

MB FINANCIAL INC /MD
Form 10-Q
May 09, 2007

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

**xQUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2007

Commission file number 0-24566-01

**MB FINANCIAL, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

**Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)**

**36-4460265
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)**

**800 West Madison Street, Chicago, Illinois 60607
(Address of principal executive offices)**

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (888) 422-6562

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes No

There were outstanding 36,485,990 shares of the registrant's common stock as of May 9, 2007.

MB FINANCIAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

FORM 10-Q

March 31, 2007

INDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

**Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2007 (Unaudited) and
December 31, 2006 3**

**Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three Months ended March
31, 2007 and 2006 (Unaudited) 4**

**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months ended
March 31, 2007
and 2006 (Unaudited) 5**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) 6 - 16

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and
Results of Operations 17 - 29**

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 30 - 33

Item 4. Controls and Procedures 34

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1A. Risk Factors 34

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds 34

Item 6. Exhibits 34

Signatures 35

PART I. - FINANCIAL INFORMATION**Item 1. - Financial Statements****MB FINANCIAL, INC. & SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006

(Amounts in thousands, except common share data)

(Unaudited)

| | March 31, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| | \$ | \$ |
| Cash and due from banks | 101,996 | 150,935 |
| Interest bearing deposits with banks | 11,787 | 9,113 |
| Federal funds sold | 45,010 | 2 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 1,554,245 | 1,713,325 |
| Loans (net of allowance for loan losses of \$61,571 at March 31, 2007, and \$61,617 at December 31, 2006) | 5,282,985 | 5,194,464 |
| Lease investments, net | 71,308 | 80,258 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 199,522 | 197,619 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance | 122,174 | 120,893 |
| Goodwill, net | 379,047 | 379,047 |
| Other intangibles, net | 27,975 | 28,856 |
| Other assets | 91,738 | 103,786 |
| | \$ | \$ |
| Total assets | 7,887,787 | 7,978,298 |

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**Liabilities**

Deposits:

| | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | \$ | \$ |
| Noninterest bearing | 906,746 | 976,194 |
| Interest bearing | 4,919,003 | 4,923,038 |
| Total deposits | 5,825,749 | 5,899,232 |
| Short-term borrowings | 764,622 | 716,471 |
| Long-term borrowings | 187,311 | 258,439 |
| Junior subordinated notes issued to capital trusts | 179,096 | 179,162 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 74,307 | 78,042 |
| Total liabilities | 7,031,085 | 7,131,346 |

Stockholders' Equity

Common stock, (\$0.01 par value; authorized 40,000,000 shares; issued

37,342,031 and 37,332,328 shares at March 31, 2007,

Edgar Filing: MB FINANCIAL INC /MD - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| and December 31, 2006, respectively) | 373 | 373 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 439,164 | 439,502 |
| Retained earnings | 448,855 | 437,353 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (3,690) | (7,602) |
| Less: 818,372 and 666,120 shares of treasury stock, at cost, at March 31, 2007, and December 31, 2006, respectively | (28,000) | (22,674) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 856,702 | 846,952 |
| | \$ | \$ |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | 7,887,787 | 7,978,298 |

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

MB FINANCIAL, INC. & SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(Amounts in thousands, except common share data)
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Interest income: | | |
| | \$ | |
| Loans | 98,965 | \$ 68,711 |
| Investment securities available for sale: | | |
| Taxable | 15,301 | 12,284 |
| Nontaxable | 3,458 | 2,659 |
| Federal funds sold | 259 | 22 |
| Other interest bearing accounts | 107 | 121 |
| Total interest income | 118,090 | 83,797 |
| Interest expense: | | |
| Deposits | 47,913 | 27,281 |
| Short-term borrowings | 8,827 | 7,701 |
| Long-term borrowings and junior subordinated notes | 6,073 | 3,273 |
| Total interest expense | 62,813 | 38,255 |
| Net interest income | 55,277 | 45,542 |
| Provision for loan losses | 4,000 | 1,100 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 51,277 | 44,442 |
| Other income: | | |
| Loan service fees | 1,547 | 1,752 |
| Deposit service fees | 5,355 | 4,773 |
| Lease financing, net | 3,996 | 3,244 |
| Brokerage fees | 2,452 | 2,306 |
| Trust and asset management fees | 3,190 | 1,405 |
| Net loss on sale of investment securities available for sale | (40) | (381) |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance | 1,281 | 958 |
| Net gain on sale of other assets | 22 | 1,097 |
| Merchant card processing | 3,878 | 724 |
| Other operating income | 1,625 | 1,341 |
| | 23,306 | 17,219 |
| Other expense: | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 26,202 | 20,300 |
| Occupancy and equipment expense | 7,476 | 5,943 |
| Computer services expense | 1,992 | 1,605 |
| Advertising and marketing expense | 1,484 | 1,230 |
| Professional and legal expense | 579 | 558 |
| Brokerage fee expense | 1,271 | 1,193 |
| Telecommunication expense | 718 | 736 |
| Other intangibles amortization expense | 881 | 240 |
| Merchant card processing | 3,270 | 676 |
| Other operating expenses | 5,059 | 4,369 |
| | 48,932 | 36,850 |

| | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Income before income taxes | 25,651 | 24,811 |
| Income taxes | 7,530 | 7,672 |
| | \$ | |
| Net Income | 18,121 | \$ 17,139 |
| Common share data: | | |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ 0.49 | \$ 0.61 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.49 | \$ 0.60 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 36,630,323 | 28,288,782 |
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | 37,180,928 | 28,797,627 |

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

MB FINANCIAL, INC. & SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Amounts in thousands)
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities: | | |
| | \$ | \$ |
| Net income | 18,121 | 17,139 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation | 9,434 | 9,311 |
| Amortization of restricted stock awards | 484 | 290 |
| Compensation expense for stock option grants | 639 | 541 |
| Gain on sales of premises and equipment and leased equipment | (1,352) | (1,097) |
| Amortization of other intangibles | 881 | 240 |
| Provision for loan losses | 4,000 | 1,100 |
| Deferred income tax benefit | (1,896) | (1,347) |
| Amortization of premiums and discounts on investment securities, net | 583 | 2,327 |
| Accretion of premiums and discounts on loans, net | (1,012) | - |
| Net loss on sale of investment securities available for sale | 40 | 386 |
| Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale | 16,226 | 2,902 |
| Origination of loans held for sale | (16,010) | (2,961) |
| Net gains on sale of loans held for sale | (216) | (41) |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance | (1,281) | (958) |
| Deferred gain amortization on interest only securities pool termination | - | (431) |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets | 12,048 | (25,482) |
| Increase (decrease) in other liabilities, net | (5,085) | 9,547 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 35,604 | 11,466 |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities: | | |
| Proceeds from sales of investment securities available for sale | 24,176 | 16,717 |
| Proceeds from maturities and calls of investment securities available for sale | 179,937 | 45,853 |
| Purchase of investment securities available for sale | (39,638) | (50,105) |
| Net increase in loans | (91,509) | (139,487) |
| Purchases of premises and equipment and leased equipment | (5,957) | (9,399) |
| Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment and leased equipment | 5,682 | 2,201 |
| Principal paid on lease investments | (171) | (239) |
| Net cash provided (used) in investing activities | 72,520 | (134,459) |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities: | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in deposits | (73,483) | 196,911 |
| Net decrease in short-term borrowings | (22,849) | (106,889) |
| Proceeds from long-term borrowings | 3,190 | 52,112 |

Edgar Filing: MB FINANCIAL INC /MD - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Principal paid on long-term borrowings | (3,317) | (6,114) |
| Treasury stock transactions, net | (9,789) | (13,182) |
| Stock options exercised | 3,262 | 313 |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements | 224 | 149 |
| Dividends paid on common stock | (6,619) | (4,247) |
| Net cash provided (used) by financing activities | (109,381) | 119,053 |
| | \$ | \$ |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (1,257) | (3,940) |
| Cash and cash equivalents: | | |
| Beginning of period | 160,050 | 104,784 |
| | \$ | \$ |
| End of period | 158,793 | 100,844 |

Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:

| | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| Cash payments for: | | |
| Interest paid to depositors and other borrowed funds | 66,810 | 37,617 |
| Income tax paid, net | 66 | 4,105 |

Supplemental Schedule of Noncash Activities:

| | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------|---|
| Loans transferred to other real estate owned | 129 | - |
| Loans transferred to repossessed vehicles | 70 | - |
| Long-term borrowing reclassified to short-term borrowings | 70,936 | - |

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

MB FINANCIAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
March 31, 2007 and 2006
(Unaudited)

NOTE 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

These unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of MB Financial, Inc., a Maryland corporation (the “Company”), and its subsidiaries, including its two wholly owned national bank subsidiaries, MB Financial Bank, N.A. (“MB Financial Bank”) and Union Bank, N.A. (“Union Bank”). In the opinion of management, all normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods have been made. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2007 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire fiscal year.

These unaudited interim financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and industry practice. Certain information in footnote disclosure normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and industry practice has been condensed or omitted pursuant to rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company’s December 31, 2006 audited financial statements filed on Form 10-K/A.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions which affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of income and expenses during the reported periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to current period presentation.

NOTE 2. BUSINESS COMBINATION

On August 25, 2006, the Company acquired First Oak Brook Bancshares, Inc. (FOBB), parent company of Oak Brook Bank, located in Oak Brook, Illinois for \$371.0 million. The purchase price was paid through a combination of cash and the Company's common stock totaling \$74.1 million and \$296.9 million (approximately 8.4 million shares), respectively. The transaction generated approximately \$253.8 million in goodwill and \$18.2 million in intangible assets subject to amortization. Oak Brook Bank was merged into MB Financial Bank on November 2, 2006.

The business combination was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Accordingly, the results of operations of the acquired company have been included in the Company's results of operations since the date of acquisition. Under this method of accounting, the purchase price is allocated to the respective assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values, net of applicable income tax effects. The excess cost over fair value of net assets acquired is recorded as goodwill.

Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Information

The following unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information presents the results of operations of the Company had the merger been completed as of the beginning of the period indicated (in thousands, except share and per share data).

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 |
|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Net interest income after provision \$ | |
| for loan losses | 58,572 |
| Noninterest income | 23,181 |
| Noninterest expense | 50,030 |
| Income before income taxes | 31,723 |
| Income taxes | 9,841 |
| Net income | \$ |
| | 21,882 |
| Per common share information | |
| Earnings | \$ 0.60 |
| Diluted earnings | \$ 0.59 |
| Average common shares issued and outstanding | 36,663,090 |
| Average diluted common shares outstanding | 37,171,935 |

NOTE 3. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income includes net income, as well as the change in net unrealized gain (loss) on investment securities available for sale arising during the periods, net of tax. The following table sets forth comprehensive income for the periods indicated (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| | \$ | \$ |
| Net income | 18,121 | 17,139 |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) on investment securities, net of tax | 3,886 | (5,641) |
| Reclassification adjustments for losses included in net income, net of tax | 26 | 248 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | 3,912 | (5,393) |
| | \$ | \$ |
| Comprehensive income | 22,033 | 11,746 |

NOTE 4. EARNINGS PER SHARE DATA

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the periods indicated (dollars in thousands, except share and per share data):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Basic: | | |
| Net income | \$ 18,121 | \$ 17,139 |
| Average shares outstanding | 36,630,323 | 28,288,782 |
| Basic earnings per share | | \$ 0.49 |
| Diluted: | | |
| Net income | \$ 18,121 | \$ 17,139 |
| Average shares outstanding | 36,630,323 | 28,288,782 |
| Net effect of dilutive stock options (1) | 550,605 | 508,845 |
| Total | 37,180,928 | 28,797,627 |
| Diluted earnings per share | | \$ 0.60 |

(1) Includes the common stock equivalents for stock options and restricted share rights (restricted stock, restricted stock units and director stock units) that are dilutive.

NOTE 5. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLES

Goodwill is subject to at least annual assessments for impairment by applying a fair-value based test. An acquired intangible asset must be separately recognized if the benefit of the intangible asset is obtained through contractual or other legal rights, or if the asset can be sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged, regardless of the acquirer's intent to do so. No impairment losses on goodwill or other intangibles were incurred in the three months ended March 31, 2007 or the year ended December 31, 2006.

