

UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES INC

Form 10-Q

May 07, 2014

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(MARK ONE)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-10765

UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

23-2077891
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

UNIVERSAL CORPORATE CENTER
367 SOUTH GULPH ROAD
KING OF PRUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19406
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (610) 768-3300

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
 Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
 Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. Common shares outstanding, as of April 30, 2014:

Class A	6,595,708
Class B	91,495,048
Class C	664,000

Table of Contents

UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC.

INDEX

	PAGE NO.
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	6
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	24
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	46
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	46
<u>PART II. Other Information</u>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	47
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	49
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	49
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	50
<u>Signatures</u>	51
<u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u>	52

In this Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2014, we, us, our UHS and the Company to Universal Health Services, Inc. and its subsidiaries. UHS is a registered trademark of UHS of Delaware, Inc., the management company for, and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Universal Health Services, Inc. Universal Health Services, Inc. is a holding company and operates through its subsidiaries including its management company, UHS of Delaware, Inc. All healthcare and management operations are conducted by subsidiaries of Universal Health Services, Inc. To the extent any reference to UHS or UHS facilities in this report including letters, narratives or other forms contained herein relates to our healthcare or management operations it is referring to Universal Health Services, Inc.'s subsidiaries including UHS of Delaware, Inc. Further, the terms we, us, our or the Company in such context similar refer to the operations of Universal Health Services Inc.'s subsidiaries including UHS of Delaware, Inc. Any reference to employees or employment contained herein refers to employment with or employees of the subsidiaries of Universal Health Services, Inc. including UHS of Delaware, Inc.

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

(amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)

(unaudited)

	Three months ended	
	March 31,	
	2014	2013
Net revenues before provision for doubtful accounts	\$ 2,128,350	\$ 2,078,348
Less: Provision for doubtful accounts	208,184	246,716
Net revenues	1,920,166	1,831,632
Operating charges:		
Salaries, wages and benefits	935,365	902,296
Other operating expenses	381,760	381,007
Supplies expense	215,798	204,642
Depreciation and amortization	93,359	79,812
Lease and rental expense	23,338	24,665
Electronic health records incentive income	(430)	(4,712)
	1,649,190	1,587,710
Income from operations	270,976	243,922
Interest expense, net	35,193	39,938
Income before income taxes	235,783	203,984
Provision for income taxes	83,931	74,049
Net income	151,852	129,935
Less: Income attributable to noncontrolling interests	13,774	10,151
Net income attributable to UHS	\$ 138,078	\$ 119,784
Basic earnings per share attributable to UHS	\$ 1.40	\$ 1.23

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Diluted earnings per share attributable to UHS	\$	1.38	\$	1.21
Weighted average number of common shares - basic		98,572		97,711
Add: Other share equivalents		1,585		860
Weighted average number of common shares and equivalents - diluted		100,157		98,571

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

(amounts in thousands, unaudited)

	Three months ended	
	March 31,	
	2014	2013
Net income	\$ 151,852	\$ 129,935
Other comprehensive income (loss):		
Unrealized derivative gains on cash flow hedges	3,745	4,535
Amortization of terminated hedge	(84)	(84)
Other comprehensive income before tax	3,661	4,451
Income tax expense related to items of other comprehensive income	1,354	1,678
Total other comprehensive income, net of tax	2,307	2,773
Comprehensive income	154,159	132,708
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	13,774	10,151
Comprehensive income attributable to UHS	\$ 140,385	\$ 122,557

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(amounts in thousands, unaudited)

	March 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 16,261	\$ 17,238
Accounts receivable, net	1,212,594	1,116,961
Supplies	102,276	101,781
Deferred income taxes	114,297	119,903
Other current assets	97,685	76,446
Total current assets	1,543,113	1,432,329
Property and equipment	5,789,393	5,691,902
Less: accumulated depreciation	(2,321,221)	(2,249,733)
	3,468,172	3,442,169
Other assets:		
Goodwill	3,053,666	3,049,016
Deferred charges	53,521	57,881
Other	312,913	330,328
	\$ 8,431,385	\$ 8,311,723
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 103,641	\$ 99,312
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	954,902	953,449
Federal and state taxes	51,905	7,127
Total current liabilities	1,110,448	1,059,888
Other noncurrent liabilities	282,173	284,589
Long-term debt	3,109,158	3,209,762
Deferred income taxes	257,344	239,148
Redeemable noncontrolling interests	228,107	218,107
Equity:		
UHS common stockholders' equity	3,392,119	3,249,979

Noncontrolling interest	52,036	50,250
Total equity	3,444,155	3,300,229
	\$ 8,431,385	\$ 8,311,723

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(amounts in thousands, unaudited)

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net income	\$ 151,852	\$ 129,935
<i>Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:</i>		
Depreciation & amortization	93,359	79,923
Stock-based compensation expense	7,152	7,111
Gains on sales of assets and businesses, net of losses	(10,134)	(2,092)
<i>Changes in assets & liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions and dispositions:</i>		
Accounts receivable	(95,633)	(81,859)
Accrued interest	11,063	11,497
Accrued and deferred income taxes	65,321	59,624
Other working capital accounts	(34,999)	(39,785)
Other assets and deferred charges	9,982	6,662
Other	(3,833)	1,604
Accrued insurance expense, net of commercial premiums paid	21,302	22,962
Payments made in settlement of self-insurance claims	(20,793)	(17,085)
Net cash provided by operating activities	194,639	178,497
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Property and equipment additions, net of disposals	(92,387)	(95,919)
Proceeds received from sale of assets and businesses	11,450	6,657
Acquisition of property and businesses	(3,301)	0
Costs incurred for purchase and implementation of electronic health records application	(6,504)	(16,412)
Net cash used in investing activities	(90,742)	(105,674)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
Reduction of long-term debt	(109,054)	(69,926)
Additional borrowings	11,900	9,500
Repurchase of common shares	(13,993)	(14,027)
Dividends paid	(4,933)	(4,870)
Issuance of common stock	1,445	1,232
Excess income tax benefits related to stock-based compensation	11,750	9,266
Profit distributions to noncontrolling interests	(1,989)	(10,074)
Net cash used in financing activities	(104,874)	(78,899)

Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(977)	(6,076)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	17,238	23,471
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 16,261	\$ 17,395

Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:

Interest paid	\$ 18,893	\$ 22,982
Income taxes paid, net of refunds	\$ 6,764	\$ 4,908

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) General

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2014. In this Quarterly Report, we, us, our UHS and the Company refer to Universal Health Services, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of our majority-owned subsidiaries and partnerships and limited liability companies controlled by us, or our subsidiaries, as managing general partner or managing member. The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by us, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) which, in our opinion, are necessary to fairly state results for the interim periods. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations, although we believe that the accompanying disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements, significant accounting policies and the notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

(2) Relationship with Universal Health Realty Income Trust and Related Party Transactions

Relationship with Universal Health Realty Income Trust:

At March 31, 2014, we held approximately 6.1% of the outstanding shares of Universal Health Realty Income Trust (the Trust). We serve as Advisor to the Trust under an annually renewable advisory agreement pursuant to the terms of which we conduct the Trust's day-to-day affairs, provide administrative services and present investment opportunities. In addition, certain of our officers and directors are also officers and/or directors of the Trust. Management believes that it has the ability to exercise significant influence over the Trust, therefore we account for our investment in the Trust using the equity method of accounting. We earned an advisory fee from the Trust, which is included in net revenues in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income, of approximately \$600,000 during each of the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013. Our pre-tax share of income from the Trust was \$300,000 during each of the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013. The carrying value of this investment was approximately \$8 million at each of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, and is included in other assets in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. The market value of this investment, based on the closing price of the Trust's stock on the respective dates, was approximately \$33 million at March 31, 2014 and \$32 million at December 31, 2013.

Total rent expense under the operating leases on the hospital facilities with the Trust was approximately \$4 million during each of the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013. In addition, certain of our subsidiaries are tenants in several medical office buildings owned by limited liability companies in which the Trust holds either 100% ownership interests or non-controlling majority ownership interests.

The table below details the renewal options and terms for each of our four hospital facilities leased from the Trust:

Hospital Name	Type of Facility	Annual Minimum Rent	End of Lease Term	Renewal Term (years)
McAllen Medical Center	Acute Care	\$ 5,485,000	December, 2016	15(a)
Wellington Regional Medical Center	Acute Care	\$ 3,030,000	December, 2016	15(b)
Southwest Healthcare System, Inland Valley Campus	Acute Care	\$ 2,648,000	December, 2016	15(b)
The Bridgeway	Behavioral Health	\$ 930,000	December, 2014	10(c)

- (a) We have three 5-year renewal options at existing lease rates (through 2031).
- (b) We have one 5-year renewal option at existing lease rates (through 2021) and two 5-year renewal options at fair market value lease rates (2022 through 2031).
- (c) We have two 5-year renewal options at fair market value lease rates (2015 through 2024).

Other Related Party Transactions:

In December, 2010, our Board of Directors approved the Company's entering into supplemental life insurance plans and agreements on the lives of our chief executive officer and his wife. As a result of these agreements, based on actuarial tables and other assumptions, during the life expectancies of the insureds, we would pay approximately \$25 million in premiums and certain trusts,

Table of Contents

owned by our chief executive officer, would pay approximately \$8 million in premiums. Based on the projected premiums mentioned above, and assuming the policies remain in effect until the death of the insureds, we will be entitled to receive death benefit proceeds of no less than \$33 million representing the \$25 million of aggregate premiums paid by us as well as the \$8 million of aggregate premiums paid by the trusts. These agreements did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements or results of operations during 2013 or the first three months of 2014.

A member of our Board of Directors and member of the Executive Committee is Of Counsel to the law firm used by us as our principal outside counsel. This Board member is also the trustee of certain trusts for the benefit of our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and his family. This law firm also provides personal legal services to our CEO.

(3) Other Noncurrent liabilities and Redeemable/Noncontrolling Interests

Other noncurrent liabilities include the long-term portion of our professional and general liability, workers compensation reserves, pension liability, and interest rate swaps.

Outside owners hold noncontrolling, minority ownership interests of: (i) approximately 28% in our five acute care facilities located in Las Vegas, Nevada; (ii) 20% in an acute care facility located in Washington, D.C.; (iii) approximately 11% in an acute care facility located in Laredo, Texas, and; (iv) 20% in a behavioral health care facility located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The redeemable noncontrolling interest balances of \$228 million and \$218 million as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, and the noncontrolling interest balances of \$52 million and \$50 million as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, consist primarily of the third-party ownership interests in these hospitals.

In connection with the five acute care facilities located in Las Vegas, Nevada, the minority ownership interests of which are reflected as redeemable noncontrolling interests on our Consolidated Balance Sheet, the outside owners have certain put rights, that are currently exercisable, that if exercised, require us to purchase the minority member's interests at fair market value. The put rights are exercisable upon the occurrence of: (i) certain specified financial conditions falling below established thresholds; (ii) breach of the management contract by the managing member (a subsidiary of ours), or; (iii) if the minority member's ownership percentage is reduced to less than certain thresholds. In connection with the behavioral health care facility located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, the minority ownership interest of which is also reflected as redeemable noncontrolling interests on our Consolidated Balance Sheet, the outside owner has a put option to put its entire ownership interest to us at any time. If exercised, the put option requires us to purchase the minority member's interest at fair market value. As of March 31, 2014, we believe the fair market value of the minority ownership interests in these facilities, pursuant to the terms of the put options, approximates the book value of the redeemable noncontrolling interests.

(4) Long-term debt and cash flow hedges*Debt:*

In May, 2013, we entered into a third amendment (the Third Amendment) to the credit agreement, dated as of November 15, 2010 (as amended from time to time, the Credit Agreement), which became effective that day, among UHS, the several banks and other financial institutions from time to time parties thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent and the other agents party thereto. The Third Amendment provides for a reduction in the interest rates payable in connection with certain borrowings under the Credit Agreement. Upon the effectiveness of the Third Amendment, UHS replaced its existing \$745.9 million senior secured Tranche B term loan with a new senior secured Tranche B-1 term loan in the same amount on substantially the same terms as the Tranche B term loan,

other than lower interest rates. Borrowings under the Tranche B-1 term loan, which totaled \$550 million as of March 31, 2014, bear interest at a rate per annum equal to, at our election, one, two, three or six month LIBOR, plus an applicable margin of 2.25% or ABR plus an applicable margin of 1.25%. The minimum LIBOR and ABR rates for the Tranche B term loan of 1.0% and 2.0%, respectively, were eliminated.

In September, 2012, we entered into a second amendment (Second Amendment) to our Credit Agreement which provided for: (i) a new Term Loan-A facility (Term Loan A2), which had \$866 million of borrowings outstanding at March 31, 2014, at the same interest rates as our existing Term Loan A and a final maturity date of August 15, 2016; (ii) the extension of the maturity date on a substantial portion of our \$800 million revolving credit facility commitment with \$777 million of the commitment extended to mature on August 15, 2016 and the remaining \$23 million commitment scheduled to mature on November 15, 2015 (there were \$7 million of borrowings outstanding pursuant to our revolving credit facility as of March 31, 2014), and; (iii) the extension of the maturity date on a substantial portion of our Term Loan-A borrowings which, based upon the outstanding Term Loan-A borrowings as of March 31, 2014, \$884 million is scheduled to mature on August 15, 2016 and the remaining \$42 million is scheduled to mature on November 15, 2015. The Second Amendment also provides for increased flexibility for refinancing and certain other modifications but substantially all other terms of the Credit Agreement remain unchanged.

The Credit Agreement, as amended, is a senior secured facility which, as of March 31, 2014, provided for an aggregate commitment amount of \$3.14 billion, comprised of an \$800 million revolving credit facility, a \$926 million Term Loan-A facility, a \$550 million

Table of Contents

Term Loan-B facility and a \$866 million Term Loan-A2 facility. The revolving credit facility includes a \$125 million sub-limit for letters of credit. The Credit Agreement is secured by substantially all of the assets of the Company and our material subsidiaries and guaranteed by our material subsidiaries.

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest at either (1) the ABR rate which is defined as the rate per annum equal to, at our election: the greatest of (a) the lender's prime rate, (b) the weighted average of the federal funds rate, plus 0.5% and (c) one month LIBOR rate plus 1%, in each case, plus an applicable margin based upon our consolidated leverage ratio at the end of each quarter ranging from 0.50% to 1.25% for revolving credit, Term Loan-A and Term Loan-A2 borrowings and 1.25% for Term Loan B borrowings or (2) the one, two, three or six month LIBOR rate (at our election), plus an applicable margin based upon our consolidated leverage ratio at the end of each quarter ranging from 1.50% to 2.25% for revolving credit, Term Loan-A and Term Loan-A2 borrowings and 2.25% for Term Loan-B borrowings. The current applicable margins are 0.50% for ABR-based loans, 1.50% for LIBOR-based loans under the revolving credit, Term Loan-A and Term Loan-A2 facilities and 2.25% under the Term Loan-B facility.

As of March 31, 2014, we had \$7 million of borrowings outstanding pursuant to the terms of our \$800 million revolving credit facility and we had \$743 million of available borrowing capacity, net of \$30 million of outstanding borrowings pursuant to a short-term, on-demand credit facility and \$20 million of outstanding letters of credit.

We made scheduled principal payments of \$18 million on the Term Loan-A and Term Loan A2 facilities during each of the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013. Quarterly installment payments (Installment Payments) are due on the Term Loan-A and Term Loan-A2 facilities which approximate \$54 million during the remainder of 2014, \$77 million in 2015 and \$46 million in 2016. The Installment Payments due as of March 31, 2015 on the Term Loan-A and Term Loan-A2 facilities are classified as current maturities of long-term debt on our Consolidated Balance Sheet as of March 31, 2014. No Installment Payments are due on the Term Loan-B facility, although we may decide to make optional repayments from time-to-time.

In October, 2013 our \$275 million accounts receivable securitization program (Securitization) with a group of conduit lenders and liquidity banks was amended to extend the maturity date to October 25, 2016 and reduce the interest rate spread and commitment fee. Substantially all of the patient-related accounts receivable of our acute care hospitals (Receivables) serve as collateral for the outstanding borrowings. We have accounted for this Securitization as borrowings. We maintain effective control over the Receivables since, pursuant to the terms of the Securitization, the Receivables are sold from certain of our subsidiaries to special purpose entities that are wholly-owned by us. The Receivables, however, are owned by the special purpose entities, can be used only to satisfy the debts of the wholly-owned special purpose entities, and thus are not available to us except through our ownership interest in the special purpose entities. The wholly-owned special purpose entities use the Receivables to collateralize the loans obtained from the group of third-party conduit lenders and liquidity banks. The group of third-party conduit lenders and liquidity banks do not have recourse to us beyond the assets of the wholly-owned special purpose entities that securitize the loans. At March 31, 2014, we had \$160 million of outstanding borrowings and \$115 million of additional capacity pursuant to the terms of our accounts receivable securitization program.

Our \$250 million, 7.00% senior unsecured notes (the Unsecured Notes) are scheduled to mature on October 1, 2018. The Unsecured Notes were issued on September 29, 2010 and registered in April, 2011. Interest on the Unsecured Note is payable semiannually in arrears on April 1st and October 1st of each year. The Unsecured Notes can be redeemed in whole at anytime subject to a make-whole call at treasury rate plus 50 basis points prior to October 1, 2014. They are also redeemable in whole or in part at a price of: (i) 103.5% on or after October 1, 2014; (ii) 101.75% on or after October 1, 2015, and; (iii) 100% on or after October 1, 2016. These Unsecured Notes are guaranteed by a group of subsidiaries (each of which is a 100% directly or indirectly owned subsidiary of Universal Health Services,

Inc.) which fully and unconditionally guarantee the Unsecured Notes on a joint and several basis, subject to certain customary automatic release provisions.

On June 30, 2006, we issued \$250 million of senior notes which have a 7.125% coupon rate and mature on June 30, 2016 (the 7.125% Notes). Interest on the 7.125% Notes is payable semiannually in arrears on June 30th and December 30th of each year. In June, 2008, we issued an additional \$150 million of 7.125% Notes which formed a single series with the original 7.125% Notes issued in June, 2006. Other than their date of issuance and initial price to the public, the terms of the 7.125% Notes issued in June, 2008 are identical to and trade interchangeably with, the 7.125% Notes which were originally issued in June, 2006.

In connection with the entering into of the Credit Agreement on November 15, 2010, and in accordance with the Indenture dated January 20, 2000 governing the rights of our existing notes, we entered into a supplemental indenture pursuant to which our 7.125% Notes (due in 2016) were equally and ratably secured with the lenders under the Credit Agreement with respect to the collateral for so long as the lenders under the Credit Agreement are so secured.

