AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC
Form 10-K
February 23, 2012

Use these links to rapidly review the document
Table of Contents
Interest Crediting Rates
ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
Index of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 **Commission file number 1-8787**

American International Group, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	**13-2592361**
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
180 Maiden Lane, New York, New York	**10038**
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (212) 770-7000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: See Exhibit 99.02

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes þ No o

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No þ

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes þ No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes þ No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer þ	Accelerated filer o	Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No þ

The aggregate market value of the voting and nonvoting common equity held by nonaffiliates of the registrant (based on the closing price of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter) was approximately $12,986,000,000.

As of January 31, 2012, there were outstanding 1,896,865,688 shares of Common Stock, $2.50 par value per share, of the registrant.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Document of the Registrant	Form 10-K Reference Locations
Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders	Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.
Annual Report on Form 10-K
For the Year Ended December 31, 2011

Table of Contents

Form 10-K Item Number	Description	Page
PART I		
Item 1.	Business	4
	Analysis of Consolidated Loss Reserve Development	14
	Locations of Certain Assets	20
	Regulation	20
	Competition	26
	Other Information about AIG	26
	Directors and Executive Officers of AIG	27
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	29
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	43
Item 2.	Properties	43
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	43
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	43
PART II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	44
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	47
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	49
	Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information	49
	Use of Non-GAAP Measures	50
	Executive Overview	50
	Outlook	52
	Results of Operations	61
	Consolidated Results	62
	Segment Results	71
	Chartis Operations	71
	Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense	85
	SunAmerica Operations	106
	Aircraft Leasing Operations	113
	Other Operations	115
	Capital Resources and Liquidity	122
	Overview	122
	Liquidity Adequacy Management	122
	Analysis of Sources and Uses of Cash	123
	Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries	124
	Debt	131
	Credit Facilities	133
	Contractual Obligations	135
	Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Commercial Commitments	137
	Dividends from Insurance Subsidiaries	138

Continued on next page

Table of Contents *(Continued)*

Form 10-K Item Number	Description	Page
	Regulation and Supervision	138
	Investments	139
	Investment Strategies	139
	Impairments	153
	Other-Than-Temporary Impairments	154
	Enterprise Risk Management	158
	Overview	158
	Credit Risk Management	160
	Market Risk Management	166
	Operational Risk Management	168
	Business Unit Risk Management	169
	Critical Accounting Estimates	177
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	194
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	195
	Note 1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Events	206
	Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	212
	Note 3. Segment Information	231
	Note 4. Divested Businesses, Discontinued Operations and Held for Sale Classification	237
	Note 5. Business Combinations	240
	Note 6. Fair Value Measurements	241
	Note 7. Investments	266
	Note 8. Lending Activities	277
	Note 9. Reinsurance	279
	Note 10. Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs	282
	Note 11. Variable Interest Entities	283
	Note 12. Derivatives and Hedge Accounting	287
	Note 13. Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense and Future Policy Benefits for Life and Accident and Health Insurance Contracts and Policyholder Contract Deposits	297
	Note 14. Variable Life and Annuity Contracts	300
	Note 15. Debt Outstanding	302
	Note 16. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees	308
	Note 17. Total Equity and Earnings (Loss) Per Share	329
	Note 18. Statutory Financial Data and Restrictions	338
	Note 19. Share-based Compensation and Other Plans	339
	Note 20. Employee Benefits	345
	Note 21. Ownership	354
	Note 22. Income Taxes	354
	Note 23. Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)	361
	Note 24. Information Provided in Connection with Outstanding Debt	362
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	370
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	370
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	371
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	371
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	371
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	371
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	371
PART IV		

Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	372
Signatures		373

Table of Contents

Part I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

American International Group, Inc. (AIG) is a leading international insurance organization serving customers in more than 130 countries. AIG companies serve commercial, institutional and individual customers through one of the most extensive worldwide property-casualty networks of any insurer. In addition, AIG companies are leading providers of life insurance and retirement services in the United States. AIG Common Stock, par value $2.50 per share (AIG Common Stock), is listed on the New York Stock Exchange and the Tokyo Stock Exchange.

Throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the terms AIG, the Company, we, us and our are used to collectively refer to AIG, a Delaware corporation, and its consolidated subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires. The term AIG Parent refers solely to American International Group, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and not to any of its consolidated subsidiaries.

In September 2008, liquidity issues resulted in AIG seeking and receiving governmental support through a credit facility from the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (the FRBNY, and such credit facility, the FRBNY Credit Facility) and funding from the United States Department of the Treasury (Department of the Treasury) through the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP).

On January 14, 2011, AIG was recapitalized (the Recapitalization) and the FRBNY Credit Facility was repaid and terminated through a series of transactions that resulted in the Department of the Treasury becoming AIG's majority shareholder with ownership of approximately 92 percent of outstanding AIG Common Stock at that time. AIG understands that, subject to market conditions, the Department of the Treasury intends to dispose of its ownership interest over time, and AIG has granted certain registration rights to the Department of the Treasury to facilitate such sales.

On May 27, 2011, AIG and the Department of the Treasury, as the selling shareholder, completed a registered public offering of AIG Common Stock. AIG issued and sold 100 million shares of AIG Common Stock for aggregate net proceeds of approximately $2.9 billion and the Department of the Treasury sold 200 million shares of AIG Common Stock. AIG did not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the shares of AIG Common Stock by the Department of the Treasury. As a result of the sale of AIG Common Stock in this offering, the Series G Cumulative Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock, par value $5.00 per share (the Series G Preferred Stock) was cancelled and the ownership of the outstanding AIG Common Stock by the Department of the Treasury was reduced from approximately 92 percent to approximately 77 percent after the completion of the offering.

See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) Capital Resources and Liquidity and Notes 1 and 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of the governmental support provided to AIG and the Recapitalization.

In order to align financial reporting with the manner in which AIG's chief operating decision makers review the businesses to allocate resources and assess performance, changes were made during 2011 to AIG's segment information. See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information. AIG now reports its results of operations as follows:

Chartis Chartis offers a breadth of insurance products and services to businesses and individuals worldwide. Commercial insurance products are primarily distributed to businesses through insurance brokers. Major lines of business include casualty, property, financial lines and specialty (including aerospace, environmental, marine, trade credit and political risk coverages, and various product offerings to small and medium enterprises (SME)). Consumer insurance products are primarily distributed to individual consumers or groups of consumers through individual agents, brokers, and on a direct-to-consumer basis. Consumer lines of business include accident & health (A&H), personal lines, and life insurance.

Chartis conducts its business primarily through the following legal entities:

National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa.

Table of Contents

New Hampshire Insurance Company

American Home Assurance Company

Lexington Insurance Company

AIU Insurance Company

Chartis Overseas, Ltd.

Fuji Fire & Marine Insurance Company Limited (Fuji)

Chartis Europe Holdings Limited

Chartis Europe, S.A.

SunAmerica Financial Group (SunAmerica) SunAmerica offers a comprehensive suite of products and services to individuals and groups, including term life, universal life, A&H, fixed and variable deferred annuities, fixed payout annuities, mutual funds and financial planning. SunAmerica offers its products and services through a diverse, multi-channel distribution network that includes banks, national, regional and independent broker-dealers, affiliated financial advisors, independent marketing organizations, independent and career insurance agents, structured settlement brokers, benefit consultants and direct-to-consumer platforms.

SunAmerica conducts its business primarily through the following business units:

American General Life Companies (American General)

Variable Annuity Life Insurance Company (VALIC)

Western National Life Insurance Company (Western National)

SunAmerica Retirement Markets (SARM)

Brokerage Services and Retail Mutual Funds

SunAmerica also includes the operations of SunAmerica Affordable Housing Partners, runoff Guaranteed Investment Contracts (GIC) and certain individual annuity portfolios.

Aircraft Leasing AIG's commercial aircraft leasing business is conducted through International Lease Finance Corporation (ILFC) (and, since the date of its acquisition by ILFC, AeroTurbine, Inc. (AeroTurbine)). Aircraft Leasing was previously reported as a component of the Financial Services reportable segment.

Other Operations AIG's Other operations include results from Mortgage Guaranty operations (conducted through United Guaranty Corporation (UGC)), Global Capital Markets operations (consisting of the operations of AIG Markets, Inc. (AIG Markets) and the remaining derivatives portfolio of AIG Financial Products Corp. and AIG Trading Group Inc. and their respective subsidiaries (collectively, AIGFP)), Direct Investment book (including the Matched Investment Program (MIP)), Retained Interests (as defined below), Corporate & Other operations (after allocations to AIG's business segments) and those divested businesses that did not qualify for discontinued operations accounting treatment.

Prior periods have been revised to conform to the current period presentation for the segment changes.

For financial information concerning AIG's reportable segments, including geographic areas of operation, and changes made in 2011, see Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

The following charts present the sources of AIG's revenues (in millions) for the year ended December 31, 2011:

Additional information about AIG's operations follows:

CHARTIS OPERATIONS

Chartis is a leading property-casualty and general insurance organization with over 44,000 employees serving more than 70 million clients around the world. Chartis is diversified in terms of its customers, products, geography and distribution. Its combination of global reach and scale, extensive range of products and services, diversified, multi-channel distribution network and strong capital, positions it to meet the demands of a broad range of customers.

In 2011, Chartis completed a reorganization of its operations, which streamlined Chartis' operating structure, improving its ability and flexibility to allocate capital efficiently across businesses and regions. Chartis presents its financial information in two operating segments Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance as well as a Chartis Other operations category. Previously, Chartis was organized and presented its financial information under Chartis U.S. and Chartis International. For the year ended December 31, 2011, Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance represented approximately 62 percent and 38 percent, respectively, of Chartis total net premiums written. See Item 7. MD&A Results of Operations Segment Results Chartis Operations Chartis Results for Chartis net premiums written by major line of business.

COMMERCIAL INSURANCE

Commercial Insurance provides sophisticated risk management products and services to a breadth of businesses and organizations from multinational corporations and mid-sized companies to small businesses and non-profit organizations. Chartis' product portfolio includes both traditional insurance coverage such as general liability and commercial property, as well as highly specialized insurance for network security, aerospace, environmental liabilities, crisis management and financial lines. Chartis also offers specialized underwriting for particular market segments and risks, such as the energy, construction, real estate and healthcare sectors.

Commercial product lines include:

Casualty: Includes general liability, commercial automobile liability, workers' compensation, excess casualty and crisis management coverages. Also includes risk management and other customized structured programs for large corporate customers and multinational companies.

Table of Contents

Property: Includes industrial and commercial property insurance products and energy, which cover exposures to man-made and natural disasters.

Specialty: Includes environmental, political risk, trade credit, surety, marine, and aerospace insurance, and various product offerings for SMEs.

Financial Lines: Includes various forms of professional liability insurance, including directors and officers (D&O), fidelity, employment practices, fiduciary liability, network security, kidnap and ransom, and errors and omissions liability insurance that protect individual insureds and corporate entities.

CONSUMER INSURANCE

Consumer Insurance provides personal insurance solutions for individuals and families, including A&H, specialty coverages for high net-worth individuals, and homeowner and automobile insurance.

Consumer product lines:

Accident & Health: Includes voluntary and sponsor-paid personal accidental and supplemental health products, including accidental death and disability, accidental medical reimbursement, hospital indemnity and medical excess for individuals, employees, associations and other organizations. It also includes a broad range of travel insurance products and services for leisure and business travelers, including trip cancellation, trip interruption, lost baggage, travel assistance and concierge services.

Personal Lines: Includes automobile, homeowners and extended warranty insurance. It also includes coverages for high net worth individuals (offered through Chartis Private Client Group), including umbrella, yacht and fine art coverages, and consumer specialty products, such as identity theft and credit card protection.

Life Insurance: Includes life products offered primarily through Fuji Life Insurance Company Ltd.

CHARTIS OTHER

Chartis Other consists primarily of certain run-off lines of business, including excess workers' compensation and asbestos, certain Chartis expenses relating to global corporate initiatives, expense allocations from AIG Parent, net investment income allocations not attributable to Commercial Insurance or Consumer Insurance segments, realized capital gains and losses (including foreign currency transactions), the 2010 bargain purchase gain relating to the purchase of Fuji and gains relating to the sale of properties.

CHARTIS BUSINESS STRATEGY

Chartis seeks to provide value for people and businesses worldwide through the identification and efficient management of risk. In pursuing this mission and in growing its intrinsic value, Chartis has established strategic initiatives in four key areas:

Business Mix Changes: Grow in higher value lines of business and geographies.

Loss Ratio Improvement: Reduce loss costs and improve the efficiency and servicing of customer claims through improved claims practices and enhanced technology.

Expense Discipline: Improve efficiency, reducing recurring operating expenses by leveraging its global footprint and expanded use of shared services.

Risk Selection: Continue to enhance pricing and risk-selection tools through better data mining, science and technology investments.

Initiatives in these areas are helping Chartis to direct its capital and resources to optimize financial results, while acknowledging that performance in these areas may vary from quarter to quarter depending upon local market conditions, such as pricing and the effects of foreign exchange rates or changes in the investment environment. Chartis continues to further grow its higher value and less capital intensive lines of business and to

implement corrective actions on underperforming businesses. Management continues to review its underlying businesses to ensure that they meet overall performance measures. Chartis will also continue to implement cost savings initiatives.

CHARTIS REGIONS

Chartis maintains a significant international presence in both developed markets and growth economy nations (primarily in Asia Pacific, the Middle East and Latin America). Based on net premiums written in 2010, Chartis is the largest U.S. commercial insurer and the largest U.S.-based insurer in Europe, Japan and China. In addition, Chartis was first to market in many developing nations and is well positioned to enhance its businesses in countries such as China, India and Brazil.

The following chart presents Chartis Net premiums written (in millions) by region:

In 2011, 6 percent and 5 percent of Chartis direct premiums written (gross premiums less return premiums and cancellations, excluding reinsurance assumed and before deducting reinsurance ceded) were in the states of California and New York, respectively, and 18 percent and 7 percent in Japan and the United Kingdom, respectively. No other state or foreign jurisdiction accounted for more than five percent of such premiums.

In January 2012, Chartis further aligned its regions into the following geographic areas:

Americas: Includes the United States and Canada, as well as Central America, South America, the Caribbean and Bermuda.

Asia Pacific: Includes Japan and other Asia Pacific nations, including China, Thailand, Vietnam, Australia and Indonesia.

EMEA (Europe, Middle East and Africa): Includes the United Kingdom, Continental Europe, Eastern Europe, Russia, the Middle East and Africa.

CHARTIS DISTRIBUTION CHANNELS

Chartis distributes its products and services through a variety of distribution channels. Commercial Insurance is generally distributed through global, regional and local brokers, agents and wholesalers. Consumer Insurance is generally distributed through insurance brokers, agents, direct to the consumer, and affinity groups. Direct to consumer is a growing distribution channel for Chartis in many locations outside of the United States.

CHARTIS CUSTOMERS

Chartis serves over 70 million business and individual customers on a global basis from the largest multinational corporations to local businesses and individuals. Chartis is dedicated to creating a platform that is easy and convenient for customers to access. Chartis' clients benefit from its substantial underwriting expertise and long-term commitment to the markets and clients it serves, as well as its tradition of product innovation. In 2011, Chartis introduced more than 170 products and services worldwide.

CHARTIS CAPITAL DEPLOYMENT

Chartis' scale and geographical diversification also allow the business to strategically deploy capital to pursue attractive long-term opportunities around the world. Chartis regularly reviews and adjusts its business mix with the goals of aligning risk profile with risk tolerance and meeting its capital management objectives. See Item 7. MD&A Capital Resources and Liquidity Overview Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries Chartis for a discussion of Chartis' capital maintenance agreements (CMAs).

Consistent with AIG's worldwide insurance investment policy, Chartis places primary emphasis on investments in fixed maturity securities issued by corporations, municipalities and other government agencies, and to a lesser extent, common stocks, private equity, hedge funds and other alternative investments.

SUNAMERICA OPERATIONS

SunAmerica offers a comprehensive suite of products and services to individuals and groups including term life, universal life, A&H, fixed and variable deferred annuities, fixed payout annuities, mutual funds and financial planning. SunAmerica offers its products and services through a diverse, multi-channel distribution network that includes banks, national, regional and independent broker-dealers, affiliated financial advisors, independent marketing organizations, independent and career insurance agents, structured settlement brokers, benefit consultants and direct-to-consumer platforms.

SunAmerica presents its business in two operating segments: *Domestic Life*, which focuses on mortality-and morbidity-based protection products, and *Domestic Retirement Services*, which focuses on investment, retirement savings and income solution products.

SUNAMERICA BUSINESS STRATEGY

SunAmerica's strategy is to increase sales of its products and services in a disciplined manner that drives consistent, profitable earnings growth and efficient use of capital. To do so, SunAmerica seeks to take advantage of the growing need for insurance solutions to help Americans achieve their protection, investment, retirement savings and retirement income goals. With its comprehensive platform of products and services offered through a diverse multi-channel distribution network, SunAmerica is well positioned to help a wide array of customers meet their goals. SunAmerica plans to expand its distribution network by adding more distribution firms, increasing the number of individual agents and financial advisors who sell its products and seeking to increase the productivity of those agents and advisors already selling its products, especially those in its affiliated group of career agents and financial advisors.

Table of Contents

The following chart presents SunAmerica sales by distribution channel:

Sales constitute life and group A&H premiums from new policies expected to be collected over a one-year period and 10 percent of life unscheduled deposits, single premiums and annuity deposits from new and existing customers.

The following is a summary of SunAmerica's diversified distribution network:

Affiliated	Non-affiliated
VALIC career financial advisors Over 1,300 financial advisors serving the worksites of educational, not-for-profit and governmental organizations	*Banks* Fixed annuities sold by nearly 600 banks and 69,000 financial institution agents
American General Life and Accident Insurance Company (AGLA) career agents Over 3,100 agents focused on broad middle market	*Independent marketing organizations* Relationships with over 1,700 independent marketing organizations and brokerage general agencies providing access to over 150,000 licensed independent agents
Advisor Group Over 4,600 independent financial advisors	*Broker-dealers* Access to over 120,000 licensed financial professionals
Matrix Direct A leading direct-to-consumer distributor of life and A&H products	

SunAmerica pursues a disciplined approach to pricing, product feature development, risk management, asset/liability management and expense control. SunAmerica works to enhance operational efficiencies and service levels through prudent investments in technology, leveraging resources and enhancing utilization of lower cost operations centers.

DOMESTIC LIFE

SunAmerica's Domestic Life operations are conducted through American General.

American General is a leading provider of individual term and universal life insurance solutions to middle-income and high-net-worth customers. Primary products include term, universal and whole life insurance, A&H, fixed and indexed deferred annuities, fixed payout annuities, private placement variable annuities, structured

settlements, terminal funding, corporate-owned life insurance, bank-owned life insurance and group benefits. American General distributes its products through AGLA, Matrix Direct and various independent marketing organizations, independent and career insurance agents, structured settlement brokers, benefit consultants and direct-to-consumer platforms.

In 2012, American General and Chartis combined their U.S. group benefits businesses under the name AIG Benefit Solutions. This business will continue to market a wide range of insurance and benefits products for employees, employers and affinity groups. In the near term, results of operations for the respective businesses will continue to be reported separately as part of American General and Chartis.

DOMESTIC RETIREMENT SERVICES

SunAmerica's Domestic Retirement Services operations consist of five business units:

VALIC is a leading provider of defined contribution retirement savings plans sponsored by education, not-for-profit and government organizations. Primary products include fixed and variable group annuities, and group mutual funds. VALIC also offers group administrative and compliance services, and individual annuity and mutual fund products. VALIC utilizes career and independent financial advisors to provide enrollment support and comprehensive financial planning services.

Western National is a leading provider of fixed deferred annuities to bank customers. Primary products include single and flexible premium deferred fixed annuities. Western National maintains its leading industry position in bank distribution through its collaborative product design process and efficient and flexible administration platform.

SARM is a leading provider of deferred variable annuities, which provide comprehensive retirement income solutions. Variable annuities provide market participation through a diverse menu of equity and fixed income portfolios, guaranteed death benefits and a suite of guaranteed retirement income solutions. SARM distributes products through banks and national, regional and independent broker-dealer firms.

Brokerage Services and Retail Mutual Funds includes the operations of SunAmerica Asset Management, which provides retail mutual funds and administration services for variable annuity funds sponsored by VALIC and SARM, and Advisor Group, which is one of the largest networks of independent financial advisors in the U.S.

Domestic Retirement Services also includes the operations of **SunAmerica Affordable Housing Partners**, runoff **GICs** and certain individual annuity portfolios.

The following charts present SunAmerica premiums and premiums, deposits and other considerations by line of business:

Premiums **Premiums, Deposits and Other Considerations**

Premiums represent premiums received on traditional life insurance policies and deposits on life contingent payout annuities. Premiums, deposits and other considerations is a non-GAAP measure which includes life insurance premiums as well as deposits on annuity contracts and mutual funds, but excludes policy fees.

Table of Contents

The following table presents a reconciliation of premiums, deposits and other considerations to premiums:

Year Ended December 31, *(in millions)*		**2011**
Premiums, deposits and other considerations	$	23,838
Deposits		(21,376)
Other		51
Premiums	$	2,513

AIRCRAFT LEASING

Aircraft Leasing operations include the results of ILFC (and, since the date of its acquisition by ILFC, AeroTurbine, as discussed below). ILFC, one of the world's leading aircraft lessors, acquires commercial jet aircraft from various manufacturers and other parties and leases those aircraft to airlines around the world. ILFC believes its scale, the breadth and mix of its aircraft portfolio and its long-standing relationships with a global customer base that includes the majority of the world's leading airlines allow it to lease aircraft under favorable terms and maximize their utilization.

As of December 31, 2011, ILFC managed a lease portfolio of over 1,000 aircraft, including an owned fleet of 930 aircraft with a net book value of approximately $35.5 billion. ILFC reported $4.5 billion in revenues for 2011. More than 94 percent of ILFC's lease revenue came from non-U.S. carriers, and its fleet continues to be in high demand from such carriers.

On September 2, 2011, ILFC Holdings, Inc., an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of AIG, which is intended to become a holding company for ILFC, filed a registration statement on Form S-1 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for a proposed initial public offering. The number of shares to be offered, price range and timing for any offering have not been determined. The timing of any offering will depend on market conditions and no assurance can be given regarding the terms of any offering or that an offering will be completed. On October 7, 2011, ILFC completed the acquisition of all the issued and outstanding shares of capital stock of AeroTurbine from AerCap, Inc. for an aggregate cash purchase price of $228 million. AeroTurbine is one of the world's largest providers of certified aircraft engines, aircraft and engine parts and supply chain solutions.

ILFC continues to execute on its strategy to manage its fleet of aircraft by ordering new aircraft with high customer demand and through potential sales or part-outs of its older aircraft which cannot be economically leased to customers.

OTHER OPERATIONS

AIG's Other operations include results from Mortgage Guaranty operations, Global Capital Markets operations, Direct Investment book, Retained Interests, Corporate & Other operations (after allocations to AIG's business segments), and in periods prior to 2011, those divested businesses not included in Discontinued operations.

MORTGAGE GUARANTY

UGC's main business is the issuance of residential mortgage guaranty insurance, both domestically and internationally, that covers mortgage lenders for the first loss for credit defaults on high loan-to-value conventional first-lien mortgages for the purchase or refinance of one- to four-family residences. UGC previously insured second-lien and private student loans, but ceased insuring new business in these products in 2008, although certain of the second-lien policies are subject to reinstatement.

GLOBAL CAPITAL MARKETS

Global Capital Markets consist of the operations of AIG Markets and the remaining derivatives portfolio of AIGFP. AIG Markets acts as the derivatives intermediary between AIG companies and third parties, and executes its derivative trades under International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. (ISDA) agreements. The

agreements with third parties typically require collateral postings. Many of AIG Markets' transactions with AIG and its subsidiaries also include collateral posting requirements. However, generally, no collateral is called under these contracts unless it is needed to satisfy posting requirements with third parties.

The active wind-down of the AIGFP derivatives portfolio was completed by the end of the second quarter of 2011. Although the remaining AIGFP derivatives portfolio may experience periodic fair value volatility, the portfolio consists predominantly of transactions AIG believes are of low complexity, low risk, supportive of AIG's risk management objectives or not economically appropriate to unwind based on a cost versus benefit analysis. AIGFP is entering into new derivative transactions only to hedge its current portfolio.

DIRECT INVESTMENT BOOK

The Direct Investment book includes results of the MIP, AIG's historical program to generate spread income from investments yielding returns greater than AIG's cost of funds, and certain non-derivative assets and liabilities of AIGFP. The MIP assets and liabilities and the AIGFP portfolio are currently managed on a collective program basis to limit the need for additional liquidity from AIG Parent. Direct Investment book operating results are significantly affected by performance in the credit, equity, interest rate and foreign exchange markets.

RETAINED INTERESTS

Retained Interests represents the fair value gains or losses on the AIA Group Limited (AIA) ordinary shares retained following the AIA initial public offering, the retained interest in Maiden Lane III LLC (ML III) and, prior to their sale in March 2011, the MetLife, Inc. (MetLife) securities that were received as consideration from the sale of American Life Insurance Company (ALICO).

CORPORATE & OTHER

AIG's Corporate & Other operations consist primarily of interest expense, intercompany interest income that is eliminated in consolidation, expenses of corporate staff not attributable to specific reportable segments (including restructuring costs), certain expenses related to internal controls and the financial and operating platforms, corporate initiatives, certain compensation plan expenses, corporate level net realized capital gains and losses, certain litigation-related charges and credits, the results of AIG's real estate investment operations and net gains and losses on sale of divested businesses and properties that did not meet the criteria for discontinued operations accounting treatment.

DIVESTED BUSINESSES

Divested businesses include the historical results of divested entities that did not meet the criteria for discontinued operations accounting treatment. Divested businesses include the historical results of AIA through October 29, 2010 and AIG's remaining consumer finance business, discussed below. In the third quarter of 2010, AIG completed an initial public offering of ordinary shares of AIA; upon completion of the initial public offering, AIG owned approximately 33 percent of the outstanding shares of AIA. Based on AIG's continuing involvement with AIA, as a result of its ownership of 33 percent of AIA's shares and board representation, AIA is not presented as a discontinued operation. Businesses divested in 2009 included Transatlantic Holdings, Inc. (Transatlantic), 21st Century Insurance Group; (including Agency Auto Division but excluding Chartis Private Client Group) (21st Century) and HSB Group, Inc. (HSB).

DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Discontinued operations include the results of ALICO, AIG Star Life Insurance Co., Ltd. (AIG Star), AIG Edison Life Insurance Company (AIG Edison), Nan Shan Life Insurance Company, Ltd. (Nan Shan) and American General Finance, Inc. (AGF). In the fourth quarter of 2010, AIG closed the sales of ALICO and AGF. On February 1, 2011 AIG closed the sale of AIG Star and AIG Edison and on August 18, 2011, AIG closed the sale of Nan Shan.

Table of Contents

Additionally, following the classification of AGF as a discontinued operation in the third quarter of 2010, AIG's remaining consumer finance business, which is primarily conducted through the AIG Federal Savings Bank and the Consumer Finance Group in Poland, is now reported in AIG's Other operations category as part of Corporate & Other.

See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on discontinued operations.

INSURANCE ACTIVITIES

LIABILITY FOR UNPAID CLAIMS AND CLAIMS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSE

Background

Insurance companies are required to establish a liability for the ultimate costs, including loss adjustment expenses, of claims that have been reported but not settled and estimates of claims that have been incurred but not reported (IBNR). Insurance companies are also required to recognize as assets the portion of such liability that will be recovered from reinsurers. Reserves are discounted for future expected investment income, where permitted, as disclosed in Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Because reserve estimates are subject to the outcome of future events, changes in estimates are unavoidable in the insurance industry. These changes in estimates are sometimes referred to as "loss development" or "reserve development".

Management reviews the adequacy of the established net liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense (net loss reserves) utilizing a number of analytical reserve development techniques. Through the use of these techniques, management monitors the adequacy of AIG's established reserves and determines appropriate assumptions for inflation and other factors influencing loss costs. Also, analysis of emerging specific development patterns, such as case reserve redundancies or deficiencies and IBNR emergence, or analysis of specific structural drivers of losses such as the historical versus expected future levels of medical cost trends, unemployment levels and other macroeconomics indicators, as well as the legislative framework and social attitudes that affected the propensity to file claims or the magnitude of court awards, allows management to determine any required adjustments. A significant portion of Chartis' business is in the commercial casualty class, which tends to involve longer periods of time for the reporting and settlement of claims and may increase the risk and uncertainty with respect to Chartis' loss reserve development.

Analysis of Consolidated Loss Reserve Development

To understand the changes in estimates, it is useful to put them in the context of cumulative reserve development experienced by AIG over a longer time frame. The first table that follows presents the development of net loss reserves for calendar years 2001 through 2011. The net liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expenses (Net Reserves Held) at the balance sheet date is shown on the first row of the table, net of discount. This liability represents the estimated amount of losses and loss adjustment expenses for claims arising in all years prior to the balance sheet date that were unpaid as of that balance sheet date, including estimates for incurred but not reported claims. The amount of loss reserve discount included in the net reserves at each date is shown immediately below the net reserves held. The undiscounted reserve at each date is equal to the sum of the discount and the net reserves held.

The upper portion of the table presents the re-estimation over the years of the original undiscounted reserves. This re-estimation takes into consideration a number of factors, including changes in the estimated frequency of reported claims, effects of significant judgments, the emergence of latent exposures, and changes in medical cost trends. For example, in the first table, the original undiscounted reserve of $27.4 billion at December 31, 2001 was re-estimated to $55.4 billion at December 31, 2011. The amount of the development related to losses settled or re-estimated in 2011, but incurred in 2008, is included in the cumulative development amount for years 2008, 2009, and 2010. Any increase or decrease in the estimate is reflected in operating results in the period in which the estimate is changed.

Table of Contents

The net redundancy (deficiency) depicted in the middle of the table presents the aggregate change in estimates over the period of years subsequent to the balance sheet date reflected at the top of the respective column heading. For example, in the first table, the net loss reserve deficiency of $28.0 billion for 2001 is the difference between the original undiscounted reserve of $27.4 billion at December 31, 2001 and the $55.4 billion of re-estimated reserves at December 31, 2011. The net redundancy (deficiency) amounts are cumulative; in other words, the amount shown for the 2010 balance sheet date includes the amount shown for the 2009 balance sheet date. Conditions and trends that have affected development of the liability in the past may not necessarily occur in the future. Accordingly, it generally is not appropriate to extrapolate future development based on this table.

The bottom portion of the table presents the cumulative amounts paid during successive years related to the undiscounted loss reserves. For example, AIG has paid a total of $45.6 billion of the $55.4 billion in re-estimated reserves for December 31, 2001 at December 31, 2011, resulting in remaining undiscounted reserves of $9.8 billion for 2001. Also included in this section are the remaining undiscounted and discounted net loss reserves for each year.

The following table presents for each calendar year the loss reserves and the development thereof including those with respect to asbestos and environmental claims.[a]

(in millions)	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Net Reserves Held[b]	$ 26,005	$ 29,347	$ 36,228	$ 47,253	$ 57,476	$ 62,630	$ 69,288	$ 72,455	$ 67,899	$ 71,507	**$ 70,825**
Discount (in Reserves Held)	1,423	1,499	1,516	1,553	2,110	2,264	2,429	2,574	2,655	3,217	**3,183**
Net Reserves Held (Undiscounted)	27,428	30,846	37,744	48,806	59,586	64,894	71,717	75,029	70,554	74,724	**$ 74,008**
Net undiscounted Reserve re-estimated as of:											
One year later	31,112	32,913	40,931	53,486	59,533	64,238	71,836	77,800	74,736	74,919	
Two years later	33,363	37,583	49,463	55,009	60,126	64,764	74,318	82,043	74,529		
Three years later	37,964	46,179	51,497	56,047	61,242	67,303	78,275	81,719			
Four years later	45,203	48,427	52,964	57,618	63,872	70,733	78,245				
Five years later	47,078	49,855	54,870	60,231	67,102	70,876					
Six years later	48,273	51,560	57,300	63,348	67,518						
Seven years later	49,803	53,917	60,283	63,928							

Eight years later	52,034	56,827	60,879							
Nine years later	54,847	57,410								
Ten years later	55,437									
Net Redundancy/ (Deficiency)	(28,009)	(26,564)	(23,135)	(15,122)	(7,932)	(5,982)	(6,528)	(6,690)	(3,975)	(195)
Paid (Cumulative) as of:										
One year later	11,007	10,775	12,163	14,910	15,326	14,862	16,531	24,267	15,919	17,661
Two years later	18,091	18,589	21,773	24,377	25,152	24,388	31,791	36,164	28,428	
Three years later	23,881	25,513	28,763	31,296	32,295	34,647	40,401	46,856		
Four years later	28,717	30,757	33,825	36,804	40,380	40,447	48,520			
Five years later	32,685	34,627	38,087	43,162	44,473	46,474				
Six years later	35,656	37,778	42,924	46,330	49,552					
Seven years later	38,116	41,493	45,215	50,462						
Eight years later	41,055	43,312	48,866							
Nine years later	42,591	46,622								
Ten years later	45,625									
Remaining Reserves (Undiscounted)	9,812	10,788	12,013	13,466	17,966	24,402	29,725	34,863	46,101	57,258
Remaining Discount	806	950	1,073	1,182	1,321	1,507	1,772	2,086	2,464	2,841
Remaining Reserves	$ 9,006	$ 9,838	$ 10,940	$ 12,284	$ 16,645	$ 22,895	$ 27,953	$ 32,777	$ 43,637	$ 54,417

Table of Contents

The following table presents the consolidated gross liability (before discount), reinsurance recoverable and net liability recorded for each calendar year, and the reestimation of these amounts as of December 31, 2011[(a)]:

(in millions)	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Net Liability, End of Year	$ 27,428	$ 30,846	$ 37,744	$ 48,806	$ 59,586	$ 64,894	$ 71,717	$ 75,029	$ 70,554	$ 74,724	**$ 74,008**
Reinsurance Recoverable, End of Year	15,201	17,327	15,644	14,624	19,693	17,369	16,212	16,803	17,487	19,644	**20,320**
Gross Liability, End of Year	42,629	48,173	53,388	63,430	79,279	82,263	87,929	91,832	88,041	94,368	**$ 94,328**
Re-estimated Net Liability	55,437	57,410	60,879	63,928	67,518	70,876	78,245	81,719	74,529	74,919	
Re-estimated Reinsurance Recoverable	25,783	25,630	23,205	21,329	24,271	20,835	19,444	18,808	19,163	19,473	
Re-estimated Gross Liability	81,220	83,040	84,084	85,257	91,789	91,711	97,689	100,527	93,692	94,392	
Cumulative Gross Redundancy/(Deficiency)	$ (38,591)	$ (34,867)	$ (30,696)	$ (21,827)	$ (12,510)	$ (9,448)	$ (9,760)	$ (8,695)	$ (5,651)	$ (24)	

(a) *During 2009, Transatlantic was deconsolidated and 21st Century and HSB were sold. The sales and deconsolidation are reflected in the table above as a reduction in December 31, 2009 net reserves of $9.7 billion and as an $8.6 billion increase in paid losses for the years 2000 through 2008 to remove the reserves for these divested entities from the ending balance.*

(b) *The increase in Net Reserves Held from 2009 to 2010 is partially attributable to the $1.7 billion in Net Reserves Held by Fuji, which was acquired in 2010. The decrease in 2011 is attributable to the cession of asbestos reserves described in Item 7. MD&A Results of Operations Segment Results Chartis Operations Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense Asbestos and Environmental Reserves.*

Table of Contents

Consolidated Loss Reserve Development Excluding Asbestos and Environmental Reserve Development

The following table presents for each calendar year the loss reserves and the development thereof excluding those with respect to asbestos and environmental claims for each calendar year.[(a)]

(in millions)	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Net Reserves Held[(b)]	$ 25,286	$ 28,651	$ 35,559	$ 45,742	$ 55,226	$ 60,451	$ 67,597	$ 71,062	$ 66,588	$ 69,157	**$ 70,169**
Discount (in Reserves Held)	1,423	1,499	1,516	1,553	2,110	2,264	2,429	2,574	2,655	3,055	**3,095**
Net Reserves Held (Undiscounted)	26,709	30,150	37,075	47,295	57,336	62,715	70,026	73,636	69,243	72,212	**73,264**
Undiscounted Liability as of:											
One year later	30,274	32,129	39,261	51,048	57,077	62,043	70,096	76,251	71,925	72,200	
Two years later	32,438	35,803	46,865	52,364	57,653	62,521	72,423	78,994	71,510		
Three years later	36,043	43,467	48,691	53,385	58,721	64,904	74,880	78,464			
Four years later	42,348	45,510	50,140	54,908	61,195	66,833	74,643				
Five years later	44,018	46,925	51,997	57,365	62,924	66,768					
Six years later	45,201	48,584	54,272	58,981	63,131						
Seven years later	46,685	50,786	55,753	59,350							
Eight years later	48,761	52,199	56,138								
Nine years later	50,077	52,570									
Ten years later	50,454										
Net Redundancy/(Deficiency)	(23,745)	(22,420)	(19,063)	(12,055)	(5,795)	(4,053)	(4,617)	(4,828)	(2,267)	12	

Paid (Cumulative) as of:										
One year later	10,861	10,632	11,999	14,718	15,047	14,356	16,183	24,028	15,618	15,686
Two years later	17,801	18,283	21,419	23,906	24,367	23,535	31,204	35,613	26,154	
Three years later	23,430	25,021	28,129	30,320	31,163	33,555	39,503	44,333		
Four years later	28,080	29,987	32,686	35,481	39,009	39,044	45,650			
Five years later	31,771	33,353	36,601	41,600	42,791	43,098				
Six years later	34,238	36,159	41,198	44,456	45,897					
Seven years later	36,353	39,637	43,178	46,616						
Eight years later	39,055	41,163	44,856							
Nine years later	40,299	42,502								
Ten years later	41,362									
Remaining Reserves (Undiscounted)	9,092	10,068	11,282	12,734	17,234	23,670	28,993	34,131	45,356	56,514
Remaining Discount	644	788	911	1,020	1,159	1,344	1,610	1,924	2,302	2,753
Remaining Reserves	$ 8,448	$ 9,280	$ 10,371	$ 11,714	$ 16,075	$ 22,326	$ 27,383	$ 32,207	$ 43,054	$ 53,761

Table of Contents

The following table presents the gross liability excluding amounts for asbestos and environmental claims (before discount), reinsurance recoverable and net liability for each calendar year and the reestimation of these amounts as of December 31, 2011[(a)]:

(in millions)	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Net Liability, End of Year	$ 26,709	$ 30,149	$ 37,075	$ 47,295	$ 57,336	$ 62,715	$ 70,026	$ 73,636	$ 69,243	$ 72,212	**$ 73,264**
Reinsurance Recoverable, End of Year	13,691	15,887	14,288	12,495	16,472	14,396	13,525	14,337	15,224	16,004	**15,438**
Gross Liability, End of Year	40,400	46,036	51,363	59,790	73,808	77,111	83,551	87,973	84,467	88,216	**$ 88,702**
Re-estimated Net Liability	50,454	52,570	56,138	59,350	63,131	66,768	74,643	78,464	71,510	72,200	
Re-estimated Reinsurance Recoverable	19,314	19,361	17,220	15,472	18,646	15,589	14,572	14,337	15,224	16,004	
Re-estimated Gross Liability	69,768	71,931	73,358	74,822	81,777	82,357	89,215	92,801	86,734	88,204	
Cumulative Gross Redundancy/(Deficiency)	$ (29,368)	$ (25,895)	$ (21,995)	$ (15,032)	$ (7,969)	$ (5,246)	$ (5,664)	$ (4,828)	$ (2,267)	$ 12	

(a) *During 2009, Transatlantic was deconsolidated and 21st Century and HSB were sold. The sales and deconsolidation are reflected in the table above as a reduction in December 31, 2009 net reserves of $9.6 billion and as an $8.6 billion increase in paid losses for the years 2000 through 2008 to remove the reserves for these divested entities from the ending balance.*

(b) *The increase in Net Reserves Held from 2009 to 2010 is partially attributable to the $1.7 billion in Net Reserves Held by Fuji, which was acquired in 2010.*

The Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense as reported in AIG's Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2011 differs from the total reserve reported in the annual statements filed with state insurance departments and, where applicable, with foreign regulatory authorities. The differences at December 31, 2011 relate primarily to reserves for certain foreign operations not required or permitted to be reported in the United States for statutory reporting purposes, including contingency reserves for catastrophic events. Further, statutory practices in the United States require reserves to be shown net of applicable reinsurance recoverables. In addition, unlike statutory financial statements, AIG's Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense reported on its Consolidated Balance Sheet and the amounts in the tables above exclude the effect of intercompany transactions.

Gross loss reserves are calculated without reduction for reinsurance recoverables and represent the accumulation of estimates for reported losses and IBNR. Management reviews the adequacy of established gross loss reserves in the manner previously described for net loss reserves.

Additional information related to reserve development is included in Item 7. MD&A Results of Operations Segment Results Chartis Operations Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense. A sensitivity analysis of loss reserves held at December 31, 2011, is included in Item 7. MD&A Critical Accounting Estimates Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense.

REINSURANCE ACTIVITIES

AIG subsidiaries operate worldwide primarily by underwriting and accepting risks for their direct account on a gross line basis and subsequently reinsuring on either an individual risk or an aggregate basis to the extent those risks exceed the desired retention level.

For a further discussion of reinsurance, see Item 1A. Risk Factors Reinsurance; and Item 7. MD&A Enterprise Risk Management Business Unit Risk Management Reinsurance.

Table of Contents

INSURANCE INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES

A significant portion of the revenues of Chartis and SunAmerica operations is derived from AIG's insurance investment activities. As insurance companies, Chartis and SunAmerica generally receive premiums and deposits well in advance of paying covered claims or benefits. In the intervening periods, these premiums and deposits are invested to generate net investment income and fee income that is available to pay claims or benefits.

AIG's worldwide insurance investment policy places primary emphasis on investments in fixed income securities of corporations, municipal bonds and government issuances in all of its portfolios, and, to a lesser extent, investments in high-yield bonds, common stocks, real estate, hedge funds and other alternative investments.

The majority of assets backing insurance liabilities at AIG consist of intermediate and long duration fixed maturity securities.

In the case of SunAmerica, the fundamental investment strategy is, as nearly as is practicable, to match the duration characteristics of the liabilities with assets of comparable duration. SunAmerica also invests in a diversified portfolio of private equity funds, hedge funds and affordable housing partnerships. Although subject to periodic volatility, these investments, to date, have achieved yields in excess of SunAmerica's base portfolio yield. SunAmerica's expectation is that these alternative investments will continue to outperform the base portfolio yield over the long-term.

Fixed maturity securities held by the insurance companies included in Chartis domestic operations historically have consisted primarily of laddered holdings of tax-exempt municipal bonds, which provided attractive after-tax returns and limited credit risk. In order to meet the Chartis domestic operations' current risk/return and tax objectives, the domestic property and casualty companies have begun to shift investment allocations away from tax-exempt municipal bonds towards taxable instruments meeting the companies' liquidity, duration and quality objectives as well as current risk-return and tax objectives. Fixed maturity securities held by Chartis operations internationally consist primarily of intermediate duration high-grade securities.

See Item 7. MD&A Investments Investment Strategies for discussion of AIG's investment strategy.

The following table summarizes the investment results of AIG's insurance operations, excluding the results of discontinued operations:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**Annual Average Investments**[(a)]	**Net Investment Income**	**Pre-tax Return on Average Investments**[(b)]
Chartis:			
2011	**$ 113,405**	**$ 4,348**	**3.8%**
2010	100,583	4,392	4.4
2009	89,236	3,292	3.7
SunAmerica:			
2011	**$ 172,846**	**$ 9,882**	**5.7%**
2010	154,167	10,768	7.0
2009	148,202	9,553	6.4

(a) *Includes real estate investments and excludes cash and short-term investments.*

(b) *Net investment income divided by the annual average investments.*

Table of Contents

LOCATIONS OF CERTAIN ASSETS

As of December 31, 2011, approximately 14 percent of the consolidated assets of AIG were located outside the U.S. and Canada, including $188 million of cash and securities on deposit with regulatory authorities in those locations. Operations outside the U.S. and Canada and assets held abroad may be adversely affected by political developments in foreign countries, including tax changes, nationalization and changes in regulatory policy, as well as by consequence of hostilities and unrest. The risks of such occurrences and their overall effect upon AIG vary from country to country and cannot easily be predicted. If expropriation or nationalization does occur, AIG's policy is to take all appropriate measures to seek recovery of any affected assets. Certain of the countries in which AIG's business is conducted have currency restrictions that generally cause a delay in a company's ability to repatriate assets and profits. See also Item 1A. Risk Factors Foreign Operations and Notes 2 and 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

REGULATION

AIG's operations around the world are subject to regulation by many different types of regulatory authorities, including insurance, securities, investment advisory, banking and thrift regulators in the United States and abroad.

SUPERVISORY COORDINATOR

In 1999, AIG became a unitary savings and loan holding company within the meaning of the Home Owners' Loan Act (HOLA) when the U.S. Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) granted AIG approval to organize AIG Federal Savings Bank. Until March 2010, AIG was subject to OTS regulation, examination, supervision and reporting requirements.

Under prior law, a unitary savings and loan holding company, such as AIG, was not restricted as to the types of business in which it could engage, provided that its savings association subsidiary continued to be a qualified thrift lender. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 (GLBA) provides that no company may acquire control of an OTS-regulated institution after May 4, 1999 unless it engages only in the financial activities permitted for financial holding companies under the law or for multiple savings and loan holding companies. The GLBA, however, grandfathered the unrestricted authority for activities with respect to a unitary savings and loan holding company existing prior to May 4, 1999, so long as its savings association subsidiary continues to be a qualified thrift lender under HOLA. As a unitary savings and loan holding company whose application was pending as of May 4, 1999, AIG is grandfathered under GLBA and generally is not restricted under existing laws as to the types of business activities in which it may engage, provided that AIG Federal Savings Bank continues to be a qualified thrift lender under HOLA.

Directive 2002/87/EC (the Directive) issued by the European Parliament provides that certain financial conglomerates with regulated entities in the European Union, such as AIG, are subject to supplementary supervision. Pursuant to the Directive, the Commission Bancaire, the French banking regulator, was appointed as AIG's supervisory coordinator. From February 2007 until March 2010, with the approval of the Commission Bancaire, the OTS acted as AIG's equivalent supervisor, as permitted by the Directive in circumstances in which a financial conglomerate organized outside the European Union, such as AIG, has proposed to have one of its existing regulators recognized as its coordinator and such regulator's supervision is determined to be equivalent to that required by the Directive. Since March 2010, AIG has been in discussions with, and has provided information to, the Autorité de Contrôle Prudentiel (formerly, the Commission Bancaire) and the UK Financial Services Authority regarding the possibility of proposing another of AIG's existing regulators as its equivalent supervisor.

DODD-FRANK WALL STREET REFORM AND CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank) was signed into law. Dodd-Frank effects comprehensive changes to the regulation of financial services in the United States and will subject AIG to substantial additional federal regulation. Dodd-Frank is intended to enhance the safety and soundness of U.S. financial institutions and increase public confidence in them. Dodd-Frank directs existing and newly-created government agencies and oversight bodies to promulgate regulations implementing the law, an

ongoing process that has begun and is anticipated to continue over the next few years. While a number of regulations have been adopted, other regulations have only been proposed or have yet to be proposed. Therefore, AIG cannot predict with certainty the requirements of the regulations ultimately adopted or how or whether Dodd-Frank and such regulations will affect the financial markets generally; impact AIG's businesses, results of operations, cash flows or financial condition; or require AIG to raise additional capital or result in a downgrade of AIG's credit ratings.

On January 5, 2012, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the FRB) published for public comment a notice of proposed rulemaking implementing the enhanced prudential standards and early remediation requirements that will apply to non-bank systemically important financial institutions (SIFIs). If those rules are adopted in the form proposed and AIG is designated as a non-bank SIFI, AIG would be required, among other things,

- to comply with FRB regulations relating to capital plans and stress tests and to calculate AIG's minimum risk-based and leverage capital requirements, each as if it were a bank holding company;

- to maintain a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of four percent, a total risk-based capital ratio of eight percent and a Tier 1 leverage ratio of four percent;

- to maintain a ratio of Tier 1 common equity to risk weighted assets of five percent under both expected and stressed conditions in order to be able to engage in capital distributions;

- to comply with additional liquidity-related requirements, such as to produce comprehensive cash flow projections, to regularly stress test cash flow projections, to maintain a liquidity buffer of highly liquid assets that are unencumbered, to establish and maintain a contingency funding plan for liquidity stress events, and to establish or maintain limits on potential sources of liquidity risk;

- not to have aggregate net credit exposure to any single unaffiliated counterparty that exceeds 25 percent of AIG's consolidated capital stock and surplus, or 10 percent if the counterparty has $500 billion or more in total consolidated assets;

- to be subject to an annual stress test conducted by the FRB and annual and semi-annual self-administered stress tests;

- to be subject to early remediation actions upon occurrence of trigger events (such as failure to maintain the capital that is commensurate with the level and nature of the risks to which AIG is exposed, or non-compliance with FRB's stress test), which early remediation actions could vary from heightened supervisory review by the FRB to an FRB-recommended resolution of AIG, based on the seriousness of the trigger events;

- to maintain a debt-to-equity ratio, measured by "total liabilities" and "total equity capital", of no more than 15-to-1 upon a determination by the Financial Stability Oversight Council (the Council) that (i) the company poses a grave threat to the financial stability of the United States and (ii) the imposition of such requirement is necessary to mitigate the risk that such company poses to the financial stability of the United States; and

- to comply with certain corporate governance requirements, such as additional responsibilities of the board of directors and the creation of a separate risk committee of the board of directors.

Dodd-Frank's potential impact on AIG also includes the following:

The new legislation provides two scenarios in which the Board of Governors of the FRB could become AIG's regulator: (1) if AIG is recognized as a "savings and loan holding company" as defined by the HOLA and/or (2) if Council designates AIG as a SIFI.

If AIG becomes subject, as a savings and loan holding company, to the examination, enforcement and supervisory authority of the FRB, the FRB would be required to impose minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements on AIG and its subsidiaries. AIG cannot predict what capital regulations the FRB would promulgate under these authorizations, either generally or as applicable to insurance businesses, nor

Table of Contents

can AIG predict how the FRB would exercise general supervisory authority over AIG. AIG expects, however, that when the Department of the Treasury ceases to own at least 50 percent of the outstanding shares of AIG Common Stock, AIG will become regulated by the FRB as a savings and loan holding company.

If AIG is designated as a SIFI the FRB could (i) limit AIG's ability to merge with, acquire, consolidate with, or become affiliated with another company, to offer specified financial products or to terminate specified activities; (ii) impose conditions on how we conduct our activities or (iii) with approval of the Council, and a determination that the foregoing actions are inadequate to mitigate a threat to U.S. financial stability, require AIG to sell or otherwise transfer assets or off-balance-sheet items to unaffiliated entities.

In either scenario, AIG would become subject to stress tests to determine whether, on a consolidated basis, AIG has the capital necessary to absorb losses due to adverse economic conditions.

The Council may recommend that state insurance regulators or other regulators apply new or heightened standards and safeguards for activities or practices that AIG and other insurers or other financial services companies engage in.

In October 2011, federal regulators issued a proposed rule implementing certain provisions in Dodd-Frank referred to as the "Volcker Rule". Under the proposed rule, if AIG continues to control AIG Federal Savings Bank, AIG and its affiliates would be considered banking entities and would become subject to the provisions of Dodd-Frank prohibiting, subject to the rule's exceptions, "proprietary trading" and the sponsorship of, or investment in, hedge, private equity or similar funds and the provision of guarantees related to such activities. Even if AIG no longer controlled an insured depository institution, AIG might still be subject to additional capital and quantitative limitations under the Volcker Rule. The Volcker Rule, as proposed, contains an exemption for proprietary trading by insurance companies for their general account, but the final breadth and scope of this exemption is uncertain.

Title II of Dodd-Frank provides that a financial company whose largest United States subsidiary is an insurer may be subject to a special liquidation process outside the federal bankruptcy code. That process is to be administered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the FDIC) upon a coordinated determination by the Secretary of the Treasury, the director of the Federal Insurance Office and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, in consultation with the FDIC, that such a financial company is in default or in danger of default and presents a systemic risk to U.S. financial stability. AIG is a financial company and its largest U.S. subsidiary is an insurer.

Dodd-Frank establishes a new framework for regulation of the over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives markets and certain market participants that could affect various activities of AIG and its insurance subsidiaries, as well as Global Capital Markets. These regulations could impose margin or collateral requirements on derivative transactions entered into by AIG prior to the passage of Dodd-Frank or intercompany derivative transactions between AIG and one or more of its affiliates or between affiliates. Any such margin or collateral requirements could adversely affect AIG's liquidity and credit ratings. The Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) and SEC have published proposed rules governing major swap participants and major security-based swap participants. If AIG or one or more of its subsidiaries meet the tests finally adopted by the CFTC or SEC, AIG or one or more of its subsidiaries may become subject to derivative transaction clearing, execution and reporting requirements, capital and margin requirements and business conduct rules.

Dodd-Frank mandated a study to determine whether stable value contracts should be included in the definition of "swap." If that study concludes that stable value contracts are swaps, Dodd-Frank authorizes certain federal regulators to determine whether an exemption from the definition of a swap is appropriate and in the public interest. Certain affiliates of AIG are in or may participate in the stable value contract business. AIG cannot predict what regulations might emanate from the aforementioned study or be promulgated applicable to this business in the future.

Dodd-Frank established a Federal Insurance Office (FIO) within the Department of the Treasury headed by a director appointed by the Secretary of the Treasury. While not having a general supervisory or regulatory

Table of Contents

authority over the business of insurance, the director of this office performs various functions with respect to insurance (other than health insurance), including serving as a non-voting member of the Council and participating in the Council's decisions regarding insurers, potentially including AIG, to be designated as a SIFI. The director is also required to conduct a study on how to modernize and improve the system of insurance regulation in the United States, including by increased national uniformity through either a federal charter or effective action by the states. The FIO may also recommend enhanced regulations to state insurance regulatory bodies.

Dodd-Frank authorizes the FRB to require a savings and loan holding company or a SIFI to place its financial activities in an intermediate holding company separate from non-financial activities (as defined for purposes of the Bank Holding Company Act) and imposes restrictions on transactions between the two businesses, which could be burdensome and costly to implement.

Dodd-Frank established the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) as an independent agency within the FRB to regulate consumer financial products and services offered primarily for personal, family or household purposes. Insurance products and services are not within the CFPB's general jurisdiction, though the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development has since transferred authority to the CFPB to investigate mortgage insurance practices. Broker-dealers and investment advisers are not subject to the CFPB's jurisdiction when acting in their registered capacity.

Title XIV of Dodd-Frank also restricts certain terms for mortgage loans, such as loan fees, prepayment fees and other charges, and imposes certain duties on a lender to ensure that a borrower can afford to repay the loan.

Dodd-Frank seeks to increase efficiency, reduce transaction costs and improve consumer access in the nonadmitted property and casualty insurance market (excess and surplus lines). AIG expects that these measures will make certain of Chartis' operations within the U.S. more streamlined and efficient, although they could lead to greater competition in these markets.

Dodd-Frank includes various securities law reforms that may affect AIG's business practices and the liabilities and/or exposures associated therewith, including:

The SEC completed a staff report on registered broker-dealers who provide personalized investment advice to retail investors, such as certain of SunAmerica's operations. The staff report recommended to Congress a uniform fiduciary standard of conduct for broker-dealers and investment advisers. The SEC may also require broker-dealers selling proprietary or a limited range of products to make certain disclosures and obtain customer consents or acknowledgements.

The SEC and other regulators proposed regulations requiring the originator of certain asset-backed securities to retain at least five percent of the credit risk of securities sold, which, if adopted, may apply to activities of subsidiaries of AIG as part of their funding activities in the future.

Dodd-Frank imposes various assessments on financial companies, including, as applicable to AIG, ex-post assessments to provide funds necessary to repay any borrowing and to cover the costs of any special resolution of a financial company conducted under Title II (although the regulatory authority would have to take account of the amounts paid by AIG into state guaranty funds). AIG cannot predict the potential effects the new legislation will have on its organizational structure, financial condition or results of operations. However, it is possible that such effect could be materially adverse. See Item 1A. Risk Factors Regulation for additional information.

In addition to the adoption of Dodd-Frank in the United States, regulators and lawmakers around the world are actively reviewing the causes of the financial crisis and taking steps to avoid similar problems in the future. The Financial Stability Board (FSB), consisting of representatives of national financial authorities of the G20 nations, has issued a series of frameworks and recommendations intended to produce significant changes in how financial companies, particularly systematically important financial institutions, should be regulated. These frameworks and recommendations address such issues as financial group supervision, capital and solvency standards, systemic economic risk, corporate governance including compensation, and a host of related issues associated with responses to the financial crisis. The FSB has directed the International Association of Insurance Supervisors (the

IAIS, headquartered in Basel, Switzerland) to create standards relative to these areas and incorporate them within that body's Insurance Core Principles. IAIS Insurance Core Principles form the baseline threshold for how countries' financial services regulatory efforts are measured relative to the insurance sector. That measurement is made by periodic Financial Sector Assessment Program (FSAP) reviews conducted by the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund and the reports thereon spur the development of country-specific additional or amended regulatory changes. Lawmakers and regulatory authorities in a number of jurisdictions in which AIG's subsidiaries conduct business have already begun implementing legislative and regulatory changes consistent with these recommendations, including proposals governing consolidated regulation of insurance holdings companies by the Financial Services Agency in Japan, financial and banking regulation adopted in France and compensation regulations proposed or adopted by the financial regulators in Germany and the United Kingdom Financial Services Authority.

AIG cannot predict whether these actions will become effective or the effect they may have on the financial markets or on AIG's business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and credit ratings.

OTHER REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS

AIG's operations are subject to regulatory supervision and the possibility of intervention. In light of AIG's liquidity problems beginning in the third quarter of 2008, AIG and its regulated subsidiaries have been subject to intense review and supervision around the world. Regulators have taken significant steps to protect the businesses of the entities they regulate. These steps have included:

- restricting or prohibiting the payment of dividends to AIG Parent and its subsidiaries;
- restricting or prohibiting other payments to AIG Parent and its subsidiaries;
- requesting additional capital contributions from AIG Parent;
- requesting that intercompany reinsurance reserves be covered by assets locally;
- restricting the business in which the subsidiaries may engage;
- requiring pre-approval of all proposed transactions between the regulated subsidiaries and AIG Parent or any affiliate; and
- requiring more frequent reporting, including with respect to capital and liquidity positions.

Many of these prohibitions and restrictions have been relaxed. However, AIG continues to be subject to heightened regulatory scrutiny.

Legislation in the European Union could also affect AIG's international insurance operations. The Solvency II Directive (2009/138/EEC), which was adopted on November 25, 2009 and is expected to become effective in January 2014 (Solvency II), reforms the insurance industry's solvency framework, including minimum capital and solvency requirements, governance requirements, risk management and public reporting standards. The impact on AIG will depend on whether the U.S. insurance regulatory regime is deemed "equivalent" to Solvency II; if the U.S. insurance regulatory regime is not equivalent, then AIG as a group could be required to be supervised under Solvency II standards. Whether the U.S. insurance regulatory regime will be deemed "equivalent" is still under consideration by European authorities and remains uncertain, so AIG is not currently able to predict the impact of Solvency II.

AIG expects that the regulations applicable to it and its regulated entities will continue to evolve for the foreseeable future.

REGULATION OF INSURANCE SUBSIDIARIES

Certain states require registration and periodic reporting by insurance companies that are licensed in such states and are controlled by other corporations. Applicable legislation typically requires periodic disclosure concerning the corporation that controls the registered insurer and the other companies in the holding company system and prior approval of intercorporate services and transfers of assets, including in some instances payment of dividends

by the insurance subsidiary, within the holding company system. AIG's subsidiaries are registered under such legislation in those states that have such requirements.

AIG's insurance subsidiaries, in common with other insurers, are subject to regulation and supervision by the states and by other jurisdictions in which they do business. Within the United States, the method of such regulation varies but generally has its source in statutes that delegate regulatory and supervisory powers to an insurance official. The regulation and supervision relate primarily to the financial condition of the insurers and their corporate conduct and market conduct activities. This includes approval of policy forms and rates, the standards of solvency that must be met and maintained, including with respect to risk-based capital, the licensing of insurers and their agents, the nature of and limitations on investments, restrictions on the size of risks that may be insured under a single policy, deposits of securities for the benefit of policyholders, requirements for acceptability of reinsurers, periodic examinations of the affairs of insurance companies, the form and content of reports of financial condition required to be filed and reserves for unearned premiums, losses and other purposes. In general, such regulation is for the protection of policyholders rather than the equity owners of these companies.

AIG has taken various steps to enhance the capital positions of the domestic Chartis and SunAmerica companies. AIG entered into capital maintenance agreements with these companies that set forth procedures through which AIG has provided, and expects to continue to provide, capital support. Also, in order to allow the domestic Chartis and SunAmerica companies to record as an admitted asset at December 31, 2011 certain reinsurance ceded to reinsurers, which has the effect of maintaining the level of the statutory surplus of such companies, AIG obtained and entered into reimbursement agreements for approximately $1.45 billion and $800 million of letters of credit issued by several commercial banks in favor of certain Chartis and SunAmerica companies, respectively.

In the U.S., the Risk-Based Capital (RBC) formula is designed to measure the adequacy of an insurer's statutory surplus in relation to the risks inherent in its business. The RBC Model Law, which allows states to act upon the results of RBC calculations, provides for four incremental levels of regulatory action regarding insurers whose RBC calculations fall below specific thresholds. Those levels of action range from the requirement to submit a plan describing how an insurer would regain a calculated RBC ratio above the respective threshold through a mandatory regulatory takeover of the company. The action thresholds are based on RBC levels that are calculated so that a company, subject to such actions, is solvent but its future solvency is in doubt without some type of corrective action. The RBC formula computes a risk-adjusted surplus level by applying discrete factors to various asset, premium and reserve items. These factors are developed to be risk-sensitive so that higher factors are applied to items exposed to greater risk.

The statutory surplus of each of AIG's U.S.-based life and property and casualty insurance subsidiaries exceeded RBC minimum required levels as of December 31, 2011.

To the extent that any of AIG's insurance entities would fall below prescribed levels of statutory surplus, it would be AIG's intention to provide appropriate capital or other types of support to that entity, under formal support agreements or CMAs or otherwise. For additional details regarding CMAs that AIG has entered into with its insurance subsidiaries, see Item 7. MD&A Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries Chartis, and Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries.

There are a number of proposals to amend state insurance laws and regulations in ways that could affect AIG and its subsidiaries. The National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) has recently adopted or amended model laws on holding company regulation that would provide for supervision of insurers at the corporate group level. Although these changes are only beginning to be adopted by individual state regulators, it can be expected that most will ultimately adopt them in some form. The various proposals to implement group supervision include:

- uniform standards for insurer corporate governance;
- group-wide supervision of insurance holding companies;
- adjustments to RBC calculations to account for group-wide risks; and
- additional regulatory and disclosure requirements for insurance holding companies.

Table of Contents

Additionally, the NAIC has undertaken the Solvency Modernization Initiative (SMI) which focuses on a review of insurance solvency regulations throughout the U.S. financial regulatory system and will lead to a set of long-term solvency modernization goals. SMI is broad in scope, but the NAIC has stated that its focus will include the U.S. solvency framework, group solvency issues, capital requirements, international accounting and regulatory standards, reinsurance and corporate governance.

AIG cannot predict the potential effect that any new regulations would have on AIG's insurance subsidiaries or on AIG's business, results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

REGULATION OF DOMESTIC SUBSIDIARIES IN FOREIGN JURISDICTIONS

A substantial portion of Chartis' business is conducted in foreign countries. The degree of regulation and supervision in foreign jurisdictions varies. Generally, AIG, as well as the underwriting companies operating in such jurisdictions, must satisfy local regulatory requirements. Licenses issued by foreign authorities to AIG subsidiaries are subject to modification or revocation by such authorities, and these subsidiaries could be prevented from conducting business in certain of the jurisdictions where they currently operate.

In addition to licensing requirements, AIG's foreign operations are also regulated in various jurisdictions with respect to currency, policy language and terms, advertising, amount and type of security deposits, amount and type of reserves, amount and type of capital to be held, amount and type of local investment and the share of profits to be returned to policyholders on participating policies. Some foreign countries regulate rates on various types of policies. Certain countries have established reinsurance institutions, wholly or partially owned by the local government, to which admitted insurers are obligated to cede a portion of their business on terms that may not always allow foreign insurers, including AIG subsidiaries, full compensation. In some countries, regulations governing constitution of technical reserves and remittance balances may hinder remittance of profits and repatriation of assets.

See Item 7. MD&A Capital Resources and Liquidity Regulation and Supervision and Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

COMPETITION

AIG's businesses operate in highly competitive environments, both domestically and overseas. Principal sources of competition are insurance companies, banks, investment banks and other non-bank financial institutions. AIG considers its principal competitors to be other large multi-national insurance organizations.

The insurance industry in particular is highly competitive. Within the United States, Chartis subsidiaries compete with approximately 3,200 other stock companies, specialty insurance organizations, mutual companies and other underwriting organizations. SunAmerica subsidiaries compete in the United States with approximately 2,000 life insurance companies and other participants in related financial services fields. Overseas, AIG's subsidiaries compete for business with the foreign insurance operations of large U.S. insurers and with global insurance groups and local companies in particular areas in which they are active.

As a result of the reduction of the credit ratings of AIG and its subsidiaries, AIG's businesses have faced and continue to face intense competition to retain existing customers and to maintain business with existing customers and counterparties at historical levels. General insurance and life insurance companies compete through a combination of risk acceptance criteria, product pricing, and terms and conditions. Retirement services companies compete through crediting rates and the issuance of guaranteed benefits.

For a further discussion of the risks relating to retaining existing customers, soliciting new customers and retaining key employees, see Item 1A. Risk Factors Competition.

OTHER INFORMATION ABOUT AIG

At December 31, 2011, AIG and its subsidiaries had approximately 57,000 employees.

Table of Contents

AIG's internet address for its corporate website is *www.aig.com.* AIG makes available free of charge, through the Investor Information section of AIG's corporate website, Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and Proxy Statements on Schedule 14A and amendments to those reports or statements filed or furnished pursuant to Sections 13(a), 14(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), as soon as reasonably practicable after such materials are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC. AIG also makes available on its corporate website copies of the charters for its Audit, Nominating and Corporate Governance, Compensation and Management Resources, Finance and Risk Management, and Regulatory, Compliance and Public Policy Committees, as well as its Corporate Governance Guidelines (which include Director Independence Standards), Director, Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officer Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Employee Code of Conduct and Related-Party Transactions Approval Policy. Except for the documents specifically incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K, information contained on AIG's website or that can be accessed through its website is not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS OF AIG

Information concerning the directors and executive officers of AIG as of February 23, 2012 is set forth below.

Name	Title	Age	Served as Director or Officer Since
Robert H. Benmosche	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer	66	2009
W. Don Cornwell	Director	64	2011
John H. Fitzpatrick	Director	55	2011
Laurette T. Koellner	Director	57	2009
Donald H. Layton	Director	61	2010
Christopher S. Lynch	Director	54	2009
Arthur C. Martinez	Director	72	2009
George L. Miles, Jr.	Director	70	2005
Henry S. Miller	Director	66	2010
Robert S. Miller	Chairman	70	2009
Suzanne Nora Johnson	Director	54	2008
Morris W. Offit	Director	75	2005
Ronald A. Rittenmeyer	Director	64	2010
Douglas M. Steenland	Director	60	2009
William N. Dooley	Executive Vice President Investments and Financial Services	58	1992
Peter D. Hancock	Executive Vice President General Insurance	53	2010
David L. Herzog	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	52	2005
Thomas A. Russo	Executive Vice President Legal, Compliance, Regulatory Affairs, Government Affairs and General Counsel	68	2010
Brian T. Schreiber	Executive Vice President and Treasurer	46	2002
Jay S. Wintrob	Executive Vice President Domestic Life and Retirement Services	54	1999
Michael R. Cowan	Senior Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer	58	2011
Jeffrey J. Hurd	Senior Vice President Human Resources and Communications	45	2010
Sid Sankaran	Senior Vice President and Chief Risk Officer	34	2010
Charles S. Shamieh	Senior Vice President Chief Corporate Actuary	45	2011

All directors of AIG are elected for one-year terms at the annual meeting of shareholders.

All executive officers are elected to one-year terms, but serve at the pleasure of the Board of Directors. Except as hereinafter noted, each of the executive officers has, for more than five years, occupied an executive position with AIG or companies that are now its subsidiaries. There are no arrangements or understandings between any executive officer and any other person pursuant to which the executive officer was elected to such position.

Robert Benmosche joined AIG as Chief Executive Officer in August 2009. Prior to joining AIG, Mr. Benmosche served as a member of the Board of Directors of Credit Suisse Group since 2002. In addition,

Mr. Benmosche was the former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of MetLife, a leading provider of insurance and other financial services from 1998 until 2006.

Michael R. Cowan joined AIG as Senior Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer in January 2010. Prior to joining AIG, he was at Merrill Lynch where he had served as Senior Vice President, Global Corporate Services, since 1998. Mr. Cowan began his career at Merrill Lynch in 1986 as a Financial Manager and later served as Chief Administrative Officer for Europe, the Middle East and Africa. He was also Chief Financial Officer and a member of the Executive Management Committee for the Global Private Client business, including Merrill Lynch Asset Management.

Thomas Russo joined AIG as Executive Vice President Legal, Compliance, Regulatory Affairs and Government Affairs and General Counsel in February 2010. Prior to joining AIG, Mr. Russo was with the law firm of Patton Boggs, LLP, where he served as Senior Counsel. Prior to that, he was a Vice Chairman of Lehman Brothers Inc. and Chief Legal Officer of Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. Before joining Lehman Brothers in 1993, he was a partner at the law firm of Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft and a member of its Management Committee.

Peter Hancock joined AIG in February 2010 as Executive Vice President of Finance and Risk. Prior to joining AIG, Mr. Hancock served as Vice Chairman of KeyCorp, responsible for Key National Banking. Prior to KeyCorp, he served as Managing Director of Trinsum Group, Inc. Prior to that position, Mr. Hancock was at JP Morgan for 20 years, eventually serving as head of its fixed income division and ultimately Chief Financial Officer.

Sid Sankaran joined AIG in December 2010 as Senior Vice President and Chief Risk Officer. Prior to that, he was a partner in the Finance and Risk practice of Oliver Wyman Financial Services and served as Canadian Market Manager since 2006.

Charles S. Shamieh joined AIG in 2007 as Executive Director of Enterprise Risk Management. In January 2011, Mr. Shamieh was elected to his current position of Senior Vice President and Corporate Chief Actuary. Prior to joining AIG, Mr. Shamieh was Group Chief Risk Officer for Munich Re Group and a Member of the Group Committee of Munich Re's Board of Management since 2006.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

We were significantly and adversely affected by the market turmoil in late 2008 and early 2009. In addition, we continued to experience a challenging business environment, as well as volatile market conditions, throughout 2011. As a result, our businesses, consolidated results of operations, financial conditions and liquidity are subject to significant risks, as discussed below. This challenging environment and volatile market conditions may continue in 2012.

The risks described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks that are not currently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial may also adversely affect our businesses, results of operations, financial condition or liquidity. Many of these risks are interrelated and occur under similar business and economic conditions, and the occurrence of certain of them may in turn cause the emergence, or exacerbate the effect, of others. Such a combination could materially increase the severity of the impact on our operations, liquidity and financial condition.

MARKET CONDITIONS

Our businesses, consolidated results of operations and financial condition have been, and may continue to be, materially and adversely affected by market conditions. Our businesses are highly dependent on the business environment in which they operate. In 2008 and through early 2009, the significant deterioration in worldwide economic conditions materially and adversely affected our businesses. The global financial crisis resulted in a serious lack of liquidity, highly volatile markets, a steep depreciation in asset values across all classes, an erosion of investor and public confidence, a widening of credit spreads, a lack of price transparency in many markets, and the collapse or merger of several prominent financial institutions. Difficult economic conditions also resulted in increased unemployment and a severe decline in business activity across a wide range of industries and regions. A challenging business environment and volatile markets persisted through 2011 and may continue in 2012. As a result, asset values for many asset classes have not returned to previous levels, and business, financial and economic conditions continue to be negatively affected, particularly in light of high unemployment levels. Revenue and budget constraints affecting U.S. municipalities, lending activities and the housing and commercial property markets also continue to have a negative effect on asset values. Further, the adverse European economic and financial conditions related to sovereign debt issues in certain countries and concerns regarding the European Union have contributed to increased instability in global credit markets. If such conditions persist, we may be negatively affected in a number of ways, including, but not limited to:

- declines in the valuation and performance of our investment portfolio;
- declines in the value of our remaining shares in AIA;
- an inability to monetize our interest in ILFC;
- increased credit losses;
- impairments of goodwill, aircraft and other long-lived assets;
- additional statutory capital requirements;
- limitations on our ability to recover deferred tax assets;
- a decline in new business levels;

a decline in insured values caused by a decrease in activity at client organizations;

an increase in liability for future policy benefits due to loss recognition on certain long-duration insurance contracts;

higher borrowing costs and more limited availability of credit for AIG Parent and our subsidiaries;

an increase in policy surrenders and cancellations; and

a writeoff of deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC).

Table of Contents

Sustained low interest rates may affect our profitability. We have substantial investment portfolios that support our policy liabilities. Low levels of interest rates on investments have reduced the level of investment income earned by AIG. If a low interest-rate environment persists, we may experience slower investment income growth and we may not be able to fully mitigate the interest rate risk of our assets relative to our liabilities. A decline in interest rates could impair our ability to earn the returns assumed in the pricing and the reserving for our products at the time they were sold and issued.

INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO AND CONCENTRATION OF INVESTMENTS, INSURANCE AND OTHER EXPOSURES

The value of our investment portfolio is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including changes in interest rates. Changes in interest rates can negatively affect the performance of our investment securities. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including monetary policies, domestic and international economic and political issues and other factors beyond our control. Changes in monetary policy or other factors may cause interest rates to rise, which would adversely affect the value of the fixed income securities that we hold and could adversely affect our ability to sell these securities. In addition, the evaluation of available-for-sale securities for other-than-temporary impairments is a quantitative and qualitative process that is subject to significant management judgment.

Concentration of our investment portfolios in any particular segment of the economy may have adverse effects. Our results of operations have been adversely affected and may continue to be adversely affected by a concentration in residential mortgage-backed, commercial mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities and commercial mortgage loans. We also have significant exposures to: financial institutions and, in particular, to money center and global banks; U.S. state and local government issuers and authorities (as described below); and Eurozone financial institutions and governments and corporations. These types of concentrations in our investment portfolios could have an adverse effect on the value of these portfolios and consequently on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition. Events or developments that have a negative effect on any particular industry, asset class, group of related industries or geographic region may have a greater adverse effect on the investment portfolios to the extent that the portfolios are concentrated. Furthermore, our ability to sell assets relating to such particular groups of related assets may be limited if other market participants are seeking to sell at the same time.

The value of our investment portfolio is exposed to the creditworthiness of state and municipal governments. We hold a large portfolio of state and municipal bonds ($37.4 billion at December 31, 2011), primarily in Chartis, and, because of the budgetary pressures that states and municipalities are continuing to face in the current economic environment, the risks associated with this portfolio remain. Defaults, or the prospect of imminent defaults, by the issuers of state and municipal bonds could cause our portfolio to decline in value and significantly reduce the portfolio's liquidity, which could also adversely affect AIG Parent's liquidity if AIG Parent then needed, or was required by its capital maintenance agreements, to provide additional capital support to the insurance subsidiaries holding the affected state and municipal bonds. As with our fixed income security portfolio generally, rising interest rates would also negatively affect the value of our portfolio of state and municipal bonds and could make those instruments more difficult to sell. A decline in the liquidity or market value of these instruments, which are carried at fair value for statutory purposes, could also result in a decline in the Chartis entities' capital ratios and, in turn, require AIG Parent to provide additional capital to those entities.

Concentration of our insurance and other risk exposures may have adverse effects. We seek to manage the risks to which we are exposed as a result of the securities or loans we hold and the insurance policies, derivatives and other obligations that we undertake to customers and counterparties by monitoring the accumulation of our exposures by exposure type, industry, geographic region, counterparty and otherwise and by using reinsurance, hedging and other arrangements to limit or offset exposures that exceed the limits we wish to retain. In certain circumstances, or with respect to certain exposures, such risk management arrangements may not be available on acceptable terms or may prove to be ineffective, or our exposure in absolute terms may be so large that even slightly adverse experience compared to our expectations may have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations or result in additional statutory capital requirements.

CASUALTY INSURANCE RESERVES

Casualty insurance liabilities are difficult to predict and may exceed the related reserves for losses and loss expenses. Although we regularly review the adequacy of the established Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense and conduct extensive analyses of our reserves during the year, there can be no assurance that our loss reserves will not develop adversely and have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Estimation of ultimate net losses, loss expenses and loss reserves is a complex process for long-tail casualty lines of business, which include but are not limited to general liability, commercial automobile liability, environmental, workers' compensation, excess casualty and crisis management coverages, insurance and risk management programs for large corporate customers and other customized structured insurance products, as well as excess and umbrella liability, D&O and products liability. A number of analytical reserve development techniques are used to project future loss development. However, there can be no assurance that future loss development patterns will be the same as in the past. Moreover, any deviation in loss cost trends or in loss development factors might not be discernible for an extended period of time subsequent to the recording of the initial loss reserve estimates for any accident year. There is the potential for reserves with respect to a number of years to be significantly affected by changes in loss cost trends or loss development factors that were relied upon in setting the reserves. These changes in loss cost trends or loss development factors could be attributable to changes in inflation or in the judicial environment, or in other social or economic phenomena affecting claims. For a further discussion of our loss reserves, see Item 7. MD&A Results of Operations Segment Results Chartis Operations Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense and Critical Accounting Estimates Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expenses (Chartis and Mortgage Guaranty).

CATASTROPHE EXPOSURES

The occurrence of catastrophic events could adversely affect our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and liquidity. The occurrence of events such as hurricanes, windstorms, flooding, earthquakes, pandemic disease, acts of terrorism and other catastrophes has in the past and could in the future adversely affect our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and liquidity, including by exposing our businesses to the following:

- widespread claim costs associated with property, workers' compensation, business interruption, mortality and morbidity claims;
- loss resulting from a decline in the value of invested assets to below the amount required to meet policy and contract liabilities; and
- loss resulting from actual policy experience emerging adversely in comparison to the assumptions made in the product pricing related to frequency, severity, mortality, morbidity, termination and expenses.

For a sensitivity analysis of our exposure to certain catastrophes, see Item 7. MD&A Enterprise Risk Management Business Unit Risk Management Insurance Operations Chartis Catastrophe Exposures.

CREDIT AND FINANCIAL STRENGTH RATINGS

A downgrade in the Insurer Financial Strength ratings of our insurance companies could prevent the companies from writing new business and retaining customers and business. Insurer Financial Strength (IFS) ratings are an important factor in establishing the competitive position of insurance companies. IFS ratings measure an insurance company's ability to meet its obligations to contract holders and policyholders. High ratings help maintain public confidence in a company's products, facilitate marketing of products and enhance a company's competitive position.

Downgrades of the IFS ratings of our insurance companies could prevent these companies from offering, or make it more difficult for them to offer products and services or result in increased policy cancellations or termination of assumed reinsurance contracts. Moreover, a downgrade in AIG Parent's credit ratings could, under credit rating agency policies concerning the relationship between parent and subsidiary ratings, result in a downgrade of the IFS ratings of our insurance subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

A downgrade in our credit ratings could require us to post additional collateral and result in the termination of derivative transactions. Adverse ratings actions regarding our long-term debt ratings by the major rating agencies would require us to post additional collateral payments pursuant to, and/or permit the termination of, derivative transactions to which AIG is a party, which could adversely affect our business, our consolidated results of operations in a reporting period or our liquidity. Credit ratings estimate a company's ability to meet its obligations and may directly affect the cost and availability to that company of financing. In the event of further downgrades of two notches to our long-term senior debt ratings, AIG would be required to post additional collateral of $267 million, and certain of AIG's counterparties would be permitted to elect early termination of contracts.

For a further discussion of our liquidity, see Item 7. MD&A Capital Resources and Liquidity.

COMPETITION

We face intense competition in each of our businesses. Our businesses operate in highly competitive environments, both domestically and overseas. Principal sources of competition are insurance companies, banks, investment banks and other non-bank financial institutions. We consider our principal competitors to be other large multi-national insurance organizations.

The insurance industry in particular is highly competitive. Within the U.S., Chartis subsidiaries compete with approximately 3,200 other stock companies, specialty insurance organizations, mutual insurance companies and other underwriting organizations. SunAmerica subsidiaries compete in the U.S. with approximately 2,000 life insurance companies and other participants in related financial services fields. Overseas, our subsidiaries compete for business with the foreign insurance operations of large U.S. insurers and with global insurance groups and local companies.

As a result of the past reduction of our credit ratings and those of our subsidiaries and the lingering effects of AIG's negative publicity, we have faced and continue to face intense competition to retain existing customers and to maintain business with existing customers and counterparties at historical levels. General insurance and life insurance companies compete through a combination of risk acceptance criteria, product pricing, and terms and conditions. Retirement services companies compete through crediting rates and the issuance of guaranteed benefits. A decline in our position as to any one or more of these factors could adversely affect our profitability.

ADJUSTMENTS TO DEFERRED POLICY ACQUISITION COSTS AND FUTURE POLICY BENEFITS

Interest rate fluctuations, increased surrenders, investment returns and other events may require our subsidiaries to accelerate the amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC), and record additional liabilities for future policy benefits, which could adversely affect our results of operations. DAC represents the costs that vary with and are related primarily to the acquisition of new and renewal insurance and annuity contracts.

When interest rates rise or customers lose confidence in a company, policy loans, policy surrenders, withdrawals of life insurance policies, and withdrawals of annuity contracts may increase as policyholders seek to buy products with perceived higher returns or more stability, resulting in an acceleration of the amortization of DAC. To the extent such amortization exceeds surrender or other charges earned upon surrender and withdrawals of certain life insurance policies and annuity contracts, our results of operations could be negatively affected.

DAC for insurance-oriented and investment-oriented products, as well as retirement services products, is reviewed for recoverability, which involves estimating the future profitability of in-force business. This review involves significant management judgment. If future profitability is substantially lower than estimated, we could be required to accelerate DAC amortization, and such acceleration could adversely affect our results of operations.

Periodically, AIG evaluates the estimates used in establishing liabilities for Future policy benefits for life and A&H insurance contracts, which include liabilities for certain payout annuities. These estimates are evaluated against actual experience and are adjusted based on management's judgment regarding mortality, morbidity, persistency, maintenance expenses, and investment returns, including the effect of the interest rate environment and net realized capital gains (losses). If observed changes in actual experience or estimates result in projected

future losses on long duration insurance contracts, AIG may be required to record additional liabilities through a charge to policyholder benefit expense, which could negatively affect our results of operations.

For further discussion of DAC and Future policy benefits, see Item 7. MD&A Critical Accounting Estimates and Notes 2, 10 and 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

GUARANTEES WITHIN VARIABLE ANNUITIES

Guarantees Within Certain of Our Products May Decrease Our Earnings and Increase the Volatility of Our Results. Certain variable annuity products that we offer guarantee a certain level of benefits to the policyholder. These guarantee features include guaranteed minimum death benefits (GMDB), guaranteed minimum income benefits (GMIB), guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits (GMWB) and guaranteed minimum account value benefits (GMAV). At December 31, 2011, our net liabilities associated with these guaranteed benefits, representing the aggregate amount of the benefits in excess of the related account values, were $1.2 billion. We use reinsurance in combination with derivative instruments to mitigate the exposure associated with these liabilities, and while we believe that these and other actions have mitigated the risks related to these guaranteed benefits, our exposure is not fully hedged, and we remain liable in the event that reinsurers or counterparties are unable or unwilling to pay. In addition, downturns in equity markets, increased equity volatility or reduced interest rates could result in an increase in the valuation of the future policy benefits or policyholder account balances, increasing the liabilities associated with the guaranteed benefits and resulting in a reduction in our net income and shareholders' equity.

REINSURANCE

Reinsurance may not be available or affordable. Our subsidiaries are major purchasers of reinsurance and utilize reinsurance as part of our overall risk management strategy. Reinsurance is an important risk management tool to manage transaction and insurance line risk retention and to mitigate losses that may arise from catastrophes. Market conditions beyond our control determine the availability and cost of the reinsurance purchased by our subsidiaries. For example, reinsurance may be more difficult or costly to obtain after a year with a large number of major catastrophes. Accordingly, we may be forced to incur additional expenses for reinsurance or may be unable to obtain sufficient reinsurance on acceptable terms, in which case we would have to accept an increase in exposure risk, reduce the amount of business written by our subsidiaries or seek alternatives.

Reinsurance subjects us to the credit risk of our reinsurers and may not be adequate to protect us against losses. Although reinsurance makes the reinsurer liable to our subsidiary to the extent the risk is ceded, it does not relieve our subsidiary of the primary liability to its policyholders. Accordingly, we bear credit risk with respect to our subsidiaries' reinsurers to the extent the credit risk is not mitigated by collateral or other credit enhancements. A reinsurer's insolvency or inability or refusal to make timely payments under the terms of its agreements with our subsidiaries could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and liquidity. For additional information on our reinsurance, see Item 7. MD&A Enterprise Risk Management Business Unit Risk Management Reinsurance.

INDEMNITY OBLIGATIONS

Claims under indemnity obligations may be material. We have provided financial guarantees and indemnities in connection with the businesses we have sold, including ALICO, AGF, AIG Star and AIG Edison. While we do not currently believe that the claims under these indemnities will be material, it is possible that significant indemnity claims could be made against us. If such a claim were successful, our results of operations, cash flows and liquidity could be materially adversely affected. See Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on these financial guarantees and indemnities.

REGULATION

The enactment of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act will subject us to substantial additional federal regulation, which may materially and adversely affect our businesses, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and credit ratings. On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer

Table of Contents

Protection Act (Dodd-Frank), which effects comprehensive changes to the regulation of financial services in the United States, was signed into law. Dodd-Frank directs existing and newly-created government agencies and bodies to promulgate regulations implementing the law, an ongoing process anticipated to continue over the next few years. We cannot predict with certainty the requirements of the regulations ultimately adopted or how or whether Dodd-Frank and such regulations will affect our businesses, results of operations, cash flows or financial condition, require us to raise additional capital or result in a downgrade of our credit ratings.

Under Dodd-Frank, we may become subject to the examination, enforcement and supervisory authority of the FRB as a savings and loan holding company or a SIFI. AIG expects that when the Department of the Treasury ceases to own at least 50 percent of the outstanding shares of our Common Stock, we would be regulated by the FRB as a savings and loan holding company. In either event:

- We would become subject to the examination, enforcement and supervisory authority of the FRB. We cannot predict how the FRB would exercise general supervisory authority over us.
- The FRB would be required to impose minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements on us not less than those applicable to insured depository institutions.
- We may be required to place our financial activities in an intermediate holding company separate from our non-financial activities (as defined for purposes of the Bank Holding Company Act) subject to restrictions on transactions between the two businesses, which could be burdensome and costly to implement.

If we are designated as a SIFI:

- We would become subject to stress tests to determine whether, on a consolidated basis, we have the capital necessary to absorb losses due to adverse economic conditions.
- We would be subject to stricter prudential standards, including stricter requirements and limitations relating to risk-based capital, leverage, liquidity and credit exposure, as well as overall risk management requirements, management interlock prohibitions and a requirement to maintain a plan for rapid and orderly dissolution in the event of severe financial distress.
- We would become subject to a new early remediation regime process to be administered by the FRB.

If we are designated as a SIFI and determined to be a grave threat to U.S. financial stability:

- We would be required to maintain a debt-to-equity ratio of no more than 15:1.
- The FRB may:
  - limit our ability to merge with, acquire, consolidate with, or become affiliated with another company;
  - restrict our ability to offer specified financial products;
  - require us to terminate specified activities;

- impose conditions on how we conduct our activities; or

- with approval of the Financial Stability Oversight Council (the Council), and a determination that the foregoing actions are inadequate to mitigate a threat to U.S. financial stability, require us to sell or otherwise transfer assets or off-balance-sheet items to unaffiliated entities.

See Business Regulation for further discussion of this potential regulation.

If we continue to control AIG Federal Savings Bank or another insured depository institution, we would become subject to the "Volcker Rule", which could place limits on "proprietary trading" and the sponsorship of, or investment in "covered funds." The term "covered funds" could include hedge, private equity or similar funds and, in certain cases, issuers of asset backed securities if such securities have equity-like characteristics. These prohibitions could substantially impact our investment portfolios as they are currently managed. The Volcker Rule, as proposed, contains an exemption for proprietary trading by insurance companies for their general account, but the final extent of this exemption cannot be predicted. Even if we no longer controlled an insured depository

institution, we might still be subject to additional capital and quantitative limitations under the Volcker Rule as a SIFI.

In addition, Dodd-Frank establishes a new framework for regulation of over the counter (OTC) derivatives under which we may have to collateralize previously uncollateralized swaps. These additional obligations to post collateral or the costs of assignment, termination or obtaining alternative credit could have a material adverse effect on us. This new framework may also increase the cost of conducting a hedging program or have other effects materially adverse to us.

We cannot predict the requirements of the regulations ultimately adopted, the level and magnitude of supervision we may become subject to, or how Dodd-Frank and such regulations will affect the financial markets generally or our businesses, results of operations, cash flows or financial condition. It is possible that the regulations adopted under Dodd-Frank could significantly alter our business practices, require us to raise additional capital, impose burdensome and costly requirements and add additional costs. Some of the regulations may also affect the perceptions of regulators, rating agencies, customers, counterparties, creditors or investors about our financial strength and could potentially affect our financing costs or result in a ratings downgrade.

We are subject to extensive regulation in the jurisdictions in which we conduct our businesses, including with respect to the pricing of policies that we write, and regulatory actions could make it challenging for us to continue to engage in business in the ordinary course. Our operations around the world are subject to regulation by different types of regulatory authorities, including insurance, securities, investment advisory, banking and thrift regulators in the United States and abroad. Regulators have the ability to take various steps to protect the businesses of the entities they regulate. These steps could include, and in the past have included:

- restricting or prohibiting the payment of dividends to AIG Parent and its subsidiaries;
- restricting or prohibiting other payments to AIG Parent and its subsidiaries;
- requesting additional capital contributions from AIG Parent;
- requesting that intercompany reinsurance reserves be covered by assets locally;
- restricting the business in which the subsidiaries may engage;
- requiring pre-approval of all proposed transactions between the regulated subsidiaries and AIG Parent or any affiliate; and
- requiring more frequent reporting, including with respect to capital and liquidity positions.

In addition, the premium rates that we are able to charge and the profits that we are able to obtain are affected by the actions of state and foreign insurance departments that regulate our businesses. In addition to this regulation, our insurance subsidiaries are subject to laws that require insurers to participate in assigned risk plans, or to offer coverage to all consumers or at prices that we might not otherwise offer. Any of these actions could have an adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations.

Requirements of the USA PATRIOT Act, the Office of Foreign Assets Control and similar laws that apply to us may expose us to significant penalties. The operations of certain of our subsidiaries are subject to laws and regulations, including the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001, which requires companies to know certain information about their clients and to monitor their transactions for suspicious activities. In addition, the Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control administers regulations requiring U.S. persons to refrain from doing business, or allowing their clients to do business through them, with certain organizations or individuals on a prohibited list maintained by the U.S. government or with certain countries. The United Kingdom, the European Union and other jurisdictions maintain similar laws and regulations. Although we have instituted compliance programs to address these requirements, there are inherent risks in global transactions.

Attempts to mitigate the impact of Regulation XXX and Actuarial Guideline AXXX may fail in whole or in part resulting in an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. The Model Regulation entitled "Valuation of Life Insurance Policies", commonly known as "Regulation XXX", requires insurers to establish additional statutory reserves for term life insurance policies with long-term premium guarantees and universal life

policies with secondary guarantees. In addition, Actuarial Guideline 38, more commonly known as "Guideline AXXX", clarifies the application of Regulation XXX with respect to certain universal life insurance policies with secondary guarantees. The application of both Regulation XXX and Guideline AXXX involves numerous interpretations. At times, there may be differences of opinion between management and state insurance departments regarding the application of these and other actuarial standards. Such differences of opinion may lead to a state insurance regulator requiring greater reserves to support insurance liabilities than management estimated.

We also have implemented reinsurance and capital management actions to mitigate the capital impact of Regulation XXX and Guideline AXXX, including the use of letters of credit to support the reinsurance provided by our captive reinsurance subsidiaries. We focus on identifying cost-effective opportunities to manage our intercompany reinsurance transactions, particularly with respect to certain redundant statutory reserve requirements on term insurance and universal life with secondary guarantees (Regulation XXX and Guideline AXXX reserves). For this purpose, we had a $585 million syndicated letter of credit facility and $215 million of letters of credit on a bilateral basis outstanding at December 31, 2011, all of which relate to intercompany life reinsurance transactions. All of these letters of credit are due to mature on December 31, 2015. However, such actions may not be sufficient to offset regulatory, rating agency or other requirements. In that case, we could be required to increase statutory reserves or incur higher operating and/or tax costs.

We also cannot provide assurance that we will be able to continue to implement actions to mitigate the impact of Regulation XXX or Guideline AXXX on future sales of term and universal life insurance products. If we are unable to continue to implement those actions, we may incur higher operating costs and lower returns on products sold than we currently anticipate or reduce our sales of these products.

New regulations promulgated from time to time may affect our operations, financial condition and ability to compete effectively. Legislators and regulators may periodically consider and put forward various proposals that may affect the profitability of certain of our businesses or even our ability to conduct certain businesses at all, including proposals relating to restrictions on the type of activities in which financial institutions are permitted to engage and the size of financial institutions, and proposals to impose additional taxes on a limited subset of financial institutions and insurance companies (either based on size, activities, geography, government support or other criteria). It is uncertain whether and how these and other such proposals would apply to us or our competitors or how they could impact our consolidated results of operations, financial condition and ability to compete effectively.

CHANGE IN CONTROL

Our ability to utilize tax losses and credits carryforwards to offset future taxable income may be significantly limited if we experience an "ownership change" under the Internal Revenue Code. As of December 31, 2011, we had a U.S. federal net operating loss carryforward of approximately $45.3 billion, $21.3 billion in capital loss carryforwards and $4.6 billion in foreign tax credits (Tax Losses and credits carryforwards). Our ability to utilize such tax attributes to offset future taxable income may be significantly limited if we experience an "ownership change" as defined in Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). In general, an ownership change will occur when the percentage of AIG Parent's ownership (by value) of one or more "5-percent shareholders" (as defined in the Code) has increased by more than 50 percent over the lowest percentage owned by such shareholders at any time during the prior three years (calculated on a rolling basis). An entity that experiences an ownership change generally will be subject to an annual limitation on its pre-ownership change tax losses and credits carryforwards equal to the equity value of the corporation immediately before the ownership change, multiplied by the long-term, tax-exempt rate posted monthly by the IRS (subject to certain adjustments). The annual limitation would be increased each year to the extent that there is an unused limitation in a prior year. The limitation on our ability to utilize tax losses and credits carryforwards arising from an ownership change under Section 382 would depend on the value of our equity at the time of any ownership change.

While the Department of the Treasury owns more than 50 percent of AIG Common Stock, under guidance issued by the Internal Revenue Service, we will not be treated as having experienced an ownership change. However, once the Department of the Treasury's ownership of outstanding AIG Common Stock falls below

50 percent, it is possible for us to experience an ownership change as a result of purchases of AIG Common Stock by "5-percent shareholders". For the purpose of determining whether there has been an "ownership change", the change in ownership as a result of purchases by "5-percent shareholders" will be aggregated with certain changes in ownership that occurred over the three-year period ending on the date of such purchases, including, for example, the sale of AIG Common Stock that was issued in exchange for the shares of AIG's Series C Perpetual, Convertible, Participating Preferred Stock, par value $5.00 per share (the Series C Preferred Stock), but excluding the issuance of the AIG Common Stock that was issued in exchange for the shares of AIG's Series E Fixed Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, par value $5.00 per share (the Series E Preferred Stock), and the shares of AIG's Series F Fixed Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, par value $5.00 per share (the Series F Preferred Stock), both of which were issued under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 and to which Notice 2010-2 applies. Any repurchases of AIG Common Stock by AIG will be taken into account in determining whether there has been an "ownership change". If we were to experience an "ownership change", it is possible that a significant portion of our tax losses and credits carryforwards could expire before we would be able to use them to offset future taxable income.

On March 9, 2011, AIG's Board of Directors adopted a Tax Asset Protection Plan (the Plan) to help protect our ability to recognize tax benefits from certain tax attributes in order to reduce our potential future income tax liability. At our 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, shareholders ratified the Plan and also adopted a protective amendment (the Protective Amendment) to our Restated Certificate of Incorporation, which is designed to prevent certain transfers of AIG Common Stock that could result in an "ownership change". The Plan is designed to reduce the likelihood that AIG will experience an "ownership change" by (i) discouraging any person or group from becoming a 4.99 percent shareholder and (ii) discouraging any existing 4.99 percent shareholder from acquiring additional shares of AIG Common Stock. The Protective Amendment generally restricts any transfer of AIG Common Stock if the effect would be to (i) increase the ownership by any person to 4.99 percent or more of AIG stock then outstanding (Five Percent Stockholder) or (ii) increase the percentage of AIG stock owned by a Five Percent Stockholder. While the Plan and the Protective Amendment are intended to deter and prevent acquisitions of AIG Common Stock that may result in an "ownership change", such acquisitions may still occur. In addition, the Plan and the Protective Amendment may make it more difficult and more expensive to acquire us, and may discourage open market purchases of AIG Common Stock or a non-negotiated tender or exchange offer for AIG Common Stock. Accordingly, the Plan and the Protective Amendment may limit a shareholder's ability to realize a premium over the market price of AIG Common Stock in connection with any stock transaction.

FOREIGN OPERATIONS

Our foreign operations expose us to risks that may affect our operations, liquidity and financial condition. We provide insurance, investment and other financial products and services to both businesses and individuals in more than 130 countries. A substantial portion of our Chartis business is conducted outside the United States, and our intention is to continue to grow this business. Operations outside the United States, particularly those in developing nations, may be affected by regional economic downturns, changes in foreign currency exchange rates, political upheaval, nationalization and other restrictive government actions, which could also affect our other operations.

The degree of regulation and supervision in foreign jurisdictions varies. Generally, AIG Parent, as well as its subsidiaries operating in such jurisdictions, must satisfy local regulatory requirements. Licenses issued by foreign authorities to our subsidiaries are subject to modification and revocation. Thus, our insurance subsidiaries could be prevented from conducting future business in certain of the jurisdictions where they currently operate. Adverse actions from any single country could adversely affect our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition depending on the magnitude of the event and our financial exposure at that time in that country.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Significant legal proceedings may adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition. We are party to numerous legal proceedings, including securities class actions and regulatory and governmental investigations. Due

Table of Contents

to the nature of the litigation, the lack of precise damage claims and the type of claims we are subject to, we cannot currently quantify our ultimate or maximum liability for these actions. It is possible that developments in these unresolved matters could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or consolidated results of operations for an individual reporting period. For a discussion of these unresolved matters, see Note 16(a) to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

USE OF ESTIMATES

If actual experience differs from management's estimates used in the preparation of financial statements, our consolidated results of operations or financial condition could be adversely affected. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires the application of accounting policies that often involve a significant degree of judgment. We consider our accounting policies that are most dependent on the application of estimates and assumptions, and therefore viewed as critical accounting estimates, are those described in Item 7. MD&A Critical Accounting Estimates. These accounting estimates require the use of assumptions, some of which are highly uncertain at the time of estimation. These estimates, by their nature, are based on judgment and current facts and circumstances. Therefore, actual results could differ from these estimates, possibly in the near term, and could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

AIRCRAFT LEASING BUSINESS

Our aircraft leasing business depends on lease revenues and exposes us to the risk of lessee non-performance. Our aircraft leasing business depends on the ability of our customers to meet their obligations to us under their leases; if their ability materially decreases, it may negatively affect our business, results of operations and cash flows.

Our aircraft may become obsolete over time. Aircraft are long-lived assets requiring long lead times to develop and manufacture. As a result, aircraft of a particular model and type may become obsolete and less in demand over time, when newer, more advanced and efficient aircraft or aircraft engines are manufactured. This life cycle, however, can be shortened by world events, government regulation or customer preferences. As aircraft in our fleet approach obsolescence, demand for particular models and types may decrease. This may result in declining lease rates, losses on sales, impairment charges or fair value adjustments and may adversely affect our business, consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The residual value of our aircraft is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. Technological developments, macro-economic conditions, availability and cost of funding for aviation, and the overall health of the airline industry impact the residual values of our aircraft. If challenging economic conditions persist for extended periods, the residual values of our aircraft could be negatively impacted, which could result in future impairments.

LIQUIDITY

If our internal sources of liquidity are insufficient to meet our needs, we may become dependent on third-party financing, external capital markets or other sources of liquidity, which may not be available or could be prohibitively expensive. We need liquidity to pay our operating expenses, interest on our debt, maturing debt obligations and to meet any statutory capital requirements of our subsidiaries. If we have insufficient liquidity to meet our needs, we may be required to raise additional capital or obtain other sources of commercial funding. The availability of any additional financing depends on a variety of factors, including, but not limited to, general market conditions, the volume of trading activities, the overall availability of credit, regulatory actions, our credit ratings and credit capacity, as well as the possibility that customers, lenders or investors could develop a negative perception of our long- or short-term financial prospects. Disruptions, volatility and uncertainty in the financial markets, to the extent they persist or recur, may also limit our ability to access external capital markets at times and on terms favorable to us and to meet our capital and liquidity needs. Furthermore, if our internal sources of liquidity prove to be insufficient, we may be unable to obtain additional financing on favorable terms, if at all. For a further discussion of liquidity, see Item 7. MD&A Capital Resources and Liquidity.