The following table presents the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill during the three months ended March 31, 2007 and the year ended December 31, 2006 (in thousands):

| | March 31, December 31, | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 379,047 | \$ 125,010 |
| Goodwill from business combinations | - | 254,037 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 379,047 | |

\$
379,047

The Company has other intangible assets consisting of core deposit intangibles that have a remaining weighted average amortization period of approximately 6 years. The following tables present the changes in the carrying amount of core deposit intangibles, gross carrying amount, accumulated amortization, and net book value during the three months ended March 31, 2007 and the year ended December 31, 2006 (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 28,856 | \$ 12,594 |
| Amortization expense | (881) | (1,971) |
| Other intangibles from business combinations | - | 18,233 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 27,975 | \$ 28,856 |
| Gross carrying amount | \$ 47,494 | \$ 47,494 |
| Accumulated amortization | (19,519) | (18,638) |
| Net book value | \$ 27,975 | \$ 28,856 |

The following presents the estimated future amortization expense of other intangible assets (in thousands):

| | Amount |
|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Year ending December 31, 2007 | \$ 2,623 |
| 2008 | 3,255 |
| 2009 | 3,116 |
| 2010 | 2,927 |
| 2011 | 2,618 |
| Thereafter | 13,436 |
| | \$ 27,975 |

NOTE 6. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

On February 15, 2007, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities - Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115* (SFAS 159). This standard permits an entity to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The fair value option established by Statement 159 permits all entities to choose to measure eligible items at fair value at specified election dates. A business entity will report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. The fair value option: (a) may be applied instrument by instrument, with a few exceptions, such as investments otherwise accounted for by the equity method; (b) is irrevocable (unless a new election date occurs); and (c) is applied only to entire instruments and not to portions of

instruments. Statement 159 is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. Early adoption is permitted as of the beginning of the previous fiscal year provided that the entity makes that choice in the first 120 days of that fiscal year and also elects to apply the provisions of FASB Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. Management did not elect early adoption, and is currently evaluating the provisions of SFAS 159 and its potential effect on its financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" (SFAS 157). SFAS 157, among other things, defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and enhances disclosures about fair value measurements required under other accounting pronouncements, but does not change existing guidance as to whether or not an instrument is carried at fair value. SFAS 157 is effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. Management is currently evaluating the provisions of SFAS 157 and its potential effect on its financial statements in conjunction with SFAS 159.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments*, which is an Amendment of FASB Statement Nos. 133 and 140. This Statement resolves issues addressed in Statement 133 Implementation of Issue No. D1, "Application of Statement 133 to Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets." This Statement is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. Management does not believe that the adoption of SFAS No. 155 will have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for income taxes by prescribing the minimum recognition threshold that a tax position must meet to be recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance on measurement, recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. The Company adopted FIN 48 as of January 1, 2007. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, the Company recognized no material adjustment in the liability for unrecognized income tax benefit. As of March 31, 2007, the Company had \$4 million of unrecognized tax benefits. The Company elects to treat interest and penalties recognized for the underpayment of income taxes as income tax expense. However, interest and penalties imposed by taxing authorities on issues specifically addressed in FIN 48 will be taken out of the tax reserves up to the amount allocated to interest and penalties. The amount of interest and penalties exceeding the amount allocated in the tax reserves will be treated as income tax expense. As of March 31, 2007, the Company had \$249 thousand of accrued interest related to tax reserves.

NOTE 7. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Statement 123R requires that the fair value of equity awards to employees be recognized as compensation expense over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for such award. The Company adopted Statement 123R in the first quarter of 2006, using "modified retrospective application", electing to restate all prior periods.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company followed the intrinsic value method in accordance with APB No. 25 to account for its employee stock options. Under the intrinsic value method, no compensation expense was recognized if the exercise price of the Company's employee's stock options equaled the market price of the underlying stock on the date of the grant. Compensation expense was only recognized in connection with the issuance of restricted stock. As the modified retrospective application was used to apply SFAS 123R, prior periods were restated to reflect the compensation cost related to stock options granted.

The following table summarizes the impact of the Company's share-based payment plans in the financial statements for the periods shown (in thousands):

| | Three months ended March | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 31, | |
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Total cost of share-based payment plans during the year | \$ 1,123 | \$ 831 |
| Amount of related income tax benefit recognized in income | \$ 393 | \$ 291 |

The Company adopted the Omnibus Incentive Plan (the “Omnibus Plan”) which was established in 1997 and was subsequently modified. As of March 31, 2007, the Omnibus Plan authorized 3,750,000 shares of common stock for issuance to directors, officers, and employees of the Company or any of its subsidiaries. As of that date, a grant under the Omnibus Plan could be in the form of options intended to be incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights or restricted stock. As described in Note 13, the Omnibus Plan was amended subsequent to March 31, 2007 to, among other things, increase the total number of shares authorized for awards to 6,000,000 and allow for additional types of awards.

Options are typically granted to officers and employees annually in July, with an exercise price equal to no less than the market price of the Company's shares at the date of grant; those option awards generally vest based on four years of continuous service and have 10-year contractual terms. Options may also be granted at other times throughout the year in connection with the recruitment of new officers and employees. Restricted shares granted to officers and employees typically vest over a two to three year period. Directors currently may elect, in lieu of cash, to receive up to 70% of their fees in stock options with a five-year term granted under the Omnibus Plan, which vest in full on the grant date (provided that the director may not sell the underlying shares for at least six months after the grant date), and up to 100% of their fees in restricted stock granted under the Omnibus Plan, which vests one year after the grant date.

During the third quarter of 2006, the Company acquired First Oak Brook Bancshares. As a result of this merger, and reflecting adjustments based on the exchange ratio for the stock portion of the merger consideration paid to FOBB stockholders, approximately 250,000 stock options, 6,314 director stock units and 35,000 restricted stock units were assumed by the Company. These options and units are unrelated to the Omnibus Plan described above.

The following table provides information about options outstanding for the three months ended March 31, 2007:

| | Number of Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (In Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions) |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Options outstanding as of December 31, 2006 | 2,328,499 | \$27.88 | | |
| Granted | 6,780 | \$36.01 | | |
| Exercised | (42,009) | \$22.50 | | |
| Expired or cancelled | (3,531) | \$42.70 | | |
| Forfeited | (22,261) | \$33.76 | | |
| Options outstanding as of March 31, 2007 | 2,267,478 | \$27.93 | 6.06 | \$ 18.3 |
| Options exercisable as of March 31, 2007 | 1,140,353 | \$20.72 | 4.24 | \$ 17.4 |

There were no grants during the three months ended March 31, 2006.

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model based on certain assumptions. Expected volatility is based on historical volatilities of Company shares, and expected future fluctuations. The risk free rate for periods within the contractual term of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of the grant. The expected life of options is estimated based on historical employee behavior and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to remain outstanding.

The following assumptions were used for options granted during the three month period ended March 31, 2007:

| | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|
| | March 31, 2007 |
| Expected volatility | 15.25% |

| | |
|----------------|---------|
| Risk free | |
| interest rate | 4.54% |
| Dividend yield | 1.90% |
| Expected life | 5 years |

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$586 thousand and \$444 thousand, respectively.

The following is a summary of changes in nonvested shares of restricted stock and restricted stock units for the three months ended March 31, 2007:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Shares Outstanding at December 31, 2006 | 116,003 | \$38.17 |
| Granted | 11,553 | 35.53 |
| Vested | 16,522 | 40.16 |
| Cancelled | 1,850 | 37.86 |
| Shares Outstanding at March 31, 2007 | 109,184 | \$37.60 |

As of March 31, 2007, there was \$6.6 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested share-based compensation arrangements (including share option and nonvested share awards) granted under the Omnibus Plan. Additionally, as of March 31, 2007, approximately \$412 thousand of total unrecognized compensation expense related to nonvested share-based arrangements that were assumed in the acquisition of First Oak Brook Bancshares.

NOTE 8. SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

Short-term borrowings are summarized as follows as of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 (dollars in thousands):

| | March 31, 2007 | | December 31, 2006 | |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------|---------|
| | Weighted Average Interest Rate | Amount | Weighted Average Interest Rate | Amount |
| Federal funds purchased | - % | \$ | 5.44 % | \$ |
| | | - | | 105,300 |
| Assets under agreements to repurchase: | | | | |
| Customer repurchase agreements | 3.95 | 344,691 | 3.88 | 370,208 |
| Company repurchase agreements | - | - | 5.35 | 36,937 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 5.18 | 419,931 | 5.30 | 204,026 |
| | 4.63% | \$ | 4.59% | \$ |
| | | 764,622 | | 716,471 |

Assets sold under agreements to repurchase are agreements in which the Company acquires funds by selling securities or investment grade lease loans to another party under a simultaneous agreement to repurchase the same securities or lease loans at a specified price and date. The Company enters into repurchase agreements and also offers a demand deposit account product to customers that sweeps their balances in excess of an agreed upon target amount into overnight repurchase agreements.

At March 31, 2007, fixed rate advances had effective interest rates, net of premiums, ranging from 3.21% to 5.50% and are subject to a prepayment fee. At March 31, 2007, the advances had maturities ranging from June 2007 to February 2008. At March 31, 2007, there were no variable rate advances outstanding.

A collateral pledge agreement exists whereby at all times, the Company must keep on hand, free of all other pledges, liens, and encumbrances, first mortgage loans and home equity loans with unpaid principal balances aggregating no less than 133% for first mortgage loans and 200% for home equity loans of the outstanding secured advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank. As of March 31 2007, and December 31, 2006, the Company had \$439.7 million and \$357.0 million, respectively, of loans pledged as collateral for Federal Home Loan Bank advances.

The Company has a \$30 million correspondent bank line of credit which has certain debt covenants that require the Company to maintain "Well Capitalized" capital ratios, to have no other debt except in the usual course of business, and requires the Company to maintain minimum financial ratios on return on assets and earnings as well as maintain minimum financial ratios related to the loan loss allowance. The Company was in compliance with such debt covenants as of March 31, 2007. The correspondent bank line of credit, which is used for short-term liquidity purposes, is secured by the stock of MB Financial Bank, and its terms are renewed annually. As of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, no balances were outstanding on the correspondent line of credit.

NOTE 9. LONG TERM BORROWINGS

The Company had Federal Home Loan Bank advances with maturities greater than one year of \$118.4 million and \$189.7 million at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. As of March 31, 2007, the advances had fixed terms with effective interest rates, net of premiums, ranging from 2.84% to 5.87%.

The Company had notes payable to banks totaling \$10.6 million and \$10.5 million at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, which as of March 31, 2007, were accruing interest at rates ranging from 4.75% to 12.00%. Lease investments includes equipment with an amortized cost of \$13.9 million and \$13.6 million at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, that is pledged as collateral on these notes.

During the first quarter of 2006, prior to its acquisition by the Company, Oak Brook Bank entered into a \$40 million ten year structured repurchase agreement which is non-putable for five years. The borrowing agreement floats at 3-month LIBOR less 37 basis points and reprices quarterly. The counterparty to the repurchase agreement has a one-time put option after five years. If the option is not exercised, the repurchase agreement converts to a fixed rate borrowing at 4.75% for the remaining five year term.

On September 29, 2006, the Company's Oak Brook Bank subsidiary entered into a seven year subordinated debt facility under which up to \$25 million can be borrowed. The debt can be prepaid at any time without penalty. During the third quarter of 2006, \$10 million was borrowed under the facility. Interest is payable at a rate of 3 month LIBOR + 1.25%. The debt matures on October 1, 2013. In addition, the Company has a \$500 thousand seven-year term loan from the same lender. Interest is payable at a rate of 3 month LIBOR + 0.70%. As long as the subordinated debt is outstanding, the Company is required to keep the \$500 thousand debt outstanding.

