS 160 establishes a single method of accounting for changes in a parent's ownership interest in a subsidiary that do not result in deconsolidation. Companies will no longer recognize a gain or loss on partial disposals of a subsidiary where control is retained. In addition, in partial acquisitions, where control is obtained, the acquiring company will recognize and measure at fair value 100 percent of the assets and liabilities, including goodwill, as if the entire target company had been acquired. SFAS 160 is effective for the Company's fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009, and is to be applied prospectively. The Company is evaluating the impact, if any, the adoption of SFAS 160 could have on its financial statements.

In June 2007, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) reached consensus on Issue No. 06-11 "*Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Share-Based Payment Awards*". EITF Issue No. 06-11 requires that the tax benefit related to dividend and dividend equivalents paid on equity-classified nonvested shares and nonvested share units, which are expected to vest, be recorded as an increase to additional paid-in capital. EITF No. 06-11 is to be applied prospectively for tax benefits on dividends declared in our fiscal year beginning July 1, 2008. We do not expect the adoption of EITF 06-11 to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*, (SFAS 159) which allows entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The provisions of SFAS 159 are effective for our fiscal year beginning July 1, 2008, and interim periods within the fiscal year. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 159 to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 provides guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. SFAS 157 applies whenever other accounting standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value

but does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. Under SFAS 157, fair value refers to the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability between participants in the market in which the reporting entity transacts. In this standard, the FASB clarifies the principle that fair value should be based on the assumptions market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability. The provisions of SFAS 157 are effective for our fiscal year beginning July 1, 2008, and interim periods within the fiscal year. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 157 to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

On July 13, 2006, Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*, was issued. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in a company's financial statements in accordance with SFAS 109. FIN 48 also prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. The Company adopted FIN 48 on July 1, 2007. Refer to Note 11 for a discussion regarding the effect of adopting FIN 48.

Critical Accounting Policies

Listed below are the accounting policies that the Company believes are critical to its financial statements due to the degree of uncertainty regarding the estimates or assumptions involved and the magnitude of the asset, liability, revenue or expense being reported.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of our financial statements, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, requires management to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, at the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period.

Our most critical accounting estimates relate to our assumptions regarding future gold prices and the estimates of reserves and recoveries of third-party mine operators. We rely on reserve estimates reported by the operators on the properties in which we have royalty interests. These estimates and the underlying assumptions affect the potential impairments of long-lived assets and the ability to realize income tax benefits associated with deferred tax assets. These estimates and assumptions also affect the rate at which we charge depreciation, depletion and amortization to earnings. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates these estimates and assumptions; however, actual amounts could differ from these estimates and assumptions.

Royalty Interests in Mineral Properties

As of June 30, 2008, the net carrying value of royalty interests in mineral properties was approximately \$300.7 million. Royalty interests in mineral properties include acquired royalty interests in production stage, development stage and exploration stage properties. The fair value of acquired royalty interests in mineral properties are capitalized as tangible assets when such interests do not meet the definition of a financial asset under the FASB Statement of Financial Account Standards (SFAS) No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities - a Replacements of FASB Statement No. 125*, or a derivative instrument under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*.

Acquisition costs of production and development stage royalty interests are depleted using the units of production method over the life of the mineral property, which is estimated using proven and probable reserves. Acquisition costs of royalty interests on exploration stage mineral properties, where there are no proven and probable reserves, are not amortized. At such time as the associated exploration stage mineral

interests are converted to proven and probable reserves, the cost basis is amortized over the remaining life of the mineral property, using proven and probable reserves. The carrying values of exploration stage mineral interests are evaluated for impairment at such time as information becomes available indicating that the production will not occur in the future. Exploration costs are expensed when incurred.

Royalty Revenue

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, we recognized royalty revenue of approximately \$66.3 million. Royalty revenue is recognized pursuant to guidance in Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, *Revenue Recognition for Financial Statements*. Revenue is recognized in accordance with the terms of the underlying royalty agreements subject to (i) the pervasive evidence of the existence of the arrangements; (ii) the risks and rewards having been transferred; (iii) the royalty being fixed or determinable; and (iv) the collectability of the royalty being reasonably assured. For royalty payments received in gold, royalty revenue is recorded at the average spot price of gold for the period in which the royalty was earned.

Revenue recognized pursuant to the Robinson royalty agreement is based upon three percent of revenue received by the operator of the mine, Quadra, for the sale of minerals from the Robinson mine, reduced by certain costs incurred by Quadra. Quadra's concentrate sales contracts with third-party smelters, in general, provide for an initial payment based upon provisional assays and quoted metal prices at the date of shipment. Final true up payments are subsequently based upon final assays and market metal prices set on a specified future dates, typically one to three months after the date the concentrate arrives at the third-party smelter (which generally occurs three to six months after the shipment date from the Robinson mine).

Royal Gold recognizes revenue under the Robinson royalty agreement based on amounts contractually due pursuant to the calculations above for the underlying sale. As a result of pricing variations in gold, silver and copper over the respective settlement period, royalty revenue recognized on the Robinson royalty could be positively or negatively impacted by any changes in metal prices, between the provisional and final settlement periods.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

At June 30, 2008, we had current assets of \$211.0 million compared to current liabilities of \$8.9 million for a current ratio of 24 to 1. This compares to current assets of \$95.7 million and current liabilities of \$4.7 million at June 30, 2007, resulting in a current ratio of approximately 20 to 1. Our current ratio increased during the period primarily due to an increase in cash and equivalents, which was largely due to net proceeds received from the issuance of preferred stock related to our November 2007 preferred stock offering, discussed below, of approximately \$111.1 million as well as cash received during the fiscal year 2008 from royalty revenue of approximately \$62.1 million. This increase in cash and equivalents was partially offset by cash paid as part of the acquisition of Battle Mountain of approximately \$3.4 million, cash paid for common and preferred stock dividends of approximately \$11.1 million and cash paid during the period for royalty acquisitions and income taxes of approximately \$16.2 million and \$13.3 million, respectively.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, liquidity needs were met from \$66.3 million in royalty revenues (including \$1.4 million of minority interest royalty revenue), net proceeds from issuance of preferred stock related to our November 2007 preferred stock offering of approximately \$111.1 million, our available cash resources and interest and other income of \$6.7 million. Also during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, our total assets increased to \$545.9 million compared to \$356.6 million at June 30, 2007. The increase was primarily attributable to net cash proceeds received from our November 2007 preferred stock offering of approximately \$111.1 million and the preliminary allocation of approximately \$85.5 million in royalty interests in mineral properties as part of the Battle Mountain

acquisition. At June 30, 2008, our cash and equivalents as shown on the consolidated balance sheets were primarily held in money market accounts which are invested in United States treasury bills or United States treasury backed securities. We are not invested in auction rate securities. The Company has not experienced any losses related to these balances and management believes its credit risk to be minimal.

We believe that our current financial resources and funds generated from operations will be adequate to cover anticipated expenditures for general and administrative expense costs, exploration and business development costs, and capital expenditures for the foreseeable future. Our current financial resources are also available for royalty acquisitions and to fund dividends. Our long-term capital requirements are primarily affected by our ongoing acquisition activities. In the event of a substantial royalty or other acquisition, we may seek additional debt or equity financing opportunities.

Recent Liquidity and Capital Resource Developments

Stock Repurchase Program

On January 25, 2008, the Company announced that its board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$30.0 million of its common stock in the open market through March 31, 2008. The timing and number of shares repurchased through March 31, 2008, depended on market conditions and other corporate considerations. As of March 31, 2008, the Company repurchased 196,986 common shares, at an average price of \$28.00 per common share for a total cost of approximately \$5.5 million. The common share repurchases were funded through cash and cash equivalents. The total cost to reacquire the 196,986 common shares was included in *Treasury Stock* on the Company's consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2008. The repurchase program, pursuant to the January 25, 2008, announcement, ended on March 31, 2008.

On April 2, 2008, the Company retired the 196,986 common shares repurchased, pursuant to the January 25, 2008, repurchase announcement. The 196,986 common shares retired have been returned to the Company's authorized but unissued amount of common stock. Also, on June 20, 2008, the Company retired the remaining 229,224 common shares held as treasury stock. The 229,224 common shares retired have been returned to the Company's authorized but unissued amount of common stock. As of June 30, 2008, the Company has zero common shares included in treasury stock.

Amendment to Credit Facility

On January 3, 2008, the Company entered into an amendment of its existing credit facility with HSBC Bank USA, National Association. The amendment extends the maturity date of the credit facility two years from December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2012. The amendment also updated the assumptions used in the calculation of the borrowing base, which included an increase in the metal price assumption of gold and added a metal price assumption for silver. The borrowing base calculation is recalculated as of April 15 and October 15 each year. As of June 30, 2008, the Company's borrowing capacity under the credit facility was \$70.8 million. Please refer to Note 6 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements for a further discussion on the credit facility.

Common Stock Dividend Increase

On November 14, 2007, the Company announced that its board of directors increased the Company's annual (calendar year) common stock dividend from \$0.26 per share to \$0.28 per share, payable on a quarterly basis of \$0.07 per share of common stock, beginning with the quarterly dividend paid on January 18, 2008.

Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock Offering

On November 9, 2007, the Company completed an offering of 1,150,000 shares of 7.25% mandatory convertible preferred stock (the Preferred Stock) at a price of \$100.00 per share, less underwriter discounts and other related expenses, resulting in net proceeds of \$111.1 million. Dividends on the Preferred Stock were payable on a cumulative basis when, as and if declared by our board of directors at an annual rate of 7.25% per share on the liquidation preference of \$100 per share. We were to pay dividends in cash, common stock or a combination thereof, on February 15, May 15, August 15 and November 15 of each year to and including November 15, 2010, commencing on February 15, 2008.

Under the original terms of the Preferred Stock offering, each share of the Preferred Stock was to automatically convert on November 15, 2010, into between 2.8335 and 3.4002 shares of our common stock, subject to anti-dilution adjustments. At any time prior to November 15, 2010, holders may have elected to convert each share of the Preferred Stock into shares of our common stock at the minimum conversion rate of 2.8335 shares of common stock per share of the Preferred Stock, subject to anti-dilution adjustments. At any time prior to May 15, 2008, we may have, at our option, caused the conversion of all, but not less than all, of the Preferred Stock into shares of our common stock at the provisional conversion rate described within the Preferred Stock offering. However, we could not elect to exercise our provisional conversion right if, on or prior to May 15, 2008, we completed a material transaction involving the acquisition of assets or a business with a purchase price of \$100 million or more.

On January 10, 2008, the Company's board of directors declared the regular quarterly dividend for the first dividend period of \$1.9333 per share of the Preferred Stock. The dividend was paid on February 15, 2008, to preferred shareholders of record at the close of business on February 1, 2008. The preferred dividend of \$2.2 million was paid in cash.

On January 25, 2008, the Company announced that it exercised its provisional conversion right for all of the issued and outstanding shares of its Preferred Stock. As part of the provisional conversion right, each share of the Preferred Stock was converted into shares of our common stock on March 10, 2008 (the Conversion Date), based on the average closing price per common share on the Nasdaq over a 20 consecutive trading day period, which ended on March 5, 2008, as provided in the Certificate of Designations of the Preferred Stock. The average closing price over the 20 consecutive trading day period was \$29.78 and each outstanding share of Preferred Stock was automatically converted into 3.4589 shares of common stock on the Conversion Date. The Company issued 3,977,683 shares of its common stock upon conversion of the Preferred Stock.

In connection with the conversion, all accrued and unpaid dividends on the Preferred Stock up to the Conversion Date were paid in cash at \$0.5035 per share of Preferred Stock, or \$0.6 million, to holders of record on the Conversion Date. Trading of the Preferred Stock on the NASDAQ was suspended at the close of business on March 5, 2008, and the Preferred Stock was de-listed on March 24, 2008. The conversion of the Preferred Stock into shares of our common stock simplified our capital structure and will significantly reduce our cost of capital due to the elimination of the 7.25% after tax Preferred Stock dividend payment. The Company applied a contingent beneficial conversion feature model to account for the provisional conversion of the Preferred Stock during its third fiscal quarter of 2008, which resulted in the Company recognizing a deemed dividend of \$2.0 million during our fiscal year 2008. There were no tax consequences to the Company upon conversion of the Preferred Stock.

Acquisition of Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corp.

On October 24, 2007, we acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding capital stock of Battle Mountain in a transaction whereby our wholly-owned subsidiary, Royal Battle Mountain, Inc., was merged with and into Battle Mountain, with Battle Mountain surviving as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Gold, for aggregate consideration consisting of 1.14 million shares of our common stock and approximately \$3.4

million in cash. At the time of acquisition, Battle Mountain had approximately \$1.4 million in cash. Please refer to Note 2 of the notes to consolidated financial statements and Other Developments in this MD&A for further discussion on the acquisition of Battle Mountain.

Contractual Obligations

Our contractual obligations as of June 30, 2008, are as follows:

	Total	Payments Due by Period (in thousands)			
		Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More than 5 Years
Contractual Obligations					
Note payable ⁽¹⁾	\$ 17,732	\$ 529	\$ 17,203	\$	\$
Operating leases	904	186	631	87	
Other long-term obligations	94	26	53	15	
Total	\$ 18,730	\$ 741	\$ 17,887	\$ 102	\$

(1) Amounts represent principal (\$15.75 million) and estimated interest payments (\$2.0 million) assuming no early extinguishment.

For information on our contractual obligations, see Notes 7 and 15 of the notes to consolidated financial statements under Part II, Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Royal Gold believes it will be able to fund all existing obligations from net cash provided by operating activities.

Results of Operations

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2008, Compared with Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, we recorded net income of \$24.0 million, or \$0.62 per basic share and \$0.61 per diluted share (after adjustments for preferred stock dividends and deemed dividends), compared to net income of \$19.7 million, or \$0.79 per basic share and diluted share, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.

For fiscal year 2008, we received total royalty revenue of \$66.3 million (including \$1.4 million of minority interest), at an average gold price of \$821 per ounce, compared to royalty revenue of \$48.4 million (including \$1.5 million of minority interest), at an average gold price of \$638 per ounce for fiscal year 2007. Royalty revenue and the corresponding production, attributable to our royalty interests, for fiscal year 2008 compared to fiscal year 2007 is as follows:

Royalty Revenue and Production Subject to Our Royalty Interests
 Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2008 (Restated) and 2007
 (In thousands, except reported production in ozs. and lbs.)

	Royalty	Metal	Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2008		Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007	
			Royalty Revenue	Reported Production ⁽²⁾	Royalty Revenue	Reported Production ⁽²⁾
Cortez		Gold	\$ 21,989 (Restated) ⁽¹⁾	436,148 oz.	\$ 21,486	510,273 oz.
Robinson			\$ 16,576		\$ 12,573	
		Gold		120,873 oz. 139.0 million		80,603 oz. 116.9 million
		Copper		lbs.		lbs.
Taparko ⁽³⁾		Gold	\$ 7,435	36,078 oz.	N/A	N/A
Leeville		Gold	\$ 5,570	360,811 oz.	\$ 2,661	230,458 oz.
Goldstrike	SJ Claims	Gold	\$ 5,086	698,488 oz.	\$ 5,463	950,462 oz.
Troy			\$ 2,536		\$ 3,067	
		Silver		744,008 oz.		1.0 million oz.
		Copper		7.1 million lbs.		9.6 million lbs.
Mulatos		Gold	\$ 1,521	120,933 oz.	\$ 1,012	103,262 oz.
Don Mario ⁽⁴⁾		Gold	\$ 1,430	63,419 oz.	N/A	N/A
El Chanate ⁽⁵⁾		Gold	\$ 1,081	20,906 oz.	N/A	N/A
						2.9 million
Martha		Silver	\$ 983	3.2 million oz.	\$ 714	oz.
El Limon ⁽⁴⁾		Gold	\$ 708	28,385 oz.	N/A	N/A
Williams ⁽⁴⁾		Gold	\$ 613	105,898 oz.	N/A	N/A
Bald Mountain		Gold	\$ 607	50,141 oz.	\$ 1,281	109,515 oz.
Gold Hill		Gold	\$ 100	N/A	\$ 100	N/A
Peñasquito (oxide)			\$ 59		N/A	N/A
		Gold		1,618 oz.	N/A	N/A
		Silver		91,601 oz.	N/A	N/A
Joe Mann ⁽⁴⁾		Gold	\$ 3	138	N/A	N/A
Total Royalty Revenue			\$ 66,297 (Restated)⁽¹⁾		\$ 48,357	

(1) See Note 20 of the notes to consolidated financial statements for a discussion of the impact of the restatement on our quarterly results and the

restatement.

