ALLIANT ENERGY CORP Form DEF 14A April 01, 2010 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant x Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

"Preliminary Proxy Statement

- " CONFIDENTIAL, FOR USE OF THE COMMISSION ONLY (AS PERMITTED BY RULE 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

ALLIANT ENERGY CORPORATION

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

 $(Name\ of\ Person(s)\ Filing\ Proxy\ Statement,\ if\ other\ than\ the\ Registrant)$

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- " Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5)	
(5)	Total fee paid:
 Fee 1	paid previously with preliminary materials.
 Chec	ck box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
(1)	Amount Previously Paid:
(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
(-)	,
(3)	Filing Party:
(4)	Date Filed:

ALLIANT ENERGY CORPORATION ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREOWNERS

DATE: Thursday, May 20, 2010

TIME: 1:00 p.m. (Central Daylight Time)

LOCATION: Alliant Energy Center of Dane County

Exhibition Hall

1919 Alliant Energy Center Way

Madison, WI 53713

SHAREOWNER INFORMATION

Wells Fargo Shareowner Services

161 North Concord Exchange

P. O. Box 64854

St. Paul, MN 55164-0854

1-800-356-5343

www.wellsfargo.com/shareownerservices

Alliant Energy Corporation

4902 North Biltmore Lane

P. O. Box 14720

Madison, WI 53708-0720

Phone: 608-458-3110

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING AND PROXY STATEMENT

Dear Alliant Energy Corporation Shareowner:

On Thursday, May 20, 2010, Alliant Energy Corporation will hold its 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareowners at the **Alliant Energy Center of Dane County Exhibition Hall, 1919 Alliant Energy Center Way, Madison, WI 53713.** The meeting will begin at 1:00 p.m. (Central Daylight Time).

Only our shareowners of record at the close of business on March 18, 2010 may vote at this meeting. All shareowners are requested to be present at the meeting in person or by proxy so that a quorum may be ensured. At the meeting, our shareowners will be asked to:

- 1. Elect four directors to serve on our Board of Directors for terms expiring at the 2013 Annual Meeting;
- 2. Approve the Alliant Energy Corporation 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan;
- 3. Ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2010; and
- 4. Attend to any other business properly presented at the meeting. Our Board of Directors presently knows of no other business to come before the meeting.

If your shares are registered directly with our Shareowner Direct Plan, the Alliant Energy 401(k) Savings Plan or the IES Employee Stock Ownership Plan, then you may vote those shares by telephone or Internet. Instructions for voting by these convenient methods are shown on the enclosed proxy card. If you prefer, you may sign and date the enclosed proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope.

A copy of our 2009 Annual Report was included in the mailing of this Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareowner Meeting to Be Held on May 20, 2010. The Alliant Energy Corporation proxy statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareowners and the 2009 Annual Report to Shareowners are available at http://www.alliantenergy.com/eproxy.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

F. J. Buri

Corporate Secretary &

Assistant General Counsel

Dated, mailed and made available on the

Internet on or about April 1, 2010.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Questions and Answers	1
Election of Directors	4
Meetings and Committees of the Board	6
Corporate Governance	9
Ownership of Voting Securities	13
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	14
Compensation and Personnel Committee Report	23
Summary Compensation Table	24
Grants of Plan-Based Awards	26
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End	27
Option Exercises and Stock Vested	28
Pension Benefits	29
Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	32
Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control	33
Director Compensation	40
Report of the Audit Committee	43
Proposal for the Approval of the Alliant Energy Corporation 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan	44
Proposal for the Ratification of the Appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company s Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for 2010	52
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	53
Appendix A Alliant Energy Corporation 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan	A-1

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

1. Q: Why am I receiving these materials?

A: Our Board of Directors is providing these proxy materials to you in connection with our Annual Meeting of Shareowners (the Annual Meeting), which will take place on Thursday, May 20, 2010. As a shareowner, you are invited to attend the Annual Meeting and are entitled to and requested to vote on the proposals described in this proxy statement.

2. Q: What is Alliant Energy Corporation?

A: We are a public utility holding company whose primary first tier subsidiaries are Interstate Power and Light Company (IPL), Wisconsin Power and Light Company (WPL), Alliant Energy Resources, LLC (Resources) and Alliant Energy Corporate Services, Inc. (Corporate Services).

3. Q: Who is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

A: Only shareowners of record at the close of business on March 18, 2010 are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. As of the record date, 110,767,976 shares of our common stock were issued and outstanding. Each shareowner is entitled to one vote for each share of our common stock held on the record date.

4. Q: What may I vote on at the Annual Meeting?

A: You may vote on:

The election of four nominees to serve on our Board of Directors for terms expiring at the 2013 Annual Meeting;

The approval of the Alliant Energy Corporation 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan; and

The ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2010.

5. Q: How does the Board of Directors recommend I vote?

A: Our Board of Directors recommends that you vote your shares FOR each of the listed director nominees; FOR the approval of the Alliant Energy Corporation 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan; and FOR the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2010.

6. Q: How can I vote my shares?

A: You may vote either in person at the Annual Meeting or by appointing a proxy. If your shares are registered directly with our Shareowner Direct Plan, the Alliant Energy 401(k) Savings Plan or the IES Employee Stock Ownership Plan, then you have three options to appoint a proxy:

By telephone;

By Internet; or

By mailing the proxy card.

Please refer to the instructions included on your proxy card to vote by proxy. If you hold your shares through a bank, broker or other record holder, then you may vote by the methods your bank or broker make available, in which case the bank or broker will include instructions with this proxy statement. If you vote by the Internet, then you should understand that there might be costs associated with electronic access that you must bear, such as usage charges from Internet access providers and telephone companies. Appointing a proxy will not affect your right to vote your shares if you attend the Annual Meeting and desire to vote in person.

7. Q: How are votes counted?

A: In voting for the election of directors, you may vote FOR all of the director nominees or you may WITHHOLD your vote with respect to one or more nominees. In voting on the proposals for the approval of the Alliant Energy Corporation 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan or to ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2010, you may vote FOR, AGAINST or you may ABSTAIN. If you return your signed proxy card but do not mark the boxes showing how you wish to vote, your shares will be voted FOR all listed director nominees, FOR the proposal for the approval of the Alliant Energy Corporation 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan and FOR the proposal to ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2010. If your proxy card is not signed, your votes will not be counted.

If you hold your shares though a bank, broker or other record holder and you do not provide such bank, broker or other record holder with specific voting instructions on a timely basis, your shares will not be voted with respect to

1

the election of directors or the proposal for the approval of the Alliant Energy Corporation 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan. We urge you to carefully consider all of the proposals and direct your bank, broker or other record holder to vote your shares as you desire.

8. Q: Can I change my vote?

A: You have the right to revoke your proxy at any time before the Annual Meeting by:

Providing written notice to our Corporate Secretary and voting in person at the Annual Meeting; or Appointing a new proxy prior to the start of the Annual Meeting.

Attendance at the Annual Meeting will not cause your previously appointed proxy to be revoked unless you specifically so request in writing.

9. Q: What shares are included on the proxy card(s)?

A: Your proxy card(s) covers all of your shares of our common stock, including any shares held in your account under our Shareowner Direct Plan and the 401(k) Savings Plan. For present or past employees of IPL, your proxy card includes any shares held in your account under the IES Employee Stock Ownership Plan.

10. Q: How is the Company s common stock held for employees in the Alliant Energy Corporation 401(k) Savings Plan voted?

A: Your shares held in the 401(k) Savings Plan will be included on the proxy card that covers all of your shares of our common stock. You will not receive a separate proxy card for these shares.

11. Q: What does it mean if I get more than one proxy card?

A: If your shares are registered differently and are in more than one account, then you will receive more than one proxy card. Be sure to vote all of your accounts to ensure that all of your shares are voted. We encourage you to have all accounts registered in the same name and address (whenever possible). You can accomplish this by contacting Wells Fargo Shareowner Services at the shareowner information number shown at the front of this proxy statement.

12. Q: Who may attend the Annual Meeting?

A: All shareowners who owned our shares of common stock on March 18, 2010 may attend the Annual Meeting. You will be asked to indicate whether you plan to attend the Annual Meeting when voting by telephone or Internet, or you may indicate your intention to attend the Annual Meeting on the enclosed proxy card.

13. Q: How will voting on any other business be conducted?

A: Our Board of Directors does not know of any business to be considered at the Annual Meeting other than the election of directors, the approval of the Alliant Energy Corporation 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan and the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2010. If any other business is properly presented at the Annual Meeting, your proxy gives William D. Harvey, our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, and F. J. Buri, our Corporate Secretary, authority to vote on such matters at their discretion.

14. Q: Where and when will I be able to find the results of the voting?

A: The results of the voting will be announced at the Annual Meeting. You may also call us at the information number shown on the Notice of Annual Meeting for the results. We will also file the voting results on a Current Report on Form 8-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) within four business days following the Annual Meeting.

15. Q: Are our 2009 Annual Report and these proxy materials available on the Internet?

A: Yes. As required by rules adopted by the SEC, we are making our proxy statement and our annual report available to our shareowners electronically via the Internet. You can access these materials at http://www.alliantenergy.com/eproxy.

16. Q: How can I access future proxy materials and annual reports on the Internet?

A: We are offering you the opportunity to consent to access our future notices of shareowner meetings, proxy materials and annual reports electronically through our web site.

If you are a shareowner of record, you can consent to access these materials electronically to allow us to save the cost of producing and mailing these materials by marking the appropriate box on your proxy card or by following the

2

instructions provided if you vote over the Internet or by telephone. If you consent to access these materials over the Internet, then you will receive a proxy card in the mail next year with instructions containing the Internet address to access those materials. However, you will not receive those proxy materials and the annual report by mail. Your consent will remain in effect unless it is revoked by writing to Wells Fargo Shareowner Services at the shareowner information address shown at the front of this proxy statement.

If you hold your stock through a bank, broker or other holder of record, please refer to the information provided by that entity for instructions on how to elect to view future proxy statements and annual reports over the Internet.

If you consent to electronic access, then you will be responsible for your usual Internet-related charges (e.g., on-line fees and telephone charges) in connection with electronic viewing and printing of proxy materials and annual reports. We will continue to distribute printed materials to shareowners who do not consent to access these materials electronically.

17. O: When are shareowner proposals for the 2011 Annual Meeting due?

A: All shareowner proposals to be considered for inclusion in our proxy statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting, pursuant to Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Rule 14a-8), must be received at our principal office by Dec. 2, 2010.

In addition, any shareowner who intends to present a proposal at the 2011 Annual Meeting must comply with the requirements set forth in our Bylaws. Our Bylaws state, among other things, that to bring business before an annual meeting, a shareowner must give written notice that complies with the Bylaws to our Corporate Secretary not later than 45 days in advance of the first annual anniversary of the date we first mailed our proxy statement to shareowners for the prior year s annual meeting. Accordingly, we must receive notice of a shareowner s proposal submitted other than pursuant to Rule 14a-8 no later than Feb. 15, 2011. If the notice is received after Feb. 15, 2011, then the notice will be considered untimely and we are not required to present such proposal at the 2011 Annual Meeting. If our Board of Directors chooses to present a proposal submitted other than pursuant to Rule 14a-8 at the 2011 Annual Meeting, then the persons named in the proxies solicited by the Board of Directors for the 2011 Annual Meeting may exercise discretionary voting power with respect to such proposal.

18. O: Who is our independent registered public accounting firm and how is it appointed?

A: Deloitte & Touche LLP audited our financial statements for the year ended Dec. 31, 2009. Representatives of Deloitte & Touche LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting with the opportunity to make a statement if they so desire and to be available to respond to appropriate questions. The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has appointed, and is recommending for ratification by shareowners its appointment of, Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending Dec. 31, 2010.