On June 30, 2005, the Company's Union Bank subsidiary issued \$7 million of 10 year floating rate subordinated debt. Interest is payable at a rate of 3 month LIBOR + 1.55%, on the 23rd day of each February, May, August and November, beginning August 23, 2005. The first optional call date is August 23, 2010 at par, or at a premium to par at any time prior to that date upon the occurrence of a specified adverse tax event.

The principal payments on long-term borrowings are due as follows (in thousands):

| Year ending | Amount |
|--------------|---------------|
| December 31, | |
| 2007 | \$ 4,317 |
| 2008 | 14,232 |
| 2009 | 2,772 |
| 2010 | 1,764 |
| 2011 | 2,973 |
| Thereafter | 161,253 |
| | \$ 187,311 |

NOTE 10. JUNIOR SUBORDINATED NOTES ISSUED TO CAPITAL TRUSTS

The Company has established statutory trusts for the sole purpose of issuing trust preferred securities and related trust common securities. The proceeds from such issuances were used by the trusts to purchase junior subordinated notes of the Company, which are the sole assets of each trust. Concurrently with the issuance of the trust preferred securities, the Company issued guarantees for the benefit of the holders of the trust preferred securities. The trust preferred securities are issues that qualify, and are treated by the Company, as Tier 1 regulatory capital. The Company wholly owns all of the common securities of each trust. The trust preferred securities issued by each trust rank equally with the common securities in right of payment, except that if an event of default under the indenture governing the notes has occurred and is continuing, the preferred securities will rank senior to the common securities in right of payment. FOBB Capital Trusts I, II and III were established by FOBB prior to the Company's acquisition of FOBB, and the junior subordinated notes issued by FOBB to FOBB Capital Trusts I, II and III were assumed by the Company upon completion of the acquisition.

The table below summarizes the outstanding junior subordinated notes and the related trust preferred securities issued by each trust as of March 31, 2007 (in thousands):

| | Coal City Capital Trust I | MB Financial Capital Trust I | MB Financial Capital Trust II | MB Financial Capital Trust III |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Junior Subordinated Notes: | | | | |
| Principal balance | \$ 25,774 | \$ 61,669 | \$ 36,083 | \$ 10,310 |
| Annual interest rate | 3-mo LIBOR + 1.80% | 8.60% Fixed | 3-mo LIBOR + 1.40% | 3-mo LIBOR + 1.50% |
| Stated maturity date | September 1, 2028 | September 30, 2032 | September 15, 2035 | September 23, 2036 |
| Call date | September 1, 2008 | September 30, 2007 | September 15, 2010 | September 23, 2011 |
| Trust Preferred Securities: | | | | |
| Face value | \$ 25,000 | \$ 59,800 | \$ 35,000 | \$ 10,000 |
| Annual distribution rate | 3-mo LIBOR + 1.80% | 8.60% Fixed | 3-mo LIBOR + 1.40% | 3-mo LIBOR + 1.50% |
| Issuance date | July 1998 | August 2002 | August 2005 | July 2006 |
| Distribution dates (1) | Quarterly | Quarterly | Quarterly | Quarterly |
| | MB Financial Capital Trust IV | FOBB Capital Trust I | FOBB Capital Trust II | FOBB Capital Trust III |
| Junior Subordinated Notes: | | | | |
| Principal balance | \$ 20,619 | \$ 6,186 | \$ 12,372 | \$ 5,155 |
| Annual interest rate | 3-mo LIBOR + 1.52% | 10.60% Fixed | 3-mo LIBOR + 3.45% | 3-mo LIBOR + 2.80% |
| Stated maturity date | September 15, 2036 | September 7, 2030 | June 26, 2032 | January 23, 2034 |
| Call date | September 15, 2011 | September 7, 2010 (3) | June 26, 2007 | January 23, 2009 |
| Trust Preferred Securities: | | | | |

Edgar Filing: MB FINANCIAL INC /MD - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Face value (2) | \$ 20,000 | \$ 6,000 | \$ 12,000 | \$ 5,000 |
| Annual distribution rate | 3-mo LIBOR + 1.52% | 10.60% Fixed | 3-mo LIBOR + 3.45% | 3-mo LIBOR + 2.80% |
| Issuance date | August 2006 | September 2000 | June 2002 | December 2003 |
| Distribution dates (1) | Quarterly | Semi-annual | Quarterly | Quarterly |

(1) All distributions are cumulative and paid in cash.

(2) Face amount does not include purchase accounting adjustments totaling \$928 thousand associated with FOBB Capital Trust I, II and III.

(3) Callable semi-annually at a premium through 2020.

The trust preferred securities are subject to mandatory redemption, in whole or in part, upon repayment of the junior subordinated notes at the stated maturity date or upon redemption on a date no earlier than the call dates noted in the table above. Prior to these respective redemption dates, the junior subordinated notes may be redeemed by the Company (in which case the trust preferred securities would also be redeemed) after the occurrence of certain events that would have a negative tax effect on the Company or the trusts, would cause the trust preferred securities to no longer qualify as Tier 1 capital, or would result in a trust being treated as an investment company. Each trust's ability to pay amounts due on the trust preferred securities is solely dependent upon the Company making payment on the related junior subordinated notes. The Company's obligation under the junior subordinated notes and other relevant trust agreements, in aggregate, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by the Company of each trust's obligations under the trust preferred securities issued by each trust. The Company has the right to defer payment of interest on the notes and, therefore, distributions on the trust preferred securities, for up to five years, but not beyond the stated maturity date in the table above. During any such deferral period the Company may not pay cash dividends on its common stock and generally may not repurchase its common stock.

In March 2005, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System issued a final rule allowing bank holding companies to continue to include qualifying trust preferred securities in their Tier 1 Capital for regulatory capital purposes, subject to a 25% limitation to all core (Tier I) capital elements, net of goodwill less any associated deferred tax liability. The final rule provides a five-year transition period, ending March 31, 2009, for application of the aforementioned quantitative limitation. As of March 31, 2007, 100% of the trust preferred securities qualified as Tier I capital. Under the final rule adopted in March 2005, that will take effect March 31, 2009, 89% of the trust preferred securities outstanding, as of March 31, 2007, will qualify as Tier I capital.

NOTE 11. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company uses interest rate swaps to hedge its interest rate risk. The Company had fair value commercial loan interest rate swaps and fair value brokered deposit interest rate swaps with aggregate notional amounts of \$16.3 million and \$183.4 million, respectively, at March 31, 2007. For fair value hedges, the changes in fair values of both the hedging derivative and the hedged item were recorded in current earnings as other income or other expense. When a fair value hedge no longer qualifies for hedge accounting, previous adjustments to the carrying value of the hedged item are reversed immediately to current earnings and the hedge is reclassified to a trading position.

We also offer various derivatives to our customers and offset our exposure from such contracts by purchasing other financial contracts. The customer accommodations and any offsetting financial contracts are treated as non-hedging derivative instruments which do not qualify for hedge accounting.

Interest rate swap contracts involve the risk of dealing with counterparties and their ability to meet contractual terms. The net amount payable or receivable under interest rate swaps is accrued as an adjustment to interest income. The net amount receivable (payable) for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 was approximately \$1.8 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. The Company's credit exposure on interest rate swaps is limited to the Company's net favorable value and interest payments of all swaps to each counterparty. In such cases collateral is required from the counterparties involved if the net value of the swaps exceeds a nominal amount. At March 31, 2007, the Company's credit exposure relating to interest rate swaps was not significant.

The Company's derivative financial instruments are summarized below as of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 (dollars in thousands):

| | March 31, 2007 | | | | December 31, 2006 | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--|
| | Notional Amount | Estimated Fair Value | Years to Maturity | Weighted-Average Receive Rate | Pay Rate | Notional Amount | Estimated Fair Value | |
| Derivative instruments designated as hedges of fair value: | | | | | | | | |
| Pay fixed/receive variable swaps (1) | \$ 16,305 | \$ 518 | 6.0 | 7.45% | 6.10% | \$ 17,001 | \$ 591 | |
| Pay variable/receive fixed swaps (2) | 183,430 | (3,836) | 5.8 | 4.71% | 5.28% | 204,275 | (4,812) | |
| Non-hedging derivative instruments (3): | | | | | | | | |
| Pay fixed/receive variable swaps | 72,794 | (51) | 6.0 | 7.24% | 6.65% | 57,998 | 368 | |
| Pay variable/receive fixed swaps | 79,364 | (120) | 6.1 | 6.51% | 7.08% | 63,722 | (545) | |
| Total portfolio swaps | \$ 351,893 | \$ (3,489) | 5.9 | 5.77% | 6.01% | \$ 342,996 | \$ (4,398) | |
| (1) Hedges fixed-rate commercial real estate loans | | | | | | | | |
| (2) Hedges fixed-rate callable brokered deposits | | | | | | | | |

(3) These portfolio swaps are not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133.

NOTE 12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Commitments: The Company is a party to credit-related financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit and commercial letters of credit. Such commitments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets.

The Company's exposure to credit loss is represented by the contractual amount of these commitments. The Company follows the same credit policies in making commitments as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments.

At March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, the following financial instruments were outstanding, the contractual amounts of which represent off-balance sheet credit risk (in thousands):

| | Contract Amount | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| | March | December |
| | 31, | 31, |
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Commitments to extend credit: | | |
| Home equity lines | \$ 525,060 | \$ 559,351 |
| Other commitments | 1,355,011 | 1,289,904 |
| Letters of credit: | | |
| Standby | 125,544 | 130,196 |
| Commercial | 50,816 | 51,203 |

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require a payment of a fee. The commitments for equity lines of credit may expire without being drawn upon. Therefore, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The amount of collateral obtained, if it is deemed necessary by the Company, is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer.

The Company, in the normal course of its business, regularly offers standby and commercial letters of credit to its bank customers. Standby and commercial letters of credit are a conditional but irrevocable form of guarantee. Under letters of credit, the Company typically guarantees payment to a third party beneficiary upon the default of payment or nonperformance by the bank customer and upon receipt of complying documentation from that beneficiary.

Both standby and commercial letters of credit may be issued for any length of time, but normally do not exceed a period of five years. These letters of credit may also be extended or amended from time to time depending on the bank customer's needs. As of March 31, 2007, the maximum remaining term for any standby letter of credit was December 31, 2011. A fee of up to two percent of face value may be charged to the bank customer and is recognized as income over the life of the letter of credit, unless considered non-rebatable under the terms of a letter of credit application.

At March 31, 2007, the aggregate contractual amount of these letters of credit, which represents the maximum potential amount of future payments that the Company would be obligated to pay, decreased \$5.0 million to \$176.4 million from \$181.4 million at December 31, 2006. Of the \$176.4 million in commitments outstanding at March 31, 2007, approximately \$11.8 million of the letters of credit have been issued or renewed since December 31, 2006. The Company had \$1.5 million of deferred fees recorded as of March 31, 2007 relating to these commitments.

Letters of credit issued on behalf of bank customers may be done on either a secured, partially secured or an unsecured basis. If a letter of credit is secured or partially secured, the collateral can take various forms including bank accounts, investments, fixed assets, inventory, accounts receivable or real estate, among other things. The Company takes the same care in making credit decisions and obtaining collateral when it issues letters of credit on behalf of its customers, as it does when making other types of loans.

Concentrations of credit risk: The majority of the loans, commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit have been granted to customers in the Company's market area. Investments in securities issued by states and political subdivisions also involve governmental entities within the Company's market area. The distribution of commitments to extend credit approximates the distribution of loans outstanding. Standby letters of credit are granted primarily to commercial borrowers.

Contingencies: In the normal course of business, the Company is involved in various legal proceedings. In the opinion of management, any liability resulting from pending proceedings would not be expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

As of March 31, 2007, we had approximately \$3.3 million in capital expenditure commitments outstanding which relate to various projects to build new branches (Lombard, Oak Park and Highland Park) or renovate existing branches. We expect to pay the outstanding commitments as of March 31, 2007 through the normal cash flows of our business operations.