- (2) Reported production relates to the amount of metal sales, subject to our royalty interests, for the twelve months ended June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2007, as reported to us by the operators of the mines.
- (3) Receipt of royalty revenue commenced during the quarter ended September 30, 2007.
- (4) Royalty acquired on October 24, 2007, as part of the acquisition of Battle Mountain.
- (5) Royalty was acquired on February 20, 2008. Royalty revenue amount shown includes amounts recognized by us for both the sliding-scale and NPI royalties. Please refer to Recent Developments Marigold and El Chanate Royalty Acquisitions within this

MD&A for
further
discussion.

The increase in royalty revenue for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, compared with the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, resulted from an increase in the average gold price, increased production at Robinson and Leeville, the continued ramp-up of gold production at the Taparko mine and production from the recently acquired Battle Mountain production stage royalty interests. The continued ramp-up of production at the Taparko mine contributed approximately \$7.4 million in royalty revenue during the

period, while production from the recently acquired Battle Mountain royalties contributed approximately \$2.8 million in royalty revenue during the period. The increase in royalty revenue was offset slightly by decreases in production volume at Cortez, Goldstrike SJ Claims, Bald Mountain and Troy mine royalties.

The Taparko mine commenced gold production in August 2007 and contributed approximately \$7.4 million in royalty revenue for our fiscal year 2008. Reserve characteristics, mining activity, and gold recovery performance has been near feasibility study estimates. However, mill performance has suffered throughout our fiscal year 2008 due to problems associated with the grinding mill drive-train. This has resulted in low mill availability and throughput. Several problems with the original installation were identified and corrected but mechanical problems have persisted. Production was ceased on June 11, 2008, and the entire drive-train was re-evaluated and is now in the process of reassembly with the assistance of third party mill equipment specialists. Continuous and sustained production is dependent upon resolving the mill drive-train problems.

Cost of operations expenses increased to \$3.7 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, from \$3.3 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The increase was primarily due to an increase in the Nevada Net Proceeds Tax (NNPT) expense, which resulted from an increase in royalty revenue from the Cortez, Leeville and Robinson royalties.

General and administrative expenses increased to \$7.2 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2008, from \$5.8 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The increase was primarily due to an increase in general corporate costs of approximately \$0.5 million, tax and consulting fees of approximately \$0.4 million, non-recurring general corporate costs associated with the preferred stock offering of approximately \$0.2 million and an increase in employee related costs of approximately \$0.2 million.

Exploration and business development expenses increased to \$4.1 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, from \$2.5 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The increase is due to an increase in legal and consulting services for business development activities during the period.

The Company recorded total non-cash stock compensation expense related to our equity compensation plan of \$2.9 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, compared to \$2.7 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. Our non-cash stock compensation is allocated among cost of operations, general and administrative, and exploration and business development in our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. Please refer to Note 8 of the notes to consolidated financial statements for further discussion of our stock-based compensation and the allocation of non-cash stock compensation for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 and 2007.

Depreciation, depletion and amortization increased to \$18.4 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, from \$8.3 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The increase was primarily due to the continued ramp-up of gold production at the Taparko mine, which contributed approximately \$4.5 million in additional depletion during the period. Depletion from the recently acquired Battle Mountain producing royalties also contributed approximately \$2.3 million in additional depletion during the period. An increase in production at Robinson and Mulatos as well as the additional depletion from the recently acquired royalties on the El Chanate mine also resulted in additional depletion of approximately \$1.4 million over the prior period. Finally, an increase in production at Leeville resulted in additional depletion of approximately \$1.0 million over the prior period.

Interest and other income increased to \$6.7 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, from \$4.3 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The increase is primarily due to an increase in funds available for investing over the prior period, which is due primarily to the preferred stock offering completed in November 2007, as discussed above in Liquidity and Capital Resources within this MD&A. The increase was partially offset by lower interest rates on our cash investments when compared to the prior period.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, we recognized current and deferred tax expense totaling \$12.1 million compared with \$9.5 million during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. This resulted in an effective tax rate of 33.4% in the current period, compared with 32.6% in the prior period. The increase in our effective tax rate is the result of the increase in the amount of foreign losses for which no tax benefit is currently recognized, as well as an increase in our non-cash stock compensation expense associated with incentive stock options for which there is no current tax deduction.

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007, Compared with Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, we recorded net income of \$19.7 million, or \$0.79 per basic share and diluted share, as compared to net income of \$11.4 million, or \$0.50 per basic share and \$0.49 per diluted share, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006.

For fiscal year 2007, we received total royalty revenue of \$48.4 million (including \$1.7 million of minority interest), at an average gold price of \$638 per ounce, compared to royalty revenue of \$28.4 million, at an average gold price of \$527 per ounce for fiscal year 2006. Royalty revenue and the corresponding production, attributable to our royalty interests, for fiscal year 2007 compared to fiscal year 2006 is as follows:

Royalty Revenue and Production Subject to Our Royalty Interests

Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2007 and 2006

(In thousands, except reported production ozs. and lbs.)

	Royalty	Metal(s)	Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007		Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006	
			Royalty Revenue	Reported Production ⁽¹⁾	Royalty Revenue	Reported Production ⁽¹⁾
Cortez		Gold	\$21,486	510,273 oz.	\$16,813	598,974 oz.
Robinson		Gold	\$12,573	80,603 oz. 116.9 million lbs.	\$ 2,203	13,082 oz. 27.2 million lbs.
Goldstrike	SJ Claims	Gold	\$ 5,463	950,462 oz.	\$ 4,784	1.0 million oz.
Troy		Silver	\$ 3,067	1.0 million oz. 9.6 million lbs.	\$ 1,693	884,528 oz. 7.1 million lbs.
Leeville		Gold	\$ 2,661	230,458 oz.	\$ 768	83,696 oz.
Bald Mountain		Gold	\$ 1,281	109,515 oz.	\$ 1,493	126,317 oz.
Mulatos ⁽²⁾		Gold	\$ 1,012	103,262 oz. 2.9 million	\$ 225	23,912 oz. 2.3 million
Martha		Silver	\$ 714	oz.	\$ 401	oz.
Gold Hill ⁽³⁾		Gold	\$ 100	N/A	\$ N/A	N/A
Total Revenue			\$48,357		\$28,380	

(1) Reported production relates to the amount of metal sales, subject to our royalty interests, for the

fiscal years
ended June 30,
2007 and
June 30, 2006,
as reported to us
by the operators
of the mines.

- (2) Receipt of
royalty revenue
commenced
during our
fourth quarter of
fiscal year 2006.

- (3) Royalty revenue
received
represents an
annual advance
royalty payment
per the
Assignment and
Mining Lease
with Option to
Purchase
between Round
Mountain Gold
and Royal Gold.
The Gold Hill
royalty was
acquired during
our second
quarter of fiscal
year 2007.

The increase in royalty revenue for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, compared with the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006, resulted from an increase in metal prices, increased production at the Leeville Mining Complex, the Troy mine, and a full year of revenue from the Robinson and Mulatos royalties.

The consolidation of Crescent Valley Partners, L.P. (CVP) contributed \$1.6 million to royalty revenue during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, \$1.5 million of which is eliminated from income as minority interest in income of consolidated subsidiary. See Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements for further information.

Cost of operations increased to \$3.3 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, compared to \$2.3 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. The increase was mainly due to an increase in the NNPT expense, which resulted primarily from an increase in royalty revenue from the Cortez, Leeville and Robinson royalties.

General and administrative expenses increased to \$5.8 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, compared to \$5.0 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. The increase was primarily due to an increase in legal fees of approximately \$0.3 million and accounting fees of approximately \$0.2 million. These increases were primarily the result of the internal review of stock option matters and other corporate matters during our third and fourth fiscal quarters of 2007.

Exploration and business development expenses decreased to \$2.5 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, compared to \$3.4 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in exploration costs of approximately \$0.2 million, a decrease in non-cash compensation expense allocated to exploration and business development expense of approximately \$0.2 million and a decrease in consulting services for business development of approximately \$0.4 million, which is the result of the Company completing royalty acquisitions and capitalizing the related acquisition costs.

Depreciation and depletion increased to \$8.3 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, compared to \$4.3 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. The increase was primarily due to additional depletion incurred of approximately \$1.9 million, as a result of the Robinson and Mulatos royalty acquisitions during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2006. Increased production and an increase in depletion rates for our Leeville Mining Complex and Troy mine royalties resulted in additional depletion of approximately \$2.1 million over the prior period.

The Company recorded total non-cash stock compensation expense related to our equity compensation plans of \$2.7 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, compared to \$2.8 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. Our non-cash stock compensation is allocated among cost of operations, general and administrative, and exploration and business development in our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. The total non-cash compensation expense allocated to cost of operations, general and administrative expenses, and exploration and business development expenses for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, was \$0.4 million, \$1.5 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, compared with \$0.4 million, \$1.5 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006.

Interest and other income increased to \$4.3 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, compared to \$3.2 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. The increase is primarily due to higher interest rates, an increase in average funds available for investing over the prior period and interest earned on the Battle Mountain bridge facility.

Interest and other expense increased to \$2.0 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, compared to \$0.2 million for the period ended June 30, 2006. The increase is due to interest paid during the period for the outstanding revolving credit facility balance during our third fiscal quarter and the RGCL note payable, as discussed above in Recent Liquidity and Capital Resource Development.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, we recognized current and deferred tax expense totaling \$9.5 million compared with \$5.1 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. This resulted in an effective tax rate of 32.6% and 31.0% as of June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Forward-Looking Statements

Cautionary Safe Harbor Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. With the exception of historical matters, the matters discussed in this report are forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from projections or estimates contained herein. Such forward-looking statements include statements regarding projected production estimates and estimates of timing of commencement of production from the operators of our royalty properties; the adequacy of financial resources and funds to cover anticipated expenditures for general and administrative expenses as well as costs associated with exploration and business development and capital expenditures, our expectation that substantially all our revenues will be derived from royalty interests, and projections of any evaluation of effectiveness of controls and procedures. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from these forward-looking statements include, among others:

changes in gold and other metals prices;

the production at or performance of our producing royalty properties;

decisions and activities of the operators of our royalty properties;

the ability of operators to bring projects into production and operate in accordance with feasibility studies;

unanticipated grade and geological, metallurgical, processing or other problems at the properties;

changes in project parameters as plans of the operators are refined;

changes in estimates of reserves and mineralization by the operators of our royalty properties;

economic and market conditions;

future financial needs;

federal, state and foreign legislation governing us or the operators;

the availability of royalties for acquisition or other acquisition opportunities and the availability of debt or equity financing necessary to complete such acquisitions;

our ability to make accurate assumptions regarding the valuation and timing and amount of royalty payments when making acquisitions;

risks associated with conducting business in foreign countries, including application of foreign laws to contract and other disputes, environmental laws and enforcement and uncertain political and economic environments;

risks associated with issuances of substantial additional common stock in connection with acquisitions or otherwise;

risks associated with the incurrence of substantial additional indebtedness if we take such actions in connection with acquisitions or otherwise; and

the completion of the Barrick royalty acquisition.

as well as other factors described elsewhere in this report and other reports filed with the SEC. Most of these factors are beyond our ability to predict or control. Future events and actual results could differ materially from those set forth

in, contemplated by or underlying the forward-looking statements. We disclaim any obligation to update any forward-looking statement made herein. Readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our earnings and cash flow are significantly impacted by changes in the market price of gold and other metals. Gold and other metal prices can fluctuate significantly and are affected by numerous factors, such as demand, production levels, economic policies of central banks, producer hedging, world political and economic events, and the strength of the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies. Please see Volatility in gold and other metal prices may have an adverse impact on the value of our royalty interests and reduce our royalty revenues, under Part I, Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for more information that can affect gold and other prices as well as historical gold, silver and copper prices.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, we reported royalty revenues of \$66.3 million, with an average gold price for the period of \$821 per ounce and an average copper price of \$3.53 per pound. Approximately 74% of our total recognized revenues for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, were attributable to gold sales from our gold producing royalty interests, as shown within the MD&A. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, if the price of gold had averaged higher or lower by \$50 per ounce, we would have recorded an increase of approximately \$3.1 million or a decrease of approximately \$3.3 million in royalty revenue, respectively. Approximately 23% of our total recognized revenues for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, were attributable to copper sales at Robinson and Troy. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, if the price of copper had averaged higher or lower by \$0.25 per pound, we would have recorded an increase or decrease in revenues of approximately \$1.2 million, respectively.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Index to Financial Statements

	Page
<u>REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	57
<u>CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS</u>	59
<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME</u>	60
<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</u>	61
<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS</u>	62
<u>NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	63

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Stockholders and Board of Directors Royal Gold, Inc.:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, of stockholders' equity, and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Royal Gold, Inc. and its subsidiaries at June 30, 2008 and 2007, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2008 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Management and we previously concluded that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2008. However, management has subsequently determined that a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting related to the accounting of certain revenue recognition existed as of that date. Accordingly, management's report has been restated and our present opinion on internal control over financial reporting, as presented herein, is different from that expressed in our previous report. In our opinion, the Company did not maintain, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2008, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) because a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting related to the accounting of certain revenue recognition existed as of that date. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected in a timely basis. The material weakness referred to above is described in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. We considered this material weakness in determining the nature, timing, and extent of audit tests applied in our audit of the 2008 consolidated financial statements, and our opinion regarding the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting does not affect our opinion on those consolidated financial statements. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in management's report referred to above. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As discussed in Note 20A to the consolidated financial statements, the Company restated its 2008 consolidated financial statements.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations

of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Denver, Colorado

August 19, 2008, except for the restatement described in Note 20A to the consolidated financial statements and the matter described in the penultimate paragraph of Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, as to which the date is November 6, 2008.

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
Consolidated Balance Sheets
As of June 30,
(In thousands except share data)

	2008 (As Restated)	2007
Current assets		
Cash and equivalents	\$ 192,035	\$ 82,842
Royalty receivables	16,317	12,470
Income tax receivable	2,186	
Deferred tax assets	131	154
Prepaid expenses and other	308	217
 Total current assets	 210,977	 95,683
Royalty interests in mineral properties, net (Note 4)	300,670	215,839
Restricted cash – compensating balance	15,750	15,750
Inventory – restricted	11,170	10,612
Note receivable – Battle Mountain Gold Exploration (Note 2)		14,494
Other assets	7,283	4,271
 Total assets	 \$ 545,850	 \$ 356,649
 Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 4,753	\$ 2,342
Income taxes payable		5
Dividends payable	2,384	1,869
Other	1,797	472
 Total current liabilities	 8,934	 4,688
Net deferred tax liabilities	26,034	5,911
Note payable	15,750	15,750
Other long-term liabilities	504	98
 Total liabilities	 51,222	 26,447
 Commitments and contingencies (Note 15)		
Minority interest in subsidiary (Note 16)	11,411	11,121
Stockholders' equity		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 100,000,000 shares; and issued 33,926,495 and 28,892,980 shares, respectively	339	289
Additional paid-in capital	463,335	310,439

Edgar Filing: ROYAL GOLD INC - Form 10-K/A

Accumulated other comprehensive income	65	458
Accumulated earnings	19,478	8,992
Less treasury stock, at cost (0 and 229,224 shares, respectively)		(1,097)
Total stockholders' equity	483,217	319,081
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 545,850	\$ 356,649

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

ROYAL GOLD, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income

For the Years Ended June 30,

(In thousands except share data)

	2008 (As Restated)	2007	2006
Royalty revenues	\$ 66,297	\$ 48,357	\$ 28,380
Costs and expenses			
Costs of operations (exclusive of depreciation, depletion and amortization shown separately below)	3,664	3,265	2,288
General and administrative	7,208	5,824	5,022
Exploration and business development	4,079	2,493	3,397
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	18,364	8,269	4,261
Total costs and expenses	33,315	19,851	14,968
Operating income	32,982	28,506	13,412
Interest and other income	6,742	4,258	3,204
Interest and other expense	(1,729)	(1,973)	(165)
Income before income taxes	37,995	30,791	16,451
Current tax expense (Note 11)	(11,935)	(10,310)	(5,974)
Deferred tax (expense) benefit (Note 11)	(115)	761	873
Minority interest in income of consolidated subsidiary	(1,352)	(1,522)	
Loss from equity investment	(550)		
Net income	\$ 24,043	\$ 19,720	\$ 11,350
Adjustments to other comprehensive income			
Unrealized change in market value of available for sale securities, net of tax	(393)	(40)	783
Comprehensive income	\$ 23,650	\$ 19,680	\$ 12,133
Net income	\$ 24,043	\$ 19,720	\$ 11,350
Preferred stock dividends and deemed dividend	(4,788)		
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 19,255	\$ 19,720	\$ 11,350
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.62	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.50