19. Q: Who will bear the cost of soliciting proxies for the Annual Meeting and how will these proxies be solicited?

A: We will pay the cost of preparing, assembling, printing, mailing and distributing these proxy materials. In addition to the mailing of these proxy materials, the solicitation of proxies or votes may be made in person, by telephone or by electronic communication by our officers and employees who will not receive any additional compensation for these solicitation activities. We will pay banks, brokers, nominees and other fiduciaries reasonable charges and expenses incurred in forwarding the proxy materials to their principals. We have retained Laurel Hill Advisory Group LLC to aid in the solicitation at an estimated cost of \$8,500 plus reimbursable out-of-pocket expenses.

20. Q: If more than one shareowner lives in my household, how can I obtain an extra copy of the 2009 Annual Report and proxy statement?

A: Pursuant to the rules of the SEC, services that deliver our communications to shareowners that hold their stock through a bank, broker or other holder of record may deliver to multiple shareowners sharing the same address a single copy of our 2009 Annual Report and proxy statement. Upon written or oral request, we will mail a copy of the 2009 Annual Report and proxy statement to any shareowner at a shared address to which a single copy of the document was previously delivered. You may notify us of your request by calling or writing to us at the information address or number shown on the Notice of Annual Meeting. You may also access these materials at http://www.alliantenergy.com/eproxy.

3

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

At the Annual Meeting, four directors will be elected for terms expiring in 2013. The nominees for election as recommended by the Nominating and Governance Committee and selected by the Board of Directors are Michael L. Bennett, Darryl B. Hazel, David A. Perdue and Judith D. Pyle. Each of the nominees is currently serving on our Board of Directors. Each person elected as a director will serve until our Annual Meeting of Shareowners in 2013, or until his or her successor has been duly qualified and elected.

Directors will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast at the meeting (assuming a quorum is present). Consequently, any shares not voted at the meeting will have no effect on the election of directors. The proxies solicited may be voted for a substitute nominee or nominees if any of the nominees are unable to serve, or for good reason will not serve, a contingency not now anticipated.

Brief biographies of the director nominees and continuing directors follow. These biographies include their ages (as of Dec. 31, 2009); an account of their specific business experience; the names of publicly held and certain other corporations of which they also are, or have been within the past five years, directors; and a brief discussion of their specific experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that led to the conclusion that they should serve as directors. Except as otherwise indicated, each nominee and continuing director has been engaged in his or her present occupation for at least the past five years.

NOMINEES

MICHAEL L. BENNETT

Director since 2003

Age 56

Nominated Term expires in 2013

Mr. Bennett has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Terra Industries Inc., an international producer of nitrogen products headquartered in Sioux City, Iowa, since April 2001. He also serves as Chairman of the Board and President for Terra Nitrogen Company, L.P., a subsidiary of Terra Industries Inc. Terra has entered into a publicly announced merger agreement with CF Industries Holdings, Inc. that may be expected to close in the second quarter of 2010 and it is possible Mr. Bennett may not hold such positions after the closing. Mr. Bennett has served as a Director of IPL and WPL since 2003. Mr. Bennett is Chairperson of the Nominating and Governance Committee, our Lead Independent Director and an audit committee financial expert. Mr. Bennett s leadership of a publicly traded company and his experience in operations, customer perspectives, legal and human resource matters led to the conclusion that he should serve on our Board of Directors.

DARRYL B. HAZEL

Director since 2006

Age 61

Nominated Term expires in 2013

Mr. Hazel has been the principal of Darryl Hazel Consulting LLC, a business consulting firm in Detroit, Mich., since January 2010. He retired in January 2010 from his position as Senior Vice President, Global Services Initiatives of Ford Motor Company, an automobile manufacturer. He also served as President of the Customer Service Division and Senior Vice President of Ford Motor Company from March 2006 to September 2009. He previously served as President of Marketing of Ford Motor Company from September 2005 to March 2006; President of the Ford Division from April 2005 to September 2005; and President of the Lincoln Mercury Division from August 2002 to April 2005. Mr. Hazel has served as a Director of IPL and WPL since 2006. Mr. Hazel is an audit committee financial expert. Mr. Hazel s long-term experience as an executive of a publicly traded company and its subsidiaries along with his experience in operations, customer perspectives, human resources, technology matters and diversity initiatives led to the conclusion that he should serve on our Board of Directors.

DAVID A. PERDUE

Director since 2001

Age 60

Nominated Term expires in 2013

Mr. Perdue has been the Chief Executive Officer of Aquila Group LLC based in Sea Island, Ga., a private investment firm involved with, among other investments, retail markets in India, since 2007. He retired in July 2007 from his position as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Dollar General Corporation, a retail organization headquartered in Goodlettsville, Tenn. He was named Chief Executive Officer and a Director in

April 2003 and elected Chairman of the Board in June 2003. From July 2002 to March 2003, he was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Pillowtex Corporation, a textile manufacturing company. Pillowtex emerged from bankruptcy in May 2002 and reentered bankruptcy in July 2003. Mr. Perdue has served on the board of directors of Jo-Ann Stores, Inc., since 2008, and Liquidity Services, Inc., since 2009. Mr. Perdue has served as a Director of IPL and WPL since 2001. Mr. Perdue is an audit committee financial expert. Mr. Perdue s leadership of publicly traded companies and his experience in operations, customer perspectives, marketing, human resources and technology matters led to the conclusion that he should serve on our Board of Directors.

4

JUDITH D. PYLE

Director since 1992

Age 66

Nominated Term expires in 2013

Ms. Pyle is President of Judith Dion Pyle and Associates, a financial services company located in Middleton, Wis. Prior to assuming her current position in 2003, she served as Vice Chair of The Pyle Group, a financial services company located in Madison, Wis. She previously served as Vice Chair and Senior Vice President of Corporate Marketing of Rayovac Corporation, a battery and lighting products manufacturer located in Madison, Wis. In addition, Ms. Pyle is a director of Uniek, Inc. Ms. Pyle has served as a Director of WPL since 1994 and of IPL since 1998. Ms. Pyle s experience in operations, marketing, human resources and diversity initiatives led to the conclusion that she should serve on our Board of Directors.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR all nominees for election as directors.

CONTINUING DIRECTORS

WILLIAM D. HARVEY

Director since 2005

Age 60

Term expires in 2011

Mr. Harvey has served as Chairman of the Board of the Company, IPL and WPL since February 2006. He has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and as the Chief Executive Officer of IPL, WPL and Resources since July 2005 and as President of Resources since January 2005. He previously served as President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company and Chief Operating Officer of IPL, WPL and Resources since January 2004 and as Executive Vice President Generation for the Company, IPL and Resources and President of WPL from 1998 to January 2004. Mr. Harvey s long-term experience with our operations, customer perspectives, utility and environmental regulation, legal matters, safety, and diversity initiatives led to the conclusion that he should serve as Chairman of the Board.

SINGLETON B. MCALLISTER

Director since 2001

Age 57

Term expires in 2011

Ms. McAllister has been a partner in the Washington D. C. office of the law firm of LeClair & Ryan LLP since October 2007. She previously served as a partner in the law firm of Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo P. C. from July 2005 to October 2007. Ms. McAllister served as the Corporate Diversity Counsel practice group chair and in the public law and policy strategies group of the Washington, D.C. law firm office of Sonnenschein, Nath & Rosenthal, LLP from 2003 to July 2005. She serves on the board of directors of United Rentals, Inc. since 2004. Ms. McAllister has served as a Director of IPL and WPL since 2001. Ms. McAllister s experience in legal, legislative, regulatory, public affairs, human resources and diversity initiatives led to the conclusion that she should serve on our Board of Directors.

ANN K. NEWHALL

Director since 2003

Age 58

Term expires in 2012

Ms. Newhall retired in August 2008 from her position as Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer, Secretary and a Director of Rural Cellular Corporation (RCC), a cellular communications corporation located in Alexandria, Minn., following RCC s sale to Verizon. Ms. Newhall held this position from 2000 to 2008. Ms. Newhall has served as a Director of IPL and WPL since 2003. Ms. Newhall is Chairperson of the Compensation & Personnel Committee. Ms. Newhall s leadership in a publicly traded company and her experience in operations, customer perspectives, legal, regulatory, human resources and technology matters led to the conclusion that she should serve on our Board of Directors.

DEAN C. OESTREICH

Director since 2005

Age 57

Term expires in 2012

Mr. Oestreich has served as a consultant to Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc., developer and supplier of advanced plant genetics, and a wholly-owned subsidiary of DuPont Corporation, located in Johnston, Iowa, since January 2010. He previously served as Chairman from November 2007 to his retirement in December 2009. He also served as Vice President of DuPont Corporation from 2004 through 2009. He previously served as President of Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. from 2004 to 2007. Mr. Oestreich has served as a Director of IPL and WPL since 2005. Mr. Oestreich is Chairperson of the Environmental, Nuclear, Health and Safety Committee. Mr. Oestreich s experience with publicly traded companies, operations, customer perspectives, regulatory and public affairs, human resources, technology, environmental matters and safety led to the conclusion that he should serve on our Board of Directors.

5

CAROL P. SANDERS

Director since 2005

Age 42

Term expires in 2012

Ms. Sanders has served as Chief Financial Officer and Corporate Secretary of Jewelers Mutual Insurance Company of Neenah, Wis., a nationwide insurer that specializes in protecting jewelers and personal jewelry, since 2004. She previously served as Controller and Assistant Treasurer of Sentry Insurance located in Stevens Point, Wis. from 2001 to 2004. Ms. Sanders has served as a Director of IPL and WPL since 2005. Ms. Sanders is Chairperson of the Audit Committee and an audit committee financial expert. Ms. Sanders experience with publicly traded companies, operations, customer perspectives, regulatory matters, human resources and technology matters led to the conclusion that she should serve on our Board of Directors.

MEETINGS AND COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD

The Board of Directors has standing Audit; Compensation and Personnel; Nominating and Governance; Environmental, Nuclear, Health and Safety; Capital Approval; and Executive Committees. The Board of Directors has adopted formal written charters for each of the Audit, Compensation and Personnel, and Nominating and Governance Committees, which are available on our web site at www.alliantenergy.com/investors under the Corporate Governance caption. The following is a description of each of these committees:

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee held six meetings in 2009. The Committee currently consists of C. P. Sanders (Chair), M. L. Bennett, D. B. Hazel, and D. A. Perdue. Each of the members of the Committee is independent as defined by the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) listing standards and SEC rules. The Board of Directors has determined that Ms. Sanders and the other three Audit Committee members qualify as audit committee financial experts as defined by SEC rules. The Audit Committee is responsible for assisting Board oversight of: (1) the integrity of our financial statements; (2) our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; (3) the independent registered public accounting firm s qualifications and independence; and (4) the performance of our internal audit function and independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee is also directly responsible for the appointment, retention, termination, compensation and oversight of our independent registered public accounting firm.

Compensation and Personnel Committee

The Compensation and Personnel Committee held eight meetings in 2009. The Committee currently consists of A. K. Newhall (Chair), D. B. Hazel, D. C. Oestreich and C. P. Sanders. Each of the members of the Committee is independent as defined by the NYSE listing standards and SEC rules. This Committee reviews and approves corporate goals and objectives relevant to chief executive officer compensation and the compensation of the other principal executive officers, evaluates the chief executive officer s performance and determines and approves as a committee, or together with the other independent directors, the chief executive officer s compensation level based on its evaluation of the chief executive officer s performance in addition to reviewing and approving the recommendations of the chief executive officer with regard to the other executive officers. The Committee has responsibilities with respect to our executive compensation and incentive programs and management development programs. It also makes recommendations to the Nominating and Governance Committee regarding compensation for the non-management directors.

