

KRATOS DEFENSE & SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

Form 10-Q

July 27, 2017

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

ý QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 25, 2017

“ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 001-34460

KRATOS DEFENSE & SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 13-3818604

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

4820 Eastgate Mall, Suite 200

San Diego, CA 92121

(858) 812-7300

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of Registrant’s principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ý No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ý No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer,” “smaller reporting company,” and “emerging growth company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer ý

Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Emerging growth company o

Edgar Filing: KRATOS DEFENSE & SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC. - Form 10-Q

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of July 21, 2017, 87,023,911 shares of the registrant's common stock were outstanding.

---

Table of Contents

KRATOS DEFENSE & SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

FORM 10-Q

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 25, 2017

INDEX

	Page
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 25, 2017 and December 25, 2016 (unaudited)</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss for the Three and Six Months Ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016 (unaudited)</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016 (unaudited)</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	<u>38</u>

Table of Contents

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements.

## KRATOS DEFENSE &amp; SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in millions, except par value and number of shares)

(Unaudited)

	June 25, 2017	December 25, 2016
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$63.3	\$ 69.1
Restricted cash	0.2	0.5
Accounts receivable, net	226.6	229.4
Inventoried costs	61.5	55.4
Prepaid expenses	10.8	8.9
Other current assets	11.4	9.8
Total current assets	373.8	373.1
Property, plant and equipment, net	55.0	49.8
Goodwill	485.4	485.4
Intangible assets, net	27.3	32.6
Other assets	8.5	7.7
Total assets	\$950.0	\$ 948.6
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$55.8	\$ 52.7
Accrued expenses	45.1	50.0
Accrued compensation	35.6	39.1
Accrued interest	3.0	3.6
Billings in excess of costs and earnings on uncompleted contracts	40.3	41.8
Other current liabilities	6.1	7.7
Current liabilities of discontinued operations	1.2	1.6
Total current liabilities	187.1	196.5
Long-term debt, net of current portion	369.5	431.0
Other long-term liabilities	42.6	41.0
Non-current liabilities of discontinued operations	3.8	3.7
Total liabilities	603.0	672.2
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 0 shares outstanding at June 25, 2017 and December 25, 2016	—	—
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 195,000,000 shares authorized; 86,634,053 and 73,945,533 shares issued and outstanding at June 25, 2017 and December 25, 2016, respectively	—	—
Additional paid-in capital	1,042.7	956.2
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1.5 )	(1.7 )
Accumulated deficit	(694.2 )	(678.1 )
Total stockholders' equity	347.0	276.4
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$950.0	\$ 948.6

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

---

Table of Contents

KRATOS DEFENSE & SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS  
 (in millions, except per share amounts)  
 (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 25, 2017	June 26, 2016	June 25, 2017	June 26, 2016
Service revenues	\$ 91.6	\$ 88.2	\$176.6	\$170.8
Product sales	94.1	80.0	176.9	150.4
Total revenues	185.7	168.2	353.5	321.2
Cost of service revenues	67.0	64.4	128.8	124.7
Cost of product sales	71.3	58.6	132.2	115.4
Total costs	138.3	123.0	261.0	240.1
Gross profit	47.4	45.2	92.5	81.1
Selling, general and administrative expenses	40.6	36.4	79.3	74.1
Research and development expenses	4.1	4.0	8.5	6.9
Unused office space, restructuring expenses, and other	0.1	4.8	0.4	10.3
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations	2.6	—	4.3	(10.2 )
Other income (expense):				
Interest expense, net	(7.2 )	(8.7 )	(15.4 )	(17.4 )
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	—	(2.1 )	—
Other income, net	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.5
Total other expense, net	(7.0 )	(8.5 )	(17.1 )	(16.9 )
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(4.4 )	(8.5 )	(12.8 )	(27.1 )
Provision for income taxes from continuing operations	1.8	1.8	3.3	5.4
Loss from continuing operations	(6.2 )	(10.3 )	(16.1 )	(32.5 )
Discontinued operations				
Loss from operations of discontinued component	—	(0.1 )	(0.1 )	(0.1 )
Income tax benefit	—	—	—	—
Loss from discontinued operations	—	(0.1 )	(0.1 )	(0.1 )
Net loss	\$ (6.2 )	\$ (10.4 )	\$ (16.2 )	\$ (32.6 )
Basic and diluted loss per common share:				
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (0.07 )	\$ (0.17 )	\$ (0.20 )	\$ (0.54 )
Loss from discontinued operations	—	—	—	(0.01 )
Net loss per common share	\$ (0.07 )	\$ (0.17 )	\$ (0.20 )	\$ (0.55 )
Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	86.6	59.8	82.0	59.7
Comprehensive Loss				
Net loss (from above)	\$ (6.2 )	\$ (10.4 )	\$ (16.2 )	\$ (32.6 )
Change in cumulative translation adjustment	—	(0.1 )	0.1	(0.1 )
Comprehensive loss	\$ (6.2 )	\$ (10.5 )	\$ (16.1 )	\$ (32.7 )

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

KRATOS DEFENSE & SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
 (in millions)  
 (Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended	
	June 25, 2017	June 26, 2016
Operating activities:		
Net loss	\$(16.2)	\$(32.6)
Loss from discontinued operations	0.1	0.1
Loss from continuing operations	(16.1 )	(32.5 )
Adjustments to reconcile loss from continuing operations to net cash used in operating activities from continuing operations:		
Depreciation and amortization	11.0	11.7
Stock-based compensation	4.0	3.1
Deferred income taxes	2.3	2.0
Amortization of deferred financing costs	0.7	0.8
Amortization of discount on Senior Secured Notes	0.4	0.4
Loss on extinguishment of debt	2.1	—
Provision for doubtful accounts	—	0.2
Litigation related charges	—	1.7
Provision for non-cash restructuring charges	—	7.7
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions:		
Accounts receivable	2.9	(6.2 )
Inventoried costs	(7.4 )	(3.2 )
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(7.0 )	0.6
Accounts payable	4.4	(1.3 )
Accrued expenses	(4.7 )	(2.0 )
Accrued compensation	(3.6 )	(2.7 )
Advance payments received on contracts	1.4	5.9
Accrued interest	(0.5 )	—
Billings in excess of costs and earnings on uncompleted contracts	(1.5 )	0.9
Income tax receivable and payable	1.3	0.1
Other liabilities	(1.0 )	0.4
Net cash used in operating activities from continuing operations	(11.3 )	(12.4 )
Investing activities:		
Change in restricted cash	0.2	—
Capital expenditures	(12.7 )	(3.5 )
Proceeds from sale of assets	0.6	—
Net cash used in investing activities from continuing operations	(11.9 )	(3.5 )
Financing activities:		
Extinguishment of long-term debt	(64.0 )	—
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock	81.7	—
Repayment of debt	(0.5 )	(0.5 )
Proceeds from exercise of restricted stock units, employee stock options, and employee stock purchase plan	0.6	1.0
Net cash provided by financing activities from continuing operations	17.8	0.5

Edgar Filing: KRATOS DEFENSE & SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC. - Form 10-Q

Net cash flows of continuing operations	(5.4 )	(15.4 )
Net operating cash flows of discontinued operations	(0.1 )	0.1
Net investing cash flows of discontinued operations	(0.4 )	4.5
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	0.1	(0.1 )
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(5.8 )	(10.9 )
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	69.1	28.5
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$63.3	\$17.6

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.



Table of Contents

KRATOS DEFENSE & SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

All references to the “Company” and “Kratos” refer to Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its subsidiaries.

(a) Basis of Presentation

The information as of June 25, 2017 and for the three and six months ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016 is unaudited. The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 25, 2016 was derived from the Company’s audited consolidated financial statements at that date. In the opinion of management, these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the Company’s financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented. The results have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and do not necessarily include all information and footnotes necessary for presentation in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the related notes included in the Company’s audited annual consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 25, 2016, included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on February 27, 2017 (the “Form 10-K”). Interim operating results are not necessarily indicative of operating results expected in subsequent periods or for the year as a whole.

(b) Principles of Consolidation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its 100% owned subsidiaries for which all inter-company transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

(c) Fiscal Year

The Company has a 52/53 week fiscal year ending on the last Sunday of the calendar year, with interim fiscal periods ending on the last Sunday of each calendar quarter. The three and six month periods ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016 consisted of 13-week periods. There are 53 calendar weeks in the fiscal year ending on December 31, 2017 and 52 calendar weeks in the fiscal year ending on December 25, 2016.

(d) Accounting Estimates

There have been no significant changes in the Company’s accounting estimates for the three and six months ended June 25, 2017 as compared to the accounting estimates described in the Form 10-K.

(e) Accounting Standards Updates

In May 2017, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2017-09 (“ASU 2017-09”), Compensation—Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Scope of Modification Accounting. ASU

2017-09 was issued to provide guidance about which changes to the terms or conditions of a share-based payment award require an entity to apply modification accounting. The amendments in this ASU are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017. The Company does not expect that the standard will have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements and will apply this guidance to applicable transactions after the adoption date.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-04 (“ASU 2017-04”), Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment. ASU 2017-04 amends the guidance to simplify the subsequent measurement of goodwill by removing Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test. Instead, an entity should perform its annual, or interim, goodwill impairment test by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount. An entity should recognize an impairment charge for the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the reporting unit's fair value. ASU 2017-04 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019, with early adoption permitted. The Company is

Table of Contents

currently evaluating the impact of the new guidance and timing of adoption, but does not expect that the standard will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In October 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-16 (“ASU 2016-16”), Income Taxes (Topic 740), Intra-Entity Transfers of Assets Other Than Inventory. ASU 2016-16 requires that entities recognize the income tax consequences of an intra-entity transfer of an asset other than inventory when the transfer occurs, rather than when the asset is sold to an outside party. ASU 2016-16 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those annual reporting periods. Early adoption is permitted as of the beginning of an annual reporting period (as of the first interim period if an entity issues interim financial statements). ASU 2016-16 requires adoption on a modified retrospective basis through a cumulative-effect adjustment directly to retained earnings as of the beginning of the period of adoption. The Company early adopted this standard on December 26, 2016. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15 (“ASU 2016-15”), Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments. The objective of ASU 2016-15 is to reduce existing diversity in practice by addressing eight specific cash flow issues related to how certain cash receipts and cash payments are presented and classified in the statement of cash flows. ASU 2016-15 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. If early adopted, an entity must adopt all of the amendments in the same period. The Company does not believe that the adoption of this guidance will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09 (“ASU 2016-09”), Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting. ASU 2016-09 simplifies several aspects of the accounting for employee share-based payments, including accounting for income taxes, forfeitures, statutory tax withholding requirements, and classification on the statement of cash flows. The amendments in this ASU are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, with early adoption permitted. The Company early adopted this standard in the quarter ended December 25, 2016, which did not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02 (“ASU 2016-02”), Leases. ASU 2016-02 requires that lessees recognize assets and liabilities for the rights and obligations for leases with a lease term of more than one year. The amendments in this ASU are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of ASU 2016-02 on its consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09 (“ASU 2014-09”), Revenue from Contracts with Customers. ASU 2014-09 establishes a broad principle that would require an entity to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The FASB has also issued several updates to ASU 2014-09. The new standard supersedes U.S. GAAP guidance on revenue recognition and requires the use of more estimates and judgments than the present standards. It also requires additional disclosures. ASU 2014-09 may be applied either retrospectively or through the use of a modified-retrospective method. The full retrospective method requires companies to recast each prior reporting period presented as if the new guidance had always existed. Under the modified retrospective method, companies would recognize the cumulative effect of initially applying the standard as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings at the date of initial application. The Company plans to adopt the new revenue standard effective January 1, 2018, by recognizing the cumulative effect of initially applying the new standard as an increase to the opening balance of retained earnings.

While the Company has not yet fully completed its evaluation of the impact of ASU 2014-09 upon adoption, based upon an assessment of material active contracts, the Company does not expect the impact on the results of operations or cash flows in the periods after adoption to be material. Under ASU 2014-09, revenue is recognized as control transfers to the customer. As such, revenue for the Company's contracts will generally be recognized over time using the cost-to-cost method, which is consistent with the revenue recognition model currently in use for the majority of contracts. For those contracts where revenue is currently recognized as units are delivered, in most cases the accounting for those contracts will change under ASU 2014-09 such that revenue will be recognized as costs are incurred. This change will generally result in an acceleration of revenue as compared with the current revenue recognition method for those contracts.

