

LAKELAND BANCORP INC
Form 10-Q
August 06, 2010
Table of Contents

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark one)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 000-17820

LAKELAND BANCORP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New Jersey
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

22-2953275
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

250 Oak Ridge Road, Oak Ridge, New Jersey
(Address of principal executive offices)

07438
(Zip Code)

(973) 697-2000
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed
since last report.)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, any Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No Not applicable.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act: (Check one):

Edgar Filing: LAKELAND BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.):

Yes No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

As of July 30, 2010 there were 24,036,709 outstanding shares of Common Stock, no par value.

Table of Contents

LAKELAND BANCORP, INC.

Form 10-Q Index

	PAGE
Part I Financial Information	
Item 1. Financial Statements:	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets - June 30, 2010 (unaudited) and December 31, 2009</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations - Unaudited Three Months and Six Months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income - Unaudited Six Months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Unaudited Six Months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u>	4
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	5
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	16
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	28
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	29
Part II Other Information	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	30
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	30
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	30
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	30
Item 4. <u>Reserved</u>	30
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	30
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	30
<u>Signatures</u>	31

The Securities and Exchange Commission maintains a web site which contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information relating to registrants that file electronically at the address: [http:// www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

Table of Contents**Lakeland Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

ASSETS:	June 30, 2010 (unaudited)	December 31, 2009
	(dollars in thousands)	
Cash	\$51,543	\$31,869
Federal funds sold and Interest-bearing deposits due from banks	1,416	26,794
Total cash and cash equivalents	52,959	58,663
Investment securities available for sale, at fair value	439,968	375,530
Investment securities held to maturity; fair value of \$75,134 in 2010 and \$84,389 in 2009	72,186	81,821
Loans and leases, net of deferred costs	1,992,256	2,009,721
Leases held for sale	3,233	7,314
Less: allowance for loan and lease losses	27,728	25,563
Net loans	1,967,761	1,991,472
Premises and equipment - net	28,082	29,196
Accrued interest receivable	8,874	8,943
Goodwill	87,111	87,111
Other identifiable intangible assets, net	1,109	1,640
Bank owned life insurance	42,491	41,720
Other assets	38,016	47,872
TOTAL ASSETS	\$2,738,557	\$2,723,968
LIABILITIES		
Deposits:		
Noninterest bearing	\$358,054	\$323,175
Savings and interest-bearing transaction accounts	1,352,373	1,368,272
Time deposits under \$100 thousand	266,891	283,512
Time deposits \$100 thousand and over	192,827	182,228
Total deposits	2,170,145	2,157,187
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase	54,176	63,672
Long-term debt	145,900	145,900
Subordinated debentures	77,322	77,322
Other liabilities	13,152	11,901
TOTAL LIABILITIES	2,460,695	2,455,982
Commitments and contingencies		
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Preferred stock, Series A, no par value, \$1,000 liquidation value, authorized 1,000,000 shares; issued 59,000 shares at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	56,350	56,023
Common stock, no par value; authorized shares, 40,000,000; issued 24,740,564 shares, at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	258,567	259,521

Edgar Filing: LAKELAND BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Accumulated deficit	(29,841)	(34,961)
Treasury stock, at cost, 713,171 shares at June 30, 2010 and 868,428 at December 31, 2009	(9,845)	(11,940)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	2,631	(657)
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	277,862	267,986
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$2,738,557	\$2,723,968

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**Lakeland Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries**

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	For the three months ended June 30,		For the six months ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(In thousands, except per share data)			
INTEREST INCOME				
Loans, leases and fees	\$28,049	\$29,156	\$56,301	\$59,298
Federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits with banks	40	31	68	57
Taxable investment securities	3,009	3,372	5,992	6,791
Tax-exempt investment securities	497	594	1,017	1,163
TOTAL INTEREST INCOME	31,595	33,153	63,378	67,309
INTEREST EXPENSE				
Deposits	3,868	7,149	8,273	14,908
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase	31	29	68	67
Long-term debt	2,767	3,492	5,521	6,959
TOTAL INTEREST EXPENSE	6,666	10,670	13,862	21,934
NET INTEREST INCOME	24,929	22,483	49,516	45,375
Provision for loan and lease losses	5,001	34,083	9,880	40,459
NET INTEREST INCOME (LOSS) AFTER PROVISION FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES	19,928	(11,600)	39,636	4,916
NONINTEREST INCOME				
Service charges on deposit accounts	2,500	2,699	4,948	5,366
Commissions and fees	833	873	1,718	1,696
Gains (losses) on investment securities	0	(532)	1	353
Income on bank owned life insurance	385	818	771	1,149
Gains (losses) on leasing related assets	555	(529)	859	(344)
Other income	280	82	365	164
TOTAL NONINTEREST INCOME	4,553	3,411	8,662	8,384
NONINTEREST EXPENSE				
Salaries and employee benefits	8,996	8,739	17,899	17,322
Net occupancy expense	1,636	1,597	3,431	3,471
Furniture and equipment	1,221	1,220	2,391	2,484
Stationery, supplies and postage	386	401	812	821
Marketing expense	648	784	1,202	1,341
Core deposit intangible amortization	266	266	531	531
FDIC insurance expense	964	2,416	1,897	3,316
Collection expense	159	377	307	882
Legal expense	423	192	764	301
Other real estate and repossessed asset expense	198	665	235	785
Other expenses	2,210	2,997	4,418	5,151
TOTAL NONINTEREST EXPENSE	17,107	19,654	33,887	36,405
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	7,374	(27,843)	14,411	(23,105)

Edgar Filing: LAKELAND BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Income tax expense (benefit)	2,621	(15,121)	5,092	(13,558)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$4,753	(\$12,722)	\$9,319	(\$9,547)
Dividends on Preferred Stock and Accretion	904	885	1,802	1,424
Net Income (Loss) Available to Common Stockholders	\$3,849	(\$13,607)	\$7,517	(\$10,971)
PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK				
Basic earnings (loss)	\$0.16	(\$0.58)	\$0.31	\$(0.46)
Diluted earnings (loss)	\$0.16	(\$0.58)	\$0.31	\$(0.46)
Dividends	\$0.05	\$0.10	\$0.10	\$0.20

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**Lakeland Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries**

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

Six Months ended June 30, 2010

	Common stock		Series A	Accumulated	Treasury	Accumulated	Total
	Number of	Amount	Preferred	deficit	Stock	Other	
	Shares		Stock	(dollars in thousands)		Comprehensive	
						Income (Loss)	
BALANCE DECEMBER 31, 2009	24,740,564	\$259,521	\$56,023	(\$34,961)	(\$11,940)	(\$657)	\$267,986
Comprehensive income:							
Net Income				9,319			9,319
Other comprehensive income, net of tax						3,288	3,288
<i>Total comprehensive income</i>							12,607
Preferred dividends				(1,475)			(1,475)
Accretion of discount			327	(327)			
Stock based compensation		277					277
Issuance of restricted stock awards		(476)			476		
Issuance of stock to dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan		(286)		(415)	731		30
Exercise of stock options, net of excess tax benefits		(469)			888		419
Cash dividends, common stock				(1,982)			(1,982)
BALANCE June 30, 2010 (UNAUDITED)	24,740,564	\$258,567	\$56,350	(\$29,841)	(\$9,845)	\$2,631	\$277,862

Six Months ended June 30, 2009

	Common stock		Series A	Accumulated	Treasury	Accumulated	Total
	Number of	Amount	Preferred	deficit	Stock	Other	
	Shares		Stock	(dollars in thousands)		Comprehensive	
						Loss	
BALANCE DECEMBER 31, 2008	24,740,564	\$257,051	\$0	(\$19,246)	(\$14,496)	(\$2,368)	\$220,941
Comprehensive loss:							
Net loss				(9,547)			(9,547)
Other comprehensive income, net of tax						380	380
<i>Total comprehensive loss</i>							(9,167)
Preferred Stock issued			58,838				58,838
Preferred dividends				(1,189)			(1,189)
Accretion of discount			235	(235)			
Common stock warrant		3,345	(3,345)				
Stock based compensation		216					216
Issuance of restricted stock awards		(199)			199		
Issuance of stock to dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan		(598)		(843)	1,441		

Edgar Filing: LAKELAND BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Exercise of stock options, net of excess tax benefits		(7)			14		7
Cash dividends, common stock				(3,903)			(3,903)
BALANCE June 30, 2009 (UNAUDITED)	24,740,564	\$259,808	\$55,728	(\$34,963)	(\$12,842)	(\$1,988)	\$265,743

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**Lakeland Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries**

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the six months ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
	(dollars in thousands)	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income (loss)	\$9,319	\$(9,547)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Net amortization of premiums, discounts and deferred loan fees and costs	2,115	1,386
Depreciation and amortization	2,065	2,186
Provision for loan and lease losses	9,880	40,459
Gains on securities	(1)	(353)
Gains on held for sale leases	(309)	
(Gains) losses on sales of other assets	(426)	590
Gains on sales of premises and equipment	(178)	
Writedown of other repossessed assets		780
Stock-based compensation	277	216
(Increase) decrease in other assets	6,670	(19,677)
Increase in other liabilities	1,269	9,780
NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	30,681	25,820
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds from repayments on and maturity of securities:		
Available for sale	75,773	57,791
Held to maturity	14,794	15,602
Proceeds from sales of securities:		
Available for sale		25,778
Purchase of securities:		
Available for sale	(136,795)	(207,312)
Held to maturity	(5,255)	(8,995)
Proceeds from sales of leases		
Held for sale	399	26,872
Net (increase) decrease in loans and leases	12,270	(17,709)
Proceeds on sales of other repossessed assets	2,216	4,377
Capital expenditures	(514)	(1,934)
Proceeds on sales of bank premises and equipment	273	
NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(36,839)	(105,530)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Net increase in deposits	12,958	32,912
Decrease in federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase	(9,496)	(14,366)
Proceeds on issuance of preferred stock, net of costs		58,838
Exercise of stock options	416	6
Excess tax benefits	3	1
Issuance of stock to dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan	30	
Dividends paid	(3,457)	(4,714)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	454	72,677

Edgar Filing: LAKELAND BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(5,704)	(7,033)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	58,663	49,776
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$52,959	\$42,743

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Note 1. Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation.

