

INFORMATICA CORP
Form 10-Q
August 07, 2008
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2008

OR

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number: 0-25871

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

77-0333710
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

100 Cardinal Way
Redwood City, California 94063
(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(650) 385-5000
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days: Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: INFORMATICA CORP - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of July 31, 2008, there were approximately 89,016,000 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

INFORMATICA CORPORATION

Table of Contents

	Page No.
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements:</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	23
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	40
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	40
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	41
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	42
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	54
<u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	55
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	56
<u>Signatures</u>	57
<u>Exhibit Index</u>	58
<u>EXHIBIT 2.1</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 31.1</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 31.2</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 32.1</u>	

Table of Contents

PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands)

	June 30, 2008 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2007
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 235,154	\$ 203,661
Short-term investments	227,289	281,197
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$1,818 and \$1,299, respectively	62,649	72,643
Deferred tax assets	19,671	18,294
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	29,357	14,693
Total current assets	574,120	590,488
Restricted cash	—	12,122
Property and equipment, net	9,389	10,124
Goodwill	216,209	166,916
Other intangible assets, net	36,751	12,399
Other assets	9,723	6,595
Total assets	\$ 846,192	\$ 798,644
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 7,779	\$ 4,109
Accrued liabilities	25,781	25,381
Accrued compensation and related expenses	30,851	33,053
Income taxes payable	—	248
Accrued facilities restructuring charges	19,336	18,007
Deferred revenues	110,262	99,415
Total current liabilities	194,009	180,213
Convertible senior notes	230,000	230,000
Accrued facilities restructuring charges, less current portion	50,656	56,235
Long-term deferred revenues	11,549	13,686
Long-term income taxes payable	7,449	5,968
Total liabilities	493,663	486,102
Commitments and contingencies (Note 10)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock	89	87
Additional paid-in capital	393,289	377,277
Accumulated other comprehensive income	6,886	5,640
Accumulated deficit	(47,735)	(70,462)

Edgar Filing: INFORMATICA CORP - Form 10-Q

Total stockholders' equity	352,529	312,542
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 846,192	\$ 798,644

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(In thousands, except per share data)
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Revenues:				
License	\$ 48,523	\$ 41,838	\$ 92,732	\$ 79,400
Service	65,237	52,424	124,738	101,976
Total revenues	113,760	94,262	217,470	181,376
Cost of revenues:				
License	897	943	1,590	1,748
Service	21,380	16,945	41,165	33,259
Amortization of acquired technology	951	727	1,571	1,449
Total cost of revenues	23,228	18,615	44,326	36,456
Gross profit	90,532	75,647	173,144	144,920
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	18,497	16,949	36,221	34,973
Sales and marketing	45,966	39,103	88,753	74,214
General and administrative	9,146	9,134	17,515	16,859
Amortization of intangible assets	993	362	1,355	718
Facilities restructuring charges	921	1,026	1,868	2,075
Purchased in-process research and development	390	—	390	—
Total operating expenses	75,913	66,574	146,102	128,839
Income from operations	14,619	9,073	27,042	16,081
Interest income	3,650	5,371	8,507	10,420
Interest expense	(1,799)	(1,796)	(3,601)	(3,600)
Other income (expense), net	(86)	(218)	417	(304)
Income before provision for income taxes	16,384	12,430	32,365	22,597
Provision for income taxes	4,881	1,974	9,638	3,047
Net income	\$ 11,503	\$ 10,456	\$ 22,727	\$ 19,550
Basic net income per common share	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.23
Diluted net income per common share	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.21
Shares used in computing basic net income per common share	88,565	87,293	88,347	86,863
Shares used in computing diluted net income per common share	104,457	103,206	104,403	102,778

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)
(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007
Operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 22,727	\$ 19,550
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	2,813	5,427
Allowance for doubtful accounts and sales returns allowance	215	37
Share-based payments	7,946	7,918
Deferred income taxes	(1,377)	—
Tax benefits from stock option plans	5,124	—
Excess tax benefits from share-based payments	(4,375)	—
Amortization of intangible assets and acquired technology	2,926	2,167
In-process research and development	390	—
Non-cash facilities restructuring charges	1,868	2,075
Other non-cash items	(128)	—
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	14,618	10,383
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(14,718)	(3,279)
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	645	(4,824)
Income taxes payable	1,309	(2,246)
Accrued facilities restructuring charges	(6,036)	(7,667)
Deferred revenues	7,891	4,486
Net cash provided by operating activities	41,838	34,027
Investing activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(1,921)	(3,442)
Purchases of investments	(152,784)	(230,880)
Purchase of investment in equity interest	(3,000)	—
Maturities of investments	168,368	178,835
Sales of investments	38,257	29,003
Business acquisition, net of cash acquired	(79,844)	—
Transfer from restricted cash	12,016	—
Net cash used in investing activities	(18,908)	(26,484)
Financing activities:		
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock	18,782	15,349
Repurchases and retirement of common stock	(15,838)	(5,993)
Excess tax benefits from share-based payments	4,375	—
Net cash provided by financing activities	7,319	9,356
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	1,244	704
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	31,493	17,603
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	203,661	120,491
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 235,154	\$ 138,094

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

Table of Contents

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of Informatica Corporation (“Informatica,” or the “Company”) have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) in the United States of America. However, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed, or omitted, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). In the opinion of management, the financial statements include all adjustments necessary, which are of a normal and recurring nature for the fair presentation of the results of the interim periods presented. All of the amounts included in this Report related to the condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 are unaudited. The interim results presented are not necessarily indicative of results for any subsequent interim period, the year ending December 31, 2008, or any future period.

The preparation of the Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make certain estimates, judgments, and assumptions. The Company believes that the estimates, judgments, and assumptions upon which it relies are reasonable based on information available at the time that these estimates, judgments, and assumptions are made. These estimates, judgments, and assumptions can affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the periods presented. To the extent there are material differences between these estimates and actual results, Informatica’s financial statements would be affected. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by GAAP and does not require management’s judgment in its application. There are also areas in which management’s judgment in selecting any available alternative would not produce a materially different result.

These unaudited, condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company’s audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2007 included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC. The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2007 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company.

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year consolidated financial statements to conform to the current year presentation.

Revenue Recognition

The Company derives its revenues from software license fees, maintenance fees, and professional services, which consist of consulting and education services. The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with AICPA SOP 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, as amended and modified by SOP 98-9, Modification of SOP 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, With Respect to Certain Transactions, SOP 81-1, Accounting for Performance of Construction-type and Certain Production-type Contracts, the Securities and Exchange Commission’s Staff Accounting Bulletin SAB 104, Revenue Recognition, and other authoritative accounting literature.

Under SOP 97-2, revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the fee is fixed or determinable, and collection is probable.

Persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists. The Company determines that persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists when it has a written contract, signed by both the customer and the Company, and written purchase authorization.

Delivery has occurred. Software is considered delivered when title to the physical software media passes to the customer or, in the case of electronic delivery, when the customer has been provided the access codes to download and operate the software.

Fee is fixed or determinable. The Company considers arrangements with extended payment terms not to be fixed or determinable. If the license fee in an arrangement is not fixed or determinable, revenue is recognized as payments become due. Revenue arrangements with resellers and distributors require evidence of sell-through, that is, persuasive evidence that the products have been sold to an identified end user. The Company's standard agreements do not contain product return rights.

6

Table of Contents

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Collection is probable. Credit worthiness and collectibility are first assessed at a country level based on the country's overall economic climate and general business risk. For customers in the countries that are deemed credit-worthy, credit and collectibility are then assessed based on their payment history and credit profile. When a customer is not deemed credit-worthy, revenue is recognized when payment is received.

The Company also enters into OEM arrangements that provide for license fees based on inclusion of our technology and/or products in the OEM's products. These arrangements provide for fixed, irrevocable royalty payments. Royalty payments are recognized as revenues based on the activity in the royalty report that the Company receives from the OEM. In case of OEMs with fixed royalty payments, revenue is recognized upon execution of the agreement, delivery of the software, and when all other criteria for revenue recognition are met.

Multiple contracts with a single counterparty executed within close proximity of each other are evaluated to determine if the contracts should be combined and accounted for as a single arrangement. The Company recognizes revenues net of applicable sales taxes, financing charges absorbed by Informatica, and amounts retained by our resellers and distributors, if any.

The Company's software license arrangements include the following multiple elements: license fees from our core software products and/or product upgrades that are not part of post-contract services, maintenance fees, consulting, and/or education services. The Company uses the residual method to recognize license revenue when the license arrangement includes elements to be delivered at a future date and vendor-specific objective evidence ("VSOE") of fair value exists to allocate the fee to the undelivered elements of the arrangement. VSOE is based on the price charged when an element is sold separately. If VSOE does not exist for undelivered elements, all revenue is deferred and recognized as delivery occurs or when VSOE is established. Consulting services, if included as part of the software arrangement, generally do not require significant modification or customization of the software. If the software arrangement includes significant modification or customization of the software, software license revenue is recognized as the consulting services revenue is recognized.

The Company recognizes maintenance revenues, which consist of fees for ongoing support and product updates, ratably over the term of the contract, typically one year.

Consulting revenues are primarily related to implementation services and product configurations performed on a time-and-materials basis and, occasionally, on a fixed fee basis. Education services revenues are generated from classes offered at both Company and customer locations. Revenues from consulting and education services are recognized as the services are performed.

Deferred revenues include deferred license, maintenance, consulting, and education services revenue. For customers not deemed credit-worthy, the Company's practice is to net unpaid deferred revenue for that customer against the related receivable balance.

Fair Value Measurement of Financial Assets and Liabilities

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements ("SFAS No. 157"), which defines fair value and establishes guidelines for measuring fair value and expands disclosures regarding fair value measurements. In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities ("SFAS No. 159"), including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115, which allows an entity the irrevocable option to elect fair value for the initial and subsequent measurement for certain financial assets and liabilities under an instrument-by-instrument election. At January 1,

2008, the Company adopted SFAS No. 157 and SFAS No. 159, which address aspects of the expanding application of fair value accounting. The company has elected not to use fair value for any of its investments held as of the beginning of the quarter ended March 31, 2008.

SFAS No. 157 establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows:

- Level 1. Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets;
- Level 2. Inputs, other than the quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly; and
- Level 3. Unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which require the reporting entity to develop its own assumptions.

7

Table of Contents

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

SFAS 157 allows the Company to measure the fair value of its financial assets and liabilities based on one or more of three following valuation techniques:

- Market approach. Prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities;
- Cost approach. Amount that would be required to replace the service capacity of an asset (replacement cost); and
- Income approach. Techniques to convert future amounts to a single present amount based on market expectations (including present value techniques, option-pricing and excess earnings models).

The following table summarizes the fair value measurement classification of Informatica as of June 30, 2008 (in thousands):

	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Money market funds	\$ 29,743	\$ 29,743	\$ —	\$ —
Marketable securities	313,340	—	313,340	—
Total money market funds and marketable securities	343,083	29,743	313,340	—
Investment in equity interest	3,000	—	—	3,000
Total	\$ 346,083	\$ 29,743	\$ 313,340	\$ 3,000
Liabilities:				
Convertible senior notes	\$ 235,175	\$ 235,175	\$ —	\$ —

Informatica uses a market approach for determining the fair value of all its Level 1 and Level 2 financial assets and liabilities. The Company also held a \$3 million investment in the preferred stock of a privately held company at June 30, 2008, which was classified as Level 3 for value measurement purposes. In determining the fair value of this investment, the Company considered the price paid by other third party investors purchasing preferred stock in the same privately held company during the second quarter of 2008. Further, there was an investment by a third party with similar terms and for the same amount and percentage of ownership interest during the first quarter of 2008.

Share-Based Payments

Summary of Assumptions

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model that uses the assumptions noted in the following table. The Company is using a blend of average historical and market-based implied volatilities for calculating the expected volatilities for employee stock options and market-based

implied volatilities for its Employee Stock Purchase Plan (“ESPP”). The expected term of employee stock options granted is derived from historical exercise patterns of the options while the expected term of ESPP is based on the contractual terms. The risk-free interest rate for the expected term of the option and ESPP is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share-based Payment (“SFAS No. 123(R)”) also requires the Company to estimate forfeitures at the time of grant and revise those estimates in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. The Company is using an average of the past four quarters of actual forfeited options to determine its forfeiture rate.

Table of Contents

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company estimated the fair value of its share-based payment awards with no expected dividends using the following assumptions:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Option Grants:				
Expected volatility	38	% 37	% 38 – 41	% 37 – 41
Weighted-average volatility	38	% 37	% 38	% 39
Expected dividends	—	—	—	—
Expected term of options (in years)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
Risk-free interest rate	2.8	% 4.7	% 2.7	% 4.7
ESPP: *				
Expected volatility	—	—	38	% 34
Weighted-average volatility	—	—	38	% 34
Expected dividends	—	—	—	—
Expected term of ESPP (in years)	—	—	0.5	0.5
Risk-free interest rate — ESPP	—	—	2.2	% 5.2

* ESPP purchases are made on the last day of January and July of each year.

The allocation of share-based payments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 is as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Cost of service revenues	\$ 493	\$ 421	\$ 1,039	\$ 890
Research and development	966	933	2,030	1,837
Sales and marketing	1,230	1,387	2,603	3,031
General and administrative	1,143	1,136	2,274	2,160
Total share-based payments	\$ 3,832	\$ 3,877	\$ 7,946	\$ 7,918
Tax benefit of share-based payments	(694)	(832)	(1,496)	(1,699)
Total share-based payments, net of tax benefit	\$ 3,138	\$ 3,045	\$ 6,450	\$ 6,219

Note 2. Cash, Cash Equivalents and Short-Term Investments

The Company's marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale as of the balance sheet date and are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity, net of tax. Realized gains and losses and permanent declines in value, if any, on available-for-sale securities are reported in other income or expense as incurred.

Realized gains recognized for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 were \$26,000 and \$81,000, respectively. There were no realized gains or losses recognized for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007. The realized gains are included in other income of the consolidated results of operations for the respective periods. The cost of securities sold was determined based on the specific identification method.

9

Table of Contents

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following is a summary of the Company's investments as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2008			
	Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Cash	\$ 119,360	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 119,360
Cash equivalents:				
Money market funds	29,743	—	—	29,743
Commercial paper	55,089	—	—	55,089
Federal agency notes and bonds	27,970	—	(5)	27,965
U.S. government notes and bonds	2,997	—	—	2,997
Total cash equivalents	115,799	—	(5)	115,794
Total cash and cash equivalents	235,159	—	(5)	235,154
Short-term investments:				
Commercial paper	27,152	31	—	27,183
Corporate notes and bonds	40,523	129	(46)	40,606
Federal agency notes and bonds	129,451	405	(109)	129,747
U.S. government notes and bonds	29,774	2	(23)	29,753
Total short-term investments	226,900	567	(178)	227,289
Total cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments *	\$ 462,059	\$ 567	\$ (183)	\$ 462,443

*Total estimated fair value above included \$343,083 comprised of cash equivalents and short-term investments at June 30, 2008.

	December 31, 2007			
	Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Cash	\$ 102,939	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 102,939
Cash equivalents:				
Money market funds	35,240	—	—	35,240
Commercial paper	24,448	1	—	24,449
Federal agency notes and bonds	41,037	—	(4)	41,033
Total cash equivalents	100,725	1	(4)	100,722
Total cash and cash equivalents	203,664	1	(4)	203,661
Short-term investments:				
Commercial paper	51,642	7	(4)	51,645
Corporate notes and bonds	51,308	103	(25)	51,386
Federal agency notes and bonds	150,049	371	(12)	150,408
U.S. government notes and bonds	5,494	8	(1)	5,501
Municipal notes and bonds	1,200	7	—	1,207
Auction rate securities	21,050	—	—	21,050
Total short-term investments	280,743	496	(42)	281,197
Total cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments	\$ 484,407	\$ 497	\$ (46)	\$ 484,858

Edgar Filing: INFORMATICA CORP - Form 10-Q

In accordance with FASB Staff Position No. FAS 115-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and its Application to Certain Investments, the following table summarizes the fair value and gross unrealized losses related to available-for-sale securities, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at June 30, 2008 (in thousands):

	Less Than 12 Months		More Than 12 Months		Total		Gross Unrealized Losses
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	
Corporate notes and bonds	\$ 13,007	\$ (46)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 13,007	\$ (46)	
Federal agency notes and bonds	85,871	(114)	—	—	85,871	(114)	
U.S. government notes and bonds	29,509	(23)	—	—	29,509	(23)	
Total	\$ 128,387	\$ (183)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 128,387	\$ (183)	

10

[Table of Contents](#)

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Informatica uses a market approach for determining the fair value of all its marketable securities and money market funds, which it has classified as Level 2 and Level 1, respectively. The declines in value of these investments are primarily related to changes in interest rates and are considered to be temporary in nature.

The following table summarizes the cost and estimated fair value of the Company's cash equivalents and short-term investments by contractual maturity at June 30, 2008 (in thousands):

	Cost	Fair Value
Due within one year	\$ 317,874	\$ 318,289
Due one year to two years	24,825	24,794
Due after two years	—	—
	\$ 342,699	\$ 343,083

Note 3. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The carrying amounts of intangible assets other than goodwill as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 are as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2008			December 31, 2007		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Amount
Developed and core technology	\$ 32,792	\$ (11,662)	\$ 21,130	\$ 18,135	\$ (10,091)	\$ 8,044
Customer relationships	16,796	(2,950)	13,846	4,175	(1,895)	2,280
Other:						
Trade names	700	(308)	392	700	(208)	492
Covenant not to compete	2,000	(617)	1,383	2,000	(417)	1,583
	\$ 52,288	\$ (15,537)	\$ 36,751	\$ 25,010	\$ (12,611)	\$ 12,399

Amortization expense of intangible assets was approximately \$1.9 million and \$1.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and \$2.9 million and \$2.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The weighted-average amortization period of the Company's developed and core technology, customer relationships, trade names, and covenants not to compete are 5 years, 5 years, 3.5 years, and 5 years, respectively. The amortization expense related to identifiable intangible assets as of June 30, 2008 is expected to be \$5.5 million for the remainder of 2008, \$10.2 million, \$7.5 million, \$6.4 million, \$4.4 million, and \$2.8 million for the years ending December 31, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, and thereafter, respectively.

The increase in the gross carrying amount of developed and core technology for \$14.6 million as well as customer relationships for \$12.6 million is due to acquisition of Identity Systems discussed in Note 13. Acquisition, of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Developed and core technology of \$7.7 million and customer relationships of \$0.1 million at June 30, 2008 related to the Identity Systems acquisition, were recorded in a European local currency; therefore, the gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization are subject to periodic translation adjustments.

The change in the carrying amount of goodwill for the six months ended June 30, 2008 is as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2008
Beginning balance as of December 31, 2007	\$ 166,916
Goodwill recorded in acquisition	49,316
Subsequent goodwill adjustments:	
Tax benefits from exercise of non-qualified stock options granted as part of prior acquisitions	(76)
Local currency translation adjustments	53
Ending balance as of June 30, 2008	\$ 216,209

11

[Table of Contents](#)

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 4. Convertible Senior Notes

On March 8, 2006, the Company issued and sold convertible senior notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$230 million due 2026 (“Notes”). The Company pays interest at 3.0% per annum to holders of the Notes, payable semi-annually on March 15 and September 15 of each year, commencing September 15, 2006. Each \$1,000 principal amount of Notes is initially convertible, at the option of the holders, into 50 shares of common stock prior to the earlier of the maturity date (March 15, 2026) or the redemption of the Notes. The initial conversion price represented a premium of approximately 29.28% relative to the last reported sale price of common stock of the Company on the NASDAQ Stock Market (Global Select) of \$15.47 on March 7, 2006. The conversion rate is subject to certain adjustments. The conversion rate initially represents a conversion price of \$20.00 per share. After March 15, 2011, the Company may from time to time redeem the Notes, in whole or in part, for cash, at a redemption price equal to the full principal amount of the notes, plus any accrued and unpaid interest. Holders of the Notes may require the Company to repurchase all or a portion of their Notes at a purchase price in cash equal to the full principal amount of the Notes plus any accrued and unpaid interest on March 15, 2011, March 15, 2016, and March 15, 2021, or upon the occurrence of certain events including a change in control.