AIG Parent's ability to access funds from our subsidiaries is limited. As a holding company, AIG Parent depends on dividends, distributions and other payments from our subsidiaries to fund payments due on its obligations, including its outstanding debt. Further, the majority of its investments are held by our regulated subsidiaries. Our subsidiaries may be limited in their ability to make dividend payments or advance funds to AIG Parent in the future because of the need to support their own capital levels.

AIG Parent's ability to support our subsidiaries is limited. Historically, AIG Parent has provided capital and liquidity to our subsidiaries to maintain regulatory capital ratios, comply with rating agency requirements and meet unexpected cash flow obligations, in some cases under support or capital maintenance agreements. If AIG Parent is unable to provide support to a subsidiary having an immediate capital or liquidity need, the subsidiary could become insolvent or, in the case of an insurance subsidiary or other regulated entity, could be seized by its regulator. In the event of a catastrophe, reserve strengthening or other event, AIG Parent may be required to provide capital to one or more of our regulated subsidiaries. AIG Parent has entered into capital maintenance agreements with certain of our U.S. insurance subsidiaries that will require it to contribute capital if specific risk-based capital (RBC) thresholds are triggered.

Certain of the investments held by our subsidiaries are illiquid and/or are difficult to sell, or to sell in significant amounts or at acceptable prices, to generate cash to meet their needs. Our subsidiaries' investments in certain securities, including certain fixed income securities and certain structured securities, private equity securities, private equity funds and hedge funds, mortgage loans, flight equipment, finance receivables and real estate, which had a collective fair value of $96 billion at December 31, 2011, are illiquid or may not be disposed of quickly. Further, we have a significant remaining stake in AIA, one-half of which is subject to restrictions on transfer and hedging. In addition, the steep decline in the U.S. real estate markets and tight credit markets have materially adversely affected the liquidity of our other securities portfolios, including our residential and commercial mortgage-related securities and investment portfolios. In the event additional liquidity is required by one or more of our subsidiaries and AIG Parent is unable to provide liquidity, it may be difficult to generate additional liquidity by selling, pledging or otherwise monetizing the less liquid investments described above.

SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLE INTERCOMPANY LOANS AND PLEDGE OF DESIGNATED ENTITY

We have pledged equity interests in certain of our businesses and other assets to secure intercompany loans made in connection with the Recapitalization and granted other control rights with respect to certain businesses and assets. We have pledged equity interests in certain of our businesses and other assets as security for the repayment of the intercompany loans extended to AIG Parent by the special purpose vehicles that held the proceeds of the AIA initial public offering and the ALICO sale (the SPVs, and such loans, the SPV Intercompany Loans). Although the loan from the ALICO SPV was repaid in full in 2011, the loan from the AIA SPV, which remains outstanding, is secured by the assets that continue to be held by the AIA SPV, including the ordinary shares of AIA and our equity interest in ILFC (the Designated Entity). If we are unable to satisfy our obligations under the AIA SPV Intercompany Loan, the secured parties may have the right to foreclose upon and sell the assets that secure this loan, which could have a material adverse effect on the operations of the Designated Entity and could adversely affect the value of the Designated Entity.

Furthermore, so long as the Department of the Treasury holds the preferred interests in the AIA SPV (the AIA SPV Preferred Interests), the Department of the Treasury will have the right, subject to existing contractual restrictions, to require us to dispose of the remaining AIA ordinary shares held by the AIA SPV to the extent necessary to fully repay the liquidation preference on the Department of the Treasury's AIA SPV Preferred Interests. In addition, the consent of the Department of the Treasury, so long as it holds AIA SPV Preferred Interests or the preferred interests in the ALICO SPV (together, the SPV Preferred Interests), will also be required for us to take specified significant actions with respect to the Designated Entity, including initial public offerings, sales of the business and significant acquisitions or dispositions and incurrence of indebtedness above specified levels. If any SPV Preferred Interests are outstanding on May 1, 2013, the Department of the Treasury will have the right to compel the sale of all or a portion of the Designated Entity on terms that it will determine.

Table of Contents

These rights could have a material adverse effect on the operations of the Designated Entity and could adversely affect the value of the Designated Entity.

CONTROLLING SHAREHOLDER

As a result of the issuance of the shares of AIG Common Stock to the Department of the Treasury in connection with the Recapitalization, the Department of the Treasury is AIG Parent's controlling shareholder. As of January 31, 2012, the Department of the Treasury owns approximately 77 percent of the outstanding shares of AIG Common Stock. The Department of the Treasury is able, to the extent permitted by law, to control a vote of AIG shareholders on substantially all matters, including:

- approval of mergers or other business combinations;
- a sale of all or substantially all of our assets;
- amendments to AIG Parent's restated certificate of incorporation; and
- other matters that might be favorable to the Department of the Treasury, but not to our other shareholders.

Moreover, the Department of the Treasury's ability to cause or prevent a change in control of AIG could also have an adverse effect on the market price of AIG Common Stock. The Department of the Treasury may also, subject to applicable securities laws, transfer all, or a portion of, the AIG Common Stock to another person or entity and, in the event of such a transfer, that person or entity could become our controlling shareholder. The Department of the Treasury's rights under a registration rights agreement executed in connection with the Recapitalization may be assigned to any person purchasing over $500 million of AIG Common Stock.

We granted the Department of the Treasury certain registration rights and, subject to certain exceptions, the ability to control the terms, conditions and pricing of any offering in which it participates, including any primary offering by us. We have granted the Department of the Treasury registration rights with respect to the shares of AIG Common Stock issued in connection with the Recapitalization, including:

- the right to participate in any registered offering of AIG Common Stock by us;
- the right to demand no more than twice in any 12-month period that we effect a registered marketed offering of our shares;
- the right to engage in at-the-market offerings; and
- subject to certain exceptions, the right to approve the terms, conditions and pricing of any registered offering in which it participates until its ownership falls below 33 percent of our voting securities.

Possible future sales of AIG Common Stock by the Department of the Treasury could adversely affect the market for AIG Common Stock. We have granted the Department of the Treasury the registration rights described above. Although we can make no prediction as to the effect, if any, that sales by the Department of the Treasury would have on the market price of AIG Common Stock, sales of substantial amounts of AIG Common Stock, or the perception that such sales could occur, could adversely affect the market price of AIG Common Stock.

EMPLOYEES

Mr. Benmosche may be unable to continue to provide services to AIG due to his health. Mr. Robert Benmosche, the President and Chief Executive Officer of AIG, was diagnosed with cancer and has been undergoing treatment for his disease. He continues to fulfill all of his responsibilities and has stated his desire to continue in such roles beyond 2012. However, there can be no assurance that his condition will not change and prevent him from continuing to perform these roles.

The limitations on incentive compensation contained in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 and the restrictions placed on compensation by the Special Master for TARP Executive Compensation and in our agreement with the Department of the Treasury may adversely affect our ability to attract talent and retain and motivate our highest performing employees. The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 contains provisions which,

as implemented by the Department of the Treasury in its Interim Final Rule, restrict bonus and other incentive compensation payable to certain AIG employees. Historically, we have embraced a pay-for-performance philosophy. Based on the limitations placed on incentive compensation, it is unclear whether, for the foreseeable future, we will be able to create a compensation structure that permits us to attract talent and retain and motivate our most senior and most highly compensated employees and other high performing employees who become subject to such limitations. The restrictions on our ability to attract talent and retain and motivate our highest performing employees may affect our ability to strengthen our businesses and prepare and make required filings in a timely manner with the SEC and other federal, state and foreign regulators.

Employee error and misconduct may be difficult to detect and prevent and may result in significant losses. Losses may result from, among other things, fraud, illegal acts, errors, failure to document transactions properly or to obtain proper internal authorization or failure to comply with regulatory requirements or our internal policies. There have been a number of highly publicized cases involving fraud or other misconduct by employees in the financial services industry in recent years, and we run the risk that employee misconduct could occur. It is not always possible to deter or prevent employee misconduct, and the controls that we have in place to prevent and detect this activity may not be effective in all cases.

ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS AND HANDLING OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

If we are unable to maintain the availability of our electronic data systems and safeguard the security of our data, our ability to conduct business may be compromised, which could adversely affect our consolidated financial condition or results of operations. We use computer systems to store, retrieve, evaluate and utilize customer, employee, and company data and information. Some of these systems in turn, rely upon third-party systems. Our business is highly dependent on our ability to access these systems to perform necessary business functions, including providing insurance quotes, processing premium payments, making changes to existing policies, filing and paying claims, administering variable annuity products and mutual funds, providing customer support and managing our investment portfolios. Systems failures or outages could compromise our ability to perform these functions in a timely manner, which could harm our ability to conduct business and hurt our relationships with our business partners and customers. In the event of a natural disaster, a computer virus, a terrorist attack or other disruption inside or outside the U.S., our systems may be inaccessible to our employees, customers or business partners for an extended period of time, and our employees may be unable to perform their duties for an extended period of time if our data or systems are disabled or destroyed. Our systems could also be subject to unauthorized access, such as physical or electronic break-ins or unauthorized tampering. AIG maintains cyber risk insurance, but this insurance may not cover all costs associated with the consequences of personal, confidential or proprietary information being compromised. In some cases, such unauthorized access may not be immediately detected. This may impede or interrupt our business operations and could adversely affect our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In addition, we routinely transmit, receive and store personal, confidential and proprietary information by email and other electronic means. Although we attempt to keep such information confidential, we may be unable to do so in all events, especially with clients, vendors, service providers, counterparties and other third parties who may not have or use appropriate controls to protect confidential information. Furthermore, certain of our businesses are subject to compliance with laws and regulations enacted by U.S. federal and state governments, the European Union or other jurisdictions or enacted by various regulatory organizations or exchanges relating to the privacy and security of the information of clients, employees or others. The compromise of personal, confidential or proprietary information could result in remediation costs, legal liability, regulatory action and reputational harm.

REGULATORY CAPITAL CREDIT DEFAULT SWAP PORTFOLIO

A deterioration in the credit markets may cause us to recognize unrealized market valuation losses which could have an adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, consolidated results of operations or liquidity. Moreover, depending on how and when the Basel I capital standards are phased out, the period of time that AIGFP remains at risk for such deterioration could be longer than anticipated by AIGFP. A total of $6.4 billion in net notional amount

of the super senior credit default swap (CDS) portfolio of AIGFP as of December 31, 2011, represented derivatives written for financial institutions, principally in Europe against European corporate loans and residential mortgage loans, primarily for the purpose of providing regulatory capital relief rather than for arbitrage purposes. These portfolios have no direct exposure to any obligors in the five countries of the Euro-zone periphery (Spain, Italy, Ireland, Greece and Portugal). The regulatory benefit of these transactions for AIGFP's financial institution counterparties was generally derived from the capital regulations promulgated by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision known as Basel I. In December 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision finalized a new framework for international capital and liquidity standards known as Basel III, which, when fully implemented, may reduce or eliminate the regulatory benefits to certain counterparties from these transactions and thus may impact the period of time that such counterparties are expected to hold the positions. AIGFP continues to reassess the expected maturity of this portfolio. As of December 31, 2011, AIGFP estimated that the weighted average expected maturity of the portfolio was 0.86 years.

Given the current performance of the underlying portfolios, the level of subordination of credit protection written by AIGFP and AIGFP's own assessment of the credit quality of the underlying portfolios, as well as the risk mitigants inherent in the transaction structures, AIGFP, after taking into consideration weakening economic conditions in Europe, does not expect that it will be required to make payments pursuant to the contractual terms of those transactions providing regulatory capital relief. AIGFP will continue to assess the valuation of this portfolio and monitor developments in the marketplace. Depending on how and when the Basel I regulatory requirements are phased out, we could also remain at risk for a longer period of time than currently anticipated.

LONG-TERM ASPIRATIONAL GOALS

AIG's ability to achieve its long-term aspirational goals with respect to return on equity (ROE) and earnings per share (EPS) and other long-term aspirational goals are based on significant assumptions, and AIG's actual results may differ, possibly materially and adversely, from these goals. In setting its long-term aspirational goals for ROE and EPS, described in Item 2. MD&A Long-Term Aspirational Goals in its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011, AIG made significant assumptions that include, among other things, the general conditions of markets in which it operates, revenues and combined ratios of its subsidiaries, investment yields, subsidiaries' capacity to distribute dividends to AIG Parent, AIG's ability to apply deployable capital to share repurchases, dividend payments, acquisitions or organic growth, AIG's ability to maintain financial leverage commensurate with its current credit ratings, the exclusion of the impact on shareholders' equity of the reversal of the tax valuation allowance, the effectiveness of AIG's cost rationalization measures, the approval of planned actions (including with respect to any share repurchases, dividend payments or acquisitions) by AIG's regulators, the overall credit rating implications of AIG's proposed strategic actions and general financial market and interest rate conditions. These assumptions are not historical facts but instead represent only AIG's expectations regarding future events, many of which, by their nature, are inherently subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies and are outside AIG's control. It is very likely that one or more of the assumptions will not be met or that actual results will deviate materially from what is assumed. While AIG remains committed to its long-term aspirational goals, AIG's actual results are likely to differ from these aspirational goals and the difference may be material and adverse.

The aspirational goals and their underlying assumptions are forward-looking statements. AIG strongly cautions its shareholders and other investors not to place undue reliance on any of these assumptions or aspirational goals. AIG is not under any obligation (and expressly disclaims any obligation) to update or alter any assumptions, goals, projections or other related statements, whether written or oral, that may be made from time to time, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. See Item 7. MD&A Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward Looking Information for additional information regarding the forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

There are no material unresolved written comments that were received from the SEC staff 180 days or more before the end of AIG's fiscal year relating to AIG's periodic or current reports under the Exchange Act.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

AIG and its subsidiaries operate from over 400 offices in the United States and approximately 700 offices in over 75 foreign countries. The following offices are located in buildings owned by AIG and its subsidiaries:

Greensboro and Winston-Salem, North Carolina
Amarillo, Ft. Worth and Houston, Texas
San Juan, Puerto Rico
Livingston, New Jersey
Wilmington, Delaware
Nashville, Tennessee
Stevens Point, Wisconsin
175 Water Street in New York, New York
Stowe, Vermont

In addition, offices in approximately 20 foreign countries and jurisdictions including Argentina, Bermuda, Colombia, Ecuador, Japan, Mexico, the U.K., Thailand, and Venezuela are located in buildings owned by AIG and its subsidiaries. The remainder of the office space utilized by AIG and its subsidiaries is leased. AIG believes that its leases and properties are sufficient for its current purposes.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For a discussion of legal proceedings, see Note 16(a) to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

Part II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

AIG's common stock, par value $2.50 per share (AIG Common Stock), is listed on the New York Stock Exchange, as well as on the Tokyo Stock Exchange. The approximate number of record holders of AIG Common Stock as of January 31, 2012 was 44,938.

The following table presents the high and low closing sale prices on the New York Stock Exchange Composite Tape and the dividends paid per share of AIG Common Stock for each quarter of 2011 and 2010.

	2011			2010		
	High	Low	Dividends Paid	High	Low	Dividends Paid
First quarter	$ **61.18***	$ **34.95**	$ **-**	$ 36.24	$ 22.16	$ -
Second quarter	**35.00**	**27.23**	**-**	44.51	34.05	-
Third quarter	**30.21**	**21.61**	**-**	41.64	33.10	-
Fourth quarter	**26.34**	**20.07**	**-**	59.38	38.86	-

* *Includes the effect of the AIG Common Stock trading with due bills for the dividend paid in the form of warrants.*

Pursuant to the terms of the AIG Series G Cumulative Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock, par value $5.00 per share (the Series G Preferred Stock) AIG was unable to pay dividends while the Series G Preferred Stock was outstanding. The Series G Preferred Stock was cancelled in connection with AIG's public offering of AIG Common Stock in May 2011. After the cancellation of the Series G Preferred Stock, there are no contractual restrictions on AIG's ability to pay dividends.

Any payment of dividends will need the approval of AIG's Board of Directors, in its discretion, from funds legally available therefor. AIG's Board of Directors may consider AIG's financial position, the performance of its businesses, its consolidated financial condition, results of operations and liquidity, available capital, the existence of investment opportunities and other factors in determining the payment of dividends, if any. AIG may become subject to restrictions on the payment of dividends if it is designated as a non-bank SIFI or considered a savings and loan holding company. See Item 1. Business Regulation for further discussion of this potential regulation.

In addition, AIG was previously unable to pay dividends under the terms of other series of AIG preferred stock that were outstanding from November 2008 through January 14, 2011.

For a discussion of certain restrictions on the payment of dividends to AIG by some of its insurance subsidiaries, see Item 1A. Risk Factors Liquidity AIG Parent's ability to access funds from our subsidiaries is limited, and Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

REPURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

In November 2011, AIG's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of shares of AIG Common Stock with an aggregate purchase amount of up to $1 billion from time to time in the open market, through derivative or automatic purchase contracts or otherwise. The timing of such purchases will depend on market conditions, AIG's financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, rating agency considerations and other factors. This authorization replaces all prior AIG Common Stock repurchase authorizations.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes AIG's stock repurchases as part of its publicly announced share repurchase program for the three-month period ended December 31, 2011:

Period	Total Number of Shares Repurchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans (in millions)
October 1, 2011 - October 31, 2011	-	$ -	-	$ 1,000
November 1, 2011 - November 30, 2011	2,563,531	22.83	2,563,531	941
December 1, 2011 - December 31, 2011	510,500	22.35	510,500	930
Total	3,074,031	$ 22.75	3,074,031	$ 930

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS

AIG's table of equity compensation plans previously approved by security holders and equity compensation plans not previously approved by security holders will be included in the definitive proxy statement for AIG's 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the SEC no later than 120 days after the close of AIG's fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A.

PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following Performance Graph compares the cumulative total shareholder return on AIG Common Stock for a five-year period (December 31, 2006 to December 31, 2011) with the cumulative total return of the S&P's 500 stock index (which includes AIG) and a peer group of companies (the New Peer Group) consisting of ten insurance companies to which AIG compares its business and operations:

- ACE Limited
- Allianz Group
- The Chubb Corporation
- Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.
- Lincoln National Corporation
- MetLife, Inc.
- Prudential Financial, Inc.
- The Travelers Companies, Inc.
- XL Capital Ltd., and
- Zurich Financial Services Group

The Performance Graph also compares the cumulative total shareholder return on AIG Common Stock to the return of a group of companies (the Old Peer Group) consisting of nine insurance companies to which AIG compared itself in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010:

- ACE Limited
- Aflac Incorporated
- The Chubb Corporation
- Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.
- Lincoln National Corporation
- MetLife, Inc.
- Prudential Financial, Inc.
- The Travelers Companies, Inc., and
- XL Capital Ltd.

Allianz Group and Zurich Financial Services Group were added to, and Aflac Incorporated was excluded from, the New Peer Group because AIG believes the changes result in a peer group that is more comparable to AIG's overall business and operations following AIG's sale of its foreign life insurance operations (i.e., American Life Insurance Company, Nan Shan Life Insurance Company, Ltd., AIG Star Life Insurance Co., Ltd. and AIG Edison Life Insurance Company) in 2010 and 2011 and the sale of a majority of AIA Group Limited in 2010.

Table of Contents

FIVE-YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL SHAREHOLDER RETURNS
Value of $100 Invested on December 31, 2006

	As of December 31,					
	2006	**2007**	**2008**	**2009**	**2010**	**2011**
AIG	$ 100.00	$ 82.27	$ 2.39	$ 2.28	$ 4.39	$ 2.15
S&P 500	100.00	105.49	66.46	84.05	96.71	98.76
New Peer Group	100.00	102.70	56.61	67.73	78.05	69.89
Old Peer Group	100.00	104.45	60.44	70.53	86.00	76.95

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The Selected Consolidated Financial Data should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes included elsewhere herein.

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions, except per share data)*	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**	**2008**	**2007**
Revenues:					
Premiums	**$ 38,990**	$ 45,319	$ 48,583	$ 60,147	$ 58,575
Policy fees	**2,705**	2,710	2,656	2,990	3,006
Net investment income	**14,755**	20,934	18,992	10,453	23,933
Net realized capital gains (losses)	**521**	(175)	(5,210)	(46,794)	(3,248)
Aircraft leasing revenue	**4,508**	4,749	4,967	4,830	4,431
Other income	**2,758**	3,989	5,459	(38,293)	(5,180)
Total revenues	**64,237**	77,526	75,447	(6,667)	81,517
Benefits, claims and expenses:					
Policyholder benefits and claims incurred	**33,449**	41,394	45,311	45,447	44,995
Interest credited to policyholder account balances	**4,446**	4,480	4,704	5,589	5,933
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs	**8,019**	9,134	9,442	9,439	9,652
Other acquisition and insurance expenses	**6,091**	6,775	6,818	11,571	5,992
Interest expense	**3,871**	7,981	14,358	15,997	3,483
Aircraft leasing expenses	**3,974**	4,050	2,385	2,137	1,880
Net loss on extinguishment of debt	**2,908**	104	-	-	-
Net (gain) loss on sale of properties and divested businesses	**74**	(17,767)	1,271	-	-
Other expenses	**2,470**	3,439	5,465	6,182	4,848
Total benefits, claims and expenses	**65,302**	59,590	89,754	96,362	76,783
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit)	**(1,065)**	17,936	(14,307)	(103,029)	4,734
Income tax expense (benefit)	**(18,036)**	5,859	(1,489)	(9,683)	125
Income (loss) from continuing operations	**16,971**	12,077	(12,818)	(93,346)	4,609
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	**1,535**	(2,064)	505	(7,041)	2,879
Net income (loss)	**18,506**	10,013	(12,313)	(100,387)	7,488
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG	**17,798**	7,786	(10,949)	(99,289)	6,200
Income (loss) per common share attributable to AIG common shareholders					
Basic					
Income (loss) from continuing operations	**8.60**	14.75	(93.69)	(704.26)	26.32
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	**0.84**	(3.15)	3.21	(52.59)	21.66
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG	**9.44**	11.60	(90.48)	(756.85)	47.98
Diluted					
Income (loss) from continuing operations	**8.60**	14.75	(93.69)	(704.26)	26.18
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	**0.84**	(3.15)	3.21	(52.59)	21.55
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG	**9.44**	11.60	(90.48)	(756.85)	47.73
Dividends declared per common share	**-**	-	-	8.40	15.40
Year-end balance sheet data:					
Total investments	**410,438**	410,412	601,165	636,912	829,468
Total assets	**555,773**	683,443	847,585	860,418	1,048,361
Long-term debt	**75,253**	106,461	136,733	177,485	162,935
Total liabilities	**441,444**	569,770	748,550	797,692	942,038
Total AIG shareholders' equity	**104,951**	85,319	69,824	52,710	95,801

Total equity	**105,806**	113,239	98,076	60,805	104,273
Other data (from continuing operations):					
Other-than-temporary impairments	**1,280**	3,039	6,696	41,867	4,212
Goodwill impairment charges	**-**	-	693	3,744	-
Adjustment to federal and foreign deferred tax valuation allowance	**(16,561)**	1,486	3,137	20,121	212
Amortization of prepaid commitment fee	**49**	3,471	8,359	9,279	-
Catastrophe-related losses	**$ 3,307**	$ 1,076	$ 53	$ 1,840	$ 276

Table of Contents

Items affecting comparability between periods include:

AIG was significantly and adversely affected by the market turmoil in late 2008 and early 2009 and recognized other-than-temporary impairment charges in 2008 primarily related to collateralized mortgage-backed securities, other structured securities and securities of financial institutions; losses related to the change in AIG's intent and ability to hold to recovery certain securities; and losses related to AIG's securities lending program.

In 2008, AIG also recognized unrealized market valuation losses representing the change in fair value of its super senior credit default swap portfolio, established a deferred tax valuation allowance and experienced an unprecedented strain on liquidity. This strain led to several transactions and relationships with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (FRBNY) and the Department of the Treasury. See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of these transactions and relationships.

The decline in interest expense in 2010 was primarily due to a reduced weighted average interest rate on borrowings, a lower average outstanding balance and a decline in amortization of the prepaid commitment fee asset related to the partial repayment of the credit facility provided by the FRBNY. On January 14, 2011, AIG repaid the remaining $20.7 billion and terminated this facility, resulting in a net $3.3 billion pre-tax charge in the first quarter of 2011, primarily representing the accelerated amortization of the remaining prepaid commitment fee asset included in Net loss on extinguishment of debt. See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of the Recapitalization.

AIG executed multiple asset dispositions in 2011 and 2010, as further discussed in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which included the completion of an initial public offering of AIA in 2010 for which AIG recognized a $16.3 billion gain.

As further discussed in Note 22 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, AIG concluded that $16.6 billion of the deferred tax asset valuation allowance for the U.S. consolidated income tax group should be released through the Consolidated Statement of Operations in 2011.

As a result of the closing of the Recapitalization on January 14, 2011, the SPV Preferred Interests held by the Department of the Treasury are not considered permanent equity on AIG's Consolidated Balance Sheet, and were classified as redeemable non-controlling interests.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This Annual Report on Form 10-K and other publicly available documents may include, and AIG's officers and representatives may from time to time make, projections, goals, assumptions and statements that may constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These projections, goals, assumptions and statements are not historical facts but instead represent only AIG's belief regarding future events, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and outside AIG's control. These projections, goals, assumptions and statements include statements preceded by, followed by or including words such as "believe", "anticipate", "expect", "intend", "plan", "view", "target" or "estimate". These projections, goals, assumptions and statements may address, among other things:

- the timing of the disposition of the ownership position of the United States Department of the Treasury (Department of the Treasury) in AIG;
- the timing and method of repayment of the preferred interests in AIA Aurora LLC held by the Department of the Treasury;
- the fair value of AIA and cash flow projections for AIG's Maiden Lane Interests;
- the monetization of AIG's interests in International Lease Finance Corporation (ILFC);
- AIG's exposures to subprime mortgages, monoline insurers, the residential and commercial real estate markets, state and municipal bond issuers and sovereign bond issuers;
- AIG's exposure to European governments and European financial institutions;
- AIG's strategy for risk management;
- AIG's ability to retain and motivate its employees;
- AIG's generation of deployable capital;
- AIG's return on equity and earnings per share long-term aspirational goals;
- AIG's strategy to grow net investment income, efficiently manage capital and reduce expenses;
- AIG's strategy for customer retention, growth, product development, market position, financial results and reserves; and
- The revenues and combined ratios of AIG's subsidiaries.

It is possible that AIG's actual results and financial condition will differ, possibly materially, from the results and financial condition indicated in these projections, goals, assumptions and statements. Factors that could cause AIG's actual results to differ, possibly materially, from those in

the specific projections, goals, assumptions and statements include:

- actions by credit rating agencies;
- changes in market conditions;
- the occurrence of catastrophic events;
- significant legal proceedings;
- concentrations in AIG's investment portfolios, including its municipal bond portfolio;
- judgments concerning casualty insurance underwriting and reserves;
- judgments concerning the recognition of deferred tax assets;
- judgments concerning deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC) recoverability;

- judgments concerning the recoverability of aircraft values in ILFC's fleet; and

- such other factors as are discussed throughout this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) and in Item 1A. Risk Factors of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

AIG is not under any obligation (and expressly disclaims any obligation) to update or alter any projections, goals, assumptions or other statements, whether written or oral, that may be made from time to time, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Unless the context otherwise requires, the terms AIG, the Company, we, us, and our mean AIG and its consolidated subsidiaries.

USE OF NON-GAAP MEASURES

Throughout this MD&A, AIG presents its operations in the way it believes will be most meaningful and representative of ongoing operations as well as most transparent. Certain of the measurements used by AIG management are "non-GAAP financial measures" under Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules and regulations.

AIG analyzes the operating performance of Chartis using underwriting profit (loss). Operating income (loss), which is income (loss) before net realized capital gains (losses) and related deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC) and sales inducement asset (SIA) amortization, is utilized to report results for SunAmerica Financial Group (SunAmerica) operations. Management believes that these measures enhance the understanding of the underlying profitability of the ongoing operations of these businesses and allow for more meaningful comparisons with AIG's insurance competitors. Reconciliations of these measures to the most directly comparable measurement derived from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP), pre-tax income, are included in Results of Operations.

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

This executive overview of management's discussion and analysis highlights selected information and may not contain all of the information that is important to current or potential investors in AIG's securities. This Annual Report on Form 10-K should be read in its entirety for a complete description of events, trends and uncertainties as well as the capital, liquidity, credit, operational and market risks and the critical accounting estimates affecting AIG and its subsidiaries.

FINANCIAL OVERVIEW

As further discussed in Note 22 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, AIG concluded that $16.6 billion of the deferred tax asset valuation allowance for the U.S. consolidated income tax group should be released through the Consolidated Statement of Operations in 2011.

AIG's loss from continuing operations before income taxes represented a $19.0 billion decrease compared to its 2010 income and reflected the following:

- a $3.3 billion net loss on extinguishment of debt recorded in the first quarter of 2011, primarily consisting of the accelerated amortization of the prepaid commitment fee asset resulting from the termination of the Credit Agreement, dated as of September 22, 2008 (as amended, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (FRBNY) Credit Facility) on January 14, 2011, partially offset by a $484 million gain on extinguishment of debt in the fourth quarter of 2011 related to the exchange of junior subordinated debt;

- significant catastrophe losses for Chartis totaling $3.3 billion, including losses from Thailand floods in the fourth quarter of 2011, Hurricane Irene in the third quarter of 2011, the U.S. tornadoes in the second quarter of 2011 and the Great Tohoku Earthquake & Tsunami (the Tohoku catastrophe) in the first quarter of 2011, compared to catastrophe losses of $1.1 billion in 2010;

losses for Aircraft Leasing of $1.7 billion due to impairment charges, fair value adjustments and lease-related charges on aircraft in both 2011 and 2010;

- $604 million in unfavorable fair value adjustments on AIG's economic interest in Maiden Lane II LLC (ML II) and equity interest in Maiden Lane III LLC (ML III) (together, the Maiden Lane Interests);
- 2010 included a gain of $17.8 billion on sales of divested businesses, primarily consisting of a gain of $16.3 billion from the completion of the initial public offering and listing of AIA Group Limited (AIA) ordinary shares on the Hong Kong Stock Exchange on October 29, 2010, as well as a gain of $1.3 billion recognized in 2010 related to the sale of AIG's headquarters building in Tokyo in 2009 which gain had been deferred until the expiration of certain lease provisions; and
- income in 2010 from divested businesses prior to their sale totaling $2.5 billion, primarily representing AIA.

Partially offsetting these declines were;

- a reduction in prior year adverse loss development in 2011 compared to 2010;
- a decrease in interest expense of $4.1 billion primarily resulting from the January 2011 repayment of the FRBNY Credit Facility;
- an increase in the fair value of AIA ordinary shares; and
- a reduction in realized capital losses in 2011 compared to 2010.

In 2011, AIG recorded income from discontinued operations net of taxes of $1.5 billion, which included a pre-tax gain of $2.0 billion recorded in the first quarter of 2011 on the sale of AIG Star Life Insurance Co., Ltd. (AIG Star) and AIG Edison Life Insurance Company (AIG Edison) compared to a net loss of $2.1 billion in 2010, which included goodwill impairment charges of $4.6 billion associated with the sale of American Life Insurance Company (ALICO), AIG Star and AIG Edison.

See Results of Operations herein for additional discussion of our results.

RESTRUCTURING ACTIVITY OVERVIEW

AIG substantially completed its recapitalization plan (the Recapitalization) and its asset disposition plan with the following significant milestones in 2011:

- On January 14, 2011 (the Closing), AIG completed the Recapitalization, which included:
  - repaying the $20.7 billion outstanding balance and terminating the FRBNY Credit Facility;
  - applying proceeds from the AIA initial public offering and the ALICO sale to partially pay down the preferred interests in the special purpose vehicles that held AIA and ALICO (the AIA SPV and the ALICO SPV, respectively, and collectively, the SPVs, and such preferred interests, the SPV Preferred Interests). As part of the Recapitalization, AIG used $6.1 billion of the cash proceeds from the sale of ALICO to pay down a portion of the liquidation preference of the SPV Preferred Interests; and

exchanging preferred stock held by the Department of the Treasury and the AIG Credit Facility Trust (the Trust) for AIG common stock, par value $2.50 per share (AIG Common Stock).

The SPV Preferred Interests were further reduced during 2011 by $11.5 billion using proceeds from the sale of AIG Star, AIG Edison, Nan Shan Life Insurance Company, Ltd. (Nan Shan) and the MetLife, Inc. (MetLife) securities received in the sale of ALICO. In addition, on November 1, 2011, in accordance with the terms of the MetLife escrow agreement from the sale of ALICO, approximately $918 million was released to AIG. These proceeds, together with an additional $53 million, were applied to pay down a portion of the liquidation preference of the Department of the Treasury's AIA SPV Preferred Interests. See Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

On September 2, 2011, ILFC Holdings, Inc. (ILFC Holdings), an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of AIG, which is intended to become a holding company for ILFC, filed a registration statement on Form S-1 with the SEC for a proposed initial public offering. All proceeds received by AIG will be used to pay down a portion of the liquidation preference of the Department of the Treasury's AIA SPV Preferred Interests.

Table of Contents

See Capital Resources and Liquidity herein and Notes 1, 4, and 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

OTHER CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY DEVELOPMENTS

Other significant capital resources and liquidity developments in 2011 include:

- On May 27, 2011, AIG and the Department of the Treasury, as the selling shareholder, completed a registered public offering of AIG Common Stock. AIG issued and sold 100 million shares of AIG Common Stock for aggregate net proceeds of approximately $2.9 billion and the Department of the Treasury sold 200 million shares of AIG Common Stock. AIG did not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of shares of AIG Common Stock by the Department of the Treasury.

- On September 13, 2011, AIG received approximately $2.0 billion in proceeds from the issuance of senior unsecured notes. AIG is using the proceeds from the sale of these notes to pay maturing notes that were issued by AIG to fund the Matched Investment Program (MIP).

- On October 12, 2011, the previously outstanding AIG 364-Day Syndicated Facility, AIG 3-Year Syndicated Facility and Chartis letter of credit facility were terminated and AIG entered into a $1.5 billion 364-Day Syndicated Facility and a $3.0 billion 4-Year Syndicated Facility. The new 4-Year Syndicated Facility provides for $3.0 billion of revolving loans, which includes a $1.5 billion letter of credit sublimit.

- In November 2011, AIG exchanged $2.4 billion of its outstanding Junior Subordinated Debentures for $1.8 billion senior notes pursuant to an exchange offer. The exchange resulted in a pre-tax gain on extinguishment of debt of approximately $484 million, which is reflected in Net loss on extinguishment of debt in the Consolidated Statement of Operations, and a deferred gain of $65 million reflected in Other long-term debt in the Consolidated Balance Sheet, which will be amortized as a reduction to future interest expense.

- ILFC executed the following transactions in 2011:

  - an unsecured $2.0 billion three-year revolving credit facility;

  - a secured $1.5 billion term loan;

  - the issuance of $2.9 billion aggregate principal amount of senior notes; and

  - the purchase of approximately $1.67 billion aggregate principal amount of notes for total cash consideration, including accrued interest, of approximately $1.75 billion. ILFC recorded losses of $61 million on the extinguishment of debt;

See Capital Resources and Liquidity herein and Notes 1, 4, 15 and 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on these transactions.

OUTLOOK

Priorities for 2012

AIG remains committed to its long-term aspirational goals and is focused on the following priorities for 2012:

- continuing to strengthen and grow AIG's core businesses;
- developing and implementing plans to maximize the value of resources available for repayment of the AIA SPV Preferred Interests held by the Department of the Treasury;
- implementing a strategic alternative for ILFC through an initial public offering or sale;
- managing its capital and interest expense more efficiently;
- taking appropriate actions to prepare for scenarios under which the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the FRB) would become AIG's regulator;

Table of Contents

continuing to build, strengthen and streamline the financial and operating systems infrastructure and control environment throughout the organization, particularly in financial reporting, financial operations and human resources; and

restructuring AIG's operations consistent with its smaller size and plans to increase its competitiveness.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

In October 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an accounting standard update that amends the accounting for deferring costs incurred by insurance companies in connection with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts to limit deferral to only costs that are incremental and directly related to the successful acquisition of new business or renewal of existing business.

As a result, AIG expects a pre-tax reduction of Deferred policy acquisition costs at January 1, 2012 of approximately $4.9 billion and an after-tax decrease in AIG shareholders' equity of approximately $3.3 billion, consisting of a decrease in Retained earnings of approximately $3.7 billion, partially offset by an increase in Accumulated other comprehensive income of $0.4 billion. The reduction in DAC is primarily due to costs associated with unsuccessful sales efforts, which are no longer deferrable, and advertising costs that do not meet the direct response advertising criteria under the accounting standard. The reduction in DAC at January 1, 2012 includes a reduction at Chartis of approximately $2.8 billion and SunAmerica of approximately $2.1 billion. The retrospective adoption will improve Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit) by approximately $149 million, $90 million and $40 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009. The improvement in Income (loss) from continuing operations is primarily due to the amortization of acquisition costs being greater than the deferral of acquisition costs in these years, and therefore due to the adoption of the standard, the reduction in amortization expense is greater than the reduction in deferrals. The impact to these years includes the results from Chartis, SunAmerica, UGC and divested businesses. During this three-year period, the composition of DAC reflects the change in the mix of distribution channels.

The following table shows the increase (decrease) to pre-tax income (loss) for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 related to the retrospective adoption of the accounting standard for each business unit impacted:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**
Chartis	**$ 107**	$ 67	$ 51
SunAmerica	**46**	(11)	54
UGC	**(4)**	34	1
Divested businesses	**-**	-	(66)
Total	**$ 149**	$ 90	$ 40

Chartis expects the accounting standard will increase its future combined ratio by approximately 50 to 100 basis points. However, the increase could vary depending on the level of premium production, changes in product mix and distribution channels utilized to acquire business. For SunAmerica, the effect on future years' earnings will be partially offset by lower amortization resulting from the reduction in the existing DAC asset upon adoption. As a result, this standard is not expected to have a material effect on SunAmerica operating results in 2012.

See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

Chartis

Given the continued global economic environment and current property and casualty market conditions, 2012 is expected to remain challenging, but improving trends in certain key indicators may offset some of the challenges. The weakness of ratable exposures (asset values, payrolls, and sales) experienced in 2009 and 2010 and its negative impact on the overall market premium base, as well as continued weakness in commercial insurance rates, were initially expected to continue through 2011. However, in 2011, Chartis observed that the extent of ratable exposure weakness in the United States was beginning to abate. In addition, beginning in the second quarter of 2011 and

continuing through the fourth quarter of 2011, Chartis observed continuing positive pricing trends, particularly in its U.S. commercial business for the first time since 2009. In certain growth economies such as Brazil, Turkey, India, and Asia Pacific countries, Chartis continues to expect improved growth.

Strategy

Chartis continues to make strides in its strategy to further grow its higher value and less capital intensive lines of business, and to implement corrective actions on underperforming businesses. Management continues to review the businesses to ensure that they meet overall performance measures.

Chartis seeks to provide value for people and businesses worldwide through the identification and efficient management of risk. In pursuing this mission and in growing its intrinsic value, Chartis has established strategic initiatives in several key areas. Initiatives in these areas are helping Chartis to direct its capital and resources to optimize financial results, while acknowledging that performance in these areas may vary from quarter to quarter depending upon local market conditions, such as pricing and the effects of foreign exchange rates or changes in the investment environment.

Business Mix Changes

Chartis is pursuing initiatives to grow in higher value, less volatile lines of business and geographies. In Commercial Insurance, Chartis is leveraging its significant geographic footprint and multinational capabilities to serve large and mid-sized businesses with cross-border operations. Commercial Insurance is also expanding its presence in the growth economy nations (which primarily includes nations in Asia Pacific, the Middle East and Latin America). Chartis' new global organizational design will enable Commercial Insurance to more effectively leverage underwriting and product best practices to enhance customer and channel management. In the Americas and the Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA) regions, Commercial Insurance expects to improve the quality of its portfolio and to capitalize on market opportunities. In the Asia Pacific region, management expects to leverage the additional distribution and customer base acquired in connection with the purchase of Fuji Fire & Marine Insurance Company Limited (Fuji).

Since 2009, Consumer Insurance has increased net premiums written by 47 percent, primarily driven by the Fuji acquisition. In 2011, Consumer Insurance represented 38 percent of Chartis' net premiums written, compared to 30 percent in 2009. Consumer Insurance continues to grow its net premiums written in key markets and to expand internationally. Consumer Insurance has well-established global franchises and operations, existing growth strategies in multiple distribution channels which include direct to consumer, agent, broker and affinity groups, and a focus on the growth economy nations. In the Asia Pacific region, the acquisition of Fuji enables the continued expansion of its distribution and customer base across a breadth of products. In the Americas region, Consumer Insurance continues to focus its growth in key areas, such as the high net worth and affluent markets, and will implement a group benefits strategy with American General. In the EMEA region, management expects modest growth and will continue to focus on solid underwriting performance.

Loss Ratio Improvement

Chartis expects that by implementing selective pricing, underwriting and distribution strategies, net premiums written will grow without increasing the relative volatility of losses. In addition, Chartis expects to continue to focus on reducing the costs associated with adjusting claims by improving efficiency in servicing its customers, thus improving its loss ratio. In the commercial casualty lines, underwriting changes have been made to address historical experience with respect to adverse development. Changes include increased actuarial involvement in product aggregate pricing and attachments, increased utilization of pricing and predictive models with actuarial support, policy form changes, increased policy exclusions and fewer multi-year policies being offered. During 2011, as part of its on-going initiatives to reduce exposure to capital intensive long-tail lines, Chartis determined to cease writing excess workers' compensation business as a stand-alone product.

In 2011, management took remedial actions related to certain Consumer Insurance programs that did not meet internal performance or operating targets. Accident & Health (A&H) improved in key markets such as Far East,

Table of Contents

Europe and Asia Pacific as a result of underwriting actions, and Personal Lines improved as a result of rate increases in key markets, such as the Far East region.

Expense Discipline

To achieve expense reductions, Chartis plans to take advantage of its global footprint to improve efficiencies and expand the use of shared services to support regional businesses in strategic locations, reduce use of external services and negotiate preferred rates with vendors. In the near term, Chartis may increase certain operating expenses in order to develop future improvements and efficiencies.

As a result of the business mix shift and the investment in the growth economy nations, policy acquisition expenses are expected to increase in 2012. Chartis expects, however, that these changes will ultimately help to generate business with overall more favorable underwriting results.

Risk Selection

Commercial Insurance continued to pursue a comprehensive strategy in 2011 to strengthen its portfolio performance. This includes specific actions in the U.S. Specialty Workers Compensation business. The Commercial Property business continues to improve through increased rates, improved terms and conditions and reductions in exposures to U.S. catastrophes. Additionally, Commercial Insurance is implementing the development and use of pricing and risk selection tools in many lines of business.

Consumer Insurance continued to exercise underwriting discipline in risk selection processes to balance risk exposures to the premiums charged in most lines of business in 2011. Improved premium pricing methods through a better understanding of risk attributes has led to better risk selection. Investments continue to be made in risk and marketing analytics, which will further strengthen Chartis' capabilities in these areas.

Capital Deployment

Chartis' scale and geographical diversification also allow the business to strategically deploy capital to pursue the more attractive long-term opportunities around the world. Chartis regularly reviews and adjusts its business mix with the goals of aligning risk profile with risk tolerance and meeting capital management objectives.

In the second half of 2011, Chartis began to restructure renewals of certain Commercial Casualty loss-sensitive programs from a retrospectively rated premium structure to a more capital efficient loss reimbursement deductible structure. The deductible structure reduces net premiums written and limits the variability around individual insured premium and claim adjustments when compared to retrospectively rated programs. This overall reduction in the premium and claims adjustment variability creates a corresponding reduction in the required capital needed to support this business. The effect of these initiatives decreased net premiums written in casualty lines for 2011. Chartis expects further declines in net premiums written in this class of business through 2012. However, given the capital-intensive nature of these classes of business, Chartis expects that over time, these actions will improve its overall results.

In 2012, Chartis expects to continue to execute capital management initiatives by enhancing broad-based risk tolerance guidelines for its operating units and executing underwriting and reinsurance strategies to improve capital ratios and reduce volatility, increase return on equity by line of business and reduce exposure to businesses with inadequate pricing and increased loss trends.

Investments

Consistent with AIG's worldwide insurance investment policy, Chartis places primary emphasis on investments in fixed maturity securities issued by corporations, municipalities and other governmental agencies, and to a lesser extent, common stocks, private equity, hedge funds and other alternative investments.

Fixed maturity securities held by Chartis historically have included tax-exempt municipal bonds, which provided attractive after-tax returns and limited credit risk. In order to better optimize its overall investment portfolio, including risk-return and tax objectives, Chartis has begun to shift from tax-exempt municipal bonds to taxable

instruments, which meet Chartis' liquidity, duration and credit quality objectives as well as current risk-return and tax objectives. In addition, Chartis has redeployed cash in excess of operating needs and short term investments into longer term, higher yielding securities.

Chartis makes determinations of other-than-temporary impairments based on the fundamental credit analyses of individual securities. Life settlement contracts are evaluated on a contract-by-contract basis to assess impairment. During the second quarter of 2011, Chartis implemented an enhanced process in which updated medical information on individual insured lives is requested on a routine basis. In cases where updated information indicates that an individual's health has improved, an impairment loss may arise as a result of revised estimates of net cash flows from the related contract. Chartis also revised its valuation table, which it uses in estimating future net cash flows.

Recently, a number of courts have addressed various life settlement related issues in their decisions. Chartis does not expect that the rulings in those cases will have a significant effect on its investment in life settlement contracts.

See Segment Results Chartis Operations Chartis Results Chartis Investing and Other Results and Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

SunAmerica

SunAmerica continues to pursue its goals of expanding the breadth and depth of its distribution relationships, introducing competitive new products and product riders, maintaining a high quality investment portfolio and strong statutory surplus, pro-actively managing expenses and, subject to regulatory approval, increasing payments made to AIG Parent. SunAmerica made progress on all of these efforts during 2011, and expects this progress to continue for 2012.

SunAmerica's businesses and the life and annuity industry continue to be affected by the current economic environment of low interest rates and equity market volatility. Continued low interest rates put pressure on long-term investment returns, negatively affect future sales of interest rate-sensitive products and reduce future profits. Also, products such as payout annuities and traditional life insurance that are not rate-adjustable may require increases in reserves if future investment yields are insufficient to support current valuation interest rates. Equity market volatility may result in higher reserves for variable annuity guarantee features, and both equity market volatility and low interest rates can affect the recoverability and amortization rate of DAC assets.

SunAmerica's insurance companies, like other insurers, are subject to regulation and supervision by the states and jurisdictions in which they do business. State regulation relates primarily to financial condition as well as corporate conduct and market conduct activities; in particular, states have also become increasingly aggressive in using escheatment laws to seek recovery of unclaimed life insurance benefits. There are a number of proposals to amend state insurance laws and regulations, and a review of insurance solvency regulation throughout the U.S. regulatory system, which could significantly affect SunAmerica's businesses. At the federal level, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank) will subject SunAmerica's insurers, investment advisors, broker-dealers and their affiliates to additional federal regulation. In addition, regulators and lawmakers around the world are developing recommendations to address such issues as financial group supervision, capital and solvency standards, and related issues, which could potentially affect SunAmerica. See Item 1. Business Regulation for additional information.

Variable Annuities

SunAmerica experienced an increase in its variable annuity sales as various distribution partners resumed sales of SunAmerica's products during 2010 and 2011. SunAmerica's largest pre-financial-crisis variable annuity distribution partner resumed distribution of SunAmerica's products in mid-2011. As a result of broader distribution opportunities, SunAmerica expects variable annuity sales to remain strong in 2012.

SunAmerica has a dynamic hedging program designed to manage economic risk exposure associated with changes in the fair value of embedded policy derivative liabilities contained in certain variable annuity contracts,

Table of Contents

caused by changes in the equity markets, interest rates and market implied volatilities. SunAmerica substantially hedges its exposure to equity markets. However, due to regulatory capital considerations, a significant portion of the interest rate exposure is unhedged. In 2011, SunAmerica experienced losses of $265 million from these unhedged positions, primarily as a result of the effect of declining interest rates. SunAmerica has purchased additional hedges and is contemplating additional capital-efficient strategies to reduce this interest rate exposure. SunAmerica is also exposed to the risk of policyholder behavior differing from that assumed in its pricing model.

Fixed Annuities

After a period of historic lows, interest rates generally increased at the longer part of the yield curve during the latter part of 2010 and through the first three months of 2011 before declining significantly in the latter part of 2011. Changes in the interest rate environment affect the relative attractiveness of fixed annuities compared to alternative products. As a result, SunAmerica's fixed annuity sales declined significantly in the last six months of 2011 compared to the first six months. If the low interest rate environment continues, SunAmerica expects fixed annuities sales (including deposits into fixed options within variable annuities sold in group retirement markets) to decline in 2012.

Life Insurance

SunAmerica's strategic focus includes disciplined underwriting, active expense management, product innovation, a high quality investment portfolio and a strong capital position. SunAmerica's distribution strategy is to grow new sales by strengthening the core retail independent distributor channel with investments in enhanced service technology, and expanding its market presence to additional channels and niche markets.

SunAmerica's retail life sales increased 17 percent during 2011. Based on industry information available through the first nine months of 2011, this growth rate exceeded that of the industry as SunAmerica continued to re-engage distributors lost during the 2008 economic crisis. SunAmerica anticipates this trend to continue in 2012. The economic environment has put pressure on consumer spending capacity, which, in part, has tempered sales of universal life products which typically have higher annual premiums than term products and also offer additional features.

The direct-to-consumer channel has proven effective for the distribution of certain types of less complex products, and provides opportunities to bring innovative product solutions to the market that take advantage of new underwriting technologies. Sales growth through SunAmerica's affiliated Matrix Direct channel outpaced retail sales growth and SunAmerica continues to have a positive outlook on future direct sales. The career distribution channel is focused on improving agent retention and productivity. Career distribution sales in 2011 benefitted from a product suite that has proven appealing to consumers, which is offered though a highly focused, affiliated distribution group using improved point-of-sale technologies. Steady growth from this channel is expected to continue.

Investments

SunAmerica built up a large cash and short-term investment position beginning in the fourth quarter of 2010 with the intention of purchasing all the assets in the ML II portfolio. Following the FRBNY's decision in early 2011 to begin selling the MLII assets through a competitive bidding process, SunAmerica began acquiring other fixed maturity investments, including certain securities from ML II. Beginning late in the first quarter of 2011, SunAmerica began investing its excess cash and liquid assets in longer-term higher-yielding securities to improve spreads, while actively managing credit and liquidity risks. SunAmerica substantially completed this reinvestment during the year, reducing its cash and short-term investment position from $19.4 billion at December 31, 2010 to $3.8 billion at December 31, 2011.

During 2011, SunAmerica sold approximately $12.9 billion of investments, which enhanced statutory capital and generated capital gains. The proceeds of these sales were reinvested at generally lower yields. The impact of these lower yields, however, was more than offset by the effect of cash redeployment discussed above. Additionally, during prolonged periods of low or declining interest rates, SunAmerica has to invest net flows and re-invest interest and principal payments from its investment portfolios in lower yielding securities.

Table of Contents

Interest Crediting Rates

SunAmerica's annuity and universal life products have contractual provisions that allow crediting rates to be reset at pre-established intervals subject to minimum crediting rate guarantees. Due to the contractual provisions for renewal of crediting rates and the minimum crediting rate guarantees, continuation of the current low interest rate environment may reduce SunAmerica's interest spreads which may reduce future profitability. SunAmerica partially mitigates this interest rate risk through its asset-liability management process, product design elements, and crediting rate strategies. A prolonged low interest rate environment may, nevertheless, negatively affect spreads on interest-sensitive business. As indicated in the table below, approximately 45 percent of SunAmerica's annuity and universal life account values are at their minimum crediting rates as of December 31, 2011. These products have minimum guaranteed interest rates as of December 31, 2011 ranging from 1.0 percent to 5.5 percent with the higher rates representing older product guarantees.

The following table presents account values by range of current minimum guaranteed interest rates and current crediting rates for SunAmerica's universal life and deferred fixed annuity products:

December 31, 2011	**Current Crediting Rates**			
Contractual Minimum Guaranteed Interest Rate ***(in millions)***	**At Contractual Minimum Guarantee**	**1-50 Basis Points Above Minimum Guarantee**	**More than 50 Basis Points Above Minimum Guarantee**	**Total**
Universal life insurance				
1%	$ -	$ -	$ 6	$ 6
> 1% - 2%	-	-	216	216
> 2% - 3%	62	131	1,490	1,683
> 3% - 4%	891	933	1,724	3,548
> 4% - 5%	4,060	958	184	5,202
> 5% - 5.5%	320	4	5	329
Subtotal	$ 5,333	$ 2,026	$ 3,625	$ 10,984
Fixed annuities				
1%	$ 378	$ 1,542	$ 5,828	$ 7,748
> 1% - 2%	1,978	5,220	16,361	23,559
> 2% - 3%	19,670	7,816	11,339	38,825
> 3% - 4%	11,407	2,782	1,288	15,477
> 4% - 5%	8,126	-	7	8,133
> 5% - 5.5%	243	-	5	248
Subtotal	$ 41,802	$ 17,360	$ 34,828	$ 93,990
Total	$ 47,135	$ 19,386	$ 38,453	$ 104,974
Percentage of total	45%	18%	37%	100%

In addition to the products discussed above, certain traditional long-duration products for which SunAmerica does not have the ability to adjust interest rates, such as payout annuities, are exposed to reduced earnings and potential losses in a prolonged low interest rate environment. For additional information, see Critical Accounting Estimates Future Policy Benefits for Life and Accident and Health Insurance Contracts (SunAmerica Companies).

Aircraft Leasing

ILFC continues to execute on its strategy of managing its fleet of aircraft by ordering new aircraft with high customer demand and through potential sales or part-outs of its older aircraft that cannot be economically leased to customers. As new and more fuel efficient aircraft enter the marketplace and negatively affect the demand for older aircraft, lease rates on older aircraft may deteriorate and ILFC may incur additional losses on sales or record impairment charges and fair value adjustments.

Table of Contents

In the near term, challenges in the global economy, including the European sovereign debt crisis, political uncertainty in the Middle East, and sustained higher fuel prices have negatively impacted many airlines' profitability, cash flows and liquidity, and increased the probability that some, including ones that are ILFC customers, will cease operations or file for bankruptcy. During the year ended December 31, 2011, ILFC had seven of its lessees cease operations or file for bankruptcy (or its equivalent) and return nine of its aircraft. Since December 31, 2011, ILFC has had four additional lessees cease operations or file for bankruptcy (or its equivalent) and return 42 of its aircraft. Of these aircraft, 17 remain to be re-leased as of February 21, 2012. Future events, including a prolonged recession, ongoing uncertainty regarding the European sovereign debt crisis, political unrest, continued weak consumer demand, high fuel prices, or restricted availability of credit to the aviation industry could lead to the weakening or cessation of operations of additional airlines, which in turn would adversely affect ILFC's earnings and cash flows.

On September 2, 2011, ILFC Holdings, Inc., an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of AIG, which is intended to become a holding company for ILFC, filed a registration statement on Form S-1 with the SEC for a proposed initial public offering. The number of shares to be offered, price range and timing for any offering have not been determined. The timing of any offering will depend on market conditions and no assurance can be given regarding the terms of any offering or that an offering will be completed.

Other Operations

Mortgage Guaranty

UGC has continued its strategy of differentiating itself from its competitors through its risk-based pricing approach and has emerged as a leading producer of new mortgage insurance business. UGC believes its differentiated pricing and underwriting practices have helped establish it as a leader in the industry. During 2011, UGC has significantly increased new insurance written over 2010 levels while improving the risk profile of its in force book of business. The mortgage insurance industry, however, has come under continued financial stress during 2011 due to the continued poor macroeconomic conditions with some mortgage insurers exceeding their respective regulatory capital leverage ratios. As a result, two of these insurers have ceased selling new insurance and the parent of one of these insurers was placed into bankruptcy. The withdrawal of these competitors from the market combined with the differentiation strategy that UGC implemented in late 2010 and early 2011 has positioned the company to take advantage of market opportunities. UGC plans to continue this strategy during 2012 with continuing improvements in market share and continued improvement in the risk profile of new business written.

In older books of business, primarily the 2005 to 2008 books, newly reported delinquencies declined while increased claims severity and overturns on previously denied claims unfavorably affected results. UGC continued to deny claims and rescind coverage on loans (collectively referred to as rescissions) related to fraudulent or undocumented claims, underwriting guideline violations and other deviations from contractual terms, mostly with respect to the 2006 and 2007 vintage books of business. These policy violations resulted in loan rescissions totaling $746 million of claims on first-lien business during 2011 compared to $781 million during 2010. Although rescissions will continue to have a positive effect on UGC's financial results, higher levels of appeals and overturns resulting from additional resources deployed by lenders and mortgage servicers to address loan documentation issues have offset rescissions. During 2011 rescissions totaling $411 million of risk were overturned compared to $172 million in 2010. While these items may increase volatility in the future, UGC believes it has provided appropriate reserves for currently delinquent loans after consideration of rescissions and overturns, consistent with industry practice. Additionally, during 2011, UGC changed its follow up practice for loans that had been delinquent approximately 24 months or more and were not expected to be cured. Beginning in the third quarter of 2011, UGC contacted lenders regarding 18,000 loans or approximately 19 percent of the delinquent inventory and requested that, in accordance with the terms of the respective master policies, the lender file a claim. By the end of 2011, UGC had received responses to approximately half of the requests. UGC continues to monitor and review the status of these requests as well as contacting lenders on an ongoing basis about additional delinquencies that meet these criteria. Under these master policies, if a claim is not submitted within a year of UGC's request, coverage will be cancelled.

Foreclosure moratoriums as a result of state attorneys general investigations into lenders' foreclosure practices and new financial regulations initiated in 2010 have slowed the reporting of claims from foreclosures, which has increased the uncertainty surrounding the determination of the liability. UGC's assumptions regarding future foreclosures on current delinquencies take into consideration this trend, although significant uncertainty remains surrounding the determination of the liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expenses. UGC expects that this trend may continue and may negatively affect UGC's future financial results. Final resolution of these issues is uncertain and UGC cannot reasonably estimate the ultimate financial impact that any resolution, individually or collectively, may have on its future results of operations or financial condition. In addition, UGC has segmented its reserving approach to consider slower development patterns and higher severity in certain states separately from other states. UGC expects to continue this practice as long as significant variances persist among states.

In March 2011, federal regulators, as required by Dodd-Frank, issued a proposed risk retention rule that included a definition of a Qualified Residential Mortgage (QRM) in respect of which issuers of asset-backed securities would not be subject to certain risk retention requirements. The QRM definition included, among other standards, a maximum loan-to-value ratio (LTV) of 80 percent for a home purchase transaction. The LTV is calculated without imputing any benefit from private mortgage insurance coverage that may be purchased for that loan. The final regulations could adversely impact UGC's volume of domestic first-lien new insurance written, depending on the final definition of a QRM, the maximum LTV allowed and the benefit, if any, ascribed to private mortgage insurance.

Global Capital Markets

The active wind-down of the AIGFP derivatives portfolio was completed by the end of the second quarter of 2011. Although the remaining AIGFP derivatives portfolio may experience periodic fair value volatility, the portfolio consists predominantly of transactions AIG believes are of low complexity, low risk, supportive of AIG's risk management objectives or not economically appropriate to unwind based on a cost versus benefit analysis.

Direct Investment Book

MIP assets and liabilities and certain non-derivative assets and liabilities of AIGFP (collectively the Direct Investment book or DIB) are currently managed collectively on a single program basis to limit the need for additional liquidity from AIG Parent. Liquidity requirements for the DIB are managed by transferring cash between AIG Parent and AIGFP as needed.

Program management is focused on winding down this portfolio over time, and reducing and managing its liquidity needs, including contingent liquidity arising from collateral posting, for both derivative and debt positions of the DIB. As part of this program management, AIG may from time to time access the capital markets, subject to market conditions. In addition, AIG may seek to buy back debt or sell assets on an opportunistic basis, subject to market conditions.

Certain non-derivative assets and liabilities of the DIB are accounted for under the fair value option and thus operating results are subject to periodic market volatility.

Retained Interests

Retained Interests may continue to experience volatility due to fair value gains or losses on the AIA ordinary shares and the retained interest in ML III. At December 31, 2011, AIG owned approximately 33 percent of the outstanding shares of AIA. A one Hong Kong dollar change in AIA's share price would result in an approximate $500 million change in AIG's pre-tax income.

Corporate & Other

In 2011, AIG completed the Recapitalization, executed transactions in the debt and equity capital markets and substantially completed its asset disposition plan. It is expected that declines in interest expense and disposition activity costs will be at least partially offset in the short term by increases in other corporate expenses, primarily

attributable to corporate initiatives and efforts to continue improving internal controls and financial and operating technology platforms.

On October 18, 2011, the Financial Stability Oversight Council (the FSOC) published a second notice of proposed rulemaking and related interpretive guidance under Dodd-Frank regarding the designation of non-bank systemically important financial institutions (SIFIs). The new proposal sets forth a three-stage determination process for designating non-bank SIFIs. In Stage 1, FSOC would apply a set of uniform quantitative thresholds to identify the nonbank financial companies that will be subject to further evaluation. Based on its financial condition as of December 31, 2011, AIG would meet the criteria in Stage 1 and would be subject to further evaluation by the FSOC in the SIFI determination process. Because Stages 2 and 3 as proposed would involve qualitative judgment by the FSOC, AIG cannot predict whether it would be designated as a non-bank SIFI under the proposed rule. See Item 1. Business Regulation and Item 1A. Risk Factors for additional information.

The remainder of MD&A is organized as follows:

Index	Page
Results of Operations	61
Consolidated Results	62
Segment Results	71
Chartis Operations	71
Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense	85
SunAmerica Operations	106
Aircraft Leasing Operations	113
Other Operations	115
Capital Resources and Liquidity	122
Overview	122
Liquidity Adequacy Management	122
Analysis of Sources and Uses of Cash	123
Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries	124
Debt	131
Credit Facilities	133
Contractual Obligations	135
Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Commercial Commitments	137
Dividends from Insurance Subsidiaries	138
Regulation and Supervision	138
Investments	139
Investment Strategies	139
Impairments	153
Other-Than-Temporary Impairments	154
Enterprise Risk Management	158
Overview	158
Credit Risk Management	160
Market Risk Management	166
Operational Risk Management	168
Business Unit Risk Management	169
Critical Accounting Estimates	177

AIG has incorporated into this discussion a number of cross-references to additional information included throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K to assist readers seeking additional information related to a particular subject.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

In order to align financial reporting with changes made during 2011 to the manner in which AIG's chief operating decision makers review the businesses to assess performance and make decisions about resources to be allocated, AIG changed its segments in the third quarter of 2011.

AIG now reports the results of its operations

through three reportable segments: Chartis, SunAmerica Financial Group (SunAmerica), and Aircraft Leasing. Through these reportable segments, AIG provides insurance, financial and investment products and services to both businesses and individuals in more than 130 countries. AIG's subsidiaries serve commercial, institutional and individual customers through an extensive property-casualty and life insurance and retirement services network. Aircraft Leasing includes commercial aircraft and equipment leasing. AIG's Other operations category consists of businesses and items not allocated to AIG's reportable segments.

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS

During 2011, AIG experienced significant favorable developments, including the completion of the Recapitalization in January 2011, the wind-down of AIGFP's portfolios, the sale of certain life insurance businesses, emergence from cumulative losses in recent years and a return to sustainable operating profits within its primary operations. Notably:

- AIG released approximately $16.6 billion of the deferred tax asset valuation allowance for the U.S. consolidated income tax group. The fourth quarter effect was $17.7 billion, which included valuation allowance increases during the first nine months of 2011. See Critical Accounting Estimates Recoverability of Deferred Tax Asset herein and Note 22 of the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information;
- Chartis prior year adverse loss reserve development declined by $4.6 billion to $211 million;
- Interest expense declined $4.1 billion, primarily due to the termination of the FRBNY Credit Facility; and
- Improving equity markets contributed to a $1.3 billion increase in the market valuation of AIG's holding of AIA ordinary shares.

Offsetting these favorable developments were record catastrophe losses for Chartis and the effects of several macroeconomic drivers, including declining equity markets, widening credit spreads, and declining interest rates, including:

- Total catastrophe losses of $3.3 billion for Chartis in 2011 compared to $1.1 billion in 2010;
- Aircraft Leasing losses of $1.7 billion due to impairment charges, fair value adjustments and lease-related charges on aircraft;
- The widening of credit spreads, reduced interest rates, and changes in the timing of estimated future cash flows drove declines of $604 million in the recorded fair value of the Maiden Lane Interests;
- AIG recognized a $3.3 billion loss on extinguishment of debt related to the termination of the FRBNY Credit Facility, which was partially offset by a $484 million gain on extinguishment of debt associated with the exchange of junior subordinated debt; and
- Components of operating results decreased due to the deconsolidation of AIA in the fourth quarter of 2010.

Table of Contents

The following table presents AIG's consolidated results of operations (comparability with 2010 and 2009 is affected by the deconsolidation of AIA in the fourth quarter of 2010):

Years Ended December 31,				Percentage Change	
(in millions)	2011	2010	2009	2011 vs. 2010	2010 vs. 2009
Revenues:					
Premiums	$ **38,990**	$ 45,319	$ 48,583	(14)%	(7)%
Policy fees	**2,705**	2,710	2,656	-	2
Net investment income	**14,755**	20,934	18,992	(30)	10
Net realized capital gains (losses)	**521**	(175)	(5,210)	NM	97
Aircraft leasing revenue	**4,508**	4,749	4,967	(5)	(4)
Other income	**2,758**	3,989	5,459	(31)	(27)
Total revenues	**64,237**	77,526	75,447	(17)	3
Benefits, claims and expenses:					
Policyholder benefits and claims incurred	**33,449**	41,394	45,311	(19)	(9)
Interest credited to policyholder account balances	**4,446**	4,480	4,704	(1)	(5)
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs	**8,019**	9,134	9,442	(12)	(3)
Other acquisition and insurance expenses	**6,091**	6,775	6,818	(10)	(1)
Interest expense	**3,871**	7,981	14,358	(51)	(44)
Aircraft leasing expenses	**3,974**	4,050	2,385	(2)	70
Net loss on extinguishment of debt	**2,908**	104	-	NM	NM
Net (gain) loss on sale of properties and divested businesses	**74**	(17,767)	1,271	NM	NM
Other expenses	**2,470**	3,439	5,465	(28)	(37)
Total benefits, claims and expenses	**65,302**	59,590	89,754	10	(34)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit)	**(1,065)**	17,936	(14,307)	NM	NM
Income tax expense (benefit)	**(18,036)**	5,859	(1,489)	NM	NM
Income (loss) from continuing operations	**16,971**	12,077	(12,818)	41	NM
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income tax expense (benefit)	**1,535**	(2,064)	505	NM	NM
Net income (loss)	**18,506**	10,013	(12,313)	85	NM
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	**708**	2,227	(1,364)	(68)	NM
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG	$ **17,798**	$ 7,786	$ (10,949)	129%	NM%

The comparisons of 2011 and 2010 results to the respective prior year follow:

Premiums

2011 and 2010 Comparison

Premiums decreased in 2011 compared to 2010 primarily due to the deconsolidation in the fourth quarter of 2010 of AIA, which accounted for $9.3 billion of premiums in 2010. The decline in premiums for 2011 compared to 2010 was partially offset by an increase in Chartis

premiums, primarily resulting from the consolidation of Fuji commencing in the third quarter of 2010, and the favorable effect of foreign exchange rates.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Premiums decreased in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to a reduction of $3.3 billion related to 2009 dispositions that did not meet the criteria for discontinued operations accounting. These dispositions included HSB Group, Inc. (HSB), 21st Century Insurance Group (including Agency Auto Division and excluding Chartis

Table of Contents

Private Client Group) (21st Century) and AIG Life of Canada (AIG Life Canada), as well as the deconsolidation of Transatlantic Holdings, Inc (Transatlantic) in 2009.

Policy Fees

2011 and 2010 Comparison

Policy fees decreased slightly in 2011 compared to 2010 as higher variable annuity fee income was more than offset by lower surrender charges due to decreased surrender rates and universal life unlockings.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Policy fees increased slightly for 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to higher variable annuity fees on separate account assets consistent with the growth in variable accounts assets as a result of favorable equity market conditions in late 2010.

Net Investment Income

The following table summarizes the components of consolidated Net investment income:

				Percentage Change	
	Years Ended December 31,				
(in millions)	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**	**2011 vs. 2010**	**2010 vs. 2009**
Fixed maturity securities, including short-term investments	**$ 11,814**	$ 14,445	$ 14,535	(18)%	(1)%
Change in fair value of ML II	**42**	513	(25)	(92)	NM
Change in fair value of ML III	**(646)**	1,792	419	NM	328
Change in fair value of AIA securities	**1,289**	(638)	-	NM	NM
Change in the fair value of MetLife securities prior to their sale	**(157)**	665	-	NM	NM
Equity securities	**92**	234	186	(61)	26
Interest on mortgage and other loans	**1,065**	1,268	1,347	(16)	(6)
Alternative investments*	**1,213**	1,602	4	(24)	NM
Mutual funds	**47**	(25)	315	NM	NM
Real estate	**107**	126	139	(15)	(9)
Other investments	**398**	557	306	(29)	82
Total investment income before policyholder income and trading gains	**15,264**	20,539	17,226	(26)	19
Policyholder investment income and trading gains	**-**	886	2,305	NM	(62)
Total investment income	**15,264**	21,425	19,531	(29)	10
Investment expenses	**509**	491	539	4	(9)
Net investment income	**$ 14,755**	$ 20,934	$ 18,992	(30)%	10%

* *Includes hedge funds, private equity funds and affordable housing partnerships.*

2011 and 2010 Comparison

Net investment income decreased primarily due to the following:

- the effect of the deconsolidation of AIA in the fourth quarter of 2010. AIA net investment income prior to deconsolidation in 2010 totaled $4.0 billion, which included $886 million in policyholder trading gains;
- a decline in the fair value of the Maiden Lane Interests of $604 million due to significant widening of credit spreads and reduced interest rates compared to gains of $2.3 billion in 2010; and
- fair value losses on the MetLife securities prior to their sale in March 2011.

Table of Contents

These decreases were partially offset by:

- fair value gains on the AIA ordinary shares in 2011 compared to losses in 2010; and
- an increase in income from fixed maturity securities, excluding the effect of the AIA deconsolidation, due to higher average invested asset balances as a result of the cash redeployment in 2011.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Net investment income increased in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to significantly higher income from private equity funds and hedge fund investments due to an improved market environment compared to 2009, and increased valuation gains associated with the Maiden Lane Interests.

These increases were partially offset by a decline in policyholder trading gains compared to 2009. Policyholder trading gains are offset by a change in Policyholder benefits and claims incurred and generally reflect the trends in equity markets, principally in Asia.

Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)

The following table summarizes the components of consolidated Net realized capital gains (losses):

	Years Ended December 31,			Percentage Change	
(in millions)	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**	**2011 vs. 2010**	**2010 vs. 2009**
Sales of fixed maturity securities	**$ 1,913**	$ 1,846	$ 849	4%	117%
Sales of equity securities	**164**	725	303	(77)	139
Other-than-temporary impairments:					
Severity	**(51)**	(73)	(1,510)	30	95
Change in intent	**(12)**	(441)	(958)	97	54
Foreign currency declines	**(32)**	(63)	(112)	49	44
Issuer-specific credit events	**(1,165)**	(2,457)	(3,979)	53	38
Adverse projected cash flows	**(20)**	(5)	(137)	(300)	96
Provision for loan losses	**48**	(304)	(614)	NM	50
Change in the fair value of MetLife securities prior to the sale	**(191)**	315	-	NM	NM
Foreign exchange transactions	**(116)**	178	(616)	NM	NM
Derivative instruments	**297**	138	1,724	115	(92)
Other	**(314)**	(34)	(160)	NM	79
Net realized capital gains (losses)	**$ 521**	$ (175)	$ (5,210)	NM	97

2011 and 2010 Comparison

AIG recognized net realized capital gains in 2011 compared to net realized capital losses in 2010 due to the following:

- lower other-than-temporary impairment charges from issuer-specific credit events and changes in intent; and

- improvement in the provision for loan losses due to an increase in collateral values as a result of improved operating performance and improved market conditions.

These gains were partially offset by the following:

- lower gains on sales of equity securities in 2011 compared to 2010 due to higher sales in 2010 resulting from the continuing insurance companies' portfolio repositioning;

- fair value losses in 2011 compared to gains in 2010 on the MetLife securities prior to their sale in March 2011;

- foreign exchange transaction losses incurred in 2011 compared to gains in 2010, primarily from the weakening of the U.S. dollar against the Swiss Franc and Japanese Yen, partially offset by the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the Euro and the British Pound; and

- the deconsolidation of AIA.

Table of Contents

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Net realized capital losses decreased in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to the following:

- increased gains on sales of fixed maturity and equity securities in 2010;
- lower other-than-temporary impairment charges for fixed maturity securities in 2010 as a result of the adoption of the other-than-temporary impairments accounting standard commencing in the second quarter of 2009. See Investments Impairments Other-Than-Temporary Impairments herein and Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements; and
- foreign exchange transaction gains incurred in 2010 compared to losses in 2009 primarily resulting from the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the Euro and the British Pound compared to 2009.

These improvements were partially offset by lower gains from derivative instruments not designated for hedge accounting, particularly those used to hedge foreign exchange movements.

Aircraft Leasing Revenue

2011 and 2010 Comparison

Aircraft leasing revenue decreased slightly, primarily due to a reduction in ILFC's average fleet size resulting from sales of aircraft and the impact of lower lease revenue earned on re-leased aircraft in its fleet. In 2011, ILFC had an average of 932 aircraft in its fleet, compared to 963 in 2010.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Aircraft leasing revenue decreased slightly due to a reduction in ILFC's average fleet size resulting from sales of aircraft and the impact of lower lease rates on used aircraft. In 2010, ILFC had an average of 963 aircraft in its fleet, compared to 974 in 2009.

Other Income

2011 and 2010 Comparison

The decline in Other income for 2011 compared to 2010 was driven by:

- a decline of $559 million in credit valuation adjustment gains on Direct Investment book non-derivative assets and liabilities;
- a decline of $259 million in unrealized market valuation adjustment gains on the AIGFP super senior credit default swap portfolio;
- a decline of $172 million in unrealized market valuation adjustments on the AIGFP credit default swap contracts referencing single-name exposures written on corporate, index and asset-backed credits, due to losses in 2011 compared to gains in 2010; and

a bargain purchase gain of $332 million recognized in the first quarter of 2010 related to the acquisition of Fuji, which is further discussed in Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

These declines were partially offset by significantly lower levels of real estate investment impairment charges in 2011.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

The decline in Other income for 2010 compared to 2009 was driven by a decline of $1.0 billion and $975 million in credit valuation adjustments on Direct Investment book non-derivative assets and liabilities and AIGFP derivative assets and liabilities, respectively, as well as a decline of $820 million in unrealized market valuation adjustments on the AIGFP super senior credit default swap portfolio. This decline was partially offset by a bargain

Table of Contents

purchase gain of $332 million related to the Fuji acquisition and reduced losses from AIGFP from lower unwind costs.

Policyholder Benefits and Claims Incurred

2011 and 2010 Comparison

Policyholder benefits and claims incurred decreased in 2011 compared to 2010 as a result of the following:

- a decline of $8.7 billion related to the deconsolidation of AIA; and
- Chartis' $72 million of net reserve strengthening in 2011 compared to net strengthening of $4.3 billion in 2010.

This decline was partially offset by:

- increased catastrophe losses throughout the year, including the Thailand floods in the fourth quarter of 2011, Hurricane Irene in the third quarter of 2011, the U.S. tornadoes in the second quarter of 2011, and the Tohoku Catastrophe in the first quarter of 2011;
- the effect of Chartis' consolidation of Fuji;
- increased claims and claims adjustment expenses for Mortgage Guaranty operations due to increased overturns of denied and rescinded claims; and
- increased claims at SunAmerica due to enhanced death benefit reserving practices.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Policyholder benefits and claims incurred decreased in 2010 primarily due to:

- a reduction of $2.2 billion as a result of the dispositions in 2009 noted above that did not meet the criteria for discontinued operations accounting;
- a decrease in incurred policy losses and benefit expenses for AIA of $1.3 billion related to a decline in policyholder trading gains which are discussed above in Net Investment Income; and
- a decrease in claims and claims adjustment expense of $2.4 billion for Mortgage Guaranty operations primarily due to lower levels of newly reported delinquencies in the first-lien, second-lien and international products, higher cure rates on existing first-lien and international delinquent loans and the recognition of stop loss limits on certain second-lien policies.

Partially offsetting these declines were:

the net $4.3 billion of reserve strengthening in 2010 compared to reserve strengthening of $2.8 billion in 2009;

increased catastrophe losses for Chartis; and

the effect of the consolidation of Fuji in 2010.

See Segments Results Chartis Operations Chartis Results herein for further discussion.

Amortization of Deferred Acquisition Costs

2011 and 2010 Comparison

The decrease in Amortization of deferred acquisition costs in 2011 compared to 2010 resulted primarily from the deconsolidation of AIA in the fourth quarter of 2010. The AIA amortization of deferred acquisition costs in 2010 totaled $977 million.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

The decrease in Amortization of deferred acquisition costs in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily resulted from the dispositions of HSB, 21st Century, AIG Life Canada and Transatlantic in 2009 and from the decrease in amortization for SunAmerica related to improved equity market conditions.

Other Acquisition and Insurance Expenses

2011 and 2010 Comparison

Other acquisition and insurance expenses decreased in 2011 compared to 2010 as a result of the deconsolidation of AIA in the fourth quarter of 2010, partially offset by the consolidation of Fuji commencing in the third quarter of 2010. AIA other acquisition and insurance expenses in 2010 totaled $1.6 billion.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Other acquisition and insurance expenses decreased slightly in 2010 compared to 2009 as a result of a $1.0 billion decrease related to the dispositions in 2009 noted above, partially offset by increased expenses at Chartis, primarily resulting from the consolidation of Fuji noted above.

Interest Expense

2011 and 2010 Comparison

Interest expense decreased in 2011 compared to 2010 primarily as a result of the repayment and termination of the FRBNY Credit Facility on January 14, 2011. Interest expense on the FRBNY Credit Facility was $72 million in 2011 through the date of termination compared to $4.1 billion in 2010, including amortization of the prepaid commitment fee asset of $48 million and $3.5 billion in 2011 and 2010, respectively. See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion regarding the repayment of the FRBNY Credit Facility in connection with the Recapitalization in January 2011.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Interest expense decreased in 2010 primarily due to lower interest expense on the FRBNY Credit Facility reflecting a reduced weighted average interest rate on borrowings, a lower average outstanding balance and a decline in amortization of the prepaid commitment fee asset as set forth below.

Years Ended December 31, ***(dollars in millions)***	**2010**	**2009**
Weighted average interest rate	3.3%	4.5%
Average outstanding balance (excluding paid in kind interest)	$ 18,775	$ 37,358
Periodic amortization of prepaid commitment fee asset	$ 1,766	$ 3,174
Accelerated amortization of prepaid commitment fee asset	$ 1,705	$ 5,185

Aircraft Leasing Expenses

ILFC recorded impairment charges, fair value adjustments and lease-related charges of $1.7 billion in both 2011 and 2010 and charges of $51 million in 2009. See Segment Results Aircraft Leasing Operations Aircraft Leasing Results for additional information.

Table of Contents

Net Loss on Extinguishment of Debt

The loss on extinguishment of debt for 2011 includes:

- a $3.3 billion charge primarily consisting of the accelerated amortization of the remaining prepaid commitment fee asset resulting from the termination of the FRBNY Credit Facility, which is further discussed in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements; and

- a $484 million gain on extinguishment related to the junior subordinated debt exchange in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Net (Gain) Loss on Sale of Divested Businesses and Properties

Net (gain) loss on sale of divested businesses and properties includes the net (gain) loss on the sale of divested businesses that did not qualify as discontinued operations as well as gains and losses from property disposals in connection with AIG's restructuring program.

The gain in 2010 primarily represents a gain of $16.3 billion on the sale of 67 percent of AIA, a gain of $228 million associated with the termination fee paid by Prudential plc to AIG related to the termination of the agreement to purchase AIA and a $1.3 billion gain on the sale of the Otemachi building in Japan. See Segment Results Chartis Operations Chartis Results Chartis Other Chartis Other Results herein for further information.

Other Expenses

2011 and 2010 Comparison

Other expenses decreased in 2011 compared to 2010 due to;

- lower securities-related litigation charges; and

- lower restructuring charges.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Other expenses decreased in 2010 compared to 2009 due to:

- goodwill impairment charges of $612 million recorded in 2009 related to the Institutional Asset Management business;

- lower compensation-related costs for the Institutional Asset Management business, including the effect of deconsolidation of certain portfolio investments and the sale of the Swiss bank; and

- lower provisions for credit losses for consumer finance businesses not presented as discontinued operations.

Income Taxes

2011 Effective Tax Rate

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the effective tax rate on pretax loss from continuing operations was not meaningful, due to the significant effect of releasing approximately $16.6 billion of the deferred tax asset valuation allowance. Other less significant factors that contributed to the difference from the statutory rate included tax benefits of $454 million associated with tax exempt interest income, $346 million associated with the effect of foreign operations, and $224 million associated with AIG's investment in subsidiaries and partnerships

2010 Effective Tax Rate

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the effective tax rate on pre-tax income from continuing operations was 32.7 percent. The effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2010, attributable to continuing operations

differed from the statutory rate primarily due to tax benefits of $1.3 billion associated with AIG's investment in subsidiaries and partnerships, principally the AIA SPV which is treated as a partnership for U.S. tax purposes, and $587 million associated with tax exempt interest, partially offset by an increase in the valuation allowance attributable to continuing operations of $1.5 billion.

2009 Effective Tax Rate

For the year ended December 31, 2009, the effective tax rate on the pre-tax loss from continuing operations was 10.4 percent. The effective tax rate on the pre-tax loss from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2009, differed from the statutory rate primarily due to increases in the valuation allowance of $3.1 billion and reserve for uncertain tax positions of $874 million, partially offset by tax exempt interest of $677 million and the change in investment in subsidiaries and partnerships of $473 million which was principally related to changes in the estimated U.S. tax liability with respect to sales of subsidiaries.

See Critical Accounting Estimates Recoverability of Deferred Tax Asset herein and Note 22 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Discontinued Operations

Income (loss) from Discontinued Operations is comprised of the following:

Years Ended December 31, ***(in millions)***	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**
Foreign life insurance businesses	**$ 1,133**	$ (1,237)	$ 2,581
AGF	**-**	(145)	(904)
Net gain (loss) on sale	**942**	1,588	(2,758)
Consolidation adjustments	**(1)**	(356)	54
Interest allocation	**(2)**	(75)	(89)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	**2,072**	(225)	(1,116)
Income tax expense (benefit)	**537**	1,839	(1,621)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	**$ 1,535**	$ (2,064)	$ 505

Significant items affecting the comparison of results from discontinued operations included the following:

- a pre-tax gain of $2.0 billion on the sale of AIG Star and AIG Edison in 2011;
- impairments of goodwill in 2010 of $4.6 billion related to ALICO, AIG Star and AIG Edison. See Note 2(j) to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion;
- a pre-tax gain of $4.1 billion on the sale of ALICO in 2010;
- a pre-tax loss of approximately $1.7 billion on the sale of AGF in 2010;

- a pre-tax loss of $2.8 billion recognized in 2009 related to the sale of Nan Shan, as well as an additional loss on sale of $874 million recognized in 2010; and

- tax effects of the above transactions, notably the impact of non-deductible goodwill impairments and the change in investment in subsidiaries, which was principally related to changes in the estimated U.S. tax liability with respect to the planned sales.

See Notes 4 and 22 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

SEGMENT RESULTS

AIG presents and discusses its financial information in the following manner, which it believes is most meaningful to its financial statement users. AIG analyzes the operating performance of its segments as follows:

Chartis underwriting profit (loss), which is derived by reducing net premiums earned by claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred and underwriting expenses, and is before net investment income, net realized capital gains (losses), bargain purchase gain and other income (expense) net;

SunAmerica and Aircraft Leasing Operating income (loss), which is before net realized capital gains (losses) and related DAC and SIA amortization; and

Results from discontinued operations and net gains (losses) on sales of divested businesses are excluded from these measures.

AIG believes that these measures allow for a better assessment and enhanced understanding of the operating performance of each business by highlighting the results from ongoing operations and the underlying profitability of its businesses. When such measures are disclosed, reconciliations to GAAP pre-tax income are provided.

In order to align financial reporting with changes made during 2011 to the manner in which AIG's chief operating decision makers review the businesses to assess performance and make decisions about resources to be allocated, AIG changed its segments in the third quarter of 2011. See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on AIG's segment changes.

Prior period amounts were reclassified to conform to the current period presentation for the above items. Additionally, certain other reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts in the Consolidated Statement of Operations and Consolidated Balance Sheet to conform to the current period presentation.

The following table summarizes the operations of each reportable segment. See also Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**	**Percentage Change** **2011 vs. 2010**	**2010 vs. 2009**
Total revenues:					
Chartis	**$ 40,702**	$ 37,196	$ 35,023	9%	6%
SunAmerica	**15,315**	14,747	11,366	4	30
Aircraft Leasing	**4,457**	4,718	4,992	(6)	(5)
Total reportable segments	**60,474**	56,661	51,381	7	10
Other Operations	**4,079**	21,405	25,264	(81)	(15)
Consolidation and eliminations	**(316)**	(540)	(1,198)	41	55
Total	**64,237**	77,526	75,447	(17)	3
Pre-tax income (loss):					
Chartis	**1,698**	(116)	164	NM	NM
SunAmerica	**2,910**	2,712	(1,179)	7	NM
Aircraft Leasing	**(1,005)**	(729)	1,385	(38)	NM

Total reportable segments	**3,603**	1,867	370	93	405
Other Operations	**(4,699)**	15,893	(14,193)	NM	NM
Consolidation and eliminations	**31**	176	(484)	(82)	NM
Total	**$ (1,065)**	$ 17,936	$ (14,307)	NM%	NM%

<u>Chartis Operations</u>

Chartis is a leading property-casualty and general insurance organization with over 44,000 employees serving more than 70 million clients around the world. During 2011, Chartis completed a reorganization of its operations and now presents its financial information in two operating segments Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance as well as a Chartis Other operations category. Previously, Chartis presented its financial information

under Chartis U.S. and Chartis International. Prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

As previously noted, AIG presents and discusses its financial information in a manner it believes is most meaningful to its financial statement users. AIG analyzes the operating performance of Chartis using underwriting profit (loss) and pre-tax income (loss). Underwriting profit (loss) is derived by reducing net premiums earned by claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred and underwriting expenses. Net premiums written are initially deferred and earned based upon the terms of the underlying policies for short duration contracts. The unearned premium reserve constitutes deferred revenues which are generally recognized in earnings ratably over the policy period. Net premiums written for long duration contracts are earned when due from the policyholder. Net premiums written reflect the premiums retained after purchasing reinsurance protection.

Chartis, along with most property and casualty insurance companies, uses the loss ratio, the expense ratio and the combined ratio as measures of underwriting performance. The loss ratio is the sum of claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred divided by net premiums earned. The expense ratio is underwriting expenses, which consist of acquisition costs plus other insurance expenses, divided by net premiums earned. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss ratio and the expense ratio. These ratios are relative measurements that describe, for every $100 of net premiums earned, the amount of claims and claims adjustment expenses, and other underwriting expenses that would be incurred. A combined ratio of less than 100 indicates an underwriting profit and over 100 indicates an underwriting loss.

The underwriting environment varies across countries and products, as does the degree of litigation activity, all of which affect such ratios. In addition, investment returns, local taxes, cost of capital, regulation, product type and competition can have an effect on pricing and consequently on profitability as reflected in underwriting profit and associated ratios.

Chartis will continue to assess the performance of its operating segments based in part on underwriting profit, loss ratio, expense ratio and combined ratio. Chartis believes these metrics provide long-term measures of the performance of the business compared to historical results and peer companies. In addition, Chartis is developing new value based metrics that provide management shorter-term measures to evaluate its performance across multiple lines and various countries. As an example, Chartis has implemented a risk-adjusted profitability model as a business performance measure, which it will continue to refine. Along with underwriting results, this risk-adjusted profitability model incorporates elements of capital allocations, costs of capital and net investment income. Chartis believes that such performance measures will allow it to manage changes in its business mix.

Investment income is allocated to the Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance segments based on an internal investment income allocation model. The model estimates investable funds based upon the loss reserves, unearned premium and a capital allocation for each segment. The investment income allocation is calculated based on the estimated investable funds and risk-free yields (plus an illiquidity premium) consistent with the approximate duration of the liabilities. The actual yields in excess of the allocated amounts and the investment income from the assets not attributable to the Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance segments are assigned to Chartis Other.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, results reflect the effects of the full year of Fuji operations, while the corresponding 2010 period reflects the effects of Fuji for only two quarters, because Chartis began consolidating Fuji's operating results on July 1, 2010. Fuji operations primarily relate to Consumer Insurance.

Table of Contents

Chartis Results

The following table presents Chartis results:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*		**2011**		**2010**		**2009**	**Percentage Change** 2011 vs. 2010	2010 vs. 2009
Underwriting results:								
Net premiums written	**$**	**34,840**	$	31,612	$	30,653	10%	3%
Decrease in unearned premiums		**849**		909		1,608	(7)	(43)
Net premiums earned		**35,689**		32,521		32,261	10	1
Claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred		**27,949**		27,867		25,362	-	10
Underwriting expenses		**10,972**		10,114		9,497	8	6
Underwriting loss		**(3,232)**		(5,460)		(2,598)	41	(110)
Investing and other results:								
Net investment income		**4,348**		4,392		3,292	(1)	33
Net realized capital gains (losses)		**587**		(49)		(530)	NM	91
Bargain purchase gain		**-**		332		-	NM	NM
Other income (expense) net*		**(5)**		669		-	NM	NM
Pre-tax income (loss)	**$**	**1,698**	$	(116)	$	164	NM%	NM%

* *Includes gain on divested properties of $669 million in 2010.*

2011 and 2010 Comparison

Chartis recognized pre-tax income in 2011 compared to a pre-tax loss in 2010 primarily due to the decrease in the loss ratio, partially offset by the effect of increased catastrophe losses in 2011, detailed as follows:

Net prior year adverse loss development, net of premiums and loss-sensitive premium adjustments, decreased from $4.3 billion in 2010 to $72 million in 2011.

The combined ratio declined to 109.0 in 2011 from 116.8 in 2010. Catastrophe losses were $3.3 billion in 2011 compared to $1.1 billion in 2010. The combined ratio, excluding catastrophe losses, was 99.8 in 2011, compared to 113.5 in 2010, a 13.7 point improvement.

Net realized capital gains on sales of fixed maturity securities increased in connection with Chartis' strategy to better align investment allocations with current overall performance and income tax planning objectives.

Also, Chartis realized an increase in net premiums written primarily related to the acquisition of Fuji, which Chartis began consolidating on July 1, 2010, and in the Commercial Insurance property lines, which experienced improved pricing and modifications to its reinsurance program.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Chartis reported a pre-tax loss in 2010 compared to pre-tax income in 2009 primarily due to the increase in the net prior year adverse loss development, net of premiums and loss-sensitive premium adjustments, from $2.8 billion in 2009 to $4.3 billion in 2010. In addition, catastrophe losses increased by $1.0 billion. These were partially offset by an increase in net premiums written, primarily related to the Fuji acquisition, as well as improved market conditions and the impact of gains in 2010 related to the acquisition of Fuji and the sale of the Otemachi Building.

Chartis Net Premiums Written

Net premiums written are the sales of an insurer, adjusted for reinsurance premiums assumed and ceded, during a given period. Net premiums earned are the revenue of an insurer for covering risk during a given period. Net premiums written are a measure of performance for a sales period while net premiums earned are a measure of performance for a coverage period. From the period in which the premiums are written until the period in which they are recognized, the amount is part of the unearned premium reserve.

Table of Contents

The following table presents Chartis net premiums written by major line of business:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	2011	2010	2009	Percentage Change 2011 vs. 2010	Percentage Change 2010 vs. 2009
Commercial Insurance:					
Casualty	**$ 9,819**	$ 9,945	$ 10,492	(1)%	(5)%
Property	**3,928**	3,172	3,526	24	(10)
Specialty	**3,545**	3,342	3,467	6	(4)
Financial lines	**4,177**	4,007	3,976	4	1
Total Commercial Insurance	**21,469**	20,466	21,461	5	(5)
Consumer Insurance:					
Accident & health	**6,006**	5,442	5,015	10	9
Personal lines	**6,579**	5,281	4,081	25	29
Life insurance	**756**	333	-	127	NM
Total Consumer Insurance	**13,341**	11,056	9,096	21	22
Other	**30**	90	96	(67)	(6)
Total net premiums written	**$ 34,840**	$ 31,612	$ 30,653	10%	3%

The following table presents the effect of the acquisition of Fuji on Chartis net premiums written:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	2011	2010
Chartis Net Premiums Written:		
Commercial Insurance, excluding Fuji	**$ 21,125**	$ 20,381
Consumer Insurance, excluding Fuji	**9,713**	9,394
Other	**30**	90
Total net premiums written, excluding Fuji	**30,868**	29,865
Fuji Commercial Insurance	**344**	85
Fuji Consumer Insurance	**3,628**	1,662
Total Fuji net premiums written*	**3,972**	1,747
Total Commercial Insurance	**21,469**	20,466
Total Consumer Insurance	**13,341**	11,056
Total Other	**30**	90
Total net premiums written	**$ 34,840**	$ 31,612

*

For the year ended December 31, 2011, results reflect the effects of the full year of Fuji operations, while the corresponding 2010 period reflects the effects of Fuji for only two quarters, because Chartis began consolidating Fuji's operating results on July 1, 2010.

2011 and 2010 Comparison

Chartis' net premiums written increased in 2011 compared to 2010 due to the Fuji acquisition, the improvement in foreign currency exchange rates, primarily in the Japanese Yen, and further growth in the strategic higher value lines of business. These increases were partially offset by the decline in Commercial Casualty business in 2011 and more specifically the effects of risk management initiatives in workers' compensation and certain other lines of business in Chartis. They also reflect Chartis' continued commitment to maintain price discipline in lines where market rates are unsatisfactory. Excluding the effects of the Fuji acquisition, Chartis' net premiums written increased in 2011 by 3.4 percent compared to 2010.

The year ended December 31, 2011 reflects net premiums written related to Fuji of $4.0 billion compared to $1.7 billion in 2010. The year ended December 31, 2011 also reflects the effects of overall improvements in ratable exposures (i.e., asset values, payrolls and sales), general pricing improvement and retrospective premium adjustments on loss-sensitive contracts.

Table of Contents

Chartis has continued a strategy that started in 2010 to improve the allocation of its reinsurance between traditional reinsurance markets and capital markets. As part of this strategy, Chartis has secured $1.45 billion in protection for U.S. hurricanes and earthquakes through three separate catastrophe bond transactions. In 2011, Chartis secured $575 million in a bond transaction and in 2010, $875 million through two separate bond transactions. These bond transactions in 2011 and 2010 reduced net premiums written by approximately $201 million and $208 million, respectively.

Growing higher value Consumer business continues to be a key strategy. Total Consumer Insurance net premiums written increased 21 percent for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 2010. Excluding the effects of foreign exchange and the Fuji acquisition, Consumer Insurance net premiums written declined by one percent, primarily due to the non-renewal of certain programs in the U.S. and Canada region that did not meet internal performance targets.

In 2011, management implemented certain initiatives designed to provide for a more effective use of capital, including:

- restructuring the renewals of certain Commercial Casualty loss-sensitive programs from a retrospectively rated premium structure to a loss reimbursement deductible structure; and

- the decision to cease writing excess workers' compensation business as a stand-alone product.

The effect of these actions decreased premiums in 2011 by approximately $0.6 billion. However, given the capital intensive nature of these classes of casualty business, Chartis expects that over time, these actions will improve its results.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Chartis' net premiums written increased in 2010 compared to 2009, primarily due to the Fuji acquisition and, to a lesser extent, strategic growth in higher value lines of business. Excluding the effects of the Fuji transaction, Chartis' net premiums written decreased in 2010 by 2.6 percent compared to 2009. This decrease is primarily due to declines in Commercial businesses in the U.S. and other developed markets, as well as the effects of risk management initiatives in the U.S. and Canada region designed to reduce catastrophe-exposed business in property and overall exposure in Chartis' long-tail casualty lines. The decrease also reflects Chartis' commitment to maintain price discipline in lines where market rates are unsatisfactory, as well as overall rate declines and a decline in ratable exposures such as workers' compensation.

Further, during 2010, Chartis entered into two separate three-year reinsurance transactions, secured through the issuance of catastrophe bonds, which provide protection from U.S. hurricanes and earthquakes, and reduced 2010 net premiums written by approximately $208 million.

AIG transacts business in most major foreign currencies. The following table summarizes the effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates on Chartis net premiums written:

Years Ended December 31,	**2011 vs. 2010**	**2010 vs. 2009**
Increase in original currency*	**7.3%**	1.8%
Foreign exchange effect	**2.9**	1.3
Increase as reported in U.S. dollars	**10.2%**	3.1%

* *Computed using a constant exchange rate for each period.*

Table of Contents

Chartis Underwriting Ratios

The following table summarizes the Chartis combined ratios based on GAAP data and the impact of catastrophe losses, prior year development and related reinstatement premiums and premium adjustments on loss-sensitive contracts on the Chartis consolidated loss and combined ratios:

				Increase (Decrease)	
Years Ended December 31,	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**	**2011 vs. 2010**	**2010 vs. 2009**
Loss ratio	**78.3**	85.7	78.6	(7.4)	7.1
Catastrophe losses and reinstatement premiums	**(9.2)**	(3.3)	(0.1)	(5.9)	(3.2)
Prior year development net of premium adjustments and including reserve discount	**(0.4)**	(13.2)	(8.6)	12.8	(4.6)
Loss ratio, as adjusted	**68.7**	69.2	69.9	(0.5)	(0.7)
Expense ratio	**30.7**	31.1	29.4	(0.4)	1.7
Combined ratio	**109.0**	116.8	108.0	(7.8)	8.8
Catastrophe losses and reinstatement premiums	**(9.2)**	(3.3)	(0.1)	(5.9)	(3.2)
Prior year development net of premium adjustments and including reserve discount	**(0.4)**	(13.2)	(8.6)	12.8	(4.6)
Combined ratio, adjusted	**99.4**	100.3	99.3	(0.9)	1.0

Loss Ratios

The following table presents the components of net prior year adverse development for Chartis:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**
Gross prior year adverse loss development	**$ 211**	$ 4,850	$ 2,758
Increase in loss reserve discount	**33**	(562)	(81)
Returned/(additional) premium on loss-sensitive business	**(172)**	(8)	118
Net prior year adverse loss development	**$ 72**	$ 4,280	$ 2,795

The decrease in the loss ratio for 2011 compared to 2010 reflects the substantial decrease in the net prior year loss development, net of premiums and loss-sensitive premium adjustments in 2011 as shown in the table above and to a lesser extent the improvement in foreign currency exchange rates. The decrease in the loss ratio was partially offset by the effect of increased catastrophe losses in 2011 compared to 2010.

This decrease in the adjusted 2011 loss ratio was partially due to the effect of the Fuji acquisition offset by an increase in the 2011 accident year loss ratio for the Specialty Workers' Compensation and Excess Casualty business (within the U.S. and Canada region) and the Primary Casualty and Professional Indemnity businesses (within the Europe region).

The 2011 net adverse loss development charge of $72 million, primarily relates to the primary casualty, workers' compensation, and environmental business lines, partially offset by the net favorable loss development in the financial lines and excess casualty lines.

The loss ratio for Chartis increased in 2010 compared to 2009, primarily as a result of the net adverse loss development for prior accident years recorded in 2010.

Approximately 80 percent of the 2010 net prior year adverse loss development charge of $4.3 billion relates to the asbestos, excess casualty, excess workers' compensation, and primary workers' compensation lines. Further, 83 percent of this charge relates to accident years 2007 and prior (accident years before the financial crisis in 2008) and 65 percent relates to accident years 2005 and prior (accident years prior to the start of the managed reduction in these long-tail lines of business).

Table of Contents

Most of the 2009 net adverse loss development charge of $2.8 billion relates to excess casualty, excess workers' compensation and the asbestos lines of business. Further, approximately 95 percent relates to accident years 2005 and prior.

Writings in long-tail lines of business that were the drivers of the reserve charges in 2010 and 2009 have been reduced since 2006. In the case of asbestos, since 1985, standard policies have contained an absolute exclusion for asbestos and pollution-related damages.