This quarterly report presents the consolidated financial statements of Lakeland Bancorp, Inc. (the Company) and its subsidiary, Lakeland Bank (Lakeland). The accounting and reporting policies of the Company conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) and predominant practices within the banking industry.

The Company's unaudited interim financial statements reflect all adjustments, such as normal recurring accruals that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for fair statement of the results of interim periods presented. The results of operations for the quarter presented do not necessarily indicate the results that the Company will achieve for all of 2010. You should read these interim financial statements in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes that are presented in the Lakeland Bancorp, Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The financial information in this quarterly report has been prepared in accordance with the Company's customary accounting practices. Certain information and footnote disclosures required under U.S. GAAP have been condensed or omitted, as permitted by rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Company evaluated its June 30, 2010 consolidated financial statements for subsequent events through the date the financial statements were available to be issued. The Company is not aware of any subsequent events which would require recognition or disclosure in the financial statements.

Certain reclassifications have been made in the prior period consolidated financial statements to conform to the 2010 presentation.

Note 2. Stock-Based Compensation

Share-based compensation expense of \$277,000 and \$216,000 was recognized for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. As of June 30, 2010, there was unrecognized compensation cost of \$983,000 related to unvested restricted stock; that cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 2.6 years. Unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested stock options was approximately \$114,000 as of June 30, 2010 and is expected to be recognized over a period of 2.3 years.

In the first half of 2010, the Company granted 34,626 shares of restricted stock at a fair value of \$7.18 per share under the 2009 program. These shares vest over a five year period. Compensation expense on these shares is expected to be approximately \$50,000 per year for the next five years. In the first six months of 2009, the Company granted 14,452 shares of restricted stock at a weighted market value of \$9.26 per share under the 2000 program. Compensation expense on these shares is expected to be approximately \$26,000 per year over an average period of four years.

In the first half of 2010, the Company granted options to purchase 25,000 shares to a new non-employee director of the Company at an exercise price of \$9.07 per share. The director's options vest in five equal installments beginning on the date of grant and continuing on the next four anniversaries of the date of the grant. The Company estimated the fair value of the option grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following assumptions: The risk-free interest rate was 2.32%; the expected dividend yield, 2.20%; the expected volatility, 47%; and the expected life, six years. The fair value of the options granted was estimated to be \$3.48. The expected compensation expense to be recorded over the vesting period is \$87,000.

There were no grants of stock options in the first six months of 2009.

Option activity under the Company's stock option plans as of June 30, 2010 is as follows:

Table of Contents

	Number of shares	Weighted average exercise price	Weighted average remaining contractual term (in years)	Aggregate intrinsic value
Outstanding, January 1, 2010	815,473	\$12.38		\$27,604
Issued	25,000	9.07		
Exercised	(79,459)	6.68		
Forfeited	(6,867)	14.28		
Expired	(15,720)	6.67		
Outstanding, June 30, 2010	738,427	\$12.99	3.72	\$113,817
Options exercisable at June 30, 2010	697,736	\$13.12	3.43	\$113,776

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the second quarter of 2010 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options).

The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised during the first six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$83,000 and \$1,000, respectively. Exercise of stock options during the first six months of 2010 and 2009 resulted in cash receipts of \$416,000 and \$6,000, respectively.

Information regarding the Company's restricted stock (all unvested) and changes during the six months ended June 30, 2010 is as follows:

	Number of shares	Weighted average price
Outstanding, January 1, 2010	96,891	\$ 11.97
Granted	34,626	7.18
Vested	(3,451)	9.26
Forfeited	(806)	10.85
Outstanding, June 30, 2010	127,260	\$ 10.75

Table of Contents**Note 3. Comprehensive Income**

The components of other comprehensive income are as follows:

For the quarter ended:	June 30, 2010			June 30, 2009		
	Before tax amount	Tax Benefit (Expense)	Net of tax amount	Before tax amount	Tax Benefit (Expense)	Net of tax amount
	(in thousands)			(in thousands)		
Net unrealized gains on available for sale securities						
Net unrealized holding gains arising during period	\$3,097	(\$1,139)	\$1,958	\$1,974	(\$768)	\$1,206
Less reclassification adjustment for net losses arising during the period				(532)	168	(364)
Net unrealized gains	\$3,097	(\$1,139)	\$1,958	\$2,506	(\$936)	\$1,570
Change in minimum pension liability	7	(2)	5	8	(3)	5
Other comprehensive income, net	\$3,104	(\$1,141)	\$1,963	\$2,514	(\$939)	\$1,575
For the six months ended:	June 30, 2010			June 30, 2009		
	Before tax amount	Tax Benefit (Expense)	Net of tax amount	Before tax amount	Tax Benefit (Expense)	Net of tax amount
	(in thousands)			(in thousands)		
Net unrealized gains on available for sale securities						
Net unrealized holding gains arising during period	\$5,171	(\$1,892)	\$3,279	\$995	(\$396)	\$599
Less reclassification adjustment for net gains arising during the period	1		1	353	(124)	229
Net unrealized gains	\$5,170	(\$1,892)	\$3,278	\$642	(\$272)	\$370
Change in minimum pension liability	15	(5)	10	16	(6)	10
Other comprehensive income, net	\$5,185	(\$1,897)	\$3,288	\$658	(\$278)	\$380

Note 4. Statement of Cash Flow Information, Supplemental Information

For the six months ended
June 30,
2010 2009

(in thousands)

Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities:

Edgar Filing: LAKELAND BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Cash paid during the period for income taxes	\$1,048	\$2,813
Cash paid during the period for interest	13,987	21,934
Transfer of loans and leases into other repossessed assets and other real estate owned	1,202	2,811
Transfer of loans and leases receivable to leases held for sale, at fair value		66,100
Transfer of leases from held for sale to held for investment	1,888	

Table of Contents**Note 5. Earnings Per Share.**

The following schedule shows the Company's earnings per share for the periods presented:

(In thousands except per share data)	For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
	June 30, 2010	2009	June 30, 2010	2009
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$3,849	\$(13,607)	\$7,517	\$(10,971)
Less: earnings allocated to participating securities	20		40	
Net income allocated to common shareholders	3,829	(13,607)	7,477	(10,971)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding - basic	23,888	23,656	23,859	23,629
Share-based plans	76	0	20	0
Weighted average number of common shares diluted	23,964	23,656	23,879	23,629
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$0.16	\$(0.58)	\$0.31	\$(0.46)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$0.16	\$(0.58)	\$0.31	\$(0.46)

Options to purchase 668,732 shares of common stock at a weighted average price of \$13.62 per share were outstanding and were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 because the exercise price was greater than the average market price. Options to purchase 852,459 shares of common stock at a weighted average price of \$12.37 per share, a warrant to purchase 949,571 shares of common stock at a price of \$9.32 per share, and 128,408 shares of restricted stock at a weighted average price of \$12.16 per share were outstanding and were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 due to the net loss recorded.

Options to purchase 668,732 shares of common stock at a weighted average price of \$13.62 per share, a warrant to purchase 949,571 shares of common stock at a price of \$9.32 per share, and 14,282 shares of restricted stock at a weighted average price of \$11.91 per share were outstanding and were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the six months ended June 30, 2010 because the exercise price and the grant-date price were greater than the average market price. Options to purchase 852,459 shares of common stock at a weighted average price of \$12.37 per share, a warrant to purchase 949,571 shares of common stock at a price of \$9.32 per share, and 128,408 shares of restricted stock at a weighted average price of \$12.16 per share were outstanding and were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the six months ended June 30, 2009 due to the net loss recorded.

Table of Contents**Note 6. Investment Securities**

AVAILABLE FOR SALE (in thousands)	June 30, 2010				December 31, 2009			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
U.S. government agencies	\$92,797	\$626	\$	\$93,423	\$81,678	\$74	\$(271)	\$81,481
Mortgage-backed securities	276,307	5,097	(191)	281,213	243,118	2,304	(594)	244,828
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	20,914	459	(13)	21,360	14,666	369	(33)	15,002
Other debt securities	23,131	114	(1,054)	22,191	14,981	41	(1,701)	13,321
Other equity securities	21,669	370	(258)	21,781	21,107	197	(406)	20,898
	\$434,818	\$6,666	\$(1,516)	\$439,968	\$375,550	\$2,985	\$(3,005)	\$375,530

HELD TO MATURITY (in thousands)	June 30, 2010				December 31, 2009			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
U.S. government agencies	\$4,995	\$307	\$	\$5,302	\$4,994	\$307	\$	\$5,301
Mortgage-backed securities	24,883	1,310		26,193	27,837	951	(19)	28,769
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	40,735	1,336	(26)	42,045	47,412	1,383	(33)	48,762
Other debt securities	1,573	38	(17)	1,594	1,578	3	(24)	1,557
	\$72,186	\$2,991	\$(43)	\$75,134	\$81,821	\$2,644	\$(76)	\$84,389

The following table shows maturities of investment securities by stated maturity. Securities backed by mortgages have expected maturities that differ from contractual maturities because borrowers have the right to call or prepay, and are, therefore, classified separately with no specific maturity date (in thousands):

	June 30, 2010			
	Available for Sale		Held to Maturity	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$3,967	\$3,979	\$7,795	\$7,848
Due after one year through five years	92,279	93,113	24,891	26,053
Due after five years through ten years	32,504	32,282	13,310	13,688
Due after ten years	8,092	7,600	1,307	1,352
	136,842	136,974	47,303	48,941
Mortgage-backed securities	276,307	281,213	24,883	26,193
Other equity securities	21,669	21,781		
Total securities	\$434,818	\$439,968	\$72,186	\$75,134

Table of Contents

The following table shows proceeds from sales of securities, gross gains and gross losses on sales or calls of securities and other than temporary impairments for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	For the three months ended June 30,		For the six months ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Sale proceeds	\$	\$	\$	\$25,778
Gross gains			1	993
Gross losses				(108)
Other than temporary impairment		(532)		(532)

Securities with a carrying value of approximately \$364.8 million and \$331.7 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits and for other purposes required by applicable laws and regulations.