Pursuant to a Purchase Agreement (the “Purchase Agreement”), the Notes were sold for cash consideration in a private placement to an initial purchaser, UBS Securities LLC, an “accredited investor,” within the meaning of Rule 501 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (“the Securities Act”), in reliance upon the private placement exemption afforded by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. The initial purchaser reoffered and resold the Notes to “qualified institutional buyers” under Rule 144A of the Securities Act without being registered under the Securities Act, in reliance on applicable exemptions from the registration requirements of the Securities Act. In connection with the issuance of the Notes, the Company filed a shelf registration statement with the SEC for the resale of the Notes and the common stock issuable upon conversion of the Notes. The Company also agreed to periodically update the shelf registration and to keep it effective until the earlier of the date the Notes or the common stock issuable upon conversion of the Notes is eligible to be sold to the public pursuant to Rule 144(k) of the Securities Act or the date on which there are no outstanding registrable securities. The Company has evaluated the terms of the call feature, redemption feature, and the conversion feature under applicable accounting literature, including SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, and Emerging Issues Task Force (“EITF”) No. 00-19, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company’s Own Stock, and concluded that none of these features should be separately accounted for as derivatives.

In connection with the issuance of the Notes, the Company incurred \$6.2 million of issuance costs, which primarily consisted of investment banker fees and legal and other professional fees. These costs are classified within Other Assets and are being amortized as a component of interest expense using the effective interest method over the life of the Notes from issuance through March 15, 2026. If the holders require repurchase of some or all of the Notes on the first repurchase date, which is March 15, 2011, the Company would accelerate amortization of the pro rata share of the unamortized balance of the issuance costs on such date. If the holders require conversion of some or all of the Notes when the conversion requirements are met, the Company would accelerate amortization of the pro rata share of the unamortized balance of the issuance cost to additional paid-in capital on such date. Amortization expense related to the issuance costs was \$78,000 for both of the three-month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, and \$156,000 for both of the six-month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007. Interest expense on the Notes was \$1.7 million for both of the three-month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, and \$3.5 million for both of the six-month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007. Interest payment of \$3.5 million was made in both of the six-month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007.

The Company has classified its convertible debt as Level I, according to SFAS No. 157 since it has quote prices available in active markets for identical assets. Informatica has determined that the current market value of its convertible senior notes as of June 30, 2008 is \$235.2 million.

12

Table of Contents

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 5. Other Comprehensive Income

Other comprehensive income refers to gains and losses that are recorded as an element of stockholders' equity under GAAP and are excluded from net income. Other comprehensive income consisted of the following items (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2008	2007	June 30, 2008	2007
Net income, as reported	\$ 11,503	\$ 10,456	\$ 22,727	\$ 19,550
Other comprehensive income:				
Unrealized loss on investments *	(615)	(190)	(42)	(96)
Cumulative translation adjustment *	(775)	611	1,288	833
Comprehensive income	\$ 10,113	\$ 10,877	\$ 23,973	\$ 20,287

* The tax benefits and losses on investments and cumulative translation adjustments were \$0.3 million benefits and \$0.1 million losses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, and negligible for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007.

Accumulated other comprehensive income as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale investments	\$ 179	\$ 221
Cumulative translation adjustment	6,707	5,419
	\$ 6,886	\$ 5,640

Note 6. Stock Repurchases

The purpose of Informatica's stock repurchase program is, among other things, to help offset the dilution caused by the issuance of stock under our employee stock option and employee stock purchase plans. The number of shares acquired and the timing of the repurchases are based on several factors, including general market conditions and the trading price of our common stock. These repurchased shares are retired and reclassified as authorized and unissued shares of common stock. These purchases can be made from time to time in the open market and are funded from available working capital.

In April 2006, Informatica's Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program for a one-year period for up to \$30 million of our common stock. As of April 30, 2007, the Company repurchased 2,238,000 shares at a cost of \$30 million.

In April 2007, Informatica's Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program for up to an additional \$50 million of our common stock. In April 2008, Informatica's Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program for up to an additional \$75 million of our common stock. Repurchases can be made from time to time in the open

market and will be funded from available working capital. As of June 30, 2008, the Company repurchased 2,795,000 shares at cost of \$43.4 million (under April 2007 approval), including 576,000 shares at a cost of \$9.5 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008. The Company has \$6.6 million (under April 2007 approval) and \$75 million (under April 2008 approval) remaining available to repurchase shares under this program. Neither of these two repurchase programs have expiration dates.

Note 7. Facilities Restructuring Charges

2004 Restructuring Plan

In October 2004, the Company announced a restructuring plan (“2004 Restructuring Plan”) related to the December 2004 relocation of the Company’s corporate headquarters within Redwood City, California. In 2005, the Company subleased the available space at the Pacific Shores Center under the 2004 Restructuring Plan with two subleases expiring in 2008 and 2009 with rights to extend for a period of one and four years, respectively. The Company recorded restructuring charges of approximately \$103.6 million,

13

[Table of Contents](#)

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

consisting of \$21.6 million in leasehold improvement and asset write-offs and \$82.0 million related to estimated facility lease losses, which consist of the present value of lease payment obligations for the remaining five-year lease term of the previous corporate headquarters, net of actual and estimated sublease income. The Company has actual and estimated sublease income, including the reimbursement of certain property costs such as common area maintenance, insurance, and property tax, net of estimated broker commissions of \$2.3 million for the remainder of 2008, \$2.6 million in 2009, \$1.2 million in 2010, \$3.8 million in 2011, \$4.4 million in 2012, and \$2.4 million in 2013.

Subsequent to 2004, the Company continued to record accretion on the cash obligations related to the 2004 Restructuring Plan. Accretion represents imputed interest and is the difference between our non-discounted future cash obligations and the discounted present value of these cash obligations. As of June 30, 2008, the Company will recognize approximately \$9.7 million of accretion as a restructuring charge over the remaining term of the lease, or approximately five years, as follows: \$1.7 million for the remainder of 2008, \$3.0 million in 2009, \$2.3 million in 2010, \$1.6 million in 2011, \$0.9 million in 2012, and \$0.2 million in 2013.

2001 Restructuring Plan

During 2001, the Company announced a restructuring plan (“2001 Restructuring Plan”) and recorded restructuring charges of approximately \$12.1 million, consisting of \$1.5 million in leasehold improvement and asset write-offs and \$10.6 million related to the consolidation of excess leased facilities in the San Francisco Bay Area and Texas.

During 2002, the Company recorded additional restructuring charges of approximately \$17.0 million, consisting of \$15.1 million related to estimated facility lease losses and \$1.9 million in leasehold improvement and asset write-offs. The Company calculated the estimated costs for the additional restructuring charges based on current market information and trend analysis of the real estate market in the respective area.

In December 2004, the Company recorded additional restructuring charges of \$9.0 million related to estimated facility lease losses. The restructuring accrual adjustments recorded in the third and fourth quarters of 2004 were the result of the relocation of its corporate headquarters within Redwood City, California in December 2004, an executed sublease for the Company’s excess facilities in Palo Alto, California during the third quarter of 2004, and an adjustment to management’s estimate of occupancy of available vacant facilities. In 2005, the Company subleased the available space at the Pacific Shores Center under the 2001 Restructuring Plan through May 2013.

A summary of the activity of the accrued restructuring charges for the six months ended June 30, 2008 is as follows (in thousands):

	Accrued Restructuring Charges at December 31, 2007		Restructuring Charges		Net Cash Payment	Non-cash Reclass	Accrued Restructuring Charges at June 30, 2008			
2004 Restructuring Plan										
Excess lease facilities	\$	64,446	\$	1,786	\$	(5,346)	\$	(82)	\$	60,886
2001 Restructuring Plan										
Excess lease facilities		9,796		—		(690)		—		9,106
	\$	74,242	\$	1,786	\$	(6,036)	\$	(82)	\$	69,992

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, the Company recorded restructuring charges of \$1.8 million from accretion charges related to the 2004 Restructuring Plan. Actual future cash requirements may differ from the restructuring liability balances as of June 30, 2008 if the Company is unable to sublease the excess leased facilities after the expiration of the subleases, there are changes to the time period that facilities are vacant, or the actual sublease income is different from current estimates. If the subtenants do not extend their subleases and the Company is unable to sublease any of the related Pacific Shores facilities during the remaining lease terms through 2013, restructuring charges could increase by approximately \$9.8 million.

Inherent in the estimation of the costs related to the restructuring efforts are assessments related to the most likely expected outcome of the significant actions to accomplish the restructuring. The estimates of sublease income may vary significantly depending, in part, on factors that may be beyond the Company's control, such as the time periods required to locate and contract suitable subleases should the Company's existing subleases elect to terminate their sublease agreements in 2008 and 2009 and the market rates at the time of entering into new sublease agreements.

14

Table of Contents

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 8. Income Taxes

In the quarter ended September 30, 2007, the Company released its valuation allowance for its non-stock option related deferred tax assets. The remaining valuation allowance is related to Informatica's stock option deferred tax assets. The benefit of these deferred tax assets will be recorded in the stockholders' equity as realized, and as such, they will not reduce the Company's effective tax rate. Prior to September 30, 2007, the Company's effective tax rate was primarily based on federal alternative minimum taxes, state minimum taxes, and income and withholding taxes attributable to foreign operations. The Company's effective tax rates were 29.8% and 15.9% for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and 29.8% and 13.5% for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The effective tax rates for the three and six-months period ended June 30, 2008 differed from the federal statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the non-deductibility of share-based payments, as well as the accrual of reserves related to uncertain tax positions offset by the tax rate benefits for certain earnings from Informatica's operations in lower-tax jurisdictions throughout the world. The Company has not provided for residual U.S. taxes in any of these jurisdictions since it intends to reinvest such earnings indefinitely. As discussed above, the 15.9% and 13.5% effective tax rates for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively, represented primarily federal alternative minimum taxes, state minimum taxes, and income and withholding taxes attributable to foreign operations.

In assessing the need for any additional non-stock valuation allowance in the quarter ended June 30, 2008, the Company considered all available evidence both positive and negative, including historical levels of income, expectations and risks associated with estimates of future taxable income, ongoing prudent and feasible tax planning strategies and the deductibility of a capital loss, and recorded a valuation allowance to reduce its deferred tax assets to the amount it believed was more likely than not to be realized based on such available evidence. As a result of this analysis, the Company determined that it needed to increase its valuation allowance for non-stock option related deferred tax assets by approximately \$0.3 million resulting from a nondeductible capital loss.

The FIN No. 48 unrecognized tax benefits, if recognized, would impact the income tax provision by \$6.8 million and \$6.0 million as of June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The Company has elected to include interest and penalties as a component of tax expense. Accrued interest and penalties at June 30, 2008 and 2007 were approximately \$484,000 and \$225,000, respectively. The Company does not anticipate that the amount of existing unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase or decrease within the next 12 months.

The Company files U.S. federal income tax returns as well as income tax returns in various states and foreign jurisdictions. The Company is currently under examination by the Internal Revenue Service for fiscal years 2005 and 2006. Due to net operating loss carry-forwards, substantially all of the Company's tax years, from 1995 through 2006, remain open to tax examination. Recently the Company has also been informed by certain state taxing authorities that it was selected for examination. Most state and foreign jurisdictions have three or four open tax years at any point in time. The field work for the state audits has commenced and is at various stages of completion as of June 30, 2008. Although the outcome of any tax audit is uncertain, the Company believes that it has adequately provided in its financial statements for any additional taxes that it may be required to pay as a result of such examinations. If the payment ultimately proves to be unnecessary, the reversal of these tax liabilities would result in tax benefits in the period that the Company had determined such liabilities were no longer necessary. However, if an ultimate tax assessment exceeds our estimate of tax liabilities, an additional tax provision might be required.

Note 9. Net Income per Common Share

Under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 128, Earnings per Share (“SFAS No. 128”), basic net income per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share reflects the potential dilution of securities by adding other common stock equivalents, primarily stock options and common shares potentially issuable under the terms of the convertible senior notes, to the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period, if dilutive. Potentially dilutive securities have been excluded from the computation of diluted net income per share if their inclusion is antidilutive.

15

Table of Contents

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The calculation of basic and diluted net income per common share is as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2008	2007	June 30, 2008	2007
Net income	\$ 11,503	\$ 10,456	\$ 22,727	\$ 19,550
Effect of convertible senior notes, net of related tax effects	1,100	1,100	2,200	2,200
Net income adjusted	\$ 12,603	\$ 11,556	\$ 24,927	\$ 21,750
Weighted-average shares outstanding	88,565	87,293	88,347	86,863
Shares used in computing basic net income per common share	88,565	87,293	88,347	86,863
Dilutive effect of employee stock options, net of related tax benefits	4,392	4,413	4,556	4,415
Dilutive effect of convertible senior notes	11,500	11,500	11,500	11,500
Shares used in computing diluted net income per common share	104,457	103,206	104,403	102,778
Basic net income per common share	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.23
Diluted net income per common share	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.21

Diluted net income per common share is calculated according to SFAS No. 128, which requires the dilutive effect of convertible securities to be reflected in the diluted net income per share by application of the "if-converted" method. This method assumes an add-back of interest and amortization of issuance cost, net of income taxes, to net income if the securities are converted. The Company determined that for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, the convertible senior notes had a dilutive effect on diluted net income per share, and as such, it had an add-back of \$1.1 million for both three-month periods and \$2.2 million for both six-month periods in interest and issuance cost amortization, net of income taxes, to net income for the diluted net income per share calculation for both periods.

Note 10. Commitments and Contingencies

Lease Obligations

In December 2004, the Company relocated its corporate headquarters within Redwood City, California and entered into a new lease agreement. The initial lease term was from December 15, 2004 to December 31, 2007 with a three-year option to renew to December 31, 2010 at fair market value. In May 2007, the Company exercised its renewal option to extend the office lease term to December 31, 2010. The future minimum contractual lease payments are \$1.9 million for the remainder of 2008, and \$4.0 million and \$4.2 million for the years ending December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively.

The Company entered into two lease agreements in February 2000 for two office buildings at the Pacific Shores Center in Redwood City, California, which was used as its former corporate headquarters from August 2001 through December 2004. The leases expire in July 2013. In 2001, a financial institution issued a \$12.0 million letter of credit which required us to maintain certificates of deposits as collateral until the leases expire in 2013. As of June 2008, however, we are no longer required to maintain certificates of deposits for this letter of credit, which is for our former

corporate headquarters leases at the Pacific Shores Center in Redwood City, California.

The Company leases certain office facilities under various non-cancelable operating leases, including those described above, which expire at various dates through 2013 and require the Company to pay operating costs, including property taxes, insurance, and maintenance. Operating lease payments in the table below include approximately \$84.4 million for operating lease commitments for facilities that are included in restructuring charges. See Note 7. Facilities Restructuring Charges, above, for a further discussion.

16

Table of Contents

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Future minimum lease payments as of June 30, 2008 under non-cancelable operating leases with original terms in excess of one year are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	Operating Leases	Sublease Income	Net
Remaining 2008	\$ 12,323	\$ (1,496)	\$ 10,827
2009	24,827	(1,719)	23,108
2010	24,153	(219)	23,934
2011	19,207	(2,094)	17,113
2012	19,549	(2,628)	16,921
Thereafter	12,674	(1,345)	11,329
	\$ 112,733	\$ (9,501)	\$ 103,232

Of these future minimum lease payments, the Company has accrued \$70.0 million in the facilities restructuring accrual at June 30, 2008. This accrual includes the minimum lease payments of \$84.4 million and an estimate for operating expenses of \$15.9 million and sublease commencement costs associated with excess facilities and is net of estimated sublease income of \$20.6 million and a present value discount of \$9.7 million recorded in accordance with FASB Statement No. 146 (As Amended), Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities, (“SFAS No. 146”).

In December 2005, the Company subleased 35,000 square feet of office space at the Pacific Shores Center, its former corporate headquarters in Redwood City, California through May 2013. In June 2005, the Company subleased 51,000 square feet of office space at the Pacific Shores Center, its previous corporate headquarters, in Redwood City, California through August 2008 with an option to renew through July 2013. The lessee has exercised its option and renewed this lease through August 2009. In February 2005, the Company subleased 187,000 square feet of office space at the Pacific Shores Center for the remainder of the lease term through July 2013 with a right of termination by the subtenant that is exercisable prior to October 2008 effective as of July 2009.

Warranties

The Company generally provides a warranty for its software products and services to its customers for a period of three to six months and accounts for its warranties under the SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. The Company’s software products’ media are generally warranted to be free from defects in materials and workmanship under normal use, and the products are also generally warranted to substantially perform as described in certain Company documentation and the product specifications. The Company’s services are generally warranted to be performed in a professional manner and to materially conform to the specifications set forth in a customer’s signed contract. In the event there is a failure of such warranties, the Company generally will correct or provide a reasonable work-around or replacement product. The Company has provided a warranty accrual of \$0.2 million as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007. To date, the Company’s product warranty expense has not been significant.

Indemnification

The Company sells software licenses and services to its customers under contracts, which the Company refers to as the License to Use Informatica Software (“License Agreement”). Each License Agreement contains the relevant terms of the contractual arrangement with the customer and generally includes certain provisions for indemnifying the customer against losses, expenses, liabilities, and damages that may be awarded against the customer in the event the Company’s software is found to infringe upon a patent, copyright, trademark, or other proprietary right of a third party.

The License Agreement generally limits the scope of and remedies for such indemnification obligations in a variety of industry-standard respects, including but not limited to certain time and scope limitations and a right to replace an infringing product with a non-infringing product.

The Company believes its internal development processes and other policies and practices limit its exposure related to the indemnification provisions of the License Agreement. In addition, the Company requires its employees to sign a proprietary information and inventions agreement, which assigns the rights to its employees' development work to the Company. To date, the Company has not had to reimburse any of its customers for any losses related to these indemnification provisions, and no material claims against the Company are outstanding as of June 30, 2008. For several reasons, including the lack of prior indemnification claims and the lack of a monetary liability limit for certain infringement cases under the License Agreement, the Company cannot determine the maximum amount of potential future payments, if any, related to such indemnification provisions.

17

[Table of Contents](#)

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

In addition, we indemnify our officers and directors under the terms of indemnity agreements entered into with them, as well as pursuant to our certificate of incorporation, bylaws, and applicable Delaware law. To date, we have not incurred any costs related to these indemnifications.

The Company accrues for loss contingencies when available information indicates that it is probable that an asset has been impaired or a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated, in accordance with SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies.

Litigation

On November 8, 2001, a purported securities class action complaint was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The case is entitled *In re Informatica Corporation Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, Civ. No. 01-9922 (SAS) (S.D.N.Y.), related to *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, 21 MC 92 (SAS) (S.D.N.Y.). Plaintiffs' amended complaint was brought purportedly on behalf of all persons who purchased our common stock from April 29, 1999 through December 6, 2000. It names as defendants Informatica Corporation, two of our former officers (the "Informatica defendants"), and several investment banking firms that served as underwriters of our April 29, 1999 initial public offering and September 28, 2000 follow-on public offering. The complaint alleges liability as to all defendants under Sections 11 and/or 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Sections 10(b) and/or 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, on the grounds that the registration statements for the offerings did not disclose that: (1) the underwriters had agreed to allow certain customers to purchase shares in the offerings in exchange for excess commissions paid to the underwriters; and (2) the underwriters had arranged for certain customers to purchase additional shares in the aftermarket at predetermined prices. The complaint also alleges that false analyst reports were issued. No specific damages are claimed.

