

NUVEEN MISSOURI PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND
Form N-CSR
August 05, 2013

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF
REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-7616

Nuveen Missouri Premium Income Municipal Fund
(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Nuveen Investments
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Kevin J. McCarthy
Nuveen Investments
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (312) 917-7700

Date of fiscal year end: May 31

Date of reporting period: May 31, 2013

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. ss. 3507.

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

LIFE IS COMPLEX.

Nuveen makes things e-simple.

It only takes a minute to sign up for e-Reports. Once enrolled, you'll receive an e-mail as soon as your Nuveen Fund information is ready. No more waiting for delivery by regular mail. Just click on the link within the e-mail to see the report and save it on your computer if you wish.

Free e-Reports right to your e-mail!

www.investordelivery.com

If you receive your Nuveen Fund distributions and statements from your financial advisor or brokerage account.

OR

www.nuveen.com/accountaccess

If you receive your Nuveen Fund distributions and statements directly from Nuveen.

Table of Contents

Chairman’s Letter to Shareholders	4
Portfolio Managers’ Comments	5
Fund Leverage	12
Common Share Information	14
Risk Considerations	16
Performance Overview and Holding Summaries	17
Shareholder Meeting Report	22
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	25
Portfolios of Investments	26
Statement of Assets and Liabilities	63
Statement of Operations	64
Statement of Changes in Net Assets	65
Statement of Cash Flows	67
Financial Highlights	70
Notes to Financial Statements	79
Board Members & Officers	93
Annual Investment Management Agreement Approval Process	98
Reinvest Automatically, Easily and Conveniently	106
Glossary of Terms Used in this Report	108
Additional Fund Information	111

Chairman's
Letter to Shareholders

Dear Shareholders,

After nine years of serving as lead director and independent chairman of the Nuveen Fund Board, my term of office is coming to an end. It has been a privilege to use this space to communicate with you on some of the broad economic trends in the U.S. and abroad and how they are impacting the investment environment in which your funds operate. In addition, I have enjoyed offering some perspective on how your Board views the various Nuveen investment teams as they apply their investment disciplines in that investment environment.

My term has coincided with a particularly challenging period for both mutual fund sponsors and investors. Since 2000 there have been three periods of unusually strong stock market growth and two major market declines. Recent years have been characterized by a search for yield in fixed income securities to compensate for an extended period of very low interest rates. Funds are investing more in foreign and emerging markets that require extensive research capabilities to overcome the more limited transparency and higher volatility in those markets. New fund concepts often incorporate derivative financial instruments that offer efficient ways to hedge investment risk or gain exposure to selected markets. Fund trading teams operate in many new domestic and international venues with quite different characteristics. Electronic trading and global communication networks mean that fund managers must be able to thrive in financial markets that react instantaneously to newsworthy events and are more interconnected than ever.

Nuveen has committed additional resources to respond to these changes in the fund industry environment. It has added IT and research resources to assemble and evaluate the increased flow of detailed information on economies, markets and individual companies. Based on its experience during the financial crisis of 2008-09, Nuveen has expanded its resources dedicated to valuing and trading portfolio securities with a particular focus on stressed financial market conditions. It has added systems and experienced risk management professionals to work with investment teams to better help evaluate whether their funds' risk exposures are appropriate in view of the return targets. The investment teams have also reflected on recent experience to reaffirm or modify their investment disciplines. Finally, experienced professionals and IT resources have been added to address new regulatory requirements designed to better inform and protect investors. The Board has enthusiastically encouraged these initiatives.

The Nuveen Fund Board has always viewed itself as your representatives to assure that Nuveen brings together experienced people, proven technologies and effective processes designed to produce results that meet investor expectations. It is important to note that our activities are highlighted by the annual contract renewal process. Despite its somewhat formal language, I strongly encourage you to read the summary because it offers an insight into our oversight process. The report is included in the back of this or a subsequent shareholder report. The renewal process is very comprehensive and includes a number of evaluations and discussions between the Board and Nuveen during the year. The summary also describes what has been achieved across the Nuveen fund complex and at individual funds such as yours.

As I leave the chairmanship and resume my role as a member of the Board, please be assured that I and my fellow Board members will continue to hold your interests uppermost in our minds as we oversee the management of your funds and that we greatly appreciate your confidence in your Nuveen fund.

Very sincerely,

Robert P. Bremner

Chairman of the Board
July 23, 2013

4 Nuveen Investments

Portfolio Managers' Comments

Nuveen Georgia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NKG)
 Nuveen Maryland Premium Income Municipal Fund (NMY)
 Nuveen Missouri Premium Income Municipal Fund (NOM)
 Nuveen North Carolina Premium Income Municipal Fund (NNC)
 Nuveen Virginia Premium Income Municipal Fund (NPV)

Portfolio managers Daniel Close, Chris Drahn and Tom Spalding review economic and municipal market conditions at the national and state levels, key investment strategies and the twelve-month performance of these five Funds. Dan assumed portfolio management responsibility for the Nuveen Georgia and North Carolina Funds in 2007. Chris took on portfolio management responsibility for the Nuveen Missouri Fund in 2011 and Tom assumed portfolio management responsibility for the Nuveen Maryland and Virginia Funds in 2011.

FUND REORGANIZATIONS

Effective before the opening of business on July 9, 2012, certain Georgia and North Carolina funds and on August 6, 2012, certain Maryland and Virginia funds were reorganized into four of the larger-state funds included in this report as follows:

Acquired Funds	Symbol	Acquiring Fund	Symbol
Georgia Funds			
Nuveen Georgia Premium Income Municipal Fund	NPG	Nuveen Georgia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2	NKG
Nuveen Georgia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund	NZX		
Maryland Funds			
Nuveen Maryland Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund	NFM	Nuveen Maryland Premium Income Municipal Fund	NMY
Nuveen Maryland Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2	NZR		
Nuveen Maryland Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3	NWI		
North Carolina Funds			
Nuveen North Carolina Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund	NRB	Nuveen North Carolina Premium Income Municipal Fund	NNC
Nuveen North Carolina Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2	NNO		
Nuveen North Carolina Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3	NII		
Virginia Funds			
Nuveen Virginia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund	NGB	Nuveen Virginia Premium Income Municipal Fund	NPV
Nuveen Virginia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2	NNB		

Upon the closing of the reorganizations, the Acquired Funds transferred their assets to the Acquiring Funds in exchange for common and preferred shares of the Acquiring Funds and the assumption by the Acquiring Funds of the liabilities of the Acquired Funds. The Acquired Funds were then liquidated, dissolved and terminated in accordance

with their Declaration of Trust. Shareholders of the Acquired Funds became shareholders of the Acquiring Funds. Holders of common shares of the Acquired Funds received newly issued common shares of the Acquiring Funds, the aggregate net asset value of which was equal to the aggregate net asset value of the common shares of the Acquired

Certain statements in this report are forward-looking statements. Discussions of specific investments are for illustration only and are not intended as recommendations of individual investments. The forward-looking statements and other views expressed herein are those of the portfolio managers as of the date of this report. Actual future results or occurrences may differ significantly from those anticipated in any forward-looking statements, and the views expressed herein are subject to change at any time, due to numerous market and other factors. The Funds disclaim any obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements or views expressed herein.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A, and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Funds held immediately prior to the reorganizations (including for this purpose fractional Acquiring Funds shares to which shareholders would be entitled). Fractional shares were sold on the open market and shareholders received cash in lieu of such fractional shares. Holders of MuniFund Term Preferred (MTP) Shares of the Acquired Funds received on a one-for-one basis newly issued MTP Shares of the Acquiring Funds, in exchange for their MTP Shares of the Acquired Funds held immediately prior to the reorganizations.

What factors affected the U.S. economy and the national municipal bond market during the twelve-month period ended May 31, 2013?

During this reporting period, the U.S. economy's progress toward recovery from recession continued at a moderate pace. The Federal Reserve (Fed) maintained its efforts to improve the overall economic environment by holding the benchmark fed funds rate at the record low level of zero to 0.25% that it established in December 2008. The Fed also continued its monthly purchases of \$40 billion of mortgage-backed securities and \$45 billion of longer-term Treasury securities in an open-ended effort to bolster growth. However, at its June 2013 meeting (subsequent to the end of this reporting period), the Central Bank indicated that downside risks to the economy had diminished since the fall of 2012. Although the Fed made no changes to its highly accommodative monetary policies at the June meeting, Chairman Bernanke's remarks afterward indicated the Central Bank could slow the pace of its bond buying program later this year if the economy continues to improve.

As measured by gross domestic product (GDP), the U.S. economy grew at an annualized rate of 1.8% in the first quarter of 2013, compared with 0.4% for the fourth quarter of 2012, continuing the pattern of positive economic growth for the 15th consecutive quarter. The Consumer Price Index (CPI) rose 1.4% year-over-year as of May 2013, while the core CPI (which excludes food and energy) increased 1.7% during the period, staying within the Fed's unofficial objective of 2.0% or lower for this inflation measure. Meanwhile, labor market conditions continued to slowly show signs of improvement, although unemployment remained above the Central Bank's 6.5% target. As of May 2013, the national unemployment rate was 7.6%, down from 8.2% a year ago. The housing market, long a major weak spot in the U.S. economic recovery, also delivered some good news as the average home price in the S&P/Case-Shiller Index of 20 major metropolitan areas rose 12.1% for the twelve months ended April 2013 (most recent data available at the time this report was prepared). This marked the largest twelve-month percentage gain for the index since 2006.