The following table indicates the length of time individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

June 30, 2010	Less than 12 months		12 months or longer		Total		
	Fair value	Unrealized Losses	Fair value	Unrealized Losses	Number of securities	Fair value	Unrealized Losses
(dollars in thousands)							
AVAILABLE FOR SALE							
U.S. government agencies	\$0	\$0	\$	\$	0	\$0	\$0
Mortgage-backed securities	\$25,947	129	10,651	62	14	36,598	191
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	3,026	13	40	0	6	3,066	13
Corporate debt securities	0		10,901	1,054	4	10,901	1,054
Equity securities	351	143	583	115	4	934	258
	\$29,324	\$285	\$22,175	\$1,231	28	\$51,499	\$1,516

HELD TO MATURITY

U.S. government agencies	\$	\$	\$	\$		\$	\$
Mortgage-backed securities			7		1	7	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions			1,094	26	3	1,094	26
Other debt securities			518	17	1	518	17
	\$0	\$0	\$1,619	\$43	5	\$1,619	\$43

December 31, 2009	Less than 12 months		12 months or longer		Total		
	Fair value	Unrealized Losses	Fair value	Unrealized Losses	Number of securities	Fair value	Unrealized Losses
(dollars in thousands)							
AVAILABLE FOR SALE							

Edgar Filing: LAKELAND BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

U.S. government agencies	\$32,681	\$271	\$	\$	8	\$32,681	\$271
Mortgage-backed securities	66,874	467	6,507	127	26	73,381	594
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	2,541	32	64	1	6	2,605	33
Other debt securities	0	0	10,255	1,701	4	10,255	1,701
Equity securities	620	134	812	272	5	1,432	406
	\$102,716	\$904	\$17,638	\$2,101	49	\$120,354	\$3,005

HELD TO MATURITY

Mortgage-backed securities	\$1,795	\$19	\$8	\$	2	\$1,803	\$19
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	0	0	1,088	33	3	1,088	33
Other debt securities			1,019	24	2	1,019	24
	\$1,795	\$19	\$2,115	\$57	7	\$3,910	\$76

Table of Contents

Management has evaluated the securities in the above table and has concluded that none of the securities with losses have impairments that are other-than-temporary. In its evaluation, management considered the credit rating on the securities and the results of discounted cash flow analysis. Investment securities, including mortgage-backed securities and corporate securities are evaluated on a periodic basis to determine if factors are identified that would require further analysis. In evaluating the Company's securities, management considers the following items:

The credit ratings of the underlying issuer and if any changes in the credit rating have occurred;

The Company's ability and intent to hold the securities, including an evaluation of the need to sell the security to meet certain liquidity measures, or whether the Company has sufficient levels of cash to hold the identified security in order to recover the entire amortized cost of the security;

The length of time the security's fair value has been less than amortized cost; and

Adverse conditions related to the security or its issuer if the issuer has failed to make scheduled payments or other factors.

In the second quarter of 2009, the Company recorded an other-than-temporary impairment charge of \$532,000 on one investment in the equity securities portfolio. Management evaluated its portfolio of equity securities and, based on its evaluation of the financial condition and near-term prospects of an issuer, management was unsure that it could recover its investment in the security.

Note 7. Loans and Leases.

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	(in thousands)	
Commercial loans secured by real estate	\$936,049	\$918,517
Commercial and Industrial loans	174,899	168,450
Leases	87,936	113,160
Leases held for sale, at fair value	3,233	7,314
Real estate-construction	96,171	116,997
Real estate-mortgage	389,205	374,091
Home Equity and consumer installment	305,355	315,598
Total loans	1,992,848	2,014,127
Plus: deferred costs	2,641	2,908
Loans net of deferred costs	\$1,995,489	\$2,017,035

Loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that Lakeland will be unable to collect all amounts due in accordance with the original contractual terms of the loan agreement, including scheduled principal and interest payments. Impairment is measured based on the present value of expected cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, except that as a practical expedient, a creditor may measure impairment based on a loan's observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral-dependent. Regardless of the measurement method, a creditor must measure impairment based on the fair value of the collateral when the creditor determines that foreclosure is probable. Most of Lakeland's impaired loans are collateral dependent. Lakeland groups commercial loans under \$250,000 into a homogeneous pool and collectively evaluates them for impairment.

The following table shows Lakeland's recorded investment in impaired loans and leases, the related valuation allowance and the year-to-date average recorded investment as of June 30, 2010, December 31, 2009 and June 30, 2009:

Date	Investment	Valuation Allowance	Average Recorded Investment Year-to-date
------	------------	---------------------	--

Edgar Filing: LAKELAND BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

June 30, 2010	\$32.3 million	\$4.2 million	\$32.0 million
December 31, 2009	\$31.4 million	\$3.7 million	\$25.2 million
June 30, 2009	\$27.0 million	\$4.6 million	\$19.9 million

Table of Contents

Interest received on impaired loans and leases may be recorded as interest income. However, if management is not reasonably certain that an impaired loan will be repaid in full, or if a specific time frame to resolve full collection cannot yet be reasonably determined, all payments received are recorded as reductions of principal. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had \$15.0 million and \$14.6 million, respectively, in impaired loans and leases for which there was no related allowance for loan losses. Lakeland recognized interest on impaired loans and leases of \$133,000 and \$4,000 in the first six months of 2010 and 2009, respectively. Interest that would have accrued had the loans and leases performed under original terms would have been \$1.3 million for the first six months of 2010 and 2009.

Lakeland had leases held for sale with a fair market value of \$3.2 million as of June 30, 2010, compared to \$7.3 million as of December 31, 2009. Management records mark-to-market adjustments on the pools of leases based on indications of interest from potential buyers, and sales prices of similar leases previously sold adjusted for differences in types of collateral and other characteristics. During the first half of 2010, the Company sold leases held for sale with a carrying value of \$373,000 for \$400,000 and recorded a net gain of \$27,000. The Company also reclassified \$1.9 million from held for sale to held for investment because management's intent regarding these leases had changed. The Company also recorded \$2.1 million in payments on held for sale leases. The following tables show the components of gains (losses) on held for sale leasing assets for the periods presented (in thousands):

	For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(in thousands)		(in thousands)	
Gains on sales of held for sale leases	\$17	\$	\$27	\$
Mark-to-market adjustment on held for sale leases	186		282	
Realized gains on paid off held for sale leases	85		125	
Gains on other repossessed assets	267	(585)	425	(585)
Total gain (loss) on held for sale leasing assets	\$555	(\$585)	\$859	(\$585)

Note 8. Employee Benefit Plans

The components of net periodic pension cost for the Newton Trust Company's defined pension plan are as follows:

	For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(in thousands)		(in thousands)	
Interest cost	\$24	\$24	\$48	\$47
Expected return on plan assets	(19)	(13)	(39)	(25)
Amortization of unrecognized net actuarial loss	13	18	27	36
Net periodic benefit expense	\$18	\$29	\$36	\$58

Note 9. Directors' Retirement Plan

The components of net periodic plan costs for the directors' retirement plan are as follows:

For the three months ended For the six months ended

Edgar Filing: LAKELAND BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

	June 30,		June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(in thousands)		(in thousands)	
Service cost	\$7	\$7	\$14	\$13
Interest cost	13	12	25	25
Amortization of prior service cost	7	8	15	16
Amortization of unrecognized net actuarial loss	2	3	4	6
Net periodic benefit expense	\$29	\$30	\$58	\$60

Table of Contents

The Company made contributions of \$80,000 to the plan during each of the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. No further contributions are expected to be made in 2010.

Note 10. Estimated Fair Value of Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measurement

U.S. GAAP establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels giving the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (level 1 measurements) and the lowest level priority to unobservable inputs (level 3 measurements). The following describes the three levels of fair value hierarchy:

Level 1 unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; estimates using pricing models or matrix pricing; inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability.

Level 3 unobservable inputs for the asset or liability these shall be used to the extent that observable inputs are not available allowing for situations in which there is little, if any, market activity available.

The Company's assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis are its available for sale investment securities. The Company obtains fair values on its securities using information from a third party servicer. Standard inputs include benchmark yields, reported trades, broker-dealer quotes, issuer spreads, bids and offers. If quoted prices for securities are available in an active market, those securities are classified as Level 1 securities. The Company has certain equity securities that are quoted in active markets and are classified as Level 1 securities. If quoted prices in active markets are not available, fair values are estimated by the use of pricing models. Level 2 securities were primarily comprised of US Agency bonds, mortgage-backed securities, obligations of state and political subdivisions and corporate securities.