Similar allegations were made in other lawsuits challenging over 300 other initial public offerings and follow-on offerings conducted in 1999 and 2000. The cases were consolidated for pretrial purposes. On February 19, 2003, the Court ruled on all defendants' motions to dismiss. The Court denied the motions to dismiss the claims under the Securities Act of 1933. The Court denied the motion to dismiss the Section 10(b) claim against Informatica and 184 other issuer defendants. The Court denied the motion to dismiss the Section 10(b) and 20(a) claims against the Informatica defendants and 62 other individual defendants.

The Company accepted a settlement proposal presented to all issuer defendants. In this settlement, plaintiffs will dismiss and release all claims against the Informatica defendants, in exchange for a contingent payment by the insurance companies collectively responsible for insuring the issuers in all of the IPO cases, and for the assignment or surrender of control of certain claims we may have against the underwriters. The Informatica defendants will not be required to make any cash payments in the settlement, unless the pro rata amount paid by the insurers in the settlement exceeds the amount of the insurance coverage, a circumstance that we do not believe will occur. Any final settlement will require approval of the Court after class members are given the opportunity to object to the settlement or opt out of the settlement.

In September 2005, the Court granted preliminary approval of the settlement. The Court held a hearing to consider final approval of the settlement on April 24, 2006, and took the matter under submission. In the interim, the Second Circuit reversed the class certification of plaintiffs' claims against the underwriters. *Miles v. Merrill Lynch & Co.* (*In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*), 471 F.3d 24 (2d Cir. 2006). On April 6, 2007, the Second Circuit denied plaintiffs' petition for rehearing, but clarified that the plaintiffs may seek to certify a more limited class in the district court. Accordingly, the parties withdrew the prior settlement, and plaintiffs filed amended complaints in focus

or test cases in an attempt to comply with the Second Circuit's ruling. On March 26, 2008, the District Court issued an order granting in part and denying in part motions to dismiss the amended complaints in the focus cases, on substantially the same grounds as its February 2003 ruling on the prior motion to dismiss.

On July 15, 2002, the Company filed a patent infringement action in U.S. District Court in Northern California against Acta Technology, Inc. ("Acta"), now known as Business Objects Data Integration, Inc. ("BODI"), asserting that certain Acta products infringe on three of our patents: U.S. Patent No. 6,014,670, entitled "Apparatus and Method for Performing Data Transformations in Data Warehousing," U.S. Patent No. 6,339,775, entitled "Apparatus and Method for Performing Data Transformations in Data Warehousing" (this patent is a continuation in part of and claims the benefit of U.S. Patent No. 6,014,670), and U.S. Patent No. 6,208,990, entitled "Method and Architecture for Automated Optimization of ETL Throughput in Data Warehousing Applications." In the suit, we sought an injunction against future sales of the infringing Acta/BODI products, as well as damages for past sales of

18

Table of Contents

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

the infringing products. On February 26, 2007, as stipulated by both parties, the Court dismissed the infringement claims on U.S. Patent No. 6,208,990 as well as BODI's counterclaims on this patent.

The trial began on March 12, 2007 on the two remaining patents (U.S. Patent No. 6,014,670 and U.S. Patent No. 6,339,775) originally asserted in 2002 and a verdict was reached on April 2, 2007. During the trial, the judge determined that, as a matter of law, BODI and its customers' use of the Acta/BODI products infringe on our asserted patents. The jury unanimously determined that our patents are valid, that BODI's infringement on our patents was done willfully and that a reasonable royalty for BODI's infringement is \$25.2 million. On May 16, 2007, the judge issued a permanent injunction preventing BODI from shipping the infringing technology now and in the future.

As a result of post-trial motions, the judge has asked the parties to brief the issue of whether the damages award should be reduced in light of the United States Supreme Court's April 30, 2007 AT&T Corp. v. Microsoft Corp. decision (which examines the territorial reach of U.S. patents). The post-trial motions filed focused on the amount of damages awarded and did not alter the jury's determination of validity or willful infringement or the judge's grant of the permanent injunction. The court issued and we accepted a damage award of \$12.2 million in light of AT&T Corp. v. Microsoft Corp. On October 29, 2007, the court entered final judgment on the case for that amount and on December 18, 2007, the Court awarded us an additional amount of \$1.7 million for prejudgment interest. On November 28, 2007, BODI filed its Notice of Appeal and on December 12, 2007, we filed our Notice of Cross Appeal. The parties have filed appeal briefs, including responses and replies. Oral arguments on the appeal will likely be heard in late 2008 with a decision from the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit expected in late 2008 or early 2009. The permanent injunction remains in effect pending the appeal.

On August 21, 2007, Juxtacomm Technologies ("Juxtacomm") filed a complaint in the Eastern District of Texas against 21 defendants, including us, alleging patent infringement. We filed an answer to the complaint on October 10, 2007. It is Informatica's current assessment that our products do not infringe Juxtacomm's patent and that potentially the patent itself is invalid due to significant prior art. Informatica intends to vigorously defend itself. This case is currently in the discovery phase.

The Company is also a party to various legal proceedings and claims arising from the normal course of business activities.

Based on current available information, Informatica does not expect that the ultimate outcome of these unresolved matters, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on its results of operations, cash flows, or financial position. However, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties and the Company's view of these matters may change in the future. Were an unfavorable outcome to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the Company's financial position and results of operation for the period in which the unfavorable outcome occurred, and potentially in future periods.

Note 11. Significant Customer Information and Segment Reporting

SFAS No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, establishes standards for the manner in which public companies report information about operating segments in annual and interim financial statements. It also establishes standards for related disclosures about products and services, geographic areas, and major customers. The method for determining the information to report is based on the way management organizes the operating segments within the Company for making operating decisions and assessing financial performance.

The Company is organized and operates in a single segment: the design, development, marketing, and sales of software solutions. The Company's chief operating decision maker is its Chief Executive Officer, who reviews financial information presented on a consolidated basis for purposes of making operating decisions and assessing financial performance.

19

Table of Contents

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table presents geographic information (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2008	2007	June 30, 2008	2007
Revenues:				
North America	\$ 78,237	\$ 65,590	\$ 147,596	\$ 130,802
Europe	27,611	22,383	54,940	41,075
Other	7,912	6,289	14,934	9,499
	\$ 113,760	\$ 94,262	\$ 217,470	\$ 181,376
			June 30,	December
			2008	31, 2007
Long-lived assets (excluding assets not allocated):				
North America			\$ 35,507	\$ 19,247
Europe			9,226	1,769
Other			1,407	1,507
			\$ 46,140	\$ 22,523

No customer accounted for more than 10% of the Company's total revenues in the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007. At June 30, 2008 and 2007, no single customer accounted for more than 10% of the accounts receivable balance.

Note 12. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements ("SFAS No. 157"), which defines fair value, establishes guidelines for measuring fair value and expands disclosures regarding fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements but rather eliminates inconsistencies in guidance found in various prior accounting pronouncements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. In February 2008, the Board decided to issue Staff Position ("FSP FAS No. 157-2") that (1) partially deferred the effective date of SFAS No. 157, for one year for certain nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, and (2) removed certain leasing transactions from the scope of FAS 157. This FSP effectively delays the implementation of this pronouncement for certain nonfinancial assets and liabilities to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company adopted SFAS No. 157, except as it applies to those nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities as noted in FSP FAS No. 157-2. The partial adoption of SFAS No. 157 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. The Company is currently evaluating the accounting and disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 157 for its nonfinancial assets and liabilities.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities ("SFAS No. 159"), including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115, which allows an entity the irrevocable option to elect fair value for the initial and subsequent measurement for certain financial assets and liabilities under an instrument-by-instrument election. Subsequent measurements for the financial assets and liabilities

an entity elects to fair value will be recognized in earnings. Statement No. 159 also establishes additional disclosure requirements. Statement No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and its adoption is not expected to have an impact on the consolidated financial statements since the Company has not elected to use fair value to measure any of its existing financial assets and liabilities.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations (“SFAS No. 141(R)”), which addresses the accounting and reporting standards for the business combinations. This statement is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company will adopt this statement as required, and is currently evaluating the related accounting and disclosure requirements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51 (“SFAS No. 160”), which addresses accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. This pronouncement also amends certain elements of ARB No. 51’s consolidation procedures for consistency with requirements of FASB No. 141 (revised 2007). This statement is effective for fiscal

20

Table of Contents

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company will adopt this consensus as required, and its adoption is not expected to have an impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 161 (“SFAS No. 161”), Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. SFAS 161 requires companies with derivative instruments to disclose information that should enable financial statement users to understand how and why a company uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under FASB Statement No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect a company's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company will adopt this consensus as required, and its adoption is not expected to have an impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSAB Staff Position No. 142-3 (“FSP No. 142-3”), Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets. FSP No. 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under FASB Statement No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. This FSP shall be effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company will adopt this FSP as required, and is currently evaluating the related accounting and disclosure requirements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position No. APB No. 14-1 (“FSP No. 14-1”), Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash Upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement). This FSP clarifies that (1) convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion, including partial cash settlement, are not considered debt instruments within the scope of APB Opinion No. 14, Accounting for Convertible Debt and Debt and Debt Issued with Stock Purchase Warrants (“APBO No. 14”), and (2) issuers of such instruments should separately account for the liability and equity components of those instruments by allocating the proceeds from issuance of the instrument between the liability component and the embedded conversion option (i.e., equity component). This FSP shall be effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company will adopt this FSP as required, and its adoption is not expected to have an impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued Statement No. 162, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. This statement identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with U.S. GAAP. This statement shall be effective 60 days following the SEC’s approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) amendments to AU Section 411, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity with GAAP. The Company will adopt this consensus as required, and its adoption is not expected to have an impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Note 13. Acquisition

On May 15, 2008, Informatica Corporation acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of Identity Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intellisync Corporation, for \$85.6 million in cash, including transaction costs of \$0.9 million. The preliminary allocation of the purchase price is based upon a preliminary

valuation and our estimates and assumptions are subject to change.

The allocation of the purchase price for this acquisition, as of the date of the acquisition, is as follows (in thousands):

Developed and core technology	\$ 14,570
Customer relationships	12,620
In-process research and development	390
Goodwill	49,316
Assumed assets, net of liabilities	8,735
Total purchase price	\$ 85,631

21

[Table of Contents](#)

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The identified intangible assets acquired were assigned fair values in accordance with the guidelines established in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, Business Combinations, Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretations No. 4, Applicability of FASB Statement No. 2 to Business Combinations Accounted for by the Purchase Method, and other relevant guidance. The excess of the purchase price over the identified tangible and intangible assets was recorded as goodwill. The Company believes that none of the identified intangible assets has any residual value. Further, management believes that the investment value of the synergy created as a result of this acquisition, due to future product offerings, has principally contributed to a purchase price that resulted in the recognition of goodwill for \$49.3 million. The developed and core technology is amortized over 5.5 years on a straight line basis and customer relationships over 5 years on an accelerated basis consistent with expected benefits.

In connection with the purchase price allocations, Informatica estimated the fair value of the support obligations assumed in connection with acquisitions. The estimated fair value of the support obligations is determined utilizing a cost build-up approach. The cost build-up approach determines fair value by estimating the costs related to fulfilling the obligations plus a normal profit margin. The estimated costs to fulfill the support obligations are based on the historical direct costs related to providing the support services and to correct any errors in the software products that the Company has acquired.

Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information

The unaudited financial information in the table below summarizes the combined results of operations of Identity Systems, acquired during the second quarter of 2008, on a pro forma basis, as though it had been combined as of the beginning of each of the periods presented. The pro forma financial information is presented for informational purposes only and is not indicative of the results of operations that would have been achieved if the acquisition had taken place at the beginning of each of the periods presented.

The unaudited pro forma financial information for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 combines the historical results of Informatica and Identity Systems for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007.

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Revenues	\$ 115,397	\$ 98,200	\$ 222,916	\$ 188,699
Net income	\$ 9,347	\$ 9,693	\$ 19,552	\$ 18,006
Basic net income per common share	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.21
Diluted net income per common share	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.20
Shares used in computing basic net income per common share	88,565	87,293	88,347	86,863
Shares used in computing diluted net income per common share	104,457	103,206	104,403	102,778

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the federal securities laws, particularly statements referencing our expectations relating to license revenues, service revenues, deferred revenues, cost of license revenues as a percentage of license revenues, cost of service revenues as a percentage of service revenues, and operating expenses as a percentage of total revenues; the recording of amortization of acquired technology; share-based payments; interest income or expense; provision for income taxes; deferred taxes; international expansion; the ability of our products to meet customer demand; continuing impacts from our 2004 and 2001 Restructuring Plans; the sufficiency of our cash balances and cash flows for the next 12 months; our stock repurchase programs; investment and potential investments of cash or stock to acquire or invest in complementary businesses, products, or technologies; the impact of recent changes in accounting standards; the acquisition of Identity Systems; and assumptions underlying any of the foregoing. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of terminology such as "may," "will," "expects," "intends," "plans," "anticipates," "estimates," "potentially," "continue," or the negative thereof, or other comparable terminology. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements contained herein are reasonable, these expectations or any of the forward-looking statements could prove to be incorrect, and actual results could differ materially from those projected or assumed in the forward-looking statements. Our future financial condition and results of operations, as well as any forward-looking statements, are subject to risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to the factors set forth under Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors. All forward-looking statements and reasons why results may differ included in this Report are made as of the date hereof, and we assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements or reasons why actual results may differ.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this Report.

Overview

We are the leading independent provider of enterprise data integration software. We generate revenues from sales of software licenses for our enterprise data integration software products and from sales of services, which consist of maintenance, consulting, and education services.

We receive revenues from licensing our products under perpetual licenses directly to end users and indirectly through resellers, distributors, and OEMs in the United States and internationally. We also receive a small amount of revenues under subscription-based licenses for on-demand offerings from customers and partners. Our software license revenues also include software upgrades, which are not part of post-contract services. Most of our international sales have been in Europe, and revenues outside of Europe and North America has comprised 6% or less of total consolidated revenues during the last three years. We receive service revenues from maintenance contracts, consulting services, and education services that we perform for customers that license our products either directly or indirectly.

We license our software and provide services to many industry sectors, including, but not limited to, energy and utilities, financial services, insurance, government and public agencies, healthcare, high technology, manufacturing, retail, services, telecommunications, and transportation.

Despite the uncertainties in the financial markets, and the slowdown in certain sectors of the United States economy, we were able to grow our revenues in the second quarter of 2008 such that our total revenues increased 21% to \$113.8 million compared to \$94.3 million in the second quarter of 2007. License revenues increased 16% year-over-year, primarily as a result of increases in the volume of our transactions, and growth in international revenues. Services

revenues increased 24% due to 23% growth in maintenance revenues which is attributable to the increased size of our installed customer base. Additionally, broader use of our existing products resulted in a 28% increase in our training and consulting revenues. Since our revenues has grown at a faster pace than the increase in our operating expenses, our operating income as a percentage of revenues has grown from 10% to 13% and from 9% to 12% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2007.

Table of Contents

Due to our dynamic market, we face both significant opportunities and challenges, and as such, we focus on the following key factors:

- **Competition:** Inherent in our industry are risks arising from competition with existing software solutions, including solutions from IBM, Oracle, and SAP, technological advances from other vendors, and the perception of cost savings by solving data integration challenges through customer hand-coded development resources. Our prospective customers may view these alternative solutions as more attractive than our offerings. Additionally, the consolidation activity in our industry (including Oracle's acquisition of BEA Systems, Sunopsis and Hyperion Solutions, IBM's acquisition of DataMirror and Cognos, and SAP's acquisition of Business Objects, which had previously acquired First Logic) could pose challenges as competitors market a broader suite of software products or solutions to our prospective customers.

New Product Introductions: To address the expanding data integration and data integrity needs of our customers and prospective customers, we continue to introduce new products and technology enhancements on a regular basis. In October 2007, we delivered the generally available release of PowerCenter 8.5, PowerExchange 8.5, and Informatica Data Quality 8.5. In June 2008, we delivered the generally available version of PowerCenter 8.6, PowerExchange 8.6, and Informatica Data Quality 8.6 and the Informatica On Demand Data Loader, a version upgrade to our entire data integration platform. New product introductions and/or enhancements have inherent risks including, but not limited to, product availability, product quality and interoperability, and customer adoption or the delay in customer purchases. Given the risks and new nature of the products, we cannot predict their impact on our overall sales and revenues.

Quarterly and Seasonal Fluctuations: Historically, purchasing patterns in the software industry have followed quarterly and seasonal trends and are likely to do so in the future. Specifically, it is normal for us to recognize a substantial portion of our new license orders in the last month of each quarter and sometimes in the last few weeks of each quarter, though such fluctuations are mitigated somewhat by recognition of backlog orders. In recent years, the fourth quarter has had the highest level of license revenue and order backlog, and we have generally had weaker demand for our software products and services in the first and third quarters.

To address these potential risks, we have focused on a number of key initiatives, including the strengthening of our partnerships, the broadening of our distribution capability worldwide, and the targeting of our sales force and distribution channel on new products.

We are concentrating on maintaining and strengthening our relationships with our existing strategic partners and building relationships with additional strategic partners. These partners include systems integrators, resellers and distributors, and strategic technology partners, including enterprise application providers, database vendors, and enterprise information integration vendors, in the United States and internationally. In February 2008, we launched our new worldwide partner program, INFORM, which is comprised of a set of programs and services to help partners develop and promote solutions in conjunction with Informatica. In March 2008, we announced that Wipro Technologies selected Informatica Data Migration Suite to power its Data Migration Services. Since January 2007, we signed OEM agreements with Cognos (acquired by IBM), FAST (acquired by Microsoft), and other vendors. These are in addition to our global OEM partnerships with Oracle (Hyperion Solutions and Siebel), and our partnership with salesforce.com. See "Risk Factors - We rely on our relationships with our strategic partners. If we do not maintain and strengthen these relationships, our ability to generate revenue and control expenses could be adversely affected, which could cause a decline in the price of our common stock" in Part II, Item 1A.

We have broadened our distribution efforts, and we have continued to expand our sales both in terms of selling data warehouse products to the enterprise level and of selling more strategic data integration solutions beyond data

warehousing, including data quality, data migrations, data consolidations, data synchronizations, data hubs, and cross-enterprise data integration to our customers' enterprise architects and chief information officers. We have expanded our international sales presence by opening new offices, increasing headcount, and through acquisitions. As a result of this international expansion, as well as the increase in our direct sales headcount in the United States, our sales and marketing expenses have increased. We expect these investments to result in increased revenues and productivity and ultimately higher profitability. However, if we experience an increase in sales personnel turnover, do not achieve expected increases in our sales pipeline, experience a decline in our sales pipeline conversion ratio, or do not achieve increases in sales productivity and efficiencies from our new sales personnel as they gain more experience, then it is unlikely that we will achieve our expected increases in revenue, sales productivity, or profitability. We have experienced some increases in revenues and sales productivity in the United States in the past few years. During the past year, we have also experienced increases in revenues and sales productivity internationally, but we have not yet achieved the same level of sales productivity internationally as domestically.

