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Item 7.01. Regulation FD Disclosure

## Forward-Looking Statements

When used in this Current Report on Form 8-K and in other reports filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission, in press releases or other public shareholder communications, or in oral statements made with the approval of an authorized executive officer, the words or phrases believe, will likely result, are expected to, will continue, is anticipated, estimate, project, plans, or similar expressions a identify forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. These statements may relate to our future financial performance, strategic plans or objectives, revenues or earnings projections, or other financial items. By their nature, these statements are subject to numerous uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated in the statements.

Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results anticipated or projected include, but are not limited to, the following: (1) expected cost savings and synergies from our merger and acquisition activities might not be realized within the expected time frames, and costs or difficulties related to integration matters might be greater than expected; (2) expenses associated with the expansion of our retail branch services and business hours as part of our enhanced deposit gathering strategy might be greater than expected, whether due to a possible need to hire more employees than anticipated or other costs incurred in excess of budgeted amounts; (3) the credit risks of lending activities, including changes in the level and direction of loan delinquencies and write-offs and changes in estimates of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses; (4) competitive pressures among depository institutions; (5) interest rate movements and their impact on customer behavior and net interest margin; (6) the impact of repricing and competitors pricing initiatives on loan and deposit products; (7) the ability to adapt successfully to technological changes to meet customers needs and developments in the market place; (8) our ability to realize the residual values of our direct finance, leveraged, and operating leases; (9) our ability to access cost-effective funding; (10) changes in financial markets; (11) changes in economic conditions in general and in the Chicago metropolitan area in particular; (12) the costs, effects and outcomes of litigation; (13) new legislation or regulatory changes, including but not limited to changes in federal and/or state tax laws or interpretations thereof by taxing authorities; (14) changes in accounting principles, policies or guidelines; and (15) our future acquisitions of other depository institutions or lines of business.

MB Financial does not undertake any obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect circumstances or events that occur after the date on which the forward-looking statement is made.

Set forth below are investor presentation materials.
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## Forward Looking Statements

When used in this presentation and in filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, in other press releases or other public shareholder communications, or in oral statements made with the approval of an authorized executive officer, the words or phrases believe, will likely result, are expected to, will continue, is anticipated, estimate, project, similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. These statements may relate to future financial performance, strategic plans or objectives, revenues or earnings projections, or other financial items. By their nature, these statements are subject to numerous uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated in the statements.

Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results anticipated or projected include, but are not limited to, the following: (1) expected cost savings and synergies from our merger and acquisition activities might not be realized within the expected time frames, and costs or difficulties relating to integration matters might be greater than expected; (2) expenses associated with the expansion of our retail branch services and business hours as part of our enhanced deposit gathering strategy might be greater than expected, whether due to a possible need to hire more employees than anticipated or other costs incurred in excess of budgeted amounts; (3) the credit risks of lending activities, including changes in the level and direction of loan delinquencies and write-offs and changes in estimates of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses; (4) competitive pressures among depository institutions; (5) interest rate movements and their impact on customer behavior and net interest margin; (6) the impact of repricing and competitors pricing initiatives on loan and deposit products; (7) the ability to adapt successfully to technological changes to meet customers needs and developments in the market place; (8) MB Financial s ability to realize the residual values of its direct finance, leveraged, and operating leases; (9) the ability to access cost-effective funding; (10) changes in financial markets; (11) changes in economic conditions in general and in the Chicago metropolitan area in particular; (12) the costs, effects and outcomes of litigation; (13) new legislation or regulatory changes, including but not limited to changes in federal and/or state tax laws or interpretations thereof by taxing authorities; (14) changes in accounting principles, policies or guidelines; and (15) future acquisitions by MB Financial of other depository institutions or lines of business.

MB Financial does not undertake any obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect circumstances or events that occur after the date on which the forward-looking statement is made.