The following table sets forth the Company's financial assets that were accounted for at fair values as of June 30, 2010 by level within the fair value hierarchy. The Company had no liabilities accounted for at fair value as of June 30, 2010. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company did not make any significant transfers between recurring Level 1 fair value measurements and recurring Level 2 fair value measurements. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement:

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Fair Value at June 30, 2010
(in thousands)				

Assets:

Investment securities, available for sale				
US government agencies	\$	\$93,423	\$	\$93,423
Mortgage backed securities		281,213		281,213
Obligations of states and political subdivisions		21,360		21,360
Corporate debt securities		22,191		22,191
Other equity securities	1,756	20,025		21,781

Total securities available for sale \$1,756 \$438,212 \$ \$439,968

The following table sets forth the Company's assets subject to fair value adjustments (impairment) on a nonrecurring basis as they are valued at the lower of cost or market. Assets are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement:

Table of Contents

(in thousands)	Quoted Prices in	Significant		Total
	Active Markets	Other	Significant	Fair Value
	for Identical	Observable	Unobservable	at
	Assets	Inputs	Inputs	June 30,
	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	2010

Assets:

Leases held for sale	\$	\$	\$3,233	\$3,233
Impaired Loans and Leases			32,307	32,307
Other real estate owned and other repossessed assets			1,277	1,277
Land held for sale			914	914

Leases held for sale are those leases that Lakeland identified and intends to sell. Leases held for sale were valued at the lower of cost or market. Market indications were derived from sale price indications from potential buyers and based on sale prices of prior lease pools adjusted for differences in types of collateral and other characteristics.

Impaired loans and leases are evaluated and valued at the time the loan is identified as impaired at the lower of cost or market value. Fair value is measured based on the value of the collateral securing these loans and leases and is classified at a level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. Collateral may be real estate, accounts receivable, inventory, equipment and/or other business assets. The value of the real estate is assessed based on appraisals by qualified third party licensed appraisers. The value of the equipment may be determined by an appraiser, if significant, inquiry through a recognized valuation resource, or by the value on the borrower's financial statements. Field examiner reviews on business assets may be conducted based on the loan exposure and reliance on this type of collateral. Appraised and reported values may be discounted based on management's historical knowledge, changes in market conditions from the time of valuation, and/or management's expertise and knowledge of the client and client's business. Impaired loans and leases are reviewed and evaluated on at least a quarterly basis for additional impairment and adjusted accordingly, based on the same factors identified above.

Other real estate owned (OREO) and other repossessed assets, representing property acquired through foreclosure, is carried at the lower of the principal balance of the secured loan or lease or fair value less estimated disposal costs of the acquired property.

Land held for sale represents a property held by the Company that is recorded at the lower of book or fair value. There is currently a contract for sale on the property in which the net proceeds of the sale would exceed the book value of the property.

U.S. GAAP also requires disclosure of the estimated fair value of an entity's assets and liabilities considered to be financial instruments. For the Company, as for most financial institutions, the majority of its assets and liabilities are considered financial instruments. However, many such instruments lack an available trading market, as characterized by a willing buyer and seller engaging in an exchange transaction. Also, it is the Company's general practice and intent to hold its financial instruments to maturity and not to engage in trading or sales activities, except for certain loans and leases. Therefore, the Company had to use significant estimations and present value calculations to prepare this disclosure.

Changes in the assumptions or methodologies used to estimate fair values may materially affect the estimated amounts. Also, management is concerned that there may not be reasonable comparability between institutions due to the wide range of permitted assumptions and methodologies in the absence of active markets. This lack of uniformity gives rise to a high degree of subjectivity in estimating financial instrument fair values.

Estimated fair values have been determined by the Company using the best available data and an estimation methodology suitable for each category of financial instruments. The estimation methodologies used, the estimated fair values, and recorded book balances at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are outlined below.

For cash and cash equivalents and interest-bearing deposits with banks, the recorded book values approximate fair values. The estimated fair values of investment securities are based on quoted market prices, if available. Estimated fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments if quoted market prices are not available.

Edgar Filing: LAKELAND BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The net loan portfolio at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 has been valued using a present value discounted cash flow where market prices were not available. The discount rate used in these calculations is the estimated current market rate adjusted for credit risk. The carrying value of accrued interest approximates fair value.

Table of Contents

The estimated fair values of demand deposits (i.e. interest (checking) and non-interest bearing demand accounts, savings and certain types of money market accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e. their carrying amounts). The carrying amounts of variable rate accounts approximate their fair values at the reporting date. For fixed maturity certificates of deposit, fair value was estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities. The carrying amount of accrued interest payable approximates its fair value.

The fair value of federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, long-term debt and subordinated debentures are based upon the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The Company estimates the discount rate using the rates currently offered for similar borrowing arrangements.

The fair values of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit are estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counter parties. For fixed-rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates. The fair value of guarantees and letters of credit is based on fees currently charged for similar agreements or on the estimated cost to terminate them or otherwise settle the obligations with the counter parties at the reporting date.

The carrying values and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments are as follows:

	June 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Carrying Value	Estimated fair value	Carrying Value	Estimated fair value
	(in thousands)			
Financial Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$52,959	\$52,959	\$58,663	\$58,663
Investment securities available for sale	439,968	439,968	375,530	375,530
Investment securities held to maturity	72,186	75,134	81,821	84,389
Loans, including leases held for sale	1,995,489	2,001,633	2,017,035	2,015,268
Financial Liabilities:				
Deposits	2,170,145	2,174,119	2,157,187	2,160,445
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase	54,176	54,176	63,672	63,672
Long-term debt	145,900	162,090	145,900	161,023
Subordinated debentures	77,322	79,364	77,322	81,503
Commitments:				
Standby letters of credit		73		20

Note 11. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

On June 12, 2009, the FASB issued accounting guidance changing the accounting principles and disclosure requirements related to securitizations and special-purpose entities. This guidance eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity, changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets and changes how a company determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. This guidance also expands existing disclosure requirements to include more information about transfers of financial assets, including securitization transactions, and where companies have continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. This guidance is effective as of the beginning of each reporting entity's first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. The recognition and measurement provisions regarding transfers of financial assets shall be applied to transfers that occur on or after the effective date. The Company applied this guidance in the first quarter of 2010 and application did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued accounting guidance to enhance fair value measurement disclosures by requiring the reporting entity to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reason for the transfers. Furthermore, activity in Level 3 fair value measurements should separately provide information about purchases, sales, issues and settlements rather than providing that information as one net

Table of Contents

number. These new disclosures are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, with the exception of the enhanced Level 3 disclosures, which are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The Company applied this guidance in the first quarter of 2010 and application did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2010, the FASB issued accounting guidance to provide financial statement users with greater transparency about an entity's allowance for loan and lease losses and the credit quality of its loan and lease portfolio. Under the new guidelines, the allowance for loan and lease losses and fair value are to be disclosed by portfolio segment, while credit quality information, impaired loans and leases and non-accrual status are to be presented by class of loans and leases. Disclosure of the nature and extent, the financial impact and segment information of troubled debt restructurings will also be required. The disclosures are to be presented at the level of disaggregation that management uses when assessing and monitoring the loan and lease portfolio's risk and performance. This guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010.

Note 12. Subsequent Event

On August 4, 2010, the Company redeemed 20,000 shares (or approximately 34%) of its Fixed Rate Cumulative Preferred Stock, Series A originally issued to the U.S. Department of the Treasury under the Troubled Asset Relief Program Capital Purchase Program. The Company paid to the Treasury \$20.2 million, which included \$20.0 million of principal and \$219,000 in accrued and unpaid dividends, on August 4, 2010. As a result of the early payment, the Company also will accelerate the accretion of \$898,000 of discount. The following are the actual capital ratios for the Company and Lakeland as of June 30, 2010 and the pro forma ratios assuming the redemption of the preferred stock as of that date:

	Tier 1 Capital to Total Average Assets Ratio June 30, 2010	Tier 1 Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets Ratio June 30, 2010	Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets Ratio June 30, 2010
Actual Capital Ratios:			
The Company	9.77%	13.24%	14.49%
Lakeland Bank	9.32%	12.64%	13.89%
Pro-forma Capital Ratios:			
The Company	9.09%	12.25%	13.51%
Lakeland Bank	8.61%	11.62%	12.87%
Well capitalized institution under FDIC Regulations	5.00%	6.00%	10.00%

PART I ITEM 2**Management's Discussion and Analysis of****Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

This section should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Statements Regarding Forward Looking Information

The information disclosed in this document includes various forward-looking statements that are made in reliance upon the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 with respect to credit quality (including delinquency trends and the allowance for loan and lease losses), corporate objectives, and other financial and business matters. The words anticipates, projects, intends, estimates, expects, believes, plans, may, will, should, could, and other similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. Company cautions that these forward-looking statements are necessarily speculative and speak only as of the date made, and are subject to numerous assumptions, risks and uncertainties, all of which may change over time. Actual results could differ materially from such forward-looking statements.

Edgar Filing: LAKELAND BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

In addition to the risk factors disclosed elsewhere in this document, the following factors, among others, could cause

Table of Contents

the Company's actual results to differ materially and adversely from such forward-looking statements: changes in the financial services industry and the U.S. and global capital markets, changes in economic conditions nationally, regionally and in the Company's markets, the nature and timing of actions of the Federal Reserve Board and other regulators, the nature and timing of legislation affecting the financial services industry-including, but not limited to, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, government intervention in the U.S. financial system, passage by the U.S. Congress of legislation which unilaterally amends the terms of the U.S. Treasury Department's preferred stock investment in the Company, changes in levels of market interest rates, pricing pressures on loan and deposit products, credit risks of the Company's lending and leasing activities, customers' acceptance of the Company's products and services and competition.