To support the Committee in carrying out its mission, the Committee has the authority to engage the services of outside advisors, experts and others to assist the Committee with the expense of such outside consultants provided for by us. For 2009, the Committee formally engaged Towers Perrin, now Towers Watson, as an outside compensation consultant to serve as an advisor in evaluating the compensation of our chief executive officer, other named executive officers and our outside non-management directors. Towers Watson also provides assistance and serves as an advisor and provides market information and trends regarding executive compensation programs; provides benchmarking and competitive market reviews of our executive officer total compensation; assists with the design of our short- and long-term incentive programs and executive retirement programs as well as assisting management with the implementation of these programs; and provides technical considerations and actuarial services. We provide for the appropriate funding, as determined by the Committee, for payment of fees for these services and related out of pocket expenses to Towers Watson. For services provided in 2009, these fees totaled approximately \$100,000. The Committee has the authority to retain and terminate the outside compensation consultant. During 2009 and previously, Towers Watson, through a separate part of its organization, also provided certain services for management purposes that are recommended and approved by members of management, including the chief executive officer, Vice President Human Resources, and the Director of Total Rewards. In the capacity as a consultant to

6

Table of Contents

management, Towers Watson provides competitive market data and business and technical insight, but does not recommend pay program and pay level changes. In 2009, we paid Towers Watson approximately \$1,041,000 for services provided to management. The Committee was aware of and acknowledged the services provided for management by Towers Watson when it engaged Towers Watson. The Committee has determined that the measures taken to ensure the independence of the advice given by Towers Watson to the Committee are appropriate.

The Committee reviews and approves all elements of our executive compensation programs. Our chief executive officer provides input to the Committee in the assessment, design and recommendation of executive compensation programs, plans and awards. Annually, the chief executive officer reviews with the Committee market data provided by Towers Watson about the comparable companies that are identified as our peer group to help verify survey job information adequately captures officers—duties. Based on that data, the chief executive officer recommends to the Committee base salary adjustments and short- and long-term incentive targets in relation to external market data while also considering internal equity considerations and executive officers—individual performance. The chief executive officer provides recommendations to the Committee for total annual compensation of executive officers. The chief executive officer does not, however, make any recommendation to the Committee regarding his own compensation. Further, the chief executive officer and other executive officers assess the performance of those executive officers reporting to them. The chief executive officer is invited to attend all Committee meetings to provide an update of progress made towards achievement of annual performance goals and to provide management—s views on compensation program design features and components.

The Committee has reviewed and approved the charter for our internal Total Compensation Committee made up of vice presidents of our energy delivery, generation, finance/treasury, human resources and Corporate Services business units. The Committee has delegated to the Total Compensation Committee various powers of design and administration associated with our employee benefit plans for salaried and hourly employees. The Committee has also reviewed and approved the charter for our internal Investment Committee. The Investment Committee is made up of voting members and non-voting members. The voting members include vice presidents of our finance/treasury, human resources, energy delivery and accounting business units. Non-voting members include assistant treasurers, directors of business and financial performance for Corporate Services and energy delivery, a lead treasury analyst and the Director of Total Rewards. The Committee has delegated to the Investment Committee various powers regarding managing investment assets of our benefit and compensation plans and programs.

Nominating and Governance Committee

The Nominating and Governance Committee held four meetings in 2009. The Committee currently consists of M. L. Bennett (Chair), S. B. McAllister, D. A. Perdue and J. D. Pyle. Each of the members of the Committee is independent as defined by the NYSE listing standards and SEC rules. This Committee s responsibilities are to: (1) identify individuals qualified to become Board members, consistent with the criteria approved by the Board, and to recommend nominees for directorships to be filled by the Board or shareowners; (2) identify and recommend Board members qualified to serve on Board committees; (3) develop and recommend to the Board a set of corporate governance principles; (4) oversee the evaluation of the Board and our management; (5) oversee our related person transaction policy; and (6) advise the Board with respect to other matters relating to our corporate governance.

The Committee is responsible for evaluating nominees for director and director candidates based on such general and specific criteria and for seeking to assure that the specific talents, skills and other characteristics that are needed to increase the Board s effectiveness are possessed by an appropriate combination of directors. Our Corporate Governance Principles, as adopted by the Board of Directors, provide insight for the Committee on the consideration of appropriate criteria for director nominees.

In making recommendations of nominees to serve as directors to the Board of Directors, the Committee will examine each director nominee on a case-by-case basis regardless of who recommended the nominee and take into account all factors it considers appropriate, which may include strength of character, mature judgment, career specialization, relevant technical skills or financial acumen, diversity of viewpoint and industry knowledge. However, the Committee believes that, to be recommended as a director nominee, each candidate must:

display the highest personal and professional ethics, integrity and values;

have the ability to exercise sound business judgment;

7

Table of Contents

be highly accomplished in his or her respective field, with superior credentials and recognition and broad experience at the administrative and/or policy-making level in business, government, education, technology or public interest;

have relevant expertise and experience, and be able to offer advice and guidance to the Chief Executive Officer based on that expertise and experience;

be independent of any particular constituency, be able to represent all of our shareowners and be committed to enhancing long-term shareowner value; and

have sufficient time available to devote to activities of the Board of Directors and to enhance his or her knowledge of our business. The Committee also believes the following qualities or skills are necessary for one or more directors to possess:

At least one director should have the requisite experience and expertise to be designated as an audit committee financial expert as defined by the applicable rules of the SEC.

Directors generally should be active or former senior executive officers of public companies or leaders of major and/or complex organizations, including commercial, governmental, educational and other non-profit institutions.

Directors should be selected so that the Board of Directors is a diverse body, with diversity reflecting age, gender, race and professional experience.

The Committee has determined that each nominee for director as well as each continuing member of the Board of Directors possesses the applicable criteria for directors outlined above. In addition, the Committee annually reviews particular attributes, qualities and skills attendant to the members of our Board of Directors and documents this annual assessment through the use of a directors—skills matrix that assesses directors experiences and expertise in areas such as public company environment, finance, operations, customer perspective, regulatory and public affairs, legal, human resources, technology, environment and safety, and diversity initiatives. Diversity is one of our core values and, in addition to being reflected in our directors—skills matrix and in the criteria specified for use in the evaluation of our director nominees by the Committee, it is reflected in the Board of Directors—responsibilities in advising and counseling management. Specifically, our Corporate Governance Principles provide that the Board of Directors is responsible for using the broad range of experiences and perspectives of directors to advise and counsel management, both in meetings and in informal consultations, on significant issues facing the Company. In its annual performance evaluation, the Committee assesses whether it effectively identifies individuals qualified to be nominated to the Board of Directors for election by the shareowners consistent with the criteria approved by the Board. We believe that our Board of Directors has been effective in assembling a diverse body of individuals as measured by the criteria of age, gender, race and professional experience specified in our Corporate Governance Principles.

The Committee will consider nominees recommended by shareowners in accordance with our Nominating and Governance Committee Charter and our Corporate Governance Principles. Any shareowner wishing to make a recommendation should write to our Corporate Secretary and include appropriate biographical information concerning each proposed nominee. The Corporate Secretary will forward all recommendations to the Committee. Our Bylaws also set forth certain requirements for shareowners wishing to nominate director candidates directly for consideration by shareowners. These provisions require such nominations to be made pursuant to timely notice (as specified in the Bylaws) in writing to our Corporate Secretary.

We and the Committee maintain a file of recommended potential director nominees, which is reviewed at the time a search for a new director needs to be performed. To assist the Committee in its identification of qualified director candidates, the Committee may engage an outside search firm.

Environmental, Nuclear, Health and Safety Committee

The Environmental, Nuclear, Health and Safety Committee held three meetings in 2009. The Committee currently consists of D. C. Oestreich (Chair), S. B. McAllister, A. K. Newhall and J. D. Pyle. Each of the members of the Committee is independent as defined by the NYSE listing standards and SEC rules. The Committee s responsibilities are to review environmental policy and planning issues of interest to us, including matters involving our company before environmental regulatory agencies and compliance with air, water and waste regulations. The Committee also reviews health and safety-

related policies, activities and operational issues as they affect employees, customers and the general public. In addition, the Committee reviews issues related to nuclear generating facilities from which our utility subsidiaries purchase power.

Capital Approval Committee

The Capital Approval Committee held two meetings in 2009. The Committee currently consists of M. L. Bennett, A. K. Newhall and D. C. Oestreich. Mr. Harvey is the Chair and a non-voting member of this Committee. The purpose of this Committee is to evaluate certain investment proposals where (1) an iterative bidding process is required, and/or (2) the required timelines for a proposal would not permit the proposal to be brought before a regular meeting of the Board of Directors and/or a special meeting of the full Board of Directors is not practical or merited.

Executive Committee

The Executive Committee held no meetings in 2009. The Committee currently consists of M. L. Bennett, A. K. Newhall, D. C. Oestreich and C. P. Sanders. Mr. Harvey is the Chair and a non-voting member of this Committee. The purpose of this Committee is to possess all the powers and authorities of the Board of Directors when the Board is not in session, except for the powers and authorities excluded for such a Committee under the Wisconsin Business Corporation Law.

Special Litigation Committee

Independent members of the Board of Directors created a Special Litigation Committee on Dec. 11, 2008 to conduct an inquiry into the allegations made in a demand we received on Oct. 31, 2008 from legal counsel of a purported shareowner. The Committee currently consists of D. B. Hazel and C. P. Sanders as independent directors under Section 180.0744 of the Wisconsin Business Corporation Law. On Feb. 27, 2009, a complaint was filed in the Circuit Court of Dane County, Wisconsin by the purported shareowner. Following submission of a report as contemplated under the statute above, we filed a motion to dismiss the complaint. The motion to dismiss the lawsuit remains pending.

Attendance and Performance Evaluations

The Board of Directors held eight meetings during 2009. Each director attended at least 75% of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board and Board committees on which he or she served.

The Board and each Board committee conduct performance evaluations annually to determine their effectiveness and suggest improvements for consideration and implementation. In addition, the Compensation and Personnel Committee evaluates Mr. Harvey s performance as chief executive officer on an annual basis.

Board members are expected to attend our annual meetings of shareowners. All Board members were present for our 2009 Annual Meeting.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Corporate Governance Principles

Our Board of Directors has adopted Corporate Governance Principles that, in conjunction with the Board committee charters, establish processes and procedures to help ensure effective and responsive governance by the Board. The Corporate Governance Principles are available on our web site at www.alliantenergy.com/investors under the Corporate Governance caption.

The Board of Directors has adopted certain categorical standards of independence to assist it in making determinations of director independence under the NYSE listing standards. The categorical standards are available in Appendix A to our Corporate Governance Principles available on our web site at www.alliantenergy.com/investors under the Corporate Governance caption.

The Board of Directors also gave consideration to certain other factors in relation to an independence determination. Messrs. Bennett, Hazel, Oestreich and Ms. Pyle serve (or served during 2009) as executive officers and/or directors of companies that are customers or, in the case of Mr. Bennett, suppliers of our public utility subsidiaries. These customer/supplier relationships do not constitute a material relationship under NYSE listing standards cited above or the SEC rules governing related person transactions. However, each of these circumstances was evaluated under the applicable NYSE listing standards and SEC rules. The Board determined that these factors did not impair the independence of these directors.

Based on these standards and this evaluation, the Board of Directors has affirmatively determined by resolution that each of Messrs. Bennett, Hazel, Oestreich, and Perdue and Mses. McAllister, Newhall, Pyle and Sanders has no material relationship with us and, therefore, is independent in accordance with the NYSE listing standards. The Board of Directors will regularly review the continuing independence of the directors.