# Positioned for Superior Performance and Growth 

Unique, Attractive Chicago Presence

## Tremendous business opportunity

## \$240bn deposits

8,500 middle market businesses

Fragmented and unconsolidated
Top 3 control<40\%

Top 10 control<60\%

## High Growth / Strong Financial Performance

Track record of historical growth exceeds peers

## Earnings

Balance Sheet

Stable margin through interest rate cycles

Disciplined acquisition track record

Aggressive, New Retail Banking Strategy

## Who Are We?

Leading independent Chicago area bank(1)
\#1 with 36 branches
\#2 with $\$ 3.5$ bn of deposits

Financial profile:
$\$ 5.7 \mathrm{bn}$ in assets(2)
\$3.7bn in loans(2)
$\$ 4.3 \mathrm{bn}$ in deposits(2)
$\$ 70 \mathrm{~mm}$ in net income(3)

Full offering of financial services
Commercial banking
Retail banking
Wealth management

## Chicago Area

[CHART]
(1) Chicago area data consists of Cook County. Source: SNL DataSource.
(2) As of September 30, 2005.
(3) Last twelve months.

## MB Financial Snapshot

(Dollars amounts in millions, except per share data)

|  | 2000 |  | 2005(1) |  | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets | \$ | 3,287 | \$ | 5,677 | +72.7\% |
| Loans | \$ | 2,019 | \$ | 3,699 | +83.2\% |
| Deposits | \$ | 2,639 | \$ | 4,254 | +61.2\% |
| Net income (3) | \$ | 27.0 | \$ | 69.8 | +158.5\% |
| Fully diluted EPS (3) | \$ | 1.02 | \$ | 2.40 | +135.3\% |
| Return on equity (3) |  | 10.24\% |  | 14.37\% | +4.13\% |
| Cash return on tangible equity (2),(3) |  | 13.00\% |  | 19.93\% | +6.93\% |
| Net interest margin - FTE (2) |  | 3.75\% |  | 3.76\% | +0.01\% |
| Efficiency ratio |  | 64.80\% |  | 54.43\% | -10.37\% |
| Non-performing loan ratio |  | 0.81\% |  | 0.52\% | -0.29\% |

(1) At or for the nine months ended September 30, 2005.
(2) See Non-GAAP Disclosure Reconciliations on page 29.
(3) Annualized

## Commercial Banking

Largest business unit

Targeting middle-market companies with revenues ranging from $\$ 5$ to $\$ 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ Credit needs up to $\$ 20 \mathrm{~mm}$

Heavy investment in personnel over past 10 years

Robust training program for recent college graduates

Focused on:
Middle-market business financing
Treasury management
Real estate investor, construction, developer financing
Lease banking
[GRAPHIC] 16\% CAGR
[CHART]

## As of June 30, 2005

## Loan Portfolio Composition

(\$3.6 bn)

## [CHART]

## Commercial Loans by Industry Type

(\$3.0 bn)
[CHART]

* Includes Lease Loans.


## Credit Quality

Excellent, stable, predictable
Improving non-performing loan ratios
Loans are granular typical size is $\$ 3$ to $\$ 6$ million; approximately $88 \%$ of credits are under $\$ 15$ million Extensive due diligence prior to acquisitions

## Net Charge-offs to Average Loans

[CHART]

## Allowance vs. NPL to Total Loans

[CHART]

## Retail Banking

## (\$ in millions)

Consumer and small business clients

Deposit and credit services
$11 \%$ annual deposit growth over past five years
Cost efficient lending platform
15 and 30 year mortgages sold/securitized to manage interest rate risk/capital requirements

Aggressive, new retail banking strategy Betsimpsier!
Improve deposit mix
Improve deposit growth
Reduce funding costs
[GRAPHIC] 5\% CAGR
[CHART]
[GRAPHIC] 11\% CAGR
[CHART]

## Wealth Management

Expanding business and capabilities
Private Banking
Staff are deep generalists

Asset Management and Trust
Open architecture
Objective advice
Superior returns

Vision Investment Services
Brokerage services through MB and other banks
Works closely with MB Retail Banking

Opportunities
Growth within MB s customer base
Adding additional staff / investment management depth
Continue transition from custody assets to managed assets

## 12\% CAGR

[CHART]