The above-listed risk factors are not necessarily exhaustive, particularly as to possible future events, and new risk factors may emerge from time to time. Certain events may occur that could cause the Company's actual results to be materially different than those described in the Company's periodic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Any statements made by the Company that are not historical facts should be considered to be forward-looking statements. The Company is not obligated to update and does not undertake to update any of its forward-looking statements made herein.

Critical Accounting Policies, Judgments and Estimates

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company and its subsidiaries conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and predominant practices within the banking industry. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, Lakeland, Lakeland Investment Corp. and Lakeland NJ Investment Corp. All inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated.

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. These estimates and assumptions also affect reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates. There have been no material changes in the Company's critical accounting policies, judgments and estimates, including assumptions or estimation techniques utilized, as compared to those disclosed in the Company's most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The Company accounts for income taxes under the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities as measured by the enacted tax rates that will be in effect when these differences reverse. Deferred tax expense is the result of changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities. The principal types of differences between assets and liabilities for financial statement and tax return purposes are the allowance for loan and lease losses, deferred loan fees, deferred compensation, valuation reserves on leases held for sale and securities available for sale. The Company evaluates the realizability of its deferred tax assets by examining its earnings history and projected future earnings and by assessing whether it is more likely than not that carryforwards would not be realized. Because the majority of the Company's deferred tax assets have no expiration date, because of the Company's earnings history, and because of the projections of future earnings, the Company's management believes that it is more likely than not that all of the Company's deferred tax assets will be realized.

The Company evaluates tax positions that may be uncertain using a recognition threshold of more-likely-than-not, and a measurement attribute for all tax positions taken or expected to be taken on a tax return, in order for those tax positions to be recognized in the financial statements. Additional information regarding the Company's uncertain tax positions is set forth in Note 9 to the Financial Statements of the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The Company tests goodwill for impairment annually or when circumstances indicate a potential for impairment at the reporting unit level. The Company has determined that it has one reporting unit, Community Banking. The Company analyzes goodwill using various methodologies including an income approach and a market approach. The income approach calculates cash flows to a potential acquirer based on the anticipated financial results assuming a change in control transaction. The market approach includes a comparison of pricing multiples in recent acquisitions of similar companies and applies these multiples to the Company. The Company tested the goodwill as of December 31, 2009 and determined that it is not impaired. There were no triggering events in the first half of 2010 that would cause the Company to do an interim valuation.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

(Second Quarter 2010 Compared to Second Quarter 2009)

Net Income (Loss)

Net income for the second quarter of 2010 was \$4.8 million, compared to a net loss of (\$12.7) million for the same period in 2009. Net income available to common shareholders was \$3.8 million compared to a net loss of (\$13.6) million for the same period last year. Diluted earnings per share was \$0.16 for the second quarter of 2010, compared to a loss of (\$0.58) per share for the same period last year.

The second quarter 2009 results were negatively impacted by a loan and lease loss provision of \$34.1 million compared to a provision of \$5.0 million in the second quarter of 2010. The loan and lease loss provision in the second quarter of 2009 resulted from several factors including continued charge-offs in the Company's leasing portfolio, increases in non-performing loans in its commercial loan portfolio and the Company's decision to reduce the exposure in its leasing portfolio by designating certain lease pools as held for sale.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income on a tax equivalent basis for the second quarter of 2010 was \$25.2 million, which was \$2.4 million, or 10%, above the \$22.8 million net interest income earned in the second quarter of 2009. The net interest margin increased from 3.63% in the second quarter of 2009 to 3.96% in the second quarter of 2010, primarily as a result of a 78 basis point reduction in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities, which was partially offset by a 32 basis point decline in the yield on interest-earning assets. The components of net interest income will be discussed in greater detail below.

The following table reflects the components of the Company's net interest income, setting forth for the periods presented, (1) average assets, liabilities and stockholders' equity, (2) interest income earned on interest-earning assets and interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities, (3) average yields earned on interest-earning assets and average rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities, (4) the Company's net interest spread (i.e., the average yield on interest-earning assets less the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities) and (5) the Company's net interest margin. Rates are computed on a tax equivalent basis using a tax rate of 35%.

Table of Contents

	For the three months ended,			For the three months ended,		
	June 30, 2010			June 30, 2009		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Average rates earned/paid	Average Balance	Interest Income/Expense	Average rates earned/paid
Assets						
Interest-earning assets:						
Loans and leases (A)	\$ 1,999,494	\$ 28,049	5.63%	\$ 2,020,379	\$ 29,156	5.79%
Taxable investment securities	421,555	3,009	2.86%	379,588	3,372	3.55%
Tax-exempt securities	60,831	765	5.03%	68,669	914	5.32%
Federal funds sold (B)	68,263	40	0.23%	48,118	31	0.26%
Total interest-earning assets	2,550,143	31,863	5.01%	2,516,754	33,473	5.33%
Noninterest-earning assets:						
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(27,450)			(24,963)		
Other assets	249,031			220,630		
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 2,771,724			\$ 2,712,421		
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity						
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Savings accounts	\$ 321,699	\$ 149	0.19%	\$ 305,406	\$ 433	0.57%
Interest-bearing transaction accounts	1,051,107	1,993	0.76%	830,526	2,152	1.04%
Time deposits	477,542	1,726	1.45%	641,993	4,564	2.84%
Borrowings	281,319	2,798	3.98%	332,327	3,521	4.24%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	2,131,667	6,666	1.25%	2,110,252	10,670	2.03%
Noninterest-bearing liabilities:						
Demand deposits	351,970			309,548		
Other liabilities	12,810			17,590		
Stockholders equity	275,277			275,602		
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 2,771,724			\$ 2,712,992		
Net interest income/spread		25,197	3.76%		22,803	3.30%
Tax equivalent basis adjustment		268			320	
NET INTEREST INCOME		\$ 24,929			\$ 22,483	
Net interest margin (C)			3.96%			3.63%

(A) Includes non-accrual loans, the effect of which is to reduce the yield earned on loans, and deferred loan fees.

(B) Includes interest-bearing cash accounts.

(C) Net interest income divided by interest-earning assets.

Interest income on a tax equivalent basis decreased from \$33.5 million in the second quarter of 2009 to \$31.9 million in the second quarter of 2010, a decrease of \$1.6 million, or 5%. The decrease in interest income was due to a 32 basis point decrease in the yield on interest earning assets, as a result of the declining rate environment along with a lower percentage of earning assets being deployed in loans and leases. Average loans and leases totaling \$2.0 billion in the second quarter of 2010 decreased \$20.9 million compared to the second quarter of 2009, while average investment securities totaling \$482.4 million in the second quarter of 2010 increased \$34.1 million. Loans as a percent of interest earning assets declined from 80% in the second quarter of 2009 to 78% in the second quarter of 2010. Investments, including securities and federal funds sold, increased from 20% of interest earnings assets in the second quarter of 2009 to 22% in the second quarter of 2010. Loans and leases typically earn higher yields than investment securities.

Total interest expense decreased from \$10.7 million in the second quarter of 2009 to \$6.7 million in the second quarter of

2010, a decrease of \$4.0 million, or 38%. Average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$21.4 million, but the cost of those liabilities decreased from 2.03% in 2009 to 1.25% in 2010. The decrease in yield was due to the declining rate environment along with a change in the mix of interest-bearing liabilities. Average rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities declined in all categories. Savings and interest-bearing transaction accounts as a percent of interest-bearing liabilities increased from 54% in the second quarter of 2009 to 64% in the second quarter of 2010. Time deposits as a percent of interest-bearing liabilities declined from 30% in the second quarter of 2009 to 22% in the second quarter of 2010 as customers preferred to keep their deposits in short-term transaction accounts in the current low rate environment. Average borrowings decreased from \$332.3 million in 2009 to \$281.3 million in 2010, as deposit growth outpaced loan and lease growth and because the Company prepaid \$55.0 million of long-term debt in the fourth quarter of 2009.

Table of Contents**Provision for Loan and Lease Losses**

In determining the provision for loan and lease losses, management considers national and local economic conditions; trends in the portfolio including orientation to specific loan types or industries; experience, ability and depth of lending management in relation to the complexity of the portfolio; adequacy and adherence to policies, procedures and practices; levels and trends in delinquencies, impaired loans and leases; net charge-offs, and the results of independent third party loan and lease review.

In the second quarter of 2010, a \$5.0 million provision for loan and lease losses was recorded compared to a \$34.1 million provision for the same period last year. The decline in the provision from the second quarter of 2009 resulted from a \$31.2 million decline in net charge-offs and was based on management's evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses. The Company requires a reserve on its loans and leases based on the financial strength of the borrower, collateral adequacy, delinquency history and other factors discussed under "Risk Elements" below. The reserve for leases is more specifically assessed based on the borrower's payment history, financial strength of the borrower determined through financial information provided, value of the underlying assets and in the case of recourse transactions, the financial strength of the originator (servicer).

In the second quarter of 2009, the Company had a \$34.1 million loan and lease loss provision which resulted from continued charge-offs in the Company's leasing portfolio, increases in non-performing loans in its commercial portfolio and the Company's decision to reduce the exposure in its leasing portfolio by designating certain lease pools as held for sale. The Company's decision to sell designated lease pools resulted in mark-to-market adjustments totaling \$21.6 million as well as additional net charge-offs in the leasing portfolio of \$12.2 million in the second quarter of 2009.