The Corporate Governance Principles provide that at least 75% of the members of the Board of Directors must be independent directors under the NYSE listing standards. The Audit, Compensation and Personnel, and Nominating and Governance Committees must consist of all independent directors.

Related Person Transactions

We have adopted a written policy that we will annually disclose information regarding related person transactions that is required by regulations of the SEC to be disclosed, or incorporated by reference, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. For purposes of the policy:

The term related person means any of our directors or executive officers, or nominee for director, and any member of the immediate family of such person.

A related person transaction is generally a consummated or currently proposed transaction in which we were or are to be a participant and the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, and in which the related person had or will have a direct or indirect material interest. A related person transaction does <u>not</u> include:

the payment of compensation by us to our executive officers, directors or nominee for director;

a transaction if the interest of the related person arises solely from the ownership of our shares and all shareowners receive the same benefit on a pro rata basis;

a transaction in which the rates or charges involved are determined by competitive bids, or that involves the rendering of services as a common or contract carrier, or public utility, at rates or charges fixed and conformity with law or governmental authority; or

a transaction that involves our services as a bank, transfer agent, registrar, trustee under a trust indenture, or similar services. Furthermore, a related person is not deemed to have a material interest in a transaction if the person s interest arises only (i) from the person s position as a director of another party to the transaction; (ii) from the ownership by such person and all other related persons, in the aggregate, of less than a 10% equity interest in another person (other than a partnership) that is a party to the transaction; (iii) from such person s position as a limited partner in a partnership and all other related persons have an interest of less than 10% of and the person is not a general partner of or holds another position in, the partnership; and (iv) from both such director position and ownership interest. Pursuant to the policy, each of our executive officers, directors and nominees for director is required to disclose to the Nominating and Governance Committee of the Board of Directors certain information regarding the related person transaction for review, approval or ratification by the Nominating and Governance Committee. Such disclosure to the Nominating and Governance Committee should occur before, if possible, or as soon as practicable after the related person transaction is effected, but in any event as soon as practicable after the executive officer, director or nominee for director becomes aware of the related person transaction.

The Nominating and Governance Committee s decision whether or not to approve or ratify the related person transaction should be made in light of the Committee s determination as to whether consummation of the transaction is believed by the Committee to not be, or to have been contrary to, the best interests of our company. The Committee may take into account the effect of a director s related person transaction on such person s status as an independent member of our board of directors and eligibility to serve on board committees under SEC and NYSE rules.

Based on these standards, we had no related person transactions in 2009, and no related person transactions are currently proposed.

10

Board Leadership Structure; Executive Sessions

Our Bylaws and our Corporate Governance Principles provide that the Board of Directors is responsible to select a Chairperson and a Chief Executive Officer. Our Corporate Governance Principles also provide that the Board of Directors should have the flexibility to decide whether it is best for our company that the two positions be filled by the same individual and that, if the Chairperson of the Board is not an independent director, the chairperson of the Nominating and Governance Committee will be designated the Lead Independent Director. The Board of Directors has determined that the positions of Chairperson of the Board and Chief Executive Officer should be held by one individual with the use of a Lead Independent Director. In choosing to combine the roles of Chairperson of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, the Board of Directors has expressed its belief that our management, through the Chairperson and Chief Executive Officer should have the primary accountability, and the responsibility to act as the spokesperson, for us. The Board of Directors believes that maintaining the positions of Chairperson and Chief Executive Officer in a single individual will promote the enhancement of a consistent and accurate message to our investors, employees, customers and other constituencies.

While our Corporate Governance Principles do not grant the Lead Independent Director any special authority over management, both the Board of Directors and management recognize the Lead Independent Director as a key position of leadership within the Board of Directors. Our Corporate Governance Principles do provide that the Lead Independent Director will preside at regular executive sessions of the Board without management participation. We believe that the use of a Lead Independent Director has proven effective for us and has greatly assisted with the facilitation of communication of important issues between the Board of Directors and the Chief Executive Officer. Subsequent to the adoption of our Corporate Governance Principles formally establishing the Lead Independent Director position, our Lead Independent Director s role has developed to include additional board governance activities, including the following examples:

communicating applicable information arising out of the deliberations in executive sessions to the Chairperson and Chief Executive Officer;

reviewing with the Chairperson and Chief Executive Officer items of importance for consideration by the Board of Directors;

acting as principal liaison between the independent directors and the Chairperson and Chief Executive Officer on sensitive issues;

discussing with the Chairperson and Chief Executive Officer important issues to assess and evaluate the view of the Board of Directors:

consulting and meeting with any or all of our independent directors, at the discretion of either party and with or without the attendance of the Chairperson and Chief Executive Officer;

in conjunction with the Nominating and Governance Committee, recommending to the Chairperson the membership of the various board committees and selection of the board committee chairs;

in conjunction with the Nominating and Governance Committee, interviewing all board candidates and making recommendations to the Board of Directors on director nominees;

mentoring and counseling new members of the Board of Directors to assist them in becoming active and effective directors;

in conjunction with the Nominating and Governance and Compensation and Personnel Committees, reviewing and approving the philosophy of, and program for, compensation of the independent directors; and

evaluating, along with the other members of the Board of Directors, the Chief Executive Officer s performance and meeting with the Chief Executive Officer to discuss the Board of Directors evaluation.

As the Chairperson of the Nominating and Governance Committee, Mr. Bennett is currently designated as the Lead Independent Director. At every regular in-person meeting of the Board of Directors, the independent directors meet in executive session with no member of our management present.

11

Risk Oversight

Our Corporate Governance Principles provide that the Board of Directors is responsible for overseeing and understanding our vision and mission, strategic plans, overall corporate risk profile, risk parameters, annual operating plans and annual budgets and for monitoring whether these plans are being implemented effectively. The Board of Directors annually conducts a broad based risk assessment with the assistance of the Chief Audit Executive. The methodology of the risk assessment identifies key themes and trends, quantifies our key risks and develops mitigation plans and strategies. This assessment provides the platform to develop appropriate audit plans and to ensure resources are devoted to areas having the highest risk. This assessment culminates in the Annual Risk Management Report to the Board of Directors. On an on-going basis, the Audit Committee regularly discusses our policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management, our financial risk exposures and the steps we have taken to monitor and control such exposures. The Board of Directors relies on the Compensation and Personnel Committee to address potential risks arising from our general compensation programs and policies for all employees, and the Committee recently conducted an assessment of these policies and practices to determine whether risks arising from them were reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us, as described in further detail under Compensation Committee Risk Assessment below.

Communication with Directors

Shareowners and other interested parties may communicate with the full Board, non-management directors as a group or individual directors, including the Lead Independent Director, by providing such communication in writing to our Corporate Secretary, who will post such communications directly to our Board of Directors web site.

Ethical and Legal Compliance Policy

We have adopted a Code of Conduct, that serves as our code of ethics, and that applies to all employees, including our chief executive officer, chief financial officer and chief accounting officer, as well as our Board of Directors. We make our Code of Conduct available on our web site at www.alliantenergy.com/investors under the Corporate Governance caption. We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirements under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding amendments to, or waivers from, the Code of Conduct by posting such information on our web site.

12

OWNERSHIP OF VOTING SECURITIES

Listed in the following table are the number of shares of our common stock beneficially owned as of Feb. 26, 2010 by (1) the executive officers listed in the Summary Compensation Table, (2) all of our director nominees and directors and (3) all director nominees, directors and the executive officers as a group. The directors and executive officers as a group owned less than 1% of the outstanding shares of common stock on that date. No individual director or officer owned more than 1% of the outstanding shares of common stock on that date.

	SHARES
NAME OF BENEFICIAL OWNER	BENEFICIALLY OWNED ⁽¹⁾
Executive Officers ⁽²⁾	
Thomas L. Aller	99,374 ⁽³⁾
Dundeana K. Doyle	$31,514^{(3)}$
Eliot G. Protsch	149,849 ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾
Barbara J. Swan	81,937 ⁽³⁾
Patricia L. Kampling	29,753 ⁽³⁾
Director Nominees	
Michael L. Bennett	15,934 ⁽³⁾
Darryl B. Hazel	$8,404^{(3)(4)}$
David A. Perdue	$12,789^{(3)}$
Judith D. Pyle	17,104
Directors	
William D. Harvey	$332,130^{(3)}$
Singleton B. McAllister	12,562 ⁽³⁾
Ann K. Newhall	13,393 ⁽³⁾
Dean C. Oestreich	14,763 ⁽³⁾
Carol P. Sanders	11,389 ⁽³⁾
All Evaportive Officers and Directors as a Crown (16 magnla)	884,398 ⁽³⁾
All Executive Officers and Directors as a Group (16 people)	884,398

- (1) Total shares of Company common stock outstanding as of Feb. 26, 2010 were 110,758,089.
- (2) Stock ownership of Mr. Harvey is shown with the directors.
- Included in the beneficially owned shares shown are indirect ownership interests with shared voting and investment powers: Mr. Harvey 3,460 and Mr. Aller 1,000; shares of common stock held in deferred compensation plans: Mr. Bennett 15,467, Mr. Harvey 45,577, Mr. Hazel 8,272, Ms. McAllister 7,377, Ms. Newhall 12,321, Mr. Oestreich 13,763, Mr. Perdue 12,789, Ms. Sanders 11,289, Mr. Protsch 42,627, Mr. Aller 8,203, Ms. Doyle 8,830, Ms. Kampling 497, Ms. Swan 27,025 (all executive officers and directors as a group 223,905); and stock options exercisable on or within 60 days of Feb. 26, 2010: Mr. Harvey 33,056 and Mr. Aller 68,759 (all executive officers and directors as a group 102,815).
- (4) Mr. Hazel has pledged 100 shares in a margin account.
- (5) Mr. Protsch retired effective Jan. 2, 2010. The number of shares reported in the table and footnote 3 above are as of Jan. 12, 2010, the last date on which we were able to obtain the information from Mr. Protsch.

The following table sets forth information, as of Dec. 31, 2009, regarding beneficial ownership by the only persons known to us to own more than 5% of our common stock. The beneficial ownership set forth below has been reported on Schedule 13G filings with the SEC by the beneficial owners.

Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership

	Voting l	Voting Power Investmen		nt Power	Percent	
						of
Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Sole	Shared	Sole	Shared	Aggregate	Class
BlackRock, Inc. (f/k/a Barclays Global Investors)	8,476,492	0	8,476,492	0	8,476,492	7.66%
(and certain affiliates)						
40 East 52 nd Street						
New York, NY 10022						

13

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Objectives of Compensation Programs:

We are committed to maintaining a total compensation program for executive officers that:

furthers our strategic plan,

focuses and aligns executives and employees interests with those of our company, our shareowners and our customers,

is competitive with comparable employers to help ensure we attract and retain talented employees, and

is equitable among executives.

We believe these objectives attract, retain and motivate a highly proficient workforce.

We adhere to the following compensation principles, which are intended to facilitate the achievement of our business strategies:

Executive officer compensation (and in particular, long-term incentive compensation) should be closely and strongly aligned with the long-term interests of our shareowners and customers.

Total compensation should enhance our ability to attract, retain and encourage the development of exceptionally knowledgeable and experienced executive officers, upon whom, in large part, our successful operation and management depends.

Base salary levels should be targeted at a competitive market range of base salaries paid to executive officers of comparable companies. Specifically, we target the median (50th percentile) of base salaries paid by comparable companies.