Our Credit Agreement includes a material adverse change clause that must be represented at each draw. The Credit Agreement contains covenants that include a limitation on sales of assets, mergers, change of ownership, liens and indebtedness, transactions with affiliates and dividends; and requires compliance with financial covenants including maximum leverage and minimum interest coverage ratios. We are in compliance with all required covenants as of March 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

As of March 31, 2014, the carrying value of our debt was \$3.2 billion and the fair-value of our debt was \$3.3 billion. The fair value of our debt was computed based upon quotes received from financial institutions and we consider these to be level 2 in the fair value hierarchy as outlined in the authoritative guidance for disclosures in connection with debt instruments.

Cash Flow Hedges:

We manage our ratio of fixed and floating rate debt with the objective of achieving a mix that management believes is appropriate. To manage this risk in a cost-effective manner, we, from time to time, enter into interest rate swap agreements in which we agree to exchange various combinations of fixed and/or variable interest rates based on agreed upon notional amounts. We account for our derivative and hedging activities using the Financial Accounting Standard Board's (FASB) guidance which requires all derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, to be carried at fair value on the balance sheet. For derivative transactions designated as hedges, we formally document all relationships between the hedging instrument and the related hedged item, as well as its risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking each hedge transaction.

Derivative instruments designated in a hedge relationship to mitigate exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Cash flow hedges are accounted for by recording the fair value of the derivative instrument on the balance sheet as either an asset or liability, with a corresponding amount recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) within shareholders' equity. Amounts are reclassified from AOCI to the income statement in the period or periods the hedged transaction affects earnings. We use interest rate derivatives in our cash flow hedge transactions. Such derivatives are designed to be highly effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows related to the hedged liability. For derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges, the ineffective portion of the change in expected cash flows of the hedged item are recognized currently in the income statement.

For hedge transactions that do not qualify for the short-cut method, at the hedge's inception and on a regular basis thereafter, a formal assessment is performed to determine whether changes in the fair values or cash flows of the derivative instruments have been highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows of the hedged items and whether they are expected to be highly effective in the future.

The fair value of interest rate swap agreements approximates the amount at which they could be settled, based on estimates obtained from the counterparties. We assess the effectiveness of our hedge instruments on a quarterly basis. We performed periodic assessments of the cash flow hedge instruments during 2013 and the first three months of 2014 and determined the hedges to be highly effective. We also determined that any portion of the hedges deemed to be ineffective was de minimis and therefore there was no material effect on our consolidated financial position, operations or cash flows. The counterparties to the interest rate swap agreements expose us to credit risk in the event of nonperformance. However, at March 31, 2014, each swap agreement entered into by us was in a net liability position which would require us to make the net settlement payments to the counterparties. We do not anticipate nonperformance by our counterparties. We do not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

We entered into six forward starting interest rate swaps in the first quarter of 2011 whereby we pay a fixed rate on a total notional amount of \$425 million and receive three-month LIBOR. Three of these swaps with a total notional amount of \$225 million became effective in March, 2011 and will mature in May, 2015. The average fixed rate payable on these swaps is 1.91%. The three remaining interest rate swaps with total notional amounts of \$100 million, \$25 million and \$75 million became effective in December, 2011 and have/had fixed rates of 2.50%, 1.96% and 1.32%, and maturity dates in December, 2014, December, 2013 and December, 2012, respectively.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, we entered into four forward starting interest rate swaps whereby we pay a fixed rate on a total notional amount of \$600 million and receive three-month LIBOR. Each of the four swaps became effective in December, 2011 and will mature in May, 2015. The average fixed rate payable on these swaps is 2.38%.

We measure our interest rate swaps at fair value on a recurring basis. The fair value of our interest rate swaps is based primarily on quotes from banks. We consider those inputs to be level 2 in the fair value hierarchy as outlined in the authoritative guidance for disclosures in connection with derivative instruments and hedging activities. The fair value of our interest rate swaps was an aggregate gross and net liability of \$20 million at March 31, 2014, of which \$18 million is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities and \$2 million is included in other noncurrent liabilities on the accompanying balance sheet. The fair value of our interest rate swaps was an aggregate gross and net liability of \$24 million at December 31, 2013, of which \$19 million is included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities and \$5 million is included in other noncurrent liabilities on the accompanying balance sheet.

Table of Contents**(5) Commitments and Contingencies*****Professional and General Liability, Workers Compensation Liability and Property Insurance******Professional and General Liability and Workers Compensation Liability:***

Effective November, 2010, excluding our subsidiaries acquired as a result of our acquisition of Psychiatric Solutions, Inc. (PSI) in November, 2010, our subsidiaries are self-insured for professional and general liability exposure up to \$10 million and \$3 million per occurrence, respectively. Since our acquisition of PSI in November, 2010, the former PSI subsidiaries are self-insured for professional and general liability exposure up to \$3 million per occurrence. Our subsidiaries (including the former PSI subsidiaries) were provided with several excess policies through commercial insurance carriers which provide for coverage in excess of the applicable per occurrence self-insured retention (either \$3 million or \$10 million) up to \$250 million per occurrence and in the aggregate. We remain liable for 10% of the claims paid pursuant to the commercially insured coverage in excess of \$10 million up to \$60 million per occurrence and in the aggregate. The 9 behavioral health facilities acquired from Ascend Health Corporation in October, 2012 have general and professional liability policies through commercial insurance carriers which provide for up to \$20 million of aggregate coverage, subject to a \$25,000 per occurrence deductible. These facilities, like our other facilities, are also provided excess coverage through commercial insurance carriers for coverage in excess of the underlying commercial policy limitations up to \$250 million per occurrence and in the aggregate.

Our estimated liability for self-insured professional and general liability claims is based on a number of factors including, among other things, the number of asserted claims and reported incidents, estimates of losses for these claims based on recent and historical settlement amounts, estimates of incurred but not reported claims based on historical experience, and estimates of amounts recoverable under our commercial insurance policies. While we continuously monitor these factors, our ultimate liability for professional and general liability claims could change materially from our current estimates due to inherent uncertainties involved in making this estimate. Given our significant self-insured exposure for professional and general liability claims, there can be no assurance that a sharp increase in the number and/or severity of claims asserted against us will not have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations.

As of March 31, 2014, the total accrual for our professional and general liability claims was \$206 million, of which \$44 million is included in current liabilities. As of December 31, 2013, the total accrual for our professional and general liability claims was \$206 million, of which \$44 million is included in current liabilities.

As of March 31, 2014, the total accrual for our workers compensation liability claims was \$65 million, of which \$34 million is included in current liabilities. As of December 31, 2013, the total accrual for our workers compensation liability claims was \$64 million, of which \$34 million is included in current liabilities.

Property Insurance:

We have commercial property insurance policies covering catastrophic losses, including windstorm damage, up to a \$1 billion policy limit per occurrence, subject to a \$250,000 deductible for the majority of our properties (the properties acquired from PSI are subject to a \$50,000 deductible). Losses resulting from named windstorms are subject to deductibles between 3% and 5% of the declared total insurable value of the property. In addition, we have commercial property insurance policies covering catastrophic losses resulting from earthquake and flood damage, each subject to aggregated loss limits (as opposed to per occurrence losses). Our earthquake limit is \$250 million, subject to a deductible of \$250,000, except for facilities located within documented fault zones. Earthquake losses that affect facilities located in fault zones within the United States are subject to a \$100 million limit and will have applied

deductibles ranging from 1% to 5% of the declared total insurable value of the property. The earthquake limit in Puerto Rico is \$25 million, subject to a \$25,000 deductible. Non-critical flood losses have either a \$250,000 or \$500,000 deductible, based upon the location of the facility. Since certain of our facilities have been designated by our insurer as flood prone, we have elected to purchase policies from The National Flood Insurance Program to cover a substantial portion of the applicable deductible.

Other

Our accounts receivable as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 include amounts due from Illinois of approximately \$39 million and \$49 million, respectively. Collection of the outstanding receivables continues to be delayed due to state budgetary and funding pressures. Approximately \$14 million as of March 31, 2014 and \$28 million as of December 31, 2013, of the receivables due from Illinois were outstanding in excess of 60 days, as of each respective date. In addition, our accounts receivable as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 includes approximately \$40 million and \$46 million due from Texas in connection with Medicaid supplemental payment programs (which we expect to collect during 2014). Although the accounts receivable due from Illinois and Texas could remain outstanding for the foreseeable future, since we expect to eventually collect all amounts due to us, no related reserves have been established in our consolidated financial statements. However, we can provide no assurance that we will eventually collect all amounts due to us from Illinois and/or Texas. Failure to ultimately collect all outstanding amounts due from these states would have an adverse impact on our future consolidated results of operations and cash flows.

Table of Contents

As of March 31, 2014 we were party to certain off balance sheet arrangements consisting of standby letters of credit and surety bonds which totaled \$90 million consisting of: (i) \$73 million related to our self-insurance programs, and; (ii) \$17 million of other debt and public utility guarantees.

Legal Proceedings

We are subject to claims and suits in the ordinary course of business, including those arising from care and treatment afforded by our hospitals and are party to litigation, as outlined below.

Office of Inspector General (OIG) and Other Government Investigations

In September, 2010, we, along with many other companies in the healthcare industry, received a letter from the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) advising of a False Claim Act investigation being conducted in connection with the implantation of implantable cardioverter defibrillators (ICDs) from 2003 to 2010 at several of our acute care facilities. The DOJ alleges that ICDs were implanted and billed by our facilities in contravention of a National Coverage Determination regarding these devices. We have established a reserve in connection with this matter which did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February, 2013, the OIG served a subpoena requesting various documents from January, 2008 to the date of the subpoena directed at Universal Health Services, Inc. (UHS) concerning it and UHS of Delaware, Inc., and several UHS owned behavioral health facilities including: Keys of Carolina, Old Vineyard Behavioral Health, The Meadows Psychiatric Center, Streamwood Behavioral Health, Hartgrove Hospital, Rock River Academy and Residential Treatment Center, Roxbury Treatment Center, Harbor Point Behavioral Health Center, f/k/a, The Pines Residential Treatment Center, including the Crawford, Brighton and Kempsville campuses, Wekiva Springs Center and River Point Behavioral Health. Prior to receiving this subpoena: (i) the Keys of Carolina and Old Vineyard received notification during the second half of 2012 from the United States Department of Justice of its intent to proceed with an investigation following requests for documents for the period of January, 2007 to the date of the subpoenas from the North Carolina state Attorney General's Office; (ii) Harbor Point Behavioral Health Center received a subpoena in December, 2012 from the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Virginia requesting various documents from July, 2006 to the date of the subpoena, and; (iii) The Meadows Psychiatric Center received a subpoena from the OIG in February, 2013 requesting certain documents from 2008 to the date of the subpoena. Unrelated to these matters, the Keys of Carolina was closed and the real property was sold in January, 2013.

In April, 2013, the OIG served facility specific subpoenas on Wekiva Springs Center and River Point Behavioral Health requesting various documents from January, 2005 to the date of the subpoenas. In June, 2013, the OIG served a subpoena on Coastal Harbor Health System in Savannah, Georgia requesting documents from January, 2009 to the date of the subpoena. In July, 2013, another subpoena was issued to Wekiva Springs Center and River Point Behavioral Health requesting additional records. In October, 2013, we were advised by the DOJ's Criminal Frauds Section that they received a referral from the DOJ Civil Division and opened an investigation of River Point Behavioral Health and Wekiva Springs Center.

In February, 2014, we were notified that the investigation conducted by the Criminal Frauds Section had been expanded to include the National Deaf Academy. In March, 2014, a Civil Investigative Demand (CID) was served on the National Deaf Academy requesting documents and information from the facility from January 1, 2008 through the date of the CID. We have been advised by the government that the National Deaf Academy has been added to the facilities which are the subject of the coordinated investigation referenced above. Also in March, 2014, CIDs were served on Hartgrove Hospital, Rock River Academy and Streamwood Behavioral Health requesting documents and information from those facilities from January 2008 through the date of the CID. We were recently advised that a qui

tam action had been filed against Roxbury Treatment Center but the government declined to intervene and the case was dismissed.

In April, 2014, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) instituted a Medicare payment suspension at River Point Behavioral Health in accordance with federal regulations which implemented provisions of the Affordable Care Act regarding suspension of payments during certain investigations. The Florida Agency for Health Care Administration subsequently issued a Medicaid payment suspension for the facility. River Point Behavioral Health submitted a rebuttal statement disputing the basis of the suspension and requesting revocation of the suspension. In response, CMS has continued the payment suspension. River Point Behavioral Health continues to provide additional information to CMS in an effort to obtain relief from the payment suspension. We cannot predict if and/or when the facility's suspended payments will resume. However, if continued for a significant period of time, the payment suspension will likely have a material adverse effect on River Point Behavioral Health's future results of operations and financial condition. The operating results of River Point Behavioral Health did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations for the three-month period ended March 31, 2014 or the year ended December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

At present, we are uncertain as to the specific focus, scope or extent of the investigations, liability of the facilities and/or potential financial exposure, if any, in connection with these matters.

Matters Relating to PSI:

The following matters pertain to PSI or former PSI facilities (owned by subsidiaries of Psychiatric Solutions, Inc.) which were in existence prior to the acquisition of PSI and for which we have assumed the defense as a result of our acquisition which was completed in November, 2010:

Garden City Employees Retirement System v. PSI:

This is a purported shareholder class action lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee against PSI and the former directors in 2009 alleging violations of federal securities laws. We intend to defend the case vigorously. Should we be deemed liable in this matter, we believe we would be entitled to commercial insurance recoveries for amounts paid by us, subject to certain limitations and deductibles. Included in our consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, is an estimated reserve (current liability) and corresponding commercial insurance recovery (current asset) which did not have a material impact on our financial statements. Although we believe the commercial insurance recoveries are adequate to satisfy potential liability and related legal fees in connection with this matter, we can provide no assurance that the ultimate liability will not exceed the commercial insurance recoveries which would make us liable for the excess.

Department of Justice Investigation of Friends Hospital:

In October, 2010, Friends Hospital in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, received a subpoena from the DOJ requesting certain documents from the facility. The requested documents have been collected and provided to the DOJ for review and examination. Another subpoena was issued to the facility in July 2011 requesting additional documents, which have been collected and delivered to the DOJ. At present, we are uncertain as to the focus, scope or extent of the investigation, liability of the facility and/or potential financial exposure, if any, in connection with this matter.

Department of Justice Investigation of Riveredge Hospital:

In 2008, Riveredge Hospital in Chicago, Illinois received a subpoena from the DOJ requesting certain information from the facility. Additional requests for documents were also received from the DOJ in 2009 and 2010. The requested documents have been provided to the DOJ. At present, we are uncertain as to the focus, scope or extent of the investigation, liability of the facility and/or potential financial exposure, if any, in connection with this matter.

General:

The healthcare industry is subject to numerous laws and regulations which include, among other things, matters such as government healthcare participation requirements, various licensure, certifications, and accreditations, reimbursement for patient services, and Medicare and Medicaid fraud and abuse. Government action has increased with respect to investigations and/or allegations concerning possible violations of fraud and abuse and false claims statutes and/or regulations by healthcare providers. Currently, and from time to time, some of our facilities are subjected to inquiries and/or actions and receive notices of potential non-compliance of laws and regulations from various federal and state agencies. Providers that are found to have violated these laws and regulations may be excluded from participating in government healthcare programs, subjected to potential licensure, certification, and/or accreditation revocation, subjected to payment suspension, subjected to fines or penalties or required to repay amounts received from the government for previously billed patient services. We monitor all aspects of our business and have

developed a comprehensive ethics and compliance program that is designed to meet or exceed applicable federal guidelines and industry standards. Because the law in this area is complex and constantly evolving, governmental investigation or litigation may result in interpretations that are inconsistent with industry practices, including ours. Although we believe our policies, procedures and practices comply with governmental regulations, there is no assurance that we will not be faced with sanctions, fines or penalties in connection with such inquiries or actions, including with respect to the investigations and other matters discussed herein. Even if we were to ultimately prevail, such inquiries and/or actions could have a material adverse effect on us.

The outcome of any current or future litigation or governmental or internal investigations, including the matters described above, cannot be accurately predicted, nor can we predict any resulting penalties, fines or other sanctions that may be imposed at the discretion of federal or state regulatory authorities. We record accruals for such contingencies to the extent that we conclude it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. No estimate of the possible loss or range of loss in excess of amounts accrued, if any, can be made at this time regarding the matters specifically described above because the inherently unpredictable nature of legal proceedings may be exacerbated by various factors, including, but not limited to: (i) the damages sought in the proceedings are unsubstantiated or indeterminate; (ii) discovery is not complete; (iii) the proceeding is in its early stages; (iv) the matters present legal uncertainties; (v) there are significant facts in dispute; (vi) there are a large number of parties, or; (vii) there is a wide range of potential outcomes. It is possible that the outcome of these matters could have a material adverse impact on our future results of operations, financial position, cash flows and, potentially, our reputation.