NOTE 13. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On April 25, 2007, at the Company's Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the Company's stockholders approved an amendment and restatement of the Omnibus Plan which, among other things, increased the total number of shares available for awards under the plan to 6,000,000 from 3,750,000 and added restricted stock units, performance shares, performance units, other stock-based awards and cash awards as available award types. The Company's Board of Directors previously approved the amended and restated plan subject to stockholder approval. A description of the amended and restated plan is contained in the Company's proxy statement for the meeting under the heading "Proposal II. Approval of the Company's Amended and Restated Omnibus Incentive Plan," filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 23, 2007.

On April 25, 2007, the Company's Board of Directors approved an amendment to Section A of Article 5 of the Company's charter, which increased the number of shares of common stock the Company is authorized to issue from 40,000,000 to 43,000,000. The amendment became effective on April 27, 2007 upon the filing of articles of amendment with the Maryland Department of Assessments and Taxation.

On April 30, 2007, the Company notified regulators that it intends to call \$12.4 million of junior subordinated notes issued to FOBB Capital Trust II on the first call date of June 26, 2007.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following is a discussion and analysis of MB Financial, Inc.'s financial condition and results of operations and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report. The words "we," "our" and "us" refer to MB Financial, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries, unless we indicate otherwise.

Overview

The profitability of our operations depends primarily on our net interest income after provision for loan losses, which is the difference between total interest earned on interest earning assets and total interest paid on interest bearing liabilities less provision for loan losses. Additionally, our net income is affected by other income and other expenses. The provision for loan losses reflects the amount that we believe is adequate to cover potential credit losses in the loan portfolio. Non-interest income or other income consists of loan service fees, deposit service fees, net lease financing income, brokerage fees, trust and asset management fees, net gains on the sale of investment securities available for sale, increase in cash surrender value of life insurance, net gains on sale of other assets, merchant card processing fees and other operating income. Non-interest expenses or other expenses include salaries and employee benefits, occupancy and equipment expense, computer services expense, advertising and marketing expense, professional and legal expense, brokerage fee expense, telecommunication expense, other intangibles amortization expense, merchant card processing expense and other operating expenses.

Net interest income is affected by changes in the volume and mix of interest earning assets, the level of interest rates earned on those assets, the volume and mix of interest bearing liabilities and the level of interest rates paid on those interest bearing liabilities. The provision for loan losses is dependent on changes in the loan portfolio and management's assessment of the collectibility of the loan portfolio, as well as economic and market conditions. Other income and other expenses are impacted by growth of operations and growth in the number of loan and deposit accounts through both acquisitions and core banking business growth. Growth in operations affects other expenses primarily as a result of additional employees, branch facilities and promotional marketing expense. Growth in the number of loan and deposit accounts affects other income, including service fees as well as other expenses such as computer services, supplies, postage, telecommunications and other miscellaneous expenses.

Our net income was \$18.1 million for the first quarter of 2007, compared to \$17.1 million for the first quarter of 2006. Our 2007 first quarter results generated an annualized return on average assets of 0.93% and an annualized return on average equity of 8.63%, compared to 1.21% and 13.61%, respectively, for the same period in 2006. Fully diluted earnings per share for the first quarter of 2007 were \$0.49 per share as compared to \$0.60 per share in the 2006 first quarter.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and follow general practices within the industries in which we operate. This preparation requires management to make estimates, assumptions, and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates, assumptions, and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements; accordingly, as this information changes, actual results could differ materially from the estimates, assumptions, and judgments reflected in the financial statements. Certain policies inherently have a greater reliance on the use of estimates, assumptions, and judgments and, as such, have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported. Management believes the following policies are both important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and require

subjective or complex judgments; therefore, management considers the following to be critical accounting policies. Management has reviewed the application of these polices with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors.

17

Allowance for Loan Losses. Subject to the use of estimates, assumptions, and judgments is management's evaluation process used to determine the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses which combines several factors: management's ongoing review and grading of the loan portfolio, consideration of past loan loss experience, trends in past due and nonperforming loans, risk characteristics of the various classifications of loans, existing economic conditions, the fair value of underlying collateral, and other qualitative and quantitative factors which could affect probable credit losses. FOBB's loans were reviewed and risk rated in accordance with the Company's policies and procedures at the time of the acquisition. Because current economic conditions can change and future events are inherently difficult to predict, the anticipated amount of estimated loan losses, and therefore the adequacy of the allowance, could change significantly. As an integral part of their examination process, various regulatory agencies also review the allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require that certain loan balances be charged off when their credit evaluations differ from those of management or require that adjustments be made to the allowance for loan losses, based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination. We believe the allowance for loan losses is adequate and properly recorded in the financial statements. See "Allowance for Loan Losses" section below for further analysis.

Residual Value of Our Direct Finance, Leveraged, and Operating Leases. Lease residual value represents the present value of the estimated fair value of the leased equipment at the termination date of the lease. Realization of these residual values depends on many factors, including management's use of estimates, assumptions, and judgment to determine such values. Several other factors outside of management's control may reduce the residual values realized, including general market conditions at the time of expiration of the lease, whether there has been technological or economic obsolescence or unusual wear and tear on, or use of, the equipment and the cost of comparable equipment. If, upon the expiration of a lease, we sell the equipment and the amount realized is less than the recorded value of the residual interest in the equipment, we will recognize a loss reflecting the difference. On a quarterly basis, management reviews the lease residuals for potential impairment. If we fail to realize our aggregate recorded residual values, our financial condition and profitability could be adversely affected. At March 31, 2007, the aggregate residual value of the equipment leased under our direct finance, leveraged, and operating leases totaled \$31.3 million. See Note 1 and Note 6 of the notes to our December 31, 2006 audited consolidated financial statements for additional information.

Income Tax Accounting. We account for uncertain tax positions in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 48 Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes ("FIN 48") an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 ("SFAS 109"). The application of income tax law is inherently complex. Laws and regulations in this area are voluminous and are often ambiguous. As such, we are required to make many subjective assumptions and judgments regarding our income tax exposures. Interpretations of and guidance surrounding income tax laws and regulations change over time. As such, changes in our subjective assumptions and judgments can materially affect amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets and statements of income.

Results of Operations

First Quarter Results

Net income was \$18.1 million for the first quarter of 2007, compared to \$17.1 million for the first quarter of 2006. The results for the first quarter of 2007 generated an annualized return on average assets of 0.93% and an annualized return on average equity of 8.63%, compared to 1.21% and 13.61%, respectively, for the same period in 2006.

Net interest income was \$55.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007, an increase of \$9.7 million, or 21.4% from \$45.5 million for the comparable period in 2006. The growth in net interest income reflects a \$1.7 billion, or 32.9% increase in average interest earning assets, and a \$1.5 billion, or 33.5%, increase in average interest bearing liabilities. This was partially offset by approximately 30 basis points of margin compression. The increase in average

interest earning assets and the increase in average interest bearing liabilities was due to the acquisition of FOBB and organic growth. The net interest margin, expressed on a fully tax equivalent basis, was 3.35% for the first quarter of 2007 and 3.65% for the first quarter of 2006. The decline in the net interest margin was primarily due to the merger with FOBB, the inverted yield curve, continued tight credit spreads on loans, and fierce competition for deposits.

Provision for loan losses was at \$4.0 million in the first quarter of 2007 as compared to \$1.1 million in first quarter of 2006. Net charge-offs were \$4.0 million in the quarter ended March 31, 2007 compared to \$1.0 million in the quarter ended March 31, 2006. See "Asset Quality" section below for further analysis of the allowance for loan losses.

Other income increased \$6.1 million, or 35.4% to \$23.3 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2007 from \$17.2 million for the first quarter of 2006. Merchant card processing income increased by \$3.2 million due to the acquisition of FOBB and an increase in transactions processed during the quarter ended March 31, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006. Trust and asset management fees increased \$1.8 million primarily due to a \$909 thousand gain realized on the sale of our land trust operations in the first quarter of 2007 and the acquisition of FOBB. Net lease financing increased \$752 thousand primarily due to higher residual realizations during the first quarter of 2007 compared to the first quarter of 2006. Deposit service fees increased \$582 thousand, primarily due to the acquisition of FOBB. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in net gain on sale of assets of \$1.1 million primarily due to the sale of excess real estate in the first quarter of 2006, and a decrease in loan servicing fees of \$205 thousand primarily due to a deferred gain being fully amortized in the second quarter of 2006. The amortization was \$144 thousand per month.

Other expense increased by \$12.1 million, or 32.8% to \$48.9 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2007 from \$36.9 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2006. Salaries and employee benefits expense increased \$5.9 million primarily due to the acquisition of FOBB. Merchant card processing expense increased by \$2.6 million due to the acquisition of FOBB and an increase in transactions processed during the quarter ended March 31, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006. Occupancy and equipment expense increased by \$1.5 million, primarily due to the acquisition of FOBB and organic growth. Other operating expenses and other intangible amortization expense increased \$690 thousand and \$641 thousand, respectively. These increases were primarily due to the acquisition of FOBB.

Income tax expense for the three months ended March 31, 2007 decreased \$142 thousand to \$7.5 million compared to \$7.7 million for the same period in 2006. The effective tax rate was 29.4% and 30.9% for the quarter ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The decrease in the effective tax rate was primarily due to a larger percentage of income before taxes being comprised of tax exempt income during the first quarter of 2007 compared to the first quarter of 2006.

Net Interest Margin

The following table presents, for the periods indicated, the total dollar amount of interest income from average interest earning assets and the resultant yields, as well as the interest expense on average interest bearing liabilities, and the resultant costs, expressed both in dollars and rates (dollars in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| | 2007 | | | 2006 | | |
| | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/ Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/ Rate |
| Interest Earning Assets: | | | | | | |
| | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | |
| Loans (1) (2) | 5,254,537 | \$ 98,747 | 7.62 % | 3,795,671 | 68,681 | 7.34 % |
| Loans exempt from federal income taxes (3) | 15,168 | 336 | 8.86 | 2,881 | 46 | 6.39 |
| Taxable investment securities | 1,250,647 | 15,301 | 4.89 | 1,107,836 | 12,284 | 4.44 |
| Investment securities exempt from federal income taxes (3) | 376,763 | 5,319 | 5.65 | 292,631 | 4,091 | 5.59 |
| Federal funds sold | 19,884 | 259 | 5.21 | 1,971 | 22 | 4.46 |
| Other interest bearing deposits | 11,464 | 107 | 3.79 | 13,262 | 121 | 3.70 |
| Total interest earning assets | 6,928,463 | 120,069 | 7.03 | 5,214,252 | 85,245 | 6.63 |
| Non-interest earning assets | 951,298 | | | 550,260 | | |
| | \$ | | | \$ | | |
| Total assets | 7,879,761 | | | 5,764,512 | | |
| Interest Bearing Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Deposits: | | | | | | |
| NOW and money market deposit accounts | \$ | | | \$ | \$ | |
| | 1,155,670 | \$ 8,169 | 2.87 % | 711,464 | 3,125 | 1.78 % |
| Savings deposits | 470,822 | 888 | 0.76 | 470,984 | 868 | 0.75 |
| Time deposits | 3,245,139 | 38,856 | 4.86 | 2,384,224 | 23,288 | 3.96 |
| Short-term borrowings | 766,495 | 8,827 | 4.67 | 741,923 | 7,701 | 4.21 |
| Long-term borrowings and junior subordinated notes | 407,246 | 6,073 | 5.96 | 218,317 | 3,273 | 6.00 |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | 6,045,372 | 62,813 | 4.21 | 4,526,912 | 38,255 | 3.43 |
| Non-interest bearing deposits | 909,451 | | | 664,311 | | |
| Other non-interest bearing liabilities | 73,153 | | | 62,391 | | |
| Stockholders' equity | 851,785 | | | 510,898 | | |
| | \$ | | | \$ | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | 7,879,761 | | | 5,764,512 | | |
| Net interest income/interest rate spread (4) | | \$ 57,256 | 2.82 % | | \$ 46,990 | 3.20 % |
| Taxable equivalent adjustment | | 1,979 | | | 1,448 | |
| | | | | | \$ | |
| Net interest income, as reported | \$ | 55,277 | | | 45,542 | |
| Net interest margin (5) | | | 3.24 % | | | 3.54 % |
| Tax equivalent effect | | | 0.11 % | | | 0.11 % |
| Net interest margin on a fully tax equivalent basis (5) | | | 3.35 % | | | 3.65 % |

- (1) Non-accrual loans are included in average loans.
- (2) Interest income includes amortization of deferred loan origination fees of \$1.7 million for both the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006.
- (3) Non-taxable loan and investment income is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis assuming a 35% tax rate.
- (4) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest earning assets and the average cost of interest bearing liabilities and is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis.
- (5) Net interest margin represents net interest income as a percentage of average interest earning assets.