Incentive compensation programs should strengthen the relationship between pay and performance by emphasizing variable at-risk compensation based on meeting predetermined company, subsidiary, business unit and individual performance goals. We target incentive levels at the median (50th percentile) of incentive compensation paid by comparable companies.

Executive officers should have access to retirement-oriented plans commonly in use among comparable companies, including deferred compensation plans, pension plans, supplemental retirement programs and 401(k) plans.

Executive officers should have significant holdings of our common stock to align their interests with the interests of our shareowners. **Benchmarking**

We utilize compensation data from general industry and the energy services sector in determining the appropriate levels of compensation for our executives. Although our business is focused in the energy services sector, we believe that the competitive labor market for our company includes both general industry and the energy services industry, particularly for those executives who serve in a general management capacity. We believe that consideration of general industry data for these positions allows us to effectively attract and retain best candidates and that compensation programs at companies both in general industry and the energy services industry should therefore serve as a benchmark for what

constitutes competitive compensation. The comparable companies in the energy and utility industry that we used for benchmarking in 2009 were drawn from Towers Perrin s 2008 Energy Services Industry Executive Compensation Database (the 2008 Energy Services database), a survey which comprises nearly all U.S. utilities. The general industry data were obtained from Towers Perrin s 2008 General Industry Executive Compensation Database, a survey of over 800 companies the majority of which are Fortune 1000 companies (the 2008 General Industry database). In using these broad-based surveys, we considered only aggregate data and did not select any individual companies for comparison. All of the survey data were aged to January 2009 using a 3.6% annual update factor. The data from both databases were adjusted to reflect how the data compare to companies of similar revenue size using regression analysis. Our Compensation and Personnel Committee, or Committee, used this adjusted data, among other factors, to determine appropriate levels of pay in 2009 for our named executive officers. We refer to this adjusted data as our peer group throughout the following discussion. For general management, including four of the named executive officers, and staff positions, equally blended energy industry and general industry data from these databases are used as the target market reflecting the broader talent market for these jobs and the fact that we operate in some diversified businesses. For utility-specific operating positions, including Mr. Aller s and Ms. Doyle s, two of the named executive officers, energy industry data are used as the target market. Overall, Alliant Energy s revenue is ranked between the median and the average revenue of the companies in the 2008 Energy Services database. Towers Perrin advised our

Compensation and Personnel Committee on setting compensation for our named executive officers for 2009. See Meetings and Committees of the Board Compensation and Personnel Committee for more details.

Compensation Elements and Design

The major elements of the executive compensation program are base salary, short-term (annual) incentives, long-term (equity) incentives and other benefits. In setting the level for each major component of compensation, we consider an executive officer s total compensation (which consists of all elements of compensation including employee benefit and perquisite programs), the current market for talent, our historic levels of compensation, company culture, individual and company performance, and internal equity. We aim to strike an appropriate balance among base salary, short-term incentive compensation and long-term incentive compensation. Our goal is to provide an overall compensation package for each executive officer that is competitive with the packages offered to similarly situated executive officers within our peer group. To achieve that goal, we target each element of compensation to the median levels within our peer group. We also have a goal to reward performance. To accomplish this goal, we weight performance-based incentive pay more heavily than other elements of our named executive officers total direct compensation, which consists of base salary and short-term and long-term incentive pay. In 2009, incentive pay accounted for 54%-77% of target total direct compensation for our named executive officers. Mr. Harvey s target incentive pay for 2009 was 77% of total direct compensation. In establishing the 2009 compensation reported, in the aggregate, our named executive officers were paid, on average, base salaries 7% below the median of our peer group, target cash compensation 7% below the median of our peer group and total direct compensation 9% below the median of our peer group. The following table shows the breakdown for each of our named executive officers in 2009 of the total direct compensation pay mix. The figures in this table were calculated using targeted compensation for 2009 and therefore may differ from the actual payments for 2009 as reported in the Summary Compensation Table below.

			Short-Term	Long-Term	
		Salary as a %	Incentive as a	Incentive as a	
Named Executive Officer	Title	of Total	% of Total	% of Total	
Harvey, William D.	Chairman, President & CEO	23%	21%	56%	
Protsch, Eliot G.	Senior Executive VP & COO	27%	22%	51%	
Kampling, Patricia L.	EVP-CFO and Treasurer	32%	21%	47%	
Swan, Barbara J.	EVP-General Counsel & CAO	35%	21%	44%	
Aller, Thomas L.	SVP-Energy Resource Development	46%	21%	33%	
Doyle, Dundeana K.	SVP-Energy Delivery	46%	21%	33%	

The columns titled Short-Term Incentive as a % of Total and Long-Term Incentive as a % of Total are the percentages of the total direct compensation represented by target payouts of incentive compensation under our short-term and long-term incentive plans. We made no payment to named executive officers for 2009 under these incentive plans.

Base Salary

We pay base salaries to assure management with a level of fixed compensation at competitive levels to reflect their professional skills, responsibilities and performance to attract and retain key executives. We adjust base salaries taking into consideration both changes in the market and performance against job expectations.

The Committee considers salaries that fall within 15% above or below the median salaries for similar positions in the peer group to be competitive. The Committee will also consider the nature of the position, the responsibilities, skills and experience of the officer, and his or her past performance. We may adjust base salaries to keep current with our peer group, to recognize outstanding individual performance or to recognize an increase in responsibility. In 2008, the Board of Directors approved new or revised responsibilities for certain named executive officers effective on Jan. 4, 2009. The Committee made salary adjustments to reflect the increased responsibilities of these named executive officers. Mr. Protsch was named Chief Operating Officer in addition to Senior Executive Vice President, and the Committee increased his base salary from \$495,000 to \$605,000. His salary was set at the median salary for similarly situated executives in our peer group.

Ms. Swan was named Chief Administrative Officer, in addition to Executive Vice President and General Counsel, and the Committee increased her salary from \$374,000 to \$450,000, which is above the median salary, but within 15% of the median salary for our peer group. The Committee considered her experience with the company, the increase in her responsibilities and their desire to retain Ms. Swan when setting her salary. Ms. Kampling was named Chief Financial Officer, in addition to Vice President and Treasurer, and the Committee set her salary at

\$300,000. Ms. Kampling s salary is more than 15% below the median of the peer group. The Committee set Ms. Kampling s salary below the median salary for similarly situated

15

executives in our peer group due to her new appointment to the position of CFO. The Committee planned at the time to increase Ms. Kampling s salary to the median over a two or three year period, and subsequently increased it to within 15% of the median later in 2009, as described below.

Ms. Doyle was named Senior Vice President and the Committee increased her salary from \$255,000 to \$267,000, which was at the median salary for similarly situated executives in our peer group. Mr. Aller s salary was not changed and remained at \$267,500.

Mr. Harvey s salary also remained unchanged in 2009 at \$845,000. Mr. Harvey s base salary was 6% below the median salary for similarly situated executives in our peer group and equal to the median of Towers Perrin s 2008 Energy Services database. The Committee believes Mr. Harvey s salary is competitive as it is at the median of the 2008 Energy Services database and within 15% of the peer group. In addition, Mr. Harvey s incentive compensation elements are targeted to the median of the peer group, and they are generally higher than the 2008 Energy Services database but lower than the 2008 General Industry database. This results in more emphasis on incentive pay for our CEO, which we believe creates a stronger link between pay and performance.

For 2009, the Committee froze the salaries of our executive officers at the Jan. 4, 2009 revised levels due to our financial performance and to align management s compensation with the salary freeze implemented for our general non-bargaining employees. The Committee also required executive officers to take one week of furlough in 2009, for which they received no compensation. The Committee determined that freezing salaries to reflect the financial performance of the Company and to align management with the general non-bargaining employees was a more important factor in setting base salaries for 2009 than was setting salaries at the median of our peer group.

At the end of 2009, Ms. Kampling was named Executive Vice President-Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, and she was given increased responsibilities within the Company. The Committee increased her salary to \$380,000 as a result of her new responsibilities and to bring her closer to the median salary of the peer group. The increased responsibility and salary increase became effective on Jan. 3, 2010. Ms. Kampling s salary is now within 15% of the median salary for similarly situated executives in our peer group.

Short-Term Incentives

Our executive officers, including our named executive officers, are eligible to participate in the Management Incentive Compensation Plan, or MICP, which is our short-term (annual) incentive plan. The MICP provides executive officers with direct financial incentives in the form of annual cash bonuses tied to the achievement of company financial, strategic and individual performance goals. The MICP encourages executive officers to achieve superior annual performance on key financial, strategic and operational goals. By setting annual goals, the Committee endeavors to drive annual performance and align the interests of management with the interests of our shareowners and customers. As we discuss later in this proxy statement, we are seeking shareowner approval of our 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan. If the Omnibus Incentive Plan is adopted by shareowners, future short-term incentive awards may be granted to the named executive officers under that plan.

The Committee seeks to set MICP opportunities at the median short-term incentive target levels, measured as a percentage of base salary, for comparable positions in our peer group. MICP targets in 2009 were 95% of base salary for Mr. Harvey, 80% for Mr. Protsch, 65% for Ms. Kampling, 60% for Ms. Swan, 45% for Mr. Aller and Ms. Doyle. The target levels of all of our named executive officers are at or within 2% of the median percentage target levels for similar positions in our peer group. The maximum possible individual payout for all executive officers was two times the target percentage. This range aligns with our desire to emphasize variable at risk compensation.

We pay incentives from a pool of funds that we establish for MICP payments. The Committee establishes threshold company-wide goals, which determine the funding level of an incentive pool. Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations of our utilities determined the funding level of 85% of the incentive pool. If the utility earnings per share threshold is not met, then no incentives are paid under the MICP. For 2009, the threshold utility earnings per share was \$2.10, which was the midpoint of our 2009 utility earnings per share guidance provided at the end of 2008. Cash flows from our utilities and our service company subsidiary, Corporate Services, determined the funding level for 15% of the incentive pool. The cash flow target for 2009 was \$545 million. If the cash flow target is not met, the Committee is not required to fund the 15% of the incentive pool represented by cash flow.

We factor the level of individual performance as compared to the individual performance goals into individual award allocations after the pool has been funded. Individual awards may range from 0% to 200% of the targeted payment based on an individual such achievement of performance goals. The Committee makes judgments about achievement of performance goals by the chief executive officer. Achievement of performance goals for the other executive officers is judged by the chief

16

executive officer or the executive to whom the executive officer reports, in consultation with the Committee. The Committee provides final approval of the assessment of individual achievement compared to performance goals and final payment of awards to all executive officers under the MICP.

Individual performance goals are reviewed and established by the Committee to assist in the determination of individual awards under the MICP. Individual performance goals are derived from our strategic plan and from operational benchmarks intended to benefit our shareowners, customers and employees. The Committee believes that using our strategic plan to set individual performance goals aligns the executives incentive compensation with shareowner interests. The Committee also believes that using operational benchmarks to set individual performance goals aligns the executives incentive compensation with customer interests.

The Committee sets three types of individual performance goals: financial, execution and corporate well-being. The individual performance goals of the named executive officers for 2009 are shown in the table below.