During the second quarter of 2010, the Company charged off loans of \$4.9 million and recovered \$763,000 in previously charged off loans and leases compared to \$35.7 million and \$390,000, respectively, during the same period in 2009. For more information regarding the determination of the provision, see "Risk Elements" below.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income increased \$1.1 million or 33% to \$4.6 million in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2009. Included in noninterest income for the second quarter of 2009 was a \$532,000 other-than-temporary impairment loss that the Company recorded on equity securities in its investment portfolio. The remainder of the increase in noninterest income is due primarily to gains on leasing related assets, which were \$555,000 in the second quarter of 2010 compared to losses of \$529,000 in the second quarter of 2009. In the second quarter of 2010, our gains on leasing related assets includes gains from payoffs and sales of held for sale leases, and gains on sales of other repossessed assets. In the second quarter of 2009, we recorded \$585,000 in losses on sales of leasing related other repossessed assets. Other income at \$280,000 was \$198,000 higher than the same period last year, as the Company recorded a gain of \$181,000 on the sale of a former branch office building. Partially offsetting these positive variances was service charges on deposits at \$2.5 million, which decreased by \$199,000, or 7%, from 2009, due to reduced overdraft fees collected. Commissions and fees at \$833,000, decreased by \$40,000, or 5%, from 2009, primarily due to reduced loan fees. Additionally, income on bank owned life insurance at \$385,000 decreased by \$433,000 as the Company received insurance proceeds of \$486,000 on a bank owned life insurance policy in the second quarter of 2009.

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense totaling \$17.1 million decreased \$2.5 million in the second quarter of 2010 from the second quarter of 2009. Marketing expense at \$648,000 decreased \$136,000, or 17%, due primarily to a reduction in media expenses. FDIC insurance expense at \$964,000 decreased by \$1.5 million, as the Company paid an industry-wide special FDIC assessment in the second quarter of 2009 of \$1.2 million. Collection expense at \$159,000 and other real estate and repossessed asset expense at \$198,000 decreased \$218,000, or 58%, and \$467,000, or 70%, respectively, due to decreased leasing related expenses. Other real estate and other repossessed asset expense included write-downs of other repossessed assets of \$661,000 in the second quarter of 2009. Legal expense at \$423,000 increased \$231,000 in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 as a result of increased workout expenses related to non-performing loans and leases. Other expenses decreased by \$787,000 to \$2.2 million in the second quarter of 2010 primarily as a result of a \$704,000 expense that the Company recorded in the second quarter of 2009 relating to the pretax payout to beneficiaries of the previously mentioned life insurance proceeds. The Company's efficiency ratio, a non-GAAP financial measure, was

Table of Contents

55.9% in the second quarter of 2010, compared to 70.0% for the same period last year. The Company uses this ratio because it believes that the ratio provides a good comparison of period-to-period performance and because the ratio is widely accepted in the banking industry. The following table shows the calculation of the efficiency ratio:

	For the three months ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
	(dollars in thousands)	
Calculation of efficiency ratio		
Total non-interest expense	\$17,107	\$19,654
Less:		
Amortization of core deposit intangibles	(266)	(266)
Other real estate owned and other repossessed asset expense	(198)	(665)
Non-interest expense, as adjusted	\$16,643	\$18,723
Net interest income	\$24,929	\$22,483
Noninterest income	4,553	3,411
Total revenue	29,482	25,894
Plus: Tax-equivalent adjustment on municipal securities	268	320
Less: (gains) losses on investment securities		532
Total revenue, as adjusted	\$29,750	\$26,746
Efficiency ratio	55.94%	70.00%

Income Taxes

The Company's effective tax rate was 35.5% in the second quarter of 2010, compared to 54.3% in the second quarter of 2009. The Company's effective tax rate was 54.3% in the second quarter of 2009 because of its net loss and the impact that tax advantaged income had on the tax benefit of the loss. Tax advantaged income includes tax exempt securities income and income on bank owned life insurance policies.

(Year-to-Date 2010 Compared to Year-to-Date 2009)**Net Income (Loss)**

Net income for the first half of 2010 was \$9.3 million, compared to net loss of (\$9.5) million for the same period in 2009. Diluted earnings per share was \$0.31 for the first half of 2010, compared to a loss per share of (\$0.46) in the first half of 2009. The increase in net income related to the decrease in the provision for loan and lease losses from \$40.5 million in the first half of 2009 to \$9.9 million in the first half of 2010.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income on a tax equivalent basis for the first half of 2010 was \$50.1 million, a \$4.1 million or 9% increase from the \$46.0 million earned in the first half of 2009. The net interest margin increased from 3.72% in the first half of 2009 to 3.98% in the first half of 2010, primarily as a result of a 79 basis point reduction in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities, which was partially offset by a 41 basis point decline in the yield on interest-earning assets. The components of net interest income will be discussed in greater detail below.

The following table reflects the components of the Company's net interest income, setting forth for the periods

Table of Contents

presented, (1) average assets, liabilities and stockholders' equity, (2) interest income earned on interest-earning assets and interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities, (3) average yields earned on interest-earning assets and average rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities, (4) the Company's net interest spread (i.e., the average yield on interest-earning assets less the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities) and (5) the Company's net interest margin. Rates are computed on a tax equivalent basis using a tax rate of 35%.

CONSOLIDATED STATISTICS ON A TAX EQUIVALENT BASIS

	For the six months ended, June 30, 2010			For the six months ended, June 30, 2009		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Average rates earned/ paid (dollars in thousands)	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Average rates earned/ paid
Assets						
Interest-earning assets:						
Loans (A)	\$ 2,004,414	\$ 56,301	5.66%	\$ 2,024,772	\$ 59,298	5.91%
Taxable investment securities	413,644	5,992	2.90%	360,441	6,791	3.77%
Tax-exempt securities	61,657	1,565	5.08%	66,800	1,789	5.36%
Federal funds sold (B)	58,240	68	0.23%	44,132	57	0.26%
Total interest-earning assets	2,537,955	63,926	5.07%	2,496,145	67,935	5.48%
Noninterest-earning assets:						
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(26,920)			(24,631)		
Other assets	250,778			221,179		
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 2,761,813			\$ 2,692,693		
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity						
Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Savings accounts	\$ 317,386	\$ 335	0.21%	\$ 300,305	\$ 964	0.65%
Interest-bearing transaction accounts	1,063,088	4,356	0.83%	841,118	4,545	1.09%
Time deposits	474,636	3,582	1.51%	629,843	9,399	2.98%
Borrowings	280,209	5,589	3.99%	337,001	7,026	4.17%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	2,135,319	13,862	1.30%	2,108,267	21,934	2.09%
Noninterest-bearing liabilities:						
Demand deposits	340,624			302,037		
Other liabilities	12,566			16,077		
Stockholders' equity	273,304			266,312		
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 2,761,813			\$ 2,692,693		
Net interest income/spread		50,064	3.77%		46,001	3.40%
Tax equivalent basis adjustment		548			626	
NET INTEREST INCOME		\$ 49,516			\$ 45,375	
Net interest margin (C)			3.98%			3.72%

(A) Includes non-accrual loans, the effect of which is to reduce the yield earned on loans, and deferred loan fees.

(B) Includes interest-bearing cash accounts.

(C) Net interest income divided by interest-earning assets.

Interest income on a tax equivalent basis decreased from \$67.9 million in the first half of 2009 to \$63.9 million in 2010, a decrease of \$4.0 million, or 6%. The decrease in interest income was due primarily to a 41 basis point decrease in the average yield earned on interest earning assets, which resulted from the decline in rates and the change in mix discussed previously in the comparison of the results of operations between the second quarter of 2010 and the second quarter of 2009.

Total interest expense decreased from \$21.9 million in the first half of 2009 to \$13.9 million in the first half of 2010, a decrease of \$8.1 million, or 37%. Average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$27.1 million, but the cost of those liabilities decreased from 2.09% in 2009 to 1.30% in 2010 for the same reasons as discussed in the quarterly analysis. Average deposits increased from \$2.07 billion in the first half of 2009 to \$2.20 billion in the first half of 2010, an increase of \$122.4 million, or 6%. Average borrowings decreased from \$337.0 million in 2009 to \$280.2 million in 2010 due to the same reasons discussed above in the quarterly comparison.

Table of Contents**Provision for Loan and Lease Losses**

The provision for loan and lease losses decreased from \$40.5 million for the first half of 2009 to \$9.9 million for the first half of 2010. During the first half of 2010, the Company charged off loans and leases of \$9.0 million and recovered \$1.3 million in previously charged off loans and leases compared to \$42.2 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, during the same period in 2009. The decrease in the provision for loan and lease losses resulted from the same reasons discussed in the quarterly analysis. For more information regarding the determination of the provision, see Risk Elements below.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income increased \$278,000 to \$8.7 million for the first six months of 2010 compared to the first six months of 2009. Service charges on deposits decreased \$418,000, or 8% to \$4.9 million for the same reasons mentioned in the quarterly discussion. Gains on investment securities was \$1,000 for the first six months of 2010, compared to \$353,000 for the first six months of 2009, while gains on leasing related assets totaled \$859,000 compared to losses of \$344,000 in 2009. Income on bank owned life insurance decreased \$378,000 to \$771,000 and other income increased \$201,000 to \$365,000 for the same reasons mentioned in the quarterly discussion.

