

SYNAPTICS INC
Form 10-Q
May 07, 2009

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

**▶ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 28, 2009

Commission file number 000-49602

SYNAPTICS INCORPORATED

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

77-0118518

(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

**3120 Scott Blvd.
Santa Clara, California**

95054

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip code)

(408) 454-5100

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Number of shares of Common Stock outstanding at May 1, 2009: 34,304,370

SYNAPTICS INCORPORATED
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED MARCH 31, 2009
TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>Part I. Financial Information</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited):</u> | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets March 31, 2009 and June 30, 2008</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income Three and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Nine Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 6 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 19 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 33 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 33 |
| <u>Part II. Other Information</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 34 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 34 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 35 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 36 |
| <u>EX-31.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.2</u> | |
| <u>EX-32.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-32.2</u> | |

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****SYNAPTICS INCORPORATED
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in thousands, except share data)

(unaudited)

| | March 31, 2009 | June 30, 2008 |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 146,080 | \$ 96,218 |
| Short-term investments | 28,376 | 50,298 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$624 and \$539, respectively | 69,163 | 69,362 |
| Inventories | 15,859 | 21,065 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 4,003 | 3,417 |
| Total current assets | 263,481 | 240,360 |
| Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$10,225 and \$12,093, respectively | 25,290 | 22,459 |
| Goodwill | 1,927 | 1,927 |
| Non-current investments | 29,911 | 37,946 |
| Other assets | 10,049 | 3,669 |
| | \$ 330,658 | \$ 306,361 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 31,894 | \$ 27,784 |
| Accrued compensation | 6,732 | 6,510 |
| Income taxes payable | 7,777 | 7,095 |
| Convertible senior subordinated notes | 65,303 | |
| Other accrued liabilities | 12,198 | 9,120 |
| Total current liabilities | 123,904 | 50,509 |
| Other liabilities | 17,260 | 17,075 |
| Convertible senior subordinated notes | | 125,000 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock: | | |
| \$0.001 par value; 60,000,000 shares authorized; 43,201,638 and 42,500,535 shares issued, and 34,113,538 and 33,412,435 shares outstanding, at March 31, 2009 and June 30, 2008, respectively (1) | 43 | 43 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 254,044 | 222,543 |
| Less: 9,088,100 common treasury shares at March 31, 2009 and June 30, 2008, at cost (2) | (237,387) | (237,387) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | 657 | (2,317) |
| Retained earnings | 172,137 | 130,895 |

| | | |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|
| Total stockholders' equity | 189,494 | 113,777 |
| | \$ 330,658 | \$ 306,361 |

- (1) All share amounts reflect the 3-for-2 stock split effected as a stock dividend and paid on August 29, 2008.
- (2) The stock dividend was not paid on treasury shares; accordingly, the post-split quantity of common treasury shares for each period presented is unchanged from the pre-split quantity.

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

Table of Contents

SYNAPTICS INCORPORATED
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(in thousands, except per share data)

(unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | | March 31, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net revenue | \$ 100,595 | \$ 78,861 | \$ 357,975 | \$ 264,203 |
| Cost of revenue (1) | 59,888 | 46,688 | 212,869 | 155,521 |
| Gross margin | 40,707 | 32,173 | 145,106 | 108,682 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Research and development (1) | 17,286 | 13,560 | 49,031 | 35,655 |
| Selling, general, and administrative (1) | 12,786 | 12,181 | 41,070 | 34,346 |
| Total operating expenses | 30,072 | 25,741 | 90,101 | 70,001 |
| Income from operations | 10,635 | 6,432 | 55,005 | 38,681 |
| Interest income | 538 | 2,293 | 2,770 | 8,301 |
| Interest expense | (234) | (449) | (1,004) | (1,373) |
| Gain on settlement of debt | | | | 2,689 |
| Gain on early retirement of debt | | | 3,600 | |
| Impairment of investment | | | | (4,000) |
| Impairment of auction rate securities investments | (2,894) | (2,237) | (9,403) | (2,237) |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 8,045 | 6,039 | 50,968 | 42,061 |
| Provision for income taxes | 1,959 | 3,031 | 9,726 | 13,595 |
| Net income | \$ 6,086 | \$ 3,008 | \$ 41,242 | \$ 28,466 |
| Net income per share: | | | | |
| Basic (2) | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 1.22 | \$ 0.73 |
| Diluted (2) | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 1.17 | \$ 0.70 |
| Shares used in computing net income per share: | | | | |
| Basic (2) | 34,062 | 37,140 | 33,845 | 38,898 |
| Diluted (2) | 35,243 | 37,953 | 35,291 | 40,701 |

(1) Amounts include

share-based
compensation
costs as follows:

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Cost of revenue | \$ 437 | \$ 377 | \$ 1,250 | \$ 966 |
| Research and development | \$ 2,295 | \$ 1,797 | \$ 6,273 | \$ 4,556 |
| Selling, general, and administrative | \$ 3,371 | \$ 2,680 | \$ 10,117 | \$ 7,146 |

(2) All share and per share amounts reflect the 3-for-2 stock split effected as a stock dividend and paid on August 29, 2008.

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

Table of Contents

SYNAPTICS INCORPORATED
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)
(unaudited)

| | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 41,242 | \$ 28,466 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Share-based compensation costs | 17,640 | 12,668 |
| Deferred taxes from share-based compensation | (5,818) | 308 |
| Tax benefit realized from share-based compensation | 6,052 | |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation | (6,052) | |
| Depreciation of property and equipment | 4,458 | 2,750 |
| Impairment of software, property, and equipment costs | | 210 |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs | 465 | 645 |
| Gain on settlement of debt | | (2,689) |
| Gain on early retirement of debt | (3,600) | |
| Impairment of auction rate securities | 9,403 | 2,237 |
| Impairment of investment | | 4,000 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable, net | 199 | (1,041) |
| Inventories | 5,206 | (8,250) |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | (586) | 524 |
| Other assets | (4,618) | 4,772 |
| Accounts payable | 4,110 | (2,323) |
| Accrued compensation | 222 | 176 |
| Income taxes | 3,995 | 5,041 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 3,078 | 3,439 |
| Other liabilities | 24 | (67) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 75,420 | 50,866 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Purchases of short-term investments | (21,012) | (165,994) |
| Proceeds from sales and maturities of short-term investments | 42,913 | 288,055 |
| Proceeds from sales and maturities of non-current investments | 1,625 | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (7,289) | (5,927) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 16,237 | 116,134 |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | (128,400) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock upon exercise of options and stock purchase plan | 9,105 | 23,322 |
| Retirement of debt, net of discount | (55,656) | |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation | 6,052 | |

Edgar Filing: SYNAPTICS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Payroll taxes for deferred stock units | (1,296) | |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (41,795) | (105,078) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 49,862 | 61,922 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 96,218 | 45,915 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 146,080 | \$ 107,837 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ 447 | \$ 469 |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$ 5,507 | \$ 2,673 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).

Table of Contents

SYNAPTICS INCORPORATED
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) and U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. However, certain information or footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such SEC rules and regulations. In our opinion, the financial statements include all adjustments, which are of a normal and recurring nature, necessary for the fair presentation of the results of the interim periods presented. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the full fiscal year or any future period. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

The consolidated financial statements include our financial statements and those of our wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated upon consolidation.

Our fiscal year is the 52- or 53-week period ending on the last Saturday in June. Our fiscal 2009 and 2008 are 52-week periods ending on June 27, 2009 and June 28, 2008, respectively. For ease of presentation, the accompanying consolidated financial statements have been shown as ending on March 31 and calendar quarter end dates for all annual, interim, and quarterly financial statement captions, unless otherwise indicated.

Stock Split

On July 31, 2008, we announced a 3-for-2 stock split to be effected as a stock dividend. The stock dividend was effective for stockholders of record on August 15, 2008 and was paid on August 29, 2008. All share and per share amounts contained herein for each period presented prior to the stock dividend date have been retroactively adjusted to reflect the stock split except for treasury shares.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, allowance for doubtful accounts, cost of revenue, inventories, product warranty, share-based compensation costs, provision for income taxes, income taxes payable, investments, and contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience, applicable laws and regulations, and various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

2. Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue from product sales when there is persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred and title has transferred, the price is fixed or determinable, and collection is reasonably assured. We accrue for estimated sales returns and other allowances, based on historical experience, at the time we recognize revenue. We record contract revenue for research and development as we provide the services under the terms of the contract. We recognize non-refundable contract fees for which no further performance obligations exist and for which there is no continuing involvement by us on the earlier of when the payments are received or when collection is assured.

3. Net Income Per Share

We present basic and diluted net income per share amounts in conformity with the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 128, Earnings Per Share, for all periods presented.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the computation of basic and diluted net income per share (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Nine Months Ended March 31, | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 6,086 | \$ 3,008 | \$ 41,242 | \$ 28,466 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Shares, basic | 34,062 | 37,140 | 33,845 | 38,898 |
| Effect of dilutive share-based awards | 1,181 | 813 | 1,446 | 1,803 |
| Shares, diluted | 35,243 | 37,953 | 35,291 | 40,701 |
| Net income per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 1.22 | \$ 0.73 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 1.17 | \$ 0.70 |

Basic net income per share amounts for each period presented have been computed using the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding.

The diluted net income per share amounts do not include the effect of 3,351,754, 4,057,362, 2,635,899, and 1,226,564 share-based awards that were outstanding during the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 and the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. These share-based awards were not included in the computation of diluted net income per share because the proceeds received, if any, from such share-based awards combined with the average unamortized compensation costs adjusted for the hypothetical tax benefit or deficiency creditable or chargeable, respectively, to additional paid-in capital, were greater than the average market price of our common stock, and therefore, their effect would have been antidilutive.

Our basic net income per share amounts for each period presented have been computed using the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding. Our diluted net income per share amounts for each period presented include the weighted average effect of potentially dilutive shares. We use the treasury stock method to determine the dilutive effect of our stock options, deferred stock units, and convertible notes. Under the treasury stock method, shares associated with our convertible notes will be included in the calculation of diluted net income per share only if the weighted average price of our common stock exceeds \$33.69 during the reporting period. During the periods presented in the table above, the average common stock price did not exceed \$33.69.

4. Cash Equivalents, Short-Term Investments, and Auction Rate Securities Investments

Cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less. Short-term investments consist of marketable securities and are classified as securities available for sale under SFAS No. 115,

Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities. Our non-current investments consist exclusively of auction rate securities (ARS). Both short-term and non-current investments are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses, excluded from earnings and shown separately as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income or loss within stockholders' equity. A decline in the market value of a security below cost that is deemed other-than-temporary is charged to earnings, resulting in the establishment of a new cost basis for the security. Interest earned on marketable securities is included in interest income. We determine realized gains and losses on the sale of marketable securities using the specific identification method.