Table of Contents

To address the risks of introducing new products, we have continued to invest in programs to help train our internal sales force and our external distribution channel on new product functionalities, key differentiations, and key business values. These programs include Informatica World for customers and partners, our annual sales kickoff conference for all sales and key marketing personnel in January, “Webinars” for our direct sales force and indirect distribution channel, in-person technical seminars for our pre-sales consultants, the building of product demonstrations, and creation and distribution of targeted marketing collateral. We have also invested in partner enablement programs, including product-specific briefings to partners and the inclusion of several partners in our beta programs.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

In preparing our condensed consolidated financial statements, we make assumptions, judgments, and estimates that can have a significant impact on amounts reported in our condensed consolidated financial statements. We base our assumptions, judgments, and estimates on historical experience and various other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. On a regular basis we evaluate our assumptions, judgments, and estimates and make changes accordingly. We also discuss our critical accounting estimates with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. We believe that the assumptions, judgments, and estimates involved in the accounting for revenue recognition, facilities restructuring charges, income taxes, accounting for impairment of goodwill, acquisitions, and share-based payments have the greatest potential impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements, so we consider these to be our critical accounting policies. We discuss below the critical accounting estimates associated with these policies. Historically, our assumptions, judgments, and estimates relative to our critical accounting policies have not differed materially from actual results. For further information on our significant accounting policies, see the discussion in Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, and Note 12. Recent Accounting Pronouncements, of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Report.

Revenue Recognition

We follow detailed revenue recognition guidelines, which are discussed below. We recognize revenue in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) in the United States that have been prescribed for the software industry. The accounting rules related to revenue recognition are complex and are affected by interpretations of the rules, which are subject to change. Consequently, the revenue recognition accounting rules require management to make significant judgments, such as determining if collectibility is probable.

We derive revenues from software license fees, maintenance fees (which entitle the customer to receive product support and unspecified software updates), and professional services, consisting of consulting and education services. We follow the appropriate revenue recognition rules for each type of revenue. The basis for recognizing software license revenue is determined by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (“AICPA”) Statement of Position (“SOP”) 97-2 Software Revenue Recognition, together with other authoritative literature including, but not limited to, the Securities and Exchange Commission’s Staff Accounting Bulletin (“SAB”) 104, Revenue Recognition. Other authoritative literature is discussed in the subsection Revenue Recognition in Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Report. Substantially all of our software licenses are perpetual licenses under which the customer acquires the perpetual right to use the software as provided and subject to the conditions of the license agreement. We recognize revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the fee is fixed or determinable, and collection is probable. In applying these criteria to revenue transactions, we must exercise judgment and use estimates to determine the amount of software, maintenance, and professional services revenue to be recognized each period.

We assess whether fees are fixed or determinable prior to recognizing revenue. We must make interpretations of our customer contracts and exercise judgments in determining if the fees associated with a license arrangement are fixed or determinable. We consider factors including extended payment terms, financing arrangements, the category of customer (end-user customer or reseller), rights of return or refund, and our history of enforcing the terms and conditions of customer contracts. If the fee due from a customer is not fixed or determinable due to extended payment terms, revenue is recognized when payment becomes due or upon cash receipt, whichever is earlier. If we determine that a fee due from a reseller is not fixed or determinable upon shipment to the reseller, we do not recognize the revenue until the reseller provides us with evidence of sell-through to an end-user customer and/or upon cash receipt. Further, we make judgments in determining the collectibility of the amounts due from our customers that could possibly impact the timing of revenue recognition. We assess credit worthiness and collectibility, and, when a customer is not deemed credit worthy, revenue is recognized when payment is received.

Table of Contents

Our software license arrangements include the following multiple elements: license fees from our core software products and/or product upgrades that are not part of post-contract services, maintenance fees, consulting, and/or education services. We use the residual method to recognize license revenue upon delivery when the arrangement includes elements to be delivered at a future date and vendor-specific objective evidence (“VSOE”) of fair value exists to allocate the fee to the undelivered elements of the arrangement. VSOE is based on the price charged when an element is sold separately. If VSOE does not exist for any undelivered software product element of the arrangement, all revenue is deferred until all elements have been delivered, or VSOE is established. If VSOE does not exist for any undelivered services elements of the arrangement, all revenue is recognized ratably over the period that the services are expected to be performed. We are required to exercise judgment in determining if VSOE exists for each undelivered element.

Consulting services, if included as part of the software arrangement, generally do not require significant modification or customization of the software. If, in our judgment, the software arrangement includes significant modification or customization of the software, then software license revenue is recognized as the consulting services revenue is recognized.

Consulting revenues are primarily related to implementation services and product configurations. These services are performed on a time-and-materials basis and, occasionally, on a fixed-fee basis. Revenue is generally recognized as these services are performed. If uncertainty exists about our ability to complete the project, our ability to collect the amounts due, or in the case of fixed-fee consulting arrangements, our ability to estimate the remaining costs to be incurred to complete the project, revenue is deferred until the uncertainty is resolved.

Multiple contracts with a single counterparty executed within close proximity of each other are evaluated to determine if the contracts should be combined and accounted for as a single arrangement.

We recognize revenues net of applicable sales taxes, financing charges absorbed by Informatica, and amounts retained by our resellers and distributors, if any. Our agreements do not permit returns, and historically we have not had any significant returns or refunds; therefore, we have not established a sales return reserve at this time.

Facilities Restructuring Charges

During the fourth quarter of 2004, we recorded significant charges (2004 Restructuring Plan) related to the relocation of our corporate headquarters to take advantage of more favorable lease terms and reduced operating expenses. In addition, we significantly increased the 2001 restructuring charges (2001 Restructuring Plan) in the third and fourth quarters of 2004 due to changes in our assumptions used to calculate the original charges as a result of our decision to relocate our corporate headquarters. The accrued restructuring charges represent gross lease obligations and estimated commissions and other costs (principally leasehold improvements and asset write-offs), offset by actual and estimated gross sublease income, which is net of estimated broker commissions and tenant improvement allowances, expected to be received over the remaining lease terms.

These liabilities include management’s estimates pertaining to sublease activities. Inherent in the assessment of the costs related to our restructuring efforts are estimates related to the most likely expected outcome of the significant actions to accomplish the restructuring. We will continue to evaluate the commercial real estate market conditions periodically to determine if our estimates of the amount and timing of future sublease income are reasonable based on current and expected commercial real estate market conditions. Our estimates of sublease income may vary significantly depending, in part, on factors that may be beyond our control, such as the time periods required to locate and contract suitable subleases and the market rates at the time of such subleases. Currently, we have subleased our excess facilities in connection with our 2004 and 2001 facilities restructuring but for durations that are generally less

than the remaining lease terms.

If we determine that there is a change in the estimated sublease rates or in the expected time it will take us to sublease our vacant space, we may incur additional restructuring charges in the future and our cash position could be adversely affected. See Note 7. Facilities Restructuring Charges, of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Report. Future adjustments to the charges could result from a change in the time period that the buildings will be vacant, expected sublease rates, expected sublease terms, and the expected time it will take to sublease. We will periodically assess the need to update the original restructuring charges based on current real estate market information, trend analysis, and executed sublease agreements.

Table of Contents

Accounting for Income Taxes

We use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes (“SFAS 109”). Under this method, income tax expense or benefit are recognized for the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and for deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our consolidated financial statements or tax returns. Effective January 1, 2007, we adopted FIN No. 48 to account for any income tax contingencies. The measurement of current and deferred tax assets and liabilities is based on provisions of currently enacted tax laws. The effects of any future changes in tax laws or rates have not been taken into account.

As part of the process of preparing consolidated financial statements, we are required to estimate our income taxes and tax contingencies in each of the tax jurisdictions in which we operate prior to the completion and filing of tax returns for such periods. This process involves estimating actual current tax expense together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items, such as deferred revenue, for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in net deferred tax assets and liabilities. We must then assess the likelihood that the deferred tax assets will be realizable and to the extent we believe that realizability is not likely, we must establish a valuation allowance.

In the quarter ended September 30, 2007, we released our valuation allowance for our non-stock option related deferred tax assets. The remaining valuation allowance is related to our stock option deferred tax assets. The benefit of these deferred tax assets will be recorded in the stockholders’ equity as realized, and as such, they will not reduce our effective tax rate.

In assessing the need for any additional non-stock valuation allowance in the quarter ended June 30, 2008, we considered all the evidence available to us both positive and negative, including historical levels of income, expectations and risks associated with estimates of future taxable income, ongoing prudent and feasible tax planning strategies and the deductibility of a capital loss, and recorded a valuation allowance to reduce our deferred tax assets to the amount we believed was more likely than not to be realized based on such available evidence. As a result of this analysis, we determined that we needed to increase our valuation allowance for non stock option related deferred tax assets by approximately \$0.3 million resulting from a nondeductible capital loss.

Accounting for Impairment of Goodwill

We assess goodwill for impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, which requires that goodwill be tested for impairment at the “reporting unit level” (“Reporting Unit”) at least annually and more frequently upon the occurrence of certain events, as defined by SFAS No. 142. Consistent with our determination that we have only one reporting segment, we have determined that there is only one Reporting Unit. Goodwill was tested for impairment in our annual impairment tests on October 31 in each of the years 2007, 2006, and 2005 using the two-step process required by SFAS No. 142. First, we reviewed the carrying amount of the Reporting Unit compared to the “fair value” of the Reporting Unit based on quoted market prices of our common stock. If such comparison reflected potential impairment, we would then prepare the discounted cash flow analyses. Such analyses are based on cash flow assumptions that are consistent with the plans and estimates being used to manage the business. An excess carrying value compared to fair value would indicate that goodwill may be impaired. Finally, if we determined that goodwill may be impaired, then we would compare the “implied fair value” of the goodwill, as defined by SFAS No. 142, to its carrying amount to determine the impairment loss, if any.

Based on these estimates, we determined in our annual impairment tests at October 31, 2007 that the fair value of the Reporting Unit exceeded the carrying amount and, accordingly, goodwill was not impaired. Assumptions and

estimates about future values and remaining useful lives are complex and often subjective. They can be affected by a variety of factors, including such external factors as industry and economic trends and such internal factors as changes in our business strategy and our internal forecasts. Although we believe the assumptions and estimates we have made in the past have been reasonable and appropriate, different assumptions and estimates could materially impact our reported financial results.

Accounting for impairment of goodwill will be impacted by certain elements of SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, related to FSP No. 157-2 for nonfinancial assets and liabilities which is both effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. We will apply this pronouncement to our accounting for impairment of goodwill in 2009.

Table of Contents

Acquisitions

In accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 141, Business Combinations, we allocate the purchase price of acquired companies to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed as well as to in-process research and development based upon their estimated fair values at the acquisition date. The purchase price allocation process requires management to make significant estimates and assumptions, especially at acquisition date with respect to intangible assets, support obligations assumed, estimated restructuring liabilities and pre-acquisition contingencies.

A number of events could potentially affect the accuracy of our assumptions and estimates. Although we believe the assumptions and estimates that we have made are reasonable and appropriate, nevertheless a level of uncertainty is inherent in all such decisions. The following are some of the examples of critical accounting estimates that we have applied in our acquisitions:

- future expected cash flows from software license sales, support agreements, consulting contracts, other customer contracts and acquired developed technologies and patents;
- expected costs to develop the in-process research and development into commercially viable products and estimated cash flows from the projects when completed;
- the acquired company's brand and competitive position, as well as assumptions about the period of time the acquired brand will continue to be used in the combined company's product portfolio; and
- discount rates.

In connection with the purchase price allocations for our acquisitions, we estimate the fair value of the support obligations assumed. The estimated fair value of the support obligations is determined utilizing a cost build-up approach. The cost build-up approach determines fair value by estimating the costs related to fulfilling the obligations plus a normal profit margin. The estimated costs to fulfill the support obligations are based on the historical direct costs related to providing the support services and to correct any errors in the software products acquired. The sum of these costs and operating profit approximates, in theory, the amount that we would be required to pay a third party to assume the support obligation. We do not include any costs associated with selling efforts or research and development or the related fulfillment margins on these costs. Profit associated with any selling efforts is excluded because the acquired entities would have concluded those selling efforts on the support contracts prior to the acquisition date. We also do not include the estimated research and development costs in our fair value determinations, as these costs are not deemed to represent a legal obligation at the time of acquisition.

In any acquisition, we may identify certain pre-acquisition contingencies. If we are able to determine the fair value of such contingencies during the purchase price allocation period, we will include that amount in the purchase price allocation. On the other hand, if as of the end of the purchase price allocation period, we are unable to determine the fair value of a pre-acquisition contingency, we will evaluate whether to include an amount in the purchase price allocation based on whether it is probable a liability had been incurred and whether an amount can be reasonably estimated. Under the provisions of SFAS No. 141, with the exception of unresolved income tax matters, after the end of the purchase price allocation period, any adjustment to amounts recorded for a pre-acquisition contingency will be included in our operating results in the period in which the adjustment is determined.

Accounting for business combinations will be impacted by certain elements of SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, related to FSP No. 157-2 for nonfinancial assets and liabilities and SFAS No. 141(R), Business

Combinations, which are both effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. We will apply these pronouncements to business combinations in 2009.

Share-Based Payments

We account for share-based payments related to share-based transactions in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R). Under the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), share-based payment is estimated at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as an expense ratably over its requisite service period. Determining the appropriate fair value model and calculating the fair value of share-based awards requires judgment, including estimating stock price volatility, forfeiture rates, and expected life.

Table of Contents

We have estimated the expected volatility as an input into the Black-Scholes-Merton valuation formula when assessing the fair value of options granted. Our current estimate of volatility was based upon a blend of average historical and market-based implied volatilities of our stock price that we have used consistently since the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R). Our historical volatility rates decreased in 2008 from 2007 primarily due to more stable stock prices in recent quarters and exclusion of more volatile years from the calculation of our historical volatility rates. Our implied volatility rates have remained relatively unchanged. Our weighted-average volatility rates were at 38% for both of the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to 37% and 39% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively. To the extent volatility of our stock price increases in the future, our estimates of the fair value of options granted in the future will increase accordingly. For instance, a 10 percentage point higher volatility would have resulted in a \$1.6 million increase in the fair value of options granted during the second quarter of 2008.

Our expected life of options granted was derived from the historical option exercises, post-vesting cancellations, and estimates concerning future exercises and cancellations for vested and unvested options that remain outstanding. We assumed an expected life of 3.3 years in 2007 and the first two quarters of 2008.

In addition, we apply an expected forfeiture rate in determining the grant date fair value of our option grants. Our estimate of the forfeiture rate is based on an average of actual forfeited options for the past four quarters. During the quarter ended March 31, 2008, we lowered our forfeiture rate from 13% to 10% based on the average of actual forfeited options during the past four quarters, which increased our share-based payments in the first quarter of 2008 by approximately \$0.5 million.

We believe that the estimates that we have used for the calculation of the variables to arrive at share-based payments are accurate. We will, however, continue to monitor the historical performance of these variables and will modify our methodology and assumptions in the future as needed.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

For recent accounting pronouncements see Note 12. Recent Accounting Pronouncements, of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements under Part I, Item 1 of this Report.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

The following table presents certain financial data for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 as a percentage of total revenues:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Revenues:				
License	43%	44%	43%	44%
Service	57	56	57	56
Total revenues	100	100	100	100
Cost of revenues:				
License	1	1	1	1
Service	19	18	19	18
Amortization of acquired technology	1	1	1	1
Total cost of revenues	21	20	21	20
Gross profit	79	80	79	80
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	16	18	17	19
Sales and marketing	40	41	41	41
General and administrative	8	10	8	9
Amortization of intangible assets	1	—	—	1
Facilities restructuring charges	1	1	1	1
Purchased in-process research and development	—	—	—	—
Total operating expenses	66	70	67	71
Income from operations	13	10	12	9
Interest income	3	6	4	6
Interest expense	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
Other income (expense), net	—	(1)	—	—
Income before provision for income taxes	14	13	14	13
Provision for income taxes	4	2	4	2
Net income	10%	11%	10%	11%

Revenues

Our total revenues increased to \$113.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 from \$94.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007, representing an increase of \$19.5 million (or 21%). Total revenues increased to \$217.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 from \$181.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007, representing an increase of \$36.1 million (or 20%).

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, our revenues (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2008	2007	Change	2008	2007	Change
License revenues	\$ 48,523	\$ 41,838	16%	\$ 92,732	\$ 79,400	17%
Service revenues:						

Edgar Filing: INFORMATICA CORP - Form 10-Q

Maintenance	45,475	36,932	23%	86,890	71,561	21%
Consulting and education	19,762	15,492	28%	37,848	30,415	24%
Total service revenues	65,237	52,424	24%	124,738	101,976	22%
	\$ 113,760	\$ 94,262	21%	\$ 217,470	\$ 181,376	20%

License Revenues

Our license revenues increased to \$48.5 million (or 43% of total revenues) and \$92.7 million (or 43% of total revenues) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, from \$41.8 million (or 44% of total revenues) and \$79.4 million (or 44% of total revenues) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively. The increase in license revenues of \$6.7 million (or 16%) for the three months ended June 30, 2008, and \$13.3 million (or 17%) for the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the same periods in 2007, was primarily due to an increase in the volume of transactions, and to a lesser extent, a slight increase in the

Table of Contents

average size of the transactions. We have two types of upgrades: (1) upgrades that are not part of the post-contract services for which we charge customers an additional fee, and (2) unspecified upgrades that are part of the post-contract services that we provide to our customers at no additional charge, when and if-available. The average transaction amount for orders greater than \$100,000 in the second quarter of 2008, including the upgrades stated in (1) above, increased to \$310,000 from \$306,000 in the second quarter of 2007, and remained relatively consistent at \$305,000 for the comparable six month periods. Further, transactions of \$1.0 million or more declined slightly in the comparative three and six month periods in 2008 compared with 2007. In addition, our growth in license revenues reflected the continued market acceptance of the most recent versions of our data integration and data quality products introduced in October 2007.

Service Revenues

Maintenance Revenues

Maintenance revenues increased to \$45.5 million (or 40% of total revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to \$36.9 million (or 39% of total revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2007. The \$8.6 million (or 23%) increase in the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the same period in 2007, was primarily due to an increase in the size of our customer base. Maintenance revenues increased to \$86.9 million (or 40% of total revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to \$71.6 million (or 39% of total revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The \$15.3 million (or 21%) increase in the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the same period in 2007, was primarily due to an increase in the size of our customer base. For the remainder of 2008, based on our growing installed customer base, we expect maintenance revenues to increase from the comparable 2007 levels.

Consulting and Education Revenues

Consulting and education revenues increased to \$19.8 million (or 17% of total revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to \$15.5 million (or 16% of total revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2007. The \$4.3 million (or 28%) increase in the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the same period in 2007, was the result of a higher demand for our consulting and education services globally. Consulting and education revenues increased to \$37.8 million (or 17% of total revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to \$30.4 million (or 17% of total revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The \$7.4 million (or 24%) increase in the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the same period in 2007, as the result of a higher demand for our consulting and education services globally. For the remainder of 2008, we expect to maintain our current utilization rates and continue to add to our overall consulting capacity, and thus we expect revenues from consulting and education services to increase from the comparable 2007 levels.

International Revenues

International revenues were \$35.5 million (or 31% of total revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to \$28.7 million (or 30% of total revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2007. The \$6.8 million (or 24%) increase for the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the same period in 2007, was primarily due to an increase in international service revenues as a result of a larger and growing install base. International revenues were \$69.9 million (or 32% of total revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to \$50.6 million (or 28% of total revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The \$19.3 million (or 38%) increase for the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the same period in 2007, was primarily due to an increase in international license and service revenues as a result of a larger and growing install base. For the remainder of 2008, we expect international revenues as a percentage of total revenues to be relatively consistent with, or increase slightly from the

comparable 2007 levels.