Noninterest Expense

For the first six months of 2010, noninterest expense was \$33.9 million, compared to \$36.4 million in 2009, a decrease of 7%. Noninterest expenses declined for the same reasons discussed in the quarterly comparison which included the \$1.2 million special FDIC assessment and the \$704,000 payout of bank owned life insurance proceeds. The Company's efficiency ratio was 56.40% in the first half of 2010, compared to 64.94% for the same period last year. The following table shows the calculation of the efficiency ratio:

	For the six months ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
	(dollars in thousands)	
Calculation of efficiency ratio		
Total non-interest expense	\$33,887	\$36,405
Less:		
Amortization of core deposit intangibles	(531)	(531)
Other real estate owned and other repossessed asset expense	(235)	(785)
Non-interest expense, as adjusted	\$33,121	\$35,089
Net interest income	\$49,516	\$45,375
Noninterest income	8,662	8,384
Total revenue	58,178	53,759
Plus: Tax-equivalent adjustment on municipal securities	548	626
Less: (gains) losses on investment securities	(1)	(353)
Total revenue, as adjusted	\$58,725	\$54,032
Efficiency ratio	56.40%	64.94%

Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the first half of 2010 was 35.3% compared to 58.7% for the first half of 2009. The Company's effective tax rate was 58.7% in the first half of 2009 because of its net loss and the impact that tax advantaged income had on the tax benefit of the loss.

Table of Contents**Financial Condition**

The Company's total assets increased \$14.6 million from \$2.72 billion at December 31, 2009, to \$2.74 billion at June 30, 2010. Declines in loans and leases were offset by increases in investment securities. Although deposits increased by less than one percent, declines in time deposits and interest bearing transaction accounts were offset by an 11% increase in noninterest bearing transaction accounts.

Loans and Leases

Gross loans and leases, including leases held for sale, at \$2.0 billion decreased by \$21.3 million from December 31, 2009. The decrease in gross loans and leases is primarily due to leases decreasing \$29.3 million, or 24%, from \$120.5 million at December 31, 2009 to \$91.2 million (including \$3.2 million held for sale) on June 30, 2010. Excluding leases, loans increased \$8.0 million from December 31, 2009, or less than 1%, due to low loan demand. For more information on the loan portfolio, see Note 7 in Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Risk Elements

The following schedule sets forth certain information regarding the Company's non-accrual, past due and restructured loans and leases and other real estate owned on the dates presented:

(in thousands)	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2009
Commercial secured by real estate	\$20,053	\$19,648	\$25,798
Commercial and Industrial	3,701	1,752	2,047
Leases	6,274	2,666	3,511
Home equity and consumer	2,436	1,535	1,890
Real estate mortgage	8,576	2,909	5,465
Total Non-accrual loans and leases	\$41,040	\$28,510	\$38,711
Other real estate and other repossessed assets	1,277	1,651	1,864
TOTAL NON-PERFORMING ASSETS	\$42,317	\$30,161	\$40,575
Non-performing assets as a percent of total assets	1.55%	1.11%	1.49%
Loans and leases past due 90 days or more and still accruing	\$578	\$4,228	\$1,437
Troubled debt restructurings, still accruing	\$8,561		\$3,432

Non-performing assets increased from \$40.6 million on December 31, 2009, or 1.49% of total assets, to \$42.3 million, or 1.55% of total assets, on June 30, 2010. Declines in commercial non-accruals were offset by increases in non-accruals in leases and residential mortgages. Leases on non-accrual increased \$2.8 million from December 31, 2009 to \$6.3 million on June 30, 2010. The increase in leases includes \$4.0 million related to one lessee who has named the Company and other unrelated parties in a complaint in connection with the leases. For more information, see Legal Proceedings in Part II Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Residential mortgages on non-accrual increased \$3.1 million from December 31, 2009 to \$8.6 million on June 30, 2010 resulting from deterioration in the economy and the increased unemployment rate. Commercial loan non-accruals at June 30, 2010 included three loan relationships with balances over \$1.0 million, totaling \$5.8 million, and nine loan relationships between \$500,000 and \$1.0 million, totaling \$7.3 million.

Loans and leases past due ninety days or more and still accruing at June 30, 2010 decreased \$859,000 to \$578,000 from \$1.4 million on December 31, 2009. Loans and leases past due 90 days or more and still accruing are those loans and leases that are both well-secured and in process of collection.

Edgar Filing: LAKELAND BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

On June 30, 2010, the Company had \$8.6 million in loans that were troubled debt restructurings and still accruing. Troubled debt restructurings are those loans where the Company has granted concessions to the borrower in payment terms, either in rate or in term, as a result of the financial condition of the borrower. The increase in restructured loans compared to prior periods results from a deteriorating economy impacting commercial real estate values and continuing high unemployment.

On June 30, 2010, the Company had \$32.3 million in impaired loans and leases (consisting primarily of non-accrual loans and leases) compared to \$31.4 million at year-end 2009. For more information on these loans and leases see Note 7 in Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The impairment of the loans and leases is measured using the present value of future cash flows on certain impaired loans and leases and is based on the fair

Table of Contents

value of the underlying collateral for the remaining loans and leases. Based on such evaluation, \$4.2 million has been allocated as a portion of the allowance for loan and lease losses for impairment at June 30, 2010. At June 30, 2010, the Company also had \$33.5 million in loans and leases that were rated substandard that were not classified as non-performing or impaired.

There were no loans and leases at June 30, 2010, other than those designated non-performing, impaired or substandard, where the Company was aware of any credit conditions of any borrowers or obligors that would indicate a strong possibility of the borrowers not complying with present terms and conditions of repayment and which may result in such loans and leases being included as non-accrual, past due or renegotiated at a future date. The following table sets forth for the periods presented, the historical relationships among the allowance for loan and lease losses, the provision for loan and lease losses, the amount of loans and leases charged-off and the amount of loan and lease recoveries:

(dollars in thousands)	Six months ended June 30, 2010	Six months ended June 30, 2009	Year ended December 31, 2009
Balance of the allowance at the beginning of the year	\$25,563	\$25,053	\$25,053
Loans and leases charged off:			
Commercial secured by real estate	4,312	717	2,724
Commercial and Industrial	1,189	286	2,632
Leases	2,425	18,429	22,972
Charge down of leases held for sale(1)		21,580	22,122
Home Equity and consumer	1,007	1,141	2,499
Real estate mortgage	80	50	433
Total loans charged off	9,013	42,203	53,382
Recoveries:			
Commercial secured by real estate	108	1	135
Commercial and Industrial	2	35	134
Leases	934	918	1,777
Home Equity and consumer	249	116	231
Real estate mortgage	5		
Total Recoveries	1,298	1,070	2,277
Net charge-offs:	7,715	41,133	51,105
Provision for loan and lease losses	9,880	40,459	51,615
Ending balance	\$27,728	\$24,379	\$25,563
Ratio of annualized net charge-offs to average loans and leases outstanding:			
including charge down of leases held for sale	0.78%	4.10%	2.55%
excluding charge down of leases held for sale	0.78%	1.95%	1.44%
Ratio of allowance at end of period as a percentage of period end total loans and leases	1.39%	1.23%	1.27%

(1) Amount recorded upon reclassification from held for investment to held for sale

The ratio of the allowance for loan and lease losses to loans and leases outstanding reflects management's evaluation of the underlying credit risk inherent in the loan portfolio. The determination of the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses and periodic provisioning for

estimated losses included in the consolidated financial statements is the

Table of Contents

responsibility of management and the Board of Directors. The evaluation process is undertaken on a quarterly basis.

Methodology employed for assessing the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses consists of the following criteria:

The establishment of reserve amounts for all specifically identified classified loans and leases that have been designated as requiring attention by the Company or its external loan review consultants.

The establishment of reserves for pools of homogeneous types of loans and leases not subject to specific review, including non-performing commercial loans under \$250,000, 1-4 family residential mortgages and consumer loans.

The establishment of reserve amounts for the non-classified loans and leases in each portfolio based upon the historical average loss experience of these portfolios and management's evaluation of key factors described below.

Consideration is given to the results of ongoing credit quality monitoring processes, the adequacy and expertise of the Company's lending staff, underwriting policies, loss histories, delinquency trends, and the cyclical nature of economic and business conditions. Since many of the Company's loans depend on the sufficiency of collateral as a secondary means of repayment, any adverse trend in the real estate markets could affect underlying values available to protect the Company against loss.

The allowance for loan and lease losses as a percent of total loans increased to 1.39% of total loans on June 30, 2010, compared to 1.27% as of December 31, 2009 as a result of the increase in non-performing loans. Management believes, based on appraisals and estimated selling costs, that its non-performing loans and leases are adequately secured and reserves on these loans are adequate.

Based upon the process employed and giving recognition to all accompanying factors related to the loan and lease portfolio, management considers the allowance for loan and lease losses to be adequate at June 30, 2010. The preceding statement constitutes a forward-looking statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Investment Securities

For detailed information on the composition and maturity distribution of the Company's investment securities portfolio, see the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Form 10-Q. Total investment securities increased from \$457.4 million on December 31, 2009 to \$512.2 million on June 30, 2010, an increase of \$54.8 million, or 12%, which resulted from increased liquidity due to increased deposits and a decline in loans and leases.

Deposits

Total deposits increased from \$2.16 billion on December 31, 2009 to \$2.17 billion on June 30, 2010, an increase of \$13.0 million, or less than 1%. Noninterest bearing deposits increased \$34.9 million, or 11%, to \$358.1 million, resulting from an increase in commercial noninterest bearing deposits, while savings and interest-bearing transaction accounts decreased \$15.9 million, or 1%, resulting from a \$47.7 million decrease in municipal deposits offset by increases in money market accounts and savings accounts of \$18.2 million and \$10.4 million, respectively.