Our ARS investments, which have a par value of \$45.7 million, have failed to settle in auctions. These investments are not liquid, and in the event we need to access these funds, we will not be able to do so without a loss of principal, unless a future auction on these investments is successful or upon redemption. During the nine months ended March 31, 2009, \$1.6 million of our ARS investments were redeemed at par.

As there are currently no active markets for our various failed ARS investments, we have estimated the fair value of these investments as of March 31, 2009 based on a trinomial discounted cash flow analysis. The analysis considered, among other factors, the collateral underlying the security investments, creditworthiness of the counterparty,

Table of Contents

timing of expected future cash flows, and the probability of a successful auction in a future period. When possible, our failed ARS investments were compared to other observable market data or securities with similar characteristics.

As of March 31, 2009, none of our ARS investments were in default and all of our ARS investments continue to pay interest at the contractual rates, which generally reset every 28 days in connection with the scheduled auction dates. The contractual maturities for our ARS investments, which have maturity dates, are generally greater than nine years. In the nine months ended March 31, 2009, a portion of our ARS investments were converted into auction rate preferred stock, which has no maturity date. All of our ARS investments are investment grade; however, certain of our ARS investments are rated triple B, which is the lowest investment grade rating. In connection with our fair value analysis for the three months ended March 31, 2009, we recorded a \$2.9 million other-than-temporary impairment charge, bringing the year to date other-than-temporary impairment charge to \$9.4 million.

The following table sets forth the various types of failed ARS investments we hold, including the original cost basis, type of impairment, new cost basis, and fair value (in thousands).

| | Original Cost Basis | Cumulative Other-than- temporary Impairment | New Cost Basis | Unrealized Loss/ Gain | Fair Value |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Student loans | \$ 13,000 | \$ 1,233 | \$ 11,767 | \$ | \$ 11,767 |
| Closed end municipal and corporate funds | 12,250 | 1,375 | 10,875 | | 10,875 |
| Credit linked notes | 13,500 | 8,921 | 4,579 | (572) | 5,151 |
| Preferred stock | 5,000 | 4,551 | 449 | 45 | 404 |
| Municipals | 2,000 | 286 | 1,714 | | 1,714 |
| Total ARS | \$ 45,750 | \$ 16,366 | \$ 29,384 | \$ (527) | \$ 29,911 |

While the primary issue affecting all of our ARS investments is that of liquidity, we saw a decline in the credit ratings of some of our ARS investments during the year. We have accounted for all of our ARS investments as non-current as we are not able to reasonably determine when the ARS markets will recover or be restructured. Based on our ability to access our cash and other short-term investments, our expected operating cash flows, and our other sources of cash, we have the intent and ability to hold these investments until the value recovers or the investments mature. We will continue to monitor our ARS investments in light of the current debt market environment and evaluate our accounting for these investments quarterly. Subsequent to recording other-than-temporary impairment charges, certain of our ARS investments have increased in value above their new cost bases, and this increase is included as unrealized gain above and in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

5. Fair Value of Cash Equivalents and Investments

Effective the beginning of fiscal 2009, we adopted SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS 157), for financial assets and liabilities recognized or disclosed at fair value on a recurring basis. The partial adoption of SFAS 157 for financial assets and liabilities did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

We measure financial assets and liabilities at fair value. SFAS 157 and the related guidance in FASB Staff Position (FSP) Nos. 157-1, 157-2, and 157-3 establish a consistent framework for measuring fair value on either a recurring or nonrecurring basis whereby inputs, used in valuation techniques, are assigned a hierarchical level.

The following are the hierarchical levels of inputs to measure fair value:

Level 1: Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2: Inputs reflect quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities; or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

Table of Contents

Level 3: Unobservable inputs reflecting our own assumptions incorporated in valuation techniques used to determine fair value. These assumptions are required to be consistent with market participant assumptions that are reasonably available. Our Level 3 assets consist of long-term ARS investments. We used a trinomial discounted cash flow analysis to value these investments. The analysis considered, among other factors, the collateral underlying the security investments, creditworthiness of the counterparty, timing of expected future cash flows, and the probability of a successful auction in a future period. See Note 4.

The following table summarizes our financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, by level within the fair value hierarchy, as of March 31, 2009 (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2009 | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Money market | \$ 142,630 | \$ | \$ |
| U.S. treasury bills | | 5,988 | |
| U.S. agencies | | 4,000 | |
| Commercial paper | | 1,199 | |
| Municipal securities | | 18,388 | |
| Auction rate securities | | | 29,911 |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$ 142,630 | \$ 29,575 | \$ 29,911 |

The following table provides a summary of changes in fair value of our Level 3 financial assets from June 30, 2008 to March 31, 2009 (in thousands):

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Balance as of June 30, 2008 | \$ 37,946 |
| Net change in other comprehensive income from Level 3 financial assets | 2,993 |
| Other than temporary impairment | (9,403) |
| Redemptions | (1,625) |
| Balance as of March 31, 2009 | \$ 29,911 |

There were no transfers in or out of our Level 3 assets during the nine months ended March 31, 2009.

6. Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market (estimated net realizable value) and consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2009 | June 30, 2008 |
|----------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Raw materials | \$ 11,459 | \$ 16,336 |
| Finished goods | 4,400 | 4,729 |
| | \$ 15,859 | \$ 21,065 |

Periodically, we purchase inventory from our subcontractors when a customer delays its delivery schedule or cancels its order. In those circumstances in which our customer has cancelled its order and we purchase inventory from our subcontractors, we consider a write-down to reduce the carrying value of the inventory purchased to its net realizable value. We charge write-downs to reduce the carrying value of obsolete, slow moving, and non-usable inventory to net realizable value to cost of revenue. The effect of these write-downs is to establish a new cost basis in the related inventory, which we do not subsequently write up.

Table of Contents**7. Product Warranties and Indemnifications***Product Warranties*

We generally warrant our products for a period of 12 months or more from the date of sale and estimate probable product warranty costs at the time we recognize revenue. Factors that affect our warranty liability include historical and anticipated rates of warranty claims, materials usage, and service delivery costs. Warranty costs incurred have not been material in recent years. However, we assess the adequacy of our warranty obligations periodically and adjust the accrued warranty liability on the basis of our estimates.

Changes in our accrued warranty liability (included in other accrued liabilities) for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 were as follows (in thousands):

| | Nine Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Beginning accrued warranty | \$ 390 | \$ 325 |
| Provision for product warranties | 1,674 | 424 |
| Cost of warranty claims and settlements | (1,131) | (385) |
| Ending accrued warranty | \$ 933 | \$ 364 |

Indemnifications

In connection with certain third-party agreements, we are obligated to indemnify the third parties regarding any technology infringement by us. We have also entered into indemnification agreements with our officers and directors. Maximum potential future payments cannot be estimated because these agreements do not have a maximum stated liability. However, historical costs related to these indemnification provisions have not been significant. We have not recorded any liability in our consolidated financial statements for such indemnification obligations.

8. Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes

In December 2004, we issued an aggregate of \$125 million of 0.75% Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes maturing December 1, 2024 (the Notes) in a private offering pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. In connection with issuing the Notes, we incurred debt issuance costs of \$4.3 million, consisting primarily of the initial purchasers' discount and costs related to legal, accounting, and printing, which are being amortized over five years. The net proceeds of the offering were used for working capital and general corporate purposes and potentially for future acquisitions.

During the nine months ended March 31, 2009, we repurchased and retired \$59.7 million of our outstanding Notes at a discount of approximately 7%. This resulted in a \$3.6 million net gain on retirement of debt after deducting the associated unamortized debt issuance costs. The remaining \$65.3 million outstanding balance of our Notes was reclassified to a current liability as our noteholders have the right to require us to repurchase all or a portion of their notes for cash on December 1, 2009.

The Notes bear interest at a rate of 0.75% per annum payable on December 1 and June 1 of each year. However, we will pay additional contingent interest on the Notes if the average trading price of the Notes is at or above 120% of the principal amount of the Notes for a specified period beginning with the six-month period commencing December 1, 2009. The amount of contingent interest payable on the Notes with respect to a six-month period, for which contingent interest applies, will equal 0.375% per annum of the average trading price of the Notes for a specified five trading-day period preceding such six-month period.

As a result of our irrevocable election in April 2007 to cash settle the principal amount of the Notes, no shares of common stock will be issued to settle the principal amount of the Notes and cash or common stock may be used to settle the value of the Notes in excess of the outstanding balance of \$65.3 million, if any. Accordingly, we will include diluted shares underlying the Notes in our diluted net income per share calculation only when the average closing stock price for the accounting period exceeds the conversion price of the Notes, which is currently \$33.69 per share.

The Notes may be converted (1) if, during any calendar quarter commencing after December 31, 2004, the last reported sale price of our common stock for at least 20 trading days in the period of 30 consecutive trading days ending

Table of Contents

on the last trading day of the preceding calendar quarter is greater than or equal to 120% of the applicable conversion price on such last trading day; (2) on or after January 1, 2020; (3) if we have called the Notes for redemption; or (4) during prescribed periods, upon the occurrence of specified corporate transactions or fundamental changes. On or after December 1, 2009, we may redeem for cash all or a portion of the Notes at a redemption price of 100% of the principal amount of the Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, including contingent interest and additional interest, if any. Noteholders have the right to require us to repurchase all or a portion of their Notes for cash on December 1, 2009, December 1, 2014, and December 1, 2019 at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes to be purchased plus accrued and unpaid interest, including contingent interest and additional interest, if any. As of March 31, 2009, none of the conditions for conversion of the Notes had occurred.

The Notes are unsecured senior subordinated obligations and rank junior in right of payment to all of our existing and future senior indebtedness, equal in right of payment with all of our existing and future indebtedness or other obligations that are not, by their terms, either senior or subordinated to the Notes, including trade debt and other general unsecured obligations that do not constitute senior or subordinated indebtedness, and senior in right of payment to all of our future indebtedness that, by its terms, is subordinated to the Notes. The Notes impose no financial covenants.

9. Share-Based Compensation

The purpose of our various share-based compensation plans is to attract, motivate, retain, and reward high-quality employees, directors, and consultants by enabling such persons to acquire or increase their proprietary interest in our common stock in order to strengthen the mutuality of interests between such persons and our stockholders and to provide such persons with annual and long-term performance incentives to focus their best efforts in the creation of stockholder value. Consequently, share-based compensatory awards issued subsequent to the initial award to our employees and consultants are determined primarily on individual performance. Our share-based compensation plans with outstanding awards consist of our 1996 Stock Option Plan, our 2000 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan, our 2001 Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended, and our 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended.