There have been no changes in the Company's significant accounting policies for the three and six months ended June 25, 2017 as compared to the significant accounting policies described in the Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

## (f) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts and the related estimated fair values of the Company's long-term debt financial instruments not measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 25, 2017 and December 25, 2016 are presented in Note 7. The carrying value of all other financial instruments, including cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses, billings in excess of cost and earnings on uncompleted contracts, income taxes payable and short-term debt, approximated their estimated fair values at June 25, 2017 and December 25, 2016 due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

## Note 2. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

## (a) Goodwill

The carrying amounts of goodwill as of June 25, 2017 and December 25, 2016 by reportable segment are as follows (in millions):

	Kratos Government Solutions	Public Safety & Security	Unmanned Systems	Total
Gross value	\$ 567.8	\$ 53.9	\$ 111.1	\$732.8
Less accumulated impairment	215.3	18.3	13.8	247.4
Net	\$ 352.5	\$ 35.6	\$ 97.3	\$485.4

## (b) Purchased Intangible Assets

The following table sets forth information for finite-lived and indefinite-lived intangible assets (in millions):

	As of June 25, 2017			As of December 25, 2016		
	Gross Value	Accumulated Amortization	Net Value	Gross Value	Accumulated Amortization	Net Value
Acquired finite-lived intangible assets:						
Customer relationships	\$53.7	\$ (47.6 )	\$6.1	\$53.7	\$ (44.9 )	\$8.8
Contracts and backlog	30.8	(24.7 )	6.1	30.8	(23.7 )	7.1
Developed technology and technical know-how	25.2	(17.2 )	8.0	25.2	(15.7 )	9.5
Trade names	1.4	(1.2 )	0.2	1.4	(1.1 )	0.3
Total finite-lived intangible assets	111.1	(90.7 )	20.4	111.1	(85.4 )	25.7
Indefinite-lived trade names	6.9	—	6.9	6.9	—	6.9
Total intangible assets	\$118.0	\$ (90.7 )	\$27.3	\$118.0	\$ (85.4 )	\$32.6

Consolidated amortization expense related to intangible assets subject to amortization was \$5.4 million and \$5.3 million for the six months ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016, respectively.

Table of Contents

## Note 3. Inventoried Costs

Inventoried costs consisted of the following components (in millions):

	June 25, December 25,	
	2017	2016
Raw materials	\$ 31.4	\$ 31.9
Work in process	29.3	22.1
Finished goods	2.0	1.4
Supplies and other	2.0	1.8
Subtotal inventoried costs	64.7	57.2
Less: Customer advances and progress payments	(3.2 )	(1.8 )
Total inventoried costs	\$ 61.5	\$ 55.4

## Note 4. Stockholders' Equity

A summary of the changes in stockholders' equity is provided below (in millions):

	For the Six Months Ended	
	June 25, 2017	June 26, 2016
Stockholders' equity at beginning of period	\$276.4	\$254.2
Comprehensive loss:		
Net loss	(16.2 )	(32.6 )
Change in cumulative translation adjustment	0.1	(0.1 )
Total comprehensive loss	(16.1 )	(32.7 )
Exercise of stock options and warrants	0.4	—
Stock-based compensation	4.0	3.1
Issuance of common stock for cash	81.9	—
Issuance of common stock for employee stock purchase plan	1.4	1.3
Restricted stock units traded for taxes	(1.0 )	(0.3 )
Stockholders' equity at end of period	\$347.0	\$225.6

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss are as follows (in millions):

	June 25, June 26,	
	2017	2016
Cumulative translation adjustment	\$ (0.9 )	\$ (0.7 )
Post-retirement benefit reserve adjustment net of tax expense	(0.6 )	(0.8 )
Total accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ (1.5 )	\$ (1.5 )

There were no reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive loss to net loss for the six months ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016.

Table of Contents

Common stock issued by the Company for the six months ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016 was as follows (in millions):

	For the Six Months Ended	
	June 25, 2017	June 26, 2016
Shares outstanding at beginning of the period	73.9	59.1
Stock issued for cash	11.9	—
Stock issued for employee stock purchase plan, stock options and restricted stock units exercised	0.8	0.6
Shares outstanding at end of the period	86.6	59.7

## Note 5. Net Loss Per Common Share

The Company calculates net loss per share in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification Topic 260, Earnings per Share (“Topic 260”). Under Topic 260, basic net loss per common share is calculated by dividing net loss by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted net loss per common share reflects the effects of potentially dilutive securities.

Shares from stock options and awards, excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive, were 0.2 million and 0.2 million for the three and six months ended June 25, 2017, respectively, and 1.4 million and 1.7 million for the three and six months ended June 26, 2016, respectively.

## Note 6. Income Taxes

The reconciliation of the income tax benefit from continuing operations computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate of 35% to loss from continuing operations before income taxes to the income tax provision for the three and six months ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016 was as follows (in millions):

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Six Months Ended	
	June 25, 2017	June 26, 2016	June 25, 2017	June 26, 2016
Income tax benefit at federal statutory rate	\$(1.5)	\$(3.0)	\$(4.5)	\$(9.5)
State and foreign taxes, net of federal tax benefit and valuation allowance	0.3	1.0	0.5	1.4
Nondeductible expenses and other	0.6	0.3	1.0	0.7
Impact of deferred tax liabilities for indefinite-lived assets	1.5	0.8	2.7	2.3
Increase in reserves for uncertain tax positions	—	—	0.1	1.7
Increase in federal valuation allowance	0.9	2.7	3.5	8.8
Total income tax provision	\$1.8	\$1.8	\$3.3	\$5.4

In assessing the Company’s ability to realize deferred tax assets, management considers, on a periodic basis, whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. As such, management has determined that it is appropriate to maintain a full valuation allowance against the Company’s U.S. federal, combined state and certain foreign deferred tax assets, with the exception of an amount equal to its deferred tax liabilities, which can be expected to reverse over a definite life.

Federal and state income tax laws impose restrictions on the utilization of net operating losses (“NOLs”) and tax credit carryforwards in the event that an “ownership change” occurs for tax purposes, as defined by Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (“Section 382”). In general, an ownership change occurs when shareholders owning 5% or more of a “loss corporation” (a corporation entitled to use NOLs or other loss carryovers) have increased their ownership of stock in such corporation by more than 50 percentage points during any three-year period. The annual base Section 382 limitation is calculated by multiplying the loss corporation’s value at the time of the ownership change by the greater of the long-term tax-exempt rate determined by the Internal Revenue Service in the month of the ownership change or the two



Table of Contents

preceding months. This base limitation is subject to adjustments, including an increase for built-in gains recognized in the five-year period after the ownership change.

In March 2010, an “ownership change” occurred that will limit the utilization of NOL carryforwards. In July 2011, another “ownership change” occurred. The March 2010 ownership change limitation is more restrictive. In prior years, the Company acquired corporations with NOL carryforwards at the date of acquisition (“Acquired NOLs”). The Acquired NOLs are subject to separate limitations that may further restrict the use of Acquired NOLs. As a result, the Company’s federal annual utilization of NOL carryforwards was limited to \$27.0 million a year for the five years succeeding the March 2010 ownership change and \$11.6 million for each year thereafter subject to separate limitations for Acquired NOLs. If the entire limitation amount is not utilized in a year, the excess can be carried forward and utilized in future years.

For the six months ended June 25, 2017, such limitations did not impact the income tax provision, since the amount of taxable income did not exceed the annual limitation amount. However, future equity offerings or acquisitions that have equity as a component of the purchase price could also cause an “ownership change.” If and when any other “ownership change” occurs, utilization of the NOLs or other tax attributes may be further limited.

As discussed elsewhere, deferred tax assets relating to the NOLs and credit carryforwards are offset by a full valuation allowance. In addition, utilization of state tax loss carryforwards is dependent upon sufficient taxable income apportioned to the states.

The Company is subject to taxation in the U.S. and various state and foreign tax jurisdictions. The Company’s tax years for 2000 and later are subject to examination by the U.S. and state tax authorities due to the existence of the NOL carryforwards. Generally, the Company’s tax years for 2002 and later are subject to examination by various foreign tax authorities as well.

As of December 25, 2016, the Company had \$18.6 million of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would impact the effective income tax rate, subject to possible offset by an increase in the deferred tax asset valuation allowance. During the six months ended June 25, 2017, unrecognized tax benefits decreased by \$0.2 million relating to various current year and prior positions.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in its provision for income taxes. For the six months ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016, a \$0.2 million expense and \$0.7 million expense, respectively, were recorded related to interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits. For the six months ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016, there was no material benefit recorded related to the removal of interest and penalties. The Company believes that it is reasonably possible that as much as \$1.0 million of the liabilities for uncertain tax positions will expire within twelve months of June 25, 2017 due to the expiration of various applicable statutes of limitation.

Note 7. Debt

(a) Issuance of 7.00% Senior Secured Notes due 2019

In May 2014, the Company refinanced its \$625.0 million of 10% Senior Secured Notes due in 2017 (the “10% Notes”) with \$625.0 million of newly issued 7.00% Senior Secured Notes due in 2019 (the “7% Notes”). The net proceeds from the issuance of the 7% Notes was \$618.5 million after an original issue discount of \$6.5 million. The Company incurred debt issuance costs of \$8.8 million associated with the 7% Notes. The Company utilized the net proceeds from the issuance of the 7% Notes, a \$41.0 million draw on its Credit Agreement (as defined below), as well as cash from operations to extinguish the 10% Notes. The total reacquisition price of the 10% Notes was \$661.5 million including a \$31.2 million early termination fee, the write-off of \$15.5 million of unamortized issue costs, \$12.9 million of unamortized premium, along with \$5.3 million of additional interest while in escrow, which resulted in a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$39.1 million.

The Company completed the offering of the 7% Notes in a private placement conducted pursuant to Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Act”). On October 16, 2014, the Company exchanged the outstanding 7% Notes for an equal amount of new 7.00% Senior Secured Notes due in 2019 (the “Notes”) that had been registered under the Act. The terms of the Notes issued in the exchange offer were identical in all material respects to the terms of the 7% Notes, except the Notes issued in the exchange offer had been registered under the Act.

The Notes are governed by an Indenture, dated May 14, 2014 (the “Indenture”), among the Company, certain of the Company’s subsidiaries (the “Subsidiary Guarantors”) and Wilmington Trust, National Association, as Trustee and Collateral

Table of Contents

Agent. A Subsidiary Guarantor can be released from its Guarantee (as defined in the Indenture) (a) if all of the capital stock issued by such Subsidiary Guarantor or all or substantially all of the assets of such Subsidiary Guarantor are sold or otherwise disposed of; (b) if the Company designates such Subsidiary Guarantor as an Unrestricted Subsidiary (as defined in the Indenture); (c) if the Company exercises its legal defeasance option or its covenant defeasance option; or (d) upon satisfaction and discharge of the Indenture or payment in full in cash of the principal of, premium, if any, and accrued and unpaid interest on the Notes.

The holders of the Notes have a first priority lien on substantially all of the Company's assets and the assets of the Subsidiary Guarantors, except with respect to accounts receivable, inventory, deposit accounts, securities accounts, cash, securities and general intangibles (other than intellectual property), on which the holders of the Notes have a second priority lien to the \$110.0 million Credit Agreement.

The Company pays interest on the Notes semi-annually, in arrears, on May 15 and November 15 of each year.

The Notes include customary covenants and events of default as well as a consolidated fixed charge ratio of 2.0:1 for the incurrence of additional indebtedness. Negative covenants include, among other things, limitations on additional debt, liens, negative pledges, investments, dividends, stock repurchases, asset sales and affiliate transactions. Events of default include, among other events, non-performance of covenants, breach of representations, cross-default to other material debt, bankruptcy, insolvency, material judgments and changes in control. As of June 25, 2017, the Company was in compliance with the covenants contained in the Indenture governing the Notes.

The Company may redeem some or all of the Notes at 102.625% of the aggregate principal amount of such Notes if redeemed on or before May 15, 2018 and 100% of the aggregate principal amount of such Notes if redeemed on or after May 16, 2018, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption.

The terms of the Indenture require that the net cash proceeds from asset dispositions be utilized to (i) repay or prepay amounts outstanding under the Credit Agreement unless such amounts are reinvested in similar collateral, (ii) make an investment in assets that replace the collateral of the Notes or (iii) a combination of both clauses (i) and (ii). To the extent there are any remaining net proceeds from the asset disposition after application of clauses (i) and (ii), such amounts are required to be utilized to repurchase Notes at par after 360 days following the asset disposition.

Following the sale of its U.S. and U.K. Electronic Products Divisions (the "Herley Entities") the Company paid down \$41.0 million outstanding under the Credit Agreement and repurchased \$175.0 million of the Notes at par, in accordance with the terms of the Indenture. The total reacquisition price of the Notes was \$178.4 million including the write off of \$1.8 million of unamortized issue costs, \$1.4 million of unamortized discount, along with \$0.2 million of legal fees, which resulted in a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$3.4 million.

The Company reinvested all net proceeds remaining after the repurchase of the \$175.0 million of Notes in replacement collateral in accordance with the terms of the Indenture within 360 days following the asset disposition, in accordance with the terms of the Indenture.

During the quarter ended December 25, 2016, the Company repurchased and extinguished \$14.5 million of the outstanding Notes, which resulted in a gain of \$0.4 million offset by \$0.1 million of unamortized issuance cost and \$0.1 million of unamortized discount resulting in a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$0.2 million.

During the quarter ended March 26, 2017, the Company repurchased and extinguished \$62.7 million of the outstanding Notes, which resulted in a loss of \$1.4 million and the realization of \$0.4 million of unamortized issuance cost and \$0.3 million of unamortized discount resulting in a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$2.1 million.

As of June 25, 2017, there was \$372.8 million in Notes outstanding.