The following table presents Chartis catastrophe losses by major event:

Year Ended December 31,	**2011**			**2010**		
(in millions)	***Commercial Insurance***	***Consumer Insurance***	***Total***	***Commercial Insurance***	***Consumer Insurance***	***Total***
Event:[a]						
Tohoku Catastrophe[b]	**$ 667**	**$ 524**	**$ 1,191**	$ -	$ -	$ -
New Zealand Christchurch earthquakes	**344**	**7**	**351**	-	-	-
Chile earthquake	**-**	**-**	**-**	289	2	291
Midwest & Southeast U.S. tornadoes	**383**	**14**	**397**	-	-	-
Thailand floods	**366**	**2**	**368**	-	-	-
Hurricane Irene	**296**	**73**	**369**	-	-	-
All other events	**525**	**95**	**620**	711	64	775
Claims and claim expenses	**2,581**	**715**	**3,296**	1,000	66	1,066
Reinstatement premiums	**11**	**-**	**11**	10	--	10
Total catastrophe-related charges	**$ 2,592**	**$ 715**	**$ 3,307**	$ 1,010	$ 66	$ 1,076

(a) *Events shown in the above table are catastrophic events, for which the net impact to Chartis is in excess of $200 million each. All other events include 13 events in 2010 and 14 events in 2011 that are considered catastrophic but the net impact of which remains below the $200 million itemization threshold. Catastrophe losses for 2009 are not presented above as there was one significant catastrophe event, flooding in the Southwestern United States, totaling $53 million for Commercial Insurance.*

(b) *On March 11, 2011, a major earthquake occurred near the northeast coast of Honshu, Japan, triggering a tsunami in the Pacific Ocean. This disaster is referred to as the Tohoku Catastrophe.*

Expense Ratios

The expense ratio decreased in 2011 compared to 2010, primarily due to the effect of including Fuji results for a full year and the effects of foreign exchange. These decreases were partially offset by Chartis' increased investments in a number of strategic initiatives during 2011, including the implementation of improved regional governance and risk management capabilities, the implementation of global accounting and claims systems, preparation for Solvency II and certain other legal entity restructuring initiatives.

The expense ratio increased in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to Chartis' strategy to continue the enhancement and build-out of its financial systems. In addition, during 2010 Chartis recorded increased expenses relating to long-term incentive programs that will continue to align employee performance incentive programs with profitability, capital management, risk management, and other performance measures. Further, increased acquisition expenses reflect increased regulatory assessments, more specifically in the workers' compensation lines, and new marketing agreements with select strategic distribution partners. These increases were partially offset by an overall decline in the expense ratio relating to the acquisition of Fuji.

Chartis Investing and Other Results

Chartis manages and accounts for its invested assets on a legal entity basis in conformity with regulatory requirements. Within a legal entity, invested assets are available to pay claims and expenses of both Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance operating segments as well as Chartis Other. Invested assets are not segregated or otherwise separately identified for the Commercial and Consumer Insurance operating segments.

Table of Contents

As discussed earlier, investment income is allocated to the Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance segments based on an internal investment income allocation model. See Segment Results Chartis Operations for more information.

The following table presents Chartis investing and other results:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	2011	2010	2009	Percentage Change 2011 vs. 2010	Percentage Change 2010 vs. 2009
Net investment income	**$ 4,348**	$ 4,392	$ 3,292	(1)%	33%
Net realized capital gains (losses)	**587**	(49)	(530)	NM	91
Bargain purchase gain	**-**	332	-	NM	NM
Other income (expense) net*	**(5)**	669	-	NM	NM
Investing and other results	**$ 4,930**	$ 5,344	$ 2,762	(8)%	93%

* *Includes gain on divested properties of $669 million in 2010.*

2011 and 2010 Comparison

Net investment income: Overall in 2011, net investment income decreased slightly due to declines in private equity and hedge fund income as well as increases in investment expenses. These decreases were largely offset by increases in interest income. The decrease in private equity and hedge fund income reflects the decline in the overall equity markets during the second half of 2011. The increase in investment expenses in 2011 resulted mainly from increases in both internal and external investment management fees. The interest income increase relates to the redeployment of cash and short term instruments into longer term, higher yield securities. In addition, 2011 reflects a full year of interest income related to Fuji, which has been consolidated by Chartis since July 1, 2010.

Net realized capital gains (losses): Increases are due to gains on the sales of fixed maturity securities in connection with the strategy discussed above to better align Chartis' investment allocations with current overall performance and income tax objectives; a decrease in other-than-temporary impairment charges; gains from improvements in foreign currency exchange rates, primarily the strengthening of the Japanese Yen against the U.S. Dollar; and gains from derivative instruments that do not qualify for hedge accounting, resulting primarily from declining long term interest rates. These derivative instruments economically hedge products that provide benefits over an extended period of time.

These gains were partially offset by impairments recognized within other invested assets, primarily life settlement contracts. For the year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, impairment charges of $351 million and $78 million, respectively, were recorded by Chartis related to life settlement contracts, including approximately $38 million and $4 million of impairments, respectively, associated with life insurance policies issued by SunAmerica life insurance companies that are eliminated in consolidation. Life settlement contracts are evaluated for impairment on a contract-by-contract basis. A contract is identified as potentially impaired if its undiscounted future net cash flows are less than the current carrying value of such contract. Life settlement contracts are impaired, and written down to fair value, when the carrying value of the contract is greater than the estimated fair value.

During 2011, Chartis began receiving updated medical information for its life settlement contracts as a result of an enhanced process in which information on individual insured lives is requested on a routine basis. In cases where updated information indicates that an individual's health has improved, an impairment loss may arise as a result of revised estimates of net cash flows from the related contract. This had the general effect of decreasing the projected net cash flows on a number of contracts, resulting in an increase in the number of contracts identified as potentially impaired when compared to previous analyses. Further, the domestic operations of Chartis refined their fair values based upon the availability of recent medical information.

Bargain purchase gain: On March 31, 2010 Chartis purchased additional voting shares in Fuji which resulted in the effective control and consolidation of Fuji. This acquisition resulted in a bargain purchase gain of $0.3 billion, which was included in the Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss) in Other Income. The bargain purchase gain is primarily attributable to the depressed market value of Fuji's common stock, which Chartis believes was the result of macro-economic, capital market and regulatory factors in Japan coupled with Fuji's financial condition and results of operations. Chartis anticipates that the bargain purchase gain will not be subject to U.S. or foreign income tax because the gain would

only be recognized for tax purposes upon the sale of the Fuji shares.

Table of Contents

Other income (expense) net: In May 2009, AIG completed the legal sale of its interest in the Otemachi Building in Japan, including the land and development rights. The transaction initially did not qualify as a sale for accounting purposes at that time, due to AIG's continued involvement with the property, which did not end until December 2010. As a result, AIG recognized a gain of approximately $1.3 billion in pre-tax income, of which $669 million was included in the Chartis results in 2010.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Net investment income: The increase in 2010 was primarily the result of an increase in the value of partnership investments as market conditions improved in 2010, while 2009 included losses from an equity method investment.

Net realized capital losses: Net realized capital losses for Chartis declined in 2010 compared to 2009 due to increased gains on sales of fixed maturity and equity securities and lower other-than-temporary impairment charges as market conditions continued to improve.

Bargain purchase gain: During 2010, Chartis recognized a bargain purchase gain of $332 million in connection with the acquisition of Fuji.

Other income (expense) net: Represents the Chartis portion of the gain on the sale of AIG's Otemachi Building in Japan.

See Consolidated Results for further discussion on net investment income and net realized capital gains (losses).

Commercial Insurance

Commercial Insurance Results

The following table presents Commercial Insurance results:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011**	2010	2009	**Percentage Change** 2011 vs. 2010	2010 vs. 2009
Underwriting results:					
Net premiums written	**$ 21,469**	$ 20,466	$ 21,461	5%	(5)%
Decrease in unearned premiums	**827**	1,006	1,645	(18)	(39)
Net premiums earned	**22,296**	21,472	23,106	4	(7)
Claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred	**18,953**	19,001	18,920	-	-
Underwriting expenses	**5,847**	5,752	5,658	2	2
Underwriting loss	**(2,504)**	(3,281)	(1,472)	24	(123)
Net investment income	**3,248**	3,348	3,883	(3)	(14)
Pre-tax income	**$ 744**	$ 67	$ 2,411	NM%	(97)%

Commercial Insurance Net Premiums Written

Commercial Insurance business is transacted in most major foreign currencies. The following table summarizes the effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates on the growth of Commercial Insurance net premiums written:

Years Ended December 31,	**2011 vs. 2010**	**2010 vs. 2009**

Increase (decrease) in original currency*	**3.7%**	(4.9)%
Foreign exchange effect	**1.2**	0.3
Increase (decrease) as reported in U.S. dollars	**4.9%**	(4.6)%

*
Computed using a constant exchange rate for each period.

2011 and 2010 Comparison

Commercial Insurance net premiums written increased in 2011 compared to 2010 primarily due to:

- increases in Property lines driven by positive pricing trends and reinsurance modifications resulting in increased retentions; the rate environment for Property has been particularly strong for the U.S. and the Far East region;

- an increase in additional premiums on Commercial Casualty's loss-sensitive business of $164 million compared to 2010. Loss-sensitive business relates to policies whose premiums vary with the level of underlying losses. Accordingly, in 2011, additional premiums of $172 million were recognized relating to additional prior year losses. The corresponding 2010 period reflects the effects of additional premiums of $8 million;

- general improvements in rates, in particular for workers' compensation;

- continued growth and market penetration across growth economy nations; and

- improvements in foreign exchange currency rates.

Offsetting these increases was an approximately $0.6 billion decrease in net premiums written relating to the change of certain policy forms at renewal from retrospectively rated premium structures to loss reimbursement deductible structures.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Commercial Insurance net premiums written decreased in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to:

- risk management initiatives resulting in the reduction of aggregate exposures in certain Commercial Casualty, Property and Specialty lines of business;

- lower workers' compensation net premiums written due to declining rates, lower employment levels, increased competition and Chartis' continued strategy to maintain price discipline;

- decreases in Financial lines due to declines in various classes of professional liability business, which were negatively affected to a greater extent than other classes by the credit crisis, and Chartis' decisions to reduce writings within environmental coverage and not to renew a credit card indemnification program that did not meet internal profitability targets;

- decreases in Commercial Casualty due to a combination of declines in the construction, real estate and transportation classes and limited availability of capital for new projects, which impacted general liability and commercial umbrella business. These were offset by improved pricing, increased new business submissions and improved retention resulting from increased stabilization of developed economies after the financial crisis that began in 2008; and

- effects of three-year reinsurance agreements, secured through catastrophe bonds, which provided protection from U.S. hurricanes and earthquakes, and reduced 2010 net premiums written by approximately $208 million.

Table of Contents

Commercial Insurance Underwriting Ratios

The following table presents the Commercial Insurance combined ratios based on GAAP data and the impact of catastrophe losses, prior year development and related reinstatement premiums and premium adjustments on loss-sensitive contracts on the Commercial Insurance consolidated loss and combined ratios:

Years Ended December 31,	2011	2010	2009	(Increase) (Decrease) 2011 vs. 2010	2010 vs. 2009
Loss ratio	**85.0**	88.5	81.9	(3.5)	6.6
Catastrophe losses and reinstatement premiums	**(11.6)**	(4.7)	(0.2)	(6.9)	(4.5)
Prior year development net of premium adjustments and including reserve discount	**0.3**	(10.2)	(6.7)	10.5	(3.5)
Loss ratio, as adjusted	**73.7**	73.6	75.0	0.1	(1.4)
Expense ratio	**26.2**	26.8	24.5	(0.6)	2.3
Combined ratio	**111.2**	115.3	106.4	(4.1)	8.9
Catastrophe losses and reinstatement premiums	**(11.6)**	(4.7)	(0.2)	(6.9)	(4.5)
Prior year development net of premium adjustments and including reserve discount	**0.3**	(10.2)	(6.7)	10.5	(3.5)
Combined ratio, adjusted	**99.9**	100.4	99.5	(0.5)	0.9

The following table presents the components of net prior year adverse development for Commercial Insurance:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	2011	2010	2009
Gross prior year adverse loss development	**$ 98**	$ 2,594	$ 1,536
Increase in loss reserve discount	**(40)**	(400)	(81)
Returned (additional) premium on loss-sensitive business	**(172)**	(8)	118
Net prior year adverse (favorable) loss development	**$ (114)**	$ 2,186	$ 1,573

Loss Ratios

The loss ratio improved in 2011 compared to 2010 due to the effect of net prior year adverse loss development in 2010 and an increase in additional premiums from loss-sensitive business in 2011. These items were largely offset by the increase in catastrophe losses in 2011.

The loss ratio increased in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to the net prior year adverse loss development in 2010 for the excess casualty and workers' compensation lines of business.

For a more detailed discussion of Net Prior Year Loss Development, see the Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense section that follows.

Expense Ratios

The expense ratio improved in 2011 compared to 2010 due to the effects of foreign currency exchange rates and overall growth in the business. In addition, the expense ratio reflects the effects of continued enhancements to regional governance, risk management capabilities and

investments within growth economy nations.

The expense ratio increased in 2010 compared to 2009 due to a change in the mix of business from low commission casualty business to higher commission property business. In addition, the increase in general operating expenses reflects Chartis' strategy to continue the enhancement and build-out of its financial systems. Further, during 2010, Chartis recorded increased expenses relating to long-term incentive programs that will continue to align employee performance incentive programs with profitability, capital management, risk management, and other performance measures

Table of Contents

Consumer Insurance

Consumer Insurance Results

The following table presents Consumer Insurance results:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**	**Percentage Change** **2011 vs. 2010**	**2010 vs. 2009**
Underwriting results:					
Net premiums written	**$ 13,341**	$ 11,056	$ 9,096	21%	22%
Increase in unearned premiums	**(17)**	(97)	(41)	82	(137)
Net premiums earned	**13,324**	10,959	9,055	22	21
Claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred	**8,797**	6,686	5,315	32	26
Underwriting expenses	**4,857**	4,171	3,690	16	13
Underwriting profit (loss)	**(330)**	102	50	NM	104
Net investment income	**354**	301	351	18	(14)
Pre-tax income	**$ 24**	$ 403	$ 401	(94)%	-%

Consumer Insurance Net Premiums Written

2011 and 2010 comparison

Consumer Insurance net premiums written increased in 2011 compared to 2010 primarily due to the effect of including Fuji results for a full year, the improvement in foreign currency exchange rates, primarily the Japanese Yen, and further growth in the strategic higher value lines of business. Excluding the effects of the Fuji acquisition, Consumer Insurance net premiums written increased 3 percent in 2011, primarily due to:

A&H net premiums written increased by approximately 10 percent. Excluding the effects of the Fuji acquisition, A&H net premiums written increased by approximately seven percent primarily as a result of the execution of Chartis' growth strategies in key lines and the effect of foreign exchange. The Far East region continued to implement favorable marketing programs and benefited from rate increases implemented in 2010. Growth was also demonstrated in key geographic markets such as Asia Pacific, including China, EMEA, including Continental Europe, and Israel, and in targeted areas, such as direct marketing and travel insurance.

Personal Lines net premiums written increased by approximately 25 percent. Excluding the effects of the Fuji acquisition, Personal Lines net premiums decreased one percent, primarily as a result of Chartis' decisions to not renew certain programs in the U.S. and Canada region that did not meet performance targets. Personal Lines continued to grow in key markets, including Japan and Latin America and in key lines, such as specialized personal lines products.

Life net premiums written increased primarily as a result of the full year effect of the Fuji acquisition and as a result of the execution of new business strategies at Fuji Life Insurance Company Ltd.

2010 and 2009 comparison

Consumer Insurance net premiums written increased in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to the acquisition of Fuji.

Table of Contents

Consumer Insurance is transacted in most major foreign currencies. The following table summarizes the effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates on the growth of Consumer Insurance net premiums written:

Years Ended December 31,	2011 vs. 2010	2010 vs. 2009
Increase in original currency[(a)(b)]	**14.7%**	17.8%
Foreign exchange effect	**6.0**	3.8
Increase as reported in U.S. dollars	**20.7%**	21.6%

(a) *Computed using a constant exchange rate for each period.*

(b) *Primarily due to the effect of the Fuji acquisition.*

Consumer Insurance Underwriting Ratios

The following table presents the Consumer Insurance combined ratios based on GAAP data and the impact of catastrophe losses, prior year development and related reinstatement premiums and premium adjustments on loss-sensitive contracts on the Consumer Insurance consolidated loss and combined ratios:

				Increase (Decrease)	
Years Ended December 31,	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**	**2011 vs. 2010**	**2010 vs. 2009**
Loss ratio	**66.0**	61.0	58.7	5.0	2.3
Catastrophe losses and reinstatement premiums	**(5.3)**	(0.6)	-	(4.7)	(0.6)
Prior year development net of premium adjustments and including reserve discount	**(0.7)**	0.6	(0.8)	(1.3)	1.4
Loss ratio, as adjusted	**60.0**	61.0	57.9	(1.0)	3.1
Expense ratio	**36.5**	38.1	40.8	(1.6)	(2.7)
Combined ratio	**102.5**	99.1	99.5	3.4	(0.4)
Catastrophe losses and reinstatement premiums	**(5.3)**	(0.6)	-	(4.7)	(0.6)
Prior year development net of premium adjustments and including reserve discount	**(0.7)**	0.6	(0.8)	(1.3)	1.4
Combined ratio, adjusted	**96.5**	99.1	98.7	(2.6)	0.4

The following table presents the components of net prior year adverse development for Consumer Insurance:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**
Gross prior year adverse (favorable) loss development	**$ 86**	$ (66)	$ 75
Net prior year adverse (favorable) loss development	**$ 86**	$ (66)	$ 75

Loss Ratios

The loss ratio increased in 2011 compared to 2010, primarily due to increased catastrophe losses in 2011. During 2011, Consumer Insurance recorded net prior year adverse loss development of $86 million, primarily due to programs in the U.S. and Canada region that are not being renewed. This compares to net prior year favorable loss development of $66 million in 2010.

The increase in the loss ratio in 2010 compared to 2009 reflects the effect of Fuji in 2010 and increased claims in Japan and Europe. In 2010, Consumer Insurance recorded favorable net prior year loss development of $66 million primarily due to favorable development in the Asia Pacific region. This compares to net prior year adverse loss development in 2009 of $75 million primarily due to reserve development in Japan and Latin America.

For a more detailed discussion of Net Prior Year Loss Development, see the Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense section that follows.

Table of Contents

Expense Ratios

The expense ratio decreased in 2011 compared to 2010 primarily due to the effects of including Fuji results for a full year. Fuji has a lower average expense ratio than the rest of the Consumer Insurance business due in part to its business mix.

The expense ratio decreased in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to the net benefit from Fuji net deferred acquisition costs, which were capitalized subsequent to the Fuji acquisition.

Chartis Other

Chartis Other Results

The following table presents Chartis Other results:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**	**Percentage Change** **2011 vs. 2010**	**2010 vs. 2009**
Underwriting results:					
Net premiums written	**$ 30**	$ 90	$ 96	(67)%	(6)%
(Increase) decrease in unearned premiums	**39**	-	4	NM	NM
Net premiums earned	**69**	90	100	(23)	(10)
Claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred	**199**	2,180	1,127	(91)	93
Underwriting expenses	**268**	191	149	40	28
Underwriting loss	**(398)**	(2,281)	(1,176)	83	(94)
Investing and other results:					
Net investment income	**746**	743	(942)	-	NM
Net realized capital gains (losses)	**587**	(49)	(530)	NM	91
Bargain purchase gain	**-**	332	-	NM	NM
Other income (expense) net*	**(5)**	669	-	NM	NM
Pre-tax income (loss)	**$ 930**	$ (586)	$ (2,648)	NM	78%

* *Includes gain on divested properties of $669 million in 2010.*

The following table presents the components of net prior year adverse development for Chartis Other:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**
Gross prior year adverse loss development	**$ 27**	$ 2,322	$ 1,147
Increase in loss reserve discount	**73**	(162)	-

Net prior year adverse loss development	**$ 100**	$ 2,160	$ 1,147

Underwriting Results

Substantially all of the net premiums written in the above table relate to excess workers' compensation. The excess workers' compensation line of business is subject to premium audits (upon the expiration of the underlying policy) and, as a result, Chartis Other will reflect the effects of premium audit activity through subsequent years.

Given the run-off nature of the legacy lines of business and the nature of the expenses included in Chartis Other, management has determined that traditional underwriting measures of loss ratio, expense ratio and combined ratio do not provide an appropriate measure of underwriting performance. Therefore, underwriting ratios are not presented for Chartis Other.

2011 and 2010 Comparison

For the year 2011 compared to 2010, the decrease in net premiums written and claims and claim adjustment expenses reflect the effects of the run-off activities associated with the excess workers' compensation business,

Table of Contents

while the underwriting expenses increased as a result of certain expenses related to corporate initiatives and expense allocations of AIG Parent.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

For the year 2010 compared to 2009, the increase in claims and claim adjustment expenses incurred relates to net prior year adverse loss development in 2010.

The overall increase in underwriting expenses relates to increases for strategic Chartis initiatives, including global accounting and claims system implementations, Solvency II and certain other legal entity restructuring initiatives.

For a discussion of Investing and other results for Chartis Other, see Chartis Results Chartis Investing and Other Results.

Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense

The following discussion of the consolidated liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expenses (loss reserves) presents loss reserves for Chartis as well as the loss reserves pertaining to the Mortgage Guaranty reporting unit, which is reported in AIG's Other operations category.

The following table presents the components of AIG's gross loss reserves by major lines of business on a statutory basis*:

At December 31, ***(in millions)***	**2011**	**2010**
Other liability occurrence	**$ 22,526**	$ 23,583
International	**17,726**	16,583
Workers' compensation	**17,420**	17,683
Other liability claims made	**11,216**	11,446
Property	**6,165**	3,846
Auto liability	**3,081**	3,337
Mortgage guaranty credit	**3,046**	4,220
Products liability	**2,416**	2,377
Medical malpractice	**1,690**	1,754
Accident and health	**1,553**	1,444
Commercial multiple peril	**1,134**	1,006
Aircraft	**1,020**	1,149
Fidelity/surety	**786**	934
Other	**1,366**	1,789
Total	**$ 91,145**	$ 91,151

* *Presented by lines of business pursuant to statutory reporting requirements as prescribed by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners.*

AIG's gross loss reserves represent the accumulation of estimates of ultimate losses, including estimates for IBNR and loss expenses. The methods used to determine loss reserve estimates and to establish the resulting reserves are continually reviewed and updated. Any adjustments resulting from this review are currently reflected in pre-tax income. Because loss reserve estimates are subject to the outcome of future events, changes in estimates are unavoidable given that loss trends vary and time is often required for changes in trends to be recognized and confirmed. Reserve changes that increase previous estimates of ultimate cost are referred to as unfavorable or adverse development or reserve strengthening. Reserve changes that decrease previous estimates of ultimate cost are referred to as favorable development.

The net loss reserves represent loss reserves reduced by reinsurance recoverables, net of an allowance for unrecoverable reinsurance and applicable discount for future investment income.

Table of Contents

The following table classifies the components of net loss reserves by business unit:

Years Ended December 31, ***(in millions)***	**2011**	**2010**
Chartis:		
Commercial Insurance	**$ 58,625**	$ 57,324
Consumer Insurance	**5,362**	5,030
Other*	**3,992**	5,720
Total Chartis	**67,979**	68,074
Other operations Mortgage Guaranty	**2,846**	3,433
Net liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at end of	**$ 70,825**	$ 71,507

* *In 2011, the net loss reserves reflect the cession under the June 17, 2011 transaction with National Indemnity Company (NICO) of $1.7 billion. See Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense Asbestos and Environmental Reserve for additional discussion of the NICO transaction.*

Discounting of Reserves

At December 31, 2011, net loss reserves reflect a loss reserve discount of $3.18 billion, including tabular and non-tabular calculations. The tabular workers' compensation discount is calculated using a 3.5 percent interest rate and the 1979 - 81 Decennial Mortality Table. The non-tabular workers' compensation discount is calculated separately for companies domiciled in New York and Pennsylvania, and follows the statutory regulations for each state. For New York companies, the discount is based on a five percent interest rate and the companies' own payout patterns. For Pennsylvania companies, the statute has specified discount factors for accident years 2001 and prior, which are based on a six percent interest rate and an industry payout pattern. For accident years 2002 and subsequent, the discount is based on the payout patterns and investment yields of the companies. Beginning in 2011, a portion of these discounted reserves were ceded to a new Pennsylvania domiciled AIG subsidiary. However, this had no impact on the calculation of the overall discount. Certain other asbestos business that was written by Chartis is discounted based on the investment yields of the companies and the payout pattern for this business. The discount consists of the following: $777 million tabular discount for workers' compensation in the U.S. and Canada operations of Chartis and $2.32 billion non-tabular discount for workers' compensation in the U.S. and Canada operations of Chartis; and $88 million non-tabular discount for asbestos for Chartis.

Results of the Reserving Process

AIG believes that its net loss reserves are adequate to cover net losses and loss expenses as of December 31, 2011. While AIG regularly reviews the adequacy of established loss reserves, there can be no assurance that AIG's ultimate loss reserves will not develop adversely and materially exceed AIG's loss reserves as of December 31, 2011. In the opinion of management, such adverse development and resulting increase in reserves are not likely to have a material adverse effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition, although such events could have a material adverse effect on AIG's consolidated results of operations for an individual reporting period. See Item 1A. Risk Factors Casualty Insurance Reserves.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the rollforward of net loss reserves:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**
Net liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at beginning of year	**$ 71,507**	$ 67,899	$ 72,455
Foreign exchange effect	**353**	(126)	1,416
Acquisitions[(a)]	**-**	1,538	-
Dispositions[(b)]	**-**	(87)	(9,657)
Reduction of net loss reserves due to NICO transaction	**(1,703)**	-	-
Losses and loss expenses incurred[(c)]:			
Current year	**27,590**	24,074	27,354
Prior years, other than accretion of discount[(d)]	**195**	4,182	2,771
Prior years, accretion of discount	**375**	(181)	313
Losses and loss expenses incurred	**28,160**	28,075	30,438
Losses and loss expenses paid[(c)]:			
Current year	**11,534**	9,873	11,079
Prior years	**15,958**	15,919	15,673
Losses and loss expenses paid	**27,492**	25,792	26,752
Activity of discontinued operations	**-**	-	(1)
Net liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at end of year	**$ 70,825**	$ 71,507	$ 67,899

(a) *Represents the acquisition of Fuji on March 31, 2010.*

(b) *Transatlantic was deconsolidated during the second quarter of 2009, 21st Century was sold in the third quarter of 2009, and HSB was sold during the first quarter of 2009.*

(c) *Includes amounts related to dispositions through the date of disposition.*

(d) *In 2011, includes $(414) million, $145 million and $413 million related to excess casualty, commercial specialty workers' compensation and environmental, respectively. In 2010, includes $1.1 billion, $793 million and $1.5 billion related to excess casualty, excess workers' compensation and asbestos, respectively. In 2009, includes $1.5 billion, $956 million and $151 million related to excess casualty, excess workers' compensation and asbestos, respectively.*

The following tables summarize development, (favorable) or unfavorable, of incurred losses and loss expenses for prior years (other than accretion of discount):

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**

Prior Accident Year Development by Operating Segment:						
Chartis:						
Commercial Insurance	**$**	**98**	$	2,594	$	1,536
Consumer Insurance		**86**		(66)		75
Other		**27**		2,322		1,147
Total Chartis		**211**		4,850		2,758
Other businesses:						
Mortgage Guaranty		**(16)**		(668)		38
Other*		**-**		-		(25)
Total Other businesses		**(16)**		(668)		13
Prior years, other than accretion of discount	**$**	**195**	$	4,182	$	2,771

* *Includes Transatlantic which was deconsolidated during the second quarter of 2009, 21st Century was sold in the third quarter of 2009 and HSB was sold during the first quarter of 2009.*

Table of Contents

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011**	2010	2009
Prior Accident Year Development by Major Class of Business:			
Excess casualty	**$ (414)**	$ 1,071	$ 1,507
D&O and related management liability	**(167)**	(94)	(39)
Excess workers' compensation	**2**	793	956
Healthcare	**(45)**	(75)	(92)
Environmental	**413**	14	25
Asbestos and environmental (1984 and prior)	**30**	1,503	155
Commercial risk	**265**	224	-
Primary (specialty) workers' compensation	**145**	518	37
All other, net	**(34)**	228	222
Prior years, other than accretion of discount	**$ 195**	$ 4,182	$ 2,771

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011**	Calendar Year 2010	2009
Prior Accident Year Development by Accident Year:			
Accident Year			
2010	**$ 402**		
2009	**117**	$ (61)	
2008	**(294)**	286	$ 289
2007	**(172)**	528	(57)
2006	**(273)**	199	(91)
2005	**(164)**	113	18
2004	**(16)**	134	182
2003	**13**	73	73
2002	**(8)**	97	126
2001 and prior	**590**	2,813	2,231
Prior years, other than accretion of discount	**$ 195**	$ 4,182	$ 2,771

Offsetting the unfavorable development were related additional premiums on loss-sensitive business of $172 million and $8 million in 2011 and 2010, respectively.

In determining the loss development from prior accident years, AIG conducts analysis to determine the change in estimated ultimate loss for each accident year for each class of business. For example, if loss emergence for a class of business is different than expected for certain accident years, the actuaries examine the indicated effect such emergence would have on the reserves of that class of business. In some cases, the higher or lower than expected emergence may result in no clear change in the ultimate loss estimate for the accident years in question, and no adjustment would be made to the reserves for the class of business for prior accident years. In other cases, the higher or lower than expected emergence may result in a larger change, either favorable or unfavorable, than the difference between the actual and expected loss emergence. Such additional analyses were conducted for each class of business, as appropriate, in 2011 to determine the loss development from prior accident years for that period. As part of its reserving process, AIG also considers notices of claims received with respect to emerging and/or evolving issues, such as those related to the U.S. mortgage and housing market.

See Chartis Results herein and Other Operations Other Operations Results Mortgage Guaranty for further discussion of net loss development.

Net Loss Development by Class of Business

The following is a discussion of the primary reasons for the development in 2011, 2010 and 2009 of those classes of business that experienced significant prior accident year developments during the three-year period. See Asbestos and Environmental Reserves below for a further discussion of asbestos and environmental reserves and development on pre-1985 exposures.

Table of Contents

Excess Casualty

Excess Casualty Background

Loss reserves pertaining to the excess casualty class of business are generally included in the other liability occurrence line of business, with a small portion of the excess casualty reserves included in the Other liability claims made line of business, as presented in the loss reserves by major lines of business table above. Excess Casualty reserves experienced favorable development in calendar year 2011 and significant adverse loss development in 2010 and 2009.

Excess Casualty Discussion and Analysis

During calendar year 2011 the Excess Casualty business segment experienced better than expected loss emergence, based on expected emergence using the shorter termed development pattern from the year-end 2010 reserve analysis noted below. Accident years 2009 and prior exhibited actual emergence approximately 20 percent better than expected during 2011, while accident year 2010 experienced some large catastrophic losses causing its results to be worse than expected. The loss development pattern used in the year-end 2011 reserve analysis was based on a shorter termed average of approximately three years, consistent with 2010. This pattern, coupled with the better than expected emergence seen during the year, resulted in the estimated ultimate losses improving by approximately $273 million for this class of business, when compared to the year-end 2010 estimates. The accident year contribution to this improvement is adverse development of $225 million in accident year 2010 offset by favorable development of $62 million to accident year 2009, $163 million to accident year 2008, $90 million to accident year 2007, $115 million to accident year 2006, $40 million to accident year 2005, and $29 million for accident years 2004 and prior in the aggregate.

The increase in loss costs driving this development in 2009 and 2010 resulted primarily from medical inflation, which increased the economic loss component of tort claims; advances in medical care, which extended the life span of severely injured claimants; and larger jury verdicts, which increased the value of severe tort claims.

AIG increased its estimate for its year-end 2009 loss reserve for excess casualty liabilities by more than $1 billion, primarily relating to accident years 2006 and prior. The majority of the 2009 charge resulted from management's decision to place greater reliance on the experience of the five most recent calendar years, resulting in significantly higher loss development factor assumptions for the year-end 2009 loss reserve review. For the year-end 2009 loss reserve review, in response to significantly higher than expected loss emergence, AIG reviewed the indicated reserves for excess casualty under a variety of loss development assumptions. These assumptions ranged from long term loss development averages, which used all or nearly all of the historical data for this class, to short term averages which used only the latest three to five calendar years of loss development experience. AIG gave greater recognition to the recent calendar year experience, resulting in significantly higher loss development factor assumptions for the year-end 2009 loss reserve review. This change in loss development assumptions increased the excess casualty reserves by approximately $815 million for accident years 2006 and prior. Additionally, in conjunction with the selection of higher loss development factors described above, AIG assigned greater credibility to the emerging loss development factors for product aggregate-related claims, which are reviewed separately. This resulted in an increase of approximately $195 million in reserves, primarily for accident years 2000 and prior.

Even with these higher loss development factors, during the fourth quarter of 2010, loss emergence across all accident years for excess casualty was approximately $115 million worse than expected and was concentrated in accident years 2007 and 2008. The concentration of such losses in more recent accident years resulted in much higher loss estimates at year-end 2010 because the experience is extrapolated not only for these years, but to all years through the application of the loss development factors. The higher than expected loss emergence in the last half of 2010, particularly in the fourth quarter, led management to select higher loss development factors than those selected in 2009 because greater weight was placed on the adverse development in the recent calendar years (i.e., the three most recent calendar years). In these low frequency/high severity classes of business, AIG applied significant judgment to select an appropriate averaging period for loss development that is long enough to be statistically credible while recognizing changing trends in a sufficiently responsive manner. AIG also considered recent trends in large products liability verdicts in the United States given the impact of the recession and the

impact of anti-corporate sentiment in the mind of the general public, as well as the high attachment points at which this business is written. This change from basing the loss development factor assumptions on the last five years provides still greater recognition of the recent calendar-year experience than the assumptions used in the 2009 loss reserve review, and in management's judgment was warranted based on the developing trends described above.

Approximately $80 million of the reserve strengthening in the fourth quarter of 2010 pertained to accident year 2009, whereas approximately $200 million was attributable to accident year 2008, $340 million to accident year 2007, $195 million to accident year 2006, $100 million to accident years 1999 and prior, and approximately $95 million in the aggregate to accident years 2000 through 2005.

Excess Workers' Compensation

Excess Workers' Compensation Background:

This class of business has an extremely long tail and is one of the most challenging classes of business to reserve for because it is highly sensitive to small changes in assumptions in the rate of medical inflation or the longevity of injured workers, for example which can have a significant effect on the ultimate reserve estimate. Furthermore claims estimates for this line are highly sensitive to:

- the assumed future rate of inflation and other economic conditions in the United States;
- changes in the legal, regulatory, judicial and social environment;
- the expected impact of recently enacted health care reform on workers' compensation costs;
- underlying policy pricing, terms and conditions;
- claims settlement trends that can materially alter the mix and ultimate cost of claims;
- changes in claims reporting practices of insureds and third-party administrators;
- the cost of new and additional treatment specialties, such as "pain management";
- changes in injured worker longevity; and
- territorial experience differences (across states and within regions in a state).

There was no material development recognized for this class in 2011. AIG experienced significant adverse development for this class during 2010 and 2009 of $825 million and $925 million, respectively.

Excess Workers' Compensation Discussion and Analysis

With the passage of the Affordable Care Act in March 2010, management concluded that there is increased vulnerability to the risk of further cost-shifting to the excess workers' compensation class of business in particular. Settlement efforts can also be affected by changes to evaluation protocols implemented by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services in 2009, which are expected to result in future prescription drug costs being borne by workers' compensation insurers to a significantly greater degree than in the past and thus likely to lead to further deteriorating

trends for the excess workers' compensation class of business.

In addition, approximately 20 percent of the reported claims emanate from excess of loss reinsurance contracts provided by Chartis to other third-party insurers in accident years 2002 and prior. These reinsurance contracts generally include the so-called "follow the fortunes clause" whereby claims management is performed by the ceding insurers and the outcomes of these efforts are binding on Chartis as the reinsurer. Chartis has virtually no ability to affect the outcomes of these claims.

Moreover, underwriting actions in recent years have led to a significant increase in insured retention levels, which reduce the frequency of moderate- severity losses but extend the time period of first report of claim, causing further unpredictability in loss development patterns.

Table of Contents

During the fourth quarter of 2010, AIG conducted its comprehensive loss reserve analysis using a variety of actuarial techniques to project future loss development for this very long-tail class. As part of this analysis, AIG compared and contrasted the traditional techniques that have been used for this class with an alternative approach that focuses more explicitly on projecting the effect of future calendar year trends, placing less weight on prior-period loss development ratios due to the increased evidence of changes to the claims environment. To this end, AIG engaged a third-party actuary that uses such alternative approaches to supplement the extensive analysis performed by AIG as it conducted its comprehensive loss review of its year-end loss reserves. The third-party actuary provided an additional perspective for the excess workers' compensation class by using a method that management considered to be particularly suited to the excess workers' compensation class, given its long-tail nature. These various actuarial analyses all indicated a substantial increase in loss estimates from the prior-year level. AIG responded to this increased loss indication by evaluating a range of loss development scenarios including developing the tail factors that extrapolate the claims projections as far as 40 years into the future. Due to the extremely long-tail nature of this class, the impact of the selected change in loss development assumptions affected many accident years and led to an overall strengthening of approximately $825 million, before discount. Approximately $430 million of the reserve strengthening in the fourth quarter of 2010 pertained to accident years 1999 and prior, with an additional $160 million attributable to accident year 2000, $140 million to accident year 2001, $80 million to accident year 2002, and only approximately $10 million attributable to 2003 and subsequent years.

For the year-end 2009 loss reserve review, AIG increased the loss development assumptions for this class of business, resulting in approximately a $925 million increase in reserves. The increased loss development assumptions were based on an additional actuarial study performed by AIG in response to the emergence of losses in accident years 1999 and prior. This study analyzed the development patterns emanating from the AIG claims staff projections of expected ultimate cost for each open claim.

Director and Officer (D&O) and Related Management Liability Classes of Business

D&O and Related Management Liability Classes of Business Background

Loss reserves pertaining to D&O and related management liability classes of business are included in the Other liability claims made line of business, as presented in the loss reserves by major lines of business table above. AIG experienced favorable development in 2011, 2010 and 2009. The favorable development over the three-year period related primarily to accident years 2005-2007, 2010, and, to a lesser extent, accident years 2001 and 2002.

D&O and Related Management Liability Classes of Business Discussion and Analysis

Loss cost trends for D&O and related management liability classes of business were adverse in accident years 2002 and prior due to a variety of factors, including an increase in frequency and severity of corporate bankruptcies; the increase in the frequency of financial restatements; the sharp rise in market capitalization of publicly traded companies; and the increase in the number of initial public offerings. The 2003 through 2006 period was marked by a significant reduction in claims related to these factors; thus the expected loss ratios initially established for these accident years have developed favorably, particularly for 2004 and 2005. Beginning in accident year 2007, claims relating to the credit crisis resulted in increased overall claim activity. This increase in claim activity began to subside for accident years 2008 and subsequent, with a reduction in credit crisis related claim activity and a decrease in the higher severity securities class action claims in the more recent accident years. AIG utilizes ground-up claims projections by AIG claims staff as a benchmark to select the loss reserves for this business; these projections are updated annually.

For the year-end 2011 loss reserve review, AIG's actuaries took into account the favorable development for accident years 2011, 2010 and 2003 through 2008 as well as the continuing favorable development observed in the ground up claim projections by AIG claims staff over the past five years. This favorable development was partially offset by adverse development for accident year 2009.

For the year-end 2010 loss reserve review, AIG's actuaries took into account the favorable development from prior accident years, as well as the continuing favorable development observed in the ground-up claims projections

by AIG claims staff over the past five years. This favorable development was partially offset by higher than expected initial claim projections for accident year 2009.

For the year-end 2009 loss reserve review, AIG's actuaries took into account the favorable development for accident years 2007 and prior, as well as adverse development from accident year 2008. In response to the emerging favorable development observed in the ground-up claims projections by AIG claims staff over the past several years, AIG considered both the higher than expected initial claim projections for accident year 2008 as well as the favorable developments for the claims projections from the earlier accident years in determining the loss ratio for accident year 2009.

Healthcare Background and Discussion and Analysis

Healthcare business written by the U.S. and Canada region of Chartis produced moderate favorable developments in 2011, 2010 and 2009. Healthcare loss reserves have benefited from favorable market conditions and an improved legal environment in accident years 2002 and subsequent, following a period of adverse loss trends and market conditions that began in the mid 1990's.

Primary Workers' Compensation (Commercial Risks, Commercial Specialty Workers' Compensation and Energy)

Primary (Specialty) Workers' Compensation Background

The Commercial Risk division writes casualty insurance accounts with revenues less than $700 million. The majority of the business is workers' compensation. The Energy division writes casualty insurance accounts in the mining, oil and gas and power generation sectors. The Commercial Specialty Workers' Compensation division writes small monoline guaranteed cost risks. AIG's Commercial Specialty Workers' Compensation business unit grew significantly in the early to mid 2000's but has reduced its premium writings by nearly 70 percent since 2007.

A total of $518 million of adverse loss development was recorded for Commercial Specialty Workers' Compensation in 2010. Significant improvements in claims handling, which had the effect of accelerating claims recognition (without increasing overall loss costs), were believed to be the cause of the earlier emergence of claims. However, the adverse loss emergence during 2010 led AIG to conclude that the worsening experience was attributable to a credible upward trend in the emergence of losses, rather than claims handling. AIG's conclusion that the worsening experience necessitated a strengthening of the reserves was confirmed by an independent third-party actuarial review during the fourth quarter of 2010. Approximately 75 percent of the year-end 2010 reserve strengthening for this business pertained to accident years 2007 through 2009.

Similarly, Commercial Risks strengthened workers' compensation reserves in 2010, as the adverse loss emergence led AIG to conclude that the worsening experience was attributable to an upward trend in the emergence of losses, rather than to claims handling. This was further confirmed by an independent third party review.

Primary Workers' Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The Commercial Risk, Commercial Specialty Workers' Compensation and Energy divisions contributed $265 million, $145 million and $115 million, respectively, of adverse development in calendar year 2011. The vast majority of this adverse development emanates from primary workers compensation exposure and the vast majority of the workers compensation adverse development comes from accident year 2010. In 2011, losses for accident year 2010 continued to emerge at higher levels than anticipated by the loss ratios established at prior year end. A key structural driver was the effect of high unemployment on the frequency of higher severity lost time claims. The economic environment diminished the opportunities of employers to offer "light duty" return-to-work mitigation strategies. In addition, AIG continues to see the effect of rising medical costs from the deployment of pain management strategies. The increase in lost time frequency and the adverse effects of medical cost trends has resulted in higher loss ratios than anticipated at prior year end.

For each of the three sub-segments, AIG's conclusion that the worsening experience necessitated a strengthening of the reserves was confirmed by an independent third-party actuarial review during 2011.

Table of Contents

Environmental

Environmental Background and Discussion and Analysis

Chartis maintains an active environmental insurance business written through its Chartis Environmental business unit. The reserves associated with this unit's business are evaluated and reported separately from the asbestos and environmental reserves associated with standard General Liability and Umbrella policies discussed in "Asbestos and Environmental Reserves". The following discussion relates solely to the Chartis Environmental reserves.

The estimation of loss reserves relating to environmental claims is subject to a high degree of uncertainty due to inconsistent court decisions as well as judicial interpretations and legislative actions that in some cases have tended to broaden coverage beyond the original intent of such policies and in others have expanded theories of liability. Reinsurance balances can also be subject to a higher level of disputes and legal collection activity, given the complex nature of coverage issues.

Historically, Chartis actuaries have used traditional actuarial methods, such as loss development, Bornhuetter-Ferguson, and indexing methods to assess the reserves for the Chartis Environmental products. However, recent emerging claims activity has led Chartis to conclude that these traditional actuarial methods do not address to its satisfaction the unique nature of the underlying exposures enough for those environmental policies with large ultimate loss potential (greater than $5 million per policy) and high policy limits. Chartis Environmental strengthened its reserves in the first nine months of 2011 by $217 million, partly due to large reserve increases on individual claims. Consequently, an in depth ground-up review of the existing exposures was conducted by actuaries and claims analysts. As a result of this claim review as well as other factors, Chartis strengthened the reserves by an additional $196 million in the fourth quarter of 2011. Approximately 80 percent of the 2011 development was associated with accident years 2003 and prior.

In addition to reserving actions, Chartis has made significant changes to the Chartis Environmental business with the goal of ensuring that the current policies are being written to earn an appropriate risk adjusted profit. Underwriting guidelines have been revised to no longer cover known or expected clean up costs, which were a significant driver of historical claims, and a "new emerging contaminants" team has been formed within the dedicated environmental engineering staff to track any new cleanup standards that may be set by federal or state regulators. The percentage of long term policies (ten years or more) has decreased from a historical average of 6 percent to 1.5 percent by policy count. In addition, minimum retentions have been increased, and engineering reviews are required for specific business segments (such as oil and gas, and landfills) that have traditionally generated higher losses.

See Chartis Results herein for further discussion of net loss development.

Overview of Loss Reserving Process

Chartis loss reserves can generally be categorized into two distinct groups. One group is short-tail classes of business consisting principally of property, personal lines and certain casualty classes. The other group is long-tail casualty classes of business which includes excess and umbrella liability, D&O, professional liability, medical malpractice, workers' compensation, general liability, products liability and related classes.

Short-Tail Reserves

For operations writing short-tail coverages, such as property coverages, the process of recording quarterly loss reserves is generally geared toward maintaining an appropriate reserve for the outstanding exposure, rather than determining an expected loss ratio for current business. For example, the IBNR reserve required for a class of property business might be expected to approximate 20 percent of the latest year's earned premiums, and this level of reserve would generally be maintained regardless of the loss ratio emerging in the current quarter. The 20 percent factor would be adjusted to reflect changes in rate levels, loss reporting patterns, known exposure to unreported losses, or other factors affecting the particular class of business.

Table of Contents

Long-Tail Reserves

Estimation of ultimate net losses and loss expenses (net losses) for long-tail casualty classes of business is a complex process and depends on a number of factors, including the class and volume of business involved. Experience in the more recent accident years of long-tail casualty classes of business shows limited statistical credibility in reported net losses because a relatively low proportion of net losses would be reported claims and expenses and an even smaller percentage would be net losses paid. Therefore, IBNR would constitute a relatively high proportion of net losses.

AIG's carried net long-tail loss reserves are tested using loss trend factors that AIG considers appropriate for each class of business. A variety of actuarial methods and assumptions is normally employed to estimate net losses for long-tail casualty classes of business. These methods ordinarily involve the use of loss trend factors intended to reflect the annual growth in loss costs from one accident year to the next. Loss trend factors reflect many items including changes in claims handling, exposure and policy forms, current and future estimates of monetary inflation and social inflation and increases in litigation and awards. These factors are periodically reviewed and adjusted, as appropriate, to reflect emerging trends which are based upon past loss experience. Thus, many factors are implicitly considered in estimating the year-to-year growth in loss costs.

A number of actuarial assumptions are generally made in the review of reserves for each class of business. For longer-tail classes of business, actuarial assumptions generally are made with respect to the following:

- Loss trend factors which are used to establish expected loss ratios for subsequent accident years based on the projected loss ratios for prior accident years.

- Expected loss ratios for the latest accident year (i.e., accident year 2011 for the year-end 2011 loss reserve analysis) and, in some cases for accident years prior to the latest accident year. The expected loss ratio generally reflects the projected loss ratio from prior accident years, adjusted for the loss trend (see above) and the effect of rate changes and other quantifiable factors on the loss ratio. For low-frequency, high-severity classes such as excess casualty, expected loss ratios generally are used for at least the three most recent accident years.

- Loss development factors which are used to project the reported losses for each accident year to an ultimate basis. Generally, the actual loss development factors observed from prior accident years would be used as a basis to determine the loss development factors for the subsequent accident years.

AIG records quarterly changes in loss reserves for each of its many Chartis classes of business. The overall change in AIG's loss reserves is based on the sum of these classes of business changes. For most long-tail classes of business, the process of recording quarterly loss reserve changes involves determining the estimated current loss ratio for each class of coverage. This loss ratio is multiplied by the current quarter's net earned premium for that class of coverage to determine the current accident quarter's total estimated net incurred loss and loss expense. The change in loss reserves for the quarter for each class is thus the difference between the net incurred loss and loss expense, estimated as described above, and the net paid losses and loss expenses in the quarter. Also, any change in estimated ultimate losses from prior accident years, either positive or negative, is reflected in the loss reserve for the current quarter.

Details of the Loss Reserving Process

The process of determining the current loss ratio for each class of business is based on a variety of factors. These include, but are not limited to, the following considerations: prior accident year and policy year loss ratios; rate changes; changes in coverage, reinsurance, or mix of business; and actual and anticipated changes in external factors affecting results, such as trends in loss costs or in the legal and claims environment. The current loss ratio for each class of business reflects input from actuarial, underwriting and claims staff and is intended to represent management's best estimate of the current loss ratio after reflecting all of the factors described above. At the close of each quarter, the assumptions underlying the loss ratios are reviewed to determine if the loss ratios remain appropriate. This process includes a review of the actual claims experience in the quarter, actual rate changes achieved, actual changes in coverage, reinsurance or mix of business, and changes in certain other factors

that may affect the loss ratio. When this review suggests that the initially determined loss ratio is no longer appropriate, the loss ratio for current business is changed to reflect the revised assumptions.

A comprehensive loss reserve review is conducted annually for each Chartis subsidiary. During 2011 AIG significantly expanded the scope of its third-party actuarial reviews to cover a larger number of U.S. and international classes of business from the more complex reserves of long-tail classes of business. These reviews were concluded in the second, third and fourth quarters of 2011. In 2010, third-party actuarial reserve reviews were generally limited to certain U.S. long-tail lines of business and were concentrated in the fourth quarter of 2010. These detailed reviews are conducted for each class of business for each subsidiary, and thus consist of hundreds of individual analyses. The purpose of these reviews is to confirm the appropriateness of the reserves carried by each of the individual subsidiaries, and therefore of AIG's overall carried reserves. The reserve analysis for each class of business is performed by the actuarial personnel who are most familiar with that class of business. In completing these detailed actuarial reserve analyses, the actuaries are required to make numerous assumptions, including the selection of loss development factors and loss cost trend factors. They are also required to determine and select the most appropriate actuarial methods to employ for each business class. Additionally, they must determine the appropriate segmentation of data from which the adequacy of the reserves can be most accurately tested. In the course of these detailed reserve reviews an actuarial central estimate of the loss reserve is determined. The sum of these central estimates for each class of business for each subsidiary provides an overall actuarial central estimate of the loss reserve for that subsidiary. The ultimate process by which the actual carried reserves are determined considers both the internal actuarial central estimate and numerous other internal and external factors including an assessment of economic conditions in the United States and abroad, changes in the legal, regulatory, judicial and social environment, changes in medical cost trends (inflation, intensity and utilization of medical services) underlying policy pricing, terms and conditions, and claims handling, as well as third-party actuarial reviews that are periodically performed for key classes of business. Loss reserve development can also be affected by commutations of assumed and ceded reinsurance agreements.

Actuarial Methods for Major Classes of Business

In testing the reserves for each class of business, a determination is made by AIG's actuaries as to the most appropriate actuarial methods. This determination is based on a variety of factors including the nature of the claims associated with the class of business, such as the frequency or severity of the claims. Other factors considered include the loss development characteristics associated with the claims, the volume of claim data available for the applicable class, and the applicability of various actuarial methods to the class. In addition to determining the actuarial methods, the actuaries determine the appropriate loss reserve groupings of data. For example, AIG writes a great number of unique subclasses of professional liability. For pricing or other purposes, it is appropriate to evaluate the profitability of each subclass individually. However, for purposes of estimating the loss reserves for professional liability, it is appropriate to combine the subclasses into larger groups. The greater degree of credibility in the claims experience of the larger groups may outweigh the greater degree of homogeneity of the individual subclasses. This determination of data segmentation and actuarial methods is carefully considered for each class of business. The segmentation and actuarial methods chosen are those which together are expected to produce the most robust estimate of the loss reserves.

Actuarial methods used by AIG for most long-tail casualty classes of business include loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods, including "Bornhuetter Ferguson" methods described below. Other methods considered include frequency/severity methods, although these are generally used by AIG more for pricing analysis than for loss reserve analysis. Loss development methods utilize the actual loss development patterns from prior accident years to project the reported losses to an ultimate basis for subsequent accident years. Loss development methods generally are most appropriate for classes of business which exhibit a stable pattern of loss development from one accident year to the next, and for which the components of the classes have similar development characteristics. For example, property exposures would generally not be combined into the same class as casualty exposures, and primary casualty exposures would generally not be combined into the same class as excess casualty exposures. Expected loss ratio methods are generally used by AIG where the reported loss data lacks sufficient credibility to utilize loss development methods, such as for new classes of business or for long-tail classes at early stages of loss development.

Table of Contents

Expected loss ratio methods rely on the application of an expected loss ratio to the earned premium for the class of business to determine the loss reserves. For example, an expected loss ratio of 70 percent applied to an earned premium base of $10 million for a class of business would generate an ultimate loss estimate of $7 million. Subtracting any reported paid losses and loss expense would result in the indicated loss reserve for this class. "Bornhuetter Ferguson" methods are expected loss ratio methods for which the expected loss ratio is applied only to the expected unreported portion of the losses. For example, for a long-tail class of business for which only 10 percent of the losses are expected to be reported at the end of the accident year, the expected loss ratio would be applied to the 90 percent of the losses still unreported. The actual reported losses at the end of the accident year would be added to determine the total ultimate loss estimate for the accident year. Subtracting the reported paid losses and loss expenses would result in the indicated loss reserve. In the example above, the expected loss ratio of 70 percent would be multiplied by 90 percent. The result of 63 percent would be applied to the earned premium of $10 million resulting in an estimated unreported loss of $6.3 million. Actual reported losses would be added to arrive at the total ultimate losses. If the reported losses were $1 million, the ultimate loss estimate under the "Bornhuetter Ferguson" method would be $7.3 million versus the $7 million amount under the expected loss ratio method described above. Thus, the "Bornhuetter Ferguson" method gives partial credibility to the actual loss experience to date for the class of business. Loss development methods generally give full credibility to the reported loss experience to date. In the example above, loss development methods would typically indicate an ultimate loss estimate of $10 million, as the reported losses of $1 million would be estimated to reflect only 10 percent of the ultimate losses.

A key advantage of loss development methods is that they respond quickly to any actual changes in loss costs for the class of business. Therefore, if loss experience is unexpectedly deteriorating or improving, the loss development method gives full credibility to the changing experience. Expected loss ratio methods would be slower to respond to the change, as they would continue to give more weight to the expected loss ratio, until enough evidence emerged for the expected loss ratio to be modified to reflect the changing loss experience. On the other hand, loss development methods have the disadvantage of overreacting to changes in reported losses if in fact the loss experience is not credible. For example, the presence or absence of large losses at the early stages of loss development could cause the loss development method to overreact to the favorable or unfavorable experience by assuming it will continue at later stages of development. In these instances, expected loss ratio methods such as "Bornhuetter Ferguson" have the advantage of recognizing large losses without extrapolating unusual large loss activity onto the unreported portion of the losses for the accident year. AIG's loss reserve reviews for long-tail classes typically utilize a combination of both loss development and expected loss ratio methods. Loss development methods are generally given more weight for accident years and classes of business where the loss experience is highly credible. Expected loss ratio methods are given more weight where the reported loss experience is less credible, or is driven more by large losses. Expected loss ratio methods require sufficient information to determine the appropriate expected loss ratio. This information generally includes the actual loss ratios for prior accident years, and rate changes as well as underwriting or other changes which would affect the loss ratio. Further, an estimate of the loss cost trend or loss ratio trend is required in order to allow for the effect of inflation and other factors which may increase or otherwise change the loss costs from one accident year to the next.

Frequency/severity methods generally rely on the determination of an ultimate number of claims and an average severity for each claim for each accident year. Multiplying the estimated ultimate number of claims for each accident year by the expected average severity of each claim produces the estimated ultimate loss for the accident year. Frequency/severity methods generally require a sufficient volume of claims in order for the average severity to be predictable. Average severity for subsequent accident years is generally determined by applying an estimated annual loss cost trend to the estimated average claim severity from prior accident years. Frequency/severity methods have the advantage that ultimate claim counts can generally be estimated more quickly and accurately than can ultimate losses. Thus, if the average claim severity can be accurately estimated, these methods can more quickly respond to changes in loss experience than other methods. However, for average severity to be predictable, the class of business must consist of homogeneous types of claims for which loss severity trends from one year to the next are reasonably consistent. Generally these methods work best for high frequency, low severity classes of business such as personal auto. AIG also utilizes these methods in pricing subclasses of professional liability.

Table of Contents

The following is a discussion of actuarial methods applied by major class of business:

Class of Business or Category and Actuarial Method	Application of Actuarial Method
Excess Casualty	
AIG generally uses a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods for excess casualty classes. Frequency/severity methods are generally not used in isolation as the vast majority of reported claims do not result in a claim payment. In addition, the average severity varies significantly from accident year to accident year due to large losses which characterize this class of business, as well as changing proportions of claims which do not result in a claim payment.	Expected loss ratio methods are generally used for at least the three latest accident years, due to the relatively low credibility of the reported losses. The loss experience is generally reviewed separately for lead umbrella classes and for other excess classes, due to the relatively shorter tail for lead umbrella business. Automobile-related claims are generally reviewed separately from non-auto claims, due to the shorter-tail nature of the automobile-related claims. Claims relating to certain latent exposures such as construction defects or exhaustion of underlying product aggregate limits are reviewed separately due to the unique emergence patterns of losses relating to these claims. The expected loss ratios used for recent accident years are based on the projected ultimate loss ratios of prior years, adjusted for rate changes, estimated loss cost trends and all other changes that can be quantified.
D&O and Related Management Liability Classes of Business	
AIG generally uses a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods for D&O and related management liability classes of business. Frequency/severity methods are generally not used in isolation for these classes as the overall losses are driven by large losses more than by claim frequency. Severity trends have varied significantly from accident year to accident year and care is required in analyzing these trends by claim type.	Expected loss ratio methods are given more weight in the two most recent accident years, whereas loss development methods are given more weight in more mature accident years. In addition to these traditional actuarial methods, AIG's actuaries used ground-up claim projections provided by AIG claims staff as a benchmark for determining the indicated ultimate losses for all accident years other than the most recent accident year. For the year-end 2011 loss reserve review, claims projections for accident years 2010 and prior were used. These classes of business reflect claims made coverage, and losses are characterized by low frequency and high severity. Thus, the claim projections can produce an overall indicator of the ultimate loss exposure for these classes by identifying and estimating all large losses.
Workers' Compensation	
AIG generally uses a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods for workers' compensation.	Expected loss ratio methods generally are given significant weight only in the most recent accident year. Workers' compensation claims are generally characterized by high frequency, low severity, and relatively consistent loss development from one accident year to the next. AIG historically has been a leading writer of workers' compensation, and thus has sufficient volume of claims experience to use development methods. AIG generally segregates California business from other business in evaluating workers' compensation reserves. Certain classes of workers' compensation, such as construction, are also evaluated separately. Additionally, AIG writes a number of very large accounts which include workers' compensation coverage. These accounts are generally priced by AIG actuaries, and to the extent appropriate, the indicated losses based on the pricing analysis may be used to record the initial estimated loss reserves for these accounts.

Table of Contents

Class of Business or Category and Actuarial Method	Application of Actuarial Method
Excess Workers' Compensation	
AIG generally uses a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods for excess workers' compensation.	Loss development methods are given the greater weight for mature accident years. Expected loss ratio methods are given the greater weight for the more recent accident years. Excess workers' compensation is an extremely long-tail class of business, with loss emergence extending for decades. Therefore there is limited credibility in the reported losses for many of the more recent accident years. For the mature accident years, AIG's actuaries use claims projections provided by AIG claims staff to help determine the loss development factors for this class of business.
General Liability	
AIG generally uses a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods for primary general liability or products liability classes.	For certain classes of business with sufficient loss volume, loss development methods may be given significant weight for all but the most recent one or two accident years, whereas for smaller or more volatile classes of business, loss development methods may be given limited weight for the five or more most recent accident years. Expected loss ratio methods would be used for the more recent accident years for these classes. The loss experience for primary general liability business is generally reviewed at a level that is believed to provide the most appropriate data for reserve analysis. For example, primary claims made business is generally segregated from business written on an occurrence policy form. Additionally, certain subclasses, such as construction, are generally reviewed separately from business in other subclasses. For example, in the case of environmental liability, AIG's actuaries use claim projections provided by AIG claims staff for long-duration policies. Due to the fairly long-tail nature of general liability business, and the many subclasses that are reviewed individually, there is less credibility in the reported losses and increased reliance on expected loss ratio methods.
Commercial Automobile Liability	
AIG generally uses loss development methods for all but the most recent accident year for commercial automobile classes of business.	Expected loss ratio methods are generally given significant weight only in the most recent accident year.
Healthcare	
AIG generally uses a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods for healthcare classes of business. Frequency/severity methods are sometimes used for pricing certain healthcare accounts or business. However, in testing loss reserves the business is generally combined into larger groupings to enhance the credibility of the loss experience. The frequency/severity methods that are applicable in pricing may not be appropriate for reserve testing.	The largest component of the healthcare business consists of coverage written for hospitals and other healthcare facilities. Reserves for excess coverage are tested separately from those for primary coverage. For primary coverages, loss development methods are generally given the majority of the weight for all but the latest three accident years, and are given some weight for all years other than the latest accident year. For excess coverages, expected loss methods are generally given all the weight for the latest three accident years, and are also given considerable weight for accident years prior to the latest three years. For other classes of healthcare coverage, an analogous weighting between loss development and expected loss ratio methods is used. The weights assigned to each method are those that are believed to result in the best combination of responsiveness and stability.

Table of Contents

Class of Business or Category and Actuarial Method	Application of Actuarial Method
Professional Liability	
AIG generally uses a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods for professional liability classes of business. Frequency/severity methods are used in pricing and profitability analyses for some classes of professional liability; however, for loss reserve testing, the need to enhance credibility generally results in classes that are not sufficiently homogenous to utilize frequency/severity methods.	Loss development methods are used for the more mature accident years. Greater weight is given to expected loss ratio methods in the more recent accident years. Reserves are tested separately for claims made classes and classes written on occurrence policy forms. Further segmentations are made in a manner believed to provide an appropriate balance between credibility and homogeneity of the data.
Catastrophic Casualty	
AIG uses expected loss ratio methods for all accident years for catastrophic casualty business. This class of business consists of casualty or financial lines coverage that attach in excess of very high attachment points; thus the claims experience is marked by very low frequency and high severity. Because of the limited number of claims, loss development methods are not used.	The expected loss ratios and loss development assumptions used are based upon the results of prior accident years for this business as well as for similar classes of business written above lower attachment points. The business is generally written on a claims made basis. AIG uses ground-up claim projections provided by AIG claims staff to assist in developing the appropriate reserve.
Aviation	
AIG generally uses a combination of loss development methods and expected loss ratio methods for aviation exposures. Aviation claims are not very long-tail in nature; however, they are driven by claim severity. Thus a combination of both development and expected loss ratio methods are used for all but the latest accident year to determine the loss reserves. Frequency/severity methods are not employed due to the high severity nature of the claims and different mix of claims from year to year.	Expected loss ratio methods are used to determine the loss reserves for the latest accident year.
Personal Auto (Domestic)	
AIG generally uses frequency/severity methods and loss development methods for domestic personal auto classes.	For many classes of business, greater reliance is placed on frequency/severity methods as claim counts emerge quickly for personal auto and allow for more immediate analysis of resulting loss trends and comparisons to industry and other diagnostic metrics.
Fidelity/Surety	
AIG generally uses loss development methods for fidelity exposures for all but the latest accident year. For surety exposures, AIG generally uses the same method as for short-tail classes (discussed below).	Expected loss ratio methods are also given weight for the more recent accident years, and for the latest accident year they may be given 100 percent weight.

Table of Contents

Class of Business or Category and Actuarial Method	Application of Actuarial Method
Mortgage Guaranty	
AIG tests mortgage guaranty reserves using loss development methods, supplemented by an internal claim analysis by actuaries and staff who specialize in the mortgage guaranty business.	The reserve analysis projects ultimate losses for claims within each of several categories of delinquency based on actual historical experience, using primarily a frequency/severity loss development approach. Additional reserve tests are also employed, such as tests measuring losses as a percent of risk in force. Reserves are reviewed separately for each line of business considering the loss development characteristics, volume of claim data available and applicability of various actuarial methods to each line. Held reserves for mortgage guaranty insurance losses and loss adjustment expenses are established for reported mortgage loan delinquencies and estimates of delinquencies that have been incurred but have not been reported by loan servicers, based upon historical reporting trends. AIG establishes held reserves using a percentage of the contractual liability (for each delinquent loan reported) that is based upon projected claim experience for each category of delinquency, consistent in total with the overall reserve estimate. Mortgage Guaranty losses and loss adjustment expenses have been adversely affected by macroeconomic events, such as declining home prices and increasing unemployment, among other events, related to the turmoil in the financial markets. Because these macroeconomic events are subject to adverse or favorable change, the determination of the ultimate losses and loss adjustment expenses requires a high degree of judgment. Responding to these adverse macroeconomic influences, numerous government and lender loan modification programs have been implemented to mitigate mortgage losses. The loan modification programs have produced additional cures of delinquent loans in 2011 that may not continue in 2012 as some modification programs are phased out or retired. In addition, these loan modifications may re-default resulting in new losses for Mortgage Guaranty. Occurrences of fraudulent loans, underwriting violations, and other deviations from contractual terms, mostly related to the 2006 and 2007 blocks of business, have resulted in historically high levels of claim rescissions and denials (collectively referred to as rescissions) during 2011. As a result, many lenders have increased their rescission appeals activity as well as the success rate on those appeals by focusing additional resources on the process. The increased lender attention on tracking down missing loan documents along with the heightened focus on appeals of rescissions caused the estimated ultimate rescission rate (net of appeals) assumed in the loss reserves to be lower than the rescission level experienced in 2010. If this trend continues it may unfavorably affect future results. AIG believes it has provided appropriate reserves for currently delinquent loans, consistent with industry practices.

Class of Business or Category and Actuarial Method	Application of Actuarial Method
Short-Tail Classes	
AIG generally uses either loss development methods or IBNR factor methods to set reserves for short-tail classes such as property coverages.	Where a factor is used, it generally represents a percent of earned premium or other exposure measure. The factor is determined based on prior accident year experience. For example, the IBNR for a class of property coverage might be expected to approximate 20 percent of the latest year's earned premium. The factor is continually reevaluated in light of emerging claim experience as well as rate changes or other factors that could affect the adequacy of the IBNR factor being employed.
International	
Business written by Chartis internationally includes both long-tail and short-tail classes of business. For long-tail classes of business, the actuarial methods used are analogous to those described above. However, the majority of business written by Chartis internationally is short-tail, high frequency and low severity in nature. For this business, loss development methods are generally employed to test the loss reserves.	AIG maintains a database of detailed historical premium and loss transactions in original currency for business written by Chartis internationally, thereby allowing AIG actuaries to determine the current reserves without any distortion from changes in exchange rates over time. In testing the Chartis operations, AIG's actuaries segment the data by region, country or class of business as appropriate to determine an optimal balance between homogeneity and credibility.
Loss Adjustment Expenses	
AIG determines reserves for legal defense and cost containment loss adjustment expenses for each class of business by one or more actuarial methods. The methods generally include development methods analogous to those described for loss development methods. The developments could be based on either the paid loss adjustment expenses or the ratio of paid loss adjustment expenses to paid losses, or both. Other methods include the utilization of expected ultimate ratios of paid loss expense to paid losses, based on actual experience from prior accident years or from similar classes of business.	AIG generally determines reserves for adjuster loss adjustment expenses based on calendar year ratios of adjuster expenses paid to losses paid for the particular class of business. AIG generally determines reserves for other unallocated loss adjustment expenses based on the ratio of the calendar year expenses paid to overall losses paid. This determination is generally done for all classes of business combined, and reflects costs of home office claim overhead as a percent of losses paid.
Catastrophes	
Special analyses are conducted by AIG in response to major catastrophes in order to estimate AIG's gross and net loss and loss expense liability from those events.	These analyses may include a combination of approaches, including modeling estimates, ground-up claim analysis, loss evaluation reports from on-site field adjusters, and market share estimates.

AIG's loss reserve analyses do not provide a range of loss reserve estimates. Because a large portion of the loss reserves from Chartis business relates to longer-tail casualty classes of business driven by severity rather than frequency of claims, such as excess casualty and D&O, AIG believes that developing a range around loss reserve estimates would not be meaningful. Using the reserving methodologies described above, AIG's actuaries determine their best estimate of the required reserve and advise management of that amount. An important part of AIG's internal governance process over the establishment of loss reserves is the Reserve Review Committee. This multi-disciplinary committee is comprised of senior actuarial, finance, claims, risk management and business unit executives throughout the organization. The purpose of the Reserve Review Committee is to provide oversight, policy establishment and guidance to the reserving process and, when deemed necessary, to adjust the liability for unpaid claims and claim adjustment expenses to an amount that is different than the amounts recommended by the actuaries.

For a discussion of the sensitivity analysis on the reserve for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expenses, see Critical Accounting Estimates Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense (Chartis and Mortgage Guaranty) herein.

Asbestos and Environmental Reserves

The estimation of loss reserves relating to asbestos and environmental claims on insurance policies written many years ago is subject to greater uncertainty than other types of claims due to inconsistent court decisions as well as judicial interpretations and legislative actions that in some cases have tended to broaden coverage beyond the original intent of such policies and in others have expanded theories of liability. In addition, reinsurance recoverable balances relating to asbestos and environmental loss reserves are subject to greater uncertainty due to the underlying age of the claim, underlying legal issues surrounding the nature of the coverage, and determination of proper policy period. As such, these balances tend to be subject to increased levels of disputes and legal collection activity when actually billed. The insurance industry as a whole is engaged in extensive litigation over these coverage and liability issues and is thus confronted with a continuing uncertainty in its efforts to quantify these exposures.

AIG continues to receive claims asserting injuries and damages from toxic waste, hazardous substances, and other environmental pollutants and alleged claims to cover the cleanup costs of hazardous waste dump sites, referred to collectively as environmental claims, and indemnity claims asserting injuries from asbestos.

The vast majority of these asbestos and environmental claims emanate from policies written in 1984 and prior years. Commencing in 1985, standard policies contained an absolute exclusion for pollution-related damage and an absolute asbestos exclusion was also implemented. The current Chartis Environmental policies that AIG underwrites on a claims-made basis have been excluded from the analysis. See discussion on Chartis Environmental reserves herein for further discussion.

The majority of AIG's exposures for asbestos and environmental claims are excess casualty coverages, not primary coverages. Thus, the litigation costs are treated in the same manner as indemnity amounts. That is, litigation expenses are included within the limits of the liability AIG incurs. Individual significant claim liabilities, where future litigation costs are reasonably determinable, are established on a case-by-case basis.

On June 17, 2011, Chartis completed a transaction with NICO, a subsidiary of Berkshire Hathaway, Inc., under which the bulk of Chartis' net domestic asbestos liabilities were transferred to NICO as part of Chartis' ongoing strategy to reduce its overall loss reserve development risk. The transaction with NICO covers potentially volatile U.S.-related asbestos exposures. The transaction does not cover asbestos accounts that Chartis believes have already been reserved to their limit of liability or certain other ancillary asbestos exposure assumed by Chartis subsidiaries.

Upon the closing of this transaction, but effective as of January 1, 2011, Chartis ceded the bulk of its net domestic asbestos liabilities to NICO under a retroactive reinsurance agreement with an aggregate limit of $3.5 billion. The aggregate limit includes NICO's assumption of collection risk for existing third-party reinsurance recoverable associated with these liabilities. Chartis paid NICO approximately $1.67 billion as consideration for this cession and NICO assumed approximately $1.82 billion of net U.S. asbestos liabilities. As a result of this transaction, Chartis recorded a deferred gain of $150 million in the second quarter of 2011, which is being amortized into income over the settlement period of the underlying claims.

Estimation of asbestos and environmental claims loss reserves is a subjective process and reserves for asbestos and environmental claims cannot be estimated using conventional reserving techniques such as those that rely on historical accident year loss development factors. The methods used to determine asbestos and environmental loss estimates and to establish the resulting reserves are continually reviewed and updated by management.

Significant factors which affect the trends that influence the asbestos and environmental claims estimation process are the court resolutions and judicial interpretations which broaden the intent of the policies and scope of coverage. The current case law can be characterized as still evolving, and there is little likelihood that any firm direction will develop in the near future. Additionally, the exposures for cleanup costs of hazardous waste dump sites involve issues such as allocation of responsibility among potentially responsible parties and the government's refusal to release parties from liability.

Table of Contents

Due to this uncertainty, it is not possible to determine the future development of asbestos and environmental claims with the same degree of reliability as with other types of claims. Such future development will be affected by the extent to which courts continue to expand the intent of the policies and the scope of the coverage, as they have in the past, as well as by the changes in Superfund and waste dump site coverage and liability issues. If the asbestos and environmental reserves develop deficiently, such deficiency could have an adverse effect on AIG's future results of operations for an individual reporting period.

With respect to known environmental claims, AIG established over two decades ago a specialized environmental claims unit, which investigates and adjusts all such environmental claims. This unit evaluates these environmental claims utilizing a claim-by-claim approach that involves a detailed review of individual policy terms and exposures. Because each policyholder presents different liability and coverage issues, AIG generally evaluates exposure on a policy-by-policy basis, considering a variety of factors such as known facts, current law, jurisdiction, policy language and other factors that are unique to each policy. Quantitative techniques have to be supplemented by subjective considerations, including management judgment. Each claim is reviewed at least semi-annually utilizing the aforementioned approach and adjusted as necessary to reflect the current information.

In the environmental claims unit, AIG actively manages and pursues early resolution with respect to these claims in an attempt to mitigate its exposure to the unpredictable development of these claims. AIG attempts to mitigate its known long-tail environmental exposures by utilizing a combination of proactive claim-resolution techniques, including policy buybacks, complete environmental releases, compromise settlements, and, when appropriate, litigation.

Similarly, with respect to known asbestos claims, AIG established over two decades ago a specialized toxic tort claims unit, which historically investigated and adjusted all such asbestos claims. As part of the above mentioned NICO transaction, effective January 1, 2011, NICO assumed responsibility for claims handling related to the majority of AIG's domestic asbestos liabilities.

In the fourth quarter of 2010, management conducted its more in-depth comprehensive loss-reserve review with the assistance of its third-party actuary. The more in-depth study to determine the appropriate loss reserve estimate for its asbestos exposures includes a series of top-down and ground-up reserve analyses. To ensure it has the most comprehensive analysis possible, AIG engages an independent third-party actuarial firm to assist in assessing these exposures. The third-party actuarial firm's ground-up study uses a proprietary model to calculate the loss exposure on an insured-by-insured basis. Management believes that the accuracy of the reserve estimate is greatly enhanced through the combination of the third-party actuarial firm's industry modeling techniques and industry knowledge and management's specific account-level experience.

Key observations in 2010 from AIG's third-party actuary that were factors in informing the base-case reserve strengthening included:

- An analysis was performed on policy-specific information including, for instance, policy limits, layers of coverage, ground-up attachment points, and self-insured retentions/deductibles. This policyholder-specific data provided the third-party actuary with an ability to refine its models to produce more account-specific reserves and reduce the amount of standard-model assumptions (i.e., industry assumptions). This new information allowed the third-party actuary to consider certain policies for which assumed losses would not be allocated evenly across years (i.e., pro rata) as assumed under the standard model.

- Through the third-party actuary's review of the policy data as provided by AIG, the third-party actuary identified specific additional policies with no claim activity to date and included them in its modeling for certain accounts. These additional policies provided the actuary with the ability to replace its standard assumptions used in the pure IBNR calculation, with actual identified policies.

- During the fourth quarter of 2010, AIG and the third-party actuary increased the estimate of reserves in recognition of general industry litigation trends attempting to expand asbestos coverage theories.

- With the assistance of the third-party actuary, AIG periodically reviews its assumptions and modeling parameters used in its reserving estimates to calibrate the model to arrive at the most accurate estimate of AIG's experience. This regular calibration is a necessary step in ensuring that AIG's loss reserve estimate

considers all relevant information and produces as accurate an estimate as possible. During its 2010 loss reserve review, the third-party actuary recommended, and AIG agreed, that such changes be made to certain assumptions and model parameters.

AIG completed a top-down report year projection as well as a market share projection of its indicated asbestos and environmental loss reserves. These projections consist of a series of tests performed separately for asbestos and for environmental exposures.

For asbestos, these tests project the losses expected to be reported over the next 16 years, i.e., from 2012 through 2027, based on the actual losses reported through 2011 and the expected future loss emergence for these claims. Three scenarios were tested, with a series of assumptions ranging from more optimistic to more conservative.

For environmental claims, an analogous series of frequency/severity tests are produced. Environmental claims from future report years (i.e., IBNR) are projected out five years, i.e., through the year 2016.

At year-end 2011, AIG considered a number of factors and recent experience in addition to the results of the respective top-down and ground-up analyses performed for asbestos and environmental reserves. AIG considered the significant uncertainty that remains as to AIG's ultimate liability relating to asbestos and environmental claims. This uncertainty is due to several factors including:

- the long latency period between asbestos exposure and disease manifestation and the resulting potential for involvement of multiple policy periods for individual claims;
- claims filed under the non-aggregate premises or operations section of general liability policies;
- the number of insureds seeking bankruptcy protection and the effect of prepackaged bankruptcies;
- diverging legal interpretations; and
- with respect to environmental claims, the difficulty in estimating the allocation of remediation cost among various parties.

After AIG carefully considered the recent experience compared to the results of the 2010 ground-up analysis as well as all of the above factors, no adjustment to gross and net asbestos reserves was recognized in 2011. Additionally in 2011, a moderate amount of incurred loss pertaining to the asbestos loss reserve discount is reflected in the table below and is primarily related to the reserves subject to the NICO reinsurance agreement.

Upon completion of the environmental top-down report year analysis performed in the fourth quarter of 2011, a moderate adjustment to gross and net reserves was recognized.

Table of Contents

The following table provides a summary of reserve activity, including estimates for applicable IBNR, relating to asbestos and environmental claims separately and combined:

As of or for the Year Ended December 31,	**2011**		**2010**		**2009**	
(in millions)	**Gross**	**Net**	**Gross**	**Net**	**Gross**	**Net**
Asbestos:						
Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at beginning of year	**$ 5,526**	**$ 2,223**	$ 3,236	$ 1,151	$ 3,443	$ 1,200
Dispositions	**-**	**-**	(17)	(8)	(84)	(21)
Losses and loss expenses incurred	**192***	**76***	2,940	1,317	482	151
Losses and loss expenses paid	**(492)**	**(236)**	(633)	(237)	(605)	(179)
Other changes	**-**	**177**	-	-	-	-
Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at end of year	**5,226**	**2,240**	5,526	2,223	3,236	1,151
Reduction of net loss reserves due to NICO transaction	**-**	**(1,703)**	-	-	-	-
Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at end of year, reflecting NICO transaction	**$ 5,226**	**$ 537**	$ 5,526	$ 2,223	$ 3,236	$ 1,151
Environmental:						
Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at beginning of year	**$ 240**	**$ 127**	$ 338	$ 159	$ 417	$ 194
Dispositions	**-**	**-**	(27)	(10)	(37)	(7)
Losses and loss expenses incurred	**33**	**27**	23	24	2	4
Losses and loss expenses paid	**(69)**	**(35)**	(94)	(46)	(44)	(32)
Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at end of year	**$ 204**	**$ 119**	$ 240	$ 127	$ 338	$ 159
Combined:						
Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at beginning of year	**$ 5,766**	**$ 2,350**	$ 3,574	$ 1,310	$ 3,860	$ 1,394
Dispositions	**-**	**-**	(44)	(18)	(121)	(28)
Losses and loss expenses incurred	**225**	**103**	2,963	1,341	484	155
Losses and loss expenses paid	**(561)**	**(271)**	(727)	(283)	(649)	(211)
Other changes	**-**	**177**	-	-	-	-
Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at end of year	**5,430**	**2,359**	5,766	2,350	3,574	1,310
Reduction of net loss reserves due to NICO transaction	**-**	**(1,703)**	-	-	-	-
Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at end of year, reflecting NICO transaction	**$ 5,430**	**$ 656**	$ 5,766	$ 2,350	$ 3,574	$ 1,310

*

Primarily represents accretion of discount.

The following table presents the estimate of the gross and net IBNR included in the Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense, relating to asbestos and environmental claims separately and combined:

At December 31,	**2011**		**2010**		**2009**	
(in millions)	**Gross**	**Net**	**Gross**	**Net**	**Gross**	**Net**
Asbestos	**$ 3,685**	**$ 1,653**	$ 4,520	$ 1,964	$ 2,072	$ 863
Environmental	**57**	**28**	93	38	161	71
Combined	**$ 3,742**	**$ 1,681**	$ 4,613	$ 2,002	$ 2,233	$ 934

Table of Contents

The following table presents a summary of asbestos and environmental claims count activity:

As of or for the Years Ended	2011			2010			2009		
December 31,	**Asbestos**	**Environmental**	**Combined**	**Asbestos**	**Environmental**	**Combined**	**Asbestos**	**Environmental**	**Combined**
Claims at beginning of year	**4,933**	**4,087**	**9,020**	5,417	5,994	11,411	5,780	6,674	12,454
Claims during year:									
Opened	**141**	**207**	**348**	502	354	856	615	983	1,598
Settled	**(183)**	**(83)**	**(266)**	(247)	(125)	(372)	(243)	(215)	(458)
Dismissed or otherwise resolved	**(289)**	**(429)**	**(718)**	(739)	(2,136)	(2,875)	(735)	(1,448)	(2,183)
Other*	**841**	**-**	**841**	-	-	-	-	-	-
Claims at end of year	**5,443**	**3,782**	**9,225**	4,933	4,087	9,020	5,417	5,994	11,411

*
Represents an administrative change to the method of determining the number of open claims, which had no effect on carried reserves.

Survival Ratios Asbestos and Environmental

The following table presents AIG's survival ratios for asbestos and environmental claims at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009. The survival ratio is derived by dividing the current carried loss reserve by the average payments for the three most recent calendar years for these claims. Therefore, the survival ratio is a simplistic measure estimating the number of years it would be before the current ending loss reserves for these claims would be paid off using recent year average payments. The significant increase in the gross and net survival ratios at December 31, 2010 compared to December 31, 2009 relates to the reserve increases recorded in 2010. In addition, AIG's survival ratio for asbestos claims was negatively affected by certain favorable settlements during 2008 and 2007. These settlements reduced gross and net asbestos survival ratios at December 31, 2010 by approximately 0.1 years and 0.3 years, respectively; and reduced gross and net asbestos survival ratios at December 31, 2009 by approximately 0.9 years and 1.9 years, respectively.

Many factors, such as aggressive settlement procedures, mix of business and level of coverage provided, have a significant effect on the amount of asbestos and environmental reserves and payments and the resultant survival ratio. Moreover, as discussed above, the primary basis for AIG's determination of its reserves are not survival ratios, but instead the ground-up and top-down analysis. Thus, caution should be exercised in attempting to determine reserve adequacy for these claims based simply on this survival ratio.

The following table presents survival ratios for asbestos and environmental claims, separately and combined, which were based upon a three-year average payment:

Years Ended	2011		2010		2009	
December 31,	**Gross**	**Net***	**Gross**	**Net**	**Gross**	**Net**
Survival ratios:						
Asbestos	**9.1**	**10.3**	8.6	9.2	4.7	3.7
Environmental	**2.9**	**3.1**	3.7	3.2	4.5	3.5
Combined	**8.4**	**9.3**	8.1	8.4	4.7	3.7

*

Survival ratios are calculated consistent with the basis of presentation in the reserve activity table above, which excludes the effects of the NICO cession.

SunAmerica Operations

SunAmerica offers a comprehensive suite of products and services to individuals and groups including term life, universal life, A&H products, fixed and variable deferred annuities, fixed payout annuities, mutual funds and financial planning. SunAmerica offers its products and services through a diverse, multi-channel distribution network that includes banks, national, regional and independent broker-dealers, affiliated financial advisors, independent marketing organizations, independent and career insurance agents, structured settlement brokers, benefit consultants and direct to-consumer platforms.

In managing SunAmerica, AIG analyzes the operating performance of each business using Operating income (loss), which is before net realized capital gains (losses) and related DAC and SIA amortization. Operating income (loss) is not a substitute for pre-tax income determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP. However, AIG believes that the presentation of Operating income (loss) enhances the understanding of the underlying profitability of the ongoing operations of SunAmerica. The reconciliations to pre-tax income are provided in the tables that follow.

Table of Contents

SunAmerica Results

The following table presents SunAmerica results:

Years Ended December 31,				**Percentage Change**	
(in millions)	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**	**2011 vs. 2010**	**2010 vs. 2009**
Domestic Life Insurance:					
Revenue:					
Premiums	**$ 2,513**	$ 2,520	$ 2,671	-%	(6)%
Policy fees	**1,478**	1,576	1,581	(6)	-
Net investment income	**3,925**	4,313	3,819	(9)	13
Other income	**3**	-	-	NM	NM
Operating expenses:					
Policyholder benefits and claims incurred	**4,510**	4,277	4,161	5	3
Interest credited to policyholder account balances	**851**	843	866	1	(3)
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs	**534**	784	716	(32)	9
Other acquisition and insurance expenses	**954**	991	1,032	(4)	(4)
Operating income	**1,070**	1,514	1,296	(29)	17
Net realized capital gains (losses)	**363**	(75)	(712)	NM	89
Benefit (amortization) of DAC, VOBA and SIA related to net realized capital gains (losses)	**(29)**	(45)	35	36	NM
Pre-tax income	**$ 1,404**	$ 1,394	$ 619	1%	125%
Domestic Retirement Services:					
Revenue:					
Policy fees	**$ 1,227**	$ 1,134	$ 1,075	8%	5%
Net investment income	**5,957**	6,455	5,734	(8)	13
Other income	**206**	-	-	NM	NM
Operating expenses:					
Policyholder benefits and claims incurred	**104**	(1)	227	NM	NM
Interest credited to policyholder account balances	**3,595**	3,637	3,838	(1)	(5)
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs	**694**	491	952	41	(48)
Other acquisition and insurance expenses	**806**	928	780	(13)	19
Operating income	**2,191**	2,534	1,012	(14)	150
Net realized capital losses	**(357)**	(1,176)	(2,802)	70	58
Benefit (amortization) of DAC, VOBA and SIA related to net realized capital losses	**(328)**	(40)	73	NM	NM
Goodwill impairment charges	**-**	-	(81)	NM	NM
Pre-tax income (loss)	**$ 1,506**	$ 1,318	$ (1,798)	14%	NM%
Total SunAmerica:					
Revenue:					
Premiums	**$ 2,513**	$ 2,520	$ 2,671	-%	(6)%
Policy fees	**2,705**	2,710	2,656	-	2
Net investment income	**9,882**	10,768	9,553	(8)	13
Other income	**209**	-	-	NM	NM
Operating expenses:					
Policyholder benefits and claims incurred	**4,614**	4,276	4,388	8	(3)
Interest credited to policyholder account balances	**4,446**	4,480	4,704	(1)	(5)

Amortization of deferred acquisition costs	**1,228**	1,275	1,668	(4)	(24)
Other acquisition and insurance expenses	**1,760**	1,919	1,812	(8)	6
Operating income	**3,261**	4,048	2,308	(19)	75
Net realized capital gains (losses)	**6**	(1,251)	(3,514)	NM	64
Benefit (amortization) of DAC, VOBA and SIA related to net realized capital gains (losses)	**(357)**	(85)	108	(320)	NM
Goodwill impairment charges	**-**	-	(81)	NM	NM
Pre-tax income (loss)	**$ 2,910**	$ 2,712	$ (1,179)	7%	NM%

Table of Contents

2011 and 2010 Comparison

SunAmerica reported a decrease in operating income in 2011 compared to 2010, reflecting lower net investment income, higher DAC amortization and higher policyholder benefit expense in SunAmerica's variable annuity business due to equity market conditions, and an increase in incurred but not reported (IBNR) death claim reserves.

Net investment income reflected a slight decline in base yields (12 basis points), as investment purchases in late 2010 and 2011 were made at yields lower than the weighted average yields of the existing base portfolio. The lower yields were partially offset by an increase in income from the reinvestment of significant amounts of cash and short term investments during 2011. The following decreases in investment enhancement items also contributed to lower net investment income in 2011 compared to 2010:

- $471 million decrease in valuation gains on ML II;
- $196 million lower call and tender income;
- $163 million of losses from equity-method investments in two trusts created by AIG to hold leased commercial aircraft, in which SunAmerica has non-voting preferred equity and debt interests. The losses reflect aircraft impairments recorded by the trusts in 2011 based on reviews of aircraft recoverability, which consider projected undiscounted future cash flows subject to assumptions based on current macroeconomic and industry trends and conditions; and
- $121 million decrease in private equity funds and hedge funds income.

DAC amortization and policyholder benefit expenses related to weaker equity market conditions were $93 million in 2011, compared to an $87 million reduction to expenses due to more favorable equity market conditions in 2010. In a weak equity market, SunAmerica increases policyholder benefit reserves to recognize the expected value of death benefits in excess of the projected account balance for certain guaranteed benefits features of variable annuities. The DAC asset related to these products may also be adjusted through amortization expense, to reflect updates of future estimated gross profits due to equity market assumptions. The effect on the estimated gross profits of variable products of short-term fluctuations in the equity markets are mitigated in part through the use of a reversion to mean methodology. Under this methodology, SunAmerica assumes a long-term growth rate for the assets backing these liabilities, which factors in potential short-term fluctuations in the financial markets, and if the long-term growth rate assumption is deemed to be unreasonable in light of the current market conditions, the long-term growth rate assumption is revised upward or downward to reflect the revised estimate. See Note 2(g) to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion.

SunAmerica recorded an increase of approximately $202 million in the estimated reserves for incurred but not reported death claims in 2011 in conjunction with the use of the Social Security Death Master File (SSDMF) to identify potential claims not yet filed with its life insurance companies. Although SunAmerica has enhanced its claims practices to include use of the SSDMF, it is possible that industry-wide regulatory inquiries, audits and other regulatory activity could result in the payment of additional death claims, additional escheatment of funds deemed abandoned under state laws, administrative penalties and interest.

Offsetting these decreases in operating income was $226 million of legal settlement net proceeds received in 2011. In three separate agreements, SAFG Retirement Services, Inc. (SAFG), formerly known as AIG Retirement Services, Inc. agreed to resolve its claims in the matter titled AIG Retirement Services, Inc. v. Altus Finance S.A. et al.

Other acquisition and insurance expenses declined $159 million, or 8 percent, compared to 2010, primarily due to legal expense accruals and state guaranty fund assessments which were higher in 2010, as well as a reduction in the cost of letters of credit related to reinsurance.

Pre-tax income for SunAmerica reflected net realized capital gains in 2011 compared to net realized capital losses in 2010 due principally to a $981 million decline in other-than-temporary impairments, a decline in fair value losses on derivatives primarily used to hedge the effect of interest rate and foreign exchange movements on Guaranteed Investment Contracts (GIC) reserves, and declines in the allowance for mortgage loans. These

Table of Contents

improvements were partially offset by a $465 million increase in fair value losses of embedded derivatives, net of economic hedges, relating to variable annuity products with living benefit guarantees, compared to 2010, driven by declines in long term interest rates. Due to statutory capital considerations, a significant portion of the interest rate exposure related to these variable annuity contract features is unhedged.

SunAmerica periodically evaluates the estimates used to establish its liabilities for future policy benefits and DAC. These estimates may be adjusted based on actual experience and management judgment regarding assumptions which include mortality, morbidity, persistency, maintenance expenses and investment returns. These current best estimates are used to determine whether to adjust DAC and record additional liabilities when unrealized gains or losses on available-for-sale investment securities are recognized through accumulated other comprehensive income, at each balance sheet date, as if the securities had been sold at their stated aggregate fair value and the proceeds reinvested at current yields. An actual sale of the underlying securities could trigger an actual loss recognition event that would result in the amortization of DAC and the recognition of higher reserves through policyholder benefit expense due to a deficiency in future earnings. Primarily as a result of the low interest rate environment in 2011, SunAmerica recorded additional future policy benefits and adjustments to DAC totaling $1.6 billion, net of tax, as of December 31, 2011, which were charged directly to accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and included within the change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

SunAmerica reported an increase in operating income in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to higher net investment income and favorable changes in DAC and SIA amortization and policyholder benefit expenses due to improved equity market conditions in 2010 relative to 2009.

Higher net investment income in 2010 compared to 2009 reflected the following:

- $699 million increase in private equity funds and hedge funds income;
- $539 million increase in valuation gains on ML II; and
- $279 million higher call and tender income.

In 2009, DAC and SIA amortization unlocking and related reserve strengthening charges of $611 million were primarily due to reductions in the long-term growth assumptions and deteriorated equity market conditions early in 2009 for group retirement products and individual variable annuities, and projected increases in surrenders for individual fixed annuities. The 2010 unlocking and reserve strengthening was not significant.

The improvement in the pre-tax results for SunAmerica in 2010 compared to 2009 reflected a decline in net realized capital losses due principally to a significant decline in other-than-temporary impairments, and an increase in net realized gains from the sale of investments in 2010 partially offset by affordable housing partnership impairments and an increase in fair value losses on derivatives primarily used to hedge the effect of interest rate and foreign exchange movements on GIC reserves. See Results of Operations Consolidated Results Premiums; Net Investment Income; and Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses) herein.

Table of Contents

Sales and Deposits

The following tables summarize SunAmerica premiums, deposits and other considerations by product*:

Years Ended December 31,				**Percentage Change**	
(in millions)	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**	**2011 vs. 2010**	**2010 vs. 2009**
Premiums, deposits and other considerations					
Individual fixed annuity deposits	**$ 6,606**	$ 4,410	$ 5,348	50%	(18)%
Group retirement product deposits	**7,312**	6,309	6,201	16	2
Life insurance	**4,713**	5,110	5,538	(8)	(8)
Individual variable annuity deposits	**3,212**	2,072	891	55	133
Retail mutual funds	**1,925**	1,101	782	75	41
Individual annuities runoff	**70**	84	47	(17)	79
Total premiums, deposits and other considerations	**$ 23,838**	$ 19,086	$ 18,807	25%	1%
Independent retail	**$ 144**	$ 123	$ 123	17%	-%
Independent institutional	**25**	32	19	(22)	68
Affiliated Career and Matrix Direct	**109**	98	86	11	14
Total life insurance sales	**$ 278**	$ 253	$ 228	10%	11%

* *Life insurance sales include periodic premiums from new business expected to be collected over a one-year period and 10 percent of single premiums and unscheduled deposits from new and existing policyholders. Annuity sales represent deposits from new and existing customers.*

Premiums

Premiums represent premiums received on traditional life insurance policies and deposits on life- contingent payout annuities. Premiums, deposits and other considerations is a non-GAAP measure which includes life insurance premiums, deposits on annuity contracts and mutual funds.

The following table presents a reconciliation of premiums, deposits and other considerations to premiums:

Years Ended December 31,			
(in millions)	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**
Premiums, deposits and other considerations	**$ 23,838**	$ 19,086	$ 18,807
Deposits	**(21,376)**	(16,462)	(15,993)
Other	**51**	(104)	(143)
Premiums	**$ 2,513**	$ 2,520	$ 2,671

2011 and 2010 Comparison

Total premiums, deposits and other considerations increased in 2011 as deposits from individual fixed annuities, individual variable annuities and retail mutual funds all showed significant increases.

Group retirement deposits increased primarily due to higher levels of individual rollover deposits in 2011. Individual fixed annuity deposits increased as certain bank distributors negotiated a lower commission in exchange for a higher rate offered to policyholders which made SunAmerica's individual fixed annuity products more attractive. However, fixed annuity deposits declined in the latter part of 2011 from the first six months of 2011 due to significant declines in interest rates. Variable annuity sales increased due to reinstatements of relationships at a number of key broker-dealers, and increased wholesaler productivity. Deposits from life insurance products increased in 2011 but were more than offset by declines in deferred annuities sold through life insurance distribution channels and a large private placement variable annuity sale in 2010. Retail mutual fund annual sales growth was driven by SunAmerica Asset Management Corp.'s Specialty Series product offerings (Alternative Strategies and Global Trends) and the Focused Dividend Strategy Portfolio.

SunAmerica grew new sales of mortality based life insurance products during 2011 by strengthening the core retail independent distribution channel and continuing to focus on career agent and direct-to-consumer distribution. Retail life sales increased 17 percent during 2011 as SunAmerica continues to re-engage independent

distribution channels. Affiliated distribution channels grew 11 percent in 2011 as a result of an enhanced product suite that appeals to middle market consumers. Matrix Direct, SunAmerica's direct-to-consumer platform, has proven highly effective for the distribution of term life and A&H products. The decline in institutional sales during 2011 reflects several large variable universal life sales during 2010.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Total premiums, deposits and other considerations increased in 2010 compared to 2009 as improved sales from life insurance, group retirement products and individual variable annuities offset a decline in individual fixed annuity deposits. Group Retirement deposits increased for 2010 primarily due to improved sales from individual rollovers. Individual fixed annuity deposits decreased primarily due to the low interest rate environment in 2010. Variable annuity sales increased due to competitive product enhancements, reinstatements at a number of key broker-dealers, and increased wholesaler productivity. Payout annuity sales increased in 2010 compared to 2009 as a result of improved structured settlement and immediate annuity sales. Life insurance sales decreased in 2010 compared to 2009 driven by term and universal life products sold through independent and career distribution networks.

Domestic Retirement Services Net Flows

The following table presents the account value rollforward for Domestic Retirement Services:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**
Group retirement products			
Balance, beginning of year	**$ 68,365**	$ 63,419	$ 56,861
Deposits annuities	**5,652**	4,937	4,856
Deposits mutual funds	**1,660**	1,372	1,345
Total deposits	**7,312**	6,309	6,201
Surrenders and other withdrawals	**(5,853)**	(6,647)	(7,233)
Death benefits	**(371)**	(317)	(275)
Net inflows (outflows)	**1,088**	(655)	(1,307)
Change in fair value of underlying investments, interest credited, net of fees	**457**	5,601	7,865
Future policy benefits related to unrealized investment appreciation	**15**	-	-
Balance, end of year	**$ 69,925**	$ 68,365	$ 63,419
Individual fixed annuities			
Balance, beginning of year	**$ 48,489**	$ 47,202	$ 48,394
Deposits	**6,606**	4,410	5,348
Surrenders and other withdrawals	**(3,456)**	(3,520)	(6,715)
Death benefits	**(1,570)**	(1,479)	(1,700)
Net inflows (outflows)	**1,580**	(589)	(3,067)
Change in fair value of underlying investments, interest credited, net of fees	**1,828**	1,876	1,875
Future policy benefits related to unrealized investment appreciation	**379**	-	-
Balance, end of year	**$ 52,276**	$ 48,489	$ 47,202

Table of Contents

Years Ended December 31, (in millions)	2011	2010	2009
Individual variable annuities			
Balance, beginning of year	**$ 25,581**	$ 24,637	$ 23,593
Deposits	**3,212**	2,072	891
Surrenders and other withdrawals	**(2,982)**	(2,725)	(2,667)
Death benefits	**(452)**	(437)	(404)
Net outflows	**(222)**	(1,090)	(2,180)
Change in fair value of underlying investments, interest credited, net of fees	**(463)**	2,034	3,224
Balance, end of year	**$ 24,896**	$ 25,581	$ 24,637
Retail mutual funds			
Balance, beginning of year	**$ 5,975**	$ 5,879	$ 5,767
Deposits	**1,925**	1,101	782
Redemptions	**(1,447)**	(1,252)	(1,174)
Net inflows (outflows)	**478**	(151)	(392)
Change in fair value of underlying investments, interest credited, net of fees	**(232)**	247	504
Balance, end of year	**6,221**	5,975	5,879
Total Domestic Retirement Services			
Balance, beginning of year	**$ 148,410**	$ 141,137	$ 134,615
Deposits	**19,055**	13,892	13,222
Surrenders, redemptions and other withdrawals	**(13,738)**	(14,144)	(17,789)
Death benefits	**(2,393)**	(2,233)	(2,379)
Net inflows (outflows) excluding retail mutual funds	**2,924**	(2,485)	(6,946)
Change in fair value of underlying investments, interest credited, net of fees	**1,590**	9,758	13,468
Future policy benefits related to unrealized investment appreciation	**394**	-	-
Balance, end of year, excluding runoff	**153,318**	148,410	141,137
Individual annuities runoff	**4,299**	4,430	4,637
GIC runoff	**6,706**	8,486	8,536
Balance, end of year	**$ 164,323**	$ 161,326	$ 154,310
General and separate account reserves and mutual funds			
General account reserve	**$ 102,580**	$ 97,515	$ 94,912
Separate account reserve	**46,006**	48,804	45,444
Total general and separate account reserves	**148,586**	146,319	140,356
Group retirement mutual funds	**9,516**	9,032	8,075
Retail mutual funds	**6,221**	5,975	5,879
Total reserves and mutual funds	**$ 164,323**	$ 161,326	$ 154,310

2011 and 2010 Comparison

Net flows improved in 2011 due to both the significant increase in deposits and favorable surrender experience in group retirement and individual fixed annuities. However, individual fixed annuities net flows declined in the second half of the year due to lower deposits resulting from the low interest rate environment. Should the current low interest rate environment persist, net flows are likely to decline in 2012

from 2011 levels. Surrender rates for individual fixed annuities also decreased in 2011 due to the low interest rate environment and the relative competitiveness of interest credited rates on the existing block of fixed annuities versus interest rates on alternative investment options available in the marketplace. SunAmerica has returned to a more normal level of group surrender activity that no longer reflects the negative AIG publicity associated with the events of 2008 and 2009. Individual variable annuities net flows improved from 2010 levels due primarily to higher deposits throughout 2011 and turned positive in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Table of Contents

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Surrender rates continued to improve in 2010 compared to 2009 for group retirement products, individual fixed annuities and individual variable annuities as surrenders have returned to more normal levels. Surrender rates for individual fixed annuities have decreased significantly in 2010 due to the low interest rate environment and the relative competitiveness of interest credited rates on the existing block of fixed annuities versus interest rates on alternative investment options available in the marketplace.