Share-based compensation and the related tax benefit recognized in our consolidated statements of income for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 were as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Nine Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Cost of revenue | \$ 437 | \$ 377 | \$ 1,250 | \$ 966 |
| Research and development | 2,295 | 1,797 | 6,273 | 4,556 |
| Selling, general, and administrative | 3,371 | 2,680 | 10,117 | 7,146 |
| Total | \$ 6,103 | \$ 4,854 | \$ 17,640 | \$ 12,668 |
| Income tax benefit recorded on share-based compensation | \$ 1,712 | \$ 1,255 | \$ 5,449 | \$ 4,628 |

We utilize the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the grant date fair value of certain employee share-based compensatory awards, which requires the input of highly subjective assumptions, including expected volatility and expected life. Historical and implied volatilities were used in estimating the fair value of our share-based awards, while the expected life of our options was estimated to be approximately four to five years based on historical trends since our initial public offering. Changes in these inputs and assumptions can materially affect the measure of estimated fair value of our share-based compensation. Further, as required under SFAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R), we estimate forfeitures for share-based awards that are not expected to vest. We charge the estimated fair value less estimated forfeitures to earnings on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the underlying awards, which is generally four years for our stock options and deferred stock units and up to two years for our employee stock purchase plan. The Black-Scholes option pricing model was developed for use in estimating the

fair value of traded options having no vesting restrictions and being fully transferable. As our stock option and employee stock purchase plan awards have characteristics that differ significantly from traded options, and as changes in the subjective assumptions can materially affect the estimated value, our estimate of fair value may not accurately represent the value assigned by a third party in an arms-length transaction. While our estimate of fair value and the associated charge to earnings materially affects our results of operations, it has no impact on our actual cash flow from operations or our cash position.

In accordance with SFAS 123R, we recognize tax benefit upon expensing certain share-based awards associated with our share-based compensation plans, including nonqualified stock options and deferred stock units, but under

Table of Contents

current accounting standards we cannot recognize tax benefit concurrent with the recognition of share-based compensation expenses associated with incentive stock options and employee stock purchase plan shares (qualified stock options). For qualified stock options that vested after our adoption of SFAS 123R, we recognize tax benefit only in the period when disqualifying dispositions of the underlying stock occur, which historically has been up to several years after vesting and in a period when our stock price substantially increases. For qualified stock options that vested prior to our adoption of SFAS 123R, the tax benefit is recorded directly to additional paid-in capital.

We determine excess tax benefit using the long-haul method in which we compare the actual tax benefit associated with the tax deduction from share-based award activity to the hypothetical tax benefit on the grant date fair values of the corresponding share-based awards. Under SFAS 123R, tax benefit associated with excess tax deduction creditable to additional paid-in capital is not recognized until the deduction reduces taxes payable. During the nine months ended March 31, 2009, we recognized \$6.1 million of tax benefit as additional paid-in capital.

Historically, we have issued new shares in connection with our share-based compensation plans; however, 9,088,100 treasury shares were also available for issuance as of March 31, 2009. Any additional shares repurchased under our stock repurchase program would be available for issuance under our share-based compensation plans.

Stock Options

Our share-based compensation plans with outstanding stock option awards include our 1996 Stock Option Plan, our 2000 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan, and our 2001 Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended, (the Plans). Under the Plans, we may grant employees, consultants, and directors incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options to purchase shares of our common stock at not less than 100% or 85% of the fair market value, respectively, on the date of grant. Stock options granted to our employees generally are incentive stock options or qualified options under the Internal Revenue Code, subject to calendar year vesting limitations with any balance being nonqualified stock options.

Options issued under the Plans generally vest 25% at the end of 12 months from the vesting commencement date and approximately 2% each month thereafter until fully vested at the end of 48 months from the vesting commencement date. Options not exercised ten years after the date of grant are cancelled.

The following table summarizes stock option activity and weighted average exercise prices for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and the weighted average exercise prices and the aggregate intrinsic value as of March 31, 2009 for options outstanding and options exercisable. The aggregate intrinsic value is based on the closing price of our common stock on March 27, 2009 of \$23.89 and excludes stock options with exercise prices above the closing price.

| | Stock Option Awards Outstanding | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (thousands) |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Balance at June 30, 2008 | 6,148,275 | \$ 17.52 | |
| Granted | 1,442,329 | 28.51 | |
| Exercised | (405,635) | 14.75 | |
| Forfeited | (173,109) | 22.41 | |
| Balance at March 31, 2009 | 7,011,860 | 19.82 | \$ 40,723 |
| Exercisable at March 31, 2009 | 3,353,640 | \$ 15.16 | \$ 30,946 |

Deferred Stock Units

Our 2001 Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended (2001 Plan), enables us to grant deferred stock units (DSUs) to our employees, consultants, and directors. A DSU is a promise to deliver shares of our common stock at a future date in accordance with the terms of the DSU grant agreement. We began granting DSUs in January 2006.

DSUs granted under the 2001 Plan generally vest 25% at the end of 12 months from the vesting commencement date and at a rate of approximately 6% each quarter thereafter until fully vested at the end of 48 months from the vesting commencement date. Delivery of shares under the plan takes place quarterly for all DSUs vested as of the

scheduled delivery dates. Until delivery of shares, the grantee has no rights as a stockholder.

Table of Contents

An election to defer delivery of the underlying shares for unvested DSUs can be made provided the deferral election is made at least one year before vesting and the deferral period is at least five years from the scheduled delivery date.

The following table summarizes DSU activity, including DSUs granted, delivered, and forfeited, during the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and the balance and aggregate intrinsic value of DSUs as of March 31, 2009. The aggregate intrinsic value is based on the closing price of our common stock on March 27, 2009 of \$23.89.

| | Deferred Stock Unit Awards | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Balance at June 30, 2008 | 573,447 | |
| Granted | 317,530 | |
| Delivered | (154,103) | |
| Forfeited | (33,680) | |
| Balance at March 31, 2009 | 703,194 | \$ 16,799 |

Of the shares deliverable to employees, 44,927 shares valued at \$1.3 million were withheld during the nine months ended March 31, 2009 to meet statutory minimum tax withholding requirements.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Our 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended (ESPP), became effective on January 29, 2002, the effective date of the registration statement for our initial public offering. The ESPP allows employees to designate up to 15% of their base compensation, subject to legal restrictions and limitations, to purchase shares of common stock at 85% of the lesser of the fair market value (FMV) at the beginning of the offering period or the exercise date. The offering period extends for up to two years and includes four exercise dates occurring at six month intervals. Under the terms of the plan, if the FMV at an exercise date is less than the FMV at the beginning of the offering period, the current offering period will terminate and a new offering period of up to two years will commence.

The following table summarizes the shares purchased, weighted average purchase price, cash received, and the aggregate intrinsic value for ESPP purchases during the nine months ended March 31, 2009 (in thousands, except for shares purchased and weighted average purchase price):

| | |
|---------------------------------|----------|
| Shares purchased | 185,604 |
| Weighted average purchase price | \$ 16.84 |
| Cash received | \$ 3,124 |
| Aggregate intrinsic value | \$ 592 |

In accordance with FASB Technical Bulletin No. 97-1, Accounting under Statement 123 for Certain Employee Stock Purchase Plans with a Look-Back Option, the early termination of an offering period followed by the commencement of a new offering period represents a modification to the terms of the related awards. Under the terms of our ESPP, the offering period that commenced on July 1, 2008 was terminated on December 31, 2008 and a new offering period commenced on January 1, 2009. The December 31, 2008 modification affected approximately 275 employees. The modification resulted in incremental compensation costs, which were not material and which will be recognized on a straight-line basis through the remainder of the subscription period.

10. Gain from Settlement of Debt and Impairment of Investment*Gain from Settlement of Debt*

In fiscal 1998, we entered into agreements with National Semiconductor Corporation (National) with respect to the formation of a development-stage digital imaging products company, Foveon Inc. (formerly Foveonics, Inc. and referred to herein as Foveon). We contributed technology for which we had no accounting basis for a 30% interest in

Foveon in the form of voting convertible preferred stock. Under the agreements, we had the right to acquire additional

Table of Contents

shares of convertible preferred stock at a specified price in exchange for a limited-recourse loan from National. National loaned us \$1.5 million under a limited-recourse note, which we utilized to purchase 900,000 Series A preferred shares of Foveon, which increased our ownership interest in Foveon to 43%.

In fiscal 1998, we recorded our share of losses incurred by Foveon under the equity accounting method on the basis of our proportionate ownership of voting convertible preferred stock and reduced the carrying value of this equity investment to zero as our share of losses incurred by Foveon exceeded the carrying value of our investment. The \$1.5 million note to National plus accrued interest of \$1.2 million came due in August 2007, and, in accordance with the security agreement, we relinquished our 900,000 Series A preferred shares securing the note to National in full settlement of the principal and accrued interest. Consequently, we recognized a one-time non-operating gain upon settlement of debt in the amount of \$2.7 million in the nine months ended March 31, 2008.

Impairment of Investment

In fiscal 2005, we participated in an equity financing, receiving 3,943,217 shares of Foveon Series E preferred for a cash investment of \$4.0 million. The Series E preferred shares were convertible into common shares on a one-for-one basis at any time at our option, upon a firm underwritten public offering of Foveon common stock of not less than \$20 million at a price per share of not less than three times the original issue price, or upon the written agreement of the holders of at least 60% of the outstanding preferred shares voting as a single class. The Series E preferred shares were also entitled to liquidation preference up to two times the original issue price over the earlier non-Series E preferred shares and common shares. We are not obligated to provide additional funding to Foveon.

In fiscal 2007, Foveon completed a Series F preferred financing receiving net proceeds of \$13.8 million. The Series F preferred shareholders were entitled to a liquidation preference over the earlier non-Series F preferred shares and common shares.

In fiscal 2008, we determined there was an other-than-temporary impairment of the carrying value of our investment in Foveon due to liquidity visibility and liquidation preferences for the most recent preferred equity round. Assuming book value equals fair value of certain of Foveon's assets, such as cash, accounts receivable, and accounts payable and no value for other tangible and intangible assets, a hypothetical liquidation of Foveon at December 31, 2007 would have benefited only Series F preferred shareholders. Consequently, we recognized a \$4.0 million other-than-temporary impairment charge in the nine months ended March 31, 2008. In November 2008, Sigma Corporation acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of Foveon. We received no proceeds in connection with the acquisition transaction.

11. Income Taxes

We account for income taxes under the asset and liability method in accordance with SFAS No. 109 Accounting for Income Taxes. We consider the operating earnings of our foreign subsidiaries to be indefinitely invested outside the United States. Accordingly, no provision has been made for the U.S. federal, state, or foreign taxes that may result from future remittances of undistributed earnings of our foreign subsidiaries.