(b) Other Indebtedness

\$110.0 Million Credit Agreement

On May 14, 2014, the Company entered into a \$110.0 million Credit and Security Agreement, dated May 14, 2014 (the "Credit Agreement"), with the lenders from time to time party thereto, SunTrust Bank, as Agent (the "Agent"), PNC Bank, National Association, as Joint Lead Arranger and Documentation Agent, and SunTrust Robinson Humphrey, Inc., as Joint Lead Arranger and Sole Book Runner. The Credit Agreement established a five-year senior secured revolving credit facility in the

Table of Contents

maximum amount of \$110.0 million (subject to a potential increase of the maximum principal amount to \$135.0 million, subject to the Agent's and applicable lenders' approval as described therein), consisting of a subline for letters of credit in an amount not to exceed \$50.0 million, as well as a swingline loan in an aggregate principal amount at any time outstanding not to exceed \$10.0 million. The obligations under the Credit Agreement are secured by (i) a first priority lien on the Company's accounts receivable, inventory, deposit accounts, securities accounts, cash, securities and general intangibles (other than intellectual property) and (ii) a second priority lien, junior to the lien securing the Notes, on all of the Company's other assets.

The Credit Agreement contains certain covenants, which include, but are not limited to, restrictions on indebtedness, liens, and investments, and limits on other various payments, as well as a financial covenant relating to a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.15:1 (as modified per the Third Amendment and the Fourth Amendment, as defined and discussed below). Events of default under the terms of the Credit Agreement include, but are not limited to: failure of the Company to pay any principal of any loans in full when due and payable; failure of the Company to pay any interest on any loan or any fee or other amount payable under the Credit Agreement within three business days after the date when due and payable; failure of the Company or any of its subsidiaries to comply with certain covenants and agreements, subject to applicable grace periods and/or notice requirements; any representation, warranty or statement made in or pursuant to the Credit Agreement or any related writing or any other material information furnished by the Company or any of its subsidiaries to the Agent or the lenders proving to be false or erroneous; and the occurrence of an event or condition having or reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect, which includes a material adverse effect on the business, operations, condition (financial or otherwise) or prospects of the Company or the ability of the Company to repay its obligations. Where an event of default arises from certain bankruptcy events, the commitments will automatically and immediately terminate and the principal of, and interest then outstanding on, all of the loans will become immediately due and payable. Subject to certain notice requirements and other conditions, upon the occurrence of an event of default, including the occurrence of a condition having or reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect, commitments may be terminated and the principal of, and interest then outstanding on, all of the loans may become immediately due and payable. At June 25, 2017, no event of default had occurred and the Company believes that events or conditions having a material adverse effect, giving rise to an acceleration of any amounts outstanding under the Credit Agreement, have not occurred and the likelihood of such events or conditions occurring is remote.

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement may take the form of a base rate revolving loan, Eurodollar revolving loan or swingline loan. Base rate revolving loans and swingline loans will bear interest at a rate per annum equal to the sum of the applicable margin from time to time in effect plus the highest of (i) the Agent's prime lending rate, as in effect at such time, (ii) the federal funds rate, as in effect at such time, plus 0.50% per annum, and (iii) the adjusted London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") determined at such time for an interest period of one month, plus 1.00% per annum. Eurodollar revolving loans will bear interest at a rate per annum equal to the sum of the applicable margin from time to time in effect plus the adjusted LIBOR. The applicable margin varies between 1.50% and 2.00% for base rate revolving loans and swingline loans and 2.50% and 3.00% for Eurodollar loans, and is based on several factors including the Company's then-existing borrowing base and the lender's total commitment amount and revolving credit exposure. The calculation of the Company's borrowing base takes into account several items relating to the Company and its subsidiaries, including, without limitation, amounts due and owing under billed and unbilled accounts receivables, then-held eligible raw materials inventory, work-in-process inventory, and applicable reserves.

On May 31, 2015, the Company entered into a third amendment (the "Third Amendment") to the Credit Agreement. Under the terms of the Third Amendment, the definitions of certain terms of the Credit Agreement were modified, the disposition of the Herley Entities was approved by the lenders, a minimum \$175.0 million repurchase of the Notes by the Company was required and the payment in full of the outstanding balance of the Credit Agreement was required. Additionally, the measurement of the fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.15:1 was modified as follows: (i) the fixed charge coverage ratio will not be measured as of the end of any quarterly reporting period ending after June 30, 2015,

if on such date (a) there are no outstanding revolving loans or swingline loans and (b) the aggregate amount outstanding under letters of credit is less than or equal to \$17.0 million, and (ii) as to any subsequent quarterly reporting period ending after June 30, 2015, and not covered by clause (i) above, a fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.05:1 must be maintained if the percentage of (a) outstanding revolving loans plus the sum of the outstanding swingline loans and outstanding letters of credit that are in excess of \$17.0 million, to (b) the revolving credit commitment, minus the Herley Disposition Proceeds Reinvestment Reserve (as defined below) is greater than 0.00% but less than 15.00% or a fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.10:1 must be maintained if the aforementioned percentage is equal to or greater than 15.00% but less than 25.00%. In all other instances, a fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.15:1 must be maintained. For purposes of computing the fixed charge coverage ratio, the associated reduction in consolidated interest expense in connection with the repurchase of Notes with proceeds from the sale of the Herley Entities shall be deemed to have occurred on the first day of the most recently completed four quarterly reporting periods prior to the sale.

The terms of the Third Amendment also included the establishment of a reserve (the “Herley Disposition Proceeds Reinvestment Reserve”) that reduced the maximum \$110.0 million total borrowing base on the Credit Agreement. With the sale

Table of Contents

of the Herley Entities, a \$50.8 million reserve was established based upon the collateral carrying value under the Credit Agreement of the Herley Entities disposed. The reserve and therefore the maximum borrowing base were adjusted monthly for the subsequent cumulative reinvestment in similar collateral assets over a period not to have exceeded 360 days from the date of sale of the Herley Entities. As of June 25, 2017, there was no reserve on the maximum borrowings, resulting from a cumulative reinvestment in similar collateral assets since the sale of the Herley Entities in excess of the \$50.8 million reserve established at the date of the sale of the Herley Entities. The Company made investments in assets that replaced the collateral, which reinstated the maximum facility to the full \$110.0 million as of the end of the first quarter of 2016.

On August 20, 2015, the Company entered into a fourth amendment (the “Fourth Amendment”) to the Credit Agreement. Among other things, the Fourth Amendment provides for a modification of the Third Amendment as it relates to when the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio will be measured based upon the Company’s outstanding borrowings. Outstanding borrowings for purposes of computing the applicable minimum fixed charge coverage ratio exclude any letter of credit exposure outstanding of \$17.0 million plus the amount of letters of credit outstanding for the divested Herley Entities for which a cash deposit has been placed in escrow by the buyer to cover the amount of such outstanding letters of credit, should the letters of credit be pulled.

As of June 25, 2017, there were no borrowings outstanding on the Credit Agreement and \$10.1 million outstanding on letters of credit, resulting in net borrowing base availability of \$72.4 million. The Company was in compliance with the financial covenants of the Credit Agreement and its amendments as of June 25, 2017.

**Debt Acquired in Acquisition**

The Company has a \$10.0 million ten-year term loan with a bank in Israel entered into on September 16, 2008 in connection with the acquisition of one of its wholly owned subsidiaries. The balance under the term loan as of June 25, 2017 was \$1.3 million, and the loan is payable in quarterly installments of \$0.3 million plus interest at LIBOR plus a margin of 1.5%. The loan agreement governing the term loan contains various covenants, including a minimum net equity covenant as defined in the loan agreement. The Company was in compliance with all covenants contained in this loan agreement as of June 25, 2017.

**Fair Value of Long-term Debt**

Carrying amounts and the related estimated fair values of the Company’s long-term debt financial instruments not measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 25, 2017 and December 25, 2016 are presented in the following table:

\$ in millions	As of June 25, 2017			As of December 25, 2016		
	Principal	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Principal	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Total long-term debt including current portion	\$ 374.1	\$ 370.5	\$ 382.0	\$ 437.3	\$ 432.0	\$ 423.6

The fair value of the Company’s long-term debt was based upon actual trading activity (Level 1, Observable inputs -quoted prices in active markets).

As of June 25, 2017, the difference between the carrying amount of \$370.5 million and the principal amount of \$374.1 million presented in the table above is the net unamortized original issue discount of \$1.6 million and the unamortized debt issuance costs of \$2.0 million, which are being accreted to interest expense over the term of the related debt. As of December 25, 2016, the difference between the carrying amount of \$432.0 million and the principal amount of \$437.3 million presented in the previous table is the net unamortized original issue discount of

\$2.4 million and the unamortized debt issuance costs of \$2.9 million, which are being accreted to interest expense over the term of the related debt.

Note 8. Segment Information

The Company operates in three reportable segments. The Kratos Government Solutions (“KGS”) reportable segment is comprised of an aggregation of KGS operating segments, including the microwave electronic products, satellite communications, modular systems and defense and rocket support operating segments. The Unmanned Systems (“US”) reportable segment consists of its unmanned aerial system and unmanned ground and seaborne system businesses. The KGS and US segments provide products, solutions and services for mission critical national security programs. KGS and US customers primarily include national security related agencies, the U.S. Department of Defense (the “DoD”), intelligence agencies and classified agencies, and to a lesser degree, international government agencies and domestic and international



Table of Contents

commercial customers. The Public Safety & Security (“PSS”) reportable segment provides independent integrated solutions for advanced homeland security, public safety, critical infrastructure, and security and surveillance systems for government and commercial applications. PSS customers include those in the critical infrastructure, power generation, power transport, nuclear energy, financial, IT, healthcare, education, transportation and petro-chemical industries, as well as certain government customers.

The Company organizes its reportable segments based on the nature of the products, solutions and services offered. Transactions between segments are generally negotiated and accounted for under terms and conditions similar to other government and commercial contracts. This presentation is consistent with the Company’s operating structure. In the following table, total operating income (loss) from continuing operations of the reportable business segments is reconciled to the corresponding consolidated amount. The reconciling item “unallocated corporate expense, net” includes costs for certain stock-based compensation programs (including stock-based compensation costs for stock options, employee stock purchase plan and restricted stock units), the effects of items not considered part of management’s evaluation of segment operating performance, merger and acquisition expenses, corporate costs not allocated to the segments, and other miscellaneous corporate activities.

During the six months ended June 26, 2016, the PSS reportable segment recorded a \$1.9 million charge related to a litigation settlement of a contract dispute and the KGS reportable segment recorded a \$7.7 million charge as a result of the decision to close one of its manufacturing facilities and exit certain lower margin product business lines associated with the Company’s modular systems business.

Revenues, depreciation and amortization, and operating income (loss) generated by the Company’s reportable segments for the three and six month periods ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016 are as follows (in millions):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 25, 2017	June 26, 2016	June 25, 2017	June 26, 2016
Revenues:				
Kratos Government Solutions				
Service revenues	\$53.7	\$57.8	\$101.9	\$110.2
Product sales	71.9	62.2	139.1	118.4
Total Kratos Government Solutions	125.6	120.0	241.0	228.6
Public Safety & Security				
Service revenues	37.9	30.4	74.7	60.6
Product sales	—	—	—	—
Total Public Safety & Security	37.9	30.4	74.7	60.6
Unmanned Systems				
Service revenues	—	—	—	—
Product sales	22.2	17.8	37.8	32.0
Total Unmanned Systems	22.2	17.8	37.8	32.0
Total revenues	\$185.7	\$168.2	\$353.5	\$321.2
Depreciation & amortization:				
Kratos Government Solutions	\$3.5	\$3.6	\$7.2	\$7.7
Public Safety & Security	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3
Unmanned Systems	1.8	1.8	3.6	3.7
Total depreciation and amortization	\$5.4	\$5.6	\$11.0	\$11.7
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations:				
Kratos Government Solutions	\$5.7	\$4.5	\$15.3	\$2.7

Public Safety & Security	0.5	0.2	0.3	(2.5 )
Unmanned Systems	(1.8 )	(3.0 )	(6.8 )	(7.2 )
Unallocated corporate expense, net	(1.8 )	(1.7 )	(4.5 )	(3.2 )
Total operating income (loss) from continuing operations	\$2.6	\$—	\$4.3	\$(10.2 )

## Table of Contents

### Note 9. Significant Customers

Revenue from the U.S. Government, which includes foreign military sales, includes revenue from contracts for which the Company is the prime contractor as well as those for which the Company is a subcontractor and the ultimate customer is the U.S. Government. The KGS and US segments have substantial revenue from the U.S. Government. Sales to the U.S. Government amounted to approximately \$111.4 million and \$102.9 million, or 60% and 61% of total revenue, for the three months ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016, respectively, and \$207.7 million and \$198.2 million, or 59% and 62% of total revenue, for the six months ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016, respectively.