However, the outlook for the U.S. economy continued to be clouded by uncertainty about global financial markets and the outcome of the "fiscal cliff." The tax consequences of the fiscal cliff situation, which had been scheduled to become effective in January 2013, were averted through a last minute deal that raised payroll taxes, but left in place a number of tax breaks. However, lawmakers postponed and then failed to reach a resolution on \$1.2 trillion in spending cuts intended to address the federal budget deficit. As a result, automatic spending cuts (or sequestration) affecting both defense and non-defense programs (excluding Social Security and Medicaid) took effect March 1, 2013, with potential implications for U.S. economic growth over the next decade. In late March 2013, Congress passed legislation that established federal funding levels for the remainder of fiscal 2013, which ends on September 30, 2013, preventing a federal government shutdown. The proposed federal budget for fiscal 2014 remains under debate.

Municipal bond prices generally rallied nationally during this period, as strong demand and tight supply combined to create favorable market conditions for municipal bonds. However, the market also encountered some additional volatility generated by the political environment, particularly the fiscal cliff at the end of 2012 and the approach of federal tax season. Although the total volume of tax-exempt supply improved over that of the same period a year earlier, the issuance pattern remained light compared with long-term historical trends and new money issuance was relatively flat. This supply/demand dynamic served as a key driver of performance. At the state level, state governments in aggregate appeared to have made good progress in dealing with budget issues. On the revenue side, state tax

6 Nuveen Investments

collections have grown for 13 straight quarters, exceeding pre-recession levels beginning in September 2011, while on the expense side, the states made headway in cutting and controlling costs. The current low level of municipal issuance reflects the current political distaste for additional borrowing by state and local governments facing fiscal constraints and the prevalent atmosphere of municipal budget austerity. During this period, we continued to see municipal yields remain relatively low. Borrowers seeking to take advantage of the low rate environment sparked an increase in refunding activity, with approximately 50% of municipal paper issued by borrowers that were calling existing debt and refinancing at lower rates.

Over the twelve months ended May 31, 2013, municipal bond issuance nationwide totaled \$376 billion, an increase of 5.2% over the issuance for the twelve-month period ended May 31, 2012. As previously mentioned, the majority of this supply was attributable to refunding issues, rather than new money issuance. During this reporting period, demand for municipal bonds remained very strong, especially from individual investors, but also from mutual funds, banks and insurance companies.

How were the economic and market conditions in Georgia, Maryland, Missouri, North Carolina and Virginia during this reporting period?

Georgia continued to recover from the recent recession during this reporting period. In 2012, the state saw its economy expand at a rate of 2.1%, compared with the national average of 2.5%, ranking Georgia 24th in the nation in terms of GDP growth by state. Job growth has picked up pace in Georgia in recent months. As of May 2013, unemployment in Georgia was 8.3%, its lowest level since December 2008, but still higher than the national rate of 7.6%. Georgia's growth has been supported by a diverse economic base including service industries, transportation, tourism, agriculture and manufacturing. The state's transportation capabilities include one of the world's busiest airports (Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport) and the Port of Savannah, a major east coast port facility. The deepening of the Port of Savannah in preparation for the Panama Canal's expansion is expected to lead to further growth in the state's economy by way of increasing its export business. In May 2013, Georgia adopted a \$19.9 billion state budget for fiscal 2014, increasing spending by 4.6% from fiscal 2013. As of May 2013, Georgia's general obligation debt continued to be rated Aaa and AAA with stable outlooks from both Moody's and S&P, respectively. For the twelve months ended May 31, 2013, municipal issuance in Georgia totaled \$5.2 billion, a decrease of 33% over the twelve months ended May 31, 2012.

In Maryland, the state's credit profile remains relatively strong due to sound fiscal management and a diverse economy. In 2012, Maryland's economy expanded at a rate of 2.4%, up significantly from the prior year. The national average was 2.5%, ranking Maryland 15th in the nation in terms of GDP growth by state. Job growth in health care and professional services has buoyed the market and has lowered the statewide unemployment as of May 30, 2013 to 6.7%. Employment in the manufacturing and financial services sectors continues to decline and Maryland's proximity to Washington D.C. results in a greater dependency on federal employment than most states, leaving it vulnerable to federal cost cutting and reductions in government employment. The state's largest employer is Fort George G. Meade, with more than 56,000 workers. Maryland has one of the nation's best educated workforces, which has facilitated the development of advanced technology and the growth of public and private research facilities. Combined with the influence of the government sector and the presence of 56 universities, this has made Maryland a center for national security and medical and biomedical research. Regarding the state's financial operations, Maryland passed its fiscal 2014 budget with a 3% increase in revenues and expenditures and an allocation to increase the state's rainy day fund \$921 million or 6% of budget. As of May 2013, Moody's and S&P rated Maryland general obligation debt at Aaa with a negative outlook and AAA with a stable outlook, respectively. During the twelve months ended May 31, 2013, municipal issuance in the state totaled \$7.3 billion, up 17% from the previous twelve-month period.

For 2012, the national recovery of 2.5% continued to outpace Missouri's state GDP growth of 2.0%, ranking Missouri's growth 26th among all states. Continued job losses in the government sector were offset by employment growth in the transportation, leisure and hospitality, education and health services sectors. As of May 2013, Missouri's seasonally adjusted unemployment rate was 6.8%. Missouri's overexposure to defense related manufacturing jobs continues to cause a drag on the state's recovery, but efforts to transition part of the state economy away from traditional defense related manufacturing jobs into the development of biotechnology and alternative energy industries should help diversify the economy. Governor Nixon's priority to expand exports experienced a slight step backwards with exports falling 1.4% in 2012 compared to 2011, but increases in exports to Mexico helped offset declines in exports to Canada and China. For fiscal 2014, the \$25 billion Missouri state budget, which was introduced in January 2013 and sent to the Governor for approval in May 2013, focused on Medicaid expansion, job creation, increased K-12 education funding and increased higher education funding. The Governor's budget, which contained no new taxes, also called for eliminating 190 additional state jobs, bringing total reductions to 4,500 since 2009 and resulting in the smallest state workforce since 1997. As of May 2013, Moody's and S&P rated Missouri general obligation debt at Aaa and AAA, respectively, with stable outlooks. During the twelve months ended May 31, 2013, municipal issuance in Missouri totaled \$6.2 billion, a 33.5% increase from the twelve months ended May 31, 2012.

In North Carolina, the uptick in economic growth that started at the end of 2011 has continued into 2013. In 2012, the state's economy expanded 2.7%, compared with the national average of 2.5%, ranking North Carolina 11th in the nation in terms of GDP growth by state. The state's manufacturing sector, once a leader in textiles, furniture and tobacco, is not expected to resume its role as a major driver in the North Carolina economy, as overseas competitors take the lead in those industries. However, the sector posted steady employment gains in 2012 by adding jobs in computer and electronic parts manufacturing. While North Carolina has worked to transition its economy away from old-line manufacturing into sectors oriented toward research, technology and services, manufacturing continues to represent 11% of the state's jobs. At the same time, job losses in the public sector resulting from tight state budgets finally appear to be curtailed. Two of North Carolina's top three employers include U.S. military bases (Fort Bragg and Camp Lejeune), supporting more than 110,000 workers. As of May 2013, the unemployment rate remains high at 8.8%. In the state's housing market, single-family housing permits and starts have continued to increase each quarter since mid-2011. This is driven by population growth that is outpacing the national average and healthy demographics. According to the S&P/Case-Schiller Index of 20 major metropolitan areas, housing prices in Charlotte rose 7.3% during the twelve months ended April 2013 (most recent data available at the time this report was prepared), compared with a 12.1% price increase nationally. In June 2011, North Carolina enacted a two-year budget for fiscal 2012 and 2013. The state's key revenue sources are personal income tax and sales tax collections. In fiscal 2012, personal income tax collections exceeded budget though sales tax collections lagged just slightly. As of May 2013, North Carolina is one of only eight states to carry a AAA rating by all three major rating agencies. During the twelve months ended May 31, 2013, municipal issuance in North Carolina totaled \$8.7 billion, an increase of 55% from that issued during the twelve months ended May 31, 2012.

The economic recovery in Virginia continues at a relatively slow pace. In 2012, Virginia posted growth of 1.1%, compared with the national GDP of 2.5% for 2012, which ranked Virginia 42nd in economic growth by state. However, economic indicators pointed to an economy that's still relatively healthy. As of May 2013, unemployment in the commonwealth fell to 5.3%, just above its lowest point since January 2009. Job growth in Virginia was led by the education and health services as well as transportation and utilities sectors but the looming effects of sequestration could hit this job market hard as federal employment and federal spending are well above average. Virginia continues to be a center for research and development facilities, with Richmond, Charlottesville and Northern Virginia forming a research triangle. In recent months, home prices in the Virginia area have risen. According to the S&P/Case-Shiller Index of 20 major metropolitan areas, the Washington D.C. area posted a 7.2% gain for the twelve months ended April 2013 (most

recent data available at the time this report was prepared), compared with a 12.1% rise in home prices nationally. Virginia's \$85 billion biennium budget for fiscal 2013 and 2014 was signed into law in May 2012. It included \$34.5 billion in general fund spending and several fee increases and cuts to various state services and programs. In March 2012, Virginia passed a wide ranging pension reform measure that required full funding of the Virginia retirement system within eight years, altered benefits and contribution rates and established a hybrid defined benefit/defined contribution plan for new employees. As of May 2013, Moody's and S&P rated Virginia general obligation debt at Aaa with a negative outlook and AAA with a stable outlook, respectively. During the twelve months ended May 31, 2013, issuance in Virginia totaled \$9.6 billion, an increase of 10.6% from the previous twelve months.

What key strategies were used to manage these Funds during the twelve-month reporting period ended May 31, 2013?