The provision for income taxes of \$2.0 million and \$3.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, represented estimated federal, foreign, and state taxes. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was 24.4% and diverged from the combined federal and state statutory rate primarily because of increased foreign income taxed at lower tax rates, the benefit of federal and state research tax credits, and the impact of tax-exempt interest income, partially offset by foreign withholding taxes, net unrecognized tax benefit associated with qualified stock options, and the impairment of an investment for which a full valuation allowance was established. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2008 was 50.2% and diverged from the combined federal and state statutory rate primarily because of the impairment of an investment for which a full valuation allowance was established, partially offset by increased foreign income taxed at lower tax rates, net recognized tax benefit associated with qualified stock options, the benefit of research tax credits, and the impact of tax-exempt interest income.

The income tax provision of \$9.7 million and \$13.6 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, represented estimated federal, foreign, and state taxes. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 was 19.1% and diverged from the combined federal and state statutory rate primarily because of increased foreign income taxed at lower tax rates, the benefit of federal and state research tax credits, and the impact

of tax-exempt interest income, partially offset by foreign withholding taxes, net unrecognized tax benefit associated with qualified stock options, and the impairment of an investment for which a full valuation allowance was established. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended March 31, 2008 was 32.3% and diverged from the combined federal and

Table of Contents

state statutory rate primarily because of increased foreign income taxed at lower tax rates, net recognized tax benefit associated with qualified stock options, the benefit of research tax credits, and the impact of tax-exempt interest income, partially offset by foreign withholding taxes and the impairment of an investment for which a full valuation allowance was established.

The federal research tax credit was reinstated retroactive to January 1, 2008 by the Emergency Economic Stability Act of 2008 enacted on October 3, 2008. Accordingly, our effective tax rate for fiscal 2009 includes the benefit of the retroactive reinstatement of the federal research credit.

Unrecognized Tax Benefits

We adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48), in fiscal 2008. In connection with our adoption of FIN 48, we did not recognize a cumulative effect adjustment. As of March 31, 2009, our gross unrecognized tax benefits of \$17.9 million, which included \$3.4 million of gross unrecognized tax benefits recognized during the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and accrued interest and penalties expense of \$973,000 were classified as non-current income taxes payable and have been included in other liabilities on our balance sheet all of which, if recognized, would reduce our effective tax rate. No unrecognized tax benefit is expected to be paid within one year, nor can we make a reliable estimate when cash settlement with a taxing authority may occur. Any prospective adjustments to our unrecognized tax benefits will be recorded as an increase or decrease to income tax expense and cause a corresponding change to our effective tax rate. Accordingly, our effective tax rate could fluctuate materially from period to period.

It is reasonably possible that the amount of the liability for unrecognized tax benefits may change within the next 12 months, but an estimate of the range of possible changes cannot be made at this time due to the high uncertainty of the resolution of our tax positions with the various tax jurisdictions in which we operate. Accordingly, the unrecognized tax benefits from prior year tax positions that may be necessary to accrue for or release in fiscal 2009 can not be reasonably estimated at this time.

Classification of Interest and Penalties

Under FIN 48, our policy to classify interest expense and penalties, if any, as components of income tax expense did not change. An additional \$86,000 of interest and penalties has been accrued during the three months ended March 31, 2009.

Tax Years and Examination

Currently, we are required to file income tax returns in the United States, California, and the foreign tax jurisdictions in which we operate. The fiscal years that remain subject to examination by these jurisdictions are 2003 and onward. On September 10, 2007, we were notified by the California Franchise Tax Board that our fiscal year 2004 through 2005 returns were subject to audit. The statute of limitations for fiscal year 2004 has expired without any adjustment, but the audit of fiscal year 2005 is ongoing and no proposed assessment has been received.

12. Segment, Customers, and Geographic Information

We operate in one segment: the development, marketing, and sale of interactive user interface solutions for electronic devices and products. We generate our revenue from two broad product categories: the PC market and digital lifestyle product markets. The PC market accounted for 51% and 82% of net revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and 57% and 79% of net revenue for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The following is a summary of net revenue from sales to unaffiliated customers within geographic areas based on the customer location (in thousands):

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Nine Months Ended March 31, | |
|--------|---------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| China | \$ 74,875 | \$ 53,829 | \$ 233,542 | \$ 181,955 |
| Taiwan | 4,734 | 10,710 | 40,457 | 44,592 |
| Korea | 12,352 | 8,200 | 49,984 | 21,970 |
| Japan | 6,295 | 2,999 | 25,126 | 6,369 |
| Other | 2,339 | 3,123 | 8,866 | 9,317 |
| | \$ 100,595 | \$ 78,861 | \$ 357,975 | \$ 264,203 |

Major customer net revenue data as a percentage of net revenue:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Nine Months Ended March 31, | |
|------------|---------------------------------|------|--------------------------------|------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Customer A | 24% | * | 15% | * |
| Customer B | 10% | * | 10% | * |
| Customer C | * | 10% | * | * |
| Customer D | * | * | * | 10% |

* Less than 10%

Major customer accounts receivable as a percentage of accounts receivable:

| | As of March 31, | As of June 30, |
|------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Customer A | 15% | * |
| Customer B | 14% | * |
| Customer C | * | 12% |
| Customer D | * | 12% |

* Less than 10%

13. Comprehensive Income

Our comprehensive income consists of net income plus the effect of unrealized gains and losses on our short-term investments as a result of changes in fair value of our ARS investments and interest rate fluctuations on our fixed interest rate investments. The unrealized gains and losses on our short-term investments are considered to be temporary in nature. We use the U.S. dollar as the functional currency in accounting for our foreign entities and recognize remeasurement adjustments in our consolidated statement of income.

Our comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows (in thousands):

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------|
| | March 31, | | March 31, | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net income | \$ 6,086 | \$ 3,008 | \$ 41,242 | \$ 28,466 |
| Net unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale investments | 3,335 | (834) | 2,974 | (4,652) |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 9,421 | \$ 2,174 | \$ 44,216 | \$ 23,814 |

We recorded a net reduction in temporary impairment of \$3.3 million and \$3.0 million for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2009, respectively. Most of the reduction in temporary impairment is associated with our ARS investments and the recording of other-than-temporary impairment on those ARS investments, which previously had been assessed as temporarily impaired. When evaluating our investments for possible impairment, we review factors such as the length of time and extent to which fair value has been below cost basis, the financial condition of the issuer, and our ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time which may be sufficient for anticipated recovery in market value. While the primary issue affecting all of our ARS investments is that of liquidity, we have seen a decline in the credit ratings of some of our ARS investments. Based on our ability to access our cash and other short-term investments, our expected operating cash flows, and our other sources of cash, we have the intent and ability to hold these investments until the value recovers or the investments mature. We will continue to evaluate our accounting for these investments quarterly.

14. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (SFAS 159), which provides companies an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. SFAS 159 requires companies to provide information helping financial statement users to understand the effect of a company's choice to use fair value on its earnings, as well as to display the fair value of the assets and liabilities a company has chosen to use fair value for on the face of the balance sheet. Additionally, SFAS 159 establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to simplify comparisons between companies that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS 159 was effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We evaluated our existing eligible financial assets and liabilities and at this time elected not to apply the fair value option to any financial instruments or other items upon adoption of SFAS 159 during the nine months ended March 31, 2009.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. 157-2, *The Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157* (FSP 157-2), which delays the effective date of SFAS 157 for all non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually), until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We do not believe the adoption of FSP 157-2 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. 157-3, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active* (FSP 157-3). FSP 157-3 clarifies the application of SFAS 157 in a market that is not active and provides an example to illustrate key considerations in determining the fair value of a financial asset when the market for that financial asset is not active. FSP 157-3 became effective immediately, including prior periods for which financial statements have not been issued. We adopted the provisions of FSP 157-3 beginning the first quarter of fiscal 2009. The adoption did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FSP APB 14-1, *Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)* (FSP APB 14-1). FSP APB 14-1 requires that issuers of convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion separately account for the liability and equity components in a manner that will reflect the entity's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate when the interest cost is

recognized in subsequent periods. The coupon rate on our convertible debt is 0.75%, and the comparable yield of a nonconvertible debt instrument determined at the time we issued our notes was 8.5%. Accordingly, we estimate the non-cash pre-tax impact to our results of operations from the adoption of FSP APB 14-1 would have been approximately \$1.1

Table of Contents

million for the quarter ended March 31, 2009. FSP APB 14-1 will be effective beginning with the first quarter of our fiscal 2010 and will be applied retrospectively.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* (SFAS 162). The new standard is intended to improve financial reporting by identifying a consistent framework, or hierarchy, for selecting accounting principles to be used in preparing financial statements that are presented in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for nongovernmental entities. SFAS 162 is effective 60 days following SEC approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Auditing amendments to AU Section 411, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of the adoption of SFAS 162 on our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

In April 2009, the FASB issued three FSPs intended to provide additional application guidance and enhance disclosures regarding fair value measurements and impairments of securities. FSP FAS 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly*, provides guidelines for making fair value measurements more consistent with the principles presented in SFAS 157. FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, enhances consistency in financial reporting by increasing the frequency of fair value disclosures. FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*, provides additional guidance designed to create greater clarity and consistency in accounting for and presenting impairment losses on securities. These pronouncements are effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, and shall be applied prospectively. Early adoption is permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. We elected not to adopt FSP FAS 157-4, FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, and FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 during our quarter ended March 31, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of the adoption of FSP FAS 157-4, FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, and FASP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 on our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements and Factors That May Affect Results

You should read the following discussion and analysis in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and notes in Item 1 and with our audited consolidated financial statements and notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2008.

In addition to the historical information contained in this report, this report contains forward-looking statements, including those related to market penetration and market share in the notebook and digital lifestyle product markets; competition in the notebook and digital lifestyle product markets; revenue from the notebook and digital lifestyle product markets; industry estimates of growth rates of these markets; average selling prices; product design mix; manufacturing costs; cost-improvement programs; gross margins; customer relationships; research and development expenses; selling, general, and administrative expenses; legal proceedings; liquidity and anticipated cash requirements; and our ability to provide local sales, operational, and engineering support to customers. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially.

We caution that these statements are qualified by various factors that may affect future results, including the following: economic conditions; changes in the market for our products and the success of our customers' products; our success in moving products from the design phase into the manufacturing phase; changes in the competitive environment; infringement claims; warranty obligations related to product failures; the failure of key technologies to deliver commercially acceptable performance; our dependence on certain key markets; penetration into new markets; the absence of both long-term purchase and supply commitments; and our lengthy development and product acceptance cycles. This report should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2008, including particularly Item 1A Risk Factors.

Overview

We are a leading worldwide developer and supplier of custom-designed human interface solutions that enable people to interact more easily and intuitively with a wide variety of mobile computing, communications, entertainment, and other electronic devices. We believe our results to date reflect the combination of our customer focus, the strength of our intellectual property, and our engineering know-how, which allow us to develop or engineer products that meet the demanding design specifications of OEMs.