Edgar Filing: ROYAL GOLD INC - Form 10-K/A

Basic weighted average shares outstanding	31,054,725	24,827,319	22,863,784
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.61	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.49
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	31,390,293	25,075,086	23,134,034

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

60

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity
For the Years Ended June 30, 2008 (As Restated), 2007 and 2006
(In thousands except share data)

	Preferred Shares		Common Shares		Additional	Other	Accumulated	Treasury Stock		Total	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Paid-in	Comprehensive	Deferred	Shares	Amount	Stockholders'	
					Capital	Income	Earnings			Equity	
Balance at June 30, 2005			21,258,576	\$ 212	\$ 104,164	\$ (285)	\$ (525)	\$ (10,732)	229,224	\$ (1,097)	\$ 91,737
Issuance of common stock for:											
Equity offering			2,227,912	22	54,696						54,718
Exercise of stock options			276,777	3	3,909						3,912
Vesting of restricted stock			53,375	1	(1)						
Tax benefit of stock-based compensation exercises					1,438						1,438
Recognition of non-cash compensation expense for stock-based compensation (Note 8)					2,778						2,778
Reversal of deferred compensation					(524)		525				1
Net income and comprehensive income for the year ended June 30, 2006						783		11,350			12,133
Dividends declared								(5,058)			(5,058)
Balance at June 30, 2006			23,816,640	\$ 238	\$ 166,460	\$ 498	\$	\$ (4,440)	229,224	\$ (1,097)	\$ 161,659
Issuance of common stock											

Edgar Filing: ROYAL GOLD INC - Form 10-K/A

For:									
Equity offering			4,400,064	44	121,894				121,938
Peñasquito									
royalty									
acquisition			577,434	6	18,495				18,501
Exercise of									
stock options			46,467		582				582
Vesting of									
restricted stock			52,375	1	(1)				
Tax benefit of									
stock-based									
compensation									
exercises					346				346
Recognition of									
non-cash									
compensation									
expense for									
stock- based									
compensation									
(Note 8)					2,663				2,663
Net income and									
comprehensive									
income for the									
year ended									
June 30, 2007						(40)	19,721		19,681
Dividends									
declared							(6,289)		(6,289)
Balance at									
June 30, 2007			28,892,980	\$ 289	\$ 310,439	\$ 458	\$ 8,992	229,224	\$ (1,097) \$ 319,081
Issuance of									
preferred stock									
For:									
7.25%									
Mandatory									
Convertible									
offering (Note									
9)	1,150,000	115,000			(3,902)				111,098
Issuance of									
common stock									
For:									
Conversion of									
7.25%									
Mandatory									
Convertible									
Preferred Stock	(1,150,000)	(115,000)	3,977,683	40	116,946				1,986
Battle			1,144,025	11	35,832				35,843
Mountain									

Acquisition (Note 2)							
Equity offering costs (April 2007)			(29)				(29)
Exercise of stock options	101,750	1	724				725
Vesting of restricted stock	19,625						0
AMGOLD Corporation and Repadre International Corporation (Note 9)	216,642	2	6,343				6,345
Retire treasury stock	(426,210)	(4)	(6,609)		(426,210)	6,613	
Repurchase of common stock (Note 9)					196,986	(5,516)	(5,516)
Tax benefit of stock-based compensation exercises			722				722
Recognition of non-cash compensation expense for stock-based compensation			2,869				2,869
Net income and comprehensive income for the year income for the year ended June 30, 2008 (As restated)				(393)	24,043		23,650
Preferred stock deemed dividend upon conversion of 7.25% Mandatory Convertible					(1,986)		(1,986)

Preferred stock dividends declared						(2,803)			(2,803)
Common stock dividends declared						(8,768)			(8,768)
Balance at June 30, 2008	33,926,495	\$ 339	\$ 463,335	\$ 65	\$	\$ 19,478	\$		\$ 483,217

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
For the Years Ended June 30,
(In thousands except share data)

	2008 (As Restated)	2007	2006
Cash flows from operating activities			
Net income	\$ 24,043	\$ 19,720	\$ 11,350
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	18,364	8,269	4,261
Loss on available for sale securities	49		
Deferred tax expense (benefit)	115	(761)	(873)
Non-cash employee stock compensation expense	2,869	2,663	2,778
Interest income accrued for Battle Mountain note receivable	(713)		
Tax benefit of stock-based compensation exercises	(722)	(346)	(1,438)
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Royalty receivables	(3,120)	(6,508)	639
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(232)	414	266
Accounts payable	2,211	1,020	(65)
Income taxes (receivable) payable	(1,846)	16	1,520
Other	(1,891)	(140)	167
 Net cash provided by operating activities	 \$ 39,127	 \$ 24,347	 \$ 18,605
Cash flows from investing activities			
Capital expenditures for property and equipment	\$ (42)	\$ (285)	\$ (39)
Acquisition of royalty interests in mineral properties	(16,246)	(120,808)	(43,931)
Note receivable Battle Mountain Gold Exploration		(14,494)	
Restricted cash compensating balance		(15,750)	
Purchase of available for sale securities		(81)	(205)
Deferred acquisition costs	(157)	(973)	
Battle Mountain acquisition, net of cash acquired of \$1,398	(2,933)		
 Net cash used in investing activities	 \$ (19,378)	 \$ (152,391)	 \$ (44,175)
Cash flows from financing activities			
Common stock dividends	\$ (8,253)	\$ (5,721)	\$ (4,807)
Preferred stock dividends	(2,802)		
Debt issuance costs	(27)	(464)	(82)
Issuance of Note payable		15,750	
Tax benefit from stock-based compensation exercises	722	346	1,438
Gold loan payoff Battle Mountain	(6,476)		
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock	698	122,526	58,630
Net proceeds from issuance of preferred stock	111,098		

Stock repurchase program		(5,516)		
Net cash provided by financing activities	\$	89,444	\$ 132,437	\$ 55,179
Net increase in cash and equivalents		109,193	4,393	29,609
Cash and equivalents at beginning of year		82,842	78,449	48,840
Cash and equivalents at end of year	\$	192,035	\$ 82,842	\$ 78,449

See Note 12 for supplemental cash flow information.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

ROYAL GOLD, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

1. OPERATIONS, SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Operations

Royal Gold, Inc. (Royal Gold , the Company , we , us , or our), together with its subsidiaries, is engaged in the business of acquiring and managing precious metals royalties. Royalties are passive (non-operating) interests in mining projects that provide the right to revenue or production from the project after deducting specified costs, if any.

We seek to acquire existing royalties or to finance projects that are in production or near production in exchange for royalty interests. We also fund exploration on properties thought to contain precious metals and seek to obtain royalties and other carried ownership interests in such properties through the subsequent transfer of operating interests to other mining companies. Substantially all of our revenues are and will be expected to be derived from royalty interests. We do not conduct mining operations at this time. During the 2008 fiscal year, we focused on the management of our existing royalty interests, the acquisition of royalty interests, and the creation of royalty interests through financing and strategic exploration alliances.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Use of Estimates:

The preparation of our financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the dates of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates.

Basis of Consolidation:

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Royal Gold, Inc., its wholly-owned subsidiaries and an entity over which control is achieved through means other than voting rights (see Note 16). Intercompany transactions and account balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash and Equivalents:

We consider all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. At June 30, 2008, cash and equivalents were primarily held in money market accounts which are invested in United States treasury bills or United States treasury backed securities. As of June 30, 2008, approximately \$190.2 million of our total cash and equivalents was held at one financial institution.

Available for Sale Securities:

Investments in securities that management does not have the intent to sell in the near term and that have readily determinable fair values are classified as available-for-sale investments and are included as a separate component of *Other assets* on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. Unrealized gains and losses on these investments are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income as a separate component of stockholders' equity, except that declines in market value judged to be other than temporary are recognized in determining net income. When investments are sold, the realized gains and

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

losses on these investments, determined using the specific identification method, are included in determining net income.

The Company's policy for determining whether declines in fair value of available-for-sale investments are other than temporary includes a quarterly analysis of the investments and a review by management of all investments that are impaired. If such impairment is determined by the Company to be other than temporary, the investment's cost basis is written down to fair value and recorded in net income during the period the Company determines such impairment to be other than temporary.

Royalty Interests in Mineral Properties:

Royalty interests in mineral properties include acquired royalty interests in production stage, development stage and exploration stage properties. The fair value of acquired royalty interests in mineral properties are capitalized as tangible assets when such interests do not meet the definition of a financial asset under the Financial Accounting Standard Board's (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities - a Replacement of FASB Statement No. 125*, or a derivative instrument under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. Also, in accordance with FASB Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No., or EITF, 04-02, Working Group Report No. 1, *Whether Mineral Rights are Tangible or Intangible Assets and Related Issues*, we recognize our royalty interests as tangible assets as of June 30, 2008 and 2007. We based our conclusion on the following factors:

1. Our royalty interests in mineral properties do not meet the definition of financial assets under FASB Statement No. 140; and
2. Our royalty interests in mineral properties do not meet the definition of derivative instruments under FASB Statement No. 133.

Acquisition costs of production stage royalty interests are depleted using the units of production method over the life of the mineral property, which is estimated using proven and probable reserves. Acquisition costs of royalty interests on development stage mineral properties, not yet in production, are not amortized until the property begins production. Acquisition costs of royalty interests on exploration stage mineral properties, where there are no proven and probable reserves, are not amortized. At such time as the associated exploration stage mineral interests are converted to proven and probable reserves, the cost basis is amortized over the remaining life of the mineral property, using proven and probable reserves. The carrying values of exploration stage mineral interests are evaluated for impairment at such time as information becomes available indicating that the production will not occur in the future. Exploration costs are charged to operations when incurred.

Asset Impairment:

We evaluate long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the related carrying amounts of an asset or group of assets may not be recoverable. The recoverability of the carrying value of royalty interests in production and development stage mineral properties is evaluated based upon estimated future undiscounted net cash flows from each royalty interest property using estimates of proven and probable reserves. We evaluate the recoverability of the carrying value of royalty interests in exploration stage mineral properties in the event of significant decreases in the price of gold and other metals, and whenever new information regarding the mineral properties is obtained from the operator that could affect the future recoverability of our royalty interests. Impairments in the carrying value of each property are measured and recorded to the extent that the carrying value in each property exceeds its estimated fair value, which is generally calculated using estimated future discounted cash flows.

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

Our estimates of gold and other metal prices, operator's estimates of proven and probable reserves related to our royalty properties, and operator's estimates of operating, capital and reclamation costs are subject to certain risks and uncertainties which may affect the recoverability of our investment in these royalty interests in mineral properties. Although we have made our best assessment of these factors based on current conditions, it is possible that changes could occur, which could adversely affect the net cash flows expected to be generated from these royalty interests.

Royalty Revenue:

Royalty revenue is recognized pursuant to guidance in Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 104, *Revenue Recognition for Financial Statements*. Revenue is recognized in accordance with the terms of the underlying royalty agreements subject to (i) the persuasive evidence of the existence of the arrangements; (ii) the risks and rewards having been transferred; (iii) the royalty being fixed or determinable; and (iv) the collectability of the royalty being reasonably assured. For royalty payments received in gold, royalty revenue is recorded at the average spot price of gold for the period in which the royalty was earned.

Revenue recognized pursuant to the Robinson royalty agreement is based upon 3 percent of revenue received by the operator of the mine, Quadra Mining Ltd. (Quadra), for the sale of minerals from the Robinson mine, reduced by certain costs incurred by Quadra. Quadra's concentrate sales contracts with third-party smelters, in general, provide for an initial payment based upon provisional assays and quoted metal prices at the date of shipment. Final true up payments are subsequently based upon final assays and market metal prices set on a specified future date, typically one to three months after the date the concentrate arrives at the third-party smelter (which generally occurs four to five months after the shipment date from the Robinson mine).

Royal Gold recognizes revenue under the Robinson royalty agreement based on amounts contractually due pursuant to the calculations above for the underlying sale. As a result of pricing variations in gold, silver and copper over the respective settlement period, royalty revenue recognized on the Robinson royalty could be positively or negatively impacted by any changes in metal prices, between the provisional and final settlement periods.

Income Taxes:

The Company accounts for income taxes under SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, and FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - An interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting and reporting for uncertainties in the application of the income tax laws to the Company's operations. The Company adopted FIN 48 on July 1, 2007. Please refer to Note 11 for a discussion regarding the effect of adopting FIN 48.

The Company's deferred income taxes reflect the impact of temporary differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and such amounts measured by tax laws and regulations. The deferred tax assets and liabilities represent the future tax return consequences of those differences, which will either be taxable or deductible when the assets and liabilities are recovered or settled. A valuation allowance is provided for deferred tax assets when management concludes it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Stock-Based Compensation:

Effective July 1, 2005, we account for our stock-based compensation in accordance with SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, (SFAS 123(R)). SFAS 123(R) requires all share-based

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options and restricted stock, to be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values. See Note 8 for further discussion on the Company's stock-based compensation.

Operating Segments and Geographical Information:

We manage our business under one operating segment, consisting of royalty acquisition and management activities. All of our assets and revenues are attributable to the royalty operating segment.

Royal Gold's royalty revenue and long-lived assets (royalty interests in mineral properties, net) are geographically distributed as shown in the following table. Please refer to Note 4 for further discussion on our significant royalty interests on producing mineral properties.

	Royalty Revenue			Royalty Interests in Mineral Properties, net		
	2008	2007	2006	2008	2007	2006
United States	79%	97%	98%	18%	25%	69%
Mexico	4%	2%	1%	55%	49%	9%
Africa ⁽¹⁾	11%			12%	16%	22%
Chile				7%	10%	
Other ⁽²⁾	6%	1%	1%	8%		

(1) Consists of royalties on properties in Burkina Faso and the Republic of Ghana. Royalty revenue shown is attributable to revenues from our royalties in Burkina Faso.

(2) The Other category for Royalty revenue consists of Argentina, Bolivia (2008 only), Canada (2008 only) and Nicaragua (2008 only). The Other category for Royalty Interests in Mineral

Properties, net
for 2008
consists of
Bolivia, Canada,
Colombia,
Honduras and
Nicaragua.

Comprehensive Income:

In addition to net income, comprehensive income includes changes in equity during a period associated with cumulative unrealized changes in the fair value of marketable securities held for sale, net of tax effects.

Earnings Per Share:

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing the net income or loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each year. Diluted earnings per share reflects the effect of all potentially dilutive stock-based compensation awards.

Reclassifications:

Certain amounts in the prior period financial statements have been reclassified for comparative purposes to conform with the presentation in the current period financial statements.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In March 2008, the FASB issued Statement No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (SFAS 161). SFAS 161 intends to improve financial reporting about derivative instruments and hedging activities by requiring enhanced disclosures to enable investors to better understand their effects on an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS 161 also requires disclosure about an entity's strategy and objectives

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

for using derivatives, the fair values of derivative instruments and their related gains and losses. SFAS 161 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, and will be applicable to the Company's fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009. The Company is evaluating the impact, if any, the adoption of SFAS 161 could have on its financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations*, (SFAS 141R), which significantly changes the ways companies account for business combinations and will generally require more assets acquired and liabilities assumed to be measured at their acquisition date fair value. Under SFAS 141R, legal fees and other transaction-related costs are expensed as incurred and are no longer included in goodwill as a cost of acquiring the business. SFAS 141R also requires, among other things, acquirers to estimate the acquisition date fair value of any contingent consideration and to recognize any subsequent changes in the fair value of contingent consideration in earnings. In addition, restructuring costs the acquirer expected, but was not obligated to incur, will be recognized separately from the business acquisition. SFAS 141R is effective for the Company's fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009, and is to be applied prospectively. The Company is evaluating the impact, if any, the adoption of SFAS 141R could have on its financial statements.