# Positioned for Superior Performance and Growth 

Unique, Attractive Chicago Presence

Tremendous business opportunity

## \$240bn deposits

8,500 middle market businesses

Fragmented and unconsolidated
Top 3 control<40\%
Top 10 control<60\%

Track record of historical growth exceeds peers
Earnings
Balance Sheet

Stable margin through interest rate cycles

Disciplined acquisition track record

Aggressive, New Retail Banking Strategy

## Chicago s Attractive Market Opportunity

## [CHART]

## The Opportunity

The $\$ 106 \mathrm{bn}$ in deposits held by banking institutions outside the top 10 is larger than all other Midwestern MSAs in their entirety

|  | Chicago | Detroit | Cleveland | Minneapolis | St. <br> Louis | Milwaukee | Cincinnati | Kansas City | Columbus | Indianapolis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total \# banks/thrifts | 305 | 57 | 42 | 175 | 139 | 62 | 82 | 151 | 56 | 52 |
| Avg. deposits per bank/thrift (\$mm) | 786 | 1,351 | 1,535 | 322 | 347 | 649 | 452 | 216 | 514 | 479 |
| \# banks/thrifts > $\mathbf{1 \%}$ share | 16 | 8 | 12 | 7 | 15 | 17 | 15 | 22 | 14 | 16 |

Source: SNL DataSource. Deposit data for Midwestern MSAs as of June 30, 2005.

## Chicago Deposit Market Share

As of June 30, 2005

| Rank | Institution | Branch <br> Count | Total <br> Deposits in <br> Market <br> $(\mathbf{m m})$ | Market <br> Share | \% of Total <br> Deposits |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | JPMorgan Chase \& Co. (NY) | 184 | $\$$ | 30,258 | $18.5 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| 2 | ABN Amro (NV) (LaSalle Bank) | 94 | 28,479 | 17.4 | 56.7 |  |
| 3 | BMO Financial Group (Harris) | 82 | 15,359 | 9.4 | 63.0 |  |
| 4 | Northern Trust Corp. (IL) | 9 | 7,291 | 4.5 | 48.6 |  |
| 5 | Corus Bankshares Inc. (IL) | 14 | 5,500 | 3.4 | 100.0 |  |
| 6 | Royal Bank of Scotland Group (Charter One) | 94 | 4,880 | 3.0 | 4.9 |  |
| 7 | Citigroup Inc. (NY) | 40 | 4,490 | 2.7 | 2.2 |  |
| 8 | Fifth Third Bancorp (OH) | 45 | 4,262 | 2.6 | 7.0 |  |
| 9 | Bank of America (NC) | 5 | 4,000 | 2.4 | 0.7 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | MB Financial Inc. (IL) | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 . 6}$ |  |
| 11 | MAF Bancorp Inc. (IL) | 34 | 3,486 | 2.1 | 50.4 |  |
| 12 | Wintrust Financial Corp. (IL) | 22 | 2,767 | 1.7 | 43.9 |  |
| 13 | Taylor Capital Group Inc. (IL) | 12 | 2,334 | 1.4 | 96.3 |  |
| 14 | FBOP Corp. (IL) | 21 | 2,246 | 1.4 | 21.4 |  |
| 15 | Metropolitan Bank Group Inc. (IL) | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 3 , 9 5 3}$ | 1.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total Chicago |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: SNL DataSource. Chicago data consists of Cook County.

# Positioned for Superior Performance and Growth 

Unique, Attractive Chicago Presence

Tremendous business opportunity

## \$240bn deposits

8,500 middle market businesses

Fragmented and unconsolidated
Top 3 control<40\%
Top 10 control<60\%

Track record of historical growth exceeds peers
Earnings
Balance Sheet

Stable margin through interest rate cycles

Disciplined acquisition track record

Aggressive, New Retail Banking Strategy

## Consistent Financial Performance

[CHART]

|  | 2000 | 2001* | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROE | 10.2\% | 10.9\% | 14.6\% | 14.8\% | 14.9\% | 14.4\% |
| Cash ROTE*** | 13.0 | 13.5 | 17.1 | 18.8 | 20.7 | 19.9 |
| Efficiency Ratio | 64.8 | 60.7 | 52.8 | 55.7 | 54.3 | 54.4 |
| NCOs / Avg. Loans | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.23 | 0.25 |

* Excludes merger charge.
** Year-to-date results through September 30, 2005 annualized.
*** See Non-GAAP Disclosure Reconciliations on page 29.