The following table presents reserves by surrender charge category and surrender rates:

At December 31,	2011			2010		
(in millions)	Group Retirement Products*	Individual Fixed Annuities	Individual Variable Annuities	Group Retirement Products*	Individual Fixed Annuities	Individual Variable Annuities
No surrender charge	**$ 53,100**	**$ 18,179**	**$ 10,061**	$ 52,742	$ 14,006	$ 11,859
0% - 2%	**1,186**	**2,922**	**4,317**	1,292	3,510	4,083
Greater than 2% - 4%	**1,248**	**4,719**	**2,068**	1,754	5,060	2,040
Greater than 4%	**4,060**	**23,372**	**7,764**	2,753	22,777	7,361
Non-Surrenderable	**815**	**3,084**	**686**	792	3,136	238
Total reserves	**$ 60,409**	**$ 52,276**	**$ 24,896**	$ 59,333	$ 48,489	$ 25,581
Surrender rates	**8.4%**	**6.8%**	**11.9%**	10.3%	7.4%	11.4%

* *Excludes mutual funds of $9.5 billion and $9.0 billion in 2011 and 2010, respectively.*

Aircraft Leasing Operations

AIG's Aircraft Leasing operations are the operations of ILFC, which generates its revenues primarily from leasing new and used commercial jet aircraft to foreign and domestic airlines. Aircraft Leasing operations also include gains and losses that result from the remarketing of commercial jet aircraft for ILFC's own account, and remarketing and fleet management services for airlines and other aircraft fleet owners.

As discussed in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in order to align financial reporting with changes made during 2011 to the manner in which AIG's chief operating decision makers review the businesses to assess performance and make decisions about resources to be allocated, beginning in the third quarter of 2011, Aircraft Leasing is being presented as a standalone reportable segment. It was previously reported as a component of the Financial Services reportable segment. Prior periods have been revised to conform to the current period presentation for the segment changes.

Table of Contents

Aircraft Leasing Results

Aircraft Leasing results were as follows:

Years Ended December 31,				Percentage Change	
(in millions)	2011	2010	2009	2011 vs. 2010	2010 vs. 2009
Aircraft leasing revenues:					
Rental revenue	**$ 4,447**	$ 4,727	$ 4,932	(6)%	(4)%
Interest and other revenues	**20**	22	35	(9)	(37)
Total aircraft leasing revenues	**4,467**	4,749	4,967	(6)	(4)
Interest expense	**1,427**	1,397	1,222	2	14
Loss on extinguishment of debt	**61**	-	-	NM	NM
Aircraft leasing expense:					
Depreciation expense	**1,871**	1,968	1,973	(5)	-
Impairments charges, fair value adjustments and lease-related charges	**1,689**	1,704	51	(1)	NM
Other expenses	**414**	378	361	10	5
Total aircraft leasing expense	**3,974**	4,050	2,385	(2)	70
Operating income (loss)	**(995)**	(698)	1,360	(43)	NM
Net realized capital gains (losses)	**(10)**	(31)	25	68	NM
Pre-tax income (loss)	**$ (1,005)**	$ (729)	$ 1,385	(38)%	NM%

2011 and 2010 Comparison

The Aircraft Leasing pre-tax loss increased in 2011 compared to 2010 primarily due to lower rental revenues as a result of a reduction in ILFC's average fleet size resulting from sales of aircraft and lower lease revenue earned on re-leased aircraft in its fleet, partially offset by lower depreciation charges. In 2011, ILFC had an average of 932 aircraft in its fleet, compared to 963 in 2010.

Additionally, ILFC recorded impairment charges, fair value adjustments and lease-related charges of $1.7 billion in each of 2011 and 2010. The impairment charges in 2011 resulted from unfavorable trends affecting the residual values of certain aircraft types. In monitoring the aircraft in ILFC's fleet for impairment charges on an on-going basis, ILFC considers facts and circumstances such as projected lease rates and terms, residual values, overhaul rental realization and aircraft holding periods. These items are considered in determining whether ILFC would need to modify its assumptions used in its recoverability assessments. In addition to these factors, ILFC considered its newly acquired end-of-life management capabilities from its acquisition of AeroTurbine and its impact on ILFC's strategy, as well as potential sales. While ILFC's overall business model has not changed, its expectation of how it may manage out-of-production aircraft, or aircraft that have been affected by new technology developments, changed due to the AeroTurbine acquisition. The result of the overall assessment based on ILFC's updated assumptions and management's change in its end-of-life strategy for older generation aircraft indicated that the book value of certain aircraft were not fully recoverable and these aircraft were deemed impaired. The aircraft impaired were primarily out-of-production aircraft, or aircraft that have been impacted by new technology developments.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

ILFC reported a pre-tax loss in 2010 compared to pre-tax income in 2009 primarily due to impairment charges, fair value adjustments and lease related charges recorded on aircraft in its fleet. During 2010, ILFC recorded asset impairment losses of $1.1 billion on certain aircraft in its fleet reflecting management's outlook related to new technology developments and the future recovery of the airline industry due to a decrease in

demand for certain aircraft types, increased volatility in fuel costs and changes in other macroeconomic conditions which, when aggregated, resulted in lower future estimated lease rates used in ILFC's annual recurring recoverability assessment. Additionally, ILFC recorded asset impairment charges, fair value adjustments and lease-related

Table of Contents

charges aggregating $597 million on aircraft sold. ILFC also incurred increased interest expense driven by higher composite borrowing rates, and an increase in the provision for overhauls to reflect an increase in future reimbursements.

Other Operations

The components of AIG's Other operations were revised in the third quarter of 2011, primarily as a result of the reclassification of non-aircraft leasing operations from the Financial Services reportable segment as discussed in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, as follows:

AIGFP's derivatives portfolio, previously reported as a component of the Financial Services reportable segment is now reported with AIG Markets, Inc. (AIG Markets) as Global Capital Markets in Other Operations.

AIG Global Real Estate Investment Corp. operations and Institutional Asset Management, previously reported as components of Direct Investment book and Asset Management operations within Other operations, respectively, are now reported in Corporate & Other.

AIG's Other operations include the following:

Mortgage Guaranty UGC subsidiaries issue residential mortgage guaranty insurance, both domestically and to a lesser extent internationally, that covers mortgage lenders from the first loss for credit defaults on high loan-to-value conventional first-lien mortgages for the purchase or refinance of one-to four-family residences.

Global Capital Markets consist of the operations of AIG Markets and the remaining AIGFP derivatives portfolio. AIG Markets acts as the derivatives intermediary between AIG companies and third parties. The active wind-down of the AIGFP derivatives portfolio was completed by the end of the second quarter of 2011. Although the remaining AIGFP derivatives portfolio may experience periodic fair value volatility, the portfolio consists predominantly of transactions AIG believes are of low complexity, low risk, supportive of AIG's risk management objectives or not economically appropriate to unwind based on a cost versus benefit analysis. AIGFP is entering into new derivative transactions only to hedge its current portfolio.

Direct Investment book includes results for the MIP and the results of certain non-derivative assets and liabilities of AIGFP.

Retained Interests Fair value gains or losses on AIG's remaining interest in AIA ordinary shares retained following the AIA initial public offering, the retained interest in ML III, and, prior to their sale on March 8, 2011, the MetLife securities that were received as consideration from the sale of ALICO.

Corporate & Other consists primarily of interest expense, intercompany interest income that is eliminated in consolidation, expenses of corporate staff not attributable to specific business segments (including restructuring costs), expenses related to internal controls, corporate initiatives, certain compensation plan expenses, corporate-level net realized capital gains and losses, certain litigation-related charges and credits, and net gains and losses on sales of divested businesses that did not qualify for discontinued operations accounting treatment.

Divested Businesses include operating results of certain businesses that have been divested that did not meet the criteria for discontinued operations classification, primarily consisting of AIA in 2010.

Table of Contents

Other Operations Results

The following table presents pre-tax income for AIG's Other operations:

(in millions)	2011	2010	2009	Percentage Change 2011 vs. 2010	Percentage Change 2010 vs. 2009
Mortgage Guaranty	**$ (73)**	$ 373	$ (1,688)	NM%	NM%
Global Capital Markets	**(7)**	193	603	NM	(68)
Direct Investment book	**622**	1,242	1,506	(50)	(18)
Retained interests:					
Change in the fair value of the MetLife securities prior to their sale	**(157)**	665	-	NM	NM
Change in fair value of AIA securities	**1,289**	(638)	-	NM	NM
Change in fair value of ML III[(a)]	**(646)**	1,792	419	NM	328
Corporate & Other:					
Interest expense on FRBNY Credit Facility[(b)]	**(72)**	(4,107)	(10,381)	98	60
Other interest expense	**(2,001)**	(2,380)	(2,676)	16	11
Other corporate expenses	**(703)**	(1,370)	(3,253)	49	58
Loss on extinguishment of debt	**(2,847)**	(104)	-	NM	NM
Net realized capital gains (losses)	**(30)**	500	750	NM	(33)
Net gain (loss) on sale of divested businesses	**(74)**	17,098	(1,271)	NM	NM
Total Corporate & Other	**(5,727)**	9,637	(16,831)	NM	NM
Divested businesses	**-**	2,540	2,159	NM	18
Consolidation and eliminations	**-**	89	(361)	NM	NM
Total Other operations	**$ (4,699)**	$ 15,893	$ (14,193)	NM%	NM%

(a) *Corporate & Other contributed its equity interest in ML III to an AIG subsidiary reported above, during the second quarter of 2009.*

(b) *Includes interest expense of $2 million, $75 million and $89 million for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, allocated to discontinued operations in consolidation.*

Mortgage Guaranty

The main business of the subsidiaries of UGC is the issuance of residential mortgage guaranty insurance, both domestically, and to a lesser extent, internationally, that covers mortgage lenders from the first loss for credit defaults on high loan-to-value conventional first-lien mortgages for the purchase or refinance of one- to four-family residences.

The following table presents pre-tax income for Mortgage Guaranty:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	2011	2010	2009	Percentage Change 2011 vs. 2010	Percentage Change 2010 vs. 2009
Underwriting results:					

Net premiums written	**$**	**801**	$	756	$	911	6%	(17)%
(Increase) decrease in unearned premiums		**(9)**		219		119	NM	84
Net premiums earned		**792**		975		1,030	(19)	(5)
Claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred		**834**		500		2,869	67	(83)
Underwriting expenses		**183**		295		2	(38)	NM
Underwriting profit (loss)		**(225)**		180		(1,841)	NM	NM
Investing and other results:								
Net investment income		**132**		149		168	(11)	(11)
Net realized capital gains (losses)		**20**		44		(15)	(55)	NM
Pre-tax income (loss)	**$**	**(73)**	$	373	$	(1,688)	NM%	NM%

2011 and 2010 Comparison

UGC recorded a pre-tax loss in 2011 compared to pre-tax income in 2010, primarily due to:

- an increase in claims and claims adjustment expenses of $334 million, primarily in first-lien business, reflecting increased overturns of denied and rescinded claims and unfavorable first-lien loss development of $76 million in 2011, compared to favorable loss development of $385 million in 2010, partially offset by lower levels of newly reported delinquencies in the first-lien, second-lien and international products, and a reduction in reserves due to an agreement to resolve certain delinquencies with a major European lender that resulted in a $43 million benefit;

- declines in earned premiums from the second-lien, private student loan and international businesses, which were placed into runoff during 2008, partially offset by an increase in earned premiums from first-lien business; and

- the accrual of $22 million to pay for previously rescinded losses, certain legal fees and interest in connection with an adverse judgment. UGC has appealed the court's decision.

Partially offsetting these declines was a reduction in underwriting expenses compared to 2010 reflecting a $94 million accrual of estimated remedy losses in 2010. Remedy losses represent the indemnification for losses incurred by lenders arising from obligations contractually assumed by UGC as a result of underwriting services provided to lenders during times of high loan origination activity. UGC believes it has adequately accrued for these losses at December 31, 2011. Pre-tax income for 2010 also includes gains of approximately $150 million from legal settlements and reinsurance commutations.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Mortgage Guaranty reported pre-tax income in 2010 compared to a pre-tax loss in 2009, driven by:

- favorable prior year reserve development, primarily in first liens, due to increased cures, rescissions and claims denials, compared to unfavorable development in 2009;

- gains of $150 million in 2010 from legal settlements and reinsurance commutations; and

- lower levels of newly reported delinquencies in the first-lien, second-lien and international products, partially offset by increased delinquencies in private student loans. During 2010, UGC commuted the majority of its private student loan portfolio.

Partially offsetting the improved 2010 results were:

- lower earned premiums in first-lien, second-lien, and international businesses in 2010;

- the accrual of $94 million of remedy losses in 2010 as noted above; and

- the amortization of the second-lien premium deficiency reserve of $222 million in 2009.

Risk-in-Force

The following table presents risk in force and delinquency ratio information for Mortgage Guaranty domestic business:

At December 31, ***(dollars in billions)***	**2011**	2010
Domestic first-lien:		
Risk in force	**$ 25.6**	$ 25.3
60+ day delinquency ratio on primary loans[(a)]	**13.9%**	16.3%
Domestic second-lien:		
Risk in force[(b)]	**$ 1.5**	$ 2.1

(a) *Based on number of policies.*

(b) *Represents the full amount of second-lien loans insured reduced for contractual aggregate loss limits on certain pools of loans, usually 10 percent of the full amount of loans insured in each pool. Certain second-lien pools have reinstatement provisions, which will expire as the loan balances are repaid.*

Table of Contents

Global Capital Markets Operations

2011 and 2010 Comparison

Global Capital Markets reported a pre-tax loss in 2011 compared to pre-tax income in 2010 primarily due to a decrease in unrealized market valuation gains related to the AIGFP super senior credit default swap (CDS) portfolio and losses in 2011 compared to gains in 2010 on the AIGFP CDS contracts referencing single-name exposures written on corporate, index and asset-backed credits, which are not included in the AIGFP super senior CDS portfolio. These items were partially offset by improvements related to the net effect of changes in credit spreads on the credit valuation adjustments of AIGFP's derivative assets and liabilities. During 2011, AIGFP recorded an unrealized market valuation gain on its super senior CDS portfolio of $339 million compared to an unrealized market valuation gain of $598 million in 2010. The reduction in gains resulted primarily from CDS transactions written on multi-sector CDOs driven by price declines of the underlying assets. AIGFP also recognized a loss of $23 million in 2011 on CDS contracts referencing single-name exposures as compared to a gain of $149 million in 2010 due to a decline in market conditions. During 2011, AIGFP recognized a net credit valuation adjustment loss on derivative assets and liabilities of $53 million compared to a net credit valuation adjustment loss of $200 million in 2010 due to a narrowing of corporate spreads.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

Global Capital Markets reported lower pre-tax income in 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to lower unrealized market valuation gains related to the AIGFP super senior CDS portfolio and the significant decrease related to the net effect of changes in credit spreads on the credit valuation adjustments of AIGFP's derivative assets and liabilities, partially offset by lower costs related to the continued wind-down of AIGFP's businesses and portfolios. During 2010, AIGFP recorded an unrealized market valuation gain on its super senior CDS portfolio of $598 million compared to an unrealized market valuation gain of $1.4 billion in 2009. During 2010, AIGFP recognized a net credit valuation adjustment loss on derivative assets and liabilities of $200 million compared to a net credit valuation adjustment gain of $775 million in 2009.

See Critical Accounting Estimates   Level 3 Assets and Liabilities herein for a discussion of AIGFP's super senior CDS portfolio.

Direct Investment Book Results

2011 and 2010 Comparison

The Direct Investment book pre-tax income decreased in 2011 compared to 2010 due to lower net gains on credit valuation adjustments on non-derivative assets and liabilities accounted for under the fair value option and lower interest income in the MIP due to approximately $4.9 billion in sales of investments during the fourth quarter of 2010 and the first quarter of 2011 to increase liquidity. These declines were partially offset by significantly lower other-than-temporary impairments on fixed maturity securities.

2010 and 2009 Comparison

The Direct Investment book pre-tax income decreased in 2010 compared to 2009 due to lower net gains on credit valuation adjustments on non-derivative assets and liabilities accounted for under the fair value option, partially offset by significantly lower other-than-temporary impairments on fixed maturity securities.

Table of Contents

The following table presents credit valuation adjustment gains (losses) for the Direct Investment book (excluding intercompany transactions):

(in millions)		Counterparty Credit Valuation Adjustment on Assets			AIG's Own Credit Valuation Adjustment on Liabilities
Year Ended December 31, 2011					
Bond trading securities	**$**	**(71)**	Notes and bonds payable	**$**	**141**
Loans and other assets		**31**	Hybrid financial instrument liabilities		**147**
			Guaranteed Investment Agreements (GIAs)		**112**
			Other liabilities		**20**
Decrease in assets	**$**	**(40)**	Decrease in liabilities	**$**	**420**
Net pre-tax increase to Other income	**$**	**380**			
Year Ended December 31, 2010					
Bond trading securities	$	1,678	Notes and bonds payable	$	(251)
Loans and other assets		40	Hybrid financial instrument liabilities		(311)
			GIAs		(173)
			Other liabilities		(44)
Increase in assets	$	1,718	Increase in liabilities	$	(779)
Net pre-tax increase to Other income	$	939			
Year Ended December 31, 2009					
Bond trading securities		2,095	Notes and bonds payable		(163)
Loans and other assets		(48)	Hybrid financial instrument liabilities		(83)
			GIAs		172
			Other liabilities		(12)
Increase in assets	$	2,047	Increase in liabilities	$	(86)
Net pre-tax increase to Other income	$	1,961			

Change in Fair Value of the MetLife Securities Prior to Sale

AIG recognized a loss in 2011, representing the decline in the securities' value, due to market conditions, from December 31, 2010 through the date of their sale in the first quarter of 2011.

Change in Fair Value of AIA Securities

AIG recognized a $1.3 billion gain in 2011, a 12 percent increase in the value of AIG's 33 percent interest in AIA, which is recorded in Other invested assets and accounted for under the fair value method. In 2010, AIG recognized a $638 million loss on its interest in AIA during the approximate two month holding period following the initial public offering in late October 2010.

Change in Fair Value of ML III

The loss attributable to AIG's interest in ML III for 2011 was due to significant spread widening and reduced interest rates.

The gain of $1.8 billion on ML III for 2010 was attributable to the shortening of weighted average life by 1.34 years. Additionally, fair value for 2010 was positively affected by a decrease in projected credit losses in the underlying collateral securities. During 2010, credit spreads tightened by 287 basis points.

Table of Contents

Corporate & Other

Corporate & Other reported pre-tax losses of $5.7 billion in 2011 compared to pre-tax gains of $9.6 billion in 2010 primarily due to gains on sales of divested businesses in 2010, primarily related to AIA, partially offset by:

- a decline in interest expense as a result of the repayment of the FRBNY Credit Facility;
- a reduction in other corporate expenses due to the securities litigation charges recorded in 2010; and
- a pre-tax gain on extinguishment of debt of approximately $484 million resulting from the exchange of outstanding junior subordinated debentures for senior notes pursuant to an exchange offer.

These improvements were mostly offset by a loss on extinguishment of debt of $3.3 billion in connection with the Recapitalization, primarily consisting of the accelerated amortization of the prepaid commitment fee asset resulting from the termination of the FRBNY Credit Facility and net realized capital losses recorded in 2011 compared to net realized capital gains in 2010.

Corporate & Other reported pre-tax income in 2010 compared to a pre-tax loss in 2009 primarily due to the following:

- a decline in interest expense on the FRBNY Credit Facility; and
- gains on sales of divested businesses in 2010, primarily related to AIA, compared to losses in 2009.

Divested Businesses

Divested businesses include the operating results of divested businesses that did not qualify for discontinued operations accounting through the date of their sale. The Divested businesses results for 2010 primarily represent the historical results of AIA, which was deconsolidated in November 2010 in conjunction with its initial public offering.

CONSOLIDATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The following table presents AIG's consolidated other comprehensive income (loss):

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**	**Increase (Decrease)** **2011 vs. 2010**	**2010 vs. 2009**
Change in unrealized appreciation of investments	$ **5,518**	$ 9,910	$ 33,221	$ (4,392)	$ (23,311)
Change in deferred acquisition costs adjustment and other	**(819)**	(946)	(3,890)	127	2,944
Change in future policy benefits	**(2,302)**	-	-	(2,302)	-
Change in foreign currency translation adjustments	**8**	703	2,933	(695)	(2,230)
Change in net derivative gains (losses) arising from cash flow hedging activities	**51**	105	95	(54)	10
Change in retirement plan liabilities adjustment	**(365)**	9	168	(374)	(159)
Change attributable to divestitures and deconsolidations	**(5,098)**	(5,082)	809	(16)	(5,891)

Deferred tax asset (liability)	**177**	(2,242)	(11,579)	2,419	9,337
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	**$ (2,830)**	$ 2,457	$ 21,757	$ (5,287)	$ (19,300)

Change in Unrealized Appreciation of Investments

The $5.5 billion increase in 2011 was primarily attributable to continued appreciation in bonds available for sale of $0.7 billion and $4.6 billion recognized by Chartis and SunAmerica, respectively, due to continued improvements in financial market conditions and declines in U.S. Treasury rates, which were partially offset by widening spreads.

The $9.9 billion increase in 2010 primarily reflects the $0.6 billion and $7.0 billion appreciation in bonds available for sale held by Chartis and SunAmerica operations, respectively, due to lower U.S. Treasury rates and

slightly narrowed spreads. The structured securities portfolio accounted for more than half of the positive change in 2010, as RMBS and CMBS continued to recover from the distressed pricing levels of the financial crisis. The increase in 2010 also includes $578 million of appreciation in available-for-sale equities held by Chartis.

The $33.2 billion in unrealized appreciation for 2009 reflects $30.2 billion and $2.9 billion in appreciation in bonds and equities available for sale, respectively, related to the continued recovery of the global financial markets in 2009, as investors returned to equity and bond markets.

Change in Deferred Acquisition Costs Adjustment and Other

The decline in deferred acquisition costs in all periods is primarily the result of increases in the unrealized appreciation of investments supporting interest-sensitive products. The decline since 2009 reflects the divestiture of multiple life insurance operations, including the sales of Nan Shan, AIG Star and AIG Edison in 2011, the deconsolidation of AIA in 2010 and sale of ALICO in 2010.

Change in Future Policy Benefits

AIG periodically evaluates the assumptions used to establish its deferred acquisition costs and future policy benefits. These assumptions may be adjusted based on actual experience and management judgment. Key assumptions include mortality, morbidity, persistency, maintenance expenses and investment returns.

Primarily as a result of the significant decline in interest rates during the latter half of 2011 and updated assumptions for mortality experience, AIG recorded additional future policy benefits through other comprehensive income. This change in future policy benefits assumes the securities underlying certain traditional long-duration products had been sold at their stated aggregate fair value and reinvested at current yields.

Change in Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments

Increases in foreign currency translation adjustments for all periods primarily reflect the weakening of the U.S. dollar in relation to foreign currencies. The decline in foreign currency translation adjustments over the three-year period reflects the divestiture of multiple foreign operations, including the sales of Nan Shan, AIG Star and AIG Edison in 2011, the deconsolidation of AIA in 2010 and the sale of ALICO in 2010.

Change in Net Derivative Gains (Losses) Arising from Cash Flow Hedging Activities

The decline in 2011 compared to 2010 primarily reflects the gradual wind-down of the cash flow hedge portfolio in 2011, 2010 and 2009, partially offset by a decline in the interest rate environment.

Retirement Plan Liabilities Adjustment

The decrease in 2011 was primarily due to the announced redesign and resulting remeasurement of the AIG Retirement and AIG Excess Plans, which will be converted to cash balance plans effective April 1, 2012. AIG recognized a $590 million pre-tax reduction to Accumulated other comprehensive income in connection with the remeasurement at September 30, 2011, primarily due to a decrease in the discount rate since December 31, 2010. This decrease in Accumulated other comprehensive income was partially offset by the effect of the increase in the discount rate in the fourth quarter in connection with the year end remeasurement.

In 2010 and 2009, the effect of declining discount rates on pension benefit obligations was offset by the appreciation of investments held by the respective plans.

See Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

Divestitures and Deconsolidations

The change attributable to divestitures and deconsolidations in every period reflects the derecognition of all items in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) at the point of sale/deconsolidation for all entities,

including domestic entities. In 2011, the most significant entities were AIG Star, AIG Edison and Nan Shan. In 2010, the most significant entities were AIA and ALICO. In 2009, the most significant entities were Transatlantic, 21st Century and HSB.

Deferred Taxes on Other Comprehensive Income

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the effective tax rate on pre-tax Other Comprehensive Loss was 5.9 percent. The effective tax rate differs from the statutory 35 percent rate primarily due to the effects of the Nan Shan disposition.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the effective tax rate on pre-tax Other Comprehensive Income was 47.7 percent, primarily due to the effects of the AIA initial public offering, the ALICO disposition and changes in the estimated U.S. tax liability with respect to the potential sale of subsidiaries, including AIG Star and AIG Edison.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, the effective tax rate on pre-tax Other Comprehensive Income was 34.7 percent, which did not materially differ from the statutory 35 percent rate.

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

OVERVIEW

AIG Parent's primary sources of liquidity are short-term investments and borrowing availability under syndicated credit and contingent liquidity facilities. Subject to market conditions, AIG expects to access the debt markets from time to time to meet its financing needs, which include the payment of maturing debt of AIG and its subsidiaries.

Highlights of 2011 actions affecting capital resources and liquidity include:

- the Recapitalization in January 2011 (more fully described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements);
- approximately $3.0 billion paid to AIG Parent from Chartis and SunAmerica funded by payments of dividends from their subsidiaries;
- $2.9 billion issuance of AIG Common Stock;
- $2.0 billion senior unsecured note issuance;
- additional $500 million contingent liquidity facility arranged;
- $1.0 billion share repurchase authorization in November 2011, with repurchases of approximately $70 million at year-end;
- exchange of $2.4 billion of outstanding junior subordinated debentures for $1.8 billion of new senior unsecured notes;
- membership of certain SunAmerica insurance companies in the Federal Home Loan Banks (FHLBs), which provides these companies access to collateralized borrowing opportunities to enhance their liquidity;

- repayment of total debt of $18.5 billion, excluding the Recapitalization in January 2011; and

- $3.8 billion net capital contributions to Chartis, partially funded from the retention of $2 billion in net cash proceeds from the sale of AIG Star and AIG Edison and available cash at AIG Parent (more fully described in Uses of Liquidity below).

Liquidity Adequacy Management

AIG maintains a stress testing and liquidity framework to systematically assess AIG's aggregate exposure to its most significant risks. This framework is built on AIG's existing Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) stress

testing methodology for both insurance and non-insurance operations. The scenarios are performed with a two-year time horizon and capital adequacy requirements consider both financial and insurance risks.

AIG's insurance operations must comply with numerous constraints on their minimum capital positions. These constraints are guiding requirements for capital adequacy for individual businesses, based on capital assessments under rating agency, regulatory and business requirements. Using ERM's stress testing methodology, the capital impact of potential stresses is evaluated relative to the binding capital constraint of each business operation in order to determine the liquidity required of AIG Parent to support the insurance operations and maintain their target capitalization levels. Added to this amount is the contingent liquidity required under stressed scenarios for non-insurance operations, including the Global Capital Markets derivatives portfolio, the Direct Investment book and ILFC.

AIG's consolidated risk target is to maintain a minimum liquidity buffer such that AIG Parent's liquidity requirements under the ERM stress scenarios do not exceed 80 percent of AIG Parent's overall liquidity sources over the specified two-year horizon. If the 80 percent minimum threshold is projected to be breached over this defined time horizon, AIG will take appropriate actions to further increase liquidity sources or reduce liquidity requirements to maintain the target threshold, although no assurance can be given that this can be achieved under then-prevailing market conditions.

As a result of these ERM stress tests and other considerations discussed in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, AIG believes that it has sufficient liquidity at the AIG Parent level to satisfy future liquidity requirements and meet its obligations, including requirements arising out of reasonably foreseeable contingencies or events.

AIG has in place unconditional capital maintenance agreements (CMAs) with certain domestic Chartis and SunAmerica insurance companies. These CMAs are expected to continue to enhance AIG's capital management practices, and will help manage the flow of capital and funds between AIG Parent and its insurance company subsidiaries. AIG expects to enter into additional CMAs with certain other Chartis insurance companies as needed in 2012. For additional details regarding CMAs, see Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries Chartis, and Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries SunAmerica below.

Analysis of Sources and Uses of Cash

The following table presents selected data from AIG's Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows:

Years Ended December 31, ***(in millions)***	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**
Summary:			
Net cash provided by operating activities	**$ 35**	$ 16,910	$ 18,584
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	**36,332**	(10,225)	5,778
Net cash used in financing activities	**(36,926)**	(9,261)	(28,997)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	**29**	39	533
Decrease in cash	**(530)**	(2,537)	(4,102)
Cash at beginning of year	**1,558**	4,400	8,642
Change in cash of businesses held for sale	**446**	(305)	(140)
Cash at end of year	**$ 1,474**	$ 1,558	$ 4,400

Operating Cash Flow Activities

The decline in Net cash provided by operating activities in 2011 compared to 2010 was principally due to the following:

the payment in cash of the FRBNY Credit Facility accrued compounded interest and fees by AIG Parent totaling $6.4 billion, which were previously paid in-kind, and accordingly did not reduce operating cash flows in prior periods;

- a $10.4 billion reduction in cash provided by operating activities attributable to foreign life subsidiaries that were sold (i.e., AIA, ALICO, AIG Star, AIG Edison and Nan Shan), which subsidiaries generated operational cash inflows of $3.4 billion, $13.8 billion and $7.4 billion in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively; and

- the effect of catastrophes and the cession of the bulk of Chartis net asbestos liabilities in the United States to NICO. Excluding the impact of the NICO cession and catastrophes, cash provided by AIG's reportable segments in 2011 is consistent with 2010, as increases in claims paid were offset by increases in premiums collected at the insurance subsidiaries.

Insurance companies generally receive most premiums in advance of the payment of claims or policy benefits, but the ability of Chartis to generate positive cash flow is affected by the frequency and severity of losses under its insurance policies, policy retention rates and operating expenses.

Cash provided by Chartis operations was $1.9 billion for 2010 compared to $2.8 billion in 2009 as a reduction in claims paid was more than offset by declines in premiums collected, arising primarily from a decrease in U.S. and Canada region production. Catastrophic events and significant casualty losses, the timing and effect of which are inherently unpredictable, reduce operating cash flow for Chartis operations. Cash provided by AIG's life insurance subsidiaries, including entities presented as discontinued operations, was $15.5 billion for 2010 compared to $9.1 billion in 2009 as growth in international markets was partially offset by a decrease in cash flows from U.S. and Canada region operations.

Investing Cash Flow Activities

Net cash provided by investing activities in 2011 was primarily attributable to:

- the utilization of $26.4 billion of restricted cash generated from the AIA IPO and ALICO sale in connection with the Recapitalization and $9.6 billion disposition of MetLife securities, described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements;

- the sale of AIG Star, AIG Edison and Nan Shan in 2011 for total proceeds of $6.4 billion; and

- net sales of short term investments and maturities of available for sale investments, primarily at Chartis and SunAmerica, which were partially offset by purchases of available for sale investments.

Net cash used in investing activities in 2010 primarily resulted from net purchases of fixed maturity securities, resulting from AIG's investment of cash generated from operating activities, and the redeployment of liquidity that had been accumulated by the insurance companies in 2009. In 2009, Net cash provided by investing activities reflected from the net proceeds from the sale and maturity of investments.

Financing Cash Flow Activities

Net cash used in financing activities was significantly higher in 2011 primarily due to the repayment of the FRBNY Credit Facility and the $12.4 billion partial repayment of the SPV Preferred Interests in connection with the Recapitalization described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements and use of proceeds received from the sales of foreign life insurance entities in 2011. Net cash used in financing activities was significantly lower in 2010 than in 2009, primarily as a result of declines in policyholder contract withdrawals, reflecting improved conditions for the life insurance and retirement services businesses was partially offset by the issuance of long-term debt by ILFC, which is discussed in Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries Aircraft Leasing below.

Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries

AIG Parent

The Recapitalization in January 2011 involved a series of integrated transactions which had a direct effect on AIG's liquidity activities and financial position. These transactions included the repayment of the FRBNY Credit Facility, and the partial repayment of the liquidation preference of the SPV Preferred Interests. These transactions

are more fully described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements and are excluded from the Sources of Liquidity and Uses of Liquidity discussions below.

In addition, in 2011, several significant asset sales were completed, including the sale of AIG Star and AIG Edison in February 2011, the sale of the MetLife securities in March 2011, and the sale of Nan Shan in August 2011. Proceeds from these sales primarily were used to pay down the Department of the Treasury's SPV Preferred Interests. These transactions are more fully described in Notes 1 and 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements and are excluded from the Sources of Liquidity and Uses of Liquidity discussion below.

In May 2011, AIG and the Department of the Treasury, as selling shareholder, completed a registered public offering of AIG Common Stock. AIG issued and sold 100 million shares of AIG Common Stock for aggregate net proceeds of approximately $2.9 billion and the Department of the Treasury sold 200 million shares of AIG Common Stock. AIG did not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the shares of AIG Common Stock by the Department of the Treasury. A portion of the net proceeds AIG received from the offering, $550 million has been used to fund a litigation settlement, and AIG used the balance of the net proceeds for general corporate purposes.

AIG issues debt securities in the public, private and non-U.S. markets from time to time to meet its financing needs and those of certain of its subsidiaries. AIG engages in secured and unsecured borrowings to support its capital structure, corporate needs and the operations of subsidiaries. Liquidity sources of AIG and its respective subsidiaries are utilized to fund repayment of these obligations, including any additional funding requirements where cash flows from assets supporting borrowing obligations are not sufficient.

On September 13, 2011, AIG raised approximately $2.0 billion in proceeds from the issuance of senior unsecured notes, consisting of $1.2 billion in three-year notes and $800 million in five-year notes. AIG is using the proceeds from the sale of these notes to pay maturing notes that were issued by AIG to fund the MIP.

On October 12, 2011, the previously outstanding AIG 364-Day Syndicated Facility, AIG 3-Year Syndicated Facility and Chartis letter of credit facility were terminated and AIG entered into a $1.5 billion 364-Day Syndicated Facility and a $3.0 billion 4-Year Syndicated Facility. The new 4-Year Syndicated Facility provides for $3.0 billion of revolving loans, which includes a $1.5 billion letter of credit sublimit. The $1.3 billion of previously issued letters of credit under the Chartis letter of credit facility were rolled into the letter of credit sublimit within the 4-Year Syndicated Facility, so that a total of $1.7 billion remains available under this facility, of which $0.2 billion is available for letters of credit. AIG expects that it may draw down on these facilities from time to time, and may use the proceeds for general corporate purposes.

In October 2011, AIG entered into a contingent liquidity facility under which AIG has the right, for a period of one year, to enter into put option agreements, with an aggregate notional amount of up to $500 million, with an unaffiliated international financial institution pursuant to which AIG has the right, for a period of five years from the date any such put option agreement is entered into, to issue up to $500 million in senior debt to the financial institution, at AIG's discretion.

In November 2011, AIG exchanged specified series of its outstanding Junior Subordinated Debentures for newly issued senior notes pursuant to an exchange offer. In particular, AIG exchanged (i) $312 million aggregate principal amount of its outstanding Series A-1 Junior Subordinated Debentures for $256 million aggregate principal amount of its new 6.820 percent Dollar notes due November 15, 2037, (ii) £812 million ($1.3 billion at the December 31, 2011 exchange rate) aggregate principal amount of its outstanding Series A-2 and Series A-8 Junior Subordinated Debentures for £662 million ($1.0 billion at the December 31, 2011 exchange rate) aggregate principal amount of its new 6.765 percent Sterling notes due November 15, 2017 and (iii) €591 million ($766 million at the December 31, 2011 exchange rate) aggregate principal amount of its outstanding Series A-3 Junior Subordinated Debentures for €421 million ($545 million at the December 31, 2011 exchange rate) aggregate principal amount of its new 6.797 percent Euro notes due November 15, 2017. The exchange resulted in a pre-tax gain on extinguishment of debt of approximately $484 million, which is reflected in Net loss on extinguishment of debt in the Consolidated Statement of Operations and a deferred gain of $65 million, which will be amortized as a decrease in interest expense, and is reflected in Other long-term debt in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Table of Contents

AIG has established and maintains substantial actual and contingent liquidity.

The following table presents AIG Parent's liquidity:

(In millions)		As of December 31, 2011
Cash[(a)]	$	**176**
Short-term investments[(b)]		**9,627**
Available capacity under Syndicated Credit Facilities[(c)]		**3,200**
Available capacity under Contingent Liquidity Facilities[(d)]		**1,000**
Total AIG Parent liquidity sources	$	**14,003**

(a) *Excludes Cash and Short-term Investments held by AIGFP which are considered to be unrestricted and available for use by AIG Parent of $64 million at December 31, 2011.*

(b) *Includes reverse repurchase agreements totaling $6.6 billion used to reduce unsecured exposures.*

(c) *For additional information relating to the syndicated bank credit facilities, see Credit Facilities below.*

(d) *For additional information relating to the contingent liquidity facilities, see Contingent Liquidity Facilities below.*

Sources of Liquidity

AIG's primary sources of cash flow are dividends, distributions, and other payments from subsidiaries. In 2011, AIG Parent:

- collected $3.3 billion in payments from subsidiaries, including $1.5 billion in dividends from Chartis and $1.4 billion in note repayments from SunAmerica funded by payments of dividends from subsidiaries;
- raised approximately $2.9 billion in net proceeds from the sale of AIG Common Stock;
- raised approximately $2.0 billion in net proceeds from the issuance of senior unsecured notes; and
- arranged a $500 million contingent liquidity facility.

These items are discussed under Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries AIG Parent above.

In addition, on September 2, 2011, ILFC Holdings, an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of AIG, which is intended to become a holding company for ILFC, filed a registration statement on Form S-1 with the SEC for a proposed initial public offering. The number of shares to be offered, price range and timing for any offering have not been determined. The timing of any offering will depend on market conditions and no assurance can be given regarding the terms of any offering or that an offering will be completed. All proceeds received by AIG will be used to pay down a portion of the liquidation preference of the Department of the Treasury's AIA SPV Preferred Interests.

Uses of Liquidity

AIG Parent's primary uses of cash flow are for debt service, operating expenses and subsidiary capital needs. In 2011, AIG Parent retired $6.2 billion of debt, including $3.2 billion of MIP obligations, and made interest payments totaling $2.2 billion. Approximately $4.4 billion of AIG Parent's cash and short-term investments balance is attributable to the MIP and is available to meet obligations of the DIB. See Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries Other Operations Direct Investment Book below for additional details.

AIG Parent made $2.9 billion in net capital contributions to subsidiaries in 2011 (which amount reflects the $3.7 billion contributed to Chartis in response to the reserve strengthening in the fourth quarter of 2010). This transaction was funded from the retention of $2 billion of net cash proceeds from the sale of AIG Star and AIG Edison (for which the Department of the Treasury provided a waiver permitting AIG to use such proceeds for this purpose instead of using the proceeds to pay down the liquidation preference of the SPV Preferred Interests) and available cash at AIG Parent.

Table of Contents

AIG believes that it has sufficient liquidity at the AIG Parent level to satisfy future liquidity requirements and meet its obligations, including reasonably foreseeable contingencies or events. No assurance can be given, however, that AIG's cash needs will not exceed its projected liquidity. Additional collateral calls, deterioration in investment portfolios or reserve strengthening affecting statutory surplus, higher surrenders of annuities and other policies, further downgrades in AIG's credit ratings, or catastrophic losses may result in significant additional cash needs, loss of some sources of liquidity or both. Regulatory and other legal restrictions could limit AIG's ability to transfer funds freely, either to or from its subsidiaries.

Chartis

AIG currently expects that its Chartis subsidiaries will be able to continue to satisfy future liquidity requirements and meet their obligations, including reasonably foreseeable contingencies or events, through cash from operations and, to the extent necessary, asset dispositions. Chartis subsidiaries maintain substantial liquidity in the form of cash and short-term investments, totaling $5.3 billion as of December 31, 2011. Further, Chartis businesses maintain significant levels of investment-grade fixed maturity securities, including substantial holdings in government and corporate bonds, which Chartis could monetize in the event liquidity levels are deemed insufficient.

In the first quarter of 2011, Chartis received a capital contribution of $3.7 billion in cash from AIG Parent following the reserve strengthening in the fourth quarter of 2010. Chartis used $1.8 billion of this amount to purchase certain assets from the DIB. Chartis subsequently returned capital of $2.2 billion to AIG Parent in the form of all of the outstanding stock of UGC in the first quarter of 2011. In 2011, Chartis paid dividends of $1.5 billion to AIG Parent.

One or more large catastrophes may require AIG to provide additional support to the affected Chartis operations. In addition, downgrades in AIG's credit ratings could put pressure on the insurer financial strength ratings of its subsidiaries, which could result in non-renewals or cancellations by policyholders and adversely affect the relevant subsidiary's ability to meet its own obligations, and require AIG to provide capital or liquidity support to the subsidiary. Increases in market interest rates may adversely affect the financial strength ratings of Chartis subsidiaries, as rating agency capital models may reduce the amount of available capital relative to required capital. Other potential events that could cause a liquidity strain include economic collapse of a nation or region significant to Chartis operations, nationalization, catastrophic terrorist acts, pandemics or other events causing economic or political upheaval.

In February 2011, AIG entered into CMAs with certain Chartis domestic property and casualty insurance companies. Among other things, the CMAs provide that AIG will maintain the total adjusted capital of these Chartis insurance companies at or above a specified minimum percentage of the companies' projected total authorized control level Risk-Based Capital (RBC) (as defined by National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) guidelines and determined based on the companies' statutory financial statements). In addition, the CMAs also provide that if the total adjusted capital of these Chartis insurance companies is in excess of a specified minimum percentage of their respective total authorized control level RBCs, subject to board and regulatory approval, the companies would declare and pay ordinary dividends to their equity holders in amounts representing the excess over that required to maintain the specified minimum percentage. In February 2012, AIG and these Chartis insurance companies entered into a new, single CMA, which replaces the CMAs entered into in February 2011. Under the new CMA, the total adjusted capital and total authorized control level RBC of these Chartis insurance companies will be measured as a group (the Fleet) rather than on an individual company basis. As a result, the new CMA provides that AIG will maintain the total adjusted capital of the Fleet at or above a specified minimum percentage of the Fleet's projected total authorized control level RBC (as determined based on the companies' statutory financial statements). In addition, the new CMA provides that if the total adjusted capital of the Fleet is in excess of a specified minimum percentage of the Fleet's total authorized control level RBC, subject to board approval and regulatory requirements (including the maximum amount of ordinary dividends permitted under applicable insurance law), these Chartis insurance companies would declare and pay ordinary dividends to their equity holders in amounts representing the excess over that required to maintain the specified minimum percentage of the Fleet's projected total authorized control level RBC.

Chartis continues to identify cost-effective opportunities to allocate its capital through the use of intercompany reinsurance.

During September 2011, a $725 million letter of credit facility was put in place, under which Chartis and Ascot Corporate Name Limited (ACNL) acted as co-obligors. ACNL, a Chartis subsidiary and member of the Lloyd's of London insurance syndicate (Lloyd's), is required to hold capital at Lloyd's, known as Funds at Lloyds (FAL). Under the new facility, which supports the 2012 and 2013 years of account, the entire FAL requirement of $583 million as of December 31, 2011 was satisfied with a letter of credit.

SunAmerica

Management considers the sources of liquidity for SunAmerica subsidiaries adequate to satisfy future liquidity requirements and meet foreseeable liquidity requirements, including reasonably foreseeable contingencies or events, through cash from operations and, to the extent necessary, asset dispositions. The SunAmerica companies continue to maintain substantial liquidity in the form of cash and short-term investments, totaling $3.8 billion as of December 31, 2011. These subsidiaries generally have been lengthening their investment maturity profile by purchasing investment grade fixed maturity securities in order to reduce the levels of cash, cash equivalents and other short-term instruments that had been maintained during 2009 and 2010. In 2011, the SunAmerica life insurance companies paid dividends and surplus note interest totaling approximately $2.0 billion to their respective holding companies, of which $1.4 billion was used to provide liquidity to AIG Parent through the repayment of intercompany loans. In addition, $125 million from litigation settlement proceeds received by SunAmerica in 2011 was used to provide liquidity to AIG Parent.

The most significant potential liquidity requirements of the SunAmerica companies are the funding of product surrenders, withdrawals and maturities. Given the size and liquidity profile of SunAmerica's investment portfolios, AIG believes that normal deviations from projected claim or surrender experience would not constitute a significant liquidity risk. As part of its risk management framework, SunAmerica is evaluating and will implement programs to enhance its liquidity position and facilitate SunAmerica's ability to maintain a fully invested asset portfolio, including securities lending programs structured to increase liquidity. During 2012, SunAmerica began utilizing securities lending programs, primarily as an additional source of liquidity. In addition, in 2011, certain SunAmerica insurance companies became members of the FHLBs in their respective districts, primarily as an additional source of liquidity. This membership allows them to pledge certain mortgage-backed securities, government and agency securities and other qualifying assets to secure advances obtained from the FHLBs. As of December 31, 2011, SunAmerica had no outstanding borrowings from any of the FHLBs. In January 2012, however, SunAmerica borrowed $36 million from the FHLBs to confirm its ability to access this source of liquidity. Upon any potential event of default by SunAmerica, the FHLBs' recovery would be limited to the amount of SunAmerica's liability under advances borrowed.

In March 2011, AIG entered into CMAs with certain SunAmerica insurance companies. Among other things, the CMAs provide that AIG will maintain the total adjusted capital of these SunAmerica insurance companies at or above a specified minimum percentage of the companies' projected company action level RBCs. In addition, the CMAs also provide that if the total adjusted capital of these SunAmerica insurance companies is in excess of a specified minimum percentage of their respective total company action level RBCs, subject to board and regulatory approval, the companies would declare and pay ordinary dividends to their respective equity holders in amounts representing the excess over that required to maintain the specified minimum percentage. As structured, the CMAs contemplate that the specified minimum percentage would be reviewed and agreed upon at least annually.

Aircraft Leasing

ILFC's sources of liquidity include existing cash and short-term investments of $2.0 billion, future cash flows from operations, debt issuances, and aircraft sales, subject to market and other conditions. Uses of liquidity for ILFC primarily consist of aircraft purchases and debt repayments. In 2011, ILFC improved its liquidity position by entering into an unsecured $2.0 billion three-year revolving credit facility and a secured $1.5 billion term loan facility. In addition, on May 24, 2011, ILFC issued $2.25 billion aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured notes, with $1.0 billion maturing in 2016 and $1.25 billion maturing in 2019. On June 17, 2011, ILFC completed tender offers for the purchase of approximately $1.67 billion aggregate principal amount of notes with maturity dates in 2012 and 2013 for total cash consideration, including accrued interest, of approximately $1.75 billion. ILFC recorded a $61 million loss on the extinguishment of debt during the second quarter of 2011. On December 22, 2011, ILFC issued $650 million aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured notes maturing in 2022. On February 6, 2012, ILFC announced that it intends to raise a new senior secured term loan of $900 million, with the proceeds to be used to repay a portion of its outstanding debt and related interest expense and for general corporate purposes. The senior secured term loan will be secured primarily by a first priority perfected lien on the equity of certain ILFC subsidiaries that directly or indirectly own a pool of aircraft and related leases.

See Debt herein and Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further details on ILFC's revolving credit facilities and outstanding debt.

Other Operations

Mortgage Guaranty

AIG currently expects that its UGC subsidiaries will be able to continue to satisfy future liquidity requirements and meet their obligations, including requirements arising out of reasonably foreseeable contingencies or events, through cash from operations and, to the extent necessary, asset dispositions. UGC subsidiaries maintain substantial liquidity in the form of cash and short-term investments, totaling $1.1 billion as of December 31, 2011. Further, UGC businesses maintain significant levels of investment-grade fixed maturity securities, including substantial holdings in municipal and corporate bonds ($3.0 billion in the aggregate at December 31, 2011), which UGC could monetize in the event liquidity levels are insufficient to meet obligations.

Global Capital Markets

AIG Markets acts as the derivatives intermediary between AIG companies and third parties and executes its derivative trades under International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. (ISDA) agreements. The agreements with third parties typically require collateral postings. Many of AIG Markets' transactions with AIG and its subsidiaries also include collateral posting requirements. However, generally, no collateral is called under these contracts unless it is needed to satisfy posting requirements with third parties. The active wind-down of the AIGFP derivatives portfolio was completed by the end of the second quarter of 2011. Although the remaining AIGFP derivatives portfolio may experience periodic fair value volatility, the portfolio consists predominantly of transactions AIG believes are of low complexity, low risk, supportive of AIG's risk management objectives or not economically appropriate to unwind based on a cost versus benefit analysis. AIGFP continues to rely upon AIG Parent to meet most of its collateral and other liquidity requirements in connection with its remaining derivatives portfolio.

Cash collateral posted by AIG Markets to third parties was $174 million and $2 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Cash collateral obtained by AIG Markets from third parties was $372 million and $1.1 billion at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table presents a rollforward of the amount of collateral posted by AIGFP:

(in millions)	Collateral Posted as of December 31, 2010	Additional Postings, Netted by Counterparty	Collateral Returned by Counterparties	Collateral Posted as of December 31, 2011
Super senior credit default swap (CDS) portfolio	$ 3,786	$ 594	$ 1,183	$ 3,197
All other derivatives	1,335	1,511	1,128	1,718
Total	$ 5,121	$ 2,105	$ 2,311	$ 4,915

The collateral amounts presented in the table above are reflective of counterparty netting adjustments available under master netting agreements and is inclusive of collateral that exceeds the fair value of derivatives as of the reporting date. Collateral obtained by AIGFP from third parties was $791 million and $2.3 billion at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The following table presents the net notional amount and number of outstanding trade positions in AIGFP's portfolios:

				Percentage Decrease	
(dollars in billions)	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009	2011 vs. 2010	2010 vs. 2009
Net notional amount[(a)]	**$ 176**	$ 341	$ 900	(48)%	(62)%
Super senior CDS contracts (included in net notional amount above)	**25**	60	184	(58)	(67)
Outstanding trade positions[(b)]	**2,000**	3,900	16,100	(49)	(76)

(a) *Excludes $10.2 billion, $11.5 billion and $40.7 billion of intercompany derivatives in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.*

(b) *Excludes approximately 4,800 non-derivative trade positions that were transferred to Direct Investment book in 2010.*

Direct Investment Book

As of December 31, 2011, management expects the DIB's investments to provide sufficient return to fund the DIB maturing liabilities. The DIB's investment portfolio consists primarily of cash, short term investments, fixed maturity securities issued by U.S. government and government sponsored entities, mortgage and asset backed securities and to a lesser extent bank loans, mortgage loans and equity securities. While a significant portion of the DIB's liquidity requirements are supported by existing liquidity sources or maturing investments, mismatches in the timing of cash inflows and outflows may require assets to be sold or AIG to access the capital markets to satisfy liquidity requirements. Depending on market conditions and the ability to sell assets if required, proceeds from asset sales may not be sufficient to satisfy the full amount required. Management believes that sufficient liquidity is maintained by the DIB to meet near-term liquidity requirements. Any additional liquidity shortfalls would need to be funded by AIG Parent.

During 2011, $1.8 billion of assets held by the DIB were sold to certain Chartis subsidiaries. In addition, during 2011, AIG assigned approximately 52 percent of AIG's interest in ML III to the DIB, subject to liens on those interests as set forth in the Master Transaction Agreement dated December 8, 2010, among AIG Parent, AM Holdings LLC (formerly known as ALICO Holdings LLC), AIA Aurora LLC, the FRBNY, the Department of the Treasury, and the Trust.

In the third quarter of 2011, AIG issued $2.0 billion aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured notes, $1.2 billion of 4.250% Notes Due 2014 and $800 million of 4.875% Notes Due 2016. The proceeds from the sale of these notes are being used to pay maturing MIP debt and the notes are included within "MIP notes payable" in the debt outstanding table below.

Table of Contents

Debt

Debt Maturities

The following table summarizes maturing debt at December 31, 2011 of AIG and its subsidiaries for the next four quarters:

(in millions)	First Quarter 2012	Second Quarter 2012	Third Quarter 2012	Fourth Quarter 2012	Total
ILFC	$ 1,032	$ 620	$ 780	$ 591	$ 3,023
Borrowings supported by assets (DIB)	1,347	2,007	234	169	3,757
General borrowings	27	-	-	156	183
Other	1	1	1	-	3
Total	$ 2,407	$ 2,628	$ 1,015	$ 916	$ 6,966

AIG's plans for meeting these maturing obligations are as follows:

ILFC's sources of liquidity available to meet these needs include existing cash and short-term investments of $2.0 billion, future cash flows from operations, debt issuances and aircraft sales, subject to market and other conditions. See Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries Aircraft Leasing. Additionally, at December 31, 2011, ILFC had $2.0 billion available under its unsecured three-year revolving credit facility. AIG expects that ILFC will refinance its existing debt or issue additional debt as necessary to meet its maturing debt obligations.

AIG borrowings supported by assets consist of debt under the MIP as well as AIGFP debt included in the DIB. Mismatches in the timing of cash inflows on the assets and outflows with respect to the liabilities may require assets to be sold or AIG to access the capital markets to satisfy maturing liabilities. Depending on market conditions and the ability to sell assets at that time, proceeds from sales may not be sufficient to satisfy the full amount due on maturing liabilities. Any shortfalls would need to be funded by AIG Parent. At December 31, 2011, all of the debt maturities in the DIB through December 31, 2012 are supported by short-term investments and maturing investments.

Table of Contents

The following table provides the rollforward of AIG's total debt outstanding:

Year Ended December 31, 2011 *(in millions)*	**Balance at December 31, 2010**	**Issuances**	**Maturities and Repayments**	**Effect of Foreign Exchange**	**Other Changes**	**Balance at December 31, 2011**
Debt issued or guaranteed by AIG:						
General borrowings:						
FRBNY Credit Facility	$ 20,985	$ -	$ (20,985)[(a)]	$ -	$ -	**$ -**
Notes and bonds payable	11,511	-	(642)	(52)	1,908[(b)]	**12,725**
Junior subordinated debt	11,740	-	-	(23)	(2,390)[(c)]	**9,327**
Junior subordinated debt attributable to equity units	2,169	-	(2,169)[(d)]	-	-	**-**
Loans and mortgages payable	218	154	(155)	14	3	**234**
SunAmerica Financial Group, Inc. (SAFG, Inc.) notes and bonds payable	298	-	-	-	-	**298**
Liabilities connected to trust preferred stock	1,339	-	-	-	-	**1,339**
Total general borrowings	48,260	154	(23,951)	(61)	(479)	**23,923**
Borrowings supported by assets:						
MIP notes payable	11,318	1,985	(3,200)	174	(130)	**10,147**
Series AIGFP matched notes and bonds payable	3,981	-	(151)	-	(23)	**3,807**
GIAs, at fair value	8,212	655	(1,791)	-	888[(e)]	**7,964**
Notes and bonds payable, at fair value	3,253	40	(1,052)	-	75[(e)]	**2,316**
Loans and mortgages payable, at fair value	678	-	(193)	-	1[(e)]	**486**
Total borrowings supported by assets	27,442	2,680	(6,387)	174	811	**24,720**
Total debt issued or guaranteed by AIG	75,702	2,834	(30,338)	113	332	**48,643**
Debt not guaranteed by AIG:						
ILFC:						
Notes and bonds payable, ECA facility, bank financings and other secured financings[(f)]	26,700	4,572	(8,324)	105	312[(g)]	**23,365**
Junior subordinated debt	999	-	-	-	-	**999**
Total ILFC debt	27,699	4,572	(8,324)	105	312	**24,364**
Other subsidiaries notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable	446	-	(70)	16	1	**393**
Debt of consolidated investments[(h)]	2,614	356	(481)	(3)	(633)	**1,853**
Total debt not guaranteed by AIG	30,759	4,928	(8,875)	118	(320)	**26,610**
Total debt	$ 106,461	$ 7,762	$ (39,213)	$ 231	$ 12	**$ 75,253**

(a)

Terminated on January 14, 2011 in connection with the Recapitalization. Includes $6.4 billion of paid in-kind interest and fees. See Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(b)
Includes $1.8 billion in new senior unsecured notes issued in exchange for junior subordinated debentures and a $65 million deferred gain on the exchange of the Series A-1 Junior Subordinated Debentures, which will be amortized as a reduction of future interest expense. See Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of the junior subordinated debt exchange offer.

(c)
See Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of the junior subordinated debt exchange offer.

(d)
Represents remarketing of debentures related to Equity Units.

(e)
Primarily represents adjustments to the fair value of debt.

(f)
Includes $9.8 billion of secured financings, of which $97 million are non-recourse to ILFC.

(g)
Primarily represents debt assumed related to the acquisition of AeroTurbine.

(h)
At December 31, 2011, includes debt of consolidated investments held through AIG Global Real Estate Investment Corp., AIG Credit Corp. and SunAmerica of $1.5 billion, $233 million and $91 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Credit Facilities

AIG relies on credit facilities as potential sources of liquidity for general corporate purposes. Currently, AIG and ILFC maintain committed, revolving credit facilities, including a facility that provides for the issuance of letters of credit, summarized in the following table for general corporate purposes and for letter of credit issuance. AIG intends to replace or extend these credit facilities on or prior to their expiration, although no assurance can be given that these facilities will be replaced on favorable terms or at all. One of the facilities, as noted below, contains a "term-out option" allowing for the conversion by the borrower of any outstanding loans at expiration into one-year term loans. All facilities, except for ILFC's five-year and four-year AeroTurbine syndicated credit facilities maturing October 2012 and December 2015, respectively, are unsecured.

At December 31, 2011 *(in millions)* **Facility**	**Size**	**Borrower(s)**	**Available Amount**	**Expiration**	**One-Year Term-Out Option**	**Effective Date**
AIG:						
364-Day Syndicated Facility	$ 1,500	AIG	$ 1,500	October 2012	Yes	10/12/2011
4-Year Syndicated Facility	3,000	AIG	1,700	October 2015	No	10/12/2011
Total AIG	$ 4,500		$ 3,200			
ILFC:						
5-Year Syndicated Facility	$ 457	ILFC	$ -	October 2012	No	10/13/2006
4-Year AeroTurbine Syndicated Facility	335	ILFC	66	December 2015	No	12/9/2011
3-Year Syndicated Facility	2,000	ILFC	2,000	January 2014	No	1/31/2011
Total ILFC	$ 2,792		$ 2,066			

On October 12, 2011, the previously outstanding AIG 364-Day Syndicated Facility, AIG 3-Year Syndicated Facility and Chartis letter of credit facility were terminated and AIG entered into a $1.5 billion 364-Day Syndicated Facility and a $3.0 billion 4-Year Syndicated Facility. The new 4-Year Syndicated Facility provides for $3.0 billion of revolving loans, which includes a $1.5 billion letter of credit sublimit. The $1.3 billion of previously issued letters of credit under the Chartis letter of credit facility were rolled into the letter of credit sublimit within the 4-Year Syndicated Facility, so that a total of $1.7 billion remains available under this facility, of which $0.2 billion is available for letters of credit. AIG expects that it may draw down on these facilities from time to time, and may use the proceeds for general corporate purposes. AIG's ability to borrow under these facilities is not contingent on its credit ratings.

AIG's ability to borrow under these facilities is conditioned on the satisfaction of certain legal, operating, administrative and financial covenants and other requirements contained in the facilities, including covenants relating to AIG's maintenance of a specified total consolidated net worth, total consolidated debt to total consolidated capitalization and total priority debt (defined as debt of AIG's subsidiaries and secured debt of AIG) to total consolidated capitalization. Failure to satisfy these and other requirements contained in the credit facilities would restrict AIG's access to the facilities and, consequently, could have a material adverse effect on AIG's financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

ILFC's three-year credit facility which became effective January 31, 2011 contains customary events of default and restrictive financial covenants that, among other things, restrict ILFC from entering into secured financing in excess of 30 percent of its consolidated tangible net assets, as defined in the agreement, less $2.0 billion, excluding fixed asset financings. As of February 21, 2012, ILFC would be able to incur an additional $3.0 billion of secured indebtedness under this covenant. Prior to April 16, 2010, ILFC had a $2.5 billion five-year syndicated facility which was scheduled to expire in October 2011. ILFC subsequently amended and extended the facility and the $457 million outstanding under the facility currently matures in October 2012. This facility is secured by the equity interest in certain of ILFC's non-restricted subsidiaries, which hold a pool of aircraft with an appraised value of not less than 133 percent of the principal amount of the outstanding loans. The amended facility prohibits ILFC from re-borrowing amounts repaid under this facility for any reason; therefore, the size of the outstanding revolving credit facility is $457 million. ILFC is also a guarantor for a $335 million four-year credit facility entered

Table of Contents

into by AeroTurbine, a wholly owned subsidiary of ILFC, whose assets are pledged as security for the outstanding amount. In February 2012, ILFC increased AeroTurbine's facility by $95 million to $430 million.

Contingent Liquidity Facilities

AIG has access to contingent liquidity facilities of up to $1 billion as potential sources of liquidity for general corporate purposes:

In 2010, AIG established a $500 million contingent liquidity facility. Under this facility, AIG has the unconditional right, prior to December 15, 2015, to issue up to $500 million in senior debt to the counterparty, based on a put option agreement between AIG and the counterparty.

In October 2011, AIG entered into a contingent liquidity facility under which AIG has the right, for a period of one year, to enter into put option agreements, with an aggregate notional amount of up to $500 million, with an unaffiliated international financial institution pursuant to which AIG has the right, for a period of five years from the date any such put option agreement is entered into, to issue up to $500 million in senior debt to the financial institution, at AIG's discretion.

AIG's ability to borrow under these facilities is not contingent on its credit ratings.

Credit Ratings

The cost and availability of unsecured financing for AIG and its subsidiaries are generally dependent on their short- and long-term debt ratings. The following table presents the credit ratings of AIG and certain of its subsidiaries as of February 15, 2012. In parentheses, following the initial occurrence in the table of each rating, is an indication of that rating's relative rank within the agency's rating categories. That ranking refers only to the generic or major rating category and not to the modifiers appended to the rating by the rating agencies to denote relative position within such generic or major category.

	Short-Term Debt		**Senior Long-Term Debt**		
	Moody's	**S&P**	**Moody's**[(a)]	**S&P**[(b)]	**Fitch**[(c)]
AIG	P-2 (2nd of 3) *Stable Outlook*	A-2 (2nd of 8)	Baa 1 (4th of 9) *Stable Outlook*	A- (3rd of 8) *Stable Outlook*	BBB (4th of 9) *Stable Outlook*
AIG Financial Products Corp.[(d)]	P-2 *Stable Outlook*	A-2	Baa 1 *Stable Outlook*	A- *Stable Outlook*	-
AIG Funding, Inc.[(d)]	P-2 *Stable Outlook*	A-2	-	-	-
ILFC	Not prime *Positive Outlook*	-	B1 (6th of 9) *Positive Outlook*	BBB-(4th of 8) *Stable Outlook*	BB (5th of 9) *Stable Outlook*

(a)
Moody's appends numerical modifiers 1, 2 and 3 to the generic rating categories to show relative position within the rating categories.

(b)
S&P ratings may be modified by the addition of a plus or minus sign to show relative standing within the major rating categories.

(c)

Fitch ratings may be modified by the addition of a plus or minus sign to show relative standing within the major rating categories.

(d)

AIG guarantees all obligations of AIG Financial Products Corp. and AIG Funding, Inc.

These credit ratings are current opinions of the rating agencies. As such, they may be changed, suspended or withdrawn at any time by the rating agencies as a result of changes in, or unavailability of, information or based on other circumstances. Ratings may also be withdrawn at AIG management's request. This discussion of ratings is not a complete list of ratings of AIG and its subsidiaries.

"Ratings triggers" have been defined by one independent rating agency to include clauses or agreements the outcome of which depends upon the level of ratings maintained by one or more rating agencies. "Ratings triggers" generally relate to events that (i) could result in the termination or limitation of credit availability, or require accelerated repayment, (ii) could result in the termination of business contracts or (iii) could require a company to post collateral for the benefit of counterparties.

Table of Contents

Adverse ratings actions regarding our long-term debt ratings by the major rating agencies would require AIGFP to post additional collateral payments pursuant to, and/or permit the termination of, derivative transactions to which AIGFP is a party, which could adversely affect AIG's business, its consolidated results of operations in a reporting period or its liquidity. Credit ratings estimate a company's ability to meet its obligations and may directly affect the cost and availability to that company of financing. In the event of a further downgrade of AIG's long-term senior debt ratings, AIGFP would be required to post additional collateral, and certain of AIGFP's counterparties would be permitted to elect early termination of contracts.

The actual amount of collateral required to be posted to counterparties in the event of such downgrades, or the aggregate amount of payments that AIG could be required to make, depends on market conditions, the fair value of outstanding affected transactions and other factors prevailing at the time of the downgrade.

See Notes 12 and 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further details on AIG's derivative transactions and GIA collateral arrangements.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes contractual obligations in total, and by remaining maturity:

December 31, 2011	**Total**		**Payments due by Period**		
(in millions)	**Payments**	**2012**	**2013 - 2014**	**2015 - 2016**	**Thereafter**
Loss reserves	$ 94,328	$ 20,941	$ 26,035	$ 14,564	$ 32,788
Insurance and investment contract liabilities	232,531	13,770	29,954	25,965	162,842
Aircraft purchase commitments	19,036	1,866	3,190	5,593	8,387
Borrowings	73,400	6,966	12,890	12,065	41,479
Interest payments on borrowings	46,442	4,233	7,877	6,717	27,615
Operating leases	1,748	422	566	332	428
Other long-term obligations[(a)]	169	43	24	3	99
Total[(b)]	$ 467,654	$ 48,241	$ 80,536	$ 65,239	$ 273,638

(a) *Primarily includes contracts to purchase future services and other capital expenditures.*

(b) *Does not reflect unrecognized tax benefits of $4.3 billion, the timing of which is uncertain. In addition, the majority of AIGFP's credit default swaps require AIGFP to provide credit protection on a designated portfolio of loans or debt securities. At December 31, 2011, the fair value derivative liability was $3.1 billion, relating to AIGFP's super senior multi-sector CDO credit default swap portfolio, realized in extinguishing derivative obligations. Due to the long-term maturities of these credit default swaps, AIG is unable to make reasonable estimates of the periods during which any payments would be made. However, at December 31, 2011 AIGFP had posted collateral of $2.7 billion with respect to these swaps.*

Loss Reserves

Loss reserves relate to the Chartis and the Mortgage Guaranty business, and represent future loss and loss adjustment expense payments estimated based on historical loss development payment patterns. Due to the significance of the assumptions used, the payments by period presented above could be materially different from actual required payments.

Management believes that adequate financial resources are maintained by the individual Chartis and UGC subsidiaries to meet the actual required payments under these obligations. These subsidiaries maintain substantial liquidity in the form of cash and short-term investments. Further, these businesses maintain significant levels of investment-grade fixed income securities, including substantial holdings in government and corporate bonds (see Investments herein), which Chartis and UGC could seek to monetize in the event operating cash flows are insufficient. See Capital Resources and Liquidity Analysis of Sources and Uses of Cash and Capital Resources and Liquidity Liquidity of Parent and

Subsidiaries for matters that could affect operating cash flows and liquidity of these subsidiaries.

Insurance and Investment Contract Liabilities

Insurance and investment contract liabilities, including GIC liabilities, relate to SunAmerica businesses and include various investment-type products with contractually scheduled maturities, including periodic payments of a term certain nature. Insurance and investment contract liabilities also include benefit and claim liabilities, of which a significant portion represents policies and contracts that do not have stated contractual maturity dates and may not result in any future payment obligations. For these policies and contracts (i) AIG is currently not making payments until the occurrence of an insurable event, such as death or disability, (ii) payments are conditional on survivorship or (iii) payment may occur due to a surrender or other non-scheduled event out of AIG's control.

AIG has made significant assumptions to determine the estimated undiscounted cash flows of these contractual policy benefits, which assumptions include mortality, morbidity, future lapse rates, expenses, investment returns and interest crediting rates, offset by expected future deposits and premiums on in-force policies. Due to the significance of the assumptions used, the periodic amounts presented could be materially different from actual required payments. The amounts presented in this table are undiscounted and therefore exceed the future policy benefits and policyholder contract deposits included in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Management believes that adequate financial resources are maintained by individual SunAmerica subsidiaries to meet the payments actually required under these obligations. These subsidiaries maintain substantial liquidity in the form of cash and short-term investments. In addition, SunAmerica businesses maintain significant levels of investment-grade fixed income securities, including substantial holdings in government and corporate bonds (see Investments herein), which SunAmerica could seek to monetize in the event operating cash flows are insufficient. Liquidity needs for GIC liabilities are generally expected to be funded through cash flows generated from maturities and sales of invested assets. See Capital Resources and Liquidity Analysis of Sources and Uses of Cash and Capital Resources and Liquidity Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries herein for matters that could affect operating cash flows and liquidity of the subsidiaries.

Aircraft Purchase Commitments

At December 31, 2011, ILFC had committed to purchase 232 new aircraft and 26 additional aircraft, mostly through sale-leaseback transactions with airlines, deliverable from 2012 through 2019, with aggregate estimated total remaining payments of approximately $19.0 billion, of which $1.9 billion are coming due in 2012. ILFC also has the right to purchase an additional 50 Airbus aircraft. See Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, and Capital Resources and Liquidity Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries Aircraft Leasing herein.

Borrowings

AIG's borrowings exclude those incurred by consolidated investments and include hybrid financial instrument liabilities recorded at fair value. The repayment of long-term debt maturities and interest accrued on borrowings by AIG and its subsidiaries is expected to be made through maturing investments and asset sales, future cash flows from operations, cash flows generated from invested assets, future debt issuance and other financing arrangements, as more fully described in Capital Resources and Liquidity Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries herein.

Table of Contents

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Commercial Commitments

The following table summarizes Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Commercial Commitments in total, and by remaining maturity:

December 31, 2011 (in millions)	Total Amounts Committed	Amount of Commitment Expiring 2012	2013 - 2014	2015 - 2016	Thereafter
Guarantees:					
Liquidity facilities[(a)]	$ 643	$ 542	$ -	$ -	$ 101
Standby letters of credit	438	419	15	3	1
Guarantees of indebtedness	170	-	-	-	170
All other guarantees[(b)]	516	84	102	157	173
Commitments:					
Investment commitments[(c)]	2,819	2,462	220	137	-
Commitments to extend credit	194	161	32	-	1
Letters of credit	26	25	1	-	-
Other commercial commitments[(d)]	827	33	3	-	791
Total[(e)]	$ 5,633	$ 3,726	$ 373	$ 297	$ 1,237

(a) *Primarily represents liquidity facilities provided in connection with certain municipal swap transactions and collateralized bond obligations.*

(b) *Includes residual value guarantees associated with aircraft and SunAmerica construction guarantees connected to affordable housing investments. Excludes potential amounts attributable to indemnification obligations included in asset sales agreements. See Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.*

(c) *Includes commitments to invest in private equity, hedge funds and mutual funds and commitments to purchase and develop real estate in the United States and abroad. The commitments to invest in private equity funds, hedge funds and other funds are called at the discretion of each fund, as needed for funding new investments or expenses of the fund. The expiration of these commitments is estimated in the table above based on the expected life cycle of the related fund, consistent with past trends of requirements for funding. Investors under these commitments are primarily insurance and real estate subsidiaries.*

(d) *Excludes commitments with respect to pension plans. The annual pension contribution for 2012 is expected to be approximately $91 million for U.S. and non-U.S. plans.*

(e) *Does not include guarantees, capital maintenance agreements or other support arrangements among AIG consolidated entities.*

Securities Financing

The fair value of securities transferred under repurchase agreements accounted for as sales was $2.1 billion and $2.7 billion at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, and the related cash collateral obtained was $1.6 billion and $2.1 billion at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Arrangements with Variable Interest Entities

While AIG enters into various arrangements with variable interest entities (VIEs) in the normal course of business, AIG's involvement with VIEs is primarily as a passive investor in fixed maturities (rated and unrated) and equity interests issued by VIEs. AIG consolidates a VIE when it is the primary beneficiary of the entity. For a further discussion of AIG's involvement with VIEs, see Notes 2 and 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Indemnification Agreements

AIG is subject to financial guarantees and indemnity arrangements in connection with the sales of businesses completed pursuant to its asset disposition plan. The various arrangements may be triggered by, among other things, declines in asset values, the occurrence of specified business contingencies, the realization of contingent liabilities, developments in litigation, or breaches of representations, warranties or covenants provided by AIG. These arrangements are typically subject to various time limitations, defined by the contract or by operation of law, such as statutes of limitation. In some cases, the maximum potential obligation is subject to contractual limitations, while in other cases no such limitation is specified or applicable.

Where estimable, AIG has recorded liabilities for certain of these arrangements. These liabilities are not material in the aggregate. AIG is unable to develop a reasonable estimate of the maximum potential payout under certain of these arrangements. Overall, AIG believes that it is unlikely it will have to make any material payments related to completed sales under these arrangements. See Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding indemnification provisions for the ALICO, AGF, AIG Star and AIG Edison sales.

DIVIDENDS FROM INSURANCE SUBSIDIARIES

Payments of dividends to AIG by its insurance subsidiaries are subject to certain restrictions imposed by regulatory authorities. With respect to AIG's domestic insurance subsidiaries, the payment of any dividend requires formal notice to the insurance department in which the particular insurance subsidiary is domiciled. For example, unless permitted by the New York Superintendent of Insurance, general insurance companies domiciled in New York may not pay dividends to shareholders that, in any 12-month period, exceed the lesser of ten percent of such company's statutory policyholders' surplus or 100 percent of its "adjusted net investment income," as defined. Generally, less severe restrictions applicable to both general and life insurance companies exist in most of the other states in which AIG's insurance subsidiaries are domiciled. Under the laws of many states, an insurer may pay a dividend without prior approval of the insurance regulator when the amount of the dividend is below certain regulatory thresholds. Other foreign jurisdictions may restrict the ability of AIG's foreign insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends. There are also various local restrictions limiting cash loans and advances to AIG by its subsidiaries. Largely as a result of these restrictions, approximately 86 percent of the aggregate equity of AIG's consolidated insurance operations was restricted from transfer to AIG Parent at December 31, 2011. AIG cannot predict how regulatory investigations may affect the ability of its regulated subsidiaries to pay dividends. To AIG's knowledge, no AIG insurance company is currently on any regulatory or similar "watch list" with regard to solvency.

REGULATION AND SUPERVISION

AIG's insurance subsidiaries, like other insurers, are subject to regulation and supervision by the states and jurisdictions in which they do business. AIG Parent is not generally subject to supervision by state regulators, but certain transactions, such as those involving statutorily designated transactions with its insurance company subsidiaries and any transaction involving a change in control of AIG or any of its insurance company subsidiaries, may require the prior approval of state regulators. In the United States, the NAIC has developed Risk-Based Capital (RBC) Model Law requirements. RBC relates an individual insurance company's statutory surplus to the risk inherent in its overall operations.

AIG's insurance subsidiaries file financial statements prepared in accordance with statutory accounting practices prescribed or permitted by domestic and foreign insurance regulatory authorities. The principal differences between statutory financial statements for domestic companies and financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP are that in statutory financial statements acquisition costs are expensed instead of being deferred, a large portion of the bond portfolios may be carried at amortized cost, securities are valued on a different basis, assets and liabilities are presented net of reinsurance, policyholder liabilities are valued using more conservative assumptions and certain assets are not admitted under statutory accounting practices and are charged directly to surplus. Further, statutory accounting practices do not give recognition to purchase accounting adjustments and require certain other reserves not required by U.S. GAAP.

As discussed under Note 16(a) to the Consolidated Financial Statements, various regulators have commenced investigations into certain insurance business practices. In addition, insurance regulators routinely conduct examinations of AIG and its subsidiaries. AIG cannot predict the ultimate effect that these investigations and examinations, or any additional regulation arising therefrom, might have on its business. Federal, state or local legislation, including Dodd-Frank, may affect AIG's ability to operate its various financial services businesses, and changes in the current laws, regulations or interpretations thereof may have a material adverse effect on these businesses. See Item 1A. Risk Factors for additional information.

Table of Contents

AIG's U.S. operations are subject to guarantee fund assessment laws which exist in most states. As a result of operating in a state that has guarantee fund assessment laws, a solvent insurance company may be assessed for certain obligations arising from the insolvencies of other insurance companies operated in that state. AIG generally records these assessments upon notice. Additionally, certain states permit at least a portion of the assessed amount to be used as a credit against a company's future premium tax liabilities. Therefore, the ultimate net assessment cannot reasonably be estimated. The guarantee fund assessments net of credits recognized in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were $7 million, $16 million and $18 million.

AIG is also required to participate in various involuntary pools (principally workers' compensation business and, internationally, personal automobile business) that provide insurance coverage for those not able to obtain such coverage in the voluntary markets. This participation is also recorded upon notification, as these amounts cannot reasonably be estimated.

A substantial portion of Chartis' business is conducted in foreign countries. The degree of regulation and supervision in foreign jurisdictions varies. Generally, AIG, as well as the underwriting companies operating in such jurisdictions, must satisfy local regulatory requirements. Licenses issued by foreign authorities to AIG subsidiaries are subject to modification and revocation. Thus, AIG's insurance subsidiaries could be prevented from conducting future business in certain of the jurisdictions where they currently operate. AIG's international operations include operations in various developing nations. Both current and future foreign operations could be adversely affected by unfavorable political developments up to and including nationalization of AIG's operations without compensation. Adverse effects resulting from any one country may affect AIG's results of operations, liquidity and financial condition depending on the magnitude of the event and AIG's net financial exposure at that time in that country.

Foreign insurance operations are individually subject to local solvency margin requirements that require maintenance of adequate capitalization, with which AIG complies in each country. In addition, certain foreign locations, notably Japan, have established regulations that can result in guarantee fund assessments. These have not had a material effect on AIG's financial condition or results of operations.

See Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

INVESTMENTS

INVESTMENT STRATEGIES

AIG's investment strategies are tailored to the specific business needs of each operating unit. The investment objectives are driven by the business model for each of the businesses: general insurance, life insurance, retirement services and the Direct Investment book. The primary objectives are generation of investment income, preservation of capital, liquidity management and growth of surplus to support the insurance products.

At the local operating unit level, investment strategies are based on considerations that include the local market, liability duration and cash flow characteristics, rating agency and regulatory capital considerations, legal investment limitations, tax optimization and diversification.

The majority of assets backing insurance liabilities at AIG consist of intermediate and long duration fixed maturity securities. In the case of life insurance and retirement services companies, as well as in the Direct Investment book, the fundamental investment strategy is, as nearly as is practicable, to match the duration characteristics of the liabilities with assets of comparable duration. Domestically, fixed maturity securities held by the insurance companies included in Chartis historically have consisted primarily of laddered holdings of tax-exempt municipal bonds, which provided attractive after-tax returns and limited credit risk. In order to meet the current risk-return and tax objectives of Chartis, the domestic property and casualty companies have begun to shift investment allocations away from tax-exempt municipal bonds towards taxable instruments which meet the companies' liquidity, duration and credit quality objectives as well as current risk-return and tax objectives. Outside of the U.S., fixed maturity securities held by Chartis companies consist primarily of intermediate duration high-grade securities.

Table of Contents

The market price of fixed maturity securities reflects numerous components, including interest rate environment, credit spread, embedded optionality (such as call features), liquidity, structural complexity, foreign exchange risk and other credit and non-credit factors. However, in most circumstances, pricing is most sensitive to interest rates, such that the market price declines as interest rates rise, and increases as interest rates fall. This effect is more pronounced for longer duration securities.

AIG accounts for the vast majority of the invested assets held by its insurance companies at fair value. However, with limited exceptions (primarily with respect to separate account products on AIG's Consolidated Balance Sheet), AIG does not modify the fair value of its insurance liabilities for changes in interest rates, even though rising interest rates have the effect of reducing the fair value of such liabilities, and falling interest rates have the opposite effect. This results in the recording of changes in unrealized gains (losses) on securities in Accumulated other comprehensive income resulting from changes in interest rates without any correlative, inverse changes in gains (losses) on AIG's liabilities.

At December 31, 2011, approximately 88 percent of the fixed maturity securities were held by domestic entities. Approximately 21 percent of such securities were rated AAA by one or more of the principal rating agencies. Approximately 13 percent were below investment grade or not rated. AIG's investment decision process relies primarily on internally generated fundamental analysis and internal risk ratings. Third-party rating services' ratings and opinions provide one source of independent perspective for consideration in the internal analysis.

A significant portion of the foreign fixed maturity portfolio is rated by Moody's, S&P or similar foreign rating services. Rating services are not available in all overseas locations. AIG's Credit Risk Management department closely reviews the credit quality of the foreign portfolio's non-rated fixed maturity securities. At December 31, 2011, approximately 26 percent of the foreign fixed maturity investments were either rated AAA or, on the basis of AIG's internal analysis, were equivalent from a credit standpoint to securities so rated. Approximately 3 percent were below investment grade or not rated at that date. Approximately 51 percent of the foreign fixed maturity portfolio are sovereign fixed maturity securities supporting policy liabilities in the country of issuance.

INVESTMENT HIGHLIGHTS

During 2011, significant volatility in the capital markets resulted in challenging conditions, both domestically and globally. Ten-year U.S. Treasuries dropped to historic lows as rates were down 142 basis points, settling at 1.88 percent at the end of the year. Rates were mixed as Germany, France, and other perceived strong economies followed the downward direction of U.S. rates, while countries such as Greece, Spain, and Italy saw a significant increase in their borrowing costs due to rising concerns over their fiscal situations. Equity markets started the year strongly, and were positive in the first half of the year before turning negative in the second half as the European sovereign debt crisis intensified, catastrophe losses approached record levels, unemployment remained at elevated levels, and a continued political standoff over measures addressing the U.S. deficit led to the first ever downgrade of U.S. sovereign debt. Domestic equity markets were flat for the year, outperforming their foreign counterparts. The performance in equity markets for 2011 stood in contrast to 2010, during which most markets recorded positive returns. The swing from positive to negative equity market performance, combined with capital markets volatility and a historically low interest rate environment in the U.S., resulted in lower net investment income in 2011 compared to 2010.

An overview of investment activities during 2011 is provided below:

Asset Composition

Insurance operations purchased approximately $92 billion of fixed maturity securities. The purchases were made using proceeds from sales and maturity of securities, paydowns on structured securities, cash flow from operations and investments, and from the redeployment of existing cash and short-term investments. Short-term investments were redeployed into approximately $23 billion of higher yielding fixed maturity securities during 2011.

Corporate debt (primarily high grade) represented approximately half of new purchases. Risk-weighted opportunistic investments in structured securities continued to be made to improve yields and increase net

Table of Contents

investment income. The amount of such purchases totaled approximately $11 billion. The 2011 purchases of structured securities largely replaced similar assets sold on behalf of SunAmerica in 2010. In the case of Chartis, these purchases of structured securities were part of a deliberate diversification of the asset portfolio of the domestic property and casualty companies.

Base yields at Chartis were higher in 2011 compared to 2010 due to redeployment activities and the increase due to investments in structured securities. SunAmerica base yields were lower as new money rates were generally lower than on maturing or called investments; however, the impact was largely offset by the effect of cash redeployment.

A strategic decision was made to reduce the tax-exempt municipal bond portfolio exposure, resulting in an approximate decrease of $12 billion in the carrying value (30 percent) of this asset class during the course of the year.

Net Investment Income

Income on private equity and hedge funds was approximately $1.3 billion (7 percent yield) in 2011, compared to $1.7 billion (9 percent yield) in 2010 which directionally followed the equity market trends as $1.2 billion of income was recognized in the first half of the year. Private equity funds drove the positive results in 2011 as hedge funds were only marginally positive for the year. In 2010, hedge funds and private equity funds each yielded approximately 9 percent.

The fair value change for the Maiden Lane Interests turned negative in 2011 due to widening spread trends and negative changes in future cash flow projections. The fair value change for 2011 was a loss of $0.6 billion compared to a gain of $2.3 billion for 2010.

The fair value of AIA ordinary shares increased by $1.3 billion as the stock price appreciated 11 percent in 2011 compared to a decrease in 2010 which resulted in a loss of $0.6 billion in 2010. The 11 percent appreciation on the stock compared favorably to the 20 percent decline experienced in the Hang Seng Index.

Unrealized and Realized Gains and Losses

Lower yields across most asset classes resulted in an increase of approximately $5.5 billion of unrealized appreciation on AIG's available for sale securities in 2011. This was slightly lower than the 2010 period. 2010 benefited from improving economic conditions in the post recession period.

Net realized gains on the sales of fixed maturity securities and equities totaled $2.1 billion in 2011 compared to $2.6 billion in 2010. Current year results consist primarily of gains on the sales of tax exempt municipal securities and corporate debt.

Other-than-temporary impairments were $1.3 billion in 2011 compared to $3.0 billion in 2010, primarily related to impairments recorded on the mortgage backed, asset backed and collateralized portfolio in both periods.

The credit ratings in the table below and in subsequent tables reflect for AIG's fixed maturity investments: (a) a composite of the ratings of the three major rating agencies or where agency ratings are not available, the rating assigned by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) Securities Valuations Office (SVO) (over 97 percent of total fixed maturity investments), or (b) AIG's equivalent internal ratings where these investments have not been rated by any of the major rating agencies or the NAIC. AIG changed to a composite ratings methodology during 2011 in order to reduce reliance on any single rating agency, and ratings information for prior periods presented has been conformed to this method. The "Non-rated" category in those tables consists of fixed maturity investments that have not been rated by any of the major rating agencies, the NAIC or AIG, and represents primarily AIG's interest in ML III.

See Enterprise Risk Management herein for a discussion of credit risks associated with Investments.

The following table presents the credit ratings of AIG's fixed maturity investments based on fair value:

December 31,	2011	2010
Rating:		
AAA	**21%**	21%
AA	**20**	25
A	**22**	20
BBB	**25**	23
Below investment grade	**10**	7
Non-rated	**2**	4
Total	**100%**	100%

The remainder of Investments is organized as follows:

Index	Page
Investments by Segment	143
Available for Sale Investments	146
Commercial Mortgage Loans	152
AIA Equity Investment	153
Impairments	153

Table of Contents

INVESTMENTS BY SEGMENT

The following tables summarize the composition of AIG's investments by reportable segment:

	Reportable Segment				
(in millions)	Chartis	SunAmerica	Aircraft Leasing	Other Operations	Total
December 31, 2011					
Fixed maturity securities:					
Bonds available for sale, at fair value	**$ 103,831**	**$ 154,912**	**$ -**	**$ 5,238**	**$ 263,981**
Bond trading securities, at fair value	**88**	**1,583**	**-**	**22,693**	**24,364**
Equity securities:					
Common and preferred stock available for sale, at fair value	**2,895**	**208**	**1**	**520**	**3,624**
Common and preferred stock trading, at fair value	**-**	**-**	**-**	**125**	**125**
Mortgage and other loans receivable, net of allowance	**553**	**16,759**	**90**	**2,087**	**19,489**
Flight equipment primarily under operating leases, net of accumulated depreciation	**-**	**-**	**35,539**	**-**	**35,539**
Other invested assets	**12,279**	**12,560**	**-**	**15,905***(c)*	**40,744**
Short-term investments	**4,660**	**3,318**	**1,910**	**12,684**	**22,572**
Total investments*(a)*	**124,306**	**189,340**	**37,540**	**59,252**	**410,438**
Cash	**673**	**463**	**65**	**273**	**1,474**
Total invested assets	**$ 124,979**	**$ 189,803**	**$ 37,605**	**$ 59,525**	**$ 411,912**
December 31, 2010					
Fixed maturity securities:					
Bonds available for sale, at fair value	$ 88,904	$ 128,347	$ -	$ 11,051	$ 228,302
Bond trading securities, at fair value	-	1,307	-	24,875	26,182
Equity securities:					
Common and preferred stock available for sale, at fair value	3,827	218	2	534	4,581
Common and preferred stock trading, at fair value	-	1	-	6,651	6,652
Mortgage and other loans receivable, net of allowance	690	16,727	134	2,686	20,237
Flight equipment primarily under operating leases, net of accumulated depreciation	-	-	38,510	-	38,510
Other invested assets	13,743	13,069	-	15,398*(c)*	42,210
Short-term investments	11,799	19,160	3,058	9,721	43,738
Total investments*(a)*	118,963	178,829	41,704	70,916	410,412
Cash	572	270	9	707	1,558
Total invested assets*(b)*	$ 119,535	$ 179,099	$ 41,713	$ 71,623	$ 411,970

(a) *At December 31, 2011, approximately 90 percent and 10 percent of investments were held by domestic and foreign entities, respectively, compared to approximately 85 percent and 15 percent, respectively, at December 31, 2010.*

(b) *Total invested assets of businesses held for sale amounted to $96.3 billion and are excluded. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.*

(c) *Includes $12.4 billion and $11.1 billion of AIA ordinary shares at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.*

Chartis

In AIG's general insurance business, the duration of liabilities for long-tail casualty lines is greater than other lines. As differentiated from the life insurance and retirement services companies, the focus is not on asset-liability matching, but on preservation of capital and growth of surplus.

Fixed income holdings of Chartis domestic operations, with an average duration of 4.3 years, are currently comprised primarily of tax-exempt securities, which provide attractive risk-adjusted after-tax returns as well as taxable municipal bonds, government bonds and agency and corporate securities. The majority of these high quality investments are rated A or higher.

Fixed income assets held in Chartis foreign operations are of high quality and short to intermediate duration, averaging 4 years.

While invested assets backing reserves are invested in conventional fixed income securities in Chartis domestic operations, a modest portion of surplus is allocated to large capitalization, high-dividend, public equity strategies and to alternative investments, including private equity and hedge funds. Notwithstanding the current environment, these investments have provided a combination of added diversification and attractive long-term returns over time.

SunAmerica

With respect to SunAmerica companies, AIG uses asset-liability management as a tool to determine the composition of the invested assets. AIG's objective is to maintain a matched asset-liability structure, although AIG may occasionally determine that it is economically advantageous to be temporarily in an unmatched position. To the extent that AIG has maintained a matched asset-liability structure, the economic effect of interest rate fluctuations is partially mitigated.

AIG's investment strategy for SunAmerica is to produce cash flows greater than maturing insurance liabilities. There exists a future investment risk associated with certain policies currently in-force which will have premium receipts in the future. That is, the investment of these future premium receipts may be at a yield below that required to meet future policy liabilities.

SunAmerica frequently reviews its interest rate assumptions and actively manages the crediting rates used for its new and in force business. Business strategies continue to evolve to maintain profitability of the overall business.

The investment of insurance cash flows and reinvestment of the proceeds of matured securities and coupons requires active management of investment yields while maintaining satisfactory investment quality and liquidity.

A number of guaranteed benefits, such as living benefits and guaranteed minimum death benefits, are offered on certain variable and indexed annuity products. The fair value of these benefits is measured based on actuarial and capital market assumptions related to projected cash flows over the expected lives of the contracts. SunAmerica manages its exposure resulting from these long-term guarantees through reinsurance or capital market hedging instruments. SunAmerica actively reviews underlying assumptions of policyholder behavior and persistency related to these guarantees. SunAmerica has taken positions in certain derivative financial instruments in order to hedge the impact of changes in equity markets and interest rates on these benefit guarantees. SunAmerica executes listed futures and options contracts on equity indexes to hedge certain guarantees of variable and indexed annuity products. SunAmerica also enters into various types of futures and options contracts, primarily to hedge changes in value of certain guarantees of variable and indexed annuities due to fluctuations in interest rates. SunAmerica uses several instruments to hedge interest rate exposure, including listed futures on government securities, listed options on government securities and, beginning in 2012, the purchase of government securities.

With respect to over-the-counter derivatives, SunAmerica deals with highly rated counterparties and does not expect the counterparties to fail to meet their obligations under the contracts. SunAmerica has controls in place to monitor credit exposures by limiting transactions with specific counterparties within specified dollar limits and

assessing the creditworthiness of counterparties periodically. SunAmerica generally uses ISDA Master Agreements and Credit Support Annexes (CSAs) with bilateral collateral provisions to reduce counterparty credit exposures.

Other Operations

Global Capital Markets

The active wind-down of the AIGFP derivatives portfolio was completed by the end of the second quarter of 2011. AIGFP derivative transactions are carried at fair value. AIGFP reduces its market risk exposure through similarly valued offsetting transactions including swaps, trading securities, options, forwards and futures. For discussion on the use of derivatives by AIGFP, see Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Direct Investment book

The DIB, which includes the Matched Investment Program and non-derivative assets and liabilities of AIGFP, was originally created to generate spread income from investments yielding greater returns than the related cost of funds. The DIB's investment portfolio, which has a carrying value on the Consolidated Balance Sheet of $27.5 billion, consists primarily of cash, short term investments, fixed maturity securities issued by U.S. government and government sponsored entities, mortgage and asset backed securities and to a lesser extent bank loans, mortgage loans and equity securities. Although the DIB is in wind-down, the investment strategy remains focused on maximizing the value of the portfolio while producing sufficient liquidity to meet the obligations of the DIB. The management of the DIB investment portfolio seeks to minimize interest rate, currency, commodity and equity risk associated with the investment strategy by utilizing derivatives. The use of these derivatives does not qualify for hedge accounting treatment; although, management believes these provide appropriate economic hedges of the underlying risk within the investment portfolio.

Further, management seeks to maximize the value of the investment portfolio through an ongoing evaluation of each position in determining whether to hold, sell or finance the investments in furtherance of the strategy, which includes both immediate and long term liquidity needs.

The investments of the DIB are all generally carried at fair value with the exception of loans and notes receivable held by MIP of $1.1 billion, which are carried at amortized cost and evaluated for impairment each period. The investments of AIGFP are generally subject to the fair value option with all changes in fair value recorded in earnings. The investments within the MIP are generally available-for-sale securities, where changes in fair value are presented in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments, net of taxes, as a component of Accumulated other comprehensive income which are subject to impairment review each period.

Table of Contents

AVAILABLE FOR SALE INVESTMENTS

The following table presents the amortized cost or cost and fair value of AIG's available for sale securities and other invested assets carried at fair value:

(in millions)	Amortized Cost or Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Other-Than-Temporary Impairments in AOCI[(a)]
December 31, 2011					
Bonds available for sale:					
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	$ 5,661	$ 418	$ (1)	$ 6,078	$ -
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	35,017	2,554	(73)	37,498	(28)
Non-U.S. governments	24,568	1,269	(102)	25,735	-
Corporate debt	134,974	11,569	(1,725)	144,818	115
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized:					
RMBS	34,780	1,387	(1,563)	34,604	(716)
CMBS	8,449	470	(973)	7,946	(276)
CDO/ABS	7,321	454	(473)	7,302	49
Total mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized	50,550	2,311	(3,009)	49,852	(943)
Total bonds available for sale[(b)]	250,770	18,121	(4,910)	263,981	(856)
Equity securities available for sale:					
Common stock	1,682	1,839	(100)	3,421	-
Preferred stock	83	60	-	143	-
Mutual funds	55	6	(1)	60	-
Total equity securities available for sale	1,820	1,905	(101)	3,624	-
Other invested assets carried at fair value[(c)]	5,155	1,611	(269)	6,497	-
Total	$ 257,745	$ 21,637	$ (5,280)	$ 274,102	$ (856)

December 31, 2010					
Bonds available for sale:					
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	$ 7,239	$ 184	$ (73)	$ 7,350	$ -
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	45,297	1,725	(402)	46,620	2
Non-U.S. governments	16,142	741	(75)	16,808	(28)
Corporate debt	117,367	8,725	(1,198)	124,894	99
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized:					
RMBS	20,661	700	(1,553)	19,808	(648)
CMBS	7,320	240	(1,149)	6,411	(218)
CDO/ABS	6,643	402	(634)	6,411	32
Total mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized	34,624	1,342	(3,336)	32,630	(834)
Total bonds available for sale[(b)]	220,669	12,717	(5,084)	228,302	(761)
Equity securities available for sale:					
Common stock	1,820	1,931	(52)	3,699	-
Preferred stock	400	88	(1)	487	-
Mutual funds	351	46	(2)	395	-
Total equity securities available for sale	2,571	2,065	(55)	4,581	-
Other invested assets carried at fair value[(c)]	5,392	1,256	(60)	6,588	-
Total[(d)]	$ 228,632	$ 16,038	$ (5,199)	$ 239,471	$ (761)

(a) *Represents the amount of other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive income. This amount includes unrealized gains and losses on impaired securities relating to changes in the value of such securities subsequent to the impairment measurement date.*

(b) *At December 31, 2011 and 2010, bonds available for sale held by AIG that were below investment grade or not rated totaled $24.2 billion and $18.6 billion, respectively.*

(c) *Represents private equity and hedge fund investments carried at fair value for which unrealized gains and losses are required to be recognized in other comprehensive income.*

(d) *Excludes $80.5 billion of available for sale securities at fair value from businesses held for sale at December 31, 2010. Net unrealized gain attributable to businesses held for sale totaled $604 million at December 31, 2010. See Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.*

Table of Contents

The following table presents the fair value of AIG's available for sale U.S. municipal bond portfolio by state and type:

December 31, 2011 *(in millions)*	State General Obligation	Local General Obligation	Revenue	Total Fair Value
State:				
California	$ 589	$ 1,228	$ 3,316	$ 5,133
Texas	242	2,641	2,205	5,088
New York	1	886	3,854	4,741
Washington	671	324	893	1,888
Massachusetts	930	10	841	1,781
Illinois	171	675	675	1,521
Florida	526	9	969	1,504
Georgia	630	89	471	1,190
Virginia	88	232	866	1,186
Arizona	-	161	835	996
Ohio	238	193	541	972
Pennsylvania	552	100	216	868
New Jersey	11	3	728	742
All Other	2,203	1,609	6,019	9,831
Total*(a)(b)*	**$ 6,852**	**$ 8,160**	**$ 22,429**	**$ 37,441**

(a) *Excludes certain university and not- for- profit entities that issue in the corporate debt market. Includes industrial revenue bonds.*

(b) *Includes $5.7 billion of pre-refunded municipal bonds.*

At December 31, 2011, the U.S. municipal bond portfolio was composed primarily of essential service revenue bonds and high-quality tax -backed bonds with 97 percent of the portfolio rated A or higher.

The following table presents the industry categories of AIG's available for sale corporate debt securities based on amortized cost:

December 31, **Industry Category**	**2011**	**2010***(a)*
Financial institutions:		
Money Center/Global Bank Groups	**11%**	12%
Regional banks other	**3**	3
Life insurance	**4**	4
Securities firms and other finance companies	**1**	2
Insurance non-life	**1**	4
Regional banks North America	**2**	2
Other financial institutions	**8**	5
Utilities	**16**	16
Communications	**8**	8
Consumer noncyclical	**11**	8
Capital goods	**6**	6
Energy	**7**	6
Consumer cyclical	**7**	8
Other	**15**	16

Total(b)	**100%**	100%

(a) *Excludes corporate debt of businesses held for sale.*

(b) *At December 31, 2011 and 2010, approximately 95 percent and 93 percent, respectively, of these investments were rated investment grade.*

Table of Contents

Investments in RMBS

The following table presents AIG's RMBS investments by year of vintage:

	December 31, 2011					December 31, 2010				
(in millions)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Percent of Amortized Cost	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Percent of Amortized Cost
Total RMBS*										
2011	**$ 8,972**	**$ 306**	**$ (31)**	**$ 9,247**	**26%**	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	-%
2010	**3,787**	**139**	**(1)**	**3,925**	**11**	4,157	11	(53)	4,115	20
2009	**598**	**22**	**-**	**620**	**2**	881	9	(3)	887	4
2008	**665**	**49**	**-**	**714**	**2**	937	39	(2)	974	5
2007	**5,225**	**153**	**(330)**	**5,048**	**15**	2,836	114	(213)	2,737	14
2006 and prior	**15,533**	**718**	**(1,201)**	**15,050**	**44**	11,850	527	(1,282)	11,095	57
Total RMBS	**$ 34,780**	**$ 1,387**	**$ (1,563)**	**$ 34,604**	**100%**	$ 20,661	$ 700	$ (1,553)	$ 19,808	100%
Agency										
2011	**$ 6,701**	**$ 306**	**$ (2)**	**$ 7,005**	**44%**	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	-%
2010	**3,636**	**139**	**(1)**	**3,774**	**24**	4,067	10	(52)	4,025	40
2009	**528**	**21**	**-**	**549**	**3**	784	9	(3)	790	8
2008	**665**	**49**	**-**	**714**	**4**	937	39	(2)	974	9
2007	**549**	**43**	**-**	**592**	**4**	526	36	(2)	560	5
2006 and prior	**3,303**	**420**	**-**	**3,723**	**21**	3,825	357	(1)	4,181	38
Total Agency	**$ 15,382**	**$ 978**	**$ (3)**	**$ 16,357**	**100%**	$ 10,139	$ 451	$ (60)	$ 10,530	100%
Alt-A										
2011	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ -**	**-%**	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	-%
2010	**63**	**1**	**-**	**64**	**1**	70	1	(1)	70	2
2009	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	-	-	-	-	-
2008	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	-	-	-	-	-
2007	**1,783**	**59**	**(191)**	**1,651**	**28**	1,004	39	(76)	967	28
2006 and prior	**4,437**	**76**	**(420)**	**4,093**	**71**	2,449	41	(380)	2,110	70
Total Alt-A	**$ 6,283**	**$ 136**	**$ (611)**	**$ 5,808**	**100%**	$ 3,523	$ 81	$ (457)	$ 3,147	100%
Subprime										
2011	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ -**	**-%**	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	-%
2010	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	-	-	-	-	-
2009	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	-	-	-	-	-
2008	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	44	-	-	44	3
2007	**79**	**13**	**(12)**	**80**	**4**	111	19	(5)	125	9
2006 and prior	**1,713**	**25**	**(362)**	**1,376**	**96**	1,104	16	(317)	803	88
	$ 1,792	**$ 38**	**$ (374)**	**$ 1,456**	**100%**	$ 1,259	$ 35	$ (322)	$ 972	100%

Total Subprime										
Prime non-agency										
2011	**$ 2,270**	**$ -**	**$ (29)**	**$ 2,241**	**21%**	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	-%
2010	**88**	**-**	**-**	**88**	**1**	20	-	(1)	19	-
2009	**70**	**1**	**-**	**71**	**1**	97	-	-	97	2
2008	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	-	-	-	-	-
2007	**2,672**	**28**	**(105)**	**2,595**	**24**	1,097	19	(71)	1,045	21
2006 and prior	**5,802**	**153**	**(356)**	**5,599**	**53**	4,010	96	(483)	3,623	77
Total Prime non-agency	**$ 10,902**	**$ 182**	**$ (490)**	**$ 10,594**	**100%**	$ 5,224	$ 115	$ (555)	$ 4,784	100%
Total Other Housing Related	**$ 421**	**$ 53**	**$ (85)**	**$ 389**	**100%**	$ 516	$ 18	$ (159)	$ 375	100%

*
Includes foreign and jumbo RMBS-related securities.