Also in December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 160, *Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51* (SFAS 160). SFAS 160 requires all entities to report non-controlling interests in subsidiaries as a separate component of equity in the consolidated financial statements. SFAS 160 establishes a single method of accounting for changes in a parent's ownership interest in a subsidiary that do not result in deconsolidation. Companies will no longer recognize a gain or loss on partial disposals of a subsidiary where control is retained. In addition, in partial acquisitions, where control is obtained, the acquiring company will recognize and measure at fair value 100 percent of the assets and liabilities, including goodwill, as if the entire target company had been acquired. SFAS 160 is effective for the Company's fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009, and is to be applied prospectively. The Company is evaluating the impact, if any, the adoption of SFAS 160 could have on its financial statements.

In June 2007, the EITF reached consensus on Issue No. 06-11 *Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Share-Based Payment Awards*. EITF Issue No. 06-11 requires that the tax benefit related to dividend and dividend equivalents paid on equity-classified nonvested shares and nonvested share units, which are expected to vest, be recorded as an increase to additional paid-in capital. EITF No. 06-11 is to be applied prospectively for tax benefits on dividends declared in our fiscal year beginning July 1, 2008. We do not expect the adoption of EITF 06-11 to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*, (SFAS 159) which allows entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The provisions of SFAS 159 are effective for our fiscal year beginning July 1, 2008, and interim periods within the fiscal year. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 159 to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 provides guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. SFAS 157 applies whenever other accounting standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value but does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. Under SFAS 157, fair value refers to the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability between participants in the market in which the reporting entity transacts. In this standard, the FASB clarifies the principle that fair value should be based on the assumptions market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability. The provisions of SFAS 157 are effective for our fiscal year beginning July 1, 2008, and interim

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

periods within the fiscal year. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 157 to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

On July 13, 2006, FIN 48 was issued. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in a company's financial statements in accordance with SFAS 109. FIN 48 also prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. The Company adopted FIN 48 on July 1, 2007. Refer to Note 11 for a discussion regarding the effect of adopting FIN 48.

2. ACQUISITION OF BATTLE MOUNTAIN GOLD EXPLORATION

On July 30, 2007, we entered into an Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement) with Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corp. (Battle Mountain) and Royal Battle Mountain, Inc. (Merger Sub), a newly-formed and wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Gold, pursuant to which the Merger Sub was merged into Battle Mountain with Battle Mountain surviving as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Gold.

On October 24, 2007, we completed the merger pursuant to the Merger Agreement and acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding capital stock of Battle Mountain in a transaction whereby the Merger Sub was merged with and into Battle Mountain for aggregate consideration consisting of 1.14 million shares of our common stock and approximately \$3.4 million in cash. As part of the acquisition of Battle Mountain, we acquired thirteen royalty interests in various stages of production, development or exploration.

Immediately prior to the merger, Royal Gold owned approximately 18% of Battle Mountain's outstanding common stock and accounted for this ownership under the equity method, which resulted in the Company recognizing a loss from equity investment of approximately \$0.5 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

Subject to settlement of the Battle Mountain litigation discussed below, additional merger consideration of up to an aggregate of 37,418 shares of Royal Gold common stock and approximately \$0.1 million in cash may be paid to former Battle Mountain stockholders. On September 13, 2006, an action was filed against Battle Mountain and its former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Mark Kucher, by James E. McKay, a former officer and director of Battle Mountain, in the second Judicial Court of the State of Nevada. The action seeks to enforce alleged rights to certain shares of Battle Mountain common stock and options to purchase shares of Battle Mountain common stock pursuant to a stock option agreement and a stock option plan, and unspecified damages. Royal Gold may pay the additional consideration described above to Battle Mountain stockholders depending upon the cost of settling this litigation.

The acquisition of Battle Mountain has been accounted for as an asset acquisition using the purchase method of accounting, whereby assets acquired and liabilities assumed were recorded at their fair market values as of the date of acquisition. The purchase price was calculated using the fair market value of the Royal Gold common shares issued, as of the date we completed the transaction, plus cash and direct acquisition costs paid by Royal Gold.

We have allocated the purchase price of approximately \$65.8 million to the fair market values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, including \$85.5 million to royalty interests in mineral properties, \$2.2 million to current assets, \$5.8 million to intangible assets (included within *Other assets* on the consolidated balance sheets), \$3.8 million to deferred tax assets, \$6.5 million to a gold loan payable,

ROYAL GOLD, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

\$24.5 million to deferred tax liabilities resulting from the acquisition and \$0.5 million of other liabilities. The amounts allocated to the acquired royalty interests in mineral properties and related deferred taxes are preliminary and are subject to change upon completion of final valuations. The operating impact of the assets acquired from Battle Mountain have been reflected in the results of Royal Gold from October 24, 2007.

The intangible asset included as part of the purchase price is associated with non-compete agreements with the two former employees of Battle Mountain. For fiscal year 2008, the total amortization expense associated with the intangible asset was approximately \$1.3 million. The remaining carrying value associated with the intangible asset is approximately \$4.5 million, which will be amortized over our next two and a half fiscal years.

The gold loan payable assumed as part of the acquisition of Battle Mountain was paid in full during November 2007.

The *Note Receivable - Battle Mountain Gold Exploration* as shown on the Company's consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2007, was assumed during the acquisition and was included in the purchase price for Battle Mountain.

3. ROYALTY ACQUISITIONS

Marigold and El Chanate

On February 20, 2008, we acquired three royalties from AngloGold Ashanti (U.S.A.) Exploration Inc. (*AngloGold*), a wholly-owned subsidiary of AngloGold Ashanti North America Inc., for \$13.75 million. The first royalty is a 2.0% net smelter return (*NSR*) royalty on the Marigold mine, located on the Battle Mountain-Eureka trend in Nevada, and operated by Goldcorp, Inc. (*Goldcorp*). The second royalty is a 2.0-4.0% sliding-scale NSR royalty on the El Chanate mine, located in Sonora, Mexico and operated by Capital Gold, Inc. (*Capital Gold*). The sliding-scale NSR royalty is capped once payments of approximately \$17.0 million have been received. The third royalty is a 10.0% net profits interest (*NPI*) royalty, also on the El Chanate mine. The 10.0% NPI royalty at El Chanate is capped at \$1.0 million. The sliding-scale NSR royalty at El Chanate pays at a rate of 2.0% when the average gold price is below \$300 per ounce, 3.0% when the gold price is between \$300 and \$350 per ounce, and 4.0% when the gold price is above \$350 per ounce. The El Chanate mine commenced production in mid-2007. Including royalty payments made to AngloGold, there have been cumulative payments made on the sliding-scale NSR royalty of \$1.1 million, resulting in \$15.9 million remaining under the \$17.0 million cap as of June 30, 2008. Also, based on information from Capital Gold, the Company has accrued approximately \$0.5 million in royalty revenue for the NPI royalty. The NPI royalty is payable in February 2009.

The 2.0% NSR royalty interest on the Marigold mine covers the majority of six sections of land, containing a number of open pits, but does not cover the current mining in the Basalt/Antler area. Approximately 38% of the current Marigold reserves are covered by this royalty. Based on our own estimates, we expect to begin receiving royalty payments from the 2.0% NSR royalty on the Marigold mine in calendar 2011, when mine operations are expected to move into areas covered by our royalty interest.

The AngloGold transaction has been accounted for as a purchase of assets. The total purchase price of \$13.75 million, less royalty amounts received for production prior to the purchase date of \$0.15 million, plus direct transaction costs, has been allocated to the three acquired royalties according to their relative fair values, as separate components of *Royalty Interests in Mineral Properties* on our consolidated

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

balance sheets. Accordingly, \$7.5 million has been allocated to the sliding-scale NSR royalty at El Chanate, \$0.8 million has been allocated to the NPI royalty at El Chanate, and \$5.3 million has been allocated to the Marigold royalty.

Pascua-Lama

On March 9, 2007, Royal Gold's wholly-owned subsidiary, Royal Gold Chile Limitada, a Chilean limited liability company (RGCL), acquired an NSR sliding-scale royalty on gold which is derived from certain mineral concessions at the Pascua-Lama project located in Chile for \$20.5 million. Barrick Gold Corporation (Barrick) owns the Pascua-Lama project and is ready for construction, pending resolution of tax and permitting issues. The acquisition also includes an NSR royalty on copper from reserves located in Chile sold after January 1, 2017.

The NSR sliding-scale royalty ranges from 0.16%, when the average quarterly gold price is \$325 per ounce or less, to 1.08%, when the average quarterly gold price is \$800 per ounce or more. The acquisition also includes a 0.216% fixed-rate copper royalty that applies to 100% of the Pascua-Lama copper reserves in Chile but does not take effect until after January 1, 2017.

The Pascua-Lama royalty acquisition was accounted for as an asset purchase. As such, the \$20.5 million purchase price, plus approximately \$0.4 million of direct acquisition costs, is recorded as a component of *Royalty interests in mineral properties* in the consolidated balance sheets. We have allocated \$20.5 million as a development stage royalty interest and approximately \$0.4 million as an exploration stage royalty interest.

Peñasquito

On January 23, 2007, we acquired a 2.0% NSR royalty interest on the Peñasquito project located in the State of Zacatecas, Mexico, from Kennecott Exploration Company, a Delaware corporation, and Minera Kennecott S.A. de C.V., a company incorporated under the laws of Mexico for \$80.0 million in cash and 577,434 shares of our common stock. We also obtained the right to acquire any or all of a group of NSR royalties ranging from 1.0% to 2.0% on various other concessions in the same region. On April 27, 2007, we notified Kennecott Exploration Company of our intention to acquire the royalties on certain of these concessions. We completed our acquisition of these royalties for nominal consideration during our fiscal 2008.

The Peñasquito project is currently under development by a subsidiary of Goldcorp Inc. (Goldcorp) and is composed of two main deposits called Peñasco and Chile Colorado, which host large silver, gold, zinc and lead reserves. The deposits consist of oxide and sulfide portions. In May 2008, Peñasquito poured the first gold from the oxide circuit. Goldcorp expects production at Peñasquito from the first sulfide circuit by late calendar 2009 and expects the second sulfide circuit to be operational near the end of calendar 2010.

The Peñasquito royalty acquisition was accounted for as an asset purchase. As such, the total purchase price of \$99.1 million, which consisted of \$80.0 million in cash, 577,434 shares of our common stock (valued at \$18.5 million) and approximately \$0.6 million of transaction costs, is recorded as a component of *Royalty interests in mineral properties*, as a development stage royalty, in our consolidated balance sheets.

Gold Hill

On December 8, 2006, Royal Gold paid \$3.3 million to Nevada Star Resource Corp. in exchange for an NSR sliding-scale royalty and certain unpatented mining claims on the Gold Hill deposit. The NSR

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

sliding-scale royalty on the Gold Hill deposit will pay 2.0% when the price of gold is above \$350 per ounce and 1.0% when the price of gold falls to \$350 per ounce or below. The royalty is also subject to a minimum royalty payment of \$0.1 million per year. The Gold Hill deposit, located just north of the Round Mountain gold mine in Nye County, Nevada, is controlled by Round Mountain Gold Corporation, a joint venture between subsidiaries of Kinross Gold Corporation (Kinross), the operator, and Barrick. Production on the Gold Hill deposit is expected to commence in calendar 2011.

The Gold Hill transaction was accounted for as an asset purchase. As such, the \$3.3 million acquisition cost is recorded as a component of *Royalty interests in mineral properties*, as a development stage royalty, on the consolidated balance sheets.

Taparko Project Royalties

On March 1, 2006, Royal Gold entered into an Amended and Restated Funding Agreement (Funding Agreement) with Societe des Mines de Taparko, also known as Somita SA (Somita), a 90% owned subsidiary of High River Gold Mines Ltd. (High River), to acquire two initial production payments equivalent to gross smelter return (GSR) royalties and two subsequent royalty interests on the Taparko-Bouroum Project (Taparko project) in Burkina Faso, West Africa. The Taparko project is operated by Somita. Royal Gold's funding of the project totaled \$35 million and was used by High River for the development and construction of the Taparko project. Construction of the Taparko project was completed during mid-calendar 2007, with production commencing during our first quarter of fiscal 2008.

As a result of our funding, we obtained the following mineral interests, all related to the Taparko project:

1. TB-GSR1 A production payment equivalent to a 15.0% GSR royalty on all gold produced from the Taparko project. TB-GSR1 remains in force until cumulative production of 804,420 ounces of gold is achieved, or until cumulative payments of \$35 million have been made to us, whichever is earlier;
2. TB-GSR2 A production payment equivalent to a GSR sliding-scale royalty on all gold produced from the Taparko project. The TB-GSR2 royalty ranges from 0.0% to 10.0%, depending on the price of gold. The TB-GSR2 royalty remains in effect until the termination of TB-GSR1;
3. TB-GSR3 A perpetual 2.0% GSR royalty on all gold produced from the Taparko project area (as defined in the Funding Agreement). This royalty will commence upon termination of the TB-GSR1 and TB-GSR2 royalties; and
4. TB-MR1 A 0.75% milling fee royalty, on all gold processed through the Taparko project processing facilities that is mined from any area outside of the Taparko project area (as defined in the Funding Agreement). TB-MR1 royalty is subject to a cap of 1.1 million tons per year (e.g., if in a given year, the Taparko project processing facility processes 800,000 tons of ore from the Taparko project area and 500,000 tons of ore from areas outside the Taparko project area, the 800,000 tons from the Taparko project area would be subject to TB-GSR1, TB-GSR2, or TB-GSR3 and the TB-MR1 would only apply to 300,000 tons of ore.

The Taparko transaction has been accounted for as a purchase of assets. Accordingly, the four components of the transaction noted above have been recorded at their allocated relative fair values as components of *Royalty Interests in Mineral Properties* on our consolidated balance sheets.

In order to secure our investment during the period between funding by Royal Gold and project completion (as defined in the Funding Agreement), High River has pledged its 90% interest in the equity of Somita. Royal Gold will maintain its security interest, in the form of the Somita shares, through the construction period. The security interest will be released upon the project meeting Project Completion,

ROYAL GOLD, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

as defined in the Funding Agreement. Per the terms of the Funding Agreement, the Taparko project has not achieved Project Completion as of June 30, 2008.

In addition to the 90% interest in Somita, Royal Gold also obtained as collateral a pledge of shares of two equity investments held by High River. The equity value underlying the pledge of these shares is valued at approximately \$63.2 million as of June 30, 2008, and includes 12,015,000 common shares in the capital stock of Pelangio Mines, Inc. and 1,790,941 common shares in the capital stock of Intrepid Minerals Corporation. The purpose of this collateral is to maintain a construction reserve that can be used to remedy any construction defects noted during the construction contract warranty period. This collateral can only be used to remedy identified construction defects and cannot be used to repay any of Royal Gold's investment. This security interest will be released by Royal Gold at the end of the construction contract warranty period.

Robinson and Mulatos Royalties

On December 28, 2005, Royal Gold paid \$25 million to Kennecott Minerals (Kennecott) in exchange for two existing royalty interests held by Kennecott, including a 3.0% NSR royalty on the Robinson mine, located in eastern Nevada, and a sliding-scale NSR royalty on the Mulatos mine, located in Sonora, Mexico.

The Robinson mine is an open pit copper mine with significant gold and molybdenum credits. The mine has been owned and operated by a subsidiary of Quadra since 2004. Royal Gold began receiving revenue from the Robinson royalty during our fourth quarter of fiscal year 2006 after a \$20.0 million reclamation trust account was fully funded by Quadra.

The Mulatos project, owned and operated by a subsidiary of Alamos Gold, Inc. (Alamos), is an open pit, heap leach gold mine. Commercial production was achieved effective April 1, 2006. The Mulatos mine sliding-scale royalty, capped at two million ounces of gold production, ranges from 0.30% for gold prices below \$300 per ounce up to 1.50% for gold prices above \$400 per ounce.

The Kennecott transaction has been accounted for as a purchase of assets. As such, the \$25 million acquisition cost, and approximately \$267,000 of our direct legal and other acquisition costs, have been allocated to the two acquired royalties according to their relative fair values, as separate components of *Royalty Interests in Mineral Properties* on our consolidated balance sheets. Accordingly, \$17.8 million has been allocated to the Robinson royalty and \$7.4 million has been allocated to the Mulatos royalty.