Many of our customers have migrated their manufacturing operations from Taiwan to China, and many of our OEM customers have established design centers in that region. With our expanded global presence, including offices in China, Hong Kong, Japan, Korea, Switzerland, Taiwan, and the United States, we are well positioned to provide local sales, operational, and engineering support services to our existing customers, as well as potential new customers, on a global basis.

Our manufacturing operations are based on a variable cost model in which we outsource all of our production requirements and primarily drop ship our products directly to our customers from our contract manufacturers' facilities, eliminating the need for significant capital expenditures and allowing us to minimize our investment in inventories. This approach requires us to work closely with our contract manufacturers to ensure adequate production capacity to meet our forecasted volume requirements. We provide our contract manufacturers with six-month rolling forecasts and issue purchase orders based on our anticipated requirements for the next 90 days. However, we do not have any long-term supply contracts with any of our contract manufacturers. Currently, we use two third-party wafer manufacturers to supply wafers and two third-party packaging manufacturers to package our proprietary ASICs. In certain cases, we rely on a single source or a limited number of suppliers to provide other key components of our products. Our cost of revenue includes all costs associated with the production of our products, including materials, logistics, manufacturing, assembly, and test costs paid to third-party manufacturers and related overhead costs associated with our indirect manufacturing operations personnel. Additionally, all warranty costs, yield losses, and any inventory provisions or write-downs are charged to cost of revenue.

Our gross margin generally reflects the combination of the added value we bring to our customers' products in meeting their custom design requirements and the impact of our ongoing cost-improvement programs. These cost-improvement programs include reducing materials and component costs and implementing design and process

Table of Contents

improvements. Our newly introduced products may have lower margins than our more mature products, which have realized greater benefits associated with our ongoing cost-improvement programs. As a result, new product introductions may initially negatively impact our gross margin.

Our research and development expenses include costs for supplies and materials related to product development, as well as the engineering costs incurred to design human interface solutions for customers prior to and after the customers' commitment to incorporate those solutions into their products. These expenses have generally increased reflecting our continuing commitment to the technological and design innovation required to maintain our position in our existing markets and to adapt our existing technologies or develop new technologies for new markets.

Selling, general, and administrative expenses include expenses related to sales, marketing, and administrative personnel; internal sales and outside sales representatives' commissions; market and usability research; outside legal, accounting, and consulting costs; and other marketing and sales activities. These expenses have generally increased, primarily reflecting incremental staffing and related support costs associated with our increased business levels, anticipated growth in our existing markets, and penetration into new markets.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, allowance for doubtful accounts, cost of revenue, inventories, product warranty, share-based compensation costs, provision for income taxes, income taxes payable, and contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience, applicable laws and regulations, and various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

The methods, estimates, interpretations, and judgments we use in applying our most critical accounting policies can have a significant impact on the results that we report in our consolidated financial statements. The SEC considers an entity's most critical accounting policies to be those policies that are both most important to the portrayal of the entity's financial condition and results of operations and those that require management's most difficult, subjective, or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about matters that are inherently uncertain when estimated. We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Investments

We account for investment securities under the provisions of SFAS No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities* (SFAS 115), and related interpretations and staff positions. SFAS 115 requires us to record available-for-sale securities at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses being reported as a component of other comprehensive income. We follow the hierarchical approach established under SFAS 157 to determine fair value of our investments, which we adopted at the beginning of fiscal 2009. SFAS 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Our fair value estimates consider, among other factors, the collateral underlying the security investments, creditworthiness of the counterparty, timing of expected future cash flows, and, in the case of ARS, the probability of a successful auction in a future period. Further, we use judgment in evaluating whether a decline in fair value is temporary or other-than-temporary and consider the following indicators: changes in credit ratings or asset quality; changes in the economic environment; length of time and extent to which fair value has been below cost basis; changes in market conditions; changes in expected cash flows; and our ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time which may be sufficient for anticipated recovery in market value. Temporary declines in fair value are recorded as charges to accumulated other comprehensive loss in the equity section of our balance sheet, while other-than-temporary declines in fair value are recorded to earnings.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue from product sales when there is persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred and title has transferred, the price is fixed or determinable, and collection is reasonably assured. We

accrue for estimated sales returns and other allowances, based on historical experience, at the time we recognize revenue. We record contract revenue for research and development as we provide the services under the terms of the contract. We

Table of Contents

recognize non-refundable contract fees for which no further performance obligations exist and for which there is no continuing involvement by us on the earlier of when the payments are received or when collection is assured.

Inventory

We state our inventories at the lower of cost or market. We base our assessment of the ultimate realization of inventories on our projections of future demand and market conditions. Sudden declines in demand, rapid product improvements, or technological changes, or any combination of these factors, can cause us to have excess or obsolete inventories. On an ongoing basis, we review for estimated obsolete or unmarketable inventories and write down our inventories to their net realizable value based upon our forecasts of future demand and market conditions. If actual market conditions are less favorable than our forecasts, additional inventory reserves may be required. The following factors influence our estimates: changes to or cancellations of customer orders; unexpected decline in demand; rapid product improvements and technological advances; and termination or changes by our OEM customers of any product offerings incorporating our product solutions.

Periodically, we purchase inventory from our subcontractors when a customer delays its delivery schedule or cancels its order. In those circumstances in which our customer has cancelled its order and we purchase inventory from our subcontractors, we consider a write-down to reduce the carrying value of the inventory purchased to its net realizable value. We charge write-downs to reduce the carrying value of obsolete, slow moving, and non-usable inventory to net realizable value to cost of revenue. The effect of these write-downs is to establish a new cost basis in the related inventory, which we do not subsequently write up.

Share-Based Compensation Costs

We account for employee share-based compensation costs in accordance with SFAS No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123R), and apply the provisions of Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, *Share-Based Payment* (SAB 107). We utilize the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the grant date fair value of employee share-based compensatory awards, which requires the input of highly subjective assumptions, including expected volatility and expected life. Historical and implied volatilities were used in estimating the fair value of our share-based awards, while the expected life for our options was estimated to be five years based on historical trends since our initial public offering. Further, as required under SFAS 123R, we now estimate forfeitures for share-based awards that are not expected to vest. Changes in these inputs and assumptions can materially affect the measure of estimated fair value of our share-based compensation. We charge the estimated fair value to earnings on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the underlying awards, which is generally four years for our stock options and DSUs and up to two years for our employee stock purchase plan.

The Black-Scholes option pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. As our stock option and employee stock purchase plan awards have characteristics that differ significantly from traded options and as changes in the subjective assumptions can materially affect the estimated value, our estimate of fair value may not accurately represent the value assigned by a third party in an arms -length transaction. There currently is no market-based mechanism to verify the reliability and accuracy of the estimates derived from the Black-Scholes option pricing model or other allowable valuation models, nor is there a means to compare and adjust the estimates to actual values. While our estimate of fair value and the associated charge to earnings materially affects our results of operations, it has no impact on our actual cash flow from operations or our cash position.

The guidance in SFAS 123R and SAB 107 is relatively new and the application of these principles may be subject to further interpretation and guidance. There are significant variations among allowable valuation models, and there is a possibility that we may adopt a different valuation model or refine the inputs and assumptions under our current valuation model in the future resulting in a lack of consistency in future periods. Our current or future valuation model and the inputs and assumptions we make may also lack comparability to other companies that use different models, inputs, or assumptions, and the resulting differences in comparability could be material.

Income Taxes

We recognize federal, foreign, and state current tax liabilities or assets based on our estimate of taxes payable or refundable in the then current fiscal year for each tax jurisdiction. We also recognize federal, foreign, and state deferred tax liabilities or assets for our estimate of future tax effects attributable to temporary differences and

carryforwards and record a full valuation allowance to reduce any deferred tax assets by the amount of any tax benefits that, based on available evidence and our judgment, are not expected to be realized. If our assumptions, and consequently our

Table of Contents

estimates, change in the future, the full valuation allowance we have established for our deferred tax assets may be changed, which could impact income tax expense.

We adopted FIN 48 at the beginning of the first quarter of fiscal 2008. FIN 48 contains a two-step approach to recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions accounted for in accordance with FIN 48. The first step is to determine when it is more-likely-than-not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes. The second step is to measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement with a taxing authority. The calculation of tax liabilities involves significant judgment in estimating the impact of uncertainties in the application of highly complex tax laws. Resolution of these uncertainties in a manner inconsistent with our expectations could have a material impact on our results of operations and financial condition. We believe we have adequately provided for reasonably foreseeable outcomes in connection with the resolution of income tax uncertainties. However, our results have in the past, and could in the future, include favorable and unfavorable adjustments to our estimated tax liabilities in the period a determination of such estimated tax liability is made or resolved, upon the filing of an amended return, upon a change in facts, circumstances, or interpretation, or upon the expiration of a statute of limitation. Accordingly, our effective tax rate could fluctuate materially from period to period.

In accordance with SFAS 123R, we recognize tax benefit upon expensing certain share-based awards associated with our share-based compensation plans, including nonqualified stock options and DSUs, but under current accounting standards we cannot recognize tax benefit concurrent with the recognition of share-based compensation expenses associated with incentive stock options and employee stock purchase plan shares (qualified stock options). For qualified stock options that vested after our adoption of SFAS 123R, we recognize tax benefit only in the period when disqualifying dispositions of the underlying stock occur, which historically has been up to several years after vesting and in a period when our stock price substantially increases. For qualified stock options that vested prior to our adoption of SFAS 123R, the tax benefit is recorded directly to additional paid-in capital. Accordingly, because we cannot recognize the tax benefit for share-based compensation expense associated with qualified stock options until the occurrence of future disqualifying dispositions of the underlying stock and such disqualified dispositions may happen in periods when our stock price substantially increases, and because a portion of that tax benefit may be directly recorded to additional paid-in capital, our future quarterly and annual effective tax rates will be subject to greater volatility and, consequently, our ability to estimate reasonably our future quarterly and annual effective tax rates is greatly diminished.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations****Three months ended March 31, 2009 compared with the three months ended March 31, 2008***Net Revenue.*

(in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | % Change |
|--|------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | \$ Change | |
| PC applications | \$ 50,758 | \$ 64,235 | \$ (13,477) | -21.0% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | 50.5% | 81.5% | | |
| Digital lifestyle product applications | 49,837 | 14,626 | 35,211 | 240.7% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | 49.5% | 18.5% | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 100,595 | \$ 78,861 | \$ 21,734 | 27.6% |

Net revenue was \$100.6 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2009 compared with \$78.9 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, an increase of \$21.7 million, or 27.6%. Of our third quarter fiscal 2009 net revenue, \$50.8 million, or 50.5%, was from the personal computing market and \$49.8 million, or 49.5%, was from the digital lifestyle product markets, including \$42.0 million from mobile smartphones. The increase in net revenue for the quarter ended March 31, 2009 was attributable to a \$35.2 million, or 240.7%, increase in net revenue from digital lifestyle product applications, partially offset by a \$13.5 million, or 21.0%, reduction in net revenue from PC applications. Digital lifestyle product application net revenue growth resulted primarily from higher market penetration of our products in the mobile smartphone market. The decline in PC applications net revenue reflected the combination of the general weakness in the notebook market as a result of the global economic downturn and a reduced attach rate of our multimedia control solutions in notebook computers. The overall increase in net revenue was primarily attributable to a 9% increase in unit shipments, reflecting higher market penetration of our products in the mobile smartphone market and an overall higher-priced product mix, which included our ClearTouch screen solutions, partially offset by general competitive pricing pressure. Based on calendar year 2009 industry estimates, the notebook market is anticipated to decline approximately 4%; the digital music player market is anticipated to increase approximately 2%; and the mobile smartphone market is anticipated to increase approximately 9%.