Net interest income on a tax equivalent basis increased \$10.3 million, or 21.8% to \$57.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 from \$47.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. Tax-equivalent interest income increased by \$34.8 million, due to a \$1.7 billion, or 32.9% increase in average interest earning assets and an increase in overall short-term interest rates. The yield on average interest earning assets increased 40 basis points to 7.03%. The increase in average interest earning assets was primarily due to the acquisition of FOBB and organic growth. Interest expense increased by \$24.6 million due to a \$1.5 billion, or 33.5%, increase in average interest bearing liabilities. The increase in average interest bearing liabilities was primarily due to the acquisition of FOBB. The Company issued \$30 million in trust preferred securities to fund part of the cash portion of the FOBB merger consideration and also issued \$10 million in subordinated debt in the third quarter of 2006. The Company also assumed \$23 million of trust preferred securities originally issued by FOBB. The rate on average interest bearing liabilities increased 78 basis points to 4.21% due to the increase in overall short-term interest rates and the acquisition of FOBB.

The net interest margin expressed on a fully tax equivalent basis for the first quarter of 2007 decreased by 30 basis points from 3.65% in the first quarter of 2006 due to the acquisition of FOBB, the inverted yield curve, continued tight credit spreads on loans and fierce competition for deposits.

Volume and Rate Analysis of Net Interest Income

The following table presents the extent to which changes in volume and interest rates of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities have affected our interest income and interest expense during the periods indicated. Information is provided in each category with respect to (i) changes attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior period rate), (ii) changes attributable to changes in rates (changes in rates multiplied by prior period volume) and (iii) change attributable to a combination of changes in rate and volume (change in rates multiplied by the changes in volume) (in thousands). Changes attributable to the combined impact of volume and rate have been allocated proportionately to the changes due to volume and the changes due to rate.

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2007 Compared to March 31, 2006 | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Change Due to Volume | Change Due to Rate | Total Change |
| Interest Earning Assets: | | | |
| Loans | \$ 27,300 | \$ 2,766 | \$ 30,066 |
| Loans exempt from federal income taxes (1) | 266 | 24 | 290 |
| Taxable investment securities | 1,674 | 1,343 | 3,017 |
| Investment securities exempt from federal income taxes (1) | 1,188 | 40 | 1,228 |
| Federal funds sold | 232 | 5 | 237 |
| Other interest bearing deposits | (17) | 3 | (14) |
| Total increase in interest income | 30,643 | 4,181 | 34,824 |
| Interest Bearing Liabilities: | | | |
| | 2,553 | 2,491 | 5,044 |

| | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| NOW and money market deposit accounts | | | |
| Savings deposits | - | 20 | 20 |
| Time deposits | 9,577 | 5,991 | 15,568 |
| Short-term borrowings | 262 | 864 | 1,126 |
| Long-term borrowings and junior subordinated notes | 2,817 | (17) | 2,800 |
| Total increase (decrease) in interest expense | \$ 15,209 | \$ 9,349 | \$ 24,558 |
| Increase (decrease) in net interest income | \$ 15,434 | \$ (5,168) | \$ 10,266 |

(1) Non-taxable loan and investment income is presented on a fully tax equivalent basis assuming a 35% tax rate.

Balance Sheet

Total assets decreased \$90.5 million or 1.1% from \$8.0 billion at December 31, 2006 to \$7.9 billion at March 31, 2007. Net loans increased by \$88.5 million, or 6.9% on an annualized basis, to \$5.3 billion at March 31, 2007 from \$5.2 billion at December 31, 2006. In aggregate, commercial related credits grew by \$96.2 million, or 9.5% on a combined annualized basis. See "Loan Portfolio" section below for further analysis. Investment securities available for sale decreased by \$159.1 million, or 9.3%, to \$1.6 billion at March 31, 2007 from \$1.7 billion at December 31, 2006.

Total liabilities decreased by \$100.3 million, or 1.4% to \$7.0 billion at March 31, 2007 from \$7.1 billion at December 31, 2006. Total deposits decreased by \$73.5 million or 1.2% to \$5.8 billion at March 31, 2007 from \$5.9 billion at December 31, 2006, primarily due to a decline in brokerage deposit accounts of \$143.9 million, partially offset by an increase in public funds deposits of \$46.1 million. Long-term borrowings decreased \$71.1 million. This decrease was primarily due to a \$71.3 million decrease in Federal Home Loan Bank advances as a result of long-term advances being reclassified to short-term advances during the first quarter of 2007. Short-term borrowings increased by \$48.2 million due to an increase in Federal Home Loan Bank advances of \$215.9 million partially offset by decreases in Federal funds purchased and company repurchase agreements of \$105.3 million and \$36.9 million, respectively.

The Company has \$61.7 million of junior subordinated notes issued to capital trusts with a fixed coupon rate of 8.6% outstanding that become callable in September 2007. Given the current interest rates available on this type of debt, the Company anticipates calling these notes. Based on the number of fully diluted shares outstanding as of March 31, 2007, if the Company were to call these notes in the third quarter of 2007, the Company estimates that it would incur approximately \$0.03 to \$0.04 per diluted share of additional interest expense due to be recognized in the third quarter of 2007. The additional interest expense is due to unamortized issuance costs.

Total stockholders' equity increased \$9.8 million, or 1.2% to \$856.7 million at March 31, 2007 compared to \$847.0 million at December 31, 2006. The increase was primarily due to an \$11.5 million increase in retained earnings and a \$3.9 million increase in accumulated other comprehensive income. The increase in retained earnings was due to net income of \$18.1 million partially offset by \$6.6 million, or \$0.18 per share, in cash dividends. The increase in accumulated other comprehensive income was due to a change in unrealized loss on investment securities available for sale. Treasury stock increased by a \$5.3 million resulting from the repurchase of outstanding shares, partially offset by shares reissued due to the exercise of stock options during the first quarter of 2007.

At March 31, 2007, the Company's total risk-based capital ratio was 11.89%; Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ratio was 10.58% and Tier 1 capital to average asset ratio was 8.50%. MB Financial Bank, N.A. and Union Bank, N.A. were each categorized as "Well-Capitalized" under Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation regulations at March 31, 2007.

Loan Portfolio

The following table sets forth the composition of the loan portfolio as of the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

| | March 31, 2007 | | December 31, 2006 | | March 31, 2006 | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | Amount | % of Total | Amount | % of Total | Amount | % of Total |
| Commercial related credits: | | | | | | |
| Commercial loans | \$ 1,169,840 | 22 % | \$ 1,082,032 | 20 % | \$ 887,305 | 23 % |
| Commercial loans collateralized by assignment of lease payments | 463,224 | 9 % | 456,079 | 9 % | 333,931 | 9 % |
| Commercial real estate | 1,699,705 | 32 % | 1,690,148 | 32 % | 1,420,837 | 37 % |
| Construction real estate | 859,815 | 16 % | 868,105 | 17 % | 603,178 | 15 % |
| Total commercial related credits | \$ 4,192,584 | 79 % | \$ 4,096,364 | 78 % | \$ 3,245,251 | 84 % |
| Other loans: | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: MB FINANCIAL INC /MD - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Residential real estate | 594,575 | 11 % | 606,992 | 12 % | 384,593 | 10 % |
| Indirect vehicle | 120,342 | 2 % | 110,574 | 2 % | - | - % |
| Home equity | 368,947 | 7 % | 386,762 | 7 % | 227,286 | 5 % |
| Consumer loans | 68,108 | 1 % | 55,389 | 1 % | 27,545 | 1 % |
| Gross loans (1) | 5,344,556 | 100 % | 5,256,081 | 100 % | 3,884,675 | 100 % |
| Allowance for loan losses | (61,571) | | (61,617) | | (45,086) | |
| | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | |
| Net loans | 5,282,985 | | 5,194,464 | | 3,839,589 | |

(1) Gross loan balances at March 31, 2007, December 31, 2006, and March 31, 2006 are net of unearned income, including net deferred loan fees of \$3.0 million, \$3.3 million, and \$3.3 million, respectively.

Commercial related credits increased by \$96.2 million, or 9.5% on an annualized basis, to \$4.2 billion at March 31, 2007 from \$4.1 billion at December 31, 2006. The increase in commercial related credits from December 31, 2006 to March 31, 2007 was primarily due to growth in both existing customer and new customer loan demand resulting from the Company's focus on marketing and new business development. Loan balances in all categories increased from March 31, 2006 to March 31, 2007 due to the acquisition of FOBB and organic growth.

Asset Quality

The following table presents a summary of non-performing assets as of the dates indicated (dollar amounts in thousands):

| | March 31, 2007 | December 31, 2006 | March 31, 2006 |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Non-performing loans: | | | |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Non-accrual loans (1) | 25,283 | 23,521 | 20,694 |
| Loans 90 days or more past due, still accruing interest | - | 304 | 1 |
| Total non-performing loans | 25,283 | 23,825 | 20,695 |
| Other real estate owned | 319 | 2,844 | 98 |
| Repossessed vehicles | 61 | 192 | - |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Total non-performing assets | 25,663 | 26,861 | 20,793 |
| Total non-performing loans to total loans | 0.47% | 0.45% | 0.53% |
| Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans | 243.53% | 258.62% | 217.86% |
| Total non-performing assets to total assets | 0.33% | 0.34% | 0.36% |

(1) There were no restructured loans at March 31, 2007, December 31, 2006 and March 31, 2006.

Allowance for Loan Losses

Management believes the allowance for loan losses accounting policy is critical to the portrayal and understanding of our financial condition and results of operations. Selection and application of this “critical accounting policy” involves judgments, estimates, and uncertainties that are susceptible to change. In the event that different assumptions or conditions were to prevail, and depending upon the severity of such changes, materially different financial condition or results of operations is a reasonable possibility.

We maintain our allowance for loan losses at a level that management believes is adequate to absorb probable losses on existing loans based on an evaluation of the collectibility of loans, underlying collateral and prior loss experience. We use a risk rating system to evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. With this system, each loan, with the exception of those included in large groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans, is risk rated between one and nine, by, depending on the size of the loan, the originating loan officer, Senior Credit Management, loan review or applicable loan committee, with one being the best case and nine being a loss or the worst case. Estimated loan default factors are multiplied against loan balances in each risk-rating category and then multiplied by an historical loss given default rate by loan type to determine an appropriate level for the allowance for loan losses. A specific reserve may be determined on a loan by loan basis. Loans with risk ratings between six and eight are monitored more closely by the officers and Senior Credit Management, and may result in specific reserves. Control of our loan quality is continually monitored by management and is reviewed by our bank subsidiaries’ boards of directors at their regularly scheduled meetings. We consistently apply our methodology for determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, but may adjust our methodologies and assumptions based on historical information related to charge-offs and management’s evaluation of the current loan portfolio.

A reconciliation of the activity in the allowance for loan losses follows (dollar amounts in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 61,617 | \$ 44,979 |
| Additions from acquisition | - | - |
| Provision for loan losses | 4,000 | 1,100 |
| Charge-offs | (4,359) | (1,425) |
| Recoveries | 313 | 432 |
| Balance at March 31, | \$ 61,571 | \$ 45,086 |
| Total loans at March 31, | \$ 5,344,556 | \$ 3,884,675 |
| Total average loans at March 31, | \$ 5,269,705 | \$ 3,798,552 |
| Ratio of allowance for loan losses to total loans | 1.15% | 1.16% |
| Net loan charge-offs to average loans (annualized) | 0.31% | 0.11% |

Net charge-offs increased \$3.1 million to \$4.0 million in the quarter ended March 31, 2007 as compared to \$993 thousand in the quarter ended March 31, 2006. A substantial portion of the Company's \$4.4 million charge-off activity in the first quarter of 2007 was due to the charge-off of one commercial loan.