Taranis Exploration Alliance

On November 4, 2005, Royal Gold entered into two Exploration and Earn-In Agreements (the Agreements) with Taranis Resources Inc. (Taranis) with respect to its exploration program in Finland. As part of the first Agreement, the Company will obtain a 2.0% NSR royalty and future earn-in rights on any new property acquired by Taranis in Finland as a result of its regional exploration program, in exchange for a \$0.3 million investment in 937,500 shares of Taranis common stock and 468,750 warrants. On August 21, 2006, we acquired, under a private placement, an additional 100,000 shares of Taranis common stock and warrants exercisable to purchase up to 50,000 Taranis common shares at \$0.49.

As part of the Agreements, we funded \$0.5 million to Taranis for exploration work on the Kettukuusikko property in Lapland, Finland, in exchange for a 2.0% NSR royalty on the property. As of June 30, 2006, we funded the entire \$0.5 million commitment. We also had an option to fund up to an additional \$0.6 million. If we fund the entire additional amount, we will earn a 51% joint venture interest in the

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

Kettukuusikko project, and we will release our 2% NSR royalty. The Company elected to exercise this option during our fiscal year 2007. In the event that Royal Gold does not fully fund the \$0.6 million to earn the joint venture interest, we would retain our 2.0% NSR royalty. As of June 30, 2008, we have funded approximately \$0.5 million of the additional \$0.6 million option. Amounts funded to Taranis as part of the \$0.5 million and \$0.6 million Kettukuusikko exploration commitments have been expensed as a component of *Exploration and business development* expense on our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

Taranis is publicly traded and therefore we have recorded our investment in Taranis common stock and warrants as *Available for sale securities*, which are included as a component of *Other assets* on our consolidated balance sheets at their relative fair values. See Note 5 for further detail on our investment in common stock and warrants of Taranis.

4. ROYALTY INTERESTS IN MINERAL PROPERTIES

The following summarizes the Company's royalty interests in mineral properties as of June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2007.

As of June 30, 2008 (Amounts in thousands):	Cost	Accumulated Depletion	Net
Production stage royalty interests:			
Cortez Pipeline Mining Complex	\$ 10,630	\$ (8,901)	\$ 1,729
Robinson	17,825	(4,271)	13,554
Taparko	33,570	(4,514)	29,056
Leeville	17,495	(5,567)	11,928
Goldstrike SJ Claims	20,788	(8,641)	12,147
Other	40,782	(11,598)	29,184
	141,090	(43,492)	97,598
Development stage royalty interests:			
Peñasquito (sulfide circuit)	95,146		
Dolores	40,989		
Pascua-Lama	20,446		
Other	18,110		
	174,691		174,691
Exploration stage royalty interests	28,652	(271)	28,381
Total royalty interests in mineral properties	\$ 344,433	\$ (43,763)	\$ 300,670

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

As of June 30, 2007 (Amounts in thousands)	Cost	Accumulated Depletion	Net
Production stage royalty interests:			
Cortez Pipeline Mining Complex	\$ 10,630	\$ (8,422)	\$ 2,208
Robinson	17,825	(2,053)	15,772
Leeville	16,862	(3,248)	13,614
Goldstrike SJ Claims	20,788	(7,159)	13,629
Other	17,093	(5,705)	11,388
	83,198	(26,587)	56,611
Development stage royalty interests:			
Peñasquito (sulfide circuit)	99,172		99,172
Taparko	34,246		34,246
Pascua-Lama	20,445		20,445
Other	3,340		3,340
	157,203		157,203
Exploration stage royalty interests	2,296	(271)	2,025
Total royalty interests in mineral properties	\$ 242,697	\$ (26,858)	\$ 215,839

Discussed below is each of the Company's significant production stage royalty interests:

Cortez Pipeline Mining Complex

We own two GSR sliding-scale royalties (GSR1 ranging from 0.40% to 5.0% and GSR2 ranging from 0.72% to 9.0%), a 0.71% fixed gross smelter return royalty (GSR3), and a 1.25% net value return (NVR) royalty (NVR1) over the Cortez Pipeline Mining Complex (Cortez) which includes the Pipeline, South Pipeline, Gap and Crossroads gold deposits. Cortez is owned by subsidiaries of Barrick and is located in Lander County, Nevada. The Company owns 31.6% of the 1.25% NVR (or 0.39%) while our consolidated minority interest owns the remaining portion of the 1.25% NVR.

Robinson Mine

We own a 3.0% NSR royalty on the Robinson mine, located in White Pine County, Nevada. The Robinson mine is an open pit copper mine with significant gold production. The mine is owned and operated by a subsidiary of Quadra.

Taparko Mine

We own a production payment equivalent to a 15.0% GSR (TB-GSR1) royalty on all gold produced from the Taparko project, located in Burkina Faso and operated by Somita, a subsidiary of High River.

TB-GSR1 will remain in-force until cumulative production of 804,420 ounces of gold is achieved or until cumulative payments of \$35 million have been made to Royal Gold, whichever is earlier. We also hold a production payment equivalent to a GSR sliding-scale royalty (TB-GSR2 ranging from 0% to 10%) on all gold produced from the Taparko project. TB-GSR2 is effective concurrently with TB-GSR1, and will remain in-force from completion of the funding commitment until the termination of TB-GSR1.

ROYAL GOLD, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

TB-GSR1 and TB-GSR2 were classified as development stage royalty interests as of June 30, 2007. During our first fiscal quarter of 2008, High River commenced production at the Taparko mine. Accordingly, we reclassified our cost basis in TB-GSR1 and TB-GSR2 from development stage royalty interests. As such, we began depleting the associated cost basis using the units of production method during our first fiscal quarter of 2008.

As of June 30, 2008, we have recognized royalty revenue associated with the TB-GSR1 royalty totaling \$4.7 million, which is attributable to cumulative production of 36,078 ounces of gold.

Leeville

We own a 1.8% carried working interest, equal to a 1.8% NSR royalty, which covers the majority of the Leeville Mining Complex (collectively Leeville South and Leeville North), in Eureka County, Nevada. The Leeville Mining Complex is operated by a subsidiary of Newmont Mining Corporation (Newmont). During our fiscal year 2008, most of our royalty revenue was derived from Leeville North, an underground mining operation, as production from Leeville South ceased in late calendar 2007.

A portion (non-reserve) of our investment in Leeville North is classified as an exploration stage royalty interest, which is not subject to amortization. During our third fiscal quarter of 2008, Newmont informed us that additional proven and probable reserves were developed at Leeville North. As such, we reclassified approximately \$0.6 million of our cost basis in the Leeville North exploration stage royalty interest to the Leeville North production stage royalty interest. In the event that future proven and probable reserves associated with our royalty interest are developed at Leeville North, additional cost basis of our royalty interest will be reclassified as a development stage or a production stage royalty interest in future periods, as appropriate.

Goldstrike SJ Claims

We own a 0.9% NSR on the SJ Claims that cover a portion of the Betze-Post mine, in Eureka County, Nevada. Betze-Post is an open pit mine operated by a subsidiary of Barrick at its Goldstrike property.

5. AVAILABLE FOR SALE SECURITIES

As of June 30, 2008 and 2007, we held 1.3 million shares of Revett Minerals, Inc. (Revett) common stock. The market value for our investment in the shares of Revett was \$0.7 million and \$1.5 million as of June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Our cost basis in the Revett shares is \$1.0 million.

As of June 30, 2008 and 2007, we held 1,037,500 and 100,000 shares of common stock and stock options, respectively, in Taranis. The market value for our investment in Taranis common stock, warrants and stock options was \$0.6 and \$0.5 million as of June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The warrants in Taranis expired during our fiscal year 2008. Our cost basis in the Taranis common stock, warrants and stock options is \$0.3 million.

Our investments in available for sale securities are included as a component of *Other assets* on our consolidated balance sheets.

6. CREDIT FACILITY

The Company and a wholly-owned subsidiary currently has an \$80 million credit facility with HSBC Bank USA, National Association (HSBC Bank), which bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.5% and includes

ROYAL GOLD, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

both affirmative and negative covenants, as defined, so long as any portion of the facility is outstanding. On January 23, 2008, the Company entered into an amendment of its credit facility with HSBC Bank, which extended the maturity date of the credit facility two years from December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2012. The amendment also updated the assumptions used in the calculation of the borrowing base, which included an increase in the metal price assumption of gold and added a metal price assumption for silver.

As part of the credit facility, the Company and the wholly-owned subsidiary granted HSBC Bank security interests in the following: the Company's GSR1, GSR3, and NVR1 royalties at the Cortez; the Company's SJ Claims, Leeville Mining Complex, Bald Mountain and Robinson royalties; and the Company's debt reserve account (an interest bearing cash account which is included within *Cash and equivalents* on the consolidated balance sheets) at HSBC Bank. The initial availability under the borrowing base was the full \$80 million under the credit facility; however, the borrowing base calculation is recalculated as of April 15 and October 15 each year. As of June 30, 2008, the Company's borrowing capacity under the credit facility was \$70.8 million.

The Company drew \$0 and \$60 million under the revolving credit facility during its fiscal years 2008 and 2007, respectively. The \$60 million advanced under the credit facility during our fiscal year 2007 was used to complete the closing of the Peñasquito and Pascua-Lama royalty acquisitions, as discussed in Note 3. The company paid approximately \$0.8 million in interest associated with the outstanding credit facility during fiscal year 2007. As of June 30, 2008 and 2007, the Company had no amounts outstanding under the credit facility.

7. NOTE PAYABLE

On March 1, 2007, RGCL, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Royal Gold, entered into a \$15.75 million term loan facility bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.25% pursuant to a Term Loan Agreement between RGCL and HSBC Bank. Pursuant to the terms of the Term Loan Agreement, Royal Gold must maintain a restricted interest-bearing securities account (the Collateral Account) on deposit at HSBC Securities (USA) Inc. with a balance equal to or in excess of the outstanding amounts on the \$15.75 million term loan. In connection with the Term Loan Agreement, Royal Gold entered into a Guarantee (the Guarantee) for the life of the Term Loan, for the benefit of HSBC Bank to guaranty RGCL's obligations under the Term Loan Agreement and a security agreement granting HSBC Bank a security interest in the Collateral Account to secure RGCL's obligations under the Term Loan Agreement and its obligations under the Guarantee. The loan will mature on March 1, 2012.

The \$15.75 million balance in the Collateral Account as of June 30, 2008, is recorded as *Restricted cash compensating balance* on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. RGCL's \$15.75 million principal obligation under the Term Loan Agreement is recorded as *Note payable* on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

8. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

In November 2004, the Company adopted the Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan (2004 Plan). The 2004 Plan replaces the Company's Equity Incentive Plan. Under the 2004 Plan, 900,000 shares of Common stock are available for future grants to officers, directors, key employees and other persons. The Plan provides for the grant of stock options, unrestricted stock, restricted stock, dividend equivalent rights, stock appreciation rights, and cash awards. Any of these awards may, but need not, be made as

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

performance incentives. Stock options granted under the 2004 Plan may be non-qualified stock options or incentive stock options.

For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, we recorded total non-cash stock compensation expense related to our equity compensation plans of \$2.9 million, \$2.7 million and \$2.8 million, respectively. Non-cash stock compensation is allocated among cost of operations, general and administrative, and exploration and business development in our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income as summarized below:

(Amounts in thousands)	For The Fiscal Years Ended June 30,		
	2008	2007	2006
Non-cash stock compensation allocation:			
Cost of operations	\$ 356	\$ 401	\$ 381
General and administrative	1,509	1,510	1,465
Exploration and business development	1,004	752	932
Total non-cash compensation expense	\$ 2,869	\$ 2,663	\$ 2,778

The total income tax benefit associated with non-cash stock compensation expense was approximately \$1.0 million for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2008, 2007, and 2006.

As of June 30, 2008, there are 70,567 shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under our 2004 Plan.

Stock Options

Stock option awards are granted with an exercise price equal to the closing market price of the Company's stock at the date of grant. Stock option awards granted to officers, key employees and other persons vest based on one to three years of continuous service. Stock option awards granted to directors vest immediately with respect to 50% of the shares granted and after one year with respect to the remaining 50% granted. Stock option awards have 10 year contractual terms.

To determine non-cash stock compensation expense for stock option awards, the fair value of each stock option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton (Black-Scholes) option pricing model for all periods presented. The Black-Scholes model requires key assumptions in order to determine fair value. Those key assumptions during our fiscal year 2008, 2007 and 2006 grants are noted in the following table:

	2008	2007	2006
Weighted average expected volatility	47.8%	52.9%	61.2%
Weighted average expected option term in years	5.0	5.1	5.4
Weighted average dividend yield	0.91%	0.93%	1.00%
Weighted average risk free interest rate	3.9%	4.6%	4.5%

The Company's expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock over the expected option term. The Company's expected option term is determined by historical exercise patterns along with other known employee or company information at the time of grant. The risk free interest rate

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

is based on the zero-coupon U.S. Treasury bond at the time of grant with a term approximate to the expected option term.

On November 7, 2007, 110,500 stock options under the 2004 Plan were granted to officers and certain employees under the 2004 Plan. These options have an exercise price of \$29.75, which was the closing market price for our common stock on the date of grant.

A summary of stock option activity under our equity compensation plans for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, is presented below.

Stock Options	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at July 1, 2007	579,213	\$ 17.57		
Granted	110,500	29.75		
Exercised	(101,750)	7.13		
Forfeited and Expired	(1,250)	29.20		
Outstanding at June 30, 2008	586,713	\$ 21.65	6.7	\$ 5,697
Exercisable at June 30, 2008	436,947	\$ 19.13	4.4	\$ 5,342

The weighted-average grant date fair value of options granted during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, was \$12.82, \$13.79, and \$12.04, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, were \$2.5 million, \$0.8 million, and \$5.6 million, respectively.

A summary of the status of the Company's non-vested stock options for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, is presented below:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
Non-vested at July 1, 2007	138,434	\$ 13.00
Granted	110,500	\$ 12.82
Vested	(99,517)	\$ 12.45
Forfeited	(1,250)	\$ 13.94
Non-vested at June 30, 2008	148,167	\$ 13.23

For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, we recorded non-cash stock compensation expense associated with stock options of \$1.3 million, \$1.2 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. As of June 30, 2008, there was approximately \$1.1 million of total unrecognized non-cash stock compensation expense related to non-vested stock options granted under our equity compensation plans, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.1 years. The total fair value of shares vested during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$1.3 million, \$1.1 million and \$0.5 million, respectively.

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

Other Stock-based Compensation

On November 7, 2007, officers and certain employees were granted 48,000 shares of restricted common stock that can be earned only if either one of two defined multi-year performance goals is met within five years of the date of grant (Performance Shares). If the performance goals are not earned by the end of this five year period, the Performance Shares will be forfeited. Vesting of Performance Shares is subject to certain performance measures being met and can be based on an interim earn out of 25%, 50%, 75% or 100%. The defined performance goals are tied to two different performance measures: (1) growth of free cash flow per share on a trailing twelve month basis; and (2) growth of royalty ounces in reserve on an annual basis.

A summary of the status of the Company's non-vested Performance Shares for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, is presented below:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
Non-vested at July 1, 2007	27,000	\$ 28.78
Granted	48,000	\$ 29.75
Vested	(9,000)	\$ 28.78
Forfeited		\$
Non-vested at June 30, 2008	66,000	\$ 29.49

We measure the fair value of the Performance Shares based upon the market price of our common stock as of the date of grant. In accordance with SFAS 123(R), the measurement date for the Performance Shares will be determined at such time that the performance goals are attained or that it is probable they will be attained. At such time that it is probable that a performance condition will be achieved, compensation expense will be measured by the number of shares that will ultimately be earned based on the grant date market price of our common stock. Interim recognition of compensation expense will be made at such time as management can reasonably estimate the number of shares that will be earned. As of June 30, 2008, our estimates indicated that it is probable that 59% of our non-vested Performance Shares will be earned by December 31, 2008. For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, we recorded non-cash stock compensation expense associated with our Performance Shares of approximately \$0.5 million, \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively. As of June 30, 2008, total unrecognized non-cash stock compensation expense related to our Performance Shares is approximately \$0.2 million, which is expected to be recognized over the next two fiscal quarters, the period over which it is probable that the performance goals will be attained.