Five years of strong results

Robust core business growth

Capitalized on M\&A opportunities

|  | Dollars in millions, except per share amounts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 |  | 2001* |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2000 to 2004 Average Growth Rate | Nine Months 2004 |  | Nine Months 2005 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| Assets | \$ | 3,287 | \$ | 3,466 | \$ | 3,760 | \$ | 4,355 | \$ | 5,254 | 12\% | \$ | 5,069 | \$ | 5,677 | 11.9\% |
| Loans | \$ | 2,019 | \$ | 2,312 | \$ | 2,505 | \$ | 2,826 | \$ | 3,346 | 13\% | \$ | 3,188 | \$ | 3,699 | 14.2\% |
| Deposits | \$ | 2,639 | \$ | 2,822 | \$ | 3,020 | \$ | 3,432 | \$ | 3,962 | 11\% | \$ | 3,842 | \$ | 4,254 | 11.0\% |
| Net income | \$ | 27.0 | \$ | 12.4 | \$ | 46.4 | \$ | 53.4 | \$ | 64.4 | 24\% | \$ | 46.8 | \$ | 52.2 | 17.0\% |
| Diluted EPS | \$ | 1.02 | \$ | 0.46 | \$ | 1.75 | \$ | 1.96 | \$ | 2.25 | 22\% | \$ | 1.65 | \$ | 1.80 | 12.0\% |

[^0]Interest Rate Risk As of September 30, 2005

Slightly asset sensitive
Naturally hedged

NII Sensitivity (Ramped)
[CHART]

NII Sensitivity (Shocked)
[CHART]

Twist Scenario
[CHART]

## Historical Credit Spreads

Credit spreads have been tightening
Impacting net interest margins

## One Year Spreads*

[CHART]

[^1]
# Since 2001, MB Financial has achieved market leading balance sheet and P\&L growth 

Strong Balance Sheet Growth

## Gross Loans

[CHART]

Total Deposits
[CHART]

## Tangible Book Value

[CHART]
[GRAPHIC]

## Total Revenue

[CHART]

## Noninterest Income

Diluted EPS*
[CHART]

Note: Analysis compares financial data as of the twelve months ended September 30, 2005 to financial data as of the twelve months ended December 31, 2001.

Top 50 Banks includes SIVBE financial data which compares the twelve months ended March 31, 2005 to the twelve months ended December 31, 2001.

Growth calculated on a per share basis.

MBFI Peers: ASBC, FMBI, MAFB, PRK, SKYF, WTFC, CBCF, CBSH, ONB, TCB, FMER.

Top 50 Banks: Includes 50 largest U.S. banks by market capitalization; excludes specialty banks, Citigroup and JP Morgan.

[^2]without sacrificing credit quality or profitability

NIM
[CHART]

NCOs / Avg. Loans
[CHART]

Fee Income Ratio
[CHART]

Efficiency Ratio
[CHART]

ROAA
[CHART]

ROACE
[CHART]

Top 50 Banks includes SIVBE financial data as of the three months ended March 31, 2005.
Efficiency ratio excludes amortization expense. Fee income ratio excludes securities gains and non-recurring items.
MBFI Peers: ASBC, FMBI, MAFB, PRK, SKYF, WTFC, CBCF, CBSH, ONB, TCB, FMER.
Top 50 Banks: Includes 50 largest U.S. banks by market capitalization; excludes specialty banks, Citigroup and JP Morgan.