Provision for loan losses increased by \$2.9 million to \$4.0 million in the three months ended March 31, 2007 from \$1.1 million in the same period of 2006 based on the results of our quarterly analyses of the loan portfolio.

Additions to the allowance for loan losses, which are charged to earnings through the provision for loan losses, are determined based on a variety of factors, including specific reserves, current loan risk ratings, delinquent loans, historical loss experience and economic conditions in our market area. In addition, federal regulatory authorities, as part of the examination process, periodically review our allowance for loan losses. The regulators may require us to record adjustments to the allowance level based upon their assessment of the information available to them at the time of examination. Although management believes the allowance for loan losses is sufficient to cover probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio, there can be no assurance that the allowance will prove sufficient to cover actual loan losses.

We utilize an internal asset classification system as a means of reporting problem and potential problem assets. At each scheduled meeting of the boards of directors of our subsidiary banks, a watch list is presented, showing significant loan relationships listed as "Special Mention," "Substandard," and "Doubtful." Under our risk rating system noted above, Special Mention, Substandard, and Doubtful loan classifications correspond to risk ratings six, seven, and eight, respectively. An asset is classified Substandard, or risk rated seven if it is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or the collateral pledged, if any. Substandard assets include those characterized by the distinct possibility that we will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Assets classified as Doubtful, or risk rated eight have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified Substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. Assets classified as Loss, or risk rated nine are those considered uncollectible and viewed as valueless assets and have been charged-off. Assets that do not currently expose us to sufficient risk to warrant classification in one of the aforementioned categories, but possess

weaknesses that deserve management's close attention are deemed to be Special Mention, or risk rated six.

Our determination as to the classification of our assets and the amount of our valuation allowances is subject to review by the subsidiary banks' primary regulator, which can order the establishment of additional general or specific loss allowances. There can be no assurance that regulators, in reviewing our loan portfolio, will not request us to materially adjust our allowance for loan losses. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, in conjunction with the other federal banking agencies, has adopted an interagency policy statement on the allowance for loan losses. The policy statement provides guidance for financial institutions on both the responsibilities of management for the assessment and establishment of adequate allowances and guidance for banking agency examiners to use in determining the adequacy of general valuation guidelines. Generally, the policy statement recommends that (1) institutions have effective systems and controls to identify, monitor and address asset quality problems; (2) management has analyzed all significant factors that affect the collectibility of the portfolio in a reasonable manner; and (3) management has established acceptable allowance evaluation processes that meet the objectives set forth in the policy statement. Management believes it has established an adequate allowance for probable loan losses. We analyze our process regularly, with modifications made if needed, and report those results four times per year at meetings of our board of directors. However, there can be no assurance that regulators, in reviewing our loan portfolio, will not request us to materially adjust our allowance for loan losses at the time of their examination.

Although management believes that adequate specific and general loan loss allowances have been established, actual losses are dependent upon future events and, as such, further additions to the level of specific and general loan loss allowances may become necessary.

We define potential problem loans as loans rated substandard or doubtful which are included on the watch list presented to our bank subsidiaries' boards of directors that do not meet the definition of a non-performing loan (See "Asset Quality" section above for non-performing loans), but where known information about possible credit problems of borrowers causes management to have serious doubts as to the ability of such borrowers to comply with present loan repayment terms. Our decision to include performing loans in potential problem loans does not necessarily mean that we expect losses to occur, but that we recognize potential problem loans carry a higher probability of default. The aggregate principal amounts of potential problem loans as of March 31, 2007, December 31, 2006 and March 31, 2006 were approximately \$37.2 million, \$28.0 million and \$27.5 million, respectively. The increase in potential problem loans from December 31, 2006 to March 31, 2007, was primarily due to the addition of one commercial real estate loan during the first quarter of 2007.

Lease Investments

The lease portfolio is comprised of various types of equipment, generally technology related, including computer systems and satellite equipment, material handling and general manufacturing equipment. The credit quality of the lessee is often an investment grade public debt rating by Moody's or Standard & Poors, or the equivalent as determined by us, and at times below investment grade.

Lease investments by categories follow (in thousands):

| | March 31, 2007 | December 31, 2006 | March 31, 2006 |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Direct finance leases: | | | |
| | \$ | \$ | |
| Minimum lease payments | 40,935 | 45,438 | \$ 40,901 |
| Estimated unguaranteed residual values | 5,626 | 5,963 | 5,106 |
| Less: unearned income | (4,378) | (4,832) | (3,633) |
| | \$ | \$ | |
| Direct finance leases (1) | 42,183 | 46,569 | \$ 42,374 |
| Leveraged leases: | | | |
| | \$ | \$ | |
| Minimum lease payments | 30,385 | 28,005 | \$ 32,014 |
| Estimated unguaranteed residual values | 3,857 | 3,664 | 3,858 |
| Less: unearned income | (2,778) | (2,237) | (2,286) |
| Less: related non-recourse debt | (28,095) | (26,104) | (29,886) |
| | \$ | \$ | |
| Leveraged leases (1) | 3,369 | 3,328 | \$ 3,700 |
| Operating leases: | | | |
| | \$ | \$ | |
| Equipment, at cost | 129,986 | 142,828 | \$ 132,288 |

Edgar Filing: MB FINANCIAL INC /MD - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Less accumulated depreciation | (58,678) | (62,570) | (67,136) |
| | \$ | \$ | |
| Lease investments, net | 71,308 | 80,258 | \$ 65,152 |

(1) Direct finance and leveraged leases are included as commercial loans collateralized by assignment of lease payments for financial statement purposes.

Leases that transfer substantially all of the benefits and risk related to the equipment ownership to the lessee are classified as direct financing. If these direct finance leases have non-recourse debt associated with them, they are further classified as leveraged leases, and the associated debt is netted with the outstanding balance in the consolidated financial statements. Interest income on direct finance and leveraged leases is recognized using methods which approximate a level yield over the term of the lease.

Operating leases are investments in equipment leased to other companies, where the residual component makes up more than 10% of the investment. The Company funds most of the lease equipment purchases internally, but has some loans at other banks which totaled \$10.6 million at March 31, 2007, \$10.5 million at December 31, 2006 and \$10.6 million at March 31, 2006.

The lease residual value represents the present value of the estimated fair value of the leased equipment at the termination of the lease. Lease residual values are reviewed quarterly and any write-downs, or charge-offs deemed necessary are recorded in the period in which they become known. Gains on leased equipment periodically result when a lessee renews a lease or purchases the equipment at the end of a lease, or the equipment is sold to a third party at a profit. Individual lease transactions can, however, result in a loss. This generally happens when, at the end of a lease, the lessee does not renew the lease or purchase the equipment. To mitigate this risk of loss, we usually limit individual leased equipment residuals (expected lease book values at the end of initial lease terms) to approximately \$500 thousand per transaction and seek to diversify both the type of equipment leased and the industries in which the lessees to whom such equipment is leased participate. Often times, there are several individual lease schedules under one master lease. There were 1,638 leases at March 31, 2007 compared to 1,670 leases at December 31, 2006 and 1,498 leases at March 31, 2006. The average residual value per lease schedule was approximately \$19 thousand at March 31, 2007, and \$20 thousand at December 31, 2006 and March 31, 2006. The average residual value per master lease schedule was approximately \$169 thousand at March 31, 2007, \$190 thousand at December 31, 2006, and \$178 thousand at March 31, 2006.

At March 31, 2007, the following reflects the residual values for leases by category in the year the initial lease term ends (in thousands):

| End of initial lease term | Residual Values | | | Total |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|
| | Direct Finance Leases | Leveraged Leases | Operating Leases | |
| December 31, 2007 | \$ 1,825 | \$ 434 | \$ 4,500 | \$ 6,759 |
| 2008 | 1,459 | 1,269 | 3,749 | 6,477 |
| 2009 | 1,377 | 943 | 5,245 | 7,565 |
| 2010 | 411 | 908 | 2,314 | 3,633 |
| 2011 | 310 | 303 | 5,790 | 6,403 |
| 2012 | 244 | - | 236 | 480 |
| | \$ 5,626 | \$ 3,857 | \$ 21,834 | \$ 31,317 |

Investment Securities Available for Sale

The following table sets forth the amortized cost and fair value of our investment securities available for sale, by type of security as indicated (in thousands):

| | At March 31, 2007 | | At December 31, 2006 | | At March 31, 2006 | |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ 7,302 | \$ 7,280 | \$ 11,287 | \$ 11,248 | \$ 13,529 | \$ 13,435 |
| Government sponsored agencies | 566,002 | 566,907 | 694,327 | 692,424 | 345,012 | 339,884 |
| States and political subdivisions | 382,851 | 384,156 | 386,066 | 386,937 | 308,542 | 307,061 |
| M o r t g a g e - b a c k e d securities | 501,367 | 493,697 | 533,268 | 522,693 | 614,963 | 599,728 |
| Corporate bonds | 42,096 | 41,955 | 39,305 | 39,326 | 58,869 | 58,029 |
| Equity securities | 59,756 | 59,703 | 60,221 | 60,150 | 64,285 | 64,233 |
| Debt securities issued by foreign governments | 547 | 547 | 547 | 547 | - | - |
| Total | \$ 1,559,921 | \$ 1,554,245 | \$ 1,725,021 | \$ 1,713,325 | \$ 1,405,200 | \$ 1,382,370 |

Liquidity and Sources of Capital

Our cash flows are composed of three classifications: cash flows from operating activities, cash flows from investing activities, and cash flows from financing activities.

Cash flows from operating activities primarily include net income for the quarter, adjusted for items in net income that did not impact cash. Net cash provided by operating activities increased by \$24.1 million to \$35.6 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2007, from \$11.5 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2006. The increase was primarily due to a \$12.0 million net decrease in other assets for the quarter ended March 31, 2007, compared to a \$25.5 million net increase in other assets for the quarter ended March 31, 2006. This was partially offset by a \$7.0 million net decrease in other liabilities for the quarter ended March 31, 2007, compared to a \$9.5 million net increase in other liabilities for the quarter ended March 31, 2006. The net increase in other assets for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, was primarily due to the increase in accounts receivable for cash owed to the Company from outside parties for investment security sales. The net increase in other liabilities for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 was primarily due to the increase in accounts payable for cash owed to outside parties for investment security purchases.

Cash used in investing activities reflects the impact of loans and investments acquired for the Company's interest-earning asset portfolios, as well as cash flows from asset sales and the impact of acquisitions. For the three months ended March 31, 2007, the Company had net cash flows provided by investing activities of \$72.5 million, compared to net cash flows used in investing activities of \$134.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. The change in cash flows from investing activities was primarily due to an increase in proceeds from maturities and calls of investment securities available for sale and a lower net increase in loans for the three months ended March 31, 2007, compared to the three months ended March 31, 2006.

Cash flows from financing activities include transactions and events whereby cash is obtained from depositors, creditors or investors. For the three months ended March 31, 2007, the Company had net cash flows used in financing activities of \$109.4 million, compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$119.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. The change in cash flows from financing activities was primarily due to a net decrease in deposits for the three months ended March 31, 2007, compared a net increase in deposits for the three months ended March 31, 2006. The net increase in deposits for the three months ended March 31, 2006, was primarily due to an increase in brokered deposits. The net decrease in deposits for the three months ended March 31, 2007, was primarily due to a decline in brokered deposits, partially offset by an increase in public funds deposits.

We expect to have available cash to meet our liquidity needs. Liquidity management is monitored by an Asset/Liability Management Committee, consisting of members of management, and the board of directors of both of our subsidiary banks, which review historical funding requirements, current liquidity position, sources and stability of funding, marketability of assets, options for attracting additional funds, and anticipated future funding needs, including the level of unfunded commitments.

The Company has numerous sources of liquidity including readily marketable investment securities, shorter-term loans within the loan portfolio, principal and interest cash flows from investments and loans, the ability to attract retail and public fund time deposits and to purchase brokered time deposits.