2009 Performance Goals

	William	Eliot				
Goal	Harvey	Protsch	Patricia Kampling	Barbara Swan	Thomas Aller	Dundeana Doyle
Financial Goals: Weighted 50%						3
Achieve target EPS from continuing operations at our utilities of \$2.10	X	X	x	X	X	X
Achieve target Alliant Energy Corporation consolidated EPS from continuing operations of \$2.33	X	X	X		x	
Achieve cash flow from operations of \$545 million at our utilities and Corporate Services excluding:						
* Changes in sales of customer receivables						
* Tax effected pension contributions	X	X	X	X	X	X
* Net collateral received by or paid by our utilities and Corporate Services						
Financial benchmarks at our Transportation business					X	
Execution Goals: Weighted 30%						
Achieve certain milestones related to the proposed wind projects of IPL and WPL	x	X		X	x	
Achieve certain milestones related to biomass, natural gas and other new generation plans	x	x	X	X	X	
Achieve certain milestones related to clean air compliance program projects	x	x		X	x	
Achieve certain milestones related to advanced metering infrastructure deployment at WPL						x
Achieve no fines for non-compliance with environmental laws and regulations	X	x		X	X	X
Meet energy efficiency benchmarks				X	X	X
Build on and communicate company s environmental commitment	x		X	X	X	x
Obtain targeted savings from Lean Six Sigma and related process improvements	X	X	X	X	X	X
Achieve reasonable and timely decisions for the WPL and IPL base rate cases			X	X	X	
Achieve specified customer service standards				X	x	X
Achieve specified reliability benchmarks				X	X	X
Meet specified RMT, Inc. growth target	X					

Corporate Well-Being Goals: Weighted 20%									
Achieve specific safety targets	X	X	X	X	X	X			
Achieve specific employee and supplier diversity goals	X	X	X	X	X	X			

Table of Contents

Our strategic planning department is responsible for initial drafting of the performance goals, which is done to ensure that the individual performance goals are closely aligned with our strategic plan. The chief executive officer provides recommendations to the Committee in reference to the applicable performance goals that should be implemented for each of the named executive officers (other than for himself) depending on the strategic and functional responsibility of these officers. The chief executive officer is afforded discretion on the implementation of the performance goals for the other executive officers to keep continuity between the goals of the chief executive officer and those of the other executive officers. The Committee evaluates and ultimately approves all of the corporate and individual performance goals under the MICP for all of the executive officers. Financial goals are weighted at 50%, execution goals at 30% and corporate well-being goals at 20% for all the named executive officers. Individual performance goals are designed to be achievable but substantially challenging.

The MICP provides that, if our financial statements are the subject of a restatement due in whole or in part to gross negligence, intentional misconduct or fraud, we will seek reimbursement of excess incentive awards paid under the MICP. The excess incentive award that may be recovered is the difference, if any, between the incentive award actually paid to the executive officer and the incentive award that would have been made to the executive officer had the incentive award been calculated based on the financial statements as restated. We are not required to award executive officers an additional incentive award should the restated financial statements result in a higher incentive award. This claw-back may be sought with respect to incentive awards paid within 12 months of the filing of the financial statements that are required to be restated.

The utility earnings per share for 2009 were \$2.03, and were adjusted to \$1.86 to exclude several non-recurring charges and credits. This level of performance fell below the threshold utility earnings per share of \$2.10 established to fund the incentive pool. Therefore, we did not pay incentives to our named executive officers under the MICP for 2009. The Committee did not consider achievement of individual performance goals when determining awards under the MICP because the threshold for incentive payments under the 2009 MICP was not met.

In February 2010, the Committee adopted a new short-term incentive plan for 2010 to replace the incentive plan used in 2009 and previous years to further incent executives to achieve goals that benefit our shareowners and customers. The new plan continues to allow executives to earn incentive compensation based on achievement of financial, strategic and individual goals but puts more focus on specific core value goals of our company and customer focused goals. The new plan has two financial goals, utility earnings per share performance goal weighted at 60% and a target cash flow goal weighted at 10%. The 2010 plan also has specific operational goals including customer focused goals and goals focused on core values of our company, which are similar to the execution goals and corporate well-being goals under the current MICP. These operational goals are customer satisfaction weighted at 15%, safety weighted at 10% and diversity weighted at 5%.

For each of the short-term incentive measures, the Committee sets specific performance levels early in the plan year and factors in stretch performance objectives in developing the performance measures for each of the goals other than utility earnings per share. For the utility earnings per share goal, threshold level, target level and superior level earnings targets are set. The threshold level of utility earnings per share represents minimally acceptable performance, target level of utility earnings per share represents performance that should typically be achievable in any given year, and a superior level of utility earnings per share represents stellar performance beyond that typically achievable in any given year.

The threshold performance level under the MICP for 2009 required achievement of utility earnings per share at the midpoint of our financial guidance, which is the target level of achievement in the new plan. The new plan will result in incentive compensation payouts (at below individual executive targeted levels) if the utility earnings per share goal is below target but threshold utility earnings per share is achieved. Initiating incentive payouts at the threshold level of utility earnings per share better aligns with our peer group and creates a more consistent and realistic short-term incentive opportunity. We believe that specific company and customer based operational goals emphasizes focus on the customer and the core values of our company.

Long-Term Incentives

We award long-term incentive compensation based on the achievement of longer-term, multi-year financial goals. We believe long-term incentive compensation aligns executives interests with those of our shareowners by compensating executive officers for achievement of long-term financial goals. Long-term incentive compensation takes the form of equity awards granted under our 2002 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and restated. All of the equity awards granted under our long-term incentive plans are performance based and will be forfeited if performance results are not achieved. As discussed later in this proxy statement, we are seeking shareowner approval to replace the 2002 Equity Incentive Plan with the 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan.

Table of Contents

We determine the value of long-term incentive amounts by benchmarking to the median value of long-term incentives paid by our peer group, assessing the individual performance of the executive officer and internal equity among our executives, and considering the competitiveness of the total direct compensation package. Based on these factors, the Committee approved, as a percentage of base salary, the following values of the long-term incentives awarded to the named executive officers for 2009: 250% for Mr. Harvey, 185% for Mr. Protsch, 150% for Ms. Kampling, 125% for Ms. Swan, 70% for Mr. Aller and 70% for Ms. Doyle. The target levels of all of our named executive officers are at the median target levels for similar positions in our peer group. The Committee approves the dollar value of the long-term equity awards prior to the grant date. We grant the number of shares necessary to approximate that dollar value based on the fair market value of our share price on the grant date. The grant price used for accounting purposes is the fair market value of our common stock on the grant date.

The long-term incentive awards consist of performance contingent restricted stock and performance shares. We believe these two types of long-term equity awards provide incentives for our executive officers to produce value for our shareowners over the long-term on both an absolute basis and a relative basis. Performance contingent restricted stock granted in 2009 vests if our consolidated income from continuing operations achieves 19% growth, which rewards absolute long-term growth. Performance shares vest and pay-out at varying levels depending on our total shareowner return as compared to the S&P Midcap Utilities Index, which rewards relative total shareowner return. The Committee granted long-term equity awards in 2009 consisting of 60% performance shares and 40% performance contingent restricted stock to make efficient use of the remaining restricted shares authorized under the current 2002 Equity Incentive Plan.

Performance contingent restricted stock granted prior to 2008 vests if our consolidated earnings per share from continuing operations achieves 116% growth from the level of income in the calendar year immediately prior to the grant, which is 5% compounded year over year growth for three years. For performance contingent restricted stock granted in 2009, as in 2008, the Committee used consolidated income from continuing operations as the performance criterion. The Committee believes using income from continuing operations as a performance criterion is preferable to using earnings per share because income from continuing operations avoids the volatility in earnings per share that can result from increases or decreases in the number of shares outstanding, which is unrelated to performance. In 2008, the Committee increased the growth rate of income that must be achieved for the performance criteria to be met from 5% to 6% compounded year over year growth for three years. The Committee believed that the increase would better align management s interests with the high expected growth in earnings during the planned new generation build out period.

The vesting of the performance contingent restricted stock granted in 2009 is based on our growth in income from continuing operations using our final income from continuing operations in 2008 as the base. The base consolidated income from continuing operations for 2008 was \$280 million. The performance contingent restricted stock granted in 2009 vests if we achieve consolidated income from continuing operations growth of 19% from 2008, within a four year period. The target consolidated income from continuing operations is \$333.2 million. In no case may the restricted stock vest earlier than two years from the grant date, and all shares will be forfeited if the consolidated income from continuing operations target is not met at the end of the four-year period. No vesting occurred in 2009 for either the 2007 or 2008 performance contingent restricted stock grants that are currently outstanding.

19

The payout of performance shares granted in 2009 is based on our total shareowner return over a three year period. Performance shares will provide a 100% payout, or target payout, if our relative total shareowner return over three years is equal to the median performance of a specific peer group selected by the Committee. The Committee selected the S&P Midcap Utilities Index as the peer group for the 2009 grants of performance shares. Performance share payouts are capped at 200% of the target payout. The following table shows the level of Performance share payouts based on our total shareowner return as compared to the S&P Midcap Utilities Index.

The Company s Percentile Rank	Percent of Target Value Payout
90 th percentile or greater	200%
80 th percentile	175%
70 th percentile	150%
60 th percentile	125%
50 th percentile	100%
45 th percentile	75%
40 th percentile	50%
Below 40 th percentile	0%

Performance shares allow the executive to receive a payment in shares of our common stock, cash, or a combination of our common stock and cash, the value of which is equal to the number of shares awarded, adjusted by the performance multiplier. The Committee generally requires an executive that has not met the share ownership guidelines to take a payment in common stock or a combination of 50% common stock and 50% cash in order to bring the executive closer to achieving the share ownership guideline. If the executive chooses to take the payment in cash, the amount of the payout is determined by multiplying the number of shares earned by the average of the high and low trading prices on a date chosen by the Committee. The Committee chooses this date in advance of issuing the shares.

The performance shares issued for the 2007-2009 performance period were forfeited as the Company s total shareowner return percentile rank was below the 40th percentile.

The Committee approves the dollar value of the long-term equity awards prior to the grant date. The grant date of the awards that we made in 2009 to our executive officers was Feb. 26, 2009. The grant price used for accounting purposes was fair market value of our common stock on the grant date.

We do not grant stock options. We determined that performance contingent restricted stock and performance shares provide equally motivating forms of equity incentive compensation and reduce potential dilution of our shareowners because fewer shares need be granted. Our last stock options were granted in 2004 and expire in 2014.

Occasionally, we grant time-based restricted stock to named executive officers in connection with an increase in responsibilities and to promote retention of that named executive officer. In 2009, Ms. Swan was granted shares of restricted stock valued at \$100,006, which vest pro rata over three years, in connection with her increased responsibilities as Chief Administrative Officer. The Committee wanted to offer Ms. Swan a retention incentive in addition to her previously-discussed salary increase, but determined that using any additional cash incentive payments was not desirable. The Committee therefore awarded the restricted shares in lieu of a cash incentive payment. In addition, the Committee accelerated the vesting of restricted stock which was granted to Mr. Protsch in 2005 upon his retirement on Jan. 2, 2010. The accelerated vesting impacted half of the amount of restricted stock originally granted. The Committee determined that the accelerated vesting was appropriate based on Mr. Protsch s over 30 years of service to the company.

Other Benefits

We also offer benefit programs to our executive officers with a focus towards their retirement consistent with those of our peer group. These programs include 401(k), deferred compensation and pension benefits. The benefit programs are designed to be competitive in attracting, retaining and motivating key executives and employees by providing competitive retirement benefits. We apply the same peer group benchmarking approach in designing these programs in that we benchmark to median levels of benefit and design elements. The Committee reviews benefit programs on a periodic basis to determine effectiveness and identify any necessary changes. The retirement-related benefit plans are reviewed periodically by the Committee and certain changes to the plans were made in 2009. A brief description of the plans with associated changes follows.