Table of Contents

In addition, various suits and claims arising against us in the ordinary course of business are pending. In the opinion of management, the outcome of such claims and litigation will not materially affect our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

(6) Segment Reporting

Our reportable operating segments consist of acute care hospital services and behavioral health care services. The

Other segment column below includes centralized services including information services, purchasing, reimbursement, accounting, taxation, legal, advertising, design and construction and patient accounting as well as the operating results for our other operating entities including outpatient surgery and radiation centers. The chief operating decision making group for our acute care hospital services and behavioral health care services is comprised of the Chief Executive Officer, the President and the Presidents of each operating segment. The Presidents of each operating segment also manage the profitability of each respective segment's various facilities. The operating segments are managed separately because each operating segment represents a business unit that offers different types of healthcare services or operates in different healthcare environments. The accounting policies of the operating segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Three months ended March 31, 2014

	Acute Care Hospital Services	Behavioral Health Services	Other	Total Consolidated
	(Amounts in thousands)			
Gross inpatient revenues	\$ 3,876,364	\$ 1,608,899		\$ 5,485,263
Gross outpatient revenues	\$ 1,957,491	\$ 184,115	\$ 8,514	\$ 2,150,120
Total net revenues	\$ 971,391	\$ 945,457	\$ 3,318	\$ 1,920,166
Income/(loss) before allocation of corporate overhead and income taxes	\$ 111,650	\$ 221,148	(\$ 97,015)	\$ 235,783
Allocation of corporate overhead	(\$ 44,697)	(\$ 26,169)	\$ 70,866	0
Income/(loss) after allocation of corporate overhead and before income taxes	\$ 66,953	\$ 194,979	(\$ 26,149)	\$ 235,783
Total assets as of 3/31/14	\$ 3,200,203	\$ 5,004,478	\$ 226,704	\$ 8,431,385

Three months ended March 31, 2013

	Acute Care Hospital Services	Behavioral Health Services	Other	Total Consolidated
	(Amounts in thousands)			
Gross inpatient revenues	\$ 3,507,270	\$ 1,576,148		\$ 5,083,418
Gross outpatient revenues	\$ 1,651,575	\$ 185,802	\$ 10,848	\$ 1,848,225
Total net revenues	\$ 908,734	\$ 909,544	\$ 13,354	\$ 1,831,632
Income/(loss) before allocation of corporate overhead and income taxes	\$ 80,629	\$ 220,775	(\$ 97,420)	\$ 203,984
Allocation of corporate overhead	(\$ 46,112)	(\$ 22,367)	\$ 68,479	0

Income/(loss) after allocation of corporate overhead and before income taxes	\$ 34,517	\$ 198,408	(\$ 28,941)	\$ 203,984
Total assets as of 3/31/13	\$ 3,077,881	\$ 4,963,760	\$ 274,867	\$ 8,316,508

Table of Contents**(7) Earnings Per Share Data (EPS) and Stock Based Compensation**

Basic earnings per share are based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share are based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period adjusted to give effect to common stock equivalents.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the periods indicated (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
Basic and Diluted:		
Net income attributable to UHS	\$ 138,078	\$ 119,784
Less: Net income attributable to unvested restricted share grants	(70)	(69)
Net income attributable to UHS - basic and diluted	\$ 138,008	\$ 119,715
Weighted average number of common shares - basic	98,572	97,711
Net effect of dilutive stock options and grants based on the treasury stock method	1,585	860
Weighted average number of common shares and equivalents - diluted	100,157	98,571
Earnings per basic share attributable to UHS:	\$ 1.40	\$ 1.23
Earnings per diluted share attributable to UHS:	\$ 1.38	\$ 1.21

The Net effect of dilutive stock options and grants based on the treasury stock method, for all periods presented above, excludes certain outstanding stock options applicable to each period since the effect would have been anti-dilutive. There were no anti-dilutive stock options during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and March 31, 2013, respectively. All classes of our common stock have the same dividend rights.

Stock-Based Compensation: During the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, compensation cost of \$6.8 million (\$4.3 million after-tax) and \$6.7 million (\$4.2 million after-tax), respectively, was recognized related to outstanding stock options. In addition, during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, compensation cost of approximately \$409,000 (\$256,000 after-tax) and \$405,000 (\$252,000 after-tax), respectively, was recognized related to restricted stock. As of March 31, 2014 there was \$72.5 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested options and restricted stock which is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted average vesting period of 3.1 years. There were 2,772,100 stock options granted (net of cancellations) during the first three months of

2014 with a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$17.12 per share.

The expense associated with share-based compensation arrangements is a non-cash charge. In the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, share-based compensation expense is an adjustment to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities and aggregated to \$7.2 million and \$7.1 million during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. In accordance with ASC 718, excess income tax benefits related to stock based compensation are classified as cash inflows from financing activities on the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. Previously for the three-month period ended March 31, 2013, we included \$9.3 million of excess income tax benefits related to stock based compensation as net cash provided by operating activities as included in the change in accrued and deferred income taxes for that period. In our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, as included herein, that amount is reflected as cash inflows from financing activities for the 2013 three-month period. We assessed this misclassification and concluded that it was not material to our previously issued quarterly Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. During the first quarter of 2014, we generated \$11.8 million of excess income tax benefits related to stock based compensation which are reflected as cash inflows from financing activities in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

(8) Dispositions and acquisitions

Three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013:

Acquisitions:

Subsequent to March 31, 2014, we acquired the Psychiatric Institute of Washington, a 124-bed behavioral health care facility and outpatient treatment center located in Washington, D.C. As part of this transaction, we also acquired the Arbor Group, L.L.C., which operates three management contracts covering 66 beds in the Washington, D.C. and Maryland market.

During the first quarter of 2014, we paid approximately \$3 million to acquire the operations of Palo Verde Behavioral Health, a 48-bed behavioral health care facility located in Tucson, Arizona.

Table of Contents

There were no acquisitions during the first quarter of 2013.

Divestitures:

During the first quarter of 2014, we received approximately \$11 million of cash proceeds for the divestiture of a non-operating investment. This transaction resulted in a pre-tax gain of approximately \$10 million which is included in our consolidated results of operations during the first quarter of 2014.

During the first quarter of 2013, we received aggregate cash proceeds of approximately \$7 million for the divestiture of certain real property, including two previously closed facilities. The pre-tax net gain on these divestitures did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations during the first quarter of 2013.

(9) Dividends

We declared and paid dividends of \$4.9 million, or \$.05 per share, during each of the first quarters of 2014 and 2013.

(10) Income Taxes

As of January 1, 2014, our unrecognized tax benefits were approximately \$3 million. The amount, if recognized, that would affect the effective tax rate is approximately \$2 million. During the quarter ended March 31, 2014, changes to the estimated liabilities for uncertain tax positions (including accrued interest) relating to tax positions taken during prior and current periods did not have a material impact on our financial statements.

We recognize accrued interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions as part of the tax provision. As of March 31, 2014, we have less than \$1 million of accrued interest and penalties. The U.S. federal statute of limitations remains open for the 2010 and subsequent years. Foreign and U.S. state and local jurisdictions have statutes of limitations generally ranging from 3 to 4 years. The statute of limitations on certain jurisdictions could expire within the next twelve months. It is reasonably possible that the amount of uncertain tax benefits will change during the next 12 months, however, it is anticipated that any such change, if it were to occur, would not have a material impact on our result of operations.

We operate in multiple jurisdictions with varying tax laws. We are subject to audits by any of these taxing authorities. Our tax returns have been examined by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) through the year ended December 31, 2006. We believe that adequate accruals have been provided for federal, foreign and state taxes.

(11) Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Financial Information

Certain of our senior notes are guaranteed by a group of subsidiaries (the Guarantors). The Guarantors, each of which is a 100% directly owned subsidiary of Universal Health Services, Inc., fully and unconditionally guarantee the senior notes on a joint and several basis, subject to certain customary release provisions.

The following financial statements present condensed consolidating financial data for (i) Universal Health Services, Inc. (on a parent company only basis), (ii) the combined Guarantors, (iii) the combined non guarantor subsidiaries (all other subsidiaries), (iv) an elimination column for adjustments to arrive at the information for the parent company, Guarantors, and non guarantors on a consolidated basis, and (v) the parent company and our subsidiaries on a consolidated basis.

Investments in subsidiaries are accounted for by the parent company and the Guarantors using the equity method for this presentation. Results of operations of subsidiaries are therefore classified in the parent company's and Guarantors investment in subsidiaries accounts. The elimination entries set forth in the following condensed consolidating financial statements eliminate distributed and undistributed income of subsidiaries, investments in subsidiaries, and intercompany balances and transactions between the parent, Guarantors, and non guarantors.

Table of Contents**UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF INCOME****FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2014**

(amounts in thousands)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated Amounts
Net revenues before provision for doubtful accounts	\$ 0	\$ 1,463,920	\$ 671,587	\$ (7,157)	\$ 2,128,350
Less: Provision for doubtful accounts	0	135,986	72,198	0	208,184
Net revenues	0	1,327,934	599,389	(7,157)	1,920,166
Operating charges:					
Salaries, wages and benefits	0	669,253	266,112	0	935,365
Other operating expenses	0	240,176	148,525	(6,941)	381,760
Supplies expense	0	131,475	84,323	0	215,798
EHR incentive income	0	(430)	0	0	(430)
Depreciation and amortization	0	67,714	25,645	0	93,359
Lease and rental expense	0	14,349	9,205	(216)	23,338
	0	1,122,537	533,810	(7,157)	1,649,190
Income from operations	0	205,397	65,579	0	270,976
Interest expense	33,573	824	796	0	35,193
Interest (income) expense, affiliate	0	22,112	(22,112)	0	0
Equity in net income of consolidated affiliates	(158,801)	(42,952)	0	201,753	0
Income before income taxes	125,228	225,413	86,895	(201,753)	235,783
Provision for income taxes	(12,850)	78,753	18,028	0	83,931
Net income	138,078	146,660	68,867	(201,753)	151,852
Less: Income attributable to noncontrolling interests	0	0	13,774	0	13,774
Net income attributable to UHS	\$ 138,078	\$ 146,660	\$ 55,093	\$ (201,753)	\$ 138,078

Table of Contents**UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF INCOME****FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2013**

(amounts in thousands)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated Amounts
Net revenues before provision for doubtful accounts	\$ 0	\$ 1,421,852	\$ 663,206	\$ (6,710)	\$ 2,078,348
Less: Provision for doubtful accounts	0	149,768	96,948	0	246,716
Net revenues	0	1,272,084	566,258	(6,710)	1,831,632
Operating charges:					
Salaries, wages and benefits	0	645,594	256,702	0	902,296
Other operating expenses	0	244,419	143,203	(6,615)	381,007
Supplies expense	0	128,710	75,932	0	204,642
Depreciation and amortization	0	55,779	24,033	0	79,812
Lease and rental expense	0	15,675	9,085	(95)	24,665
EHR incentive income	0	(3,116)	(1,596)	0	(4,712)
	0	1,087,061	507,359	(6,710)	1,587,710
Income from operations	0	185,023	58,899	0	243,922
Interest expense	37,946	854	1,138	0	39,938
Interest (income) expense, affiliate	0	24,391	(24,391)	0	0
Equity in net income of consolidated affiliates	(143,206)	(31,781)	0	174,987	0
Income before income taxes	105,260	191,559	82,152	(174,987)	203,984
Provision for income taxes	(14,524)	65,323	23,250	0	74,049
Net income	119,784	126,236	58,902	(174,987)	129,935
Less: Income attributable to noncontrolling interests	0	0	10,151	0	10,151
Net income attributable to UHS	\$ 119,784	\$ 126,236	\$ 48,751	\$ (174,987)	\$ 119,784

Table of Contents**UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME****FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2014**

(amounts in thousands)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated Amounts
Net income	\$ 138,078	\$ 146,660	\$ 68,867	\$ (201,753)	\$ 151,852
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Unrealized derivative gains on cash flow hedges	3,745	0	0	0	3,745
Amortization of terminated hedge	(84)	0	0	0	(84)
Other comprehensive income before tax	3,661	0	0	0	3,661
Income tax expense related to items of other comprehensive income	1,354	0	0	0	1,354
Total other comprehensive income, net of tax	2,307	0	0	0	2,307
Comprehensive income	140,385	146,660	68,867	(201,753)	154,159
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	0	0	13,774	0	13,774
Comprehensive income attributable to UHS	\$ 140,385	\$ 146,660	\$ 55,093	\$ (201,753)	\$ 140,385

UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME****FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2013**

(amounts in thousands)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated Amounts
--	---------------	-------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Net income	\$ 119,784	\$ 126,236	\$ 58,902	\$ (174,987)	\$ 129,935
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Unrealized derivative gains on cash flow hedges	4,535	0	0	0	4,535
Amortization of terminated hedge	(84)	0	0	0	(84)
Other comprehensive income before tax	4,451	0	0	0	4,451
Income tax expense related to items of other comprehensive income	1,678	0	0	0	1,678
Total other comprehensive income, net of tax	2,773	0	0	0	2,773
Comprehensive income	122,557	126,236	58,902	(174,987)	132,708
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	0	0	10,151	0	10,151
Comprehensive income attributable to UHS	\$ 122,557	\$ 126,236	\$ 48,751	\$ (174,987)	\$ 122,557

Table of Contents**UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET****AS OF MARCH 31, 2014**

(amounts in thousands)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated Amounts
Assets					
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 0	\$ 7,719	\$ 8,542	\$ 0	\$ 16,261
Accounts receivable, net	0	864,487	348,107	0	1,212,594
Supplies	0	63,952	38,324	0	102,276
Other current assets	0	85,134	12,551	0	97,685
Deferred income taxes	71,108	43,189	0	0	114,297
Total current assets	71,108	1,064,481	407,524	0	1,543,113
Investments in subsidiaries	6,537,300	1,519,863	0	(8,057,163)	0
Intercompany receivable	205,674	0	443,751	(649,425)	0
Intercompany note receivable	0	0	1,104,881	(1,104,881)	0
Property and equipment	0	4,171,728	1,617,665	0	5,789,393
Less: accumulated depreciation	0	(1,532,857)	(788,364)	0	(2,321,221)
	0	2,638,871	829,301	0	3,468,172
Other assets:					
Goodwill	0	2,553,615	500,051	0	3,053,666
Deferred charges	45,425	5,683	2,413	0	53,521
Other	7,923	243,381	61,609	0	312,913
	\$ 6,867,430	\$ 8,025,894	\$ 3,349,530	\$ (9,811,469)	\$ 8,431,385
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity					
Current liabilities:					
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 101,903	\$ 916	\$ 822	\$ 0	\$ 103,641
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	38,901	822,487	93,514	0	954,902
Federal and state taxes	49,753	2,152	0	0	51,905
Total current liabilities	190,557	825,555	94,336	0	1,110,448

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Intercompany payable	0	649,425	0	(649,425)	0
Other noncurrent liabilities	4,202	200,091	77,880	0	282,173
Long-term debt	3,079,123	5,109	24,926	0	3,109,158
Intercompany note payable	0	1,104,881	0	(1,104,881)	0
Deferred income taxes	201,429	55,915	0	0	257,344
Redeemable noncontrolling interests	0	0	228,107	0	228,107
UHS common stockholders equity	3,392,119	5,184,918	2,872,245	(8,057,163)	3,392,119
Noncontrolling interest	0	0	52,036	0	52,036
Total equity	3,392,119	5,184,918	2,924,281	(8,057,163)	3,444,155
	\$ 6,867,430	\$ 8,025,894	\$ 3,349,530	\$ (9,811,469)	\$ 8,431,385

Table of Contents**UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET****AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2013**

(amounts in thousands)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated Amounts
Assets					
Current assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 0	\$ 7,990	\$ 9,248	\$ 0	\$ 17,238
Accounts receivable, net	0	799,898	317,063	0	1,116,961
Supplies	0	63,562	38,219	0	101,781
Deferred income taxes	76,719	43,184	0	0	119,903
Other current assets	0	63,786	12,660	0	76,446
Total current assets	76,719	978,420	377,190	0	1,432,329
Investments in subsidiaries	6,378,499	1,476,911	0	(7,855,410)	0
Intercompany receivable	226,592	0	531,411	(758,003)	0
Intercompany note receivable	0	0	982,568	(982,568)	0
Property and equipment	0	4,093,914	1,597,988	0	5,691,902
Less: accumulated depreciation	0	(1,478,758)	(770,975)	0	(2,249,733)
	0	2,615,156	827,013	0	3,442,169
Other assets:					
Goodwill	0	2,552,190	496,826	0	3,049,016
Deferred charges	49,866	5,577	2,438	0	57,881
Other	8,411	251,365	70,552	0	330,328
	\$ 6,740,087	\$ 7,879,619	\$ 3,287,998	\$ (9,595,981)	\$ 8,311,723
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity					
Current liabilities:					
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 97,403	916	993	0	\$ 99,312
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	28,099	837,354	87,996	0	953,449
Federal and state taxes	4,963	2,164	0	0	7,127
Total current liabilities	130,465	840,434	88,989	0	1,059,888

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Intercompany payable	0	758,003	0	(758,003)	0
Other noncurrent liabilities	7,591	199,104	77,894	0	284,589
Long-term debt	3,168,819	5,337	35,606	0	3,209,762
Intercompany note payable	0	982,568	0	(982,568)	0
Deferred income taxes	183,233	55,915	0	0	239,148
Redeemable noncontrolling interests	0	0	218,107	0	218,107
UHS common stockholders equity	3,249,979	5,038,258	2,817,152	(7,855,410)	3,249,979
Noncontrolling interest	0	0	50,250	0	50,250
Total equity	3,249,979	5,038,258	2,867,402	(7,855,410)	3,300,229
	\$ 6,740,087	\$ 7,879,619	\$ 3,287,998	\$ (9,595,981)	\$ 8,311,723

Table of Contents

UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2014

(amounts in thousands)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated Amounts
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 70,888	51,661	72,090	\$ 0	\$ 194,639
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:					
Property and equipment additions, net of disposals	0	(70,385)	(22,002)	0	(92,387)
Proceeds received from sale of assets and businesses	0	11,450	0	0	11,450
Acquisition of property and businesses	0	0	(3,301)	0	(3,301)
Costs incurred for purchase and implementation of electronic health records application	0	(6,504)	0	0	(6,504)
Net cash used in investing activities	0	(65,439)	(25,303)	0	(90,742)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:					
Reduction of long-term debt	(97,975)	(228)	(10,851)	0	(109,054)
Additional borrowings	11,900	0	0	0	11,900
Repurchase of common shares	(13,993)	0	0	0	(13,993)
Dividends paid	(4,933)	0	0	0	(4,933)
Issuance of common stock	1,445	0	0	0	1,445
Excess income tax benefits related to stock-based compensation	11,750	0	0	0	11,750
Profit distributions to noncontrolling interests	0	0	(1,989)	0	(1,989)
Changes in intercompany balances with affiliates, net	20,918	13,735	(34,653)	0	0
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(70,888)	13,507	(47,493)	0	(104,874)
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	0	(271)	(706)	0	(977)

Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		0		7,990		9,248		0		17,238
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	0	\$	7,719	\$	8,542	\$	0	\$	16,261

Table of Contents

UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2013

(amounts in thousands)

	Parent	Guarantors	Non Guarantors	Consolidating Adjustments	Total Consolidated Amounts
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 64,747	35,367	78,383	\$ 0	\$ 178,497
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:					
Property and equipment additions, net of disposals	0	(54,822)	(41,097)	0	(95,919)
Proceeds received from sale of assets and businesses	0	4,178	2,479	0	6,657
Acquisition of property and businesses	0	0	0	0	0
Costs incurred for purchase and implementation of electronic health records application	0	(16,412)	0	0	(16,412)
Net cash used in investing activities	0	(67,056)	(38,618)	0	(105,674)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:					
Reduction of long-term debt	(63,612)	(265)	(6,049)	0	(69,926)
Additional borrowings	9,500	0	0	0	9,500
Repurchase of common shares	(14,027)	0	0	0	(14,027)
Dividends paid	(4,870)	0	0	0	(4,870)
Issuance of common stock	1,232	0	0	0	1,232
Excess income tax benefits related to stock-based compensation	9,266	0	0	0	9,266
Profit distributions to noncontrolling interests	0	0	(10,074)	0	(10,074)
Changes in intercompany balances with affiliates, net	(2,236)	28,322	(26,086)	0	0
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(64,747)	28,057	(42,209)	0	(78,899)
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	0	(3,632)	(2,444)	0	(6,076)

Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		0	11,949	11,522	0	23,471
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	0	\$ 8,317	\$ 9,078	\$ 0	\$ 17,395

(12) Recent Accounting Standards

In April 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board updated the accounting guidance related to the definition of a discontinued operation and the related disclosures. The updated accounting guidance defines a discontinued operation as a disposal of a component or a group of components that is to be disposed of or is classified as held for sale and represents a strategic shift that has or will have a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results. The updated guidance is applicable to us effective January 1, 2015 with early adoption permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this update to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Overview**

Our principal business is owning and operating, through our subsidiaries, acute care hospitals, behavioral health centers, surgical hospitals, ambulatory surgery centers and radiation oncology centers. As of March 31, 2014, we owned and/or operated 24 acute care hospitals and 194 behavioral health centers located in 37 states, Washington, D.C., Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. As part of our ambulatory treatment centers division, we manage and/or own outright or in partnerships with physicians, 5 surgical hospitals and surgery and radiation oncology centers located in 4 states.