Future Revenues (New Orders, Backlog, and Deferred Revenues)

Our future revenues are dependent upon the following: (1) new orders received, shipped, and recognized in a given quarter and (2) our backlog and deferred revenues entering a given quarter. Our backlog consists primarily of product license orders that have not been shipped as of the end of a given quarter and orders to certain distributors, resellers, and OEMs, where revenue is recognized upon cash receipt. Our deferred revenues are primarily comprised of the following: (1) maintenance revenues that we recognize over the term of the contract, typically one year, (2) license product orders that have shipped but where the terms of the license agreement contain acceptance language or other terms that require that the license revenues be deferred until all revenue recognition criteria are met or recognized ratably over an extended period, and (3) consulting and education revenues that have been prepaid but for which services have not yet been performed. We typically ship products shortly after the receipt of an order, which is common in the software industry, and historically our backlog of license orders awaiting shipment at the end of any given quarter has varied.

31

Table of Contents

However, our backlog typically decreases from the prior quarter at the end of the first and third quarters and increases at the end of the fourth quarter. Aggregate backlog and deferred revenues at June 30, 2008, were approximately \$139.5 million, compared to \$116.7 million at June 30, 2007, and \$140.4 million at December 31, 2007. Backlog and deferred revenues as of any particular date are not necessarily indicative of future results.

Cost of Revenues

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, our cost of revenues (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2008	2007	Change	2008	2007	Change
Cost of license revenues	\$ 897	\$ 943	(5)%	\$ 1,590	\$ 1,748	(9)%
Cost of service revenues	21,380	16,945	26%	41,165	33,259	24%
Amortization of acquired technology	951	727	31%	1,571	1,449	8%
Total cost of revenues	\$ 23,228	\$ 18,615	25%	\$ 44,326	\$ 36,456	22%
Cost of license revenues, as a percentage of license revenues	2%	2%	—%	2%	2%	—%
Cost of service revenues, as a percentage of service revenues	33%	32%	1%	33%	33%	—%

Cost of License Revenues

Our cost of license revenues consists primarily of software royalties, product packaging, documentation, and production costs. Cost of license revenues was \$0.9 million (or 2% of license revenues) for both of the three-month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007. Cost of license revenues decreased to \$1.6 million (or 2% of license revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2008 from \$1.7 million (or 2% of license revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The decrease of \$0.1 million (or 9%) in cost of license revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the same period in 2007, was due to the smaller proportion of royalty based products being shipped during the six months ended June 30, 2008.

For the remainder of 2008, we expect the cost of license revenues as a percentage of license revenues to be relatively consistent with or slightly higher than in the first two quarters of 2008.

Cost of Service Revenues

Our cost of service revenues is a combination of costs of maintenance, consulting, and education revenues. Our cost of maintenance revenues consists primarily of costs associated with customer service personnel expenses and royalty fees for maintenance related to third-party software providers. Cost of consulting revenues consists primarily of personnel costs and expenses incurred in providing consulting services at customers' facilities. Cost of education revenues consists primarily of the costs of providing education classes and materials at our headquarters, sales and training offices, and customer locations. Cost of service revenues increased to \$21.4 million (or 33% of service revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2008 from \$16.9 million (or 32% of service revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2007. The increase of \$4.5 million (or 26%) for the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the same period in 2007, was primarily due to headcount growth in the customer support, professional

services, and education service groups, and higher subcontractor fees in the consulting services group. Cost of service revenues increased to \$41.2 million (or 33% of service revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2008 from \$33.3 million (or 33% of service revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increase of \$7.9 million (or 24%) for the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the same period in 2007, was primarily due to headcount growth in the customer support, professional services, and education services groups, and higher subcontractor fees in the consulting services group. For the remainder of 2008, we expect our cost of service revenues as a percentage of service revenues to be relatively consistent with the first and second quarters of 2008, or increase slightly from the current levels.

Table of Contents

Amortization of Acquired Technology

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, our amortization of acquired technology (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2008	2007	Change	2008	2007	Change
Amortization of acquired technology	\$ 951	\$ 727	31%	\$ 1,571	\$ 1,449	8%

Amortization of acquired technology is the amortization of technologies acquired through business acquisitions and technology licenses. Amortization of acquired technology increased to \$1.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to \$0.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007. Amortization of acquired technology increased to \$1.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to \$1.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increases of \$0.3 million (or 31%) and \$0.2 million (or 8%) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively compared to the same periods in the prior year, is the result of amortization of certain technologies that we acquired in May 2008 in connection with the Identity Systems acquisition, offset by certain technologies related to the Striva acquisition that were fully amortized as of December 31, 2007. We expect amortization of other acquired technology to be approximately \$2.6 million for the remainder of 2008, assuming we do not make any additional acquisitions in the future.

Operating Expenses

Research and Development

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, our research and development expenses (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2008	2007	Change	2008	2007	Change
Research and development	\$ 18,497	\$ 16,949	9%	\$ 36,221	\$ 34,973	4%

Our research and development expenses consist primarily of salaries and other personnel-related expenses, consulting services, facilities, and related overhead costs associated with the development of new products, the enhancement and localization of existing products, and quality assurance and development of documentation for our products. Research and development expenses increased to \$18.5 million (or 16% of total revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to \$16.9 million (or 18% of total revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2007. The \$1.6 million (or 9%) increase for the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the same period in 2007, was due to a \$2.3 million increase in personnel-related costs offset by a \$0.6 million reduction in outside services. Research and development expenses increased to \$36.2 million (or 17% of total revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to \$35.0 million (or 19% of total revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increase of \$1.2 million (or 4%) for the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the same period in 2007, was due to personnel-related costs, which increased by \$4.2 million offset by a reduction in legal fees associated with patent litigation and consulting services of \$3.0 million. All of our software development costs have been expensed in the period incurred since the costs incurred subsequent to the establishment of technological feasibility have not been significant. The research and development expenses as percentage of total revenues declined by 2% for both three and six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the same periods in 2007, mainly due to benefits of scale as our

revenues have increased proportionately more than our research and development expenses. For the remainder of 2008, we expect the research and development expenses as a percentage of total revenues to be relatively consistent with or slightly decrease from the first and second quarters of 2008.

Sales and Marketing

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, our sales and marketing expenses (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2008	2007	Change	2008	2007	Change
Sales and marketing	\$ 45,966	\$ 39,103	18%	\$ 88,753	\$ 74,214	20%

Our sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of personnel costs, including commissions, as well as costs of public relations, seminars, marketing programs, lead generation, travel, and trade shows. Sales and marketing expenses increased to \$46.0 million (or 40% of total revenues)

Table of Contents

for the three months ended June 30, 2008 from \$39.1 million (or 41% of total revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2007. The \$6.9 million (or 18%) increase for the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the same period in 2007, was primarily due to a \$6.3 million increase in personnel-related costs, as a result of an increase in headcount from 448 in June 2007 to 552 in June 2008. Also contributing to this increase was a \$0.6 million increase in marketing program spending. Sales and marketing expenses increased to \$88.8 million (or 41% of total revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2008 from \$74.2 million (or 41% of total revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increase of \$14.6 million (or 20%) for the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the same period in 2007, was primarily due to a \$14.3 million increase in personnel-related costs (including sales commissions) and due to an increase in headcount. Also contributing to the increase was a \$0.7 million increase in marketing program spending offset by a \$0.4 million decrease in share-based payments. The sales and marketing expenses as percentage of total revenues declined by 1% for the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the same period in 2007, mainly due to benefits of scale as our revenues have increased proportionately more than our sales and marketing expenses. For the remainder of 2008, we expect sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of total revenues to decrease slightly from the first and second quarters of 2008.

General and Administrative

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, our general and administrative expenses (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2008	2007	Change	2008	2007	Change
General and administrative	\$ 9,146	\$ 9,134		—% 17,515	\$ 16,859	4%

Our general and administrative expenses consist primarily of personnel costs for finance, human resources, legal, and general management, as well as professional service expenses associated with recruiting, legal and accounting services. General and administrative expenses remained flat at \$9.1 million (or 8% of total revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to \$9.1 million (or 10% of total revenues) for the three months ended June 30, 2007. General and administrative expenses increased to \$17.5 million (or 8% of total revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to \$16.9 million (or 9% of total revenues) for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increase of \$0.6 million (or 4%) for the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the same period in 2007, was primarily due to an increase of \$1.3 million in personnel related costs due to headcount increase offset by a \$0.8 million decrease in outside services. The general and administrative expenses as percentage of total revenues declined by 2% and 1% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2007, mainly due to benefits of scale as our revenues have increased proportionately more than our general and administrative expenses. For the remainder of 2008, we expect general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of total revenues, to remain relatively consistent with the first and second quarters of 2008.

Amortization of Intangible Assets

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, our amortization of intangible assets (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2008	2007	Change	2008	2007	Change
	\$ 993	\$ 362	174%	\$ 1,355	\$ 718	89%

Amortization of intangible
assets

Amortization of intangible assets is the amortization of customer relationships acquired, trade names, and covenants not to compete through business acquisitions. Amortization of intangible assets increased to \$1.0 million and \$1.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively from \$0.4 million and \$0.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively. The increase of \$0.6 million and \$0.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively compared to the same periods in 2007 was due to certain customer relationships acquired in May 2008 because of the Identity Systems acquisition. We expect amortization of the remaining intangible assets to be approximately \$2.9 million for the remainder of 2008, assuming we do not make any additional acquisitions in the future.

Table of Contents

Facilities Restructuring Charges

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, our facilities restructuring and excess facilities charges (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2008	2007	Change	2008	2007	Change
Facilities restructuring charges	\$ 921	\$ 1,026	(10)%	\$ 1,868	\$ 2,075	(10)%

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, we recorded \$0.9 million and \$1.8 million of restructuring charges from accretion charges related to the 2004 Restructuring Plan, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, we recorded \$1.0 million and \$2.1 million of restructuring charges from accretion charges related to the 2004 Restructuring Plan, respectively.

As of June 30, 2008, \$70.0 million of total lease termination costs, net of actual and expected sublease income, less broker commissions and tenant improvement costs related to facilities to be subleased, was included in accrued restructuring charges and is expected to be paid by 2013.

2004 Restructuring Plan

Net cash payments related to the consolidation of excess facilities under the 2004 Restructuring Plan amounted to \$3.3 million and \$3.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively and \$5.3 million and \$6.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Actual future cash requirements may differ from the restructuring liability balances as of June 30, 2008 if there are changes to the time period that facilities are expected to be vacant or if the actual sublease income differs from our current estimates.

2001 Restructuring Plan

Net cash payments related to the consolidation of excess facilities under the 2001 Restructuring Plan amounted to \$0.4 million and \$0.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively and \$0.7 million and \$1.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Actual future cash requirements may differ from the restructuring liability balances as of June 30, 2008 if there are changes to the time period that facilities are vacant or the actual sublease income is different from current estimates.

In addition, we will continue to evaluate our current facilities requirements to identify facilities that are in excess of our current and estimated future needs. We will also evaluate the assumptions related to estimated future sublease income for excess facilities. Accordingly, any changes to these estimates of excess facilities costs could result in additional charges that could materially affect our consolidated financial position and results of operations. See Note 7. Facilities Restructuring Charges, of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Report.

Purchased In-Process Research and Development

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, our purchased in-process research and development (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2008	2007	Change	2008	2007	Change

Purchased in-process research and development	\$	390	\$	—	*	\$	390	\$	—	*
---	----	-----	----	---	---	----	-----	----	---	---

* Percentage is not meaningful

In the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, in conjunction with our acquisition of Identity Systems, we recorded in-process research and development (IPR&D) charges of \$0.4 million. The IPR&D charges were associated with software development efforts in process at the time of the business combination that had not yet achieved technological feasibility and no future alternative uses had been identified. We may further incur IPR&D charges if we make additional acquisitions in the future.

Table of Contents

Interest Income, Expense and Other

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, our interest income, expense and other (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2008	2007	Change	2008	2007	Change
Interest income	\$ 3,650	\$ 5,371	(32)%	\$ 8,507	\$ 10,420	(18)%
Interest expense	(1,799)	(1,796)	—%	(3,601)	(3,600)	—%
Other income (expense), net	(86)	(218)	(61)%	417	(304)	(237)%
	\$ 1,765	\$ 3,357	(47)%	\$ 5,323	\$ 6,516	(18)%

Interest income, expense and other consist primarily of interest income earned on our cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments, and restricted cash; interest expense; and gains and losses on foreign exchange transactions. The decrease of \$1.6 million (or 47%) in the three months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the same period in 2007, was primarily due to a \$1.7 million decrease in interest income due to lower investment yields. The decrease of \$1.2 million (or 18%) in the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the same period in 2007, was primarily due to a \$1.9 million decrease in interest income received from lower investment yields and \$0.2 million loss on liquidation of a branch office, which was partially offset by an increase of \$1.0 million in foreign exchange gains. We currently do not engage in any foreign currency hedging activities and, therefore, are susceptible to fluctuations in foreign exchange gains or losses in our results of operations in future reporting periods. As interest rates continue to decline, we expect our interest income to decline accordingly.

Income Tax Provision

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, our provision for income taxes (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2008	2007	Change	2008	2007	Change
Provision for income taxes	\$ 4,881	\$ 1,974	147%	\$ 9,638	\$ 3,047	216%
Effective tax rate	29.8%	15.9%	13.9%	29.8%	13.5%	16.3%

In the quarter ended September 30, 2007, the Company released its valuation allowance for its non-stock option related deferred tax assets. The remaining valuation allowance is related to Informatica's stock option deferred tax assets. The benefit of these deferred tax assets will be recorded in the stockholders' equity as realized, and as such, they will not reduce the Company's effective tax rate. Prior to September 30, 2007, the Company's effective tax rate was primarily based on federal alternative minimum taxes, state minimum taxes, and income and withholding taxes attributable to foreign operations. Our effective tax rate was 29.8% and 15.9% for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and 29.8% and 13.5% for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The effective tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 differed from the federal statutory rate of 35% primarily due to the non-deductibility of share-based payments, as well as the accrual of reserves, related to uncertain tax positions offset by the tax rate benefits of certain earnings from our operations in lower-tax jurisdictions throughout the world. We have not provided for residual U.S. taxes in any of these jurisdictions since we intend to reinvest such earnings indefinitely. As discussed above, the 15.9% and 13.5% effective tax rates for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively represented primarily federal alternative minimum taxes, state minimum taxes, and income and withholding taxes attributable to foreign operations.

The FIN No. 48 unrecognized tax benefits, if recognized, would impact our income tax provision by \$6.8 million and \$6.0 million as of June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. We have elected to include interest and penalties as a component of tax expense. Accrued interest and penalties at June 30, 2008 and 2007 were approximately \$484,000 and \$225,000, respectively. We do not anticipate that the amount of existing unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase or decrease within the next 12 months.

We expect to maintain an effective tax rate close to what was experienced in the first and second quarters of 2008 for the remainder of 2008. Our effective tax rate is generally lower than our statutory tax rate of 35% due to lower tax rates applicable to foreign jurisdictions and domestic tax credits.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have funded our operations primarily through cash flows from operations and public offerings of our common stock. As of June 30, 2008, we had \$462.4 million in available cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments.

Our primary sources of cash are the collection of accounts receivable from our customers and proceeds from the exercise of stock options and sales of our common stock under our employee stock purchase plan. Our uses of cash include payroll and payroll-related expenses and operating expenses such as marketing programs, travel, professional services, and facilities and related costs. We have also used cash to purchase property and equipment, repurchase common stock from the open market, and acquire businesses and technologies to expand our product offerings.

Operating Activities: Cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2008 was \$41.8 million, representing an increase of \$7.8 million from the six months ended June 30, 2007. This increase primarily resulted from \$3.2 million increase in net income, after adjusting for non-cash expenses, an increase in cash collections against accounts receivable, and an increase in accounts payable, offset by payments to reduce our accrual for excess facilities, excess tax benefits from share-based payments, and accrued liabilities. We were able to recognize the excess tax benefits from share-based payments for \$4.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008. This amount is recorded as a use of operating activities and an offsetting amount is recorded as a provision by financing activities. We made cash payments for taxes in different jurisdictions for \$21.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008. Our “Days Sales Outstanding” in accounts receivable decreased from 53 days at June 30, 2007 to 50 days at June 30, 2008. Days outstanding at June 30, 2008 were primarily impacted by improvements to our collection efforts and also due to more revenue booked and invoiced during the first month of the second quarter of 2008 compared to the same period in 2007. Cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$34.0 million, primarily resulting from our net income, after adjusting for non-cash expenses, an increase in cash collections against accounts receivable, and an increase in accounts payable, offset by payments to reduce our accrual for excess facilities, and accrued liabilities. Our operating cash flows will also be impacted in the future by the timing of payments to our vendors and payments for taxes.

Investing Activities: We acquire property and equipment in the normal course of our business. The amount and timing of these purchases and the related cash outflows in future periods depend on a number of factors, including the hiring of employees, the rate of upgrade of computer hardware and software used in our business, as well as our business outlook. We have classified our investment portfolio as “available for sale,” and our investment objectives are to preserve principal and provide liquidity while maximizing yields without significantly increasing risk. We may sell an investment at any time if the quality rating of the investment declines, the yield on the investment is no longer attractive, or we need additional cash. Since we invest only in money market funds and short-term marketable securities, we believe that the purchase, maturity, or sale of our investments has no material impact on our overall liquidity. We have used cash to acquire businesses and technologies that enhance and expand our product offerings, and we anticipate that we will continue to do so in the future. The nature of these transactions makes it difficult to predict the amount and timing of such cash requirements. In March 2008, we invested \$3.0 million in the preferred stock of a privately held company that we will account for on a cost basis. On May 15, 2008, we acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of Identity Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Intellisync Corporation, for \$85.6 million in cash, including transaction costs of \$0.9 million and acquired cash of \$5.8 million. As of June 2008, we are no longer required to maintain certificates of deposits for the \$12.0 million letter of credit that a financial institution issued in 2001 for our former corporate headquarters leases at the Pacific Shores Center in Redwood City, California. Accordingly, we classified accordingly the release of such restricted cash associated with these certificates of deposits from investing activities to operating activities.

Financing Activities: We receive cash from the exercise of common stock options and the sale of common stock under our employee stock purchase plan (“ESPP”). Net cash provided by financing activities for the six months ended June 30,

2008 was \$7.3 million due to the issuance of common stock to option holders and to participants of our ESPP program for \$18.8 million, and \$4.4 million of excess tax benefits from share-based payments which were partially offset by a \$15.8 million repurchase and retirement of common stock. Net cash provided by financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$9.4 million due to the issuance of common stock to option holders and to participants of our ESPP program for \$15.3 million partially offset by a \$6.0 million repurchase and retirement of common stock. Although we expect to continue to receive some proceeds from the issuance of common stock to option holders and participants of ESPP in future periods, the timing and amount of such proceeds are difficult to predict and are contingent on a number of factors, including the price of our common stock, the number of employees participating in our stock option plans and our employee stock purchase plan, and overall market conditions.

In March 2006, we issued and sold convertible senior notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$230 million due in 2026 (“Notes”). We used approximately \$50 million of the net proceeds from the offering to fund the purchase of 3,232,000 shares of our common stock concurrently with the offering of the Notes. We intend to use the balance of the net proceeds for working capital and general corporate purposes, which may include the acquisition of businesses, products, product rights or technologies, strategic investments, or additional purchases of common stock.

37

Table of Contents

In April 2006, Informatica's Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program for a one-year period for up to \$30 million of our common stock. As of April 30, 2007, we repurchased 2,238,000 shares at a cost of \$30 million.