20

401(k) Savings Plan

All of our salaried employees, including our executive officers, are eligible to participate in our 401(k) Plan. We match \$0.50 on each dollar for the first 8% of compensation deferred by the employee up to the IRS maximum. The match was temporarily suspended for a period of 6 months in 2009 as a cost saving measure. The match is currently in effect for 2010. Beginning Aug. 3, 2008, we enhanced benefits under the 401(k) Plan to offset a freeze of the Alliant Energy Cash Balance Pension Plan. See Pension Benefits below for more information. We now contribute a percentage of employees salaries to their 401(k) accounts in addition to the company match. The amount of the company contribution ranges from 4% to 6% of an employee salary. The amount of the company contribution depends on the employee s age and number of years of service at the company.

Alliant Energy Deferred Compensation Plan

The Alliant Energy Deferred Compensation Plan, or AEDCP, enables participants, including our executive officers, to defer up to 100% of base salary and annual incentive awards on a pre-tax basis and to receive earnings or incur losses on the deferrals until the date of distribution. The AEDCP provides tax deferred savings and post-retirement income to our executive officers. The shares of common stock identified as obligations under the AEDCP are held in a rabbi trust. We offer the AEDCP as part of the executives compensation package to permit executives to take advantage of the tax code in saving for their retirement. We believe the AEDCP is in line with offerings from our peer group. See Nonqualified Deferred Compensation below for more information regarding the AEDCP.

Cash Balance Pension Plan

Certain of our salaried employees, including our executive officers, are eligible to participate in the Alliant Energy Cash Balance Pension Plan. This defined benefit plan is portable, offers flexible payment options and steady growth of retirement funds. Future accruals to the Cash Balance Pension Plan were frozen for participants effective Aug. 2, 2008. See Pension Benefits below for more information regarding the Alliant Energy Cash Balance Pension Plan. In 2009, the Company amended the cash balance plan s interest crediting rate for 2009 and future years. The new interest crediting rate will be equal to the annual change in the consumer price index, as of October each year, plus 3%.

Excess Retirement Plan

Certain of our salaried employees, including our executive officers, participate in the unfunded Excess Retirement Plan. The plan is intended to provide the accruals that the participants would have earned under the Cash Balance Pension Plan and the 401(k) Savings Plan but for statutory limitations on employer-provided benefits imposed on those tax-qualified plans. See Pension Benefits below for more information regarding the Excess Retirement Plan.

Supplemental Retirement Plan

Our executives who are vice presidents or above, including our named executive officers, participate in the unfunded Supplemental Retirement Plan, or SERP. We provide the SERP as an incentive for key executives to remain in our service by providing retirement compensation in addition to the benefits provided by the pension plan, which are limited by the tax code, that is payable only if the executive remains with us until retirement, disability or death. See Pension Benefits below for more information regarding the SERP.

Split Dollar / Reverse Split Dollar Life Insurance Plan

Certain executive officers, including Messrs. Harvey and Protsch and Mses. Swan and Doyle, receive individually owned life insurance policies. We pay the premiums for this insurance and these payments are taxable to the individual officers. These specific policies were grandfathered in 1998 and we no longer offer the policies to other executive officers as part of total executive compensation.

Perquisites

For the first part of 2009, we provided our officers, including our named executive officers, with a Flexible Perquisite Program. The program provided a specified amount of funds to our executives to use for benefits, including an annual fixed automobile allowance, financial planning and legal services, a variety of club memberships and long-term care insurance. The Committee reviews this program on an annual basis as part of our total compensation offering to determine its merits and the use of similar programs by our peer group. The last review took place at a special committee meeting in May 2009, where the Committee cancelled the Flexible Perquisite Program effective June 1, 2009 to cut costs during the difficult economic climate and to reflect current executive compensation trends. Our executive officers are also eligible for moderately more generous health and dental insurance, accidental death insurance, disability insurance, vacation, and other similar benefit

programs than the balance of our non-bargaining unit employees. Such benefits have been historically provided and remain an appropriate component of our executive compensation program.

21

Post-Termination Compensation

KEESAs

We currently have in effect key executive employment and severance agreements, or KEESAs, with our executive officers, including our named executive officers (other than Mr. Protsch, who retired effective Jan. 2, 2010), and certain of our key employees. The KEESA is designed to provide economic protection to key executives following a change in control of our company so that executives can remain focused on our business without undue personal concern. We recognize that circumstances may arise in which we may consider a change of control transaction. We believe the security afforded the executives by the KEESA will help the executives to remain focused on business continuity and reduce the distraction of the executive s reasonable personal concerns regarding future employment. We also believe that the KEESA allows the executive to better consider the best interests of our company and its shareowners due to the economic security provided by the KEESA benefits.

The KEESAs are triggered if, within a period of up to three years after a change in control for Mr. Harvey or Ms. Swan and two years for Ms. Kampling, Mr. Aller or Ms. Doyle, there has occurred both a change in control and loss of employment, causing KEESA benefits to be subject to a double trigger. We implemented the double trigger mechanism to ensure that only those executives adversely affected by a change in control would receive benefits under the KEESA. The cash termination benefit under the KEESA is up to three times base salary and target bonus for Mr. Harvey and Ms. Swan and two times base salary and target bonus for Ms. Kampling, Mr. Aller and Ms. Doyle.

The KEESAs for Ms. Kampling, Mr. Aller and Ms. Doyle provide that if any portion of the benefits under the KEESA or under any other agreement for the officer would constitute an excess parachute payment for purposes of the Internal Revenue Code, benefits will be reduced so that the officer will be entitled to receive \$1 less than the maximum amount which he or she could receive without becoming subject to the 20% excise tax imposed by the Code on certain excess parachute payments, or which we may pay without loss of deduction under the Code. The KEESAs for Mr. Harvey and Ms. Swan provide that if any payments constitute an excess parachute payment, we will pay to the appropriate officer the amount necessary to offset the excise tax and any additional taxes on this additional payment.

We believe the level of the benefits provided by the KEESAs to each executive officer reflects the amount of work that would be required of them during a change in control transaction as well as the amount of opportunities they would be asked to forego to assist the change in control transaction rather than seek future employment. Top executives are required to put forth greater effort to ensure a smooth change in control of a company and we believe that it would take a longer time for them to find comparable employment based on their attained career status. The elevated positions held by Mr. Harvey and Ms. Swan, and their long tenure with the company, cause us to believe that this analysis is especially true for them. Therefore, they receive the highest benefit level and a tax-gross up. We plan to review our KEESA policy in 2010.

In consideration of the KEESA benefits, the executive agrees not to compete with us for a period of one year after the executive leaves us and to keep in confidence any proprietary information or confidential information for a period of five years after the executive leaves us. Both of these conditions can be waived in writing by our board of directors.

See Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control for more information regarding the KEESAs.

Executive Severance Plan

We also maintain a general executive severance plan for executive officers in the event that an officer s position has been eliminated or significantly altered by us. The executive severance plan is designed to provide economic protection to key executives following the elimination of their position so that executives can remain focused on our business without undue personal concern. We recognize that circumstances may arise in which we may consider eliminating certain key positions that are no longer necessary. We believe the security afforded the executives by the severance plan will keep the executives focused on their duties at our company rather than on their personal concerns of job security. The plan provides for a minimum level of severance pay equal to one times annual base salary, payment of prorated incentive compensation within the discretion of the chief executive officer, up to 18 months of COBRA coverage, six months of which are paid by us, outplacement services and/or tuition reimbursement of up to \$10,000, and access to our employee assistance program. All executive officer severance packages are approved by the Committee. We believe our executive severance plan is consistent with plans throughout the industry.

See Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control for more information regarding the Executive Severance Plan.

22

Employment Agreements

We do not have any other employment agreements with our executive officers.

Share Ownership Guidelines

We established share ownership guidelines for our executive officers as a way to better align the financial interests of officers with those of shareowners. Under these guidelines, the requisite ownership numbers are 85,000 shares for Mr. Harvey, 36,000 shares for Ms. Kampling and Ms. Swan and 12,000 shares for Mr. Aller and Ms. Doyle. The executive officers are expected to make continuing progress toward compliance with these guidelines and achieve their designated levels within five years of being appointed as an officer. We monitor each officer s progression towards achievement of these guidelines on a semi-annual basis. We plan to review our share ownership guidelines in 2010.

The share ownership guidelines have an impact upon the payout of awards for our performance shares. If executives have not yet met their share ownership level, they are required to receive at least 50% of any performance share payout made upon the vesting of the performance shares in shares of our common stock. In addition, once the performance or time-based restrictions lapse on shares of performance contingent or time-based restricted stock, these shares are counted towards achievement of share ownership guidelines. Our chief executive officer retains the right to grant special dispensation for hardship, promotions or new hires. All of our current named executive officers have met their share ownership guidelines, with the exception of Ms. Kampling. She has until 2015 to achieve the goal. Their share holdings are shown in the Ownership of Voting Securities table above.

Impact of Regulatory Requirements

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally limits the corporate deduction for compensation paid to our Chief Executive Officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers (excluding our Chief Financial Officer) to \$1 million, unless such compensation is based upon performance objectives meeting certain regulatory criteria or is otherwise excluded from the limitation. Based on the Committee s commitment to link compensation with performance as described above, the Committee intends to qualify future compensation paid to our executive officers for deductibility by us under Section 162(m) except in limited appropriate circumstances. We expect that future short-term and long-term incentive compensation awarded under our 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan will qualify for deductibility under Section 162(m) if shareowners approve the Plan s adoption as discussed later in this proxy statement. All of our equity compensation plans are accounted for under Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards, Codification Topic 718.

Conclusion

The Committee is provided with appropriate information and reviews all components of our chief executive officers of sand other executive officers compensation. Based on this information, the Committee seeks to implement executive compensation that is appropriately tied to the performance of the executives on behalf of shareowners, employees and customers.

COMPENSATION AND PERSONNEL COMMITTEE REPORT

To Our Shareowners:

The Compensation and Personnel Committee (the Committee) of the Board of Directors of the Company has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with our management and it has been reviewed by our Audit Committee. Based on the Committee s review and discussion, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and incorporated by reference in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended Dec. 31, 2009, for filing with the SEC.

COMPENSATION AND PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

Ann K. Newhall (Chairperson)

Darryl B. Hazel

Dean C. Oestreich

Carol P. Sanders

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The table below summarizes the compensation paid to or earned by our chief executive officer, our chief financial officer (which for 2009 was Mr. Protsch for four days and Ms. Kampling for the balance of the year) and our next three highest paid executive officers for 2009, 2008 and 2007. We refer to such individuals in this proxy statement collectively as our named executive officers.