During this reporting period, municipal bond prices generally rallied, as strong demand and tight supply combined to create favorable market conditions for municipal bonds. However, the municipal market also encountered some additional volatility generated by the political environment, particularly the "fiscal cliff" at the end of 2012 and the approach of federal tax season. Although the total volume of tax-exempt supply improved, the issuance pattern remained light compared with long-term historical trends. This supply/demand dynamic served as a key driver of performance. Concurrent with rising prices, yields continued to decline across most maturities, especially at the longer end of the municipal yield curve. During this period, we saw an increased number of borrowers come to market seeking to take advantage of the low rate environment through refunding activity, with approximately 50% of new municipal paper issued by borrowers that were calling existing debt and refinancing at lower rates.

In this environment, we continued to take a bottom-up approach to discovering sectors that appeared undervalued as well as individual credits that had the potential to perform well over the long term. During this reporting period, NKG and NNC found value to varying degrees in several areas of the market, including health care, water and sewer bonds, as well as multi-family and airport bonds in NKG and higher education and utility bonds in NNC. NOM and NMY added local general obligations (GO) credits. NMY also added transportation and dedicated tax bonds. In NPV, we continued to add to our positions in the transportation sector, including bonds issued by the Virginia Small Business Financing Authority for the Elizabeth River Crossing. In North Carolina, the health care sector was the predominant issuer during this time, offering attractive opportunities from a number of hospitals across the state, with credit quality of A and BBB and intermediate and longer maturities.

During this period, we emphasized bonds with longer maturities. The purchase of longer maturity bonds helped to extend the Funds' durations, and enabled us to take advantage of more attractive yields at the longer end of the municipal yield curve. We also purchased lower rated bonds when we found attractive opportunities, as we believed these bonds continued to offer relative value. Our opportunities were somewhat constrained by the structure of bonds typically issued as part of refinancing deals, which tended to be characterized by shorter maturities and higher quality. During the second half of the reporting period, NMY and NPV generally focused on the shorter and intermediate end of the yield curve with the goal of positioning these two Funds slightly more conservatively.

Because the issuance of new municipal supply in the primary market continued to be sporadic, especially in Georgia and North Carolina, we looked to the secondary market as an additional source of attractive opportunities. When in-state paper was scarce, NMY also purchased territorial bonds to keep the Fund as fully invested as possible, including dedicated sales tax bonds issued by the Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation (COFINA). These territorial bonds offered higher yields, added diversification and triple exemption (i.e., exemption from federal, state and local taxes).

Cash for new purchases during this period was generated primarily by the proceeds from an increased number of bond calls resulting from the growth in refinancings. The elevated number of bond calls provided a meaningful source of liquidity, which drove much of our activity during this period as we worked to redeploy these proceeds, as well as those from maturing bonds, to keep the Funds fully invested and support their income streams. Overall, selling was minimal.

As of May 31, 2013, all of these Funds continued to use inverse floating rate securities. We employ inverse floaters for a variety of reasons, including duration management, income enhancement and total return enhancement.

How did the Funds perform during the twelve-month reporting period ended May 31, 2013?

The tables in each Fund's Performance Overview and Holding Summaries section of this report provide total returns for the Funds for the one-year, five-year and ten-year periods ended May 31, 2013. Each Fund's total returns are compared with performance of a corresponding market index and Lipper classification average.

For the twelve-months ended May 31, 2013, the cumulative returns on common share net asset value (NAV) for NKG, NMY and NOM exceeded the returns for their respective state's S&P Municipal Bond Index as well as the national S&P Municipal Bond Index. For the same period NNC underperformed both the state and national S&P Municipal Bond Index, while NPV outperformed the state S&P Municipal Bond Index and performed in line with the national S&P Municipal Bond Index. For the same period, NOM outperformed the average return for the Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average. NMY performed in line with the average return for the national S&P Municipal Bond Index and the Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average, while the rest of the Funds underperformed. Shareholders should note that the performance of the Lipper Other States classification represents the overall average of returns for funds from ten states with a wide variety of municipal market conditions, making direct comparisons less meaningful.

Key management factors that influenced the Funds' returns during this period included duration and yield curve positioning, credit exposure and sector allocation.

Generally speaking, credits at the longest end of the municipal yield curve posted the strongest returns, while bonds at the shortest end produced the weakest results. Duration and yield curve positioning was a net positive contributor to the performance of these Funds. These Funds benefited from being overweight in the longer part of the yield curve that performed well. However, their performance was somewhat restrained by overweightings at the underperforming shortest end of the curve, especially in NKG.

Credit exposure was another factor in the Funds' performance during this reporting period, as lower quality bonds generally outperformed higher quality bonds. This outperformance was due in part to the greater demand for lower rated bonds as investors looked for investment vehicles offering higher yields. As investors became more comfortable taking on additional investment risk, credit spreads, or the difference in yield spreads between U.S. Treasury securities and comparable investments such as municipal bonds, narrowed through a variety of rating categories. As a result of this spread compression, the Funds generally benefited from their holdings of lower rated credits, with NKG, NMY, NOM and NPV having an overweight in bonds rated BBB. NKG and NOM were also helped by being underweighted in the underperforming AAA quality sector. NNC and NPV had a higher allocation to AAA/AA quality sectors, while NNC also had a lower allocation to the better performing BBB quality sector, which slightly detracted from performance.

During this period, revenue bonds as a whole outperformed the general municipal market. Holdings that generally made positive contributions to the Funds' returns included health care (together with hospitals), education and certain transportation bonds. In particular, NNC's overweight in toll roads was positive for performance, while NKG benefited

from its pre-paid gas credits. NOM was positively impacted by its holdings in the health care sector. During this period,

10 Nuveen Investments

tobacco credits backed by the 1998 master tobacco settlement agreement, as well as Puerto Rico backed tobacco credits, also performed well. NMY and NPV benefited from their holdings of tobacco credits as these bonds continued to perform well. NPV's Virginia Small Business Financing Authority for the Elizabeth River Crossing positively contributed to performance as well.

In contrast, pre-refunded bonds, which are often backed by U.S. Treasury securities, were among the poorest performing market segments during this period. The under-performance of these bonds can be attributed primarily to their shorter effective maturities and higher credit quality. NNC, NKG and NOM had a heavier weighting in pre-refunded bonds, which detracted from their performance for the period. We continued to hold these pre-refunded bonds in our portfolios due to the higher yields they provided. In addition, GO bonds lagged the performance of the general municipal market for this period. NKG benefited from being underweight GO bonds.

Shareholders also should be aware of issues impacting some of the Funds' non-state holdings. In December 2012, Moody's downgraded Puerto Rico GO bonds to Baa3 from Baa1 based on Puerto Rico's ongoing economic problems, unfunded pension liabilities, elevated debt levels and structural budget gaps. In addition, during July 2012, bonds issued by the Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation (COFINA) also were downgraded by Moody's to Aa3 from Aa2. The downgrade of the COFINA bonds was due mainly to the performance of Puerto Rico's economy and its impact on the projected growth of sales tax revenues. In addition, the COFINA bonds were able to maintain a higher rating than the GOs because, unlike the revenue streams supporting some Puerto Rican issues, the sales taxes supporting the COFINA bonds cannot be diverted and used to support the commonwealth's GO bonds. NMY, NPV and NOM have exposure to Puerto Rico bonds, the majority of which had lower duration risk than their respective index. The Funds were also underweight their allocation to Puerto Rico bonds versus their index. As a result, the Funds' Puerto Rico holdings positively contributed to performance.

Fund Leverage

IMPACT OF THE FUNDS' LEVERAGE STRATEGIES ON PERFORMANCE

One important factor impacting the returns of all these Funds relative to the comparative indexes was the Funds' use of leverage. The Funds use leverage because their managers believe that, over time, leveraging provides opportunities for additional income and total return for common shareholders. However, use of leverage also can expose common shareholders to additional volatility. For example, as the prices of securities held by a Fund decline, the negative impact of these valuation changes on common share net asset value and common shareholder total return is magnified by the use of leverage. Conversely, leverage may enhance common share returns during periods when the prices of securities held by a Fund generally are arising. Leverage made a positive contribution to the performance of NKG, NMY, NOM and NPV, but detracted modestly from the performance of NNC over this reporting period.

As of May 31, 2013, the Funds' percentages of effective and regulatory leverage are shown in the accompanying table.

	Effective Leverage*	Regulatory Leverage*
NKG	35.27%	32.76%
NMY	34.18%	30.69%
NOM	37.15%	34.46%
NNC	37.42%	33.43%
NPV	36.23%	31.59%

* Effective Leverage is a Fund's effective economic leverage, and includes both regulatory leverage and the leverage effects of certain derivative and other investments in a Fund's portfolio that increase the Fund's investment exposure. Currently, the leverage effects of Tender Option Bond (TOB) inverse floater holdings are included in effective leverage values, in addition to any regulatory leverage. Regulatory leverage consists of preferred shares issued or borrowings of a Fund. Both of these are part of a Fund's capital structure. Regulatory leverage is sometimes referred to as "40 Act Leverage" and is subject to asset coverage limits set forth in the Investment Company Act of 1940.

THE FUNDS' REGULATORY LEVERAGE

As of May 31, 2013, the Funds have issued and outstanding MTP Shares as shown in the accompanying table.

Fund	MTP Shares Issued SeriesAt	Liquidation Value	Annual Interest Rate	NYSE/NYSE MKT Ticker
Georgia				
NKG	2015	\$ 32,265,000	2.65%	NKG PrC
	2015-1*	\$ 28,340,000	2.65%	NKG PrD
	2015-2*	\$ 14,340,000	2.65%	NKG PrE
Maryland				
NMY	2015	\$ 38,775,000	2.65%	NMY PrC
	2016	\$ 35,818,000	2.90%	NMY PrD
	2015*	\$ 26,485,000	2.60%	NMY PrE
	2015-1*	\$ 27,300,000	2.60%	NMY PrF
	2015-1*	\$ 20,700,000	2.65%	NMY PrG
	2016*	\$ 17,066,000	2.85%	NMY PrH

* MTP Shares issued in connection with the reorganization.