In April 2007, our Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program for up to an additional \$50 million of our common stock. Further, in April 2008, our Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program for up to an additional \$75 million of our common stock. Purchases can be made from time to time in the open market and will be funded from our available cash. The primary purpose of these programs is to enhance shareholder value, including partially offsetting the dilutive impact of stock based incentive plans. The number of shares to be purchased and the timing of purchases are based on several factors, including the price of our common stock, our liquidity and working capital needs, general business and market conditions, and other investment opportunities. These repurchased shares will be retired and reclassified as authorized and unissued shares of common stock. See Part II, Item 2 of this Report for more information regarding the stock repurchase program. As of June 30, 2008, we have repurchased 2,795,000 shares at cost of \$43.4 million (under the 2007 approval), including 576,000 shares at a cost of \$9.5 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008. We have \$6.6 million remaining available to repurchase shares under the April 2006 approval as of June 30, 2008. We have not used any portion of the \$75 million authorized for repurchases under the April 2008 approval as of June 30, 2008. Neither of these two repurchase programs have an expiration date.

We believe that our cash balances and the cash flows generated by operations will be sufficient to satisfy our anticipated cash needs for working capital and capital expenditures for at least the next 12 months. Given our cash balances, it is less likely but still possible that we may require or desire additional funds for purposes, such as acquisitions, and may raise such additional funds through public or private equity or debt financing or from other sources. We may not be able to obtain adequate or favorable financing at that time, and any financing we obtain might be dilutive to our stockholders.

Letters of Credit

In 2001, a financial institution issued a \$12.0 million letter of credit which required us to maintain certificates of deposit as collateral until the leases expire in 2013. As of June 2008, however, we are no longer required to maintain certificates of deposits for this letter of credit related to our former corporate headquarters leases at the Pacific Shores Center in Redwood City, California.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our significant contractual obligations, including future minimum lease payments as of June 30, 2008, under non-cancelable operating leases with original terms in excess of one year, and the effect of such obligations on our liquidity and cash flows in the future periods (in thousands):

	Payment Due by Period				
	Total	Remaining 2008	2009 and 2010	2011 and 2012	2013 and Beyond
Operating lease obligations:					
Operating lease payments	\$ 112,733	\$ 12,323	\$ 48,980	\$ 38,756	\$ 12,674
Future sublease income	(9,501)	(1,496)	(1,938)	(4,722)	(1,345)
Net operating lease obligations	103,232	10,827	47,042	34,034	11,329
Debt obligations:					
Principal payments *	230,000	—	—	—	230,000
Interest payments	124,200	3,450	13,800	13,800	93,150
Other obligations **	300	300	—	—	—

\$ 457,732 \$ 14,577 \$ 60,842 \$ 47,834 \$ 334,479

-
- * Holders of the Notes may require us to repurchase all or a portion of their Notes at a purchase price in cash equal to the full principle amount of the Notes plus any accrued and unpaid interest on March 15, 2011, March 15, 2016, and March 15, 2021, or upon the occurrence of certain events including a change in control. We have the right to redeem some or all of the Notes after March 15, 2011.
 - ** Other purchase obligations and commitments include minimum royalty payments under license agreements and do not include purchase obligations discussed below.

Table of Contents

Our contractual obligations for 2008 include the lease term for our headquarters office in Redwood City, California, which is from December 15, 2004 to December 31, 2010. Minimum contractual lease payments are \$1.9 million for the remainder of 2008, and \$4.0 million, and \$4.2 million for the years ending December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively.

The information also excludes the \$6.8 million of unrecognized FIN No. 48 tax benefits discussed in Note 8. Income Taxes, of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Report because it is not possible to estimate the time period that it might be paid to tax authorities.

Purchase orders or contracts for the purchase of certain goods and services are not included in the preceding table. We cannot determine the aggregate amount of such purchase orders that represent contractual obligations because purchase orders may represent authorizations to purchase rather than binding agreements. For the purposes of this table, contractual obligations for purchase of goods or services are defined as agreements that are enforceable and legally binding and that specify all significant terms, including fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum, or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction. Our purchase orders are based on our current needs and are fulfilled by our vendors within short time horizons. We also enter into contracts for outsourced services; however, the obligations under these contracts were not significant and the contracts generally contain clauses allowing for cancellation without significant penalty. Contractual obligations that are contingent upon the achievement of certain milestones are not included in the table above.

We base our estimates of the expected timing of payment of the obligations discussed above on current information. Timing of payments and actual amounts paid may be different depending on the time of receipt of goods or services or changes to agreed-upon amounts for some obligations.

Operating Leases

We lease certain office facilities and equipment under non-cancelable operating leases. During 2004, we recorded restructuring charges related to the consolidation of excess leased facilities in Redwood City, California. Operating lease payments in the table above include approximately \$84.4 million, net of actual sublease income, for operating lease commitments for those facilities that are included in restructuring charges. See Note 7. Facilities Restructuring Charges, and Note 10. Commitments and Contingencies, of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Report.

We have \$70.0 million in the restructuring and excess facilities accrual at June 30, 2008. This includes a minimum lease payment of \$84.4 million, plus estimated operating expenses of \$15.9 million, less estimated sublease income of \$20.6 million, and less the present value impact of \$9.7 million recorded in accordance with SFAS No. 146. Our sublease income assumptions are based on existing sublease agreements and current market conditions and other factors. Our estimates of sublease income for periods following the expiration of our sublease agreements may vary significantly from actual amounts realized depending, in part, on factors that may be beyond our control, such as the time periods required to locate and contract suitable subleases and the market rates at the time of such subleases.

In relation to our excess facilities, we may decide to negotiate and enter into lease termination agreements, if and when the circumstances are appropriate. These lease termination agreements would likely require that a significant amount of the remaining future lease payments be paid at the time of execution of the agreement, but would release us from future lease payment obligations for the abandoned facility. The timing of a lease termination agreement and the corresponding payment could materially affect our cash flows in the period of payment.

The expected timing of payment of the obligations discussed above is estimated based on current information. Timing of payments and actual amounts paid may be different.

We have sublease agreements for leased office space at the Pacific Shores Center in Redwood City, California. In the event the sublessees are unable to fulfill their obligations, we would be responsible for rent due under the leases. We expect at this time that the sublessees will fulfill their obligations under the terms of the current lease agreements.

In February 2000, we entered into two lease agreements for two buildings in Redwood City, California (our former corporate headquarters), which we occupied from August 2001 through December 2004. These two lease agreements will expire in July 2013.

Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance-sheet financing arrangements or transactions, or relationships with “special purpose entities.”

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

All market risk sensitive instruments were entered into for non-trading purposes. We do not use derivative financial instruments.

Interest Rate Risk

Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to our investment portfolio. We do not use derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio. The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal while maximizing yields without significantly increasing risk. Our investment policy specifies credit quality standards for our investments and limits the amount of credit exposure to any single issue, issuer, or type of investment. Our investments consist primarily of U.S. government notes and bonds, corporate bonds, commercial paper, and municipal securities. All investments are carried at market value, which approximates cost.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, the average annual rate of return on our investments was 3.6%. Our cash equivalents and short-term investments are subject to interest rate risk and will decline in value if market interest rates increase. As of June 30, 2008, we had net unrealized before tax gains of \$0.4 million associated with these securities. If market interest rates were to increase immediately and uniformly by 100 basis points from levels as of June 30, 2008, the fair market value of the portfolio would change by approximately \$1.1 million. We have the ability to hold our investments until maturity and, therefore, we would not necessarily expect to realize an adverse impact on income or cash flows.

Foreign Currency Risk

We market and sell our software and services through our direct sales force and indirect channel partners in North America, Europe, Asia-Pacific, and Latin America. Accordingly, we are subject to exposure from adverse movements in foreign currency exchange rates. To date, the net effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates on our net income has not been material. Operating expenses incurred by our foreign subsidiaries are denominated primarily in local currencies. We currently do not use financial instruments to hedge these operating expenses. We will continue to assess the need to utilize financial instruments to hedge currency exposures on an ongoing basis.

The functional currency of our foreign subsidiaries is their local currency, except for Informatica Cayman Ltd., which is in euros. Our exposure to foreign exchange risk is related to the magnitude of foreign net profits and losses denominated in foreign currencies, in particular the euro and British pound, as well as our net position of monetary assets and monetary liabilities in those foreign currencies. These exposures have the potential to produce either gains or losses within our consolidated results. Our foreign operations, however, in most instances act as a natural hedge since both operating expenses as well as revenues are generally denominated in their respective local currency. In these instances, although an unfavorable change in the exchange rate of foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar will result in lower revenues when translated into U.S. dollars, the operating expenditures will be lower as well. We do not use derivative financial instruments for speculative trading purposes.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Our management evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act

of 1934 (i) is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to Informatica's management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management. Our disclosure controls and procedures include components of our internal control over financial reporting. Management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting is expressed at the level of reasonable assurance because a control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. There was no change in our system of internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended June 30, 2008 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On November 8, 2001, a purported securities class action complaint was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The case is entitled *In re Informatica Corporation Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, Civ. No. 01-9922 (SAS) (S.D.N.Y.), related to *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, 21 MC 92 (SAS) (S.D.N.Y.). Plaintiffs' amended complaint was brought purportedly on behalf of all persons who purchased our common stock from April 29, 1999 through December 6, 2000. It names as defendants Informatica Corporation, two of our former officers (the "Informatica defendants"), and several investment banking firms that served as underwriters of our April 29, 1999 initial public offering and September 28, 2000 follow-on public offering. The complaint alleges liability as to all defendants under Sections 11 and/or 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Sections 10(b) and/or 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, on the grounds that the registration statements for the offerings did not disclose that: (1) the underwriters had agreed to allow certain customers to purchase shares in the offerings in exchange for excess commissions paid to the underwriters; and (2) the underwriters had arranged for certain customers to purchase additional shares in the aftermarket at predetermined prices. The complaint also alleges that false analyst reports were issued. No specific damages are claimed.

Similar allegations were made in other lawsuits challenging over 300 other initial public offerings and follow-on offerings conducted in 1999 and 2000. The cases were consolidated for pretrial purposes. On February 19, 2003, the Court ruled on all defendants' motions to dismiss. The Court denied the motions to dismiss the claims under the Securities Act of 1933. The Court denied the motion to dismiss the Section 10(b) claim against Informatica and 184 other issuer defendants. The Court denied the motion to dismiss the Section 10(b) and 20(a) claims against the Informatica defendants and 62 other individual defendants.

We accepted a settlement proposal presented to all issuer defendants. In this settlement, plaintiffs will dismiss and release all claims against the Informatica defendants, in exchange for a contingent payment by the insurance companies collectively responsible for insuring the issuers in all of the IPO cases, and for the assignment or surrender of control of certain claims we may have against the underwriters. The Informatica defendants will not be required to make any cash payments in the settlement, unless the pro rata amount paid by the insurers in the settlement exceeds the amount of the insurance coverage, a circumstance which we do not believe will occur. Any final settlement will require approval of the Court after class members are given the opportunity to object to the settlement or opt out of the settlement.

In September 2005, the Court granted preliminary approval of the settlement. The Court held a hearing to consider final approval of the settlement on April 24, 2006, and took the matter under submission. In the interim, the Second Circuit reversed the class certification of plaintiffs' claims against the underwriters. *Miles v. Merrill Lynch & Co.* (*In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*), 471 F.3d 24 (2d Cir. 2006). On April 6, 2007, the Second Circuit denied plaintiffs' petition for rehearing, but clarified that the plaintiffs may seek to certify a more limited class in the district court. Accordingly, the parties withdrew the prior settlement, and plaintiffs filed amended complaints in focus or test cases in an attempt to comply with the Second Circuit's ruling. On March 26, 2008, the District Court issued an order granting in part motions to dismiss the amended complaints in the focus cases, on substantially the same grounds as its February 2003 ruling on the prior motion to dismiss.

On July 15, 2002, we filed a patent infringement action in U.S. District Court in Northern California against Acta Technology, Inc. ("Acta"), now known as Business Objects Data Integration, Inc. ("BODI"), asserting that certain Acta products infringe on three of our patents: U.S. Patent No. 6,014,670, entitled "Apparatus and Method for Performing Data Transformations in Data Warehousing," U.S. Patent No. 6,339,775, entitled "Apparatus and Method for

Performing Data Transformations in Data Warehousing” (this patent is a continuation in part of and claims the benefit of U.S. Patent No. 6,014,670), and U.S. Patent No. 6,208,990, entitled “Method and Architecture for Automated Optimization of ETL Throughput in Data Warehousing Applications.” ” In the suit, we sought an injunction against future sales of the infringing Acta/BODI products, as well as damages for past sales of the infringing products. On February 26, 2007, as stipulated by both parties, the Court dismissed the infringement claims on U.S. Patent No. 6,208,990 as well as BODI’s counterclaims on this patent.

The trial began on March 12, 2007 on the two remaining patents (U.S. Patent No. 6,014,670 and U.S. Patent No. 6,339,775) originally asserted in 2002 and a verdict was reached on April 2, 2007. During the trial, the judge determined that, as a matter of law, BODI and its customers’ use of the Acta/BODI products infringe on our asserted patents. The jury unanimously determined that our patents are valid, that BODI’s infringement on our patents was done willfully and that a reasonable royalty for BODI’s infringement is \$25.2 million. On May 16, 2007, the judge issued a permanent injunction preventing BODI from shipping the infringing technology now and in the future.

Table of Contents

As a result of post-trial motions, the judge has asked the parties to brief the issue of whether the damages award should be reduced in light of the United States Supreme Court's April 30, 2007 AT&T Corp. v. Microsoft Corp. decision (which examines the territorial reach of U.S. patents). The post-trial motions filed focused on the amount of damages awarded and did not alter the jury's determination of validity or willful infringement or the judge's grant of the permanent injunction. The court issued and we accepted a damage award of \$12.2 million in light of AT&T Corp. v. Microsoft Corp. On October 29, 2007, the court entered final judgment on the case for that amount and on December 18, 2007, the Court awarded us an additional amount of \$1.7 million for prejudgment interest. On November 28, 2007, BODI filed its Notice of Appeal and on December 12, 2007, we filed our Notice of Cross Appeal. The parties have filed appeal briefs, including responses and replies. Oral arguments on the appeal will likely be heard in late 2008 with a decision from the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit expected in late 2008 or early 2009. The permanent injunction remains in effect pending the appeal.

On August 21, 2007, Juxtacomm Technologies ("Juxtacomm") filed a complaint in the Eastern District of Texas against 21 defendants, including us, alleging patent infringement. We filed an answer to the complaint on October 10, 2007. It is our current assessment that our products do not infringe Juxtacomm's patent and that potentially the patent itself is invalid due to significant prior art. We intend to vigorously defend ourselves. This case is currently in the discovery phase.

We are also a party to various legal proceedings and claims arising from the normal course of business activities.

Based on current available information, we do not expect that the ultimate outcome of these unresolved matters, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows, or financial position. However, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties and our view of these matters may change in the future. Were an unfavorable outcome to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on our financial position and results of operation for the period in which the unfavorable outcome occurred, and potentially in future periods.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information contained in this Form 10-Q, we have identified the following risks and uncertainties that may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or results of operation. Investors should carefully consider the risks described below before making an investment decision. The trading price of our common stock could decline due to any of these risks, and investors may lose all or part of their investment. In assessing these risks, investors should also refer to the other information contained in our other SEC filings, including our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

If we do not compete effectively with companies selling data integration products, our revenues may not grow and could decline.

The market for our products is highly competitive, quickly evolving, and subject to rapidly changing technology. In addition, consolidation among vendors in the software industry continues at a rapid pace. Our competition consists of hand-coded, custom-built data integration solutions developed in-house by various companies in the industry segments that we target, as well as other vendors of integration software products, including Ab Initio, Business Objects (which acquired FirstLogic and was recently acquired by SAP), IBM (which acquired Ascential Software, DataMirror, and Cognos), Oracle (which acquired BEA Systems, Sunopsis, Hyperion Solutions and Siebel), SAS Institute, and certain other privately held companies. In the past, we have competed with business intelligence vendors that currently offer, or may develop, products with functionalities that compete with our products, such as Business Objects, and to a lesser degree, Cognos, and certain privately held companies. We also compete against certain

database and enterprise application vendors, which offer products that typically operate specifically with these competitors' proprietary databases. Such competitors include IBM, Microsoft, Oracle, and SAP. With regard to data quality, we compete against Business Objects, Trillium (which is part of Harte-Hanks), and SAS Institute, as well as various other privately held companies. Many of these competitors have longer operating histories, substantially greater financial, technical, marketing, or other resources, or greater name recognition than we do. Our competitors may be able to respond more quickly than we can to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements. Our current and potential competitors may develop and market new technologies that render our existing or future products obsolete, unmarketable, or less competitive.

We believe we currently compete on the basis of the breadth and depth of our products' functionality, as well as on the basis of price. We may have difficulty competing on the basis of price in circumstances where our competitors develop and market products with similar or superior functionality and pursue an aggressive pricing strategy or bundle data integration technology at no cost to the customer or at deeply discounted prices. These difficulties may increase as larger companies target the data integration market. As a result, increased competition and bundling strategies could seriously impede our ability to sell additional products and services on terms favorable to us.

Table of Contents

Our current and potential competitors may make strategic acquisitions, consolidate their operations, or establish cooperative relationships among themselves or with other solution providers, thereby increasing their ability to provide a broader suite of software products or solutions and more effectively address the needs of our prospective customers. Such acquisitions could cause customers to defer their purchasing decisions. Our current and potential competitors may establish or strengthen cooperative relationships with our current or future strategic partners, thereby limiting our ability to sell products through these channels. If any of this were to occur, our ability to market and sell our software products would be impaired. In addition, competitive pressures could reduce our market share or require us to reduce our prices, either of which could harm our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

New product introductions and product enhancements may impact market acceptance of our products and affect our results of operations.

We believe that the introduction and market acceptance of new products and enhancement of existing products are important to our continued success. New product introductions and/or enhancements have inherent risks including, but not limited to, product availability, product quality and interoperability, and customer adoption or the delay in customer purchases. [- In March 2007, we launched Information On Demand Data Replicator, a multi-tenant, on-demand service for cross-enterprise data integration. In September 2007, we announced a new Informatica On Demand service: Informatica Data Quality Assessment for salesforce.com which uses pre-defined rules to identify missing, invalid, and duplicate data. In October 2007, we delivered the generally available release of PowerCenter 8.5, PowerExchange 8.5, and Informatica Data Quality 8.5. In June 2008, we delivered the generally available version of PowerCenter 8.6, PowerExchange 8.6, and Informatica Data Quality 8.6 and the Informatica On Demand Data Loader, a version upgrade to our entire data integration platform. New product introductions and/or enhancements such as these have inherent risks, including but not limited to the following:

§ delay in completion, launch, delivery, or availability;

§ delay in customer purchases in anticipation of new products not yet released;

§ product quality issues, including the possibility of defects;

§ market confusion based on changes to the product packaging and pricing as a result of a new product release;

§ interoperability issues with third-party technologies;

§ loss of existing customers that choose a competitor's product instead of upgrading or migrating to the new product;
and

§ loss of maintenance revenues from existing customers that do not upgrade or migrate.

Given the risks associated with the introduction of new products, we cannot predict their impact on our overall sales and revenues.

We have experienced and could continue to experience fluctuations in our quarterly operating results, especially the amount of license revenues we recognize each quarter, and such fluctuations have caused and could cause our stock price to decline.

Our quarterly operating results, particularly our license revenues, have fluctuated in the past and may do so in the future. These fluctuations have caused our stock price to experience declines in the past and could cause our stock

price to significantly fluctuate or experience declines in the future. One of the reasons why our operating results have fluctuated is that our license revenues, which are primarily sold on a perpetual license basis, are not predictable with any significant degree of certainty and are vulnerable to short-term shifts in customer demand. Also, we could experience customer order deferrals in anticipation of future new product introductions or product enhancements, as well as a result of particular budgeting and purchase cycles of our customers. By comparison, our short-term expenses are relatively fixed and based in part on our expectations of future revenues.

Moreover, our backlog of license orders at the end of a given fiscal period has tended to vary. Historically, our backlog typically decreases from the prior quarter at the end of the first and third quarters and increases from the prior quarter at the end of the fourth quarter.