Gross Margin.

(in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | % Change |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | \$ Change | |
| Gross Margin | \$40,707 | \$32,173 | \$8,534 | 26.5% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | 40.5% | 40.8% | | |

Gross margin as a percentage of net revenue was 40.5%, or \$40.7 million, for the quarter ended March 31, 2009 compared with 40.8%, or \$32.2 million, for the quarter ended March 31, 2008. As each custom-designed module we sell utilizes our capacitive sensing technology in a design that is generally unique or specific to a customer's application, gross margin varies on a product-by-product basis, making our cumulative gross margin a blend of our product specific designs and independent of the vertical markets that our products serve. The decrease in gross margin as a percentage of net revenue primarily reflected a lower margin product mix and general competitive pricing pressure.

Table of Contents*Operating Expenses.*
(in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | % Change |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | \$ Change | |
| Research and development expenses | \$ 17,286 | \$ 13,560 | \$ 3,726 | 27.5% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | 17.2% | 17.2% | | |
| Selling, general, and administrative expenses | 12,786 | 12,181 | 605 | 5.0% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | 12.7% | 15.4% | | |
| Operating expenses | \$ 30,072 | \$ 25,741 | \$ 4,331 | 16.8% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | 29.9% | 32.6% | | |

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses remains unchanged as a percentage of net revenue at 17.2%, while the cost of research and development activities increased \$3.7 million, or 27.5%, to \$17.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 compared with \$13.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The increase in research and development expenses primarily reflected a \$2.5 million increase in employee related costs, resulting from additional staffing, increased base compensation related to our annual performance review process, increased share-based compensation costs, higher employee benefits costs, increased incentive compensation costs, higher recruiting costs, and a \$1.1 million increase in infrastructure and support costs. Non-cash share-based compensation costs included in research and development expenses were \$2.3 million, or 2.3% of net revenue, and \$1.8 million, or 2.3% of net revenue, for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general, and administrative expenses decreased as a percentage of net revenue from 15.4% to 12.7%, while selling, general, and administrative expenses increased \$605,000, or 5.0%, to \$12.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 compared with \$12.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The increase in selling, general, and administrative expenses primarily reflected a \$1.4 million increase in employee related costs, resulting from share-based compensation costs, additional staffing, increased base compensation related to our annual performance review process, higher employee benefits costs, increased incentive compensation costs, and higher recruiting costs, partially offset by a \$581,000 decrease in professional services costs and a \$185,000 decrease in travel and related costs. Non-cash share-based compensation costs included in selling, general, and administrative expenses were \$3.4 million, or 3.4% of net revenue, and \$2.7 million, or 3.4% of net revenue, for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Income from Operations.
(in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | % Change |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | \$ Change | |
| Income from operations | \$ 10,635 | \$ 6,432 | \$ 4,203 | 65.3% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | 10.6% | 8.2% | | |

We generated operating income of \$10.6 million, or 10.6% of net revenue, for the three months ended March 31, 2009 compared with approximately \$6.4 million, or 8.2% of net revenue, for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The increase in operating income primarily reflected the impact of the increase in operating leverage resulting from the 27.6% increase in net revenue, partially offset by a \$4.3 million increase in operating expenses and a 30 basis point reduction in the gross margin percentage.

Table of Contents*Non-Operating Income/(Loss).*
(in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | % Change |
|---|------------------------------|----------|------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | \$ Change | |
| Interest income | \$ 538 | \$ 2,293 | \$ (1,755) | -76.5% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | 0.5% | 2.9% | | |
| Interest expense | (234) | (449) | 215 | -47.9% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | -0.2% | -0.6% | | |
| Impairment of auction rate securities investments | (2,894) | (2,237) | (657) | 29.4% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | -2.9% | -2.8% | | |
| Net non-operating income/(loss) | \$ (2,590) | \$ (393) | \$ (2,197) | 559.0% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | -2.6% | -0.5% | | |

Interest Income. Interest income was \$538,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009 compared with \$2.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The \$1.8 million decrease in interest income resulted from the combination of lower average invested cash balances and lower interest rates. The decrease in average invested cash balances during the past 12 months was primarily attributable to the use of \$36.6 million for the purchase of our common stock in the open market, \$55.7 million for the early retirement of debt, and \$8.4 million for capital expenditures.

Interest Expense. Interest expense primarily reflected the combination of interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs related to our convertible senior subordinated notes issued in December 2004. Interest expense decreased \$215,000 to \$234,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009 compared with \$449,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The decline in interest expense reflects the retirement of \$59.7 million in principal amount of our convertible notes in the prior quarter.

Impairment of Auction Rate Securities Investments. During the quarter ended March 31, 2009, we determined there was an other-than-temporary impairment of the carrying value of certain of our investments in ARS, which have continued to fail to clear at auction since February 2008. Accordingly, based on our fair value analysis and taking into account the period of time the fair value has been less than our cost we reduced the carrying value of our ARS investments by \$2.9 million through an other-than-temporary impairment charge to income.

Provision for Income Taxes.
(in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | % Change |
|--|------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | \$ Change | |
| Income before provision for income taxes | \$8,045 | \$6,039 | \$ 2,006 | 33.2% |
| Provision for income taxes | 1,959 | 3,031 | (1,072) | -35.4% |
| <i>% of income before provision for income taxes</i> | 24.4% | 50.2% | | |

The provision for income taxes of \$2.0 million and \$3.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, represented estimated federal, foreign, and state taxes. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was 24.4% and diverged from the combined federal and state statutory rate primarily because of increased foreign income taxed at lower tax rates, the benefit of federal and state research tax credits, and the impact of tax-exempt interest income, partially offset by foreign withholding taxes, net unrecognized tax benefit associated with qualified stock options, and the impairment of an investment for which a full valuation allowance was established. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2008 was 50.2% and diverged from the combined federal and state statutory rate primarily because of the impairment of an investment for which a full valuation allowance was established, partially offset by increased foreign income taxed at lower tax rates, net

recognized tax benefit associated with qualified stock options, the benefit of research tax credits, and the impact of tax-exempt interest income.

Tax benefit associated with share-based compensation was approximately \$1.7 million and \$1.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Excluding the impact of share-based compensation and the related tax benefit, the effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 would have been 25.9% and 39.3%, respectively.

Table of Contents***Nine months ended March 31, 2009 compared with the nine months ended March 31, 2008******Net Revenue.***

(in thousands)

| | Nine Months Ended March 31, | | | % Change |
|--|-----------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | \$ Change | |
| PC applications | \$ 204,203 | \$ 207,304 | \$ (3,101) | -1.5% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | 57.0% | 78.5% | | |
| Digital lifestyle product applications | 153,772 | 56,899 | 96,873 | 170.3% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | 43.0% | 21.5% | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 357,975 | \$ 264,203 | \$ 93,772 | 35.5% |

Net revenue was \$358.0 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 compared with \$264.2 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2008, an increase of \$93.8 million, or 35.5%. Of our nine months fiscal 2009 net revenue, \$204.2 million, or 57.0%, was from the PC applications and \$153.8 million, or 43.0%, was from the digital lifestyle product applications, including \$120.8 million from mobile smartphones. The increase in net revenue for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 was attributable to a \$96.9 million, or 170.3%, increase in net revenue from digital lifestyle product applications, partially offset by a \$3.1 million, or 1.5%, reduction in net revenue from PC applications. Digital lifestyle product application net revenue growth primarily resulted from higher market penetration of our products in the mobile smartphone market. The decline in PC applications net revenue reflected the combination of the general weakness in the notebook market as a result of the global economic downturn and a reduced attach rate of our multimedia control solutions in notebook computers. The overall increase in net revenue was primarily attributable to a 16% increase in unit shipments, reflecting higher market penetration of our products in the mobile smartphone market, and an overall higher-priced product mix, which included our ClearTouch screen solutions, partially offset by general competitive pricing pressure. Based on calendar year 2009 industry estimates, the notebook market is anticipated to decline approximately 4%; the digital music player market is anticipated to increase approximately 2%; and the mobile smartphone market is anticipated to increase approximately 9%.

Gross Margin.

(in thousands)

| | Nine Months Ended March 31, | | | % Change |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | \$ Change | |
| Gross Margin | \$ 145,106 | \$ 108,682 | \$ 36,424 | 33.5% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | 40.5% | 41.1% | | |

Gross margin as a percentage of net revenue was 40.5%, or \$145.1 million, for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 compared with 41.1%, or \$108.7 million, for the nine months ended March 31, 2008. As each custom-designed module we sell utilizes our capacitive sensing technology in a design that is generally unique or specific to a customer's application, gross margin varies on a product-by-product basis, making our cumulative gross margin a blend of our product specific designs and independent of the vertical markets that our products serve. The decrease in gross margin as a percentage of net revenue primarily reflected a lower margin product mix and general competitive pricing pressure.

Operating Expenses.

(in thousands)

| | Nine Months Ended March 31, | | |
|--|-----------------------------|------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | \$ Change |

Edgar Filing: SYNAPTICS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | % |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|
| | | | | Change |
| Research and development expenses | \$ 49,031 | \$ 35,655 | \$ 13,376 | 37.5% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | 13.7% | 13.5% | | |
| Selling, general, and administrative expenses | 41,070 | 34,346 | 6,724 | 19.6% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | 11.5% | 13.0% | | |
| Operating expenses | \$ 90,101 | \$ 70,001 | \$ 20,100 | 28.7% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | 25.2% | 26.5% | | |

26

Table of Contents

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses increased as a percentage of net revenue from 13.5% to 13.7%, and the cost of research and development activities increased \$13.4 million, or 37.5%, to \$49.0 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 compared with \$35.7 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2008. The increase in research and development expenses primarily reflected an \$8.5 million increase in employee compensation costs, resulting from additional staffing, increased base compensation related to our annual performance review process, share-based compensation costs, employee benefits costs, incentive compensation costs, and recruiting costs; a \$2.8 million increase in infrastructure and support costs; and a \$1.6 million increase in consulting and outside services costs. Non-cash share-based compensation costs included in research and development expenses were \$6.3 million, or 1.8% of net revenue, and \$4.6 million, or 1.7% of net revenue, for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general, and administrative expenses decreased as a percentage of net revenue to 11.5% from 13.0%, while selling, general, and administrative expenses increased \$6.7 million, or 19.6%, to \$41.1 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 compared with \$34.3 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2008. The increase in selling, general, and administrative expenses primarily reflected a \$5.9 million increase in employee compensation costs, resulting from higher share-based compensation costs, additional staffing, increased base compensation related to our annual performance review process, incentive compensation costs, employee benefits costs, and recruiting costs; a \$423,000 increase in professional services fees; and a \$405,000 increase in infrastructure and support costs. Non-cash share-based compensation costs included in selling, general, and administrative expenses were \$10.1 million, or 2.8% of net revenue, and \$7.1 million, or 2.7% of net revenue, for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Income from Operations.