												Change in Pension Value and				
Name and		Salary		nus		Stock Awards	•	tion ards	I	on-Equity ncentive Plan npensation		onqualified Deferred ompensation Earnings		All Other mpensation		
Principal Position	Year	(\$) ⁽¹⁾)(2)		(\$) ⁽³⁾		\$)		(\$) ⁽⁴⁾		(\$)(5)		(\$) ⁽⁷⁾		Total (\$)
William D. Harvey	2009	\$ 832,000	\$	0		1,999,511	\$	0	\$	0	\$	147,000		353,986		3,332,497
	2008 2007	\$ 850,962 \$ 811,962	\$ \$	0		2,076,264 1,695,768	\$ \$	0	\$ \$	0 677,160		2,103,000 3,844,938		262,562		5,292,788
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer	2007	\$ 811,902	Ф	U	Ф	1,093,708	Ф	U	Ф	077,100	Ф	3,044,936	Ф	226,340	\$ /	,256,168
Eliot G. Protsch	2009	\$ 594,846	\$	0	\$	1,059,373	\$	0	\$	0	\$	774,000	\$	159,361	\$ 2	2,587,580
	2008	\$ 498,515	\$	0	\$	729,761		0	\$	0	\$	651,000		129,785	\$ 2	2,009,061
Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer/Chief Operating Officer	2007	\$ 477,427	\$	0	\$	625,739	\$	0	\$	293,216	\$	1,757,578	\$	108,774	\$ 3	3,262,734
Patricia L. Kampling	2009	\$ 294,769	\$	0	\$	425,945	\$	0	\$	0	\$	93,000	\$	42,368	\$	856,082
Executive Vice President-Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer ⁽⁶⁾																
Barbara J. Swan	2009	\$ 442,500	\$	0	\$	632,437	\$	0	\$	0	\$	45,000	\$	97,865	\$ 1	,217,802
Daroara 3. Swan	2008	\$ 377,669	\$	0	\$	460,667		0	\$	0	\$	492,000		,		,414,680
Executive Vice President-General Counsel and Chief Administrative	2000	<i>4011</i> ,009	Ψ	Ů	Ψ	.00,007	Ψ	Ü	Ψ	v	Ψ	.>2,000	Ψ	0.,0	Ψ.	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Officer	2007	\$ 362,081	\$	0	\$	421,857		0	\$	174,724	\$	245,478	\$	63,289	\$ 1	,267,429
Thomas L. Aller	2009	\$ 263,385		0	\$	177,236		0	\$	0	\$	151,000		44,053	\$	635,674
	2008	\$ 269,404	\$ 30	,000	\$	184,012	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	40,036	\$	523,452
Senior Vice President- Energy																
Resource Development	2007	\$ 258,346	\$	0	\$	150,458		0	\$	90,640	\$	152,628	\$	31,199	\$	683,271
Dundeana K. Doyle	2009	\$ 262,800	\$	0	\$	176,901		0	\$	0	\$	153,832			\$	650,354
	2008	\$ 256,669	\$	0	\$	175,416	\$	0	\$	0	\$	94,791	\$	44,579	\$	571,455
Senior Vice President- Energy																
Delivery	2007	\$ 236,696	\$	0	\$	114,891	\$	0	\$	77,776	\$	91,558	\$	33,206	\$	554,127

⁽¹⁾ The amounts shown in this column include amounts deferred by the named executive officers in our Alliant Energy Deferred Compensation Plan Stock Account. See Nonqualified Deferred Compensation.

The amount in this column for Mr. Aller in 2008 is a discretionary bonus awarded by the Committee to Mr. Aller in recognition of the leadership he provided us, IPL s customers and the community of Cedar Rapids, Iowa, during the flood that occurred in June 2008.

⁽³⁾ The amounts in this column reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of performance shares, performance contingent restricted stock and time-based restricted stock granted pursuant to our 2002 Equity Incentive Plan in each year, computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718. A discussion of the assumptions used in calculating the award

values may be found in Note 6(b) to our 2009 audited financial statements on pages 125-127 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K. For the performance shares, the fair value at the grant date is based upon the probable outcome of the performance conditions, consistent with the estimate of aggregate compensation cost to be recognized over the service period determined as of the grant date under Topic 718, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures. The grant date fair value reflected in this column for performance shares was \$1,154,516 for Mr. Harvey; \$611,679 for Mr. Protsch, \$245,935 for Ms. Kampling; \$307,424 for Ms. Swan; \$102,345 for Mr. Aller; \$102,151 for Ms. Doyle. The grant date fair value for performance shares at maximum would have been: \$2,535,010 for Mr. Harvey; \$1,343,084 for Mr. Protsch; \$540,008 for Ms. Kampling; \$675,022 for Ms. Swan; \$224,722 for Mr. Aller; and \$224,296 for Ms. Doyle.

(4) The amounts in this column represent cash amounts received by the executive officers under our MICP for services performed in 2007 that were paid in 2008. There were no amounts earned under the MICP in 2008 or 2009.

24

- The amounts in this column reflect (a) the actuarial increase in the present value of the named executive officers benefits under all pension plans established by us determined using the assumptions and methods set forth in footnote (1) to the Pension Benefits table below, which may include amounts that the named executive officer may not currently be entitled to receive because such amounts are not vested, and (b) amounts representing above market interest on nonqualified deferred compensation. The above market interest is equal to the amount by which the interest on deferred compensation in a frozen legacy deferred compensation plan exceeded 120% of the applicable federal long-term interest rate. The following represents the breakdown for 2009 for each of the change in pension value and above market interest on deferred compensation, respectively, for each named executive officer: Mr. Harvey, \$147,000/\$0; Mr. Protsch, \$774,000/\$0; Ms. Kampling, \$93,000/\$0; Ms. Swan, \$45,000/\$0; Mr. Aller, \$151,000/\$0; and Ms. Doyle, \$147,000/\$6,832.
- Ms. Kampling became a named executive officer in 2009. She was not a named executive officer in either 2007 or 2008.
- (7) The table below shows the components of the compensation reflected under this column for 2009:

	Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits		Registrant Contributions to Defined Contribution Plans		Life Insurance Premiums		Reim	Tax bursements	Dividends	
Name		(a)		(b)		(c)		(d)	(e)	Total
William D. Harvey	\$	19,220	\$	74,801	\$	79,693	\$	23,908	\$ 156,364	\$ 353,986
Eliot G. Protsch	\$	10,927	\$	39,197	\$	34,239	\$	5,208	\$ 69,790	\$ 159,361
Patricia L. Kampling	\$	6,818	\$	20,259	\$	1,081	\$	0	\$ 14,210	\$ 42,368
Barbara J. Swan	\$	10,777	\$	28,665	\$	15,242	\$	8,428	\$ 34,753	\$ 97,865
Thomas L. Aller	\$	10,849	\$	19,754	\$	2,788	\$	0	\$ 10,662	\$ 44,053
Dundeana K. Doyle	\$	8,062	\$	22,398	\$	10,366	\$	6,305	\$ 9,690	\$ 56,821

- (a) Consists of allowance pursuant to our Flexible Perquisite Program that could be utilized for automobile allowance; financial planning and legal services; club memberships; and premiums for additional long-term care coverage. This amount also includes Company contributions to the executive for a consumer driven health plan above the amount provided to other non-bargaining employees enrolled in that plan and the cost of spousal travel on company owned aircraft. Because an executive s spouse accompanies the executive on a flight when the executive is traveling for business purposes, we do not incur additional direct operating cost in such situations. However, the personal use of the company owned aircraft is imputed income to the named executive officer and is calculated on Standard Industry Fare Level rates published periodically by the Internal Revenue Service.
 - (i) No named executive officer had a single perquisite item in excess of \$10,000.
 - (ii) The Flexible Perquisite Program was cancelled effective June 1, 2009 as a part of our cost savings initiatives.
- (b) Matching contributions to 401(k) Savings Plan and the Alliant Energy Deferred Compensation Plan, employer contributions based on age and service to the 401(k) Savings Plan accounts and employer defined contributions to the Excess Retirement Plan.
- (c) All life insurance premiums.
- (d) Tax reimbursements for split and reverse dollar life insurance and, in the case of Mr. Harvey only, financial planning and legal services.
- (e) Dividends earned in 2009 on unvested restricted stock.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

The following table sets forth information regarding all incentive plan awards that we granted to our named executive officers in 2009.

			Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾			Estimat Under F	All Other Stock Awards:				
Name	Grant Date	Committee Approval Date	Threshold (\$)	(\$) 100%	(\$) 200%	Threshold (#) 50%	Target (#) 100%	Maximum (#) 200%	Number of Shares of Stock or Units	,	nt Date Fair Value of Stock Awards ⁽⁵⁾
William D. Harvey	2/26/2009 ⁽³⁾ 2/26/2009 ⁽⁴⁾	2/11/2009 2/11/2009 2/11/2009	\$ 160,550	\$ 802,750	\$ 1,605,500	26,775	53,549 35,699	107,098		\$ \$	1,154,516 844,995
Eliot G. Protsch	2/26/2009 ⁽³⁾ 2/26/2009 ⁽⁴⁾	2/11/2009 2/11/2009 2/11/2009	\$ 96.800	\$ 484,000	\$ 968,000	14,186	28,371 18,914	56,742		\$ \$	611,679 447,694
Patricia L. Kampling	2/26/2009 ⁽³⁾ 2/26/2009 ⁽⁴⁾	2/11/2009 2/11/2009 2/11/2009	\$ 39,000	\$ 195,000	\$ 390,000	5,704	11,407 7,605	22,814		\$ \$	245,935 180,010
Barbara J. Swan	1/5/2009 ⁽²⁾ 2/26/2009 ⁽³⁾ 2/26/2009 ⁽⁴⁾	12/11/2008 2/11/2009 2/11/2009 2/11/2009	\$ 54,000	\$ 270,000	\$ 540,000	7,130	14,259 9,506	28,518	3,419	\$ \$ \$	100,006 307,424 225,007
Thomas L. Aller	2/26/2009 ⁽³⁾ 2/26/2009 ⁽⁴⁾	2/11/2009 2/11/2009 2/11/2009	\$ 24,075	\$ 120,375	\$ 240,750	2,374	4,747 3,164	9,494		\$ \$	102,345 74,891
Dundeana K. Doyle	2/26/2009 ⁽³⁾ 2/26/2009 ⁽⁴⁾	2/11/2009 2/11/2009 2/11/2009	\$ 24,030	\$ 120,150	\$ 240,300	2,369	4,738 3,158	9,476		\$ \$	102,151 74,750

- The amounts shown represent the threshold, target and maximum awards that could have been earned by each of our named executive officers under our MICP for 2009 as described more fully under Compensation Discussion and Analysis Compensation Elements and Design Short-Term Incentives. The threshold payment level under the MICP was 20% of the target amount. The maximum payment level under the MICP was 200% of the target amount. No payments were earned for 2009 under the MICP as shown in the Non-Equity Compensation Plan column of the Summary Compensation Table above.
- (2) The amount shown represents the number of shares of time-based restricted stock granted on Jan. 5, 2009. Time-based restricted stock awards granted in 2009 accumulate dividends on the same basis as shares of our common stock.
- (3) The amounts shown represent the threshold, target and maximum amounts of performance shares that were awarded in 2009 to the named executive officers under our 2002 Equity Incentive Plan as described more fully under Compensation Discussion and Analysis Compensation Elements and Design Long-Term Incentives. The threshold amount is 50% of the target amount. The maximum amount is 200% of the target amount.
- (4) The amounts shown represent the number of shares of performance contingent restricted stock that were awarded in 2009 to the named executive officers under the 2002 Equity Incentive Plan as described more fully under Compensation Discussion and Analysis Compensation Elements and Design Long-Term Incentives. Performance contingent restricted stock awards granted in 2009 accumulate

dividends on the same basis as shares of our common stock.

The grant date fair value of each equity award was computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718. For the performance shares, the fair value at the grant date is based upon the probable outcome of the performance conditions, consistent with the estimate of aggregate compensation cost to be recognized over the service period determined as of the grant date under Topic 718, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures.

26

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END

The following table sets forth information on outstanding stock option awards and unvested stock awards held by our named executive officers on Dec. 31, 2009.

		Option Aw	ards		Stock	Fanita			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)(1)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(2)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)^{(2)(3)}	
William D. Harvey	21,798 11,258		\$ 31.54 \$ 25.93	1/2/2011 2/9/2014	16,705	\$ 505,493	26,128 26,087 28,765 53,549 37,287	\$ 790,633 \$ 789,393 \$ 870,429 \$ 1,620,393 \$ 1,128,305	(4a) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9)
Eliot G. Protsch					10,441	\$ 315,945	9,641 9,169 10,110 28,371 19,755	\$ 291,737 \$ 277,454 \$ 305,929 \$ 858,506 \$ 597,786	(4b) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9)
Patricia L. Kampling							1,717 1,902 2,097	\$ 51,956 \$ 57,555 \$	(5) (6)