## M\&A Highlights

| 1990-2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 Acquisitions (\$1.9bn assets) | April FSL Holdings ( $\mathbf{\$ 2 2 2 m m}$ assets) | April Lincolnwood ( $\mathbf{\$ 2 2 8 m m}$ assets) | Feb South Holland ( $\$ 560 \mathrm{~mm}$ assets) | May First Security Fed ( $\$ 567 \mathrm{~mm}$ assets) |
|  | November MOE of | August LaSalle Leasing | May Divest |  |
|  | MidCity and MB | (\$92mm assets) | Abrams Centre ( $\mathbf{\$ 9 8 m m}$ assets) |  |

Disciplined Pricing

|  | P/E |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Stated |  | Adjusted* | P/B | Prem/Dep |
| FSL Holdings | 21.7 x | 9.7 x | 1.2 x | $4.3 \%$ |  |
| Lincolnwood | 14.4 | 9.7 | 1.6 | 6.9 |  |
| LaSalle Leasing | 10.0 | 6.3 | 1.3 | N/A |  |
| South Holland | 18.1 | 10.3 | 1.2 | 4.4 |  |
| First Security Fed | 16.8 | 9.8 | 1.7 | 18.8 |  |

[GRAPHIC]

Attractive Financial Results

| IRR | 1st <br> EPS Accretion |
| :---: | :---: |
| $27 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| 27 | 4.5 |
| 22 | 3.4 |
| 22 | 3.5 |
| 21 | 3.5 |

[^3]
# Positioned for Superior Performance and Growth 

Unique, Attractive Chicago Presence

Tremendous business opportunity

## \$240bn deposits

8,500 middle market businesses

Fragmented and unconsolidated
Top 3 control<40\%
Top 10 control<60\%

Track record of historical growth exceeds peers
Earnings
Balance Sheet

Stable margin through interest rate cycles

Disciplined acquisition track record

Aggressive, New Retail Banking Strategy

## Betsimpsier Deposit Strategy

Better. Simpler. Easier.

Goals

Improve deposit mix
Improve deposit growth
Reduce funding costs

Implementation activities
Extended hours
Simplified transaction processes
Consistent customer experience
More ATMs
Increased marketing and advertising
[GRAPHIC]

## [GRAPHIC]

Additional expenses to support strategy
Personnel 65 FTEs added
Marketing majority of our second half marketing effort is focused on Betsimpsier

Progress to date
Initial rollout was completed in the third quarter
Current customers have responded favorably
Too early to determine impact on account addition/retention rates

## Key Investment Considerations

## Strategy

Build Chicago market share

Diversify revenue streams

Enhance financial performance
[GRAPHIC] share

Execute Betsimpsier strategy
Opportunistic acquisitions
De novo expansion
[GRAPHIC] Continue to build wealth management
Opportunistic acquisitions
[GRAPHIC] Grow core deposits and loans
Maintain credit quality and cost efficiency
Maintain net interest margin
[GRAPHIC]

## Results since 2000

$21 \%$ EPS growth
3.82\% average NIM

18\% average cash ROATCE
32bps average charge-off ratio

MBFI Share Price Performance Since MOE (11/7/01)
[CHART]

* MBFI Peers: ASBC, FMBI, MAFB, PRK, SKYF, WTFC, CBCF, CBSH, ONB, TCB, FMER.
**Top 50 Banks: Includes 50 largest U.S. banks by market capitalization; excludes specialty banks, Citigroup and JP Morgan.

MB Financial Valuation History Since MOE (11/7/01)

## Price / NTM EPS

[CHART]

PEG Ratio
[CHART]

[^4]**Top 50 Banks: Includes 50 largest U.S. banks by market capitalization; excludes specialty banks, Citigroup and JP Morgan.

## Non-GAAP Disclosure Reconciliations

These materials contain certain financial measures determined by methods other than in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ). Such measures include cash return on tangible equity and net interest margin on a fully tax equivalent basis.

Cash return on tangible equity is determined by dividing cash earnings by average tangible stockholders equity. The most directly comparable GAAP measure, return on equity, is determined by dividing net income by average stockholders equity. Cash earnings excludes from net income the effect of amortization expense for intangible assets other than goodwill (which is not amortized but tested for impairment annually), and average tangible stockholders equity excludes from average stockholders equity acquisition-related goodwill and other intangible assets, net of tax benefit. We believe that the presentation of cash return on tangible equity is helpful in understanding our financial results, as it provides a method to assess our success in utilizing our tangible capital.