### Note 10. Commitments and Contingencies

In addition to commitments and obligations in the ordinary course of business, the Company is subject to various claims, pending and potential legal actions for damages, investigations relating to governmental laws and regulations and other matters arising out of the normal conduct of the Company's business. The Company assesses contingencies to determine the degree of probability and range of possible loss for potential accrual in its condensed consolidated financial statements. An estimated loss contingency is accrued in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements if it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Because litigation is inherently unpredictable and unfavorable resolutions could occur, assessing litigation contingencies is highly subjective and requires judgments about future events. When evaluating contingencies, the Company may be unable to provide a meaningful estimate due to a number of factors, including but not limited to the procedural status of the matter in question, the presence of complex or novel legal theories, and the ongoing discovery and development of information important to the matters. In addition, damage amounts claimed in litigation against it may be unsupported, exaggerated or unrelated to possible outcomes and, as such, are not meaningful indicators of its potential liability. The Company regularly reviews contingencies to determine the adequacy of its accruals and related disclosures. The amount of ultimate loss may differ from these estimates. It is possible that cash flows or results of operations could be materially affected in any particular period by the unfavorable resolution of one or more of these contingencies. Whether any losses finally determined in any claim, action, investigation or proceeding could reasonably have a material effect on the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows will depend on a number of variables, including the timing and amount of such losses; the structure and type of any remedies; the monetary significance any such losses, damages or remedies may have on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements; and the unique facts and circumstances of the particular matter that may give rise to additional factors.

### Legal and Regulatory Matters

#### U.S. Government Cost Claims.

The Company's contracts with the DoD are subject to audit by the Defense Contract Audit Agency ("DCAA"). As a result of these audits, from time to time the Company is advised of claims concerning potential disallowed, overstated or disputed costs. For example, during the course of recent audits of the Company's contracts, the DCAA is closely examining and questioning certain of the established and disclosed practices that it had previously audited and accepted. The Company's personnel regularly scrutinizes costs incurred and allocated to contracts with the U.S. Government for compliance with regulatory standards. On July 28, 2015, the Company received a determination letter from the Defense Contract Management Agency ("DCMA") regarding what the DCMA believed were certain unallowable costs for one of the Company's subsidiaries with respect to fiscal year 2007. In April 2016, the Company reached an agreement with the DCAA to settle matters related to unallowable costs for this subsidiary for fiscal years 2007 and 2008 for approximately \$0.2 million. For those Company subsidiaries and fiscal years which have not yet been audited by the DCAA or for those audits which are in process which have not been completed by the DCAA, the Company cannot reasonably estimate the range of loss, if any, that may result from audits and reviews in which it is currently involved given the inherent difficulty in predicting regulatory action, fines and penalties, if any, and the

various remedies and levels of judicial review available to the Company in the event of an adverse finding. As a result, the Company has not recorded any liability related to these matters.

Other Litigation Matters.

The Company is subject to normal and routine litigation arising from the ordinary course and conduct of business and, at times, as a result of acquisitions and dispositions. Such disputes include, for example, commercial, employment, intellectual property, environmental and securities matters. The aggregate amounts accrued related to these matters are not material to the total liabilities of the Company. The Company intends to defend itself in any such matters and does not currently believe that the outcome of any such matters will have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial condition, results of

Table of Contents

operations or cash flows. In the first quarter of fiscal 2016, the Company recorded a charge of \$1.9 million related to a litigation settlement of a contract dispute in the PSS segment.

Note 11. Condensed Consolidating Financial Statements

The Company has \$372.8 million in outstanding Notes (see Note 7). The Notes are guaranteed by the Subsidiary Guarantors and are collateralized by the assets of all of the Company's 100% owned subsidiaries. The Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis by each Subsidiary Guarantor and the Company. There are no contractual restrictions with respect to the Notes limiting cash transfers from Subsidiary Guarantors by dividends, loans or advances to the Company. The Notes are not guaranteed by the Company's foreign subsidiaries (the "Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries").

The following tables present condensed consolidating financial statements for the parent company, the Subsidiary Guarantors and the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries, respectively. The condensed consolidating financial information below follows the same accounting policies as described in the condensed consolidated financial statements, except for the use of the equity method of accounting to reflect ownership interests in 100% owned subsidiaries, which are eliminated upon consolidation.

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet  
 June 25, 2017  
 (Unaudited)  
 (in millions)

	Parent Company	Subsidiary Guarantors on a Combined Basis	Non-Guarantors on a Combined Basis	Eliminations	Consolidated
<b>Assets</b>					
<b>Current Assets:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 59.6	\$ (1.7 )	\$ 5.4	\$ —	\$ 63.3
Accounts receivable, net	—	197.0	29.6	—	226.6
Amounts due from affiliated companies	215.8	—	—	(215.8 )	—
Inventoried costs	—	43.1	18.4	—	61.5
Other current assets	3.6	14.2	4.6	—	22.4
Total current assets	279.0	252.6	58.0	(215.8 )	373.8
Property, plant and equipment, net	1.4	47.4	6.2	—	55.0
Goodwill	—	442.6	42.8	—	485.4
Intangible assets, net	—	20.1	7.2	—	27.3
Investment in subsidiaries	463.1	66.7	—	(529.8 )	—
Other assets	0.4	8.1	—	—	8.5
Total assets	\$ 743.9	\$ 837.5	\$ 114.2	\$ (745.6 )	\$ 950.0
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>					
<b>Current liabilities:</b>					
Accounts payable	\$ 3.1	\$ 45.5	\$ 7.2	\$ —	\$ 55.8
Accrued expenses	4.4	41.0	2.7	—	48.1
Accrued compensation	4.2	27.1	4.3	—	35.6
Billings in excess of costs and earnings on uncompleted contracts	—	36.0	4.3	—	40.3
Amounts due to affiliated companies	—	187.2	28.6	(215.8 )	—
Other current liabilities	0.4	4.1	1.6	—	6.1
Current liabilities of discontinued operations	1.1	—	0.1	—	1.2
Total current liabilities	13.2	340.9	48.8	(215.8 )	187.1
Long-term debt, net of current portion	369.2	—	0.3	—	369.5
Other long-term liabilities	10.7	21.5	10.4	—	42.6
Non-current liabilities of discontinued operations	3.8	—	—	—	3.8
Total liabilities	396.9	362.4	59.5	(215.8 )	603.0
Total stockholders' equity	347.0	475.1	54.7	(529.8 )	347.0
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 743.9	\$ 837.5	\$ 114.2	\$ (745.6 )	\$ 950.0

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet  
December 25, 2016  
(Unaudited)  
(in millions)

	Parent Company	Subsidiary Guarantors on a Combined Basis	Non-Guarantors on a Combined Basis	Eliminations	Consolidated
<b>Assets</b>					
<b>Current Assets:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 67.2	\$ (3.3 )	\$ 5.2	\$ —	\$ 69.1
Accounts receivable, net	—	197.9	31.5	—	229.4
Amounts due from affiliated companies	204.6	—	—	(204.6 )	—
Inventoried costs	—	37.2	18.2	—	55.4
Other current assets	6.3	11.6	1.3	—	19.2
Total current assets	278.1	243.4	56.2	(204.6 )	373.1
Property, plant and equipment, net	1.6	41.7	6.5	—	49.8
Goodwill	—	442.5	42.9	—	485.4
Intangible assets, net	—	24.5	8.1	—	32.6
Investment in subsidiaries	458.0	67.5	—	(525.5 )	—
Other assets	0.4	7.3	—	—	7.7
Total assets	\$ 738.1	\$ 826.9	\$ 113.7	\$ (730.1 )	\$ 948.6
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>					
<b>Current liabilities:</b>					
Accounts payable	\$ 4.5	\$ 43.7	\$ 4.5	\$ —	\$ 52.7
Accrued expenses	5.6	44.5	3.5	—	53.6
Accrued compensation	4.0	31.2	3.9	—	39.1
Billings in excess of costs and earnings on uncompleted contracts	—	38.9	2.9	—	41.8
Amounts due to affiliated companies	—	174.6	30.0	(204.6 )	—
Other current liabilities	1.4	4.1	2.2	—	7.7
Current liabilities of discontinued operations	1.5	—	0.1	—	1.6
Total current liabilities	17.0	337.0	47.1	(204.6 )	196.5
Long-term debt, net of current portion	430.2	—	0.8	—	431.0
Other long-term liabilities	10.8	19.9	10.3	—	41.0
Non-current liabilities of discontinued operations	3.7	—	—	—	3.7
Total liabilities	461.7	356.9	58.2	(204.6 )	672.2
Total stockholders' equity	276.4	470.0	55.5	(525.5 )	276.4
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 738.1	\$ 826.9	\$ 113.7	\$ (730.1 )	\$ 948.6

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss)  
 Three Months Ended June 25, 2017  
 (Unaudited)  
 (in millions)

	Parent Company	Subsidiary Guarantors on a Combined Basis	Non-Guarantors on a Combined Basis	Eliminations	Consolidated
Service revenues	\$ —	\$ 86.3	\$ 5.3	\$ —	\$ 91.6
Product sales	—	84.0	13.1	(3.0 )	94.1
Total revenues	—	170.3	18.4	(3.0 )	185.7
Cost of service revenues	—	62.7	4.3	—	67.0
Cost of product sales	—	63.0	11.3	(3.0 )	71.3
Total costs	—	125.7	15.6	(3.0 )	138.3
Gross profit	—	44.6	2.8	—	47.4
Selling, general and administrative expenses	1.4	36.3	3.0	—	40.7
Research and development expenses	—	3.7	0.4	—	4.1
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations	(1.4 )	4.6	(0.6 )	—	2.6
Other income (expense):					
Interest income (expense), net	(7.3 )	0.1	—	—	(7.2 )
Other income (expense), net	—	0.1	0.1	—	0.2
Total other income (expense), net	(7.3 )	0.2	0.1	—	(7.0 )
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(8.7 )	4.8	(0.5 )	—	(4.4 )
Provision for income taxes from continuing operations	—	1.8	—	—	1.8
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(8.7 )	3.0	(0.5 )	—	(6.2 )
Equity in net income (loss) of subsidiaries	2.5	(0.5 )	—	(2.0 )	—
Net income (loss)	\$ (6.2 )	\$ 2.5	\$ (0.5 )	\$ (2.0 )	\$ (6.2 )
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (6.2 )	\$ 2.5	\$ (0.5 )	\$ (2.0 )	\$ (6.2 )



Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss)  
 Three Months Ended June 26, 2016  
 (Unaudited)  
 (in millions)

	Parent Company	Subsidiary Guarantors on a Combined Basis	Non-Guarantors on a Combined Basis	Eliminations	Consolidated
Service revenues	\$ —	\$ 82.3	\$ 5.9	\$ —	\$ 88.2
Product sales	—	69.0	12.9	(1.9 )	80.0
Total revenues	—	151.3	18.8	(1.9 )	168.2
Cost of service revenues	—	60.0	4.4	—	64.4
Cost of product sales	—	50.2	10.3	(1.9 )	58.6
Total costs	—	110.2	14.7	(1.9 )	123.0
Gross profit	—	41.1	4.1	—	45.2
Selling, general and administrative expenses	2.5	36.2	2.5	—	41.2
Research and development expenses	—	3.9	0.1	—	4.0
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations	(2.5 )	1.0	1.5	—	—
Other income (expense):					
Interest expense, net	(8.7 )	—	—	—	(8.7 )
Other income (expense), net	—	(0.2 )	0.4	—	0.2
Total other income (expense), net	(8.7 )	(0.2 )	0.4	—	(8.5 )
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(11.2 )	0.8	1.9	—	(8.5 )
Provision for income taxes from continuing operations	—	1.5	0.3	—	1.8
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(11.2 )	(0.7 )	1.6	—	(10.3 )
Loss from discontinued operations	(0.1 )	—	—	—	(0.1 )
Equity in net income (loss) of subsidiaries	0.9	1.6	—	(2.5 )	—
Net income (loss)	\$ (10.4 )	\$ 0.9	\$ 1.6	\$ (2.5 )	\$ (10.4 )
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (10.5 )	\$ 0.9	\$ 1.5	\$ (2.4 )	\$ (10.5 )

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss)  
Six Months Ended June 25, 2017  
(Unaudited)  
(in millions)

	Parent Company	Subsidiary Guarantors on a Combined Basis	Non-Guarantors on a Combined Basis	Eliminations	Consolidated
Service revenues	\$ —	\$ 168.7	\$ 7.9	\$ —	\$ 176.6
Product sales	—	157.3	25.8	(6.2 )	176.9
Total revenues	—	326.0	33.7	(6.2 )	353.5
Cost of service revenues	—	122.6	6.2	—	128.8
Cost of product sales	—	117.0	21.4	(6.2 )	132.2
Total costs	—	239.6	27.6	(6.2 )	261.0
Gross profit	—	86.4	6.1	—	92.5
Selling, general and administrative expenses	3.5	70.1	6.1	—	79.7
Research and development expenses	—	7.7	0.8	—	8.5
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations	(3.5 )	8.6	(0.8 )	—	4.3
Other income (expense):					
Interest income (expense), net	(15.5 )	0.1	—	—	(15.4 )
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(2.1 )	—	—	—	(2.1 )
Other income (expense), net	—	0.1	0.3	—	0.4
Total other income (expense), net	(17.6 )	0.2	0.3	—	(17.1 )
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(21.1 )	8.8	(0.5 )	—	(12.8 )
Provision for income taxes from continuing operations	0.1	2.9	0.3	—	3.3
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(21.2 )	5.9	(0.8 )	—	(16.1 )
Loss from discontinued operations	(0.1 )	—	—	—	(0.1 )
Equity in net income (loss) of subsidiaries	5.1	(0.8 )	—	(4.3 )	—
Net income (loss)	\$ (16.2 )	\$ 5.1	\$ (0.8 )	\$ (4.3 )	\$ (16.2 )
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (16.1 )	\$ 5.1	\$ (0.7 )	\$ (4.4 )	\$ (16.1 )