12 Nuveen Investments

Fund	MTP Shares Issued		Annual	NYSE/NYSE
	Series	At Liquidation Value	Interest Rate	MKT Ticker
Missouri				
NOM	2015	\$ 17,800,000	2.10%	NOM PrC
North Carolina				
NNC	2015	\$ 24,300,000	2.65%	NNC PrC
	2016	\$ 25,535,000	2.60%	NNC PrD
	2015*	\$ 16,600,000	2.60%	NNC PrE
	2015-1*	\$ 29,700,000	2.60%	NNC PrF
	2015-1*	\$ 28,725,000	2.65%	NNC PrG
Virginia				
NPV	2014	\$ 29,203,000	2.25%	NPV PrA
	2015	\$ 32,205,000	2.65%	NPV PrC
	2014*	\$ 22,800,000	2.80%	NPV PrD
	2014-1*	\$ 43,200,000	2.80%	NPV PrE

* MTP Shares issued in connection with the reorganization.

Refinancing of MTP Shares

During July 2013 (subsequent to the close of this reporting period), the Funds' Board of Trustees approved NPV's plans to redeem at their \$10.00 liquidation value per share, plus an additional amount representing any dividend amounts owed, the shares of all series of their MTP Shares with the proceeds of newly issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred (VRDP) shares, subject to completion of all aspects of VRDP share placement, which may not occur as planned. NPV intends to offer VRDP shares to qualified institutional buyers in a private offering pursuant to Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933, and to complete the MTP refinancing before October 1, 2013.

Refer to Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies for further details on MTP Shares.

Common Share Information

COMMON SHARE DIVIDEND INFORMATION

During the current reporting period ended May 31, 2013, the Funds' monthly dividends to common shareholders were shown in the accompanying table.

	Per Common Share Amounts					
		NKG	NMY	NOM	NNC	NPV
June	\$	0.0560	\$ 0.0645	\$ 0.0610	\$ 0.0570	\$ 0.0645
July		0.0560	0.0645	0.0610	0.0571	0.0645
August		0.0575	0.0645***	0.0610	0.0590	0.0645****
September		0.0575	0.0645***	0.0610	0.0590	0.0645****
October		0.0575	0.0675	0.0610	0.0590	0.0660
November		0.0575	0.0675	0.0610	0.0590	0.0660
December		0.0560	0.0640	0.0610	0.0550	0.0620
January		0.0560	0.0640	0.0610	0.0550	0.0620
February		0.0560	0.0640	0.0610	0.0550	0.0620
March		0.0535	0.0605	0.0610	0.0503	0.0595
April		0.0535	0.0605	0.0610	0.0503	0.0595
May		0.0535	0.0605	0.0610	0.0503	0.0595
Long-Term Capital Gain*		—	—	—	—	\$ 0.0153
Short-Term Capital Gain*		—	—	—	—	\$ 0.0016
Ordinary Income Distribution*		—	—	—	—	\$ 0.0012
Market Yield**		4.79%	5.25%	4.56%	4.35%	4.99%
Taxable-Equivalent Yield**		7.08%	7.72%	6.74%	6.55%	7.35%

* Distribution paid in December 2012.

** Market Yield is based on the Fund's current annualized monthly dividend divided by the Fund's current market price as of the end of the reporting period. Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 32.3%, 32.0%, 32.3%, 33.6% and 32.10% for Georgia, Maryland, Missouri, North Carolina and Virginia, respectively. When comparing a Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

*** In connection with the Fund's Reorganization, the Fund had dividends of \$0.0207 and \$0.0438 per Common share with ex-dividend dates of August 1, 2012, and August 14, 2012, respectively, both payable on October 1, 2012. These distributions were in addition to the Fund's monthly tax-free dividend of \$0.0645 with an ex-dividend date of August 1, 2012 that was payable September 4, 2012.

**** In connection with the Fund's Reorganization, the Fund had dividends of \$0.0457 and \$0.0188 per Common share with ex-dividend dates of August 1, 2012, and August 14, 2012, respectively, both payable on October 1, 2012. These distributions were in addition to the Fund's monthly tax-free dividend of \$0.0645 with an ex-dividend date of August 1, 2012 that was payable September 4, 2012.

All of the Funds in this report seek to pay stable dividends at rates that reflect each Fund's past results and projected future performance. During certain periods, each Fund may pay dividends at a rate that may be more or less than the amount of net investment income actually earned by the Fund during the period. If a Fund has cumulatively earned more than it has paid in dividends, it holds the excess in reserve as undistributed net investment income (UNII) as part of the Fund's NAV. Conversely, if a Fund has cumulatively paid dividends in excess of its earnings, the excess

14 Nuveen Investments

constitutes negative UNII that is likewise reflected in the Fund's NAV. Each Fund will, over time, pay all of its net investment income as dividends to shareholders. As of May 31, 2013, all of these Funds had positive UNII balances for tax purposes and for financial reporting purposes.

COMMON SHARE EQUITY SHELF PROGRAM

During March 2013, NPV filed a preliminary prospectus with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for an equity shelf program, pursuant to which the Fund may issue an additional 1,700,000 common shares. As of the time this report was prepared, this equity shelf program was not yet effective.

Refer to Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 — General Information and Significant Accounting Policies for further details on the Fund's equity shelf program.

COMMON SHARE REPURCHASES

Since the inception of the Funds' repurchase programs, the Funds have not repurchased any of their outstanding common shares.

COMMON SHARE OTHER INFORMATION

As of May 31, 2013, and during the current reporting period, the Funds' common share prices were trading at a premium/(discount) to their common share NAVs as shown in the accompanying table.

	NKG	NMY	NOM	NNC	NPV
Common Share NAV	\$ 14.58	\$ 15.56	\$ 14.61	\$ 15.02	\$ 15.38
Common Share Price	\$ 13.39	\$ 13.82	\$ 16.04	\$ 13.88	\$ 14.32
Premium/(Discount) to NAV	-8.16%	-11.18%	9.79%	-7.59%	-6.89%
12-Month Average Premium/(Discount) to NAV	-1.05%	-2.68%	15.06%	-1.61%	-2.16%

Nuveen Investments 15

Risk Considerations

Fund shares are not guaranteed or endorsed by any bank or other insured depository institution, and are not federally insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Fund common shares are subject to a variety of risks, including:

Investment, Price and Market Risk. An investment in shares is subject to investment risk, including the possible loss of the entire principal amount that you invest. Your investment in shares represents an indirect investment in the municipal securities owned by the Fund, which generally trade in the over-the-counter markets. Shares of closed-end investment companies like these Funds frequently trade at a discount to their net asset value (NAV). Your shares at any point in time may be worth less than your original investment, even after taking into account the reinvestment of Fund dividends and distributions.

Tax Risk. The tax treatment of Fund distributions may be affected by new IRS interpretations of the Internal Revenue Code and future changes in tax laws and regulations.

Issuer Credit Risk. This is the risk that a security in a Fund's portfolio will fail to make dividend or interest payments when due.

Interest Rate Risk. Fixed-income securities such as bonds, preferred, convertible and other debt securities will decline in value if market interest rates rise.

Reinvestment Risk. If market interest rates decline, income earned from a Fund's portfolio may be reinvested at rates below that of the original bond that generated the income.

Call Risk or Prepayment Risk. Issuers may exercise their option to prepay principal earlier than scheduled, forcing a Fund to reinvest in lower-yielding securities.

Inverse Floater Risk. The Funds may invest in inverse floaters. Due to their leveraged nature, these investments can greatly increase a Fund's exposure to interest rate risk and credit risk. In addition, investments in inverse floaters involve the risk that the Fund could lose more than its original principal investment.

Leverage Risk. Each Fund's use of leverage creates the possibility of higher volatility for the Fund's per share NAV, market price, distributions and returns. There is no assurance that a Fund's leveraging strategy will be successful.

Nuveen Georgia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NKG)
Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of May 31, 2013

Average Annual Total Returns as of May 31, 2013

	Average Annual		
	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year
NKG at Common Share NAV	3.68%	6.00%	4.76%
NKG at Common Share Price	(4.83)%	5.58%	4.10%
S&P Municipal Bond Georgia Index	3.12%	5.27%	4.45%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	3.62%	5.71%	4.80%
Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average	4.17%	7.02%	5.43%

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

Portfolio Composition¹

(as a % of total investments)

Tax Obligation/General	20.7%
Tax Obligation/Limited	17.4%
Water and Sewer	15.6%
Health Care	11.6%
Education and Civic Organizations	9.6%
Utilities	6.8%
Transportation	5.2%
Other	13.1%

Credit Quality^{1,2,3}

(as a % of total investment exposure)

AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	13.7%
AA	45.3%
A	22.9%
BBB	10.4%
BB or Lower	3.4%
N/R	2.7%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this page.

- 1 Holdings are subject to change.
- 2 Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.
- 3 Percentages may not add up to 100% due to the exclusion of Other Assets Less Liabilities from the table.

Nuveen Maryland Premium Income Municipal Fund (NMY)
Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of May 31, 2013

Average Annual Total Returns as of May 31, 2013

	Average Annual		
	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year
NMY at Common Share NAV	4.18%	7.15%	5.64%
NMY at Common Share Price	(7.10)%	6.58%	3.14%
S&P Municipal Bond Maryland Index	2.54%	5.12%	4.39%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	3.62%	5.71%	4.80%
Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average	4.17%	7.02%	5.43%

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

Portfolio Composition¹
(as a % of total investments)

Health Care	21.2%
Tax Obligation/Limited	16.3%
U.S. Guaranteed	15.0%
Education and Civic Organizations	7.8%
Tax Obligation/General	7.7%
Housing/Single Family	6.4%
Consumer Staples	5.5%
Transportation	3.9%
Long-Term Care	3.8%
Other	12.4%

Credit Quality^{1,2,3}
(as a % of total investment exposure)

AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	24.1%
AA	23.7%
A	20.3%
BBB	18.3%
BB or Lower	8.6%
N/R	4.0%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this page.