Table of Contents

The following table presents AIG's RMBS investments by credit rating:

	December 31, 2011					December 31, 2010				
(in millions)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Percent of Amortized Cost	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Percent of Amortized Cost
Rating:										
Total RMBS										
AAA	**$ 18,502**	**$ 990**	**$ (56)**	**$ 19,436**	**53%**	$ 12,077	$ 474	$ (187)	$ 12,364	58%
AA	**1,043**	**51**	**(115)**	**979**	**3**	1,382	43	(240)	1,185	7
A	**426**	**8**	**(25)**	**409**	**1**	612	3	(108)	507	3
BBB	**859**	**9**	**(95)**	**773**	**3**	535	5	(113)	427	3
Below investment grade[(b)]	**13,942**	**329**	**(1,272)**	**12,999**	**40**	6,035	175	(904)	5,306	29
Non-rated	**8**	**-**	**-**	**8**	**-**	20	-	(1)	19	-
Total RMBS[(a)]	**$ 34,780**	**$ 1,387**	**$ (1,563)**	**$ 34,604**	**100%**	$ 20,661	$ 700	$ (1,553)	$ 19,808	100%
Agency RMBS AAA	**$ 15,382**	**$ 978**	**$ (3)**	**$ 16,357**	**100%**	$ 10,139	$ 451	$ (60)	$ 10,530	100%
Alt-A RMBS										
AAA	**$ 128**	**$ 2**	**$ (4)**	**$ 126**	**2%**	$ 415	$ -	$ (29)	$ 386	12%
AA	**405**	**34**	**(25)**	**414**	**6**	550	28	(76)	502	15
A	**162**	**2**	**(3)**	**161**	**3**	175	2	(16)	161	5
BBB	**278**	**2**	**(29)**	**251**	**4**	198	1	(48)	151	6
Below investment grade[(b)]	**5,310**	**96**	**(550)**	**4,856**	**85**	2,185	50	(288)	1,947	62
Non-rated	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	-	-	-	-	-
Total Alt-A	**$ 6,283**	**$ 136**	**$ (611)**	**$ 5,808**	**100%**	$ 3,523	$ 81	$ (457)	$ 3,147	100%
Subprime RMBS										
AAA	**$ 109**	**$ -**	**$ (4)**	**$ 105**	**6%**	$ 344	$ -	$ (51)	$ 293	27%
AA	**144**	**10**	**(27)**	**127**	**8**	236	14	(44)	206	19
A	**19**	**-**	**(1)**	**18**	**1**	105	-	(29)	76	8
BBB	**253**	**1**	**(33)**	**221**	**14**	76	-	(23)	53	6
Below investment grade[(b)]	**1,267**	**27**	**(309)**	**985**	**71**	498	21	(175)	344	40
Non-rated	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	-	-	-	-	-
Total Subprime	**$ 1,792**	**$ 38**	**$ (374)**	**$ 1,456**	**100%**	$ 1,259	$ 35	$ (322)	$ 972	100%
Prime non-agency										
AAA	**$ 2,884**	**$ 11**	**$ (45)**	**$ 2,850**	**26%**	$ 1,178	$ 22	$ (46)	$ 1,154	23%
AA	**472**	**7**	**(50)**	**429**	**4**	571	-	(109)	462	11
A	**202**	**3**	**(16)**	**189**	**2**	276	2	(52)	226	5
BBB	**309**	**6**	**(28)**	**287**	**3**	238	4	(35)	207	5
Below investment grade[(b)]	**7,027**	**155**	**(351)**	**6,831**	**65**	2,941	87	(312)	2,716	56

Non-rated		**8**		**-**		**-**	**8**	**-**		20		-		(1) 19	-
Total prime non-agency	**$**	**10,902**	**$**	**182**	**$**	**(490) $**	**10,594**	**100%**	$	5,224	$	115	$	(555) $ 4,784	100%
Total Other Housing Related	**$**	**421**	**$**	**53**	**$**	**(85) $**	**389**	**100%**	$	516	$	18	$	(159) $ 375	100%

(a)
The weighted average expected life is 6 years at both December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

(b)
Commencing in the second quarter of 2011, AIG purchased certain RMBS securities that had experienced deterioration in credit quality since their origination. See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Investments Net Realized Capital Gains and Losses - Purchased Credit Impaired (PCI) Securities, for additional discussion.

AIG's underwriting practices for investing in RMBS, other asset-backed securities and CDOs take into consideration the quality of the originator, the manager, the servicer, security credit ratings, underlying characteristics of the mortgages, borrower characteristics, and the level of credit enhancement in the transaction.

Table of Contents

Investments in CMBS

The following table presents the amortized cost, gross unrealized gains (losses) and fair value of AIG's CMBS investments:

	December 31, 2011					December 31, 2010				
(in millions)	**Amortized Cost**	**Gross Unrealized Gains**	**Gross Unrealized Losses**	**Fair Value**	**Percent of Amortized Cost**	**Amortized Cost**	**Gross Unrealized Gains**	**Gross Unrealized Losses**	**Fair Value**	**Percent of Amortized Cost**
CMBS (traditional)	**$ 6,879**	**$ 307**	**$ (853)**	**$ 6,333**	**81%**	$ 6,428	$ 204	$ (919)	$ 5,713	88%
ReRemic/CRE CDO	**345**	**26**	**(110)**	**261**	**4**	508	23	(219)	312	7
Agency	**1,154**	**137**	**(1)**	**1,290**	**14**	297	13	(1)	309	4
Other	**71**	**-**	**(9)**	**62**	**1**	87	-	(10)	77	1
Total	**$ 8,449**	**$ 470**	**$ (973)**	**$ 7,946**	**100%**	$ 7,320	$ 240	$ (1,149)	$ 6,411	100%

The following table presents AIG's CMBS investments by year of vintage:

	December 31, 2011					December 31, 2010				
(in millions)	*Amortized Cost*	*Gross Unrealized Gains*	*Gross Unrealized Losses*	*Fair Value*	*Percent of Amortized Cost*	*Amortized Cost*	*Gross Unrealized Gains*	*Gross Unrealized Losses*	*Fair Value*	*Percent of Amortized Cost*
Year:										
2011	**$ 1,296**	**$ 133**	**$ (6)**	**$ 1,423**	**15%**	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	-%
2010	**279**	**21**	**(2)**	**298**	**3**	86	-	-	86	1
2009	**41**	**1**	**-**	**42**	**1**	42	1	-	43	1
2008	**217**	**1**	**(7)**	**211**	**3**	217	8	(1)	224	3
2007	**2,296**	**124**	**(477)**	**1,943**	**27**	2,205	118	(484)	1,839	30
2006 and prior	**4,320**	**190**	**(481)**	**4,029**	**51**	4,770	113	(664)	4,219	65
Total	**$ 8,449**	**$ 470**	**$ (973)**	**$ 7,946**	**100%**	$ 7,320	$ 240	$ (1,149)	$ 6,411	100%

The following table presents AIG's CMBS investments by credit rating:

	December 31, 2011					December 31, 2010				
(in millions)	*Amortized Cost*	*Gross Unrealized Gains*	*Gross Unrealized Losses*	*Fair Value*	*Percent of Amortized Cost*	*Amortized Cost*	*Gross Unrealized Gains*	*Gross Unrealized Losses*	*Fair Value*	*Percent of Amortized Cost*
Rating:										
AAA	**$ 3,431**	**$ 274**	**$ (12)**	**$ 3,693**	**40%**	$ 2,358	$ 90	$ (16)	$ 2,432	32%
AA	**735**	**20**	**(21)**	**734**	**9**	772	9	(58)	723	11
A	**986**	**18**	**(56)**	**948**	**12**	899	15	(74)	840	12
BBB	**932**	**8**	**(122)**	**818**	**11**	1,348	11	(359)	1,000	18
Below investment grade	**2,353**	**149**	**(762)**	**1,740**	**28**	1,943	115	(642)	1,416	27
Non-rated	**12**	**1**	**-**	**13**	**-**	-	-	-	-	-
Total	**$ 8,449**	**$ 470**	**$ (973)**	**$ 7,946**	**100%**	$ 7,320	$ 240	$ (1,149)	$ 6,411	100%

Table of Contents

The following table presents the percentage of AIG's CMBS investments by geographic region based on amortized cost:

December 31,	2011	2010
Geographic region:		
New York	**15%**	17%
California	**10**	12
Texas	**6**	6
Florida	**5**	6
Virginia	**3**	3
Illinois	**3**	3
New Jersey	**2**	3
Georgia	**2**	3
Maryland	**2**	2
Pennsylvania	**2**	2
Nevada	**2**	2
Washington	**2**	2
All Other*	**46**	39
Total	**100%**	100%

* *Includes Non-U.S. locations.*

The following table presents the percentage of AIG's CMBS investments by industry based on amortized cost:

December 31,	2011	2010
Industry:		
Office	**28%**	34%
Multi-family*	**26**	17
Retail	**25**	27
Lodging	**8**	8
Industrial	**6**	6
Other	**7**	8
Total	**100%**	100%

* *Includes Agency-backed CMBS.*

Although the market value of CMBS holdings has remained stable during 2011, the portfolio continues to be below amortized cost. The majority of AIG's investments in CMBS are in tranches that contain adequate protection features through collateral subordination. As indicated in the tables, downgrades have occurred on many CMBS holdings. The majority of CMBS holdings are traditional conduit transactions, broadly diversified across property types and geographical areas.

Table of Contents

Investments in CDOs

The following table presents AIG's CDO investments by collateral type:

	December 31, 2011					December 31, 2010				
(in millions)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Percent of Amortized Cost	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Percent of Amortized Cost
Collateral Type:										
Bank loans (CLO)	**$ 2,001**	**$ 52**	**$ (297)**	**$ 1,756**	**88%**	$ 1,697	$ 62	$ (321)	$ 1,438	76%
Synthetic investment grade	**1**	**75**	**-**	**76**	**-**	78	102	(2)	178	4
Other	**255**	**153**	**(18)**	**390**	**11**	433	151	(52)	532	19
Subprime ABS	**11**	**5**	**(6)**	**10**	**1**	24	2	(12)	14	1
Total	**$ 2,268**	**$ 285**	**$ (321)**	**$ 2,232**	**100%**	$ 2,232	$ 317	$ (387)	$ 2,162	100%

The following table presents AIG's CDO investments by credit rating:

	December 31, 2011					December 31, 2010				
(in millions)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Percent of Amortized Cost	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Percent of Amortized Cost
Rating:										
AAA	**$ 134**	**$ -**	**$ (4)**	**$ 130**	**6%**	$ 27	$ -	$ (2)	$ 25	1%
AA	**309**	**11**	**(21)**	**299**	**13**	94	1	(9)	86	4
A	**854**	**-**	**(109)**	**745**	**38**	202	17	(29)	190	9
BBB	**585**	**15**	**(133)**	**467**	**26**	578	18	(115)	481	26
Below investment grade	**386**	**259**	**(54)**	**591**	**17**	1,331	281	(232)	1,380	60
Total	**$ 2,268**	**$ 285**	**$ (321)**	**$ 2,232**	**100%**	$ 2,232	$ 317	$ (387)	$ 2,162	100%

COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE LOANS

At December 31, 2011, AIG had direct commercial mortgage loan exposure of $13.6 billion. At that date, over 98 percent of the loans were current.

The following table presents the commercial mortgage loan exposure by state and class of loan:

December 31, 2011 (dollars in millions)	Number of Loans	Class						Total	Percent of Total
		Apartments	Offices	Retails	Industrials	Hotels	Others		

State:																
California	162	$	109	$	1,191	$	258	$	841	$	381	$	406	$	3,186	24%
New York	77		264		1,034		170		99		87		81		1,735	13
New Jersey	59		585		322		283		8		-		71		1,269	9
Florida	97		51		285		242		103		21		209		911	7
Texas	58		46		337		117		218		81		25		824	6
Pennsylvania	61		111		101		144		122		17		14		509	4
Ohio	55		162		44		92		58		39		12		407	3
Maryland	22		24		191		164		14		4		4		401	3
Virginia	27		38		208		51		11		9		-		317	2
Arizona	12		66		106		59		7		-		86		324	2
Other states	360		367		1,375		707		453		300		441		3,643	27
Foreign	73		2		-		-		-		-		26		28	-
Total*	1,063	$	1,825	$	5,194	$	2,287	$	1,934	$	939	$	1,375	$	13,554	100%

* *Excludes portfolio valuation losses.*

AIA EQUITY INVESTMENT

At December 31, 2011, AIG's equity method investments included a 33 percent interest in AIA with a total carrying value of $12.4 billion which is recorded in Other invested assets and accounted for under the fair value option.

The value of the AIA shares will fluctuate until the ultimate disposition by AIG of the AIA shares. The value of the AIA shares will rise and fall in response to various factors beyond the control of AIG, including the business and financial performance of AIA. The agreement with the underwriters precludes AIG from entering into hedging transactions that might protect AIG against fluctuations in the value of one-half of its remaining interest in AIA through April 18, 2012.

IMPAIRMENTS

The following table presents investment impairments by type:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**
Fixed maturities, available for sale	**$ 1,009**	$ 2,337	$ 5,356
Equity securities, available for sale	**37**	193	434
Private equity funds and hedge funds	**234**	509	906
Subtotal	**$ 1,280**	$ 3,039	$ 6,696
Life settlement contracts	**312**	74	79
Aircraft Trusts[(a)]	**168**	-	-
Real estate[(b)]	**30**	622	1,223
Total	**$ 1,790**	$ 3,735	$ 7,998

(a) *Aircraft Trusts impairment is recorded in other expenses*

(b) *Real estate impairment is recorded in other income.*

Table of Contents

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

The following tables present other-than-temporary impairment charges in earnings, excluding impairments on life settlement contracts and real estate shown above.

Other-than-temporary impairment by segment and type of impairment:

	Reportable Segment		Other	
(in millions)	**Chartis**	**SunAmerica**	**Operations**	**Total**
December 31, 2011				
Impairment Type:				
Severity	**$ 47**	**$ 4**	**$ -**	**$ 51**
Change in intent	**1**	**11**	**-**	**12**
Foreign currency declines	**32**	**-**	**-**	**32**
Issuer-specific credit events	**193**	**943**	**29**	**1,165**
Adverse projected cash flows	**1**	**19**	**-**	**20**
Total	**$ 274**	**$ 977**	**$ 29**	**$ 1,280**
December 31, 2010				
Impairment Type:				
Severity	$ 30	$ 14	$ 29	$ 73
Change in intent	389	34	18	441
Foreign currency declines	17	-	46	63
Issuer-specific credit events	141	1,906	410	2,457
Adverse projected cash flows	-	4	1	5
Total	$ 577	$ 1,958	$ 504	$ 3,039
December 31, 2009				
Impairment Type:				
Severity	$ 118	$ 829	$ 563	$ 1,510
Change in intent	186	656	116	958
Foreign currency declines	9	-	103	112
Issuer-specific credit events	589	2,260	1,130	3,979
Adverse projected cash flows	1	76	60	137
Total	$ 903	$ 3,821	$ 1,972	$ 6,696

Table of Contents

Other-than-temporary impairment charges by type of security and type of impairment:

(in millions)	RMBS	CDO/ABS	CMBS	Other Fixed Maturity	Equities/Other Invested Assets*	Total
December 31, 2011						
Impairment Type:						
Severity	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ 51**	**$ 51**
Change in intent	**-**	**-**	**-**	**7**	**5**	**12**
Foreign currency declines	**-**	**-**	**-**	**32**	**-**	**32**
Issuer-specific credit events	**769**	**20**	**150**	**11**	**215**	**1,165**
Adverse projected cash flows	**20**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**20**
Total	**$ 789**	**$ 20**	**$ 150**	**$ 50**	**$ 271**	**$ 1,280**
December 31, 2010						
Impairment Type:						
Severity	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 73	$ 73
Change in intent	210	-	99	41	91	441
Foreign currency declines	-	5	-	57	1	63
Issuer-specific credit events	1,066	34	739	81	537	2,457
Adverse projected cash flows	5	-	-	-	-	5
Total	$ 1,281	$ 39	$ 838	$ 179	$ 702	$ 3,039
December 31, 2009						
Impairment Type:						
Severity	$ 816	$ 471	$ 21	$ 26	$ 176	$ 1,510
Change in intent	19	8	44	715	172	958
Foreign currency declines	-	21	-	91	-	112
Issuer-specific credit events	1,929	306	451	301	992	3,979
Adverse projected cash flows	102	35	-	-	-	137
Total	$ 2,866	$ 841	$ 516	$ 1,133	$ 1,340	$ 6,696

* *Includes other-than-temporary impairment charges on private equity funds, hedge funds and direct private equity investments.*

Table of Contents

Other-than-temporary impairment charges by type of security and credit rating:

(in millions)	RMBS	CDO/ABS	CMBS	Other Fixed Maturity	Equities/Other Invested Assets*	Total
December 31, 2011						
Rating:						
AAA	**$ 3**	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ 9**	**$ -**	**$ 12**
AA	**24**	**-**	**-**	**10**	**-**	**34**
A	**7**	**-**	**-**	**15**	**-**	**22**
BBB	**6**	**5**	**-**	**1**	**-**	**12**
Below investment grade	**749**	**15**	**150**	**14**	**-**	**928**
Non-rated	**-**	**-**	**-**	**1**	**271**	**272**
Total	**$ 789**	**$ 20**	**$ 150**	**$ 50**	**$ 271**	**$ 1,280**
December 31, 2010						
Rating:						
AAA	$ 5	$ -	$ -	$ 10	$ -	$ 15
AA	20	-	-	3	-	23
A	2	-	13	14	-	29
BBB	47	-	41	10	-	98
Below investment grade	1,207	30	784	108	-	2,129
Non-rated	-	9	-	34	702	745
Total	$ 1,281	$ 39	$ 838	$ 179	$ 702	$ 3,039
December 31, 2009						
Rating:						
AAA	$ 320	$ 27	$ 17	$ -	$ -	$ 364
AA	289	20	15	19	-	343
A	254	62	113	195	-	624
BBB	434	271	85	318	-	1,108
Below investment grade	1,569	430	286	581	-	2,866
Non-rated	-	31	-	20	1,340	1,391
Total	$ 2,866	$ 841	$ 516	$ 1,133	$ 1,340	$ 6,696

* *Includes other-than-temporary impairment charges on private equity funds, hedge funds and direct private equity investments.*

Determinations of other-than-temporary impairments are based on fundamental credit analyses of individual securities without regard to rating agency ratings. Based on this analysis, AIG expects to receive cash flows sufficient to cover the amortized cost of all below investment grade securities for which credit losses were not recognized.

AIG recorded other-than-temporary impairment charges in 2011, 2010 and 2009 related to:

- securities for which AIG has changed its intent from hold to sell;

- declines due to foreign exchange rates;

- issuer-specific credit events;
- certain structured securities;
- other impairments, including equity securities, private equity funds, hedge funds, direct private equity investments, aircraft trusts and investments in life settlement contracts; and
- securities that experienced severe market valuation declines.

With respect to the issuer-specific credit events shown above, no other-than-temporary impairment charge with respect to any one single credit was significant to AIG's consolidated financial condition or results of operations, and no individual other-than-temporary impairment charge exceeded 0.2 percent, 0.2 percent and 0.1 percent of total equity in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents

In periods subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment charge for available for sale fixed maturity securities that is not foreign exchange related, AIG generally prospectively accretes into earnings the difference between the new amortized cost and the expected undiscounted recovery value over the remaining expected holding period of the security. The amounts of accretion recognized in earnings for 2011, 2010 and 2009 were $542 million, $401 million and $735 million, respectively. For a discussion of recent accounting standards affecting fair values and other-than-temporary impairments, see Notes 2 and 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

An aging of the pre-tax unrealized losses of fixed maturity and equity securities, distributed as a percentage of cost relative to unrealized loss (the extent by which the fair value is less than amortized cost or cost), including the number of respective items was as follows:

December 31, 2011	**Less Than or Equal to 20% of Cost**[b]			**Greater Than 20% to 50% of Cost**[b]			**Greater Than 50% of Cost**[b]			**Total**		
Aging**[a]* ***(dollars in millions)	**Cost**[c]	**Unrealized Loss**	**Items**[e]	**Cost**[c]	**Unrealized Loss**	**Items**[e]	**Cost**[c]	**Unrealized Loss**	**Items**[e]	**Cost**[c]	**Unrealized Loss**[d]	**Items**[e]
Investment grade bonds												
0-6 months	$ 23,689	$ 669	3,360	$ 180	$ 43	18	$ -	$ -	-	$ 23,869	$ 712	3,378
7-11 months	3,183	209	596	184	49	22	-	-	-	3,367	258	618
12 months or more	8,187	626	904	2,275	636	246	70	40	24	10,532	1,302	1,174
Total	$ 35,059	$ 1,504	4,860	$ 2,639	$ 728	286	$ 70	$ 40	24	$ 37,768	$ 2,272	5,170
Below investment grade bonds												
0-6 months	$ 6,862	$ 328	1,112	$ 407	$ 107	66	$ 45	$ 24	24	$ 7,314	$ 459	1,202
7-11 months	2,832	274	261	981	252	78	-	-	-	3,813	526	339
12 months or more	3,493	313	476	2,760	916	282	724	424	113	6,977	1,653	871
Total	$ 13,187	$ 915	1,849	$ 4,148	$ 1,275	426	$ 769	$ 448	137	$ 18,104	$ 2,638	2,412
Total bonds												
0-6 months	$ 30,551	$ 997	4,472	$ 587	$ 150	84	$ 45	$ 24	24	$ 31,183	$ 1,171	4,580
7-11 months	6,015	483	857	1,165	301	100	-	-	-	7,180	784	957
12 months or more	11,680	939	1,380	5,035	1,552	528	794	464	137	17,509	2,955	2,045
Total[e]	$ 48,246	$ 2,419	6,709	$ 6,787	$ 2,003	712	$ 839	$ 488	161	$ 55,872	$ 4,910	7,582
Equity securities												
0-11 months	$ 523	$ 50	193	$ 194	$ 51	111	$ -	$ -	-	$ 717	$ 101	304
12 months or more	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ 523	$ 50	193	$ 194	$ 51	111	$ -	$ -	-	$ 717	$ 101	304

(a) *Represents the number of consecutive months that fair value has been less than cost by any amount.*

(b)

Represents the percentage by which fair value is less than cost at December 31, 2011.

(c)

For bonds, represents amortized cost.

(d)

The effect on Net income of unrealized losses after taxes will be mitigated upon realization because certain realized losses will result in current decreases in the amortization of certain DAC.

(e)

Item count is by CUSIP by subsidiary.

For 2011, net unrealized gains related to fixed maturity and equity securities increased by $5.4 billion primarily resulting from the narrowing of credit spreads.

As of December 31, 2011, the majority of AIG's fixed maturity investments in an unrealized loss position of more than 50 percent for 12 months or more consisted of the unrealized loss of $464 million related to CMBS and RMBS securities originally rated investment grade that are floating rate or that have low fixed coupons relative to current market yields. A total of 24 securities with an amortized cost of $70 million and a net unrealized loss of $40 million are still investment grade. As part of its credit evaluation procedures applied to these and other securities, AIG considers the nature of both the specific securities and the market conditions for those securities. For most security types supported by real estate-related assets, current market yields continue to be higher than the yields were at the respective issuance dates of the securities. This is largely due to investors demanding additional yield premium for securities whose performance is closely linked to the commercial and residential real estate sectors. In addition, for floating rate securities, persistently low LIBOR levels continue to make these securities less attractive.

Table of Contents

AIG believes that the lack of demand for commercial and residential real estate collateral-based securities, low contractual coupons and interest rate spreads, and the deterioration in the level of collateral support due to real estate market conditions are the primary reasons for these securities trading at significant price discounts. Based on its analysis, and taking into account the level of subordination below these securities, AIG continues to believe that the expected cash flows from these securities will be sufficient to recover the amortized cost of its investment. AIG continues to monitor these positions for potential credit impairments that could result from further deterioration in commercial and residential real estate fundamentals.

See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of AIG's investment portfolio.

ENTERPRISE RISK MANAGEMENT

OVERVIEW

Risk management is a key element of AIG's approach to corporate governance. AIG has an integrated process for managing risks throughout the organization. AIG's Board of Directors has oversight responsibility for the management of risk. AIG's Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Department supervises and integrates the risk management functions in each of AIG's major business units, providing senior management with a consolidated view on the firm's major risk positions. Within each business unit, senior leaders and executives approve risk-taking policies and targeted risk tolerance within the framework provided by ERM.

RISK GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE

AIG's risk governance fosters the development and maintenance of a risk and control culture that encompasses all significant risk categories. It is supported by committees at the AIG corporate-level and in each business unit.

AIG's Board of Directors oversees management of risk through the complementary functioning of its Finance and Risk Management Committee (the FRMC) and the Audit Committee, and interaction with other committees of the Board. AIG's Chief Risk Officer (CRO) reports to both the FRMC and AIG's Chief Executive Officer (CEO).

The Group Risk Committee (the GRC) is the senior management group charged with assessing all significant risk issues on a global basis in order to protect AIG's financial strength, optimize its intrinsic value, and protect its reputation among key stakeholders. The GRC is chaired by AIG's CRO and its membership includes AIG's CEO, Chief Financial Officer (CFO), General Counsel, and 11 additional executives from across AIG's corporate functions and business units. AIG's CRO reports periodically on behalf of the GRC to both the FRMC and the Audit Committee of the Board.

Management committees that support the GRC are as follows:

- the Financial Risk Group (the FRG);
- the Transaction Approval and Business Practices Committee (the TABPC);
- the Operational Risk Committee (the ORC); and
- the Risk and Capital Committees of AIG's major insurance business segments, SunAmerica, Chartis and UGC.

The committees are comprised of senior executives and experienced business representatives from a range of control functions and business units throughout AIG and its subsidiaries. These committees are charged with identifying, analyzing and resolving specific risk matters within their respective mandate.

The FRG is responsible for the oversight of financial risks taken by AIG and its subsidiaries. The FRG's mandate includes oversight of the firm's aggregate risks related to credit, asset-liability management and liquidity, derivatives activity, and foreign exchange transactions.

Membership of the FRG includes AIG's Executive Vice President Investments and Financial Services and Executive Vice President and Treasurer, as well as its CFO, and other senior executives from Finance and ERM. AIG's CRO serves as Chair of the FRG.

Table of Contents

The TABPC provides the primary corporate-level review function for all proposed transactions and business practices that are significant in size, complex in scope, or that present heightened legal, reputational, accounting or regulatory risks. AIG's Executive Vice President and Treasurer serves as Chair of the committee that also includes AIG's General Counsel, the AIG CRO, CFO, Executive Vice President Investments and Financial Services, and a senior executive from Finance.

The ORC is tasked with identifying the risks that may arise from adverse outcomes that stem from internal processes, people, systems, or external events. AIG's Chief Administrative Officer is Chair of the ORC and he is joined by senior AIG executives with expertise in legal, compliance, technology and operational risk, as well as the chief risk officers of key AIG business units.

Each of AIG's major insurance businesses has established committees that are responsible for managing risks at the individual business-unit level:

- Chartis Risk & Capital Committee (CRCC): The CRCC is co-chaired by the Chartis CRO and Chief Financial Officer and consists of Chartis executive management, the AIG CRO and the AIG Chief Actuary. The CRCC mandate encompasses the identification, monitoring and control of all sources of risk within the global Chartis portfolio, including emerging and catastrophic risks. Specific responsibilities include setting risk tolerance, approving capital management strategies (including asset allocation and risk financing), insurance portfolio optimization and providing oversight of economic capital models. Each major geographic region of the Chartis footprint maintains a local committee with a similar mandate that reports directly or indirectly to the CRCC.

- SunAmerica Financial Group Risk & Capital Committee (SARCC): The SARCC is co-chaired by the SunAmerica CRO and the SunAmerica CEO and consists of SunAmerica executive management, the AIG CRO and the AIG Chief Actuary. The scope of SARCC responsibilities encompasses the identification, monitoring and control of all sources of risk within SAFG and includes financial, capital, liquidity and insurance risks. The SARCC creates and implements all risk management policies and oversees all risk assessment processes. It reviews strategic and financial initiatives and activities as recommended by its various business units and functional groups.

- UGC Risk Committee (URC): The URC is chaired by the UGC CRO who reports regularly to the AIG CRO and the UGC executive committee. The URC creates, oversees and implements UGC's risk management framework and establishes the policies and guiding principles for managing risk across the UGC portfolio.

RISK IDENTIFICATION, MEASUREMENT AND TOLERANCE

AIG's risk tolerance is reflected in its business planning and integrated into the management of its operations. AIG's GRC routinely reviews the level of risk taken by the consolidated organization in relation to established risk tolerances. A consolidated risk report is presented to the FRMC by the AIG CRO.

AIG uses a proprietary stress testing framework to measure its quantifiable risks. The framework measures risk over multiple time horizons and under different levels of stress. This framework measures the risk in each of

Table of Contents

AIG's regulated subsidiaries in relation to its statutory capital needs under stress, as well as the risks inherent in AIG's unregulated subsidiaries.

To complement existing processes, in 2011 AIG implemented an enterprise-wide vulnerability identification process (VID) to facilitate the escalation of potential new or emerging risks to senior management. AIG's VID process, on a quarterly basis, solicits this information from a broad range of senior managers across the organization. Through VID, vulnerabilities not captured by other risk management practices are identified and elevated to senior management on a regular basis.

AIG's approach to evaluating and managing risk divides material risk topics as follows:

Credit risk the potential loss arising from an obligor's inability or unwillingness to meet its obligations to AIG.

Market risk the potential loss arising from adverse fluctuations in interest rates, foreign currencies, equity and commodity prices, and their levels of volatility. Market risk includes credit spread risk, the potential loss arising from adverse fluctuations in credit spreads of securities or counterparties.

Operational risk the potential loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people, and systems, or from external events.

Liquidity risk the potential inability to meet all payment obligations when they become due.

General insurance risk the potential loss resulting from inadequate premiums, insufficient reserves and catastrophic exposures.

Life insurance risk the potential loss resulting from experience deviating from expectations for mortality, morbidity and termination rates in the insurance-oriented products and insufficient cash flows to cover contract liabilities in the retirement savings products.

Reputational risk the risk of direct loss or loss in future business because of damage to AIG's reputation. Damage to the Company's reputation can arise from a large number of issues, including potential conflicts of interest, legal and regulatory requirements, ethical issues, and sales and trading practices.

CREDIT RISK MANAGEMENT

AIG devotes considerable resources to managing its direct and indirect credit exposures, such as those arising from fixed income investments, equity securities, deposits, reverse repurchase agreements and repurchase agreements, commercial paper, corporate and consumer loans, leases, reinsurance recoverables, counterparty risk to derivatives activities, collateral extended to counterparties, cessions of insurance risk to customers, credit risk assumed through credit derivatives written, financial guarantees and letters of credit. Credit risk is defined as the risk that AIG's customers or counterparties are unable or unwilling to repay their contractual obligations when they become due. Credit risk may also be manifested: (i) through the downgrading of credit ratings of counterparties whose credit instruments AIG may be holding, or, in some cases, insuring, causing the value of the assets to decline or insured risks to rise; and (ii) as cross-border risk where a country (sovereign government risk) or one or more non-sovereign obligors within a country are unable or unwilling to repay an obligation or are unable or unwilling to provide foreign exchange to service a credit or equity exposure incurred by another AIG business unit located outside that country.

AIG's credit risks are managed at the corporate level within ERM, assisted by credit functions headed by seasoned credit officers in all the business units, whose primary role is to assure appropriate credit risk management in the context of the firm's credit risk appetite. AIG's Chief Credit Officer (CCO) and credit executives are primarily responsible for the development and maintenance of credit risk policies and procedures. Detailed responsibilities are as follows:

develop and implement AIG-wide appropriate credit policies;

approve delegated credit authorities to AIG credit executives;

Table of Contents

- manage the approval process for requests for credit limits, program limits and credit transactions above delegated authorities or where concentrations of risk may exist or be incurred;

- aggregate globally all credit exposure data by counterparty, country, sector and industry and report risk concentrations regularly to and review with senior management;

- administer regular portfolio credit reviews of investment, derivative and credit-incurring business units and recommend corrective actions where required;

- conduct credit research on countries, sectors and asset classes where risk concentrations may exist;

- develop methodologies for quantification and assessment of credit risks, including the establishment and maintenance of AIG's internal risk rating process; and

- approve appropriate credit reserves, credit-related other-than-temporary impairments and corresponding methodologies in all credit portfolios.

AIG monitors and controls its company-wide credit risk concentrations and attempts to avoid unwanted or excessive risk accumulations, whether funded or unfunded. To minimize the level of credit risk in certain circumstances, AIG may require third-party guarantees, reinsurance or collateral, such as letters of credit and trust accounts. These guarantees, reinsurance recoverables, letters of credit and trust accounts are also treated as credit exposure and are added to AIG's risk concentration exposure data.

AIG defines its aggregate credit exposures to a counterparty as the sum of its fixed maturity securities, equity securities, loans, finance leases, reinsurance recoverables, derivatives (mark-to-market and potential future exposure), deposits, reverse repurchase agreements, repurchase agreements, collateral extended to counterparties, commercial bank letters of credit received as collateral, guarantees, and the specified credit equivalent exposures to certain insurance products which embody credit risk. Therefore, AIG's reported credit exposures to a counterparty reflect available for sale investments, trading securities, derivative exposures, insurance credit and any other counterparty credit exposures.

AIG's single largest credit exposure, the U.S. Government, was 34 percent of Total equity at December 31, 2011 compared to 22 percent at December 31, 2010. The increase reflects the effects of the Recapitalization on Total equity as well as increased exposure to the U.S. Government, including primarily credit exposure related to the U.S. Treasury bonds and government agencies and to the direct and guaranteed exposures to U.S. government-sponsored entities, primarily the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac). Based on AIG's internal risk ratings, at December 31, 2011, AIG's largest below investment grade-rated credit exposure was 0.6 percent of Total equity, compared to 0.6 percent at December 31, 2010.

AIG's single largest industry credit exposure in 2011 was to the global financial institutions sector, which includes banks and finance companies, securities firms, and insurance and reinsurance companies, many of which can be highly correlated at times of market stress. As of December 31, 2011, credit exposure to this sector was $108 billion, or 123 percent of Total equity compared to 119 percent at December 31, 2010.

At December 31, 2011:

- $103 billion or 95 percent of these financial institution credit exposures were considered investment grade based on AIG's internal ratings.

- $5 billion or 5 percent were considered non-investment grade. Most of the non-investment grade exposure was to financial institutions in countries AIG does not consider of investment grade quality. Aggregate credit exposure to the ten largest below investment grade-rated financial institutions was $2 billion.

AIG's aggregate credit exposure to fixed maturity securities of the financial institution sector amounted to $40 billion.

Short-term bank deposit placements, reverse repos, repos and commercial paper issued by financial institutions (primarily commercial banks), operating account balances with banks and bank-issued

Table of Contents

commercial letters of credit supporting insurance credit exposures were $18 billion of the total exposure, or 17 percent, to global financial institutions.

The remaining credit exposures to this sector were primarily related to reinsurance recoverables, ordinary shares of AIA, collateral extended to counterparties mostly pursuant to derivative transactions, derivatives, and the fronting of risk management policies to captive insurers of these financial institutions.

Of the $108 billion aggregate financial exposure, $35.8 billion was to United Kingdom and European-based financial institutions.

$12.2 billion of this aggregate credit exposure was to non-bank institutions, of which $9.5 billion, or 78 percent, was reinsurance recoverable balances. Reinsurance recoverables were primarily to highly rated reinsurers based in Switzerland, Germany, and the United Kingdom. $1.7 billion of the aggregate credit exposure to non-banks was fixed maturity securities. Approximately 98 percent of the non-bank exposures were considered investment grade based on AIG's internal ratings.

Aggregate credit exposures to United Kingdom- and European-based banks totaled $23.5 billion, of which $22.7 billion were considered investment grade based on AIG's internal ratings. Aggregate below investment grade-rated credit exposures to European banks were $793 million.

AIG's credit exposures to banks domiciled in the Euro-zone countries totaled $9.6 billion, of which $5.1 billion were fixed maturity securities. Credit exposures to banks based in the five countries of the Euro-zone periphery (Spain, Italy, Ireland, Greece, and Portugal) totaled $1.7 billion, of which $1.0 billion were fixed maturity securities. Credit exposures to banks based in France totaled $1.9 billion, of which $845 million were fixed maturity securities. AIG's credit exposures were predominantly to the largest banks in these countries.

The following table presents AIG's aggregate credit exposures to banks in the United Kingdom and Europe:

December 31, 2011 *(in millions)*	**Fixed Maturity Securities**[(a)]	**Cash and Short-Term Investments**[(b)]	**Derivatives**[(c)]	**Other**[(d)]	**Total**
Euro-zone countries:					
Netherlands	$ 2,157	$ 192	$ -	$ 962	$ 3,311
Germany	765	607	93	669	2,134
France	845	382	226	442	1,895
Spain	582	71	78	122	853
Italy	253	1	84	233	571
Belgium	171	1	3	146	321
Ireland	138	92	-	40	270
Greece	-	1	-	-	1
Portugal	-	-	-	-	-
Other Euro-zone	194	92	-	4	290
Total Euro-zone	$ 5,105	$ 1,439	$ 484	$ 2,618	$ 9,646
Remainder of Europe					
United Kingdom	$ 4,282	$ 2,242	$ 632	$ 1,549	$ 8,705
Sweden	760	1,322	-	46	2,128
Switzerland	1,027	630	14	355	2,026
Other remainder of Europe	429	563	-	42	1,034
Total remainder of Europe	$ 6,498	$ 4,757	$ 646	$ 1,992	$ 13,893

Total	$	11,603	$	6,196	$	1,130	$	4,610	$	23,539

(a)
Fixed maturity securities primarily includes available-for-sale and trading securities reported at fair value of $10.6 billion ($10.6 billion amortized cost), and $1 billion ($1.1 billion amortized cost) respectively. Covered bonds (debt securities secured by a pool of financial assets sufficient to cover any bondholder claims and which have full recourse to the issuing bank) represented approximately 10 percent of the $11.6 billion fixed maturity securities.

Table of Contents

(b)
Cash and short-term investments include bank deposit placements, operating accounts, securities purchased under agreements to resell and collateral posted to counterparties against structured products. Credit equivalent exposure to securities purchased under agreements to resell was $86 million (notional value of $2.7 billion).

(c)
Derivative transactions reported at fair value.

(d)
Other primarily consists of commercial letters of credit supporting insurance credit exposures ($1.7 billion), captive programs in the United Kingdom and the Netherlands ($1.6 billion)

Out of a total of $5.1 billion of fixed maturity securities of banks in the Euro-zone countries, AIG's subordinated debt holdings and Tier 1 and preference share securities in these banks totaled $1.2 billion and $386 million, respectively, at December 31, 2011. These exposures were predominantly to the largest banks in those countries.

The following table presents further detail on AIG's fixed maturity security exposure to banks in the United Kingdom and Europe:

December 31, 2011	**Fixed Maturity Securities[a]**				
(in millions)	**Secured/ Government[b]**	**Senior**	**Subordinated**	**Tier 1**	**Total**
Euro-zone countries:					
Netherlands	$ 621	$ 1,027	$ 351	$ 158	$ 2,157
Germany	110	297	269	89	765
France	152	240	356	97	845
Spain	155	248	141	38	582
Italy	69	92	92	-	253
Belgium	73	86	8	4	171
Ireland	48	90	-	-	138
Other Euro-zone	119	75	-	-	194
Total Euro-zone	$ 1,347	$ 2,155	$ 1,217	$ 386	$ 5,105
Remainder of Europe					
United Kingdom	$ 247	$ 1,563	$ 2,009	$ 463	$ 4,282
Sweden	219	343	111	87	760
Switzerland	29	677	297	24	1,027
Other remainder of Europe	320	56	16	37	429
Total remainder of Europe	$ 815	$ 2,639	$ 2,433	$ 611	$ 6,498
Total	$ 2,162	$ 4,794	$ 3,650	$ 997	$ 11,603

(a)
Fixed maturity securities primarily includes available-for-sale and trading securities reported at fair value and single name CDS at notional contract value.

(b)
Secured/government primarily includes covered bonds and securities issued by government-sponsored entities or debt guaranteed by a government.

AIG also had credit exposures to several European governments whose ratings have been downgraded or placed under review in recent months by one or more of the major rating agencies. These downgrades occurred mostly in countries in the Euro-zone periphery (Spain, Italy and Portugal) where AIG's credit exposures totaled $339 million at December 31, 2011. The downgrades primarily reflect large government budget deficits, rising government debt-to-GDP ratios and large financing requirements of these sovereigns, which have given rise to widening

credit spreads and difficult financing conditions. These credit exposures primarily included available-for-sale and trading securities (at fair value) issued by these governments. AIG had no direct or guaranteed credit exposure to the governments of Greece or Ireland.

Table of Contents

The following table presents AIG's aggregate (gross and net) credit exposures to governments in the Euro-Zone and other non-U.S. government concentrations:

(in millions)	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010*
Euro-zone countries:		
Germany	**$ 1,854**	$ 1,102
France	**1,157**	1,134
Netherlands	**442**	341
Spain	**228**	257
Austria	**203**	156
Belgium	**139**	246
Italy	**108**	448
Finland	**87**	34
Portugal	**3**	6
Ireland	**-**	-
Greece	**-**	-
Other Euro-zone	**-**	-
Total Euro-zone	**4,221**	3,724
Other concentrations:		
Japan	**9,205**	7,366
Canada	**3,153**	1,081
United Kingdom	**1,615**	1,182
Australia	**879**	937
Norway	**720**	508
Mexico	**507**	316
Qatar	**339**	424
Brazil	**306**	305
Sweden	**304**	277
Russia	**293**	231
Other	**4,629**	3,551
Total other concentrations	**21,950**	16,178
Total	**$ 26,171**	$ 19,902

* *For comparative purposes, December 31, 2010 figures have been adjusted to reflect the divestitures of AIG Star, AIG Edison, and Nan Shan, which occurred in 2011.*

Table of Contents

The following table presents AIG's aggregate credit exposures to non-financial institutions in the United Kingdom and Europe:

December 31, 2011	**Fixed Maturity[a][b]**					
(in millions)	**Secured**	**Senior**	**Total**	**Derivatives**	**Other[c]**	**Total**
Euro-zone countries:						
Germany	$ 16	$ 2,566	$ 2,582	$ 62	$ 1,167	$ 3,811
France	34	2,528	2,562	979	3,250	6,791
Netherlands	190	1,417	1,607	-	780	2,387
Spain	11	1,188	1,199	10	1,050	2,259
Italy	108	1,036	1,144	39	559	1,742
Ireland	-	718	718	-	74	792
Belgium	2	590	592	-	193	785
Luxembourg	5	312	317	-	348	665
Other Euro-zone	18	290	308	-	469	777
Total Euro-zone	$ 384	$ 10,645	$ 11,029	$ 1,090	$ 7,890	$ 20,009
Remainder of Europe:						
United Kingdom	267	6,398	6,665	529	6,428	13,622
Switzerland	16	1,581	1,597	10	292	1,899
Jersey	8	298	306	-	13	319
Other remainder of Europe	135	434	569	-	584	1,153
Total remainder of Europe	$ 426	$ 8,711	$ 9,137	$ 539	$ 7,317	$ 16,993
Total	$ 810	$ 19,356	$ 20,166	$ 1,629	$ 15,207	$ 37,002

(a) *Fixed maturity securities primarily includes available-for-sale securities, with $211 million in trading securities.*

(b) *United Kingdom/European exposure also consists of $345 million of subordinated debt, primarily in the United Kingdom and Switzerland; bank loans of $95 million; and preferred equity securities of $91 million.*

(c) *Other primarily consists of insurance related products, including captive fronting programs ($8.1 billion), trade credit insurance ($3.8 billion) and surety insurance ($2.3 billion).*

Approximately 87 percent of fixed maturity securities in the United Kingdom and European non-financial institutions were considered investment grade based on AIG's internal ratings. Among non-financial institution corporate exposures to Euro-zone countries, France represented the largest single country, amounting to $6.8 billion of the $20 billion total, of which $2.6 billion were fixed maturity securities. Approximately two-thirds of these French exposures were to issuers in the oil and gas, rail, utilities and telecommunications industries. Euro-zone periphery non-financial institution corporate exposures ($5 billion) are heavily weighted towards large multinational corporate or issuers in relatively stable industries, such as regulated utilities (26 percent), telecommunications (18 percent) and oil & gas (7 percent).

AIG also had United Kingdom and European structured product exposures (largely residential mortgage-backed, commercial mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities) totaling $8.6 billion at December 31, 2011. United Kingdom structured products accounted for $4.2 billion or 48 percent of these exposures, while the Netherlands and Germany comprised 23 percent and 7 percent, respectively. Structured product exposures to the European peripheral countries accounted for 2 percent of the total. Approximately 90 percent of the United Kingdom and European structured products exposures were rated A or better at December 31, 2011. See Critical Accounting Estimates Fair Value Measurements of Certain Financial Assets and Liabilities Level 3 Assets and Liabilities Regulatory Capital Portfolio for a discussion of European related regulatory capital transactions.

In addition, AIG had commercial real estate-related net equity investments in Europe totaling $647 million and related unfunded commitments of $165 million. Moreover, ILFC's fleet includes aircraft on operating leases to U.K. and European airlines with a net book value of approximately $13.5 billion, of which approximately $3.1 billion or 23 percent are aircraft on lease to carriers based in the five Euro-zone periphery countries.

AIG actively monitors its European credit exposures, especially those exposures to issuers in the European periphery, and uses various stress assumptions to identify issuers and securities warranting review by senior management and to determine whether mitigating actions should be taken. Mitigating actions in these areas to date have largely included non-renewal of maturing exposures and sales and tender of securities. To date, credit default protection has not been actively used. The financial condition of issuers is periodically evaluated, and internal risk ratings are adjusted as circumstances warrant. The result of these continuing reviews has led AIG to believe that its combined credit risk exposures to sovereign governments, financial institutions and non-financial corporations in the Euro-zone are manageable risks given the type and size of exposure and the credit quality and size of the issuers.

Table of Contents

AIG also monitors its aggregate cross-border exposures by country and regional group of countries. AIG includes in its cross-border exposures both aggregated cross-border credit exposures to unrelated third parties and its cross-border investments in its own international subsidiaries. Eight countries had cross-border exposures in excess of 10 percent of Total equity at both December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Based on AIG's internal risk ratings, at December 31, 2011, five countries were rated AAA and three were rated AA. The two largest cross-border exposures were to the United Kingdom and France.

AIG also has a risk concentration, primarily through the investment portfolios of its insurance companies, in the U.S. municipal sector. A majority of these securities were held in available for sale portfolios of AIG's domestic property casualty insurance companies. See Investments Available for Sale Investments herein for further details. AIG had $892 million of additional exposure to the municipal sector outside of its insurance company portfolios at December 31, 2011, compared to $974 million at December 31, 2010. These exposures consisted of AIGFP derivatives and trading securities (at fair value) and exposure related to other insurance and financial services operations.

See also Investments herein for further information.

AIG reviews regularly concentration reports in all categories listed above as well as credit trends by risk ratings and credit spreads. AIG periodically adjusts limits and reviews exposures for risk mitigation to provide reasonable assurance that the Company does not incur excessive levels of credit risk and that AIG's credit risk profile is properly calibrated across business units.

MARKET RISK MANAGEMENT

AIG is exposed to market risks, primarily within its insurance and capital markets businesses (see Item 1. Business Other Operations Global Capital Markets regarding its market risk issues). For AIG's insurance operations, the asset-liability exposures are predominantly structural in nature, and not the result of speculative positioning to take advantage of short-term market opportunities. For example, the business model of life insurance and retirement savings is to collect premiums or deposits from policyholders and invest the proceeds in predominantly long-term, credit based assets. A spread is earned over time between the asset yield and the cost payable to policyholders. The asset and liability profiles are managed so that the cash flows resulting from invested assets are sufficient to meet policyholder obligations when they become due without the need to sell assets prematurely into a potentially distressed market. In periods of severe market volatility, depressed and illiquid market values on otherwise performing investments diminish shareholders' equity even without the realization of actual credit event related losses. Such diminution of capital strength has caused downward pressure on the market's assessment of the financial strength and the credit ratings of insurers.

AIG's market exposures can be categorized as follows:

- *Benchmark interest rates.* Benchmark interest rates are also known as risk-free interest rates and are associated with either the government/treasury yield curve or the swap curve. The fair value of AIG's significant fixed maturity securities portfolio changes as benchmark interest rates change.

- *Credit spread or risk premium.* Credit spread risk is the potential for loss due to a change in an instrument's risk premium or yield relative to that of a comparable-duration, default-free instrument.

- *Equity and alternative investment prices.* AIG's exposure to equity and alternative investment prices arises from direct investments in common stocks and mutual funds, from minimum benefit guarantees embedded in the structure of certain variable annuity and variable life insurance products and from other equity-like investments, such as hedge funds and private equity funds, private equity investments, commercial real estate and real estate funds.

- *Foreign currency exchange rates.* AIG is a globally diversified enterprise with significant income, assets and liabilities denominated in, and significant capital deployed in, a variety of currencies.

Table of Contents

AIG uses a number of measures and approaches to measure and quantify its market risk exposure, including:

Duration/key rate duration. Duration is the measure of the sensitivities of a fixed-income instrument to the parallel shift in the benchmark yield curve. Key rate duration measures sensitivities to the movement at a given term point on the yield curve.

Scenario analysis. Scenario analysis uses historical, hypothetical, or forward-looking macroeconomic scenarios to assess and report exposures. Examples of hypothetical scenarios include a 100 basis point parallel shift in the yield curve or a 10 percent immediate and simultaneous decrease in world-wide equity markets.

Stress testing. Stress testing is a special form of scenario analysis whereby the scenarios used are designed to lead to a material adverse outcome (for example, the stock market crash of October 1987 or the widening of yields or spread of RMBS or CMBS during 2008). Stress testing is often used to address Value-at-Risk (VaR) shortcomings and complement VaR calculations. Particularly in times of significant volatility in financial markets, using stress scenarios provides more pertinent and forward-looking information on market risk exposure than VaR results based upon historical data alone.

VaR. VaR is a summary statistical measure that uses the estimated volatility and correlation of market factors to calculate the maximum loss that could occur over a defined period of time with a specified level of statistical confidence. VaR measures not only the size of individual exposures but also the interaction between different market exposures, thereby providing a portfolio approach to measuring market risk. A key shortcoming of the VaR approach is its reliance on historical data, making VaR calculations essentially "backward looking." This shortcoming was most evident during the recent credit crisis.

Insurance and Aircraft Leasing Sensitivities

The following table provides estimates of AIG's sensitivity to changes in yield curves, equity prices and foreign currency exchange rates:

As of December 31,	**Exposure**			**Effect**	
(dollars in millions)	**2011**	**2010***	**Sensitivity Factor**	**2011**	**2010**
Yield sensitive assets	**$ 326,200**	$ 308,900	100 bps parallel increase in all yield curves	**$ (15,800)**	$ (13,400)
Equity and alternative investments exposure	**$ 39,000**	$ 46,400	20% decline in stock prices and value of alternative investments	**$ (7,800)**	$ (9,300)
Foreign currency exchange rates net exposure	**$ 5,900**	$ 3,400	10% depreciation of all foreign currency exchange rates against the U.S. dollar	**$ (590)**	$ (340)

* *All figures and periods have been adjusted to reflect the divestitures of AIG Star, AIG Edison, and Nan Shan, which occurred in 2011.*

Exposures to yield curves include assets that are directly sensitive to yield curve movements, such as fixed maturity securities, loans, finance receivables and short-term investments (excluding consolidated separate account assets). Exposures to equity and alternative investment prices include investments in common stocks, preferred stocks, mutual funds, hedge funds, private equity funds, commercial real estate and real estate funds (excluding consolidated separate account assets and consolidated managed partnerships and funds). Exposures to foreign currency exchange rates reflect AIG's consolidated non-U.S. dollar net capital investments on a GAAP basis. Comparisons of 2011 exposures to 2010 are as follows:

Total yield sensitive assets increased 5.6 percent or $17.3 billion compared to 2010, primarily due to an increase in fixed income assets of $34.9 billion. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in cash and other assets of $17.6 billion.

Total equity and alternative investments exposure decreased 15.9 percent or $7.4 billion compared to 2010, primarily due to: AIG's sale of MetLife equity securities ($6.5 billion) as well as decreases in mutual fund values ($1.5 billion), real estate investments ($416 million) and private equity funds and hedge funds values

($247 million). The decrease was partially offset by increases in other equity investments ($1.0 billion) and common equity securities ($247 million).

Foreign currency exchange rates net exposure increased 73.5 percent or $2.5 billion compared to 2010, primarily due to: unrealized appreciation of $1.3 billion from certain foreign-denominated equity holdings; goodwill translation adjustment of $812 million; and a reduction in foreign-denominated debt outstanding of $463 million. The increase was partially offset by a net decrease in all other currencies of $65 million.

The above sensitivities of a 100 basis point increase in yield curves, a 20 percent decline in equities and alternative assets, and a 10 percent depreciation of all foreign currency exchange rates against the U.S. dollar were chosen solely for illustrative purposes. The selection of these specific events should not be construed as a prediction, but only as a demonstration of the potential effects of such events. These scenarios should not be construed as the only risks AIG faces; these events are shown as an indication of several possible losses AIG could experience. In addition, losses from these and other risks could be materially higher than illustrated.

The sensitivity factors utilized for 2011 and presented above were selected based on historical data from 1991 to 2011, as follows (see the table below):

a 100 basis point parallel shift in the yield curve is broadly consistent with a one standard deviation movement of the benchmark ten-year treasury yield;

a 20 percent drop for equity and alternative investments is broadly consistent with a one standard deviation movement in the S&P 500; and

a 10 percent depreciation of foreign currency exchange rates is consistent with a one standard deviation movement in the U.S. dollar (USD)/Japanese Yen (JPY) exchange rate.

	Period	Standard Deviation	Suggested 2011 Scenario	2011 Scenario as a Multiple of Standard Deviation	2011 Change/ Return	2011 as a Multiple of Standard Deviation	Original 2010 Scenario (based on Standard Deviation for 1990-2010 Period)
10-Year Treasury	1991-2011	0.01	0.01	0.98	(0.01)	1.39	0.01
S&P 500	1991-2011	0.19	0.20	1.07	-	-	0.20
USD/JPY	1991-2011	0.11	0.10	0.92	0.05	0.50	0.10

OPERATIONAL RISK MANAGEMENT

AIG's Operational Risk Management department (ORM) oversees AIG's operational risk management practices. ORM is responsible for establishing and maintaining the framework, principles and guidelines of AIG's operational risk management program.

Each business unit is responsible for its operational risks and implementing the components of the operational risk management program to effectively identify, assess, monitor and mitigate such risks. This responsibility includes developing and implementing policies, procedures, management oversight processes, and other governance-related activities consistent with AIG's overall operational risk management process.

Senior operational risk executives in the businesses report to the Head of AIG ORM and to business management. This reporting structure facilitates development of business-specific knowledge of operational risk matters, while at the same time maintaining company-wide consistency in AIG's overall approach to operational risk management.

A strong operational risk management program facilitates escalation and resolution of operational risk issues. In order to accomplish this, AIG's operational risk management program is designed to:

- pro-actively address potential operational risk issues;
- create transparency at all levels of the organization; and
- assign clear ownership and accountability for addressing identified issues.

Table of Contents

As part of the operational risk management framework, AIG has implemented a risk and control self assessment (RCSA) process. The RCSA process is used to identify key operational risks and evaluate the effectiveness of existing controls to mitigate those risks. Corrective action plans are developed to address any identified issues. Business units continue to enhance their RCSA processes to perform more robust risk assessments.

The Operational Risk Management Forum, a company-wide governance body comprised of senior ORM executives, including the senior business operational risk executives, meets regularly and provides a forum for approving operational risk policies and ensuring effectiveness of the operational risk management program.

BUSINESS UNIT RISK MANAGEMENT

Other than as described above, AIG manages its business risk oversight activities through its operating segments.

Insurance Operations

AIG's multiple insurance businesses conducted on a global basis expose AIG to a wide variety of risks with different time horizons. These risks are managed throughout the organization, both centrally and locally, through a number of procedures, including:

- pre-launch approval of product design, development and distribution;
- underwriting approval processes and authorities;
- exposure limits with ongoing monitoring;
- modeling and reporting of aggregations and limit concentrations at multiple levels (policy, line of business, product group, country, individual/group, correlation and catastrophic risk events);
- compliance with financial reporting and capital and solvency targets;
- extensive use of reinsurance, both internal and third-party; and
- review and establishment of reserves.

AIG closely manages insurance risk by overseeing and controlling the nature and geographic location of the risks in each line of business underwritten, the terms and conditions of the underwriting and the premiums charged for taking on the risk. Concentrations of risk, including, but not limited to, wind, flood, earthquake, terrorism and accident are analyzed using various modeling techniques.

AIG has two major categories of insurance risks as follows:

- *Property and casualty (Chartis and Mortgage Guaranty)* risks covered include property, casualty, fidelity/surety, management liability and mortgage insurance. Risks in the general insurance segment are managed through aggregations and limitations of concentrations at multiple levels: policy, line of business, correlation and catastrophic risk events. Risks in the mortgage insurance business are managed through geographic location of the insured properties, the relative economic conditions in the local housing markets, credit attributes of the borrowers, and the loan amount relative to the value of the respective collateral.

Domestic Life Insurance & Retirement Service (SunAmerica) risks include mortality and morbidity in the insurance-oriented products and insufficient cash flows to cover contract liabilities in the retirement savings-oriented products. Risks are managed through product design, sound medical underwriting, external traditional reinsurance programs and external catastrophe reinsurance programs.

AIG is a major purchaser of reinsurance for its insurance operations. The use of reinsurance facilitates insurance risk management (retention, volatility, concentrations) and capital planning locally (branch and subsidiary). AIG may purchase reinsurance on a pooled basis. Pooling of AIG's reinsurance risks enables AIG to purchase reinsurance more efficiently at a consolidated level, manage global counterparty risk and relationships and manage global catastrophe risks, both for Chartis and SunAmerica.

Table of Contents

Chartis

At Chartis, underwriting risks are managed through its risk review and selection processes, exposure limitations, exclusions, deductibles, self-insured retentions, coverage limits and reinsurance. The risks covered by AIG are managed through sound underwriting practices, pricing procedures and the use of actuarial analysis as part of the determination of overall adequacy of provisions for insurance. Underwriting practices and pricing procedures are based on historical experience, current regulation and judicial decisions as well as proposed or anticipated regulatory changes.

Climate change and related regulatory initiatives may increase both the frequency and severity of claims or the cost of defending such claims. Chartis policies are primarily written for periods of 12 months, providing Chartis with the ability to modify underwriting practices and pricing procedures; limiting the financial impact of such increase in claims. Each line of business and many individual policyholders may have different exposures to the effects of climate change.

A primary goal of AIG in managing its Chartis operations is to achieve an underwriting profit. To achieve this goal, AIG must be disciplined in its risk selection, premiums must be adequate, and terms and conditions must be appropriate to cover the risk accepted.

Catastrophe Exposures

The nature of AIG's business exposes it to various catastrophic events in which multiple losses across multiple lines of business can occur in any calendar year. In order to control this exposure, AIG uses a combination of techniques, including setting aggregate limits in key business units, monitoring and modeling accumulated exposures, and purchasing catastrophe reinsurance to supplement its other reinsurance protections. The majority of policies exposed to catastrophic events are one-year contracts allowing AIG to quickly adjust its exposure to catastrophic events if climate changes or other events increase the frequency or severity of catastrophes.

Natural disasters, such as hurricanes, earthquakes and other catastrophes, have the potential to adversely affect AIG's operating results. Other risks, such as a pandemic disease, like the Swine Flu Influenza A Virus (H1N1), could adversely affect AIG's business and operating results to the extent they are only partially offset by reinsurance programs.

AIG evaluates catastrophic events and assesses the probability of occurrence and magnitude of catastrophic events through the use of industry recognized models, among other techniques. AIG updates these models by periodically monitoring the exposure risks of AIG's worldwide Chartis operations and adjusting such models accordingly.

Following is an overview of modeled losses for Chartis exposure associated with the more significant natural perils. The modeled results assume that all reinsurers fulfill their obligations to AIG in accordance with their terms.

Chartis utilizes industry recognized catastrophe models. The use of different methodologies and assumptions could materially change the projected losses. Therefore, these modeled losses may not be comparable to estimates made by other companies. These estimates are inherently uncertain and may not reflect AIG's maximum exposures to these events. It is highly likely that AIG's losses will vary, perhaps significantly, from these estimates.

The modeled results provided in the table below were based on the aggregate exceedence probability (AEP) losses, which represent total property, workers' compensation, and A&H losses that may occur in any single year from one or more natural events. The A&H data include exposures for United States and Japan earthquakes. These exposures represent the largest share of A&H exposures to earthquakes. A&H losses were modeled using April 2010 data. The property exposures were modeled with data as of September 2011. All reinsurance program structures, domestic and international, reflect the reinsurance programs in place as of January 1, 2012. The values

Table of Contents

provided were based on 100-year return period losses, which have a one percent likelihood of being exceeded in any single year. Losses include loss adjustment expenses and the net values include reinstatement premiums.

At December 31, 2011 *(in millions)*	**Gross**	**Net of 2012 Reinsurance**	**Net of 2012 Reinsurance, After Tax**	**Percent of Total Equity**
Natural Peril:				
Earthquake	$ 6,810	$ 4,101	$ 2,666	3.05%
Tropical Cyclone*	$ 8,495	$ 5,215	$ 3,389	3.88%

*

Includes hurricanes, typhoons and European windstorms.

Gross earthquake and tropical cyclone modeled losses increased $511 million and $1.6 billion, respectively, compared to 2010, while net losses increased $406 million and $809 million, respectively, compared to 2010. These increases in both gross and net losses are primarily due to Risk Management Solutions (RMS) model changes, blending multiple model results (RMS, AIR Worldwide Corporation (AIR) and EQECAT, Inc.), data completeness and the implementation of a data quality index.

In addition to the return period loss, AIG evaluates potential single event earthquake and hurricane losses that may be incurred. The single events utilized are a subset of potential events identified and utilized by Lloyd's *(see Lloyd's Realistic Disaster Scenarios, Scenario Specifications, January 2012)* and referred to as Realistic Disaster Scenarios (RDS). The purpose of this analysis is to utilize these RDS to provide a reference frame and place into context the model results. However, it is important to note that the specific events used for this analysis do not necessarily represent the worst case loss that AIG could incur from this type of an event in these regions. The losses associated with the RDS are included in the following table.

Single-event modeled property and workers' compensation losses to AIG's worldwide portfolio of risk for key geographic areas are set forth below. Gross values represent AIG's liability after the application of policy limits and deductibles and net values represent losses after reinsurance is applied. The net losses also include reinsurance reinstatement premiums. Both gross and net losses include loss adjustment expenses.

At December 31, 2011 *(in millions)*	**Gross**	**Net of 2012 Reinsurance**
Natural Peril:		
Northeast Hurricane	$ 4,070	$ 2,726
Gulf Coast Hurricane	$ 5,475	$ 3,135
Los Angeles Earthquake	$ 6,216	$ 3,157
San Francisco Earthquake	$ 6,364	$ 3,810
Miami Hurricane	$ 4,977	$ 2,136
Japanese Earthquake	$ 1,455	$ 680
European Windstorm	$ 1,033	$ 502
Japanese Typhoon	$ 992	$ 447

AIG also monitors key international property risks utilizing industry recognized natural catastrophe models. Based on the occurrence exceedance probabilities, the 100-year return period loss for Japanese Earthquake is $976 million gross and $514 million net; the 100-year return period loss for European Windstorm is $967 million gross and $612 million net; and the 100-year return period loss for Japanese Typhoon is $1.4 billion gross and $680 million net.

ACTUAL RESULTS IN ANY PERIOD ARE LIKELY TO VARY, PERHAPS MATERIALLY, FROM THE MODELED SCENARIOS, AND THE OCCURRENCE OF ONE OR MORE SEVERE EVENTS COULD HAVE A MATERIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON AIG'S

FINANCIAL CONDITION, RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND LIQUIDITY.

Table of Contents

Terrorism

Exposure to loss from terrorist attack is controlled by limiting the aggregate accumulation of workers' compensation and property insurance that is underwritten within defined target locations. Modeling is used to provide projections of PML by target location based upon the actual exposures of AIG policyholders.

Terrorism risk is monitored to manage AIG's exposure. AIG shares its exposures to terrorism risks under the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007 (TRIPRA). During 2011, AIG's deductible under TRIPRA was approximately $2.9 billion, with a 15 percent share of certified terrorism losses in excess of the deductible. As of January 1, 2012, the deductible was approximately $3 billion, with a 15 percent share of certified terrorism losses in excess of the deductible.

Reinsurance

AIG uses reinsurance programs for its insurance risks as follows:

- facultative agreements to cover large individual exposures;
- quota share treaties to cover specific books of business;
- excess-of-loss treaties to cover large losses;
- excess or surplus automatic treaties to cover individual life risks in excess of stated per-life retention limits; and
- catastrophe treaties to cover specific catastrophes, including earthquake, windstorm and flood.

AIG monitors its exposures to natural catastrophes and takes corrective actions to limit its exposure with respect to particular geographic areas, companies, or perils.

The Reinsurance Credit Department (RCD) conducts periodic detailed assessments of the financial strength and condition of current and potential reinsurers, both foreign and domestic. The RCD monitors both the nature of the risks ceded to the reinsurers and the aggregation of total reinsurance recoverables ceded to reinsurers. Such assessments may include, but are not limited to: identifying whether a reinsurer is appropriately licensed; has sufficient financial capacity and liquidity; and an evaluation of the local economic and financial environment in which a foreign reinsurer operates.

The RCD reviews the nature of the risks and the need for credit risk mitigants or covenants. For example, in AIG's treaty reinsurance contracts, AIG frequently includes provisions that require a reinsurer to post collateral when a referenced event occurs. Furthermore, AIG limits its unsecured exposure to reinsurers through the use of credit triggers which include, but are not limited to, insurer financial strength rating downgrades, declines in statutory surplus below pre-determined levels, decreases in NAIC risk-based capital (RBC) below certain levels, or setting maximum limits for reinsurance recoverable exposure, which in some cases is the recoverable amount plus the largest single peril limit for a reinsurer. In addition, AIG's credit executives within corporate ERM review all reinsurer exposures and credit limits and approves most large reinsurer credit limits above pre-set limits that represent actual or potential credit concentrations. AIG believes that no exposure to a single reinsurer represents an inappropriate concentration of risk to AIG, nor is AIG's business substantially dependent upon any single reinsurance contract.

AIG enters into intercompany reinsurance transactions for its Chartis and SunAmerica operations. AIG enters into these transactions as a sound and prudent business practice in order to maintain underwriting control and spread insurance risk among AIG's various insurance company subsidiaries and to take advantage of economies of scale with external reinsurers. When required for statutory recognition, AIG obtains letters of credit from third-party financial institutions to collateralize these intercompany transactions. A portion of the approximately $1.5 billion of letters of credit issued in favor of Chartis companies as at December 31, 2011, secures such intercompany transactions.

Although reinsurance arrangements do not relieve AIG subsidiaries from their direct obligations to insureds, an efficient and effective reinsurance program substantially mitigates AIG's exposure to potentially significant losses.

AIG continually evaluates the reinsurance markets and the relative attractiveness of various arrangements for coverage, including structures such as catastrophe bonds, insurance risk securitizations, "sidecars" and similar vehicles.

Reinsurance Recoverable

Chartis' reinsurance recoverable assets are comprised of:

- balances due from reinsurers for indemnity losses and loss expenses billed to, but not yet collected from, reinsurers (collectively, Paid Losses Recoverable);
- ultimate ceded reserves for indemnity losses and expenses, including reserves for claims reported but not yet paid and estimates for IBNR (collectively, Ceded Loss Reserves); and
- ceded reserves for Unearned Premiums.

At December 31, 2011, total reinsurance recoverable assets of $27.2 billion include general reinsurance Paid Losses Recoverable of $1.5 billion, Ceded Loss Reserves of $20.3 billion, and ceded reserves for Unearned Premiums of $3.9 billion, as well as life reinsurance recoverables of $1.5 billion. The methods used to estimate IBNR and to establish the resulting ultimate losses involve projecting the frequency and severity of losses over multiple years and are continually reviewed and updated by management. Any adjustments are reflected in income. It is AIG's belief that the ceded reserves for losses and loss expenses at December 31, 2011 reflect a reasonable estimate of the ultimate losses recoverable. Actual losses may, however, differ from the reserves currently ceded.

AIG manages the credit risk in its reinsurance relationships by transacting with reinsurers that it considers financially sound, providing for appropriate credit limits and diversification and, when necessary, AIG requires reinsurers to post collateral in the form of funds withheld, securities in reinsurance trust accounts and/or irrevocable letters of credit. This collateral can be drawn on for amounts that remain unpaid on an individual reinsurer basis. At December 31, 2011, approximately 57 percent of the reinsurance assets were from unauthorized reinsurers. The terms authorized and unauthorized pertain to regulatory categories, not creditworthiness. More than 51 percent of these balances were collateralized, permitting statutory recognition. The remaining 43 percent of the reinsurance assets were from authorized reinsurers. At December 31, 2011, approximately 88 percent of the balances with respect to authorized reinsurers are from reinsurers rated A (excellent) or better, as rated by A.M. Best, or A (strong) or better, as rated by S&P. These ratings are measures of financial strength.

The following table presents information for each reinsurer representing in excess of five percent of AIG's total General Reinsurance Recoverable assets:

At December 31, 2011 *(in millions)*	S&P Rating[(a)]	A.M. Best Rating[(a)]	Gross Reinsurance Assets	Percent of Reinsurance Assets[(c)]	Collateral Held[(b)]	Uncollateralized Reinsurance Assets
Reinsurer:						
Berkshire Hathaway Group of Companies	AA+	A++	$ 2,368	8.7%	$ 20	$ 2,348
Munich Reinsurance Group of Companies	AA-	A+	$ 1,761	6.5%	$ 642	$ 1,119
Swiss Reinsurance Group of Companies	AA-	A+	$ 1,498	5.5%	$ 593	$ 905

(a)

The financial strength ratings reflect the ratings of the various reinsurance subsidiaries of the companies listed as of February 13, 2012.

(b)
Excludes collateral held in excess of applicable treaty balances.

(c)
Total Reinsurance Assets include general and life reinsurance recoverable.

The estimation of general reinsurance recoverable involves a significant amount of judgment, particularly for asbestos exposures, due to their long-tail nature. Chartis assesses the collectability of its general reinsurance recoverable balances through detailed reviews of the underlying nature of the reinsurance balance, including paid

Table of Contents

and unpaid recoverables, whether the balance is in dispute or a legal collection status, whether the reinsurer is financially troubled (i.e., liquidated, insolvent, in receivership or otherwise subject to formal or informal regulatory restriction), whether collateral and collateral arrangements exist, and the credit quality of the underlying reinsurer. Detailed reviews of the underlying receivables are particularly important when assessing recoverables attributable to long-tail exposures. Under the terms and conditions of the reinsurance agreement between Chartis and NICO, NICO assumed the collection risk on Chartis' third party reinsurance recoverables related to the covered asbestos risks. Adjustments to reflect the results of the detailed review are recorded through an allowance for uncollectable general reinsurance. At December 31, 2011, the allowance for estimated unrecoverable general reinsurance was $365 million. At December 31, 2011, AIG had no significant general reinsurance recoverables due from any individual reinsurer that was financially troubled. In the current environment of weaker economic conditions and strained financial markets, certain reinsurers are reporting losses and could be subject to rating downgrades. AIG's general reinsurance recoverable exposures are primarily to the regulated subsidiaries of such companies which are subject to minimum regulatory capital requirements. The RCD, in conjunction with AIG credit executives within corporate ERM, is reviewing these developments, is monitoring compliance with credit triggers that may require the reinsurer to post collateral, and, as appropriate, will seek to use other means to mitigate any material risks arising from these developments.

SunAmerica

For SunAmerica, the primary risks are the following:

- Pricing risk, which represents the potential exposure to loss resulting from actual policy experience emerging adversely in comparison to the assumptions made in product pricing associated with investment results, mortality, morbidity, surrenders and expenses;

- Investment risk, which represents the exposure to loss resulting from the cash flows from the invested assets being less than cash flows required to meet the obligations of the expected policy and contract liabilities and the necessary return on investments;

- Interest rate risk, which represents the exposure to loss due to the sensitivity of the liabilities and assets to changes in interest rates; and

- Equity market risk, which represents the potential exposure to higher claim costs for guaranteed benefits associated with variable annuities and the potential reduction in expected fee revenue.

SunAmerica's businesses manage these risks through product design, exposure limitations and the active management of the asset-liability relationship in their operations. The emergence of significant adverse experience would require an adjustment to DAC and benefit reserves that could have a material adverse effect on AIG's consolidated results of operations for a particular period. For a further discussion of this risk, see Item 1A. Risk Factors Adjustments to Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs and Future Policy Benefits.

SunAmerica companies generally limit their maximum underwriting exposure on life insurance of a single life to $15 million or less of coverage, in certain circumstances by using yearly renewable term reinsurance. For SunAmerica companies, the reinsurance programs provide risk mitigation per life for individuals and group and for catastrophic risk events.

Aircraft Leasing

AIG's Aircraft Leasing operations represent the operations of ILFC, which generates its revenues primarily from leasing new and used commercial jet aircraft to foreign and domestic airlines. Aircraft Leasing operations also include gains and losses that result from the re-marketing of commercial jet aircraft for ILFC's own account and re-marketing and fleet management services for airlines and financial institutions. Risks inherent in this business, which are managed at the business unit level, include the following:

the risk that there will be no market for the aircraft acquired;

the risk that aircraft cannot be placed with lessees;

Table of Contents

the risk of non-performance by lessees;

the risk that aircraft and related assets cannot be disposed of at the time and in a manner desired; and

the risk of losses on sales or impairment charges and fair value adjustments on older aircraft.

The airline industry is sensitive to changes in economic conditions and is cyclical and highly competitive. Airlines and related companies may be affected by political or economic instability, terrorist activities, changes in national policy, competitive pressures, fuel prices and shortages, labor stoppages, pilot shortages, insurance costs, recessions, health concerns and other political or economic events adversely affecting world or regional trading markets.

ILFC's revenues and pre-tax income may be adversely affected by the volatile competitive environment in which its customers operate. ILFC is exposed to pre-tax loss and liquidity strain through non-performance of aircraft lessees, through owning aircraft which it may be unable to sell or re-lease at acceptable rates at lease expiration, and, in part, through committing to purchase aircraft which it may be unable to lease.

As part of its ongoing fleet strategy, ILFC may pursue the sale or part-out of aircraft while balancing the need for funds with the long-term value of holding aircraft and other financing alternatives. Significant uncertainties could exist as to the aircraft comprising any actual sale portfolio, the terms of any sale portfolio (including price), and whether any portfolio sale will be approved. See Capital Resources and Liquidity Liquidity of Parent and Subsidiaries Aircraft Leasing herein for further discussion.

To date ILFC manages the risk of nonperformance by its lessees with security deposit requirements, repossession rights, overhaul requirements and close monitoring of industry conditions through its marketing force. More than 94 percent of ILFC's lease revenue came from non-U.S. carriers, and its fleet continues to be in high demand from such carriers.

ILFC's management formally reviews regularly, and no less frequently than quarterly, issues affecting ILFC's fleet, including events and circumstances that may cause impairment of aircraft values. Management evaluates aircraft in the fleet as necessary based on these events and circumstances. As new and more fuel-efficient aircraft enter the marketplace and negatively affect the demand for older aircraft, lease rates on older aircraft may deteriorate and ILFC may incur additional losses on sales or record impairment charges and fair value adjustments. ILFC recognized asset impairment charges and fair value adjustments related to its fleet in 2011, 2010 and 2009 of $1.7 billion, $1.6 billion and $51 million, respectively.

Other Operations

Global Capital Markets

The active wind-down of the AIGFP derivatives portfolio was completed by the end of the second quarter of 2011. As a consequence of its wind-down strategy, AIGFP is entering into new derivative transactions only to hedge its current portfolio. Prior to the wind-down, AIGFP engaged as principal in a wide variety of financial transactions, including standard and customized financial products involving commodities, credit, currencies, energy, equities and interest rates.

Historically, AIGFP derived a significant portion of its revenues from hedged financial positions entered into in connection with counterparty transactions. Prior to the wind-down, AIGFP also participated as a dealer in a wide variety of financial derivatives transactions.

The senior management of AIG defines the policies and establishes general operating parameters for AIGFP's operations. AIG's senior management has established various oversight committees to monitor on an ongoing basis the various financial market, operational and credit risk attendant to AIGFP's operations. The senior management of AIGFP reports the results of its operations to and reviews future strategies with AIG's senior management.

AIGFP actively manages its exposures to limit potential economic losses, and in doing so, AIGFP must continually manage a variety of exposures including credit, market, liquidity, operational and legal risks.

Table of Contents

AIGFP Derivative Transactions

A counterparty may default on any obligation to AIG, including a derivative contract. Credit risk is a consequence of extending credit and/or carrying trading and investment positions. Credit risk exists for a derivative contract when that contract has a positive fair value to AIG. The maximum potential exposure will increase or decrease during the life of the derivative commitments as a function of maturity and market conditions. To help manage this risk, AIGFP's credit department operates within the guidelines set by the CRM. Transactions that fall outside these pre-established guidelines require the specific approval of the CRM. It is also AIG's policy to record credit valuation adjustments for potential counterparty default when necessary.

In addition, AIGFP utilizes various credit enhancements, including letters of credit, guarantees, collateral, credit triggers, credit derivatives, margin agreements and subordination to reduce the credit risk relating to its outstanding financial derivative transactions. AIGFP requires credit enhancements in connection with specific transactions based on, among other things, the creditworthiness of the counterparties, and the transaction's size and maturity. Furthermore, AIGFP generally seeks to enter into agreements that have the benefit of set-off and close-out netting provisions. These provisions provide that, in the case of an early termination of a transaction, AIGFP can set off its receivables from a counterparty against its payables to the same counterparty arising out of all covered transactions. As a result, where a legally enforceable netting agreement exists, the fair value of the transaction with the counterparty represents the net sum of estimated fair values. The fair value of AIGFP's interest rate, currency, commodity and equity swaps, options, swaptions, and forward commitments, futures, and forward contracts reported in Derivative assets, at fair value, was approximately $3.8 billion at December 31, 2011 and $4.8 billion at December 31, 2010. Where applicable, these amounts have been determined in accordance with the respective master netting agreements.

AIGFP evaluates the counterparty credit quality by reference to ratings from rating agencies or, where such ratings are not available, by internal analysis consistent with the risk rating policies of the CRM. In addition, AIGFP's credit approval process involves pre-set counterparty and country credit exposure limits subject to approval by the CRM and, for particularly credit-intensive transactions, requires approval from the CRM.

The following table presents the fair value of AIGFP's derivatives portfolios by counterparty credit rating:

At December 31, ***(in millions)***	**2011**	**2010**
Rating:		
AAA	**$ 260**	$ 310
AA	**58**	885
A	**1,156**	1,170
BBB	**2,081**	1,625
Below investment grade	**247**	795
Total	**$ 3,802**	$ 4,785

See Critical Accounting Estimates below and Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion related to derivative transactions.

AIGFP Trading VaR

AIGFP attempts to minimize risk in benchmark interest rates, equities, commodities and foreign exchange. Market exposures in option-implied volatilities, correlations and basis risks are also managed over time.

AIGFP's minimal reliance on market risk-driven revenue is reflected in its VaR. AIGFP's VaR calculation is based on the interest rate, equity, commodity and foreign exchange risk arising from its portfolio. Credit-related factors, such as credit spreads or credit default, are not included in AIGFP's VaR calculation. Because the non-credit market risk with respect to securities available for sale, at market, is substantially hedged, segregation of the financial instruments into trading and other than trading was not considered necessary. AIGFP operates under established market risk limits based upon this VaR calculation. In addition, AIGFP back-tests its VaR.

Table of Contents

In the calculation of VaR for AIGFP, AIG uses the historical simulation methodology based on estimated changes to the value of all transactions under explicit changes in market rates and prices within a specific historical time period. AIGFP attempts to secure reliable and independent current market prices, such as published exchange prices, external subscription services, such as Bloomberg or Reuters, or third-party or broker quotes. When such prices are not available, AIGFP uses an internal methodology that includes extrapolation from observable and verifiable prices nearest to the dates of the transactions. Historically, actual results have not deviated from these models in any material respect.

AIGFP reports its VaR level using a 95 percent confidence level and a one-day holding period, facilitating risk comparison with AIGFP's trading peers and reflecting the fact that market risks can be actively assumed and offset in AIGFP's trading portfolio.

The following table presents the year-end, average, high, and low VaRs on a diversified basis and of each component of market risk for AIGFP's operations. The diversified VaR is usually smaller than the sum of its components due to correlation effects.

(in millions)	**At December 31, 2011**	**For the Year Ended December 31, 2011**			**At December 31, 2010**	**For the Year Ended December 31, 2010**		
		Average	**High**	**Low**		**Average**	**High**	**Low**
AIGFP trading market risk:								
Diversified	**$ 1**	**$ 1**	**$ 1**	**$ 1**	$ 1	$ 2	$ 3	$ 1
Interest rate	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	1	2	3	1
Currency	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	-	-	1	-
Equity	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	-	-	2	-

See Critical Accounting Estimates Fair Value Measurements of Certain Financial Assets and Liabilities Level 3 Assets and Liabilities for a comprehensive discussion of AIGFP's super senior credit default swap portfolio.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires the application of accounting policies that often involve a significant degree of judgment. AIG considers its accounting policies that are most dependent on the application of estimates and assumptions, and therefore viewed as critical accounting estimates, to be those relating to items considered by management in the determination of:

- estimates with respect to income taxes, including recoverability of the deferred tax asset and the predictability of future operating profitability of the character necessary to realize the deferred tax asset;
- recoverability of assets, including deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC), flight equipment, and reinsurance;
- insurance liabilities, including general insurance unpaid claims and claims adjustment expenses and future policy benefits for life and accident and health contracts;
- estimated gross profits for investment-oriented products;
- impairment charges, including other-than-temporary impairments on financial instruments and goodwill impairments;
- liabilities for legal contingencies; and

fair value measurements of certain financial assets and liabilities, including CDS and AIG's economic interest in ML II and equity interest in ML III.

These accounting estimates require the use of assumptions about matters, some of which are highly uncertain at the time of estimation. To the extent actual experience differs from the assumptions used, AIG's financial condition and results of operations would be directly affected.

Table of Contents

The major categories for which assumptions are developed and used to establish each critical accounting estimate are highlighted below.

RECOVERABILITY OF DEFERRED TAX ASSET:

AIG considers the recoverability of its deferred tax asset to be a critical accounting estimate. The evaluation of the recoverability of the deferred tax asset and the need for a valuation allowance requires AIG to weigh all positive and negative evidence to reach a conclusion that it is more likely than not that all or some portion of the deferred tax asset will be realized. The weight given to the evidence is commensurate with the extent to which it can be objectively verified. The more negative evidence that exists, the more positive evidence is necessary and the more difficult it is to support a conclusion that a valuation allowance is not needed.

AIG's framework for assessing the recoverability of deferred tax assets weighs the sustainability of recent operating profitability, the predictability of future operating profitability of the character necessary to realize the deferred tax assets, and AIG's emergence from cumulative losses in recent years. The framework requires AIG to consider all available evidence, including:

- the nature, frequency, and severity of cumulative financial reporting losses in recent years;
- the sustainability of recent operating profitability of AIG's subsidiaries in various tax jurisdictions;
- the predictability of future operating profitability of the character necessary to realize the net deferred tax asset;
- the carryforward periods for the net operating loss (NOL), capital loss and foreign tax credit (FTC) carryforwards, including the effect of reversing taxable temporary differences; and,
- prudent and feasible tax planning strategies that would be implemented, if necessary, to protect against the loss of the deferred tax assets.

During 2011, AIG experienced significant favorable developments, including the completion of the Recapitalization in January 2011, the wind-down of AIGFP's portfolios, the sale of certain businesses, emergence from cumulative losses in recent years and a return to sustainable operating profits within its primary operations. During 2011, AIG's level of profitability, excluding the $3.3 billion loss on extinguishment of debt in January, confirmed its return to sustainable operating profit for the full year. This, together with the emergence from cumulative losses in recent years and projections of sufficient future taxable income, represents significant positive evidence. As of December 31, 2011, the cumulative positive evidence outweighed the historical negative evidence regarding the likelihood that the deferred tax asset for AIG's U.S. consolidated income tax group (other than the life-insurance-business capital loss carryforwards) will be realized. This assessment was evidenced by AIG meeting all of the criteria in its framework, resulting in its conclusion that $16.6 billion of the deferred tax asset valuation allowance for AIG's U.S. consolidated income tax group should be released in 2011. The life-insurance-business capital loss carryforwards may be realized in the future if and when, either capital gains are realized or when prudent and feasible tax planning strategies are identified that result in an assessment that the life-insurance-business capital loss carryforwards will be realized on a more-likely-than-not basis prior to the expiration of such capital loss carryforwards.

In order to demonstrate the predictability and sufficiency of future taxable income necessary to support the realizability of the NOLs, FTCs and nonlife capital loss carryforwards related to the $16.6 billion valuation allowance release, AIG considered its forecasts of future income for each of its businesses using comparisons to historical results, and actual and planned business and operational changes, which included assumptions about future macroeconomic and AIG-specific conditions and events. AIG also subjected the forecasts to stresses (considering various adverse AIG- specific and macro-economic risks) of key assumptions and evaluated the effect on tax attribute utilization. Management also stressed its assumptions related to the effectiveness of relevant prudent and feasible tax planning strategies. AIG's income forecasts coupled with its tax planning strategies (as well as stressed scenarios), all resulted in sufficient taxable income to achieve realization of the tax attributes (other than life-insurance-business capital loss carryforwards) prior to their expiration.

Table of Contents

U.S. INCOME TAXES ON EARNINGS OF CERTAIN FOREIGN SUBSIDIARIES:

Due to the complexity of the U.S. federal income tax laws involved in determining the amount of income taxes related to differences between book carrying value and tax basis of subsidiaries, as well as the level of judgment and reliance on reasonable assumptions and estimates in calculating this liability, AIG considers the U.S. federal income taxes accrued on the earnings of certain foreign subsidiaries to be a critical accounting estimate.

DEFERRED POLICY ACQUISITION COSTS SHORT DURATION (CHARTIS AND MORTGAGE GUARANTY):

Recoverability of DAC is based on the current terms and profitability of the underlying insurance contracts. Policy acquisition costs are deferred and amortized over the period in which the related premiums written are earned, generally 12 months for short-duration insurance contracts. DAC is grouped consistent with the manner in which the insurance contracts are acquired, serviced and measured for profitability and is reviewed for recoverability based on the profitability of the underlying insurance contracts. AIG assesses the recoverability of its DAC on an annual basis or more frequently if circumstances indicate an impairment may have occurred. This assessment is performed by comparing recorded unearned premium to the sum of expected claims, claims adjustment expenses, anticipated maintenance costs and unamortized DAC. If the sum of these costs exceeds the amount of recorded unearned premium, the excess is recognized as an offset against the asset established for DAC. This offset is referred to as a premium deficiency charge. Investment income is not anticipated in assessing the recoverability of DAC. Increases in expected claims and claims adjustment expenses can have a significant impact on the likelihood and amount of a premium deficiency charge.

Management tested the recoverability of DAC and determined that recorded unearned premiums of its Chartis domestic and international operations exceeded the sum of these costs at December 31, 2011, by 1 percent and 19 percent, respectively, and, therefore, the DAC of these operations was considered to be recoverable. Short-duration DAC for Chartis domestic and international operations amounted to $1.7 billion and $1.8 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2011.

FLIGHT EQUIPMENT RECOVERABILITY (AIRCRAFT LEASING):

Expected undiscounted future net cash flows: based upon current lease rates, projected future lease rates and lease periods and estimated residual or disposal values of each aircraft based on expectations regarding the use of the aircraft and market participants.

LIABILITY FOR UNPAID CLAIMS AND CLAIMS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES (CHARTIS AND MORTGAGE GUARANTY):

AIG uses numerous assumptions in determining its best estimate of reserves for each class of business. The importance of any specific assumption can vary by both class of business and accident year. If actual experience differs from key assumptions used in establishing reserves, there is potential for significant variation in the development of loss reserves, particularly for long-tail casualty classes of business such as excess casualty, D&O or primary and excess workers' compensation. The key assumptions that could have a material impact on loss reserves are as follows:

- Loss trend factors, which are used to establish expected loss ratios for subsequent accident years based on the projected loss ratios for prior accident years.

- Expected loss ratios for the latest accident year (i.e., accident year 2011 for the year-end 2011 loss reserve analysis) and, in some cases for accident years prior to the latest accident year. The expected loss ratio generally reflects the projected loss ratio from prior accident years, adjusted for the loss trend (see above) and the effect of rate changes and other quantifiable factors on the loss ratio. For low-frequency, high-severity classes such as excess casualty, expected loss ratios generally are used for at least the three most recent accident years.

Table of Contents

Loss development factors, which are used to project the reported losses for each accident year to an ultimate basis. Generally, the actual loss development factors observed from prior accident years would be used as a basis to determine the loss development factors for the subsequent accident years.

The sensitivity analysis presented below addresses each major class of business for which a material deviation from AIG's overall reserve position is believed reasonably possible, and uses what AIG believes is a reasonably likely range of potential deviation for each class. There can be no assurance, however, that actual reserve development will be consistent with either the original or the adjusted loss trend or loss development factor assumptions, or that other assumptions made in the reserving process will not materially affect reserve development for a particular class of business.

The following table summarizes the sensitivity analyses that estimate the effect on the loss reserve position of using alternative loss cost trend or loss development factor assumptions rather than those actually used in determining AIG's estimates in the year-end loss reserve analyses in 2011.

December 31, 2011 *(in millions)*	**Effect on Loss Reserves**		**Effect on Loss Reserves**
Loss cost trends:		Loss development factors:	
Excess casualty:		**Excess casualty:**	
10 percent increase	$ 3,100	4.5 percent increase	$ 1,300
10 percent decrease	(2,400)	5.1 percent decrease	(1,200)
D&O:		**D&O:**	
20 percent increase	850	10 percent increase	750
15 percent decrease	(550)	7 percent decrease	(500)
Excess workers' compensation:		**Excess workers' compensation:**	
5 percent increase	400	Increase[(b)]	1,300
5 percent decrease	(250)	Decrease[(b)]	(850)
Primary workers' compensation[(a)]:		**Primary workers' compensation:**	
		7.2 percent increase	2,200
		3.4 percent decrease	(1,000)

(a) *Loss cost trend assumption does not have a material impact for this line of business.*

(b) *Percentages not applicable due to extremely long-tailed nature of workers' compensation.*

Alternative Loss Cost Trend and Loss Development Factor Assumptions by Class of Business

For classes of business other than the classes discussed below, there is generally some potential for deviation in both the loss cost trend and loss development factor assumptions. However, the effect of such deviations is expected to be less material when compared to the effect on the classes noted above and discussed below.

Loss Cost Trends: The percentage deviations noted in the table below are not considered the highest possible deviations that might be expected, but rather what is considered by AIG to reflect a reasonably likely range of potential deviation. Actual loss cost trends in the early 1990s were negative for several years whereas actual loss cost trends exceeded the figures cited above for several other years. Thus, there can be no assurance that loss trends will not deviate by more than amounts noted above and discussed below.

Loss Development Factors: The percentage deviations noted in the table below are not considered the highest possible deviations that might be expected, but rather what is considered by AIG to reflect a reasonably likely range of potential deviation. Except for excess workers' compensation, the assumed loss development factors are a key assumption. Generally, actual historical loss development factors are used to project future loss

Table of Contents

development. However there can be no assurance that future loss development patterns will be the same as in the past, or that they will not deviate by more than the amounts noted above and discussed below.

Class of Business	Loss Cost Trend	Loss Development Factor
Excess Casualty		
	The assumed loss cost trend was approximately five percent. After evaluating the historical loss cost trends from prior accident years since the early 1990s, in AIG's judgment, it is reasonably likely that actual loss cost trends applicable to the year-end 2011 loss reserve review for excess casualty will range from negative five percent to positive 15 percent, or approximately 10 percent lower or higher than the assumption actually utilized in the year-end 2011 reserve review. The loss cost trend assumption is critical for the excess casualty class of business due to the long-tail nature of the claims and therefore is applied across many accident years. Thus, there is the potential for the reserves with respect to a number of accident years to be significantly affected by changes in loss cost trends that were initially relied upon in setting the reserves. These changes in loss trends could be attributable to changes in inflation or in the judicial environment, or in other social or economic conditions affecting claims.	After evaluating the historical loss development factors from prior accident years since the early 1990s, in AIG's judgment, it is reasonably likely that actual loss development factors will range from approximately 5.1 percent below those actually utilized in the year-end 2011 reserve review to approximately 4.5 percent above those factors actually utilized. Excess casualty is a long-tail class of business and any deviation in loss development factors might not be discernible for an extended period of time subsequent to the recording of the initial loss reserve estimates for any accident year. Thus, there is the potential for the reserves with respect to a number of accident years to be significantly affected by changes in loss development factors that were initially relied upon in setting the reserves. These changes in loss development factors could be attributable to changes in inflation or in the judicial environment, or in other social or economic conditions affecting claims.
D&O and Related Management Liability Classes of Business		
	The assumed loss cost trend was approximately one percent. After evaluating the historical loss cost trends from prior accident years since the early 1990s, including the potential effect of recent claims relating to the credit crisis, in AIG's judgment, it is reasonably likely that actual loss cost trends applicable to the year-end 2011 loss reserve review for these classes will range from negative 14 percent to positive 21 percent, or approximately 15 percent lower or 20 percent higher than the assumption actually utilized in the year-end 2011 reserve review. Because the D&O class of business has exhibited highly volatile loss trends from one accident year to the next, there is the possibility of an exceptionally high deviation.	The assumed loss development factors are also an important assumption but less critical than for excess casualty. Because these classes are written on a claims made basis, the loss reporting and development tail is much shorter than for excess casualty. However, the high severity nature of the claims does create the potential for significant deviations in loss development patterns from one year to the next. After evaluating the historical loss development factors for these classes of business for accident years since the early 1990s, in AIG's judgment, it is reasonably likely that actual loss development factors will range from approximately 7 percent lower to 10 percent higher than those factors actually utilized in the year-end 2011 loss reserve review for these classes.

Class of Business	Loss Cost Trend	Loss Development Factor
Excess Workers' Compensation		
	Loss costs were trended at six percent per annum. After reviewing actual industry loss trends for the past ten years, in AIG's judgment, it is reasonably likely that actual loss cost trends applicable to the year-end 2011 loss reserve review for excess workers' compensation will range five percent lower or higher than this estimated loss trend.	Excess workers' compensation is an extremely long-tail class of business, with a much greater than normal uncertainty as to the appropriate loss development factors for the tail of the loss development. After evaluating the historical loss development factors for prior accident years since the 1980s as well as the development over the past several years of the ground up claim projections utilized to help select the loss development factors in the tail for this class of business, in AIG's judgment, it is reasonably likely that actual loss development for excess workers' compensation could increase the current reserves by up to approximately $1.3 billion or decrease them by approximately $850 million.
Primary Workers' Compensation		
	The loss cost trend assumption is not believed to be material with respect to AIG's loss reserves. This is primarily because AIG's actuaries are generally able to use loss development projections for all but the most recent accident year's reserves, so there is limited need to rely on loss cost trend assumptions for primary workers' compensation business.	Generally, AIG's actual historical workers' compensation loss development factors would be expected to provide a reasonably accurate predictor of future loss development. However, workers' compensation is a long-tail class of business, and AIG's business reflects a very significant volume of losses, particularly in recent accident years. After evaluating the actual historical loss development since the 1980s for this business, in AIG's judgment, it is reasonably likely that actual loss development factors will fall within the range of approximately 3.4 percent below to 7.2 percent above those actually utilized in the year-end 2011 loss reserve review.

See Results of Operations Segment Results Chartis Operations Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense herein for additional information on AIG's reserve for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expenses.

Table of Contents

FUTURE POLICY BENEFITS FOR LIFE AND ACCIDENT AND HEALTH INSURANCE CONTRACTS (SUNAMERICA COMPANIES):

Periodically, AIG evaluates estimates used in establishing liabilities for Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts, which include liabilities for certain payout annuities. AIG also evaluates estimates used in amortizing Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs (DAC), Value of Business Acquired (VOBA) and Sales Inducement Assets (SIA) for these products. These estimates are evaluated against actual experience and adjusted based on management judgment regarding mortality, morbidity, persistency, maintenance expenses, and investment returns. For long duration traditional business, a "lock-in" principle applies, whereby the assumptions used to calculate the benefit liabilities and DAC are set when a policy is issued and do not change with changes in actual experience, unless a loss recognition event occurs. These assumptions include margins for adverse deviation in the event that actual experience might deviate from these assumptions. The key assumptions used in estimating future policy benefit reserves are:

- *Investment returns:* which vary by year of issuance and products.

- *Mortality, morbidity and surrender rates:* based upon actual experience modified to allow for variation in policy form, risk classification and distribution channel.

As AIG experience changes over time, the assumptions are updated to reflect observed changes. Because of the long term nature of many of AIG's liabilities subject to the "lock-in" principle, small changes in certain assumptions may cause large changes in the degree of reserve adequacy. In particular, changes in estimates of future invested asset returns have a large effect on the degree of reserve deficiency. If observed changes in actual experience or estimates result in projected future losses under loss recognition testing, DAC is adjusted through amortization expense, and additional liabilities are recorded through a charge to policyholder benefit expense. Loss recognition testing is performed at an aggregate SunAmerica reporting segment level. Once loss recognition has been recorded for a block of business, the old assumption set is replaced (i.e., a DAC unlocking), and the assumption set used for the loss recognition would then be subject to the lock-in principle. See Note 2(g) to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

These estimates are also used to determine whether to adjust DAC and record additional liabilities when unrealized gains or losses on fixed maturity and equity securities available for sale are recognized through accumulated other comprehensive income, at each balance sheet date, as if the securities had been sold at their stated aggregate fair value and the proceeds reinvested at current yields. Significant unrealized appreciation on investments in a prolonged low interest rate environment may cause DAC to be adjusted and additional future policy benefit liabilities to be recorded through a charge directly to accumulated other comprehensive income, which is included, net of tax, with the change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments.

AIG's future policy benefits include guaranteed minimum death benefits (GMDB). The GMDB liability is determined each period end by estimating the expected value of death benefits in excess of the projected account balance and recognizing the excess ratably over the accumulation period based on total expected fees. The estimates incorporate assumptions including interest rates, mortality rates, lapse rates and stochastically generated investment returns. In addition to GMDB, AIG's future policy benefits include, to a lesser extent, guaranteed minimum income benefits (GMIB). The GMIB liability is determined each period end by estimating the expected value of the annuitization benefits in excess of the projected account balance at the date of annuitization and recognizing the excess ratably over the accumulation period based on total expected assessments. AIG periodically evaluates estimates used and adjusts the additional liability balance, with a related charge or credit to benefit expense, if actual experience or other evidence suggests that earlier assumptions should be revised.

AIG also issues certain variable annuity products that offer optional guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits (GMWB) and guaranteed minimum account value benefits (GMAV). These living benefits are embedded derivatives that are required to be bifurcated from the host contract and carried at fair value. The fair value estimates of the living benefit guarantees include assumptions such as equity market returns, interest rates, market volatility and policyholder behavior. AIG also incorporates its own risk of non-performance in the valuation of the embedded policy derivatives. See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for information on how AIG incorporates its own non-performance risk.

Table of Contents

AIG has a dynamic hedging program designed to manage economic risk exposure associated with changes in the fair value of GMWB and GMAV liabilities caused by changes in the equity markets, interest rates and market implied volatilities. The program utilizes hedging instruments, including derivatives such as equity options, futures contracts and interest rate swap contracts, and is designed so that changes in value of the hedging instruments move in the opposite direction of changes in the GMWB and GMAV embedded derivative liabilities. AIG monitors daily, and rebalances as needed, the hedging positions in relation to the change in valuation of GMWB and GMAV embedded derivative liabilities. However, differences between the change in fair value of GMWB and GMAV embedded derivative liabilities and the hedging instruments can be caused by extreme and unanticipated movements in the equity markets, interest rates and market volatility, policyholder behavior, statutory capital considerations and constraints and the ability to purchase hedging instruments at prices consistent with the desired risk and return trade-off. None of the derivative instruments described above are designated for hedge accounting.