In the event that additional short-term liquidity is needed, our banks have established relationships with several large regional banks to provide short-term borrowings in the form of federal funds purchases. While, at March 31, 2007, there were no firm lending commitments in place, management believes that our banks could borrow approximately \$415.7 million for a short time from these banks on a collective basis. Additionally, MB Financial Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago, Illinois and Union Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Topeka, Kansas and both banks have the ability to borrow from their respective Federal Home Loan Banks. We also have a \$30 million correspondent bank line of credit at the holding company level. See Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. As a contingency plan for significant funding needs, the Asset/Liability Management Committee may also consider the sale of investment securities, selling securities under agreement to repurchase, or the temporary curtailment of lending activities.

The following table summarizes our significant contractual obligations and other potential funding needs at March 31, 2007 (in thousands):

| Contractual Obligations | Total | Payments Due by Period | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|
| | | Less than 1 Year | 1 - 3 Years | 3 - 5 Years | More than 5 Years |
| Time deposits | \$3,209,473 | \$2,778,441 | \$260,816 | \$ 46,041 | \$124,175 |
| Long-term borrowings | 187,311 | 5,731 | 16,900 | 4,009 | 160,671 |
| Junior subordinated notes issued to capital trusts | 179,096 | - | - | - | 179,096 |
| Operating leases | 24,312 | 3,187 | 4,126 | 2,230 | 14,769 |
| Capital expenditures | 3,300 | 3,300 | - | - | - |
| Total | \$3,603,492 | \$2,790,659 | \$281,842 | \$ 52,280 | \$478,711 |
| Commitments to extend credit and letters of credit | \$2,056,431 | | | | |

Brokered time deposits maturing in 5 years or more are callable at the Company's discretion semiannually.

At March 31, 2007, the Company's total risk-based capital ratio was 11.89%; Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ratio was 10.58% and Tier 1 capital to average asset ratio was 8.50%. MB Financial Bank, N.A. and Union Bank, N.A. were each categorized as "Well-Capitalized" under Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation regulations at March 31, 2007.

Non-GAAP Financial Information

This report contains certain financial information determined by methods other than in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). These measures include net interest income on a fully tax equivalent basis and net interest margin on a fully tax equivalent basis. Our management uses these non-GAAP measures in its analysis of our performance. The tax equivalent adjustment to net interest income recognizes the income tax savings when comparing taxable and tax-exempt assets and assumes a 35% tax rate. Management believes that it is a standard practice in the banking industry to present net interest income and net interest margin on a fully tax equivalent basis, and accordingly believes that providing these measures may be useful for peer comparison purposes. These disclosures should not be viewed as substitutes for the results determined to be in accordance with GAAP, nor are they necessarily comparable to non-GAAP performance measures that may be presented by other companies. Reconciliations of net interest income on a fully tax equivalent basis to net interest income and net interest margin on a fully tax equivalent basis to net interest margin are contained in the tables under "Net Interest Margin."

Forward-Looking Statements

When used in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, in press releases or other public shareholder communications, or in oral statements made with the approval of an authorized executive officer, the words or phrases "believe," "will," "should," "will likely result," "are expected to," "will continue," "is anticipated," "estimate," "project," "plans," or similar expressions are intended to identify "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. These statements may relate to MB Financial, Inc.'s future financial performance, strategic plans or objectives, revenues or earnings projections, or other financial items. By their nature, these statements are subject to numerous uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated in the statements.

Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results anticipated or projected include, but are not limited to, the following: (1) expected cost savings and synergies from our merger and acquisition activities, including our acquisition of First Oak Brook Bancshares, Inc., might not be realized within the expected time frames, and costs or difficulties related to integration matters might be greater than expected; (2) the credit risks of lending activities, including changes in the level and direction of loan delinquencies and write-offs and changes in estimates of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses; (3) competitive pressures among depository institutions; (4) interest rate movements and their impact on customer behavior and net interest margin; (5) the impact of repricing and competitors' pricing initiatives on loan and deposit products; (6) the ability to adapt successfully to technological changes to meet customers' needs and developments in the market place; (7) our ability to realize the residual values of our direct finance, leveraged, and operating leases; (8) our ability to access cost-effective funding; (9) changes in financial markets; (10) changes in economic conditions in general and in the Chicago metropolitan area in particular; (11) the costs, effects and outcomes of litigation; (12) new legislation or regulatory changes, including but not limited to changes in federal and/or state tax laws or interpretations thereof by taxing authorities; (13) changes in accounting principles, policies or guidelines; (14) our future acquisitions of other depository institutions or lines of business; (15) the impact of the guidance recently prepared by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency regarding concentrations in real estate lending.

We do not undertake any obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect circumstances or events that occur after the date on which the forward-looking statement is made.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market Risk and Asset Liability Management

Market Risk. Market risk is the risk that the market value or estimated fair value of our assets, liabilities, and derivative financial instruments will decline as a result of changes in interest rates or financial market volatility, or that our net income will be significantly reduced by interest rate changes. Market risk is managed operationally in our Treasury Group, and is addressed through a selection of funding and hedging instruments supporting balance sheet assets, as well as monitoring our asset investment strategies.

Asset Liability Management. Management and our Treasury Group continually monitor our sensitivity to interest rate changes. It is our policy to maintain an acceptable level of interest rate risk over a range of possible changes in interest rates while remaining responsive to market demand for loan and deposit products. The strategy we employ to manage our interest rate risk is to measure our risk using an asset/liability simulation model. The model considers several factors to determine our potential exposure to interest rate risk, including measurement of repricing gaps, duration, convexity, value at risk, and the market value of portfolio equity under assumed changes in the level of interest rates, shape of the yield curves, and general market volatility. Management controls our interest rate exposure using several strategies, which include adjusting the maturities of securities in our investment portfolio, and limiting fixed rate loans or fixed rate deposits with terms of more than five years. We also use derivative instruments, principally interest rate swaps, to manage our interest rate risk. See Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Interest Rate Risk. Interest rate risk can come in a variety of forms, including repricing risk, yield curve risk, basis risk, and prepayment risk. We experience repricing risk when the change in the average yield of either our interest earning assets or interest bearing liabilities is more sensitive than the other to changes in market interest rates. Such a change in sensitivity could reflect a number of possible mismatches in the repricing opportunities of our assets and liabilities.

In the event that yields on our assets and liabilities do adjust to changes in market rates to the same extent, we may still be exposed to yield curve risk. Yield curve risk reflects the possibility the changes in the shape of the yield curve could have different effects on our assets and liabilities.

Variable, or floating rate, assets and liabilities that reprice at similar times and have base rates of similar maturity may still be subject to interest rate risk. If financial instruments have different base rates, we are subject to basis risk reflecting the possibility that the spread from those base rates will deviate.

We hold mortgage-related investments, including mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities. Prepayment risk is associated with mortgage-related investments and results from homeowners' ability to pay off their mortgage loans prior to maturity. We limit this risk by restricting the types of mortgage-backed securities we may own to those with limited average life changes under certain interest-rate shock scenarios, or securities with embedded prepayment penalties. We also limit the fixed rate mortgage loans held with maturities greater than five years.

Measuring Interest Rate Risk. As noted above, interest rate risk can be measured by analyzing the extent to which the repricing of assets and liabilities are mismatched to create an interest sensitivity gap. An asset or liability is said to be interest rate sensitive within a specific period if it will mature or reprice within that period. The interest rate sensitivity gap is defined as the difference between the amount of interest earning assets maturing or repricing within a specific time period and the amount of interest bearing liabilities maturing or repricing within that same time period. A gap is considered positive when the amount of interest rate sensitive assets exceeds the amount of interest rate sensitive liabilities. A gap is considered negative when the amount of interest rate sensitive liabilities exceeds the amount of interest rate sensitive assets. During a period of rising interest rates, therefore, a negative gap would tend to adversely affect net interest income. Conversely, during a period of falling interest rates, a negative gap position would tend to result in an increase in net interest income.

The following table sets forth the amounts of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities outstanding at March 31, 2007 that we anticipate, based upon certain assumptions, to reprice or mature in each of the future time periods shown. Except as stated below, the amount of assets and liabilities shown which reprice or mature during a particular period were determined based on the earlier of the term to repricing or the term to repayment of the asset or liability. The table is intended to provide an approximation of the projected repricing of assets and liabilities at March 31, 2007 based on contractual maturities and scheduled rate adjustments within a three-month period and subsequent selected time intervals. The loan amounts in the table reflect principal balances expected to be reinvested and/or repriced because of contractual amortization and rate adjustments on adjustable-rate loans. Loan and investment securities' contractual maturities and amortization reflect expected prepayment assumptions. While NOW, money market and savings deposit accounts have adjustable rates, it is assumed that the interest rates on some of the accounts will not adjust immediately to changes in other interest rates.

Therefore, the information in the table is calculated assuming that NOW, money market and savings deposits will reprice as follows: 4%, 8% and 7%, respectively, in the first three months, 13%, 23%, and 22%, respectively, in the next nine months, 47%, 51% and 50%, respectively, from one year to five years, and 36%, 18%, and 21%, respectively over five years (dollars in thousands):

| | Time to Maturity or Repricing | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 0 - 90 Days | | 91 - 365 Days | | 1 - 5 Years | | Over 5 Years | | Total |
| Interest Earning Assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest bearing deposits with banks | \$ | | \$ | 266 | \$ | | \$ | | \$ |
| | | 10,366 | | | | 1,155 | | - | 11,787 |
| Federal funds sold | | 45,010 | | - | | - | | - | 45,010 |
| Investment securities available for sale | | 160,455 | | 180,042 | | 632,618 | | 581,130 | 1,554,245 |
| Loans held for sale | | - | | - | | - | | - | - |
| | | | | | | | | | \$ |
| Loans | | 3,153,769 | | 746,565 | | 1,371,214 | | 73,008 | 5,344,556 |
| Total interest earning assets | \$ | | \$ | 926,873 | \$ | | \$ | | \$ |
| | | 3,369,600 | | | | 2,004,987 | | 654,138 | 6,955,598 |
| Interest Bearing Liabilities: | | | | | | | | | |
| NOW and money market deposit accounts | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | 607,309 | \$ | | \$ |
| | | 79,418 | | 238,740 | | | | 324,638 | 1,250,105 |
| Savings deposits | | 33,932 | | 101,297 | | 229,116 | | 95,080 | 459,425 |
| Time deposits | | 1,442,153 | | 1,495,159 | | 269,547 | | 2,614 | 3,209,473 |
| Short-term borrowings | | 440,842 | | 323,780 | | - | | - | 764,622 |
| Long-term borrowings | | 59,832 | | 4,169 | | 21,008 | | 102,302 | 187,311 |
| Junior subordinated notes issued to capital trusts | | 110,387 | | - | | - | | 68,709 | 179,096 |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ |
| | | 2,166,564 | | 2,163,145 | | 1,126,980 | | 593,343 | 6,050,032 |
| Cumulative Rate sensitive assets (RSA) | \$ | | \$ | 4,296,473 | \$ | | \$ | | \$ |
| | | 3,369,600 | | | | 6,301,460 | | 6,955,598 | 6,955,598 |
| Cumulative Rate sensitive liabilities (RSL) | | 2,166,564 | | 4,329,709 | | 5,456,689 | | 6,050,032 | 6,050,032 |
| Cumulative GAP (GAP=RSA-RSL) | | 1,203,036 | | (33,236) | | 844,771 | | 905,566 | 905,566 |
| RSA/Total assets | | 42.72 % | | 54.47 % | | 79.89 % | | 88.18 % | 88.18 % |
| RSL/Total assets | | 27.47 % | | 54.89 % | | 69.18 % | | 76.70 % | 76.70 % |
| GAP/Total assets | | 15.25 % | | (0.42) % | | 10.71 % | | 11.48 % | 11.48 % |
| GAP/RSA | | 35.70 % | | (0.77) % | | 13.41 % | | 13.02 % | 13.02 % |

Certain shortcomings are inherent in the method of analysis presented in the foregoing table. For example, although certain assets and liabilities may have similar maturities or periods to repricing, they may react in different degrees to changes in market interest rates. Also, the interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate in

advance of changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types of assets may lag behind changes in market rates. Additionally, in the event of a change in interest rates, prepayment and early withdrawal levels would likely deviate significantly from those assumed in calculating the table. Therefore, we do not rely on a gap analysis to manage our interest rate risk, but rather we use what we believe to be the more reliable simulation model relating to changes in net interest income.