- Holdings are subject to change.
- Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.
- Percentages may not add up to 100% due to the exclusion of Other Assets Less Liabilities from the table.

18 Nuveen Investments

Nuveen Missouri Premium Income Municipal Fund (NOM)
Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of May 31, 2013

Average Annual Total Returns as of May 31, 2013

	Average Annual		
	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year
NOM at Common Share NAV	4.98%	7.17%	5.17%
NOM at Common Share Price	(0.67)%	6.95%	4.73%
S&P Municipal Bond Missouri Index	3.36%	5.74%	4.87%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	3.62%	5.71%	4.80%
Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average	4.17%	7.02%	5.43%

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

Portfolio Composition¹
(as a % of total investments)

Health Care	17.9%
Tax Obligation/Limited	16.5%
Water and Sewer	11.8%
Education and Civic Organizations	11.3%
Tax Obligation/General	11.0%
Transportation	8.5%
Long-Term Care	8.3%
U.S. Guaranteed	6.4%
Other	8.3%

Credit Quality^{1,2,3}
(as a % of total investment exposure)

AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	7.8%
AA	36.7%
A	28.6%
BBB	17.7%
N/R	6.2%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this page.

- 1 Holdings are subject to change.
- 2 Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.
- 3 Percentages may not add up to 100% due to the exclusion of Other Assets Less Liabilities from the table.

Nuveen North Carolina Premium Income Municipal Fund (NNC)
Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of May 31, 2013

Average Annual Total Returns as of May 31, 2013

	Average Annual		
	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year
NNC at Common Share NAV	2.50%	6.39%	4.91%
NNC at Common Share Price	(9.16)%	5.88%	3.08%
S&P Municipal Bond North Carolina Index	2.63%	5.47%	4.67%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	3.62%	5.71%	4.80%
Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average	4.17%	7.02%	5.43%

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

Portfolio Composition¹
(as a % of total investments)

Health Care	22.5%
Water and Sewer	20.1%
U.S. Guaranteed	14.4%
Tax Obligation/Limited	11.5%
Transportation	10.1%
Education and Civic Organizations	7.8%
Utilities	6.1%
Other	7.5%

Credit Quality^{1,2,3}
(as a % of total investment exposure)

AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	30.1%
AA	41.4%
A	18.7%
BBB	4.5%
N/R	2.5%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this page.

- 1 Holdings are subject to change.
- 2 Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.
- 3 Percentages may not add up to 100% due to the exclusion of Other Assets Less Liabilities from the table.

Nuveen Virginia Premium Income Municipal Fund (NPV)
Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of May 31, 2013

Average Annual Total Returns as of May 31, 2013

	Average Annual		
	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year
NPV at Common Share NAV	3.56%	6.93%	5.29%
NPV at Common Share Price	(11.76)%	5.94%	3.34%
S&P Municipal Bond Virginia Index	2.97%	5.08%	4.55%
S&P Municipal Bond Index	3.62%	5.71%	4.80%
Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average	4.17%	7.02%	5.43%

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

Portfolio Composition¹
(as a % of total investments)

Tax Obligation/Limited	23.4%
Health Care	15.5%
U.S. Guaranteed	13.2%
Transportation	12.7%
Tax Obligation/General	7.8%
Education and Civic Organizations	5.9%
Long-Term Care	5.8%
Consumer Staples	5.7%
Other	10.0%

Credit Quality^{1,2,3}
(as a % of total investment exposure)

AAA/U.S. Guaranteed	26.0%
AA	30.0%
A	12.8%
BBB	21.8%
BB or Lower	4.6%
N/R	3.4%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this page.

- 1 Holdings are subject to change.
- 2 Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.
- 3 Percentages may not add up to 100% due to the exclusion of Other Assets Less Liabilities from the table.

NKG Shareholder Meeting Report

NKC
 NNC The annual meeting of shareholders was held in the offices of Nuveen Investments on November 14, 2012; at this meeting the shareholders were asked to vote on the election of Board Members. The meeting was subsequently adjourned to December 14, 2012. Further information from the January 31, 2012 shareholder meeting for NNC and NKG and May 17, 2012 shareholder meeting for NPV and NMY to approve the issuance of additional common shares in connection with each Reorganization is included.

	NKG			NNC		
	Common and Preferred shares voting together as a class	Preferred Shares voting together as a class	Common Shares	Common and Preferred shares voting together as a class	Preferred shares voting together as a class	Common Shares
To approve the issuance of additional common shares in connection with each Reorganization.						
For	—	—	2,303,616	—	—	3,775,530
Against	—	—	217,161	—	—	179,156
Abstain	—	—	106,744	—	—	144,758
Broker Non-Votes	—	—	582,756	—	—	843,037
Total	—	—	3,210,277	—	—	4,942,481
Approval of the Board Members was reached as follows:						
Robert P. Bremner						
For	16,850,255	—	—	26,418,361	—	—
Withhold	541,089	—	—	927,600	—	—
Total	17,391,344	—	—	27,345,961	—	—
Jack B. Evans						
For	16,854,415	—	—	26,472,550	—	—
Withhold	536,929	—	—	873,411	—	—
Total	17,391,344	—	—	27,345,961	—	—
William C. Hunter						
For	—	7,035,728	—	—	11,229,078	—
Withhold	—	274,562	—	—	2,291,367	—
Total	—	7,310,290	—	—	13,520,445	—
William J. Schneider						
For	—	7,035,728	—	—	11,227,478	—
Withhold	—	274,562	—	—	292,967	—
Total	—	7,310,290	—	—	11,520,445	—

22 Nuveen Investments

NMY
NPV

	NMY			NPV		
	Common and Preferred shares voting together as a class	Preferred Shares voting together as a class	Common Shares	Common and Preferred shares voting together as a class	Preferred shares voting together as a class	Common Shares
To approve the issuance of additional common shares in connection with each Reorganization.						
For	—	—	5,730,888	—	—	5,178,155
Against	—	—	385,894	—	—	193,166
Abstain	—	—	219,708	—	—	206,146
Broker Non-Votes	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	6,336,490	—	—	5,577,467
Approval of the Board Members was reached as follows:						
Robert P. Bremner						
For	38,473,790	—	—	26,984,965	—	—
Withhold	747,638	—	—	997,116	—	—
Total	39,221,428	—	—	27,982,081	—	—
Jack B. Evans						
For	38,480,518	—	—	26,996,355	—	—
Withhold	740,910	—	—	985,726	—	—
Total	39,221,428	—	—	27,982,081	—	—
William C. Hunter						
For	—	15,842,099	—	—	11,323,196	—
Withhold	—	404,208	—	—	602,462	—
Total	—	16,246,307	—	—	11,925,658	—
William J. Schneider						
For	—	15,842,099	—	—	11,316,670	—
Withhold	—	404,208	—	—	608,988	—
Total	—	16,246,307	—	—	11,925,658	—

Shareholder Meeting Report (continued)

NOM

	NOM Common and Preferred shares voting together as a class	Preferred shares
To approve the issuance of additional common shares in connection with each Reorganization.		
For	—	—
Against	—	—
Abstain	—	—
Broker Non-Votes	—	—
Total	—	—
Approval of the Board Members was reached as follows:		
Robert P. Bremner		
For	3,614,918	—
Withhold	159,507	—
Total	3,774,425	—
Jack B. Evans		
For	3,621,209	—
Withhold	153,216	—
Total	3,774,425	—
William C. Hunter		
For	—	1,570,672
Withhold	—	105,775
Total	—	1,676,447
William J. Schneider		
For	—	1,570,672
Withhold	—	105,775
Total	—	1,676,447

Report of Independent
Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Trustees and Shareholders
Nuveen Georgia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2
Nuveen Maryland Premium Income Municipal Fund
Nuveen Missouri Premium Income Municipal Fund
Nuveen North Carolina Premium Income Municipal Fund
Nuveen Virginia Premium Income Municipal Fund

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities, including the portfolios of investments, of Nuveen Georgia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2, Nuveen Maryland Premium Income Municipal Fund, Nuveen Missouri Premium Income Municipal Fund, Nuveen North Carolina Premium Income Municipal Fund, and Nuveen Virginia Premium Income Municipal Fund (the "Funds") as of May 31, 2013, and the related statements of operations and cash flows for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and financial highlights, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of May 31, 2013, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers or by other appropriate auditing procedures where replies from brokers were not received. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Nuveen Georgia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2, Nuveen Maryland Premium Income Municipal Fund, Nuveen Missouri Premium Income Municipal Fund, Nuveen North Carolina Premium Income Municipal Fund, and Nuveen Virginia Premium Income Municipal Fund at May 31, 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Chicago, Illinois
July 25, 2013

Nuveen Investments 25

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MISSOURI PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

NK
 May 31, 2013
 Nueven Georgia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2
 Portfolio of Investments

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Consumer Staples – 4.7% (3.1% of Total Investments)			
\$ 45,000	Puerto Rico, The Children’s Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2005A, 0.000%, 5/15/50	5/15 at 11.19	BB–	\$ 4,146,750
3,000	Puerto Rico, The Children’s Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.500%, 5/15/39	11/13 at 100.00	BBB	3,033,720
48,000	Total Consumer Staples			7,180,470
	Education and Civic Organizations – 14.2% (9.6% of Total Investments)			
1,750	Athens Housing Authority, Georgia, Student Housing Lease Revenue Bonds, UGAREF East Campus Housing LLC Project, Series 2009, 5.250%, 6/15/35	6/19 at 100.00	Aa2	1,895,075
5,000	Atlanta Development Authority, Georgia, Educational Facilities Revenue Bonds, Science Park LLC Project, Series 2007, 5.000%, 7/01/39	7/17 at 100.00	Aa3	5,362,200
700	Carrollton Payroll Development Authority, Georgia, Student Housing Revenue Bonds, University of West Georgia, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 9/01/21 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	9/14 at 100.00	A1	738,822
2,000	Fulton County Development Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Georgia Tech – Klaus Parking and Family Housing, Series 2003, 5.000%, 11/01/23 – NPFPG Insured	11/13 at 100.00	Aa3	2,035,600
625	Fulton County Development Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Georgia Tech Foundation Technology Square Project, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 11/01/31	5/22 at 100.00	AA+	718,188
1,535	Fulton County Development Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Georgia Tech Molecular Science Building, Series 2004, 5.250%, 5/01/15 – NPFPG Insured	5/14 at 100.00	Aa3	1,602,294
1,050	Fulton County Development Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, TUFF Morehouse Project, Series 2002A, 5.000%, 2/01/34 – AMBAC Insured	8/13 at 100.00	Baa1	1,053,066
150	Georgia Higher Education Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, USG Real Estate Foundation I LLC Project, Series 2008, 6.000%, 6/15/28	6/18 at 100.00	A2	174,033
730			AA+	1,131,595

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MISSOURI PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

	Private Colleges and Universities Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Emory University, Series 2009, Trust 3404-1, 17.207%, 3/01/17 (IF)	No Opt. Call		
1,150	Private Colleges and Universities Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Mercer University, Series 2009, Trust 3404-2, 17.235%, 3/01/17 (IF)	No Opt. Call	AA+	1,757,384
1,325	Private Colleges and Universities Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Mercer University Project, Refunding Series 2012C, 5.250%, 10/01/30	10/22 at 100.00	Baa2	1,463,198
1,000	Private Colleges and Universities Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Mercer University, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 10/01/32	10/21 at 100.00	Baa2	1,073,520
1,180	Savannah Economic Development Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Armstrong Atlantic State University, Compass Point LLC Project, Series 2005, 5.000%, 7/01/25 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	7/15 at 100.00	A2	1,280,135
1,490	Savannah Economic Development Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Armstrong Center LLC, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 12/01/34 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	12/15 at 100.00	A3	1,592,125
19,685	Total Education and Civic Organizations Health Care – 17.2% (11.6% of Total Investments)			21,877,235
	Baldwin County Hospital Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Oconee Regional Medical Center, Series 1998:			
205	5.250%, 12/01/22	6/13 at 100.00	B+	201,991
745	5.375%, 12/01/28	12/13 at 100.00	B+	721,041
	Coffee County Hospital Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Coffee County Regional Medical Center, Series 2004:			
285	5.000%, 12/01/19	12/14 at 100.00	BB–	289,338
2,400	5.250%, 12/01/22	12/14 at 100.00	BB–	2,434,344
255	5.000%, 12/01/26	12/14 at 100.00	BB–	256,958
715	Coweta County Development Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Piedmont Healthcare, Inc. Project, Series 2010, 5.000%, 6/15/40	6/20 at 100.00	AA–	760,052

26 Nuveen Investments

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MISSOURI PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Health Care (continued)			
\$ 2,500	Franklin County Industrial Building Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Ty Cobb Regional Medical Center Project, Series 2010, 8.000%, 12/01/40	12/20 at 100.00	N/R	\$ 2,787,275
	Gainesville and Hall County Hospital Authority, Georgia, Revenue Anticipation Certificates, Northeast Georgia Health Services Inc., Series 2010B:			
1,000	5.125%, 2/15/40	2/20 at 100.00	AA-	1,077,420
3,945	5.250%, 2/15/45	2/41 at 100.00	AA-	4,272,080
1,620	Greene County Development Authority, Georgia, Health System Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health East Issue, Series 2012, 5.000%, 11/15/37	No Opt. Call	A+	1,755,092
2,540	Houston County Hospital Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Houston Healthcare Project, Series 2007, 5.250%, 10/01/35	10/17 at 100.00	A+	2,687,295
	Macon-Bibb County Hospital Authority, Georgia, Revenue Anticipation Certificates, Medical Center of Central Georgia Inc., Series 2009:			
425	5.000%, 8/01/32	8/19 at 100.00	AA	470,645
975	5.000%, 8/01/35	8/19 at 100.00	AA	1,065,909
1,470	Medical Center Hospital Authority, Georgia, Revenue Anticipation Certificates, Columbus Regional Healthcare System, Inc. Project, Series 2010, 5.000%, 8/01/21 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	1,707,978
3,500	Savannah Hospital Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, St. Joseph's/Candler Health System, Series 2003, 5.250%, 7/01/23 – RAAI Insured	1/14 at 100.00	A3	3,582,635
2,300	Valdosta and Lowndes County Hospital Authority, Georgia, Revenue Certificates, South Georgia Medical Center, Series 2007, 5.000%, 10/01/33	10/17 at 100.00	A+	2,389,171
24,880	Total Health Care			26,459,224
	Housing/Multifamily – 4.7% (3.2% of Total Investments)			
1,205	Atlanta Urban Residential Finance Authority, Georgia, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Trestletree Village Apartments, Series 2013A, 4.500%, 11/01/35	11/23 at 100.00	A-	1,147,702
1,600	Cobb County Development Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, KSU University II Real Estate	7/21 at 100.00	AA-	1,739,440

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MISSOURI PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

	Foundation, LLC Project, Series 2011, 5.000%, 7/15/41 – AGM Insured			
1,375	Cobb County Development Authority, Georgia, Student Housing Revenue Bonds, KSU Village II Real Estate Foundation LLC Project, Series 2007A, 5.250%, 7/15/38 – AMBAC Insured	7/17 at 100.00	Baa2	1,401,675
	Savannah Economic Development Authority, Georgia, GNMA Collateralized Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Snap I-II-III Apartments, Series 2002A:			
500	5.150%, 11/20/22 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	11/13 at 101.00	AA+	510,740
980	5.200%, 11/20/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	11/13 at 101.00	AA+	1,000,747
1,465	5.250%, 11/20/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	11/13 at 101.00	AA+	1,493,275
7,125	Total Housing/Multifamily Housing/Single Family – 0.8% (0.5% of Total Investments)			7,293,579
	Georgia Housing and Finance Authority, Single Family Mortgage Bonds, Series 2006C-2:			
1,000	4.500%, 12/01/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/15 at 100.00	AAA	1,024,580
170	4.550%, 12/01/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/15 at 100.00	AAA	172,385
1,170	Total Housing/Single Family Industrials – 2.8% (1.9% of Total Investments)			1,196,965
2,190	Cobb County Development Authority, Georgia, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, Georgia Waste Management Project, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 4/01/33 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	4/16 at 101.00	BBB	2,335,569
2,000	Fulton County Development Authority, Georgia, Local District Cooling Authority Revenue Bonds, Maxon Atlantic Station LLC, Series 2005A, 5.125%, 3/01/26 (Mandatory put 3/01/15) (Alternative Minimum Tax)	9/15 at 100.00	BBB	2,033,540
4,190	Total Industrials			4,369,109

Nuveen Investments 27

Nuveen Georgia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (continued)					
Portfolio of Investments					
NKG					
May 31, 2013					
Principal			Optional		
Amount (000)	Description (1)		Call	Provisions Ratings (3)	Value
			(2)		
	Materials – 2.1% (1.5% of Total Investments)				
\$ 2,000	Richmond County Development Authority, Georgia, Environmental Improvement Revenue Bonds, International Paper Company, Series 2001A, 6.250%, 2/01/25 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	8/13 at 100.00		BBB	\$ 2,008,300
20	Richmond County Development Authority, Georgia, Environmental Improvement Revenue Bonds, International Paper Company, Series 2003A, 5.750%, 11/01/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	11/13 at 100.00		BBB	20,374
850	Richmond County Development Authority, Georgia, Environmental Improvement Revenue Refunding Bonds, International Paper Company, Series 2002A, 6.000%, 2/01/25 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	8/13 at 100.00		BBB	853,358
390	Savannah Economic Development Authority, Georgia, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, Union Camp Corporation, Series 1995, 6.150%, 3/01/17	No Opt. Call		Baa3	432,584
3,260	Total Materials				3,314,616
	Tax Obligation/General – 30.6% (20.7% of Total Investments)				
2,000	Chatham County Hospital Authority, Georgia, Seven Mill Tax Pledge Refunding and Improvement Revenue Bonds, Memorial Health University Medical Center Inc., Series 2012A, 5.000%, 1/01/31	1/22 at 100.00		AA	2,254,680
1,500	Cherokee County Resource Recovery Development Authority, Georgia, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, Ball Ground Recycling LLC Project, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 7/01/37 – AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/17 at 100.00		AA+	1,574,730
1,000	Clarke County Hospital Authority, Georgia, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Athens Regional Medical Center, Series 2007, 5.000%, 1/01/27 – NPFG Insured	1/17 at 100.00		Aa1	1,101,950
600	Clarke County Hospital Authority, Georgia, Hospital Revenue Certificates, Athens Regional Medical Center, Series 2012, 5.000%, 1/01/32	1/22 at 100.00		Aa1	668,802
3,315	Decatur, Georgia, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 1/01/31 – AGM Insured	1/17 at 100.00		AA+	3,644,511
1,090	Floyd County Hospital Authority, Georgia, Revenue Anticipation Certificates, Floyd Medical Center, Series 2003, 5.000%, 7/01/19 – NPFG Insured	7/13 at 101.00		Aa2	1,104,955
1,135	Floyd County Hospital Authority, Georgia, Revenue Anticipation Certificates, Floyd Medical Center,	No Opt. Call		Aa2	1,350,083

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MISSOURI PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

Series 2012B, 5.000%, 7/01/23

1,500	Forsyth County Water and Sewerage Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 4/01/37 – AGM Insured	4/17 at 100.00	Aaa	1,659,390
6,400	Georgia Environmental Loan Acquisition Corporation, Local Government Loan Securitization Bonds, Loan Pool Series 2011, 5.125%, 3/15/31	3/21 at 100.00	Aaa	7,208,320
	Georgia Municipal Association Inc., Certificates of Participation, Riverdale Public Purpose Project, Series 2009:			
905	5.375%, 5/01/32 – AGC Insured	5/19 at 100.00	AA–	989,636
1,165	5.500%, 5/01/38 – AGC Insured	5/19 at 100.00	AA–	1,259,726
750	Georgia State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1998D, 5.250%, 10/01/15	No Opt. Call	AAA	835,598
2,500	Georgia State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 7/01/15	No Opt. Call	AAA	2,743,575
2,500	Georgia State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007E, 5.000%, 8/01/24	8/17 at 100.00	AAA	2,885,200
1,000	Georgia State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2009B, 5.000%, 1/01/26	1/19 at 100.00	AAA	1,162,600
4,900	Gwinnett County School District, Georgia, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%, 2/01/36 (UB)	2/18 at 100.00	AAA	5,544,889
1,560	Henry County Hospital Authority, Georgia, Revenue Certificates, Henry Medical Center, Series 2004, 5.000%, 7/01/20 – NPFG Insured	7/14 at 101.00	Aa1	1,649,950
445	La Grange-Troup County Hospital Authority, Georgia, Revenue Anticipation Certificates, Series 2008A, 5.500%, 7/01/38	7/18 at 100.00	Aa2	492,059

28 Nuveen Investments

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MISSOURI PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Tax Obligation/General (continued)			
\$ 2,475	Paulding County School District, Georgia, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 2/01/33	2/17 at 100.00	AA+	\$ 2,736,459
2,250	Valdosta and Lowndes County Hospital Authority, Georgia, Revenue Certificates, South Georgia Medical Center Project, Series 2011B, 5.000%, 10/01/41	10/21 at 100.00	Aa2	2,438,685
1,450	Wayne County Hospital Authority, Georgia, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 3/01/23 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	3/16 at 100.00	N/R	1,475,970
2,000	Winder-Barrow Industrial Building Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, City of Winder Project, Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 12/01/29 – AGM Insured	12/21 at 100.00	A1	2,287,660
42,440	Total Tax Obligation/General			47,069,428
	Tax Obligation/Limited – 25.8% (17.4% of Total Investments)			
	Atlanta, Georgia, Tax Allocation Bonds Atlanta Station Project, Series 2007:			
110	5.250%, 12/01/19 – AGC Insured	12/17 at 100.00	AA–	121,737
50	5.250%, 12/01/20 – AGC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA–	55,027
80	5.250%, 12/01/21 – AGC Insured	12/17 at 100.00	AA–	87,295
1,080	5.000%, 12/01/23 – AGC Insured	12/17 at 100.00	AA–	1,153,860
1,500	Atlanta, Georgia, Tax Allocation Bonds, Beltline Project Series 2008B. Remarketed, 7.375%, 1/01/31	1/19 at 100.00	A2	1,832,850
280	Atlanta, Georgia, Tax Allocation Bonds, Beltline Project Series 2008C. Remarketed, 7.500%, 1/01/31	1/19 at 100.00	A2	343,756
730	Atlanta, Georgia, Tax Allocation Bonds, Eastside Project, Series 2005A, 5.625%, 1/01/16 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	7/15 at 100.00	A–	785,429
	Atlanta, Georgia, Tax Allocation Bonds, Eastside Project, Series 2005B:			
830	5.400%, 1/01/20	7/15 at 100.00	A–	889,652
1,175	5.600%, 1/01/30	7/15 at 100.00	A–	1,262,513
1,650	Atlanta, Georgia, Tax Allocation Bonds, Princeton Lakes Project, Series 2006, 5.500%, 1/01/31	1/16 at 100.00	BBB–	1,673,678
2,000	Cobb-Marietta Coliseum and Exhibit Hall Authority, Cobb County, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Performing Arts Center, Series 2004, 5.000%, 1/01/22	1/14 at 100.00	AAA	2,053,360

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MISSOURI PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

375	Cobb-Marietta Coliseum and Exhibit Hall Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2005, 5.500%, 10/01/26 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	A+	452,209
460	Cobb-Marietta Coliseum and Exhibit Hall Authority, Georgia, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1993: 5.500%, 10/01/18 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	A	493,777
5,745	5.625%, 10/01/26 – NPFG Insured	10/19 at 100.00	A	6,544,876
1,990	Georgia Local Governments, Certificates of Participation, Georgia Municipal Association, Series 1998A, 4.750%, 6/01/28 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	A	2,005,960
750	Georgia Municipal Association Inc., Certificates of Participation, Atlanta Court Project, Series 2002, 5.125%, 12/01/21 – AMBAC Insured	6/13 at 100.00	N/R	751,283
405	Government of Guam, Business Privilege Tax Bonds, Series 2011A, 5.125%, 1/01/42	1/22 at 100.00	A	440,114
1,608	Liberty County Industrial Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Series 2011A-1, 4.600%, 7/01/26	No Opt. Call	N/R	1,425,860

Nuveen Investments 29

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MISSOURI PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

Nuveen Georgia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (continued)					
Portfolio of Investments					
NKG					
May 31, 2013					
Principal			Optional		
Amount (000)	Description (1)		Call	Provisions Ratings (3)	Value
			(2)		
	Tax Obligation/Limited (continued)				
	Macon-Bibb County Urban Development Authority, Georgia, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Public Facilities Projects, Series 2002A:				
\$ 1,525	5.000%, 8/01/14		8/13 at 100.00	AA	\$ 1,537,200
2,600	5.375%, 8/01/17		8/13 at 100.00	AA	2,621,736
3,500	Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority, Georgia, Sales Tax Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1992P, 6.250%, 7/01/20 – AMBAC Insured		No Opt. Call	Aa2	4,021,464
25,000	Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2007A, 0.000%, 8/01/54 – AMBAC Insured		No Opt. Call	AA–	2,159,500
810	Tift County Hospital Authority, Georgia, Revenue Anticipation Certificates Series 2012, 5.000%, 12/01/38		No Opt. Call	AA–	891,316
5,500	Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Gross Receipts Taxes Loan Note, Refunding Series 2012A, 5.000%, 10/01/32		No Opt. Call	BBB+	6,016,505
59,753	Total Tax Obligation/Limited Transportation – 7.7% (5.2% of Total Investments)				39,620,957
2,290	Atlanta, Georgia, Airport General Revenue Bonds, Series 2004G, 5.000%, 1/01/26 – AGM Insured		1/15 at 100.00	AA–	2,407,340
2,000	Atlanta, Georgia, Airport General Revenue Bonds, Series 2012B, 5.000%, 1/01/31		No Opt. Call	A+	2,254,680
2,710	Atlanta, Georgia, Airport General Revenue Bonds, Series 2012C, 5.000%, 1/01/42 (Alternative Minimum Tax)		1/22 at 100.00	A+	2,861,786
2,000	Atlanta, Georgia, Airport General Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2011B, 5.000%, 1/01/30		1/21 at 100.00	A+	2,190,560
1,000	Atlanta, Georgia, Airport Passenger Facilities Charge Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2004C, 5.000%, 1/01/33 – AGM Insured		7/14 at 100.00	AA–	1,038,680
1,000	Atlanta, Georgia, Airport Passenger Facilities Charge Revenue Bonds, Series 2004J, 5.000%, 1/01/34 – AGM Insured		1/15 at 100.00	AA–	1,050,760
11,000	Total Transportation				11,803,806
	U.S. Guaranteed – 4.3% (2.9% of Total Investments)				
	(4)				
1,550				A1 (4)	1,638,722

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MISSOURI PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

	Bulloch County Development Authority, Georgia, Student Housing and Athletic Facility Lease Revenue Bonds, Georgia Southern University, Series 2004, 5.250%, 8/01/21 (Pre-refunded 8/01/14) – SYNCORA GTY Insured	8/14 at 100.00		
1,000	Cherokee County School System, Georgia, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 8/01/16 (Pre-refunded 8/01/13) – NPFQ Insured	8/13 at 100.00	AA+ (4)	1,007,970
1,000	Forsyth County, Georgia, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.250%, 3/01/19 (Pre-refunded 3/01/14)	3/14 at 101.00	Aaa	1,047,560
	Fulton County, Georgia, Water and Sewerage Revenue Bonds, Series 2004: 5.000%, 1/01/22 (Pre-refunded 1/01/14) – FGIC Insured	1/14 at 100.00	AA– (4)	2,518,453
385	5.000%, 1/01/35 (Pre-refunded 1/01/14) – FGIC Insured	1/14 at 100.00	AA– (4)	395,757
25	Georgia Municipal Electric Authority, Senior Lien General Power Revenue Bonds, Series 1993Z, 5.500%, 1/01/20 (Pre-refunded 1/01/17) – FGIC Insured	1/17 at 100.00	A+ (4)	28,192
6,410	Total U.S. Guaranteed			6,636,654

30 Nuveen Investments

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MISSOURI PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
Utilities – 10.0% (6.8% of Total Investments)				
\$ 525	Burke County Development Authority, Georgia, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, Georgia Power Company – Vogtle Plant, First Series 2012, 1.750%, 12/01/49 (Mandatory put 6/01/17)	No Opt. Call	A	\$ 537,626
2,000	Georgia Municipal Electric Authority, General Power Revenue Bonds, Project 1, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 1/01/25 – NPMFG Insured	1/17 at 100.00	A+	2,197,420
3,000	Georgia Municipal Electric Authority, General Power Revenue Bonds, Series 2012GG, 5.000%, 1/01/43	1/23 at 100.00	A+	3,288,060
755	Georgia Municipal Electric Authority, Senior Lien General Power Revenue Bonds, Series 1993Z, 5.500%, 1/01/20 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	A+	822,323
Main Street Natural Gas Inc., Georgia, Gas Project Revenue Bonds, Series 2006B:				
1,000	5.000%, 3/15/20	No Opt. Call	A	1,138,990
1,300	5.000%, 3/15/21	No Opt. Call	A	1,487,005
1,500	5.000%, 3/15/22	No Opt. Call	A	1,733,700
Main Street Natural Gas Inc., Georgia, Gas Project Revenue Bonds, Series 2007A:				
350	5.125%, 9/15/17	No Opt. Call	A	396,169
950	5.000%, 3/15/18	No Opt. Call	A+	1,084,758
Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia, Combustion Turbine Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A:				
500	5.250%, 11/01/15 – NPMFG Insured	11/13 at 100.00	A1	509,910
1,000	5.000%, 11/01/20 – NPMFG Insured	11/13 at 100.00	A1	1,017,380
1,200	Virgin Islands Water and Power Authority, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series 2007B, 5.000%, 7/01/31	7/17 at 100.00	Baa3	1,220,760
14,080	Total Utilities			15,434,101
Water and Sewer – 23.2% (15.6% of Total Investments)				
190	Atlanta, Georgia, Water and Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series 1999A, 5.000%, 11/01/38 – FGIC Insured	11/13 at 100.00	A1	190,557
Atlanta, Georgia, Water and Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series 2004:				

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MISSOURI PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

500	5.250%, 11/01/15 – AGM Insured	11/14 at 100.00	AA–	533,715
2,425	5.000%, 11/01/24 – AGM Insured	11/14 at 100.00	AA–	2,558,424
260	5.750%, 11/01/30 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA–	340,408
700	5.000%, 11/01/37 – AGM Insured	11/14 at 100.00	AA–	731,752
5,105	Cherokee County Water and Sewerage Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 8/01/35 – AGM Insured	8/18 at 100.00	AA	5,680,793
335	Coweta County Water and Sewer Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Series 2001, 5.250%, 6/01/26 – AMBAC Insured	6/13 at 100.00	Aa2	336,263
	Coweta County Water and Sewer Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, Series 2007:			
1,000	5.000%, 6/01/32	6/18 at 100.00	Aa2	1,106,610
1,000	5.000%, 6/01/37	6/18 at 100.00	Aa2	1,084,920
	DeKalb County, Georgia, Water and Sewerage Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2006B:			
6,000	5.250%, 10/01/32 – AGM Insured	10/26 at 100.00	Aa2	7,242,720
300	5.000%, 10/01/35 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	Aa2	350,925
5,350	DeKalb County, Georgia, Water and Sewerage Revenue Bonds, Second Resolution Series 2011A, 5.250%, 10/01/41	10/21 at 100.00	Aa3	6,039,990
2,225	Douglasville-Douglas County Water and Sewer Authority, Georgia, Water and Sewage Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 6/01/37 – NPFPG Insured	6/17 at 100.00	Aa2	2,465,634
1,000	Douglasville-Douglas County Water and Sewer Authority, Georgia, Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 6/01/29 – NPFPG Insured	12/15 at 100.00	Aa2	1,087,060

Nuveen Investments 31

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MISSOURI PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

Nuveen Georgia Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (continued)
 Portfolio of Investments
 NKG
 May 31, 2013

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	Water and Sewer (continued)			
\$ 750	Fulton County, Georgia, Water and Sewerage Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2013, 5.000%, 1/01/33	1/23 at 100.00	AA-	\$ 853,012
500	Georgia Environmental Loan Acquisition Corporation, Local Government Loan Securitization Bonds, Cobb County-Marietta Water Authority Loans, Series 2011, 5.250%, 2/15/36	2/21 at 100.00	Aaa	561,674
1,000	Milledgeville, Georgia, Water and Sewerage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1996, 6.000%, 12/01/21 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	1,205,209
1,000	Unified Government of Athens-Clarke County, Georgia, Water and Sewerage Revenue Bonds, Series 2008, 5.500%, 1/01/38	1/19 at 100.00	AA+	1,152,869
1,975	Walton County Water and Sewerage Authority, Georgia, Revenue Bonds, The Oconee-Hard Creek Reservoir Project, Series 2008, 5.000%, 2/01/38 – AGM Insured	2/18 at 100.00	Aa2	2,123,361
31,615	Total Water and Sewer			35,645,896
\$ 273,608	Total Investments (cost \$213,950,456) – 148.1%			227,902,040
	Floating Rate Obligations – (2.1)%			(3,245,000)
	MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (48.7)% (5)			(74,945,000)
	Other Assets Less Liabilities – 2.7%			4,120,412
	Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100%			\$ 153,832,452

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to Common shares unless otherwise noted.
 - (2) Optional Call Provisions (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
 - (3) Ratings (not covered by the report of independent registered public accounting firm): Using the highest of Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"), Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
 - (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities, which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities.
 - (5) MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 32.9%.
- N/R Not rated.
 (IF) Inverse floating rate investment.

(UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies, Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

32 Nuveen Investments

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MISSOURI PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

Nuveen Maryland Premium Income Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments					
NMY May 31, 2013					
Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2)	Ratings (3)	Value	
Consumer Discretionary – 4.4% (3.0% of Total Investments)					
Baltimore, Maryland, Senior Lien Convention Center Hotel Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A:					
\$ 540	5.000%, 9/01/16 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	No Opt. Call	BB+	\$	568,728
400	5.250%, 9/01/19 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	9/16 at 100.00	BB+		422,060
185	5.250%, 9/01/25 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	9/16 at 100.00	BB+		190,406
350	5.250%, 9/01/27 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	9/16 at 100.00	BB+		359,160
250	4.600%, 9/01/30 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	9/16 at 100.00	BB+		250,170
100	5.000%, 9/01/32 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	9/16 at 100.00	BB+		100,962
12,140	5.250%, 9/01/39 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	9/16 at 100.00	BB+		12,329,020
1,000	Baltimore, Maryland, Subordinate Lien Convention Center Hotel Revenue Bonds, Series 2006B, 5.875%, 9/01/39	9/16 at 100.00	Ba2		1,025,110
2,000	Maryland Economic Development Corporation, Revenue Bonds, Chesapeake Bay Hyatt Conference Center, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 12/01/31	12/16 at 100.00	N/R		1,319,500
16,965	Total Consumer Discretionary				16,565,116
Consumer Staples – 8.2% (5.5% of Total Investments)					
Guam Economic Development & Commerce Authority, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2007A:					
3,055	5.250%, 6/01/32	6/17 at 100.00	B+		2,993,869
2,665	5.625%, 6/01/47	6/17 at 100.00	B+		2,387,254
155,700	Puerto Rico, The Children’s Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2005A, 0.000%, 5/15/50	5/15 at 11.19	BB–		14,347,755
Puerto Rico, The Children’s Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Refunding Bonds, Series 2002:					
6,715	5.375%, 5/15/33		BBB+		6,790,477

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MISSOURI PREMIUM INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR

			11/13 at 100.00		
3,270	5.500%, 5/15/39		11/13 at 100.00	BBB	3,306,755
800	Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation, Virgin Islands, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2001, 5.000%, 5/15/31		11/13 at 100.00	A3	796,232
172,205	Total Consumer Staples				30,622,342
	Education and Civic Organizations – 11.6% (7.8% of Total Investments)				
2,375	Frederick County, Maryland, Educational Facilities Revenue Bonds, Mount Saint Mary’s University, Series 2006, 5.625%, 9/01/38		9/16 at 100.00	BB+	2,392,623
3,020	Hartford County, Maryland, Economic Development Revenue Bonds, Battelle Memorial Institute, Series 2004, 5.250%, 4/01/34		4/14 at 100.00	A+	3,110,177
1,750	Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Educational Facilities Leasehold Mortgage Revenue Bonds, McLean School, Series 2001, 6.000%, 7/01/31		7/13 at 100.00	BB+	1,750,770
700	Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Goucher College, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 7/01/34		7/22 at 100.00	A–	779,639
530	Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Johns Hopkins University, Series 2008A, 5.250%, 7/01/38		No Opt. Call	AA+	612,028
3,335	Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Johns Hopkins University, Series 2004, Trust 1003, 13.827%, 3/13/14 (IF)		No Opt. Call	AA+	3,747,173
3,500	Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Maryland Institute College of Art, Series 2006, 5.000%, 6/01/30		6/16 at 100.00	Baa1	3,630,585
1,130	Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Maryland Institute College of Art, Series 2007, 5.000%, 6/01/36		6/17 at 100.00	Baa1	1,179,245
1,500	Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Maryland Institute College of Art, Series 2012, 5.000%, 6/01/47		6/22 at 100.00	Baa1	1,594,710
745	Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Patterson Park Public Charter School Issue, Series 2010, 6.000%, 7/01/40		7/20 at 100.00	BBB–	793,260
2,100	Maryland Industrial Development Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Our Lady of Good Counsel High School, Series 2005A, 6.000%, 5/01/35		5/15 at 100.00	N/R	2,179,023

Nuveen Maryland Premium Income Municipal Fund (continued)				
Portfolio of Investments				
NMY May 31, 2013				
Principal		Optional		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Call	Ratings (3)	Value
		Provisions	(2)	
	Education and Civic Organizations (continued)			