Approximately 48 percent of AIG's individual variable annuity account values contain either a GMWB rider or a GMAV rider as of December 31, 2011. Declines in the equity markets, increased volatility and a sustained low interest rate environment increase AIG's exposure to potential benefits under the GMWB and GMAV contracts, leading to an increase in the existing liability for those benefits. AIG's exposure to the guaranteed amounts is equal to the amount by which the contract holder's account balance is below the guaranteed withdrawal or account value amount. As of December 31, 2011, AIG's exposure to the guaranteed withdrawal and account value amount under GMWB and GMAV was $1.4 billion and $27 million, respectively. However, the only way the GMWB contract holder can monetize the excess of the guaranteed amount over the account value of the contract is through a series of withdrawals that do not exceed a specific percentage per year of the guaranteed amount. If, after the series of withdrawals, the account value is exhausted, the contract holder will receive a series of annuity payments equal to the remaining guaranteed amount, and, for lifetime GWWB products, the annuity payments can continue beyond the guaranteed amount. The account value can also fluctuate with equity market returns on a daily basis resulting in increases or decreases in the excess of the guaranteed amount over account value.

The net impact of the change in the fair value of the embedded derivative liabilities, as well as the change in the fair value of the derivative instruments is included in Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses).

For a further discussion of the risks of AIG's unhedged exposures, see Item 1A. Risk Factors Guarantees Within Variable Annuities.

ESTIMATED GROSS PROFITS FOR INTEREST-SENSITIVE PRODUCTS (SUNAMERICA COMPANIES):

Estimated gross profits (EGP) are subject to differing market returns and interest rate environments in any single period. EGP is composed of net interest income, net realized investment gains and losses, fees, surrender charges, expenses, and mortality and morbidity gains and losses. When assumptions are changed, the percentage of EGP used to amortize DAC might also change.

In estimating EGP, AIG makes certain assumptions regarding the investment returns to be generated, market interest rates and mortality rates. Changes in any of these assumptions could materially change the amortization of DAC and related balances.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the sensitivity of changes in certain assumptions in the amortization of DAC/SIA, guaranteed benefits reserve and unearned revenue liability and the related hypothetical impact on year-end 2011 balances. The effect of changes in the equity markets, volatility and interest rates primarily impacts individual variable annuities (SunAmerica Retirement Markets) and group retirement products (VALIC). The effect of changes in mortality primarily impacts the universal life insurance business.

December 31, 2011 *(in millions)*	**DAC/SIA**	**Guaranteed Benefits Reserve**	**Unearned Revenue Liability**	**Net Pre-Tax Earnings**
Assumptions:				
Equity Return[(a)]				
Effect of an increase by 1%	$ 91	$ (20)	$ NA	$ 111
Effect of a decrease by 1%	(98)	66	NA	(164)
Volatility[(b)]				
Effect of an increase by 1%	(1)	12	NA	(13)
Effect of a decrease by 1%	1	(12)	NA	13
Interest Rate[(c)]				
Effect of an increase by 10 basis points	7	(16)	NA	23
Effect of a decrease by 10 basis points	(7)	16	NA	(23)
Mortality				
Effect of an increase by 1%	(20)	12	(6)	(26)
Effect of a decrease by 1%	20	(12)	6	26

(a) *Represents the net impact of 1 percent increase or decrease in long-term equity returns for GMDB and GMIB reserves and negligible net impact of 1 percent increase or decrease in the S&P 500 index for living benefit reserves.*

(b) *Represents the net impact of 1 percentage point increase or decrease in implied volatility.*

(c) *Represents the net impact of a 10 basis point parallel shift in the yield curve. Does not represent interest rate spread compression.*

The analysis of DAC, guaranteed benefits reserve and unearned revenue liability is a dynamic process that considers all relevant factors and assumptions described above. Each of the factors set forth above is estimated individually, without consideration of any correlation among the key assumptions. An assessment of sensitivity associated with changes in any single assumption would not necessarily be an indicator of future results.

OTHER-THAN-TEMPORARY IMPAIRMENTS ON AVAILABLE FOR SALE SECURITIES:

At each balance sheet date, AIG evaluates its available for sale securities holdings with unrealized losses.

See the discussion in Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on the methodology and significant inputs, by security type, that AIG uses to determine the amount of other-than-temporary impairment on fixed maturity and equity securities.

GOODWILL IMPAIRMENT:

Goodwill is the excess of the cost of an acquired business over the fair value of the identifiable net assets of the acquired business. Goodwill is tested for impairment annually, or more frequently if circumstances indicate an impairment may have occurred.

The impairment assessment involves a two-step process in which an initial assessment for potential impairment is performed and, if potential impairment is present, the amount of impairment is measured (if any) and recorded. Impairment is tested at the reporting unit level.

Management initially assesses the potential for impairment by estimating the fair value of each of AIG's reporting units and comparing the estimated fair values with the carrying amounts of those reporting units, including allocated goodwill. The estimate of a reporting unit's fair value may be based on one or a combination of approaches including market-based earning multiples of the unit's peer companies, discounted expected future cash flows, external appraisals or, in the case of reporting units being considered for sale, third-party indications of

Table of Contents

fair value, if available. Management considers one or more of these estimates when determining the fair value of a reporting unit to be used in the impairment test.

If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, goodwill is not impaired. If the carrying value of a reporting unit exceeds its estimated fair value, goodwill associated with that reporting unit is potentially impaired. The amount of impairment, if any, is measured as the excess of the carrying value of goodwill over the implied fair value of the goodwill. The implied fair value of the goodwill is measured as the excess of the fair value of the reporting unit over the amounts that would be assigned to the reporting unit's assets and liabilities in a hypothetical business combination. An impairment charge is recognized in earnings to the extent of the excess.

During 2011, Chartis finalized its reorganization, operating design and related segment reporting changes. In connection with this reorganization, total goodwill of $1.4 billion was allocated between Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance based on their relative fair values as of September 30, 2011. Management tested the allocated goodwill for impairment and determined that the fair values of the Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance reporting units exceeded book value at both September 30, and December 31, 2011 and therefore the goodwill of these reporting units was considered not impaired.

AIG will continue to monitor overall competitive, business and economic conditions, and other events or circumstances, including Chartis operating results that might result in an impairment of goodwill in the future.

LIABILITY FOR LEGAL CONTINGENCIES:

AIG estimates and records a liability for potential losses that may arise from litigation and regulatory proceedings to the extent such losses are probable and can be estimated. Determining a reasonable estimate of the amount of such losses requires significant management judgment. In many such proceedings, it is not possible to determine whether a liability has been incurred or to estimate the ultimate or minimum amount of that liability until the matter is close to resolution. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of such matters, particularly in cases in which claimants seek substantial or indeterminate damages, AIG often cannot predict the outcome or estimate the eventual loss or range of reasonably possible losses related to such matters.

REINSURANCE RECOVERABLES:

In the ordinary course of business, AIG's general insurance and life insurance companies enter into reinsurance arrangements with other insurance companies to provide greater diversification of AIG's business and limit the potential for losses arising from large risks.

General reinsurance is effected under reinsurance treaties and by negotiation on individual risks. Certain of these reinsurance arrangements consist of excess of loss contracts which protect AIG against losses over stipulated amounts. AIG determines what portion of the losses will be recoverable under the reinsurance policies by reference to the terms of the reinsurance protection purchased. This determination is necessarily based on the underlying loss estimates and, accordingly, is subject to the same uncertainties as the estimate of loss reserves noted above. The sensitivity analysis presented above in the Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expenses section is performed net of reinsurance, and therefore includes the variability of the key assumptions used in estimating reinsurance recoverables. There can be no assurance, however, that actual reserve development will be consistent with either the original or the adjusted loss trend or loss development factor assumptions, or that other assumptions made in the reserving process will not materially affect reserve development for a particular class of business.

AIG's third-party reinsurance arrangements do not relieve AIG from its direct obligation to its insureds. Thus, a credit exposure exists with respect to both general and life reinsurance ceded to the extent that any reinsurer fails to meet the obligations assumed under any reinsurance agreement. AIG evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers and establishes limits per reinsurer. In the current environment of weaker economic conditions and strained financial markets, certain reinsurers are reporting losses and could be subject to rating downgrades. AIG's reinsurance recoverable exposures are primarily to the regulated subsidiaries of such companies which are subject to minimum regulatory capital requirements. AIG's RCD, in conjunction with AIG's credit executives within corporate ERM, regularly reviews these developments, monitors compliance with credit triggers that may require

the reinsurer to post collateral, and, as appropriate, seeks to use other means to mitigate any material risks arising from these developments. AIG believes that no exposure to a single reinsurer represents an inappropriate concentration of risk to AIG, nor is AIG's business substantially dependent upon any single reinsurer.

General Insurance assesses the collectability of its reinsurance recoverable balances through detailed reviews of the underlying nature of the reinsurance balance, including paid and unpaid recoverables, whether the balance is in dispute or a legal collection status, whether the insurer is financially troubled (i.e., liquidated, insolvent, in receivership or otherwise subject to formal or informal regulatory restriction), whether collateral and collateral arrangements exist, and the credit quality of the underlying insurer. Detailed reviews of the underlying receivables are particularly important when assessing recoverables attributable to long-tail exposures. Adjustments to reflect the results of the detailed review are recorded through an allowance for uncollectable reinsurance. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the allowance for estimated unrecoverable reinsurance was $365 million and $492 million, respectively.

AIG holds substantial collateral as security under related reinsurance agreements in the form of funds, securities, and/or letters of credit. AIG has been largely successful in prior recovery efforts.

See Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on Reinsurance.

FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS OF CERTAIN FINANCIAL ASSETS AND LIABILITIES:

See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more detailed information about the measurement of fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities and AIG's accounting policy for the incorporation of credit risk in fair value measurements.

The following table presents the fair value of fixed income and equity securities by source of value determination:

At December 31, 2011 *(in billions)*	**Fair Value**	**Percent of Total**
Fair value based on external sources[(a)]	$ 263	90%
Fair value based on internal sources	29	10
Total fixed income and equity securities[(b)]	$ 292	100%

(a) *Includes $20.9 billion for which the primary source is broker quotes.*

(b) *Includes available for sale and trading securities.*

Level 3 Assets and Liabilities

Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheet are measured and classified in a hierarchy for disclosure purposes consisting of three "levels" based on the observability of inputs available in the marketplace used to measure the fair value. See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about the three levels of observability.

At December 31, 2011, AIG classified $39.4 billion and $5.3 billion of assets and liabilities, respectively, measured at fair value on a recurring basis as Level 3. This represented 7.1 percent and 1.2 percent of the total assets and liabilities, respectively, at December 31, 2011. At December 31, 2010, AIG classified $36.3 billion and $6.2 billion of assets and liabilities, respectively, measured at fair value on a recurring basis as Level 3. This represented 5.3 percent and 1.1 percent of the total assets and liabilities, respectively, at December 31, 2010. Level 3 fair value measurements are based on valuation techniques that use at least one significant input that is unobservable. These measurements are made under circumstances in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. AIG's assessment of the significance of a particular

input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment.

AIG values certain assets and liabilities classified as Level 3 using judgment and valuation models or other pricing techniques that require a variety of inputs including contractual terms, market prices and rates, yield

Table of Contents

curves, credit curves, measures of volatility, prepayment rates and correlations of such inputs, some of which may be unobservable. The following paragraphs describe the methods AIG uses to measure on a recurring basis the fair value of the certain classes of assets and liabilities classified in Level 3. See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for discussion of the valuation methodologies for other assets classified in Level 3, including certain fixed maturity securities and certain other invested assets, as well as discussion of transfers of Level 3 assets and liabilities.

Maiden Lane II and Maiden Lane III

At their inception, AIG's interests in ML II and ML III were valued and recorded at the transaction prices of $1 billion and $5 billion, respectively. See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of the valuation methodologies applied to ML II and ML III since inception and sensitivity analysis disclosures with respect to the Maiden Lane Interests.

AIGFP Super Senior Credit Default Swap Portfolio

AIGFP wrote credit protection on the super senior risk layer of collateralized loan obligations (CLOs), multi-sector CDOs and diversified portfolios of corporate debt, and prime residential mortgages. In these transactions, AIGFP is at risk of credit performance on the super senior risk layer related to such assets. To a lesser extent, AIGFP also wrote protection on tranches below the super senior risk layer, primarily in respect of regulatory capital relief transactions.

The following table presents the net notional amount, fair value of derivative (asset) liability and unrealized market valuation gain (loss) of the AIGFP super senior credit default swap portfolio, including credit default swaps written on mezzanine tranches of certain regulatory capital relief transactions, by asset class:

	Net Notional Amount December 31,		Fair Value of Derivative (Asset) Liability at December 31,		Unrealized Market Valuation Gain (Loss) Years Ended December 31,	
(in millions)	**2011**[(a)]	**2010**[(a)]	**2011**[(b)(c)]	**2010**[(b)(c)]	**2011**[(c)]	**2010**[(c)]
Regulatory Capital:						
Corporate loans	**$ 1,830**	$ 5,193	**$ -**	$ -	**$ -**	$ -
Prime residential mortgages[(d)]	**3,653**	31,613	**-**	(190)	**6**	53
Other	**887**	1,263	**9**	17	**8**	4
Total	**6,370**	38,069	**9**	(173)	**14**	57
Arbitrage:						
Multi-sector CDOs[(e)]	**5,476**	6,689	**3,077**	3,484	**249**	663
Corporate debt/CLOs[(f)]	**11,784**	12,269	**127**	171	**44**	(67)
Total	**17,260**	18,958	**3,204**	3,655	**293**	596
Mezzanine tranches[(d)(g)]	**989**	2,823	**10**	198	**32**	(55)
Total	**$ 24,619**	$ 59,850	**$ 3,223**	$ 3,680	**$ 339**	$ 598

(a) *Net notional amounts presented are net of all structural subordination below the covered tranches.*

(b)

Fair value amounts are shown before the effects of counterparty netting adjustments and offsetting cash collateral.

(c)

Includes credit valuation adjustment gains (losses) of $26 million and ($133) million in the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, representing the effect of changes in AIG's credit spreads on the valuation of the derivatives liabilities.

(d)

During 2011, AIGFP terminated two super senior prime residential mortgage transactions, with a combined net notional amount of $24.1 billion, that had previously been the subject of a collateral dispute. In addition, AIGFP terminated all of the related mezzanine tranches and hedge transactions related to those mezzanine tranches, with a combined net notional amount of $2.2 billion. The transactions were terminated at values that approximated their collective fair values at the time of termination.

(e)

During 2011, AIGFP liquidated one multi-sector super senior CDS transaction with a net notional amount of $188 million. The primary underlying collateral components, which consisted of individual ABS CDS transactions, were sold in an auction to counterparties, including AIGFP, at their approximate fair value at the time of the liquidation. AIGFP was the winning bidder on approximately $107 million of individual ABS CDS transactions, which are reported in written single name credit default swaps as of December 31, 2011. During 2011, AIGFP also paid $37 million to its counterparties with respect to multi-sector CDOs. Multi-sector CDOs also include $4.6 billion and $5.5 billion in net notional amount of credit default swaps written with cash settlement provisions at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

(f)
Corporate debt/CLOs include $1.2 billion and $1.3 billion in net notional amount of credit default swaps written on the super senior tranches of CLOs at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

(g)
Net of offsetting purchased CDS of $1.4 billion in net notional amount at December 31, 2010. There were no offsetting purchased CDSs at December 31, 2011.

The following table presents changes in the net notional amount of the AIGFP super senior credit default swap portfolio, including credit default swaps written on mezzanine tranches of certain regulatory capital relief transactions:

(in millions)	Net Notional Amount December 31, 2010[(a)]	Terminations	Maturities	Effect of Foreign Exchange Rates[(b)]	Amortization, net of Replenishments	Net Notional Amount December 31, 2011[(a)]
Regulatory Capital:						
Corporate loans	$ 5,193	$ (1,425)	$ -	$ 10	$ (1,948)	$ 1,830
Prime residential mortgages	31,613	(24,606)	-	2,091	(5,445)	3,653
Other	1,263	-	-	1	(377)	887
Total	38,069	(26,031)	-	2,102	(7,770)	6,370
Arbitrage:						
Multi-sector CDOs[(c)]	6,689	(188)	(4)	(34)	(987)	5,476
Corporate debt/CLOs[(d)]	12,269	-	(232)	(196)	(57)	11,784
Total	18,958	(188)	(236)	(230)	(1,044)	17,260
Mezzanine tranches[(e)]	2,823	(1,766)	(203)	141	(6)	989
Total	$ 59,850	$ (27,985)	$ (439)	$ 2,013	$ (8,820)	$ 24,619

(a)
Net notional amounts presented are net of all structural subordination below the covered tranches.

(b)
Relates primarily to fluctuations in the U.S. dollar/Euro exchange rate throughout the year.

(c)
Multi-sector CDOs include $4.6 billion and $5.5 billion in net notional amount of credit default swaps written with cash settlement provisions at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

(d)
Corporate debt/CLOs include $1.2 billion and $1.3 billion in net notional amount of credit default swaps written on the super senior tranches of CLOs at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

(e)
Net of offsetting purchased CDS of $1.4 billion in net notional amount at December 31, 2010. There were no offsetting purchased CDS at December 31, 2011.

The following table presents summary statistics for the AIGFP super senior credit default swaps at December 31, 2011 and totals for December 31, 2011 and 2010:

Category	Regulatory Capital Portfolio				Arbitrage Portfolio				Total	
	Corporate Loans	Prime Residential Mortgages	Other	Subtotal	Corporate Debt/ CLOs	Multi-Sector CDOs w/ Subprime	Multi-Sector CDOs w/ No Subprime	Subtotal	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Gross Transaction Notional Amount (in millions)	$ 2,645	$ 6,010	$ 1,060	$ 9,715	$ 17,062	$ 4,698	$ 4,641	$ 26,401	**$ 36,116**	$ 78,305
Net Notional Amount (in millions)	$ 1,830	$ 3,653	$ 887	$ 6,370	$ 11,784	$ 2,745	$ 2,731	$ 17,260	**$ 23,630**	$ 57,027
Number of Transactions	3	7	1	11	14	8	5	27	**38**	46
Weighted Average Subordination (%)	30.78%	39.07%	16.38%	34.33%	23.84%	28.20%	27.29%	25.22%	**27.67%**	20.16%
Weighted Average Number of loans/ Transaction	4,026	23,727	1,446	15,932	121	127	114			
Weighted Average Expected Maturity (Years)	0.72	0.41	3.78	0.86	4.18	6.53	6.07			

Table of Contents

Regulatory Capital Portfolio

During 2011, $26.0 billion in net notional amount of regulatory capital CDSs were terminated or matured at no cost to AIGFP. AIGFP continues to reassess the expected maturity of this portfolio. As of December 31, 2011, AIGFP estimated that the weighted average expected maturity of the portfolio was 0.86 years. AIGFP has not been required to make any payments as part of terminations of super senior regulatory capital CDSs initiated by counterparties. However, during 2011, AIGFP terminated mezzanine tranches and the hedge transactions related to those mezzanine tranches, all of which related to certain super senior regulatory capital trades and made payments which approximated their values at the time of termination. The regulatory benefit of these transactions for AIGFP's financial institution counterparties was generally derived from Basel I. In December 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision finalized Basel III, which, when fully implemented, may reduce or eliminate the regulatory benefits to certain counterparties for these transactions, and this may reduce the period of time that such counterparties are expected to hold the positions. In prior years, it had been expected that financial institution counterparties would complete a transition from Basel I to an intermediate standard known as Basel II, which could have had similar effects on the benefits of these transactions, at the end of 2009. Basel III has now superseded Basel II, but the details of its implementation by the various European Central Banking districts have not been finalized. Should certain counterparties continue to receive favorable regulatory capital benefits from these transactions, those counterparties may not exercise their options to terminate the transactions in the expected time frame.

The weighted average expected maturity of the Regulatory Capital Portfolio decreased as of December 31, 2011 by approximately 2.3 years from December 31, 2010 due to the termination of two transactions that had a longer than average weighted average maturity. Because the remaining counterparties continue to have a right to terminate the transaction early, AIGFP has extended the expected maturity dates by one year, which is based on how long AIGFP believes the relevant rules under Basel I will remain effective. These counterparties in the Corporate Loan and Prime Residential Mortgage portfolios continue to receive favorable regulatory capital benefits under Basel I rules and, thus, AIG continues to categorize them as Regulatory Capital transactions.

During 2011, AIGFP terminated two super senior prime residential mortgage transactions, with a combined net notional amount of $24.1 billion, that had previously been the subject of a collateral dispute. In addition, AIGFP terminated all of the related mezzanine tranches and hedge transactions related to those mezzanine tranches, with a combined net notional amount of $2.2 billion. These transactions were terminated at values that approximated their collective fair value at the time of termination.

In light of early termination experience to date and after analyses of other market data, to the extent deemed relevant and available, AIG determined that there was no unrealized market valuation adjustment for any of the transactions in this regulatory capital relief portfolio for 2011 other than for transactions where AIGFP believes the counterparty is no longer using the transaction to obtain regulatory capital relief as discussed above. Although AIGFP believes the value of contractual fees receivable on these transactions through maturity exceeds the economic benefits of any potential payments to the counterparties, the counterparties' early termination rights, and AIGFP's expectation that such rights will be exercised, preclude the recognition of a derivative asset for these transactions.

Arbitrage Portfolio

A portion of the AIGFP super senior credit default swaps as of December 31, 2011 are arbitrage-motivated transactions written on multi-sector CDOs or designated pools of investment grade senior unsecured corporate debt or CLOs.

Table of Contents

Multi-Sector CDOs

The following table summarizes gross transaction notional amount of the multi-sector CDOs on which AIGFP wrote protection on the super senior tranche, subordination below the super senior risk layer, net notional amount and fair value of derivative liability by underlying collateral type:

December 31, 2011 (in millions)	Gross Transaction Notional Amount[(a)]	Subordination Below the Super Senior Risk Layer	Net Notional Amount	Fair Value of Derivative Liability
High grade with subprime collateral	$ 2,586	$ 1,325	$ 1,261	$ 550
High grade with no subprime collateral	3,158	1,223	1,935	760
Total high grade[(b)]	5,744	2,548	3,196	1,310
Mezzanine with subprime collateral	2,112	628	1,484	1,131
Mezzanine with no subprime collateral	1,483	687	796	636
Total mezzanine[(c)]	3,595	1,315	2,280	1,767
Total	$ 9,339	$ 3,863	$ 5,476	$ 3,077

(a) *Total outstanding principal amount of securities held by a CDO.*

(b) *"High grade" refers to transactions in which the underlying collateral credit ratings on a stand-alone basis were predominantly AA or higher at origination.*

(c) *"Mezzanine" refers to transactions in which the underlying collateral credit ratings on a stand-alone basis were predominantly A or lower at origination.*

Corporate Debt/CLOs

The corporate arbitrage portfolio consists principally of CDS written on portfolios of corporate obligations that were generally rated investment grade at the inception of the CDS. These CDS transactions require cash settlement. This portfolio also includes CDS with a net notional amount of $1.2 billion written on the senior part of the capital structure of CLOs, which require physical settlement.

Collateral

Most of the AIGFP credit default swaps are subject to collateral posting provisions. These provisions differ among counterparties and asset classes. Although AIGFP has collateral posting obligations associated with both regulatory capital relief transactions and arbitrage transactions, the large majority of these obligations to date have been associated with arbitrage transactions in respect of multi-sector CDOs.

Collateral Calls

AIGFP has received collateral calls from counterparties in respect of certain super senior credit default swaps, of which a large majority relate to multi-sector CDOs. To a lesser extent, AIGFP has also received collateral calls in respect of certain super senior credit default swaps entered

into by counterparties for regulatory capital relief purposes and in respect of corporate arbitrage.

From time to time, valuation methodologies used and estimates made by counterparties with respect to certain super senior credit default swaps or the underlying reference CDO securities, for purposes of determining the amount of collateral required to be posted by AIGFP in connection with such instruments, have resulted in estimates that differ, at times significantly, from AIGFP's estimates. In almost all cases, AIGFP has been able to successfully resolve the differences or otherwise reach an accommodation with respect to collateral posting levels, including in certain cases by entering into compromise collateral arrangements. Due to the ongoing nature of collateral arrangements, AIGFP regularly is engaged in discussions with one or more counterparties in respect of these differences. Valuation estimates made by counterparties for collateral purposes are, like any other third-party valuation, considered in the determination of the fair value estimates of the AIGFP super senior credit default swap portfolio.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the amount of collateral postings with respect to the AIGFP super senior credit default swap portfolio (prior to offsets for other transactions):

(in millions)	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Regulatory capital	$ 9	$ 236
Arbitrage multi-sector CDO	2,711	3,013
Arbitrage corporate	477	537
Total	$ 3,197	$ 3,786

The amount of future collateral posting requirements is a function of AIG's credit ratings, the rating of the reference obligations and the market value of the relevant reference obligations, with the latter being the most significant factor. While a high level of correlation exists between the amount of collateral posted and the valuation of these contracts in respect of the arbitrage portfolio, a similar relationship does not exist with respect to the regulatory capital portfolio given the nature of how the amount of collateral for these transactions is determined. AIGFP estimates the amount of potential future collateral postings associated with its super senior credit default swaps using various methodologies. The contingent liquidity requirements associated with such potential future collateral postings are incorporated into AIG's liquidity planning assumptions.

Valuation Sensitivity Arbitrage Portfolio

Multi-Sector CDOs

AIG utilizes sensitivity analyses that estimate the effects of using alternative pricing and other key inputs on AIG's calculation of the unrealized market valuation loss related to the AIGFP super senior credit default swap portfolio. While AIG believes that the ranges used in these analyses are reasonable, given the current difficult market conditions, AIG is unable to predict which of the scenarios is most likely to occur. As recent experience demonstrates, actual results in any period are likely to vary, perhaps materially, from the modeled scenarios, and there can be no assurance that the unrealized market valuation loss related to the AIGFP super senior credit default swap portfolio will be consistent with any of the sensitivity analyses. On average, prices for CDOs decreased during 2011. Further, it is difficult to extrapolate future experience based on current market conditions.

For the purposes of estimating sensitivities for the super senior multi-sector CDO credit default swap portfolio, the change in valuation derived using the BET model is used to estimate the change in the fair value of the derivative liability. Out of the total $5.5 billion net notional amount of CDS written on multi-sector CDOs outstanding at December 31, 2011, a BET value is available for $3.6 billion net notional amount. No BET value is determined for $1.9 billion of CDS written on European multi-sector CDOs as prices on the underlying securities held by the CDOs are not provided by collateral managers; instead these CDS are valued using counterparty prices. Therefore, sensitivities disclosed below apply only to the net notional amount of $3.6 billion.

The most significant assumption used in the BET model is the estimated price of the securities within the CDO collateral pools. If the actual price of the securities within the collateral pools differs from the price used in estimating the fair value of the super senior credit default swap portfolio, there is potential for material variation in the fair value estimate. Any further declines in the value of the underlying collateral securities held by a CDO will similarly affect the value of the super senior CDO securities. While the models attempt to predict changes in the prices of underlying collateral securities held within a CDO, the changes are subject to actual market conditions which have proved to be highly volatile, especially given current market conditions. AIG cannot predict reasonably likely changes in the prices of the underlying collateral securities held within a CDO at this time.

Table of Contents

The following table presents key inputs used in the BET model, and the potential increase (decrease) to the fair value of the derivative liability by ABS category at December 31, 2011 corresponding to changes in these key inputs:

(dollars in millions)	Average Inputs Used at December 31, 2011	Change	Increase (Decrease) to Fair Value of Derivative Liability						
			Entire Portfolio	RMBS Prime	RMBS Alt-A	RMBS Subprime	CMBS	CDOs	Other
Bond prices	31 points	Increase of 5 points	$ (238)	$ (3)	$ (16)	$ (99)	$ (85)	$ (24)	$ (11)
		Decrease of 5 points	225	4	16	90	82	19	14
Weighted average life	6.42 years	Increase of 1 year	29	1	-	22	3	2	1
		Decrease of 1 year	(59)	(1)	(1)	(44)	(8)	(4)	(1)
Recovery rates	15%	Increase of 10%	(30)	-	(4)	(10)	(12)	(2)	(2)
		Decrease of 10%	27	1	4	14	4	4	-
Diversity score[(a)]	12	Increase of 5	(5)						
		Decrease of 5	16						
Discount curve[(b)]	N/A	Increase of 100bps	19						

(a) *The diversity score is an input at the CDO level. A calculation of sensitivity to this input by type of security is not possible.*

(b) *The discount curve is an input at the CDO level. A calculation of sensitivity to this input by type of security is not possible. Furthermore, for this input it is not possible to disclose a weighted average input as a discount curve consists of a series of data points.*

These results are calculated by stressing a particular assumption independently of changes in any other assumption. No assurance can be given that the actual levels of the key inputs will not exceed, perhaps significantly, the ranges assumed by AIGFP for purposes of the above analysis. No assumption should be made that results calculated from the use of other changes in these key inputs can be interpolated or extrapolated from the results set forth above.

Corporate Debt

The following table represents the relevant market credit inputs used to estimate the sensitivity for the credit default swap portfolio written on investment-grade corporate debt and the estimated increase (decrease) in fair value of derivative liability at December 31, 2011 corresponding to changes in these market credit inputs:

Input Used at December 31, 2011 *(in millions)*	**Increase (Decrease) in Fair Value of Derivative Liability**
Credit spreads for all names	

Effect of an increase by 10 basis points	$	14
Effect of a decrease by 10 basis points	$	(14)
All base correlations		
Effect of an increase by 1%	$	4
Effect of a decrease by 1%	$	(4)
Assumed recovery rate		
Effect of an increase by 1%	$	(4)
Effect of a decrease by 1%	$	4

These results are calculated by stressing a particular assumption independently of changes in any other assumption. No assurance can be given that the actual levels of the key inputs will not exceed, perhaps significantly, the ranges assumed by AIGFP for purposes of the above analysis. No assumption should be made that results calculated from the use of other changes in these key inputs can be interpolated or extrapolated from the results set forth above.

Other derivatives. Valuation models that incorporate unobservable inputs initially are calibrated to the transaction price. Subsequent valuations are based on observable inputs to the valuation model (e.g., interest rates, credit spreads, volatilities, etc.). Model inputs are changed only when corroborated by observable market data.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information required by this item is set forth in the Enterprise Risk Management section of Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

American International Group, Inc. Index to Financial Statements and Schedules

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	196
Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2011 and 2010	197
Consolidated Statement of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	199
Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	200
Consolidated Statement of Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	201
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	203
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	206
Schedules:	
I Summary of Investments Other than Investments in Related Parties at December 31, 2011	388
II Condensed Financial Information of Registrant at December 31, 2011 and 2010 and for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009	389
III Supplementary Insurance Information at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 and for the years then ended	393
IV Reinsurance at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 and for the years then ended	394
V Valuation and Qualifying Accounts at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 and for the years then ended	395

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of American International Group, Inc.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of American International Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (AIG) at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedules listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, AIG maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). AIG's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedules, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A in the 2011 Form 10-K. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedules, and on AIG's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As described in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, AIG changed the manner in which it accounts for other-than-temporary impairments of fixed maturity securities as of April 1, 2009.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ *PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP*

New York, New York
February 23, 2012

American International Group, Inc.
Consolidated Balance Sheet

(in millions, except for share data)	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Assets:		
Investments:		
Fixed maturity securities:		
Bonds available for sale, at fair value (amortized cost: 2011 $250,770; 2010 $220,669)	**$ 263,981**	$ 228,302
Bond trading securities, at fair value	**24,364**	26,182
Equity securities:		
Common and preferred stock available for sale, at fair value (cost: 2011 $1,820; 2010 $2,571)	**3,624**	4,581
Common and preferred stock trading, at fair value	**125**	6,652
Mortgage and other loans receivable, net of allowance (portion measured at fair value: 2011 $107; 2010 $143)	**19,489**	20,237
Flight equipment primarily under operating leases, net of accumulated depreciation	**35,539**	38,510
Other invested assets (portion measured at fair value: 2011 $20,876; 2010 $21,356)	**40,744**	42,210
Short-term investments (portion measured at fair value: 2011 $5,913; 2010 $23,860)	**22,572**	43,738
Total investments	**410,438**	410,412
Cash	**1,474**	1,558
Accrued investment income	**3,108**	2,960
Premiums and other receivables, net of allowance	**14,721**	15,713
Reinsurance assets, net of allowance	**27,211**	25,810
Current and deferred income taxes	**16,084**	-
Deferred policy acquisition costs	**14,026**	14,668
Derivative assets, at fair value	**4,499**	5,917
Other assets, including restricted cash of $2,988 in 2011 and $30,232 in 2010 and prepaid commitment fee asset of $3,628 in 2010 (portion measured at fair value: 2011 $0; 2010 $14)	**12,824**	44,520
Separate account assets, at fair value	**51,388**	54,432
Assets held for sale	**-**	107,453
Total assets	**$ 555,773**	$ 683,443

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.
Consolidated Balance Sheet*(Continued)*

(in millions, except for share data)	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Liabilities:		
Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense	**$ 91,145**	$ 91,151
Unearned premiums	**23,465**	23,803
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts	**34,317**	31,268
Policyholder contract deposits (portion measured at fair value: 2011 $918; 2010 $445)	**126,898**	121,373
Other policyholder funds	**6,691**	6,758
Current and deferred income taxes	**-**	2,369
Derivative liabilities, at fair value	**4,733**	5,735
Other liabilities (portion measured at fair value: 2011 $907; 2010 $2,619)	**27,554**	29,108
Federal Reserve Bank of New York credit facility (see Note 1)	**-**	20,985
Other long-term debt (portion measured at fair value: 2011 $10,766; 2010 $12,143)	**75,253**	85,476
Separate account liabilities	**51,388**	54,432
Liabilities held for sale	**-**	97,312
Total liabilities	**441,444**	569,770
Commitments, contingencies and guarantees (see Note 16)		
Redeemable noncontrolling interests (see Note 1):		
Nonvoting, callable, junior preferred interests held by Department of the Treasury	**8,427**	-
Other	**96**	434
Total redeemable noncontrolling interests	**8,523**	434
AIG shareholders' equity (see Note 1):		
Preferred stock		
Series E; $5.00 par value; shares issued: 2011 0; 2010 400,000, at aggregate liquidation value	**-**	41,605
Series F; $5.00 par value; shares issued: 2011 0; 2010 300,000, aggregate liquidation value: $7,543	**-**	7,378
Series C; $5.00 par value; shares issued: 2011 0; 2010 100,000, aggregate liquidation value: $0.5	**-**	23,000
Common stock, $2.50 par value; 5,000,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued: 2011 1,906,568,099; 2010 147,124,067	**4,766**	368
Treasury stock, at cost; 2011 9,746,617; 2010 6,660,908 shares of common stock	**(942)**	(873)
Additional paid-in capital	**81,787**	9,683
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	**14,332**	(3,466)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	**5,008**	7,624
Total AIG shareholders' equity	**104,951**	85,319
Non-redeemable noncontrolling interests (see Note 1):		
Nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by Federal Reserve Bank of New York	**-**	26,358
Other (including $204 associated with businesses held for sale in 2010)	**855**	1,562
Total non-redeemable noncontrolling interests	**855**	27,920
Total equity	**105,806**	113,239
Total liabilities and equity	**$ 555,773**	$ 683,443

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.
Consolidated Statement of Operations

	Years Ended December 31,		
(dollars in millions, except per share data)	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**
Revenues:			
Premiums	**$ 38,990**	$ 45,319	$ 48,583
Policy fees	**2,705**	2,710	2,656
Net investment income	**14,755**	20,934	18,992
Net realized capital gains (losses):			
Total other-than-temporary impairments on available for sale securities	**(1,216)**	(1,712)	(6,096)
Portion of other-than-temporary impairments on available for sale fixed maturity securities recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive income	**168**	(812)	316
Net other-than-temporary impairments on available for sale securities recognized in net income (loss)	**(1,048)**	(2,524)	(5,780)
Other realized capital gains	**1,569**	2,349	570
Total net realized capital gains (losses)	**521**	(175)	(5,210)
Aircraft leasing revenue	**4,508**	4,749	4,967
Other income	**2,758**	3,989	5,459
Total revenues	**64,237**	77,526	75,447
Benefits, claims and expenses:			
Policyholder benefits and claims incurred	**33,449**	41,394	45,311
Interest credited to policyholder account balances	**4,446**	4,480	4,704
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs	**8,019**	9,134	9,442
Other acquisition and insurance expenses	**6,091**	6,775	6,818
Interest expense	**3,871**	7,981	14,358
Aircraft leasing expenses	**3,974**	4,050	2,385
Net loss on extinguishment of debt (see Note 1)	**2,908**	104	-
Net (gain) loss on sale of properties and divested businesses	**74**	(17,767)	1,271
Other expenses	**2,470**	3,439	5,465
Total benefits, claims and expenses	**65,302**	59,590	89,754
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit)	**(1,065)**	17,936	(14,307)
Income tax expense (benefit):			
Current	**95**	644	2,802
Deferred	**(18,131)**	5,215	(4,291)
Income tax expense (benefit)	**(18,036)**	5,859	(1,489)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	**16,971**	12,077	(12,818)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income tax expense (benefit) (see Note 4)	**1,535**	(2,064)	505
Net income (loss)	**18,506**	10,013	(12,313)

Less:						
Net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests:						
Nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests		**634**		1,818		140
Other		**55**		355		(1,576)
Total net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests		**689**		2,173		(1,436)
Net income from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests		**19**		54		72
Total net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests		**708**		2,227		(1,364)
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG	**$**	**17,798**	$	7,786	$	(10,949)
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG common shareholders	**$**	**16,986**	$	1,583	$	(12,244)
Income (loss) per common share attributable to AIG common shareholders:						
Basic:						
Income (loss) from continuing operations	**$**	**8.60**	$	14.75	$	(93.69)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	**$**	**0.84**	$	(3.15)	$	3.21
Diluted:						
Income (loss) from continuing operations	**$**	**8.60**	$	14.75	$	(93.69)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	**$**	**0.84**	$	(3.15)	$	3.21
Weighted average shares outstanding:						
Basic		**1,799,385,757**		136,585,844		135,324,896
Diluted		**1,799,458,497**		136,649,280		135,324,896

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.
Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income

	Years Ended December 31,		
(in millions)	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**
Net income (loss)	**$ 18,506**	$ 10,013	$ (12,313)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax			
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of fixed maturity investments on which other-than-temporary credit impairments were taken	**(74)**	1,229	1,324
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of all other investments	**(1,499)**	2,111	18,089
Change in foreign currency translation adjustments	**(1,204)**	(1,252)	1,927
Change in net derivative gains (losses) arising from cash flow hedging activities	**17**	94	63
Change in retirement plan liabilities adjustment	**(70)**	275	354
Other comprehensive income (loss)	**(2,830)**	2,457	21,757
Comprehensive income	**15,676**	12,470	9,444
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests	**634**	1,818	140
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to other noncontrolling interests	**(47)**	590	(1,116)
Total comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	**587**	2,408	(976)
Comprehensive income attributable to AIG	**$ 15,089**	$ 10,062	$ 10,420

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.
Consolidated Statement of Equity

(in millions)	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Treasury Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total AIG Share-holders' Equity	Non-redeemable non-controlling Interests	Total Equity
Balance, January 1, 2009	$ 40,000	$ 368	$ (8,450)	$ 39,488	$ (12,368)	$ (6,328)	$ 52,710	$ 8,095	$ 60,805
Series C issuance	23,000	-	-	(23,000)	-	-	-	-	-
Series D exchange for Series E	1,605	-	-	(1,605)	-	-	-	-	-
Series F drawdowns	5,344	-	-	-	-	-	5,344	-	5,344
Series F commitment fee	(165)	-	-	-	-	-	(165)	-	(165)
Common stock issued under stock plans	-	1	176	(177)	-	-	-	-	-
Retirement of treasury stock	-	(15)	7,400	(7,385)	-	-	-	-	-
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax	-	-	-	-	11,826	(9,348)	2,478	-	2,478
Net loss attributable to AIG or other noncontrolling interests[(a)]	-	-	-	-	(10,949)	-	(10,949)	(1,784)	(12,733)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	140	140
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	-	21,369	21,369	388	21,757
Deferred income taxes	-	-	-	(818)	-	-	(818)	-	(818)
Net decrease due to deconsolidation	-	-	-	(97)	-	-	(97)	(3,405)	(3,502)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	677	677
Distributions to noncontrolling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(368)	(368)

interests									
Issuance of noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24,400	24,400
Other	-	-	-	(48)	-	-	(48)	109	61
Balance, December 31, 2009	**$ 69,784**	**$ 354**	**$ (874)**	**$ 6,358**	**$ (11,491)**	**$ 5,693**	**$ 69,824**	**$ 28,252**	**$ 98,076**
Series F drawdowns	2,199	-	-	-	-	-	2,199	-	2,199
Common stock issued under stock plans	-	2	-	(20)	-	-	(18)	-	(18)
Equity unit exchange	-	12	-	3,645	-	-	3,657	-	3,657
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax	-	-	-	-	239	(345)	(106)	-	(106)
Net income attributable to AIG or other noncontrolling interests[(a)]	-	-	-	-	7,786	-	7,786	336	8,122
Net income attributable to noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,818	1,818
Other comprehensive income[(b)]	-	-	-	-	-	2,276	2,276	176	2,452
Deferred income taxes	-	-	-	(332)	-	-	(332)	-	(332)
Net decrease due to deconsolidation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,740)	(2,740)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	253	253
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(175)	(175)
Other	-	-	1	32	-	-	33	-	33
Balance, December 31, 2010	**$ 71,983**	**$ 368**	**$ (873)**	**$ 9,683**	**$ (3,466)**	**$ 7,624**	**$ 85,319**	**$ 27,920**	**$ 113,239**

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.
Consolidated Statement of Equity *(Continued)*

(in millions)	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Treasury Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total AIG Share-holders' Equity	Non-redeemable non-controlling Interests	Total Equity
Series F drawdown	20,292	-	-	-	-	-	20,292	-	20,292
Repurchase of SPV preferred interests in connection with Recapitalization[(c)]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(26,432)	(26,432)
Exchange of consideration for preferred stock in connection with Recapitalization[(c)]	(92,275)	4,138	-	67,460	-	-	(20,677)	-	(20,677)
Common stock issued	-	250	-	2,636	-	-	2,886	-	2,886
Purchase of common stock	-	-	(70)	-	-	-	(70)	-	(70)
Settlement of equity unit stock purchase contracts	-	9	-	2,160	-	-	2,169	-	2,169
Net income attributable to AIG or other noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	17,798	-	17,798	82	17,880
Net income attributable to noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	74	74
Other comprehensive loss[(b)]	-	-	-	-	-	(2,709)	(2,709)	(119)	(2,828)
Deferred income taxes	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	2
Acquisition of noncontrolling interest	-	-	-	(164)	-	93	(71)	(489)	(560)
Net decrease due to deconsolidation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(123)	(123)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	120	120
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(128)	(128)
Other	-	1	1	10	-	-	12	(50)	(38)
Balance, December 31, 2011	$ -	$ 4,766	$ (942)	$ 81,787	$ 14,332	$ 5,008	$ 104,951	$ 855	$ 105,806

(a)
Excludes gains of $560 million, $73 million and $280 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests and net income attributable to noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York of $74 million, $1.8 billion and $140 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(b)
Excludes $2 million and $5 million attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests for the year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(c)
See Notes 1 and 17 to Consolidated Financial Statements.

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	**$ 18,506**	$ 10,013	$ (12,313)
(Income) loss from discontinued operations	**(1,535)**	2,064	(505)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Noncash revenues, expenses, gains and losses included in income (loss):			
Net gains on sales of securities available for sale and other assets	**(1,766)**	(2,842)	(1,305)
Net (gains) losses on sales of divested businesses	**74**	(17,767)	1,271
Net losses on extinguishment of debt	**2,908**	104	-
Unrealized gains in earnings net	**(667)**	(1,361)	(4,249)
Equity in (income) loss from equity method investments, net of dividends or distributions	**(637)**	(1,268)	1,633
Depreciation and other amortization	**9,883**	11,320	12,074
Provision for mortgage and other loans receivable	**4**	429	1,011
Impairments of assets	**3,482**	5,372	9,260
Amortization of costs and accrued interest and fees related to FRBNY Credit Facility	**48**	4,223	10,175
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
General and life insurance reserves	**(202)**	8,705	5,991
Premiums and other receivables and payables net	**1,828**	595	2,282
Reinsurance assets and funds held under reinsurance treaties	**(1,103)**	(3,510)	(246)
Capitalization of deferred policy acquisition costs	**(7,796)**	(9,321)	(8,938)
Other policyholder funds	**(407)**	572	689
Current and deferred income taxes net	**(18,752)**	4,856	(2,397)
Trading securities	**281**	354	993
Payment of FRBNY Credit Facility accrued compounded interest and fees	**(6,363)**	-	-
Other, net	**(1,121)**	(2,835)	(3,143)
Total adjustments	**(20,306)**	(2,374)	25,101
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities continuing operations	**(3,335)**	9,703	12,283
Net cash provided by operating activities discontinued operations	**3,370**	7,207	6,301
Net cash provided by operating activities	**$ 35**	$ 16,910	$ 18,584

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

American International Group, Inc.
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows *(Continued)*

Years Ended December 31, ***(in millions)***	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Proceeds from (payments for)			
Sales of available for sale investments	**44,026**	56,213	39,969
Maturities of fixed maturity securities available for sale and hybrid investments	**20,131**	14,657	15,778
Sales of trading securities	**9,733**	6,313	12,493
Sales or distributions of other invested assets (including flight equipment)	**7,936**	10,495	10,745
Sales of divested businesses, net	**587**	21,760	5,278
Principal payments received on and sales of mortgage and other loans receivable	**3,207**	5,410	9,195
Purchases of available for sale investments	**(90,630)**	(79,263)	(58,859)
Purchases of trading securities	**(1,250)**	(3,003)	(4,854)
Purchases of other invested assets (including flight equipment)	**(6,675)**	(7,850)	(10,270)
Mortgage and other loans receivable issued and purchased	**(2,600)**	(2,995)	(6,283)
Net change in restricted cash	**27,244**	(27,115)	(250)
Net change in short-term investments	**19,988**	(5,233)	(9,021)
Net change in derivative assets and liabilities other than AIGFP	**587**	267	(127)
Other, net	**(430)**	(599)	2,612
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities continuing operations	**31,854**	(10,943)	6,406
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities discontinued operations	**4,478**	718	(628)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	**36,332**	(10,225)	5,778
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from (payments for)			
Policyholder contract deposits	**17,903**	19,570	21,546
Policyholder contract withdrawals	**(13,570)**	(14,897)	(26,258)
Net change in short-term debt	**(227)**	(5,630)	(11,072)
Federal Reserve Bank of New York credit facility borrowings	**-**	19,900	32,526
Federal Reserve Bank of New York credit facility repayments	**(14,622)**	(23,178)	(26,426)
Issuance of other long-term debt	**7,762**	13,046	3,452
Repayments of other long-term debt	**(17,810)**	(15,976)	(19,451)
Proceeds from drawdown on the Department of the Treasury Commitment	**20,292**	2,199	5,344
Repayment of Department of the Treasury SPV Preferred Interests	**(12,425)**	-	-
Repayment of Federal Reserve Bank of New York SPV Preferred Interests	**(26,432)**	-	-
Issuance of Common Stock	**5,055**	-	-
Purchase of Common Stock	**(70)**	-	-
Acquisition of noncontrolling interest	**(688)**	-	-
Other, net	**(152)**	(579)	(671)
Net cash used in financing activities continuing operations	**(34,984)**	(5,545)	(21,010)
Net cash used in financing activities discontinued operations	**(1,942)**	(3,716)	(7,987)
Net cash used in financing activities	**(36,926)**	(9,261)	(28,997)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	**29**	39	533
Net decrease in cash	**(530)**	(2,537)	(4,102)
Cash at beginning of period	**1,558**	4,400	8,642
Change in cash of businesses held for sale	**446**	(305)	(140)

Cash at end of period	**$**	**1,474**	$	1,558	$	4,400

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Index of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

		Page
Note 1.	Basis of Presentation and Significant Events	206
Note 2.	Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	212
Note 3.	Segment Information	231
Note 4.	Divested Businesses, Discontinued Operations and Held for Sale Classification	237
Note 5	Business Combinations	240
Note 6.	Fair Value Measurements	241
Note 7.	Investments	266
Note 8.	Lending Activities	277
Note 9.	Reinsurance	279
Note 10.	Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs	282
Note 11.	Variable Interest Entities	283
Note 12.	Derivatives and Hedge Accounting	287
Note 13.	Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense and Future Policy Benefits for Life and Accident and Health Insurance Contracts and Policyholder Contract Deposits	297
Note 14.	Variable Life and Annuity Contracts	300
Note 15.	Debt Outstanding	302
Note 16.	Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees	308
Note 17.	Total Equity and Earnings (Loss) Per Share	329
Note 18.	Statutory Financial Data and Restrictions	338
Note 19.	Share-based Compensation and Other Plans	339
Note 20.	Employee Benefits	345
Note 21	Ownership	354
Note 22.	Income Taxes	354
Note 23.	Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)	361
Note 24.	Information Provided in Connection With Outstanding Debt	362

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

American International Group, Inc. (AIG) is a leading international insurance organization serving customers in more than 130 countries. AIG companies serve commercial, institutional and individual customers through one of the most extensive worldwide property-casualty networks of any insurer. In addition, AIG companies are leading providers of life insurance and retirement services in the United States.

Since September 2008, AIG has been working to protect and enhance the value of its key businesses, execute an orderly asset disposition plan, and position itself for the future. AIG has entered into several important transactions and relationships with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (FRBNY), the AIG Credit Facility Trust (together with its trustees, acting in their capacity as trustees, the Trust) and the United States Department of the Treasury (the Department of the Treasury) during this period. As a result of the series of integrated transactions to recapitalize AIG, on January 14, 2011, the Department of the Treasury became the holder of 92 percent of AIG's outstanding common stock, par value $2.50 per share (AIG Common Stock). In May 2011, AIG completed a registered public offering of AIG Common Stock (the May Common Stock Offering), which resulted in a reduction of the Department of the Treasury's ownership to approximately 77 percent. See Significant Events below for further information.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of AIG, its controlled subsidiaries (through a greater than 50 percent ownership of voting rights of a voting interest entity), and variable interest entities (VIEs) in which AIG is the primary beneficiary. Entities that AIG does not consolidate, but in which it holds 20 percent to 50 percent of the voting rights or otherwise has the ability to exercise significant influence, are accounted for under the equity method unless AIG has elected the fair value option. On October 29, 2010, AIG completed an initial public offering (IPO) of 8.08 billion ordinary shares of AIA Group Limited (AIA), upon the completion of which AIG owned approximately 33 percent of AIA's outstanding shares. AIG accounts for its investment in AIA under the fair value option with gains and losses recorded in Net investment income.

Certain of AIG's foreign subsidiaries included in the consolidated financial statements report on different fiscal period bases, in most cases ending November 30. The effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition and results of operations of all material events occurring at these subsidiaries between the fiscal year end and December 31 for all periods presented has been recorded.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements requires the application of accounting policies that often involve a significant degree of judgment. AIG considers the accounting policies that are most dependent on the application of estimates and assumptions to be those relating to items considered by management in the determination of:

- estimates with respect to income taxes, including the recoverability of the deferred tax assets and the predictability of future tax planning strategies and operating profitability of the character necessary for their realization;

- recoverability of assets, including deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC), flight equipment, and reinsurance;

- insurance liabilities, including general insurance unpaid claims and claims adjustment expenses and future policy benefits for life and accident and health contracts;

estimated gross profits for investment-oriented products;

impairment charges, including other-than-temporary impairments on financial instruments and goodwill impairments;

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

liabilities for legal contingencies; and

fair value measurements of certain financial assets and liabilities, including credit default swaps (CDS) and AIG's economic interest in Maiden Lane II LLC (ML II) and equity interest in Maiden Lane III LLC (ML III) (together, the Maiden Lane Interests).

These accounting estimates require the use of assumptions about matters, some of which are highly uncertain at the time of estimation. To the extent actual experience differs from the assumptions used, AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially affected.

RECLASSIFICATIONS AND SEGMENT CHANGES

Reclassifications

Due to changes in the relative composition of AIG's remaining continuing operations as a result of the substantial completion of AIG's asset disposition plan, AIG began presenting separately the following line items on its Consolidated Statement of Operations beginning in 2011:

Current line item:	Previously included in line item:
Policy fees[(a)]	Premiums and other considerations
Aircraft leasing revenues and Aircraft leasing expenses, respectively	Other income and Other expenses, respectively
Interest credited to policyholder account balances[(b)]	Policyholder benefits and claims incurred
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs	Policy acquisition and other insurance expenses

(a) *Represents fees recognized from universal life and investment-type products, consisting of policy charges for the cost of insurance, policy administration charges, amortization of unearned revenue reserves and surrender charges.*

(b) *Represents interest on account-value-based policyholder deposits, consisting of amounts credited on non-equity-indexed account values, accretion to the host contract for equity indexed products, and net amortization of sales inducements.*

Segment Changes and Prior Period Reclassifications

In order to align financial reporting with the manner in which AIG's chief operating decision makers review the businesses to allocate resources and assess performance, changes were made during 2011 to AIG's segment information. See Note 3 herein for additional information on AIG's segment changes.

Prior period amounts were reclassified to conform to the current period presentation for the above items. Additionally, certain other reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts in the Consolidated Statement of Operations, Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows and Consolidated Balance Sheet to conform to the current period presentation. See Note 4 herein for reclassifications to prior period amounts attributable to discontinued operations.

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

During 2011, AIG completed the Recapitalization (described below), executed transactions in the debt and equity capital markets and substantially completed its asset disposition plan.

Recapitalization

On January 14, 2011 (the Closing), AIG completed a series of integrated transactions to recapitalize AIG (the Recapitalization) with the Department of the Treasury, the FRBNY and the Trust, including the repayment of all amounts owed under the Credit Agreement, dated as of September 22, 2008 (as amended, the FRBNY Credit Facility). At the Closing, AIG recognized a net loss on extinguishment of debt, primarily representing $3.3 billion

in accelerated amortization of the remaining prepaid commitment fee asset resulting from the termination of the FRBNY Credit Facility.

Repayment and Termination of the FRBNY Credit Facility

At the Closing, AIG repaid to the FRBNY approximately $21 billion in cash, representing complete repayment of all amounts owed under the FRBNY Credit Facility, and the FRBNY Credit Facility was terminated. The funds for the repayment came from the net cash proceeds from AIG's sale of 67 percent of the ordinary shares of AIA in its initial public offering and from AIG's sale of American Life Insurance Company (ALICO) in 2010. These funds were loaned to AIG in the form of secured limited recourse debt from the special purpose vehicles that held the proceeds of the AIA IPO and the ALICO sale (the AIA SPV and the ALICO SPV, respectively, and collectively, the SPVs). The loan from the ALICO SPV was repaid in full during 2011. The loan from the AIA SPV is secured by pledges and any proceeds received from the sale by AIG and certain of its subsidiaries of, among other collateral, all or part of their equity interest in International Lease Finance Corporation (ILFC or the Designated Entity). Proceeds from the sales of the remaining ordinary shares of AIA held by the AIA SPV will be used to pay down the liquidation preference of the Department of the Treasury's preferred interests in the AIA SPV (the AIA SPV Preferred Interests) (described below). Until their respective sales on February 1, 2011 and August 18, 2011 as further discussed in Sales of Divested Businesses below, AIG's Japan-based life insurance subsidiaries, AIG Star Life Insurance Company Ltd. (AIG Star) and AIG Edison Life Insurance Company (AIG Edison), and Nan Shan Life Insurance Company, Ltd. (Nan Shan), were also Designated Entities.

Repurchase and Exchange of SPV Preferred Interests

At the Closing, AIG drew down approximately $20.3 billion (the Series F Closing Drawdown Amount) under the Department of the Treasury's commitment (the Department of the Treasury Commitment (Series F)) pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 17, 2009 (the Series F SPA), between AIG and the Department of the Treasury relating to AIG's Series F Fixed Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, par value $5.00 per share (the Series F Preferred Stock). The Series F Closing Drawdown Amount was the full amount remaining under the Department of the Treasury Commitment (Series F), less $2 billion that AIG designated to be available after the closing for general corporate purposes under a commitment relating to AIG's Series G Cumulative Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock, par value $5.00 per share (the Series G Preferred Stock), described below (the Series G Drawdown Right). The right of AIG to draw on the Department of the Treasury Commitment (Series F) (other than the Series G Drawdown Right) was terminated.

AIG used the Series F Closing Drawdown Amount to repurchase all of the FRBNY's AIA SPV Preferred Interests and the preferred interests in the ALICO SPV (together with the AIA SPV Preferred Interests, the SPV Preferred Interests). AIG transferred the SPV Preferred Interests to the Department of the Treasury as part of the consideration for the exchange of the Series F Preferred Stock (described below).

The Department of the Treasury, so long as it holds AIA SPV Preferred Interests, has the right, subject to existing contractual restrictions, to require AIG to dispose of the remaining AIA ordinary shares held by the AIA SPV to the extent necessary to fully repay the liquidation preference on the Department of the Treasury's AIA SPV Preferred Interests. In addition, the consent of the Department of the Treasury, so long as it holds SPV Preferred Interests, will be required for AIG to take specified significant actions with respect to the Designated Entity, ILFC, including an IPO, sales, significant acquisitions or dispositions and incurrence of specified levels of indebtedness. If any SPV Preferred Interests are outstanding on May 1, 2013, the Department of the Treasury will have the right to compel the sale of all or a portion of ILFC on terms that it will determine.

As a result of these transactions, the AIA SPV Preferred Interests are no longer considered permanent equity on AIG's Consolidated Balance Sheet, and are classified as Redeemable noncontrolling nonvoting, callable, junior preferred interests held by the Department of the Treasury.

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Issuance and Cancellation of AIG's Series G Preferred Stock

At the Closing, AIG and the Department of the Treasury amended and restated the Series F SPA to provide for the issuance of 20,000 shares of Series G Preferred Stock by AIG to the Department of the Treasury. The Series G Preferred Stock was issued with a liquidation preference of zero. Because the net proceeds to AIG from the completion of the registered public offering of AIG Common Stock in the May Common Stock Offering of $2.9 billion exceeded the $2.0 billion Series G Drawdown Right, the Series G Drawdown Right was terminated and the Series G Preferred Stock was cancelled immediately thereafter.

Exchange of AIG's Series C, E and F Preferred Stock for AIG Common Stock and Series G Preferred Stock

At the Closing:

- the shares of AIG's Series C Perpetual, Convertible, Participating Preferred Stock, par value $5.00 per share (the Series C Preferred Stock), held by the Trust, were exchanged for 562,868,096 shares of newly issued AIG Common Stock, which were subsequently transferred by the Trust to the Department of the Treasury;

- the shares of AIG's Series E Fixed Rate Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, par value $5.00 per share (the Series E Preferred Stock), held by the Department of the Treasury, were exchanged for 924,546,133 newly issued shares of AIG Common Stock; and

- the shares of the Series F Preferred Stock held by the Department of the Treasury, were exchanged for (a) the SPV Preferred Interests, (b) 20,000 shares of the Series G Preferred Stock (subsequently cancelled) and (c) 167,623,733 shares of newly issued AIG Common Stock.

The issuance of AIG Common Stock to the Department of the Treasury described above significantly affected the determination of Net income (loss) attributable to AIG common shareholders and the weighted average shares outstanding, both of which are used to compute earnings per share. See Note 17 herein for further discussion.

AIG entered into a registration rights agreement (the Registration Rights Agreement) with the Department of the Treasury that granted the Department of the Treasury registration rights with respect to the shares of AIG Common Stock issued at the Closing. The May Common Stock Offering was conducted in accordance with the right of AIG under the Registration Rights Agreement to complete a registered primary offering of AIG Common Stock. Current rights of the Department of the Treasury under the Registration Rights Agreement include:

- the right to participate in any future registered offering of AIG Common Stock by AIG;

- the right to demand that AIG effect a registered market offering of its shares no more than twice in any 12-month period;

- the right to engage in at-the-market offerings; and

- subject to certain exceptions, the right to approve the terms, conditions and pricing of any registered offering in which it participates until its ownership falls below 33 percent of AIG's voting securities.

AIG has the right to raise the greater of $2 billion and the amount of the projected liquidity shortfall if the AIG Board of Directors determines, after consultation with the Department of the Treasury, that due to events affecting AIG's insurance subsidiaries, AIG Parent's reasonably projected aggregate liquidity (cash and cash equivalents and commitments of credit) will fall below $8 billion within 12 months of the date of such determination.

Until the Department of the Treasury's ownership of AIG's voting securities falls below 33 percent, the Department of the Treasury will, subject to certain exceptions, have complete control over the terms, conditions

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

and pricing of any offering in which it participates, including any primary offering by AIG. As a result, if AIG seeks to conduct an offering of its equity securities the Department of the Treasury may decide to participate in the offering, and to prevent AIG from selling any equity securities.

Issuance of Warrants to Purchase AIG Common Stock

On January 19, 2011, as part of the Recapitalization, AIG issued to the holders of record of AIG Common Stock as of January 13, 2011, by means of a dividend, ten-year warrants to purchase a total of 74,997,778 shares of AIG Common Stock at an exercise price of $45.00 per share. AIG retained 67,650 of these warrants for tax withholding purposes. No warrants were issued to the Trust, the Department of the Treasury or the FRBNY.

May 2011 Common Stock Offering and Sale

On May 27, 2011, AIG and the Department of the Treasury, as the selling shareholder, completed a registered public offering of AIG Common Stock. AIG issued and sold 100 million shares of AIG Common Stock for aggregate net proceeds of approximately $2.9 billion and the Department of the Treasury sold 200 million shares of AIG Common Stock. AIG did not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the shares of AIG Common Stock by the Department of the Treasury. Of the net proceeds AIG received from this offering, $550 million has been used to fund the Consolidated 2004 Securities Litigation settlement (see Note 16 herein). As required by the Registration Rights Agreement, AIG paid the underwriting discount as well as certain expenses with respect to the shares sold by the Department of the Treasury. The balance of the net proceeds was used for general corporate purposes. As a result of the sale of AIG Common Stock in this offering, the Series G Drawdown Right was terminated, the Series G Preferred Stock was cancelled and the ownership by the Department of the Treasury was reduced from approximately 92 percent to approximately 77 percent of the AIG Common Stock outstanding after the completion of the offering.

September 2011 Debt Offering

On September 13, 2011, AIG issued $1.2 billion of 4.250% Notes Due 2014 and $800 million of 4.875% Notes Due 2016. The proceeds are being used to pay maturing notes issued by AIG to fund the Matched Investment Program (MIP).

October 2011 Syndicated Credit and Contingent Liquidity Facilities

On October 12, 2011, the previously outstanding AIG 364-Day Syndicated Facility, AIG 3-Year Syndicated Facility and Chartis letter of credit facility were terminated and AIG entered into a $1.5 billion 364-Day Syndicated Facility and a $3.0 billion 4-Year Syndicated Facility. The new 4-Year Syndicated Facility provides for $3.0 billion of revolving loans, which includes a $1.5 billion letter of credit sublimit. The $1.3 billion of previously issued letters of credit under the Chartis letter of credit facility were rolled into the letter of credit sublimit within the 4-Year Syndicated Facility, so that a total of $1.7 billion remains available under this facility, of which $0.2 billion is available for letters of credit. AIG expects that it may draw down on these facilities from time to time, and may use the proceeds for general corporate purposes.

In December 2010, AIG established a $500 million contingent liquidity facility. Under this facility, AIG has the right, prior to December 15, 2015, to issue up to $500 million in senior debt to the counterparty, based on a put option agreement between AIG and the counterparty. The senior debt, if issued, will mature on December 15, 2015.

In October 2011, AIG entered into an additional contingent liquidity facility. Under this facility, AIG has the right, for a period of one year, to enter into put option agreements, with an aggregate notional amount of up to $500 million, with an unaffiliated international financial institution pursuant to which AIG has the right, for a

period of five years from the date any such put option agreement is entered into, to issue up to $500 million in senior debt to the financial institution, at AIG's discretion.

November 2011 Exchange Offer

In November 2011, AIG exchanged specified series of its outstanding Junior Subordinated Debentures for newly issued senior notes pursuant to an exchange offer. The exchange resulted in a gain on extinguishment of debt of approximately $484 million, which is reflected in Net loss on extinguishment of debt in the Consolidated Statement of Operations and a deferred gain of $65 million, included in Other long-term debt in the Consolidated Balance Sheet, which will be amortized as a reduction to future interest expense. See Note 15 herein for additional information on this transaction.

Sales of Businesses

On January 12, 2011, AIG entered into an agreement to sell its 97.57 percent interest in Nan Shan to a Taiwan-based consortium. The transaction closed on August 18, 2011 for net proceeds of $2.15 billion in cash. The net proceeds from the transaction were used to pay down a portion of the liquidation preference of the Department of the Treasury's AIA SPV Preferred Interests.

On February 1, 2011, AIG completed the sale of AIG Star and AIG Edison to Prudential Financial, Inc., for $4.8 billion, consisting of $4.2 billion in cash and $0.6 billion in the assumption of third-party debt. Of the $4.2 billion in cash, AIG retained $2 billion to support the capital of Chartis, Inc. (Chartis) and its subsidiaries pursuant to an agreement with the Department of the Treasury, and caused the remaining amount to be applied to pay down a portion of liquidation preference of the Department of the Treasury's AIA SPV Preferred Interests. AIG recognized a pre-tax gain of $2.0 billion on the date of the sale which is reflected in Income (loss) from discontinued operations in the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

See Note 4 herein for additional information on these transactions and Note 16 for discussion of indemnification provisions.

Sale of MetLife Securities

On March 1, 2011, AIG entered into a Coordination Agreement among the ALICO SPV, AIG and MetLife, Inc. (MetLife) regarding a series of integrated transactions (the MetLife Disposition) whereby MetLife agreed to allow AIG to offer for sale earlier than contemplated under the original terms of the ALICO sale (the ALICO Sale) the MetLife securities that AIG received when it sold ALICO to MetLife. The MetLife Disposition included (i) the sale of MetLife common stock, par value $0.01 per share, and the sale of common equity units of MetLife pursuant to two separate underwritten public offerings and (ii) the sale by the ALICO SPV of MetLife preferred stock to MetLife.

In connection with the MetLife Disposition, on March 1, 2011, AIG and the ALICO SPV entered into a letter agreement with the Department of the Treasury pursuant to which AIG and the ALICO SPV received the consent of the Department of the Treasury to the MetLife Disposition. AIG completed the MetLife Disposition on March 8, 2011 for a total of $9.6 billion and used $6.6 billion of the proceeds to pay down all of the liquidation preference of the Department of the Treasury's ALICO SPV Preferred Interests and a portion of the liquidation preference of the Department of the Treasury's AIA SPV Preferred Interests. In the first quarter of 2011, AIG recognized a loss of $348 million, representing the decline in the value of the MetLife securities from December 31, 2010 through their disposition on March 8, 2011, due to market conditions prior to the MetLife Disposition. Of this amount, $191 million is reflected in Net realized capital gains (losses) and $157 million is reflected in Net investment income in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. The remaining proceeds were placed in escrow to secure indemnities provided to MetLife under the original terms of the ALICO stock purchase agreement as described in Note 16 herein.

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

LIQUIDITY ASSESSMENT

In assessing AIG's current financial flexibility and developing operating plans for the future, management has made significant judgments and estimates with respect to the potential financial and liquidity effects of AIG's risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to:

- the potential effect of changes in bond, equity and foreign exchange markets on contingent liquidity requirements;
- the potential effect on AIG if the capital levels of its regulated and unregulated subsidiaries prove inadequate to support current business plans;
- AIG's continued ability to generate cash flow from operations;
- the potential adverse effects on AIG's businesses that could result if there are further downgrades by rating agencies; and
- the potential for regulatory limitations on AIG's business in one or more countries.

AIG believes that it has sufficient liquidity to satisfy future liquidity requirements and meet its obligations, including requirements arising out of reasonably foreseeable contingencies and events.

SUPPLEMENTARY DISCLOSURE OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Years Ended December 31, ***(in millions)***	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest*	**$ (8,985)**	$ (5,166)	$ (5,777)
Taxes	**$ (716)**	$ (1,002)	$ (226)
Non-cash financing/investing activities:			
Noncontrolling nonvoting callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by Federal Reserve Bank of New York	**$ -**	$ -	$ 25,000
Interest credited to policyholder contract deposits included in financing activities	**$ 4,750**	$ 9,294	$ 12,615
Long-term debt reduction due to deconsolidations	**$ -**	$ -	$ 775
Exchange of equity units and extinguishment of junior subordinated debentures	**$ -**	$ 3,657	$ -
Exchange of junior subordinated debentures for senior notes	**$ (2,392)**	$ -	$ -
Senior notes exchanged for junior subordinated debentures	**$ 1,843**	$ -	$ -
Non-cash consideration received from sale of ALICO	**$ -**	$ 9,041	$ -
Debt assumed on consolidation of variable interest entities	**$ -**	$ 2,591	$ -
Debt assumed on acquisition	**$ 299**	$ 164	$ -

* *2011 includes payment of FRBNY credit facility accrued compounded interest of $4.7 billion, before the facility was terminated on January 14, 2011 in connection with the Recapitalization.*

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(a) Revenue recognition and expenses:

Premiums: Premiums for short duration contracts are recorded as written on the inception date of the policy. Premiums are earned primarily on a pro rata basis over the term of the related coverage. The reserve for unearned premiums includes the portion of premiums written relating to the unexpired terms of coverage. Reinsurance premiums under a reinsurance contract are typically earned over the same period as the underlying policies, or risks, covered by the contracts. As a result, the earning pattern of a reinsurance contract may extend up to 24 months, reflecting the inception dates of the underlying policies.

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Reinsurance premiums ceded are expensed over the period the reinsurance coverage is provided in proportion to the risks to which they relate.

Premiums for long duration insurance products and life contingent annuities are recognized as revenues when due. Estimates for premiums due but not yet collected are accrued.

Policy fees: Policy fees represent fees recognized from universal life and investment-type products consisting of policy charges for the cost of insurance, policy administration charges, amortization of unearned revenue reserves and surrender charges.

Net investment income: Net investment income represents income primarily from the following sources in AIG's insurance operations and AIG Parent:

- Interest income and related expenses, including amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on bonds with changes in the timing and the amount of expected principal and interest cash flows reflected in the yield, as applicable.
- Dividend income from common and preferred stock and distributions from other investments.
- Realized and unrealized gains and losses from investments in trading securities accounted for at fair value.
- Earnings from private equity funds and hedge fund investments accounted for under the equity method.
- The difference between the carrying amount of a life settlement contract and the life insurance proceeds of the underlying life insurance policy recorded in income upon the death of the insured.
- Changes in the fair values of AIG's interests in ML II, ML III, AIA and MetLife securities prior to sale.

Net realized capital gains (losses): Net realized capital gains and losses are determined by specific identification. The net realized capital gains and losses are generated primarily from the following sources:

- Sales of fixed maturity securities and equity securities (except trading securities accounted for at fair value), real estate, investments in private equity funds and hedge funds and other types of investments.
- Reductions to the cost basis of fixed maturity securities and equity securities (except trading securities accounted for at fair value) and other invested assets for other-than-temporary impairments.
- Changes in fair value of derivatives except for (1) those instruments at AIG Financial Products Corp. and AIG Trading Group Inc. and their respective subsidiaries (collectively, AIGFP), (2) those instruments that qualify for hedge accounting treatment when the change in the fair value of the hedged item is not reported in Net realized capital gains (losses), and (3) those instruments that are designated as economic hedges of financial instruments for which the fair value option has been elected.
- Exchange gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions.

Aircraft leasing revenue: Income from flight equipment under operating leases is recognized over the life of the lease as rentals become receivable under the provisions of the lease or, in the case of leases with varying payments, under the straight-line method over the noncancelable term of the lease. In certain cases, leases provide for additional payments contingent on usage. In those cases, rental income is recognized at the time such usage occurs, net of estimated future contractual aircraft maintenance reimbursements. Gains on sales of flight equipment are recognized in Other income when flight equipment is sold and the risk of ownership of the equipment is passed to the new owner.

Other income: Other income includes unrealized gains and losses on derivatives, including unrealized market valuation gains and losses associated with AIGFP's super senior credit default swap (CDS) portfolio, as well as income from the Direct Investment book.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Other income from the operations of the Direct Investment book and AIG's Other Operations category consists of the following:

Change in fair value relating to financial assets and liabilities for which the fair value option has been elected.

Interest income and related expenses, including amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on bonds with changes in the timing and the amount of expected principal and interest cash flows reflected in the yield, as applicable.

Dividend income from common and preferred stock and distributions from other investments.

Changes in the fair value of trading securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased, futures, hybrid financial instruments, securities purchased under agreements to resell, and securities sold under agreements to repurchase for which the fair value option was elected.

Realized capital gains and losses from the sales of available for sale securities and investments in private equity funds and hedge funds and other investments.

Income earned on real estate based investments and related losses from property level impairments and financing costs.

Exchange gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions.

Reductions to the cost basis of securities available for sale for other-than-temporary impairments.

Earnings from private equity funds and hedge fund investments accounted for under the equity method.

Gains and losses recognized in earnings on derivatives for the effective portion and their related hedged items.

Policyholder benefits and claims incurred: Incurred claims and claims adjustment expenses for short duration insurance contracts consist of the estimated ultimate cost of settling claims incurred within the reporting period, including incurred but not reported claims, plus the changes in estimates of current and prior period losses resulting from the continuous review process, which are charged to income as incurred. Benefits for long duration insurance contracts consist of benefits paid and changes in future policy benefits liabilities. Benefits for universal life and investment-type products primarily consist of interest credited to policy account balances and benefit payments made in excess of policy account balances except for certain contracts for which the fair value option was elected, for which benefits represent the entire change in fair value (including derivative gains and losses on related economic hedges).

Interest credited to policyholder account balances: Represents interest on account-value-based policyholder deposits consisting of amounts credited on non-equity-indexed account values, accretion to the host contract for equity indexed products, and net amortization of sales inducements.

Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs: Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs represents amortization of short-duration and long-duration deferred policy acquisition costs:

Short-duration policies: Policy acquisition costs are deferred and amortized over the period in which the related premiums written are earned, generally 12 months.

Long-duration policies: Policy acquisition costs for participating life, traditional life and accident and health insurance products are generally deferred and amortized, with interest, over the premium paying period. Policy acquisition costs and policy issuance costs related to universal life, and investment-type products (investment-oriented products) are deferred and amortized, with interest, in relation to the incidence of estimated gross profits to be realized over the estimated lives of the contracts.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Aircraft leasing expenses: Aircraft leasing expenses consist of depreciation expense, impairment charges, fair value adjustments and lease-related charges on aircraft as well as selling, general and administrative expenses and other expenses incurred by ILFC.

Net (gain) loss on sale of properties and divested businesses: Includes gains or losses from the sales of businesses that do not qualify as discontinued operations and sales of previously occupied properties.

(b) Held-for-sale and discontinued operations: AIG reports a business as held for sale when management has approved or received approval to sell the business and is committed to a formal plan, the business is available for immediate sale, the business is being actively marketed, the sale is anticipated to occur during the ensuing year and certain other specified criteria are met. A business classified as held for sale is recorded at the lower of its carrying amount or estimated fair value less cost to sell. If the carrying amount of the business exceeds its estimated fair value, a loss is recognized. Depreciation is not recorded on assets of a business classified as held for sale. Assets and liabilities related to a business classified as held for sale are segregated in the Consolidated Balance Sheet and major classes are separately disclosed in the notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements commencing in the period in which the business is classified as held for sale.

AIG reports the results of operations of a business as discontinued operations if the business is classified as held for sale, the operations and cash flows of the business have been or will be eliminated from the ongoing operations of AIG as a result of a disposal transaction and AIG will not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations of the business after the disposal transaction. The results of discontinued operations are reported in Discontinued Operations in the Consolidated Statement of Operations for current and prior periods commencing in the period in which the business meets the criteria of a discontinued operation, and include any gain or loss recognized on closing or adjustment of the carrying amount to fair value less cost to sell.

(c) Investments:

Fixed maturity and equity securities: Bonds held to maturity are carried at amortized cost when AIG has the ability and positive intent to hold these securities until maturity. When AIG does not have the positive intent to hold bonds until maturity, these securities are classified as available for sale or as trading and are carried at fair value. None of AIG's fixed maturity securities met the criteria for held to maturity classification at December 31, 2011 or 2010.

Fixed maturity and equity securities classified as available for sale or as trading are carried at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses from available for sale investments in fixed maturity and equity securities are reported as a separate component of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of deferred acquisition costs and deferred income taxes, in Total AIG shareholders' equity. Realized and unrealized gains and losses from fixed maturity and equity securities classified as trading are reflected in Net investment income (for insurance subsidiaries) or Other income (for Direct Investment book). Investments in fixed maturities and equity securities are recorded on a trade-date basis.

Premiums and discounts arising from the purchase of bonds classified as available for sale are treated as yield adjustments over their estimated holding periods, until maturity, or call date, if applicable. For investments in certain residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS), certain commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) and certain collateralized debt obligations/asset backed securities (CDO/ABS), (collectively, structured securities), recognized yields are updated based on current information regarding the timing and amount of expected undiscounted future cash flows. For high credit-quality structured securities, effective yields are recalculated based on actual payments received and updated prepayment expectations, and the amortized cost is adjusted to the amount that would have existed had the new effective yield been applied since acquisition with a corresponding charge or credit to net investment income. For structured securities that are not high credit-quality, effective yields are recalculated and adjusted prospectively based on changes in expected undiscounted future cash flows. For purchased credit impaired (PCI) securities, at acquisition, the difference between the undiscounted expected

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

future cash flows and the recorded investment in the securities represents the initial accretable yield, which is to be accreted into net investment income over the securities' remaining lives on a level-yield basis. Subsequently, effective yields recognized on PCI securities are recalculated and adjusted prospectively to reflect changes in the contractual benchmark interest rates on variable rate securities and any significant increases in undiscounted expected future cash flows arising due to reasons other than interest rate changes.

Trading securities include the investment portfolio of the Direct Investment book and the Maiden Lane Interests. Trading securities for the Direct Investment book are held to meet short-term investment objectives and to economically hedge other securities.

For discussion of AIG's other-than-temporary impairment policy, see Note 7 herein.

Mortgage and other loans receivable net: Mortgage and other loans receivable include commercial mortgages, life insurance policy loans, commercial loans, other loans and notes receivable. Commercial mortgages, commercial loans, and other loans and notes receivable are carried at unpaid principal balances less credit allowances and plus or minus adjustments for the accretion or amortization of discount or premium. Interest income on such loans is accrued as earned.

Direct costs of originating commercial mortgages, commercial loans, and other loans and notes receivable, net of nonrefundable points and fees, are deferred and included in the carrying amount of the related receivables. The amount deferred is amortized to income as an adjustment to earnings using the interest method.

Mortgage and other loans receivable are considered impaired when collection of all amounts due under contractual terms is not probable. Interest income on such impaired loans is recognized as cash is received. For a discussion of the allowance for credit losses on mortgages and other loans receivable, see Note 8 herein.

Mortgage and other loans receivable also include life insurance policy loans, which are carried at unpaid principal amount. There is no allowance for policy loans because these loans serve to reduce the death benefit paid when the death claim is made and the balances are effectively collateralized by the cash surrender value of the policy.

Flight equipment primarily under operating leases net: Flight equipment is stated at cost (adjusted for any impairment charges), net of accumulated depreciation. Major additions, modifications and interest on deposits during the construction phase are capitalized. Normal maintenance and repairs, airframe and engine overhauls and compliance with return conditions of flight equipment on lease are generally provided by and paid for by the lessee. Under the provisions of most leases for certain airframe and engine overhauls, the lessee is reimbursed for certain costs incurred up to but not exceeding contingent rentals paid to ILFC by the lessee. ILFC recognizes overhaul rentals received as revenue, net of estimated overhaul reimbursements. Any lessor maintenance contribution made by ILFC in conjunction with a lease of a used aircraft and in excess of overhaul rentals received from the lessee, is capitalized as lease incentives and amortized into lease revenue over the life of the lease. Maintenance performed by ILFC in the event of a repossession of an aircraft is capitalized to the extent the costs meet the recognition criteria for an asset. Depreciation of aircraft is generally computed on a straight-line basis over a useful life of 25 years to a residual value of approximately 15 percent of the cost of the asset.

Aircraft in the fleet are evaluated for impairment annually during the third quarter and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets is measured by comparing the carrying amount of an asset to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. These evaluations for impairment are significantly affected by estimates of future net cash flows and other factors that involve uncertainty. There are a number of factors and circumstances that can influence (and increase) the potential for recognizing an impairment loss. A firm commitment to sell aircraft may result in aircraft being reclassified from held for use to held for sale for financial reporting purposes and would require an impairment assessment based on the aircraft's fair value. An increase in the likelihood of a sale transaction being completed could result in a similar impairment assessment if the probability of an aircraft sale

becomes high enough to reduce the probability weighted expected undiscounted future cash flows to be realized from the aircraft to an amount that is less than its carrying value. In addition, changes in portfolio strategies, changes in demand for a particular aircraft type and changes in economic and market circumstances, including risk factors affecting the airline industry, can affect the impairment assessment.

When assets are retired or disposed of, the cost and associated accumulated depreciation are removed from the related accounts and the difference, net of proceeds, is recorded as a gain in Other income.

Other invested assets: Other invested assets consist primarily of investments by AIG's insurance operations in hedge funds, private equity funds, other investment partnerships and direct private equity investments. AIG's investments in life settlement contracts and its 33 percent interest in AIA are also included in Other invested assets.

Hedge funds, private equity funds and other investment partnerships in which AIG's insurance operations hold in the aggregate less than a five percent interest are reported at fair value. The change in fair value is recognized as a component of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). With respect to hedge funds, private equity funds and other investment partnerships in which AIG holds in the aggregate a five percent or greater interest or less than a five percent interest but in which AIG has more than a minor influence over the operations of the investee, AIG's carrying value is its share of the net asset value of the funds or the partnerships. The changes in such net asset values, accounted for under the equity method, are recorded in Net investment income. Direct private equity investments entered into for strategic purposes and not solely for capital appreciation or for income generation are also accounted for under the equity method.

In applying the equity method of accounting, AIG consistently uses the most recently available financial information provided by the general partner or manager of each of these investments, which is one to three months prior to the end of AIG's reporting period. The financial statements of these investees are generally audited annually.

Life settlement contracts are accounted for under the investment method. Under the investment method, AIG recognizes its initial investment in life settlement contracts at the transaction price plus all initial direct external costs. Continuing costs to keep the policy in force, primarily life insurance premiums, increase the carrying value of the investment. AIG recognizes income on individual life settlement contracts when the insured dies, at an amount equal to the excess of the contract proceeds over the carrying amount of the contract at that time. Contracts are reviewed for indications that the expected future proceeds from the contract would not be sufficient to recover AIG's estimated future carrying amount of the contract, which is the current carrying amount for the contract plus anticipated undiscounted future premiums and other capitalizable future costs. Any such contracts identified are written down to their estimated fair value.

AIG accounts for its investment in AIA under the fair value option with gains and losses recorded in Net investment income. See Note 7 herein for further information.

Also included in Other invested assets are real estate held for investment and aircraft asset investments held by non-Aircraft Leasing subsidiaries. See Note 7 herein for further information.

Short-term investments: Short-term investments consist of interest-bearing cash equivalents, time deposits, securities purchased under agreements to resell, and investments, such as commercial paper, with original maturities within one year from the date of purchase.

Securities purchased under agreements to resell (reverse repurchase agreements) generally are accounted for as collateralized lending transactions. These agreements are recorded at their contracted resale amounts plus accrued interest, other than those that are accounted for at fair value. Such agreements entered into by AIGFP are carried at fair value based on market observable interest rates. AIG's policy is to take possession of or obtain a security interest in securities purchased under agreements to resell. The value of reverse repurchase agreements that were accounted for as collateralized lending transactions was $7.0 billion at December 31, 2011. The fair value of securities collateral received by AIG was $6.8 billion at December 31, 2011, of which $122 million was repledged by AIG.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AIG minimizes the risk that counterparties to transactions might be unable to fulfill their contractual obligations by monitoring customer credit exposure and collateral value and generally requiring additional collateral to be deposited with AIG when necessary.

(d) Cash: Cash represents cash on hand and non-interest bearing demand deposits.

(e) Premiums and other receivables net: Premiums and other receivables includes premium balances receivable, amounts due from agents and brokers and insureds, trade receivables for Direct Investment book and Global Capital Markets and other receivables. Trade receivables for Global Capital Markets include cash collateral posted to derivative counterparties that are not eligible to be netted against derivative liabilities. The allowance for doubtful accounts on premiums and other receivables was $484 million and $515 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(f) Reinsurance assets net: In the ordinary course of business, AIG uses both treaty and facultative reinsurance to minimize its net loss exposure to any single catastrophic loss event or to an accumulation of losses from a number of smaller events. AIG determines the portion of the incurred but not reported (IBNR) loss that will be recoverable under its reinsurance contracts by reference to the terms of the reinsurance protection purchased. This determination is necessarily based on the estimate of IBNR and accordingly, is subject to the same uncertainties as the estimate of IBNR. Reinsurance assets include the balances due from reinsurance and insurance companies under the terms of AIG's reinsurance agreements for paid and unpaid losses and loss expenses, ceded unearned premiums and ceded future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts and benefits paid and unpaid. Amounts related to paid and unpaid losses and benefits and loss expenses with respect to these reinsurance agreements are substantially collateralized. AIG remains liable to the extent that its reinsurers do not meet their obligation under the reinsurance contracts, and as such, AIG regularly evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers and monitors concentration of credit risk. The allowance for doubtful accounts on reinsurance assets was $365 million and $492 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(g) Deferred policy acquisition costs: Policy acquisition costs represent those costs, including commissions, premium taxes and other underwriting expenses that vary with and are primarily related to the acquisition of new business.

Short-duration insurance contracts: Policy acquisition costs are deferred and amortized over the period in which the related premiums written are earned. DAC is grouped consistent with the manner in which the insurance contracts are acquired, serviced and measured for profitability and is reviewed for recoverability based on the profitability of the underlying insurance contracts. Investment income is not anticipated in assessing the recoverability of DAC. AIG assesses the recoverability of its DAC on an annual basis or more frequently if circumstances indicate an impairment may have occurred. This assessment is performed by comparing recorded unearned premiums to the sum of expected claims, claims adjustment expenses, unamortized DAC and maintenance costs. If the sum of these costs exceeds the amount of recorded unearned premiums, the excess is recognized as an offset against the asset established for DAC. This offset is referred to as a premium deficiency charge. Increases in expected claims and claims adjustment expenses can have a significant impact on the likelihood and amount of a premium deficiency charge.

Long-duration insurance contracts: Policy acquisition costs for participating life, traditional life and accident and health insurance products are generally deferred and amortized, with interest, over the premium paying period. Policy acquisition costs and policy issuance costs related to universal life, and investment-type products (investment-oriented products) are deferred and amortized, with interest, in relation to the incidence of estimated gross profits to be realized over the estimated lives of the contracts. Estimated gross profits are composed of net interest income, net realized investment gains and losses, fees, surrender charges, expenses, and mortality and morbidity gains and losses.

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AIG uses a "reversion to the mean" methodology, which allows AIG to maintain its long-term assumptions, while also giving consideration to the effect of deviations from these assumptions occurring in the current period. A DAC unlocking is performed when management determines that key assumptions (e.g. market return, surrender rates, etc.) should be modified. The DAC asset is recalculated using the new assumptions. The use of a reversion to the mean assumption is common within the industry; however, the parameters used in the methodology are subject to judgment and vary within the industry. If estimated gross profits change significantly, DAC is recalculated using the new assumptions. Any resulting adjustment is included in income as an adjustment to DAC. DAC is grouped consistent with the manner in which the insurance contracts are acquired, serviced and measured for profitability and is reviewed for recoverability based on the current and projected future profitability of the underlying insurance contracts.

The DAC for investment-oriented products is also adjusted for changes in estimated gross profits that result from changes in the net unrealized gains or losses on fixed maturity and equity securities available for sale. Because fixed maturity and equity securities available for sale are carried at aggregate fair value, an adjustment is made to DAC equal to the change in DAC amortization that would have been recorded if such securities had been sold at their stated aggregate fair value and the proceeds reinvested at current yields. For long-duration traditional business, if such reinvestment would not be sufficient to recover DAC and meet policyholder obligations an adjustment to DAC and additional future policy benefits for those products is recorded using current best estimates that incorporate a review of assumptions regarding mortality, morbidity, persistency, maintenance expenses and investment returns. The change in these adjustments, net of tax, is included with the change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments that is credited or charged directly to Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

Value of Business Acquired (VOBA) is determined at the time of acquisition and is reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheet with DAC. This value is based on the present value of future pre-tax profits discounted at yields applicable at the time of purchase. For participating life, traditional life and accident and health insurance products, VOBA is amortized over the life of the business similar to that for DAC based on the assumptions at purchase. For universal life, and investment-oriented products, VOBA is amortized in relation to the estimated gross profits to date for each period.

For contracts accounted for at fair value, policy acquisition costs are expensed as incurred and not deferred or amortized.

See (v) Recent Accounting Standards   Future Application of Accounting Standards herein for changes related to deferred acquisition costs in 2012 due to the adoption of a new accounting standard that addresses the accounting for costs associated with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts.

(h) Derivative assets and derivative liabilities, at fair value: Interest rate, currency, equity and commodity swaps, credit contracts (including AIGFP's super senior credit default swap portfolio), swaptions, options and forward transactions are accounted for as derivatives recorded on a trade-date basis, and carried at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses are reflected in income, when appropriate. In certain instances, a contract's transaction price is the best indication of initial fair value. Aggregate asset or liability positions are netted on the Consolidated Balance Sheet only to the extent permitted by qualifying master netting arrangements in place with each respective counterparty. Cash collateral posted by AIG with counterparties in conjunction with transactions supported by qualifying master netting arrangements is reported as a reduction of the corresponding net derivative liability, while cash collateral received by AIG in conjunction with transactions supported by qualifying master netting arrangements is reported as a reduction of the corresponding net derivative asset.

(i) Other assets: Other assets consists of, prepaid expenses, including deferred advertising costs, sales inducement assets, deposits, other deferred charges, real estate, other fixed assets, capitalized software costs, goodwill, intangible assets other than goodwill, restricted cash, including net cash proceeds from the AIA initial

public offering in 2010 and, at December 31, 2010, net cash proceeds from the ALICO sale held in escrow pending the Closing and a prepaid commitment fee asset related to the FRBNY Credit Agreement. The prepaid commitment fee asset related to the FRBNY Credit Agreement was amortized as interest expense ratably over the five-year term of the agreement, accelerated for actual pay-downs that reduce the total credit available. The remaining unamortized prepaid commitment fee asset of $3.6 billion at December 31, 2010 was derecognized by AIG through earnings upon the closing of the Recapitalization on January 14, 2011.

Certain direct response advertising costs are deferred and amortized over the expected future benefit period. When AIG can demonstrate that its customers have responded specifically to direct-response advertising, the primary purpose of which is to elicit sales to customers, and when it can be shown such advertising results in probable future economic benefits, the advertising costs are capitalized. Deferred advertising costs are amortized on a cost-pool-by-cost-pool basis over the expected future economic benefit period and are reviewed regularly for recoverability. Deferred advertising costs totaled $78 million and $200 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The amount of expense amortized into income was $34 million, $40 million and $173 million, for the years ended 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

AIG offers sales inducements, which include enhanced crediting rates or bonus payments to contract holders (bonus interest) on certain annuity and investment contract products. Sales inducements provided to the contractholder are recognized as part of the liability for policyholders' contract deposits in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Such amounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the contract using the same methodology and assumptions used to amortize DAC. To qualify for such accounting treatment, the bonus interest must be explicitly identified in the contract at inception, and AIG must demonstrate that such amounts are incremental to amounts AIG credits on similar contracts without bonus interest, and are higher than the contract's expected ongoing crediting rates for periods after the bonus period. The deferred bonus interest and other deferred sales inducement assets totaled $766 million and $856 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The amortization expense associated with these assets is reported within Policyholder benefits and claims incurred in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. Such amortization expense totaled $201 million, $194 million and $215 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

All commodities are recorded at the lower of cost or fair value. The exposure to market risk may be reduced through the use of forwards, futures and option contracts. Lower of cost or fair value reductions in commodity positions and unrealized gains and losses in related derivatives are reflected in Other income.

See Note 12 herein for a discussion of derivatives.

The cost of buildings and furniture and equipment is depreciated principally on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives (maximum of 40 years for buildings and 10 years for furniture and equipment). Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to income as incurred; expenditures for improvements are capitalized and depreciated. AIG periodically assesses the carrying value of its real estate for purposes of determining any asset impairment. Capitalized software costs, which represent costs directly related to obtaining, developing or upgrading internal use software, are capitalized and amortized using the straight-line method over a period generally not exceeding five years. Real estate, fixed assets and other long-lived assets are assessed for impairment when impairment indicators exist. Accumulated depreciation on real estate and other fixed assets was $3.8 billion and $3.6 billion at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(j) Goodwill: Goodwill is the excess of the cost of an acquired business over the fair value of the identifiable net assets of the acquired business. Goodwill is tested for impairment annually, or more frequently if circumstances indicate an impairment may have occurred. Substantially all of AIG's goodwill is associated with and allocated to its Chartis segment at December 31, 2011.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The impairment assessment involves a two-step process in which an initial assessment for potential impairment is performed and, if potential impairment is present, the amount of impairment is measured (if any) and recorded. Impairment is tested at the reporting unit level.

Management initially assesses the potential for impairment by estimating the fair value of each of AIG's reporting units and comparing the estimated fair values with the carrying amounts of those reporting units, including allocated goodwill. The estimate of a reporting unit's fair value may be based on one or a combination of approaches including market-based earnings multiples of the unit's peer companies, discounted expected future cash flows, external appraisals or, in the case of reporting units being considered for sale, third-party indications of fair value, if available. Management considers one or more of these estimates when determining the fair value of a reporting unit to be used in the impairment test.

If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, goodwill is not impaired. If the carrying value of a reporting unit exceeds its estimated fair value, goodwill associated with that reporting unit potentially is impaired. The amount of impairment, if any, is measured as the excess of the carrying value of the goodwill over the implied fair value of the goodwill. The implied fair value of the goodwill is measured as the excess of the fair value of the reporting unit over the amounts that would be assigned to the reporting unit's assets and liabilities in a hypothetical business combination. An impairment charge is recognized in earnings to the extent of the excess. Chartis manages its assets on an aggregate basis and does not allocate its assets, other than goodwill, between its operating segments. Therefore, the carrying value of the reporting units was determined by allocating the carrying value of Chartis to those units based upon an internal model.

During the third quarter of 2011, Chartis finalized its reorganization, operating design and related segment reporting changes. In connection with this reorganization, total goodwill of $1.4 billion was allocated between Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance based on their relative fair values as of September 30, 2011. Management tested the allocated goodwill for impairment and determined that the fair values of the Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance reporting units exceeded their carrying values at both September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011 and therefore the goodwill of these reporting units was considered not impaired.

During 2010, AIG had performed goodwill impairment tests at March 31, June 30 and September 30, in connection with the announced sales of ALICO, AIG Star and AIG Edison and again at December 31, 2010.

During 2010, AIG determined that the fair value of ALICO was less than its carrying value. Based on the results of the goodwill impairment test, AIG determined that all of the goodwill allocated to ALICO should be impaired and, accordingly, recognized a goodwill impairment charge of $3.3 billion.

In connection with the announced sale of AIG Star and AIG Edison (the Reporting Unit) in 2010 and management's determination that the Reporting Unit met the held-for-sale criteria, management tested the $1.3 billion of goodwill of the Reporting Unit for impairment. AIG estimated the fair value of the Reporting Unit based on the consideration to be received pursuant to the agreement with Prudential Financial Inc. and determined the fair value to be less than its carrying value. Based on the results of the goodwill impairment test, AIG determined that all of the goodwill allocated to the Reporting Unit should be impaired and, accordingly, recognized a goodwill impairment charge of $1.3 billion in the third quarter of 2010.

At December 31, 2010, AIG performed its annual goodwill impairment test. Based on the results of the goodwill impairment test, AIG concluded that the remaining goodwill was not impaired.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents the changes in goodwill by reportable segment:

(in millions)	Chartis	Aircraft Leasing	Other	Total
Balance at December 31, 2009:				
Goodwill gross	$ 2,480	$ -	$ 7,192	$ 9,672
Accumulated impairments	(1,196)	-	(2,281)	(3,477)
Net goodwill	1,284	-	4,911	6,195
Increase (decrease) due to:				
Acquisition	33	-	-	33
Sales of business units	-	-	(69)	(69)
Other[(a)]	16	-	(86)	(70)
Goodwill impairment included in discontinued operations	-	-	(4,625)	(4,625)
Dispositions[(b)]	-	-	(131)	(131)
Balance at December 31, 2010:				
Goodwill gross	$ 2,529	$ -	$ 2,281	$ 4,810
Accumulated impairments	(1,196)	-	(2,281)	(3,477)
Net goodwill	$ 1,333	$ -	$ -	$ 1,333
Increase (decrease) due to:				
Acquisition	3	15	8	26
Other[(a)]	14	-	-	14
Balance at December 31, 2011:				
Goodwill gross	**$ 2,546**	**$ 15**	**$ 2,289**	**$ 4,850**
Accumulated impairments	**(1,196)**	**-**	**(2,281)**	**(3,477)**
Net goodwill	**$ 1,350**	**$ 15**	**$ 8**	**$ 1,373**

(a) *Includes foreign exchange translation and purchase price adjustments (PPA).*

(b) *Reflects the deconsolidation of AIA.*

(k) Separate accounts: Separate accounts represent funds for which investment income and investment gains and losses accrue directly to the policyholders who bear the investment risk. Each account has specific investment objectives, and the assets are carried at fair value. The assets of each account are legally segregated and are not subject to claims that arise out of any other business of AIG. The liabilities for these accounts are equal to the account assets.

(l) Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense: The liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense represents the accumulation of estimates for unpaid reported losses and includes provisions for IBNR losses. Because the reserves are based on estimates, the ultimate liability may be more or less than such reserves. The methods of determining such estimates and establishing resulting reserves are

reviewed and updated periodically. If the estimate of reserves is determined to be inadequate or redundant, the increase or decrease is reflected in income. AIG discounts its loss reserves relating to workers' compensation business written by its U.S. domiciled subsidiaries as permitted by the domiciliary statutory regulatory authorities.

(m) Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts and policyholder contract deposits: The liabilities for future policy benefits and policyholder contract deposits are established using assumptions described in Note 13 herein. Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts include provisions for future dividends to participating policyholders, accrued in accordance with all applicable regulatory or contractual provisions. Also included in Future policy benefits are liabilities for annuities issued in structured settlement arrangements whereby a claimant has agreed to settle a general insurance claim in

exchange for fixed payments over a fixed determinable period of time with a life contingency feature. Structured settlement liabilities are presented on a discounted basis because the settled claims are fixed and determinable. Policyholder contract deposits also include AIG's liability for (a) certain guarantee benefits accounted for as embedded derivatives at fair value, (b) annuities issued in a structured settlement arrangement with no life contingency and (c) certain contracts AIG elected to account for at fair value.

See Note 6 herein for additional fair value information.

(n) Other policyholder funds: Other policyholder funds are reported at cost and include any policyholder funds on deposit that encompass premium deposits and similar items.

(o) Income taxes: Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded for the effects of temporary differences between the tax basis of an asset or liability and its reported amount in the consolidated financial statements. AIG assesses its ability to realize deferred tax assets considering all available evidence, including the earnings history, the timing, character and amount of future earnings potential, the reversal of taxable temporary differences and prudent and feasible tax planning strategies available to the legal entities when recognizing deferred tax assets. See Note 22 herein for a further discussion of income taxes.

(p) Other liabilities: Other liabilities consist of other funds on deposit, other payables, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased. AIG has entered into certain insurance and reinsurance contracts, primarily in its Chartis segment, that do not contain sufficient insurance risk to be accounted for as insurance or reinsurance. Accordingly, the premiums received on such contracts, after deduction for certain related expenses, are recorded as deposits within Other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Net proceeds of these deposits are invested and generate Net investment income. As amounts are paid, consistent with the underlying contracts, the deposit liability is reduced. Also included in Other liabilities are trade payables for the Direct Investment book and AIGFP, which include option premiums received and payables to counterparties that relate to unrealized gains and losses on futures, forwards, and options and balances due to clearing brokers and exchanges. Trade payables for Global Capital Markets include cash collateral received from derivative counterparties that is not contractually nettable against derivative assets.

Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased represent sales of securities and spot commodities not owned at the time of sale. The obligations arising from such transactions are recorded on a trade-date basis and carried at fair value. Fair values of securities sold but not yet purchased are based on current market prices. Fair values of spot commodities sold but not yet purchased are based on current market prices of reference spot futures contracts traded on exchanges.

Liabilities arising from securities sold under agreements to repurchase securities (repurchase agreements) (other than those entered into by AIGFP) are generally treated as collateralized borrowing transactions and are recorded at their contracted repurchase amounts plus accrued interest. Agreements to repurchase securities entered into by AIGFP are carried at fair value based on market-observable interest rates. As of December 31, 2011, the fair value of repurchase agreements accounted for as collateralized borrowing transactions was $563 million.

When AIG does not obtain cash proceeds sufficient to fund substantially all of the cost of purchasing identical replacement securities during the term of the contract (generally less than 90 percent of the security value), AIG accounts for the transaction as a sale of the security and reports the obligation to repurchase the security as a derivative contract that is recognized at fair value through earnings. When securities carried in the available for sale category are sold, AIG records a gain or loss in income. When securities accounted for at fair value are considered sold, no additional gain or loss is recognized.