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss)  
Six Months Ended June 26, 2016  
(Unaudited)  
(in millions)

	Parent Company	Subsidiary Guarantors on a Combined Basis	Non-Guarantors on a Combined Basis	Eliminations	Consolidated
Service revenues	\$ —	\$ 159.7	\$ 11.1	\$ —	\$ 170.8
Product sales	—	128.0	26.0	(3.6 )	150.4
Total revenues	—	287.7	37.1	(3.6 )	321.2
Cost of service revenues	—	116.7	8.0	—	124.7
Cost of product sales	—	98.2	20.8	(3.6 )	115.4
Total costs	—	214.9	28.8	(3.6 )	240.1
Gross profit	—	72.8	8.3	—	81.1
Selling, general and administrative expenses	3.8	75.8	4.8	—	84.4
Research and development expenses	—	6.8	0.1	—	6.9
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations	(3.8 )	(9.8 )	3.4	—	(10.2 )
Other income (expense):					
Interest expense, net	(17.3 )	(0.1 )	—	—	(17.4 )
Other income (expense), net	—	(0.2 )	0.7	—	0.5
Total other income (expense), net	(17.3 )	(0.3 )	0.7	—	(16.9 )
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(21.1 )	(10.1 )	4.1	—	(27.1 )
Provision for income taxes from continuing operations	0.1	4.7	0.6	—	5.4
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(21.2 )	(14.8 )	3.5	—	(32.5 )
Loss from discontinued operations	(0.1 )	—	—	—	(0.1 )
Equity in net income (loss) of subsidiaries	(11.3 )	3.5	—	7.8	—
Net income (loss)	\$ (32.6 )	\$ (11.3 )	\$ 3.5	\$ 7.8	\$ (32.6 )
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (32.7 )	\$ (11.3 )	\$ 3.4	\$ 7.9	\$ (32.7 )

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows  
Six Months Ended June 25, 2017  
(Unaudited)  
(in millions)

	Parent Company	Subsidiary Guarantors on a Combined Basis	Non-Guarantors on a Combined Basis	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities from continuing operations	\$ (14.5 )	\$ 2.1	\$ 1.1	\$ —	\$ (11.3 )
Investing activities:					
Investment in affiliated companies	(10.9 )	—	—	10.9	—
Change in restricted cash	—	0.2	—	—	0.2
Capital expenditures	(0.1 )	(11.4 )	(0.6 )	—	(12.1 )
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities from continuing operations	(11.0 )	(11.2 )	(0.6 )	10.9	(11.9 )
Financing activities:					
Extinguishment of long-term debt	(64.0 )	—	—	—	(64.0 )
Repayment of debt	—	—	(0.5 )	—	(0.5 )
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock	81.7	—	—	—	81.7
Proceeds from the sale of employee stock purchase plan shares	0.6	—	—	—	0.6
Financings from affiliated companies	—	10.9	—	(10.9 )	—
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities from continuing operations	18.3	10.9	(0.5 )	(10.9 )	17.8
Net cash flows of continuing operations	(7.2 )	1.8	—	—	(5.4 )
Net operating cash flows from discontinued operations	—	(0.1 )	—	—	(0.1 )
Net investing cash flows from discontinued operations	(0.4 )	—	—	—	(0.4 )
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	—	—	0.1	—	0.1
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (7.6 )	\$ 1.7	\$ 0.1	\$ —	\$ (5.8 )

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows  
Six Months Ended June 26, 2016  
(Unaudited)  
(in millions)

	Parent Company	Subsidiary Guarantors on a Combined Basis	Non-Guarantors on a Combined Basis	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities from continuing operations	\$ (13.2 )	\$ 1.5	\$ (0.7 )	\$ —	\$ (12.4 )
Investing activities:					
Investment in affiliated companies	(2.3 )	—	—	2.3	—
Capital expenditures	(0.5 )	(2.0 )	(1.0 )	—	(3.5 )
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities from continuing operations	(2.8 )	(2.0 )	(1.0 )	2.3	(3.5 )
Financing activities:					
Repayment of debt	—	—	(0.5 )	—	(0.5 )
Proceeds from the sale of employee stock purchase plan shares	1.0	—	—	—	1.0
Financing from affiliated companies	—	2.3	—	(2.3 )	—
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities from continuing operations	1.0	2.3	(0.5 )	(2.3 )	0.5
Net cash flows of continuing operations	(15.0 )	1.8	(2.2 )	—	(15.4 )
Net operating cash flows from discontinued operations	0.2	(0.1 )	—	—	0.1
Net investing cash flows from discontinued operations	4.5	—	—	—	4.5
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	—	—	(0.1 )	—	(0.1 )
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (10.3 )	\$ 1.7	\$ (2.3 )	\$ —	\$ (10.9 )

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (this “Quarterly Report”) contains “forward-looking statements” relating to our future financial performance, the market for our services and our expansion plans and opportunities. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “expect,” “plan,” “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “predict,” “potential,” or “continue,” the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. These forward-looking statements reflect our current beliefs, expectations and projections, are based on assumptions, and are subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results or achievements to differ materially from any future results or achievements expressed in or implied by our forward-looking statements. Many of these factors are beyond our ability to control or predict. As a result, you should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. Important risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results or achievements to differ materially from the results or achievements reflected in our forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to: changes or cutbacks in spending or the appropriation of funding by the federal government, including the U.S. Department of Defense (the “DoD”), which could cause delays, cancellations or reductions of key government contracts; bid protests; changes in the scope or timing of our projects; the timing, rescheduling or cancellation of significant customer contracts and agreements, or consolidation by or the loss of key customers; risks of adverse regulatory action or litigation; risks associated with debt leverage and the refinancing of outstanding debt; failure to successfully achieve our integration, cost reduction or divestiture strategies; risks related to security breaches, cybersecurity attacks or other significant disruptions of our information systems; and competition in the marketplace, which could reduce revenues and profit margins, as well as the additional risks and uncertainties described in this Quarterly Report, in “Item 1A-Risk Factors” of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 25, 2016 filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) on February 27, 2017 (the “Form 10-K”), and in other reports that we have filed with the SEC. These forward-looking statements reflect our views and assumptions only as of the date such forward-looking statements are made. Except as required by law, we assume no responsibility for updating any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

All references to “us,” “we,” “our,” the “Company” and “Kratos” refer to Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its wholly owned subsidiaries.

Overview

We are a mid-size government contractor at the forefront of the DoD’s Third Offset Strategy. We are a leading technology, intellectual property and proprietary product and solutions company focused on the U.S. and its allies’ national security. A key element of our business plan is to make Company-funded investments related to key platforms, products and systems, so that we own the related intellectual property. We are a demonstrated leader in rapidly designing, developing, demonstrating, and fielding leading technology products and systems at an affordable cost. We are the industry leader in high performance, jet powered, unmanned aerial drone target systems used to test weapon systems and to train the warfighter, and a provider of high performance unmanned combat aerial systems for force multiplication and amplification. We are also an industry leader in satellite communications, microwave electronics, cyber security/warfare, missile defense and combat and training systems. We have primarily an engineering and technically oriented work force of approximately 2,800 employees with a significant number holding national security clearances. Substantially all of our work is performed at customer locations, in a secure facility or at a critical infrastructure location. Our primary end customers are national security related agencies and homeland security related agencies. We believe that our technology, intellectual property, proprietary products and designed-in positions on our customers’ platforms and systems gives us a competitive advantage and creates a high barrier to entry into our markets. Our entire organization is focused on executing our strategy of becoming the leading technology and intellectual property based company in our industry.

## Industry Update

Faced with significant budget pressures, in recent years the U.S. Government has implemented reductions in government spending, including reductions in appropriations for the DoD and other federal agencies, pursuant to the Budget Control Act of 2011 (“BCA”), as amended by the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 and the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013. Pursuant to the terms of the BCA, a sequestration went into effect in March 2013 resulting in a 7.8% reduction to the DoD budget for fiscal year (the period running from October 1st to September 30th, a “FY”) 2013 to \$495.5 billion, excluding funding for military personnel. The DoD budget was approximately \$496.0 billion in FY 2014 and remained at a similar level in FY 2015. The DoD base budget excludes funding for Overseas Contingency Operations (“OCO”), such as those in Afghanistan, Iraq and Syria, which are appropriated separately and are not currently subject to the BCA.

## Table of Contents

On November 2, 2015, Barack Obama signed the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015 (“BBA”), formalizing the terms of a two year budget agreement which raised the U.S. debt ceiling and lifted the sequestration spending caps by \$80.0 billion. Under the budget agreement, the total federal spending increase over the BCA topline funding caps was \$50.0 billion in FY 2016 and \$30.0 billion in FY 2017, with the amounts divided equally between defense and domestic priorities. The overall discretionary budget was set at \$1.067 trillion in FY 2016 and \$1.07 trillion in FY 2017. The FY 2016 discretionary defense budget was \$548.0 billion, a \$25.0 billion increase over the BCA topline funding caps.

Under the BBA, the Obama Administration received \$33.0 billion of the \$38.0 billion national defense spending increase it sought in FY 2016. In summary, the budget agreement:

- extended the BCA out to 2025;
- suspended the U.S. debt limit/ceiling until March 2017;
- increased spending caps for FY 2016 and FY 2017 by \$80.0 billion, including \$50.0 billion in FY 2016 and \$30.0 billion in FY 2017, split evenly between defense and domestic priorities; and
- included a FY 2016 DoD base budget of \$548.0 billion and a FY 2016 OCO budget of \$59.0 billion.

On December 18, 2015, Congress passed and Mr. Obama signed the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2016, which provided funding for the U.S. Government for FY 2016, providing \$1.1 trillion in discretionary funding for federal agencies through September 2016. Mr. Obama signed a continuing resolution in September 2016, which was extended in December 2016, and provides funding for the U.S. Government at FY 2016 levels through April 28, 2017. On April 28, 2017, Congress passed a one-week stop gap funding bill through May 5, 2017. In May 2017, Congress passed the fiscal year 2017 Omnibus Appropriations Bill, which funds the U.S. Government through September 30, 2017. The bill provides funding of \$607 billion for the DoD, including a base budget of \$524 billion and OCO / Global War on Terror (“GWOT”) funding of \$83 billion. The fiscal year 2017 funding level for the DoD is approximately \$26 billion higher than the funding level for fiscal year 2016.

In March 2017, the debt ceiling was reached and the Treasury Department began taking “extraordinary measures” to finance the government. It is expected that in mid-to-late 2017 the U.S. Government will exhaust these available measures and Congress will need to raise the debt limit in order for the U.S. Government to continue borrowing money. If the debt ceiling is not raised, we may be required to continue to perform for some period of time on certain of our U.S. Government contracts even if the U.S. Government is unable to make timely payments.

In March 2017, President Trump submitted a budget proposal for fiscal year 2018 to Congress. The proposal includes funding of \$639 billion for the DoD, comprising a base budget of \$574 billion and OCO / GWOT funding of \$65 billion. The fiscal year 2018 funding level for the DoD is approximately \$52 billion above the spending limits established under the BCA and an increase of \$32 billion over the fiscal year 2017 funding level. Congress must approve or revise the President’s fiscal year 2018 budget proposals through enactment of appropriations bills and other policy legislation, which would then require final Presidential approval. It is unclear whether an annual appropriations bill will be enacted for FY 2018 at the levels proposed by the President and the government may once again at least begin its fiscal year operating under a continuing resolution.

## Reportable Segments

The Company operates in three reportable segments: The Kratos Government Solutions (“KGS”) reportable segment is comprised of an aggregation of KGS operating segments, including our microwave electronic products, satellite communications, modular systems and defense and rocket support services operating segments. The Unmanned Systems (“US”) reportable segment consists of our unmanned aerial system and unmanned ground and seaborne system businesses. The Public Safety & Security (“PSS”) reportable segment provides independent integrated solutions for



advanced homeland security, public safety, critical infrastructure, and security and surveillance systems for government and commercial applications. We organize our business segments based primarily on the nature of the products, solutions and services offered. Transactions between segments are negotiated and accounted for under terms and conditions similar to other government and commercial contracts, and these intercompany transactions are eliminated in consolidation. For additional information regarding our reportable segments, see Note 8 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. From a customer and solutions perspective, we view our business as an integrated whole, leveraging skills and assets wherever possible.

Table of Contents

## Key Financial Statement Concepts

There have been no changes to our key financial statement concepts for the six months ended June 25, 2017. For a complete description of our business and a discussion of our critical accounting matters, please refer to Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” in the Form 10-K.