As a percentage of our consolidated net revenues, net revenues from our acute care hospitals, surgical hospitals, surgery centers and radiation oncology centers accounted for 51% and 50% during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Net revenues from our behavioral health care facilities accounted for 49% and 50% of our consolidated net revenues during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Services provided by our hospitals include general and specialty surgery, internal medicine, obstetrics, emergency room care, radiology, oncology, diagnostic care, coronary care, pediatric services, pharmacy services and/or behavioral health services. We provide capital resources as well as a variety of management services to our facilities, including central purchasing, information services, finance and control systems, facilities planning, physician recruitment services, administrative personnel management, marketing and public relations.

Forward-Looking Statements and Risk Factors

You should carefully review the information contained in this Quarterly Report, and should particularly consider any risk factors that we set forth in this Quarterly Report and in other reports or documents that we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). In this Quarterly Report, we state our beliefs of future events and of our future financial performance. This Quarterly Report contains forward-looking statements that reflect our current estimates, expectations and projections about our future results, performance, prospects and opportunities. Forward-looking statements include, among other things, the information concerning our possible future results of operations, business and growth strategies, financing plans, expectations that regulatory developments or other matters will not have a material adverse effect on our business or financial condition, our competitive position and the effects of competition, the projected growth of the industry in which we operate, and the benefits and synergies to be obtained from our completed and any future acquisitions, and statements of our goals and objectives, and other similar expressions concerning matters that are not historical facts. Words such as may, will, should, could, would, potential, continue, expects, anticipates, future, intends, plans, believes, estimates, appears, expressions, as well as statements in future tense, identify forward-looking statements. In evaluating those statements, you should specifically consider various factors, including the risks related to healthcare industry trends and those detailed in our filings with the SEC including those set forth herein and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 in *Item 1A Risk Factors* and in *Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Forward Looking Statements and Risk Factors*. Those factors may cause our actual results to differ materially from any of our forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements should not be read as a guarantee of future performance or results, and will not necessarily be accurate indications of the times at, or by which, such performance or results will be achieved. Forward-looking information is based on information available at the time and/or our good faith belief with respect to future events, and is subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual performance or results to differ materially from those expressed in the statements. Such factors include, among other things, the following:

our ability to comply with the existing laws and government regulations, and/or changes in laws and government regulations;

an increasing number of legislative initiatives have recently been passed into law that may result in major changes in the health care delivery system on a national or state level. No assurances can be given that the implementation of these new laws will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations;

possible unfavorable changes in the levels and terms of reimbursement for our charges by third party payors or government programs, including Medicare or Medicaid;

an increase in the number of uninsured and self-pay patients treated at our acute care facilities that unfavorably impacts our ability to satisfactorily and timely collect our self-pay patient accounts;

our ability to enter into managed care provider agreements on acceptable terms and the ability of our competitors to do the same, including contracts with United/Sierra Healthcare in Las Vegas, Nevada;

the outcome of known and unknown litigation, government investigations, false claim act allegations, and liabilities and other claims asserted against us, including matters as disclosed in *Item 1. Legal Proceedings*;

Table of Contents

the potential unfavorable impact on our business of deterioration in national, regional and local economic and business conditions, including a continuation or worsening of unfavorable credit market conditions;

competition from other healthcare providers (including physician owned facilities) in certain markets, including McAllen/Edinburg, Texas, the site of one of our largest acute care facilities and Riverside County, California;

technological and pharmaceutical improvements that increase the cost of providing, or reduce the demand for healthcare;

our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel, nurses, physicians and other healthcare professionals and the impact on our labor expenses resulting from a shortage of nurses and other healthcare professionals;

demographic changes;

our ability to successfully integrate and improve our recent acquisitions and the availability of suitable acquisitions and divestiture opportunities;

as discussed below in *Sources of Revenue*, we receive revenues from various state and county based programs, including Medicaid in all the states in which we operate, (we receive Medicaid revenues in excess of \$90 million annually from each of Texas, Pennsylvania, Washington, D.C., Illinois, Virginia and Massachusetts); CMS-approved Medicaid supplemental programs in certain states including Oklahoma, California and Arkansas, and; state Medicaid disproportionate share hospital payments in certain states including Texas and South Carolina. We are therefore particularly sensitive to potential reductions in Medicaid and other state based revenue programs as well as regulatory, economic, environmental and competitive changes in those states. We can provide no assurance that reductions to revenues earned pursuant to these programs, particularly in the above-mentioned states, will not have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations;

our ability to continue to obtain capital on acceptable terms, including borrowed funds, to fund the future growth of our business;

some of our acute care facilities continue to experience decreasing inpatient admission trends;

our financial statements reflect large amounts due from various commercial and private payors and there can be no assurance that failure of the payors to remit amounts due to us will not have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations;

in March, 2010, the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 and the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act were enacted into law and created significant changes to health insurance coverage for U.S. citizens as well as material revisions to the federal Medicare and state Medicaid programs. The two combined primary goals of these acts are to provide for increased access to coverage for healthcare and to reduce healthcare-related expenses. Medicare, Medicaid and other health care industry changes are scheduled to be implemented at various times during this decade. We cannot predict the effect, if any, these enactments will have on our future results of operations;

the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) published final regulations in July, 2010 implementing the health information technology (HIT) provisions of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (referred to as the HITECH Act). The final regulation defines the meaningful use of Electronic Health Records (EHR) and establishes the requirements for the Medicare and Medicaid EHR payment incentive programs. The implementation period for these new Medicare and Medicaid incentive payments started in federal fiscal year 2011 and can end as late as 2016 for Medicare and 2021 for the state Medicaid programs. Hospitals that do not qualify as a meaningful user of EHR by 2015 are subject to a reduced market basket update to the inpatient prospective payment system standardized amount in 2015 and each subsequent fiscal year. Certain of our acute care hospitals implemented EHR applications in 2011 and 2012 and we continued the implementation at each of our acute care hospitals, on a facility-by-facility basis, until completion which occurred in June, 2013. Our acute care hospitals are eligible for Medicare and Medicaid EHR incentive payments upon implementation of the EHR application, once they have demonstrated meaningful use of certified EHR technology for the applicable stage or have completed attestations to their adoption or implementation of certified EHR technology. We believe that all of our acute care hospitals have met the stage 1, year one meaningful use criteria. Although we believe that our acute care hospitals will be in compliance with the EHR standards by 2015, there can be no assurance that all of our facilities will be in compliance and therefore not subject to the penalty provision of the HITECH Act. Should we (our acute care hospitals) qualify for incentive payments, there may be timing differences in the recognition of the incentive income and expenses recorded in connection with the implementation of the EHR applications which may cause material period-to-period changes in our future results of operations;

in August, 2011, the Budget Control Act of 2011 (the 2011 Act) was enacted into law. The 2011 Act imposed annual spending limits for most federal agencies and programs aimed at reducing budget deficits by \$917 billion between 2012 and 2021, according to a report released by the Congressional Budget Office. Among its other provisions, the law established a bipartisan Congressional committee, known as the Joint Select Committee on Deficit Reduction (the Joint Committee), which was tasked with making recommendations aimed at reducing future federal budget deficits by an additional \$1.5 trillion over 10 years. The Joint Committee was unable to reach an agreement by the November 23, 2011 deadline and, as a result, across-the-board cuts to discretionary, national defense and Medicare spending were

Table of Contents

implemented on March 1, 2013 resulting in Medicare payment reductions of up to 2% per fiscal year (approximately \$35 million annual reduction to our Medicare net revenues effective as of April 1, 2013) with a uniform percentage reduction across all Medicare programs. We cannot predict whether Congress will restructure the implemented Medicare payment reductions or what other federal budget deficit reduction initiatives may be proposed by Congress;

our accounts receivable as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 include amounts due from Illinois of approximately \$39 million and \$49 million, respectively. Collection of the outstanding receivables continues to be delayed due to state budgetary and funding pressures. Approximately \$14 million as of March 31, 2014 and \$28 million as of December 31, 2013, of the receivables due from Illinois were outstanding in excess of 60 days, as of each respective date. In addition, our accounts receivable as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 includes approximately \$40 million and \$46 million due from Texas in connection with Medicaid supplemental payment programs (which we expect to collect during 2014). Although the accounts receivable due from Illinois and Texas could remain outstanding for the foreseeable future, since we expect to eventually collect all amounts due to us, no related reserves have been established in our consolidated financial statements. However, we can provide no assurance that we will eventually collect all amounts due to us from Illinois and/or Texas. Failure to ultimately collect all outstanding amounts due from these states would have an adverse impact on our future consolidated results of operations and cash flows;

the ability to obtain adequate levels of general and professional liability insurance on current terms;

changes in our business strategies or development plans;

fluctuations in the value of our common stock, and;

other factors referenced herein or in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Given these uncertainties, risks and assumptions, as outlined above, you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements. Our actual results and financial condition could differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, the forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date the statements are made. We assume no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements to reflect actual results, changes in assumptions or changes in other factors affecting forward-looking information, except as may be required by law. All forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. We consider our critical accounting policies to be those that require us to make significant judgments and estimates when we prepare our consolidated financial statements. For a summary of our significant accounting policies, please see *Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements* as included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Revenue recognition: We record revenues and related receivables for health care services at the time the services are provided. Medicare and Medicaid revenues represented 37% and 38% of our net patient revenues during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Revenues from managed care entities, including health maintenance organizations and managed Medicare and Medicaid programs, accounted for 50% and 49% of our net patient revenues during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Provision for Doubtful Accounts: On a consolidated basis, we monitor our total self-pay receivables to ensure that the total allowance for doubtful accounts provides adequate coverage based on historical collection experience. Our accounts receivable are recorded net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$286 million at March 31, 2014 and \$395 million at December 31, 2013.

Our accounts receivable as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 include amounts due from Illinois of approximately \$39 million and \$49 million, respectively. Collection of the outstanding receivables continues to be delayed due to state budgetary and funding pressures. Approximately \$14 million as of March 31, 2014 and \$28 million as of December 31, 2013, of the receivables due from Illinois were outstanding in excess of 60 days, as of each respective date. In addition, our accounts receivable as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 includes approximately \$40 million and \$46 million due from Texas in connection with Medicaid supplemental payment programs (which we expect to collect during 2014). Although the accounts receivable due from Illinois and Texas could remain outstanding for the foreseeable future, since we expect to eventually collect all amounts due to us, no related reserves have been established in our consolidated financial statements. However, we can provide no assurance that we will eventually collect all amounts due to us from Illinois and/or Texas. Failure to ultimately collect all outstanding amounts due from these states would have an adverse impact on our future consolidated results of operations and cash flows.

Accounting for Medicare and Medicaid Electronic Health Records Incentive Payments: In July 2010, the Department of Health and Human Services published final regulations implementing the health information technology provisions of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. The regulation defines the meaningful use of Electronic Health Records (EHR) and established

Table of Contents

the requirements for the Medicare and Medicaid EHR payment incentive programs. The implementation period for these new Medicare and Medicaid incentive payments started in federal fiscal year 2011 and can end as late as 2016 for Medicare and 2021 for the state Medicaid programs. We recognize income related to Medicare and Medicaid incentive payments using a gain contingency model that is based upon when our eligible hospitals have demonstrated meaningful use of certified EHR technology for the applicable period and the cost report information for the full cost report year that will determine the final calculation of the incentive payment is available.

Medicare EHR incentive payments: Federal regulations require that Medicare EHR incentive payments be computed based on the Medicare cost report that begins in the federal fiscal period in which a hospital meets the applicable meaningful use requirements. Since the annual Medicare cost report periods for each of our acute care hospitals ends on December 31st, we will recognize Medicare EHR incentive income for each hospital during the fourth quarter of the year in which the facility meets the meaningful use criteria and during the fourth quarter of each applicable subsequent year.

Medicaid EHR incentive payments: Medicaid EHR incentive payments are determined based upon prior period cost report information available at the time our hospitals meet the meaningful use criteria. Therefore, the majority of the Medicaid EHR incentive income recognition occurs in the period in which the applicable hospitals are deemed to have met initial meaningful use criteria. Upon meeting subsequent fiscal year meaningful use criteria, our hospitals may become entitled to additional Medicaid EHR incentive payments which will be recognized as incentive income in future periods.

Self-Insured Risks: We provide for self-insured risks, primarily general and professional liability claims and workers compensation claims. Our estimated liability for self-insured professional and general liability claims is based on a number of factors including, among other things, the number of asserted claims and reported incidents, estimates of losses for these claims based on recent and historical settlement amounts, estimate of incurred but not reported claims based on historical experience, and estimates of amounts recoverable under our commercial insurance policies. All relevant information, including our own historical experience is used in estimating the expected amount of claims. While we continuously monitor these factors, our ultimate liability for professional and general liability claims could change materially from our current estimates due to inherent uncertainties involved in making this estimate. Our estimated self-insured reserves are reviewed and changed, if necessary, at each reporting date and changes are recognized currently as additional expense or as a reduction of expense. Given our significant self-insured exposure for professional and general liability claims, there can be no assurance that a sharp increase in the number and/or severity of claims asserted against us will not have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations.

At both March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the total accrual for our professional and general liability claims was \$206 million, of which \$44 million is included in current liabilities.

Recent Accounting Standards: For a summary of accounting standards, please see *Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements*, as included herein.

Results of Operations**Three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013:**

The following table summarizes our results of operations and is used in the discussion below for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 (dollar amounts in thousands):

	Three months ended March 31, 2014		Three months ended March 31, 2013	
	Amount	% of Net Revenues	Amount	% of Net Revenues
Net revenues before provision for doubtful accounts	\$ 2,128,350		\$ 2,078,348	
Less: Provision for doubtful accounts	208,184		246,716	
Net revenues	1,920,166	100.0%	1,831,632	100.0%
Operating charges:				
Salaries, wages and benefits	935,365	48.7%	902,296	49.3%
Other operating expenses	381,760	19.9%	381,007	20.8%
Supplies expense	215,798	11.2%	204,642	11.2%
Depreciation and amortization	93,359	4.9%	79,812	4.4%
Lease and rental expense	23,338	1.2%	24,665	1.3%
EHR incentive income	(430)	0.0%	(4,712)	-0.3%
Subtotal-operating expenses	1,649,190	85.9%	1,587,710	86.7%
Income from operations	270,976	14.1%	243,922	13.3%
Interest expense, net	35,193	1.8%	39,938	2.2%
Income before income taxes	235,783	12.3%	203,984	11.1%
Provision for income taxes	83,931	4.4%	74,049	4.0%
Net income	151,852	7.9%	129,935	7.1%
Less: Income attributable to noncontrolling interests	13,774	0.7%	10,151	0.6%
Net income attributable to UHS	\$ 138,078	7.2%	\$ 119,784	6.5%

Table of Contents

Net revenues increased 5%, or \$89 million, to \$1.92 billion during the three-month period ended March 31, 2014 as compared to \$1.83 billion during the comparable quarter of the prior year. The net increase was primarily attributable to an \$86 million or 5% increase in net revenues generated at our acute care hospitals and behavioral health care facilities owned during both periods (which we refer to as same facility).

Income before income taxes (before deduction for income attributable to noncontrolling interests) increased \$32 million to \$236 million during the three-month period ended March 31, 2014 as compared to \$204 million during the comparable quarter of the prior year. The net increase in our income before income taxes during the first quarter of 2014, as compared to the comparable prior year quarter, was due to:

- a. an increase of \$39 million at our acute care facilities as discussed below in Acute Care Hospital Services, excluding EHR impact as mentioned in c. below;
- b. an increase of \$10 million due to the pre-tax gain recorded during the first quarter of 2014 resulting from the divestiture of a non-operating investment;
- c. a decrease of \$8 million related to the change in incentive income, net of expenses, recorded during each of the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 in connection with the implementation of EHR applications at our acute care hospitals, and;
- d. \$9 million of other combined net decreases.

Net income attributable to UHS increased \$18 million to \$138 million during the three-month period ended March 31, 2014 as compared to \$120 million during the comparable prior year quarter. The increase during the first quarter of 2014, as compared to the comparable prior year quarter, consisted of:

an increase of \$32 million in income before income taxes, as discussed above;

a decrease of \$4 million due to an increase in income attributable to noncontrolling interests, and;

a decrease of \$10 million resulting primarily from: (i) an increase in the provision for income taxes resulting from the income tax provision recorded on the \$28 million increase in pre-tax income (\$32 million increase in income before income taxes less the \$4 million increase in the income attributable to noncontrolling interests), offset by; (ii) a decrease in the provision for income taxes resulting from a decrease in our blended effective state income tax rate.