As defined in the 2004 Plan, officers, non-executive directors and certain employees may be granted shares of restricted stock that vest on continued service alone (Restricted Stock). On November 7, 2007, officers and certain employees were granted 72,500 shares of Restricted Stock. Restricted Stock awards granted to officers and certain employees vest over three years beginning after a three-year holding period from the date of grant with one-third of the shares vesting in years four, five and six, respectively. Also on November 7, 2007, our non-executive directors were granted 15,000 shares of Restricted Stock. The non-executive directors' shares of Restricted Stock vest as to 50% immediately and 50% one year after the date of grant.

Shares of Restricted Stock represent issued and outstanding shares of common stock, with dividend and voting rights. We measure the fair value of the Restricted Stock based upon the market price of our common stock as of the date of grant. Restricted Stock is amortized over the applicable vesting period

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

using the straight-line method. Unvested shares of Restricted Stock are subject to forfeiture upon termination of employment with the Company.

A summary of the status of the Company's non-vested Restricted Stock for fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, is presented below:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
Non-vested at July 1, 2007	117,000	\$ 24.73
Granted	87,500	\$ 29.75
Vested	(10,625)	\$ 29.59
Forfeited	(625)	\$ 29.20
Non-vested at June 30, 2008	193,250	\$ 26.72

For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, we recorded non-cash stock compensation expense associated with the Restricted Stock of approximately \$1.1 million, \$0.4 million, and \$0.4 million, respectively. As of June 30, 2008, total unrecognized non-cash stock compensation expense related to Restricted Stock was approximately \$3.8 million, which is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted average vesting period of 2.4 years.

9. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Preferred Stock

We have 10,000,000 authorized and unissued shares of \$.01 par value Preferred Stock as of June 30, 2008 and 2007.

Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock

On November 9, 2007, the Company completed an offering of 1.15 million shares of 7.25% mandatory convertible preferred stock (Mandatory Preferred Stock) at a price to the public of \$100.00 per share, less underwriter discounts and other related expenses, resulting in net proceeds of \$111.1 million. Dividends on the Mandatory Preferred Stock were payable on a cumulative basis when, as and if declared by our board of directors at an annual rate of 7.25% per share on the liquidation preference of \$100 per share. Dividends were payable, at the Company's discretion, in cash, common stock or a combination thereof, on February 15, May 15, August 15 and November 15 of each year to and including November 15, 2010, commencing on February 15, 2008. On January 10, 2008, the Company's board of directors declared the regular quarterly dividend for the first dividend period of \$1.9333 per share of the Mandatory Preferred Stock. The dividend was payable on February 15, 2008, to preferred shareholders of record at the close of business on February 1, 2008. The preferred dividend was paid in cash.

Under the original terms of the Preferred Stock offering, each share of the Mandatory Preferred Stock was to automatically convert on November 15, 2010, into between 2.8335 and 3.4002 shares of our common stock, subject to anti-dilution adjustments. At any time prior to November 15, 2010, holders may have elected to convert each share of the Mandatory Preferred Stock into shares of our common stock at the minimum conversion rate of 2.8335 shares of common stock per share of the Mandatory Preferred Stock, subject to anti-dilution adjustments. At any time prior to May 15, 2008, we may have had, at our option, caused the conversion of all, but not less than all, of the Mandatory Preferred Stock into shares of our common stock at the provisional conversion rate described within the Mandatory Preferred Stock offering. However, we could not elect to exercise our provisional conversion right if, on or prior to

ROYAL GOLD, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

May 15, 2008, we completed a material transaction involving the acquisition of assets or a business with a purchase price of \$100 million or more.

On January 25, 2008, the Company announced that it exercised its provisional conversion right for all of the issued and outstanding shares of its Mandatory Preferred Stock. As part of the provisional conversion right, each share of the Mandatory Preferred Stock was converted into shares of our common stock on March 10, 2008 (the Conversion Date), based on the average closing price per common share on the Nasdaq Global Select Market (Nasdaq) over a 20 consecutive trading day period, which ended on March 5, 2008, as provided in the Certificate of Designations of the Mandatory Preferred Stock. The average closing price over the 20 consecutive trading day period was \$29.78 and each outstanding share of Mandatory Preferred Stock was automatically converted into 3.4589 shares of common stock on the Conversion Date. The Company issued 3,977,683 shares of its common stock upon conversion of the Mandatory Preferred Stock on the Conversion Date.

In connection with the conversion, all accrued and unpaid dividends on the Mandatory Preferred Stock up to the Conversion Date were payable at \$0.5035 per share of Mandatory Preferred Stock and were paid in cash to holders of record on the Conversion Date. Trading of the Mandatory Preferred Stock on the NASDAQ was suspended at the close of business on March 5, 2008, and the Mandatory Preferred Stock was de-listed on March 24, 2008. The Company applied a contingent beneficial conversion feature model to account for the provisional conversion of the Mandatory Preferred Stock during its third fiscal quarter of 2008, which resulted in the Company recognizing a deemed dividend of \$2.0 million for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2008. There were no tax consequences to the Company upon conversion of the Mandatory Preferred Stock.

Treasury Stock

On January 25, 2008, the Company announced that its board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$30.0 million of its common stock in the open market through March 31, 2008. The timing and number of shares repurchased through March 31, 2008, depended on market conditions and other corporate considerations. As of March 31, 2008, the Company repurchased 196,986 common shares, at an average price of \$28.00 per common share for a total cost of approximately \$5.5 million. The common share repurchases were funded through cash and cash equivalents. The total cost to reacquire the 196,986 common shares was included in *Treasury Stock* on the Company's consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2008. The repurchase program, pursuant to the January 25, 2008, announcement, ended on March 31, 2008.

On April 2, 2008, the Company retired the 196,986 common shares repurchased, pursuant to the January 25, 2008, repurchase announcement. The 196,986 common shares retired have been returned to the Company's authorized but unissued amount of common stock. Also, on June 20, 2008, the Company retired the remaining 229,224 common shares included in treasury stock. The 229,224 common shares retired have been returned to the Company's authorized but unissued amount of common stock. As of June 30, 2008, the Company has zero common shares included in treasury stock.

Stockholders Rights Plan

On September 10, 2007, the Company amended and restated its Rights Agreement, dated September 10, 1997 (the Existing Agreement) pursuant to the First Amended and Restated Rights Agreement, dated September 10, 2007 (the Amended Agreement). The Amended Agreement extends the Final Expiration Date from September 10, 2007 to September 10, 2017. The Amended Agreement was approved by the Company's board of directors (the Board).

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

The Amended Agreement, like the Existing Agreement, is intended to deter coercive or abusive tender offers and market accumulations. The Amended Agreement is designed to encourage an acquirer to negotiate with the Board and to enhance the Board's ability to act in the best interests of all the Company's shareholders.

Under the Amended Agreement, each shareholder of the Company holds one preferred stock purchase right (a "Right") for each share of Company common stock held. The Rights generally become exercisable only in the event that an acquiring party accumulates 15 percent or more of the Company's outstanding shares of common stock. If this were to occur, subject to certain exceptions, each Right (except for the Rights held by the acquiring party) would allow its holders to purchase one one-thousandth of a newly issued share of Series A junior participating preferred stock of Royal Gold or the Company's common stock with a value equal to twice the exercise price of the Right, initially set at \$175 under the terms and conditions set forth in the Amended Agreement.

Common Stock Issuances

Fiscal 2008

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, options to purchase 101,750 shares were exercised, resulting in proceeds of \$0.7 million.

On March 10, 2008, we issued 3,977,683 shares of our common stock as part of the conversion of our Mandatory Preferred Stock. Please refer to "Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock" above for further information regarding the Mandatory Preferred Stock.

On October 24, 2007, we issued 1,144,025 shares of our common stock to Battle Mountain shareholders as part of the Company's acquisition of 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of Battle Mountain. Refer to Note 2 for further discussion regarding the acquisition of Battle Mountain.

On September 4, 2007, we issued 216,642 shares of our common stock to IAMGOLD Corporation ("IAMGOLD") and Repadre International Corporation ("Repadre") in connection with our acquisition from IAMGOLD and Repadre of all of their issued and outstanding shares of Battle Mountain common stock. We had the option to acquire the shares of Battle Mountain common stock from IAMGOLD and Repadre pursuant to an option and support agreement we entered into with IAMGOLD in connection with the merger with Battle Mountain.

Fiscal 2007

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, options to purchase 46,467 shares were exercised, resulting in proceeds of \$0.6 million.

As discussed in Note 3, on January 24, 2007, we issued 577,434 shares of our common stock as part of the Peñasquito royalty acquisition.

In April 2007, we sold 4,400,064 shares of our common stock, at a price of \$29.25 per share, resulting in proceeds of approximately \$121.9 million, which is net of the underwriter's discount of approximately \$6.3 million and transaction costs of approximately \$650,000. A portion of the net proceeds in this equity offering were used to pay a previously outstanding balance under our revolving credit facility with HSBC Bank, as discussed in Note 6, while the remaining net proceeds were used to fund the acquisition and financing of additional royalty interests and for general corporate purposes.

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

Fiscal 2006

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006, options to purchase 276,777 shares were exercised, resulting in proceeds of approximately \$3.9 million.

In September 2005, we sold 2,227,912 shares of our common stock in an underwritten public offering, at a price of \$26.00 per share, resulting in proceeds of approximately \$54.7 million, which is net of the underwriter's discount of \$2.9 million and transaction costs of approximately \$0.3 million. The net proceeds in this equity offering were used to fund the acquisition and financing of additional royalty interests and for general corporate purposes.

10. EARNINGS PER SHARE (EPS) COMPUTATION

	For The Year Ended June 30, 2008		
	Income (Numerator) (As Restated) ⁽¹⁾	Shares (Denominator)	Per-Share Amount (As Restated) ⁽¹⁾
Net income	\$ 24,043		
Preferred stock dividends	(2,802)		
Preferred stock deemed dividend upon conversion	(1,986)		
Net income available to common stockholders for basic earnings per share	\$ 19,255	31,054,725	\$ 0.62
Effect of dilutive securities		335,568	
Diluted EPS	\$ 19,255	31,390,293	\$ 0.61

⁽¹⁾ See Note 20 for a discussion of the impact of the restatement on our quarterly results and the restatement.

Options to purchase 1,600 shares of common stock, at a purchase price of \$32.40 per share, were outstanding at June 30, 2008, but were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because the exercise price of these options was greater than the average market price of the common shares for the period.

	For The Year Ended June 30, 2007		
	Income (Numerator)	Shares (Denominator)	Per-Share Amount
Basic EPS			
Income available to common stockholders	\$ 19,720	24,827,319	\$ 0.79
Effect of dilutive securities		247,767	
Diluted EPS	\$ 19,720	25,075,086	\$ 0.79

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

Options to purchase 1,600 shares of common stock, at a purchase price of \$32.40 per share, were outstanding at June 30, 2007, but were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because the exercise price of these options was greater than the average market price of the common shares for the period.

	For The Year Ended June 30, 2006		
	Income (Numerator)	Shares (Denominator)	Per-Share Amount
Basic EPS			
Income available to common stockholders	\$ 11,350	22,863,784	\$ 0.50
Effect of dilutive securities		270,250	
Diluted EPS	\$ 11,350	23,134,034	\$ 0.49

As of June 30, 2006, all outstanding options were included in the computation of diluted EPS because the exercise price of all the options was less than the average market price of our common shares for the period.

11. INCOME TAXES

	2008 (As Restated) ⁽¹⁾			2007	2006
	2008	2007	2006		
Current federal tax expense	\$ 11,935	\$ 10,310	\$ 5,974		
Deferred tax benefit	(32)	(813)	(873)		
Increase in deferred tax asset valuation allowance	147	52			
	\$ 12,050	\$ 9,549	\$ 5,101		

⁽¹⁾ See Note 20 for a discussion of the impact of the restatement on our quarterly results and the restatement.

The provision for income taxes for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006, differs from the amount of income tax determined by applying the applicable United States statutory federal income tax rate to pre-tax income (net of minority interest in income of consolidated subsidiary and loss from equity investment) from operations as a result of the following differences:

	2008 (As Restated) ⁽¹⁾			2007	2006
	2008	2007	2006		
Total expense computed by applying federal rates	\$ 12,633	\$ 10,244	\$ 5,758		
State income taxes, net of federal benefit	128	84	192		
Adjustments of valuation allowance	147	52			

Excess depletion	(1,294)	(956)	(922)
Other	436	125	73
	\$ 12,050	\$ 9,549	\$ 5,101

(1) See Note 20 for a discussion of the impact of the restatement on our quarterly results and the restatement.

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

The tax effects of temporary differences and carryforwards, which give rise to our deferred tax assets and liabilities at June 30, 2008 and 2007, are as follows:

	2008	2007
Deferred tax assets:		
Non-cash stock-based compensation	\$ 1,595	\$ 927
Net operating losses	1,451	
Other	381	206
Total deferred tax assets	3,427	1,133
Valuation allowance	(199)	(52)
Net deferred tax assets	3,228	1,081
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Mineral property basis	(28,112)	(6,536)
Other	(1,100)	(302)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(29,212)	(6,838)
Total net deferred taxes	\$ (25,984)	\$ (5,757)

As of June 30, 2008 and 2007, our valuation allowance was associated with foreign net operating loss carryforwards attributed to RGCL. The net operating loss associated with RGCL is approximately \$1.2 million. There is an unlimited carryback and carryforward period to use such losses.

The Company adopted the provisions of FIN 48 on July 1, 2007, with no impact on its financial statements. As a result of the acquisition of Battle Mountain on October 24, 2007, the Company recorded a liability for unrecognized tax benefits of approximately \$0.4 million. The liability for unrecognized tax benefits is reflected within *Other long-term liabilities* on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

The material income tax returns the Company files are the U.S. federal income tax return, which has a three year statute of limitations, and the Colorado state income tax return, which has a four year statute of limitations. The U.S. federal return for tax years ended on or after June 30, 2004, and the Colorado state return for tax years ended on or after June 30, 2003, are subject to examination by the relevant taxing authority.

Interest and penalties associated with the liability for unrecognized tax benefits is approximately \$47,000 at June 30, 2008, and is included in *Other long-term liabilities* on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

12. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

The Company's supplemental cash flow information for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 is as follows:

	2008	2007	2006
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest	\$	\$ 801	\$
Income taxes, net of refunds	\$ 13,292	\$ 10,293	\$ 4,611
Non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Dividends declared	\$ 11,571	\$ 6,289	\$ 5,058
Conversion of preferred stock to common stock	\$ 116,946		
Battle Mountain acquisition (with common stock)	\$ 35,832	\$	\$
Acquisition of royalty interest in mineral property (with common stock)	\$	\$ 18,495	\$

13. MAJOR CUSTOMERS

In each of fiscal years 2008, 2007 and 2006, we recognized approximately \$27.7 million, \$28.2 million and \$23.1 million, respectively, of our royalty revenue from the same operator, Barrick, but not from the same mine.

14. SIMPLIFIED EMPLOYEE PENSION (SEP) PLAN

We maintain a Simplified Employee Pension Plan (SEP Plan) in which all employees are eligible to participate. We contribute a minimum of 3% of an employee's compensation to an account set up for the benefit of the employee. If an employee chooses to make additional contributions to the SEP Plan through salary withholdings, we will match such contributions to a maximum of 7% of the employee's salary. We contributed \$0.2 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million in fiscal years 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

15. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**Casmalia**

On March 24, 2000, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) notified Royal Gold and 92 other entities that they were considered potentially responsible parties (PRPs) under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (Superfund), at the Casmalia Resources Hazardous Waste Disposal Site (the Site) in Santa Barbara County, California. EPA's allegation that Royal Gold was a PRP was based on the disposal of allegedly hazardous petroleum exploration wastes at the Site by Royal Gold's predecessor, Royal Resources, Inc., during 1983 and 1984.

After extensive negotiations, on September 23, 2002, Royal Gold, along with 35 members of the PRP group targeted by EPA, entered into a Partial Consent Decree with the United States of America intending to settle their liability for the United States of America's past and future clean-up costs incurred at the Site. Based on the minimal volume of allegedly hazardous waste that Royal Resources, Inc. disposed of at the Site, our share of the \$25.3 million settlement amount was \$0.1 million, which we deposited into

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

the escrow account that the PRP group set up for that purpose in January 2002. The funds were paid to the United States of America on May 9, 2003. The United States of America may only pursue Royal Gold and the other PRPs for additional clean-up costs if the United States of America's total clean-up costs at the Site significantly exceed the expected cost of approximately \$272 million. We believe our potential liability with the United States of America to be a remote possibility.