(in thousands)

| | Nine Months Ended March 31, | | | % Change |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | \$ Change | |
| Income from operations | \$ 55,005 | \$ 38,681 | \$ 16,324 | 42.2% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | 15.4% | 14.6% | | |

We generated operating income of \$55.0 million, or 15.4% of net revenue, for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 compared with \$38.7 million, or 14.6% of net revenue, for the nine months ended March 31, 2008. The increase in operating income primarily reflected the impact of the increase in operating leverage resulting from the 35.5% increase in net revenue, partially offset by a \$20.1 million increase in operating expenses and a 60 basis point reduction in the gross margin percentage.

Table of Contents*Non-Operating Income/(Loss).*
(in thousands)

| | Nine Months Ended March 31, | | | % |
|---|-----------------------------|----------|------------|---------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | \$ Change | |
| Interest income | \$ 2,770 | \$ 8,301 | \$ (5,531) | -66.6% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | 0.8% | 3.1% | | |
| Interest expense | (1,004) | (1,373) | 369 | -26.9% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | -0.3% | -0.5% | | |
| Gain on early retirement of debt | 3,600 | | 3,600 | |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | 1.0% | 0.0% | | |
| Impairment of auction rate securities investments | (9,403) | (2,237) | (7,166) | 320.3% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | -2.6% | -0.8% | | |
| Gain on settlement of debt | | 2,689 | (2,689) | -100.0% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | 0.0% | 1.0% | | |
| Impairment of investment | | (4,000) | 4,000 | -100.0% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | 0.0% | -1.5% | | |
| Net non-operating income/(loss) | \$ (4,037) | \$ 3,380 | \$ (7,417) | -219.4% |
| <i>% of net revenue</i> | -1.1% | 1.3% | | |

Interest Income. Interest income was \$2.8 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 compared with \$8.3 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2008. The \$5.5 million decrease in interest income resulted from the combination of lower average invested cash balances and lower interest rates. The decrease in average invested cash balances during the past 12 months was primarily attributable to the use of \$36.6 million for the purchase of our common stock in the open market, \$55.7 million for the early retirement of debt, and \$8.4 million for capital expenditures.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$369,000 to \$1.0 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 compared with interest expense of \$1.4 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2008. Interest expense primarily reflected the combination of interest expense and amortization of debt issuance costs related to our convertible senior subordinated notes issued in December 2004. The decline in interest expense primarily reflected the retirement of \$59.7 million in principal amount of our convertible notes during the nine-month period.

Gain on Early Retirement of Debt. During the nine months ended March 31, 2009, we repurchased and retired \$59.7 million of our outstanding convertible notes at a discount of approximately 7%. This resulted in a \$3.6 million net gain on retirement of debt after deducting the associated unamortized debt issuance costs.

Impairment of Auction Rate Securities Investments. During the nine months ended March 31, 2009, a portion of our ARS investments were converted to auction rate preferred stock and we have seen a decline in the credit ratings for certain of our ARS investments. Accordingly, based on our fair value analysis and taking into account the period of time the fair value has been less than our cost, we reduced the carrying value of our ARS investments by \$9.4 million through an other-than-temporary impairment charge to income.

Gain on Settlement of Debt. In fiscal 1998, National loaned us \$1.5 million under a limited-recourse note, which we utilized to purchase 900,000 Series A preferred shares of Foveon. In fiscal 1998, under the equity method of accounting, we recorded our share of losses incurred by Foveon and reduced the carrying value of our equity investment to zero. The note plus accrued interest of \$1.2 million came due in August 2007, and, in accordance with the security agreement, we surrendered the 900,000 Series A preferred shares securing the note to National in full settlement of the principal and accrued interest. Consequently, we recognized a non-operating gain upon settlement of debt in the amount of \$2.7 million in the nine months ended March 31, 2008.

Impairment of Investment. In fiscal 2005, we participated in an equity financing, receiving 3.9 million Series E preferred shares of Foveon for a cash investment of \$4.0 million and we are not obligated to provide additional funding to Foveon. We accounted for our Series E preferred shares of Foveon under the cost method in accordance with APB Opinion No. 18 and EITF Issues No. 02-14 and No. 03-1 because the investment is not in-substance common stock.

Table of Contents

In the nine months ended March 31, 2008, we determined there was an other-than-temporary impairment of the carrying value of our investment in Foveon, due to liquidity visibility and liquidation preferences for the most recent preferred equity round. Consequently, we recognized a \$4.0 million other-than-temporary impairment charge.

Provision for Income Taxes.

(in thousands)

| | 2009 | 2008 | Nine Months Ended March 31, \$ Change | % Change |
|--|----------|----------|--|----------|
| Income before provision for income taxes | \$50,968 | \$42,061 | \$ 8,907 | 21.2% |
| Provision for income taxes | 9,726 | 13,595 | (3,869) | -28.5% |
| <i>% of income before provision for income taxes</i> | 19.1% | 32.3% | | |

The income tax provision of \$9.7 million and \$13.6 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, represented estimated federal, foreign, and state taxes. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 was 19.1% and diverged from the combined federal and state statutory rate primarily because of increased foreign income taxed at lower tax rates, the benefit of federal and state research tax credits, and the impact of tax-exempt interest income, partially offset by foreign withholding taxes, net unrecognized tax benefit associated with qualified stock options, and the impairment of an investment for which a full valuation allowance was established. The effective tax rate for the nine months March 31, 2008 was 32.3% and diverged from the combined federal and state statutory rate primarily because of increased foreign income taxed at lower tax rates, net recognized tax benefit associated with qualified stock options, the benefit of research tax credits, and the impact of tax-exempt interest income, partially offset by foreign withholding taxes and the impairment of an investment for which a full valuation allowance was established.

The federal research tax credit was reinstated retroactive to January 1, 2008 by the Emergency Economic Stability Act of 2008 enacted on October 3, 2008. Accordingly, our effective tax rate includes the benefit of the retroactive reinstatement of the federal research credit.

Tax benefit associated with share-based compensation was approximately \$5.4 million and \$4.6 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Excluding the impact of share-based compensation and the related tax benefit, the effective tax rate for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 would have been 22.1% and 33.3%, respectively.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments, which exclude ARS investments, were \$174.5 million as of March 31, 2009 compared with \$146.5 million as of June 30, 2008, an increase of approximately \$28.0 million. This increase primarily reflected \$75.4 million provided from operating cash flows, \$9.1 million of proceeds from our share-based compensation plans, and \$6.1 million excess tax benefit from shared-based compensation, partially offset by \$55.7 million used for the early retirement of debt and \$7.3 million used for the purchase of capital equipment. The earnings of our foreign subsidiaries are considered indefinitely invested overseas, and no provision has been made for income or withholding taxes that may result from a future repatriation of those earnings.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities. Operating activities during the nine months ended March 31, 2009 generated cash of approximately \$75.4 million compared with approximately \$50.9 million of cash generated during the nine months ended March 31, 2008. For the nine months ended March 31, 2009, net cash provided by operating activities was primarily attributable to net income of \$41.2 million plus adjustments for non-cash charges, including share-based compensation costs, deferred taxes, depreciation, amortization of debt issuance costs, gain on early retirement of debt, and impairment of ARS investments aggregating \$22.5 million, and an \$11.6 million net decrease in operating assets and liabilities. The decrease in operating assets and liabilities was primarily attributable to a \$5.2 million decrease in inventory, resulting from our efforts to manage inventories to lower levels. For the nine months ended March 31, 2008, net cash provided by operating activities was primarily attributable to net income of \$28.5 million plus adjustments for non-cash charges, including impairment of investment, impairment of auction rate securities investments, share-based compensation costs, depreciation, deferred taxes, gain on settlement of debt, and amortization of debt issuance costs aggregating \$20.1 million and a net decrease in operating assets and liabilities of

\$2.3 million.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities. Our investing activities typically relate to purchases of government-backed securities and investment-grade fixed income instruments and purchases of property and equipment. Investing

Table of Contents

activities during the nine months ended March 31, 2009 generated net cash of \$16.2 million compared with \$116.1 million of net cash generated during the nine months ended March 31, 2008. During the nine months ended March 31, 2009, net cash generated by investing activities consisted of \$42.9 million in proceeds from sales and maturities of short-term investments and \$1.6 million in redemptions of ARS investments, partially offset by \$21.0 million used for the purchase of short-term investments and \$7.3 million used for the purchase of property and equipment. During the nine months ended March 31, 2008, net cash generated by investing activities consisted of \$288.1 million in proceeds from sales and maturities of short-term investments, partially offset by \$166.0 million used for the purchase of short-term investments and \$5.9 million used for the purchase of property and equipment.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities. Net cash used in our financing activities for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 was approximately \$41.8 million compared with net cash used in financing activities of \$105.1 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2008. Cash used in our financing activities for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 was primarily attributable to \$55.7 million for the early retirement of debt and \$1.3 million of payroll taxes for deferred stock units, partially offset by \$9.1 million in proceeds from common stock issued under our share-based compensation plans and \$6.1 million of excess tax benefit associated with share-based compensation. Cash used in financing activities for the nine months ended March 31, 2008 consisted primarily of \$128.4 million of cash used for the purchase of 4.5 million shares of treasury stock, partially offset by \$23.3 million in proceeds from common stock issued under our stock option plans and employee stock purchase plan.

Common Stock Repurchase Program. In July 2008, our Board of Directors authorized an additional \$80 million for our common stock repurchase program. The program authorizes us to purchase our common stock in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions depending upon market conditions and other factors. The number of shares purchased and the timing of purchases is based on the level of our cash balances, general business and market conditions, and other factors, including alternative investment opportunities. Common stock purchased under this program is held as treasury stock. From April 2005 through March 31, 2009, we purchased 9,088,100 shares of our common stock in the open market for an aggregate cost of \$237.4 million, or an average cost of \$26.12 per share, under our authorized common stock purchase program. None of our treasury shares were subject to the 3-for-2 stock split in August 2008, but if the treasury shares had been subject to the stock split, the average cost of our treasury shares would have been \$17.41. As of March 31, 2009, we had \$82.6 million remaining under our common stock purchase program, which expires in 2010.

Bank Credit Facility. We currently maintain a \$20.0 million working capital line of credit with Wells Fargo Bank. The Wells Fargo Bank revolving line of credit, which expires on June 1, 2009, has an interest rate equal to the prime lending rate or 150 basis points above LIBOR, depending on whether we choose a variable or fixed rate, respectively. We had not borrowed any amounts under the line of credit as of March 31, 2009.

Convertible Senior Subordinated Notes. In December 2004, we issued an aggregate of \$125 million of Notes in a private offering pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. In connection with issuing the Notes, we incurred debt issuance costs of \$4.3 million, consisting primarily of the initial purchasers' discount and costs related to legal, accounting, and printing, which are being amortized over five years.

The Notes bear interest at a rate of 0.75% per annum payable on December 1 and June 1 of each year. However, we will pay additional contingent interest on the Notes if the average trading price of the Notes is at or above 120% of the principal amount of the Notes for a specified period beginning with the six-month period commencing December 1, 2009. The amount of contingent interest payable on the Notes with respect to a six-month period, for which contingent interest applies, will equal 0.375% per annum of the average trading price of the Notes for a specified five-trading-day period preceding such six-month period.

As a result of our irrevocable election in April 2007 to cash settle the principal amount of the Notes, no shares of common stock will be issued to settle the principal amount of the Notes and cash or common stock may be used to settle the value of the Notes in excess of the outstanding balance of \$65.3 million. Accordingly, we will include diluted shares underlying the Notes in our diluted net income per share calculation only when the average closing stock price for the accounting period exceeds the conversion price of the Notes, which is \$33.69 per share.

The Notes may be converted (1) if, during any calendar quarter commencing after December 31, 2004, the last reported sale price of our common stock for at least 20 trading days in the period of 30 consecutive trading days

ending on the last trading day of the preceding calendar quarter is greater than or equal to 120% of the applicable conversion price on such last trading day; (2) on or after January 1, 2020; (3) if we have called the Notes for redemption; or (4) during prescribed periods, upon the occurrence of specified corporate transactions or fundamental changes. On or after December 1, 2009, we may redeem for cash all or a portion of the Notes at a redemption price of 100% of the principal

Table of Contents

amount of the Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, including contingent interest and additional interest, if any. Noteholders have the right to require us to repurchase all or a portion of their Notes for cash on December 1, 2009, December 1, 2014, and December 1, 2019 at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Notes to be purchased plus accrued and unpaid interest, including contingent interest and additional interest, if any. As of March 31, 2009, none of the conditions for conversion of the Notes had occurred.

The Notes are unsecured senior subordinated obligations and rank junior in right of payment to all of our existing and future senior indebtedness, equal in right of payment with all of our existing and future indebtedness or other obligations that are not, by their terms, either senior or subordinated to the Notes, including trade debt and other general unsecured obligations that do not constitute senior or subordinated indebtedness, and senior in right of payment to all of our future indebtedness that, by its terms, is subordinated to the Notes. There are no financial covenants in the Notes.

In October 2008, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase and retirement of Notes from time to time in the open market.

\$250 Million Shelf Registration. We have registered an aggregate of \$250 million of common stock (including the associated rights), preferred stock, debt securities, depositary shares, warrants, purchase contracts, and units (collectively securities) for issuance for various purposes, including the repayment of indebtedness outstanding from time to time and for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, and repurchases of our common stock or other securities. Securities issued under the shelf registration generally will be freely tradeable after their issuance unless held by an affiliate of our company, in which case such shares will be subject to the volume and manner of sale restrictions of Rule 144.

\$100 Million Shelf Registration. We have registered an aggregate of \$100 million of common stock and preferred stock for issuance in connection with acquisitions, which shares generally will be freely tradeable after their issuance unless held by an affiliate of the acquired company, in which case such shares will be subject to the volume and manner of sale restrictions of Rule 144.

Liquidity and Capital Resources. We believe our existing cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investment balances and anticipated cash flows from operating activities will be sufficient to meet our working capital and other cash requirements over the course of at least the next 12 months. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including our net revenue, the timing and extent of spending to support product development efforts, costs related to protecting our intellectual property, the expansion of sales and marketing activities, the timing of introductions of new products and enhancements to existing products, the costs to ensure access to adequate manufacturing capacity, the continuing market acceptance of our product solutions, our common stock repurchase program, our convertible debt repurchases, and the amount and timing of our investments in, or acquisitions of, other technologies or companies. Further equity or debt financing may not be available to us on acceptable terms or at all. If sufficient funds are not available or are not available on acceptable terms, our ability to take advantage of unexpected business opportunities or to respond to competitive pressures could be limited or severely constrained.

Our non-current investments include \$45.7 million original cost basis of ARS that have failed to settle in auctions. These failures generally resulted in the interest rates resetting from LIBOR plus 50 basis points to LIBOR plus 150 basis points on the regularly scheduled auction dates. These investments are not liquid and in the event we need to access these funds, we will not be able to do so without a loss of principal, unless a future auction on these investments is successful or upon redemption.

During the nine months ended March 31, 2009, we reduced the carrying value of our ARS investments by \$6.4 million, of which \$9.4 million was accounted for as other-than-temporary impairment with a corresponding charge to income, offset by a net change of \$3.0 million in other comprehensive income. Based on our ability to access our cash and other short-term investments, our expected operating cash flows, and our other sources of cash, we do not anticipate the lack of liquidity on these investments will affect our ability to operate our business as usual.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments**

The following table sets forth a summary of our material contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of March 31, 2009 (in millions):

| Contractual Obligations | Total | Payments due by period | | | |
|---|--------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------|
| | | Less than 1 year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years | More than 5 Years |
| Convertible senior subordinated notes (1) (2) | \$ 73 | \$ | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ 71 |
| Leases and other commitments | 18 | 8 | 9 | 1 | |
| Total | \$ 91 | \$ 8 | \$ 10 | \$ 2 | \$ 71 |

(1) Represents both principal and interest payable through the maturity date of the underlying contractual obligation.

(2) Our Notes include a provision allowing the noteholders to require us, at the noteholders discretion, to repurchase their notes at a redemption price of 100% of the principal amount of the notes plus accrued and unpaid interest (including contingent interest and additional interest, if any) on December 1, 2009, December 1, 2014, and

December 1, 2019 and in the event of a fundamental change as described in the indenture governing the notes. The early repayment of the notes is not reflected in the above schedule, but if all the noteholders elected to exercise their rights to require us to repurchase their notes on December 1, 2009, then our contractual obligations for the less than one year period would be \$65.8 million and no amounts would be due in more than one year.

As of March 31, 2009, we were unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate of when cash settlement with a taxing authority may occur in connection with our unrecognized tax benefits and, accordingly, have been excluded from the table.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (SFAS 159), which provides companies an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. SFAS 159 requires companies to provide information helping financial statement users to understand the effect of a company's choice to use fair value on its earnings, as well as to display the fair value of the assets and liabilities a company has chosen to use fair value for on the face of the balance sheet. Additionally, SFAS 159 establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to simplify comparisons between companies that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS 159 was effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We evaluated our existing eligible financial assets and liabilities and at this time elected not to apply the fair value option to any financial instruments or other items upon adoption of SFAS 159 during the nine months ended March 31, 2009; however, we may elect to adopt SFAS 159 in a future period should facts and circumstances change.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. 157-2, *The Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157* (FSP 157-2), which delays the effective date of SFAS 157 for all non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually), until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We do not believe the

adoption of FSP 157-2 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. 157-3, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active* (FSP 157-3). FSP 157-3 clarifies the application of SFAS 157 in a market that is not active and provides an example to illustrate key considerations in determining the fair value of a financial asset when the market for that financial asset is not active. FSP 157-3 became effective immediately, including prior periods for which financial statements have not been issued. We adopted the provisions of FSP 157-3 beginning the first quarter of fiscal 2009. The adoption did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FSP APB 14-1, *Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)* (FSP APB 14-1). FSP APB 14-1 requires that

Table of Contents

issuers of convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion separately account for the liability and equity components in a manner that will reflect the entity's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate when the interest cost is recognized in subsequent periods. The coupon rate on our convertible debt is 0.75%, and the comparable yield of a nonconvertible debt instrument determined at the time we issued our notes was 8.5%. Accordingly, we estimate the non-cash pre-tax impact to our results of operations from the adoption of FSP APB 14-1 would have been approximately \$1.1 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2009. FSP APB 14-1 will be effective beginning with the first quarter of our fiscal 2010 and will be applied retrospectively.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* (SFAS 162). The new standard is intended to improve financial reporting by identifying a consistent framework, or hierarchy, for selecting accounting principles to be used in preparing financial statements that are presented in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for nongovernmental entities. SFAS 162 is effective 60 days following SEC approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Auditing amendments to AU Section 411, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of the adoption of SFAS 162 on our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

In April 2009, the FASB issued three FSPs intended to provide additional application guidance and enhance disclosures regarding fair value measurements and impairments of securities. FSP FAS 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly*, provides guidelines for making fair value measurements more consistent with the principles presented in SFAS 157. FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, enhances consistency in financial reporting by increasing the frequency of fair value disclosures. FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*, provides additional guidance designed to create greater clarity and consistency in accounting for and presenting impairment losses on securities. These pronouncements are effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, and shall be applied prospectively. Early adoption is permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. We elected not to adopt FSP FAS 157-4, FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, and FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 during our quarter ended March 31, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, of the adoption of FSP FAS 157-4, FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, and FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 on our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our market risk has not changed significantly from the interest rate and foreign currency risks disclosed in Item 7A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this report, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have reviewed and evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, which included inquiries made to certain other of our employees. Based on their evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have each concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are designed and are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and are effective and sufficient to ensure that we record, process, summarize, and report information required to be disclosed by us in our periodic reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act within the time periods specified by the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

During the fiscal quarter covered by this report, there have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or a reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There were no material developments in any legal proceedings during the quarter ended March 31, 2009. Reference is made to our Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended December 31, 2008.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

In July 2008, our Board of Directors authorized the purchase of up to an additional \$80 million of our common stock. The total remaining amount authorized for the purchase of our common stock is \$82.6 million, which expires in 2010. There were no purchases under our stock repurchase program during the nine months ended March 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

32.1 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer

32.2 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

35

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SYNAPTICS INCORPORATED

Date: May 7, 2009

By: /s/ Francis F. Lee

Name: Francis F. Lee

Title: Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Russell J. Knittel

Name: Russell J. Knittel

Title: Executive Vice President, Chief Financial
Officer, Secretary, and Treasurer

36