## Comparison of Results for the Three Months Ended June 25, 2017 to the Three Months Ended June 26, 2016

Revenues. Revenues by operating segment for the three months ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016 are as follows (dollars in millions):

	June 25, 2017	June 26, 2016	\$ change	% change
Kratos Government Solutions				
Service revenues	\$ 53.7	\$ 57.8	\$ (4.1 )	(7.1 )%
Product sales	71.9	62.2	9.7	15.6 %
Total Kratos Government Solutions	125.6	120.0	5.6	4.7 %
Public Safety & Security service revenues	37.9	30.4	7.5	24.7 %
Unmanned Systems product sales	22.2	17.8	4.4	24.7 %
Total revenues	\$ 185.7	\$ 168.2	\$ 17.5	
Total service revenues	\$ 91.6	\$ 88.2	\$ 3.4	3.9 %
Total product sales	94.1	80.0	14.1	17.6 %
Total revenues	\$ 185.7	\$ 168.2	\$ 17.5	10.4 %

Revenues increased \$17.5 million to \$185.7 million for the three months ended June 25, 2017 from \$168.2 million for the three months ended June 26, 2016. The increase in revenues was primarily due to increased work in our training solutions, cyber security and modular systems businesses within our KGS segment, an increase in our PSS segment of \$7.5 million due primarily to a large security system deployment for a mass transportation authority and, to a lesser degree, to a new physical access control project for a large healthcare customer. Revenues in our US segment increased due to an increase in shipments and production of certain of our unmanned aerial drone systems (“UAS”) and government funded work on certain new UAS initiatives.

Product sales increased \$14.1 million to \$94.1 million for the three months ended June 25, 2017 from \$80.0 million for the three months ended June 26, 2016, primarily as a result of an increase in production and product shipments in our KGS segment of \$9.7 million and \$4.4 million in our US segment. As a percentage of total revenue, product sales were 50.7% for the three months ended June 25, 2017 as compared to 47.6% for the three months ended June 26, 2016. Service revenues increased by \$3.4 million to \$91.6 million for the three months ended June 25, 2017 from \$88.2 million for the three months ended June 26, 2016. The increase was primarily related to the increase in revenues in our PSS segment, partially offset by a decrease in service revenue in our KGS segment.

Cost of Revenues. Cost of revenues increased \$15.3 million to \$138.3 million for the three months ended June 25, 2017 from \$123.0 million for the three months ended June 26, 2016. The increase in cost of revenues was primarily a result of the revenue changes discussed above.

Gross margin decreased to 25.5% for the three months ended June 25, 2017 from 26.9% for the three months ended June 26, 2016. Margins on services decreased slightly to 26.9% for the three months ended June 25, 2017 from 27.0% for the three months ended June 26, 2016, due primarily to a less favorable mix of revenues. Margins on product sales decreased to 24.2% for the three months ended June 25, 2017 from 26.8% for the three months ended June 26, 2016, due primarily to the mix of products shipped. Margins in the KGS segment decreased to 25.6% for the three months

ended June 25, 2017 from 27.2% for the three months ended June 26, 2016, primarily as a result of a less favorable mix of revenues. Margins in the US segment increased to 24.3% for the three months ended June 25, 2017 from 23.0% for the three months ended June 26, 2016, primarily due to a more favorable mix of products produced and shipped during the three months ended June 25, 2017. Margins in the PSS segment decreased to 26.1% for the three months ended June 25, 2017 from 27.6% for the three months ended June 26, 2016, due primarily to a less favorable mix of revenues.

Table of Contents

**Selling, General and Administrative (“SG&A”) Expenses.** SG&A expense was \$40.6 million for the three months ended June 25, 2017 and \$36.4 million for the three months ended June 26, 2016. As a percentage of revenues, SG&A increased slightly to 21.9% at June 25, 2017 from 21.6% at June 26, 2016.

**Research and Development (“R&D”) Expenses.** R&D expenses were \$4.1 million for the three months ended June 25, 2017 and \$4.0 million for the three months ended June 26, 2016. As a percentage of revenues, R&D decreased to 2.2% for the three months ended June 25, 2017 from 2.4% of revenues in the three months ended June 26, 2016. R&D expenditures are primarily related to investments we are making in conjunction with our customers, with the objectives of the Company’s products being the new platform for or “designed-in” to certain new long-term program opportunities and the Company owning certain intellectual property rights for products that support these programs as well as technology upgrades and refresh activities that are necessary for the next generation of our existing product lines specifically in our technology and satellite communications business.

**Unused Office Space, Restructuring Expenses, and Other.** The expense of \$0.1 million for the three months ended June 25, 2017 was primarily due to employee termination costs related to personnel reduction actions taken in the second quarter of 2017. The expense of \$4.8 million for the three months ended June 26, 2016 was primarily due to a \$4.7 million charge that was recorded in the Company’s modular systems business as a result of the closure of one of its manufacturing facilities and the exit from certain lower margin product business lines in the second quarter of 2016. The restructuring charges were comprised of an impairment charge of \$3.4 million related to fixed and intangible assets and a \$1.3 million charge for excess facility costs.

**Other Expense, Net.** Other expense, net, decreased to \$7.0 million from \$8.5 million for the three months ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016, respectively. The decrease in expense of \$1.5 million is primarily related to a decrease in interest expense due to repurchases of our Notes (as defined below) that occurred in the fourth quarter of 2016 and the first quarter of 2017.

**Provision for Income Taxes.** Income tax expense for the three months ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016 was \$1.8 million. For the three months ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016, the expense was primarily a function of the estimated effective tax rate for the year. The estimated effective tax rate is driven by estimated foreign taxes, estimated federal and state taxes, permanent book/tax differences, tax amortization of intangible assets that have an indefinite life under GAAP and the projected income or loss for the year.

**Loss from Discontinued Operations.** The loss from discontinued operations was \$0.1 million for the three months ended June 26, 2016, which reflects the results of the divested U.S. and U.K. Electronic Products Divisions (the “Herley Entities”).

#### Comparison of Results for the Six Months Ended June 25, 2017 to the Six Months Ended June 26, 2016

**Revenues.** Revenues by operating segment for the six months ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016 are as follows (dollars in millions):

	June 25, 2017	June 26, 2016	\$ change	% change
<b>Kratos Government Solutions</b>				
Service revenues	\$ 101.9	\$ 110.2	\$ (8.3 )	(7.5 )%
Product sales	139.1	118.4	20.7	17.5 %
Total Kratos Government Solutions	241.0	228.6	12.4	5.4 %
Public Safety & Security service revenues	74.7	60.6	14.1	23.3 %
Unmanned Systems product sales	37.8	32.0	5.8	18.1 %

Total revenues	\$ 353.5	\$ 321.2	\$ 32.3		
Total service revenues	\$ 176.6	\$ 170.8	\$ 5.8	3.4	%
Total product sales	176.9	150.4	26.5	17.6	%
Total revenues	\$ 353.5	\$ 321.2	\$ 32.3	10.1	%

Revenues increased \$32.3 million to \$353.5 million for the six months ended June 25, 2017 from \$321.2 million for the six months ended June 26, 2016. The increase in revenues was primarily due to increased work in our satellite communications, training solutions and modular systems businesses within our KGS segment, an increase in our PSS business

Table of Contents

revenue of \$14.1 million due primarily to a large security system deployment for a mass transportation authority and, to a lesser degree, to a new physical access control project for a large healthcare customer. Revenues in our US segment increased due to an increase in shipments and production of certain of our aerial drone systems and government funded work on certain new UAS initiatives.

Product sales increased \$26.5 million to \$176.9 million for the six months ended June 25, 2017 from \$150.4 million for the six months ended June 26, 2016, primarily as a result of an increase in production and product shipments of \$20.7 million in our KGS segment and \$5.8 million in our US segment. As a percentage of total revenue, product sales were 50.0% for the six months ended June 25, 2017 as compared to 46.8% for the six months ended June 26, 2016. Service revenues increased by \$5.8 million to \$176.6 million for the six months ended June 25, 2017 from \$170.8 million for the six months ended June 26, 2016. The increase was primarily related to the increase in revenues in our PSS segment.

Cost of Revenues. Cost of revenues increased \$20.9 million to \$261.0 million for the six months ended June 25, 2017 from \$240.1 million for the six months ended June 26, 2016. The increase in cost of revenues was primarily a result of the revenue changes discussed above.

Gross margin increased to 26.2% for the six months ended June 25, 2017 from 25.2% for the six months ended June 26, 2016. Margins on services increased slightly to 27.1% for the six months ended June 25, 2017 from 27.0% for the six months ended June 26, 2016, due primarily to a more favorable mix of revenues. Margins on product sales increased to 25.3% for the six months ended June 25, 2017 from 23.3% for the six months ended June 26, 2016, primarily due to the mix of products shipped. Margins in the KGS segment increased to 27.7% for the six months ended June 25, 2017 from 25.5% for the six months ended June 26, 2016, primarily as a result of a more favorable mix of revenues. Margins in the US segment decreased to 18.0% for the six months ended June 25, 2017 from 18.4% for the six months ended June 26, 2016, primarily due to a less favorable mix of products produced and shipped during the six months ended June 25, 2017. Margins in the PSS segment decreased to 25.3% for the six months ended June 25, 2017 from 27.7% for the six months ended June 26, 2016, due primarily to a less favorable mix of services performed.

SG&A Expenses. SG&A expense was \$79.3 million for the six months ended June 25, 2017 and \$74.1 million for the six months ended June 26, 2016. As a percentage of revenues, SG&A decreased to 22.4% at June 25, 2017 from 23.1% at June 26, 2016, which is primarily due to the increase in revenue discussed above.

R&D Expenses. R&D expenses were \$8.5 million for the six months ended June 25, 2017 and \$6.9 million for the six months ended June 26, 2016. As a percentage of revenues, R&D increased to 2.4% for the six months ended June 25, 2017 from 2.1% of revenues in the six months ended June 26, 2016. R&D expenditures are primarily related to investments we are making in conjunction with our customers, with the objectives of the Company's products being the new platform for or "designed-in" to certain new long-term program opportunities and the Company owning certain intellectual property rights for products that support these programs as well as technology upgrades and refresh activities that are necessary for the next generation of our existing product lines specifically in our technology and satellite communications business.

Unused Office Space, Restructuring Expenses, and Other. The expense of \$0.4 million for the six months ended June 25, 2017 was primarily due to employee termination costs related to personnel reduction actions taken in the first and second quarters of 2017. The expense of \$10.3 million for the six months ended June 26, 2016 was primarily due to a \$7.7 million charge that was recorded in the Company's modular systems business as a result of the closure of one of its manufacturing facilities and the exit from certain lower margin product business lines, a \$1.9 million charge related to a litigation settlement of a contract dispute in our PSS business during the first quarter of 2016, and employee termination costs related to personnel reduction actions taken in the first quarter of 2016. The restructuring

charge recorded in our modular systems business was comprised of \$3.4 million related to fixed and intangible assets, \$3.0 million related to exited product lines and \$1.3 million related to excess facilities.

**Other Expense, Net.** Other expense, net, increased to \$17.1 million from \$16.9 million for the six months ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016, respectively. The increase in other expense, net, of \$0.2 million is primarily related to the loss of \$1.4 million and the realization of \$0.4 million of unamortized issuance cost and \$0.3 million of unamortized discount from the repurchase and extinguishment of \$62.7 million of our Notes, which resulted in a \$2.1 million loss on extinguishment of debt in the first quarter of 2017. This loss was partially offset by a reduction in interest expense of \$1.8 million due to the repurchases of the Notes that occurred in the fourth quarter of 2016 and the first quarter of 2017.

**Provision for Income Taxes.** Income tax expense for the six months ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016 was \$3.3 million and \$5.4 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016, the expense was primarily a function of the estimated effective tax rate for the year. The estimated effective tax rate is driven by estimated foreign taxes,

## Table of Contents

estimated federal and state taxes, permanent book/tax differences, tax amortization of intangible assets that have an indefinite life under GAAP and the projected income or loss for the year. The income tax expense for the six months ended June 26, 2016 includes a discrete tax expense of \$1.7 million related to an increase in uncertain tax positions.

Loss from Discontinued Operations. The loss from discontinued operations was \$0.1 million for the six months ended June 25, 2017. The loss from discontinued operations was \$0.1 million for the six months ended June 26, 2016.

## Backlog

As of June 25, 2017 and June 26, 2016, our backlog was approximately \$766.5 million and \$874.6 million, respectively, of which \$543.8 million was funded in 2017 and \$542.3 million was funded in 2016. Backlog is our estimate of the amount of revenue we expect to realize over the remaining life of awarded contracts and task orders that we have in hand as of the measurement date. Our total backlog consists of funded and unfunded backlog. We define funded backlog as estimated future revenue under government contracts and task orders for which funding has been appropriated by Congress and authorized for expenditure by the applicable agency, plus our estimate of the future revenue we expect to realize from our commercial contracts that are under firm orders. Our funded backlog does not include the full potential value of our contracts because Congress often appropriates funds to be used by an agency for a particular program of a contract on a yearly or quarterly basis even though the contract may call for performance over a number of years. As a result, contracts typically are only partially funded at any point during their term, and all or some of the work to be performed under the contracts may remain unfunded unless and until Congress makes subsequent appropriation and the procuring agency allocates funding to the contract.