At present, Royal Gold is considering entering into a de minimis settlement with the State of California. The date for accepting a settlement was extended indefinitely by the State of California pending preparation of settlement documentation by the State. Such settlement will result in a final conclusion regarding the Company's responsibility to address the matter.

Contractual Obligations

Our long-term contractual obligations as of June 30, 2008, are as follows:

	Total	Payments Due by Period			More than 5 Years
		Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	
Contractual Obligations					
Note payable ⁽¹⁾	\$ 17,732	\$ 529	\$ 17,203	\$	\$
Operating leases ⁽²⁾	904	186	631	87	
Long-term retirement obligation	94	26	53	15	
Total	\$ 18,730	\$ 741	\$ 17,887	\$ 102	\$

(1) Amounts represent principal (\$15.75 million) and estimated interest payments (\$2.0 million) assuming no early extinguishment. See Note 7 for further detail.

(2) We lease office space under a lease agreement, which expires October 31, 2012.

Employment Agreements

We have one-year employment agreements with some of our officers which, under certain circumstances, require total minimum future base compensation, at June 30, 2008, of approximately \$1.0 million. The terms of each of these agreements automatically extend annually, for one additional year, unless terminated by Royal Gold or the officer, according to the terms of the agreements.

16. RELATED PARTY

Crescent Valley Partners, L.P. (CVP) was formed as a limited partnership in April 1992. It owns a 1.25% net value royalty on production of minerals from a portion of Cortez. Denver Mining Finance Company, our wholly-owned subsidiary, is the general partner and holds a 2.0% interest in CVP. In addition, Royal Gold holds a 29.6% limited partner interest in the partnership, while our Executive Chairman, the Chairman of our Audit Committee and one other member of our board of directors hold an aggregate 35.56% limited partner interest. The general partner performs administrative services for CVP in receiving and processing the royalty payments received from the operator including the disbursement of royalty payments and record keeping for in-kind distributions to the limited partners, including our directors and Executive Chairman.

CVP receives its royalty from the Cortez Joint Venture in-kind. The Company, as well as certain other limited partners, sell their pro-rata shares of such gold immediately and receive distributions in cash,

ROYAL GOLD, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(In thousands, except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

while CVP holds gold for certain other limited partners. Such gold inventories, which totaled 27,552 ounces of gold as of June 30, 2008, are held by a third party refinery in Utah for the account of the limited partners of CVP. The inventories are carried at historical cost and are classified as *Inventory restricted* on the consolidated balance sheets. The carrying value of the gold in inventory was approximately \$11.2 million and \$10.6 million as of June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, while the fair value of such ounces was approximately \$25.6 million and \$17.9 million as of June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. None of the gold currently held in inventory as of June 30, 2008 and 2007, is attributed to Royal Gold, as the gold allocated to Royal Gold is typically sold within five days of receipt.

17. STAFF ACCOUNTING BULLETIN NO. 108

In September 2006, the SEC issued SAB 108. The Company elected early application of SAB 108 during its third quarter of fiscal year 2007, with effect from July 1, 2006. Prior to SAB 108, there have been two widely-recognized methods for quantifying the effects of financial statement misstatements: the roll-over method and the iron curtain method. The roll-over method focuses primarily on the impact of a misstatement on the income statement including the reversing effect of prior year misstatements but its use can lead to the accumulation of misstatements in the balance sheet. The iron-curtain method, on the other hand, focuses primarily on the effect of correcting the period-end balance sheet with less emphasis on the reversing effects of prior year misstatements on the income statement. Prior to our application of the guidance in SAB 108, we used the roll-over method for quantifying financial statement misstatements.

SAB 108 permits existing public companies to initially apply its provisions by either (i) restating prior financial statements as if the dual approach had always been applied, or (ii) recording the cumulative effect of initially applying the dual approach as adjustments to the carrying value of assets and liabilities with an offsetting adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings. The Company has elected to record the effects of applying SAB 108 as an adjustment to the carrying value of assets and liabilities, however, due to the nature of such adjustments (described below), no offsetting adjustment was necessary to the Company's beginning of the year retained earnings. Using its pre-SAB 108 methodology for assessing misstatements, the Company has determined that the effect of such error on any previously issued financial statement was not material.

Consolidation of CVP

CVP was formed as a limited partnership in April of 1992. It owns a 1.25% net value royalty on production of minerals from a portion of Cortez. Denver Mining Finance Company, our wholly-owned subsidiary, is the general partner and holds a 2% interest in the partnership. In addition, we hold a 29.6% limited partner interest in the partnership, while our Executive Chairman, the Chairman of our Audit Committee and two other members of our board of directors hold an aggregate 41.69% limited partner interest.

Historically, the Company recorded its proportional interest (31.6%) in CVP's assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses pursuant to Emerging Issues Task Force 00-1: *Investor Balance Sheet and Income Statement under the Equity Method for Investments in Certain Partnerships and Other Ventures*.

In connection with the preparation of its financial statements for the quarter ended March 31, 2007, the Company determined that due to the legal structure of CVP and certain related factors, CVP should have been fully consolidated, effective December 31, 2003, pursuant to the guidance of FASB Interpretation No. 46 *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, (as revised, FIN 46R), rather than consolidated

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

based on the Company's proportional interest in CVP. On a fully consolidated basis, all of the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses of CVP would have been reflected in the Company's consolidated financial statements, including a minority interest equivalent to the net assets of CVP representing the ownership share of royalty interests in mineral properties and inventory held for others. Fully consolidating CVP would not have changed the Company's proportionate share of earnings from CVP, nor would it have changed the Company's consolidated earnings or shareholders' equity for any previous periods.

As indicated above, the Company determined that the effect of proportionately, rather than fully, consolidating CVP was not material to any previously issued financial statements based on the Company's pre-SAB 108 methodology. However, the cumulative effect of correcting the error in the quarter ended March 31, 2007, would be material to that quarter as well as to the estimated results of operations for fiscal 2007. As such, the Company has elected to apply the transition provisions of SAB 108 by adjusting the opening carrying value of the following assets and liabilities for fiscal 2007:

	June 30, 2006		Adjusted July 1, 2006
	Reported Balance	SAB 108 Adjustment	Balance
Royalty interests in mineral properties, net	\$ 84,590	\$ 97	\$ 84,687
Inventory restricted	\$	\$9,374	\$ 9,374
Total assets	\$172,260	\$9,471	\$181,731
Total liabilities	\$ 10,600	\$	\$ 10,600
Minority interest in subsidiary	\$	\$9,471	\$ 9,471
Total stockholders' equity	\$161,660	\$	\$161,660
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$172,260	\$9,471	\$181,731

As indicated above, the adoption of SAB 108 had no impact on the Company's retained earnings. Accordingly, no adjustment was necessary to record the cumulative effect on the opening balance of retained earnings at July 1, 2006. The Company does not believe, based on its pre-SAB 108 methodology, that the effect of proportionately, rather than fully, consolidating CVP was material in any of the periods since December 31, 2003, the effective date of FIN 46R to the Company. In reaching that determination, the Company considered the following incremental adjustments to our reported annual financial statements, for fiscal year 2006.

	Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2006
Royalty revenue	\$ 1,507
Cost of operations	\$ 59
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	\$ 21
Income before income taxes and minority interest	\$ 1,427
Minority interest in income of consolidated subsidiaries	\$ (1,427)
Net income	\$

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

18. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On July 30, 2008, we entered into a definitive agreement to acquire a portfolio of royalties from Barrick in exchange for net cash consideration of \$150 million and a restructuring of certain Royal Gold royalty positions at Cortez, which is operated by subsidiaries of Barrick. The Barrick royalty portfolio consists of royalties on 77 properties, including eight producing royalties, 2 development stage royalties and 67 exploration stage projects. The restructuring of certain Cortez royalty positions includes the reduction of the GSR2 sliding-scale royalty rate ranging from 0.72%-9.0%, to match the current GSR1 sliding-scale royalty rate ranging from 0.40%-5.0%. In addition, we will also eliminate our interest in the 0.71% GSR3 and the NVR1 (non-consolidated minority interest portion) royalties on the mining claims that comprise the undeveloped Crossroads deposit at Cortez. The GSR3 and NVR1 royalties which cover areas outside of the Crossroads deposit will not be affected by this transaction. The purchase price for the acquisition will be paid from cash on hand at closing. The acquisition is subject to customary closing conditions and is expected to close on October 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the accounting treatment for this transaction.

19. QUARTERLY RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

The following quarterly results of operations for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 have been restated for the matter discussed in Note 20. Refer to Note 20 for a complete description and quantification of the restatement.

	Royalty Revenues	Operating Income	Net Income	Basic Earnings Per Share	Diluted Earnings Per Share
Fiscal Year 2008 Quarter Ended (As Restated)					
September 30	\$ 12,503	\$ 7,066	\$ 5,538	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.19
December 31	14,710	6,389	4,610	0.11	0.11
March 31	18,731	9,001	6,889	0.11	0.11
June 30	20,353	10,526	7,006	0.21	0.20
	\$ 66,297	\$ 32,982	\$ 24,043	\$ 0.62	\$ 0.61
Fiscal Year 2007 Quarter Ended:					
September 30	\$ 9,929	\$ 6,634	\$ 4,960	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.21
December 31	12,855	7,836	5,636	0.24	0.24
March 31	11,209	5,691	3,438	0.14	0.14
June 30	14,364	8,345	5,686	0.20	0.20
	\$ 48,357	\$ 28,506	\$ 19,720	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.79

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

20. RESTATEMENT OF CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

As part of the Company's royalty monitoring program, Royal Gold has identified a \$3.1 million overpayment of a royalty from Barrick with respect to the Company's GSR1 and GSR2 royalties at the Cortez Pipeline Mining Complex, which the Company received and recognized as royalty revenues. The overpayment of the royalty was the result of Barrick incorrectly including non-Royal Gold royalty production in the Company's quarterly GSR1 and GSR2 royalty payments, principally during fiscal year 2008. The Barrick overpayments commenced in January 2007 and continued through fiscal year 2008. The overpayments from January 1, 2007 through June 30, 2007 were immaterial to interim and annual fiscal year 2007 financial statements, and the Company has corrected the impact of such overpayments in its royalty revenue in its fiscal year 2008 restated financial statements.

The error that caused the overpayment of the royalties was not timely identified by our controls and procedures in-place and \$3.1 million was incorrectly recognized as royalty revenue, resulting in a material overstatement of royalty revenue for fiscal year 2008. On November 3, 2008, the Company's Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, in consultation with management, concluded that due to the error in accounting for royalty revenue, our previously issued consolidated financial statements as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 should no longer be relied upon and should be restated.

The impact of the error resulted in a reduction of royalty revenue of \$3.1 million, a reduction of cost of operations of \$0.2 million for the reduced Nevada Net Proceeds Tax expense, a reduction of income tax expense of \$0.9 million, a reduction of net income (and net income available to common stockholders) of \$2.1 million, and a reduction of basic and diluted earnings per share of \$0.07. Refer to Note 20A below for the effects of the restatement on the Company's consolidated financial statements as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 and to Note 20B below for the effects of the restatement on the Company's consolidated financial statements for each quarter during fiscal year 2008.

20A. Effects of restatement as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008

The following tables illustrate the effects of the restatement, as discussed in Note 20 above, on the Company's consolidated financial statements as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008:

Consolidated Balance Sheet Items

	As of June 30, 2008		
	(in thousands)		
	As Previously Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Royalty receivables	\$ 17,627	\$(1,310)	\$ 16,317
Income tax receivable	1,310	876	2,186
Total current assets	211,411	(434)	210,977
Total assets	546,284	(434)	545,850
Accounts payable	3,122	1,631	4,753
Total current liabilities	7,303	1,631	8,934
Total liabilities	49,591	1,631	51,222
Accumulated earnings	21,543	(2,065)	19,478
Total stockholders' equity	485,282	(2,065)	483,217
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	546,284	(434)	545,850

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

Consolidated Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income Items

	For the year ended June 30, 2008 (in thousands, except share data)		
	As Previously Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Royalty revenue	\$ 69,393	\$(3,096)	\$ 66,297
Cost of operations	3,819	(155)	3,664
Operating income	35,923	(2,941)	32,982
Income before income taxes	40,936	(2,941)	37,995
Income tax expense	12,926	(876)	12,050
Net income	26,108	(2,065)	24,043
Net income available to common stockholders	21,320	(2,065)	19,255
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.69	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.62
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	31,054,725		31,054,725
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.68	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.61
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	31,390,293		31,390,293

Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity Items

	For the year ended June 30, 2008 (in thousands)		
	As Previously Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Accumulated earnings	\$ 21,543	\$(2,065)	\$ 19,478
Total stockholders equity	485,282	(2,065)	483,217

Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows Items

	For the year ended June 30, 2008 (in thousands)		
	As Previously Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$26,108	\$(2,065)	\$24,043
Royalty receivables	(4,430)	1,310	(3,120)
Accounts payable	580	1,631	2,211
Income taxes receivable	(970)	(876)	(1,846)
Net cash provided by operating activities	39,127		39,127

ROYAL GOLD, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(In thousands, except share data, per ounce and per pound amounts)

20B. Effects of restatement for each quarter during fiscal year 2008 (unaudited)

Consolidated Balance Sheet Items

As of September 30, 2007
(in thousands)

	As Previously Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Royalty receivables	\$ 11,135	\$ (314)	\$ 10,821
Total assets	371,790	(313)	371,477
Accounts payable	3,396	(16)	3,380
Income taxes payable	3,187	(56)	3,131
Total liabilities	30,374	(92)	30,282
Accumulated earnings	12,867	(224)	12,643
Total stockholders' equity	330,112	(222)	329,890
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	371,790	(313)	371,476

As of December 31, 2007
(in thousands)

	As Previously Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Royalty receivables	\$ 12,761	\$ (1,000)	\$ 11,761
Income tax receivable	471	250	721
Total assets	542,147	(749)	541,398
Accounts payable	4,109	(50)	4,059
Total liabilities	50,220	(72)	50,148
Accumulated earnings	15,819	(680)	15,139
Total stockholders' equity	480,948	(677)	480,271
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	542,147	(749)	541,398

As of March 31, 2008
(in thousands)

	As Previously Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Royalty receivables	\$ 15,677	\$ (1,785)	\$ 13,892
Income taxes receivable		287	287
Total assets	540,288	(1,498)	538,790
Accounts payable	4,738	(89)	4,649
Income taxes payable	187	(187)	
Total liabilities	50,213	(296)	49,917
Accumulated earnings	16,067	(1,211)	14,856
Total stockholders' equity	478,956	(1,203)	477,753
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	540,288	(1,498)	538,790

Consolidated Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income Items

For the three months ended September 30, 2007

(in thousands, except share data)

As Previously

	Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Royalty revenue	\$ 12,817	\$ (314)	\$ 12,503
Cost of operations	864	(18)	846
Operating income	7,364	(298)	7,066
Income before income taxes	8,870	(298)	8,572
Income tax expense	2,849	(74)	2,775
Net income	5,762	(224)	5,538
Net income available to common shareholders	5,762	(224)	5,538
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.20	\$(0.01)	\$ 0.19
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	28,729,541		28,729,541
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.20	\$(0.01)	\$ 0.19
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	28,861,324		28,861,324

For the three months ended December 31, 2007

(in thousands, except share data)

As Previously

	Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Royalty revenue	\$ 15,396	\$ (686)	\$ 14,710
Cost of operations	930	(34)	896
Operating income	7,041	(652)	6,389
Income before income taxes	8,324	(652)	7,672
Income tax expense	2,425	(196)	2,229
Net income	5,065	(455)	4,610
Net income available to common shareholders	3,861	(455)	3,406
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.13	\$(0.02)	\$ 0.11
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	29,777,468		29,777,468
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.13	\$(0.02)	\$ 0.11
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	30,124,299		30,124,299

For the three months ended March 31, 2008

(in thousands, except share data)

As Previously

	Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Royalty revenue	\$ 19,516	\$ (785)	\$ 18,731
Cost of operations	1,046	(40)	1,006
Operating income	9,747	(746)	9,001
Income before income taxes	11,132	(745)	10,387
Income tax expense	3,572	(214)	3,358
Net income	7,420	(531)	6,889
Net income available to common shareholders	3,836	(531)	3,305