The fair value of securities transferred under repurchase agreements accounted for as sales was $2.1 billion and $2.7 billion at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

As of December 31, 2011, the fair value of collateral posted by AIG for repurchase agreements totaled $2.8 billion, of which $2.7 billion could be repledged or resold by the counterparties. The market value of securities to be repurchased is monitored, and additional collateral is posted where appropriate.

Also included in Other liabilities are obligations under gold leases, which are accounted for as a debt host with an embedded gold derivative which are accounted for under the fair value option.

(q) Other long-term debt: AIG's funding consists, in part, of medium and long-term debt. Long-term debt is carried at the principal amount borrowed, net of unamortized discounts or premiums. See Note 15 herein for additional information. Long-term debt also includes liabilities connected to trust preferred stock principally related to outstanding securities issued by SunAmerica Financial Group, Inc. (SAFG, Inc.), a wholly owned subsidiary of AIG (formerly AIG Life Holdings, Inc.). Cash distributions on such preferred stock are accounted for as interest expense.

(r) Contingent liabilities: Amounts are accrued for the resolution of claims that have either been asserted or are deemed probable of assertion if, in the opinion of management, it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated. In many cases, it is not possible to determine whether a liability has been incurred or to estimate the ultimate or minimum amount of that liability until years after the contingency arises, in which case, no accrual is made until that time. See Note 16 herein for additional information.

(s) Foreign currency: Financial statement accounts expressed in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollars. Functional currency assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars generally using rates of exchange prevailing at the balance sheet date of each respective subsidiary and the related translation adjustments are recorded as a separate component of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of any related taxes, in Total AIG shareholders' equity. Functional currencies are generally the currencies of the local operating environment. Financial statement accounts expressed in currencies other than the functional currency of a consolidated entity are translated into that entity's functional currency. Income statement accounts expressed in functional currencies are translated using average exchange rates during the period. The adjustments resulting from translation of financial statements of foreign entities operating in highly inflationary economies are recorded in income. Exchange gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions are recorded in income.

(t) Noncontrolling interests:

Nonvoting, callable, junior preferred interests held by Department of the Treasury and Nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests held by the FRBNY: Represent preferred interests in (i) at December 31, 2011, a wholly-owned SPV initially formed to hold the common stock of AIA and (ii) at December 31, 2010, two wholly-owned SPVs initially formed to hold common stock of AIA and ALICO at December 31, 2010. The preferred interests were measured at fair value on their issuance date. AIG transferred the preferred interests in the SPVs to the FRBNY in consideration for a $25 billion reduction of the FRBNY Credit Facility. The preferred interests initially had a liquidation preference of $25 billion and had a preferred return of five percent per year compounded quarterly through September 22, 2013 and nine percent thereafter. The preferred return is reflected in Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests Nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. The difference between the preferred interests' fair value and the initial liquidation preference is being amortized and included in Net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests Nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests. These noncontrolling interests, other than the senior preferred interests in the ALICO SPV, which were redeemed in full, were transferred to the Department of the Treasury as part of the January 14, 2011 Recapitalization transactions.

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Other noncontrolling interests: Includes the equity interests of third-party shareholders in AIG's consolidated subsidiaries and includes the preferred shareholders' equity in outstanding preferred stock of ILFC, a wholly owned subsidiary of AIG. Cash distributions on such preferred stock or equity interests are accounted for as interest expense. This preferred stock consists of 1,000 shares of market auction preferred stock (MAPS) in two series (Series A and B) of 500 shares each. Each of the MAPS shares has a liquidation value of $100,000 per share and is not convertible. The dividend rate, other than the initial rate, for each dividend period for each series is reset approximately every seven weeks (49 days) on the basis of orders placed in an auction, provided such auctions are able to occur. At December 31, 2011, there is no ability to conduct such auctions; therefore, the MAPS certificate of determination dictates that a maximum applicable rate, as defined in the certificate of determination, be paid on the MAPS. At December 31, 2011, the dividend rate for each of the Series A and Series B MAPS was 0.25 percent and 0.88 percent respectively.

(u) Earnings (loss) per share: Basic earnings or loss per share and diluted loss per share are based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, adjusted to reflect all stock dividends and stock splits. Diluted earnings per share is based on those shares used in basic earnings per share plus shares that would have been outstanding assuming issuance of common shares for all dilutive potential common shares outstanding, adjusted to reflect all stock dividends and stock splits.

See Note 17 herein for additional earnings (loss) per share disclosures.

(v) Recent accounting standards:

Future Application of Accounting Standards

Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts

In October 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an accounting standard that amends the accounting for costs incurred by insurance companies that can be capitalized in connection with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts. The standard amends how to determine whether the costs incurred in connection with the acquisition of new or renewal insurance contracts qualify as deferred acquisition costs. The standard is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on January 1, 2012 with early adoption permitted. Prospective or retrospective application is also permitted.

AIG will adopt the standard retrospectively on January 1, 2012. Upon adoption, retrospective application will result in a reduction to opening retained earnings for the earliest period presented and a decrease in the amount of capitalized costs in connection with the acquisition or renewal of insurance contracts because AIG will only defer costs that are incremental and directly related to the successful acquisition of new or renewal business.

As a result of adopting this standard at January 1, 2012, AIG expects a pre-tax reduction of Deferred policy acquisition costs of approximately $4.9 billion and an after-tax decrease in AIG shareholders' equity of approximately $3.3 billion, which consists of a decrease in Retained earnings of approximately $3.7 billion partially offset by an increase in Accumulated other comprehensive income of $0.4 billion at January 1, 2012. The retrospective adoption will favorably affect Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes (benefit) by approximately $149 million, $90 million and $40 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. The reduction in Deferred policy acquisition costs is primarily due to lower deferrals associated with unsuccessful efforts as well as advertising costs included in Deferred policy acquisition costs that no longer meet the criteria for deferral under the accounting standard.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements

In April 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard that amends the criteria used to determine effective control for repurchase agreements and other similar arrangements such as securities lending transactions. The standard modifies the criteria for determining when these transactions would be accounted for as secured borrowings (i.e., financings) instead of sales of the securities.

The standard removes from the assessment of effective control the requirement that the transferor have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee. The removal of this requirement makes the level of collateral received by the transferor in a repurchase agreement or similar arrangement irrelevant in determining whether the transaction should be accounted for as a sale. Consequently, more repurchase agreements, securities lending transactions and similar arrangements will be accounted for as secured borrowings.

The guidance in the standard must be applied prospectively to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after January 1, 2012. Early adoption is prohibited. Under this standard, which AIG adopted on January 1, 2012, $2.1 billion in repurchase agreements will continue to be accounted for as sales unless modifications of these transactions occur subsequent to adoption, which would result in an assessment of whether they should be accounted for as secured borrowings under the standard.

Common Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure Requirements in GAAP and IFRS

In May 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard that amends certain aspects of the fair value measurement guidance in GAAP, primarily to achieve the FASB's objective of a converged definition of fair value and substantially converged measurement and disclosure guidance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). Consequently, when the standard becomes effective on January 1, 2012, fair value measurement and disclosure requirements under GAAP and IFRS will be consistent, with certain exceptions including the accounting for day one gains and losses, measuring the fair value of alternative investments using net asset value and certain disclosure requirements.

The standard's fair value guidance applies to all companies that measure assets, liabilities, or instruments classified in shareholders' equity at fair value or provide fair value disclosures for items not recorded at fair value. While many of the amendments are not expected to significantly affect current practice, the guidance clarifies how a principal market is determined, addresses the fair value measurement of financial instruments with offsetting market or counterparty credit risks and the concept of valuation premise (i.e., in-use or in exchange) and highest and best use, extends the prohibition on blockage factors to all three levels of the fair value hierarchy, and requires additional disclosures.

The standard is effective for AIG for interim and annual periods beginning on January 1, 2012. The new disclosure requirements must be applied prospectively. The standard will not have a material effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Presentation of Comprehensive Income

In June 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard that requires the presentation of comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In the two-statement approach, the first statement should present total net income and its components, followed consecutively by a second statement that presents total other comprehensive income and its components. This presentation is effective January 1, 2012 and is required to be applied retrospectively.

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Accounting Standards Adopted During 2011

Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures

In January 2010, the FASB issued an accounting standard that requires fair value disclosures about significant transfers between Level 1 and 2 measurement categories and separate presentation of purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements within the rollforward of Level 3 activity. Also, this fair value guidance clarifies the disclosure requirements about the level of disaggregation and valuation techniques and inputs. This guidance became effective for AIG beginning on January 1, 2010, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements within the rollforward of Level 3 activity, which became effective for AIG beginning on January 1, 2011. See Note 6 herein.

Consolidation of Investments in Separate Accounts

In April 2010, the FASB issued an accounting standard that clarifies that an insurance company should not combine any investments held in separate account interests with its interest in the same investment held in its general account when assessing the investment for consolidation. Separate accounts represent funds for which investment income and investment gains and losses accrue directly to the policyholders who bear the investment risk. The standard also provides guidance on how an insurer should consolidate an investment fund when the insurer concludes that consolidation of an investment is required and the insurer's interest is through its general account in addition to any separate accounts. The standard became effective for AIG on January 1, 2011. The adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring is a Troubled Debt Restructuring

In April 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard that amends the guidance for a creditor's evaluation of whether a restructuring is a troubled debt restructuring and requires additional disclosures about a creditor's troubled debt restructuring activities. The standard clarifies the existing guidance on the two criteria used by creditors to determine whether a modification or restructuring is a troubled debt restructuring: (i) whether the creditor has granted a concession and (ii) whether the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The standard became effective for AIG for interim and annual periods beginning on July 1, 2011. AIG applied the guidance in the accounting standard retrospectively for all modifications and restructuring activities that had occurred since January 1, 2011. For receivables that were considered impaired under the guidance, AIG was required to measure the impairment of those receivables prospectively in the first period of adoption. In addition, AIG must provide the disclosures about troubled debt restructuring activities in the period of adoption. The adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. See Note 8 herein.

Testing Goodwill for Impairment

In September 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard that amends the approach to testing goodwill for impairment. The standard simplifies how entities test goodwill for impairment by permitting an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the quantitative, two-step goodwill impairment test. The standard is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. AIG plans to adopt the standard in conjunction with its goodwill impairment testing performed in 2012. The adoption of the standard is not expected to affect AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Accounting Standards Adopted During 2010

Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets

In June 2009, the FASB issued an accounting standard addressing transfers of financial assets that removes the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity (QSPE) from the FASB Accounting Standards Codification and removes the exception that exempted transferors from applying the consolidation rules to QSPEs.

The standard was effective for interim and annual periods beginning on January 1, 2010 for AIG. Earlier application was prohibited. The adoption of this standard increased both assets and liabilities by approximately $1.3 billion as a result of consolidating two previously unconsolidated QSPEs. The adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities

In June 2009, the FASB issued an accounting standard that amends the guidance addressing consolidation of certain variable interest entities with an approach focused on identifying which enterprise has the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly affect the entity's economic performance and has (1) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or (2) the right to receive benefits from the entity. The standard also requires enhanced financial reporting by enterprises involved with variable interest entities.

The following table summarizes the two methods applied by AIG and the amount and classification in the Consolidated Balance Sheet of the assets and liabilities consolidated as a result of the adoption of the standard on January 1, 2010:

	Transition Methods		
(in millions)	**Fair Value Option**	**Carrying Value**	**Total**
Assets:			
Bond trading securities, at fair value	$ 1,239	$ 1,262	$ 2,501
Mortgage and other loans receivable	-	1,980	1,980
Other invested assets	-	480	480
Other asset accounts	194	150	344
Assets held for sale	4,630	-	4,630
Total Assets	$ 6,063	$ 3,872	$ 9,935
Liabilities:			
FRBNY commercial paper funding facility	$ 1,088	$ -	$ 1,088
Other long-term debt	-	1,533	1,533
Other liability accounts	1	31	32
Liabilities held for sale	4,525	-	4,525
Total Liabilities	$ 5,614	$ 1,564	$ 7,178

The cumulative effect adjustment of electing the fair value option was not material to AIG's accumulated deficit.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table summarizes the excess of amounts previously recorded upon the consolidation of previously unconsolidated VIEs, as a result of the adoption of the standard on January 1, 2010:

(in billions)		
Assets	$	8.2
Liabilities		7.1
Redeemable noncontrolling interest		1.1
Equity:		
Accumulated deficit		0.2
Accumulated other comprehensive income		(0.3)
Other noncontrolling interests		0.1
Total liabilities and equity	$	8.2

In February 2010, the FASB also issued an update to the aforementioned accounting standard that defers the revised consolidation rules for variable interest entities with attributes of, or similar to, an investment company or money market fund. The primary effect of this deferral for AIG is that AIG will continue to apply the consolidation rules in effect before the amended guidance discussed above for its interests in eligible entities, such as certain mutual funds.

Accounting for Embedded Credit Derivatives

In March 2010, the FASB issued an accounting standard that amends the accounting for embedded credit derivative features in structured securities that redistribute credit risk in the form of subordination of one financial instrument to another. The standard clarifies how to determine whether embedded credit derivative features, including those in collateralized debt obligations (CDOs), credit-linked notes (CLNs), synthetic CDOs and CLNs and other synthetic securities (e.g., commercial and residential mortgage-backed securities issued by securitization entities that wrote credit derivatives), are considered to be embedded derivatives that should be analyzed for potential bifurcation and separate accounting or, alternatively, for fair value accounting in connection with the application of the fair value option to the entire hybrid instrument. AIG adopted the standard on July 1, 2010 and recorded a reclassification of $256 million of synthetic securities from Bonds available for sale to Bond trading securities and also reclassified a gain of $68 million from Accumulated other comprehensive income to Accumulated deficit as of July 1, 2010. Upon adoption, AIG accounts for its investments in synthetic securities otherwise requiring bifurcation at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized in earnings. The adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Disclosure about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables

In July 2010, the FASB issued an accounting standard that requires enhanced disclosures about the credit quality of financing receivables that are not measured at fair value. This guidance requires a greater level of disaggregated information about the credit quality of financing receivables and the related allowance for credit losses. In addition, this guidance requires disclosure of credit quality indicators, past due information, and modifications of financing receivables. The disclosures as of the end of a reporting period became effective for interim and annual reporting periods ended on or after December 15, 2010. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period became effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. In January 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard that temporarily deferred the effective date for disclosures on modifications of financing receivables by creditors. In April 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard that amended the guidance for a creditor's evaluation of whether a restructuring is a troubled debt restructuring. In addition, this guidance requires additional disclosures about a creditor's troubled

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

debt restructuring activities in interim and annual periods beginning on July 1, 2011. See Accounting Standards Adopted During 2011 herein for further discussion.

Accounting Standards Adopted During 2009

Determining Whether an Instrument (or Embedded Feature) is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock

In June 2008, the FASB issued an accounting standard that addresses how to determine whether a financial instrument (or embedded feature) is indexed to an entity's own stock and therefore may not be accounted for as a derivative instrument. AIG adopted the standard on January 1, 2009, which resulted in a $15 million cumulative effect adjustment to opening Accumulated deficit and a $91 million reduction in Additional paid-in capital.

Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

In April 2009, the FASB issued an accounting standard that requires a company to recognize the credit component of an other-than-temporary impairment of a fixed maturity security in earnings and the non-credit component in accumulated other comprehensive income when the company does not intend to sell the security or it is more likely than not that the company will not be required to sell the security prior to recovery. The standard also changed the threshold for determining when an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred on a fixed maturity security with respect to intent and ability to hold until recovery. The standard does not change the recognition of other-than-temporary impairment for equity securities. The standard requires additional disclosures in interim and annual reporting periods for fixed maturity and equity securities. See Note 7 herein for the expanded disclosures.

AIG adopted the standard on April 1, 2009 and recorded an after-tax cumulative effect adjustment to increase AIG shareholders' equity by $2.5 billion as of April 1, 2009, consisting of a decrease in Accumulated deficit of $11.8 billion and an increase to Accumulated other comprehensive loss of $9.3 billion, net of tax. The net increase in AIG's shareholders' equity was due to a reversal of a portion of the deferred tax asset valuation allowance for certain previous non-credit impairment charges directly attributable to the change in accounting principle (see Note 22 herein). The cumulative effect adjustment resulted in an increase of approximately $16 billion in the amortized cost of fixed maturity securities, which has the effect of significantly reducing the accretion of investment income over the remaining life of the underlying securities, beginning in the second quarter of 2009. The effect of the reduced investment income will be offset, in part, by a decrease in the amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC) and sales inducements assets (SIA).

The standard reduced the level of other-than-temporary impairment charges recorded in earnings for fixed maturity securities due to the following required changes in AIG's accounting policy for other-than-temporary impairments (see Note 7 herein for a more detailed discussion of the changes in policy):

- Impairment charges for non-credit (e.g., severity) losses are no longer recognized;

- The amortized cost basis of credit impaired securities will be written down through a charge to earnings to the present value of expected cash flows, rather than to fair value; and

- For fixed maturity securities that are not deemed to be credit-impaired, AIG is no longer required to assert that it has the intent and ability to hold such securities to recovery to avoid an other-than-temporary impairment charge. Instead, an impairment charge through earnings is required only when AIG has the intent to sell the fixed maturity security or it is more likely than not that AIG will be required to sell the security prior to recovery.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents the components of the change in AIG shareholders' equity at April 1, 2009 due to the adoption of the accounting standard for other-than-temporary impairments:

(in billions)	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	AIG Shareholders' Equity
Increase (decrease) to:			
Net effect of the increase in amortized cost of available for sale fixed maturity securities	$ 16.1	$ (16.1)	$ -
Net effect of related DAC, SIA and other insurance balances	(1.8)	1.8	-
Net effect on deferred income tax assets	(2.5)	5.0	2.5
Net increase in AIG shareholders' equity	$ 11.8	$ (9.3)	$ 2.5

Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly

In April 2009 the FASB issued an accounting standard that provides guidance for estimating the fair value of assets and liabilities when the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability have significantly decreased and for identifying circumstances that indicate a transaction is not orderly. The adoption of the standard on April 1, 2009, did not have a material effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Measuring Liabilities at Fair Value

In August 2009, the FASB issued an accounting standard to clarify how the fair value measurement principles should be applied to measuring liabilities carried at fair value. The standard explains how to prioritize market inputs in measuring liabilities at fair value and what adjustments to market inputs are appropriate for debt obligations that are restricted from being transferred to another obligor. The standard was effective beginning October 1, 2009 for AIG. The adoption of the standard did not have a material effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Investments in Certain Entities that Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or Its Equivalent)

In September 2009, the FASB issued an accounting standard that permits, as a practical expedient, a company to measure the fair value of an investment that is within the scope of the standard on the basis of the net asset value per share of the investment (or its equivalent) if that value is calculated in accordance with fair value as defined by the FASB. The standard also requires enhanced disclosures. The standard applies to investment companies that do not have readily determinable fair values such as certain hedge funds and private equity funds. The standard was effective for interim and annual periods ended after December 15, 2009. The adoption of the standard did not have a material effect on AIG's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. See Note 6 herein for disclosure.

3. SEGMENT INFORMATION

AIG reports the results of its operations through three reportable segments: Chartis, SunAmerica Financial Group (SunAmerica) and Aircraft Leasing. AIG evaluates performance based on pre-tax income (loss), excluding results from discontinued operations and net (gains) losses on sales of divested businesses, because AIG believes this provides more meaningful information on how its operations are performing.

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In order to align financial reporting with changes made during 2011 to the manner in which AIG's chief operating decision makers review the businesses to assess performance and make decisions about resources to be allocated, the following changes were made to AIG's segment information:

- During the third quarter of 2011, Chartis completed the previously-announced reorganization of its operations and now presents its financial information in two operating segments Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance, as well as a Chartis Other operations category. Prior to the third quarter of 2011, Chartis presented its financial information in two operating segments, Chartis U.S. and Chartis International.

- Aircraft Leasing is now presented as a standalone reportable segment. It was previously reported as a component of the Financial Services reportable segment.

- The derivatives portfolio of AIGFP, previously reported as Capital Markets, a component of the Financial Services reportable segment, is now reported with AIG Markets, Inc. (AIG Markets) as Global Capital Markets in AIG's Other operations.

Prior periods have been revised to conform to the current period presentation for the above segment changes.

The reportable segments and their respective operations are as follows:

Chartis: AIG's property and casualty operations are conducted through multiple-line companies writing substantially all commercial and consumer lines both domestically and abroad. Chartis offers its products through a diverse, multi-channel distribution network that includes agents, wholesalers, global and local brokers, and direct-to-consumer platforms. Beginning in the third quarter of 2010, Chartis includes the results of Fuji Fire & Marine Insurance Company Limited (Fuji), which writes primarily consumer lines in Japan. See Note 5 herein.

SunAmerica: SunAmerica offers a comprehensive suite of products and services to individuals and groups, including term life insurance, universal life insurance, accident and health (A&H) insurance, fixed and variable deferred annuities, fixed payout annuities, mutual funds and financial planning. SunAmerica offers its products and services through a diverse, multi-channel distribution network that includes banks, national, regional and independent broker-dealers, affiliated financial advisors, independent marketing organizations, independent and career insurance agents, structured settlement brokers, benefit consultants and direct-to-consumer platforms.

The SunAmerica segment is presented as two operating segments *Domestic Life Insurance*, which focuses on mortality and morbidity based protection products, and *Domestic Retirement Services*, which focuses on investment, retirement savings and income solutions.

Aircraft Leasing: AIG's commercial aircraft leasing business is conducted through ILFC.

Other Operations: AIG's Other operations include results from:

- Mortgage Guaranty operations;

- Global Capital Markets operations;

- Direct Investment book results;

Retained Interests, which represents the fair value gains or losses on the AIA ordinary shares retained following the AIA initial public offering, the retained interest in ML III, and, prior to their sale on March 8, 2011, the MetLife securities that were received as consideration from the sale of ALICO;

Corporate & Other operations (after allocations to AIG's business segments); and

Divested businesses that did not qualify for discontinued operations accounting.

Year-end identifiable assets presented in the following tables include assets of businesses held for sale at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents AIG's operations by reportable segment:

	Reportable Segments					Consolidation	
(in millions)	Chartis	SunAmerica	Aircraft Leasing	Other Operations	Total	and Eliminations	Consolidated
2011							
Total revenues	**$ 40,702**	**$ 15,315**	**$ 4,457**	**$ 4,079**	**$ 64,553**	**$ (316)**	**$ 64,237**
Other-than-temporary impairment charges[(a)]	**274**	**977**	**-**	**29**	**1,280**	**-**	**1,280**
Net (gain) loss on sale of properties and divested businesses	**-**	**-**	**-**	**74**	**74**	**-**	**74**
Interest expense[(b)]	**7**	**-**	**1,427**	**2,490**	**3,924**	**(53)**	**3,871**
Depreciation and amortization	**6,831**	**1,062**	**1,948**	**42**	**9,883**	**-**	**9,883**
Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations	**1,698**	**2,910**	**(1,005)**	**(4,699)**	**(1,096)**	**31**	**(1,065)**
Capital expenditures	**234**	**75**	**604**	**655**	**1,568**	**-**	**1,568**
Year-end identifiable assets	**178,959**	**268,835**	**39,038**	**186,002**	**672,834**	**(117,061)**	**555,773**
2010							
Total revenues	$ 37,196	$ 14,747	$ 4,718	$ 21,405	$ 78,066	$ (540)	$ 77,526
Other-than-temporary impairment charges[(a)]	577	1,958	-	504	3,039	-	3,039
Net (gain) loss on sale of properties and divested businesses	(669)	-	-	(17,098)	(17,767)	-	(17,767)
Interest expense[(b)]	1	-	1,397	6,881	8,279	(298)	7,981
Depreciation and amortization	7,256	899	2,035	1,130	11,320	-	11,320
Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations	(116)	2,712	(729)	15,893	17,760	176	17,936
Capital expenditures	213	57	266	620	1,156	-	1,156
Year-end identifiable assets	172,708	260,947	43,158	315,206	792,019	(108,576)	683,443
2009							
Total revenues	$ 35,023	$ 11,366	$ 4,992	$ 25,264	$ 76,645	$ (1,198)	$ 75,447
Other-than-temporary impairment charges[(a)]	903	3,821	-	1,972	6,696	-	6,696
Net (gain) loss on sale of properties and divested businesses	-	-	-	1,271	1,271	-	1,271
Interest expense[(b)]	-	-	1,222	13,305	14,527	(169)	14,358
Depreciation and amortization	7,005	1,140	2,022	1,907	12,074	-	12,074

Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations	164	(1,179)	1,385	(14,193)	(13,823)	(484)	(14,307)
Capital expenditures	191	52	2,587	875	3,705	-	3,705
Year-end identifiable assets	154,733	245,607	45,992	495,054	941,386	(93,801)	847,585

(a) *Included in Total revenues presented above.*

(b) *Interest expense for Other operations in 2010 and 2009 includes amortization of prepaid commitment fee asset of $3.5 billion and $8.4 billion, respectively.*

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents Chartis operations by operating segment:

(in millions)	Commercial Insurance	Consumer Insurance	Other	Total Chartis
2011				
Total revenues	**$ 25,544**	**$ 13,678**	**$ 1,480**	**$ 40,702**
Claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred	**18,953**	**8,797**	**199**	**27,949**
Underwriting expenses	**5,847**	**4,857**	**268**	**10,972**
Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations	**744**	**24**	**930**	**1,698**
2010				
Total revenues	$ 24,820	$ 11,260	$ 1,116	$ 37,196
Net (gain) loss on sale of properties and divested businesses	-	-	(669)	(669)
Claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred	19,001	6,686	2,180	27,867
Underwriting expenses	5,752	4,171	191	10,114
Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations	67	403	(586)	(116)
2009				
Total revenues	$ 26,989	$ 9,406	$ (1,372)	$ 35,023
Claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred	18,920	5,315	1,127	25,362
Underwriting expenses	5,658	3,690	149	9,497
Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations	2,411	401	(2,648)	164

Chartis manages its assets on an aggregate basis and does not allocate its assets, other than goodwill, between its operating segments. Investment income is allocated to the Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance segments based upon an internal capital model. The model estimates investable funds based upon the loss reserves, unearned premiums and a capital allocation for each operating segment. The investment income is calculated based on the estimated investable funds and the duration of the liabilities. Any difference between the calculated investment income and the actual investment income is included in Chartis Other.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents SunAmerica operations by operating segment:

(in millions)	Domestic Life Insurance	Domestic Retirement Services	Total Operating Segments	Consolidation and Eliminations	Total SunAmerica
2011					
Total revenues:					
Insurance-oriented products	**$ 5,813**	**$ -**	**$ 5,813**	**$ -**	**$ 5,813**
Retirement savings products	**2,469**	**6,006**	**8,475**	**-**	**8,475**
Asset management revenues	**-**	**1,027**	**1,027**	**-**	**1,027**
Total revenues	**8,282**	**7,033**	**15,315**	**-**	**15,315**
Depreciation and amortization	**456**	**606**	**1,062**	**-**	**1,062**
Pre-tax income from continuing operations	**1,404**	**1,506**	**2,910**	**-**	**2,910**
Capital expenditures	**42**	**33**	**75**	**-**	**75**
Year-end identifiable assets	**110,694**	**178,357**	**289,051**	**(20,216)**	**268,835**
2010					
Total revenues:					
Insurance-oriented products	$ 5,992	$ -	$ 5,992	$ -	$ 5,992
Retirement savings products	2,335	6,150	8,485	-	8,485
Asset management revenues	7	263	270	-	270
Total revenues	8,334	6,413	14,747	-	14,747
Depreciation and amortization	629	270	899	-	899
Pre-tax income from continuing operations	1,394	1,318	2,712	-	2,712
Capital expenditures	28	29	57	-	57
Year-end identifiable assets	105,580	175,755	281,335	(20,388)	260,947
2009					
Total revenues:					
Insurance-oriented products	$ 5,349	$ -	$ 5,349	$ -	$ 5,349
Retirement savings products	1,993	3,611	5,604	-	5,604
Asset management revenues	17	396	413	-	413
Total revenues	7,359	4,007	11,366	-	11,366
Depreciation and amortization	534	606	1,140	-	1,140
Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations	619	(1,798)	(1,179)	-	(1,179)
Capital expenditures	17	35	52	-	52
Year-end identifiable assets	100,600	165,436	266,036	(20,429)	245,607

AIG's Aircraft Leasing operations consist of a single operating segment.

AIG Global Real Estate Investment Corp. and Institutional Asset Management, previously reported as components of the Direct Investment book and Asset Management operations, respectively, are now reported in Corporate & Other.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents the components of AIG's Other operations:

(in millions)	Mortgage Guaranty	Global Capital Markets	Direct Investment Book	Retained Interests	Corporate & Other	Divested Businesses	Consolidation and Eliminations	Total Other Operations
2011								
Total revenues	**$ 944**	**$ 266**	**$ 1,004**	**$ 486**	**$ 1,415**	**$ -**	**$ (36)**	**$ 4,079**
Net (gain) loss on sale of properties and divested businesses	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**74**	**-**	**-**	**74**
Interest expense	**-**	**-**	**367**	**-**	**2,143**	**-**	**(20)**	**2,490**
Depreciation and amortization	**53**	**-**	**(218)**	**-**	**207**	**-**	**-**	**42**
Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations	**(73)**	**(7)**	**622**	**486**	**(5,727)**	**-**	**-**	**(4,699)**
Capital expenditures	**37**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**618**	**-**	**-**	**655**
Year-end identifiable assets	**5,406**	**12,619**	**31,162**	**15,086**	**98,999**	**-**	**22,730**	**186,002**
2010								
Total revenues	$ 1,168	$ 532	$ 1,499	$ 1,819	$ 2,631	$ 13,811	$ (55)	$ 21,405
Net (gain) loss on sale of properties and divested businesses	-	-	-	-	(17,098)	-	-	(17,098)
Interest expense	-	3	382	-	6,551	4	(59)	6,881
Depreciation and amortization	77	4	(317)	-	342	1,024	-	1,130
Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations	373	193	1,242	1,819	9,637	2,540	89	15,893
Capital expenditures	10	-	-	-	503	107	-	620
Year-end identifiable assets	6,073	31,038	29,291	23,939	91,155	110,070	23,640	315,206
2009								
Total revenues	$ 1,183	$ 1,109	$ 1,950	$ 419	$ 3,058	$ 18,481	$ (936)	$ 25,264

Net (gain) loss on sale of properties and divested businesses	-	-	-	-	1,271	-	-	1,271
Interest expense	-	3	425	-	13,267	19	(409)	13,305
Depreciation and amortization	94	13	(162)	-	615	1,347	-	1,907
Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations	(1,688)	603	1,506	419	(16,831)	2,159	(361)	(14,193)
Capital expenditures	5	-	-	-	652	218	-	875
Year-end identifiable assets	7,816	34,047	31,948	4,519	84,657	332,128	(61)	495,054

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents AIG's operations and long-lived assets by major geographic area:

	Geographic Area			
(in millions)	United States	Asia	Other Foreign	Consolidated
2011				
Total revenues[(a)]	**$ 40,234**	**$ 8,119**	**$ 15,884**	**$ 64,237**
Real estate and other fixed assets, net of accumulated depreciation	**1,330**	**591**	**386**	**2,307**
Flight equipment primarily under operating leases, net of accumulated depreciation[(b)]	**35,539**	**-**	**-**	**35,539**
2010				
Total revenues[(a)]	$ 40,993	$ 20,411	$ 16,122	$ 77,526
Real estate and other fixed assets, net of accumulated depreciation	1,896	557	392	2,845
Flight equipment primarily under operating leases, net of accumulated depreciation[(b)]	38,510	-	-	38,510
2009				
Total revenues[(a)]	$ 34,986	$ 23,183	$ 17,278	$ 75,447
Real estate and other fixed assets, net of accumulated depreciation	2,328	1,189	625	4,142
Flight equipment primarily under operating leases, net of accumulated depreciation[(b)]	44,091	-	-	44,091

(a) *Revenues are generally reported according to the geographic location of the reporting unit.*

(b) *ILFC derives more than 94 percent of its lease revenue from non-U.S. carriers.*

4. DIVESTED BUSINESSES, DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS AND HELD-FOR-SALE CLASSIFICATION

DIVESTED BUSINESSES

AIA Initial Public Offering

During the second quarter of 2010, AIG and Prudential plc terminated the AIA purchase agreement they had entered in March 2010 and in accordance with the terms of the purchase agreement, Prudential plc paid AIG a termination fee of $228 million, which is included in Net (gain) loss on sale of properties and divested businesses in the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

On October 29, 2010, AIG completed an IPO of 8.08 billion ordinary shares of AIA for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately $20.5 billion. Upon completion of the IPO, AIG owned 33 percent of AIA's outstanding shares. Accordingly, AIG deconsolidated AIA and recorded a pre-tax gain of $16.3 billion in 2010. Under the terms of an agreement with the underwriters, AIG is precluded from selling or hedging more than one-half of its remaining shares of AIA until April 18, 2012. Based on AIG's continuing involvement as a result of its 33 percent ownership and board representation, AIA is not being presented as a discontinued operation in the Consolidated Financial Statements at December 31, 2011 and 2010. AIG accounts for its investment in AIA under the fair value option with gains and losses recorded in Net investment income. At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the fair value of AIG's retained interest in AIA was approximately $12.4 billion and

approximately $11.1 billion, respectively, and is included in Other invested assets.

DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

The results of operations for the following sales are presented as discontinued operations in AIG's Consolidated Statement of Operations.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ALICO Sale

On March 7, 2010, AIG and the ALICO SPV entered into a definitive agreement with MetLife for the sale of ALICO by the ALICO SPV to MetLife, and the sale of Delaware American Life Insurance Company by AIG to MetLife, for consideration then valued at approximately $15.5 billion, consisting of $6.8 billion in cash and the remainder in equity securities of MetLife, subject to closing adjustments. The ALICO sale closed on November 1, 2010. AIG does not have any significant continuing involvement with or significant continuing cash flows from ALICO. The fair value of the consideration at closing was approximately $16.2 billion. At December 31, 2010, a total of $6.5 billion was included in common and preferred stock trading.

On the closing date, as consideration for the ALICO sale, the ALICO SPV received net cash consideration of $7.2 billion (which included an upward price adjustment of approximately $400 million pursuant to the terms of the ALICO stock purchase agreement), 78,239,712 shares of MetLife common stock, 6,857,000 shares of newly issued MetLife participating preferred stock convertible into 68,570,000 shares of MetLife common stock upon the approval of MetLife shareholders and 40,000,000 equity units of MetLife with an aggregate stated value of $3.2 billion. AIG recorded a pre-tax gain of $4.1 billion on the transaction in 2010.

As part of the Recapitalization, AIG used approximately $6.1 billion of the cash proceeds from the ALICO sale to pay down a portion of the liquidation preference of the SPV Preferred Interests.

AGF Sale

On August 10, 2010, AIG entered into a definitive agreement to sell an 80 percent economic interest (84 percent voting interest) in American General Finance, Inc. (AGF) for $125 million. The AGF sale closed on November 30, 2010. AIG's voting ownership interest in AGF was reduced to approximately 16 percent, and AIG does not otherwise have significant continuing involvement with or significant continuing cash flows from AGF. AIG is carrying its retained investment in AGF of approximately $30 million as a cost method investment in Other invested assets. As a result of this transaction, AIG recorded a pre-tax loss of $1.7 billion in 2010.

The components of the $1.7 billion charge consisted of the difference between (i) the sum of the fair value of the agreed consideration and AIG's retained 20 percent economic interest and (ii) the net book value of the assets. Prior to the agreed sale, AGF's business and underlying assets were subject to periodic impairment assessments under a held-for-use model and did not meet the criteria for held-for-sale accounting. The large majority of AGF's assets were consumer finance and mortgage loans held for investment and thus were not carried at fair value.

AIG Star and AIG Edison Sale

On September 30, 2010, AIG entered into a definitive agreement with Prudential Financial, Inc. for the sale of its Japan-based insurance subsidiaries, AIG Star and AIG Edison, for total consideration of $4.8 billion, including the assumption of certain outstanding debt totaling $0.6 billion owed by AIG Star and AIG Edison. The transaction closed on February 1, 2011 and AIG recognized a pre-tax gain of $2.0 billion on the sale that is reflected in Income (loss) from discontinued operations in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. In connection with the sale, AIG recorded a goodwill impairment charge of $1.3 billion in the third quarter of 2010.

Nan Shan Sale

On January 12, 2011, AIG entered into an agreement to sell its 97.57 percent interest in Nan Shan to a Taiwan-based consortium. The transaction was consummated on August 18, 2011 for net proceeds of $2.15 billion in cash. AIG recorded a pre-tax loss of $976 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 largely offsetting Nan Shan operating results for the period, which is reflected in Income (loss) from discontinued operations in the

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Consolidated Statement of Operations. The net proceeds from the transaction were used to pay down a portion of the liquidation preference of the Department of the Treasury's AIA SPV Preferred Interests.

See Note 16 herein for a discussion of guarantees and indemnifications associated with sales of businesses.

ALICO, Nan Shan, AIG Star and AIG Edison previously were components of the Foreign Life Insurance & Retirement Services reportable segment and AGF previously was a component of the Financial Services reportable segment. Results from discontinued operations for 2011, 2010 and 2009 include the results of Nan Shan, AIG Star and AIG Edison through the date of disposition. Results from discontinued operations for 2010 and 2009 also include the results of ALICO and AGF, which were sold during 2010. The results also include adjustments for guarantees and indemnifications related to these sold businesses.

Certain other sales completed during 2011, 2010 and 2009 were not classified as discontinued operations because AIG continued to generate significant direct revenue-producing or cost-generating cash flows from the businesses or because associated assets, liabilities and results of operations were not material, individually or in the aggregate, to AIG's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

The following table summarizes income (loss) from discontinued operations:

Years Ended December 31, ***(in millions)***	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**
Revenues:			
Premiums	**$ 5,012**	$ 18,296	$ 18,325
Net investment income	**1,632**	6,924	7,851
Net realized capital gains (losses)	**844**	289	(920)
Other income	**5**	1,607	2,285
Total revenues	**7,493**	27,116	27,541
Benefits, claims and expenses*	**6,361**	28,854	25,810
Interest expense allocation	**2**	75	89
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	**1,130**	(1,813)	1,642
Gain (loss) on sales	**942**	1,588	(2,758)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, before tax expense (benefit)	**2,072**	(225)	(1,116)
Income tax expense (benefit)	**537**	1,839	(1,621)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income tax	**$ 1,535**	$ (2,064)	$ 505

*

In 2010, includes goodwill impairment charges of $3.3 billion related to the sale of ALICO and $1.3 billion related to the sale of AIG Star and AIG Edison. See Note 2(j) Goodwill herein for further discussion.

Interest Expense Allocation

Interest expense allocated to discontinued operations gives effect to the provisions of the Recapitalization discussed in Note 1 for all periods presented. For this reason, an interest allocation to discontinued operations related to a portion of the ALICO and all the AGF proceeds was required.

The interest expense allocated to discontinued operations was based on the anticipated net proceeds that would be applied toward the repayment of the FRBNY Credit Facility from the sales of ALICO and AGF multiplied by the daily interest rate on the FRBNY Credit Facility for each respective period. The periodic amortization of the prepaid commitment fee allocated to discontinued operations was determined based on the ratio of funds committed to repay the FRBNY Credit Facility to the total amount of credit available under the FRBNY Credit Facility.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Prior to the Recapitalization, the terms of the FRBNY Credit Facility contractually required net proceeds from dispositions, after taxes and transaction expenses, to the extent such proceeds did not represent capital of AIG's insurance subsidiaries required for regulatory or ratings purposes, to be applied toward the repayment of the FRBNY Credit Facility as mandatory prepayments unless otherwise agreed with the FRBNY. Mandatory prepayments reduced the amount available to be borrowed under the FRBNY Credit Facility by the amount of the prepayment. In conjunction with anticipated prepayments, AIG allocated interest expense, including periodic amortization of the prepaid commitment fee asset, to Income (loss) from discontinued operations. As a result of the revised terms for repayment of the FRBNY Credit Facility, interest expense that was previously allocated to discontinued operations in connection with the sales of AIG Star, AIG Edison and Nan Shan was reclassified to continuing operations for all periods presented.

HELD-FOR-SALE CLASSIFICATION

At December 31, 2011, AIG had completed the sales of its remaining assets and liabilities that had been classified as held-for-sale. At December 31, 2010, held-for-sale assets and liabilities consisted of Nan Shan, AIG Star, and AIG Edison, and aircraft that remained to be sold by ILFC under agreements for sale.

The following table summarizes the components of assets and liabilities held for sale on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2010:

(in millions)		**December 31, 2010**
Assets:		
Fixed maturity securities	$	77,905
Equity securities		4,488
Mortgage and other loans receivable, net		5,584
Other invested assets		4,167
Short-term investments		3,670
Deferred policy acquisition costs and Other assets		7,639
Separate account assets		3,745
Assets of businesses held for sale		107,198
Flight equipment*		255
Total assets held for sale	$	107,453
Liabilities:		
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts	$	61,767
Policyholder contract deposits		26,847
Other liabilities		4,428
Other long-term debt		525
Separate account liabilities		3,745
Total liabilities held for sale	$	97,312

* *Represents nine aircraft that were under agreements for sale by ILFC at December 31, 2010.*

5. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS

On March 31, 2010, AIG, through a Chartis subsidiary, purchased additional voting shares in Fuji, a publicly traded Japanese insurance company with property/casualty insurance operations and a life insurance subsidiary. The acquisition of the additional voting shares for $145 million increased Chartis' total voting ownership interest in Fuji from 41.7 percent to 54.8 percent, which resulted in Chartis obtaining control of Fuji. In connection with the acquisition, AIG recognized a bargain purchase gain of $332 million in the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2010. The bargain purchase gain was primarily attributable to the

depressed market value of Fuji's common stock, which AIG believes was the result of macroeconomic, capital market and regulatory factors in Japan coupled with Fuji's financial condition and results of operations. The acquisition was consistent with Chartis' desire to increase its share in the substantial Japanese insurance market and to achieve cost savings from synergies.

In March 2011, Chartis completed the acquisition of approximately 305 million shares of Fuji tendered in response to a public offer at an offer price of 146 Yen per share ($1.76 per share) for a purchase price of $538 million. In August 2011, Chartis acquired the remaining outstanding voting shares of Fuji. As a result of these actions, Chartis now owns 100 percent of Fuji.

The 2011 purchases were accounted for as equity transactions because AIG previously consolidated Fuji due to its controlling interest. Accordingly, the difference between the fair value of the total consideration paid of $560 million and the carrying value of the noncontrolling interest acquired of $489 million was recognized as a reduction of AIG's equity. There was no gain or loss recorded in the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2011.

On October 7, 2011, AIG through ILFC acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of capital stock of AeroTurbine for an aggregate cash purchase price of $228 million. AeroTurbine is a provider of certified aircraft engines, aircraft and engine parts and supply chain solutions. This acquisition is expected to further maximize the value of ILFC's aircraft by providing ILFC with in-house part-out and engine leasing capabilities. The acquisition was recorded as a business combination and is not significant to AIG's Consolidated Financial Statements.

6. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS ON A RECURRING BASIS

AIG carries certain of its financial instruments at fair value. AIG defines the fair value of a financial instrument as the amount that would be received from the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

The degree of judgment used in measuring the fair value of financial instruments generally inversely correlates with the level of observable valuation inputs. AIG maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Financial instruments with quoted prices in active markets generally have more pricing observability and less judgment is used in measuring fair value. Conversely, financial instruments for which no quoted prices are available have less observability and are measured at fair value using valuation models or other pricing techniques that require more judgment. Pricing observability is affected by a number of factors, including the type of financial instrument, whether the financial instrument is new to the market and not yet established, the characteristics specific to the transaction, liquidity and general market conditions.

FAIR VALUE HIERARCHY

Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheet are measured and classified for disclosure purposes in accordance with a fair value hierarchy established in U.S. GAAP. The hierarchy consists of three "levels" based on the observability of inputs available in the marketplace used to measure the fair values as discussed below:

Level 1: Fair value measurements that are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that AIG has the ability to access for identical assets or liabilities. Market price data generally is obtained from exchange or dealer markets. AIG does not adjust the quoted price for such instruments. Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and classified as Level 1 include certain government and agency securities, actively traded listed common stocks and futures and options contracts, most separate account assets and most mutual funds.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Level 2: Fair value measurements based on inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and classified as Level 2 generally include certain government and agency securities, most investment-grade and high-yield corporate bonds, certain residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS), certain commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) and certain collateralized debt obligations/asset backed securities (CDO/ABS), certain listed equities, state, municipal and provincial obligations, hybrid securities, certain securities purchased (sold) under agreements to resell (repurchase), certain mutual fund and hedge fund investments, certain interest rate, currency and commodity derivative contracts, guaranteed investment agreements (GIAs) for the Direct Investment book and other long-term debt.

Level 3: Fair value measurements based on valuation techniques that use significant inputs that are unobservable. Both observable and unobservable inputs may be used to determine the fair values of positions classified in Level 3. The circumstances for using these measurements include those in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. Therefore, AIG must make certain assumptions as to the inputs a hypothetical market participant would use to value that asset or liability. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. AIG's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment. In making the assessment, AIG considers factors specific to the asset or liability. Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and classified as Level 3 include certain RMBS, CMBS and CDO/ABS, certain corporate debt securities, certain municipal and sovereign debt securities, certain derivative contracts (including the AIGFP super senior credit default swap portfolio), certain hedge fund investments, private equity and real estate fund investments, direct private equity investments and policyholder contract deposits carried at fair value. AIG's non-financial instrument assets that are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis generally are classified as Level 3.

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments carried at fair value. These methodologies are applied to assets and liabilities across the levels noted above, and it is the observability of the inputs used that determines the appropriate level in the fair value hierarchy for the respective asset or liability.

VALUATION METHODOLOGIES OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE

Incorporation of Credit Risk in Fair Value Measurements

AIG's Own Credit Risk. Fair value measurements for certain Direct Investment book debt, GIAs, structured note liabilities and freestanding derivatives, as well as AIGFP derivatives, incorporate AIG's own credit risk by determining the explicit cost for each counterparty to protect against its net credit exposure to AIG at the balance sheet date by reference to observable AIG CDS or cash bond spreads. A derivative counterparty's net credit exposure to AIG is determined based on master netting agreements, when applicable, which take into consideration all derivative positions with AIG, as well as collateral posted by AIG with the counterparty at the balance sheet date.

Fair value measurements for embedded policy derivatives and policyholder contract deposits take into consideration that policyholder liabilities are senior in priority to general creditors of AIG and therefore are much less sensitive to changes in AIG credit default swap or cash issuance spreads.

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Counterparty Credit Risk. Fair value measurements for freestanding derivatives incorporate counterparty credit by determining the explicit cost for AIG to protect against its net credit exposure to each counterparty at the balance sheet date by reference to observable counterparty CDS spreads, when available. When not available, other directly or indirectly observable credit spreads will be used to derive the best estimates of the counterparty spreads. AIG's net credit exposure to a counterparty is determined based on master netting agreements, which take into consideration all derivative positions with the counterparty, as well as collateral posted by the counterparty at the balance sheet date.

A CDS is a derivative contract that allows the transfer of third-party credit risk from one party to the other. The buyer of the CDS pays an upfront and/or periodic premium to the seller. The seller's payment obligation is triggered by the occurrence of a credit event under a specified reference security and is determined by the loss on that specified reference security. The present value of the amount of the upfront and/or periodic premium therefore represents a market-based expectation of the likelihood that the specified reference party will fail to perform on the reference obligation, a key market observable indicator of non-performance risk (the CDS spread).

Fair values for fixed maturity securities based on observable market prices for identical or similar instruments implicitly incorporate counterparty credit risk. Fair values for fixed maturity securities based on internal models incorporate counterparty credit risk by using discount rates that take into consideration cash issuance spreads for similar instruments or other observable information.

The cost of credit protection is determined under a discounted present value approach considering the market levels for single name CDS spreads for each specific counterparty, the mid market value of the net exposure (reflecting the amount of protection required) and the weighted average life of the net exposure. CDS spreads are provided to AIG by an independent third party. AIG utilizes an interest rate based on the benchmark London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) curve to derive its discount rates.

While this approach does not explicitly consider all potential future behavior of the derivative transactions or potential future changes in valuation inputs, AIG believes this approach provides a reasonable estimate of the fair value of the assets and liabilities, including consideration of the impact of non-performance risk.

Fixed Maturity Securities Trading and Available for Sale

Whenever available, AIG obtains quoted prices in active markets for identical assets at the balance sheet date to measure fixed maturity securities at fair value in its trading and available for sale portfolios. Market price data is generally obtained from dealer markets.

Management is responsible for the determination of the value of the investments carried at fair value and the supporting methodologies and assumptions. AIG employs independent third-party valuation service providers to gather, analyze, and interpret market information in order to derive fair value estimates for individual investments, based upon market-accepted methodologies and assumptions. The methodologies used by these independent third-party valuation services are reviewed and understood by AIG management, via periodic discussion with and information provided by the valuation services. In addition, as discussed further below, control processes are applied to the fair values received from third-party valuation services to ensure the accuracy of these values.

Valuation service providers typically obtain data about market transactions and other key valuation model inputs from multiple sources and, through the use of widely accepted valuation methodologies, which may utilize matrix pricing, financial models, accompanying model inputs and various assumptions, provide a single fair value measurement for individual securities. The inputs used by the valuation service providers include, but are not limited to, market prices from completed transactions for identical securities and transactions for comparable securities, benchmark yields, interest rate yield curves, credit spreads, currency rates, quoted prices for similar securities and other market-observable information, as applicable. If fair value is determined using financial models, these models generally take into account, among other things, market observable information as of the measurement date as well as the specific attributes of the security being valued, including its term, interest rate,

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

credit rating, industry sector, and when applicable, collateral quality and other security or issuer-specific information. When market transactions or other market observable data is limited, the extent to which judgment is applied in determining fair value is greatly increased.

AIG has control processes designed to ensure that the fair values received from third party valuation services are accurately recorded, that their data inputs and valuation techniques are appropriate and consistently applied and that the assumptions used appear reasonable and consistent with the objective of determining fair value. AIG assesses the reasonableness of individual security values received from valuation service providers through various analytical techniques, and has procedures to escalate related questions internally and to the third party valuation services for resolution. In order to assess the degree of pricing consensus among various valuation services for specific asset types, AIG has conducted comparisons of prices received from available sources. Management has used these comparisons to establish a hierarchy for the fair values received from third party valuation services to be used for particular security classes. AIG also validates prices for selected securities through reviews by members of management who have relevant expertise and who are independent of those charged with executing investing transactions.

When AIG's third-party valuation service providers are unable to obtain sufficient market observable information upon which to estimate the fair value for a particular security, fair value is determined either by requesting brokers who are knowledgeable about these securities to provide a price quote, which is generally non-binding, or by employing widely accepted valuation models. Fair values provided by brokers are subject to similar control processes to those noted above for fair values from third party valuation services, including management reviews. Fair values determined internally are also subject to management review in order to ensure that valuation models and related inputs are reasonable.

The methodology above is relevant for all fixed maturity securities; following are discussions of certain procedures unique to specific classes of securities.

Fixed Maturity Securities issued by Government Entities

For most debt securities issued by government entities, AIG obtains fair value information from independent third-party valuation service providers, as quoted prices in active markets are generally only available for limited debt securities issued by government entities. The fair values received from these valuation service providers may be based on a market approach using matrix pricing, which considers a security's relationship to other securities for which quoted prices in an active market may be available, or alternatively based on an income approach, which uses valuation techniques to convert future cash flows to a single present value amount.

Fixed Maturity Securities issued by Corporate Entities

For most debt securities issued by corporate entities, AIG obtains fair value information from independent third-party valuation service providers. For certain corporate debt securities, AIG obtains fair value information from brokers. For those corporate debt instruments (for example, private placements) that are not traded in active markets or that are subject to transfer restrictions, valuations are adjusted to reflect illiquidity and non-transferability, and such adjustments generally are based on available market evidence. When observable price quotations are not available, fair value is determined based on discounted cash flow models using discount rates based on credit spreads, yields or price levels of publicly-traded debt of the issuer or other comparable securities, adjusted for illiquidity and structure.

RMBS, CMBS, CDOs and other ABS

Independent third-party valuation service providers also provide fair value information for the majority of AIG investments in RMBS, CMBS, CDOs and other ABS. Where pricing is not available from valuation service providers, AIG obtains fair value information from brokers. Broker prices may be based on an income approach,

which converts expected future cash flows to a single present value amount, with specific consideration of inputs relevant to structured securities, including ratings, collateral types, geographic concentrations, underlying loan vintages, loan delinquencies, and weighted average coupons and maturities. Broker prices may also be based on a market approach that considers recent transactions involving identical or similar securities. When the volume or level of market activity for an investment in RMBS, CMBS, CDOs or other ABS is limited, certain inputs used to determine fair value may not be observable in the market.

Maiden Lane Interests

At their inception, AIG's interests in ML II and ML III were valued and recorded at the transaction prices of $1 billion and $5 billion, respectively.

Subsequently, AIG's interest in ML III has been valued using a discounted cash flow methodology that (i) uses the estimated future cash flows and the fair value of the ML III assets, (ii) allocates the estimated future cash flows according to the ML III waterfall, and (iii) determines the discount rate to be applied to AIG's interest in ML III by reference to the discount rate implied by the estimated value of ML III assets and the estimated future cash flows of AIG's interest in the capital structure. Estimated cash flows and discount rates used in the valuations are validated, to the extent possible, using market observable information for securities with similar asset pools, structure and terms.

The fair value methodology used since inception and prior to March 31, 2011 for AIG's interest in ML II had used the same discounted cash flow methodology as for ML III. As a result of the announcement on March 31, 2011 by the FRBNY of its plan to begin selling the assets in the ML II portfolio over time through a competitive sales process, AIG modified its methodology for estimating the fair value of its interest in ML II to incorporate the assumption of a current liquidation, which (i) uses the estimated fair value of the ML II assets and (ii) allocates the estimated asset fair value according to the ML II waterfall.

AIG does not believe a change in the fair value methodology used for its interest in ML III is appropriate at this time based on current available information. Other methodologies employed or assumptions made in determining fair value for these investments could result in amounts that differ significantly from the amounts reported.

Adjustments to the fair value of AIG's interest in ML II are recorded in the Consolidated Statement of Operations in Net investment income for SunAmerica's domestic life insurance companies. Adjustments to the fair value of AIG's interest in ML III are recorded in the Consolidated Statement of Operations in Net investment income for AIG's Other operations.

AIG expects to receive repayment of its initial investment as well as incremental undiscounted cash flows and accrued interest on the Maiden Lane Interests after repayment of the first priority obligations owed to the FRBNY. On February 8, 2012, the FRBNY announced that the proceeds from the most recent sale of ML II assets would enable the repayment of the entire remaining outstanding balance of the senior loan from the FRBNY to ML II. AIG therefore, expects to begin receiving repayment of its $1 billion initial investment in ML II, plus accrued interest, commencing in 2012. Any proceeds in excess of AIG's principal and interest will be allocated five-sixths to the FRBNY and one-sixth to AIG. Under the terms of the Master Transaction Agreement dated December 8, 2010, among AIG Parent, AM Holdings LLC (formerly known as ALICO Holdings LLC), AIA Aurora LLC, the FRBNY, the Department of the Treasury, and the Trust, all payments received by AIG from ML II will be required to be used to pay down the liquidation preference of the AIA SPV Preferred Interests. The fair value of AIG's interest in ML II is most affected by the liquidation proceeds realized by the FRBNY from the sale of the collateral securities. A 10 percent change in the liquidation proceeds realized by the FRBNY would result in a change of approximately $152 million in the fair value of the ML II interest. The fair value of AIG's interest in ML III is most affected by changes in the discount rates and changes in the estimated future collateral cash flows used in the valuation. Changes in estimated future cash flows for ML III would be the

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

result of changes in interest rates and their effect on the underlying floating rate securities as well as expectations of defaults, recoveries and prepayments on underlying loans.

The LIBOR interest rate curve changes are determined based on observable prices, interpolated or extrapolated to derive a LIBOR for a specific maturity term as necessary. The spreads over LIBOR for the Maiden Lane Interests (including collateral-specific credit and liquidity spreads) can change as a result of changes in market expectations about the future performance of these investments as well as changes in the risk premium that market participants would demand at the time of the transactions.

Changes in the discount rate or the estimated future cash flows used in the valuation would alter AIG's estimate of the fair value of AIG's interest in ML III as shown in the table below.

Year Ended December 31, 2011 *(in millions)*		**Maiden Lane III Fair Value Change**
Discount Rates:		
200 basis point increase	$	(585)
200 basis point decrease		668
400 basis point increase		(1,101)
400 basis point decrease		1,433
Estimated Future Cash Flows:		
10% increase		658
10% decrease		(664)
20% increase		1,309
20% decrease		(1,338)

If the FRBNY were to announce a plan to liquidate the assets of ML III at their estimated fair values, similar to their disclosed plan for ML II, the impact of the change in AIG's assumptions would be an increase in the fair value of AIG's interest in ML III by approximately $685 million at December 31, 2011.

AIG believes that the ranges of discount rates used in these analyses are reasonable on the basis of implied spread volatilities of similar collateral securities. The ranges of estimated future cash flows were determined on the basis of historical variability in the estimated cash flows. Because of these factors, the fair values of the Maiden Lane Interests are likely to vary, perhaps materially, from the amounts estimated.

Equity Securities Traded in Active Markets   Trading and Available for Sale

Whenever available, AIG obtains quoted prices in active markets for identical assets at the balance sheet date to measure at fair value marketable equity securities in its trading and available for sale portfolios or in Other invested assets. Market price data is generally obtained from exchange or dealer markets.

Mortgage and Other Loans Receivable

AIG estimates the fair value of mortgage and other loans receivable that are measured at fair value by using dealer quotations, discounted cash flow analyses and/or internal valuation models. The determination of fair value considers inputs such as interest rate, maturity, the borrower's creditworthiness, collateral, subordination, guarantees, past-due status, yield curves, credit curves, prepayment rates, market pricing for

comparable loans and other relevant factors.

Other Invested Assets

AIG initially estimates the fair value of investments in certain hedge funds, private equity funds and other investment partnerships by reference to the transaction price. Subsequently, AIG generally obtains the fair value

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

of these investments from net asset value information provided by the general partner or manager of the investments, the financial statements of which are generally audited annually. AIG considers observable market data and performs certain control procedures to validate the appropriateness of using the net asset value as a fair value measurement. The fair values of other investments carried at fair value, such as direct private equity holdings, are initially determined based on transaction price and are subsequently estimated based on available evidence such as market transactions in similar instruments and other financing transactions of the issuer, with adjustments made to reflect illiquidity as appropriate.

Short-term Investments

For short-term investments that are measured at fair value, the carrying values of these assets approximate fair values because of the relatively short period of time between origination and expected realization, and their limited exposure to credit risk.

Securities Purchased Under Agreements to Resell

Securities purchased under agreements to resell are generally treated as collateralized receivables. AIG reports certain receivables arising from securities purchased under agreements to resell in Short-term investments in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. AIG uses market-observable interest rates for receivables measured at fair value. This methodology considers such factors as the coupon rate, yield curves and other relevant factors.

Separate Account Assets

Separate account assets are composed primarily of registered and unregistered open-end mutual funds that generally trade daily and are measured at fair value in the manner discussed above for equity securities traded in active markets.

Freestanding Derivatives

Derivative assets and liabilities can be exchange-traded or traded over-the-counter (OTC). AIG generally values exchange-traded derivatives such as futures and options using quoted prices in active markets for identical derivatives at the balance sheet date.

OTC derivatives are valued using market transactions and other market evidence whenever possible, including market-based inputs to models, model calibration to market clearing transactions, broker or dealer quotations or alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency. When models are used, the selection of a particular model to value an OTC derivative depends on the contractual terms of, and specific risks inherent in the instrument, as well as the availability of pricing information in the market. AIG generally uses similar models to value similar instruments. Valuation models require a variety of inputs, including contractual terms, market prices and rates, yield curves, credit curves, measures of volatility, prepayment rates and correlations of such inputs. For OTC derivatives that trade in liquid markets, such as generic forwards, swaps and options, model inputs can generally be corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means, and model selection does not involve significant management judgment.

Certain OTC derivatives trade in less liquid markets with limited pricing information, and the determination of fair value for these derivatives is inherently more difficult. When AIG does not have corroborating market evidence to support significant model inputs and cannot verify the model to market transactions, the transaction price may provide the best estimate of fair value. Accordingly, when a pricing model is used to value such an instrument, the model is adjusted so the model value at inception equals the transaction price. AIG will update valuation inputs in these models only when corroborated by evidence such as similar market transactions, third party pricing services and/or broker or dealer quotations, or other empirical market data. When appropriate, valuations are adjusted for various factors such as liquidity, bid/offer spreads and credit considerations. Such adjustments are generally based on available market evidence. In the absence of such evidence, management's best

estimate is used.

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Embedded Policy Derivatives

Certain variable annuity and equity-indexed annuity and life contracts contain embedded policy derivatives that AIG bifurcates from the host contracts and accounts for separately at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized in earnings. AIG concluded these contracts contain (i) written option guarantees on minimum accumulation value, (ii) a series of written options that guarantee withdrawals from the highest anniversary value within a specific period or for life, or (iii) equity-indexed written options that meet the criteria of derivatives that must be bifurcated.

The fair value of embedded policy derivatives contained in certain variable annuity and equity-indexed annuity and life contracts is measured based on actuarial and capital market assumptions related to projected cash flows over the expected lives of the contracts. These cash flow estimates primarily include benefits and related fees assessed, when applicable, and incorporate expectations about policyholder behavior. Estimates of future policyholder behavior are subjective and based primarily on AIG's historical experience.

With respect to embedded policy derivatives in AIG's variable annuity contracts, because of the dynamic and complex nature of the expected cash flows, risk neutral valuations are used. Estimating the underlying cash flows for these products involves many estimates and judgments, including those regarding expected market rates of return, market volatility, correlations of market index returns to funds, fund performance, discount rates and policyholder behavior. With respect to embedded policy derivatives in AIG's equity-indexed annuity and life contracts, option pricing models are used to estimate fair value, taking into account assumptions for future equity index growth rates, volatility of the equity index, future interest rates, and determinations on adjusting the participation rate and the cap on equity-indexed credited rates in light of market conditions and policyholder behavior assumptions. These methodologies incorporate an explicit risk margin to take into consideration market participant estimates of projected cash flows and policyholder behavior.

AIG also incorporates its own risk of non-performance in the valuation of the embedded policy derivatives associated with variable annuity and equity- indexed annuity and life contracts. Historically, the expected cash flows were discounted using the interest rate swap curve (swap curve), which is commonly viewed as being consistent with the credit spreads for highly-rated financial institutions (S&P AA-rated or above). A swap curve shows the fixed-rate leg of a non-complex swap against the floating rate (e.g. LIBOR) leg of a related tenor. The swap curve was adjusted, as necessary, for anomalies between the swap curve and the U.S. Treasury yield curve. During the fourth quarter of 2010, AIG revised the non-performance risk adjustment to reflect a market participant's view of SunAmerica's claims paying ability. As a result, in 2010, AIG incorporated an additional spread to the swap curve used to value embedded policy derivatives, thereby reducing the fair value of the embedded derivative liabilities by $336 million, which is partially offset by $173 million of DAC amortization.

AIGFP's Super Senior Credit Default Swap Portfolio

Included in Global Capital Markets is the remaining derivatives portfolio of AIGFP. AIG values AIGFP's CDS transactions written on the super senior risk layers of designated pools of debt securities or loans using internal valuation models, third-party price estimates and market indices. The principal market was determined to be the market in which super senior credit default swaps of this type and size would be transacted, or have been transacted, with the greatest volume or level of activity. AIG has determined that the principal market participants, therefore, would consist of other large financial institutions who participate in sophisticated over-the-counter derivatives markets. The specific valuation methodologies vary based on the nature of the referenced obligations and availability of market prices.

The valuation of the super senior credit derivatives is challenging given the limitation on the availability of market observable information due to the lack of trading and price transparency in certain structured finance markets. These market conditions have increased the reliance on management estimates and judgments in arriving at an estimate of fair value for financial reporting purposes. Further, disparities in the valuation methodologies

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

employed by market participants and the varying judgments reached by such participants when assessing volatile markets have increased the likelihood that the various parties to these instruments may arrive at significantly different estimates as to their fair values.

AIG's valuation methodologies for the super senior credit default swap portfolio have evolved over time in response to market conditions and the availability of market observable information. AIG has sought to calibrate the methodologies to available market information and to review the assumptions of the methodologies on a regular basis.

Regulatory capital portfolio: In the case of credit default swaps written to facilitate regulatory capital relief, AIG estimates the fair value of these derivatives by considering observable market transactions. The transactions with the most observability are the early terminations of these transactions by counterparties. AIG continues to reassess the expected maturity of the portfolio. AIGFP has not been required to make any payments as part of terminations of super senior regulatory capital CDSs initiated by counterparties. However, during the second quarter of 2011, AIGFP terminated mezzanine tranches related to certain terminated super senior regulatory capital trades and made payments which approximated their fair values at the time of termination.

The regulatory benefit of these transactions for AIGFP's financial institution counterparties is generally derived from the capital regulations promulgated by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, known as Basel I. In December 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision finalized a new framework for international capital and liquidity standards known as Basel III, which, when fully implemented, may reduce or eliminate the regulatory benefits to certain counterparties and thus may impact the period of time that such counterparties are expected to hold the positions. In assessing the fair value of the regulatory capital CDS transactions, AIG also considers other market data, to the extent relevant and available. For further discussion, see Note 12 herein.

Multi-sector CDO portfolios: AIG uses a modified version of the Binomial Expansion Technique (BET) model to value AIGFP's credit default swap portfolio written on super senior tranches of multi-sector CDOs of ABS. The BET model was developed in 1996 by a major rating agency to generate expected loss estimates for CDO tranches and derive a credit rating for those tranches, and remains widely used.

AIG has adapted the BET model to estimate the price of the super senior risk layer or tranche of the CDO. AIG modified the BET model to imply default probabilities from market prices for the underlying securities and not from rating agency assumptions. To generate the estimate, the model uses the price estimates for the securities comprising the portfolio of a CDO as an input and converts those estimates to credit spreads over current LIBOR-based interest rates. These credit spreads are used to determine implied probabilities of default and expected losses on the underlying securities. This data is then aggregated and used to estimate the expected cash flows of the super senior tranche of the CDO.

Prices for the individual securities held by a CDO are obtained in most cases from the CDO collateral managers, to the extent available. CDO collateral managers provided market prices for 61.7 percent of the underlying securities used in the valuation at December 31, 2011. When a price for an individual security is not provided by a CDO collateral manager, AIG derives the price through a pricing matrix using prices from CDO collateral managers for similar securities. Matrix pricing is a mathematical technique used principally to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities, but rather by relying on the relationship of the security to other benchmark quoted securities. Substantially all of the CDO collateral managers who provided prices used dealer prices for all or part of the underlying securities, in some cases supplemented by third-party pricing services.

The BET model also uses diversity scores, weighted average lives, recovery rates and discount rates. AIG employs a Monte Carlo simulation to assist in quantifying the effect on the valuation of the CDO of the unique aspects of the CDO's structure such as triggers that divert cash flows to the most senior part of the capital structure. The Monte Carlo simulation is used to determine whether an underlying security defaults in a given

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

simulation scenario and, if it does, the security's implied random default time and expected loss. This information is used to project cash flow streams and to determine the expected losses of the portfolio.

In addition to calculating an estimate of the fair value of the super senior CDO security referenced in the credit default swaps using its internal model, AIG also considers the price estimates for the super senior CDO securities provided by third parties, including counterparties to these transactions, to validate the results of the model and to determine the best available estimate of fair value. In determining the fair value of the super senior CDO security referenced in the credit default swaps, AIG uses a consistent process that considers all available pricing data points and eliminates the use of outlying data points. When pricing data points are within a reasonable range an averaging technique is applied.

Corporate debt/Collateralized loan obligation (CLO) portfolios: In the case of credit default swaps written on portfolios of investment-grade corporate debt, AIG uses a mathematical model that produces results that are closely aligned with prices received from third parties. This methodology is widely used by other market participants and uses the current market credit spreads of the names in the portfolios along with the base correlations implied by the current market prices of comparable tranches of the relevant market traded credit indices as inputs. Given its unique attributes, one transaction, which had represented two percent of the total notional amount of the corporate debt portfolio as of the second quarter of 2011, was valued using third-party quotations. This transaction matured in the third quarter of 2011.

AIG estimates the fair value of its obligations resulting from credit default swaps written on CLOs to be equivalent to the par value less the current market value of the referenced obligation. Accordingly, the value is determined by obtaining third-party quotations on the underlying super senior tranches referenced under the credit default swap contract.

Policyholder Contract Deposits

Policyholder contract deposits accounted for at fair value are measured using an earnings approach by taking into consideration the following factors:

- Current policyholder account values and related surrender charges;
- The present value of estimated future cash inflows (policy fees) and outflows (benefits and maintenance expenses) associated with the product using risk neutral valuations, incorporating expectations about policyholder behavior, market returns and other factors; and
- A risk margin that market participants would require for a market return and the uncertainty inherent in the model inputs.

The change in fair value of these policyholder contract deposits is recorded as Policyholder benefits and claims incurred in the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

Other Long-Term Debt

When fair value accounting has been elected, the fair value of non-structured liabilities is generally determined by using market prices from exchange or dealer markets, when available, or discounting expected cash flows using the appropriate discount rate for the applicable maturity. Such instruments are generally classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy as substantially all inputs are readily observable. AIG determines the fair value of structured liabilities and hybrid financial instruments (where performance is linked to structured interest rates, inflation or currency risks) using the appropriate derivative valuation methodology (described above) given the nature of the embedded risk profile. Such instruments are classified in Level 2 or Level 3 depending on the observability of significant inputs to the model. In addition, adjustments are

made to the valuations of both

non-structured and structured liabilities to reflect AIG's own creditworthiness based on observable credit spreads of AIG.

Other Liabilities

Other liabilities measured at fair value include certain securities sold under agreements to repurchase and certain securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased. Liabilities arising from securities sold under agreements to repurchase are generally treated as collateralized borrowings. AIG estimates the fair value of liabilities arising under these agreements by using market-observable interest rates. This methodology considers such factors as the coupon rate, yield curves and other relevant factors. Fair values for securities sold but not yet purchased are based on current market prices. Fair values of spot commodities sold but not yet purchased are based on current market prices of reference spot futures contracts traded on exchanges.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ASSETS AND LIABILITIES MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE ON A RECURRING BASIS

The following table presents information about assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and indicates the level of the fair value measurement based on the levels of the inputs used:

December 31, 2011 *(in millions)*	**Level 1**	**Level 2**	**Level 3**	**Counterparty Netting**[(a)]	**Cash Collateral**[(b)]	**Total**
Assets:						
Bonds available for sale:						
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	$ 174	$ 5,904	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 6,078
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	-	36,538	960	-	-	37,498
Non-U.S. governments	259	25,467	9	-	-	25,735
Corporate debt	-	142,883	1,935	-	-	144,818
RMBS	-	23,727	10,877	-	-	34,604
CMBS	-	3,991	3,955	-	-	7,946
CDO/ABS	-	3,082	4,220	-	-	7,302
Total bonds available for sale	433	241,592	21,956	-	-	263,981
Bond trading securities:						
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	100	7,404	-	-	-	7,504
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	-	257	-	-	-	257
Non-U.S. governments	-	35	-	-	-	35
Corporate debt	-	809	7	-	-	816
RMBS	-	1,345	303	-	-	1,648
CMBS	-	1,283	554	-	-	1,837
CDO/ABS	-	3,835	8,432	-	-	12,267
Total bond trading securities	100	14,968	9,296	-	-	24,364
Equity securities available for sale:						
Common stock	3,294	70	57	-	-	3,421
Preferred stock	-	44	99	-	-	143
Mutual funds	55	5	-	-	-	60
Total equity securities available for sale	3,349	119	156	-	-	3,624
Equity securities trading	43	82	-	-	-	125
Mortgage and other loans receivable	-	106	1	-	-	107
Other invested assets[(c)]	12,549	1,709	6,618	-	-	20,876
Derivative assets:						
Interest rate contracts	2	7,251	1,033	-	-	8,286
Foreign exchange contracts	-	143	2	-	-	145
Equity contracts	92	133	38	-	-	263
Commodity contracts	-	134	2	-	-	136
Credit contracts	-	-	89	-	-	89
Other contracts	29	462	250	-	-	741
Counterparty netting and cash collateral	-	-	-	(3,660)	(1,501)	(5,161)
Total derivative assets	123	8,123	1,414	(3,660)	(1,501)	4,499

Short-term investments(d)	2,309	3,604	-	-	-	5,913
Separate account assets	48,502	2,886	-	-	-	51,388
Total	$ 67,408	$ 273,189	$ 39,441	$ (3,660)	$ (1,501)	$ 374,877
Liabilities:						
Policyholder contract deposits	$ -	$ -	$ 918	$ -	$ -	$ 918
Derivative liabilities:						
Interest rate contracts	-	6,661	248	-	-	6,909
Foreign exchange contracts	-	178	-	-	-	178
Equity contracts	-	198	10	-	-	208
Commodity contracts	-	146	-	-	-	146
Credit contracts(e)	-	4	3,362	-	-	3,366
Other contracts	-	155	217	-	-	372
Counterparty netting and cash collateral	-	-	-	(3,660)	(2,786)	(6,446)
Total derivative liabilities	-	7,342	3,837	(3,660)	(2,786)	4,733
Other long-term debt(f)	-	10,258	508	-	-	10,766
Other liabilities(g)	193	714	-	-	-	907
Total	$ 193	$ 18,314	$ 5,263	$ (3,660)	$ (2,786)	$ 17,324

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2010 (in millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Counterparty Netting[a]	Cash Collateral[b]	Total
Assets:						
Bonds available for sale:						
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	$ 142	$ 7,208	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 7,350
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	4	46,007	609	-	-	46,620
Non-U.S. governments	719	16,084	5	-	-	16,808
Corporate debt	8	122,624	2,262	-	-	124,894
RMBS	-	13,441	6,367	-	-	19,808
CMBS	-	2,807	3,604	-	-	6,411
CDO/ABS	-	2,170	4,241	-	-	6,411
Total bonds available for sale	873	210,341	17,088	-	-	228,302
Bond trading securities:						
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	339	6,563	-	-	-	6,902
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	-	316	-	-	-	316
Non-U.S. governments	-	125	-	-	-	125
Corporate debt	-	912	-	-	-	912
RMBS	-	1,837	91	-	-	1,928
CMBS	-	1,572	506	-	-	2,078
CDO/ABS	-	4,490	9,431	-	-	13,921
Total bond trading securities	339	15,815	10,028	-	-	26,182
Equity securities available for sale:						
Common stock	3,577	61	61	-	-	3,699
Preferred stock	-	423	64	-	-	487
Mutual funds	316	79	-	-	-	395
Total equity securities available for sale	3,893	563	125	-	-	4,581
Equity securities trading	6,545	106	1	-	-	6,652
Mortgage and other loans receivable	-	143	-	-	-	143
Other invested assets[c]	12,281	1,661	7,414	-	-	21,356
Derivative assets:						
Interest rate contracts	1	13,146	1,057	-	-	14,204
Foreign exchange contracts	14	172	16	-	-	202
Equity contracts	61	233	65	-	-	359
Commodity contracts	-	69	23	-	-	92
Credit contracts	-	2	377	-	-	379
Other contracts	8	923	144	-	-	1,075
Counterparty netting and cash collateral	-	-	-	(6,298)	(4,096)	(10,394)
Total derivative assets	84	14,545	1,682	(6,298)	(4,096)	5,917
Short-term investments[d]	5,401	18,459	-	-	-	23,860
Separate account assets	51,607	2,825	-	-	-	54,432
Other assets	-	14	-	-	-	14

Total	$ 81,023	$ 264,472	$ 36,338	$ (6,298)	$ (4,096)	$ 371,439

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2010 (in millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Counterparty Netting[(a)]	Cash Collateral[(b)]	Total
Liabilities:						
Policyholder contract deposits	$ -	$ -	$ 445	$ -	$ -	$ 445
Derivative liabilities:						
Interest rate contracts	-	9,387	325	-	-	9,712
Foreign exchange contracts	14	324	-	-	-	338
Equity contracts	-	286	43	-	-	329
Commodity contracts	-	68	-	-	-	68
Credit contracts[(e)]	-	5	4,175	-	-	4,180
Other contracts	-	52	256	-	-	308
Counterparty netting and cash collateral	-	-	-	(6,298)	(2,902)	(9,200)
Total derivative liabilities	14	10,122	4,799	(6,298)	(2,902)	5,735
Other long-term debt[(f)]	-	11,161	982	-	-	12,143
Other liabilities[(g)]	391	2,228	-	-	-	2,619
Total	$ 405	$ 23,511	$ 6,226	$ (6,298)	$ (2,902)	$ 20,942

(a) *Represents netting of derivative exposures covered by a qualifying master netting agreement.*

(b) *Represents cash collateral posted and received. Securities collateral posted for derivative transactions that is reflected in Fixed maturity securities in the Consolidated Balance Sheet, and collateral received, not reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheet, were $1.8 billion and $100 million, respectively, at December 31, 2011 and $1.4 billion and $109 million, respectively, at December 31, 2010.*

(c) *Included in Level 1 are $12.4 billion and $11.1 billion at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, of AIA shares publicly traded on the Hong Kong Stock Exchange. Approximately 3 percent and 5 percent of the fair value of the assets recorded as Level 3 relates to various private equity, real estate, hedge fund and fund-of-funds investments that are consolidated by AIG at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. AIG's ownership in these funds represented 57.3 percent, or $0.7 billion, of Level 3 assets at December 31, 2011 and 68.6 percent, or $1.3 billion, of Level 3 assets at December 31, 2010.*

(d) *Included in Level 2 is the fair value of $0.1 billion and $1.6 billion at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, of securities purchased under agreements to resell.*

(e) *Included in Level 3 is the fair value derivative liability of $3.2 billion and $3.7 billion at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, on the AIGFP super senior credit default swap portfolio.*

(f) *Includes GIAs, notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable.*

(g) *Included in Level 2 is the fair value of $0.6 billion, $144 million and $6 million at December 31, 2011 of securities sold under agreements to repurchase, securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased and trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors, respectively. Included in Level 2 is the fair value of $2.1 billion, $94 million and $15 million at December 31, 2010 of securities sold under agreements to repurchase, securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased and trust deposits and deposits due to banks and other depositors, respectively.*

TRANSFERS OF LEVEL 1 AND LEVEL 2 ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

AIG's policy is to record transfers of assets and liabilities between Level 1 and Level 2 at their fair values as of the end of each reporting period, consistent with the date of the determination of fair value. Assets are transferred out of Level 1 when they are no longer transacted with sufficient frequency and volume in an active market. During the year ended December 31, 2011, AIG transferred certain assets from Level 1 to Level 2, including approximately $1.2 billion of investments in securities issued by the U.S. government that are no longer actively traded and approximately $1.2 billion of investments in securities issued by Non-U.S. governments. Conversely, assets are transferred from Level 2 to Level 1 when transaction volume and frequency are indicative of an active market. AIG had no significant transfers from Level 2 to Level 1 during the twelve months ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CHANGES IN LEVEL 3 RECURRING FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The following tables present changes during 2011 and 2010 in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, and the realized and unrealized gains (losses) recorded in the Consolidated Statement of Operations, during 2011 and 2010 related to the Level 3 assets and liabilities that remained in the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2011 and 2010:

(in millions)	Fair value Beginning of Year[(a)]	Net Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Income	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Purchases, Sales, Issuances and Settlements, Net	Gross Transfers in	Gross Transfers out	Fair value End of Year	Changes in Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Income on Instruments Held at End of Year
December 31, 2011								
Assets:								
Bonds available for sale:								
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	$ 609	$ 2	$ 112	$ 296	$ 17	$ (76)	$ 960	$ -
Non-U.S. governments	5	-	-	5	-	(1)	9	-
Corporate debt	2,262	11	(25)	171	2,480	(2,964)	1,935	-
RMBS	6,367	(50)	288	3,232	1,093	(53)	10,877	-
CMBS	3,604	(100)	239	207	134	(129)	3,955	-
CDO/ABS	4,241	73	142	(432)	852	(656)	4,220	-
Total bonds available for sale	17,088	(64)	756	3,479	4,576	(3,879)	21,956	-
Bond trading securities:								
Corporate debt	-	-	-	(11)	18	-	7	1
RMBS	91	(27)	-	239	-	-	303	(28)
CMBS	506	92	-	(95)	292	(241)	554	87
CDO/ABS	9,431	(660)	-	(323)	48	(64)	8,432	(677)[(b)]
Total bond trading securities	10,028	(595)	-	(190)	358	(305)	9,296	(617)
Equity securities available for sale:								
Common stock	61	28	(4)	(40)	18	(6)	57	-
Preferred stock	64	(1)	32	(1)	5	-	99	-
Mutual funds	-	-	-	(6)	6	-	-	-
Total equity securities	125	27	28	(47)	29	(6)	156	-

available for sale								
Equity securities trading	**1**	**-**	**-**	**(1)**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**
Mortgage and other loans receivable	**-**	**-**	**-**	**1**	**-**	**-**	**1**	**-**
Other invested assets	**7,414**	**(10)**	**139**	**(739)**	**251**	**(437)**	**6,618**	**2**
Total	**$ 34,656**	**$ (642)**	**$ 923**	**$ 2,503**	**$ 5,214**	**$ (4,627)**	**$ 38,027**	**$ (615)**
Liabilities:								
Policyholder contract deposits	**$ (445)**	**$ (429)**	**$ -**	**$ (44)**	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ (918)**	**$ 508**
Derivative liabilities, net:								
Interest rate contracts	**732**	**46**	**-**	**(2)**	**30**	**(21)**	**785**	**(90)**
Foreign exchange contracts	**16**	**(11)**	**-**	**(5)**	**2**	**-**	**2**	**1**
Equity contracts	**22**	**(16)**	**-**	**41**	**(7)**	**(12)**	**28**	**(15)**
Commodity contracts	**23**	**1**	**-**	**(22)**	**-**	**-**	**2**	**(1)**
Credit contracts	**(3,798)**	**332**	**-**	**193**	**-**	**-**	**(3,273)**	**493**
Other contracts	**(112)**	**(14)**	**(51)**	**74**	**(30)**	**166**	**33**	**(98)**
Total derivative liabilities, net	**(3,117)**	**338**	**(51)**	**279**	**(5)**	**133**	**(2,423)**	**290**
Other long-term debt[(c)]	**(982)**	**(60)**	**-**	**555**	**(21)**	**-**	**(508)**	**(135)**
Total	**$ (4,544)**	**$ (151)**	**$ (51)**	**$ 790**	**$ (26)**	**$ 133**	**$ (3,849)**	**$ 663**

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in millions)	Fair value Beginning of Year[(a)]	Net Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Income	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Purchases, Sales, Issuances and Settlements, Net	Net Transfers	Activity of Discontinued Operations	Fair value End of Year	Changes in Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Income on Instruments Held at End of Year
December 31, 2010								
Assets:								
Bonds available for sale:								
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	$ 613	$ (59)	$ 5	$ (121)	$ 171	$ -	$ 609	$ -
Non-U.S. governments	753	-	3	29	(39)	(741)	5	-
Corporate debt	4,791	(44)	122	(322)	(1,813)	(472)	2,262	-
RMBS	6,654	(700)	1,847	(2,497)	1,106	(43)	6,367	-
CMBS	4,939	(801)	2,055	(668)	146	(2,067)	3,604	-
CDO/ABS	4,724	110	605	(667)	(19)	(512)	4,241	-
Total bonds available for sale	22,474	(1,494)	4,637	(4,246)	(448)	(3,835)	17,088	-
Bond trading securities:								
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	16	-	-	-	-	(16)	-	-
Non-U.S. governments	56	-	-	(32)	(11)	(13)	-	-
Corporate debt	121	(3)	-	(7)	-	(111)	-	(6)
RMBS	4	(24)	-	(7)	118	-	91	(19)
CMBS	325	50	-	19	210	(98)	506	146
CDO/ABS	6,865	2,876	-	(144)	4	(170)	9,431	1,407*(b)*
Total bond trading securities	7,387	2,899	-	(171)	321	(408)	10,028	1,528
Equity securities available for sale:								
Common stock	35	(2)	38	4	(5)	(9)	61	-
Preferred stock	54	(5)	6	8	1	-	64	-
Mutual funds	6	-	3	11	(2)	(18)	-	-

Total equity securities available for sale	95	(7)	47	23	(6)	(27)	125	-
Equity securities trading	8	-	-	1	-	(8)	1	-
Other invested assets	6,910	355	149	(1,075)	1,474	(399)	7,414	(516)
Other assets	270	-	-	(270)	-	-	-	-
Separate account assets	1	-	-	-	-	(1)	-	-
Total	$ 37,145	$ 1,753	$ 4,833	$ (5,738)	$ 1,341	$ (4,678)	$ 34,656	$ 1,012
Liabilities:								
Policyholder contract deposits	$ (5,214)	$ 175	$ -	$ (544)	$ -	$ 5,138	$ (445)	$ (609)
Derivative liabilities, net:								
Interest rate contracts	(1,469)	(20)	-	1,230	991	-	732	(61)
Foreign exchange contracts	29	7	-	(2)	-	(18)	16	9
Equity contracts	74	(27)	-	(44)	20	(1)	22	(4)
Commodity contracts	22	3	-	(2)	-	-	23	3
Credit contracts	(4,545)	880	-	(131)	(2)	-	(3,798)	993
Other contracts	(176)	(61)	-	69	49	7	(112)	(86)
Total derivatives liabilities, net	(6,065)	782	-	1,120	1,058	(12)	(3,117)	854
Other long-term debt[(c)]	(881)	(237)	-	743	(607)	-	(982)	(275)
Total	$ (12,160)	$ 720	$ -	$ 1,319	$ 451	$ 5,126	$ (4,544)	$ (30)

(a) *Total Level 3 derivative exposures have been netted in these tables for presentation purposes only.*

(b) *In 2011, AIG made revisions to the presentation to include income from ML III. The prior periods have been revised to conform to the current period presentation.*

(c) *Includes GIAs, notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable.*

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Net realized and unrealized gains and losses related to Level 3 items shown above are reported in the Consolidated Statement of Operations as follows:

(in millions)	Net Investment Income	Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)	Other Income	Policyholder Benefits and Claims Incurred	Total
December 31, 2011					
Bonds available for sale	**$ 638**	**$ (717)**	**$ 15**	**$ -**	**$ (64)**
Bond trading securities	**(634)**	**4**	**35**	**-**	**(595)**
Equity securities available for sale	**-**	**27**	**-**	**-**	**27**
Other invested assets	**23**	**(84)**	**51**	**-**	**(10)**
Policyholder contract deposits	**-**	**(499)**	**70**	**-**	**(429)**
Derivative liabilities, net	**2**	**13**	**323**	**-**	**338**
Other long-term debt	**-**	**-**	**(60)**	**-**	**(60)**
December 31, 2010					
Bonds available for sale	$ 321	$ (1,832)	$ 17	$ -	$ (1,494)
Bond trading securities	2,282	39	578	-	2,899
Equity securities available for sale	-	(7)	-	-	(7)
Other invested assets	581	(271)	45	-	355
Policyholder contract deposits	-	419	76	(320)	175
Derivative liabilities, net	-	260	522	-	782
Other long-term debt	-	-	(237)	-	(237)

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents the gross components of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net, shown above:

(in millions)	Purchases	Sales	Settlements	Purchases, Sales, Issuances and Settlements, Net[(a)]
December 31, 2011				
Assets:				
Bonds available for sale:				
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	$ 305	$ (4)	$ (5)	$ 296
Non-U.S. governments	4	(2)	3	5
Corporate debt	497	(27)	(299)	171
RMBS	4,932	(205)	(1,495)	3,232
CMBS	470	(34)	(229)	207
CDO/ABS	1,067	(1)	(1,498)	(432)
Total bonds available for sale	7,275	(273)	(3,523)	3,479
Bond trading securities:				
Corporate debt	-	-	(11)	(11)
RMBS	305	(1)	(65)	239
CMBS	221	(207)	(109)	(95)
CDO/ABS	331	(304)	(350)	(323)
Total bond trading securities	857	(512)	(535)	(190)
Equity securities available for sale:				
Common stock	-	(31)	(9)	(40)
Preferred stock	-	-	(1)	(1)
Mutual funds	-	-	(6)	(6)
Total equity securities available for sale	-	(31)	(16)	(47)
Equity securities trading	-	-	(1)	(1)
Mortgage and other loans receivable	-	-	1	1
Other invested assets	718	(296)	(1,161)	(739)
Total assets	$ 8,850	$ (1,112)	$ (5,235)	$ 2,503
Liabilities:				
Policyholder contract deposits	$ -	$ (70)	$ 26	$ (44)
Derivative liabilities, net:				
Interest rate contracts	-	-	(2)	(2)
Foreign exchange contracts	-	-	(5)	(5)
Equity contracts	43	-	(2)	41
Commodity contracts	-	-	(22)	(22)
Credit contracts	-	-	193	193
Other contracts	-	-	74	74

Total derivative liabilities, net		43		-		236		279
Other long-term debt[(b)]		-		-		555		555
Total liabilities	$	43	$	(70)	$	817	$	790

(a)

There were no issuances during year ended December 31, 2011.

(b)

Includes GIAs, notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable.

Both observable and unobservable inputs may be used to determine the fair values of positions classified in Level 3 in the tables above. As a result, the unrealized gains (losses) on instruments held at December 31, 2011 and 2010 may include changes in fair value that were attributable to both observable (e.g., changes in market interest rates) and unobservable inputs (e.g., changes in unobservable long-dated volatilities).

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Transfers of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities

AIG's policy is to transfer assets and liabilities into Level 3 when a significant input cannot be corroborated with market observable data. This may include circumstances in which market activity has dramatically decreased and transparency to underlying inputs cannot be observed, current prices are not available and substantial price variances in quotations among market participants exist.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure the fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement. AIG's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment. In making the assessment, AIG considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

AIG's policy is to record transfers of assets and liabilities into or out of Level 3 at their fair values as of the end of each reporting period, consistent with the date of the determination of fair value. As a result, the Net realized and unrealized gains (losses) included in income or other comprehensive income and as shown in the table above excludes $68 million of net losses related to assets and liabilities transferred into Level 3 during 2011, and includes $45 million of net gains related to assets and liabilities transferred out of Level 3 during 2011.

Transfers of Level 3 Assets

During the year ended December 31, 2011, transfers into Level 3 included certain RMBS, CMBS, ABS, private placement corporate debt and certain private equity funds and hedge funds. The transfers into Level 3 of investments in certain RMBS, CMBS and certain ABS were due to a decrease in market transparency, downward credit migration and an overall increase in price disparity for certain individual security types. The downward credit migration in part reflected AIG's move to using composite credit ratings for these securities commencing in 2011, in order to reduce reliance on any single rating agency. Transfers into Level 3 for private placement corporate debt and certain other ABS were primarily the result of AIG adjusting matrix pricing information downward to better reflect the additional risk premium associated with those securities that AIG believes was not captured in the matrix. Certain private equity funds and hedge funds were transferred into Level 3 due to these investments being carried at fair value and no longer being accounted for using the equity method of accounting, consistent with the changes to AIG's ownership and lack of ability to exercise significant influence over the respective investments. Other private equity funds and hedge funds transferred into Level 3 represented interests in hedge funds carried at fair value with limited market activity due to fund-imposed redemption restrictions.

Assets are transferred out of Level 3 when circumstances change such that significant inputs can be corroborated with market observable data. This may be due to a significant increase in market activity for the asset, a specific event, one or more significant input(s) becoming observable or when a long-term interest rate significant to a valuation becomes short-term and thus observable. In addition, transfers out of Level 3 also occur when investments are no longer carried at fair value as the result of a change in the applicable accounting methodology, given changes in the nature and extent of AIG's ownership interest. During the year ended December 31, 2011, transfers out of Level 3 primarily related to investments in private placement corporate debt, investments in certain CMBS and ABS and certain private equity funds and hedge funds. Transfers out of Level 3 for private placement corporate debt and for certain ABS were primarily the result of AIG using observable pricing information or a third party pricing quotation that appropriately reflects the fair value of those securities, without the need for adjustment based on AIG's own assumptions regarding the characteristics of a specific security or the current liquidity in the market. Transfers out of Level 3 for certain CMBS and certain other ABS investments were primarily due to increased observations of market transactions and price information for those securities. Certain private equity funds and hedge funds were transferred out of Level 3 due to these investments no longer being carried at fair value, based on AIG's use of the equity method of accounting consistent with the changes to AIG's ownership and ability to exercise significant influence over the respective investments.

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Transfers of Level 3 Liabilities

During the year ended December 31, 2011, there were no significant transfers into Level 3 liabilities. As AIG presents carrying values of its derivative positions on a net basis in the table above, transfers out of Level 3 liabilities, which totaled approximately $133 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, primarily related to certain derivative assets transferred into Level 3 because of the lack of observable inputs on certain forward commitments. Other transfers out of Level 3 liabilities were due to movement in market variables.

AIG uses various hedging techniques to manage risks associated with certain positions, including those classified within Level 3. Such techniques may include the purchase or sale of financial instruments that are classified within Level 1 and/or Level 2. As a result, the realized and unrealized gains (losses) for assets and liabilities classified within Level 3 presented in the table above do not reflect the related realized or unrealized gains (losses) on hedging instruments that are classified within Level 1 and/or Level 2.

INVESTMENTS IN CERTAIN ENTITIES CARRIED AT FAIR VALUE USING NET ASSET VALUE PER SHARE

The following table includes information related to AIG's investments in certain other invested assets, including private equity funds, hedge funds and other alternative investments that calculate net asset value per share (or its equivalent). For these investments, which are measured at fair value on a recurring or non-recurring basis, AIG uses the net asset value per share as a practical expedient to measure fair value.

		December 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
(in millions)	**Investment Category Includes**	**Fair Value Using Net Asset Value**	**Unfunded Commitments**	**Fair Value Using Net Asset Value**	**Unfunded Commitments**
Investment Category					
Private equity funds:					
Leveraged buyout	Debt and/or equity investments made as part of a transaction in which assets of mature companies are acquired from the current shareholders, typically with the use of financial leverage	$ **3,185**	$ **945**	$ 3,137	$ 1,151
Non-U.S.	Investments that focus primarily on Asian and European based buyouts, expansion capital, special situations, turnarounds, venture capital, mezzanine and distressed opportunities strategies	**165**	**57**	172	67
Venture capital	Early-stage, high-potential, growth companies expected to generate a return through an eventual realization event, such as an initial public offering or sale of the company	**316**	**39**	325	42
Distressed	Securities of companies that are already in default, under bankruptcy protection, or troubled	**182**	**42**	258	67
Other	Real estate, energy, multi-strategy, mezzanine, and industry-focused strategies	**252**	**98**	373	147
Total private equity funds		**4,100**	**1,181**	4,265	1,474

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in millions)	Investment Category Includes	December 31, 2011 Fair Value Using Net Asset Value	December 31, 2011 Unfunded Commitments	December 31, 2010 Fair Value Using Net Asset Value	December 31, 2010 Unfunded Commitments
Hedge funds:					
Event-driven	Securities of companies undergoing material structural changes, including mergers, acquisitions and other reorganizations	**774**	**2**	1,310	2
Long-short	Securities that the manager believes are undervalued, with corresponding short positions to hedge market risk	**927**	**-**	1,038	-
Relative value	Funds that seek to benefit from market inefficiencies and value discrepancies between related investments	**52**	**-**	230	-
Distressed	Securities of companies that are already in default, under bankruptcy protection or troubled	**272**	**10**	369	20
Other	Non-U.S. companies, futures and commodities, macro and multi-strategy and industry-focused strategies	**748**	**-**	708	-
Total hedge funds		**2,773**	**12**	3,655	22
Total		$ **6,873**	$ **1,193**	$ 7,920*	$ 1,496

* *Includes investments of entities classified as held for sale of $415 million at December 31, 2010.*

At December 31, 2011, private equity fund investments included above are not redeemable during the lives of the funds and have expected remaining lives that extend in some cases more than 10 years. At that date, 32 percent of the total above had expected remaining lives of less than three years, 55 percent between three and seven years and 13 percent between seven and 10 years. Expected lives are based upon legal maturity, which can be extended at the fund manager's discretion, typically in one-year increments.

At December 31, 2011, hedge fund investments included above are redeemable monthly (9 percent), quarterly (53 percent), semi-annually (10 percent) and annually (28 percent), with redemption notices ranging from 1 day to 180 days. More than 79 percent require redemption notices of less than 90 days. Investments representing approximately 47 percent of the value of the hedge fund investments cannot be redeemed, either in whole or in part, because the investments include various restrictions. The majority of these restrictions were put in place prior to 2009 and do not have stated end dates. The restrictions that have pre-defined end dates are generally expected to be lifted by the end of 2012. The partial restrictions relate to certain hedge funds that hold at least one investment that the fund manager deems to be illiquid. In order to treat investors fairly and to accommodate subsequent subscription and redemption requests, the fund manager isolates these illiquid assets from the rest of the fund until the assets become liquid.

FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS ON A NON-RECURRING BASIS

AIG also measures the fair value of certain assets on a non-recurring basis, generally quarterly, annually or when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. These assets include cost and equity-method investments, life settlement contracts, flight equipment primarily under operating leases, collateral securing foreclosed loans and real estate and other fixed

assets, goodwill and

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

other intangible assets. AIG uses a variety of techniques to measure the fair value of these assets when appropriate, as described below:

Cost and Equity-Method Investments: When AIG determines that the carrying value of these assets may not be recoverable, AIG records the assets at fair value with the loss recognized in earnings. In such cases, AIG measures the fair value of these assets using the techniques discussed above in Valuation Methodologies of Financial Instruments Measured at Fair Value Other Invested Assets.

Life Settlement Contracts: AIG measures the fair value of individual life settlement contracts (which are included in Other invested assets) whenever the carrying value plus the undiscounted future costs that are expected to be incurred to keep the life settlement contract in force exceed the expected proceeds from the contract. In those situations, the fair value is determined on a discounted cash flow basis, incorporating current life expectancy assumptions. The discount rate incorporates current information about market interest rates, the credit exposure to the insurance company that issued the life settlement contract and AIG's estimate of the risk margin an investor in the contracts would require.

Flight Equipment Primarily Under Operating Leases: AIG evaluates quarterly the need to perform a recoverability assessment of held for use aircraft considering applicable accounting requirements and performs this assessment at least annually for all aircraft in the fleet. When AIG determines that the carrying value of its commercial aircraft may not be recoverable, AIG records the aircraft at fair value with the loss recognized in earnings. The impairment assessment involves a two-step process in which an initial assessment for potential impairment is performed whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate an aircraft's carrying amount may not be recoverable. If potential impairment is present, undiscounted cash flows are compared to the carrying value and, in the event of a cash flow shortfall, the amount of impairment is measured and recorded. AIG measures the fair value of its commercial aircraft using an income approach based on the present value of all cash flows from existing contractual and projected lease payments for the period extending to the end of the aircraft's economic life in its highest and best use configuration, plus its disposition value based on expectations of a market participant.

Collateral Securing Foreclosed Loans on Real Estate and Other Fixed Assets: When AIG takes collateral in connection with foreclosed loans, AIG generally bases its estimate of fair value on the price that would be received in a current transaction to sell the asset by itself, by reference to observable transactions for similar assets.

Goodwill: AIG tests goodwill for impairment annually or more frequently whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of goodwill may not be recoverable. When AIG determines that goodwill may be impaired, AIG uses techniques including market-based earning multiples of peer companies, discounted expected future cash flows, appraisals, or, in the case of reporting units being considered for sale, third-party indications of fair value of the reporting unit, if available, to determine the amount of any impairment.

Long-Lived Assets: AIG tests its long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a long-lived asset may not be recoverable. AIG measures the fair value of long-lived assets based on an in-use premise that considers the same factors used to estimate the fair value of its real estate and other fixed assets under an in-use premise.

Businesses Held for Sale: When AIG determines that a business qualifies as held for sale and AIG's carrying amount is greater than the expected sale price less cost to sell, AIG records an impairment loss for the difference.

See Note 2 herein for additional information about how AIG tests various asset classes for impairment.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents assets (held as of the dates presented, but excluding discontinued operations) measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at the time of impairment and the related impairment charges recorded during the periods presented:

	Assets at Fair Value Non-Recurring Basis				Impairment Charges December 31,		
(in millions)	**Level 1**	**Level 2**	**Level 3**	**Total**	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**
December 31, 2011							
Goodwill	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ -**	$ -	$ 693
Investment real estate	**-**	**-**	**457**	**457**	**18**	604	1,198
Other investments	**-**	**-**	**2,199**	**2,199**	**639**	323	908
Aircraft*	**-**	**-**	**1,683**	**1,683**	**1,693**	1,614	51
Other assets	**-**	**-**	**4**	**4**	**3**	5	225
Total	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ 4,343**	**$ 4,343**	**$ 2,353**	$ 2,546	$ 3,075
December 31, 2010							
Investment real estate	$ -	$ -	$ 1,588	$ 1,588			
Other investments	-	4	2,388	2,392			
Aircraft	-	-	4,224	4,224			
Other assets	-	-	2	2			
Total	$ -	$ 4	$ 8,202	$ 8,206			

* *Aircraft impairment charges include fair value adjustments on aircraft.*

Impairment charges shown above for the 12 months ended December 31, 2010 exclude a $4.6 billion of goodwill impairment charges associated with the sales of ALICO and AIG Star and AIG Edison, all of which are reported in discontinued operations.

During 2009, AIG recognized goodwill impairment charges primarily in the Institutional Asset Management business. These impairment charges related to a significant decline in certain consolidated warehoused investments as well as the consideration of recent transaction activity. AIG also recognized impairment charges related to certain investment real estate, proprietary real estate, private equity investments and other long-lived assets.