Acute Care Hospital Services

Same Facility Basis Acute Care Hospitals

We believe that providing our results on a Same Facility basis, which includes the operating results for facilities owned in both the current year and prior year periods, is helpful to our investors as a measure of our operating performance. Our Same Facility results also neutralize the impact of the EHR applications and the effect of items that are non-operational in nature including items such as, but not limited to, gains on sales of assets and businesses, impacts of settlements, legal judgments and lawsuits and other amounts that may be reflected in the current or prior year financial statements that relate to prior periods.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the results of operations for our acute care facilities, on a same facility and all acute care basis, and is used in the discussion below for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 (dollar amounts in thousands):

	Three months ended March 31, 2014		Three months ended March 31, 2013	
	Amount	% of Net Revenues	Amount	% of Net Revenues
Net revenues before provision for doubtful accounts	\$ 1,142,852		\$ 1,126,777	
Less: Provision for doubtful accounts	181,619		218,043	
Net revenues	961,233	100.0%	908,734	100.0%
Operating charges:				
Salaries, wages and benefits	412,457	42.9%	403,677	44.4%
Other operating expenses	189,801	19.7%	198,853	21.9%
Supplies expense	168,718	17.6%	160,604	17.7%
Depreciation and amortization	48,451	5.0%	47,499	5.2%
Lease and rental expense	12,943	1.3%	14,601	1.6%
Subtotal-operating expenses	832,370	86.6%	825,234	90.8%
Income from operations	128,863	13.4%	83,500	9.2%
Interest expense, net	1,074	0.1%	1,137	0.1%
Income before income taxes	127,789	13.3%	82,363	9.1%

During the three-month period ended March 31, 2014, as compared to the comparable prior year quarter, net revenues at our acute care hospitals, on a same facility basis, increased \$52 million or 5.8%. Income before income taxes (and before income attributable to noncontrolling interests) increased \$45 million or 55% to \$128 million or 13.3% of net revenues during the first quarter of 2014 as compared to \$82 million or 9.1% of net revenues during the comparable prior year quarter.

During the three-month period ended March 31, 2014, as compared to the comparable prior year quarter, inpatient admissions to our acute care facilities decreased 2.7% and adjusted admissions (adjusted for outpatient activity) decreased 0.5%. Patient days at these facilities increased 2.3% during the first quarter of 2014 and adjusted patient days increased 4.6% during the three-month period ended March 31, 2014 as compared to the comparable prior year quarter. The average length of inpatient stay at these facilities was 4.8 days and 4.6 days during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The occupancy rate, based on the average available beds at these facilities, was 61% and 60% during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. During the three-month period ended March 31, 2014, net revenue per adjusted admission increased 6.3% and net revenue per adjusted patient day increased 1.1%, as compared to the comparable quarter of the prior year.

Charity care and uninsured discounts:

A significant portion of the patients treated throughout our portfolio of acute care hospitals are uninsured patients which, in part, has resulted from an increase in the number of patients who are employed but do not have health insurance or who have policies with relatively high deductibles. Patients treated at our hospitals for non-elective services, who have gross income less than 400% of the federal poverty guidelines, are deemed eligible for charity care. The federal poverty guidelines are established by the federal government and are based on income and family size. Because we do not pursue collection of amounts that qualify as charity care, they are not reported in our net revenues or in our accounts receivable, net. We also provide discounts to uninsured patients (included in uninsured discounts amounts below) who do not qualify for Medicaid or charity care. Because we do not pursue collection of amounts classified as uninsured discounts, they are not reported in our net revenues or in our accounts receivable, net. In implementing the discount policy, we first attempt to qualify uninsured patients for governmental programs, charity care or any other discount program. If an uninsured patient does not qualify for these programs, the uninsured discount is applied.

Table of Contents

The following tables show the amounts recorded at our acute care hospitals for charity care and uninsured discounts, based on charges at established rates, for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013:

Uncompensated care (in millions):

Amounts in millions	Three Months Ended			
	March 31, 2014	%	March 31, 2013	%
Charity care	\$ 134	42%	\$ 142	62%
Uninsured discounts	186	58%	88	38%
Total uncompensated care	\$ 320	100%	\$ 230	100%

The increase in the total uncompensated care recorded at our acute care hospitals during the first quarter of 2014, as compared to the first quarter of 2013, was offset by a decrease in the provision for doubtful accounts which amounted to \$182 million during the first quarter of 2014 as compared to \$218 million during the first quarter of 2013.

Estimated cost of providing uncompensated care:

The estimated costs of providing uncompensated care as reflected below were based on a calculation which multiplied the percentage of operating expenses for our acute care hospitals to gross charges for those hospitals by the above-mentioned total uncompensated care amounts. Amounts included in the provision for doubtful accounts, which as mentioned above decreased during the three-month period ended March 31, 2014, as compared to the comparable quarter of 2013, are not included in the calculation of estimated costs of providing uncompensated care. The percentage of cost to gross charges is calculated based on the total operating expenses for our acute care facilities divided by gross patient service revenue for those facilities. An increase in the level of uninsured patients to our facilities and the resulting adverse trends in the provision for doubtful accounts and uncompensated care provided could have a material unfavorable impact on our future operating results.

Estimated cost of providing uncompensated care (in millions):

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31, 2014	March 31, 2013
Estimated cost of providing charity care	\$ 19	\$ 23
Estimated cost of providing uninsured discounts related care	27	14
Estimated cost of providing uncompensated care	\$ 46	\$ 37

All Acute Care Hospitals

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes the results of operations for all our acute care operations during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 which includes our acute care results on a same facility basis, as well as the impact of other items, as mentioned below (dollar amounts in thousands):

	Three months ended March 31, 2014		Three months ended March 31, 2013	
	Amount	% of Net Revenues	Amount	% of Net Revenues
Net revenues before provision for doubtful accounts	\$ 1,153,741		\$ 1,126,777	
Less: Provision for doubtful accounts	182,350		218,043	
Net revenues	971,391	100.0%	908,734	100.0%
Operating charges:				
Salaries, wages and benefits	421,050	43.3%	404,511	44.5%
Other operating expenses	192,998	19.9%	198,961	21.9%
Supplies expense	170,487	17.6%	160,610	17.7%
Depreciation and amortization	61,578	6.3%	52,986	5.8%
Lease and rental expense	12,984	1.3%	14,612	1.6%
EHR incentive income	(430)	0.0%	(4,712)	-0.5%
Subtotal-operating expenses	858,667	88.4%	826,968	91.0%
Income from operations	112,724	11.6%	81,766	9.0%
Interest expense, net	1,074	0.1%	1,137	0.1%
Income before income taxes	111,650	11.5%	80,629	8.9%

Table of Contents

Income before income taxes increased \$31 million or 39% to \$112 million during the first quarter of 2014 as compared to \$81 million during the first quarter of 2013. The increase in income before income taxes at our acute care facilities resulted from:

a \$45 million increase at our acute care facilities on a same facility basis, as discussed above;

a decrease of \$8 million related to the incentive income, net of incremental expenses, recorded during the first quarter of 2014, as compared to the comparable quarter in 2013, in connection with the implementation of EHR applications at our acute care hospitals (\$9 million loss before income taxes incurred during the first quarter of 2014 as compared to \$1 million loss before income taxes incurred during the first quarter of 2013), and;

a decrease of \$6 million from the change in the net operating loss incurred at the newly constructed Temecula Valley Hospital which opened in October, 2013.

Behavioral Health Services

The following table summarizes the results of operations for our behavioral health care facilities, on a same facility basis, and is used in the discussion below for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 (dollar amounts in thousands):

Same Facility Behavioral Health

	Three months ended March 31, 2014		Three months ended March 31, 2013	
	Amount	% of Net Revenues	Amount	% of Net Revenues
Net revenues before provision for doubtful accounts	\$ 965,530		\$ 935,383	
Less: Provision for doubtful accounts	25,803		28,750	
Net revenues	939,727	100.0%	906,633	100.0%
Operating charges:				
Salaries, wages and benefits	457,521	48.7%	446,055	49.2%
Other operating expenses	176,060	18.7%	159,503	17.6%
Supplies expense	44,164	4.7%	42,484	4.7%
Depreciation and amortization	29,224	3.1%	24,607	2.7%
Lease and rental expense	9,895	1.1%	9,456	1.0%
Subtotal-operating expenses	716,864	76.3%	682,105	75.2%

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q

Income from operations	222,863	23.7%	224,528	24.8%
Interest expense, net	520	0.1%	858	0.1%
Income before income taxes	222,343	23.7%	223,670	24.7%

Table of Contents

On a same facility basis during the first quarter of 2014, as compared to the first quarter of 2013, net revenues at our behavioral health care facilities increased 4% or \$33 million to \$940 million from \$907 million. Income before income taxes decreased \$1 million or 1% to \$222 million or 23.7% of net revenues during the three-month period ended March 31, 2014, as compared to \$224 million or 24.7% of net revenues during the comparable prior year quarter.

On a same facility basis, inpatient admissions and adjusted admissions to our behavioral health facilities increased 2.6% and 2.3%, respectively, during the three-month period ended March 31, 2014 as compared to the comparable quarter of 2013. Patient days and adjusted patient days increased 0.4% and 0.1%, respectively, during the three-month period ended March 31, 2014 as compared to the comparable prior year quarter. During the three-month period ended March 31, 2014, net revenue per adjusted admission decreased 0.1% and net revenue per adjusted patient day increased 2.1%, as compared to the comparable quarter of the prior year. The average length of inpatient stay at these facilities was 12.8 days and 13.1 days during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The occupancy rate, based on the average available beds at these facilities, was 76% during each of the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013.

All Behavioral Health Care Facilities

The following table summarizes the results of operations for all our behavioral health care facilities during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 which includes our behavioral health results on a same facility basis, as well as the impact of the facilities acquired or opened within the previous twelve months (dollar amounts in thousands):

	Three months ended March 31, 2014		Three months ended March 31, 2013	
	Amount	% of Net Revenues	Amount	% of Net Revenues
Net revenues before provision for doubtful accounts	\$ 971,322		\$ 938,151	
Less: Provision for doubtful accounts	25,865		28,607	
Net revenues	945,457	100.0%	909,544	100.0%
Operating charges:				
Salaries, wages and benefits	461,412	48.8%	451,888	49.7%
Other operating expenses	177,827	18.8%	158,246	17.4%
Supplies expense	44,516	4.7%	42,962	4.7%
Depreciation and amortization	29,954	3.2%	25,042	2.8%
Lease and rental expense	10,080	1.1%	9,773	1.1%
Subtotal-operating expenses	723,789	76.6%	687,911	75.6%
Income from operations	221,668	23.4%	221,633	24.4%
Interest expense, net	520	0.1%	858	0.1%

Income before income taxes	221,148	23.4%	220,775	24.3%
----------------------------	---------	-------	---------	-------

Income before income taxes was relatively unchanged at \$221 million during each of the quarters ended March 31, 2014 and 2013. The \$1 million decrease in income before income taxes, on a same facility basis, during the first quarter of 2014 as compared to the first quarter of 2013 was offset by a \$1 million other combined net increase.

Sources of Revenue

Overview: We receive payments for services rendered from private insurers, including managed care plans, the federal government under the Medicare program, state governments under their respective Medicaid programs and directly from patients.

Table of Contents

Hospital revenues depend upon inpatient occupancy levels, the medical and ancillary services and therapy programs ordered by physicians and provided to patients, the volume of outpatient procedures and the charges or negotiated payment rates for such services. Charges and reimbursement rates for inpatient routine services vary depending on the type of services provided (e.g., medical/surgical, intensive care or behavioral health) and the geographic location of the hospital. Inpatient occupancy levels fluctuate for various reasons, many of which are beyond our control. The percentage of patient service revenue attributable to outpatient services has generally increased in recent years, primarily as a result of advances in medical technology that allow more services to be provided on an outpatient basis, as well as increased pressure from Medicare, Medicaid and private insurers to reduce hospital stays and provide services, where possible, on a less expensive outpatient basis. We believe that our experience with respect to our increased outpatient levels mirrors the general trend occurring in the health care industry and we are unable to predict the rate of growth and resulting impact on our future revenues.

Patients are generally not responsible for any difference between customary hospital charges and amounts reimbursed for such services under Medicare, Medicaid, some private insurance plans, and managed care plans, but are responsible for services not covered by such plans, exclusions, deductibles or co-insurance features of their coverage. The amount of such exclusions, deductibles and co-insurance has generally been increasing each year. Indications from recent federal and state legislation are that this trend will continue. Collection of amounts due from individuals is typically more difficult than from governmental or business payers and we continue to experience an increase in uninsured and self-pay patients which unfavorably impacts the collectability of our patient accounts thereby increasing our provision for doubtful accounts and charity care provided.

The following table shows the approximate percentages of net patient revenue for the three-month period ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 presented on: (i) a combined basis for both our acute care and behavioral health facilities; (ii) for our acute care facilities only, and; (iii) for our behavioral health facilities only. Net patient revenue is defined as revenue from all sources after deducting contractual allowances and discounts from established billing rates, which we derived from various sources of payment for the periods indicated.

Acute Care and Behavioral Health Facilities Combined	Percentage of Net Patient Revenues Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
	Third Party Payors:	
Medicare	23%	24%
Medicaid	14%	14%
Managed Care (HMO and PPOs)	50%	49%
Other Sources	13%	13%
Total	100%	100%

Acute Care Facilities	Percentage of Net Patient Revenues Three Months Ended March 31,	
------------------------------	--	--

	2014	2013
Third Party Payors:		
Medicare	27%	30%
Medicaid	7%	7%
Managed Care (HMO and PPOs)	60%	56%
Other Sources	6%	7%
Total	100%	100%

Behavioral Health Facilities	Percentage of Net Patient Revenues Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2014	2013
Third Party Payors:		
Medicare	19%	19%
Medicaid	22%	22%
Managed Care (HMO and PPOs)	39%	41%
Other Sources	20%	18%
Total	100%	100%

Table of Contents

Medicare: Medicare is a federal program that provides certain hospital and medical insurance benefits to persons aged 65 and over, some disabled persons and persons with end-stage renal disease. All of our acute care hospitals and many of our behavioral health centers are certified as providers of Medicare services by the appropriate governmental authorities. Amounts received under the Medicare program are generally significantly less than a hospital's customary charges for services provided. Since a substantial portion of our revenues will come from patients under the Medicare program, our ability to operate our business successfully in the future will depend in large measure on our ability to adapt to changes in this program.

Under the Medicare program, for inpatient services, our general acute care hospitals receive reimbursement under the inpatient prospective payment system (IPPS). Under the IPPS, hospitals are paid a predetermined fixed payment amount for each hospital discharge. The fixed payment amount is based upon each patient's Medicare severity diagnosis related group (MS-DRG). Every MS-DRG is assigned a payment rate based upon the estimated intensity of hospital resources necessary to treat the average patient with that particular diagnosis. The MS-DRG payment rates are based upon historical national average costs and do not consider the actual costs incurred by a hospital in providing care. This MS-DRG assignment also affects the predetermined capital rate paid with each MS-DRG. The MS-DRG and capital payment rates are adjusted annually by the predetermined geographic adjustment factor for the geographic region in which a particular hospital is located and are weighted based upon a statistically normal distribution of severity. While we generally will not receive payment from Medicare for inpatient services, other than the MS-DRG payment, a hospital may qualify for an outlier payment if a particular patient's treatment costs are extraordinarily high and exceed a specified threshold.

MS-DRG rates are adjusted by an update factor each federal fiscal year, which begins on October 1. The index used to adjust the MS-DRG rates, known as the hospital market basket index, gives consideration to the inflation experienced by hospitals in purchasing goods and services. Generally, however, the percentage increases in the MS-DRG payments have been lower than the projected increase in the cost of goods and services purchased by hospitals.

In April, 2014, CMS published its proposed IPPS 2015 payment rule which provides for a 2.7% market basket increase to the base Medicare MS-DRG blended rate. When statutorily mandated budget neutrality factors, annual geographic wage index updates, documenting and coding adjustments and Health Care Reform mandated adjustments are considered, without consideration for the decreases related to the required Medicare Disproportionate Share Hospital (DSH) payment changes and proposed increase to the Medicare Outlier threshold, the overall increase would approximate 0.4%. To the extent the IPPS 2015 payment rule is implemented as proposed, including the estimated decreases to our DSH payments (-0.8%) and Medicare Outlier threshold (-0.7%), we estimate our overall decrease from the proposed IPPS 2015 rule (covering the period of October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015) will approximate -1.1%, or approximately \$7 million annually. This projected impact from the IPPS 2015 proposed rule includes both the impact of the American Taxpayer Relief Act (ATRA) of 2012 documentation and coding adjustment and the required changes to the DSH payments related to the traditional Medicare fee for service, however, it excludes the impact of the sequestration reductions related to the Budget Control Act of 2011, as discussed below.

In August, 2013, CMS published its final IPPS 2014 payment rule which provides for a 2.5% market basket increase to the base Medicare MS-DRG blended rate. When statutorily mandated budget neutrality factors, annual geographic wage index updates, documenting and coding adjustments and Health Care Reform mandated adjustments are considered, we estimate our overall increase from the final federal fiscal year 2014 rule (covering the period of October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014) will approximate 1.0%. This projected impact from the IPPS 2014 final rule includes both the impact of the ATRA of 2012 documentation and coding adjustment and the required changes to the Medicare Disproportionate Share Hospital payments related to the traditional Medicare fee for service, however, it excludes the impact of the sequestration reductions related to the Budget Control Act of 2011, as discussed below. The final rule would also expand CMS's policy under which it defines inpatient admissions to include the use of an

objective time of care standard. Specifically, it would require Medicare's external review contractors to presume that hospital inpatient admissions are reasonable and necessary when beneficiaries receive a physician order for admission and receive medically necessary services for at least two midnights (the Two Midnight rule). Correspondingly, under the final rule, CMS would presume that hospital services spanning less than two midnights should have been provided on an outpatient basis and paid under Medicare Part B unless the medical record contains clear documentation supporting the physician's order and an expectation that the Medicare beneficiary would need medically necessary care for more than two midnights, or is receiving services which CMS designates as inpatient only. Our acute care hospitals have begun to comply with the Two Midnight rule and, although we are unable to determine the ultimate impact at this time, its application could have a material unfavorable impact on our future results of operations. Excluding the potential impact of the Two Midnight rule, we do not expect the final IPPS 2014 payment rule to have a material impact on our future results of operations. In February, 2014, CMS extended by an additional six months a policy under which Recovery Auditor Contractors and other Medicare review contractors will not conduct post-payment patient status reviews of inpatient hospital claims with dates of admission on or after October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014.