Edgar Filing: ROYAL GOLD INC - Form 10-K/A

Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.12	\$(0.01)	\$ 0.11
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	30,932,084		30,932,084
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.12	\$(0.01)	\$ 0.11
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	31,213,663		31,213,663

94

For the three months ended June 30, 2008
(in thousands, except share data)

	As Previously Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Royalty revenue	\$ 21,664	\$(1,311)	\$ 20,353
Cost of operations	981	(65)	916
Operating income	11,771	(1,245)	10,526
Income before income taxes	12,610	(1,246)	11,364
Income tax expense	4,080	(392)	3,688
Net income	7,860	(854)	7,006
Net income available to common shareholders	7,860	(854)	7,006
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.23	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.21
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	33,913,167		33,913,167
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.23	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.20
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	34,210,317		34,210,317

Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity Items

For the three months ended September 30, 2007
(in thousands)

	As Previously Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Accumulated earnings	\$ 12,867	\$ (224)	\$ 12,643
Total stockholders equity	330,112	(222)	329,890

For the six months ended December 31, 2007
(in thousands)

	As Previously Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Accumulated earnings	\$ 15,819	\$ (680)	\$ 15,139
Total stockholders equity	480,948	(677)	480,271

For the nine months ended March 31, 2008
(in thousands)

	As Previously Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Accumulated earnings	\$ 16,067	\$(1,211)	\$ 14,856
Total stockholders equity	478,956	(1,203)	477,753

Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows Items

**For the three months ended September 30,
2007**
(in thousands)

	As Previously Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 5,762	\$ (224)	\$ 5,538
Deferred tax benefit	(415)	(21)	(436)
Royalty receivables	1,335	314	1,649
Income taxes payable	3,242	(52)	3,190
Accounts payable	1,138	(16)	1,122
Net cash provided by operating activities	13,263	(3)	13,260

95

For the six months ended December 31, 2007
(in thousands)

	As Previously Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$10,828	\$ (680)	\$10,148
Deferred tax benefit	(900)	(23)	(923)
Royalty receivables	437	1,000	1,437
Income taxes receivable	(374)	(247)	(621)
Accounts payable	2,417	(50)	2,367
Net cash provided by operating activities	17,110	(3)	17,107

For the nine months ended March 31, 2008
(in thousands)

	As Previously Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$18,248	\$(1,211)	\$17,037
Deferred tax benefit	(1,143)	(11)	(1,154)
Royalty receivables	(2,479)	1,784	(695)
Income taxes payable	541	(474)	67
Accounts payable	3,010	(89)	2,921
Net cash provided by operating activities	28,714	(9)	28,705

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, there were no changes in or disagreements with our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, over accounting and financial disclosure.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Restatement of Consolidated Financial Statements

As part of the Company's royalty monitoring program, Royal Gold has identified a \$3.1 million overpayment of a royalty from Barrick with respect to the Company's GSR1 and GSR2 royalties at the Cortez Pipeline Mining Complex, which the Company received and recognized as royalty revenues. The overpayment of the royalty was the result of Barrick incorrectly including non-Royal Gold royalty production in the Company's quarterly GSR1 and GSR2 royalty payments, principally during fiscal year 2008.

The error that caused the overpayment of the royalties was not timely identified by our procedures and controls in-place and \$3.1 million was incorrectly recognized as royalty revenue, resulting in a material overstatement of royalty revenue for fiscal year 2008. On November 3, 2008, the Company's Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, in consultation with management, concluded that due to the error in accounting for royalty revenue, our previously issued consolidated financial statements as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 should no longer be relied upon and should be restated. Please refer to Note 20 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements for a quantification of the restatement.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

In connection with this restatement, our President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer re-evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended), as of June 30, 2008 and concluded that, due to the material weakness in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting discussed below, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not operating properly and therefore were not effective as of June 30, 2008 to provide reasonable assurance that information the Company is required to disclose in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time period specified in the SEC rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding their disclosure.

Disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting are processes that involve human diligence and compliance and are subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. As a result, a control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting (Restated)

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As a result of the misstatement discussed below and in Note 20 of our consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-K/A, management re-assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2008. In making this re-assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework*. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Based on management's re-assessment, management is restating its original report and has concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was not operating properly and therefore was not effective as of June 30, 2008, because of a material weakness relating to the accuracy of royalty revenue for certain royalty interests at Cortez.

Specifically, the Company's royalty monitoring controls were not operating effectively to ensure the accuracy of royalty payments received from Barrick (GSR1 and GSR2) and the accuracy of revenue recognition of payments attributed to our royalty interests. This control deficiency resulted in a material misstatement of our royalty revenue and related financial disclosures and in the restatement described herein, that was not prevented or detected on a timely basis. Additionally, there is a reasonable possibility this control deficiency could result in a material misstatement of the Company's annual and interim

consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Our management has determined that this control deficiency constitutes a material weakness.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, audited the financial statements included in this Form 10-K/A, and the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2008, as stated in their report, which is included herein.

Remediation Plan

We are currently working to enhance the effectiveness of controls related to our royalty monitoring program which we expect will improve the effectiveness of controls over the accuracy of royalty revenue and remediate the material weakness in our control environment that existed as of June 30, 2008. To remediate this material weakness in the internal control over financial reporting for such royalty revenues, management plans on instituting changes in its controls and procedures over its royalty monitoring program to ensure that non-royalty, or commingled, production is reconciled timely and reviewed timely by appropriate Company personnel. The royalty monitoring program, as enhanced, is designed to reduce, although it may not eliminate, the risk of a material misstatement to a reasonable level.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) during our fourth fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2008, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The information required by this item appears under the section headings "Proposal 1 Election of Class III Directors", "Directors and Officers" and "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" included in the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2008 Annual Stockholders Meeting filed with the SEC on September 23, 2008, and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics within the meaning of Item 406 of Regulation S-K adopted by the SEC under the Exchange Act that applies to our principal executive officer and principal financial officer is available on the Company's website at www.royalgold.com and in print without charge to any stockholder who requests a copy. Requests for copies should be directed to Royal Gold, Inc., Attention Karen Gross, 1660 Wynkoop Street, Suite 1000, Denver, Colorado, 80202. The Company intends to satisfy the disclosure requirements of Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding any amendment to, or a waiver from, a provision of the Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics by posting such information on the Company's website.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item appears under the section heading Executive Compensation included in the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2008 Annual Stockholders Meeting filed with the SEC on September 23, 2008, and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this item appears under the sub-section heading Equity Compensation Plan Information and section heading Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management included in the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2008 Annual Stockholders Meeting filed with the SEC on September 23, 2008, and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this item appears under the sub-section heading Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Independence of Directors in the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2008 Annual Stockholders Meeting filed with the SEC on September 23, 2008, and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item appears under the sub-section heading Independent Registered Public Accountants and the section heading Proposal 3 Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accountants included in the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2008 Annual Stockholders Meeting filed with the SEC on September 23, 2008, and is incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) *Financial Statements*

The Consolidated Financial Statements, together with the report thereon of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP dated November 6, 2008, are included as part of Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, commencing on page 56 above.

Index to Financial Statements

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	57
Consolidated Balance Sheets	59
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income	60
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity	61
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	62
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	63

(b) *Exhibits*

Reference is made to the Exhibit Index beginning on page 102 hereof.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this amended report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ROYAL GOLD, INC.

Date: November 6, 2008

By: */s/ Tony Jensen*
Tony Jensen
President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this amended report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: November 6, 2008

By: */s/ Tony Jensen*
Tony Jensen
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 6, 2008

By: */s/ Stefan L. Wenger*
Stefan L. Wenger
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

Date: November 6, 2008

By: */s/ Stanley Dempsey*
Stanley Dempsey
Executive Chairman

Date: November 6, 2008

By: */s/ S. Oden Howell, Jr.*
S. Oden Howell, Jr.
Director

Date: November 6, 2008

By: */s/ John W. Goth*
John W. Goth
Director

Date: November 6, 2008

By: */s/ Merritt E. Marcus*
Merritt E. Marcus
Director

Date: November 6, 2008

By: */s/ M. Craig Haase*
M. Craig Haase
Director

Edgar Filing: ROYAL GOLD INC - Form 10-K/A

Date: November 6, 2008

By: */s/ James W. Stuckert*
James W. Stuckert
Director

Date: November 6, 2008

By: */s/ Donald J. Worth*
Donald J. Worth
Director

Date: November 6, 2008

By: */s/ William M. Hayes*
William M. Hayes
Director

101

Exhibit Index

Exhibit Number	Description
2.2	Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated July 30, 2007, among Battle Mountain Gold Exploration Corp., Royal Gold, Inc. and Royal Battle Mountain, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on August 2, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference)
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on February 8, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference)
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws, as amended (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on May 1, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference)
3.3	Amended and Restated Certificate of Designations of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock of Royal Gold, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on September 10, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference)
4.1	First Amended and Restated Rights Agreement dated as of September 10, 2007 between Royal Gold, Inc. and Computershare Trust Company, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form 8-A/A on September 10, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference)

Exhibit Number	Description
10.1**	Equity Incentive Plan (filed as part of the Company's proxy statement for its 1996 Annual Meeting of Stockholders on November 25, 1996 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.2	Exploration and Development Option Agreement between Placer Dome United States, Inc. and Royal Gold, Inc. dated effective July 1, 1998 (filed as Exhibit 10(v) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K on September 28, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.3	Royalty Agreement between Royal Gold, Inc. and the Cortez Joint Venture dated April 1, 1999 (filed as part of Item 5 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on April 12, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.4	Firm offer to purchase royalty interest of Idaho Group between Royal Gold, Inc. and Idaho Group dated July 22, 1999 (filed as Attachment A to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on September 2, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.5**	Amendment to Equity Incentive Plan (filed as Appendix A to the Company's proxy statement on October 15, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.6	Assignment and Assumption Agreement, dated December 6, 2002 (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on December 23, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.7	Production Payment Agreement between Genesis Inc. and Royal Gold, Inc. dated October 13, 2004 (filed as Exhibit 10.1(a) to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on October 18, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.8	Royalty Deed between Genesis Inc. and Royal Gold, Inc. dated October 13, 2004 (filed as Exhibit 10.1(b) to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on October 18, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.9	Agreement between Genesis Inc. and Royal Gold, Inc. dated October 13, 2004 (filed as Exhibit 10.1(c) to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on October 18, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.10**	Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement (filed as Exhibit 10.01 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on February 25, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.11**	Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement (filed as Exhibit 10.02 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on February 25, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference)

Exhibit Number	Description
10.12**	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement (filed as Exhibit 10.03 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on February 25, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.13**	Form of Performance Share Agreement. (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.14**	Agreement dated February 18, 2005, by and between Royal Gold, Inc. and Stefan Wenger (filed as Exhibit 10.05 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on February 25, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.15**	Royal Gold, Inc. 2004 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on September 21, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.16**	Form of Employment Contract (filed as Exhibit 99.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on September 22, 2005, together with the Schedule of Executive Officers Parties thereto (filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on September 4, 2007), and incorporated herein by reference)
10.17	Royalty Assignment and Agreement, effective as of December 26, 2002, between High Desert Mineral Resources, Inc. and High Desert Gold Corporation (filed as Exhibit 99.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on September 22, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.18	Royalty Assignment, Confirmation, Amendment, and Restatement of Royalty, and Agreement, dated as of November 30, 1995, among Barrick Bullfrog Inc., Barrick Goldstrike Mines Inc. and Royal Hal Co. (filed as Exhibit 99.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on September 22, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.19	Amendment to Royalty Assignment, Confirmation, Amendment, and Restatement of Royalty, and Agreement, effective as of October 1, 2004, among Barrick Bullfrog Inc., Barrick Goldstrike Mines Inc. and Royal Hal Co. (filed as Exhibit 99.6 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on September 22, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.20	Proceeds Agreement with HSBC Bank USA (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on December 20, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.21	Purchase Agreement, between Kennecott Minerals Company and Royal Gold, Inc., dated December 22, 2005 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on December 29, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference)

Exhibit Number	Description
10.22	Amended and Restated Funding Agreement dated as of February 22, 2006, between Société des Mines de Taparko, also known as Somita, SA, and Royal Gold, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on March 7, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.23	Conveyance of Tail Royalty and Grant of Milling Fee dated as of February 22, 2006, between Société des Mines de Taparko, also known as Somita, SA, and Royal Gold, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on March 7, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.24	Conveyance of Production Payment dated as of February 22, 2006, between Société des Mines de Taparko, also known as Somita, SA, and Royal Gold, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on March 7, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.25	Guaranty and Agreement in Support of Somita Funding Agreement dated as of February 22, 2006, from High River Gold Mine Ltd. to and for the benefit of Royal Gold Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.26	Pledge Agreement dated as of February 22, 2006, between High River Gold Mines (International) Ltd., High River Gold Mines (West Africa) Ltd. and Royal Gold, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.27	Guarantee Agreement dated as of February 22, 2006, by High River Gold Mines Ltd. in favor of Royal Gold, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.28	Pledge of Securities dated as of February 22, 2006, by High River Gold Mines Ltd. in favor of Royal Gold, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.29	Contribution Agreement in Support of Somita Funding Agreement dated as of February 22, 2006, from High River Gold Mine Ltd. to and for the benefit of Royal Gold Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on May 9, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.30**	Form of Indemnification Agreement with Directors and Officers (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on November 13, 2006, together with the Schedule of Certain Officers Parties thereto (filed as Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on September 4, 2007), and incorporated herein by reference)

Exhibit Number	Description
10.31	Purchase and Sale Agreement for Peñasquito and Other Royalties among Minera Kennecott S.A. DE C.V., Kennecott Exploration Company and Royal Gold, Inc., dated December 28, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on February 9, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.32	Shares for Debt Agreement between Kennecott Exploration Company and Royal Gold, Inc., dated December 28, 2006 (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on February 9, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.33	Contract for Assignment of Rights Granted, by Minera Kennecott, S.A. de C.V. Represented in this Agreement by Mr. Dave F. Simpson, and Minera Peñasquito, S.A. de C.V., Represented in this Agreement by Attorney, Jose Maria Gallardo Tamayo (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on February 9, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.34	Second Amended and Restated Loan Agreement among Royal Gold, Inc., High Desert Mineral Resources, Inc. and HSBC Bank USA, National Association, dated January 5, 2007 (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on February 9, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.35	Supplemental Mortgage, Deed of Trust, Security Agreement, Pledge and Financing Statement between High Desert Mineral Resources, Inc. and HSBC USA Bank, National Association, dated January 5, 2007 (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on February 9, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.36	Amended and Restated Mortgage, Deed of Trust, Security Agreement, Pledge and Financing Statement between Royal Gold and HSBC USA Bank, National Association, dated January 5, 2007 (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on February 9, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.37	Second Amended and Restated Promissory Note between Royal Gold, High Desert Mineral Resources, Inc. and HSBC USA Bank, National Association, dated January 5, 2007 (filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on February 9, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.38	Assignment of Rights Agreement among Mario Ivan Hernández Alvarez, Royal Gold Chile Limitada and Royal Gold Inc., dated January 16, 2007 (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on February 9, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.39	Term Loan Agreement between Royal Gold Chile Limitada and HSBC Bank USA, National Association, dated as of March 1, 2007 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on May 4, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference)

Exhibit Number	Description
10.40	Guaranty between Royal Gold, Inc. and HSBC Bank USA, National Association, dated as of March 1, 2007 (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on May 4, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.41	First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Loan Agreement by and among Royal Gold, Inc., High Desert Mineral Resources, Inc. and HSBC Bank USA, National Association, dated as of January 23, 2008 (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K on January 29, 2008)
10.42***	Letter of Intent between Royal Gold, Inc. and MinEx Projects Pty Ltd dated April 3, 2008
10.43***	Extension letter dated June 30, 2008 of the Letter of Intent between Royal Gold, Inc. and MinEx Projects Pty Ltd.
10.44***	Royalty Purchase and Sale Agreement between Royal Gold, Inc. and Barrick Gold Corporation dated July 30, 2008
10.45***	Extension letter dated August 14, 2008 of the Letter of Intent between Royal Gold, Inc. and MinEx Projects Pty Ltd.
21.1***	Royal Gold and Its Subsidiaries
23.1*	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
31.1*	Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1*	Written Statement of the President and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2*	Written Statement of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

* Filed herewith

** Identifies each management contract or compensation plan or arrangement

*** Previously filed with Form 10-K filed on

August 20,
2008, and
incorporated
herein by
reference.