FAIR VALUE OPTION

Under the fair value option, AIG may elect to measure at fair value financial assets and financial liabilities that are not otherwise required to be carried at fair value. Subsequent changes in fair value for designated items are reported in earnings.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents the gains or losses recorded related to the eligible instruments for which AIG elected the fair value option:

Years Ended December 31,	**Gain (Loss)**		
(in millions)	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**
Assets:			
Mortgage and other loans receivable	**$ 11**	$ 53	$ (6)
Bonds and equity securities	**1,273**	2,060	2,513
Trading ML II interest	**42**	513	(25)
Trading ML III interest	**(646)**	1,792	419
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	**34**	1	(8)
Retained interest in AIA	**1,289**	(638)	-
Short-term investments and other invested assets and Other assets	**1**	(40)	(32)
Liabilities:			
Policyholder contract deposits	**-**	(320)	(1,121)
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	**(62)**	14	(73)
Securities and spot commodities sold but not yet purchased	**(4)**	(21)	(148)
Other long-term debt[(a)]	**(966)**	(1,595)	2,482
Other liabilities	**(1)**	(1)	(173)
Total gain[(b)]	**$ 971**	$ 1,818	$ 3,828

(a) *Includes GIAs, notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable.*

(b) *Excludes discontinued operations. For instruments required to be carried at fair value, AIG recognized gains of $1.3 billion, $4.9 billion and $3.8 billion for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, that were primarily due to changes in the fair value of derivatives, trading securities and certain other invested assets for which the fair value option was not elected.*

Interest income and expense and dividend income on assets and liabilities elected under the fair value option are recognized and classified in the Consolidated Statement of Operations depending on the nature of the instrument and related market conventions. For Direct Investment book-related activity, interest, dividend income and interest expense are included in Other income. Otherwise, interest and dividend income are included in Net investment income in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. Gains and losses on AIG's Maiden Lane Interests are recorded in Net investment income. See Note 2(a) herein for additional information about AIG's policies for recognition, measurement, and disclosure of interest and dividend income and interest expense.

During 2011, 2010 and 2009, AIG recognized gains of $420 million and losses of $779 million and $86 million, respectively, attributable to the observable effect of changes in credit spreads on AIG's own liabilities for which the fair value option was elected. AIG calculates the effect of these credit spread changes using discounted cash flow techniques that incorporate current market interest rates, AIG's observable credit spreads on these liabilities and other factors that mitigate the risk of nonperformance such as cash collateral posted.

The following table presents the difference between fair values and the aggregate contractual principal amounts of mortgage and other loans receivable and long-term borrowings for which the fair value option was elected:

	December 31, 2011			**December 31, 2010**		
(in millions)	**Fair Value**	**Outstanding**	**Difference**	**Fair Value**	**Outstanding**	**Difference**

		Principal Amount				Principal Amount	
Assets:							
Mortgage and other loans receivable	**$ 107**	**$ 150**	**$ (43)**	$ 143	$ 203	$ (60)
Liabilities:							
Other long-term debt*	**$ 10,766**	**$ 8,624**	**$ 2,142**	$ 12,143	$ 10,508	$ 1,635

* *Includes GIAs, notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable.*

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, there were no significant mortgage or other loans receivable for which the fair value option was elected that were 90 days or more past due or in non-accrual status.

FAIR VALUE INFORMATION ABOUT FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS NOT MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE

Information regarding the estimation of fair value for financial instruments not carried at fair value (excluding insurance contracts and lease contracts) is discussed below:

Mortgage and other loans receivable: Fair values of loans on real estate and other loans receivable were estimated for disclosure purposes using discounted cash flow calculations based upon discount rates that AIG believes market participants would use in determining the price that they would pay for such assets. For certain loans, AIG's current incremental lending rates for similar type loans is used as the discount rate, as it is believed that this rate approximates the rates that market participants would use. The fair values of policy loans are generally estimated based on unpaid principal amount as of each reporting date or, in some cases, based on the present value of the loans using a discounted cash flow model. No consideration is given to credit risk as policy loans are effectively collateralized by the cash surrender value of the policies.

Other Invested Assets: The majority of Other invested assets that are not measured at fair value represent investments in life settlement contracts. The fair value of life settlement contracts included in Other invested assets is determined on a discounted cash flow basis, incorporating current life expectancy assumptions.

Cash and short-term investments: The carrying values of these assets approximate fair values because of the relatively short period of time between origination and expected realization, and their limited exposure to credit risk.

Policyholder contract deposits associated with investment-type contracts: Fair values for policyholder contract deposits associated with investment-type contracts not accounted for at fair value were estimated for disclosure purposes using discounted cash flow calculations based upon interest rates currently being offered for similar contracts with maturities consistent with those remaining for the contracts being valued. Where no similar contracts are being offered, the discount rate is the appropriate tenor swap rate (if available) or current risk-free interest rate consistent with the currency in which the cash flows are denominated.

Long-term debt: Fair values of these obligations were determined for disclosure purposes by reference to quoted market prices, where available and appropriate, or discounted cash flow calculations based upon AIG's current market-observable implicit-credit-spread rates for similar types of borrowings with maturities consistent with those remaining for the debt being valued.

The following table presents the carrying value and estimated fair value of AIG's financial instruments not measured at fair value:

(in millions)	**December 31, 2011** Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value	**December 31, 2010** Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value
Assets:				
Mortgage and other loans receivable	**$ 19,382**	**$ 20,494**	$ 20,094	$ 20,285
Other invested assets	**4,701**	**3,390**	4,405	3,644

Short-term investments	**16,659**	**16,657**	19,878	19,878
Cash	**1,474**	**1,474**	1,558	1,558
Liabilities:				
Policyholder contract deposits associated with investment-type contracts	**106,950**	**122,125**	102,585	112,710
Long-term debt (including FRBNY Credit Facility)	**64,487**	**61,295**	94,318	93,745

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

7. INVESTMENTS

SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE AND OTHER INVESTED ASSETS CARRIED AT FAIR VALUE

The following table presents the amortized cost or cost and fair value of AIG's available for sale securities and other invested assets carried at fair value:

(in millions)	Amortized Cost or Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Other-Than-Temporary Impairments in AOCI[(a)]
December 31, 2011					
Bonds available for sale:					
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	$ 5,661	$ 418	$ (1)	$ 6,078	$ -
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	35,017	2,554	(73)	37,498	(28)
Non-U.S. governments	24,568	1,269	(102)	25,735	-
Corporate debt	134,974	11,569	(1,725)	144,818	115
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized:					
RMBS	34,780	1,387	(1,563)	34,604	(716)
CMBS	8,449	470	(973)	7,946	(276)
CDO/ABS	7,321	454	(473)	7,302	49
Total mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized	50,550	2,311	(3,009)	49,852	(943)
Total bonds available for sale[(b)]	250,770	18,121	(4,910)	263,981	(856)
Equity securities available for sale:					
Common stock	1,682	1,839	(100)	3,421	-
Preferred stock	83	60	-	143	-
Mutual funds	55	6	(1)	60	-
Total equity securities available for sale	1,820	1,905	(101)	3,624	-

Other invested assets carried at fair value[(c)]		**5,155**		**1,611**		**(269)**		**6,497**	**-**
Total	**$**	**257,745**	**$**	**21,637**	**$**	**(5,280)**	**$**	**274,102**	**$ (856)**
December 31, 2010									
Bonds available for sale:									
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	$	7,239	$	184	$	(73)	$	7,350	$ -
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions		45,297		1,725		(402)		46,620	2
Non-U.S. governments		16,142		741		(75)		16,808	(28)
Corporate debt		117,367		8,725		(1,198)		124,894	99
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized:									
RMBS		20,661		700		(1,553)		19,808	(648)
CMBS		7,320		240		(1,149)		6,411	(218)
CDO/ABS		6,643		402		(634)		6,411	32
Total mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized		34,624		1,342		(3,336)		32,630	(834)
Total bonds available for sale[(b)]		220,669		12,717		(5,084)		228,302	(761)
Equity securities available for sale:									
Common stock		1,820		1,931		(52)		3,699	-
Preferred stock		400		88		(1)		487	-
Mutual funds		351		46		(2)		395	-
Total equity securities available for sale		2,571		2,065		(55)		4,581	-
Other invested assets carried at fair value[(c)]		5,392		1,256		(60)		6,588	-
Total[(d)]	$	228,632	$	16,038	$	(5,199)	$	239,471	$ (761)

(a) *Represents the amount of other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive income. This amount includes unrealized gains and losses on impaired securities relating to changes in the value of such securities subsequent to the impairment measurement date.*

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(b)
At December 31, 2011 and 2010, bonds available for sale held by AIG that were below investment grade or not rated totaled $24.2 billion and $18.6 billion, respectively.

(c)
Represents private equity and hedge fund investments carried at fair value for which unrealized gains and losses are required to be recognized in other comprehensive income.

(d)
Excludes $80.5 billion of available for sale securities at fair value from businesses held for sale at December 31, 2010. Net unrealized gain attributable to businesses held for sale totaled $604 million at December 31, 2010. See Note 4 herein.

During 2011, Chartis entered into financing transactions using municipal bonds to support statutory capital by generating taxable income. In these transactions, certain available for sale high grade municipal bonds were loaned to counterparties, primarily commercial banks and brokerage firms, who receive the tax-exempt income from the bonds. In return, the counterparties are required to pay Chartis an income stream equal to the bond coupon of the loaned securities, plus a fee. To secure their borrowing of the securities, counterparties are required to post liquid collateral (such as high quality fixed maturity securities and cash) equal to at least 102 percent of the fair value of the loaned securities to third-party custodians for Chartis' benefit in the event of default by the counterparties. The collateral is maintained in a third-party custody account and is adjusted daily based on daily fair value measurements from a third-party pricing source. Chartis is not permitted to sell, repledge or otherwise control the collateral unless an event of default by the counterparties occurs. At the termination of these transactions, Chartis and its counterparties are obligated to return the collateral maintained in the third-party custody account and the identical municipal bonds loaned, respectively. These transactions are accounted for as secured financing arrangements. Under these secured financing arrangements, securities available for sale with a fair value of $2.3 billion at December 31, 2011 were loaned to counterparties against collateral equal to at least 102 percent of the fair value of the loaned securities.

Unrealized Losses on Securities Available for Sale

The following table summarizes the fair value and gross unrealized losses on AIG's available for sale securities, aggregated by major investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position:

	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
(in millions)	**Fair Value**	**Gross Unrealized Losses**	**Fair Value**	**Gross Unrealized Losses**	**Fair Value**	**Gross Unrealized Losses**
December 31, 2011						
Bonds available for sale:						
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	**$ 142**	**$ 1**	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ 142**	**$ 1**
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	**174**	**1**	**669**	**72**	**843**	**73**
Non-U.S. governments	**3,992**	**67**	**424**	**35**	**4,416**	**102**
Corporate debt	**18,099**	**937**	**5,907**	**788**	**24,006**	**1,725**
RMBS	**10,624**	**714**	**4,148**	**849**	**14,772**	**1,563**
CMBS	**1,697**	**185**	**1,724**	**788**	**3,421**	**973**
CDO/ABS	**1,680**	**50**	**1,682**	**423**	**3,362**	**473**
Total bonds available for sale	**36,408**	**1,955**	**14,554**	**2,955**	**50,962**	**4,910**
Equity securities available for sale:						
Common stock	**608**	**100**	**-**	**-**	**608**	**100**

Preferred stock		**6**		**-**		**-**		**-**		**6**		**-**
Mutual funds		**2**		**1**		**-**		**-**		**2**		**1**
Total equity securities available for sale		**616**		**101**		**-**		**-**		**616**		**101**
Total	**$**	**37,024**	**$**	**2,056**	**$**	**14,554**	**$**	**2,955**	**$**	**51,578**	**$**	**5,011**

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
(in millions)	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
December 31, 2010*						
Bonds available for sale:						
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	$ 2,142	$ 73	$ -	$ -	$ 2,142	$ 73
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	9,300	296	646	106	9,946	402
Non-U.S. governments	1,427	34	335	41	1,762	75
Corporate debt	18,246	579	7,343	619	25,589	1,198
RMBS	4,461	105	6,178	1,448	10,639	1,553
CMBS	462	19	3,014	1,130	3,476	1,149
CDO/ABS	996	48	2,603	586	3,599	634
Total bonds available for sale	37,034	1,154	20,119	3,930	57,153	5,084
Equity securities available for sale:						
Common stock	576	52	-	-	576	52
Preferred stock	11	1	-	-	11	1
Mutual funds	65	2	-	-	65	2
Total equity securities available for sale	652	55	-	-	652	55
Total	$ 37,686	$ 1,209	$ 20,119	$ 3,930	$ 57,805	$ 5,139

* *Excludes fixed maturity and equity securities of businesses held for sale. See Note 4 herein.*

At December 31, 2011, AIG held 7,582 and 304 individual fixed maturity and equity securities, respectively, that were in an unrealized loss position, of which 2,045 individual securities were in a continuous unrealized loss position for longer than 12 months. AIG did not recognize the unrealized losses in earnings on these fixed maturity securities at December 31, 2011, because management neither intends to sell the securities nor does it believe that it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis. Furthermore, management expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis of these securities. In performing this evaluation, management considered the recovery periods for securities in previous periods of broad market declines. For fixed maturity securities with significant declines, management performed fundamental credit analysis on a security-by-security basis, which included consideration of credit enhancements, expected defaults on underlying collateral, review of relevant industry analyst reports and forecasts and other available market data.

Contractual Maturities of Securities Available for Sale

The following table presents the amortized cost and fair value of fixed maturity securities available for sale by contractual maturity:

December 31, 2011	**Total Fixed Maturity Available for Sale Securities**	**Fixed Maturity Securities in a Loss Position**

(in millions)		**Amortized Cost**		**Fair Value**		**Amortized Cost**		**Fair Value**
Due in one year or less	$	9,967	$	10,101	$	1,884	$	1,866
Due after one year through five years		58,207		60,179		12,911		12,302
Due after five years through ten years		70,031		74,362		10,928		10,253
Due after ten years		62,015		69,487		5,585		4,986
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized		50,550		49,852		24,564		21,555
Total	$	250,770	$	263,981	$	55,872	$	50,962

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because certain borrowers have the right to call or prepay certain obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

TRADING SECURITIES

The following table presents the fair value of AIG's trading securities:

	December 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
(in millions)	Fair Value	Percent of Total	Fair Value	Percent of Total
Fixed Maturities:				
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	$ **7,504**	**31**%	$ 6,902	21%
Non-U.S. governments	**35**	**-**	125	-
Corporate debt	**816**	**3**	912	3
State, territories and political subdivisions	**257**	**1**	316	1
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized*:				
RMBS	**1,648**	**7**	1,928	6
CMBS	**1,837**	**7**	2,078	6
CDO/ABS and other collateralized	**5,282**	**22**	6,331	19
Total mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized	**8,767**	**36**	10,337	31
ML II	**1,321**	**5**	1,279	4
ML III	**5,664**	**23**	6,311	19
Total fixed maturities	**24,364**	**99**	26,182	79
Equity securities:				
MetLife	**-**	**-**	6,494	20
All other	**125**	**1**	158	1
Total equity securities	**125**	**1**	6,652	21
Total	$ **24,489**	**100**%	$ 32,834	100%

* *Primarily United Kingdom and European structured products.*

OTHER INVESTED ASSETS

The following table summarizes Other invested assets:

2011	2010

December 31, *(in millions)*				
Category:				
Alternative investments[(a)]	**$**	**18,793**	$	19,463
Mutual funds		**258**		1,718
Investment real estate[(b)]		**2,778**		3,196
Aircraft asset investments[(c)]		**1,100**		1,381
Life settlement contracts		**4,006**		3,834
Retained interest in AIA		**12,367**		11,134
All other investments		**1,442**		1,484
Other invested assets	**$**	**40,744**	$	42,210

(a) *Includes hedge funds, private equity funds, affordable housing partnerships and other investment partnerships.*

(b) *Net of accumulated depreciation of $428 million and $536 million in 2011 and 2010, respectively.*

(c) *Consist primarily of SunAmerica investments in aircraft equipment held in trusts.*

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Investments in Life Settlement Contracts

During 2011, 2010 and 2009, income recognized on life settlement contracts was $320 million, $213 million and $106 million, respectively, and is included in Net investment income in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. AIG's life settlement contracts reported above are monitored for impairment on a contract-by-contract basis quarterly. Impairment charges on life settlement contracts included in net realized capital gains (losses) totaled $312 million, $74 million, and $79 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The following table presents further information regarding life settlement contracts:

	December 31, 2011		
(dollars in millions)	Number of Contracts	Carrying Value	Face Value (Death Benefits)
Remaining Life Expectancy of Insureds:			
0 - 1 year	6	$ 2	$ 4
1 - 2 years	43	20	32
2 - 3 years	108	78	165
3 - 4 years	208	232	528
4 - 5 years	274	232	616
Thereafter	5,262	3,442	16,755
Total	5,901	$ 4,006	$ 18,100

At December 31, 2011, the anticipated life insurance premiums required to keep the life settlement contracts in force, payable in the next 12 months ending December 31, 2012 and the four succeeding years ending December 31, 2016 are $559 million, $573 million, $575 million, $581 million and $571 million, respectively.

Other Invested Assets Carried at Fair Value

All of the equity investments carried at fair value are subject to other-than-temporary impairment evaluation (see below for discussion on evaluating equity investments for other-than-temporary impairment). The gross unrealized loss recorded in Accumulated other comprehensive income on such investments was $269 million and $60 million at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, the majority of which pertains to investments in private equity funds and hedge funds that have been in continuous unrealized loss position for less than 12 months.

Other Invested Assets Equity Method Investments

At December 31, 2011, AIG had a 33 percent interest in AIA, which AIG is accounting for under the fair value option. AIG's equity method investments include certain investment partnerships in which AIG holds in the aggregate a five percent or greater interest or in which AIG has more than a minor influence over the operations of the investee, and certain other strategic investments. Dividends received from AIG's other strategic investments were $17 million, $25 million and $12 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively. The undistributed earnings of other strategic investments in which AIG's ownership interest is less than 50 percent were $9 million, $8 million and $7 million at December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

The following table presents the carrying value and ownership percentage of AIA and all other equity method investments:

	2011		2010	
(in millions, except percentages)	Carrying Value	Ownership Percentage	Carrying Value	Ownership Percentage
AIA	**$ 12,367**	**33%**	$ 11,134	33%
All other equity method investments	**9,026**	**Various**	9,187	Various
Total	**$ 21,393**		$ 20,321	

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Summarized Financial Information of AIA

The following is summarized financial information of AIA:

Year Ended December 31, ***(in millions)***		**2011**
Operating results:		
Total revenues	**$**	**13,802**
Total expenses		**(12,254)**
Net income (loss)	**$**	**1,548**

At December 31, ***(in millions)***		**2011**
Balance sheet:		
Total assets	**$**	**114,844**
Total liabilities	**$**	**(91,654)**

Substantially all of AIA's assets consist of financial investments and deferred acquisition and origination costs and substantially all of its liabilities consist of insurance- and investment-contract-related liabilities.

Summarized financial information for AIA was as of and for the year ended November 30, 2011. AIA was consolidated through October 28, 2010. As a result, comparable amounts for 2010 are not being presented.

Summarized Financial Information of Other Equity Method Investees

The following is the aggregated summarized financial information of AIG's other equity method investees:

Years Ended December 31, ***(in millions)***		**2011**		**2010**		**2009**
Operating results:						
Total revenues	**$**	**12,749**	$	14,079	$	(15,160)
Total expenses		**(3,530)**		(3,812)		(6,312)
Net income (loss)	**$**	**9,219**	$	10,267	$	(21,472)

At December 31, ***(in millions)***	**2011**	**2010**

Balance sheet:				
Total assets	**$**	**95,749**	$	100,156
Total liabilities	**$**	**(22,379)**	$	(23,343)

Summarized financial information for these equity method investees may be presented on a lag, due to the unavailability of information for the investees at the respective balance sheet date, and is included for the periods in which AIG held an equity method ownership interest. Summarized financial information for entities that have been divested or are held-for-sale is not included in the table above.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NET INVESTMENT INCOME

The following table presents the components of Net investment income:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	2011	2010	2009
Fixed maturity securities, including short-term investments	**$ 11,814**	$ 14,445	$ 14,535
Change in fair value of ML II	**42**	513	(25)
Change in fair value of ML III	**(646)**	1,792	419
Change in fair value of AIA securities	**1,289**	(638)	-
Change in fair value of MetLife securities prior to their sale	**(157)**	665	-
Equity securities	**92**	234	186
Interest on mortgage and other loans	**1,065**	1,268	1,347
Alternative investments*	**1,213**	1,602	4
Mutual funds	**47**	(25)	315
Real estate	**107**	126	139
Other investments	**398**	557	306
Total investment income before policyholder income and trading gains	**15,264**	20,539	17,226
Policyholder investment income and trading gains	**-**	886	2,305
Total investment income	**15,264**	21,425	19,531
Investment expenses	**509**	491	539
Net investment income	**$ 14,755**	$ 20,934	$ 18,992

* *Includes hedge funds, private equity funds and affordable housing partnerships.*

NET REALIZED CAPITAL GAINS AND LOSSES

The following table presents the components of Net realized capital gains (losses) and the increase (decrease) in unrealized appreciation of AIG's available for sale securities:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	2011	2010	2009
Sales of fixed maturity securities	**$ 1,913**	$ 1,846	$ 849
Sales of equity securities	**164**	725	303
Other-than-temporary impairments:			
Severity	**(51)**	(73)	(1,510)
Change in intent	**(12)**	(441)	(958)
Foreign currency declines	**(32)**	(63)	(112)
Issuer-specific credit events	**(1,165)**	(2,457)	(3,979)

Adverse projected cash flows		**(20)**		(5)		(137)
Provision for loan losses		**48**		(304)		(614)
Change in fair value of MetLife securities prior to the sale		**(191)**		315		-
Foreign exchange transactions		**(116)**		178		(616)
Derivative instruments		**297**		138		1,724
Other		**(314)**		(34)		(160)
Net realized capital gains (losses)	**$**	**521**	$	(175)	$	(5,210)
Increase in unrealized appreciation of investments:						
Fixed maturities	**$**	**5,578**	$	8,677	$	29,803
Equity securities		**(206)**		473		2,352
Other investments		**146**		156		141
Increase in unrealized appreciation*	**$**	**5,518**	$	9,306	$	32,296

* *Excludes net unrealized gains attributable to businesses held for sale of $604 million and $925 million at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.*

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents the gross realized gains and gross realized losses from sales or redemptions of AIG's available for sale securities:

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2011		2010		2009	
(in millions)	Gross Realized Gains	Gross Realized Losses	Gross Realized Gains	Gross Realized Losses	Gross Realized Gains	Gross Realized Losses
Fixed maturities	**$ 2,042**	**$ 129**	$ 2,138	$ 292	$ 1,497	$ 648
Equity securities	**199**	**35**	811	86	516	213
Total	**$ 2,241**	**$ 164**	$ 2,949	$ 378	$ 2,013	$ 861

For the year ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 the aggregate fair value of available for sale securities sold was $44.0 billion, $56.0 billion and $33.7 billion, respectively.

Evaluating Investments for Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

On April 1, 2009, AIG adopted prospectively an accounting standard addressing the evaluation of fixed maturity securities for other-than-temporary impairments. These requirements have significantly altered AIG's policies and procedures for determining impairment charges recognized through earnings. The new standard requires a company to recognize the credit component (a credit impairment) of an other-than-temporary impairment of a fixed maturity security in earnings and the non-credit component in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) when the company does not intend to sell the security or it is more likely than not that the company will not be required to sell the security prior to recovery. The standard also changes the threshold for determining when an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred on a fixed maturity security with respect to intent and ability to hold the security until recovery and requires additional disclosures. A credit impairment, which is recognized in earnings when it occurs, is the difference between the amortized cost of the fixed maturity security and the estimated present value of cash flows expected to be collected (recovery value), as determined by management. The difference between fair value and amortized cost that is not related to a credit impairment is recognized as a separate component of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). AIG refers to both credit impairments and impairments recognized as a result of intent to sell as "impairment charges." The impairment model for equity securities was not affected by the standard.

Impairment Policy Effective April 1, 2009 and Thereafter

Fixed Maturity Securities

If AIG intends to sell a fixed maturity security or it is more likely than not that AIG will be required to sell a fixed maturity security before recovery of its amortized cost basis and the fair value of the security is below amortized cost, an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred and the amortized cost is written down to current fair value, with a corresponding charge to earnings.

For all other fixed maturity securities for which a credit impairment has occurred, the amortized cost is written down to the estimated recovery value with a corresponding charge to earnings. Changes in fair value compared to recovery value, if any, is charged to unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of fixed maturity investments on which other-than-temporary credit impairments were taken (a component of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)).

When assessing AIG's intent to sell a fixed maturity security, or whether it is more likely than not that AIG will be required to sell a fixed maturity security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, management evaluates relevant facts and circumstances including, but not limited

to, decisions to reposition AIG's investment portfolio, sales of securities to meet cash flow needs and sales of securities to take advantage of favorable pricing.

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AIG considers severe price declines in its assessment of potential credit impairments. AIG may also modify its modeled outputs for certain securities when it determines that price declines are indicative of factors not comprehended by the cash flow models.

In periods subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment charge for available for sale fixed maturity securities that is not foreign exchange related, AIG generally prospectively accretes into earnings the difference between the new amortized cost and the expected undiscounted recovery value over the remaining expected holding period of the security.

Credit Impairments

The following table presents a rollforward of the credit impairments recognized in earnings for available for sale fixed maturity securities held by AIG[(a)]:

(in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2011	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Nine Months Ended December 31, 2009
Balance, beginning of year	**$ 6,786**	$ 7,803	$ -
Increases due to:			
Credit losses remaining in accumulated deficit related to the adoption of new other-than-temporary impairment standard	**-**	-	7,182
Credit impairments on new securities subject to impairment losses	**235**	627	550
Additional credit impairments on previously impaired securities	**735**	1,294	1,523
Reductions due to:			
Credit impaired securities fully disposed for which there was no prior intent or requirement to sell	**(529)**	(1,039)	(967)
Credit impaired securities for which there is a current intent or anticipated requirement to sell	**-**	(503)	-
Accretion on securities previously impaired due to credit[(b)]	**(544)**	(332)	(221)
Hybrid securities with embedded credit derivatives reclassified to Bond trading securities	**(179)**	(748)	-
Other[(c)]	**-**	(316)	(264)
Balance, end of year	**$ 6,504**	$ 6,786	$ 7,803

(a) *Includes structured, corporate, municipal and sovereign fixed maturity securities.*

(b) *Represents accretion recognized due to changes in cash flows expected to be collected over the remaining expected term of the credit impaired securities as well as the accretion due to the passage of time.*

(c) *Reflects the deconsolidation of AIA and sale of ALICO and AGF in 2010.*

In assessing whether a credit impairment has occurred for a structured fixed maturity security, AIG performs evaluations of expected future cash flows. Certain critical assumptions are made with respect to the performance of the securities.

When estimating future cash flows for a structured fixed maturity security (e.g., RMBS, CMBS, CDO, ABS) management considers historical performance of underlying assets and available market information as well as bond-specific structural considerations, such as credit enhancement and priority of payment structure of the security. In addition, the process of estimating future cash flows includes, but is not limited to, the following critical inputs, which vary by asset class:

- current delinquency rates;

- expected default rates and the timing of such defaults;

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

- loss severity and the timing of any recovery; and
- expected prepayment speeds.

For corporate, municipal and sovereign fixed maturity securities determined to be credit impaired, management considers the fair value as the recovery value when available information does not indicate that another value is more relevant or reliable. When management identifies information that supports a recovery value other than the fair value, the determination of a recovery value considers scenarios specific to the issuer and the security, and may be based upon estimates of outcomes of corporate restructurings, political and macroeconomic factors, stability and financial strength of the issuer, the value of any secondary sources of repayment and the disposition of assets.

Equity Securities

The impairment model for equity securities and other cost and equity method investments was not affected by the adoption of the accounting standard related to other-than-temporary impairments in the second quarter of 2009. AIG continues to evaluate its available for sale equity securities, equity method and cost method investments for impairment by considering such securities as candidates for other-than-temporary impairment if they meet any of the following criteria:

- the security has traded at a significant (25 percent or more) discount to cost for an extended period of time (nine consecutive months or longer);
- a discrete credit event has occurred resulting in (i) the issuer defaulting on a material outstanding obligation; (ii) the issuer seeking protection from creditors under the bankruptcy laws or any similar laws intended for court-supervised reorganization of insolvent enterprises; or (iii) the issuer proposing a voluntary reorganization pursuant to which creditors are asked to exchange their claims for cash or securities having a fair value substantially lower than the par value of their claims; or
- AIG has concluded that it may not realize a full recovery on its investment, regardless of the occurrence of one of the foregoing events.

The determination that an equity security is other-than-temporarily impaired requires the judgment of management and consideration of the fundamental condition of the issuer, its near-term prospects and all the relevant facts and circumstances. The above criteria also consider circumstances of a rapid and severe market valuation decline in which AIG could not reasonably assert that the impairment period would be temporary (severity losses).

Other Invested Assets

AIG's investments in private equity funds and hedge funds are evaluated for impairment similar to the evaluation of equity securities for impairments as discussed above. Such evaluation considers market conditions, events and volatility that may impact the recoverability of the underlying investments within these private equity funds and hedge funds and is based on the nature of the underlying investments and specific inherent risks. Such risks may evolve based on the nature of the underlying investments.

AIG's investments in life settlement contracts are monitored for impairment based on the underlying life insurance policies, with cash flows reported periodically. An investment in a life settlement contract is considered impaired if the undiscounted cash flows resulting from the expected proceeds from the insurance policy are less than the carrying amount of the investment plus anticipated continuing costs. If an impairment loss is recognized, the investment is written down to fair value. During 2011, Chartis implemented an enhanced process in which

updated medical information on individual insured lives is requested on a routine basis. In cases where updated information indicates that an individual's health has improved, an impairment loss may arise as a result of revised estimates of net cash flows from the related contract. Chartis also revised the valuation table used to estimate

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

future net cash flows. This had the general effect of decreasing the projected net cash flows on a number of contracts. These changes resulted in an increase in the number of life settlement contracts identified as potentially impaired.

AIG's aircraft asset investments and investments in real estate are periodically evaluated for recoverability whenever changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may be impaired. When impairment indicators are present, AIG compares expected investment cash flows to carrying value. When the expected cash flows are less than the carrying value, the investments are written down to fair value with a corresponding charge to earnings.

Purchased Credit Impaired (PCI) Securities

Beginning the second quarter of 2011, AIG purchased certain RMBS securities that had experienced deterioration in credit quality since their issuance. Management determined, based on its expectations as to the timing and amount of cash flows expected to be received, that it was probable at acquisition that AIG would not collect all contractually required payments, including both principal and interest and considering the effects of prepayments, for these PCI securities. At acquisition, the timing and amount of the undiscounted future cash flows expected to be received on each PCI security was determined based on management's best estimate using key assumptions, such as interest rates, default rates and prepayment speeds. At acquisition, the difference between the undiscounted expected future cash flows of the PCI securities and the recorded investment in the securities represents the initial accretable yield, which is to be accreted into net investment income over their remaining lives on a level-yield basis. Additionally, the difference between the contractually required payments on the PCI securities and the undiscounted expected future cash flows represents the non-accretable difference at acquisition. Over time, based on actual payments received and changes in estimates of undiscounted expected future cash flows, the accretable yield and the non-accretable difference can change, as discussed further below.

On a quarterly basis, the undiscounted expected future cash flows associated with PCI securities are re-evaluated based on updates to key assumptions. Changes to undiscounted expected future cash flows due solely to the changes in the contractual benchmark interest rates on variable rate PCI securities will change the accretable yield prospectively. Declines in undiscounted expected future cash flows due to further credit deterioration as well as changes in the expected timing of the cash flows can result in the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment charge, as PCI securities are subject to AIG's policy for evaluating investments for other-than-temporary impairment. Significant increases in undiscounted expected future cash flows for reasons other than interest rate changes are recognized prospectively as an adjustment to the accretable yield.

The following tables present information on AIG's PCI securities, which are included in bonds available for sale:

(in millions)	**At Date of Acquisition**	
Contractually required payments (principal and interest)	$	14,518
Cash flows expected to be collected*		11,520
Recorded investment in acquired securities		7,577

* Represents undiscounted expected cash flows, including both principal and interest.

(in millions)	**December 31, 2011**

Outstanding principal balance	$	10,119
Amortized cost		7,006
Fair value		6,535

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents activity for the accretable yield on PCI securities:

Year Ended December 31, 2011 *(in millions)*	
Balance, beginning of year	$ -
Newly purchased PCI securities	3,943
Accretion	(324)
Effect of changes in interest rate indices	(62)
Net reclassification from non-accretable difference, including effects of prepayments	578
Balance, end of year	$ 4,135

INSURANCE   STATUTORY AND OTHER DEPOSITS

Total carrying values of cash and securities deposited by AIG's insurance subsidiaries under requirements of regulatory authorities or other insurance-related arrangements were $9.8 billion and $11.5 billion at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

8. LENDING ACTIVITIES

The following table presents the composition of Mortgages and other loans receivable:

(in millions)	**December 31, 2011**	December 31, 2010
Commercial mortgages	**$ 13,554**	$ 13,571
Life insurance policy loans	**3,049**	3,133
Commercial loans, other loans and notes receivable*	**3,626**	4,411
Total mortgage and other loans receivable	**20,229**	21,115
Allowance for losses	**(740)**	(878)
Mortgage and other loans receivable, net	**$ 19,489**	$ 20,237

* *Commercial mortgages primarily represent loans for office, retail and industrial properties, with exposures in California and New York representing the largest geographic concentrations (24 percent and 13 percent, respectively, at December 31, 2011). Over 98 percent and 97 percent of the commercial mortgages were current as to payments of principal and interest at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.*

The following table presents the credit quality indicators for commercial mortgage loans:

Class

December 31, 2011 ***(dollars in millions)***	**Number of Loans**	**Apartments**	**Offices**	**Retail**	**Industrial**	**Hotel**	**Others**	**Total**	**Percent of Total**
Credit Quality Indicator:									
In good standing	1,032	$ 1,751	$ 4,885	$ 2,287	$ 1,928	$ 939	$ 1,268	$ 13,058	96%
Restructured[(a)]	12	49	204	-	4	-	30	287	2
90 days or less delinquent	7	-	20	-	-	-	-	20	-
>90 days delinquent or in process of foreclosure	12	25	85	-	2	-	77	189	2
Total[(b)]	1,063	$ 1,825	$ 5,194	$ 2,287	$ 1,934	$ 939	$ 1,375	$ 13,554	100%
Valuation allowance		$ 24	$ 132	$ 23	$ 71	$ 12	$ 43	$ 305	2%

(a) *Loans that have been modified in troubled debt restructurings and are performing according to their restructured terms. See discussion of troubled debt restructurings below.*

(b) *Does not reflect valuation allowances.*

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

METHODOLOGY USED TO ESTIMATE THE ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES

Mortgage and other loans receivable are considered impaired when collection of all amounts due under contractual terms is not probable. For commercial mortgage loans in particular, the impairment is measured based on the fair value of underlying collateral, which is determined based on the present value of expected net future cash flows of the collateral, less estimated costs to sell. For other loans, the impairment may be measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or based on the loan's observable market price, where available. An allowance is typically established for the difference between the impaired value of the loan and its current carrying amount. Additional allowance amounts are established for incurred but not specifically identified impairments, based on the analysis of internal risk ratings and current loan values. Internal risk ratings are assigned based on the consideration of risk factors including past due status, debt service coverage, loan-to-value ratio or the ratio of the loan balance to the estimated value of the property, property occupancy, profile of the borrower and of the major property tenants, economic trends in the market where the property is located, and condition of the property. These factors and the resulting risk ratings also provide a basis for determining the level of monitoring performed at both the individual loan and the portfolio level. When all or a portion of a commercial mortgage loan is deemed uncollectible, the uncollectible portion of the carrying value of the loan is charged off against the allowance.

A significant majority of commercial mortgage loans in the portfolio are non-recourse loans and, accordingly, the only guarantees are for specific items that are exceptions to the non-recourse provisions. It is therefore extremely rare for AIG to have cause to enforce the provisions of a guarantee on a commercial real estate or mortgage loan.

The following table presents a rollforward of the changes in the allowance for losses on Mortgage and other loans receivable:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011** Commercial Mortgages	**2011** Other Loans	**2011** Total	**2010** Commercial Mortgages	**2010** Other Loans[b]	**2010** Total	**2009** Commercial Mortgages	**2009** Other Loans[b]	**2009** Total
Allowance, beginning of year	**$ 470**	**$ 408**	**$ 878**	$ 432	$ 2,012	$ 2,444	$ 3	$ 1,677	$ 1,680
Loans charged off	**(78)**	**(47)**	**(125)**	(217)	(137)	(354)	(82)	(482)	(564)
Recoveries of loans previously charged off	**37**	**1**	**38**	-	8	8	-	54	54
Net charge-offs	**(41)**	**(46)**	**(87)**	(217)	(129)	(346)	(82)	(428)	(510)
Provision for loan losses	**(69)**	**73**	**4**	342	27	369	422	588	1,010
Other	**(55)**	**-**	**(55)**	(34)	(1,497)	(1,531)	89	379	468
Reclassified to Assets of businesses held for sale	**-**	**-**	**-**	(53)	(5)	(58)	-	(204)	(204)
Allowance, end of year	**$ 305**[(a)]	**$ 435**	**$ 740**	$ 470[(a)]	$ 408	$ 878	$ 432	$ 2,012	$ 2,444

(a) *Of the total, $65 million and $476 million relates to individually assessed credit losses on $110 million and $739 million of commercial mortgage loans as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.*

(b) *Included in Other loans were finance receivables, which were reported net of unearned finance charges, for both investment purposes and held for sale.*

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

TROUBLED DEBT RESTRUCTURINGS

AIG modifies loans to optimize their returns and improve their collectability, among other things. When such a modification is undertaken with a borrower that is experiencing financial difficulty and the modification involves AIG granting a concession to the troubled debtor, the modification is deemed to be a troubled debt restructuring (TDR). AIG assesses whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty based on a variety of factors, including the borrower's current default on any of its outstanding debt, the probability of a default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without the modification, the insufficiency of the borrower's forecasted cash flows to service any of its outstanding debt (including both principal and interest), and the borrower's inability to access alternative third-party financing at an interest rate that would be reflective of current market conditions for a non-troubled debtor. Concessions granted may include extended maturity dates, interest rate changes, principal forgiveness, payment deferrals and easing of loan covenants.

As of December 31, 2011, there were no significant loans held by AIG that had been modified in a TDR during 2011.

9. REINSURANCE

In the ordinary course of business, AIG's general insurance and life insurance companies place reinsurance with other insurance companies in order to provide greater diversification of AIG's business and limit the potential for losses arising from large risks. In addition, AIG's general insurance subsidiaries assume reinsurance from other insurance companies.

The following table provides supplemental information for gross loss and benefit reserves net of ceded reinsurance:

At December 31, *(in millions)*	2011 As Reported	2011 Net of Reinsurance	2010 As Reported	2010 Net of Reinsurance
Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense[(a)]	**$ (91,145)**	**$ (70,825)**	$ (91,151)	$ (71,507)
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts	**(34,317)**	**(33,312)**	(31,268)	(30,234)
Reserve for unearned premiums	**(23,465)**	**(19,553)**	(23,803)	(19,927)
Reinsurance assets[(b)]	**25,237**	**-**	24,554	-

(a) *In 2011, the Net of Reinsurance amount reflects the cession under the June 17, 2011 transaction with National Indemnity Company (NICO) of $1.7 billion.*

(b) *Represents gross reinsurance assets, excluding allowances and reinsurance recoverable on paid losses.*

SHORT-DURATION REINSURANCE

Short-duration reinsurance is effected under reinsurance treaties and by negotiation on individual risks. Certain of these reinsurance arrangements consist of excess of loss contracts that protect AIG against losses above stipulated amounts. Ceded premiums are considered prepaid reinsurance premiums and are recognized as a reduction of premiums earned over the contract period in proportion to the protection received. Amounts recoverable from reinsurers on short-duration contracts are estimated in a manner consistent with the claims liabilities associated with the reinsurance and presented as a component of Reinsurance assets. Assumed reinsurance premiums are earned primarily on a pro-rata basis over the terms of the reinsurance contracts. For both ceded and assumed reinsurance, risk transfer requirements must be met in

order for reinsurance accounting to apply. If risk transfer requirements are not met, the contract is accounted for as a deposit, resulting in the recognition of cash flows under the contract through a deposit asset or liability and not as revenue or expense. To meet risk transfer requirements, a reinsurance contract must include both insurance risk, consisting of both

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

underwriting and timing risk, and a reasonable possibility of a significant loss for the assuming entity. Similar risk transfer criteria are used to determine whether directly written insurance contracts should be accounted for as insurance or as a deposit.

The following table presents short-duration insurance premiums written and earned:

Years Ended December 31,	Chartis			Other Businesses*			Eliminations			Total		
(in millions)	**2011**	2010	2009	**2011**	2010*	2009*	**2011**	2010	2009	**2011**	2010	2009
Premiums written:												
Direct	**$ 41,710**	$ 38,965	$ 38,461	**$ 898**	$ 927	$ 2,195	**$ -**	$ -	$ -	**$ 42,608**	$ 39,892	$ 40,656
Assumed	**3,031**	2,442	2,061	**-**	(2)	2,628	**2**	-	(657)	**3,033**	2,440	4,032
Ceded	**(9,901)**	(9,795)	(9,869)	**(97)**	(169)	(631)	**(2)**	-	657	**(10,000)**	(9,964)	(9,843)
Total	**$ 34,840**	$ 31,612	$ 30,653	**$ 801**	$ 756	$ 4,192	**$ -**	$ -	$ -	**$ 35,641**	$ 32,368	$ 34,845
Premiums earned:												
Direct	**$ 42,878**	$ 39,082	$ 40,859	**$ 835**	$ 1,065	$ 2,288	**$ -**	$ -	$ -	**$ 43,713**	$ 40,147	$ 43,147
Assumed	**3,294**	2,488	2,192	**55**	80	2,740	**(46)**	-	(657)	**3,303**	2,568	4,275
Ceded	**(10,483)**	(9,049)	(10,790)	**(98)**	(170)	(689)	**46**	-	657	**(10,535)**	(9,219)	(10,822)
Total	**$ 35,689**	$ 32,521	$ 32,261	**$ 792**	$ 975	$ 4,339	**$ -**	$ -	$ -	**$ 36,481**	$ 33,496	$ 36,600

* *Includes results of Mortgage Guaranty and in 2009 only, also includes results of Transatlantic Holdings, Inc. (Transatlantic), which was deconsolidated during 2009, and 21st Century Insurance Group (including Agency Auto Division and excluding Chartis Private Client Group) (21st Century) and HSB Group, Inc. (HSB), which were sold during 2009.*

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, reinsurance recoveries, which reduced loss and loss expenses incurred, amounted to $6.1 billion, $8.0 billion and $8.9 billion, respectively.

LONG-DURATION REINSURANCE

Long-duration reinsurance is effected principally under yearly renewable term treaties. The premiums with respect to these treaties are earned over the contract period in proportion to the protection provided. Amounts recoverable from reinsurers on long-duration contracts are estimated in a manner consistent with the assumptions used for the underlying policy benefits and are presented as a component of Reinsurance assets.

The following table presents premiums for AIG's long-duration insurance and retirement services operations:

Years Ended December 31,	SunAmerica			Divested Businesses*			Eliminations			Total		
(in millions)	**2011**	2010	2009	**2011**	2010	2009	**2011**	2010	2009	**2011**	2010	2009
Gross premiums	**$ 3,104**	$ 3,141	$ 3,438	**$ 17**	$ 9,670	$ 9,572	**$ -**	$ -	$ (4)	**$ 3,121**	$ 12,811	$ 13,006
Ceded premiums	**(591)**	(621)	(767)	**(6)**	(435)	(342)	**-**	-	4	**(597)**	(1,056)	(1,105)
Total	**$ 2,513**	$ 2,520	$ 2,671	**$ 11**	$ 9,235	$ 9,230	**$ -**	$ -	$ -	**$ 2,524**	$ 11,755	$ 11,901

* *Primarily represents results of AIA, which was deconsolidated during 2010.*

Long-duration reinsurance recoveries, which reduced death and other benefits, approximated $611 million, $810 million and $638 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

The following table presents long-duration insurance in force ceded to other insurance companies:

At December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011**	2010*	2009*
Long-duration insurance in force ceded	**$ 140,156**	$ 148,605	$ 339,183

* *Excludes amounts related to held-for-sale entities.*

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Long-duration insurance assumed represented 0.07 percent of gross long-duration insurance in force at December 31, 2011, 0.1 percent at December 31, 2010 and less than 0.1 percent at December 31, 2009, and combined long-duration insurance and retirement services premiums assumed represented 0.5 percent, 0.3 percent and 0.1 percent of gross premiums for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

SunAmerica operations utilize internal and third-party reinsurance relationships to manage insurance risks and to facilitate capital management strategies. Pools of highly-rated third-party reinsurers are utilized to manage net amounts at risk in excess of retention limits. SunAmerica's domestic long-duration insurance companies also cede excess, non-economic reserves carried on a statutory-basis on certain term and universal life insurance policies and certain fixed annuities to onshore and offshore affiliates.

SunAmerica generally obtains letters of credit in order to obtain statutory recognition of its intercompany reinsurance transactions, particularly with respect to redundant statutory reserves requirements on term insurance and universal life with secondary guarantees (XXX and AXXX reserves). For this purpose, SunAmerica has a $585 million syndicated letter of credit facility outstanding at December 31, 2011, all of which relates to long-duration intercompany reinsurance transactions. SunAmerica has also obtained approximately $215 million of letters of credit on a bilateral basis all of which relates to long-duration intercompany reinsurance transactions. All of these approximately $800 million of letters of credit are due to mature on December 31, 2015.

REINSURANCE SECURITY

AIG's third-party reinsurance arrangements do not relieve AIG from its direct obligation to its insureds. Thus, a credit exposure exists with respect to both short-duration and long-duration reinsurance ceded to the extent that any reinsurer fails to meet the obligations assumed under any reinsurance agreement. AIG holds substantial collateral as security under related reinsurance agreements in the form of funds, securities, and/or letters of credit. A provision has been recorded for estimated unrecoverable reinsurance. AIG has been largely successful in prior recovery efforts.

AIG evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers and AIG's Credit Risk Management department establishes limits per reinsurer. AIG believes that no exposure to a single reinsurer represents an inappropriate concentration of risk to AIG, nor is AIG's business substantially dependent upon any single reinsurer.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

10. DEFERRED POLICY ACQUISITION COSTS

The following table presents a rollforward of deferred policy acquisition costs:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011**	**2010**	**2009**
Chartis:			
Balance, beginning of year	**$ 4,973**	$ 4,759	$ 4,959
Dispositions[a]	**-**	-	(418)
Acquisition costs deferred	**6,575**	6,849	6,490
Amortization expense	**(6,455)**	(6,728)	(6,663)
Increase due to foreign exchange and other	**261**	93	391
Balance, end of year	**$ 5,354**	$ 4,973	$ 4,759
SunAmerica:			
Balance, beginning of year	**$ 9,606**	$ 11,098	$ 14,447
Dispositions[b]	**-**	-	(479)
Acquisition costs deferred	**1,194**	990	1,014
Amortization expense	**(1,535)**	(1,368)	(1,553)
Change in net unrealized losses on securities[c]	**(674)**	(1,111)	(960)
Increase (decrease) due to foreign exchange	**2**	1	(10)
Other[d]	**-**	(4)	(1,361)
Balance, end of year[e]	**$ 8,593**	$ 9,606	$ 11,098
Other operations:			
Balance, beginning of year	**$ 47**	$ 24,908	$ 26,321
Dispositions[b]	**-**	(22,244)	-
Acquisition costs deferred	**27**	1,496	1,545
Amortization expense	**(29)**	(1,038)	(1,226)
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on securities[c]	**-**	34	(44)
Increase due to foreign exchange	**1**	377	826
Activity of discontinued operations	**-**	220	868
Reclassified to Assets held for sale	**-**	(3,521)	(3,322)
Other[d]	**(2)**	(185)	(60)
Subtotal	**$ 44**	$ 47	$ 24,908
Consolidation and eliminations	**35**	42	49
Balance, end of year[e]	**$ 79**	$ 89	$ 24,957
Total deferred policy acquisition costs	**$ 14,026**	$ 14,668	$ 40,814

(a) *In 2009, Transatlantic was deconsolidated and 21st Century and HSB were sold.*

(b) *For 2010, includes AIG Star and AIG Edison, which were sold in February 2011, AIA which was deconsolidated and ALICO which was sold in 2010 and AIG Life Canada, which was sold in 2009.*

(c) *In 2009, includes an increase of $1.3 billion and $2 million related to the cumulative effect of adopting a new other-than-temporary impairments accounting standard for SunAmerica and Divested businesses, respectively.*

(d) *In 2009, includes a decrease of $1.3 billion and $2 million related to the cumulative effect of adopting a new other-than-temporary impairments accounting standard for SunAmerica and Divested businesses, respectively.*

(e) *Includes $(646) million, $(1.0) billion, and $86 million for SunAmerica at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and $(34) million for Divested businesses at 2010 related to the effect of net unrealized gains and losses on available for sale securities. For the year ended December 31, 2010, there were no net unrealized gains and losses on available for sale securities associated with divested businesses.*

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Included in the above table is the VOBA, an intangible asset recorded during purchase accounting, which is amortized in a manner similar to DAC. Amortization of VOBA was $34 million, $90 million and $132 million in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, while the unamortized balance was $430 million, $488 million and $1.6 billion at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The percentage of the unamortized balance of VOBA at December 31, 2011 expected to be amortized in 2012 through 2016 by year is: 8.1 percent, 7.6 percent, 6.3 percent, 5.8 percent and 5.1 percent, respectively, with 67.1 percent being amortized after five years. These projections are based on current estimates for investment, persistency, mortality and morbidity assumptions. The DAC amortization charged to income includes the increase or decrease of amortization related to Net realized capital gains (losses), primarily in SunAmerica's domestic retirement services business. In 2011, 2010 and 2009, amortization expense (increased) decreased by $307 million, $101 million and $(113) million, respectively.

As AIG operates in various global markets, the estimated gross profits used to amortize DAC, VOBA and SIA are subject to differing market returns and interest rate environments in any single period. The combination of market returns and interest rates may lead to acceleration of amortization in some products and regions and simultaneous deceleration of amortization in other products and regions.

DAC, VOBA and SIA for insurance-oriented, investment-oriented and retirement services products are reviewed for recoverability, which involves estimating the future profitability of current business. This review involves significant management judgment. If actual future profitability is substantially lower than estimated, AIG's DAC, VOBA and SIA may be subject to an impairment charge and AIG's results of operations could be significantly affected in future periods.

11. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

A variable interest entity (VIE) is a legal entity that does not have sufficient equity at risk to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support or is structured such that equity investors lack the ability to make significant decisions relating to the entity's operations through voting rights and do not substantively participate in the gains and losses of the entity. Consolidation of a VIE by its primary beneficiary is not based on majority voting interest, but is based on other criteria discussed below.

While AIG enters into various arrangements with VIEs in the normal course of business, AIG's involvement with VIEs is primarily via its insurance companies as a passive investor in debt securities (rated and unrated) and equity interests issued by VIEs. In all instances, AIG consolidates the VIE when it determines it is the primary beneficiary. This analysis includes a review of the VIE's capital structure, contractual relationships and terms, nature of the VIE's operations and purpose, nature of the VIE's interests issued and AIG's involvements with the entity. When assessing the need to consolidate a VIE, AIG evaluates the design of the VIE as well as the related risks the entity was designed to expose the variable interest holders to.

For VIEs with attributes consistent with that of an investment company or a money market fund, the primary beneficiary is the party or group of related parties that absorbs a majority of the expected losses of the VIE, receives the majority of the expected residual returns of the VIE, or both.

For all other variable interest entities, the primary beneficiary is the entity that has both (1) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly affect the entity's economic performance and (2) the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could be potentially significant to the VIE. While also considering these factors, the consolidation conclusion depends on the breadth of AIG's decision-making ability and its ability to influence activities that significantly affect the economic performance of the VIE.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

EXPOSURE TO LOSS

AIG's total off-balance sheet exposure associated with VIEs, primarily consisting of financial guarantees and commitments to real estate and investment funds, was $0.4 billion and $1.0 billion at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The following table presents AIG's total assets, total liabilities and off-balance sheet exposure associated with its variable interests in consolidated VIEs:

December 31,	VIE Assets*		VIE Liabilities		Off-Balance Sheet Exposure	
(in billions)	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**
AIA/ALICO SPVs	**$ 14.2**	$ 48.6	**$ 0.1**	$ 0.9	**$ -**	$ -
Real estate and investment funds	**1.5**	3.8	**0.4**	1.2	**0.1**	0.1
Commercial paper conduit	**0.5**	0.5	**0.2**	0.2	**-**	-
Affordable housing partnerships	**2.5**	2.9	**0.1**	0.4	**-**	-
Other	**4.1**	4.7	**1.8**	2.1	**-**	-
VIEs of businesses held for sale	**-**	0.4	**-**	-	**-**	-
Total	**$ 22.8**	$ 60.9	**$ 2.6**	$ 4.8	**$ 0.1**	$ 0.1

* *The assets of each VIE can be used only to settle specific obligations of that VIE.*

AIG calculates its maximum exposure to loss to be (i) the amount invested in the debt or equity of the VIE, (ii) the notional amount of VIE assets or liabilities where AIG has also provided credit protection to the VIE with the VIE as the referenced obligation, and (iii) other commitments and guarantees to the VIE. Interest holders in VIEs sponsored by AIG generally have recourse only to the assets and cash flows of the VIEs and do not have recourse to AIG, except in limited circumstances when AIG has provided a guarantee to the VIE's interest holders.

The following table presents total assets of unconsolidated VIEs in which AIG holds a variable interest, as well as AIG's maximum exposure to loss associated with these VIEs:

		Maximum Exposure to Loss		
(in billions)	**Total VIE Assets**	**On-Balance Sheet**	**Off-Balance Sheet**	**Total**
December 31, 2011				
Real estate and investment funds	**$ 18.3**	**$ 2.1**	**$ 0.3**	**$ 2.4**
Affordable housing partnerships	**0.6**	**0.6**	**-**	**0.6**
Maiden Lane Interests	**27.1**	**7.0**	**-**	**7.0**
Other	**1.5**	**-**	**-**	**-**

Total	**$**	**47.5**	**$**	**9.7**	**$**	**0.3**	**$**	**10.0**
December 31, 2010								
Real estate and investment funds	$	18.5	$	2.5	$	0.3	$	2.8
Affordable housing partnerships		0.6		0.6		-		0.6
Maiden Lane Interests		40.1		7.6		-		7.6
Other		1.6		0.1		0.5		0.6
VIEs of businesses held for sale		2.0		0.4		0.1		0.5
Total	$	62.8	$	11.2	$	0.9	$	12.1

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

BALANCE SHEET CLASSIFICATION

AIG's interests in the assets and liabilities of consolidated and unconsolidated VIEs were classified in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as follows:

December 31,	**Consolidated VIEs**		**Unconsolidated VIEs**	
(in billions)	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**
Assets:				
Available for sale securities	**$ 0.4**	$ 3.3	**$ -**	$ -
Trading securities	**1.3**	8.1	**7.1**	7.7
Mortgage and other loans receivable	**0.5**	0.7	**-**	-
Other invested assets	**17.2**	18.3	**2.6**	3.1
Other asset accounts*	**3.4**	30.1	**-**	0.1
Assets held for sale	**-**	0.4	**-**	0.3
Total	**$ 22.8**	$ 60.9	**$ 9.7**	$ 11.2
Liabilities:				
Other long-term debt	**$ 1.7**	$ 2.6	**$ -**	$ -
Other liability accounts*	**0.9**	2.2	**-**	-
Total	**$ 2.6**	$ 4.8	**$ -**	$ -

*

Decrease from prior year was due to the repayment of the FRBNY Credit Facility from the AIA and ALICO SPVs pursuant to the Recapitalization.

See Note 2(v) herein for the effect of consolidation under the amended accounting standard for the consolidation of variable interest entities.

AIA AND ALICO SPVS

AIG is the primary beneficiary of the AIA and ALICO SPVs, as AIG has the power to direct the activities of the SPVs that most significantly impact their economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses and the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the SPVs. See Notes 1 and 17 herein for further discussion of the AIA and ALICO SPVs.

REAL ESTATE AND INVESTMENT FUNDS

AIG, through AIG Global Real Estate, is an investor in various real estate investments, some of which are VIEs. These investments are typically with unaffiliated third-party developers via a partnership or limited liability company structure. The VIE's activities consist of the development or redevelopment of commercial and residential real estate. AIG's involvement varies from being a passive equity investor or finance provider to actively managing the activities of the VIE.

AIG's insurance operations participate as passive investors in the equity issued primarily by third-party-managed hedge and private equity funds. AIG's insurance operations typically are not involved in the design or establishment of VIEs, nor do they actively participate in the

management of VIEs.

COMMERCIAL PAPER CONDUIT

AIGFP is the primary beneficiary of Curzon Funding LLC, an asset-backed commercial paper conduit, the assets of which serve as collateral for the conduit's obligations.

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AFFORDABLE HOUSING PARTNERSHIPS

SunAmerica Affordable Housing Partners, Inc. (SAAHP) organizes and invests in limited partnerships that develop and operate affordable housing qualifying for federal tax credits, in addition to a few market rate properties across the United States. The general partners in the operating partnerships are almost exclusively unaffiliated third-party developers. AIG does not consolidate an operating partnership if the general partner is an unaffiliated person. Through approximately 1,050 partnerships, SAAHP has investments in developments with approximately 135,000 apartment units nationwide, and as of December 31, 2011 has syndicated approximately $7.7 billion in partnership equity to other investors who will receive, among other benefits, tax credits under certain sections of the Internal Revenue Code. The pre-tax income of SAAHP is reported, along with other SunAmerica partnership income, as a component of the SunAmerica segment.

MAIDEN LANE INTERESTS

In 2008, certain AIG wholly-owned life insurance companies sold all of their undivided interests in a pool of $39.3 billion face amount of RMBS to ML II, whose sole member is the FRBNY. AIG has a significant variable economic interest in ML II, which is a VIE.

In 2008, AIG entered into an agreement with the FRBNY, ML III and The Bank of New York Mellon, which established arrangements, through ML III, to fund the purchase of multi-sector CDOs underlying or related to CDS written by AIGFP. Concurrently, AIGFP's counterparties to such CDS transactions agreed to terminate those CDS transactions relating to the multi-sector CDOs purchased from them. AIG has a significant variable interest in ML III, which is a VIE.

OTHER ASSET ACCOUNTS

Aircraft Trusts

AIG has created two VIEs for the purpose of acquiring, owning, leasing, maintaining, operating and selling aircraft. AIG subsidiaries hold beneficial interests, including all the equity interests in these entities. These beneficial interests include passive investments by AIG's insurance operations in non-voting preferred equity interests and in the majority of the debt issued by these entities. AIG and its subsidiaries collectively maintain the power to direct the activities of the VIEs that most significantly impact the entities' economic performance, and bear the obligation to absorb economic losses or receive economic benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIEs. As a result, AIG has determined that it is the primary beneficiary and fully consolidates the assets and liabilities of these entities, which totaled $1.3 billion and $0.8 billion, respectively at December 31, 2011. The debt of these entities is not an obligation of, or guaranteed by, AIG or any of its subsidiaries. Under a servicing agreement, ILFC acts as servicer for the aircraft owned by these entities.

Consumer Loans Vehicles

AIG sponsors one VIE that has issued a variable funding note backed by a consumer loan collateralized by individual life insurance assets. As of December 31, 2011, total consolidated assets and liabilities for this entity were $456 million and $248 million, respectively; AIG's maximum exposure, representing the carrying value of the consumer loan, was $434 million.

Structured Investment Vehicle

Through the Direct Investment book, AIG sponsors Nightingale Finance Ltd, a structured investment vehicle (SIV), which invests in variable rate, investment-grade debt securities, the majority of which are ABS. AIG has no equity interest in the SIV; however, it maintains the power to direct the activities of the SIV that most

significantly impact the entity's economic performance and bears the obligation to absorb economic losses that could potentially be significant to the SIV. The SIV meets the definition of a VIE and accordingly, AIG, as primary beneficiary, consolidates the assets of the SIV, which totaled over $1 billion as of December 31, 2011 in the Direct Investment book; related liabilities were not significant.

FINANCING VEHICLES

ILFC has created wholly-owned subsidiaries for the purpose of purchasing aircraft and obtaining financing secured by such aircraft. A portion of the secured debt has been guaranteed by the European Export Credit Agencies. These entities meet the definition of a VIE because they do not have sufficient equity to operate without ILFC's subordinated financial support in the form of intercompany notes which serve as equity. ILFC fully consolidates the entities, controls all the activities of the entities and guarantees the activities of the entities. AIG has not included these entities in the above table as they are wholly-owned and there are no other variable interests other than those of ILFC and the lenders. See Note 15 herein for further information.

LEASING ENTITIES

ILFC has created wholly-owned subsidiaries for the purpose of facilitating aircraft leases with airlines. The entities meet the definition of a VIE because they do not have sufficient equity to operate without ILFC's subordinated financial support in the form of intercompany notes which serve as equity. ILFC fully consolidates the entities, controls all the activities of the entities and fully guarantees the activities of the entities. AIG has not included these entities in the above table as they are wholly owned and there are no other variable interests in the entities other than those of ILFC.

RMBS, CMBS, OTHER ABS AND CDOS

AIG, through its insurance company subsidiaries, is a passive investor in RMBS, CMBS, other ABS and CDOs primarily issued by domestic special-purpose entities. AIG generally does not sponsor or transfer assets to, or act as the servicer to these asset-backed structures, and was not involved in the design of these entities.

AIG, through its Direct Investment book, also invests in CDOs and similar structures, which can be cash-based or synthetic and are managed by third parties. The role of Direct Investment book is generally limited to that of a passive investor in structures AIG does not manage.

AIG's maximum exposure in these types of structures is limited to its investment in securities issued by these entities. Based on the nature of AIG's investments and its passive involvement in these types of structures, AIG has determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of these entities. AIG has not included these entities in the above table; however, the fair values of AIG's investments in these structures are reported in Notes 6 and 7 herein.

12. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGE ACCOUNTING

AIG uses derivatives and other financial instruments as part of its financial risk management programs and as part of its investment operations. AIGFP had also transacted in derivatives as a dealer and had acted as an intermediary between the relevant AIG subsidiary and the counterparty. In a number of situations, AIG has replaced AIGFP with AIG Markets for purposes of acting as an intermediary between the AIG subsidiary and the third-party counterparty as part of the wind-down of AIGFP's portfolios.

Derivatives are financial arrangements among two or more parties with returns linked to or "derived" from some underlying equity, debt, commodity, or other asset, liability, or foreign exchange rate or other index or the occurrence of a specified payment event. Derivatives, with the

exception of bifurcated embedded derivatives, are reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheet in Derivative assets, at fair value and Derivative liabilities, at fair

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

value. A bifurcated embedded derivative is measured at fair value and accounted for in the same manner as a free standing derivative contract. The corresponding host contract is accounted for according to the accounting guidance applicable for that instrument. A bifurcated embedded derivative is generally presented with the host contract in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. See Note 6 herein for additional information on embedded policy derivatives.

The following table presents the notional amounts and fair values of AIG's derivative instruments:

	December 31, 2011				December 31, 2010			
	Gross Derivative Assets		Gross Derivative Liabilities		Gross Derivative Assets		Gross Derivative Liabilities	
(in millions)	Notional Amount[(a)]	Fair Value[(b)]	Notional Amount[(a)]	Fair Value[(b)]	Notional Amount[(a)]	Fair Value[(b)]	Notional Amount[(a)]	Fair Value[(b)]
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:								
Interest rate contracts[(c)]	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ 481**	**$ 38**	$ 1,471	$ 156	$ 626	$ 56
Foreign exchange contracts	**-**	**-**	**180**	**1**	-	-	-	-
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:								
Interest rate contracts[(c)]	**72,660**	**8,286**	**73,248**	**6,870**	150,966	14,048	118,783	9,657
Foreign exchange contracts	**3,278**	**145**	**3,399**	**178**	2,495	203	4,105	338
Equity contracts[(d)]	**4,748**	**263**	**18,911**	**1,126**	5,002	358	15,666	774
Commodity contracts	**691**	**136**	**861**	**146**	944	92	768	67
Credit contracts	**407**	**89**	**25,857**	**3,366**	2,046	379	62,715	4,180
Other contracts[(e)]	**24,305**	**741**	**2,125**	**372**	27,333	1,075	2,190	308
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	**106,089**	**9,660**	**124,401**	**12,058**	188,786	16,155	204,227	15,324

Total derivatives	**$**	**106,089**	**$**	**9,660**	**$**	**125,062**	**$**	**12,097**	$	190,257	$	16,311	$	204,853	$	15,380

(a) *Notional amount represents a standard of measurement of the volume of derivatives business of AIG. Notional amount is generally not a quantification of market risk or credit risk and is not recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Notional amounts generally represent those amounts used to calculate contractual cash flows to be exchanged and are not paid or received, except for certain contracts such as currency swaps and certain credit contracts. For credit contracts, notional amounts are net of all underlying subordination.*

(b) *Fair value amounts are shown before the effects of counterparty netting adjustments and offsetting cash collateral.*

(c) *Includes cross currency swaps.*

(d) *Notional amount of derivative liabilities and fair value of derivative liabilities include $18,254 million and $918 million, respectively, at December 31, 2011, and $14,107 million and $445 million, respectively, at December 31, 2010, related to bifurcated embedded derivatives. At December 31, 2010, these respective amounts were previously included in Other Contracts.*

(e) *Consist primarily of contracts with multiple underlying exposures.*

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents the fair values of derivative assets and liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheet:

	December 31, 2011				December 31, 2010			
	Derivative Assets		Derivative Liabilities[a]		Derivative Assets		Derivative Liabilities[a]	
(in millions)	Notional Amount	Fair Value	Notional Amount	Fair Value	Notional Amount	Fair Value	Notional Amount	Fair Value
AIGFP derivatives	$ 86,128	$ 7,063	$ 90,241	$ 8,854	$ 168,033	$ 12,268	$ 173,226	$ 12,379
All other derivatives[b]	19,961	2,597	34,821	3,243	22,224	4,043	31,627	3,001
Total derivatives, gross	$ 106,089	9,660	$ 125,062	12,097	$ 190,257	16,311	$ 204,853	15,380
Counterparty netting[c]		(3,660)		(3,660)		(6,298)		(6,298)
Cash collateral[d]		(1,501)		(2,786)		(4,096)		(2,902)
Total derivatives, net		4,499		5,651		5,917		6,180
Less: Bifurcated embedded derivatives		-		918		-		445
Total derivatives on consolidated balance sheet		$ 4,499		$ 4,733		$ 5,917		$ 5,735

(a) *Included in All other derivatives are bifurcated embedded derivatives, which are recorded in Policyholder contract deposits.*

(b) *Represents derivatives used to hedge the foreign currency and interest rate risk associated with insurance and ILFC operations, as well as embedded derivatives included in insurance obligations.*

(c) *Represents netting of derivative exposures covered by a qualifying master netting agreement.*

(d) *Represents cash collateral posted and received.*

COLLATERAL

AIG engages in derivative transactions directly with unaffiliated third parties in most cases under International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. (ISDA) agreements. Many of the ISDA agreements also include Credit Support Annex (CSA) provisions, which generally provide for collateral postings at various ratings and threshold levels. AIG attempts to reduce its risk with certain counterparties by entering into agreements that enable collateral to be obtained from a counterparty on an upfront or contingent basis. AIG minimizes the risk that counterparties to transactions might be unable to fulfill their contractual obligations by monitoring counterparty credit exposure and collateral value and generally requiring additional collateral to be posted to AIG upon the occurrence of certain events or circumstances. In addition, a significant portion of the derivative transactions have provisions that require collateral to be posted by AIG upon a downgrade of AIG's long-term debt ratings or give the counterparty the right to terminate the transaction. In the case of some of the derivative transactions, as an alternative to posting collateral and subject to certain conditions, AIG may assign the transaction to an obligor with higher debt ratings or arrange for a substitute guarantee of AIG's obligations by an obligor with higher debt ratings or take other similar action. The actual amount of collateral required to be posted to counterparties in the event of such downgrades, or the aggregate amount of payments that AIG could be required to make, depends on market conditions, the fair value of outstanding affected transactions and other factors prevailing at and after the time of the downgrade.

Collateral posted by AIG to third parties for derivative transactions was $4.7 billion and $4.3 billion at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. This collateral can generally be repledged or resold by the counterparties. Collateral obtained by AIG from third parties for derivative transactions was $1.6 billion and $4.2 billion at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. This collateral can generally be repledged or resold by AIG.

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

HEDGE ACCOUNTING

AIG designated certain derivatives entered into by AIG Markets with third parties as cash flow hedges of certain debt issued by ILFC and designated certain derivatives entered into by AIG's insurance subsidiaries with third parties as fair value hedges of available-for-sale investment securities held by such subsidiaries. The fair value hedges include foreign currency forwards designated as hedges of the change in fair value of foreign currency denominated available-for-sale securities attributable to changes in foreign exchange rates. With respect to the cash flow hedges, interest rate swaps were designated as hedges of the changes in cash flows on floating rate debt attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate.

AIG assesses, both at the hedge's inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of hedged items. Regression analysis is employed to assess the effectiveness of these hedges both on a prospective and retrospective basis. AIG does not utilize the shortcut method to assess hedge effectiveness. For net investment hedges, a qualitative methodology is utilized to assess hedge effectiveness.

AIG uses foreign currency denominated debt as hedging instruments in net investment hedge relationships to mitigate the foreign exchange risk associated with AIG's non-U.S. dollar functional currency foreign subsidiaries. AIG assesses the hedge effectiveness and measures the amount of ineffectiveness for these hedge relationships based on changes in spot exchange rates. AIG records the change in the carrying amount of these investments related to the effective portion of the hedge in the foreign currency translation adjustment within Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Simultaneously, the ineffective portion, if any, is recorded in earnings. If (i) the notional amount of the hedging debt matches the designated portion of the net investment and (ii) the hedging debt is denominated in the same currency as the functional currency of the hedged net investment, no ineffectiveness is recorded in earnings. For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, AIG recognized gains (losses) of $(13) million and $28 million, respectively, included in Foreign currency translation adjustment in Accumulated other comprehensive loss related to the net investment hedge relationships.

The following table presents the effect of AIG's derivative instruments in fair value hedging relationships in the Consolidated Statement of Operations:

Years Ended December 31, ***(in millions)***	**2011**	**2010**
Interest rate contracts[a][b]:		
Gain (loss) recognized in earnings on derivatives	**$ (4)**	$ 196
Gain (loss) recognized in earnings on hedged items[c]	**153**	(25)
Gain recognized in earnings for ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing	**-**	27

(a) *Gains and losses recognized in earnings for the ineffective portion and amounts excluded from effectiveness testing are recorded in Net realized capital gains (losses). Includes $27 million for 2010 related to the ineffective portion.*

(b) *Includes immaterial amounts related to Foreign exchange contracts.*

(c) *Includes $149 million for 2011 and $144 million for 2010, representing the amortization of debt basis adjustment following the discontinuation of hedge accounting recorded in Other income and Net realized capital gains (losses).*

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents the effect of AIG's derivative instruments in cash flow hedging relationships in the Consolidated Statement of Operations:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011**	**2010**
Interest rate contracts[(a)]:		
Loss recognized in OCI on derivatives	**$ (5)**	$ (33)
Loss reclassified from Accumulated OCI into earnings[(b)]	**(55)**	(84)
Loss recognized in earnings on derivatives for ineffective portion	**-**	(6)

(a) *Gains and losses reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss are recorded in Other income. Gains or losses recognized in earnings on derivatives for the ineffective portion are recorded in Net realized capital losses.*

(b) *The effective portion of the change in fair value of a derivative qualifying as a cash flow hedge is recorded in Accumulated other comprehensive income until earnings are affected by the variability of cash flows in the hedged item. At December 31, 2011, $17 million of the deferred net loss in Accumulated other comprehensive loss is expected to be recognized in earnings during the next 12 months.*

DERIVATIVES NOT DESIGNATED AS HEDGING INSTRUMENTS

The following table presents the effect of AIG's derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments in the Consolidated Statement of Operations:

Years Ended December 31,	**Gains (Losses) Recognized in Earnings**	
(in millions)	**2011**	**2010**
By Derivative Type:		
Interest rate contracts[(a)]	**$ 601**	$ 254
Foreign exchange contracts	**137**	(123)
Equity contracts[(b)]	**(263)**	427
Commodity contracts	**4**	1
Credit contracts	**337**	1,227
Other contracts	**47**	545
Total	**$ 863**	$ 2,331
By Classification:		
Premiums	**$ 113**	$ 75
Net investment income	**8**	18
Net realized capital gains	**96**	726
Other income	**646**	1,512
Total	**$ 863**	$ 2,331

(a)
Includes cross currency swaps.

(b)
Includes embedded derivative gains (losses) of $ (397) million and $423 million, for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

AIGFP DERIVATIVES

AIGFP enters into derivative transactions to mitigate market risk in its exposures (interest rates, currencies, commodities, credit and equities) arising from its transactions. In most cases, AIGFP did not hedge its exposures related to the credit default swaps it had written. As a dealer, AIGFP structured and entered into derivative transactions to meet the needs of counterparties who may have been seeking to hedge certain aspects of such counterparties' operations or obtain a desired financial exposure.

AIGFP's derivative transactions involving interest rate swap transactions generally involve the exchange of fixed and floating rate interest payment obligations without the exchange of the underlying notional amounts. AIGFP typically became a principal in the exchange of interest payments between the parties and, therefore, is exposed to

counterparty credit risk and may be exposed to loss, if counterparties default. Currency, commodity and equity swaps are similar to interest rate swaps but involve the exchange of specific currencies or cash flows based on the underlying commodity, equity securities or indices. Also, they may involve the exchange of notional amounts at the beginning and end of the transaction. Swaptions are options where the holder has the right but not the obligation to enter into a swap transaction or cancel an existing swap transaction.

AIGFP follows a policy of minimizing interest rate, currency, commodity, and equity risks associated with investment securities by entering into offsetting positions, thereby offsetting a significant portion of the unrealized appreciation and depreciation. In addition, to reduce its credit risk, at December 31, 2011, AIGFP has entered into credit derivative transactions with respect to $196 million of securities to economically hedge its credit risk.

The timing and the amount of cash flows relating to AIGFP's foreign exchange forwards and exchange traded futures and options contracts are determined by each of the respective contractual agreements.

Futures and forward contracts are contracts that obligate the holder to sell or purchase foreign currencies, commodities or financial indices in which the seller/purchaser agrees to make/take delivery at a specified future date of a specified instrument, at a specified price or yield. Options are contracts that allow the holder of the option to purchase or sell the underlying commodity, currency or index at a specified price and within, or at, a specified period of time. As a writer of options, AIGFP generally receives an option premium and then manages the risk of any unfavorable change in the value of the underlying commodity, currency or index by entering into offsetting transactions with third-party market participants. Risks arise as a result of movements in current market prices from contracted prices, and the potential inability of the counterparties to meet their obligations under the contracts.

AIGFP Super Senior Credit Default Swaps

AIGFP entered into credit default swap transactions with the intention of earning revenue on credit exposure. In the majority of AIGFP's credit default swap transactions, AIGFP sold credit protection on a designated portfolio of loans or debt securities. Generally, AIGFP provides such credit protection on a "second loss" basis, meaning that AIGFP would incur credit losses only after a shortfall of principal and/or interest, or other credit events, in respect of the protected loans and debt securities, exceeds a specified threshold amount or level of "first losses."

Typically, the credit risk associated with a designated portfolio of loans or debt securities has been tranched into different layers of risk, which are then analyzed and rated by the credit rating agencies. At origination, there is usually an equity layer covering the first credit losses in respect of the portfolio up to a specified percentage of the total portfolio, and then successive layers ranging generally from a BBB-rated layer to one or more AAA-rated layers. A significant majority of AIGFP transactions that were rated by rating agencies had risk layers or tranches rated AAA at origination that are immediately junior to the threshold level above which AIGFP's payment obligation would generally arise. In transactions that were not rated, AIGFP applied equivalent risk criteria for setting the threshold level for its payment obligations. Therefore, the risk layer assumed by AIGFP with respect to the designated portfolio of loans or debt securities in these transactions is often called the "super senior" risk layer, defined as a layer of credit risk senior to one or more risk layers rated AAA by the credit rating agencies, or, if the transaction is not rated, structured to be the equivalent thereto.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table presents the net notional amount, fair value of derivative (asset) liability and unrealized market valuation gain (loss) of the AIGFP super senior credit default swap portfolio, including credit default swaps written on mezzanine tranches of certain regulatory capital relief transactions, by asset class:

	Net Notional Amount		Fair Value of Derivative (Asset) Liability at		Unrealized Market Valuation Gain (Loss) Years Ended	
	December 31,		December 31,		December 31,	
(in millions)	**2011[a]**	**2010[a]**	**2011[b][c]**	**2010[b][c]**	**2011[c]**	**2010[c]**
Regulatory Capital:						
Corporate loans	**$ 1,830**	$ 5,193	**$ -**	$ -	**$ -**	$ -
Prime residential mortgages[d]	**3,653**	31,613	**-**	(190)	**6**	53
Other	**887**	1,263	**9**	17	**8**	4
Total	**6,370**	38,069	**9**	(173)	**14**	57
Arbitrage:						
Multi-sector CDOs[e]	**5,476**	6,689	**3,077**	3,484	**249**	663
Corporate debt/CLOs[f]	**11,784**	12,269	**127**	171	**44**	(67)
Total	**17,260**	18,958	**3,204**	3,655	**293**	596
Mezzanine tranches[d][g]	**989**	2,823	**10**	198	**32**	(55)
Total	**$ 24,619**	$ 59,850	**$ 3,223**	$ 3,680	**$ 339**	$ 598

(a) *Net notional amounts presented are net of all structural subordination below the covered tranches.*

(b) *Fair value amounts are shown before the effects of counterparty netting adjustments and offsetting cash collateral.*

(c) *Includes credit valuation adjustment gains (losses) of $26 million and ($133) million in the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, representing the effect of changes in AIG's credit spreads on the valuation of the derivatives liabilities.*

(d) *During 2011, AIGFP terminated two super senior prime residential mortgage transactions, with a combined net notional amount of $24.1 billion, that had previously been the subject of a collateral dispute. In addition, AIGFP terminated all of the related mezzanine tranches and hedge transactions related to those mezzanine tranches, with a combined net notional amount of $2.2 billion. The transactions were terminated at values that approximated their collective fair values at the time of termination.*

(e) *During 2011, AIGFP liquidated one multi-sector super senior CDS transaction with a net notional amount of $188 million. The primary underlying collateral components, which consisted of individual ABS CDS transactions, were sold in an auction to counterparties, including AIGFP, at their approximate fair value at the time of the liquidation. AIGFP was the winning bidder on approximately $107 million of individual ABS CDS transactions, which are reported in written single name credit default swaps as of December 31, 2011. During 2011, AIGFP also paid $37 million to its counterparties with respect to multi-sector CDOs. Multi-sector CDOs also include $4.6 billion and $5.5 billion in net notional amount of credit default swaps written with cash settlement provisions at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.*

(f) *Corporate debt/CLOs include $1.2 billion and $1.3 billion in net notional amount of credit default swaps written on the super senior tranches of CLOs at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.*

(g) *Net of offsetting purchased CDS of $1.4 billion in net notional amount at December 31, 2010. There were no offsetting purchased CDSs at December 31, 2011.*

The expected weighted average maturity of AIGFP's super senior credit derivative portfolios as of December 31, 2011 was 0.72 years for the regulatory capital corporate loan portfolio, 0.41 years for the regulatory capital prime residential mortgage portfolio, 3.78 years for the regulatory capital other portfolio, 6.42 years for the multi-sector CDO arbitrage portfolio and 4.18 years for the corporate debt/CLO portfolio.

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Given the current performance of the underlying portfolios, the level of subordination of the credit protection written by AIGFP and AIGFP's own assessment of the credit quality of the underlying portfolio, as well as the risk mitigants inherent in the transaction structures, AIGFP does not expect that it will be required to make payments pursuant to the contractual terms of those transactions providing regulatory relief.

Because of long-term maturities of the CDS in the arbitrage portfolio, AIG is unable to make reasonable estimates of the periods during which any payments would be made. However, the net notional amount represents the maximum exposure to loss on the super senior credit default swap portfolio.

AIGFP Written Single Name Credit Default Swaps

AIGFP has also entered into credit default swap contracts referencing single-name exposures written on corporate, index and asset-backed credits, with the intention of earning spread income on credit exposure. Some of these transactions were entered into as part of a long-short strategy allowing AIGFP to earn the net spread between CDS it wrote and ones it purchased. At December 31, 2011, the net notional amount of these written CDS contracts was $380 million, including ABS CDS transactions assumed by AIGFP from a liquidated multi-sector super senior CDS transaction. AIGFP has hedged these exposures by purchasing offsetting CDS contracts of $70 million in net notional amount. The net unhedged position of approximately $310 million represents the maximum exposure to loss on these CDS contracts. The average maturity of the written CDS contracts is 19.13 years. At December 31, 2011, the fair value of derivative liability (which represents the carrying value) of the portfolio of CDS was $89 million.

Upon a triggering event (e.g., a default) with respect to the underlying credit, AIGFP would normally have the option to settle the position through an auction process (cash settlement) or pay the notional amount of the contract to the counterparty in exchange for a bond issued by the underlying credit obligor (physical settlement).

AIGFP wrote these CDS contracts under ISDA Master Agreements. The majority of these Master Agreements include CSAs that provide for collateral postings at various ratings and threshold levels. At December 31, 2011, AIGFP had posted $112 million of collateral under these contracts.

ALL OTHER DERIVATIVES

AIG's businesses other than AIGFP also use derivatives and other instruments as part of their financial risk management. Interest rate derivatives (such as interest rate swaps) are used to manage interest rate risk associated with embedded derivatives contained in insurance contract liabilities, fixed maturity securities, outstanding medium- and long-term notes as well as other interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities. Foreign exchange derivatives (principally foreign exchange forwards and options) are used to economically mitigate risk associated with non-U.S. dollar denominated debt, net capital exposures, and foreign currency transactions. Equity derivatives are used to mitigate financial risk embedded in certain insurance liabilities. The derivatives are effective economic hedges of the exposures that they are meant to offset.

In addition to hedging activities, AIG also enters into derivative instruments with respect to investment operations, which include, among other things, credit default swaps and purchasing investments with embedded derivatives, such as equity linked notes and convertible bonds.

Matched Investment Program Written Credit Default Swaps

AIG's MIP operations, which are reported in AIG's Other operations category as part of the Direct Investment book, are currently in run-off. Through the MIP, AIG has entered into CDS contracts as a writer of protection, with the intention of earning spread income on credit exposure in an unfunded form. The portfolio of CDS contracts were single-name exposures and, at inception, were predominantly high-grade corporate credits.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

These contracts were written through AIG Markets, which then transacted directly with unaffiliated third parties under ISDA agreements. As of December 31, 2011, the notional amount of written CDS contracts was $1.1 billion with an average credit rating of BBB+. At that date, the average remaining maturity of the written CDS contracts was less than one year and the fair value of the derivative liability (which represents the carrying value) of the MIP's written CDS contracts was $13 million.

The majority of the ISDA agreements include CSA provisions, which provide for collateral postings at various ratings and threshold levels. At December 31, 2011, $2 million of collateral was posted for CDS contracts related to the MIP. The notional amount represents the maximum exposure to loss on the written CDS contracts. However, because of the average investment grade rating and expected default recovery rates, actual losses are expected to be less.

Upon a triggering event (e.g., a default) with respect to the underlying credit, AIG Markets would normally have the option to settle the position on behalf of the MIP through an auction process (cash settlement) or pay the notional amount of the contract to the counterparty in exchange for a bond issued by the underlying credit (physical settlement).

Credit Risk-Related Contingent Features

AIG engages in derivative transactions directly with unaffiliated third parties under ISDA agreements. Many of the ISDA agreements also include CSA provisions, which provide for collateral postings at various ratings and threshold levels. In addition, AIG attempts to reduce credit risk with certain counterparties by entering into agreements that enable collateral to be obtained from a counterparty on an upfront or contingent basis.

The aggregate fair value of AIG's derivative instruments, including those of AIGFP, that contain credit risk-related contingent features that were in a net liability position at December 31, 2011, was approximately $4.9 billion. The aggregate fair value of assets posted as collateral under these contracts at December 31, 2011, was $5.1 billion.

AIG estimates that at December 31, 2011, based on AIG's outstanding financial derivative transactions, including those of AIGFP at that date, a one-notch downgrade of AIG's long-term senior debt ratings to BBB+ by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a subsidiary of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. (S&P), would permit counterparties to make additional collateral calls and permit the counterparties to elect early termination of contracts, resulting in a negligible amount of corresponding collateral postings and termination payments; a one-notch downgrade to Baa2 by Moody's Investors' Services, Inc. (Moody's) and an additional one-notch downgrade to BBB by S&P would result in approximately $264 million in additional collateral postings and termination payments and a further one-notch downgrade to Baa3 by Moody's and BBB- by S&P would result in approximately $267 million in additional collateral postings and termination payments. Additional collateral postings upon downgrade are estimated based on the factors in the individual collateral posting provisions of the CSA with each counterparty and current exposure as of December 31, 2011. Factors considered in estimating the termination payments upon downgrade include current market conditions, the complexity of the derivative transactions, historical termination experience and other observable market events such as bankruptcy and downgrade events that have occurred at other companies. Management's estimates are also based on the assumption that counterparties will terminate based on their net exposure to AIG. The actual termination payments could significantly differ from management's estimates given market conditions at the time of downgrade and the level of uncertainty in estimating both the number of counterparties who may elect to exercise their right to terminate and the payment that may be triggered in connection with any such exercise.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

HYBRID SECURITIES WITH EMBEDDED CREDIT DERIVATIVES

AIG invests in hybrid securities (such as credit-linked notes). Upon the issuance of credit-linked notes, the cash received by the issuer is generally used to invest in highly rated securities in addition to entering into a derivative contract that exchanges the return on its highly-rated securities for the return on a separate portfolio of assets. The investments owned by the issuer serve as collateral for the derivative instrument written by the issuer. The return on the separate portfolio received by the issuer is used to pay the return owed on the credit-linked notes. These hybrid securities expose AIG to risks similar to the risks in RMBS, CMBS, CDOs and ABS, but such risk is derived from the separate portfolio rather than from direct mortgage or loan investments owned by the issuer. As with other investments in RMBS, CMBS, CDOs and other ABS, AIG invested in these hybrid securities with the intent of generating income, and not specifically to acquire exposure to embedded derivative risk. Similar to AIG's other investments in RMBS, CMBS, CDOs and ABS, AIG's investments in these hybrid securities are exposed to losses only up to the amount of AIG's initial investment in the hybrid security, as losses on the derivative contract will be paid via the collateral held by the entity that issues the hybrid security. Losses on the embedded derivative contracts may be triggered by events such as bankruptcy, failure to pay or restructuring associated with the obligations referenced by the derivative, and these losses in turn result in the reduction of the principal amount to be repaid to AIG and other investors in the hybrid securities. Other than AIG's initial investment in the hybrid securities, AIG has no further obligation to make payments on the embedded credit derivatives in the related hybrid securities.

Effective July 1, 2010, AIG elected to account for its investments in these hybrid securities with embedded written credit derivatives at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized in Net investment income and Other income. Through June 30, 2010, these hybrid securities had been accounted for as available for sale securities, and had been subject to other-than-temporary impairment accounting as applicable.

AIG's investments in these hybrid securities are reported as Bond trading securities in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The fair value of these hybrid securities was $111 million at December 31, 2011. These securities have a current par amount of $454 million and have remaining stated maturity dates that extend to 2052.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

13. LIABILITY FOR UNPAID CLAIMS AND CLAIMS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSE AND FUTURE POLICY BENEFITS FOR LIFE AND ACCIDENT AND HEALTH INSURANCE CONTRACTS AND POLICYHOLDER CONTRACT DEPOSITS

The following table presents the reconciliation of activity in the Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense:

Years Ended December 31, *(in millions)*	**2011**	2010	2009
Balance, beginning of year:			
Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense	**$ 91,151**	$ 85,386	$ 89,258
Reinsurance recoverable	**(19,644)**	(17,487)	(16,803)
Total	**71,507**	67,899	72,455
Foreign exchange effect	**353**	(126)	1,416
Acquisitions[a]	**-**	1,538	-
Dispositions[b]	**-**	(87)	(9,657)
Reduction of net loss reserves due to NICO transaction	**(1,703)**	-	-
Losses and loss expenses incurred[c]:			
Current year	**27,590**	24,074	27,354
Prior years, other than accretion of discount[d]	**195**	4,182	2,771
Prior years, accretion of discount	**375**	(181)	313
Total	**28,160**	28,075	30,438
Losses and loss expenses paid[c]:			
Current year	**11,534**	9,873	11,079
Prior years	**15,958**	15,919	15,673
Total	**27,492**	25,792	26,752
Activity of discontinued operations	**-**	-	(1)
Balance, end of year:			
Net liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense	**70,825**	71,507	67,899
Reinsurance recoverable	**20,320**	19,644	17,487
Total	**$ 91,145**	$ 91,151	$ 85,386

(a) *Represents the acquisition of Fuji on March 31, 2010.*

(b) *Transatlantic was deconsolidated in 2009, and 21st Century and HSB were sold in 2009.*

(c)

Includes amounts related to dispositions through the date of disposition.

(d)

In 2011, includes $(414) million, $145 million and $413 million related to excess casualty, commercial specialty workers' compensation and environmental, respectively. In 2010, includes $1.1 billion, $793 million and $1.5 billion related to excess casualty, excess workers' compensation and asbestos, respectively. In 2009, includes $1.5 billion, $956 million and $151 million related to excess casualty, excess workers' compensation and asbestos, respectively.

The 2011 net adverse development includes loss-sensitive business, for which AIG also recognized a $172 million loss-sensitive premium adjustment.

The 2010 net adverse loss development for prior accident years primarily relates to the asbestos, excess casualty, excess workers' compensation and primary workers' compensation. Further, this charge primarily relates to accident years 2007 and prior (accident years before the financial crisis in 2008) and a significant amount relates to accident 2005 and prior (accident years prior to the start of the managed reduction in these long-tail lines of business). The 2009 charge relates to excess casualty, excess workers' compensation and asbestos lines of business.

Table of Contents

Further, the charge relates to accident years 2005 and prior (accident years prior to the start of the managed reduction in these long-tail lines of business).

In 2010 and 2009, the reserve charges were primarily due to long-tail lines of business which have been reduced since 2006. In the case of asbestos, since 1985, standard policies have contained an absolute exclusion for asbestos and pollution-related damages. The factors driving excess casualty loss cost were primarily due to medical inflation and the exhaustion of underlying primary policies for products liability coverage and for homebuilders. In 2010, excess workers' compensation experienced significant prior year development related to the passage of the Affordable Care Act in March 2010 as management concluded that there is increased vulnerability to the risk of further cost-shifting to the excess workers' compensation class of business.

DISCOUNTING OF RESERVES

At December 31, 2011, net loss reserves reflect a loss reserve discount of $3.18 billion, including tabular and non-tabular calculations based upon the following assumptions.

The tabular workers' compensation discount is calculated using a 3.5 percent interest rate and the 1979-81 Decennial Mortality Table.

The non-tabular workers' compensation discount is calculated separately for companies domiciled in New York and Pennsylvania, and follows the statutory regulations for each state. For New York companies, the discount is based on a five percent interest rate and the companies' own payout patterns. For Pennsylvania companies, the statute has specified discount factors for accident years 2001 and prior, which are based on a six percent interest rate and an industry payout pattern. For accident years 2002 and subsequent, the discount is based on the payout patterns and investment yields of the companies.

Certain asbestos business that was written by Chartis is discounted, when allowed by the regulator and when payments are fixed and determinable, based on the investment yields of the companies and the payout pattern for this business.

The discount consists of the following: $777 million tabular discount for workers' compensation in the domestic operations of Chartis and $2.32 billion non-tabular discount for workers' compensation in the domestic operations of Chartis; and $88 million non-tabular discount for asbestos for Chartis.

FUTURE POLICY BENEFITS

The following table presents the components of future policy benefits:

At December 31, ***(in millions)***	**2011**	**2010**
Future policy benefits:		
Long duration and structured settlement contracts	**$ 33,322**	$ 30,992
Short duration contracts	**995**	276

Total future policy benefits	**$**	**34,317**	$	31,268

Long duration contract liabilities included in future policy benefits, as presented in the preceding table, result primarily from life products. Short duration contract liabilities are primarily accident and health products. The liability for future life policy benefits has been established on the basis of the following assumptions:

Interest rates (exclusive of immediate/terminal funding annuities), which vary by year of issuance and products, range from 1 percent to 9.5 percent within the first 20 years. Interest rates on immediate/terminal funding annuities are at a maximum of 13.5 percent and grade to not greater than 0.5 percent.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Mortality and surrender rates are based upon actual experience modified to allow for variations in policy form. The weighted average lapse rate, including surrenders, for individual and group life was approximately 5.3 percent.

The portions of current and prior Net income and of current unrealized appreciation of investments that can inure to the benefit of AIG are restricted in some cases by the insurance contracts and by the local insurance regulations of the jurisdictions in which the policies are in force.

Participating life business represented approximately 2.2 percent of the gross insurance in force at December 31, 2011 and 4.8 percent of gross Premiums and other considerations in 2011. The amount of annual dividends to be paid is approved locally by the boards of directors of the life companies. Provisions for future dividend payments are computed by jurisdiction, reflecting local regulations.

POLICYHOLDER CONTRACT DEPOSITS

The following table presents policyholder contract deposits liabilities:

At December 31, ***(in millions)***		**2011**		**2010**
Policyholder contract deposits:				
Annuities	**$**	**98,657**	$	92,672
Universal life products		**12,917**		12,569
Guaranteed investment contracts		**6,788**		8,491
Variable products fixed account option		**3,181**		2,217
Corporate life products		**2,239**		2,203
Other investment contracts		**3,116**		3,221
Total policyholder contract deposits	**$**	**126,898**	$	121,373

The liability for policyholder contract deposits has been established based on the following assumptions:

Interest rates credited on deferred annuities, which vary by year of issuance, range from 1 percent to, including bonuses, 9 percent. Current declared interest rates are generally guaranteed to remain in effect for a period of one year though some are guaranteed for longer periods. Withdrawal charges generally range from zero percent to 20 percent grading to zero over a period of zero to 15 years.

Guaranteed investment contracts (GICs) have market value withdrawal provisions for any funds withdrawn other than benefit responsive payments. Interest rates credited generally range from 0.4 percent to 8.5 percent. The majority of these GICs mature within five years.

Interest rates on corporate life insurance products are guaranteed at 3 percent and the weighted average rate credited in 2011 was 4.8 percent.

The universal life funds have credited interest rates of 1 percent to 8 percent and guarantees ranging from 1 percent to 5.5 percent depending on the year of issue. Additionally, universal life funds are subject to surrender charges that amount to 10.3 percent of the aggregate fund balance grading to zero over a period not longer than 20 years.

For variable products and investment contracts, policy values are expressed in terms of investment units. Each unit is linked to an asset portfolio. The value of a unit increases or decreases based on the value of the linked asset portfolio. The current liability at any time is the sum of the current unit value of all investment units plus any liability for guaranteed minimum death or withdrawal benefits.

Certain products are subject to experience adjustments. These include group life and group medical products, credit life contracts, accident and health insurance contracts/riders attached to life policies and, to a limited extent,

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

reinsurance agreements with other direct insurers. Ultimate premiums from these contracts are estimated and recognized as revenue, and the unearned portions of the premiums recorded as liabilities. Experience adjustments vary according to the type of contract and the territory in which the policy is in force and are subject to local regulatory guidance.

14. VARIABLE LIFE AND ANNUITY CONTRACTS

AIG reports variable contracts through separate accounts when investment income and investment gains and losses accrue directly to, and investment risk is borne by, the contract holder (traditional variable annuities), and the separate account qualifies for separate account treatment. AIG also reports variable annuity and life contracts through separate accounts, or general accounts when not qualified for separate account reporting, when AIG contractually guarantees to the contract holder (variable contracts with guarantees) either (a) total deposits made to the contract less any partial withdrawals plus a minimum return (and in minor instances, no minimum returns) or (b) the highest contract value attained, typically on any anniversary date minus any subsequent withdrawals following the contract anniversary. These guarantees include benefits that are payable in the event of death, annuitization, or, in other instances, at specified dates during the accumulation period. Such benefits are referred to as guaranteed minimum death benefits (GMDB), guaranteed minimum income benefits (GMIB), guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits (GMWB) and guaranteed minimum account value benefits (GMAV). For AIG, GMDB is the most widely offered benefit.

The assets supporting the variable portion of both traditional variable annuities and variable contracts with guarantees are carried at fair value and reported as separate account assets with an equivalent summary total reported as separate account liabilities when the separate account qualifies for separate account treatment. Assets for separate accounts that do not qualify for separate account treatment are reported as trading account assets, and liabilities are included in the respective policyholder liability account of the general account. Amounts assessed against the contract holders for mortality, administrative, and other services are included in revenue and changes in liabilities for minimum guarantees are included in Policyholder benefits and claims incurred in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. Separate account net investment income, net investment gains and losses, and the related liability changes are offset within the same line item in the Consolidated Statement of Operations for those accounts that qualify for separate account treatment. Net investment income and gains and losses on trading accounts for contracts that do not qualify for separate account treatment are reported in Net investment income and are principally offset by amounts reported in Policyholder benefits and claims incurred.

The following table presents details concerning AIG's GMDB exposures:

At December 31,	**2011**		**2010**	
(dollars in billions)	**Net Deposits Plus a Minimum Return**	**Highest Contract Value Attained**	**Net Deposits Plus a Minimum Return**	**Highest Contract Value Attained**
Account value[(a)]	**$ 57**	**$ 12**	$ 55	$ 13
Amount at risk[(b)]	**3**	**2**	3	1
Average attained age of contract holders by product	**58 - 72 years**	**67 - 74 years**	58 - 70 years	70 - 73 years
Range of guaranteed minimum return rates	**3 - 10%**		3 - 10%	

(a) *Included in Policyholder contract deposits in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.*

(b) *Represents the amount of death benefit currently in excess of Account value.*

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following summarizes GMDB and GMIB liabilities for guarantees on variable contracts reflected in the general account:

Years Ended December 31, ***(in millions)***	**2011**	2010
Balance, beginning of year	**$ 412**	$ 469
Reserve increase	**120**	31
Benefits paid	**(87)**	(88)
Balance, end of year	**$ 445**	$ 412

The GMDB liability is determined each period end by estimating the expected value of death benefits in excess of the projected account balance and recognizing the excess ratably over the accumulation period based on total expected assessments. AIG regularly evaluates estimates used and adjusts the additional liability balance, with a related charge or credit to benefit expense, if actual experience or other evidence suggests that earlier assumptions should be revised.

The following assumptions and methodology were used to determine the GMDB liability at December 31, 2011:

- Data used was up to 1,000 stochastically generated investment performance scenarios.
- Mean investment performance assumptions ranged from three percent to approximately ten percent depending on the block of business.
- Volatility assumption was 16 percent.
- Mortality was assumed at between 50 percent and 88 percent based on various life and annuity mortality tables adjusted for recent experience.
- Lapse rates vary by contract type and duration and ranged from zero percent to 40 percent.
- The discount rate ranged from 3.75 percent to 10 percent and is based on the growth rate assumption for the underlying contracts in effect at the time of policy issuance.

In addition to GMDB, AIG's contracts currently include to a lesser extent GMIB. The GMIB liability is determined each period end by estimating the expected value of the annuitization benefits in excess of the projected account balance at the date of annuitization and recognizing the excess ratably over the accumulation period based on total expected assessments. AIG periodically evaluates estimates used and adjusts the additional liability balance, with a related charge or credit to benefit expense, if actual experience or other evidence suggests that earlier assumptions should be revised.

AIG contracts currently include GMAV and GMWB benefits. GMAV and GMWB features are considered to be embedded derivatives are recognized at fair value through earnings. AIG enters into derivative contracts to economically hedge a portion of the exposure that arises from GMAV and GMWB. At December 31, 2011, AIG had $14.7 billion of account values and $1.9 billion of net amount at risk that were attributable to variable annuities with GMAV and GMWB benefits. See Note 6 herein for additional fair value disclosures.

Table of Contents

American International Group, Inc.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

15. DEBT OUTSTANDING

The following table presents maturities of long-term debt (including unamortized original issue discount, hedge accounting valuation adjustments and fair value adjustments, when applicable), excluding $1.9 billion in borrowings of consolidated investments:

December 31, 2011			Year Ending				
(in millions)	Total	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Thereafter
AIG general borrowings	$ 23,923	$ 183	$ 1,470	$ 500	$ 1,001	$ 1,719	$ 19,050
AIG borrowings supported by assets	24,720	3,757	1,517	2,398	1,182	2,134	13,732
ILFC	24,364	3,023	4,006	2,991	2,766	3,234	8,344
Other subsidiaries notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable	393	3	4	4	23	6	353
Total	$ 73,400	$ 6,966	$ 6,997	$ 5,893	$ 4,972	$ 7,093	$ 41,479

AIG GENERAL BORROWINGS

The following table presents maturities of AIG's general borrowings: