QNB CORP
Form 10-Q
August 14, 2013
UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND

Pennsylvania

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

**WASHINGTON, DC 20549** 

23-2318082

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

15 North Third Street, P.O. Box 9005 Quakert (Address of Principal Executive Offices)	own, PA 18951-9005 (Zip Code)
Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Are	ea Code (215) 538-5600
Not Applicable	
Former Name, Former Address and Former Fi	scal Year, if Changed Since Last Report.
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the	t (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant the subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes
any, every Interactive Data File required to be	has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T g 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required—
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting
Large accelerated filer Ac	celerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Sn	naller Reporting Company
Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant Yes No	is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Indicate the number of shares outstanding of edate.	ach of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable
Class Outstanding Common Stock, par value \$0.625 3,253,559	g at July 31, 2013

**FORM 10-Q** 

**QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2013** 

**INDEX** 

# **PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

ITEM 1.	CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)	PAGE
	Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012	r <sub>3</sub>
	Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012	4
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012	5
	Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013	6
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012	7
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	8
ITEM 2.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	42
ITEM 3.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK	71
ITEM 4.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	71
PART II - OTHER INFO	DRMATION	

ITEM 1.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	72
ITEM 1A.	RISK FACTORS	72
ITEM 2.	UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS	72
ITEM 3.	DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES	72
ITEM 4.	MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES	72
ITEM 5.	OTHER INFORMATION	72
ITEM 6.	EXHIBITS	73
SIGNATURES		
CERTIFICATIONS		

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	(in thousands, excep share data)	
	(unaudited	l)
	June 30,	December 31,
	2013	2012
Assets Cash and due from banks	\$11,940	\$ 14,859
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	3,957	594
Total cash and cash equivalents	15,897	15,453
Investment securities		
Available-for-sale (amortized cost \$383,419 and \$394,750)	380,364	401,502
Held-to-maturity (fair value \$163 and \$166)	146	146
Restricted investment in bank stocks	1,837	2,244
Loans held-for-sale	1,328	1,616
Loans receivable	489,215	477,733
Allowance for loan losses	(9,431)	
Net loans Bank-owned life insurance	479,784 10,226	467,961 10,074
	9,600	8,973
Premises and equipment, net Accrued interest receivable	2,981	2,803
Other assets	8,666	9,102
Total assets	\$910,829	\$919,874
Liabilities		
Deposits		
Demand, non-interest bearing	\$74,682	\$73,685
Interest-bearing demand	197,738	191,335
Money market	65,810	76,047
Savings	204,510	191,337
Time	162,082	173,889
Time of \$100,000 or more	91,091	95,345
Total deposits	795,913	801,638
Short-term borrowings	32,851	32,488
Long-term debt	5,282	5,287
Accrued interest payable	407	487
Other liabilities	2,140	2,351
Total liabilities	836,593	842,251

# **Shareholders' Equity**

Common stock, par value \$0.625 per share; authorized 10,000,000 shares; 3,418,128 shares	2,136	2.121
and 3,392,572 shares issued; 3,253,559 and 3,228,003 shares outstanding	2,130	2,121
Surplus	13,304	12,787
Retained earnings	63,288	60,735
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax	(2,016)	4,456
Treasury stock, at cost; 164,569 shares	(2,476)	(2,476)
Total shareholders' equity	74,236	77,623
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$910,829	\$919,874

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	(in thousands, except share data - unaudited)		data -	
	Three M	Ionths	Six Mont	hs
	Ended J	-	Ended Ju	-
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Interest income	Φ <i>E E</i> Ω4	¢ ( 1 ( 2	¢11 170	¢ 10 441
Interest and fees on loans	\$5,594	\$6,163	\$11,170	\$12,441
Interest and dividends on investment securities:	1 251	1 550	2745	2 200
Taxable	1,351	1,558	2,745	3,200
Tax-exempt	672	693	1,371	1,397
Interest on interest-bearing balances and other interest income	7 7.624	10	14	19
Total interest income	7,624	8,424	15,300	17,057
Interest expense				
Interest on deposits				
Interest-bearing demand	156	159	302	326
Money market	35	66	73	137
Savings	202	315	426	630
Time	494	612	1,015	1,241
Time of \$100,000 or more	311	375	636	749
Interest on short-term borrowings	27	26	53	53
Interest on long-term debt	63	95	126	339
Total interest expense	1,288	1,648	2,631	3,475
Net interest income	6,336	6,776	12,669	13,582
Provision for loan losses	100	-	100	300
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	6,236	6,776	12,569	13,282
Non-interest income				
Total other-than-temporary impairment loss on investment securities	(43)	_	(43)	
Less: Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income (before taxes)	-	_	( <del>4</del> 3 )	_
Net other-than temporary impairment losses on investment securities	(43)		(43)	_
Net gain on sale of investment securities	136	141	559	530
Net gain on investment securities	93	141	516	530
Fees for services to customers	369	345	735	684
ATM and debit card	378	367	730	731
Bank-owned life insurance	75	78	149	156
Merchant Income	101	101	182	186
Net gain on sale of loans	98	231	323	458
Other	125	63	352	147
Total non-interest income	1,239	1,326	2,987	2,892
	,		*	*

Salaries and employee benefits	2,673	2,548	5,232	5,174
Net occupancy	415	397	851	821
Furniture and equipment	417	373	830	703
Marketing	251	256	490	457
Third party services	386	365	760	704
Telephone, postage and supplies	159	156	340	306
State taxes	173	167	345	327
FDIC insurance premiums	183	162	353	342
Other	434	404	830	845
Total non-interest expense	5,091	4,828	10,031	9,679
Income before income taxes	2,384	3,274	5,525	6,495
Provision for income taxes	490	769	1,223	1,519
Net income	\$1,894	\$2,505	\$4,302	\$4,976
Earnings per share - basic	\$0.58	\$0.79	\$1.33	\$1.56
Earnings per share - diltued	\$0.58	\$0.78	\$1.32	\$1.55
Cash dividends per share	\$0.27	\$0.26	\$0.54	\$0.52

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

# QNB Corp. and Subsidiary

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Three months ended June 30,	(in thous <b>2013</b>	ands - unau	idited)	2012		
	Before	Tax	Net of	Before	Tax	Net of
	tax	expense	tax	tax	expense	tax
	amount	(benefit)	amount	amount	(benefit)	amount
Net income Other comprehensive income:	\$2,384	\$490	\$1,894	\$3,274	\$ 769	\$2,505
Net unrealized holding gains on securities: Unrealized holding (losses) gains arising during the period	(8,231)	(2,799)	(5,432)	396	135	261
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income	(93)	(32)	(61)	(141)	(48)	(93)
Other comprehensive (loss) income Total comprehensive (loss) income	(8,324) \$(5,940)	(2,831) \$(2,341)			87 \$ 856	168 \$ 2,673
Six months ended June 30,	2013			2012		
Six months ended June 30,	2013 Before	Tax	Net of	2012 Before	Tax	Net of
Six months ended June 30,		Tax expense	Net of tax		Tax expense	Net of tax
Six months ended June 30,	Before tax			Before tax		
Net income Other comprehensive income:	Before tax	expense	tax	Before tax	expense	tax
Net income	Before tax amount	expense (benefit) \$1,223	tax amount \$4,302	tax amount \$6,495	expense (benefit)	tax amount
Net income Other comprehensive income: Net unrealized holding gains on securities: Unrealized holding (losses) gains arising during the	Before tax amount \$5,525	expense (benefit) \$ 1,223 (3,159) (176)	tax amount \$4,302 (6,132) (340)	tax amount \$6,495 494 (530)	expense (benefit) \$ 1,519	tax amount \$4,976

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

					Accumulated	l	
(in thousands, except share data -	Number of	Common		Retained	Other	Treasury	
unaudited)	Shares	Stock	Surplus	Earnings	Comprehensiv	ve Stock	Total
	Outstanding				Income (Loss)		
Balance, December 31, 2012	3,228,003	\$ 2,121	\$12,787	\$60,735	\$ 4,456	\$ (2,476)	\$77,623
Net income		-	-	4,302	-	-	4,302
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax		-	-	-	(6,472	) -	(6,472)
Cash dividends declared (\$0.54 per share)		-	-	(1,749)	-	-	(1,749)
Stock issued in connection with dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan	19,498	12	436	-	-	-	448
Stock issued for employee stock purchase plan	1,913	1	39	-	-	-	40
Stock issued for options exercised	4,145	2	10	-	-	-	12
Tax benefit of stock options exercised	,	-	2	-	-	-	2
Stock-based compensation expense		-	30	-	-	-	30
Balance, June 30, 2013	3,253,559	\$ 2,136	\$13,304	\$63,288	\$ (2,016	\$ (2,476)	\$74,236

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	(in thousan unaudited)	ds,
Six months ended June 30,	2013	2012
Operating Activities		
Net income	\$4,302	\$4,976
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	567	472
Provision for loan losses	100	300
Net gain on investment securities available-for-sale	(516)	(530)
Net loss (gain) on sale of repossessed assets	85	(5)
Net gain on sale of loans	(323)	(458)
Proceeds from sales of residential mortgages held-for-sale	10,955	11,942
Origination of residential mortgages held-for-sale	(10,344)	(11,138)
Income on bank-owned life insurance	(149)	(156)
Stock-based compensation expense	30	42
Deferred income tax provision	41	(47)
Net (decrease) increase in income taxes payable	(45)	70
Net increase in accrued interest receivable	(178)	(17)
Amortization of mortgage servicing rights and change in valuation allowance	24	95
Net amortization of premiums and discounts on investment securities	1,073	958
Net decrease in accrued interest payable	(80)	(280)
Decrease (increase) in other assets	3,650	(316)
Decrease in other liabilities	(211)	(64)
Net cash provided by operating activities	8,981	5,844
Investing Activities		
Proceeds from payments, maturities and calls of investment securities available-for-sale	79,731	78,563
held-to-maturity	-	1,040
Proceeds from the sale of investment securities available-for-sale	12,387	15,798
Purchases of investment securities available-for-sale	(81,345)	(105,528)
Proceeds from redemption of investment in restricted bank stock	479	139
Purchase of restricted bank stock	(72)	-
Net increase in loans	(11,959)	(1,933 )
Net purchases of premises and equipment	(1,194)	(1,112)
Proceeds from sales of repossessed assets	50	215
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,923)	(12,818)
Financing Activities		
Net increase in non-interest bearing deposits	997	3,006
Net (decrease) increase in interest-bearing deposits	(6,722)	27,289
Net increase in short-term borrowings	363	2,549
Repayments of long-term debt	(5)	(15,006)
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options	2	4
Cash dividends paid, net of reinvestment	(1,559)	(1,500 )

Proceeds from issuance of common stock	310	340
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(6,614)	16,682
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	444	9,708
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	15,453	10,555
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$15,897	\$20,263
Supplemental Cash Flow Disclosures		
Interest paid	\$2,711	\$3,755
Income taxes paid	1,225	1,490
Non-cash transactions:		
Transfer of loans to repossessed assets or other real estate owned	36	532

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of QNB Corp. and its wholly-owned subsidiary, QNB Bank (the "Bank"). The consolidated entity is referred to herein as "QNB" or the "Company". All significant intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated in the consolidated financial statements.

These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in QNB's 2012 Annual Report incorporated in the Form 10-K. Operating results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2013.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations for the interim period and are of a normal and recurring nature.

Tabular information, other than share and per share data, is presented in thousands of dollars.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the dates of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from such estimates.

The Company has evaluated events and transactions occurring subsequent to the balance sheet date of June 30, 2013, for items that should potentially be recognized or disclosed in these financial statements.

#### 2. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. The amendments in this guidance require an entity to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items in net income if the amount being reclassified is required under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) to be reclassified in its entirety to net income. For other amounts that are not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference other disclosures required under U.S. GAAP that provide additional detail about those amounts. This amendment is effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012 for public companies. The application of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements, but it did result in additional required disclosures that can be found in Note 6.

#### **QNB CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### 3. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

QNB sponsors stock-based compensation plans, administered by a Board Committee, under which both qualified and non-qualified stock options may be granted periodically to certain employees. Compensation cost has been measured using the fair value of an award on the grant date and is recognized over the service period, which is usually the vesting period.

Stock-based compensation expense was approximately \$24,000 and \$26,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$30,000 and \$42,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As of June 30, 2013, there was approximately \$121,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested share-based compensation award grants that is expected to be recognized over the next 31 months.

Options are granted to certain employees at prices equal to the market value of the stock on the date the options are granted. The 1998 Plan authorized the issuance of 220,500 shares. The time period during which any option is exercisable under the Plan is determined by the Committee but shall not commence before the expiration of six months after the date of grant or continue beyond the expiration of ten years after the date the option is awarded. The granted options vest ratably over a three-year period. As of June 30, 2013, there were 225,058 options granted, 30,444 options forfeited, 164,814 options exercised and 29,800 options outstanding under this Plan. The 1998 Plan expired on March 10, 2008.

The 2005 Plan authorizes the issuance of 200,000 shares. The terms of the 2005 Plan are identical to the 1998 Plan, except options expire five years after the grant date. As of June 30, 2013, there were 143,200 options granted, 45,000 options forfeited, 11,100 options exercised, and 87,100 options outstanding under this Plan. The 2005 Plan expires March 15, 2015.

The fair value of each option is amortized into compensation expense on a straight-line basis between the grant date for the option and each vesting date. QNB estimated the fair value of stock options on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The model requires the use of numerous assumptions, many of which are highly subjective in nature.

The following assumptions were used in the option pricing model in determining the fair value of options granted during the period:

Six months ended June 30,	2013	2012
Risk free interest rate	0.35 %	0.39 %
Dividend yield	4.26	4.68
Volatility	34.10	33.81
Expected life (years)	5.00	5.00

The risk-free interest rate was selected based upon yields of U.S. Treasury issues with a term approximating the expected life of the option being valued. Historical information was the primary basis for the selection of the expected dividend yield, expected volatility and expected lives of the options.

The fair market value of options granted in the first six months of 2013 and 2012 was \$4.52 and \$3.81, respectively.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

### 3. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (continued)

Stock option activity during the six months ended June 30, 2013 is as follows:

	Weighted Number average		Weighted average			
			remaining contractual	Aggregate intrinsic		
	of options	exercise	term	value		
		price	(in years)			
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	128,225	22.72				
Granted	20,000	23.20				
Exercised	(28,725	) 20.35				
Forfeited	(2,600	) 19.79				
Outstanding at June 30, 2013	116,900	\$ 23.45	2.41	\$ 370		
Exercisable at June 30, 2013	55,900	\$ 25.52	1.16	\$ 190		

#### 4. SHARE REPURCHASE PLAN

The Board of Directors has authorized the repurchase of up to 100,000 shares of its common stock in open market or privately negotiated transactions. The repurchase authorization does not bear a termination date. There were no shares repurchased during the six months ended June 30, 2013. As of June 30, 2013, 57,883 shares were repurchased under this authorization at an average price of \$16.97 and a total cost of \$982,000.

#### 5. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

	Three months		Six months	
	ended June	30,	ended June	30,
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share - net income	\$1,894	\$2,505	\$4,302	\$4,976
Denominator for basic earnings per share - weighted average shares outstanding	3,243,867	3,190,552	3,238,020	3,185,728
Effect of dilutive securities - employee stock options	12,088	17,774	11,048	14,865
Denominator for diluted earnings per share - adjusted weighted average shares outstanding	3,255,955	3,208,326	3,249,068	3,200,593
Earnings per share - basic	\$0.58	\$0.79	\$1.33	\$1.56
Earnings per share - diluted	\$0.58	\$0.78	\$1.32	\$1.55

There were 49,800 stock options that were anti-dilutive for both the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013. There were 52,300 stock options that were anti-dilutive for both the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012. These stock options were not included in the above calculation.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

### 6. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The following shows the components of accumulated other comprehensive income at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	June 30,	December 31,
	2013	2012
Unrealized net holding (losses) gains on available-for-sale securities	\$(2,184	) \$ 7,736
Unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities for which a portion of an other-than-temporary impairment loss has been recognized in earnings	(871	) (984 )
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(3,055	) 6,752
Tax effect	1,039	(2,296)
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax	\$(2,016	) \$ 4,456

The following table presents amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income for the six months ended June 30, 2013:

	Amount	
Details about accumulated other comprehensive	reclassified from accumulated	Affected line item in the statement of
income	other	income
	comprehensiv	e
	income	
Unrealized net holding gains on available-for-sale securities	\$ 559	Net gain on sale of investment securities

Other-than-temporary losses on investment securities	(43	Net other-than-temporary impairment losses on investment securities
	516	
Tax effect	(176	)Provision for income taxes
Total reclass out of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax	\$ 340	Net of tax

### 7. INVESTMENT SECURITIES

The amortized cost and estimated fair values of investment securities available-for-sale at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

		Gross	Gross	
June 30, 2013	Fair	unrealized	unrealized	Amortized
June 30, 2013	value	holding	holding	cost
		gains	losses	
U.S. Government agency securities	\$70,474	\$ 251	\$ 1,455	\$ 71,678
State and municipal securities	86,715	1,472	1,331	86,574
U.S. Government agencies and sponsored enterprises (GSEs):				
Mortgage-backed securities	126,945	1,493	1,694	127,146
Collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs)	85,678	679	1,483	86,482
Pooled trust preferred securities	2,091	81	1,509	3,519
Corporate debt securities	3,991	16	31	4,006
Equity securities	4,470	526	70	4,014
Total investment securities available-for-sale	\$380,364	\$ 4,518	\$ 7,573	\$ 383,419

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

### 7. INVESTMENT SECURITIES (continued)

		Gross	Gross	
December 31, 2012		unrealized	unrealized	Amortized
		holding	holding	cost
		gains	losses	
U.S. Government agency securities	\$104,130	\$ 750	\$ 19	\$ 103,399
State and municipal securities	86,789	3,141	91	83,739
U.S. Government agencies and sponsored enterprises (GSEs):				
Mortgage-backed securities	107,973	3,169	33	104,837
Collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs)	94,091	1,188	155	93,058
Pooled trust preferred securities	1,962	51	1,608	3,519
Corporate debt securities	2,502	44	-	2,458
Equity securities	4,055	402	87	3,740
Total investment securities available-for-sale	\$401,502	\$ 8,745	\$ 1,993	\$ 394,750

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities available-for-sale by contractual maturity at June 30, 2013 are shown in the following table. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Securities are assigned to categories based on contractual maturity except for mortgage-backed securities and CMOs which are based on the estimated average life of these securities and municipal securities that have been pre-refunded.

June 30, 2013	Fair value	<b>Amortized</b> cost
Due in one year or less	\$9,498	\$ 9,349
Due after one year through five years	202,245	201,228
Due after five years through ten years	119,304	122,291
Due after ten years	44,847	46,537
Equity securities	4,470	4,014
Total investment securities available-for-sale	\$380,364	\$ 383,419

Proceeds from sales of investment securities available-for-sale were approximately \$12,387,000 and \$15,798,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, investment securities available-for-sale totaling approximately \$163,410,000 and \$170,433,000, respectively, were pledged as collateral for repurchase agreements and deposits of public funds.

The following table presents information related to the Company's gains and losses on the sales of equity and debt securities, and losses recognized for the other-than-temporary impairment of these investments. Gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are computed on the specific identification method and included in non-interest income. Gross realized losses on equity and debt securities are net of other-than-temporary impairment charges:

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### 7. INVESTMENT SECURITIES (continued)

	Six m	Six months ended June 30, 2013					Six months ended June 30, 2012						
				Ot	her-than				Other-than-				
	Gross	Gro	SS				Gross	$\mathbf{G}$	ross				
	realize	edeali	zed	temporary tempora		realizedrealized		ary	Net				
	Teamze	dean	Zcu	im	pairment	gains	realizedealized		u	impairment		gains	
	gains	loss	es				gains	lo	sses				
				los	ses						losses		
Equity securities	\$369	\$	-	\$	(43	) \$326	\$427	\$	-		\$	-	\$ 427
Debt securities	190		-		-	190	107		(4	)		-	103
Total	\$559	\$	-	\$	(43	) \$516	\$534	\$	(4	)	\$	-	\$ 530

The tax expense applicable to the net realized gains for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 amounted to approximately \$176,000 and \$180,000, respectively.

QNB recognizes OTTI for debt securities classified as available-for-sale in accordance with FASB ASC 320, *Investments – Debt and Equity Securities*, which requires that we assess whether we intend to sell or it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell a security before recovery of its amortized cost basis less any current-period credit losses. For debt securities that are considered other-than-temporarily impaired and that we do not intend to sell and will not be required to sell prior to recovery of our amortized cost basis, the amount of the impairment is separated into the amount that is credit related (credit loss component) and the amount due to all other factors. The credit loss component is recognized in earnings and is the difference between the security's amortized cost basis and the present value of its expected future cash flows discounted at the security's effective yield. The remaining difference between the security's fair value and the present value of future expected cash flows is due to factors that are not credit related and, therefore, is not required to be recognized as a loss in the income statement, but is recognized in other comprehensive income. For equity securities, once a decline in value is determined to be other-than-temporary, the value of the equity security is reduced to fair value and a corresponding charge to earnings is recognized. QNB believes that we will fully collect the carrying value of securities on which we have recorded a non-credit related impairment in other comprehensive income.

The following table presents a roll forward of the credit loss component recognized in earnings. The credit loss component of the amortized cost represents the difference between the present value of expected future cash flows and

the amortized cost basis of the security prior to considering credit losses. The beginning balance represents the credit loss component for debt securities for which OTTI occurred prior to the beginning of the year. Credit-impaired debt securities must be presented in two components based upon whether the current period is the first time the debt security was credit-impaired (initial credit impairment) or is not the first time the debt security was credit-impaired (subsequent credit impairments). No credit impairments were recognized on debt securities in the first six months of 2013 or 2012.

The following table presents a summary of the cumulative credit-related other-than-temporary impairment charges recognized as components of earnings for debt securities still held by QNB:

Six months ended June 30,	2013	2012
Balance, beginning of period	\$1,271	\$1,279
Reductions: gain on payoff	-	-
Additions:		
Initial credit impairments	-	-
Subsequent credit impairments	-	-
Balance, end of period	\$1,271	\$1,279

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

### 7. INVESTMENT SECURITIES (continued)

The amortized cost and estimated fair values of investment securities held-to-maturity at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

<b>Held-To-Maturity</b>	He	ld-'	To-	Ma	tui	ritv
-------------------------	----	------	-----	----	-----	------

•	June 3	30, 2013 Gross	Gross		Decen	nber 31, 201 Gross	2 Gross	
	Amor	<b>tized</b> alized	unrealized	Fair	Amor	<b>tized</b> alized	unrealized	Fair
	cost	holding	holding	value	cost	holding	holding	value
State and municipal securities	\$146	gains \$ 17	losses	\$163	\$146	gains \$ 20	losses	\$166

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities held-to-maturity by contractual maturity at June 30, 2013 are shown in the following table. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

June 30, 2013	Fair	Amortized		
<b>(</b>	value	co	est	
Due in one year or less	-		-	
Due after one year through five years	\$ 163	\$	146	
Due after five years through ten years	-		-	
Due after ten years	-		-	
Total investment securities held-to-maturity	\$ 163	\$	146	

There were no sales of investment securities classified as held-to-maturity during the six months ended June 30, 2013 or 2012.

The following table indicates the length of time individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

June 30, 2013

		Less than months	12	12 mon longer	ths or	Total		
	No. of	Fair	Unrealize	ed Fair	Unrealize	ed Fair	Unrealized	
	securitie	es value	losses	value	losses	value	losses	
U.S. Government agency securities	43	\$53,172	\$ 1,455	-	-	\$53,172	\$ 1,455	
State and municipal securities	73	32,706	1,331	-	-	32,706	1,331	
U.S. Government agencies and sponsored enterprises (GSEs):								
Mortgage-backed securities	45	68,335	1,694	-	-	68,335	1,694	
Collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs)	45	57,461	1,458	\$1,507	\$ 25	58,968	1,483	
Pooled trust preferred securities	5	-	-	1,708	1,509	1,708	1,509	
Corporate debt securities	3	2,972	31	-	-	2,972	31	
Equity securities	6	675	47	133	23	808	70	
Total	220	\$215,321	\$ 6,016	\$3,348	\$ 1,557	\$218,669	\$ 7,573	

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### 7. INVESTMENT SECURITIES (continued)

#### **December 31, 2012**

2000	Less tha months			12 months or longer		Total	
	No. of	Fair	Unrealize	edFair	Unrealized Fair		Unrealized
	securitie	esvalue	losses	value	losses	value	losses
U.S. Government agency securities	4	\$3,992	\$ 19	-	-	\$3,992	\$ 19
State and municipal securities	15	6,472	91	-	-	6,472	91
U.S. Government agencies and sponsored enterprises (GSEs):							
Mortgage-backed securities	9	13,439	33	-	-	13,439	33
Collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs)	19	28,396	155	-	-	28,396	155
Pooled trust preferred securities	5	-	-	\$1,609	\$ 1,608	1,609	1,608
Equity securities	7	587	45	272	42	859	87
Total	59	\$52,886	\$ 343	\$1,881	\$ 1,650	\$54,767	\$ 1,993

Management evaluates debt securities, which are comprised of U.S. Government agencies, state and municipalities, mortgage-backed securities, CMOs and corporate debt securities, for other-than-temporary impairment and considers the current economic conditions, the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, interest rates and the bond rating of each security. The unrealized losses at June 30, 2013 in U.S. Government securities, state and municipal securities, mortgage-backed securities, CMOs and corporate debt securities are primarily the result of rising interest rates late in the second quarter. If held to maturity, these bonds will mature at par, and QNB will not realize a loss. The Company has the intent to hold the securities and does not believe it will be required to sell the securities before recovery occurs.

QNB holds seven pooled trust preferred securities as of June 30, 2013. These securities have a total amortized cost of approximately \$3,519,000 and a fair value of \$2,091,000. Five of the seven securities have been in an unrealized loss position for more than twelve months. All of the pooled trust preferred securities are available-for-sale securities and are carried at fair value.

The following table provides additional information related to pooled trust preferred securities (PreTSLs) as of June 30, 2013:

					F	Realized Total			C			
Deal	Class	Book value	Fair value	Unrealize gains (losses)	ed c	)TT	T	zedMoody's /Fitch ratings	number of perform	numbe enof perform ming	Actual relation of total actual collateral	Total performing collateral as a % of outstanding
					,	YTI 2013	LOCC		banks	compa		Donas
PreTSL IV	Mezzanine*	\$243	\$201	\$ (42	) \$	· -	\$(1	)Caa2/CCC	5	-	18.0 %	139.5 %
PreTSL V	Mezzanine*	-	-	-		-	(118	)C/D	-	-	100.0	12.5
PreTSL XVII	Mezzanine	752	439	(313	)	-	(222	)C/C	30	4	38.8	71.2
PreTSL XIX	Mezzanine	988	431	(557	)	-	-	C/C	35	13	22.9	81.8
PreTSL XXV	Mezzanine	766	356	(410	)	-	(222	)C/C	41	6	33.5	79.8
PreTSL XXVI	Mezzanine	469	282	(187	)	-	(270	)C/C	40	7	30.2	83.5
PreTSL XXVI	Mezzanine	301	382	81		-	(438	)C/C	40	7	30.2	83.5
		\$3,519	\$2,091	\$(1,428)	) \$	<b>.</b> -	\$(1,271	)				

Mezzanine\* - only class of bonds still outstanding (represents the senior-most obligation of the trust)

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

# 7. INVESTMENT SECURITIES (continued)

The market for these securities at June 30, 2013 is not active and markets for similar securities also are not active. The inactivity was evidenced first by a significant widening of the bid-ask spread in the brokered markets in which pooled trust preferred securities trade and then by a significant decrease in the volume of trades relative to historical levels. The new issue market is also inactive and the market values for these securities (and any securities other than those issued or guaranteed by U.S. Government agencies) are depressed relative to historical levels. In today's market, a low market price for a particular bond may only provide evidence of a recent widening of corporate spreads in general versus being an indicator of credit problems with a particular issuer. Lack of liquidity in the market for trust preferred collateralized debt obligations, credit rating downgrades and market uncertainties related to the financial industry are all factors contributing to the temporary impairment of these securities. Although these securities are classified as available-for-sale, the Company has the intent to hold the securities and does not believe it will be required to sell the securities before recovery occurs. As illustrated in the table above, these securities are comprised mainly of securities issued by banks, and to a lesser degree, insurance companies. QNB owns the mezzanine tranches of these securities.

On a quarterly basis we evaluate our debt securities for other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI), which involves the use of a third-party valuation firm to assist management with the valuation. When evaluating these investments a credit-related portion and a non-credit related portion of OTTI are determined. The credit related portion is recognized in earnings and represents the expected shortfall in future cash flows. The non-credit related portion is recognized in other comprehensive income and represents the difference between the book value and the fair value of the security less any current quarter credit related impairment. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, no other-than-temporary impairment charges representing credit impairment were recognized on our pooled trust preferred collateralized debt obligations. A discounted cash flow analysis provides the best estimate of credit related OTTI for these securities. Additional information related to this analysis follows:

All of the pooled trust preferred collateralized debt obligations held by QNB are rated lower than AA and are measured for OTTI within the scope of ASC 325 (formerly known as EITF 99-20), *Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased Beneficial Interests and Beneficial Interests That Continue to be Held by a Transferor in Securitized Financial Assets, and Amendments to the Impairment Guidance of EITF Issue No. 99-20 (formerly known as EITF 99-20-1).* QNB performs a discounted cash flow analysis on all of its impaired debt securities to determine if the amortized cost basis of an impaired security will be recovered. In determining whether a credit loss exists, QNB uses its best estimate of the present value of cash flows expected to be collected from the debt security and discounts them at the effective yield implicit in the security at the date of acquisition or the prospective yield for those securities with prior OTTI charges. The discounted cash flow analysis is considered to be the primary evidence

when determining whether credit related other-than-temporary impairment exists.

Results of a discounted cash flow test are significantly affected by other variables such as the estimate of future cash flows (including prepayments), credit worthiness of the underlying banks and insurance companies and determination of probability and severity of default of the underlying collateral. The following provides additional information for each of these variables:

Estimate of Future Cash Flows – Cash flows are constructed in an INTEXcalc valuation model. INTEX is a proprietary cash flow model recognized as the industry standard for analyzing all types of structured debt products. It includes each deal's structural features updated with trustee information, including asset-by-asset detail, as it becomes available. The modeled cash flows are then used to determine if all the scheduled principal and interest payments of the investments will be returned. For purposes of the cash flow analysis, relatively modest rates of prepayment were forecasted (ranging from 0-1%). In addition to the base prepayment assumption, due to the recent enactment of the Dodd-Frank financial legislation additional prepayment analysis was performed. First, trust preferred securities issued by banks with more than \$15 billion in total assets at December 31, 2009 were identified. The current credit rating of these institutions was reviewed and it was assumed that any issuer with an investment grade credit rating would prepay their issuance as soon as possible, or July 1, 2015 for bank holding company subsidiaries of foreign banking organizations that have relied on Supervision and Regulation Letter SR-01-1. For those institutions rated below investment grade the holding companies' approximate cost of long-term funding given their rating and marketplace interest rate was estimated. The following assumption was made; any holding company that could refinance for a cost savings of more than 2% will refinance and will do so as soon as possible, or July 1, 2015. Finally, for issuers not impacted by the Tier 1 regulatory capital legislation enacted by the Dodd-Frank act, we identified the issuers that have shown a recent history of prepayment of both floating rate and fixed rate issues and assumed these issuers will prepay as soon as possible.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### 7. INVESTMENT SECURITIES (continued)

Credit Analysis – A quarterly credit evaluation is performed for the companies comprising the collateral across the various pooled trust preferred securities. This credit evaluation considers all available evidence and focuses on capitalization, asset quality, profitability, liquidity, stock price performance, whether the institution has received TARP funding and whether the institution has shown the ability to raise capital.

Probability of Default – A near-term probability of default is determined for each issuer based on its financial condition and is used to calculate the expected impact of future deferrals and defaults on the expected cash flows. Each issuer in the collateral pool is assigned a near-term probability of default based on individual performance and financial characteristics. Various studies suggest that the rate of bank failures between 1934 and 2008 were approximately 0.36%. Thus, in addition to the specific bank default assumptions used for the near term, future defaults on the individual banks in the analysis for 2013 and beyond the rate used is calculated based on using the above mentioned thirty-six basis points and factoring that number based on a comparison of key financial ratios of active individual issuers without a short-term probability of default compared to all FDIC insured banks. Severity of Loss – In addition to the probability of default discussed above, a severity of loss (projected recovery) is determined in all cases. In the current analysis, the severity of loss ranges from 0% to 100% depending on the estimated credit worthiness of the individual issuer, with a 95% severity of loss utilized for defaults projected in 2013 and thereafter.

In addition to the above factors, the evaluation of impairment also includes a stress test analysis which provides an estimate of future risk for each tranche. This stressed breakpoint is then compared to the level of assets with credit concerns in each tranche. This comparison allows management to identify those pools that are at a greater risk for a future adverse change in cash flows so the asset quality in those pools can be monitored more closely for potential deterioration of credit quality.

Based upon the analysis performed by management as of June 30, 2013, it is probable that we will collect all contractual principal and interest payments on one of our seven pooled trust preferred securities, PreTSL XIX. The expected principal shortfall on the remaining pooled trust preferred securities has resulted in credit related other-than-temporary impairment charges in previous years. All of these pooled trust preferred securities held by QNB could be subject to additional writedowns in the future if additional deferrals and defaults occur.

#### 8. LOANS & ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or pay-off are stated at the principal amount outstanding, net of deferred loan fees and costs. Interest income is accrued on the principal amount outstanding. Loan origination and commitment fees and related direct costs are deferred and amortized to income over the term of the respective loan and loan commitment period as a yield adjustment.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

### 8. LOANS & ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

Loans held-for-sale consists of residential mortgage loans that are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or fair value. Net unrealized losses, if any, are recognized through a valuation allowance charged to income. Gains and losses on residential mortgages held-for-sale are included in non-interest income.

QNB maintains an allowance for loan losses, which is intended to absorb probable known and inherent losses in the outstanding loan portfolio. The allowance is reduced by actual credit losses and is increased by the provision for loan losses and recoveries of previous losses. The provisions for loan losses are charged to earnings to bring the total allowance for loan losses to a level considered necessary by management.

The allowance for loan losses is based on management's continuing review and evaluation of the loan portfolio. The level of the allowance is determined by assigning specific reserves to individually identified problem credits and general reserves to all other loans. For such loans that are also classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. The portion of the allowance that is allocated to internally criticized and non-accrual loans is determined by estimating the inherent loss on each credit after giving consideration to the value of underlying collateral. The general component covers pools of loans by loan class including commercial loans not considered impaired, as well as smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as residential real estate, home equity and other consumer loans. These pools of loans are evaluated for loss exposure based upon historical loss rates. These loss rates are based on a three year history of charge-offs and are more heavily weighted for recent experience for each of these categories of loans, adjusted for qualitative factors. These qualitative risk factors include:

- 1. Lending policies and procedures, including underwriting standards and collection, charge-off and recovery practices.
- 2. Effect of external factors, such as legal and regulatory requirements.
- 3. National, regional, and local economic and business conditions as well as the condition of various market segments, including the value of underlying collateral for collateral dependent loans.
- 4. Nature and volume of the portfolio including growth.
- 5. Experience, ability, and depth of lending management and staff.
- 6. Volume and severity of past due, classified and nonaccrual loans.
- 7. Quality of the Company's loan review system, and the degree of oversight by the Company's Board of Directors.
- 8. Existence and effect of any concentrations of credit and changes in the level of such concentrations.

Each factor is assigned a value to reflect improving, stable or declining conditions based on management's best judgment using relevant information available at the time of the evaluation.

An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

Management emphasizes loan quality and close monitoring of potential problem credits. Credit risk identification and review processes are utilized in order to assess and monitor the degree of risk in the loan portfolio. QNB's lending and credit administration staff are charged with reviewing the loan portfolio and identifying changes in the economy or in a borrower's circumstances which may affect the ability to repay debt or the value of pledged collateral. A loan classification and review system exists that identifies those loans with a higher than normal risk of uncollectibility. Each commercial loan is assigned a grade based upon an assessment of the borrower's financial capacity to service the debt and the presence and value of collateral for the loan. An independent loan review group tests risk assessments and evaluates the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Management meets monthly to review the credit quality of the loan portfolio and quarterly to review the allowance for loan losses.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### 8. LOANS & ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review QNB's allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require QNB to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgments using information available to them at the time of their examination.

Management believes that it uses the best information available to make determinations about the adequacy of the allowance and that it has established its existing allowance for loan losses in accordance with GAAP. If circumstances differ substantially from the assumptions used in making determinations, future adjustments to the allowance for loan losses may be necessary and results of operations could be affected. Because future events affecting borrowers and collateral cannot be predicted with certainty, there can be no assurance that increases to the allowance will not be necessary should the quality of any loans deteriorate as a result of the factors discussed above.

Major classes of loans are as follows:

	June 30,	December 31,
	2013	2012
Commercial:		
Commercial and industrial	\$111,619	\$100,063
Construction	10,557	11,061
Secured by commercial real estate	192,689	192,867
Secured by residential real estate	44,102	41,003
State and political subdivisions	32,552	34,256
Loans to depository institutions	2,250	3,250
Indirect lease financing	8,703	9,685
Retail:		
1-4 family residential mortgages	27,774	28,733
Home equity loans and lines	56,777	54,860
Consumer	2,186	2,012
Total loans	489,209	477,790

Net unearned costs (fees) 6 (57) Loans receivable \$489.215 \$477.733

Loans secured by commercial real estate include all loans collateralized at least in part by commercial real estate. These loans may not be for the expressed purpose of conducting commercial real estate transactions.

Overdrafts are reclassified as loans and are included in consumer loans above and total loans on the balance sheet. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, overdrafts were approximately \$83,000 and \$103,000, respectively.

QNB generally lends in its trade area which is comprised of Quakertown and the surrounding communities. To a large extent, QNB makes loans collateralized at least in part by real estate. Its lending activities could be affected by changes in the general economy, the regional economy, or real estate values. Other than disclosed in the table above, at June 30, 2013, there were no concentrations of loans exceeding 10% of total loans.

The Company engages in a variety of lending activities, including commercial, residential real estate and consumer transactions. The Company focuses its lending activities on individuals, professionals and small to medium sized businesses. Risks associated with lending activities include economic conditions and changes in interest rates, which can adversely impact both the ability of borrowers to repay their loans and the value of the associated collateral.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### 8. LOANS & ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

Commercial and industrial loans, commercial real estate loans, construction loans and residential real estate loans with a business purpose are generally perceived as having more risk of default than residential real estate loans with a personal purpose and consumer loans. These types of loans involve larger loan balances to a single borrower or groups of related borrowers and are more susceptible to a risk of loss during a downturn in the business cycle. These loans may involve greater risk because the availability of funds to repay these loans depends on the successful operation of the borrower's business. The assets financed are used within the business for its ongoing operation. Repayment of these kinds of loans generally comes from the cash flow of the business or the ongoing conversions of assets, such as accounts receivable and inventory, to cash. Typical collateral for commercial and industrial loans includes the borrower's accounts receivable, inventory and machinery and equipment. Commercial real estate and residential real estate loans secured for a business purpose are originated primarily within the eastern Pennsylvania market area at conservative loan-to-value ratios and often backed by the individual guarantees of the borrowers or owners. Repayment of this kind of loan is dependent upon either the ongoing cash flow of the borrowing entity or the resale of or lease of the subject property. Commercial real estate loans may be affected to a greater extent than residential loans by adverse conditions in real estate markets or the economy because commercial real estate borrowers' ability to repay their loans depends on successful development of their properties, as well as the factors affecting residential real estate borrowers.

Loans to state and political subdivisions are tax-exempt or taxable loans to municipalities, school districts and housing and industrial development authorities. These loans can be general obligations of the municipality or school district repaid through their taxing authority, revenue obligations repaid through the income generated by the operations of the authority, such as a water or sewer authority, or loans issued to a housing and industrial development agency, for which a private corporation is responsible for payments on the loans.

Loans to depository institutions consist of a loan to a commercial bank in Lehigh County, Pennsylvania. This loan is secured by shares of common stock of the borrowing institution.

Indirect lease financing receivables represent loans to small businesses that are collateralized by equipment. These loans tend to have higher risk characteristics but generally provide higher rates of return. These loans are originated by a third party and purchased by QNB based on criteria specified by QNB. The criteria include minimum credit scores of the borrower, term of the lease, type and age of equipment financed and geographic area. The geographic area

primarily represents states contiguous to Pennsylvania. QNB is not the lessor and does not service these loans.

The Company originates fixed-rate and adjustable-rate real estate-residential mortgage loans for personal purposes that are secured by first liens on the underlying 1-4 family residential properties. Credit risk exposure in this area of lending is minimized by the evaluation of the credit worthiness of the borrower, including debt-to-income ratios, credit scores and adherence to underwriting policies that emphasize conservative loan-to-value ratios of generally no more than 80%. Residential mortgage loans granted in excess of the 80% loan-to-value ratio criterion are generally insured by private mortgage insurance.

The real estate-home equity portfolio consists of fixed-rate home equity loans and variable-rate home equity lines of credit. Risks associated with loans secured by residential properties are generally lower than commercial loans and include general economic risks, such as the strength of the job market, employment stability and the strength of the housing market. Since most loans are secured by a primary or secondary residence, the borrower's continued employment is the greatest risk to repayment.

The Company offers a variety of loans to individuals for personal and household purposes. Consumer loans are generally considered to have greater risk than first or second mortgages on real estate because they may be unsecured, or, if they are secured, the value of the collateral may be difficult to assess and is more likely to decrease in value than real estate.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### 8. LOANS & ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

Credit risk in this portfolio is controlled by conservative underwriting standards that consider debt-to-income levels and the creditworthiness of the borrower and, if secured, collateral values.

The Company employs an eight (8) grade risk rating system related to the credit quality of commercial loans, loans to state and political subdivisions and indirect lease financing of which the first four categories are pass categories (credits not adversely rated). The following is a description of the internal risk ratings and the likelihood of loss related to each risk rating.

- 1 Excellent no apparent risk
- 2 Good minimal risk
- 3 Acceptable average risk
- 4 Watch List greater than average risk
- 5 Special Mention potential weaknesses
- 6 Substandard well defined weaknesses
- 7 Doubtful full collection unlikely
- 8 Loss considered uncollectible

The Company maintains a loan review system, which allows for a periodic review of our loan portfolio and the early identification of potential problem loans. Each loan officer assigns a rating to all loans in the portfolio at the time the loan is originated. Loans with risk ratings of one through three are reviewed annually based on the borrower's fiscal year. Loans with risk ratings of four are reviewed every six to twelve months based on the dollar amount of the relationship with the borrower. Loans with risk ratings of five through eight are reviewed at least quarterly, and as often as monthly, at management's discretion. The Company also utilizes an outside loan review firm to review the portfolio on a semi-annual basis to provide the Board of Directors and senior management an independent review of the Bank's loan portfolio on an ongoing basis. These reviews are designed to recognize deteriorating credits in their

earliest stages in an effort to reduce and control risk in the lending function as well as identifying potential shifts in the quality of the loan portfolio. The examinations by the outside loan review firm include the review of lending activities with respect to underwriting and processing new loans, monitoring the risk of existing loans and to provide timely follow-up and corrective action for loans showing signs of deterioration in quality. In addition, the outside firm reviews the methodology for the allowance for loan losses to determine compliance to policy and regulatory guidance.

The following tables present the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aggregate pass rating and the classified ratings of special mention, substandard and doubtful within the Company's internal risk rating system as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

		Special				
June 30, 2013	Pass		Substandard	Doubtful	Total	
		mention				
Commercial:						
Commercial and industrial	\$104,329	\$ 1,363	\$ 5,927	\$ -	\$111,619	
Construction	7,572	920	2,065	-	10,557	
Secured by commercial real estate	161,592	4,118	26,979	-	192,689	
Secured by residential real estate	39,413	27	4,662	-	44,102	
State and political subdivisions	30,239	-	2,313	-	32,552	
Loans to depository institutions	2,250	-	-	-	2,250	
Indirect lease financing	8,471	-	232	-	8,703	
-	\$353,866	\$ 6,428	\$ 42,178	\$ -	\$402,472	

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

### 8. LOANS & ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

		Special			
<b>December 31, 2012</b>	Pass		Substandard	Doubtful	Total
		mention			
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	\$88,427	\$3,843	\$ 7,763	\$ 30	\$100,063
Construction	5,558	1,513	3,990	-	11,061
Secured by commercial real estate	157,678	7,493	27,696	-	192,867
Secured by residential real estate	36,078	1,199	3,726	-	41,003
State and political subdivisions	32,303	-	1,953	-	34,256
Loans to depository institutions	3,250	-	-	-	3,250
Indirect lease financing	9,329	-	356	-	9,685
	\$332,623	\$14,048	\$ 45,484	\$ 30	\$392,185

For retail loans, the Company evaluates credit quality based on the performance of the individual credits. The following tables present the recorded investment in the retail classes of the loan portfolio based on payment activity as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

		N	on-	Total	
June 30, 2013	Performing	Performing performing			
Retail:					
1-4 family residential mortgages	\$ 27,454	\$	320	\$27,774	
Home equity loans and lines	56,538		239	56,777	
Consumer	2,186		-	2,186	
	\$ 86,178	\$	559	\$86,737	

December 31, 2012	Performing	No	on-	Total
December 31, 2012	1 criorining	performing		1 Otal
Retail:				
1-4 family residential mortgages	\$ 28,398	\$	335	\$28,733
Home equity loans and lines	54,514		346	54,860
Consumer	2,012		-	2,012

\$ 84,924 \$ 681 \$85,605

The performance and credit quality of the loan portfolio is also monitored by analyzing the age of the loans receivable as determined by the length of time a recorded payment is past due. The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the past due status as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

# 8. LOANS & ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

June 30, 2013	30-59 days past due	60-89 days past due	90 days or more past due	Total past due loans	Current	Total loans receivable
Commercial: Commercial and industrial Construction Secured by commercial real estate Secured by residential real estate State and political subdivisions Loans to depository institutions Indirect lease financing Retail: 1-4 family residential mortgages Home equity loans and lines Consumer	\$306 - 244 1,401 27 - 50 - 117 28 \$2,173	\$37 - 440 537 - - 52 - 91 6 \$1,163	\$4,332 - 425 - 32 153 28 - \$4,970	\$343 - 5,016 1,938 452 - 134 153 236 34 \$8,306	\$111,276 10,557 187,673 42,164 32,100 2,250 8,569 27,621 56,541 2,152 \$480,903	\$ 111,619 10,557 192,689 44,102 32,552 2,250 8,703 27,774 56,777 2,186 \$ 489,209
December 31, 2012  Commercial:	30-59 days past due	60-89 days past due	90 days or more past due	Total past due loans	Current	Total loans receivable
Commercial and industrial Construction Secured by commercial real estate	\$76 - 407	- - \$1,460	- \$3,097	\$76 - 4,964	\$99,987 11,061 187,903	\$ 100,063 11,061 192,867

Edgar Fil	ling: QNB	CORP -	Form	10-Q
-----------	-----------	--------	------	------

Secured by residential real estate	44	523	293	860	40,143	41,003
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		323	293		,	,
State and political subdivisions	71	1	-	72	34,184	34,256
Loans to depository institutions	-	-	-	-	3,250	3,250
Indirect lease financing	344	80	35	459	9,226	9,685
Retail:						
1-4 family residential mortgages	-	197	-	197	28,536	28,733
Home equity loans and lines	152	153	197	502	54,358	54,860
Consumer	33	11	-	44	1,968	2,012
	\$1,127	\$2,425	\$3,622	\$7,174	\$470,616	\$477,790

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

### 8. LOANS & ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

The following tables disclose the recorded investment in loans receivable that are either on non-accrual status or past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

June 30, 2013	m d	days or ore past ue (still ecruing)	N	on-accrual
Commercial:				
Commercial and industrial		-	\$	5,535
Construction		-		2,029
Secured by commercial real estate		-		6,408
Secured by residential real estate		-		2,698
State and political subdivisions	\$	425		-
Loans to depository institutions		-		-
Indirect lease financing		17		72
Retail:				
1-4 family residential mortgages		-		320
Home equity loans and lines		-		239
Consumer		-		_
	\$	442	\$	17,301

December 31, 2012		days or re past e (still ruing)	Non-accrual	
Commercial:				
Commercial and industrial	\$	-	\$ 6,174	
Construction		-	2,480	
Secured by commercial real estate		-	6,748	
Secured by residential real estate		-	2,390	

State and political subdivisions	-	1
Loans to depository institutions	-	-
Indirect lease financing	-	98

Retail:

1-4 family residential mortgages - 335 Home equity loans and lines - 346 Consumer - -

\$ - \$ 18,572

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

# 8. LOANS & ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

Activity in the allowance for loan losses for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	Balance,	Provision for	n				Balance,
Three months ended June 30, 2013	beginning of	(credit to)	Ch	Charge-offs Recoveries		coveries	end of
	period	loan losses					period
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	\$ 2,314	\$ (328	)	-	\$	8	\$ 1,994
Construction	230	12		-		-	242
Secured by commercial real estate	3,873	42		-		1	3,916
Secured by residential real estate	1,146	246		-		45	1,437
State and political subdivisions	257	20		-		-	277
Loans to depository institutions	10	-		-		-	10
Indirect lease financing	179	(29	)	-		6	156
Retail:							
1-4 family residential mortgages	300	(11	)	-		-	289
Home equity loans and lines	714	24	\$	(79)	)	5	664
Consumer	29	5		(13)	)	7	28
Unallocated	299	119	N/.	A	N/.	A	418
	\$ 9,351	\$ 100	\$	(92)	\$	72	\$ 9,431

Three months ended June 30, 2012 begin of period	nning (credit to)	Charge-offs	Recoveries	Balance, end of period
--	----------------------	-------------	------------	---------------------------------

Edgar Filing: QNB CORP - Form 10-Q

Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 3,317	\$ 164	\$ (74	) \$ 60	\$ 3,467
Construction	333	20	-	-	353
Secured by commercial real estate	3,126	2	-	62	3,190
Secured by residential real estate	773	88	(50	) -	811
State and political subdivisions	301	(79	) -	-	222
Loans to depository institutions	20	-	-	-	20
Indirect lease financing	238	21	(13	) 22	268
Retail:					
1-4 family residential mortgages	303	(5	) -	2	300
Home equity loans and lines	547	37	(1	) 12	595
Consumer	17	10	(11	) 2	18
Unallocated	481	(258	) N/A	N/A	223
	\$ 9,456	\$ -	\$ (149	) \$ 160	\$ 9,467

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

# 8. LOANS & ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

Activity in the allowance for loan losses for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	Balance,	Provision for	n				Balance,	
Six months ended June 30, 2013	beginning of	(credit to) Charge-offs			I	Recoveries	end of	
	period	loan losses					period	
Commercial:								
Commercial and industrial	\$ 2,505	\$ (526	)	-	\$	3 15	\$ 1,994	
Construction	209	33		-		-	242	
Secured by commercial real estate	3,795	120		-		1	3,916	
Secured by residential real estate	1,230	498	\$	(336	)	45	1,437	
State and political subdivisions	260	17		-		-	277	
Loans to depository institutions	15	(5	)	-		-	10	
Indirect lease financing	168	(27	)	(1	)	16	156	
Retail:								
1-4 family residential mortgages	324	(35	)	-		-	289	
Home equity loans and lines	582	248		(172	)	6	664	
Consumer	27	16		(34	)	19	28	
Unallocated	657	(239	) N	/A	1	N/A	418	
	\$ 9,772	\$ 100	\$	(543	) \$	5 102	\$ 9,431	

	Balance,	Provision for			Balance,	
Six months ended June 30, 2012	beginning of	(credit to)	Charge-offs	Recoveries	end of	
	period	loan losses			period	

Edgar Filing: QNB CORP - Form 10-Q

Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial	\$ 2,959	\$ 520	\$	(74	) \$	62	\$ 3,467
Construction	556	(203	)	-		-	353
Secured by commercial real estate	3,124	4		-		62	3,190
Secured by residential real estate	746	151		(86	)	-	811
State and political subdivisions	195	27		-		-	222
Loans to depository institutions	20	-		-		-	20
Indirect lease financing	312	(47	)	(23	)	26	268
Retail:							
1-4 family residential mortgages	249	70		(21	)	2	300
Home equity loans and lines	625	(23	)	(19	)	12	595
Consumer	20	13		(20	)	5	18
Unallocated	435	(212	) N	/A	N.	/A	223
	\$ 9,241	\$ 300	\$	(243	) \$	169	\$ 9,467

As previously discussed, the Company maintains a loan review system, which includes a continuous review of the loan portfolio by internal and external parties to aid in the early identification of potential impaired loans. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis for

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### 8. LOANS & ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

commercial loans, loans to state and political subdivisions and indirect lease financing loans by using either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, the Company does not separately identify individual consumer and residential mortgage loans for impairment disclosures, unless such loans are part of a larger relationship that is impaired, or are classified as a troubled debt restructuring.

An allowance for loan losses is established for an impaired loan if its carrying value exceeds its estimated fair value. The estimated fair values of the majority of the Company's impaired loans are measured based on the estimated fair value of the loan's collateral.

For commercial loans secured by real estate, estimated fair values are determined primarily through third-party appraisals. When a real estate secured loan becomes impaired, a decision is made regarding whether an updated certified appraisal of the real estate is necessary. This decision is based on various considerations, including the age of the most recent appraisal, the loan-to-value ratio based on the original appraisal and the condition of the property. Appraised values are discounted to arrive at the estimated selling price of the collateral, which is considered to be the estimated fair value. The discounts also include estimated costs to sell the property.

For commercial loans secured by non-real estate collateral, such as accounts receivable, inventory and equipment, estimated fair values are determined based on the borrower's financial statements, inventory reports, accounts receivable agings or equipment appraisals or invoices. Indications of value from these sources are generally discounted based on the age of the financial information or the quality of the assets.

From time to time, QNB may extend, restructure, or otherwise modify the terms of existing loans, on a case-by-case basis, to remain competitive and retain certain customers, as well as assist other customers that may be experiencing

financial difficulties. A loan is considered to be a troubled debt restructuring ("TDR") loan when the Company grants a concession to the borrower because of the borrower's financial condition that it would not otherwise consider. Such concessions include the reduction of interest rates, forgiveness of principal or interest, or other modifications of interest rates to less than the current market rate for new obligations with similar risk. Loans classified as TDRs are considered non-performing and are also designated as impaired.

The concessions made for TDRs involve lowering the monthly payments on loans through periods of interest only payments, a reduction in interest rate below a market rate or an extension of the term of the loan without a corresponding adjustment to the risk premium reflected in the interest rate, or a combination of these three methods. The restructurings rarely result in the forgiveness of principal or accrued interest. If the borrower has demonstrated performance under the previous terms and our underwriting process shows the borrower has the capacity to continue to perform under the restructured terms, the loan will continue to accrue interest. Non-accruing restructured loans may be returned to accrual status when there has been a sustained period of repayment performance (generally six consecutive months of payments) and both principal and interest are deemed collectible. TDR loans that are in compliance with their modified terms and that yield a market rate may be removed from the TDR status after a period of performance.

QNB assesses all loan restructurings under the guidance of ASU 2011-02. Performing TDRs (not reported as non-accrual or past due 90 days or more and still accruing) totaled \$4,294,000 and \$2,578,000 as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Non-performing TDRs totaled \$3,234,000 and \$3,299,000 as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. All TDRs are included in impaired loans presented in the section above.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

### 8. LOANS & ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

The following table presents loans by loan class modified as TDRs during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. The pre-modification and post-modification outstanding recorded investments disclosed in the tables below, represent carrying amounts immediately prior to the modification and at June 30, 2013 and 2012.

Three months ended June 30,	2013 Pre-		Pos	st-	2012 Pre-			Post-		
	Nu of				dification standing	Nu of		ber lodification utstanding		odification itstanding
	col		ets orded estment		orded estment	co		acts ecorded evestment		corded vestment
Commercial:					0.000000			., 0001110110		, 0,000000
Commercial and industrial	-		-		-	2	\$	482	\$	476
Secured by commercial real estate Retail:	-		-		-	1		2,380		2,380
1-4 family residential mortgages	-		-		-	1		145		141
Home equity loans and lines	1	\$	28	\$	28	-		-		-
	1	\$	28	\$	28	4	\$	3,007	\$	2,997
Six months ended June 30,	201	3 Pre-		Post	t-	201	2 Pr	e-	Pos	st-
			ification tanding		modification Nu outstanding of			edification tstanding		dification tstanding
	con	<b>t</b> necci	tsded	reco	orded	con	<b>tne</b> a	octsded	rec	corded
		inve	stment	inve	estment		inv	vestment	inv	estment
Commercial: Commercial and industrial Secured by commercial real estate Retail:	1	- \$ 1,	822	\$ 1.	,822	2		482 2,380		476 2,380

1-4 family residential mortgages	-	-	-	1	145	141
Home equity loans and lines	1	28	28	1	38	38
	2 \$	1,850	\$ 1,850	5 \$	3,045	\$ 3,035

The TDR concessions made during the six months ended June 30, 2013 involved an interest only repayment period on the loans. There was no specific reserve for loan losses allocated to the loans modified as TDRs during the six months ended June 30, 2013. Any required specific reserves are included in the allowance for loan losses for loans individually evaluated for impairment. There were no charge-offs resulting from loans modified as TDRs during the six months ended June 30, 2013 or 2012.

There were no loans modified as TDRs within 12 months prior to June 30, 2013 for which there was a payment default (30 days or more past due) during the six months ended June 30, 2013.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

### 8. LOANS & ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

The following tables present the balance in the allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 disaggregated on the basis of the Company's impairment method by class of loans receivable along with the balance of loans receivable by class, excluding unearned fees and costs, disaggregated on the basis of the Company's impairment methodology:

	Allowa	nce for Loan I Balance	osses Balance	Loans Re		
June 30, 2013	Balance	related to loans e individually evaluated for impairment	related to loans collectively evaluated for impairment	Balance	Balance individually evaluated for impairment	Balance collectively evaluated for impairment
Commercial:						
Commercial and industrial	\$1,994	\$ 652	\$ 1,342	\$111,619	\$ 5,882	\$ 105,737
Construction	242	-	242	10,557	2,065	8,492
Secured by commercial real estate	3,916	850	3,066	192,689	15,633	177,056
Secured by residential real estate	1,437	534	903	44,102	3,836	40,266
State and political subdivisions	277	-	277	32,552	1,807	30,745
Loans to depository institutions	10	-	10	2,250	_	2,250
Indirect lease financing Retail:	156	7	149	8,703	72	8,631
1-4 family residential mortgages	289	67	222	27,774	440	27,334
Home equity loans and lines	664	71	593	56,777	267	56,510
Consumer	28	/ 1	28	2,186	-	2,186
Unallocated	418	N/A	N/A	2,160 N/A	N/A	2,180 N/A
Chanocated	\$9,431	\$ 2,181	\$ 6,832	\$489,209	\$ 30,002	\$ 459,207
	Allowa	nce for Loan L	osses	Loans Re	ceivable	
December 31, 2012	Balance	e Balance related to loans individually	Balance related to loans collectively	Balance	Balance individually evaluated for	Balance collectively evaluated for

Edgar Filing: QNB CORP - Form 10-Q

		evaluated for impairment	evaluated for impairment		impairment	impairment
Commercial:						
Commercial and industrial	\$2,505	\$ 1,309	\$ 1,196	\$100,063	\$ 7,753	\$ 92,310
Construction	209	-	209	11,061	3,990	7,071
Secured by commercial real estate	3,795	619	3,176	192,867	14,931	177,936
Secured by residential real estate	1,230	543	687	41,003	2,843	38,160
State and political subdivisions	260	-	260	34,256	1,849	32,407
Loans to depository institutions	15	-	15	3,250	-	3,250
Indirect lease financing	168	13	155	9,685	98	9,587
Retail:						
1-4 family residential mortgages	324	90	234	28,733	456	28,277
Home equity loans and lines	582	127	455	54,860	384	54,476
Consumer	27	-	27	2,012	-	2,012
Unallocated	657	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	\$9,772	\$ 2,701	\$ 6,414	\$477,790	\$ 32,304	\$ 445,486

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

# 8. LOANS & ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

The following tables summarize additional information in regards to impaired loans by loan portfolio class as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

June 30, 2013	Recorded investment (after charge-offs)	Unpaid principal balance	Related allowance	Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized
With no specific allowance recorded:					
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 4,153	\$ 4,548	\$ -		
Construction	2,065	2,302	-		
Secured by commercial real estate	12,339	13,184	-		
Secured by residential real estate	1,444	1,467	-		
State and political subdivisions	1,807	1,807	-		
Loans to depository institutions	-	-	-		
Indirect lease financing	39	44	-		
Retail:					
1-4 family residential mortgages	166	187	-		
Home equity loans and lines	196	222	-		
Consumer	-	-	-		
	\$ 22,209	\$ 23,761	\$ -		
With an allowance recorded:					
Commercial:	¢ 1.700	¢ 1 002	¢ (50		
Commercial and industrial	\$ 1,729	\$ 1,902	\$ 652		
Construction	-	- 2.700	-		
Secured by commercial real estate	3,294	3,799	850 534		
Secured by residential real estate	2,392	2,512	534		
State and political subdivisions	-	-	-		
Loans to depository institutions	-	- 25	-		
Indirect lease financing	33	35	7		

Retail: 1-4 family residential mortgages Home equity loans and lines Consumer	274 71 - \$ 7,793	285 79 - \$ 8,612	67 71 - \$ 2,181		
Total:					
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 5,882	\$ 6,450	\$ 652	\$ 6,771	\$ 12
Construction	2,065	2,302	-	3,865	28
Secured by commercial real estate	15,633	16,983	850	14,117	197
Secured by residential real estate	3,836	3,979	534	2,811	17
State and political subdivisions	1,807	1,807	-	1,826	33
Loans to depository institutions	-	-	-	-	-
Indirect lease financing	72	79	7	78	-
Retail:					
1-4 family residential mortgages	440	472	67	448	3
Home equity loans and lines	267	301	71	323	1
Consumer	-	-	-	-	-
	\$ 30,002	\$ 32,373	\$ 2,181	\$ 30,239	\$ 291

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

# 8. LOANS & ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

December 31, 2012	Recorded investment (after charge-offs)	Unpaid principal balance	Related allowance	Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized
With no specific allowance recorded:					
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 5,241	\$ 5,477	\$ -		
Construction	3,990	4,170	-		
Secured by commercial real estate	11,392	12,128	-		
Secured by residential real estate	897	912	-		
State and political subdivisions	1,849	1,850	-		
Loans to depository institutions	-	-	-		
Indirect lease financing	37	44	-		
Retail:					
1-4 family residential mortgages	181	198	-		
Home equity loans and lines	184	196	-		
Consumer	-	-	-		
	\$ 23,771	\$ 24,975	\$ -		
With an allowance recorded:					
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 2,512	\$ 2,687	\$ 1,309		
Construction	-	-	-		
Secured by commercial real estate	3,539	4,023	619		
Secured by residential real estate	1,946	2,024	543		
State and political subdivisions	-	-	-		
Loans to depository institutions	-	-	-		
Indirect lease financing	61	67	13		
Retail:					
1-4 family residential mortgages	275	287	90		
Home equity loans and lines	200	214	127		
Consumer	-	-	-		
	\$ 8,533	\$ 9,302	\$ 2,701		

### **Total:**

Edgar Filing: QNB CORP - Form 10-Q

Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 7,753	\$ 8,164	\$ 1,309	\$ 7,657	\$ 74
Construction	3,990	4,170	-	4,972	111
Secured by commercial real estate	14,931	16,151	619	14,883	541
Secured by residential real estate	2,843	2,936	543	2,439	47
State and political subdivisions	1,849	1,850	-	1,478	64
Loans to depository institutions	-	-	-	-	-
Indirect lease financing	98	111	13	86	-
Retail:					
1-4 family residential mortgages	456	485	90	518	5
Home equity loans and lines	384	410	127	510	5
Consumer	-	-			
	\$ 32,304	\$ 34,277	\$ 2,701	\$ 32,543	\$ 847

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### 9. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS AND DISCLOSURES

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, defines fair value as an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants (fair values are not adjusted for transaction costs). ASC 820 also establishes a framework (fair value hierarchy) for measuring fair value under GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements.

ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation methods used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

- Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.
- Level 2: Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.
- Level 3:Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e., supported with little or no market activity).

An asset's or liability's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The measurement of fair value should be consistent with one of the following valuation techniques: market approach, income approach, and/or cost approach. The market approach uses prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities (including a business). For example, valuation techniques consistent with the market approach often use market multiples derived from a set of comparables. Multiples might lie in ranges with a different multiple for each comparable. The selection of where within the range the appropriate multiple falls requires judgment, considering factors specific to the measurement

(qualitative and quantitative). Valuation techniques consistent with the market approach include matrix pricing. Matrix pricing is a mathematical technique used principally to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities, but rather by relying on the security's relationship to other benchmark quoted securities.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

### 9. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS AND DISCLOSURES (continued)

The following table sets forth QNB's financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis and the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy as of June 30, 2013:

June 30, 2013	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable input (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	Balance at end of period
Recurring fair value measurements				
Securities available-for-sale				
U.S. Government agency securities	-	\$ 70,474	-	\$70,474
State and municipal securities	-	86,715	-	86,715
U.S. Government agencies and sponsored enterprises (GSEs):				
Mortgage-backed securities	-	126,945	-	126,945
Collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs)	-	85,678	-	85,678
Pooled trust preferred securities	-	-	\$ 2,091	2,091
Corporate debt securities	-	3,991	-	3,991
Equity securities	\$ 4,470	-	-	4,470
Total securities available-for-sale	\$ 4,470	\$ 373,803	\$ 2,091	\$380,364
Total recurring fair value measurements	\$ 4,470	\$ 373,803	\$ 2,091	\$380,364
Nonrecurring fair value measurements				
Impaired loans	-	-	\$ 5,612	\$5,612
Mortgage servicing rights	-	-	504	504
Loans held-for-sale	-	\$ 1,328	-	1,328
Other real estate owned	\$ 110	-	-	110
Total nonrecurring fair value measurements	\$ 110	\$ 1,328	\$ 6,116	\$7,554

There were no transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements during the six months ended June 30, 2013. There were also no transfers in or out of level 3 for the same period. There were no losses included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses relating to the available-for-sale securities above with fair value measurements utilizing significant unobservable inputs for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

### 9. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS AND DISCLOSURES (continued)

The following table sets forth QNB's financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy as of December 31, 2012:

December 31, 2012	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable input (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	Balance at end of period
Recurring fair value measurements				
Securities available-for-sale				
U.S. Government agency securities	-	\$ 104,130	-	\$104,130
State and municipal securities	-	86,789	-	86,789
U.S. Government agencies and sponsored enterprises (GSEs):				
Mortgage-backed securities	-	107,973	-	107,973
Collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs)	-	94,091	-	94,091
Pooled trust preferred securities	-	-	\$ 1,962	1,962
Corporate debt securities	-	2,502	-	2,502
Equity securities	\$ 4,055	-	-	4,055
Total securities available-for-sale	\$ 4,055	\$ 395,485	\$ 1,962	\$401,502
Total recurring fair value measurements	\$ 4,055	\$ 395,485	\$ 1,962	\$401,502
Nonrecurring fair value measurements				
Impaired loans	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,832	\$5,832
Mortgage servicing rights	-	-	448	448.00
Total nonrecurring fair value measurements	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,280	\$6,280

The following table presents additional quantitative information about assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and for which QNB has utilized Level 3 inputs to determine fair value:

### Quantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements

June 30, 2013	Fa	ir value	Valuation techniques	Unobservable input	Value o values	r rang	range of	
Impaired loans	\$	5,612	Appraisal of collateral (1)	Appraisal adjustments (2)	0%	to	-30%	
				Liquidation expenses (2)	0%	to	-10%	
Mortgage servicing rights (yrs)	\$	504	Discounted cash flow	Remaining term	1	-	30	
•				Discount rate	10%	to	12%	

Fair value is primarily determined through appraisals of the underlying collateral by independent parties, which generally includes various level 3 inputs which are not always identifiable.

The following table presents additional information about the securities available-for-sale measured at fair value on a recurring basis and for which QNB utilized significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 inputs) to determine fair value for the six months ended June 30, 2013:

<sup>(2)</sup> Appraisals may be adjusted by management for qualitative factors such as economic conditions and estimated liquidation expenses. The range is presented as a percent of the initial appraised value.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### 9. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS AND DISCLOSURES (continued)

Fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs

	(Level	3)
Balance, beginning of year	\$	1,962
Settlements		-
Total gains or losses (realized/unrealized)		
Included in earnings		-
Included in other comprehensive income		129
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3		-
Balance, June 30, 2013	\$	2,091

The Level 3 securities consist of seven collateralized debt obligation securities, PreTSL securities, which are backed by trust preferred securities issued by banks, thrifts, and insurance companies. The market for these securities at June 30, 2013 is not active and markets for similar securities also are not active. The inactivity was evidenced first by a significant widening of the bid-ask spread in the brokered markets in which PreTSLs trade and then by a significant decrease in the volume of trades relative to historical levels. The new issue market is also inactive and there are currently very few market participants who are willing and or able to transact for these securities.

Given conditions in the debt markets today and the absence of observable transactions in the secondary and new issue markets, we determined:

The few observable transactions and market quotations that are available are not reliable for purposes of determining fair value at June 30, 2013;

An income valuation approach technique (present value technique) that maximizes the use of relevant observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs will be equally or more representative of fair value than the market approach valuation technique used at prior measurement dates; and

PreTSLs will be classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy because significant adjustments are required to determine fair value at the measurement date.

The Bank is aware of several factors indicating that recent transactions of PreTSL securities are not orderly including an increased spread between bid/ask prices, lower sales transaction volumes for these types of securities, and a lack of new issuances. As a result, the Bank engaged an independent third party to value the securities using a discounted cash flow analysis. The estimated cash flows are based on specific assumptions about defaults, deferrals and prepayments of the trust preferred securities underlying each PreTSL. The resulting collateral cash flows are allocated to the bond waterfall using the INTEXcalc valuation model.

The estimates for the conditional default rates (CDR) are based on the payment characteristics of the trust preferred securities themselves (e.g. current, deferred, or defaulted) as well as the financial condition of the trust preferred issuers in the pool. A near-term CDR for each issuer in the pool is estimated based on their financial condition using key financial ratios relating to the financial institution's capitalization, asset quality, profitability and liquidity. In addition to the specific bank default assumptions, overall deal default rates are modeled. In 2013 and beyond, the CDR rate is calculated based upon a comparison of key financial ratios of active individual issuers without a short-term probability of default compared to all FDIC insured banks. To derive this long-term default rate, a comparison of certain key financial ratios of the active issuers in the security to all FDIC insured banks is reviewed. The active issuers are summarized by creating a weighted average based on issue size, then divided into categories based upon their status of deferral and whether or not a specific default assumption has been assigned to the issuer. To ensure an accurate comparison, the standard deviation across the issuers for each ratio is calculated and any issuer that falls more than three standard deviations above or below the average for that ratio is removed.

#### **ONB CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### 9. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS AND DISCLOSURES (continued)

The base loss severity assumption and long-term loss severity assumptions are modeled at 95%. The severity factor for near-term CDRs is vectored to reflect the relative expected performance of the institutions modeled to default, with lower forecasted severities used for the higher quality institutions.

Prepayments are modeled to take into account the disruption in the asset-backed securities marketplace and the lack of new pooled trust preferred issuances. For purposes of the cash flow analysis, relatively modest rates of prepayment were forecasted (ranging from 0-1%). In addition to the base prepayment assumption, due to the recent enactment of the Dodd-Frank financial legislation additional prepayment analysis was performed. First, all fixed rate trust preferred securities issued by banks with more than \$15 billion in total assets at December 31, 2009 were identified. The current credit rating of these institutions was reviewed and it was assumed that any issuer with an investment grade credit rating would prepay their issuance as soon as possible, or July 1, 2015 for bank holding company subsidiaries of foreign banking organizations that have relied on Supervision and Regulation Letter SR-01-1. For those institutions rated below investment grade the holding companies' approximate cost of long-term funding given their rating and marketplace interest rate was estimated. The following assumption was made; any holding company that could refinance for a cost savings of more than 2% will refinance and will do so as soon as possible, or July 1, 2015. Finally, for issuers not impacted by the Tier 1 regulatory capital legislation enacted by the Dodd-Frank Act, the issuers that have shown a recent history of prepayment of both floating rate and fixed rate issues were identified and it was assumed these issuers will prepay as soon as possible.

The internal rate of return is the pre-tax yield used to discount the best estimate of future cash flows after credit losses. The cash flows have been discounted using estimated market discount rates of 3-month LIBOR plus spreads ranging from 4.16% to 9.42%. The determination of appropriate market discount rates involved the consideration of the following:

the time value of money the price for bearing uncertainty in cash flows other factors that would be considered by market participants

The analysis of discount rates involved the review of corporate bond spreads for banks, U.S. Treasury yields, credit default swap rates for financial companies (utilized as a proxy for credit), the swap/LIBOR yield curve and the characteristics of the individual securities being valued.

The following information should not be interpreted as an estimate of the fair value of the entire Company since a fair value calculation is only provided for a limited portion of QNB's assets and liabilities. Due to a wide range of valuation techniques and the degree of subjectivity used in making the estimates, comparisons between QNB's disclosures and those of other companies may not be meaningful.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair values of each major classification of financial instrument and non-financial asset at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

<u>Cash and cash equivalents</u>, accrued interest receivable and accrued interest payable (carried at cost): The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet approximate those assets' fair value.

Investment securities available for sale (carried at fair value) and held-to-maturity (carried at amortized cost): The fair value of securities are determined by obtaining quoted market prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1), or matrix pricing (Level 2), which is a mathematical technique used widely in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted market prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted prices. Level 2 debt securities are valued by a third-party pricing service commonly used in the banking industry. Level 2 fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution date, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the security's terms and conditions, among other things. For certain securities which are not traded in active markets or are subject to transfer restrictions, valuations are adjusted to reflect illiquidity and/or non-transferability, and such adjustments are generally based on available market evidence (Level 3). In the absence of such evidence, management's best estimate is used. Management's best estimate consists of both internal and external support on certain Level 3 investments. Cash flow models using a present value formula that includes assumptions market participants would use along with indicative exit pricing obtained from broker/dealers (where available) were used to support fair values of certain Level 3 investments.

ONB CORP.	AND	SUBSIDIAL	RY
-----------	-----	-----------	----

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### 9. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS AND DISCLOSURES (continued)

Restricted investment in bank stocks (carried at cost): The fair value of stock in Atlantic Central Bankers Bank and the Federal Home Loan Bank is the carrying amount, based on redemption provisions, and considers the limited marketability of such securities.

<u>Loans Held for Sale (carried at lower of cost or fair value)</u>: The fair value of loans held for sale is determined, when possible, using quoted secondary market prices. If no such quoted prices exist, the fair value of a loan is determined using quoted prices for a similar loan or loans, adjusted for the specific attributes of that loan.

Loans Receivable (carried at cost): The fair values of loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using market rates at the balance sheet date that reflect the credit and interest rate-risk inherent in the loans. Projected future cash flows are calculated based upon contractual maturity or call dates, projected repayments and prepayments of principal. Generally, for variable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values.

<u>Impaired Loans (generally carried at fair value)</u>: Impaired loans are loans, in which the Company has measured impairment generally based on the fair value of the loan's collateral. Fair value is generally determined based upon independent third-party appraisals of the properties, or discounted cash flows based upon the expected proceeds. These assets are included as Level 3 fair values, based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements.

Mortgage Servicing Rights (carried at lower of cost or fair value): The fair value of mortgage servicing rights is based on a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated net servicing income. The mortgage servicing rights are stratified into tranches based on predominant characteristics, such as interest rate, loan type and investor type. The valuation incorporates assumptions that market participants would use in estimating future net servicing income.

<u>Foreclosed assets</u> (other real estate owned and repossessed assets): Foreclosed assets are the only non-financial assets valued on a non-recurring basis which are held by the Company at fair value, less cost to sell. At foreclosure or repossession, if the fair value, less estimated costs to sell, of the collateral acquired (real estate, vehicles, equipment) is less than the Company's recorded investment in the related loan, a write-down is recognized through a charge to the allowance for loan losses. Additionally, valuations are periodically performed by management and any subsequent reduction in value is recognized by a charge to income. The fair value of foreclosed assets held-for-sale is estimated using Level 3 inputs based on observable market data.

<u>Deposit liabilities (carried at cost)</u>: The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity (e.g. demand deposits, interest-bearing demand accounts, money market accounts and savings accounts) are by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e. their carrying amounts). This approach to estimating fair value excludes the significant benefit that results from the low-cost funding provided by such deposit liabilities, as compared to alternative sources of funding. Deposits with a stated maturity (time deposits) have been valued using the present value of cash flows discounted at rates approximating the current market for similar deposits.

QNB CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

#### 9. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS AND DISCLOSURES (continued)

Short-term borrowings (carried at cost): The carrying amount of short-term borrowings approximates their fair values.

<u>Long-term debt (carried at cost)</u>: The fair values of FHLB advances and securities sold under agreements to repurchase are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, based on quoted prices for new long-term debt with similar credit risk characteristics, terms and remaining maturity. These prices obtained from this active market represent a fair value that is deemed to represent the transfer price if the liability were assumed by a third party.

Off-balance-sheet instruments (disclosed at cost): The fair values for the Bank's off-balance sheet instruments (lending commitments and letters of credit) are based on fees currently charged in the market to enter into similar agreements, taking into account, the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing.

Management uses its best judgment in estimating the fair value of the Company's financial instruments; however, there are inherent weaknesses in any estimation technique. Therefore, for substantially all financial instruments, the fair value estimates herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could have realized in a sales transaction on the dates indicated. The estimated fair value amounts have been measured as of the respective period ends and have not been re-evaluated or updated for purposes of these financial statements subsequent to those respective dates. As such, the estimated fair values of these financial instruments subsequent to the respective reporting dates may be different than the amounts reported at each period end.

The estimated fair values and carrying amounts of the Company's financial and off-balance sheet instruments are summarized as follows:

June 30, 2013

Carrying Fair Quoted Significant Significant value prices in other unobservable

Edgar Filing: QNB CORP - Form 10-Q

	amount		active markets	observable inputs	inputs		
			for	•	(Level 3)		
			identical assets (Level 1)	(Level 2)			
Financial assets							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$15,897	\$15,897	\$15,897	-	-		
Investment securities available-for-sale	380,364	380,364	4,470	\$ 373,803	\$ 2,091		
Investment securities held-to-maturity	146	163	-	163	-		
Restricted investment in bank stocks	1,837	1,837	1,837	-	-		
Loans held-for-sale	1,328	1,328	-	1,328	-		
Net loans	479,784	481,469	-	-	481,469		
Mortgage servicing rights	504	576	-	-	576		
Accrued interest receivable	2,981	2,981	-	2,981	-		
Financial liabilities							
Deposits with no stated maturities	\$542,740	\$542,740	\$542,740	-	\$ -		
Deposits with stated maturities	253,173	256,933	-	\$ 256,933	-		
Short-term borrowings	32,851	32,851	32,851	-	-		
Long-term debt	5,282	5,412	-	5,412	-		
Accrued interest payable	407	407	-	407	-		
Off-balance sheet instruments							
Commitments to extend credit	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$ -		
Standby letters of credit	-	-	-	-	-		

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

# 9. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS AND DISCLOSURES (continued)

			Fair value Quoted	e measuremei	nts
December 31, 2012	<b>Carrying amount</b>	Fair value	prices in active markets for	Significant other observable inputs	Significant unobservable inputs
			identical assets (Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Financial assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$15,453	\$15,453	\$15,453	-	-
Investment securities available-for-sale	401,502	401,502	4,055	\$ 395,485	\$ 1,962
Investment securities held-to-maturity	146	166	-	166	-
Restricted investment in bank stocks	2,244	2,244	2,244	-	-
Loans held-for-sale	1,616	1,674	-	1,674	-
Net loans	467,961	474,330	-	-	474,330
Mortgage servicing rights	448	464	-	-	464
Accrued interest receivable	2,803	2,803	-	2,803	-
Financial liabilities					
Deposits with no stated maturities	\$532,404	\$532,404	\$532,404	-	\$ -
Deposits with stated maturities	269,234	273,878	-	\$ 273,878	-
Short-term borrowings	32,488	32,488	32,488	-	-
Long-term debt	5,287	5,694	-	5,694	-
Accrued interest payable	487	487	-	487	-
<b>Off-balance sheet instruments</b>					
Commitments to extend credit	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$ -
Standby letters of credit	-	-	-	-	-

# 10. OFF-BALANCE-SHEET FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND GUARANTEES

In the normal course of business there are various legal proceedings, commitments, and contingent liabilities which are not reflected in the financial statements. Management does not anticipate any material losses as a result of these transactions and activities. They include, among other things, commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. The maximum exposure to credit loss, which represents the possibility of sustaining a loss due to the failure of the other parties to a financial instrument to perform according to the terms of the contract, is represented by the contractual amount of these instruments. QNB uses the same lending standards and policies in making credit commitments as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. The activity is controlled through credit approvals, control limits, and monitoring procedures.

A summary of the Bank's financial instrument commitments is as follows:

	June 30,	December 31,
	2013	2012
Commitments to extend credit and unused lines of credit	\$148,511	\$138,425
Standby letters of credit	5,624	5,332
Total financial instrument commitments	\$154,135	\$ 143,757

#### **QNB CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY**

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### 10. OFF-BALANCE-SHEET FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND GUARANTEES (continued)

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require the payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. QNB evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the financial or performance obligation of a customer to a third party. QNB's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Bank uses the same credit policies in making conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. These standby letters of credit expire within three years. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending other loan commitments. The Bank requires collateral and personal guarantees supporting these letters of credit as deemed necessary. Management believes that the proceeds obtained through a liquidation of such collateral and the enforcement of personal guarantees would be sufficient to cover the maximum potential amount of future payments required under the corresponding guarantees. The amount of the liability as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 for guarantees under standby letters of credit issued is not material.

The amount of collateral obtained for letters of credit and commitments to extend credit is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer. Collateral varies, but may include real estate, accounts receivable, marketable securities, pledged deposits, inventory or equipment.

#### 11. REGULATORY RESTRICTIONS

Dividends payable by the Company and the Bank are subject to various limitations imposed by statutes, regulations and policies adopted by bank regulatory agencies. Under Pennsylvania banking law, the Bank is subject to certain restrictions on the amount of dividends that it may declare without prior regulatory approval. Under Federal Reserve regulations, the Bank is limited as to the amount it may lend affiliates, including QNB Corp., unless such loans are collateralized by specific obligations.

Both the Company and the Bank are subject to regulatory capital requirements administered by Federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate actions by regulators that could have an effect on the financial statements. Under the framework for prompt corrective action, both the Company and the Bank must meet capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of their assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items. The capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators. Management believes, as of June 30, 2013, that the Company and the Bank met capital adequacy requirements to which they were subject.

As of the most recent notification, the primary regulator of the Bank considered it to be "well capitalized" under the regulatory framework. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the classification. To be categorized as well capitalized, the Company and the Bank must maintain minimum ratios as set forth in the following table.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

# 11. REGULATORY RESTRICTIONS (continued)

	Capital le	evels	Adequate capitalize	•	Well capitalized					
As of June 30, 2013	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount					
Total risk-based capital (to risk-weighted assets): Consolidated Bank	\$84,026 79,049	13.92% 13.19	\$48,295 47,944	8.00 % 8.00	N/A \$59,930	N/A 10.00%				
Tier I capital (to risk-weighted assets): Consolidated Bank	76,252 71,534	12.63 11.94	24,148 23,972	4.00 4.00	N/A 35,958	N/A 6.00				
Tier I capital (to average assets): Consolidated Bank	76,252 71,534	8.37 7.90	36,423 36,239	4.00 4.00	N/A 45,299	N/A 5.00				
	Capital levels									
	Capital le	evels								
	Capital le	evels	Adequate capitalize	ed	Well capitalize	ed				
As of December 31, 2012  Total risk based capital (to risk weighted assets):	-			ed						
As of December 31, 2012 Total risk-based capital (to risk-weighted assets): Consolidated Bank	Actual	Ratio	capitalize	ed	capitalize Amount					
Total risk-based capital (to risk-weighted assets): Consolidated	Actual Amount \$80,758	<b>Ratio</b> 13.60%	capitalize Amount \$47,490	Ratio 8.00 %	capitalize Amount N/A	Ratio N/A				

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

#### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

# ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

QNB Corp. (herein referred to as QNB or the Company) is a bank holding company headquartered in Quakertown, Pennsylvania. The Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, QNB Bank (the Bank), has been serving the residents and businesses of Bucks, Montgomery and southern Lehigh counties in Pennsylvania since 1877. The Bank is a locally managed community bank that provides a full range of commercial and retail banking and retail brokerage services.

Tabular information presented throughout management's discussion and analysis, other than share and per share data, is presented in thousands of dollars.

#### **FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

In addition to historical information, this document contains forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are typically identified by words or phrases such as "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "estimate," "project" and variat of such words and similar expressions, or future or conditional verbs such as "will," "would," "should," "could," "may" or similar expressions. The U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides safe harbor in regard to the inclusion of forward-looking statements in this document and documents incorporated by reference.

Shareholders should note that many factors, some of which are discussed elsewhere in this document and in the documents that are incorporated by reference, and including the risk factors identified in Item 1A of QNB's 2012 Form 10-K, could affect the future financial results of the Company and its subsidiary and could cause those results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements contained or incorporated by reference in this document. These factors include, but are not limited, to the following:

- Volatility in interest rates and shape of the yield curve;
- Credit risk;
- •Liquidity risk;
- •Operating, legal and regulatory risks;
  - Economic, political and competitive forces affecting the Company's line of business;

The risk that the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) could levy additional insurance assessments on all •insured institutions in order to replenish the Deposit Insurance Fund based on the level of bank failures in the future; and

• The risk that the analysis of these risks and forces could be incorrect, and/or that the strategies developed to address them could be unsuccessful.

QNB cautions that these forward-looking statements are subject to numerous assumptions, risks and uncertainties, all of which change over time, and QNB assumes no duty to update forward-looking statements. Management cautions readers not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. These statements speak only as of the date of this report on Form 10-Q, even if subsequently made available by QNB on its website or otherwise, and they advise readers that various factors, including those described above, could affect QNB's financial performance and could cause actual results or circumstances for future periods to differ materially from those anticipated or projected. Except as required by law, QNB does not undertake, and specifically disclaims any obligation, to publicly release any revisions to any forward-looking statements to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events or circumstances after the date of such statements.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

#### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES**

The discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations are based on the consolidated financial statements of QNB, which are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and predominant practices within the banking industry. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires QNB to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. QNB evaluates estimates on an on-going basis, including those related to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the determination of the valuation of other real estate owned and foreclosed assets, other-than-temporary impairments on investment securities, the valuation of deferred tax assets, stock-based compensation and income taxes. QNB bases its estimates on historical experience and various other factors and assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

# **Other-Than-Temporary Investment Security Impairment**

Securities are evaluated periodically to determine whether a decline in their value is other-than-temporary. Management utilizes criteria such as the magnitude and duration of the decline, in addition to the reasons underlying the decline, to determine whether the loss in value is other-than-temporary. The term "other-than-temporary" is not intended to indicate that the decline is permanent, but indicates that the prospect for a near-term recovery of value is not necessarily favorable, or that there is a lack of evidence to support a realizable value equal to or greater than the carrying value of the investment. For equity securities, once a decline in value is determined to be other-than-temporary, the value of the equity security is reduced and a corresponding charge to earnings is recognized.

The Company follows accounting guidance related to the recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairment that specifies (a) if a company does not have the intent to sell a debt security prior to recovery and (b) it is more likely than not that it will not have to sell the debt security prior to recovery, the security would not be considered other-than-temporarily impaired unless there is a credit loss. When an entity does not intend to sell the security, and it is more likely than not, the entity will not have to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis, it will recognize the credit component of an other-than-temporary impairment of a debt security in earnings and the remaining portion in other comprehensive income. For held-to-maturity debt securities, the amount of other-than-temporary impairment recorded in other comprehensive income for the noncredit portion of a previous

other-than-temporary impairment is amortized prospectively over the remaining life of the security on the basis of the timing of future estimated cash flows of the security.

During the second quarter of 2013, there was a \$43,000 other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) charge on an equity security that had been in an unrealized loss position in excess of 20% for six months. There were no credit-related OTTI charges in the first half of 2012.

#### **Allowance for Loan Losses**

QNB considers that the determination of the allowance for loan losses involves a higher degree of judgment and complexity than its other significant accounting policies. The allowance for loan losses is calculated with the objective of maintaining a level believed by management to be sufficient to absorb probable known and inherent losses in the outstanding loan portfolio. The allowance is reduced by actual credit losses and is increased by the provision for loan losses and recoveries of previous losses. The provisions for loan losses are charged to earnings to bring the total allowance for loan losses to a level considered necessary by management.

The allowance for loan losses is based on management's continual review and evaluation of the loan portfolio. The level of the allowance is determined by assigning specific reserves to individually identified problem credits and general reserves to all other loans. The portion of the allowance that is allocated to impaired loans is determined by estimating the inherent loss on each credit after giving consideration to the value of underlying collateral. The general reserves are based on the composition and risk characteristics of the loan portfolio, including the nature of the loan portfolio, credit concentration trends, delinquency and loss experience, as well as other qualitative factors such as current economic trends.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

#### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management emphasizes loan quality and close monitoring of potential problem credits. Credit risk identification and review processes are utilized in order to assess and monitor the degree of risk in the loan portfolio. QNB's lending and credit administration staff are charged with reviewing the loan portfolio and identifying changes in the economy or in a borrower's circumstances which may affect the ability to repay debt or the value of pledged collateral. A loan classification and review system exists that identifies those loans with a higher than normal risk of uncollectibility. Each commercial loan is assigned a grade based upon an assessment of the borrower's financial capacity to service the debt and the presence and value of collateral for the loan. An independent loan review group tests risk assessments and evaluates the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Management meets monthly to review the credit quality of the loan portfolio and quarterly to review the allowance for loan losses.

In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review QNB's allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require QNB to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination.

Management believes that it uses the best information available to make determinations about the adequacy of the allowance and that it has established its existing allowance for loan losses in accordance with GAAP. If circumstances differ substantially from the assumptions used in making determinations, future adjustments to the allowance for loan losses may be necessary and results of operations could be affected. Because future events affecting borrowers and collateral cannot be predicted with certainty, increases to the allowance may be necessary should the quality of any loans deteriorate as a result of the factors discussed above.

### **Foreclosed Assets**

Assets acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure are held-for-sale and are initially recorded at fair value less cost to sell at the date of foreclosure, establishing a new cost basis. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are carried at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. Revenue and expenses and changes in the valuation allowance are included in net expenses from foreclosed assets.

# **Stock-Based Compensation**

QNB sponsors stock-based compensation plans, administered by a board committee, under which both qualified and non-qualified stock options may be granted periodically to certain employees. QNB accounts for all awards granted under stock-based compensation plans in accordance with ASC 718, *Compensation-Stock Compensation*. Compensation cost has been measured using the fair value of an award on the grant date and is recognized over the service period, which is usually the vesting period. The fair value of each option is amortized into compensation expense on a straight-line basis between the grant date for the option and each vesting date. QNB estimates the fair value of stock options on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The model requires the use of numerous assumptions, many of which are highly subjective in nature.

#### **Income Taxes**

QNB accounts for income taxes under the asset/liability method in accordance with income tax accounting guidance, ASC 740, *Income Taxes*. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases, as well as operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. A valuation allowance is established against deferred tax assets when, in the judgment of management, it is more likely than not that such deferred tax assets will not become available. Because the judgment about the level of future taxable income is dependent to a great extent on matters that may, at least in part, be beyond QNB's control, it is at least reasonably possible that management's judgment about the need for a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets could change in the near term.

#### **QNB CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY**

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

#### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - OVERVIEW**

QNB reported net income for the second quarter of 2013 of \$1,894,000, or \$0.58 per share on a diluted basis. This compares to net income of \$2,505,000, or \$0.78 per share on a diluted basis, for the same period in 2012.

For the six month period ended June 30, 2013, QNB reported net income of \$4,302,000, or \$1.32 per share on a diluted basis. This compares to net income of \$4,976,000, or \$1.55 per share on a diluted basis, reported for the six month period ended June 30, 2012.

Net income expressed as an annualized rate of return on average assets and average shareholders' equity was 0.83% and 9.99%, respectively, for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 compared with 1.16% and 14.54%, respectively, for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. For the six month periods the annualized rate of return on average assets and average shareholders' equity was 0.96% and 11.52%, respectively, for the period ended June 30, 2013 compared with 1.15% and 14.62%, respectively, for the period ended June 30, 2012.

The quarterly comparison reflects:

a decrease in net interest income and the net interest margin resulting from continued downward pressure on yields on earning assets,

costs related to asset quality comprised of a higher provision for loan losses resulting primarily from growth in the loan portfolio between March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013 and a write-down of a property classified as other real estate owned,

a decline in gains recognized on the sale of residential mortgages and investment securities, ongoing costs associated with two new branch locations,

an increase in fee income from deposit accounts, and

an increase in income from QNB Financial Services

The six month comparison reflects these same items except for the provision for loan losses was reduced by \$200,000 when comparing the six month periods.

Total assets as of June 30, 2013 were \$910,829,000, compared with \$919,874,000 at December 31, 2012. Total loans at June 30, 2013 were \$489,215,000, compared with \$477,733,000 at December 31, 2012, and total deposits at June 30, 2013 were \$795,913,000, compared with \$801,638,000 at December 31, 2012.

#### **Net Interest Income and Net Interest Margin**

Net interest income for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 totaled \$6,336,000, a decrease of \$440,000, or 6.5%, over the same period in 2012. On a linked quarter basis, net interest income increased \$3,000 from the \$6,333,000 reported for the first quarter of 2013. Average earning assets for the second quarter of 2013 were \$877,849,000, an increase of \$36,288,000, or 4.3%, from the second quarter of 2012, with average investment securities increasing \$39,988,000, or 11.6%, and average loans increasing \$2,511,000, or 0.5%, over the same period. On the funding side, average deposits increased \$27,435,000, or 3.6%, to \$797,661,000 for the second quarter of 2013 with growth occurring in average non-interest and interest-bearing checking accounts, municipal deposits and savings accounts.

#### ONB CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

#### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The prolonged low interest rate environment has continued to exert pressure on asset yields and the net interest margin as longer term assets reprice to lower interest rate levels while funding costs are near their implied floors. In addition, the change in the mix of earning assets with investment securities representing a larger proportion of earning assets has also impacted the average yield on earning assets and the net interest margin. The net interest margin for the second quarter of 2013 was 3.12% compared to 3.49% for the second quarter of 2012 and 3.17% for the first quarter of 2013. The average rate earned on earning assets declined 57 basis points from 4.28% for the second quarter of 2012 to 3.71% for the second quarter of 2013. When comparing the change in the yield on earning assets between the two second quarter periods, loans and investment securities declined from 5.29% and 3.03%, respectively, for the second quarter of 2012 to 4.76% and 2.47%, respectively, for the second quarter of 2013, a decline of 53 basis points and 56 basis points, respectively. In comparison, the cost of interest-bearing liabilities declined 22 basis points from 0.90% to 0.68% over the same time periods. The interest rate paid on interest-bearing deposits declined by 21 basis points to 0.66% for the second quarter of 2013 compared to the second quarter of 2012.

For the six month period ended June 30, 2013 net interest income was \$12,669,000, a decrease of \$913,000, or 6.7%, lower than the \$13,582,000 reported for the first half of 2012. For the six month period ending June 30, 2013 average earning assets increased \$36,701,000, or 4.4%, to \$875,557,000, with average investment securities increasing 13.4% and average loans decreasing 0.9%. Average total deposits increased \$34,143,000, or 4.5%, to \$796,229,000 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The net interest margin on a tax-equivalent basis was 3.15% for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared with 3.51% for the same period in 2012.

#### Asset Quality, Provision for Loan Loss and Allowance for Loan Loss

QNB closely monitors the quality of its loan portfolio and considers many factors when performing a quarterly analysis of the appropriateness of the allowance for loan losses and calculating the required provision for loan losses. This analysis considers a number of relevant factors including: specific impairment reserves, historical loan loss experience, general economic conditions, levels of and trends in delinquent and non-performing loans, levels of classified loans, trends in the growth rate of loans and concentrations of credit.

Asset quality has stabilized over the past several quarters with the reduction in the level of non-accrual loans being offset by an increase in restructured loans. Total non-performing assets were \$25,191,000 at June 30, 2013 compared with \$24,273,000 at December 31, 2012 and \$23,990,000 at June 30, 2012. Included in this classification are non-performing loans, non-accrual pooled trust preferred securities, other real estate owned (OREO) and repossessed assets. Total non-performing loans, which represent loans on non-accrual status, loans past due more than 90 days and still accruing interest and troubled debt restructured loans were \$22,037,000, or 4.49% of total loans, at June 30, 2013 compared with \$21,150,000, or 4.41% of total loans, at December 31, 2012 and \$20,824,000, or 4.23% of total loans, at June 30, 2012. Loans on non-accrual status were \$17,301,000 at June 30, 2013 compared with \$18,572,000 at December 31, 2012 and \$16,264,000 at June 30, 2012. In cases where there is a collateral shortfall on non-accrual loans, specific impairment reserves have been established based on updated collateral values even if the borrower continues to pay in accordance with the terms of the agreement.

QNB had other real estate owned and other repossessed assets of \$1,063,000 as of June 30, 2013 compared with \$1,161,000 at December 31, 2012 and \$1,151,000 at June 30, 2012. Non-accrual pooled trust preferred securities are carried at fair value which was \$2,091,000, \$1,962,000, and \$2,015,000 at June 30, 2013, December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively. The increase in the balance of non-accrual pooled trust preferred securities reflects an improvement in the fair value of these securities and not the purchase of additional securities.

QNB recorded a provision for loan losses of \$100,000 in the second quarter of 2013. There was no provision for loan losses in either the first quarter of 2013 or the second quarter of 2012. For the six month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 the provision for loan losses was \$100,000 and \$300,000, respectively. The provision for loan losses for the second quarter of 2013 is primarily a function of the growth in the loan portfolio between March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013 while the reduction in the provision when comparing the six month periods reflects a reduction in classified loans.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

#### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

QNB's allowance for loan losses of \$9,431,000 represents 1.92% of total loans at June 30, 2013 compared to an allowance for loan losses of \$9,772,000, or 2.05% of total loans, at December 31, 2012 and \$9,467,000, or 1.92% of total loans, at June 30, 2012.

#### **Non-Interest Income**

Total non-interest income was \$1,239,000 for the second quarter of 2013, a decrease of \$87,000 compared with the same period in 2012. Net gains on the sale of residential mortgage loans for the second quarter of 2013 was \$133,000 less than the second quarter of 2012. During the second quarter of 2013 mortgage interest rates increased significantly in reaction to the possible tapering of the purchase of mortgage-backed securities by the Federal Reserve Bank. This resulted in a slowdown of mortgage refinancing activity as well as losses on loans with fixed interest rates that were originated but not yet sold. A \$38,000 charge was taken to reflect the fair market value of these loans that were held-for-sale at the end of June. Net gains on investment securities accounts for \$48,000 of the total decrease in non-interest income compared to the second quarter of 2012. QNB recorded \$93,000 of net gains on investment securities during the second quarter of 2013, which included a \$43,000 other-than-temporary impairment charge recorded on an equity security. This compares to net gains of \$141,000 recognized in the second quarter of 2012. Also during the second quarter of 2013 a \$90,000 impairment charge was taken to write-down a property classified as other real estate owned. This property was sold in July 2013. In comparison, net gains of \$10,000 were recorded on the sale of other real estate owned during the second quarter of 2012.

Positively impacting non-interest income when comparing the two quarters was higher mutual fund and annuity income and mortgage servicing income. During the fourth quarter of 2012, QNB changed vendors related to the mutual fund and annuity income and now provides securities and advisory services under the name of QNB Financial Services through Investment Professionals, Inc., a registered Broker/Dealer and Registered Investment Advisor. There has been a significant increase in revenue as a result of the change, contributing an additional \$112,000 in revenue to the quarter. Some of this additional revenue would be offset by additional salary and benefit expense and operating costs recorded in non-interest expense. Mortgage servicing fees were \$38,000 higher quarter over quarter primarily related to a small reversal of a portion of the valuation allowance related to the fair value of mortgage servicing rights as calculated by an independent third-party. In 2013, a \$21,000 valuation allowance was required in the second quarter of 2012. Fees for services to customers, primarily overdraft charges, contributed an additional \$24,000 to the second quarter of 2013 when compared to the same period in prior year.

Total non-interest income for the six month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$2,987,000 and \$2,892,000, respectively, an increase of \$95,000, or 3.3%. Revenue from QNB Financial Services contributed an additional \$196,000 in non-interest income when comparing the six month periods while mortgage servicing income contributed an additional \$73,000. Service charges on deposit accounts increased \$51,000 while income from QNB's investment in a title company increased \$38,000. Partially offsetting these increases was a \$135,000 reduction in net gains on the sale of residential mortgage loans and an \$89,000 increase in net losses on other real estate owned.

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

#### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

# **Non-Interest Expense**

Total non-interest expense was \$5,091,000 for the second quarter of 2013, an increase of \$263,000, or 5.4%, compared to \$4,828,000 for the second quarter of 2012. Salaries and benefits expense increased \$125,000, or 4.9%, when comparing the two quarters due to an additional eleven full-time equivalent employees. The increase in employees was primarily related to the opening of two new branch locations in the first quarter of 2013 as well as two additional employees related to QNB Financial Services. The second quarter of 2012 included an accrual for incentive compensation of approximately \$90,000. There was no accrual for incentive compensation in 2013. Net occupancy and furniture and equipment expense increased \$62,000, or 8.1%. The majority of this increase relates to the two new locations as well as an increase in equipment maintenance costs.

Total non-interest expense was \$10,031,000 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$9,679,000 for the same period in 2012, an increase of \$352,000, or 3.6%. Salary and benefits expense increased \$58,000, as the impact of the new hires noted above was primarily offset by the impact of the lack of an accrual for incentive compensation. Net occupancy and furniture and equipment expense increased \$157,000 to \$1,681,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The opening of the two new branches in the first quarter of 2013 as well as an increase in building and equipment repairs and maintenance costs contributed to the increase in this category. Marketing expense increased \$33,000 while expenses associated with outsourced third party services increased \$56,000 when comparing the six month periods.

These items noted in the foregoing overview, as well as others, will be discussed and analyzed more thoroughly in the next sections.

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

# CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

# Average Balances, Rate, and Interest Income and Expense Summary (Tax-Equivalent Basis)

	Three Mor June 30, 2 Average				June 30, 2012 Average Average			Interest	
	Balance	Rate			Balance	Rate			
Assets	ф			Φ.	Φ.			ф	
Federal funds sold	\$-	-		\$ -	\$-	-		\$ -	
Investment securities:	<b>=</b> 0.600	4.00	~	2.52	66.500	4 70	~	2.62	
U.S. Government agencies	78,600	1.28	% ~	252	66,503	1.58	% ~	263	
State and municipal	85,504	4.76	%	1,018	77,183	5.44	%	1,050	
Mortgage-backed and CMOs	210,474	2.00	%	1,055	191,948	2.58	%	1,238	
Pooled trust preferred securities	3,519	0.18	%	2	3,611	0.24	%	2	
Corporate debt securities	3,422	2.11	%	18	2,456	4.06	%	25	
Equities	3,860	3.52	%	34	3,690	4.40	%	40	
Total investment securities	385,379	2.47	%	2,379	345,391	3.03	%	2,618	
Loans:									
Commercial real estate	249,290	4.90	%	3,046	253,350	5.37	%	3,382	
Residential real estate	28,406	4.45	%	316	27,443	5.43	%	372	
Home equity loans	51,532	4.11	%	527	50,735	4.51	%	569	
Commercial and industrial	110,010	4.26	%	1,169	100,585	4.69	%	1,173	
Indirect lease financing	9,311	10.40	%	242	11,783	10.14	%	299	
Consumer loans	2,258	6.48	%	36	2,200	12.00	%	66	
Tax-exempt loans	32,056	4.89	%	391	34,256	5.38	%	458	
Total loans, net of unearned income*	482,863	4.76	%	5,727	480,352	5.29	%	6,319	
Other earning assets	9,607	0.31	%	7	15,818	0.26	%	10	
Total earning assets	877,849	3.71	%	8,113	841,561	4.28	%	8,947	
Cash and due from banks	11,096				10,905				
Allowance for loan losses	(9,360)				(9,608)				
Other assets	30,980				29,098				
Total assets	\$910,565				\$871,956				
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity									
Interest-bearing deposits:									
Interest-bearing demand	\$110,649	0.24	%	66	\$99,061	0.32	%	78	
Municipals	82,151	0.44	%	90	55,634	0.59	%	81	
Money market	68,695	0.21	%	35	73,556	0.36	%	66	

Savings	200,525	0.40	%	202	189,545	0.67	%	315
Time	167,102	1.19	%	494	182,594	1.35	%	612
Time of \$100,000 or more	94,374	1.32	%	311	102,897	1.46	%	375
Total interest-bearing deposits	723,496	0.66	%	1,198	703,287	0.87	%	1,527
Short-term borrowings	28,961	0.38	%	27	21,596	0.49	%	26
Long-term debt	5,284	4.75	%	63	7,932	4.75	%	95
Total interest-bearing liabilities	757,741	0.68	%	1,289	732,815	0.90	%	1,648
Non-interest-bearing deposits	74,165				66,939			
Other liabilities	2,605				2,919			
Shareholders' equity	76,054				69,283			
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$910,565				\$871,956			
Net interest rate spread		3.03	%			3.38	%	
Margin/net interest income		3.12	%	\$ 6,825		3.49	%	\$ 7,299

Tax-exempt securities and loans were adjusted to a tax-equivalent basis and are based on the marginal Federal corporate tax rate of 34 percent.

loans and investment securities are included in earning assets. \* Includes loans held-for-sale

Non-accrual

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

# CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

# Average Balances, Rate, and Interest Income and Expense Summary (Tax-Equivalent Basis)

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013				June 30, 2				
	Average	Average	e		Average	Averag	e		
	Balance	Rate		Interest	Balance	Rate		Interest	
Assets	Φ.			Φ.	Φ.			Φ.	
Federal funds sold	\$-	-		\$-	\$-	-		\$-	
Investment securities:	00.214	1.00	01	5.40	66.044	1.71	04	<b>5</b> 6 6	
U.S. Government agencies	88,314	1.22	%	540	66,044	1.71	%	566	
State and municipal	84,937	4.89	%	2,078	76,832	5.51	%	2,116	
Mortgage-backed and CMOs	205,260	2.06	% ~	2,119	190,224	2.66	% ~	2,526	
Pooled trust preferred securities	3,519	0.18	%	3	3,625	0.23	%	4	
Corporate debt securities	2,900	2.86	%	42	2,456	4.07	%	50	
Equities	3,668	3.10	%	56	3,488	4.29	%	74	
Total investment securities	388,598	2.49	%	4,838	342,669	3.11	%	5,336	
Loans:	240.512	4.05	~	( 101	255.025	<b>7</b> 40	64	6.045	
Commercial real estate	248,513	4.97	% ~	6,121	255,035	5.40	% ~	6,847	
Residential real estate	28,458	4.56	%	649	27,298	5.25	%	717	
Home equity loans	51,119	4.18	%	1,060	51,286	4.56	%	1,162	
Commercial and industrial	104,866	4.34	%	2,257	98,930	4.83	%	2,378	
Indirect lease financing	9,647	9.94	%	480	11,969	9.68	%	579	
Consumer loans	2,229	6.52	%	72	2,254	13.10	%	147	
Tax-exempt loans	32,364	4.98	%	799	34,546	5.40	%	927	
Total loans, net of unearned income*	477,196	4.83	%	11,438	481,318	5.33	%	12,757	
Other earning assets	9,763	0.31	%	14	14,869	0.26	%	19	
Total earning assets	875,557	3.75	%	16,290	838,856	4.34	%	18,112	
Cash and due from banks	11,139				10,770				
Allowance for loan losses	(9,504)				(9,476)				
Other assets	30,734				28,755				
Total assets	\$907,926				\$868,905				
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity									
Interest-bearing deposits:									
Interest-bearing demand	\$109,204	0.24	%	129	\$97,445	0.33	%	158	
Municipals	80,835	0.43	%	173	54,989	0.62	%	168	
Money market	71,486	0.21	%	73	75,845	0.36	%	137	
Savings	199,241	0.43	%	426	183,288	0.69	%	630	
Č	•				•				

Time	169,292	1.21	%	1,015	183,331	1.36	%	1,241
Time of \$100,000 or more	94,719	1.35	%	636	102,061	1.48	%	749
Total interest-bearing deposits	724,777	0.68	%	2,452	696,959	0.89	%	3,083
Short-term borrowings	28,369	0.38	%	53	21,248	0.50	%	53
Long-term debt	5,285	4.75	%	126	14,115	4.75	%	339
Total interest-bearing liabilities	758,431	0.70	%	2,631	732,322	0.95	%	3,475
Non-interest-bearing deposits	71,452				65,127			
Other liabilities	2,748				3,020			
Shareholders' equity	75,295				68,436			
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$907,926				\$868,905			
Net interest rate spread		3.05	%			3.39	%	
Margin/net interest income		3.15	%	\$13,659		3.51	%	\$14,637

Tax-exempt securities and loans were adjusted to a tax-equivalent basis and are based on the marginal Federal

corporate tax rate of 34 percent.

Non-accrual loans and investment securities are included in earning assets.

<sup>\*</sup> Includes loans held-for-sale

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

# CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

**Rate/Volume Analysis.** The following table shows the fully taxable equivalent effect of changes in volumes and rates on interest income and interest expense. Changes in net interest income that could not be specifically identified as either a rate or volume change were allocated to changes in volume.

**Three Months Ended** Six Months Ended

	compa	June 30, 2013 compared to June 30, 2012				June 30, 2013 compared to June 30, 2012					
	Total	Total Due to change in:			Total		Due to change in:				
	Change	e Volum	n <b>e</b> Rate		Change	e	VolumeRate				
<b>Interest income:</b>											
Federal funds sold	\$-	\$-	\$-		\$-		\$-	\$-			
Investment securities:											
U.S. Government agencies	(11)	48	(59	)	(26	)	191	(217	)		
State and municipal	(32)	112	(144	)	(38	)	224	(262	)		
Mortgage-backed and CMO			(303	)	(407	)	199	(606	)		
Pooled trust preferred securi	ities -	1	(1	)	(1	)	-	(1	)		
Corporate debt securities	(7)	10	(17	)	(8	)	9	(17	)		
Equities	(6)	2	(8	)	(18	)	4	(22	)		
Loans:											
Commercial real estate	(336)	(45)	(291	)	(726	)	(193)	(533	)		
Residential real estate	(56)	13	(69	)	(68	)	30	(98	)		
Home equity loans	(42)	10	(52	)	(102	)	(8)	(94	)		
Commercial and industrial	(4)	114	(118	)	(121	)	136	(257	)		
Indirect lease financing	(57)	(63)	6		(99	)	(112)	13			
Consumer loans	(30)	1	(31	)	(75	)	(2)	(73	)		
Tax-exempt loans	(67)	(28)	(39	)	(128	)	(60)	(68	)		
Other earning assets	(3)	(4)	1		(5	)	(8)	3			
Total interest income	(834)	291	(1,125)	)	(1,82)	2)	410	(2,23)	2)		
Interest expense:											
Interest-bearing demand	(12)	9	(21	)	(29	)	19	(48	)		
Municipals	9	40	(31	)	5		78	(73	)		
Money market	(31)	(4)	(27	)	(64	)	(8)	(56	)		
Savings	(113)	20	(133	)	(204	)	53	(257	)		
Time	(118)	(50)	(68	)	(226	)	(98)	(128	)		

Time of \$100,000 or more	(64)	(31)	(33	)	(113	)	(56)	(57	)
Short-term borrowings	1	9	(8	)	-		18	(18	)
Long-term debt	(32)	(32)	-		(213	)	(213)	-	
Total interest expense	(360)	(39)	(321	)	(844	)	(207)	(637	)
Net interest income	\$(474)	\$330	\$(804	) :	\$(978	)	\$617	\$(1,59	5)

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

#### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### **NET INTEREST INCOME**

The following table presents the adjustment to convert net interest income to net interest income on a fully taxable-equivalent basis for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Total interest income	\$7,624	\$8,424	\$15,300	\$17,057
Total interest expense	1,288	1,648	2,631	3,475
Net interest income	6,336	6,776	12,669	13,582
Tax-equivalent adjustment	489	523	990	1,055
Net interest income (fully taxable-equivalent)	\$6,825	\$7,299	\$13,659	\$14,637

Net interest income is the primary source of operating income for QNB. Net interest income is interest income, dividends, and fees on earning assets, less interest expense incurred for funding sources. Earning assets primarily include loans, investment securities, interest bearing balances at the Federal Reserve Bank (Fed) and Federal funds sold. Sources used to fund these assets include deposits and borrowed funds. Net interest income is affected by changes in interest rates, the volume and mix of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, and the amount of earning assets funded by non-interest bearing deposits.

For purposes of this discussion, interest income and the average yield earned on loans and investment securities are adjusted to a tax-equivalent basis as detailed in the tables that appear above. This adjustment to interest income is made for analysis purposes only. Interest income is increased by the amount of savings of Federal income taxes, which QNB realizes by investing in certain tax-exempt state and municipal securities and by making loans to certain tax-exempt organizations. In this way, the ultimate economic impact of earnings from various assets can be more easily compared.

The net interest rate spread is the difference between average rates received on earning assets and average rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities, while the net interest rate margin, which includes interest-free sources of funds, is net interest income expressed as a percentage of average interest-earning assets. The Asset/Liability and Investment Management Committee works to manage and maximize the net interest margin for the Company.

#### **Quarter to Quarter Comparison**

Net interest income for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 totaled \$6,336,000, a decrease of \$440,000, or 6.5%, over the same period in 2012. On a tax-equivalent basis, net interest income decreased \$474,000, or 6.5%, from \$7,229,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 to \$6,825,000 for the same period ended June 30, 2013.

The decline in net interest income is primarily a result of the prolonged period of extremely low interest rates which has exerted downward pressure on yields on earning assets, primarily loans and investment securities. The impact of lower yields on earning assets over the past year has exceeded the impact on funding costs resulting in a decline in the net interest margin. The net interest margin for the second quarter of 2013 was 3.12%, a decline of 37 basis points from the 3.49% recorded in the second quarter of 2012. Another factor negatively impacting the net interest margin is the change in the mix of earning assets. While loan demand showed signs of improving during the second quarter of 2013, the growth in investment securities, which tend to earn a lower yield than loans, was still significantly greater than loans. Average earning assets grew by \$36,288,000, or 4.3%, when comparing the second quarter of 2013 to the same period in 2012, with average investment securities increasing \$39,988,000, or 11.6%, and average loans increasing by \$2,511,000, or 0.5%. Partially offsetting the growth in these categories of earning assets was a \$6,810,000 reduction in cash held at the Federal Reserve earning 0.25%. On the funding side, average deposits increased \$27,435,000, or 3.6%, with average transaction accounts increasing \$51,450,000, or 10.6%. The growth in transaction accounts was broad based across all product lines, except for money market accounts. Short-term borrowings, primarily commercial sweep accounts, contributed an additional \$7,365,000 in additional funding. Offsetting a portion of this growth was a decline in average time deposits of \$24,015,000, or 8.4%, when comparing the second quarter 2013 with the same period in 2012.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

#### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Rate-Volume Analysis tables, as presented on a tax-equivalent basis, highlight the impact of changing rates and volumes on interest income and interest expense. Total interest income on a tax-equivalent basis decreased \$833,000, or 9.3%, to \$8,114,000 for the second quarter of 2013, while total interest expense decreased \$359,000, or 21.8%, to \$1,289,000. Volume growth in earning assets contributed an additional \$292,000 of interest income but was offset by a decline in interest income of \$1,125,000 resulting from lower interest rates. With regard to interest expense, lower funding costs (interest rates paid) resulted in a decline in interest expense of \$321,000 which was coupled with a \$32,000 decrease in interest expense resulting from the decline in the volume of long-term debt.

The yield on earning assets on a tax-equivalent basis decreased 57 basis points from 4.28% for the second quarter of 2012 to 3.71% for the second quarter of 2013 and also declined by 9 basis points from the 3.80% reported for the first quarter of 2013. As noted earlier the long period of historically low interest rates has resulted in a significant amount of higher yielding bonds with call features being called and prepayments on mortgage-related securities increasing, with these proceeds being reinvested in lower yielding investment securities. In addition, new loans are being originated at significantly lower rates, variable rate loans are repricing lower and many customers with fixed rates are requesting that their rates be modified lower. Also negatively impacting net interest income, the yield on earning assets and the net interest margin are nonaccrual loans which increased slightly from \$16,264,000 at June 30, 2012 to \$17,301,000 at June 30, 2013.

In comparison, the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities decreased 22 basis points from 0.90% for the second quarter of 2012 to 0.68% for the second quarter of 2013 and decreased 4 basis points when compared to 0.72% reported in the first quarter of 2013.

Interest income on investment securities decreased \$239,000 when comparing the two quarters as the increase in average balances could not offset the 56 basis point decline in the average yield of the portfolio. The average yield on the investment portfolio was 2.47% for the second quarter of 2013 compared with 3.03% for the second quarter of 2012. As noted previously, the decline in the yield on the investment portfolio is the result of the reinvestment of the cash flow into lower yielding securities. The growth in the investment portfolio was primarily in high-quality U.S. Government agency and agency issued mortgage-backed and CMO securities as well as in tax-exempt state and municipal bonds.

Income on Government agency securities decreased \$11,000, as the 18.2% growth in average balances was offset by a 30 basis point decline in the yield from 1.58% for the second quarter of 2012 to 1.28% for the same period in 2013. Most of the bonds in the agency portfolio have call features ranging from three months to three years, many of which were exercised as a result of the low interest rate environment. The proceeds from these called bonds as well as liquidity from deposit growth were reinvested in securities with significantly lower yields. With the run up in interest rates towards the tail end of the second quarter of 2013 bonds that were expected to be called during 2013 have now extended into 2014. However, current rates on agency bonds are now at a point that the yield on this portfolio should stabilize and potentially increase.

Interest income on tax-exempt municipal securities decreased \$32,000 as the 10.8% growth in average balances was offset by a 68 basis point decline in yield. The yield on the municipal portfolio was 4.76% for the second quarter of 2013 compared to 5.44% for the second quarter of 2013. QNB had purchased many municipal securities when rates were significantly higher. These bonds have either reached maturity or their call dates and are being replaced with municipal bonds with lower yields. Typically QNB purchased municipal bonds with 10-15 year maturities; however, given the current rate environment has shortened the maturity range to between 5-7 years with call dates between 2-4 years.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

#### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Interest income on mortgage-backed securities and CMOs decreased \$183,000. Average balances increased \$18,526,000, or 9.7%, to \$210,474,000 when comparing the two periods and contributed \$120,000 in additional income. The yield on the mortgage-backed and CMO portfolio decreased 58 basis points from 2.58% for the second quarter of 2012 to 2.00% for the second quarter of 2013, resulting in a \$303,000 reduction in interest income. This portfolio was expanded because it provides higher yields relative to agency bonds and also provides monthly cash flow which can be used for liquidity purposes or can be reinvested when interest rates eventually increase. With the historically low interest rate environment mortgage refinancing activity over the past three years was significant resulting in an increase in prepayments on these securities. Since most of these securities were purchased at a premium, prepayments result in a shorter amortization period of this premium and therefore a reduction in income. With the increase in interest rates, mortgage prepayments should slow down and yields should increase.

Income on loans decreased \$591,000 to \$5,728,000 when comparing the second quarters of 2013 and 2012 with the decline in the portfolio yield being the reason. The yield on the loan portfolio decreased 53 basis points to 4.76% when comparing the same periods, resulting in a reduction in interest income of \$594,000. When comparing the two quarters average balances increased 0.5% resulting in an increase of \$3,000 in interest income. As a result of the decline in market rates and an increase in competition for quality loans, QNB lowered the rates offered on new loans and reduced rates on some existing loans.

The largest category of the loan portfolio is commercial real estate loans. This category of loans includes commercial purpose loans secured by either commercial properties such as office buildings, factories, warehouses, medical facilities and retail establishments, or residential real estate, usually the residence of the business owner. The category also includes construction and land development loans. Income on commercial real estate loans decreased \$336,000 and was impacted by both the decline in yield and a decrease in average balances. The yield on commercial real estate loans was 5.37% for the second quarter of 2013, a decrease of 47 basis points from the 5.37% reported for the second quarter of 2012. Average balances decreased \$4,060,000, or 1.6%, to \$249,290,000, for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared with the same quarter in 2012.

Income on commercial and industrial loans, the second largest category, decreased only \$4,000 as the decline in yield was offset by an increase in average balances. Average commercial and industrial loans increased \$9,425,000, or 9.4%, to \$110,010,000 for the second quarter of 2013. The average yield on these loans decreased 43 basis points to

4.26% resulting in a decrease in income of \$118,000. Many of the loans in this category are indexed to the prime interest rate and have floors.

Income on home equity loans declined by \$41,000 when comparing the second quarter of 2013 and 2012. During the second quarter of 2013, QNB began to offer very attractive rates on both variable rate and fixed rate home equity loans in an attempt to increase demand. As a result average home equity loans increased \$797,000, or 1.6%, to \$51,532,000 for the second quarter of 2013. From December 31, 2012 to June 30, 2013 home equity loans have increased 3.5% to \$56,777,000. The yield on the home equity portfolio decreased 40 basis points to 4.11%. The demand for home equity loans declined during prior periods as home values fell preventing some homeowners from having equity in their homes to borrow against while others took advantage of the low interest rates on mortgages and refinanced their home equity loans into a new mortgage. With the recent rise in mortgage interest rates and an improvement in home values it is expected that the demand for home equity loans will continue to improve.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

#### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Given the low yields on investment securities, management decided to retain some 15 year mortgages to borrowers with high credit scores and low loan to value ratios. As a result, average residential mortgage loans secured by first lien 1-4 family residential mortgages increased by \$963,000, or 3.5%, to \$28,406,000 for the second quarter of 2013. During this same period the average yield on the portfolio declined by 98 basis points to 4.45% for the second quarter of 2013. The net result was a decrease in interest income of \$56,000.

For the most part, earning assets are funded by deposits, which increased on average by \$27,435,000, or 3.6%, to \$797,661,000 and by borrowed funds which increased on average by \$4,717,00 to \$34,245,000, when comparing the second quarters of 2013 and 2012. Total interest expense decreased \$359,000 to \$1,289,000 for the second quarter of 2013 with interest expense on total deposits accounting for \$328,000 of the decline. The rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities decreased 22 basis points from 0.90% for the second quarter of 2012 to 0.68% for the second quarter of 2013. During this same period, the rate paid on interest-bearing deposits decreased 21 basis points from 0.87% to 0.66%. These yields will most likely not decline much further as deposit rates are close to reaching an inherent floor.

Consistent with prior quarters, the growth in deposits when comparing the second quarter of 2013 with the second quarter of 2012 was in accounts with greater liquidity, such as non-interest-bearing and interest-bearing demand, interest-bearing municipal accounts, and savings deposits. Average non-interest-bearing demand accounts increased \$7,226,000, or 10.8%, to \$74,165,000 for the second quarter of 2013. QNB has been very successful in increasing business checking accounts as balances in these accounts have increased by \$8,105,000, or 16.8%, when comparing the two quarters. Average interest-bearing demand accounts increased \$11,588,000, or 11.7%, to \$110,649,000 for the second quarter of 2013 compared to the same quarter of 2012; however, interest expense on interest-bearing demand accounts decreased \$12,000 to \$66,000 for the second quarter of 2013 as the average rate paid decreased from 0.32% for the second quarter of 2012 to 0.24% for the second quarter of 2013. Included in this category is QNB-Rewards checking, a higher-rate checking account product. The decrease in interest expense and the average rate paid on interest-bearing demand accounts is primarily the result of a reduction in the rate paid on QNB-Rewards checking. The rate paid on this account for the second quarter of 2012 was 1.25% on balances up to \$25,000 and 0.50% for balances over \$25,000 compared to 1.00% on balances up to \$25,000 and 0.25% for balances over \$25,000 during the second quarter of 2013. In order to receive the high rate a customer must receive an electronic statement, have one direct deposit or other ACH transaction and have at least 12 check card purchase transactions post and clear per statement cycle. For the second quarter of 2013, the average balance in this product was \$31,767,000 and the related interest expense was \$55,000 for an average yield of 0.69%. In comparison, the average balance of the QNB-Rewards accounts for the second quarter of 2012 was \$29,693,000 with a related interest expense of \$68,000 and an average rate paid of 0.92%. Even with the reduction in the rates paid on the QNB-Rewards product, the yield of 1.00% for the first \$25,000 and 0.25% on balances over \$25,000, assuming qualifications are met, is still an attractive rate relative to competitors' offerings as well as other QNB products. This product also generates fee income through the use of the

check card. The average balance of other interest-bearing demand accounts included in this category increased from \$69,368,000 for the second quarter of 2012 to \$78,882,000 for the second quarter of 2013. The average rate paid on these balances increased slightly from 0.05% to 0.06% for the three month periods June 30, 2012 and 2013, respectively.

Interest expense on municipal interest-bearing demand accounts increased \$9,000 to \$90,000 for the second quarter of 2013. The average balance of municipal interest-bearing demand accounts increased \$26,517,000, or 47.7%, while the average interest rate paid on these accounts decreased from 0.59% for the second quarter of 2012 to 0.44% for the second quarter of 2013. Most of these accounts are tied directly to the Federal funds rate with most having rate floors between 0.25% and 0.50%. QNB was successful in increasing their relationships with several of these customers over the past year, accounting for the increase in balances. The yield on these accounts should decline in the third quarter as most of the floors were reduced to between 0.25% and 0.35%. In addition the balance should increase significantly during the third quarter as taxes are received by the school districts.

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Average money market accounts decreased \$4,861,000, or 6.6%, to \$68,695,000 for the second quarter of 2013 compared with the same period in 2012. When comparing these same periods interest expense on money market accounts decreased \$30,000 to \$36,000 and the average interest rate paid declined 15 basis points to 0.21% for the second quarter of 2013. The decline in interest expense and the rate paid is a function of the low interest rate environment.

The QNB online eSavings account, introduced approximately three years ago, has been extremely successful and is the main reason for the growth of savings accounts to \$204,510,000 at June 30, 2013. As market rates declined, the eSavings interest rate paid was also reduced and declined from 0.90% at March 31, 2012 to 0.75% at June 30, 2012 to 0.50% at June 30, 2013. The average yield paid on these accounts was 0.50% for the second quarter of 2013 compared with a yield of 0.84% for the second quarter of 2012. The average balance of this product was \$150,874,000 for the second quarter of 2013 compared to \$140,847,000 for the second quarter of 2012 and contributed to the \$10,980,000, or 5.8%, increase in total average savings accounts when comparing the two quarters. Traditional statement savings accounts, passbook savings and club accounts are also included in the savings category and increased \$953,000, or 2.0%, when comparing the second quarter 2013 average to the same 2012 quarter. The average rate paid on total savings accounts decreased 27 basis points from 0.67% for the second quarter of 2012 to 0.40% for the second quarter of 2013 and interest expense decreased 35.9% from \$315,000 to \$202,000 over the same period.

The repricing of time deposits at lower rates continues to have the greatest impact on total interest expense. Total interest expense on time deposits decreased \$182,000, or 18.4%, to \$805,000 for the second quarter of 2013. Average total time deposits decreased by \$24,015,000, or 8.4%, to \$261,476,000 for the second quarter of 2013. Similar to fixed-rate loans and investment securities, time deposits reprice over time and, therefore, have less of an immediate impact on costs in either a rising or falling rate environment. Unlike loans and investment securities, however, the maturity and repricing characteristics of time deposits tend to be shorter. Over the course of 2012 and the first six months of 2013 a significant amount of time deposits have continued to reprice lower as rates have declined. The average rate paid on time deposits decreased from 1.39% to 1.23% when comparing the second quarter of 2012 to the same period in 2013.

Approximately \$117,487,000, or 46.4%, of time deposits at June 30, 2013 will reprice or mature over the next 12 months. The average rate paid on these time deposits is approximately 0.73%. Given the short-term nature of QNB's time deposit portfolio and the current rates being offered, it is likely that the average rate paid on time deposits may continue to decline slightly in the near term as higher costing time deposits are repriced lower. However, given the

short-term nature of these deposits interest expense could increase if short-term time deposit rates were to increase suddenly.

Short-term borrowings are primarily comprised of sweep accounts structured as repurchase agreements with commercial customers. Interest expense on short-term borrowings increased slightly from \$26,000 for the second quarter of 2012 to \$27,000 for the second quarter of 2013. When comparing these same periods average balances increased from \$21,596,000 to \$28,961,000 while the average rate paid declined from 0.49% to 0.38%.

QNB had \$5,284,000 and \$7,932,000 of long-term debt at an average rate of 4.75% for the second quarter of 2013 and 2012, respectively. In April 2012, \$15,000,000 of debt at a rate of 4.75% matured and was repaid resulting in the reduction of average balances. As a result interest expense declined from \$95,000 for the second quarter of 2012 to \$63,000 for the second quarter of 2013. In April, 2014, \$5,000,000 in debt at a rate of 4.75% will mature which should further reduce interest expense.

### **Six Month Comparison**

For the six month period ended June 30, 2013 net interest income was \$12,669,000, a decrease of \$913,000, or 6.7%, lower than the \$13,582,000 reported for the first half of 2012. On a tax-equivalent basis net interest income declined \$978,000, or 6.7%, to \$13,659,000. For the six month period ending June 30, 2013 average earning assets increased \$36,701,000, or 4.4%, to \$875,557,000, with average investment securities increasing \$45,929,000, or 13.4%, to \$388,598,000 and average loans decreasing \$4,122,000, or 0.9%, to \$477,196,000. Average total deposits increased \$34,143,000, or 4.5%, to \$796,229,000 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The net interest margin on a tax-equivalent basis was 3.15% for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared with 3.51% for the same period in 2012.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Total interest income on a tax-equivalent basis decreased \$1,822,000, from \$18,112,000 to \$16,290,000, when comparing the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2013 as the additional interest income generated from the growth in earning assets was offset by the impact of declining yields on those assets. Interest income increased \$410,000 as a result of volume increases but declined \$2,232,000 as a result of lower yields. The analysis of the six-month comparison periods is similar to what was described in the quarterly analysis; strong deposit growth combined with minimal loan demand has resulted in significant growth of the investment securities portfolio. These factors when combined with the historically low interest rate environment and the repricing of earning assets has resulted in a decline in net interest income and the net interest margin.

The yield on earning assets decreased from 4.34% to 3.75% for the six-month periods with the yield on loans decreasing from 5.33% to 4.83% during this time. The yield on investments decreased from 3.11% to 2.49% when comparing the six-month periods. As discussed previously, the decline in yields reflects the impact of historically low levels of interest rates over the past several years.

Total interest expense decreased \$844,000, from \$3,475,000 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 to \$2,631,000, for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013. Most of the decrease in interest expense was a result of lower rates paid on deposits, especially time deposits and Online eSavings deposits. Interest expense on interest-bearing deposits declined by \$631,000, with interest expense on time deposits and savings deposits declining \$339,000 and \$204,000, respectively. The average rate paid on time deposits decreased 14 basis points from 1.40% to 1.26% while the average rate paid on savings deposits decreased from 0.69% to 0.43% when comparing the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2013. The average balance of total time deposits declined \$21,381,000, or 7.5%, to \$264,011,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared with the similar 2012 period.

While the average balances on time deposits declined when comparing the six-month periods, the average balances of transaction accounts increased significantly as customers sought the liquidity of these accounts as well as the higher rate being offered on the QNB-Rewards checking product and the Online eSavings product. The average balance of non-interest and interest-bearing transaction accounts increased \$55,524,000, or 11.6% to \$532,218,000 for the six-months ended June 30, 2013. Interest expense on interest-bearing demand deposits decreased \$29,000, as the 9 basis point decrease in the average rate paid more than offset the impact on interest expense of the \$11,759,000, or 12.1%, increase in average balances. The interest rate paid on interest-bearing demand accounts decreased from 0.33% for the first half of 2012 to 0.24% for the first half of 2013. As discussed previously, a reduction in the rate paid on the QNB-Rewards checking account was the primary factor for the decrease in cost of funds in this category.

Interest expense on municipal deposits increased by \$5,000 to \$173,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 as the \$25,846,000 or 47.0% increase in balances offset the 19 basis point decline in the average rate paid. Interest expense on money market accounts declined by \$64,000 to \$73,000 for the six month period ended June 30 2013 as the average rate paid declined from 0.36% for the first half of 2012 to 0.21% for the first half of 2013. The impact of lower rates combined with the impact of the 5.7% decrease in average balances accounted for the reduced expense. Average savings account balances increased \$15,953,000, or 8.7%, to \$199,241,000 while the average rate paid decreased from 0.69% to 0.43%. The combination of these elements resulted in a \$204,000 decrease in interest expense on savings accounts.

### **QNB CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY**

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

#### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Also contributing to the reduction in interest expense, when comparing the six-month periods, was lower expense on long-term debt. Interest expense on long-term debt declined by \$213,000, primarily the result of the repayment of \$15,000,000 in April 2012 as discussed previously. The average balance of long-term debt was \$5,285,000 for the first half of 2013 compared to \$14,115,000 for the same period in 2012.

### PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The provision for loan losses represents management's determination of the amount necessary to be charged to operations to bring the allowance for loan losses to a level that represents management's best estimate of the known and inherent losses in the existing loan portfolio. Management believes that it uses the best information available to make determinations about the adequacy of the allowance and that it has established its existing allowance for loan losses in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The determination of an appropriate level for the allowance for loan losses is based upon an analysis of the risks inherent in QNB's loan portfolio. Management, in determining the allowance for loan losses, makes significant estimates and assumptions. Since the allowance for loan losses is dependent, to a great extent, on conditions that may be beyond QNB's control, it is at least reasonably possible that management's estimates of the allowance for loan losses and actual results could differ. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review QNB's allowance for losses on loans. Such agencies may require QNB to recognize changes to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination. Actual loan losses, net of recoveries, serve to reduce the allowance.

Management closely monitors the quality of its loan portfolio and performs a quarterly analysis of the appropriateness of the allowance for loan losses. This analysis considers a number of relevant factors including: specific impairment reserves, historical loan loss experience, general economic conditions, levels of and trends in delinquent and non-performing loans, levels of classified loans, trends in the growth rate of loans and concentrations of credit.

Economic conditions over the past four years have contributed to high rates of unemployment and a softening of the residential and commercial real estate markets. These factors have had a negative impact on both consumers and small

businesses and have contributed to higher than historical levels of net charge-offs and increases in specific reserves and in non-performing, impaired and classified loans. These factors and continued concerns related to economic conditions have resulted in elevated levels of the provision for loan losses and the allowance for loan losses. Since December 31, 2008, the start of the financial crisis, QNB has increased its allowance for loan losses from \$3,836,000, or 0.95% of total loans, to \$9,431,000, or 1.92% of total loans at June 30, 2013. Over the past year the allowance for loan losses has been relatively stable representing \$9,772,000, or 2.05% of total loans at December 31, 2012, and \$9,467,000, or 1.92% of total loans at June 30, 2012. The allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2013 is at a level that QNB management believes is adequate as of that date based on its analysis of known and inherent losses in the portfolio.

QNB recorded a provision for loan losses of \$100,000 in the second quarter of 2013. There was no provision for loan losses in either the first quarter of 2013 or the second quarter of 2012. For the six month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 the provision for loan losses was \$100,000 and \$300,000, respectively. Net loan charge-offs were \$20,000 for the second quarter of 2013, or 0.02% annualized of total average loans, compared with net recoveries of \$12,000 for the second quarter of 2012, or -0.01% annualized of total average loans. For the six month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 net loan charge-offs were \$441,000, or 0.19% annualized, and \$73,000, or 0.03% annualized, respectively.

At June 30, 2013, non-performing loans totaled \$22,037,000, as compared to \$21,150,000 at December 31, 2012 and \$20,824,000 at June 30, 2012. Non-performing loans have risen somewhat from 4.23% of total loans at June 30, 2012 to 4.49% at June 30, 2013. This increase was primarily the result of a \$1,822,000 loan that was restructured to allow for a period of interest only payments during the first quarter of 2013. Loans on non-accrual status were \$17,301,000 at June 30, 2013 compared with \$18,572,000 at December 31, 2012 and \$16,264,000 at June 30, 2012. Loans are placed on non-accrual status immediately if, in the opinion of management, collection is doubtful, or when principal or interest is past due 90 days or more and collateral is insufficient to cover principal and interest. In cases where there is a collateral shortfall on non-accrual loans, specific impairment reserves have been established based on the updated collateral values even if the borrower continues to pay in accordance with the terms of the agreement. Of the total amount of non-accrual loans at June 30, 2013, \$11,394,000, or approximately 66%, were current or past due less than 30 days at quarter end. While total non-performing loans have increased when comparing the second quarter of 2013 with the second quarter of the prior year, loans classified as substandard or doubtful, which includes non-performing loans, continues to improve. At June 30, 2013 substandard or doubtful loans totaled \$42,178,000, a reduction of \$8,698,000 from \$50,876,000 as of June 30, 2012.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

QNB had \$442,000 of loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest at June 30, 2013 compared to none at December 31, 2012 and \$475,000 at June 30, 2012. Total loans 30 days or more past due, which includes non-accrual loans by actual number of days delinquent, represented 1.70% of total loans at June, 2013 compared with 1.50% at December 31, 2012 and 1.20% at June 30, 2012.

A loan is considered impaired, based on current information and events, if it is probable that QNB will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis for commercial loans and indirect lease financing loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral, if the loan is collateral dependent. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the recorded investment in loans for which impairment has been identified totaled \$30,002,000 and \$32,304,000 of which \$22,182,000 and \$23,771,000, respectively, required no specific allowance for loan loss. The recorded investment in impaired loans requiring an allowance for loan losses was \$7,820,000 and \$8,533,000 at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the related allowance for loan losses associated with these loans was \$2,181,000 and \$2,701,000, respectively. Most of the loans that have been identified as impaired are collateral-dependent. See Note 8 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional detail of impaired loans.

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

# CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table shows detailed information and ratios pertaining to the Company's loan and asset quality:

	June 30,		Decembe 31,	r	June 30	,
	2013		2012		2012	
Non-accrual loans	\$17,301		\$18,572		\$16,264	
Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest	442		-		475	
Troubled debt restructured loans (not already included above)	4,294		2,578		4,085	
Total non-performing loans	22,037		21,150		20,824	
Other real estate owned and repossessed assets	1,063		1,161		1,151	
Non-accrual investment securities	2,091		1,962		2,015	
Total non-performing assets	\$25,191		\$24,273		\$23,990	
Total loans (excluding loans held-for-sale):						
Average total loans (YTD)	\$476,130	)	\$480,068		\$480,45	5
Total loans	489,213	5	477,733		491,26	3
Allowance for loan losses	9,431		9,772		9,467	
Allowance for loan losses to:						
Non-performing loans	42.79	%	46.20	%	45.47	%
Total loans	1.92	%	2.05	%	1.92	%
Average total loans	1.98	%	2.04	%	1.97	%
Non-performing loans to total loans	4.49	%	4.41	%	4.23	%
Non-performing assets to total assets	2.77	%	2.64	%	2.70	%

An analysis of loan charge-offs for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to 2012 is as follows:

Three months ended June 30, 2013 2012 Six months ended June 30, 2013 2012

Net charge-offs \$20 \$(12 ) \$441 \$73

Net charge-offs (annualized) to:

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### **NON-INTEREST INCOME**

#### **Non-Interest Income Comparison**

1 ton 1 moor est 1 moone comparison										
	Three rended J	nonths June 30,	Change from prior year			Six months ended June 30,		- •		
	2013	2012	Amoun Percent		2013	2012	AmounPercer		t	
Net gain on investment securities	\$93	\$141	\$(48)	-34.0	%	\$516	\$530	\$(14)	-2.6	%
Fees for services to customers	369	345	24	7.0	%	735	684	51	7.5	%
ATM and debit card	378	367	11	3.0	%	730	731	(1)	-0.1	%
Bank-owned life insurance	75	78	(3)	-3.8	%	149	156	(7)	-4.5	%
Merchant income	101	101	-	0.0	%	182	186	(4)	-2.2	%
Net gain on sale of loans	98	231	(133)	-57.6	%	323	458	(135)	-29.5	%
Other	125	63	62	98.4	%	352	147	205	139.5	%
Total	\$1,239	\$1,326	\$(87)	-6.6	%	\$2,987	\$2,892	\$95	3.3	%

QNB, through its core banking business, generates various fees and service charges. Total non-interest income includes service charges on deposit accounts, ATM and check card income, income on bank-owned life insurance, merchant income and gains and losses on the sale of investment securities and residential mortgage loans.

### **Quarter to Quarter Comparison**

Total non-interest income for the second quarter of 2013 was \$1,239,000, a decrease of \$87,000, compared to \$1,326,000 for the second quarter of 2012.

The fixed-income securities portfolio represents a significant portion of QNB's earning assets and is also a primary tool in liquidity and asset/liability management. QNB actively manages its fixed income portfolio in an effort to take

advantage of changes in the shape of the yield curve and changes in spread relationships in different sectors and for liquidity purposes. Management continually reviews strategies that will result in an increase in the yield or improvement in the structure of the investment portfolio, including monitoring credit and concentration risk in the portfolio. Net investment securities gains were \$93,000 for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$141,000 for the comparable quarter in 2012. Included in the second quarter of 2013 securities gains were \$107,000 recorded on the sale of equity securities and \$28,000 on sales of bonds, primarily mortgaged-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations. In the second quarter of 2012, QNB recorded gains of \$40,000 on the sale of equity securities and \$101,000 on the sale of bonds. There was a \$43,000 credit-related OTTI charges during the second quarter of 2013. There were no OTTI charges in the second quarter of 2012.

Fees for services to customers were \$369,000 for the second quarter of 2013, a \$24,000, or 7.0%, increase from the same period in 2012. Overdraft income, representing approximately 64% of total fees for services to customers during the second quarter of 2013, increased by \$24,000. The increase in overdraft income primarily reflects the positive impact of the introduction of an overdraft protection program on net overdraft income as the program reduced the amount of overdraft fees forgiven.

The net gain on residential mortgage sales is directly related to the volume of mortgages sold and the timing of the sales relative to the interest rate environment. Residential mortgage loans to be sold are identified at origination. Net gains on the sale of residential mortgage loans for the second quarter of 2013 was \$133,000, or 57.6%, less than the second quarter of the prior year. During the second quarter of 2013 mortgage interest rates increased significantly in reaction to the possible tapering of the purchase of mortgage-backed securities by the Federal Reserve Bank. This resulted in a slowdown of mortgage refinancing activity as well as losses on loans with fixed interest rates that were originated but not yet sold. A \$38,000 charge was taken to reflect the fair market value of these loans that were held-for-sale at the end of June. The volume of loan sales was also less than prior year. Proceeds from the sale of residential mortgages were \$4,380,000 and \$6,451,000 for the second quarters of 2013 and 2012, respectively.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

There was a \$62,000 increase in other non-interest income related to improved mortgage servicing income, title company income and mutual fund and annuity income. During the fourth quarter of 2012, QNB changed vendors related to the mutual fund and annuity income and now provides securities and advisory services under the name of ONB Financial Services through Investment Professionals, Inc., a registered Broker/Dealer and Registered Investment Advisor. There has been a significant increase in revenue as a result of the change which contributed an additional \$112,000 to the quarter. Mortgage servicing fees were \$38,000 higher quarter over quarter primarily related to a small reversal of a portion of the valuation allowance related to the fair value of mortgage servicing rights as calculated by an independent third-party in 2013. This compares to a \$21,000 valuation allowance was required in the second quarter of 2012. When ONB sells its residential mortgages in the secondary market, it retains servicing rights. A normal servicing fee is retained on all mortgage loans sold and serviced. QNB recognizes its obligation to service financial assets that are retained in a transfer of assets in the form of a servicing asset. The servicing asset is amortized in proportion to, and over, the period of net servicing income or loss. On a quarterly basis, servicing assets are assessed for impairment based on their fair value. The timing of mortgage payments and delinquencies also impacts the amount of servicing fees recorded. QNB also provides title insurance as a member of Laurel Abstract Company LLC. Title company income also increased \$9,000 when comparing the second quarter of 2013 to 2012. Offsetting some of these increases noted above was a \$90,000 valuation allowance recorded on a property classified as other real estate owned. This property was sold in July 2013. In comparison, net gains of \$10,000 were recorded on the sale of other real estate owned during the second quarter of 2012.

#### **Six-Month Comparison**

Total non-interest income for the six month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$2,987,000 and \$2,892,000, respectively. Excluding net gains on investment securities and loans for both periods total non-interest income was \$2,148,000 and \$1,904,000, an increase of \$244,000, or 12.8%.

Fees for services to customers increased \$51,000, or 7.5%, to \$735,000 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013. Similar to the quarter, the majority of the increase related to an increase in overdraft service charges and a reduction in waived overdraft charges.

Net gains on the sale of loans decreased \$135,000, or 29.5%, when comparing the six months ended June 30, 2013 to the same period in 2012. Proceeds from the sale of residential mortgages were \$10,955,000 and \$11,942,000 for the periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Although the total proceeds from loan sales did decline significantly from prior year, smaller gains per loan (or losses) due to the interest rate environment at the time of sale were the biggest contributors to the decline.

Other non-interest income increased \$205,000, to \$352,000, when comparing the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. Similar to the quarter comparison, revenue from QNB Financial Services, income from mutual fund and annuity sales, was the biggest contributor with an additional \$196,000 in non-interest income when comparing the six month periods while mortgage servicing income contributed an additional \$73,000. During the first six months of 2013 QNB was able to reverse \$24,000 of the valuation allowance recorded on mortgage servicing assets during previous years. This compares to a valuation allowance of \$22,000 recorded during the first half of 2012. A slowdown in mortgage refinance activity during 2013 has also resulted in a \$24,000 reduction in amortization of the mortgage servicing asset. In addition, title company income increased \$38,000. Offsetting a portion of these increases was an \$89,000 increase in net losses on other real estate owned primarily related to the valuation allowance discussed for the quarter.

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### **NON-INTEREST EXPENSE**

### **Non-Interest Expense Comparison**

	Three n	nonths Tune 30,	Change from prior year Amountercent			Six mont		Change from prior year		
	2013	2012			2013	2012	Amountercen		ıt	
Salaries and employee benefits	\$2,673	\$2,548	\$125	4.9	%	\$5,232	\$5,174	\$58	1.1	%
Net occupancy	415	397	18	4.5	%	851	821	30	3.7	%
Furniture and equipment	417	373	44	11.8	%	830	703	127	18.1	%
Marketing	251	256	(5)	-2.0	%	490	457	33	7.2	%
Third-party services	386	365	21	5.8	%	760	704	56	8.0	%
Telephone, postage and supplies	159	156	3	1.9	%	340	306	34	11.1	%
State taxes	173	167	6	3.6	%	345	327	18	5.5	%
FDIC insurance premiums	183	162	21	13.0	%	353	342	11	3.2	%
Other	434	404	30	7.4	%	830	845	(15)	-1.8	%
Total	\$5,091	\$4,828	\$263	5.4	%	\$10,031	\$9,679	\$352	3.6	%

Non-interest expense is comprised of costs related to salaries and employee benefits, net occupancy, furniture and equipment, marketing, third party services, FDIC insurance premiums, regulatory assessments and taxes and various other operating expenses.

### **Quarter to Quarter Comparison**

Total non-interest expense was \$5,091,000 for the second quarter of 2013, an increase of \$263,000, or 5.4%, compared to the second quarter of 2012. QNB's overhead efficiency ratio, which represents the percentage of each dollar of revenue that is used for non-interest expense, is calculated by taking non-interest expense divided by net operating revenue on a tax-equivalent basis. The Bank's efficiency ratios were 67.3% and 59.8% for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and compare favorably with Pennsylvania commercial banks with assets

between \$500 million and \$1 billion which had an average efficiency ratio of 70.8% for the first quarter of 2013, the most recent period available.

Salaries and benefits is the largest component of non-interest expense. QNB monitors, through the use of various surveys, the competitive salary and benefit information in its markets and makes adjustments when appropriate. Salaries and benefits expense for the second quarter of 2013 were \$2,673,000, an increase of \$125,000, or 4.9%, from the \$2,548,000 reported in the second quarter of 2012. The main reason for the increase was an additional eleven full-time equivalent employees primarily related to the opening of two new branch locations in the first quarter of 2013 as well as two additional employees for QNB Financial Services. Offsetting some of this increase was the elimination of an incentive compensation accrual in 2013 compared to expense of approximately \$90,000 in the second quarter of 2012. In addition to the \$97,000 increase related to salaries and related taxes, medical premiums and claims increased \$33,000 comparing the second quarter of 2013 to 2012.

Net occupancy as well as furniture and fixtures expense increased \$62,000, or 8.1%. The majority of this increase was attributable to higher depreciation and amortization expense, and equipment maintenance costs. Much of the increase was related to the opening of two new locations during the first quarter, a full-service branch in Colmar, PA and a business office in Warminster, PA.

Third party services are comprised of professional services, including legal, accounting, auditing and consulting services, as well as fees paid to outside vendors for support services of day-to-day operations. These support services include correspondent banking services, statement printing and mailing, investment security safekeeping and supply management services. Third party services expense increased \$21,000, or 5.8%, to \$386,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2013 when compared to the same period in 2012. The largest contributor to the increase in this category was related to the cost of a consultant utilized to assist with a Gramm-Leach-Bliley (GLBA) risk assessment for the Bank.

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

#### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

FDIC insurance premium expense increased \$21,000, or 13.0%, to \$183,000, when comparing the three months ended June 30, 2013 to the same period in 2012. The higher expense reflects an increase in quarterly average assets as well as a small increase in the rate charged.

Other non-interest expense increased \$30,000, or 7.4%, to \$434,000 for the second quarter of 2013. Contributing to the increase are higher expenses associated with check cards, foreclosure and repossessed asset, seminars and Director fees.

#### **Six-Month Comparison**

Total non-interest expense was \$10,031,000 for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, an increase of \$352,000, or 3.6%, compared to the first half of 2012.

Salaries and benefits expense increased \$58,000, or 1.1%, to \$5,232,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. Salary expense and related taxes increased \$39,000 during the period to \$4,483,000. Similar to the quarter, a nine person increase in full-time equivalent employees contributed to the increase in costs. Prior year included an accrual of approximately \$187,000 related to incentive compensation. Comparing the six month periods, benefits expense increased \$19,000, to \$749,000.