Liquidity

Cash and cash equivalents, totaling \$53.0 million on June 30, 2010, decreased \$5.7 million from December 31, 2009. Operating activities provided \$30.7 million in net cash. Investing activities used \$36.8 million in net cash, primarily reflecting the purchase of securities. Financing activities provided \$454,000 in net cash, reflecting the increase in deposits of \$13.0 million, partially offset by a decline in short term borrowings of \$9.5 million and dividends paid of \$3.5 million. The Company anticipates that it will have sufficient funds available to meet its current loan commitments and deposit maturities. This constitutes a forward-looking statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. At June 30, 2010, the Company had outstanding loan origination commitments of \$411.1 million. These commitments include \$360.1 million that mature within one year; \$30.5 million that mature after one but within three years; \$4.0 million that mature after three but within five years and \$16.5 million that mature after five years. The Company also had \$8.4 million in letters of credit outstanding at June 30, 2010. This included \$7.1 million that are maturing within one year, \$1.2 million that mature after one but within three years; \$22,000 that mature after three but within five years and \$80,000 that mature after 5 years. Time deposits issued in amounts of \$100,000 or more maturing within

one year total \$145.2 million.

Table of Contents**Capital Resources**

Stockholders' equity increased from \$268.0 million on December 31, 2009 to \$277.9 million on June 30, 2010, an increase of \$9.9 million, or 4%. Book value per common share increased to \$9.22 on June 30, 2010 from \$8.88 on December 31, 2009. The increase in stockholders' equity from December 31, 2009 to June 30, 2010 was due to \$9.3 million in net income and a \$3.2 million increase in accumulated other comprehensive income relating to an increase in market value in the Company's available for sale securities portfolio, partially offset by the payment of cash dividends of \$2.0 million on common stock and \$1.5 million on preferred stock.

The Company and Lakeland are subject to various regulatory capital requirements that are monitored by federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can lead to certain supervisory actions by regulators; any supervisory action could have a direct material effect on the Company or Lakeland's financial statements. Management believes, as of June 30, 2010, that the Company and Lakeland meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

The capital ratios for the Company and Lakeland at June 30, 2010 are as follows:

	Tier 1 Capital to Total Average Assets Ratio	Tier 1 Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets Ratio	Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets Ratio
Capital Ratios:	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2010
The Company	9.77%	13.24%	14.49%
Lakeland Bank	9.32%	12.64%	13.89%
Well capitalized institution under FDIC Regulations	5.00%	6.00%	10.00%

Regulatory Developments

A wide range of regulatory initiatives directed at the financial services industry have been proposed in recent months. One of those initiatives, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the Dodd-Frank Act), was signed into law by President Obama on July 21, 2010. The Dodd-Frank Act represents a comprehensive overhaul of the financial services industry within the United States, establishes the new federal Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (the BCFP), and will require the BCFP and other federal agencies to implement many new rules. At this time, it is difficult to predict the extent to which the Dodd-Frank Act or the resulting regulations will impact the Company's business. However, compliance with these new laws and regulations will result in additional costs, which may adversely impact the Company's results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Reported amounts are presented in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The Company's management believes that the supplemental non-GAAP information, which consists of measurements and ratios based on tangible equity and tangible assets, is utilized by regulators and market analysts to evaluate a company's financial condition and therefore, such information is useful to investors. These disclosures should not be viewed as a substitute for financial results determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP, nor are they necessarily comparable to non-GAAP performance measures which may be presented by other companies.

Table of Contents

(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Calculation of tangible book value per common share		
Total common stockholders' equity at end of period GAAP	\$ 221,512	\$ 211,963
Less:		
Goodwill	87,111	87,111
Other identifiable intangible assets, net	1,109	1,640
Total tangible common stockholders' equity at end of period Non-GAAP	\$ 133,292	\$ 123,212
Shares outstanding at end of period	24,027	23,872
Book value per share GAAP	\$ 9.22	\$ 8.88
Tangible book value per share Non-GAAP	\$ 5.55	\$ 5.16
Calculation of tangible common equity to tangible assets		
Total tangible common stockholders' equity at end of period Non-GAAP	\$ 133,292	\$ 123,212
Total assets at end of period	\$ 2,738,557	\$ 2,723,968
Less:		
Goodwill	87,111	87,111
Other identifiable intangible assets, net	1,109	1,640
Total tangible assets at end of period Non-GAAP	\$ 2,650,337	\$ 2,635,217
Common equity to assets GAAP	8.09%	7.78%
Tangible common equity to tangible assets Non-GAAP	5.03%	4.68%

	For the three months ended,		For the six months ended,	
	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Calculation of return on average tangible common equity				
Net income (loss) GAAP	\$ 4,753	\$ (12,722)	\$ 9,319	(\$9,547)
Total average common stockholders' equity	219,028	\$ 219,968	\$ 217,138	\$ 221,738
Less:				
Average goodwill	87,111	87,111	87,111	87,111
Average other identifiable intangible assets, net	1,255	2,317	1,387	2,449
Total average tangible common stockholders' equity Non GAAP	\$ 130,662	\$ 130,540	\$ 128,640	\$ 132,178

Edgar Filing: LAKELAND BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Return on average common stockholders equity GAAP	8.70%	-23.20%	8.65%	-8.68%
Return on average tangible common stockholders equity Non-GAAP	14.59%	-39.09%	14.61%	-14.56%

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The Company manages interest rate risk and market risk by identifying and quantifying interest rate risk exposures using simulation analysis and economic value at risk models. Net interest income simulation considers the relative sensitivities of the balance sheet including the effects of interest rate caps on adjustable rate mortgages and the relatively stable aspects of core deposits. As such, net interest income simulation is designed to address the probability of interest rate changes and the behavioral response of the balance sheet to those changes. Market Value of Portfolio Equity represents the fair value of the net present value of assets, liabilities and off-balance-sheet items. Changes in estimates and assumptions

Table of Contents

made for interest rate sensitivity modeling could have a significant impact on projected results and conclusions. These assumptions could include prepayment rates, sensitivity of non-maturity deposits and other similar assumptions. Therefore, if our assumptions should change, this technique may not accurately reflect the impact of general interest rate movements on the Company's net interest income or net portfolio value.

The starting point (or base case) for the following table is an estimate of the following year's net interest income assuming that both interest rates and the Company's interest-sensitive assets and liabilities remain at period-end levels. The net interest income estimated for the next twelve months (the base case) is \$100.6 million. The information provided for net interest income assumes that changes in interest rates of plus 200 basis points and minus 200 basis points change gradually in equal increments (rate ramp) over the twelve month period.

Rate Ramp	Changes in interest rates			
	+200 bp	+100 bp	-100 bp	-200 bp
Asset/Liability Policy Limit	-5.0%			-5.0%
June 30, 2010	-2.5%	-1.0%	-2.3%	-3.0%
December 31, 2009	-3.0%	-1.4%	-1.8%	-2.7%

The base case for the following table is an estimate of the Company's net portfolio value for the periods presented using current discount rates, and assuming the Company's interest-sensitive assets and liabilities remain at period-end levels. The net portfolio value at June 30, 2010 (the base case) was \$367.8 million. The information provided for the net portfolio value assumes fluctuations or rate shocks of plus 200 basis points and minus 200 basis points for changes in interest rates as shown in the table below. Rate shocks assume that current interest rates change immediately.

Rate Shock	Changes in interest rates			
	+200 bp	+100 bp	-100 bp	-200 bp
Asset/Liability Policy Limit	-25.0%			-25.0%
June 30, 2010	-2.9%	0.5%	-5.5%	-13.7%
December 31, 2009	-5.2%	-0.7%	-2.4%	-9.9%

The information set forth in the above tables is based on significant estimates and assumptions, and constitutes a forward-looking statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. For more information regarding the Company's market risk and assumptions used in the Company's simulation models, please refer to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) **Disclosure controls and procedures.** As of the end of the Company's most recently completed fiscal quarter covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-15. Based upon that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and are operating in an effective manner and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) **Changes in internal controls over financial reporting.** There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's last fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

A complaint, dated February 24, 2010, was filed by the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers, as plaintiff, against the Company and other unrelated parties in the Circuit Court of Maryland for Prince George's County. The plaintiff alleges fraudulent conduct in connection with certain equipment leases it entered into by a vendor and lease broker not affiliated with the Company. Certain of these leases were subsequently assigned to Lakeland resulting in the plaintiff amending its complaint to include all parties that were assignees. The Company believes that the claims asserted against it are without merit.

Other than as described above, there are no pending legal proceedings involving the Company or Lakeland other than those arising in the normal course of business. Management does not anticipate that the potential liability, if any, arising out of such legal proceedings will have a material effect on the financial condition or results of operations of the Company and Lakeland on a consolidated basis.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Except for the risk factor detailed below, there have been no material changes in risk factors from those disclosed under Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The recently enacted Dodd-Frank Act may adversely impact the Company's results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), was signed into law by President Obama. The Dodd-Frank Act represents a comprehensive overhaul of the financial services industry within the United States, establishes the new federal Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (the "BCFP"), and will require the BCFP and other federal agencies to implement many new and significant rules and regulations. At this time, it is difficult to predict the extent to which the Dodd-Frank Act or the resulting rules and regulations will impact the Company's and Lakeland's business. Compliance with these new laws and regulations will likely result in additional costs, and may adversely impact the Company's results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds Not Applicable

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities Not Applicable

Item 4. Reserved

Item 5. Other Information Not Applicable

Item 6. Exhibits

- 31.1 Certification by Thomas J. Shara pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act.
- 31.2 Certification by Joseph F. Hurley pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act.
- 32.1 Certification by Thomas J. Shara and Joseph F. Hurley pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Lakeland Bancorp, Inc.
(Registrant)

/s/ Thomas J. Shara
Thomas J. Shara
President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Joseph F. Hurley
Joseph F. Hurley
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

Date: August 6, 2010