Furthermore, we generally recognize a substantial portion of our license revenues in the last month of each quarter and, sometimes, in the last few weeks of each quarter. As a result, we cannot predict the adverse impact caused by cancellations or delays in orders until the end of each quarter. Moreover, the likelihood of an adverse impact may be greater if we experience increased average transaction sizes due to a mix of relatively larger deals in our sales pipeline.

Table of Contents

We have expanded our international operations and have opened new sales offices in other countries. As a result of this international expansion, as well as the increase in our direct sales headcount in the United States, our sales and marketing expenses have increased. We expect these investments to increase our revenues, sales productivity, and eventually our profitability. However, if we experience an increase in sales personnel turnover, do not achieve expected increases in our sales pipeline, experience a decline in our sales pipeline conversion ratio, or do not achieve increases in productivity and efficiencies from our new sales personnel as they gain more experience, then we may not achieve our expected increases in revenue, sales productivity, and profitability. We have experienced some increases in revenue and sales productivity in the United States in the past few years. While in the past year, we have experienced increases in revenues and sales productivity internationally, we have not yet achieved the same level of sales productivity internationally as domestically.

Due to the difficulty we experience in predicting our quarterly license revenues, we believe that quarter-to-quarter comparisons of our operating results are not necessarily a good indication of our future performance. Furthermore, our future operating results could fail to meet the expectations of stock analysts and investors. If this happens, the price of our common stock could fall.

If we are unable to accurately forecast revenues, we may fail to meet stock analysts' and investors' expectations of our quarterly operating results, which could cause our stock price to decline.

We use a "pipeline" system, a common industry practice, to forecast sales and trends in our business. Our sales personnel monitor the status of all proposals, including the date when they estimate that a customer will make a purchase decision and the potential dollar amount of the sale. We aggregate these estimates periodically in order to generate a sales pipeline. We assess the pipeline at various points in time to look for trends in our business. While this pipeline analysis may provide us with some guidance in business planning and budgeting, these pipeline estimates are necessarily speculative and may not consistently correlate to revenues in a particular quarter or over a longer period of time. Additionally, because we have historically recognized a substantial portion of our license revenues in the last month of each quarter and sometimes in the last few weeks of each quarter, we may not be able to adjust our cost structure in a timely manner in response to variations in the conversion of the sales pipeline into license revenues. Any change in the conversion rate of the pipeline into customer sales or in the pipeline itself could cause us to improperly budget for future expenses that are in line with our expected future revenues, which would adversely affect our operating margins and results of operations and could cause the price of our common stock to decline.

We have experienced reduced sales pipeline and pipeline conversion rates in prior years, which have adversely affected the growth of our company and the price of our common stock.

In the past, we have experienced a reduced conversion rate of our overall license pipeline, primarily as a result of general economic slowdowns, which caused the amount of customer purchases to be reduced, deferred, or cancelled. As such, we have experienced uncertainty regarding our sales pipeline and our ability to convert potential sales of our products into revenue. We experienced an increase in the size of our sales pipeline and some increases in our pipeline conversion rate subsequent to 2005 as a result of our increased investment in sales personnel and a gradually improving IT spending environment. However, the size of our sales pipeline and our conversion rate are not consistent on a quarter-to-quarter basis. Our conversion rate declined in the third quarter of 2006, increased in the fourth quarter of 2006 and throughout 2007, and declined in the first half of 2008. If we are unable to continue to increase the size of our sales pipeline and our pipeline conversion rate, our results of operations could fail to meet the expectations of stock analysts and investors, which could cause the price of our common stock to decline.

Our international operations expose us to greater risks, including but not limited to those regarding intellectual property, collections, exchange rate fluctuations, and regulations, which could limit our future growth.

We have significant operations outside the United States, including software development centers in India, Ireland, Israel, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom, sales offices in Europe, including France, Germany, the Netherlands, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom, as well as in countries in Asia-Pacific, and customer support centers in India, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom. Additionally, since 2005 we have opened sales offices in Brazil, China, India, Italy, Japan, Mexico, South Korea, and Taiwan, and we plan to continue to expand our international operations in the Asia-Pacific market. Our international operations face numerous risks. For example, to sell our products in certain foreign countries, our products must be localized, that is, customized to meet local user needs and to meet the requirements of certain markets, particularly some in Asia, our product must be double-byte enabled. Developing internationalized versions of our products for foreign markets is difficult, requires us to incur additional expenses, and can take longer than we anticipate. We currently have limited experience in internationalizing products and in testing whether these internationalized products will be accepted in the target countries. We cannot ensure that our internationalization efforts will be successful.

Table of Contents

In addition, we have only a limited history of marketing, selling, and supporting our products and services internationally. As a result, we must hire and train experienced personnel to staff and manage our foreign operations. However, we have experienced difficulties in recruiting, training, managing, and retaining an international staff, in particular related to sales management and sales personnel, which have affected our ability to increase sales productivity, and related to turnover rates and wage inflation in India, which have increased costs. We may continue to experience such difficulties in the future.

We must also be able to enter into strategic distributor relationships with companies in certain international markets where we do not have a local presence. If we are not able to maintain successful strategic distributor relationships internationally or recruit additional companies to enter into strategic distributor relationships, our future success in these international markets could be limited.

Business practices in the international markets that we serve may differ from those in North America and may require us to include terms in our software license agreements, such as extended payment or warranty terms, or performance obligations that may require us to defer license revenues and recognize them ratably over the warranty term or contractual period of the agreement. Although historically we have infrequently entered into software license agreements that require ratable recognition of license revenue, we may enter into software license agreements in the future that may include non-standard terms related to payment, maintenance rates, warranties, or performance obligations.

Our software development centers in India, Ireland, Israel, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom also subject our business to certain risks, including:

- § greater difficulty in protecting our ownership rights to intellectual property developed in foreign countries, which may have laws that materially differ from those in the United States;
- § communication delays between our main development center in Redwood City, California and our development centers in India, Ireland, Israel, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom as a result of time zone differences, which may delay the development, testing, or release of new products;
- § greater difficulty in relocating existing trained development personnel and recruiting local experienced personnel, and the costs and expenses associated with such activities; and
- § increased expenses incurred in establishing and maintaining office space and equipment for the development centers.

Additionally, our international operations as a whole are subject to a number of risks, including the following:

- § greater risk of uncollectible accounts and longer collection cycles;
- § higher risk of unexpected changes in regulatory practices, tariffs, and tax laws and treaties;
- § greater risk of a failure of our foreign employees to comply with both U.S. and foreign laws, including antitrust regulations, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, and any trade regulations ensuring fair trade practices;
- § potential conflicts with our established distributors in countries in which we elect to establish a direct sales presence;

§ our limited experience in establishing a sales and marketing presence and the appropriate internal systems, processes, and controls in Asia-Pacific, especially China, Singapore, South Korea, and Taiwan;

§ fluctuations in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and foreign currencies in markets where we do business, if we continue to not engage in hedging activities; and

§ general economic and political conditions in these foreign markets.

For example, an increase in international sales would expose us to foreign currency fluctuations where an unfavorable change in the exchange rate of foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar would result in lower revenues when translated into U.S. dollars although operating expenditures would be lower as well. Historically, the effect of changes in foreign currency exchange rates on revenue and operating expenses has been immaterial. However, as our international operations grow, the effect of changes in the foreign currency exchange rates could be greater in terms of revenue and operating expenses. The potential effect can be magnified in those areas where we have development centers without commensurate revenue generation, such as Israel and India, to offset the impact of

Table of Contents

currency changes on operating expenses. These factors and other factors could harm our ability to gain future international revenues and, consequently, materially impact our business, results of operations, and financial condition. The expansion of our existing international operations and entry into additional international markets will require significant management attention and financial resources. Our failure to manage our international operations and the associated risks effectively could limit the future growth of our business.

If adverse changes in the U.S. or global economies negatively affect sales of our products and services, our operating results would be harmed, and the price of our common stock could decline.

As our business has grown, we have become increasingly subject to the risks arising from adverse changes in the domestic and global economies. We have experienced the adverse effect of economic slowdowns in the past, which resulted in a significant reduction in capital spending by our customers, as well as longer sales cycles, and the deferral or delay of purchases of our products.

Recent turmoil in the U.S. lending markets, the state of housing markets, rising fuel prices, increases in consumer price index, and other related factors are having an impact on the overall U.S. economy and thus the buying patterns of our customers and prospects. If the U.S. economy does not continue to grow or stabilize, our results of operations could be adversely affected and we could fail to meet the expectations of stock analysts and investors, which could cause the price of our common stock to decline.

Additionally, adverse changes in the U.S. economy could negatively affect our international markets. For example, it appears that changes in the U.S. economy are already having some negative effect on the European financial services sector. Further, if the economies of Europe and Asia-Pacific do not continue to grow or if there is an escalation in regional or global conflicts, we may fall short of our revenue expectations. Any economic slowdown could adversely affect our pipeline conversion rate, which could impact our ability to meet our revenue expectations. Although we are investing in Asia-Pacific, there are significant risks with overseas investments and our growth prospects in Asia-Pacific are uncertain. In addition, we could experience delays in the payment obligations of our worldwide reseller customers if they experience weakness in the end-user market, which would increase our credit risk exposure and harm our financial condition.

We rely on our relationships with our strategic partners. If we do not maintain and strengthen these relationships, our ability to generate revenue and control expenses could be adversely affected, which could cause a decline in the price of our common stock.

We believe that our ability to increase the sales of our products depends in part upon maintaining and strengthening relationships with our current strategic partners and any future strategic partners. In addition to our direct sales force, we rely on established relationships with a variety of strategic partners, such as systems integrators, resellers, and distributors, for marketing, licensing, implementing, and supporting our products in the United States and internationally. We also rely on relationships with strategic technology partners, such as enterprise application providers, database vendors, data quality vendors, and enterprise integrator vendors, for the promotion and implementation of our products. We have become a global OEM partner with Cognos (acquired by IBM), FAST (which was recently acquired by Microsoft), SAP, and Hyperion Solutions (acquired by Oracle) and have partnered with salesforce.com. We have also expanded and extended our OEM relationship with Oracle.

Our strategic partners offer products from several different companies, including, in some cases, products that compete with our products. We have limited control, if any, as to whether these strategic partners devote adequate resources to promoting, selling, and implementing our products as compared to our competitors' products.

Although our strategic partnership with IBM's Business Consulting Services group has been successful in the past, IBM's acquisition of Ascential Software, DataMirror and Cognos, has made it critical that we strengthen our relationships with our other strategic partners. Business Objects' acquisition of FirstLogic, a former strategic partner, and SAP's recent acquisition of Business Objects may also make such strengthening with other strategic partners more critical. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to strengthen our relationships with our strategic partners or that such relationships will be successful in generating additional revenue.

We may not be able to maintain our strategic partnerships or attract sufficient additional strategic partners who have the ability to market our products effectively, are qualified to provide timely and cost-effective customer support and service, or have the technical expertise and personnel resources necessary to implement our products for our customers. In particular, if our strategic partners do not devote sufficient resources to implement our products, we may incur substantial additional costs associated with hiring and training additional qualified technical personnel to implement solutions for our customers in a timely manner. Furthermore, our relationships with our strategic partners may not generate enough revenue to offset the significant resources used to develop these relationships. If we are unable to leverage the strength of our strategic partnerships to generate additional revenues, our revenues and the price of our common stock could decline.

Table of Contents

Although we believe we currently have adequate internal control over financial reporting, we are required to assess our internal control over financial reporting on an annual basis, and any future adverse results from such assessment could result in a loss of investor confidence in our financial reports and have an adverse effect on our stock price.

Pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (“SOX 404”), and the rules and regulations promulgated by the SEC to implement SOX 404, we are required to furnish an annual report in our Form 10-K regarding the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. The report’s assessment of our internal control over financial reporting as of the end of our fiscal year must include disclosure of any material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting identified by management.

Management’s assessment of internal control over financial reporting requires management to make subjective judgments and some of our judgments will be in areas that may be open to interpretation.

During the past few years, our organizational structure has increased in complexity. For example, we have expanded our presence in the Asia-Pacific region, where business practices can differ from those in other regions of the world and can create internal controls risks. To address potential risks, we recognize revenue on transactions derived in this region (except for direct sales in Japan and Australia) only when the cash has been received and all other revenue recognition criteria have been met. We also have provided business practices training to our sales teams. While our organizational structure has increased in complexity as a result of our international expansion, our capital structure has also increased in complexity as a result of the issuance of the Convertible Notes in March 2006. Finally, our reorganization of various foreign entities in April 2006, which required a change in some of our internal controls over financial reporting, and the assessment of the impact for our adoption of Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (“FIN 48”), further add to the reporting complexity and increase the potential risks of our ability to maintain the effectiveness of our internal controls. Overall, the combination of our increased complexity and the ever-increasing regulatory complexity make it more critical for us to attract and retain qualified and technically competent finance employees.

Although we currently believe our internal control over financial reporting is effective, the effectiveness of our internal controls in future periods is subject to the risk that our controls may become inadequate.

If we are unable to assert that our internal control over financial reporting is effective in any future period (or if our auditors are unable to provide an attestation report regarding the effectiveness of our internal controls, or qualify such report or fail to provide such report in a timely manner), we could lose investor confidence in the accuracy and completeness of our financial reports, which would have an adverse effect on our stock price.

As a result of our products’ lengthy sales cycles, our expected revenues are susceptible to fluctuations, which could cause us to fail to meet stock analysts’ and investors’ expectations, resulting in a decline in the price of our common stock.

Due to the expense, broad functionality, and company-wide deployment of our products, our customers’ decisions to purchase our products typically require the approval of their executive decision makers. In addition, we frequently must educate our potential customers about the full benefits of our products, which also can require significant time. This trend toward greater customer executive level involvement and customer education is likely to increase as we expand our market focus to broader data integration initiatives. Further, our sales cycle may lengthen as we continue to focus our sales efforts on large corporations. As a result of these factors, the length of time from our initial contact with a customer to the customer’s decision to purchase our products typically ranges from three to nine months. We are subject to a number of significant risks as a result of our lengthy sales cycle, including:

§ our customers' budgetary constraints and internal acceptance review procedures;

§ the timing of our customers' budget cycles;

§ the seasonality of technology purchases, which historically has resulted in stronger sales of our products in the fourth quarter of the year, especially when compared to lighter sales in the first quarter of the year;

§ our customers' concerns about the introduction of our products or new products from our competitors; or

§ potential downturns in general economic or political conditions that could occur during the sales cycle.

If our sales cycles lengthen unexpectedly, they could adversely affect the timing of our revenues or increase costs, which may independently cause fluctuations in our revenues and results of operations. Finally, if we are unsuccessful in closing sales of our products after spending significant funds and management resources, our operating margins and results of operations could be adversely impacted, and the price of our common stock could decline.

Table of Contents

If our products are unable to interoperate with hardware and software technologies developed and maintained by third parties that are not within our control, our ability to develop and sell our products to our customers could be adversely affected, which would result in harm to our business and operating results.

Our products are designed to interoperate with and provide access to a wide range of third-party developed and maintained hardware and software technologies, which are used by our customers. The future design and development plans of the third parties that maintain these technologies are not within our control and may not be in line with our future product development plans. We may also rely on such third parties, particularly certain third-party developers of database and application software products, to provide us with access to these technologies so that we can properly test and develop our products to interoperate with the third-party technologies. These third parties may in the future refuse or otherwise be unable to provide us with the necessary access to their technologies. In addition, these third parties may decide to design or develop their technologies in a manner that would not be interoperable with our own. The continued consolidation in the enterprise software market may heighten these risks. Furthermore, our expanding product line makes maintaining interoperability more difficult as various products may have different levels of interoperability and compatibility, which may change from version to version. If any of the situations described above were to occur, we would not be able to continue to market our products as interoperable with such third-party hardware and software, which could adversely affect our ability to successfully sell our products to our customers.

The loss of our key personnel, an increase in our sales force personnel turnover rate, or the inability to attract and retain additional personnel could adversely affect our ability to grow our company successfully and may negatively impact our results of operations.

We believe our success depends upon our ability to attract and retain highly skilled personnel and key members of our management team. We continue to experience changes in members of our senior management team. As new senior personnel join our company and become familiar with our business strategy and systems, their integration could result in some disruption to our ongoing operations.

In the past, we also experienced an increased level of turnover in our direct sales force. Such increase in the turnover rate impacted our ability to generate license revenues. Although we have hired replacements in our sales force and saw the pace of the turnover decrease in 2005 and 2006, we typically experience lower productivity from newly hired sales personnel for a period of 6 to 12 months. Turnover levels increased slightly in 2007, and improved in the first half of 2008. If we are unable to effectively train such new personnel, or if we experience an increase in the level of sales force turnover, our ability to generate license revenues may be negatively impacted.

In addition, we have experienced turnover in other areas of the business. As the market becomes increasingly competitive and the hiring becomes more difficult and costly, our personnel become more attractive to other companies. Our plan for continued growth requires us to add personnel to meet our growth objectives and places increased importance on our ability to attract, train, and retain new personnel. If we are unable to effectively attract and train new personnel, or if we continue to experience an increase in the level of turnover, our results of operations may be negatively impacted.

We currently do not have any key-man life insurance relating to our key personnel, and the employment of the key personnel in the United States is at will and not subject to employment contracts. We have relied on our ability to grant stock options as one mechanism for recruiting and retaining highly skilled talent. Accounting regulations requiring the expensing of stock options may impair our future ability to provide these incentives without incurring significant compensation costs. There can be no assurance that we will continue to successfully attract and retain key personnel.

If the market in which we sell our products and services does not grow as we anticipate, we may not be able to increase our revenues at an acceptable rate of growth, and the price of our common stock could decline.

The market for software products that enable more effective business decision making by helping companies aggregate and utilize data stored throughout an organization continues to change. Substantially all of our historical revenues have been attributable to the sales of products and services in the data warehousing market. While we believe that this market is still growing, we expect most of our growth to come from the emerging market for broader data integration, which includes migration, data consolidation, data synchronization, and single-view projects. The use of packaged software solutions to address the needs of the broader data integration market is relatively new and is still emerging. Additionally, we expect growth in the areas of data quality and on-demand (SaaS) offerings. Our potential customers may:

Table of Contents

§ not fully value the benefits of using our products;

§ not achieve favorable results using our products;

§ experience technical difficulties in implementing our products; or

§ use alternative methods to solve the problems addressed by our products.

If this market does not grow as we anticipate, we would not be able to sell as much of our software products and services as we currently expect, which could result in a decline in the price of our common stock.

We rely on the sale of a limited number of products, and if these products do not achieve broad market acceptance, our revenues would be adversely affected.

To date, substantially all of our revenues have been derived from our data integration products such as PowerCenter and PowerExchange and related services. We expect sales of our data integration software and related services to comprise substantially all of our revenues for the foreseeable future. If any of our products does not achieve market acceptance, our revenues and stock price could decrease. In particular, with the completion of our Similarity acquisition and our Itemfield acquisition, we intend to further integrate Similarity's data quality technology and Itemfield's data transformation technologies into our PowerCenter data integration product suite. Market acceptance for our current products, as well as our PowerCenter product with Similarity's data quality technology and Itemfield's data transformation technologies, could be affected if, among other things, competition substantially increases in the enterprise data integration market or transactional applications suppliers integrate their products to such a degree that the utility of the data integration functionality that our products provide is minimized or rendered unnecessary.

We may not be able to successfully manage the growth of our business if we are unable to improve our internal systems, processes, and controls.