Net interest margin on a fully tax equivalent basis is determined by dividing net interest income on a fully tax equivalent basis by average interest-earning assets. The most directly comparable GAAP measure, net interest margin, is determined by dividing net interest income by average interest-earning assets. The tax equivalent adjustment to net interest income recognizes the income tax savings when comparing taxable and tax-exempt assets and assumes a $35 \%$ tax rate. We believe that it is a standard practice in the banking industry to present net interest margin on a fully tax equivalent basis, and accordingly believe that providing this measure may be useful for peer comparison purposes.

The following tables reconcile cash earnings to net income, average tangible stockholders equity to average stockholders equity and net interest margin on a fully tax equivalent basis to net interest margin for the periods presented: (dollars in thousands)

|  | 2000 |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YTD } \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YTD } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income, as reported | \$ | 26,961 | \$ | 31,538 | \$ | 46,370 | \$ | 53,392 | \$ | 64,429 | \$ | 46,783 | \$ | 52,203 |
| Plus: Intangible amortization, net of tax benefit |  | 3,022 |  | 3,212 |  | 631 |  | 754 |  | 660 |  | 512 |  | 492 |
| Cash earnings | \$ | 29,983 | \$ | 34,750 | \$ | 47,001 | \$ | 54,146 | \$ | 65,089 | \$ | 47,295 | \$ | 52,695 |
| Average stockholders equity | \$ | 263,311 | \$ | 289,291 | \$ | 317,693 | \$ | 360,210 | \$ | 432,992 | \$ | 417,966 | \$ | 485,802 |
| Less: Goodwill |  | 27,634 |  | 30,439 |  | 40,773 |  | 67,391 |  | 110,302 |  | 93,761 |  | 123,778 |
| Less: Other intangible assets, net of tax benefit |  | 5,049 |  | 2,082 |  | 1,914 |  | 4,692 |  | 8,038 |  | 6,966 |  | 8,575 |
| Average tangible stockholders equity | \$ | 230,628 | \$ | 256,770 | \$ | 275,006 | \$ | 288,127 | \$ | 314,652 | \$ | 317,239 | \$ | 353,449 |
| Cash Return on Tangible Equity Annualized |  | 13.00\% |  | 13.53\% |  | 17.09\% |  | 18.79\% |  | 20.69\% |  | 19.91\% |  | 19.93\% |
|  |  | 2000 |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YTD } \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YTD } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ |
| Net interest margin |  | 3.66\% |  | 3.65\% |  | 3.97\% |  | 3.72\% |  | 3.69\% |  | 3.66\% |  | 3.65\% |
| Plus: Tax equivalent effect |  | 0.09\% |  | 0.08\% |  | 0.06\% |  | 0.08\% |  | 0.10\% |  | 0.10\% |  | 0.11\% |
| Net interest margin, fully tax equivalent Annualized |  | 3.75\% |  | 3.73\% |  | 4.03\% |  | 3.80\% |  | 3.79\% |  | 3.76\% |  | 3.76\% |

[LOGO]

Sandler O Neill \& Partners, L.P. s 2005 Financial Services Conference

Mitchell Feiger, President and Chief Executive Officer Jill E. York, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

## November 17, 2005

NASDAQ: MBFI

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, MB Financial, Inc. has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on the 16th day of November, 2005.

## MB FINANCIAL, INC.

By: /s/ Jill E. York Jill E. York
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Principal Accounting Officer)


[^0]:    * Includes $\mathbf{\$ 1 9 . 2}$ million net merger expenses.

[^1]:    * Bloomberg Industrial Composite one year rates to twelve month Libor.

[^2]:    * MBFI Diluted EPS in 2001 excludes merger charge.

[^3]:    * P/E Adjusted is computed as (price excess equity) / (pre-acquisition core earnings + after-tax cost savings in year one after tax earnings on excess equity).

[^4]:    * MBFI Peers: ASBC, FMBI, MAFB, PRK, SKYF, WTFC, CBCF, CBSH, ONB, TCB, FMER.