Unfunded backlog reflects our estimate of future revenue under awarded government contracts and task orders for which either funding has not yet been appropriated or the expenditure has not yet been authorized. Our total backlog does not include estimates of revenue from government-wide acquisition contracts or General Services Administration schedules beyond awarded or funded task orders, but our unfunded backlog does include estimates of revenue beyond awarded or funded task orders for other types of indefinite delivery or indefinite quantity contracts based on our experience under such contracts and similar contracts. Unfunded backlog also includes priced options, which consist of the aggregate contract revenues expected to be earned as a result of a customer exercising an option period that has been specifically defined in the original contract award.

Contracts undertaken by us may extend beyond one year. Accordingly, portions are carried forward from one year to the next as part of backlog. Because many factors affect the scheduling of projects, no assurance can be given as to when revenue will be realized on projects included in our backlog. Although funded backlog represents only business that is considered to be firm, we cannot guarantee that cancellations or scope adjustments will not occur. The majority of funded backlog represents contracts with terms that would entitle us to all or a portion of our costs incurred and potential fees upon cancellation by the customer.

Management believes that year-to-year comparisons of backlog are not necessarily indicative of future revenues. The actual timing of receipt of revenues, if any, on projects included in backlog could change because many factors affect the scheduling of projects. In addition, cancellation or adjustments to contracts may occur. Backlog is typically subject to large variations from quarter-to-quarter as existing contracts are renewed or new contracts are awarded. Additionally, all U.S. Government contracts included in backlog, whether or not funded, may be terminated at the convenience of the U.S. Government.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources



As of June 25, 2017, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$63.3 million compared with cash and cash equivalents of \$69.1 million as of December 25, 2016, which includes \$5.4 million and \$5.2 million, respectively, of cash and cash equivalents held by our foreign subsidiaries. We are not presently aware of any restrictions on the repatriation of these funds; however, earnings of these foreign subsidiaries are considered permanently invested in these foreign subsidiaries. If these funds were needed to fund our operations or satisfy obligations in the U.S. they could be repatriated, and their repatriation into the U.S. may cause us to incur additional U.S. income taxes or foreign withholding taxes. Any additional U.S. income taxes could be offset, in part or in whole, by foreign tax credits. The amount of such taxes and application of tax credits would be dependent on the income tax laws and other circumstances at the time these amounts are repatriated. Based on these variables, it is not practicable to determine the income tax liability that might be incurred if these earnings were to be repatriated. We do not currently intend to repatriate these earnings.

Table of Contents

The carrying amount of our total debt, including capital lease obligations, principal due on our Notes, and other term debt decreased from \$432.0 million at December 25, 2016 to \$370.5 million at June 25, 2017, due to the redemption of \$62.7 million of our Notes during the six months ended June 25, 2017, in addition to the principal payment required on our ten-year term loan with a bank in Israel, offset partially by the amortization of the discount on our Notes and the amortization of deferred financing costs.

We use our operating cash flow to finance trade accounts receivable, fund necessary increases in inventory, fund capital expenditures, our internal research and development and product development investments, and our ongoing operations, service our debt and make strategic acquisitions. Financing trade accounts receivable is necessary because, on average, our customers do not pay us as quickly as we pay our vendors and employees for their goods and services since a number of our receivables are contractually billable and due to us only when certain contractual milestones are achieved. Financing increases in inventory balances is necessary to fulfill shipment requirements to meet delivery schedules of our customers. Cash from continuing operations is primarily derived from our customer contracts in progress and associated changes in working capital components. Our days sales outstanding (“DSO”) have decreased to 111 days as of June 25, 2017 from 115 days as of December 25, 2016. Our DSOs can fluctuate from period to period, primarily as a result of certain contractual billing milestones that have not yet been attained, such as equipment shipments and deliveries on certain products, and for certain flight requirements that must be fulfilled on certain aerial target programs, or final billings which are not due until completion on certain of our large critical infrastructure deployment projects and large training systems deliveries, and therefore we are unable to contractually bill for amounts outstanding related to those milestones at this time. In addition, we used our working capital during the six months ended June 25, 2017 to build inventory of approximately \$7.4 million in anticipation of future scheduled product deliveries.

A summary of our net cash provided by (used in) operating activities from continuing operations, investing activities from continuing operations, and financing activities from continuing operations and our cash flows from discontinued operations from our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows is as follows (in millions):

	Six Months Ended	
	June 25, 2017	June 26, 2016
Net cash used in operating activities from continuing operations	\$(11.3)	\$(12.4)
Net cash used in investing activities from continuing operations	(11.9 )	(3.5 )
Net cash provided by financing activities from continuing operations	17.8	0.5
Net operating cash flows of discontinued operations	(0.1 )	0.1
Net investing cash flows of discontinued operations	(0.4 )	4.5

Net cash used in operating activities from continuing operations for the six months ended June 25, 2017 was positively impacted by increased operating income as compared to the six months ended June 26, 2016, offset by changes in working capital accounts. The net use in working capital accounts for the six months ended June 25, 2017 includes approximately \$4.9 million of internal development investments we are making related to the Low Cost Attributable Unmanned Aerial System Demonstration (“LCASD”) or “Valkyrie”. For fiscal 2017, we expect to spend \$7.0 million to \$10.0 million on internal product development efforts that are non-capital expenditures related to the LCASD program.

Net cash used in investing activities from continuing operations for the six months ended June 25, 2017 is comprised of capital expenditures, which consist primarily of investments in machinery, computer hardware and software and improvement of our physical properties in order to maintain suitable conditions in which to conduct our business, as well as expenditures related to internal capital investments to build company-owned capital aerial targets and related

support equipment and tooling related to the Valkyrie and UTAP-22 or “Mako” platforms for the six months ended June 25, 2017. During the six months ended June 25, 2017, capital expenditures of approximately \$7.7 million were incurred in our US business, primarily related to our unmanned combat target initiative. We expect our capital expenditures for fiscal 2017 to be significant due primarily to the capital aerial targets and related support equipment and tooling that we are building related to the Valkyrie and Mako platforms in our US business. These capital expenditures are expected to equal an aggregate of approximately \$18.0 million to \$23.0 million for fiscal 2017. Net cash used in investing activities for the six months ended June 26, 2016 is comprised of capital expenditures, which consist primarily of investment in machinery, computer hardware and software and improvement of our physical properties in order to maintain suitable conditions in which to conduct our business.

## Table of Contents

Net cash provided by financing activities from continuing operations for the six months ended June 25, 2017 was primarily net proceeds of \$81.9 million from our recent completed equity offering of 11.9 million shares of common stock, partially offset by \$64.0 million used to retire approximately \$62.7 million in principal amount of outstanding Notes. Net cash provided by financing activities from continuing operations for the six months ended June 26, 2016 was primarily proceeds from our employee stock purchase plan.

The investing cash flow from discontinued operations for the six months ended June 25, 2017 reflects cash used of \$0.4 million, primarily related to the discontinued operations of the Herley Entities. The investing cash flow from discontinued operations for the six months ended June 26, 2016 reflects cash used of \$4.5 million, primarily related to the reimbursement of taxes related to the sale of the Herley Entities.

## Contractual Obligations and Commitments

### 7.00% Senior Secured Notes due 2019

In May 2014, we refinanced our \$625.0 million of 10% Senior Secured Notes due in 2017 (the “10% Notes”) with \$625.0 million of newly issued 7.00% Senior Secured Notes due in 2019 (the “7% Notes”). The net proceeds from the issuance of the 7% Notes was \$618.5 million after an original issue discount of \$6.5 million. We incurred debt issuance costs of \$8.8 million associated with the 7% Notes. We utilized the net proceeds from the issuance of the 7% Notes, a \$41.0 million draw on our Credit Agreement (as defined below), as well as cash from operations to extinguish the 10% Notes. The total reacquisition price of the 10% Notes was \$661.5 million including a \$31.2 million early termination fee, the write-off of \$15.5 million of unamortized issue costs, \$12.9 million of unamortized premium, along with \$5.3 million of additional interest while in escrow, which resulted in a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$39.1 million.

We completed the offering of the 7% Notes in a private placement conducted pursuant to Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Act”). On October 16, 2014, the Company exchanged the outstanding 7% Notes for an equal amount of new 7.00% Senior Secured Notes due in 2019 (the “Notes”) that had been registered under the Act. The terms of the Notes issued in the exchange offer were identical in all material respects to the terms of the 7% Notes, except the Notes issued in the exchange offer had been registered under the Act.

The Notes are governed by an Indenture, dated May 14, 2014 (the “Indenture”), among the Company, certain of the Company’s subsidiaries (the “Subsidiary Guarantors”) and Wilmington Trust, National Association, as Trustee and Collateral Agent. A Subsidiary Guarantor can be released from its Guarantee (as defined in the Indenture) if (a) all of the capital stock issued by such Subsidiary Guarantor or all or substantially all of the assets of such Subsidiary Guarantor are sold or otherwise disposed of; (b) the Company designates such Subsidiary Guarantor as an Unrestricted Subsidiary (as defined in the Indenture); (c) if the Company exercises its legal defeasance option or its covenant defeasance option; or (d) upon satisfaction and discharge of the Indenture or payment in full in cash of the principal of, premium, if any, and accrued and unpaid interest on the Notes.

The holders of the Notes have a first priority lien on substantially all of the Company’s assets and the assets of the Subsidiary Guarantors, except with respect to accounts receivable, inventory, deposit accounts, securities accounts, cash, securities and general intangibles (other than intellectual property), on which the holders of the Notes have a second priority lien to our \$110.0 million Credit Agreement.

We pay interest on the Notes semi-annually, in arrears, on May 15 and November 15 of each year.

The Notes include customary covenants and events of default as well as a consolidated fixed charge ratio of 2.0:1 for the incurrence of additional indebtedness. Negative covenants include, among other things, limitations on additional

debt, liens, negative pledges, investments, dividends, stock repurchases, asset sales and affiliate transactions. Events of default include, among other events, non-performance of covenants, breach of representations, cross-default to other material debt, bankruptcy, insolvency, material judgments and changes in control. As of June 25, 2017, we were in compliance with the covenants contained in the Indenture governing the Notes.

We may redeem some or all of the Notes at 102.625% of the aggregate principal amount of such Notes if redeemed on or before May 15, 2018 and 100% of the aggregate principal amount of such Notes if redeemed on or after May 16, 2018, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption.

The terms of the Indenture require that the net cash proceeds from asset dispositions be utilized to (i) repay or prepay amounts outstanding under our Credit Agreement unless such amounts are reinvested in similar collateral, (ii) make an investment in assets that replace the collateral of the Notes or (iii) a combination of both clauses (i) and (ii). To the extent there

## Table of Contents

are any remaining net proceeds from the asset disposition after application of clauses (i) and (ii), such amounts are required to be utilized to repurchase Notes at par after 360 days following the asset disposition.

Following the sale of the Herley Entities, we paid down the \$41.0 million outstanding under the Credit Agreement and repurchased \$175.0 million of the Notes at par, in accordance with the terms of the Indenture.

The total reacquisition price of the Notes was \$178.4 million including the write off of \$1.8 million of unamortized issue costs, \$1.4 million of unamortized discount, along with \$0.2 million of legal fees, which resulted in a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$3.4 million.

The Company reinvested all net proceeds remaining after the repurchase of the \$175.0 million of Notes in replacement collateral under the Indenture within 360 days following the asset disposition, in accordance with the terms of the Indenture.

During the quarter ended December 25, 2016, the Company repurchased and extinguished \$14.5 million of the outstanding Notes, which resulted in a gain of \$0.4 million offset by \$0.1 million of unamortized issuance cost and \$0.1 million of unamortized discount resulting in a net gain of \$0.2 million.

During the quarter ended March 26, 2017, the Company repurchased and extinguished \$62.7 million of the outstanding Notes, which resulted in a loss of \$1.4 million and the realization of \$0.4 million of unamortized issuance cost and \$0.3 million of unamortized discount resulting in a net loss of \$2.1 million.

As of June 25, 2017, there was \$372.8 million in Notes outstanding.

### Other Indebtedness

#### \$110.0 Million Credit Agreement

On May 14, 2014, we entered into a \$110.0 million Credit and Security Agreement, dated May 14, 2014 (the "Credit Agreement"), with the lenders from time to time party thereto, SunTrust Bank, as Agent (the "Agent"), PNC Bank, National Association, as Joint Lead Arranger and Documentation Agent, and SunTrust Robinson Humphrey, Inc., as Joint Lead Arranger and Sole Book Runner. The Credit Agreement established a five-year senior secured revolving credit facility in the maximum amount of \$110.0 million (subject to a potential increase of the maximum principal amount to \$135.0 million, subject to the Agent's and applicable lenders' approval as described therein), consisting of a subline for letters of credit in an amount not to exceed \$50.0 million, as well as a swingline loan in an aggregate principal amount at any time outstanding not to exceed \$10.0 million. The obligations under the Credit Agreement are secured by (i) a first priority lien on our accounts receivable, inventory, deposit accounts, securities accounts, cash, securities and general intangibles (other than intellectual property) and (ii) a second priority lien, junior to the lien securing the Notes, on all of our other assets.