Table of Contents

In August, 2012, CMS published its final IPPS 2013 payment rule which provided for a 2.6% market basket increase to the base Medicare MS-DRG blended rate. When statutorily mandated budget neutrality factors, annual geographic wage index updates, documenting and coding adjustments and Health Care Reform mandated adjustments are considered, we estimate our overall increase from the final federal fiscal year 2013 rule (covering the period of October 1, 2012 through September 30, 2013) approximated 1.8%. The impact from the IPPS 2013 final rule reflects all of the adjustments described in this paragraph, however, it excludes the impact of potential reductions related to the Budget Control Act of 2011, as discussed below.

In August, 2011, the Budget Control Act of 2011 (the 2011 Act) was enacted into law. Included in this law are the imposition of annual spending limits for most federal agencies and programs aimed at reducing budget deficits by \$917 billion between 2012 and 2021, according to a report released by the Congressional Budget Office. Among its other provisions, the law established a bipartisan Congressional committee, known as the Joint Committee, which was responsible for developing recommendations aimed at reducing future federal budget deficits by an additional \$1.5 trillion over 10 years. The Joint Committee was unable to reach an agreement by the November 23, 2011 deadline and, as a result, across-the-board cuts to discretionary, national defense and Medicare spending were implemented on March 1, 2013 resulting in Medicare payment reductions of up to 2% per fiscal year (approximately \$35 million annual reduction to our Medicare net revenues effective as of April 1, 2013) with a uniform percentage reduction across all Medicare programs.

On January 2, 2013, the ATRA was enacted which, among other things, includes a requirement for CMS to recoup \$11 billion from hospitals from Medicare IPPS rates during federal fiscal years 2014 to 2017. The recoupment relates to IPPS documentation and coding adjustments for the period 2008 to 2013 for which adjustments were not previously applied by CMS. The 2014 IPPS final rule includes a -0.8% recoupment adjustment as does the aforementioned 2015 IPPS proposed rule. CMS expects to make similar adjustments in federal fiscal years 2016 and 2017 in order to recover the entire \$11 billion. This adjustment is reflected in the 2014 and 2015 IPPS estimated impact amounts noted above.

On January 1, 2005, CMS implemented a new Psychiatric Prospective Payment System (Psych PPS) for inpatient services furnished by psychiatric hospitals under the Medicare program. This system replaced the cost-based reimbursement guidelines with a per diem Psych PPS with adjustments to account for certain facility and patient characteristics. The Psych PPS also contained provisions for outlier payments and an adjustment to a psychiatric hospital's base payment if it maintains a full-service emergency department. In April, 2011 CMS published its final Psych PPS rule for the fifteen month period July 1, 2011 to September 30, 2012. The market basket increase for this time period was 2.95%, which included a 0.25% reduction required by the federal Health Care Reform legislation enacted in 2010. In August, 2012, CMS published its final Psych PPS rate notice for the federal fiscal year beginning October 1, 2012. That final notice contained a Psych PPS market basket update of 2.7%, which was reduced by 0.7% to reflect a productivity adjustment, and reduced by 0.1% to reflect an other adjustment required by the Social Security Act for rate years 2010 through 2019. In July, 2013, CMS released its final Psych PPS rate notice for the federal fiscal year 2014. The final notice contains a Psych PPS market basket update of 2.6% which is reduced by 0.5% to reflect a productivity adjustment, and reduced by 0.1% to reflect an other adjustment required by the Social Security Act.

In April, 2014, CMS released its proposed Psych PPS rate rule for the federal fiscal year 2015. The proposed rule contains a Psych PPS market basket update of 2.7% which is reduced by 0.4% to reflect a productivity adjustment, and reduced by 0.3% to reflect an other adjustment required by the Social Security Act.

In December, 2013, CMS published its annual final Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPTS) rule for 2014. The final hospital market basket increase is 2.5%. The Medicare statute requires a productivity adjustment

reduction of 0.5% and 0.3% reduction to the 2014 OPSS market basket reducing the final 2014 OPSS market basket update to 1.7%. In the final rule, CMS reduced the 2014 Medicare rates for both hospital-based and community mental health center partial hospitalization programs. When other statutorily required adjustments, hospital patient service mix and the aforementioned partial hospitalization rates are considered, we estimate that our overall Medicare OPSS for 2014 will aggregate to a net increase of 1.4%. Excluding the behavioral health division partial hospitalization rate impact, our Medicare OPSS payment increase for 2014 is estimated to be 2.5%.

In November, 2012, CMS published its annual final Medicare OPSS rule for 2013. The market basket increase to the OPSS base rate is 2.6%. In addition, as outlined in the Sources of Revenues and Health Care Reform discussion below, CMS is also required by federal law to reduce the update factor by 0.1% in federal fiscal year 2013 and to reduce the annual update by a productivity adjustment which is 0.7%. In the final rule, CMS is also implementing a significant increase in the 2013 Medicare rates for both hospital-based and community mental health center partial hospitalization programs. When other statutorily required adjustments, hospital patient service mix and the aforementioned partial hospitalization rates are considered, our overall Medicare OPSS payment increase for 2013 is estimated to be 3.5%. Excluding the behavioral health division partial hospitalization rate impact, our Medicare OPSS payment increase for 2013 was approximately 1.7%.

Medicaid: Medicaid is a joint federal-state funded health care benefit program that is administered by the states to provide benefits to qualifying individuals who are unable to afford care. Most state Medicaid payments are made under a PPS-like system, or under

Table of Contents

programs that negotiate payment levels with individual hospitals. Amounts received under the Medicaid program are generally significantly less than a hospital's customary charges for services provided. In addition to revenues received pursuant to the Medicare program, we receive a large portion of our revenues either directly from Medicaid programs or from managed care companies managing Medicaid. All of our acute care hospitals and most of our behavioral health centers are certified as providers of Medicaid services by the appropriate governmental authorities.

We receive Medicaid revenues in excess of \$90 million annually from each of Texas, Pennsylvania, Washington, D.C., Illinois, Virginia and Massachusetts, making us particularly sensitive to reductions in Medicaid and other state based revenue programs (which have been implemented in various forms with respect to our areas of operation in the respective 2013 state fiscal years) as well as regulatory, economic, environmental and competitive changes in those states. Based upon the state budgets for the 2013 fiscal year (which generally began at various times during the second half of 2012), we estimate that, on a blended basis, our aggregate Medicaid rates were reduced by approximately 1% (or approximately \$15 million annually) from the average rates in effect during the states' 2012 fiscal years (which generally ended during the third quarter of 2012). Based upon the state budgets for the 2014 fiscal year (which will generally begin at various times during the second half of 2013), we estimate that, on a blended basis, our aggregate Medicaid rates will remain relatively unchanged from the 2013 fiscal year rates.

The Affordable Care Act substantially increases the federally and state-funded Medicaid insurance program, and authorizes states to establish federally subsidized non-Medicaid health plans for low-income residents not eligible for Medicaid starting in 2014. However, the Supreme Court has struck down portions of the Affordable Care Act requiring states to expand their Medicaid programs in exchange for increased federal funding. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that states in which we operate will expand Medicaid coverage to individuals at 133% of the federal poverty level. Facilities in states not opting to expand Medicaid coverage under the Affordable Care Act may be additionally penalized by corresponding reductions to Medicaid disproportionate share hospital payments, as discussed below. We can provide no assurance that further reductions to Medicaid revenues, particularly in the above-mentioned states, will not have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations.

Certain of our acute care hospitals located in various counties of Texas (Hidalgo, Maverick, Potter and Webb) participate in CMS-approved private Medicaid supplemental payment (UPL) programs. These hospitals also have affiliation agreements with third-party hospitals to provide free hospital and physician care to qualifying indigent residents of these counties. Our hospitals receive both UPL payments from the Medicaid program and indigent care payments from third-party, affiliated hospitals. The UPL payments are contingent on the county or hospital district making an Inter-Governmental Transfer (IGT) to the state Medicaid program while the indigent care payment is contingent on a transfer of funds from the applicable affiliated hospitals. We recorded net UPL and affiliated hospital indigent care revenues of \$15 million and \$11 million during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. If the applicable hospital district or county makes IGTs consistent with 2013 levels, and without giving effect to potential reductions resulting from the February, 2013 THHSC proposed rule, which is discussed below, we believe we would be entitled to aggregate net revenues earned pursuant to these programs of approximately \$54 million during the state fiscal year state 2014 which ends on September 30, 2014.

For state fiscal year 2014, Texas Medicaid will continue to operate under a CMS-approved Section 1115 five-year Medicaid waiver demonstration program. During the first five years of this program that started in state fiscal year 2012, the Texas Health and Human Services Commission (THHSC) transitioned away from UPL payments to new waiver incentive payment programs. During the first year of transition, which commenced on October 1, 2011, THHSC made payments to Medicaid UPL recipient providers that received payments during the state's prior fiscal year. During transition years two through five, THHSC will make incentive payments under the program after certain qualifying criteria are met by hospitals. UPL payments are also subject to an aggregate statewide caps based on CMS approved Medicaid waiver amounts. In February, 2013, THHSC proposed a rule that indicates that any required

statewide UPL payment reductions will be applied a pro rata basis to all UPL payment recipients. Although our future UPL payments in Texas may be adversely impacted by this proposed rule, we are unable to estimate the potential impact on us since the amount of the statewide pro rata UPL payment reduction, if any, has not yet been determined by THHSC.

We incur health-care related taxes (Provider Taxes) imposed by states in the form of a licensing fee, assessment or other mandatory payment which are related to: (i) healthcare items or services; (ii) the provision of, or the authority to provide, the health care items of services, or; (iii) the payment for the health care items or services. Such Provider Taxes are subject to various federal regulations that limit the scope and amount of the taxes that can be levied by states in order to secure federal matching dollars as part of their respective state Medicaid programs. We derive a related Medicaid reimbursement benefit from assessed Provider Taxes in the form of Medicaid claims based payment increases and/or lump sum Medicaid supplemental payments. Including the impact of the California program, as mentioned below, we earned an aggregate net benefit of approximately \$16 million and \$11 million during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, from Medicaid supplemental payments, after assessed Provider Taxes were considered. We estimate that our aggregate net benefit from Provider Tax programs will approximate \$46 million during 2014. The aggregate net benefit is earned from multiple states and therefore no particular state's portion is individually material to our consolidated financial statements. However, Provider Taxes are governed by both federal and state laws and are subject to future legislative changes that, if reduced from current rates in several states, could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated future results of operations.

Table of Contents

In California, a Medicaid state plan amendment (SPA) was submitted to CMS by the state requesting and extension of a prior provider tax and related Medicaid supplemental payment program retroactive to July 1, 2011 through December 31, 2013. In June, 2012, CMS approved a portion of the SPA which did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements during 2013 or 2012. In June, 2013, CMS approved the Medicaid managed care component of the SPA covering the period of July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2013. The net aggregate benefit for the period of July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2013 was \$11 million (of which \$8 million was applicable to prior years) which was included in our financial results during the second quarter of 2013. The SPA noted above covering the six month period July 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013 is still subject to CMS approval. Similarly, in October, 2013 the state of California enacted new legislation (SB 239) that would continue the provider tax and related Medicaid supplemental payment program for three years effective January 1, 2014 and likewise is subject to CMS approval. As such, if these CMS approvals are obtained by the state, the program impact will be retroactive to July 1, 2013. Although we cannot predict whether or not CMS will ultimately approve these additional programs (covering the period of July 1, 2013 through December 31, 2016), if approved by CMS, we estimate the average pre-tax favorable impact on our results of operations to be approximately \$10 million annually.

State Medicaid Disproportionate Share Hospital Payments: Hospitals that have an unusually large number of low-income patients (i.e., those with a Medicaid utilization rate of at least one standard deviation above the mean Medicaid utilization, or having a low income patient utilization rate exceeding 25%) are eligible to receive a disproportionate share hospital (DSH) adjustment. Congress established a national limit on DSH adjustments. Although this legislation and the resulting state broad-based provider taxes have affected the payments we receive under the Medicaid program, to date the net impact has not been materially adverse.

Upon meeting certain conditions and serving a disproportionately high share of Texas and South Carolina's low income patients, five of our facilities located in Texas and one facility located in South Carolina received additional reimbursement from each state's DSH fund. The South Carolina and Texas DSH programs were renewed for each state's 2014 DSH fiscal year (covering the period of October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014). In September, 2013, the THHSC published its 2013 final DSH rule that included changes that resulted in approximately \$9 million of additional reimbursements to our acute care facilities located in Texas applicable to the state's 2013 fiscal year (which were included in our 2013 pre-tax consolidated financial results). In connection with these DSH programs, included in our financial results was an aggregate of \$12 million and \$11 million during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Assuming that the Texas and South Carolina programs are renewed for each state's 2015 fiscal years, at amounts similar to the 2014 fiscal year estimates, we estimate our aggregate reimbursements pursuant to these programs to be approximately \$49 million during 2014. Failure to renew these DSH programs beyond their scheduled termination dates, failure of the public hospitals to provide the necessary IGTs for the states' share of the DSH programs, failure of our hospitals that currently receive DSH payments to qualify for future DSH funds under these programs, or reductions in reimbursements (see below), could have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations.

The Affordable Care Act provides for a significant reduction in Medicaid disproportionate share payments beginning in 2016 (see below in *Sources of Revenues and Health Care Reform-Medicaid Revisions* for additional disclosure). The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services is to determine the amount of Medicaid DSH payment cuts imposed on each state based on a defined methodology. As Medicaid DSH payments to states will be cut, consequently, payments to Medicaid-participating providers, including our hospitals in Texas and South Carolina, will likely be reduced in the coming years. Based on the September, 2013 CMS final rule, our Medicaid DSH payments in Texas and South Carolina could be reduced by approximately 4% in the 2016 federal fiscal year. This statutorily required reduction was originally scheduled to be implemented in federal fiscal year 2014 but was delayed to FFY 2017 by subsequent federal legislation.

In May, 2013 the state of Texas enacted legislation that would increase the state's contribution of the non-federal DSH share for the 2013 DSH year to \$138 million as compared to the \$100 million previously expected. Similarly, the state's approved 2014-2015 General Appropriations bill passed in May, 2013 authorized \$160 million for 2014 and \$140 million for 2015, respectively, for the non-federal DSH share. We expect the 2014 and 2015 DSH year amounts to be comparable to the 2013 DSH year amounts.

HITECH Act: In July 2010, the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) published final regulations implementing the health information technology (HIT) provisions of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (referred to as the HITECH Act). The final regulation defines the meaningful use of Electronic Health Records (EHR) and establishes the requirements for the Medicare and Medicaid EHR payment incentive programs. The final rule established an initial set of standards and certification criteria. The implementation period for these new Medicare and Medicaid incentive payments started in federal fiscal year 2011 and can end as late as 2016 for Medicare and 2021 for the state Medicaid programs. State Medicaid program participation in this federally funded incentive program is voluntary but we expect that all of the states in which our eligible hospitals operate will ultimately choose to participate. Our acute care hospitals may qualify for these EHR incentive payments upon implementation of the EHR application assuming they meet the meaningful use criteria. The government's ultimate goal is to promote more effective (quality) and efficient healthcare delivery through the use of technology to reduce the total cost of healthcare for all Americans and utilizing the cost savings to expand access to the healthcare system.

Table of Contents

During 2011, we began implementing EHR applications at certain of our acute care hospitals and continued to do so, on a hospital-by-hospital basis, until completion which occurred at the end of June, 2013. Our acute care hospitals are eligible for Medicare and Medicaid EHR incentive payments upon implementation of the EHR application, once they have demonstrated meaningful use of certified EHR technology for the applicable stage or have completed attestations to their adoption or implementation of certified EHR technology. We believe that all of our acute care hospitals have met the stage 1, year one meaningful use criteria.

Our consolidated results of operations during the three-month period ended March 31, 2014 includes an unfavorable pre-tax impact of approximately \$8 million consisting primarily of \$9 million of depreciation and amortization expense offset by approximately \$1 million of aggregate EHR incentive income and the portion of net expense attributable to noncontrolling interests. Our consolidated results of operations during the three-month period ended March 31, 2013 includes an unfavorable pre-tax impact of less than \$1 million consisting of approximately \$5 million of EHR incentive income less approximately \$5 million of salaries, wages, benefits and other operating expenses.

Federal regulations require that Medicare EHR incentive payments be computed based on the Medicare cost report that begins in the federal fiscal period in which a hospital meets the applicable meaningful use requirements. Since the annual Medicare cost report periods for each of our acute care hospitals ends on December 31st, we will recognize Medicare EHR incentive income for each hospital during the fourth quarter of the year in which the facility meets the meaningful use criteria and during the fourth quarter of each applicable subsequent year.

Hospitals that do not qualify as a meaningful user of EHR by 2015 are subject to a reduced market basket update to the IPPS standardized amount in 2015 and each subsequent fiscal year. Although we believe that our acute care hospitals will be in compliance with the EHR standards by 2015, there can be no assurance that all of our facilities will be in compliance and therefore not subject to the penalty provision of the HITECH Act.

Managed Care: A significant portion of our net patient revenues are generated from managed care companies, which include health maintenance organizations, preferred provider organizations and managed Medicare (referred to as Medicare Part C or Medicare Advantage) and Medicaid programs. In general, we expect the percentage of our business from managed care programs to continue to grow. The consequent growth in managed care networks and the resulting impact of these networks on the operating results of our facilities vary among the markets in which we operate. Typically, we receive lower payments per patient from managed care payors than we do from traditional indemnity insurers, however, during the past few years we have secured price increases from many of our commercial payors including managed care companies.

Commercial Insurance: Our hospitals also provide services to individuals covered by private health care insurance. Private insurance carriers typically make direct payments to hospitals or, in some cases, reimburse their policy holders, based upon the particular hospital's established charges and the particular coverage provided in the insurance policy. Private insurance reimbursement varies among payors and states and is generally based on contracts negotiated between the hospital and the payor.

Commercial insurers are continuing efforts to limit the payments for hospital services by adopting discounted payment mechanisms, including predetermined payment or DRG-based payment systems, for more inpatient and outpatient services. To the extent that such efforts are successful and reduce the insurers' reimbursement to hospitals and the costs of providing services to their beneficiaries, such reduced levels of reimbursement may have a negative impact on the operating results of our hospitals.

Other Sources: Our hospitals provide services to individuals that do not have any form of health care coverage. Such patients are evaluated, at the time of service or shortly thereafter, for their ability to pay based upon federal and state

poverty guidelines, qualifications for Medicaid or other state assistance programs, as well as our local hospitals indigent and charity care policy. Patients without health care coverage who do not qualify for Medicaid or indigent care write-offs are offered substantial discounts in an effort to settle their outstanding account balances.