We need to continue to improve our internal systems, processes, and controls to effectively (1) manage our operations and growth, including our international growth into new geographies, particularly the Asia-Pacific market, and (2) realign resources from time to time to more efficiently address market or product requirements. To the extent any realignment requires changes to our internal systems, processes, and controls or organizational structure, we could experience disruption in customer relationships, increases in cost, and increased employee turnover. In addition, we may not be able to successfully implement improvements to these systems, processes, and controls in an efficient or timely manner, and we may discover deficiencies in existing systems, processes, and controls. We have licensed technology from third parties to help us accomplish this objective. The support services available for such third-party technology may be negatively affected by mergers and consolidation in the software industry, and support services for such technology may not be available to us in the future. We may experience difficulties in managing improvements to our systems, processes, and controls or in connection with third-party software, which could disrupt existing customer relationships, causing us to lose customers, limit us to smaller deployments of our products, or increase our technical support costs.

The price of our common stock fluctuates as a result of factors other than our operating results, such as the actions of our competitors and securities analysts, as well as developments in our industry and changes in accounting rules.

The market price for our common stock has experienced significant fluctuations and may continue to fluctuate significantly. The market price for our common stock may be affected by a number of factors other than our operating results, including:

§ the announcement of new products or product enhancements by our competitors;

§ quarterly variations in our competitors' results of operations;

§ changes in earnings estimates and recommendations by securities analysts;

§ developments in our industry; and

§ changes in accounting rules.

After periods of volatility in the market price of a particular company's securities, securities class action litigation has often been brought against that particular company. We and certain of our former officers have been named as defendants in a purported class action complaint, which was filed on behalf of certain persons who purchased our common stock between April 29, 1999 and December 6, 2000. Such actions could cause the price of our common stock to decline.

Table of Contents

The recognition of share-based payments for employee stock option and employee stock purchase plans adversely impacts our results of operations.

The adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (“SFAS”) No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, has a significant adverse impact on our consolidated results of operations as it increases our operating expenses and reduces our operating income, net income, and earnings per share, all of which could result in a decline in the price of our common stock in the future. The effect of share-based payment on our operating income, net income, and earnings per share is not predictable as the underlying assumptions, including volatility, interest rate, and expected life, of the Black-Scholes-Merton model could vary over time. Further, our forfeiture rate might vary from quarter to quarter due to change in employee turnover.

We rely on a number of different distribution channels to sell and market our products. Any conflicts that we may experience within these various distribution channels could result in confusion for our customers and a decrease in revenue and operating margins.

We have a number of relationships with resellers, systems integrators, and distributors that assist us in obtaining broad market coverage for our products and services. Although our discount policies, sales commission structure, and reseller licensing programs are intended to support each distribution channel with a minimum level of channel conflicts, we may not be able to minimize these channel conflicts in the future. Any channel conflicts that we may experience could result in confusion for our customers and a decrease in revenue and operating margins.

Any significant defect in our products could cause us to lose revenue and expose us to product liability claims.

The software products we offer are inherently complex and, despite extensive testing and quality control, have in the past and may in the future contain errors or defects, especially when first introduced. These defects and errors could cause damage to our reputation, loss of revenue, product returns, order cancellations, or lack of market acceptance of our products. We have in the past and may in the future need to issue corrective releases of our software products to fix these defects or errors, which could require us to allocate significant customer support resources to address these problems.

Our license agreements with our customers typically contain provisions designed to limit our exposure to potential product liability claims. However, the limitation of liability provisions contained in our license agreements may not be effective as a result of existing or future national, federal, state, or local laws or ordinances or unfavorable judicial decisions. Although we have not experienced any product liability claims to date, the sale and support of our products entail the risk of such claims, which could be substantial in light of the use of our products in enterprise-wide environments. In addition, our insurance against product liability may not be adequate to cover a potential claim.

Our effective tax rate is difficult to project. Changes in such tax rate and/or results of tax examinations could adversely affect our operating results.

The process of determining our anticipated tax liabilities involves many calculations and estimates, which are inherently complex and make the ultimate tax obligation determination uncertain. As part of the process of preparing our consolidated financial statements, we are required to estimate our income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate prior to the completion and filing of tax returns for such periods. This process requires estimating both our geographic mix of income and our current tax exposures in each jurisdiction where we operate. These estimates involve complex issues, require extended periods of time to resolve, and require us to make judgments, such as anticipating the positions that we will take on tax returns prior to our actually preparing the returns and the outcomes of audits with tax authorities. We also determine the need to record deferred tax liabilities and the

recoverability of deferred tax assets. A valuation allowance is established to the extent recovery of deferred tax assets is not likely based on our estimation of future taxable income and other factors in each jurisdiction.

Furthermore, our overall effective income tax rate may be affected by various factors in our business, including acquisitions, changes in our legal structure, changes in the geographic mix of income and expenses, changes in valuation allowances, changes in tax laws and applicable accounting rules including FIN No. 48 and SFAS No. 123(R), and variations in the estimated and actual level of annual pre-tax income.

Table of Contents

We may receive an assessment related to the audit of our U.S. income tax returns or from other domestic and foreign tax authorities that exceeds amounts provided for by us. In the event we are unsuccessful in reducing the amount of such assessment, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected. Specifically, if additional taxes and/or penalties are assessed as a result of these audits, there could be a material effect on our income tax provision, operating expenses, and net income in the period or periods for which that determination is made.

If we are unable to successfully respond to technological advances and evolving industry standards, we could experience a reduction in our future product sales, which would cause our revenues to decline.

The market for our products is characterized by continuing technological development, evolving industry standards, changing customer needs, and frequent new product introductions and enhancements. The introduction of products by our direct competitors or others embodying new technologies, the emergence of new industry standards, or changes in customer requirements could render our existing products obsolete, unmarketable, or less competitive. In particular, an industry-wide adoption of uniform open standards across heterogeneous applications could minimize the importance of the integration functionality of our products and materially adversely affect the competitiveness and market acceptance of our products. Our success depends upon our ability to enhance existing products, to respond to changing customer requirements, and to develop and introduce in a timely manner new products that keep pace with technological and competitive developments and emerging industry standards. We have in the past experienced delays in releasing new products and product enhancements and may experience similar delays in the future. As a result, in the past, some of our customers deferred purchasing our products until the next upgrade was released. Future delays or problems in the installation or implementation of our new releases may cause customers to forgo purchases of our products and purchase those of our competitors instead. Additionally, even if we are able to develop new products and product enhancements, we cannot ensure that they will achieve market acceptance.

We recognize revenue from specific customers at the time we receive payment for our products, and if these customers do not make timely payment, our revenues could decrease.

Based on limited credit history, we recognize revenue from direct end users, resellers, distributors, and OEMs that have not been deemed creditworthy when we receive payment for our products and when all other criteria for revenue recognition have been met, rather than at the time of sale. As our business grows, if these customers and partners do not make timely payment for our products, our revenues could decrease. If our revenues decrease, the price of our common stock may fall.

The conversion provisions of our convertible senior notes and the level of debt represented by such notes will dilute the ownership interests of stockholders, could adversely affect our liquidity, and could impede our ability to raise additional capital.

In March 2006, we issued \$230 million aggregate principal amount of Notes due 2026. The note holders can convert the Notes into shares of our common stock at any time before the Notes mature or we redeem or repurchase them. Upon certain dates (March 15, 2011, March 15, 2016, and March 15, 2021) or the occurrence of certain events including a change in control, the note holders can require us to repurchase some or all of the Notes. Upon any conversion of the Notes, our basic earnings per share would be expected to decrease because such underlying shares would be included in the basic earnings per share calculation. Given that events constituting a "change in control" can trigger such repurchase obligations, the existence of such repurchase obligations may delay or discourage a merger, acquisition, or other consolidation. Our ability to meet our repurchase or repayment obligations of the Notes will depend upon our future performance, which is subject to economic, competitive, financial, and other factors affecting our industry and operations, some of which are beyond our control. If we are unable to meet the obligations out of cash flows from operations or other available funds, we may need to raise additional funds through public or private

debt or equity financings. We may not be able to borrow money or sell more of our equity securities to meet our cash needs. Even if we are able to do so, it may not be on terms that are favorable or reasonable to us.

If we are not able to adequately protect our proprietary rights, third parties could develop and market products that are equivalent to our own, which would harm our sales efforts.

Our success depends upon our proprietary technology. We believe that our product development, product enhancements, name recognition, and the technological and innovative skills of our personnel are essential to establishing and maintaining a technology leadership position. We rely on a combination of patent, copyright, trademark, and trade secret rights, confidentiality procedures, and licensing arrangements to establish and protect our proprietary rights.

However, these legal rights and contractual agreements may provide only limited protection. Our pending patent applications may not be allowed or our competitors may successfully challenge the validity or scope of any of our issued patents or any future issued patents.

Table of Contents

Our patents alone may not provide us with any significant competitive advantage, and third parties may develop technologies that are similar or superior to our technology or design around our patents. Third parties could copy or otherwise obtain and use our products or technology without authorization or develop similar technology independently. We cannot easily monitor any unauthorized use of our products, and, although we are unable to determine the extent to which piracy of our software products exists, software piracy is a prevalent problem in our industry in general.

The risk of not adequately protecting our proprietary technology and our exposure to competitive pressures may be increased if a competitor should resort to unlawful means in competing against us. For example, in July 2003, we settled a complaint against Ascential Software Corporation in which a number of former Informatica employees recruited and hired by Ascential misappropriated our trade secrets, including sensitive product and marketing information and detailed sales information regarding existing and potential customers, and unlawfully used that information to benefit Ascential in gaining a competitive advantage against us. Although we were ultimately successful in this lawsuit, there are no assurances that we will be successful in protecting our proprietary technology from competitors in the future.

We have entered into agreements with many of our customers and partners that require us to place the source code of our products into escrow. Such agreements generally provide that such parties will have a limited, non-exclusive right to use such code if: (1) there is a bankruptcy proceeding by or against us; (2) we cease to do business; or (3) we fail to meet our support obligations. Although our agreements with these third parties limit the scope of rights to use of the source code, we may be unable to effectively control such third parties' actions.

Furthermore, effective protection of intellectual property rights is unavailable or limited in various foreign countries. The protection of our proprietary rights may be inadequate and our competitors could independently develop similar technology, duplicate our products, or design around any patents or other intellectual property rights we hold.

We may be forced to initiate litigation to protect our proprietary rights. For example, on July 15, 2002, we filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Acta Technology, Inc., now known as Business Objects Data Integration, Inc. ("BODI"). See the subsection Litigation in Note 10. Commitments and Contingencies, of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Report. Litigating claims related to the enforcement of proprietary rights is very expensive and can be burdensome in terms of management time and resources, which could adversely affect our business and operating results. Although we received a favorable verdict in the trial against BODI in April 2007, an appeal by BODI is expected so the expense and burden to the company is expected to continue.

We may face intellectual property infringement claims that could be costly to defend and result in our loss of significant rights.

As is common in the software industry, we have received and may continue from time to time to receive notices from third parties claiming infringement by our products of third-party patent and other proprietary rights. As the number of software products in our target markets increases and the functionality of these products further overlaps, we may become increasingly subject to claims by a third party that our technology infringes such party's proprietary rights. Any claims, with or without merit, could be time consuming, result in costly litigation, cause product shipment delays, or require us to enter into royalty or licensing agreements, any of which could adversely affect our business, financial condition, and operating results. Although we do not believe that we are currently infringing any proprietary rights of others, legal action claiming patent infringement could be commenced against us, and we may not prevail in such litigation given the complex technical issues and inherent uncertainties in patent litigation. The potential effects on our business that may result from a third-party infringement claim include the following:

§ we may be forced to enter into royalty or licensing agreements, which may not be available on terms favorable to us, or at all;

§ we may be required to indemnify our customers or obtain replacement products or functionality for our customers;

§ we may be forced to significantly increase our development efforts and resources to redesign our products as a result of these claims; and

§ we may be forced to discontinue the sale of some or all of our products.

Table of Contents

We may not successfully integrate Identity Systems' technologies, employees, or business operations with our own. As a result, we may not achieve the anticipated benefits of our acquisition, which could adversely affect our operating results and cause the price of our common stock to decline.

In May 2008, we acquired Identity Systems, a provider of identity resolution technology. The successful integration of Identity Systems' technologies, employees, and business operations will place an additional burden on our management and infrastructure. This acquisition, and others we may make in the future, will subject us to a number of risks, including:

the failure to capture the value of the business we acquired, including the loss of any key personnel, customers, and business relationships;

any inability to generate revenue from the combined products that offsets the associated acquisition and maintenance costs, including addressing issues related to the availability of offerings on multiple platforms and from cross-selling and up-selling our products to Identity Systems' installed customer base or Identity System's products to our installed customer base;

the assumption of any contracts or agreements from Identity that contain terms or conditions that are unfavorable to us; and

the potential impairment of our goodwill and other intangible assets and a need for a subsequent write-off or write-down of our goodwill balance based upon a failure to meet our revenue goals and objectives in the future in relation to our company market value.

There can be no assurance that we will be successful in overcoming these risks or any other problems encountered in connection with our Identity Systems acquisition. To the extent that we are unable to successfully manage these risks, our business, operating results, or financial condition could be adversely affected, and the price of our common stock could decline.

We may engage in future acquisitions or investments that could dilute our existing stockholders or could cause us to incur contingent liabilities, debt, or significant expense or could be difficult to integrate in terms of the acquired entity's products, personnel, and operations.

From time to time, in the ordinary course of business, we may evaluate potential acquisitions of, or investments in, related businesses, products, or technologies. For example, in January 2006, we acquired Similarity, in December 2006, we acquired Itemfield, and in May 2008 we acquired Identity Systems. Future acquisitions and investments like these could result in the issuance of dilutive equity securities, the incurrence of debt or contingent liabilities, or the payment of cash to purchase equity securities from third parties. There can be no assurance that any strategic acquisition or investment will succeed. Risks include difficulties in the integration of the products, personnel, and operations of the acquired entity, disruption of the ongoing business, potential management distraction from the ongoing business, difficulties in the retention of key partner alliances, potential product liability issues related to the acquired products, and potential decline in the fair value of investments.

We have substantial real estate lease commitments that are currently subleased to third parties, and if subleases for this space are terminated or cancelled, our operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected.

We have substantial real estate lease commitments in the United States and internationally. However, we do not occupy many of these leases. Currently, we have substantially subleased these unoccupied properties to third parties. The terms of most of these sublease agreements account for only a portion of the period of our master leases and

contain rights of the subtenant to extend the term of the sublease. To the extent that (1) our subtenants do not renew their subleases at the end of the initial term and we are unable to enter into new subleases with other parties at comparable rates, or (2) our subtenants are unable to pay the sublease rent amounts in a timely manner, our cash flow would be negatively impacted and our operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected. See Note 7. Facilities Restructuring Charges, of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1 of this Report.

Delaware law and our certificate of incorporation and bylaws contain provisions that could deter potential acquisition bids, which may adversely affect the market price of our common stock, discourage merger offers, and prevent changes in our management or Board of Directors.

Our basic corporate documents and Delaware law contain provisions that might discourage, delay, or prevent a change in the control of Informatica or a change in our management. Our bylaws provide that we have a classified Board of Directors, with each class of directors subject to re-election every three years. This classified Board has the effect of making it more difficult for third parties to elect their representatives on our Board of Directors and gain control of Informatica. These provisions could also discourage proxy contests and make it more difficult for our stockholders to elect directors and take other corporate actions. The existence of these provisions could limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

Table of Contents

In addition, we have adopted a stockholder rights plan. Under the plan, we issued a dividend of one right for each outstanding share of common stock to stockholders of record as of November 12, 2001, and such rights will become exercisable only upon the occurrence of certain events. Because the rights may substantially dilute the stock ownership of a person or group attempting to take us over without the approval of our Board of Directors, the plan could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us or a significant percentage of our outstanding capital stock without first negotiating with our Board of Directors regarding such acquisition.

Business interruptions could adversely affect our business.

Our operations are vulnerable to interruption by fire, earthquake, power loss, telecommunications or network failure, and other events beyond our control. We have prepared a detailed disaster recovery plan and will continue to expand the scope over time. Some of our facilities in Asia experienced disruption as a result of the December 2006 earthquake off the coast of Taiwan, which caused a major fiber outage throughout the surrounding regions. The outage affected network connectivity, which has been restored to acceptable levels. Such disruption can negatively affect our operations given necessary interaction among our international facilities. In the event such an earthquake reoccurs, it could again disrupt the operations of our affected facilities. In addition, we do not carry sufficient business interruption insurance to compensate us for losses that may occur, and any losses or damages incurred by us could have a material adverse effect on our business.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Repurchases of Equity Securities

In April 2007, our Board of Directors authorized and announced a stock repurchase program for up to \$50 million of our common stock. In April 2008, our Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program for up to an additional \$75 million of our common stock. Repurchases can be made from time to time in the open market and will be funded from available working capital. There is no expiration dates for any of these two repurchase programs. The purpose of our stock repurchase program is to enhance shareholder value, including offsetting dilution from our stock-based incentive plans. The number of shares acquired and the timing of the repurchases are based on several factors, including the price of our common stock, the number of employees participating in our stock option plans and our employee stock purchase plan, and overall market conditions.

The following table provides information about the repurchase of our common stock during the three months ended June 30, 2008:

Period	(1) T o t a l N u m b e r o f S h a r e s P u r c h a s e d	A v e r a g e P r i c e P a i d p e r S h a r e	T o t a l N u m b e r o f S h a r e s P u r c h a s e d a s P a r t o f P u b l i c l y A n n o u n c e d P l a n s	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (i n thousands)

Edgar Filing: INFORMATICA CORP - Form 10-Q

				o r Programs		
April 1 – April 30	202,903	\$	15.96	202,903	\$	87,857
May 1 – May 31	373,391		16.74	373,391		81,607
June 1 – June 30	—		—	—		—
Total	576,294	\$	16.47	576,294	\$	81,607

(1) All shares repurchased in open-market transactions under the repurchase program.

54

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

The following matters were submitted to the stockholders in our Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on May 22, 2008. Each of the matters was approved by the requisite vote.

(a) The following individuals were re-elected to the Board of Director for three-year terms as Class II directors:

	Votes For	Votes Withheld
A. Brooke Seawell	79,601,089	4,047,946
Mark A. Bertelsen	74,317,805	9,331,230
Godfrey R. Sullivan	79,880,722	3,768,313

Our Board of Directors is currently comprised of nine members who are divided into three classes with overlapping three-year terms. The term to our Class III directors, David W. Pidwell, Sohaib Abbasi, and Geoffrey W. Squire, will expire at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2009. The term of our Class I directors, Janice D. Chaffin, Carl J. Yankowski and Charles J. Robel, will expire at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2010.

(b) The new Employee Stock Purchase Plan, reserving 8,850,000 shares of common stock for issuance thereunder, was approved:

Affirmative Votes	67,674,319
Negative Votes	9,062,459
Votes Abstain	17,159
Broker Non-Votes	6,895,098

(c) Ernst & Young LLP was ratified as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2008.

Affirmative Votes	79,316,948
Negative Votes	4,309,588
Votes Abstain	22,498
Broker Non-Votes	

ITEMS 3 and 5 are not applicable and have been omitted.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit No. Description

2.1 Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of April 17, 2008, by and among Intellisync Corporation, Informatica Corporation, and Nokia Inc.

10.1 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 29, 2008, Commission File No. 0-25871.

31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-15(a).

31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-15(a).

32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

INFORMATICA CORPORATION

August 7, 2008

By: /s/ EARL FRY
Earl Fry
Chief Financial Officer (Duly
Authorized Officer and
Principal Financial and Accounting
Officer)

Table of Contents

INFORMATICA CORPORATION
EXHIBITS TO FORM 10-Q QUARTERLY REPORT
For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2008

Exhibit No.	Description
2.1	Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of April 17, 2008, by and among Intellisync Corporation, Informatica Corporation, and Nokia Inc.
10.1	Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 29, 2008, Commission File No. 0-25871.
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-15(a).
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-15(a).
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