The Credit Agreement contains certain covenants, which include, but are not limited to, restrictions on indebtedness, liens, and investments, and limits on other various payments, as well as a financial covenant relating to a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.15:1 (as modified per the Third Amendment and the Fourth Amendment, as defined and discussed below). Events of default under the terms of the Credit Agreement include, but are not limited to: our failure to pay any principal of any loans in full when due and payable; our failure to pay any interest on any loan or any fee or other amount payable under the Credit Agreement within three business days after the date when due and payable; our failure or the failure of any of our subsidiaries to comply with certain covenants and agreements, subject to applicable grace periods and/or notice requirements; any representation, warranty or statement made in or pursuant to the Credit Agreement or any related writing or any other material information furnished by us or any of our

subsidiaries to the Agent or the lenders proving to be false or erroneous; and the occurrence of an event or condition having or reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect, which includes a material adverse effect on the business, operations, condition (financial or otherwise) or our prospects or our ability to repay our obligations. Where an event of default arises from certain bankruptcy events, the commitments will automatically and immediately terminate and the principal of, and interest then outstanding on, all of the loans will become immediately due and payable. Subject to certain notice requirements and other conditions, upon the occurrence of an event of default, including the occurrence of a condition having or reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect, commitments may be terminated and the principal of, and interest then outstanding on, all of the loans may become immediately due and payable. As of June 25, 2017, no event of default had occurred and we believe that events or conditions having a material adverse effect, giving rise to an acceleration of any amounts outstanding under the Credit Agreement, have not occurred and the likelihood of such events or conditions occurring is remote.

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement may take the form of a base rate revolving loan, Eurodollar revolving loan or

Table of Contents

swingline loan. Base rate revolving loans and swingline loans will bear interest at a rate per annum equal to the sum of the applicable margin from time to time in effect plus the highest of (i) the Agent's prime lending rate, as in effect at such time, (ii) the federal funds rate, as in effect at such time, plus 0.50% per annum, and (iii) the adjusted London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") determined at such time for an interest period of one month, plus 1.00% per annum. Eurodollar revolving loans will bear interest at a rate per annum equal to the sum of the applicable margin from time to time in effect plus the adjusted LIBOR. The applicable margin varies between 1.50% and 2.00% for base rate revolving loans and swingline loans and 2.50% and 3.00% for Eurodollar loans, and is based on several factors including our then-existing borrowing base and the lender's total commitment amount and revolving credit exposure. The calculation of our borrowing base takes into account several items relating to us and our subsidiaries, including, without limitation, amounts due and owing under billed and unbilled accounts receivables, then-held eligible raw materials inventory, work-in-process inventory, and applicable reserves.

On May 31, 2015, we entered into a third amendment (the "Third Amendment") to the Credit Agreement. Under the terms of the Third Amendment, the definitions of certain terms of the Credit Agreement were modified, the disposition of the Herley Entities was approved by the lenders, a minimum \$175.0 million repurchase of the Notes by us was required, and the payment in full of the outstanding balance of the Credit Agreement was required. Additionally, the measurement of the fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.15:1 was modified as follows: (i) the fixed charge coverage ratio will not be measured as of the end of any quarterly reporting period ending after June 30, 2015, if on such date (a) there are no outstanding revolving loans or swingline loans and (b) the aggregate amount outstanding under letters of credit is less than or equal to \$17.0 million, and (ii) as to any subsequent quarterly reporting period ending after June 30, 2015, and not covered by clause (i) above, a fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.05:1 must be maintained if the percentage of (a) outstanding revolving loans plus the sum of the outstanding swingline loans and outstanding letters of credit that are in excess of \$17.0 million, to (b) the revolving credit commitment, minus the Herley Disposition Proceeds Reinvestment Reserve (as defined below) is greater than 0.00% but less than 15.00% or a fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.10:1 must be maintained if the aforementioned percentage is equal to or greater than 15.00% but less than 25.00%. In all other instances, a fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.15:1 must be maintained. For purposes of computing the fixed charge coverage ratio, the associated reduction in consolidated interest expense in connection with the repurchase of Notes with proceeds from the sale of the Herley Entities shall be deemed to have occurred on the first day of the most recently completed four quarterly reporting periods prior to the sale.

The terms of the Third Amendment also included the establishment of a reserve (the "Herley Disposition Proceeds Reinvestment Reserve") that reduced the maximum \$110.0 million total borrowing base on the Credit Agreement. With the sale of the Herley Entities, a \$50.8 million reserve was established based upon the collateral carrying value under the Credit Agreement of the Herley Entities disposed. The reserve and therefore the maximum borrowing base were adjusted monthly for the subsequent cumulative reinvestment in similar collateral assets over a period not to have exceeded 360 days from the date of sale of the Herley Entities. As of June 25, 2017, there was no reserve on the maximum borrowings, resulting from a cumulative reinvestment in similar collateral assets since the sale of the Herley Entities in excess of the \$50.8 million reserve established at the date of the sale of the Herley Entities. The Company made investments in assets that replaced the collateral, which reinstated the maximum facility to the full \$110.0 million as of the end of the first quarter of 2016.

On August 20, 2015, we entered into a fourth amendment (the "Fourth Amendment") to the Credit Agreement. Among other things, the Fourth Amendment provides for a modification of the Third Amendment as it relates to when the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio will be measured based upon our outstanding borrowings. Outstanding borrowings for purposes of computing the applicable minimum fixed charge coverage ratio exclude any letter of credit exposure outstanding of \$17.0 million plus the amount of letters of credit outstanding for the divested Herley Entities for which a cash deposit has been placed in escrow by the buyer to cover the amount of such outstanding letters of credit, should the letters of credit be pulled.



As of June 25, 2017, there were no borrowings outstanding on the Credit Agreement and \$10.1 million was outstanding on letters of credit, resulting in net borrowing base availability of \$72.4 million. We were in compliance with the financial covenants of the Credit Agreement and its amendments as of June 25, 2017.

#### Debt Acquired in Acquisition

We assumed a \$10.0 million ten-year term loan with a bank in Israel entered into on September 16, 2008 in connection with the acquisition of one of its wholly owned subsidiaries. The balance under the term loan as of June 25, 2017 was \$1.3 million, and the loan is payable in quarterly installments of \$0.3 million plus interest at LIBOR plus a margin of 1.5%. The loan agreement governing the term loan contains various covenants, including a minimum net equity covenant as defined in the loan agreement. We were in compliance with all covenants contained in this loan agreement as of June 25, 2017.

#### New Leases

## Table of Contents

On May 1, 2017, we entered into an office lease for our new corporate headquarters in San Diego, California. The premises being rented consist of approximately 26,192 square feet of office space. The Lease has an initial term of 10 years and is anticipated to commence in October 2018. The Lease can be extended for one 5-year term, which must be exercised by giving written notice to the Landlord not earlier than 12 months and not later than 10 months prior to the expiration of the initial term. The base rent under the Lease is initially approximately \$817,200 per year, escalating over the 10 years at approximately 3.0% per annum. In addition to the base rent, we will pay additional rent for our proportionate share of operating expenses, taxes, utilities and insurance expenses for the complex in which the premises are located.

On May 31, 2017, we entered into two lease agreements for office space consisting of approximately 61,000 square feet in an existing building (“Existing Building”) and 91,000 square feet in a new building that is to be constructed (“New Building”) in Colorado Springs, Colorado. The initial lease term for the Existing Building is from May 31, 2017 to May 31, 2032. Upon completion of the New Building, the lease for the New Building will terminate and the lease for the Existing Building will be amended and restated to cover the New Building and the Existing Building. The lease term for such amended and restated lease will be fifteen years from the rent commencement date of the New Building.

The annual base rent for the Existing Building will be approximately \$994,800, with an annual escalation of the lesser of 2% or the consumer price index, and such base rent will be adjusted at a later time based on building improvements funded by the Landlord. The annual base rent for the New Building will depend on the Landlord’s construction costs for the New Building, but the initial annual base rent for the New Building should not exceed approximately \$1.9 million. In addition to the base rent, we will pay for taxes, utilities insurance, and maintenance expenses for the New Building and the Existing Building.

With the exception of the new leases described above, there were no other significant changes to our contractual obligations during the first six months of fiscal 2017.

## Other Liquidity Matters

We believe that our cash on hand, together with funds available under the Credit Agreement and cash expected to be generated from operating activities, will be sufficient to fund our anticipated working capital and other cash needs for at least the next 12 months.

As discussed in Part I, Item 1A, “Risk Factors” of the Form 10-K, our quarterly and annual operating results have fluctuated in the past and may vary in the future due to a variety of factors, many of which are external to our control. If the conditions in our industry deteriorate or our customers cancel or postpone projects or if we are unable to sufficiently increase our revenues or further reduce our expenses, we may experience, in the future, a significant long-term negative impact to our financial results and cash flows from operations. In such a situation, we could fall out of compliance with our financial and other covenants, which, if not waived, could limit our liquidity and capital resources.

## Critical Accounting Principles and Estimates

The foregoing discussion of our financial condition and results of operations is based on the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report. The preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, sales and expenses, and the related disclosures of contingencies. We base these estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

There have been no significant changes to our “Critical Accounting Policies or Estimates” as compared to the significant accounting policies described in the Form 10-K.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Since December 25, 2016, there have been no material changes in the quantitative or qualitative aspects of our market risk profile. For additional information regarding the Company’s exposure to certain market risks, see “Item 7A.

Quantitative  
and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk” included in the Form 10-K.

## Table of Contents

### Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

#### Conclusions Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As required by Rule 13a-15(b) promulgated under the Exchange Act, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Based on the foregoing, our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level as of June 25, 2017.

#### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

We operate under the COSO (Committee of Sponsoring Organizations) 2013 Framework. There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended June 25, 2017 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

See Note 10 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained within this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a discussion of our legal proceedings.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors.

In evaluating us and our common stock, we urge you to carefully consider the risks and other information in this Quarterly Report, as well as the risk factors disclosed in Item 1A to Part I of the Form 10-K, and other reports that we have filed with the SEC. Any of the risks discussed in such reports, as well as additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial, could materially and adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition or prospects. There have been no material changes in our risk factors as previously disclosed in the Form 10-K during the period covered by this Quarterly Report.

### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None.

### Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information.

None.

37

---

Table of Contents

## Item 6. Exhibits.

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference		Filed- Exhibit Furnished Herewith
		Form	Filing Date/ Period End Date	
2.1#†	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated May 31, 2015, among Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc., Herley Industries, Inc., Ultra Electronics Defense Inc. and Ultra Electronics Holdings plc.	10-Q	08/06/2015 (001-34460)	2.4
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc., as amended.	10-K	02/27/2017 (001-34460)	3.1
3.2	Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc., as amended.	10-K	02/27/2017 (001-34460)	3.2
4.1	Specimen Stock Certificate.	10-K	02/27/2017 (001-34460)	4.1
4.2	Indenture, dated as of May 14, 2014, among Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc., as Issuer, the Guarantors party thereto, and Wilmington Trust, National Association, as Trustee and Collateral Agent (including the Form of 7.00% Senior Secured Notes due 2019).	8-K	05/15/2014 (001-34460)	4.1
4.3	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of May 14, 2014, among Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc., as Issuer, the Guarantors party thereto, and SunTrust Robinson Humphrey, Inc., as Representative of the Initial Purchasers.	8-K	05/15/2014 (001-34460)	10.1
10.1	Office Lease, dated as of May 1, 2017, by and between Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc. and TPP 212 Scripps, LLC.	10-Q	5/04/2017 (001-34460)	10.2
10.2	Lease Agreement, dated as of May 31, 2017, by and between STORE Capital Acquisitions, LLC and Real Time Logic, Inc.			*
10.3	Lease Agreement, dated as of May 31, 2017, by and between STORE Capital Acquisitions, LLC and Real Time Logic, Inc.			*
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.			*
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.			*
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Eric M. DeMarco.			*
32.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Deanna Lund.			*

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference	Filing Date/ Form Period End Date	Exhibit	Filed- Furnished Herewith
101	Financial statements from the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Kratos Defense & Security Solutions, Inc. for the quarter ended June 25, 2017 formatted in XBRL: (i) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, (iv) the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.				*

# Certain schedules and exhibits referenced in this document have been omitted in accordance with Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K. A copy of any omitted schedule and/or exhibit will be furnished supplementally to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

† This Exhibit has been filed separately with the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission without the redaction pursuant to a Confidential Treatment Request under Rule 24b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

KRATOS DEFENSE & SECURITY  
SOLUTIONS, INC.

By: /s/ ERIC M. DEMARCO  
Eric M. DeMarco  
Chief Executive Officer, President  
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ DEANNA H. LUND, CPA  
Deanna H. Lund  
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

By: /s/ MARIA CERVANTES DE BURGREN, CPA  
Maria Cervantes de Burgreen  
Vice President and Corporate Controller  
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Date: July 27, 2017