Based on simulation modeling which assumes immediate changes in interest rates at March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, we believe that our net interest income would change over a one-year period due to changes in interest rates as follows (dollars in thousands):

| Immediate Changes in Levels of Interest Rates | Change in Net Interest Income Over One Year Horizon | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | At March 31, 2007 | | At December 31, 2006 | |
| | Dollar Change | Percentage Change | Dollar Change | Percentage Change |
| + 2.00 % | \$ 7,519 | 3.18 % | \$ 2,507 | 1.06 % |
| + 1.00 | 4,402 | 1.86 | 1,932 | 0.82 |
| (1.00) | (5,346) | (2.26) | (3,139) | (1.32) |
| (2.00) | (12,510) | (5.29) | (9,713) | (4.10) |

In addition to the simulation assuming an immediate change in interest rates above, management models many scenarios including simulations with gradual changes in interest rates over a one-year period to evaluate our interest rate sensitivity. Based on simulation modeling which assumes gradual changes in interest rates, we believe that our net interest income would change over a one-year period due to changes in interest rates as follows (dollars in thousands):

| Gradual Changes in Levels of Interest Rates | Change in Net Interest Income Over One Year Horizon | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | At March 31, 2007 | | At December 31, 2006 | |
| | Dollar Change | Percentage Change | Dollar Change | Percentage Change |
| + 2.00 % | \$ 4,662 | 1.97 % | \$ 1,693 | 0.71 % |
| + 1.00 | 2,664 | 1.13 | 1,322 | 0.56 |
| (1.00) | (2,195) | (0.93) | (2,170) | (0.92) |
| (2.00) | (4,703) | (1.99) | (3,735) | (1.58) |

In both the immediate and gradual interest rate sensitivity tables above, changes in net interest income between March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 reflect changes in the composition of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, related interest rates, repricing frequencies, and the fixed or variable characteristics of the interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities.

Management also reviews our interest rate sensitivity under certain scenarios in which the general shape of the yield curve changes. One such scenario is a gradual reversion to a normal yield curve, based on the mean value for the appropriate periods on the yield curve. Gradual reversion to a normal yield curve assumes a gradual decrease in short-term interest rates for 3 month rates and 1 year rates of 5.35% to 4.04% and 5.22% to 4.20%, respectively, and a gradual rise in long-term interest rates for 10 year rates and 30 year rates of 5.18% to 5.60% and 5.39% to 5.95%, respectively. Under this scenario, our net interest income is projected to increase by \$6.7 million or 2.81% over a one year period.

The assumptions used in our interest rate sensitivity simulations discussed above are inherently uncertain and, as a result, the simulations cannot precisely measure net interest income or precisely predict the impact of changes in interest rates on net interest income. Actual results will differ from simulated results due to timing, magnitude and frequency of interest rate changes as well as changes in market conditions and management strategies.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures: An evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”)) was carried out as of March 31, 2007 under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and several other members of our senior management. Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of March 31, 2007, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that the information we are required to disclose in the reports we file or submit under the Act is (i) accumulated and communicated to our management (including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer) to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure, and (ii) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting: During the quarter ended March 31, 2007, no change occurred in our internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

We do not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control procedure, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control procedure are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control procedures, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns in controls or procedures can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any control procedure also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control procedure, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

PART II. - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the factors disclosed in Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(c) The following table sets forth information for the three months ended March 31, 2007 with respect to our repurchases of our outstanding common shares:

| Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Number of Shares Purchased as Part Publicly Announced Plans or | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1) |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| | | | Programs | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|
| January 1, 2007 - January 31, 2007 | - | - | - | 1,000,000 |
| February 1, 2007 - February 28, 2007 | 132,100 | \$ 37.13 | 132,100 | 867,900 |
| March 1, 2007 - March 31, 2007 | 100,000 | 35.95 | 100,000 | 767,900 |
| Total | 232,100 | \$ 36.62 | 232,100 | |

(1) On January 24, 2007, the Company announced a stock repurchase program to buy up to 1,000,000 shares of its outstanding shares in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions over a twelve-month period.

Item 6. Exhibits

See Exhibit Index.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MB FINANCIAL, INC.

Date: May 9, 2007

By: /s/ Mitchell Feiger
Mitchell Feiger
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: May 9, 2007

By: /s/ Jill E. York
Jill E. York
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Principal Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

| <u>Exhibit Number</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2.1 | Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of April 19, 2001, by and among the Registrant, MB Financial, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“Old MB Financial”) and MidCity Financial (incorporated herein by reference to Appendix A to the joint proxy statement-prospectus filed by the Registrant pursuant to Rule 424(b) under the Securities Act of 1933 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) on October 9, 2001) |
| 2.2 | Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of November 1, 2002, by and among the Registrant, MB Financial Acquisition Corp II and South Holland Bancorp, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2 to the Registrant’s Current Report Form 8-K filed on November 5, 2002 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 2.3 | Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of January 9, 2004, by and among the Registrant and First SecurityFed Financial, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2 to the Registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2004 (File No.0-24566-01)) |
| 2.4 | Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of May 1, 2006, by and among the Registrant, MBFI Acquisition Corp. and First Oak Brook Bancshares, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 2, 2006 (File No.0-24566-01)) |
| <u>3.1</u> | <u>Charter of the Registrant, as amended *</u> |
| 3.2 | Bylaws of the Registrant, as amended (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant’s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed on March 2, 2007 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 4.1 | The Registrant hereby agrees to furnish to the Commission, upon request, the instruments defining the rights of the holders of each issue of long-term debt of the Registrant and its consolidated subsidiaries |
| 4.2 | Certificate of Registrant’s Common Stock (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. One to the Registrant’s Registration Statement on Form S-4 (No. 333-64584)) |
| 10.1 | Reserved |
| 10.2 | Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Mitchell Feiger (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year-end December 31, 2002 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.2A | Amendment No. One to Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Mitchell Feiger (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2A to the Registrant’s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed on March 2, 2007 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.3 | Form of Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Burton Field (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Old MB Financial’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended |

EXHIBIT INDEX

| <u>Exhibit Number</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 10.3A | Amendment No. One to Employment Agreement between MB Financial Bank, N.A. and Burton Field (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3A to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed on April 6, 2004 (File No. 333-114252)) |
| 10.3B | Amendment No. Two to Employment Agreement between MB Financial Bank, N.A. and Burton Field (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3B to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year-end December 31, 2005 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.3C | Amendment No. Three to Employment Agreement between MB Financial Bank, N.A. and Burton Field (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3C to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed on March 2, 2007 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.4 | Form of Change of Control Severance Agreement between MB Financial Bank, National Association and each of Thomas Panos, Jill E. York, Thomas P. Fitzgibbon, Jr., and others (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.5 | Reserved. |
| 10.6 | Coal City Corporation 1995 Stock Option Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (No. 333-64584)) |
| 10.6A | Amendment to Coal City Corporation 1995 Stock Option Plan ((incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6A to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed on March 2, 2007 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.7 | MB Financial, Inc. Amended and Restated Omnibus Incentive Plan (the "Omnibus Incentive Plan") (incorporated herein by reference to Appendix A to the Registrant's definitive proxy statement filed on March 23, 2007 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.8 | Amended and Restated MB Financial Stock Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.9 | Amended and Restated MB Financial Non-Stock Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.10 | Avondale Federal Savings Bank Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Old MB Financial's (then known as Avondale Financial Corp.) Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996 (File No. 0-24566)) |
| 10.11 | Non-Competition Agreement between the Registrant and E.M. Bakwin (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended |

EXHIBIT INDEX

| <u>Exhibit Number</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 10.12 | Non-Competition Agreement between the Registrant and Kenneth A. Skopec (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.13 | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between MB Financial Bank, N.A. and Ronald D. Santo (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 14, 2004 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.13A | Amendment to Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between MB Financial Bank, N.A. and Ronald D. Santo ((incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13A to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed on March 2, 2007 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.14 | First SecurityFed Financial, Inc. 1998 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit B to the definitive proxy statement filed by First SecurityFed Financial, Inc. on March 24, 1998 (File No. 0-23063)) |
| 10.14A | Amendment to First SecurityFed Financial, Inc. 1998 Stock Option and Incentive Plan ((incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.14A to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed on March 2, 2007 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.15 | Tax Gross Up Agreements between the Registrant and each of Mitchell Feiger, Burton J. Field, Ronald D. Santo, Thomas D. Panos, Jill E. York, Thomas P. FitzGibbon, Jr., and Jeffrey L. Husserl (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibits 10.1 - 10.7 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 5, 2004 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.16 | Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement for Executive Officers under the Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on March 2, 2005 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.17 | Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement for Directors under the Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on March 2, 2005 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.18 | Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for Executive Officers under the Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on March 2, 2005 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.19 | Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for Directors under the Omnibus Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on March 2, 2005 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.20 | First Oak Brook Bancshares, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Appendix A to the definitive proxy statement filed by First Oak Brook Bancshares, Inc. ("First Oak |

- 10.20A Brook”) on March 30, 2004 (File No. 0-14468))
Amendment to First Oak Brook Bancshares, Inc. Incentive
Compensation Plan ((incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit
10.20A to the Registrant’s Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the
year ended December 31, 2006, filed on March 2, 2007 (File No.
0-24566-01))

EXHIBIT INDEX

| <u>Exhibit Number</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 10.21 | First Oak Brook Bancshares, Inc. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Appendix A to the definitive proxy statement filed by First Oak Brook on April 2, 2001 (File No. 0-14468)) |
| 10.21A | Amendment to First Oak Brook Bancshares, Inc. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan ((incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.21A to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed on March 2, 2007 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.22 | First Oak Brook Bancshares, Inc. Directors Stock Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed by First Oak Brook on October 25, 1999 (File No. 333-89647)) |
| <u>10.22A</u> | <u>Amendment to First Oak Brook Bancshares, Inc. Directors Stock Plan*</u> |
| 10.23 | Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Richard M. Rieser, Jr. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.24 | Tax Gross Up Agreement between the Registrant and Richard M. Rieser, Jr. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.25 | Form of Supplemental Pension Benefit Agreement for Richard M. Rieser, Jr. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to First Oak Brook's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994 (File No. 0-14468)) |
| 10.26 | Form of Agreement Regarding Post-Employment Restrictive Covenants between the Registrant (as successor to First Oak Brook) and Richard M. Rieser, Jr. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to First Oak Brook's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994 (File No. 0-14468)) |
| 10.27 | First Oak Brook Bancshares, Inc. Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to First Oak Brook's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997 (File No. 0-14468)) |
| <u>10.27A</u> | <u>Amendment to First Oak Brook Bancshares, Inc. Executive Deferred Compensation Plan*</u> |
| 10.28 | Transitional Employment Agreement between the Registrant (as successor to First Oak Brook) and Susan G. Peterson (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.29 | Form of Transitional Employment Agreement between the Registrant (as successor to First Oak Brook) and Rosemarie Bouman (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to First Oak Brook's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998 (File No. 0-14468)) |

EXHIBIT INDEX

| <u>Exhibit Number</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 10.29A | First Amendment to Transitional Employment Agreement between the Registrant (as successor to First Oak Brook) and Rosemarie Bouman ((incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.28A to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed March 2, 2007 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 10.29B | Second Amendment to Transitional Employment Agreement between the Registrant (as successor to First Oak Brook) and Rosemarie Bouman ((incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.28B to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2006, filed March 2, 2007 (File No. 0-24566-01)) |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a - 14(a)/15d - 14(a) Certification (Chief Executive Officer)* |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a - 14(a)/15d - 14(a) Certification (Chief Financial Officer)* |
| 32 | Section 1350 Certifications* |

* Filed herewith.