Sources of Revenues and Health Care Reform: Given increasing budget deficits, the federal government and many states are currently considering additional ways to limit increases in levels of Medicare and Medicaid funding, which could also adversely affect future payments received by our hospitals. In addition, the uncertainty and fiscal pressures placed upon the federal government as a result of, among other things, the War on Terrorism, economic recovery stimulus packages, responses to natural disasters, the expansion of a Medicare drug benefit and the federal budget deficit in general may affect the availability of federal funds to provide additional relief in the future. We are unable to predict the effect of future policy changes on our operations.

In March, 2010, the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (H.R. 4872, P.L. 111-152), (the Reconciliation Act) and the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (P.L. 111-148), (the Affordable Care Act), were enacted into law and created significant changes to health insurance coverage for U.S. citizens as well as material revisions to the federal Medicare and state Medicaid programs. Medicare, Medicaid and other health care industry changes which are scheduled to be implemented at various times during this decade are noted below.

Table of Contents

Implemented Medicare Reductions and Reforms:

The Reconciliation Act reduced the market basket update for inpatient and outpatient hospitals and inpatient behavioral health facilities by 0.25% in each of 2010 and 2011, by 0.10% in each of 2012 and 2013.

The Affordable Care Act implemented certain reforms to Medicare Advantage payments, effective in 2011.

A Medicare shared savings program, effective in 2012.

A hospital readmissions reduction program, effective in 2012.

A value-based purchasing program for hospitals, effective in 2012.

A national pilot program on payment bundling, effective in 2013.

Reduction to Medicare disproportionate share hospital (DSH) payments, effective in 2014, as discussed above.

Medicaid Revisions:

Expanded Medicaid eligibility and related special federal payments, effective in 2014.

The Affordable Care Act (as amended by subsequent federal legislation) requires annual aggregate reductions in federal DSH funding from federal fiscal year (FFY) 2016 through FFY 2023. The aggregate annual reduction amounts are:

\$1.8 billion for FFY 2017

\$4.7 billion for FFY 2018

\$4.7 billion for FFY 2019

\$4.7 billion for FFY 2020

\$4.8 billion for FFY 2021

\$5.0 billion for FFY 2022

\$5.0 billion for FFY 2023

\$4.4 billion for FFY 2024

Health Insurance Revisions:

Large employer insurance reforms, effective in 2015.

Individual insurance mandate and related federal subsidies, effective in 2014.

Federally mandated insurance coverage reforms, effective in 2010 and forward.

The Affordable Care Act will seek to increase competition among private health insurers by providing for transparent federal and state insurance exchanges starting in 2014. The Affordable Care Act also prohibits private insurers from adjusting insurance premiums based on health status, gender, or other specified factors. We cannot provide assurance that these provisions will not adversely affect the ability of private insurers to pay for services provided to insured patients, or that these changes will not have a negative material impact on our results of operations going forward.

Value-Based Purchasing:

There is a trend in the healthcare industry toward value-based purchasing of healthcare services. These value-based purchasing programs include both public reporting of quality data and preventable adverse events tied to the quality and efficiency of care provided by facilities. Governmental programs including Medicare and Medicaid currently require hospitals to report certain quality data to receive full reimbursement updates. In addition, Medicare does not reimburse for care related to certain preventable adverse events. Many large commercial payers currently require hospitals to report quality data, and several commercial payers do not reimburse hospitals for certain preventable adverse events.

The Affordable Care Act contains a number of provisions intended to promote value-based purchasing. The Affordable Care Act prohibits the use of federal funds under the Medicaid program to reimburse providers for medical assistance provided to treat hospital acquired conditions (HAC). Beginning in FFY 2015, hospitals that fall into the top 25% of national risk-adjusted HAC rates for all hospitals in the previous year will receive a 1% reduction in their total Medicare payments. Hospitals with excessive readmissions for conditions designated by HHS will receive reduced payments for all inpatient discharges, not just discharges relating to the conditions subject to the excessive readmission standard.

The Affordable Care Act also required HHS to implement a value-based purchasing program for inpatient hospital services which became effective on October 1, 2012. The Affordable Care Act requires HHS to reduce inpatient hospital payments for all discharges by a percentage beginning at 1% in FFY 2013 and increasing by 0.25% each fiscal year up to 2% in FFY 2017 and subsequent years.

Table of Contents

HHS will pool the amount collected from these reductions to fund payments to reward hospitals that meet or exceed certain quality performance standards established by HHS. HHS will determine the amount each hospital that meets or exceeds the quality performance standards will receive from the pool of dollars created by these payment reductions. In its fiscal year 2014 IPPS final rule, CMS will fund the 2014 value-based purchasing program by reducing base operating DRG payment amounts to participating hospitals by 1.25%.

Readmission Reduction Program:

In the Affordable Care Act, Congress also mandated implementation of the hospital readmission reduction program (HRRP). The HRRP assesses penalties on hospitals having excess readmission rates when compared to expected rates, effective for discharges beginning October 1, 2012. In the fiscal year 2013 IPPS final rule, CMS finalized certain policies with regard to payment under the HRRP, including which hospitals are subject to the HRRP, the methodology to calculate the hospital readmission payment adjustment factor, and what portion of the IPPS payment is used to calculate the readmission adjustment factor. In the fiscal year 2014 IPPS final rule, CMS finalized revisions to the three 30-day admission measures in the program heart failure, myocardial infarction, and pneumonia to exclude planned readmissions. Under the Affordable Care Act, beginning in fiscal year 2015, CMS will expand the program and add two readmission measures, one, acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and, two, patients admitted for elective total hip arthroplasty (THA) and total knee arthroplasty (TKA). We do not believe impact of HRRP for federal fiscal year 2014 had or will have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Accountable Care Organizations:

The Affordable Care Act requires HHS to establish a Medicare Shared Savings Program that promotes accountability and coordination of care through the creation of accountable care organizations (ACOs). The ACO program allows providers (including hospitals), physicians and other designated professionals and suppliers to voluntarily work together to invest in infrastructure and redesign delivery processes to achieve high quality and efficient delivery of services. The program is intended to produce savings as a result of improved quality and operational efficiency. ACOs that achieve quality performance standards established by HHS will be eligible to share in a portion of the amounts saved by the Medicare program.

In addition to statutory and regulatory changes to the Medicare and each of the state Medicaid programs, our operations and reimbursement may be affected by administrative rulings, new or novel interpretations and determinations of existing laws and regulations, post-payment audits, requirements for utilization review and new governmental funding restrictions, all of which may materially increase or decrease program payments as well as affect the cost of providing services and the timing of payments to our facilities. The final determination of amounts we receive under the Medicare and Medicaid programs often takes many years, because of audits by the program representatives, providers rights of appeal and the application of numerous technical reimbursement provisions. We believe that we have made adequate provisions for such potential adjustments. Nevertheless, until final adjustments are made, certain issues remain unresolved and previously determined allowances could become either inadequate or more than ultimately required.

Finally, we expect continued third-party efforts to aggressively manage reimbursement levels and cost controls. Reductions in reimbursement amounts received from third-party payors could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and our results of operations.

Other Operating Results

The combined net revenues and income before income taxes generated at our surgical hospitals, ambulatory surgery centers and radiation oncology centers was not material to our results of operations during each of the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013.

Interest Expense:

Interest expense was \$35 million and \$40 million during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, consisting of (amounts in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2014	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013
Revolving credit & demand notes	\$ 557	\$ 1,228
\$400 million, 7.125% Senior Notes due 2016	7,124	7,124
\$250 million, 7.00% Senior Notes due 2018	4,375	4,375
Term loan facility A	4,089	5,064

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2014	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013
Term loan facility B/B-1 (a.)	3,312	6,993
Term loan facility A2	3,636	4,374
Accounts receivable securitization program	535	706
Subtotal-revolving credit, demand notes, Senior Notes, term loan facilities and accounts receivable securitization program	23,628	29,864
Interest rate swap expense, net	4,713	4,674
Amortization of financing fees	5,236	5,459
Other combined interest expense	1,621	2,002
Capitalized interest on major projects	0	(2,052)
Interest income	(5)	(9)
Interest expense, net	\$ 35,193	\$ 39,938

- (a.) During May, 2013 we completed a third amendment to our credit agreement dated November 15, 2010, as amended. The third amendment provides for a reduction in the interest rates payable in connection with certain borrowings under the credit agreement. Specifically, we replaced our existing \$745.9 million senior secured Tranche B term loan with a new senior secured Tranche B-1 term loan in the same amount on substantially the same terms as the Tranche B term loan, other than lower interest rates. Borrowings under the Tranche B-1 term loan will bear interest at a rate per annum equal to, at our election, of one, two, three or six month LIBOR, plus an applicable margin of 2.25% or ABR plus an applicable margin of 1.25%. The minimum ABR and LIBOR rates for the Tranche B term loan of 2.0% and 1.0%, respectively, were eliminated.

Interest expense decreased \$5 million during the three-month period ended March 31, 2014 as compared to the comparable quarter of 2013. The decrease was due primarily to: (i) a \$6 million decrease in aggregate interest expense on our revolving credit and demand notes, term loan facilities and accounts receivable securitization program due to a decrease in the average outstanding borrowings and the average cost of borrowings, offset by; (ii) \$2 million of capitalized interest recorded on major projects during the first quarter of 2013.

Discontinued Operations

In connection with the receipt of antitrust clearance from the Federal Trade Commission (*FTC*) in connection with our acquisition of Ascend Health Corporation in October of 2012, we agreed to certain conditions, including the divestiture of Peak Behavioral Health Services (*Peak*), a 104-bed behavioral health care facility located in Santa Teresa, New Mexico. The divestiture of Peak was completed during the second quarter of 2013.

The operating results for Peak were reflected as discontinued operations during the three-month period ended March 31, 2013. Since the aggregate loss from discontinued operations before income tax benefit for Peak and another previously divested facility were not material to our consolidated financial statements, it is included as an increase to other operating expenses. The following table shows the combined results of operations which were reflected as discontinued operations during the three-month period ended March 31, 2013 (amounts in thousands):

	Three months ended	
	March 31,	March 31,
	2014	2013
Net revenues	\$ 0	\$ 4,034
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, before income taxes	0	(65)
Income tax (expense) benefit	0	24
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	\$ 0	(\$ 41)

Table of Contents***Provision for Income Taxes and Effective Tax Rates:***

The effective tax rates, as calculated by dividing the provision for income taxes by income before income taxes, were as follows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 (dollar amounts in thousands):

	Three months ended	
	March 31, 2014	March 31, 2013
Provision for income taxes	\$ 83,931	\$ 74,049
Income before income taxes	235,783	203,984
Effective tax rate	35.6%	36.3%

Outside owners hold various noncontrolling, minority ownership interests in seven of our acute care facilities and one behavioral health care facility. Each of these facilities are owned and operated by limited liability companies (LLC) or limited partnerships (LP). As a result, since there is no income tax liability incurred at the LLC/LP level (since it passes through to the members/partners), the net income attributable to noncontrolling interests does not include any income tax provision/benefit. When computing the provision for income taxes, as reflected on our consolidated statements of income, the net income attributable to noncontrolling interests is deducted from income before income taxes since it represents the third-party members /partners share of the income generated by the joint-venture entities. In addition to providing the effective tax rates, as indicated above (as calculated from dividing the provision for income taxes by the income before income taxes as reflected on the consolidated statements of income), we believe it is helpful to our investors that we also provide our effective tax rate as calculated after giving effect to the portion of our pre-tax income that is attributable to the third-party members/partners.

The effective tax rates, as calculated by dividing the provision for income taxes by the difference in income before income taxes, minus net income attributable to noncontrolling interests, were as follows for each of the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 (dollar amounts in thousands):

	Three months ended	
	March 31, 2014	March 31, 2013
Provision for income taxes	\$ 83,931	\$ 74,049
Income before income taxes	235,783	203,984
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(13,774)	(10,151)
Income before income taxes and after net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	222,009	193,833
Effective tax rate	37.8%	38.2%

The decrease in the effective tax rate during the three-month period ended March 31, 2014, as compared to the comparable prior year quarter, was due primarily to a decrease in our blended effective state income tax rate.

Liquidity

Net cash provided by operating activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$195 million during the three-month period ended March 31, 2014 and \$178 million during the comparable quarter of 2013. The net increase of \$16 million was primarily attributable to the following:

a favorable change of \$27 million due to an increase in net income plus/minus depreciation and amortization expense, stock-based compensation expense and gains/losses on sales of assets and businesses;

a \$14 million unfavorable change in accounts receivable, and;

\$3 million of other combined net favorable changes.

Days sales outstanding (DSO): Our DSO are calculated by dividing our net revenue by the number of days in the three-month periods. The result is divided into the accounts receivable balance at March 31st of each year to obtain the DSO. Our DSO were 57 days at March 31, 2014 and 56 days at March 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Our accounts receivable as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 include amounts due from Illinois of approximately \$39 million and \$49 million, respectively. Collection of the outstanding receivables continues to be delayed due to state budgetary and funding pressures. Approximately \$14 million as of March 31, 2014 and \$28 million as of December 31, 2013, of the receivables due from Illinois were outstanding in excess of 60 days, as of each respective date. In addition, our accounts receivable as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 includes approximately \$40 million and \$46 million due from Texas in connection with Medicaid supplemental payment programs (which we expect to collect during 2014). Although the accounts receivable due from Illinois and Texas could remain outstanding for the foreseeable future, since we expect to eventually collect all amounts due to us, no related reserves have been established in our consolidated financial statements. However, we can provide no assurance that we will eventually collect all amounts due to us from Illinois and/or Texas. Failure to ultimately collect all outstanding amounts due from these states would have an adverse impact on our future consolidated results of operations and cash flows.

Net cash used in investing activities

During the first three months of 2014, we used \$91 million of net cash in investing activities as follows:

spent \$92 million to finance capital expenditures including capital expenditures for equipment, renovations and new projects at various existing facilities;

received \$11 million in connection with the divestiture of a non-operating investment which generated a \$10 million pre-tax gain which is included in our results of operations during the first quarter of 2014;

spent \$7 million in connection with the purchase and implementation of a electronic health records applications, and;

spent \$3 million to acquire the operations of a 48-bed behavioral health facility in Tucson, Arizona.

During the first three months of 2013, we used \$106 million of net cash in investing activities as follows:

spent \$96 million to finance capital expenditures including capital expenditures for equipment, renovations and new projects at various existing facilities, including the construction costs related to the newly constructed Temecula Valley Hospital, a 140-bed acute care facility located in Temecula, California which was completed and opened in October, 2013;

spent \$16 million in connection with the purchase and implementation of a electronic health records applications, and;

received \$7 million in connection with the divestiture of certain real property including two previously closed facilities.

Net cash used in financing activities

During the first three months of 2014, we used \$105 million of net cash in financing activities as follows:

spent \$109 million on net repayments of debt due primarily to repayments pursuant to our: (i) Term Loan A and A2 facilities (\$18 million), accounts receivable securitization program (\$80 million) and various other debt facilities (\$11 million);

generated \$12 million of proceeds from new borrowings pursuant to our revolving credit facility (\$7 million) and short-term, on-demand facility (\$5 million);

generated \$12 million of excess income tax benefits related to stock-based compensation;

spent \$14 million to repurchase shares of our Class B Common Stock in connection with income tax withholding obligations related to stock-based compensation programs;

spent \$5 million to pay quarterly cash dividends of \$.05 per share;

spent \$2 million to pay profit distributions related to noncontrolling interests in majority owned businesses, and;

generated \$1 million from the issuance of shares of our Class B Common Stock pursuant to the terms of employee stock purchase plans.

During the first three months of 2013, we used \$79 million of net cash in financing activities as follows:

spent \$70 million on net repayments of debt due primarily to repayments pursuant to our: (i) Term Loan A and A2 facilities (\$18 million), revolving credit facility (\$46 million) and various other debt facilities (\$6 million);

generated \$10 million of proceeds from new borrowings pursuant to our accounts receivable securitization program (\$8 million) and short-term, on-demand facility (\$2 million);

spent \$10 million to pay profit distributions related to noncontrolling interests in majority owned businesses;

spent \$14 million to repurchase shares of our Class B Common Stock in connection with income tax withholding obligations related to stock-based compensation programs;

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q
generated \$9 million of excess income tax benefits related to stock-based compensation;

43

Table of Contents

spent \$5 million to pay quarterly cash dividends of \$.05 per share, and;

generated \$1 million from the issuance of shares of our Class B Common Stock pursuant to the terms of employee stock purchase plans.

Expected Capital Expenditures During the Remainder of 2014:

During the remaining nine months of 2014, we expect to spend approximately \$270 million to \$290 million on capital expenditures. We believe that our capital expenditure program is adequate to expand, improve and equip our existing hospitals. We expect to finance all capital expenditures and acquisitions with internally generated funds and/or additional funds, as discussed below.

Capital Resources***Credit Facilities and Outstanding Debt Securities***

In May, 2013, we entered into a third amendment (the *Third Amendment*) to the credit agreement, dated as of November 15, 2010 (as amended from time to time, the *Credit Agreement*), which became effective that day, among UHS, the several banks and other financial institutions from time to time parties thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent and the other agents party thereto. The Third Amendment provides for a reduction in the interest rates payable in connection with certain borrowings under the Credit Agreement. Upon the effectiveness of the Third Amendment, UHS replaced its existing \$745.9 million senior secured Tranche B term loan with a new senior secured Tranche B-1 term loan in the same amount on substantially the same terms as the Tranche B term loan, other than lower interest rates. Borrowings under the Tranche B-1 term loan, which totaled \$550 million as of March 31, 2014, bear interest at a rate per annum equal to, at our election, one, two, three or six month LIBOR, plus an applicable margin of 2.25% or ABR plus an applicable margin of 1.25%. The minimum LIBOR and ABR rates for the Tranche B term loan of 1.0% and 2.0%, respectively, were eliminated.

In September, 2012, we entered into a second amendment (the *Second Amendment*) to our Credit Agreement which provided for: (i) a new Term Loan-A facility (the *Term Loan A2*), which had \$866 million of borrowings outstanding at March 31, 2014, at the same interest rates as our existing Term Loan A and a final maturity date of August 15, 2016; (ii) the extension of the maturity date on a substantial portion of our \$800 million revolving credit facility commitment with \$777 million of the commitment extended to mature on August 15, 2016 and the remaining \$23 million commitment scheduled to mature on November 15, 2015 (there were \$7 million of borrowings outstanding pursuant to our revolving credit facility as of March 31, 2014), and; (iii) the extension of the maturity date on a substantial portion of our Term Loan-A borrowings which, based upon the outstanding Term Loan-A borrowings as of March 31, 2014, \$884 million is scheduled to mature on August 15, 2016 and the remaining \$42 million is scheduled to mature on November 15, 2015. The Second Amendment also provides for increased flexibility for refinancing and certain other modifications but substantially all other terms of the Credit Agreement remain unchanged.