Net occupancy and furniture and equipment expense increased \$157,000, or 10.3%, to \$1,681,000 for the first half of 2013. As was the case for the quarter most of the increase pertains to higher depreciation and amortization costs primarily related to the two new locations opened in 2013. Higher costs related to building repairs and maintenance were offset by lower branch rent costs, primarily related to a refund of excess common area maintenance costs charged in prior years due to an error by the landlord. Higher equipment maintenance costs of \$40,000 also contributed to the higher expense for the quarter. The majority of these additional costs relate to programs utilized for compliance and overdraft protection.

Marketing expense increased \$33,000, or 17.2%, to \$490,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013. Increases in advertising, public relations, research and sales promotions which were higher in large part as a result of the two new locations mentioned previously that opened in the first quarter. Donations costs were also higher when compared to the first half of 2012. QNB contributes to many not-for-profit organizations and clubs and sponsors many local events in the communities it serves.

Third party services expense increased \$56,000, or 8.0%, to \$704,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2013 when compared to the same period in 2012. The largest contributors to the increase in this category were:

third party IT services (\$25,000) related to increased expenses related to outsourced email and online/mobile banking;

other third party services (\$26,000) attributable to higher costs for interest rate risk analysis and investment security research; and

consultant expense (\$13,000) for GLBA risk assessment as noted previously.

These increases were partially offset by lower legal expenses for the first half of 2013 compared to 2012.

Telephone, postage and supplies expense was \$34,000, or 11.1%, higher for the first half of 2013 when compared to the first half of 2012. Much of the increase was attributable to the Colmar and Warminster locations opened in early 2013.

# QNB CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

#### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### **INCOME TAXES**

QNB utilizes an asset and liability approach for financial accounting and reporting of income taxes. As of June 30, 2013, QNB's net deferred tax asset was \$4,970,000. The primary components of deferred taxes are a deferred tax asset of \$3,207,000 relating to the allowance for loan losses, a deferred tax asset of \$1,039,000 resulting from unrealized losses on available for sale securities, a deferred tax asset of \$156,000 generated by OTTI charges on equity securities and a deferred tax asset of \$432,000 related to OTTI charges on trust preferred securities. As of June 30, 2012, QNB's net deferred tax asset was \$1,220,000. The primary components of deferred taxes was a deferred tax asset of \$3,219,000 relating to the allowance for loan losses, a deferred tax asset of \$121,000 generated by OTTI charges on equity securities and a deferred tax asset of \$435,000 related to OTTI charges on trust preferred securities. Partially offsetting these deferred tax assets was a deferred tax liability of \$2,391,000 resulting from unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities. The increase in the amount of the deferred tax asset when comparing the balance at June 30, 2013 and 2012 is primarily related to the change from an unrealized gain position on the available-for-sale investment portfolio at June 30, 2012 to an unrealized loss position on the available-for-sale portfolio at June 30, 2013. This change was principally related to the impact of rising interest rates, particularly towards the end of the second quarter of 2013.

The realizability of deferred tax assets is dependent upon a variety of factors, including the generation of future taxable income, the existence of taxes paid and recoverable, the reversal of deferred tax liabilities and tax planning strategies. Based upon these and other factors, management believes it is more likely than not that QNB will realize the benefits of these remaining deferred tax assets. The net deferred tax asset is included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet.

Applicable income tax expense was \$490,000 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$769,000 for the three-month period ended June 30, 2012. The effective tax rate for second quarter of 2013 was 20.6% compared with 23.5% for the second quarter of 2012. For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 applicable income taxes and the effective tax rates were \$1,223,000, or 22.1% and \$1,519,000, or 23.4%, respectively. The lower income tax expense and lower effective tax rates in 2013 is a function of lower pretax net income.

### FINANCIAL CONDITION ANALYSIS

The following balance sheet analysis compares average balance sheet data for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, as well as the period ended balances as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Average earning assets for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 increased \$36,701,000, or 4.4%, to \$875,557,000 from \$838,856,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The mix of earning assets has changed somewhat when comparing the two periods. Average loans decreased \$4,122,000, or 0.9%, while average investment securities increased \$45,929,000, or 13.4%. Average loans represented 54.5% of earning assets for the first six months of 2013, while average investment securities represented 44.4% of earning assets for the same period. This compares to 57.4% and 40.9%, respectively, for the first half of 2012. Average other earning assets, which includes Federal Reserve deposits, decreased \$5,106,000, or 34.3%, when comparing these same periods. Given the slow-down in loan growth and the relatively low yield of 0.25% on interest-bearing deposits at the Federal Reserve Bank, the decision was made to try and stay as fully invested as possible, while still retaining adequate liquidity.

QNB's primary business is accepting deposits and making loans to meet the credit needs of the communities it serves. Loans are the most significant component of earning assets and growth in loans to small businesses and residents of these communities has been a primary focus of QNB. Inherent within the lending function is the evaluation and acceptance of credit risk and interest rate risk. QNB manages credit risk associated with its lending activities through portfolio diversification, underwriting policies and procedures and loan monitoring practices. Loan growth over the past 12 months has remained relatively flat. Businesses and consumers appear to be holding off investing in new equipment or any other type of financing and are paying down their lines with excess cash. Despite the lack of demand QNB is committed to make credit available to its customers.

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Average total commercial loans decreased \$5,090,000, or 1.3%, when comparing the first six months of 2013 to the first six months of 2012. Commercial and industrial loans increased \$5,936,000, or 6.0%, to \$104,866,000. Commercial and industrial loans represent commercial purpose loans that are either secured by collateral other than real estate or unsecured. Many of these loans are for operating lines of credit. Average loans secured by real estate, either commercial or residential properties decreased \$6,522,000, or 2.6%, when comparing the average balances for the six-month periods while average tax-exempt loans to state and municipal organizations decreased \$2,182,000, or 6.3%, over the same time period. Average indirect lease financing loans decreased \$2,322,000, or 19.4%, when comparing the first half of 2013 to 2012. The decline in balances is a result of fewer opportunities to purchase good quality leases.

Average residential real estate loans increased \$1,160,000, or 4.3%, to \$28,458,000 for the first six months of 2013 as historically low interest rates have resulted in an increase in residential mortgage activity. This increase represents fixed rate loans primarily loans with a 15 year maturity, low loan-to-values and excellent credit. Despite this increase, QNB sells most of its fixed rate originations to Freddie Mac.

Total investment securities were \$380,510,000 at June 30, 2013 and \$401,648,000 at December 31, 2012. Since December 31, 2012 decreases in U.S. Government and agency securities were replaced with mortgage-backed securities that are backed by U.S. Government and agencies and sponsored enterprises (GSEs).

QNB does own CDOs in the form of pooled trust preferred securities. These securities are comprised mainly of securities issued by banks or bank holding companies, and to a lesser degree, insurance companies. QNB owns the mezzanine tranches of these securities. These securities are structured so that the senior and mezzanine tranches are protected from defaults by over-collateralization and cash flow default protection provided by subordinated tranches. QNB holds seven of these securities with an amortized cost of \$3,519,000 and a fair value of \$2,091,000 at June 30, 2013. There was no credit-related other-than-temporary impairment charge in the first half of 2013 or 2012. It is possible that future calculations could require recording additional other-than-temporary impairment charges through earnings. For additional detail on these securities see Note 7 Investment Securities and Note 9 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures.

For the most part, earning assets are funded by deposits. Total average deposits increased \$34,143,000, or 4.5%, to \$796,229,000 for the first six months of 2013 compared to the first six months of 2012. Customers are continuing to

look for the safety and stability of a strong local community bank as opposed to the volatility of the equity markets and the uncertainty of the larger regional and national banks. The opening of the two new locations in early 2013 should result in additional loan and deposit growth.

Average interest-bearing demand and municipal accounts increased \$11,759,000, or 12.1%, and \$25,846,000, or 47.0%, respectively, when comparing the first six months of 2013 and 2012. Business accounts are the primary factor behind the growth of the interest-bearing demand accounts while the growth in relationships with a couple of school districts contributed to the increase in municipal balances. Average savings balances increased \$15,953,000, or 8.7%, to \$199,241,000 for the first half of 2013 due to the continued success of QNB's Online eSavings. The growth in this product, while still strong, has slowed over the past year. Average non-interest bearing demand accounts increased \$6,325,000, or 9.7%, when comparing the six month periods. Total average time deposits decreased \$21,381,000, or 7.5%, when comparing the six month periods as customers continue to look for the liquidity of transaction accounts and are hesitant to lock in longer term deposits at low rates.

Total assets at June 30, 2013 were \$910,829,000 compared with \$919,874,000 at December 31, 2012. During the second quarter of 2013 the demand for loans began to pick up resulting in an \$11,482,000, or 2.4%, increase in total loans between December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2013. Total loans at June 30, 2013 were \$489,215,000. In contrast total securities declined by \$21,138,000, or 5.3%, to \$380,510,000 at June 30, 2013. The increase in interest rates during the latter part of the second quarter of 2013 contributed to the decline in investment securities as the fair value of the portfolio declined from a gain of \$6,752,000 at December 31, 2012 to a loss of \$3,055,000 at June 30, 2013. This also negatively impacted shareholder's equity as accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, went from a gain of \$4,456,000 at December 31, 2012 to a loss of \$2,016,000 at June 30, 2013.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

On the liability side, total deposits decreased by \$5,725,000, or 0.7% to \$795,913,000 at June 30, 2013 compared to the December 31, 2012 balances. Savings accounts increased \$13,173,000, or 6.9%, to \$204,510,000 at June 30, 2013 and interest-bearing demand accounts, including municipal deposits, increased \$6,403,000, or 3.3% to \$197,738,000 at June 30, 2013. These increases were offset as money market accounts, primarily business accounts, decreased \$10,237,000, or 13.5%, from \$76,047,000 at December 31, 2012 to \$65,810,000 at June 30, 2013. Time deposits decreased \$16,061,000, or 6.0%, from \$269,234,000 at December 31, 2012 to \$253,173,000 at June 30, 2013 as customers continue to look for liquidity in anticipation of rising interest rates. It is anticipated that total deposits will increase significantly during the third quarter as tax money is received by the local school districts. These deposits are short-term and will flow out over the next year as the schools use the funds for operations. These deposits do provide incremental income as they are invested in short-term investment securities but will further reduce the net interest margin as the spread earned is significantly less than the current net interest margin.

## **LIQUIDITY**

Liquidity represents an institution's ability to generate cash or otherwise obtain funds at reasonable rates to satisfy demand for loans and deposit withdrawals. QNB attempts to manage its mix of cash and interest-bearing balances, Federal funds sold and investment securities in an attempt to match the volatility, seasonality, interest sensitivity and growth trends of its loans and deposits. The Company manages its liquidity risk by measuring and monitoring its liquidity sources and estimated funding needs. Liquidity is provided from asset sources through repayments and maturities of loans and investment securities. The portfolio of investment securities classified as available for sale and QNB's policy of selling certain residential mortgage originations in the secondary market also provide sources of liquidity. Core deposits and cash management repurchase agreements have historically been the most significant funding source for QNB. These deposits and repurchase agreements are generated from a base of consumers, businesses and public funds primarily located in the Company's market area.

Additional sources of liquidity are provided by the Bank's membership in the FHLB. At June 30, 2013, the Bank had a maximum borrowing capacity with the FHLB of approximately \$214,076,000. The maximum borrowing capacity changes as a function of qualifying collateral assets. QNB has no outstanding borrowings with the FHLB at June 30, 2013. In addition, the Bank maintains two unsecured Federal funds lines with two correspondent banks totaling \$26,000,000. At June 30, 2013, there were no outstanding borrowings under these lines. Future availability under these lines is subject to the policies of the granting banks and may be withdrawn. As part of its contingency funding

plan, QNB successfully tested its ability to borrow from these sources during the fourth quarter of 2012.

Total cash and cash equivalents, available-for-sale investment securities and loans held-for-sale totaled \$397,589,000 and \$418,571,000 at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The sources and level of liquidity maintained should be adequate to meet normal fluctuations in loan demand or deposit withdrawals. Despite the recent increase in interest rates, particularly in the 5 to 10 year part of the yield curve, it is still anticipated that the investment portfolio will continue to provide sufficient liquidity as municipal bonds are called and as cash flow on mortgage-backed and CMO securities continues to be steady. In the event that interest rates would continue to increase the cash flow available from the investment portfolio could decrease.

Approximately \$163,410,000 and \$170,433,000 of available-for-sale securities at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, were pledged as collateral for repurchase agreements and deposits of public funds.

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

#### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

As an additional source of liquidity, QNB is a member of the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARS) program offered by the Promontory Interfinancial Network, LLC. CDARS is a funding and liquidity management tool used by banks to access funds and manage their balance sheet. It enables financial institutions to provide customers with full FDIC insurance on time deposits over \$250,000 that are placed in the program. During the third quarter of 2011, QNB began offering Insured Cash Sweep (ICS), a product similar to CDARS, but one that provides liquidity like a money market or savings account.

#### **CAPITAL ADEQUACY**

A strong capital position is fundamental to support continued growth and profitability and to serve the needs of depositors. QNB's shareholders' equity at June 30, 2013 was \$74,236,000, or 8.15% of total assets, compared to shareholders' equity of \$77,623,000, or 8.44% of total assets, at December 31, 2012. Shareholders' equity at June 30, 2013 included a negative adjustment of \$2,016,000 and December 31, 2012 included a positive adjustment of \$4,456,000 related to unrealized holding gains, net of taxes, on investment securities available-for-sale. Without these adjustments, shareholders' equity to total assets would have been 8.37% and 7.95% at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Average shareholders' equity and average total assets were \$75,295,000 and \$907,926,000 for the first six months of 2013, an increase of 7.3% and 1.6%, respectively, from the averages for the year ended December 31, 2012. The ratio of average total equity to average total assets was 8.29% for the first half of 2013 compared to 7.86% for all of 2012.

QNB is subject to various regulatory capital requirements as issued by Federal regulatory authorities. Regulatory capital is defined in terms of Tier I capital (shareholders' equity excluding unrealized gains or losses on available-for-sale debt securities and disallowed intangible assets), Tier II capital, which includes the allowable portion of the allowance for loan losses which is limited to 1.25% of risk-weighted assets and a portion of the unrealized gains on equity securities, and total capital (Tier I plus Tier II). Risk-based capital ratios are expressed as a

percentage of risk-weighted assets. Risk-weighted assets are determined by assigning various weights to all assets and off-balance sheet arrangements, such as letters of credit and loan commitments, based on associated risk. Regulators have also adopted minimum Tier I leverage ratio standards, which measure the ratio of Tier I capital to total quarterly average assets.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table sets forth consolidated information for QNB Corp.:

	June 30,	December 31,
Capital Analysis	2013	2012
Tier I		
Shareholders' equity	\$74,236	\$77,623
Net unrealized securities losses (gains), net of tax	2,016	(4,456)
Total Tier I risk-based capital	76,252	73,167
Tier II		
Allowable portion: Allowance for loan losses	7,569	7,449
Unrealized gains on equity securities, net of tax	205	142
Total risk-based capital	\$84,026	\$80,758
Risk-weighted assets	\$603,693	\$593,630
Average assets	\$910,565	\$919,040
	June 30,	December 31,
Capital Ratios	2013	2012
Tier I capital/risk-weighted assets	12.63	% 12.33 %
Total risk-based capital/risk-weighted assets	13.92	% 13.60 %
Tier I capital/average assets (leverage ratio)	8.37	% 7.96 %

The minimum regulatory capital ratios are 4.00% for Tier I, 8.00% for the total risk-based capital and 4.00% for leverage. All capital ratios have improved from December 31, 2012 as the Tier I and total risk based capital levels have increased to a greater degree than the risk-weighted assets. In addition, quarterly average assets have declined since year end.

During the first quarter of 2010, QNB began offering a Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan (the "Plan") to provide participants a convenient and economical method for investing cash dividends paid on the Company's common stock in additional shares at a discount. The Plan also allows participants to make additional cash purchases of stock at a discount. Stock purchases under the Plan contributed \$448,000 to capital during first six months of 2013.

The Board of Directors has authorized the repurchase of up to 100,000 shares of its common stock in open market or privately negotiated transactions. The repurchase authorization does not bear a termination date. As of June 30, 2013, 57,883 shares were repurchased under this authorization at an average price of \$16.97 and a total cost of \$982,000. There has been no additional shares repurchased under the plan since the first quarter of 2009.

Continuing to impact risk-weighted assets is the \$27,618,000 of risk-weighted assets due to mezzanine tranches of pooled trust preferred securities that were downgraded below investment grade during the first quarter of 2009. Although the amortized cost of these securities was only \$3,275,000 at June 30, 2013, regulatory guidance required an additional \$24,343,000 to be included in risk-weighted assets. The Bank utilized the method as outlined in the Call Report Instructions for an available-for-sale bond that has not triggered the Low Level Exposure (LLE) rule. The mezzanine tranches of CDOs that utilized this method of risk-weighting are five out of seven pooled trust preferred securities (PreTSLs) held by the Bank as of June 30, 2013. The other two pooled trust preferred securities have only one tranche remaining so the treatment noted above does not apply.

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 established five capital level designations ranging from "well capitalized" to "critically undercapitalized." At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, management believes that the Company and the Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject and have met the "well capitalized" criteria which requires minimum Tier I and total risk-based capital ratios of 6.00% and 10.00%, respectively, and a leverage ratio of 5.00%.

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL

#### CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

In June 2012, the Federal bank regulatory agencies issued a series of proposed revisions to the agencies' capital adequacy guidelines and prompt corrective action rules, which were designed to enhance such requirements and implement the revised standards of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, commonly referred to as Basel III. In July 2013, the Federal bank regulatory agencies adopted final rules, which differ in certain respects from the June 2012 proposals.

The July 2013 final rules generally implement higher minimum capital requirements, add a new common equity tier 1 capital requirement, and establish criteria that instruments must meet to be considered common equity tier 1 capital, additional tier 1 capital or tier 2 capital. The new minimum capital to risk-adjusted assets requirements are a common equity tier 1 capital ratio of 4.5% (6.5% to be considered "well capitalized") and a tier 1 capital ratio of 6.0%, increased from 4.0% (and increased from 6.0% to 8.0% to be considered "well capitalized"); the total capital ratio remains at 8.0% under the new rules (10.0% to be considered "well capitalized"). Under the new rules, in order to avoid limitations on capital distributions (including dividend payments and certain discretionary bonus payments to executive officers), a banking organization must hold a capital conservation buffer comprised of common equity tier 1 capital above its minimum risk-based capital requirements in an amount greater than 2.5% of total risk-weighted assets. The new minimum capital requirements are effective on January 1, 2015. The capital contribution buffer requirements phase in over a three-year period beginning January 1, 2016.

The July 2013 final rules include three significant changes from the June 2012 proposals:

- (i) the final rules do not change the current risk weighting for residential mortgage exposures; the final rules permit institutions, other than certain large institutions, to elect to continue to treat certain
- (ii) components of accumulated other comprehensive income as permitted under the current general risk-based capital rules, and not reflect unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities in common equity tier 1 calculations; and
- (iii) the final rules permit institutions with less than \$15.0 billion in assets to grandfather certain non-qualifying capital instruments (including trust preferred securities) issued prior to May 19, 2009 into tier 1 capital.

QNB will continue to analyze these new rules and their effects on the business, operations and capital levels of the Company and the Bank.

# ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK.

The information required in response to this item is set forth in Item 2, above.

### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain a system of controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance as to the reliability of the consolidated financial statements and other disclosures included in this report, as well as to safeguard assets from unauthorized use or disposition. We evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of the end of the period covered by this report. No changes were made to our internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

# PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

**JUNE 30, 2013** 

# **Legal Proceedings**

Item 1.

None.

# **Risk Factors**

### **Item**

**1A.** There were no material changes to the Risk Factors described in Item 1A in QNB's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2012.

# Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan	Maximum Number of Shares that may yet be Purchased Under the Plan
April 1, 2013 through April 30, 2013	_	_	-	42,117
May 1, 2013 through May 31, 2013	_	-	-	42,117
June 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013	-	-	-	42,117
Total	-	-	-	42,117

- (1) Transactions are reported as of settlement dates.
- (2) QNB's current stock repurchase plan was approved by its Board of Directors and announced on January 24, 2008 and subsequently increased on February 9, 2009.
- (3) The total number of shares approved for repurchase under QNB's current stock repurchase plan is 100,000.
- (4) QNB's current stock repurchase plan has no expiration date.
- (5) QNB has no stock repurchase plan that it has determined to terminate or under which it does not intend to make further purchases.

Item 3.	Default Upon Senior Securities None.
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures None.
Item 5.	Other Information None.
72	

### Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit	Articles of Incorporation of Registrant, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(i) of
3(i)	Registrants Form DEF 14-A filed with the Commission on April 15, 2005).

Exhibit Bylaws of Registrant, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(ii) of Registrants Form 8-K 3(ii) filed with the Commission on January 23, 2006).

Exhibit 11 Statement Re: Computation of Earnings Per Share. (Included in Part I, Item I, hereof.)

Exhibit31.1 Section 302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 31.2 Section 302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit 32.1 Section 906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 32.2 Section 906 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

The following Exhibits are being furnished\*

Turnisnea\*

as part of

this report:

#### No. Description

101.INS XBRL Instance Document.\*

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.\*

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.\*

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.\*

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.\*

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definitions Linkbase Document.\*

These interactive data files are being furnished as part of this Quarterly Report, and, in accordance with Rule 402 of Regulation S-T, shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to liability under those sections.

# **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

QNB Corp.

Date: August 14, 2013 By: /s/ David W. Freeman

David W. Freeman Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 14, 2013 By: /s/ Bret H. Krevolin

Bret H. Krevolin

Chief Financial Officer