The Credit Agreement, as amended, is a senior secured facility which, as of March 31, 2014, provided for an aggregate commitment amount of \$3.14 billion, comprised of an \$800 million revolving credit facility, a \$926 million Term Loan-A facility, a \$550 million Term Loan-B facility and a \$866 million Term Loan-A2 facility. The revolving credit facility includes a \$125 million sub-limit for letters of credit. The Credit Agreement is secured by substantially all of the assets of the Company and our material subsidiaries and guaranteed by our material subsidiaries.

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest at either (1) the ABR rate which is defined as the rate per annum equal to, at our election: the greatest of (a) the lender's prime rate, (b) the weighted average of the federal funds rate,

plus 0.5% and (c) one month LIBOR rate plus 1%, in each case, plus an applicable margin based upon our consolidated leverage ratio at the end of each quarter ranging from 0.50% to 1.25% for revolving credit, Term Loan-A and Term Loan-A2 borrowings and 1.25% for Term Loan B borrowings or (2) the one, two, three or six month LIBOR rate (at our election), plus an applicable margin based upon our consolidated leverage ratio at the end of each quarter ranging from 1.50% to 2.25% for revolving credit, Term Loan-A and Term Loan-A2 borrowings and 2.25% for Term Loan-B borrowings. The current applicable margins are 0.50% for ABR-based loans, 1.50% for LIBOR-based loans under the revolving credit, Term Loan-A and Term Loan-A2 facilities and 2.25% under the Term Loan-B facility.

As of March 31, 2014, we had \$7 million of borrowings outstanding pursuant to the terms of our \$800 million revolving credit facility and we had \$743 million of available borrowing capacity, net of \$30 million of outstanding borrowings pursuant to a short-term, on-demand credit facility and \$20 million of outstanding letters of credit.

We made scheduled principal payments of \$18 million on the Term Loan-A and Term Loan A2 facilities during each of the three-month periods ended March 31, 2014 and 2013. Quarterly installment payments (Installment Payments) are due on the Term Loan-A and Term Loan-A2 facilities which approximate \$54 million during the remainder of 2014, \$77 million in 2015 and \$46 million in 2016. The Installment Payments due as of March 31, 2015 on the Term Loan-A and Term Loan-A2 facilities are classified as current maturities of long-term debt on our Consolidated Balance Sheet as of March 31, 2014. No Installment Payments are due on the Term Loan-B facility, although we may decide to make optional repayments from time-to-time.

Table of Contents

In October, 2013 our \$275 million accounts receivable securitization program (*Securitization*) with a group of conduit lenders and liquidity banks was amended to extend the maturity date to October 25, 2016 and reduce the interest rate spread and commitment fee. Substantially all of the patient-related accounts receivable of our acute care hospitals (*Receivables*) serve as collateral for the outstanding borrowings. We have accounted for this *Securitization* as borrowings. We maintain effective control over the *Receivables* since, pursuant to the terms of the *Securitization*, the *Receivables* are sold from certain of our subsidiaries to special purpose entities that are wholly-owned by us. The *Receivables*, however, are owned by the special purpose entities, can be used only to satisfy the debts of the wholly-owned special purpose entities, and thus are not available to us except through our ownership interest in the special purpose entities. The wholly-owned special purpose entities use the *Receivables* to collateralize the loans obtained from the group of third-party conduit lenders and liquidity banks. The group of third-party conduit lenders and liquidity banks do not have recourse to us beyond the assets of the wholly-owned special purpose entities that securitize the loans. At March 31, 2014, we had \$160 million of outstanding borrowings and \$115 million of additional capacity pursuant to the terms of our accounts receivable securitization program.

Our \$250 million, 7.00% senior unsecured notes (the *Unsecured Notes*) are scheduled to mature on October 1, 2018. The *Unsecured Notes* were issued on September 29, 2010 and registered in April, 2011. Interest on the *Unsecured Note* is payable semiannually in arrears on April 1st and October 1st of each year. The *Unsecured Notes* can be redeemed in whole at anytime subject to a make-whole call at treasury rate plus 50 basis points prior to October 1, 2014. They are also redeemable in whole or in part at a price of: (i) 103.5% on or after October 1, 2014; (ii) 101.75% on or after October 1, 2015, and; (iii) 100% on or after October 1, 2016. These *Unsecured Notes* are guaranteed by a group of subsidiaries (each of which is a 100% directly or indirectly owned subsidiary of Universal Health Services, Inc.) which fully and unconditionally guarantee the *Unsecured Notes* on a joint and several basis, subject to certain customary automatic release provisions.

On June 30, 2006, we issued \$250 million of senior notes which have a 7.125% coupon rate and mature on June 30, 2016 (the *7.125% Notes*). Interest on the *7.125% Notes* is payable semiannually in arrears on June 30th and December 30th of each year. In June, 2008, we issued an additional \$150 million of *7.125% Notes* which formed a single series with the original *7.125% Notes* issued in June, 2006. Other than their date of issuance and initial price to the public, the terms of the *7.125% Notes* issued in June, 2008 are identical to and trade interchangeably with, the *7.125% Notes* which were originally issued in June, 2006.

In connection with the entering into of the Credit Agreement on November 15, 2010, and in accordance with the Indenture dated January 20, 2000 governing the rights of our existing notes, we entered into a supplemental indenture pursuant to which our *7.125% Notes* (due in 2016) were equally and ratably secured with the lenders under the Credit Agreement with respect to the collateral for so long as the lenders under the Credit Agreement are so secured.

Our Credit Agreement includes a material adverse change clause that must be represented at each draw. The Credit Agreement contains covenants that include a limitation on sales of assets, mergers, change of ownership, liens and indebtedness, transactions with affiliates and dividends; and requires compliance with financial covenants including maximum leverage and minimum interest coverage ratios. We are in compliance with all required covenants as of March 31, 2014.

As of March 31, 2014, the carrying value of our debt was \$3.2 billion and the fair-value of our debt was \$3.3 billion. The fair value of our debt was computed based upon quotes received from financial institutions and we consider these to be level 2 in the fair value hierarchy as outlined in the authoritative guidance for disclosures in connection with debt instruments.

Our total debt as a percentage of total capitalization was 49% at March 31, 2014 and 51% at December 31, 2013.

We expect to finance all capital expenditures and acquisitions, pay dividends and potentially repurchase shares of our common stock utilizing internally generated and additional funds. Additional funds may be obtained through: (i) the issuance of equity; (ii) borrowings under our existing revolving credit facility or through refinancing the existing revolving credit agreement, and/or; (iii) the issuance of other long-term debt. We believe that our operating cash flows, cash and cash equivalents, available borrowing capacity under our \$800 million revolving credit facility and access to the capital markets provide us with sufficient capital resources to fund our operating, investing and financing requirements for the next twelve months. However, in the event we need to access the capital markets or other sources of financing, there can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain financing on acceptable terms or within an acceptable time. Our inability to obtain financing on terms acceptable to us could have a material unfavorable impact on our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, there have been no material changes in the off-balance sheet arrangements consisting of operating leases and standby letters of credit and surety bonds. Reference is made to *Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements*, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

We have various obligations under operating leases or master leases for real property and under operating leases for equipment. The real property master leases are leases for buildings on or near hospital property for which we guarantee a certain level of rental income. We sublease space in these buildings and any amounts received from these subleases are offset against the expense. In addition, we lease four hospital facilities from Universal Health Realty Income Trust with terms scheduled to expire in 2014 and 2016. These leases contain up to four, 5-year renewal options.

As of March 31, 2014 we were party to certain off balance sheet arrangements consisting of standby letters of credit and surety bonds which totaled \$90 million consisting of: (i) \$73 million related to our self-insurance programs, and; (ii) \$17 million of other debt and public utility guarantees.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There have been no material changes in the quantitative and qualitative disclosures during the three months ended March 31, 2014. Reference is made to *Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk* in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of March 31, 2014, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), we performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or Rule 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the 1934 Act). Based on this evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that material information is recorded, processed, summarized and reported by management on a timely basis in order to comply with our disclosure obligations under the 1934 Act and the SEC rules thereunder.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting or in other factors during the first quarter of 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are subject to claims and suits in the ordinary course of business, including those arising from care and treatment afforded by our hospitals and are party to litigation, as outlined below.

Office of Inspector General (OIG) and Other Government Investigations

In September, 2010, we, along with many other companies in the healthcare industry, received a letter from the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) advising of a False Claim Act investigation being conducted in connection with the implantation of implantable cardioverter defibrillators (ICDs) from 2003 to 2010 at several of our acute care facilities. The DOJ alleges that ICDs were implanted and billed by our facilities in contravention of a National Coverage Determination regarding these devices. We have established a reserve in connection with this matter which did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February, 2013, the OIG served a subpoena requesting various documents from January, 2008 to the date of the subpoena directed at Universal Health Services, Inc. (UHS) concerning it and UHS of Delaware, Inc., and several UHS owned behavioral health facilities including: Keys of Carolina, Old Vineyard Behavioral Health, The Meadows Psychiatric Center, Streamwood Behavioral Health, Hartgrove Hospital, Rock River Academy and Residential Treatment Center, Roxbury Treatment Center, Harbor Point Behavioral Health Center, f/k/a, The Pines Residential Treatment Center, including the Crawford, Brighton and Kempsville campuses, Wekiva Springs Center and River Point Behavioral Health. Prior to receiving this subpoena: (i) the Keys of Carolina and Old Vineyard received notification during the second half of 2012 from the United States Department of Justice of its intent to proceed with an investigation following requests for documents for the period of January, 2007 to the date of the subpoenas from the North Carolina state Attorney General's Office; (ii) Harbor Point Behavioral Health Center received a subpoena in December, 2012 from the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Virginia requesting various documents from July, 2006 to the date of the subpoena, and; (iii) The Meadows Psychiatric Center received a subpoena from the OIG in February, 2013 requesting certain documents from 2008 to the date of the subpoena. Unrelated to these matters, the Keys of Carolina was closed and the real property was sold in January, 2013.

In April, 2013, the OIG served facility specific subpoenas on Wekiva Springs Center and River Point Behavioral Health requesting various documents from January, 2005 to the date of the subpoenas. In June, 2013, the OIG served a subpoena on Coastal Harbor Health System in Savannah, Georgia requesting documents from January, 2009 to the date of the subpoena. In July, 2013, another subpoena was issued to Wekiva Springs Center and River Point Behavioral Health requesting additional records. In October, 2013, we were advised by the DOJ's Criminal Frauds Section that they received a referral from the DOJ Civil Division and opened an investigation of River Point Behavioral Health and Wekiva Springs Center.

In February, 2014, we were notified that the investigation conducted by the Criminal Frauds Section had been expanded to include the National Deaf Academy. In March, 2014, a Civil Investigative Demand (CID) was served on the National Deaf Academy requesting documents and information from the facility from January 1, 2008 through the date of the CID. We have been advised by the government that the National Deaf Academy has been added to the facilities which are the subject of the coordinated investigation referenced above. Also in March, 2014, CIDs were served on Hartgrove Hospital, Rock River Academy and Streamwood Behavioral Health requesting documents and information from those facilities from January 2008 through the date of the CID. We were recently advised that a qui tam action had been filed against Roxbury Treatment Center but the government declined to intervene and the case

was dismissed.

In April, 2014, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) instituted a Medicare payment suspension at River Point Behavioral Health in accordance with federal regulations which implemented provisions of the Affordable Care Act regarding suspension of payments during certain investigations. The Florida Agency for Health Care Administration subsequently issued a Medicaid payment suspension for the facility. River Point Behavioral Health submitted a rebuttal statement disputing the basis of the suspension and requesting revocation of the suspension. In response, CMS has continued the payment suspension. River Point Behavioral Health continues to provide additional information to CMS in an effort to obtain relief from the payment suspension. We cannot predict if and/or when the facility's suspended payments will resume. However, if continued for a significant period of time, the payment suspension will likely have a material adverse effect on River Point Behavioral Health's future results of operations and financial condition. The operating results of River Point Behavioral Health did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations for the three-month period ended March 31, 2014 or the year ended December 31, 2013.

At present, we are uncertain as to the specific focus, scope or extent of the investigations, liability of the facilities and/or potential financial exposure, if any, in connection with these matters.

Table of Contents

Matters Relating to PSI:

The following matters pertain to PSI or former PSI facilities (owned by subsidiaries of Psychiatric Solutions, Inc.) which were in existence prior to the acquisition of PSI and for which we have assumed the defense as a result of our acquisition which was completed in November, 2010:

Garden City Employees Retirement System v. PSI:

This is a purported shareholder class action lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee against PSI and the former directors in 2009 alleging violations of federal securities laws. We intend to defend the case vigorously. Should we be deemed liable in this matter, we believe we would be entitled to commercial insurance recoveries for amounts paid by us, subject to certain limitations and deductibles. Included in our consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, is an estimated reserve (current liability) and corresponding commercial insurance recovery (current asset) which did not have a material impact on our financial statements. Although we believe the commercial insurance recoveries are adequate to satisfy potential liability and related legal fees in connection with this matter, we can provide no assurance that the ultimate liability will not exceed the commercial insurance recoveries which would make us liable for the excess.

Department of Justice Investigation of Friends Hospital:

In October, 2010, Friends Hospital in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, received a subpoena from the DOJ requesting certain documents from the facility. The requested documents have been collected and provided to the DOJ for review and examination. Another subpoena was issued to the facility in July 2011 requesting additional documents, which have been collected and delivered to the DOJ. At present, we are uncertain as to the focus, scope or extent of the investigation, liability of the facility and/or potential financial exposure, if any, in connection with this matter.

Department of Justice Investigation of Riveredge Hospital:

In 2008, Riveredge Hospital in Chicago, Illinois received a subpoena from the DOJ requesting certain information from the facility. Additional requests for documents were also received from the DOJ in 2009 and 2010. The requested documents have been provided to the DOJ. At present, we are uncertain as to the focus, scope or extent of the investigation, liability of the facility and/or potential financial exposure, if any, in connection with this matter.

General:

The healthcare industry is subject to numerous laws and regulations which include, among other things, matters such as government healthcare participation requirements, various licensure, certifications, and accreditations, reimbursement for patient services, and Medicare and Medicaid fraud and abuse. Government action has increased with respect to investigations and/or allegations concerning possible violations of fraud and abuse and false claims statutes and/or regulations by healthcare providers. Currently, and from time to time, some of our facilities are subjected to inquiries and/or actions and receive notices of potential non-compliance of laws and regulations from various federal and state agencies. Providers that are found to have violated these laws and regulations may be excluded from participating in government healthcare programs, subjected to potential licensure, certification, and/or accreditation revocation, subjected to payment suspension, subjected to fines or penalties or required to repay amounts received from the government for previously billed patient services. We monitor all aspects of our business and have developed a comprehensive ethics and compliance program that is designed to meet or exceed applicable federal guidelines and industry standards. Because the law in this area is complex and constantly evolving, governmental investigation or litigation may result in interpretations that are inconsistent with industry practices, including ours.

Although we believe our policies, procedures and practices comply with governmental regulations, there is no assurance that we will not be faced with sanctions, fines or penalties in connection with such inquiries or actions, including with respect to the investigations and other matters discussed herein. Even if we were to ultimately prevail, such inquiries and/or actions could have a material adverse effect on us.

The outcome of any current or future litigation or governmental or internal investigations, including the matters described above, cannot be accurately predicted, nor can we predict any resulting penalties, fines or other sanctions that may be imposed at the discretion of federal or state regulatory authorities. We record accruals for such contingencies to the extent that we conclude it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. No estimate of the possible loss or range of loss in excess of amounts accrued, if any, can be made at this time regarding the matters specifically described above because the inherently unpredictable nature of legal proceedings may be exacerbated by various factors, including, but not limited to: (i) the damages sought in the proceedings are unsubstantiated or indeterminate; (ii) discovery is not complete; (iii) the proceeding is in its early stages; (iv) the matters present legal uncertainties; (v) there are significant facts in dispute; (vi) there are a large number of parties, or; (vii) there is a wide range of potential outcomes. It is possible that the outcome of these matters could have a material adverse impact on our future results of operations, financial position, cash flows and, potentially, our reputation.

In addition, various suits and claims arising against us in the ordinary course of business are pending. In the opinion of management, the outcome of such claims and litigation will not materially affect our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 includes a listing of risk factors to be considered by investors in our securities. There have been no material changes in our risk factors from those set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

In various prior years, our Board of Directors has approved stock repurchase programs authorizing us to purchase shares of our outstanding Class B Common Stock on the open market at prevailing market prices or in negotiated transactions off the market. There is no expiration date for our stock repurchase programs. The most recent approval occurred during 2007 at which time our Board of Directors authorized the purchase of up to 10 million shares, a portion of which (as reflected below) remains available for purchase as of March 31, 2014. The following schedule provides information related to our stock repurchase programs for the three months ended March 31, 2014. All of the shares repurchased during the first quarter of 2014 related to income tax withholding obligations resulting from the exercise of stock options and the vesting of restricted stock grants. No shares were repurchased pursuant to our publicly announced stock repurchase program.

	Additional Shares Authorized For Repurchase	Total number of shares purchased	Average price paid per share for forfeited restricted shares	Total Number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced programs	Average price paid per share for shares purchased as part of publicly announced program	Aggregate purchase price paid (in thousands)	Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the program
January, 2014		130,693	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	767,702
February, 2014		21,115	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	767,702
March, 2014		15,147	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	767,702
Total January through March		166,955	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	

Dividends

During the quarter ended March 31, 2014, we declared and paid dividends of \$.05 per share.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits:

11	Statement re computation of per share earnings is set forth in Note 7 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.
31.1	Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.2	Certification of the Company's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32.1	Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of the Company's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

Table of Contents

UNIVERSAL HEALTH SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Universal Health Services, Inc.
(Registrant)

Date: May 7, 2014

/s/ ALAN B. MILLER
**Alan B. Miller, Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)**

/s/ STEVE FILTON
**Steve Filton, Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)**

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit	
No.	Description
11	Statement re computation of per share earnings is set forth in Note 7 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.
31.1	Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15(d)-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.2	Certification of the Company's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15(d)-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32.1	Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of the Company's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document